DNP SELECT INCOME FUND INC Form N-30B-2 November 04, 2003

Dear Fellow Shareholders:

Performance Review: During the third quarter of 2003, your Fund had a total return (market price change plus income) of -0.9%, bringing year-to-date total return to 13.2%. In comparison, the S&P Utilities Index had a total return of -0.5% for the quarter and 16.9% year-to-date. A composite of the S&P Utilities Index and the Lehman Utility Bond Index, reflecting the stock and bond ratio of the Fund, had a total return of -0.5% for the third quarter and 15.0% year-to-date.

On a longer-term basis, your Fund had a 3-year cumulative total return of 37.2%. In comparison, the S&P Utilities Index had a total return of -40.4% and a composite of the S&P Utilities Index and the Lehman Utility Bond Index had a total return of -28.4%.

During the third quarter of 2003, your Fund paid three monthly 6.5 cent dividends. The 6.5 cent per share monthly rate, without compounding, would be 78 cents annualized, or a 7.38% common stock dividend yield based on the September 30, 2003 closing price of \$10.57 per share. That yield compares favorably with the quarter-end yields of 3.89% on the Dow Jones Utility Index and 3.95% on the S&P Utilities Index.

Who Turned Out the Lights? On August 14, 2003, a cascade of electric transmission line failures and successive power plant shutdowns led to a blackout covering approximately 9,000 square miles and affecting 50 million people in the Northeast quadrant of the United States and adjacent parts of Canada. In the early days following the blackout, northern Ohio-based FirstEnergy Corp. garnered the lion's share of headlines seeking to establish blame. The outage sequence of events pointed to a voltage collapse on portions of the transmission system connecting northern Ohio and Michigan. Rumors that untrimmed tree limbs caused a short in the distribution network, together with the well-publicized problems at the Davis-Besse nuclear plant, made FirstEnergy an easy target.

Subsequent analysis, however, has shown that the transmission system had been unstable in both southern Ohio and Indiana well before problems became evident in northern Ohio. Furthermore, none of the individual concerns cited should have been enough to cause a blackout of the magnitude experienced. A Joint U.S./Canada Power Outage Task Force has been appointed to study the events at hundreds of power plants and thousands of transmission lines, and review the actions taken by individual utility companies and the operators of the transmission system (the grid). Spencer Abraham, Secretary of Energy, has promised to release a report laying out the causes of the blackout shortly, to be followed immediately by public hearings.

An indication of the Task Force's conclusion can perhaps be found in a letter recently sent to electric utilities by the North American Electric Reliability Council (NERC). NERC, a Canadian-American group, was founded after the 1966 blackout that affected 30 million people in a somewhat similar

geographic area as this year's blackout, and was designed to set standards to protect the reliability of the nation's electrical grid. The letter called for quicker communication of problems on the grid and development of strategies to deal with them.

It would not surprise us if a good portion of the cause of the blackout were the result of communication problems. In many regions of the country, transmission is owned by utilities but is controlled by Independent System Operators (ISOs). These ISOs are independent third parties responsible for maintaining secure operation of the open-access electrical transmission system on a regional basis. The Joint Committee will need to determine whether the system operators are communicating well with other regional system operators and their constituent regional electric generators, and whether the regional system operators have enough authority to take the most appropriate corrective actions in a timely manner.

Another area of concern regarding the electrical system that the blackout highlighted is the lack of investment in transmission. Until recently, the U.S. electric power system consisted primarily of full-service utilities that generated, transmitted, and distributed electricity to customers within their immediate geographic areas. This was a hub-and-spoke system with the utility generator at the center. The transmission system was linked to other hubs for the purpose of emergency power sharing but was not designed to carry the vast amounts of bulk power over long distances that is demanded in the current environment. But without adequate incentives and with electric company regulators wanting to keep electricity prices low, there has been little incentive to invest in "wires."

