IGI INC Form 10KSB March 31, 2008

Yes [X] No [ ]

## UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

## FORM 10-KSB

(Mark One) [X]	SECURITIES 1	UANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 ar ended December 31, 2007
		OR
[]	SECURITIES 1	URSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 od from to
	Commission	n file number 001-08568
	(Name of small	IGI, Inc. business issuer in its charter)
	Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	
	105 Lincoln Ave., Buena, NJ (Address of principal executive of	(Zip Code)
	Registrant's telep	hone number: (856) 697-1441
	Securities registered pursua	nt to Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:
-	Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Commo	n Stock\$0.01 Par Value	American Stock Exchange
	Securities registered pursuant	to Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act: None
Check wheth	ner the issuer is not required to file	reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act
Exchange Ad	ct of 1934 during the past 12 month	equired to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities as (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required ject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Check if there is no disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-B contained in
this form and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or
information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this
Form 10-KSB. [ ]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  $[\ ]$  No [X]

Issuer's revenues for its most recent fiscal year were \$4,581,000.

The aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates on March 20, 2008 (based on the closing stock price on the American Stock Exchange) on such date was approximately \$ 11,118,000.

As of March 20, 2008, there were 14,833,462 shares of common stock outstanding.

#### Documents Incorporated By Reference

Certain information contained in the definitive Proxy Statement for the Company's 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is incorporated by reference into Part III hereof.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format (Check One) Yes [ ] No [X] <PAGE>

PART I

ITEM 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

Overview

IGI, Inc. is a Delaware corporation formed in 1977. As used in this report, the terms the "Registrant," the "Company" and "IGI" refer to IGI, Inc., unless the context requires otherwise. The Company's head-office, product development laboratories and manufacturing facility are located at 105 Lincoln Avenue, Buena, New Jersey. IGI is principally engaged in the development, manufacturing, filling and packaging of topical, semi solid and liquid products for pharmaceutical, cosmeceutical and cosmetic companies. The primary focus of the business is on the commercialization of its licensed Novasome® encapsulation technology for skin care/treatment products. Except as otherwise specified, information in this report is provided as of December 31, 2007 (the end of the Company's fiscal year).

The Company licenses the Novasome® encapsulation technology from Novavax, Inc. for applications in (i) animal pharmaceuticals, biologicals and other animal health products; (ii) foods, food applications, nutrients and flavorings; (iii) cosmetics, consumer products and dermatological over-the-counter and prescription products (excluding certain topically delivered hormones); (iv) fragrances; and (v) chemicals, including herbicides, insecticides, paints and coatings, photographic chemicals and other specialty chemicals, and the processes for making the same (collectively, the "IGI Field").

Manufacturing

The Company's product manufacturing is conducted in an FDA registered facility for human and veterinary drug, and cosmetic products. The manufacturing operations include bulk manufacturing of Novasome® based products, and conventional dermatological, cosmeceutical and cosmetic emulsions and shampoos. In December 2006, the Company purchased three fully automatic filling and packaging lines to provide turnkey solutions to our customers. The lines were installed and fully operational in the second quarter of 2007. This added capability allowed the Company to fill and package more than 40% of the bulk product we manufacture into tubes, bottles and jars. The raw materials used for these products are available commercially from several suppliers. The Company has manufacturing capacity to meet its current and foreseeable needs.

#### Research and Product Development

The Company's product development efforts are directed toward Novasome® encapsulation to improve performance and efficacy of pharmaceutical, cosmeceutical and cosmetic products. In late 2006, the Company instituted a policy of charging fees for providing product development services to its customers. Besides developing products as per the Product Development Agreements with its customers, IGI also initiated the research and development of generic and branded products using Novasome® technology on several pharmaceutical active ingredients. The Company anticipates finishing the development of these products up to Clinical Phase I stage and then we will seek to partner with other Pharmaceutical companies to further develop and commercialize these products. This process will span over the course of several years.

#### Patents and Trademarks

Under the terms of the license agreement entered into in 1995, the Company has an exclusive license to use the Patented Technologies licensed from Novavax in the IGI Field until December 11, 2015. Novavax holds 44 U.S. patents and a number of foreign patents covering the Technologies licensed to IGI with various expiration dates thru 2021. The scientists in the research laboratories of IGI are constantly seeking new chemical entities capable of making different membrane structures of Novasome®. A new patent on such chemical entity was filed in January 2008 and research work on additional patents is being continued. <PAGE> 2

#### Government Regulation and Regulatory Proceedings

In the United States, pharmaceuticals are subject to rigorous Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") regulations. The Company is required to obtain a satisfactory inspection by the FDA covering its manufacturing facilities before a product can be marketed in the United States. Any non-compliance with the regulatory guidelines may necessitate corrective action that may result in additional expenses and use of more resources. The Company was audited by the FDA in April 2007 and was found to be in compliance with the agency's regulations.

In addition to regulations enforced by the FDA, the Company is also subject to regulation under the Occupational Safety and Health Act, the Toxic Substances Control Act, the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and other present and potential future federal, state or local regulations. The Company's analytical service group uses certain hazardous materials and chemicals in limited and controlled quantities. Although the Company believes that its safety procedures for handling and disposing of such materials comply with the standards prescribed by state and federal regulations, the risk of accidental contamination

or injury from these materials cannot be completely eliminated. In the event of such an accident, the Company could be held liable for any damages that result and any such liability could exceed the resources of the Company. The Company has procedures in place to be in compliance with the standards prescribed by the regulators.

#### Intense Competition in the Marketplace

The Company competes with large, well-financed cosmetic, pharmaceutical and consumer products companies, with development and marketing groups that are experienced in the industry and possess far greater resources than those available to the Company. There is no assurance that the Company's products can compete successfully against its competitors or that it can develop and market new products that will be favorably received in the marketplace. In addition, certain of the Company's customers that use the Company's encapsulation technology in their products may decide to reduce their purchases from the Company or shift their business to other technologies.

