PARTNERRE LTD Form 10-Q August 04, 2011 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

OR

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 1-14536

PartnerRe Ltd.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Bermuda (State of incorporation)

Not Applicable (I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

90 Pitts Bay Road, Pembroke, HM08, Bermuda

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(441) 292-0888

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer "Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No x

The number of the registrant s common shares (par value \$1.00 per share) outstanding, net of treasury shares, as of August 1, 2011 was 67,739,564.

PartnerRe Ltd.

INDEX TO FORM 10-Q

	PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION	Page
ITEM 1.	Financial Statements	
	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	1
	Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010	2
	<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss)</u> Three Months and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010	3
	Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010	4
	Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010	5
	Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
ITEM 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	24
ITEM 3.	Ouantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	68
ITEM 4.	Controls and Procedures	71
	PART II OTHER INFORMATION	
ITEM 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	71
ITEM 1A.	Risk Factors	72
ITEM 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	72
ITEM 3.	<u>Defaults upon Senior Securities</u>	72
ITEM 4.	Reserved	72
ITEM 5.	Other Information	72
ITEM 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	72
	<u>Signatures</u>	73
	Exhibit Index	74

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of PartnerRe Ltd.

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of PartnerRe Ltd. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of June 30, 2011, and the related condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, and of shareholders equity, and of cash flows for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. These interim condensed consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our reviews, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to such condensed consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of PartnerRe Ltd. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2010 and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income, shareholders—equity, and of cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein); and in our report dated February 28, 2011, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2010 is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche Ltd. Deloitte & Touche Ltd. Hamilton, Bermuda

August 4, 2011

1

PartnerRe Ltd.

Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars, except parenthetical share and per share data)

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Assets		
Investments:		
Fixed maturities, trading securities, at fair value (amortized cost: 2011, \$13,984,225; 2010, \$12,394,797)	\$ 14,406,945	\$ 12,824,389
Short-term investments, trading securities, at fair value (amortized cost: 2011, \$216,881; 2010, \$49,132)	215,692	49,397
Equities, trading securities, at fair value (cost: 2011, \$880,222; 2010, \$942,745)	994,913	1,071,676
Other invested assets	338,373	352,405
Total investments	15,955,923	14,297,867
Funds held directly managed (cost: 2011, \$1,362,997; 2010, \$1,751,276)	1,379,513	1,772,118
Cash and cash equivalents, at fair value, which approximates amortized cost	1,606,842	2,111,084
Accrued investment income	181,806	201,928
Reinsurance balances receivable	2,517,586	2,076,884
Reinsurance recoverable on paid and unpaid losses	489,415	382,878
Funds held by reinsured companies	846,466	937,032
Deferred acquisition costs	661,564	595,557
Deposit assets	233,187	256,702
Net tax assets	32,358	14,960
Goodwill	455,533	455,533
Intangible assets	154,194	178,715
Other assets	85,535	83,113
Total assets	\$ 24,599,922	\$ 23,364,371
Liabilities	φ 10 01 c 25 1	ф 10 <i>ССС</i> СО4
Unpaid losses and loss expenses	\$ 12,016,271	\$ 10,666,604
Policy benefits for life and annuity contracts	1,726,180	1,750,410
Unearned premiums	2,067,996	1,599,139
Other reinsurance balances payable	554,386	491,194
Deposit liabilities	243,033	268,239
Net tax liabilities	325,285	316,325
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other	213,738	244,552
Debt related to senior notes	750,000	750,000
Debt related to capital efficient notes	70,989	70,989
Total liabilities	17,967,878	16,157,452
Shareholders Equity		
Common shares (par value \$1.00; issued: 2011, 84,569,310 shares; 2010, 84,033,089 shares)	84,569	84,033
Preferred shares (par value \$1.00; issued and outstanding: 2011, 35,750,000 shares; 2010, 20,800,000 shares;	·	
aggregate liquidation value: 2011, \$893,750; 2010, \$520,000)	35,750	20,800
Additional paid-in capital	3,792,057	3,419,864
Accumulated other comprehensive income:		
Currency translation adjustment	60,185	16,101
Other accumulated comprehensive loss (net of tax of: 2011, \$5,838; 2010, \$4,872)	(14,200)	(12,045)
Retained earnings	3,983,398	4,761,178
Common shares held in treasury, at cost (2011, 16,831,534 shares; 2010, 14,046,895 shares)	(1,309,715)	(1,083,012)

Total shareholders equity	6,632,044	7,206,919
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 24,599,922	\$ 23,364,371

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

PartnerRe Ltd.

Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss)

(Expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

		or the three months ended June 30, 2011	Fo	or the three months ended June 30, 2010		For the six months ended June 30, 2011		or the six months ended June 30, 2010
Revenues								
Gross premiums written	\$	1,082,205	\$	1,140,175	\$	2,639,766	\$	3,049,501
Net premiums written	\$	1,056,467	\$	1,112,735	\$	2,526,887	\$	2,896,899
Decrease (increase) in unearned premiums		50,978		(8,104)		(354,853)		(638,489)
Net premiums earned		1,107,445		1,104,631		2,172,034		2,258,410
Net investment income		158,328		174,454		309,962		347,576
Net realized and unrealized investment gains (losses)		78,199		46,046		(34,000)		191,519
Other income		1,596		753		3,408		2,028
Total revenues		1,345,568		1,325,884		2,451,404		2,799,533
Expenses		014 522		704 (21		2 421 740		1.716.067
Losses and loss expenses and life policy benefits		814,523		704,631		2,421,740		1,716,967
Acquisition costs		229,251		244,144		437,100		464,251
Other operating expenses		113,694		160,151		217,991		288,286
Interest expense Amortization of intangible assets		12,214 9,165		12,803 7,833		24,514 17,992		19,935 12,636
Net foreign exchange gains		(8,737)		(11,021)		(9,433)		(14,648)
Total expenses		1,170,110		1,118,541		3,109,904		2,487,427
Income (loss) before taxes and interest in (losses) earnings of equity								
investments		175,458		207,343		(658,500)		312,106
Income tax expense		50,085		17,762		23,828		45,316
Interest in (losses) earnings of equity investments		(1,188)		1,346		(443)		3,791
Net income (loss)		124,185		190,927		(682,771)		270,581
Preferred dividends		8,631		8,631		17,263		17,263
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$	115,554	\$	182,296	\$	(700,034)	\$	253,318
Comprehensive income (loss)								
Comprehensive income (loss) Net income (loss)	\$	124,185	\$	190,927	\$	(682,771)	\$	270,581
Change in currency translation adjustment	Φ	6,303	Ф	(105,335)	Ф	44,084	Ф	(174,078)
Change in other accumulated comprehensive loss, net of tax		(1,920)		(1,321)		(2,155)		(5,254)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$	128,568	\$	84,271	\$	(640,842)	\$	91,249
Per share data								
Net income (loss) per common share:								
Basic net income (loss)	\$	1.71	\$	2.36	\$	(10.32)	\$	3.19
Diluted net income (loss)	\$	1.69	\$	2.31	\$	(10.32)	\$	3.13
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	6	67,628,052	,	77,365,445	(67,811,366	7	9,519,198

Weighted average number of common shares and common share

equivalents outstanding	68,4	442,300	78,	796,431	67,	811,366	81,0	050,662
Dividends declared per common share	\$	0.60	\$	0.50	\$	1.15	\$	1.00

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

PartnerRe Ltd.

Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity

(Expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars)

	For the six months ended June 30, 2011	For the six months ended June 30, 2010
Common shares	Φ 04.022	Φ 92.596
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 84,033	\$ 82,586
Issuance of common shares	536	629
Balance at end of period	84,569	83,215
Preferred shares	04,507	03,213
Balance at beginning of period	20,800	20,800
Issuance of preferred shares	14,950	20,000
Balance at end of period	35,750	20,800
Additional paid-in capital	,	,,,,,,,
Balance at beginning of period	3,419,864	3,357,004
Issuance of preferred shares	346,772	
Issuance of common shares	25,421	30,331
Balance at end of period	3,792,057	3,387,335
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		
Balance at beginning of period	4,056	84,927
Change in currency translation adjustment	44,084	(174,078)
Change in other accumulated comprehensive loss, net of tax	(2,155)	(5,254)
Balance at end of period	45,985	(94,405)
Retained earnings		
Balance at beginning of period	4,761,178	4,100,782
Net (loss) income	(682,771)	270,581
Dividends on common shares	(77,746)	(79,345)
Dividends on preferred shares	(17,263)	(17,263)
Balance at end of period	3,983,398	4,274,755
Common shares held in treasury	(4.002.042)	(272)
Balance at beginning of period	(1,083,012)	(372)
Repurchase of common shares	(226,703)	(600,086)
Balance at end of period	(1,309,715)	(600,458)
Total shareholders equity	\$ 6,632,044	\$ 7,071,242

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Supplemental cash flow information:

PartnerRe Ltd.

Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars)

	For the six months ended June 30, 2011	For the six months ended June 30, 2010
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net (loss) income	\$ (682,771)	\$ 270,581
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Amortization of net premium on investments	37,494	37,518
Amortization of intangible assets	17,992	12,636
Net realized and unrealized investment losses (gains)	34,000	(191,519)
Changes in:		
Reinsurance balances, net	(361,501)	(443,469)
Reinsurance recoverable on paid and unpaid losses, net of ceded premiums payable	11,481	7,542
Funds held by reinsured companies and funds held directly managed	552,361	189,635
Deferred acquisition costs	(31,484)	(80,901)
Net tax assets and liabilities	(32,493)	(66,175)
Unpaid losses and loss expenses including life policy benefits	853,057	194,878
Unearned premiums	354,853	638,489
Other net changes in operating assets and liabilities	(10,651)	(8,671)
Net cash provided by operating activities	742,338	560,544
Cash flows from investing activities	7 12,000	300,311
Sales of fixed maturities	2,713,137	4,003,008
Redemptions of fixed maturities	801,285	561,593
Purchases of fixed maturities	(4,766,381)	(4,248,444)
Sales and redemptions of short-term investments	76,061	94,045
Purchases of short-term investments	(240,207)	(69,056)
Sales of equities	457,170	171,643
Purchases of equities	(330,323)	(341,427)
Other, net	(17,820)	(138,272)
	(17,020)	(100,272)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(1,307,078)	33,090
Cash flows from financing activities	(1,507,070)	33,090
Cash dividends paid to shareholders	(95,009)	(96,608)
Net proceeds from issuance of preferred shares	361,722	(90,008)
Repurchase of common shares	(244,222)	(600,086)
Issuance of common shares	13,125	15,013
Proceeds from issuance of senior notes	13,123	500,000
Contract fees on forward sale agreement		(2,638)
Contract fees on forward safe agreement		(2,036)
Not each provided by (used in) financing activities	25 (1)	(104.210)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash	35,616 24,882	(184,319)
(Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents		(62,299)
Cash and cash equivalents beginning of period	(504,242)	347,016
Cash and Cash equivalents beginning of period	2,111,084	738,309
Cash and cash equivalents end of period	\$ 1,606,842	\$ 1,085,325

Taxes paid	\$ 103,965	\$ 109,841
Interest paid	\$ 24,630	\$ 17,866

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

PartnerRe Ltd.

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

1. Organization

PartnerRe Ltd. (the Company) provides reinsurance on a worldwide basis through its principal wholly-owned subsidiaries, including Partner Reinsurance Company Ltd., Partner Reinsurance Europe Limited and Partner Reinsurance Company of the U.S. Risks reinsured include, but are not limited to, property, casualty, motor, agriculture, aviation/space, catastrophe, credit/surety, engineering, energy, marine, specialty property, specialty casualty, multiline and other lines, mortality, longevity and health and alternative risk products. The Company s alternative risk products include weather and credit protection to financial, industrial and service companies on a worldwide basis.

2. Significant Accounting Policies

The Company s Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (U.S. GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions for Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. The Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries. Intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. To facilitate comparison of information across periods, certain reclassifications have been made to prior year amounts to conform to the current year s presentation.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires Management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. While Management believes that the amounts included in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements reflect its best estimates and assumptions, actual results could differ from those estimates. The Company s principal estimates include:

Unpaid losses and loss expenses;
Policy benefits for life and annuity contracts;
Gross and net premiums written and net premiums earned;
Recoverability of deferred acquisition costs;
Recoverability of deferred tax assets;
Valuation of goodwill and intangible assets; and

Valuation of certain assets and derivative financial instruments that are measured using significant unobservable inputs. In the opinion of Management, all adjustments (which include normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of results for the interim periods have been made. As the Company s reinsurance operations are exposed to low-frequency, high-severity risk events, some of which are seasonal, results for certain interim periods may include unusually low loss experience, while results for other interim periods may include significant catastrophic losses. Consequently, the Company s results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year. These Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

3. New Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued new accounting guidance, which updates the existing guidance, related to fair value measurement and disclosures. The amendments clarify or change the application of certain existing requirements and also require some additional disclosures. The guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of this guidance on its disclosures.

4. Fair Value

(a) Fair Value of Financial Instrument Assets

The fair value hierarchy prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value by maximizing the use of observable inputs and minimizing the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Company. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect the Company s assumptions about what market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability based on the best information available in the circumstances. The level in the hierarchy within which a given fair value measurement falls is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the measurement.

6

Table of Contents

The Company determines the appropriate level in the hierarchy for each financial instrument that it measures at fair value. In determining fair value, the Company uses various valuation approaches, including market, income and cost approaches. The hierarchy is broken down into three levels based on the observability of inputs as follows:

Level 1 inputs Unadjusted, quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access. The Company s financial instruments that it measures at fair value using Level 1 inputs generally include: equities listed on a major exchange, exchange traded funds and exchange traded derivatives, such as futures and certain weather derivatives that are actively traded.

Level 2 inputs Quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in inactive markets and directly or indirectly observable inputs, other than quoted prices, used in industry accepted models. The Company s financial instruments that it measures at fair value using Level 2 inputs generally include: U.S. Treasury bonds; U.S. Government Sponsored Entities bonds; Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development Sovereign Treasury bonds; investment grade and high yield corporate bonds; catastrophe bonds; mortality bonds; mortgage-backed securities; asset-backed securities; certain fixed income mutual funds; foreign exchange forward contracts and over-the-counter derivatives such as foreign currency option contracts, equity put and call options, credit default swaps, non-exchange traded futures and interest rate swaps.

Level 3 inputs Unobservable inputs.

The Company s financial instruments that it measures at fair value using Level 3 inputs generally include: unlisted equities; inactively traded fixed maturities including bonds issued by U.S. domiciled state and municipal entities, privately issued corporate securities and special purpose financing asset-backed bonds; real estate mutual fund investments; inactively traded weather derivatives; notes receivable and total return swaps.

The Company s financial instruments measured at fair value include investments classified as trading securities, certain other invested assets and the segregated investment portfolio underlying the funds held directly managed account. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company s financial instruments measured at fair value were categorized between Levels 1, 2 and 3 as follows (in thousands of U.S. dollars):

7

	Quo	ted prices in active						
	markets for identical		Significant other			gnificant observable		
			observable		une			
June 30, 2011	(assets Level 1)		inputs (Level 2)	(inputs Level 3)		Total
Fixed maturities	(Level 1)		(Level 2)	,	Level 3)		Total
U.S. government and agencies	\$		\$	1,486,790	\$	86,782	\$	1,573,572
Non-U.S. sovereign government, supranational	Ψ		Ψ.	1,100,100	Ψ.	00,.02	Ψ	1,0.0,0.2
and government related				3,258,355				3,258,355
Corporate				5,713,985		116,428		5,830,413
Asset-backed securities				394,046		261,843		655,889
Residential mortgage-backed securities				2,999,005		·		2,999,005
Other mortgage-backed securities				89,710		1		89,711
Fixed maturities	\$		\$	13,941,891	\$	465,054	\$ 1	4,406,945
Short-term investments	\$		\$	212,769	\$	2,923	\$	215,692
Equities			·	,		,		
Consumer noncyclical	\$	138,638	\$	178	\$		\$	138,816
Energy		96,782		1,051				97,833
Finance		89,054		617		163		89,834
Technology		78,939						78,939
Communications		76,188		33				76,221
Industrials		71,405						71,405
Consumer cyclical		57,087		86				57,173
Insurance		38,447						38,447
Other		70,343		408				70,751
Mutual funds and exchange traded funds		58,736		210,216		6,542		275,494
Equities	\$	775,619	\$	212,589	\$	6,705	\$	994,913
Other invested assets								
Derivative assets	_							
Foreign exchange forward contracts	\$		\$	11,634	\$		\$	11,634
Foreign currency option contracts				2,921				2,921
Futures contracts		6,179		219				6,398
Credit default swaps (protection purchased)				4				4
Credit default swaps (assumed risks)				906				906
Insurance-linked securities		23		2-0		251		274
Total return swaps				379		4,306		4,685
Interest rate swaps				52		= 4.040		52
Other assets						74,018		74,018
Derivative liabilities				(4.510)				(4.510)
Foreign exchange forward contracts				(4,512)				(4,512)
Foreign currency option contracts		(10.126)		(470)				(470)
Futures contracts		(19,126)		(487)				(19,613)
Credit default swaps (protection purchased)		(493)		(2,162)		(14,633)		(2,162)
Insurance-linked securities		(493)				(11,459)		(15,126) (11,459)
Total return swaps				(5,203)		(11,459)		
Interest rate swaps								(5,203)
Other liabilities				(1,570)				(1,570)
Other invested assets	\$	(13,417)	\$	1,711	\$	52,483	\$	40,777
Funds held directly managed	Ψ	(13,417)	Ψ	1,/11	φ	<i>52</i> ,40 <i>5</i>	Ψ	70,777
U.S. government and agencies	\$		\$	230,481	\$	355	\$	230,836
Non-U.S. sovereign government, supranational	Ψ		Ψ	200,701	Ψ	333	Ψ	20,000
and government related				313,284				313,284
Corporate				550,644				550,644
Corporate				220,077				220,077

Edgar Filing: PARTNERRE LTD - Form 10-Q

Short-term investments		42,330		42,330
Other invested assets			21,720	21,720
Funds held directly managed	\$	\$ 1,136,739	\$ 22,075	\$ 1,158,814
Total	\$ 762,202	\$ 15,505,699	\$ 549,240	\$ 16,817,141

8

December 31, 2010	Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets		Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)		unobsei inpu			Total
Fixed maturities		(Level 1)		(Level 2)	,	Level 3)		1 Otal
U.S. government and agencies	\$		\$	917,600	\$	55,124	\$	972,724
Non-U.S. sovereign government, supranational and	Ψ		Ψ	917,000	ψ	33,124	Ψ	912,124
government related				2,819,193				2,819,193
Corporate				6,066,865		76,982		6,143,847
Asset-backed securities				343,518		213,139		556,657
Residential mortgage-backed securities				2,305,525		213,137		2,305,525
Other mortgage-backed securities				26,153		290		26,443
Other mortgage backed securities				20,133		270		20,113
Fixed maturities	\$		\$	12,478,854	Ф	345,535	¢.	12,824,389
Short-term investments	\$		\$	49,397	\$ \$	343,333	\$.	49,397
Equities	Ф		Φ	49,397	ф		Ф	49,397
Consumer noncyclical	\$	186,016	\$		\$		\$	186,016
Technology	φ	119,214	φ		φ		φ	119,214
Energy		119,214						118,372
Finance		112,309				2,486		114,795
Communications		110,982				2,400		110,982
Industrials		100,572						100,572
Consumer cyclical		81,595						81,595
Insurance		48,611						48,611
Other		90,220						90,220
Mutual funds and exchange traded funds		60,372				40,927		101,299
Withtan Taries and exchange traded rands		00,572				10,527		101,2
Equities	\$	1,028,263	\$		\$	43,413	\$	1,071,676
Other invested assets	Ψ	1,020,203	Ψ		Ψ	13,113	Ψ	1,071,070
Derivative assets								
Foreign exchange forward contracts	\$		\$	27,880	\$		\$	27,880
Foreign currency option contracts	T		Ψ	3,516	Ψ.			3,516
Futures contracts		30,593		2,020				30,593
Credit default swaps (protection purchased)		,		93				93
Credit default swaps (assumed risks)				533				533
Insurance-linked securities		1,320						1,320
Total return swaps		,		449		5,592		6,041
Interest rate swaps				246				246
Other assets						86,278		86,278
Derivative liabilities								
Foreign exchange forward contracts				(13,647)				(13,647)
Futures contracts		(7,956)						(7,956)
Credit default swaps (protection purchased)				(2,407)				(2,407)
Credit default swaps (assumed risks)				(401)				(401)
Insurance-linked securities		(695)				(698)		(1,393)
Total return swaps						(12,848)		(12,848)
Interest rate swaps				(6,033)				(6,033)
Other liabilities				(441)				(441)
Other invested assets	\$	23,262	\$	9,788	\$	78,324	\$	111,374
Funds held directly managed								
U.S. government and agencies	\$		\$	288,164	\$	368	\$	288,532
Non-U.S. sovereign government, supranational and								
government related				384,553				384,553
Corporate				798,587				798,587
Mortgage/asset-backed securities						12,118		12,118

Edgar Filing: PARTNERRE LTD - Form 10-Q

Short-term investments		38,613	38,613
Other invested assets		2	20,528 20,528
Funds held directly managed	\$	\$ 1,509,917 \$ 3	\$3,014 \$ 1,542,931
Total	\$ 1,051,525	\$ 14,047,956 \$ 50	0,286 \$ 15,599,767

9

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the aggregate carrying amounts of items included in Other invested assets that the Company did not measure at fair value were \$297.6 million and \$241.0 million, respectively, which related to the Company s investments that are accounted for using the cost method of accounting, equity method of accounting or investment company accounting.

In addition to the investments underlying the funds held directly managed account held at fair value of \$1,158.8 million and \$1,542.9 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, the funds held directly managed account also included cash and cash equivalents, carried at fair value, of \$149.3 million and \$129.2 million, respectively, and accrued investment income of \$14.9 million and \$19.9 million, respectively. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the aggregate carrying amounts of items included in the funds held directly managed account that the Company did not measure at fair value were \$56.5 million and \$80.1 million, respectively, which primarily related to other assets and liabilities held by Colisée Re related to the underlying business, which are carried at cost (see Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010).

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, substantially all of the accrued investment income in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets related to the Company s investments and the investments underlying the funds held directly managed account for which the fair value option was elected.

During the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, there were no significant transfers between Levels 1 and 2.

Disclosures about the fair value of financial instruments that the Company does not measure at fair value exclude insurance contracts and certain other financial instruments. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the fair values of financial instrument assets recorded in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets not described above, approximate their carrying values.

The following tables are reconciliations of the beginning and ending balances for all financial instruments measured at fair value using Level 3 inputs for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands of U.S. dollars):

		Realized					
		and					
		unrealized					Change in
		investment gains					unrealized
		8			Net		investment
	Balance	(losses) included			transfers		gains (losses)
For the three months ended	at	in			(out of)/into	Balance	relating to
	beginning	net			Level 3	at end	assets held at
June 30, 2011	of period	income	Purchases	Sales	(a)	of period	end of period
Fixed maturities							
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 55,929	\$ 819	\$ 30,064	\$ (30)	\$	\$ 86,782	\$ 819
Corporate	115,107	2,049	84	(812)		116,428	2,049
Asset-backed securities	262,408	7,707	47,130	(55,402)		261,843	(2,660)
Residential mortgage-backed securities	4,301	846		(5,147)			
Other mortgage-backed securities	576	(191)		(384)		1	(166)
Fixed maturities	\$ 438,321	\$ 11,230	\$ 77,278	\$ (61,775)	\$	\$ 465,054	\$ 42
Short-term investments	\$ 1,204	\$ (730)	\$ 2,449	\$	\$	\$ 2,923	\$ (730)
Equities							
Finance	\$ 161	\$ 2	\$	\$	\$	\$ 163	\$ 2
Mutual funds and exchange traded funds	41,451	594		(35,503)		6,542	(804)
Equities	\$ 41,612	\$ 596	\$	\$ (35,503)	\$	\$ 6,705	\$ (802)
Other invested assets							
Derivatives, net	\$ (17,042)	\$ 322	\$ (4,815)	\$	\$	\$ (21,535)	\$ 234
Other	84,662	(1,303)	3,568	(12,909)		74,018	(1,016)

Edgar Filing: PARTNERRE LTD - Form 10-Q

Other invested assets	\$ 67,620	\$ (981)	\$ (1,247)	\$ (12,909)	\$ \$ 52,483	\$ (782)
Funds held directly managed						
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 366	\$ (11)	\$	\$	\$ \$ 355	\$ (11)
Other invested assets	22,456	(736)			21,720	(736)
Funds held directly managed	\$ 22,822	\$ (747)	\$	\$	\$ \$ 22,075	\$ (747)
Total	\$ 571,579	\$ 9,368	\$ 78,480	\$ (110,187)	\$ \$ 549,240	\$ (3,019)

⁽a) The Company s policy is to recognize the transfers between the hierarchy levels at the beginning of the period.

For the three months ended June 30, 2010 Fixed maturities	Balance at beginning of period	Realized and unrealized investment gains (losses) included in net income	Net purchases, sales and settlements	Net transfers (out of)/into Level 3 (a)	Balance at end of period	Change in unrealized investment gains (losses) relating to assets held at end of period
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 9,720	\$ 272	\$ 7	\$	\$ 9,999	\$ 272
Corporate	10,057	300	5,080	Ф	15,437	300
Asset-backed securities	84,984	7,359	133,615		225,958	7,359
Residential mortgage-backed securities	95,029	1,337	(95,029)		223,730	1,557
Other mortgage-backed securities	811	123	(80)		854	123
Fixed maturities	\$ 200,601	\$ 8,054	\$ 43,593	\$	\$ 252,248	\$ 8,054
Equities		,	,		, ,	,
Finance	\$ 2,459	\$ (1,039)	\$ 695	\$	\$ 2,115	\$ (1,039)
Mutual funds and exchange traded funds	35,670	(1,058)	5,000		39,612	(1,058)
Equities	\$ 38,129	\$ (2,097)	\$ 5,695	\$	\$ 41,727	\$ (2,097)
Other invested assets						
Derivatives, net	\$ (7,998)	\$ 1,772	\$ (8,353)	\$	\$ (14,579)	\$ 1,772
Other	25,918	(253)	24,624		50,289	(253)
Other invested assets	\$ 17,920	\$ 1,519	\$ 16,271	\$	\$ 35,710	\$ 1,519
Funds held directly managed						
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 204	\$ 153	\$	\$	\$ 357	\$ 153
Mortgage/asset-backed securities	13,260	(683)			12,577	(683)
Other invested assets	30,348	(3,523)			26,825	(3,523)
Funds held directly managed	\$ 43,812	\$ (4,053)	\$	\$	\$ 39,759	\$ (4,053)
Total	\$ 300,462	\$ 3,423	\$ 65,559	\$	\$ 369,444	\$ 3,423
Danier - 4b - 4b	41		4 -£T1 2			

During the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, there were no transfers into or out of Level 3.