According to a 2001 Edison Electric Institute (EEI) study, the nation's transmission infrastructure grew by just 0.5% annually during the 1990s, while peak electric demand grew by 2.5% per year. Transmission capacity relative to peak demand dropped by 17% during the decade and is projected to decline by another 12% over the next decade. The EEI report estimated that \$56 billion of investment in the transmission network would be necessary just to bring the system back to historical levels relative to demand.

Even prior to the blackout, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) recognized the need to increase the investment incentives for transmission infrastructure. FERC has supported higher returns on investment in transmission and recently allowed a 12.9% return on equity—a very good return in the current low interest rate environment. Incentive based rates for transmission investment and reliability may ultimately arise at both the state and federal level.

One of the greatest drawbacks for transmission investment has been the siting of transmission facilities. "Not in My Back Yard" concerns have been one of the primary hindrances to transmission construction in heavily populated, high electric demand areas. Pending energy legislation contains provisions that support regional approaches to transmission siting. Interestingly, the natural gas

2

industry has been able to use eminent domain to site pipelines, with the FERC having most of the jurisdiction over the process. A similar process for electric transmission siting could accelerate construction.

The U.S. is not alone. In eerie sequence, other countries suffered blackouts—each due to some sort of grid failure—within weeks of ours. In late August, a 34-minute power outage affecting 500,000 people occurred in parts of southern London and contiguous areas. The interruption was caused by an extremely rare double fault on the national grid system. In mid-September, Denmark and Sweden were hit by a power outage that affected about 4 million customers and was blamed on a faulty transmission line between the two countries. At the end of September, it is believed that a tree fell on a major

power line connecting Italy to Swiss power stations, leaving $55\ \text{million}$ Italians in the dark.

Countries, and regions within countries, have become ever more dependent on the successful operation and coordination of their electric grid systems. It is likely that greater investment in maintenance and expansion of these grids will be required to keep the electric power systems highly reliable.

Natural Gas Supply: A pre-blackout issue that gained significant attention last summer was the availability of natural gas in the U.S. Last winter's heating season ended with historically low stocks of gas in storage. As a measure of public concern, Alan Greenspan, who in his testimony to Congress traditionally confines his remarks to monetary and fiscal policy, highlighted the potential for disruptions in the natural gas market in his June testimony before the House Energy and Commerce Committee.

The U.S. produces more than 80% of the natural gas it consumes, with the balance being imported primarily from Canada. There is an abundance of natural gas across the globe, but it has traditionally been a "local" commodity, transported by an infrastructure of pipelines. For several years, the conventional domestic supply sources of natural gas—the shallow water of the Gulf of Mexico, on—shore wells in the South and Southwest, and Canadian sources—have been experiencing production declines and are being drained more quickly than in the past.

While the supply of natural gas has become somewhat constrained, demand has been growing steadily because gas is a "clean" and cost-competitive fuel. New technology has made gas-fired electric generation very efficient, resulting in virtually all newly installed power plants being gas fired. Industrial demand increased during the long economic expansion of the 1990s and residential demand has risen as homeowners favor natural gas furnaces over oil and electric furnaces.

What is the outlook for natural gas supply and pricing over the coming winter and the longer term? The shorter term is somewhat easier to judge. From a demand perspective, the mild summer reduced

3

residential and commercial demand, and industrial demand reflected the lackluster economy. Still, gas prices were relatively high, so more wells were drilled, supporting gas supplies. As a result, storage was refilled to a level consistent with the needs of a "normal" heating season. However, weather is always a wild card, and if we have a cold winter, gas price increases are likely.

Over the longer term, we believe the laws of supply and demand still apply to natural gas. At higher prices, there is demand destruction—people turn down their thermostats in the winter and uneconomic industrial demand is curtailed. However, higher prices also change the economics of supply, encouraging the drilling of new wells. While drilling wells increases gas supply from existing and new gas fields, technological advances also enable better utilization of those sources and development of new sources of gas, such as liquified natural gas (LNG), coalbed methane, and landfill gas. Overall, equilibrium prices will likely be higher in the future, but the supply/demand imbalance will be addressed.

