WELLS FARGO & COMPANY/MN Form 424B2 March 29, 2019

Filed Pursuant to Rule 424(b)(2)

Registration Nos. 333-221324 and 333-221324-01

The information in this preliminary pricing supplement is not complete and may be changed. This preliminary pricing supplement and the accompanying market measure supplement, prospectus supplement and prospectus are not an offer to sell these securities and we are not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any jurisdiction where the offer or sale is not permitted.

Subject To Completion, dated March 29, 2019

PRICING SUPPLEMENT No. 55 dated April, 2019 (To Market Measure Supplement dated May 18, 2018,

Prospectus Supplement dated May 18, 2018

and Prospectus dated February 26, 2019)

Wells Fargo Finance LLC

Medium-Term Notes, Series A

Fully and Unconditionally Guaranteed by Wells Fargo & Company

**Equity Index Linked Securities** 

Market Linked Securities—Auto-Callable with Contingent Coupon and Contingent Downside

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Lowest Performing of the Russell 2000® Index and the EURO STOXX 50® Index due May 4, 2029

Linked to the **lowest performing** of the Russell 2000® Index and the EURO STOXX 50® Index (each referred to as an "Index")

Unlike ordinary debt securities, the securities do not provide for fixed payments of interest, do not repay a fixed amount of principal at stated maturity and are subject to potential automatic call prior to stated maturity upon the terms described below. Whether the securities pay a contingent coupon, whether the securities are automatically called prior to stated maturity and, if they are not automatically called, whether you are repaid the original offering price of your securities at stated maturity will depend in each case on the closing level of the lowest performing Index on the relevant calculation day. The lowest performing Index on any calculation day is the Index that has the lowest closing level on that calculation day as a percentage of its starting level

Contingent Coupon. The securities will pay a contingent coupon on a quarterly basis until the earlier of stated maturity or automatic call if, and only if, the closing level of the lowest performing Index on the calculation day for that quarter is greater than or equal to its coupon threshold level. However, if the closing level of the lowest performing Index on a calculation day is less than its coupon threshold level, you will not receive any contingent coupon for the relevant quarter. If the closing level of the lowest performing Index is less than its coupon threshold level on every calculation day, you will not receive any contingent coupons throughout the entire term of the securities. The coupon threshold level for each Index is equal to 75% of its starting level. The contingent coupon rate will be determined on the pricing date and will be at least 8.50% per annum

**Automatic Call.** If the closing level of the lowest performing Index on any of the quarterly calculation days from May 2020 to February 2029, inclusive, is greater than or equal to its starting level, we will automatically call the securities for the original offering price plus a final contingent coupon payment

**Potential Loss of Principal.** If the securities are not automatically called prior to stated maturity, you will receive the original offering price at stated maturity if, and only if, the closing level of the lowest performing Index on the final calculation day is greater than or equal to its downside threshold level. If the closing level of the lowest performing Index on the final calculation day is less than its downside threshold level, you will lose more than 40%, and possibly all, of the original offering price of your securities. The downside threshold level for each Index is equal to 60% of its starting level

If the securities are not automatically called prior to stated maturity, you will have full downside exposure to the lowest performing Index from its starting level if its closing level on the final calculation day is less than its downside threshold level, but you will not participate in any appreciation of either Index and will not receive any dividends on securities included in either Index

Your return on the securities will depend solely on the performance of the Index that is the lowest performing Index on each calculation day. You will not benefit in any way from the performance of the better performing Index. Therefore, you will be adversely affected if **either Index** performs poorly, even if the other Index performs favorably All payments on the securities are subject to credit risk, and you will have no ability to pursue any securities included in either Index for payment; if Wells Fargo Finance LLC, as issuer, and Wells Fargo & Company, as guarantor, default on their obligations, you could lose some or all of your investment No exchange listing; designed to be held to maturity

On the date of this preliminary pricing supplement, the estimated value of the securities is approximately \$929.15 per security. While the estimated value of the securities on the pricing date may differ from the estimated value set forth above, we do not expect it to differ significantly absent a material change in market conditions or other relevant factors. In no event will the estimated value of the securities on the pricing date be less than \$909.15 per security. The estimated value of the securities was determined for us by Wells Fargo Securities, LLC using its proprietary pricing models. It is not an indication of actual profit to us or to Wells Fargo Securities, LLC or any of our other affiliates, nor is it an indication of the price, if any, at which Wells Fargo Securities, LLC or any other person may be willing to buy the securities from you at any time after issuance. See "Estimated Value of the Securities" in this pricing supplement.

The securities have complex features and investing in the securities involves risks not associated with an investment in conventional debt securities. See "Risk Factors" herein on page PRS-11.

The securities are the unsecured obligations of Wells Fargo Finance LLC, and, accordingly, all payments are subject to credit risk. If Wells Fargo Finance LLC, as issuer, and Wells Fargo & Company, as guarantor, default on their obligations, you could lose some or all of your investment. The securities are not savings accounts, deposits or other obligations of a depository institution and are not insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Deposit Insurance Fund or any other governmental agency.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this pricing supplement or the accompanying market measure supplement, prospectus supplement and prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary

is a criminal offense.

### Original Offering Price Agent Discount<sup>(1)</sup> Proceeds to Wells Fargo Finance LLC

**Per Security** \$1,000.00 \$40.00 \$960.00

**Total** 

Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, an affiliate of Wells Fargo Finance LLC and a wholly owned subsidiary of Wells (1) Fargo & Company, is the agent for the distribution of the securities and is acting as principal. See "Terms of the Securities—Agent" and "Estimated Value of the Securities" in this pricing supplement for further information.

#### **Wells Fargo Securities**

#### Market Linked Securities—Auto-Callable with Contingent Coupon and Contingent Downside

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Lowest Performing of the Russell 2000® Index and the EURO STOXX 50® Index due May 4, 2029

#### **Terms of the Securities**

**Issuer:** Wells Fargo Finance LLC. Guarantor: Wells Fargo & Company.

Market The Russell 2000® Index and the EURO STOXX 50® Index (each referred to as an "Index," and

**Measures:** collectively as the "Indices").

**Pricing** 

April 25, 2019.\* Date:

**Issue Date:** April 30, 2019.\* (T+3)

**Original** Offering

\$1,000 per security. References in this pricing supplement to a "security" are to a security with a face

amount of \$1,000. **Price:** 

> On each contingent coupon payment date, you will receive a contingent coupon payment at a per annum rate equal to the contingent coupon rate if, and only if, the closing level of the lowest performing Index on the related calculation day is greater than or equal to its coupon threshold level. Each "contingent coupon payment," if any, will be calculated per security as follows: (\$1,000 × contingent coupon rate)/4.

Coupon

**Contingent** Any contingent coupon payment will be rounded to the nearest cent, with one-half cent rounded upward.

**Payment:** 

If the closing level of the lowest performing Index on any calculation day is less than its coupon threshold level, you will not receive any contingent coupon payment on the related contingent coupon payment date. If the closing level of the lowest performing Index is less than its coupon threshold level on all quarterly calculation days, you will not receive any contingent coupon payments over the term of the securities.

Ouarterly, on the third business day following each calculation day (as each such calculation day may be postponed pursuant to "—Postponement of a Calculation Day" below, if applicable), provided that the contingent coupon payment date with respect to the final calculation day will be the stated maturity date. If a calculation day is postponed with respect to one or both Indices, the related contingent coupon

Contingent Coupon **Payment** Dates:

payment date will be three business days after the last calculation day as postponed. If a contingent coupon payment date is postponed, the contingent coupon payment, if any, due on that contingent coupon payment date will be made on that contingent coupon payment date as so postponed with the same force and effect as if it had been made on the originally scheduled contingent coupon payment date, that is, with no additional amount accruing or payable as a result of the postponement.

**Contingent** 

Coupon Rate:

The "contingent coupon rate" will be determined on the pricing date and will be at least 8.50% per annum.

If the closing level of the lowest performing Index on any of the quarterly calculation days from May 2020 to February 2029, inclusive, is greater than or equal to its starting level, the securities will be automatically called, and on the related call settlement date you will be entitled to receive a cash payment per security in U.S. dollars equal to the original offering price per security plus a final

Automatic Call:

contingent coupon payment. The securities will not be subject to automatic call until the fourth quarterly calculation day, which is approximately one year after the issue date.

If the securities are automatically called, they will cease to be outstanding on the related call settlement date and you will have no further rights under the securities after such call settlement date. You will not receive any notice from us if the securities are automatically called.

Calculation Days:

Quarterly, on the 1st day of each February, May, August and November, commencing August 2019 and ending February 2029, and the final calculation day\*, each subject to postponement as described below under "—Postponement of a Calculation Day." We refer to May 1, 2029\* as the "final calculation day."

Call
Settlement
Date:

Three business days after the applicable calculation day (as such calculation day may be postponed pursuant to "—Postponement of a Calculation Day" below, if applicable). If a calculation day is postponed with respect to one or both Indices, the related call settlement date will be three business days after the last calculation day as postponed.

#### Market Linked Securities—Auto-Callable with Contingent Coupon and Contingent Downside

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Lowest Performing of the Russell 2000® Index and the EURO STOXX 50® Index due May 4, 2029

Stated **Maturity** 

Date:

May 4, 2029\*. If the final calculation day is postponed, the stated maturity date will be the later of (i) May 4, 2029\* and (ii) three business days after the last final calculation day as postponed. See "—Postponement of a Calculation Day" below. If the stated maturity date is not a business day, the payment to be made on the stated maturity date will be made on the next succeeding business day with the same force and effect as if it had been made on the stated maturity date. The securities are not subject to repayment at the option of any holder of the securities prior to the stated maturity date. If the securities are not automatically called prior to the stated maturity date, you will be entitled to receive on the stated maturity date a cash payment per security in U.S. dollars equal to the maturity payment amount (in addition to the final contingent coupon payment, if any). The "maturity payment amount" per security will equal:

- if the ending level of the lowest performing Index on the final calculation day is greater than or equal to its downside threshold level: \$1,000; or
- if the ending level of the lowest performing Index on the final calculation day is less than its downside threshold level:

\$1,000 × performance factor of the lowest performing Index on the final calculation day

**Maturity Payment Amount:** 

If the securities are not automatically called prior to stated maturity and the ending level of the lowest performing Index on the final calculation day is less than its downside threshold level, you will lose more than 40%, and possibly all, of the original offering price of your securities at stated maturity.

Any return on the securities will be limited to the sum of your contingent coupon payments, if any. You will not participate in any appreciation of either Index, but you will have full downside exposure to the lowest performing Index on the final calculation day if the ending level of that Index is less than its downside threshold level.

All calculations with respect to the maturity payment amount will be rounded to the nearest one hundred-thousandth, with five one-millionths rounded upward (e.g., 0.000005 would be rounded to 0.00001); and the maturity payment amount will be rounded to the nearest cent, with one-half cent rounded upward.

Lowest **Index:** 

For any calculation day, the "lowest performing Index" will be the Index with the lowest performance **Performing** factor on that calculation day (as such calculation day may be postponed for one or both Indices pursuant to "—Postponement of a Calculation Day" below, if applicable).

Performance With respect to an Index on any calculation day, its closing level on such calculation day divided by its starting level (expressed as a percentage).

**Factor: Closing** Level:

With respect to each Index, the "closing level" of that Index on any trading day means the official closing level of that Index reported by the relevant index sponsor on such trading day, as obtained by the calculation agent on such trading day from the licensed third-party market data vendor contracted by the calculation agent at such time; in particular, taking into account the decimal precision and/or rounding convention employed by such licensed third-party market data vendor on such date. Currently, the calculation agent obtains market data from Thomson Reuters Ltd., but the calculation agent may change its market data vendor at any time without notice. The foregoing provisions of this definition of "closing level" are subject to the provisions set forth below under "Additional Terms of the Securities—Market

Disruption Events," "—Adjustments to an Index" and "—Discontinuance of an Index." With respect to the Russell 2000 Index: , its closing level on the pricing date.

Starting Level:

With respect to the EURO STOXX 50 Index: , its closing level on the pricing date.

**Ending** 

The <u>"ending level"</u> of an Index will be its closing level on the final calculation day.

Level: Coupon

The <u>chang level</u> of an index will be its closing level on the final calculation day.

Threshold

Level: Downside With respect to the EURO STOXX 50 Index: , which is equal to 75% of its starting level.

, which is equal to 75% of its starting level.

With respect to the Russell 2000 Index: , which is equal to 60% of its starting level.

With respect to the Russell 2000 Index:

Threshold

**Level:** With respect to the EURO STOXX 50 Index: , which is equal to 60% of its starting level.

<sup>\*</sup>To the extent that we make any change to the expected pricing date or expected issue date, the calculation days and stated maturity date may also be changed in our discretion to ensure that the term of the securities remains the same.

#### Market Linked Securities—Auto-Callable with Contingent Coupon and Contingent Downside

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Lowest Performing of the Russell 2000<sup>®</sup> Index and the EURO STOXX 50<sup>®</sup> Index due May 4, 2029

Postponement of a Calculation Day:

If any calculation day is not a trading day with respect to either Index, such calculation day for each Index will be postponed to the next succeeding day that is a trading day with respect to each Index. A calculation day for an Index is also subject to postponement due to the occurrence of a market disruption event with respect to such Index on such calculation day. See "Additional Terms

of the Securities—Market Disruption Events."

Calculation Agent:

Wells Fargo Securities, LLC

No Listing: Material Tax Consequences: The securities will not be listed on any securities exchange or automated quotation system. For a discussion of the material U.S. federal income and certain estate tax consequences of the ownership and disposition of the securities, see "United States Federal Tax Considerations." Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, an affiliate of Wells Fargo Finance LLC and a wholly owned subsidiary of Wells Fargo & Company. The agent may resell the securities to other securities dealers at the original offering price of the securities less a concession not in excess of \$40.00 per security.

Agent:

The agent or another affiliate of ours expects to realize hedging profits projected by its proprietary pricing models to the extent it assumes the risks inherent in hedging our obligations under the securities. If any dealer participating in the distribution of the securities or any of its affiliates conducts hedging activities for us in connection with the securities, that dealer or its affiliate will expect to realize a profit projected by its proprietary pricing models from such hedging activities. Any such projected profit will be in addition to any discount or concession received in connection

with the sale of the securities to you.

**Denominations:** 

\$1,000 and any integral multiple of \$1,000.

**CUSIP:** 

95001H4G3

Market Linked Securities—Auto-Callable with Contingent Coupon and Contingent Downside

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Lowest Performing of the Russell 2000<sup>®</sup> Index and the EURO STOXX 50<sup>®</sup> Index due May 4, 2029

#### Additional Information about the Issuer, the Guarantor and the Securities

You should read this pricing supplement together with the market measure supplement dated May 18, 2018, the prospectus supplement dated May 18, 2018 and the prospectus dated February 26, 2019 for additional information about the securities. When you read the accompanying market measure supplement and prospectus supplement, please note that all references in such supplements to the prospectus dated April 27, 2018, or to any sections therein, should refer instead to the accompanying prospectus dated February 26, 2019 or to the corresponding sections of such prospectus, as applicable. Information included in this pricing supplement supersedes information in the market measure supplement, prospectus supplement and prospectus to the extent it is different from that information. Certain defined terms used but not defined herein have the meanings set forth in the prospectus supplement.

When we refer to "we," "us" or "our" in this pricing supplement, we refer only to Wells Fargo Finance LLC and not to any of its affiliates, including Wells Fargo & Company.

You may access the market measure supplement, prospectus supplement and prospectus on the SEC website www.sec.gov as follows (or if such address has changed, by reviewing our filing for the relevant date on the SEC website):

- Market Measure Supplement dated May 18, 2018:
   <a href="https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/72971/000119312518167616/d593569d424b2.htm">https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/72971/000119312518167616/d593569d424b2.htm</a>
- Prospectus Supplement dated May 18, 2018: https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/72971/000119312518167593/d523952d424b2.htm
- Prospectus dated February 26, 2019: https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/72971/000138713119001442/wf-424b2 022619.htm

#### Market Linked Securities—Auto-Callable with Contingent Coupon and Contingent Downside

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Lowest Performing of the Russell 2000® Index and the EURO STOXX 50® Index due May 4, 2029

#### **Estimated Value of the Securities**

The original offering price of each security of \$1,000 includes certain costs that are borne by you. Because of these costs, the estimated value of the securities on the pricing date will be less than the original offering price. The costs included in the original offering price relate to selling, structuring, hedging and issuing the securities, as well as to our funding considerations for debt of this type.

The costs related to selling, structuring, hedging and issuing the securities include (i) the agent discount (if any), (ii) the projected profit that our hedge counterparty (which may be one of our affiliates) expects to realize for assuming risks inherent in hedging our obligations under the securities and (iii) hedging and other costs relating to the offering of the securities.

Our funding considerations take into account the higher issuance, operational and ongoing management costs of market-linked debt such as the securities as compared to conventional debt of Wells Fargo & Company of the same maturity, as well as our and our affiliates' liquidity needs and preferences. Our funding considerations are reflected in the fact that we determine the economic terms of the securities based on an assumed rate that is generally lower than our internal funding rate, which is described below and is used in determining the estimated value of the securities.

If the costs relating to selling, structuring, hedging and issuing the securities were lower, or if the assumed rate we use to determine the economic terms of the securities were higher, the economic terms of the securities would be more favorable to you and the estimated value would be higher. The estimated value of the securities as of the pricing date will be set forth in the final pricing supplement.

#### Determining the estimated value

Our affiliate, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC (<u>"WFS</u>"), calculated the estimated value of the securities set forth on the cover page of this pricing supplement based on its proprietary pricing models. Based on these pricing models and related market inputs and assumptions referred to in this section below, WFS determined an estimated value for the securities by estimating the value of the combination of hypothetical financial instruments that would replicate the payout on the securities, which combination consists of a non-interest bearing, fixed-income bond (the <u>"debt component"</u>) and one or more derivative instruments underlying the economic terms of the securities (the <u>"derivative component"</u>).

The estimated value of the debt component is based on an internal funding rate that reflects, among other things, our and our affiliates' view of the funding value of the securities. This rate is used for purposes of determining the estimated value of the securities since we expect secondary market prices, if any, for the securities that are provided by WFS or any of its affiliates to generally reflect such rate. WFS determined the estimated value of the securities based on this internal funding rate, rather than the assumed rate that we use to determine the economic terms of the securities, for the same reason.

WFS calculated the estimated value of the derivative component based on a proprietary derivative-pricing model, which generated a theoretical price for the derivative instruments that constitute the derivative component based on various inputs, including the "derivative component factors" identified in "Risk Factors—The Value Of The Securities Prior To Stated Maturity Will Be Affected By Numerous Factors, Some Of Which Are Related In Complex Ways." These

inputs may be market-observable or may be based on assumptions made by WFS in its discretion.

