MESTEK INC Form 10-Q August 14, 2002

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarter ended: June 30, 2002

Commission file number: 1-448

MESTEK, INC.

Pennsylvania Corporation

I.R.S. Employer Identification No. 25-0661650

260 North Elm Street Westfield, Massachusetts 01085

Telephone: (413) 568-9571

The Registrant has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months and has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

The number of shares of Common Stock outstanding as of August 6, 2002, was 8,721,603.

MESTEK, INC.

QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002

INDEX

| <pre>Item 1:</pre> | |
|--|-------|
| Condensed consolidated balance sheets at June 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001 | 3 - 4 |
| Condensed consolidated statements of income for the three months ended 2002 and 2001 and the six months ended June 30, 2002 and 2001 | • |
| Condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2002 and 2001 | 6 |
| Condensed consolidated statement of changes in shareholders' equity for the period from January 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002 | 7 |
| Notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements | 8-19 |
| Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 20-29 |
| PART II - OTHER INFORMATION | |
| Item 3 - Legal Proceedings | 30 |
| Item 5 - Other Information | 31 |
| Item 6 - Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K | 31 |
| Item 7 - Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders | 31 |
| Statement of Computation of Per share Earnings | 32 |
| SIGNATURE | 32 |
| | |

In the opinion of management, the information contained herein reflects all adjustments necessary to make the results of operations for the interim periods a fair statement of such operations. All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature.

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1 - Financial Statements

MESTEK, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

June 30, Dec. 31, 2002 2001

| | , | thousands) |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| A CODEC | (Unaudited |) (Audited) |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current Assets | | |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | \$6,636 | \$2,315 |
| Accounts Receivable - less allowances of, | | |
| \$4,920 and \$4,239 respectively | 57 , 426 | 57 , 944 |
| Inventories | 59 , 047 | 64,588 |
| Other Current Assets | 18,126 | 10,740 |
| | | |
| Total Current Assets | 141,235 | 135 , 587 |
| Property and Equipment - net | 58,189 | 58 , 334 |
| Other Assets and Deferred Charges - net | 9,345 | 8,158 |
| Excess of Cost over Net Assets of Acquired Companies | 57,737 | 57,432 |
| | | |
| Total Assets | \$266 , 506 | \$259 , 511 |
| | ======= | ====== |

See the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Continued on next page

MESTEK, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (continued)

| | | Dec. 31, 2001 |
|--|--|--|
| | (Dollars in (Unaudited) | |
| LIABILITIES, AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY Current Liabilities | | |
| Current Portion of Long-Term Debt Accounts Payable Accrued Compensation Accrued Commissions Reserve for Equity Investment Losses Customer Deposits Other Accrued Liabilities | 16,186 4,095 2,052 6,000 8,215 | \$30,002 17,687 5,585 2,307 6,000 5,177 21,629 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 92,930 | 88,387 |
| Long-Term Debt Other Liabilities | · · | 180 14 |

| Total Liabilities | 98,142 | 88,581 |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Minority Interests | 1,143 | 1,085 |
| Shareholders' Equity | | |
| Common Stock - no par, stated value \$0.05 per share, 9,610,135 shares issued | 170 | 479 |
| Paid in Capital | | 15,434 |
| Retained Earnings | • | 165,423 |
| Treasury Shares, at cost, (888,532 common shares) | • | (10,101) |
| Cumulative Translation Adjustment | | (1,390) |
| Total Shareholders' Equity | 167,221 | 169,845 |
| | | |
| Total Liabilities, and Shareholders' Equity | \$266,506 | \$259,511 |
| | ======= | ====== |

See the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

MESTEK, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2002 2001 | | June | e 30, |
|--|---|----------------|------------------|---------------------|
| | | | in thousa | ands, mon share) |
| Net Sales Net Service Revenues | | | | \$190,520 353 |
| Total Revenues | 90,630 | 94,579 | 179 , 691 | 190,873 |
| Cost of Goods Sold Cost of Service Revenues | • | | • | 138,907 283 |
| Gross Profit | 26,605 | 26,571 | 51 , 572 | 51,683 |
| Selling Expense General and Administrative Expense Engineering Expense Environmental Charges Restructuring Charges | 5,849 3,950 | 4,563 3,357 | 7,424 9,473 | 9,468 6,470 |

| Operating (Loss) Profit | (6,152) | 4,661 | (3,039) | 8,349 |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Interest Income (Expense) Other Income (Expense) - net | (219) (193) | (164) (206) | (494) (398) | (357) (319) |
| (Loss) Income from Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes | (6,564) | | (3,931) | 7 , 673 |
| Income Taxes (Benefit) | (2,192) | 1,665 | (1,169) | 2,949 |
| (Loss) Income from Continuing Operations | (4,372) | 2,626 | (2,762) | 4,724 |
| Discontinued Operations: | | | | |
| Gain on Sale of Discontinued Operations Applicable Income Tax Expense | | | | 16,572 7,482 |
| Net Gain on Sale of Discontinued Operations | | | | |
| Net (Loss) Income | (\$4,372) | \$2,626 | (\$2 , 762) | \$13,814 |
| Basic (Loss) Earnings Per Common Share Continuing Operations Discontinued Operations | (\$0.50) | \$0.30 | (\$0.32) | 1.04 |
| Net (Loss) Income | (\$0.50) ===== | | (\$0.32) | \$1.58 |
| Basic Weighted Average Shares Outstanding | 8,722 ==== | 8 , 722 | 8,722 ==== | |
| Diluted (Loss) Earnings Per Common Share Continuing Operations Discontinued Operations | (\$0.50) | | (\$0.32) | 1.04 |
| Net (Loss) Income | | \$0.30 ===== | (\$0.32) | \$1.58 |
| Diluted Weighted Average Shares Outstanding | 8 , 759 | 8 , 752 | 8,763 ===== | 8,751 ===== |

See the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

MESTEK, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | 2002 | 2001 |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities: | | |
| Net (Loss) Income Adjustments to Reconcile Net (Loss) Income to Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities: | (\$2 , 762) | \$13,814 |
| Depreciation and Amortization Provision for Losses on Accounts Receivable Change in Assets & Liabilities: | 3,826 681 | 4,772 788 |
| Cash Flows Provided by (Used in) Changes In: Accounts Receivable Inventory Other Assets Accounts Payable Accrued Expenses and Other Liabilities | | (2,755) 938 (17) (4,497) |
| Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities | 9,631 | 15,235 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities: Capital Expenditures Disposition of National Northeast Net Cash (Used in) Provided by Investing Activities | | (1,034) 31,438 30,404 |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities: Net Repayments Issuance of Long Term Debt Under Line of Credit Agreements Increase (Decrease) in Minority Interests Repurchase of Common Stock | (7 , 388) 58 | (42,882) (1,661) (368) |
| Net Cash Used in Financing Activities | (1,818) | (44,911) |
| Cumulative Translation Adjustments | 138 | (42) |
| Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents Cash and Cash Equivalents - Beginning of Period | 4,321 2,315 | 686 2,417 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents - End of Period | \$6,636 ===== | \$3,103 ====== |

See the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

MESTEK, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

For the period January 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

| | | | | Cum |
|---------------------------------------|--------|------------------|--------------------|-------|
| | Common | Paid In | Retained | Tre |
| | Stock | Capital | Earnings | Sha |
| Balance - January 1, 2001 (audited) | \$479 | \$15,434 | \$158,697 | (\$9 |
| Net Income | 717 | V10 , 101 | 6,726 | (4) |
| Common Stock Repurchased | | | | 1 |
| Cumulative Translation Adjustment | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Balance - December 31, 2001 (audited) | \$479 | \$15,434 | \$165 , 423 | (\$10 |
| | ==== | ====== | ======= | ==== |
| Net Loss | | | (2,762) | |
| Cumulative Translation Adjustment | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Balance - June 30, 2002 (unaudited) | \$479 | \$15,434 | \$162 , 661 | (\$10 |
| | ==== | ====== | ======= | ==== |

See the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 1 - Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Mestek, Inc. (Mestek) and its wholly owned subsidiaries (collectively the "Company"). In the opinion of management, the financial statements include all material adjustments, consisting solely of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the Company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. The results of this interim period are not necessarily indicative of results for the entire year. Certain financial information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principle have been condensed or omitted. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto as of December 31, 2001 appearing in the Company's Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue from product sales is recognized at the time of shipment.

Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with a remaining maturity of 90 days or less at the time of purchase to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents include investments in an institutional money market fund, which invests in U.S. Treasury bills, notes and bonds, and/or repurchase agreements, backed by such obligations.

Inventories

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market. Cost of inventories is principally determined by the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are carried at cost. Depreciation and amortization are computed using the straight-line and accelerated methods over the estimated useful lives of the assets or the life of the lease, if shorter. When assets are retired or otherwise disposed of, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any resulting gain or loss is reflected in income for the period. The cost of maintenance and repairs is charged to income as incurred; significant improvements are capitalized.

Excess of Cost Over Net Assets of Acquired Companies (Goodwill)

Through December 31, 2001, the Company amortized Goodwill on the straight-line basis over the estimated period to be benefited, typically 25 years. The Company continually evaluated the carrying value of Goodwill in accordance with FAS 121 prior to January 1, 2002 and continues to do so in 2002 in accordance with FAS 142. Any impairments are recognized in accordance with the appropriate accounting standards. See Note 7.

Treasury Shares

Common stock held in the Company's treasury has been recorded at cost.

Earnings per Common Share

Basic earnings per share have been computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Common stock options are considered in the computation of diluted earnings per share.

Currency Translation

Assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are translated into U.S. dollars at exchange rates prevailing on the balance sheet date. Net foreign currency transactions are reported in the results of operations in U.S. dollars at average exchange rates. Adjustments resulting from balance sheet translations are excluded from the determination of income and are accumulated in a separate component of shareholders' equity.

Income Taxes

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary

differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. Cash paid for income taxes was \$2,196,000 and \$6,321,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

Comprehensive (Loss) Income

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively, the components of other comprehensive income were immaterial and consisted solely of foreign currency translation adjustments. Comprehensive income (loss) was (\$4,234,000) and \$2,654,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively, and (\$2,624,000) and \$4,682,000 for the six month ended June 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively

Reclassification

Reclassifications are made periodically to previously issued financial statements to conform to the current year presentation.

Adoption of SFAS 141, SFAS 142 and SFAS 144

The Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FAS 141, Business Combinations and FAS 142, Goodwill and Intangible Assets in 2001. FAS 141 was effective for all business combinations completed after June 30, 2001. FAS 142 was effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001; however, certain provisions of this Statement applied also to goodwill and other intangible assets acquired between July 1, 2001 and the effective date of FAS 142. Major provisions of these Statements and their effective dates for the Company are as follows: (i) all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 must use the purchase method of accounting. The pooling of interest method of accounting is prohibited except for transactions initiated before July 1, 2001, (ii) intangible assets acquired in a business combination must be recorded separately from goodwill if they arise from contractual or other legal rights or are separable from the acquired entity and can be sold, transferred, licensed, rented or exchanged, either individually or as part of a related contract, asset or liability, (iii) goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives acquired after June 30, 2001, will not be amortized (iv) effective January 1, 2002, all previously recognized goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives are no longer subject to amortization, (v) effective January 1, 2002, goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives will be tested for impairment annually and whenever there is an impairment indicator and (vi) all acquired goodwill must be assigned to reporting units for purposes of impairment testing and segment reporting.

Accordingly, the Company ceased recording amortization of goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives effective January 1, 2002. In addition, the Company completed the first step of the transitional goodwill impairment test during the three months ended June 30, 2002 based on the amount of goodwill as of the beginning of fiscal year 2002, as required by SFAS No. 142. The Company performed a valuation to determine the fair value of each of the reporting units. Based on the results of the first step of the transitional goodwill impairment test, the Company has determined that goodwill impairment existed as of January 1, 2002, in the Company's Metal Forming segment, which the Company has determined constitutes a "reporting unit" under FAS 142. The Company is presently undertaking the second step of the transitional goodwill impairment test.

On October 3, 2001, FASB issued FAS 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets," that replaced FAS 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets To Be Disposed Of." The primary objectives of this pronouncement were to develop one accounting

model based on the framework established in FAS 121 for long-lived assets to be disposed of by sales and to address significant implementation issues. The accounting model for long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale applies to all long-lived assets, including discontinued operations, and replaces the provisions of Account Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 30, Reporting Results of Operations-Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, for the disposal of segments of a business. FAS 144 requires that those long-lived assets be measured at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell, whether reported in continuing operations or in discontinued operations. The provisions of FAS 144 became effective January 1, 2002 and did not have a material effect upon the Company's Financial Statement.

Note 2 - Inventories

| Inventories consisted of the following a | t: June 30, 2002 | December 31, 2001 |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Finished Goods Work-in-progress Raw materials | \$20,973 16,246 28,755 | \$18,664 17,910 34,790 |
| Less provision for LIFO method of valuat | 65,974 ion (6,927) | 71,364 (6,776) |
| | \$59,047 ===== | \$64 , 588 |
| Note 3 - Property and Equipment | June 30, 2002 | Dec. 31, 2001 |
| Land Building Leasehold Improvement Equipment | \$4,437 27,290 4,879 93,633 | \$4,437 27,044 4,843 90,285 |
| Accumulated Depreciation | 130,239 (72,050) | 126,609 (68,275) |
| | 58,189 ===== | 58,334 ===== |
| Note 4 - Long-Term Debt | | |
| | June 30, 2002 | Dec. 31, 2001 |

| _ | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Revolving Loan Agreement | \$16 , 625 | \$23 , 510 |
| Note Payable | 6,000 | 6,000 |
| Industrial Development Bond | 5,441 | |
| Other Bonds and Notes Payable | 240 | 672 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | 28 , 306 | 30,182 |
| Less Current Maturities | 23,126 | (30,002) |
| | | |
| | \$5,180 | \$180 |
| | γJ, 100 | 2100 |
| | ====== | ==== |

Revolving Loan Agreement - The Company has a Revolving Loan Agreement and Letter of Credit Facility (the Agreement) with a commercial bank. The Agreement has been amended and extended through April 30, 2004. The Agreement as amended provides \$50 million of unsecured revolving credit including \$10 million of standby letter of credit capacity. Borrowings under the Agreement bear interest at a floating rate based on the bank's prime rate less one and three quarters percent (1.75%) or, at the discretion of the borrower, LIBOR plus a quoted market factor or, alternatively, in lieu of the prime based rate, a rate based on the overnight Federal Funds Rate. The Revolving Loan Agreement contains financial covenants, which require that the Company maintain ratios, relating to interest coverage and leverage. As a result of the settlement of an environmental litigation matter, as more fully described in Note 8 to the Condensed Consolidation Financial Statements, the Company was in technical violation of one of the aforementioned financial covenants as of June 30, 2002. The bank has agreed to waive the violation and amend the financial covenants to preclude future violations relating to this settlement. This Agreement also contains restrictions regarding the creation of indebtedness, the occurrence of mergers or consolidations, the sale of subsidiary stock and the payment of dividends in excess of 50 percent (50%) of net income. The Company has outstanding at June 30, 2002, \$6,392,000 in standby letters of credit issued principally in connection with its commercial insurance programs.