Board of Directors Meeting: At the regular October Board of Directors' meeting, the Board declared the following monthly dividend:

Cents Per Share Record Date Payable Date

6.5 November 28 December 10

As is customary, the Board will declare the December, January and February dividends in mid-December.

Automatic Dividend Reinvestment Plan and Direct Deposit Service—The Fund has a dividend reinvestment plan available as a benefit to all registered shareholders. As long as the market price of the common stock of the Fund exceeds or is equal to the net asset value per share, new shares for the dividend reinvestment program are issued at the greater of either 95% of the market price or net asset value. If the market price per share of common stock is below the net asset value per share, shares are purchased in the open market at prevailing market prices, plus any brokerage commissions paid by The Bank of New York.

Those shareholders whose shares are held for them by a brokerage house or nominee in "street-name" may not participate in the Fund's automatic dividend reinvestment plan. For such shareholders desiring automatic dividend reinvestment, we suggest you contact your broker or other nominee.

As an added service, without cost to the shareholder, the Fund offers direct deposit service through electronic funds transfer to all registered shareholders currently receiving a monthly dividend check. This service is offered through The Bank of New York. For more information and/or an authorization form on automatic dividend reinvestment or direct deposit, please contact The Bank of New York (1-877-381-2537 or http://stock.bankofny.com).

4

Visit us on the Web--You can obtain the most recent shareholder financial report and dividend information at our web site, http://www.dnpselectincome.com.

We appreciate your interest in DNP Select Income Fund Inc., and we will continue to do our best to be of service to you.

/s/ Claire V. Hansen

/s/ Nathan I. Partain

Claire V. Hansen, CFA

Nathan I. Partain, CFA

Chairman

President and Chief Executive Officer

5

DNP SELECT INCOME FUND INC.
STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS
(UNAUDITED)
September 30, 2003

COMMON STOCKS--82.0%

| Shares | Company | Market Value (Note 1) |
|---|--|--|
| 1,001,000 800,000 1,000,000 796,000 2,000,000 1,000,000 | [_] ELECTRIC46.6% Allete Inc | \$ 27,380,000 42,952,910 29,360,000 40,760,000 49,272,400 30,880,000 36,890,000 24,673,000 |
| 1,000,000 2,000,000 600,000 1,080,000 770,000 1,318,600 1,375,000 1,000,000 850,000 100,000 200,000 2,300,000 | Exelon Corp. FirstEnergy Corp. FirstEnergy Corp. FPL Group Inc. Iberdrola S.A. (Spain). National Grid Group PLC ADR. National Grid Transco PLC (United Kingdom). NSTAR. Progress Energy Inc. Public Service Enterprise Group Inc. Scottish & Southern Energy PLC (United Kingdom) Scottish & Southern Energy PLC ADR. Scottish Power PLC ADR. Southern Co. Vectren Corp. | 24,673,000 63,500,000 63,800,000 37,920,000 18,173,984 7,024,050 4,934,831 62,633,500 61,132,500 42,000,000 8,593,205 10,101,350 4,740,000 67,436,000 35,430,000 |
| 026 000 | [_] GAS7.6% | 769,587,730 |
| 1,000,000 900,000 | AGL Resources Inc | 26,085,420 35,080,000 37,242,000 27,580,000 |

The accompanying note is an integral part of this financial statement.

