TROVER SOLUTIONS INC Form 10-Q May 14, 2004

## UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# **Form 10-Q**

(Mark One)

þ

**OUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)** OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2004

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT 0 **OF 1934** 

For the transition period from

to

Commission File Number 0-22585

# **Trover Solutions, Inc.**

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

#### **Delaware**

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

61-1141758 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1600 Watterson Tower, Louisville, Kentucky

40218

(Zip Code)

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(Registrant s Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

(502) 454-1340

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No þ

As of May 12, 2004, 8,504,163 shares of the Registrant's Common Stock, \$0.001 par value were outstanding.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

**PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION** 

Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)

CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS

CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

**PART II: OTHER INFORMATION** 

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Item 2. Changes in Securities, Use of Proceeds and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

**SIGNATURES** 

**EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT** 

SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION OF THE CEO

SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION OF THE CFO

SECTION 906 CERTIFICATION OF THE CEO AND CFO

#### TROVER SOLUTIONS, INC.

FORM 10-Q

March 31, 2004

## **INDEX**

THIS FORM 10-Q AND OTHER STATEMENTS ISSUED OR MADE FROM TIME TO TIME BY TROVER SOLUTIONS, INC. OR MEMBERS OF ITS MANAGEMENT TEAM CONTAIN STATEMENTS WHICH MAY CONSTITUTE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933 AND THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, AS AMENDED BY THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995, 15 U.S.C.A. SECTIONS 77Z-2 AND 78U-5 (SUPP. 1996). THOSE STATEMENTS INCLUDE STATEMENTS REGARDING THE INTENT, BELIEF OR CURRENT EXPECTATIONS OF TROVER SOLUTIONS, INC. AND MEMBERS OF ITS MANAGEMENT TEAM, AS WELL AS THE ASSUMPTIONS ON WHICH SUCH STATEMENTS ARE BASED. PROSPECTIVE INVESTORS ARE CAUTIONED THAT ANY SUCH FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS ARE NOT GUARANTEES OF FUTURE PERFORMANCE AND INVOLVE RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES, AND THAT ACTUAL RESULTS MAY DIFFER MATERIALLY FROM THOSE CONTEMPLATED BY SUCH FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS, IMPORTANT FACTORS CURRENTLY KNOWN TO MANAGEMENT THAT COULD CAUSE ACTUAL RESULTS TO DIFFER MATERIALLY FROM THOSE IN FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS ARE SET FORTH IN THE SAFE HARBOR COMPLIANCE STATEMENT FOR FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS INCLUDED AS EXHIBIT 99.1 TO THE TROVER SOLUTIONS, INC. ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003, AND ARE HEREBY INCORPORATED HEREIN BY REFERENCE. TROVER SOLUTIONS, INC. UNDERTAKES NO OBLIGATION TO UPDATE OR REVISE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS TO REFLECT CHANGED ASSUMPTIONS OR CIRCUMSTANCES, THE OCCURRENCE OF UNANTICIPATED EVENTS OR CHANGES TO FUTURE OPERATING RESULTS OVER TIME.

i

## PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)

## TROVER SOLUTIONS, INC.

# CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited) (In thousands, except per share information)

	March 31, 2004	December 31, 2003
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 11,244	\$ 11,174
Restricted cash	16,064	17,034
Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$551 at		
March 31, 2004 and \$479 at December 31, 2003	8,381	6,993
Other current assets	3,043	3,039
Total current assets	38,732	38,240
Property and equipment, net	5,558	5,813
Goodwill, net	29,146	29,146
Identifiable intangibles, net	3,220	3,315
Other assets	1,892	1,982
Other dosets	1,072	1,502
Total assets	\$ 78,548	\$ 78,496
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER	RS EQUITY	
Current liabilities:		
Trade accounts payable	\$ 542	\$ 1,250
Accrued expenses	5,204	5,405
Accrued bonuses	1,262	1,581
Funds due clients	11,638	12,019
Income taxes payable	1,266	677
Short-term borrowings	4,000	4,000
Deferred income tax liability	361	354
Total current liabilities	24,273	25,286
Other liabilities	4,067	4,562
m - 111 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	20.240	20.040
Total liabilities	28,340	29,848
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.001 par value per share; 2,000 shares authorized; no shares issued or outstanding		
Common stock, \$.001 par value per share; 20,000 shares authorized;	12	12
8,495 and 8,477 shares outstanding at March 31, 2004 and		12

December 31, 2003, respectively		
Capital in excess of par value	23,566	23,465
Other	(1,004)	(988)
Treasury stock at cost; 3,261 shares at March 31, 2004 and		
December 31, 2003	(14,459)	(14,459)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	(40)	(51)
Unearned compensation	(26)	(28)
Retained earnings	42,159	40,697
Total stockholders equity	50,208	48,648
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 78,548	\$ 78,496

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed financial statements.

## TROVER SOLUTIONS, INC.

## CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF INCOME For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 (Unaudited)

(In thousands, except per share information)

Three Months Ended March 31,

	-:	,
	2004	2003
Claims revenues	\$15,937	\$16,353
Cost of revenues	7,646	8,343
Gross profit	8,291	8,010
Support expenses	5,036	4,482
Depreciation and amortization	765	962
Operating income	2,490	2,566
Interest income	49	50
Interest expense	103	121
Income before income taxes	2,436	2,495
Provision for income taxes	974	998
Net income	\$ 1,462	\$ 1,497
Earnings per common share (basic)	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.18
Earnings per common share (diluted)	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.17

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed financial statements.

## TROVER SOLUTIONS, INC.

# CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 (Unaudited) (In thousands)

Three Months Ended March 31,

	March 31,	
	2004	2003
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 1,462	\$ 1,497
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by	Ψ 1,102	Ψ 1,177
operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	994	1,141
Other	22	3
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Restricted cash	970	(1,190)
Accounts receivable	(1,388)	601
Other current assets	(27)	180
Other assets	(63)	8
Trade accounts payable	(708)	(419)
Accrued expenses	(522)	(2,616)
Funds due clients	(381)	1,345
Income taxes payable	589	962
Other liabilities	(495)	
Net cash provided by operating activities	453	1,512
Net eash provided by operating activities	<del></del> -	1,312
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of property and equipment	(233)	(546)
Capitalization of internally developed software	(234)	(262)
ı , ı		
Net cash used in investing activities	(467)	(808)
Ç .		
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Repurchase of common stock		(902)
Issuance of common stock	100	60
Other	(16)	(15)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	84	(857)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	70	(153)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	11,174	2,269
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$11,244	\$ 2,116
Cash and Cash equivalents, end of period	Ψ11,277	Ψ 2,110

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed financial statements.

#### TROVER SOLUTIONS, INC.

# NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

#### 1. Organization and Basis of Presentation

Trover Solutions, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the Company ), was incorporated on June 30, 1988. The Company provides subrogation and certain other claims recovery and cost containment services, on an outsourcing basis, to the private healthcare payor industry and the property and casualty insurance industry. Its primary business is medical claims recovery, and its primary product is subrogation recovery, i.e., the Company identifies, investigates and recovers accident-related medical benefits incurred by its healthcare payor and insurance clients on behalf of their insureds, but for which other persons or entities have primary responsibility. The Company s clients rights to recover the value of these medical benefits, arising by law or contract, are generally known as the right of subrogation and are generally paid from the proceeds of liability or workers compensation insurance. The Company s other medical claims recovery services include (1) the auditing of the bills of medical providers, particularly hospitals, for accuracy, correctness and compliance with contract terms (provider bill audit), (2) the recovery of overpayments attributable to duplicate payments, failures to coordinate benefits and similar errors in payment (overpayments), and (3) the auditing of physician evaluation and management claims for consistency with medical records, in accordance with federal guidelines (MD Audit).

The Company has three segments: (1) Healthcare Recovery Services, which encompasses its four healthcare recovery products: healthcare subrogation, provider bill audit, overpayments, and MD audit; (2) Property and Casualty Recovery Services, which is subrogation recovery services for property and casualty insurers, sold under the brand name TransPaC Solutions; and (3) Software, which is subrogation recovery software in a browser-based application service provider (ASP) form, sold under the name Troveris.

The accompanying financial statements are presented in a condensed format and consequently do not include all of the disclosures normally required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America or those normally made in the Company s annual financial statements. Accordingly, for further information, the reader of this Form 10-Q may wish to refer to the Company s audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2003, contained in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 19, 2004.

The financial information has been prepared in accordance with the Company s customary accounting practices and is unaudited. In the opinion of management of the Company, the information presented reflects all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of interim results. All such adjustments are of a normal and recurring nature.

## 2. Stock-Based Compensation

In December 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure an Amendment of SFAS 123 which provides alternative methods for a voluntary change to the fair value method of accounting for stock-based compensation and amends the disclosure requirements of SFAS 123. The Company has elected to continue to account for its stock-based compensation plans under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees (APB No. 25), and related interpretations. The following disclosures are provided in accordance with SFAS 148.

The Company has various stock-based compensation plans including stock option plans and an employee stock purchase plan. No stock-based employee compensation cost is reflected in net income as all options granted under those plans had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if the

## TROVER SOLUTIONS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation to stock-based employee compensation (dollars in thousands, except per share results):

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2004	2003
Net income as reported	\$1,462	\$1,497
Less: total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects	(37)	(155)
Proforma net income	\$1,425	\$1,342
Earnings per common share:		
As reported (basic)	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.18
As reported (diluted)	0.17	0.17
Proforma (basic)	0.17	0.16
Proforma (diluted)	0.16	0.15

The effects of applying SFAS No. 123 in the pro forma disclosures are not likely to be representative of the effects on pro forma net income or earnings per common share for future years because variables such as option grants, option exercises, and stock price volatility included in the disclosures may not be indicative of actual future activity.

## 3. Earnings Per Common Share

Reconciliations of the average number of common shares outstanding used in the calculation of earnings per common share and earnings per common share assuming dilution are as follows (dollars and shares in thousands, except per share results):

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2004	2003
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding Add: Dilutive stock options	8,492 339	8,481 242
Number of common shares outstanding (diluted)	8,831	8,723
Net earnings for earnings per common share (basic and diluted)	\$1,462	\$1,497
Earnings per common share:		
Basic	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.18
Diluted	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.17

Basic earnings per common share were computed based on the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the period. The dilutive effect of stock options was calculated using the treasury stock method. Options to purchase 879,502 and 950,402 shares for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per common share because their effect would be anti-dilutive.

## 4. Income Taxes

For the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, the Company accrued income taxes at a 40.0% effective tax rate.

