

Golar LNG Partners LP  
Form 20-F  
March 29, 2019  
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 20-F

(Mark One)

☐ REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR (g) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE  
ACT OF 1934

OR

☒ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018  
OR

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF  
1934

OR

☐ SHELL COMPANY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE  
ACT OF 1934

Date of event requiring this shell company report \_\_\_\_\_

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number 001- 35123  
GOLAR LNG PARTNERS LP  
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Republic of the Marshall Islands  
(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

2nd Floor, S.E. Pearman Building  
9 Par-la-Ville Road  
Hamilton, HM 11, Bermuda  
(Address of principal executive offices)

Brian Tienzo  
2nd Floor, S.E. Pearman Building  
9 Par-la-Ville Road  
Hamilton, HM 11, Bermuda  
Telephone: +1 (441) 295-4705

Edgar Filing: Golar LNG Partners LP - Form 20-F

(Name, Telephone, Email and/or Facsimile Number and Address of the Company Contact Person)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common units representing limited partner interests	Nasdaq Global Market
8.75% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Units	Nasdaq Global Market

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act: None

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report.

70,891,755 Common Units representing limited partner interests

5,520,000 8.75% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Units

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

☐ Yes ☒ No

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

☐ Yes ☒ No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

☒ Yes ☐ No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

☒ Yes ☐ No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or an emerging growth company. See definitions of "accelerated filer," "large accelerated filer," "non-accelerated filer" and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☒ Accelerated filer ☐ Non-accelerated filer ☐ Emerging growth company ☐

If an emerging growth company that prepares its financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act. ☐

Edgar Filing: Golar LNG Partners LP - Form 20-F

Indicate by check mark which basis of accounting the registrant has used to prepare the financial statements included in this filing:

U.S. GAAP ☒ International Financial Reporting Standards as issued Other  
by the International Accounting Standards Board ☐ ☐

If “Other” has been checked in response to the previous question, indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow.

☐ Item 17 ☐ Item 18

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

☐ Yes ☒ No

---

Table of Contents

GOLAR LNG PARTNERS LP

INDEX TO REPORT ON FORM 20-F

<u>Part I</u>	<u>1</u>
Item 1. <u>Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers</u>	<u>1</u>
Item 2. <u>Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable</u>	<u>1</u>
Item 3. <u>Key Information</u>	<u>1</u>
A. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>1</u>
B. <u>Capitalization and Indebtedness</u>	<u>3</u>
C. <u>Reasons for the Offer and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>3</u>
D. <u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>3</u>
Item 4. <u>Information on the Partnership</u>	<u>27</u>
A. <u>History and Development of the Partnership</u>	<u>27</u>
B. <u>Business Overview</u>	<u>28</u>
C. <u>Organizational Structure</u>	<u>46</u>
D. <u>Property, Plant and Equipment</u>	<u>46</u>
Item 4A. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>46</u>
Item 5. <u>Operating and Financial Review and Prospects</u>	<u>46</u>
A. <u>Operating Results</u>	<u>52</u>
B. <u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u>	<u>62</u>
C. <u>Research and Development</u>	<u>69</u>
D. <u>Trend Information</u>	<u>69</u>
E. <u>Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements</u>	<u>69</u>
F. <u>Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations</u>	<u>69</u>
G. <u>Safe Harbor</u>	<u>70</u>
Item 6. <u>Directors, Senior Management and Employees</u>	<u>70</u>
A. <u>Directors and Senior Management</u>	<u>70</u>
B. <u>Compensation</u>	<u>72</u>
C. <u>Board Practices</u>	<u>73</u>
D. <u>Employees</u>	<u>74</u>
E. <u>Unit Ownership</u>	<u>74</u>
Item 7. <u>Major Unitholders and Related Party Transactions</u>	<u>74</u>
A. <u>Major Unitholders</u>	<u>74</u>
B. <u>Related Party Transactions</u>	<u>75</u>
C. <u>Interests of Experts and Counsel</u>	<u>81</u>
Item 8. <u>Financial Information</u>	<u>81</u>
A. <u>Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information</u>	<u>81</u>
B. <u>Significant Changes</u>	<u>84</u>
Item 9. <u>The Offer and Listing</u>	<u>84</u>
C. <u>Markets</u>	<u>84</u>
Item 10. <u>Additional Information</u>	<u>84</u>
A. <u>Share Capital</u>	<u>84</u>
B. <u>Memorandum and Articles of Association</u>	<u>84</u>
C. <u>Material Contracts</u>	<u>84</u>
D. <u>Exchange Controls</u>	<u>87</u>
E. <u>Taxation</u>	<u>87</u>
F. <u>Dividends and Paying Agents</u>	<u>92</u>
G. <u>Statements by Experts</u>	<u>92</u>

H.	<u>Documents on Display</u>	<u>92</u>
I.	<u>Subsidiary Information</u>	<u>93</u>
Item 11.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>93</u>
Item 12.	<u>Description of Securities Other than Equity Securities</u>	<u>94</u>
<u>Part II</u>		<u>95</u>
Item 13.	<u>Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies</u>	<u>95</u>
Item 14.	<u>Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>95</u>
Item 15.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>95</u>
Item 16.	<u>[Reserved]</u>	<u>96</u>
Item 16A.	<u>Audit Committee Financial Expert</u>	<u>96</u>
Item 16B.	<u>Code of Ethics</u>	<u>96</u>
Item 16C.	<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	<u>96</u>
Item 16D.	<u>Exemptions from the Listing Standards for Audit Committees</u>	<u>97</u>
Item 16E.	<u>Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers</u>	<u>97</u>
Item 16F.	<u>Change in Registrants' Certifying Accountant</u>	<u>97</u>
Item 16G.	<u>Corporate Governance</u>	<u>97</u>
Item 16H.	<u>Mine Safety Disclosure</u>	<u>97</u>
<u>Part III</u>		<u>98</u>
Item 17.	<u>Financial Statements</u>	<u>98</u>
Item 18.	<u>Financial Statements</u>	<u>98</u>
Item 19.	<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>98</u>
<u>SIGNATURES</u>		<u>102</u>

## Table of Contents

### Presentation of Information in this Annual Report

This Annual Report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2018, or the Annual Report, should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes included in this report. Unless the context otherwise requires, references in this Annual Report to “Golar LNG Partners LP,” “Golar LNG Partners,” the “Partnership,” “we,” “our,” “us” or similar terms refer to Golar LNG Partners LP, a Marshall Islands limited partnership, or any one or more of its subsidiaries. References in this Annual Report to “our general partner” refer to Golar GP LLC, the general partner of the Partnership. References in this Annual Report to “Golar” refer, depending on the context, to Golar LNG Limited (Nasdaq: GLNG) and to any one or more of its direct and indirect subsidiaries, including Golar Management Limited (or Golar Management). References to GMN, GMM and GMC are to Golar Management Norway AS, Golar Management Malaysia and Golar Management Croatia, respectively, wholly-owned subsidiaries of Golar Management that provide certain technical management services for our fleet. References to “Golar Power” refer to Golar’s affiliate Golar Power Limited and to any one or more of its subsidiaries.

### Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward Looking Statements

This Annual Report contains certain forward-looking statements concerning future events and our operations, performance and financial condition, including, in particular, the likelihood of our success in developing and expanding our business. Statements that are predictive in nature, that depend upon or refer to future events or conditions, or that include words such as “expects,” “anticipates,” “intends,” “plans,” “believes,” “estimates,” “projects,” “forecasts,” “will,” “may,” “potential,” “should,” and similar expressions are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements reflect management’s current views only as of the date of this Annual Report and are not intended to give any assurance as to future results. As a result, unitholders are cautioned not to rely on any forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements appear in a number of places in this Annual Report and include statements with respect to, among other things:

- our continued ability to enter into long-term time charters, including our ability to re-charter FSRUs and carriers following the termination or expiration of their time charters;
- our ability to maximize the use of our vessels, including the re-deployment or disposition of vessels no longer under long-term time charter;
- our ability to maintain cash distributions on our units and the amount of any such distributions;
- market trends in the floating storage and regasification unit (“FSRU”), liquefied natural gas (“LNG”) carrier and floating liquefied natural gas vessel (“FLNG”) industries, including charter rates, factors affecting supply and demand, and opportunities for the profitable operations of FSRUs, LNG carriers and FLNGs;
- the ability of Golar Partners and Golar LNG to retrofit vessels as FSRUs or FLNGs and the timing of the delivery and acceptance of any such retrofitted vessels by their respective charterers;
- our ability to realize the expected benefits from the Jamaica FSRU project;
- our ability to consummate the potential acquisition of additional common units in Golar Hilli LLC (“Hilli LLC”), which owns Hilli Corporation (“Hilli Corp”), the disponent owner of the Hilli Episeyo (“Hilli”);
- our ability to integrate and realize the expected benefits from acquisitions and potential acquisitions;
- the future share of earnings relating to the Hilli, which we currently account for under the equity method;
- our anticipated growth strategies;
- the effect of a worldwide economic slowdown;
- turmoil in the global financial markets;
- fluctuations in currencies and interest rates;
- general market conditions, including fluctuations in charter hire rates and vessel values;
- changes in commodity prices;
- the liquidity and creditworthiness of our customers;

• changes in our operating expenses, including drydocking and insurance costs and bunker prices;  
• our future financial condition or results of operations and our future revenues and expenses;

---

## Table of Contents

the repayment of debt and settling of interest rate swaps;

our ability and Golar's ability to make additional borrowings and to access debt and equity markets;

planned capital expenditures and availability of capital resources to fund capital expenditures;

the exercise of purchase options by our charterers;

our ability to maintain long-term relationships with major LNG traders;

our ability to leverage the relationships and reputation of Golar and Golar Power in the LNG industry;

our ability to purchase vessels from Golar and Golar Power Limited in the future;

timely purchases and deliveries of newbuilding vessels;

future purchase prices of newbuilding and secondhand vessels;

our ability to compete successfully for future chartering and newbuilding opportunities;

acceptance of a vessel by its charterer;

- termination dates and extensions of charters;

the expected cost of, and our ability to comply with, governmental regulations, maritime self-regulatory organization standards, as well as standard regulations imposed by our charterers applicable to our business;

availability of skilled labor, vessel crews and management;

our general and administrative expenses and our fees and expenses payable under the fleet management agreements and the management and administrative services agreement;

the anticipated taxation of our partnership and distributions to our unitholders;

challenges by authorities to the tax benefits we previously obtained;

estimated future maintenance and replacement capital expenditures;

our and Golar's ability to retain key employees;

customers' increasing emphasis on environmental and safety concerns;

potential liability from any pending or future litigation;

potential disruption of shipping routes due to accidents, political events, piracy or acts by terrorists;

our business strategy and other plans and objectives for future operations; and

other factors listed from time to time in the reports and other documents that we file with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC").

Forward-looking statements in this Annual Report are estimates reflecting the judgment of management and involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements are based upon a number of assumptions and estimates that are inherently subject to significant uncertainties and contingencies, many of which are beyond our control. Actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Accordingly, these forward-looking statements should be considered in light of various important factors, including those set forth in this Annual Report under the heading "Item 3—Key Information—D. Risk Factors".

We do not intend to revise any forward-looking statements in order to reflect any change in our expectations or events or circumstances that may subsequently arise. We make no prediction or statement about the performance of our common units or Series A Preferred Units. The various disclosures included in this Annual Report and in our other filings made with the Securities and Exchange Commission (or the SEC) that attempt to advise interested parties of the risks and factors that may affect our business, prospects and results of operations should be carefully reviewed and considered.



# Table of Contents

## PART I

### Item 1. Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers

Not applicable.

### Item 2. Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable

Not applicable.

### Item 3. Key Information

#### A. Selected Financial Data

The following table presents, in each case for the periods and as of the dates indicated, our selected consolidated and combined financial and operating data.

The consolidated financial information of the Partnership as of December 31, 2018 and 2017 and for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016 are derived from the audited Consolidated Financial Statements of the Partnership, prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP, which are included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

The following financial information should be read in conjunction with “Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects” and our historical Consolidated Financial Statements and the notes thereto included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
	(in thousands except for unit data)				
Statement of Operations Data:					
Total operating revenues	\$346,650	\$433,102	\$441,598	\$434,687	\$396,026
Vessel operating expenses <sup>(1)</sup>	(65,247 )	(68,278 )	(59,886 )	(65,244 )	(59,191 )
Voyage and commission expenses <sup>(2)</sup>	(11,222 )	(9,694 )	(5,974 )	(7,724 )	(6,048 )
Administrative expenses	(14,809 )	(15,210 )	(8,600 )	(6,643 )	(5,757 )
Interest income	8,950	7,804	4,295	1,315	1,131
Interest expense	(80,650 )	(75,425 )	(66,938 )	(61,632 )	(47,335 )
Gains/(losses) on derivative instruments	8,106	7,796	(931 )	(13,730 )	(18,564 )
Equity in net earnings of affiliate <sup>(3)</sup>	1,190	—	—	—	—
Net income	76,548	144,848	185,742	172,683	184,735
Segment Data:					
FSRUs EBITDA	235,631	250,235	267,667	252,863	212,858
LNG carriers EBITDA	19,741	89,685	99,471	102,213	112,173
FLNG EBITDA <sup>(3)</sup>	38,180	—	—	—	—
Earnings Per Unit					
Basic - Common units	\$0.86	\$1.82	\$2.44	\$2.38	\$2.47
Diluted - Common units	\$0.86	\$1.80	\$2.43	\$2.38	\$2.47

Edgar Filing: Golar LNG Partners LP - Form 20-F

Cash distributions declared and paid per common unit in the year	1.96	2.31	2.31	2.30	2.14
--	------	------	------	------	------

1

---

Table of Contents

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
	(in thousands except for fleet and other financial data)				
Balance Sheet Data (at end of period):					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$96,648	\$246,954	\$65,710	\$40,686	\$98,998
Restricted cash and short-term deposits <sup>(4)</sup>	31,330	27,306	44,927	56,714	25,831
Non-current restricted cash <sup>(4)</sup>	141,114	155,627	117,488	136,559	146,552
Investment in affiliate <sup>(5)</sup>	206,180	—	—	—	—
Vessels and equipment, net	1,535,757	1,588,923	1,652,710	1,730,676	1,501,170
Vessel under capital lease, net	114,711	105,945	111,186	116,727	122,253
Total assets	2,240,817	2,427,371	2,252,708	2,231,662	1,942,846
Current portion of long-term debt	75,451	118,850	78,101	118,693	121,562
Current portion of obligation under capital lease	1,564	1,276	787	—	—
Long-term debt	1,196,899	1,252,184	1,296,609	1,212,419	897,614
Non-current obligation under capital lease	118,119	126,805	116,964	143,112	150,997
Partner’s capital	679,615	771,031	541,506	539,475	536,207
Number of units issued and outstanding:					
Series A Preferred units	5,520,000	5,520,000	—	—	—
Common units	69,455,364	69,768,261	64,073,291	45,167,096	45,663,096
Cash Flow Data:					
Net cash provided by operating activities <sup>(6)</sup>	\$137,166	\$270,430	\$259,687	\$219,144	\$275,991
Net cash used in investing activities <sup>(6)</sup>	(19,632 )	(70,426 )	(107,247 )	(9,638 )	(156,612 )
Net cash used in financing activities <sup>(6)</sup>	(272,211 )	(8,730 )	(136,308 )	(239,256 )	(112,514 )
Fleet Data:					
Number of FSRUs at end of period	6	6	6	6	5
Number of LNG carriers at end of period <sup>(7)</sup>	4	4	4	4	4
Other Financial Data:					
Average FSRUs daily time charter equivalent earnings (“TCE <sup>®</sup> )	\$171,689	\$159,950	\$144,501	\$144,592	\$145,744
Average LNG carriers daily time charter equivalent earnings (“TCE <sup>®</sup> )	\$33,575	\$80,268	\$82,267	\$85,753	\$93,514

(1) Vessel operating expenses are the direct costs associated with operating a vessel, including crew wages, vessel supplies, routine repairs, maintenance, insurance, lubricating oils and management fees.

(2) Majority of the vessels have all operated under time charters during the periods presented. Under a time charter, the charterer pays substantially all of the voyage expenses, which are primarily fuel and port expenses.

Represents our net earnings of the 50.0% of the common units of Hilli LLC which is equity accounted for within our consolidated statement of operations. However, our equity in net earnings of affiliate is presented in our segment information under the effective share of interest consolidation method. Please see Note 6 "Segment Information" and Note 10 "Investment in Affiliate" in our consolidated financial statements included herein for additional information.

(4) Restricted cash and short-term deposits consists of bank deposits which i) may only be used to settle certain pre-arranged loans, facilities or lease payments; ii) are variation margins posted for a decline in fair values of certain swaps; iii) represent cash held by our lessor variable interest entity ("VIE"); and iv) are made in accordance

with our contractual obligations under bid or performance guarantees for projects we may enter into.

Represents our investment in 50.0% of the common units of Hilli LLC, see “Item 5. Operating and Financial

(5) Review and Prospects—Significant Developments in 2018 and Early 2019—Hilli Acquisition” and “Item 5—Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—A. Operating Results”.

Table of Contents

In 2018, we adopted ASU 2016-18 “Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Restricted Cash” under a retrospective approach, which requires that restricted cash be included with cash and cash equivalents when reconciling the beginning-of-period and end-of-period total amounts presented on the statement of cash flows. Net cash provided by operating activities, net cash (used in)/provided by investing activities and net cash used in financing activities for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, 2015 and 2014 have been restated on the above table.

(7) In each of the periods presented, we held a 60% ownership interest in the Golar Mazo and a 100% interest in the other vessels.

(8) Non-GAAP Financial Measure

(a) Average daily TCE

It is standard industry practice to measure the revenue performance of a vessel in terms of average daily Time Charter Equivalent (“TCE”). For time charters, this is calculated by dividing total operating revenue less voyage and commission expenses by the number of calendar days minus days for scheduled off-hire. Scheduled off-hire days include days when vessels are in lay-up or are undergoing drydocking. Where we are paid a fee to position or reposition a vessel before or after a time charter, this additional revenue, less voyage and commission expenses, is included in the calculation of net time charter revenues. TCE rate is a standard shipping industry performance measure used primarily to compare period-to-period changes in a company’s performance despite changes in the mix of charter types (i.e., spot charters, time charters and bareboat charters) under which the vessels may be employed between the periods. We include average daily TCE, a non-U.S. GAAP measure, as we believe it provides additional meaningful information in conjunction with total operating revenues, the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP measure, because it assists our management in making decisions regarding the deployment and use of our vessels and in evaluating their financial performance. Our calculation of average daily TCE may not be comparable to that reported by other companies. The following table reconciles our total operating revenues to average daily TCE for each of our FSRU and LNG carrier segments.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
FSRUs Segment	(dollars in thousands, except TCE)				
Total operating revenues	\$294,889	\$316,599	\$322,373	\$307,344	\$257,934
Voyage and commission expenses	(7,138)	(8,375)	(5,049)	(5,581)	(4,486)
	287,751	308,224	317,324	301,763	253,448
Calendar days less scheduled off-hire days	1,676	1,927	2,196	2,087	1,739
Average daily TCE <sup>(2)</sup>	\$171,689	\$159,950	\$144,501	\$144,592	\$145,744
	Year Ended December 31,				
	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
LNG Carriers Segment	(dollars in thousands, except TCE)				
Total operating revenues	\$51,761	\$116,503	\$119,225	\$127,343	\$138,092
Voyage and commission expenses	(4,084)	(1,319)	(925)	(2,144)	(1,561)
	47,677	115,184	118,300	125,199	136,531
Calendar days less scheduled off-hire days	1,420	1,435	1,438	1,460	1,460
Average daily TCE <sup>(2)</sup>	\$33,575	\$80,268	\$82,267	\$85,753	\$93,514

B. Capitalization and Indebtedness

Not applicable.

C. Reasons for the Offer and Use of Proceeds

Not applicable.

Risk Factors

3

---

## Table of Contents

Some of the following risks relate principally to the industry in which we operate and to our business in general. Other risks relate principally to the securities market and to ownership of our common and preferred units. The occurrence of any of the events described in this section could significantly and negatively affect our business, financial condition, operating results or cash available for distributions or the trading price of our common and preferred units.

### Risks Arising from Our Business Activities

#### Risks Related to Revenue

We currently derive all of our revenue from a limited number of customers. The loss of any of our customers would result in a significant loss of revenues and cash flow, if for an extended period of time, we are not able to re-charter a vessel to another customer.

Our fleet consists of six FSRUs, four LNG carriers and an interest in the Hilli Episeyo. We have derived, and believe that we will continue to derive, all of our revenues and cash flow from a limited number of customers. The majority of our charters have fixed terms, but might nevertheless be lost in the event of unanticipated developments such as a customer's breach. We could also lose a customer or the benefits of a charter if:

- the customer fails to make charter payments because of its financial inability, disagreements with us or otherwise; or
- the customer exercises its right to terminate the charter in certain circumstances, such as:
  - loss of the vessel or damage to it beyond repair;
  - defaults of our obligations under the charter, including prolonged periods of off-hire;
  - in the event of war or hostilities that would significantly disrupt the free trade of the vessel;
  - requisition by any governmental authority;
- with respect to the Golar Winter, and Golar Eskimo, upon at least six months' written notice at any time after the fifth or tenth anniversary of the commencement of the related charter upon payment of a termination fee; or
- a prolonged force majeure event affecting the customer, including damage to or destruction of relevant production facilities, war or political unrest that prevents us from performing services for that customer.

If we lose any of our charterers and are unable to re-deploy the related vessel for an extended period of time, we will not receive any revenues from that vessel, but we will be required to pay expenses necessary to maintain the vessel in proper operating condition and to service any associated debt. In addition, it is an event of default under the credit facilities related to all of our vessels if the time charter of any vessel related to any such credit facility is cancelled, rescinded or frustrated and we are unable to secure a suitable replacement charter, post additional security or make certain significant prepayments. Any event of default under our credit facilities would result in acceleration of amounts due thereunder. We will be required to provide additional security or make prepayments under our \$800 million credit facility in the event that the charter in respect of the Golar Winter is terminated early and we cannot find an alternative acceptable charter. In addition, under the sale and leaseback arrangement in respect of the Golar Eskimo, if the time charter pursuant to which the Golar Eskimo is operating is terminated, the owner of the Golar Eskimo (which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of China Merchants Bank Leasing) will have the right to require us to purchase the vessel from it unless we are able to place such vessel under a suitable replacement charter within 24 months of the termination. We may not have, or be able to obtain, sufficient funds to make these accelerated payments or prepayments or be able to purchase the Golar Eskimo. In such a situation, the loss of a charterer could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions to our unitholders.

Our growth depends on our ability to expand relationships with existing customers and obtain new customers, for which we will face substantial competition.

One of our principal objectives is to enter into long-term FSRU and LNG carrier time charters for our vessels. The process of obtaining long-term charters for FSRUs and LNG carriers is highly competitive and generally involves an intensive screening process and competitive bids, and often extends for several months. We believe FSRU and LNG

carrier time charters are awarded based upon bid price as well as a variety of factors relating to the vessel operator, including:

- its FSRU and LNG shipping experience, technical ability and reputation for operation of highly specialized vessels;
- its shipping industry relationships and reputation for customer service and safety;
- the quality and experience of its seafaring crew;
- its financial stability and ability to finance FSRUs and LNG carriers at competitive rates;
- its relationships with shipyards and construction management experience; and
- its willingness to accept operational risks pursuant to the charter.



Table of Contents

We and Golar have substantial competition for providing floating storage and regasification services and marine transportation services for potential LNG projects from a number of experienced companies, including state-sponsored entities and major energy companies. Many of these competitors have significantly greater financial resources and larger and more versatile fleets than do we or Golar. We anticipate that an increasing number of marine transportation companies, including many with strong reputations and extensive resources and experience will enter the FSRU market and the LNG transportation market. This increased competition may cause greater price competition for time charters. As a result of these factors, we may be unable to expand our relationships with existing customers or to obtain new customers on a favorable basis, if at all, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition and our ability to make cash distributions.

Our future long-term charter revenue depends on our competitive position and future hire rates for FSRU and LNG carriers.

One of our principal strategies is to enter into new long-term FSRU and LNG carrier time charters of five years or more and to replace expiring charters with similarly long-term contracts. Most requirements for new LNG projects continue to be provided on a long-term basis, though the level of spot voyages and short-term time charters of less than 12 months in duration together with medium term charters of up to five years has increased in recent years. This trend is expected to continue as the spot market for LNG expands. More frequent changes to vessel sizes and propulsion technology together with an increasing desire by charterers to access modern tonnage could also reduce the appetite of charterers to commit to long-term charters that match their full requirement period. As a result, the duration of long-term charters could also decrease over time.

We may also face increased difficulty entering into long-term time charters upon the expiration or early termination of our existing contracts or of contracts for any vessels that we acquire in the future. If as a result we contract our vessels on short-term contracts, our earnings from these vessels are likely to become more volatile. An increasing emphasis on the short-term or spot LNG market may in the future require that we enter into charters based on variable market prices, as opposed to contracts based on a fixed rate, which could result in a decrease in our cash flow in periods when the market price for shipping LNG is depressed or insufficient funds are available to cover our financing costs for related vessels.

Hire rates for FSRUs and LNG carriers may fluctuate substantially. If rates are lower when we are seeking a new charter, our earnings and ability to make distributions to our unitholders may decline.

Hire rates for FSRUs and LNG carriers fluctuate over time as a result of changes in the supply-demand balance relating to current and future FSRU and LNG carrier capacity. This supply-demand relationship largely depends on a number of factors outside our control. For example, driven in part by an increase in LNG production capacity, the market supply particularly of LNG carriers has been increasing as a result of the construction of new vessels. The development of liquefaction projects in the United States and Australia resulted in significant ordering activity from 2011 to 2014 and in 2018. As of March 15, 2019, the LNG carrier order book totalled 107 vessels. We believe that this and any future expansion of the global LNG carrier fleet may have a negative impact on charter hire rates, vessel utilization and vessel values, which impact could be amplified if the expansion of LNG production capacity does not keep pace with fleet growth. The LNG market is also closely connected to world natural gas prices and energy markets, which we cannot predict. An extended decline in natural gas prices that leads to reduced investment in new liquefaction facilities could adversely affect our ability to re-charter our vessels at acceptable rates or to acquire and profitably operate new FSRUs or LNG carriers. Accordingly, this could have a material adverse effect on our earnings and our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

The charterers of two of our vessels have the option to extend the charter at a rate lower than the existing hire rate. The exercise of these options could have a material adverse effect on our cash flow and our ability to make

distributions to our unitholders.

The charterers of the NR Satu and Methane Princess have options to extend their respective existing contracts. If they exercise these options, the hire rate for the NR Satu will be reduced by approximately 12% per day for any day in the extension period falling in 2023, with a further 7% reduction for any day in the extension period falling in 2024 and 2025; and the hire rate for the Methane Princess will be reduced by 37% from 2024. The exercise of these options could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, cash flows and ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

Risks Related to Hilli

## Table of Contents

Our equity investment in Golar Hilli LLC may not result in anticipated profitability or generate cash flow sufficient to justify our investment. In addition, our investment exposes us to risks that may harm our business, financial condition and operating results.

In July 2018, we completed our acquisition of 50% of the common units in Golar Hilli LLC (“Hilli LLC”), the owner of Golar Hilli Corporation (“Hilli Corp”), the disponent owner of the Hilli. The acquired interest in Hilli LLC represents the equivalent of 50% of the two liquefaction trains, out of a total of four, that have been contracted to Perenco Cameroon SA (“Perenco”) and Société Nationale Des Hydrocarbures (“SNH” and, together with Perenco, the “Customer”) pursuant to a Liquefaction Tolling Agreement (“LTA”) with an 8 year term. The acquired interest is not exposed to the oil linked pricing elements of the tolling fee under the LTA. However, it exposes us to risks that we may:

- fail to realize anticipated benefits through cash distributions from Hilli LLC;
- fail to obtain the benefits of the LTA if the Customer exercises certain rights to terminate the charter upon the occurrence of specified events of default;
- fail to obtain the benefits of the LTA if the Customer fails to make payments under the LTA because of its financial inability, disagreements with us or otherwise;
- incur or assume unanticipated liabilities, losses or costs;
- be required to pay damages to the Customer or suffer a reduction in the tolling fee in the event that the Hilli Episeyo fails to perform to certain specifications;
- incur other significant charges, such as asset devaluation or restructuring charges; or
- be unable to re-charter the FLNG on another long-term charter at the end of the LTA.

• Due to the new and sophisticated technology utilized by the Hilli, operations are subject to risks that could negatively affect our business and financial condition.

FLNG vessels are complex and their operations are technically challenging and subject to mechanical risks and problems. Unforeseen operational problems with the Hilli may lead to Hilli LLC experiencing a loss of revenue or higher than anticipated operating expenses or require additional capital expenditures. Any of these results could harm our business, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions to our unitholders.

• We guarantee 50% of Hilli Corp’s indebtedness under the Hilli Facility.

Hilli Corp, a wholly owned subsidiary of Hilli LLC, is a party to a Memorandum of Agreement, dated September 9, 2015, with Fortune Lianjiang Shipping S.A., a subsidiary of China State Shipbuilding Corporation (“Fortune”), pursuant to which Hilli Corp has sold to and leased back from Fortune the Hilli under a 10-year bareboat charter agreement (the “Hilli Facility”). The Hilli Facility provided for post-construction financing for the Hilli in the amount of \$960 million.

In connection with the closing of the Hilli Acquisition, we agreed to provide a several guarantee (the “Partnership Guarantee”) of 50% of the obligations of Hilli Corp under the Hilli Facility pursuant to a Deed of Amendment, Restatement and Accession relating to a guarantee between Golar, Fortune and us dated July 12, 2018. In the event that Hilli Corp fails to meet its payment obligations under the Hilli Facility or fails to comply with certain other covenants contained therein, we may be required to make payments to Fortune under the Partnership Guarantee, and such payments may be substantial. The Hilli Facility and the Partnership Guarantee contain certain financial restrictions and other covenants that may restrict our business and financing activities as well as our ability to make cash distributions to our unitholders.

• If the letter of credit related to the LTA is not extended, the earnings and financial condition of Hilli Corp could suffer.

Pursuant to the terms of the LTA, Golar obtained a letter of credit (the "Hilli LOC") issued by a financial institution that guarantees certain payments Hilli Corp is required to make under the LTA. The Hilli LOC is required to exist until the tenth anniversary of the acceptance of the Hilli and is for credit support in respect of Hilli Corp's performance under the LTA. Under the current terms of the Hilli LOC, the financial institution is not obliged to extend the term of the Hilli LOC. In December 2018, the letter of credit was extended to apply until December 31, 2019, but additional security was required from the owners of Hilli Corp by the financial institution. This required us to provide a guarantee for the Hilli LOC (the "LOC Guarantee"). Should the financial institution make a payment for a legitimate claim against the Hilli LOC, our liability pursuant to the LOC Guarantee will be dependent on Golar's percentage ownership interest in us multiplied by the percentage of common units we hold in Hilli LLC.

The financial institution may elect to not extend the Hilli LOC by giving notice at least ninety days prior to the current December 31, 2019 expiration date or December 31 in any subsequent year. If the letter of credit (i) ceases to be in effect or

## Table of Contents

(ii) the financial institution elects to not extend it, unless additional replacement security for payment is provided within a certain time, then the LTA may be terminated and Hilli Corp may be liable for a termination fee of up to \$300 million. Accordingly, if the financial institution elects at some point in the future to not extend the Hilli LOC, Hilli Corp's financial condition could be materially and adversely affected.

• We may be unable to make or realize expected benefits from acquisitions which could have an adverse effect on our expected plans for growth.

Our growth strategy includes selectively acquiring FSRUs, FLNGs and LNG carriers that are operating under long-term, stable cash flow generating time charters.

Any acquisition of a vessel or business may not be profitable to us at or after the time we acquire it and may not generate cash flow sufficient to justify our investment. In addition, our acquisition growth strategy exposes us to risks that may harm our business, financial condition and operating results, including risks that we may:

• fail to realize anticipated benefits, such as new customer relationships, cost-savings or cash flow enhancements;  
• be unable to hire, train or retain qualified shore and seafaring personnel to manage and operate our growing business and fleet;

• decrease our liquidity by using a significant portion of our available cash or borrowing capacity to finance acquisitions;

• significantly increase our interest expense or financial leverage if we incur additional debt to finance acquisitions;

• incur or assume unanticipated liabilities, losses or costs associated with the business or vessels acquired; or

• incur other significant charges, such as impairment of goodwill or other intangible assets, asset devaluation or restructuring charges.

Unlike newbuildings, existing vessels typically do not carry warranties as to their condition. If we inspect existing vessels prior to purchase, such an inspection would normally not provide us with as much knowledge of a vessel's condition as we would possess if it had been built for us and operated only by us or Golar during its life. Repairs and maintenance costs for existing vessels are difficult to predict and may be substantially higher than for vessels we have operated since they were built. These costs could decrease our cash flow and reduce our liquidity and could have an adverse effect on our expected plans for growth.

• We may not have sufficient cash from operations following the establishment of cash reserves and payment of fees and expenses to enable us to pay distributions on our units.

We may not have sufficient cash from operations to pay distributions on our units. Furthermore, distributions to the holders of our common units are subject to the prior distribution rights of any holders of our preferred units outstanding. As of March 15, 2019, there were 5,520,000 of our 8.75% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Units ("Series A Preferred Units") issued and outstanding. Under the terms of our partnership agreement, we are prohibited from declaring and paying distributions on our common units until we declare and pay (or set aside for payment) full distributions on the Series A Preferred Units.

The amount of cash we can distribute on our units principally depends upon the amount of cash we generate from our operations, which may fluctuate from quarter to quarter based on the utilization of our vessels, the rates we obtain from our charters, our level of operating and maintenance costs and our working capital and debt service requirements, along with the other risks described in this section, some of which are outside of our control.

The amount of cash we generate from our operations may differ materially from our profit or loss for the period, which will be affected by non-cash items. As a result of this and the other factors outlined in this section, we may make cash distributions during periods when we record losses and may not make cash distributions during periods when we record net income.

Risks Related to the Financing of our Business

•

We may not be able to obtain financing, to meet our obligations as they fall due or to fund our growth or our future capital expenditures, which could negatively impact our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay distributions.

In order to fund future vessel acquisitions, increased working capital levels or other capital expenditures, we may be required to use cash from operations, incur additional borrowings, raise capital through the sale of debt or additional equity securities. Our ability to do so may be limited by our financial condition at the time of such financing or offering, as well as by adverse market conditions resulting from, among other things, general economic conditions and contingencies and uncertainties that are beyond our control. In addition, our use of cash from operations may reduce the amount of cash available for distributions.

## Table of Contents

Our failure to obtain funds for future capital expenditures could impact our results of operations, financial condition and our ability to pay distributions. Furthermore, our ability to access capital, overall economic conditions and our ability to secure charters on a timely basis could limit our ability to fund our growth and capital expenditures. If we are successful in issuing equity in order to raise capital, the issuance of additional equity securities may result in significant unitholder dilution and increase the amount of cash required to make commensurate distributions. Even if we are successful in obtaining bank financing, paying debt service would limit cash available for working capital and increasing our indebtedness could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay distributions.

Our ability to obtain additional debt financing for future vessel acquisitions or to refinance our existing debt largely depends on the creditworthiness of our charterers and the terms of our charters.

Our ability to borrow against the vessels in our existing fleet and any future vessels largely depends on the value of the vessels, and also, in part on charter hire rates and the ability of our charterers to comply with the terms of their charters. The actual or perceived credit quality of our charterers, and any defaults by them, may materially affect our ability to obtain the additional capital resources that we will be required to purchase additional vessels and to refinance our existing debt as balloon payments come due, or may significantly increase our costs of obtaining such capital. Our inability to obtain additional financing or committing to financing on unattractive terms could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows, including cash available for distributions to our unitholders.

Our debt levels may limit our flexibility in obtaining additional financing, pursuing other business opportunities and paying distributions to unitholders.

As of December 31, 2018, our total consolidated debt (excluding our \$455.3 million share of debt in respect of Hilli but including capitalized lease obligations, net of restricted cash, and our indebtedness outstanding under our revolving credit facilities) was \$1,249.4 million. Our level of debt could have important consequences to us, including the following:

- our ability to obtain additional financing, if necessary, for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions or other purposes may be limited or such financing may not be available on favorable terms;
- we will need a substantial portion of our cash flow to make principal and interest payments on our debt, reducing the funds that would otherwise be available for operations, future business opportunities and distributions to unitholders;
- our debt level will make us more vulnerable than our competitors with less debt to competitive pressures or a downturn in our business or the economy generally; and
- our debt level may limit our flexibility in responding to changing business and economic conditions.

Our ability to service our debt will depend upon, among other things, our future financial and operating performance, which will be affected by prevailing economic conditions and financial, business, regulatory and other factors, some of which are beyond our control. If our operating results are not sufficient to service our current or future indebtedness, we will be forced to take actions such as reducing distributions, reducing or delaying our business activities, acquisitions, investments or capital expenditures, selling assets, restructuring or refinancing our debt, or seeking additional equity capital or bankruptcy protection. We may not be able to effect any of these remedies on satisfactory terms, or at all.

Our financing arrangements, most of which are secured by our vessels, contain operating and financial restrictions and other covenants that may restrict our business and financing activities as well as our ability to make cash distributions to our unitholders.

The operating and financial restrictions and covenants in the agreements governing our financing arrangements, including our credit facilities, our 2015 and 2017 Norwegian Bonds, and the Methane Princess lease, and any future financing agreements, could adversely affect our ability to finance future operations or capital needs or to engage, expand or pursue our business activities. For example, our financing arrangements impose restrictions and covenants that restrict our and our subsidiaries' ability to, among other things:

- merge or consolidate with any other person;
- make certain capital expenditures;
- pay distributions to our unitholders;
- terminate or materially amend certain of our charters;
- enter into any other line of business;
- make any acquisitions;
- incur additional indebtedness or grant any liens to secure any of our existing or future indebtedness;
- enter into any sale-leaseback transactions; or



## Table of Contents

enter into any transactions with our affiliates.

Accordingly, we may need to seek consent from our lenders or lessors in order to take certain actions or engage in certain activities. The interests of our lenders or lessor may be different from ours, and we may be unable to obtain our lenders' or lessor's consent when and if needed.

If we do not comply with the restrictions and covenants in our financing arrangements, our business, results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay distributions will be adversely affected. Our ability to comply with covenants and restrictions contained in our financing arrangements may be affected by events beyond our control, including prevailing economic, financial and industry conditions. If market or other economic conditions deteriorate, our ability to comply with these covenants may be impaired. If restrictions, covenants, ratios or tests in our debt instruments are breached, a significant portion of the obligations may become immediately due and payable, and the lenders' commitment to make further loans may terminate. We may not have, or be able to obtain, sufficient funds to make these accelerated payments. In addition, obligations under certain of our financing arrangements are secured by certain of our vessels and guaranteed by our subsidiaries holding the interests in our vessels, and if we are unable to repay debt under our financing arrangements, the lenders or lessors could seek to foreclose on those assets.

For more information, regarding our financing arrangements, please read "Item 5—Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—F. Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations" and Note 20 "Debt" to our consolidated financial statements.

We are exposed to volatility in the London Interbank Offered Rate, ("LIBOR"), and the derivative contracts we have entered into to hedge our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates could result in higher than market interest rates and charges against our income.

LIBOR has historically been volatile, with the spread between LIBOR and the prime lending rate widening significantly at times. These conditions are the result of the disruptions in the international credit markets. Because the interest rates borne by our outstanding indebtedness fluctuate with changes in LIBOR, if this volatility were to occur, it would affect the amount of interest payable on our debt, which in turn, could have an adverse effect on our profitability, earnings and cash flow.

As of December 31, 2018, we had a total debt of \$1,858.1 million (including our \$455.3 million share of debt in respect of Hilli, capitalized lease obligations and our indebtedness outstanding under our revolving credit facilities), which is exposed to a floating interest rate based on LIBOR, which has been volatile recently and could affect the amount of interest payable on our debt. In order to manage our exposure to interest rate fluctuations, we use interest rate swaps to effectively fix a part of our floating rate debt obligations. As of December 31, 2018, we have interest rate swaps with a notional amount of \$1,783 million representing 96.0% of our total floating rate debt. While we are economically hedged, we do not apply hedge accounting and therefore interest rate swaps mark-to-market valuations may adversely affect our results. Entering into swaps and derivatives transactions is inherently risky and presents various possibilities for incurring significant expenses. The derivatives strategies that we employ currently and in the future may not be successful or effective, and we could, as a result, incur substantial additional interest costs or losses.

In addition, LIBOR and other "benchmark" rates are subject to ongoing national and international regulatory scrutiny and reform. For example, on July 27, 2017, the U.K. Financial Conduct Authority announced that it will no longer persuade or compel banks to submit rates for the calculation of the LIBOR rates after 2021 (the "FCA Announcement"). The Alternative Reference Rate Committee, a committee convened by the Federal Reserve that includes major market participants, has proposed an alternative rate to replace U.S. Dollar LIBOR: the Secured Overnight Financing Rate, or "SOFR." We are unable to predict the effect of the FCA Announcement or other reforms, whether currently enacted or enacted in the future. They may result in the phasing out of LIBOR as a reference rate. The impact of such transition away from LIBOR could be significant for us because of our substantial indebtedness. The outcome of reforms may

result in increased interest expense to us, may affect our ability to incur debt on terms acceptable to us and may result in increased costs related to amending our existing debt instruments, which could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition. Our financial condition could be materially adversely affected to the extent we do not hedge our exposure to interest rate fluctuations under loans that have been advanced at a floating rate. Any hedging activities we engage in may not effectively manage our interest rate exposure or have the desired impact on our financial conditions or results of operations.

• Our consolidated variable interest entity, (“VIE”), may enter into different financing arrangements, which could affect our financial results.

In November 2015, we entered into a sale and leaseback transaction with a subsidiary, Sea 23 Leasing Co. Limited (or “Eskimo SPV”) of China Merchants Bank Leasing (or “CMBL”). Eskimo SPV was determined to be a VIE of which we are deemed

Table of Contents

to be the primary beneficiary, and as a result we are required to consolidate the results of Eskimo SPV. Although consolidated into our results, we have no control over the funding arrangements negotiated by Eskimo SPV such as interest rates, maturity, and repayment profiles. In consolidating Eskimo SPV, we must make certain assumptions regarding the debt amortization profile and the interest rate to be applied against Eskimo SPV's debt principal. Our estimates are therefore dependent upon the timeliness of receipt and accuracy of financial information provided by Eskimo SPV. For additional detail refer to note 5 "Variable Interest Entities" to our consolidated financial statements. As of December 31, 2018, we consolidated one VIE in connection with the lease financing of the Golar Eskimo. For a description of our current financing arrangements including those of the VIE, please read "Item 5—Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—F. Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations." The funding arrangements negotiated by the VIE could adversely affect our financial results.

Even though the Golar Tundra has been sold back to Golar, we are a deficiency guarantor of Tundra Corp's obligations under the Tundra Lease and may be liable for hire payments thereunder.

In November 2015, prior to our acquisition (the "Tundra Acquisition") from Golar of Tundra Corp. ("Tundra Corp"), the owner of the Golar Tundra, Tundra Corp sold the Golar Tundra to a subsidiary of CMBL (or the "Tundra SPV") for \$254.6 million and subsequently leased back the vessel under a bareboat charter (the "Tundra Lease"). Following our sale of Tundra Corp to Golar, Golar is the primary guarantor of the obligations of Tundra Corp (now a wholly-owned subsidiary of Golar) under the Tundra Lease. In the event that Tundra Corp is in default of its obligations under the Tundra Lease and Golar, as the primary guarantor, is unable to settle any liabilities due within five business days, Tundra SPV may recover such amounts from us, as the deficiency guarantor. Monthly payments under the Tundra Lease are approximately \$2.0 million. Golar has agreed to indemnify us for any costs incurred in our capacity as the deficiency guarantor. In the event Golar is unable to satisfy its obligations as primary guarantor under the Tundra Lease, it will be unlikely to be able to satisfy its obligations to us under this indemnification and we could be liable for all payments due under the Tundra Lease.

One of our vessels is currently financed by a UK tax lease, and other vessels previously were financed by UK tax leases. In the event of any adverse tax changes or a successful challenge by the UK revenue authorities with regard to the initial tax basis of the transactions or in the event of an early termination of the leases, we may be required to make additional payments to the UK vessel lessor, which could adversely affect our earnings and financial condition.

As of March 15, 2019, we have one UK tax lease (relating to the Methane Princess). Under the terms of the leasing arrangement, the benefits are derived primarily from the tax depreciation assumed to be available to the lessor as a result of its investment in the vessel. As is typical in these leasing arrangements, as the lessee, we are obligated to maintain the lessor's after-tax margin. Accordingly, in the event of any adverse changes to tax legislation affecting the tax treatment of the lease for the UK vessel lessor or a successful challenge by the UK tax authorities ("HMRC") with regard to the tax assumptions on which the transaction was based, or in the event of an early termination of the Methane Princess lease we may be required to make additional payments principally to the UK vessel lessor. We would be required to return all, or a portion of, or in certain circumstances significantly more than the upfront cash benefits that Golar received or that have accrued over time, together with fees that were financed in connection with the lease financing transactions.

HMRC has been challenging the use of similar lease structures and has been engaged in litigation of a test case for some years. In August 2015, following an appeal to the Court of Appeal by the HMRC which set aside previous judgments in favor of the taxpayer, the First Tier Tribunal ("UK court") ruled in favor of HMRC. The judgments of the First Tier Tribunal do not create binding precedent for other UK court decisions and therefore the ruling in favor of HMRC is not binding in the context of our leases. HMRC have written to our lessor to indicate that they believe the Methane Princess lease may be similar to the lease in the case noted above. We have reviewed the details of the case and the basis of the judgment with our legal and tax advisers to ascertain what impact, if any, the judgment may have

on us and the possible range of exposure has been estimated at approximately \$nil to \$30 million (£22.5 million). Golar is currently in conversation with HMRC on this matter, and as well as continuing to present the factual background of Golar's position, is progressing the possibility of bringing this inquiry to a mutually satisfactory conclusion. Given the complexity of these discussions it is impossible to quantify the reasonably possible loss; however we continue to estimate the possible range of exposures as set out above. However, under the indemnity provisions of the omnibus agreement (the "Omnibus Agreement") we entered into with Golar at the time of our initial public offering ("IPO"), Golar has agreed to indemnify us against any liabilities incurred as a consequence of a successful challenge by the HMRC with regard to the initial tax basis of the Methane Princess lease and in relation to other vessels previously financed by UK tax leases. Any default by Golar would not limit our obligations under this lease. Any additional payments could adversely affect our earnings and financial position. For more information on the UK tax lease, please read "Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—F. Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations" and Note 25 "Other Commitments and Contingencies" to our consolidated financial statements.

We can borrow money to pay distributions, which would reduce the amount of credit available to operate our business.

## Table of Contents

Our partnership agreement allows us to make working capital borrowings to pay distributions. Accordingly, if we have available borrowing capacity, we can make distributions on all our units even though cash generated by our operations may not be sufficient to pay such distributions. Any working capital borrowings by us to make distributions will reduce the amount of working capital borrowings available for operating our business.

### Risks Associated with our Operations

The operation of FSRUs, FLNGs and LNG carriers is inherently risky, and our vessels face a number of industry risks and events which could cause damage or loss of a vessel, loss of life or environmental consequences that could harm our reputation and ongoing business operations.

Our vessels and their cargoes are at risk of being damaged or lost because of events such as marine disasters, acts of piracy, environmental accidents, bad weather, mechanical failures, grounding, fire, explosions and collisions, human error, national emergency and war and terrorism. Incidents such as these have historically effected companies in our industry, and such an event or accident involving any of our vessels could result in any of the following:

- death or injury to persons, loss of property or damage to the environment or natural resources;
- delays in the delivery of cargo;
- loss of revenues from or termination of charter contracts;
- governmental fines, penalties or restrictions on conducting business;
- A government requisitioning for title or seizing our vessels (e.g. in a time of war or national emergency)
- higher insurance rates; and
- damage to our reputation and customer relationships generally.

Any of these circumstances or events could increase our costs or lower our revenues. In particular:

Although we carry insurance, all risks may not be adequately insured against, and any particular claim may not be paid. Any claims covered by insurance would be subject to deductibles, and since it is possible that a large number of claims may be brought, the aggregate amount of these deductibles could be material.

If piracy attacks or military action results in regions in which our vessels are deployed being characterized as “war risk” zones by insurers or Joint War Committee “war and strikes” listed areas, premiums payable for such coverage could increase significantly and such insurance coverage may be more difficult to obtain.

Certain of our insurance coverage is maintained through mutual protection and indemnity associations and, as a member of such associations, we may be required to make additional payments over and above budgeted premiums if member claims exceed association reserves.

If our vessels suffer damage, they may need to be repaired. The costs of vessel repairs are unpredictable and can be substantial. We may have to pay repair costs that our insurance policies do not cover. The loss of earnings while these vessels are being repaired, as well as the actual cost of these repairs, would decrease our results of operations.

If one of our vessels were involved in an accident with the potential risk of environmental damages, the resulting media coverage could have a material adverse effect on our business, our results of operations and cash flows, weaken our financial condition and negatively affect our ability to pay distributions.

• We may experience operational problems with our vessels that reduce revenue and increase costs.

FSRUs and LNG carriers are complex and their operations are technically challenging. Marine LNG operations are subject to mechanical risks and problems. Our operating expenses depend on a variety of factors including crew costs, provisions, deck and engine stores and spares, lubricating oil, insurance, maintenance and repairs and shipyard costs, many of which are beyond our control and affect the entire shipping industry. Factors such as increased cost of qualified and experienced seafaring crew and changes in regulatory requirements could also increase operating expenditures. Although we continue to take measures to improve operational efficiencies and mitigate the impact of

inflation and price escalations, future increases to operational costs are likely to occur. If costs rise, they could materially and adversely affect our results of operations. In addition, operational problems may lead to loss of revenue or higher than anticipated operating expenses or require additional capital expenditures. Any of these results could harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and ability to make cash distributions to our unitholders.

In years when estimated maintenance and replacement capital expenditures are higher than actual maintenance and replacement capital expenditures, the amount of cash available for distribution to unitholders will be lower and we may

## Table of Contents

have less cash available for distribution as the actual maintenance and replacement capital expenditures are deducted from operating surplus.

We must make substantial capital expenditures to maintain and replace, over the long-term, the operating capacity of our fleet. Maintenance and replacement capital expenditures include capital expenditures associated with drydocking a vessel, modifying an existing vessel, acquiring a new vessel, or otherwise replacing current vessels at the end of their useful lives to the extent these expenditures are incurred to maintain or replace the operating capacity of our fleet. These expenditures could vary significantly from period to period and could increase as a result of changes in:

- the cost of labor and materials;
- customer requirements;
- fleet size;
- the cost of replacement vessels;
- length of charters;
- governmental regulations and maritime self-regulatory organization standards relating to safety, security or the environment; and
- competitive standards.

Additionally, in most cases drydocking and other maintenance results in loss of revenue while our vessels are off-hire. Any significant increase in the number of days off-hire due to such drydocking or in the costs of any repairs could have a material adverse effect on our ability to pay distributions to our unitholders. Although we do not anticipate multiple vessels being out of service at any given time, we may underestimate the time required to drydock any of our vessels or unanticipated problems may arise.

Our partnership agreement requires our board of directors to deduct estimated maintenance and replacement capital expenditures, instead of actual maintenance and replacement capital expenditures, from operating surplus each quarter in an effort to reduce fluctuations in operating surplus as a result of significant variations in actual maintenance and replacement capital expenditures each quarter. The amount of estimated maintenance and replacement capital expenditures deducted from operating surplus is subject to review and change by the conflicts committee of our board of directors at least once a year. In years when estimated maintenance and replacement capital expenditures are higher than actual maintenance and replacement capital expenditures, the amount of cash available for distribution to unitholders will be lower than if actual maintenance and replacement capital expenditures were deducted from operating surplus. If our board of directors underestimates the appropriate level of estimated maintenance and replacement capital expenditures, we may have less cash available for distribution in periods when actual capital expenditures exceed our previous estimates.

• We may be unable to obtain, maintain, and/or renew permits necessary for our operations or experience delays in obtaining such permits, which could have a material effect on our operations.

The design, construction and operation of FSRUs, LNG carriers, FLNGs and interconnecting pipelines require, and are subject to the terms of governmental approvals and permits. The permitting rules, and the interpretations of those rules, are complex, change frequently and are often subject to discretionary interpretations by regulators, all of which may make compliance more difficult or impractical, and may increase the length of time it takes to receive regulatory approval for offshore LNG operations. In the future, the relevant regulatory authorities may take actions to restrict or prohibit the access of FSRUs or LNG carriers to various ports or adopt new rules and regulations applicable to FSRUs and LNG carriers that will increase the time needed or affect our ability to obtain necessary environmental permits. We cannot assure unitholders that such changes would not have a material effect on our operations.

• A shortage of qualified officers and crew could have an adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

FSRUs, FLNGs and LNG carriers require technically skilled officers and crews with specialized training. As the world FSRU, FLNG and LNG carrier fleet has grown, the demand for technically skilled officers and crews has increased, which could lead to a shortage of such personnel. Increases in our historical vessel operating expenses have been attributable primarily to the rising costs of recruiting and retaining officers for our fleet. If our vessel managers are unable to employ technically skilled staff and crew, they will not be able to adequately staff our vessels. A material decrease in the supply of technically skilled officers or an inability of Golar Management or our vessel managers to attract and retain such qualified officers could impair our ability to operate or increase the cost of crewing our vessels, which would materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations and significantly reduce our ability to make distributions to our unitholders. In addition, the Golar Winter is employed by Petrobras in Brazil. As a result, we are required to hire a certain portion of Brazilian personnel to crew this vessel in accordance with Brazilian law. Also, the NR Satu is employed by PTNR, in Indonesia. As a



## Table of Contents

result, we are required to hire a certain portion of Indonesian personnel to crew the NR Satu in accordance with Indonesian law. Any inability to attract and retain qualified Brazilian and Indonesian crew members could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition and could significantly reduce our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

- Due to the locations in which we operate, a number of our current and potential future projects are subject to higher political and security risks than operations in other areas of the world.

Because most of our operations are currently conducted outside of the United States, they may be affected by economic, political and governmental conditions in the countries where we are engaged in business or where our vessels are registered. Any disruption caused by these factors could harm our business. In particular:

We derive a substantial portion of our revenues from shipping LNG from politically unstable regions, particularly the Arabian Gulf, Brazil, Indonesia and West Africa. Past political conflicts in certain of these regions have included attacks on vessels, mining of waterways and other efforts to disrupt shipping in the area. In addition to acts of terrorism, vessels trading in these and other regions have also been subject, in limited instances, to piracy. Future hostilities or other political instability in the regions in which we operate or may operate could have a material adverse effect on the growth of our business, results of operations and financial condition and our ability to make cash distributions. In addition, tariffs, trade embargoes and other economic sanctions by the United States or other countries against countries in the Middle East, Southeast Asia, Africa or elsewhere as a result of terrorist attacks, hostilities or otherwise may limit trading activities with those countries, which could also harm our business and ability to make cash distributions

The operations of Hilli Corp in Cameroon under the LTA are subject to higher political and security risks than operations in other areas of the world. Recently, Cameroon has experienced instability in its socio-political environment. Any extreme levels of political instability resulting in changes of governments, internal conflict, unrest and violence, especially from terrorist organizations prevalent in the region, such as Boko Haram, could lead to economic disruptions and shutdowns in industrial activities. In addition, corruption and bribery are a serious concern in the region. The operations of Hilli Corp in Cameroon will be subject to these risks, which could materially adversely affect our revenues, our ability to perform under the LTA and our financial condition.

In addition, Hilli Corp will maintain insurance coverage for only a portion of the risks incident to doing business in Cameroon. There also may be certain risks covered by insurance where the policy does not reimburse Hilli Corp for all of the costs related to a loss. For example, any claims covered by insurance will be subject to deductibles, which may be significant. In the event that Hilli Corp incurs business interruption losses with respect to one or more incidents, they could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Failure to comply with the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, the UK Bribery Act and other anti-bribery legislation in other jurisdictions could result in fines, criminal penalties, contract terminations and an adverse effect on our business.

We may operate in a number of countries throughout the world, including countries known to have a reputation for corruption. We are committed to doing business in accordance with applicable anti-corruption laws and have adopted a code of business conduct and ethics which is consistent and in full compliance with the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977 ("FCPA"), and the Bribery Act 2010 of the United Kingdom ("UK Bribery Act"). We are subject, however, to the risk that we, our affiliated entities or our or their respective officers, directors, employees and agents may take actions determined to be in violation of such anti-corruption laws, including the FCPA and the UK Bribery Act. Any such violation could result in substantial fines, sanctions, civil and/or criminal penalties, curtailment of operations in certain jurisdictions, and might adversely affect our business, results of operations or financial condition.

In addition, actual or alleged violations could damage our reputation and ability to do business. Furthermore, detecting, investigating, and resolving actual or alleged violations is expensive and can consume significant time and attention of our senior management.

In order to effectively compete in some foreign jurisdictions, we utilize local agents and/or establish entities with local operators or strategic partners. All of these activities may involve interaction by our agents with government officials. Even though some of our agents or partners may not themselves be subject to the FCPA, the UK Bribery Act or other anti-bribery laws to which we may be subject, if our agents or partners make improper payments to government officials or other persons in connection with engagements or partnerships with us, we could be investigated and potentially found liable for violation of such anti-bribery laws and could incur civil and criminal penalties and other sanctions, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

## Table of Contents

▲ cyber-attack could materially disrupt our business.

We rely on information technology systems and networks, the majority of which are provided by Golar Management, in our operations and the administration of our business. Our business operations could be targeted by individuals or groups seeking to sabotage or disrupt our information technology systems and networks, or to steal data. A successful cyber-attack could materially disrupt our operations, including the safety of our operations, and the availability of our vessels and facilities or lead to unauthorized release of information or alteration of information in our systems. Any such attack or other breach of our information technology systems could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

We are subject to laws, directives, and regulations relating to the collection, use, retention, disclosure, security and transfer of personal data. These laws, directives, and regulations, and their interpretation and enforcement continue to evolve and may be inconsistent from jurisdiction to jurisdiction. For example, the General Data Protection Regulation (“GDPR”), which regulates the use of personally identifiable information, went into effect in the European Union (“EU”) on May 25, 2018 and applies globally to all of our activities conducted from an establishment in the EU, to related products and services that we offer to EU customers and to non-EU customers which offer services in the EU. The GDPR requires organizations to report on data breaches within 72 hours and be bound by more stringent rules for obtaining the consent of individuals on how their data can be used. Complying with the GDPR and similar emerging and changing privacy and data protection requirements may cause us to incur substantial costs or require us to change our business practices. Noncompliance with our legal obligations relating to privacy and data protection could result in penalties, fines, legal proceedings by governmental entities or others, loss of reputation, legal claims by individuals and customers and significant legal and financial exposure and could affect our ability to retain and attract customers.

Changes in the nature of cyber-threats and/or changes to industry standards and regulations might require us to adopt additional procedures for monitoring cybersecurity, which could require additional expenses and/or capital expenditures. However, the impact of such regulations is hard to predict at this time.

◀ Changing corporate laws and reporting requirements could have an adverse impact on our business.

Changing laws, regulations and standards could create greater reporting obligations and compliance requirements on companies such as ours. Whilst the regulatory environment continues to evolve, we have invested in, and intend to continue to invest in, reasonably necessary resources to comply with evolving standards and maintain high standards of corporate governance and public disclosure. Recent examples of increased regulation include the UK Modern Slavery Act 2015 and the GDPR. Non-compliance with such regulation could result in governmental or other regulatory claims or significant fines that could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, and ability to pay distributions.

● We may be subject to litigation that, if not resolved in our favor and not sufficiently insured against, could have a material adverse effect on us.

We may be, from time to time, involved in various litigation matters. These matters may include, among other things, contract disputes, personal injury claims, environmental claims or proceedings, asbestos and other toxic tort claims, employment matters, governmental claims for taxes or duties and other litigation that arises in the ordinary course of our business. Although we intend to defend these matters vigorously, we cannot predict with certainty the outcome or effect of any claim or other litigation matter, and the ultimate outcome of any litigation or the potential costs to resolve them may have a material adverse effect on us. Insurance may not be applicable or sufficient in all cases and/or insurers may not remain solvent, which may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition.

● Vessel values may fluctuate substantially and, if these values are lower at a time when we are attempting to dispose of vessels, we may incur a loss.

Vessel values can fluctuate substantially over time due to a number of different factors, including:

- prevailing economic conditions in the natural gas and energy markets;
  - a substantial or extended decline in demand for LNG;
  - increases in the supply of vessel capacity;
  - the size and age of a vessel; and
- the cost of retrofitting or modifying existing vessels, as a result of technological advances in vessel design or equipment, changes in applicable environmental or other regulations or standards, customer requirements or otherwise.