6

> Market Value

| Shares | Company | (Note 1) |
|--|--|---|
| | | |
| 1,730,000 1,250,000 1,637,230 856,250 560,000 1,068,400 | [_] TELECOMMUNICATION15.1% Alltel Corp | \$ 46,340,000 40,966,400 17,512,500 36,428,368 20,909,625 19,852,000 17,254,660 |
| 1,519,000 | Verizon Communications Inc | 49,276,360 |
| | | 248,539,913 |
| | [_] NON-UTILITY12.7% | |
| • | Archstone Smith Trust | 4,800,105 |
| | Arden Realty Inc | 2,395,536 10,489,311 |
| | CBL & Associates Properties Inc | 13,542,860 |
| | Camden Property Trust | 3,274,236 |
| | Centerpoint Properties Corp | 12,247,268 |
| 339,300 | Chelsea Property Group Inc | 16,252,470 |
| | Colonial Properties Trust | 1,921,624 |
| | Corporate Office Properties Trust | 3,025,996 |
| 320,300 | Developers Diversified Realty Corp | 9,567,361 |
| 160,000 | Duke Realty Corp | 4,672,000 |
| | Equity Office Properties Trust | 4,005,615 |
| 150,000 | Equity Residential Properties Trust | 4,392,000 |
| 59,000 | Essex Property Trust Inc | 3,699,890 |
| 200,200 | General Growth Properties Inc | 14,354,340 |
| 53,600 | Health Care Properties Investors Inc. | 2,503,120 |
| 102,344 | Healthcare Realty Trust Inc | 3,272,961 |
| 80,000 | Home Properties of New York Inc | 3,136,000 |
| 76,000 | Hospitality Properties Trust | 2,666,080 |
| | iStar Financial Inc | 7,553,924 |
| 200,200 | The Macerich Co | 7,557,550 |
| 14,000 | Mack-Cali Realty Corp | 548,800 |
| 146,460 | Maguire Properties Inc | 3,002,430 |

The accompanying note is an integral part of this financial statement.

7

| | | Market |
|--------|--|----------|
| | | Value |
| Shares | Company | (Note 1) |
| | | |
| • | Pan Pacific Retail Properties Inc ProLogis Trust | • |

| 205,800 86,899 281,736 278,240 252,000 | Realty Income Corp S.L. Green Realty Corp Shurgard Storage Centers Inc. Class A Simon Property Group Inc United Dominion Realty Trust Inc Vornado Realty Trust Weingarten Realty Investors | 1,982,500 7,431,438 3,067,535 12,278,055 5,094,574 12,106,080 7,592,130 |
|---|--|--|
| | | 209,818,509 |
| | Total Common Stocks (Cost\$1,286,408,543). | 1,353,933,572 |
| PREFERRED | STOCKS17.8% | |
| 750,000 1,200,000 626,200 450,000 986,700 550,000 223,500 500,000 412,000 775,000 500,000 172,700 400,000 | [_] UTILITY17.8% Alltel Corp. 7 3/4% due 5/17/05 | 9,778,000 20,887,500 33,240,000 38,323,440 25,510,500 24,963,510 7,183,000 6,749,700 28,480,000 21,547,600 22,281,250 13,800,000 9,728,191 13,740,000 17,765,000 |
| | Total Preferred Stocks (Cost\$290,141,593) | 293,977,691 |

The accompanying note is an integral part of this financial statement.

8

BONDS--45.9%

| | | | Ratings | | |
|-----------|---------|-------|---------|-----------------|----------|
| D | | | | Standard and | Value |
| Par Value | Company | Fitch | Moody's | Poor's | (Note 1) |
| | | | | | |

