

COLUMBIA BANKING SYSTEM INC
Form 10-Q
August 06, 2012
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012.

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission File Number 0-20288

COLUMBIA BANKING SYSTEM, INC.
(Exact name of issuer as specified in its charter)

Washington 91-1422237
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization) Identification Number)

1301 "A" Street 98402-2156
Tacoma, Washington (Zip Code)
(Address of principal executive offices)
(253) 305-1900
(Issuer's telephone number, including area code)
(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares of common stock outstanding at July 31, 2012 was 39,669,290.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets – June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011</u>	1
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income - three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	2
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income - three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity - six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	5
<u>Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	29
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	48
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	48
PART II — OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	49
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	49
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	49
Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	49
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	49
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	49
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	49
<u>Signatures</u>	50

Table of Contents

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

Columbia Banking System, Inc.

(Unaudited)

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
ASSETS	(in thousands)	
Cash and due from banks	\$98,940	\$91,364
Interest-earning deposits with banks	270,873	202,925
Total cash and cash equivalents	369,813	294,289
Securities available for sale at fair value (amortized cost of \$956,636 and \$987,560, respectively)	997,763	1,028,110
Federal Home Loan Bank stock at cost	22,215	22,215
Loans held for sale	2,088	2,148
Loans, excluding covered loans, net of unearned income of (\$11,666) and (\$16,217), respectively	2,436,961	2,348,371
Less: allowance for loan and lease losses	52,196	53,041
Loans, excluding covered loans, net	2,384,765	2,295,330
Covered loans, net of allowance for loan losses of (\$31,784) and (\$4,944), respectively	462,994	531,929
Total loans, net	2,847,759	2,827,259
FDIC loss-sharing asset	140,003	175,071
Interest receivable	15,560	15,287
Premises and equipment, net	116,400	107,899
Other real estate owned (\$19,079 and \$28,126 covered by FDIC loss-share, respectively)	33,004	51,019
Goodwill	115,554	115,554
Core deposit intangible, net	17,896	20,166
Other assets	111,358	126,928
Total assets	\$4,789,413	\$4,785,945
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Deposits:		
Noninterest-bearing	\$1,159,462	\$1,156,610
Interest-bearing	2,671,355	2,658,919
Total deposits	3,830,817	3,815,529
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	113,145	119,009
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	25,000	25,000
Other liabilities	61,739	67,069
Total liabilities	4,030,701	4,026,607
Commitments and contingent liabilities		
Shareholders' equity:		
	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Common stock (no par value)		
Authorized shares	63,033	63,033
Issued and outstanding	39,655	39,506
Retained earnings	580,358	579,136
	152,519	155,069

Edgar Filing: COLUMBIA BANKING SYSTEM INC - Form 10-Q

Accumulated other comprehensive income	25,835	25,133
Total shareholders' equity	758,712	759,338
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$4,789,413	\$4,785,945

See accompanying Notes to unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

1

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

Columbia Banking System, Inc.

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in thousands except per share amounts)			
Interest Income				
Loans	\$54,498	\$44,362	\$116,275	\$91,791
Taxable securities	4,951	6,247	10,196	10,664
Tax-exempt securities	2,495	2,516	5,020	4,983
Federal funds sold and deposits in banks	170	184	335	482
Total interest income	62,114	53,309	131,826	107,920
Interest Expense				
Deposits	1,561	2,848	3,340	5,927
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	734	714	1,484	1,408
Long-term obligations	—	253	—	504
Other borrowings	118	119	238	257
Total interest expense	2,413	3,934	5,062	8,096
Net Interest Income	59,701	49,375	126,764	99,824
Provision for loan and lease losses	3,750	2,150	8,250	2,150
Provision for losses on covered loans	11,688	2,301	27,373	1,879
Net interest income after provision for loan and lease losses	44,263	44,924	91,141	95,795
Noninterest Income (Loss)				
Service charges and other fees	7,436	6,467	14,613	12,755
Merchant services fees	2,095	1,808	4,113	3,441
Gain on sale of investment securities, net	—	—	62	—
Bank owned life insurance	719	528	1,430	1,033
Change in FDIC loss-sharing asset	(168) (6,419) (1,836) (21,193
Other	1,746	1,158	3,020	2,087
Total noninterest income (loss)	11,828	3,542	21,402	(1,877
Noninterest Expense				
Compensation and employee benefits	20,966	19,459	42,961	38,380
Occupancy	5,091	4,388	10,424	8,785
Merchant processing	930	905	1,803	1,788
Advertising and promotion	1,119	1,012	2,001	1,913
Data processing and communications	2,551	1,913	4,764	3,837
Legal and professional fees	1,829	1,498	3,438	2,911
Taxes, licenses and fees	1,115	907	2,470	1,772
Regulatory premiums	925	1,279	1,785	2,979
Net cost (benefit) of operation of other real estate owned	(377) 214	533	(228
Amortization of intangibles	1,119	955	2,269	1,939
FDIC clawback liability (recovery)	(208) 448	(234) 2,148
Other	4,765	4,186	11,963	8,286
Total noninterest expense	39,825	37,164	84,177	74,510
Income before income taxes	16,266	11,302	28,366	19,408
Income tax provision	4,367	2,670	7,565	4,997
Net Income	\$11,899	\$8,632	\$20,801	\$14,411
Earnings per common share				

Edgar Filing: COLUMBIA BANKING SYSTEM INC - Form 10-Q

Basic	\$0.30	\$0.22	\$0.52	\$0.37
Diluted	\$0.30	\$0.22	\$0.52	\$0.36
Dividends paid per common share	\$0.22	\$0.05	\$0.59	\$0.08
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	39,260	39,107	39,228	39,073
Weighted average number of diluted common shares outstanding	39,308	39,166	39,306	39,159

See accompanying Notes to unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Columbia Banking System, Inc.

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
	(in thousands)	
Net income as reported	\$11,899	\$8,632
Net unrealized holding gain from available for sale securities arising during the period, net of tax of (\$840) and (\$3,641)	2,370	6,467
Amortization of unrecognized net actuarial loss included in net periodic pension cost, net of tax of (\$17) and (\$8)	3	14
Total comprehensive income	\$14,272	\$15,113
	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
	(in thousands)	
Net income as reported	\$20,801	\$14,411
Unrealized gain from securities:		
Net unrealized holding gain from available for sale securities arising during the period, net of tax of \$87 and (\$4,925)	725	8,780
Reclassification adjustment of net gain from sale of available for sale securities included in income, net of tax of \$23 and \$0	(39)) —
Net unrealized gain from securities, net of reclassification adjustment	686	8,780
Cash flow hedging instruments:		
Reclassification adjustment of net gain included in income, net of tax of \$0 and \$79	—	(143)
Net change in cash flow hedging instruments	—	(143)
Pension plan liability adjustment:		
Net unrealized gain from unfunded defined benefit plan liability arising during the period, net of tax of \$0 and \$154	—	(261)
Amortization of unrecognized net actuarial loss included in net periodic pension cost, net of tax of (\$24) and (\$16)	16	28
Pension plan liability adjustment, net	16	(233)
Total comprehensive income	\$21,503	\$22,815

See accompanying Notes to unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Columbia Banking System, Inc.

(Unaudited)

	Common Stock		Retained	Accumulated	Total
	Number of	Amount	Earnings	Other	Shareholders'
	Shares			Comprehensive	Equity
				Income	
	(in thousands)				
Balance at January 1, 2011	39,338	\$576,905	\$117,692	\$12,281	\$706,878
Net income	—	—	14,411	—	14,411
Other comprehensive income	—	—	—	8,404	8,404
Issuance of common stock - stock option and other plans	25	410	—	—	410
Issuance of common stock - restricted stock awards, net of canceled awards	114	763	—	—	763
Purchase and retirement of common stock	(2)	(32)	—	—	(32)
Cash dividends paid on common stock	—	—	(3,154)	—	(3,154)
Balance at June 30, 2011	39,475	\$578,046	\$128,949	\$20,685	\$727,680
Balance at January 1, 2012	39,506	\$579,136	\$155,069	\$25,133	\$759,338
Net income	—	—	20,801	—	20,801
Other comprehensive income	—	—	—	702	702
Issuance of common stock - stock option and other plans	19	314	—	—	314
Issuance of common stock - restricted stock awards, net of canceled awards	130	908	—	—	908
Cash dividends paid on common stock	—	—	(23,351)	—	(23,351)
Balance at June 30, 2012	39,655	\$580,358	\$152,519	\$25,835	\$758,712

See accompanying Notes to unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Columbia Banking System, Inc.

(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011 (1)
	(in thousands)	
Cash Flows From Operating Activities		
Net Income	\$20,801	\$14,411
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities		
Provision for loan and lease losses and losses on covered loans	35,623	4,029
Stock-based compensation expense	908	763
Depreciation, amortization and accretion	30,478	28,529
Net realized gain on sale of securities	(62)) —
Net realized gain on sale of other assets	(41)) (3)
Net realized gain on sale of other real estate owned	(6,277)) (5,455)
Gain on termination of cash flow hedging instruments	—) (222)
Write-down on other real estate owned	5,812	4,559
Net change in:		
Loans held for sale	60	99
Interest receivable	(273)) (1,940)
Interest payable	(275)) (1)
Other assets	(7,424)) (203)
Other liabilities	(4,945)) (2,045)
Net cash provided by operating activities	74,385	42,521
Cash Flows From Investing Activities		
Loans originated and acquired, net of principal collected	(63,362)) (27,829)
Purchases of:		
Securities available for sale	(87,346)) (269,966)
Premises and equipment	(11,630)) (2,388)
Proceeds from:		
FDIC reimbursement on loss-sharing asset	34,313	44,892
Sales of securities available for sale	3,845	—
Principal repayments and maturities of securities available for sale	108,517	60,247
Disposal of premises and equipment	9	20
Sales of covered other real estate owned	18,381	11,081
Sales of other real estate and other personal property owned	11,899	7,874
Capital improvements on other real estate properties	(11)) (468)
Decrease in Small Business Administration secured borrowings	—) (642)
Net cash acquired in business combinations	—	39,010
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	14,615	(138,169)
Cash Flows From Financing Activities		
Net increase (decrease) in deposits	15,288	(134,906)
Proceeds from:		
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	—	100
Federal Reserve Bank borrowings	—	100
Exercise of stock options	314	410
Payment for:		
Repayment of Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(5,727)) (11,401)
Repayment of Federal Reserve Bank borrowings	—) (100)

Edgar Filing: COLUMBIA BANKING SYSTEM INC - Form 10-Q

Common stock dividends	(23,351)	(3,154)
Purchase and retirement of common stock	—		(32)
Net cash used in financing activities	(13,476)	(148,983)
Increase (Decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	75,524		(244,631)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	294,289		514,130	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$369,813		\$269,499	
Supplemental Information:				
Cash paid during the year for:				
Cash paid for interest	\$5,337		\$8,097	
Cash paid for income tax	\$—		\$—	
Non-cash investing activities				
Assets acquired in FDIC-assisted acquisitions (excluding cash and cash equivalents)	\$—		\$257,104	
Liabilities assumed in FDIC-assisted acquisitions	\$—		\$296,114	
Loans transferred to other real estate owned	\$11,789		\$8,240	

(1) Reclassified to conform to the current period's presentation.
See accompanying Notes to unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Columbia Banking System, Inc.

1. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The interim unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, and its wholly owned banking subsidiary Columbia Bank (the "Bank"). All intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated in consolidation. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented have been included. The results of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of results to be anticipated for the year ending December 31, 2012. The accompanying interim unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and related notes contained in the Company's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Significant Accounting Policies

The significant accounting policies used in preparation of our consolidated financial statements are disclosed in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Other than as discussed below, there have not been any changes in our significant accounting policies compared to those contained in our 2011 Form 10-K disclosure for the year ended December 31, 2011.

2. Accounting Pronouncements Recently Issued

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued ASU 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") and International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") (Topic 820). ASU 2011-04 developed common requirements between GAAP and IFRS for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements. The Company adopted this ASU during the first quarter of 2012 with no impact on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

3. Securities

The following table summarizes the amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and the resulting fair value of securities available for sale:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
	(in thousands)			
June 30, 2012				
U.S. government agency and government-sponsored enterprise mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations	\$601,460	\$20,484	\$(666)) \$621,278
State and municipal securities	258,774	20,231	(198)) 278,807
U.S. government agency and government-sponsored enterprise securities	93,092	1,211	(9)) 94,294
Other securities	3,310	105	(31)) 3,384
Total	\$956,636	\$42,031	\$(904)) \$997,763
December 31, 2011				
U.S. government agency and government-sponsored enterprise mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations	\$678,631	\$19,323	\$(2,000)) \$695,954
State and municipal securities	263,075	22,746	(58)) 285,763
U.S. government agency and government-sponsored enterprise securities	42,558	505	—) 43,063

Edgar Filing: COLUMBIA BANKING SYSTEM INC - Form 10-Q

Other securities	3,296	64	(30) 3,330
Total	\$987,560	\$42,638	\$(2,088) \$1,028,110

6

Table of Contents

The scheduled contractual maturities of investment securities available for sale at June 30, 2012 are presented as follows:

	June 30, 2012	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
	(in thousands)	
Due within one year	\$18,270	\$18,553
Due after one year through five years	139,044	142,225
Due after five years through ten years	169,482	178,051
Due after ten years	626,530	655,550
Other securities with no stated maturity	3,310	3,384
Total investment securities available-for-sale	\$956,636	\$997,763

The following table summarizes, as of June 30, 2012, the carrying value of securities pledged as collateral to secure public deposits, borrowings and other purposes as permitted or required by law:

	Carrying Amount
	(in thousands)
To Washington and Oregon State to secure public deposits	\$266,499
To Federal Home Loan Bank to secure advances	80,890
To Federal Reserve Bank to secure borrowings	51,454
Other securities pledged	49,014
Total securities pledged as collateral	\$447,857

The following tables show the gross unrealized losses and fair value of the Company's investments with unrealized losses that are not deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or More		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
	(in thousands)					
June 30, 2012						
U.S. government agency and government-sponsored enterprise mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations	\$85,516	\$(664)	\$66	\$(2)	\$85,582	\$(666)
State and municipal securities	9,173	(198)	—	—	9,173	(198)
U.S. government agency and government-sponsored enterprise securities	24,870	(9)	—	—	24,870	(9)
Other securities	—	—	969	(31)	969	(31)
Total	\$119,559	\$(871)	\$1,035	\$(33)	\$120,594	\$(904)
December 31, 2011						
U.S. government agency and government-sponsored enterprise mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations	\$238,875	\$(1,999)	\$196	\$(1)	\$239,071	\$(2,000)
State and municipal securities	3,820	(24)	950	(34)	4,770	(58)
Other securities	—	—	970	(30)	970	(30)
Total	\$242,695	\$(2,023)	\$2,116	\$(65)	\$244,811	\$(2,088)

Table of Contents

At June 30, 2012, there were 23 U.S. government agency and government-sponsored enterprise mortgage-backed securities & collateralized mortgage obligations securities in an unrealized loss position, of which five were in a continuous loss position for 12 months or more. The decline in fair value is attributable to changes in interest rates relative to where these investments fall within the yield curve and their individual characteristics. Because the Company does not intend to sell these securities nor does the Company consider it more likely than not that it will be required to sell these securities before the recovery of amortized cost basis, which may be maturity, the Company does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2012.