The following tables are reconciliations of the beginning and ending balances for all financial instruments measured at fair value using Level 3 inputs for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands of U.S. dollars):

												C	hange in
												uı	realized
			_									in	vestment
				alized and									
	Dal	ance		realized vestment					Net			gai	ns (losses)
For the six months ended		ance at		ns (losses)					nei transfers	I	Balance	re	lating to
		nning	0	cluded in					into		at end	ass	ets held at
June 30, 2011	0	eriod		net loss	Pu	rchases		Sales	Level 3 (a)		f period		of period
Fixed maturities	or p.	criou		1033	- 4	remoes		Buies	Ec (c) c	0.	periou	CIIC	or periou
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 5	5,124	\$	1,624	\$	30,064	\$	(30)	\$	\$	86,782	\$	1,624
Corporate		6,982	Ψ	(37,066)	Ψ	40,878	Ψ	(4,546)	40,180		116,428	Ψ	2,368
Asset-backed securities		3,139		10,678	1	01,644		(63,618)	40,100		261,843		4,257
Residential mortgage-backed securities	21,	3,137		1,385		4,212		(5,597)			201,043		7,237
Other mortgage-backed securities		290		(224)		408		(473)			1		(203)
Other mortgage-backed securities		290		(224)		400		(473)			1		(203)
Fixed maturities	•	5,535	\$	(23,603)		77,206	\$	(74,264)	\$ 40,180		465,054	\$	8,046
Short-term investments	\$		\$	(1,069)	\$	3,992	\$		\$	\$	2,923	\$	(1,069)
Equities													
Finance		2,486	\$	239	\$		\$	(2,562)	\$	\$	163	\$	13
Mutual funds and exchange traded funds	40	0,927		1,242				(35,627)			6,542		(382)
Equities	\$ 43	3,413	\$	1,481	\$		\$	(38,189)	\$	\$	6,705	\$	(369)
Other invested assets		, -		, -				(,,	·		, , , ,		()
Derivatives, net	\$ (7,954)	\$	(8,803)	\$	(4,778)	\$		\$	\$	(21,535)	\$	(8,893)
Other		6,278	Ψ.	(3,207)	Ψ.	6,548	Ψ.	(15,601)	*	Ψ	74,018	Ψ.	(2,497)
Cine	O.	0,270		(0,207)		0,010		(10,001)			7 1,010		(=, 1,77)
Oil 1 1 1	ф д	0 224	ф	(12.010)	ф	1 770	ф	(15 (01)	ф	ф	53 402	ф	(11 200)
Other invested assets	\$ 78	8,324	\$	(12,010)	\$	1,770	\$	(15,601)	\$	\$	52,483	\$	(11,390)
Funds held directly managed	ф	260	ф	(10)	ф		ф		ф	ф	2	ф	(10)
U.S. government and agencies	\$	368	\$	(13)	\$		\$		\$	\$	355	\$	(13)
Mortgage/asset-backed securities		2,118		(150)				(11,968)					
Other invested assets	20	0,528		1,192							21,720		1,192
Funds held directly managed	\$ 33	3,014	\$	1,029	\$		\$	(11,968)	\$	\$	22,075	\$	1,179
				•									
Total	\$ 500	0,286	\$	(34,172)	\$ 1	82,968	•	(140,022)	\$ 40,180	\$	549,240	\$	(3,603)
1 Otal	φυσ	0,200	Ψ	(37,174)	φι	02,700	Ψ	(170,022)	Ψ 40,100	φ,	JT7,4TU	Ψ	(3,003)

Part			Realized				
For the six months ended Balance at a beginning and period in the six months ended Investment paints (losses) included at the purchases, sales and purchases, sales and purchases, as ale to a tend of period at end of peri			and				Change in
For the six months ended Balance at the six months ended Investment a signation of purchases, and the six months ended Investment and sensition of purchases, and the six months ended Investment and sensition of purchases, and the six months ended Investment and sensition of purchases, and the six months ended Investment and sensition of purchases, and the six months ended Investment and sensition of purchases, and the six months ended Investment and sensition of purchases, and the six months ended Investment and sensition of purchases, and the six months ended Investment and sensition of purchases, and the six months ended Investment and sensition of purchases, and the six months ended Investment and sensition of purchases, and the six months ended Investment and sensition of purchases, and the six months ended and the six months ended securities \$ 15,041 242.23 10,040 10,040 20,040 22,040			unrealized				unrealized
The state of the period The	To do do o do o bil	Balance	gains (losses)			Palamas	investment gains (losses)
Name 30, 2010 Original price Settlements Sale Sa	For the six months ended			_	(
Fixed maturities	June 30, 2010	0 0					
Corporate		•				•	•
Asset-backed securities	U.S. government and agencies	\$ 4,286	\$ 272	\$ 9,727	\$ (4,286)	\$ 9,999	\$ 272
Residential mortgage-backed securities 77,440 191 (77,631)	Corporate	15,041	423	10,900	(10,927)	15,437	423
Other mortgage-backed securities 874 153 (173) 854 153 Fixed maturities \$197,593 \$5,640 \$67,128 \$(18,113) \$252,248 \$5,424 Equities \$2,488 \$(1,068) \$695 \$2,115 \$(1,068) Industrials 805 (84) (721) (721) Mutual funds and exchange traded funds 34,810 (198) 5,000 39,612 (198) Equities \$38,103 \$(1,350) \$4,974 \$41,727 \$(1,266) Other invested assets \$(9,361) \$4,315 \$(17,699) \$8,166 \$(14,579) \$2,490 Other 25,815 (169) 24,643 50,289 (169) Other invested assets \$16,454 \$4,146 \$6,944 \$8,166 \$35,710 \$2,321 Funds held directly managed \$3,417 \$(13) \$(3,404) \$(3,404) \$(3,404) \$(3,404) \$(3,404) \$(3,404) \$(3,404) \$(3,404) \$(3,404) \$(3,404) \$(3,404) \$(3,404) <	Asset-backed securities	99,952	4,601	124,305	(2,900)	225,958	4,576
Fixed maturities \$197,593 \$5,640 \$67,128 \$(18,113) \$252,248 \$5,424 Equities Finance \$2,488 \$(1,068) \$695 \$2,115 \$(1,068) Industrials 805 (84) (721) \$	Residential mortgage-backed securities	77,440	191	(77,631)			
Equities Finance \$ 2,488 \$ (1,068) \$ 695 \$ \$ 2,115 \$ (1,068) Industrials 805 (84) (721) Mutual funds and exchange traded funds 34,810 (198) 5,000 39,612 (198) Equities \$ 38,103 \$ (1,350) \$ 4,974 \$ \$ 41,727 \$ (1,266) Other invested assets Derivatives, net \$ (9,361) \$ 4,315 \$ (17,699) \$ 8,166 \$ (14,579) \$ 2,490 Other \$ 25,815 \$ (169) 24,643 \$ 50,289 \$ (169) Other invested assets \$ 16,454 \$ 4,146 \$ 6,944 \$ 8,166 \$ 35,710 \$ 2,321 Funds held directly managed U.S. government and agencies \$ 375 \$ (18) \$ \$ \$ 357 \$ (18) Non-U.S. sovereign government, supranational and government related 3,417 \$ (13) \$ (3,404) \$ 16,866 \$ 12,577 \$ (4,426) Other invested assets \$ 142 \$ (4,431) \$ 16,866 \$ 12,577 \$ (4,426) Other invested assets \$ 35,685 \$ (8,860) \$ 26,825 \$ (8,860) \$ \$ (13,304)	Other mortgage-backed securities	874	153	(173)		854	153
Equities Finance \$ 2,488 \$ (1,068 \$ 695 \$ 2,115 \$ (1,068 \$ 1	Fixed maturities	\$ 197,593	\$ 5,640	\$ 67,128	\$ (18,113)	\$ 252,248	\$ 5,424
Finance \$ 2,488 \$ (1,068) \$ 695 \$ 2,115 \$ (1,068) Industrials 805 (84) (721) \$ (1,068) Mutual funds and exchange traded funds 34,810 (198) 5,000 39,612 (198) Equities \$ 38,103 \$ (1,350) \$ 4,974 \$ 41,727 \$ (1,266) Other invested assets \$ (9,361) \$ 4,315 \$ (17,699) \$ 8,166 \$ (14,579) \$ 2,490 Other 25,815 (169) 24,643 50,289 (169) Other invested assets \$ 16,454 \$ 4,146 \$ 6,944 \$ 8,166 \$ 35,710 \$ 2,321 Funds held directly managed U.S. government and agencies \$ 375 \$ (18) \$ 357 \$ (18) Non-U.S. sovereign government, supranational and government related 3,417 (13) (3,404) 16,866 12,577 (4,426) Other invested assets 35,685 (8,860) 26,825 (8,860)	Equities	,	,	,			,
Industrials 805 (84) (721)		\$ 2,488	\$ (1,068)	\$ 695	\$	\$ 2,115	\$ (1,068)
Mutual funds and exchange traded funds 34,810 (198) 5,000 39,612 (198) Equities \$ 38,103 \$ (1,350) \$ 4,974 \$ \$ 41,727 \$ (1,266) Other invested assets Derivatives, net \$ (9,361) \$ 4,315 \$ (17,699) \$ 8,166 \$ (14,579) \$ 2,490 Other 25,815 (169) 24,643 50,289 (169) Other invested assets \$ 16,454 \$ 4,146 \$ 6,944 \$ 8,166 \$ 35,710 \$ 2,321 Funds held directly managed U.S. government and agencies \$ 375 \$ (18) \$ \$ 357 \$ (18) Non-U.S. sovereign government, supranational and government related 3,417 (13) (3,404) \$ (13,866) 12,577 (4,426) Other invested assets 35,685 (8,860) 26,825 (8,860) Funds held directly managed \$ 39,619 \$ (13,322) \$ (3,404) \$ 16,866 \$ 39,759 \$ (13,304)	Industrials	805					
Other invested assets \$ (9,361) \$ 4,315 \$ (17,699) \$ 8,166 \$ (14,579) \$ 2,490 Other 25,815 (169) 24,643 50,289 (169) Other invested assets \$ 16,454 \$ 4,146 \$ 6,944 \$ 8,166 \$ 35,710 \$ 2,321 Funds held directly managed U.S. government and agencies \$ 375 \$ (18) \$ \$ 357 \$ (18) Non-U.S. sovereign government, supranational and government related 3,417 (13) (3,404) \$ 16,866 12,577 (4,426) Other invested assets 35,685 (8,860) 26,825 (8,860) Funds held directly managed \$ 39,619 \$ (13,322) \$ (3,404) \$ 16,866 \$ 39,759 \$ (13,304)	Mutual funds and exchange traded funds	34,810	(198)	5,000		39,612	(198)
Other invested assets \$ (9,361) \$ 4,315 \$ (17,699) \$ 8,166 \$ (14,579) \$ 2,490 Other 25,815 (169) 24,643 50,289 (169) Other invested assets \$ 16,454 \$ 4,146 \$ 6,944 \$ 8,166 \$ 35,710 \$ 2,321 Funds held directly managed U.S. government and agencies \$ 375 \$ (18) \$ \$ 357 \$ (18) Non-U.S. sovereign government, supranational and government related 3,417 (13) (3,404) \$ 4,426 \$	-						
Other invested assets \$ (9,361) \$ 4,315 \$ (17,699) \$ 8,166 \$ (14,579) \$ 2,490 Other 25,815 (169) 24,643 50,289 (169) Other invested assets \$ 16,454 \$ 4,146 \$ 6,944 \$ 8,166 \$ 35,710 \$ 2,321 Funds held directly managed U.S. government and agencies \$ 375 \$ (18) \$ \$ 357 \$ (18) Non-U.S. sovereign government, supranational and government related 3,417 (13) (3,404) \$ 16,866 12,577 (4,426) Other invested assets 35,685 (8,860) 26,825 (8,860) Funds held directly managed \$ 39,619 \$ (13,322) \$ (3,404) \$ 16,866 \$ 39,759 \$ (13,304)	Equities	\$ 38,103	\$ (1.350)	\$ 4.974	\$	\$ 41.727	\$ (1.266)
Derivatives, net Other \$ (9,361) \$ 4,315 \$ (17,699) \$ 8,166 \$ (14,579) \$ 2,490 Other 25,815 (169) 24,643 50,289 (169) Other invested assets \$ 16,454 \$ 4,146 \$ 6,944 \$ 8,166 \$ 35,710 \$ 2,321 Funds held directly managed U.S. government and agencies \$ 375 \$ (18) \$ 357 \$ (18) Non-U.S. sovereign government, supranational and government related 3,417 (13) (3,404) 4 (3,404) 4 (4,426) <	•		+ (-,)	7 .,,, , ,			+ (-,=)
Other 25,815 (169) 24,643 50,289 (169) Other invested assets \$ 16,454 \$ 4,146 \$ 6,944 \$ 8,166 \$ 35,710 \$ 2,321 Funds held directly managed U.S. government and agencies \$ 375 \$ (18) \$ \$ 357 \$ (18) Non-U.S. sovereign government, supranational and government related 3,417 (13) (3,404) \$ 4,426 Mortgage/asset-backed securities 142 (4,431) 16,866 12,577 (4,426) Other invested assets 35,685 (8,860) 26,825 (8,860) Funds held directly managed \$ 39,619 \$ (13,322) \$ (3,404) \$ 16,866 \$ 39,759 \$ (13,304)		\$ (9.361)	\$ 4.315	\$ (17,699)	\$ 8,166	\$ (14.579)	\$ 2,490
Other invested assets \$ 16,454 \$ 4,146 \$ 6,944 \$ 8,166 \$ 35,710 \$ 2,321 Funds held directly managed U.S. government and agencies \$ 375 \$ (18) \$ \$ 357 \$ (18) Non-U.S. sovereign government, supranational and government related 3,417 (13) (3,404) Mortgage/asset-backed securities 142 (4,431) 16,866 12,577 (4,426) Other invested assets 35,685 (8,860) 26,825 (8,860) Funds held directly managed \$ 39,619 \$ (13,322) \$ (3,404) \$ 16,866 \$ 39,759 \$ (13,304)					+ 0,100		. ,
Funds held directly managed U.S. government and agencies \$ 375 \$ (18) \$ \$ \$ 357 \$ (18) Non-U.S. sovereign government, supranational and government related 3,417 (13) (3,404) Mortgage/asset-backed securities 142 (4,431) 16,866 12,577 (4,426) Other invested assets 35,685 (8,860) 26,825 (8,860) Funds held directly managed \$ 39,619 \$ (13,322) \$ (3,404) \$ 16,866 \$ 39,759 \$ (13,304)		-,-	()	,		,	(11)
Funds held directly managed U.S. government and agencies \$ 375 \$ (18) \$ \$ \$ 357 \$ (18) Non-U.S. sovereign government, supranational and government related 3,417 (13) (3,404) Mortgage/asset-backed securities 142 (4,431) 16,866 12,577 (4,426) Other invested assets 35,685 (8,860) 26,825 (8,860) Funds held directly managed \$ 39,619 \$ (13,322) \$ (3,404) \$ 16,866 \$ 39,759 \$ (13,304)	Other invested assets	\$ 16,454	\$ 4,146	\$ 6,944	\$ 8,166	\$ 35,710	\$ 2,321
U.S. government and agencies \$ 375 \$ (18) \$ \$ \$ 357 \$ (18) \$ Non-U.S. sovereign government, supranational and government related 3,417 (13) (3,404) Mortgage/asset-backed securities 142 (4,431) 16,866 12,577 (4,426) Other invested assets 35,685 (8,860) 26,825 (8,860) Funds held directly managed \$ 39,619 \$ (13,322) \$ (3,404) \$ 16,866 \$ 39,759 \$ (13,304)				. ,			. ,
Non-U.S. sovereign government, supranational and government related 3,417 (13) (3,404) Mortgage/asset-backed securities 142 (4,431) 16,866 12,577 (4,426) Other invested assets 35,685 (8,860) 26,825 (8,860) Funds held directly managed \$ 39,619 \$ (13,322) \$ (3,404) \$ 16,866 \$ 39,759 \$ (13,304)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$ 375	\$ (18)	\$	\$	\$ 357	\$ (18)
government related 3,417 (13) (3,404) Mortgage/asset-backed securities 142 (4,431) 16,866 12,577 (4,426) Other invested assets 35,685 (8,860) 26,825 (8,860) Funds held directly managed \$ 39,619 \$ (13,322) \$ (3,404) \$ 16,866 \$ 39,759 \$ (13,304)							
Mortgage/asset-backed securities 142 (4,431) 16,866 12,577 (4,426) Other invested assets 35,685 (8,860) 26,825 (8,860) Funds held directly managed \$ 39,619 \$ (13,322) \$ (3,404) \$ 16,866 \$ 39,759 \$ (13,304)		3,417	(13)	(3,404)			
Other invested assets 35,685 (8,860) 26,825 (8,860) Funds held directly managed \$ 39,619 \$ (13,322) \$ (3,404) \$ 16,866 \$ 39,759 \$ (13,304)	Mortgage/asset-backed securities	142	(4,431)		16,866	12,577	(4,426)
Funds held directly managed \$ 39,619 \$ (13,322) \$ (3,404) \$ 16,866 \$ 39,759 \$ (13,304)		35,685					
Total \$291,769 \$ (4.886) \$ 75.642 \$ 6.919 \$369.444 \$ (6.825)	Funds held directly managed	\$ 39,619	\$ (13,322)	\$ (3,404)	\$ 16,866	\$ 39,759	\$ (13,304)
D. d. i. d. 1.11 20 2011 () 1.11 1.12 () () () () () () () () () (Total	\$ 291,769	\$ (4,886)	\$ 75,642	\$ 6,919	\$ 369,444	\$ (6,825)

During the six months ended June 30, 2011, a catastrophe bond (included within corporate fixed maturities) with a fair value of \$40.2 million was transferred from Level 2 into Level 3. The transfer into Level 3 was due to the lack of observable market inputs at March 31, 2011, leading the Company to apply inputs that were not directly observable. The catastrophe bond matured during the three months ended June 30, 2011.

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, certain fixed maturities with a fair value of \$18.1 million were transferred from Level 3 into Level 2. The reclassifications to Level 2 consisted of municipal (included within U.S. government and agencies), corporate and student loans (included within asset-backed securities) fixed maturities. The transfers into Level 2 were due to the availability of quoted prices for similar assets in active markets used for valuation as of June 30, 2010, resulting from the continued recovery of the financial markets. In addition, during the six months ended June 30, 2010, certain derivatives with a fair value in a net liability position of \$8.2 million were transferred out of Level 3 into Level 2 due to the availability of observable inputs.

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, certain fixed maturities within the investments underlying the funds held directly managed account with a fair value of \$16.9 million were transferred from Level 2 into Level 3. The reclassification into Level 3 consisted of asset-backed securities and residential and commercial mortgage-backed securities. The transfers into Level 3 were the result of the lack of observable market inputs, leading the Company to apply inputs that were not directly observable.

Changes in the fair value of the Company s financial instruments subject to the fair value option during the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, were as follows (in thousands of U.S. dollars):

	For the three months	For the three months	For the six months	For the six
	ended June 30, 2011	ended June 30, 2010	ended June 30, 2011	months ended June 30, 2010
Fixed maturities	\$ 130,907	\$ 165,665	\$ (9,321)	\$ 264,762
Short-term investments	194	7	(446)	(2,418)
Equities	(30,197)	(126,610)	(14,079)	(101,198)
Other invested assets	(3,689)	(226)	(3,333)	(142)
Funds held directly managed	10,756	19,988	(1,494)	31,167
Total	\$ 107,971	\$ 58,824	\$ (28,673)	\$ 192,171

All of the above changes in fair value are included in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations under the caption Net realized and unrealized investment gains (losses).

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Company in estimating the fair value of each class of financial instrument recorded in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. There have been no material changes in the Company s valuation techniques during the periods presented.

Fixed maturities and short-term investments

U.S. government and agencies U.S. government and agencies securities consist primarily of bonds issued by the U.S. Treasury, corporate debt securities issued by the Federal National Mortgage Association, the Federal Home Loan Bank and other U.S. agencies as well as bonds issued by U.S. domiciled state and municipal entities. These securities are generally priced by independent pricing services. The independent pricing services may use actual transaction prices for securities that have been actively traded. For securities that have not been actively traded, each pricing source has its own proprietary method to determine the fair value, which may incorporate option adjusted spreads (OAS), interest rate data and market news. The Company generally classifies these securities in Level 2. Certain of the U.S. domiciled states and municipal investments issued by municipal housing authorities are not actively traded and are priced based on internal models using unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the Company classifies these securities in Level 3.

Non-U.S. sovereign government, supranational and government related Non-U.S. sovereign government, supranational and government related securities consist primarily of bonds issued by non-U.S. national governments and their agencies, non-U.S. regional governments and supranational organizations. These securities are generally priced by independent pricing services using the techniques described for U.S. government and agencies above. The Company generally classifies these securities in Level 2.

Corporate Corporate securities consist primarily of U.S. and foreign corporations covering a variety of industries. These securities are generally priced by independent pricing services and brokers. The pricing provider incorporates information including credit spreads, interest rate data and market news into the valuation of each security. The Company generally classifies these securities in Level 2. When a corporate security is inactively traded or the valuation model uses unobservable inputs, the Company classifies the security in Level 3.

Asset-backed securities Asset-backed securities primarily consist of student loans, automobile loans, credit card receivables, equipment leases, and special purpose financing. With the exception of special purpose financing, these asset-backed securities are generally priced by independent pricing services and brokers. The pricing provider applies dealer quotes and other available trade information, prepayment speeds, yield curves and credit spreads to the valuation. The Company generally classifies these securities in Level 2. Special purpose financing securities are generally inactively traded and are priced based on valuation models using unobservable inputs, including cash flow assumptions and credit spreads. The Company generally classifies these securities in Level 3

Residential mortgage-backed securities Residential mortgage-backed securities primarily consist of bonds issued by the Government National Mortgage Association, the Federal National Mortgage Association, the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, as well as private, non-agency issuers. With the exception of private, non-agency issuers, these residential mortgage-backed securities are generally priced by independent pricing services and brokers. When current market trades are not available, the pricing provider will employ proprietary models with observable inputs including other trade information, prepayment speeds, yield curves and credit spreads. The Company generally classifies these securities in Level 2. Bonds issued by private, non-agency issuers are generally inactively traded and are priced based on valuation models using unobservable inputs, including cash flow assumptions and credit spreads. The Company generally classifies these securities in Level 3.

Other mortgage-backed securities Other mortgage-backed securities primarily consist of commercial mortgage-backed securities. These securities are generally priced by independent pricing services and brokers. The pricing provider applies

14

dealer quotes and other available trade information, prepayment speeds, yield curves and credit spreads to the valuation. The Company generally classifies these securities in Level 2. When a commercial mortgage-backed security is inactively traded or the valuation model uses unobservable inputs, the Company classifies the security in Level 3.

In general, the methods employed by the independent pricing services to determine the fair value of the securities that have not actively traded involve the use of matrix pricing in which the independent pricing source applies the credit spread for a comparable security that has traded recently to the current yield curve to determine a reasonable fair value. The Company uses a pricing service ranking to consistently select the most appropriate pricing service in instances where it receives multiple quotes on the same security. When fair values are unavailable from these independent pricing sources, quotes are obtained directly from broker-dealers who are active in the corresponding markets. Most of the Company s fixed maturities are priced from the pricing services or dealer quotes. The Company will typically not make adjustments to prices received from pricing services or dealer quotes; however, in instances where the quoted external price for a security uses significant unobservable inputs, the Company will categorize that security as Level 3. The Company s inactively traded fixed maturities are classified as Level 3. For all fixed maturity investments, the bid price is used for estimating fair value.

To validate prices, the Company compares the fair value estimates to its knowledge of the current market and will investigate prices that it considers not to be representative of fair value. The Company also reviews an internally generated fixed maturity price validation report which converts prices received for fixed maturity investments from the independent pricing sources and from broker-dealers quotes and plots OAS and duration on a sector and rating basis. The OAS is calculated using established algorithms developed by an independent risk analytics platform vendor. The OAS on the fixed maturity price validation report are compared for securities in a similar sector and having a similar rating, and outliers are identified and investigated for price reasonableness. In addition, the Company completes quantitative analyses to compare the performance of each fixed maturity investment portfolio to the performance of an appropriate benchmark, with significant differences identified and investigated.

Short term investments

Short term investments are valued in a manner similar to the Company s fixed maturity investments and are generally classified in Level 2. Special purpose financing securities purchased with less than 12 months to maturity are generally inactively traded and are priced based on valuation models using unobservable inputs, including cash flow assumptions and credit spreads. The Company generally classifies these securities in Level 3.

Equities

Equity securities include U.S. and foreign common and preferred stocks, exchange traded funds and mutual funds. Equities and exchange traded funds are generally classified in Level 1 as the Company uses prices received from independent pricing sources based on quoted prices in active markets. Equities categorized as Level 2 are generally mutual funds invested in fixed income securities, where the net asset value of the fund is provided on a daily basis and common stocks traded in inactive markets. Equities categorized as Level 3 are generally mutual funds invested in securities other than the common stock of publicly traded companies, where the net asset value is not provided on a daily basis.

To validate prices, the Company completes quantitative analyses to compare the performance of each equity investment portfolio to the performance of an appropriate benchmark, with significant differences identified and investigated.

Other invested assets

The Company s exchange traded derivatives, such as futures and certain weather derivatives, are generally categorized as Level 1 as their fair values are quoted prices in active markets. The Company s foreign exchange forward contracts, foreign currency option contracts, equity put and call options, interest rate swaps, non-exchange traded futures and credit default swaps are generally categorized as Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy and are priced by independent pricing services.

Included in the Company s Level 3 categorization, in general, are unlisted equities, credit linked notes, certain inactively traded weather derivatives, notes and loans receivable and total return swaps. For Level 3 instruments, the Company will generally either (i) receive a price based on a manager s or trustee s valuation for the asset; or (ii) develop an internal discounted cash flow model to measure fair value. Where the Company receives prices from the manager or trustee, these prices are based on the manager s or trustee s estimate of fair value for the assets and are generally audited on an annual basis. Where the Company develops its own discounted cash flow models, the inputs will be specific to the asset in question, based on appropriate historical information, adjusted as necessary, and using appropriate discount rates. As part of the Company s modeling to determine the fair value of an investment, the Company considers counterparty credit risk as an input to the model, however, the majority of the Company s counterparties are highly rated institutions and the failure of any one counterparty would not have a significant impact on the Company s financial statements.

To validate prices, the Company will compare them to benchmarks, where appropriate, or to the business results generally within that asset class and specifically to those particular assets. In addition, the fair value measurements of all Level 3 investments are presented to, and peer reviewed by, an internal valuation committee that the Company has established.

Funds held directly managed

The segregated investment portfolio underlying the funds held directly managed account is comprised of fixed maturities, short-term investments and other invested assets which are fair valued on a basis consistent with the methods described above. Substantially all fixed maturities and short-term investments within the funds held directly managed account are categorized as Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy.

The other invested assets within the segregated investment portfolio underlying the funds held directly managed account, which are categorized as Level 3 investments, are primarily real estate mutual fund investments carried at fair value. For the real estate mutual fund investments, the Company receives a price based on the real estate fund manager s valuation for the asset and further adjusts the price, if necessary, based on appropriate current information on the real estate market.

To validate prices within the segregated investment portfolio underlying the funds held directly managed account, the Company utilizes the methods described above.

(b) Fair Value of Financial Instrument Liabilities

Disclosures about the fair value of financial instrument liabilities exclude insurance contracts and certain other financial instruments. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the fair values of financial instrument liabilities recorded in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets approximate their carrying values, with the exception of the debt related to senior notes (Senior Notes) and the debt related to capital efficient notes (CENts). The methods and assumptions used by the Company in estimating the fair value of the Senior Notes and CENts did not change from December 31, 2010.

The carrying values and fair values of the Senior Notes and CENts as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were as follows (in thousands of U.S. dollars):

	June 30	, 2011	December 31, 2010			
	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value		
Debt related to senior notes (1)	750,000	793,773	750,000	781,950		
Debt related to capital efficient notes (2)	63,384	61,133	63,384	59,261		

- (1) PartnerRe Finance A LLC and PartnerRe Finance B LLC, the issuers of the Senior Notes, do not meet consolidation requirements under U.S. GAAP. Accordingly, the Company shows the related intercompany debt of \$750 million in its Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.
- (2) PartnerRe Finance II Inc., the issuer of the CENts, does not meet consolidation requirements under U.S. GAAP. Accordingly, the Company shows the related intercompany debt of \$71 million in its Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

5. Derivatives

The Company s derivative instruments are recorded in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair value, with changes in fair value mainly recognized in either net foreign exchange gains and losses or net realized and unrealized investment gains and losses in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations or accumulated other comprehensive income or loss in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, depending on the nature of the derivative instrument. The Company s objectives for holding or issuing these derivatives are as follows:

Foreign Exchange Forward Contracts

The Company utilizes foreign exchange forward contracts as part of its overall currency risk management and investment strategies. From time to time, the Company also utilizes foreign exchange forward contracts to hedge a portion of its net investment exposure resulting from the translation of its foreign subsidiaries and branches whose functional currency is other than the U.S. dollar.

Foreign Currency Option Contracts and Futures Contracts

The Company also utilizes foreign currency option contracts to mitigate foreign currency risk. The Company uses exchange traded treasury note futures contracts to manage portfolio duration and commodity and equity futures to hedge certain investments. The Company also uses commodities futures to replicate the investment return on certain benchmarked commodities.

Credit Default Swaps

The Company purchases protection through credit default swaps to mitigate the risk associated with its underwriting operations, most notably in the credit/surety line, and to manage market exposures.

16

The Company also assumes credit risk through credit default swaps to replicate investment positions. The original term of these credit default swaps is generally five years or less and there are no recourse provisions associated with these swaps. While the Company would be required to perform under exposure assumed through credit default swaps in the event of a default on the underlying issuer, no issuer was in default at June 30, 2011. The counterparties on the Company s assumed credit default swaps are all highly rated financial institutions.

Insurance-Linked Securities

The Company has entered into various weather derivatives, weather futures and longevity total return swaps for which the underlying risks reference parametric weather risks for the weather derivatives and weather futures, and longevity risk for the longevity total return swaps.

Total Return and Interest Rate Swaps and Interest Rate Derivatives

The Company has entered into total return swaps referencing various project, investments and principal finance obligations. The Company has also entered into interest rate swaps to mitigate the interest rate risk on certain of the total return swaps. The Company may also use other interest rate derivatives to mitigate exposure to interest rate volatility.

The fair values and the related notional values of derivatives included in the Company s Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were as follows (in thousands of U.S. dollars):

	Asset derivatives	Liability derivatives	Net derivatives			
	at fair	at fair	Net notional	Fair		
June 30, 2011	value	value	exposure	value		
Derivatives not designated as hedges			·			
Foreign exchange forward contracts	\$ 11,634	\$ (4,512)	\$ 2,956,150	\$ 7,122		
Foreign currency option contracts	2,921	(470)	163,304	2,451		
Futures contracts	6,398	(19,613)	2,334,365	(13,215)		
Credit default swaps (protection purchased)	4	(2,162)	109,890	(2,158)		
Credit default swaps (assumed risks)	906		27,500	906		
Insurance-linked securities	274	(15,126)	95,848	(14,852)		
Total return swaps	4,685	(11,459)	159,720	(6,774)		
Interest rate swaps ⁽¹⁾	52	(5,203)		(5,151)		
Total derivatives	\$ 26,874	\$ (58,545)		\$ (31,671)		
			Net deriv			
	Asset derivatives at	Liability derivatives	Net notional	vatives Fair		
December 31, 2010	derivatives	•				
Derivatives designated as hedges	derivatives at fair value	derivatives at fair value	Net notional exposure	Fair value		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	derivatives at	derivatives	Net notional	Fair		
Derivatives designated as hedges	derivatives at fair value	derivatives at fair value	Net notional exposure	Fair value		
Derivatives designated as hedges Foreign exchange forward contracts (net investment hedge)	derivatives at fair value	derivatives at fair value \$ (1,160)	Net notional exposure	Fair value		
Derivatives designated as hedges Foreign exchange forward contracts (net investment hedge) Total derivatives designated as hedges	derivatives at fair value	derivatives at fair value \$ (1,160)	Net notional exposure	Fair value		
Derivatives designated as hedges Foreign exchange forward contracts (net investment hedge) Total derivatives designated as hedges Derivatives not designated as hedges	derivatives at fair value \$	derivatives at fair value \$ (1,160) \$ (1,160)	Net notional exposure \$ 198,448	Fair value \$ (1,160) \$ (1,160)		
Derivatives designated as hedges Foreign exchange forward contracts (net investment hedge) Total derivatives designated as hedges Derivatives not designated as hedges Foreign exchange forward contracts	derivatives at fair value \$ \$ \$ 27,880	derivatives at fair value \$ (1,160) \$ (1,160)	Net notional exposure \$ 198,448 \$ 1,770,448	Fair value \$ (1,160) \$ (1,160) \$ 15,393		
Derivatives designated as hedges Foreign exchange forward contracts (net investment hedge) Total derivatives designated as hedges Derivatives not designated as hedges Foreign exchange forward contracts Foreign currency option contracts	derivatives at fair value \$ \$ 27,880 3,516	derivatives at fair value \$ (1,160) \$ (1,160) \$ (12,487)	Net notional exposure \$ 198,448 \$ 1,770,448 104,386	Fair value \$ (1,160) \$ (1,160) \$ 15,393 3,516		
Derivatives designated as hedges Foreign exchange forward contracts (net investment hedge) Total derivatives designated as hedges Derivatives not designated as hedges Foreign exchange forward contracts Foreign currency option contracts Futures contracts	\$ 27,880 3,516 30,593	derivatives at fair value \$ (1,160) \$ (1,160) \$ (12,487) (7,956)	Net notional exposure \$ 198,448 \$ 1,770,448 104,386 1,756,811	Fair value \$ (1,160) \$ (1,160) \$ 15,393 3,516 22,637		
Derivatives designated as hedges Foreign exchange forward contracts (net investment hedge) Total derivatives designated as hedges Derivatives not designated as hedges Foreign exchange forward contracts Foreign currency option contracts Futures contracts Credit default swaps (protection purchased)	\$ 27,880 3,516 30,593 93	derivatives at fair value \$ (1,160) \$ (1,160) \$ (12,487) (7,956) (2,407)	\$ 198,448 \$ 1,770,448 104,386 1,756,811 113,752	Fair value \$ (1,160) \$ (1,160) \$ 15,393 3,516 22,637 (2,314)		
Derivatives designated as hedges Foreign exchange forward contracts (net investment hedge) Total derivatives designated as hedges Derivatives not designated as hedges Foreign exchange forward contracts Foreign currency option contracts Futures contracts Credit default swaps (protection purchased) Credit default swaps (assumed risks)	\$ 27,880 3,516 30,593 93 533	derivatives at fair value \$ (1,160) \$ (1,160) \$ (12,487) (7,956) (2,407) (401)	\$ 198,448 \$ 198,448 \$ 1,770,448 104,386 1,756,811 113,752 27,500	Fair value \$ (1,160) \$ (1,160) \$ 15,393 3,516 22,637 (2,314) 132		

Total derivatives not designated as hedges	\$ 70,222	\$ (43,525)	\$ 26,697
Total derivatives	\$ 70,222	\$ (44,685)	\$ 25,537

⁽¹⁾ The Company enters into interest rate swaps to mitigate notional exposures on certain total return swaps. Accordingly, the notional value of interest rate swaps is not presented separately in the table.