#### Dependence on Major Customers

The Company has successfully broadened its customer base to fuel its revenue growth. The Company's major customer of product sales is Vetoquinol USA. The loss of this customer would have a material adverse effect on the Company. Major customers of the Company are defined as having sales for the latest fiscal year equal to or greater than 10% of that years total gross product sales.

#### **Employees**

On March 25, 2008, the Company had 19 full-time employees. The Company has no collective bargaining agreement with its employees, and believes that its employee relations are good.

#### ITEM 2. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

The Company's executive administrative offices are located in Buena, New Jersey, in a 25,000 square foot facility built on 2.8 acres of land in 1995, which the Company owns. This facility is also used for production, product development, marketing and warehousing for the Company's pharmaceutical, cosmeceutical and cosmetic products. We believe this facility is in good operating condition for adequately serving our needs. The Company also owns four acres of land adjacent to its main facility that can be used for future expansion.

<PAGE> 3

#### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On April 6, 2000, officials of the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection ("DEP") inspected the Company's leased storage site in Buena, New Jersey, and issued Notices of Violation ("NOV's") relating to the storage of waste materials in a number of trailers at the site. The Company established a disposal and cleanup schedule and completed the removal of materials from the site. In March 2006, the Company received a judge's decision from the Office of Administrative Law ("OAL") of a fine in the amount of \$35,000 in respect to the NOV's the Company received from the DEP. Due to the criminal settlement that was reached between the Company and the DEP in 2002, the Company had a credit of \$40,000 to be used against any fines determined as a result of the civil matter, therefore, the Company did not have to pay any money to the DEP for the settlement amount. The DEP subsequently issued a final decision, which accepted the violation findings but rejected the OAL Judge's penalty recommendation, reinstituting a previously proposed penalty by the DEP of \$215,000, less the \$40,000 credit previously mentioned or \$175,000. The Company appealed this to the Superior Court of the NJ Appellate Division,

which determined that the Commission's decision was reasonable thus affirming the DEP Commissioner's decision. This amount of \$175,000 was accrued for in the fourth quarter of 2007.

#### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of the Company's stockholders during the last quarter of 2007. <PAGE> 4

#### PART II

## ITEM 5. MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND SMALL BUSINESS ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The Company has never paid cash dividends on its Common Stock. (\$.01 par value) (the "Common Stock") The principal market for the Company's Common Stock is the American Stock Exchange ("AMEX") (symbol: "IG"). On June 12, 2006, AMEX notified the Company that it was below certain of the Exchange's continuing listing standards. Specifically, the Company was required to reflect income from continuing operations and/or net income in one of its four most recent fiscal years and/or minimum of \$4,000,000 in stockholders' equity to remain listed on the exchange. The Company had net losses and losses from continuing operations in each of its 2004, 2005, 2006, and 2007 fiscal years. The Company's stockholders' equity at December 31, 2007 was \$4.1 million.

On July 17, 2006, the Company submitted a plan of compliance to AMEX. AMEX had 45 days to review the plan and notify the Company whether they would accept the plan or if the Company would be subject to delisting procedures. On September 1, 2006, the Exchange notified the Company that it had completed its review of IGI's plan of compliance and supporting documentation and had determined that, in accordance with Section 1009 of the AMEX Company Guide, the plan makes a reasonable demonstration of the Company's ability to regain compliance with the continued listing standards by the end of the plan period and therefore its listing was being continued pursuant to an extension. The targeted completion date to regain compliance with the continued listing standards is December 12, 2007. The Company successfully resolved the continued listing deficiency by December 12, 2007. On December 21, 2007, the Company received notice from AMEX stating the deficiency had been resolved but that the Company, along with all other issuers, will continue to be assessed on an ongoing basis.

The following table shows the range of high and low closing sale prices on the AMEX for the periods indicated:

	High	Low
<u>2007</u>		
First quarter	\$ 1.25	\$ .84
Second quarter	.94	62
Third quarter	.65	1.13
Fourth quarter	1.41	.91
<u>2006</u>		
First quarter	\$1.35	\$ .81
Second quarter	1.45	.80
Third quarter	2.05	1.02
Fourth quarter	2.07	.90

The approximate number of holders of record of the Company's Common Stock at March 20, 2008 was 641 (not including stockholders for whom shares are held in a "nominee" or "street" name).

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

None.

<PAGE> 5

## ITEM 6. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATION

Forward-Looking Statements

This "Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation" section and other sections of this Annual Report on Form 10-KSB contain forward-looking statements that are based on current expectations, estimates, forecasts and projections about the industry and markets in which the Company operates and on management's beliefs and assumptions. In addition, other written or oral statements, which constitute forward-looking statements, may be made by or on behalf of the Company. Words such as "expects," "anticipates," "intends," "plans," "believes," "seeks," "estimates," variations of such words and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. These statements are not guarantees of future performance, and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions, which are difficult to predict. (See "Factors Which May Affect Future Results" below.) Therefore, actual outcomes and results may differ materially from what is expressed or forecasted in such forward-looking statements. The Company undertakes no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

#### Company Overview

Strategic Overview

IGI is engaged in the development, manufacturing, filling and packaging of topical, semi solid and liquid products for pharmaceutical, cosmeceutical and cosmetic companies primarily using its licensed Novasome® encapsulation technology. The Company believes that the Novasome based products developed and manufactured by it are unique in the industry and gives its customers a competitive advantage in the market place.

IGI's mission is to be a premier provider of topical liquid and semi-solid products using an encapsulation technology. Over the last two fiscal years the Company has made four major changes to better pursue its mission:

- &#149the Company divested the metal plating business to focus on its core business of topical skin care/treatment products,
- &#149the Company acquired filling and packaging equipment that broaden and enhance product and service offerings,
- &#149 the Company instituted a policy of charging a fee for its Product Development Services; and &#149 the Company sold the marketing rights of the Miaj product line to a Cosmetic marketing company.