## Table of Contents

As our vessels age, the expenses associated with maintaining and operating them are expected to increase, which could have an adverse effect on our business and operations if we do not maintain sufficient cash reserves for maintenance and replacement capital expenditures. Moreover, the cost of a replacement vessel would be significant. During the period a vessel is subject to a charter, we will not be permitted to sell it to take advantage of increases in vessel values without the charterers' agreement. If a charter terminates, we may be unable to re-deploy the affected vessels at attractive rates and, rather than continue to incur costs to maintain and finance them, we may seek to dispose of them. When vessel values are low, we may not be able to dispose of vessels at a reasonable price when we wish to sell vessels, and conversely, when vessel values are elevated, we may not be able to acquire additional vessels at attractive prices when we wish to acquire additional vessels, which could adversely affect our business, results of operations, cash flow, financial condition and ability to make distributions to unitholders. Please refer to "Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects-B. Liquidity and Capital Resources-Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates-Vessel Market Values" for further information.

Exposure to currency exchange rate fluctuations will result in fluctuations in our cash flows and operating results. Historically our revenue has been generated in U.S. Dollars, but we directly or indirectly incur capital, operating and administrative expenses in multiple currencies, including, among others, the Euro, the Brazilian Real, the Indonesian Rupiah, the Norwegian Kroner (or "NOK") and Pound Sterling. If the U.S. Dollar weakens significantly, we would be required to convert more U.S. Dollars to other currencies to satisfy our obligations, which would cause us to have less cash available for distribution.

Because we report our operating results in U.S. Dollars, changes in the value of the U.S. Dollar also result in fluctuations in our reported revenues and earnings. In addition, under U.S. GAAP, all foreign currency-denominated monetary assets and liabilities such as cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, restricted cash and short-term deposits, accounts payable, long-term debt and capital lease obligation are revalued and reported based on the prevailing exchange rate at the end of the reporting period. This revaluation may cause us to report significant non-monetary foreign currency exchange gains and losses in certain periods. Please read "Item 11-Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" below for a more detailed discussion on foreign currency risk.

We may be unable to attract and retain key management personnel in the LNG industry, which may negatively impact the effectiveness of our management and our results of operation.

Our success depends to a significant extent upon the abilities and the efforts of our senior executives. While we believe that we have an experienced management team, the loss or unavailability of one or more of our senior executives for any extended period of time could have an adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

### **Risks Related to Our Industry**

Our results of operations and financial condition depend on demand for LNG, LNG carriers, FSRUs and FLNGs.

Our business strategy focuses on expansion in the LNG shipping sector, the floating storage and regasification sector and the floating liquefaction sector. While global LNG demand has continued to rise, the rate of its growth has fluctuated for several reasons, including the global economic downturn and continued economic uncertainty, fluctuations in the price of natural gas and other sources of energy, the continued increase in natural gas production from unconventional sources, including hydraulic fracturing, in regions such as North America and the highly complex and capital intensive nature of new and expanded LNG projects, including liquefaction projects.

Accordingly, our results of operations and financial condition depend on continued world and regional demand for LNG, LNG carriers, FSRUs and FLNGs, which could be negatively affected by a number of factors, including but not limited to:

- price and availability of natural gas, crude oil and petroleum products;
- increases in the cost of natural gas derived from LNG relative to the cost of natural gas;
- decreases in the cost of, or increases in the demand for, conventional land-based regasification and liquefaction systems, which could occur if providers or users of regasification or liquefaction services seek greater economies of scale than FSRUs or FLNGs can provide, or if the economic, regulatory or political challenges associated with

land-based activities improve;  
• further development of, or decreases in the cost of, alternative technologies for vessel-based LNG regasification or liquefaction;

15

---

## Table of Contents

- increases in the production of natural gas in areas linked by pipelines to consuming areas, the extension of existing, or the development of new, pipeline systems in markets we may serve, or the conversion of existing non-natural gas pipelines to natural gas pipelines in those markets;
- negative global or regional economic or political conditions, particularly in LNG-consuming regions, which could reduce energy consumption or its growth;
- decreases in the consumption of natural gas due to increases in its price relative to other energy sources or other factors making consumption of natural gas less attractive;
- any significant explosion, spill or other incident involving an LNG facility or carrier, conventional land-based regasification or liquefaction system, or FSRU or FLNG;
- a significant increase in the number of LNG carriers, FSRUs or FLNGs available, whether by a reduction in the scrapping of existing vessels or the increase in construction of vessels; and
- availability of new, alternative energy sources, including compressed natural gas.

Reduced demand for LNG or LNG liquefaction, storage, shipping or regasification, or any reduction or limitation in LNG production capacity, could have a material adverse effect on prevailing charter rates or the market value of our vessels, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Growth of the LNG market may be limited by many factors, including infrastructure constraints and community and political group resistance to new LNG infrastructure over concerns about environmental, safety and terrorism.

A complete LNG project includes production, liquefaction, regasification, storage and distribution facilities and LNG carriers. Existing LNG projects and infrastructure are limited, and new or expanded LNG projects are highly complex and capital intensive, with new projects often costing several billion dollars. Many factors could negatively affect continued development of LNG infrastructure and related alternatives, including floating liquefaction, storage and regasification, or disrupt the supply of LNG, including:

- increases in interest rates or other events that may affect the availability of sufficient financing for LNG projects on commercially reasonable terms;
- decreases in the price of LNG, which might decrease the expected returns relating to investments in LNG projects;
- the inability of project owners or operators to obtain governmental approvals to construct or operate LNG facilities;
- local community resistance to proposed or existing LNG facilities based on safety, environmental or security concerns;
- any significant explosion, spill or similar incident involving an LNG production, liquefaction or regasification facility, FSRU or LNG carrier; and
- labor or political unrest affecting existing or proposed areas of LNG production, liquefaction and regasification.

- Our vessels may call on ports located in countries that are subject to restrictions imposed by the U.S. or other governments, which could adversely affect our business.

Although no vessels operated by us have called on ports located in countries subject to comprehensive sanctions and embargoes imposed by the U.S. government or countries identified by the U.S. government as state sponsors of terrorism, in the future our vessels may call on ports in these countries from time to time on our charterers' instructions. The U.S. sanctions and embargo laws and regulations vary in their application, as they do not all apply to the same covered persons or proscribe the same activities, and such sanctions and embargo laws and regulations may be amended or strengthened over time.

Although we believe that we have been in compliance with all applicable sanctions and embargo laws and regulations, and intend to maintain such compliance, there can be no assurance that we will be in compliance in the future, particularly as the scope of certain laws may be unclear and may be subject to changing interpretations. Any such

violation could result in fines, penalties or other sanctions that could severely impact our ability to access U.S. capital markets and conduct our business, and could result in some investors deciding, or being required, to divest their interest, or not to invest, in us. In addition, certain institutional investors may have investment policies or restrictions that prevent them from holding securities of companies that have contracts with U.S. embargoed countries or countries identified by the U.S. government as state sponsors of terrorism and certain financial institutions may have policies against lending or extending credit to companies that have contracts with U.S. embargoed countries or countries identified by the U.S. government as state sponsors of terrorism. The determination by these investors not to invest in, or to divest from, our common and preferred units or the determination by these financial institutions not to offer financing may adversely affect the price at which our common and preferred units trade. Moreover, our charterers may violate applicable sanctions and embargo laws and regulations as a result of actions that do not involve us or our vessels, and those violations could in turn negatively affect our reputation. In addition, our reputation and the market for our securities may be adversely affected if we engage in certain other activities, such as entering into charters with individuals or entities in countries subject to U.S. sanctions and embargo laws that are not controlled by the



## Table of Contents

governments of those countries, or engaging in operations associated with those countries pursuant to contracts with third parties that are unrelated to those countries or entities controlled by their governments. Investor perception of the value of our common and preferred units may be adversely affected by the consequences of war, the effects of terrorism, civil unrest and governmental actions in these and surrounding countries.

• Maritime claimants could arrest our vessels, which could interrupt our cash flow.

If we are in default on certain kinds of obligations, such as those to our lenders, crew members, suppliers of goods and services to our vessels or shippers of cargo, these parties may be entitled to a maritime lien against one or more of our vessels. In many jurisdictions, a maritime lien holder may enforce its lien by arresting a vessel through foreclosure proceedings. In a few jurisdictions, claimants could try to assert “sister ship” liability against one vessel in our fleet for claims relating to another of our vessels. The arrest or attachment of one or more of our vessels could interrupt our cash flow and require us to pay to have the arrest lifted. Under some of our present charters, if the vessel is arrested or detained (for as few as 14 days in the case of one of our charters) as a result of a claim against us, we may be in default of our charter and the charterer may terminate the charter. This would negatively impact our revenues and reduce our cash available for distribution to unitholders.

• The results of the U.K.’s referendum on withdrawal from the European Union may have a negative effect on global economic conditions, financial markets and our business.

In June 2016, a majority of voters in the U.K. elected to withdraw from the EU in a national referendum, and in March 2017, the government of the U.K. formally initiated the process. The referendum was advisory, and the terms of any withdrawal are subject to a negotiation period that could last at least two years after the March 2017 initiation. There is currently no agreement in place regarding the withdrawal, creating significant uncertainty about the future relationship between the U.K. and the EU, including with respect to the laws and regulations that will apply as the U.K. determines which EU derived laws to replace or replicate in the event of a withdrawal. The referendum has also given rise to calls for the governments of other EU member states to consider withdrawal. These developments, or the perception that any of them could occur, have had and may continue to have a material adverse effect on global economic conditions and the stability of global financial markets, and may significantly reduce global market liquidity and restrict the ability of key market participants to operate in certain financial markets. Any of these factors could depress economic activity and restrict our access to capital, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and our ability to pay distributions.

## Risks Related to Industry Regulation

Regulations relating to ballast water discharge coming into effect during September 2019 will increase our costs and may adversely affect our profitability.

The International Maritime Organization (or the “IMO”) has imposed updated guidelines on ballast water management systems specifying the maximum amount of viable organisms allowed to be discharged from a vessel’s ballast water. Existing vessels must comply with the updated discharge standard (referred to as the “D-2 standard”) on or after September 8, 2019, with the compliance deadline depending on the date of the vessel’s IOPP renewal survey. For most vessels, compliance with the D-2 standard will involve installing on-board systems to treat ballast water and eliminate unwanted organisms. The costs of compliance to the updated guidelines may be substantial and adversely affect our profitability. For additional information, please read Item 5 “Operating and Financial Review and Prospects-Capital Commitments.”

• Our operations are subject to various international, federal, state and local environmental, climate change and greenhouse gas emissions laws and regulations. Compliance with these obligations, and any future changes to environmental legislation or regulation applicable to international and national maritime trade, may have an adverse

effect on our business.

Our operations are affected by extensive and changing international, national and local environmental protection laws, regulations, treaties and conventions in force in international waters and the jurisdictional waters of the countries in which our vessels operate, as well as those in force in the countries of our vessels' registration. Such laws and regulations, among other things, govern response to and liability for oil spills, discharges to air and water, and the handling and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes. In some cases, these laws and regulations require us to obtain governmental permits and authorizations before we may conduct certain activities.

National laws generally provide for a LNG carrier, FSRU and FLNG owner or operator to bear strict liability for pollution, subject to a right to limit liability under applicable national or international regimes for limitation of liability. The IMO International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships of 1973 as from time to time amended, and generally

## Table of Contents

referred to as MARPOL, can affect our operations. In addition, our LNG vessels may become subject to the International Convention on Liability and Compensation for Damage in Connection with the Carriage of Hazardous and Noxious Substances by Sea, or the HNS, adopted in 1996 and subsequently amended by the April 2010 Protocol, which is discussed further below.

Laws that apply to our operations change from time to time. For example, in June 2015 the IMO formally adopted the International Code of Safety for Ships using Gases or Low flashpoint Fuels, or the IGF Code, which is designed to minimize the risks involved with ships using low flashpoint fuels, including LNG. The IGF Code is be mandatory under SOLAS through the adopted amendments. The IGF Code and the amendments to SOLAS became effective January 1, 2017.

Further legislation, or amendments to existing legislation, applicable to international and national maritime trade are expected over the coming years in areas such as ship recycling, sewage systems, emission control (including emissions of greenhouse gases), and ballast treatment and handling. Such legislation or regulations may require additional capital expenditures or operating expenses (such as increased costs for low-sulfur fuel) in order for us to maintain our vessels' compliance with international and/or national regulations.

Failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations may result in administrative and civil penalties, criminal sanctions or the suspension or termination of our operations, including, in certain instances, seizure or detention of our vessels. Such legislation or regulations may require additional capital expenditures or operating expenses. Please see "Item 4. Information on the Company-B. Business Overview" below for a more detailed discussion on these topics.

Climate change and greenhouse gas restrictions may adversely impact our operations and markets.

Due to concern over the risk of climate change, a number of countries and the IMO have adopted, or are considering the adoption of, regulatory frameworks to reduce greenhouse gas emission from vessel emissions. These regulatory measures may include, among others, adoption of cap and trade regimes, carbon taxes, increased efficiency standards, and incentives or mandates for renewable energy. Also, a treaty may be adopted in the future that requires the adoption of restrictions on shipping emissions. Compliance with changes in laws and regulations relating to climate change could increase our costs of operating and maintaining our vessels and could require us to make significant financial expenditures that we cannot predict with certainty at this time.

Adverse effects upon the oil and gas industry relating to climate change, including growing public concern about the environmental impact of climate change, may also have an effect on demand for our services. For example, increased regulation of greenhouse gases or other concerns relating to climate change may reduce the demand for oil and gas in the future or create greater incentives for use of alternative energy sources. Any long-term material adverse effect on the oil and gas industry could have a significant financial and operational adverse impact on our business that we cannot predict with certainty at this time. Please read "Item 4. Information on the Partnership-B. Business Overview-Environmental and Other Regulations-Regulation of Greenhouse Gas Emissions" below for a more detailed discussion.

Compliance with safety and other vessel requirements imposed by classification societies may be very costly and may adversely affect our business.

The hull and machinery of every large, oceangoing commercial vessel must be classed by a classification society authorized by its country of registry. The classification society certifies that a vessel is safe and seaworthy in accordance with the applicable rules and regulations of the country of registry of the vessel and the Safety of Life at Sea Convention. With the exception of the Golar Mazo, which is certified by Lloyds Register, all other vessels in our current fleet are each certified by the Norwegian Class Society, DNV-GL.

As part of the certification process, a vessel must undergo annual surveys, intermediate surveys and special surveys. In lieu of a special survey, a vessel's machinery may be on a continuous survey cycle, under which the machinery would be surveyed periodically over a five-year period. Each of the vessels in our existing fleet is on a planned maintenance system approval, and as such the classification society attends on board once every year to verify that the maintenance of the equipment on board is done correctly. Each of the vessels in our existing fleet is required to be qualified within its respective classification society for dry-docking once every five years subject to an intermediate underwater survey done using an approved diving company in the presence of a surveyor from the classification society.

If any vessel does not maintain its class or fails any annual survey, intermediate survey or special survey, the vessel will be unable to trade between ports and will be unemployable. We would lose revenue while the vessel was off-hire and incur costs of compliance. This would negatively impact our results of operations and reduce our cash available for distributions to our unitholders.

## Table of Contents

### Risks Related to an Investment in Us

Our officers face conflicts in the allocation of their time to our business.

Our officers are all directors or officers of Golar Management and perform executive officer functions for us pursuant to the Management and Administrative Services Agreement, are not required to work full-time on our affairs and also perform services for affiliates of our general partner, including Golar and Golar Power. The affiliates of our general partner, including Golar and Golar Power, conduct substantial businesses and activities of their own in which we have no economic interest. As a result, there could be material competition for the time and effort of our officers who also provide services to our general partner's affiliates, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. Please read "Item 6-Directors, Senior Management and Employees".

We depend on Golar and certain of its subsidiaries, including Golar Management, GMN, GMM and GMC, to assist us in operating and expanding our business.

Our ability to enter into new charters and expand our customer relationships will depend largely on our ability to leverage our relationship with Golar and its reputation and relationships in the LNG industry. If Golar suffers material damage to its reputation or relationships, it may harm our ability to:

- renew existing charters upon their expiration;
- obtain new charters;
- successfully interact with shipyards;
- obtain financing on commercially acceptable terms;
- recover amounts due to us; or
- maintain satisfactory relationships with suppliers and other third parties.

In addition, each vessel in our fleet is subject to management agreements pursuant to which certain commercial and technical management services are provided by certain subsidiaries of Golar, including GMN, GMM and GMC. Pursuant to these agreements, these entities provide significant commercial and technical management services for our fleet. In addition, pursuant to a management and administrative services agreement between us and Golar Management (or the "Management and Administrative Services Agreement"), Golar Management provides us with significant management, administrative, financial and other support services. Our operational success and ability to execute our growth strategy depends significantly upon the satisfactory performance of these services. Our business will be harmed if these Golar subsidiaries fail to perform these services satisfactorily, if they cancel their agreements with us or if they stop providing these services to us. Please read "Item 7. Major Unitholders and Related Party Transactions-Related Party Transactions."

Fees and cost reimbursements, which Golar Management determines for services provided to us, are substantial, are payable regardless of our profitability and reduce our cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Pursuant to the fleet management agreements, we pay fees for services provided to us and our subsidiaries by Golar Management (a subsidiary of Golar) and certain other subsidiaries of Golar, including GMN, GMM and GMC, and we reimburse these entities for all expenses they incur on our behalf. These fees and expenses include all costs and expenses incurred in providing certain commercial and technical management services to our subsidiaries.

In addition, pursuant to the Management and Administrative Services Agreement, Golar Management provides us with significant management, administrative, financial and other support services. We reimburse Golar Management for its reasonable costs and expenses incurred in connection with the provision of these services. In addition, we pay Golar Management a management fee equal to 5% of its costs and expenses incurred in connection with providing

services to us.

For a description of the fleet management agreements and the Management and Administrative Services Agreement, please read “Item 7. Major Unitholders and Related Party Transactions.” Fees and expenses payable pursuant to the fleet management agreements and the management and administrative services agreement are payable without regard to our financial condition or results of operations. The payment of fees to and the reimbursement of expenses of subsidiaries of Golar could adversely affect our ability to pay cash distributions to our unitholders.

## Table of Contents

Golar and its affiliates may compete with us.

Pursuant to the omnibus agreement that we entered into in connection with our IPO (or the "Omnibus Agreement"), Golar and its affiliates (other than us, our general partner and our subsidiaries) generally agreed not to acquire, own, operate or charter certain FSRUs and LNG carriers operating under charters of five years or more (or "Five Year Vessels"). In June 2016, in connection with the formation by Golar of Golar Power, we entered into an additional omnibus agreement (or the "Golar Power Omnibus Agreement"), pursuant to which Golar and Golar Power agreed not to acquire, own, operate or charter Five Year Vessels. Both omnibus agreements, however, contain significant exceptions that may allow Golar, Golar Power and their respective affiliates to compete with us, which could harm our business. Please read "Item 7. Major Unitholders and Related Party Transactions-B. Related Party Transactions-Omnibus Agreement-Non-competition" and "-Golar Power Omnibus Agreement-Non-competition."

The shareholders' agreement with Chinese Petroleum Corporation with respect to the Golar Mazo contains provisions that may limit our ability to sell or transfer our interest in the Golar Mazo, which could have a material adverse effect on our cash flows and affect our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

We have a 60% interest in the joint venture that owns the Golar Mazo, which enables us to control the joint venture subject to certain protective rights held by Chinese Petroleum Corporation (or CPC), who holds the remaining 40% interest in the Golar Mazo. Under the shareholders' agreement, no party may sell, assign, mortgage, or otherwise transfer its rights, interests or obligations under the agreement without the prior written consent of the other party. If we determine that the sale or transfer of our interest in the Golar Mazo is in our best interest, we must provide CPC notice of our intent to sell or transfer our interest and grant CPC a right of first refusal to purchase our interest. If CPC does not accept the offer within 60 days after we notify CPC, we will be free to sell or transfer our interest to a third party. Any delay in the sale or transfer of our interest in the Golar Mazo or restrictions in our ability to manage the joint venture could have a material adverse effect on our cash flows and affect our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

PTNR has the right to purchase the NR Satu at any time at a price that must be agreed upon between us and PTNR. The exercise of this option could have a material adverse effect on our cash flow and our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

PTNR has the right to purchase the NR Satu at any time at a price that must be agreed upon between us and PTNR. If PTNR exercises its purchase option, it would reduce the size of our fleet and we may be unable to identify or acquire a suitable replacement vessel with the proceeds of the option exercise. Even if we find a suitable replacement vessel, the hire rate of such vessel may be lower than the hire rate for the NR Satu under its charter. The exercise of this option could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, cash flows and ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

Our common units are subordinated to our existing and future indebtedness and our Series A Preferred Units.

Our common units are equity interests in us and do not constitute indebtedness. The common units rank junior to all existing and future indebtedness and other non-equity claims on us with respect to the assets available to satisfy claims, including a liquidation of the Partnership. Additionally, holders of the common units are subject to the prior distribution and liquidation rights of the holders of the Series A Preferred Units and any other preferred units we may issue in the future.

Increases in interest rates may cause the market price of our units to decline.

An increase in interest rates may cause a corresponding decline in demand for equity investments in general, and in particular for yield-based equity investments such as our common units. Any such increase in interest rates or reduction in demand for our common units resulting from other relatively more attractive investment opportunities may cause the trading price of our common units or Series A Preferred Units to decline.

• We have been organized as a limited partnership under the laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands, which does not have a well-developed body of partnership law.

Our partnership affairs are governed by our partnership agreement and by the Marshall Islands Act. The provisions of the Marshall Islands Act resemble provisions of the limited partnership laws of a number of states in the United States, most notably Delaware. The Marshall Islands Act also provides that it is to be applied and construed to make it uniform with the Delaware Revised Uniform Partnership Act and, so long as it does not conflict with the Marshall Islands Act or decisions of the Marshall Islands courts, interpreted according to the non-statutory law (or case law) of the State of Delaware. There have been, however, few, if any, court cases in the Marshall Islands interpreting the Marshall Islands Act, in contrast to Delaware,



## Table of Contents

which has a fairly well-developed body of case law interpreting its limited partnership statute. Accordingly, we cannot predict whether Marshall Islands courts would reach the same conclusions as the courts in Delaware. For example, the rights of our unitholders and the fiduciary responsibilities of our general partner under Marshall Islands law are not as clearly established as under judicial precedent in existence in Delaware. As a result, unitholders may have more difficulty in protecting their interests in the face of actions by our general partner and its officers and directors than would unitholders of a similarly organized limited partnership in the United States.

Because we are organized under the laws of the Marshall Islands, it may be difficult to serve us with legal process or enforce judgments against us, our directors or our management.

We are organized under the laws of the Marshall Islands, and substantially all of our assets are located outside of the United States. In addition, our general partner is a Marshall Islands limited liability company, and our directors and officers generally are or will be non-residents of the United States, and all or a substantial portion of the assets of these non-residents are located outside the United States. As a result, it may be difficult or impossible for a unitholder to bring an action against us or against these individuals in the United States if such unitholder believes that its rights have been infringed under securities laws or otherwise. Even if a unitholder is successful in bringing an action of this kind, the laws of the Marshall Islands and of other jurisdictions may prevent or restrict such unitholder from enforcing a judgment against our assets or the assets of our general partner or our directors or officers.

Our general partner, as the holder of all of the IDRs, may elect to cause us to issue additional common units to it in connection with a resetting of the target distribution levels related to our general partner's IDRs without the approval of the conflicts committee of our board of directors or holders of our common units. This may result in lower distributions to holders of our common units in certain situations.

Our general partner, as the holder of all of the IDRs, has the right, at a time when our general partner has received incentive distributions at the highest level to which it is entitled (48%) for each of the prior four consecutive fiscal quarters, to reset the initial cash target distribution levels at higher levels based on the distribution at the time of the exercise of the reset election. Following a reset election by our general partner, the minimum quarterly distribution amount will be reset to an amount equal to the average cash distribution amount per common unit for the two fiscal quarters immediately preceding the reset election (such amount is referred to as the "reset minimum quarterly distribution"), and the target distribution levels will be reset to correspondingly higher levels based on the same percentage increases above the reset minimum quarterly distribution amount.

In connection with resetting these target distribution levels, our general partner will be entitled to receive a number of common units equal to that number of common units whose aggregate quarterly cash distributions equaled the average of the distributions to our general partner on the IDRs in the prior two quarters. We anticipate that our general partner would exercise this reset right in order to facilitate acquisitions or internal growth projects that would not be sufficiently accretive to cash distributions per common unit without such conversion; however, it is possible that our general partner could exercise this reset election at a time when it is experiencing, or may be expected to experience, declines in the cash distributions it receives related to its IDRs and may therefore desire to be issued our common units, rather than retain the right to receive incentive distributions based on the initial target distribution levels. As a result, a reset election may cause our common unitholders to experience dilution in the amount of cash distributions that they would have otherwise received had we not issued additional common units to our general partner in connection with resetting the target distribution levels related to our general partner's IDRs.

Our general partner and its other affiliates own a significant interest in us and have conflicts of interest and limited fiduciary and contractual duties, which may permit them to favor their own interests to the detriment of our unitholders.

As of March 15, 2019, Golar owned our general partner and 30.6% of our common units, and our general partner owned our general partner interest and all of our incentive distribution rights. Certain of our directors and officers are directors and/or officers of Golar or its affiliates and, as such, they have fiduciary duties to Golar that may cause them to pursue business strategies that disproportionately benefit Golar or which otherwise are not in the best interests of us or our unitholders. Conflicts of interest may arise between Golar and its affiliates (including our general partner) on the one hand, and us and our unitholders, on the other hand. As a result of these conflicts, our general partner and its affiliates may favor their own interests over the interests of our unitholders. These conflicts include, among others, the following situations:

neither our partnership agreement nor any other agreement requires our general partner or Golar or its affiliates to pursue a business strategy that favors us or utilizes our assets, and Golar's officers and directors have a fiduciary duty to make decisions in the best interests of the shareholders of Golar, which may be contrary to our interests;

## Table of Contents

our partnership agreement permits our general partner to make a number of decisions in its individual capacity, as opposed to in its capacity as our general partner. Where our partnership agreement permits, our general partner may consider only the interests and factors that it desires, and in such cases it has no fiduciary duty or obligation to give any consideration to any interest of, or factors affecting, us, our affiliates or our unitholders. Decisions made by our general partner in its individual capacity will be made by its sole owner, Golar. Specifically, our general partner will be considered to be acting in its individual capacity if it exercises its call right, pre-emptive rights, registration rights or right to make a determination to receive common units in exchange for resetting the target distribution levels related to the incentive distribution rights (IDRs), consents or withholds consent to any merger or consolidation of the partnership, appoints any directors or votes for the election of any director, votes or refrains from voting on amendments to our partnership agreement that require a vote of the outstanding units, voluntarily withdraws from the partnership, transfers (to the extent permitted under our partnership agreement) or refrains from transferring its units, general partner interest or incentive distribution rights or votes upon the dissolution of the partnership; our general partner and our directors have limited their liabilities and reduced their fiduciary duties under the laws of the Marshall Islands, while also restricting the remedies available to our unitholders, and, as a result of purchasing common units, unitholders are treated as having agreed to the modified standard of fiduciary duties and to certain actions that may be taken by our general partner and our directors, all as set forth in the partnership agreement; our general partner is entitled to reimbursement of all reasonable costs incurred by it and its affiliates for our benefit; our partnership agreement does not restrict us from paying our general partner or its affiliates for any services rendered to us on terms that are fair and reasonable or entering into additional contractual arrangements with any of these entities on our behalf; our general partner may exercise its right to call and purchase our common units if it and its affiliates own more than 80% of our common units; and our general partner is not obligated to obtain a fairness opinion regarding the value of the common units to be repurchased by it upon the exercise of its limited call right.

Although a majority of our directors are elected by common unitholders, our general partner will likely have substantial influence on decisions made by our board of directors.

Our partnership agreement limits our general partner's and our directors' fiduciary duties to our unitholders and restricts the remedies available to unitholders for actions taken by our general partner or our directors.

Our partnership agreement provides that our general partner will delegate to our board of directors the authority to oversee and direct our operations, management and policies on an exclusive basis, and such delegation will be binding on any successor general partner of the partnership. Our partnership agreement also contains provisions that reduce the standards to which our general partner and directors would otherwise be held by Marshall Islands law. For example, our partnership agreement:

permits our general partner to make a number of decisions in its individual capacity, as opposed to in its capacity as our general partner. Where our partnership agreement permits, our general partner may consider only the interests and factors that it desires, and in such cases it has no fiduciary duty or obligation to give any consideration to any interest of, or factors affecting, us, our affiliates or our unitholders. Decisions made by our general partner in its individual capacity will be made by its sole owner, Golar. Specifically, pursuant to our partnership agreement, our general partner will be considered to be acting in its individual capacity if it exercises its right to make a determination to receive common units in exchange for resetting the target distribution levels related to the incentive distribution rights ("IDRs"), call right, pre-emptive rights or registration rights, consents or withholds consent to any merger or consolidation of the partnership, appoints any directors or votes for the election of any director, votes or refrains from voting on amendments to our partnership agreement that require a vote of the outstanding units, voluntarily withdraws from the partnership, transfers (to the extent permitted under our partnership agreement) or refrains from transferring its units, general partner interest or IDRs or votes upon the dissolution of the partnership; provides that our general partner and our directors are entitled to make other decisions in "good faith" if they reasonably believe that the decision is in our best interests;

generally provides that affiliated transactions and resolutions of conflicts of interest not approved by the conflicts committee of our board of directors and not involving a vote of unitholders must be on terms no less favorable to us than those generally being provided to or available from unrelated third parties or be “fair and reasonable” to us and that, in determining whether a transaction or resolution is “fair and reasonable,” our board of directors may consider the totality of the relationships between the parties involved, including other transactions that may be particularly advantageous or beneficial to us; and

## Table of Contents

provides that neither our general partner nor our officers or our directors will be liable for monetary damages to us, our limited partners or assignees for any acts or omissions unless there has been a final and non-appealable judgment entered by a court of competent jurisdiction determining that our general partner or directors or its officers or directors or those other persons engaged in actual fraud or willful misconduct.

In order to become a limited partner of our partnership, a common unitholder is required to agree to be bound by the provisions in the partnership agreement, including the provisions discussed above.

Our partnership agreement contains provisions that may have the effect of discouraging a person or group from attempting to remove our current management or our general partner which could diminish the trading price of our common units.

Our partnership agreement contains provisions that may have the effect of discouraging a person or group from attempting to remove our current management or our general partner.

The vote of the holders of at least 66 % of all outstanding common units voting together as a single class is required to remove the general partner.

Common unitholders are entitled to elect only four of the seven members of our board of directors. Our general partner in its sole discretion appoints the remaining three directors.

Election of the four directors elected by unitholders is staggered, meaning that the member(s) of only one of three classes of our elected directors will be selected each year. In addition, the directors appointed by our general partner serve for terms determined by our general partner.

Our partnership agreement contains provisions limiting the ability of unitholders to call meetings of unitholders, to nominate directors and to acquire information about our operations as well as other provisions limiting the unitholders' ability to influence the manner or direction of management.

Unitholders' voting rights are further restricted by the partnership agreement provision providing that if any person or group owns beneficially more than 4.9% of any class of units then outstanding, any such units owned by that person or group in excess of 4.9% may not be voted on any matter and will not be considered to be outstanding when sending notices of a meeting of unitholders, calculating required votes (except for purposes of nominating a person for election to our board), determining the presence of a quorum or for other similar purposes, unless required by law.

The voting rights of any such unitholders in excess of 4.9% will effectively be redistributed pro rata among the other common unitholders holding less than 4.9% of the voting power of all classes of units entitled to vote. Our general partner, its affiliates and persons who acquired common units with the prior approval of our board of directors will not be subject to this 4.9% limitation except with respect to voting their common units in the election of the elected directors.

There are no restrictions in our partnership agreement on our ability to issue additional common units.

The effect of these provisions may be to diminish the price at which our units will trade.

The control of our general partner may be transferred to a third party without unitholder consent.

Our general partner may transfer its general partner interest to a third party in a merger or in a sale of all or substantially all of its assets without the consent of the unitholders. In addition, our partnership agreement does not restrict the ability of the members of our general partner from transferring their respective membership interests in our general partner to a third party.

Unitholders have limited voting rights, and our partnership agreement restricts the voting rights of the unitholders owning more than 4.9% of our units.

Unlike the holders of common stock in a corporation, holders of common units have only limited voting rights on matters affecting our business. We hold a meeting of the limited partners every year to elect one or more members of our board of directors and to vote on any other matters that are properly brought before the meeting. Common

unitholders are entitled to elect only four of the seven members of our board of directors. The elected directors are elected on a staggered basis and serve for three year terms. Our general partner in its sole discretion appoints the remaining three directors and set the terms for which those directors will serve. The partnership agreement also contains provisions limiting the ability of unitholders to call meetings or to acquire information about our operations, as well as other provisions limiting the unitholders' ability to influence the manner or direction of management. Unitholders have no right to elect our general partner, and our general partner may not be removed except by a vote of the holders of at least 66 % of the outstanding common units, including any common units owned by our general partner and its affiliates, voting together as a single class.

Our partnership agreement further restricts unitholders' voting rights by providing that if any person or group owns beneficially more than 4.9% of any class of units then outstanding, any such units owned by that person or group in excess of 4.9% may

## Table of Contents

not be voted on any matter and will not be considered to be outstanding when sending notices of a meeting of unitholders, calculating required votes (except for purposes of nominating a person for election to our board), determining the presence of a quorum or for other similar purposes, unless required by law. The voting rights of any such unitholders in excess of 4.9% will effectively be redistributed pro rata among the other common unitholders holding less than 4.9% of the voting power of all classes of units entitled to vote. Our general partner, its affiliates and persons who acquired common units with the prior approval of our board of directors will not be subject to this 4.9% limitation except with respect to voting their common units in the election of the elected directors.

Substantial future sales of our common units in the public market could cause the price of our common units to fall.

We have granted registration rights to Golar and certain of its affiliates. These unitholders have the right, subject to some conditions, to require us to file registration statements covering any of our common units or other equity securities owned by them or to include those securities in registration statements that we may file for ourselves or other unitholders. As of March 15, 2019, Golar owned 21,226,586 common units. Following their registration and sale under the applicable registration statement, those securities will become freely tradable. By exercising their registration rights and selling a large number of common units or other securities, these unitholders could cause the price of our common units to decline.

We may issue additional equity securities, including securities senior to the common units, without the approval of our unitholders, which would dilute our current unitholders' ownership interests.

We may, without the approval of our unitholders, issue an unlimited number of additional common units. In addition, we may issue units that are senior to the common units in right of distribution, liquidation and voting, provided that we may not issue any limited partner interests or other equity securities expressly made senior to the Series A Preferred Units as to the payment of distributions and amounts payable upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up, whether voluntary or involuntary ("Senior Securities") and, under certain circumstances limited partner interests or other equity securities with terms expressly providing that such class or series ranks on a parity with the Series A Preferred Units as to the payment of distributions and amounts payable upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up, whether voluntary or involuntary ("Parity Securities") without the affirmative vote of the holders of at least two-thirds of the outstanding Series A Preferred Units. The issuance by us of additional common units or other equity securities of equal or senior rank will have the following effects:

- our unitholders' proportionate ownership interest in us will decrease;
- the amount of cash available for distribution on each unit may decrease;
- the relative voting strength of each previously outstanding unit may be diminished; and
- the market price of the common units may decline.

Our Series A Preferred Units have rights, preferences and privileges that are not held by, and are preferential to the rights of, holders of our common units.

Our Series A Preferred Units rank senior to all our common units with respect to distribution rights and liquidation preference. These preferences could adversely affect the market price for our common units, or could make it more difficult for us to sell our common units in the future. In addition, distributions on the Series A Preferred Units accrue and are cumulative. Our obligation to pay distributions on our Series A Preferred Units, or on the common units issued following conversion of such Series A Preferred Units, could impact our liquidity and reduce the amount of cash flow available for working capital, capital expenditures, growth opportunities, acquisitions, and other general partnership purposes. Our obligations to the holders of Series A Preferred Units could also limit our ability to obtain additional financing or increase our borrowing costs, which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition.

In establishing cash reserves, our board of directors may further reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Our partnership agreement requires our general partner to deduct from operating surplus cash reserves that it determines are necessary to fund our future operating expenditures. These reserves also will affect the amount of cash available for distribution to our unitholders. As described above, our partnership agreement requires our board of directors each quarter to deduct from operating surplus estimated maintenance and replacement capital expenditures, as opposed to actual maintenance and replacement capital expenditures, which could further reduce the amount of available cash for distribution. The amount of estimated maintenance and replacement capital expenditures deducted from operating surplus is subject to review and change by our board of directors at least once a year, provided that any change must be approved by the conflicts committee of our board of directors.



## Table of Contents

• Our general partner has a limited call right that may require unitholders to sell their common units at an undesirable time or price.

If at any time our general partner and its affiliates own more than 80% of the common units, our general partner will have the right, which it may assign to any of its affiliates or to us, but not the obligation, to acquire all, but not less than all, of the common units held by unaffiliated persons at a price not less than the then-current market price of our common units. Our general partner is not obligated to obtain a fairness opinion regarding the value of the common units to be repurchased by it upon the exercise of this limited call right. As a result, unitholders may be required to sell their common units at an undesirable time or price and may not receive any return on their investment. Unitholders may also incur a tax liability upon a sale of units.

• Unitholders may not have limited liability if a court finds that unitholder action constitutes control of our business.

As a limited partner in a partnership organized under the laws of the Marshall Islands, a unitholder could be held liable for our obligations to the same extent as a general partner if a unitholder participates in the “control” of our business. Our general partner generally has unlimited liability for the obligations of the partnership, such as its debts and environmental liabilities, except for those contractual obligations of the partnership that are expressly made without recourse to our general partner. In addition, the limitations on the liability of holders of limited partner interests for the obligations of a limited partnership have not been clearly established in some jurisdictions in which we do business.

• Unitholders may have liability to repay distributions.

Under some circumstances, unitholders may have to repay amounts wrongfully returned or distributed to them. Under the Marshall Islands Limited Partnership Act (or the Marshall Islands Act), we may not make a distribution to unitholders if the distribution would cause our liabilities to exceed the fair value of our assets. Marshall Islands law provides that for a period of three years from the date of the impermissible distribution, limited partners who received the distribution and who knew at the time of the distribution that it violated Marshall Islands law will be liable to the limited partnership for the distribution amount. Assignees who become substituted limited partners are liable for the obligations of the assignor to make contributions to the partnership that are known to the assignee at the time it became a limited partner and for unknown obligations if the liabilities could be determined from the partnership agreement. Liabilities to partners on account of their partnership interest and liabilities that are non-recourse to the partnership are not counted for purposes of determining whether a distribution is permitted.

## Tax Risks

• A change in tax laws in any country in which we operate could adversely affect us.

Tax laws and regulations are highly complex and subject to interpretation. Consequently, we and our subsidiaries are subject to changing tax laws, treaties and regulations in and between the countries in which we operate. Our tax expense is based on our interpretation of the tax laws in effect at the time the expense was incurred. A change in tax laws, treaties or regulations, or in the interpretation thereof, could result in a materially higher tax expense or a higher effective tax rate on our earnings. Such changes may include measures enacted in response to the ongoing initiatives in relation to fiscal legislation at an international level, such as the Action Plan on Base Erosion and Profit Shifting of the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development non-cooperative jurisdictions for tax purposes, a list on which Marshall Islands was recently placed.

• U.S. tax authorities could treat us as a “passive foreign investment company,” which would have adverse U.S. federal income tax consequences to U.S. unitholders.

A non-U.S. entity treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes will be treated as a “passive foreign investment company” (or “PFIC”) for U.S. federal income tax purposes if at least 75.0% of its gross income for any taxable year consists of “passive income” or at least 50.0% of the average value of its assets produce, or are held for the production of, “passive income.” For purposes of these tests, “passive income” includes dividends, interest, gains from the sale or exchange of investment property, and rents and royalties other than rents and royalties that are received from unrelated parties in connection with the active conduct of a trade or business. For purposes of these tests, income derived from the performance of services does not constitute “passive income.” U.S. shareholders of a PFIC are subject to a disadvantageous U.S. federal income tax regime with respect to the income derived by the PFIC, the distributions they receive from the PFIC, and the gain, if any, they derive from the sale or other disposition of their interests in the PFIC.

## Table of Contents

Based on our current and projected method of operation, we believe that we were not a PFIC for any prior taxable year, and we expect that we will not be treated as a PFIC for the current or for any future taxable year. We believe that more than 25.0% of our gross income for each taxable year was or will be non-passive income and more than 50.0% of the average value of our assets for each such year was or will be held for the production of such non-passive income. This belief is based on certain valuations and projections regarding our assets, income and charters, and its validity is conditioned on the accuracy of such valuations and projections. While we believe such valuations and projections to be accurate, the shipping market is volatile and no assurance can be given that they will be accurate at any time in the future.

Moreover, there are legal uncertainties involved in determining whether the income derived from time-chartering activities constitutes rental income or income derived from the performance of services. In *Tidewater Inc. v. United States*, 565 F.3d 299 (5th Cir. 2009), the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit (or the "Fifth Circuit") held that income derived from certain time-chartering activities should be treated as rental income rather than services income for purposes of a provision of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (or the "Code") relating to foreign sales corporations. In that case, the Fifth Circuit did not address the definition of passive income or the PFIC rules; however, the reasoning of the case could have implications as to how the income from a time charter would be classified under such rules. If the reasoning of this case were extended to the PFIC context, the gross income we derive or are deemed to derive from our time-chartering activities may be treated as rental income, and we would likely be treated as a PFIC. In published guidance, the Internal Revenue Service (or the "IRS") stated that it disagreed with the holding in *Tidewater*, and specified that time charters similar to those at issue in the case should be treated as service contracts. We have not sought, and we do not expect to seek, an IRS ruling on the treatment of income generated from our time-chartering activities. As a result, the IRS or a court could disagree with our position. No assurance can be given that this result will not occur. In addition, although we intend to conduct our affairs in a manner to avoid, to the extent possible, being classified as a PFIC with respect to any taxable year, we cannot assure unitholders that the nature of our operations will not change in the future and that we will not become a PFIC in any taxable year. If the IRS were to find that we are or have been a PFIC for any taxable year (and regardless of whether we remain a PFIC for subsequent taxable years), our U.S. unitholders would face adverse U.S. federal income tax consequences. Please read "Item 10. Additional Information-E. Taxation-Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations-U.S. Federal Income Taxation of U.S. Holders-PFIC Status and Significant Tax Consequences" for a more detailed discussion of the U.S. federal income tax consequences to U.S. unitholders if we are treated as a PFIC.

⚠We may have to pay tax on U.S. source income, which would reduce our cash flow.

Under the Code, 50.0% of the gross transportation income of a vessel owning or chartering corporation, such as ourselves, that is attributable to transportation that either begins or ends, but that does not both begin and end, in the United States is characterized as U.S. source gross transportation income. U.S. source gross transportation income generally is subject to a 4.0% U.S. federal income tax without allowance for deduction unless the corporation qualifies for exemption from tax under Section 883 of the Code and the regulations promulgated thereunder.

We believe that we and each of our subsidiaries engaged in transportation will qualify for the Section 883 tax exemption for the foreseeable future, and we will take this position for U.S. federal income tax return reporting purposes. However, there are factual circumstances, including some that may be beyond our control that could cause us to lose the benefit of this tax exemption. In addition, our position that we qualify for this exemption is based upon legal authorities that do not expressly contemplate an organizational structure such as ours; specifically, although we have elected to be treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes, we are organized as a limited partnership under Marshall Islands law. Therefore, we can give no assurance that the IRS will not take a different position regarding our qualification, or the qualification of any of our subsidiaries, for the Section 883 tax exemption.

If we or our subsidiaries are not entitled to this exemption under Section 883 for any taxable year, we or our subsidiaries generally would be subject to a 4.0% U.S. federal gross income tax on our U.S. source gross transportation income for such year. Our failure to qualify for the exemption under Section 883 could have a negative effect on our business and would result in decreased earnings available for distribution to our unitholders. The vessels in our fleet do not currently engage, and we do not expect that they will in the future engage, in transportation that begins and ends in the United States, and we do not currently anticipate providing any liquefaction, regasification or storage services within the territorial seas of the United States. If, notwithstanding this expectation, our subsidiaries earn income in the future from liquefaction, regasification or storage services in the United States or from transportation that begins and ends in the United States, that income would not be exempt from U.S. federal income tax under Section 883 of the Code and would be subject to a 21% net income tax in the United States, plus a 30% branch profits tax. Please read “Item 4. Information on the Partnership-B. Business Overview-Taxation of the Partnership-The Section 883 Exemption” for a more detailed discussion of the rules relating to qualification for the exemption under Section 883 and the consequences of failing to qualify for such an exemption.

## Table of Contents

Unitholders may be subject to income tax in one or more non-U.S. jurisdictions, including the United Kingdom, as a result of owning our units if, under the laws of any such jurisdiction, we are considered to be carrying on business there. Such laws may require unitholders to file a tax return with, and pay taxes to, those jurisdictions.

We conduct our affairs and cause or influence each of our subsidiaries to operate its business in a manner that minimizes income taxes imposed upon us and our subsidiaries and that may be imposed upon a unitholder as a result of owning our units. However, because we are organized as a partnership, there is a risk in some jurisdictions, including the United Kingdom, that our activities or the activities of our subsidiaries may be attributed to our unitholders for tax purposes if, under the laws of such jurisdiction, we are considered to be carrying on business there. If a unitholder is subject to tax in any such jurisdiction, such unitholder may be required to file a tax return with, and to pay tax in, that jurisdiction based on such unitholder's allocable share of our income. We may be required to reduce distributions to a unitholders on account of any tax withholding obligations imposed upon us by that jurisdiction in respect of such allocation to such unitholder. The United States will not allow a unitholder to claim a tax credit for any foreign income taxes that such unitholder directly or indirectly incurs by virtue of an investment in us.

We believe we can conduct our affairs in a manner that does not result in our unitholders being considered to be carrying on business in the United Kingdom solely as a consequence of the acquisition, ownership, disposition or redemption of our units. However, the question of whether either we or any of our subsidiaries will be treated as carrying on business in any jurisdiction, including the United Kingdom, will be largely a question of fact to be determined through an analysis of contractual arrangements, including the fleet management agreements that our subsidiaries have entered into with Golar Management, certain other subsidiaries of Golar and certain third-party vessel managers and the Management and Administrative Services Agreement that we have entered into with Golar Management, as well as through an analysis of the manner in which we conduct business or operations, all of which may change over time. Furthermore, the laws of the United Kingdom or any other jurisdiction may also change, which could cause that jurisdiction's taxing authorities to determine that we are carrying on business in such jurisdiction and that we or our unitholders are subject to its taxation laws. In addition to the potential for taxation of our unitholders, any additional taxes imposed on us or any of our subsidiaries will reduce our cash available for distribution.

¶We will be subject to taxes, which will reduce our cash available for distribution to you.

Some of our subsidiaries will be subject to tax in the jurisdictions in which they are organized or operate, reducing the amount of cash available for distribution. In computing our tax obligation in these jurisdictions, we are required to take various tax accounting and reporting positions on matters that are not entirely free from doubt and for which we have not received rulings from the governing authorities. We cannot assure you that upon review of these positions the applicable authorities will agree with our positions. For example, the Indonesian tax authorities have notified one of our subsidiaries, PTGI, that it is canceling the waiver of Value Added Tax ("VAT") importation in the approximate amount of \$24.0 million for the NR Satu. In February 2018, PTGI filed a Judicial Review with the Supreme Court of Indonesia, but in December 2018, the Supreme Court of Indonesia ruled against PTGI with regards the validity of wavier cancellation. However, we do not believe it probable that a liability exists as a result of this ruling, as no Tax Underpayment Assessment Notice has been received within the statute of limitations period. Should we receive such notice from the tax authorities, we intend to challenge the legality of the assessment. In any event, we believe PTGI will be indemnified by PTNR for any VAT liability as well as related interest and penalties under our time charter party agreement entered into with them. A successful challenge by a tax authority could result in additional tax imposed on our subsidiaries, further reducing the cash available for distribution. In addition, changes in our operations could result in additional tax being imposed on us, our operating company or our or its subsidiaries in jurisdictions in which operations are conducted. Please read "Item 4. Information on the Partnership-B. Business Overview-Taxation of the Partnership" and note 25 "Other Commitments and Contingencies" in our consolidated financial statements.

Item 4. Information on the Partnership

A. History and Development of the Partnership

We are a publicly traded limited partnership that was formed on September 24, 2007, under the laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands, as a wholly owned subsidiary of Golar LNG Limited, a leading independent owner and operator of Floating Storage Regasification Units (“FSRUs”) and LNG carriers, to own and operate FSRUs and LNG carriers under long-term charters.

We completed our IPO in April 2011 and since then, we have increased our quarterly distribution from \$0.385 per unit paid on a prorated basis for the period from the closing of our IPO through June 30, 2011, to \$0.4042 per unit for the quarter ended December 31, 2018. From the time of our first annual general meeting in December 2012, four of the seven members of our board became electable by the common unitholders and because Golar no longer has the power to control our board of directors, we are no longer considered to be under common control with Golar.

## Table of Contents

We intend to leverage the relationships, expertise and reputation of Golar and its affiliates, a leading independent owner and operator of FSRUs and LNG carriers, to pursue potential growth opportunities and to attract and retain high-quality, creditworthy customers. As of March 15, 2019, we have a fleet of six FSRUs, four LNG carriers and an interest in an FLNG, all of which were either contributed by or acquired from Golar. Of the ten vessels, we acquired six vessels and the interest in an FLNG since our IPO in 2011 for an aggregate purchase price of \$2,551.8 million.

In July 2018, we acquired an interest in the Hilli, a floating liquefied natural gas ("FLNG") vessel through the acquisition of 50% of the common units (the "Hilli Common Units") in Golar Hilli LLC ("Hilli LLC") (the "Hilli Acquisition") for a purchase price of \$658 million, less 50% of the net lease obligations under the Hilli Facility and a post-closing purchase price adjustment. See "Item 5—Operating and Financial Review and Prospects" for a description of the Hilli acquisition and the financing arrangements related to our fleet.

We maintain our principal executive headquarters at 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, S.E. Pearman Building, 9 Par-la-Ville Road, Hamilton, HM11, Bermuda. Our telephone number at that address is +1 (441) 2954705. Our principal administrative offices are located at 6<sup>th</sup> Floor, The Zig Zag, 70 Victoria Street, London, SW1E 6SQ, United Kingdom.

The SEC maintains an internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information that we file electronically with the SEC, can be obtained from the SEC's website at (<http://www.sec.gov>) or from "SEC filings" tab in the "Investor Relations" section of our website ([www.golarlngpartners.com](http://www.golarlngpartners.com)).

### B. Business Overview

#### Our Business

Our current business is owning and operating FSRUs, LNG carriers and an interest in a FLNG. Our primary long-term business objective is to provide steady and predictable quarterly distributions to unitholders by growing our business through accretive acquisitions of FSRUs, FLNGs and LNG carriers and chartering our vessels pursuant to long-term charters with customers that generate long-term stable cash flows.

Pursuant to our omnibus agreements with Golar and Golar Power, we will have the opportunity to purchase additional FSRUs and LNG carriers in the future from Golar and Golar Power when those vessels are fixed under charters of five or more years upon the expiration of their current charters. Any such acquisition will be subject to the approval of our board of directors and the conflicts committee. Please read "Item 7. Major Unitholders and Related Party Transactions-Related Party Transactions-IPO Omnibus Agreement" and "Golar Power Omnibus Agreement". Any such acquisition will be subject to the approval of our board of directors and the conflicts committee. See "Item 7. Major Unitholders and Related Party Transactions-B. Related Party Transactions."

Our pursuit of further acquisitions is dependent upon our ability to successfully raise capital at a cost that makes such acquisitions accretive and economically viable.

#### Our Business Strategies

Our primary long-term business objective is to provide steady and predictable quarterly distributions to unitholders. However, based on capital requirements for committed growth projects and scheduled debt repayment obligations, coupled with the expiry of a number of long-term charters, we reduced our quarterly distributions on our common units during the year to allow sustainable quarterly distribution. We intend to achieve our long-term business objective, as stated above, by executing the following strategies:

-

Pursue strategic and accretive acquisitions of FSRUs, FLNGs and LNG carriers. We believe our affiliation with Golar and its affiliates positions us to pursue a broader array of growth opportunities, including strategic and accretive acquisitions from or with Golar, Golar Power or from third parties. Since our IPO, we have acquired six vessels and an interest in a FLNG from Golar.

Compete for long-term charter contracts for FSRUs, FLNGs and LNG carriers when attractive opportunities arise. We intend to participate in competitive tender processes and engage in negotiated transactions with potential charterers for FSRUs, FLNGs and LNG carriers when attractive opportunities arise by leveraging the strength of the industry expertise of Golar, as well as our publicly traded partnership status.



## Table of Contents

Manage our fleet and our customer relationships to provide a stable base of cash flows and superior operating performance. We intend to manage the stability of cash flows in our fleet by actively seeking the extension or renewal of existing charters, entering into new long-term charters with current customers and identifying potential business opportunities with new high-quality charterers.

We can provide no assurance, however, that we will be able to implement our business strategies described above. For further discussion of the risks that we face, please read “Item 3. Key Information—D. Risk Factors”.

## The Liquefied Natural Gas (“LNG”) Industry

The need to transport natural gas over long distances across oceans led to the development of the international LNG trade. LNG shipping provides a cost-effective and safe means for transporting natural gas overseas. The LNG is transported overseas in specially built tanks on double-hulled ships to a receiving terminal, where it is offloaded and stored in heavily insulated tanks. In regasification facilities at the receiving terminal, the LNG is returned to its gaseous state (or regasified) and then carried by pipeline or small scale vessels for distribution to power stations and other natural gas customers.

The following diagram displays the flow of natural gas and LNG from production to consumption.

## LNG Supply Chain

**Exploring and drilling:** Natural gas is produced and transported via pipeline to natural gas liquefaction facilities located along the coast of the producing country. The advent of floating liquefaction will also see the gas being piped to offshore liquefaction facilities.

**Production and liquefaction:** Natural gas is cooled to a temperature of minus 162 degrees celsius, transforming the gas into a liquid, which reduces its volume to approximately 1/600th of its volume in a gaseous state. The reduced volume facilitates economical storage and transportation by ship over long distances, enabling countries with limited natural gas reserves and limited access to long-distance transmission pipelines or concerns over security of supply to meet their demand for natural gas.

**Shipping:** LNG is loaded onto specially designed, double-hulled LNG carriers and transported overseas from the liquefaction facility to the receiving terminal.

**Regasification:** At the receiving terminal (either onshore or aboard FSRUs), the LNG is returned to its gaseous state, or regasified. It may also be transferred to small scale LNG vessels that deliver LNG to users nearby.

**Storage, distribution, marketing & power generation:** Once regasified, the natural gas is stored in specially designed facilities or transported to power producers and natural gas consumers via pipelines.

The basic costs of producing, liquefying, transporting and regasifying LNG are much higher than in an equivalent oil supply chain. This high unit cost of supply has, in the recent past, led to the pursuit of larger land-based facilities in order to achieve improved economies of scale. To address the escalating costs, more cost competitive FLNG solutions across a spectrum of project sizes have been developed by a handful of major oil companies and also by Golar. We believe that many previously uneconomic pockets of gas can now be monetized and this will add to reserves and further underpin the long term attractiveness of gas.

## Our Operations



Table of Contents

Prior to 2018, we reported that we operated in two reportable segments, “FSRUs” and “LNG carriers”. In 2018, through our investment in 50% of the Hilli Common Units, we purchased an interest in a FLNG. Due to this new strategy coupled with changes in our methods of internal reporting and management structure, management has concluded that we provide three distinct services and operate in three reportable segments: FSRUs, LNG carriers and FLNG.

FSRUs are vessels that are permanently located offshore to regasify LNG. Six of our vessels are FSRUs;

LNG carriers are vessels that transport LNG and are compatible with many LNG loading and receiving terminals globally. Four of our vessels are LNG carriers; and

FLNGs are vessels that are moored above an offshore natural gas field on a long-term basis. A FLNG receives, liquefies and stores LNG at sea and transfers it to LNG carriers that berth while offshore. On July 12, 2018, we purchased 50.0% of the Hilli Common Units from Golar, affiliates of Keppel Shipyard Limited (“Keppel”) and Black and Veatch (“B&V”) (together, the “Sellers”). Hilli LLC owns Golar Hilli Corporation (“Hilli Corp”), the disponent owner of the Hilli.

The majority of the FSRUs in our current fleet are operating in Brazil, Kuwait, Indonesia, Jamaica and Jordan under time charters. Our contracted FSRUs and LNG carriers operated under charters with an average remaining term of 5.4 years as of March 15, 2019. Our uncontracted vessels are available for short term employment in the spot market.

## FSRUs

Floating LNG regasification projects first emerged as a solution to the difficulties and protracted process of obtaining permits to build shore-based LNG reception facilities (especially along the North American coasts). Due to their offshore location, FSRU facilities are significantly less likely than onshore facilities to be met with resistance in local communities, which is especially important in the case of a facility that is intended to serve a highly populated area where there is a high demand for natural gas. As a result, it is typically easier and faster for FSRUs to obtain necessary permits than for comparable onshore facilities. FSRU projects can typically be completed in less time (2 to 3 years compared to 4 or more years for land based projects) and at a significantly lower cost (20-50% less) than land based alternatives. In addition, FSRUs offer a more flexible solution than land based terminals. They can be used as an LNG carrier, a regasification shuttle vessel or permanently moored as an FSRU. FSRUs offer a fast track regasification solution for markets that need immediate access to LNG supply. FSRUs can also be utilized as bridging solutions until a land-based terminal is constructed. In this way, FSRUs are both a replacement for, and complement to, land-based regasification alternatives.

As of March 15, 2019, our FSRU vessels had an average age of 24 years. The following table provides additional information about the six FSRUs in our fleet. Unless otherwise indicated, we hold a 100% economic interest in the vessels.

FSRU Vessel	Base Capacity (cbm)	Offtake Capacity (Bcf/d)	Year of Delivery	Containment System	Year Acquired	Year of FSRU Retrofitting	Charterer	Charter Expiration	Charter Extension Option Periods
Golar Spirit	129,000	0.25	1981	Moss	Upon formation <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	2007	None <sup>(3)</sup>	Not applicable	Not applicable
Golar Winter	138,000	0.50	2004	Membrane	At IPO <sup>(2)</sup>	2008	Petrobras	September 2024 <sup>(4)</sup>	None
Golar Freeze	125,000	0.48	1977	Moss	October 2011	2010	(5)	(5)	(5)
NR Satu <sup>(6)</sup>	125,000	0.50	1977	Moss	July 2012	2012	PTNR		2025

								December 2022	
Golar Igloo	170,000	0.50	2014	Membrane	March 2014	Not applicable	KNPC	December 2019	None <sup>(7)</sup>
Golar Eskimo	160,000	0.50	2014	Membrane	January 2015	Not applicable	Jordan	June 2025	None

(1) Upon our formation, Golar contributed to us a 100% interest in the subsidiary which leased the Golar Spirit.

(2) In connection with our IPO, Golar transferred to us a 100% interest in the subsidiary which leased the Golar Winter and the legal title to the Golar Spirit.

(3) As of March 15, 2019, the Golar Spirit is in lay-up awaiting new employment.

The charter initially had a term of 10 years, expiring in 2019. However, in return for certain vessel modifications made at the request of Petrobras the charter was extended by a further five years to 2024. These modifications were completed in August 2013.

## Table of Contents

In July 2018, the Golar Freeze was nominated to service the 15-year time charter with an energy and logistics company (the “New Freeze Charter”) offshore Jamaica. Subsequently in October 2018, the Golar Freeze underwent drydocking to satisfy certain technical specifications of the New Freeze Charter. (See “Item 5—Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Significant Developments in 2018 and Early 2019”).

We hold all of the voting stock and control all of the economic interests in PT Golar Indonesia (“PTGI”), the company that owns and operates the NR Satu, pursuant to a Shareholders’ Agreement with the other shareholder of PTGI, PT Pesona. PT Pesona holds the remaining 51% interest in the issued share capital of PTGI.

The charterer has exercised its option to extend the charter for a regasification season in January 2019.

The below table summarizes the key details of the hire rates for each contracted FSRU in our fleet:

Vessel	Capital cost component	Operating cost component	Other	Changes to hire rate in the extension period (if applicable)
Golar Winter	Increases on a bi-annual basis based on a cost of living index and as required for Owner to be kept whole for any changes in local tax law.	Fluctuates annually based on changes to a specified cost of living index and U.S. dollar foreign exchange index.	Drydocking costs are included as part of the capital cost component.	Not applicable
NR Satu	This also includes a mooring capital element.	Annual adjustment based on actual costs.	There is also a tax component. <sup>(1)</sup>	The capital element will decrease 12% in 2023, then by a further 7% in 2024 and 2025.
Golar Igloo <sup>(2)</sup>	The hire rate is an all-inclusive daily fixed rate.	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
Golar Eskimo	Fixed for first five years of hire. Decreases by 6.4% after the first five years of hire.	Increases by a fixed percentage per annum.	Not applicable	Not applicable

The tax element shall be adjusted only when there is any change in Indonesian tax laws (including any changes in interpretation or implementation thereof) or any treaty to which Indonesia is party or the invalidity of any tax assumptions used in determining the tax element.