The fair value of all derivatives at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is recorded in Other invested assets in the Company s Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. At June 30, 2011, none of the Company s derivatives were designated as hedges.

The gains and losses in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for derivatives not designated as hedges for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were as follows (in thousands of U.S. dollars):

	Amount of gain (losA)mount							nount of gain (loss)	
	on			on	on		on		
	de	erivatives	•	derivatives	derivatives		derivatives		
	re	cognized		recognized	recognized		recognized		
	in i	ncome for	ir	income for	in income for		in income for		
		the		the		the		the	
		months ended ne 30, 2011	three months ended six June 30, 2010			June 30, 2011		months ended une 30, 2010	
Foreign exchange forward contracts	\$ \$	65,250	\$	14,557	\$	48,234	\$	10,542	
Foreign currency option contracts	•	2,457		(1,066)		2,381	•	1,134	
		,		() /		,		,	
Total included in net foreign exchange gains and losses	\$	67,707	\$	13,491	\$	50,615	\$	11,676	
Futures contracts	\$	(66,231)	\$	(55,170)	\$	(86,514)	\$	(76,115)	
Credit default swaps (protection purchased)		(306)		311		(551)		(341)	
Credit default swaps (assumed risks)		770		(1,400)		1,607		(1,379)	
Insurance-linked securities		(2,546)		2,676		(9,620)		3,814	
Total return swaps		(115)		(212)		684		2,409	
Interest rate swaps		(182)		(104)		641		393	
Interest rate derivatives								(3,848)	
Other				55				(66)	
Total included in net realized and unrealized investment gains and	d								
losses	\$	(68,610)	\$	(53,844)	\$	(93,753)	\$	(75,133)	
Total derivatives	\$	(903)	\$	(40,353)	\$	(43,138)	\$	(63,457)	
6. Shareholders Equity									

Series E Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares

In June 2011, the Company issued 14,950,000 of 7.25% Series E cumulative redeemable preferred shares (Series E preferred shares) for a total consideration of \$362 million after underwriting discounts, commissions and other related expenses totaling \$12 million. The net proceeds were used for general corporate purposes. On or after June 1, 2016, the Company may redeem the Series E preferred shares, in whole at any time or in part from time to time, at \$25.00 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends, if any, without interest. The Series E preferred shares are also redeemable at any time upon certain changes in tax law. Dividends on the Series E preferred shares are cumulative from the date of issuance and are payable quarterly in arrears, commencing September 1, 2011. In the event of liquidation of the Company, the holders of outstanding Series E preferred shares would have preference over the common shareholders and would receive a distribution of \$25.00 per share, or an aggregate value of \$374 million, plus accrued and unpaid dividends, if any.

7. Net Income (Loss) per Share

The reconciliation of basic and diluted net income (loss) per share for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 is as follows (in thousands of U.S. dollars or shares, except per share amounts):

	For the three months ended June 30, 2011	For the three months ended June 30, 2010	For the six months ended June 30, 2011	For the six months ended June 30, 2010	
Numerator:					
Net income (loss)	\$ 124,185	\$ 190,927	\$ (682,771)	\$ 270,581	
Less: preferred dividends	(8,631)	(8,631)	(17,263)	(17,263)	

Edgar Filing: PARTNERRE LTD - Form 10-Q

Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$ 115,554	\$ 182,296	\$ (700,034)	\$	253,318
Denominator:					
Weighted number of common shares outstanding -					
basic	67,628.1	77,365.4	67,811.4		79,519.2
Share options and other (1)	814.2	1,431.0			1,531.5
Weighted average number of common shares and					
common share equivalents outstanding- diluted	68,442.3	78,796.4	67,811.4		81,050.7
			(40.55)	_	
Basic net income (loss) per share	\$ 1.71	\$ 2.36	\$ (10.32)	\$	3.19
Diluted net income (loss) per share ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1.69	\$ 2.31	\$ (10.32)	\$	3.13

(1) Dilutive securities, in the form of share options and other, that could potentially dilute basic net loss per share were not included in the computation of diluted net loss per share because to do so would have been anti-dilutive for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The weighted average number of common and common share equivalents outstanding would have amounted to 68,769.9 thousand shares if these securities had been included for the six months ended June 30, 2011. In addition, at June 30, 2011 and 2010, share based awards to purchase 1,253.6 and 840.4 thousand common shares, respectively, were excluded from the calculation of diluted weighted average number of common shares and common share equivalents outstanding because their exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the common shares.

8. Commitments and Contingencies

(a) Concentration of Credit Risk

Financing receivables

Included in the Company s Other invested assets are certain notes receivable which meet the definition of financing receivables and are accounted for using the cost method of accounting. Performance of these notes receivable to date has been within expectations. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, none of the Company s notes receivable are past due or in default and, accordingly, the Company believes that an allowance for credit losses related to these notes receivable is not required at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

The Company monitors the performance of the notes receivable based on the type of underlying collateral and by assigning a performing or a non-performing indicator of credit quality to each individual receivable. At June 30, 2011, the Company s notes receivable of \$101.6 million were all performing and were collateralized by residential property and commercial property of \$51.8 million and \$49.8 million, respectively.

The Company purchased financing receivables of \$66.0 million during the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011. There were no sales of financing receivables during the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, however, the outstanding balance has been reduced by settlements of the underlying debt.

(b) Legal Proceedings

There has been no significant change in legal proceedings at June 30, 2011 compared to December 31, 2010. See Note 18(e) to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

9. Segment Information

The Company monitors the performance of its operations in three segments, Non-life, Life and Corporate and Other as described in Note 22 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. The Non-life segment is further divided into four sub-segments: North America, Global (Non-U.S.) Property and Casualty (Global (Non-U.S.) P&C), Global (Non-U.S.) Specialty and Catastrophe. Following the completion of the Company s integration of PARIS RE Holdings Limited into its other Non-life sub-segments, and to reflect other changes in management responsibilities for certain lines of business and treaties, the Company redefined its financial reporting segments. The comparative data that was previously presented in the Company s Form 10-Q for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2010 has been recast to conform to the current period presentation.

Because the Company does not manage its assets by segment, net investment income is not allocated to the Non-life segment. However, because of the interest-sensitive nature of some of the Company s Life products, net investment income is considered in Management s assessment of the profitability of the Life segment. The following items are not considered in evaluating the results of the Non-life and Life segments: net realized and unrealized investment gains and losses, interest expense, amortization of intangible assets, net foreign exchange gains and losses, income tax expense or benefit and interest in earnings and losses of equity investments. Segment results are shown before consideration of intercompany transactions.

Management measures results for the Non-life segment on the basis of the loss ratio, acquisition ratio, technical ratio, other operating expense ratio and combined ratio (all defined below). Management measures results for the Non-life sub-segments on the basis of the loss ratio, acquisition ratio and technical ratio. Management measures results for the Life segment on the basis of the allocated underwriting result, which includes revenues from net premiums earned, other income or loss and allocated net investment income for Life, and expenses from life policy benefits, acquisition costs and other operating expenses.

The following tables provide a summary of the segment revenues and results for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in millions of U.S. dollars, except ratios):

19

Segment Information

For the three months ended June 30, 2011

	North America	Global on-U.S.) P&C	(No	lobal n-U.S.) ecialty	Cata	astrophe	No	Fotal on-life gment	Life gment	a	porate ind ther	Т	'otal
Gross premiums written	\$ 242	\$	\$	350	\$	169	\$	883	195	\$	4		1,082
Net premiums written	\$ 242	\$ 121	\$	333	\$	161	\$	857	\$	\$	4	\$ 1	1,056
Decrease (increase) in unearned													
premiums	19	72		8		(51)		48	6		(3)		51
Net premiums earned	\$ 261	\$ 193	\$	341	\$	110	\$	905	\$ 201	\$	1	\$:	1,107
Losses and loss expenses and life policy													
benefits	(190)	(127)		(206)		(123)		(646)	(166)		(2)		(814)
Acquisition costs	(63)	(53)		(78)		(9)		(203)	(26)				(229)
Technical result	\$ 8	\$ 13	\$	57	\$	(22)	\$	56	\$ 9	\$	(1)	\$	64
Other income											1		1
Other operating expenses								(71)	(13)		(30)		(114)
Underwriting result							\$	(15)	\$ (4)		n/a	\$	(49)
Net investment income									16		142		158
Allocated underwriting result (1)									\$ 12		n/a		n/a
Net realized and unrealized investment													
gains											78		78
Interest expense											(12)		(12)
Amortization of intangible assets											(9)		(9)
Net foreign exchange gains											9		9
Income tax expense											(50)		(50)
Interest in losses of equity investments											(1)		(1)
													404
Net income											n/a	\$	124
Loss ratio (2)	72.8%	65.9%		60.3%		111.7%		71.4%					
Acquisition ratio (3)	24.1	27.6		22.9		8.1		22.4					
•													
Technical ratio (4)	96.9%	93.5%		83.2%		119.8%		93.8%					
Other operating expense ratio (5)	70.770	75.570		03.270		117.070		7.9					
Omer operating expense ratio								1.9					
Combined ratio (6)								101.7%					

⁽¹⁾ Allocated underwriting result is defined as net premiums earned, other income or loss and allocated net investment income less life policy benefits, acquisition costs and other operating expenses.

⁽²⁾ Loss ratio is obtained by dividing losses and loss expenses by net premiums earned.

⁽³⁾ Acquisition ratio is obtained by dividing acquisition costs by net premiums earned.

⁽⁴⁾ Technical ratio is defined as the sum of the loss ratio and the acquisition ratio.

⁽⁵⁾ Other operating expense ratio is obtained by dividing other operating expenses by net premiums earned.

⁽⁶⁾ Combined ratio is defined as the sum of the technical ratio and the other operating expense ratio.

Segment Information

For the three months ended June 30, 2010

	North America	(No	Global on-U.S.) P&C	(No	lobal on-U.S.) ecialty	Cata	astrophe	No	Fotal on-life gment		Life gment	á	porate and ther	1	Total
Gross premiums written	\$ 207	\$	189	\$	371	\$	206		973		167	\$		\$	1,140
Net premiums written	\$ 207	\$	186	\$	355	\$	191	\$	939	\$	167	\$	7	\$	1,113
Decrease (increase) in unearned															
premiums	16		45		21		(90)		(8)		6		(6)		(8)
Net premiums earned	\$ 223	\$	231	\$	376	\$	101	\$	931	\$	173	\$	1	\$	1,105
Losses and loss expenses and life policy	·												_		-,
benefits	(116)		(141)		(292)		13		(536)		(169)				(705)
Acquisition costs	(69)		(61)		(82)		(7)		(219)		(25)				(244)
	(42)		()		()		(,)		()		()				(=)
Technical result	\$ 38	\$	29	\$	2	\$	107	\$	176	\$	(21)	\$	1	\$	156
Other income (loss)	ψ 50	Ψ	2)	Ψ		Ψ	107	Ψ	1	Ψ	1	Ψ	(1)	Ψ	1
Other operating expenses									(82)		(13)		(65)		(160)
other operating expenses									(02)		(13)		(03)		(100)
Underwriting result								\$	95	\$	(33)		n/a	\$	(3)
Net investment income								Ф	93	Ф	20		154	Ф	174
Net investment income											20		134		1/4
AT										ф	(12)		,		,
Allocated underwriting result										\$	(13)		n/a		n/a
Net realized and unrealized investment													4.6		16
gains													46		46
Interest expense													(13)		(13)
Amortization of intangible assets													(8)		(8)
Net foreign exchange gains													11		11
Income tax expense													(17)		(17)
Interest in earnings of equity															
investments													1		1
Net income													n/a	\$	191
Loss ratio	52.2%		61.1%		77.6%		(12.8)%		57.6%						
Acquisition ratio	30.7		26.4		21.9		6.3		23.4						
Technical ratio	82.9%		87.5%		99.5%		(6.5)%		81.0%						
Other operating expense ratio							(,/-		8.8						
1 0 1															
Combined ratio									89.8%						
Comonica ratio									07.070						

Combined ratio

Segment Information

For the six months ended June 30, 2011

	lorth nerica	(No	lobal on-U.S.) P&C	(No	Global on-U.S.) ecialty	Cat	astrophe	N	Total on-life egment		Life gment	a	porate and ther	7	Γotal
Gross premiums written	\$ 581	\$	440	\$	724	\$	486	\$	2,231	\$	403	\$	6	\$	2,640
Net premiums written	\$ 581	\$	437	\$	648	\$	453	\$	2,119	\$	402	\$	6	\$	2,527
(Increase) decrease in unearned															
premiums	(60)		(63)		10		(220)		(333)		(17)		(5)		(355)
Net premiums earned	\$ 521	\$	374	\$	658	\$	233	\$	1,786	\$	385	\$	1	\$	2,172
Losses and loss expenses and life															
policy benefits	(365)		(277)		(427)		(1,040)		(2,109)		(311)		(2)	((2,422)
Acquisition costs	(129)		(93)		(158)		(1)		(381)		(56)				(437)
Technical result	\$ 27	\$	4	\$	73	\$	(808)	\$	(704)	\$	18	\$	(1)	\$	(687)
Other income									2				1		3
Other operating expenses									(137)		(25)		(56)		(218)
Underwriting result								\$	(839)	\$	(7)		n/a	\$	(902)
Net investment income									(31		279		310
Allocated underwriting result										\$	24		n/a		n/a
Net realized and unrealized investment										4					11,44
losses													(34)		(34)
Interest expense													(24)		(24)
Amortization of intangible assets													(18)		(18)
Net foreign exchange gains													9		9
Income tax expense													(24)		(24)
Interest in losses of equity investments															
Net loss													n/a	\$	(683)
Loss ratio	70.0 %		74.0 %		64.9 %		446.3 %		118.0 %						
Acquisition ratio	24.8		25.0		24.0		0.3		21.4						
1															
Technical ratio	94.8 %		99.0 %		88.9 %		446.6 %		139.4 %						
Other operating expense ratio	77.0 //		JJ.U /0		00.7 /0		170.0 /0		7.7						
other operating expense ratio									7.7						

147.1 %

Segment Information

For the six months ended June 30, 2010

	lorth nerica	(No	Global on-U.S.) P&C	(No	lobal n-U.S.) ecialty	Cata	astrophe	N	Fotal on-life gment		Life gment	porate and Other	7	Γotal
Gross premiums written	\$ 564	\$	633	\$	880	\$	615		2,692	•	354	\$ 3	\$	3,049
Net premiums written	\$ 564	\$	621	\$	811	\$	550	\$	2,546	\$	349	\$ 2	\$	2,897
Increase in unearned premiums	(73)		(160)		(98)		(295)		(626)		(12)	(1)		(639)
Net premiums earned	\$ 491	\$	461	\$	713	\$	255	\$	1,920	\$	337	\$ 1	\$	2,258
Losses and loss expenses and life policy benefits	(206)		(387)		(505)		(140)		(1 /10)		(299)		,	(1.717)
Acquisition costs	(296) (137)		(114)		(595) (146)		(140) (18)		(1,418) (415)		(49)		((1,717) (464)
Technical result	\$ 58	\$	(40)	\$	(28)	\$	97	\$	87	\$	(11)	\$ 1	\$	77
Other income (loss)									2		1	(1)		2
Other operating expenses									(160)		(27)	(101)		(288)
Underwriting result								\$	(71)	\$	(37)	n/a	\$	(209)
Net investment income									,		37	311		348
Allocated underwriting result Net realized and unrealized investment										\$		n/a		n/a
gains												191		191
Interest expense												(20)		(20)
Amortization of intangible assets												(13)		(13)
Net foreign exchange gains												15		15
Income tax expense												(45)		(45)
Interest in earnings of equity investments												4		4
Net income												n/a	\$	271
T	60.20T		0.4.007		02.407		5 A CO		72.00					
Loss ratio	60.2%		84.0%		83.4%		54.6%		73.9%					
Acquisition ratio	28.0		24.6		20.5		7.3		21.6					
Technical ratio	88.2%		108.6%		103.9%		61.9%		95.5%					
Other operating expense ratio									8.3					
Combined ratio									103.8%					

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS Executive Overview

The Company is a leading global reinsurer, with a broadly diversified and balanced portfolio of traditional reinsurance risks and capital markets risks.

Successful risk management is the foundation of the Company s value proposition, with diversification of risks at the core of its risk management strategy. The Company s ability to succeed in the risk assumption and management business is dependent on its ability to accurately analyze and quantify risk, to understand volatility and how risks aggregate or correlate, and to establish the appropriate capital requirements and limits for the risks assumed. All risks are managed by the Company within an integrated framework of policies and processes that ensure the intelligent and consistent evaluation and valuation of risk, and ultimately provide an appropriate return to shareholders.

The Company s economic objective is to manage a portfolio of risks that will generate compound annual Diluted Book Value per Share growth of 10% and an average Operating ROE of 13% over a reinsurance cycle. Both of these metrics are defined below in Key Financial Measures. See also Other Key Issues of Management in Item 7 of Part II of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Key Financial Measures

In addition to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets and Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss), Management uses certain key measures to evaluate its financial performance and the overall growth in value generated for the Company s common shareholders. The four key measures that Management uses, together with definitions of their calculations, are as follows:

					_	une 30, 2011		mber 31, 2010
Diluted book value per common share and common share equivale	ents outst	tanding ⁽¹⁾			\$	83.71	\$	93.77
	For the three months ended June 30, 2011		For the three months ended June 30, 2010		mon Ju	r the six ths ended une 30, 2011	mont Ju	the six ths ended ine 30,
Operating earnings (loss) available to common shareholders (in millions of U.S. dollars) ⁽²⁾	\$	67	\$	142	\$	(668)	\$	91
Annualized operating return on beginning diluted book value per common share and common share equivalents outstanding ⁽³⁾		4.2 %		8.5 %		(21.0)%		2.7 %
Combined ratio ⁽⁴⁾		101.7 %		89.8 %		147.1 %		103.8 %

- (1) Diluted book value per common share and common share equivalents outstanding is calculated using common shareholders equity (shareholders equity less the aggregate liquidation value of preferred shares) divided by the number of fully diluted common shares and common share equivalents outstanding (assuming exercise of all stock-based awards and other dilutive securities).
- (2) Operating earnings or loss available to common shareholders (operating earnings or loss) is calculated as net income or loss available to common shareholders excluding net realized and unrealized gains or losses on investments, net of tax, net foreign exchange gains or losses, net of tax, and interest in earnings or losses of equity investments, net of tax, where the Company does not control the investee companies activities, and is calculated after preferred dividends. The presentation of operating earnings or loss is a non-GAAP financial measure within the meaning of Regulation G (see Comment on Non-GAAP Measures below) and is reconciled to the nearest GAAP financial measure below. Effective January 1, 2011, Management redefined its operating earnings or loss calculation, as discussed below.
- (3) Annualized operating return on beginning diluted book value per common share and common share equivalents outstanding (Operating ROE) is calculated using operating earnings or loss, as defined above, per common share and common share equivalents outstanding, divided by beginning diluted book value per common share and common share equivalents outstanding, as defined above. The presentation of Operating ROE is a non-GAAP financial measure within the meaning of Regulation G (see Comment on Non-GAAP Measures below) and is reconciled to the nearest GAAP financial measure below. Effective January 1, 2011, Management redefined its Operating ROE calculation, as discussed below.

24

(4) The combined ratio of the Non-life segment is calculated as the sum of the technical ratio (losses and loss expenses and acquisition costs divided by net premiums earned) and the other operating expense ratio (other operating expenses divided by net premiums earned).
Effective January 1, 2011, Management redefined its operating earnings or loss available to common shareholders (operating earnings or loss) calculation to additionally exclude net foreign exchange gains or losses. Management believes that net foreign exchange gains or losses are not indicative of the performance of, and distort trends in, the Company s business as they predominantly result from general economic and foreign exchange market conditions. In addition, Management redefined its Annualized operating return on beginning diluted book value per common share and common share equivalents outstanding (Operating ROE, previously referred to as operating return on beginning common shareholders equity) calculation to measure Operating ROE on a diluted per share basis. Management believes that the redefined Operating ROE incorporates capital management activities while remaining based on the concept of deploying available capital on an annual basis. Operating earnings or loss and Operating ROE for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2010 have been recast to reflect the Company s redefined non-GAAP measures.

Diluted book value per Common share and common share equivalents outstanding (Diluted Book Value per Share): Management uses growth in Diluted Book Value per Share as a prime measure of the value the Company is generating for its common shareholders, as Management believes that growth in the Company s Diluted Book Value per Share ultimately translates into growth in the Company s stock price. Diluted Book Value per Share is impacted by the Company s net income, capital resources management and external factors such as foreign exchange, interest rates and equity markets, which can drive changes in unrealized gains or losses on its investment portfolio.

The Company s Diluted Book Value per Share decreased by 11% to \$83.71 at June 30, 2011 from \$93.77 at December 31, 2010, primarily due to the comprehensive loss in the six months ended June 30, 2011, partially offset by the accretive impact of the share repurchases. The comprehensive loss in the six months ended June 30, 2011 was driven by significant catastrophic losses, which are described in Overview and Review of Net Income (Loss) below. Also see Shareholders Equity and Capital Resources Management below.

Operating earnings or loss available to common shareholders (operating earnings or loss): Management uses operating earnings or loss to measure its financial performance as this measure focuses on the underlying fundamentals of the Company's operations by excluding net realized and unrealized gains or losses on investments, interest in earnings or losses of equity investments and net foreign exchange gains or losses. Net realized and unrealized gains or losses on investments in any particular period are not indicative of the performance of, and distort trends in, the Company's business as they predominantly result from general economic and financial market conditions, and the timing of realized gains or losses on investments is largely opportunistic. Interest in earnings or losses of equity investments are also not indicative of the performance of, or trends in, the Company's business as the Company does not control the investee companies activities. Net foreign exchange gains or losses are not indicative of the performance of, and distort trends in, the Company's business as they predominantly result from general economic and foreign exchange market conditions. Management believes that the use of operating earnings or loss enables investors and other users of the Company's financial information to analyze its performance in a manner similar to how Management analyzes performance. Management also believes that this measure follows industry practice and, therefore, allows the users of financial information to compare the Company's performance with its industry peer group, and that the equity analysts and certain rating agencies which follow the Company, and the insurance industry as a whole, generally exclude these items from their analyses for the same reasons.

Operating earnings decreased by \$75 million from \$142 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010 to \$67 million in the same period of 2011 primarily due to a decrease in the Non-life underwriting result of \$110 million, which was driven by large catastrophic losses, and was partially offset by an increase in the Life underwriting result and lower charges related to the Company s voluntary termination plan (see Results of Operations Overview in Item 7 of Part II of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 for a discussion of the voluntary termination plan). Operating earnings decreased by \$759 million from \$91 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 to a loss of \$668 million in the same period of 2011 primarily due to the same factors described for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The factors contributing to the increases or decreases in operating earnings or loss in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010 are further described in Overview and Review of Net Income (Loss) below.

The presentation of operating earnings or loss available to common shareholders is a non-GAAP financial measure within the meaning of Regulation G and should be considered in addition to, and not as a substitute for, measures of financial performance prepared in accordance with GAAP (see Comment on Non-GAAP Measures). The table below provides a reconciliation of operating earnings or loss to the most comparable GAAP financial measure (in millions of U.S. dollars):

Table of Contents 44

25

	For the three months ended June 30, 2011		montl	he three hs ended 30, 2010	mont	the six hs ended 30, 2011	For the six months end June 30, 20		
Net income (loss)	\$	124	\$	191	\$	(683)	\$	271	
Less:									
Net realized and unrealized investment gains									
(losses), net of tax		41		29		(47)		141	
Net foreign exchange gains, net of tax		9		10		16		19	
Interest in (losses) earnings of equity investments,									
net of tax		(2)		1		(1)		3	
Dividends to preferred shareholders		9		9		17		17	
•									
Operating earnings (loss) available to common									
shareholders	\$	67	\$	142	\$	(668)	\$	91	

Operating ROE: Management uses Operating ROE as a measure of profitability that focuses on the return to common shareholders. Management has set an average 13% Operating ROE target over the reinsurance cycle, which Management believes provides an attractive return to shareholders for the risk assumed. Each business unit and support department throughout the Company is focused on seeking to ensure that the Company meets the 13% return objective. This means that most economic decisions, including capital attribution and underwriting pricing decisions, incorporate an Operating ROE impact analysis. For the purpose of that analysis, an appropriate amount of capital (equity) is attributed to each transaction for determining the transaction s priced return on attributed capital. Subject to an adequate return for the risk level as well as other factors, such as the contribution of each risk to the overall risk level and risk diversification, capital is attributed to the transactions generating the highest priced return on deployed capital. Management s challenge consists of (i) attributing an appropriate amount of capital to each transaction based on the risk created by the transaction, (ii) properly estimating the Company s overall risk level and the impact of each transaction on the overall risk level, (iii) assessing the diversification benefit, if any, of each transaction, and (iv) deploying available capital. The risk for the Company lies in mis-estimating any one of these factors, which are critical in calculating a meaningful priced return on deployed capital, and entering into transactions that do not contribute to the Company s 13% Operating ROE objective.

Operating ROE decreased from 8.5% in the three months ended June 30, 2010 to 4.2% in the same period of 2011 and from 2.7% in the six months ended June 30, 2010 to a loss of 21.0% in the same period of 2011. The decreases in Operating ROE were primarily due to the decrease in operating earnings in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, which were driven by large catastrophic losses, and are described further in Overview and Review of Net Income (Loss).

The presentation of Operating ROE is a non-GAAP financial measure within the meaning of Regulation G and should be considered in addition to, and not as a substitute for, measures of financial performance prepared in accordance with GAAP (see Comment on Non-GAAP Measures). The table below provides a reconciliation of Operating ROE to the most comparable GAAP financial measure:

	For the three months ended June 30, 2011	For the three months ended June 30, 2010	For the six months ended June 30, 2011	For the six months ended June 30, 2010
Annualized return on beginning diluted book				
value per common share calculated with net				
income (loss) per share available to common				
shareholders	7.2 %	11.0 %	(22.0) %	7.4 %
Less:				
Annualized net realized and unrealized				
investment gains (losses), net of tax, on				
beginning diluted book value per common				
share	2.5	1.8	(1.5)	4.1
Annualized net foreign exchange gains, net of				
tax, on beginning diluted book value per				
common share	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5
Annualized net interest in (losses) earnings of				
equity investments, net of tax, on beginning				
diluted book value per common share	(0.1)	0.1		0.1

Annualized operating return on beginning diluted book value per common share

4.2 %

8.5 %

(21.0) %

2.7 %

Combined Ratio: The combined ratio is used industry-wide as a measure of underwriting profitability for Non-life business. A combined ratio under 100% indicates underwriting profitability, as the total losses and loss expenses, acquisition costs and other operating expenses are less than the premiums earned on that business. While an important metric of underwriting profitability, the combined ratio does not reflect all components of profitability, as it does not recognize the impact of interest income earned on

26

premiums between the time premiums are received and the time loss payments are ultimately made to clients. The key challenges in managing the combined ratio metric consist of (i) focusing on underwriting profitable business even in the weaker part of the reinsurance cycle, as opposed to growing the book of business at the cost of profitability, (ii) diversifying the portfolio to achieve a good balance of business, with the expectation that underwriting losses in certain lines or markets may potentially be offset by underwriting profits in other lines or markets, and (iii) maintaining control over expenses.

The Non-life combined ratio increased from 89.8% and 103.8% in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, to 101.7% and 147.1% in the same periods of 2011, respectively. The increase in the Non-life combined ratio during the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 was primarily due to large catastrophic losses related to a large number of tornadoes, that caused severe destruction to large areas of southern, mid-western and northeastern United States in April and May 2011 (U.S. tornadoes), and a net increase in the Company s loss estimates related to the large catastrophic losses that occurred during the three months ended March 31, 2011, which were primarily associated with the New Zealand earthquake that occurred in February 2011 (New Zealand Earthquake). These events contributed 19.9 points to the combined ratio during the three months ended June 30, 2011, while the Non-life combined ratio included 6.9 points related to the Deepwater Horizon Oil Platform loss (Deepwater Horizon) in the same period of 2010. The increase in the Non-life combined ratio during the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 was primarily due to large catastrophic losses related to the Japan Earthquake and resulting tsunami (Japan Earthquake), the New Zealand Earthquake, U.S. tornadoes, the floods in Queensland, Australia (Australian Floods) and aggregate contracts covering losses in Australia and New Zealand. These events contributed 67.6 points to the combined ratio during the six months ended June 30, 2011, while the Non-life combined ratio included 18.2 points related to the Chile Earthquake and Deepwater Horizon in the same period of 2010.

