EATON VANCE MICHIGAN MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST Form N-CSR January 26, 2015

### **UNITED STATES**

### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

### Form N-CSR

### CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED

### MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

**Investment Company Act File Number: 811-09153** 

**Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust** 

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Maureen A. Gemma

## Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Name and Address of Agent for Services)

(617) 482-8260

(Registrant s Telephone Number)

November 30

**Date of Fiscal Year End** 

**November 30, 2014** 

**Date of Reporting Period** 

# **Item 1. Reports to Stockholders**

# **Municipal Income Trusts**

# Annual Report

November 30, 2014

California (CEV) Massachusetts (MMV) Michigan (EMI) New Jersey (EVJ)

New York (EVY) Ohio (EVO) Pennsylvania (EVP)

Commodity Futures Trading Commission Registration. Effective December 31, 2012, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) adopted certain regulatory changes that subject registered investment companies and advisers to regulation by the CFTC if a fund invests more than a prescribed level of its assets in certain CFTC-regulated instruments (including futures, certain options and swap agreements) or markets itself as providing investment exposure to such instruments. Each Fund has claimed an exclusion from the definition of the term—commodity pool operator—under the Commodity Exchange Act. Accordingly, neither the Funds nor the adviser with respect to the operation of the Funds is subject to CFTC regulation. Because of its management of other strategies, each Fund—s adviser is registered with the CFTC as a commodity pool operator and a commodity trading advisor.

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

## Annual Report November 30, 2014

# Eaton Vance

# **Municipal Income Trusts**

### **Table of Contents**

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance	2
Performance and Fund Profile	
California Municipal Income Trust	4
Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust	5
Michigan Municipal Income Trust	6
New Jersey Municipal Income Trust	7
New York Municipal Income Trust	8
Ohio Municipal Income Trust	9
Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust	10
Endnotes and Additional Disclosures	11
Financial Statements	12
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	67
Federal Tax Information	68
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	69
Management and Organization	71
Important Notices	74

## **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2014

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance

#### **Economic and Market Conditions**

As the fiscal year began on December 1, 2013, the municipal market was at the tail end of a selloff that had started the previous May, after then-U.S. Federal Reserve (the Fed) Chairman Ben Bernanke surprised the markets by indicating that the Fed s \$85 billion in monthly asset purchases could be tapered sooner than most investors had expected. Although selling of municipals abated somewhat in September of last year, the municipal market continued to experience outflows through December 2013.

But as 2014 began, municipals turned a corner. From January 1 through November 30, 2014, municipals rallied back from 2013 lows, as investors moved money back into the municipal market. Contrary to what many investors had expected, Treasury rates declined with municipal rates following. As the U.S. economy continued to experience moderate but below trend growth and low inflation, fixed-income investors became increasingly concerned about the much weaker growth rates of European and other international economies. As a result, global interest rates fell, creating strong demand for U.S Treasurys and putting downward pressure on U.S. interest rates, despite the end of the Fed s asset purchase program in October 2014.

As investors searched for yield in a low-interest-rate environment, longer dated and lower credit quality bonds were the best performers. During the last two months of the period, municipal bonds, which had outperformed Treasurys since the beginning of 2014, underperformed Treasurys but still delivered positive returns, as measured by the Barclays Municipal Bond Index<sup>2</sup>, a measure of the overall U.S. municipal bond market. For the one-year period as a whole, the municipal yield curve flattened, as long-term municipal rates declined while short-term rates were essentially flat.

#### Fund Performance

For the fiscal year ended November 30, 2014, all of the Funds shares at net asset value (NAV) outperformed the 13.49% return of the Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index (the Index).

The Funds overall strategy is to invest primarily in bonds with maturities of 10 years or more in order to capture their typically higher yields and a greater income stream compared with shorter-maturity issues. Management hedges to various

degrees against the greater potential risk of volatility at the long end of the yield curve by using Treasury futures in seeking to provide downside protection.

In managing the Funds, management employs leverage through Residual Interest Bond (RIB) financing and Auction Preferred Shares (APS)<sup>6</sup> to seek to enhance the Funds tax-exempt income. The use of leverage has the effect of achieving additional exposure to the municipal market, and thus magnifying a Fund s exposure to its underlying investments in both up and down market environments. During this period of falling rates and strong performance by municipal bonds, the use of leverage was one of the largest contributors to performance versus the Index which does not employ leverage for all seven Funds.

By using Treasury futures, management hedges to various degrees against the greater potential risk of volatility caused by the use of leverage and investing in bonds at the long end of the yield curve. As a risk management tactic within the Funds—overall strategy, interest rate hedging is intended to moderate performance on both the upside and the downside of the market. During this period of strong performance by municipal bonds, the Funds—Treasury futures hedge reduced some of the upside return and thus detracted from performance relative to the unhedged Index for all Funds. However, the Michigan and Ohio Funds employed only minimal hedging during the period, and thus the negative effect of

hedging on their performance was relatively minor.

#### State-specific Results

Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust shares at NAV returned 19.06%, outperforming the 13.49% return of the Index. As noted earlier, leverage was a significant contributor to Fund performance versus the Index. An overweight in local general obligation (GO) bonds those issued by cities and towns—and security selection in the transportation sector helped performance relative to the Index as well. Detractors from results versus the Index included the Fund—s hedging strategy, security selection and an underweight in the hospitals and health care sector, and an underweight and security selection in industrial development revenue (IDR) bonds.

Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust shares at NAV returned 16.30%, outperforming the 13.49% return of the Index. Contributors to performance versus the Index included leverage, an overweight and security selection

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

## **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2014

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance continued

in the education sector, and security selection in bonds with 20-30 years remaining to maturity. The Fund s hedging strategy detracted from results relative to the Index, as did security selection in zero coupon bonds and an underweight and security selection in the transportation sector.

Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust shares at NAV returned 20.18%, outperforming the 13.49% return of the Index. Leverage, security selection in water and sewer bonds, and security selection in electric power bonds all helped performance relative to the Index during the period. In contrast, security selection in the hospitals and health care sector, security selection in zero coupon bonds, and an underweight and security selection in the transportation sector detracted from results versus the Index.

Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust shares at NAV returned 15.20%, outperforming the 13.49% return of the Index. The Fund s relative outperformance versus the Index was driven by leverage, an overweight in zero coupon bonds, and an overweight in IDR bonds. Primary detractors from the Fund s performance relative to the Index included the Fund s hedging strategy, security selection in the hospitals and health care sector, and an underweight and security selection in the transportation sector.

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust shares at NAV returned 17.25%, outperforming the 13.49% return of the Index. Leverage aided performance relative to the Index, as did an overweight and security selection in IDR bonds and an overweight in zero coupon bonds. Detractors from performance versus the Index included the Fund s hedging strategy, an underweight in bonds with 30 or more years remaining to maturity, and an underweight and security selection in the transportation sector.

Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust shares at NAV returned 18.49%, outperforming the 13.49% return of the Index. Contributors to results versus the Index included leverage, an overweight and security selection in the education sector, and an overweight in zero coupon bonds. Performance versus the Index was hurt by security selection in AAA-rated<sup>7</sup> bonds and GO bonds, as well as an underweight and security selection in the transportation sector.

Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust shares at NAV returned 16.07%, outperforming the 13.49% return

of the Index. Leverage, security selection in Puerto Rico bonds, and an overweight and security selection in non-rated bonds all contributed to the Fund s performance versus the Index. Detractors from performance relative to the Index included the Fund s hedging strategy, security selection in AAA-rated bonds, and an underweight in BBB-rated bonds.

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as

of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

# California Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2014

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	<b>Inception Date</b>	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	01/29/1999	19.06%	9.69%	5.62%
Fund at Market Price		21.86	7.69	4.45
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		13.49%	7.05%	5.50%
% Premium/Discount to NAV <sup>4</sup>				
				10.01%

\$ 0.757
5.23%
10.66%
5.81%
11.84%
\$

% Total Leverage <sup>6</sup>	
Auction Preferred Shares (APS)	30.03%
Residual Interest Bond (RIB) Financing	8.60
Fund Profile	

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>7,8</sup>

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

# Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2014

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Tei	ı Years
Fund at NAV	01/29/1999	16.30%	8.39%		5.82%
Fund at Market Price		17.27	6.15		3.35
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		13.49%	7.05%		5.50%
% Premium/Discount to NAV <sup>4</sup>					
					12.09%
Distributions <sup>5</sup>					
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$	0.703
Distribution Rate at NAV					4.53%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV					8.44%
Distribution Rate at Market Price					5.15%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price					9.60%
% Total Leverage <sup>6</sup>					
APS					30.86%
RIB Financing					5.21
Fund Profile					

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>7,8</sup>

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

# Michigan Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2014

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Thomas M. Metzold, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	<b>Inception Date</b>	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	01/29/1999	20.18%	9.13%	5.96%
Fund at Market Price		20.91	8.29	3.19
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		13.49%	7.05%	5.50%
% Premium/Discount to NAV <sup>4</sup>				
				14.28%

Distributions <sup>5</sup>	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.709
Distribution Rate at NAV	4.84%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	8.93%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	5.65%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	10.43%

% Total Leverage <sup>6</sup>	
APS	36.46%

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>7</sup>

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

# New Jersey Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2014

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Adam Weigold, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Ye	ars
Fund at NAV	01/29/1999	15.20%	7.31%	5.	.62%
Fund at Market Price		14.17	3.77	3.	.71
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		13.49%	7.05%	5.	.50%
% Premium/Discount to NAV <sup>4</sup>					
				1	2.52%
Distributions <sup>5</sup>					
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$ 0.7	743
Distribution Rate at NAV				5.	.18%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				10.	.05%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				5.	.92%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				11.	.49%
% Total Leverage <sup>6</sup>					
APS				32.	55%
RIB Financing				3.	.54
Fund Profile					

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>7,8</sup>

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

# New York Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2014

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ter	ı Years
Fund at NAV	01/29/1999	17.25%	9.43%		5.80%
Fund at Market Price		20.92	7.65		5.24
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		13.49%	7.05%		5.50%
% Premium/Discount to NAV <sup>4</sup>					
					5.89%
Distributions <sup>5</sup>					
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$	0.862
Distribution Rate at NAV					5.62%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV					10.89%
Distribution Rate at Market Price					5.97%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price					11.57%
% Total Leverage <sup>6</sup>					
APS					25.38%
RIB Financing					14.53
Fund Profile					

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>7,8</sup>

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

# Ohio Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2014

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Thomas M. Metzold, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Year	rs
Fund at NAV	01/29/1999	18.49%	8.58%	5.9	7%
Fund at Market Price		21.55	6.44	3.73	3
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		13.49%	7.05%	5.50	0%
% Premium/Discount to NAV <sup>4</sup>					
				10.	.10%
Distributions <sup>5</sup>					
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$ 0.73	1
Distribution Rate at NAV				4.83	3%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				9.0	1%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				5.3	7%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				10.02	2%
% Total Leverage <sup>6</sup>					
APS				33.68	8%
RIB Financing				2.10	6
Fund Profile					

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>7</sup>

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

# Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2014

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Adam Weigold, CFA

% Average Appuel Total Deturns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Tor	Years
% Average Annual Total Returns	•			1 61	
Fund at NAV	01/29/1999	16.07%	7.35%		5.47%
Fund at Market Price		17.26	4.84		3.52
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		13.49%	7.05%		5.50%
% Premium/Discount to NAV <sup>4</sup>					
70 1 10 man					13.37%
Distributions <sup>5</sup>					
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$	0.764
Distribution Rate at NAV					5.23%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV					9.53%
Distribution Rate at Market Price					6.03%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price					10.99%
% Total Leverage <sup>6</sup>					
APS					35.61%
RIB Financing					1.26
Fund Profile					