Metal Plating Business In February 2004, the Company signed a license agreement with Universal Chemical Technologies, Inc. ("UCT") to utilize its patented technology for an electroless Nickel Boride metal finishing process. This was a new venture for the Company and the Company had capital expenditures of approximately \$913,000, related to building improvements and purchase of equipment, spread over 2004 and 2005. However, due to below expected sales performance and objections by customers to having the plating line next to the pharmaceutical operation, the Company ceased operations of the metal finishing division in November 2005. On July 10, 2006, the Company's Board of Directors along with management accepted a plan to sell the plating equipment to a third party. The business was classified as discontinued operations in the third quarter of 2006 and an impairment charge of \$175,000 was previously recorded in the fourth quarter of 2005 on the equipment for the plating line. Management recorded an additional impairment expense of \$38,000 for the equipment in the third quarter of 2006 to record the equipment at its current fair market value less costs to sell. In the first quarter of 2007, the Company received a purchase order and deposit in the amount of \$130,000 toward the purchase of the plating equipment from UCT to re-purchase the equipment back from the Company. The Company estimated the fair value of the metal plating equipment less cost to sell at \$350,000. The sales price of the equipment was \$378,000, which consisted of \$260,000 in cash net of \$118,000 owed to UCT by the Company. The Company recorded a gain of \$5,000 on the sale of this equipment in 2007. The purchaser, UCT, paid all relocation and removal expenses relating to this equipment. This transaction was completed in the second quarter 2007 and all equipment was removed from our facility as of June 30, 2007.

Filling and Packaging Equipment- In December 2006, the Company purchased three fully automatic filling and packaging lines to provide turnkey solutions to our customers. The lines were installed and fully operational in the second quarter of 2007. This added capability allowed the Company to fill and package more than 40% of the bulk product we manufacture. This also resulted in an increase of approximately 20% in revenues from contract filling and packaging of generic products in 2007. <PAGE> 6

Licensing Agreement / Fees for Product Development Services- In August 2007, the Company renegotiated its exclusive licensing, development and manufacturing agreement with Dermworx, Inc., which was originally signed in October 2006. The original agreement was for a series of dermatological specialty products utilizing Novasome encapsulation technology. The new agreement was narrowed down to include only one Keratolytic cream product. The first installment of \$250,000 received by the Company for the original agreement was recorded as deferred income for the year ended December 31, 2006. This payment was recognized as Product Development revenue against the new agreement in the third quarter of 2007. Subsequently, the Company signed an additional Product Development Agreement with Dermworx for a Novasome® based sprayable moisturizer product. The Company manufactured commercial quantities of the product developed under the amended agreement in December 2007 and has produced commercial quantities of the product from the additional agreement in the first quarter 2008.

*Miaj Product Line-* The Company launched its first in house product line under the name Miaj<sup>TM</sup> through direct to consumer internet sales in June 2006. The lack of funding to market the product line resulted in much lower than expected sales of Miaj<sup>TM</sup> and a build up of inventory. Some of the products in the inventory are dated and have limited shelf life; therefore the Company recorded an impairment charge of \$70,000 in the fourth quarter of 2006 for these products. In 2007, the Company decided not to compete against its own customers with its Novasome® based skin care products and began a search for a strategic partner to market

and distribute the Miaj line. In December 2007, the Company licensed the marketing rights of the Miaj product line to an affiliate of an established cosmetic marketing company. As per the agreement, the licensee will acquire the entire current inventory of the products and the Company reserves the rights to manufacture the Miaj products in the future.

#### **Results of Operations**

#### 2007 Compared to 2006

The Company had a net loss attributable to common stockholders of \$412,000, or \$(0.03) per share, in 2007 compared to a net loss of \$1,667,000, or \$(0.13) per share, in 2006 which resulted from the following:

	For the year	For the years ended		
Revenues Programme Revenues Re	December 31, 2007	December 31, 2007 December 31, 2006		% change
	(in tho	(in thousands)		
Product Sales, net	\$2,904	\$1,787	\$1,117	63%
R&D Income	836	176	660	375%
Licensing and Royalty Income	841	657	184	28%
Total Revenues	\$4,581	\$2,620	\$1,961	75%

The increase in product sales for the year ended December 31, 2007 ("2007") compared to the comparable period in 2006 resulted from higher sales in 2007 to Vetoquinol, USA and two new customers whose products were successfully launched in 2007. The increase in R&D income related to the Company's initiation of charging a fee for its product development services. The Company acquired three new customers to provide these services to in 2007.

Licensing and royalty income increased as a result of \$300,000 of revenue recognized in 2007 in accordance with our licensing agreement with Manhattan Pharmaceuticals who achieved the successful dosage of the first human patient in the Phase II Clinical trials of PTH 1-34 in 2007. This amount was partially offset by a decrease in J&J Consumer and Estee Lauder royalties. The Company believes the loss of certain royalties is related to the normal life cycle of the products and that certain royalties of the Company may continue to decline.

	For the year	ears ended		
Costs of Sales	December 31, 2007	December 31, 2006	\$ change	% change
	(in tho	usands)		
Costs of Sales	\$2,476	\$1,388	\$1,088	78%

Cost of sales increased by \$1,088,000 in 2007 compared to the comparable period in 2006 primarily from increased sales volume. Cost of sales as a percentage of revenues can vary primarily due to product mix. These expenses as a percentage of product sales and R&D Income were 66% and 71% for 2007 and 2006 respectively.

<PAGE> 7

Edgar Filing: IGI INC - Form 10KSB

	For the year			
Operating Expenses	December 31, 2007	December 31, 2006	\$ change	% change
	(in thousands)			
Selling General and Administrative Expenses	\$2,430	\$2,105	\$ 325	15%
Product Development and Research Expenses	\$ 481	\$1,065	\$(584)	(55%)

The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses in 2007 compared to the comparable period in 2006 related to the accrual of the \$175,000 penalty assessed to the Company by the Department of Environmental Protection in 2007 and

an increase in stock-based compensation expense of \$258,000 in accordance with SFAS 123(R) as discussed under "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Note 1) and Stock-based Compensation (Note 10)" which were offset by a decrease of professional fees in 2007 of \$84,000. These expenses were 53% of total revenues for 2007 compared to 80% in 2006, which correlates to the increase in sales.