At June 30, 2012, there were nine state and municipal government securities in an unrealized loss position, of which none were in a continuous loss position for 12 months or more. The unrealized losses on state and municipal securities were caused by interest rate changes or widening of market spreads subsequent to the purchase of the individual securities. Management monitors published credit ratings of these securities for adverse changes. As of June 30, 2012, none of the rated obligations of state and local government entities held by the Company had an adverse credit rating. Because the credit quality of these securities are investment grade and the Company does not intend to sell these securities nor does the Company consider it more likely than not that it will be required to sell these securities before the recovery of amortized cost basis, which may be maturity, the Company does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2012.

At June 30, 2012, there were two U.S. government agency and government-sponsored enterprise security in an unrealized loss position for less than 12 months. The decline in fair value is attributable to changes in interest rates relative to where these investments fall within the yield curve and their individual characteristics. Because the Company does not intend to sell these securities nor does the Company consider it more likely than not that it will be required to sell these securities before the recovery of amortized cost basis, which may be maturity, the Company does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2012.

At June 30, 2012, there was one other security, a mortgage-backed securities fund in a continuous unrealized loss position for 12 months or more. The decline in fair value is attributable to changes in interest rates and the additional risk premium investors are demanding for investment securities with these characteristics. The Company does not consider this investment to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2012 as it has the intent and ability to hold the investment for sufficient time to allow for recovery in the market value.

Securities Deemed to be Other-Than-Temporarily Impaired

During 2011, the Company determined that one of its state and municipal securities with a par amount of \$3.0 million was other-than-temporarily impaired due to it maturing during the period without repaying the principal amount. In accordance with ASC 320-10-35, the Company determined that the entire amount of the other-than-temporary impairment was credit-related as the present value of the expected future cash flows for the defaulted security was zero. The credit-related other-than-temporary impairment of \$3.0 million was recorded in the consolidated statements of income for the year ended December 31, 2011. The Company continues to hold this security at June 30, 2012.

4. Noncovered Loans

Noncovered loans include loans originated through our branch network and loan departments as well as acquired loans that are not subject to FDIC loss-sharing agreements.

Table of Contents

The following is an analysis of the noncovered loan portfolio by major types of loans (net of unearned income):

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
	(in thousands)	
Noncovered loans:		
Commercial business	\$1,111,440	\$1,031,721
Real estate:		
One-to-four family residential	55,883	64,491
Commercial and multifamily residential	1,017,736	998,165
Total real estate	1,073,619	1,062,656
Real estate construction:		
One-to-four family residential	47,417	50,208
Commercial and multifamily residential	48,765	36,768
Total real estate construction	96,182	86,976
Consumer	167,387	183,235
Less: Net unearned income	(11,667)	(16,217)
Total noncovered loans, net of unearned income	2,436,961	2,348,371
Less: Allowance for loan and lease losses	(52,196)	(53,041)
Total noncovered loans, net	\$2,384,765	\$2,295,330
Loans held for sale	\$2,088	\$2,148

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had no material foreign activities. Substantially all of the Company's loans and unfunded commitments are geographically concentrated in its service areas within the states of Washington and Oregon.

The Company and its banking subsidiary have granted loans to officers and directors of the Company and related interests. These loans are made on the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with unrelated persons and do not involve more than the normal risk of collectability. The aggregate dollar amount of these loans was \$12.7 million and \$9.0 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. During the first six months of 2012, advances on related party loans were \$6.0 million and repayments totaled \$2.3 million.

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, \$429.4 million and \$462.0 million of commercial and residential real estate loans were pledged as collateral on Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings.

The following is an analysis of noncovered, nonaccrual loans as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Recorded Investment Nonaccrual Loans (in thousands)	Unpaid Principal Balance Nonaccrual Loans	Recorded Investment Nonaccrual Loans	Unpaid Principal Balance Nonaccrual Loans
Commercial business				
Secured	\$12,839	\$ 22,511	\$10,124	\$ 16,820
Unsecured	213	213	119	719
Real estate:				
One-to-four family residential	2,244	3,070	2,696	3,011
Commercial & multifamily residential				
Commercial land	3,444	7,291	3,739	7,230
Income property multifamily	10,753	15,958	6,775	9,265
Owner occupied	9,105	12,507	8,971	10,932
Real estate construction:				
One-to-four family residential				

Edgar Filing: COLUMBIA BANKING SYSTEM INC - Form 10-Q

Land and acquisition	3,552	7,351	7,799	16,703
Residential construction	1,671	3,801	2,986	5,316
Commercial & multifamily residential				
Income property multifamily	3,754	9,057	7,067	14,912
Consumer	1,890	2,268	3,207	3,960
Total	\$49,465	\$ 84,027	\$53,483	\$ 88,868

9

Table of Contents

The following is an analysis of the recorded investment of the aged loan portfolio as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	Current Loans	30 - 59 Days Past Due	60 - 89 Days Past Due	Greater than 90 Days Past Due	Total Past Due	Nonaccrual Loans	Total Loans
(in thousands)							
June 30, 2012							
Commercial business							
Secured	\$1,044,846	\$4,025	\$1,153	\$—	\$5,178	\$12,839	\$1,062,863
Unsecured	44,391	476	193	—	669	213	45,273
Real estate:							
One-to-four family residential	52,968	796	7	—	803	2,244	56,015
Commercial & multifamily residential							
Commercial land	41,263	179	—	—	179	3,444	44,886
Income property multifamily	568,724	243	309	—	552	10,753	580,029
Owner occupied	372,946	2,920	—	—	2,920	9,105	384,971
Real estate construction:							
One-to-four family residential							
Land and acquisition	17,004	—	—	—	—	3,552	20,556
Residential construction	24,553	—	—	—	—	1,671	26,224
Commercial & multifamily residential							
Income property multifamily	22,814	—	—	—	—	3,754	26,568
Owner occupied	22,122	—	—	—	—	—	22,122
Consumer	164,426	860	278	—	1,138	1,890	167,454
Total	\$2,376,057	\$9,499	\$1,940	\$—	\$11,439	\$49,465	\$2,436,961
	Current Loans	30 - 59 Days Past Due	60 - 89 Days Past Due	Greater than 90 Days Past Due	Total Past Due	Nonaccrual Loans	Total Loans
(in thousands)							
December 31, 2011							
Commercial business							
Secured	\$966,563	\$1,741	\$2,989	\$—	\$4,730	\$10,124	\$981,417
Unsecured	46,880	407	—	—	407	119	47,406
Real estate:							
One-to-four family residential	60,764	603	—	—	603	2,696	64,063
Commercial & multifamily residential							
Commercial land	46,161	781	—	—	781	3,739	50,681

Edgar Filing: COLUMBIA BANKING SYSTEM INC - Form 10-Q

Income property multifamily	524,225	2,872	121	—	2,993	6,775	533,993
Owner occupied	394,691	829	298	—	1,127	8,971	404,789
Real estate construction:							
One-to-four family residential							
Land and acquisition	17,249	153	—	—	153	7,799	25,201
Residential construction	19,555	1,390	—	—	1,390	2,986	23,931
Commercial & multifamily residential							
Income property multifamily	13,810	—	—	—	—	7,067	20,877
Owner occupied	12,790	—	—	—	—	—	12,790
Consumer	179,753	141	122	—	263	3,207	183,223
Total	\$2,282,441	\$8,917	\$3,530	\$—	\$12,447	\$53,483	\$2,348,371

Table of Contents

The following is an analysis of impaired loans as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	Recorded Investment of Loans Collectively for Contingency Provision (in thousands)	Recorded Investment of Loans Measurably Measured for Specific Impairment	Impaired Loans With Recorded Allowance	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Impaired Loans Without Recorded Allowance	Unpaid Principal Balance
			Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance
June 30, 2012							
Commercial business							
Secured	\$ 1,050,734	\$ 12,129	\$ 5,681	\$ 7,278	\$ 3,528	\$ 6,448	\$ 13,770
Unsecured	45,137	136	136	136	136	—	—
Real estate:							
One-to-four family residential	53,964	2,051	1,298	1,366	90	753	849
Commercial & multifamily residential							
Commercial land	41,806	3,080	—	—	—	3,080	6,684
Income property multifamily	571,151	8,878	745	770	49	8,133	12,953
Owner occupied	370,798	14,173	—	—	—	14,173	17,938
Real estate construction:							
One-to-four family residential							
Land and acquisition	16,949	3,607	—	—	—	3,607	6,503
Residential construction	23,469	2,755	18	1,468	18	2,737	3,343
Commercial & multifamily residential							
Income property multifamily	22,814	3,754	3,754	9,057	443	—	—
Owner occupied	22,122	—	—	—	—	—	—
Consumer	166,406	1,048	168	171	1	880	880
Total	\$ 2,385,350	\$ 51,611	\$ 11,800	\$ 20,246	\$ 4,265	\$ 39,811	\$ 62,920
	Recorded Investment of Loans Collectively for Contingency Provision (in thousands)	Recorded Investment of Loans Measurably Measured for Specific Impairment	Impaired Loans With Recorded Allowance	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Impaired Loans Without Recorded Allowance	Unpaid Principal Balance
			Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance
December 31, 2011							
Commercial business							
Secured	\$ 972,531	\$ 8,886	\$ 2,926	\$ 2,927	\$ 954	\$ 5,960	\$ 12,109
Unsecured	47,309	97	97	97	97	—	—
Real estate:							
One-to-four family residential	61,584	2,479	582	590	96	1,897	2,136
Commercial & multifamily residential							
Commercial land	46,882	3,799	—	—	—	3,799	6,773
Income property multifamily	527,362	6,631	687	759	63	5,944	7,700

Edgar Filing: COLUMBIA BANKING SYSTEM INC - Form 10-Q

Owner occupied	390,225	14,564	274	274	185	14,290	18,524
Real estate construction:							
One-to-four family residential							
Land and acquisition	17,813	7,388	450	948	—	6,938	11,978
Residential construction	18,847	5,084	59	1,509	59	5,025	5,116
Commercial & multifamily residential							
Income property multifamily	13,810	7,067	—	—	—	7,067	14,947
Owner occupied	12,790	—	—	—	—	—	—
Consumer	180,930	2,293	151	225	30	2,142	2,639
Total	\$2,290,083	\$ 58,288	\$5,226	\$7,329	\$ 1,484	\$53,062	\$81,922

11

Table of Contents

The following table provides additional information on impaired loans for the three and six month periods indicated.

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2012		2011		2012		2011	
	Average Recorded Investment on Impaired Loans	Interest Recognized Impaired Loans	Average Recorded Investment on Impaired Loans	Interest Recognized Impaired Loans	Average Recorded Investment on Impaired Loans	Interest Recognized Impaired Loans	Average Recorded Investment on Impaired Loans	Interest Recognized Impaired Loans
	(in thousands)							
Commercial business								
Secured	\$11,331	\$ 37	\$15,807	\$ 52	\$10,516	\$ 88	\$20,471	\$ 197
Unsecured	138	2	101	1	124	3	102	3
Real estate:								
One-to-four family residential	2,053	5	2,644	—	2,195	9	2,649	4
Commercial & multifamily residential								
Commercial land	3,045	—	4,918	—	3,297	—	4,567	648
Income property multifamily	9,207	(29)	8,802	442	8,348	9	10,330	452
Owner occupied	13,956	215	16,071	64	14,159	518	15,431	64
Real estate construction:								
One-to-four family residential								
Land and acquisition	4,649	16	8,749	138	5,562	16	9,681	1,083
Residential construction	3,121	7	2,987	—	3,775	16	4,158	14
Commercial & multifamily residential								
Income property multifamily	4,388	—	6,526	—	5,281	—	6,878	—
Owner occupied	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Consumer	1,049	10	5,040	1	1,464	22	4,871	1
Total	\$52,937	\$ 263	\$71,645	\$ 698	\$54,721	\$ 681	\$79,138	\$ 2,466

Table of Contents

There were no Troubled Debt Restructurings ("TDR") during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. The following is an analysis of loans classified as TDR during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011:

	Three months ended June 30, 2011		
	Number of TDR Modifications	Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment
	(dollars in thousands)		
Commercial business:			
Secured	2	\$ 352	\$ 352
Real estate:			
Commercial and multifamily residential:			
Income property multifamily	1	623	623
Total	3	\$ 975	\$ 975
	Six months ended June 30, 2011		
	Number of TDR Modifications	Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment
	(dollars in thousands)		
Commercial business:			
Secured	2	\$ 352	\$ 352
Real estate:			
Commercial and multifamily residential:			
Income property multifamily	1	623	623
Real estate construction:			
One-to-four family residential:			
Residential construction	1	36	36
Total	4	\$ 1,011	\$ 1,011

The Company's loans classified as TDR are loans that have been modified or the borrower has been granted special concessions due to financial difficulties, that if not for the challenges of the borrower, the Company would not otherwise consider. The Company had commitments to lend \$1.4 million and \$535 thousand of additional funds on loans classified as TDR as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The TDR modifications or concessions are made to increase the likelihood that these borrowers with financial difficulties will be able to satisfy their debt obligations as amended. Credit losses for loans classified as TDR are measured on the same basis as impaired loans. For impaired loans, an allowance is established when the collateral value less selling costs (or discounted cash flows or observable market price) of the impaired loan is lower than the recorded investment of that loan. The Company did not have any loans modified as TDR within the past twelve months that have defaulted during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

5. Allowance for Noncovered Loan and Lease Losses and Unfunded Commitments and Letters of Credit

We maintain an allowance for loan and lease losses ("ALLL") to absorb losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The size of the ALLL is determined through quarterly assessments of the probable estimated losses in the loan portfolio. Our methodology for making such assessments and determining the adequacy of the ALLL includes the following key elements:

1. General valuation allowance consistent with the Contingencies topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC").
2. Classified loss reserves on specific relationships. Specific allowances for identified problem loans are determined in accordance with the Receivables topic of the FASB ASC.
- 3.

The unallocated allowance provides for other factors inherent in our loan portfolio that may not have been contemplated in the general and specific components of the allowance. This unallocated amount generally comprises less than 5% of the allowance. The unallocated amount is reviewed quarterly based on trends in credit losses, the results of credit reviews and overall economic trends.

Table of Contents

The general valuation allowance is systematically calculated quarterly using quantitative and qualitative information about specific loan classes. The minimum required level an entity develops a methodology to determine its allowance for loan and lease losses is by general categories of loans, such as commercial business, real estate, and consumer. However, the Company's methodology in determining its allowance for loan and lease losses is prepared in a more detailed manner at the loan class level, utilizing specific categories such as commercial business secured, commercial business unsecured, real estate commercial land, and real estate income property multifamily. The quantitative information uses historical losses from a specific loan class and incorporates the loan's risk rating migration from origination to the point of loss.