The Golar Igloo provides floating storage and regasification services to KNPC for a nine-month period each year (or the Regasification Season) until the termination of the charter. The Regasification Season commences, at KNPC’s election, between March 1 and March 31 of each year (or the Start Date) and ends nine months later (or the End Date). During the period between the End Date with respect to one Regasification Season and the Start Date of the next succeeding Regasification Season (or the Regasification Off-Season), we may charter the Golar Igloo to other customers under short-term charters.

FSRU Golar Freeze undergoing drydocking prior to deployment

Table of Contents

## LNG Carriers

LNG carriers are designed to transport LNG between liquefaction facilities and import terminals for regasification after the natural gas is liquefied. Our LNG carriers utilize the LNG that naturally boils off during transportation in their propulsion system.

According to Fearnleys, 33.6 metric tons of additional supply of LNG mainly from the United States will be available in 2019, much of which will be sold to the Far East. The associated increase in ton miles is expected to quickly absorb all available LNG carriers on the water. It has been estimated that the current global fleet of LNG carriers and those LNG carriers expected to be delivered in 2019 are insufficient to carry this expected new production. The market is currently expected to remain short of LNG carriers until the second half of 2021.

As of March 15, 2019, our LNG carriers had an average age of 15 years. The following table provides additional information about the four LNG carriers in our current fleet. Unless otherwise indicated, we hold a 100% economic interest in the vessels.

LNG Carrier	Capacity (cbm)	Year of Delivery	Containment System	Year Acquired	Charterer	Charter Expiration	Charter Extension Option Periods
Golar Mazo <sup>(1)</sup>	135,000	2000	Moss	Upon formation	Spot market	Not applicable	Not applicable
Methane Princess <sup>(1)</sup>	138,000	2003	Membrane	Upon formation	Royal Dutch Shell	March 2024	Five years plus five years
Golar Grand	145,700	2006	Membrane	November 2012	Major international Oil and Gas company	May 2020	Terms extending up to six years <sup>(2)</sup>
Golar Maria	145,000	2006	Membrane	February 2013	Spot market	Not applicable	Not applicable

Upon our formation, Golar contributed to us a 100% interest in certain subsidiaries which owned a 60% interest in (1) the Golar Mazo and which leased the Golar Spirit and the Methane Princess. We currently own a 60% interest in the Golar Mazo, and Chinese Petroleum Corporation holds the remaining 40% interest.

(2) The charter initially had a term of two years. In February 2019, the charter was extended for a year. The charterer has options to extend the charter by two one year periods and two further periods of up to two years each.

The below table summarizes the key details of the hire rates for the LNG carriers in our fleet on long-term charter:

Vessel	Capital cost component	Operating cost component	Changes to hire rate in the extension period (if applicable)
Methane Princess	Fixed.	Increases by a fixed percentage per annum.	Reduces by approximately 37%.
Golar Grand	The hire rate is an all-inclusive daily fixed rate.		The hire rate will increase from the initial hire rate during the extension periods by approximately 50%.

## FLNG

Compared to onshore terminals, the FLNG industry is fairly young. FLNG projects are a solution for stranded gas reserves (such as lean gas sourced from offshore fields) for which geographical, technical and economic limitations restrict the ability to convert these gas reserves to LNG. FLNGs offer a more viable economic solution to the traditional giant land-based projects as they are able to be re-deployed. Golar's liquefaction solution places liquefaction technology on board an existing LNG carrier using a rapid low-cost execution model resulting in a vessel conversion time of approximately three years. Golar was also the first company to enter into an agreement for the long-term employment of an FLNG based on the conversion of an existing LNG carrier.

We currently own 50% of the Common Units of Hilli LLC which owns Golar Hilli Corporation (“Hilli Corp”), the disponent owner of the FLNG, the Hilli (See “Item 5—Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Significant Developments in 2018 and Early 2019”).

## Table of Contents

FLNG Hilli Episeyo shortly before departure from Singapore

### Our Charters

The services of our FSRUs and LNG carriers are provided to their charterers for a fixed period of time at a specified daily hire rate pursuant to time charters. Under a time charter, the vessel owner provides crewing and other services related to the vessel's operation which include repairs and maintenance, insurance, stores, lube oils and communication expenses as well as periodic drydocking costs. These costs related to the vessel's operation are included in the daily rate, and the charterer is responsible for substantially all of the vessel voyage costs, which include fuel, port and canal fees, LNG boil-off, cargo loading and unloading expenses, canal tolls, agency fees and commissions. For FSRUs, the charterer is also responsible for providing, maintaining, repairing and operating certain facilities at the unloading port such as sufficient mooring infrastructure for LNG vessels to be berthed alongside and a high pressure send-out pipeline.

Certain of our charters provide for the payment by the charterer of an all-inclusive daily fixed rate. The hire rate for our FSRU vessels and LNG Carriers is primarily made up of two components:

Capital cost component - primarily relates to the cost of the vessel and is structured to meet that cost and provide a return on investor capital. The capital cost component is constant for the duration of the entire charter except for the Golar Winter and Golar Eskimo.

Operating cost component - intended to compensate us for vessel operating expenses including management fees. This component is generally established at the beginning of the charter and typically escalates annually on a fixed percentage or fluctuates annually based on changes in a specified consumer price index.

Under time charters, hire is payable monthly. Under all of our charters, hire is payable in U.S. Dollars, except for the operating cost component for the Golar Winter, which is payable in Brazilian Reais.

Our FLNG vessel provides floating liquefied natural gas tolling services based on a liquefaction tolling agreement. See "Item 5—Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Results of Operations."

The hire rate payable for each of our vessels may be reduced if they do not perform to certain of their contractual specifications or if we are in breach of any of our representations and warranties in the charter. When a vessel is "off-hire" or not available for service, the charterer generally is not required to pay the hire rate and we are responsible for all costs. Prolonged off-



## Table of Contents

hire may lead to vessel substitution or termination of the time charter. A vessel generally will be deemed off-hire if there is a specified time it is not available for the charterer's use due to, among other things: operational deficiencies, drydocking for repairs, maintenance or inspection, equipment breakdowns, or delays due to accidents, crewing strikes, certain vessel detentions or similar problems; or our failure to maintain the vessel in compliance with its specifications and contractual standards or to provide the required crew.

See note 6 "Segment Information" in our consolidated financial statements for a information regarding our significant customers.

## Competition

We operate in markets that are highly competitive and based primarily on supply and demand. As the FSRU market continues to grow and mature there are new competitors entering the market. A number of our competitors have also ordered FSRUs. Expectations of rapid growth in the FSRU market has given owners the confidence to place orders for FSRUs before securing charters. This has led to more competition for mid- and long-term FSRU charters.

Competition for these charters is based primarily on price, operational track record, LNG storage capacity, efficiency of the regasification process, vessel availability, size, age and condition of the vessel, relationships with LNG carrier users and reputation of the operator. In addition, FSRUs may operate as LNG carriers during periods of increased FSRU competition.

The FLNG industry is in an early stage of development, and we do not currently face significant competition from other providers of FLNG services. There are currently only two operating FLNGs in the world, including the Hilli. We anticipate that other companies, including marine transportation companies with strong reputations and extensive resources and experience, will enter the FLNG industry at some point in the future, resulting in greater competition.

## Seasonality

Historically, LNG trade, and therefore charter rates, increased in the winter months and eased in the summer months as demand for LNG for heating in the Northern Hemisphere rose in colder weather and fell in warmer weather. In general, the LNG vessel industry, has become less dependent on the seasonal transport of LNG than a decade ago. The advent of FSRUs has opened up new markets and uses for LNG, spreading consumption more evenly over the year. There is a higher seasonal demand during the summer months due to energy requirements for air conditioning in some markets or reduced availability of hydro power in others and a pronounced higher seasonal demand during the winter months for heating in other markets. The vessel market is somewhat weaker in the period between winter and summer.

Our vessels that operate under long-term charters are not subject to the effect of seasonal variations in demand, with the exception of the Golar Igloo, whose charter specifies a regasification season of nine months, extendable at the option of the charterer. For our vessels that are available for charter in the spot market, our revenue may be subject to the effect of seasonal variations in demand.

## Vessel Maintenance and Management

Safety is our top operational priority. Our vessels are operated in a manner intended to protect the safety and health of our employees, the general public and the environment. We actively manage the risks inherent in our business and are committed to eliminating incidents that threaten safety, such as groundings, fires and collisions. We are also committed to reducing emissions and waste generation. We have established key performance indicators to facilitate regular monitoring of our operational performance. We set targets on an annual basis to drive continuous

improvement, and we review performance indicators monthly to determine if remedial action is necessary to reach our targets.

Under our charters, we are responsible for the technical management of the vessels which Golar, through its subsidiaries, assists us, by managing our vessel operations, maintaining a technical department to monitor and audit our vessel manager operations and providing expertise in various functions critical to our operations. This affords an efficient and cost effective operation and, pursuant to administrative services agreements with certain subsidiaries of Golar, access to human resources, financial and other administrative functions.

These functions are supported by on board and onshore systems for maintenance, inventory, purchasing and budget management. In addition, Golar's day-to-day focus on cost control will be applied to our operations. To some extent, the uniform design of some of our vessels and the adoption of common equipment standards should also result in operational efficiencies, including with respect to crew training and vessel management, equipment operation and repair, and spare parts ordering.

Table of Contents

See “Item 7. Major Unitholders and Related Party Transactions—B. Related Party Transactions—Our Management Agreements.”

Risk of Loss, Insurance and Risk Management

The operation of any vessel, including LNG carriers and FSRUs, has inherent risks. These risks include mechanical failure, personal injury, collision, property loss, vessel or cargo loss or damage and business interruption due to political circumstances in foreign countries and/or war risk situations or hostilities. In addition, there is always an inherent possibility of marine disaster, including explosion, spills and other environmental mishaps, and the liabilities arising from owning and operating vessels in international trade. We believe that our present insurance coverage is adequate to protect us against the accident related risks involved in the conduct of our business and that we maintain appropriate levels of environmental damage and pollution insurance coverage consistent with standard industry practice. However, not all risks can be insured, and there can be no guarantee that any specific claim will be paid, or that we will always be able to obtain adequate insurance coverage at reasonable rates.

We have obtained hull and machinery insurance on all our vessels against marine and war risks, which include the risks of damage to our vessels, salvage or towing costs, and also insure against actual or constructive total loss of any of our vessels. However, our insurance policies contain deductible amounts for which we will be responsible. We have also arranged additional total loss coverage for each vessel. This coverage, which is called hull interest and freight interest coverage, provides us additional coverage in the event of the total loss of a vessel.

We have also obtained loss of hire insurance to protect us against loss of income in the event one of our vessels cannot be employed due to damage that is covered under the terms of our hull and machinery insurance. Under our loss of hire policies, our insurer will pay us the daily rate agreed in respect of each vessel for each day, in excess of a certain number of deductible days, for the time that the vessel is out of service as a result of damage. The maximum coverage varies from 180 days to 360 days, depending on the vessel. The number of deductible days varies from 14 days to 60 days, depending on the vessel and type of damage; machinery or hull damage.

Protection and indemnity insurance, which covers our third-party legal liabilities in connection with our shipping activities, is provided by mutual protection and indemnity (“P&I”) associations, or P&I clubs. This includes third-party liability and other expenses related to the injury or death of crew members, passengers and other third-party persons, loss or damage to cargo, claims arising from collisions with other vessels or from contact with jetties or wharves and other damage to other third-party property, including pollution arising from oil or other substances, and other related costs, including wreck removal. Subject to the capping discussed below, our coverage, except for pollution, is unlimited.

The current protection and indemnity insurance coverage for pollution is \$250 million per incident for the Hilli and \$1 billion per vessel per incident for all other vessels. The thirteen P&I clubs that comprise the International Group of Protection and Indemnity Clubs insure approximately 90% of the world's commercial tonnage and have entered into a pooling agreement to reinsure each association's liabilities. Each P&I club has capped its exposure in this pooling agreement so that the maximum claim covered by the pool and its reinsurance would be approximately \$8.2 billion per accident or occurrence. We are a member of Gard and Skuld P&I Clubs. As a member of these P&I clubs, we are subject to a call for additional premiums based on the clubs' claims record, as well as the claims record of all other members of the P&I clubs comprising the International Group. However, our P&I clubs have reinsured the risk of additional premium calls to limit our additional exposure. This reinsurance is subject to a cap, and there is the risk that the full amount of the additional call would not be covered by this reinsurance.

The insurers providing the hull and machinery, hull and cargo interests, protection and indemnity and loss of hire insurances have confirmed that they will consider FSRUs as vessels for the purpose of providing insurance. For the FSRUs we have also arranged an additional comprehensive general liability insurance. This type of insurance is common for offshore operations and is additional to the P&I insurance.

We will use in our operations Golar's thorough risk management program that includes, among other things, computer-aided risk analysis tools, maintenance and assessment programs, a seafarers' competence training program, seafarers' workshops and membership in emergency response organizations. We expect to benefit from Golar's commitment to safety and environmental protection as certain of its subsidiaries assist us in managing our vessel operations.

## Table of Contents

### Classification, Inspection and Maintenance

Every large, commercial seagoing vessel must be “classed” by a classification society. A classification society certifies that a vessel is “in class,” signifying that the vessel has been built and maintained in accordance with the rules of the vessel’s country of registry and the international conventions of which that country is a member. In addition, where surveys are required by international conventions and corresponding laws and ordinances of a flag state, the classification society will undertake them on application or by official order, acting on behalf of the authorities concerned.

For maintenance of the class certificate, regular and extraordinary surveys of hull, machinery, including the electrical plant and any special equipment classed, are required to be performed by the classification society, to ensure continuing compliance. Vessels are drydocked at least once during a five-year class cycle for inspection of the underwater parts and for repairs related to inspections. If any defects are found, the classification surveyor will issue a “recommendation” which must be rectified by the shipowner within prescribed time limits. The classification society also undertakes on request of the flag state other surveys and checks that are required by the regulations and requirements of that flag state. These surveys are subject to agreements made in each individual case and/or to the regulations of the country concerned.

Most insurance underwriters make it a condition for insurance coverage that a vessel be certified as “in class” by a classification society, which is a member of the International Association of Classification Societies. With the exception of the Golar Mazo, which is certified by Lloyds Register, all other vessels in our current fleet are each certified by DNV-GL. All of our operating vessels have been awarded International Safety Management (“ISM”) certification and are currently “in class”.

We carry out inspections of the vessels on a regular basis; both at sea and while the vessels are in port. The results of these inspections, which are conducted both in port and while underway, result in a report containing recommendations for improvements to the overall condition of the vessel, maintenance, safety and crew welfare. Based in part on these evaluations, we create and implement a program of continual maintenance and improvement for our vessels and their systems.

### Environmental and Other Regulations

#### General

Our business and the operation of our vessels are subject to various international treaties and conventions and to the applicable local national and subnational laws and regulations of the countries (U.S., Indonesia, Brazil, Kuwait, Jamaica and Jordan) in which our vessels operate or are registered. These local laws and regulations might require us to obtain governmental permits and authorizations before we may conduct certain activities. Failure to comply with these laws or to obtain the necessary business and technical licenses could result in sanctions including suspension and/or freezing of the business and responsibility for all damages arising from any violation.

The local governments may also periodically revise their environmental laws and regulations or adopt new ones, and the effects of new or revised laws and regulations on our operations cannot be predicted. Although we believe that we are substantially in compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations and have all permits, licenses and certificates required for our vessels, future non-compliance or failure to maintain necessary permits or approvals could require us to incur substantial costs or temporarily suspend the operation of one or more of our vessels. There can be no assurance that additional significant costs and liabilities will not be incurred to comply with such current and future laws and regulations, or that such laws and regulations will not have a material effect on our operations.

International environmental treaties and conventions, U.S. environmental laws and regulations that apply to the operation of our vessels are described below. Other countries in which we operate or in which our vessels are registered have or may in the future have laws and regulations that are similar in nature to the U.S. laws referenced below. GMN provides technical management services for our vessels, is certified in accordance with the International Maritime Organization's ("IMO") standard for ISM and operates in compliance with the International Standards Organization ("ISO") Environmental Management Standard for the management of significant environmental aspects associated with the ownership and operation of our fleet.

#### International Maritime Regulations of LNG Vessels

The IMO provides international regulations governing shipping and international maritime trade. Among other requirements, the IMO's ISM Code requires the party with operational control of a vessel to develop an extensive safety management system and the adoption of a policy for safety and environmental protection setting forth instructions and procedures for operating its vessels safely and also describing procedures for responding to emergencies. Our ship manager holds a document of compliance under the ISM Code for operation of Gas Carriers.

## Table of Contents

Vessels that transport gas, including LNG carriers and FSRUs, are also subject to the International Gas Carrier Code (“IGC”) which provides a standard for the safe carriage of LNG and certain other liquid gases by prescribing the design and construction standards of vessels involved in such carriage. Each of our vessels is in compliance with the IGC Code and each of our new buildings/conversion contracts requires that the vessel receive certification that it is in compliance with applicable regulations before it is delivered.

The IMO also promulgates ongoing amendments to the International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea (“SOLAS”) which provides rules for the construction of and equipment required for commercial vessels and includes regulations for safe operation. It requires the provision of lifeboats and other life-saving appliances, requires the use of the Global Maritime Distress and Safety System which is an international radio equipment and watch keeping standard, afloat and at shore stations, and relates to the International Convention on the Standards of Training and Certification of Watchkeeping Officers (“STCW”) also promulgated by the IMO. The SOLAS and other IMO regulations concerning safety, including those relating to treaties on training of shipboard personnel, lifesaving appliances, radio equipment and the global maritime distress and safety system, are applicable to our operations. Flag states that have ratified the SOLAS and STCW generally employ the classification societies, which have incorporated the SOLAS and STCW requirements into their class rules, to undertake surveys to confirm compliance.

In the wake of increased worldwide security concerns, the IMO amended SOLAS and added the International Ship and Port Facility Security Code (“ISPS Code”), which came into effect on July 1, 2004, to detect security threats and take preventive measures against security incidents affecting vessels or port facilities. GMN has developed security plans and appointed and trained ship and office security officers. In addition, all of our vessels have been certified to meet the ISPS Code and the security requirements of the SOLAS and the Maritime Transportation Security Act (“MTSA”).

The IMO continues to review and introduce new regulations. It is impossible to predict what additional regulations, if any, may be passed by the IMO and what effect, if any, such regulation may have on our operations. Non-compliance with the IGC Code or other applicable IMO regulations may subject a shipowner or a bareboat charterer to increased liability or penalties, may lead to decreases in available insurance coverage for affected vessels and may result in the denial of access to, or detention in, some ports.

## Air Emissions

The International Convention for the Prevention of Marine Pollution from Ships (“MARPOL”), imposes environmental standards on the shipping industry relating to marine pollution, including oil spills, management of garbage, the handling and disposal of noxious liquids, sewage and air emissions. Annex I to MARPOL applies to various vessels delivered on or after August 1, 2010. It includes requirements for the protected location of the fuel tanks, performance standards for accidental oil fuel outflow, a tank capacity limit and certain other maintenance, inspection and engineering standards. IMO regulations also require owners and operators of vessels to adopt Shipboard Oil Pollution Emergency Plans. Periodic training and drills for response personnel and for vessels and their crews are required.

MARPOL 73/78 Annex VI regulations for the “Prevention of Air Pollution from Ships” apply to all vessels, fixed and floating drilling rigs and other floating platforms. Annex VI sets limits on sulfur oxide and nitrogen oxide emissions from vessel exhausts, emissions of volatile compounds from cargo tanks, incineration of specific substances, and prohibits deliberate emissions of ozone depleting substances. Annex VI also includes a global cap on sulfur content of fuel oil and allows for special areas to be established with more stringent controls on sulfur emissions. The certification requirements for Annex VI depend on size of the vessel and time of the periodic classification survey. Ships weighing more than 400 gross tons and engaged in international voyages involving countries that have ratified the conventions, or vessels flying the flag of those countries, are required to have an International Air

Pollution Certificate (“IAPP Certificate”). Annex VI came into force in the United States on January 8, 2009. All our vessels delivered or drydocked since May 19, 2005 have been issued IAPP Certificates.

Amendments to Annex VI to the MARPOL Convention that took effect in 2010 require progressively stricter limitations on sulfur emissions from vessels. As of January 1, 2012, fuel used to power vessels may contain no more than 3.5% sulfur for areas outside of designated emission control areas (“ECAs”). This cap will then decrease progressively until it reaches 0.5% on January 1, 2020. The amendments also establish new tiers of stringent nitrogen oxide emissions standards for new marine engines, depending on their date of installation. The European directive 2005/33/EC bans the use of fuel oils containing more than 0.10% sulfur by mass by any merchant vessel while at berth in any EU country. Our vessels have achieved compliance, where necessary, by being modified to burn gas only in their boilers when alongside a berth. Except for the Golar Mazo, we have modified the boilers on all our vessels to also allow operation on low sulfur diesel oil, or LSDO.



## Table of Contents

More stringent emission standards could apply in coastal areas designated as ECAs, such as the United States and Canadian coastal areas designated by the IMO's Marine Environment Protection Committee, as discussed in the "U.S. Clean Air Act" below. These areas include certain coastal areas of North America and the United States Caribbean Sea. Annex VI Regulation 14, which came into effect on January 1, 2015, set a 0.10% sulfur limit in areas of the Baltic Sea, North Sea, North America, and United States Caribbean Sea ECAs.

U.S. air emissions standards are now equivalent to these amended Annex VI requirements. Additional or new conventions, laws and regulations may be adopted that could require the installation of expensive emission control systems. Because our vessels are largely powered by means other than fuel oil we do not anticipate that any emission limits that may be promulgated will require us to incur any material costs for the operation of our vessels, but that possibility cannot be eliminated.

### Anti-Fouling Requirements

Our vessels are subject to the IMO's International Convention on the Control of Harmful Anti-fouling Systems on Ships, or the Anti-fouling Convention, which prohibits the use of organotin compound coatings to prevent the attachment of mollusks and other sea life to the hulls of vessels. Vessels of over 400 gross tons engaged in international voyages must obtain an International Anti-fouling System Certificate and undergo a survey before the vessel is put into service or when the anti-fouling systems are altered or replaced. We have obtained Anti-fouling System Certificates for all of our vessels, and we do not believe that maintaining such certificates will have an adverse financial impact on the operation of our vessels.

### Oil Pollution Act and The Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act

The U.S. Oil Pollution Act of 1990 ("OPA 90") established an extensive regulatory and liability regime for environmental protection and clean up of oil spills. OPA 90 affects all owners and operators whose vessels trade with the United States or its territories or possessions, or whose vessels operate in the waters of the United States, which include the U.S. territorial waters and the 200 nautical mile exclusive economic zone of the United States. The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA") applies to the discharge of hazardous substances whether on land or at sea. While OPA 90 and CERCLA would not apply to the discharge of LNG, they may affect us because we carry oil as fuel and lubricants for our engines, and the discharge of these could cause an environmental hazard. Under OPA 90, vessel operators, including vessel owners, managers and bareboat or "demise" charterers, are "responsible parties" who are all liable regardless of fault, individually and as a group, for all containment and clean-up costs and other damages arising from oil spills from their vessels. These "responsible parties" would not be liable if the spill results solely from the act or omission of a third party, an act of God or an act of war. The other damages aside from clean-up and containment costs are defined broadly to include:

- injury to, destruction or loss of, or loss of use of, natural resource and the costs of assessment thereof;
- injury to, or economic losses resulting from, the destruction of real and personal property;
- net loss of taxes, royalties, rents, fees or net profit revenues resulting from injury, destruction or loss of real or personal property, or natural resources;
- loss of subsistence use of natural resources that are injured, destroyed or lost;
- lost profits or impairment of earning capacity due to injury, destruction or loss of real or personal property or natural resources; and
- net cost of increased or additional public services necessitated by removal activities following a discharge of oil, such as protection from fire, safety or health hazards.

The limits of OPA liability are the greater of \$2,200 per gross ton or \$18.8 million for any tanker other than single-hull tank vessels, over 3,000 gross tons (subject to possible adjustment for inflation) (relevant to ours and Golar's LNG carriers). These limits of liability do not apply, however, where the incident is caused by violation of

applicable U.S. federal safety, construction or operating regulations, or by the responsible party's gross negligence or willful misconduct. These limits likewise do not apply if the responsible party fails or refuses to report the incident or to cooperate and assist in connection with the substance removal activities. OPA specifically permits individual states to impose their own liability regimes with regard to oil pollution incidents occurring within their boundaries, and some states have enacted legislation providing for unlimited liability for discharge of pollutants within their waters. In some cases, states, which have enacted their own legislation, have not yet issued implementing regulations defining ship owners' responsibilities under these laws.

CERCLA, which also applies to owners and operators of vessels, contains a similar liability regime and provides for recovery of clean up and removal costs and the imposition of natural resource damages for releases of "hazardous substances".

## Table of Contents

Liability under CERCLA is limited to the greater of \$300 per gross ton or \$0.5 million for each release from vessels not carrying hazardous substances as cargo or residue, and \$300 per gross ton or \$5 million for each release from vessels carrying hazardous substances as cargo or residue. As with OPA, these limits of liability do not apply where the incident is caused by violation of applicable U.S. federal safety, construction or operating regulations, or by the responsible party's gross negligence or willful misconduct or if the responsible party fails or refuses to report the incident or to cooperate and assist in connection with the substance removal activities. OPA and CERCLA each preserve the right to recover damages under existing law, including maritime tort law. We believe that we are in substantial compliance with OPA, CERCLA and all applicable state regulations in the ports where our vessels call.

OPA requires owners and operators of vessels to establish and maintain with the USCG evidence of financial responsibility sufficient to meet the limit of their potential strict liability under OPA/CERCLA. Under the regulations, evidence of financial responsibility may be demonstrated by insurance, surety bond, self-insurance or guaranty. Under OPA regulations, an owner or operator of more than one vessel is required to demonstrate evidence of financial responsibility for the entire fleet in an amount equal only to the financial responsibility requirement of the vessel having the greatest maximum liability under OPA/CERCLA. Each of our ship owning subsidiaries that has vessels trading in U.S. waters has applied for, and obtained from the U.S. Coast Guard National Pollution Funds Center three-year certificates of financial responsibility, or COFR, supported by guarantees which we purchased from an insurance based provider. We believe that we will be able to continue to obtain the requisite guarantees and that we will continue to be granted COFRs from the USCG for each of our vessels that is required to have one.

Compliance with any new requirements of OPA, or other laws or regulations, may substantially impact our cost of operations or require us to incur additional expenses to comply with any new regulatory initiatives or statutes. For example, in July 2016, the BSEE finalized new regulations imposing well control requirements on offshore oil and gas drilling. However, this measure and others like it are being reevaluated by promulgating agencies pursuant to Executive Orders 13783 and 13795, which promote energy exploration and production. As part of this reevaluation, in December 2017, BSEE proposed to revise or eliminate certain of the requirements under the 2016 well control rule. Additional legislation or regulation applicable to the operation of our vessels that may be implemented in the future could adversely affect our business and ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

### Bunker Convention/CLC State Certificate

The International Convention on Civil Liability for Bunker Oil Pollution 2001, or the Bunker Convention entered into force on November 21, 2008. The Convention provides a liability, compensation and compulsory insurance system for the victims of oil pollution damage caused by spills of bunker oil. The Convention makes the ship owner liable to pay compensation for pollution damage (including the cost of preventive measures) caused in the territory, including the territorial sea of a State Party, as well as its economic zone or equivalent area. Registered owners of any sea going vessel and seaborne craft over 1,000 gross tonnage, of any type whatsoever, and registered in a State Party, or entering or leaving a port in the territory of a State Party, will be required to maintain insurance which meets the requirements of the Convention and to obtain a certificate issued by a State Party attesting that such insurance is in force. The State Party issued certificate must be carried on board at all times. P&I Clubs in the International Group issue the required Bunkers Convention "Blue Cards" to enable signatory states to issue certificates. All of our vessels have received "Blue Cards" from their P&I Club and are in possession of a Civil Liability Convention (CLC) State-issued certificate attesting that the required insurance cover is in force.

### Ballast Water Management Convention, Clean Water Act and National Invasive Species Act

The IMO has negotiated international conventions that impose liability for pollution in international waters and the territorial waters of the signatories to such conventions. The Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and USCG, have also enacted rules relating to ballast water discharge for all vessels entering or operating in United States waters.

Compliance requires the installation of equipment on our vessels to treat ballast water before it is discharged or the implementation of other port facility disposal arrangements or procedures at potentially substantial cost, and/or otherwise restrict our vessels from entering United States waters.

a. Ballast Water Management Convention

In February 2004, the IMO adopted an International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments ("BWM Convention"). The BWM Convention's implementing regulations call for a phased introduction of mandatory ballast water exchange requirements to be replaced in time with mandatory concentration limits. The Convention entered into force on September 8, 2017, however IMO later decided to postpone the compliance date for existing vessels by 2 years, i.e. until the first renewal survey following September 8, 2019. Furthermore, in October 2014 the MEPC met and adopted additional resolutions concerning the BWM Convention's implementation. Upon entry into force of the BWM Convention, mid-ocean ballast water exchange became mandatory for our vessels.

## Table of Contents

### b. Clean Water Act

The U.S. Clean Water Act (“CWA”) prohibits the discharge of oil or hazardous substances in U.S. navigable waters unless authorized by a duly-issued permit or exemption, and imposes strict liability in the form of penalties for any unauthorized discharges. The CWA also imposes substantial liability for the costs of removal, remediation and damages and complements the remedies available under OPA and CERCLA. In addition, many U.S. states that border a navigable waterway have enacted environmental pollution laws that impose strict liability on a person for removal costs and damages resulting from a discharge of oil or a release of a hazardous substance. These laws may be more stringent than U.S. federal law.

The EPA regulates the discharge of ballast and bilge water and other substances in United States waters under the CWA. The EPA regulations require vessels 79 feet in length or longer (other than commercial fishing vessels and recreational vessels) comply with a permit that regulates ballast water discharges and other discharges incidental to the normal operation of certain vessels within United States waters - the Vessel General Permit for Discharges Incidental to the Normal Operation of Vessels, (“VGP”). In March 2013, the EPA issued the VGP. The 2013 VGP focuses on authorizing discharges incidental to operations of commercial vessels and contains ballast water discharge limits for most vessels to reduce the risk of invasive species in US waters, more stringent requirements for exhaust gas scrubbers and the use of environmentally acceptable lubricants. In December 2013, the EPA issued an enforcement response policy in connection with the new VGP in which the EPA indicated that it would take into account the reasons why vessels do not have the requisite technology installed, but will not grant any waivers. In December 2018, the Vessel Incidental Discharge Act (VIDA) was signed into law and restructured the EPA and the USCG programs for regulating incidental discharges from vessels. Rather than requiring CWA permits, the discharges will be regulated under a new CWA Section 312(p) establishing Uniform National Standards for Discharges Incidental to Normal Operation of Vessels. Under VIDA, VGP provisions and existing USCG regulations will be phased out over a period of approximately four years and replaced with National Standards of Performance (NSPs) to be developed by EPA and implemented and enforced by the USCG. Although the 2013 VGP was scheduled to expire in December 2018, under VIDA the provisions of the 2013 VGP will remain in place until the new regulations are in place. In addition to the requirements in the VGP (to be replaced by the NSPs established under VIDA), vessel owners and operators must meet twenty-five sets of state-specific requirements as the CWA’s 401 certification process allows tribes and states to impose their own requirements for vessels operating within their waters. Vessels operating in multiple jurisdictions could face potentially conflicting conditions specific to each jurisdiction that they travel through.

### c. National Invasive Species Act

The USCG regulations adopted under the U.S. National Invasive Species Act (“NISA”) require the USCG’s approval of any technology before it is placed on a vessel. As a result, the USCG has provided waivers to vessels which could not install the then as-yet unapproved technology. In May 2016, the USCG published a review of the practicability of implementing a more stringent ballast water discharge standard. The results concluded that technology to achieve a significant improvement in ballast water treatment efficacy cannot be practically implemented. In February, 2016, the USCG issued a new rule amending the Coast Guard’s ballast water management record-keeping requirements. Effective February 22, 2016, vessels with ballast tanks operating exclusively on voyages between ports or places within a single Captain of the Port zone must submit an annual report of their ballast water management practices. Further, under the amended requirements, vessels may submit their reports after arrival at the port of destination instead of prior to arrival. As discussed above, under VIDA, existing USCG ballast water management regulations will be phased out over a period of approximately four years and replaced with NSPs to be developed by EPA and implemented and enforced by the USCG.

Installation of ballast water treatments systems (“BWTS”), will be needed on all our LNG Carriers. As long as our FSRUs are operating as FSRUs and kept stationary they will not need installation of a BWTS. The additional costs of complying with these rules, relating to all our vessels are estimated to be in the range of \$1.8 million and \$2.1 million per vessel and will be phased in over time in connection with the renewal surveys that are required. We have therefore decided to install BWTS on all our LNG Carriers on their first drydocking after 2017. The installation of the BWTS on the Methane Princess was completed in 2018.

#### Clean Air Act

The U.S. Clean Air Act of 1970 (“CAA”) requires the EPA to promulgate standards applicable to emissions of volatile organic compounds and other air contaminants. Our vessels are subject to vapor control and recovery requirements for certain cargos when loading, unloading, ballasting, cleaning and conducting other operations in regulated port areas and emission standards

## Table of Contents

for so-called “Category 3” marine diesel engines operating in U.S. waters. The marine diesel engine emission standards are currently limited to new engines beginning with the 2004 model year. On April 30, 2010, the EPA promulgated final emission standards for Category 3 marine diesel engines equivalent to those adopted in the amendments to Annex VI to MARPOL. The emission standards apply in two stages: near-term standards for newly-built engines apply from 2011, and long-term standards requiring an 80% reduction in nitrogen dioxides, or NO<sub>x</sub>, apply from 2016. Compliance with these standards may cause us to incur costs to install control equipment on our vessels in the future.

### Regulation of Greenhouse Gas Emissions

In February 2005, the Kyoto Protocol entered into force. Pursuant to the Kyoto Protocol, adopting countries are required to implement national programs to reduce emissions of certain gases, generally referred to as greenhouse gases, which are suspected of contributing to global warming. Currently, the emissions of greenhouse gases from international transport are not subject to the Kyoto Protocol. In December 2009, more than 27 nations, including the United States and China, signed the Copenhagen Accord, which includes a non-binding commitment to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. In addition, in December 2011, the Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Convention on Climate Change adopted the Durban Platform which calls for a process to develop binding emissions limitations on both developed and developing countries under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change applicable to all Parties. The 2015 United Nations Climate Change Conference in Paris did not result in an agreement that directly limits greenhouse gas emissions from vessels. The European Union has indicated that it intends to propose an expansion of the existing European Union emissions trading scheme to include emissions of greenhouse gases from marine vessels and in January 2012, the European Commission launched a public consultation on possible measures to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from vessels. In April 2015, a regulation was adopted requiring that large vessels (over 5,000 gross tons) calling at European ports from January 2018 collect and publish data on carbon dioxide emissions.

As of January 1, 2013, all vessels, including rigs and drillships, must comply with mandatory requirements adopted by the MEPC in July 2011 relating to greenhouse gas emissions. The amendments to MARPOL Annex VI Regulations for the prevention of air pollution from vessels add a new Chapter 4 to Annex VI on Regulations on energy efficiency requiring the Energy Efficiency Design Index (“EEDI”) for new vessels, and the Ship Energy Efficiency Management Plan (“SEEMP”) for all vessels. These measures entered into force on January 1, 2013. Other amendments to Annex VI add new definitions and requirements for survey and certification, including the format for the International Energy Efficiency Certificate. The regulations apply to all vessels of 400 gross tonnage and above. The IMO also adopted a mandatory requirement in October 2016 that ships of 5,000 gross tonnage and above record and report their fuel oil consumption. The requirement entered into force in March 2018. These new rules will likely affect the operations of vessels that are registered in countries that are signatories to MARPOL Annex VI or vessels that call upon ports located within such countries. The implementation of the EEDI and SEEMP standards could cause us to incur additional compliance costs. The IMO is also considering the implementation of a market-based mechanism for greenhouse gas emissions from vessels. At the October 2016 Marine Environmental Protection Committee session, the IMO adopted a roadmap for developing a comprehensive IMO strategy on reduction of GHG emissions. The IMO adopted the initial GHG reduction strategy in 2018. The EU has indicated that it intends to implement regulation in an effort to limit emissions of greenhouse gases from vessels if such emissions are not regulated through the IMO.

In the United States, the EPA has issued a final finding that greenhouse gases threaten public health and safety, and has promulgated regulations that regulate the emission of greenhouse gases from certain sources. The EPA enforces both the CAA and the international standards found in Annex VI of MARPOL concerning marine diesel emissions, and the sulfur content found in marine fuel. Other federal and state regulations relating to the control of greenhouse gas emissions may follow, including climate change initiatives that have been considered in the U.S. Congress. Any passage of climate control legislation or other regulatory initiatives by the IMO, the European Union, the United States, or other countries where we operate, or any treaty adopted at the international level to succeed the Kyoto

Protocol, that restrict emissions of greenhouse gases from vessels could require us to make significant financial expenditures that we cannot predict with certainty at this time. In addition, even without such regulation, our business may be indirectly affected to the extent that climate change results in sea level changes or more intense weather events.

#### Other Regulations

Our LNG vessels may also become subject to the International Convention on Liability and Compensation for Damage Connection with the Carriage of Hazardous and Noxious Substances by Sea, or HNS, adopted in 1996, the HNS Convention, and subsequently amended by the April 2010 Protocol. The HNS Convention introduces strict liability for the ship owner and covers pollution damage as well as the risks of fire and explosion, including loss of life or personal injury and damage to property. HNS includes, among other things, liquefied natural gas.



## Table of Contents

The 2010 Protocol sets up a two-tier system of compensation composed of compulsory insurance taken out by ship owners and an HNS fund that comes into play when the insurance is insufficient to satisfy a claim or does not cover the incident. Under the 2010 Protocol, if damage is caused by bulk HNS, claims for compensation will first be sought from the ship owner up to a maximum of 100 million Special Drawing Rights, or SDR. SDR is a potential claim on the freely usable currencies of the IMF members. If the damage is caused by packaged HNS or by both bulk and packaged HNS, the maximum liability is 115 million SDR. Once the limit is reached, compensation will be paid from the HNS Fund up to a maximum of 250 million SDR. The 2010 Protocol has yet entered into effect as the required minimum number of states has not been met. We cannot estimate the costs that may be needed to comply with any such requirements that may be adopted with any certainty at this time.

### Taxation of the Partnership

#### United States Taxation

The following is a discussion of the material U.S. federal income tax considerations applicable to us. This discussion is based upon provisions of the Code as in effect on the date of this Annual Report, existing final and temporary regulations thereunder (or Treasury Regulations), and current administrative rulings and court decisions, all of which are subject to change or differing interpretation, possibly with retroactive effect. Changes in these authorities may cause the tax consequences to vary substantially from the consequences described below. The following discussion is for general information purposes only and does not purport to be a comprehensive description of all of the U.S. federal income tax considerations applicable to us.

**Election to be Treated as a Corporation.** We have elected to be treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes. As such, we are subject to U.S. federal income tax on our income to the extent it is from U.S. sources or is treated as effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business in the United States unless such income is exempt from tax under Section 883.

**Taxation of Operating Income.** Substantially all of our gross income has historically been attributable to the transportation, regasification and storage of LNG, and we expect that substantially all of our gross income will continue to be attributable to the transportation, regasification and storage of, as well as liquefaction of, LNG. Gross income generated from liquefaction, regasification and storage of LNG outside of the United States generally is not subject to U.S. federal income tax, and gross income generated from such activities in the United States generally is subject to U.S. federal income tax. Gross income that is attributable to transportation that either begins or ends, but that does not both begin and end, in the United States (or U.S. Source International Transportation Income) is considered to be 50.0% derived from sources within the United States and may be subject to U.S. federal income tax as described below. Gross income attributable to transportation that both begins and ends in the United States (or U.S. Source Domestic Transportation Income) is considered to be 100.0% derived from sources within the United States and generally is subject to U.S. federal income tax.

Certain of our activities give rise to U.S. Source International Transportation Income, and future expansion of our operations could result in an increase in the amount of U.S. Source International Transportation Income, all of which could be subject to U.S. federal income taxation unless the exemption from U.S. taxation under Section 883 of the Code (or the Section 883 Exemption) applies.

**The Section 883 Exemption.** In general, the Section 883 Exemption provides that if a non-U.S. corporation satisfies the requirements of Section 883 of the Code and the Treasury Regulations thereunder (or the Section 883 Regulations), it will not be subject to the net basis and branch profits taxes or the 4.0% gross basis tax described below on its U.S. Source International Transportation Income. The Section 883 Exemption applies only to U.S. Source International Transportation Income and does not apply to U.S. Source Domestic Transportation Income. As

discussed below, we believe that based on our current ownership structure, the Section 883 Exemption applies and we are not subject to U.S. federal income tax on our U.S. Source International Transportation Income.

To qualify for the Section 883 Exemption, we must, among other things, meet the following three requirements:

- be organized in a jurisdiction outside the United States that grants an equivalent exemption from tax to corporations organized in the United States with respect to the types of U.S. Source International Transportation Income that we earn (or an Equivalent Exemption);
- satisfy the Publicly Traded Test (as described below) or the Qualified Shareholder Stock Ownership Test (as described below); and
- meet certain substantiation, reporting and other requirements.

## Table of Contents

In order for a non-U.S. corporation to meet the Publicly Traded Test, its equity interests must be “primarily traded” and “regularly traded” on an established securities market either in the United States or in a jurisdiction outside the United States that grants an Equivalent Exemption. The Section 883 Regulations provide, in pertinent part, that equity interests in a non-U.S. corporation will be considered to be “primarily traded” on an established securities market in a given country if, with respect to the class or classes of equity relied upon to meet the “regularly traded” requirement described below, the number of units of each such class that are traded during any taxable year on all established securities markets in that country exceeds the number of units in such class that are traded during that year on established securities markets in any other single country. Equity interests in a non-U.S. corporation will be considered to be “regularly traded” on an established securities market under the Section 883 Regulations if one or more classes of such equity interests that, in the aggregate, represent more than 50.0% of the combined vote and value of all outstanding equity interests in the non-U.S. corporation satisfy certain listing and trading volume requirements. These listing and trading volume requirements will be satisfied with respect to a class of equity interests if trades in such class are effected, other than in de minimis quantities, on an established securities market on at least 60 days during the taxable year and the aggregate number of units in such class that are traded on an established securities market during the taxable year is at least 10.0% of the average number of units outstanding in that class during the taxable year (with special rules for short taxable years). In addition, a class of equity interests will be considered to satisfy these trading volume requirements if the equity interests in such class are traded during the taxable year on an established securities market in the United States and are “regularly quoted by dealers making a market” in such class (within the meaning of the Section 883 Regulations).

Even if a class of equity satisfies the foregoing requirements, and thus generally would be treated as “regularly traded” on an established securities market, an exception may apply to cause the class to fail the regularly traded test if, for more than half of the number of days during the taxable year, one or more 5.0% unitholders (i.e. unitholders owning, actually or constructively, at least 5.0% of the vote and value of that class) own in the aggregate 50.0% or more of the vote and value of the class (or the Closely Held Block Exception). The Closely Held Block Exception does not apply, however, in the event the corporation can establish that a sufficient proportion of such 5.0% unitholders are Qualified Shareholders (as defined below) so as to preclude other persons who are 5.0% unitholders from owning 50.0% or more of the value of that class for more than half the days during the taxable year.

As set forth above, as an alternative to satisfying the Publicly Traded Test, a non-U.S. corporation may qualify for the Section 883 Exemption by satisfying the Qualified Shareholder Stock Ownership Test. A corporation generally will satisfy the Qualified Shareholder Stock Ownership Test if more than 50.0% of the value of its outstanding equity interests is owned, or treated as owned after applying certain attribution rules, for at least half of the number of days in the taxable year by:

- individual residents of jurisdictions that grant an Equivalent Exemption;
- non-U.S. corporations organized in jurisdictions that grant an Equivalent Exemption and that meet the Publicly Traded Test; or
- certain other qualified persons described in the Section 883 Regulations (which we refer to collectively as Qualified Shareholders).

We believe that we satisfy all of the requirements for the Section 883 Exemption, and we expect that we will continue to satisfy such requirements. We are organized under the laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands. The U.S. Treasury Department has recognized the Republic of the Marshall Islands as a jurisdiction that grants an Equivalent Exemption with respect to the type of U.S. Source International Transportation Income we earn and expect to earn in the future. Consequently, our U.S. Source International Transportation Income (including for this purpose, any such income earned by our subsidiaries) should be exempt from U.S. federal income taxation provided we meet the Publicly Traded Test and we satisfy certain substantiation, reporting and other requirements.

Our common units and our Series A Preferred Units are traded only on the Nasdaq Global Market, which is considered to be an established securities market. Thus the number of our common units and Series A Preferred Units that are traded on the Nasdaq Global Market exceeds the number of our common units and Series A Preferred Units that are traded on any other established securities market, and this is not expected to change. Therefore, we believe that our equity interests are “primarily traded” on an established securities market for purposes of the Publicly Traded Test.

Although the matter is not free from doubt, based on our analysis of our current and expected cash flow and distributions on our outstanding equity interests, we believe that (i) our common units and Series A Preferred Units represent more than 50.0% of the total value of all of our outstanding equity interests and (ii) our common units and our Series A Preferred Units represent more than 50% of the total combined voting power of our equity interests. In addition, we believe that our common units and our Series A Preferred Units each currently satisfy, and expect that our common units and our Series A Preferred Units each will

## Table of Contents

continue to satisfy, the listing and trading volume requirements described previously. Therefore, we believe that our equity interests are “primarily traded” on an established securities market for purposes of the Publicly Traded Test.

Further, our partnership agreement provides that any person or group that beneficially owns more than 4.9% of any class of our units then outstanding generally will be treated as owning only 4.9% of such units for purposes of voting for directors. Although there can be no assurance that this limitation will be effective to eliminate the possibility that we have or will have any 5.0% unitholders for purposes of the Closely Held Block Exception, based on the current ownership of our common units, we believe that our common units have not lost eligibility for the Section 883 Exemption as a result of the Closely Held Block Exception. Thus, although the matter is not free from doubt and is based upon our belief and expectations regarding our satisfaction of the factual requirements described above, we believe that we satisfied the Publicly Traded Test for 2018 and will continue to satisfy the Publicly Traded Test for future taxable years.

The conclusions described above are based upon legal authorities that do not expressly contemplate an organizational structure such as ours. In particular, although we have elected to be treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes, we are organized as a limited partnership under Marshall Islands law. Accordingly, while we believe that, assuming satisfaction of the factual requirements described above, our common units and Series A Preferred Units should be considered “regularly traded” on an established securities market and that we should satisfy the requirements for the Section 883 Exemption, it is possible that the IRS would assert that our common units and Series A Preferred Units do not meet the “regularly traded” test. In addition, as described previously, our ability to satisfy the Publicly Traded Test depends upon factual matters that are subject to change. Should any of the factual requirements described above fail to be satisfied, we may not be able to satisfy the Publicly Traded Test. Furthermore, our board of directors could determine that it is in our best interests to take an action that would result in our not being able to satisfy the Publicly Traded Test in the future. Please see “—The Net Basis and Branch Profits Tax” and “—The 4.0% Gross Basis Tax” below for a discussion of the consequences in the event we do not satisfy the Publicly Traded Test.

**The Net Basis Tax and Branch Profits Tax.** If we earn U.S. Source International Transportation Income and the Section 883 Exemption does not apply, the U.S. source portion of such income may be treated as effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business in the United States (or Effectively Connected Income) if we have a fixed place of business in the United States involved in the earning of U.S. Source International Transportation Income and substantially all of our U.S. Source International Transportation Income is attributable to regularly scheduled transportation or, in the case of vessel leasing income, is attributable to a fixed place of business in the United States. In addition, if we earn income from liquefaction, regasification or storage of LNG within the territorial seas of the United States, such income may be treated as Effectively Connected Income. Based on our current operations, substantially all of our potential U.S. Source International Transportation Income is not attributable to regularly scheduled transportation or received from vessel leasing, and none of our liquefaction, regasification or storage activities occur within the territorial seas of the United States. As a result, we do not anticipate that any of our U.S. Source International Transportation Income or income earned from liquefaction, regasification or storage will be treated as Effectively Connected Income. However, there is no assurance that we will not earn income pursuant to regularly scheduled transportation or bareboat charters attributable to a fixed place of business in the United States, or earn income from liquefaction, regasification or storage activities within the territorial seas of the United States, in the future, which would result in such income being treated as Effectively Connected Income.

Any income we earn that is treated as Effectively Connected Income, net of applicable deductions, would be subject to U.S. federal corporate income tax (currently imposed at a rate of up to 21.0%). In addition, a 30.0% branch profits tax could be imposed on any income we earn that is treated as Effectively Connected Income, as determined after allowance for certain adjustments, and on certain interest paid or deemed paid by us in connection with the conduct of our U.S. trade or business.

On the sale of a vessel that has produced Effectively Connected Income, we could be subject to the net basis U.S. federal corporate income tax as well as branch profits tax with respect to the gain recognized up to the amount of certain prior deductions for depreciation that reduced Effectively Connected Income. Otherwise, we would not be subject to U.S. federal income tax with respect to gain realized on the sale of a vessel, provided the sale is considered to occur outside of the United States under U.S. federal income tax principles. In general, a sale of vessel will be considered to occur outside of the United States for this purpose if title to the vessel, and risk of loss with respect to the vessel, pass to the buyer outside the United States. It is expected that any sale of a vessel by us will be considered to occur outside of the United States.

The 4.0% Gross Basis Tax. If the Section 883 Exemption does not apply and the net basis tax does not apply, we would be subject to a 4.0% U.S. federal income tax on the U.S. source portion of our gross U.S. Source International Transportation Income, without benefit of deductions. Under the sourcing rules described above under “—Taxation of Operating Income,” 50.0% of our U.S. Source International Transportation Income would be treated as being derived from U.S. sources.

## Table of Contents

### Marshall Islands Taxation

We believe that because we, our operating subsidiaries and our controlled affiliates do not, and do not expect to conduct business or operations in the Republic of the Marshall Islands, neither we nor our controlled affiliates will be subject to income, capital gains, profits or other taxation under current Marshall Islands law. As a result, distributions by our operating subsidiary and our controlled affiliates to us will not be subject to Marshall Islands taxation.

### United Kingdom Taxation

The following is a discussion of the material United Kingdom tax consequences applicable to us relevant to the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018. This discussion is based upon existing legislation and current H.M. Revenue & Customs practice as of the date of this Annual Report. Changes in these authorities may cause the tax consequences to vary substantially from the consequences described below. The following discussion is for general information purposes only and does not purport to be a comprehensive description of all of the United Kingdom tax considerations applicable to us.

**Tax Residence and Taxation of a Permanent Establishment in the United Kingdom.** A company treated as resident in the United Kingdom for purposes of the United Kingdom Corporation Tax Acts is subject to corporation tax in the same manner and to the same extent as a United Kingdom incorporated company. For this purpose, place of residence is determined by the place at which central management and control of the company is carried out.

In addition, a non-United Kingdom resident company will be subject to United Kingdom corporation tax on profits attributable to a permanent establishment in the United Kingdom to the extent it carries on a trade in the United Kingdom through such a permanent establishment. A company not resident in the United Kingdom will be treated as having a permanent establishment in the United Kingdom if it has a fixed place of business in the United Kingdom through which the business of the company is wholly or partly carried on or if an agent acting on behalf of the company has and habitually exercises authority to enter into contracts on behalf of the company.

Unlike a company, a partnership resident in the United Kingdom or carrying on a trade in the United Kingdom is not itself subject to tax, although its partners generally will be liable for United Kingdom tax based upon their shares of the partnership's income and gains. Please read "Item 10—Additional Information—E. Taxation".

**Taxation of Non-United Kingdom Incorporated Subsidiaries.** We will undertake measures designed to ensure that our non-United Kingdom incorporated subsidiaries will be considered controlled and managed outside of the United Kingdom and not as having a permanent establishment or otherwise carrying on a trade in the United Kingdom. While certain of our subsidiaries that are incorporated outside of the United Kingdom will enter into agreements with Golar Management, a United Kingdom incorporated company, for the provision of administrative and/or technical management services, we believe that the terms of these agreements will not result in any of our non-United Kingdom incorporated subsidiaries being treated as having a permanent establishment or carrying on a trade in the United Kingdom. As a consequence, we expect that our non-United Kingdom incorporated subsidiaries will not be treated as resident in the United Kingdom and the profits these subsidiaries earn will not be subject to tax in the United Kingdom.

**Taxation of United Kingdom Incorporated Subsidiaries.** Each of our subsidiaries that is incorporated in the United Kingdom will be regarded for the purposes of the United Kingdom Corporation Tax Acts as being resident in the United Kingdom and will be liable to United Kingdom corporation tax on its worldwide income and chargeable gains, regardless of whether this income or gains are remitted to the United Kingdom. The generally applicable rate of United Kingdom corporation tax is 19% from April 1, 2017 and further reducing to 17% from April 1, 2020. Our United Kingdom incorporated subsidiaries will be liable to tax at this rate on their net income, profits and gains after

deducting expenses incurred wholly and exclusively for the purposes of the business being undertaken. There is currently no United Kingdom withholding taxes on distributions made to us.

#### Indonesia Taxation

The following discussion is based upon our knowledge and understanding of the tax laws of Indonesia and regulations, rulings and judicial decisions thereunder, all as in effect of the date of this Annual Report and subject to possible change on a retroactive basis. The following discussion is for general information purposes and does not purport to be a comprehensive description of all the Indonesian income tax considerations applicable to us.

PTGI, which owns and operates the NR Satu, has entered into a time charter party agreement with PTNR. On commencement of the charter by PTNR in Indonesia, which occurred in May 2012 upon delivery of the NR Satu, we became subject to tax in Indonesia payable by PTGI. This includes (and is not limited to) corporate income tax on profits at a rate of 25%,



## Table of Contents

withholding taxes required to be withheld by PTGI from payments it makes to our other subsidiaries including dividends to PTGI's immediate parent or interest payments on group loans as well as third party debt financing.

However, the tax exposure in Indonesia is intended to be mitigated by revenue due under the charter. This tax element of the time charter rate was established at the beginning of the time charter, and shall be adjusted only if there is a change in Indonesian tax laws or certain stipulated tax assumptions are invalid. PTNR withholds tax from payments it makes under the charter for the NR Satu. See "Item 8—Financial Information—A.Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information—Legal Proceedings" for details on our legal proceeding in Indonesia.

### Other Taxation

We are liable to corporate income taxes in the jurisdictions which we have subsidiaries or a taxable presence. We are therefore liable to tax currently in the United Kingdom, Brazil, Indonesia, Jordan, Kuwait and Barbados according to the tax regulations of those countries. We are also subject to tax inspections and tax audits in the jurisdictions we operate in.

The various local tax authorities may also periodically revise their tax laws and regulations or adopt new laws and regulations, and the effects of new or revised tax regulations on our operations cannot be predicted. There can be no assurances that additional significant costs and liabilities will not be incurred to comply with such current and future tax regulation changes or that such tax regulations will not have a material effect on our operations.

### C. Organizational Structure

Golar GP LLC, a Marshall Islands limited liability company, is our general partner. Our general partner is a subsidiary of Golar, which is a Bermuda exempted company. Please see Exhibit 8.1 to this Form 20-F for a list of our significant subsidiaries.

### D. Property, Plant and Equipment

Other than the vessels in our current fleet, we also own a purpose-built mooring structure with a net book value of \$14.2 million and \$17.7 million as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. The mooring structure is located off West Java, Indonesia where the NR Satu is permanently moored for the duration of its time charter with PTNR. Together with the NR Satu, the mooring structure is under a time charter with PTNR which terminates at the end of 2022. The mooring structure, together with the NR Satu, is also secured in favor of the \$175 million NR Satu facility.

### Item 4A. Unresolved Staff Comments

There are no written comments which have been provided by the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission regarding our periodic reports which remain unresolved as of the date of the filing of this Form 20-F with the Commission.

### Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our historical financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report. Among other things, those financial statements include more detailed information regarding the basis of presentation for the following information. Our Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP and are presented in U.S. Dollars.

## Background and Overview

We were formed in 2007 by Golar to own and operate FSRUs and LNG carriers under long-term charters that generate long-term stable cash flows. Our fleet currently consists of six FSRUs, four LNG carriers and an interest in the FLNG Hilli, through our ownership of 50% of the Hilli Common Units. We expect to make additional accretive acquisitions of FSRUs, LNG carriers and FLNGs from Golar and third parties in the future as market conditions permit.

We completed our IPO on April 13, 2011 and our common units are traded on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol “GMLP”.

## Table of Contents

### Significant Developments in 2018 and Early 2019

#### Hilli Acquisition

##### a. Closing of the Hilli Acquisition

On July 12, 2018 (the “Closing Date”), Golar Partners Operating LLC, our wholly owned subsidiary completed the acquisition from Golar and affiliates of Keppel Shipyard Limited (“Keppel”) and Black and Veatch (“B&V”) of 50% of the Common Units in Hilli LLC, which owns Hilli Corp, the disponent owner of the floating liquefied natural gas vessel, the Hilli. The Hilli is currently operating under an eight-year liquefaction tolling agreement (the “LTA”) with Perenco Cameroon SA (“Perenco”) and Société Nationale des Hydrocarbures (“SNH”) (together with Perenco, the “Customer”). The purchase price for the Hilli Acquisition was \$658 million, less 50% of the net lease obligations under the Hilli Facility (defined below), plus working capital adjustments. The post closing purchase price adjustments were finalized in October 2018.

On August 15, 2017, concurrently with our entry into the purchase and sale agreement for the Hilli Acquisition, we paid a deposit to Golar, which, together with accrued interest, equaled \$71 million on the Closing Date (the “Purchase Price Deposit”). In addition, in connection with the exercise of our put right with respect to the Golar Tundra, we sold Tundra Corp., the owner of the Golar Tundra, to Golar in return for Golar’s promise to pay an amount \$107.2 million (the “Deferred Purchase Price”) plus an additional amount equal to 5% per annum of the Deferred Purchase Price. We applied the Purchase Price Deposit, the Deferred Purchase Price and the interest accrued thereon to the purchase price for the Hilli Acquisition.

The membership interests in Hilli LLC are represented by three classes of units, the Hilli Common Units, the Series A Special Units and the Series B Special Units. We own 50% of the Hilli Common Units and Golar, Keppel and B&V own 44.6%, 5.0% and 0.4%, respectively, of the Hilli Common Units. Golar, Keppel and B&V own 89.1%, 10% and 0.9%, respectively, of each of the Series A Special Units and the Series B Special Units. See note 10 “Investment in Affiliate” in our Consolidated Financial Statements included herein for more information. For a discussion of certain risks associated with the Hilli Acquisition see “Item 3. Key Information—D. Risk Factors.”

##### b. Hilli LLC Limited Liability Company Agreement

The Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Hilli LLC (the “Hilli LLC Agreement”) provides that within 60 days after the end of each quarter, Golar, in its capacity as the managing member of Hilli LLC shall determine the amount of Hilli LLC’s available cash and appropriate reserves (including cash reserves for future maintenance capital expenditures, working capital and other matters), and Hilli LLC shall make a distribution to the members of Hilli LLC (the “Members”) of the available cash, subject to such reserves. Hilli LLC shall make distributions to the Members when, as and if declared by Golar; provided, however, that no distributions may be made on the Hilli Common Units on any distribution date unless (i) Series A Distributions for the most recently ended quarter and any accumulated Series A Distributions in arrears for any past quarter have been or contemporaneously are being paid or provided for and (ii) Series B Distributions for the most recently ended quarter and any accumulated Series B Distributions in arrears for any past quarter have been or contemporaneously are being paid or provided for. For a description of Series A Distributions and Series B Distributions as well as distributions which may be made to the Hilli Common Units, please see Note 10 “Investment in Affiliate” in our consolidated financial statements included herein.

Golar is the managing member of Hilli LLC and is responsible for all operational, management and administrative decisions relating to Hilli LLC’s business. We do not consolidate Hilli LLC or Hilli Corp and have accounted for the Hilli Acquisition under the equity method.

##### c. Liquefaction Tolling Agreement (“LTA”)

Under the LTA, the Hilli will provide floating liquefied natural gas tolling services for the Customer until the earlier of (i) May 31, 2026, eight years from the date the delivered Hilli was accepted by the Customer (the “Acceptance Date”), or (ii) the time of receipt and processing by the Hilli of 500 billion cubic feet of feed gas. Under the terms of

the LTA, the Hilli will be required to make available 1.2 million tonnes of liquefaction capacity per annum, which capacity will be spread evenly over the course of each contract year. The Customer pays Hilli Corp a monthly tolling fee, which will fluctuate to a certain extent in relation to the price of Brent crude. Under the LTA, the Customer has an option to increase liquefaction capacity. The LTA provides certain termination rights to the Customer and Hilli Corp. The LTA provides for the payment by Hilli Corp of termination payments of up to \$400 million (which reduces gradually as LNG is produced, reducing to \$100 million once 3.6 million tonnes of LNG has been produced), \$300 million of which is secured by a letter of credit, in the event of termination by the Customer for Hilli Corp's underperformance or non-performance. If the Customer elects to terminate the LTA prior to the second anniversary of the Acceptance Date, the Customer will be obligated to pay Hilli Corp \$400 million, with termination payments decreasing if the LTA is terminated after the second anniversary of the Acceptance Date.