The decrease in product development and research expenses in 2007 compared to the comparable period in 2006 relates to a change in classification of personnel costs. As a result of the new product development services, which the Company offered in 2007, we have changed certain of the roles and responsibilities of several of the Company's employees to oversee these functions and we have hired an additional analytical chemist. These employees, a quality control supervisor, a materials management clerk, and a regulatory associate are a part of the production process and are being captured in cost of sales in relation to the revenue generated from product development services. Also, our Executive Vice President of Operations and Business Development, who was previously responsible for the R&D laboratory and production, will now oversee client development and all of the operations of the Company in an administrative capacity and accordingly her salary and benefits are accounted for as selling, general and administrative for 2007 rather than product development and research.

	For the year			
<u>Interest</u>	December 31, 2007	December 31, 2006	\$ change	% change
	(in thou	ısands)		
Interest Expense, net	\$48	\$129	\$(81)	(63%)

Interest expense decreased in 2007 as a result of a decrease in the Company's short-term notes payable principal balance and a reduction in the Company's average interest rate on its short-term notes payable in 2007.

The amounts in other income in 2007 were insurance proceeds received as reimbursement for the employee theft that was discovered in 2007 in the amount of \$58,000 and \$6,000 of miscellaneous income.

The tax benefit of \$453,000 in 2007 and \$458,000 in 2006 was the result of a sale of a portion of the Company's state tax operating loss carry forwards to a third party.

The gain related to discontinued operations was \$5,000 for 2007 compared to a loss of \$58,000 for 2006, which is a decrease of \$63,000, or 109%. The decrease was due to the shutdown of operations in 2006 for the segment and sale of the equipment related to that segment in 2007.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our business operations have been partially funded over the past four years through equity transactions. During 2007, the Company entered into three (3) equity transactions:

- (i) with Pharmachem Laboratories for 1,500,000 shares of Common Stock for gross proceeds of \$1,500,000.
- (ii) with Federico Buonanno for 50 shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock for gross proceeds of \$500,000, and
- (iii) with Univest Management, Inc. EPSP for 150,000 shares of Common Stock for gross proceeds of \$150,000.

Also during the first quarter of 2007, the Company entered into a revolving \$1,000,000 secured line of credit agreement ("Credit Agreement") with Pinnacle Mountain Partners, LLC, ("Pinnacle"), a company owned by Dr. and Mrs. Hager, significant shareholders of the Company, for a term of eighteen months. Loans under the Credit Agreement bear interest at Wall Street prime (7.5% at December 31, 2007), plus 1.5% and are collateralized by assets of the Company (other than real property). All accrued and unpaid interest is payable monthly in arrears on the first of each month. The Company has borrowed \$500,000 against this line of credit as of December 31, 2007. The Company fully expects to pay back the line in full prior to its maturity.

We believe that in 2008 our operating cash flow along with our existing capital resources will be sufficient to support our current business plan through at least the next 12 months. The Company may, however, require additional funding. This funding will depend on the timing and structure of potential business arrangements. If necessary, we may continue to seek to raise additional capital through the sale of our equity. We may accomplish this via a strategic alliance with a third party. There may be additional acquisition and growth opportunities that may require external financing. There can be no assurance that such financing will be available or available on terms acceptable to the Company.

<PAGE> 8

The Company's operating activities used \$668,000 in 2007, compared to \$377,000 used during 2006. The increase in cash used in 2007 was primarily due to the Company's efforts to reduce our accounts payable balance and the increase in our accounts receivable resulting from the increase in sales during the fourth quarter.

The Company's investing activities used \$3,000 of cash in 2007 compared to \$133,000 cash used in 2006. Cash used in 2007 was capital expenditures for the new filling lines offset by the proceeds of the sale of equipment of our plating division. The cash used in 2006 investing activities of \$133,000 was for the packaging and filling machinery purchased in the fourth quarter of 2006.

The Company's financing activities provided \$966,000 of cash in 2007 compared to \$764,000 provided in 2006. The cash provided in 2007 was from the proceeds from the completion of three (3) private placement transactions net of repayment of notes payable. The cash provided in 2006 was related to the note payable and also three (3) private placement transactions.

#### Risk Factors

The Company could be affected by various risks, many of which are beyond its control. Based on current information the Company believes that the following are the most significant risk factors that are affecting its business. However, the risks and uncertainties that Company faces are not limited to those discussed below. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to the Company or that the

Company currently believes to be immaterial could also affect its business. Past financial performance may not be a reliable indicator of future performance and historical trends should not be used to anticipate results or trends in future periods.

#### <u>Intense Competition in Consumer Products Business</u>

The Company's business competes with large, well-financed cosmetic, pharmaceutical and consumer products companies with development and marketing groups that are experienced in the industry and possess far greater resources than those available to the Company. There is no assurance that the Company's products can compete successfully against its competitors or that it can develop and market new products that will be favorably received in the marketplace. In addition, certain of the Company's customers that use the Company's Novasome® lipid vesicles in their products may decide to reduce their purchases from the Company or shift their business to other technologies.

## Effect of Rapidly Changing Technologies

The Company expects to sublicense its technologies to third parties, which would manufacture and market products incorporating these technologies. However, if its competitors develop new and improved technologies that are superior to the Company's technologies, its technologies could be less acceptable in the marketplace and therefore the Company's planned technology sublicensing could be materially adversely affected.

#### Failure to Obtain Required Financing

If necessary, the Company may continue to seek to raise additional capital through the sale of its equity or other type of financing. We may accomplish this via a strategic alliance with a third party. There may be additional acquisition and growth opportunities that may require external financing. There can be no assurance that such financing will be available or available at terms acceptable to the Company.

#### American Stock Exchange (AMEX) Continuing Listing Standards

The AMEX has established certain minimum standards that each of its listing Companies is required to adhere to. The Company, along with all other issuers listed on the Exchange, will continue to be assessed on an ongoing basis. If the Company fails to meet any of the required listing standards, it could be subject to delisting procedures. If the Company were to be delisted from AMEX, it could have an adverse effect on the Company.