[_] ELECTRIC--11.0%

| \$ 4,000,000 | Boston Edison Co. | | | | |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|---------|------|--------------|
| | 7.80%, due 3/15/23 | AA- | A1 | A | \$ 4,132,396 |
| 8,571,000 | Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co. | | - 0 | | 0 004 000 |
| 18,050,000 | 9%, due 7/01/23 | BBB- | Baa2 | BBB | 8,994,930 |
| 10,030,000 | 8 1/2%, due 1/15/27 | Not Rated | Baa2 | BBB | 20,662,142 |
| 7,500,000 | Commonwealth Edison Co. | Noe Hacca | Daaz | DDD | 20,002,112 |
| | 9 7/8%, due 6/15/20 | A- | A3 | A- | 8,577,855 |
| 6,000,000 | Dayton Power and Light | | | | |
| | 8.15%, due 1/15/26 | A | Baa1 | BBB | 6,290,118 |
| 24,000,000 | Dominion Resources Capital Trust | Nat Datad | D0 | DDD | 27 042 576 |
| 5,000,000 | 7.83%, due 12/01/27 | NOL Rated | Baa2 | BBB- | 27,042,576 |
| 3,000,000 | 7 1/2%, due 7/15/25 | CCC+ | В3 | В | 4,825,000 |
| 13,725,000 | Niagara Mohawk Power Corp. | | | | , , |
| | 8 7/8%, due 5/15/07 | Not Rated | Baa3 | A- | 16,384,740 |
| 5,000,000 | Progress Energy Inc. | | | | |
| 0 000 000 | 7 3/4%, due 3/01/31 | BBB- | Baa2 | BBB- | 5,754,075 |
| 9,000,000 | PSEG Power LLC 8 5/8%, due 4/15/31 | Not Doted | D = = 1 | DDD | 11 106 616 |
| 22,750,000 | Puget Capital Trust | NOL Rated | Daal | BBB | 11,426,616 |
| 22,730,000 | 8.231%, due 6/01/27 | Not Rated | Ba1 | ВВ | 21,976,045 |
| 13,000,000 | Southern Co. Capital Trust II | | | | |
| | 8.14%, due 2/15/27 | Not Rated | Baa1 | BBB+ | 14,844,115 |
| 10,000,000 | Virginia Electric & Power Co. | | | | |
| | 8 5/8%, due 10/01/24 | Not Rated | A2 | A- | 10,982,260 |
| 17,700,000 | Virginia Electric & Power Co. | | | | |
| 17,700,000 | 8 1/4%, due 3/01/25 | Not Rated | A2 | A- | 19,600,343 |
| | , | | | | |
| | | | | | 181,493,211 |

The accompanying note is an integral part of this financial statement.

9

| | | Ratings | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|---------|---------|---------------------------|----|-----------------------------|
| Par Value | Company | Fitch | Moody's | Standard and Poor's | | Market Value (Note 1) |
| | [_] GAS4.4% | | | | | |
| \$ 5,000,000 | KN Energy Inc. 7 1/4%, due 3/01/28 | BBB | Baa2 | BBB | \$ | 5,626,010 |
| 10,000,000 | Northern Border Partners LP 8 7/8%, due 6/15/10 | BBB+ | Baa2 | BBB+ | | 12,148,150 |
| 15,000,000 6,488,000 | Panhandle Eastern 8 5/8%, due 4/15/25 Southern Union Co. | BBB | Baa3 | BBB | | 16,487,685 |