5

#### TROVER SOLUTIONS, INC.

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### 5. Related Party Transactions

The Company has entered into a contract for legal services with a professional service corporation, Sharps & Associates, PSC, an entity owned solely by one of the Company s officers, Douglas R. Sharps. This arrangement exists solely for the benefit of the Company. Its purpose is to minimize the costs of legal services purchased by the Company on behalf of its clients. Mr. Sharps receives no financial or other personal benefit from his ownership of the firm. All payments to Sharps & Associates, PSC are reviewed and approved by the Audit Committee of the Company s Board of Directors. For the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, approximately \$895,000 and \$830,000, respectively, was paid to this law firm for such legal services, including all employees and expenses.

On February 12, 1999, the Board of Directors approved a loan in the amount of \$350,000 to Patrick B. McGinnis, the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, in exchange for a full recourse promissory note in the same amount from Mr. McGinnis. On June 30, 2000, at the direction of the Board of Directors and in accordance with terms authorized by it, the Company loaned Mr. McGinnis an additional \$500,000. Under these terms, the \$500,000 loan to Mr. McGinnis was combined with his existing debt to the Company of \$350,000 of principal and \$36,520 of accrued interest. Mr. McGinnis delivered to the Company his full recourse promissory note in the amount of \$886,520, bearing interest at a fixed rate of 6.62% per annum (the applicable Federal mid-term rate in effect for tax purposes at the date of the note), compounded annually (the Amended Promissory Note ), and the Company cancelled the old promissory note evidencing the prior debt. The Amended Promissory Note provides for mandatory prepayments from certain of the proceeds received by Mr. McGinnis from his sale of the Company s securities and any related transactions. At March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, the promissory note of \$886,520 and accrued interest of \$117,540 and \$101,237, respectively, were outstanding. Mr. McGinnis used the proceeds of these loans to repay debts originally incurred by him to pay income taxes related to the ordinary income deemed to have been received by him on account of Common Stock granted to him by the former shareholder of the Company in connection with the initial public offering of the Company s stock in May 1997, and to purchase additional stock in the initial public offering. The balance of the Amended Promissory Note at March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 is included in Other in the Stockholders Equity section of the accompanying balance sheets.

On June 30, 2000, pursuant to Board authorization and in accordance with the terms of the Amended Promissory Note, the Company and Mr. McGinnis entered into a deferred compensation agreement (the Agreement). Under the Agreement, 50% of the amount otherwise payable to Mr. McGinnis under the Company s Management Group Incentive Compensation Plan is to be deferred until the Amended Promissory Note is paid in full, with such deferred compensation then being paid in full to Mr. McGinnis within 30 days thereafter. The Company has full right of set-off against any deferred compensation under the Agreement should Mr. McGinnis default under the Amended Promissory Note. At the election of Mr. McGinnis, the payment of the deferred compensation, upon payment of the Amended Promissory Note, may be extended for a period of not more than ten years. At March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, the amount of deferred compensation was \$182,012, with accrued interest of \$22,542 and \$19,323, respectively.

Effective January 1, 2003, the Company entered into an employment agreement with Mr. McGinnis. Upon signing the employment agreement, Mr. McGinnis received a bonus of \$200,000. The bonus is subject to full or partial reimbursement by Mr. McGinnis to the Company, based on the date of termination, if Mr. McGinnis terminates his employment with the Company during the initial three-year term of his employment. The bonus payment was recorded in Other Current Assets on the accompanying Balance Sheets and is being amortized over the term of the agreement. The unamortized balance March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 was \$116,667 and \$133,333, respectively.

#### TROVER SOLUTIONS, INC.

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### 6. Property and Equipment

The property and equipment consists of the following at March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 (in thousands):

	March 31, 2004	December 31, 2003
Property and equipment, at cost:		
Furniture and fixtures	\$ 3,126	\$ 3,126
Office equipment	1,414	1,410
Computer equipment	7,880	7,734
Software	10,929	10,614
Leasehold improvements	1,889	1,886
	25,238	24,770
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(19,680)	(18,957)
Property and equipment, net	\$ 5,558	\$ 5,813

#### 7. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The Company accounts for goodwill under FAS 142, under which goodwill is not amortized but instead is assessed for impairment at least annually. The Company performs its annual impairment review during the second quarter of each year. The review performed during the quarter ended June 30, 2003 did not result in an impairment charge for the Company. The Company s reporting units are generally consistent with the operating segments underlying the segments identified in Note 15. Segment Information . All recorded goodwill and other intangible assets relate to the Healthcare Recovery Services segment.

The carrying value of goodwill, net, was approximately \$29.1 million at December 31, 2003 and 2002. There were no goodwill impairment losses recorded during the three months ended March 31, 2004.

All of the Company s intangible assets (other than goodwill, net) are subject to amortization. The details of the Company s intangible assets at March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 are as follows (in thousands):

		March 31, 2004			December 31, 2003	
	Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Net	Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Client lists	\$4,900	\$1,680	\$3,220	\$4,900	\$1,599	\$3,301
Backlog	570	570		570	560	10
Non-compete agreements	530	530		530	526	4
Total	\$6,000	\$2,780	\$3,220	\$6,000	\$2,685	\$3,315

Client lists are being amortized on a straight-line basis over 15 years. Backlog was amortized over 5 years on a straight-line basis. Non-compete agreements were amortized on a straight-line basis over periods ranging from 4 years to 5 years.

Amortization expense related to intangible assets for the three months ended March 31, 2004 was approximately \$95,000. Over the nine-month period ended December 31, 2004 and each of the four

7

#### TROVER SOLUTIONS, INC.

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

succeeding fiscal years, amortization expense related to intangible assets is expected to be as follows (in thousands):

Nine month period ended December 31, 2004	\$245
Year ended December 31,	
2005	327
2006	327
2007	327
2008	327

#### 8. Derivatives

On November 6, 2001, the Company entered into an interest rate swap contract to pay 3.66% and to receive the one-month LIBOR rate on a \$4 million notional amount of the Revolving Credit Facility (see Note 9. Credit Facility). The Company uses derivative financial instruments to manage the risk that changes in interest rates will affect the amount of its future interest payments. Under the interest rate swap contract, the Company agrees to pay an amount equal to a specified fixed rate of interest times a notional principal amount, and to receive in return an amount equal to a variable rate of interest times the same notional principal amount. The notional amounts of the contract are not exchanged. No other cash payments are made unless the contract is terminated prior to maturity, in which case the amount paid or received in settlement is established by agreement at the time of termination, and represents the net present value, at current rates of interest, of the remaining obligations to exchange payments under the terms of the contract. The interest rate swap contract was entered into with a major financial institution in order to minimize counterparty credit risk. The interest rate swap transaction qualifies for hedge accounting treatment and is accounted for in accordance with FAS 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended by FAS 138, Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities an Amendment of FAS 133. At March 31, 2004, the fair value of the hedge was a liability of \$67,533, or \$40,520, net of tax. At December 31, 2003, the fair value of the hedge was a liability of \$86,397, or \$51,838, net of tax.

## 9. Credit Facility

On November 1, 2001, the Company entered into a revolving credit facility with National City Bank of Kentucky, Bank One Kentucky, N.A. and Fifth Third Bank (the Revolving Credit Facility), and the existing Credit Facility was terminated. The Company's obligations under the Revolving Credit Facility are collateralized by substantially all of the Company's assets, subject to certain permitted exceptions. The Revolving Credit Facility carries a maximum borrowing capacity of \$40 million and will mature October 31, 2004. Principal amounts outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility bear interest at a variable rate based on the Prime Rate or Eurodollar Rate, as applicable, plus a pre-determined fixed margin. At March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, the interest rate was 2.85% and 2.92%, respectively, based on the one-month Eurodollar Rate plus the fixed margin. The Revolving Credit Facility contains customary covenants and events of default including, but not limited to, financial tests for interest coverage, net worth levels and leverage that may limit the Company's ability to pay dividends. The Company was in compliance with these covenants at March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003. It also contains a material adverse change clause. At March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, \$4 million was outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility.

#### 10. Contingencies

The Company is engaged in the business of identifying and recovering subrogation and related claims of its clients, many of which arise in the context of personal injury lawsuits. As such, the Company operates in a

#### TROVER SOLUTIONS, INC.

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

litigation-intensive environment. The Company has been, from time to time, and in the future expects to be, named as a party in litigation incidental to its business operations. To date, the Company has not been involved in any litigation which resulted in a material adverse effect upon the Company, but there can be no assurance that pending litigation or future litigation will not have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, results of operations or financial condition.

#### 11. Adoption of a Rights Plan

On February 12, 1999, the Board adopted a Stockholder Rights Plan (the Rights Plan ) and declared a dividend of one preferred stock purchase right (a Right ) for each outstanding share of Common Stock of the Company. The dividend was payable to stockholders of record on March 1, 1999. The Rights, which will initially trade with the Common Stock, separate and become exercisable only upon the earlier to occur of (i) 10 days after the date (the Stock Acquisition Date ) of a public announcement that a person or group of affiliated persons has acquired 20% or more of the Common Stock (such person or group being hereinafter referred to as an Acquiring Person ) or (ii) 10 days (or such later date as the Board of Directors shall determine) after the commencement of, or announcement of an intention to make, a tender offer or exchange offer that could result in such person or group owning 20% or more of the Common Stock (the earlier of such dates being called the Distribution Date ). When exercisable, each Right initially entitles the registered holder to purchase from the Company one one-hundredth of a share of a newly created class of preferred stock of the Company at a purchase price of \$65 (the Purchase Price ). The Rights are redeemable for \$0.001 per Right at the option of the Board of Directors. The Rights expire on March 1, 2009.

If any person becomes an Acquiring Person, each holder of a Right will thereafter have the right (the Flip-In Right) to receive, in lieu of shares of preferred stock and upon payment of the Purchase Price, shares of Common Stock having a value equal to two times the Purchase Price of the Right. Also, if at any time on or after the Stock Acquisition Date, (i) the Company is acquired in a transaction in which the holders of all the outstanding shares of Common Stock immediately prior to the consummation of the transaction are not the holders of all of the surviving corporation s voting power, or (ii) more than 50% of the Company s assets, cash flow or earning power is sold or transferred other than in the ordinary course of business, then each holder of a Right shall thereafter have the right (the Flip-Over Right) to receive, in lieu of shares of preferred stock and upon exercise and payment of the Purchase Price, common shares of the acquiring company having a value equal to two times the Purchase Price. If a transaction would otherwise result in a holder having a Flip-In as well as a Flip-Over Right, then only the Flip-Over Right will be exercisable. If a transaction results in a holder having a Flip-Over Right subsequent to a transaction resulting in the holder having a Flip-In Right, a holder will have a Flip-Over Right only to the extent such holder s Flip-In Rights have not been exercised.