The estimated value of the securities determined by WFS is subject to important limitations. See "Risk Factors—The Estimated Value Of The Securities Is Determined By Our Affiliate's Pricing Models, Which May Differ From Those Of Other Dealers" and "—Our Economic Interests And Those Of Any Dealer Participating In The Offering Are Potentially Adverse To Your Interests."

#### Valuation of the securities after issuance

The estimated value of the securities is not an indication of the price, if any, at which WFS or any other person may be willing to buy the securities from you in the secondary market. The price, if any, at which WFS or any of its affiliates may purchase the securities in the secondary market will be based upon WFS's proprietary pricing models and will fluctuate over the term of the securities due to changes in market conditions and other relevant factors. However, absent changes in these market conditions and other relevant factors, except as otherwise described in the following paragraph, any secondary market price will be lower than the estimated value on the pricing date because the secondary market price will be reduced by a bid-offer spread, which may vary depending on the aggregate face amount of the securities to be purchased in the secondary market transaction, and the expected cost of unwinding any related hedging transactions. Accordingly, unless market conditions and other relevant factors change significantly in your favor, any secondary market price for the securities is likely to be less than the original offering price.

If WFS or any of its affiliates makes a secondary market in the securities at any time up to the issue date or during the 6-month period following the issue date, the secondary market price offered by WFS or any of its affiliates will be increased by an amount reflecting a portion of the costs associated with selling, structuring, hedging and issuing the securities that are included in the original offering price. Because this portion of the costs is not fully deducted upon issuance, any secondary market price offered by WFS or any of its affiliates during this period will be higher than it would be if it were based solely on WFS's proprietary pricing models less the bid-offer spread and hedging unwind costs described above. The amount of this increase in the secondary market price will decline steadily to zero over this 6-month period. If you hold the securities through an account at WFS or any of its affiliates, we expect that this increase will also be reflected in the value indicated for the securities on your brokerage account statement.

#### Market Linked Securities—Auto-Callable with Contingent Coupon and Contingent Downside

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Lowest Performing of the Russell 2000® Index and the EURO STOXX 50® Index due May 4, 2029

If WFS or any of its affiliates makes a secondary market in the securities, WFS expects to provide those secondary market prices to any unaffiliated broker-dealers through which the securities are held and to commercial pricing vendors. If you hold your securities through an account at a broker-dealer other than WFS or any of its affiliates, that broker-dealer may obtain market prices for the securities from WFS (directly or indirectly), but could also obtain such market prices from other sources, and may be willing to purchase the securities at any given time at a price that differs from the price at which WFS or any of its affiliates is willing to purchase the securities. As a result, if you hold your securities through an account at a broker-dealer other than WFS or any of its affiliates, the value of the securities on your brokerage account statement may be different than if you held your securities at WFS or any of its affiliates.

The securities will not be listed or displayed on any securities exchange or any automated quotation system. Although WFS and/or its affiliates may buy the securities from investors, they are not obligated to do so and are not required to make a market for the securities. There can be no assurance that a secondary market will develop.

#### Market Linked Securities—Auto-Callable with Contingent Coupon and Contingent Downside

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Lowest Performing of the Russell 2000<sup>®</sup> Index and the EURO STOXX 50<sup>®</sup> Index due May 4, 2029

#### **Investor Considerations**

We have designed the securities for investors who:

seek an investment with contingent quarterly coupon payments at a rate of at least 8.50% per annum (to be determined on the pricing date) until the earlier of stated maturity or automatic call, if, **and only if**, the closing level of the lowest performing Index on the applicable quarterly calculation day is greater than or equal to 75% of its starting level; understand that if the closing level of the lowest performing Index on the final calculation day has declined by more than 40% from its starting level, they will be fully exposed to the decline in the lowest performing Index from its starting level and will lose more than 40%, and possibly all, of the original offering price at stated maturity; are willing to accept the risk that they may not receive any contingent coupon payment on one or more, or any, quarterly contingent coupon payment dates over the term of the securities;

understand that the securities may be automatically called prior to stated maturity and that the term of the securities may be as short as approximately one year;

understand that the return on the securities will depend solely on the performance of the Index that is the lowest performing Index on each calculation day and that they will not benefit in any way from the performance of the better performing Index;

understand that the securities are riskier than alternative investments linked to only one of the Indices or linked to a basket composed of both Indices;

understand and are willing to accept the full downside risks of both Indices;

are willing to forgo participation in any appreciation of either Index and dividends on securities included in either Index; and

are willing to hold the securities to maturity.

The securities are not designed for, and may not be a suitable investment for, investors who:

seek a liquid investment or are unable or unwilling to hold the securities to maturity;

require full payment of the original offering price of the securities at stated maturity;

seek a security with a fixed term;

are unwilling to purchase securities with an estimated value as of the pricing date that is lower than the original offering price and that may be as low as the lower estimated value set forth on the cover page;

are unwilling to accept the risk that the closing level of the lowest performing Index on the final calculation day may decline by more than 40% from its starting level;

seek certainty of current income over the term of the securities;

seek exposure to the upside performance of either or both Indices;

seek exposure to a basket composed of both Indices or a similar investment in which the overall return is based on a blend of the performances of the Indices, rather than solely on the lowest performing Index;

are unwilling to accept the risk of exposure to the small-capitalization segment of the United States equity market and the Eurozone equity market;

are unwilling to accept the credit risk of Wells Fargo Finance LLC and Wells Fargo & Company; or prefer the lower risk of conventional fixed income investments with comparable maturities issued by companies with comparable credit ratings.

Market Linked Securities—Auto-Callable with Contingent Coupon and Contingent Downside

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Lowest Performing of the Russell 2000® Index and the EURO STOXX 50® Index due May 4, 2029

#### **Determining Payment On A Contingent Coupon Payment Date and at Maturity**

If the securities have not been previously automatically called, on each quarterly contingent coupon payment date, you will either receive a contingent coupon payment or you will not receive a contingent coupon payment, depending on the closing level of the lowest performing Index on the related quarterly calculation day.

**Step 1**: Determine which Index is the lowest performing Index on the relevant calculation day. The lowest performing Index on any calculation day is the Index with the lowest performance factor on that calculation day. The performance factor of an Index on a calculation day is its closing level on that calculation day as a percentage of its starting level (i.e., its closing level on that calculation day *divided by* its starting level).

**Step 2**: Determine whether a contingent coupon is paid on the applicable contingent coupon payment date based on the closing level of the lowest performing Index on the relevant calculation day, as follows:

On the stated maturity date, if the securities have not been automatically called prior to the stated maturity date, you will receive (in addition to the final contingent coupon payment, if any) a cash payment per security (the maturity payment amount) calculated as follows:

**Step 1**: Determine which Index is the lowest performing Index on the final calculation day. The lowest performing Index on the final calculation day is the Index with the lowest performance factor on the final calculation day. The performance factor of an Index on the final calculation day is its ending level as a percentage of its starting level (i.e., its ending level *divided by* its starting level).

**Step 2**: Calculate the maturity payment amount based on the ending level of the lowest performing Index, as follows:

Market Linked Securities—Auto-Callable with Contingent Coupon and Contingent Downside

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Lowest Performing of the Russell 2000® Index and the EURO STOXX 50® Index due May 4, 2029

#### **Hypothetical Payout Profile**

The following profile illustrates the potential maturity payment amount on the securities (excluding the final contingent coupon payment, if any) for a range of hypothetical performances of the lowest performing Index on the final calculation day from its starting level to its ending level, assuming the securities have not been automatically called prior to the stated maturity date. This graph has been prepared for purposes of illustration only. Your actual return will depend on the actual ending level of the lowest performing Index on the final calculation day and whether you hold your securities to stated maturity. The performance of the better performing Index is not relevant to your return on the securities.

#### Market Linked Securities—Auto-Callable with Contingent Coupon and Contingent Downside

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Lowest Performing of the Russell 2000<sup>®</sup> Index and the EURO STOXX 50<sup>®</sup> Index due May 4, 2029

#### **Risk Factors**

The securities have complex features and investing in the securities will involve risks not associated with an investment in conventional debt securities. You should carefully consider the risk factors set forth below as well as the other information contained in this pricing supplement and the accompanying market measure supplement, prospectus supplement and prospectus, including the documents they incorporate by reference. As described in more detail below, the value of the securities may vary considerably before the stated maturity date due to events that are difficult to predict and are beyond our control. You should reach an investment decision only after you have carefully considered with your advisors the suitability of an investment in the securities in light of your particular circumstances.

# If The Securities Are Not Automatically Called Prior To Stated Maturity, You May Lose Some Or All Of The Original Offering Price Of Your Securities At Stated Maturity.

We will not repay you a fixed amount on your securities at stated maturity. If the securities are not automatically called prior to stated maturity, you will receive a maturity payment amount that will be equal to or less than the original offering price per security, depending on the ending level of the lowest performing Index on the final calculation day.

If the ending level of the lowest performing Index on the final calculation day is less than its downside threshold level, the maturity payment amount will be reduced by an amount equal to the decline in the level of the lowest performing Index from its starting level (expressed as a percentage of its starting level). The downside threshold level for each Index is 60% of its starting level. For example, if the securities are not automatically called and the lowest performing Index on the final calculation day has declined by 40.1% from its starting level to its ending level, you will not receive any benefit of the contingent downside protection feature and you will lose 40.1% of the original offering price per security. As a result, you will not receive any protection if the level of the lowest performing Index on the final calculation day declines significantly and you may lose some, and possibly all, of the original offering price per security at stated maturity, even if the level of the lowest performing Index is greater than or equal to its starting level or its downside threshold level at certain times during the term of the securities.

Even if the ending level of the lowest performing Index on the final calculation day is greater than its downside threshold level, the maturity payment amount will not exceed the original offering price, and your yield on the securities, taking into account any contingent coupon payments you may have received during the term of the securities, may be less than the yield you would earn if you bought a traditional interest-bearing debt security of Wells Fargo Finance LLC or another issuer with a similar credit rating.

The Securities Do Not Provide For Fixed Payments Of Interest And You May Receive No Coupon Payments On One Or More Quarterly Contingent Coupon Payment Dates, Or Even Throughout The Entire Term Of The Securities.

On each quarterly contingent coupon payment date you will receive a contingent coupon payment if, **and only if**, the closing level of the lowest performing Index on the related calculation day is greater than or equal to its coupon threshold level. The coupon threshold level for each Index is 75% of its starting level. If the closing level of the lowest performing Index on any calculation day is less than its coupon threshold level, you will not receive any contingent coupon payment on the related contingent coupon payment date, and if the closing level of the lowest performing

Index is less than its coupon threshold level on each calculation day over the term of the securities, you will not receive any contingent coupon payments over the entire term of the securities.

# The Securities Are Subject To The Full Risks Of Both Indices And Will Be Negatively Affected If Either Index Performs Poorly, Even If The Other Index Performs Favorably.

You are subject to the full risks of both Indices. If either Index performs poorly, you will be negatively affected, even if the other Index performs favorably. The securities are not linked to a basket composed of the Indices, where the better performance of one Index could offset the poor performance of the other Index. Instead, you are subject to the full risks of whichever Index is the lowest performing Index on each calculation day. As a result, the securities are riskier than an alternative investment linked to only one of the Indices or linked to a basket composed of both Indices. You should not invest in the securities unless you understand and are willing to accept the full downside risks of both Indices.

# Your Return On The Securities Will Depend Solely On The Performance Of The Index That Is The Lowest Performing Index On Each Calculation Day, And You Will Not Benefit In Any Way From The Performance Of The Better Performing Index.

Your return on the securities will depend solely on the performance of the Index that is the lowest performing Index on each calculation day. Although it is necessary for both Indices to close above their respective coupon threshold levels on the relevant calculation day in order for you to receive a quarterly contingent coupon payment and above their respective downside threshold levels on the final calculation day for you to be repaid the original offering price of your securities at maturity, you will not benefit in any way from the performance of the better performing Index. The securities may underperform an alternative investment linked to a basket composed of the Indices, since in such case the performance of the better performing Index would be blended with the performance of the lowest performing Index, resulting in a better return than the return of the lowest performing Index alone.

#### You Will Be Subject To Risks Resulting From The Relationship Between The Indices.

It is preferable from your perspective for the Indices to be correlated with each other so that their levels will tend to increase or decrease at similar times and by similar magnitudes. By investing in the securities, you assume the risk that the Indices will not exhibit this relationship. The less correlated the Indices, the more likely it is that either one of the Indices will be performing poorly at any time over the term of the securities. All that is necessary for the securities to perform poorly is for one of the Indices to perform poorly; the performance of the better performing Index is not relevant to your return on the securities. It is impossible to predict what the

#### Market Linked Securities—Auto-Callable with Contingent Coupon and Contingent Downside

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Lowest Performing of the Russell 2000<sup>®</sup> Index and the EURO STOXX 50<sup>®</sup> Index due May 4, 2029

relationship between the Indices will be over the term of the securities. Each Index represents a different equity market. The Russell 2000 Index represents the small capitalization segment of the United States equity market and the EURO STOXX 50 Index represents the Eurozone equity market. These different equity markets may not perform similarly over the term of the securities.

# You May Be Fully Exposed To The Decline In The Lowest Performing Index On The Final Calculation Day From Its Starting Level, But Will Not Participate In Any Positive Performance Of Either Index.

Even though you will be fully exposed to a decline in the level of the lowest performing Index on the final calculation day if its ending level is below its downside threshold level, you will not participate in any increase in the level of either Index over the term of the securities. Your maximum possible return on the securities will be limited to the sum of the contingent coupon payments you receive, if any. Consequently, your return on the securities may be significantly less than the return you could achieve on an alternative investment that provides for participation in an increase in the level of either or both of the Indices.

#### Higher Contingent Coupon Rates Are Associated With Greater Risk.

The securities offer contingent coupon payments at a higher rate, if paid, than the fixed rate we would pay on conventional debt securities of the same maturity. These higher potential contingent coupon payments are associated with greater levels of expected risk as of the pricing date as compared to conventional debt securities, including the risk that you may not receive a contingent coupon payment on one or more, or any, contingent coupon payment dates and the risk that you may lose a substantial portion, and possibly all, of the original offering price per security at maturity. The volatility of the Indices and the correlation between the Indices are important factors affecting this risk. Volatility is a measurement of the size and frequency of daily fluctuations in the level of an Index, typically observed over a specified period of time. Volatility can be measured in a variety of ways, including on a historical basis or on an expected basis as implied by option prices in the market. Correlation is a measurement of the extent to which the levels of the Indices tend to fluctuate at the same time, in the same direction and in similar magnitudes. Greater expected volatility of the Indices or lower expected correlation between the Indices as of the pricing date may result in a higher contingent coupon rate, but it also represents a greater expected likelihood as of the pricing date that the closing level of at least one Index will be less than its coupon threshold level on one or more calculation days, such that you will not receive one or more, or any, contingent coupon payments during the term of the securities, and that the closing level of at least one Index will be less than its downside threshold level on the final calculation day such that you will lose a substantial portion, and possibly all, of the original offering price per security at maturity. In general, the higher the contingent coupon rate is relative to the fixed rate we would pay on conventional debt securities, the greater the expected risk that you will not receive one or more, or any, contingent coupon payments during the term of the securities and that you will lose a substantial portion, and possibly all, of the original offering price per security at maturity.

#### You Will Be Subject To Reinvestment Risk.

If your securities are automatically called, the term of the securities may be reduced to as short as approximately one year. There is no guarantee that you would be able to reinvest the proceeds from an investment in the securities at a comparable return for a similar level of risk in the event the securities are automatically called prior to maturity.

An Investment In The Securities May Be More Risky Than An Investment In Securities With A Shorter Term.

The securities have a term of ten years, subject to the automatic call feature. By purchasing securities with a longer term, you will be subject to all the risks of the securities for a longer period of time, which may increase the potential negative impact of those risks. For example, if the level of either Index declines below its coupon threshold level and remains below its coupon threshold level for an extended period of time, you may be more adversely affected by that decline as a holder of the securities than you would be if you held otherwise comparable securities with a shorter term, because the period of time during which you may hold an investment that pays no interest may be longer. Similarly, if general market interest rates rise, so that the contingent coupon rate payable on the securities compares less favorably to interest rates payable on less risky conventional fixed rate debt securities, the negative impact of that increase on the securities is likely to be greater than it would be if the securities had a shorter term. If you tried to sell your securities at a time when the risks of the securities have increased, the value of your securities in any secondary market transaction would likely be more adversely affected by these risks than if the securities had a shorter term.

#### The Securities Are Subject To Credit Risk.

The securities are our obligations, are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by the Guarantor and are not, either directly or indirectly, an obligation of any other third party. Any amounts payable under the securities are subject to creditworthiness, and you will have no ability to pursue any securities included in either Index for payment. As a result, our and the Guarantor's actual and perceived creditworthiness may affect the value of the securities and, in the event we and the Guarantor were to default on the obligations under the securities and the guarantee, you may not receive any amounts owed to you under the terms of the securities.

#### As A Finance Subsidiary, We Have No Independent Operations And Will Have No Independent Assets.

As a finance subsidiary, we have no independent operations beyond the issuance and administration of our securities and will have no independent assets available for distributions to the holders of our securities if they make claims in respect of such securities in a bankruptcy, resolution or similar proceeding. Accordingly, any recoveries by such holders will be limited to those available under the related guarantee by the Guarantor and that guarantee will rank pari passu with all other unsecured, unsubordinated obligations of the Guarantor. Holders will have recourse only to a single claim against the Guarantor and its assets under the guarantee. Holders of the securities should accordingly assume that in any such proceedings they would not have any priority over and should be treated pari passu with the claims of other unsecured, unsubordinated creditors of the Guarantor, including holders of unsecured, unsubordinated debt securities issued by the Guarantor.

Market Linked Securities—Auto-Callable with Contingent Coupon and Contingent Downside

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Lowest Performing of the Russell 2000<sup>®</sup> Index and the EURO STOXX 50<sup>®</sup> Index due May 4, 2029

#### Holders Of The Securities Have Limited Rights Of Acceleration.

Payment of principal on the securities may be accelerated only in the case of payment defaults that continue for a period of 30 days, certain events of bankruptcy or insolvency relating to Wells Fargo Finance LLC only, whether voluntary or involuntary, certain situations under which the guarantee ceases to be in full force and effect or if the Guarantor denies or disaffirms its obligations under the guarantee. If you purchase the securities, you will have no right to accelerate the payment of principal on the securities if we fail in the performance of any of our obligations under the securities, other than the obligations to pay principal and interest on the securities. See "Description of Debt Securities of Wells Fargo Finance LLC—Events of Default and Covenant Breaches" in the accompanying prospectus.

Holders Of The Securities Could Be At Greater Risk For Being Structurally Subordinated If Either We Or The Guarantor Convey, Transfer Or Lease All Or Substantially All Of Our Or Its Assets To One Or More Of The Guarantor's Subsidiaries.