A loan's risk rating is primarily determined based upon the borrower's ability to fulfill its debt obligation from a cash flow perspective. In the event there is financial deterioration of the borrower, the borrower's other sources of income or repayment are also considered, including recent appraisal values for collateral dependent loans. The qualitative information takes into account general economic and business conditions affecting our market place, seasoning of the loan portfolio, duration of the business cycle, etc. to ensure our methodologies reflect the current economic environment and other factors as using historical loss information exclusively may not give an accurate estimate of inherent losses within the Company's loan portfolio.

When a loan is deemed to be impaired, the Company has to determine if a specific valuation allowance is required for that loan. The specific valuation allowance is a reserve, calculated at the individual loan level, for each loan determined to be both, impaired and containing a value less than its recorded investment. The Company measures the impairment based on the discounted expected future cash flows, observable market price, or the fair value of the collateral less selling costs if the loan is collateral dependent or if foreclosure is probable. The specific reserve for each loan is equal to the difference between the recorded investment in the loan and its determined impairment value. The ALLL is increased by provisions for loan and lease losses ("provision") charged to expense, and is reduced by loans charged off, net of recoveries. While the Company's management believes the best information available is used to determine the ALLL, changes in market conditions could result in adjustments to the ALLL, affecting net income, if circumstances differ from the assumptions used in determining the ALLL.

We have used the same methodology for ALLL calculations during the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. Adjustments to the percentages of the ALLL allocated to loan categories are made based on trends with respect to delinquencies and problem loans within each class of loans. The Company reviews the ALLL quantitative and qualitative methodology on a quarterly basis and makes adjustments when appropriate. The Company continues to strive towards maintaining a conservative approach to credit quality and will continue to prudently adjust our ALLL as necessary in order to maintain adequate reserves. The Company carefully monitors the loan portfolio and continues to emphasize the importance of credit quality while continuously strengthening loan monitoring systems and controls. Once it is determined that all or a portion of a loan balance is uncollectable, and the amount can be reasonably estimated, the uncollectable portion of the loan is charged-off.

Table of Contents

The following tables show a detailed analysis of the allowance for loan and lease losses for noncovered loans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Beginning Balance (in thousands)	Charge-offs	Recoveries	Provision (Recovery)	Ending Balance	Specific Reserve	General Allocation
Three months ended June 30, 2012							
Commercial business							
Secured	\$25,542	\$(2,028)) \$375	\$ 2,616	\$26,505	\$3,528	\$22,977
Unsecured	786	(16)) 3	(1)	772	136	636
Real estate:							
One-to-four family residential	689	(334)) 2	316	673	90	583
Commercial & multifamily residential							
Commercial land	693	(77)) —	(346)	270	—	270
Income property multifamily	10,249	(1,515)) 336	(344)	8,726	49	8,677
Owner occupied	8,555	(247)) 486	243	9,037	—	9,037
Real estate construction:							
One-to-four family residential							
Land and acquisition	1,671	(298)) 376	(98)	1,651	—	1,651
Residential construction	1,002	(599)) 79	715	1,197	18	1,179
Commercial & multifamily residential							
Income property multifamily	223	(93)) 1	624	755	443	312
Owner occupied	44	—) —	24	68	—	68
Consumer	2,129	(374)) 86	208	2,049	1	2,048
Unallocated	700	—) —	(207)	493	—	493
Total	\$52,283	\$(5,581)) \$1,744	\$ 3,750	\$52,196	\$4,265	\$47,931
	Beginning Balance (in thousands)	Charge-offs	Recoveries	Provision (Recovery)	Ending Balance	Specific Reserve	General Allocation
Six months ended June 30, 2012							
Commercial business							
Secured	\$24,745	\$(4,382)) \$989	\$ 5,153	\$26,505	\$3,528	\$22,977
Unsecured	689	(21)) 47	57	772	136	636
Real estate:							
One-to-four family residential	654	(449)) 45	423	673	90	583
Commercial & multifamily residential							
Commercial land	488	(382)) —	164	270	—	270
Income property multifamily	9,551	(3,522)) 354	2,343	8,726	49	8,677
Owner occupied	9,606	(612)) 538	(495)	9,037	—	9,037
Real estate construction:							
One-to-four family residential							
Land and acquisition	2,331	(503)) 423	(600)	1,651	—	1,651
Residential construction	864	(599)) 79	853	1,197	18	1,179
Commercial & multifamily residential							
Income property multifamily	665	(93)) 1	182	755	443	312

Edgar Filing: COLUMBIA BANKING SYSTEM INC - Form 10-Q

Owner occupied	35	—	—	33	68	—	68
Consumer	2,719	(1,467) 459	338	2,049	1	2,048
Unallocated	694	—	—	(201) 493	—	493
Total	\$53,041	\$(12,030) \$2,935	\$ 8,250	\$52,196	\$4,265	\$47,931

15

Table of Contents

	Beginning Balance (in thousands)	Charge-offs	Recoveries	Provision (Recovery)	Ending Balance	Specific Reserve	General Allocation
Three months ended June 30, 2011							
Commercial business							
Secured	\$22,307	\$(834)	\$233	\$ 614	\$22,320	\$330	\$21,990
Unsecured	618	—	359	(404)	573	72	501
Real estate:							
One-to-four family residential	1,100	(216)	—	(37)	847	—	847
Commercial & multifamily residential							
Commercial land	555	(656)	—	995	894	—	894
Income property multifamily	12,297	(275)	13	2,674	14,709	301	14,408
Owner occupied	10,412	(623)	—	(3,310)	6,479	286	6,193
Real estate construction:							
One-to-four family residential							
Land and acquisition	3,295	(410)	700	(733)	2,852	148	2,704
Residential construction	2,118	(395)	—	(19)	1,704	—	1,704
Commercial & multifamily residential							
Income property multifamily	127	(1,078)	—	994	43	—	43
Owner occupied	68	—	—	(34)	34	—	34
Consumer	2,418	(271)	45	556	2,748	161	2,587
Unallocated	—	—	—	854	854	—	854
Total	\$55,315	\$(4,758)	\$1,350	\$ 2,150	\$54,057	\$1,298	\$52,759
	Beginning Balance (in thousands)	Charge-offs	Recoveries	Provision (Recovery)	Ending Balance	Specific Reserve	General Allocation
Six months ended June 30, 2011							
Commercial business							
Secured	\$21,811	\$(4,121)	\$329	\$ 4,301	\$22,320	\$330	\$21,990
Unsecured	738	(84)	368	(449)	573	72	501
Real estate:							
One-to-four family residential	1,100	(664)	—	411	847	—	847
Commercial & multifamily residential							
Commercial land	634	(656)	—	916	894	—	894
Income property multifamily	15,210	(640)	55	84	14,709	301	14,408
Owner occupied	9,692	(623)	31	(2,621)	6,479	286	6,193
Real estate construction:							
One-to-four family residential							
Land and acquisition	3,769	(1,178)	1,768	(1,507)	2,852	148	2,704
Residential construction	2,292	(1,054)	36	430	1,704	—	1,704
Commercial & multifamily residential							
Income property multifamily	274	(1,565)	—	1,334	43	—	43
Owner occupied	70	—	—	(36)	34	—	34
Consumer	2,120	(1,196)	108	1,716	2,748	161	2,587

Edgar Filing: COLUMBIA BANKING SYSTEM INC - Form 10-Q

Unallocated	3,283	—	—	(2,429) 854	—	854
Total	\$60,993	\$(11,781) \$2,695	\$ 2,150	\$54,057	\$1,298	\$52,759

16

Table of Contents

Changes in the allowance for unfunded commitments and letters of credit are summarized as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2012	2011	June 30, 2012	2011
	(in thousands)			
Balance at beginning of period	\$1,665	\$1,660	\$1,535	\$1,165
Net changes in the allowance for unfunded commitments and letters of credit	—	(200) 130	295
Balance at end of period	\$1,665	\$1,460	\$1,665	\$1,460

Risk Elements

The extension of credit in the form of loans to individuals and businesses is one of our principal commerce activities. Our policies and applicable laws and regulations require risk analysis as well as ongoing portfolio and credit management. We manage our credit risk through lending limit constraints, credit review, approval policies and extensive, ongoing internal monitoring. We also manage credit risk through diversification of the loan portfolio by type of loan, type of industry, type of borrower and by limiting the aggregation of debt to a single borrower.

The monitoring process for the loan portfolio includes periodic reviews of individual loans with risk ratings assigned to each loan. Based on the analysis, loans are given a risk rating of 1-10 based on the following criteria:

• ratings of 1-3 indicate minimal to low credit risk,

• ratings of 4-5 indicate an average credit risk with adequate repayment capacity when prolonged periods of adversity do not exist,

• rating of 6 indicate higher than average risk requiring greater than routine attention by bank personnel due to conditions affecting the borrower, the borrower's industry or economic environment,

• rating of 7 indicate potential weaknesses that, if left uncorrected, may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the asset or in the Company's credit position at some future date,

• rating of 8 indicates a loss is possible if loan weaknesses are not corrected,

• rating of 9 indicates loss is highly probable; however, the amount of loss has not yet been determined,

• and a rating of 10 indicates the loan is uncollectable, and when identified is charged-off.

Loans with a risk rating of 1-6 are considered Pass loans and loans with risk ratings of 7, 8, 9 and 10 are considered Special Mention, Substandard, Doubtful and Loss, respectively. Loans with a risk rating of Substandard or worse are reported as classified loans in our allowance for loan and lease losses analysis. We review these loans to assess the ability of our borrowers to service all interest and principal obligations and, as a result, the risk rating may be adjusted accordingly. Risk ratings are reviewed and updated whenever appropriate, with more periodic reviews as the risk and dollar value of loss on the loan increases. In the event full collection of principal and interest is not reasonably assured, the loan is appropriately downgraded and, if warranted, placed on non-accrual status even though the loan may be current as to principal and interest payments. Additionally, we assess whether an impairment of a loan warrants specific reserves or a write-down of the loan.

Table of Contents

The following is an analysis of the credit quality of our noncovered loan portfolio as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Weighted-Average Risk Rating	Recorded Investment Noncovered Loans	Weighted-Average Risk Rating	Recorded Investment Noncovered Loans
	(dollars in thousands)			
Commercial business				
Secured	4.87	\$ 1,062,863	4.89	\$981,417
Unsecured	4.31	45,273	4.25	47,406
Real estate:				
One-to-four family residential	4.73	56,015	4.81	64,063
Commercial & multifamily residential				
Commercial land	5.12	44,886	5.22	50,681
Income property multifamily	4.85	580,029	4.94	533,993
Owner occupied	5.05	384,971	5.05	404,789
Real estate construction:				
One-to-four family residential				
Land and acquisition	6.08	20,556	6.43	25,201
Residential construction	5.74	26,224	5.94	23,931
Commercial & multifamily residential				
Income property multifamily	4.89	26,568	5.49	20,877
Owner occupied	4.59	22,122	4.55	12,790
Consumer	4.22	167,454	4.24	183,223
Total recorded investment of noncovered loans		\$2,436,961		\$2,348,371

6. Changes in Noncovered Other Real Estate Owned

The following tables set forth activity in noncovered OREO for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in thousands)			
Noncovered OREO:				
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 16,744	\$ 26,081	\$ 22,893	\$ 30,991
Transfers in, net of write-downs (\$0, \$18, \$118 and \$108, respectively)	2,585	1,106	6,388	3,148
OREO improvements	—	217	11	468
Additional OREO write-downs	(2,052)	(2,536)	(3,774)	(4,446)
Proceeds from sale of OREO property	(4,069)	(2,502)	(11,899)	(7,874)
Gain on sale of OREO, net	717	373	306	452
Total noncovered OREO at end of period	\$ 13,925	\$ 22,739	\$ 13,925	\$ 22,739

Table of Contents

7. Covered Assets and FDIC Loss-sharing Asset

Covered Assets

Covered assets consist of loans and OREO acquired in certain FDIC-assisted acquisitions during 2010 and 2011, for which the Bank entered into loss-sharing agreements, whereby the FDIC will cover a substantial portion of future losses on loans (and related unfunded loan commitments), OREO and certain accrued interest on loans during the terms of the agreements. Under the terms of the loss-sharing agreements, the FDIC will absorb 80% of losses and share in 80% of loss recoveries up to specified amounts. With respect to loss-sharing agreements for two acquisitions completed in 2010, after those specified amounts, the FDIC will absorb 95% of losses and share in 95% of loss recoveries. The loss-sharing provisions of the agreements for commercial and single-family mortgage loans are in effect for five and ten years, respectively, from the acquisition dates and the loss recovery provisions are in effect for eight and ten years, respectively, from the acquisition dates.

Ten years and forty-five days after the acquisition dates, the Bank shall pay to the FDIC a clawback in the event the losses from the acquisitions fail to reach stated levels. The amount of the clawback is determined by a formula specified in each individual loss-sharing agreement. As of June 30, 2012, the net present value of the Bank's estimated clawback liability is \$3.4 million, which is included in other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets.

The following is an analysis of our covered loans, net of related allowance for losses as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	June 30, 2012			December 31, 2011		
	Covered Loans	Weighted-Average Risk Rating	Allowance for Loan Losses	Covered Loans	Weighted-Average Risk Rating	Allowance for Loan Losses
	(dollars in thousands)					
Commercial business	\$160,250	5.95	\$9,984	\$195,737	6.05	\$977
Real estate:						
One-to-four family residential	68,175	5.27	4,655	79,328	5.32	678
Commercial and multifamily residential	276,844	5.46	10,139	311,308	5.65	2,683
Total real estate	345,019		14,794	390,636		3,361
Real estate construction:						
One-to-four family residential	35,137	6.89	2,202	54,402	7.32	136
Commercial and multifamily residential	19,558	7.01	1,340	23,661	7.32	86
Total real estate construction	54,695		3,542	78,063		222
Consumer	49,123	4.73	3,464	56,877	4.84	384
Subtotal of covered loans	609,087		\$31,784	721,313		\$4,944
Less:						
Valuation discount resulting from acquisition accounting	114,309			184,440		
Allowance for loan losses	31,784			4,944		
Covered loans, net of allowance for loan losses	\$462,994			\$531,929		

Acquired impaired loans are accounted for under ASC 310-30 and initially measured at fair value based on expected future cash flows over the life of the loans. Acquired loans that have common risk characteristics are aggregated into pools. The Company re-measures contractual and expected cash flows, at the pool-level, on a quarterly basis.

Contractual cash flows are calculated based upon the loan pool terms after applying a prepayment factor. Calculation of the applied prepayment factor for contractual cash flows is the same as described below for expected cash flows.

Table of Contents

Inputs to the determination of expected cash flows include cumulative default and prepayment data as well as loss severity and recovery lag information. Cumulative default and prepayment data are calculated via a transition matrix. The transition matrix is a matrix of probability values that specifies the probability of a loan pool transitioning into a particular delinquency state (e.g. 0-30 days past due, 31 to 60 days, etc.) given its delinquency state at the re-measurement date. Loss severity factors are based upon actual charge-off data within the loan pools and recovery lags are based upon experience with the collateral within the loan pools.

Acquired loans are also subject to the Company's internal and external credit review and are risk rated using the same criteria as loans originated by the Company. However, risk ratings are not a clear indicator of losses on acquired loans as the loans were acquired with a significant discount and a majority of the losses are recoverable from the FDIC under the loss-sharing agreements.