Comment on Non-GAAP Measures

Throughout this filing, the Company s results of operations have been presented in the way that Management believes will be the most meaningful and useful to investors, analysts, rating agencies and others who use financial information in evaluating the performance of the Company. This presentation includes the use of operating earnings or loss and Operating ROE that are not calculated under standards or rules that comprise U.S. GAAP. These measures are referred to as non-GAAP financial measures within the meaning of Regulation G. Management believes that these non-GAAP financial measures are important to investors, analysts, rating agencies and others who use the Company s financial information and will help provide a consistent basis for comparison between years and for comparison with the Company s peer group, although non-GAAP measures may be defined or calculated differently by other companies. Investors should consider these non-GAAP measures in addition to, and not as a substitute for, measures of financial performance prepared in accordance with GAAP. A reconciliation of these measures to the most comparable U.S. GAAP financial measures, net income or loss and return on beginning common shareholders equity calculated with net income or loss available to common shareholders, is presented above.

Risk Management

A key challenge in the reinsurance industry is to create economic value through the intelligent assumption of reinsurance and capital markets and investment risk, but also to limit or mitigate those risks that can destroy tangible as well as intangible value. Management believes that every organization faces numerous risks that could threaten the successful achievement of a company s goals and objectives. These include choice of strategy and markets, economic and business cycles, competition, changes in regulation, data quality and security, fraud, business interruption and management continuity; all factors which can be viewed as either strategic or operational risks that are common to any industry. See Risk Factors in Item 1A of Part I of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. In addition to these risks, the Company assumes risks and its results are primarily determined by how well the Company understands, prices and manages assumed risk. While many industries and companies start with a return goal and then attempt to shed risks that may derail that goal, the Company starts with a capital-based risk appetite and then looks for risks that meet its return targets within that framework. Management believes that this construct allows the Company to balance the cedants—need for certainty of claims payment with the shareholders—need for an adequate return on their capital. See Executive Overview—Other Key Issues of Management Risk Management in Item 7 of Part II of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 for a complete description of the Company s risks, risk management framework and the related risk management strategies and controls.

The Company manages assumed risk at a strategic level through diversification, risk appetite, and limits. For each key risk, the Board approves a risk appetite that the Company defines as the percentage of economic capital the Company is willing to expose to economic loss with a modeled probability of occurring once every 15 years and once every 75 years. The Company manages its exposure to key risks such that the modeled economic loss at a 1 in 15 year and a 1 in 75 year return period are less than the economic capital the Company is willing to expose to the key risks at those return periods.

The major risks to the Company s balance sheet are typically due to events that Management refers to as shock losses. The Company defines a shock loss as an event that has the potential to materially impact economic value. The Company defines its economic value as the difference

between the net present value of tangible assets and the net present value of liabilities, using appropriate risk discount rates, plus the unrecognized value of the Life portfolio. For traded assets, the calculated net present values are equivalent to market values.

There are four areas of risk that the Company has currently identified as having the greatest potential for shock losses: catastrophe, reserving for casualty and other long-tail lines, equity and equity-like investment risk and longevity risk. The Company manages the risk of shock losses by setting risk appetite and limits, as described above and below, for each type of shock loss. The Company establishes limits to manage the maximum foreseeable loss from any one event and considers the possibility that several shock losses could occur at one time, for example a major catastrophe event accompanied by a collapse in the equity markets. Management believes that the limits that it has placed on shock losses will allow the Company to continue writing business should such an event occur.

See Other Key Issues of Management Risk Management in Item 7 of Part II of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 for a discussion of the Company s exposure to catastrophe risk, casualty reserving risk, equity investment risk and longevity risk.

Other risks such as interest rate risk and credit spread risk have the ability to impact results substantially and may result in volatility in results from period to period. However, Management believes that by themselves, interest rate risk and credit spread risk are unlikely to represent a material threat to the Company s long-term economic value. See Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk in Item 3 of Part I of this report for additional disclosure on interest rate risk, credit spread risk, foreign currency risk, counterparty credit risk and equity price risk.

The Company seeks to maintain a risk appetite moderately above the average of the reinsurance market because Management believes that this position offers the best potential for creating shareholder value at an acceptable risk level. The most profitable products generally present the most volatility and potential risk. Management believes that the Company s actual risk profile is equal to or less than the average of the reinsurance market because of the level of diversification achieved in the portfolio, the strict adherence to risk appetite and limits, and the risk mitigation strategies employed.

The limits and actual exposures of the Company for its four major risks are as follows:

	Limit at June 30, 2011	Deployed at June 30, 2011	Deployed at December 31, 2010
Catastrophe risk largest zonal limit	\$ 2.8 billion	\$ 2.1 billion	\$ 2.5 billion
Casualty reserving risk total earned premiums for casualty and other long-tail lines for the four most recent			
underwriting periods	6.3 billion	2.9 billion	3.0 billion
Equity investment risk value of equity and equity-like			
securities	3.3 billion	1.5 billion	1.5 billion
Longevity risk net present value loss from extreme mortality improvement scenario	2.0 billion	1.0 billion	1.0 billion

The following table summarizes risk appetite and modeled economic loss for the Company s major risks discussed above:

		Modeled	Modeled
	Risk Appetite at June 30, 2011 ⁽¹⁾	Economic Loss at June 30, 2011 ⁽¹⁾	Economic Loss at December 31, 2010 ⁽¹⁾
Catastrophe risk 1 in 75 year annual aggregate loss	\$ 1.4 billion	\$ 1.3 billion	\$ 1.3 billion
Casualty reserving risk casualty and other long-tail lines 1 in 15 year prior years reserve			
development	0.7 billion	0.4 billion	0.4 billion
Equity investment risk 1 in 75 year decline in value	1.1 billion	0.5 billion	0.5 billion

⁽¹⁾ The Company has not defined a risk appetite for longevity risk as it believes that establishing a limit is currently the most appropriate risk management metric. In addition, the Company has not relied upon a modeled economic loss for longevity risk.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates of the Company at June 30, 2011 have not changed materially compared to December 31, 2010. See Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Item 7 of Part II of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. The following discussion updates specific information related to the Company s estimates for losses and loss expenses and life policy benefits and valuation of investments and funds held directly managed, including certain derivative financial instruments.

Losses and Loss Expenses and Life Policy Benefits

Losses and Loss Expenses

Because a significant amount of time can elapse between the assumption of risk, occurrence of a loss event, the reporting of the event to an insurance company (the primary company or the cedant), the subsequent reporting to the reinsurance company (the

28

reinsurer) and the ultimate payment of the claim on the loss event by the reinsurer, the Company s liability for unpaid losses and loss expenses (loss reserves) is based largely upon estimates. The Company categorizes loss reserves into three types of reserves: reported outstanding loss reserves (case reserves), additional case reserves (ACRs) and incurred but not reported (IBNR) reserves. The Company updates its estimates for each of the aforementioned categories on a quarterly basis using information received from its cedants. The Company also estimates the future unallocated loss adjustment expenses (ULAE) associated with the loss reserves and these form part of the Company s loss adjustment expense reserves. The Company s Non-life loss reserves for each category and sub-segment are reported in the table included later in this section.

The amount of time that elapses before a claim is reported to the cedant and then subsequently reported to the reinsurer is commonly referred to in the industry as the reporting tail. For all lines, the Company s objective is to estimate ultimate losses and loss expenses. Total loss reserves are then calculated by subtracting losses paid. Similarly, IBNR reserves are calculated by subtraction of case reserves and ACRs from total loss reserves.

The Company analyzes its ultimate losses and loss expenses after consideration of the loss experience of various reserving cells. The Company assigns treaties to reserving cells and allocates losses from the treaty to the reserving cell. The reserving cells are selected in order to ensure that the underlying treaties have homogeneous loss development characteristics (e.g., reporting tail) but are large enough to make estimation of trends credible. The selection of reserving cells is reviewed annually and changes over time as the business of the Company evolves. For each reserving cell, the Company s estimates of loss reserves are reached after a review of the results of several commonly accepted actuarial projection methodologies. In selecting its best estimate, the Company considers the appropriateness of each methodology to the individual circumstances of the reserving cell and underwriting year for which the projection is made.

See Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates Losses and Loss Expenses and Life Policy Benefits in Item 7 of Part II of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 for additional information on the reserving methodologies employed by the Company, the principal reserving methods used for the reserving lines, the principal parameter assumptions underlying the methods and the main underlying factors upon which the estimates of reserving parameters are predicated.

The Company s best estimate of total loss reserves is typically in excess of the midpoint of the actuarial ultimate liability estimate. The Company believes that there is potentially significant risk in estimating loss reserves for long-tail lines of business and for immature underwriting years that may not be adequately captured through traditional actuarial projection methodologies as these methodologies usually rely heavily on projections of prior year trends into the future. In selecting its best estimate of future liabilities, the Company considers both the results of actuarial point estimates of loss reserves as well as the potential variability of these estimates as captured by a reasonable range of actuarial liability estimates. The selected best estimates of reserves are always within the reasonable range of estimates indicated by the Company s actuaries.

During the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company reviewed its estimate for prior year losses for each sub-segment of the Non-life segment (defined below in Results by Segment) and, in light of developing data, adjusted its ultimate loss ratios for prior accident years. The following table summarizes the net prior year favorable reserve development for each sub-segment of the Company s Non-life segment for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in millions of U.S. dollars):

	For the three months ended months ended June 30, 2011 June 30, 2010		montl	the six hs ended 30, 2011	montl	the six is ended 30, 2010	
Net Non-life prior year favorable reserve							
development:							
North America	\$	55	\$ 53	\$	95	\$	76
Global (Non-U.S.) P&C		22	25		54		59
Global (Non-U.S.) Specialty		58	32		93		48
Catastrophe		26	11		61		31
Total net Non-life prior year favorable reserve development	\$	161	\$ 121	\$	303	\$	214

The net Non-life favorable reserve development on prior accident years for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was driven by the following factors (in millions of U.S. dollars):

29

	For the three months ended June 30, 2011		month	For the three months ended June 30, 2010		the six is ended 30, 2011	month	the six is ended 30, 2010
Net Non-life prior year favorable (adverse) reserve	June 30, 2011							
development:								
Net prior year reserve development due to changes								
in premiums	\$	2	\$		\$	(26)	\$	(6)
Net prior year reserve development due to all other								
factors (1)		159		121		329		220
Total net Non-life prior year favorable reserve								
development	\$ 161		\$	121	\$	303	\$	214

⁽¹⁾ Net prior year reserve development due to all other factors includes, but is not limited to, loss experience, changes in assumptions and changes in methodology.

For a discussion of net prior year favorable reserve development by Non-life sub-segment, see Results by Segment below. See Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates Losses and Loss Expenses and Life Policy Benefits in Item 7 of Part II of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 for additional information by reserving lines.

The following table shows the gross reserves reported by cedants (case reserves), those estimated by the Company (ACRs and IBNR reserves) and the total gross, ceded and net loss reserves recorded as of June 30, 2011 for each Non-life sub-segment (in millions of U.S. dollars):

				T	otal net				
	Case reserves ACRs		IBNR reserves	IBNR loss reserves rec		Ceded loss reserves			s reserves ecorded
North America	\$ 989	\$ 138	\$ 2,166	\$	3,293	\$	(22)	\$	3,271
Global (Non-U.S.) P&C	1,621	7	1,283		2,911		(32)		2,879
Global (Non-U.S.) Specialty	2,109	81	1,780		3,970		(236)		3,734
Catastrophe	374	1,059	409		1,842		(88)		1,754
Total Non-life	\$ 5.093	\$ 1.285	\$ 5,638	\$	12,016	\$	(378)	\$	11.638

The net loss reserves represent the Company s best estimate of future losses and loss expense amounts based on the information available at June 30, 2011. Loss reserves rely upon estimates involving actuarial and statistical projections at a given time that reflect the Company s expectations of the costs of the ultimate settlement and administration of claims. These estimates are continually reviewed and the ultimate liability may be in excess of, or less than, the amounts provided, for which any adjustments will be reflected in the period in which the need for an adjustment is determined.

The Company s best estimates are point estimates within a reasonable range of actuarial liability estimates. These ranges are developed using stochastic simulations and techniques and provide an indication as to the degree of variability of the loss reserves. The Company interprets the ranges produced by these techniques as confidence intervals around the point estimates for each Non-life sub-segment. However, due to the inherent volatility in the business written by the Company, there can be no guarantee that the final settlement of the loss reserves will fall within these ranges.

The recorded point estimates related to net loss reserves recorded by the Company, and the range of actuarial estimates at June 30, 2011, were as follows for each sub-segment of the Non-life segment (in millions of U.S. dollars):

	rded Point stimate	High	Low
Net Non-life sub-segment loss reserves:			
North America	\$ 3,271	\$ 3,494	\$ 2,584
Global (Non-U.S.) P&C	2,879	3,115	2,463

Global (Non-U.S.) Specialty	3,734	3,889	3,302
Catastrophe	1.754	1,851	1.637

It is not appropriate to add together the ranges of each sub-segment in an effort to determine a high and low range around the Company s total Non-life carried loss reserves.

Of the Company s \$11,638 million of net Non-life loss reserves at June 30, 2011, \$1,165 million of net loss reserves for accident years 2005 and prior are guaranteed by Colisée Re, pursuant to the Reserve Agreement, and are not subject to loss reserve variability. See Summary of certain agreements between AXA SA, Colisée Re and Paris Re in Item 1 of Part I of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Life Policy Benefits

Policy benefits for life and annuity contracts relate to the business in the Company s Life segment, which predominantly includes reinsurance of longevity, subdivided into standard and non-standard annuities, and mortality business, which includes traditional death and disability covers (with various riders), term assurance and critical illness (TCI) written in the UK and Ireland, and guaranteed minimum death benefit (GMDB) written in Continental Europe.

The Company categorizes life reserves into three types of reserves: reported outstanding loss reserves (case reserves), incurred but not reported (IBNR) reserves and reserves for future policy benefits. Such liabilities are established based on methods and underlying assumptions in accordance with U.S. GAAP and applicable actuarial standards. Principal assumptions used in the establishment of reserves for future policy benefits have been determined based upon information reported by ceding companies, supplemented by the Company s actuarial estimates of mortality, critical illness, persistency and future investment income, with appropriate provision to reflect uncertainty.

For the traditional life portfolio, case reserves, IBNR reserves and reserves for future policy benefits are mainly calculated at the treaty level. The Company updates its estimates for each of the aforementioned categories on a quarterly basis using information received from its cedants.

For long duration products, a reserve adequacy test is periodically performed based on the latest best estimate assumptions by line of business, including an experience analysis and a review of likely future experience. If such review produces reserves in excess of those currently held, then the locked-in assumptions will be revised and a loss recognized.

See Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates Losses and Loss Expenses and Life Policy Benefits Life Policy Benefits in Item 7 of Part II of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 for additional information on the reserving methodologies employed by the Company for its longevity and mortality lines.

The Life segment experienced net adverse prior year loss development of \$2 million in the three months ended June 30, 2011, primarily driven by net adverse development on certain short-term disability treaties in the mortality line. The net favorable prior year loss development of \$2 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011 was primarily due to favorable development related to the GMDB business, driven by updated cedant information and an improvement in the capital markets, being offset by adverse development on certain short-term treaties and a credit life treaty in the mortality line. The Life segment reported net adverse prior year loss development of \$28 million and \$17 million during the three months and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, primarily driven by adverse development in the longevity line, due to an improvement in the mortality trend related to an impaired life annuity (ILA) treaty. See Results by Segment below.

Valuation of Investments and Funds Held Directly Managed, including certain Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company defines fair value as the price received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The Company measures the fair value of its financial instruments according to a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the information used to measure fair value into three broad levels.

Under the fair value hierarchy, Management uses certain assumptions and judgments to derive the fair value of its investments, particularly for those assets with significant unobservable inputs, commonly referred to as Level 3 assets. The Company s Level 3 assets totaled \$549 million and \$500 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. At June 30, 2011, the Level 3 assets of \$549 million included fixed maturities and short-term investments of \$468 million, equities of \$7 million, other invested assets of \$52 million and investments underlying the funds held directly managed account of \$22 million. For additional information related to the transfers into, and out of, the Company s Level 3 classification during the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, see Note 4 to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 of Part I of this report.

For additional information on the valuation techniques, methods and assumptions that were used by the Company to estimate the fair value of its fixed maturities, short-term investments, equities, other invested assets and investments underlying the funds held—directly managed account, see Note 4 to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 of Part I of this report. For additional information on the Company—s use of derivative financial instruments, see Note 5 to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 of Part I of this report.

Results of Operations for the Three Months and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

The following discussion of Results of Operations contains forward-looking statements based upon assumptions and expectations concerning the potential effect of future events that are subject to uncertainties. See Item 1A of Part I of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the

year ended December 31, 2010 and Item 1A of Part II of this report for a review of important risk factors. Any of these risk factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those reflected in such forward-looking statements.

The Company s reporting currency is the U.S. dollar. The Company s significant subsidiaries and branches have one of the following functional currencies: U.S. dollar, euro or Canadian dollar. As a significant portion of the Company s operations is transacted in foreign currencies, fluctuations in foreign exchange rates may affect year over year comparisons. To the extent that fluctuations in foreign exchange rates affect comparisons, their impact has been quantified, when possible, and discussed in each of the relevant sections. See Note 2(m) to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of Part II of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 for a discussion of translation of foreign currencies.

The foreign exchange fluctuations for the principal currencies in which the Company transacts business were as follows:

the U.S. dollar average exchange rate was weaker against most currencies in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 and weaker against most currencies, except the euro, in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010; and

the U.S. dollar exchange rate weakened against most currencies at June 30, 2011 compared to December 31, 2010.

Overview

The Company measures its performance in several ways. Among the performance measures accepted under U.S. GAAP is diluted net income per share, a measure that focuses on the return provided to the Company's common shareholders. Diluted net income per share is obtained by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares and common share equivalents outstanding. Net income available to common shareholders is defined as net income less preferred dividends. See the discussion of the non-GAAP performance measures that the Company uses (operating earnings or loss and Operating ROE) and the reconciliation of those non-GAAP measures to the most comparable GAAP measures in Key Financial Measures above.

The year over year comparison of the Company s results is primarily affected by the losses related to large catastrophic events in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the decrease in gross and net premiums written in the Non-life segment due to cancellations and non-renewals of business as a result of decreases in pricing, the repositioning of the Company s portfolio following the integration of PARIS RE Holdings Limited s (Paris Re) business, including a reduction in catastrophe exposures, and continued volatility in the capital and credit markets. To the extent that these events have affected the year over year comparison of the Company s results, their impact has been quantified and discussed in each of the relevant sections. An overview of each of these events is provided below.

As the Company s reinsurance operations are exposed to low-frequency high-severity risk events, some of which are seasonal, results for certain periods may include unusually low loss experience, while results for other periods may include significant catastrophic losses. Consequently, the Company s results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year.

During the three months ended March 31, 2011 the Company incurred losses, net of retrocession, reinstatement premiums and profit commission adjustments, of \$1,071 million related to the combined impact of the Japan Earthquake, New Zealand Earthquake, Australian Floods and an aggregate contract covering losses in Australia and New Zealand. Based on information received from cedants, the Company has increased its loss estimates related to these events during the three months ended June 30, 2011 by \$59 million, net of retrocession, reinstatement premiums and profit commission adjustments, which primarily related to adverse development on the New Zealand Earthquake of \$71 million following the receipt of updated cedant information.

During the three months ended June 30, 2011, the Company incurred losses of \$89 million, net of retrocession, reinstatement premiums and profit commission adjustments related to the U.S. tornadoes and \$33 million related to an aggregate contract covering losses in Australia and New Zealand.

During the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company s incurred losses related to these events, net of retrocession, reinstatement premiums and profit commission adjustments, of \$1,259 million, with \$717 million related to the Japan Earthquake, \$323 million related to the New Zealand Earthquake, \$93 million related to aggregate contracts covering losses in New Zealand and Australia, \$89 million related to the U.S. tornadoes and \$37 million related to the Australian Floods (see Results by Segment below for further details).

During the three months and six months ended June 30, 2010 the Company incurred losses of \$55 million and \$355 million, net of retrocession, reinstatement premiums and profit commission adjustments, related to the combined impact of the Chile Earthquake and Deepwater Horizon, respectively.

Loss estimates arising from earthquakes are inherently more uncertain than those from other catastrophic events. The Company s actual losses from the New Zealand Earthquake may materially exceed the estimated losses as a result of, among other things, an increase in industry insured loss estimates, the expected lengthy claims development period, in particular for earthquake related losses, and the receipt of additional information from cedants, brokers and loss adjusters.

The Company s loss estimate related to the Japan Earthquake is inherently more uncertain than those from other catastrophic events given it is based on limited and preliminary information that has been received from cedants to date and is also based, to a

32

lesser extent, on modeled losses. While the Company believes its techniques for modeling the impact of losses related to this event provides a reasonable basis for the estimation of its loss exposure, the Company cautions that estimates based on modeling are subject to a high degree of uncertainty. Additionally, due to the characteristics of the Company s reinsurance portfolio in the region, changes in loss assumptions for specific cedants may have a material impact on the Company s loss estimate related to this event given a significant portion of the losses are concentrated with a few large cedants. The Company believes there remains a high degree of uncertainty related to its loss estimates related to the Japan Earthquake and the ultimate losses arising from this event may be materially in excess of, or less than, the amounts provided for in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at June 30, 2011. Any adjustments to the Company s preliminary estimate of its ultimate losses will be reflected in the periods in which they are determined, which may affect the Company s operating results in future periods.

The following table reflects the combined impact of the above losses and the impact on the Company s technical result, net realized and unrealized investment losses and pre-tax income by segment and sub-segment during the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 (in millions of U.S. dollars):

Three months ended June 30, 2011		orth erica	(Non	obal -U.S.) &C	(Nor	obal n-U.S.) cialty	Cata	astrophe	No	otal n-life gment		ife nent	a	orate nd her	т	'otal
Net losses and loss expenses and life policy	1		-		Бре	cittey			20,	,	o eg.		٠.		-	
benefits	\$	40	\$	6	\$	10	\$	144	\$	200	\$		\$	2	\$	202
Reinstatement premiums	Ψ	.0	Ψ		Ψ	10	Ψ	(18)	Ψ	(18)	Ψ		Ψ	_	Ψ	(18)
Acquisition costs		(6)						2		(4)						(4)
requisition costs		(0)								(1)						(1)
Impact on technical result	\$	34	\$	6	\$	10	\$	128	\$	178	\$		\$	2	\$	180
Net realized and unrealized investment losses	φ	34	φ	U	Ф	10	φ	120	φ	170	φ		Ф		φ	100
Net realized and unrealized investment losses																
Impact on pre-tax income									\$	178	\$		\$	2	\$	180
Six months ended June 30, 2011		orth erica	(Non	obal -U.S.) &C	(Nor	obal n-U.S.) cialty	Cata	astrophe	No	otal n-life gment		ife nent	a	orate nd her	Т	'otal
Net losses and loss expenses and life policy					•	·		•		,						
benefits	\$	53	\$	52	\$	43	\$	1,095	\$	1,243	\$	4	\$	2	\$ 1	1,249
Reinstatement premiums					•			(23)		(23)						(23)
Acquisition costs		(6)						(10)		(16)						(16)
1																
																1,210
Impact on technical result	\$	47	\$	52	\$	43	\$	1,062	\$	1,204	\$	4	\$	2	\$ 1	1,410
Impact on technical result Net realized and unrealized investment losses	\$	47	\$	52	\$	43	\$	1,062	\$	1,204	\$	4	\$	2 49	\$ 1	49
•	\$	47	\$	52	\$	43	\$	1,062	\$	1,204	\$	4	\$		\$ 1	

During the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, gross premiums written in the Company s Non-life segment decreased by 9% and 17%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2010. The decrease in gross premiums written impacted all of the Company s Non-life sub-segments, except the North America sub-segment, and was most pronounced in the Global (Non-U.S.) P&C sub-segment. The decreases are primarily driven by the effects of the Company s decision to reduce or cancel business, as a result of decreases in pricing in certain competitive markets and the repositioning of its portfolios following the integration of Paris Re s business, including reducing the level of catastrophe-exposed business written.

During the three months ended June 30, 2011, European and U.S. risk-free interest rates decreased, credit spreads widened and equity markets remained relatively flat, while the U.S. dollar weakened against most major currencies. In the six months ended June 30, 2011, European and U.S. risk-free interest rates increased modestly, equity markets improved and credit spreads were flat, while the U.S. dollar weakened against most major currencies. As a result of these movements, the value of the Company s investment portfolio and cash and cash equivalents increased at June 30, 2011 compared to December 31, 2010, primarily due to the impact of foreign exchange and higher equity markets and was partially offset by the impact of increased risk free rates.

These factors affecting the year over year comparison of the Company s results are discussed below in Review of Net Income (Loss), Results by Segment and Financial Condition, Liquidity and Capital Resources, and may continue to affect our results of operations and financial condition in the future.

Net income (loss), preferred dividends, net income (loss) available to common shareholders and diluted net income (loss) per share for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were as follows (in millions of U.S. dollars, except per share data):

	mont	For the three % Change 2011 over 2010		mont	the three hs ended 30, 2010	mon	r the six ths ended e 30, 2011	% Change 2011 over 2010	mont	or the six of the ended e 30, 2010	
Net income (loss)	\$	124	(35) %	\$	191	\$	(683)	NM %	\$	271	
Less: preferred dividends		9			9		17			17	
Net income (loss) available to common											
shareholders	\$	115	(37)	\$	182	\$	(700)	NM	\$	254	
Diluted net income (loss) per share	\$	1.69	(27)	\$	2.31	\$	(10.32)	NM	\$	3.13	

NM: not meaningful

Three-month result

The decrease in net income, net income available to common shareholders and diluted net income per share for the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 resulted primarily from:

a decrease in the Non-life underwriting result of \$110 million, driven by large catastrophic losses related to the U.S. tornadoes and net adverse loss development on the prior quarter primarily related to the New Zealand Earthquake, which were partially offset by an increase in net favorable prior year loss development, compared to a large loss related to Deepwater Horizon in the three months ended June 30, 2010;

an increase in income tax expense of \$33 million, resulting from a change in the geographic distribution of the Company s pre-tax net income; and

a decrease in net investment income of \$16 million; partially offset by

a decrease in other corporate operating expenses of \$35 million, primarily driven by the charges related to the Company s voluntary termination plan in the three months ended June 30, 2010;

an increase in pre-tax net realized and unrealized investment gains of \$32 million; and

an improvement in the Life underwriting result of \$29 million, primarily driven by lower net adverse loss development on prior years.

Six-month result

The decrease in net (loss) income, net (loss) income available to common shareholders and diluted net (loss) income per share for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 resulted primarily from:

a decrease in the Non-life underwriting result of \$768 million, primarily driven by large catastrophic losses related to the Japan Earthquake, New Zealand Earthquake, U.S. tornadoes, Australian Floods and aggregate contracts covering losses in Australia and New Zealand in 2011, partially offset by an increase in net favorable prior year loss development, compared to large catastrophic losses related to the Chile Earthquake and a large loss related to Deepwater Horizon in 2010;

a decrease in pre-tax net realized and unrealized investment gains of \$225 million; and

a decrease in net investment income of \$38 million; partially offset by

a decrease in other corporate operating expenses of \$45 million, primarily driven by the charges related to the Company s voluntary termination plan in the six months ended June 30, 2010; and

an improvement in the Life underwriting result of \$30 million.

Review of Net Income (Loss)

Management analyzes the Company s net income in three parts: underwriting result, investment result and other components of net income (loss). Underwriting result consists of net premiums earned and other income or loss less losses and loss expenses and life policy benefits, acquisition costs and other operating expenses. Investment result consists of net investment income, net realized and unrealized investment gains or losses and interest in earnings or losses of equity investments. Net investment income includes interest and dividends, net of investment expenses, generated by the Company s investment activities, as well as interest income generated on funds held assets. Net realized and unrealized investment gains or losses include sales of the Company s fixed income, equity and other invested assets and investments underlying the funds held directly managed account and changes in net unrealized gains or losses. Interest in earnings or losses of equity investments includes the Company s strategic investments. Other components of net income or loss include technical result and other income or loss, other operating expenses, interest expense, amortization of intangible assets, net foreign exchange gains or losses and income tax expense or benefit.

The components of net income (loss) for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were as follows (in millions of U.S. dollars):

	For the three months ended June 30, 2011		% Change 2011 over 2010	For the three months ended June 30, 2010		For the six months ended June 30, 2011		% Change 2011 over 2010	For the six months ended June 30, 2010	
Underwriting result:										
Non-life	\$	(15)	NM %	\$	95	\$	(839)	NM %	\$	(71)
Life		(4)	(88)		(33)		(7)	(80)		(37)
Investment result:										
Net investment income		158	(9)		174		310	(11)		348
Net realized and unrealized investment gains										
(losses)		78	70		46		(34)	NM		191
Interest in (losses) earnings of										
equity investments ⁽¹⁾		(1)	NM		1			NM		4
Corporate and Other:										
Technical result ⁽²⁾		(1)	NM		1		(1)	NM		1
Other income (loss) ⁽²⁾		1	NM		(1)		1	NM		(1)
Other operating expenses		(30)	(56)		(65)		(56)	(45)		(101)
Interest expense		(12)	(5)		(13)		(24)	23		(20)
Amortization of intangible assets ⁽³⁾		(9)	17		(8)		(18)	42		(13)
Net foreign exchange gains		9	(21)		11		9	(36)		15
Income tax expense		(50)	182		(17)		(24)	(47)		(45)
Net income (loss)	\$	124	(35)	\$	191	\$	(683)	NM	\$	271

- (1) Interest in earnings or loss of equity investments represents the Company's aggregate share of earnings or losses related to several private placement investments and limited partnerships within the Corporate and Other segment.
- (2) Technical result and other income primarily relate to income on insurance-linked securities and principal finance transactions within the Corporate and Other segment.
- (3) Amortization of intangible assets relates to intangible assets acquired in the acquisition of Paris Re in 2009.

Underwriting result is a measurement that the Company uses to manage and evaluate its Non-life and Life segments, as it is a primary measure of underlying profitability for the Company s core reinsurance operations, separate from the investment results. The Company believes that in order to enhance the understanding of its profitability, it is useful for investors to evaluate the components of net income separately and in the aggregate. Underwriting result should not be considered a substitute for net income and does not reflect the overall profitability of the business, which is also impacted by investment results and other items.