## Table of Contents

### d. Hilli Letter of Credit Guarantee

Pursuant to the terms of the LTA, Golar obtained a letter of credit (the “Hilli LOC”) issued by a financial institution that guarantees certain payments Hilli Corp is required to make under the LTA. On November 28, 2018, we entered into an agreement to guarantee (the “LOC Guarantee”) the Hilli LOC in the event of Hilli Corp’s underperformance or non-performance under the LTA. Under the LOC Guarantee, we are severally liable for any outstanding amounts that are payable, based on the percentage ownership that Golar holds in us, multiplied by our percentage ownership in the Hilli Common Units. The LOC Guarantee contains certain financial restrictions and other covenants that may restrict our business and financing activities as well as our ability to make cash distributions to our unitholders.

### e. Hilli Facility and the Partnership Guarantee

Hilli Corp, a wholly owned subsidiary of Hilli LLC, is a party to a Memorandum of Agreement, dated September 9, 2015, with Fortune, pursuant to which Hilli Corp has sold to and leased back from Fortune the Hilli under the Hilli Facility. The Hilli Facility provided for post-construction financing for the Hilli in the amount of \$960 million. Under the Hilli Facility, Hilli Corp will pay to Fortune forty consecutive equal quarterly repayments of 1.375% of the construction cost, plus interest based on LIBOR plus a margin of 3.95%.

In connection with the closing of the Hilli Acquisition, we agreed to provide the Partnership Guarantee of 50% of the obligations of Hilli Corp under the Hilli Facility pursuant to a Deed of Amendment, Restatement and Accession relating to a guarantee between Golar, Fortune and us dated July 12, 2018. The Hilli Facility and the Partnership Guarantee contain certain financial restrictions and other covenants that may restrict our business and financing activities as well as our ability to make cash distributions to our unitholders. We entered into a \$480.0 million interest rate swap in relation to our proportionate share of the obligation under the Hilli Facility.

#### New Freeze Charter

In January 2018, we entered into a 15-year time charter for the Golar Freeze with an energy and logistics company (the “New Freeze Charter”) in offshore Jamaica. Under the New Freeze Charter, the charterer has the option to terminate the contract after 3 years and seek an alternative regasification solution, but only in the event that certain throughput targets have not been met. Additionally, we will have a matching right to provide such alternative solution. The New Freeze Charter also includes a 5-year extension option.

### Common Unit Repurchase Program

In March 2018, the Board approved a common unit repurchase program of up to \$25.0 million of our outstanding common units in the open market over a two-year period and subsequently increased the common unit repurchase program to \$50.0 million in December 2018. As of December 31, 2018, we had repurchased a total of 930,866 common units under the program for an aggregate cost of \$14.0 million. In accordance with the provisions of our Partnership Agreement, all common units repurchased are deemed canceled and not outstanding, with immediate effect.

### Equity offerings

In September 2017, we entered into an equity distribution agreement with a sales agent pursuant to which we may, from time to time, issue common units with an aggregate offering price up to \$150.0 million (“ATM Program”). In 2018, we sold 617,969 common units at an average gross sales price of \$23.15 per unit and received net proceeds of \$13.9 million. As of December 31, 2018, we paid an aggregate of \$0.1 million in sales commissions to the sales agent. In connection with such sales, our general partner acquired 12,548 additional general partner units, at an average price of \$23.15 per unit for an aggregate sales price of \$0.3 million.

### Organizational Changes

On March 19, 2018, Brian Tienzo replaced Graham Robjohns as our Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Robjohns currently serves as the Chief Financial Officer and Deputy Chief Executive Officer of Golar, having served as our Chief Executive Officer since July 2011. In addition to serving as our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Tienzo will continue to serve as our Chief Financial Officer and our Principal Accounting Officer.

## Table of Contents

### Factors Affecting Our Results of Operations and Future Results

Our historical results of operations and cash flows may not be indicative of future results of operations and our results may be principally affected for the following reasons:

Vessels may be re-contracted at lower rates. We currently derive all of our revenue from a limited number of customers on charters. Hire rates for FSRUs and LNG carriers fluctuate over time as a result of changes in the supply-demand balance relating to current and future FSRU and LNG carrier capacity. Hire rates at a time when we may be seeking a new charter may be lower than the hire rates at which our vessels are currently chartered. The Golar Maria and Golar Mazo are currently on spot charters and the Golar Igloo's charter is due to expire in 2019. We cannot guarantee that KNPC will employ the Golar Igloo beyond the 2019 regasification season. In addition, certain of our time charters provide for significant reductions in hire rates payable during extension periods if the charterer extends the applicable charter beyond its initial term. If rates are lower, our earnings and ability to make distributions to our unitholders may decline.

The amount and timing of drydocking and the number of drydocking days of our vessels can significantly affect our revenues between periods. Our vessels are off-hire at various points of time due to scheduled and unscheduled maintenance. During the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, we had 134, 123 and 88 off-hire days, respectively, relating to drydocking of our vessels. Material differences in the number of off-hire days from period to period could cause financial results to differ materially.

Our investment in Hilli LLC may not result in anticipated profitability or generate cash flow sufficient to justify our investment. The Hilli is the world's first converted FLNG vessel. FLNG vessels are complex and their operations are technically challenging and subject to mechanical risks. Accordingly, the operations of the Hilli are subject to risks that could negatively impact affect our earnings and financial condition. In addition, we provided the Partnership Guarantee and the LOC Guarantee in connection with the Hilli Acquisition. We could be liable if Hilli Corp fails to service its debt or comply with its debt covenants under the Hilli Facility or fails to perform under the LTA. This could also affect our ability to gain future credit from certain lenders. Furthermore, upon the closing of the Hilli Acquisition, we accounted for our investment in Hilli LLC under the equity method. Accordingly, our anticipated benefits from Hilli LLC is through cash distributions from our share of earnings from Hilli LLC.

Our results may be affected by fluctuations in our vessel operating costs. Factors such as shortage of qualified and experienced seafaring crew, changes in regulatory requirements and pressure on raw material prices could increase our operating expenditures. Although we continue to take measures to improve operational efficiencies and mitigate the impact of inflation and price escalations, future increases to operational cost may occur. In addition, the Golar Spirit has been in lay-up since August 2017. We receive no revenues for vessels while they are in lay-up or being converted, but we benefit from lower vessel operating costs, principally from reduced crew on board, and minimal maintenance requirements and voyage costs.

We intend to increase the size of our fleet by making other acquisitions. Our growth strategy focuses on expanding our fleet through the acquisition of FSRUs, LNG carriers and FLNGs under long-term time charters from Golar or third parties. We may need to issue additional equity or incur additional indebtedness to fund additional vessels that we purchase.

We may enter into different financing arrangements. Our financing arrangements currently in place may not be representative of the arrangements we will enter into in the future. For example, we may amend our existing credit facilities or enter into new financing arrangements. For descriptions of our current financing arrangements, please read "—B. Liquidity and Capital Resources—Borrowing Activities."

Our results are affected by fluctuations in the fair value of our derivative instruments. The change in fair value of our derivative instruments is included in our net income as most of our derivative instruments are not designated as hedges for accounting purposes. These changes may fluctuate significantly as interest rates fluctuate. Please read note 23 "Financial Instruments" in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our results may be affected by tax exposure. Tax accounting and reporting judgments that we make may not be entirely free from doubt. It is possible that applicable tax authorities will disagree with our positions, possibly

resulting in additional taxes being owed in future years. For instance, the Indonesian tax authorities have revoked a previously granted waiver of VAT importation for one of our subsidiaries, PTGI, in the approximate amount of \$24.0 million for the NR Satu. In February 2018, PTGI filed a Judicial Review with the Supreme Court of Indonesia, but in December 2018, the Supreme Court of Indonesia ruled against PTGI with regards the validity of wavier cancellation. However, we do not believe it probable that a liability exists as a result of this ruling, as no Tax Underpayment Assessment Notice has been received within the statute of limitations period. In the event that the revocation of the waiver is upheld by the Supreme Court an



## Table of Contents

d a liability arises, it is possible that PTGI will be liable for the VAT plus penalties and interest. We believe PTGI will be indemnified by PTNR for any VAT liability as well as related interest and penalties under our time charter party agreement entered into with them. See note 25 “Other Commitments and Contingencies” in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Please read “Item 3. Key Information—D. Risk Factors” for a discussion of certain risks inherent in our business.

### Important Financial and Operational Terms and Concepts

We use a variety of financial and operational terms and concepts when analyzing our performance. These include the following:

**Total Operating Revenues.** Total operating revenues refers to time charter revenues. We recognize revenues from time charters over the term of the contract as the applicable vessel operates under the contract. We do not recognize revenue during days when the vessel is off-hire, unless the agreement makes a specific exception.

**Off-hire (Including Commercial Waiting Time).** Our vessels may be idle, that is, off-hire, for several reasons: scheduled drydocking or special survey or vessel upgrade or maintenance or inspection, which we refer to as scheduled off-hire; days spent waiting for a charter, which we refer to as commercial waiting time; and unscheduled repairs, maintenance, operational deficiencies, equipment breakdown, accidents, crewing strikes, certain vessel detentions or similar problems, or our failure to maintain the vessel in compliance with its specifications and contractual standards or to provide the required crew, which we refer to as unscheduled off-hire.

**Drydocking.** We must periodically drydock each of our vessels for inspection, repairs and maintenance and any modifications required to comply with industry certification or governmental requirements. Except for the NR Satu and Golar Freeze, which will go into drydock after their charter with their respective charterer, we generally drydock each of our vessels every five years. In addition, a shipping society classification intermediate survey is performed on our LNG carriers between the second and third year of a five-year drydocking period. We capitalize a substantial portion of the costs incurred during drydocking and for the survey and amortize those costs on a straight-line basis from the completion of a drydocking or intermediate survey over the estimated useful life of the drydock. We expense as incurred costs for routine repairs and maintenance performed during drydocking or intermediate survey that do not improve or extend the useful lives of the assets. The number of drydockings undertaken in a given period and the nature of the work performed determine the level of drydocking expenditures.

**Voyage and Commission Expenses.** Voyage expenses, which primarily comprise of fuel costs but also include other costs such as port charges, are paid by our customers under our time charters. However, we may incur voyage related expenses during off-hire periods when positioning or repositioning vessels before or after the period of a time charter or before or after drydocking, which expenses will be payable by us. We also incur some voyage expenses, principally fuel costs, when our vessels are in periods of commercial waiting time.

**Time Charter Equivalent Earnings.** In order to compare vessels trading under different types of charters, it is standard industry practice to measure the revenue performance of a vessel in terms of average daily TCE. For our time charters, this is calculated by dividing time charter revenues less voyage and commission expenses, by the number of calendar days minus days for scheduled off-hire. Where we are paid a fee to position or reposition a vessel before or after a time charter, this additional revenue, is included in the calculation of TCE. For shipping companies utilizing voyage charters (where the vessel owner pays voyage costs instead of the charterer), TCE is calculated by dividing voyage revenues, net of vessel voyage costs, by the number of calendar days minus days for scheduled off-hire. TCE is a non-GAAP financial measure. See “Item 3. Key Financial Information—A. Selected Financial Data—Non-GAAP Financial Measure” for a reconciliation of TCE to total operating revenues (TCE’s most directly comparable financial measure in accordance with GAAP).

**Vessel Operating Expenses.** Vessel operating expenses include direct vessel operating costs associated with operating a vessel, such as crew wages, which are the most significant component, vessel supplies, routine repairs, maintenance, lubricating oils, insurance and management fees for the provision of commercial and technical management services.

**Segment EBITDA.** Segment EBITDA is calculated by deducting from total operating revenues: vessel operating expenses, voyage and commission expenses and administrative expenses. This is used as a supplemental financial measure by management and investors to assess the Partnership's total financial and operating performance. Management believes that it assists management and investors by increasing comparability of its total performance from period to period and against the performance of other companies.

## Table of Contents

**Depreciation and Amortization.** Depreciation and amortization expense, or the periodic cost charged to our income for the reduction in usefulness and long-term value of our vessels, is related to the number of vessels we own or operate for contractual future leasing. We depreciate the cost of our owned vessels, less their estimated residual value, and amortize the amount of our capital lease assets over their estimated economic useful lives, on a straight-line basis.

We amortize our drydocking costs generally over five years based on each vessel's next anticipated drydocking. Income derived from sale and subsequently leased assets is deferred and amortized in proportion to the amortization of the leased assets. Also, we amortize our intangible assets, which pertain to customer related and contract based assets representing primarily long-term time charter party agreements acquired in connection with the acquisition of certain subsidiaries from Golar, over the term of the time charter party agreement.

**Administrative Expenses.** We are party to a management and services agreement with Golar Management, under which Golar Management provides certain management and administrative services to us and is reimbursed for costs and expenses incurred in connection with these services at a cost plus 5% basis. The balance of administrative expenses relate to corporate expenses such as legal, accounting and regulatory compliance costs.

**Interest Expense and Interest Income.** Interest expense depends on our overall level of borrowing and may significantly increase when we acquire or lease vessels. In addition, by virtue of sale and leaseback transactions we have or may enter into with lessor VIEs, where we are deemed to be the primary beneficiary. We are required to consolidate the VIEs into our results. Although consolidated into our results, we have no control over the funding arrangements negotiated by these lessor VIE entities which includes the interest rates to be applied. For additional detail, refer to note 5 "Variable Interest Entities" to our Consolidated Financial Statements. Furthermore, our estimation process is dependent upon the timeliness of receipt and accuracy of financial information provided by these financial institutions. Interest expense may also change with prevailing interest rates, although interest rate swaps or other derivative instruments may reduce the effect of these changes. Interest income will depend on prevailing interest rates and the level of our cash deposits and restricted cash deposits.

**Gains/(losses) on Derivative Instruments.** Gains/(losses) on derivative instruments include market valuation adjustments for interest rate swap derivatives, realized interest income/(expense) on interest rate swaps and market valuation adjustments on Earn-Out Units. The market valuation adjustment for our interest rate and foreign currency derivatives may have a significant impact on our results of operations and financial position although it does not materially impact our short-term liquidity unless we terminate these swaps before their maturity.

**Other Financial Items.** Other financial items include financing fee arrangement costs such as commitment fees on credit facilities, foreign exchange gains/losses and other realized gains/(losses) on our financial instruments. Foreign exchange gains or losses arise due to the retranslation of our capital lease obligations and the cash deposits securing those obligations. Any gain or loss represents an unrealized gain or loss and will arise over time as a result of exchange rate movements. Our liquidity position will only be affected to the extent that we choose or are required to withdraw monies from or pay additional monies into the deposits securing our capital lease obligations.

**Non-Controlling Interest.** Non-controlling interest refers to the 40% interest in the Golar Mazo. In addition, since our entry into a sale and leaseback arrangement with a wholly-owned subsidiary (or "Eskimo SPV") of China Merchants Bank Leasing ("CMBL") in November 2015 relating to the Golar Eskimo, we have consolidated the Eskimo SPV into our results. Thus, the equity attributable to CMBL is included in our non-controlling interest. For additional details, see note 5 "Variable Interest Entities" to our Consolidated Financial Statements included herein.

**Inflation and Cost Increases**

Although inflation has had a moderate impact on operating expenses, interest costs, drydocking expenses and overhead, we do not expect inflation to have a significant impact on direct costs in the current and foreseeable economic environment other than potentially in relation to insurance costs and crew costs. Insurance costs have historically seen periods of high cost inflation, although not within the last 12 months. It is anticipated that insurance costs may continue to rise in the future. LNG transportation is a business that requires specialist skills that take some time to acquire and the number of vessels is increasing. Similarly, historically, there have been periods of increased demand for qualified crew, which has and may in future put inflationary pressure on crew costs. Only vessels on full cost pass-through charters would be fully protected from crew cost increases. The impact of these increases will be mitigated to some extent by the following provisions in our existing charters:

The Methane Princess's and the Golar Eskimo's charters provide that the operating cost component of the charter hire rate, established at the beginning of the charter, will increase by a fixed percentage per annum (except for insurance in the case of the Methane Princess, which is covered at cost).

Table of Contents

Under the OSA for the Golar Winter, the charter hire rates are payable in Brazilian Reais. The charter hire rates payable under the OSA covers all vessel operating expenses, other than drydocking and insurance. The charter hire rate payable under the OSA was established between the parties at the time the charter was entered into and will be increased based on a specified mix of consumer price and U.S. Dollar foreign exchange rate indices on an annual basis.

The NR Satu time charter provides for annual adjustment to the operating expense component of the charter hire rate as necessary to take into account cost movements.

## A. Operating Results

In July 2018, we completed the Hilli Acquisition and our investment in the Hilli Common Units is accounted for under the equity method of accounting. Our share of the results of operations of the Hilli is reported in "Equity in net earnings of affiliate" in our consolidated statement of operations.

Management has determined that the risks and long term business prospects of our FLNG business differ from our other reporting segments, as our FLNG business meets the definition of an operating segment, being a distinguishable component of the business whose operating results will be regularly reviewed by the chief operating decision maker. Management has concluded that we provide three distinct services and operate in the following three reportable segments: FSRUs, LNG carriers and FLNG. Consequently, this resulted in a change in our measure of profitability from "Operating Income" to "EBITDA", as our FLNG business is measured based on its underlying business activities instead of the "Equity in net earnings of affiliate", to better allow management to effectively manage our standalone segments and our overall businesses. We believe that Segment EBITDA assists management and investors by increasing the comparability of our performance from period to period and against the performance of other companies in our industry that provide Segment EBITDA information. See note 6 "Segment Information" in our consolidated financial statements included herein for additional information.

## Year Ended December 31, 2018 Compared with the Year Ended December 31, 2017

The following details the segment EBITDA information for our reportable segments; FSRUs, LNG carriers and FLNG for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017.

	December 31, 2018					December 31, 2017			
(dollars in thousands)	FSRU	LNG Carrier	FLNG	Elimination <sup>(1)</sup>	Consolidated Reporting	FSRU	LNG Carrier	FLNG	Consolidated Reporting
Total operating revenues	\$294,889	\$51,761	\$49,754	\$(49,754)	\$346,650	\$316,599	\$116,503	—	\$433,102
Vessel operating expenses	(42,736)	)(22,511)	)(9,834)	)9,834	(65,247)	)(47,960)	)(20,318)	—	(68,278)
Voyage and commission expenses	(7,138)	)(4,084)	)(434)	)434	(11,222)	)(8,375)	)(1,319)	—	(9,694)
Administrative expenses <sup>(2)</sup>	(9,384)	)(5,425)	)(1,306)	)1,306	(14,809)	)(10,029)	)(5,181)	—	(15,210)
Segment EBITDA	\$235,631	\$19,741	\$38,180	\$(38,180)	\$255,372	\$250,235	\$89,685	—	\$339,920

<sup>(1)</sup> Eliminations reverse the effective revenues, expenses, and Segment EBITDA attributable to our 50% ownership of the Common Units of Hilli LLC.

<sup>(2)</sup> General and administrative expenses are allocated to the FSRU and LNG carrier segments based on the number of vessels while general and administrative expenses for FLNG relates to our effective share of expenses attributable to our 50% ownership of the Common Units of Hilli LLC.



Table of Contents

## FSRU Segment

The following table sets forth the operating results of our FSRU segment for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017.

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2018	2017	Change	% Change
Statement of Operations Data:	(dollars in thousands, except TCE)			
Total operating revenues	\$294,889	\$316,599	\$(21,710)	(7 )%
Vessel operating expenses	(42,736 )	(47,960 )	5,224	(11 )%
Voyage and commission expenses	(7,138 )	(8,375 )	1,237	(15 )%
Administrative expenses <sup>(1)</sup>	(9,384 )	(10,029 )	645	(6 )%
FSRU Segment EBITDA	235,631	250,235	(14,604 )	(6 )%

## Other Financial Data:

Average daily TCE<sup>(2)</sup> \$171,689 \$159,950 \$11,739 7 %

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes direct general and administrative expenses and indirect general and administrative expenses (allocated to each segment based on the number of vessels). See the discussion under “—Other Operating Results” below.

<sup>(2)</sup> Refer to “Item 3. Key Information—A. Selected Financial Data—Non-GAAP Financial Measure.” for a definition of average daily TCE and reconciliation to its most directly comparable GAAP financial measure.

Total operating revenues: Total operating revenues decreased by \$21.7 million to \$294.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to \$316.6 million in 2017. This was primarily due to a \$43.3 million reduction in revenue from the Golar Spirit following the early termination of her time charter in 2017 and a \$4.0 million reduction in revenue from the NR Satu due to a reduction of the daily time charter rate in 2018.

This was partially offset by:

- a \$12.1 million increase due to recognition of all of Golar Freeze's revenue until the end of her previous charter in the year ended December 31, 2018. In July 2018, Golar Freeze was nominated to service the New Freeze Charter and subsequently underwent drydocking to satisfy certain technical specifications of the charter. Accordingly, we recognized all of the revenue due to be paid under the current Golar Freeze charter which had an original expiration date of April 2019 as the vessel will no longer be available under the current charter; and
- a \$13.0 million increase in revenue from the Golar Winter in the year ended December 31, 2018 following her scheduled drydocking in 2017.

Vessel operating expenses: The decrease of \$5.2 million in vessel operating expenses to \$42.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 as compared to \$48.0 million in 2017 was principally due to:

- a \$4.1 million decrease in repairs and maintenance costs for the NR Satu during the year ended December 31, 2018 following her scheduled maintenance in 2017; and
- a \$3.8 million reduction in the operating costs associated with the Golar Spirit due to the vessel being placed in lay-up in August 2017 following the termination of her charter.

This was partially offset by a \$2.7 million increase in the Golar Freeze repairs and maintenance cost during her scheduled drydocking in July 2018.

Voyage and commission expenses: Voyage and commission expenses decreased by \$1.2 million to \$7.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to \$8.4 million in 2017, mainly due to higher bunker costs incurred in connection with the Golar Spirit being placed in lay-up in August 2017 and the Golar Winter's scheduled drydocking in September 2017. This was partially offset by bunker and positioning cost incurred by the Golar Freeze in preparation of her new charter in 2019.

FSRU Segment EBITDA: The FSRU Segment EBITDA decreased by \$14.6 million to \$235.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to \$250.2 million in 2017. This was primarily due to the Golar Spirit being in lay-up following the



Table of Contents

early termination of her time charter in 2017, resulting in lower total operating revenue, vessel operating expenses and voyage and commission expenses for the year ended December 31, 2018.

Average daily TCE<sup>(2)</sup>: The average daily TCE for the year ended December 31, 2018 increased by \$11,739 to \$171,689 compared to \$159,950 for the same period in 2017, mainly due to a reduction in calendar days less scheduled off-hire days in the year ended December 31, 2018 as the Golar Spirit has been in lay-up since August 2017. The decrease in revenues from the Golar Spirit does not affect the average daily TCE in 2018 as the days when vessels are in lay-up are also considered scheduled off-hire days.

## LNG Carrier Segment

The following table sets forth the operating results of our LNG carrier Segment for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017.

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2018	2017	Change	% Change
Statement of Operations Data:	(dollars in thousands, except TCE)			
Total operating revenues	\$51,761	\$116,503	\$(64,742)	(56)%
Vessel operating expenses	\$(22,511)	\$(20,318)	\$(2,193)	11%
Voyage and commission expenses	\$(4,084)	\$(1,319)	\$(2,765)	210%
Administrative expenses <sup>(1)</sup>	\$(5,425)	\$(5,181)	\$(244)	5%
LNG carrier Segment EBITDA	19,741	89,685	\$(69,944)	(78)%

## Other Financial Data:

Average daily TCE<sup>(2)</sup> \$33,575 \$80,268 \$(46,693) (58)%

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes direct general and administrative expenses and indirect general and administrative expenses (allocated to each segment based on the number of vessels). See the discussion under “—Other Operating Results” below.

<sup>(2)</sup> Refer to “Item 3. Key Information—A. Selected Financial Data—Non-GAAP Financial Measure.” for a definition of average daily TCE and reconciliation to its most directly comparable GAAP financial measure.

Operating revenues: Total operating revenues decreased by \$64.7 million to \$51.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to \$116.5 million in 2017. This was primarily due to:

a \$31.5 million reduction in revenue from the Golar Mazo as a result of the expiration of her charter in December 2017;

a \$29.2 million reduction in revenue from the Golar Grand and the Golar Maria following the expiration of the charter back to Golar and her charter, respectively, in November 2017. The hire rates under the Golar Grand's and the Golar Maria's time charters with the new charterers are lower than the previous hire rates; and

a \$4.0 million reduction in revenue from the Methane Princess resulting from her scheduled drydocking in 2018.

Vessel operating expenses: The increase of \$2.2 million in vessel operating expenses to \$22.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2018, as compared to \$20.3 million in 2017, was principally due to \$4.7 million increase in repair and maintenance costs in respect of the Golar Maria and Methane Princess in 2018.

This was partially offset by a reduction of:

\$1.3 million in operating cost for the Golar Mazo in 2018 following the expiration of her charter in December 2017; and

\$1.2 million in operating cost for the Golar Grand in 2018 as she was taken out of lay-up and completed drydock in 2017.

Voyage and commission expenses: Voyage and commission expenses increased by \$2.8 million to \$4.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to \$1.3 million in 2017, primarily due to incremental positioning and bunker cost incurred by the Golar Mazo and Golar Maria for the year ended December 31, 2018 following the expiration of their long term charters in December 2017 and November 2017, respectively.

Table of Contents

LNG carrier Segment EBITDA: The LNG carrier Segment EBITDA decreased by \$69.9 million to \$19.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to \$89.7 million in 2017. This was primarily due to the reduction in revenue and higher positioning and bunker cost from the Golar Mazo and Golar Maria for the year ended December 31, 2018 following the expiration of their charters in 2017.

Average daily TCE<sup>(2)</sup>: The average daily TCE for the year ended December 31, 2018 decreased by \$46,693 to \$33,575 compared to \$80,268 for the year ended December 31, 2017. This was due to (i) the Golar Mazo and Golar Maria being offhire following the expiration of their charters in December 2017 and November 2017, respectively; and (ii) lower hire rates for the Golar Grand following the expiration of the charter back arrangement with Golar in November 2017.

## FLNG Segment

Pursuant to the Hilli Acquisition, which closed in July 2018, our share of the results of operations of Hilli LLC is reported in “Equity in net earnings of affiliate” in our consolidated statement of operations. However, in our segment note in our consolidated financial statements, we have presented our ownership of 50% of the Hilli Common Units based on our effective share of the underlying business activities.

The following table sets forth the operating results of our FLNG Segment for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2018	2017	Change	% Change	
Statement of Operations Data:	(dollars in thousands)				
Total operating revenues	\$49,754	\$ —	—	100	%
Vessel operating expenses	(9,834)	—	(9,834)	100	%
Voyage and commission expenses	(434)	—	(434)	100	%
Administrative expenses	(1,306)	—	(1,306)	100	%
FLNG Segment EBITDA	38,180	—	38,180	100	%

Operating revenues: This refers to our effective share of the total operating revenues in relation to liquefaction services subsequent to the close of the Hilli Acquisition. There was no comparable revenue in the year ended December 31, 2017.

Vessel operating expenses: This refers to our effective share of the vessel operating expenses incurred by Hilli LLC subsequent to the close of the Hilli Acquisition. There was no comparable cost in the year ended December 31, 2017.

Administrative expenses: This refers to our effective share of the administrative expenses incurred by Hilli LLC, comprising of legal, professional and consultancy cost subsequent to the close of the Hilli Acquisition. There was no comparable cost in the year ended December 31, 2017.

FLNG Segment EBITDA: This refers to our effective share of the FLNG Segment EBITDA subsequent to the close of Hilli Acquisition. There was no comparable amount in the year ended December 31, 2017.

Table of Contents

## Other operating results

The following details our unallocated consolidated results for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017:

	Year Ended December 31,		% Change	
	2018	2017	Change	Change
	(dollars in thousands)			
Other non-operating income	\$449	\$ 922	\$(473 )	(51 )%
Depreciation and amortization	(98,812)	(103,810)	4,998	(5 )%
Administrative expenses	(14,809)	(15,210)	401	(3 )%
Interest income	8,950	7,804	1,146	15 %
Interest expense	(80,650)	(75,425)	(5,225)	7 %
Gains on derivative instruments	8,106	7,796	310	4 %
Other financial items, net	(592 )	(15,363)	14,771	(96 )%
Taxes	(17,465)	(16,996)	(469 )	3 %
Non-controlling interest	(3,358)	(15,568)	12,210	(78 )%

**Depreciation and amortization:** Depreciation and amortization decreased by \$5.0 million to \$98.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2018, compared to \$103.8 million in 2017. This was primarily due to a lower drydock amortization on both the Golar Spirit as her drydock cost was fully amortized within the first quarter of 2018, and on the Golar Winter following the completion of her scheduled drydocking in the fourth quarter of 2017.

**Administrative expenses:** Administrative expenses decreased by \$0.4 million to \$14.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to \$15.2 million in 2017. We are party to a management and services agreement with Golar Management, under which Golar Management provides certain management and administrative services to us and is reimbursed for costs and expenses incurred in connection with these services at a cost plus 5% basis. Under this arrangement, for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, we incurred charges of \$9.8 million and \$7.8 million, respectively. The remaining balance of administrative expenses amounting to \$5.0 million and \$7.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively, relate to corporate expenses such as legal, accounting and regulatory compliance costs.

**Interest income:** Interest income increased by \$1.1 million to \$9.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2018, compared to \$7.8 million in 2017. This was principally due to the \$2.4 million interest income earned on the \$107.2 million Deferred Purchase Price relating to the Tundra Put Sale and the \$70 million deposit paid upon execution of the Hilli Purchase Agreement, for which we earn interest at 5% per annum, from August and October 2017, respectively. Both amounts were applied to the purchase price for the Hilli Acquisition in July 2018. This was partially offset by lower interest income earned on our free cash balance in 2018.

**Interest expense:** Interest expense increased by \$5.2 million to \$80.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2018, compared to \$75.4 million in 2017. This was principally due to:

- \$2.0 million in additional interest due to a full year of interest expense arising on our \$250 million of senior unsecured non-amortizing 2017 Norwegian Bonds, issued in February 2017;

- \$2.1 million incremental interest on the \$800 million facility, due to higher LIBOR and additional interest as a result of the balance drawn under the revolving facility during 2018; and

-

\$0.7 million incremental interest and amortization of deferred financing costs as a result of refinancing of the NR Satu facility in early 2018.

Gains on derivative instruments: Gains on derivative instruments, reflects a gain of \$8.1 million and \$7.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively, as set forth in the table below:

56

---

Table of Contents

	Year ended December 31,			
	2018	2017	\$ Change	% Change
	(dollars in thousands)			
Mark-to-market adjustment for interest rate swaps	\$(1,455)	\$12,073	\$(13,528)	(112 )%
Net interest income/(expense) on un-designated interest rate swaps	2,161	(7,554 )	9,715	(129 )%
Net unrealized and realized gains on interest rate swaps	706	4,519	(3,813 )	(84 )%
Mark-to-market gains/(losses) on Earn-Out Units	7,400	(441 )	7,841	(1,778 )%
Gains on repurchase of cross-currency interest rate swap	—	3,718	(3,718 )	(100 )%
Total	\$8,106	\$7,796	\$310	4 %

Net unrealized and realized gains on interest rate swaps: Net unrealized and realized gains on interest rate swaps resulted in a net gain of \$0.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2018, compared to a net gain of \$4.5 million in 2017 due to decrease in long-term swap interest rates in 2018 which has resulted in loss on the mark-to-market valuation of our interest rate swaps.

Mark-to-market gains/(losses) on Earn-Out Units: On October 24, 2018, we declared a reduced quarterly distribution of \$0.4042 per common unit. Consequently, the second tranche of Earn-Out Units were not issued. Accordingly, the associated derivative liability was reduced to \$nil, resulting in a mark-to-market gain of \$7.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to a loss of \$0.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Gains on repurchase of cross-currency interest rate swap: This pertains to mark-to-market gains of \$3.9 million on our cross-currency interest rates swap that was repurchased during 2017, offset by a foreign currency loss of \$0.2 million. There is no comparable gain for the year ended December 31, 2018.

Other financial items, net: Other financial items reflect a loss of \$0.6 million and \$15.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively, as set forth in the table below:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2018	2017	Change	% Change
	(dollars in thousands)			
Losses on repurchase of 2012 High-Yield Bonds	—	(7,876 )	7,876	100 %
Foreign exchange losses on 2012 High-Yield Bonds	—	(3,103 )	3,103	100 %
Premium paid on repurchase of 2012 High-Yield Bonds	—	(2,820 )	2,820	100 %
Other items	(592 )	(1,564 )	972	(62 )%
Other financial items, net	\$(592)	\$(15,363)	\$14,771	(96 )%

Losses on repurchase of 2012 High-Yield Bonds: In the year ended December 31, 2017, as a consequence of the cessation of hedge accounting for the related cross currency interest rate swap (entered into as a hedge against our NOK denominated 2012 High-Yield Bonds), we reclassified to the statement of operations \$5.0 million of accumulated mark-to-market losses previously recorded within accumulated other comprehensive income. We also recognized foreign exchange losses of \$2.9 million arising from the repurchase of our 2012 High-Yield Bonds. There is no comparable cost for the year ended December 31, 2018.

Foreign exchange losses on 2012 High-Yield Bonds: This pertains to the unrealized foreign exchange loss of \$3.1 million for the remaining 2012 High-Yield Bonds for the year ended December 31, 2017. There is no comparable cost for the year ended December 31, 2018.

Premium paid on repurchase of 2012 High-Yield Bonds: This pertains to the premium paid upon the repurchase of the 2012 High-Yield Bonds for the year ended December 31, 2017. There is no comparable cost for the year ended December 31, 2018.

Other items: Other items represent, among other things, foreign currency differences arising on retranslation of foreign currency balances including foreign currency movements on the Methane Princess lease. Foreign currency gains increased by

Table of Contents

\$1.8 million as a result of the weakening of the US dollar against the Pound Sterling in 2018. This was offset by an increase in financing arrangement fees of \$0.8 million.

Non-controlling interest: Non-controlling interest decreased by \$12.2 million to \$3.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2018, compared to \$15.6 million in 2017, mainly due to the reduction in net income from the Golar Mazo following the expiration of her charter in December 2017.

### Year Ended December 31, 2017 Compared with the Year Ended December 31, 2016

The following details the Segment EBITDA information for our reportable segments; FSRUs, LNG carriers and FLNG for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016.

	December 31, 2017				December 31, 2016			
(dollars in thousands)	FSRU	LNG Carrier	FLNG	Consolidated Reporting	FSRU	LNG Carrier	FLNG	Consolidated Reporting
Total operating revenues	\$316,599	\$116,503	—	\$433,102	\$322,373	\$119,225	—	\$441,598
Vessel operating expenses	(47,960)	(20,318)	—	(68,278)	(43,884)	(16,002)	—	(59,886)
Voyage and commission expenses	(8,375)	(1,319)	—	(9,694)	(5,049)	(925)	—	(5,974)
Administrative expenses <sup>(1)</sup>	(10,029)	(5,181)	—	(15,210)	(5,773)	(2,827)	—	(8,600)
Segment EBITDA	\$250,235	\$89,685	—	\$339,920	\$267,667	\$99,471	—	\$367,138

<sup>(1)</sup> General and administrative expenses are allocated to the FSRU and LNG carrier segments based on the number of vessels.

### FSRU Segment

The following table sets forth the operating results of our FSRU segment for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016.

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2017	2016	Change	% Change
Statement of Operations Data:	(dollars in thousands, except TCE)			
Total operating revenues	\$316,599	\$322,373	\$(5,774)	(2)%
Vessel operating expenses	(47,960)	(43,884)	(4,076)	9%
Voyage and commission expenses	(8,375)	(5,049)	(3,326)	66%
Administrative expenses <sup>(1)</sup>	(10,029)	(5,773)	(4,256)	74%
FSRU Segment EBITDA	250,235	267,667	(17,432)	(7)%

### Other Financial Data:

Average daily TCE<sup>(2)</sup> \$159,950 \$144,501 \$15,449 11%

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes direct general and administrative expenses and indirect general and administrative expenses (allocated to each segment based on the number of vessels). See the discussion under “—Other Operating Results” below.

<sup>(2)</sup> Refer to “Item 3. Key Information—A. Selected Financial Data—Non-GAAP Financial Measure.” for a definition of average daily TCE and reconciliation to its most directly comparable GAAP financial measure.

Total operating revenues: Total operating revenues decreased by \$5.8 million to \$316.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to \$322.4 million in 2016. This was primarily due to:



\$9.2 million reduction in revenue from the Golar Winter following her scheduled drydocking in 2017; and

• a \$1.7 million reduction in revenue from the Golar Freeze due to the reduction of the daily time charter rate under the amended Golar Freeze time charter, which was effective as of July 2017.

Table of Contents

This was partially offset by \$4.7 million of increased revenue from the NR Satu as a result of increased hire rates in 2017. The revenue from the Golar Spirit in 2017 is consistent with the revenue in 2016 due to the early termination fee received in 2017.

Vessel operating expenses: The increase of \$4.1 million in vessel operating expenses to \$48.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 as compared to \$43.9 million in 2016 was principally due to:

- \$4.5 million of incremental repairs and maintenance costs for the NR Satu following her scheduled maintenance window during the year ended December 31, 2017; and

- \$1.2 million in additional costs for Golar Winter, due to higher upstoring and repairs and maintenance cost during her scheduled drydocking in September 2017. There were no comparable costs in the year ended December 31, 2016.

This was partially offset by a \$1.7 million reduction in the operating costs associated with the Golar Spirit due to the vessel being placed in lay-up in August 2017 following the termination of her charter.

Voyage and commission expenses: Voyage and commission expenses increased by \$3.3 million to \$8.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to \$5.0 million in 2016, mainly due to positioning costs incurred in connection with the Golar Spirit being placed in lay-up in August 2017 and the Golar Winter's scheduled drydocking in September 2017.

FSRU Segment EBITDA: The FSRU Segment EBITDA decreased by \$17.4 million to \$250.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to \$267.7 million in 2016. This was primarily due to lower total operating revenue and higher vessel operating expenses and positioning cost incurred from the Golar Winter during her scheduled drydocking in 2017.

Average daily TCE<sup>(2)</sup>: The average daily TCE increased by \$15,449 to \$159,950 for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to \$144,501 for the year ended December 31, 2016, mainly due to a reduction in calendar days less scheduled off-hire days as the Golar Spirit has been in lay-up since August 2017 and the scheduled drydocking of the Golar Winter in 2017.

## LNG Carrier Segment

The following table sets forth the operating results of our LNG carrier segment for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016.

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2017	2016	Change	% Change
Statement of Operations Data:	(dollars in thousands, except TCE)			
Total operating revenues	\$116,503	\$119,225	\$(2,722)	(2)%
Vessel operating expenses	(20,318)	(16,002)	(4,316)	27%
Voyage and commission expenses	(1,319)	(925)	(394)	43%
Administrative expenses <sup>(1)</sup>	(5,181)	(2,827)	(2,354)	83%
LNG carrier Segment EBITDA	89,685	99,471	(9,786)	(10)%
Other Financial Data:				
Total operating revenues	\$116,503	\$119,225	\$(2,722)	(2)%
Voyage and commission expenses	(1,319)	(925)	(394)	43%
	115,184	118,300	(3,116)	(3)%
Calendar days less scheduled off-hire days	1,435	1,438	(3)	—%

Average daily TCE<sup>(2)</sup> \$80,268 \$82,267 \$(1,999) (2 )%

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes direct general and administrative expenses and indirect general and administrative expenses (allocated to each segment based on the number of vessels). See the discussion under “—Other Operating Results” below.

<sup>(2)</sup> Refer to “Item 3. Key Information—A. Selected Financial Data—Non-GAAP Financial Measure.” for a definition of average daily TCE and reconciliation to its most directly comparable GAAP financial measure.

Operating revenues: Total operating revenues decreased by \$2.7 million to \$116.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to \$119.2 million in 2016. This was primarily due to \$4.5 million reduction in revenue from the Golar Grand resulting from her scheduled drydocking in 2017 and the expiration of the charter back to Golar in November 2017. The hire rate

Table of Contents

under the time charter with the new charterer is lower than the previous hire rate with Golar. This was partially offset by \$1.3 million of increased revenue from the Golar Mazo as a result of increased hire rates in 2017.

**Vessel operating expenses:** The increase of \$4.3 million in vessel operating expenses to \$20.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 as compared to \$16.0 million in 2016 was principally due to \$5.2 million of incremental operating cost incurred in 2017 by the Golar Grand due to the vessel being taken out of lay-up and completion of her drydock prior to commencement of her new charter in mid-April 2017. This is partially offset by a \$0.6 million reduction in operating cost for the Golar Maria as a result of lower repairs and maintenance costs during the year ended December 31, 2017.

**Voyage and commission expenses:** Voyage and commission expenses increased by \$0.4 million to \$1.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to \$0.9 million in 2016, mainly due to positioning cost incurred by the Golar Mazo following the expiration of her charter during the year ended December 31, 2017. The voyage and commission expenses for the Golar Maria in 2017 were in line with 2016. The Golar Maria had incurred bunker cost as a result of the scheduled drydocking in 2016 and when her charter ended in November 2017.

**LNG carrier Segment EBITDA:** The LNG carrier Segment EBITDA decreased by \$9.8 million to \$89.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to \$99.5 million in 2016. This was primarily due to lower daily hire rate from the Golar Grand following the expiration of the charter back to Golar and higher vessel operating expenses incurred from the Golar Grand when it was taken out of lay-up and completion of her drydock in 2017.

**Average daily TCE<sup>(2)</sup>:** The average daily TCE decreased by \$1,999 to \$80,268 for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to \$82,267 for the year ended December 31, 2016, primarily due to the expiration of the Golar Grand charter back to Golar in November 2017, resulting in a lower hire rate in 2017.

#### Other operating results

The following details our unallocated consolidated results for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016:

	Year Ended December 31,		Change		% Change
	2017	2016	Change		
	(dollars in thousands)				
Other non-operating income	\$922	\$1,318	\$(396)	(30)	%
Depreciation and amortization	(103,810)	(100,468)	(3,342)	3	%
Administrative expense	(15,210)	(8,600)	(6,610)	77	%
Interest income	7,804	4,295	3,509	82	%
Interest expense	(75,425)	(66,938)	(8,487)	13	%
Gains/(losses) on derivative instruments	7,796	(931)	8,727	(937)	%
Other financial items, net	(15,363)	(1,814)	(13,549)	747	%
Taxes	(16,996)	(16,858)	(138)	1	%
Non-controlling interest	(15,568)	(13,571)	(1,997)	15	%

**Depreciation and amortization:** Depreciation and amortization increased by \$3.3 million to \$103.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, compared to \$100.5 million in 2016 primarily due to \$3.0 million of incremental drydock amortization on the Golar Winter and Golar Freeze following the decision to accelerate their planned drydockings, the Golar Winter from 2018 to the second half of 2017, and the Golar Freeze from 2020 to the second half of 2018, respectively.

Administrative expenses: Administrative expenses increased by \$6.6 million to \$15.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to \$8.6 million in 2016. Under the management and services agreement with Golar Management, for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, we incurred charges of \$7.8 million and \$4.3 million, respectively. The remaining balance of administrative expenses amounting to \$7.4 million and \$4.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively, relate to corporate expenses such as legal, accounting and regulatory compliance costs.

Interest income: Interest income increased by \$3.5 million to \$7.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, compared to \$4.3 million in 2016. This was principally due to the \$2.4 million interest income earned on the \$107.2 million Deferred Purchase Price relating to the Tundra Put Sale and the \$70 million deposit paid upon execution of the Hilli Purchase Agreement, for which we earn interest at 5% per annum.

## Table of Contents

Interest expense: Interest expense increased by \$8.5 million to \$75.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, compared to \$66.9 million in 2016. This was principally due to the following:

\$6.4 million in additional interest relating to our issuance of \$250 million of senior unsecured non-amortizing 2017 Norwegian Bonds in February 2017 to replace our 2012 High Yield Bonds, which matured in October 2017; and

\$1.7 million increase in interest expense arising on the Methane Princess lease for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to the same period in 2016. This was due to the effect of a reduction in corporation tax rates recognized in 2016.

Gains/(losses) on derivative instruments: Gains/(losses) on derivative instruments, reflects a gain of \$7.8 million and a loss of \$0.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively, as set forth in the table below:

	Year ended December 31,			
	2017	2016	\$ Change	% Change
	(dollars in thousands)			
Mark-to-market adjustment for interest rate swaps	\$12,073	\$9,893	\$2,180	22 %
Net interest expense on un-designated interest rate swaps	(7,554 )	(10,824 )	3,270	(30 )%
Net unrealized and realized gains/(losses) on interest rate swaps	4,519	(931 )	5,450	(585 )%
Mark-to-market losses on Earn-Out Units	(441 )	—	(441 )	(100 )%
Gains on repurchase of cross-currency interest rate swap	3,718	—	3,718	100 %
Total	\$7,796	\$(931 )	\$8,727	(937 )%

Net unrealized and realized gains/(losses) on interest rate swaps. Net unrealized and realized gains/(losses) on interest rate swaps resulted in a net gain of \$4.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, compared to a net loss of \$0.9 million in 2016 due to the increase in long-term swap interest rates in 2017 which has resulted in gains on the mark-to-market valuation of our interest rate swaps.

Gains on repurchase of cross-currency interest rate swap: This pertains to mark-to-market gains of \$3.9 million on our cross-currency interest rates swap that was repurchased during 2017, offset by a foreign currency loss of \$0.2 million. There is no comparable gain for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Other financial items, net: Other financial items reflect a loss of \$15.4 million and \$1.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively, as set forth in the table below:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2017	2016	Change	% Change
	(dollars in thousands)			
Losses on repurchase of 2012 High-Yield Bonds	(7,876 )	—	(7,876 )	100 %
Foreign exchange losses on 2012 High-Yield Bonds	(3,103 )	—	(3,103 )	100 %
Premium paid on repurchase of 2012 High-Yield Bonds	(2,820 )	—	(2,820 )	100 %
Other items	(1,564 )	(1,814 )	250	(14 )%
Other financial items, net	\$(15,363)	\$(1,814)	\$(13,549)	747 %

Losses on repurchase of 2012 High-Yield Bonds: In the year ended December 31, 2017, as a consequence of the cessation of hedge accounting for the related cross currency interest rate swap (entered into as a hedge against our NOK denominated 2012 High-Yield Bonds), we reclassified to the statement of operations \$5.0 million of accumulated mark-to-market losses previously recorded within accumulated other comprehensive income. We also recognized foreign exchange losses of \$2.9 million arising from the repurchase of our 2012 High-Yield Bonds. There is no comparable cost for the year ended December 31, 2016.

## Table of Contents

Foreign exchange losses on 2012 High-Yield Bonds: This pertains to the unrealized foreign exchange loss of \$3.1 million for the remaining 2012 High-Yield Bonds for the year ended December 31, 2017. There is no comparable cost for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Premium paid on repurchase of 2012 High-Yield Bonds: This pertains to the premium paid upon the repurchase of the 2012 High-Yield Bonds for the year ended December 31, 2017. There is no comparable cost for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Other items: Other items represent, among other things, foreign currency differences arising on retranslation of foreign currency balances including foreign currency gains on the Methane Princess lease. Other items also include an decrease in financing arrangement fees by \$0.9 million.

Non-controlling interest: Non-controlling interest increased by \$2.0 million to \$15.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, compared to \$13.6 million in 2016, mainly due to increased hire rates for the Golar Mazo in 2017.

## B. Liquidity and Capital Resources

### Liquidity and Cash Needs

We operate in a capital-intensive industry and we expect to finance the purchase of additional vessels and other capital expenditures through a combination of borrowings from, and leasing arrangements with, commercial banks, cash generated from operations and debt and equity financings. In addition to paying distributions, our other short-term liquidity requirements relate to servicing interest on our debt, scheduled debt repayments, funding working capital requirements, including drydocking, and maintaining cash reserves against fluctuations in operating cash flows.

Our funding and treasury activities are intended to maximize investment returns while maintaining appropriate liquidity. Cash and cash equivalents are held primarily in U.S. Dollars with some balances held in other currencies. We have not used derivative instruments other than for interest rate and currency risk management purposes.

### Short-term Liquidity and Cash Requirements

Sources of short-term liquidity include cash balances, current restricted cash and short-term deposits balances, available amounts under revolving credit facilities and receipts from our charters. Revenues from the majority of our time charters are received monthly in advance. In addition we benefit from low inventory requirements (consisting primarily of fuel, lubricating oil and spare parts) as fuel costs, which represent the majority of these costs, are being paid for by the charterer under time charters.

As of December 31, 2018, our cash and cash equivalents, including current restricted cash and short-term deposits, were \$128.0 million. Our total restricted cash balances (excluding \$12.5 million in performance bonds relating to certain of our charters) contribute to our short and medium term liquidity as they are used to fund payment of certain financial obligations (including loans, capital leases and derivatives) which would otherwise be paid out of our unrestricted cash balances. Since December 31, 2018, significant transactions impacting our cash flows include:

- we paid a cash distribution of \$0.4042 per unit (\$28.7 million in the aggregate) to all common and general partner unitholders with respect to the quarter ended December 31, 2018 in February 2019;

-



we paid a cash distribution of \$0.546875 per Series A Preferred Unit (\$3.0 million in the aggregate) for the period from November 15, 2018 through February 14, 2019, in February 2019;

• drawdown of \$25 million of the revolving credit facility under the \$800 million credit facility, during February 2019; and

• we made \$16.7 million of scheduled debt repayments.

## Table of Contents

### Other cash requirements

We are able to raise capital from the ATM Program we instituted in September 2017 pursuant to which we may, from time to time issue common units with an aggregate offering price of up to \$150 million. As of December 2018, \$17.4 million of common units had been sold pursuant to the ATM program. See note 27 “Equity” to our Consolidated Financial Statements included herein for further detailed information.

Together with proceeds from our 2018 financing activities and cash expected to be generated from operations (assuming the current rates earned from existing charters continue until charter termination or expiration, where applicable), our financial resources will be sufficient to cover our operational cash outflows and our ongoing obligations under our financing commitments to service our debt interest, make scheduled loan repayments and pay cash distributions. Accordingly, we believe our current resources are sufficient to meet our working capital requirements for at least the next twelve months.

### Medium to Long-term Liquidity and Cash Requirements

Our medium to long-term liquidity requirements include funding the acquisition of new vessels, maintenance capital expenditures, the repayment of long-term debt and the payment of distributions to our unitholders, to the extent we have sufficient cash from operations after the establishment of cash reserves and payment of fees.

Generally, our long-term sources of funds will be cash from operations, long-term bank borrowings and other debt and equity financings. Because we will distribute the majority of our available cash, we expect that we will rely upon external financing sources, including bank borrowings and the issuance of debt and equity securities, to fund acquisitions and other expansion capital expenditures. Occasionally we may enter into vendor financing arrangements with Golar to provide intermediate financing for capital expenditures until longer-term financing is obtained, at which time we will use all or a portion of the proceeds from the longer-term financings to repay outstanding amounts due under these arrangements.

Our pursuit of further acquisitions is dependent upon our ability to successfully raise capital at a cost that makes such acquisitions accretive and economically viable.

### Estimated Maintenance and Replacement Capital Expenditures

Our operating agreements require us to distribute our available cash each quarter. In determining the amount of cash available for distribution, our board of directors determines the amount of cash reserves to set aside, including reserves for future maintenance capital expenditures, working capital and other matters. The capital expenditures we are required to make to maintain our fleet are substantial. As of December 31, 2018, our annual estimated maintenance and replacement capital expenditures are \$65.7 million, which is comprised of \$15.9 million for drydock maintenance and \$49.8 million, including financing costs, for replacing our existing vessels at the end of their useful lives.

The estimate for future vessel replacement is based on assumptions regarding the remaining useful life of our vessels, a net investment rate applied on reserves, replacement values of our vessels based on current market conditions, and the residual value of our vessels. The actual cost of replacing the vessels in our fleet will depend on a number of factors, including prevailing market conditions, time charter daily rates and the availability and cost of financing at the time of replacement. Our operating agreement requires our board of directors to deduct from operating surplus each quarter estimated maintenance and replacement capital expenditures, as opposed to actual maintenance and replacement capital expenditures, in order to reduce disparities in operating surplus caused by fluctuating maintenance and replacement capital expenditures, such as drydocking and vessel replacement. Our board of directors, with the

approval of the conflicts committee, may determine that one or more of the assumptions should be revised, which could cause the board of directors to increase the amount of estimated maintenance and replacement capital expenditures. We may elect to finance some or all of our maintenance and replacement capital expenditures through the issuance of additional common units which could be dilutive to existing unitholders.

#### Cash Flows

The following table summarizes our net cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities for the periods presented:

63

---

Table of Contents

(in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,		
	2018	2017 <sup>(1)</sup>	2016 <sup>(1)</sup>
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$137,166	\$270,430	\$259,687
Net cash used in investing activities	(19,632 )	(70,426 )	(107,247 )
Net cash used in financing activities	(272,211 )	(8,729 )	(136,308 )
Net (decrease)/increase in cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash	(160,795 )	201,762	(5,834 )
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash at beginning of year	429,887	228,125	233,959
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash at end of year	269,092	429,887	228,125

<sup>(1)</sup> Following the adoption of the amendments to ASC 230, the statement of cash flows presents the change in the period in total cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash. These amendments have been applied retrospectively for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016.

### Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$137.2 million, \$270.4 million and \$259.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased by \$133.3 million to \$137.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2018, compared to \$270.4 million in 2017. This was primarily due to:

- a significant decrease in revenue from charterers following the expiration of a number of long-term charters and lower charter hire rates in 2018;
- an increase in drydocking expenditure of \$4.9 million due to higher cost for the scheduled drydocking of the Golar Freeze and Methane Princess in 2018, compared with the drydocking of the Golar Grand, Golar Mazo and Golar Winter in 2017; and
- a general increase in working capital for the year ended December 31, 2018, compared to the same period in 2017.

Net cash provided by operating activities increased by \$10.7 million to \$270.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, compared to \$259.7 million in 2016. This was primarily due to an improvement in the general timing of working capital in the year ended December 31, 2017, compared to the same period in 2016, mainly from an increase in cash inflows from related parties of \$36.1 million. This was partly offset by an increase in cash payments for drydocking expenditures by \$16.6 million due to the drydocking of three vessels in 2017, (the Golar Grand, the Golar Mazo and the Golar Winter) compared with the drydocking of the LNG carrier, the Golar Maria in 2016.

### Net Cash Used in Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities of \$19.6 million in 2018 was due to:

- \$10.7 million of payments for vessel modifications for the Golar Freeze and Golar Igloo, and BWTS installation for the Methane Princess; and

• \$9.7 million of cash consideration paid in connection with the Hilli Acquisition, which closed in July 2018.

Net cash used in investing activities of \$70.4 million in 2017 was due to the payment of a \$70.0 million deposit in connection with the Hilli Acquisition in August 2017.

Net cash used in investing activities of \$107.2 million in 2016 was due to the payment of a \$107.2 million deposit in connection with the acquisition of the Golar Tundra, which closed in May 2016. Pursuant to the exercise of the Tundra Put Option in October 2017, we agreed to accept an option (which we have exercised) to apply the deposit

paid to the Hilli Acquisition in lieu of a cash payment on October 17, 2017. See note 24 "Related Party Transactions" in our Consolidated Financial Statements included herein.

Table of Contents

Net Cash Used in Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities is principally generated from funds from equity and debt offerings, new debt and lease financings and contributions from owners, offset by cash distributions, unit repurchase payments, debt and lease repayments.

Net cash used in financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2018 of \$272.2 million was primarily due to the following:

- repayment of debt and lease obligations of \$157.2 million;
- payment of cash distributions during the year of \$165.3 million;
- payment of \$14.0 million in connection with our common unit repurchase program; and
- payment of \$1.7 million of financing costs related to the refinancing of the NR Satu Facility.

This was partially offset by total proceeds of \$65.3 million from our ATM program and debt financings, comprising mainly of (i) \$50.0 million drawdown of our long-term revolving credit facilities and (ii) net proceeds of \$13.9 million raised from our ATM program.

Net cash used in financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2017 of \$8.7 million was primarily due to the following:

- repayment of debt and lease obligations of \$463.8 million. Of this amount, \$234.2 million relates to the redemption of our High-Yield Bonds and termination of the related cross currency interest rate swap;
- payment of cash distributions during the year of \$168.1 million (of which \$7.0 million was distributions to non-controlling interests); and
- financing and debt settlement costs of \$5.4 million mainly in connection with issuance of the 2017 Norwegian Bonds.

This was partially offset by the receipt of aggregate proceeds of \$630.0 million from our equity offerings and debt financings, comprising (i) net proceeds of \$122.0 million from our public offering of common units in February 2017 and our ATM Program; (ii) net proceeds of \$133.0 million raised from our public offering of Series A Preferred Units; (iii) \$250.0 million from the issuance of our 2017 Norwegian Bonds; and (iv) \$125.0 million drawdown of our long-term revolving credit facilities.

Net cash used in financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2016 of \$136.3 million was primarily due to the following:

- payment of cash distributions during the year of \$167.0 million (\$12.4 million of which was distributions to non-controlling interests);
- repayment of debt (including debt due to related party) and lease obligations of \$771.0 million. Of this amount, \$681.4 million relates to repayment of the Maria and Freeze Facility, the Golar LNG Partners Credit Facility, the Golar Partners Operating Credit Facility and the Golar Igloo Debt in connection with their refinancing in May 2016 into the \$800.0 million credit facility;

financing and debt settlement costs of \$13.5 million mainly in connection with the \$800.0 million credit facility; and payment of \$0.5 million in connection with our common unit repurchase program.

This was partially offset by the receipt of aggregate proceeds of \$815.0 million from our existing debt or debt refinancing, comprising (i) \$40.0 million drawdown of our long-term revolving credit facilities; and (ii) \$775.0 million proceeds from the \$800 million credit facility.

## Table of Contents

### Borrowing Activities

As of December 31, 2018, we had total outstanding borrowings, gross of capitalized borrowing costs, of \$1,283.1 million. Please refer to “Item 5—Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—A. Significant Developments in 2018 and Early 2019s—Hilli Acquisition—e. Hilli Facility and the Partnership Guarantee”, “Item 5—Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—F. Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations”, note 20 “Debt” and note 21 “Capital Lease” to our Consolidated Financial Statements included herein for further detailed information on our borrowings and capital lease respectively as of December 31, 2018.

### Debt and Lease Restrictions

#### Loan Agreements

Our debt agreements contain operating and financial restrictions and other covenants that may restrict our business and financing activities as well as our ability to make cash distributions to our unitholders, including restrictive covenants that generally require the prior written consent of the lenders or otherwise restrict our ability to, among other things: merge or consolidate with any other person; make certain capital expenditures; pay distributions to our unitholders; terminate or materially amend certain of our charters; enter into any other line of business; make any acquisitions; incur additional indebtedness or grant any liens to secure any of our existing or future indebtedness; enter into sale transactions in respect of the vessel securing such credit facility; enter into sale-leaseback transactions in respect of certain of our vessels; and enter into transactions with our affiliates.

Our loan agreements generally prohibit us from paying distributions to our unitholders if we are not in compliance with certain financial covenants or upon the occurrence of an event of default. Please refer to note 20 “Debt” and note 24 “Related Party Transactions—Indemnifications and guarantees” to our Consolidated Financial Statements included herein for further detailed information on the financial covenants and ratios imposed under the agreements governing our credit facilities, leases and guarantees.

In addition, our lenders and lessors may accelerate the maturity of indebtedness under our financing agreements and foreclose upon the collateral securing the indebtedness upon the occurrence of certain events of default, including our failure to comply with any of the covenants contained in our financing agreements. Various debt and lease agreements contain covenants that require compliance with certain financial ratios. Such ratios include equity ratios, working capital ratios and earnings to net debt ratio covenants, debt service coverage ratios, minimum net worth covenants, minimum value clauses and minimum cash and cash equivalent restrictions in respect of our subsidiaries and us. In addition, there are cross default provisions in most of our and Golar’s loan and lease agreements.

As of December 31, 2018, we were in compliance with all covenants under our existing debt and lease agreements and guarantees.

### Derivatives

We use financial instruments to reduce the risk associated with fluctuations in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. We have a portfolio of interest rate swaps that exchange or swap floating rate interest to fixed rates, which from a financial perspective, hedges our obligations to make payments based on floating interest rates. As of December 31, 2018, we had interest rate swaps with a notional outstanding value of \$1,783.3 million representing approximately 96% of total debt and capital lease obligations, including our proportionate share of the obligation under the Hilli Facility. Our swap agreements have expiration dates between 2019 and 2026 and have fixed rates of between 1.07% and 2.90%.