Draws on acquired loans, advanced subsequent to the loan acquisition date, are accounted for under ASC 450-20 and those amounts are also subject to the Company's internal and external credit review. An allowance for loan losses is estimated in a similar manner as the originated loan portfolio, and a provision for loan losses is charged to earnings as necessary.

The excess of cash flows expected to be collected over the initial fair value of acquired loans is referred to as the accretable yield and is accreted into interest income over the estimated life of the acquired loans using the effective yield method. Other adjustments to the accretable yield include changes in the estimated remaining life of the acquired loans, changes in expected cash flows and changes of indices for acquired loans with variable interest rates.

The following table shows the changes in accretable yield for acquired loans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in thousands)			
Balance at beginning of period	\$239,677	\$217,351	\$259,669	\$256,572
Accretion	(21,817)	(15,458)	(49,474)	(36,761)
Disposals	(3,273)	(1,254)	(5,072)	(4,413)
Reclassifications from (to) nonaccretable difference	(526)	53,884	8,938	39,125
Balance at end of period	\$214,061	\$314,333	\$214,061	\$314,333

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company recorded a provision expense for losses on covered loans of \$27.4 million. Of this amount, \$28.3 million was impairment expense calculated in accordance with ASC 310-30 and \$900 thousand was a negative provision to adjust the allowance for loss calculated under ASC 450-20 for draws on acquired loans. The impact to earnings of the \$27.4 million of provision expense for covered loans was partially offset through noninterest income by a \$21.9 million increase in the FDIC loss-sharing asset.

The changes in the ALLL for covered loans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 are summarized as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in thousands)			
Balance at beginning of period	\$20,504	\$5,633	\$4,944	\$6,055
Loans charged off	(1,035)	(56)	(1,597)	(56)
Recoveries	627	70	1,064	70
Provision charged to expense	11,688	2,301	27,373	1,879
Balance at end of period	\$31,784	\$7,948	\$31,784	\$7,948

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth activity in covered OREO at carrying value for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in thousands)			
Covered OREO:				
Balance at beginning of period	\$24,430	\$13,527	\$28,126	\$14,443
Established through acquisitions	—	10,896	—	10,896
Transfers in	2,933	1,668	5,401	5,092
Additional OREO write-downs	(533)	(99)	(2,038)	(113)
Proceeds from sale of OREO property	(10,356)	(4,122)	(18,381)	(11,081)
Gain on sale of OREO	2,605	2,369	5,971	5,002
Total covered OREO at end of period	\$19,079	\$24,239	\$19,079	\$24,239

The covered OREO is covered by loss-sharing agreements with the FDIC in which the FDIC will assume 80% of additional write-downs and losses on covered OREO sales, or 95%, if applicable, of additional write-downs and losses on covered OREO sales if the minimum loss share thresholds are met.

FDIC Loss-sharing Asset

At June 30, 2012, the FDIC loss-sharing asset is comprised of a \$120.3 million FDIC indemnification asset and a \$19.8 million FDIC receivable. The indemnification represents the cash flows the Company expects to collect from the FDIC under the loss-sharing agreements and the FDIC receivable represents the reimbursable amounts from the FDIC that have not yet been received.

For covered loans, the Company remeasures contractual and expected cash flows on a quarterly basis. When the quarterly re-measurement process results in a decrease in expected cash flows due to an increase in expected credit losses, impairment is recorded. As a result of this impairment, the indemnification asset is increased to reflect anticipated future cash to be received from the FDIC. Consistent with the loss-sharing agreements between the Company and the FDIC, the amount of the increase to the indemnification asset is measured as 80% of the resulting impairment.

Alternatively, when the quarterly re-measurement results in an increase in expected future cash flows due to a decrease in expected credit losses, the nonaccretable difference decreases and the effective yield of the related loan portfolio is increased. As a result of the improved expected cash flows, the indemnification asset would be reduced first by the amount of any impairment previously recorded and, second, by increased amortization over the remaining life of the related loss-sharing agreement.

The following table shows a detailed analysis of the FDIC loss-sharing asset for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in thousands)			
Balance at beginning of period	\$159,061	\$193,053	\$175,071	\$205,991
Adjustments not reflected in income				
Established through acquisitions	—	68,734	—	68,734
Cash received from the FDIC	(19,508)	(44,892)	(34,313)	(44,892)
FDIC reimbursable losses, net	618	(782)	1,081	1,054
Adjustments reflected in income				
Amortization, net	(9,851)	(8,059)	(23,725)	(21,628)
Impairment	9,350	1,841	21,898	1,503
Sale of other real estate	(1,498)	(1,149)	(3,565)	(2,016)
Write-downs of other real estate	1,732	443	3,362	443
Other	99	505	194	505
Balance at end of period	\$140,003	\$209,694	\$140,003	\$209,694

Table of Contents

8. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

In accordance with the Intangibles – Goodwill and Other topic of the FASB ASC, goodwill is not amortized but is reviewed for potential impairment at the reporting unit level. Management analyzes its goodwill for impairment during the third quarter on an annual basis and between annual tests in certain circumstances such as material adverse changes in legal, business, regulatory and economic factors. An impairment loss is recorded to the extent that the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value.

The core deposit intangible (“CDI”) is evaluated for impairment if events and circumstances indicate a possible impairment. The CDI is amortized on an accelerated basis over an estimated life of approximately 10 years.

The following table sets forth activity for goodwill and intangible assets for the period:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in thousands)			
Total goodwill at beginning of period	\$ 115,554	\$ 109,639	\$ 115,554	\$ 109,639
Established through acquisitions	—	9,704	—	9,704
Total goodwill at end of period	115,554	119,343	115,554	119,343
Core deposit intangible:				
Gross core deposit intangible balance at beginning of period	32,441	26,651	32,441	26,651
Accumulated amortization at beginning of period	(13,425)	(8,939)	(12,275)	(7,955)
Core deposit intangible, net at beginning of period	19,016	17,712	20,166	18,696
Established through acquisitions	—	1,846	—	1,846
CDI current period amortization	(1,120)	(956)	(2,270)	(1,940)
Total core deposit intangible, net at end of period	17,896	18,602	17,896	18,602
Total goodwill and intangible assets at end of period	\$ 133,450	\$ 137,945	\$ 133,450	\$ 137,945

The following table provides the estimated future amortization expense of core deposit intangibles for the remaining six months ending December 31, 2012 and the succeeding four years:

	Amount
	(in thousands)
Year ending December 31,	
2012	\$2,175
2013	3,964
2014	3,397
2015	2,645
2016	2,184

9. Shareholders' Equity

On January 26, 2012 the Company declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.08 per share and a special, one-time cash dividend of \$0.29 per share, both payable on February 22, 2012 to shareholders of record at the close of business February 8, 2012. On April 25, 2012 the Company declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.08 per share and a special one-time cash dividend of \$0.14 per share, payable on May 23, 2012 to shareholders of record at the close of business May 9, 2012. Subsequent to quarter end, on July 26, 2012, the Company declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.09 per share and a special one-time cash dividend of \$0.21 per share, payable on August 22, 2012 to shareholders of record at the close of business August 8, 2012. The payment of cash dividends is subject to Federal regulatory requirements for capital levels and other restrictions. In addition, the cash dividends paid by Columbia Bank to the Company are subject to both Federal and State regulatory requirements.

Table of Contents

10. Derivatives and Hedging Activities

The Company periodically enters into certain commercial loan interest rate swap agreements in order to provide commercial loan customers the ability to convert from variable to fixed interest rates. Under these agreements, the Company enters into a variable-rate loan agreement with a customer in addition to a swap agreement. This swap agreement effectively converts the customer's variable rate loan into a fixed rate. The Company then enters into a corresponding swap agreement with a third party in order to offset its exposure on the variable and fixed components of the customer agreement. As the interest rate swap agreements with the customers and third parties are not designated as hedges under the Derivatives and Hedging topic of the FASB ASC, the instruments are marked to market in earnings. The notional amount of open interest rate swap agreements at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was \$164.6 million and \$160.3 million, respectively. There was no impact to the statement of operations for the three or six month periods ending June 30, 2012 and 2011.

The following table presents the fair value of derivatives not designated as hedging instruments at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	Asset Derivatives				Liability Derivatives			
	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
	Balance Sheet	Balance Sheet	Balance Sheet	Balance Sheet	Balance Sheet	Balance Sheet	Balance Sheet	Balance Sheet
	Fair Value	Fair Value	Fair Value	Fair Value	Fair Value	Fair Value	Fair Value	Fair Value
	Location	Location	Location	Location	Location	Location	Location	Location
	(in thousands)							
Interest rate contracts	Other assets	\$ 16,408	Other assets	\$ 16,302	Other liabilities	\$ 16,408	Other liabilities	\$ 16,302

11. Fair Value Accounting and Measurement

The Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures topic of the FASB ASC defines fair value, establishes a consistent framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure requirements about fair value. We hold fixed and variable rate interest-bearing securities, investments in marketable equity securities and certain other financial instruments, which are carried at fair value. Fair value is determined based upon quoted prices when available or through the use of alternative approaches, such as matrix or model pricing, when market quotes are not readily accessible or available. The valuation techniques are based upon observable and unobservable inputs. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect our own market assumptions. These two types of inputs create the following fair value hierarchy:

Level 1 – Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date.

Level 2 – Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and model derived valuations whose inputs are observable or whose significant value drivers are observable.

Level 3 – Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable.

Fair values are determined as follows:

Securities at fair value are priced using a combination of market activity, industry recognized information sources, yield curves, discounted cash flow models and other factors. These fair value calculations are considered a Level 2 input method under the provisions of the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures topic of the FASB ASC.

Interest rate contract positions are valued in models, which use as their basis, readily observable market parameters and are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the Company's financial assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 by level within the fair value hierarchy. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement:

	Fair value (in thousands)	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
June 30, 2012				
Assets				
Securities available for sale				
U.S. government agency and government-sponsored enterprise mortgage-back securities and collateralized mortgage obligations	\$621,278	\$—	\$621,278	\$—
State and municipal debt securities	278,807	—	278,807	—
U.S. government agency and government-sponsored enterprise securities	94,294	—	94,294	—
Other securities	3,384	—	3,384	—
Total securities available for sale	\$997,763	\$—	\$997,763	\$—
Other assets (Interest rate contracts)	\$16,408	\$—	\$16,408	\$—
Liabilities				
Other liabilities (Interest rate contracts)	\$16,408	\$—	\$16,408	\$—
	Fair value (in thousands)	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
December 31, 2011				
Assets				
Securities available for sale				
U.S. government agency and government-sponsored enterprise mortgage-back securities and collateralized mortgage obligations	\$695,954	\$—	\$695,954	\$—
State and municipal debt securities	285,763	—	285,763	—
U.S. government agency and government-sponsored enterprise securities	43,063	—	43,063	—
Other securities	3,330	—	3,330	—
Total securities available for sale	\$1,028,110	\$—	\$1,028,110	\$—
Other assets (Interest rate contracts)	\$16,302	\$—	\$16,302	\$—
Liabilities				
Other liabilities (Interest rate contracts)	\$16,302	\$—	\$16,302	\$—

There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy during the six month period ended June 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

Certain assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis after initial recognition such as loans measured for impairment and OREO. The following methods were used to estimate the fair value of each such class of financial instrument:

Impaired loans—A loan is considered to be impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due (both interest and principal) according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impaired loans are measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, a loan's observable market price, or the fair market value of the collateral if the loan is collateral-dependent loan. Generally, the Company utilizes the fair market value of the collateral to measure impairment. The impairment evaluations are performed in conjunction with the ALLL process on a quarterly basis by officers in the Special Credits group, which reports to the Chief Credit Officer. The Real Estate Appraisal Services Department ("REASD"), which also reports to the Chief Credit Officer, is responsible for obtaining appraisals from third-parties or performing internal evaluations. If an appraisal is obtained from a third-party, the REASD reviews the appraisal to evaluate the adequacy of the appraisal report, including its scope, methods, accuracy, and reasonableness.

Other real estate owned and Other personal property owned—OREO and OPPO are real and personal property that the Bank has taken ownership of in partial or full satisfaction of a loan or loans. OREO and OPPO are generally measured based on the item's fair market value as indicated by an appraisal or a letter of intent to purchase. OREO and OPPO are recorded at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less estimated costs to sell. This amount becomes the property's new basis. Any write-downs based on the property fair value less estimated cost to sell at the date of acquisition are charged to the allowance for loan and lease losses. Management periodically reviews OREO and OPPO in an effort to ensure the property is carried at the lower of its new basis or fair value, net of estimated costs to sell. Any write-downs subsequent to acquisition are charged to earnings. The initial and subsequent write-down evaluations are performed by officers in the Special Credits group, which reports to the Chief Credit Officer. The REASD obtains appraisals from third-parties for OREO and OPPO and performs internal evaluations. If an appraisal is obtained from a third-party, the REASD reviews the appraisal to evaluate the adequacy of the appraisal report, including its scope, methods, accuracy, and reasonableness.

The following tables set forth the Company's assets that were measured using fair value estimates on a nonrecurring basis at June 30, 2012 and 2011.

	Fair value at June 30, 2012 (in thousands)	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date			Using Losses During the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012	Losses During the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3		
Impaired loans	\$14,139	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 14,139	\$ 5,840	\$ 7,539
Noncovered OREO	4,430	—	—	4,430	1,320	2,683
Covered OREO	1,491	—	—	1,491	316	904
Noncovered OPPO	880	—	—	880	154	2,104
	\$20,940	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 20,940	\$ 7,630	\$ 11,126
	Fair value at June 30, 2011 (in thousands)	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date			Using Losses During the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011	Losses During the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3		
Impaired loans	\$13,924	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 13,924	\$ 411	\$5,320
Noncovered OREO	6,846	—	—	6,846	1,313	3,314
Covered OREO	428	—	—	428	84	98
Noncovered OPPO	—	—	—	—	—	185
	\$21,198	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 21,198	\$ 1,808	\$8,732

The losses on impaired loans disclosed above represent the amount of the specific reserve and/or charge-offs during the period applicable to loans held at period end. The amount of the specific reserve is included in the allowance for loan and lease losses. The losses on OREO and OPPO disclosed above represent the write-downs taken at foreclosure that were charged to the allowance for loan and lease losses, as well as subsequent write-downs from updated appraisals that were charged to earnings.

Table of Contents

Quantitative information about Level 3 fair value measurements

The range and weighted-average of the significant unobservable inputs used to fair value our Level 3 nonrecurring assets, along with the valuation techniques used, are shown in the following table:

	Fair value at June 30, 2012 (dollars in thousands)	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Range (Weighted Average) (1)
Impaired loans - real estate collateral	\$11,896	Market	Adjustment to Appraisal Value	N/A (2)
Impaired loans - other collateral (3)	2,243	Market	Adjustment to stated value	0% - 70% (27%)
Noncovered OREO	4,430	Market	Adjustment to Appraisal Value	N/A (2)
Covered OREO	1,491	Market	Adjustment to Appraisal Value	N/A (2)
Noncovered OPPO	880	Market	Adjustment to Appraisal Value	N/A (2)

(1) Discount applied to appraisal value, letter of intent to purchase, or stated value (in the case of accounts receivable and inventory).