Under the indenture, we may convey, transfer or lease all or substantially all of our assets to one or more of the Guarantor's subsidiaries. Similarly, the Guarantor may convey, transfer or lease all or substantially all of its assets to one or more of its subsidiaries. In either case, third-party creditors of the Guarantor's subsidiaries would have additional assets from which to recover on their claims while holders of the securities would be structurally subordinated to creditors of the Guarantor's subsidiaries with respect to such assets. See "Description of Debt Securities of Wells Fargo Finance LLC—Consolidation, Merger or Sale" in the accompanying prospectus.

The Securities Will Not Have The Benefit Of Any Cross-Default Or Cross-Acceleration With Other Indebtedness Of The Guarantor; Events Of Bankruptcy, Insolvency, Receivership Or Liquidation Relating To The Guarantor And Failure By The Guarantor To Perform Any Of Its Covenants Or Warranties (Other Than A Payment Default Under The Guarantee) Will Not Constitute An Event Of Default With Respect To The Securities.

The securities will not have the benefit of any cross-default or cross-acceleration with other indebtedness of the Guarantor. In addition, events of bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership or liquidation relating to the Guarantor and failure by the Guarantor to perform any of its covenants or warranties (other than a payment default under the guarantee) will not constitute an event of default with respect to the securities.

The Estimated Value Of The Securities On The Pricing Date, Based On WFS's Proprietary Pricing Models, Will Be Less Than The Original Offering Price.

The original offering price of the securities includes certain costs that are borne by you. Because of these costs, the estimated value of the securities on the pricing date will be less than the original offering price. The costs included in the original offering price relate to selling, structuring, hedging and issuing the securities, as well as to our funding considerations for debt of this type. The costs related to selling, structuring, hedging and issuing the securities include (i) the agent discount (if any), (ii) the projected profit that our hedge counterparty (which may be one of our affiliates) expects to realize for assuming risks inherent in hedging our obligations under the securities and (iii) hedging and other costs relating to the offering of the securities. Our funding considerations are reflected in the fact that we determine the economic terms of the securities based on an assumed rate that is generally lower than our internal funding rate, which is described above under "Estimated Value of the Securities—Determining the estimated value." If the costs relating to selling, structuring, hedging and issuing the securities were lower, or if the assumed rate we use to

determine the economic terms of the securities were higher, the economic terms of the securities would be more favorable to you and the estimated value would be higher.

## The Estimated Value Of The Securities Is Determined By Our Affiliate's Pricing Models, Which May Differ From Those Of Other Dealers.

The estimated value of the securities was determined for us by WFS using its proprietary pricing models and related market inputs and assumptions referred to above under "Estimated Value of the Securities—Determining the estimated value." Certain inputs to these models may be determined by WFS in its discretion. WFS's views on these inputs may differ from other dealers' views, and WFS's estimated value of the securities may be higher, and perhaps materially higher, than the estimated value of the securities that would be determined by other dealers in the market. WFS's models and its inputs and related assumptions may prove to be wrong and therefore not an accurate reflection of the value of the securities.

# The Estimated Value Of The Securities Is Not An Indication Of The Price, If Any, At Which WFS Or Any Other Person May Be Willing To Buy The Securities From You In The Secondary Market.

The price, if any, at which WFS or any of its affiliates may purchase the securities in the secondary market will be based on WFS's proprietary pricing models and will fluctuate over the term of the securities as a result of changes in the market and other factors described in the next risk factor. Any such secondary market price for the securities will also be reduced by a bid-offer spread, which may vary depending on the aggregate face amount of the securities to be purchased in the secondary market transaction, and the expected cost of unwinding any related hedging transactions. Unless the factors described in the next risk factor change significantly in your favor, any such secondary market price for the securities is likely to be less than the original offering price.

If WFS or any of its affiliates makes a secondary market in the securities at any time up to the issue date or during the 6-month period following the issue date, the secondary market price offered by WFS or any of its affiliates will be increased by an amount reflecting a portion of the costs associated with selling, structuring, hedging and issuing the securities that are included in the original offering price. Because this portion of the costs is not fully deducted upon issuance, any secondary market price offered by WFS or any of its

#### Market Linked Securities—Auto-Callable with Contingent Coupon and Contingent Downside

# Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Lowest Performing of the Russell 2000® Index and the EURO STOXX 50® Index due May 4, 2029

affiliates during this period will be higher than it would be if it were based solely on WFS's proprietary pricing models less the bid-offer spread and hedging unwind costs described above. The amount of this increase in the secondary market price will decline steadily to zero over this 6-month period. If you hold the securities through an account at WFS or any of its affiliates, we expect that this increase will also be reflected in the value indicated for the securities on your brokerage account statement. If you hold your securities through an account at a broker-dealer other than WFS or any of its affiliates, the value of the securities on your brokerage account statement may be different than if you held your securities at WFS or any of its affiliates, as discussed above under "Estimated Value of the Securities—Valuation of the securities after issuance."

# The Value Of The Securities Prior To Stated Maturity Will Be Affected By Numerous Factors, Some Of Which Are Related In Complex Ways.

The value of the securities prior to stated maturity will be affected by the then-current level of each Index, interest rates at that time and a number of other factors, some of which are interrelated in complex ways. The effect of any one factor may be offset or magnified by the effect of another factor. The following factors, which we refer to as the "derivative component factors," are expected to affect the value of the securities. When we refer to the "value" of your security, we mean the value you could receive for your security if you are able to sell it in the open market before the stated maturity date.

**Performance of the Indices.** The value of the securities prior to maturity will depend substantially on the then-current level of each Index. The price at which you may be able to sell the securities before stated maturity may be at a discount, which could be substantial, from their original offering price, if the level of the lowest performing Index at such time is less than, equal to or not sufficiently above its starting level, its coupon threshold level or its downside threshold level.

• Interest Rates. The value of the securities may be affected by changes in the interest rates in the U.S. markets. **Volatility Of The Indices.** Volatility is the term used to describe the size and frequency of market fluctuations. The value of the securities may be affected if the volatility of the Indices changes.

•	Correlation Between The Indices. Correlation refers to the extent • to which the levels of the r-bottom:1px solid #000000;">		(431)	
	to which the levels of the 1-bottom. Tpx solid #0000000, >	(	431)	
Operating (loss) income	(4,128	)	1,566	
Other income (expense):				
Interest income	218		4	
Interest expense	(7,484	)	(4,973	)
Equity in gains of unconsolidated investments	2,948		2,290	
Gain on sale of equity investment	5,817			
Miscellaneous, net	(188	)	(25	)
	1,311		(2,704	)
Loss from continuing operations before income tax benefit	(2,817	)	(1,138	)
Income tax benefit	1,259		438	
Net loss	\$(1,558	)	\$ (700	))

Net loss per common share data:

Basic Net loss Diluted	\$(0.09	)	\$	\$ (	(0.04)
Net loss	\$(0.09	)	\$	5 (	(0.04)
Weighted average shares outstanding:					
Basic	17,268		1	17,	419
Diluted	17,268		1	17,	419
Other comprehensive loss:					
Foreign currency translation, net of (\$328) tax effect	(387	)	_		
Other comprehensive loss	(387	)	_	_	
Comprehensive loss	\$(1,945	)	\$	5	(700)
The accompanying notes are an inte	egral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.				
4					

# CHURCHILL DOWNS INCORPORATED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited) (in thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2015	2014	
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net loss	\$(1,558	) \$(700	)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities	:		
Depreciation and amortization	27,407	15,284	
Game technology and rights amortization	725	_	
Acquisition related charges	6,400	_	
Loss on asset disposals	377	1	
Gain on sale of equity investment	(5,817	) —	
Equity in gains of unconsolidated investments	(2,948	) (2,290	)
Dividend from investment in unconsolidated affiliate	3,500	_	
Share-based compensation	2,723	5,241	
Other	402	149	
Increase (decrease) in cash resulting from changes in operating assets and			
liabilities, net of business acquisition:			
Restricted cash	5,293	6,547	
Accounts receivable	(2,244	) (153	)
Other current assets	(10,962	) (8,883	)
Game technology and rights	(4,812	) —	
Accounts payable	9,532	9,519	
Purses payable	(5,007	) (5,445	)
Accrued expenses	(5,921	) (6,979	)
Deferred revenue	55,939	33,099	
Income taxes receivable and payable	12,997	6,030	
Other assets and liabilities	3,648	511	
Net cash provided by operating activities	89,674	51,931	
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Additions to property and equipment	(9,784	) (20,169	)
Deferred payments to Big Fish Games former equity holders	(959	) —	
Investment in joint ventures	(327	) (6,500	)
Proceeds from sale of equity investment	6,000	_	
Purchases of minority investments	(81	) (273	)
Proceeds on sale of property and equipment	67	86	
Net cash used in investing activities	(5,084	) (26,856	)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Borrowings on bank line of credit	75,620	93,067	
Repayments on bank line of credit	(146,218	) (96,509	)
Tax refund payments to Big Fish Games equity holders	(11,773	) —	
Change in bank overdraft	747	1,845	
Payment of dividends	(17,419	) (15,186	)
Repurchase of common stock	(4,870	) (7,402	)
Common stock issued		68	
Windfall tax benefit from share-based compensation	2,834	2,630	
Loan origination fees	(26	) (103	)
Debt issuance costs		(1,029	)
Net cash used in financing activities	(101,105	) (22,619	)

Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(16,515	) 2,456
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(1,896	) —
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	67,936	44,708
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$49,525	\$47,164

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

5

# CHURCHILL DOWNS INCORPORATED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited) (in thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31	
	2015	2014
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$3,176	\$381
Income taxes	\$130	\$48
Schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Issuance of common stock in connection with the Company's restricted stock plans	\$15,476	<b>\$</b> —
		4

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

6

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

#### NOTE 1 — BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are presented in accordance with the requirements of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and consequently do not include all of the disclosures normally required by Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") in the United States of America or those normally made in Churchill Downs Incorporated's (the "Company") Annual Report on Form 10-K. The year-end Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet data was derived from audited financial statements but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Accordingly, the reader of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should refer to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 for further information. The accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with the Company's customary accounting practices and have not been audited.

In the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary for a fair statement of this information have been made, and all such adjustments are of a normal, recurring nature. Certain amounts for the three months ended March 31, 2014 were reclassified to be consistent with current year presentation. There was no impact from these reclassifications on net loss or cash flows.

The Company's revenues and earnings are seasonal in nature, primarily due to its Racing segment. Therefore, revenues and operating results for any interim quarter are generally not indicative of the revenues and operating results for the year and may not be comparable with results for the corresponding period of the previous year. For instance, the Company historically has had fewer live racing days during the first quarter of each year, and the majority of its live racing revenue occurs during the second quarter, with the running of the Kentucky Derby and the Kentucky Oaks. The Company conducted 57 live thoroughbred racing days during the first quarter of 2015, which compares to 97 live thoroughbred racing days during the first quarter of 2014. This decrease is primarily related to the cessation of pari-mutuel operations at Calder Race Course in July 2014. Furthermore, casino revenues and earnings have historically been higher during the first quarter due to seasonal revenues from the Company's predominately southern casino properties.

#### **Customer Loyalty Programs**

The Company's customer loyalty programs offer incentives to customers who wager at the Company's racetracks, through its advance deposit wagering platform, TwinSpires.com, or at its casino facilities. The TSC Elite program is for pari-mutuel wagering at the Company's racetracks or through TwinSpires.com. The Player's Club is offered at the Company's casino facilities in Louisiana, Florida, Maine and Mississippi. At each of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the outstanding reward point liabilities were \$1.7 million and were included in accrued expenses. Promotional Allowances

Promotional allowances, which include the Company's customer loyalty programs, primarily consist of the retail value of complimentary goods and services provided to guests at no charge. The retail value of these promotional allowances is included in gross revenue and then deducted to arrive at net revenue.

During the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, promotional allowances of \$7.1 million and \$7.6 million, respectively, were included as a reduction to net revenues. During those periods, TwinSpires promotional allowances were \$2.8 million and \$2.7 million, respectively. Casino promotional allowances were \$4.2 million and \$4.7 million, respectively. Racing promotional allowances were \$0.1 million for both periods. The estimated cost of providing casino promotional allowances included in operating expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 totaled \$2.0 million and \$2.4 million, respectively.

#### Game Technology and Rights

Game technology and rights are purchased from third-party developers before the games have been produced or launched. The Company pays amounts to these developers as they reach agreed-upon milestones. Once the game is launched, the Company amortizes its game technology and rights on an accelerated basis over the useful life of the game, which is generally one year.

Research and Development

Costs incurred for research and development activities are expensed as incurred. Development costs associated with software to be sold are capitalized when technological feasibility has been established through the date the product is available for general release. At March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, there were no material amounts capitalized. For the three months ended March 31, 2015, the Company incurred research and development expenses of \$10.2 million within its Big Fish Games, Inc. ("Big Fish Games") segment, which consisted primarily of compensation related expenses.

NOTE 2 — NEW VENTURES & ACQUISITIONS

Big Fish Games

On December 16, 2014, the Company completed the acquisition of Big Fish Games. Big Fish Games, which has locations in

7

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Seattle, Washington, Oakland, California and Luxembourg, employs approximately 580 employees and develops casual games for PCs and mobile devices worldwide. Big Fish Games operates in three business lines: premium paid, casino and casual free-to-play. The Company acquired Big Fish Games to leverage its casino and casual game experience and assembled workforce, and to position itself in the mobile and online game industry. The Company financed the acquisition with borrowings under its Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the "Senior Secured Credit Facility") and the addition of a \$200 million Term Loan Facility ("Term Loan") to the existing Senior Secured Credit Facility.

The purchase price consideration was \$838.3 million, composed of \$401.7 million in cash, a deferred payment to the founder of Big Fish Games of \$85.3 million, payable over three years and recorded at fair value of \$78.0 million as of the acquisition date, an estimated payable to the Big Fish Games equity holders related to an income tax refund of \$18.1 million and \$15.8 million payable in 157,115 shares of the common stock of the Company. In addition, the Company may be required to pay additional variable cash consideration that is contingent upon the achievement of certain performance milestones of Big Fish Games through December 31, 2015 and is limited to a maximum of \$350 million based on achievement of certain non-GAAP earnings targets before interest and tax. The estimated fair value of the earnout liability at the acquisition date was \$324.7 million. The Company estimated the fair value of the deferred payment and the earnout liability using a discounted cash flows analysis over the period in which the obligation is expected to be settled, and applied a discount rate based on the Company's cost of debt. The cost of debt as of the closing date was based on the observed market yields of the Company's Senior Unsecured Notes issued in December of 2013 and was adjusted for the difference in seniority and term of the deferred payment and the earnout liability. See Note 7 for further discussion of the fair value measurement of the deferred payment and the earnout liability.

The fair values recorded were based upon a preliminary valuation. Estimates and assumptions used in such valuation are subject to change, which could be significant, within the measurement period up to one year from the acquisition date. The primary areas of the preliminary valuation that were not finalized at December 31, 2014 related to the fair value of amounts for income taxes, adjustments to working capital, and the final amount of residual goodwill. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, the Company obtained additional information to assist it in determining the values of the liabilities assumed at the acquisition date and changes which occurred during the measurement period. A measurement period adjustment was recorded related to estimated payroll taxes associated with the earnout liability. The Company retroactively adjusted the December 31, 2014 Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet by increasing deferred income taxes by \$0.8 million, increasing goodwill by \$1.4 million and increasing accrued expenses by \$2.2 million. In addition, the Company made deferred payments of \$12.7 million to Big Fish Games former equity holders for the receipt of a federal income tax refund and working capital adjustments related to the acquisition.

The following table summarizes (in thousands) the current fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, net of cash acquired of \$34.7 million, at the date of acquisition.

	Total
Accounts receivable	\$19,274
Income taxes receivable	18,087
Prepaid expenses	9,727
Deferred income taxes	1,708
Other assets	1,780
Property and equipment	14,632
Goodwill	540,331
Other intangible assets	362,863
Total assets acquired	968,402
Accounts payable	9,064
Accrued expenses	19,217

Income taxes payable	210
Deferred revenue	37,250
Deferred income taxes	96,182
Other liabilities	2,821
Total liabilities acquired	164,744
Purchase price, net of cash acquired	\$803,658

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

During the three months ended March 31, 2015, Big Fish Games contributed revenues of \$91.9 million and loss from continuing operations before provision for income taxes of \$5.8 million.

Pro Forma

The following table illustrates the effect on net revenues, earnings from continuing operations and earnings from continuing operations per common share as if the Company had acquired Big Fish Games as of the beginning of 2014. The unaudited pro forma results have been prepared for comparative purposes only and do not purport to be indicative of the results of operations that would have occurred had the acquisition of Big Fish Games been consummated at the beginning of 2014 (in thousands):

Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 \$241,462 \$(1,638

Net revenues

Loss from continuing operations

Saratoga Harness Racing, Inc. Equity Investment and Management Agreement

On October 28, 2014, the Company signed a definitive purchase agreement to acquire a 25% ownership interest in Saratoga Casino Holdings, LLC ("SCH"), a newly formed entity which will own Saratoga Casino and Raceway in Saratoga Springs, NY; Saratoga Harness Racing, Inc.'s ("SHRI") controlling interest in Saratoga Casino Black Hawk in Black Hawk, Colorado; SHRI's 50% interest in a joint venture with Delaware North Companies to manage the Gideon Putnam Hotel and Resort in Saratoga Springs, New York; its interest in the proposed Capital View Casino & Resort in East Greenbush, New York; and SHRI's interest in a joint venture with Rush Street Gaming to build the proposed Hudson Valley Casino and Resort in Newburgh, New York.

In addition, the Company signed a five-year management agreement pursuant to which it will manage Saratoga Casino and Raceway and Saratoga Casino Black Hawk. Both the funding of the equity investment and the commencement of the management agreement are subject to regulatory approval and licensing requirements in New York and Colorado.

#### NOTE 3 — NATURAL DISASTERS

On April 28, 2012, a hailstorm caused damage to portions of Louisville, Kentucky including Churchill Downs Racetrack ("Churchill Downs") and its separate training facility known as Trackside Louisville. Both locations sustained damage to their stable areas as well as damages to administrative offices and several other structures. The Company carries property and casualty insurance, subject to a \$0.5 million deductible. During 2012 and 2013, the Company received partial payments for the claim from its insurance carriers. During the three months ended March 31, 2014, the Company received final proceeds of \$0.4 million, which it recognized as insurance recoveries, net of losses as a component of operating income. The insurance claims for this event have been finalized, and the Company does not expect to receive additional funds from this claim.