| | 7 600 1 0/01/04 | 222 | D 0 | 555 | 7 105 054 |
|------------|--|-----------|------|------|-------------|
| 8,850,000 | 7.60%, due 2/01/24 Southern Union Co. | BBB | Baa3 | BBB | 7,195,854 |
| 0,030,000 | 8 1/4%, due 11/15/29 | BBB | Baa3 | BBB | 10,636,611 |
| 10,000,000 | TE Products Pipeline Co. | 222 | 2440 | 222 | 10,000,011 |
| , , | 7.51%, due 1/15/28 | Not Rated | Baa3 | BBB | 10,056,220 |
| 9,000,000 | Trans-Canada Pipeline | | | | |
| | 9 1/8%, due 4/20/06 | Not Rated | A3 | BBB+ | 10,439,118 |
| | | | | - | 72,589,648 |
| | [_] TELECOMMUNICATION9.3% | | | | 72,309,040 |
| | | | | | |
| 15,000,000 | AT&T Corporation | | | | |
| | 8.35%, due 1/15/25 | BBB | Baa2 | BBB | 15,965,730 |
| 10,000,000 | BellSouth Capital Funding | | - 1 | | 10 565 150 |
| 25 000 000 | 7 7/8%, due 2/15/30 | A+ | A1 | A+ | 12,565,170 |
| 25,000,000 | British Telecom PLC 8 7/8%, due 12/15/30 | 7\ | Baa1 | A- | 32,893,475 |
| 5,000,000 | Centurytel Inc. | А | Баат | Λ | 32,093,473 |
| 3,000,000 | 6 7/8%, due 1/15/28 | Not Rated | Baa2 | BBB+ | 5,476,855 |
| 10,000,000 | Centurytel Inc. | | | | 0, 2.0, 000 |
| , , | 8 3/8%, due 10/15/10 | Not Rated | Baa2 | BBB+ | 12,389,620 |
| 10,000,000 | France Telecom | | | | |
| | 7 3/4%, due 3/01/11 | BBB | Baa3 | BBB | 12,235,770 |
| 13,250,000 | GTE California Inc. | | | | |
| | 8.07%, due 4/15/24 | AA | A1 | A+ | 14,155,849 |
| 17,625,000 | GTE Corp. | 7. | 7.0 | | 10 070 700 |
| F 000 000 | 7.90%, due 2/01/27 | A+ | A3 | A+ | 19,878,709 |
| 5,000,000 | GTE North Inc., Series C 7 5/8%, due 5/15/26 | 7\ 7\ | A1 | A+ | 5,430,220 |
| 10,575,000 | TCI Communications Inc. | AA | AI | ΑT | 3,430,220 |
| 10,373,000 | 8.65%, due 9/15/04 | BBB | Baa3 | BBB | 11,186,150 |
| 4,314,000 | Tritel PCS Inc. | 222 | Daao | 222 | 11,100,100 |
| , , | 10 3/8%, due 1/15/11 | BBB | Baa2 | BBB | 5,210,113 |
| 5,000,000 | Vodaphone Group PLC | | | | |
| | 7 7/8%, due 2/15/30 | A | A2 | A- | 6,188,985 |
| | | | | _ | 153,576,646 |
| | | | | | , , |

The accompanying note is an integral part of this financial statement.

10

DNP SELECT INCOME FUND INC. STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS--(Continued) (UNAUDITED) September 30, 2003

| | | | Ratings | | | |
|-----------|---------|-------|---------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Par Value | Company | Fitch | Moody's | Standard and Poor's | Market Value (Note 1) | |

[[]_] NON-UTILITY--21.2% \$#\$\$ 15,000,000 American General Finance Corp.

| #100 000 000 | 1.42%, due 5/28/04 | A+ | A1 | A+ | \$ 15,000,000 |
|--------------|---|---------------------|-----------|------|---------------|
| , , | 1.337%, due 10/01/03 | A+ | A1 | A | 100,000,000 |
| , , | Belford U.S. Capital Co. LLC 1.25%, due 6/18/04 | AAA | Not Rated | AAA | 25,013,776 |
| . , , | CIT Group Inc. 1.86%, due 4/08/04 | A | A2 | А | 25,082,700 |
| , , | Dayton Hudson Corp. 9 7/8%, due 7/01/20 | A | A2 | A+ | 11,450,456 |
| | EOP Operating LP 7 1/2%, due 4/19/29 | BBB+ | Baa1 | BBB+ | 22,502,888 |
| | General Electric Capital Corp. 1.388%, due 10/22/03 | Not Rated | Aaa | AAA | 25,001,875 |
| | General Motors Acceptance Corp. 1.439%, due 11/07/03 | BBB+ | A3 | BBB | 24,999,100 |
| . , , | Halogen Funding Co. LLC 1.15%, due 10/07/03 | Not Rated | Not Rated | AAA | 25,000,000 |
| , , | Household Finance Corp. 1.49%, due 5/28/04 | A | A1 | A | 25,058,826 |
| | Morgan Stanley Dean Witter & Co. 1.51%, Series C, due 5/18/04 | AA- | Aa3 | A+ | 25,067,075 |
| #25,000,000 | Salomon Smith Barney Holdings Inc. 1.47%, Series K, due 5/07/04 | AA+ | Aa1 | AA- | 25,036,051 |
| | | | | | 349,212,747 |
| | Total Bonds (Cost\$723,592,358) | • • • • • • • • • • | | | 756,872,252 |
| | DBLIGATION0.1% | | | | |
| \$Z,UUU,UUU | U.S. Treasury Bond 10 3/4%, due 8/15/05 | | | | \$ 2,345,236 |
| | Total U.S. Treasury Obligation (Cost\$2 | 2,394,375) | | | 2,345,236 |
| | | | | | |