<PAGE> 9

#### **Recent Pronouncements**

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 157, Fair Value Measurements ("SFAS 157"), which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective in fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We have not yet determined the effect that the adoption of SFAS 157 will have on our consolidated financial statements. In February 2008, the FASB agreed to delay the effective date of SFAS 157 for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis, to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008.

In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities-Including an Amendment of SFAS 115* ("Statement 159"), which permits but does not

require a Company to measure financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected are reported in earnings. This statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We have evaluated the new statement and have determined that it will not have a significant impact on the determination or reporting of our financial results. In June 2007, the FASB issued EITF Issue No. 07-3, Accounting for Nonrefundable Advance Payments for Goods or Services to Be Used in Future Research and Development Activities, ("EITF 07-3"). EITF 07-3 requires that nonrefundable advance payments for goods or services that will be used or rendered for future research and development activities should be deferred and capitalized. The capitalized amounts should be expensed as the related goods are delivered or the services are performed. EITF 07-3 is effective for new contracts entered into during fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. We have evaluated the new statement and have determined that it will not have a significant impact on the determination or reporting of our financial results.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), *Business Combination*, which replaces FASB Statement No. 141. FAS 141R establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed any non controlling interest in the acquiree and the goodwill acquired. The Statement also establishes disclosure requirements, which will enable users to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. FAS 141R is effective as of the beginning of an entity's fiscal year that begins after December 15, 2008.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements - an amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51 ("FAS 160"), which establishes accounting and reporting standards for ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent, the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest, changes in a parent's ownership interest and the valuation of retained noncontrolling equity investments when a subsidiary is deconsolidated. The Statement also establishes reporting requirements that provide sufficient disclosures that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. FAS 160 is effective as of the beginning of an entity's fiscal year that begins after December 15, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of FAS 160 on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) issued EITF Issue No. 07-1, *Accounting for Collaborative Arrangements*. EITF 07-1 provides guidance concerning: determining whether an arrangement constitutes a collaborative arrangement within the scope of the Issue; how costs incurred and revenue generated on sales to third parties should be reported in the income statement; how an entity should characterize payments on the income statement; and what participants should disclose in the notes to the financial statements about a collaborative arrangement. The provisions of EITF 07-1 will be adopted in 2009. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact, if any, of adopting EITF 07-1 on our consolidated financial statements.

#### Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The SEC defines "critical accounting policies" as those that require application of management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain and may change in subsequent periods.

Our significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Not all of these significant accounting policies require management to make difficult, subjective or complex judgments or estimates. However, the following policies could be deemed to be critical within the SEC definition.

<PAGE> 10

#### **Environmental Remediation Liability**

On April 6, 2000, officials of the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection ("DEP") inspected the Company's leased storage site in Buena, New Jersey, and issued Notices of Violation ("NOV's") relating to the storage of waste materials in a number of trailers at the site. The Company established a disposal and cleanup schedule and completed the removal of materials from the site. In March 2006, the Company received a judge's decision from the Office of Administrative Law ("OAL") of a fine in the amount of \$35,000 in respect to the NOV's the Company received from the DEP. Due to the criminal settlement that was reached between the Company and the DEP in 2002, the Company had a credit of \$40,000 to be used against any fines determined as a result of the civil matter, therefore, the Company did not have to pay any money to the DEP for the settlement amount. The DEP subsequently issued a final decision, which accepted the violation findings but rejected the OAL Judge's penalty recommendation, reinstituting a previously proposed penalty by the DEP of \$215,000, less the \$40,000 credit previously mentioned or \$175,000. The Company appealed this to the Superior Court of the NJ Appellate Division, which determined that the Commission's decision was reasonable thus affirming the DEP Commissioner's decision. This amount of \$175,000 was accrued for in the fourth quarter of 2007.

On March 2, 2001, the Company became aware of environmental contamination resulting from an unknown heating oil leak at its Companion Pet Products manufacturing facility. The Company immediately notified the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and the local authorities, and hired a contractor to assess the exposure and required clean up costs. The estimated costs for the clean up and remediation is \$652,000, of which \$90,000 remains accrued as of December 31, 2007. Based on information provided to the Company from its environmental consultant and what is known to date, the Company believes the reserve is sufficient for the remaining remediation of the environmental contamination. There is a possibility, however, that the remediation costs may exceed the Company's estimates.

## **Long-Lived Assets**

The Company's long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable. The recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of the asset to future net undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the fair value of the assets. During 2006, the Company recorded an impairment charge of \$38,000 to further reduce the carrying value of the equipment relating to the discontinued metal plating division to its fair value.

## **Deferred Tax Valuation Allowance**

Deferred taxes arise due to temporary differences in the bases of assets and liabilities and from net operating losses and credit carry forwards. In general, deferred tax assets represent future tax benefits to be received when certain expenses previously recognized in the Company's statement of operations become deductible expenses under applicable income tax laws or loss or credit carry forwards are utilized. Accordingly, realization of deferred tax assets is dependent on future taxable income against which these deductions, losses and credits can be utilized. In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Management considers historical operating losses, scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. As a result, the Company concluded that it was more likely than not that it will be unable to realize the gross deferred tax assets in the foreseeable future and established a valuation reserve for all such deferred tax

assets.

#### Revenue Recognition

The Company considers revenue realized or realizable and earned when it has persuasive evidence of an arrangement, delivery has occurred or contractual services rendered, the sales price is fixed or determinable, and collection is reasonably assured in conformity with SAB No. 104, *Revenue Recognition*.

The Company derives its revenues from three basic types of transactions: sales of manufactured product, licensing of technology, and research and product development services performed for third parties. Due to differences in the substance of these transaction types, the transactions require, and the Company utilizes, different revenue recognition policies for each.