Three-month result

The underwriting result for the Non-life segment decreased by \$110 million, from \$95 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010 to a loss of \$15 million in the same period of 2011. The decrease was primarily attributable to:

an increase in large catastrophic losses and large losses of \$119 million, net of retrocession, reinstatement premiums and related profit commissions, related to the U.S. tornadoes and an aggregate contract covering losses in Australia and New Zealand;

an increase in net adverse loss development on the prior quarter of \$93 million, from net favorable loss development of \$38 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010 to net adverse loss development of \$55 million in the same period of 2011; and

an increase of approximately \$12 million resulting from declining profitability of the business, a higher level of mid-sized loss activity and a reduced level of premiums earned, which were reduced by a lower level of loss estimates in the credit/surety line of business; partially offset by

a decrease in losses and loss expenses of \$63 million, net of retrocession and reinstatement premiums, related to Deepwater Horizon;

an increase in net favorable loss development on prior accident years of \$40 million, from \$121 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010 to \$161 million in the same period of 2011. The components of the net favorable loss development are described in more detail in the discussion of individual sub-segments in Results by Segment below; and

a decrease in other operating expenses of \$11 million, primarily driven by lower personnel costs.

35

The underwriting result for the Life segment improved from a loss of \$33 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010 to a loss of \$4 million in the three months ended June 30, 2011. The improvement in the underwriting result was primarily due to a decrease in net adverse prior year loss development. See Results by Segment below.

Net investment income decreased by \$16 million to \$158 million in the three months ended June 30, 2011 from \$174 million in the same period of 2010. The decrease in net investment income of 9% is primarily attributable to a decrease in net investment income from fixed maturities and funds held directly managed due to lower reinvestment rates, which were partially offset by the purchase of higher yielding investments and foreign exchange fluctuations. See Corporate and Other Net Investment Income below for more details.

Net realized and unrealized investment gains increased by \$32 million, from \$46 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010 to \$78 million in the same period of 2011. The net realized and unrealized investment gains of \$78 million in the three months ended June 30, 2011 were primarily due to declining European and U.S. risk-free interest rates and were partially offset by widening credit spreads. Net realized and unrealized investment gains of \$78 million in the three months ended June 30, 2011 primarily consisted of the change in net unrealized investment gains on fixed maturities and short-term investments of \$131 million and net realized investment gains on equities, fixed maturities and short-term investments of \$39 million, which were partially offset by net realized investment losses on other invested assets, primarily related to treasury note futures, of \$63 million and the change in net unrealized investment losses on equities and other invested assets of \$37 million. See Corporate and Other Net Realized and Unrealized Investment Gains (Losses) below for more details on the investment activity.

Other operating expenses included in Corporate and Other decreased by \$35 million from \$65 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010 to \$30 million in the same period of 2011. The decrease was primarily due to the charges related to the Company s voluntary termination plan in the three months ended June 30, 2010.

Interest expense in the three months ended June 30, 2011 was comparable to the same period in 2010.

Net foreign exchange gains decreased from \$11 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010 to \$9 million in the same period of 2011. The decrease in net foreign exchange gains during the three months ended June 30, 2011 resulted primarily from a lower gain related to currency movements on certain unhedged equity securities, and was partially offset by the timing of the hedging activities. The Company hedges a significant portion of its currency risk exposure as discussed in Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk in Item 3 of Part I of this report.

Income tax expense increased by \$33 million, from \$17 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010 to \$50 million in the same period of 2011. The increase in the income tax expense was primarily due to a change in the geographic distribution of the Company s pre-tax net income. See Corporate and Other Income Taxes below for more details.

Six-month result

The underwriting result for the Non-life segment decreased by \$768 million, from a loss of \$71 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 to a loss of \$839 million in the same period of 2011. The decrease was attributable to:

an increase in large catastrophic losses of \$915 million, net of retrocession, reinstatement premiums and profit commissions, related to the Japan Earthquake, New Zealand Earthquake, aggregate contracts covering losses in Australia and New Zealand, U.S. tornadoes and Australian Floods in 2011 compared to the Chile Earthquake in 2010; and

a decrease of approximately \$29 million resulting from the impact of declining profitability of the business and a lower level of net premiums earned, which was reduced by a lower level of loss estimates in the credit/surety line of business; partially offset by

an increase in net favorable loss development on prior accident years of \$89 million, from \$214 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 to \$303 million in the same period of 2011. The components of the net favorable loss development are described in more detail in the discussion of individual sub-segments in Results by Segment below;

a decrease in losses and loss expenses of \$63 million, net of retrocession and reinstatement premiums, related to Deepwater Horizon; and

a decrease in other operating expenses of \$23 million, primarily driven by lower personnel costs. The underwriting result for the Life segment improved from a loss of \$37 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 to a loss of \$7 million in the same period of 2011. The improvement in the underwriting result was primarily due to a decrease in net adverse prior year loss development. See Results by Segment below.

Net investment income decreased by \$38 million to \$310 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$348 million in the same period of 2010. The decrease in net investment income of 11% is primarily attributable to a decrease in net investment income from fixed maturities and funds held directly managed due to lower reinvestment rates and a lower level of fixed

36

maturities held, on average, in the six months ended June 30, 2011, which were partially offset by the purchase of higher yielding investments. See Corporate and Other Net Investment Income below for more details.

Net realized and unrealized investment gains decreased by \$225 million, from \$191 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 to a loss of \$34 million in the same period of 2011. The net realized and unrealized investment losses of \$34 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011 were primarily due to losses on treasury note futures, losses on insurance-linked securities impacted by the Japan Earthquake and a modest increase in European and U.S. risk-free interest rates, which were partially offset by improvements in worldwide equity markets. Net realized and unrealized investment losses of \$34 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011 primarily consisted of net realized investment losses on other invested assets (mainly related to treasury note futures) of \$51 million, the change in net unrealized investment losses on other invested assets, equities, fixed maturities and short-term investments of \$69 million, which were partially offset by net realized investment gains on equities, fixed maturities and short-term investments of \$84 million. See Corporate and Other Net Realized and Unrealized Investment Gains (Losses) below for more details on the investment activity.

Other operating expenses included in Corporate and Other decreased by \$45 million from \$101 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 to \$56 million in the same period of 2011. The decrease was primarily due to the charges related to the Company s voluntary termination plan in the six months ended June 30, 2010, as well as lower personnel costs in the 2011 period.

Interest expense increased by \$4 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 primarily due to interest related to the issuance of \$500 million 5.500% Senior Notes in March 2010, which was not outstanding for the entire period during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Net foreign exchange gains decreased from \$15 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 to \$9 million in the same period of 2011. The decrease in net foreign exchange gains during the six months ended June 30, 2011 resulted primarily from the impact of currency movements on certain unhedged equity securities, partially offset by the timing of the hedging activities and the difference in the forward points embedded in the Company s hedges. The Company hedges a significant portion of its currency risk exposure as discussed in Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk in Item 3 of Part I of this report.

Income tax expense decreased by \$21 million, from \$45 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 to \$24 million in the same period of 2011. The decrease in the income tax expense was primarily due to the decrease in pre-tax net realized and unrealized investment gains in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period of 2010 and the geographic distribution of pre-tax net income. See Corporate and Other—Income Taxes below for more details.

Results by Segment

The Company monitors the performance of its operations in three segments, Non-life, Life and Corporate & Other. The Non-life segment is further divided into four sub-segments, North America, Global (Non-U.S.) Property and Casualty (Global (Non-U.S.) P&C), Global (Non-U.S.) Specialty and Catastrophe. Segments and sub-segments represent markets that are reasonably homogeneous in terms of geography, client types, buying patterns, underlying risk patterns and approach to risk management. See Note 22 to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 for additional information concerning the Company s segments and sub-segments.

Following the completion of the Company s integration of Paris Re into its other Non-life sub-segments, and to reflect other changes in management responsibilities for certain lines of business and treaties, the Company redefined its financial reporting segments. The comparative data that was previously presented in the Company s Form 10-Q for the three months ended June 30, 2010 has been recast to conform to the current period presentation.

Segment results are shown before intercompany transactions. Business reported in the Global (Non-U.S.) P&C and Global (Non-U.S.) Specialty sub-segments and the Life segment is, to a significant extent, denominated in foreign currencies and is reported in U.S. dollars at the average foreign exchange rates for each period. The U.S. dollar has fluctuated against the euro and other currencies in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010 and this should be considered when making period to period comparisons.

Non-life Segment

North America

The North America sub-segment is comprised of lines of business that are considered to be either short, medium or long-tail. The short-tail lines consist of agriculture, property and proportional motor business and represented 49% and 43% of net premiums written in this sub-segment in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. Casualty and non-proportional motor business are considered to be long-tail and represented 41% and 43% of net premiums written in the three months and six

months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, while credit/surety and multiline are considered by the Company to have a medium-tail and accounted for the balance of net premiums written in this sub-segment. The casualty line represented approximately 40% and 41% of net premiums written in this sub-segment in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. This line typically tends to have a higher loss ratio and a lower technical result, due to the long-tail nature of the risks involved. Casualty treaties typically provide for investment income on premiums invested over a longer period as losses are typically paid later than for other lines. Investment income, however, is not considered in the calculation of technical result.

The following table provides the components of the technical result and the corresponding ratios for this sub-segment for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in millions of U.S. dollars):

	months ended 201		nded 2011 over		the three hs ended 30, 2010	mont	the six hs ended 30, 2011	% Change 2011 over 2010	mont	the six hs ended 30, 2010	
Gross premiums written	\$	242	17%	\$	207	\$	581	3%	\$	564	
Net premiums written		242	17		207		581	3		564	
Net premiums earned	\$	261	17	\$	223	\$	521	6	\$	491	
Losses and loss expenses		(190)	64		(116)		(365)	23		(296)	
Acquisition costs		(63)	(8)		(69)		(129)	(6)		(137)	
Technical result ⁽¹⁾	\$	8	(79)	\$	38	\$	27	(53)	\$	58	
Loss ratio ⁽²⁾		72.8%			52.2%		70.0%			60.2%	
Acquisition ratio ⁽³⁾		24.1			30.7		24.8			28.0	
•											
Technical ratio ⁽⁴⁾		96.9%			82.9%		94.8%			88.2%	

- (1) Technical result is defined as net premiums earned less losses and loss expenses and acquisition costs.
- (2) Loss ratio is obtained by dividing losses and loss expenses by net premiums earned.
- (3) Acquisition ratio is obtained by dividing acquisition costs by net premiums earned.
- (4) Technical ratio is defined as the sum of the loss ratio and the acquisition ratio.

Premiums

The North America sub-segment represented 23% of total net premiums written in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to 19% of total net premiums written during the same periods in 2010. The increase in the North America sub-segment s net premiums written as a percentage of total net premiums written was mainly due to higher net premiums written in this sub-segment, primarily in the agriculture line of business as described below, and the decreases in net premiums written in the Company s other Non-life sub-segments.

Three-month result

Gross and net premiums written and net premiums earned increased by 17% in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The increase in gross and net premiums written and net premiums earned was primarily attributable to the agriculture line of business and, to a lesser extent, the casualty line of business. The increase in the agriculture line of business was mainly driven by increased demand and higher agricultural commodity prices in the three months ended June 30, 2011 and significant downward premium adjustments and higher cedant retentions in the three months ended June 30, 2010. The casualty line benefitted from new business written during 2011. These increases in gross and net premiums written and net premiums earned were partially offset by decreases in the structured risk line, due to the expiry of a significant treaty, and in the property line, driven by the cancellation of a large treaty and lower renewals due to increased retentions and reductions in pricing.

Six-month result

Gross and net premiums written increased by 3% and net premiums earned increased by 6% in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The increase in gross and net premiums written and net premiums earned was primarily attributable to the agriculture line and, to a lesser extent, the casualty line due to the reasons described in the three-month result. These increases were partially offset by decreases in the property and structured risk lines of business, due to the reasons described in the three-month result, and higher downward

premium adjustments reported by cedants in the six months ended June 30, 2011, primarily in the motor and casualty lines of business. The increase in net premiums earned was higher than the increase in gross and net premiums written due to the favorable impact of the business written in prior years. Notwithstanding the declining market conditions, higher retentions and the competition prevailing in certain lines of business and markets of this sub-segment, the Company was able to write business that met its portfolio objectives.

Losses and loss expenses and loss ratio

Three-month result

The losses and loss expenses and loss ratio reported in the three months ended June 30, 2011 reflected:

large catastrophic losses related to the U.S. tornadoes of \$45 million, or 17.0 points on the loss ratio;

net favorable loss development on prior accident years of \$55 million, or 20.9 points on the loss ratio;

net favorable loss development on the prior quarter of \$5 million, or 1.8 points on the loss ratio, related to the Japan Earthquake;

a higher level of mid-sized loss activity; and

an increase in the book of business and exposure as evidenced by the increase in net premiums earned.

The net favorable loss development of \$55 million reported in the three months ended June 30, 2011 included net favorable development for prior accident years in most lines of business, predominantly in the casualty line, while the motor and credit/surety lines experienced combined adverse loss development for prior accident years of \$7 million. Loss information provided by cedants in the three months ended June 30, 2011 for prior accident years was lower than the Company expected (higher for motor and credit/surety) and included no individually significant losses or reductions but a series of attritional losses or reductions. Based on the Company s assessment of this loss information, the Company decreased its expected ultimate loss ratios for most lines of business (increased for motor and credit/surety), which had the net effect of decreasing (increasing for motor and credit/surety) prior year loss estimates.

The losses and loss expenses and loss ratio reported in the three months ended June 30, 2010 reflected:

no large catastrophic losses;

losses and loss expenses related to Deepwater Horizon of \$5 million, or 2.2 points on the loss ratio;

net favorable loss development on prior accident years of \$53 million, or 23.8 points on the loss ratio;

net favorable loss development on the prior quarter of \$19 million, or 8.7 points on the loss ratio, primarily driven by significant downward premium adjustments in the agriculture line of business; and

a decrease in the book of business and exposure.

The net favorable loss development of \$53 million reported in the three months ended June 30, 2010 included net favorable loss development for prior accident years in the agriculture and casualty lines of business, while the motor, credit/surety and property lines experienced combined adverse loss development for prior accident years of \$8 million.

The increase of \$74 million in losses and loss expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 included:

an increase of \$45 million in large catastrophic losses;

a decrease of \$14 million in net favorable prior quarter loss development; and

an increase of \$20 million in losses and loss expenses resulting from an increase in the book of business and exposure, a higher level of mid-sized loss activity and the impact of declining pricing on the profitability of the business between periods; partially offset by

a decrease of \$5 million in losses and loss expenses related to Deepwater Horizon.

Six-month result

The losses and loss expenses and loss ratio reported in the six months ended June 30, 2011 reflected:

large catastrophic losses related to the U.S. tornadoes and the Japan Earthquake of \$53 million, or 10.1 points on the loss ratio;

net favorable loss development on prior accident years of \$95 million, or 18.1 points on the loss ratio; and

an increase in the book of business and exposure.

The net favorable loss development of \$95 million reported in the six months ended June 30, 2011 included net favorable development for prior accident years in most lines of business, predominantly in the casualty line, while the motor and credit/surety lines experienced adverse loss development of \$7 million. Loss information provided by cedants in the six months ended June 30, 2011 for prior accident years was lower than the Company expected (higher for motor and credit/surety) and included no individually significant losses or reductions but a series of attritional losses or reductions. Based on the Company s assessment of this loss information, the Company decreased (increased for motor and credit/surety) its expected ultimate loss ratios for most lines of business, which had the net effect of decreasing (increasing for motor and credit/surety) prior year loss estimates.

39

The losses and loss expenses and loss ratio reported in the six months ended June 30, 2010 reflected:

large catastrophic losses related to the Chile Earthquake of \$6 million, or 1.2 points on the loss ratio;

losses and loss expenses related to Deepwater Horizon of \$5 million, or 1.0 points on the loss ratio;

net favorable loss development on prior accident years of \$76 million, or 15.6 points on the loss ratio; and

a decrease in the book of business and exposure.

The net favorable loss development of \$76 million reported in the six months ended June 30, 2010 included net favorable loss development for prior accident years in most lines of business, predominantly in the casualty and agriculture lines, while the motor and credit/surety lines experienced combined adverse loss development for prior accident years of \$8 million.

The increase of \$69 million in losses and loss expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 included:

an increase of \$47 million in large catastrophic losses; and

an increase of \$46 million in losses and loss expenses resulting from an increase in the book of business and exposure and the impact of declining pricing on the profitability of the business between periods; partially offset by

an increase of \$19 million in net favorable prior year loss development; and

a decrease of \$5 million in losses and loss expenses related to Deepwater Horizon.

Acquisition costs and acquisition ratio

Three-month and six-month result

Acquisition costs and the acquisition ratio decreased in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010 as a result of an increase in net premiums earned in the agriculture line of business, which carries a lower acquisition ratio, and a reduction in profit commissions related to a treaty impacted by the U.S. tornadoes.

Technical result and technical ratio

Three-month result

The decrease of \$30 million in the technical result and the corresponding increase in the technical ratio in the three months ended June 30, 2011 was primarily attributable to an increase of \$39 million, net of related profit commissions, in large catastrophic losses, a higher level of mid-sized loss activity and a decrease of \$14 million in net favorable prior quarter loss development. These decreases in the technical result were partially offset by a decrease in the acquisition ratio as a result of the increase in agriculture net premiums earned, a decrease of \$5 million in losses and loss expenses related to Deepwater Horizon and declining profitability of the business between periods.

Six-month result

The decrease of \$31 million in the technical result and the corresponding increase in the technical ratio in the six months ended June 30, 2011 was primarily attributable to an increase of \$41 million, net of related profit commissions, in large catastrophic losses and declining profitability of the business. These decreases in the technical result were partially offset by an increase of \$19 million in net favorable prior year loss development, a decrease in the acquisition ratio as a result of the increase in agriculture net premiums earned, a decrease of \$5 million in losses and loss expenses related to Deepwater Horizon and normal fluctuations in net premiums earned between periods.

Global (Non-U.S.) P&C

The Global (Non-U.S.) P&C sub-segment is composed of short-tail business, in the form of property and proportional motor business, that represented approximately 94% and 83% of net premiums written in this sub-segment in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, and long-tail business, in the form of casualty and non-proportional motor business, that represented the balance of net premiums written.

The following table provides the components of the technical result and the corresponding ratios for this sub-segment for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in millions of U.S. dollars):

40

	month	he three ns ended 30, 2011	% Change 2011 over 2010	mont	the three hs ended 30, 2010	mont	the six hs ended 30, 2011	% Change 2011 over 2010	mon	r the six ths ended e 30, 2010
Gross premiums written	\$	122	(35)%	\$	189	\$	440	(30)%	\$	633
Net premiums written		121	(35)		186		437	(30)		621
Net premiums earned	\$	193	(16)	\$	231	\$	374	(19)	\$	461
Losses and loss expenses		(127)	(10)		(141)		(277)	(29)		(387)
Acquisition costs		(53)	(13)		(61)		(93)	(18)		(114)
Technical result	\$	13	(56)	\$	29	\$	4 74.0 %	NM	\$	(40)
Loss ratio Acquisition ratio		65.9 % 27.6			61.1 % 26.4		25.0			84.0 % 24.6
Acquisition ratio		41.0			20.4		25.0			24.0
Technical ratio		93.5 %			87.5 %		99.0 %			108.6 %

Premiums

The Global (Non-U.S.) P&C sub-segment represented 12% and 17% of total net premiums written in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, compared to 17% and 22% of total net premiums written during the same periods in 2010. The decrease in the Global (Non-U.S.) P&C sub-segment s net premiums written as a percentage of total net premiums written was primarily due to the effects of the Company s decision to cancel or reduce business as a result of decreases in pricing and the repositioning of the Company s portfolio, as described below. The decrease in this sub-segment s net premiums written is also due to a significant percentage of this sub-segment s business being written on a proportional basis, with the effects of the decision to reduce or cancel business recognized in premiums written on a quarterly basis over the coverage periods of the underlying treaties.

Three-month result

Gross and net premiums written decreased by 35% and net premiums earned decreased by 16% in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The decreases in gross and net premiums written and net premiums earned resulted from all lines of business and were mainly driven by the effects of the Company s decision to cancel or reduce business as a result of reductions in pricing, the repositioning of the Company s portfolio following the integration of Paris Re s business, which included reducing catastrophe-exposed business in the property line, and higher cedant retentions. The decreases in gross and net premiums written and net premiums earned were partially offset by the weaker U.S. dollar in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010, as the premiums denominated in currencies that have appreciated against the U.S. dollar were converted into U.S. dollars at higher average exchange rates. Foreign exchange fluctuations increased gross and net premiums written and net premiums earned by 6%. The decrease in net premiums earned was lower than the decreases in gross and net premiums written primarily due to the earning of business in the three months ended June 30, 2011 that was written in 2010.

Six-month result

Gross and net premiums written decreased by 30% and net premiums earned decreased by 19% in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The decreases in gross and net premiums written and net premiums earned resulted from all lines of business due to the reasons described in the three-month result above. The decrease in net premiums earned was lower than the decreases in gross and net premiums written primarily due to the earning of business in the six months ended June 30, 2011 that was written in 2010. Notwithstanding the increased competition and overall declines in pricing prevailing in certain lines of business and markets of this sub-segment, the Company was able to write business that met its portfolio objectives.

Losses and loss expenses and loss ratio

Three-month result

The losses and loss expenses and loss ratio reported in the three months ended June 30, 2011 reflected:

no large catastrophic losses;

net favorable loss development on prior accident years of \$22 million, or 11.5 points on the loss ratio;

net adverse loss development on the prior quarter of \$4 million, or 2.0 points on the loss ratio, which was driven by adverse development of \$6 million, or 3.1 points on the loss ratio, related to the Australian Floods, Japan Earthquake and New Zealand Earthquake; and

a decrease in the book of business and exposure and declines in pricing.

The net favorable loss development of \$22 million reported in the three months ended June 30, 2011 included net favorable development for prior accident years in all lines of business, but was most pronounced in the motor line. Loss information provided by

41

cedants in the three months ended June 30, 2011 for prior accident years was lower than the Company expected and included no individually significant losses or reductions but a series of attritional losses or reductions. Based on the Company s assessment of this loss information, the Company decreased its expected ultimate loss ratios for all lines of business, which had the net effect of decreasing prior year loss estimates.

The losses and loss expenses and loss ratio reported in the three months ended June 30, 2010 reflected:

no large catastrophic losses;

net favorable loss development on prior accident years of \$25 million, or 11.0 points on the loss ratio; and

net adverse loss development on the prior quarter of \$13 million, or 5.6 points on the loss ratio, which was driven by adverse development of \$20 million, or 8.7 points on the loss ratio, related to the Chile Earthquake.

The net favorable loss development of \$25 million reported in the three months ended June 30, 2010 included net favorable development for prior accident years in all lines of business.

The decrease of \$14 million in losses and loss expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 included:

a decrease of \$9 million in net adverse prior quarter loss development; and

a decrease of approximately \$8 million in losses and loss expenses resulting from a decrease in the book of business and exposure, which was reduced by the impact of declining pricing on the profitability of the business between periods; partially offset by

a decrease of \$3 million in net favorable prior year loss development.

Six-month result

The losses and loss expenses and loss ratio reported in the six months ended June 30, 2011 reflected:

large catastrophic losses related to the Japan Earthquake, New Zealand Earthquake and Australian Floods of \$52 million, or 14.0 points on the loss ratio;

net favorable loss development on prior accident years of \$54 million, or 14.6 points on the loss ratio; and

a decrease in the book of business and exposure and declines in pricing.

The net favorable loss development of \$54 million reported in the six months ended June 30, 2011 included net favorable development for prior accident years in all lines of business, but was most pronounced in the motor line. Loss information provided by cedants in the six months ended June 30, 2011 for prior accident years was lower than the Company expected and included no individually significant losses or reductions but a series of attritional losses or reductions. Based on the Company s assessment of this loss information, the Company decreased its expected ultimate loss ratios for all lines of business, which had the net effect of decreasing prior year loss estimates.

The losses and loss expenses and loss ratio reported in the six months ended June 30, 2010 reflected:

large catastrophic losses related to the Chile Earthquake of \$124 million, or 26.9 points on the loss ratio; and

net favorable loss development on prior accident years of \$59 million, or 12.8 points on the loss ratio.

The net favorable loss development of \$59 million reported in the six months ended June 30, 2010 included net favorable development for prior accident years in all lines of business, particularly in the property line.

The decrease of \$110 million in losses and loss expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 included:

a decrease of \$72 million in large catastrophic losses; and

a decrease of approximately \$43 million in losses and loss expenses resulting from a decrease in the book of business and exposure, which was reduced by the impact of declining pricing on the profitability of the business between periods; partially offset by

a decrease of \$5 million in net favorable prior year loss development.

Acquisition costs and acquisition ratio

Three-month result

Acquisition costs decreased in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 primarily as a result of lower net premiums earned. The increase in the acquisition ratio in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 was primarily due to higher profit commission adjustments.

42

Six-month result

Acquisition costs decreased in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 primarily as a result of lower net premiums earned. The increase in the acquisition ratio in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 was primarily due to higher profit commission adjustments.

Technical result and technical ratio

Three-month result

The decrease of \$16 million in the technical result and the corresponding increase in the technical ratio in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 was primarily attributable to declining profitability and net premiums earned between periods, partially offset by a decrease of \$6 million in combined net adverse prior quarter and net favorable prior year loss development.

Six-month result

The increase of \$44 million in the technical result and the corresponding decrease in the technical ratio in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 was primarily attributable to a decrease of \$71 million, net of reinstatement premiums, in large catastrophic losses, which was partially offset by declining profitability and net premiums earned between periods and a decrease of \$5 million in net favorable prior year loss development.

Global (Non-U.S.) Specialty

The Global (Non-U.S.) Specialty sub-segment is primarily comprised of lines of business that are considered to be either short or medium-tail. The short-tail lines consist of agriculture, energy and specialty property and represented 25% and 21% of net premiums written in this sub-segment in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. Aviation/space, credit/surety, engineering and marine are considered by the Company to have a medium-tail and represented 67% and 66%, respectively, of net premiums written, while specialty casualty is considered to be long-tail and accounted for the balance of net premiums written in this sub-segment in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011.

The following table provides the components of the technical result and the corresponding ratios for this sub-segment for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in millions of U.S. dollars):

	montl	he three hs ended 30, 2011	% Change 2011 over 2010	mont	the three ths ended 30, 2010	mont	the six hs ended 30, 2011	% Change 2011 over 2010	mont	the six ths ended 30, 2010
Gross premiums written	\$	350	(6)%	\$	371	\$	724	(18)%	\$	880
Net premiums written		333	(6)		355		648	(20)		811
Net premiums earned	\$	341	(9)	\$	376	\$	658	(8)	\$	713
Losses and loss expenses		(206)	(29)		(292)		(427)	(28)		(595)
Acquisition costs		(78)	(5)		(82)		(158)	8		(146)
Technical result	\$	57	NM	\$	2	\$	73	NM	\$	(28)
Loss ratio		60.3%			77.6%		64.9%			83.4%
Acquisition ratio		22.9			21.9		24.0			20.5
Technical ratio		83.2%			99.5%		88.9%			103.9%
Premiums										

The Global (Non-U.S.) Specialty sub-segment represented 31% and 26% of total net premiums written in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, compared to 32% and 28% of total net premiums written during the same periods in 2010. The decrease in the Global (Non-U.S.) Specialty sub-segment s net premiums written as a percentage of total net premiums written was primarily due to the effects of the Company s decision to cancel or reduce business as a result of modestly reduced pricing in certain lines of business and the repositioning

of the Company s portfolio, as described below. The decrease in the sub-segment s net premiums written is also due to a significant percentage of this sub-segment s business being written on a proportional basis, with the effects of the decision to reduce or cancel business recognized in premiums written on a quarterly basis over the coverage periods of the underlying treaties.

Three-month result

Gross and net premiums written decreased by 6% and net premiums earned decreased by 9% in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The decrease in gross and net premiums written and net premiums earned resulted from

43

most lines of business and was primarily driven by the effects of the Company s decision to cancel or reduce business as a result of modest reductions in pricing in certain lines of business and the repositioning of the Company s portfolio following the integration of Paris Re s business. These decreases were partially offset by new business written in the marine and specialty property lines of business, share increases in the credit/surety line of business and the impact of the weaker U.S. dollar in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. Foreign exchange fluctuations increased gross premiums written by 4% and net premiums written and earned by 5%.

Six-month result

Gross and net premiums written and net premiums earned decreased by 18%, 20% and 8% in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The decrease in gross and net premiums written resulted from most lines of business due to reasons described in the three-month result above. The decrease in gross and net premiums written was also due to an increase in the distribution of premiums written towards proportional business, where the Company generally recognizes premiums written over the coverage period compared to generally recognizing premiums written in full at the inception date of the contract for non-proportional business. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in upward premium adjustments reported by cedants in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010, which were primarily driven by the energy and engineering lines of business, new business written in the marine and specialty property lines of business and share increases in the credit/surety line of business. The decrease in net premiums earned was driven by the same factors as the decreases in gross and net premiums written. The decrease in net premiums earned was lower than the decreases in gross and net premiums written primarily due to the earning of business in the six months ended June 30, 2011 that was written in 2010. Notwithstanding the diverse conditions prevailing in various markets within this sub-segment, with terms in most markets soft, the Company was able to write business that met its portfolio objectives.

Losses and loss expenses and loss ratio

Three-month result

The losses and loss expenses and loss ratio reported in the three months ended June 30, 2011 reflected:

large catastrophic losses related to the U.S. tornadoes of \$15 million, or 4.5 points on the loss ratio;

net favorable loss development on prior accident years of \$58 million, or 16.9 points on the loss ratio; and

a decrease in the book of business and exposure and modest declines in pricing in certain lines of business.

The net favorable loss development of \$58 million reported in the three months ended June 30, 2011 included net favorable development for prior accident years in all lines of business. Loss information provided by cedants in the three months ended June 30, 2011 for prior accident years was lower than the Company expected and included no individually significant losses or reductions but a series of attritional losses or reductions. Based on the Company s assessment of this loss information, the Company decreased its expected ultimate loss ratios, which had the net effect of decreasing prior year loss estimates.

The losses and loss expenses and loss ratio reported in the three months ended June 30, 2010 reflected:

no large catastrophic losses;

losses and loss expenses related to Deepwater Horizon of \$61 million, or 15.8 points on the loss ratio;

net favorable loss development on prior accident years of \$32 million, or 8.5 points on the loss ratio;

net favorable loss development on the prior quarter of \$10 million, or 2.5 points on the loss ratio;

increasing loss trends in the specialty casualty line of business; and

an increase in the book of business and exposure.

The net favorable loss development of \$32 million reported in the three months ended June 30, 2010 included net favorable development for prior accident years in all lines of business, except specialty casualty, which experienced adverse loss development for prior accident years of \$13 million.