We enter into foreign currency forward contracts in order to manage our exposure to the risk of movements in foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations. We are affected by foreign currency fluctuations primarily through our FSRU projects, expenditures in respect of our vessels' drydocking, some operating expenses including the effect of paying the majority of our seafaring officers in Euros, and some of our administrative costs. The currencies which impact us the most include, but are not limited to, the Euro, Norwegian Kroner, Singapore Dollars, Brazilian Reais, Indonesian Rupiah and, to a lesser extent, Pound Sterling. See "Item 11—Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk" and note 23 "Financial Instruments" to our Consolidated Financial Statements included herein.

## Table of Contents

### Capital Commitments

### Possible Acquisitions of Other Vessels

We assess potential acquisition opportunities on a regular basis. Pursuant to our omnibus agreements with Golar and Golar Power, we will have the opportunity to purchase additional FSRUs and LNG carriers in the future from Golar and Golar Power when those vessels are fixed under charters of five or more years upon their expiration of their current charters. Subject to the terms of our loan agreements, we could elect to fund any future acquisitions with equity or debt or cash on hand or a combination of these forms of consideration. Any debt incurred for this purpose could make us more leveraged and subject us to additional operational or financial covenants.

### Drydocking and Ballast Water Treatment System

From now through to December 31, 2022, six of the vessels in our current fleet will undergo their scheduled drydockings. We estimate that we will spend in total approximately \$37.6 million for drydocking of these vessels with approximately \$6.6 million expected to be incurred in 2019, \$17.0 million in 2020, \$7.0 million in 2021 and \$7.0 million in 2022. We are required to install BWTS on all our LNG carriers on their first drydocking after 2017. The additional costs of complying with these rules, relating to all our vessels are estimated to be in the range of \$1.8 million and \$2.1 million per vessel and will be phased in over time in connection with the renewal surveys that are required. See "Item 4 — Information on the Partnership — B. Business Overview — Ballast Water Management Convention, Clean Water Act and National Invasive Species Act".

We reserve a portion of cash generated from our operations to meet the costs of future drydockings and BWTS installation. As our fleet matures and expands, our drydocking expenses will likely increase. Ongoing costs for compliance with environmental regulations are primarily included as part of our drydocking and society classification survey costs or are a component of our operating expenses.

### Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of our Consolidated Financial Statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP requires that management make estimates and assumptions affecting the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The following is a discussion of the accounting policies applied by us that are considered to involve a higher degree of judgment in their application. Please read note 2 "Significant Accounting Policies" to our Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

### Revenue Recognition

Our revenues include minimum lease payments under time charters, fees for repositioning vessels as well as the reimbursement of certain vessel operating costs such as drydocking costs and taxes. We record revenues generated from time charters, which we classify as operating leases, over the term of the charter as service is provided.

We recognize the reimbursement for drydocking costs evenly over the period to the next drydocking, which is generally five years. We recognize repositioning fees (which are included in time charter revenue) received in respect of time charters at the end of the charter when the fee becomes fixed and determinable. However, where there is a fixed amount specified in the charter, which is not dependent upon redelivery location, we will recognize the fee evenly over the term of the charter. Where a vessel undertakes multiple single voyage time charters, revenue is recognized, including the repositioning fee if fixed and determinable, on a discharge-to-discharge basis. Under this basis, revenue is recognized evenly over the period from departure of the vessel from its last discharge port to

departure from the next discharge port.

#### Vessels and Impairment

Description: We review vessels and equipment for impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate the carrying value of the vessel may not be fully recoverable. When such events or circumstances are present, we assess recoverability by comparing the vessel's projected undiscounted net cash flows to its carrying value. If the total projected undiscounted net cash flows is lower than the vessel's carrying value, an impairment loss shall be measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of a long-lived asset (asset group) exceeds its fair value. As of December 31, 2018, the carrying values of six of our vessels were higher than their estimated market values (based on third party ship broker valuations). As a result, we concluded that an impairment trigger existed and so performed a recoverability assessment for each of these vessels. However, no impairment loss

## Table of Contents

was recognized as for each of these vessels, as the projected undiscounted net cash flows was significantly higher than its carrying value. Refer to note 13 "Vessels, Net" and note 14 "Vessel under capital lease" in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Judgments and estimates:** The cash flows on which our assessment of recoverability is based is highly dependent upon our forecasts, which are highly subjective and, although we believe the underlying assumptions supporting this assessment are reasonable and appropriate at the time they were made, it is therefore reasonably possible that a further decline in the economic environment could adversely impact our business prospects in the next year. This could represent a triggering event for a further impairment assessment.

Accordingly, the principal assumptions we have used in our recoverability assessment (i.e. projected undiscounted net cash flows basis) included, among others, charter rates, ship operating expenses, utilization, drydocking requirements and residual value. These assumptions are based on historical trends but adjusted for future expectations. Specifically, forecasted charter rates are based on information regarding current spot market charter rate (based on a third party valuation), option renewal rate with the existing counterparty or existing long-term charter rate, in addition to industry analyst and broker reports. Estimated outflows for operating expenses and drydockings are based on historical costs.

**Effect if actual results differ from assumptions:** Although we believe the underlying assumptions supporting the impairment assessment are reasonable, if charter rate trends and the length of the current market downturn vary significantly from our forecasts, management may be required to perform step two of the impairment analysis that could expose us to material impairment charges in the future. Our estimates of vessel market values may not be indicative of the current or future market value of our vessels or prices that we could achieve if we were to sell them and a material loss might be recognized upon the sale of our vessels.

### Vessel market values

**Description:** Under "Vessels and impairment", we discuss our policy for assessing impairment of the carrying values of our vessels. During the past few years, the market values of certain vessels in the worldwide fleet have experienced particular volatility, with substantial declines in many vessel classes. There is a future risk that the market value of certain of our vessels could decline below those vessels' carrying value, even though we would not recognize an impairment for those vessels' due to our belief that projected undiscounted net cash flows expected to be earned by such vessels over their operating lives would exceed such vessels' carrying amounts.

**Judgments and estimates:** Our estimates of market value assume that our vessels are all in good and seaworthy condition without need for repair and, if inspected, would be certified in class without notations of any kind. Our estimates for our LNG carriers and FSRUs are based on approximate vessel market values that have been received from third party ship brokers, which are commonly used and accepted by our lenders for determining compliance with the relevant covenants in our credit facilities. Vessel values can be highly volatile, such that our estimates may not be indicative of the current or future market value of our vessels or prices that we could achieve if we were to sell. In addition, the determination of estimated market values may involve considerable judgment given the illiquidity of the secondhand market for these types of vessels.

**Effect if actual results differ from assumptions:** As of December 31, 2018, while we intend to hold and operate our vessels, were we to hold them for sale, we have determined the fair market value of our vessels, with the exception of six vessels, were greater than their carrying value. With respect to these six vessels, the carrying value of these vessels exceeded their aggregate market value. However, as discussed above, for each of these vessels, the carrying value was less than its projected undiscounted net cash flows, consequently, no impairment loss was recognized.

### Consolidation of lessor VIE entity

Description: As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, we leased one vessel, the Golar Eskimo, under a finance lease from a wholly owned special purpose vehicle (“lessor SPV”) of a financial institution in connection with our sale and leaseback transaction. While we do not hold any equity investments in this lessor SPV, we have determined that we are the primary beneficiary of this entity and accordingly, we are required to consolidate this variable interest entity (“VIE”) into our financial results. The key line items impacted by our consolidation of this VIE are short-term and long-term debt, restricted cash and short-term deposits and interest expense.

## Table of Contents

**Judgments and estimates:** In consolidating this lessor VIE, on a quarterly basis, we must make assumptions regarding the debt amortization profile and the interest rate to be applied against the VIE's debt principle. Our estimates are therefore dependent upon the timeliness of receipt and accuracy of financial information provided by this lessor VIE entity. Upon receipt of the audited annual financial statements of the lessor VIE, we will make a true-up adjustment for any material differences.

**Effect if actual results differ from assumptions:** If audited financial statements of the lessor VIE are not available upon filing of the annual financial statements, there might be differences between the numbers included in our Consolidated Financial Statements and that reported by the VIE, which could be material.

### Acquisition of Hilli LLC Common Units

**Description:** On July 12, 2018, we completed the acquisition of 50% of the Hilli Common Units. As we are deemed to have significant influence, we equity accounted for our investment. Hilli LLC is an entity where the economic results are allocated based on a contractual agreement rather than relative ownership percentages. This is due to the different classes of equity within Hilli LLC (Common Units, Series A Special Units and Series B Special Units).

**Judgments and estimates:** As the Hilli LLC Agreement is a substantive contractual arrangement that specifies the allocation of cash proceeds, management has performed the notional fair value exercise to allocate the cost of our investment to the acquired assets and liabilities based on the Hilli LLC Agreement. This exercise determined that the fair value adjustment primarily related to the vessel and the LTA. Our share of the fair value adjustment relating to the Hilli LLC Common Units is required to be amortized through the statement of operations as part of the equity accounting. In addition we have calculated our "Equity in net earnings of affiliates" based on the same assumptions.

Certain assumptions were made on the allocation of non-cash components, specifically, the unrealized mark-to-market movement in the oil derivative asset associated to the fair value of the Brent Crude price is allocated to the Series A Special unitholders only, as they are the only unitholders who benefit from the oil linked tolling fee revenues. The accounting of the cost of the Hilli follows the allocation of cash tolling fee revenues associated with the capacity of the Hilli to the Hilli common unitholders and the Series B Special unitholders.

**Effect if actual results differ from assumptions:** If our allocation of the non-cash items were incorrect this could result in a material adjustment to the amount allocated to the "Investment in affiliate" on the disposal date. In addition, on a prospective basis, the allocation of "Net income" to the "Equity in net earnings of affiliate" could be materially different.

### Recently Issued Accounting Standards

See note 3 "Recently Issued Accounting Standards" to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

### C. Research and Development

Not applicable.

### D. Trend Information

Please also see "Item 4—B. Business Overview" and "Item 5—Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Factors Affecting our Results of Operation and Future Results".

### E. Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

At December 31, 2018, we do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

F. Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations

Contractual Obligations

The following table sets forth our contractual obligations for the periods indicated as of December 31, 2018:

69

---

Table of Contents

	Total Obligation	Due in 2019	Due in 2020 — 2021	Due in 2022 — 2023	Due thereafter
	(in millions)				
Long-term debt <sup>(1)</sup>	\$1,283.1	\$78.6	\$998.2	\$ 70.2	\$ 136.1
Interest commitments on long-term debt - floating and other interest rate swaps <sup>(2)</sup>	180.2	65.4	89.3	14.9	10.6
Capital lease obligations, net <sup>(2)(3)</sup>	119.7	1.5	4.3	6.0	107.9
Purchase obligations <sup>(4)</sup>	10.3	10.3	—	—	—
Total	\$1,593.3	\$155.8	\$1,091.8	\$ 91.1	\$ 254.6

<sup>(1)</sup> Amounts shown gross of deferred financing costs of \$10.8 million.

Our interest commitment on our long-term debt is calculated based on assumed USD LIBOR rates of between

<sup>(2)</sup> 2.41% and 2.81% respectively, taking into account our various margin rates and interest rate swaps associated with our debt. Our interest commitment on our capital lease obligations is calculated on an assumed average Pound Sterling LIBOR of 5.2%.

In the event of any adverse tax rate changes or rulings our lease obligation with regard to the Methane Princess <sup>(3)</sup> could increase significantly (please refer to note 21 "Capital Lease" to our Consolidated Financial Statements included herein). However, Golar has agreed to indemnify us against any such increase.

<sup>(4)</sup> As at December 31, 2018, we had a remaining net purchase price less working capital adjustments commitment of \$10.3 million due to be paid in connection with the Hilli Acquisition.

## G. Safe Harbor

See "Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements."

## Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees

### A. Directors and Senior Management

#### Directors

The following provides information about each of our directors as of March 15, 2019. The business address for these individuals is 2nd Floor, S.E. Pearman Building, 9 Par-la-Ville Road, Hamilton HM 11, Bermuda.

Name	Age	Position
Tor Olav Trøim	56	Chairman
Paul Leand Jr.	52	Director and Conflicts Committee Member
Lori Wheeler Naess	48	Director and Audit Committee Chairperson
Carl Steen	68	Director and Audit Committee Member
Alf Thorkildsen	62	Director, Conflicts and Audit Committee Member
Michael Ashford	72	Director and Company Secretary
Jeremy Kramer	57	Director and Conflicts Committee Member

Tor Olav Trøim has served as our Director and Chairman of our Board of Directors since January 2009. He has served as a director of Golar since September 2011 and the Chairman of the Board of Golar since September 2017. Mr. Trøim previously served as a director and vice-president of Golar from its incorporation in May 2001 until October 2009, after which time he served as a director and Chairman of Golar's listed subsidiary, Golar LNG Energy Limited. Mr. Trøim was Vice President and a director of Seadrill Limited ("Seadrill") between 2005 and 2014.



Additionally between 1995 and 2014 he also served, at various times, as a director of a number of related public companies including Frontline Limited, Golden Ocean Group Limited, Archer Limited as well as Seatankers Management Limited. Prior to 1995 he served as an Equity Portfolio Manager with Storebrand ASA and Chief Executive Officer for the Norwegian Oil Company DNO AS. Mr. Trøim graduated as M.Sc Naval Architect from the University of Trondheim, Norway in 1985. He currently holds controlling interests in Magni Partners Bermuda and Magni Partners UK. He also serves as a director in Stolt Nielsen Limited, Borr Drilling and Valerenga Football Club.

Table of Contents

Paul Leand Jr. has served on our Board of Directors since March 2011 and is a member of our Conflicts Committee. Mr. Leand joined AMA Capital Partners LLC (“AMA”), an investment bank specializing in the maritime industry, in 1998 from First National Bank of Maryland. He was appointed CEO in 2004. He has led the development of AMA’s restructuring practice, helping AMA earn its position as the pre-eminent maritime restructuring advisor for both creditors and companies alike. Mr. Leand spearheaded the firm’s private equity investments in Chembulk and PLM and Lloyds Fonds. Mr. Leand serves as Chairman of Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc..

Lori Wheeler Naess was appointed as a Director and Audit Committee Chairperson in February 2016. Ms. Naess also serves on the Board and Audit Committee of Opera Limited, a US-listed company and Klaveness Combination Carriers AS, a privately-held shipping company in Norway. Prior to her appointment as Director, Ms. Naess was most recently a Director at PricewaterhouseCoopers in Oslo and was a Project Leader for the Capital Markets Group. Between 2010 and 2012 she was a Senior Advisor for the Financial Supervisory Authority in Norway and prior to 2010 she was also with PricewaterhouseCoopers in roles in the U.S., Norway and Germany. Ms. Naess is a U.S. Certified Public Accountant.

Carl Steen has served on our Board of Directors since his appointment in August 2012 and serves on our Audit Committee. Mr. Steen has served on the Board of Directors of Golar since February 2015. Mr. Steen initially graduated in 1975 from ETH Zurich Switzerland with a M.Sc. in Industrial and Management Engineering. After working for a number of high profile companies, Mr. Steen joined Nordea Bank from January 2001 to February 2011 as head of the bank’s Shipping, Oil Services & International Division. Mr. Steen holds directorship positions in various Norwegian and international companies including Euronav NV, Wilh Wilhelmsen Holding ASA and Belships ASA.

Alf Thorkildsen was appointed to our Board of Directors in February 2015 and serves on our Conflicts Committee and Audit Committee. Mr. Thorkildsen is currently a senior partner with Hitecvision, which he joined in 2013 from the position as Chief Executive Officer of Seadrill. During his tenure, Seadrill grew to become the world’s largest driller by market capitalization and enterprise value. Mr. Thorkildsen joined Seadrill in 2006 as CFO. Prior to this, he was the CFO of Smedvig ASA, a leading Norwegian drilling company, which was acquired by Seadrill in 2006. Mr. Thorkildsen started his career in 1980 in Larsen and Hagen Shipping and worked thereafter for 20 years in Shell in numerous senior positions.

Michael Ashford has served on our Board of Directors since his appointment in September 2017. Mr. Ashford has also served as our Company Secretary since October 2016. Mr. Ashford is a Chartered Secretary and is a current member and Past President of the International Council of the Institute of Chartered Secretaries and Administrators. Mr. Ashford has previously held various directorship and company secretary positions in shipping and aviation companies.

Jeremy Kramer was appointed to our Board of Directors in September 2016 and serves on our Conflicts Committee. He is also on the Board of Directors of DHT Holdings where he serves as Chairman of the Audit Committee. In addition, Mr Kramer is on the Board of Directors of 2020 Bulk Ltd. Mr. Kramer was a Senior Portfolio Manager in the Straus Group at Neuberger Berman from 1998 to 2016, managing equity portfolios primarily for high net worth clients. Prior to that, he worked at Alliance Capital from 1994 to 1998, first as a Securities Analyst and then as a Portfolio Manager focused on small and mid-cap equity securities. Mr. Kramer also managed a closed-end fund, the Alliance Global Environment Fund. He worked at Neuberger Berman from 1988 to 1994 as a Securities Analyst. Mr. Kramer earned an MBA from Harvard University Graduate School of Business in 1988. He graduated with a BA from Connecticut College in 1983.

Executive Officers

## Edgar Filing: Golar LNG Partners LP - Form 20-F

We currently do not have any executive officers and rely on the executive officers and directors of Golar Management and Golar Management Norway who perform executive officer services for our benefit pursuant to the management and administrative services agreement and who are responsible for our day-to-day management subject to the direction of our board of directors. Golar Management also provides certain commercial and technical management services to our fleet. The following provides information about each of the executive officers of Golar Management who perform executive officer services for us and who are not also members of our board of directors as of March 15, 2019. The business address for our executive officers is 2nd Floor, S.E. Pearman Building, 9 Par-la-Ville Road, Hamilton HM 11, Bermuda.

Name	Age	Position
Brian Tienzo	45	Principal Executive, Financial and Accounting Officer
Øistein Dahl	58	Chief Operating Officer

## Table of Contents

Brian Tienzo was appointed as our Principal Executive Officer effective March 19, 2018. He has served as our Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer since July 2011. Mr. Tienzo has also served as the Chief Financial Officer of Golar Management since July 2011 and as the Group Financial Controller of Golar Management since 2008. Mr. Tienzo joined Golar Management in February 2001 as the Group Management Accountant. From 1995 to 2001 he worked for Z-Cards Europe Limited, Parliamentary Communications Limited and Interoute Communications Limited in various financial management positions. He is a member of the Association of Chartered Certified Accountants.

Øistein Dahl has served as our Chief Operating Officer since 2012. He served as Managing Director of Golar Management Norway since September 2011 and as Chief Operating Officer of Golar Management since April 2012. Prior to September 2011, he worked for the Leif Høegh & Company Group (roll-on roll-off, tank, bulk, reefer general cargo and LNG vessels). He held various positions within the Høegh Group of companies within vessel management, newbuilding and projects, as well as business development before becoming President for Høegh Fleet in October 2007, a position he held for four years. Mr. Dahl has also worked within offshore engineering and with the Norwegian Class Society, DNV-GL. Mr. Dahl has a MSc degree from the NTNU technical university in Trondheim.

### B. Compensation

#### Reimbursement of Expenses of Our General Partner

Our general partner does not receive compensation from us for any services it provides on our behalf, although it will be entitled to reimbursement for expenses incurred on our behalf. In addition, we will reimburse Golar Management for expenses incurred pursuant to the management and administrative services agreement. Please read “Item 7—Major Unitholders and Related Party Transactions—Management and Administrative Services Agreement.”

#### Executive Compensation

Under the management and administrative services agreement, we reimburse Golar Management for its reasonable costs and expenses incurred in connection with the provision of executive officer and other administrative services to us. In addition, we pay Golar Management a management fee equal to 5% of its costs and expenses incurred on our behalf. During the year ended December 31, 2018, we incurred \$9.8 million of expenses in connection with the provision of these services to us.

Golar Management compensates Mr. Tienzo and Mr. Dahl in accordance with its own compensation policies and procedures. Officers and employees of affiliates of our general partner may participate in employee benefit plans and arrangements sponsored by Golar, our general partner or their affiliates, including plans that may be established in the future.

#### Compensation of Directors

Our officers or officers of Golar who also serve as our directors do not receive additional compensation for their service as directors but may receive director fees in lieu of other compensation paid by Golar. Each non-management director receives compensation for attending meetings of our board of directors, as well as committee meetings. In addition, each director is reimbursed for out-of-pocket expenses in connection with attending meetings of the board of directors or committees. Each director is fully indemnified by us for actions associated with being a director to the extent permitted under Marshall Islands law. During the year ended December 31, 2018, we paid to our directors aggregate cash compensation of approximately \$0.6 million. We do not have a retirement plan for our directors or executive officers.

Golar LNG Partners LP Long Term Incentive Plan

The Golar LNG Partners LP Long Term Incentive Plan (the “GMLP LTIP”) was adopted by our board of directors, effective as of May 30, 2016. An expense of \$0.2 million has been recognized for the year ended December 31, 2018 relating to the award of 99,000 options to purchase common units to directors and management under the GMLP LTIP. The options have an exercise price of \$20.55 per unit and will be reduced by the value of the distributions declared and paid. One third of the recipients’ allotted options vested in November 2017, the second third vested in November 2018 and the final third will vest in November 2019. The option period is five years. See note 26 “Unit-Based Compensation” to our Consolidated Financial Statements included herein.

## Table of Contents

### C. Board Practices

#### General

Our partnership agreement provides that our board will consist of seven members, three of whom are appointed by our general partner in its sole discretion and four of whom are elected by our common unitholders. Directors appointed by our general partner will serve as directors for terms determined by our general partner. Our current board of directors consists of three members appointed by our general partner, Lori Naess, Tor Olav Trøim and Michael Ashford.

Directors elected by our common unitholders are divided into three classes serving staggered three-year terms. At our annual meeting on September 26, 2018, Paul Leand and Jeremy Kramer were re-elected as Class III directors of the Partnership with terms that expire at the 2021 annual meeting. Carl Steen serves as a Class II elected director whose term will expire at the 2020 annual meeting. Alf Thorkildsen serves as the Class I elected director whose term will expire at the 2019 annual meeting.

At each annual meeting, directors are elected to succeed the class of directors whose terms have expired by a plurality of the votes of the common unitholders. Directors elected by our common unitholders will be nominated by the board of directors or by any limited partner or group of limited partners that holds at least 10% of the outstanding common units. Our board has determined that Mr. Kramer, Mr. Leand, Ms. Naess, Mr. Steen and Mr. Thorkildsen satisfy the independence standards established by The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC as applicable to us.

There are no service contracts between us and any of our directors providing for benefits upon termination of their employment or service.

Each outstanding common unit is entitled to one vote on matters subject to a vote of common unitholders. However, to preserve our ability to be exempt from U.S. federal income tax under Section 883 of the Code, if at any time, any person or group owns beneficially more than 4.9% or more of any class of units then outstanding, any such units owned by that person or group in excess of 4.9% may not be voted (except for purposes of nominating a person for election to our board). The voting rights of any such unitholders in excess of 4.9% will effectively be redistributed pro rata among the other common unitholders holding less than 4.9% of the voting power of such class of units. Our general partner, its affiliates and persons who acquired common units with the prior approval of our board of directors will not be subject to this 4.9% limitation except with respect to voting their common units in the election of the elected directors.

The Series A Preferred Units generally have no voting rights except (i) with respect to amendments to the partnership agreement that would adversely affect the rights of the Series A Preferred Units, (ii) or in the event the Partnership proposes to issue parity securities or senior securities. However, if and whenever distributions payable on the Series A Preferred Units are in arrears for six or more quarterly periods, whether or not consecutive, holders of Series A Preferred Units (voting together as a class with all other classes of parity securities upon which like voting rights have been conferred and are exercisable) will be entitled to replace one of the members of our board of directors appointed by our general partner with a person nominated by such holders (unless the holders of Series A Preferred Units, voting together as a class with all other classes of parity securities upon which like voting rights have been conferred and are exercisable, have previously elected a member of our board of directors, and such director continues then to serve on the board of directors).

#### Committees

We have an audit committee that, among other things, reviews our external financial reporting, engages our external auditors and oversees our internal audit activities and procedures and the adequacy of our internal accounting controls, as more fully set forth in its written charter, which has been adopted by the board. Our audit committee currently is

comprised of three directors, Lori Naess, Carl Steen, and Alf Thorkildsen. Lori Naess qualifies as an “audit committee financial expert” for purposes of SEC rules and regulations.

We also have a conflicts committee currently comprised of three members of our board of directors. The conflicts committee is available at the board’s discretion to review specific matters that the board believes may involve conflicts of interest. The conflicts committee will determine if the resolution of the conflict of interest is fair and reasonable to us. The members of the conflicts committee may not be officers or employees of us or directors, officers or employees of our general partner or its affiliates, and must meet the independence standards established by The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC to serve on an audit committee of a board of directors and certain other requirements. Any matters approved by the conflicts committee will be conclusively deemed to be fair and reasonable to us, approved by all of our partners, and not a breach by our directors, our general partner or

## Table of Contents

its affiliates of any duties any of them may owe us or our unitholders. Our conflicts committee is currently comprised of Paul Leand Jr., Alf Thorkildsen and Jeremy Kramer.

### Exemptions from Nasdaq Corporate Governance Rules

Because we qualify as a foreign private issuer under SEC rules, we are permitted to follow the corporate governance practices of the Marshall Islands (the jurisdiction in which we are organized) in lieu of certain Nasdaq corporate governance requirements that would otherwise be applicable to us.

Nasdaq rules do not require a listed company that is a foreign private issuer to have a board of directors that is comprised of a majority of independent directors. Under Marshall Islands law, we are not required to have a board of directors comprised of a majority of directors meeting the independence standards described in Nasdaq rules. In addition, Nasdaq rules do not require limited partnerships like us to have a board of directors comprised of a majority of independent directors. Accordingly, while our board is currently comprised of a majority of independent directors, our board of directors may not be comprised of a majority of independent directors in the future.

Nasdaq rules do not require foreign private issuers like us to establish a compensation committee or a nominating/corporate governance committee. Similarly, under Marshall Islands law, we are not required to have a compensation committee or a nominating/corporate governance committee. In addition, Nasdaq rules do not require limited partnerships like us to have a compensation committee or a nominating/corporate governance committee. Accordingly, we do not have a compensation committee or a nominating/corporate governance committee.

### D. Employees

Other than our Secretary, we currently do not have any employees and rely on the executive officers, directors and other key employees of Golar Management who perform services for us pursuant to the management and administrative services agreement. Employees of Golar Management, including those employees acting as our executive officers and employees of Golar Management Norway, GMM and GMC provide services to our subsidiaries pursuant to the fleet management agreements and the management and administrative services agreement. As of December 31, 2018, Golar and its subsidiaries employed approximately 554 seagoing staff who serve on our vessels. Certain subsidiaries of Golar, including Golar Management, Golar Management Norway, GMM and GMC, provide commercial and technical management services, including all necessary crew-related services, to our subsidiaries pursuant to the fleet management agreements.

Pursuant to our management agreements, our Manager and certain of its affiliates provide us with all of our employees. Our board of directors has the authority to hire other employees as it deems necessary.

### E. Unit Ownership

#### Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

See “Item 7—Major Unitholders and Related Party Transactions—A. Major Unitholders”.

## Item 7. Major Unitholders and Related Party Transactions

### A. Major Unitholders



The following table sets forth the beneficial ownership of our common units as of March 15, 2019 by each person that we know to beneficially own more than 5% of our outstanding common units and by our directors and executive officers as a group. The number of units beneficially owned by each person is determined under SEC rules and the information is not necessarily indicative of beneficial ownership for any other purpose:

Table of Contents

Name of Beneficial Owner	Common Units	
	Beneficially Owned	
	Number	Percent
Golar LNG Limited	21,226,586	30.6 %
FMR LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	6,976,826	10.0 %
Oppenheimer Funds, Inc. <sup>(2)</sup>	6,258,695	9.0 %
All directors and executive officers as a group (9 persons)	*	*

\* Less than 1%

<sup>(1)</sup> Based solely on information contained in a Schedule 13G filed on February 13, 2019 by FMR LLC.

<sup>(2)</sup> Based solely on information contained in a Schedule 13G filed on January 24, 2019 by Oppenheimer Funds, Inc.

**B. Related Party Transactions**

From time to time we have entered into agreements and have consummated transactions with certain related parties. We may enter into related party transactions from time to time in the future. In connection with our initial public offering, we established a conflicts committee, comprised entirely of independent directors, which must approve all proposed material related party transactions.

**IPO Omnibus Agreement**

We are subject to an omnibus agreement that we entered into with Golar and certain of its affiliates, our general partner and certain of our subsidiaries in connection with our IPO. On October 5, 2011, we entered into an amendment to the omnibus agreement with the other parties thereto. The following discussion describes certain provisions of the omnibus agreement, as amended.

**Non-competition**

Under the omnibus agreement, Golar agreed, and caused its controlled affiliates (other than us, our general partner and our subsidiaries) to agree, not to acquire, own, operate or charter any FSRU or LNG carrier operating under a charter for five or more years. We refer to these vessels, together with any related charters, as “Five-Year Vessels” and to all other FSRUs and LNG carriers, together with any related charters, as “Non-Five-Year Vessels.” The restrictions in this paragraph did not prevent Golar or any of its controlled affiliates (other than us and our subsidiaries) from:

- (1) acquiring, owning, operating or chartering Non-Five-Year Vessels;
  - acquiring one or more Five-Year Vessels if Golar promptly offers to sell the vessel to us for the acquisition price
- (2) plus any administrative costs (including re-flagging and reasonable legal costs) associated with the transfer to us at the time of the acquisition;
  - putting a Non-Five-Year Vessel under charter for five or more years if Golar offers to sell the vessel to us for fair
- (3) market value (x) promptly after the time it becomes a Five-Year Vessel and (y) at each renewal or extension of that charter for five or more years;
  - (4) acquiring one or more Five-Year Vessels as part of the acquisition of a controlling interest in a business or package of assets and owning, operating or chartering those vessels; provided, however, that:
    - if less than a majority of the value of the business or assets acquired is attributable to Five-Year Vessels, as determined in good faith by Golar’s board of directors, Golar must offer to sell such vessels to us for their fair
    - (a) market value plus any additional tax or other similar costs that Golar incurs in connection with the acquisition and the transfer of such vessels to us separate from the acquired business; and
    - (b)

if a majority or more of the value of the business or assets acquired is attributable to Five-Year Vessels, as determined in good faith by Golar's board of directors, Golar must notify us of the proposed acquisition in advance. Not later than 10 days following receipt of such notice, we will notify Golar if we wish to acquire such vessels in cooperation and simultaneously with Golar acquiring the Non-Five-Year Vessels. If we do not notify Golar of our intent to pursue the acquisition within 10 days, Golar may proceed with the acquisition and then offer to sell such vessels to us as provided in (a) above;

Table of Contents

- (5) acquiring a non-controlling interest in any company, business or pool of assets;
- (6) acquiring, owning, operating or chartering any Five-Year Vessel if we do not fulfill our obligation to purchase such vessel in accordance with the terms of any existing or future agreement;
- acquiring, owning, operating or chartering a Five-Year Vessel subject to the offers to us described in
- (7) paragraphs (2), (3) and (4) above pending our determination whether to accept such offers and pending the closing of any offers we accept;
- (8) providing ship management services relating to any vessel; or
- (9) acquiring, owning, operating or chartering a Five-Year Vessel if we have previously advised Golar that we consent to such acquisition, operation or charter.

If Golar or any of its controlled affiliates (other than us or our subsidiaries) acquires, owns, operates or charters Five-Year Vessels pursuant to any of the exceptions described above, it may not subsequently expand that portion of its business other than pursuant to those exceptions.

In addition, under the omnibus agreement we and our affiliates may not acquire, own, operate or charter Five-Year Vessels only. The restrictions in this paragraph will not:

- (1) prevent us from owning, operating or chartering any Non-Five-Year Vessel that was previously a Five-Year Vessel while owned by us;
- prevent us or any of our subsidiaries from acquiring Non-Five-Year Vessels as part of the acquisition of a
- (2) controlling interest in a business or package of assets and owning, operating or chartering those vessels; provided, however, that:
  - if less than a majority of the value of the business or assets acquired is attributable to Non-Five-Year Vessels, as determined in good faith by us, we must offer to sell such vessels to Golar for their fair market value plus any
  - (a) additional tax or other similar costs that we incur in connection with the acquisition and the transfer of such vessels to Golar separate from the acquired business; and
  - if a majority or more of the value of the business or assets acquired is attributable to Non-Five-Year Vessels, as determined in good faith by us, we must notify Golar of the proposed acquisition in advance. Not later than 10 days following receipt of such notice, Golar must notify us if it wishes to acquire the Non-Five-Year Vessels in
  - (b) cooperation and simultaneously with us acquiring the Five-Year Vessels. If Golar does not notify us of its intent to pursue the acquisition within 10 days, we may proceed with the acquisition and then offer to sell such vessels to Golar as provided in (a) above;
  - prevent us or any of our subsidiaries from acquiring, owning, operating or chartering any Non-Five-Year Vessels
  - (3) subject to the offer to Golar described in paragraph (2) above, pending its determination whether to accept such offer and pending the closing of any offer it accepts; or
  - (4) prevent us or any of our subsidiaries from acquiring, owning, operating or chartering Non-Five-Year Vessels if Golar has previously advised us that it consents to such acquisition, ownership, operation or charter.

If we or any of our subsidiaries acquires, owns, operates or charters Non-Five-Year Vessels pursuant to any of the exceptions described above, neither we nor such subsidiary may subsequently expand that portion of our business other than pursuant to those exceptions.

Upon a change of control of us or our general partner, the noncompetition provisions of the omnibus agreement will terminate immediately. Upon a change of control of Golar, the noncompetition provisions of the omnibus agreement applicable to Golar will terminate at the time that is the later of the date of the change of control and the date on which all of our outstanding subordinated units have been converted to common units.

Under the omnibus agreement, a change of control occurs upon (i) the sale, lease, exchange or other transfer of all or substantially all assets to another entity, (ii) the consolidation or merger into another entity, and (iii) an entity other

than Golar or its affiliates becoming the beneficial owner of more than 50% of all outstanding voting stock.

## Table of Contents

### Rights of First Offer on FSRUs and LNG carriers

Under the omnibus agreement, we and our subsidiaries granted to Golar a right of first offer on any proposed sale, transfer or other disposition of any Five-Year Vessels or Non-Five-Year Vessels owned by us. Under the omnibus agreement, Golar and its subsidiaries granted a similar right of first offer to us for any Five-Year Vessels they might own. These rights of first offer do not apply to a (a) sale, transfer or other disposition of vessels between any affiliated subsidiaries, or pursuant to the terms of any current or future charter or other agreement with a charter party or (b) merger with or into, or sale of substantially all of the assets to, an unaffiliated third-party.

Prior to engaging in any negotiation regarding any vessel disposition with respect to a Five-Year Vessel with a non-affiliated third-party or any Non-Five-Year Vessel, we or Golar will deliver a written notice to the other relevant party setting forth the material terms and conditions of the proposed transaction. During the 30-day period after the delivery of such notice, we and Golar will negotiate in good faith to reach an agreement on the transaction. If we do not reach an agreement within such 30-day period, we or Golar, as the case may be, will be able within the next 180 calendar days to sell, transfer, dispose or re-charter the vessel to a third party (or to agree in writing to undertake such transaction with a third party) on terms generally no less favorable to us or Golar, as the case may be, than those offered pursuant to the written notice.

Upon a change of control of us or our general partner, the right of first offer provisions of the omnibus agreement will terminate immediately. Upon a change of control of Golar, the right of first offer provisions applicable to Golar under the omnibus agreement will terminate at the time that is the later of the date of the change of control and the date on which all of our outstanding subordinated units have converted to common units.

### Indemnification

Under the omnibus agreement, Golar agreed to indemnify us for:

- certain income tax liabilities attributable to the operation of the assets contributed or sold to us prior to the time they were contributed or sold; and
- any liabilities in excess of our scheduled payments under the UK tax lease used to finance the Methane Princess, including liabilities in connection with termination of such lease.

### Amendments

The omnibus agreement may not be amended without the prior approval of the conflicts committee of our board of directors if the proposed amendment will, in the reasonable discretion of our board of directors, adversely affect holders of our common units.

### Golar Power Omnibus Agreement

In June 2016, in connection with the formation of Golar Power, we entered into the Golar Power Omnibus Agreement with Golar and Golar Power. Pursuant to the Golar Power Omnibus Agreement, Golar Power agreed not to acquire, own, operate or charter any FSRU or LNG carrier operating under a charter for five or more years, subject to certain exceptions. The non-competition provisions applicable to Golar Power under the Golar Power Omnibus Agreement are similar to those applicable to Golar pursuant to the Omnibus Agreement that we entered into in connection with our initial public offering. In addition, under the Golar Power Omnibus Agreement, the Golar Power Entities granted to us a right of first offer on any proposed sale, transfer or other disposition of any Five-Year Vessels owned or acquired by any Golar Power Entity.

Upon a change of control of us or our general partner, the Golar Power Omnibus Agreement shall terminate immediately. In the event that one or more Golar LNG Entities (as defined in the Golar Power Omnibus Agreement) cease to own, in the aggregate, at least 33 1/3% of the ownership interests in Golar Power, the Golar Power Omnibus Agreement shall terminate as of the date such ownership interest falls below 33 1/3%.

## Table of Contents

### Our Management Agreements

#### Management and Administrative Services Agreement

In connection with our IPO, we entered into a management and administrative services agreement with Golar Management, pursuant to which Golar Management agreed to provide certain commercial, management and administrative support services to us such as accounting, auditing, legal, insurance, IT, cash management, clerical, investor relations and other administrative services. In addition, certain officers and directors of Golar Management are to provide executive officer functions for our benefit. These officers of Golar Management are responsible for our day-to-day management, subject to the direction of our board of directors. We and Golar Management entered into an amended and restated management and administrative services agreement to reflect changes in the titles of certain of our officers. The material provisions of the amended and restated management and administrative services agreement, including terms related to our obligations and the obligations of Golar Management to provide us with services, remain unchanged from those contained in the management and administrative services agreement entered into at the time of our IPO. We have extended this agreement on similar terms for a period of 5 years on April 1, 2016.

The management and administrative services agreement may be terminated prior to the end of its term by us upon 120 days' notice for any reason in the sole discretion of our board of directors. Golar Management may terminate the management and administrative services agreement upon 120 days' notice in the event of certain circumstances, such as a change of control of us or our general partner, an order to wind up the partnership, amongst other events. A change of control under the management services agreement means an event in which securities of any class entitling the holders thereof to elect a majority of the members of the board of directors of the entity are acquired, directly or indirectly, by a person or group, who did not immediately before such acquisition, own securities of the entity entitling such person or group to elect such majority.

We reimburse Golar Management for its reasonable costs and expenses incurred in connection with the provision of these services. In addition, we pay Golar Management a management fee equal to 5% of its costs and expenses incurred in connection with providing services to us for the month after Golar Management submits to us an invoice for such costs and expenses, together with any supporting detail that may be reasonably required.

Through his co-ownership of Helm Energy Advisors Inc. ("Helm"), a company established and domiciled in Canada, Mr. Doug Arnell, who was appointed to our board of directors in February 2015 and resigned in September 2016, acted and advised us on various projects and earned \$0.8 million from Golar in fees for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Under the management and administrative services agreement, we agreed to indemnify Golar Management and its employees and agents against all actions which may be brought against them under the management and administrative services agreement including, without limitation, all actions brought under the environmental laws of any jurisdiction, and against and in respect of all costs and expenses they may suffer or incur due to defending or settling such actions; provided, however that such indemnity excludes any or all losses which may be caused by or due to the fraud, gross negligence or willful misconduct of Golar Management or its employees or agents.

Corporate Services Agreement with Golar Management (Bermuda) Limited ("GMB"). GMB a wholly-owned subsidiary of Golar which owns 100% of Golar Management acts as the registered office in Bermuda and provides corporate secretarial, registrar and administration services to us with effect from January 1, 2017. The corporate services agreement may be terminated prior to the end of its term by either party upon 30 days' notice.

#### Fleet management agreements

Each vessel in our fleet is subject to management agreements, pursuant to which certain commercial and technical management services are provided by certain subsidiaries of Golar Management, as described below. Under these



fleet management agreements, our subsidiaries pay fees to, and reimburse the costs and expenses of the vessel managers as described below.

Golar Management Limited

The vessel owning subsidiaries (or disponent owners of the vessels) have each entered into separate vessel management agreements directly with Golar Management to manage the vessels in accordance with sound and commercial technical vessel management practice, so far as practicable.

## Table of Contents

The aggregate management fees under these fleet management agreements for each of the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 was \$5.2 million, \$5.9 million, and \$6.5 million, respectively. The vessel management fees are reviewed annually and revised by mutual agreement of the parties. In addition, pursuant to the vessel management agreements, Golar Management is to be reimbursed an amount equal to the disbursements and expenses in connection with the provision of the services contracted under the management agreement. The vessel management agreement may be terminated prior to the end of its term by us upon 30 days' notice.

Technical Management Sub-agreements with GMN, GMM and GMC, or collectively, the "sub-managers"

In order to assist with the technical management of each of the vessels in our current fleet, Golar Management has entered into Management Agreements with GMN, GMM and GMC as sub-managers, for the operations of our fleet (the Vessels Sub-Management Agreements). The Vessels Sub-Management Agreements provide that: (a) GMN must provide for the technical management of each vessel, which includes, but is not limited to the provision of competent personnel to supervise the maintenance and efficiency of the vessel; arrange and supervise drydockings, repairs, alterations and maintenance of such vessel and arrange and supply the necessary stores, spares and lubricating oils; (b) GMM must provide suitably qualified crew for each vessel; and (c) GMC must provide suitably qualified crew for each vessel and provide for the management of the crew including, but not limited to, arranging for all transportation of the crew, ensuring the crew meets all medical requirements of the flag state, and conducting union negotiations.

Golar Management is responsible for payment of the annual management fees to the sub-managers in respect of the vessels. We are not responsible for paying the management fees to the sub-managers. These fees are subject to upward adjustments based on cost of living indexes in the domiciles of the sub-managers. The sub-managers are entitled to extra remuneration for the performance of tasks outside the scope of the Vessels Sub-Management Agreements.

The Vessels Sub-Management Agreements will terminate upon failure by any party to meet its obligations under the agreement, in the case of the sale or total loss of the vessel, or in the event an order or resolution is passed for the winding up, dissolution, liquidation or bankruptcy of any party or if a receiver is appointed. In addition, Golar Management must indemnify the sub-managers and their employees, agents and subcontractors against all actions, proceedings, claims, demands or liabilities arising in connection with the performance of the agreement.

Agency Agreement with PT Pesona Sentra Utama (or PT Pesona). PT Pesona, an Indonesian company established in 2005 and engaged in technical crewing management in Indonesia, owns 51% of the issued share capital in our subsidiary, PTGI, the owner and operator of NR Satu, in order to comply with Indonesian cabotage requirements. Under the agency agreement PT Pesona provides agency and local representation services for us with respect to NR Satu, which includes, but not limited to, accounting, charter administration, legal and liaison services with respect to Indonesian legal and government authorities and clerical services. Under the agency agreement PT Pesona received a fee of \$0.9 million, \$0.5 million and \$0.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively. This fee is subject to review annually and revision by mutual agreement of the parties. The PT Pesona agency agreement shall continue indefinitely, unless and until terminated upon notice by either party within 30 days of expected termination.

Other Related Party Transactions

Vessel Acquisitions and Related Transactions

Tundra Acquisition

In May 2016, we completed the Tundra Acquisition and paid total cash purchase consideration of \$107.2 million. In May 2017, we elected to exercise our right (the "Tundra Put Right") under the Tundra Letter Agreement to require Golar to repurchase Tundra Corp at a price equal to the original purchase price. In connection with the exercise of the Tundra Put Right, we and Golar entered into an agreement pursuant to which we agreed to sell Tundra Corp to Golar on the date of the closing of the Tundra Put Sale on October 17, 2017 in return for Golar's promise to pay an amount equal to \$107.2 million (the "Deferred Purchase Price") plus an additional amount equal to 5% per annum of the Deferred Purchase Price (the "Additional Amount"). The Deferred Purchase Price and the Additional Amount were applied to the net purchase price of the Hilli Acquisition on July 12, 2018.

In November 2015, prior to the Tundra Acquisition, Tundra Corp sold the Golar Tundra to Tundra SPV for \$254.6 million and subsequently leased back the vessel under a bareboat charter. Following the Tundra Put Sale, Golar is the primary guarantor of the obligations of Tundra Corp (now a wholly-owned subsidiary of Golar) under the Tundra Lease. We, however, are a party to a guarantee pursuant to which we are the deficiency guarantor of Tundra Corp's obligations under the Tundra Lease. This means

## Table of Contents

that in the event that Tundra Corp is in default of its obligations under the Tundra Lease and Golar, as the primary guarantor, is unable to settle any liabilities due within five business days, Tundra SPV may recover such amounts from us, as the deficiency guarantor. Monthly payments under the Tundra Lease are approximately \$2.0 million. Under a separate side agreement, Golar has agreed to indemnify us for any costs incurred in our capacity as the deficiency guarantor.

### Hilli Acquisition

On August 15, 2017, we entered into the Hilli Purchase Agreement to acquire from Golar and affiliates of Keppel and B&V 50% of the common units in Hilli LLC, which owns Hilli Corp, the disponent owner of the Hilli. Concurrently with the execution of the Hilli Purchase Agreement, we paid a \$70 million deposit to Golar, upon which we received interest at a rate of 5% per annum. We applied the deposit and interest accrued to the net purchase price on July 12, 2018, upon completion of the Hilli Acquisition.

#### (i) Partnership guarantee

Hilli Corp is a party to a Memorandum of Agreement, dated September 9, 2015, with Fortune Lianjiang Shipping S.A., a subsidiary of China State Shipbuilding Corporation ("Fortune"), pursuant to which Hilli Corp has sold to and leased back from Fortune the Hilli under a 10-year bareboat charter agreement (the "Hilli Facility"). The Hilli Facility provided for post-construction financing for the Hilli in the amount of \$960 million. Under the Hilli Facility, Hilli Corp will pay to Fortune forty consecutive equal quarterly repayments of 1.375% of the construction cost, plus interest based on LIBOR plus a margin of 3.95%. In connection with the closing of the Hilli Acquisition, we agreed to provide a several guarantee (the "Partnership Guarantee") of 50% of the outstanding principal, interest, expenses and other amounts payable by Hilli Corp under the Hilli Facility pursuant to a Deed of Amendment, Restatement and Accession relating to a guarantee between Golar, Fortune and us dated July 12, 2018. We entered into a \$480.0 million interest rate swap in relation to our proportionate share of the obligation under the Hilli Facility.

#### (ii) Letter of credit

On November 28, 2018, we entered into an agreement to guarantee (the "LOC Guarantee") the letter of credit issued by a financial institution in the event of Hilli Corp's underperformance or non-performance under the LTA. Under the LOC Guarantee, we are severally liable for any outstanding amounts that are payable, based on the percentage ownership that Golar holds in us, multiplied by our percentage ownership in Hilli Common Units. Accordingly, the maximum amount we are liable for under the LOC guarantee is approximately \$46.5 million.

Pursuant to the Partnership Guarantee and the LOC Guarantee, we are required to comply with certain covenants and ratios, refer to note 24 "Related Party Transaction - Indemnifications and guarantees - Hilli guarantees".

#### (iii) Operating expense reimbursement

Pursuant to the Hilli Purchase Agreement, we agreed to reimburse Golar, Keppel and B&V for (a) 50% of the amount, if any, by which Operating Expenses are less than \$32.4 million per year and (b) 50% of the amount, if any, by which withholding taxes on Operating Expense payments are less than \$4.2 million per year, for a period of eight years commencing on July 12, 2018, up to a maximum amount of \$20 million in the aggregate. "Operating Expenses" means, all expenditures made by Hilli LLC and its subsidiaries, including vessel operating expenses, taxes, maintenance expenses and employee compensation and benefits, and capital expenditures, but exclude withholding taxes thereon.

### Trading and Other Balances

Receivables and payables with Golar and its subsidiaries comprise primarily of unpaid management fees, advisory and administrative services and other related party arrangements. In addition, certain receivables and payables arise when we pay an invoice on behalf of a related party and vice versa. Receivables and payables are generally settled quarterly in arrears. Trading balances due to Golar and its subsidiaries are unsecured, interest-free and intended to be settled in the ordinary course of business.

#### Methane Princess Lease Security Deposit Movements

This represents net advances to Golar since the IPO, which correspond with the net release of funds from the security deposits held relating to the Methane Princess lease. This is in connection with the Methane Princess tax lease indemnity provided by Golar under the Omnibus Agreement. Accordingly, these amounts held with Golar will be settled as part of the eventual termination of the Methane Princess lease.

Table of Contents

Dividends to China Petroleum Corporation

During the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, Faraway Maritime Shipping Co. (owns and operates the Golar Mazo), which is 60% owned by us and 40% owned by CPC, paid total dividends to CPC of \$nil, \$7.0 million, and \$12.4 million, respectively.

Dividends to/(from) Golar

We have declared and paid quarterly distributions totaling \$48.4 million, \$52.3 million and \$54.7 million to Golar for each of the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

During the year ended December 31, 2018, Hilli LLC had declared quarterly distributions totaling \$5.6 million in respect of the Hilli Common Units owned by us. As of December 31, 2018, we have a dividend receivable of \$3.6 million.

Please refer to note 24 “Related Party Transactions” to our Consolidated Financial Statements included herein for additional information.

C. Interests of Experts and Counsel

Not applicable.

Item 8. Financial Information

A. Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information

Please see “Item 18. Financial Statements” below for additional information required to be disclosed under this item.

Legal Proceedings

From time to time we have been, and expect to continue to be, subject to legal proceedings and claims in the ordinary course of our business, principally personal injury and property casualty claims. These claims, even if lacking merit, could result in the expenditure of significant financial and managerial resources.

In November and December 2015, the Indonesian tax authorities issued letters to PTGI to, among other things, revoke a previously granted VAT importation waiver in the approximate amount of \$24.0 million for the NR Satu. In February 2018, PTGI filed a Judicial Review with the Supreme Court of Indonesia, but in December 2018, the Supreme Court of Indonesia ruled against PTGI with regards the validity of wavier cancellation. However, we do not believe it probable that a liability exists as a result of this ruling, as no Tax Underpayment Assessment Notice has been received within the statute of limitations period. Should we receive such notice from the tax authorities, we intend to challenge the legality of the assessment. In any event, we believe PTGI will be indemnified by PTNR for any VAT liability as well as related interest and penalties under our time charter party agreement entered into with them.

HMRC has been challenging us regarding the use of UK lease structure relating to the Methane Princess. We have reviewed the details of the case and the basis of the judgment with our legal and tax advisers to ascertain what impact, if any, the judgment may have on us and the possible range of exposure has been estimated at approximately \$nil to \$30 million (£23.0 million). Golar is currently in conversation with HMRC on this matter, and as well as continuing to

present the factual background of Golar's position, is progressing the possibility of bringing this inquiry to a mutually satisfactory conclusion. Given the complexity of these discussions it is impossible to quantify the reasonably possible loss, however we continue to estimate the possible range of exposures as set out above. However, under the indemnity provisions of the Omnibus Agreement, Golar has agreed to indemnify us against any liabilities incurred as a consequence of a successful challenge by the UK Revenue Authorities with regard to the initial tax basis of the Methane Princess lease and in relation to other vessels previously financed by UK tax leases.

For further details, please refer to note 25 "Other Commitments and Contingencies" to our Consolidated Financial Statements included herein.

## Table of Contents

### Our Cash Distribution Policy

#### Rationale for Our Cash Distribution Policy

Our cash distribution policy reflects a judgment that our unitholders will be better served by our distributing our cash available (after deducting expenses, including estimated maintenance and replacement capital expenditures and reserves) rather than retaining it. Because we believe we will generally finance any expansion capital expenditures from external financing sources, we believe that our investors are best served by our distributing all of our available cash. Our cash distribution policy is consistent with the terms of our partnership agreement, which requires that we distribute all of our available cash quarterly (after deducting expenses, including estimated maintenance and replacement capital expenditures and reserves).

#### Limitations on Cash Distributions and Our Ability to Change Our Cash Distribution Policy

There is no guarantee that unitholders will receive quarterly distributions from us. Our distribution policy is subject to certain restrictions and may be changed at any time, including:

Our unitholders have no contractual or other legal right to receive distributions other than the obligation under our partnership agreement to distribute available cash on a quarterly basis, which is subject to the broad discretion of our board of directors to establish reserves and other limitations.

We will be subject to restrictions on distributions under our financing arrangements. Our financing arrangements contain material financial tests and covenants that must be satisfied in order to pay distributions. If we are unable to satisfy the restrictions included in any of our financing arrangements or are otherwise in default under any of those agreements, it could have a material adverse effect on our ability to make cash distributions to our unitholders, notwithstanding our stated cash distribution policy.

We are required to make substantial capital expenditures to maintain and replace our fleet. These expenditures may fluctuate significantly over time, particularly as our vessels near the end of their useful lives. In order to minimize these fluctuations, our partnership agreement requires us to deduct estimated, as opposed to actual, maintenance and replacement capital expenditures from the amount of cash that we would otherwise have available for distribution to our unitholders. In years when estimated maintenance and replacement capital expenditures are higher than actual maintenance and replacement capital expenditures, the amount of cash available for distribution to unitholders will be lower than if actual maintenance and replacement capital expenditures were deducted.

Although our partnership agreement requires us to distribute all of our available cash, our partnership agreement, including provisions contained therein requiring us to make cash distributions, may be amended. Our partnership agreement can be amended with the approval of a majority of the outstanding common units. As of March 15, 2019, Golar owned our general partner and 30.6% of our common units.

Even if our cash distribution policy is not modified or revoked, the amount of distributions we pay under our cash distribution policy and the decision to make any distribution is determined by our board of directors, taking into consideration the terms of our partnership agreement.

Under Section 51 of the Marshall Islands Act, we may not make a distribution to unitholders if the distribution would cause our liabilities to exceed the fair value of our assets.

PTGI is subject to restrictions on distributions under Indonesian laws due to its formation under the laws of Indonesia. Under Article 71.3 of the Indonesian Company Law (Law No. 40 of 2007), dividend distributions may be made only if PTGI has positive retained earnings. For the year ended December 31, 2018, PTGI paid \$nil of dividends to PT Pesona.

We may lack sufficient cash to pay distributions to our unitholders due to decreases in total operating revenues, decreases in hire rates, the loss of a vessel (including, without limitation, through a customer's exercise of its purchase option) or increases in operating or general and administrative expenses, principal and interest payments on outstanding debt, taxes, working capital requirements, maintenance and replacement capital expenditures or



anticipated cash needs. Please read “Item 3. Key Information—D. Risk Factors” for a discussion of these factors.

## Table of Contents

### Minimum Quarterly Distribution

Following the IDR Exchange in October 2016, as described under "—Incentive Distribution Rights," the minimum quarterly distribution per unit was increased to \$0.5775. There is no guarantee that we will pay the minimum quarterly distribution on the common units in any quarter. Even if our cash distribution policy is not modified or revoked, the amount of distributions paid under our policy and the decision to make any distribution is determined by our board of directors, taking into consideration the terms of our partnership agreement. We will be prohibited from making any distributions to unitholders if it would cause an event of default, or an event of default is then existing, under our financing arrangements. Please read note 20 "Debt" and note 24 "Related Party Transactions - Indemnifications and guarantee" in our Consolidated Financial Statements included herein for a discussion of the restrictions contained in our credit facilities lease arrangements and guarantees that may restrict our ability to make distributions.

During the year, we reduced our quarterly distributions on our common units to \$0.4042 to allow sustainable quarterly distribution. We have taken conservative assumptions to long-run rates achievable by our three carriers currently exposed to the spot and short-term market to and determined a 30% reduced in our quarterly distribution would allow us to maintain sustainable coverage levels for the foreseeable future. For the year ended December 31, 2018, the aggregate amount of cash distributions paid was \$152.7 million.

In February 2019, we paid a cash distribution of \$0.4042 per common and general partner units in respect of the three months ended December 31, 2018. The aggregate amount of the distribution was \$28.7 million.

### Series A Preferred Units

Series A Preferred Units rank senior to our common units as to the payment of distribution. Distributions on Series A preferred units are payable out of amounts legally available therefor at an initial rate equal to 8.75% per annum of the stated liquidation preference. Distributions are payable quarterly in arrears on the 15<sup>th</sup> day of February, May, August and November of each year, when, as and if declared by our board of directors.

The first distribution on the Series A Preferred Units was paid on February 15, 2018 in an amount equal to \$0.63802 per unit, representing accumulated distributions from October 31, 2017, the original issuance date of the Series A Preferred Units through February 14, 2018. During the year ended December 31, 2018, the aggregate amount of cash distributions paid was \$12.0 million. In February 2019, we paid a cash distribution \$0.546875 per Series A Preferred Unit for the period from November 15, 2018 through to February 14, 2019. The aggregate amount of the distribution was \$3.0 million.

### Incentive Distribution Rights

Incentive distribution rights represent the right to receive an increasing percentage of quarterly distributions of available cash from operating surplus after the minimum quarterly distribution and the target distribution levels have been achieved. Our general partner and Golar currently hold the incentive distribution rights. The incentive distribution rights may be transferred separately from our general partner interest. Any transfer by our general partner of the incentive distribution rights would not change the percentage allocations of quarterly distributions with respect to such rights.

On October 19, 2016 (the "IDR Exchange Closing Date"), pursuant to the terms of an Exchange Agreement (the "Exchange Agreement"), dated as of October 13, 2016, by and between the Partnership, Golar and our general partner, Golar and our general partner exchanged all of their incentive distribution rights in the Partnership ("Old IDRs") for (i) the issuance by us on the IDR Exchange Closing Date of a new class of incentive distribution rights in the Partnership ("New IDRs"), (ii) an aggregate of 2,994,364 additional common units and an aggregate of 61,109 additional general

partner units and (iii) the potential issuance in the future of an aggregate of up to 748,592 additional common units and up to 15,278 additional general partner units (collectively, the “Earn-Out Units”).

As of November 14, 2017 we had paid a distribution of available cash from operating surplus equal to \$0.5775 per common unit in respect of each of the quarterly periods ended December 31, 2016, March 31, 2017, June 30, 2017 and September 30, 2017. Accordingly, we issued 50% of the Earn-Out Units—374,295 common units and 7,639 general partner units—to Golar and the general partner, respectively.

On October 24, 2018, we declared a reduced quarterly distribution of \$0.4042 per common unit in respect of the quarterly period ended September 30, 2018. Consequently, we did not meet the requirement to pay a distribution of available cash from operating surplus on each of the outstanding common units equal to or greater than \$0.5775 per common unit in respect of each of the quarterly periods ended December 31, 2017, March 31, 2018, June 30, 2018 and September 30, 2018. The remaining 50%

Table of Contents

of the Earn-Out Units were not issued and will not be issued. See note 27 “Equity—Exchange of Incentive Distribution Rights” to our Consolidated Financial Statements included herein.

The following table illustrates the percentage allocations of the additional available cash from operating surplus among the common unitholders, our general partner and the holders of the incentive distribution rights up to the various target distribution levels under the New IDRs. The amounts set forth under “Marginal Percentage Interest in Distributions” are the percentage interests of the common unitholders, our general partner and the holders of the incentive distribution rights in any available cash from operating surplus we distribute up to and including the corresponding amount in the column “Total Quarterly Distribution Target Amount” until available cash from operating surplus we distribute reaches the next target distribution level, if any. The percentage interests shown for the unitholders, our general partner and the holders of the incentive distribution rights for the minimum quarterly distribution are also applicable to quarterly distribution amounts that are less than the minimum quarterly distribution. The percentage interests shown for our general partner include its 2.0% general partner interest only and assume that our general partner has contributed any capital necessary to maintain its 2.0% general partner interest.

	Total Quarterly Distribution Target Amount	Marginal Percentage Interest in Distributions		
		Common Unitholders	General Partner	IDR Holders
Minimum Quarterly Distribution	\$0.5775	98%	2%	0%
First Target Distribution	Up to \$0.6641	98%	2%	0%
Second Target Distribution	Above \$0.6641 up to \$0.7219	85%	2%	13%
Third Target Distribution	Above \$0.7219 up to \$0.8663	75%	2%	23%
Thereafter	Above \$0.8663	50%	2%	48%

B. Significant Changes

Not applicable.

Item 9. The Offer and Listing

C. Markets

Our common units started trading on The Nasdaq Global Market under the symbol “GMLP” on April 8, 2011. Our Series A Preferred Units started trading on The Nasdaq Global Market under the symbol “GMLPP” on October 26, 2017.

Item 10. Additional Information

A. Share Capital

Not applicable.

B. Memorandum and Articles of Association

The information required to be disclosed under Item 10B is incorporated by reference to (i) our Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed with the SEC on October 31, 2017 and (ii) our Registration Statement on Form 8-A/A filed with

the SEC on November 13, 2017 (in each case, as may be amended from time to time).