Note Payable - The Company has an unsecured uncommitted Demand Loan Facility with a second commercial bank which expires on June 30, 2003 under which the Company can borrow up to \$25,000,000 on a LIBOR basis. A \$6 million note was outstanding under the Demand Loan facility as of June 30, 2002 which bears interest at 2.69% and matures on August 16, 2002.

Industrial Development Bond - On April 19, 2002, the Company's subsidiary, Boyertown Foundry Company, Inc. (BFC) borrowed \$5,512,490 under a Note issued through the Berks County Industrial Development Authority in Berks County, Pennsylvania in connection with a project to upgrade BFC's foundry equipment in Boyertown, Pennsylvania. The Note bears interest at 4.93%, matures on April 19, 2012, and is payable in equal monthly payments of principal and interest over the term of the loan. The Note is secured by a Loan and Security Agreement under which the equipment purchased by BFC with the loan proceeds is pledged as security for the Note. The Note is expected to be a `Qualified Small Issue Bond' under Section 144 (a)(12) of the Internal Revenue Code entitling the holder to tax exempt treatment on the interest. In the event the Note is found to be not in compliance with Section 144 (a)(12), the interest rate on the Note may be increased.

Other Bonds and Notes Payable - Certain of the Company's property is pledged as security for certain of these bonds and Notes Payable.

The fair value of the Company's long-term debt is estimated based on the current interest rates offered to the Company for debt of the same remaining maturities. Management believes the carrying value of debt and the contractual values of the outstanding letters of credit approximate their fair values as of June 30, 2002.

Cash paid for interest was \$432,000 and \$2,058,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

Note 5 - Interim Segment Information

Description of the types of products and services from which each reportable segment derives its revenues:

As described in the Company's Form 10-K for 2001, the Company completed the sale of National Northeast Corporation, (National) on January 9, 2001. National historically represented the largest division in the Company's Metal Products segment. The Company elected to incorporate the Metal Products segment's remaining units, Omega-Flex, Inc. and Boyertown Foundry Company into the Heating, Ventilating, and Air Conditioning segment (HVAC) January 1, 2001. Effective January 1, 2001, therefore, the Company has two reportable segments: the manufacture of heating, ventilating and air-conditioning equipment (HVAC) and the manufacture of metal handling and metal forming machinery (Metal Forming).

The Company's HVAC segment manufactures and sells a wide variety of residential, commercial and industrial heating, cooling, and air distribution products to independent wholesale supply warehouses, to mechanical, sheet metal and other contractors, and in some cases to other HVAC manufacturers under original equipment manufacture (OEM) contracts. The products include finned tube and baseboard radiation equipment gas fired heating and ventilating equipment, air damper equipment and related air distribution products and commercial and residential boilers. The products are marketed under a number of franchise names including Sterling, Beacon Morris, Smith, Hydrotherm, RBI, Vulcan, Applied Air, Wing, AWV, ABI, Arrow, CESCO, Louvers & Dampers, Airtherm, Koldwave, Anemostat, Omega Flex, King National, King and Spacepak.

The Company's Metal Forming Segment designs, manufactures and sells a variety of metal forming equipment and related machinery and repair parts under names such as Cooper-Weymouth, Peterson, Dahlstrom, B & K, Lockformer, Iowa Precision (IPI), Hill Engineering, Coilmate/Dickerman, Yoder, Krasny-Kaplan, Mentor AGVS and Rowe. The products are sold directly and through independent dealers to end-users and to original equipment manufacturers. The products include roll formers, wing benders, duct forming systems, plasma and water-jet cutting equipment, coil feeds, straighteners, cradles, cut-to-length lines, specialty dies, rotary punching equipment, tube feed and cut-off and flying cut-off saws, tube mills, pipe mills, and roll forming systems.

Measurement of segment profit or loss and segment assets:

The Company evaluates performance and allocates resources based on profit or loss from operations before interest expense and income taxes (EBIT), not including non-operating gains and losses. The accounting policies of the reportable segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies. Inter-segment sales and transfers are recorded at prices substantially equivalent to the Company's cost; inter-company profits on such inter-segment sales or transfers are not material.

Factors management used to identify the enterprise's reportable segments:

The Company's reportable segments are business units that offer

different products. The reportable segments are each managed separately because they manufacture and distribute distinct products using distinct production processes intended for distinct marketplaces.

Three Months ended June 30, 2002:
-----(in thousands)

| | HVAC | Metal Forming | All Other | Totals |
|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Revenues from External Customers | \$74,146 | \$16,382 | \$102 | \$90 , 630 |
| Segment Operating Profit (Loss) | \$4 , 345 | (\$10 , 365)* | (\$132) | (\$6,152) |

 $[\]star$ includes \$9,473,000 in costs related to the settlement of an environmental litigation matter, as more fully described in Note 8.

Three Months ended June 30, 2001:
-----(in thousands)

| , , | HVAC | Metal Forming | All Other | Totals |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Revenues from External Customers | \$73 , 441 | \$20,961 | \$177 | \$94 , 579 |
| Segment Operating Profit (Loss) | \$4 , 257 | \$589 | (\$185) | \$4,661 |

Note 6 - Stock Option Plans

On March 20, 1996 the Company adopted a stock option plan, the Mestek, Inc. 1996 Stock Option Plan, (the Plan), which provides for the granting of incentive and non-qualified stock options of up to 500,000 shares of stock to certain employees of the Company and other persons, including directors, for the purchase of the Company's common stock at fair market value at the date of grant. The Plan was approved by the Company's shareholders on May 22, 1996. Options granted under the plan vest over a five-year period and expire at the end of ten years. Options totaling 200,000 shares have been granted under the Plan, none of which have been exercised at June 30, 2002. No options were granted in the second quarter of 2002.

Note 7 - Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets - Adoption of Statement 142

As explained more fully in Note 1, the Company ceased amortization of goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives effective January 1, 2002. The following table presents net income on a comparable basis by adding back goodwill amortization, net of related tax effects, for both periods.

For the Three
Months Ended
June 30

For the Six
Months Ended
June 30

| | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 |
|---|-----------|--------------|--------------------|----------|
| | | (dollars in | thousands | , |
| | except | for earnings | per share | amounts) |
| Reported Net Income | (\$4,372) | \$2,626 | (\$2 , 762) | \$13,814 |
| Add back: Goodwill Amortization | | 365 | | 678 |
| Adjusted Net Income | (\$4,372) | \$2,991 | (\$2,762) | \$14,492 |
| | ====== | ===== | ====== | ===== |
| Basic and Diluted Earning per Share Add back: Goodwill Amortization | (\$0.50) | \$0.30 | (\$0.32) | \$1.58 |
| | | 0.04 | | 0.08 |
| Adjusted Net Income | (\$0.50) | \$0.34 | (\$0.32) | \$1.66 |
| | ===== | ===== | ===== | ===== |

Accumulated amortization of goodwill and other intangibles was \$8,152,000 and \$8,098,000 at June 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001, respectively.

Note 8 - Commitments & Contingencies

The Company is obligated as guarantor with respect to the debt of MacKeeber Associates Limited Partnership, a Connecticut Limited Partnership, a related party, under an Industrial Development Bond issued in 1984 by the Connecticut Development Authority. The balance outstanding under the bond as of June 30, 2002 was \$340,000.