## NOTE 4 — INVESTMENT IN AND ADVANCES TO UNCONSOLIDATED AFFILIATE

Miami Valley Gaming Joint Venture

During March 2012, the Company entered into a 50% joint venture with Delaware North Companies Gaming & Entertainment Inc. ("DNC") to develop a new harness racetrack and video lottery terminal ("VLT") casino facility in Lebanon, Ohio. Through the joint venture agreement, the Company and DNC formed a new company, Miami Valley Gaming, LLC ("MVG"), to manage both the Company's and DNC's interests in the development and operation of the racetrack and VLT casino facility. On December 21, 2012, MVG completed the purchase of the harness racing licenses and certain assets held by Lebanon Trotting Club Inc. and Miami Valley Trotting Inc. ("MVG Sellers") for total consideration of \$60.0 million, of which \$10.0 million was funded at closing with the remainder funded through a \$50.0 million note payable with a six year term effective upon the commencement of casino operations. In addition, there is a potential contingent consideration payment of \$10.0 million based on the financial performance of the facility during the seven-year period after casino operations commence.

On December 12, 2013, the new facility opened in Lebanon, Ohio on a 120-acre site. The facility includes a 5/8-mile harness racing track and an 186,000-square-foot casino facility with approximately 1,570 VLTs. MVG invested \$204.6 million in the new facility, including a \$50.0 million license fee to the Ohio Lottery Commission. Since both DNC and the Company have participating rights over MVG, and both must consent to MVG's operating, investing and financing decisions, the Company accounts for MVG using the equity method. Summarized financial information for MVG is comprised of the following (in thousands):

9

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Assets		
Current assets	\$22,466	\$24,943
Property and equipment, net	128,418	130,868
Other assets, net	105,059	105,059
Total assets	\$255,943	\$260,870
Liabilities and Members' Equity		
Current liabilities	\$15,231	\$16,775
Current portion of long-term debt	8,332	8,332
Long-term debt, excluding current portion	25,094	26,584
Other liabilities	75	83
Members' equity	207,211	209,096
Total liabilities and members' equity	\$255,943	\$260,870

The joint venture's long-term debt consists of a \$50.0 million secured note payable from MVG to the MVG Sellers payable quarterly over 6 years through November 2019 at a 5.0% interest rate for which it has funded \$10.4 million in principal repayments. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, the Company received distributions from MVG totaling \$3.5 million.

Three Months Ended March 31,		
2015	2014	
\$32,044	\$31,163	
1,957	1,631	
34,001	32,794	
24,634	24,211	
3,144	3,367	
_	54	
6,223	5,162	
(1,108)	(1,080)	
\$5,115	\$4,082	
	2015 \$32,044 1,957 34,001 24,634 3,144 — 6,223 (1,108	

The Company's 50% share of MVG's results has been included in our accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, as follows (in thousands):

	Inree Months Ended March 3		
	2015	2014	
Equity in gains of unconsolidated investments	\$2,558	\$2,041	

NOTE 5 — INCOME TAXES

The Company's effective tax rate from continuing operations for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 was 45% and 38%, respectively. The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2015 was greater than the Federal statutory rate due to expenses associated with the revaluation of liabilities associated with the purchase of Big Fish Games, state and local income tax expense and certain expenses that were not deductible for tax purposes. These additional tax expenses were partially mitigated by the manufacturing deduction that will be available associated with the development of social games by Big Fish Games.

Certain tax authorities may periodically audit the Company, and the Company may occasionally be assessed interest and penalties by tax jurisdictions. The Company recognizes accrued interest from uncertain income tax benefits in its income tax provision, while penalties are accrued in selling, general and administrative expenses. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, the Company recorded \$0.1 million interest expense related to uncertain income tax benefits and had gross uncertain tax benefits of \$3.0 million as of March 31, 2015. If these benefits had been recognized, there would have been a \$1.6 million decrease to annual income tax expense. \$1.1 million of the uncertain

tax positions were related to issues that existed prior to the acquisition of Big

10

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Fish Games, and to the extent that these liabilities are incurred, they would be reimbursed by the pre-acquisition shareholders of Big Fish Games, in conjunction with a tax indemnity agreement.

During October 2012, the Company funded a \$2.9 million income tax payment to the State of Illinois related to a dispute over its state income tax apportionment methodology, which was recorded as an other asset. The Company filed its state income tax returns related to the years 2002 through 2005 following the methodology prescribed by Illinois statute; however, the State of Illinois has taken a contrary tax position. The Company filed a formal protest with the State of Illinois during the fourth quarter of 2012. The Company does not expect this issue to have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition and results of operations.

#### NOTE 6 — GOODWILL AND INDEFINITE-LIVED INTANGIBLE ASSETS IMPAIRMENT TEST

In accordance with ASU No. 2011-08, Intangibles-Goodwill and Other: Testing Goodwill for Impairment and ASU No. 2012-02, Intangibles-Goodwill and Other: Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment, the Company completed the required annual impairment tests of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets as of March 31, 2015, and no adjustment to the carrying value of goodwill or indefinite-lived intangible assets was required. The Company assessed its goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets by performing its step one fair value calculations on a quantitative basis for each of its reporting units and indefinite-lived intangible assets. The Company concluded that the fair values of its reporting units and indefinite-lived intangible assets exceeded their carrying value and therefore step two of the assessment was not required. Goodwill and definite-lived and indefinite-lived intangible assets are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	Big Fish Games	Casinos	TwinSpires	Racing	Other Investments	Total
Goodwill as of December 31, 2014	\$540,331	\$117,659	\$127,364	\$51,659	\$3,934	\$840,947
Adjustments				_	_	_
Goodwill as of March 31, 2015	\$540,331	\$117,659	\$127,364	\$51,659	\$3,934	\$840,947
	March 31, 201	5		December 31,	2014	
	Gross Carrying Value	Accumulated Amortization	Net Book Value	Gross Carrying Value	Accumulated Amortization	Net Book Value
Definite-lived intangible assets	\$238,865	\$(61,476)	\$177,389	\$238,865	\$(47,236)	\$191,629
Indefinite-lived intangible assets	358,343	_	358,343	358,343	_	358,343
Total	\$597,208	\$(61,476)	\$535,732	\$597,208	\$(47,236)	\$549,972
NOTE 7 — FAIR VALUE	F OF ASSETS	AND I IARII IT	TES			

#### NOTE 7 — FAIR VALUE OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

The Company endeavors to utilize the best available information in measuring fair value. Financial assets and liabilities are classified based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The following tables present the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 (in thousands):

	March 31, 2015		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Cash equivalents and restricted cash	\$21,100	<b>\$</b> —	\$—
Big Fish Games deferred payments	_	_	80,400
Big Fish Games earnout liability	_	_	332,600
Senior unsecured notes	_	305,250	_
Bluff contingent consideration liability		_	2,331

Total \$21,100 \$305,250 \$415,331

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

	December 31, 20	)14	
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Cash equivalents and restricted cash	\$27,464	<b>\$</b> —	<b>\$</b> —
Big Fish Games deferred payments	_	_	78,800
Big Fish Games earnout liability	_	_	327,800
Senior unsecured notes	_	299,250	_
Bluff contingent consideration liability	_	_	2,331
Total	\$27,464	\$299,250	\$408,931

The following table presents the change in fair value of the Company's level 3 liabilities during the three months ended March 31, 2015 (in thousands):

	Fair Value Meas (Level 3)	urements Using Si	gnificant Unobse	ervable Inputs
	Big Fish Games	Big Fish Games	Bluff	
	Deferred	Earnout	Contingent	Total
	Payments	Liability	Consideration	
Balance as of December 31, 2014	\$78,800	\$327,800	\$2,331	\$408,931
Change in fair value	1,600	4,800		6,400
Balance as of March 31, 2015	\$80,400	\$332,600	\$2,331	\$415,331

The Company's cash equivalents and restricted cash, which are held in interest-bearing accounts, qualify for Level 1 in the fair value hierarchy which includes unadjusted quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets. The Company's accrued liability for a contingent consideration recorded in conjunction with the Bluff Media ("Bluff") acquisition was based on significant inputs not observed in the market and represents a Level 3 fair value measurement. The estimate of the contingent consideration liability uses an income approach and is based on the probability of achieving enabling legislation for Bluff which permits Internet poker gaming and the probability-weighted discounted cash flows. Any change in the fair value of the Bluff contingent consideration subsequent to the acquisition date will be recognized in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss.

The Company estimated the fair value of the Big Fish Games deferred payment and earnout liability as of March 31, 2015 using a discounted cash flows analysis over the period in which the obligation is expected to be settled, and applied a discount rate based on the Company's cost of debt. The cost of debt was based on the observed market yields of the Company's Senior Unsecured Notes issued in December of 2013 and represents a Level 3 fair value measurement and was adjusted for the difference in seniority and term of the deferred payment and earnout liability. The change in fair values of the Big Fish Games deferred payment and earnout liability of \$6.4 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015 was recorded as acquisition related charges in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss. Changes to the Company's cost of debt could lead to a different fair value estimate for the deferred payment and earnout liability.

The Company's \$300 million par value Senior Unsecured Notes represent a Level 2 fair value measurement. The fair value of the Senior Unsecured Notes is disclosed based on unadjusted quoted prices for similar liabilities in markets that are not active.

The Company currently has no other assets or liabilities subject to fair value measurement on a recurring basis. The following methods and assumptions were used by the Company in estimating its fair value disclosures for financial instruments:

Cash Equivalents—The carrying amount reported in the balance sheet for cash equivalents approximates its fair value due to the short-term maturity of these instruments.

During the three months ended March 31, 2015, the Company did not measure any assets at fair value on a non-recurring basis.

NOTE 8 — LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PLAN

During 2013, the Board of Directors approved the terms and conditions of performance share awards issued pursuant to the Churchill Downs Incorporated 2007 Omnibus stock incentive plan (the "New Company LTIP"). As a way to continue to encourage innovation, an entrepreneurial approach, and careful risk assessment, and in order to retain key executives, the New Company LTIP offers long-term incentive compensation to the Company's named executive officers and other key executives ("Grantees") as reported in the Company's Schedule 14A Proxy Statement filing.

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

During the three months ended March 31, 2015, Grantees and certain Big Fish Games employees received approximately 131,000 restricted shares of the Company's common stock vesting over service periods ranging from seven months to three years. During 2013, the Grantees received 92,000 restricted shares of the Company's common stock vesting over approximately four years and 324,000 restricted shares of the Company's common stock with vesting contingent upon the Company's common stock reaching certain closing prices on NASDAQ for 20 consecutive trading days.

During the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company's closing stock price achieved the twenty consecutive trading days closing stock price requirement for 239,500 restricted shares. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, the Company's closing stock price achieved the stock price requirement for the final 84,500 restricted shares.

During the three months ended March 31, 2015, the Company recognized \$1.8 million of compensation expense included in selling general and administrative expenses related to service period awards under the New Company LTIP, which includes compensation expense for the Big Fish Games employee grant. As of March 31, 2015, unrecognized compensation expense attributable to unvested service period awards was \$13.6 million. The weighted average period over which the Company expects to recognize the remaining compensation expense under service period awards approximates 20 months at March 31, 2015. There is no remaining unrecognized expense under the market condition awards.

#### NOTE 9 — LOSS PER COMMON SHARE COMPUTATIONS

The following is a reconciliation of the numerator and denominator of the loss per common share computations (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended March 31,
	2015 2014
Numerator basic and diluted loss per common share Denominator basic and diluted net loss per common share	\$(1,558 ) \$(700 ) 17,268 17,419
Loss per common share:	
Basic	\$(0.09 ) \$(0.04 )
Diluted	\$(0.09) \$(0.04)

Options to purchase 0.4 million and 0.5 million shares and participating securities for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively were excluded from the computation of diluted loss per common shares since their effect was anti-dilutive because of the net loss from continuing operations for the period.

#### NOTE 10 — SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company operates in the following five segments: (1) Big Fish Games; (2) Casinos, which includes video poker and casino operations at Calder Casino, Fair Grounds Slots, Harlow's Casino Resort & Spa ("Harlow's"), the Company's equity investment in MVG, Oxford Casino ("Oxford"), Riverwalk Casino Hotel ("Riverwalk") and Video Services, LLC ("VSI"); (3) TwinSpires, which includes TwinSpires, our Advance Deposit Wagering ("ADW") business, Fair Grounds Account Wagering, Bloodstock Research Information Services and Velocity, a business focused on high wagering-volume international customers, as well as the Company's equity investment in HRTV, LLC, prior to its sale during January 2015; (4) Racing, which includes Churchill Downs, Arlington International Race Course ("Arlington") and its ten off-track betting facilities ("OTBs"), and Fair Grounds Race Course ("Fair Grounds") and the pari-mutuel activity generated at its twelve OTBs; and (5) Other Investments, which includes United Tote, Bluff and the Company's other minor investments. Eliminations include the elimination of intersegment transactions.

In order to evaluate the performance of these operating segments internally, the Company's chief operating decision maker uses Adjusted EBITDA (defined as earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization, and adjusted for insurance recoveries net of losses, share-based compensation expenses, pre-opening expenses, the impairment of

assets, Big Fish Games transaction expenses, Big Fish Games acquisition-related charges, changes in Big Fish Games deferred revenue and other charges or recoveries). Big Fish Games transaction expenses include legal, accounting and other deal-related expenses. Big Fish Games acquisition-related charges reflect the change in fair value of the Big Fish Games earnout and deferred consideration liability recorded each reporting period. Changes in Big Fish Games deferred revenue reflect reductions in revenue from business combination accounting rules when deferred revenue balances assumed as part of an acquisition are adjusted to their fair values. Fair value approximates the cost of fulfilling the service obligation, plus a reasonable profit margin. Adjusted EBITDA also includes 50% of the operating income or loss of our joint venture, MVG. The Company utilizes the Adjusted EBITDA metric because it believes the inclusion

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

or exclusion of certain recurring and non-recurring items is necessary to provide a more accurate measure of its core operating results and enables management and investors to evaluate and compare from period to period our operating performance in a meaningful and consistent manner. Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered as an alternative to operating income as an indicator of performance, as an alternative to cash flows from operating activities as a measure of liquidity, or as an alternative to any other measure provided in accordance with GAAP. The Company's calculation of Adjusted EBITDA may be different from the calculation used by other companies and, therefore, comparability may be limited.

The table below presents information about the reported segments for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 (in thousands):

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

	Three Mon March 31,	ths Ended	
	2015	2014	
Net revenues from external customers:			
Big Fish Games	\$91,903	\$—	
Casinos:			
Calder Casino	20,379	20,583	
Fair Grounds Slots	11,482	11,784	
VSI	9,184	8,754	
Harlow's Casino	13,680	14,451	
Oxford Casino	17,503	17,519	
Riverwalk Casino	13,187	13,295	
Total Casinos	85,415	86,386	
TwinSpires	45,315	46,084	
Racing:	• • • •		
Churchill Downs	2,008	2,142	
Arlington	5,812	6,122	
Calder	674	8,024	
Fair Grounds	15,944	14,291	
Total Racing	24,438	30,579	
Other Investments	3,605	3,837	
Corporate	234	255	
Net revenues from external customers	\$250,910	\$167,141	
Intercompany net revenues:			
TwinSpires	\$297	\$230	
Racing:			
Churchill Downs	233	228	
Arlington	958	1,017	
Calder	_	356	
Fair Grounds	858	732	
Total Racing	2,049	2,333	
Other Investments	795	898	
Eliminations	(3,141	, (- , -	)
Net revenues	<b>\$</b> —	\$—	
Reconciliation of segment Adjusted EBITDA to net loss:			
Big Fish Games	\$20,026	<b>\$</b> —	
Casinos	28,787	27,251	
TwinSpires	11,190	9,950	
Racing	(9,197	) (10,250	)
Other Investments	(668	) (1,353	)
Total segment Adjusted EBITDA	50,138	25,598	
Corporate Adjusted EBITDA	(1,867	) (1,106	)
Insurance recoveries, net of losses	_	431	
Big Fish Games acquisition charges	(6,400	) —	
Big Fish Games changes in deferred revenue	(12,876	) —	
Share-based compensation	(2,723	) (5,241	)
Pre-opening costs		(27	)

MVG interest expense, net	(554	) (540	)
Other charges and recoveries	6,138	_	
Depreciation and amortization	(27,407	) (15,284	)
Interest (expense) income, net	(7,266	) (4,969	)
Income tax benefit	1,259	438	
Loss from continuing operations	(1,558	) (700	)
Foreign currency translation, net of (\$328) tax effected	(387	) —	
Comprehensive loss	\$(1,945	) \$(700	)

The table below presents equity in earnings of unconsolidated investments included in the Company's reported segments for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 (in thousands):

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2015	2014		
Casinos	\$2,558	\$2,041		
TwinSpires		220		
Other Investments	390	29		
	\$2,948	\$2,290		
The table below presents total assets for the reported segments (in thous	ands):			
	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014		
Total assets:				
Big Fish Games	\$1,018,086	\$1,009,668		
Casinos	616,201	621,240		
TwinSpires	183,175	182,322		
Racing	455,079	518,517		
Other Investments	29,829	30,757		
	\$2,302,370	\$2,362,504		

The table below presents total capital expenditures for the reported segments for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 (in thousands):

	Three Months	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2015	2014			
Capital expenditures:					
Big Fish Games	\$1,163	<b>\$</b> —			
Casinos	4,261	2,250			
TwinSpires	943	1,592			
Racing	3,264	14,037			
Other Investments	153	2,290			
	\$9,784	\$20,169			

#### NOTE 11 — COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

#### **Legal Proceedings**

The Company records an accrual for legal contingencies to the extent that it concludes that it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Except as disclosed below, no estimate of the possible loss or range of loss in excess of amounts accrued, if any, can be made at this time regarding the matters specifically described below. We do not believe that the final outcome of these matters will have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

#### Big Fish Casino

On April 17, 2015, Cheryl Kater, by and through counsel, filed a Complaint - Class Action styled Cheryl Kater v. Churchill Downs Incorporated. Plaintiff, Cheryl Kater, filed the purported class action lawsuit in the United States District Court, for the Western District of Washington, in Seattle, alleging, among other claims, that the Company's "Big Fish Casino" violates Washington law, including the Washington Consumer Protection Act, by facilitating unlawful gambling through its virtual casino games (namely the Company's slots, blackjack, poker, and roulette games offered through Big Fish Casino). This litigation was just filed, and Plaintiff, through counsel, did not specify or claim a specific amount of damages in the Complaint. Therefore, the Company is unable to reasonably estimate the magnitude of any settlement or potential damages. As a result, the Company does not, at this time, know the extent to which, if at all, this litigation will have a material impact on its business or financial results.