On February 19, 2004, in connection with the Proposed Transaction described in Note 16 below, the Company and National City Bank, as Rights Agent, executed a First Amendment to Rights Agreement, which amends the Stockholders Rights Plan and renders the Rights inapplicable to the transactions contemplated by the definitive agreement announced by the Company on February 20, 2004. See Note 16. Recent Developments .

### 12. Stock Repurchase Plan

The Company s Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$20 million of the Company s Common Stock in the open market at prices per share deemed favorable by the Company. Shares may be repurchased using cash from operations and borrowed funds and may continue until such time as the Company has repurchased \$20 million of the Company s Common Stock or until it otherwise terminates the stock repurchase plan. The Company did not repurchase any shares of Common Stock during the three months ended March 31, 2004. From inception of the program through March 31, 2004, the Company

#### TROVER SOLUTIONS, INC.

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

repurchased 3,269,630 shares at a cost of \$14.5 million, or an average cost of \$4.43 per share. Except for 8,874 shares of Common Stock previously repurchased but reissued in connection with an employee restricted stock award, all of the reacquired shares of Common Stock through March 31, 2004 are reflected as treasury stock on the accompanying Balance Sheets.

#### 13. Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Other comprehensive income for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 consists of the following (in thousands):

		Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2004	2003	
Net income	\$1,462	\$1,497	
Other comprehensive income:			
Deferred gain on cash flow hedge, net	11		
Comprehensive income	\$1,473	\$1,497	

Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income consists of the following:

	Cash Flow Hedge
Balance, December 31, 2003 First quarter 2004 change	\$(51) 11
Balance, March 31, 2004	\$(40)
Datanee, Water 31, 2007	Ψ(+0)

#### 14. Concentration of Clients and Credit Risk

UnitedHealth Group (UHG), a terminated client, accounted for 19% and 28% of the Company s total revenues for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Wellpoint Health Network Inc. (Wellpoint) accounted for 21% and 15% of the Company s total revenues for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. No other client accounted for more than 10% of the Company s total revenues. The loss of Wellpoint could have a material adverse effect on the Company s results of operations, financial position and cash flows.

Wellpoint accounted for 36% and 30% of the Company s accounts receivable balance at March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, respectively. In addition, Health Net, Inc. accounted for 8% and 10% of the Company s accounts receivable balance at March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, respectively. Should a substantial portion of the current balance of either of these accounts receivable balances become uncollectible, it could have a material adverse effect on the Company s results of operations and cash flows. No other client accounted for 10% or more of accounts receivable at March 31, 2004 or December 31, 2003.

During 2002, UHG management informed the Company of its intention to terminate subrogation services with respect to all but 1.8 million lives of the 9.7 million lives then subject to the Company s services under a contract with UHG. UHG s termination of these services resulted from its decision to bring subrogation recovery services back inside UHG, where they are being performed by its Ingenix strategic business unit. The Company s contract with UHG expired in accordance with its terms on February 1, 2003, except with respect to the 1.8 million lives. In December 2003, UHG gave notice of the termination of the remaining business under contract, effective February 1, 2004. The Company expects to continue recovering on the backlog as to which UHG terminated the Company s services, a process that the Company expects will be completed in 5 to 6 years from the dates of termination.

10

## TROVER SOLUTIONS, INC.

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## 15. Segment Information

The accounting policies of the Company s reportable segments are the same as those accounting policies described in the summary of significant accounting policies in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003. The Company has three reportable segments based on qualitative guidelines. The Company s three segments are: (1) Healthcare Recovery Services, which encompasses its healthcare recovery products: healthcare subrogation, provider bill audit, overpayments, and MD Audit; (2) Property and Casualty Recovery Services, which is subrogation recovery services for property and casualty insurers, sold under the name TransPaC Solutions; and (3) Software, which is subrogation recovery software in a browser-based application service provider (ASP) form, sold under the name Troveris. The segment profit measure is income before income taxes.

Segment results for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 are as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2004	2003
Revenues:		
Healthcare Recovery Services	\$15,644	\$16,107
Property and Casualty Recovery Services	271	229
Software	264	313
Elimination of intercompany revenue	(242)	(296)
Elimination of intercompany revenue	(212)	(270)
Total revenues	\$15,937	\$16,353
Operating income (loss):		
Healthcare Recovery Services	\$ 7,088	\$ 6,753
Property and Casualty Recovery Services	(215)	(232)
Software	22	(233)
Unallocated Corporate support expenses	(4,405)	(3,722)
Chanocated Corporate support expenses	(1,103)	(3,722)
Total operating income	\$ 2,490	\$ 2,566
Depreciation and amortization:		
Healthcare Recovery Services	\$ 593	\$ 787
Property and Casualty Recovery Services	21	23
Software		13
Unallocated Corporate depreciation and amortization expense	151	139
Total depreciation and amortization	\$ 765	\$ 962
Total depreciation and amortization	\$ 703	\$ 902
Income (loss) before income taxes:		
Healthcare Recovery Services	\$ 7,092	\$ 6,767
Property and Casualty Recovery Services	(225)	(242)
Software	22	(263)
Unallocated Corporate (loss)	(4,453)	(3,767)
-		
Total income before income taxes	\$ 2,436	\$ 2,495

Unallocated Corporate amounts include corporate expenses and other miscellaneous charges. Because this category includes a variety of miscellaneous items not attributable to one particular segment, it is subject to fluctuation on a quarterly and annual basis. The Company does not allocate assets.

11

#### TROVER SOLUTIONS, INC.

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### 16. Recent Developments

On February 19, 2004, the Company entered into a definitive agreement to be acquired by an affiliate of Tailwind Capital Partners LLC (Tailwind) in an all-cash transaction (the Proposed Transaction). The Proposed Transaction is to be accomplished by the merger of a subsidiary of TSI Holding Co., Inc., itself an indirect subsidiary of Tailwind, with and into the Company. As a result of the merger, every stockholder of the Company will receive \$7.00 per share in cash and each holder of an in the money option will receive an amount of cash equal to the difference between the exercise price of the option and \$7.00, except that certain employees may exchange unexercised in the money stock options of the Company for stock options of TSI Holding Co., Inc. (which will be the parent corporation of the surviving entity). In connection with the Proposed Transaction, certain members of management will receive new stock option grants from TSI Holding Co., Inc. and may purchase shares of common stock of TSI Holding Co., Inc. at a price equal to the price per share paid by Tailwind. Immediately following the Proposed Transaction, TSI Holding Co., Inc. will be owned by Tailwind, certain members of management of the Company, and certain co-investors.

If completed, the Proposed Transaction will result in the Company no longer being publicly traded and no longer filing annual, quarterly and current reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). The Proposed Transaction is subject to approval by the Company s stockholders at a special meeting of the stockholders, which the Company expects will occur in July of 2004. The Proposed Transaction is also subject to other customary closing conditions. Each of Tailwind and the Company may elect to terminate the merger agreement and not complete the Proposed Transaction at any time after July 15, 2004, so long as the party electing to terminate has not breached the merger agreement in a manner that has caused, resulted in or materially contributed to the failure to consummate the merger by July 15, 2004.

In connection with the Proposed Transaction, the Company intends to file a proxy statement with the SEC. All security holders of the Company are advised to read the proxy statement when it becomes available, because it will contain important information about the Company and the Proposed Transaction. Security holders may obtain a free copy of the proxy statement, when available, and other documents filed by the Company with the SEC at the SEC s website at http://www.sec.gov/. Free copies of the Company s SEC filing also may be obtained by directing a request to Trover Investor Relations, Trover Solutions, Inc., 1600 Watterson Tower, Louisville, Kentucky 40218. In addition, investors and security holders may access copies of documents filed with the SEC by the Company on the Company s website at www.troversolutions.com.

The Company, its directors and executive officers may be deemed to be participants in the solicitation of proxies from the Company s stockholders in favor of the Proposed Transaction. A description of the security holdings and other interests of the directors and executive officers of the Company will be set forth in the proxy statement referred to above.

As described in greater detail in the preliminary proxy statement filed by the Company on April 30, 2004, in connection with the Proposed Transaction, Mr. McGinnis has entered into a new employment agreement with TSI Holding Co., Inc., providing for his continued service following completion of the Proposed Transaction as chief executive officer of the Company, on substantially the same terms as his existing 2003 employment agreement. As a result of this agreement, the merger will not constitute a Change in Control Event under the 2003 employment agreement. The new agreement will become effective by its terms only at the effective time of the merger. The provisions in the new employment agreement regarding Mr. McGinnis compensation and the term of the agreement are the same as in his existing 2003 employment agreement with the Company.

#### Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The Company, believes, based on its experience and assessment of its market, that it is a leading independent provider of outsourcing of subrogation and certain other medical claims recovery and cost containment services to the U.S. private healthcare payor industry and of outsourcing of subrogation recovery services to the U.S. property and casualty insurance industry. The Company's primary business is medical claims recovery, and its primary product is subrogation recovery, which generally entails the identification, investigation and recovery of accident-related medical benefits incurred by its clients on behalf of their insureds, but for which other persons or entities have primary responsibility. The Company's clients rights to recover the value of these medical benefits, arising by law or contract, are generally known as the right of subrogation and are generally paid from the proceeds of liability or workers' compensation insurance. The Company's other medical claims recovery services include (1) the auditing of the bills of medical providers, particularly hospitals, for accuracy, correctness and compliance with contract terms (provider bill audit), (2) the recovery of overpayments attributable to duplicate payments, failures to coordinate benefits and similar errors in payment (overpayments), and (3) the auditing of physician evaluation and management claims for consistency with medical records, in accordance with federal guidelines (MD audit). The Company offers its healthcare recovery services on a nationwide basis to health maintenance organizations (HMOs), indemnity health insurers, self-funded employee health plans, companies that provide claims administration services to self-funded plans (referred to as third-party administrators), Blue Cross and Blue Shield organizations and provider organized health plans. Current clients include Humana Inc., Kaiser Permanente, Wellpoint Health Network Inc. and The Principal Financial Group. The Company had 39.9 million and 34.3 million lives under contract fro

The Company has three segments: (1) Healthcare Recovery Services, which encompasses its four healthcare recovery products that the Company sells under the brand name Healthcare Recoveries: healthcare subrogation, provider bill audit, overpayments, and MD audit; (2) Property and Casualty Recovery Services, which is subrogation recovery services for property and casualty insurers, sold under the brand name TransPaC Solutions; and (3) Software, which is subrogation recovery software in a browser-based application service provider (ASP) form, sold under the brand name Troveris.