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>7,8</sup>

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

## **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2014

**Endnotes and Additional Disclosures** 

- The views expressed in this report are those of the portfolio manager(s) and are current only through the date stated at the top of this page. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and Eaton Vance and the Fund(s) disclaim any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice and, because investment decisions are based on many factors, may not be relied upon as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund. This commentary may contain statements that are not historical facts, referred to as forward looking statements. The Fund s actual future results may differ significantly from those stated in any forward looking statement, depending on factors such as changes in securities or financial markets or general economic conditions, the volume of sales and purchases of Fund shares, the continuation of investment advisory, administrative and service contracts, and other risks discussed from time to time in the Fund s filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- <sup>2</sup> Barclays Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. with maturities of 22 years or more. Unless otherwise stated, index returns do not reflect the effect of any applicable sales charges, commissions, expenses, taxes or leverage, as applicable. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.
- <sup>3</sup> Performance results reflect the effects of leverage. Performance since inception for an index, if presented, is the performance since the Fund s or oldest share class inception, as applicable.
- <sup>4</sup> The shares of the Fund often trade at a discount or premium from their net asset value. The discount or premium of the Fund may vary over time and may be higher or lower than what is quoted in this report. For up-to-date premium/discount information, please refer to http://eatonvance.com/closedend.
- <sup>5</sup> The Distribution Rate is based on the Fund s last regular distribution per share in the period (annualized) divided by the Fund s NAV or market price at the end of the period. The Fund s distributions may be comprised of amounts characterized for federal income tax purposes as tax-exempt income, qualified and non-qualified ordinary dividends, capital gains and nondividend distributions, also known as return of capital. The Fund will determine the federal income tax character of distributions paid to a shareholder after the end of the calendar year. This is reported on the IRS form 1099-DIV and provided to the shareholder shortly after each year-end. For information about the tax character of distributions made in prior calendar years, please refer to Performance-Tax Character of Distributions on the Fund s webpage available at www.eatonvance.com. The Fund s distributions are determined by the investment adviser based on its current assessment of the Fund s long-term return potential. As portfolio and market conditions change, the rate of distributions paid by the Fund could change. Taxable-equivalent performance is based on the highest combined federal and state income tax rates, where applicable. Lower tax rates would result in lower tax-equivalent performance. Actual tax rates will vary depending on your income, exemptions and deductions. Rates do not include local taxes.
- <sup>6</sup> Fund employs RIB financing and/or APS leverage. The leverage created by RIB investments and APS provides an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater price volatility). The cost of leverage rises and falls with changes in short-term interest rates. See Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held in the notes to the financial statements for more information about RIB financing. RIB leverage represents the amount of Floating Rate Notes outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets applicable to common shares plus APS and Floating Rate Notes. APS leverage represents the liquidation value of the Fund s APS outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets applicable to common shares plus APS and Floating Rate Notes. The Fund may be required to maintain prescribed asset coverage for its leverage and may be required to reduce its leverage at an inopportune time. Floating Rate Notes in both calculations reflect the effect of RIBs purchased in secondary market transactions, if applicable.
- <sup>7</sup> Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. If securities are rated differently by the ratings agencies, the higher rating is applied. Ratings, which are subject to change, apply to the creditworthiness of the issuers of the underlying securities and not to the Fund or its shares. Credit ratings measure the quality of a bond based on the issuer s creditworthiness, with ratings ranging from AAA, being the highest, to D, being the lowest

based on S&P s measures. Ratings of BBB or higher by S&P or Fitch (Baa or higher by Moody s) are considered to be investment-grade quality. Credit ratings are based largely on the ratings agency s analysis at the time of rating. The rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a reflection of the issuer s current financial condition and does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in the security. Holdings designated as Not Rated are not rated by the national ratings agencies stated above.

8 The chart includes the municipal bonds held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds, consistent with the Portfolio of Investments. Fund profile subject to change due to active management.

# California Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 160.4%

Security		Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Education 17.9% California Educational Facilities Authority, (Claremont McKenna College), 5.00%, 1/1/39 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/31 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/36 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/30 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Lutheran University), 5.00%, 10/1/29 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), 5.00%, 9/1/23 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of San Francisco), 6.125%, 10/1/36 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Southern California), 5.25%, 10/1/39 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of the Pacific), 5.00%, 11/1/30 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.00%, 10/1/35 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/26 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/27 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/28 University of California, 5.25%, 5/15/39	\$	3,135 195 330 745 2,440 1,600 235 2,490 630 415 285 810 850 895 1,250	\$ 3,479,850 225,143 376,504 838,281 2,443,660 1,906,448 286,357 2,801,001 705,109 468,357 317,749 951,620 993,947 1,041,682 1,419,837
			\$ 18,255,545
Electric Utilities 14.2% Chula Vista, (San Diego Gas and Electric), 5.875%, 2/15/34 Chula Vista, (San Diego Gas and Electric), (AMT), 5.00%, 12/1/27 Colton Public Financing Authority, Electric System Revenue, 5.00%, 4/1/27 Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Electric System Revenue, 5.25%, 7/1/32 Northern California Power Agency, 5.25%, 8/1/24 Sacramento Municipal Utility District, 5.00%, 8/15/27 Sacramento Municipal Utility District, 5.00%, 8/15/28 Southern California Public Power Authority, (Tieton Hydropower), 5.00%, 7/1/35  Security	\$ (000	270 2,275 1,500 2,170 1,500 1,335 1,795 680 Principal Amount s omitted)	\$ 320,598 2,424,786 1,722,405 2,444,982 1,707,345 1,548,333 2,073,261 772,759 Value
Electric Utilities (continued) Vernon Electric System Revenue, 5.125%, 8/1/21	\$	1,300	\$ 1,460,732