(2) Quantitative disclosures are not provided for impaired loans collateralized by real estate, noncovered OREO, covered OREO and noncovered OPPO because there were no adjustments made to the appraisal value during the current period.

(3) Other collateral consists of accounts receivable and inventory.

Fair value of financial instruments

Because broadly traded markets do not exist for most of the Company's financial instruments, the fair value calculations attempt to incorporate the effect of current market conditions at a specific time. These determinations are subjective in nature, involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and do not include tax ramifications; therefore, the results cannot be determined with precision, substantiated by comparison to independent markets and may not be realized in an actual sale or immediate settlement of the instruments. There may be inherent weaknesses in any calculation technique, and changes in the underlying assumptions used, including discount rates and estimates of future cash flows, could significantly affect the results. For all of these reasons, the aggregation of the fair value calculations presented herein do not represent, and should not be construed to represent, the underlying value of the Company.

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate that value:

Cash and due from banks and interest-earning deposits with banks—The fair value of financial instruments that are short-term or reprice frequently and that have little or no risk are considered to have a fair value that approximates carrying value (Level 1).

Securities available for sale—Securities at fair value are priced using a combination of market activity, industry recognized information sources, yield curves, discounted cash flow models and other factors (Level 2).

Federal Home Loan Bank stock—The fair value is based upon the par value of the stock which equates to its carrying value (Level 2).

Loans—Loans are not recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Nonrecurring fair value adjustments are periodically recorded on impaired loans that are measured for impairment based on the fair value of collateral. For most performing loans, fair value is estimated using expected duration and lending rates that would have been offered on June 30, 2012 for loans which mirror the attributes of the loans with similar rate structures and average maturities. The fair values resulting from these calculations are reduced by an amount representing the change in estimated fair value attributable to changes in borrowers' credit quality since the loans were originated. For nonperforming loans, fair value is estimated by applying a valuation discount based upon loan sales data from the FDIC. For covered loans, fair value is estimated by discounting the expected future cash flows using a lending rate that would have been offered on

June 30, 2012 (Level 3).

26

Table of Contents

FDIC loss-sharing asset—The fair value of the FDIC loss-sharing asset is estimated based on discounting the expected future cash flows using an estimated market rate (Level 3).

Interest rate contracts—Interest rate swap positions are valued in models, which use as their basis, readily observable market parameters (Level 2).

Deposits—For deposits with no contractual maturity, the fair value is equal to the carrying value (Level 1). The fair value of fixed maturity deposits is based on discounted cash flows using the difference between the deposit rate and current market rates for deposits of similar remaining maturities (Level 2).

FHLB advances—The fair value of Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle (the “FHLB”) advances is estimated based on discounting the future cash flows using the market rate currently offered (Level 2).

Repurchase Agreements—The fair value of securities sold under agreement to repurchase is estimated based on discounting the future cash flows using the market rate currently offered (Level 2).

Other Financial Instruments—The majority of our commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit carry current market interest rates if converted to loans, as such, carrying value is assumed to equal fair value.

The following table summarizes carrying amounts and estimated fair values of selected financial instruments as well as assumptions used by the Company in estimating fair value:

	June 30, 2012					December 31, 2011	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
	(in thousands)						
Assets							
Cash and due from banks	\$98,940	\$98,940	\$98,940	\$—	\$—	\$91,364	\$91,364
Interest-earning deposits with banks	270,873	270,873	270,873	—	—	202,925	202,925
Securities available for sale	997,763	997,763	—	997,763	—	1,028,110	1,028,110
FHLB stock	22,215	22,215	—	22,215	—	22,215	22,215
Loans held for sale	2,088	2,088	—	2,088	—	2,148	2,148
Loans	2,847,759	2,962,261	—	—	2,962,261	2,827,259	2,957,345
FDIC loss-sharing asset	140,003	54,242	—	—	54,242	175,071	71,788
Interest rate contracts	16,408	16,408	—	16,408	—	16,302	16,302
Liabilities							
Deposits	\$3,830,817	\$3,854,612	\$3,314,589	\$540,023	\$—	\$3,815,529	\$3,817,013
FHLB Advances	113,145	113,429	—	113,429	—	119,009	119,849
Repurchase agreements	25,000	26,354	—	26,354	—	25,000	26,580
Interest rate contracts	16,408	16,408	—	16,408	—	16,302	16,302

Table of Contents

12. Earnings per Common Share

Basic Earnings per Share (“EPS”) is computed by dividing income applicable to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Common shares outstanding include common stock and vested restricted stock awards where recipients have satisfied the vesting terms. Diluted EPS reflects the assumed conversion of all dilutive securities, applying the treasury stock method. The Company calculates earnings per share using the two-class method as described in the Earnings per Share topic of the FASB ASC.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2012	2011	June 30, 2012	2011
	(in thousands except per share)			
Basic EPS:				
Net income	\$11,899	\$8,632	\$20,801	\$14,411
Less: Earnings allocated to participating securities	(122) (82) (223) (135
Earnings allocated to common shareholders	\$11,777	\$8,550	\$20,578	\$14,276
Weighted average common shares outstanding	39,260	39,107	39,228	39,073
Basic earnings per common share	\$0.30	\$0.22	\$0.52	\$0.37
Diluted EPS:				
Earnings allocated to common shareholders	\$11,777	\$8,550	\$20,578	\$14,276
Weighted average common shares outstanding	39,260	39,107	39,228	39,073
Dilutive effect of equity awards	48	59	78	86
Weighted average diluted common shares outstanding	39,308	39,166	39,306	39,159
Diluted earnings per common share	\$0.30	\$0.22	\$0.52	\$0.36
Potentially dilutive share options that were not included in the computation of diluted EPS because to do so would be anti-dilutive	46	62	46	54

Table of Contents

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This discussion should be read in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements of Columbia Banking System, Inc. (referred to in this report as "we", "our", and "the Company") and notes thereto presented elsewhere in this report and with the December 31, 2011 audited consolidated financial statements and its accompanying notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K. In the following discussion, unless otherwise noted, references to increases or decreases in average balances in items of income and expense for a particular period and balances at a particular date refer to the comparison with corresponding amounts for the period or date one year earlier.

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements about our plans, objectives, expectations and intentions that are not historical facts, and other statements identified by words such as "expects," "anticipates," "intends," "plans," "believes," "should," "projects," "seeks," "estimates" or words of similar nature. These forward-looking statements are based on current beliefs and expectations of management and are inherently subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies, many of which are beyond our control. In addition, these forward-looking statements are subject to assumptions with respect to future business strategies and decisions that are subject to change. In addition to the factors set forth in the sections "Risk Factors" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in this report, the following factors, among others, could cause actual results to differ materially from the anticipated results:

- local and national economic conditions could be less favorable than expected or could have a more direct and pronounced effect on us than expected and adversely affect our ability to continue internal growth at historical rates and maintain the quality of our earning assets;
- the local housing/real estate markets where we operate and make loans could continue to decline;
- the risks presented by a continued challenging economy, which could adversely affect credit quality, collateral values, including real estate collateral, investment values, liquidity and loan originations and loan portfolio delinquency rates;
- the efficiencies and enhanced financial and operating performance we expect to realize from investments in personnel, acquisitions and infrastructure may not be realized;
- interest rate changes could significantly reduce net interest income and negatively affect funding sources;
- projected business increases following strategic expansion or opening of new branches could be lower than expected;
- our reliance on FHLB advances and FRB borrowings as additional sources of short and long-term funding;
- changes in the scope and cost of FDIC insurance and other coverages;
- the impact of FDIC-assisted loans on our earnings;
- changes in accounting principles, policies, and guidelines applicable to bank holding companies and banking;
- competition among financial institutions could increase significantly;
- the goodwill we have recorded in connection with acquisitions could become impaired, which may have an adverse impact on our earnings and capital;
- the reputation of the financial services industry could deteriorate, which could adversely affect our ability to access markets for funding and to acquire and retain customers;
- the terms and costs of the numerous actions taken by the Federal Reserve, the U.S. Congress, the Treasury, the FDIC, the SEC and others in response to the liquidity and credit crisis, or the failure of these actions to help stabilize the financial markets, asset prices, market liquidity, or worsening of current financial market and economic conditions could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, and the trading price of our common stock;
- our ability to effectively manage credit risk, interest rate risk, market risk, operational risk, legal risk, liquidity risk and regulatory and compliance risk; and
- our profitability measures could be adversely affected if we are unable to effectively manage our capital.

You should take into account that forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this report. Given the described uncertainties and risks, we cannot guarantee our future performance or results of operations and you should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to update or revise any

forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required under federal securities laws.

Table of Contents

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Management has identified the accounting policies related to the allowance for loan and lease losses, business combinations, acquired impaired loans, FDIC loss sharing asset and the valuation and recoverability of goodwill as critical to an understanding of our financial statements. These policies and related estimates are discussed in “Item 7. Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation” under the headings “Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses”, “Business Combinations”, “Acquired Impaired Loans”, “FDIC Loss Sharing Asset” and “Valuation and Recoverability of Goodwill” in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K. There have not been any material changes in our critical accounting policies as compared to those disclosed in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Our results of operations are dependent to a large degree on our net interest income. We also generate noninterest income through service charges and fees, merchant services fees, and bank owned life insurance. Our operating expenses consist primarily of compensation and employee benefits, occupancy, merchant card processing, data processing and legal and professional fees. Like most financial institutions, our interest income and cost of funds are affected significantly by general economic conditions, particularly changes in market interest rates, and by government policies and actions of regulatory authorities.

Earnings Summary

The Company reported net income for the second quarter of \$11.9 million or \$0.30 per diluted common share, compared to \$8.6 million or \$0.22 per diluted common share for the second quarter of 2011. For the first six months of 2012, the Company reported net income of \$20.8 million, or \$0.52 per diluted common share, compared to \$14.4 million, or \$0.36 per diluted common share for the first six months of 2011. The increase in net income from the second quarter of 2011 was attributable to an increase in revenue (net interest income plus noninterest income), partially offset by an increased provision for loan losses and an increase in noninterest expense. Return on average assets and return on average common equity were 1.00% and 6.31%, respectively, for the second quarter of 2012, compared with returns of 0.80% and 4.81%, respectively for the same period of 2011. Generally, the increase in earnings from the prior year periods reflects the impact on earnings associated with certain of the Company's acquired loan portfolios from three Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") assisted transactions completed in May and August 2011. To date, the Company has not completed any additional FDIC-assisted transactions during 2012. Thus, any similar favorable impact on future earnings is not anticipated. A summary of the 2011 acquisitions as well as significant assets acquired and liabilities assumed is as follows:

The Company acquired a portion of the banking operations of Colfax, Washington-based Bank of Whitman pursuant to a purchase and assumption agreement with the FDIC on August 5, 2011. The Company acquired tangible assets with a fair value of \$433.6 million, including \$200.0 million of loans (net of acquisition accounting adjustments) and assumed \$401.1 million in deposits.

The Company acquired the banking operations of Snohomish, Washington-based First Heritage Bank pursuant to a purchase and assumption agreement with the FDIC on May 27, 2011. The Company acquired tangible assets with a fair value of \$157.8 million, including \$81.9 million of loans (net of acquisition accounting adjustments) and assumed \$159.5 million in deposits.

The Company acquired the banking operations of Burlington, Washington-based Summit Bank pursuant to a purchase and assumption agreement with the FDIC on May 20, 2011. The Company acquired tangible assets with a fair value of \$127.7 million, including \$71.5 million of loans (net of acquisition accounting adjustments) and assumed \$123.3 million in deposits.

Table of Contents

The following table illustrates the significant impact to earnings associated with the Company's acquired loan portfolios for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in thousands)			
Incremental accretion income on acquired loans	\$15,012	\$8,883	\$37,434	\$21,254
Change in FDIC-loss sharing asset	(168)	(6,419)	(1,836)	(21,193)
(Provision) recapture for losses on covered loans	(11,688)	(2,301)	(27,373)	(1,879)
FDIC clawback liability (expense) recovery	208	(448)	234	(2,148)
Pre-tax earnings impact of acquisition accounting	\$3,364	\$(285)	\$8,459	\$(3,966)

Revenue (net interest income plus noninterest income) for the three months ended June 30, 2012 was \$71.5 million, 35% more than the same period in 2011. The increase in revenue was primarily a result of increased net interest income arising from higher loan volumes and yields from assets acquired in three FDIC-assisted transactions completed in May and August 2011. For a more complete discussion of this topic, please refer to the net interest income section contained in the ensuing pages.

The provision for loan and lease losses for the second quarter of 2012 was \$3.8 million for the noncovered loan portfolio and \$11.7 million for the covered loan portfolio compared to \$2.2 million for the noncovered loan portfolio and a \$2.3 million for the covered loan portfolio during the second quarter of 2011.

The \$11.7 million in provision for losses on covered loans for the three months ended June 30, 2012 was primarily due to the combination of actual loan losses incurred subsequent to the re-measurement of cash flows during the first quarter of 2012 and expected future loan losses as re-measured during the current quarter. These combined loan losses, which exceeded predicted loan losses as measured during the first quarter of 2012, reduced expected future cash flows and, when discounted at current yields, resulted in impairment. The \$11.7 million in provision expense is partially off-set by a \$9.4 million favorable adjustment to the change in FDIC loss-sharing asset.

The \$3.8 million provision for the noncovered loan portfolio for the three months ended June 30, 2012 was driven by net charge offs realized during the respective period and to a lesser extent by the \$65 million in noncovered loan growth experienced during the three month period. The growth in noncovered loans was centered in commercial business loans and term commercial real estate loans. The Company believes that, at 2.14% of net noncovered loans, the allowance for loan and lease losses remains adequate at June 30, 2012. The allowance to net noncovered loans was 2.20% at March 31, 2012 and 2.26% at year-end 2011.

Total noninterest expense for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 was \$39.8 million, a 7% increase from the second quarter of 2011. As described above, the increase was primarily due to the additional compensation, employee benefit and occupancy expenses related to the FDIC-assisted acquisitions completed during 2011.

Table of Contents

Net Interest Income

Net interest income for the second quarter of 2012 was \$59.7 million, an increase of 21% from \$49.4 million for the same quarter in 2011. The Company's net interest margin increased to 5.88% in the second quarter of 2012, from 5.49% for the same quarter last year. The increases in net interest income and margin were primarily due to the impact of income accretion on the acquired loan portfolios. In addition to the impact of income accretion, net interest income also increased due to the increase in the size of the loan portfolio. For additional information on the loan portfolio, please see the "Loan Portfolio Analysis" section of Management's Discussion and Analysis.

The incremental accretion income represents the amount of income recorded on the acquired loans above the contractual rate stated in the individual loan notes. The incremental accretion income had a positive impact of approximately 144 bps on the second quarter's net interest margin. For the same period last year, the incremental accretion income had a positive impact of approximately 96 bps on the net interest margin.