<u>Product Sales</u>: The Company recognizes revenue when title transfers to its customers, which is generally upon shipment of products. These shipments are made in accordance with sales commitments and related sales orders entered into with customers either verbally or in written form. The revenues associated with these transactions, net of appropriate cash discounts, product returns and sales reserves, are recorded upon shipment of the products.

<PAGE> 11

<u>Licensing Revenues</u>: Revenues earned under licensing or sublicensing contracts are recognized ratably over the life of the agreements. Advance payments by customers are initially recorded as deferred income on the Consolidated Balance Sheet and then recognized ratably over the life of the agreement or as contract obligations are completed.

<u>Product Development Services</u>: The Company establishes agreed upon product development agreements with its customers to perform product development services. Product development revenues are recognized in accordance with the product development agreement upon the completion of the phases of development and when we have no future performance obligations relating to that phase of development. Revenue recognition requires the Company to assess progress against contracted obligations to assure completion of each stage. Payments under these arrangements are generally non-refundable and are reported as deferred until they are recognized as revenue. If no such arrangement exists, product development fees are recognized ratably over the entire period during which the services are performed.

#### **Stock-based Compensation**

SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment, defines the fair-value-based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation plans and transactions used by the Company to account for its issuances of equity instruments to record compensation cost for stock-based employee compensation plans at fair value as well as to acquire goods or services from non-employees. Transactions in which the Company issues stock-based compensation to employees, directors and advisors and for goods or services received from non-employees are accounted for based on the fair value of the equity instruments issued. The Company utilizes pricing models in determining the fair values of options and warrants issued as stock-based compensation. These pricing models utilize the market price of the Company's common stock and the exercise price of the option or warrant, as well as time value and volatility factors underlying the positions.

In making such assessments, judgments are required to evaluate contingencies such as potential variances in schedule and the costs, the impact of change orders, liability claims, contract disputes and achievement of contractual performance standards. Changes in total estimated contract cost and losses, if any, are recognized in the period they are determined. Billings on product development contracts are

typically based upon terms agreed upon by the Company and customer and are stated in the contracts themselves and do not always align with the revenues recognized by the Company. On occasions when revenue recognized exceeds the milestone or progress billed to our customer, an "unbilled" receivable is recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

## Market Risk

Market risk represents the risk of loss that may impact the financial position, results of operations, or cash flow of the Company due to adverse changes in market prices and interest rates. The Company is exposed to market risk because of changes in interest rates. Changes in interest rates are not expected to have an adverse material effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations due to the amount of indebtedness the Company carries or expects to carry on its financial statements.

The Company does not use derivative instruments.

#### ITEM 7. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Company's consolidated financial statements and notes thereto begin on page F-1 of this report and are incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 8. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

<PAGE> 12

#### ITEM 8A(T). CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Management's Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in its reports filed or submitted pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and that information required to be disclosed by the Company is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer and Vice President of Finance, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer and Vice President of Finance, the Company carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) as of December 31, 2007. Based upon that evaluation, the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer and Vice President of Finance concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of December 31, 2007 due to the material weakness described below in Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting (Item 8A(b)).

In light of the material weakness, in preparing its consolidated financial statements as of and for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, the Company performed additional analyses and other post-closing procedures to ensure the Company's consolidated financial statements included in its Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 fairly present, in all material respect, the Company's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for the fiscal years covered thereby in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

#### (b) Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is identified in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). The Company's internal control system is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance to the Company's management, Board of Directors and shareholders regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

In order to ensure that the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective, management regularly assesses controls for its financial reporting, and did so as of December 31, 2007. This assessment was based on criteria for effective internal controls over financial reporting described in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations (COSO) of the Treadway Commission. Based on this assessment, management has concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was not effective as of December 31, 2007.

A material weakness is defined as a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the registrant's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

As a result of its assessment, the Company has identified the following material weakness in its internal control over financial reporting for the year ended December 31, 2007:

&#149The Company lacks sufficient personnel who have basic accounting and finance understanding. The lack of sufficient personnel prevents the Company from segregating duties within its system of internal control. The inadequate segregation of duties is a weakness because it increases the risk of the timely detection and resolution of an irregularity and reporting on the resultant impact to the Company's consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

#### <PAGE> 13

#### (c) Changes to Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act, during the three months ended December 31, 2007 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

The Company plans to take corrective actions to remediate the material weakness noted above. Specifically, the Company plans to hire additional qualified personnel to assist it with various accounting and finance functions within the organization. The Company believes this new personnel will reduce the risk associated with its lack of segregation of duties and thus enhance its system of internal controls over

financial reporting.

Management believes that the actions described above, when fully implemented will be effective in remediation of the specific material weakness discussed above.

## (d) Limitations of Effectiveness of Controls

As of the date of this filing, the Company is satisfied that actions implemented to date and those in progress will remediate the material weaknesses and deficiencies in the internal controls and information systems that have been identified. The Company notes that, like other companies, any system of internal controls, however well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance, and not absolute assurance, that the objectives of the internal control system will be met. The design of any control system is based, in part, upon the benefits of the control system relative to its costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people or by management override of control. In addition, over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. In addition, the design of any control system is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events. Because of the limitations inherent in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and may not be detected.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

#### ITEM 8B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

#### **PART III**

## ITEM 9. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTORS AND CONTROL PERSONS, COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(A) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT

A portion of the information required by this item is contained in the Company's Proxy Statement for the Company's 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "2008 Proxy Statement") under the captions "Proposal 1 - Election of Directors", "Committees of the Board of Directors - Audit Committee", "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance", and "Executive Compensation - Executive Officers", which are incorporated herein by this reference. The Company expects to file the 2008 Proxy Statement no later than April 30, 2008.

The Company has adopted a written code of ethics that applies to all directors, officers and employees of the Company and its subsidiaries. The Company's code of ethics is available at its web site at

<u>www.askigi.com</u>. Any amendments to the code of ethics or waivers from the provisions of the code of ethics for the Company's principal executive officer and principal financial and accounting officer will be disclosed on the Company's Internet website within four business days following the date of such amendment or waiver.