27

\$ 14,475,201

Escrowed / Prerefunded 3.6%  California Department of Water Resources, Prerefunded to 6/1/18, 5.00%, 12/1/29  California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), Prerefunded to 7/1/15, 5.625%, 7/1/32  California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Providence Health System), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 6.50%, 10/1/38	\$ 715 1,000 1,475	\$ 820,648 1,032,400 1,794,913
		\$ 3,647,961
General Obligations 28.0% California, 5.00%, 10/1/31 California, 5.50%, 11/1/35 California, 6.00%, 4/1/38 California, (AMT), 5.05%, 12/1/36 Palo Alto, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/40 San Bernardino Community College District, 4.00%, 8/1/30 San Dieguito Union High School District, (Election of 2012), 4.00%, 8/1/30 San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/35 San Mateo Union High School District, (Election of 2006), 5.00%, 9/1/27 San Mateo Union High School District, (Election of 2006), 5.00%, 9/1/28 Santa Clara County, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/39 Tamalpais Union High School District, 5.00%, 8/1/28 Torrance Unified School District, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/35	\$ 1,885 1,600 750 475 3,655 2,890 1,545 860 1,315 2,230 3,180 1,350 1,000 2,150	\$ 2,224,394 1,890,320 899,197 481,622 4,129,127 3,055,973 1,657,909 978,680 1,595,345 2,694,264 3,610,540 1,674,553 1,216,830 2,454,160
		\$ 28,562,914
Hospital 14.2% California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/27 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/28 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (City of Hope), 5.00%, 11/15/32 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (City of Hope), 5.00%, 11/15/35 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Memorial Health Services), 5.00%, 10/1/27	\$ 1,000 190 635 910 2,000	\$ 1,137,250 214,565 719,557 1,023,659 2,290,360

12

 $See\ Notes\ to\ Financial\ Statements.$ 

# California Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Hospital (continued) California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Memorial Health Services), 5.00%, 10/1/33 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (St. Joseph Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/33 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (St. Joseph Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/37 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (John Muir Health), 5.00%, 8/15/34 Torrance, (Torrance Memorial Medical Center), 5.50%, 6/1/31 Washington Township Health Care District, 5.00%, 7/1/32 Washington Township Health Care District, 5.25%, 7/1/29	\$ 1,000 1,145 535 600 1,900 2,780 700	\$	1,116,940 1,313,074 605,748 635,250 1,904,541 2,864,957 701,974
		\$ 1	14,527,875
Industrial Development Revenue 1.2% California Pollution Control Financing Authority, (Waste Management, Inc.), (AMT), 5.125%, 11/1/23 <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 1,235		1,287,031 1,287,031
		Ψ	1,207,031
Insured Education 1.4% California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23	\$ 1,250	\$	1,489,413
		\$	1,489,413
Insured Electric Utilities 3.0% Glendale, Electric System Revenue, (AGC), 5.00%, 2/1/31	\$ 2,790	\$	3,039,398
		\$	3,039,398
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 3.9%			
Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency, (AGM), (RADIAN), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 1/1/26	\$ 5,130	\$	3,958,924
		\$	3,958,924
Insured General Obligations 9.3%  Coast Community College District, (Election of 2002), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/34  Coast Community College District, (Election of 2002), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/35  Riverside Community College District, (Election of 2004), (AGM), (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/1/32	\$ 6,485 4,825 2,005	\$	2,327,337 1,636,640 2,195,455

Sweetwater Union High School District, (Election of 2000), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/25

4,720 3,326,986

\$ 9,486,418

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured Hospital 8.9% California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC), 5.00%, 4/1/37 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC), 5.00%, 3/1/41(1) California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/38(1)	\$ 2,900 750 5,000	\$ 3,041,607 783,803 5,306,100 \$ 9,131,510
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 8.0% Anaheim Public Financing Authority, (Public Improvements), (AGM), 0.00%, 9/1/17 San Diego County Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38(1)	\$ 4,410 3,500	\$ 4,299,706 3,873,485 \$ <b>8,173,191</b>
Insured Special Tax Revenue 2.1% Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45 Successor Agency to Dinuba Redevelopment Agency, (BAM), 5.00%, 9/1/28 Successor Agency to Dinuba Redevelopment Agency, (BAM), 5.00%, 9/1/33	\$ 4,850 370 930	\$ 675,654 428,282 1,049,765 \$ 2,153,701
Insured Transportation 8.3% Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 10/1/29 Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority, (NPFG), 0.00%, 10/1/31 Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, (AGC), (CIFG), 5.25%, 7/1/41 San Jose Airport, (AGM), (AMBAC), (BHAC), (AMT), 5.00%, 3/1/37 San Jose Airport, (AGM), (AMBAC), (BHAC), (AMT), 6.00%, 3/1/47	\$ 5,000 4,500 740 1,275 1,350	\$ 2,586,800 2,256,795 741,021 1,369,682 1,482,583 \$ 8,436,881
Insured Water and Sewer 2.6% East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (NPFG), 5.00%, 6/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup> Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, (NPFG), 3.00%, 7/1/30	\$ 2,000 430	\$ 2,197,740 419,757 \$ 2,617,497
Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 1.0% California Public Works Board, 5.00%, 11/1/38	\$ 915	\$ 1,029,485 <b>\$ 1,029,485</b>

See Notes to Financial Statements.