Incremental accretion income from acquired impaired loans increased \$5.0 million from the prior year period. This increase was primarily due to acquired impaired loans acquired in FDIC-assisted transactions in May 2011. For the second quarter of 2012, the Company recorded a full three months of incremental accretion on these acquired impaired loans compared to only one month of incremental accretion for the same period in 2011. In addition, the discount accretion on loans acquired from the Bank of Whitman transaction in August 2011 increased net interest income by \$1.1 million. For additional information on the Company's accounting policies related to recording interest income on loans, please refer to "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The following table shows the impact to interest income and the related impact to the net interest margin resulting from accretion of income on certain acquired loan portfolios for the periods presented:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2012	2011	2012	2011		
	(dollars in thousands)					
Interest income as recorded	\$24,486	\$16,782	\$57,389	\$38,084		
Less: Interest income at stated note rate	9,474	7,899	19,955	16,830		
Incremental accretion income	\$15,012	\$8,883	\$37,434	\$21,254		
Incremental accretion income due to:						
Acquired impaired loans	13,875	8,883	33,196	21,254		
Other acquired loans	1,137	—	4,238	—		
Incremental accretion income	\$15,012	\$8,883	\$37,434	\$21,254		
Net interest margin	5.88	% 5.49	% 6.27	% 5.64	%	
Net interest margin excluding incremental accretion income	4.44	% 4.53	% 4.46	% 4.48	%	

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the average balances of all major categories of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, the total dollar amounts of interest income on interest-earning assets and interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities, the average yield earned on interest-earning assets and average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities by category and in total net interest income and net interest margin:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2012			Three Months Ended June 30, 2011			
	Average Balances (1)	Interest Earned / Paid	Average Rate	Average Balances (1)	Interest Earned / Paid (3)	Average Rate	
(dollars in thousands)							
ASSETS							
Loans, net (1) (2)	\$2,895,436	\$54,688	7.60	% \$2,439,439	\$44,503	7.32	%
Taxable securities	757,821	4,951	2.63	% 739,345	6,247	3.39	%
Tax exempt securities (2)	271,516	3,871	5.73	% 249,494	3,904	6.28	%
Interest-earning deposits with banks and federal funds sold	269,508	170	0.25	% 291,279	184	0.25	%
Total interest-earning assets	4,194,281	\$63,680	6.11	% 3,719,558	\$54,838	5.91	%
Other earning assets	75,631			53,211			
Noninterest-earning assets	518,811			551,621			
Total assets	\$4,788,723			\$4,324,390			
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY							
Certificates of deposit	\$551,306	\$849	0.62	% \$617,650	\$1,340	0.87	%
Savings accounts	295,568	22	0.03	% 224,673	42	0.08	%
Interest-bearing demand	791,818	238	0.12	% 704,363	405	0.23	%
Money market accounts	1,043,400	452	0.17	% 932,799	1,061	0.46	%
Total interest-bearing deposits	2,682,092	1,561	0.23	% 2,479,485	2,848	0.46	%
Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank borrowings	112,982	734	2.61	% 117,841	713	2.43	%
Long-term obligations	—	—	—	% 25,758	253	3.94	%
Other borrowings	25,783	118	1.84	% 25,489	120	1.89	%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	2,820,857	\$2,413	0.34	% 2,648,574	\$3,934	0.60	%
Noninterest-bearing deposits	1,141,893			903,001			
Other noninterest-bearing liabilities	67,582			53,650			
Shareholders' equity	758,391			719,165			
Total liabilities & shareholders' equity	\$4,788,723			\$4,324,390			
Net interest income (2)		\$61,267			\$50,903		
Net interest margin			5.88	%		5.49	%

Nonaccrual loans have been included in the tables as loans carrying a zero yield. Amortized net deferred loan fees were included in the interest income calculations. The amortization of net deferred loan fees was \$473 thousand (1) and \$302 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The amortization of net unearned discounts on other acquired loans was \$1.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012. There was no amortization of net unearned discounts in the prior year period.

(2) Tax-exempt income is calculated on a tax equivalent basis, based on a marginal tax rate of 35%.

(3) Reclassified to conform to the current period's presentation.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the average balances of all major categories of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, the total dollar amounts of interest income on interest-earning assets and interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities, the average yield earned on interest-earning assets and average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities by category and in total net interest income and net interest margin:

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012			Six Months Ended June 30, 2011				
	Average Balances (1)	Interest Earned / Paid	Average Rate	Average Balances (1)	Interest Earned / Paid (3)	Average Rate		
	(dollars in thousands)							
ASSETS								
Loans, net (1) (2)	\$2,877,980	\$ 116,658	8.15	% \$2,413,899	\$92,072	7.69	%	
Taxable securities	753,845	10,196	2.72	% 633,668	10,664	3.39	%	
Tax exempt securities (2)	273,540	7,788	5.73	% 245,043	7,732	6.36	%	
Interest-earning deposits with banks and federal funds sold	261,683	335	0.26	% 383,739	482	0.25	%	
Total interest-earning assets	4,167,048	\$ 134,977	6.51	% 3,676,351	\$ 110,951	6.09	%	
Other earning assets	75,278			52,961				
Noninterest-earning assets	540,128			567,182				
Total assets	\$4,782,454			\$4,296,494				
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY								
Certificates of deposit	\$570,439	\$ 1,849	0.65	% \$612,928	\$2,787	0.92	%	
Savings accounts	293,561	46	0.03	% 219,880	88	0.08	%	
Interest-bearing demand	769,530	468	0.12	% 693,614	816	0.24	%	
Money market accounts	1,043,972	977	0.19	% 928,368	2,236	0.49	%	
Total interest-bearing deposits	2,677,502	3,340	0.25	% 2,454,790	5,927	0.49	%	
Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank borrowings	115,038	1,484	2.59	% 116,525	1,408	2.44	%	
Long-term obligations	—	—	—	% 25,750	504	3.95	%	
Other borrowings	25,819	238	1.85	% 25,751	257	2.02	%	
Total interest-bearing liabilities	2,818,359	\$5,062	0.36	% 2,622,816	\$8,096	0.62	%	
Noninterest-bearing deposits	1,137,153			889,748				
Other noninterest-bearing liabilities	66,904			69,182				
Shareholders' equity	760,038			714,748				
Total liabilities & shareholders' equity	\$4,782,454			\$4,296,494				
Net interest income (2)		\$ 129,915			\$ 102,854			
Net interest margin			6.27	%		5.64	%	

Nonaccrual loans have been included in the tables as loans carrying a zero yield. Amortized net deferred loan fees were included in the interest income calculations. The amortization of net deferred loan fees was \$784 thousand (1) and \$526 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The amortization of net unearned discounts on other acquired loans was \$4.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. There was no amortization of net unearned discounts in the prior year period.

(2) Tax-exempt income is calculated on a tax equivalent basis, based on a marginal tax rate of 35%.

(3) Reclassified to conform to the current period's presentation.

Table of Contents

The following tables set forth the total dollar amount of change in interest income and interest expense. The changes have been segregated for each major category of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities into amounts attributable to changes in volume, changes in rates and changes in rates multiplied by volume. Changes attributable to the combined effect of volume and interest rates have been allocated proportionately to the changes due to volume and the changes due to interest rates:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 Compared to 2011		
	Increase (Decrease) Due to		Total
	Volume	Rate	
	(in thousands)		
Interest Income			
Loans (1)(2)	\$8,566	\$1,619	\$10,185
Taxable securities	153	(1,449)	(1,296)
Tax exempt securities (2)	329	(362)	(33)
Interest earning deposits with banks and federal funds sold	(14)	—	(14)
Interest income (2)	\$9,034	\$(192)	\$8,842
Interest Expense			
Deposits:			
Certificates of deposit	\$(133)	\$(358)	\$(491)
Savings accounts	11	(31)	(20)
Interest-bearing demand	45	(212)	(167)
Money market accounts	113	(722)	(609)
Total interest on deposits	36	(1,323)	(1,287)
FHLB and Federal Reserve Bank borrowings	(30)	51	21
Long-term obligations	(126)	(127)	(253)
Other borrowings	1	(3)	(2)
Interest expense	\$(119)	\$(1,402)	\$(1,521)

Nonaccrual loans have been included in the tables as loans carrying a zero yield. Amortized net deferred loan fees were included in the interest income calculations. The amortization of net deferred loan fees was (1) \$473 thousand and \$302 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The amortization of net unearned discounts on other acquired loans was \$1.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012. There was no amortization of net unearned discounts in the prior year period.

(2) Tax-exempt income is calculated on a tax equivalent basis, based on a marginal tax rate of 35%.

Table of Contents

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 Compared to 2011		
	Increase (Decrease) Due to		Total
	Volume (in thousands)	Rate	
Interest Income			
Loans (1)(2)	\$18,538	\$6,048	\$24,586
Taxable securities	1,827	(2,295)	(468)
Tax exempt securities (2)	851	(795)	56
Interest earning deposits with banks and federal funds sold	(155)	8	(147)
Interest income (2)	\$21,061	\$2,966	\$24,027
Interest Expense			
Deposits:			
Certificates of deposit	\$(183)	\$(755)	\$(938)
Savings accounts	24	(66)	(42)
Interest-bearing demand	81	(429)	(348)
Money market accounts	250	(1,509)	(1,259)
Total interest on deposits	172	(2,759)	(2,587)
FHLB and Federal Reserve Bank borrowings	(18)	94	76
Long-term obligations	(999)	495	(504)
Other borrowings	1	(20)	(19)
Interest expense	\$(844)	\$(2,190)	\$(3,034)

Nonaccrual loans have been included in the tables as loans carrying a zero yield. Amortized net deferred loan fees were included in the interest income calculations. The amortization of net deferred loan fees was \$784 thousand (1) and \$526 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The amortization of net unearned discounts on other acquired loans was \$4.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. There was no amortization of net unearned discounts in the prior year period.

(2) Tax-exempt income is calculated on a tax equivalent basis, based on a marginal tax rate of 35%.

Provision for Loan and Lease Losses

The provision for loan and lease losses for the second quarter of 2012 was \$3.8 million for the noncovered loan portfolio and \$11.7 million for the covered loan portfolio compared with \$2.2 million and \$2.3 million, respectively during the second quarter of 2011. Provision expense on covered loans is principally offset by a change in the FDIC-loss sharing asset. The \$11.7 million in provision for losses on covered loans in the current period was primarily due to the combination of actual loan losses incurred subsequent to the re-measurement of cash flows during the first quarter of 2012 and expected future loan losses as re-measured during the current quarter. These combined loan losses, which exceeded predicted loan losses as measured during the first quarter of 2012, reduced expected future cash flows and, when discounted at current yields, resulted in impairment. The \$11.7 million in provision expense is partially offset by a \$9.4 million favorable adjustment to the change in FDIC loss-sharing asset.

The \$3.8 million provision for noncovered loan losses was primarily driven by net charge offs experienced in the quarter and to a lesser extent by the \$65 million in noncovered loan growth experienced during the quarter. The growth in noncovered loans was centered in commercial business loans and term commercial real estate loans. Net noncovered loan charge-offs for the current quarter were \$3.8 million compared to \$3.4 million for the second quarter of 2011. The amount of provision was calculated in accordance with the Company's methodology for determining the ALLL, discussed in Note 5 to the Company's consolidated financial statements presented elsewhere in this report and was based upon improving credit metrics in the noncovered loan portfolio.

Table of Contents

Noninterest Income (Loss)

The following table presents the significant components of noninterest income and the related dollar and percentage change from period to period:

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,				
	2012	2011	\$ Change	% Change	2012	2011	\$ Change	% Change	
	(dollars in thousands)								
Service charges and other fees	\$7,436	\$6,467	\$969	15	% \$14,613	\$12,755	\$1,858	15	%
Merchant services fees	2,095	1,808	287	16	% 4,113	3,441	672	20	%
Gain on sale of investment securities, net	—	—	—	—	% 62	—	62	100	%
Bank owned life insurance	719	528	191	36	% 1,430	1,033	397	38	%
Change in FDIC-loss sharing asset	(168)	(6,419)	6,251	(97)	% (1,836)	(21,193)	19,357	(91)	%
Other	1,746	1,158	588	51	% 3,020	2,087	933	45	%
Total noninterest income (loss)	\$11,828	\$3,542	\$8,286	234	% 21,402	(1,877)	\$23,279	(1,240)	%

Noninterest income was \$11.8 million for the second quarter of 2012, compared to \$3.5 million for the same period in 2011. The increase was primarily due to the \$168 thousand change in the FDIC loss-sharing asset recorded as a reduction in income during the current quarter, compared to a \$6.4 million reduction to income during the same period in 2011.

Changes in the FDIC loss-sharing asset are primarily driven by amortization of the FDIC loss-sharing asset and the provision recorded for reimbursable losses on FDIC covered loans. For the second quarter of 2012, the \$9.9 million of amortization of the FDIC loss-sharing asset was nearly offset by a \$9.4 million increase in the FDIC loss-sharing asset related to the provision expense recorded for reimbursable losses on FDIC covered loans. For the same period in 2011, the \$8.1 million of amortization of the FDIC loss-sharing asset was only partially offset by a \$1.8 million increase in the FDIC loss-sharing asset related to the provision expense recorded for reimbursable losses on FDIC covered loans. For additional information on the FDIC loss-sharing asset, please see the "FDIC Loss-sharing Asset" section of Management's Discussion and Analysis and Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in "Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)" of this report.

Table of Contents

Noninterest Expense

The following table presents the significant components of noninterest expense and the related dollar and percentage change from period to period:

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,					
	2012	2011	\$ Change	% Change	2012	2011	\$ Change	% Change		
	(dollars in thousands)									
Compensation	\$17,266	\$15,343	\$1,923	13	%	\$35,307	\$30,930	\$4,377	14	%
Employee benefits	3,618	3,467	151	4	%	7,206	6,736	470	7	%
Contract labor	82	649	(567)	(87)	%	448	714	(266)	(37)	%
	20,966	19,459	1,507	8	%	42,961	38,380	4,581	12	%
All other noninterest expense:										
Occupancy	5,091	4,388	703	16	%	10,424	8,785	1,639	19	%
Merchant processing	930	905	25	3	%	1,803	1,788	15	1	%
Advertising and promotion	1,119	1,012	107	11	%	2,001	1,913	88	5	%
Data processing and communications	2,551	1,913	638	33	%	4,764	3,837	927	24	%
Legal and professional services	1,829	1,498	331	22	%	3,438	2,911	527	18	%
Taxes, license and fees	1,115	907	208	23	%	2,470	1,772	698	39	%
Regulatory premiums	925	1,079	(154)	(14)	%	1,785	3,274	(1,489)	(45)	%
Net cost of operation of noncovered other real estate owned	1,472	2,509	(1,037)	(41)	%	4,165	4,543	(378)	(8)	%
Net benefit of operation of covered other real estate owned	(1,849)	(2,295)	446	(19)	%	(3,632)	(4,771)	1,139	(24)	%
Amortization of intangibles	1,119	955	164	17	%	2,269	1,939	330	17	%
FDIC clawback expense (recovery)	(208)	448	(656)	(146)	%	(234)	2,148	(2,382)	(111)	%
Other	4,765	4,386	379	9	%	11,963	7,991	3,972	50	%
Total all other noninterest expense	18,859	17,705	1,154	7	%	41,216	36,130	5,086	14	%
Total noninterest expense	\$39,825	\$37,164	\$2,661	7	%	\$84,177	\$74,510	\$9,667	13	%

Total noninterest expense for the second quarter of 2012 was \$39.8 million, an increase of 7% from \$37.2 million a year earlier. The increase was attributable to the operating expenses of the three FDIC-assisted bank acquisitions since May 2011. The most significant increases were in compensation and benefits, occupancy expense and data processing and communications. All of these increases were the result of the addition of 17 branch locations acquired in the three FDIC-assisted acquisitions. These increases were partially offset by decreases in the net cost of operation of other real estate owned and the FDIC clawback expense (recovery). The decrease in the clawback expense for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 was due to the performance of the covered loans trending back towards the loss sharing thresholds established at acquisition. The decline in the net cost of operation on other

real estate owned was primarily due to an increase in net gains on sale, as well as a decrease in write-downs on noncovered other real estate owned.