The decrease of \$86 million in losses and loss expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 included:

a decrease in losses and loss expenses of \$61 million related to Deepwater Horizon;

an increase of \$26 million in net favorable prior year loss development; and

a decrease of \$24 million in losses and loss expenses resulting from a decrease in the book of business and exposure, which was reduced by the impact of declining profitability of the business in certain lines of business between periods; partially offset by

an increase of \$15 million in large catastrophic losses; and

a decrease of \$10 million in net favorable prior quarter loss development.

44

Six-month result

The losses and loss expenses and loss ratio reported in the six months ended June 30, 2011 reflected:

large catastrophic losses related to the Japan Earthquake, U.S. tornadoes, Australian Floods and New Zealand Earthquake of \$43 million, or 6.5 points on the loss ratio;

net favorable loss development on prior accident years of \$93 million, or 14.0 points on the loss ratio;

a higher level of mid-sized loss activity; and

a decrease in the book of business and exposure and declines in pricing in certain lines of business.

The net favorable loss development of \$93 million reported in the six months ended June 30, 2011 included net favorable loss development for prior accident years in most lines of business, except for energy, engineering and agriculture, which experienced combined adverse loss development for prior accident years of \$12 million. Loss information provided by cedants in the six months ended June 30, 2011 for prior accident years was lower than the Company expected (higher for energy, engineering and agriculture) and included no individually significant losses or reductions but a series of attritional losses or reductions. Based on the Company s assessment of this loss information, the Company decreased (increased for energy, engineering and agriculture) its expected ultimate loss ratios, which had the net effect of decreasing (increasing for energy, engineering and agriculture) prior year loss estimates.

The losses and loss expenses and loss ratio reported in the six months ended June 30, 2010 reflected:

large catastrophic losses related to the Chile Earthquake of \$59 million, or 8.4 points on the loss ratio;

losses and loss expenses related to Deepwater Horizon of \$61 million, or 8.3 points on the loss ratio;

net favorable loss development on prior accident years of \$48 million, or 6.7 points on the loss ratio;

increasing loss trends in the specialty casualty line of business; and

a decrease in the book of business and exposure.

The net favorable loss development of \$48 million reported in the six months ended June 30, 2010 included net favorable development for prior accident years in all lines of business, except specialty casualty, which experienced adverse loss development for prior accident years of \$50 million.

The decrease of \$168 million in losses and loss expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 included:

a decrease in losses and loss expenses of \$61 million related to Deepwater Horizon;

a decrease of \$46 million in losses and loss expenses resulting from a lower level of loss estimates in the credit/surety line related to the financial crisis and a decrease in the book of business and exposure, which was reduced by the impact of declining profitability of the business in certain lines of business between periods and a higher level of mid-sized loss activity;

an increase of \$45 million in net favorable prior year loss development; and

a decrease of \$16 million related to large catastrophic losses.

Acquisition costs and acquisition ratio

Three-month result

Acquisition costs decreased in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 primarily due to lower net premiums earned. The increase in the acquisition ratio in the three months ended June 30, 2011 was driven by a shift towards proportional business and a shift in the mix of business towards the credit/surety line of business, both of which generally carry a relatively higher acquisition ratio compared to non-proportional business and other lines.

Six-month result

Acquisition costs and the acquisition ratio increased in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The increases were primarily due to the same reasons as described in the three-month result, as well as higher profit commission adjustments reported by cedants in the six months ended June 30, 2011 in the credit/surety line of business, compared to a release of a premium deficiency in the credit/surety line of business in the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Technical result and technical ratio

Three-month result

The increase of \$55 million in the technical result and the corresponding decrease in the technical ratio in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 was primarily attributable to a decrease in losses and loss expenses of \$58 million, net of retrocession and reinstatement premiums, related to Deepwater Horizon, an increase of \$26 million in net favorable prior year loss development and a lower level of loss estimates in the credit/surety line of business related to the financial crisis. These increases in the technical result were partially offset by an

45

increase of \$15 million in large catastrophic losses, a decrease of \$10 million in net favorable prior quarter loss development, declining profitability in certain lines of business and net premiums earned between periods.

Six-month result

The increase of \$101 million in the technical result and the corresponding decrease in the technical ratio in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 was primarily attributable to a decrease in losses and loss expenses of \$58 million, net of retrocession and reinstatement premiums, related to Deepwater Horizon, an increase of \$45 million in net favorable prior year loss development, a decrease of \$17 million, net of reinstatement premiums, in large catastrophic losses and a lower level of loss estimates in the credit/surety line of business related to the financial crisis. These increases in the technical result were partially offset by declining profitability in certain lines of business and net premiums earned between periods, a higher level of mid-sized loss activity and higher acquisition costs.

Catastrophe

The Catastrophe sub-segment writes business predominantly on a non-proportional basis and is exposed to volatility resulting from catastrophic losses. Thus, profitability in any one quarter or year is not necessarily predictive of future profitability. The results for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 demonstrate this volatility. The results for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 contained a significantly higher level of catastrophe losses related to the Japan Earthquake, New Zealand Earthquake, U.S. tornadoes, Australian Floods and aggregate contracts covering losses in Australia and New Zealand than the same periods of 2010. The results for the three months ended June 30, 2010 included no large catastrophic losses and the results for the six months ended June 30, 2010 included a large level of catastrophe losses related to the Chile Earthquake. The varying amounts of catastrophic losses significantly impacted the technical result and ratio and affected year over year comparisons as discussed below.

The following table provides the components of the technical result and the corresponding ratios for this sub-segment for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in millions of U.S. dollars):

	montl	he three ns ended 30, 2011	% Change 2011 over 2010	mont	the three hs ended 30, 2010	mont	the six hs ended 30, 2011	% Change 2011 over 2010	mont	the six hs ended 30, 2010
Gross premiums written	\$	169	(18)%	\$	206	\$	486	(21)%	\$	615
Net premiums written		161	(16)		191		453	(18)		550
Net premiums earned	\$	110	8	\$	101	\$	233	(9)	\$	255
Losses and loss expenses		(123)	NM		13		(1,040)	646		(140)
Acquisition costs		(9)	40		(7)		(1)	(97)		(18)
Technical result	\$	(22)	NM	\$	107	\$	(808)	NM	\$	97
Loss ratio		111.7%			(12.8)%		446.3%			54.6%
Acquisition ratio		8.1			6.3		0.3			7.3
-										
Technical ratio		119.8%			(6.5)%		446.6%			61.9%
Premiums										

The Catastrophe sub-segment represented 15% and 18% of total net premiums written in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, compared to 17% and 19% of total net premiums written during the same periods in 2010.

Three-month result

Gross and net premiums written decreased by 18% and 16%, respectively, and net premiums earned increased by 8% in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The decreases in gross and net premiums written resulted primarily from a timing difference between periods related to the renewal of certain Japanese treaties and the Company s decision to reduce certain catastrophe exposures and reposition its portfolio following the integration of Paris Re s business. These decreases were partially offset by new business, reinstatement premiums, increases in certain treaty participations and foreign exchange fluctuations. Foreign exchange fluctuations increased gross and net premiums written by 5% and net premiums earned by 8% due to the weaker U.S. dollar in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The increase in net premiums earned, while gross and net premiums written decreased, was primarily due to the earning

of business written in prior periods and the timing difference that decreased gross and net premiums written, which had a significantly lower impact on net premiums earned between periods.

46

Six-month result

Gross and net premiums written and net premiums earned decreased by 21%, 18% and 9%, respectively, in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The decreases in gross and net premiums written and net premiums earned were due to the same reasons described in the three-month result above. The decrease in net premiums earned in the six months ended June 30, 2011 was less pronounced compared to the decrease in gross and net premiums written primarily due to the earning of the business written in prior periods and the timing difference that decreased gross and net premiums written, which had a significantly lower impact on net premiums earned between periods.

Losses and loss expenses and loss ratio

Three-month result

The losses and loss expenses and loss ratio reported in the three months ended June 30, 2011 reflected:

large catastrophic losses related to the U.S. tornadoes of \$36 million, or 30.2 points on the loss ratio;

losses related to an aggregate contract covering losses in Australia and New Zealand of \$33 million, or 30.1 points on the loss ratio;

net favorable loss development on prior accident years of \$26 million, or 24.1 points on the loss ratio; and

net adverse loss development on the prior quarter of \$56 million, or 51.6 points on the loss ratio, driven by adverse loss development of \$69 million, or 63.4 points on the loss ratio, related to the New Zealand Earthquake, which was partially offset by favorable loss development of \$9 million, or 8.2 points on the loss ratio, related to the Australian Floods.

The net favorable loss development of \$26 million reported in the three months ended June 30, 2011 was primarily due to favorable loss emergence, as losses reported by cedants in the three months ended June 30, 2011 for prior accident years were lower than the Company expected. Based on the Company s assessment of this loss information, the Company decreased its expected ultimate loss ratio, which had the effect of decreasing the level of prior year loss estimates.

The losses and loss expenses and loss ratio reported in the three months ended June 30, 2010 reflected:

no large catastrophic losses;

net favorable loss development on the prior quarter of \$22 million, or 21.6 points on the loss ratio, which was driven by lower loss estimates of \$24 million, or 24.0 points on the loss ratio, related to the Chile Earthquake; and

net favorable loss development on prior accident years of \$11 million, or 10.4 points on the loss ratio.

The increase of \$136 million in losses and loss expenses in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 included:

an increase of \$78 million in net adverse prior quarter loss development;

an increase of \$36 million in large catastrophic losses;

an increase of \$33 million in losses related to an aggregate contract; and

an increase of \$4 million in losses and loss expenses resulting from normal fluctuations in profitability between periods; partially offset by

an increase of \$15 million in net favorable prior year loss development.

Six-month result

The losses and loss expenses and loss ratio reported in the six months ended June 30, 2011 reflected:

large catastrophic losses related to the Japan Earthquake, New Zealand Earthquake, U.S. tornadoes and Australian Floods of \$1,002 million, or 428.0 points on the loss ratio;

losses related to aggregate contracts covering losses in Australia and New Zealand of \$93 million, or 40.1 points on the loss ratio;

net favorable loss development on prior accident years of \$61 million, or 26.4 points on the loss ratio;

a lower level of mid-sized loss activity; and

a decrease in the book of business and exposure.

The net favorable loss development of \$61 million reported in the six months ended June 30, 2011 was primarily due to favorable loss emergence, as losses reported by cedants in the six months ended June 30, 2011 for prior accident years were lower than the Company expected. Based on the Company s assessment of this loss information, the Company decreased its expected ultimate loss ratio, which had the effect of decreasing the level of prior year loss estimates.

47

The losses and loss expenses and loss ratio reported in the six months ended June 30, 2010 reflected:

large catastrophic losses related to the Chile Earthquake of \$106 million, or 41.1 points on the loss ratio; and

net favorable loss development on prior accident years of \$31 million, or 12.1 points on the loss ratio.

The increase of \$900 million in losses and loss expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 included:

an increase of \$896 million in large catastrophic losses; and

an increase of \$93 million in losses related to aggregate contracts; partially offset by

an increase of \$30 million in net favorable prior year loss development; and

a decrease of \$59 million in losses and loss expenses resulting from a lower level of mid-sized loss activity, a decrease in the book of business and exposure and normal fluctuations in profitability between periods.

Acquisition costs and acquisition ratio

Three-month result

Acquisition costs increased in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 primarily due to the increase in net premiums earned. The acquisition ratio increased due to higher commissions related to a ceded reinsurance treaty.

Six-month result

Acquisition costs and the acquisition ratio decreased in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 primarily due to lower profit commissions as a result of the large catastrophic losses in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010

Technical result and technical ratio

Three-month result

The decrease of \$129 million in the technical result and the corresponding increase in the technical ratio in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 was primarily due to an increase of \$78 million in net adverse prior quarter loss development, an increase of \$65 million, net of retrocession and reinstatement premiums, in large catastrophic losses and losses related to an aggregate contract, and normal fluctuations in profitability and net premiums earned between periods. These decreases in the technical result were partially offset by an increase of \$15 million in net favorable prior year loss development.

Six-month result

The decrease of \$905 million in the technical result and the corresponding increase in the technical ratio in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 was primarily due to an increase of \$962 million, net of retrocession, reinstatement premiums and profit commissions, in large catastrophic losses and losses related to an aggregate contract. These decreases in the technical result were partially offset by an increase of \$30 million in net favorable prior year loss development, a lower level of mid-sized loss activity and normal fluctuations in profitability and net premiums earned between periods.

Life Segment

The following table provides the components of the allocated underwriting result for this segment for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in millions of U.S. dollars):

48

	tl montl	or the hree hs ended 30, 2011	% Change 2011 over 2010	mont	he three hs ended 30, 2010	mont	the six hs ended 30, 2011	% Change 2011 over 2010	mont	the six hs ended 30, 2010
Gross premiums written	\$	195	17%	\$	167	\$	403	14%	\$	354
Net premiums written		195	17		167		402	15		349
Net premiums earned	\$	201	16	\$	173	\$	385	14	\$	337
Life policy benefits		(166)	(1)		(169)		(311)	4		(299)
Acquisition costs		(26)	(1)		(25)		(56)	13		(49)
Technical result	\$	9	NM	\$	(21)	\$	18	NM	\$	(11)
Other income			(57)		1			NM		1
Other operating expenses		(13)	4		(13)		(25)	(5)		(27)
Net investment income		16	(22)		20		31	(15)		37
Allocated underwriting result(1)	\$	12	NM	\$	(13)	\$	24	NM	\$	

⁽¹⁾ Allocated underwriting result is defined as net premiums earned, other income or loss and allocated net investment income less life policy benefits, acquisition costs and other operating expenses.

Premiums

The Life segment represented 19% and 16% of total net premiums written in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, compared to 15% and 12% of total net premiums written during the same periods in 2010. The increase in the Life segment s net premiums written as a percentage of total net premiums written during the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010 was primarily due to decreases in net premiums written in the Company s Non-life segment, as described in Non-life segment above, and new business written in the Life segment, as described below.

Three-month result

Gross and net premiums written increased by 17% and net premiums earned increased by 16% in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The increase in gross and net premiums written and net premiums earned was primarily due to new business written during 2010 in the longevity line, which was not fully reflected in the three months ended June 30, 2010, as well as modest growth in the mortality line. These increases were also due to the impact of the weaker U.S. dollar in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 as premiums denominated in currencies that have appreciated against the U.S. dollar were converted into U.S. dollars at higher average exchange rates. Foreign exchange fluctuations increased gross and net premiums written and net premiums earned by 7%.

Six-month result

Gross and net premiums written and net premiums earned increased by 14%, 15% and 14%, respectively, in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The increase in gross and net premiums written and net premiums earned was primarily due to new business written during 2010 in the longevity line, which was not fully reflected in the six months ended June 30, 2011, as well as growth in the mortality line. Foreign exchange fluctuations modestly increased gross and net premiums written and net premiums earned by 2% due to the impact of the weaker U.S. dollar in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. These increases in gross and net premiums written and net premiums earned were partially offset by a decrease related to the restructuring of a longevity treaty.

Life policy benefits

Three-month result

Life policy benefits decreased by \$3 million in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The decrease was primarily attributable to:

a decrease of \$26 million in net adverse prior year loss development; partially offset by

an increase in business written in both the longevity and mortality lines; and

the impact of foreign exchange fluctuations, which increased life policy benefits by 7% (comparable with the increase in net premiums earned due to foreign exchange fluctuations) during the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

The Life segment experienced net adverse prior year loss development of \$2 million in the three months ended June 30, 2011, primarily driven by net adverse development on certain short-term disability treaties in the mortality line. The net adverse development of \$28 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010 included adverse development of \$20 million in the longevity line, due to an improvement in the mortality trend related to an ILA treaty, and adverse development of \$8 million resulting from the GMDB business, where the payout is linked to the performance of underlying capital market assets.

49

Six-month result

Life policy benefits increased by \$12 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The increase was primarily attributable to:

an increase in business written in both the longevity and mortality lines; partially offset by

a decrease of \$19 million in net adverse prior year loss development; and

lower life policy benefits reported by a cedant for a longevity treaty in run-off.

The Life segment experienced net favorable prior year loss development of \$2 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011 due to favorable development related to the GMDB business, driven by updated cedant information and an improvement in the capital markets, being offset by adverse development on certain short-term treaties and a credit life treaty in the mortality line following the receipt of updated cedant information. The net adverse development of \$17 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 was primarily driven by adverse development of \$20 million in the longevity line as described in the three-month result, and was partially offset by \$3 million favorable development in the mortality line related to long term and TCI business.

Acquisition costs

Three-month result

Acquisition costs in the three months ended June 30, 2011 were comparable to the same period in 2010. While net premiums written and earned increased in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010, the new business written in the longevity line did not incur any acquisition costs.

Six-month result

The increase in acquisition costs in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 was primarily attributable to an increase in acquisition costs reported by a cedant for a longevity treaty in run-off and higher net premiums earned in the mortality line of business.

Net investment income

Three-month and six-month result

Net investment income decreased in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same periods of 2010 primarily as a result of lower positive adjustments on funds held contracts reported by cedants.

Allocated underwriting result

Three-month and six-month result

The allocated underwriting result in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 increased compared to the same periods in 2010 due to an increase in the technical result, which was partially offset by a decrease in net investment income. The increase in the technical result for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same periods of 2010 was primarily driven by a decrease in net adverse prior year loss development, a change in the mix of business towards the longevity line and normal fluctuations in profitability between periods.

Premium Distribution by Line of Business

The distribution of net premiums written by line of business for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was as follows:

	For the three months ended June 30, 2011	For the three months ended June 30, 2010	For the six months ended June 30, 2011	For the six months ended June 30, 2010
Non-life				
Property and casualty				
Casualty	10%	10%	11%	10%
Property	13	17	16	19
Motor	3	5	5	7
Multiline and other	2	3	2	2
Specialty				
Agriculture	7	2	6	4
Aviation / Space	5	4	4	3
Catastrophe	15	17	18	19
Credit / Surety	8	6	7	6
Energy	2	3	2	2
Engineering	4	4	3	3
Marine	7	7	5	6
Specialty casualty	2	4	3	5
Specialty property	4	3	2	2
Life	18	15	16	12
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

The changes in the distribution of net premiums written by line between the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 primarily reflected the Company s response to existing market conditions, the repositioning of its portfolio following the integration of Paris Re and the reduction of catastrophe exposed business. The distribution of net premiums written may also be affected by the timing of renewals of treaties, a change in treaty structure and premium adjustments by cedants. In addition, foreign exchange fluctuations affected the comparison for all lines.

Property: the decrease in the distribution of net premiums written in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same periods of 2010 was driven by the effects of the Company's decision to cancel or reduce business as a result of reductions in pricing and to reposition its portfolio following the integration of Paris Re's business, including reducing the level of catastrophe exposed business. The decrease in the distribution of net premiums written was also due to an increase in the percentage of the proportional business, which is recognized in written premiums on a quarterly basis over the coverage period of the underlying treaties.

Agriculture: the increase in the distribution of net premiums written was driven by an increase in premiums due to increased demand, higher agricultural commodity prices and lower downward premium adjustments in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same periods of 2010.

Catastrophe: the decrease in the distribution of net premiums written in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same periods of 2010 resulted primarily from the Company s decision to reduce certain catastrophe exposures and reposition its portfolio following the integration of Paris Re s business.

Life: the increase in the distribution of net premiums written in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same periods of 2010 was primarily due to the decrease in net premiums written in most of the Company s Non-life lines of business and an increase in business written in the longevity and mortality lines, as described above.

Premium Distribution by Reinsurance Type

The Company typically writes business on either a proportional or non-proportional basis. On proportional business, the Company shares proportionally in both the premiums and losses of the cedant. On non-proportional business, the Company is typically exposed to loss events in excess of a predetermined dollar amount or loss ratio. In both proportional and non-proportional business, the Company typically reinsures a

large group of primary insurance contracts written by the ceding company. In addition, the Company writes business on a facultative basis. Facultative arrangements are generally specific to an individual risk and can be written on either a proportional or non-proportional basis. Generally, the Company has more influence over pricing, as well as terms and conditions, in non-proportional and facultative arrangements.

The distribution of gross premiums written by reinsurance type for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was as follows:

51

	For the three months ended June 30, 2011	For the three months ended June 30, 2010	For the six months ended June 30, 2011	For the six months ended June 30, 2010
Non-life segment				
Proportional	48%	44%	43%	40%
Non-proportional	26	31	36	41
Facultative	8	10	6	7
Life segment				
Proportional	18	15	14	11
Non-proportional			1	1
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

The distribution of gross premiums written by reinsurance type is affected by changes in the allocation of capacity among lines of business, the timing of receipt by the Company of cedant accounts and premium adjustments by cedants. In addition, foreign exchange fluctuations affected the comparison for all treaty types.

The changes in the distribution of gross premiums written by reinsurance type in the Non-life segment between the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and the same periods in 2010 primarily reflect a shift in mix from the Non-life segment to the Life segment and from non-proportional business to proportional business in the Non-life segment. These shifts in the mix of business result from the decreases in gross premiums written in most lines of Non-life segment following the cancellation and reduction of business and the repositioning of the Company's portfolio, as described above. In addition, the increase in the proportional business in the Life segment was driven by new business in the longevity line and growth in the mortality line of business, as well as the decrease in gross premiums written in most of the Company's Non-life lines of business.

Premium Distribution by Geographic Region

The following table provides the geographic distribution of gross premiums written based on the location of the underlying risk in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

	For the three months ended June 30, 2011	For the three months ended June 30, 2010	For the six months ended June 30, 2011	For the six months ended June 30, 2010
Europe	40%	36%	42%	44%
North America	36	36	36	36
Asia, Australia and New Zealand	13	16	12	11
Latin America, Caribbean and Africa	11	12	10	9
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

The increase in the distribution of gross premiums written in Europe in the three months ended June 30, 2011 was primarily driven by new business and growth in the Company s Life segment and the impact of foreign exchange fluctuations, as premiums denominated in currencies that have appreciated against U.S. dollar were converted into U.S. dollar at higher average exchange rates. The decrease in the distribution of gross premiums written in Europe in the six months ended June 30, 2011 was driven by the cancellation and reduction of business and the repositioning of the Company s portfolio following the integration of Paris Re, and was partially offset by the increase in gross premiums written in the Company s Life segment. The changes in the distribution of gross premiums written in Asia, Australia and New Zealand and Latin America, Caribbean and Africa were primarily driven by changes in Europe and by the decrease in gross premiums written due to the timing difference related to the Japanese renewals, as discussed in the Catastrophe sub-segment.

Premium Distribution by Production Source

The Company generates its gross premiums written both through brokers and through direct relationships with cedants. The percentage of gross premiums written by production source for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was as follows:

52

	For the three months ended June 30, 2011	For the three months ended June 30, 2010	For the six months ended June 30, 2011	For the six months ended June 30, 2010
Broker	75%	76%	73%	75%
Direct	25	24	27	25
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

The percentage of gross premiums written through brokers in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 decreased compared to the same periods in 2010 due to the reductions in the Company s gross premiums written being more pronounced in business written through brokers than through direct relationships with cedants.

Corporate and Other

Corporate and Other is comprised of the Company s capital markets and investment related activities, including principal finance transactions, insurance-linked securities and strategic investments, and its corporate activities, including other operating expenses.

Net Investment Income

The table below provides net investment income by asset source for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in millions of U.S. dollars):

	th month	the ree s ended 0, 2011	% Change 2011 over 2010	tl montl	or the hree hs ended 30, 2010	mont	or the six hs ended 30, 2011	% Change 2011 over 2010	mont	or the six hs ended 30, 2010
Fixed maturities	\$	142	(4)%	\$	148	\$	277	(8)%	\$	302
Short-term investments, cash and cash										
equivalents		1	14		1		2	(48)		5
Equities		6	15		6		11	16		9
Funds held and other		11	(27)		15		24	(9)		26
Funds held directly managed		9	(41)		14		17	(37)		27
Investment expenses		(11)	6		(10)		(21)	3		(21)
-										
Net investment income	\$	158	(9)	\$	174	\$	310	(11)	\$	348

Because of the interest-sensitive nature of some of the Company s Life products, net investment income is considered in Management s assessment of the profitability of the Life segment (see Life segment above). The following discussion includes net investment income from all investment activities, including the net investment income allocated to the Life segment.

Three-month result

Net investment income decreased in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 primarily due to:

a decrease in net investment income from fixed maturities was primarily due to lower reinvestment rates, which was partially offset by the purchase of higher yielding investments;

a decrease in net investment income on funds held and other as a result of lower investment income reported by cedants. This decrease was partially offset by an increase in net investment income related to the higher level of other invested assets held, on average, in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010; and

a decrease in net investment income from funds held directly managed related to lower reinvestment rates and the lower level of funds held directly managed held, on average, which was related to the release of assets from the funds held directly managed account following an endorsement to the quota share treaty with Colisée Re in February 2011 and the run-off of the underlying liabilities. The assets released from the funds held-directly managed account were reinvested in the Company s fixed maturity portfolio at lower reinvestment rates; partially offset by

the weakening of the U.S. dollar, on average, in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 which contributed a 2% increase in net investment income.

53

Six-month result

Net investment income decreased in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 primarily due to:

a decrease in net investment income from fixed maturities was primarily due to lower reinvestment rates, which was partially offset by the purchase of higher yielding investments;

a decrease in net investment income from funds held directly managed related to lower reinvestment rates and the lower level of funds held directly managed held, on average, as described in the three-month result; and

a decrease in net investment income from fixed maturities related to a lower level of fixed maturities held, on average, in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The decrease in the average balance of fixed maturities held was related to the sale of fixed maturities to finance the Company s repurchase of common shares and to repay debt of \$200 million during the second half of 2010, which more than offset the inflows to the investment portfolio from operations, the preferred share issuance and the release of assets from the funds held directly managed account in 2011.

Net Realized and Unrealized Investment Gains (Losses)

The Company s portfolio managers have dual investment objectives of optimizing current investment income and achieving capital appreciation. To meet these objectives, it is often desirable to buy and sell securities to take advantage of changing market conditions and to reposition the investment portfolios. Accordingly, recognition of realized gains and losses is considered by the Company to be a normal consequence of its ongoing investment management activities. In addition, the Company records changes in fair value for substantially all of its investments as unrealized investment gains or losses in its Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. Realized and unrealized investment gains and losses are generally a function of multiple factors, with the most significant being prevailing interest rates, credit spreads, and equity market conditions.

As discussed in Overview above, European and U.S. risk-free interest rates decreased, while credit spreads widened and equity markets remained relatively flat during the three months ended June 30, 2011. In the six months ended June 30, 2011, European and U.S. risk-free interest rates increased modestly, equity markets improved and credit spreads were flat. In addition to these general economic factors, the Company realized losses on treasury note futures in the three months ended June 30, 2011 and incurred losses related to certain insurance-linked securities impacted by the Japan Earthquake during the six months ended June 30, 2011. These factors had an impact on the Company s investment portfolio and the related level of realized and unrealized gains (losses) on investments compared to the same period of 2010.

The components of net realized and unrealized investment gains (losses) for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were as follows (in millions of U.S. dollars):

	For the three months ended June 30, 2011	For the three months ended June 30, 2010	For the six months ended June 30, 2011	For the six months ended June 30, 2010
Net realized investment gains on fixed maturities				
and short-term investments	\$ 13	\$ 35	\$ 21	\$ 51
Net realized investment gains on equities	26	10	63	23
Net realized investment losses on other invested				
assets	(63)	(29)	(51)	(28)
Change in net unrealized investment losses on				
other invested assets	(7)	(25)	(45)	(48)
Change in net unrealized investment gains (losses)				
on fixed maturities and short-term investments	131	166	(10)	262
Change in net unrealized investment losses on				
equities	(30)	(127)	(14)	(101)

Edgar Filing: PARTNERRE LTD - Form 10-Q

Net other realized and unrealized investment gains (losses)		(4)		3
Net realized and unrealized investment gains on funds held directly managed	8	20	2	29
Net realized and unrealized investment gains (losses)	\$ 78	\$ 46	\$ (34)	\$ 191

Three-month result

Net realized and unrealized investment gains increased by \$32 million, from \$46 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010 to \$78 million in the same period of 2011. The net realized and unrealized investment gains of \$78 million in the three months ended June 30, 2011 were primarily due to declining European and U.S. risk-free interest rates and were partially offset by widening credit spreads. Net realized and unrealized investment gains of \$78 million in the three months ended June 30, 2011 primarily consisted of the change in net unrealized investment gains on fixed maturities and short-term investments of \$131 million and net realized investment gains on equities, fixed maturities and short-term investments of \$39 million, which were partially offset by net realized investment losses on other invested assets, primarily related to treasury note futures, of \$63 million and the change in net unrealized investment losses on equities and other invested assets of \$37 million.

Net realized and the change in net unrealized investment losses on other invested assets were a combined loss of \$70 million in the three months ended June 30, 2011 and primarily related to realized losses on treasury note futures. Net realized and the change in net unrealized investment losses on other invested assets were a combined loss of \$54 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010 and primarily related to realized and unrealized losses on treasury note futures.

Net realized and unrealized investment gains on funds held directly managed of \$8 million and \$20 million in the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, primarily related to the change in unrealized investment gains on fixed maturities and short-term investments in the segregated investment portfolio underlying the funds held directly managed account and were primarily the result of declining risk-free rates.

Six-month result

Net realized and unrealized investment gains decreased by \$225 million, from \$191 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 to a loss of \$34 million in the same period of 2011. The net realized and unrealized investment losses of \$34 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011 were primarily due to losses on treasury note futures, losses on insurance-linked securities impacted by the Japan Earthquake and a modest increase in European and U.S. risk-free interest rates, which were partially offset by improvements in worldwide equity markets. Net realized and unrealized investment losses of \$34 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011 primarily consisted of net realized investment losses on other invested assets (mainly related to treasury note futures) of \$51 million, the change in net unrealized investment losses on other invested assets, equities, fixed maturities and short-term investments of \$69 million, which were partially offset by net realized investment gains on equities, fixed maturities and short-term investments of \$84 million.

Net realized and the change in net unrealized investment losses on other invested assets were a combined loss of \$96 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011 and primarily related to realized and unrealized losses on treasury note futures and a realized loss on insurance-linked securities impacted by the Japan Earthquake. Net realized and the change in net unrealized investment losses on other invested assets were a combined loss of \$76 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 and primarily related to losses on treasury note futures and a loss on an interest rate derivative used to mitigate exposure to interest rate volatility related to the Company s Senior Notes issuance in March 2010, and were partially offset by realized gains on total return swaps.

Net realized and unrealized investment gains on funds held directly managed of \$2 million and \$29 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, primarily relate to realized and unrealized investment gains on fixed maturities and short-term investments in the segregated investment portfolio underlying the funds held directly managed account.