#### **Healthcare Recovery Services**

## Overview of Operations

For a typical new healthcare subrogation or other medical claims recovery client, it takes up to six months from the contract signing (when the lives are sold) to complete the construction of electronic data interfaces necessary for the Company to begin providing service. At this point, the client is considered installed. During the installation period, the Company must also hire and train quality staff necessary to provide contractual services. After installation, the Company receives files and data from the client from which it creates an inventory of backlog.

Backlog is the total dollar amount of potentially recoverable claims that the Company is pursuing or auditing on behalf of its clients at a given point in time. These claims are gross figures, prior to estimates of claim settlements and rejections. Backlog increases when the Company opens new files of potentially recoverable claims and decreases when files are recovered and closed or, after further investigation, determined to be nonrecoverable. Backlog for a client will range from newly identified potential recoveries to potential recoveries that are in the late stages of the recovery process. Historically, recoveries (the amount actually recovered for the Company s clients prior to the Company s fee) have been produced from backlog in a generally predictable cycle. Any group of potential recoveries, sufficiently large in number to display statistically significant characteristics and that originates from a defined time period, tends to produce recovery results that are comparable to other groups having similar characteristics.

For the most part, the Company is paid contingency fees from the amount of claims recoveries it makes from backlog or recoveries it identifies through other cost containment and related recovery services on behalf of its clients. The Company s revenues are a function of recoveries and effective fee rates. Effective fee rates vary depending on the mix between services provided and client fee schedules. The fee schedules for each

client are separately negotiated and reflect the Company s standard fee rates, the services to be provided and the anticipated volume of services. The Company grants volume discounts and, for its recovery services, negotiates a lower fee when it assumes backlog from a client because the client will have already completed some of the recovery work. Because the Company records expenses as costs are incurred and records revenues only when a file is settled, there is a lag between the recording of expenses and related revenue recognition.

The Company s expenses are determined primarily by the number of employees directly engaged in recovery activities (cost of revenues) and by the number of employees engaged in a variety of support activities (support expenses). Recovery personnel must be hired and trained in advance of the realization of recoveries and revenues. Historically, support expenses have not grown in direct proportion to revenues.

#### Results of Operations

The following tables present certain key operating indicators for the Healthcare Recovery Services segment for the periods indicated (lives and dollars in millions):

#### **Healthcare Recovery Services** Key Operating Indicators

#### Lives Sold and Installed\*

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2004	2003
Cumulative lives sold, beginning of period	39.9	41.6
Lives from existing client loss, net(1)	(0.8)	(7.4)
Lives added from new contracts with existing clients	0.4	0.1
Lives added from contracts with new clients	0.4	0.0
Cumulative lives sold, end of period	39.9	34.3
•		
Lives sold eliminations/cross-sold lives(2)	11.1	10.5
Erres sora eminiations/eross sora irres(2)	11.1	10.5
	25.7	21.0
Lives installed, end of period	35.7	31.9
Lives installed eliminations/cross-installed lives(3)	5.5	5.4

<sup>\*</sup> All references to lives in the table, whether reported as from existing client loss, added from new contracts with existing clients or with new clients, lives sold, lives sold eliminations/cross-sold lives, lives installed, or lives installed eliminations/cross-installed lives, are derived by the Company from information provided to it by clients, which may contain estimates.

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents the net of losses from contract terminations and organic declines in the clients installed base measured in the number of persons covered by clients, and gains from organic growth in the clients installed base measured in the number of persons covered by clients.

<sup>(2)</sup> Lives sold eliminations/cross-sold lives—specifies the number of lives subject to client contracts under which Healthcare Recoveries provides or will provide more than one healthcare recovery service to a client population. By contrast, the number of lives reported in Cumulative lives sold, end of period—does not include the overlap (i.e.,—double counting—) that occurs when multiple healthcare recovery services are provided to the same client population.

Lives installed eliminations/cross-installed lives—specifies the number of lives as to which Healthcare Recoveries provides more than one healthcare recovery service to a client population. By contrast, the number of lives reported in—Lives installed, end of period—does not include the overlap (i.e., double counting—) that occurs when multiple healthcare recovery services are provided to the same client population.

#### **Other Key Operating Indicators**

# Three Months Ended March 31,

	2004	2003
Backlog(1)	\$1,497.4(2)	\$1,611.4(2)
Claims recoveries	\$ 56.8	\$ 57.8
Throughput(3)	3.8%	3.7%
Effective fee rate	27.5%	27.9%
Claims revenues	\$ 15.6	\$ 16.1

- Backlog is the total dollar amount of potentially recoverable claims that Healthcare Recoveries is pursuing or auditing on behalf of its clients at a given point in time.
- (2) At March 31, 2004 and 2003, approximately \$250.5 million and \$351.2 million, respectively, of the backlog derived from terminated clients and clients that, by those dates, had given notice of termination.
- (3) Throughput equals claims recoveries for the period divided by the average of backlog at the beginning and end of the period. Three Months Ended March 31, 2004 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2003

Claims Revenues. Total Healthcare Recovery Services revenues for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 decreased approximately \$0.5 million, or 3.1%, to \$15.6 million compared to \$16.1 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2003. Healthcare claims recoveries for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 were \$56.8 million compared to \$57.8 million for the same quarter of 2003. Provider bill audit and overpayment services recoveries increased approximately \$2.9 million or 28% compared to the prior year quarter, primarily due to an increase in installed lives. Subrogation services recoveries for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 decreased approximately \$3.8 million or 8% compared to the quarter ended March 31, 2003. The lower recoveries resulted primarily from a decline in installed lives compared to the same period in the prior year.

The Healthcare Recovery Services segment effective fee rate for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 decreased to 27.5% from 27.9% for the same quarter of 2003. The decrease in the fee rate was primarily attributable to a slight decline in the provider bill audit fee rate compared to the prior year quarter. The provider bill audit fee rate is typically more volatile than the other Healthcare Recovery Services segment products due to the pricing structure of its contracts.

Backlog for the Healthcare Recovery Services segment decreased to \$1,497.4 million at March 31, 2004 from \$1,611.4 million at March 31, 2003, a decrease of 7.1%. The provider bill audit services backlog increased approximately \$57.8 million while the healthcare subrogation services backlog decreased approximately \$173.5 million.

The Healthcare Recovery Services segment had a throughput rate of approximately 3.8% and 3.7% of average backlog during the first quarter of 2004 and 2003, respectively. The slight increase in throughput in the quarter ended March 31, 2004 compared to the quarter ended March 31, 2003 is due to a relatively larger decrease in average backlog than the decrease in recoveries. Average Healthcare Recovery Services backlog during the first quarter of 2004 decreased 4.8% from the same quarter of 2003 while recoveries decreased 1.7% between the same quarters. Lives installed increased 3.8 million from 31.9 million at March 31, 2003 to 35.7 million at March 31, 2004, because of installations of clients sold in 2003.

Cost of Revenues. Cost of revenues for the Healthcare Recovery Services segment decreased 10.3% for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 to \$7.0 million, from \$7.8 million for the same quarter in 2003. Moreover, the cost of revenues as a percentage of claims revenues decreased to 45.0% for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 compared to 48.2% for the same quarter in 2003. These decreases in the cost of revenues and in the costs of revenues as a percentage of claims revenues occurred for two reasons. First, for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 compared to the same quarter of 2003, healthcare subrogation services cost of revenues decreased approximately 15% while the related revenues decreased only 9%. This is primarily due to a

reduction in labor and other expenses related to file investigation caused by a decrease of 23% in the number of files investigated during the first quarter of 2004 compared to the same quarter of the prior year. Second, provider bill audit services revenue for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 increased approximately 15% over the same quarter of 2003, while the cost of those revenues increased only 2% because of the relatively greater amount and percentage of revenues earned in the more recent quarter from so-called focused audits of certain facilities, which are project-oriented and produce significantly higher gross margins than do typical hospital bill audits.

Support Expenses. Support expenses for the Healthcare Recovery Services segment increased 12.5% to \$0.9 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 from \$0.8 million for the same quarter in 2003, primarily because of increased incentive compensation. In addition, support expenses as a percentage of claims revenues increased from 5.0% for the first quarter of 2003 to 5.9% for the same quarter in 2004 primarily due to the decrease in revenues of the Healthcare Recovery Services segment.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization expense for the Healthcare Recovery Services segment decreased to \$0.6 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 from \$0.8 million for the comparable quarter of 2003 because several assets became fully depreciated following the quarter ended March 31, 2003.

*Income before Income Taxes.* Income before income taxes for the Healthcare Recovery Services segment for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 increased \$0.3 million, or 4.4%, to \$7.1 million from \$6.8 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2003. The increase is due primarily to the decrease in cost of revenues as a percentage of revenue described above.

#### **Property and Casualty Recovery Services**

#### Overview of Operations

The Company operates in the subrogation outsourcing market that serves property and casualty ( P&C ) insurers. The Company offers its services to the P&C market under the brand name TransPaC Solutions .

The Company believes that the market for P&C subrogation outsourcing in the United States is substantial and that the potential savings from subrogation recoveries will vary depending upon the P&C line of business. The Company believes that total potential subrogation recoveries in the automobile insurance market exceed \$6 billion per year. Based on its research and early experience, the Company believes that there is an opportunity to increase total subrogation recoveries across a wide spectrum of automobile insurers. The Company s marketing strategy is to offer its services to automobile insurers and multi-lines carriers that lack the resources to maximize subrogation recoveries.

The Company believes that it has an opportunity to leverage its healthcare subrogation expertise and resources to provide service to the P&C markets. The primary difference between the two markets is in the acquisition of claims data for investigation of subrogation potential. The P&C industry does not have standard data definitions regarding claims as does the health insurance industry. Nevertheless, the Company used its healthcare subrogation expertise to build data interfaces with several of its P&C customers, and it has created proprietary business processes to acquire paper-based and/or imaged claims data from its customers claims adjusting offices and archives.

The Company has assessed the competitive environment for P&C subrogation outsourcing and believes that the competition is fragmented and characterized by claims adjusting companies that operate on a local or regional basis and by law firms that specialize in a low volume of legally complex subrogation claims. The Company has identified five competitors that attempt to serve a national market. Two of these competitors are owned and controlled by P&C insurers, and the Company believes that this fact may deter potential buyers of these competitors services if those potential buyers also compete against the competitors parent organizations.