C. Material Contracts

The following is a summary of each material contract (other than material contracts entered into in the ordinary course of business), to which we or any of our subsidiaries is a party, for the two years immediately preceding the date of this Annual Report:

Table of Contents

- Omnibus Agreement dated April 13, 2011, by and among Golar LNG Ltd., Golar LNG Partners LP, Golar GP LLC and Golar Energy Limited. See “Item 7—Major Unitholders and Related Party Transactions—B. Related Party Transactions” for a summary of certain contract terms.
- Amendment No. 1 to Omnibus Agreement, dated October 5, 2011 by and among Golar LNG Ltd., Golar LNG Partners LP, Golar GP LLC and Golar Energy Limited. See “Item 7—Major Unitholders and Related Party Transactions—B. Related Party Transactions” for a summary of certain contract terms.
- Purchase, Sale and Contribution Agreement, dated November 1, 2012, by and between Golar LNG Partners LP, Golar Partners Operating LLC and Golar LNG Ltd, providing for, among other things, the acquisition of the Golar Grand for a purchase price of \$265.0 million for the vessel plus working capital adjustments of \$2.6 million less the assumed capital lease obligations of \$90.8 million.
- \$175 million Facility Agreement, dated December 14, 2012, by and among a group of banks as the lender and PT Golar Indonesia as the borrower. The total facility amount is \$175 million and is split into two tranches, a \$155 million term loan facility and a \$20 million revolving facility. The facility is with a syndicate of banks and bears interest at LIBOR plus a margin of 3.5%. See note 20 “Debt—NR Satu Facility” to our Consolidated Financial Statements for a summary of certain terms.
- Supplemental Agreement to \$175 million Facility Agreement, dated March 29, 2018, by and PT Bank Sumitomo Mitsui as the lender, Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation Singapore Branch as the agent, PT Golar Indonesia as the borrower and guaranteed by Golar LNG Partners LP. See note 20 “Debt—NR Satu Facility” to our Consolidated Financial Statements for a summary of certain terms.
- Bond Agreement dated October 11, 2012 between Golar LNG Partners LP and Norsk Tillitsmann ASA as bond trustee. The bonds bore interest at a rate equal to 3 months NIBOR plus a margin of 5.20% payable quarterly.
- Purchase, Sale and Contribution Agreement, dated December 5, 2013, by and between Golar LNG Partners LP, Golar Partners Operating LLC and Golar LNG Ltd., providing for the acquisition of the Golar Igloo for a purchase price of approximately \$310.0 million less assumed debt of \$161.3 million plus the fair value of the interest rate swap asset of \$3.6 million and net working capital adjustments.
- The Purchase, Sale and Contribution Agreement dated December 15, 2014, by and between Golar LNG Partners LP, Golar Partners Operating LLC and Golar LNG Ltd., providing for, among other things, the acquisition of the Golar Eskimo for a purchase price of \$330.0 million for the vessel plus \$9.0 million of working capital adjustments less assumed bank debt of \$108.0 million.
- Time charter party agreement by and between Golar Grand Corporation and Golar Trading Corporation, with respect to the Golar Grand, dated as of May 27, 2015. See note 24 “Related Party Transactions” to our Consolidated Financial Statements for a summary of certain terms.
- Bond Agreement dated May 20, 2015 between Golar LNG Partners LP and Nordic Trustee ASA as bond trustee. See note 20 “Debt—2015 Norwegian Bonds” to our Consolidated Financial Statements for a summary of certain terms.
- Purchase and Sale Agreement made by and between Golar LNG Limited and Golar Partners Operating LLC, dated February 10, 2016 with respect to the acquisition of the Golar Tundra. See “Item 7—Major Unitholders and Related Party Transactions-B. Related Party Transactions” for information about the Tundra Acquisition.
- Bareboat charter, Memorandum of Agreement and Common Terms Agreements, by and among Golar Eskimo Corp, and a subsidiary of China Merchants Bank Limited (Eskimo SPV), dated November 4, 2015, providing for the sale and leaseback of the Golar Eskimo. See note 5 “Variable Interest Entities—Eskimo Corp” to our Consolidated Financial Statements for a summary of certain terms.
- Bareboat charter, Memorandum of Agreement and Common Terms Agreements, by and among Golar LNG NB13 Corporation, and a subsidiary of China Merchants Bank Limited (Tundra SPV), dated November 19, 2015, providing for the sale and leaseback of the Golar Tundra. See “Item 7—Major Unitholders and Related Party Transactions-B. Related Party Transactions” for information about the Tundra Acquisition.
- Supplemental Agreement by and among Golar LNG NB13 Corporation, Golar LNG Limited, Golar LNG Partners LP and a subsidiary of China Merchants Bank Limited (Tundra SPV), dated April 28, 2016, as supplement to the Bareboat charter, Memorandum of Agreement and Common Terms Agreements dated November 19, 2015. See “Item 7—Major Unitholders and Related Party Transactions-B. Related Party Transactions” for information about the

Tundra Acquisition.

Letter Agreement dated May 17, 2016, the Second Letter Amendment dated September 26, 2016 and the Third Letter Agreement dated May 30, 2017, by and between Golar Partners Operating LLC and Golar LNG Limited. See note 24 “Related Party Transactions” to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

- Facilities Agreement for an \$800 million senior secured amortizing term loan and revolving credit facility, dated April 27, 2016, the First Supplemental Letter to Facilities Agreement, dated April 27, 2016, the Second Supplemental Letter to Facilities Agreement, dated May 22, 2017, the Third Supplemental Letter to Facilities Agreement, dated June 29, 2017, Fourth Supplemental Letter to Facilities Agreement, dated January 16, 2018, 16. Fifth Supplemental Letter to Facilities Agreement, dated 5 November, 2018, and the Sixth Supplemental Letter to Facilities Agreement, dated 5 November, 2018, by and among Golar Partners Operating LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Limited, DNB (UK) Limited, Nordea Bank Norge ASA, as agent and security agent and the other parties thereto. See note 20 “Debt—\$800 million credit facility” to our Consolidated Financial Statements for a summary of certain terms.
- Omnibus Agreement dated June 19, 2016, by and among Golar LNG Ltd., Golar Power Limited, Golar LNG 17. Partners LP, Golar GP LLC and Golar Partners Operating LLC. See “Item 7—Major Unitholders and Related Party Transactions—B. Related Party Transactions” for a summary of certain contract terms.
- Management and Administrative Services Agreement between Golar LNG Partners LP and Golar 18. Management Limited, dated April 1, 2016, as amended. See “Item 7—Major Unitholders and Related Party Transactions—B. Related Party Transactions” for a summary of certain contract terms.
- Exchange Agreement by and among Golar LNG Partners LP, Golar GP LLC and Golar LNG Limited, dated 19. October 13, 2016. See note 27 “Equity—Exchange of Incentive Distribution Rights” to our Consolidated Financial Statements for a summary of certain terms.
- Bond Agreement dated February 10, 2017 between Golar LNG Partners LP and Nordic Trustee ASA as bond 20. trustee. See See note 20 “Debt—2017 Norwegian Bonds” to our Consolidated Financial Statements for a summary of certain terms.
- Purchase and Sale Agreement by and among Golar LNG Limited, KS Investments Pte. Ltd., Black & Veatch 21. International Company and Golar Partners Operating LLC, dated August 15, 2017, as amended relating to acquisition of interest in Hilli LLC. See “Item 7—Major Unitholders and Related Party Transactions—B. Related Party Transactions” for a summary of certain contract terms.
- Deed of Guarantee by Golar LNG Partners LP in favor of Sea 24 Leasing Co. Limited in respect of the obligations 22. of Golar LNG NB13 Corporation, dated as of November 19, 2015. See “Item 7-Major Unitholders and Related Party Transactions-B. Related Party Transactions.”
- Indemnity Letter, dated as of October 17, 2017, by and between Golar LNG Partners LP and Golar LNG Limited, pursuant to which Golar LNG Limited agreed to indemnify Golar LNG Partners LP for any liabilities that may 23. arise in connection with its deficiency guarantee of the obligations of Golar Tundra Corp to Golar LNG NB13 Corporation under the sale leaseback arrangement relating to the Golar Tundra. See note 24 “Related Party Transactions” to our Consolidated Financial Statements for a summary of certain terms.
- Liquefaction Tolling Agreement, dated November 29, 2017, between Societe Nationale de Hydrocarbures, Perenco 24. Cameroon SA, Golar Hilli Corporation and Golar Cameroon SASU. See Item 5 “Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Significant Developments in 2018 and Early 2019—Liquefaction Tolling Agreement”.
- Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Golar Hilli LLC, Golar LNG Limited, by and 25. among Golar LNG Limited, KS Investments Pte. Ltd., Black & Veatch International Company and Golar Partners Operating LLC, dated as of July 12, 2018. See Item 5 “Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Significant Developments in 2018 and Early 2019—Hilli LLC Limited Liability Company Agreement”.
- Bareboat charter by and between Golar Hilli Corp. and Fortune Lianjiang Shipping S.A., dated September 9, 2015, 26. providing for the sale and leaseback of the Hilli. See Item 5 “Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Significant Developments in 2018 and Early 2019—Hilli Facility and the Partnership Guarantee”.
- Additional Clauses to the Bareboat Charter Party dated September 9, 2015 between Golar Hilli Corp. and Fortune 27. Lianjiang Shipping S.A., as additional clauses to Bareboat charter by and between Golar Hilli Corp. and Fortune Lianjiang Shipping S.A., dated September 9, 2015. See Item 5 “Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Significant Developments in 2018 and Early 2019—Hilli Facility and the Partnership Guarantee”.
- Memorandum of Agreement by and between Golar Hilli Corp. and Fortune Lianjiang Shipping S.A., dated 28. September 9, 2015 providing for, among other things, the sale and leaseback of the Hilli. See Item 5 “Operating and



Financial Review and Prospects—Significant Developments in 2018 and Early 2019—Hilli Facility and the Partnership Guarantee”.

Common Terms Agreements, by and between Golar Hilli Corp. and Fortune Lianjiang Shipping S.A., dated 29. September 9, 2015. See Item 5 “Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Significant Developments in 2018 and Early 2019—Hilli Facility and the Partnership Guarantee”.

## Table of Contents

Deed of Amendment, restatement and accession relating to a guarantee between Golar LNG Limited, Golar LNG Partners LP and Fortune Lianjiang Shipping S.A., dated as of July 12, 2018, as an amendment to the guarantee 30. under the Common Terms Agreements, by and between Golar Hilli Corp. and Fortune Lianjiang Shipping S.A., dated September 9, 2015. See Item 5 “Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Significant Developments in 2018 and Early 2019—Hilli Facility and the Partnership Guarantee” for a summary of certain contract terms. Guarantee Agreement by and between Golar LNG Partners LP and Standard Chartered Bank, dated as of 31. November 28, 2018. See Item 5 “Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Significant Developments in 2018 and Early 2019—Hilli Letter of Credit Guarantee” for a summary of certain contract terms.

### D. Exchange Controls

We are not aware of any governmental laws, decrees or regulations, including foreign exchange controls, in the Republic of The Marshall Islands that restrict the export or import of capital, or that affect the remittance of dividends, interest or other payments to non-resident holders of our securities.

We are not aware of any limitations on the right of non-resident or foreign owners to hold or vote our securities imposed by the laws of the Republic of The Marshall Islands or our partnership agreement.

### E. Taxation

#### Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations

The following is a discussion of the material U.S. federal income tax considerations that may be relevant to unitholders. This discussion is based upon provisions of the Code, Treasury Regulations, and current administrative rulings and court decisions, all as in effect or existence on the date of this Annual Report and all of which are subject to change or differing interpretation, possibly with retroactive effect. Changes in these authorities may cause the tax consequences of unit ownership to vary substantially from the consequences described below. Unless the context otherwise requires, references in this section to “we,” “our” or “us” are references to Golar LNG Partners LP.

The following discussion applies only to beneficial owners of common units or Series A Preferred Units that own the units as “capital assets” within the meaning of Section 1221 of the Code (i.e., generally, for investment purposes) and is not intended to be applicable to all categories of investors, such as unitholders subject to special tax rules (e.g., financial institutions, insurance companies, broker-dealers, tax-exempt organizations, retirement plans or individual retirement accounts or former citizens or long-term residents of the United States), persons who hold the units as part of a hedge, conversion, constructive sale or other integrated transaction for U.S. federal income tax purposes, or persons that have a functional currency other than the U.S. dollar, each of whom may be subject to tax rules that differ significantly from those summarized below. If a partnership or other entity classified as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes holds our common units or Series A Preferred Units, the tax treatment of its partners generally will depend upon the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership. Unitholders who are partners in a partnership holding our common units or Series A Preferred Units should consult a tax advisor regarding the tax consequences to them of the partnership’s ownership of such units.

No ruling has been or will be requested from the IRS regarding any matter affecting us or our unitholders. The statements made herein may be challenged by the IRS and, if so challenged, may not be sustained upon review in a court.

This discussion does not contain information regarding any U.S. state or local, estate, gift or alternative minimum tax considerations concerning the ownership or disposition of common units or Series A Preferred Units. This discussion does not comment on all aspects of U.S. federal income taxation that may be important to particular unitholders in light of their individual circumstances, and each unitholder is urged to consult its own tax advisor regarding the U.S. federal, state, local and other tax consequences of the ownership or disposition of common units or Series A Preferred Units.

#### Election to be Treated as a Corporation

We have elected to be treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Consequently, among other things, U.S. Holders (as defined below) will not be directly subject to U.S. federal income tax on our income, but rather will be subject to U.S. federal income tax on distributions received from us and dispositions of units as described below.

U.S. Federal Income Taxation of U.S. Holders

As used herein, the term “U.S. Holder” means a beneficial owner of our common units or Series A Preferred Units that owns (actually or constructively) less than 10.0% of our equity and that is:

## Table of Contents

an individual U.S. citizen or resident (as determined for U.S. federal income tax purposes),  
 a corporation (or other entity that is classified as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes) organized under the laws of the United States or any of its political subdivisions,  
 an estate the income of which is subject to U.S. federal income taxation regardless of its source, or  
 a trust if (i) a court within the United States is able to exercise primary supervision over the administration of the trust and one or more U.S. persons have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust or (ii) the trust has a valid election in effect to be treated as a U.S. person for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

### Distributions

Subject to the discussion below of the rules applicable to PFICs, any distributions to a U.S. Holder made by us with respect to our common units or our Series A Preferred Units generally will constitute dividends to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits, as determined under U.S. federal income tax principles, allocated to our common units or our Series A Preferred Units, as applicable. Distributions in excess of our earnings and profits allocated to our Series A Preferred Units or common units, as applicable, will be treated first as a nontaxable return of capital to the extent of the U.S. Holder's tax basis in its Series A Preferred Units or common units and, thereafter, as capital gain. U.S. Holders that are corporations generally will not be entitled to claim a dividends received deduction with respect to distributions they receive from us because we are not a U.S. corporation. Dividends received with respect to our common units or Series A Preferred Units generally will be treated as "passive category income" for purposes of computing allowable foreign tax credits for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Dividends received with respect to our common units or Series A Preferred Units by a U.S. Holder that is an individual, trust or estate (or a U.S. Individual Holder) generally will be treated as "qualified dividend income," which is currently taxable to such U.S. Individual Holder at preferential capital gain tax rates provided that: (i) our common units or Series A Preferred Units, as applicable, are readily tradable on an established securities market in the United States (such as The Nasdaq Global Market on which our common units and Series A Preferred Units are traded); (ii) we are not a PFIC for the taxable year during which the dividend is paid or the immediately preceding taxable year; (iii) the U.S. Individual Holder has owned the common units or Series A Preferred Units for more than 60 days during the 121-day period beginning 60 days before the date on which such common units or Series A Preferred Units, as applicable become ex-dividend (and has not entered into certain risk limiting transactions with respect to such units); and (iv) the U.S. Individual Holder is not under an obligation to make related payments with respect to positions in substantially similar or related property. There is no assurance that any dividends paid on our common units or Series A Preferred Units will be eligible for these preferential rates in the hands of a U.S. Individual Holder, and any dividends paid on our common units or Series A Preferred Units that are not eligible for these preferential rates will be taxed as ordinary income to a U.S. Individual Holder.

Special rules may apply to any amounts received in respect of our common units or Series A Preferred Units that are treated as "extraordinary dividends." In general, an extraordinary dividend is a dividend with respect to a common unit that is equal to or in excess of 10.0% of the unitholder's adjusted tax basis (or fair market value upon the unitholder's election) in such common unit, and a dividend with respect to a Series A Preferred Unit that is equal to or in excess of 5.0% of the unitholder's adjusted tax basis (or fair market value upon the unitholder's election) in such preferred unit. In addition, extraordinary dividends include dividends received within a one year period that, in the aggregate, equal or exceed 20.0% of a unitholder's adjusted tax basis (or fair market value). If we pay an "extraordinary dividend" on our common units or Series A Preferred Units that is treated as "qualified dividend income," then any loss recognized by a U.S. Individual Holder from the sale or exchange of such units will be treated as long-term capital loss to the extent of the amount of such dividend.

### Medicare Tax on Net Investment Income

Certain U.S. Holders, including individuals, estates and trusts, will be subject to an additional 3.8% Medicare tax on, among other things, dividends and capital gains from the sale or other disposition of equity interests. For individuals, the additional Medicare tax applies to the lesser of (i) "net investment income" or (ii) the excess of "modified adjusted gross income" over \$200,000 (\$250,000 if married and filing jointly or \$125,000 if married and filing separately). "Net investment income" generally equals the taxpayer's gross investment income reduced by deductions that are allocable to

such income. Unitholders should consult their tax advisors regarding the implications of the additional Medicare tax resulting from their ownership and disposition of our units.

## Table of Contents

### Sale, Exchange or Other Disposition

Subject to the discussion of PFIC status below, a U.S. Holder generally will recognize capital gain or loss upon a sale, exchange or other disposition of our common units or Series A Preferred Units in an amount equal to the difference between the amount realized by the U.S. Holder from such sale, exchange or other disposition and the U.S. Holder's adjusted tax basis in such common units or Series A Preferred Units. The U.S. Holder's initial tax basis in its units generally will be the U.S. Holder's purchase price for the units and that tax basis will be reduced (but not below zero) by the amount of any distributions on such units that are treated as non-taxable returns of capital, as discussed above under "—Distributions." Such gain or loss will be treated as long-term capital gain or loss if the U.S. Holder's holding period is greater than one year at the time of the sale, exchange or other disposition. Certain U.S. Holders (including individuals) may be eligible for preferential rates of U.S. federal income tax in respect of long-term capital gains. A U.S. Holder's ability to deduct capital losses is subject to limitations. Such capital gain or loss generally will be treated as U.S. source income or loss, as applicable, for U.S. foreign tax credit purposes.

### PFIC Status and Significant Tax Consequences

Adverse U.S. federal income tax rules apply to a U.S. Holder that owns an equity interest in a non-U.S. corporation that is classified as a PFIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes. In general, we will be treated as a PFIC with respect to a U.S. Holder if, for any taxable year in which the holder held our units, either:

- at least 75.0% of our gross income (including the gross income of our vessel-owning subsidiaries) for such taxable year consists of passive income (e.g., dividends, interest, capital gains from the sale or exchange of investment property, and rents derived other than in the active conduct of a rental business); or
- at least 50.0% of the average value of the assets held by us (including the assets of our vessel-owning subsidiaries) during such taxable year produce, or are held for the production of, passive income.

Income earned, or treated as earned (for U.S. federal income tax purposes), by us in connection with the performance of services would not constitute passive income for PFIC purposes. By contrast, rental income generally would constitute "passive income" unless we were treated as deriving that rental income in the active conduct of a trade or business under the applicable rules.

There are legal uncertainties involved in determining whether the income derived from time-chartering activities constitutes rental income or income derived from the performance of services. While there is legal authority supporting our conclusions, including IRS pronouncements concerning the characterization of income derived from time charters as services income, the Fifth Circuit held in *Tidewater Inc. v. United States*, 565 F.3d 299 (5th Cir. 2009) that income derived from certain marine time charter agreements should be treated as rental income rather than services income for purposes of a "foreign sales corporation" provision of the Code. In that case, the Fifth Circuit did not address the definition of passive income or the PFIC rules; however, the reasoning of the case could have implications as to how the income from a time charter would be classified under such rules. If the reasoning of this case were extended to the PFIC context, the gross income we derive or are deemed to derive from our time chartering activities may be treated as rental income, and we would likely be treated as a PFIC. The IRS has announced its nonacquiescence with the court's holding in the *Tidewater* case and, at the same time, announced the position of the IRS that the marine time charter agreements at issue in that case should be treated as service contracts.

We believe that we were not a PFIC for any prior taxable year, and we expect that we will not be treated as a PFIC for the current year based on our current assets and operations. However no assurance can be given that the IRS or court of law will accept our position or that we would not constitute a PFIC for any future taxable year if there were to be changes in our assets, income or operations.

As discussed more fully below, if we were to be treated as a PFIC for any taxable year (and regardless of whether we remain a PFIC for subsequent taxable years), a U.S. Holder would be subject to different taxation rules depending on whether the U.S. Holder makes an election to treat us as a "Qualified Electing Fund," which we refer to as a "QEF election." As an alternative to making a QEF election, a U.S. Holder should be able to make a "mark-to-market" election with respect to our units, as discussed below. If we are a PFIC, a U.S. Holder will be subject to the PFIC rules

described herein with respect to any of our subsidiaries that are PFICs. However, the mark-to-market election discussed below will likely not be available with respect to shares of such PFIC subsidiaries. In addition, if a U.S. Holder owns our units during any taxable year that we are a PFIC, such holder must file an annual report with the IRS.

#### Taxation of U.S. Holders Making a Timely QEF Election

If a U.S. Holder makes a timely QEF election (or an Electing Holder), then, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, that holder must report as income for its taxable year its pro rata share of our ordinary earnings and net capital gain, if any, for our taxable years that end with or within the taxable year for which that holder is reporting, regardless of whether or not the Electing

## Table of Contents

Holder received distributions from us in that year. The Electing Holder's adjusted tax basis in the common units or Series A Preferred Units will be increased to reflect such taxed but undistributed earnings and profits. Distributions of earnings and profits that were previously taxed will result in a corresponding reduction in the Electing Holder's adjusted tax basis in the common units or Series A Preferred Units and will not be taxed again once distributed. An Electing Holder generally will recognize capital gain or loss on the sale, exchange or other disposition of our common units or Series A Preferred Units. A U.S. Holder makes a QEF election with respect to any year that we are a PFIC by filing IRS Form 8621 with its U.S. federal income tax return. If contrary to our expectations, we determine that we are treated as a PFIC for any taxable year, we will provide each U.S. Holder with the information necessary to make the QEF election described above.

### Taxation of U.S. Holders Making a "Mark-to-Market" Election

If we were to be treated as a PFIC for any taxable year and, as we anticipate, our common units or Series A Preferred Units were treated as "marketable stock," then, as an alternative to making a QEF election, a U.S. Holder would be allowed to make a "mark-to-market" election with respect to our common units or Series A Preferred Units, as applicable, provided the U.S. Holder completes and files IRS Form 8621 in accordance with the relevant instructions and related Treasury Regulations. If that election is made, the U.S. Holder generally would include as ordinary income in each taxable year the excess, if any, of the fair market value of the U.S. Holder's common units or Series A Preferred Units at the end of the taxable year over the holder's adjusted tax basis in such units. The U.S. Holder also would be permitted an ordinary loss in respect of the excess, if any, of the U.S. Holder's adjusted tax basis in the units over the fair market value thereof at the end of the taxable year, but only to the extent of the net amount previously included in income as a result of the mark-to-market election. A U.S. Holder's tax basis in its units would be adjusted to reflect any such income or loss recognized. Gain recognized on the sale, exchange or other disposition of our common units or Series A Preferred Units would be treated as ordinary income, and any loss recognized on the sale, exchange or other disposition of the common units or Series A Preferred Units, as applicable would be treated as ordinary loss to the extent that such loss does not exceed the net mark-to-market gains previously included in income by the U.S. Holder. Because the mark-to-market election only applies to marketable stock, however, it would not apply to a U.S. Holder's indirect interest in any of our subsidiaries that were determined to be PFICs.

### Taxation of U.S. Holders Not Making a Timely QEF or Mark-to-Market Election

If we were to be treated as a PFIC for any taxable year, a U.S. Holder that does not make either a QEF election or a "mark-to-market" election for that year (or a Non-Electing Holder) would be subject to special rules resulting in increased tax liability with respect to (1) any excess distribution (i.e., the portion of any distributions received by the Non-Electing Holder on our common units or Series A Preferred Units in a taxable year in excess of 125.0% of the average annual distributions received by the Non-Electing Holder in the three preceding taxable years, or, if shorter, the Non-Electing Holder's holding period for the units), and (2) any gain realized on the sale, exchange or other disposition of such units. Under these special rules:

- the excess distribution or gain would be allocated ratably over the Non-Electing Holder's aggregate holding period for the common units or Series A Preferred Units;
- the amount allocated to the current taxable year and any taxable year prior to the taxable year we were first treated as a PFIC with respect to the Non-Electing Holder would be taxed as ordinary income; and
- the amount allocated to each of the other taxable years would be subject to tax at the highest rate of tax in effect for the applicable class of taxpayers for that year, and an interest charge for the deemed deferral benefit would be imposed with respect to the resulting tax attributable to each such other taxable year.

These penalties would not apply to a qualified pension, profit sharing or other retirement trust or other tax-exempt organization that did not borrow money or otherwise utilize leverage in connection with its acquisition of our common units or Series A Preferred Units. If we were treated as a PFIC for any taxable year and a Non-Electing Holder who is an individual dies while owning our common units or Series A Preferred Units, such holder's successor generally would not receive a step-up in tax basis with respect to such units.

### U.S. Federal Income Taxation of Non-U.S. Holders



A beneficial owner of our common or Series A Preferred Units (other than a partnership or an entity or arrangement treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes) that is not a U.S. Holder is referred to as a Non-U.S. Holder. Unitholders who are partners in a partnership (or an entity or arrangement treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes) holding our common units or Series A Preferred Units should consult a tax advisor regarding the tax consequences to them of the partnership's ownership of such units.

## Table of Contents

### Distributions

Distributions we pay to a Non-U.S. Holder will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax or withholding tax if the Non-U.S. Holder is not engaged in a U.S. trade or business. If the Non-U.S. Holder is engaged in a U.S. trade or business, our distributions will be subject to U.S. federal income tax in the same manner as a U.S. Holder to the extent they constitute income effectively connected with the Non-U.S. Holder's U.S. trade or business (provided, in the case of a Non-U.S. Holder entitled to the benefits of an income tax treaty with the United States, such distributions also are attributable to a U.S. permanent establishment). The after-tax amount of any effectively connected dividends received by a corporate Non-U.S. Holder may also be subject to an additional U.S. branch profits tax at a 30% rate (or, if applicable, a lower treaty rate). However, distributions paid to a Non-U.S. Holder that is engaged in a U.S. trade or business generally will be exempt from U.S. federal income tax if such Non-U.S. Holder is entitled to the benefits of an income tax treaty with the United States and the distribution is not attributable to a U.S. permanent establishment maintained by the Non-U.S. Holder.

### Disposition of Units

In general, a Non-U.S. Holder is not subject to U.S. federal income tax or withholding tax on any gain resulting from the disposition of our common units or Series A Preferred Units provided the Non-U.S. Holder is not engaged in a U.S. trade or business. A Non-U.S. Holder that is engaged in a U.S. trade or business will be subject to U.S. federal income tax in the same manner as a U.S. Holder in the event the gain from the disposition of units is effectively connected with the conduct of such U.S. trade or business (provided, in the case of a Non-U.S. Holder entitled to the benefits of an income tax treaty with the United States, such gain also is attributable to a U.S. permanent establishment). However, even if not engaged in a U.S. trade or business, individual Non-U.S. Holders may be subject to tax on gain resulting from the disposition of our common units or Series A Preferred Units if they are present in the United States for 183 days or more during the taxable year in which those units are disposed and meet certain other requirements.

### Backup Withholding and Information Reporting

In general, payments to a non-corporate U.S. Holder of distributions or the proceeds of a disposition of our common units or Series A Preferred Units will be subject to information reporting. These payments to a non-corporate U.S. Holder also may be subject to backup withholding if the non-corporate U.S. Holder:

- fails to provide an accurate taxpayer identification number;
- is notified by the IRS that it has failed to report all interest or corporate distributions required to be reported on its U.S. federal income tax returns; or
- in certain circumstances, fails to comply with applicable certification requirements.

Non-U.S. Holders may be required to establish their exemption from information reporting and backup withholding by certifying their status on IRS Form W-8BEN, W-8BEN-E, W-8ECI, W-8EXP or W-8IMY, as applicable.

Backup withholding is not an additional tax. Rather, a unitholder generally may obtain a credit for any amount withheld against its liability for U.S. federal income tax (and obtain a refund of any amounts withheld in excess of such liability) by timely filing a U.S. federal income tax return with the IRS.

In addition, individual citizens or residents of the United States holding certain "foreign financial assets" (which generally includes stock and other securities issued by a foreign person unless held in account maintained by a financial institution) that exceed certain thresholds (the lowest being holding foreign financial assets with an aggregate value in excess of: (1) \$50,000 on the last day of the tax year or (2) \$75,000 at any time during the tax year) are required to report information relating to such assets. Significant penalties may apply for failure to satisfy the reporting obligations described above. Unitholders should consult their tax advisors regarding their reporting obligations, if any, that would result from their purchase, ownership or disposition of our units.

### Non-United States Tax Considerations

#### Marshall Islands Tax Consequences

The following discussion is based upon the current laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands applicable to persons who do not reside in, maintain offices in or engage in business in the Republic of the Marshall Islands.

We and certain of our subsidiaries are incorporated in the Marshall Islands. Under current Marshall Islands law, we are not subject to tax on income or capital gains, and no Marshall Islands withholding tax will be imposed upon payments of dividends

## Table of Contents

by us to unitholders that are not residents or domiciled or carrying any commercial activity in the Marshall Islands, nor will such unitholders be subject to any Marshall Islands taxation on the sale or other disposition of our units.

### United Kingdom Tax Consequences

The following is a discussion of the material United Kingdom tax consequences that may be relevant to prospective unitholders who are persons not resident for tax purposes in the United Kingdom (non-UK Holders).

Prospective unitholders who are resident in the United Kingdom are urged to consult their own tax advisors regarding the potential United Kingdom tax consequences to them of an investment in our units. For this purpose, a company incorporated outside of the United Kingdom will be treated as resident in the United Kingdom in the event its central management and control is carried out in the United Kingdom.

The discussion that follows is based upon existing United Kingdom legislation and current H.M. Revenue & Customs practice as of the date of this Annual Report. Changes in these authorities may cause the tax consequences to vary substantially from the consequences of unit ownership described below. Unless the context otherwise requires, references in this section to “we”, “our”, or “us” are references to Golar LNG Partners LP.

### Taxation of Non-UK Holders

Under the United Kingdom Tax Acts, non-UK holders will not be subject to any United Kingdom taxes on income or profits (including chargeable (capital) gains) in respect of the acquisition, holding, disposition or redemption of the units, provided that:

- we are not treated as carrying on a trade, profession or vocation in the United Kingdom;
- such holders do not have a branch or agency or permanent establishment in the United Kingdom to which such units pertain; and
- such holders do not use or hold and are not deemed or considered to use or hold their units in the course of carrying on a trade, profession or vocation in the United Kingdom.

A non-United Kingdom resident company or an individual not resident in the United Kingdom that carries on a business in the United Kingdom through a partnership is subject to United Kingdom tax on income derived from the business carried on by the partnership in the United Kingdom. Nonetheless, we expect to conduct our affairs in such a manner that we will not be treated as carrying on business in the United Kingdom. Consequently, we expect that non-UK Holders will not be considered to be carrying on business in the United Kingdom for the purposes of the United Kingdom Tax Acts solely by reason of the acquisition, holding, disposition or redemption of our units.

While we do not expect it to be the case, if the arrangements we propose to enter into result in our being considered to carry on business in the United Kingdom for the purposes of the United Kingdom Tax Acts, our unitholders would be considered to be carrying on business in the United Kingdom and would be required to file tax returns with the United Kingdom taxing authority and, subject to any relief provided in any relevant double taxation treaty (including, in the case of holders resident in the United States, the double taxation agreement between the United Kingdom and the United States), would be subject to taxation in the United Kingdom on any income and chargeable gains that are considered to be attributable to the business carried on by us in the United Kingdom.

**EACH PROSPECTIVE UNITHOLDER IS URGED TO CONSULT HIS OWN TAX COUNSEL OR OTHER ADVISOR WITH REGARD TO THE LEGAL AND TAX CONSEQUENCES OF UNIT OWNERSHIP UNDER THEIR PARTICULAR CIRCUMSTANCES.**

### F. Dividends and Paying Agents

Not applicable.

### G. Statements by Experts

Not applicable.



Table of Contents

H. Documents on Display

Documents concerning us that are referred to herein may be inspected at our principal executive headquarters at 2nd Floor, S.E. Pearman Building, 9 Par-la-Ville Road, Hamilton HM 11, Bermuda. Those documents electronically filed via the SEC's Electronic Data Gathering, Analysis, and Retrieval (or EDGAR) system may also be obtained from the SEC's website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov), free of charge, or from the SEC's Public Reference Section at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549, at prescribed rates. Further information on the operation of the SEC public reference rooms may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330.

I. Subsidiary Information

Not applicable.

Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to various market risks, including interest rate and foreign currency exchange risks. We enter into a variety of derivative instruments and contracts to maintain the desired level of exposure arising from these risks.

Our policy is to hedge our exposure to risks, where possible, within boundaries deemed appropriate by management.

A discussion of our accounting policies for derivative financial instruments is included in note 2 "Significant Accounting Policies" to our Consolidated Financial Statements. Further information on our exposure to market risk is included in note 23 "Financial Instruments" to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

The following analyses provide quantitative information regarding our exposure to foreign currency exchange rate risk and interest rate risk. There are certain shortcomings inherent in the sensitivity analyses presented, primarily due to the assumption that exchange rates change in a parallel fashion and that interest rates change instantaneously.

**Interest rate risk.** A significant portion of our long-term debt is subject to adverse movements in interest rates. Our interest rate risk management policy permits economic hedge relationships in order to reduce the risk associated with adverse fluctuations in interest rates. We use interest rate swaps and fixed rate debt to manage the exposure to adverse movements in interest rates. Interest rate swaps are used to convert floating rate debt obligations to a fixed rate in order to achieve an overall desired position of fixed and floating rate debt. Credit exposures are monitored on a counterparty basis, with all new transactions subject to senior management approval.

Assuming a 1% increase in the interest rate (including the effect of interest rates under the related interest rate swap agreements) as applied against our floating rate debt balance and our proportionate share of obligation under the Partnership Guarantee as of December 31, 2018, this would increase our interest expense by \$0.7 million per annum. We have calculated our floating rate debt as the principal outstanding on our long-term bank debt and net capital lease obligations (net of related restricted cash balances). For disclosure of the fair value of the derivatives and debt obligations outstanding as of December 31, 2018, please read note 23 "Financial Instruments" to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Foreign currency risk.** A substantial amount of our transactions, assets and liabilities are denominated in currencies other than U.S. Dollars, such as Pound Sterling, in relation to the administrative expenses we will be charged by Golar Management in the UK and operating expenses incurred in a variety of foreign currencies and Brazilian Reais in respect of our Brazilian subsidiary which receives income and pays expenses in Brazilian Reais. Based on our Pound Sterling expenses for the year ended December 31, 2018, a 10% depreciation of the U.S. Dollar against Pound

Sterling would have increased our expenses by \$0.1 million. Based on our Brazilian Reais expenses for the year ended December 31, 2018, a 10% depreciation of the U.S. Dollar against the Brazilian Reais would have increased our net revenue and expenses by \$0.5 million.

The base currency of the majority of our seafaring officers' remuneration was the Euro, Indonesian Rupiah or Brazilian Reais. Based on the crew costs for the year ended December 31, 2018, a 10% depreciation of the U.S. Dollar against the Euro, Indonesian Rupiah and the Brazilian Reais would increase our crew cost by \$2.9 million.

Table of Contents

We are exposed to some extent in respect of the lease transaction entered into with respect to the Methane Princess, which is denominated in Pound Sterling, although it is economically hedged by the Pound Sterling cash deposit that secures the obligations under the lease. We use cash from the deposit to make payments in respect of the lease transaction entered into with respect to the Methane Princess. Gains or losses that we incur are unrealized unless we choose or are required to withdraw monies from or pay additional monies into the deposit securing this obligation. Among other things, movements in interest rates give rise to a requirement for us to adjust the amount of the Pound Sterling cash deposit. Based on this lease obligation and the related cash deposit as of December 31, 2018, a 10% appreciation in the U.S. Dollar against Pound Sterling would give rise to a foreign exchange movement of \$0.2 million.

Item 12. Description of Securities Other than Equity Securities

Not applicable.

94

---



Table of Contents

PART II

Item 13. Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies

Not applicable.

Item 14. Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 15. Controls and Procedures

(a) Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Management assessed the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as of the end of the period covered by this annual report as of December 31, 2018. Based upon that evaluation, our principal executive, financial and accounting officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the evaluation date.

(b) Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In accordance with the requirements of Rule 13a-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the following report is provided by management in respect of our internal control over financial reporting. As defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission, internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by our Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements for external purposes in accordance with GAAP and includes those policies and procedures that:

pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Partnership;

- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP, and that receipts and expenditures of the Partnership are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Partnership; and

provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Partnership's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Our internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of our published Consolidated Financial Statements for external purposes under GAAP.

In connection with the preparation of our annual Consolidated Financial Statements, management has undertaken an assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013), issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

Management's assessment included an evaluation of the design of the Partnership's internal control over financial reporting and testing of the operational effectiveness of those controls. Based on this assessment, management has concluded and hereby reports that as of December 31, 2018, the Partnership's internal control over financial reporting is effective.

The Partnership's independent registered public accounting firm has issued an attestation report on the effectiveness of the Partnership's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

(c) Attestation Report of the Registered Public Accounting Firm

The effectiveness of the Partnership's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018 has been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears on page F-3 of our Consolidated Financial Statements.

(d) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the period covered by this Annual Report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 16. [Reserved]

Item 16A. Audit Committee Financial Expert

Our board of directors has determined that Lori Wheeler Naess qualifies as an audit committee financial expert and is independent under applicable Nasdaq and SEC standards.

Item 16B. Code of Ethics

We have adopted the Golar LNG Partners LP Corporate Code of Business Ethics and Conduct that applies to all of our employees and our officers and directors. This document is available under the "Governance" tab in the "Investors" section of our website ([www.golarlngpartners.com](http://www.golarlngpartners.com)). We intend to disclose, under this tab of our web site, any waivers to or amendments of the Golar LNG Partners LP Corporate Code of Business Ethics and Conduct for the benefit of any of our directors and executive officers.

Item 16C. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

In 2018 and 2017, the fees incurred by the Partnership for Ernst & Young LLP's services were as follows:

	2018	2017
Audit Fees	\$1,404,179	\$1,010,092
Tax Fees	66,789	271,295
All Other Fees	133,736	391,873
	\$1,604,704	\$1,673,260

Audit Fees

Audit fees for 2018 and 2017 include fees related to aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by the principal accountant, for the audit of the Partnership's annual financial statements and services provided by the principal accountant, in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for the two most recent fiscal years.

Tax Fees

Tax fees for 2018 and 2017 are the aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by the principal accountant for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning.

The Audit Committee has the authority to pre-approve permissible audit-related and non-audit services not prohibited by law to be performed by our independent auditors and associated fees. Engagements for proposed services either may be separately pre-approved by the audit committee or entered into pursuant to detailed pre-approval policies and procedures established by the audit committee, as long as the audit committee is informed on a timely basis of any engagement entered into on that basis. The audit committee separately pre-approved all engagements and fees paid to our principal accountant in 2018.

Table of Contents

## All Other Fees

All other fees are the aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by the principal accountant for other services that are not included in the scope of the current year audit or tax services as mentioned above. This majority of the balance comprises of advisory services provided during the year.

## Item 16D. Exemptions from the Listing Standards for Audit Committees

Not applicable.

## Item 16E. Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

In March 2018, our Board approved a common unit repurchase program of up to \$25.0 million of our outstanding common units in the open market over a two year period and subsequently increased the common unit repurchase program to \$50 million in December 2018. As of December 31, 2018, we had repurchased a total of 930,866 units under the common unit repurchase program for an aggregate cost of \$14.0 million.

In accordance with the provisions of the Partnership Agreement, all common units repurchased are deemed canceled and not outstanding, with immediate effect.

Month of repurchase	Total number of common units purchased	Average price paid per common unit	Total number of common units purchased as part of publicly announced plans or program	Maximum value of common units that may be purchased under the plans or program
March 2018	439,672	\$ 18.18	439,672	\$42,008,085
June 2018	96,100	\$ 15.31	535,772	\$40,536,547
December 2018	395,094	\$ 11.39	930,866	\$36,037,726
Total	930,866		930,866	\$36,037,726

## Item 16F. Change in Registrants' Certifying Accountant

Not applicable.

## Item 16G. Corporate Governance

Because we qualify as a foreign private issuer under SEC rules, we are permitted to follow the corporate governance practices of the Marshall Islands (the jurisdiction in which we are organized) in lieu of certain Nasdaq corporate governance requirements that would otherwise be applicable to us.

Nasdaq rules do not require a listed company that is a foreign private issuer to have a board of directors that is comprised of a majority of independent directors. Under Marshall Islands law, we are not required to have a board of directors comprised of a majority of directors meeting the independence standards described in Nasdaq rules. In addition, Nasdaq rules do not require limited partnerships like us to have boards of directors comprised of a majority of independent directors.

Nasdaq rules do not require foreign private issuers like us to establish a compensation committee or a nominating/corporate governance committee. Similarly, under Marshall Islands law, we are not required to have a compensation committee or a nominating/corporate governance committee. In addition, Nasdaq rules do not require limited partnerships like us to have a compensation committee or a nominating/corporate governance committee. Accordingly, we do not have a compensation committee or a nominating/corporate governance committee.

Item 16H.            Mine Safety Disclosure

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 17. Financial Statements

Not applicable.

Item 18. Financial Statements

The following financial statements, together with the related reports of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm thereon, are filed as part of this Annual Report appearing on pages F-1 through F-50.

Item 19. Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed as part of this Annual Report:

Exhibit Number	Description
1.1**	<u>Certificate of Limited Partnership of Golar LNG Partners LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the registrant's Registration Statement on Form F-1 (Registration No. 333-173160))</u>
1.2**	<u>Third Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Golar LNG Partners LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Form 6K filed on October 31, 2017)</u>
2.1**	<u>Long Term Incentive Plan, adopted May 30, 2016, providing to Employees, Consultants and Directors who perform services for the Partnership and its subsidiaries incentive compensation awards based on Units (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to the registrant's Form S-8 filed on July 12, 2016)</u>
2.2**	<u>Exchange Agreement by and among Golar LNG Partners LP, Golar GP LLC and Golar LNG Limited, dated October 13, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's Report of Foreign Issuer on Form 6-K filed on October 19, 2016)</u>
4.1**	<u>Omnibus Agreement dated April 13, 2011, by and among Golar LNG Ltd., Golar LNG Partners LP, Golar GP LLC and Golar Energy Limited (incorporated by reference to the Exhibit 4.2 of the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 20-F for fiscal year ended December 31, 2011)</u>
4.1(a)**	<u>Amendment No. 1 to Omnibus Agreement, dated October 5, 2011 by and among Golar LNG Ltd., Golar LNG Partners LP, Golar GP LLC and Golar Energy Limited (incorporated by reference to the Exhibit 4.2(A) of the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 20-F for fiscal year ended December 31, 2011)</u>
4.2**	<u>First Amended and Restated Management and Administrative Services Agreement, effective as of July 1, 2011, between Golar LNG Partners LP and Golar Management Limited (incorporated by reference to the Exhibit 4.3 of the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 20-F for fiscal year ended December 31, 2011)</u>
4.3**	<u>Form of Management Agreement with Golar Management Limited (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the registrant's Registration Statement on Form F-1 (Registration No. 333-173160))</u>
4.4**	<u>\$175 million Facility Agreement, dated December 14, 2012, by and among a group of banks as the lender and PT Golar Indonesia as the borrower (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the registrant's Report of Foreign Issuer on Form 6-K filed on February 5, 2013)</u>
4.5**	<u>Purchase, Sale and Contribution Agreement, dated December 5, 2013, by and between Golar LNG Partners LP, Golar Partners Operating LLC and Golar LNG Ltd., providing for, among other things, the acquisition of the Golar Igloo (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's Report of Foreign Issuer on Form 6-K filed on December 10, 2013)</u>
4.6**	<u>Purchase, Sale and Contribution Agreement of the acquisition of the Golar Eskimo dated December 15, 2014 among Golar LNG Ltd, Golar LNG Partners LP and Golar Partners Operating LLC (incorporated by</u>

reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's Report of Foreign Issuer on Form 6-K filed on December 19, 2014)

4.7\*\* Bond Agreement dated May 20, 2015 between Golar LNG Partners LP and Nordic Trustee ASA as bond trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the registrant's Report of Foreign Issuer on Form 6-K filed on May 26, 2015)

4.8\*\* Purchase and Sale Agreement made by and between Golar LNG Limited and Golar Partners Operating LLC, dated February 10, 2016 with respect to the acquisition of the Golar Tundra (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's Report of Foreign Issuer on Form 6-K filed on February 2, 2016)



Table of Contents

- 4.9\*\* Facilities Agreement for an \$800 million senior secured amortizing term loan and revolving credit facility, dated April 27, 2016, by and among Golar Partners Operating LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Limited, DNB (UK) Limited, Nordea Bank Norge ASA, as agent and security agent and the other parties thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.38 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 20-F filed on May 2, 2016)
- 4.10\*\* Bareboat charter by and between Golar Eskimo Corp and Sea 23 Leasing Co. Limited, dated November 4, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.39 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 20-F filed on May 2, 2016)
- 4.11\*\* Memorandum of Agreement by and between Golar Eskimo Corp and Sea 23 Leasing Co. Limited, dated November 4, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.40 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 20-F filed on May 2, 2016)
- 4.12\*\* Common Terms Agreements, by and between Golar Eskimo Corp and Sea 23 Leasing Co. Limited, dated November 4, 2015, providing for the sale and leaseback of the Golar Eskimo (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.41 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 20-F filed on May 2, 2016)
- 4.13\*\* Letter Agreement dated May 17, 2016, and Letter Agreement Amendment dated September 26, 2016, by and between Golar Partners Operating LLC and Golar LNG Limited (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8 and Exhibit 4.9, respectively, to the registrant's Report of Foreign Issuer on Form 6-K filed on October 3, 2016)
- 4.14\*\* Bareboat charter by and between Golar LNG NB 13 Corporation and Sea 24 Leasing Co. Limited, dated November 19, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the registrant's Report of Foreign Issuer on Form 6-K filed on October 3, 2016)
- 4.15\*\* Memorandum of Agreement by and between Golar LNG NB 13 Corporation and Sea 24 Leasing Co. Limited, dated November 19, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to the registrant's Report of Foreign Issuer on Form 6-K filed on October 3, 2016)
- 4.16\*\* Common Terms Agreements, by and between Golar LNG NB 13 Corporation and Sea 24 Leasing Co. Limited, dated November 19, 2015, providing for the sale and leaseback of the Golar Tundra (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the registrant's Report of Foreign Issuer on Form 6-K filed on October 3, 2016)
- 4.17\*\* Omnibus Agreement dated June 19, 2016, by and among Golar LNG Ltd., Golar Power Limited, Golar LNG Partners LP, Golar GP LLC and Golar Partners Operating LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.10 to the registrant's Report of Foreign Issuer on Form 6-K filed on October 3, 2016)
- 4.18\*\* Supplemental Agreement dated April 28, 2016, by and among Golar LNG NB13 Corporation, Golar LNG Limited, Golar LNG Partners LP and a subsidiary of China Merchants Bank Limited (Tundra SPV) to the Bareboat charter, Memorandum of Agreement and Common Terms Agreements dated November 19, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's Report of Foreign Issuer on Form 6-K filed on February 7, 2017)
- 4.19\*\* Management and Administrative Services Agreement between Golar LNG Partners LP and Golar Management Limited, dated April 1, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.39 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 20-F filed on May 1, 2017)
- 4.20\*\* Bond Agreement dated February 10, 2017 between Golar LNG Partners LP and Nordic Trustee ASA as bond trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.40 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 20-F filed on May 1, 2017)
- 4.21\*\* Third Amendment to the Letter Agreement dated May 30, 2017, by and between Golar Partners Operating LLC and Golar LNG Limited (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the registrant's Report of Foreign Issuer on Form 6-K filed on June 29, 2017)
- 4.22\*\* First Supplemental Letter, dated April 27, 2016 to Facilities Agreement for an \$800 million senior secured amortizing term loan and revolving credit facility by and among Golar Partners Operating LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Limited, DNB (UK) Limited, Nordea Bank Norge ASA, as agent and security agent and the other parties thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the registrant's Report of Foreign Issuer on Form 6-K filed on September 13, 2017)
- 4.23\*\*

Second Supplemental Letter, dated May 22, 2017 to Facilities Agreement for an \$800 million senior secured amortizing term loan and revolving credit facility by and among Golar Partners Operating LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Limited, DNB (UK) Limited, Nordea Bank Norge ASA, as agent and security agent and the other parties thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the registrant's Report of Foreign Issuer on Form 6-K filed on September 13, 2017)

4.24\*\* Third Supplemental Letter, dated June 29, 2017, to Facilities Agreement for an \$800 million senior secured amortizing term loan and revolving credit facility by and among Golar Partners Operating LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Limited, DNB (UK) Limited, Nordea Bank Norge ASA, as agent and security agent and the other parties thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the registrant's Report of Foreign Issuer on Form 6-K filed on September 13, 2017)

Table of Contents

4.25**	<u>Purchase and Sale Agreement by and among Golar LNG Limited, KS Investments Pte. Ltd., Black &amp; Veatch International Company and Golar Partners Operating LLC, dated August 15, 2017 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the registrant's Report of Foreign Issuer on Form 6-K filed on September 13, 2017)</u>
4.26**	<u>Corporate Services Agreement by and between Golar LNG Partners and Golar Management (Bermuda) Limited, dated as of June 26, 2017 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.27 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 20-F filed on April 16, 2018)</u>
4.27**	<u>Deed of Guarantee by Golar LNG Partners LP in favor of Sea 24 Leasing Co. Limited in respect of the obligations of Golar LNG NB13 Corporation, dated as of November 19, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.28 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 20-F filed on April 16, 2018)</u>
4.28**	<u>Indemnity Letter, dated as of October 17, 2017, by and between Golar LNG Partners LP and Golar LNG Limited (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.29 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 20-F filed on April 16, 2018)</u>
4.29**	<u>Fourth Supplemental Letter to Facilities Agreement for an \$800 million senior secured amortizing term loan and revolving credit facility, dated January 16, 2018, by and among Golar Partners Operating LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Limited, DNB (UK) Limited, Nordea Bank Norge ASA, as agent and security agent and the other parties thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.30 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 20-F filed on April 16, 2018)</u>
4.30**	<u>Amendment No. 1 to Management and Administrative Services Agreement, dated as of March 19, 2018, by and between Golar LNG Partners LP and Golar Management Limited (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.31 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 20-F filed on April 16, 2018)</u>
4.31**	<u>Amendment No. 1 to Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated as of March 23, 2018, by and between Golar LNG Partners LP, Golar LNG Limited, KS Investments Pte. Ltd. And Black &amp; Veatch International Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.32 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 20-F filed on April 16, 2018)</u>
4.32**	<u>Supplemental Agreement to \$175 million Facility Agreement, dated March 29, 2018, by and PT Bank Sumitomo Mitsui as the lender, Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation Singapore Branch as the agent, PT Golar Indonesia as the borrower and guaranteed by Golar LNG Partners LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.33 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 20-F filed on April 16, 2018)</u>
4.33*/+	<u>Liquefaction Tolling Agreement, dated November 29, 2017, between Societe Nationale de Hydrocarbures, Perenco Cameroon SA, Golar Hilli Corporation and Golar Cameroon SASU</u>
4.34*	<u>Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Golar Hilli LLC, Golar LNG Limited, by and among Golar LNG Limited, KS Investments Pte. Ltd., Black &amp; Veatch International Company and Golar Partners Operating LLC, dated as of July 12, 2018.</u>
4.35*	<u>Bareboat charter by and between Golar Hilli Corp. and Fortune Lianjiang Shipping S.A., dated September 9, 2015</u>
4.36*	<u>Additional Clauses to the Bareboat Charter Party dated September 9, 2015 between Golar Hilli Corp. and Fortune Lianjiang Shipping S.A.</u>
4.37*	<u>Memorandum of Agreement by and between Golar Hilli Corp. and Fortune Lianjiang Shipping S.A., dated September 9, 2015</u>
4.38*	<u>Common Terms Agreements, by and between Golar Hilli Corp. and Fortune Lianjiang Shipping S.A., dated September 9, 2015</u>
4.39*	<u>Deed of Amendment, restatement and accession relating to a guarantee between Golar LNG Limited, Golar LNG Partners LP and Fortune Lianjiang Shipping S.A., dated as of July 12, 2018</u>
4.40*	<u>Guarantee Agreement by and between Golar LNG Partners LP and Standard Chartered Bank, dated as of November 28, 2018</u>
8.1*	<u>Subsidiaries of Golar LNG Partners LP</u>
12.1*	<u>Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Golar LNG Partners LP Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial and Accounting Officer</u>

- 13.1\* Certification under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 of the Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial and Accounting Officer
- 15.1\* Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm - Ernst & Young LLP.
- 101. INS XBRL Instance Document
- 101. SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
- 101. CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Calculation Linkbase
- 101. DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Definition Linkbase
- 101. LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Label Linkbase

100

---

Table of Contents

101. PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Presentation Linkbase

---

\* Filed herewith.

\*\* Incorporated by reference.

+ Certain portions have been omitted pursuant to a pending confidential treatment request. Omitted information has been separately filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

101

---

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

The registrant hereby certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 20-F and that it has duly caused and authorized the undersigned to sign this annual report on its behalf.

GOLAR LNG PARTNERS LP

By: /s/ Brian Tienzo

Name: Brian Tienzo

Title: Principal Executive, Financial and Accounting Officer

Date: March 29, 2019

Table of Contents

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
GOLAR LNG PARTNERS LP	
AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
<u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>F-2</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016</u>	<u>F-4</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016</u>	<u>F-5</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2018 and 2017</u>	<u>F-6</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016</u>	<u>F-7</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Partners' Capital for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, 2016</u>	<u>F-9</u>
<u>Notes to the Audited Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>F-10</u>

F-1

---

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Unitholders and the Board of Directors of Golar LNG Partners LP

Opinion on the Consolidated Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Golar LNG Partners LP (the “Partnership”) as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, changes in partners' capital and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2018 and the related notes (collectively referred to as the “consolidated financial statements”). In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Partnership at December 31, 2018 and 2017, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2018, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB), the Partnership’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) and our report dated March 29, 2019 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

Basis for Opinion

These financial statements are the responsibility of the Partnership's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Partnership’s financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Partnership in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

We have served as the Partnership’s auditor since 2014.

London, United Kingdom

March 29, 2019



F-2

---

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Unitholders and the Board of Directors of Golar LNG Partners LP

Opinion on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We have audited Golar LNG Partners LP's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) (the COSO criteria). In our opinion, Golar LNG Partners LP (the "Partnership") maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB), the 2018 consolidated financial statements of the Partnership and our report dated March 29, 2019 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

Basis for Opinion

The Partnership's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Partnership's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Partnership in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects.

Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Definition and Limitations of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP  
London, United Kingdom  
March 29, 2019

F-3

---

Table of Contents

## GOLAR LNG PARTNERS LP

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018, 2017  
AND 2016

(in thousands of \$, except per unit amounts)

	Notes	2018	2017	2016
Operating revenues				
Time charter revenues	6	346,650	415,679	413,230
Time charter revenues from related parties	24	—	17,423	28,368
Total operating revenues		346,650	433,102	441,598
Operating expenses				
Vessel operating expenses	24	(65,247 )	(68,278 )	(59,886 )
Voyage and commission expenses	24	(11,222 )	(9,694 )	(5,974 )
Administrative expenses	24	(14,809 )	(15,210 )	(8,600 )
Depreciation and amortization		(98,812 )	(103,810 )	(100,468 )
Total operating expenses		(190,090 )	(196,992 )	(174,928 )
Operating income		156,560	236,110	266,670
Other non-operating income		449	922	1,318
Financial income/(expense)				
Interest income	24	8,950	7,804	4,295
Interest expense		(80,650 )	(75,425 )	(66,938 )
Gains/(losses) on derivative instruments	2, 7	8,106	7,796	(931 )
Other financial items, net	2, 7	(592 )	(15,363 )	(1,814 )
Net financial expenses		(64,186 )	(75,188 )	(65,388 )
Income before tax, equity in net earnings of affiliate and non-controlling interests		92,823	161,844	202,600
Income taxes	8	(17,465 )	(16,996 )	(16,858 )
Equity in net earnings of affiliate	10	1,190	—	—
Net income		76,548	144,848	185,742
Net income attributable to non-controlling interests		(3,358 )	(15,568 )	(13,571 )
Net income attributable to Golar LNG Partners LP Owners		73,190	129,280	172,171
General partners' interest in net income <sup>(1)</sup>		1,223	2,544	23,135
Preferred unitholders' interest in net income		12,042	2,080	—
Common unitholders' interest in net income		59,925	124,656	139,948
Subordinated unitholders' interest in net income		—	—	9,088
Earnings per unit - Common Units:				
Basic	28	0.86	1.82	2.44
Diluted	28	0.86	1.80	2.43
Cash distributions declared and paid per Common unit in the year		1.96	2.31	2.31

(1) This includes net income attributable to IDR holders of \$nil, \$nil, and \$19.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-4

---

Table of Contents

GOLAR LNG PARTNERS LP

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME FOR THE YEARS ENDED  
DECEMBER 31, 2018, 2017 AND 2016

(in thousands of \$)

	Notes	2018	2017	2016
Net income		76,548	144,848	185,742
Unrealized net gain/(loss) on qualifying cash flow hedging instruments:				
Other comprehensive income before reclassification <sup>(1)</sup>		—	94	4,263
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive (loss)/income to the statement of operations		(26 )	4,985	409
Net other comprehensive (loss)/income		(26 )	5,079	4,672
Comprehensive income		76,522	149,927	190,414
Comprehensive income attributable to:				
Golar LNG Partners LP Owners		73,164	134,359	176,843
Non-controlling interests		3,358	15,568	13,571
		76,522	149,927	190,414

---

(1) There is no tax impact on any of the periods presented.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

## GOLAR LNG PARTNERS LP

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2018 AND 2017

(in thousands of \$)

	Notes	2018	2017
<b>ASSETS</b>			
Current assets			
Cash and cash equivalents		96,648	246,954
Restricted cash and short-term deposits	16	31,330	27,306
Trade accounts receivable	11	27,986	18,255
Amounts due from related parties	24	—	7,625
Inventories		2,031	3,506
Other current assets	12	6,534	7,850
Total current assets		164,529	311,496
Non-current assets			
Restricted cash	16	141,114	155,627
Investment in affiliate	10	206,180	—
Vessels and equipment, net	13	1,535,757	1,588,923
Vessel under capital lease, net	14	114,711	105,945
Intangible assets, net	15	60,369	73,206
Amounts due from related parties	24	—	177,247
Other non-current assets	17	18,157	14,927
Total assets		2,240,817	2,427,371
<b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>			
Current liabilities			
Current portion of long-term debt	20	75,451	118,850
Current portion of obligation under capital lease	21	1,564	1,276
Trade accounts payable		5,593	4,780
Accrued expenses	18	27,229	32,240
Amounts due to related parties	24	1,237	—
Other current liabilities	19	25,033	22,941
Total current liabilities		136,107	180,087
Non-current liabilities			
Long-term debt	20	1,196,899	1,252,184
Obligation under capital lease	21	118,119	126,805
Other non-current liabilities	22	30,175	20,694
Total liabilities		1,481,300	1,579,770
Commitments and contingencies	25		
Equity			
Partners' capital:			
Common unitholders: 69,455,364 units issued and outstanding at December 31, 2018 (2017: 69,768,261)	27	495,576	585,440
Preferred unitholders: 5,520,000 preferred units issued and outstanding at December 31, 2018 (2017: 5,520,000)	27	132,991	132,991
General partner interest: 1,436,391 units issued and outstanding at December 31, 2018 (2017: 1,423,843)	27	51,048	52,600
Total partners' capital		679,615	771,031
Accumulated other comprehensive income		—	26
Total before non-controlling interests		679,615	771,057
Non-controlling interests		79,902	76,544

Total equity	759,517	847,601
Total liabilities and equity	2,240,817	2,427,371

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-6

---



Table of Contents

GOLAR LNG PARTNERS LP  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR  
THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018, 2017 AND 2016  
(in thousands of \$)

	Notes	2018	2017	2016
Operating activities				
Net income		76,548	144,848	185,742
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Depreciation and amortization expenses		98,812	103,810	100,468
Equity in net earnings of affiliate		(1,190 )	—	—
Deferred tax expense		1,728	7,171	7,372
Amortization of deferred charges and debt guarantee	24	7,154	5,969	8,412
Unrealized foreign exchange (gains)/losses	3	(995 )	3,657	(945 )
Unit options expense	26	234	238	23
Drydocking expenditure		(25,522 )	(20,660 )	(4,060 )
Dividends received from affiliates		1,191	—	—
Realized loss on bond repurchase		—	6,327	—
Interest element included in obligation under capital lease	3	(55 )	(44 )	(1,882 )
Change in market value of derivatives	2	(5,921 )	(15,894 )	(710 )
Change in assets and liabilities, net of effects from purchase of subsidiaries:				
Trade accounts receivable		(9,730 )	2,189	1,126
Inventories		1,475	458	230
Other current assets and non-current assets	2	3,906	1,529	1,149
Amounts due (from)/to related parties	3	(319 )	17,856	(18,237 )
Trade accounts payable		(3,610 )	1,417	(1,700 )
Accrued expenses		(6,566 )	9,889	(4,746 )
Other current liabilities	2	26	1,670	(12,555 )
Net cash provided by operating activities		137,166	270,430	259,687
Investing activities				
Additions to vessels and equipment		(10,735 )	(426 )	—
Dividends received from affiliates		755	—	—
Acquisition of investment in affiliate from Golar	10	(9,652 )	(70,000 )	(107,247)
Net cash used in investing activities		(19,632 )	(70,426 )	(107,247)
Financing activities				
Proceeds from long-term debt	20	51,419	375,000	815,000
Repayments of long-term debt (including related parties)		(155,902)	(228,816)	(770,422)
Repurchase of high yield bonds and related swaps		—	(234,197)	—
Repayments of obligation under capital lease	3	(1,286 )	(821 )	(567 )
Financing arrangement fees and other costs		(1,699 )	(5,377 )	(13,521 )
Proceeds from issuances of equity, net of issue costs	27	13,854	255,040	—
Common units repurchased and canceled	27	(13,980 )	—	(495 )
Advances from/(releases to) related party for Methane Princess lease security deposit	3	633	(1,498 )	725
Cash distributions paid		(165,250)	(161,060)	(154,668)
Dividends paid to non-controlling interests		—	(7,000 )	(12,360 )
Net cash used in financing activities		(272,211)	(8,729 )	(136,308)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	3	(6,118 )	10,487	(21,966 )
Net (decrease)/increase in cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash	2	(160,795)	201,762	(5,834 )

Edgar Filing: Golar LNG Partners LP - Form 20-F

Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash at beginning of year <sup>(1)</sup>	2	429,887	228,125	233,959
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash at end of year <sup>(1)</sup>	2	269,092	429,887	228,125

F-7

---

Table of Contents

	Notes	2018	2017	2016
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:				
Cash paid during the year for:				
Interest paid		81,962	62,670	58,005
Income taxes paid		5,929	4,470	5,278
<sup>(1)</sup> The following table identifies the balance sheet line-items included in "cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash" presented in the consolidated statements of cash flows:				
	December 31,			
(in thousands of \$)	2018	2017	2016	2015
Cash and cash equivalents	96,648	246,954	65,710	40,686
Restricted cash and short-term deposits - current	31,330	27,306	44,927	56,714
Restricted cash - non-current	141,114	155,627	117,488	136,559
	269,092	429,887	228,125	233,959

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-8

---

Table of Contents

## GOLAR LNG PARTNERS LP

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN PARTNERS' CAPITAL FOR THE YEARS ENDED  
DECEMBER 31, 2018, 2017 AND 2016

(in thousands of \$)

	Partners' Capital				General Partner Units and IDRs <sup>(2)</sup>	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/ (loss) <sup>(3)</sup>	Total before Non- controlling interest	Non- controlling Interest	Total Owner's Equity
Notes	Preferred Units	Common Units	Subordinated Units						
Consolidated balance at December 31, 2015	—	486,533	12,649		40,293	(9,725 )	529,750	66,765	596,515
Net income	—	139,948	9,088		23,135	—	172,171	13,571	185,742
Cash distributions <sup>(1)</sup>	—	(124,400)	(18,422 )		(11,846)	—	(154,668 )	—	(154,668)
Non-controlling interest dividends	—	—	—		—	—	—	(12,360 )	(12,360 )
Other comprehensive income	—	—	—		—	4,672	4,672	—	4,672
Common units repurchased and canceled	—	(495 )	—		—	—	(495 )	—	(495 )
Conversion of subordinated units <sup>27</sup>	—	3,315	(3,315 )		—	—	—	—	—
Grant of unit options	—	23	—		—	—	23	—	23
Exchange of IDRs <sup>27</sup>	—	(14,360 )	—		(640 )	—	(15,000 )	—	(15,000 )
Consolidated balance at December 31, 2016	—	490,564	—		50,942	(5,053 )	536,453	67,976	604,429
Net income	2,080	124,656	—		2,544	—	129,280	15,568	144,848
Cash distributions <sup>(1)</sup>	(2,080 )	(157,840)	—		(3,221 )	—	(163,141 )	—	(163,141)
Non-controlling interest dividends	—	—	—		—	—	—	(7,000 )	(7,000 )
Other comprehensive income	—	—	—		—	5,079	5,079	—	5,079
Net proceeds from issuance of common units	—	119,902	—		2,214	—	122,116	—	122,116
Conversion of earn-out units	—	7,920	—		121	—	8,041	—	8,041
Net proceeds from issuance of preferred units <sup>27</sup>	132,991	—	—		—	—	132,991	—	132,991
Grant of unit options	—	238	—		—	—	238	—	238
Consolidated balance at December 31, 2017	132,991	585,440	—		52,600	26	771,057	76,544	847,601
Net income	12,042	59,925	—		1,223	—	73,190	3,358	76,548
Cash distributions <sup>(1)</sup>	(12,042 )	(149,606)	—		(3,066 )	—	(164,714 )	—	(164,714)
Other comprehensive loss	—	—	—		—	(26 )	(26 )	—	(26 )
Net proceeds from issuance of common units	—	13,563	—		291	—	13,854	—	13,854
	—	(13,980 )	—		—	—	(13,980 )	—	(13,980 )

Common unit repurchase and cancellation program								
Grant of unit options	—	234	—	—	—	234	—	234
Consolidated balance at December 31, 2018	132,991	-495,576	—	-51,048	—	-679,615	-79,902	-759,517

This includes cash distributions to IDR holders for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016 of \$nil, \$nil (1) and \$8.8 million, respectively. In addition, it includes accrued distributions to Series A Preferred Unitholders for the period from November 15, 2018 to December 31, 2018.

(2) As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, the carrying value of the equity attributable to the IDR holders was \$32.5 million.

(3) Relates to unrealized net losses/(income) on qualifying cash flow hedges.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-9

Table of Contents

GOLAR LNG PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO THE AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. GENERAL

Golar LNG Partners LP (the “Partnership”, “we”, “our”, or “us”) was initially formed as an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Golar LNG Limited (“Golar”) in September 2007 under the laws of the Marshall Islands for the purpose of acquiring the interests in wholly owned and partially owned subsidiaries of Golar.

References to Golar in these Consolidated Financial Statements refer, depending on the context, to Golar LNG Limited and to one or any more of its direct or indirect subsidiaries.

We completed our initial public offering (“IPO”) in April 2011. Our common units are traded on the NASDAQ under the symbol: GMLP.

As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, Golar held 30.6% and 30.4% of our common units, respectively. In addition, as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, Golar held a 2% general partner interest in us and 100% of our incentive distributions rights (“IDRs”).

As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, we operated a fleet of six FSRUs and four LNG carriers.

On July 12, 2018 (the “Closing Date”), we acquired an interest in the Hilli Episeyo (the “Hilli”), a floating liquefied natural gas (“FLNG”) vessel through the acquisition of 50% of the common units (the “Hilli Common Units”) in Golar Hilli LLC (“Hilli LLC”) (the “Hilli Acquisition”) (see note 10).

2. BASIS OF PREPARATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of preparation

These Consolidated Financial Statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“US GAAP”).

Principles of consolidation

A variable interest entity (“VIE”) is defined by the accounting standard as a legal entity where either (a) equity interest holders, as a group, lack the characteristics of a controlling financial interest, including decision making ability and an interest in the entity’s residual risks and rewards, or (b) the equity holders have not provided sufficient equity investment to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support, or (c) the voting rights of some investors are not proportional to their obligations to absorb the expected losses of the entity, their rights to receive the expected residual returns of the entity, or both and substantially all of the entity’s activities either involve or are conducted on behalf of an investor that has disproportionately few voting rights. A party that is a variable interest holder is required to consolidate a VIE if the holder has both (a) the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entity’s economic performance, and (b) the obligation to absorb losses that could potentially be significant to the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE.

The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements include the financial statements of the entities listed in notes 4 and 5.

Investments in entities in which we directly or indirectly hold more than 50% of the voting control are consolidated in the financial statements, as well as certain variable interest entities in which we are deemed to be the primary beneficiary. All intercompany balances and transactions are eliminated. The non-controlling interests of the above mentioned subsidiaries are included in the consolidated balance sheets and consolidated statements of operations as “Non-controlling interests”.

#### Foreign currencies

We and our subsidiaries’ functional currency is the U.S. dollar as the majority of the revenues are received in U.S. dollars and a majority of our expenditures are incurred in U.S. dollars. Our reporting currency is U.S. dollars.

F-10

---

## Table of Contents

Transactions in foreign currencies during the year are translated into U.S. dollars at the rates of exchange in effect at the date of the transaction. Foreign currency monetary assets and liabilities are translated using rates of exchange at the balance sheet date. Foreign currency non-monetary assets and liabilities are translated using historical rates of exchange. Foreign currency transaction and translation gains or losses are included in the statements of operations.

### Use of estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP requires that management make estimates and assumptions affecting the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

As of December 31, 2018, we leased one (December 31, 2017: one) vessel under a finance lease from a wholly-owned special purpose vehicle (the "lessor SPV") subsidiary of a financial institution in connection with our sale and leaseback transaction. While we do not hold any equity investment in the lessor SPV, we have determined that we are the primary beneficiary of the entity and accordingly, we are required to consolidate the lessor SPV into our financial results. The key line items impacted by our consolidation of the lessor SPV are short-term and long-term debt, restricted cash and short-term deposits, and interest expense. In consolidating the lessor SPV, on a quarterly basis, we must make assumptions regarding (i) the debt amortization profile; (ii) the interest rate to be applied against the lessor SPV's debt principal; and (iii) the lessor SPV's application of cash receipts. Our estimates are therefore dependent upon the timeliness of receipt and accuracy of financial information provided by the lessor SPV. Upon receipt of the audited annual financial statements of VIEs, we will make a true-up adjustment for any material differences.

In assessing the recoverability of our vessels' carrying amounts, we make assumptions regarding estimated future cash flows, estimates in respect of residual or scrap value, charter rates, ship operating expenses, utilization and drydocking requirements.

### Investment in affiliate

Affiliates are entities over which we generally have between 20% and 50% of the voting rights, or over which we have significant influence, but over which we do not exercise control or have the power to control the financial and operational policies. Investments in these entities are accounted for by the equity method of accounting. Affiliates are also entities in which we hold a majority ownership interest, but we do not control, due to the participating rights of non-controlling interests. Under the equity method of accounting, we record our investment in the affiliate at cost, and adjust the carrying amount for our share of the earnings or losses of the affiliate subsequent to the date of the investment and report the recognized earnings or losses in income. Dividends received from an affiliate reduce the carrying amount of the investment. The excess, if any, of the purchase price over book value of our investments in equity method affiliates, or basis difference, is included in the consolidated balance sheets as "Investment in affiliate". We allocate the basis difference across the assets and liabilities of the affiliate, with the residual assigned to goodwill. The basis difference will then be amortized through the consolidated statements of operations as part of the equity method of accounting. When our share of losses in an affiliate equals or exceeds the value of our interest, we do not recognize further losses, unless we have incurred obligations or made payments on behalf of the affiliate.

We recognize gains and losses in earnings based on the economic results allocated based on a contractual agreement, net of interest, tax and basis difference amortization.

### Guarantees



Guarantees issued by us, excluding those that are guaranteeing our own performance, are recognized at fair value at the time that the guarantees are issued, and reported in "Other current liabilities" and "Other non-current liabilities". A liability in an amount equal to the fair value of the obligation undertaken in issuing the guarantee in connection with an investment in affiliate is recognized. If it becomes probable that we will have to perform under a guarantee, we will recognize an additional liability if the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. The recognition of fair value is not required for certain guarantees such as the parent's guarantee of a subsidiary's debt to a third party. For those guarantees excluded from the above guidance requiring the fair value recognition provision of the liability, financial statement disclosures of such items are made.

## Table of Contents

### Business combinations

Business combinations are accounted for under the acquisition method. On acquisition, the identifiable assets, liabilities and contingent liabilities are measured at their fair values at the date of acquisition. Any excess of the cost of acquisition over the fair values of the identifiable net assets acquired is recognized as goodwill. Any deficiency of the cost of acquisition below the fair values of the identifiable net assets acquired (i.e. bargain purchase) is credited to the statement of operations in the period of acquisition. The consideration transferred for an acquisition is measured at fair value of the consideration given. Acquisition related costs are expensed as incurred. Identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination are measured initially at their fair values at the acquisition date. The results of operations of acquired subsidiaries are included from the date of acquisition.

If the initial accounting for a business combination is incomplete by the end of the reporting period in which the combination occurs, we will recognize a measurement-period adjustment during the period in which we determine the amount of the adjustment, including the effect on earnings of any amounts we would have recorded in previous periods if the accounting had been completed at the acquisition date.

### Revenue and expense recognition

Revenues include minimum lease payments under time charters and fees for repositioning vessels. Revenues generated from time charters, which we classify as operating leases, are recorded over the term of the charter as service is provided. However, we do not recognize revenue if a charter has not been contractually committed to by a customer and ourselves, even if the vessel has discharged its cargo and is sailing to the anticipated load port on its next voyage. Initial direct costs (those directly related to the negotiation and consummation of the lease) are deferred and allocated to earnings over the lease term. Rental income and expense are amortized over the lease term on a straight-line basis.

Repositioning fees (included in time and voyage charter revenues) received in respect of time charters are recognized at the end of the charter when the fee becomes fixed and determinable. However, where there is a fixed amount specified in the charter, which is not dependent upon redelivery location, the fee will be recognized evenly over the term of the charter.

Under our time charters, the majority of voyage expenses are paid by our customers. Voyage related expenses, principally fuel, may also be incurred when positioning or repositioning the vessel before or after the period of time charter and during periods when the vessel is not under charter or is off-hire, for example when the vessel is undergoing repairs. These expenses are recognized as incurred.

Vessel operating expenses, which are recognized when incurred, include crewing, repairs and maintenance, insurance, stores, lube oils, communication expenses and third party management fees.

### Income taxes

Income taxes are based on a separate return basis. The guidance on income taxes prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attributes for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized principally for the expected tax consequences of temporary differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Realization of the deferred income tax asset is dependent on generating

sufficient taxable income in future years.

We use a two-step approach for recognizing and measuring tax benefits taken or expected to be taken in a tax return regarding uncertainties in income tax positions. The first step is recognition: we determine whether it is more likely than not that a tax position will be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. The second step is measurement: a tax position that meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold is measured to determine the amount of benefit to recognize in the financial statements. The tax position is measured at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement.

Penalties and interest related to uncertain tax positions are recognized in “Income taxes” in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

F-12

---

## Table of Contents

### Cash and cash equivalents

We consider all demand and time deposits and highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less to be equivalent to cash.

### Restricted cash and short-term deposits

Restricted cash and short-term deposits consist of bank deposits, which may only be used to settle certain pre-arranged loan or lease payments, other claims which requires us to restrict cash, performance bonds related to charters, cash collateral required for certain swaps, and cash held by the VIE. We consider all short-term deposits as held to maturity. These deposits are carried at amortized cost. We place our short-term deposits primarily in fixed term deposits with high credit quality financial institutions.

### Trade accounts receivable

Trade receivables are presented net of allowances for doubtful balances. At each balance sheet date, all potentially uncollectible accounts are assessed individually for purposes of determining the appropriate allowance for doubtful accounts.

### Inventories

Inventories, which are comprised principally of fuel, lubricating oils and vessel spares, are stated at the lower of cost or market value. Cost is determined on a first-in, first-out basis.

### Vessels and equipment

Vessels are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. The cost of vessels less the estimated residual value is depreciated on a straight-line basis over the assets' remaining useful economic lives. Management estimates the residual values of our vessels based on a scrap value cost of steel and aluminum times the weight of the vessel noted in lightweight tons. Residual values are periodically reviewed and revised to recognize changes in conditions, new regulations or other reasons.

The cost of building mooring equipment is capitalized and depreciated over the initial lease term of the related charter.

Refurbishment costs incurred during the period are capitalized as part of vessels and depreciated over the vessels' remaining useful economic lives. Refurbishment costs are costs that appreciably increase the capacity, or improve the efficiency or safety of vessels and equipment.

Drydocking expenditures are capitalized when incurred and amortized over the period until the next anticipated drydocking, which is generally every five years. For vessels that are newly built or acquired, we have adopted the "built-in overhaul" method of accounting. The built-in overhaul method is based on the segregation of vessel costs into those that should be depreciated over the useful life of the vessel and those that require drydocking at periodic intervals to reflect the different useful lives of the components of the assets. The estimated cost of the drydocking component is amortized until the date of the first drydocking following acquisition, upon which the cost is capitalized and the process is repeated. When a vessel is disposed, any unamortized drydocking expenditure is charged against income in the period of disposal.

Useful lives applied in depreciation are as follows:

Vessels (excluding converted FSRUs)	40 years
Vessels - converted FSRUs	20 years from conversion date
Drydocking expenditure	5 years
Mooring equipment	11 years

#### Vessel under capital lease

We lease one vessel under an agreement that has been accounted for as a capital lease. Obligations under capital lease are carried at the present value of future minimum lease payments, and the asset balance is amortized on a straight-line basis over the remaining economic useful life of the vessel. Interest expense is calculated at a constant rate over the term of the lease.

F-13

---

## Table of Contents

Depreciation of the vessel under capital lease is included within depreciation and amortization expense in the statement of operations. The vessel under capital lease is depreciated on a straight-line basis over the vessel's remaining useful economic life, based on a useful life of 40 years. Refurbishment costs and drydocking expenditures incurred in respect of vessel under capital lease is accounted for consistently as that of an owned vessel.

Our capital lease is 'funded' via long term cash deposits which closely match the lease liability. Future changes in the lease liability arising from interest rate changes are only partially offset by changes in interest income on the cash deposits, and where differences arise, this is funded by, or released to, available working capital.

Income derived from the sale of subsequently leased assets is deferred and amortized in proportion to the amortization of the leased assets (see note 22). Amortization of deferred income is offset against depreciation and amortization expense in the statement of operations.

### Intangible assets

Intangible assets pertain to customer related and contract based assets representing primarily long-term time charter party agreements acquired in connection with the acquisition of certain subsidiaries from Golar. Intangible assets identified are recorded at fair value. Fair value is determined by reference to the discounted amount of expected future cash flows. These intangible assets are amortized over the term of the time charter party agreement and the amortization expense is included in the statement of operations in the depreciation and amortization line item. Impairment testing is performed when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the intangible asset may not be recoverable.

### Impairment of long-lived assets

We continually monitor events and changes in circumstances that could indicate carrying amounts of long-lived assets may not be recoverable. In assessing the recoverability of our vessels' carrying amounts, we make assumptions regarding estimated future cash flows and estimates in respect of residual or scrap value. When such events or changes in circumstances are present, we assess the recoverability of long-term assets by determining whether the carrying value of such assets will be recovered through undiscounted expected future cash flows. If the total of the future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of those assets, an impairment loss shall be measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of a long-lived asset (asset group) exceeds its fair value.

### Deferred charges

Costs associated with long-term financing, including debt arrangement fees, are deferred and amortized over the term of the relevant loan under the effective interest method. Amortization of debt issuance cost is included in interest expense. These costs are presented as a deduction from the corresponding liability, consistent with debt discounts.

### Provisions

In the ordinary course of business, we are subject to various claims, suits and complaints. Management, in consultation with internal and external advisers, will provide for a contingent loss in the financial statements if the contingency was present at the date of the financial statements and the likelihood of loss was probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated. If we have determined that the reasonable estimate of the loss is a range and there is no best estimate within the range, we will provide the lower amount within the range.

### Derivatives

We use derivatives to reduce market risks associated with our operations. We use interest rate swaps for the management of interest risk exposure. The interest rate swaps effectively convert a portion of our debt from a floating to a fixed rate over the life of the transactions without an exchange of underlying principal.

We seek to reduce our exposure to fluctuations in foreign exchange rates through the use of foreign currency forward contracts.

All derivative instruments are initially recorded at fair value as either assets or liabilities in the accompanying balance sheets and subsequently remeasured to fair value, regardless of the purpose or intent for holding the derivative.

Where the fair value of a derivative instrument is a net liability, the derivative instrument is classified in "Other current liabilities" in the balance sheet. Where the fair value of a derivative instrument is a net asset, the derivative instrument is classified in "Other

Table of Contents

current assets” or “Other non-current assets” in the balance sheet depending on its maturity. The method of recognizing the resulting gain or loss is dependent on whether the derivative contract is designed to hedge a specific risk and also qualifies for hedge accounting. For derivative instruments that are not designated or do not qualify as hedges, the changes in fair value of the derivative financial instrument are recognized in earnings and recorded each period in current earnings in “Gains/(losses) on derivative instruments”. We have no existing interest rate swaps held for hedging.

Cash flows from derivative instruments that are accounted for as cash flow hedges are classified in the same category as the cash flows from the items being hedged. Cash flows from economic hedges are classified in the same category as the items subject to the economic hedging relationship.

## Unit-based compensation

We expense the fair value of unit options issued to employees over the period the options vest. We amortize unit-based compensation for awards on a straight-line basis over the period during which the employee is required to provide service in exchange for the reward - the requisite service (vesting) period. No compensation cost is recognized for unit options for which employees do not render the requisite service. The fair value of employee unit options is estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model.

## Fair value measurements

We account for fair value measurements in accordance with the accounting standards guidance using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. The guidance provides a single definition of fair value, together with a framework for measuring it, and requires additional disclosure about the use of fair value to measure assets and liabilities.

## Gains/(losses) on derivative instruments

With effect from the year ended December 31, 2018, we presented a new line item in financial income/(expenses) and in operating activities on the face of the consolidated statements of operations and in the consolidated statement of cash flows, respectively. The new line item on the face of the consolidated statements of operations, "Gains/(losses) on derivative instruments" and the new line item in the consolidated statement of cash flows, "Change in market value of derivatives", relate to the movement of our derivative instruments. Previously, these items were presented within "Other financial items, net" on the face of the consolidated statements of operations along with our general finance costs and "Change in assets and liabilities" in the consolidated statement of cash flows.

We believe that the introduction of these new line items will provide users of our financial statements greater transparency over our derivative instruments. This presentation change has been retrospectively applied for all prior periods. The change in presentation for the prior periods is as follows:

## Consolidated statement of operation changes

(in thousands of \$)	December 31, 2017			December 31, 2016		
	As previously reported	Adjustment Increase/Decrease	As adjusted	As previously reported	Adjustment Increase/Decrease	As adjusted
Gains/(losses) on derivative instruments	—	7,796	7,796	—	(931)	(931)
Other financial items, net	(7,567)	(7,796)	(15,363)	(2,745)	931	(1,814)

## Consolidated statement of cash flows changes

December 31, 2017

December 31, 2016



Edgar Filing: Golar LNG Partners LP - Form 20-F

(in thousands of \$)	As previously reported	Adjustment Increase/ (Decrease)	As adjusted	As previously reported	Adjustment Increase/ (Decrease)	As adjusted
Change in market value of derivatives	—	(15,894 )	(15,894 )	—	(710 )	(710 )
Change in assets and liabilities:						
Other current assets and other non-current assets	(2,240 )	3,769	1,529	(5,305 )	6,454	1,149
Other current liabilities	(10,455 )	12,125	1,670	(6,952 )	(5,603 )	(12,555 )

F-15

---

Table of Contents

## 3. RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

## Adoption of new accounting standards

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the “FASB”) issued accounting standards update (“ASU”) 2014-09 Revenue from Contracts With Customers (Topic 606) and subsequent amendments. The standard provides a single, comprehensive revenue recognition model and requires an entity to recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. We adopted this guidance on January 1, 2018. There is no impact on the adoption of this standard on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-01 Financial Instruments-Overall (Subtopic 825-10): Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, which made targeted improvements to the recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of financial instruments. We adopted the amendments to this ASU on January 1, 2018 under a modified retrospective approach except for equity securities without a determinable fair value, for which a prospective approach is prescribed. The adoption of this ASU did not have a material impact on the Consolidated Financial Statements.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-15 Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments, which provides guidance on the disclosure and classification of certain items within the statements of cash flows. We adopted this ASU on January 1, 2018 under a retrospective approach, resulting in presentational changes to our Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows. The adoption of this ASU did not have a material impact on the Consolidated Financial Statements.

In November 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-18 “Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Restricted Cash”, which requires that restricted cash be included with cash and cash equivalents when reconciling the beginning-of-period and end-of-period total amounts presented on the statement of cash flows. We adopted this ASU on January 1, 2018 under a retrospective approach, resulting in presentational changes to our Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows and related disclosures. The adoption results in presentational changes to our Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows.

(in thousands of \$)	Cash Flow Line Items	December 31, 2017			December 31, 2016		
		Balance Prior to Adoption	Adjustment Increase/ (Decrease)	As Adjusted	Balance Prior to Adoption	Adjustment Increase/ (Decrease)	As Adjusted
OPERATING ACTIVITIES	Unrealized foreign exchange losses/(gains)	3,657	—	3,657	(532)	(413)	(945)
	Interest element included in obligation under capital lease	534	(578)	(44)	(1,205)	(677)	(1,882)
	Amounts due to/(from) related parties	17,856	—	17,856	(17,512)	(725)	(18,237)
	Restricted cash	(5)	5	—	(129)	129	—
	Repayments of obligations under capital lease	(821)	—	(821)	(122)	(445)	(567)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES	Restricted cash and short-term investments	(12,102)	12,102	—	7,627	(7,627)	—
	Advances from/(releases to) related party for Methane Princess lease security deposit	—	(1,498)	(1,498)	—	725	725

Edgar Filing: Golar LNG Partners LP - Form 20-F

Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	—	10,487	10,487	—	(21,966 )	(21,966 )
As a result of the above changes, the following subtotals as retrospectively restated are as follows:						
Net increase in cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash	181,244	20,518	201,762	25,024	(30,858 )	(5,834 )
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash at beginning of period	65,710	162,415	228,125	40,686	193,273	233,959
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash at end of period	246,954	182,933	429,887	65,710	162,415	228,125

F-16

---

## Table of Contents

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-01 Business Combinations (Topic 805): Clarifying the Definition of a Business with the objective of adding guidance to assist entities with evaluating whether transactions should be accounted for as acquisitions (or disposals) of assets or businesses. We adopted this ASU prospectively from January 1, 2018. As a result, this increases the likelihood that future vessel acquisitions may be considered the acquisition of an asset rather than a business combination. However, this will be dependent upon the facts and circumstances of each prospective transaction. We do not expect the adoption of this ASU to have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements and related disclosures where prospective dropdowns are accounted for as asset acquisitions will be significantly reduced.

Accounting pronouncements that have been issued but not adopted

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02 Leases (Topic 842) and subsequent amendments. Topic 842 modifies the definition of a lease, requires periodic reassessment of the lease term and requires new disclosures. Lessors are required to classify leases as sales-type, direct financing or operating, with classification affecting the pattern of income recognition and provides guidance for sale and leaseback transactions. Topic 842 is not applicable for us as a lessee.

Topic 842 will become effective for us on January 1, 2019. We have elected to apply the modified retrospective transition approach. We will elect all available practical expedients which among other things allow us to carry forward prior conclusions relating to lease identification, classification and lease term. Our election of the practical expedient providing transition relief will result in our prior periods not being restated and will continue to be reported under Topic 840. For contracts where we are the lessor, the practical expedients we have elected results in no change to our Balance Sheet on adoption. Our existing leases will continue to be classified in accordance with Topic 840, Modifications and subsequent accounting will follow the accounting under Topic 842. Leases entered into on or after January 1, 2019 will be assessed under the requirements of Topic 842. New lessor presentation and disclosure requirements are introduced and will be applied to our new and existing lease agreements commencing January 1, 2019.

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-13 Financial Instruments - Credit Losses (Topic 326): Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments and subsequent amendment ASU 2018-19 Codification Improvements to Topic 326 "Financial Instruments-Credit Losses", which requires recognition and measurement of expected credit losses for financial assets and off balance sheet credit exposures. The guidance is effective on a modified retrospective basis for us on January 1, 2020 with early adoption permitted. We are evaluating the impact of this standard on our Consolidated Financial Statements and related disclosures.

In July 2018, the FASB issued ASU 2018-09 Codification improvements. The amendments in this ASU cover a wide range of topics covering primarily minor corrections, clarifications and codification improvements, which has no material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements and related disclosures.

In August 2018, the FASB issued ASU 2018-13 Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Disclosure Framework-Changes to the Disclosure Requirements for Fair Value Measurement. These amendments change the disclosures for fair value measurements - removing or modifying certain existing disclosure requirements and adding new disclosure requirements. The guidance is effective for us commencing January 1, 2020 with early adoption permitted. We are evaluating the impact of these amendments on our Consolidated Financial Statements and related disclosures.

In October 2018, the FASB issued ASU 2018-17 Consolidation (Topic 810) - Targeted Improvements to Related Party Guidance for Variable Interest Entities. This amendment clarifies guidance for considering whether indirect interests held through related parties under common control are considered variable interests, increasing consistency of guidance for common control arrangements. The guidance is effective on a modified retrospective basis for us on January 1, 2020 with early adoption permitted. We are evaluating the impact of these amendments on our Consolidated Financial Statements and related disclosures.

## 4. SUBSIDIARIES

The following table lists our significant subsidiaries and their purpose as of December 31, 2018. Unless otherwise indicated, we own 100% of each subsidiary.

F-17

---

Table of Contents

Name	Jurisdiction of Incorporation	Purpose
Golar Partners Operating LLC	Marshall Islands	Holding Company
Golar LNG Holding Corporation	Marshall Islands	Holding Company
Golar Maritime (Asia) Inc.	Republic of Liberia	Holding Company
Golar Servicos de Operacao de Embaracoes Limited	Brazil	Management Company
Golar Winter Corporation	Marshall Islands	Owns Golar Winter
Golar Winter UK Ltd	United Kingdom	Operates Golar Winter
Golar Spirit Corporation	Marshall Islands	Owns Golar Spirit
Faraway Maritime Shipping Company (60% ownership)	Republic of Liberia	Owns and operates Golar Mazo
Golar LNG 2215 Corporation	Marshall Islands	Leases Methane Princess
Golar 2215 UK Ltd	United Kingdom	Operates Methane Princess
Golar Freeze Holding Corporation	Marshall Islands	Owns Golar Freeze
Golar Freeze UK Ltd	United Kingdom	Operates Golar Freeze
Golar Khannur Corporation	Marshall Islands	Holding Company
Golar LNG (Singapore) Pte. Ltd.	Singapore	Holding Company
PT Golar Indonesia*	Indonesia	Owns and operates NR Satu
Golar Grand Corporation	Marshall Islands	Owns and operates Golar Grand
Golar LNG 2234 LLC	Republic of Liberia	Owns and operates Golar Maria
Golar Hull M2031 Corporation	Marshall Islands	Owns and operates Golar Igloo
Golar Eskimo Corporation**	Marshall Islands	Leases and operates Golar Eskimo

\* We hold all of the voting stock and control all of the economic interests in PT Golar Indonesia (“PTGI”) pursuant to a Shareholder’s Agreement with the other shareholder of PTGI, PT Pesona Sentra Utama (“PT Pesona”). PT Pesona holds the remaining 51% interest in the issued share capital of PTGI.

\*\* The above table excludes Eskimo SPV, from which we leased one of our vessels, the Golar Eskimo, under a sale and leaseback. See note 5.

## 5. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES (“VIEs”)

### Eskimo SPV

As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, we leased one vessel from a VIE under a finance lease with a wholly-owned subsidiary, Sea 23 Leasing Co. Limited (“Eskimo SPV”) of China Merchants Bank Leasing (“CMBL”). Eskimo SPV is a special purpose vehicle (SPV).

In November 2015 we sold the Golar Eskimo to Eskimo SPV and subsequently leased back the vessel under a bareboat charter for a term of ten years. From the third year anniversary of the commencement of the bareboat charter, we have an annual option to repurchase the vessel at fixed pre-determined amounts, with an obligation to repurchase the vessel at the end of the ten year lease period.

While we do not hold any equity investment in Eskimo SPV, we have determined that we have a variable interest in Eskimo SPV and that Eskimo SPV is a VIE. Based on our evaluation of the bareboat agreement we have concluded that we are the primary beneficiary of Eskimo SPV and, accordingly, have consolidated Eskimo SPV into our financial results. We did not record any gain or loss from the sale of the Golar Eskimo to Eskimo SPV, and we continued to report the vessel in our Consolidated Financial Statements at the same carrying value, as if the sale had

not occurred.

The equity attributable to CMBL in Eskimo SPV is included in non-controlling interests in our consolidated results. As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, the Golar Eskimo is reported under “Vessels and equipment, net” in our consolidated balance sheet.

The following table gives a summary of the sale and leaseback arrangement, including repurchase options and obligation as of December 31, 2018:

F-18

---

Table of Contents

Vessel	Effective from	Sales value (in \$ millions)	First repurchase option (in \$ millions)	Month of first repurchase option	Repurchase obligation at end of lease term (in \$ millions)	End of lease term
Golar Eskimo	November 2015	285.0	225.8	November 2018	128.3	November 2025

A summary of our payment obligations under the bareboat charter with Eskimo SPV as of December 31, 2018 is shown below:

(in \$ thousands)	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	After 2023
Golar Eskimo*	25,907	24,449	23,205	22,256	21,343	36,823

\*The payment obligation table above includes variable rental payments due under the lease based on an assumed LIBOR plus margin but excludes the repurchase obligation at the end of lease term.

The most significant impact of consolidation of Eskimo SPV's liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet is as follows:

(in \$ thousands)	2018	2017
Liabilities		
Short-term debt (refer to note 20)	11,836	—
Long-term debt (refer to note 20)	187,401	212,084

The most significant impact of consolidation of Eskimo SPV's operations on our consolidated statement of operations is interest expense of \$8.0 million and \$8.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. The most significant impact of consolidation of Eskimo SPV's cash flows on our consolidated statement of cash flows is net cash of \$12.8 million and \$20.8 million used in financing activities for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. Refer to note 16 for restricted cash relating to Eskimo SPV.

## Hilli LLC

On July 12, 2018, we acquired an interest in the Hilli through the acquisition of 50% of the Hilli Common Units for a purchase price of \$658 million less assumed net lease obligations and net of working capital adjustments (refer to note 10). Concurrent with the closing of the Hilli Acquisition, we have determined that (i) Hilli LLC is a VIE, (ii) Golar is the primary beneficiary and retains sole control over the most significant activities and the greatest exposure to variability in residual returns and expected losses from the Hilli and (iii) we are not the primary beneficiary. Thus, Hilli LLC was not consolidated into our financial statements. Refer to note 10 for summarized financial information of Hilli LLC.

As at December 31, 2018, our maximum exposure as a result of our ownership in the Hilli LLC is the carrying value of our investment in affiliate of \$206.2 million (see note 10) and the outstanding portion of the Hilli Facility which we have guaranteed (see note 24).

## PTGI

We consolidate PTGI, which owns the NR Satu, in our Consolidated Financial Statements effective September 28, 2011. PTGI became a VIE and we became its primary beneficiary upon our agreement to acquire all of Golar's interests in certain subsidiaries that own and operate the NR Satu on July 19, 2012. We consolidate PTGI as we hold all of the voting stock and control all of the economic interests in PTGI.



The following table summarizes the balance sheets of PTGI as of December 31, 2018 and 2017:

F-19

---

Table of Contents

(in thousands of \$)	2018	2017
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Cash	19,599	16,016
Restricted cash (see note 16)	10,209	10,270
Vessels and equipment, net*	248,526	269,624
Other assets	2,699	4,348
Total assets	281,033	300,258
<b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>		
Accrued liabilities	6,474	11,675
Current portion of long-term debt	14,303	19,759
Amounts due to related parties	83,334	107,838
Non-current debt	73,247	82,741
Other liabilities	638	515
Total liabilities	177,996	222,528
Total equity	103,037	77,730
Total liabilities and equity	281,033	300,258

\*PTGI recorded the NR Satu at the acquisition price when it purchased the vessel from a Golar related party entity. However, as of the date of the acquisition of the subsidiaries which own and operate the NR Satu, the acquisition was deemed to be a reorganization of entities under common control, and accordingly, we recorded the NR Satu at historical book values.

Trade creditors of PTGI have no recourse to our general credit. The long-term debt of PTGI is secured against the NR Satu and has been guaranteed by us.

PTGI paid dividends to PT Pesona amounting to \$nil, \$1.2 million and \$6.1 million during the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

## 6. SEGMENT INFORMATION

A segment is a distinguishable component of the business that is engaged in business activities from which we earn revenues and incur expenses whose operating results are regularly reviewed by the chief operating decision maker, and which are subject to risks and rewards that are different from those of other segments.

In 2018, we changed the way in which we measure our reportable segments. In prior years, we had measured our segments based on operating income, however, in 2018, management changed the measure to Segment EBITDA. The main driver of the change was the introduction of the FLNG business resulting from our acquisition of 50% of the Common Units of Hilli LLC. This led to a change in the information that management required to manage both the standalone segments and also our overall businesses.

Prior to 2018, we had reported two reportable segments, “FSRUs” and “LNG carriers”. Management determined that the risks and long term business prospects of our FLNG business differ from our other reporting segments. Our FLNG business meets the definition of an operating segment as it is a distinguishable component of the business whose operating results will be regularly reviewed by the chief operating decision maker. Management has concluded that we provide three distinct services and operate in the following three reportable segments: FSRUs, LNG carriers and FLNG.

LNG carriers are vessels that transport LNG and are compatible with many LNG loading and receiving terminals globally. Four of our vessels are LNG carriers;

FSRUs are vessels that are permanently located offshore to regasify LNG. Six of our vessels are FSRUs; and

FLNG is a vessel that is moored above an offshore natural gas field on a long-term basis. The vessel receives, liquefies and stores LNG at sea and transfers it to LNG carriers that berth while offshore.

Table of Contents

The accounting policies applied to the segments are the same as those applied in the Consolidated Financial Statements, except that our equity in net earnings of affiliate is presented under the effective share of interest consolidation method for the segment reporting. In our consolidated financial statements, we report our share of the net earnings of Hilli LLC, including amortization of day one fair value adjustments, under the equity in the net earnings of affiliate financial statement line item, which amounted to \$1.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2018.

There are no transactions between reportable segments. As a result of the change to our reportable segments, the segment information for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 has been retrospectively restated.

## December 31, 2018

(in thousands of \$)	FSRU	LNG Carrier	FLNG <sup>(1)</sup>	Unallocated <sup>(2)</sup>	Total Segment Reporting	Elimination <sup>(3)</sup>	Consolidated Reporting
Statement of operations:							
Total operating revenues	294,889	51,761	49,754	—	396,404	(49,754)	) 346,650
Vessel operating expenses	(42,736)	(22,511)	(9,834)	—	(75,081)	9,834	(65,247)
Voyage and commission expenses	(7,138)	(4,084)	(434)	—	(11,656)	434	(11,222)
Administrative expenses <sup>(4)</sup>	(9,384)	(5,425)	(1,306)	—	(16,115)	1,306	(14,809)
Segment EBITDA	235,631	19,741	38,180	—	293,552	(38,180)	) 255,372
Balance sheet:							
Total assets <sup>(5)</sup>	1,115,663	534,805	—	590,349	2,240,817		2,240,817
Investment in affiliate	—	—	206,180	—	206,180	—	206,180
Other segmental financial information:							
Capital expenditure <sup>(5)</sup>	(28,307)	(13,894)	—	—	(42,201)	—	(42,201)

## December 31, 2017

(in thousands of \$)	FSRU	LNG Carrier	FLNG <sup>(1)</sup>	Unallocated <sup>(2)</sup>	Total Segment and Consolidated Reporting
Statement of operations:					
Total operating revenues	316,599	116,503	—	—	433,102
Vessel operating expenses	(47,960)	(20,318)	—	—	(68,278)
Voyage and commission expenses	(8,375)	(1,319)	—	—	(9,694)
Administrative expenses <sup>(4)</sup>	(10,029)	(5,181)	—	—	(15,210)
Segment EBITDA	250,235	89,685	—	—	339,920
Balance sheet:					
Total assets <sup>(5)</sup>	1,149,595	545,225	—	732,551	2,427,371
Other segmental financial information:					
Capital expenditure <sup>(5)</sup>	(11,226)	(11,215)	—	—	(22,441)

Table of Contents

	December 31, 2016				Total
(in thousands of \$)	FSRU	LNG Carrier	FLNG <sup>(1)</sup>	Unallocated <sup>(2)</sup>	Segment and Consolidated Reporting
Statement of operations:					
Total operating revenues	322,373	119,225	—	—	441,598
Vessel operating expenses	(43,884)	)(16,002)	—	—	(59,886)
Voyage and commission expenses	(5,049)	)(925)	—	—	(5,974)
Administrative expenses <sup>(4)</sup>	(5,773)	)(2,827)	—	—	(8,600)
Segment EBITDA	267,667	99,471	—	—	367,138
Balance sheet:					
Total assets <sup>(5)</sup>	1,206,186	557,682	—	488,840	2,252,708
Other segmental financial information:					
Capital expenditure <sup>(5)</sup>	(344)	)(5,026)	—	—	(5,370)

<sup>(1)</sup> Relates to the effective revenues, expenses and Segment EBITDA attributable to our 50% ownership of the Common Units of Hilli LLC (see note 10). The earnings attributable to our investment in Hilli LLC are reported in the equity in net earnings of affiliate on the consolidated income statement.

<sup>(2)</sup> Relates to assets not allocated to a segment, but included to reflect the total assets in the consolidated balance sheet.

<sup>(3)</sup> Eliminations reverse the effective earnings attributable to our 50% ownership of the Common Units of Hilli LLC.

<sup>(4)</sup> General and administrative expenses are allocated to the FSRU and LNG carrier segments based on the number of vessels while general and administrative expenses for FLNG relates to our effective share of expenses attributable to our 50% ownership of the Common Units of Hilli LLC.

<sup>(5)</sup> Total assets and capital expenditure by segment refers to our principal assets and capital expenditure relating to our vessels, respectively.

## Revenues from external customers

During 2018, our FSRUs and LNG carriers operated under time charters with seven charterers, including, among others, Petrobras, PT Nusantara Regas (“PTNR”), the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan (“Jordan”), Kuwait National Petroleum Company (“KNPC”) and Dubai Supply Authority (“DUSUP”).

For the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, revenues from each of the following customers accounted for over 10% of our total consolidated operating revenues:

(in thousands of \$)	Segment	2018		2017		2016	
PTNR	FSRU	68,474	17 %	72,495	17 %	67,774	15 %
Petrobras	FSRU	63,098	16 %	94,588	22 %	103,368	23 %
Jordan	FSRU	57,337	14 %	57,144	13 %	57,112	13 %
DUSUP	FSRU	56,823	14 %	44,726	10 %	46,465	11 %
KNPC	FSRU	48,093	12 %	47,646	11 %	47,654	11 %

## Geographical data

The following geographical data presents our consolidated reporting information: revenues from customers and fixed assets with respect only to our FSRUs, while operating under long-term charters, at specific locations. LNG carriers

operate on a worldwide basis and are not restricted to specific locations. Accordingly, it is not possible to allocate the assets of these operations to specific countries:

Revenues (in thousands of \$)	2018	2017	2016
Indonesia	68,474	72,495	67,774
Brazil	63,098	94,588	103,368
Jordan	57,337	57,144	57,112
United Arab Emirates	56,823	44,726	46,465
Kuwait	48,093	47,645	47,654

F-22

---

Table of Contents

Fixed assets (in thousands of \$)	2018	2017
Jordan	261,163	269,846
Kuwait	258,942	259,310
Brazil	214,018	223,900
Indonesia	163,230	177,205
United Arab Emirates	—	108,776

## 7. GAINS/LOSSES ON DERIVATIVES AND OTHER FINANCIAL ITEMS, NET

(in thousands of \$)	2018	2017	2016
Mark-to-market adjustment on Earn-Out Units <sup>(1)</sup>	7,400	(441 )	—
Interest income/(expense) on un-designated interest rate swaps	2,161	(7,554 )	(10,824 )
Mark-to-market adjustment for interest rate swap derivatives	(1,455)	12,073	9,893
Gains on repurchase of cross currency interest rate swap	—	3,718	—
Gains/(losses) on derivative instruments	8,106	7,796	(931 )
Foreign exchange gain/(loss) on capital lease obligations and related restricted cash	1,105	(659 )	945
Amortization of debt guarantee (see note 24)	503	—	—
Financing arrangement fees and other costs	(1,363)	(527 )	(1,468 )
Foreign exchange loss on operations	(837 )	(378 )	(1,291 )
Losses on repurchase of 2012 High-Yield Bonds <sup>(2)</sup>	—	(7,876 )	—
Foreign exchange losses on 2012 High-Yield Bonds	—	(3,103 )	—
Premium paid on repurchase of 2012 High Yield Bonds	—	(2,820 )	—
Other financial items, net	(592 )	(15,363)	(1,814 )
Gains/losses on derivatives and Other financial items, net	7,514	(7,567 )	(2,745 )

<sup>(1)</sup> This relates to the mark-to-market movement on the Earn-Out Units issued in connection with the IDR reset transaction in October 2016 which were recognized as a derivative liability in our consolidated balance sheet. On October 24, 2018, we declared a reduced quarterly distribution of \$0.4042 per common unit. Consequently, the second tranche of Earn-Out Units will not be issued. Accordingly, we have recognized a \$nil valuation on the Earn-Out Units derivatives as of December 31, 2018, resulting in a mark-to-market gain related to the Earn-Out Units. See notes 23 and 27.

<sup>(2)</sup> This relates to foreign exchange loss arising from the early repurchase of our 2012 High-Yield Bonds of \$2.9 million and the reclassification of a \$5.0 million loss from the Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss upon cessation of hedge accounting for the related cross currency interest rate swap.

## 8. INCOME TAXES

The components of income tax expense are as follows:

(in thousands of \$)	2018	2017	2016
Current tax expense	15,737	9,825	9,486
Deferred tax expense	1,728	7,171	7,372
Total income tax expense	17,465	16,996	16,858

F-23

---



The income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016 differed from the amounts computed by applying the Marshall Islands statutory income tax rate of 0% as follows:

(In thousands of \$)	2018	2017	2016
Effect of taxable income in various countries	16,342	16,311	16,725
Effect of change on uncertain tax positions relating to prior year	1,329	685	133
Effect of recognition of deferred tax asset and liabilities	(206 )	—	—
Total tax expense	17,465	16,996	16,858

Pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code of the United States (the “Code”), U.S. source income from the international operations of vessels is generally exempt from U.S. tax if the company operating the ships meets certain requirements. Among other things, in order to qualify for this exemption, the company operating the ships must be incorporated in a country which grants an equivalent exemption from income taxes to U.S. citizens and U.S. corporations and must either satisfy certain public trading requirements or be more than 50% owned by individuals who are residents, as defined, in such country or another foreign country that grants an equivalent exemption to U.S. citizens and U.S. corporations. Our management believes that we satisfied these requirements and therefore by virtue of the above provisions, we were not subject to tax on its U.S. source income.

#### Jurisdictions open to examination

The earliest tax years that remain subject to examination by the major taxable jurisdictions in which we operate are UK (2017), Brazil (2013), Indonesia (2017), Kuwait (2017), Jordan (2015) and Barbados (2017). Interest and penalties charged to “Income taxes” in our statement of operations amounted to \$0.1 million, \$0.6 million and \$1.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016 respectively.

#### Deferred taxes

Deferred income taxes reflect the impact of temporary differences between the amount of assets and liabilities recognized for financial reporting purposes and such amounts recognized for tax purposes.

(in thousands of \$)	2018	2017
At January 1		
Deferred tax assets	250	5,620
Deferred tax liabilities	(5,545)	(3,744)
	(5,295)	1,876
Recognized in the year		
Adjustment in respect of prior year	331	(836 )
Utilization of tax losses	(271 )	(4,534)
Recognition of deferred tax liability on fixed asset temporary differences	(1,788)	(1,801)
	(1,728)	(7,171)
At December 31		
Deferred tax assets	103	250
Deferred tax liabilities	(7,126)	(5,545)
	(7,023)	(5,295)

The total deferred tax asset as of December 31, 2018 is related to the tax depreciation in excess of the accounting depreciation in respect of branch operations in Barbados. The total deferred tax asset as of December 31, 2017 is related to net operating loss (“NOL”) carryforwards generated from our Jordan operations. The net operating losses brought forward relating to the Golar Eskimo were all utilized in 2018 (2017: \$5.0 million), and as such the Jordan deferred tax asset was utilized in 2018.

The total deferred tax liability as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, related to the tax basis for the Golar Eskimo being lower than the accounting net book value.

There are no potential deferred tax liabilities arising on undistributed earnings within the Partnership. This is because either: (i) no tax would arise on distribution, or (ii) in the case of PTGI, the Partnership intends to utilize surplus earnings to reduce borrowings or reinvest its earnings, as opposed to making any distribution.

F-24

---

Table of Contents

## 9. OPERATING LEASES

The minimum contractual future rentals represent revenues to be recognized on a straight line basis for each of the following periods, as of December 31, 2018:

Year ending December 31, (in thousands of \$)	Total
2019	211,098
2020	196,390
2021	193,333
2022	193,333
2023 and thereafter	235,554
Total	1,029,708

Minimum lease rentals are calculated based on contractual future revenue expected to be recognized on a straight-line basis over the lease term with certain assumptions such as those relating to expected off-hire days.

PTNR has the right to purchase the NR Satu at any time after the first anniversary of the commencement date of its charter at a price that must be agreed upon between us and PTNR. We have assumed that this option will not be exercised. Accordingly, the minimum lease rentals set out above include revenues arising within the option period.

Jordan has the option, for a termination fee, to terminate the charter after the fifth anniversary of the delivery date of the Golar Eskimo. The minimum contractual future revenues above assumes that this option will not be exercised.

All our vessels are held for contractual future leasing, see note 13 and note 14. For arrangements where operating costs are borne by the charterer on a pass through basis, the pass through of operating costs are reflected in both revenue and expenses.

## 10. INVESTMENT IN AFFILIATE

The components of equity in net assets of our non-consolidated affiliate are as follows:  
(in thousands of \$)

Equity in net assets of affiliate at January 1, 2018	—
Net purchase price on July 12, 2018	199,728
Dividend	(5,581 )
Equity in net earnings of affiliate <sup>(1)</sup>	1,190
Initial fair value of debt guarantee (see note 24)	10,843
Equity in net assets of affiliate at December 31, 2018	206,180

<sup>(1)</sup> Equity in net earnings of affiliate includes non-cash charges of \$15.7 million related to the depreciation and amortization of fair value adjustments resulting from our acquisition of 50% of the Hilli Common Units.

Quoted market prices for Hilli LLC are not available because it is not publicly traded.

## Hilli LLC

On July 12, 2018, we purchased 50.0% of the Hilli Common Units from Golar, affiliates of Keppel Shipyard Limited ("Keppel") and Black & Veatch ("B&V") (together, the "Sellers"). Hilli LLC owns Golar Hilli Corporation ("Hilli Corp"), the disponent owner of the Hilli. The Hilli Common Units provide us with significant influence over Hilli LLC. The

Hilli is currently operating under an 8-year liquefaction tolling agreement (the “LTA”) with Perenco Cameroon S.A. (“Perenco”) and Société Nationale des Hydrocarbures (“SNH” and together with Perenco, the “Customer”). The purchase price for the Hilli Acquisition was \$658 million, less 50% of the net lease obligations under the Hilli Facility on the Closing Date, plus working capital adjustments. The post closing purchase price adjustments were finalized in October 2018.

We entered into the Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Hilli LLC (the “Hilli LLC Agreement”) on

F-25

---

## Table of Contents

July 12, 2018. The ownership interests in Hilli LLC are represented by three classes of units, the Hilli Common Units, the Series A Special Units and the Series B Special Units. After the Hilli Acquisition, we own:

50.0% of the Hilli Common Units, with the remaining Hilli Common Units owned by Golar, Keppel and B&V (44.6%, 5.0% and 0.4%, respectively).

We do not own any of the Series A Special Units or Series B Special Units.

The Hilli LLC Agreement provides that within 60 days after the end of each quarter, Golar, in its capacity as the managing member of Hilli LLC shall determine the amount of Hilli LLC's available cash and appropriate reserves (including cash reserves for future maintenance capital expenditures, working capital and other matters), and Hilli LLC shall make a distribution to the unitholders of Hilli LLC (the "Hilli Unitholders") of the available cash, subject to such reserves. All three classes of ownership interests in Hilli LLC have certain participating and protective rights. Hilli LLC shall make distributions to the Hilli Unitholders when, as and if declared by Golar; provided, however, that no distributions may be made on the Hilli Common Units on any distribution date unless Series A Distributions (defined below) and Series B Distributions (defined below) for the most recently ended quarter and any accumulated Series A Distributions and Series B Distributions in arrears for any past quarter have been or contemporaneously are being paid or provided for.

The Series A Special Units are entitled to receive the "Series A Distributions," which means, with respect to any quarter, 100% of any Incremental Perenco Revenues received by Hilli Corp during such quarter. "Incremental Perenco Revenues" is contractually defined as:

- any cash received by Hilli Corp from revenue invoiced to the extent such revenue invoiced are based on tolling fees under the LTA relating to an increase in the Brent Crude price above \$60 per barrel; less
- any incremental tax expense arising from or related to any cash receipts referred to in the bullet point above; less
- the pro-rata portion of any costs that may arise as a result of the underperformance of the Hilli ("Underperformance Costs") incurred by Hilli Corp during such quarter.

Series B Special Units are entitled to receive the "Series B Distributions," which means, with respect to any quarter, an amount equal to 95% of Revenues Less Expenses received by Hilli Corp during such quarter. "Revenues Less Expenses" is contractually defined as:

- the cash receipts from revenues invoiced by Hilli Corp as a direct result of the employment of more than the first 50% of LNG production capacity for the Hilli, before deducting any Underperformance Costs (unless the incremental capacity above the first 50% is supplied under the terms of the LTA and the term of the LTA is not expanded beyond 500 billion cubic feet of feed gas), excluding, for the avoidance of doubt, any Incremental Perenco Revenues; less
- any incremental costs whatsoever, including but not limited to operating expenses, capital costs, financing costs and tax costs, arising as a result of employing and making available more than the first 50% of LNG production capacity for the Hilli; less
- any reduction in revenue attributable to the first 50% of LNG production capacity availability as a result of making more than 50% of capacity available under the LTA (including, but not limited to, for example, as a result of a tolling fee rate reduction as contemplated in the LTA); less
- the pro-rata share of Underperformance Costs incurred by Hilli Corp during such quarter.

Hilli Common Units: Distributions to Hilli Common Units may not be made until any Series A Distributions and Series B Distributions for the most recently ended quarter and any accumulated Series A Distributions and Series B Distributions in arrears for any past quarter have been paid. Hilli LLC Common Unitholders may also receive, with respect to any quarter, an amount equal to 5% of of Revenues less Expenses received by Hilli Corp during such quarter.

Summarized financial information of Hilli LLC\*

The following table summarizes the financial information of Hilli LLC shown on a 100% basis as of and for the year ended December 31, 2018:

F-26

---

Table of Contents

(in thousands of \$)	2018
Balance sheet	
Current assets	124,642
Non-current assets	1,392,711
Current liabilities	(109,773 )
Non-current liabilities	(1,004,184)

## Statement of operations

Liquefaction services revenue	127,625
Net income	77,842

\*The summarized financial information of Hilli LLC excludes the Hilli LLC lessor VIE's financial information.

## 11. TRADE ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, there was no provision for doubtful accounts.

## 12. OTHER CURRENT ASSETS

(in thousands of \$)	2018	2017
Prepaid expenses	2,386	5,137
Other receivables	2,346	2,713
Mark-to-market interest rate swaps valuation (see note 23)	1,802	—
	6,534	7,850

Table of Contents

## 13. VESSELS AND EQUIPMENT, NET

(in thousands of \$)	2018	2017
Cost		
As of January 1	2,259,132	2,267,819
Additions	28,402	22,176
Write-off of fully depreciated and amortized asset	(41,232 )	(30,863 )
As of December 31	2,246,302	2,259,132
Depreciation and amortization		
As of January 1	(670,209 )	(615,109 )
Charge for the year	(81,568 )	(85,963 )
Write-off of fully depreciated and amortized asset	41,232	30,863
As of December 31	(710,545 )	(670,209 )
Net book value as of December 31	1,535,757	1,588,923

Drydocking costs of \$65.1 million and \$88.5 million are included in the vessel cost for December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. Accumulated amortization of those costs at December 31, 2018 and 2017 was \$23.8 million and \$49.6 million, respectively.

Mooring equipment of \$37.8 million is included in the cost for December 31, 2018 and 2017. Accumulated depreciation of the mooring equipment at December 31, 2018 and 2017 was \$23.6 million and \$20.1 million, respectively.

As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, vessels and equipment with a net book value of \$1,402.6 million and \$1,449.1 million, respectively, were pledged as security for certain debt facilities (see note 25).

The following table presents the market values and carrying values of six of our vessels that we have determined have a market value that is less than their carrying value as of December 31, 2018. While the market values of these vessels are below their carrying values, no vessel impairment has been recognized on any of these vessels as the estimated future undiscounted cash flows relating to such vessels are greater than their carrying values.

Vessel	2018 Market value <sup>(1)</sup>	2018 Carrying value	Deficit
(in millions of \$)			
Golar Winter	164.0	214.0	(50.0)
NR Satu	137.0	163.2	(26.2)
Methane Princess <sup>(2)</sup>	69.8	114.7	(44.9)
Golar Maria	86.3	179.6	(93.3)
Golar Grand	86.3	107.4	(21.1)
Golar Mazo	66.0	133.1	(67.1)

<sup>(1)</sup> Market values are determined with reference to average broker values provided by independent brokers. Broker values are considered an estimate of the market value for the purpose of determining whether an impairment trigger exists. Broker values are commonly used and accepted by our lenders in relation to determining compliance with relevant covenants in applicable credit facilities for the purpose of assessing security quality.

Since vessel values can be volatile, our estimates of market value may not be indicative of either the current or future prices we could obtain if we sold any of the vessels. In addition, the determination of estimated market values may involve considerable judgment, given the illiquidity of the second-hand markets for these types of vessels.



<sup>(2)</sup> The Methane Princess is under capital lease (see note 14).

F-28

---

Table of Contents

## 14. VESSEL UNDER CAPITAL LEASE, NET

(in thousands of \$)	2018	2017
Cost	174,511	168,840
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(59,800 )	(62,895 )
Net book value	114,711	105,945

As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, we operated one vessel, the Methane Princess, under a capital lease. The lease is in respect of a refinancing transaction undertaken during 2003, as described in note 21.

Drydocking costs of \$11.3 million and \$8.3 million are included in the cost amounts above as of December 31, 2018 and 2017. Accumulated amortization of those costs at December 31, 2018 and 2017 was \$0.4 million and \$7.4 million, respectively. The movement in cost and accumulated amortization was due to the vessel undergoing drydocking and Ballast Water Treatment System installation during the 2018.

Depreciation and amortization expense for the vessel under capital lease for each of the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016 was \$5.0 million, \$5.5 million and \$5.5 million respectively.

## 15. INTANGIBLE ASSETS, NET

(in thousands of \$)	2018	2017
Cost	114,616	114,616
Accumulated amortization	(54,247 )	(41,410 )
Net book value	60,369	73,206

The intangible assets pertain to customer related and contract based assets representing primarily the long-term time charter party agreements acquired in connection with the acquisition of the Golar Igloo in March 2014 and Golar Eskimo in January 2015. The intangible asset acquired in connection with the acquisition of the Golar Igloo is amortized over the term of the contract with KNPC, which expires in December 2019. The intangible asset acquired in connection with the acquisition of the Golar Eskimo is amortized over the term of the contract initially entered into with Jordan of ten years. Both intangible assets have been assigned a zero residual value. As of December 31, 2018, there was no impairment of intangible assets.

Amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016 was \$12.8 million, \$12.9 million and \$13.0 million respectively.

The estimated future amortization of intangible assets as of December 31, 2018 is as follows:

Year Ending December 31, (in thousands of \$)	
2019	9,961
2020	9,114
2021	9,114
2022	9,114
2023	9,114
2024 and thereafter	13,952
Total	60,369

F-29

---

Table of Contents

## 16. RESTRICTED CASH AND SHORT-TERM DEPOSITS

Our restricted cash balances are as follows:

(in thousands of \$)	2018	2017
Methane Princess lease security deposits (see note 21) <sup>(1)</sup>	112,362	119,548
Restricted cash relating to the \$800 million facility (see note 20) <sup>(2)</sup>	30,845	41,656
Restricted cash relating to an interest rate swap (see note 23) <sup>(3)</sup>	6,480	—
Restricted cash relating to the NR Satu facility (see notes 5 and 20)	10,209	10,270
Restricted cash held by Eskimo SPV (see note 5) <sup>(4)</sup>	—	3,764
Restricted cash relating to performance guarantees <sup>(5)</sup>	12,548	7,695
Total restricted cash	172,444	182,933
Less: current portion of restricted cash	(31,330 )	(27,306 )
Non-current restricted cash	141,114	155,627

Restricted cash does not include minimum consolidated cash balances of \$30.0 million required to be maintained as part of the financial covenants in some of our loan facilities, as these amounts are included in “Cash and cash equivalents” (see note 20).