# California Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	)	Value
Other Revenue 0.4% California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank, (Performing Arts Center of Los Angeles), 5.00%, 12/1/32	\$	385	\$	418,037
			\$	418,037
Senior Living / Life Care 2.5%  ABAG Finance Authority for Nonprofit Corporations, (Episcopal Senior Communities), 6.00%, 7/1/31  California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Southern California Presbyterian Homes), 4.75%, 11/15/26 <sup>(3)</sup> California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Southern California Presbyterian Homes), 4.875%, 11/15/36 <sup>(3)</sup> California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Southern California Presbyterian Homes), 7.25%, 11/15/41 <sup>(3)</sup> California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (The Redwoods, a Community of Seniors), 5.125%, 11/15/35	\$	290 175 700 600 535	\$	333,811 178,547 707,490 718,620 600,730
			\$	2,539,198
Special Tax Revenue 14.3%  Aliso Viejo Community Facilities District No. 2005-01, Special Tax Revenue, (Glenwood at Aliso Viejo), 5.00%, 9/1/30  Brentwood Infrastructure Financing Authority, 5.00%, 9/2/26  Brentwood Infrastructure Financing Authority, 5.00%, 9/2/34  Eastern Municipal Water District, Community Facilities District No. 2004-27, (Cottonwood Ranch), Special Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 9/1/27  Eastern Municipal Water District, Community Facilities District No. 2004-27, (Cottonwood Ranch), Special Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 9/1/36  Fontana Redevelopment Agency, (Jurupa Hills), 5.60%, 10/1/27  Los Angeles County Community Facilities District No. 3, (Valencia/Newhall Area), 5.00%, 9/1/22  Los Angeles County Community Facilities District No. 3, (Valencia/Newhall Area), 5.00%, 9/1/23  Los Angeles County Community Facilities District No. 3, (Valencia/Newhall Area), 5.00%, 9/1/25  Los Angeles County Community Facilities District No. 3, (Valencia/Newhall Area), 5.00%, 9/1/25  Los Angeles County Community Facilities District No. 3, (Valencia/Newhall Area), 5.00%, 9/1/25  San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 7/1/28  Santaluz Community Facilities District No. 2, 6.10%, 9/1/21  Santaluz Community Facilities District No. 2, 6.20%, 9/1/30  Security	A	770 285 460 190 480 1,590 240 480 240 335 240 2,400 250 490 sincipal amount omitted)		867,890 293,812 474,076 194,514 489,749 1,601,687 278,407 552,509 272,846 377,769 268,661 2,777,712 252,200 493,420 Value
Special Tax Revenue (continued) South Orange County Public Financing Authority, Special Tax Revenue, (Ladera Ranch), 5.00%, 8/15/27 South Orange County Public Financing Authority, Special Tax Revenue, (Ladera Ranch), 5.00%, 8/15/28 Successor Agency to La Quinta Redevelopment Agency, 5.00%, 9/1/28 Temecula Unified School District, 5.00%, 9/1/27	\$	485 \$ 725 1,600 250		560,762 834,729 1,869,648 256,138

Temecula Unified School District, 5.00%, 9/1/37 Tustin Community Facilities District, 6.00%, 9/1/37 Whittier Public Financing Authority, (Greenleaf Avenue Redevelopment), 5.50%, 11/1/23	400 500 1,000	409,380 526,100 1,001,450	
		\$ 14,653,459	
Transportation 13.7%  Bay Area Toll Authority, Toll Bridge Revenue, (San Francisco Bay Area), 5.25%, 4/1/29  Bay Area Toll Authority, Toll Bridge Revenue, (San Francisco Bay Area), Prerefunded to 4/1/16, 5.00%, 4/1/31  Los Angeles Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.00%, 5/15/35(1)(2)  Los Angeles Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), (AMT), 5.375%, 5/15/30  Port of Redwood City, (AMT), 5.125%, 6/1/30  San Francisco City and County Airport Commission, (San Francisco International Airport), 5.00%, 5/1/35  San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency, 5.00%, 1/15/34	1,000 2,000 2,120 1,500 1,170 2,760 2,265	\$ 1,153,860 2,126,040 2,400,052 1,666,515 1,176,236 3,012,016 2,479,699 \$ 14,014,418	
Water and Sewer 1.9% California Department of Water Resources, 5.00%, 12/1/29 San Mateo, Sewer Revenue, 5.00%, 8/1/36	\$ 25 1,700	\$ 28,322 1,899,308 \$ <b>1,927,630</b>	
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 160.4% (identified cost \$149,911,368)		\$ 163,825,687	

14

See Notes to Financial Statements.

# California Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments continued

Corporate Bonds & Notes 0.7%

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Hospital 0.7% Dignity Health, 3.812%, 11/1/24	\$ 720	\$	743,046
Total Corporate Bonds & Notes 0.7% (identified cost \$720,000)		\$	743,046
Total Investments 161.1% (identified cost \$150,631,368)		\$ 164	1,568,733
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (48.9)%		\$ (49	9,976,208)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (12.2)%		\$ (12	2,463,793)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$ 102	2,128,732

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

AMT Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.

BAM Build America Mutual Assurance Co.
BHAC Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
CIFG CIFG Assurance North America, Inc.
NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

RADIAN Radian Group, Inc.

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by California municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2014, 29.5% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 0.5% to 14.9% of total investments.

(1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

- (2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$2,035,592.
- (3) Security exempt from registration pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933. These securities may be sold in certain transactions (normally to qualified institutional buyers) and remain exempt from registration. At November 30, 2014, the aggregate value of these securities is \$2,891,688 or 2.8% of the Trust s net assets applicable to common shares.

15

See Notes to Financial Statements.

# Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2014

### Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 158.2%

Security	(000)	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Bond Bank 6.0%  Massachusetts Water Pollution Abatement Trust, 5.25%, 8/1/33  Massachusetts Water Pollution Abatement Trust, 5.25%, 8/1/34	\$	910 990	\$ 1,186,522 1,297,405
			\$ 2,483,927
Education 27.8%  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Milton Academy), 5.00%, 9/1/35  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Northeastern University), 5.00%, 3/1/33  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Berklee College of Music), 5.00%, 10/1/32  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Boston College), 5.50%, 6/1/35  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.00%, 10/1/38(1)  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Northeastern University), 5.00%, 10/1/35  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Tufts University), 5.375%, 8/15/38  University of Massachusetts Building Authority, 5.00%, 11/1/39	\$	1,080 770 1,500 1,640 1,500 1,350 1,420 750	\$ 1,226,286 877,284 1,637,925 2,167,277 1,663,095 1,500,903 1,615,278 848,025
			\$ 11,536,073
Escrowed / Prerefunded 2.8%  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (New England Conservatory of Music), Prerefunded to 7/1/18, 5.25%, 7/1/38  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Massachusetts Institute of Technology), Prerefunded to 7/1/17, 5.00%, 7/1/38	\$	625 415	\$ 723,394 462,285
			\$ 1,185,679
General Obligations 16.4% Boston, 4.00%, 4/1/24 Cambridge, 4.00%, 2/15/21 Danvers, 5.25%, 7/1/36 Lexington, 4.00%, 2/1/21 Lexington, 4.00%, 2/1/22 Lexington, 4.00%, 2/1/23 Newton, 5.00%, 4/1/36 Plymouth, 5.00%, 5/1/31 Plymouth, 5.00%, 5/1/32 Security	\$	300 595 885 415 430 355 750 345 315	\$ 334,335 683,560 1,049,707 476,636 496,233 411,065 850,635 394,025 359,160 <b>Value</b>

	Amount (000 s omitted)			
General Obligations (continued) Wayland, 5.00%, 2/1/33 Wayland, 5.00%, 2/1/36 Winchester, 5.00%, 4/15/36	\$	510 770 245		589,846 883,998 281,517 <b>6,810,717</b>
Hospital 27.0%  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Berkshire Health Systems), 5.00%, 10/1/31  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Children s Hospital), 5.00%, 10/1/31  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Tufts Medical Center), 7.25%, 1/1/32  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (UMass Memorial), 5.50%, 7/1/31  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Baystate Medical Center, Inc.), 5.75%, 7/1/36  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Children s Hospital), 5.25%, 12/1/39  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Dana-Farber Cancer Institute), 5.00%, 12/1/37  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Jordan Hospital), 6.75%, 10/1/33  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Lowell General Hospital), 5.125%, 7/1/35  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Partners Healthcare Systems), 5.00%, 7/1/32  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (South Shore Hospital), 5.75%, 7/1/29  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (South Shore Hospital), 5.00%, 7/1/29	\$	1,000 525 600 555 1,210 500 1,135 755 970 2,000 675 350	\$	1,088,610 617,558 734,994 599,461 1,363,597 568,765 1,229,727 755,959 1,029,645 2,163,460 677,768 384,139
Housing 6.6% Massachusetts Housing Finance Agency, (AMT), 4.75%, 12/1/48 Massachusetts Housing Finance Agency, (AMT), 5.00%, 12/1/28	\$	2,100 650		2,108,799 654,361 <b>2,763,160</b>
Industrial Development Revenue 2.0%  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Covanta Energy), (AMT), 4.875%, 11/1/27 <sup>(2)</sup>	\$	800	\$ <b>\$</b>	821,784 <b>821,784</b>

16

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Principal

# Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured Education 7.3%  Massachusetts College Building Authority, (XLCA), 5.50%, 5/1/39  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (College of the Holy Cross), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 9/1/32 <sup>(1)(3)</sup>	\$	1,000 1,365	\$ 1,315,140 1,723,640 \$ 3,038,780
Insured Electric Utilities 1.3% Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/29	\$	550	\$ 534,149 \$ 534,149
Insured General Obligations 3.1% Massachusetts, (AMBAC), 5.50%, 8/1/30	\$	1,000	
Insured Hospital 0.9%  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Cape Cod Healthcare), (AGC), 5.00%, 11/15/25	\$	335	\$ 374,634 \$ 374.634
Insured Other Revenue 1.8%  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (WGBH Educational Foundation), (AMBAC), 5.75%, 1/1/42	\$	590	\$ 751,530 \$ <b>751,530</b>
Insured Special Tax Revenue 16.4%  Martha s Vineyard Land Bank, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 5/1/32  Martha s Vineyard Land Bank, (BAM), 5.00%, 5/1/25  Martha s Vineyard Land Bank, (BAM), 5.00%, 5/1/28  Massachusetts, Dedicated Tax Revenue, (NPFG), 5.50%, 1/1/29  Massachusetts School Building Authority, Dedicated Sales Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/15/37(1)  Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$	1,450 900 1,195 1,000 1,340 1,105	\$ 1,458,801 1,090,962 1,400,492 1,262,470 1,460,654 153,938

\$ 6,827,317

Insured Student Loan 2.6%  Massachusetts Educational Financing Authority, (AGC), (AMT), 6.35%, 1/1/30  Massachusetts Educational Financing Authority, (AMBAC), (AMT), 4.70%, 1/1/33	\$	280 765	78	95,915 80,537 <b>76,452</b>
Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Insured Transportation 0.8%  Massachusetts Port Authority, (Bosfuel Project), (NPFG), (AMT), 5.00%, 7/1/32	\$	315		33,796 33,796
Other Revenue 2.8%  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Isabella Stewart Gardner Museum), 5.00%, 5/1/22  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Isabella Stewart Gardner Museum), 5.00%, 5/1/25	\$	500 505	5	72,510 75,917 <b>48,427</b>
Senior Living / Life Care 6.0%  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Berkshire Retirement Community, Inc.), 5.15%, 7/1/31  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Berkshire Retirement Community, Inc.), 5.625%, 7/1/29  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Carleton-Willard Village), 5.625%, 12/1/30  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (VOA Concord Assisted Living, Inc.), 5.125%, 11/1/27(2)  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (VOA Concord Assisted Living, Inc.), 5.20%, 11/1/41(2)	\$	250 1,500 125 140 475	1,50 13 14 45	50,640 04,695 39,476 40,560 57,796
Special Tax Revenue 8.4%  Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, 5.25%, 7/1/34  Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 0.00%, 7/1/31  Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 0.00%, 7/1/34  Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 6.75%, 10/1/37	\$	140 1,665 5,195 335	79 2,13 38	57,741 96,536 38,366 33,082 75,725
Transportation 7.2%  Massachusetts Department of Transportation, (Metropolitan Highway System), 5.00%, 1/1/37  Massachusetts Port Authority, 5.00%, 7/1/28  Massachusetts Port Authority, 5.00%, 7/1/34	\$	1,500 500 670	5° 75	14,720 75,835 56,899 <b>77,454</b>
Water and Sewer 11.0% Boston Water and Sewer Commission, 5.00%, 11/1/26 Boston Water and Sewer Commission, 5.00%, 11/1/29	\$	2,005 495		38,933 58,270