<PAGE> 14

#### ITEM 10. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this item is contained in the Company's 2008 Proxy Statement under the captions "Executive Compensation", and "Structure and Practices of the Board of Directors - Director Compensation" and is incorporated herein by this reference.

## ITEM 11. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

A portion of the information required by this item is contained in the Company's 2008 Proxy Statement under the caption "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" and is incorporated herein by this reference.

Securities Authorized For Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table includes information as of December 31, 2007 relating to the Company's 1989 Stock Option Plan, 1991 Stock Option Plan, 1999 Stock Incentive Plan, the 1999 Director Stock Option Plan and the 1998 Director Stock Plan, which comprises all of the equity compensation, plans of the Company. The table provides the number of securities to be issued upon the exercise of outstanding options under such plans, the weighted-average exercise price of such outstanding options and the number of securities remaining available for future issuance under such equity compensation plans:

	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column(a))
	(a)(1)	(b)(1)	(c)(2)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	2,274,548	\$1.44	1,783,282
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	-	-	-
Total	2,274,548	\$1.44	1,783,282

- (1) Includes information with respect to the 1989 Stock Option Plan, 1991 Stock Option Plan, 1999 Stock Incentive Plan, and the 1999 Director Stock Option Plan.
- (2) Includes information with respect to the 1989 Stock Option Plan, 1991 Stock Option Plan, 1999 Stock Incentive Plan, the 1999 Director Stock Option Plan, and the 1998 Directors Stock Plan. As of December 31, 2007, we had 470,280 shares available for issuance pursuant to the 1998 Directors Stock Plan.

#### ITEM 12. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

The information required by this item is contained in the Company's 2008 Proxy Statement under the captions "Proposal - 1 Election of Directors - Independence of Directors", "Structures and Practices of the Board of Directors - Committees of the Board of Directors" and "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" and is incorporated herein by this reference.

## <PAGE> 15

## ITEM 13. EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Description
(3)(a)	Certificate of Incorporation of IGI, Inc., as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 33-63700, filed June 2, 1993).
3(b)* (3)(c)	Certificate of Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation Certificate of Designation of the Company's Series A Convertible Preferred Stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Report on Form 8-K filed January 3, 2008).
(3)(d)	By-laws of IGI, Inc., as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2(b) to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-18, File No. 002-72262-B, filed May 12, 1981).
(4)	Specimen stock certificate for shares of Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000, File No. 001-08568, filed March 28, 2001 ("the 2000 Form 10-K")).
(10.1)#	IGI, Inc. 1989 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders held May 11, 1989, File No. 001-08568, filed April 12, 1989).
(10.2)#	IGI, Inc. Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(k) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1991, File No. 001-08568, filed March 30, 1992 ("the 1991 Form 10-K")).
(10.3)#	IGI, Inc. 1991 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders held May 9, 1991, File No. 001-08568, filed April 5, 1991).
(10.4)#	Amendment No. 1 to IGI, Inc. 1991 Stock Option Plan as approved by Board of Directors on March 11, 1993 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(p) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1992 ("the 1992 Form 10-K")).
(10.5)#	Amendment No. 2 to IGI, Inc. 1991 Stock Option Plan as approved by Board of Directors on March 22, 1995 (incorporated by reference to the Appendix to the Company's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders held May 9, 1995, filed April 14, 1995).
(10.6)#	Amendment No. 3 to IGI, Inc. 1991 Stock Option Plan as approved by Board of Directors on March 19, 1997 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1997, File No. 001-08568, filed August 14, 1997).
(10.7)#	Amendment No. 4 to IGI, Inc. 1991 Stock Option Plan as approved by Board of Directors on March 17, 1998 (incorporated by reference to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1998, File No. 001-08568, filed November 6, 1998).
(10.8)#	IGI, Inc. 1998 Director Stock Option Plan as approved by the Board of Directors on October 19, 1998 (number of shares authorized increased to 600,000 pursuant to Proposal 4 of the Proxy Statement dated May 1, 2006) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1998, File No. 001-08568, filed April 12, 1999 ("the 1998 Form 10-K")).
(10.9)	Common Stock Purchase Warrant No. 5 to purchase 150,000 shares of IGI, Inc. Common Stock issued to Fleet Bank, NH on March 11, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.40 to the 1998 Form 10-K).
(10.10)#	1999 Director Stock Option Plan as amended approved by the Board of Directors on September 15, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Registration

- Statement on Form S-8/A, File No. 333-52312, filed April 25, 2006).
- (10.11) Common Stock Purchase Warrant No. 7 to purchase 120,000 shares of IGI, Inc. Common Stock issued to Mellon Bank, N.A. on March 11, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.42 to the 1998 Form 10-K).
- (10.12) Manufacturing and Supply Agreement dated as of February 14, 2001 among IGI, Inc., IGEN, Inc., Immunogenetics, Inc. and Genesis Pharmaceutical, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.59 to the 2000 Form 10-K).
- (10.13)# IGI, Inc. 1991 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting held May 9, 1991, File No. 001-08568, filed April 5, 1991).
- (10.14) Manufacturing and Supply Agreement dated May 31, 2002 between IGI, Inc. and IGEN, Inc. (collectively Suppliers) and Vetoquinol, USA, Inc. (Purchaser) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.93 to the 2002 Form 10-K).
- (10.15) Technological Rights Agreement dated May 31, 2002 between IGI, Inc. and IGEN, Inc. (collectively Sellers) and Vetoquinol, USA, Inc. (Purchaser) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.94 to the 2002 Form 10-K).