The accompanying note is an integral part of this financial statement.

11

| | Valu |
|--|-------------------|
| Par Value | (Note |
| | |
| | |
| U.S. GOVERNMENT AGENCY OBLIGATIONS9.5% | |
| \$125,000,000 Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp. | |
| 9%, due 2/26/04 | \$128 , 98 |
| 25,000,000 Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp. | |
| 9%, due 11/15/03 | 27,00 |
| | 155 00 |
| Total U.S. Government Agency Obligations (Cost\$164,387,688) | 155,98 |

Mark

| Par Value/ Shares | | |
|----------------------|--|---------------|
| MONEY MARKET | INSTRUMENTS14.2% | |
| 10,000,000 | American International Group Inc. | 10.00 |
| #2 000 451 | 1.08%, due 10/01/03 | 10,00 2,09 |
| | CCN (Orchard Park) LLC | 2,03 |
| π23,000,000 | 1.245%, due 4/06/04 | 25,00 |
| 24,000,000 | Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. Repurchase Agreement, | , |
| , , | 1.248%, dated 9/30/03, due 10/01/03, collateralized by | |
| | \$4,944,114 AMSI 2003-8 M5 4.87% ABS due 10/25/33; | |
| | \$2,750,000 COMM 2002-FL7 MCS 3.87% CMO due 11/15/14; | |
| | \$2,350,350 CWALT 2002-6 B2 7% CMO due 7/25/32; | |
| | \$1,109,583 DBALT 2003-1 B2 5.5% CMO due 9/25/33; | |
| | \$4,552,670 GECMC 2001-1 G 7.04% CMO 5/15/33; | |
| | \$4,076,681 GMACC 2003-C2 144A CMO 5.30% due 5/10/40; | |
| | \$3,013,083 IRWLB 2000-LB1 B 4.37% ABS due 6/25/21; | |
| | \$1,360,969 MBNAS 2001-C1 2.17% ABS due 10/15/08; | |
| | \$202,357 Norwest Financial Inc. 7 1/2% due 4/15/05; and \$113,326 United Technologies Corp. 6 5/8% due 11/15/04 | 24,00 |
| #26 000 000 | Hudson-American Realty Protection LLC | 24,00 |
| π20,000,000 | 1.14%, due 10/16/03 | 26,00 |
| #19.091.506 | Janus Institutional Cash Reserves Fund | 19,09 |
| | Merrill Lynch Pierce Fenner & Smith Inc. Repurchase Agreement, | , |
| . , , | 1.238%, dated 9/30/03, due 10/01/03, collateralized by | |
| | \$31,458,512 Ford Motor Credit Corp. 7 7/8% due 6/15/10; | |
| | \$21,595,574 General Motors Acceptance Corp. 8% due 11/01/31; and \$20,336,863 | |
| | General Motors Acceptance Corp. 7 3/4% due 1/19/10 | 71,00 |
| | | |

The accompanying note is an integral part of this financial statement.