The Company currently provides subrogation outsourcing services to 16 P&C insurers, of which 5 are full outsource clients with the remainder being clients for either referral services, in which the Company supplements the capacity of an internal recovery unit, or closed claims reviews, in which the Company recovers subrogation claims missed or ignored by an internal recovery unit. The Company has established a full-time direct sales force experienced in P&C sales and marketing. The Company starget market for its P&C subrogation services is P&C insurers that are personal lines automobile carriers or that are multi-lines carriers which focus on automobile coverage.

#### Results of Operations

The following tables present certain key operating indicators for the Property and Casualty Recovery Services segment for the periods indicated (dollars in millions):

#### Property and Casualty Recovery Services Key Operating Indicators

	En	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2004	2003	
Contracts in Force, beginning of period	30	27	
Outsourcing(1) Referrals/Closed Claims(2)		3	
Terminations	(3)	(1)	
Contracts in Force, end of period	27	30	

- (1) Outsourcing refers to the full replacement of a client s internal subrogation recovery function by TransPaC Solutions, typically with a view to an ongoing relationship of indefinite period.
- (2) Referrals/ Closed Claims refers to project-related work assumed by TransPaC Solutions, typically with files transmitted by clients from time to time.

#### **Other Key Operating Indicators**

	End	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2004	2003	
Backlog(1)	\$16.9	\$16.4	
Claims recoveries	\$ 1.4	\$ 1.1	
Throughput(2)	7.9%	7.1%	
Effective fee rate	19.7%	20.3%	
Claims revenues	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.2	

- (1) Backlog is the total dollar amount of potentially recoverable claims that TransPac Solutions is pursuing on behalf of its clients at a given point in time.
- (2) Throughput equals claims recoveries for the period divided by the average of backlog at the beginning and end of the period. Three Months Ended March 31, 2004 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2003

Claims Revenues. Total Property and Casualty Recovery Services revenues for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 increased approximately \$42,000, or 18.3%, from the comparable quarter in 2003. Property and Casualty Recovery Services claims recoveries for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 increased \$0.3 million, or 27.3%, over the comparable quarter in 2003. This increase in recoveries was the result of a 3.0% increase in backlog between these period ends and an increase in throughput (i.e., recoveries as a

percentage of average backlog) to 7.9% for the first quarter of 2004 from 7.1% for the comparable period of 2003. The increase in throughput from the quarter ended March 31, 2003 is due to claims recoveries increasing at a higher rate than the average backlog.

The Property and Casualty Recovery Services effective fee rate for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 decreased to 19.7% from 20.3% for the comparable quarter of 2003. The decrease in fee rate was primarily attributable to the change in mix of referral and closed claim contracts, which typically bear higher fees, and full outsourcing contracts, which typically bear lower fees, that occurred during the past twelve months.

Cost of Revenues. Cost of revenues for the Property and Casualty Recovery Services segment increased 5.3% for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 to \$359,000, from \$341,000 for the comparable quarter in 2003. As a percentage of claims revenues, cost of revenues decreased to 132% for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 compared to 149% for the comparable quarter in 2003, primarily due to the growth in revenue described above.

Support Expenses. Support expenses for the Property and Casualty Recovery Services segment were \$0.1 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2004, the same as for the quarter ended March 31, 2003. Support expenses decreased as a percentage of claims revenues from 42.4% for the first quarter of 2003 to 39.1% for the comparable quarter in 2004. The decrease in support expenses as a percentage of revenues was due to the growth in revenue as described above while support expenses remained stable.

#### Software

#### Overview of Operations

The Company has developed a web-enabled subrogation software application. The Company sells this product as an application service provider ( ASP ), under the brand name Troveris , to participants in both the health insurance and benefits market and the P&C market which historically have not outsourced subrogation recoveries. The Company currently estimates that 40% to 50% of the private health insurance and health benefits markets do not outsource subrogation recoveries. In the public health insurance and benefits market (i.e., Medicaid and Medicare), the Company believes that there is virtually no outsourcing of subrogation recoveries because these programs typically rely on their claims administration contractors to provide subrogation services as part of a bundled service contract. In the P&C market, the Company believes that certain participants are less likely to outsource subrogation services. For example, the Company believes that mutual insurers have organizational and cultural biases against outsourcing and that larger P&C insurers have sufficient resources to develop relatively sophisticated internal departments. As of March 31, 2004, the Company had two software clients. One, a Cincinnati-based third party administrator of healthcare claims for self-funded employers, became a client in June 2002, and the second, a Blue Cross Blue Shield organization, became a client in late December 2003.

The Troveris marketing strategy combines the opportunity for an internal subrogation department to gain operating efficiency through the functionality of state-of-the-art desktop software and to leverage its ability to produce recoveries through the purchase of unbundled components (such as investigation, training, etc.) of the Company s traditional subrogation outsourcing services. The Troveris software application allows the Company to administer these customized relationships using the same proprietary processes as it uses for those customers who purchase turnkey subrogation outsourcing services.

In addition to being offered for sale as an on-line subrogation recovery system in an ASP model, Troveris also constitutes the systems platform for the Company s recovery operations. All of those operations are currently conducted on Troveris.

The Company is not aware of any competition in subrogation software in an ASP model for the healthcare payor industry, and it has identified only one large competitor in the P&C insurance industry. This competitor is partially owned and controlled by a major P&C insurer, and the Company believes that this relationship will reduce the ability of the competitor to sell its services to other P&C insurers.

#### Results of Operations

## Three Months Ended March 31, 2004 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2003

Revenues. Revenue for the Software segment decreased \$49,000, or 15.7%, for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 to \$264,000, from \$313,000 from the comparable quarter in 2003, due to a decrease in intercompany revenue earned from the Healthcare Recovery Services segment. This decrease was primarily a function of a lower intercompany charge to the Healthcare Recovery Services segment, which consumed less management and programming services from the Software segment in 2004 compared with 2003. All but \$22,000 and \$17,000 of the revenue in the quarters ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively, was derived from internal clients (i.e., the Healthcare Recovery Services and Property and Casualty Services segments).

Cost of Revenues. Cost of revenues for the Software segment for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 was \$242,000 compared to \$240,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2003. This includes \$229,000 and \$180,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively, of depreciation and amortization of software in service. Approximately \$13,000 and \$60,000 of the cost of revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively, relates to the support and maintenance of the software.

Support Expenses. For the three months ended March 31, 2004 the Software segment did not incur any support expenses compared to \$293,000 of support expenses for the quarter ended March 31, 2003. This was the result of a change in the allocation of labor expense for certain employees now assigned to the Healthcare Recovery Services segment. For the quarter ended March 31, 2003, the Software segment incurred approximately \$496,000 of expenditures in connection with the creation of new software products for the insurance industry, of which approximately \$203,000 was capitalized, resulting in net reported support expenses of \$293,000.

#### **Entire Company**

#### **Employees**

	Ma	March 31,	
	2004	2003	
Direct operations	414	514	
Support	127	139	
		_	
Total employees	541	653	

## Statements of Income as a Percentage of Revenues

	End	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2004	2003	
Claims revenues	100.0%	100.0%	
Cost of revenues	48.0	51.0	
Support expenses	31.6	27.4	
Depreciation and amortization	4.8	5.9	
Operating income	15.6	15.7	
Interest expense, net	(0.3)	(0.4)	
Income before income taxes	15.3	15.3	
Net income	9.2	9.2	

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization expense decreased 20.0% to \$0.8 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 from \$1.0 million for the same quarter in 2003. The decrease is due to several assets becoming fully depreciated following the quarter ended March 31, 2003. In addition, approximately \$229,000 and \$180,000 of depreciation and amortization related to the Software segment is

included in cost of revenues for the quarters ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively, because it is a direct cost of software in service.

Interest Income. Interest income was \$49,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 compared to \$50,000 for the prior year quarter.

*Interest Expense*. Interest expense totaled approximately \$103,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2004, a decrease of 14.9% from the quarter ended March 31, 2003. The decrease in interest expense for the quarter ended March 31, 2004, as compared with the same quarter in 2003, is due to fees related to the revolving credit facility incurred in 2003.

Tax. The Company accrued income taxes for the quarters ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 at a rate of 40.0%.

*Net Income.* Net income for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 of \$1.5 million or \$0.17 per diluted common share was the same as the quarter ended March 31, 2003, with decreases in expenses (described above) offsetting the decrease in revenue between the periods.

#### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

The Company s statements of cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 are summarized below:

	En	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2004	2003		
	(In the	ousands)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 453	\$1,512		
Net cash used in investing activities	(467)	(808)		
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	84	(857)		
Net (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 70	\$ (153)		

The Company had working capital of \$14.5 million at March 31, 2004, including cash and cash equivalents of \$11.2 million, compared with working capital of \$13.0 million at December 31, 2003. Working capital increased primarily because of an increase in the accounts receivable balance as of March 31, 2004 compared to December 31, 2003. Of this \$1.4 million increase, approximately 70% relates to revenue earned primarily from project-oriented focused audit work performed for a provider bill audit client in the quarter ended March 31, 2004. The remainder of the increase relates to healthcare subrogation services and falls within the normal quarter-to-quarter accounts receivable fluctuation for this product. The number of days sales outstanding in the receivable balance at March 31, 2004 is consistent with the Company s historical trends and management believes that collectability will be consistent with historical collections experience.

Net cash provided by operating activities was approximately \$0.5 million, a decrease of approximately \$1.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004, compared to the same quarter in 2003. The accounts receivable balance increased \$1.4 million during the quarter ended March 31, 2004, compared to a decrease of \$0.6 million during comparable quarter of 2003. This was partially offset by a reduction in the amount of accrued expenses, primarily bonuses, paid during the three months ended March 31, 2004, compared to the same quarter of 2003.

Net cash used in investing activities includes purchases of property and equipment. During the quarters ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, the Company capitalized approximately \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, of internally developed software.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2004 represents proceeds from the issuance of stock primarily from stock option exercises. Net cash used in financing activities for the

quarter ended March 31, 2003 reflects approximately \$0.9 million used to repurchase the Company s outstanding common stock.

On November 1, 2001, the Company entered into a revolving credit facility with National City Bank of Kentucky, Bank One Kentucky, N.A. and Fifth Third Bank (the Revolving Credit Facility). The Company is obligations under the Revolving Credit Facility are collateralized by substantially all of the Company is assets, subject to certain permitted exceptions. The Revolving Credit Facility carries a maximum borrowing capacity of \$40 million and will mature October 31, 2004. Principal amounts outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility bear interest at a variable rate based on the Prime Rate or Eurodollar Rate, as applicable, plus a pre-determined fixed margin. At March 31, 2004, the interest rate was 2.85% based on the one-month Eurodollar Rate plus the fixed margin. The Revolving Credit Facility contains customary covenants and events of default including, but not limited to, financial tests for interest coverage, net worth levels and leverage that may limit the Company is ability to pay dividends. The Company was in compliance with these covenants at March 31, 2004. It also contains a material adverse change clause. At March 31, 2004, \$4 million was outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility. (See Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 8 Derivatives and Note 9 Credit Facility.) The Company intends to pay this outstanding debt in full from available cash at the earlier of its maturity or the closing on the Proposed Transaction. See Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 16 Recent Developments.