#### Louisiana Horsemens' Purses

On April 21, 2014, John L. Soileau and other individuals filed a Petition for Declaratory Judgment, Permanent Injunction, and Damages - Class Action styled John L. Soileau, et. al. versus Churchill Downs Louisiana Horseracing, LLC, Churchill Downs

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Louisiana Video Poker Company, LLC (Suit No. 14-3873) in the Parish of Orleans, State of Louisiana. The petition defines the "alleged plaintiff class" as quarter-horse owners, trainers and jockeys that have won purses at the "Fair Grounds Race Course & Slots" facility in New Orleans, Louisiana since the first effective date of La. R.S. 27:438 and specifically since 2008. The petition alleges that Churchill Downs Louisiana Horseracing, L.L.C. and Churchill Downs Louisiana Video Poker Company, L.L.C. ("Fair Grounds") have collected certain monies through video draw poker devices that constitute monies earned for purse supplements and all of those supplemental purse monies have been paid to thoroughbred horsemen during Fair Grounds' live thoroughbred horse meets while La. R.S. 27:438 requires a portion of those supplemental purse monies to be paid to quarter-horse horsemen during Fair Grounds' live quarter-horse meets. The petition requests that the Court declare that Fair Grounds violated La. R.S. 27:438, issue a permanent and mandatory injunction ordering Fair Grounds to pay all future supplements due to the plaintiff class pursuant to La. R.S. 27:438, and to pay the plaintiff class such sums as it finds to reasonably represent the value of the sums due to the plaintiff class. On August 14, 2014, the plaintiffs filed an amendment to their petition naming the Horsemen's Benevolent and Protective Association 1993, Inc. ("HBPA") as an additional defendant and alleging that HBPA is also liable to plaintiffs for the disputed purse funds. On October 9, 2014, HBPA and Fair Grounds filed exceptions to the suit, including an exception of primary jurisdiction seeking a referral to the Louisiana Racing Commission. By Judgment dated November 21, 2014, the District Court granted the exception of primary jurisdiction and referred the matter to the Louisiana Racing Commission. On January 26, 2015, the Louisiana Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals denied the plaintiffs' request for supervisory review of the Judgment. This matter is currently awaiting review by the Louisiana Racing Commission.

## Illinois Department of Revenue

In October 2012, the Company filed a verified complaint for preliminary and permanent injunctive relief and for declaratory judgment (the "Complaint") against the Illinois Department of Revenue (the "Department"). The Company's complaint was filed in response to Notices of Deficiency issued by the Department on March 18, 2010, and September 6, 2012. In response to said Notices of Deficiency, the Company, on October 4, 2012, issued a payment in protest in the amount of \$2.9 million (the "Protest Payment") under the State Officers and Employees Money Disposition Act and recorded this amount in other assets. The Company subsequently filed its complaint in November 2012 alleging that the Department erroneously included handle, instead of the Company's commissions from handle, in the computation of the Company's sales factor (a computation of the Company's gross receipts from wagering within the State of Illinois) for determining the applicable tax owed. On October 30, 2012, the Company's Motion for Preliminary Injunctive Relief was granted, which prevents the Department from depositing any monies from the Protest Payment into the State of Illinois General Fund and from taking any further action against the Company until the Circuit Court takes final action on the Company's Complaint. If successful with its Complaint, the Company will be entitled to a full or partial refund of the Protest Payment from the Department. On December 3, 2014, the Company filed its Motion for Summary Judgment on all material aspects of its case. Also on December 3, 2014, the Department, by and through its counsel, the Illinois Attorney General, filed its Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment. This matter remains pending before the Tax and Miscellaneous Remedies Section of the Circuit Court of Cook County. Oral arguments on the parties' Motions for Summary Judgment occurred on March 5, 2015. The Company is currently awaiting a ruling by the Tax and Miscellaneous Remedies Section of the Circuit Court of Cook County.

#### Kentucky Downs

On September 5, 2012, Kentucky Downs Management, Inc. ("KDMI") filed a petition for declaration of rights in Kentucky Circuit Court located in Simpson County, Kentucky styled Kentucky Downs Management Inc. v. Churchill Downs Incorporated (Civil Action No. 12-CI-330) (the "Simpson County Case") requesting a declaration that the Company does not have the right to exercise its put right and require Kentucky Downs, LLC ("Kentucky Downs") and/or Kentucky Downs Partners, LLC ("KDP") to purchase the Company's ownership interest in Kentucky Downs. On September 18, 2012, the Company filed a complaint in Kentucky Circuit Court located in Jefferson County, Kentucky, styled Churchill Downs Incorporated v. Kentucky Downs, LLC; Kentucky Downs Partners, LLC; and Kentucky Downs Management Inc. (Civil Action No. 12-CI-04989) (the "Jefferson County Case") claiming that

Kentucky Downs and KDP had breached the operating agreement for Kentucky Downs and requesting a declaration that the Company had validly exercised its put right and a judgment compelling Kentucky Downs and/or KDP to purchase the Company's ownership interest in Kentucky Downs pursuant to the terms of the applicable operating agreement. On October 9, 2012, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the Simpson County Case and Kentucky Downs, KDP and KDMI filed a motion to dismiss the Jefferson County Case. A hearing for the motion to dismiss in the Simpson County Case occurred November 30, 2012. At that hearing the Company's motion to dismiss the Simpson County Case was denied. Subsequently, Kentucky Downs, KDMI and KDP's motion to dismiss the Jefferson County Case was granted on January 23, 2013, due to the Simpson County Circuit Court's assertion of jurisdiction over the dispute. On May 16, 2013, Kentucky Downs, KDP and KDMI filed a Motion for Summary Judgment against the Company and Turfway Park, LLC. On September 19, 2013, the Company filed its response to the Motion for Summary Judgment. A hearing occurred before the Simpson County Circuit Court on September 23, 2013, on the Kentucky Downs, KDP and KDMI Motion for Summary Judgment. All parties appeared before the Simpson County Court and oral arguments were heard. On October 31, 2013, the Simpson County Court entered an Order Denying Petitioners' (Kentucky Downs Management

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Inc. et al.) Motion for Summary Judgment. The case will now move forward through discovery and to trial. No trial date has been set.

Texas Pari-Mutuel Wagering

On September 21, 2012, the Company filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas styled Churchill Downs Incorporated; Churchill Downs Technology Initiatives Company d/b/a TwinSpires.com v. Chuck Trout, in his official capacity as Executive Director of the Texas Racing Commission; Gary P. Aber, Susan Combs, Ronald F. Ederer, Gloria Hicks, Michael F. Martin, Allan Polunsky, Robert Schmidt, John T. Steen III, Vicki Smith Weinberg, in their official capacity as members of the Texas Racing Commission (Case No. 1:12-cv-00880-LY) challenging the constitutionality of a Texas law requiring residents of Texas that desire to wager on horseraces to wager in person at a Texas race track. In addition to its complaint, on September 21, 2012, the Company filed a motion for preliminary injunction seeking to enjoin the state from taking any action to enforce the law in question. In response, on October 9, 2012, counsel for the state assured both the Company and the court that the state would not enforce the law in question against the Company without prior notice, at which time the court could then consider the motion for preliminary injunction. On April 15, 2013, both parties filed their opening briefs, and a trial was held on May 2, 2013. On September 23, 2013, the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas ruled against the Company and upheld the Texas law at issue. Subsequently, on September 25, 2013, the Company ceased taking wagers from Texas residents via TwinSpires.com and returned deposited funds to Texas residents. The Company filed a motion for an expedited hearing in the United States Court of Appeals, which was granted on October 17, 2013. The Texas Racing Commission, et. al., filed an appellate brief on December 13, 2013. The Company filed its brief in reply on December 30, 2013. Oral arguments were heard before the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit on February 4, 2014. On September 25, 2014, the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit issued an unpublished opinion affirming the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas and its ruling in favor of the Texas Racing Commission.

There are no other material pending legal proceedings.

## NOTE 12 — RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In April 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued ASU No. 2015-03, Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs, which requires debt issuance cost to be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction of the carrying value of the associated debt liability, consistent with the presentation of a debt discount. Prior to the issuance of the standard, debt issuance costs were required to be presented in the balance sheet as a deferred asset. The new standard will become effective for annual fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2015. The Company does not expect adoption to have a material impact on the Company's business, financial condition or results of operations.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15, Disclosures of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern, which explicitly requires management to assess an entity's ability to continue as a going concern, and to provide related footnote disclosures in certain circumstances. Management will be required to assess, in each interim and annual period, if there is substantial doubt of an entity's ability to continue as a going concern as evidenced by relevant known or knowable conditions including an entity's ability to meet its future obligations. Management will be required to provide disclosures regardless of whether substantial doubt is alleviated by management's plans. The guidance will become effective for annual fiscal periods ending after December 15, 2016. In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers, which provides a five-step analysis of transactions to determine when and how revenue is recognized. The core principle is that a company should recognize revenue in a manner that depicts the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The revised guidance will become effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017 and will be applied retrospectively to each period presented or as a cumulative-effect adjustment as of the date of adoption. The Company is assessing the impact of the new accounting guidance and cannot currently estimate the financial statement impact of adoption.

#### NOTE 13 — CALDER RACING

On July 1, 2014, the Company finalized an agreement with The Stronach Group ("TSG") under which TSG operates, at TSG's expense, live racing and maintains certain facilities used for racing and training at Calder. The agreement, which expires on December 31, 2020, involves a lease to TSG of Calder's racetrack and certain other racing and training facilities. TSG operates live horse racing at Calder, under Calder's racing permits, in compliance with all applicable laws and licensing requirements and maintains the racing and training facilities at Calder on a year-round basis. Furthermore, TSG is responsible for substantially all of the direct and indirect costs associated with these activities and receives the associated revenues. The Company continues to own and operate the Calder Casino.

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

As a result of the agreement with TSG, Calder recognized accelerated depreciation expense of approximately \$2.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2014 primarily related to Calder's barns, which were not expected to be utilized subsequent to December 31, 2014. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, the Company continued its assessment of potential alternative uses of its Calder facility not associated with the lease agreement, and as a result, Calder commenced the demolition of its barns not associated with the TSG agreement. Calder expects to fund and capitalize approximately \$0.8 million in expenditures during 2015 for construction costs to remove the barns and to prepare the stable area for alternate future uses.

#### NOTE 14 — HRTV EQUITY INVESTMENT DIVESTITURE

As part of the TSG agreement related to the cessation of Calder pari-mutuel operations during 2014, the Company modified its HRTV operating and ownership agreement with TSG resulting in the divestiture of the Company's interest in HRTV effective January 2, 2015. During January 2015, we received \$6.0 million in proceeds from the sale of the ownership interest. The Company recorded a gain of \$5.8 million in its Other Investments segment, which has been excluded from Segment Adjusted EBITDA and is included in other charges and recoveries in the reconciliation of Segment Adjusted EBITDA to Comprehensive Loss.

# ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Information set forth in this discussion and analysis contains various "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the "Act") provides certain "safe harbor" provisions for forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements made in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are made pursuant to the Act. The reader is cautioned that such forward-looking statements are based on information available at the time and/or management's good faith belief with respect to future events, and are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual performance or results to differ materially from those expressed in the statements. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date the statement was made. We assume no obligation to update forward-looking information to reflect actual results, changes in assumptions or changes in other factors affecting forward-looking information.

Forward-looking statements are typically identified by the use of terms such as "anticipate," "believe," "could," "estimate," "expect," "intend," "may," "might," "plan," "predict," "project," "should," "will," and similar words, although some forward-looking are expressed differently.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, we can give no assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from expectations include: the effect of global economic conditions, including any disruptions in the credit markets; a decrease in consumers' discretionary income; the effect (including possible increases in the cost of doing business) resulting from future war and terrorist activities or political uncertainties; the impact of increasing insurance costs; the impact of interest rate fluctuations; maintaining favorable relationships we have with third-party mobile platforms, the inability to secure new content from third-party developers on favorable terms, keeping our games free from programming errors or flaws, the effect if smart phone and tablet usage does not continue to increase; the financial performance of our racing operations; the impact of casino competition (including lotteries, online gaming and riverboat, cruise ship and land-based casinos) and other sports and entertainment options in the markets in which we operate; our ability to maintain racing and gaming licenses to conduct our businesses; the impact of live racing day competition with other Kentucky, Illinois, Louisiana or Ohio racetracks within those respective markets; the impact of higher purses and other incentives in states that compete with our racetracks; costs associated with our efforts in support of alternative gaming initiatives; costs associated with customer relationship management initiatives; a substantial change in law or regulations affecting pari-mutuel or casino activities; a substantial change in allocation of live racing days; changes in Kentucky, Illinois or Louisiana law or regulations that impact revenues or costs of racing in those states; the presence of wagering and casino operations at other states' racetracks and casinos near our operations; our continued ability to effectively compete for the country's horses and trainers necessary to achieve full field horse races; our continued ability to grow our share of the interstate simulcast market and obtain the consents of horsemen's groups to interstate simulcasting; our ability to enter into agreements with other industry constituents for the purchase and sale of racing content for wagering purposes; our ability to execute our acquisition strategy and to complete or successfully operate acquisitions and planned expansion projects including the effect of required payments in the event we are unable to complete acquisitions; our ability to successfully complete any divestiture transaction; market reaction to our expansion projects; the inability of our totalisator company, United Tote, to maintain its processes accurately, keep its technology current or maintain its significant customers; our accountability for environmental contamination; the ability of Big Fish Games or TwinSpires to prevent security breaches within their online technologies; the loss of key personnel; the impact of natural and other disasters on our operations and our ability to obtain insurance recoveries in respect of such losses (including losses related to business interruption); our ability to integrate any businesses we acquire into our existing operations, including our ability to maintain revenues at historic or anticipated levels and achieve anticipated cost savings; the impact of wagering laws, including changes in laws or enforcement of those laws by regulatory agencies; the outcome of pending or threatened litigation; changes in our relationships with horsemen's groups and their memberships; our ability to reach agreement with horsemen's groups on future purse and other agreements (including, without limitation, agreements on sharing of revenues from casinos and advance deposit wagering); the effect of claims of third parties to intellectual property rights; and the volatility of our stock price.

You should read this discussion in conjunction with the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 for further information, including Part I – Item 1A, "Risk Factors" of our Form 10-K for a discussion regarding some of the reasons that actual results may be materially different from those we anticipate.

#### Overview

We are one of the world's largest producers and distributors of online and mobile casual games. We are also a diversified provider of casino gaming, online account wagering on horseracing and pari-mutuel horseracing. We operate in five operating segments as follows:

1. Big Fish Games, Inc ("Big Fish Games"), which:

Is headquartered in Seattle, Washington with locations in Oakland, California and Luxembourg, which we acquired on December 16, 2014. Big Fish Games is a producer of premium paid, casual free-to-play and casino-style games for PCs and mobile devices.

2. Casinos, which includes:

Oxford Casino ("Oxford") in Oxford, Maine, which operates approximately 860 slot machines, 26 table games and various dining facilities;

Riverwalk Casino Hotel ("Riverwalk") in Vicksburg, Mississippi, which operates approximately 680 slot machines, 16 table games, a five story, 80-room attached hotel, a multi-functional event center and dining facilities;

Harlow's Casino Resort & Spa ("Harlow's") in Greenville, Mississippi, which operates approximately 760 slot machines, 13 table games, a five story, 105-room attached hotel and dining facilities;

Calder Casino, a slot facility in Florida adjacent to Calder, which operates approximately 1,100 slot machines. Results for the three months ended March 31, 2014 included a poker room operation branded "Studz Poker Club," which ceased operations on June 30, 2014;

Fair Grounds Slots, a slot facility in Louisiana adjacent to Fair Grounds, which operates approximately 620 slot machines;

Video Services, LLC ("VSI"), the owner and operator of approximately 710 video poker machines in Louisiana; and Our equity investment in Miami Valley Gaming, LLC ("MVG"), a 50% joint venture harness racetrack and video ⁴ottery terminal facility in Lebanon, Ohio, which opened December 12, 2013. MVG has approximately 1,570 video lottery terminals, a racing simulcast center and a harness racetrack.

3. TwinSpires, which includes:

TwinSpires, an Advance Deposit Wagering ("ADW") business that is licensed as a multi-jurisdictional simulcasting and interactive wagering hub in the state of Oregon;

Fair Grounds Account Wagering ("FAW"), an ADW business that is licensed in the state of Louisiana;

Velocity, a business that is licensed in the British Dependency Isle of Man focusing on high wagering-volume international customers; and

Bloodstock Research Information Services ("BRIS"), a data service provider for the equine industry.

4. Racing, which includes:

Churchill Downs Racetrack ("Churchill Downs") in Louisville, Kentucky, an internationally known thoroughbred racing operation and home of the Kentucky Oaks and Kentucky Derby since 1875;

Arlington International Race Course ("Arlington"), a thoroughbred racing operation in Arlington Heights along with ten off-track betting facilities ("OTBs") in Illinois;

Calder Race Course ("Calder"), a thoroughbred racing operation in Miami Gardens, Florida which ceased pari-mutuel operations on July 1, 2014; and

Fair Grounds Race Course ("Fair Grounds"), a thoroughbred racing operation in New Orleans along with twelve OTBs in Louisiana.

5. Other Investments, which includes:

United Tote Company and United Tote Canada (collectively "United Tote"), which manufacture and operate pari-mutuel wagering systems for racetracks, OTBs and other pari-mutuel wagering business;

Bluff Media ("Bluff"), a multimedia poker content brand and publishing company; and

• Our other minor investments.

In order to evaluate the performance of these operating segments internally, we use Adjusted EBITDA (defined as earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization, and adjusted for insurance recoveries net of losses, share-based compensation expenses, pre-opening expenses, the impairment of assets, Big Fish Games transaction expenses, Big Fish Games acquisition-related charges, changes in Big Fish Games deferred revenue and other charges or recoveries). Big Fish Games transaction expenses include legal, accounting and other deal-related expenses. Big Fish Games acquisition-related charges reflect the change in fair value of the Big Fish Games earnout and deferred consideration liability recorded each reporting period. Changes in Big Fish Games deferred revenue reflect reductions in revenue from business combination accounting rules when deferred revenue balances assumed as part of an acquisition are adjusted to their fair values. Fair value approximates the cost of fulfilling the service obligation, plus a reasonable profit margin. Adjusted EBITDA also includes 50% of the operating income or loss of our joint venture, MVG. We believe that the use of Adjusted EBITDA as a key performance measure of the results of operations enables management and investors to evaluate and compare from period to period our operating performance in a meaningful and consistent manner.

During the three months ended March 31, 2015, total handle for the pari-mutuel industry, according to figures published by Equibase, decreased 5.2%, compared to the same period of 2014. TwinSpires handle increased \$2.3 million, or 1.1%, during the three months ended March 31, 2015, compared to the same period in 2014. Pari-mutuel handle from our Racing segment decreased 22.4% during the three months ended March 31, 2015, compared to the same period of 2014 primarily due to the cessation of pari-mutuel operations at Calder on July 1, 2014. Revenues and earnings associated primarily with our Racing segment are seasonal in nature. Therefore, Racing revenues and operating results for any interim quarter are generally not indicative of the revenues and operating results for the year and may not be comparable with results for the corresponding period of the previous year. For instance, we historically have had fewer live racing days during the first quarter of each year, and the majority of our live racing revenue occurs during the second quarter, with the running of the Kentucky Derby and the Kentucky Oaks. We conducted 57 live thoroughbred racing days during the first quarter of 2015, which compares to 97 live thoroughbred racing days during the first quarter of 2014. This decrease is primarily related to the cessation of pari-mutuel operations at Calder Race Course in July 2014. Furthermore, Casino revenues and earnings have historically been higher during the first quarter due to seasonal revenues from our predominately southern gaming properties.