<sup>(1)</sup> As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, the value of deposits used to obtain letters of credit to secure the obligations for the lease arrangements described in note 21 was \$112.4 million and \$119.5 million, respectively. These security deposits are referred to in these financial statements as restricted cash. The Methane Princess Lease security deposit earns interest based upon Pound Sterling LIBOR.

<sup>(2)</sup> Restricted cash pursuant to the \$800 million facility provides additional security to the lenders following the early termination of the Golar Spirit's charter and amendments to the Golar Freeze's charter. Under the amendments to the \$800 million facility, the restricted cash relating to the Golar Freeze was released upon securing an acceptable replacement charter. The amendments also allow for a stepped reduction in the value of the security deposit for the Golar Spirit. The security deposit may be applied against Golar Spirit's proportion of the \$800 million facility quarterly repayment. The security deposit will be reduced to \$23.5 million in 2019 and \$16.5 million in 2020. The security deposit will be fully utilized in 2021 on the final repayment of the \$800 million facility. The security deposit may be released if we are able to enter into a suitable charter (see note 20).

<sup>(3)</sup> Restricted cash relating to an interest rate swap refers to the collateral required by the bank with whom we entered into an interest rate swap. The initial fixed collateral balance of \$2.5 million is subsequently adjusted for any negative mark-to-market valuation, with the total collateral capped at \$12.5 million.

<sup>(4)</sup> Restricted cash relating to Eskimo SPV represents amounts held by the VIE which are not available for use by the Partnership. We are required to consolidate Eskimo SPV under US GAAP into our financial statements as a VIE (see note 5).

<sup>(5)</sup> As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, the value of collateral deposits required to secure performance guarantees issued to charterers on our behalf by banks was \$12.5 million and \$7.7 million, respectively. These security deposits are also referred to in these financial statements as restricted cash.

## 17. OTHER NON-CURRENT ASSETS

(in thousands of \$)	2018	2017
Mark-to-market interest rate swaps valuation (see note 23)	15,815	11,937

Other non-current assets	2,342	2,990
	18,157	14,927

Other non-current assets consist of capitalized commission expenses and lease incentives incurred in connection with the NR Satu time charter amounting to \$2.2 million and \$3.0 million as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. These costs are amortized over the term of the NR Satu time charter. Amortization expense for each of the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016 was \$0.7 million, which are recognized mainly under “Voyage and commission expenses” in the statement of operations.

F-30

---

Table of Contents

## 18. ACCRUED EXPENSES

(in thousands of \$)	2018	2017
Current tax payable	12,155	7,903
Interest expense	7,887	16,858
Vessel operating and drydocking expenses	6,824	6,671
Administrative expenses	363	808
	27,229	32,240

Current tax payable includes provision for interest and penalties of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

## 19. OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES

(in thousands of \$)	2018	2017
Deferred revenue	10,636	9,733
Mark-to-market interest rate swaps valuation (see note 23)	8,753	1,618
Guarantee (see note 24)	2,066	—
Preferred units dividend payable (see note 27)	1,543	2,080
Other creditors	1,410	1,485
Deferred credits from capital lease transactions (see note 22)	625	625
Derivative - earn-out units (see notes 23 and 27)	—	7,400
	25,033	22,941

## 20. DEBT

(in thousands of \$)	2018	2017
Total debt, net of deferred finance charges	1,272,350	1,371,034
Less: Current portion of long-term debt due to third parties, net of deferred finance charges	(75,451 )	(118,850 )
Long-term debt, net of deferred finance charges	1,196,899	1,252,184

Our outstanding debt as of December 31, 2018 is repayable as follows:

Year Ending December 31, (in thousands of \$)	
2019	78,585
2020	229,592
2021	768,568
2022	57,431
2023	12,816
2024 and thereafter	136,108
Total debt	1,283,100
Less: deferred finance charges	(10,750 )
Total debt, net deferred finance charges	1,272,350



Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, the maturity dates for our total debt were as follows:

(in thousands of \$)	2018	2017	Maturity date
\$800 million credit facility	595,000	672,000	2021
2015 Norwegian Bonds	150,000	150,000	2020
2017 Norwegian Bonds	250,000	250,000	2021
NR Satu Facility	88,863	103,500	2022
Eskimo SPV Debt	199,237	212,084	2025
Total debt	1,283,100	1,387,584	

\* This represents the total loan facility drawn down by the subsidiary of CMBL, which we consider to be a VIE. We determined that we are the primary beneficiary of this VIE as we are expected to absorb the majority of the VIEs' losses and residual gains associated with the vessel sold and leased backed from the subsidiary of CMBL.

Accordingly, the VIE and its related loan facilities are consolidated in our results. In consolidating this VIE, we must make assumptions regarding (i) the debt amortization profile; (ii) the interest rate to be applied against the VIE's debt principal; and (iii) the VIE's application of cash receipts. Our estimates are therefore dependent upon the timeliness of receipt and accuracy of financial information provided by these lessor VIE entities. Upon receipt of the audited financial statements of the lessor VIE, we make a true-up adjustment for any material differences. See note 5.

#### \$800 million credit facility

In April 2016, we entered into an \$800.0 million senior secured credit facility (the "\$800 million credit facility") with a syndicate of banks to refinance existing financing arrangements secured by seven of our existing vessels. The vessels included in this facility are the Golar Freeze, the Golar Grand, the Golar Igloo, the Golar Maria, the Golar Spirit and the Golar Winter and the Methane Princess.

The \$800 million credit facility has a five-year term and the initial credit facility consisted of a \$650.0 million term loan facility and a \$150.0 million revolving credit facility. The revolving credit facility was reduced by \$25.0 million on September 30, 2017. The requirement for the revolving credit facility to be reduced by a further \$50.0 million in September 2018 was waived until maturity. As of December 31, 2018, we had drawn down \$100.0 million on the revolving credit facility. The term loan facility is repayable in quarterly installments with a total final balloon payment of \$378.0 million together with any amounts outstanding under the revolving facility. The \$800 million credit facility bears interest at a rate of LIBOR plus a margin of 2.5%. As of December 31, 2018, the balance outstanding under the \$800 million credit facility amounted to \$595.0 million.

The facility requires a security deposit to be held for the period of the loan, unless certain conditions are met. These balances are referred to in these Consolidated Financial Statements as restricted cash. As of December 31, 2018, the value of the restricted cash deposit secured against the loan was \$30.8 million. See note 16.

#### 2015 Norwegian Bonds

In May 2015, we completed the issuance and sale of \$150 million aggregate principal amount of five years non-amortizing bonds in Norway (the "2015 Norwegian Bonds"). The 2015 Norwegian Bonds mature on May 22, 2020 and bear interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 4.4%. In connection with the issuance of the 2015 Norwegian Bonds, we entered into an economic hedge interest rate swap arrangement to reduce the risk associated with fluctuations in interest rates by converting the floating rate of the interest obligation under the 2015 Norwegian Bonds to an all-in fixed rate of 6.275%.

#### 2017 Norwegian Bonds



On February 15, 2017, we completed the issuance and sale of \$250.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 2017 Norwegian Bonds which will mature in May 2021 and bear interest at a rate of 3-month LIBOR plus 6.25%. In connection with the issuance of the 2017 Norwegian Bonds, we entered into economic hedge interest rate swaps to reduce the risk associated with fluctuations in interest rates by converting the floating rate of the interest obligation under the 2017 Norwegian Bonds to an all-in interest rate of 8.194%. The 2017 Norwegian Bonds were listed on the Oslo Bors in July 2017.

#### NR Satu Facility

In December 2012, PTGI, the company that owns and operates the NR Satu, entered into a seven year \$175.0 million secured loan facility (or the NR Satu Facility). The NR Satu Facility was split into two tranches, a \$155.0 million term loan facility and a \$20.0

F-32

---

## Table of Contents

million revolving facility. The facility was with a syndicate of banks and bore interest at LIBOR plus a margin of 3.5%. The loan was payable on a quarterly basis with a final balloon payment of \$52.5 million due in November 2019.

In March 2018, the NR Satu facility was extinguished and subsequently refinanced with Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation ("SMBC") under a new loan facility (the "New NR Satu Facility") which bears interest at LIBOR plus margin of 2.35%. The New NR Satu Facility is split into two tranches, a \$155.0 million term loan facility and a \$20.0 million revolving facility. The maturity of the New NR Satu Facility was extended to the earliest to occur of (i) November 30, 2022; (ii) the expiration date of the NR Satu Charter; or (iii) when all the amounts outstanding under the New NR Satu Facility have been repaid. The New NR Satu facility is payable on a quarterly basis with a final balloon payment upon maturity.

As of December 31, 2018, the balance outstanding under the New NR Satu facility was \$88.9 million. The facility requires certain cash balances to be held on deposit during the period of the loan. These balances are referred to in these Consolidated Financial Statements as restricted cash. As of December 31, 2018, the value of the restricted cash deposit secured against the loan was \$10.2 million. See note 16.

### Eskimo SPV Debt

In November 2015, we entered into a sale and leaseback transaction pursuant to which we sold the Golar Eskimo to Eskimo SPV, a subsidiary of CMBL, and leased back the vessel under a bareboat charter for a monthly hire rate. In November 2015, Eskimo SPV, which is the legal owner of the Golar Eskimo, entered into a long-term loan facility (the "Eskimo SPV Debt"). The facility bears interest at a rate of LIBOR plus a margin. See note 5.

### Debt and lease restrictions

As of December 31, 2018, we were in compliance with all covenants under our existing debt and lease agreements.

Our debt arrangements contain certain operating and financing restrictions and covenants that require: (a) the Partnership to maintain a minimum level of liquidity of \$30 million and consolidated net worth between ranges \$123.95 million and \$250 million, (b) the Partnership to maintain a minimum EBITDA to debt service ratio of 1.15:1, (c) the Partnership to not exceed a maximum net debt to EBITDA ratio of 6.5:1, (d) the Partnership to maintain a minimum percentage of the vessel values over the relevant outstanding loan facility balances of 110%, (e) certain of the Partnership's subsidiary to maintain a minimum debt service coverage of 1.10:1, (f) the Partnership to maintain the listing and quotation of the 2015 and 2017 Norwegian bonds on the Oslo Bors and (g) ensure the common units remain listed on the NASDAQ or other recognized stock exchange.

## 21. CAPITAL LEASE

(in thousands of \$)	2018	2017
Total obligations under capital lease	119,683	128,081
Less: current portion of obligations under capital lease	(1,564 )	(1,276 )
Non-current portion of obligations under capital lease	118,119	126,805

As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, we operated one vessel under a capital lease.

The leasing transaction, which occurred in August 2003, was in relation to the newbuilding, the Methane Princess. We novated the Methane Princess newbuilding contract prior to completion of construction and leased the vessel from the same financial institution in the United Kingdom (the "Methane Princess Lease"). The lessor of the Methane Princess

has a second priority security interest in the Methane Princess and the Golar Spirit. Our obligation to the lessor under the Methane Princess Lease is secured by a letter of credit (“LC”) provided by other banks. Details of the security deposit provided by us to the bank providing the LC are given in note 16.

F-33

---

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2018, we are committed to make quarterly minimum capital lease payments (including interest), as follows:

Year ending December 31, (in thousands of \$)	Methane Princess Lease
2019	7,740
2020	8,036
2021	8,349
2022	8,665
2023 and thereafter	158,360
Total minimum lease payments	191,150
Less: Imputed interest	(71,467 )
Present value of minimum lease payments	119,683

The interest element of the lease rentals is accrued at a floating rate based upon Pound Sterling LIBOR.

We determined that the entity that owned the Methane Princess was a variable interest entity in which we had a variable interest and was the primary beneficiary. Upon the initial transfer of the Methane Princess to the financial institution, we measured the subsequently leased vessel at the same amount as if the transfer had not occurred, which was cost less accumulated depreciation at the time of transfer.

## 22. OTHER NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES

(in thousands of \$)	2018	2017
Deferred tax liability (see note 8)	7,126	5,295
Guarantee (see note 24)	8,275	—
Deferred credits from capital lease transactions	14,774	15,399
	30,175	20,694

## Deferred credits from capital lease transactions

(in thousands of \$)	2018	2017
Deferred credits from capital lease transactions	24,691	24,691
Less: Accumulated amortization	(9,292 )	(8,667 )
	15,399	16,024
Current	625	625
Non-current	14,774	15,399
	15,399	16,024

In connection with the Methane Princess Lease (see note 21), we recorded an amount representing the difference between the net cash proceeds received upon sale of the vessel and the present value of the minimum lease payments. The amortization of the deferred credit for the year is offset against depreciation and amortization expense in the statement of operations. The deferred credits represent the upfront benefits derived from undertaking finance in the form of a UK lease. The deferred credits are amortized over the remaining estimated useful economic life of the Methane Princess on a straight-line basis.

Amortization for each of the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016 was \$0.6 million.

F-34

---

Table of Contents

## 23. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

## Interest rate risk management

In certain situations, we may enter into financial instruments to reduce the risk associated with fluctuations in interest rates. We have entered into swaps that convert floating rate interest obligations to fixed rates, which from an economic perspective hedge the interest rate exposure. We do not hold or issue instruments for speculative or trading purposes. The counterparties to such contracts are major banking and financial institutions. Credit risk exists to the extent that the counterparties are unable to perform under the contracts; however, we do not anticipate non-performance by any of our counterparties.

We manage our debt and capital lease portfolio with interest rate swap agreements in U.S. dollars to achieve an overall desired position of fixed and floating interest rates. We hedge account for certain of our interest rate swap arrangements designated as cash flow hedges. Accordingly, the net gains and losses have been reported in a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income to the extent the hedges are effective. The amount recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income will subsequently be reclassified into earnings, within other financial items, net, in the same period as the hedged items affect earnings.

We have entered into the following interest rate swap transactions involving the payment of fixed rates in exchange for LIBOR:

Instrument (in thousands of \$)	Year Ended	Notional Amount	Maturity Dates	Fixed Interest Rate
Interest rate swaps:				
Receiving floating, pay fixed	December 31, 2018	1,783,325	2019 to 2026	1.07 % to 2.90 %
Receiving floating, pay fixed	December 31, 2017	1,335,307	2018 to 2023	1.07 % to 2.44 %

During the year ended December 31, 2018, we entered into new interest rate swaps with a notional value of \$637.2 million, restructured an existing interest rate swap with a notional value of \$100.0 millions, and terminated swaps with a notional value of \$122.5 million.

During the year ended December 31, 2017, we entered into new interest rates swaps with a notional value of \$250 million.

The effect of cash flow hedging relationships relating to interest rate swap agreements on the statements of operations is as follows:

Derivatives designated as hedging instruments	Location	Effective portion gain/ (loss) reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss				Ineffective Portion	
		2018	2017	2016	2017	2016	
(in thousands of \$)							
Interest rate swaps	Other financial items, net	(26 )	—	(409 )	—(1 )	(639 )	

The effect of cash flow hedging relationships relating to interest rate swap agreements excluding the cross currency interest rate swap on the statements of other comprehensive income is as follows:

Derivatives designated as hedging instruments	Amount of gain/ (loss) recognized in
---	---

(in thousands of \$)	OCI on derivative (effective portion)	
	2018	2017
Interest rate swaps	—94	147

Since March 2018, we have ceased hedge accounting for any of our derivatives. As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, our accumulated other comprehensive income included \$nil and \$0.1 million, respectively, of unrealized losses on interest rate swap agreements excluding the cross currency interest rate swap designated as cash flow hedges.

The amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) to “Other financial items, net” for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, were a \$0.1 million loss, \$nil and a \$0.4 million loss, respectively.

Table of Contents

## Foreign currency risk

For the periods reported, the majority of our vessels' gross earnings were receivable in U.S. dollars and the majority of our transactions, assets and liabilities were denominated in U.S. dollars, our functional currency. However, we incur expenditures in other currencies. Our capital lease obligation and related restricted cash deposit are denominated in Pound Sterling. There is a risk that currency fluctuations will have a negative effect on the value of our cash flows.

A net foreign exchange gain of \$1.1 million, loss of \$0.7 million and gain of \$0.9 million arose in the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively. The net foreign exchange gain of \$1.1 million that arose in the year ended December 31, 2018 was a result of the retranslation of our capital lease obligations and the cash deposits securing those obligations.

As of December 31, 2018, and 2017 we had no foreign currency forward contracts.

## Cross currency interest rate swap

In order to hedge our exposure on our NOK denominated senior unsecured bonds (High-Yield Bonds) issued in 2012, we entered into a non-amortizing cross currency interest rate swap agreement and designated it as a cashflow hedge. The swap hedged both the full redemption amount of the NOK obligation and the related quarterly interest payments. From January 1, 2017, we de-designated the cross currency interest rate swap associated with the High-Yield Bonds as a cash flow hedge. Accordingly, the amount recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income of \$5.0 million was reclassified to earnings in 2017.

As of December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, our accumulated other comprehensive income included \$nil, \$nil and \$4.1 million gain, respectively, on the cross currency interest rate swap designated as a cash flow hedge. There has been no ineffectiveness in any of the years presented.

## Fair values

We recognize our fair value estimates using a fair value hierarchy based on the inputs used to measure fair value. The fair value hierarchy has three levels based on reliability of inputs used to determine fair value as follows:

Level 1: Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities.

Level 2: Observable market based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are not corroborated by market data.

There have been no transfers between different levels in the fair value hierarchy during the year. We do not have any level 3 financial instruments.

The carrying value and estimated fair value of our financial instruments at December 31, 2018 and 2017 are as follows:

(in thousands of \$)	Fair Value Hierarchy	2018 Carrying Value	2018 Fair Value	2017 Carrying Value	2017 Fair Value
Non-Derivatives:					
Cash and cash equivalents	Level 1	96,648	96,648	246,954	246,954



Edgar Filing: Golar LNG Partners LP - Form 20-F

Restricted cash and short-term deposits	Level 1	172,444	172,444	182,933	182,933
2015 and 2017 Norwegian Bonds <sup>(1)</sup>	Level 1	400,000	396,843	400,000	392,445
Long-term debt—floating <sup>(2)</sup>	Level 2	883,100	883,100	987,584	987,584
Obligation under capital lease <sup>(2)</sup>	Level 2	119,683	119,683	128,081	128,081
Derivatives:					
Interest rate swaps asset <sup>(3)(4)</sup>	Level 2	17,617	17,617	11,962	11,962
Interest rate swaps liability <sup>(3)(4)</sup>	Level 2	8,753	8,753	1,618	1,618
Earn-Out Units <sup>(5)</sup>	Level 2	—	—	7,400	7,400

F-36

---

## Table of Contents

This pertains to bonds with a combined carrying value of \$400.0 million as of December 31, 2018 (2017: \$400.0 million) which is included under long-term debt on the balance sheet. The fair value of the bonds as of  
1. December 31, 2018 was \$396.8 million (2017: \$392.4 million), which represents 99.2% (2017: 98.1%) of their face value.

Our debt and capital lease obligation are recorded at amortized cost in the consolidated balance sheets. Debt is  
2. presented in the above table, gross of deferred financing cost of \$10.8 million as of December 31, 2018 (2017: \$16.6 million).

Derivative liabilities are captured within other current liabilities and derivative assets are captured within other  
3. current and non-current assets on the consolidated balance sheet.

Since March 2018, we have ceased hedge accounting for any of our derivatives. The fair value/carrying value of  
4. interest rate swap agreements that qualified and were designated as cash flow hedges as of December 31, 2017 was \$0.1 million (with a notional amount of \$72.5 million).

This relates to the Earn-Out Units issued in connection with the IDR reset transaction in October 2016. On October  
24, 2018, we declared a reduced quarterly distribution of \$0.4042 per Common Unit. Consequently, the second  
5. tranche of Earn-Out Units were not issued. Accordingly, we have recognized a \$nil valuation on the Earn-Out Units derivatives as of December 31, 2018, resulting in a mark-to-market gain related to the Earn-Out Units. See note 27 for further details.

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instrument.

The carrying values of trade accounts receivable, accounts payable, accrued liabilities and working capital facilities approximate fair values because of the short-term maturity of these instruments.

The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents, which are highly liquid, is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

The estimated fair value for restricted cash and short-term deposits is considered to be equal to the carrying value since they are placed for periods of less than six months. The estimated fair value for long-term restricted cash is considered to be equal to the carrying value since it bears variable interest rates which are reset on a quarterly basis.

The estimated fair value of our 2015 Norwegian Bonds and 2017 Norwegian Bonds, are based on the quoted market price as of the balance sheet date.

The estimated fair value of our floating long-term debt is considered to be equal to the carrying value since it bears variable interest rates, which are reset on a quarterly basis.

The estimated fair value of long-term obligations under capital lease is considered to be equal to the carrying value since it bears interest at a variable interest rate, which is reset on a quarterly basis.

The fair value of our derivative instruments is the estimated amount that we would receive or pay to terminate the agreements at the reporting date, taking into account current interest rates, foreign exchange rates, and our credit worthiness and of our swap counterparty. The mark-to-market gain or loss on our interest rate and foreign currency swaps that are not designated as hedges for accounting purposes for the period is reported in the statement of operations caption "Gains/(losses) on derivative instruments" (see note 7).

The fair value of the Earn-Out Units was determined using a Monte-Carlo simulation method. This simulation was performed within the Black Scholes option pricing model then solved via an iterative process by applying the Newton-Raphson method for the fair value of the Earn-Out Units, such that the price of a unit output by the Monte Carlo simulation equalled the price observed in the market. The method took into account the historical volatility, dividend yield as well as the unit price of the common units as of the IDR reset date and at the balance sheet date (see

note 27).

The credit exposure of interest rate swap agreements is represented by the fair value of contracts with a positive fair value at the end of each period, reduced by the effects of master netting agreements. It is our policy to enter into master netting agreements with the counterparties to derivative financial instrument contracts, which give us the legal right to discharge all or a portion of amounts owed to that counterparty by offsetting them against amounts that the counterparty owes to us.

We have elected not to offset the fair values of derivative assets and liabilities executed with the same counterparty that are generally subject to enforceable master netting arrangements. However, if we were to offset and record the asset and liability balance of derivatives on a net basis, the amounts presented in our consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2018 and 2017 would be adjusted as detailed in the following table:

F-37

---

Table of Contents

	December 31, 2018			December 31, 2017		
(in thousands of \$)	Gross amounts presented in the consolidated balance sheet	Gross amounts not offset in the consolidated balance sheet subject to netting agreements	Net amount	Gross amounts presented in the consolidated balance sheet	Gross amounts not offset in the consolidated balance sheet subject to netting agreements	Net amount
Total asset derivatives	17,617	(3,281 )	14,336	11,962	(1,618 )	10,344
Total liability derivatives	8,753	(3,281 )	5,472	1,618	(1,618 )	—

Under one of our interest rate swaps we were required to provide initial cash collateral of \$2.5 million and to subsequently post additional cash collateral that corresponds to any further unrealized loss. As at December 31, 2018 cash collateral amounting to \$6.5 million has been provided (see note 16).

The fair value measurement of an asset or a liability must reflect the non-performance of the entity. Following the de-designation of the cross currency interest rate swap, a credit valuation adjustment of \$0.2 million was debited to other financial items, net for the year ended December 31, 2017. There is no comparable balance as at December 31, 2018.

The cash flows from economic hedges are classified in the same category as the cash flows from the items subject to the economic hedging relationship.

#### Concentrations of credit risk

The maximum exposure to credit risk is the carrying value of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and short-term deposits, trade accounts receivable, other receivables and amounts due from related parties. In respect of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and short-term deposits, credit risk lies with Nordea Bank Finland Plc, Citibank, DNB Bank ASA, Santander UK plc, Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation, Standard Chartered PLC, Skandinaviska Enskilda Banken AB (publ), and China Merchants Bank Co., Ltd. However, we believe this risk is remote, as they are established and reputable establishments with no prior history of default.

During the year ended December 31, 2018, seven customers accounted for the majority of our operating revenues. We consider the credit risk of these customers to be low. See note 6.

## 24. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

#### Transactions with related parties:

(in thousands of \$)	2018	2017	2016
Transactions with Golar and affiliates:			
Time charter revenues (a)	—	17,423	28,368
Management and administrative services fees (b)	(9,809 )	(7,762 )	(4,251 )
Ship management fees (c)	(5,200 )	(5,903 )	(6,466 )
Interest income on short-term loans (d)	—	—	122
Share options expense (e)	—	(228 )	(181 )
Income on deposits paid to Golar (f)	4,779	4,622	1,967

Distributions with Golar, net (g)	(42,842)	(52,255)	(54,688)
Fees to Helm Energy Advisors Inc. (h)	—	—	(795 )
Transactions with others:			
Dividends to China Petroleum Corporation (i)	—	(7,000 )	(12,360)

Receivables from related parties:

As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, balances with related parties consisted of the following:

F-38

---

Table of Contents

(in thousands of \$)	2018	2017
Balances due (to)/from Golar and its affiliates (d)	(4,091)	4,138
Methane Princess lease security deposit movements (j)	2,854	3,487
Deposits paid to Golar (f)	—	177,247
	(1,237)	184,872

(a) Time charter revenues - In connection with our acquisition of the Golar Grand in November 2012, Golar provided us with an option pursuant to which in the event that the charterer did not renew or extend its charter for the Golar Grand beyond February 2015, we could require Golar to charter the vessel through to November 2017 at approximately 75% of the hire rate that would have been payable by the charterer. In February 2015, we exercised this option. In May 2017, Golar Grand started its new charter with a major international oil and gas company (the "New Grand Charter"). We sub-chartered back the Golar Grand from Golar at the same time charter rate as the New Grand Charter. The daily time charter rate receivable from Golar under the option had reverted back to the original rate following the vessel's drydocking in April 2017 but was reduced by the sub-charter income under the New Grand Charter. Following the cessation of the arrangement in November 2017, we earned \$17.4 million and \$28.4 million in relation to this charter in the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 respectively.

(b) Management and administrative services fees - We are party to a management and administrative services agreement with Golar Management, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Golar, pursuant to which Golar Management will provide to us certain management and administrative services. The services provided by Golar Management are charged at cost plus a management fee equal to 5% of Golar Management's costs and expenses incurred in connection with providing these services. We may terminate the agreement by providing 120 days' written notice.

(c) Ship management fees - Golar and certain of its subsidiaries charged vessel management fees to us for the provision of technical and commercial management of the vessels. Each of our vessels is subject to management agreements pursuant to which certain commercial and technical management services are provided by certain subsidiaries of Golar, including Golar Management. We may terminate these agreements by providing 30 days' written notice.

(d) Balances due from (to)/Golar and its affiliates - Receivables and payables with Golar and its subsidiaries comprise primarily of unpaid management fees, advisory and administrative services and other related party arrangements, including the Golar Grand time charter, Tundra Letter Agreement and the Hilli Acquisition. In addition, certain receivables and payables arise when we pay an invoice on behalf of a related party and vice versa. Receivables and payables are generally settled quarterly in arrears. Balances due from and to Golar and its subsidiaries are unsecured, interest-free and intended to be settled in the ordinary course of business. In January 2016, we provided a short term loan to Golar in the amount of \$30 million for a fixed period of 60 days. We charged interest on this balance at a rate of LIBOR plus 5.0%

The movement in the net balance due to Golar as of December 31, 2018 is mainly attributable to the outstanding amount due to Golar in relation to the Hilli Acquisition.

(e) Share options expense - This relates to a recharge from Golar in relation to the award of 29,950 (with an exercise price of \$23.50 at grant date) and 45,000 (with an exercise price of \$56.70 at grant date) share options in Golar LNG granted to certain of our directors and officers. The exercise price is reduced by the value of dividends declared and paid. They have a contractual term of five years and vest evenly over three years.

(f) Income on deposits paid to Golar/Deposits paid to Golar - In May 2016, we completed the Tundra Acquisition and paid total cash purchase consideration of \$107.2 million. In May 2017, we elected to exercise our right (the "Tundra Put Right") under the Tundra Letter Agreement to require Golar to repurchase Tundra Corp at a price equal to the

original purchase price. In connection with the exercise of the Tundra Put Right, we and Golar entered into an agreement pursuant to which we agreed to sell Tundra Corp to Golar on the date of the closing of the Tundra Put Sale on October 17, 2017 in return for Golar's promise to pay an amount equal to \$107.2 million (the "Deferred Purchase Price") plus an additional amount equal to 5% per annum of the Deferred Purchase Price (the "Additional Amount"). The Deferred Purchase Price and the Additional Amount were applied to the net purchase price of the Hilli Acquisition on July 12, 2018. We received under the Tundra Letter Agreement \$2.2 million and \$2.0 million as interest income for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

On August 15, 2017, Golar Partners Operating LLC, our wholly owned subsidiary, entered into a purchase and sale agreement (the "Hilli Purchase Agreement") for the Hilli Acquisition. Concurrently with the execution of the Hilli Purchase Agreement, we paid a \$70 million deposit to Golar, upon which we received interest at a rate of 5% per annum. We have accounted for \$4.8 million

F-39

---

## Table of Contents

and \$2.4 million as interest income for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017 on the Deferred Purchase Price and \$70 million deposit. We applied the deposit and interest accrued to the net purchase price on July 12, 2018, upon completion of the Hilli Acquisition.

(g) Distributions with Golar - We have declared and paid quarterly distributions totaling \$48.4 million, \$52.3 million, and \$54.7 million to Golar for each of the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively in respect of the Common Units and General Partner units owned by it.

During the year ended December 31, 2018, Hilli LLC had declared quarterly distributions totaling \$5.6 million in respect of the Hilli Common Units owned by us. As of December 31, 2018, we have a dividend receivable of \$3.6 million.

(h) Fees to Helm Energy Advisors Inc. - Through his co-ownership of Helm Energy Advisors Inc. (“Helm”), a company established and domiciled in Canada, Mr. Doug Arnell, who was appointed to our board of directors in February 2015 and resigned in September 2016, acted and advised on various projects for us and earned approximately \$0.8 million from us in fees for the year ended December 31, 2016.

(i) Dividends to China Petroleum Corporation - During the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, Faraway Maritime Shipping Co., which is 60% owned by us and 40% owned by China Petroleum Corporation (“CPC”), paid total dividends to CPC of \$nil, \$7.0 million and \$12.4 million, respectively.

(j) Methane Princess Lease security deposit movements - This represents net advances to Golar since the IPO, which correspond with the net release of funds from the security deposits held relating to the Methane Princess Lease. This is in connection with the Methane Princess tax lease indemnity provided by Golar under the Omnibus Agreement (see below). Accordingly, these amounts held with Golar will be settled as part of the eventual termination of the Methane Princess Lease.

### Other transactions

Agency agreement with PT Pesona Sentra Utama (or PT Pesona) - PT Pesona, an Indonesian company owns 51% of the issued share capital in our subsidiary, PTGI, the owner and operator of NR Satu, and provides agency and local representation services for us with respect to NR Satu. During the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, PT Pesona received an agency fee of \$0.9 million, \$0.5 million and \$0.4 million, respectively.

### Acquisitions from Golar

As described in note (f) above, on July 12, 2018, we purchased 50.0% of the Hilli Common Units from Golar, affiliates of Keppel and B&V. See note 10.

### Omnibus Agreement

In connection with our IPO in April 2011, we entered into an Omnibus Agreement with Golar, Golar GP LLC (our “General Partner”) and others governing, among others:

- To what extent we and Golar may compete with each other;
- Certain rights of first offer on certain FSRUs and LNG carriers operating under charters for five or more years; and
- The provision of certain indemnities to us by Golar.

### Indemnifications and guarantees



Tax lease indemnifications

Under the Omnibus Agreement, Golar has agreed to indemnify us in the event of any liabilities in excess of scheduled or final settlement amounts arising from the Methane Princess leasing arrangement and the termination thereof.

In addition, Golar has agreed to indemnify us against any liabilities incurred as a consequence of a successful challenge by the UK Revenue Authorities with regard to the initial tax basis of the transactions in respect of the Methane Princess and other vessels previously financed by UK tax leases or in relation to the restructuring terminations in 2010. See note 25.

F-40

---

## Table of Contents

### Acquisitions of Golar Eskimo, Golar Igloo and Golar Maria

Under the Purchase, Sale and Contribution Agreements entered into between Golar and us on December 15, 2014, December 5, 2013 and January 30, 2013 in relation to the Golar Eskimo, the Golar Igloo and the Golar Maria, respectively, Golar has agreed to indemnify us against certain environmental and toxic tort liabilities with respect to the assets that Golar contributed or sold to us to the extent arising prior to the time they were sold and to the extent that we notify Golar within five years of the date of the agreements.

### Golar Tundra financing related guarantees

In November 2015, Tundra Corp sold the Golar Tundra to a subsidiary of CMBL ("Tundra SPV") for \$254.6 million and subsequently leased back the vessel under a bareboat charter (the "Tundra Lease"). In connection with the Tundra Lease, Golar is a party to a guarantee in favor of Tundra SPV, pursuant to which, in the event that Tundra Corp (a subsidiary of Golar) is in default of its obligations under the Tundra Lease, Golar, as the primary guarantor, will settle any liabilities due within five business days. In addition, we are also party to a further guarantee (the "Tundra Guarantee"), pursuant to which, in the event Golar is unable to satisfy its obligations as the primary guarantor, Tundra SPV may recover from us, as the deficiency guarantor. Under a separate side agreement, Golar has agreed to indemnify us for any costs incurred in our capacity as the deficiency guarantor.

### Hilli guarantees (in connection with the Hilli Acquisition)

#### (i) Debt

Hilli Corp is a party to a Memorandum of Agreement, dated September 9, 2015, with Fortune Lianjiang Shipping S.A., a subsidiary of China State Shipbuilding Corporation ("Fortune"), pursuant to which Hilli Corp has sold to and leased back from Fortune the Hilli under a 10-year bareboat charter agreement (the "Hilli Facility"). The Hilli Facility provided for post-construction financing for the Hilli in the amount of \$960 million. Under the Hilli Facility, Hilli Corp will pay to Fortune forty consecutive equal quarterly repayments of 1.375% of the construction cost, plus interest based on LIBOR plus a margin of 3.95%. In connection with the closing of the Hilli Acquisition, we agreed to provide a several guarantee (the "Partnership Guarantee") of 50% of the outstanding principal, interest, expenses and other amounts payable by Hilli Corp under the Hilli Facility pursuant to a Deed of Amendment, Restatement and Accession relating to a guarantee between Golar, Fortune and us dated July 12, 2018. We entered into a \$480.0 million interest rate swap in relation to our proportionate share of the obligation under the Hilli Facility.

#### (ii) Letter of credit

On November 28, 2018, we entered into an agreement to guarantee (the "LOC Guarantee") the letter of credit issued by a financial institution in the event of Hilli Corp's underperformance or non-performance under the LTA. Under the LOC Guarantee, we are severally liable for any outstanding amounts that are payable, based on the percentage ownership that Golar holds in us, multiplied by our percentage ownership in Hilli Common Units.

Pursuant to the Partnership Guarantee and the LOC Guarantee, we are required to comply with the following covenants and ratios:

- free liquid assets of at least \$30 million throughout the Hilli Facility period;
- a maximum net debt to EBITDA ratio for the previous 12 months of 6.5:1; and
- a consolidated tangible net worth of \$123.95 million.

As of December 31, 2018, the amount we have guaranteed under the Partnership Guarantee and the LOC Guarantee is \$455.3 million, and the fair value of debt guarantee after amortization, presented under “Other current liabilities” and “Other non-current liabilities” in our consolidated balance sheet, is \$10.3 million, net. We are in compliance with the covenants and ratios for both Hilli guarantees.

#### Operating expense reimbursement

Pursuant to the Hilli Purchase Agreement, we agreed to reimburse Golar, Keppel and B&V for (a) 50% of the amount, if any, by which Operating Expenses (as defined below) are less than \$32.4 million per year and (b) 50% of the amount, if any, by which withholding taxes on Operating Expense payments are less than \$4.2 million per year, for a period of eight years commencing on the Closing Date, up to a maximum amount of \$20 million in the aggregate. “Operating Expenses” means, all expenditures made by Hilli LLC and its subsidiaries, including vessel operating expenses, taxes, maintenance expenses and employee compensation and benefits, and capital expenditures, but exclude withholding taxes thereon.

F-41

---

## Table of Contents

### Conversion of Subordinated units

In June 2016, the subordination period expired and all the subordinated units converted into common units (see note 27).

### Exchange of Incentive Distribution Rights

Pursuant to the terms of the Exchange Agreement by and between the Partnership, Golar and our General Partner, Golar and our General Partner exchanged all of their incentive distribution rights in the Partnership (see note 27).

## 25. OTHER COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

### Assets pledged

(in thousands of \$)	2018	2017
Carrying value of vessels and equipment secured against long-term loans and capital leases	1,517,297	1,555,092

### Other contractual commitments and contingencies

#### Insurance

We insure the legal liability risks for our shipping activities with Gard and Skuld, which are mutual protection and indemnity associations. As a member of a mutual association, we have inquired to the associations based on our claims record in addition to the claims records of all other members of the association. A contingent liability exists to the extent that the claims records of the members of the association in the aggregate show significant deterioration, which results in additional premium on the members.

#### Tax lease benefits

As of December 31, 2018, we have one UK tax lease (relating to the Methane Princess). A termination of this lease would realize the accrued currency gain or loss recorded against the lease liability, net of the restricted cash. As of December 31, 2018, there was a net accrued gain of approximately \$2.1 million.

Under the terms of the leasing arrangement, the benefits are derived primarily from the tax depreciation assumed to be available to the lessor as a result of their investment in the vessel. As is typical in these leasing arrangements, as the lessee we are obligated to maintain the lessor's after-tax margin. Accordingly, in the event of any adverse tax changes or a successful challenge by the Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs (the "HMRC"), the UK tax authorities, with regard to the initial tax basis of the transactions, or in the event of an early termination of the Methane Princess lease or in relation to the other vessels previously financed by UK tax leases, we may be required to make additional payments principally to the applicable UK vessel lessor. We would be required to return all, or a portion of, or in certain circumstances significantly more than the upfront cash benefits that Golar received in respect of the applicable lease financing transaction.

HMRC has been challenging the use of similar tax lease structures and has been engaged in litigation of a test case for some years. In August 2015, following an appeal to the Court of Appeal by the HMRC which set aside previous judgments in favor of the tax payer, the First Tier Tribunal (UK court) ruled in favor of HMRC. The judgments of the First Tier Tribunal do not create binding precedent for other UK court decisions and therefore the ruling in favor of HMRC is not binding in the context of our structures. Further, we consider there are differences in the fact pattern and

structure between this case and our leasing arrangements and therefore is not necessarily indicative of any outcome should HMRC challenge us, and we believe that our fact pattern is sufficiently different to succeed if we are challenged by HMRC. HMRC have written to our lessor to indicate that they believe the Methane Princess lease may be similar to the case noted above. We have reviewed the details of the case and the basis of the judgment with our legal and tax advisers to ascertain what impact, if any, the judgment may have on us and the possible range of exposure has been estimated at approximately \$nil to \$30.0 million (£23.0 million). Golar is currently in conversation with HMRC on this matter, and as well as continuing to present the factual background of Golar's position, is progressing the possibility of bringing this inquiry to a mutually satisfactory conclusion. Given the complexity of these discussions it is impossible to quantify the reasonably possible loss, however we continue to estimate the possible range of exposures as set out above. However, under the indemnity provisions of the Omnibus Agreement, Golar has agreed to indemnify us against any liabilities incurred as a consequence of a successful challenge by the UK Revenue Authorities with regard to the initial tax basis of the Methane Princess lease and in relation to other vessels previously financed by UK tax leases.

F-42

---

Table of Contents

## Legal proceedings and claims

From time to time we have been, and expect to continue to be, subject to legal proceedings and claims in the ordinary course of our business, principally personal injury and property casualty claims. These claims, even if lacking merit, could result in the expenditure of significant financial and managerial resources.

In November and December 2015, the Indonesian tax authorities issued letters to PTGI (see note 5) to, among other things, revoke a previously granted VAT importation waiver in the approximate amount of \$24.0 million for the NR Satu. In April 2016, PTGI initiated an action in the Indonesian tax court to dispute the waiver cancellation. The final hearing took place in June 2016 and we received the verdict of the Tax Court in November 2017, which rejected PTGI's claim. In February 2018, PTGI filed a Judicial Review with the Supreme Court of Indonesia, but in December 2018, the Supreme Court of Indonesia ruled against PTGI with regards the validity of waiver cancellation. However, we do not believe it probable that a liability exists as a result of this ruling, as no Tax Underpayment Assessment Notice has been received within the statute of limitations period. Should we receive such notice from the tax authorities, we intend to challenge the legality of the assessment. In any event, we believe PTGI will be indemnified by PTNR for any VAT liability as well as related interest and penalties under our time charter party agreement entered into with them.

## 26. UNIT-BASED COMPENSATION

The Golar LNG Partners LP Long Term Incentive Plan (the "GMLP LTIP") was adopted by our board of directors, effective as of May 30, 2016. The maximum aggregate number of common units that may be delivered pursuant to any and all awards under the GMLP LTIP shall not exceed 500,000 common units, subject to adjustment due to recapitalization or reorganization as provided under the GMLP LTIP. The GMLP LTIP allows for grants of (i) unit options, (ii) unit appreciation rights, (iii) restricted unit awards, which may include tandem unit distribution rights, (iv) phantom units, (v) unit awards, (vi) other unit-based awards, (vii) cash awards, (viii) distribution equivalent rights (whether granted alone or in tandem with another award, other than a restricted Unit or Unit award), (ix) substitute awards and (x) performance-based awards. Either authorized unissued shares or treasury shares (if there are any) in the Partnership may be used to satisfy exercised options.

As of December 31, 2018, 99,000 options to purchase common units had been awarded to our directors and management under the GMLP LTIP. There were no options awarded in the year ended December 31, 2018.

The options had an exercise price of \$20.55 per unit, representing the closing price of the common units on November 17, 2016, the grant date, and a contractual term of five years. The exercise price will be adjusted for each time we pay distributions. One third of the options vested in November 2017, the second third vested in November 2018 and the final third will vest in November 2019.

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the grant date or modification date using the Black-Scholes option pricing model based on the following assumptions as of the grant date.

	2017	2016	
Risk free interest rate	1.5	% 1.5	%
Expected volatility of common units <sup>(1)</sup>	44.8	% 44.8	%
Expected dividend yield <sup>(2)</sup>	0.0	% 0.0	%
Expected life of options (in years)	5.0 years	5.0 years	

- (1) The assumption for expected future volatility is based primarily on an analysis of historical volatility of our common units.
- (2) The dividend yield has been estimated at 0.0% as the exercise price of the options are reduced by the value of distributions, declared and paid on a per unit basis.

A summary of option activity for the year ended December 31, 2018 is presented below:

(in thousands of \$, except per unit data)	Units (in '000s)	Weighted	Weighted average remaining contractual term (years)
		average exercise price	
Options outstanding at December 31, 2017	99	\$ 18.24	3.9
Options outstanding at December 31, 2018	99	\$ 16.10	2.9

Table of Contents

Options exercisable at:

December 31, 2018      66   16.10   2.9

December 31, 2017      33   18.24   3.9

		Year ended		
		December 31		
(in thousands of \$)		2018	2017	2016
Fair value of unit options which fully vested in the year		233	233	—

Compensation cost recognized in the consolidated statement of operations	234	238	23
--	-----	-----	----

As at December 31, 2018, the intrinsic value of unit options that were both outstanding and exercisable was \$nil, as the exercise price was higher than the market value of the common units underlying the options at year end (2017: \$0.2 million).

As of December 31, 2018, the total unrecognized compensation cost amounting to \$0.2 million relating to unit options outstanding is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 0.9 years.

## 27. EQUITY

At December 31, 2018, a total of 69.4% (2017: 69.6%) of the Partnership's common units outstanding were held by the public. The remaining common units were held by Golar and the 2% general partner interest was held by our General Partner. All of the Partnership's outstanding Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Units (the "Series A Preferred Units") are held by the public.

## Rights and Obligations of Partnership Units

Common units. Common units represent limited partner interests in us. Each outstanding common unit is entitled to one vote on matters subject to a vote of common unitholders. However, if at any time, any person or group owns beneficially more than 4.9% or more of any class of units outstanding, any such units owned by that person or group in excess of 4.9% may not be voted (except for purposes of nominating a person for election to our Board). The voting rights of any such common unitholder in excess of 4.9% will effectively be redistributed pro rata among the other common unitholders holding less than 4.9% of the voting power of such class of units. The General Partner, its affiliates and persons who acquired common units with the prior approval of the Board will not be subject to this 4.9% limit except with respect to voting their common units in the election of the four elected directors.

- Subordinated units. Subordinated units represented limited partner interests in us. Subordinated units had limited voting rights and most notably were excluded from voting in the election of the elected directors.

General partner units. There is a limitation on the transferability of the general partner interest such that the General Partner may not transfer all or any part of its general partner interest to another person (except to an affiliate of the General Partner or another entity as part of the merger or consolidation of the General Partner with or into another entity or the transfer by the General Partner of all or substantially all of its assets to another entity) prior to March 31, 2021 without the approval of the holders of at least a majority of the outstanding common units, excluding common units held by the General Partner and its affiliates. The general partner units are not entitled to vote in the election of the four elected directors. However, subject to the rights of the holders of Series A Preferred Units in certain instances, the General Partner in its sole discretion appoints three of the seven members of the Board.



IDRs. The IDRs are non-voting and represent rights to receive an increasing percentage of quarterly distributions of available cash from operating surplus after the minimum quarterly distribution and the target distribution levels have been achieved (see note 28). Pursuant to the Partnership Agreement, the IDRs are transferable without unitholder approval.

Series A Preferred Units. The Series A Preferred Units represent perpetual equity interests in us and, unlike our indebtedness, will not give rise to a claim for payment of a principal amount at a particular date. Series A Preferred Units have the voting rights described below under “Series A Preferred Units”. The Series A Preferred Units have preferential distribution rights to our common units and rank junior to all of our indebtedness as set forth below.

Table of Contents

For additional information regarding the common units, general partner units, IDRs and Series A Preferred Units, please see our Registration Statement on Form 8-A/A filed on November 13, 2017.

## Equity Issuances

The following table shows the movement in the number of preferred units, common units, subordinated units and general partner units during the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016:

(in units)	Preferred Units	Common Units	Subordinated Units	GP Units
December 31, 2015	—	45,167,096	15,949,831	1,257,408
January 2016 common unit repurchase program	—	(38,000 )	—	—
June 2016 conversion of subordinated units	—	15,949,831	(15,949,831 )	—
October 2016 IDR reset	—	2,994,364	—	61,109
December 31, 2016	—	64,073,291	—	1,318,517
February 2017 common unit offering	—	5,175,000	—	94,714
October 2017 preferred units offering	5,520,000	—	—	—
November 2017 earn-out units conversion (1st tranche)	—	374,295	—	7,639
During 2017 common unit continuous offering program	—	145,675	—	2,973
December 31, 2017	5,520,000	69,768,261	—	1,423,843
January 2018 common unit continuous offering program	—	617,969	—	12,548
During 2018 unit repurchase program	—	(930,866 )	—	—
December 31, 2018	5,520,000	69,455,364	—	1,436,391

In December 2015, our Board approved a program to repurchase up to \$25.0 million of our outstanding common units in the open market over a two year period. As of December 31, 2016, we had repurchased a total of 38,000 units under the common unit repurchase program for an aggregate cost of \$0.5 million. We did not repurchase any common units during the year ended December 31, 2017. In March 2018, our Board approved a common unit repurchase program of up to \$25.0 million of our outstanding common units in the open market over a two year period and subsequently increased the common unit repurchase program to \$50 million in December 2018. As of December 31, 2018, we had repurchased a total of 930,866 units under the common unit repurchase program for an aggregate cost of \$14.0 million. In accordance with the provisions of the Partnership Agreement, all common units repurchased are deemed canceled and not outstanding, with immediate effect.

In June 2016, the Board determined that the conditions precedent for the expiration of the subordination period set forth in the definition of “Subordination Period” contained in the Partnership Agreement were satisfied, and on June 30, 2016, all 15,949,831 subordinated units (all of which were held by Golar) were converted into common units on a one-for-one basis.

In September 2017, we entered into an equity distribution agreement with a sales agent pursuant to which we may, from time to time issue common units with an aggregate offering price of up to \$150 million (the “ATM Program”). During 2017 and 2018, we sold a total of 763,644 common units under the ATM program, at an average gross sales price of \$23.08 per unit, for which we received \$17.4 million of net proceeds. In connection with such sales, our General Partner purchased 15,521 general partner units at an average price of \$23.08 per unit, for which we received an additional \$0.4 million of proceeds.

## Exchange of Incentive Distribution Rights

On October 19, 2016 (the “IDR Exchange Closing Date”), pursuant to the terms of an Exchange Agreement (the “Exchange Agreement”), dated as of October 13, 2016, by and between the Partnership, Golar and our General Partner.

Golar and our General Partner exchanged all of their incentive distribution rights in the Partnership (“Old IDRs”) for (i) the issuance by us on the IDR Exchange Closing Date of a new class of incentive distribution rights in the Partnership (“New IDRs”), (ii) an aggregate of 2,994,364 additional common units and an aggregate of 61,109 additional general partner units and (iii) the issuance in the future of an aggregate of up to 748,592 additional common units and up to 15,278 additional general partner units (collectively, the “Earn-Out Units”) that may be issued subject to certain conditions described below. The new IDRs result in the minimum distribution level increasing from \$0.3850 per common unit to \$0.5775 per common unit. The fair value of the Old IDRs was not materially different to the fair value of all of the newly issued instruments.

## Table of Contents

On the IDR Exchange Closing Date (i) the Old IDRs were exchanged by Golar and the General Partner and cancelled by us, (ii) 100% of the New IDRs were issued to the General Partner and Golar, (iii) 2,425,435 and 568,929 additional common units were issued to the General Partner and Golar, respectively, and (iv) 61,109 general partner units were issued to the General Partner.

As of November 14, 2017 we had paid a distribution of available cash from operating surplus pursuant to the terms of our Second Amended and Restated Partnership Agreement, on each of the outstanding common units equal to or greater than \$0.5775 per common unit in respect of each of the quarterly periods ended December 31, 2016, March 31, 2017, June 30, 2017 and September 30, 2017. Accordingly, we issued 50% of the Earn-Out Units - 374,295 common units and 7,639 general partner units to Golar and the General Partner, respectively.

On October 24, 2018, we declared a reduced quarterly distribution of \$0.4042 per common unit in respect of the quarterly period ended September 30, 2018. Consequently, we did not meet the requirement to pay a distribution of available cash from operating surplus on each of the outstanding common units equal to or greater than \$0.5775 per common unit in respect of each of the quarterly periods ended December 31, 2017, March 31, 2018, June 30, 2018 and September 30, 2018. The remaining 50% of the Earn-Out Units were not issued.

In relation to our IDR reset transaction, we accounted for this as a modification of the Old IDRs and determined that the earn-out units met the definition of a derivative. Accordingly, the overall effect of the transaction was (i) reclassification of the initial fair value of the derivative from equity to current liabilities of \$15.0 million; (ii) reallocation between unitholders within equity due to the recognition of the incremental fair value of the modification and fair values of newly issued instruments and resulting deemed distribution.

The fair value of the Earn-Out Units at December 31, 2018 and 2017 amounted to \$nil and \$7.4 million, respectively. We recognized in 2017 a mark-to-market gain of \$7.4 million reducing the fair value of the Earn-Out Units derivative to \$nil. The issuance of the first 50% of the earn-out units valued at \$8.0 million was transferred to equity in November 2017 (see note 23).

### Series A Preferred Units

Our 8.75% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Units are listed on the Nasdaq Global Market under the symbol "GMLPP".

On October 31, 2017 we sold in a registered public offering 5,520,000 of our Series A Preferred Units, liquidation preference \$25.00 per unit. We raised proceeds, net of the underwriters discounts and offering fees, of \$133.0 million.

The Series A Preferred Units rank:

senior to our common units and to each other class or series of limited partner interests or other equity securities established after the original issue date of the Series A Preferred Units that is not expressly made senior to or on parity with the Series A Preferred Units as to the payment of distributions and amounts payable upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up, whether voluntary or involuntary ("Junior Securities");

pari passu with any class or series of limited partner interests or other equity securities established after the original issue date of the Series A Preferred Units with terms expressly providing that such class or series ranks on a parity with the Series A Preferred Units as to the payment of distributions and amounts payable upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up, whether voluntary or involuntary ("Parity Securities");

junior to all of our indebtedness and other liabilities with respect to assets available to satisfy claims against us; and

junior to each other class or series of limited partner interests or other equity securities expressly made senior to the Series A Preferred Units as to the payment of distributions and amounts payable upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up, whether voluntary or involuntary (“Senior Securities”). The Series A Preferred Units have no conversion or exchange rights and are not subject to any pre-emptive rights.

Distributions on the Series A Preferred Units are payable out of amounts legally available therefor at a rate equal to 8.75% per annum of the stated liquidation preference. Distributions are payable quarterly in arrears on the 15<sup>th</sup> day of February, May, August and November of each year, when, as and if declared by our Board. The first distribution on the Series A Preferred Units was paid on February 15, 2018 in an amount equal to \$0.63802 per unit, representing accumulated distributions from October 31, 2017, the original issuance date of the Series A Preferred Units, through February 14, 2018.

Table of Contents

The Series A Preferred Units generally have no voting rights. However, if and whenever distributions payable on the Series A Preferred Units are in arrears for six or more quarterly periods, whether or not consecutive, holders of Series A Preferred Units, voting as a class together with the holders of any Parity Securities upon which like voting rights have been conferred and are exercisable, will have the right to replace one of the members of our Board appointed by our General Partner with a person nominated by such holders (unless the holders of Series A Preferred Units and Parity Securities upon which like voting rights have been conferred voting as a class, have previously elected a member of our Board, and such director continues then to serve on the Board). Distributions payable on the Series A Preferred Units will be considered to be in arrears for any quarterly period for which full cumulative distributions through the most recent distribution payment date have not been paid on all outstanding Series A Preferred Units. The right of such holders of Series A Preferred Units to elect a member of our Board will continue until such time as all accumulated and unpaid distributions on the Series A Preferred Units have been paid in full. In addition, unless we have received the affirmative vote or consent of the holders of at least two-thirds of the outstanding Series A Preferred Units, voting as a single class, our Board may not adopt any amendment to our partnership agreement that would have a material adverse effect on the existing terms of the Series A Preferred Units. In addition, unless we have received the affirmative vote or consent of the holders of at least two-thirds of the outstanding Series A Preferred Units, voting as a class together with holders of any other Parity Securities upon which like voting rights have been conferred and are exercisable, we may not (i) issue any Parity Securities if the cumulative distributions on Series A Preferred Units are in arrears or (ii) create or issue any Senior Securities.

In the event of a liquidation, dissolution or winding up of our affairs, whether voluntary or involuntary, holders of Series A Preferred Units will have the right to receive a liquidation preference of \$25.00 per unit plus an amount equal to all accumulated and unpaid distributions thereon to the date of payment, whether declared or not. These payments will be paid before any payments are paid to our common unitholders.

At any time on or after October 31, 2022, we may redeem, in whole or in part, the Series A Preferred Units at a redemption price of \$25.00 per unit plus an amount equal to all accumulated and unpaid distributions thereon on the date of redemption, whether declared or not. Any such redemption will be effected from funds legally available for such purpose. We must provide not less than 30 days' and not more than 60 days' written notice of any such redemption.

## 28. EARNINGS PER UNIT AND CASH DISTRIBUTIONS

Earnings per unit have been calculated in accordance with the distribution guidelines set forth in the Partnership Agreement and are determined by adjusting net income for the period by distributions made or to be made in relation to the period irrespective of the declaration and payment dates. The calculations of basic and diluted earnings per common unit are presented below:

(in thousands of \$ except unit and per unit data)	2018	2017	2016
Common unitholders' interest in net income	59,925	124,656	139,948
Less: distributions paid <sup>(1)</sup>	(137,335)	(160,069)	(151,694)
Over distributed earnings	(77,410)	(35,413)	(11,746)
Basic:			
Weighted average common units outstanding (in thousands)	69,944	68,671	53,745
Diluted:			
Weighted average common units outstanding (in thousands)	69,944	68,671	53,745

Edgar Filing: Golar LNG Partners LP - Form 20-F

Earn-out units	—	654	189
Common unit and common unit equivalents	69,944	69,325	53,934
Earnings per unit - Common unitholders			
:			
Basic	\$ 0.86	\$ 1.82	\$ 2.44
Diluted	0.86	1.80	2.43
Cash distributions declared and paid in the period per common unit <sup>(2)</sup>	1.96	2.31	2.31
Subsequent event: Cash distributions declared and paid per common unit relating to the period <sup>(3)</sup>	0.40	0.58	0.58

---

<sup>(1)</sup> This refers to distributions made or to be made to the common unitholders in relation to the period irrespective of the declaration and payment dates and based on the weighted average number of units outstanding in the period.

F-47

---

## Table of Contents

- (2) Refers to cash distributions declared and paid during the period.  
 (3) Refers to cash distributions relating to the period, declared and paid subsequent to the period end.

As of December 31, 2018, of our total number of common units outstanding, 69.4% (2017: 69.6%) were held by the public and the remaining common units were held by Golar.

Earnings per common unit is calculated using the two class method. Basic earnings per common unit is determined by adjusting net income for the period by distributions made or to be made in relation to the period. Any undistributed earnings for the period are allocated to the various unitholders based on the distribution waterfall for cash available for distribution as specified in the Partnership Agreement. Any distributions in excess of earnings are allocated to partnership units based upon the allocation and distribution of amounts from partners' capital accounts. The resulting earnings figure is divided by the weighted average number of units outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per common unit reflect the potential dilution that occur if securities or other contracts to issue common units were exercised.

The various partnership interests in net income were calculated as if all net income was distributed according to the terms of the Partnership Agreement, regardless of whether those earnings would or could be distributed. The Partnership Agreement does not provide for the distribution of net income; rather, it provides for the distribution of available cash, which is a contractually defined term that generally means all cash on hand at the end of the quarter after establishment of cash reserves determined by our Board to (i) provide for the proper conduct of our business, among other things, including reserves for maintenance and replacement capital expenditure and anticipated credit needs; (ii) comply with applicable law and our debt and other agreements; (iii) provide funds for payments on the Series A Preferred Units and (iv) provide funds for distributions to unitholders for any one or more of the next four quarters. In addition, the holders of the incentive distribution rights are currently entitled to incentive distributions if the amount we distribute to unitholders with respect to any quarter exceeds specified target levels. Unlike available cash, net income is affected by non-cash items, such as depreciation and amortization, unrealized gains or losses on non-designated derivative instruments and foreign currency translation gains/(losses).

Under our Partnership Agreement, we make distributions of available cash from operating surplus for any quarter as set forth in the following table. The following table illustrates the percentage allocations of the additional available cash from operating surplus among the common unitholders, our General Partner and the holders of the IDRs up to the various target distribution levels. The amounts set forth under "Marginal Percentage Interest in Distributions" are the percentage interests of the common unitholders, our General Partner and the holders of the IDRs in any available cash from operating surplus we distribute up to and including the corresponding amount in the column "Total Quarterly Distribution Target Amount," until available cash from operating surplus we distribute reaches the next target distribution level, if any. The percentage interests shown for the common unitholders, our General Partner and the holders of the IDRs for the minimum quarterly distribution are also applicable to quarterly distribution amounts that are less than the minimum quarterly distribution. The percentage interests shown for our General Partner include its 2.0% general partner interest only and assume that our General Partner has contributed any capital necessary to maintain its 2.0% general partner interest.

Marginal Percentage Interest in Distributions		
Quarterly Distribution Target Amount (per	Common Unitholders	General Partner and Holders of IDRs



Edgar Filing: Golar LNG Partners LP - Form 20-F

	unit)				
Minimum Quarterly Distribution	\$ 0.5775	98 %	2 %	—	
First Target Distribution	up to \$0.6641	98 %	2 %	—	
Second Target Distribution	above \$0.6641 up to \$0.7219	85 %	2 %	13 %	
Third Target Distribution	above \$0.7219 up to \$0.8663	75 %	2 %	23 %	
Thereafter	above \$0.8663	50 %	2 %	48 %	

The percentage interests set forth above assume that our General Partner maintains its 2.0% general partner interest and that we do not issue additional classes of equity securities.

The Series A Preferred Units rank senior to our common units as to the payment of distributions and amounts payable upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up, whether voluntary or involuntary. See note 27.

Table of Contents

29. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

In February 2019, we paid a cash distribution of \$0.4042 per common unit in respect of the three months ended December 31, 2018 to unitholders of record as of February 11, 2019. We also paid a cash distribution of \$0.546875 per Series A Preferred Unit for the period from November 15, 2018 through to February 14, 2019 to our Series A Preferred unitholders of record as of February 12, 2019.