Other Operating Expenses

The Company s total other operating expenses for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were as follows (in millions of U.S. dollars):

nonth	s ended	% Change 2011 over 2010	month	ıs ended	month	s ended	% Change 2011 over 2010	month	the six is ended 30, 2010
\$	114	29 %	\$	160	\$	218	24 %	\$	288
	month	three months ended June 30, 2011	months ended 2011 over June 30, 2011 2010	months ended 2011 over month June 30, 2011 2010 June	months ended 2011 over months ended June 30, 2011 2010 June 30, 2010	months ended 2011 over months ended month June 30, 2011 2010 June 30, 2010 June 3	months ended 2011 over months ended months ended June 30, 2011 2010 June 30, 2010 June 30, 2011	months ended 2011 over months ended months ended 2011 over June 30, 2011 2010 June 30, 2010 June 30, 2011 2010	months ended 2011 over months ended months ended 2011 over month June 30, 2011 2010 June 30, 2010 June 30, 2011 2010 June 30

Three-month result

Other operating expenses represent 10.3% and 14.5% of net premiums earned (both Non-life and Life) for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Other operating expenses included in Corporate and Other were \$30 million and \$65 million, of which \$26 million and \$62 million are related to corporate activities for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Other operating expenses decreased by 29% in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The decrease was primarily due to a charge of \$34 million related to the Company s voluntary termination plan in the three months ended June 30, 2010. In addition, the Company had a lower level of personnel costs and lower costs related to Paris Re s operations following its integration, which were partially offset by the impact of foreign exchange fluctuations in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

Six-month result

Other operating expenses represent 10.0% and 12.8% of net premiums earned (both Non-life and Life) for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Other operating expenses included in Corporate and Other were \$56 million and \$101 million, of which \$47 million and \$94 million are related to corporate activities for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Other operating expenses decreased by 24% in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The decrease was primarily due to a charge of \$35 million related to the Company s voluntary termination plan in the six months ended June 30, 2010, and lower personnel costs (including share-based compensation expenses) and lower costs related to Paris Re s operations following its integration, which were partially offset by foreign exchange fluctuations in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

Income Taxes

The Company s effective income tax rate, which we calculate as income tax expense or benefit divided by net income or loss before taxes, may fluctuate significantly from period to period depending on the geographic distribution of pre-tax net income or loss in any given period between different jurisdictions with comparatively higher tax rates and those with comparatively lower tax rates. The geographic distribution of pre-tax net income or loss can vary significantly between periods due to, but not limited to, the following factors: the business mix of net premiums written and earned; the geographic location, quantum and nature of net losses and loss expenses incurred; the quantum and geographic location of other operating expenses, net investment income, net realized and unrealized investment gains and losses; and the quantum of specific adjustments to determine the income tax basis in each of the Company s operating jurisdictions. In addition, a significant portion of the Company s gross and net premiums are currently written and earned in Bermuda, a non-taxable jurisdiction, including the majority of the Company s catastrophe business, which can result in significant volatility to the Company s pre-tax net income or loss in any given period.

The Company s income tax expense and effective income tax rate for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 were as follows (in millions of U.S. dollars):

	For the three months ended June 30, 2011	For the three months ended June 30, 2010	For the six months ended June 30, 2011	For the six months ended June 30, 2010
Income tax expense	\$ 50	\$ 17	\$ 24	\$ 45
Effective income tax rate	28.7%	8.5%	(3.6)%	14.3%

Three-month result

Income tax expense and the effective income tax rate was \$50 million and 28.7% in the three months ended June 30, 2011. Income tax expense and the effective income tax rate during the three months ended June 30, 2011 were primarily driven by the geographic distribution of the Company s pre-tax net income between its various taxable and non-taxable jurisdictions. Specifically, the income tax expense and the effective income tax rate included a significant portion of the Company s pre-tax net income recorded in jurisdictions with comparatively higher tax rates, the Company s non-taxable jurisdictions recorded a net loss which was driven by large catastrophic losses and net realized and unrealized investment losses, without any associated tax benefit, and certain unfavorable tax adjustments were recorded related to foreign branch income taxes and foreign exchange fluctuations.

Income tax expense and the effective income tax rate was \$17 million and 8.5% in the three months ended June 30, 2010. Income tax expense and the effective income tax rate during the three months ended June 30, 2010 were primarily driven by the geographic distribution of the Company s pre-tax net income between its various taxable and non-taxable jurisdictions. Specifically, the income tax expense and the effective income tax rate included a significant portion of the Company s pre-tax net income recorded in jurisdictions with comparatively lower tax rates and non-taxable jurisdictions, and a less significant portion of the Company s pre-tax net income recorded in jurisdictions with comparatively higher tax rates which was driven by the large Deepwater Horizon loss and the charges related to the Company s voluntary termination plan.

Six-month result

Income tax expense and the effective income tax rate was \$24 million and (3.6)% in the six months ended June 30, 2011. Income tax expense and the effective income tax rate during the six months ended June 30, 2011 were primarily driven by the geographic distribution of the Company s pre-tax net income between its various taxable and non-taxable jurisdictions. Specifically, income tax expense and the effective income tax rate included a significant portion of the Company s pre-tax net loss recorded in non-taxable jurisdictions and jurisdictions with comparatively lower tax rates with no associated tax benefit, which were driven by the large catastrophic losses described in the Review of Net Income (Loss), and a modest pre-tax net income recorded in taxable jurisdictions.

Income tax expense and the effective income tax rate was \$45 million and 14.3% in the six months ended June 30, 2010. Income tax expense and the effective income tax rate during the six months ended June 30, 2010 were primarily driven by the geographic distribution of the

Company s pre-tax net income between its various taxable and non-taxable jurisdictions. Specifically, income tax expense and the effective income tax rate included a relatively even distribution of the Company s pre-tax net income between its various

jurisdictions. The Company s taxable jurisdictions benefitted from significant realized and unrealized investment gains, which were partially offset by significant catastrophe losses related to the Chile Earthquake, the Deepwater Horizon loss and charges related to the voluntary plan, while non-taxable jurisdictions benefitted from a low level of catastrophe losses.

Financial Condition, Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company purchased, as part of its acquisition of Paris Re, an investment portfolio and a funds held directly managed account. The discussion of the acquired Paris Re investment portfolio is included in the discussion of Investments below. The discussion of the segregated investment portfolio underlying the funds held directly managed account is included separately in Funds Held Directly Managed below.

Investments

Total investments and cash were \$17.6 billion at June 30, 2011 compared to \$16.4 billion at December 31, 2010. The major factors influencing the increase in the six months ended June 30, 2011 were:

net cash provided by operating activities of \$742 million;

various factors, the primary one being the effect of a weaker U.S. dollar at June 30, 2011 relative to most major currencies as it relates to the conversion of non-U.S. dollar invested assets into U.S. dollars at higher exchange rates, amounting to approximately \$408 million: and

net proceeds of \$362 million, after underwriting discounts, commissions and other related expenses of \$12 million, related to the issuance of the Series E preferred shares (see Note 6 to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 of Part I of this report and Contractual Obligations and Commitments and Shareholders Equity and Capital Resources Management below); partially offset by

repurchases of the Company s common shares of \$227 million;

dividend payments on common and preferred shares totaling \$95 million; and

realized and unrealized losses related to the investment portfolio of \$36 million primarily resulting from a decrease in other invested assets of \$96 million, partially offset by an increase in the equity portfolio of \$49 million and the fixed maturity and short-term investment portfolios of \$11 million.

The Company employs a prudent investment philosophy. It maintains a high quality, well balanced and liquid portfolio having the dual objectives of optimizing current investment income and achieving capital appreciation. The Company s invested assets are comprised of total investments, cash and cash equivalents and accrued investment income. From a risk management perspective, the Company allocates its invested assets into two categories: liability funds and capital funds. At June 30, 2011, the liability funds totaled \$11.9 billion (including funds held—directly managed) and were comprised primarily of cash and cash equivalents and high quality fixed income securities. The capital funds, which totaled \$7.2 billion, were comprised of cash and cash equivalents, investment grade and below investment grade fixed income securities, accrued investment income, preferred and common stocks, private placement equity and bond investments, convertible fixed income securities and certain other specialty asset classes. For additional information on liability funds, capital funds and the use of derivative financial instruments in the Company s investment strategy, see Financial Condition, Liquidity and Capital Resources in Item 7 of Part II of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The market value of fixed maturities, short-term investments and equities classified as trading securities (excluding funds held directly managed) was \$15.6 billion at June 30, 2011. Trading securities are carried at fair value with changes in fair value included in net realized and unrealized investment gains and losses in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. In addition, the market value of the

Company s other invested assets was \$338 million, which are discussed separately below.

At June 30, 2011, approximately 94% of the Company s fixed income securities, including fixed income type mutual funds, were rated investment grade (BBB- or higher) by Standard & Poor s (or estimated equivalent) and 95% were publicly traded. The average credit quality of the Company s fixed income securities at June 30, 2011 was AA, comparable to the position at December 31, 2010.

The average duration of the Company s investment portfolio was 3.0 years at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. For the purposes of managing portfolio duration, the Company uses exchange traded treasury note futures. The use of treasury note futures allowed the Company to reduce the duration of its investment portfolio from 3.7 years to 3.0 years at June 30, 2011.

The average yield to maturity on fixed maturities, short-term investments and cash and cash equivalents was 2.9% at June 30, 2011, comparable to the position at December 31, 2010, reflecting relatively flat European and U.S. risk-free rates and credit spreads at June 30, 2011 compared to December 31, 2010.

The Company s investment portfolio generated a positive total accounting return (calculated based on the carrying value of all investments in local currency) of 1.4% and 1.8% for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, compared to 1.2% and 3.0% for the comparable periods in 2010. The higher total accounting return in the three months ended June 30, 2011

57

compared to the same period in 2010 was primarily due to decreasing risk-free rates. The lower total accounting return in the six months ended June 30, 2011 was mainly due to the relative increase in the level of risk-free rates, on average, in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

The cost, gross unrealized gains, gross unrealized losses and fair value of fixed maturities, short-term investments and equities classified as trading at June 30, 2011 were as follows (in millions of U.S. dollars):

June 30, 2011	Cost ⁽¹⁾	Gross Unrealize Gains	d Uı	Gross realized Losses	Fair Value
Fixed maturities					
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 1,550	\$ 2	6 \$	(2)	\$ 1,574
Non-U.S. sovereign government, supranational and government					
related	3,191	7	6	(9)	3,258
Corporate	5,577	27	1	(18)	5,830
Asset-backed securities	657	1	1	(12)	656
Residential mortgage-backed securities	2,922	11	0	(33)	2,999
Other mortgage-backed securities	87		3		90
Total fixed maturities	13,984	49	7	(74)	14,407
Short-term investments	217			(1)	216
Equities	880	13	6	(21)	995
•				. ,	
Total	\$ 15,081	\$ 63	3 \$	(96)	\$ 15,618

(1) Cost is amortized cost for fixed maturities and short-term investments and cost for equity securities. For investments acquired from Paris Re, cost is based on the fair value at the date of acquisition and subsequently adjusted for amortization of fixed maturities and short-term investments.

The fair value of the Company s fixed maturities increased by \$1.6 billion from \$12.8 billion at December 31, 2010 to \$14.4 billion at June 30, 2011. The increase in the Company s fixed maturities is predominantly in the U.S. government and agencies, non-U.S. sovereign government, supranational and government related and residential mortgage-backed securities categories, which have increased by \$601 million, \$439 million and \$693 million, respectively, at June 30, 2011 compared to December 31, 2010. The increases primarily reflect the investment of net cash provided by operating activities during the six months ended June 30, 2011, the investment of a portion of the Company s cash and cash equivalents and the proceeds from the issuance of the Series E preferred shares. As a result of these new investments, the Company s asset allocation has shifted from cash and cash equivalents to fixed maturities and, while the overall average credit quality of the portfolio has not changed from AA, there has been a shift in the credit quality from AA and A rated securities to AAA rated securities. See Ratings Distribution below for more details.

U.S. government and agencies includes U.S. treasuries, U.S. government agency securities and U.S. municipal securities which accounted for 82%, 12%, and 6%, respectively, of this category at June 30, 2011. The U.S. treasuries are not rated, however, they are generally considered to have a credit quality equivalent to or greater than AAA corporate issues. At June 30, 2011, 37% of U.S. government agency securities, although not specifically rated, are generally considered to have a credit quality equivalent to AAA corporate issues. The remaining 59% and 4% of U.S. government agency securities at June 30, 2011 were rated AAA and AA, respectively. At June 30, 2011, 12% of the U.S. municipal securities held were rated investment grade (BBB- or higher) by Standard & Poor s (or estimated equivalent), with the remaining 88% not rated.

The non-U.S. sovereign government, supranational and government related category includes obligations of non-U.S. sovereign governments, political subdivisions, agencies and supranational debt. Non-U.S. sovereign government obligations comprised 87% of this category, of which 94% were rated AAA. The largest three non-U.S. sovereign government issuers (France, Germany and Canada) accounted for 82% of non-U.S. sovereign government obligations at June 30, 2011. The remaining 13% of this category was primarily comprised of investment grade non-U.S. government related obligations and non-U.S. government agency obligations which represented 9% and 4% of the total, respectively. At June 30, 2011, 87% of this category was rated AAA.

Corporate bonds are comprised of obligations of U.S. and foreign corporations. At June 30, 2011, 93% of these investments were rated investment grade (BBB- or higher) by Standard & Poor s (or estimated equivalent), while 68% were rated A- or better. The ten largest issuers accounted for 20% of the corporate bonds held by the Company at June 30, 2011 (7% of total investments and cash) and no single issuer accounted for more than 3% of total corporate bonds (1% of the Company s total investments and cash) at June 30, 2011. At June 30, 2011, U.S. bonds comprised 62% of this category and no other country accounted for more than 10% of this category. The main exposures by economic sector were 24% in finance (10% were banks), 13% in consumer noncyclical and 10% in each of government guaranteed corporate debt and communications. Within the finance sector, 99% of corporate bonds were rated investment grade and 88% were rated A- or better at June 30, 2011.

58

The asset-backed securities category included U.S. asset-backed securities, which accounted for 74% at June 30, 2011. Of the U.S. asset-backed securities, 40% were rated investment grade (BBB- or higher) by Standard & Poor s (or estimated equivalent) and 60% were not rated. Non-U.S. asset-backed securities accounted for the remainder of this category, all of which were rated A- or higher by Standard & Poor s (or estimated equivalent).

Residential mortgage-backed securities includes U.S. residential mortgage-backed securities, which accounted for 92% of this category at June 30, 2011. These securities generally have a low risk of default and 96% are backed by U.S. government agencies, which sets standards on the mortgages before accepting them into the program. Although these U.S. government backed agency securities do not carry a formal rating, they are generally considered to have a credit quality equivalent to or greater than AAA corporate issues. They are considered prime mortgages and the major risk is uncertainty of the timing of prepayments. While there have been market concerns regarding sub-prime mortgages, the Company did not have direct exposure to these types of securities in its own portfolio at June 30, 2011, other than \$17 million of investments in distressed asset vehicles (included in other invested assets). At June 30, 2011, the Company s U.S. residential mortgage-backed securities included approximately \$202 million (7% of U.S. residential mortgage-backed securities) of collateralized mortgage obligations, where the Company deemed the entry point and price of the investment to be attractive. At June 30, 2011, the remaining 8% of this category was comprised of non-U.S. residential mortgage-backed securities, of which 92% were rated AAA and 99% were rated investment grade (BBB- or higher) by Standard & Poor s (or estimated equivalent).

Other mortgaged-backed securities includes U.S. commercial mortgage-backed securities and non-U.S. commercial mortgage backed securities, which accounted for 77% and 23% of this category at June 30, 2011, respectively. Approximately 96% of this category was rated investment grade (BBB- or higher) by Standard & Poor s (or estimated equivalent).

Short-term investments primarily consisted of U.S. treasuries, non-U.S. sovereign government obligations and U.S. asset-backed securities. At June 30, 2011, U.S. treasuries and non-U.S. sovereign government obligations accounted for 62% and 37%, respectively, of this category and were rated AAA by Standard & Poor s (or estimated equivalent). At June 30, 2011, U.S. asset-backed securities accounted for the remaining 1% of this category and were not rated.

Publicly traded common stocks (including public exchange traded funds (ETFs)) comprised 78% of equities at June 30, 2011. The remaining 22% of this category consisted primarily of funds holding fixed income securities, which was mainly comprised of emerging markets funds of \$210 million. Of the publicly traded common stocks and ETFs, U.S. issuers represented 86% at June 30, 2011. The ten largest common stocks accounted for 17% of equities (excluding equities held in ETFs and funds holding fixed income securities) at June 30, 2011 and no single common stock issuer accounted for more than 3% of total equities (excluding equities held in ETFs and funds holding fixed income securities) or more than 1% of the Company s total investments and cash. At June 30, 2011, the largest publicly traded common stock exposures by economic sector were 19% in consumer noncyclical, 14% in energy, 13% in finance, 11% in each of technology and communications, and 10% in industrials.

Maturity Distribution

The distribution of fixed maturities and short-term investments at June 30, 2011, by contractual maturity date, is shown below (in millions of U.S. dollars). Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because certain borrowers have the right to call or prepay certain obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

June 30, 2011	Cost	Fair Value
One year or less	\$ 963	\$ 970
More than one year through five years	5,279	5,404
More than five years through ten years	3,670	3,831
More than ten years	623	673
Subtotal	10,535	10,878
Mortgage/asset-backed securities	3,666	3,745
Total	\$ 14,201	\$ 14,623

Rating Distribution

The following table provides a breakdown of the credit quality of the Company s fixed maturities and short-term investments at June 30, 2011:

59

Rating Category	% of total
AAA	58 %
AA	7
A	19
BBB	10
Below investment grade/Unrated	6

Total 100 %

The Company s AA and A (or equivalent) rated securities, as a percentage of its total fixed income portfolio, decreased from 31% at December 31, 2010 to 26% at June 30, 2011 and was reallocated to AAA-rated fixed income securities, which increased from 51% at December 31, 2010 to 58% at June 30, 2011. The increase in the allocation to AAA-rated fixed income securities was primarily due to the purchase of U.S. treasuries and certain U.S. residential mortgage-backed securities which are generally considered to have a credit quality equivalent to or greater than AAA corporate issues, and non-U.S. sovereign government, supranational and government related securities, which are predominantly AAA-rated. These changes in the Company s overall asset allocation at June 30, 2011 compared to December 31, 2010 reflect new investments utilizing cash from operating activities generated during the six months ended June 30, 2011, the investing of a portion of the Company s cash and cash equivalents, and the proceeds from the issuance of the Series E preferred shares. The overall average credit quality of the Company s fixed maturity investment portfolio at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 remained AA.

Other Invested Assets

At June 30, 2011, the Company s other invested assets totaled \$338 million. The Company s other invested assets consisted primarily of investments in non-publicly traded companies, private placement equity and bond investments, notes receivable and other specialty asset classes. These assets, together with the Company s derivative financial instruments that were in a net unrealized gain or loss position at June 30, 2011, are reported within other invested assets in the Company s Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

At June 30, 2011, the Company s principal finance activities included \$162 million of investments classified as other invested assets, which were comprised primarily of notes receivable, total return, interest rate and credit default swaps (which are accounted for as derivative financial instruments), other asset-backed securities and private placement equity investments. At June 30, 2011, the carrying value of notes receivable, other asset-backed securities and private placement equity investments was \$144 million, \$27 million and \$3 million, respectively, and were partially offset by the combined fair value of total return, interest rate and credit default swaps which was an unrealized loss of \$12 million.

For total return swaps within the principal finance portfolio, the Company uses internal valuation models to estimate the fair value of these derivatives and develops assumptions that require significant judgment, such as the timing of future cash flows, credit spreads and the general level of interest rates. For interest rate swaps, the Company uses externally modeled quoted prices that use observable market inputs. At June 30, 2011, the fair value of the Company s assumed exposure in the form of total return and interest rate swaps was an unrealized loss of \$7 million and \$5 million, respectively. At June 30, 2011, the notional value of the Company s assumed exposure in the form of total return swaps was \$153 million.

At June 30, 2011, 48% of the Company s principal finance total return and interest rate swap portfolio was related to tax advantaged real estate income, 41% was related to apparel and retail future flow income or intellectual property backed transactions, for which the underlying investments were rated investment grade, and the remainder of the portfolio was distributed over a number of generally unrelated risks. For credit default swaps within principal finance, the Company uses externally modeled quoted prices that use observable market inputs to estimate the fair value. At June 30, 2011, the fair value of the Company s assumed exposure in the form of credit default swaps was insignificant and the notional value was \$23 million.

The Company continues to utilize credit default swaps to mitigate the risk associated with its underwriting obligations, most notably in the credit/surety line, to replicate investment positions or to manage market exposures and to reduce the credit risk for specific fixed maturities in its investment portfolio. The counterparties to the Company s credit default swaps are all highly rated financial institutions, rated A- or better by Standard & Poor s at June 30, 2011. The Company uses externally modeled quoted prices that use observable market inputs to estimate the fair value of these swaps. Excluding the credit default swaps within the principal finance portfolio described above, the fair value of these credit default swaps was a net unrealized loss of \$2 million at June 30, 2011, and the notional value was comprised of \$110 million of credit protection purchased and \$5 million of credit exposure assumed.

The Company has entered into various weather derivatives and longevity total return swaps for which the underlying risks reference parametric weather risks and longevity risks, respectively. The Company uses internal valuation models to estimate the fair value of these derivatives and develops assumptions that require significant judgment, except for exchange traded weather derivatives. In determining the fair value of exchange traded weather derivatives, the Company uses quoted market prices. At June 30, 2011, the

60

combined fair values of the weather derivatives and the longevity total return swaps were an unrealized loss of \$15 million, while their combined notional values were \$96 million.

The Company has entered into certain commodity futures contracts (which are accounted for as derivative financial instruments). The Company uses commodity futures to hedge certain investments and to replicate the investment return on certain benchmarked commodities. The counterparties to the Company s commodity futures contracts are all highly rated financial institutions, rated A- or better by Standard & Poor s at June 30, 2011. The Company uses quoted market prices to estimate the fair value of these contracts. The fair value and the notional value of the commodity futures contracts was an unrealized loss of \$1 million and \$51 million, respectively, at June 30, 2011.

The Company uses exchange traded treasury note futures for the purposes of managing portfolio duration. The fair value and notional value of the treasury note futures was a net unrealized loss of \$12 million and a net short position of \$2,283 million at June 30, 2011, respectively. The Company also uses equity futures to replicate equity investment positions. At June 30, 2011, both the notional value and the fair value of equity futures were insignificant.

The Company utilizes foreign exchange forward contracts and foreign currency option contracts as part of its overall currency risk management and investment strategies. At June 30, 2011, the fair value of foreign exchange forward contracts was a net unrealized gain of \$7 million and the fair value of foreign currency option contracts was an unrealized gain of \$3 million.

At June 30, 2011, the Company s strategic investments of \$201 million (of which \$188 million were included in Other invested assets) includes investments in non-publicly traded companies, private placement equity and bond investments, derivatives and other specialty asset classes.

The Company also had \$8 million of other invested assets at June 30, 2011.

Funds Held Directly Managed

For a discussion of the funds held directly managed account and the related Quota Share Retrocession Agreement, see Summary of certain agreements between AXA SA, Colisée Re and Paris Re in Item 1 of Part I of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. The composition of the investments underlying the funds held directly managed account at June 30, 2011 is discussed below.

At June 30, 2011, approximately 98% of the fixed income securities underlying the funds held directly managed account were publicly traded and all were rated investment grade (BBB- or higher) by Standard & Poor s (or estimated equivalent). The average credit quality of the fixed income securities underlying the funds held directly managed account was AA at June 30, 2011, comparable to December 31, 2010.

The average duration of the investments underlying the funds held directly managed account was 3.1 years at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The average yield to maturity on fixed maturities, short-term investments and cash and cash equivalents underlying the funds held directly managed account was 2.1% at June 30, 2011 compared to 2.4% at December 31, 2010. The decrease in the average yield to maturity during the six months ended June 30, 2011 primarily reflects the sale and maturity of higher yielding investments to finance the release of assets pursuant to the Endorsement (defined below) and to pay losses related to the run-off of the underlying reserves.

The cost, gross unrealized gains, gross unrealized losses and fair value of the investments underlying the funds held directly managed account at June 30, 2011 were as follows (in millions of U.S. dollars):

June 30, 2011	Cost ⁽¹⁾	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Fixed maturities				
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 223	\$ 8	\$	\$ 231
Non-U.S. sovereign government, supranational and government related	306	7		313
Corporate	542	11	(2)	551
Total fixed maturities	1,071	26	(2)	1,095
Short-term investments	42			42

Edgar Filing: PARTNERRE LTD - Form 10-Q

Other invested assets	29		(7)	22
	***	•	(0)	.
Total	\$ 1,142	\$ 26	\$ (9)	\$ 1,159

(1) Cost is based on the fair value at the date of the acquisition of Paris Re and subsequently adjusted for amortization of fixed maturities and short-term investments.

61

The decrease in the fair value of the investments underlying the funds held directly managed account of \$384 million, from \$1,543 million at December 31, 2010 to \$1,159 million at June 30, 2011 is primarily related to the release of assets of approximately \$0.3 billion from the funds held directly managed account to Partner Reinsurance Europe Limited in February 2011 pursuant to an endorsement to the Quota Share Retrocession Agreement (the Endorsement) with Coliseé Re (See Counterparty Credit Risk in Item 7A of Part II of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10K for the year ended December 31, 2010). The decrease in the fair value of the investments underlying the funds held directly managed account is also due to the sale and maturity of investments to pay losses related to the run-off of the underlying reserves.

In addition to the investments in the above table at June 30, 2011, the funds held directly managed account included cash and cash equivalents of \$149 million, other assets and liabilities of \$57 million and accrued investment income of \$15 million. The other assets and liabilities represent working capital assets held by Colisée Re related to the underlying business. The discussion below focuses on the investments underlying the funds held directly managed account.

U.S. government and agency securities underlying the funds held directly managed account are comprised of U.S. government agency securities and U.S. treasuries which accounted for 67% and 33% of this category at June 30, 2011, respectively. With the exception of investments totaling \$37 million in government agency securities, which were rated AA, U.S. government agency securities and U.S. treasuries are generally considered to have a credit quality equivalent to or greater than AAA corporate issues.

Non-U.S. sovereign government, supranational and government related category includes obligations of non-U.S. sovereign governments, agencies, political subdivisions and supranational debt. Non-U.S. government related obligations comprised 58% of this category, with investment grade non-U.S government agency obligations, non-U.S. sovereign government obligations, and supranational debt accounting for the remaining 21%, 17% and 4%, respectively. At June 30, 2011, 84% and 100% of this category were rated AA- or A- or better, respectively, by Standard & Poor s (or estimated equivalent).

Corporate bonds underlying the funds held directly managed account are comprised of obligations of U.S. and foreign corporations. At June 30, 2011, all of these investments were rated investment grade (BBB- or higher) by Standard & Poor s (or estimated equivalent), while 92% were rated A- or better. The ten largest issuers accounted for 22% of the corporate bonds underlying the funds held directly managed account at June 30, 2011 and no single issuer accounted for more than 4% of corporate bonds underlying the funds held directly managed account or more than 2% of the investments and cash underlying the funds held directly managed account. U.S. and French bonds comprised 42% and 16%, respectively, of this category at June 30, 2011. The main exposures of this category by economic sector were 50% in finance (28% were banks) and 13% in consumer noncyclical. At June 30, 2011, all of the finance sector corporate bonds held were rated investment grade (BBB- or higher) by Standard & Poor s (or estimated equivalent) and 98% were rated A- or better. The decrease in the fair value of this category from \$799 million at December 31, 2010 to \$551 million at June 30, 2011, is primarily related to the release of assets related to the Endorsement described above.

Short-term investments underlying the funds held directly managed account, are comprised of non-U.S. sovereign government and non-U.S. government related obligations which were rated A- or higher by Standard & Poor s (or estimated equivalent) at June 30, 2011.

Other invested assets underlying the funds held directly managed account consist primarily of real estate fund investments.

Maturity Distribution

The distribution of fixed maturities and short-term investments underlying the funds held directly managed account at June 30, 2011, by contractual maturity date, is shown below (in millions of U.S. dollars). Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because certain borrowers have the right to call or prepay certain obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

		Fair
June 30, 2011	Cost	Value
One year or less	\$ 222	\$ 223
More than one year through five years	607	621
More than five years through ten years	258	266
More than ten years	26	27
Total	1,113	1,137

Rating Distribution

The following table provides a breakdown of the credit quality of fixed maturities and short-term investments underlying the funds held directly managed account June 30, 2011:

62

Rating Category	% of total
AAA	43%
AA	30
A	23
BBB	4
Total	100%

The breakdown of the credit quality of the fixed maturities and short-term investments underlying the funds held directly managed account at June 30, 2011 has not changed significantly compared to December 31, 2010.

Funds Held by Reinsured Companies (Cedants)

Funds held by reinsured companies at June 30, 2011 have not changed significantly since December 31, 2010, other than a decrease of approximately \$131 million related to the restructuring of a longevity treaty from a funds held basis to a swap basis (see Policy Benefits for Life and Annuity Contracts below). See Funds Held by Reinsured Companies (Cedants) in Item 7 of Part II of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Unpaid Losses and Loss Expenses

The Company establishes loss reserves to cover the estimated liability for the payment of all losses and loss expenses incurred with respect to premiums earned on the contracts that the Company writes. Loss reserves do not represent an exact calculation of the liability. Estimates of ultimate liabilities are contingent on many future events and the eventual outcome of these events may be different from the assumptions underlying the reserve estimates. The Company believes that the recorded unpaid losses and loss expenses represent Management s best estimate of the cost to settle the ultimate liabilities based on information available at June 30, 2011. See Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates Losses and Loss Expenses and Life Policy Benefits above and in Item 7 of Part II of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 for additional information concerning losses and loss expenses.

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company recorded gross Non-life reserves for unpaid losses and loss expenses of \$12,016 million and \$10,667 million, respectively, and net Non-life reserves for unpaid losses and loss expenses of \$11,638 million and \$10,318 million, respectively. The net Non-life reserves for unpaid losses and loss expenses at June 30, 2011 include \$1,165 million of reserves guaranteed by Colisée Re under the Reserve Agreement (see Summary of certain agreements between AXA SA, Colisée Re and Paris Re in Item 1 of Part I and Note 9 to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 for a discussion of the Reserve Agreement).