We believe that, despite uncertain economic conditions, we are in a strong financial position. As of March 31, 2015, there was \$289.9 million of borrowing capacity available under our Senior Secured Credit Facility. To date, we have not experienced any limitations in our ability to access this source of liquidity.

**Recent Developments** 

## HRTV Equity Investment Divestiture

As part of an agreement with The Stronach Group ("TSG") related to the cessation of Calder pari-mutuel operations, we modified our HRTV operating and ownership agreement with TSG resulting in the divestiture of the Company's interest in HRTV effective January 2, 2015. During January 2015, we received \$6.0 million in proceeds from the sale of our ownership interest. We recorded a gain of \$5.8 million during January 2015 from the sale of our remaining investment in HRTV in our Other Investments segment, which has been excluded from Segment Adjusted EBITDA and is included in other charges and recoveries in the reconciliation of Segment Adjusted EBITDA to Comprehensive Loss.

#### Calder Racing

On July 1, 2014, we finalized an agreement with The Stronach Group ("TSG") under which TSG operates, at TSG's expense, live racing and maintains certain facilities used for racing and training at Calder. The agreement, which expires on December 31, 2020, involves a lease to TSG of Calder's racetrack and certain other racing and training facilities. TSG operates live horse racing at Calder, under Calder's racing permits, in compliance with all applicable laws and licensing requirements and maintains the racing and training facilities at Calder on a year-round basis. Furthermore, TSG is responsible for substantially all of the direct and indirect costs associated with these activities and receives the associated revenues. We continue to own and operate the Calder Casino.

As a result of the agreement with TSG, we recognized accelerated depreciation expense of approximately \$2.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2014 primarily related to Calder's barns, which were not expected to be utilized

subsequent to December 31, 2014. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, we continued our assessment of potential alternative uses of the Calder facility not associated with the lease agreement, and as a result, we commenced the demolition of our barns not required under the TSG agreement. We expect to fund and capitalize approximately \$0.8 million in expenditures during 2015 for construction costs to remove the barns and prepare the stable areas for alternate future uses.

Legislative and Regulatory Changes Kentucky Expanded Gaming Legislation

On February 13, 2015, Senate Bill 199, a proposed constitutional amendment to allow the Kentucky legislature to authorize gaming expansion in the state by general law, was filed for consideration. On February 5, 2015, House Bill 300, a constitutional amendment authorizing casino gaming in Kentucky, was introduced. The amendment would have allowed for six casinos in the state to be approved by local referendum and limited to counties with populations of at least 85,000, and authorized the Kentucky Lottery Corporation to regulate and to operate casino facilities. The 2015 session ended without consideration of any legislation related to gaming expansion in Kentucky. Should similar future legislation be enacted into law, it could have a material impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

### **Sweepstakes Cafes**

On March 23, 2015 the Governor of Kentucky signed Senate Bill 28 effectively prohibiting Internet cafes from operating in the state. The bill became effective immediately upon becoming law.

Illinois

#### **Expanded Gaming Legislation**

On March 27, 2015, House Bill 2939 and House Bill 3564 were filed in the Illinois legislature. House Bill 2939 would authorize a state owned Chicago casino with 4,000 to 12,000 gaming positions. House Bill 3564 proposes to authorize five new casinos, a Chicago casino and electronic gaming at all Illinois racetracks except Fairmount Park Racetrack. Cook County racetracks would be authorized to operate 600 positions, while certain other racetracks would be authorized for 450 positions. If enacted, the legislation could have a material effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Ohio

#### Video Lottery Sales

In April 2015, State Bill 140 was introduced which would remove the ability of a video lottery sales agent, such as our joint venture investment in MVG, to offer promotional play unless specific criteria are met. Under the terms of the bill, in order for a video lottery sales agent to offer promotional play, the agent must have at least 90% of the statutorily allocated video lottery terminals on the gaming floor and the agent must generate at least \$165 million in revenue. If the agent meets the both criteria, not only will they qualify to offer up to \$5 million of promotional play, the agent may offer expanded types of video lottery games. If enacted, we expect the legislation to have a material impact on our business, financial condition and results of operation.

Florida

## **Expanded Gaming**

On March 2, 2015, House Bill 1233 was filed for consideration during the 2015 legislative session. House Bill 1233 would provide that all outstanding, dormant pari-mutuel wagering permits revert to the state, prohibit the portability of pari-mutuel permits, allow for the decoupling of dog tracks, allow existing slot machine facilities to be open continuously, place a moratorium on the issuance of new gaming permits, provide for slot machines at pari-mutuel operations in Palm Beach and Lee counties, if their authorization is exempted in the Compact, and permit Miami Dade and Broward counties to conduct a referendum related to destination resort locations. At this time it is difficult to determine if this or similar legislation would have a material impact on our business, financial condition and results of operation.

On April 3, 2015 proposed Senate Bill 7088 was filed for consideration. The bill would extend a provision of the Seminole Compact allowing the Tribe to exclusively offer banked card games, allow for the decoupling of all slot machine licensees in the state and grant slot machines to pari-mutuel operations in Palm Beach and Lee counties for an extension of one year, to end on July 31,2016. At this time it is difficult to determine if this or similar legislation would have a material impact on our business, financial condition and results of operation.

Maine

#### **Expanded Gaming**

In April 2015, legislation was filed for consideration that would expand gaming locations in the state and allow for entities such as Native American tribes and a harness track located in Southern Maine to operate casino facilities. Should gaming expansion occur in Maine it could negatively impact our business, financial condition and results of operations.

New York

## Gaming Legislation

During 2012, the Governor of New York and legislative leaders agreed to legalize casino gaming and seek an amendment to the state constitution that would authorize such gaming and, during 2013, New York voters approved a constitutional amendment authorizing up to seven casinos in the state. On May 13, 2014, we entered into a 50% joint venture with SHRI to bid on the development, construction and operation of the Capital View Casino & Resort located in the Capital Region near Albany, New York. On December 17, 2014, the Gaming Facility Location Board (the "Location Board") announced the award of three casino

licenses in the state and awarded the Capital Region license to another bidder, but it did not award a fourth available license in the Southern Region. In December 2014, the Governor of New York appealed to the Location Board to reconsider awarding the fourth license in the state. During January 2015, the Location Board reopened the bidding process for casino license applications for the fourth license. At this time it is unknown if, or when, the fourth casino license will be awarded. An expansion of gaming in New York includes incentives for the horse racing industry. At this time it is difficult to determine the impact casino gaming could have on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The New York state budget was approved in April 2015 and included a provision authorizing the expansion of video lottery terminal games at six racetracks, including Saratoga Casino and Raceway. Specifically, the measure will allow racetracks to add electronic blackjack and poker games under the classification of games of chance and not skill. We expect approval of the legislation to result in a favorable impact to our business, financial condition and results of operation.

California

Internet Poker

In February 2015, Senate Bill 278 and Assembly Bill 431 were introduced. The identical bills would authorize Internet poker in the state and direct the Gambling Control Commission, in consultation with the Department of Justice, to establish regulations governing the operation of Internet poker in California. The potential effect of Senate Bill 278 or Assembly Bill 431 on our business, financial condition and results of operations cannot be determined at this time.

Louisiana

Smoking Ban

On January 22, 2015, the New Orleans City Council approved a smoking ban in bars and other public places, including casinos, in Orleans Parrish which took effect on April 22, 2015. The smoking ban is expected to have a negative impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

On March 24, 2015 legislation was filed that will direct all revenue from unclaimed pari-mutuel wagering tickets and electronic gaming jackpots to a crime victims reparations fund to help pay for medical related expenses of sexual assault victims. Should this legislation pass, it could have a negative impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Pennsylvania

Internet Poker

During 2015, House Bill 649 and House Bill 695 authorizing Internet poker in Pennsylvania were introduced for consideration during the 2015 legislative session and would authorize existing Pennsylvania casinos to offer Internet poker, require a license fee of \$5 million, establish a 14% tax on gross gaming revenues and permit the state to enter into interactive gaming agreements with other jurisdictions. The potential impact of this legislation on our business, financial condition and results of operation cannot be determined at this time.

On April 7, 2015, House Bill 920, was introduced and would allow existing casinos to offer Internet gaming on casino style games, establish a \$5 million licensing fee, institute a 28% tax rate on gross gaming revenue and allow the state to enter into interstate compacts or reciprocal agreements with other jurisdictions. The potential impact of the legislation on our business, financial condition and results of operation cannot be determined at this time.

## RESULTS OF CONTINUING OPERATIONS

Big Fish Games Activity

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, statistical Big Fish Games information (in thousands):

The real wang there exists retain, for the particular indicators, similarity	Three Months Ended March 31, Change					
	2015	2014 (1)	\$	%		
Bookings		. ,				
Casino	\$49,293	<b>\$</b> —	\$49,293	F		
Casual F2P	27,028		27,028	F		
Premium	28,458		28,458	F		
Total Bookings	\$104,779 \$—		\$104,779	F		
Revenue						
Casino	\$49,603	<b>\$</b> —	\$49,603	F		
Casual F2P	18,973	_	18,973	F		
Premium	23,327	_	23,327	F		
Total Revenue	\$91,903	\$—	\$91,903	F		
Change in Deferred Revenue	12,876	_	12,876	F		
Total Bookings	\$104,779	<b>\$</b> —	\$104,779	F		

NM: not meaningful U:>100% unfavorable F:>100% favorable

<sup>(1)</sup> On December 16, 2014, we completed the acquisition of Big Fish Games, therefore the results of Big Fish Games are not included for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

## Casino Activity

26

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, statistical casino information (in thousands, except for average daily information):

	Three Months Ended March			Change			
	31,	2014 (1)		04			
	2015	2014 (1)	\$	%			
Calder Casino							
Net casino revenues	\$19,596	\$19,849	\$(253	) (1	)%		
Slot handle	\$262,381	\$254,667	\$7,714	3	%		
Net slot revenues	\$19,616	\$19,486	\$130	1	%		
Average daily net win per slot machine	\$198	\$191	\$7	4	%		
Average daily number of slot machines	1,103	1,133	(30	) (3	)%		
Average daily poker revenue (1)	\$	\$3,880	\$(3,880	) (10	0)%		
Fair Grounds Slots and Video Poker							
Net casino revenues	\$21,138	\$20,334	\$804	4	%		
Slot handle	\$123,820	\$122,009	\$1,811	1	%		
Net slot revenues	\$11,818	\$11,457	\$361	3	%		
Average daily net win per slot machine	\$212	\$205	\$7	3	%		
Average daily number of slot machines	620	620	_		%		
Average daily video poker revenue	\$104,362	\$99,144	\$5,218	5	%		
Average daily net win per video poker machine	\$147	\$128	\$19	15	%		
Average daily number of video poker machines	708	772	(64	) (8	)%		
Oxford Casino							
Net casino revenues	\$16,613	\$16,668	\$(55	) —	%		
Slot handle	\$158,358	\$149,084	\$9,274	6	%		
Net slot revenues	\$13,236	\$13,125	\$111	1	%		
Average daily net win per slot machine	\$171	\$170	\$1	1	%		
Average daily number of slot machines	858	858	_		%		
Average daily net win per table	\$1,430	\$1,570	\$(140	) (9	)%		
Average daily number of tables	26	25	1	4	%		
(continued on next page)							

	Three Mont	Change			
	31,	Change			
	2015	2014 (1)	\$	%	
Harlow's Casino					
Net casino revenues	\$13,063	\$13,757	\$(694	) (5	)%
Slot handle	\$152,155	\$154,389	\$(2,234	) (1	)%
Net slot revenues	\$11,930	\$12,615	\$(685	) (5	)%
Average daily net win per slot machine	\$175	\$188	\$(13	) (7	)%
Average daily number of slot machines	759	746	13	2	%
Average daily net win per table	\$1,020	\$979	\$41	4	%
Average daily number of tables	13	13	_	_	%
Riverwalk Casino					
Net casino revenues	\$12,498	\$12,568	\$(70	) (1	)%
Slot handle	\$136,542	\$133,379	\$3,163	2	%
Net slot revenues	\$11,269	\$11,563	\$(294	) (3	)%
Average daily net win per slot machine	\$185	\$184	\$1	1	%
Average daily number of slot machines	676	697	(21	) (3	)%
Average daily net win per table	\$910	\$775	\$135	17	%
Average daily number of tables	16	15	1	7	%
Total					
Net casino revenues	\$82,908	\$83,176	\$(268	) —	%
(1) 0 1 20 2014 6 11 6 1					

<sup>(1)</sup> On June 30, 2014, Calder Casino ceased operations of its poker room.

## Pari-mutuel Handle Activity

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, pari-mutuel financial handle information (in thousands):

	Three Mo March 31.	Change					
	·		2014		•		
Racing and TwinSpires Operations:					,	%	
Churchill Downs							
Total handle	\$9,259		\$10,093		\$(834)	(8	)%
Net pari-mutuel revenues	\$1,699		\$1,745		\$(46)	(3	)%
Commission %	18.3	%	17.3	%			
Arlington							
Total handle	\$44,000		\$48,246		\$(4,246)	(9	)%
Net pari-mutuel revenues	\$6,476		\$6,922		\$(446)	(6	)%
Commission %	14.7	%	14.3	%			
Calder (1)							
Total handle	\$—		\$76,553		\$(76,553)	(100	)%
Net pari-mutuel revenues	\$9		\$7,665		\$(7,656)	(100	)%
Commission %		%	10.0	%			
Fair Grounds							
Total handle	\$172,294		\$155,861		\$16,433	11	%
Net pari-mutuel revenues	\$13,235		\$12,277		\$958	8	%
Commission %	7.7	%	7.9	%			
Total Racing:							
Total handle	\$225,553		\$290,753		\$(65,200)	(22	)%
Net pari-mutuel revenues	\$21,419		\$28,609		\$(7,190)	(25	)%
Commission %	9.5	%	9.8	%			
TwinSpires (2)							
Total handle	\$214,098		\$211,793		\$2,305	1	%
Net pari-mutuel revenues	\$41,308		\$40,693		\$615	2	%
Commission %	19.3	%	19.2	%			
Eliminations (3)							
Total handle	\$(14,214	)	\$(17,209	)	\$2,995	(17	)%
Net pari-mutuel revenues	\$(1,959	)	\$(2,333	)	\$374	(16	)%
Total:							
Handle	\$425,437		\$485,337		\$(59,900)	(12	)%
Net pari-mutuel revenues	\$60,768		\$66,969		\$(6,201)	(9	)%
Commission %	14.3	%	13.8	%			

The pari-mutuel activity above is subject to the following information:

<sup>(1)</sup> Calder ceased pari-mutuel operations on July 1, 2014.

Total handle and net pari-mutuel revenues generated by Velocity are not included in total handle and net pari-mutuel revenues from the TwinSpires.

<sup>(3)</sup> Eliminations include the elimination of intersegment transactions.

#### **Executive Summary**

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, total consolidated revenues and certain other financial information and operating data (in thousands, except per common share data and live race days):

Three Months Ended								
	March 31,				Change			
	2015		2014		\$		%	
Number of thoroughbred live race days	57		97		(40	)	(41	)%
Net revenues:								
Big Fish Games	\$91,903		<b>\$</b> —		\$91,903		F	
Casinos	85,415		86,386		(971	)	(1	)%
TwinSpires	45,315		46,084		(769	)	(2	)%
Racing	24,438		30,579		(6,141	)	(20	)%
Other	3,839		4,092		(253	)	(6	)%
Total net revenues	\$250,910		\$167,141		\$83,769		50	%
Operating (loss) income	\$ (4,128	)	\$1,566		\$(5,694	)	U	
Operating (loss) income margin	(1.6	)%	0.9	%				
Loss from continuing operations	\$(1,558	)	\$(700	)	\$(858	)	U	
Diluted loss from continuing operations per common share	\$(0.09	)	\$(0.04	)	\$(0.05	)	U	

Our total net revenues increased \$83.8 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015 from the increase in revenues of \$91.9 million from Big Fish Games, which was acquired on December 16, 2014. Casino revenues decreased \$1.0 million as declines in visitation at several properties attributable to enhanced local competition more than offset favorability at other locations, which we believe was driven by improving regional economic factors and favorable weather conditions, as compared to the prior period. TwinSpires revenues decreased \$0.8 million as the loss of a third-party customer service contract more than offset a 1.1% increase in handle during the three months ended March 31, 2015. Revenues generated by Racing decreased \$6.1 million primarily due to the cessation of Calder's pari-mutuel operations on July 1, 2014, which accounted for a loss of 39 thoroughbred live race days as compared to the prior year. Other revenues declined \$0.3 million primarily due to the cessation of the print edition of BLUFF Magazine during January 2015.

Our operating income decreased \$5.7 million due to non-cash acquisition related charges of \$6.4 million associated with fair value adjustments related to the Big Fish Games earnout and deferred founder liabilities. In addition, depreciation and amortization expense increased \$12.6 million due to the impact of the Big Fish Games acquisition. Partially offsetting these expenses were improvements in operating income for our Casinos, TwinSpires and Racing segments. For the three months ended March 31, 2015, our loss from continuing operations was favorable to our operating loss due to the recognition of a gain of \$5.8 million from the sale of our remaining investment in HRTV and improvements at MVG. Further discussion of results by our reported segments is detailed below.

Consolidated Operating Expenses

The following table is a summary of our consolidated operating expenses (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended				
	March 31,		Change		
	2015	2014	\$	%	
Purses & pari-mutuel taxes	\$17,671	\$21,468	\$(3,797	) (18	)%
Casino taxes	21,712	21,656	56		%
Depreciation and amortization	27,407	15,284	12,123	79	%
Other operating expenses	150,044	86,133	63,911	74	%
Research and development expenses	10,232		10,232	U	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	21,572	21,465	107		%
Acquisition related charges	6,400	_	6,400	U	
Insurance recoveries, net of losses	_	(431	) 431	(100	)%
Total expenses	\$255,038	\$165,575	\$89,463	54	%
Percent of revenue	102	% 99	%		

Significant items affecting comparability of consolidated operating expenses include:

Other operating expenses increased \$63.9 million, reflecting \$69.4 million in operating expenses incurred by Big Fish Games during the three months ended March 31, 2015. Deferred compensation expense increased \$0.7 million, which was attributable to the increase in the Company's stock price during the period. Partially offsetting these increases was a decline of \$4.5 million in pari-mutuel expenses at Calder due to the conclusion of pari-mutuel operations on July 1, 2014. Furthermore, in response to moderating revenue growth, we reduced salaries and contract labor across our segments by \$1.2 million. Finally, contract service expense decreased \$0.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015, due to the cancellation of a TwinSpires' third-party service agreement during the fourth quarter of 2014. Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$12.1 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015 driven by additional expenses of \$12.8 million associated with the Big Fish Games acquisition. Partially offsetting this increase was lower depreciation expense of \$0.7 million at Calder from the cessation of pari-mutuel operations and the acceleration of depreciation on certain racing assets during 2014.