17

# Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Water and Sewer (continued) Boston Water and Sewer Commission, 5.00%, 11/1/31 Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, 5.00%, 8/1/28	\$ 225 1,195	\$	254,639 1,389,809
		\$	4,551,651
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 158.2% (identified cost \$59,968,550)		\$	65,702,265
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (48.3)%		\$ (	20,050,364)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (9.9)%		\$	(4,125,049)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$	41,526,852

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.
AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

AMT Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.

BAM Build America Mutual Assurance Co.
NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

XLCA XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by Massachusetts municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2014, 21.7% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 1.0% to 11.4% of total investments.

<sup>(1)</sup> Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

(2) Security exempt from registration pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933. These securities may be sold in certain transactions (normally to qualified institutional buyers) and remain exempt from registration. At November 30, 2014, the aggregate value of these securities is \$1,420,140 or 3.4% of the Trust s net assets applicable to common shares.

(3) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$813,640.

18

See Notes to Financial Statements.

# Michigan Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2014

#### Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 154.3%

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Bond Bank 4.1% Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/29 Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/30	\$ 600 500	\$ 681,468 577,895
		\$ 1,259,363
Education 6.8% Michigan State University, 5.00%, 2/15/40 Oakland University, 5.00%, 3/1/42 Wayne State University, 5.00%, 11/15/40	\$ 1,000 500 370	\$ 1,116,590 543,505 408,635
		\$ 2,068,730
Electric Utilities 8.9% Holland, Electric Utility System, 5.00%, 7/1/39 Lansing Board of Water and Light, 5.50%, 7/1/41 Michigan Public Power Agency, 5.00%, 1/1/43	\$ 1,135 500 800	\$ 1,269,463 592,660 857,360
		\$ 2,719,483
Escrowed / Prerefunded 4.8% Gaylord Hospital Finance Authority, (Otsego Memorial Hospital Association), Prerefunded to 1/1/15, 6.20%, 1/1/25 Gaylord Hospital Finance Authority, (Otsego Memorial Hospital Association), Prerefunded to 1/1/15, 6.50%, 1/1/37 Grand Valley State University, Prerefunded to 12/1/16, 5.625%, 12/1/29 Grand Valley State University, Prerefunded to 12/1/16, 5.75%, 12/1/34	\$ 185 125 525 525	\$ 186,456 126,033 579,421 580,734
		\$ 1,472,644
General Obligations 29.9% Ann Arbor Public Schools, 4.50%, 5/1/24 Comstock Park Public Schools, 5.125%, 5/1/31 Comstock Park Public Schools, 5.25%, 5/1/33 East Grand Rapids Public Schools, 5.00%, 5/1/29 Jenison Public Schools, 5.00%, 5/1/28	\$ 350 275 220 835 500	\$ 381,427 309,339 246,338 945,187 560,670

Jenison Public Schools, 5.00%, 5/1/30

Kent County, 5.00%, 1/1/25

Kent County, 5.00%, 171/25		1,500		/00,235	
Kent County, (AMT), 5.00%, 1/1/28		1,000		126,110	
Lansing Community College, 5.00%, 5/1/30		1,005 270		143,248 309,917	
Michigan, 5.50%, 11/1/25 Walled Lake Consolidated School District, 5.00%, 5/1/34		365		411,271	
Watervliet Public Schools, 5.00%, 5/1/38		1,250		418,187	
White Fubile Schools, 3.00%, 3.1130		1,230	1,	+10,107	
			\$ 9,	111,664	
			,	,	
		Principal			
		Amount			
Security	(000	s omitted)		Value	
Hospital 26.5%	Φ.	27.5	ф	27 . 000	
Kent Hospital Finance Authority, (Spectrum Health), 5.50% to 1/15/15 (Put Date), 1/15/47	\$			276,889	
Michigan Finance Authority, (McLaren Health Care), 5.00%, 6/1/35 Michigan Finance Authority, (Oakwood Obligated Group), 5.00%, 11/1/32		250 500		272,933 550,050	
Michigan Finance Authority, (Vakwood Gongaed Gloup), 5.00%, 11/1/32  Michigan Finance Authority, (Trinity Health Corp.), 5.00%, 12/1/27		1,000		132,410	
Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Henry Ford Health System), 5.00%, 11/15/38		250		259,005	
Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Henry Ford Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/46		1,000		037,840	
Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (McLaren Health Care), 5.00%, 8/1/35		1,080		108,361	
Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (MidMichigan Obligated Group), 6.125%, 6/1/39		500		573,720	
Monroe County Hospital Finance Authority, (Mercy Memorial Hospital Corp.), 5.375%, 6/1/26		425		439,718	
Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority, (William Beaumont Hospital), 5.00%, 9/1/39		1,250		377,362	
Saginaw Hospital Finance Authority, (Covenant Medical Center, Inc.), 5.00%, 7/1/30		1,000	1,	067,690	
			\$ 8,	095,978	
Housing 0.5%					
Michigan Housing Development Authority, 4.60%, 12/1/26	\$	135	\$	141,738	
			\$	141,738	
Industrial Development Revenue 2.4%  Detroit Local Development Finance Authority, (Chrysler Corp.), 5.375%, 5/1/21	\$	750	\$	728,183	
Detroit Local Development 1 manice Authority, (Chryster Corp.), 5.575 %, 5/1/21	Ψ	730	Ψ	720,103	
			\$	728,183	
Insured Education 5.0% Fearing State University (AGC) 5.125%, 10/1/33	\$	570	\$	631,190	
Ferris State University, (AGC), 5.125%, 10/1/33 Ferris State University, (AGC), 5.25%, 10/1/38	Ф	500		555,965	
Wayne State University, (AGM), 5.00%, 11/15/35		300		332,319	
Wayne State Chrystolisty, (11611), 5.00%, 11/15/55		300		332,317	
			\$ 1,	519,474	
Insured Electric Utilities 3.3%					
Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/29	\$	630	\$	611,843	
Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/32	7	250		239,735	
Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/34		155		147,878	
			\$	999,456	