12

| Par Value/ Shares | | |
|---------------------------------|--|----|
| | | |
| #\$17 , 000 , 000 | Neptune Funding Corp. | |
| | 1.13%, due 10/01/03 | \$ |
| #40,000,000 | Wachovia Capital Markets LLC Repurchase Agreement, | |
| | 1.258%, dated 9/30/03, due 10/01/03, collateralized by | |
| | \$3,614,015 CSFB 2002-CKN2 G 7.34% CMO due 4/15/37; | |
| | \$4,120,504 CSFB 2002-CP5 H 6.33% CMO due 12/15/35; | |
| | \$3,292,444 GECMC 2000-1 E 7.17% CMO due 1/15/33; | |
| | \$3,877,112 JPMCC 2003-PM1A H 5.51% CMO due 8/12/40; | |
| | \$3,747,876 LBUBS 2002-C1 J 6.95% CMO due 3/15/34; | |
| | \$3,901,968 LBUBS 2002-C7 K 5.75% CMO due 1/15/36; | |
| | \$3,118,653 MLMT 2002-MW1 G 6.57% CMO due 7/12/34; | |
| | \$3,763,324 WBCMT 2003-C5 B 4.11% CMO due 6/15/35; | |

| \$3,754,936 WBCMT 2003-C5 C 4.14% CMO due 6/15/35; \$3,770,420 WBCMT 2003-C5 H 5.18% CMO due 6/15/35; and \$3,838,748 WBCMT 2003-C6 H 5.13% CMO due 8/15/35 | |
|---|-------|
| Total Money Market Instruments (Amortized Cost\$234,166,367) | |
| CASH AND OTHER ASSETS LESS LIABILITIES (39.2%) | (6 |
| REMARKETED PREFERRED STOCK (\$.001 par value per share; 100,000,000 shares authorized and 5,000 shares issued and outstanding; liquidation preference \$100,000 per share) | ([|
| NET ASSETS APPLICABLE TO COMMON STOCK (equivalent to \$7.57 per share of common stock based on 218,144,176 shares of common stock outstanding; authorized 250,000,000 shares) | \$1,6 |

This security was purchased with the cash proceeds from securities loans. The percentage shown for each investment category is the total value of that category as a percentage of the net assets applicable to common shares of the Fund.

(1) The market values for securities are determined as follows: Equity securities traded on a national securities exchange or traded over-the-counter and quoted on the NASDAQ System are valued at last sales prices. Fixed income securities and any other securities for which it is determined that market prices are unavailable or inappropriate are valued at a fair value using a procedure determined in good faith by the Board of Directors which includes the use of a pricing service. Each money market instrument having a maturity of 60 days or less is valued on an amortized cost basis, which approximates market value.

13

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

14

Board of Directors

FRANKLIN A. COLE

GORDON B. DAVIDSON

CONNIE K. DUCKWORTH

ROBERT J. GENETSKI

CLAIRE V. HANSEN, CFA

FRANCIS E. JEFFRIES, CFA

NANCY LAMPTON

CHRISTIAN H. POINDEXTER

^{**} Dividends currently are deferred.

CARL F. POLLARD

DAVID J. VITALE

Officers

CLAIRE V. HANSEN, CFA Chairman

NATHAN I. PARTAIN, CFA President and Chief Executive Officer

T. BROOKS BEITTEL, CFA Senior Vice President and Secretary

MICHAEL SCHATT Senior Vice President

JOSEPH C. CURRY, JR. Vice President and Treasurer

DIANNA P. WENGLER Assistant Secretary

DNP Select
Income Fund Inc.

Common stock listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol DNP

55 East Monroe Street Chicago, Illinois 60603 (312) 368-5510

Shareholder inquiries please contact

Transfer Agent Dividend Disbursing Agent and Custodian

The Bank of New York Shareholder Relations Church Street Station P.O. Box 11258 New York, New York 10286-1258 (877) 381-2537

Investment Adviser

Duff & Phelps Investment Management Co. 55 East Monroe Street Chicago, Illinois 60603

Administrator

J.J.B. Hilliard, W.L. Lyons, Inc. Hilliard Lyons Center Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (888) 878-7845

Legal Counsel

Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw LLP 190 South LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60603

Independent Auditors

Ernst & Young LLP 233 South Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606

15

DNP Select Income Fund Inc.

Third Quarter Report

September 30, 2003

[Artwork]