Research and development expenses increased \$10.2 million and consist primarily of compensation related expenditures at Big Fish Games.

Purses and pari-mutuel taxes decreased \$3.8 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015 as Calder incurred lower expenses of \$3.8 million due to the conclusion of its pari-mutuel operations on July 1, 2014. Acquisition related charges consist of non-cash fair value adjustments of \$6.4 million associated with the change in the fair value of the Big Fish Games earnout and deferred founder liabilities during the three months ended March 31, 2015.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$0.1 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015. Big Fish Games incurred \$4.4 million in expenses during the period. Offsetting this amount were reductions in share-based compensation of \$2.7 million, corporate expenses for legislative issues of \$0.8 million, employee-related restructuring actions of \$0.6 million and lower legal expenditures of \$0.2 million as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Other Income (Expense) and Income Tax Benefit

The following table is a summary of our other income (expense) and income tax benefit (in thousands):

	Three Mor	ths !	Ended					
	March 31,				Change			
	2015		2014		\$		%	
Interest income	\$218		\$4		\$214		F	
Interest expense	(7,484	)	(4,973	)	(2,511	)	(50	)%
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated investments	2,948		2,290		658		29	%
Gain on sale of equity investment	5,817		_		5,817		F	
Miscellaneous, net	(188	)	(25	)	(163	)	U	
Other income (expense)	\$1,311		\$(2,704	)	\$4,015		F	
Income tax benefit	\$1,259		\$438		\$821		F	
Effective tax rate	45	%	38	%				

Significant items affecting the comparability of other income and expense and the income tax provision include: Gain on sale of equity investment increased \$5.8 million, due to the receipt of \$6.0 million of proceeds and the associated recognition of a \$5.8 million gain on sale of our remaining investment in HRTV.

Interest expense increased \$2.5 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015, primarily as a result of higher average outstanding debt balances under our Senior Secured Credit Facility required for financing the acquisition of Big Fish Games.

Equity in earnings of unconsolidated investments increased \$0.7 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015, primarily due to an improvement in the performance of our investment in MVG of \$0.5 million. In addition, we recognized a gain of \$0.3 million from the reimbursement of a portion of our 2014 licensing expenditures related to our unsuccessful attempt to obtain a New York casino license during 2014. Partially offsetting these amounts was the loss of earnings from our HRTV investment which decreased by \$0.2 million.

The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2015 was impacted by the anticipated annual increase in the fair values of the Big Fish Games earnout liability and deferred founder liability, which was a non-deductible acquisition-related expense.

Net Revenues By Segment

The following table presents net revenues, including intercompany revenues, by our operating segments (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended				
	March 31,		Change		
	2015	2014	\$	%	
Big Fish Games	\$91,903	\$—	\$91,903	F	
Casinos:					
Calder Casino	20,379	20,583	(204	) (1	)%
Fair Grounds Slots	11,482	11,784	(302	) (3	)%
VSI	9,184	8,754	430	5	%
Harlow's Casino	13,680	14,451	(771	) (5	)%
Oxford Casino	17,503	17,519	(16	) —	%
Riverwalk Casino	13,187	13,295	(108	) (1	)%
Total Casinos	85,415	86,386	(971	) (1	)%
TwinSpires	45,612	46,314	(702	) (2	)%
Racing:					
Churchill Downs	2,241	2,370	(129	) (5	)%
Arlington	6,770	7,139	(369	) (5	)%
Calder	674	8,380	(7,706	) (92	)%
Fair Grounds	16,802	15,023	1,779	12	%
Total Racing	26,487	32,912	(6,425	) (20	)%
Other Investments	4,400	4,735	(335	) (7	)%
Corporate Revenues	234	255	(21	) (8	)%
Eliminations	(3,141	) (3,461	) 320	(9	)%
	\$250,910	\$167,141	\$83,769	50	%

Significant items affecting comparability of our net revenues by segment include:

Big Fish Games revenues contributed \$91.9 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015. Big Fish Games net revenues includes amounts recognized from its premium paid, casino and free-to-play casual games. Revenues recognized include a reduction of \$9.2 million resulting from business combination accounting rules when deferred revenue balances assumed as part of acquisitions are adjusted down to fair value. Subsequent to the acquisition of Big Fish Games, the Company analyzes the amount of revenue that would have been recognized had Big Fish Games remained independent and had the deferred revenue balances not been adjusted to fair value. The \$9.2 million downward adjustment to revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2015 is reflected in Big Fish Games net revenue presented on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss. Casinos revenues decreased \$1.0 million mainly due to a \$0.8 million decrease at Harlow's. This decrease was the result of higher freeplay offered by the property in response to a decline in market share driven by the impact of a newly remodeled competitor. Partially offsetting this decline was a \$0.4 million increase in VSI revenues as our video poker operations, which we believe benefitted from lower gas prices and newly installed video poker machines. TwinSpires revenues decreased \$0.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015, which was primarily due to the cancellation of a third-party agreement during the fourth quarter of 2014 under which it provided administrative eall center services. TwinSpires pari-mutuel revenues increased \$0.6 million or 1.5% associated with a handle increase of 1.1% which was an improvement of 6.3 percentage points as compared to an industry decline of 5.2% during the three months ended March 31, 2015.

Racing revenues decreased \$6.4 million, primarily due to the July 1, 2014 cessation of pari-mutuel operations at Calder. Calder revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2015 consisted primarily of rental income from TSG for the use of Calder's racetrack and certain other racing and training facilities. Fair Grounds revenue increased \$1.8 million based on a 10.5% increase in handle as it benefitted from the cancellation of racing at competing racetracks due to inclement weather and the impact of additional turf races at Fair Grounds as compared to the prior year period

due to favorable weather conditions. Churchill Downs and Arlington revenues from simulcasting revenues declined consistent with a 5.2% decline in industry handle during the three months ended March 31, 2015.

Other Investments revenues decreased \$0.3 million, primarily due to the cessation of the print edition of BLUFF Magazine during January 2015.

Adjusted Segment EBITDA

In order to evaluate the performance of these operating segments internally, we use Adjusted EBITDA (defined as earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization, and adjusted for insurance recoveries net of losses, share-based compensation expenses, pre-opening expenses, the impairment of assets, Big Fish Games transaction expenses, Big Fish Games acquisition-related charges, changes in Big Fish Games deferred revenue and other charges or recoveries). Big Fish Games transaction expenses include legal, accounting and other deal-related expenses. Big Fish Games acquisition-related charges reflect the change in fair value of the Big Fish Games earnout and deferred consideration liability recorded each reporting period. Changes in Big Fish Games deferred revenue reflect reductions in revenue from business combination accounting rules when deferred revenue balances assumed as part of an acquisition are adjusted to their fair values. Fair value approximates the cost of fulfilling the service obligation, plus a reasonable profit margin. Adjusted EBITDA also includes 50% of the operating income or loss of our joint venture, MVG.

We believe that the use of Adjusted EBITDA as a key performance measure of the results of operations enables management and investors to evaluate and compare from period to period our operating performance in a meaningful and consistent manner. Adjusted EBITDA is a supplemental measure of our performance that is not required by, or presented in accordance with, generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). However, Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered as an alternative to, or more meaningful than, net earnings (as determined in accordance with GAAP) as a measure of our operating results. The following table presents Adjusted EBITDA by our operating segments and a reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to comprehensive loss (in thousands):

Three Months Ended				
March 31,		Change		
2015	2014	\$	%	
\$20,026	\$—	\$20,026	F	
28,787	27,251	1,536	6	%
11,190	9,950	1,240	12	%
(9,197	) (10,250	) 1,053	10	%
(668	) (1,353	) 685	51	%
(1,867	) (1,106	) (761	) 69	%
\$48,271	\$24,492	\$23,779	97	%
	431	(431	) (100	)%
(6,400	) —	(6,400	) U	
(12,876	) —	(12,876	) U	
(2,723	) (5,241	) 2,518	(48	)%
	(27	) 27	(100	)%
(554	) (540	) (14	) 3	%
6,138		6,138	F	
(27,407	) (15,284	) (12,123	) 79	%
(7,266	) (4,969	) (2,297	) 46	%
1,259	438	821	F	
(1,558	) (700	) (858	) U	
(387	) —	(387	) U	
\$(1,945	) \$(700	) \$(1,245	) U	
	March 31, 2015 \$20,026 28,787 11,190 (9,197 (668 (1,867 \$48,271 — (6,400 (12,876 (2,723 — (554 6,138 (27,407 (7,266 1,259 (1,558 (387	2015       2014         \$20,026       \$—         28,787       27,251         11,190       9,950         (9,197       ) (10,250         (668       ) (1,353         (1,867       ) (1,106         \$48,271       \$24,492         —       431         (6,400       ) —         (12,876       ) —         (2,723       ) (5,241         —       (27         (554       ) (540         6,138       —         (27,407       ) (15,284         (7,266       ) (4,969         1,259       438         (1,558       ) (700         (387       ) —	March 31, 2014 \$ \$20,026 \$— \$20,026 28,787 27,251 1,536 11,190 9,950 1,240 (9,197 ) (10,250 ) 1,053 (668 ) (1,353 ) 685 (1,867 ) (1,106 ) (761 \$48,271 \$24,492 \$23,779 — 431 (431 (6,400 )— (6,400 (12,876 )— (12,876 (2,723 ) (5,241 ) 2,518 — (27 ) 27 (554 ) (540 ) (14 6,138 — (27 ) 27 (554 ) (540 ) (14 6,138 — 6,138 (27,407 ) (15,284 ) (12,123 (7,266 ) (4,969 ) (2,297 1,259 438 821 (1,558 ) (700 ) (858 (387 )— (387	March 31, 2014 \$ % \$20,026 \$— \$20,026 F 28,787 27,251 1,536 6 11,190 9,950 1,240 12 (9,197 ) (10,250 ) 1,053 10 (668 ) (1,353 ) 685 51 (1,867 ) (1,106 ) (761 ) 69 \$48,271 \$24,492 \$23,779 97 — 431 (431 ) (100 (6,400 )— (6,400 ) U (12,876 )— (12,876 ) U (2,723 ) (5,241 ) 2,518 (48 — (27 ) 27 (100 (554 ) (540 ) (14 ) 3 6,138 — 6,138 F (27,407 ) (15,284 ) (12,123 ) 79 (7,266 ) (4,969 ) (2,297 ) 46 1,259 438 821 F (1,558 ) (700 ) (858 ) U (387 )— (387 ) U

Excluding corporate share-based compensation, the table below presents the intercompany management fee (expense) income included in Adjusted EBITDA of each of the operating segments for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014,

respectively (in thousands):

	Three Mont				
	March 31,		Change		
	2015	2014	\$	%	
Big Fish Games	\$(750	) \$—	\$(750	) U	
Casinos	(2,324	) (2,574	) 250	10	%
TwinSpires	(1,223	) (1,431	) 208	15	%
Racing	(698	) (978	) 280	29	%
Other Investments	(113	) (133	) 20	15	%
Corporate Income	5,108	5,116	(8	) —	%
Total management fees	\$—	\$—	\$ <i>—</i>		

Significant items affecting comparability of Adjusted EBITDA by segment include:

Big Fish Games, which was acquired on December 16, 2014, generated Adjusted EBITDA of \$20.0 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015. Our bookings and revenues are reflective of industry growth in both the iOS and Android marketplaces and our increasing share of casino-style and casual free-to-play games. Significant components of operating expenses include platform fees, advertising and marketing, depreciation and amortization, network delivery costs, royalties and salaries and benefits.

Casinos Adjusted EBITDA increased \$1.5 million, driven by improvements at Oxford, MVG and our Louisiana properties during the three months ended March 31, 2015. Oxford results benefitted from a modest increase in regional market share and reductions in variable operating expenses. MVG net revenues improved in part due to higher tier rated play. Finally, we believe our Louisiana properties improved as the impact of lower gas prices and new video poker machines particularly aided VSI results. Results at Calder Casino and our Mississippi properties remained consistent with amounts reported during the three months ended March 31, 2014. Calder Casino experienced heightened local competition and the loss of its poker operations, which ceased operations during 2014, and our Mississippi properties mitigated lower net revenues and visitations with operational expense savings. TwinSpires Adjusted EBITDA increased \$1.2 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015. Handle growth of 1.1% outpaced industry performance by 6.3 percentage points as customers continued to migrate to online wagering. TwinSpires experienced a reduction in Pennsylvania pari-mutuel taxes from a favorable tax ruling that occurred during the third quarter of 2014. In addition, Adjusted EBITDA benefitted by the discontinuation of Luckity, our online real-money bingo operations, which ceased operations during November 2014. Partially offsetting these improvements was the loss of equity earnings related to the sale of our remaining investment in HRTV during January 2015.

Racing Adjusted EBITDA increased \$1.1 million, primarily due to an improvement of \$1.4 million at Calder due to the cessation of pari-mutuel operations during 2014 and revenues received from the TSG agreement during 2015. Partially offsetting this increase was a decline in Adjusted EBITDA of \$0.4 million at Churchill Downs and Arlington Park as their simulcasting operations weakened, consistent with a 5.2% decline in industry handle during the three months ended March 31, 2015. Finally, Fair Grounds results remained consistent with the prior year as improvements in attendance and pari-mutuel revenues, despite one fewer live race day, were offset by higher marketing and maintenance expenses during the three month ended March 31, 2015.

Other Investments Adjusted EBITDA improved \$0.7 million due to the lower expenditures of \$0.3 million associated with the development of an Internet gaming platform and an improvement of \$0.3 million at United Tote due to higher equipment sales.

Corporate Adjusted EBITDA decreased by \$0.8 million due primarily to salary and benefits increases and additional deferred compensation expense driven by an increase in the Company's stock price during the three months ended March 31, 2015. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in corporate expenses for legislative issues. The following other items affected net loss from continuing operations during the three months ended March 31, 2015:

• Big Fish Games related non-cash charges of \$19.3 million consist of a fair value adjustment of \$6.4 million associated with the change in the fair value of the earnout and deferred founder liabilities during the period. In

addition, we recorded a deferred revenue adjustment of \$12.9 million reflecting the change in Big Fish Games deferred revenue during the three months ended March 31, 2015 resulting from business combination accounting rules when deferred revenue balances assumed as part of acquisitions are adjusted down to fair value.

Other charges and recoveries include a gain of \$5.8 million from the sale of our remaining ownership interest in HRTV and a gain of \$0.3 million from the reimbursement of a portion of our 2014 licensing expenditures related to our unsuccessful attempt to obtain a New York casino license during 2014.

Interest (expense) income, net, increased \$2.3 million primarily as a result of higher long-term debt balances outstanding due to the acquisition of Big Fish Games.

Share-based compensation expense decreased \$2.5 million compared to the same period of 2014, primarily due to expenses associated with grants made under the New Company LTIP during 2013, which were substantially recognized during 2014, and was partially offset by new awards granted in February 2015. Unrecognized compensation expense attributable to unvested service period awards under the New Company LTIP, which will be recognized in subsequent periods, was \$13.6 million as of March 31, 2015. The weighted average period over which we expect to recognize the remaining compensation expense under the service period awards approximates 20 months. There is no remaining unrecognized expense under the market condition awards.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$12.1 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015, driven by additional expenses of \$12.8 million associated with the Big Fish Games acquisition. Partially offsetting this increase was a lower depreciation expense of \$0.7 million at Calder from the cessation of pari-mutuel operations and the acceleration of depreciation on certain racing assets during 2014.

Insurance recoveries, net of losses, declined \$0.4 million as we recognized the final reimbursement of insurance proceeds from hailstorm damage at Churchill Downs during the three months ended March 31, 2014.

#### Consolidated Balance Sheet

The following table is a summary of our overall financial position as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 (in thousands):

			Cnange		
	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014	\$	%	
Total assets	\$2,302,370	\$2,362,504	\$(60,134	) (3	)%
Total liabilities	\$1,603,627	\$1,662,503	\$(58,876	) (4	)%
Total shareholders' equity	\$698,743	\$700,001	\$(1,258	) —	%

Significant items affecting the comparability of our condensed consolidated balance sheets include:

Significant changes within total assets include a reduction of \$17.0 million in Big Fish Games cash domiciled in Luxembourg which was repatriated to the United States to be used for long-term debt principal repayments. In addition, net accounts receivable declined \$15.5 million primarily reflecting collections related to the 2015 Kentucky Oaks and Kentucky Derby week. Furthermore, income taxes receivable decreased \$14.9 million due to the receipt of \$20.3 million in federal income tax refunds, which was partially offset by the recognition of the first quarter of 2015 tax benefit. Other intangible assets decreased \$14.2 million primarily due to amortization expense of \$11.4 million associated with Big Fish Games intangible assets. Finally, restricted cash declined \$5.3 million primarily related to horsemens' funds held at the conclusion of Fair Grounds winter racing meet.

Partially offsetting these decreases was an increase of \$4.1 million in Big Fish Games game technology and rights expenditures associated with payments made to third-party developers. Finally, other current assets increased \$7.0 million attributable to prepayments for our annual insurance premiums and Kentucky Derby and Kentucky Oaks related amounts.

Significant changes within total liabilities include a reduction of \$70.6 million in our total debt outstanding due to principal payments funded with cash from operations. In addition, dividends payable decreased \$17.4 million reflecting the payment of our annual dividend declared in 2014. Furthermore, we funded \$12.7 million in deferred payments to Big Fish Games former equity holders during the three months ended March 31, 2015.

Partially offsetting these decreases were increases in deferred revenue of \$36.8 million due to advance billings for the 2015 Kentucky Derby and Kentucky Oaks and an increase in bookings at Big Fish Games. Finally, the Big Fish Games earnout and deferred founder's payment increased \$6.4 million as a result of a fair value measurement adjustment at March 31, 2015.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The following table is a summary of our liquidity and cash flows (in thousands):

	Three Month	ns Ended March	31, Change		
Cash flows from:	2015	2014	\$	%	
Operating activities	\$89,674	\$51,931	\$37,743	73	%
Investing activities	\$(5,084	) \$(26,856	) \$21,772	81	%
Financing activities	\$(101,105	) \$(22,619	) \$(78,486	) U	

Significant items affecting the comparability of our liquidity and capital resources between the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 include:

Cash provided by operating activities increased \$37.8 million primarily due to increases in deferred revenue, the receipt of a \$3.5 million dividend paid by our joint venture, MVG, and the receipt of \$20.3 million in federal income taxes refunds. Big Fish Games deferred revenue increased \$12.9 million during the three months ending March 31, 2015 reflecting a strong increase in bookings associated with its free-to-play and casino games. In addition, cash flows from deferred revenue associated primarily with the 2015 Kentucky Derby and Kentucky Oaks increased \$9.9 million due to strong event sales and the timing of cash receipts. Partially offsetting these amounts was a \$4.8 million increase in game technology and rights payments by Big Fish Games to third party developers. We anticipate that cash flows from operations over the next twelve months will be adequate to fund our business operations and capital expenditures.