559,735

1,700,235

1,500

# Michigan Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured General Obligations 25.8%  Battle Creek School District, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/37  Bay City Brownfield Redevelopment Authority, (BAM), 5.375%, 10/1/38  Byron Center Public Schools, (AGM), 3.75%, 5/1/26  Byron Center Public Schools, (AGM), 4.00%, 5/1/28  Detroit School District, (AGM), 5.25%, 5/1/32  Hartland Consolidated Schools, (AGM), 5.25%, 5/1/39  Livonia Public Schools, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/43  South Haven Public Schools, (BAM), 5.00%, 5/1/41  Van Dyke Public Schools, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38  Westland Tax Increment Finance Authority, (BAM), 5.25%, 4/1/34	\$	1,105 500 150 240 300 1,000 910 1,200 1,250 500	\$ 1,189,124 555,470 156,723 251,623 354,846 1,132,760 987,732 1,335,768 1,368,113 543,380
			\$ 7,875,539
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 5.9% Michigan Building Authority, (AGM), (FGIC), 0.00%, 10/15/29 Michigan Building Authority, (NPFG), 0.00%, 10/15/30	\$	1,000 2,800	\$ 497,710 1,305,892 \$ 1,803,602
Insured Special Tax Revenue 0.4% Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$	895	\$ 124,682 <b>\$ 124,682</b>
Insured Transportation 3.7% Wayne County Airport Authority, (AGC), (AMT), 5.375%, 12/1/32	\$	1,000	\$ 1,118,110 \$ 1,118,110
Insured Water and Sewer 7.1%  Detroit, Sewage Disposal System, (AGC), (FGIC), 5.00%, 7/1/36  Grand Rapids, Water Supply System, (AGC), 5.10%, 1/1/39  Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewer Authority, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/28	\$	560 1,000 475	\$ 577,842 1,111,830 477,660 \$ 2,167,332

Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 3.4% Michigan Strategic Fund, (Facility for Rare Isotope Beams), 4.00%, 3/1/30	\$ 1,000		\$ 1,045,250
Special Tax Revenue 5.0% Guam, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.625%, 12/1/29 Guam, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.75%, 12/1/34 Michigan Trunk Line Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/36  Security	115 125 1,000 Principal Amount s omitted)	\$	\$ 1,045,250 \$ 126,776 138,210 1,131,150 Value
Special Tax Revenue (continued) Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 6.75%, 10/1/37	\$	\$ <b>\$</b>	125,788 <b>1,521,924</b>
Water and Sewer 10.8% Detroit, Water Supply System, 5.25%, 7/1/41 Grand Rapids, Sanitary Sewer System, 5.00%, 1/1/28 Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AMT), 5.00%, 7/1/44 Port Huron, Water Supply System, 5.25%, 10/1/31	\$ 735 1,250 250	\$	796,898 922,682 1,290,987 273,615 3,284,182
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 154.3% (identified cost \$43,776,876)			47,057,334
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (57.4)%		\$ (	17,500,144)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities 3.1%		\$	938,753
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$ :	30,495,943

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

AMT Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.

BAM Build America Mutual Assurance Co. FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by Michigan municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2014, 33.2% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 2.3% to 13.3% of total investments.

# New Jersey Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Municipal Securities 153.5%

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Education 21.5%  Camden County Improvement Authority, (Rowan University School of Osteopathic Medicine), 5.00%, 12/1/32  New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Kean University), 5.50%, 9/1/36  New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Montclair State University), 5.00%, 7/1/33  New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Montclair State University), 5.00%, 7/1/34  New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Ramapo College), 5.00%, 7/1/37  New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Stevens Institute of Technology), 5.00%, 7/1/27  New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Medicine and Dentistry), Prerefunded to 6/1/19, 7.50%, 12/1/32  New Jersey Institute of Technology, 5.00%, 7/1/42  Rutgers State University, 5.00%, 5/1/33  Rutgers State University, 5.00%, 5/1/39	\$ 1,730 620 380 640 1,650 965 1,295 1,000 2,900	\$ 1,416,621 1,975,158 718,475 439,329 705,965 1,761,606 1,233,299 1,444,042 1,156,350 3,254,264 \$ 14,105,109
Escrowed / Prerefunded 2.6%  New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Chilton Memorial Hospital), Prerefunded to 7/1/19, 5.75%, 7/1/39	\$	\$ 1,700,023 \$ 1,700,023
General Obligations 7.2%  Monmouth County Improvement Authority, 5.00%, 1/15/28  Monmouth County Improvement Authority, 5.00%, 1/15/30  Monmouth County Improvement Authority, 5.00%, 8/1/33	\$ 1,850 1,795	\$ 2,116,400 2,049,100