At March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, the Company reported on its balance sheets, as a current asset, restricted cash of \$16.1 million and \$17.0 million, respectively. Restricted cash at March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 represented claims recoveries by the Company for its clients. At March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, the Company reported on its balance sheets, as a current liability, funds due clients of \$11.6 million and \$12.0 million, respectively, representing claims recoveries to be distributed to clients, net of the fee earned on such recoveries.

The Company believes that its available cash resources, together with the borrowings available under the Revolving Credit Facility, will be sufficient to meet its current operating requirements and acquisition and internal development activities.

#### **Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments**

The following summarizes the Company s contractual obligations at March 31, 2004, and the effect such obligations are expected to have on its liquidity and cash flows in future periods (in thousands):

	Total	Less Than 1 Year	1-3 Years	4-5 Years	More Than 5 Years
Contractual Obligations:					
Short-term borrowings	\$ 4,000	\$4,000	\$	\$	\$
Operating leases	9,530	2,277	4,449	2,581	223
Deferred compensation	270		205		65
Purchase obligations					
Total contractual obligations	\$13,800	\$6,277	\$4,654	\$2,581	\$288

#### **External Factors**

The business of recovering subrogation and other claims for healthcare payors is subject to a wide variety of external factors. Prominent among these are factors that would materially change the healthcare payment, fault-based liability or workers compensation systems. Examples of these factors include, but are not limited to, (1) the non-availability of recovery from such sources as property and casualty and workers compensation coverages, (2) law changes that limit the use of or access to claims and medical records, or (3) the ability of healthcare payors to recover related claims and audit medical records. Because the Company s profitability depends in large measure upon obtaining and using claims data and medical records, the non-availability or decrease in their availability could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Moreover, because the Company s revenues are derived from the recovery of the costs of medical treatment, material changes in such costs will tend to affect the Company s backlog or its rate of backlog growth, as well as its revenue or its rate of revenue growth. The healthcare industry, and particularly the business of healthcare payors, is subject to various external factors that may have the effect of significantly altering the costs of healthcare and the environment for the sale or delivery of medical claims recovery and cost containment services. The Company is unable to predict which of these factors, if any, could have a potentially material impact on healthcare payors and through them, the healthcare recovery and cost containment industry.

#### **Concentration of Clients**

The Company provides services to healthcare plans that as of March 31, 2004 covered approximately 39.9 million lives. The Company s clients are national and regional healthcare payors, large third-party administrators or self-insured corporations. The Company currently has one client, Wellpoint Health Network Inc., that individually accounts for more than 10% of the Company s revenue. It also has a former client, UnitedHealth Group (UHG), which historically accounted for more than 10% of the Company s revenue. For the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, UHG generated 19% and 28% of the Company s revenues, respectively. Wellpoint Health Network Inc. accounted for 21% and 15% of the Company s total revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively as well as 35% and 30% of the Company s accounts receivable balance at March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, respectively. Health Net accounted for 8% and 10% of the Company s accounts receivable balance at March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, respectively.

The Company s revenues are earned under written contracts with its clients that generally provide for contingency fees from recoveries under a variety of pricing regimes. The pricing arrangements offered by the Company to its clients include a fixed fee percentage, a fee percentage that declines as the number of lives covered by the client and subject to the Company s service increases and a fee percentage that varies with the Company s recovery performance.

The Company performs its services on a reasonable efforts basis and does not obligate itself to deliver any specific result. Contracts with its customers are generally terminable on 60 to 180 days notice by either party, although in a few cases the contracts extend over a period of years. The Company s contracts generally provide that in the event of termination, the Company is entitled to complete the recovery process on the existing backlog or to receive a cash payment designed to approximate the gross margin that would otherwise have been earned from the recovery on the backlog of the terminating client. On March 31, 2004, the Company had Healthcare Recovery Services segment backlog of \$1,497.4 million.

During 2002, UHG management informed the Company of its intention to terminate subrogation services with respect to all but 1.8 million lives of the 9.7 million lives then subject to the Company s services under a contract with UHG. UHG s termination of these services resulted from its decision to bring subrogation recovery services back inside UHG, where they are being performed by its Ingenix strategic business unit. The Company s contract with UHG expired in accordance with its terms on February 1, 2003, except with respect to the 1.8 million lives. In December 2003, UHG gave notice of the termination of the remaining business under contract, effective February 1, 2004. The Company expects to continue recovering on the backlog as to which UHG terminated the Company s services, a process that the Company expects will be completed in 5 to 6 years from the dates of termination.

### **Critical Accounting Policies**

The Company has identified critical accounting policies that, as a result of the judgments, uncertainties, uniqueness and complexities of the underlying accounting standards and operations involved, could result in material changes to its financial condition or results of operations under different conditions or using different assumptions. The Company believes its most significant accounting policies are related to the following areas, among others: revenue recognition, accounts receivable and collectibility, capitalization of software costs, valuation of long-lived and intangible assets, accrued expenses and common stock options. Details regarding

the Company s use of these policies and the related estimates are described more fully in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 19, 2004. During the first quarter of 2004, there have been no material changes to the Company s critical accounting policies that impacted the Company s financial condition or results of operations.

#### Stock Repurchase Plan

The Company s Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$20 million of the Company s Common Stock in the open market at prices per share deemed favorable by the Company. Shares may be repurchased using cash from operations and borrowed funds and may continue until such time as the Company has repurchased \$20 million of the Company s Common Stock or until it otherwise terminates the stock repurchase plan. The Company did not repurchase any shares of Common Stock during the three months ended March 31, 2004. From inception of the program through March 31, 2004, the Company repurchased 3,269,630 shares at a cost of \$14.5 million, or an average cost of \$4.43 per share. Except for 8,874 shares previously repurchased but reissued in connection with an employee restricted stock award, all of the reacquired shares of Common Stock through March 31, 2004 are reflected as treasury stock on the accompanying Balance Sheets.

#### Adoption of a Rights Plan

On February 12, 1999, the Board of Directors adopted a Stockholder Rights Plan and declared a dividend of one preferred stock purchase right (a Right ) for each outstanding share of Common Stock of the Company. The dividend was payable to stockholders of record on March 1, 1999. The Rights, which initially trade with the Common Stock, separate and become exercisable only upon the earlier to occur of (i) 10 days after the date (the Stock Acquisition Date ) of a public announcement that a person or group of affiliated persons has acquired 20% or more of the Common Stock (such person or group being hereinafter referred to as an Acquiring Person ) or (ii) 10 days (or such later date as the Board of Directors shall determine) after the commencement of, or announcement of an intention to make, a tender offer or exchange offer that could result in such person or group owning 20% or more of the Common Stock (the earlier of such dates being called the Distribution Date ). When exercisable, each Right initially entitles the registered holder to purchase from the Company one one-hundredth of a share of a newly created class of preferred stock of the Company at a purchase price of \$65 (the Purchase Price ). The Rights are redeemable for \$0.001 per Right at the option of the Board of Directors. The Rights expire on March 1, 2009.

If any person becomes an Acquiring Person, each holder of a Right will thereafter have the right (the Flip-In Right) to receive, in lieu of shares of preferred stock and upon payment of the Purchase Price, shares of Common Stock having a value equal to two times the Purchase Price of the Right. Also, if at any time on or after the Stock Acquisition Date, (i) the Company is acquired in a transaction in which the holders of all the outstanding shares of Common Stock immediately prior to the consummation of the transaction are not the holders of all of the surviving corporation s voting power, or (ii) more than 50% of the Company s assets, cash flow or earning power is sold or transferred other than in the ordinary course of business, then each holder of a Right shall thereafter have the right (the Flip-Over Right) to receive, in lieu of shares of preferred stock and upon exercise and payment of the Purchase Price, common shares of the acquiring company having a value equal to two times the Purchase Price. If a transaction would otherwise result in a holder having a Flip-In as well as a Flip-Over Right, then only the Flip-Over Right will be exercisable. If a transaction results in a holder having a Flip-Over Right subsequent to a transaction resulting in the holder having a Flip-In Right, a holder will have a Flip-Over Right only to the extent such holder s Flip-In Rights have not been exercised.

On February 19, 2004, in connection with the Proposed Transaction, the Company and National City Bank, as Rights Agent, executed a First Amendment to Rights Agreement, which amends the Stockholders Rights Plan and renders the Rights inapplicable to the transactions contemplated by the definitive agreement announced by the Company on February 20, 2004. See Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited) Note 16 Recent Developments .

#### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The Company is subject to interest rate risk arising from the impact of changes in interest rates on its variable rate Revolving Credit Facility, which matures October 31, 2004. The impact on earnings and the value of any debt on the Company s balance sheets are subject to change as a result of movements in market rates and prices. However, the Company does not expect changes in interest rates to have a material effect on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows in 2004. As of March 31, 2004, the Company had \$4.0 million outstanding under its Revolving Credit Facility. Through the interest rate swap contract the Company has entered into, the Company has fixed the interest rate on the entire \$4.0 million of the Revolving Credit Facility at 5.41% or 5.66% (contingent on the status of a financial ratio). Because of the Company s interest rate swap agreement, changes in interest rates would have no effect on the Company s interest expense on an annual basis. See Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited) Note 8 Derivatives and Note 9 Credit Facility and Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources .

#### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Based on their evaluation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934), as of a date within 90 days of the filing of this Form 10-Q, the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that these controls and procedures are effective. There have been no changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to the date of their evaluation, nor have there been any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. As a result, no corrective actions were required or undertaken.

24

#### PART II: OTHER INFORMATION

#### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company is engaged in the business of identifying and recovering subrogation and related claims of its clients, many of which arise in the context of personal injury lawsuits. As such, the Company operates in a litigation-intensive environment. Consequently, since its founding in 1988 the Company has been involved with many litigation matters related to its subrogation business, sometimes as a defendant and sometimes through a defendant client. The plaintiffs—attorneys attempting to defeat the clients—subrogation liens often threaten litigation against the Company and its clients as a negotiating tactic. Most of the lawsuits that have been filed against the Company or its clients concern the entitlement to recover a specific, individual subrogation claim or the amount of the subrogation claim. Typically, these actions do not ask for punitive damages, are not pled as class actions, and do not have wide implications with respect to the Company—s ongoing business practices.