#### <PAGE> 16

- (10.16) Supplemental Agreement dated May 31, 2002 between IGI, Inc. (Seller) and Vetoquinol, USA, Inc. (Buyer) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.95 to the 2002 Form 10-K).
- (10.17) Amendment dated March 19, 2002, to License Agreement by and among Ethicon, Inc. and IGI, Inc., IGEN, Inc. and Immunogenetics, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.98 to the 2002 Form 10-K).
- (10.18) Product Development Agreement dated November 10, 2003, between Pure Energy Corporation d/b/a/ Pure Energy of America, Inc. and IGI, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.99 on the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, File No. 001-08568, filed April 14, 2004 ("the 2003 Form 10-K)).
- (10.19) License Agreement effective December 24, 2003, by and among Michael F. Holick, MD, PhD, A&D Bioscience, Inc. and IGI, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.103 to the 2003 Form 10-K).
- (10.20) License Agreement dated February 9, 2004, between Universal Chemical Technologies, Inc. and IGI, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.104 to the 2003 Form 10-K).
- (10.21) Contract for Sale of Real Estate dated October 22, 2003, between CPB, Inc. ("Buyer") and IGI, Inc. ("Seller") (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.105 to the 2003 Form 10-K).
- (10.22) License Agreement by and between Micro-Pak, Inc. (now known as Novavax, Inc.) and IGEN, Inc. effective as of December 13, 1995 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit (10) (v) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1995, File No. 001-08568, filed March 29,1996).
- (10.23) Agreement for Development Services dated March 27, 2003, between Chattem, Inc. and IGI, Inc (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.107 to the 2003 Form 10-K).
- (10.24) Sublicense Agreement between IGI, Inc. and Tarpan Therapeutics, Inc. dated April 19, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.109 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004, filed May 14, 2004).
- (10.25) Amendment of the supply and license agreement between IGI, Inc. and Estée Lauder, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Report on Form 8-K filed November 24, 2004).
- (10.26) Secured Promissory Note, dated December 12, 2005 ("Univest Note"), in favor of Univest Management, Inc. EPSP ("Univest"), c/o Frank Gerardi, Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's 8-K filed on December 16, 2005).
- (10.27) Letter Agreement dated January 30, 2006 between Univest and the Company re: Univest Note (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on February 3,

- 2006).
- (10.28) Letter Agreement dated July 21, 2006 between Univest and the Company re: Univest Note (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on July 27, 2006).
- (10.29) Letter Agreement dated October 4, 2006 between Univest and the Company re: Univest Note (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on October 4, 2006).
- (10.30) Letter Agreement dated December 28, 2006 between Univest and the Company re: Univest Note (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on December 29, 2006).
- (10.31) Letter Agreement dated January 31, 2007 between Univest and the Company re: Univest Note (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on February 2, 2007).
- (10.32) Letter Agreement dated March 1, 2007 between Univest and the Company re: Univest Note (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on March 7, 2007).
- (10.33) Form of Unit Subscription Agreements entered into on December 15 2005 with respect to sale of units by the Company to Steve Morris, Univest Management, Inc. EPSP the Hager Family Trust and Emil Solomine (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on December 21, 2005).
- (10.34) Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrants with Respect to Unit Subscription Agreement entered into on December 15, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on December 21, 2005).
- (10.35) License Agreement dated October 11, 2006 between IGI, Inc. and DermWorx Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.51 to the Company's Form 10-KSB filed on May 15, 2007).
- (10.36) Employment Agreement dated November 7, 2006, between Rajiv Mathur and IGI, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.52 to the Company's Form 10-KSB filed on May 15, 2007).
- (10.37) Loan and Security Agreement dated, November 27, 2006, in favor of Pharmachem Laboratories, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.53 to the Company's Form 10-KSB filed on May 15, 2007).
- (10.38) Loan and Security Agreement dated, January 29, 2007, in favor of Pinnacle Mountain Partners LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.54 to the Company's Form 10-KSB filed on May 15, 2007).
- (10.39) Form of Unit Subscription Agreement entered into on February 6, 2007 with respect to sale of units by the Company to Pharmachem Laboratories Inc. ("Pharmachem") (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.55 to the Company's Form 10-KSB filed on May 15, 2007).
- (10.40) Note dated October 9, 2006 issued to Pharmachem, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed October 10, 2006).
- (10.41) Mortgage dated October 9, 2006 issued to Pharmachem, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K filed October 10, 2006).
- (10.42) Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant issued to Landmark Financial Corporation with respect to Unit Subscription Agreement entered into February 6, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.56 to the Company's Form 10-KSB filed on May 15, 2007).
- (10.43)+ Agreement dated August 21, 2007 between Pharmachem Laboratories and IGI, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form-10QSB filed on November 14, 2007).

<PAGE> 17

(10.44)+

	Agreement dated August 23, 2007 between DermWorx, Inc. and IGI, Inc. (incorporated by
	reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form-10QSB filed on November 14, 2007).
(10.45)#	IGI, Inc. 2008 Management Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the
	Company's Report on Form 8-K filed February 12, 2008).

- (21) List of Subsidiaries. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 21 to the 1999 Form 10-K.)
- (23.1)\* Consent of Amper, Politziner & Mattia, P.C.
- (31.1)\* Certification of the President and Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- (31.2)\* Certification of the Vice President of Finance Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- (32.1)\* Certification of the President and Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- (32.2)\* Certification of the Vice President of Finance Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- \* Filed herewith.
- # Indicates management contract or compensatory plan.
- + Portions of this Exhibit were omitted and filed separately with the Secretary of the SEC pursuant to a request for confidential treatment that has been filed with the SEC.

#### ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this item is contained in the Company's 2008 Proxy Statement under the caption "Relationship with Independent Public Accountants" and is incorporated herein by this reference. <PAGE> 18

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date:	IGI, Inc.	
March 31, 2008	By:	/s/ Rajiv Mathur
		Rajiv Mathur President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant in the capacity and on the dates indicated.

<u>Signatures</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
/s/ Rajiv Mathur		March 31, 2008

# Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

	•	
Rajiv Mathur		
/s/ Carlene A. Lloyd	Vice President of Finance	March 31, 2008
Carlene A. Lloyd		
/s/ Stephen J. Morris	Director	March 31, 2008
Stephen J. Morris		
/s/ Terrence O'Donnell	Director	March 31, 2008
Terrence O'Donnell		
/s/ Jane E. Hager	Director	March 31, 2008
Jane E. Hager <page> 19</page>		
IND	DEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm		F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheet, December 31, 2007		F-3