The Company is obligated as a guarantor with respect to certain debt of CareCentric, Inc. (formerly Simione Central Holdings, Inc. - see Note 9) to its primary commercial bank, Wainwright Bank & Trust Company, in the amount of \$6 million. The \$6 million Wainwright credit line is secured by substantially all of CareCentric's assets. The balance outstanding under CareCentric's credit line with Wainwright Bank & Trust Company as of June 30, 2002 was \$5,882,000. Under the Equity Method of Accounting, in December 2001, the Company accrued this guarantee of \$6 million as a reserve for Equity Investment Losses.

As previously disclosed, the Company is subject to several legal actions and proceedings in which various monetary claims are asserted. The Company is currently named as a defendant in approximately 20 lawsuits alleging that the plaintiffs suffered personal injury as a result of exposure to asbestos. In these lawsuits, the allegations against the Company are generally that it is liable as a "successor in interest" to another party whose products or workplace allegedly contained the asbestos to which the plaintiffs claim exposure. Based upon a thorough examination of the relationship between the Company and any alleged predecessor, and of the current state of the applicable law, management and outside counsel retained to defend these cases strongly believe that the Company has no liability with respect to such claims. The Company has obtained dismissal in approximately 30 such cases over the past ten years, and in no case has the Company been ordered to pay damages. To date, the total costs of defending the approximately 50 current and previously dismissed cases have been less than \$100,000.

Claims Alleging Releases of Hazardous Materials

The Lockformer Company ("Lockformer"), a division of the Company's second tier subsidiary, Met-Coil Systems Corporation ("Met-Coil"), announced on May 22, 2002, that it had reached a settlement with members of the Class of plaintiffs in a suit filed in the United States District Court for the Northern

District of Illinois entitled LeClercq, et al. vs. The Lockformer Company, et al. The case involved property damages asserted on behalf of a group of approximately 187 homeowners within the Class area, due to the presence of trichloroethylene (TCE) contamination in the immediate vicinity of Lockformer's manufacturing facility in Lisle, Illinois. Without admitting liability, Lockformer agreed to pay Class members approximately \$10 million to resolve the matter. The settlement incorporates the terms of a previously announced Interim Agreed Order between Lockformer and the Attorney General for the State of Illinois under which Lockformer agreed to pay for the costs of hookup to a public water supply for each of the homes of Class members who have, or otherwise would have, incurred such costs. As disclosed previously, the Company accrued a \$1.3 million liability as of March 31, 2002 in respect of this portion of the settlement, and an offsetting insurance recovery receivable of this same amount. Met-Coil is pursuing an action in the United States District Court for contribution from 11 other known industrial users of TCE in the vicinity, whose disposal practice may have contributed to the contamination experienced by some or all of the members of the plaintiff class.

Met-Coil continues to negotiate coverage with its historic insurers as to the above claims. Met-Coil has tendered these claims to its historic insurance carriers, and five carriers are reimbursing a substantial portion of the defense costs. However, certain insurers have contested their liability, and Met-Coil remains in litigation with certain of the insurers regarding coverage. The Company has reported a net charge of \$9,473,000 in the quarter ended June 30, 2002 in relation to the LeClercq settlement reflecting the \$8.7 million balance of the \$10 million settlement, related legal costs incurred through June 30, 2002, a \$2 million increase in the reserve for remediation of the Lisle, Illinois property, as discussed subsequently herein, less certain insurance company settlements received or accrued as of June 30, 2002.

As disclosed in previous filings, a second class of residents, in a neighborhood not located in the immediate vicinity of Lockformer's manufacturing facility, has filed a class action complaint against the Company and its subsidiary, Met-Coil, (Mejdrech, et al. v. The Lockformer Company) on grounds similar to those alleged in the LeClercq action described above. The Mejdrech class was certified on August 12, 2002. Based upon the evidence currently available to it, the Company and its subsidiary believe they have valid defenses to the above action and are therefore vigorously contesting the Mejdrech claim.

The Illinois Attorney General, in an action disclosed previously brought on behalf of the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency and others, is seeking to have Met-Coil pay for the cost of connecting approximately 175 households in the Mejdrech class area to public water supplies as well as pay for the State's response and investigatory costs in this action. Met-Coil is in negotiations with the Illinois Attorney General on this matter. There is insufficient information available to management at this time to provide an opinion as to the outcome of these discussions.

In another action, owners of eight homes not included in the LeClerq or Mejdrech actions have filed suit against Met-Coil for alleged contamination of their properties and drinking water wells.

In separate actions, three individual plaintiffs have filed suits against the Company and its subsidiary alleging in each case personal injury (and, in one case, wrongful death) related to the release of TCE into drinking water.

The Company and its subsidiary believe they have valid defenses to the above claims and are contesting them vigorously. In any of the above pending actions, if the plaintiffs were to obtain a verdict of liability from a court of

competent jurisdiction and assessments of significant damages, including punitive damages, such decisions could, individually or in the aggregate, materially adversely effect the Company's results of operations.

Potentially Responsible Parties (PRP) Actions Lisle, Illinois:

As reported in previous filings, the Company's second tier subsidiary, Met-Coil Systems Corporation, has submitted to the USEPA a Work Plan for remediation of soil containing TCE at its manufacturing facility in Lisle, Illinois. The Company expects final approval of the Work Plan in the near future. The Company established a \$2 million remediation reserve in relation to this matter at December 31, 2001 and added \$2 million to this reserve on June 30, 2002. Depending upon the final form of the Work Plan, the effectiveness of the remediation technologies utilized, the specific bids received in relation to the estimated work required, and other factors impacting the efficiency of the remediation effort, this reserve may need to be increased in the future. As of June 30, 2002, the Company has incurred specific costs in 2002 further against this remediation reserve of approximately \$534,000.

Note 9 - Investments

In March of 2002, the Company made an offer proposing to make available to CareCentric, Inc. (CareCentric) approximately \$1.1 million of short-term financing to assist CareCentric with its near term working capital needs. Coincident with Mestek's offer, John E. Reed, the Company's Chairman and CEO, made an offer proposing to make available to CareCentric approximately \$900,000 of short-term financing as well. In connection with these offers the Company transferred to John E. Reed, effective March 29, 2002, certain of its voting and other rights associated with the Series B Preferred Stock of CareCentric held by the Company. As a result of this transfer, the Company no longer has significant influence over CareCentric and, accordingly, has discontinued accounting for this investment under the Equity Method of Accounting subsequent to March 29, 2002.

Pursuant to the offer made by the Company in March, on July 1, 2002, the Company exchanged certain investments in CareCentric, Inc. (CareCentric) for certain other securities pursuant to a Re-capitalization and Refinancing Transaction (the Transaction) approved by the shareholders of CareCentric on June 6, 2002 and the Board of Directors of Mestek on April 15, 2002. As a result of the Transaction, the Company surrendered certain notes receivable and other advances totaling \$3,150,059 plus 850,000 shares of CareCentric Series C Preferred Stock carrying 170,000 votes on all matters to be voted upon by the shareholder of the Company. Except for cash advances made in the second quarter of 2002 totaling \$963,000, and \$129,000 advanced on July 1, 2002, the above assets were carried on the Company's balance sheet as of June 30, 2002 at a zero valuation reflecting the effect of cumulative equity method losses. The Company will continue to monitor this advance for collectibility. The Company also surrendered warrants on 104,712 shares of CareCentric common stock and options to purchase up to 159,573 shares of CareCentric common stock and agreed to extend for one year, until June 30, 2003, its guarantee of CareCentric's \$6 million Wainwright Bank credit facility. In return the Company received on July 1, 2002, a \$4 million secured convertible term note from CareCentric bearing interest at 6.25%, subordinated to the \$3.555 million note received on July 1, 2002 by John E. Reed and described in greater detail below, as well as CareCentric's primary bank debt, and maturing on July 1, 2007. The conversion feature reflects an exercise price of \$1 per share of CareCentric common. In

addition, the 5,600,000 shares of CareCentric Series B Preferred Stock held by the Company, and carried at a zero valuation as of June 30, 2002, were made convertible into CareCentric common shares at approximately 1.072 shares of common per share of Series B Preferred. Also, warrants held by the Company on 890,396 CareCentric common shares were extended until June 15, 2004 and re-priced at \$1.00 per share.