To date, however, the Company has encountered eight noteworthy instances in addition to the pending lawsuits described under Current Litigation, in which lawsuits were filed against it or its clients that sought punitive damages, were pled as class actions, or otherwise made claims or requested relief that could have materially affected the Company s business practices. The risk profile for this sort of business practices litigation includes not only the usual considerations of the potential amount, effect, and likelihood of loss, but also specifically the potential for punitive damages and class certification, the possible effects of an adverse verdict on the Company s business practices, and the likelihood of specific plaintiffs attorneys bringing similar actions in other jurisdictions.

Each of these cases has been completely resolved, by decision of a court or settlement by the parties, but prior to resolution the Company did not regard all of these cases as being material in and of themselves. In management s opinion, these eight cases share a common profile with each other and with the lawsuits described below under the caption Current Litigation .

Five of the eight lawsuits named the Company as a defendant and were pled as class actions. Two of these five cases, one in federal court and the other in state court, alleged that the Company violated state and federal laws on fair debt collection practices. In the state court action, the court granted the Company s motion for summary judgment on all claims in the complaint, which the court of appeals affirmed. In the federal court action, the Company settled the matter, prior to the court s ruling on the Company s motion for summary judgment, for a nominal amount.

Three of those five lawsuits, pled as class actions and naming the Company as a defendant, were filed in federal court and charged the Company with a variety of violations of laws and sought punitive damages. The complaints alleged, among other things, that the Company committed negligence, fraud and breach of its duties under ERISA by attempting to recover and actually recovering, by subrogation, the reasonable value of medical benefits which were provided by the Company s clients under capitation or discounted-fee-for-service arrangements. One of these lawsuits was dismissed in a ruling on the merits. Another was settled, after the court denied class certification, for a nominal amount paid by the Company s client, a co-defendant in the case. The third case, *DeGarmo et al. v. Healthcare Recoveries, Inc.*, was concluded in mid-July 2001 for a settlement payment of \$3 million and nonmonetary terms that management regards as immaterial to the Company s ongoing business.

The remaining three lawsuits did not name the Company as a defendant. These three lawsuits did, however, involve the Company s clients and implicate important Company business practices. The complaints in these cases alleged, among other things, violation of state law with respect to the payment of plaintiffs attorneys fees and unfair trade practices, violation of the federal Health Maintenance Organization Act of 1973, misrepresentation of the rightful amounts of subrogation claims, and impermissible enforcement of recovery rights. Two of these cases resulted in judgments in favor of the Company s clients after litigation of the merits before trial and appellate courts. The other case was settled for an immaterial amount.

Management believes that the lawsuits described above will not, as a general matter, have precedential value for either the cases described below under the caption Current Litigation or for any future litigation

matters (all these cases being referred to as the Pending and Potential Cases ). Indeed, the courts hearing the Pending and Potential Cases may not even become aware of the outcomes in the eight lawsuits described above. Management expects that each of the Pending and Potential Cases will be decided on its own merits under the relevant state and federal laws, which will vary from case to case and jurisdiction to jurisdiction. The descriptions of the outcomes in the eight cases dealing with business practices are included here in order to describe the context for these kinds of litigation and the Company s relative successes in handling past business practices litigation, but are not necessarily predictive of the outcomes of any of the Pending and Potential Cases.

Moreover, there can be no assurance that the Company will not be subject to further class action litigation similar to that described below under the caption Current Litigation, that existing and/or future class action litigation against the Company and its clients will not consume significant management time and/or attention or that the cost of defending and resolving such litigation will not be material.

#### **Current Litigation**

#### Cajas et al. v. Prudential Health Care Plan and Healthcare Recoveries

On October 28, 1999, a class action plaintiff s Original Petition (Petition) was filed against the Company and one of the Company s clients in the District Court for the 150th Judicial District, Bexar County, Texas, Joseph R. Cajas, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated v. Prudential Health Care Plan, Inc. and Healthcare Recoveries, Inc. The plaintiff asserts that the Company s subrogation recovery efforts on behalf of its client Prudential Health Care Plan, Inc. ( Prudential ) violated a number of common law duties, as well as the Texas Insurance Code and the Texas Business and Commerce Code. The Petition alleges that the Company, as the subrogation agent for Prudential, made fraudulent misrepresentations in the course of unlawfully pursuing subrogation and reimbursement claims that the plaintiff asserts are unenforceable because (1) prepaid medical service plans may not exercise rights of subrogation and reimbursement; (2) the subrogation and reimbursement claims asserted by the Company are not supported by contract documents that provide enforceable recovery rights and/or do not adequately describe the recovery rights; and (3) the sums recovered pursuant to such claims unlawfully exceed the amount Prudential paid for medical goods and services. The Company was served with the Petition in November 1999, and has answered, denying all allegations. After the defendants filed a motion for summary judgment in January 2002, the plaintiff moved the court to delay consideration of the motion until the plaintiff could complete additional discovery. The plaintiff s motion to delay consideration was granted. On October 25, 2002, the plaintiff filed an amended petition naming one additional plaintiff as a purported class representative. The amended petition did not add any new claims. The defendants filed a motion for summary judgment on January 24, 2003, and the plaintiffs filed a cross motion for summary judgment. On February 25, 2003, a state court judge denied the defendants motion for summary judgment that the defendants were entitled to enforce the terms of Prudential s policies. The same judge granted the plaintiffs partial motion for summary judgment to the effect that Prudential could not recover more than its costs. That ruling is not final nor yet appealable. The plaintiffs have not yet moved the court to certify the case as a class action.

### Martin et al. v. Companion Health Care and Healthcare Recoveries

In December 1999, a purported class action complaint ( Complaint ) was filed against the Company and one of the Company s clients in the Court of Common Pleas of Richland County, South Carolina, *Estalita Martin et al. vs. Companion Health Care Corp.*, and Healthcare Recoveries, Inc. In January 2000, the defendant Companion Healthcare Corp. ( CHC ) filed an Answer and Counterclaim and the plaintiff Martin filed a First Amended Complaint ( Amended Complaint ). The Amended Complaint asserts that the Company s subrogation recovery efforts on behalf of its client, CHC, violated a number of common law duties, as well as the South Carolina Unfair Trade Practices Act. The Amended Complaint alleges that the Company, as the subrogation agent for CHC, made fraudulent misrepresentations in the course of unlawfully pursuing subrogation and reimbursement claims that the plaintiffs assert are unenforceable because (1) prepaid medical service plans may not exercise rights of subrogation and reimbursement; (2) the subrogation and reimbursement claims asserted by the Company are not supported by contract documents that provide

enforceable recovery rights and/or do not adequately describe the recovery rights; and (3) the sums recovered pursuant to such claims unlawfully exceed the amount CHC was entitled to collect for such medical goods and services. The Amended Complaint further alleges that the Company and CHC unlawfully pursued subrogation and reimbursement claims by (1) failing to pay pro rata costs and attorney s fees to attorneys who represented purported class members with respect to tort claims underlying the subrogation and reimbursement claims; and (2) failing to include in subrogation and reimbursement claims all applicable discounts that CHC received for such medical goods and services. The plaintiffs, on behalf of the purported class, demand compensatory damages, punitive damages, and treble damages, disgorgement of unjust profits, costs, prejudgment interest and attorneys fees. The Company was served with the original Complaint in late December 1999 and answered denying all allegations. The Company filed a motion to dismiss in August 2000 and in June 2001 the court granted the Company s motion to dismiss. The plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal in July 2001. On March 1, 2004, the Court of Appeals of South Carolina filed an order and opinion affirming the trial court s order dismissing the case. On April 22, 2004 the Court of Appeals denied plaintiff s motion for a rehearing. The time period in which the plaintiff may pursue a further appeal to the South Carolina Supreme Court has not expired.

#### Hamilton v. Healthcare Recoveries

On March 12, 2001, a Complaint ( Complaint ) was filed against the Company in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana, in a putative class action brought by Kyle M. Hamilton. In that action, Hamilton v. Healthcare Recoveries, Inc., No. 01-650, the plaintiff asserts that the Company s subrogation recovery efforts on behalf of its clients violate certain Louisiana state laws, the federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act and the Louisiana Unfair Trade Practices Act. The Complaint alleges that the Company intentionally and negligently interfered with the plaintiff s and the putative class members rights to settle certain personal injury claims. The Complaint further alleges that the Company unlawfully pursued subrogation and reimbursement claims that the plaintiff asserts are unenforceable because the clauses in the Company s clients coverage documents that create such recovery rights are rendered null and void by Louisiana statutes that generally prohibit coordination of benefits with individually underwritten insurance coverages. The plaintiff purports to represent a class consisting of all persons covered under group health policies that were issued or delivered in the State of Louisiana and who received any communication from the Company attempting to enforce any clauses that allegedly were rendered null and void by Louisiana law. The plaintiff seeks on behalf of the purported class compensatory and statutory damages, interest, costs, attorneys fees and such additional damages and relief as may be allowed by any applicable law. In July 2001, the court granted a motion for summary judgment filed by the Company as concerned the plaintiff s Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA) claim, dismissing those claims with prejudice. The court denied the Company s motion for summary judgment, without prejudice to the right of the Company to reassert its motion, with respect to the plaintiff s state law claims. The court ordered that the parties submit memoranda addressing whether the court still had subject matter jurisdiction, given dismissal of the federal claim. In August 2001, the court ruled that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction, thus dismissing the remaining claims, without prejudice. The plaintiff filed an appeal to the United States Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals. In November 2002, the Court of Appeals rendered its opinion reversing the dismissal of the FDCPA claims. The court also affirmed the trial court s determination that diversity jurisdiction did not exist in the case. The court remanded the case to the federal district court for further proceedings. On April 29, 2003, the Company filed a Petition for Writ of Certiorari asking the U.S. Supreme Court to accept an appeal of the Court of Appeals ruling. The Supreme Court denied the Petition on June 9, 2003.

After the case was remanded to the trial court, the Company filed a second Motion for Summary Judgment. On May 13, 2003, the Court entered an Order granting the Company s Motion for Summary Judgment, dismissing with prejudice the Plaintiff s claims under the FDCPA and dismissing without prejudice the Plaintiff s remaining state law claims. On May 27, 2003, the plaintiff filed a Notice of Appeal to the United States Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, which heard oral argument of the appeal on December 3, 2003. The Court has not yet ruled on the appeal.

The Company disputes the plaintiff s allegations regarding the applicability of the FDCPA and intends to vigorously defend its position in this case.