38

Table of Contents

The following table presents selected items included in other noninterest expense and the associated change from period to period:

	Three Months Ended		Increase (Decrease) Amount	Six Months Ended		Increase (Decrease) Amount
	June 30, 2012	2011		June 30, 2012	2011	
	(in thousands)					
Postage	\$478	\$493	\$(15)	\$920	\$1,022	\$(102)
Software support & maintenance	440	299	141	816	609	207
Supplies	291	350	(59)	593	617	(24)
Insurance	265	215	50	536	437	99
ATM Network	245	240	5	553	462	91
Travel	407	298	109	701	513	188
Employee expenses	190	139	51	409	310	99
Sponsorships and charitable contributions	209	288	(79)	372	418	(46)
Directors fees	150	115	35	267	230	37
Federal Reserve Bank processing fees	49	81	(32)	124	160	(36)
CRA partnership investment expense	315	370	(55)	386	424	(38)
Investor relations	114	115	(1)	142	140	2
Other personal property owned	177	—	177	2,333	—	2,333
Miscellaneous	1,435	1,183	252	3,811	2,944	867
Total other noninterest expense	\$4,765	\$4,186	\$579	\$11,963	\$8,286	\$3,677

In managing our business, we review the efficiency ratio, on a fully taxable-equivalent basis. Our efficiency ratio (noninterest expense, excluding net cost of operation of other real estate and FDIC clawback liability expense, divided by the sum of net interest income and noninterest income on a tax equivalent basis, excluding any gain/loss on sale of investment securities, gain on bank acquisition, incremental accretion income on the acquired loan portfolio and the change in the FDIC indemnification asset) was 68.54% for the second quarter of 2012 compared to 69.49% for the second quarter 2011.

Income Taxes

We recorded an income tax provision of \$4.4 million for the second quarter of 2012, compared to a provision of \$2.7 million for the same period in 2011. The effective tax rate was 27% for the second quarter of 2012, compared to 24% for the same period in 2011. Our effective tax rate remains lower than the statutory tax rate due to our nontaxable income generated from tax-exempt loans and municipal bonds, investments in bank owned life insurance, and low income housing credits. For additional information, please refer to the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Total assets were relatively unchanged at \$4.79 billion as of June 30, 2012, compared to December 31, 2011.

Investment Securities

At June 30, 2012, the Company held investment securities totaling \$1.00 billion compared to \$1.03 billion at December 31, 2011. All of our securities are classified as available for sale and carried at fair value. The decrease in the investment securities portfolio from year-end is due to \$112.4 million in maturities and sales of securities in the portfolio partially offset by \$87.3 million in purchases. These securities are used by the Company as a component of its balance sheet management strategies. From time-to-time securities may be sold to reposition the portfolio in response to strategies developed by the Company's asset liability committee. In accordance with our investment strategy, management monitors market conditions with a view to realize gains on its available for sale securities portfolio when prudent.

At June 30, 2012, the market value of securities available for sale had an unrealized gain of \$41.1 million compared to an unrealized gain of \$40.6 million at December 31, 2011. The slight increase in the unrealized gain was the result of the fluctuations in interest rates. The Company does carry \$120.6 million of investment securities with unrealized losses of \$904 thousand; however, we do not consider these investment securities to be other-than-temporarily

impaired. In the future, if the impairment is judged to be other-than-temporary, to the extent that the loss is determined to be credit-related, the cost basis of

Table of Contents

the individual impaired securities will be written down to fair value; the amount of the write-down could be included in earnings as a realized loss. The remaining non-credit-related impairment would be recorded to other comprehensive income.

The Company continues to carry one municipal bond with a par value of \$3.0 million that was determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired during December 2011. The bond was issued by the Greater Wenatchee Regional Events Center Public Facilities District. At year-end 2011, the present value of expected future cash flows for that obligation was determined to be zero and, accordingly, the Company recorded a \$3.0 million impairment charge to earnings during the fourth quarter of 2011. In April 2012, voters in the districts that comprise the Public Facilities District approved a 0.1 percent sales tax increase. That increase, plus a 0.2 percent sales tax increase in the city of Wenatchee, could facilitate repayment of the municipal obligation at some point in the future. Any such repayment will be recorded upon receipt to earnings.

The following table sets forth our securities portfolio by type for the dates indicated:

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
	(in thousands)	
Securities Available for Sale		
U.S. government agency and government-sponsored enterprise mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations	\$621,278	\$695,954
State and municipal securities	278,807	285,763
U.S. government and government-sponsored enterprise securities	94,294	43,063
Other securities	3,384	3,330
Total	\$997,763	\$1,028,110

For further information on our investment portfolio see Note 3 of the Consolidated Financial Statements in "Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)" of this report.

Credit Risk Management

The extension of credit in the form of loans or other credit products to individuals and businesses is one of our principal business activities. Our policies and applicable laws and regulations require risk analysis as well as ongoing portfolio and credit management. We manage our credit risk through lending limit constraints, credit review, approval policies, and extensive, ongoing internal monitoring. We also manage credit risk through diversification of the loan portfolio by type of loan, type of industry, type of borrower and by limiting the aggregation of debt limits to a single borrower. The monitoring process for our loan portfolio includes periodic reviews of individual loans with risk ratings assigned to each loan. We review these loans to assess the ability of the borrower to service all of its interest and principal obligations and, as a result, the risk rating may be adjusted accordingly. In the event that full collection of principal and interest is not reasonably assured, the loan is appropriately downgraded and, if warranted, placed on nonaccrual status even though the loan may be current as to principal and interest payments. Additionally, we review these types of loans for impairment in accordance with the Receivables topic of the FASB ASC. Impaired loans are considered for nonaccrual status and will typically remain as such until all principal and interest payments are brought current and the prospects for future payments in accordance with the loan agreement appear relatively certain.

Loan policies, credit quality criteria, loan portfolio guidelines and other credit approval processes are established under the guidance of our Chief Credit Officer and approved, as appropriate, by the Board of Directors. The Company's Credit Administration department and loan committee have the responsibility for administering the credit approval process. As another part of its control process, we use an independent internal credit review and examination function to provide assurance that loans and commitments are made and maintained as prescribed by our credit policies. This includes a review of documentation when the loan is initially extended and subsequent monitoring to assess continued performance and proper risk assessment.

Table of Contents

Loan Portfolio Analysis

We are a full service commercial bank, originating a wide variety of loans, but concentrating our lending efforts on originating commercial business and commercial real estate loans.

The following table sets forth the Company's loan portfolio by type of loan for the dates indicated:

	June 30, 2012	% of Total		December 31, 2011	% of Total	
	(dollars in thousands)					
Commercial business	\$1,111,440	45.6	%	\$1,031,721	43.9	%
Real estate:						
One-to-four family residential	55,883	2.3	%	64,491	2.8	%
Commercial and multifamily residential	1,017,736	41.8	%	998,165	42.5	%
Total real estate	1,073,619	44.1	%	1,062,656	45.3	%
Real estate construction:						
One-to-four family residential	47,417	1.9	%	50,208	2.1	%
Commercial and multifamily residential	48,765	2.0	%	36,768	1.6	%
Total real estate construction	96,182	3.9	%	86,976	3.7	%
Consumer	167,387	6.9	%	183,235	7.8	%
Subtotal	2,448,628	100.5	%	2,364,588	100.7	%
Less: Net unearned income	(11,667)	(0.5)	%)	(16,217)	(0.7)	%)
Total noncovered loans, net of unearned income	2,436,961	100.0	%	2,348,371	100.0	%
Less: Allowance for loan and lease losses	(52,196)			(53,041)		
Noncovered loans, net	2,384,765			2,295,330		
Covered loans, net of allowance of (\$31,784) and (\$4,944), respectively	462,994			531,929		
Total loans, net	\$2,847,759			\$2,827,259		
Loans Held for Sale	\$2,088			\$2,148		

Total noncovered loans increased \$84.0 million, or 3.6%, from year-end 2011. Growth was centered in the commercial business loan segment which increased \$79.7 million. Growth in this segment was broad-based led by agriculture and fishing, followed by finance and insurance. There was also strong growth in the commercial and multifamily residential real estate construction segment, which was led by growth in multifamily residential real estate construction loans. The growth in business and real estate construction loans was offset by contraction in the other portfolio segments, notably the consumer portfolio segment which declined \$15.8 million. Most of this decline was in home equity loans. The noncovered loan portfolio continues to be diversified, with the intent to mitigate risk by minimizing concentration in any one segment.

Commercial Loans: We are committed to providing competitive commercial lending in our primary market areas. Management expects a continued focus within its commercial lending products and to emphasize, in particular, relationship banking with businesses, and business owners.

Real Estate Loans: One-to-four family residential loans are secured by properties located within our primary market areas and, typically, have loan-to-value ratios of 80% or lower. Our underwriting standards for commercial and multifamily residential loans generally require that the loan-to-value ratio for these loans not exceed 75% of appraised value, cost, or discounted cash flow value, as appropriate, and that commercial properties maintain debt coverage ratios (net operating income divided by annual debt servicing) of 1.2 or better. However, underwriting standards can be influenced by competition and other factors. We endeavor to maintain the highest practical underwriting standards while balancing the need to remain competitive in our lending practices.

Table of Contents

Real Estate Construction Loans: We originate a variety of real estate construction loans. Underwriting guidelines for these loans vary by loan type but include loan-to-value limits, term limits and loan advance limits, as applicable. Our underwriting guidelines for commercial and multifamily residential real estate construction loans generally require that the loan-to-value ratio not exceed 75% and stabilized debt coverage ratios (net operating income divided by annual debt servicing) of 1.2 or better. As noted above, underwriting standards can be influenced by competition and other factors. However, we endeavor to maintain the highest practical underwriting standards while balancing the need to remain competitive in our lending practices.

Consumer Loans: Consumer loans include automobile loans, boat and recreational vehicle financing, home equity and home improvement loans and miscellaneous personal loans.

For additional information on our noncovered loan portfolio, including amounts pledged as collateral on borrowings, see Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in "Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)" of this report.

Covered Loans: Covered loans are comprised of loans and loan commitments acquired in connection with the 2011 FDIC-assisted acquisitions of First Heritage Bank and Summit Bank, as well as the 2010 FDIC-assisted acquisitions of Columbia River Bank and American Marine Bank. These loans are generically referred to as covered because they are generally subject to one of the loss-sharing agreements between the Company and the FDIC. There was no loss-sharing agreement in the Bank of Whitman transaction, so loans acquired in that transaction are noncovered loans. The loss-sharing agreements relating to the 2010 FDIC-assisted transactions limit the Company's losses to 20% of the contractual balance outstanding up to a stated threshold amount of \$206.0 million for Columbia River Bank and \$66.0 million for American Marine Bank. If losses exceed the stated threshold, the Company's share of the remaining losses decreases to 5%. The loss-sharing agreements relating to the 2011 FDIC-assisted transactions limit the Company's losses to 20% of the contractual balance outstanding. The loss-sharing provisions of the 2011 agreements for commercial and single family residential mortgage loans are in effect for five years and ten years, respectively, from the acquisition dates and the loss recovery provisions for such loans are in effect for eight years and ten years, respectively, from the acquisition dates.

The following table is a rollforward of acquired, impaired loans accounted for under ASC 310-30, Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality for the six months ended June 30, 2012:

	Contractual Cash Flows (in thousands)	Nonaccretable Difference	Accretable Yield	Carrying Amount
Balance at January 1, 2012	\$835,556	\$ (91,317)	\$ (259,669)	\$484,570
Established through acquisitions	—	—	—	—
Principal reductions	(82,134)	—	—	(82,134)
Accretion of loan discount	—	—	49,474	49,474
Changes in contractual and expected cash flows due to re-measurement	(58,285)	39,229	(8,938)	(27,994)
Reduction due to removals	(12,666)	1,909	5,072	(5,685)
Balance at June 30, 2012	\$682,471	\$ (50,179)	\$ (214,061)	\$418,231

Nonperforming Assets

Nonperforming assets consist of: (i) nonaccrual loans; (ii) other real estate owned; and (iii) other personal property owned.

Nonaccrual noncovered loans: The consolidated financial statements are prepared according to the accrual basis of accounting. This includes the recognition of interest income on the loan portfolio, unless a loan is placed on a nonaccrual basis, which occurs when there are serious doubts about the collectability of principal or interest.

Generally our policy is to discontinue the accrual of interest on all loans past due 90 days or more and place them on nonaccrual status. When a noncovered loan is placed on nonaccrual status, any accrued but unpaid interest on that date is removed from interest income.

Table of Contents

Covered loans: We consider covered loans to be performing due to the application of the yield accretion method under ASC Topic 310-30. Topic 310-30 allows us to aggregate credit-impaired loans acquired in the same fiscal quarter into one or more pools, provided the loans have common risk characteristics. A pool is then accounted for as a single asset with a single composite interest rate and an aggregate expectation of cash flows. The covered loans acquired are and will continue to be subject to the Company's internal and external credit review and monitoring. Any credit deterioration experienced subsequent to the initial acquisition will result in a provision for loan losses being charged to earnings. These provisions will be mostly offset by an increase to the FDIC loss-sharing asset and will be recognized in noninterest income.

The following table set forth, at the dates indicated, information with respect to our noncovered nonaccrual loans and total noncovered nonperforming assets:

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
	(in thousands)	
Nonperforming assets, excluding covered assets		
Nonaccrual loans:		
Commercial business	\$13,052	\$10,243
Real estate:		
One-to-four family residential	2,244	2,696
Commercial and multifamily residential	23,302	19,485
Total real estate	25,546	22,181
Real estate construction:		
One-to-four family residential	5,223	10,785
Commercial and multifamily residential	3,754	7,067
Total real estate construction	8,977	17,852
Consumer	1,890	3,207
Total nonaccrual loans	49,465	53,483
Noncovered other real estate owned and other personal property owned	17,608	31,905
Total nonperforming noncovered assets	\$67,073	\$85,388

At June 30, 2012, nonperforming noncovered assets were \$67.1 million, compared to \$85.4 million at December 31, 2011. The percent of nonperforming, noncovered assets to period-end noncovered assets at June 30, 2012 was 1.56% compared to 2.02% for December 31, 2011. Nonperforming noncovered assets decreased \$18.3 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012, primarily due to payments and declines in noncovered OREO and noncovered OPPO.