Pursuant to the offer made by John E. Reed in March of 2002, on July 1, 2002, John E. Reed exchanged certain investments in CareCentric, Inc. for certain other securities pursuant to the Re-capitalization and Refinancing Transaction (the Transaction) described above. As a result of the transaction, John E. Reed surrendered certain notes receivable and other advances totaling approximately \$3.555 million and received in return a \$3.555 million secured convertible note maturing on July 1, 2007 and bearing interest at 6.25%. The conversion feature reflects an exercise price of \$1 per share of CareCentric common. In addition, 398,406 shares of CareCentric's Series D Preferred Stock held by Mr. Reed were made convertible into CareCentric common at an exchange rate of 2.51 shares of common stock per share of Series D Preferred Stock.

Note 10 - Subsequent Event

On July 22, 2002, the Company completed the sale of an idle manufacturing facility located in Schiller Park, Illinois for approximately \$1,132,000\$ and recorded a gain of \$59,000 on the transaction.

This report contains forward-looking statements, which are subject to inherent uncertainties. These uncertainties include, but are not limited to, variations in weather, changes in the regulatory environment, customer preferences, general economic conditions, and increased competition. All of these are difficult to predict, and many are beyond the ability of the Company to control.

Certain statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-Q constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, that are not historical facts but rather reflect the Company's current expectations concerning future results and events. The words "believes", "expects", "intends", "plans", "anticipates", "hopes", "likely", "will", and similar expressions identify such forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other important factors that could cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company (or entities in which the Company has interests), or industry results, to differ materially from future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements.

Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements which reflect management's view only as of the date of this Form 10-Q. The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly release the result of any revisions to these forward-looking statements which may be made to reflect events or circumstance after the date hereof or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events, conditions or circumstances.

Critical Accounting Policies

Financial Reporting Release No. 60, which was recently released by the Securities and Exchange Commission, requires all companies to include a discussion of critical accounting policies or methods used in the preparation of financial statements. Note 1 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements includes a summary of the significant accounting policies and methods used in the preparation of our Consolidated Financial Statements. The following is a brief discussion of the Company's more significant accounting policies.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the dates of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. The most significant estimates and assumptions related to revenue recognition, accounts receivable valuations, inventory valuations, goodwill valuation, warranty costs, and accounting for income taxes. Actual amounts could differ significantly from these estimates.

Our critical accounting policies are described in more detail as follows:

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are reduced by an allowance for amounts that may become uncollectible in the future. The estimated allowance for uncollectible amounts is based primarily on specific analysis of accounts in the receivable portfolio and historical write-off experience. While management believes the allowance to be adequate, if the financial condition of the Company's customers were to deteriorate, resulting in impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required.

Inventory

The Company values its inventory at the lower of cost to purchase and/or manufacture the inventory, principally determined on the LIFO method, or the current estimated market value of the inventory. The Company periodically reviews inventory quantities on hand and records a provision for excess and/or obsolete inventory based primarily on its estimated forecast of product demand, as well as based on historical usage. A significant decrease in demand for the Company's products or technological changes in the industries in which the Company operates could result in an increase of excess or obsolete inventory quantities on hand requiring adjustments to the value of the Company's inventories.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Effective January 1, 2002, the Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. This statement affects the Company's treatment of goodwill and other intangible assets. The statement require that goodwill existing at the date of adoption be reviewed for possible impairment and that impairment tests be periodically repeated, with impaired assets written down to fair value. Additionally, existing goodwill and intangible assets must be assessed and classified within the statement's criteria. Intangible assets with finite useful lives will continue to be amortized over those periods. Amortization of goodwill and intangible assets with indeterminable lives will cease.

The Company completed the first step of the transitional goodwill impairment test during the six months ended June 30, 2002 based on the amount of goodwill as of the beginning of fiscal year 2002, as required by SFAS

No. 142. The Company performed a valuation to determine the fair value of each of the reporting units. Based on the results of the first step of the transitional goodwill impairment test, the Company has determined that goodwill impairment existed as of January 1, 2002, in the Company's Metal Forming segment, which the Company has determined constitutes a "reporting unit" under FAS 142. The Company is presently undertaking the second step of the transitional goodwill impairment test.

Warranty

The Company provides for the estimated cost of product warranties at the time revenue is recognized based upon estimated costs and anticipated in-warranty failure rates. While the Company engages in product quality programs and processes, the Company's warranty obligation is affected by product failure rates, and repair or replacement costs incurred in correcting a product failure. Should actual product failure rates and repair or replacement costs differ from estimates, revisions to the estimated warranty liability may be required.

Accounting for Income Taxes

The preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements requires it to estimate its income taxes in each of the jurisdictions in which it operates, including those outside the Untied States which may be subject to certain risks that ordinarily would not be expected in the United States. The income tax accounting process involves estimating its actual current exposure together with assessing temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items, such as depreciation and equity method gains and losses, for tax and accounting purposes. These differences result in the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities. The Company must then record a valuation allowance to reduce its deferred tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized. Significant management judgment is required in determining its provision for income taxes, its deferred tax assets and liabilities and any valuation allowance recorded against deferred tax assets. In the event that actual results differ from these estimates or the company adjusts these estimates in future periods it may need to adjust its valuation allowance which could materially impact its financial position and results of operations.

Results of Operations

Three months ended June 30, 2002 vs June 30, 2001

Excluding revenues from the King Company, which was acquired on December 31, 2001, Total Revenues in the Company's HVAC segment decreased by 1.6% during the second quarter of 2002 relative to the second quarter of 2001 due primarily to weakness in the segment's industrial products and air distribution products divisions. Operating income for this segment increased slightly, however, from \$4,257,000 in the second quarter of 2001 to \$4,345,000 in the second quarter of 2002, reflecting principally the effect of the implementation of FAS 142 in 2002, as more fully described in Note 7.

Excluding revenues from Formtek Cleveland, Inc. (formerly SNS Properties Ltd) which was acquired on July 2, 2001, Total Revenues in the Company's Metal Forming segment decreased 32.5% during the second quarter of 2002, owing to a cyclical decline in demand for this segment's products. Management believes that the events of September 11, 2001 have exacerbated the effects of an otherwise normal industry-wide cyclical downturn in the demand for machine tools. The Company believes however, that the mutually reinforcing franchises it has acquired under the Formtek name, including Cooper Weymouth Peterson, Rowe, CoilMate, Dickerman, Yoder, Krasny-Kaplan, Mentor AGVS, Lockformer, Iowa Precision, Hill Engineering, B&K, and Dahlstrom, will allow it

to maintain and expand its core competencies, positioning this segment very well for the next cyclical upturn in the machine tool industry. As more fully explained in Note 8 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, the Company's Met-Coil subsidiary settled an environmental litigation matter in the quarter ended June 30, 2002 recording a net charge of \$9,473,000. Excluding the effect of that charge, the segment's operating income (loss) for the quarter was (\$892,000) compared to an operating income of \$589,000 (\$ 964,000 before the effect of goodwill amortization) in the quarter ended June 30, 2001. The Metal Forming segment continues to make adjustments in its overhead structure to adapt to the present cyclical down turn in demand for its products.