The following table provides a reconciliation of the net Non-life reserves for unpaid losses and loss expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2011 (in millions of U.S. dollars):

	For the six months ended June 30, 2011
Net liability at December 31, 2010	\$ 10,318
Net incurred losses related to:	
Current year	2,414
Prior years	(303)
	2,111
Change in Paris Re reserve agreement	(28)
Net paid losses	(1,142)
Effects of foreign exchange rate changes	379
Net liability at June 30, 2011	\$ 11,638

The Non-life ratio of paid losses to net premiums earned was 64%, while the Non-life ratio of paid losses to incurred losses was 54% for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to 69% and 94%, respectively, for the same period of 2010. The decrease in the Non-life ratio of paid losses to net premiums earned for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was primarily due to the relatively lower level of paid losses in the six

months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The lower level of paid losses was driven by the agriculture line of the North America Non-life sub-segment and was related to the annual settlement of the 2010 agricultural business which was significantly lower than the 2009 annual settlement paid in the same period of 2010, and certain lines of business in our Global (Non-U.S.) Specialty sub-segment, primarily driven by the timing of receipt of cedant accounts. The decrease in the Non-life ratio of paid losses to incurred losses is primarily due to a higher level of incurred losses in the six months ended June 30,

63

2011 compared to the same period of 2010, which was related to the significant increase in the level of large catastrophic losses, as described in Results by Segment above.

The Company s loss estimate related to the Japan Earthquake is inherently more uncertain than those from other catastrophic events given it is based on limited and preliminary information that has been received from cedants to date and is also based, to a lesser extent, on modeled losses. While the Company believes its techniques for modeling the impact of losses related to this event provides a reasonable basis for the estimation of its loss exposure, the Company cautions that estimates based on modeling are subject to a high degree of uncertainty. Additionally, due to the characteristics of the Company s reinsurance portfolio in the region, changes in loss assumptions for specific cedants may have a material impact on the Company s loss estimate related to this event given a significant portion of the losses are concentrated with a few large cedants. The Company believes there remains a high degree of uncertainty related to its loss estimates related to the Japan Earthquake and the ultimate losses arising from this event may be materially in excess of, or less than, the amounts provided for in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at June 30, 2011. Any adjustments to the Company s preliminary estimate of its ultimate losses will be reflected in the periods in which they are determined, which may affect the Company s operating results in future periods.

See Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates Losses and Loss Expenses and Life Policy Benefits and Results by Segment above for a discussion of losses and loss expenses and prior years reserve developments. See also Business Reserves in Item 1 of Part I of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 for a discussion of the impact of foreign exchange on the net reserves.

Policy Benefits for Life and Annuity Contracts

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company recorded gross policy benefits for life and annuity contracts of \$1,726 million and \$1,750 million, respectively, and net policy benefits for life and annuity contracts of \$1,715 million and \$1,736 million, respectively.

The following table provides a reconciliation of the net policy benefits for life and annuity contracts for the six months ended June 30, 2011 (in millions of U.S. dollars):

	For the six months ended June 30, 2011
Net liability at December 31, 2010	\$ 1,736
Net incurred losses related to:	
Current year	313
Prior years	(2)
	311
Net policy benefits restructured by a cedant	(131)
Net paid losses	(292)
Effects of foreign exchange rate changes	91
Net liability at June 30, 2011	\$ 1.715

The decrease in net policy benefits for life and annuity contracts of \$21 million from December 31, 2010 to June 30, 2011 is due to net paid losses and the restructuring of a longevity treaty from a funds held basis to a swap basis. These decreases were partially offset by incurred losses and the impact of the weaker U.S. dollar, primarily against the euro and the British pound, converting policy benefits for life and annuity contracts that are denominated in these currencies into U.S. dollars at higher exchange rates.

See Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates Losses and Loss Expenses and Life Policy Benefits and Results by Segment above for a discussion of life policy benefits and prior years reserve developments.

Reinsurance Recoverable on Paid and Unpaid Losses

The Company has exposure to credit risk related to reinsurance recoverable on paid and unpaid losses. See Note 10 to Consolidated Financial Statements and Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk Counterparty Credit Risk in Item 7A of Part II of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 for a discussion of the Company s risk related to reinsurance recoverable on

paid and unpaid losses and the Company s process to evaluate the financial condition of its reinsurers.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

64

In the normal course of its business, the Company is a party to a variety of contractual obligations, which are discussed in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. These contractual obligations are considered by the Company when assessing its liquidity requirements, and the Company is confident in its ability to meet all of its obligations.

In June 2011, the Company issued 14,950,000 of 7.25% Series E cumulative redeemable preferred shares (Series E preferred shares) for a total consideration of \$362 million after underwriting discounts, commissions and other related expenses totaling \$12 million. The net proceeds were used for general corporate purposes. On or after June 1, 2016, the Company may redeem the Series E preferred shares, in whole at any time or in part from time to time, at \$25.00 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends, if any, without interest. The Series E preferred shares are also redeemable at any time upon certain changes in tax law. Dividends on the Series E preferred shares are cumulative from the date of issuance and are payable quarterly in arrears, commencing September 1, 2011. In the event of liquidation of the Company, the holders of outstanding Series E preferred shares would have preference over the common shareholders and would receive a distribution of \$25.00 per share, or an aggregate value of \$374 million, plus accrued and unpaid dividends, if any. See Note 6 to the Condensed Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 1 Part I of this report and Shareholders Equity and Capital Resources Management below.

Other than the Series E preferred shares, contractual obligations at June 30, 2011 have not changed materially compared to December 31, 2010.

Shareholders Equity and Capital Resources Management

Shareholders equity was \$6.6 billion at June 30, 2011, an 8% decrease compared to \$7.2 billion at December 31, 2010. The major factors contributing to the decrease in shareholders equity in the six months ended June 30, 2011 were:

a net loss of \$683 million;

a net decrease of \$201 million, due to the repurchase of common shares of \$227 million under the Company s share repurchase program, partially offset by the issuance of common shares under the Company s employee equity plans of \$26 million; and

dividends declared on both the Company s common and preferred shares of \$95 million; partially offset by

net proceeds of \$362 million, after underwriting discounts, commissions and other related expenses of \$12 million, related to the issuance of the Series E preferred shares (see Note 6 to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 1 of Part I of this report and Contractual Obligations and Commitments above); and

an increase of \$44 million in the currency translation adjustment, resulting primarily from the translation of PartnerRe Holdings Europe Limited s financial statements into the U.S. dollar.

See Results of Operations and Review of Net Income (Loss) above for a discussion of the Company s net loss for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

As part of its long-term strategy, the Company will continue to actively manage capital resources to support its operations throughout the reinsurance cycle and for the benefit of its shareholders, subject to the ability to maintain strong ratings from the major rating agencies and the unquestioned ability to pay claims as they arise. Generally, the Company seeks to increase its capital when its current capital position is not sufficient to support the volume of attractive business opportunities available. Conversely, the Company will seek to reduce its capital, through the payment of dividends on its common shares or stock repurchases, when available business opportunities are insufficient or unattractive to fully utilize the Company s capital at adequate returns. The Company may also seek to reduce or restructure its capital through the repayment or purchase of debt obligations, or increase or restructure its capital through the issuance of debt, when opportunities arise.

Management uses growth in Diluted Book Value per Share as a prime measure of the value the Company is generating for its common shareholders, as Management believes that growth in the Company s Diluted Book Value per Share ultimately translates into growth in the Company s stock price. Diluted Book Value per Share is calculated using common shareholders equity (shareholders equity less the aggregate liquidation value of preferred shares) divided by the number of fully diluted common shares and common share equivalents outstanding

(assuming exercise of all stock-based awards and other dilutive securities). The Company s Diluted Book Value per Share decreased by 11% to \$83.71 at June 30, 2011 from \$93.77 at December 31, 2010, primarily due to the comprehensive loss for the six months ended June 30, 2011 that was the result of the large catastrophic losses (see Review of Net Income (Loss)). See Key Financial Measures for further discussion.

65

The table below sets forth the capital structure of the Company at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (in millions of U.S. dollars):

	June 30,	2011	December 31, 2010	
Capital Structure:				
Senior notes ⁽¹⁾	\$ 750	10 %	\$ 750	9 %
Capital efficient notes ⁽²⁾	63	1	63	1
Preferred shares, aggregate liquidation value	894	12	520	7
Common shareholders equity	5,738	77	6,687	83
Total Capital	\$ 7,445	100 %	\$ 8,020	100 %

- (1) PartnerRe Finance A LLC and PartnerRe Finance B LLC, the issuers of the Senior Notes, do not meet consolidation requirements under U.S. GAAP. Accordingly, the Company shows the related intercompany debt of \$750 million in its Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.
- (2) PartnerRe Finance II Inc., the issuer of the CENts, does not meet consolidation requirements under U.S. GAAP. Accordingly, the Company shows the related intercompany debt of \$71 million in its Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

The decrease in total capital during the six months ended June 30, 2011 was related to the decrease in shareholders equity discussed above, which was partially offset by the issuance of the Series E preferred shares.

In June 2011, the Company issued 14,950,000 of 7.25% Series E cumulative redeemable preferred shares (Series E preferred shares) for a total consideration of \$362 million after underwriting discounts, commissions and other related expenses totaling \$12 million. The net proceeds were used for general corporate purposes. On or after June 1, 2016, the Company may redeem the Series E preferred shares, in whole at any time or in part from time to time, at \$25.00 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends, if any, without interest. The Series E preferred shares are also redeemable at any time upon certain changes in tax law. Dividends on the Series E preferred shares are cumulative from the date of issuance and are payable quarterly in arrears, commencing September 1, 2011. In the event of liquidation of the Company, the holders of outstanding Series E preferred shares would have preference over the common shareholders and would receive a distribution of \$25.00 per share, or an aggregate value of \$374 million, plus accrued and unpaid dividends, if any. See Note 6 to the Condensed Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 1 of Part I of this report and Contractual Obligations and Commitments above.

During the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company repurchased, under its authorized share repurchase program, 2.8 million of its common shares at a total cost of approximately \$227 million, representing an average cost of \$81.41 per share. At June 30, 2011, the Company had approximately 3.7 million common shares remaining under its current share repurchase authorization and approximately 16.8 million common shares were held in treasury and are available for reissuance. See Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds in Item 2 of Part II of this report.

The Company did not enter into any short-term borrowing arrangements during the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Liquidity

Liquidity is a measure of the Company s ability to access sufficient cash flows to meet the short-term and long-term cash requirements of its business operations. Management believes that its significant cash flows from operations and high quality liquid investment portfolio will provide sufficient liquidity for the foreseeable future. Cash and cash equivalents were \$1.6 billion at June 30, 2011 compared to \$2.1 billion at December 31, 2010.

Cash flows from operations for the six months ended June 30, 2011 increased to \$742 million from \$561 million in the same period in 2010. The increase in cash flows from operations in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 was primarily due to higher underwriting cash flows, and lower taxes and foreign exchange, which were partially offset by modestly lower net investment cash flows. The higher underwriting cash flows primarily reflect cash received related to the release of assets from the funds held—directly managed account to Partner Reinsurance Europe Limited pursuant to the Endorsement (see Funds Held—Directly Managed above). Without the impact of the cash received related to the release of assets from the funds held—directly managed account, underwriting cash flows were lower in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 due to a lower level of premiums received, which is driven by the decrease in gross and net premiums written discussed in Overview and Results by Segment above.

Net cash provided by financing activities in the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$36 million compared to net cash used in financing activities of \$184 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010. Net cash provided by financing activities in the six months ended June 30, 2011 was primarily related to the net proceeds of \$362 million related to the issuance of the Series E preferred shares, which was partially offset by the Company s share repurchases and dividends on common and preferred shares.

The Company believes that annual positive cash flows from operating activities will be sufficient to cover claims payments through 2011. In the event that paid losses accelerate beyond the ability to fund such payments from operating cash flows, the

66

Company would use its cash balances available, liquidate a portion of its high quality and liquid investment portfolio or borrow under the Company s revolving line of credit (see Credit Facilities below). As discussed in Investments above, the Company s investments and cash totaled \$17.6 billion at June 30, 2011, the main components of which were investment grade fixed maturities, short-term investments and cash and cash equivalents totaling \$15.4 billion.

Financial strength ratings and senior unsecured debt ratings represent the opinions of rating agencies on the Company s capacity to meet its claims paying obligations. In the event of a significant downgrade in ratings, the Company s ability to write business and to access the capital markets could be impacted.

On June 2, 2011, Fitch downgraded the Company s financial strength rating to AA- from AA following the significant catastrophe losses incurred in 2011 and given Fitch s view that the Company s earnings profile includes variability that is modestly greater than previously considered within the rating. The Company s other financial strength ratings at June 30, 2011 have not changed since December 31, 2010 and our current financial strength ratings are:

Standard & Poor s	AA-
Moody s	Aa3
A.M. Best	A+
Fitch	AA-

See Risk Factors in Item 1A of Part I of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Credit Facilities

In the normal course of its operations, the Company enters into agreements with financial institutions to obtain unsecured and secured credit facilities. The Company s credit facilities at June 30, 2011 have not changed significantly since December 31, 2010. See Credit Facilities in Item 7 of Part II of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company s off-balance sheet arrangements at June 30, 2011 have not changed significantly since December 31, 2010. See Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements in Item 7 of Part II of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Currency

See Results of Operations and Review of Net Income (Loss) above for a discussion of the impact of foreign exchange and net foreign exchange gains and losses for six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

The foreign exchange gain or loss resulting from the translation of the Company s subsidiaries and branches financial statements (expressed in euro or Canadian dollar functional currency) into U.S. dollars is classified in the currency translation adjustment account, which is a component of accumulated other comprehensive income or loss in shareholders equity. The currency translation adjustment account increased by \$44 million during the six months ended June 30, 2011 due to the impact of foreign exchange fluctuations on the Company s net asset exposure to currencies other than the U.S. dollar.

The following table provides a reconciliation of the currency translation adjustment for the six months ended June 30, 2011 (in millions of U.S. dollars):

	month Jun	the six s ended e 30,
Currency translation adjustment at December 31, 2010	\$	16
		44

Change in currency translation adjustment included in accumulated other comprehensive income

Currency translation adjustment at June 30, 2011

\$ 60

From time to time, the Company enters into net investment hedges. At June 30, 2011, there were no outstanding foreign exchange contracts hedging the Company s net investment exposure.

See Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk Foreign Currency Risk in Item 3 of Part I below for a discussion of the Company s risk related to changes in foreign currency movements.

67

New Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 3 to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 of Part I of this report.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK Overview

Management believes that the Company is principally exposed to five types of market related risk: interest rate risk, credit spread risk, foreign currency risk, counterparty credit risk and equity price risk. How these risks relate to the Company, and the process used to manage them, is discussed in Item 7A of Part II of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. The following discussion of market risks at June 30, 2011 focuses only on material changes from December 31, 2010 in the Company s market risk exposures, or how those exposures are managed.

Interest Rate Risk

The Company s fixed income portfolio and the fixed income securities in the investment portfolio underlying the funds held directly managed account are exposed to interest rate risk. Fluctuations in interest rates have a direct impact on the market valuation of these securities. The Company manages interest rate risk on liability funds by constructing bond portfolios in which the economic impact of a general interest rate shift is comparable to the impact on the related liabilities. The Company manages the exposure to interest rate volatility on capital funds by choosing a duration profile that it believes will optimize the risk-reward relationship. For additional information on liability funds and capital funds, see Financial Condition, Liquidity and Capital Resources in Item 7 of Part II of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

At June 30, 2011, the Company estimates that the hypothetical case of an immediate 100 basis points or 200 basis points parallel shift in global bond curves would result in a change in the fair value of investments exposed to interest rate risk, the fair value of funds held directly managed account exposed to interest rate risk, total invested assets, and shareholders equity as follows (in millions of U.S. dollars):

	-200 Basis Points	% Change	-100 Basis Points	% Change	June 30, 2011	+100 Basis Points	% Change	+200 Basis Points	% Change
Fair value of investments exposed to									
interest rate risk ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	\$ 17,047	6 %	\$ 16,561	3 %	\$ 16,075	\$ 15,589	(3)%	\$ 15,103	(6)%
Fair value of funds held directly									
managed account exposed to interest									
rate risk ⁽²⁾	1,364	6	1,325	3	1,286	1,247	(3)	1,208	(6)
Total invested assets ⁽³⁾	20,118	6	19,593	3	19,068	18,543	(3)	18,018	(6)
Shareholders equity	7,682	16	7,157	8	6,632	6,107	(8)	5,582	(16)

- (1) Includes certain other invested assets, certain cash and cash equivalents and funds holding fixed income securities.
- (2) Excludes accrued interest.
- (3) Includes total investments, cash and cash equivalents, the investment portfolio underlying the funds held directly managed account and accrued interest.

The changes do not take into account any potential mitigating impact from the equity market, taxes or the corresponding change in the economic value of the Company s reinsurance liabilities, which, as noted above, would substantially offset the economic impact on invested assets, although the offset would not be reflected in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The increase in the absolute impact of an immediate change in interest rates of 100 basis points on the Company s total invested assets and shareholders equity, from \$488 million at December 31, 2010 to \$525 million at June 30, 2011, was primarily due to the increase in the Company s fixed maturity investments as discussed in Financial Condition, Liquidity and Capital Resources Management Investments above.

There was no material change in the percentage impact of an immediate change in interest rates of 100 basis points on the Company s total invested assets and shareholders equity at June 30, 2011 compared to December 31, 2010.

68

Interest rate movements also affect the economic value of the Company s outstanding debt obligations and preferred securities in the same way that they affect the Company s fixed income investments, and this can result in a liability whose economic value is different from the value reported in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. At June 30, 2011, the carrying value and fair value of the Series E preferred shares that the Company issued during the three months ended June 30, 2011, was \$374 million and \$380 million (based on quoted market prices), respectively. The fair value of the Company s other outstanding debt obligations and preferred securities, has not changed materially compared to December 31, 2010. For additional information related to the Company s debt obligations, see Note 4 to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 1 of Part I of this report and Item 7A of Part II of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. For additional information related to the Company s Series E preferred shares, see Shareholders Equity and Capital Resources Management.

Credit Spread Risk

The Company s fixed income portfolio and the fixed income securities in the investment portfolio underlying the funds held directly managed account are exposed to credit spread risk. Fluctuations in market credit spreads have a direct impact on the market valuation of these securities.

The Company manages credit spread risk by the selection of securities within its fixed income portfolio. Changes in credit spreads directly affect the market value of certain fixed income securities, but do not necessarily result in a change in the future expected cash flows associated with holding individual securities. Other factors, including liquidity, supply and demand, and changing risk preferences of investors, may affect market credit spreads without any change in the underlying credit quality of the security.

At June 30, 2011, the Company estimates that the hypothetical case of an immediate 100 basis points or 200 basis points parallel shift in global credit spreads would result in a change in the fair value of investments and the fair value of funds held directly managed account exposed to credit spread risk, total invested assets and shareholders equity as follows (in millions of U.S. dollars):

						+100		+200	
	-200 Basis Points	% Change	-100 Basis Points	% Change	June 30, 2011	Basis Points	% Change	Basis Points	% Change
Fair value of investments exposed to									
credit spread risk ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	\$ 16,839	5%	\$ 16,457	2%	\$ 16,075	\$ 15,693	(2)%	\$ 15,311	(5)%
Fair value of funds held directly managed account exposed to credit									
spread risk ⁽²⁾	1,348	5	1,317	2	1,286	1,255	(2)	1,224	(5)
Total invested assets ⁽³⁾	19,894	4	19,481	2	19,068	18,655	(2)	18,242	(4)
Shareholders equity	7,458	12	7,045	6	6,632	6,219	(6)	5,806	(12)

- (1) Includes certain other invested assets, certain cash and cash equivalents and funds holding fixed income securities.
- (2) Excludes accrued interest.
- (3) Includes total investments, cash and cash equivalents, the investment portfolio underlying the funds held directly managed account and accrued interest.

The impacts of changes in credit spreads for all parallel shifts in basis points are lower than the impacts of changes in interest rates, as the change in credit spreads does not impact government fixed income securities. However, the change in credit spreads does assume that mortgage-backed securities issued by government sponsored entities are affected, even though these typically exhibit significantly lower spread volatility than corporate fixed income securities. These changes also exclude any potential mitigating impact from the equity market, taxes, and the change in the economic value of the Company s reinsurance liabilities, which may offset the economic impact on invested assets.

The increase in the absolute impact of an immediate change in credit spreads of 100 basis points on the Company s total invested assets and shareholders equity, from \$380 million at December 31, 2010 to \$413 million at June 30, 2011, was primarily due to the increase in the Company s investments exposed to credit spread risk as discussed in Financial Condition, Liquidity and Capital Resources Investments above. There was no material change in the percentage impact of an immediate change in credit spreads of 100 basis points on the Company s total invested assets and shareholders equity at June 30, 2011 compared to December 31, 2010.

Foreign Currency Risk

Through its multinational reinsurance operations, the Company conducts business in a variety of non-U.S. currencies, with the principal exposures being the euro, British pound, Canadian dollar, Swiss Franc and Singapore dollar. As the Company s reporting currency is the U.S. dollar, foreign exchange rate fluctuations may materially impact the Company s Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

69

The table below summarizes the Company s gross and net exposure in its Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at June 30, 2011 to foreign currency as well as the associated foreign currency derivatives the Company has entered into to manage this exposure (in millions of U.S. dollars):

	euro	GBP	CAD	CHF	SGD	Other	Total(1)
Total assets	\$ 5,679	\$ 1,108	\$ 1,353	\$ 98	\$ 369	\$ 637	\$ 9,244
Total liabilities	(5,053)	(804)	(860)	(302)	(55)	(2,628)	(9,702)
Total gross foreign currency exposure	626	304	493	(204)	314	(1,991)	(458)
Total derivative amount	(409)	(305)	27	212	(187)	1,836	1,174
Net foreign currency exposure	217	(1)	520	8	127	(155)	716

(1) As the U.S. dollar is the Company s reporting currency, there is no currency risk attached to the U.S. dollar and it is excluded from this table. The U.S. dollar accounted for the difference between the Company s total foreign currency exposure in this table and the total assets and total liabilities in the Company s Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at June 30, 2011.

The above numbers include the Company's investment in PartnerRe Holdings Europe Limited, whose functional currency is the euro, and certain of its subsidiaries and branches, whose functional currencies are the euro or Canadian dollar.

Assuming all other variables remain constant and disregarding any tax effects, a change in the U.S. dollar of 10% or 20% relative to the other currencies held by the Company would result in a change in the Company s net assets of \$72 million and \$143 million, respectively, inclusive of the effect of foreign exchange forward contracts and other derivative financial instruments.

Counterparty Credit Risk

The Company has exposure to credit risk primarily as a holder of fixed income securities. The Company controls this exposure by emphasizing investment grade credit quality in the fixed income securities it purchases. At June 30, 2011, approximately 57% of the Company s fixed income portfolio (including the funds held directly managed account) was rated AAA (or equivalent rating), 85% was rated A- or better and 6% of the Company s fixed income portfolio was rated below investment grade. The Company believes this high quality concentration reduces its exposure to credit risk on fixed income investments to an acceptable level. At June 30, 2011, the Company is not exposed to any significant credit concentration risk on its investments, excluding securities issued by the U.S., French and German governments which are rated AAA (see Financial Condition, Liquidity and Capital Resources Management Investments). In addition, the single largest corporate issuer and the top 10 corporate issuers accounted for less than 3% and 21% of the Company s total corporate fixed income securities (excluding the funds held directly managed account), respectively. Within the segregated investment portfolio underlying the funds held directly managed account, the single largest corporate issuer and the top 10 corporate issuers accounted for less than 4% and 22%, respectively, of total corporate fixed income securities underlying the funds held directly managed account at June 30, 2011.

The Company keeps cash and cash equivalents in several banks and may keep up to \$500 million, excluding custodial accounts, at any point in time in any one bank.

To a lesser extent, the Company is also exposed to the following credit risks:

as a party to foreign exchange forward contracts and other derivative contracts;

in its underwriting operations, most notably in the credit/surety line and as part of its principal finance activities;

credit risk of its cedants in the event of their insolvency or their failure to honor the value of the funds held balances due to the Company;

credit risk of Colisée Re in the event of their insolvency or their failure to honor the value of the funds held balances due to the Company;

credit risk of AXA or its affiliates in the event of their insolvency or their failure to honor their obligations under the 2006 Acquisition Agreement (see Summary of certain agreements between AXA SA, Colisée Re and Paris Re in Item 1 of Part I of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010);

as it relates to its business written through brokers if any of the Company s brokers is unable to fulfill their contractual obligations;

as it relates to its reinsurance balances receivable and reinsurance recoverable on paid and unpaid losses; and

under its retrocessional reinsurance contracts.

70

The concentrations of the Company s counterparty credit risk exposures have not changed materially compared to December 31, 2010. See Counterparty Credit Risk in Item 7A of Part II of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 for additional discussion of credit risks.

Equity Price Risk

The Company invests a portion of its capital funds in marketable equity securities (fair market value of \$778 million, excluding funds holding fixed income securities of \$217 million) at June 30, 2011. These equity investments are exposed to equity price risk, defined as the potential for loss in market value due to a decline in equity prices. The Company believes that the effects of diversification and the relatively small size of its investments in equities relative to total invested assets mitigate its exposure to equity price risk. The Company estimates that its equity investment portfolio has a beta versus the S&P 500 Index of approximately 1.03 on average. Portfolio beta measures the response of a portfolio s performance relative to a market return, where a beta of 1 would be an equivalent return to the index. Given the estimated beta for the Company s equity portfolio, a 10% and 20% movement in the S&P 500 Index would result in a change in the fair value of the Company s equity portfolio, total invested assets and shareholders equity at June 30, 2011 as follows (in millions of U.S. dollars):

	20%	%	10%	%	June 30,	10%	%	20%	%
	Decrease	Change	Decrease	Change	2011	Increase	Change	Increase	Change
Equities ⁽¹⁾	\$ 618	(21)%	\$ 698	(10)%	\$ 778	\$ 858	10%	\$ 938	21%
Total invested assets ⁽²⁾	18,908	(1)	18,988		19,068	19,148		19,228	1
Shareholders equity	6,472	(2)	6,552	(1)	6,632	6,712	1	6,792	2

- (1) Excludes funds holding fixed income securities of \$217 million.
- (2) Includes total investments, cash and cash equivalents, the investment portfolio underlying the funds held directly managed account and accrued interest.

This change does not take into account any potential mitigating impact from the fixed income securities or taxes.

The absolute impact of a 10% change in the S&P 500 Index on the Company s equity portfolio, total invested assets and shareholders equity decreased to \$80 million at June 30, 2011 compared to \$114 million at December 31, 2010, as a result of the decrease in the fair value of the equity portfolio, excluding funds holding fixed income securities, from \$1,031 million at December 31, 2010 to \$778 million at June 30, 2011. There was no material change in the percentage impact of an immediate change of 10% in the S&P 500 Index on the Company s total invested assets and shareholders equity at June 30, 2011 compared to December 31, 2010.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of Management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as of June 30, 2011, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of June 30, 2011, the disclosure controls and procedures are effective such that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission and is accumulated and communicated to Management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

There have been no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with such evaluation that occurred during the three months ended June 30, 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

There has been no significant change in legal proceedings at June 30, 2011 compared to December 31, 2010. See Note 18(e) to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

71

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Cautionary Note Concerning Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements contained in this document, including Management s Discussion and Analysis, may be considered forward-looking statements as defined in Section 27A of the United States Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the United States Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements are based on the Company s assumptions and expectations concerning future events and financial performance of the Company and are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such statements are subject to significant business, economic and competitive risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those reflected in the forward-looking statements. The Company s forward-looking statements could be affected by numerous foreseeable and unforeseeable events and developments such as exposure to catastrophe, or other large property and casualty losses, adequacy of reserves, risks associated with implementing business strategies and integrating new acquisitions, levels and pricing of new and renewal business achieved, credit, interest, currency and other risks associated with the Company s investment portfolio, changes in accounting policies, and other factors identified in the Company s filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The words believe, anticipate, estimate, project, plan, expect, intend, hope, forecast, evaluate, will likely result or will continue or words of similar impact generally involve forward-looking statements. We caution readers not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of their dates. The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

See Risk Factors in Item 1A of Part I of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 and quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended March 31, 2011 for a complete review of important risk factors.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The following table provides information about purchases by the Company during the three months ended June 30, 2011 of equity securities that are registered by the Company pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Period	Total number of shares purchased ⁽¹⁾	Average price paid per share	Total number of shares purchased as part of a publicly announced program (1) (2)	Maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the program (1)
4/01/2011-4/30/2011		\$		3,732,807
5/01/2011-5/31/2011		Ψ		3,732,807
6/01/2011-6/30/2011				3,732,807
Total		\$		3,732,007

- (1) In December 2010, the Company s Board of Directors approved a new share repurchase authorization up to a total of 7 million common shares, which replaced the prior authorization of 7 million common shares approved in September 2010. Unless terminated earlier by resolution of the Company s Board of Directors, the program will expire when the Company has repurchased all shares authorized for repurchase thereunder.
- (2) At June 30, 2011, approximately 16.8 million common shares were held in treasury and available for reissuance.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. RESERVED

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibits Included on page 76.

72

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PartnerRe Ltd.

(Registrant)

By: /s/ Constantinos Miranthis
Name: Constantinos Miranthis

Title: President and Chief Executive Officer and Director

(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: August 4, 2011

By: /s/ WILLIAM BABCOCK
Name: William Babcock

Title: Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)

Date: August 4, 2011

73

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit

Number	Exhibit
10.1	Certificate of Designation, Preferences and Rights of the Company s 7.25% Series E Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed June 15, 2011).
10.2	Specimen Share Certificate for the 7.25% Series E Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed June 15, 2011).
10.3	PartnerRe Ltd amended and restated Employee Equity Plan.
10.4	PartnerRe Ltd Swiss Share Purchase Plan.
15	Letter Regarding Unaudited Interim Financial Information.
31.1	Section 302 Certification of Constantinos Miranthis.
31.2	Section 302 Certification of William Babcock.
32	Section 906 Certifications.
101.1	The following financial information from PartnerRe Ltd. s Quarterly Report on Form 10 Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 formatted in XBRL: (i) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010; (ii) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010; (iii) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010; (iv) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010; and (v) Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements*.

^{*} As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this information is furnished herewith and not filed for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Such exhibit will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 unless PartnerRe Ltd. specifically incorporates it by reference.