Other Real Estate Owned: During the six months ended June 30, 2012, noncovered OREO declined \$9.0 million. The following table sets forth activity in noncovered OREO for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012	2011
	(in thousands)	
Noncovered OREO:		
Balance, beginning of period	\$22,893	\$30,991
Transfers in, net of write-downs (\$118 and \$108, respectively)	6,388	3,148
OREO improvements	11	468
Additional OREO write-downs	(3,774) (4,446
Proceeds from sale of OREO property	(11,899) (7,874
Gain on sale of OREO, net	306	452
Total noncovered OREO, end of period	\$13,925	\$22,739

Other Personal Property Owned: During the six months ended June 30, 2012, noncovered OPPO declined \$5.3 million primarily as a result of \$2.0 million of OPPO sales and \$2.3 million in write-downs recorded as expense in the consolidated statements of income. Also contributing to the decline in noncovered OPPO was the conversion of a \$945 thousand item from OPPO to OREO during the current six month period.

Table of Contents

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

We maintain an allowance for loan and lease losses (“ALLL”) to absorb losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The size of the ALLL is determined through quarterly assessments of the probable estimated losses in the loan portfolio. Our methodology for making such assessments and determining the adequacy of the ALLL includes the following key elements:

1. General valuation allowance consistent with the Contingencies topic of the FASB ASC.
2. Classified loss reserves on specific relationships. Specific allowances for identified problem loans are determined in accordance with the Receivables topic of the FASB ASC.
3. The unallocated allowance provides for other credit losses inherent in our loan portfolio that may not have been contemplated in the general and specific components of the allowance. This unallocated amount generally comprises less than 5% of the allowance. The unallocated amount is reviewed periodically based on trends in credit losses, the results of credit reviews and overall economic trends.

On a quarterly basis our Chief Credit Officer reviews with Executive Management and the Board of Directors the various additional factors that management considers when determining the adequacy of the ALLL, including economic and business condition reviews. Factors which influenced management’s judgment in determining the amount of the additions to the ALLL charged to operating expense include the following as of the applicable balance sheet dates:

1. Existing general economic and business conditions affecting our market place
2. Credit quality trends
3. Historical loss experience
4. Seasoning of the loan portfolio
5. Bank regulatory examination results
6. Findings of internal credit examiners
7. Duration of current business cycle
8. Specific loss estimates for problem loans

The ALLL is increased by provisions for loan and lease losses (“provision”) charged to expense, and is reduced by loans charged off, net of recoveries. While we believe the best information available is used by us to determine the ALLL, changes in market conditions could result in adjustments to the ALLL, affecting net income, if circumstances differ from the assumptions used in determining the ALLL.

In addition to the ALLL, we maintain an allowance for unfunded commitments and letters of credit. We report this allowance as a liability on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. We determine this amount using estimates of the probability of the ultimate funding and losses related to those credit exposures. This methodology is similar to the methodology we use for determining the adequacy of our ALLL. For additional information on our allowance for unfunded commitments and letters of credit, see Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements presented elsewhere in this report.

At June 30, 2012, our allowance for loan and lease losses for noncovered loans was \$52.2 million, or 2.14% of total noncovered loans (excluding loans held for sale) and 105.52% of nonperforming, noncovered loans. This compares with an allowance of \$53.0 million, or 2.26% of the total loan portfolio (excluding loans held for sale), and 99.17% of nonperforming, noncovered loans at December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

The following table provides an analysis of the Company's allowance for loan and lease losses for noncovered loans at the dates and the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in thousands)			
Beginning balance	\$52,283	\$55,315	\$53,041	\$60,993
Charge-offs:				
Commercial business	(2,044)	(834)	(4,403)	(4,205)
One-to-four family residential	(334)	(216)	(449)	(664)
Commercial and multifamily residential	(1,839)	(1,554)	(4,516)	(1,919)
One-to-four family residential construction	(897)	(805)	(1,102)	(2,232)
Commercial and multifamily residential construction	(93)	(1,078)	(93)	(1,565)
Consumer	(374)	(271)	(1,467)	(1,196)
Total charge-offs	(5,581)	(4,758)	(12,030)	(11,781)
Recoveries				
Commercial business	378	592	1,036	697
One-to-four family residential	2	—	45	—
Commercial and multifamily residential	822	13	892	86
One-to-four family residential construction	455	700	502	1,804
Commercial and multifamily residential construction	1	—	1	—
Consumer	86	45	459	108
Total recoveries	1,744	1,350	2,935	2,695
Net charge-offs	(3,837)	(3,408)	(9,095)	(9,086)
Provision charged to expense	3,750	2,150	8,250	2,150
Ending balance	\$52,196	\$54,057	\$52,196	\$54,057
Total noncovered loans, net at end of period, excluding loans held of sale (1)	\$2,436,961	\$1,987,474	\$2,436,961	\$1,987,474
Allowance for loan and lease losses to period-end noncovered loans	2.14	% 2.72	% 2.14	% 2.72
Allowance for unfunded commitments and letters of credit				
Beginning balance	\$1,665	\$1,660	\$1,535	\$1,165
Net changes in the allowance for unfunded commitments and letters of credit	—	(200)	130	295
Ending balance	\$1,665	\$1,460	\$1,665	\$1,460

(1) Excludes loans held for sale.

Table of Contents

FDIC Loss-sharing Asset

The Company has elected to account for amounts receivable under loss-sharing agreements with the FDIC as an indemnification asset in accordance with the Business Combinations topic of the FASB ASC. The FDIC indemnification asset is initially recorded at fair value, based on the discounted expected future cash flows under the loss-sharing agreements.

Subsequent to initial recognition, the FDIC indemnification asset is reviewed quarterly and adjusted for any changes in expected cash flows. These adjustments are measured on the same basis as the related covered loans. Any decrease in expected cash flows from the covered assets due to an increase in expected credit losses will increase the FDIC indemnification asset and any increase in expected future cash flows from the covered assets due to a decrease in expected credit losses will decrease the FDIC indemnification asset. Increases and decreases to the FDIC loss-sharing asset are recorded as adjustments to noninterest income.

At June 30, 2012, the FDIC loss-sharing asset was \$140.0 million which was comprised of a \$120.2 million FDIC indemnification asset and a \$19.8 million FDIC receivable. The FDIC receivable represents the amounts due from the FDIC for claims related to covered losses the Company has incurred net of amounts due to the FDIC relating to shared recoveries.

The following table summarizes the activity related to the FDIC loss-sharing asset for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	2011	June 30,	2011
	2012		2012	
	(in thousands)			
Balance at beginning of period	\$159,061	\$193,053	\$175,071	\$205,991
Adjustments not reflected in income				
Established through acquisitions	—	68,734	—	68,734
Cash received from the FDIC	(19,508)	(44,892)	(34,313)	(44,892)
FDIC reimbursable losses, net	618	(782)	1,081	1,054
Adjustments reflected in income				
Amortization, net	(9,851)	(8,059)	(23,725)	(21,628)
Impairment	9,350	1,841	21,898	1,503
Sale of other real estate	(1,498)	(1,149)	(3,565)	(2,016)
Other	99	505	194	505
Balance at end of period	\$140,003	\$209,694	\$140,003	\$209,694

For additional information on the FDIC loss-sharing asset, please see Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements presented elsewhere in this report.

Liquidity and Sources of Funds

Our primary sources of funds are customer deposits. Additionally, we utilize advances from the FHLB of Seattle, the FRB of San Francisco, and wholesale repurchase agreements to supplement our funding needs. These funds, together with loan repayments, loan sales, retained earnings, equity and other borrowed funds are used to make loans, to acquire securities and other assets, and to fund continuing operations.

Deposit Activities

Our deposit products include a wide variety of transaction accounts, savings accounts and time deposit accounts. Core deposits (demand deposit, savings, money market accounts and certificates of deposit less than \$100,000) increased \$57.9 million, or approximately 2%, since year-end 2011 while certificates of deposit greater than \$100,000 decreased \$23.5 million, or approximately 9%, to \$239.3 million from year-end 2011.

We have established a branch system to serve our consumer and business depositors. In addition, management's strategy for funding asset growth is to make use of brokered and other wholesale deposits on an as-needed basis. The Company also participates in the Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service (CDARS®) program. CDARS® is a network that allows participating banks to offer extended FDIC deposit insurance coverage on certificates of deposit.

Unlike traditional brokered

46

Table of Contents

deposits, the Company generally makes CDARS® available only to existing customers who desire additional deposit insurance coverage rather than as a means of generating additional liquidity. At June 30, 2012 CDARS® deposits were \$23.1 million, or 1% of total deposits, compared to \$42.1 million at year-end 2011. The brokered deposits have varied maturities.

The following table sets forth the Company's deposit base by type of product for the dates indicated:

	June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011		
	Balance	% of Total	Balance	% of Total	
(dollars in thousands)					
Core deposits:					
Demand and other non-interest bearing	\$1,159,462	30.3	% \$1,156,610	30.3	%
Interest bearing demand	794,430	20.7	% 735,340	19.3	%
Money market	1,040,787	27.2	% 1,031,664	27.0	%
Savings	296,679	7.7	% 283,416	7.4	%
Certificates of deposit less than \$100,000	276,949	7.2	% 303,405	8.0	%
Total core deposits	3,568,307	93.1	% 3,510,435	92.0	%
Certificates of deposit greater than \$100,000	239,279	6.3	% 262,731	6.9	%
Certificates of deposit insured by CDARS®	23,062	0.6	% 42,080	1.1	%
Subtotal	3,830,648	100.0	% 3,815,246	100.0	%
Premium resulting from acquisition date fair value adjustment	169		283		
Total deposits	\$3,830,817		\$3,815,529		

Borrowings

We rely on FHLB advances and FRB borrowings as another source of both short and long-term funding. FHLB advances and FRB borrowings are secured by bonds within our investment portfolio, residential, commercial and commercial real estate loans. At June 30, 2012, we had FHLB advances of \$112.4 million, before acquisition date fair value adjustments, compared to \$118.1 million at December 31, 2011.

We also utilize wholesale repurchase agreements as a supplement to our funding sources. Our wholesale repurchase agreements are secured by mortgage-backed securities. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 we had repurchase agreements of \$25.0 million. Management anticipates we will continue to rely on FHLB advances, FRB borrowings, and wholesale repurchase agreements in the future and we will use those funds primarily to make loans and purchase securities.

Contractual Obligations & Commitments

We are party to many contractual financial obligations, including repayment of borrowings, operating and equipment lease payments, commitments to extend credit and investments in affordable housing partnerships. At June 30, 2012, we had commitments to extend credit of \$805.0 million compared to \$709.9 million at December 31, 2011.

Capital Resources

Shareholders' equity at June 30, 2012 was \$758.7 million, down slightly from \$759.3 million at December 31, 2011. Shareholders' equity was 16% of total period-end assets at both June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Capital Ratios: Banking regulations require bank holding companies to maintain a minimum "leverage" ratio of core capital to adjusted quarterly average total assets of at least 3%. In addition, banking regulators have adopted risk-based capital guidelines, under which risk percentages are assigned to various categories of assets and off-balance sheet items to calculate a risk-adjusted capital ratio. Tier I capital generally consists of preferred stock, common shareholders' equity, and trust preferred obligations, less goodwill and certain identifiable intangible assets, while Tier II capital includes the allowance for loan losses and subordinated debt, both subject to certain limitations. Regulatory minimum risk-based capital guidelines require Tier I capital of 4% of risk-adjusted assets and total capital (combined Tier I and Tier II) of 8% to be considered "adequately capitalized".

Table of Contents

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation regulations set forth the qualifications necessary for a bank to be classified as “well capitalized”, primarily for assignment of FDIC insurance premium rates. To qualify as “well capitalized,” banks must have a Tier I risk-adjusted capital ratio of at least 6%, a total risk-adjusted capital ratio of at least 10%, and a leverage ratio of at least 5%. Failure to qualify as “well capitalized” can negatively impact a bank’s ability to expand and to engage in certain activities.

The Company and its subsidiary qualify as “well-capitalized” at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

	Company		Columbia Bank		Requirements		Adequately capitalized	Well-Capitalized	
	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011					
Total risk-based capital ratio	20.78	% 21.05	% 18.09	% 18.55	% 8.00	% 10.00	%		%
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio	19.51	% 19.79	% 16.82	% 17.29	% 4.00	% 6.00	%		%
Leverage ratio	12.88	% 12.96	% 11.25	% 11.45	% 4.00	% 5.00	%		%

Stock Repurchase Program

In October 2011, the Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program authorizing the Company to repurchase up to 2 million shares of its outstanding shares of common stock. The Company intends to purchase the shares from time to time in the open market or in private transactions, under conditions which allow such repurchases to be accretive to earnings per share while maintaining capital ratios that exceed the guidelines for a well-capitalized financial institution. No shares were repurchased under the stock repurchase program during the first six months of 2012.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

A number of measures are used to monitor and manage interest rate risk, including income simulations and interest sensitivity (gap) analysis. An income simulation model is the primary tool used to assess the direction and magnitude of changes in net interest income resulting from changes in interest rates. Basic assumptions in the model include prepayment speeds on mortgage-related assets, cash flows and maturities of other investment securities, loan and deposit volumes and pricing. These assumptions are inherently subjective and, as a result, the model cannot precisely estimate net interest income or precisely predict the impact of higher or lower interest rates on net interest income. Actual results will differ from simulated results due to timing, magnitude and frequency of interest rate changes and changes in market conditions and management strategies, among other factors. At June 30, 2012, based on the measures used to monitor and manage interest rate risk, there has not been a material change in the Company’s interest rate risk since December 31, 2011. For additional information, refer to “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” in the Company’s 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

An evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of the Company’s management, including the Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”) and Chief Financial Officer (“CFO”), of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based on that evaluation, the CEO and CFO have concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in ensuring that the information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is (i) accumulated and communicated to our management (including the CEO and CFO) to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure, and (ii) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms.

Changes in Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal controls over financial reporting during our most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company and its banking subsidiary are parties to routine litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. Management believes that, based on the information currently known to them, any liabilities arising from such litigation will not have a material adverse impact on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

Refer to Item 1A of Part I of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for a discussion of risk factors relating to the Company's business. The Company believes that there has been no material change in its risk factors as previously disclosed in the Company's Form 10-K.

Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

(a) Not applicable

(b) Not applicable

(c) Not applicable

Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

Item 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Item 6. EXHIBITS

31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101*	The following financial information from Columbia Banking System, Inc's. Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 is formatted in XBRL: (i) the Unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Income, (iii) the Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, (iv) the Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity, (v) the Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (vi) the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

* Furnished herewith

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

COLUMBIA BANKING SYSTEM, INC.

Date: August 6, 2012

By /s/ MELANIE J. DRESSEL
Melanie J. Dressel
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: August 6, 2012

By /s/ CLINT E. STEIN
Clint E. Stein
Senior Vice President and
Acting Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

32 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

The following financial information from Columbia Banking System, Inc's. Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 is formatted in XBRL: (i) the Unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the
101* Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Income, (iii) the Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, (iv) the Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity, (v) the Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (vi) the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

* Furnished herewith