For the Company as a whole, Sales, General and Administrative, and Engineering costs, taken together as a percentage of Total Revenues, increased from 23.2% to 25.7% due principally to the drop off in revenues described above.

Operating income for the second quarter of 2002 for the Company as a whole, excluding the \$9,473,000 environmental charge described above, decreased by \$1,340,000 or 28.7% reflecting the various factors mentioned above.

The Company's total debt (long-term debt plus current portion of long-term debt) increased slightly in the second quarter of 2002 from \$27.7 million to \$28.3 million despite borrowing \$5.5 million via an industrial development bond to fund an expansion at the Company's Boyertown Foundry Company subsidiary, as more fully explained in Note 4, reflecting the effect of positive cash flow from operations. The settlement of an environmental litigation matter in the quarter ended June 30, 2002, as more fully described in Note 8, was accrued at June 30, 2002 and will require increased borrowings during the third quarter of 2002. Management regards the Company's current capital structure and banking relationships as fully adequate to meet foreseeable future needs. The Company has not paid dividends on its common stock since 1979.

Six months ended June 30, 2002 vs June 30, 2001

Excluding revenues from the King Company, which was acquired on December 31, 2001, Total Revenues in the Company's HVAC segment decreased by 6.9% during the six months ended June 30, 2002 relative to the six months ended June 30, 2001 due primarily to weakness in the segment's industrial products and air distribution products divisions. Operating income for this segment increased, however, from \$7,560,000 in the six months ended June 30, 2001 to \$8,263,000 in the six months ended June 30, 2002, due principally to the effect of the implementation of FAS 142 in 2002, as more fully described in Note 7.

Excluding revenues from Formtek Cleveland, Inc. (formerly SNS Properties Ltd) which was acquired on July 2, 2001, Total Revenues in the Company's Metal Forming segment decreased 29.4% during the six months ended June 30, 2002, owing to a cyclical decline in demand for this segment's products. Management believes that the events of September 11, 2001 have exacerbated the effects of an otherwise normal industry-wide cyclical downturn in the demand for machine tools. The Company believes however, that the mutually reinforcing franchises it has acquired under the Formtek name, including Cooper Weymouth Peterson, Rowe, CoilMate, Dickerman, Yoder, Krasny-Kaplan, Mentor AGVS, Lockformer, Iowa Precision, Hill Engineering, B&K, and Dahlstrom, will allow it to maintain and expand its core competencies, positioning this segment very well for the next cyclical upturn in the machine tool industry. As more fully explained in Note 8 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, the Company's Met-Coil subsidiary settled an environmental litigation matter in the quarter ended June 30, 2002 recording a net charge of \$9,473,000. Excluding the effect of that charge, the segment's operating income (loss) for the six months ended June 30, 2002 was (\$1,572,000) compared to an operating income of \$1,074,000 (or \$1,856,000 before the effect of goodwill amortization) in the quarter ended June 30, 2001. The Metal Forming segment continues to make

adjustments in its overhead structure to adapt to the present cyclical down turn in demand for its products.

For the Company as a whole, Sales, General and Administrative, and Engineering costs, taken together as a percentage of Total Revenues, increased from 22.3% to 25.1% due principally to the drop off in revenues described above.

Operating income for the second quarter of 2002 for the Company as a whole, excluding the \$9,473,000 environmental charge described above, decreased by \$1,915,000, or 22.9%, reflecting the various factors mentioned above.

During the six months ended June 30, 2002, the Company's total debt (long-term debt plus current portion of long-term debt) decreased from \$30.2 million to \$28.3 million despite new borrowing of \$5.5 million via an industrial development bond to fund an expansion at the Company's Boyertown Foundry Company subsidiary, as more fully explained in Note 4, reflecting the effect of positive cash flow from operations and a reduced investment in inventory owing to reduced levels of business, as described above. The settlement of an environmental litigation matter in the quarter ended June 30, 2002, as more fully described in Note 8, was accrued at June 30, 2002 and will require increased borrowings during the third quarter of 2002. Management regards the Company's current capital structure and banking relationships as fully adequate to meet foreseeable future needs. The Company has not paid dividends on its common stock since 1979.

The Company's Annual Meeting of Shareholders was held 11 a.m., May 28, 2002 at the Reed Institute adjacent to the Company's headquarters in Westfield, Massachusetts.

Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is obligated as guarantor with respect to the debt of MacKeeber Associates Limited Partnership, a Connecticut Limited Partnership, a related party, under an Industrial Development Bond issued in 1984 by the Connecticut Development Authority. The balance outstanding under the bond as of June 30, 2002 was \$340,000.

As previously disclosed, the Company is obligated as a guarantor with respect to certain debt of CareCentric, Inc. (formerly Simione Central Holdings, Inc.) to its primary commercial bank, Wainwright Bank & Trust Company, in the amount of \$6 million. The \$6 million Wainwright credit line is secured by substantially all of CareCentric's assets. The balance outstanding under CareCentric's credit line with Wainwright Bank & Trust Company as of June 30, 2002 was \$5,882,000.

As previously disclosed, the Company is subject to several legal actions and proceedings in which various monetary claims are asserted. The Company is currently named as a defendant in approximately 20 lawsuits alleging that the plaintiffs suffered personal injury as a result of exposure to asbestos. In these lawsuits, the allegations against the Company are generally that it is liable as a "successor in interest" to another party whose products or workplace allegedly contained the asbestos to which the plaintiffs claim exposure. Based upon a thorough examination of the relationship between the Company and any alleged predecessor, and of the current state of the applicable law, management and outside counsel retained to defend these cases strongly believe that the Company has no liability with respect to such claims. The Company has obtained dismissal in approximately 30 such cases over the past ten years, and in no case has the Company been ordered to pay damages. To date, the total costs of defending the approximately 50 current and previously dismissed cases have been less than \$100,000.

Claims Alleging Releases of Hazardous Materials

The Lockformer Company ("Lockformer"), a division of the Company's second tier subsidiary, Met-Coil Systems Corporation ("Met-Coil"), announced on May 22, 2002, that it had reached a settlement with members of the Class of plaintiffs in a suit filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois entitled LeClercq, et al. vs. The Lockformer Company, et al. The case involved property damages asserted on behalf of a group of approximately 187 homeowners within the Class area, due to the presence of trichloroethylene (TCE) contamination in the immediate vicinity of Lockformer's manufacturing facility in Lisle, Illinois. Without admitting liability, Lockformer agreed to pay Class members approximately \$10 million to resolve the matter. The settlement incorporates the terms of a previously announced Interim Agreed Order between Lockformer and the Attorney General for the State of Illinois under which Lockformer agreed to pay for the costs of hookup to a public water supply for each of the homes of Class members who have, or otherwise would have, incurred such costs. As disclosed previously, the Company accrued a \$1.3 million liability as of March 31, 2002 in respect of this portion of the settlement, and an offsetting insurance recovery receivable of this same amount. Met-Coil is pursuing an action in the United States District Court for contribution from 11 other known industrial users of TCE in the vicinity, whose disposal practice may have contributed to the contamination experienced by some or all of the members of the plaintiff class.

Met-Coil continues to negotiate coverage with its historic insurers as to the above claims. Met-Coil has tendered these claims to its historic insurance carriers, and five carriers are reimbursing a substantial portion of the defense costs. However, certain insurers have contested their liability, and Met-Coil remains in litigation with certain of the insurers regarding coverage. The Company has reported a net charge of \$9,473,000 in the quarter ended June 30, 2002 in relation to the LeClercq settlement reflecting the \$8.7 million balance of the \$10 million settlement, related legal costs incurred through June 30, 2002, a \$2 million increase in the reserve for remediation of the Lisle, Illinois property property, as discussed subsequently herein, less certain insurance company settlements received or accrued as of June 30, 2002.