In addition to filing the appeal in federal court, the *Hamilton* plaintiff in October 2001 filed a new complaint in the Civil District Court for the Parish of Orleans, Louisiana, in a putative class action styled *Hamilton v. Healthcare Recoveries, Inc.*, 2001-15989. This state court action asserts claims substantially similar to those in the federal court action. In November 2001, the Company filed preliminary exceptions to this new complaint. There were no further proceedings in the case until March 2003 when the Company filed a motion to stay any further proceedings in that case due to the related case pending in federal court. On April 25, 2003, the state court entered an order granting the Company s motion to stay the lawsuit. Thereafter, the Company removed the case from state court to Federal court on August 5, 2003. On September 4, 2003, the plaintiff filed a Motion to Remand the case back to state court, which motion was granted on November 21, 2003. Thus, the case remains in the state court.

#### Bruun et al. v. Prudential Health Care Plan, Prudential Insurance Company of America, Aetna, Inc. and Trover Solutions

On October 30, 2002, plaintiffs counsel in the *Cajas* and *Franks* cases filed a class action lawsuit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey on behalf of two Texas residents against the Company, Prudential Insurance Company, one of the Company s clients, PruCare HMO, a subsidiary of Prudential Insurance Company, and Aetna, a company which had acquired the business of another of the Company s clients. The complaint was served on the Company on February 27, 2003.

In the complaint, plaintiffs Kimberly Bruun and Ashley Emanis, on behalf of themselves and similarly situated persons, asserted claims on behalf of a putative nationwide class of persons who were members of PruCare HMO health plans governed by ERISA from whom the Company, under its contract with the client, recovered reimbursement. The complaint alleged that reimbursement recoveries made by PruCare HMO and the Company violate the terms of the standard PruCare HMO plan documents, and that reimbursement recoveries violate the Conformity with Law provision in the standard plan documents because subrogation and reimbursement are prohibited under the federal HMO Act. The complaint further alleged that the defendants subrogation and reimbursement recoveries resulted in a double recovery to PruCare HMO because PruCare HMO did not account for subrogation and reimbursement recoveries as offsets to expenses when setting premium rates. The complaint further alleged that the defendants improperly recovered in subrogation or reimbursement for services provided by capitated providers, or that in the alternative, the defendants improperly recovered more for capitated services than was paid for the services, or alternatively, that the defendants improperly collected amounts that exceeded the reasonable cash value of capitated services. The plaintiffs allege that PruCare HMO, Prudential, Aetna and the Company are fiduciaries and that they each have breached their fiduciary duty to the plaintiffs. Alternatively, the plaintiffs allege that if Aetna, Prudential and the Company are not fiduciaries, that they knowingly participated in PruCare HMO s breach of fiduciary duty.

The plaintiffs, on behalf of the class, demand enforcement of the plan documents under certain sections of ERISA. The plaintiffs also demand restitution and disgorgement of sums recovered by defendants and the establishment of a constructive trust. The plaintiffs also demand an accounting of PruCare HMO s and Aetna s rate documents, the subrogation and reimbursement claims for capitated services, and/or the actual costs paid by PruCare HMO and Aetna for the capitated services.

On April 16, 2003, the defendants filed motions seeking to dismiss the lawsuit or to change the venue of the lawsuit to a federal court in Texas. On October 16, 2003, the court entered an order granting the defendants motions to dismiss and dismissing all of the plaintiffs claims. On November 13, 2003, the plaintiffs filed a Notice of Appeal to the United States Third Circuit Court of Appeals. The plaintiffs filed their appellate brief on February 23, 2004. The Company filed its appellate brief on March 24, 2004. The Third Circuit has not issued a ruling.

#### Godair v. American Home Assurance Company, Trover Solutions, and HMO Partners

On March 14, 2003, Lawrence Godair, the plaintiff in a putative class action against a motor vehicle insurer, amended his complaint to add the Company, and a client of the company, HMO Partners, as defendants in Lawrence Godair v. American Home Assurance Company, Trover Solutions, Inc. and HMO Partners, Inc., No. 4:02 CV 00407 SMR, United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas, Western Division. On December 12, 2003, the plaintiff again amended his complaint to add additional causes of action. In the third amended complaint (the Amended Complaint ), the plaintiff makes allegations on behalf of himself and a purported class of others similarly situated. The complaint asserts that the Company, as subrogation agent for HMO Partners, unlawfully demanded payment of a subrogation claim against proceeds of a medical payments insurance policy issued to the plaintiff by American Home. The Amended Complaint also alleges that the Company was unjustly enriched because the plaintiff was not fully compensated ( made whole ) for his injuries in violation of the Arkansas no-fault motor vehicle insurance statute and because the payment constituted a double recovery to the Company and to HMO Partners, in violation of the Arkansas Health Maintenance Organizations Act. The Amended Complaint further alleges that in recovering the subrogation claim the Company acted negligently, that it interfered with the plaintiff s contractual relationship with the motor vehicle insurer and that the Company may be directly or vicariously liable for the acts of other defendants, The Amended Complaint includes additional causes of action against the Company for interference with a contractual relationship, violation of the Arkansas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, fraud, breach of contract, conversion and improperly recovering amounts in excess of the cost of providing the health benefits that are the subject of subrogation claims. The Amended Complaint demands relief on behalf of a purported class of persons who purchased medical payments coverage as required by the Arkansas no-fault motor vehicle insurance statute and who were entitled to but did not receive benefits under such policies due to the payment of those benefits to third parties, including the Company and HMO Partners. The Court has not yet considered whether to certify a class of plaintiffs. The Amended Complaint demands compensatory and punitive damages, declaratory and injunctive relief, 12% statutory penalties, costs, expenses, interest and attorneys fees.

The Company filed an Answer to the Amended Complaint denying the plaintiff s claims on December 30, 2003. The Company intends to vigorously defend itself against these allegations. On April 21, 2004, the company filed a motion for partial judgment on the pleadings seeking dismissal of some, but not all, of the Plaintiff s claims. The court has not yet ruled on the motion. The Company and the plaintiff are currently engaged in the discovery process.

The *Cajas, Martin* or *Bruun* lawsuits, or any one of them, if successful, could prevent the Company from recovering the reasonable value of medical treatment under discounted fee for service (DFS), capitation and other payment arrangements. The *Cajas, Martin, Hamilton*, and *Bruun* lawsuits, or any one or more of them, if successful, could require the Company to refund, on behalf of its clients, recoveries in a material number of cases. In addition, an adverse outcome in any of the above referenced lawsuits could impair materially the Company s ability to assert subrogation or reimbursement claims on behalf of its clients in the future. Based on the current disposition of these lawsuits, the Company regards such an adverse outcome to be a remote possibility.

In terms of the Company s business practices and the allegations underlying the *Cajas, Martin* and *Bruun* cases, at the end of 1993 the Company had ceased the practice of recovering the reasonable value of medical treatment provided by medical providers under DFS arrangements with the Company s clients. From that date, the Company s policy has been not to recover the reasonable value of medical treatment in DFS arrangements. However, the Company historically and currently recovers the reasonable value of medical treatment provided under capitation arrangements and other payment arrangements with medical providers on behalf of those clients that compensate medical providers under these payment mechanisms, to the extent that these benefits are related to treatment of the injuries as to which clients have recovery rights. The Company believes that its clients—contracts, including the contracts that provide for recovery under DFS, capitation and other payment arrangements are enforceable under the laws potentially applicable in these cases. As a result, and taking into account the underlying facts in each of these cases, the Company believes it has meritorious grounds to defend these lawsuits, it intends to defend the cases vigorously, and it believes that the defense and

ultimate resolution of the lawsuits should not have a material adverse effect upon the business, results of operations or financial condition of the Company. Nevertheless, if any of these lawsuits or one or more other lawsuits seeking relief under similar theories were to be successful, it is likely that such resolution would have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, results of operations and financial condition.

Management of the Company has observed that, in parallel with widely-reported legislative concerns with the healthcare payment system, there also has occurred an increase in litigation, actual and threatened, including class actions brought by nationally prominent attorneys, directed at healthcare payors and related parties. As a result of the foregoing, there can be no assurance that the Company will not be subject to further class action litigation, that existing and/or future class action litigation against the Company and its clients will not consume significant management time and/or attention or that the cost of defending and resolving such litigation will not be material.

#### Item 2. Changes in Securities, Use of Proceeds and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

On February 19, 2004, in connection with the Proposed Transaction, the Company and National City Bank, as Rights Agent, executed a First Amendment to Rights Agreement, which amends the Stockholders Rights Plan and renders the Rights inapplicable to the transactions contemplated by the definitive agreement announced by the Company on February 20, 2004. See Part I: Financial Information. Item 2.

Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Adoption of a Rights Plan.

## Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

(a) Exhibits

The following list of Exhibits includes both exhibits submitted with this Form 10-Q as filed with the Commission and those incorporated by reference to other filings:

2.1	Merger Agreement, dated as of February 19, 2004, by and among the Registrant, TSI Holding Co., Inc. and TSI Acquisition Co., Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit A of Registrant s Preliminary Proxy Statement for a
	Special Meeting, dated March 11, 2004).
3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2002).
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000).
4.1	Specimen Common Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of Registrant s Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1, File No. 333-23287).
4.2	Rights Agreement, dated February 12, 1999, between the Registrant and National City Bank of Kentucky, as Rights Agent, which includes as Exhibit A the Form of Certificate of Designations of the Preferred Stock, as Exhibit B the Form of Right Certificate and as Exhibit C the Summary of Rights to Purchase Preferred Stock (incorporated by
	reference to Exhibit 4.1 of Registrant s Form 8-A, filed February 16, 1999, File No. 0-22585).
4.3	First Amendment to Rights Agreement, dated February 19, 2004, between the Registrant and National City Bank, as Rights Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 of Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003.
10.1	Employment Agreement, dated February 19, 2004, by and between TSI Holding Co., Inc. and Patrick B. McGinnis.
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act as adopted under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act as adopted under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Certifications pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
 Trover Solutions, Inc. Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 Safe Harbor Compliance Statement for

Trover Solutions, Inc. Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 Safe Harbor Compliance Statement for Forward-Looking Statements (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003).

#### (b) Reports on Form 8-K

Item Reported	Date Furnished
Items 7, 9 and 12 Text of Earnings Release and Slide Show Presentation Items 5 and 7 Text of Press Release and Merger Agreement	February 4, 2004 February 20, 2004

## **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

TROVER SOLUTIONS, INC.

Date: May 14, 2004 /s/ PATRICK B. MCGINNIS

Patrick B. McGinnis Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: May 14, 2004 /s/ DOUGLAS R. SHARPS

Douglas R. Sharps

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Principal Financial and Accounting Officer

32