The decrease in cash used in investing activities is primarily due to lower capital expenditures at Churchill Downs for projects associated with the 2015 Kentucky Derby and Kentucky Oaks and lower funding requirements of \$6.2

million for our MVG joint venture. In addition, we received proceeds of \$6.0 million from the sale of our remaining investment

in HRTV. Partially offsetting these amounts were deferred payments of \$0.9 million to Big Fish Games former equity holders for working capital adjustments related to the acquisition.

The increase in cash used in financing activities is primarily due to an increase in net repayments under our Senior Secured Credit Facility of \$67.2 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the prior year and payments of \$11.8 million to Big Fish Games equity holders for the receipt of income tax refunds related to the acquisition.

Free cash flow, which we reconcile to "Net cash provided by operating activities," is cash flows from operations reduced by maintenance-related (replacement) capital expenditures. Maintenance-related capital expenditures are expenditures to replace existing fixed assets with a useful life greater than one year that are obsolete, worn-out, or no longer cost effective to repair. We use free cash flow to evaluate our business because, although it is similar to cash flows from operations, we believe it will typically present a more conservative measure of cash flows, as maintenance-related capital expenditures are a necessary component of our ongoing operations. Free cash flow is a non-GAAP measure and our definition may differ from other companies' definitions of this measure.

Free cash flow does not represent the residual cash flow available for discretionary expenditures and does not incorporate the funding of business acquisitions. This non-GAAP measure should not be considered a substitute for, or superior to, cash flows from operating activities under GAAP.

The following is a summary of additions to property and equipment and a reconciliation of free cash flow to the most comparable GAAP measure, "Net cash provided by operating activities," for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively (in thousands):

Th. ... M. ... 41. . E. . 4. 4 M. ... 1. 21

Three Mont	ns Ended March 31,	Change		
2015	2014	\$	%	
\$8,009	\$6,423	\$1,586	25	%
1,775	13,746	(11,971	) (87	)%
\$9,784	\$20,169	\$(10,385	) (51	)%
\$89,674	\$51,931	\$37,743	73	%
(8,009	) (6,423	) (1,586	) 25	%
\$81,665	\$45,508	\$36,157	79	%
	2015 \$8,009 1,775 \$9,784 \$89,674 (8,009	\$8,009 \$6,423 1,775 13,746 \$9,784 \$20,169 \$89,674 \$51,931 (8,009 ) (6,423	2015       2014       \$         \$8,009       \$6,423       \$1,586         1,775       13,746       (11,971         \$9,784       \$20,169       \$(10,385)         \$89,674       \$51,931       \$37,743         (8,009       ) (6,423       ) (1,586)	2015       2014       \$ %         \$8,009       \$6,423       \$1,586       25         1,775       13,746       (11,971       ) (87         \$9,784       \$20,169       \$(10,385       ) (51         \$89,674       \$51,931       \$37,743       73         (8,009       ) (6,423       ) (1,586       ) 25

During the three months ended March 31, 2015, the decrease in capital project expenditures, as compared to the same period of 2014, primarily reflects capital expenditures related to the Rooftop Garden, Grandstand Terrace, and video board projects at Churchill Downs and the Oxford gaming floor expansion that was completed in 2014.

Credit Facilities and Indebtedness

Senior Secured Credit Facility

On December 1, 2014, the Company executed the Fourth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the "Senior Secured Credit Facility") whereby it added a \$200 million Term Loan Facility ("Term Loan") to the existing Senior Secured Credit Facility and amended certain definitions and provisions of the credit agreement including Consolidated Funded Indebtedness, EBITDA and calculation of the Total Leverage Ratio. The Senior Secured Credit Facility matures on May 17, 2018. The Term Loan matures on December 1, 2019, provided however, in the event the Senior Secured Credit Facility has not, prior to May 17, 2018, been extended to a maturity date of December 1, 2019, the Term Loan matures on May 17, 2018.

During the three months ended March 31, 2015, we commenced required quarterly principal payments which will recur through September 30, 2019. The initial quarterly payment made was \$2.5 million and will increase in increments of \$1.25 million on December 31 of each year to reach the final year quarterly payment amount of \$7.5 million

Generally, borrowings made pursuant to the Senior Secured Credit Facility and the Term Loan bear interest at a LIBOR-based rate per annum plus an applicable margin percentage ranging from 1.125% to 3.0% depending on the Company's total leverage ratio. In addition, under the Senior Secured Credit Facility, the Company agreed to pay a commitment fee at rates that range from 0.175% to 0.45% of the available aggregate commitment, depending on the Company's leverage ratio. The Term Loan is not subject to, or included in the calculation of, the commitment fee.

The Senior Secured Credit Facility contains customary affirmative and negative covenants for credit facilities of this type, including limitations on the Company and its subsidiaries with respect to indebtedness, restricted payments, liens, investments, mergers and acquisitions, disposition of assets, sale-leaseback transactions and transactions with affiliates. The covenants permit the Company to use proceeds of the credit extended under the agreement for general corporate purposes, restricted payments and acquisition

needs. The Senior Secured Credit Facility also contains financial covenants that require the Company (i) to maintain an interest coverage ratio (i.e., consolidated adjusted EBITDA to consolidated interest expense) that is greater than 3.0 to 1.0; (ii) not to permit the total leverage ratio (i.e., total consolidated funded indebtedness to consolidated adjusted EBITDA) to be greater than 4.5 to 1.0, provided that if a certain minimum consolidated adjusted EBITDA is reached then the total leverage ratio will be increased to 5.0 to 1.0 for such periods that the minimum is maintained; and (iii) not to permit the senior secured leverage ratio (i.e. senior secured consolidated funded indebtedness to consolidated adjusted EBITDA) to be greater than 3.5 to 1.0. As of March 31, 2015, the Company was in compliance with all covenants under the Senior Secured Credit Facility, and substantially all of the Company's assets continue to be pledged as collateral under the Senior Secured Credit Facility. At March 31, 2015, the financial ratios under our Senior Secured Credit Facility were as follows:

	Actual	Requirement
Interest Coverage Ratio	10.6 to 1	> 3.0 to 1.0
Total Leverage Ratio	3.2 to 1	< 5.0 to 1.0
Senior Secured Leverage Ratio	2.0 to 1	< 3.5 to 1.0

## ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our primary exposure to market risk relates to changes in interest rates. At March 31, 2015, we had \$399.8 million outstanding under our Senior Secured Credit Facility, which bears interest at LIBOR based variable rates. We are exposed to market risk on variable rate debt due to potential adverse changes in these rates. Assuming the outstanding balance of the debt facility remains constant, a one-percentage point increase in the LIBOR rate would reduce net

### Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

Interest Rate and Credit Risk

We operate internationally and are exposed to foreign currency exchange risk. While the substantial majority of our revenue has been and is expected to continue to be denominated in U.S. dollars, our results of operations and cash flows are subject to fluctuations due to changes in foreign currency exchange rates, particularly changes in the Euro. Due to the relative size of our international operations to date, our foreign currency exposure is not material and thus we have not instituted a hedging program. As our global operations continue to grow, we will monitor the foreign currency exposure to determine if and when we should begin a hedging program.

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

#### (a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

earnings and cash flows from operating activities by \$2.2 million.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's Disclosure Committee and management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(b). Based upon this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2015. (b) Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of the Company has evaluated, with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act) during the quarter ended March 31, 2015. As previously disclosed, on December 16, 2014, the Company acquired Big Fish Games. Effective January 1, 2015, the Company initiated the process, through testing, to ensure that Big Fish Games continued to comply with the Company's internal control concepts, use and application. During the quarter ended March 31, 2015, there have not been any changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act) that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

#### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company records an accrual for legal contingencies to the extent that it concludes that it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Except as disclosed below, no estimate of the possible loss or range of loss in excess of amounts accrued, if any, can be made at this time regarding

the matters specifically described below. We do not believe that the final outcome of these matters will have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

#### **BIG FISH CASINO**

On April 17, 2015, Cheryl Kater, by and through counsel, filed a Complaint - Class Action styled Cheryl Kater v. Churchill Downs Incorporated. Plaintiff, Cheryl Kater, filed the purported class action lawsuit in the United States District Court, for the Western District of Washington, in Seattle, alleging, among other claims, that the Company's "Big Fish Casino" violates Washington law, including the Washington Consumer Protection Act, by facilitating unlawful gambling through its virtual casino games (namely the Company's slots, blackjack, poker, and roulette games offered through Big Fish Casino). This litigation was just filed, and Plaintiff, through counsel, did not specify or claim a specific amount of damages in the Complaint. Therefore, the Company is unable to reasonably estimate the magnitude of any settlement or potential damages. As a result, the Company does not, at this time, know the extent to which, if at all, this litigation will have a material impact on its business or financial results.

#### LOUISIANA HORSEMENS' PURSES

On April 21, 2014, John L. Soileau and other individuals filed a Petition for Declaratory Judgment, Permanent Injunction, and Damages - Class Action styled John L. Soileau, et. al. versus Churchill Downs Louisiana Horseracing, LLC, Churchill Downs Louisiana Video Poker Company, LLC (Suit No. 14-3873) in the Parish of Orleans, State of Louisiana. The petition defines the "alleged plaintiff class" as quarter-horse owners, trainers and jockeys that have won purses at the "Fair Grounds Race Course & Slots" facility in New Orleans, Louisiana since the first effective date of La. R.S. 27:438 and specifically since 2008. The petition alleges that Churchill Downs Louisiana Horseracing, L.L.C. and Churchill Downs Louisiana Video Poker Company, L.L.C. ("Fair Grounds") have collected certain monies through video draw poker devices that constitute monies earned for purse supplements and all of those supplemental purse monies have been paid to thoroughbred horsemen during Fair Grounds' live thoroughbred horse meets while La. R.S. 27:438 requires a portion of those supplemental purse monies to be paid to quarter-horse horsemen during Fair Grounds' live quarter-horse meets. The petition requests that the Court declare that Fair Grounds violated La. R.S. 27:438, issue a permanent and mandatory injunction ordering Fair Grounds to pay all future supplements due to the plaintiff class pursuant to La. R.S. 27:438, and to pay the plaintiff class such sums as it finds to reasonably represent the value of the sums due to the plaintiff class. On August 14, 2014, the plaintiffs filed an amendment to their petition naming the Horsemen's Benevolent and Protective Association 1993, Inc. ("HBPA") as an additional defendant and alleging that HBPA is also liable to plaintiffs for the disputed purse funds. On October 9, 2014, HBPA and Fair Grounds filed exceptions to the suit, including an exception of primary jurisdiction seeking a referral to the Louisiana Racing Commission. By Judgment dated November 21, 2014, the District Court granted the exception of primary jurisdiction and referred the matter to the Louisiana Racing Commission. On January 26, 2015, the Louisiana Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals denied the plaintiffs' request for supervisory review of the Judgment. This matter is currently awaiting review by the Louisiana Racing Commission.

#### ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

In October 2012, the Company filed a verified complaint for preliminary and permanent injunctive relief and for declaratory judgment (the "Complaint") against the Illinois Department of Revenue (the "Department"). The Company's complaint was filed in response to Notices of Deficiency issued by the Department on March 18, 2010, and September 6, 2012. In response to said Notices of Deficiency, the Company, on October 4, 2012, issued a payment in protest in the amount of \$2.9 million (the "Protest Payment") under the State Officers and Employees Money Disposition Act and recorded this amount in other assets. The Company subsequently filed its complaint in November 2012 alleging that the Department erroneously included handle, instead of the Company's commissions from handle, in the computation of the Company's sales factor (a computation of the Company's gross receipts from wagering within the State of Illinois) for determining the applicable tax owed. On October 30, 2012, the Company's Motion for Preliminary Injunctive Relief was granted, which prevents the Department from depositing any monies from the Protest Payment into the State of Illinois General Fund and from taking any further action against the Company until the Circuit Court takes final action on the Company's Complaint. If successful with its Complaint, the Company will be entitled to a full or partial refund of the Protest Payment from the Department. On December 3, 2014, the Company filed its Motion for Summary Judgment on all material aspects of its case. Also on December 3, 2014, the Department, by and through its counsel, the Illinois Attorney General, filed its Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment. This matter remains pending before the Tax and Miscellaneous Remedies Section of the Circuit Court of Cook County. Oral arguments on the

parties' Motions for Summary Judgment occurred on March 5, 2015. The Company is currently awaiting a ruling by the Tax and Miscellaneous Remedies Section of the Circuit Court of Cook County. KENTUCKY DOWNS

On September 5, 2012, Kentucky Downs Management, Inc. ("KDMI") filed a petition for declaration of rights in Kentucky Circuit Court located in Simpson County, Kentucky styled Kentucky Downs Management Inc. v. Churchill Downs Incorporated (Civil Action No. 12-CI-330) (the "Simpson County Case") requesting a declaration that the Company does not have the right to exercise its put right and require Kentucky Downs, LLC ("Kentucky Downs") and/or Kentucky Downs Partners, LLC ("KDP") to purchase the Company's ownership interest in Kentucky Downs. On September 18, 2012, the Company filed a complaint in Kentucky Circuit Court located in Jefferson County, Kentucky, styled Churchill Downs Incorporated v. Kentucky Downs, LLC; Kentucky Downs Partners, LLC; and Kentucky Downs Management Inc. (Civil Action No. 12-CI-04989) (the "Jefferson County Case") claiming that Kentucky Downs and KDP had breached the operating agreement for Kentucky Downs and requesting a declaration

that the Company had validly exercised its put right and a judgment compelling Kentucky Downs and/or KDP to purchase the Company's ownership interest in Kentucky Downs pursuant to the terms of the applicable operating agreement. On October 9, 2012, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the Simpson County Case and Kentucky Downs, KDP and KDMI filed a motion to dismiss the Jefferson County Case. A hearing for the motion to dismiss in the Simpson County Case occurred November 30, 2012. At that hearing the Company's motion to dismiss the Simpson County Case was denied. Subsequently, Kentucky Downs, KDMI and KDP's motion to dismiss the Jefferson County Case was granted on January 23, 2013, due to the Simpson County Circuit Court's assertion of jurisdiction over the dispute. On May 16, 2013, Kentucky Downs, KDP and KDMI filed a Motion for Summary Judgment against the Company and Turfway Park, LLC. On September 19, 2013, the Company filed its response to the Motion for Summary Judgment. A hearing occurred before the Simpson County Circuit Court on September 23, 2013, on the Kentucky Downs, KDP and KDMI Motion for Summary Judgment. All parties appeared before the Simpson County Court and oral arguments were heard. On October 31, 2013, the Simpson County Court entered an Order Denying Petitioners' (Kentucky Downs Management Inc. et al.) Motion for Summary Judgment. The case will now move forward through discovery and to trial. No trial date has been set.

#### TEXAS PARI-MUTUEL WAGERING

On September 21, 2012, the Company filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas styled Churchill Downs Incorporated; Churchill Downs Technology Initiatives Company d/b/a TwinSpires.com v. Chuck Trout, in his official capacity as Executive Director of the Texas Racing Commission; Gary P. Aber, Susan Combs, Ronald F. Ederer, Gloria Hicks, Michael F. Martin, Allan Polunsky, Robert Schmidt, John T. Steen III, Vicki Smith Weinberg, in their official capacity as members of the Texas Racing Commission (Case No. 1:12-cv-00880-LY) challenging the constitutionality of a Texas law requiring residents of Texas that desire to wager on horseraces to wager in person at a Texas race track. In addition to its complaint, on September 21, 2012, the Company filed a motion for preliminary injunction seeking to enjoin the state from taking any action to enforce the law in question. In response, on October 9, 2012, counsel for the state assured both the Company and the court that the state would not enforce the law in question against the Company without prior notice, at which time the court could then consider the motion for preliminary injunction. On April 15, 2013, both parties filed their opening briefs, and a trial was held on May 2, 2013. On September 23, 2013, the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas ruled against the Company and upheld the Texas law at issue. Subsequently, on September 25, 2013, the Company ceased taking wagers from Texas residents via TwinSpires.com and returned deposited funds to Texas residents. The Company filed a motion for an expedited hearing in the United States Court of Appeals, which was granted on October 17, 2013. The Texas Racing Commission, et. al., filed an appellate brief on December 13, 2013. The Company filed its brief in reply on December 30, 2013. Oral arguments were heard before the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit on February 4, 2014. On September 25, 2014, the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit issued an unpublished opinion affirming the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas and its ruling in favor of the Texas Racing Commission.

There are no other material pending legal proceedings.

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Information regarding risk factors appears in Part I – Item 1A, "Risk Factors" of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014. There have been no material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K.

In addition to risks and uncertainties in the ordinary course of business that are common to all businesses, important factors that are specific to our industry and Company could materially impact our future performance and results. The factors described in Part I – Item 1A, "Risk Factors" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K are the most significant risks that could materially impact our business, financial condition and results of operations. Additional risks and uncertainties that are not presently known to us, that we currently deem immaterial or that are similar to those faced by other companies in our industry or business in general may also impair our business and operations. Should any risks or uncertainties develop into actual events, these developments could have a material, adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Purchases of Company Common Stock

The following table provides information with respect to shares of common stock repurchased by the Company during the quarter ended March 31, 2015:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased (1)	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Average Price Per Share Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
1/1/15-1/31/15			_	<b>\$</b> —	\$38,438,810
2/1/15-2/28/15	_		_	_	_
3/1/15-3/31/15	52,113	113.78	_	_	_
Total	52.113	\$113.78	_	\$—	\$38.438.810 (2)

Shares of common stock were repurchased from grants of restricted stock in payment of income taxes to satisfy income tax withholding obligations on the related compensation.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not applicable.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The exhibits listed on the Exhibit Index following the signature page are filed as part of this Quarterly Report.

<sup>(2)</sup> Maximum dollar amount of shares of common stock that may yet be repurchased under the Company's stock repurchase program.

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

### CHURCHILL DOWNS INCORPORATED

April 27, 2015 /s/ William C. Carstanjen William C. Carstanien

William C. Carstanjen Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

April 27, 2015 /s/ William E. Mudd

William E. Mudd President and

Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX	
	v

Number	Description	By Reference To
31(a)	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Exhibit 31(a) to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2015
31(b)	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Exhibit 31(b) to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2015
32	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished pursuant to Rule 13a – 14(b))	Exhibit 32 to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2015
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document	
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document	
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document	
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document	
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document	
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document	