As disclosed in previous filings, a second class of residents, in a neighborhood not located in the immediate vicinity of Lockformer's manufacturing facility, has filed a class action complaint against the Company and its subsidiary, Met-Coil, (Mejdrech, et al. v. The Lockformer Company) on grounds similar to those alleged in the LeClercq action described above. The Mejdrech class was certified on August 12, 2002. Based upon the evidence currently available to it, the Company and its subsidiary believe they have valid defenses to the above action and are therefore vigorously contesting the Mejdrech claim.

The Illinois Attorney General, in an action disclosed previously brought on behalf of the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency and others, is seeking to have Met-Coil pay for the cost of connecting approximately 175 households in the Mejdrech class area to public water supplies as well as pay for the State's response and investigatory costs in this action. Met-Coil is in negotiations with the Illinois Attorney General on this matter. There is insufficient information available to management at this time to provide an opinion as to the outcome of these discussions.

In another action, owners of eight homes not included in the LeClerq or Mejdrech actions have filed suit against Met-Coil for alleged contamination of their properties and drinking water wells.

In separate actions, three individual plaintiffs have filed suits against the Company and its subsidiary alleging in each case personal injury (and, in one case, wrongful death) related to the release of TCE into drinking water.

The Company and its subsidiary believe they have valid defenses to the above claims and are contesting them vigorously. In any of the above pending actions, if the plaintiffs were to obtain a verdict of liability from a court of competent jurisdiction and assessments of significant damages, including punitive damages, such decisions could, individually or in the aggregate, materially adversely effect the Company's results of operations.

Potentially Responsible Parties (PRP) Actions Lisle, Illinois:

As reported in previous filings, the Company's second tier subsidiary, Met-Coil Systems Corporation, has submitted to the USEPA a Work Plan for remediation of soil containing TCE at its manufacturing facility in Lisle, Illinois. The Company expects final approval of the Work Plan in the near future. The Company established a \$2 million remediation reserve in relation to this matter at December 31, 2001 and added \$2 million to this reserve on June 30, 2002. Depending upon the final form of the Work Plan the effectiveness of the remediation technologies utilized, the specific bids received in relation to the estimated work required, and other factors impacting the efficiency of the remediation effort, this reserve may need to be increased in the future. As of June 30, 2002, the Company has incurred specific costs in 2002 further against this remediation reserve of approximately \$534,000.

Market Risks

The Company's operations are sensitive to a number of market factors, any one of which could materially adversely effect its results of operations in any given year:

Construction Activity—the Company's largest segment, its Heating, Ventilating, and Air Conditioning (HVAC) segment, is directly affected and its other segment, Metal Forming, is indirectly affected by commercial construction projects and residential housing starts. Relatively lower interest rates in 2001, and 2002 to date, and strong institutional activity helped prevent what might otherwise have been a more pronounced recessionary effect. Significant increases in interest rates or reductions in construction activity in future periods, however, could be expected to adversely effect the Company's revenues, possibly materially.

Manufacturing Activity— The Company's Metal Forming segment, as a manufacturer of capital goods used in other manufacturing processes, is subject to significant cyclicality. The Company's Metal Forming segment provides equipment used to hold, uncoil, straighten, form, bend and otherwise handle metal used in manufacturing operations; all activities likely to be adversely effected in recessionary periods. The level of manufacturing activity in the automotive, steel processing, metal furniture, and stamping industries, is particularly relevant to this segment since its products are typically purchased to upgrade or expand existing equipment or facilities. Expectations of future business activity are also particularly relevant. Activity in this segment was significantly effected by the events of September 11, 2001.

Credit Availability—Although interest rates trended lower in 2001, and 2002 to date, reflecting the Federal Reserve's monetary policy during this period, credit availability has, reportedly, somewhat tightened for marginal

business borrowers. As the Company's customer base includes many small to medium sized business, a further credit tightening through the commercial banking system could be expected, at some point, to adversely effect the Company's sales, as was the case in the "credit crunch" of 1990-1991.

Technological Changes—Although the HVAC industry has historically been impacted by technology changes in a relatively incremental manner, it cannot be discounted that radical changes—such as might be suggested by fuel cell technology, burner technology and/or other developing technologies—could materially adversely effect the Company's results of operations and/or financial position in the future.

Environmental Laws Affecting Operations and Product Design—The Company's operations and its HVAC products that involve combustion as currently designed and applied entail the risk of future noncompliance with the evolving landscape of Environmental Laws. The cost of complying with the various Environmental Laws is likely to increase over time, and there can be no assurance that the cost of compliance, including changes to manufacturing processes and design changes to current HVAC product offerings that involve the creation of carbon dioxide or other currently unregulated compounds emitted in atmospheric combustion, will not over the long—term and in the future have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations.

Weather Conditions—The Company's core HVAC segment manufactures heating, ventilating and air conditioning equipment with heating products representing the bulk of the segment's revenues. As such, the demand for its products depends upon colder weather and benefits from extreme cold. Severe climatic changes, such as those suggested by the "global warming" phenomenon, could over time adversely effect the Company's results of operation and financial position.

Purchasing Practices—It has been the Company's policy in recent years for high value commodities to aggregate volumes with a sole source to achieve maximum cost reductions while maintaining quality and service. This policy has been effective in reducing costs but has introduced additional risk which could potentially result in short-term supply disruptions or cost increases from time to time in the future.

Trade Policy--Tariffs imposed recently by the Bush administration on imports of certain steel products have adversely affected the Company's prime costs and margins. Management is unable to ascertain at this time what proportion of these cost increases can be recovered in the market place for its products. The imposition of tariffs has also affected the supply of certain steel products producing shortages of some items. To date this issue has not effected the Company but could in the future.

Interest Rate Sensitivity—The Company's borrowings are largely Libor or Prime Rate based. The Company believes that a 100 basis—point increase in its cost of funds would not have a material affect on the Company's financial statements taken as a whole.

Commercial Liability Insurance—The events of September 11, 2001 have impacted the cost and availability of commercial liability insurance (including products liability insurance) significantly. As a result, the Company, beginning on October 1, 2001 as previously disclosed, began absorbing a higher level of insurance risk. Additional disruptions in the insurance market place, as would be expected in the event of additional terrorism related claims, or for other reasons, could reasonably be expected to significantly affect the cost and availability of commercial insurance in the future.

Investments

In March of 2002, the Company made an offer proposing to make available to CareCentric, Inc. (CareCentric) approximately \$1.1 million of short-term financing to assist CareCentric with its near term working capital needs. Coincident with Mestek's offer, John E. Reed, the Company's Chairman and CEO, made an offer proposing to make available to CareCentric approximately \$900,000 of short-term financing as well. In connection with these offers the Company transferred to John E. Reed, effective March 29, 2002, certain of its voting and other rights associated with the Series B Preferred Stock of CareCentric held by the Company. As a result of this transfer, the Company no longer has significant influence over CareCentric and, accordingly, has discontinued accounting for this investment under the Equity Method of Accounting subsequent to March 29, 2002.

Pursuant to the offer made by the Company in March, on July 1, 2002, the Company exchanged certain investments in CareCentric, Inc. (CareCentric) for certain other securities pursuant to a Re-capitalization and Refinancing Transaction (the Transaction) approved by the shareholders of CareCentric on June 6, 2002 and the Board of Directors of Mestek on April 15, 2002. As a result of the Transaction, the Company surrendered certain notes receivable and other advances totaling \$3,150,059 plus 850,000 shares of CareCentric Series C Preferred Stock carrying 170,000 votes on all matters to be voted upon by the shareholder of the Company. Except for cash advances made in the second quarter of 2002 totaling \$963,000, and \$129,000 advanced on July 1, 2002, the above assets were carried on the Company's balance sheet as of June 30, 2002 at a zero valuation reflecting the effect of cumulative equity method losses. The Company will continue to monitor this advance for collectibility. The Company also surrendered warrants on 104,712 shares of CareCentric common stock and options to purchase up to 159,573 shares of CareCentric common stock and agreed to extend for one year, until June 30, 2003, its guarantee of CareCentric's \$6 million Wainwright Bank credit facility. In return the Company received on July 1, 2002, a \$4 million secured convertible term note from CareCentric bearing interest at 6.25%, subordinated to the \$3.555 million note received on July 1, 2002 by John E. Reed and described in greater detail below, as well as CareCentric's primary bank debt, and maturing on July 1, 2007. The conversion feature reflects an exercise price of \$1 per share of CareCentric common. In addition, the 5,600,000 shares of CareCentric Series B Preferred Stock held by the Company, and carried at a zero valuation as of June 30, 2002, were made convertible into CareCentric common shares at approximately 1.072 shares of common per share of Series B Preferred. Also, warrants held by the Company on 890,396 CareCentric common shares were extended until June 15, 2004 and re-priced at \$1.00 per share.

Pursuant to the offer made by John E. Reed in March of 2002, on July 1, 2002, John E. Reed exchanged certain investments in CareCentric, Inc. for certain other securities pursuant to the Re-capitalization and Refinancing Transaction (the Transaction) described above. As a result of the transaction, John E. Reed surrendered certain notes receivable and other advances totaling approximately \$3.555 million and received in return a \$3.555 million secured convertible note maturing on July 1, 2007 and bearing interest at 6.25%. The conversion feature reflects an exercise price of \$1 per share of CareCentric common. In addition, 398,406 shares of CareCentric's Series D Preferred Stock held by Mr. Reed were made convertible into CareCentric common at an exchange rate of 2.51 shares of common stock per share of Series D Preferred Stock.

Item 1 - Legal Proceedings

Except for the following proceedings which relate to the same matter, which are discussed in Item 2 Part I to this Form 10 Q, Management Discussion and Analysis and in Note 8 to the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, the Company is not presently involved in any litigation that it believes could materially and adversely affect its financial condition or results of operations. The following proceedings involve claims related to the discharge of trichloroethylene (TCE) onto the soil of The Lockformer Company site in Lisle, Illinois:

```
LeClercq, et al. v. The Lockformer Company - Case No. 00 C 7164
             (U.S.D.C. for N.D. Ill.)
Filed November 14, 2000
Principal Defendants: The Lockformer Company, division of Met-Coil Systems
     Corporation, Mestek, Inc., Allied Signal, Inc. and
Honeywell International, Inc.
Mejdrech, et al. v. The Lockformer Company - Case No. 01 C 6107
             (U.S.D.C. for N.D. Ill.)
Filed August 9, 2001
Principal Defendants: The Lockformer Company, division of Met-Coil Systems
     Corporation, Mestek, Inc., Allied Signal, Inc. and
Honeywell International, Inc.
DeVane, et al. v. The Lockformer Company - Case No. 01 L 377
              (18th Judicial Circuit Court, Dupage County, Ill.)
Filed April 12, 2001
Principal Defendants: The Lockformer Company, division of Met-Coil Systems
     Corporation, Allied Signal, Inc. and Honeywell
International, Inc.
Wroble v. The Lockformer Company - Case No. 02 C 4922 (U.S.D.C., N.D. Ill.)
Filed July 15, 2002
Principal Defendants: The Lockformer Company, division of Met-Coil systems
     Corporation, Mestek, Inc. and Honeywell
International, Inc.
Pelzer, et al. v. Lockformer - Case No. 01 C 6485 (U.S.D.C. for N.D. Ill.)
Filed August 21, 2001
Principal Defendants: The Lockformer Company, division of Met-Coil systems
     Corporation, Mestek, Inc. and Honeywell
International, Inc.
People of the State of Illinois, et al. v. The Lockformer Company -
     Case No. 00 CH 62 (18th Judicial Circuit Court, Dupage County, Ill.)
Filed January 19, 2001
Principal Defendants: The Lockformer Company, division of Met-Coil Systems
     Corporation and Honeywell International, Inc.
In the Matter of: Lockformer Site, Docket No. V-W-02-C-665.
Administrative Order issued by the United States Environmental
             Protection Agency, Region 5 on October 4, 2002.
Respondents: The Lockformer Company, division of Met-Coil Systems Corporation
```

Item 5 -

Our chief executive officer and chief financial officer have furnished to the SEC the certification with respect to this Report that is required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. A copy of the certifications filed are attached as Exhibit 99.1.

Item 6 - Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

(a) Statement of Computation of Per Share Earnings ... Page 31

Item 7 - Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

The Company held its Annual Meeting of Shareholders on May 28, 2002. Certain matters voted upon at the meeting and the votes cast with respect to such matter are as follows:

Election of Directors

| | Votes | Votes |
|------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | Received | Withheld |
| William J. Coad | 7,344,851 | 34,325 |
| Winston R. Hindle, Jr. | 7,344,851 | 34,325 |
| David W. Hunter | 7,344,692 | 34,484 |
| David M. Kelly | 7,344,851 | 34,325 |
| George F. King | 7,344,602 | 34,574 |
| John E. Reed | 7,344,851 | 34,325 |
| Stewart B. Reed | 7,344,851 | 34,325 |

Ratification of appointment of independent auditors for 2002

The shareholders voted to affirm the appointment of Grant Thornton LLP as independent auditors for the Company for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2002.

| | | | Broker |
|-----------|---------|---------|-----------|
| For | Against | Abstain | Non-Votes |
| 7,360,867 | 15,155 | 3,254 | 0 |

MESTEK, INC.

SCHEDULE OF COMPUTATION OF EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2002 2001 | | June 30, | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|----------|----------|--|
| | | | | | |
| | (Dollars in thousands, | | | | |
| | except earnings per common shar | | | n share) | |
| | | | | | |
| (Loss) Income from Continuing | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Operations | (4,372) | 2,626 | (2,762) | 4,724 | |
| Income from Discontinued Operations | | | | 9,090 | |
| | | | | | |

| Net (Loss) Income | (\$4,372) | \$2 , 626 | (\$2,762) | \$13,814 |
|--|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| Basic (Loss) Earnings Per Common Share Continuing Operations Discontinued Operations | (\$0.50) | | (\$0.32) | \$0.54 1.04 |
| Net (Loss) Income | (\$0.50) ===== | \$0.30 | (\$0.32) ====== | |
| Basic Weighted Average Shares Outstanding | 8,722 ==== | 8,722 ==== | 8,722 ==== | 8,726 ==== |
| Diluted (Loss) Earnings Per Common Share Continuing Operations Discontinued Operations | , , | \$0.30 | (\$0.32) | \$0.54 1.04 |
| Net (Loss) Income | (\$0.50) ===== | \$0.30 | (\$0.32) ====== | \$1.58 |
| Diluted Weighted Average Shares Outstanding | 8,759 ===== | 8,752 ==== | 8,763 ===== | 8,751 ===== |

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MESTEK, INC.
----(Registrant)

Date: August 14, 2002 By: /S/ Stephen M. Shea

Stephen M. Shea, Senior Vice President - Finance and CFO (Chief Financial Officer)