

BERKSHIRE INCOME REALTY INC
Form 10-K
March 31, 2008

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File number 001-31659

Berkshire Income Realty, Inc.

State of Incorporation - Maryland
Internal Revenue Service – Employer Identification No. 32-0024337
One Beacon Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02108
(617) 523-7722

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:	Yes	
Title of Class		Name of each exchange on which registered
Series A 9% Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock		American Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.
Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.
Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements

Edgar Filing: BERKSHIRE INCOME REALTY INC - Form 10-K

incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non-accelerated filer (see definition of “accelerated filer and large accelerated filer” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Large Accelerated Filer	Accelerated Filer	Non-accelerated
filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> x		
Smaller reporting company		

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes x No

Aggregate market value of voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates: Not applicable.

There were 1,406,196 shares of Class B common stock outstanding as of March 31, 2008.

There are no documents required to be incorporated by reference to this Annual Report on Form 10K.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
PART I		
1.	BUSINESS	3
1A.	RISK FACTORS	12
1B.	UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS	19
2.	PROPERTIES	19
3.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	20
4.	SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS	20
PART II		
5.	MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES	20
6.	SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	21
7.	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS ²⁴ OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	
7A.	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	56
8.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	57
9.	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	57
9A.(T)	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	57
9B.	OTHER INFORMATION	58
PART III		

10.	DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT	58
11.	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	61
12.	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	62
13.	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE	64
14.	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES	66
PART IV		
15.	EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES	67

SPECIAL NOTE REGARD FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements contained in this report, including information with respect to our future business plans, constitute “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “33 Act”), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “34 Act”). For this purpose, any statements contained herein that are not statements of historical fact may be deemed to be forward-looking statements, subject to a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ significantly from those described in this report. These forward-looking statements include statements regarding, among other things, our business strategy and operations, future expansion plans, future prospects, financial position, anticipated revenues or losses and projected costs, and objectives of management. Without limiting the foregoing, the words “may,” “will,” “should,” “could,” “expects,” “plans,” “anticipates,” “believes,” “estimates,” “predicts,” “potential” or “continue” or the negative of these terms and other comparable terminology are intended to identify forward-looking statements. There are a number of important factors that could cause our results to differ materially from those indicated by such forward-looking statements. These factors include, but are not limited to, changes in economic conditions generally and the real estate and bond markets specifically, legislative/regulatory changes (including changes to laws governing the taxation of real estate investment trusts (“REITs”)), possible sales of assets, the acquisition restrictions placed on the Company by its investment in Berkshire Multifamily Value Fund, LP, (“BVF” or the “Fund”) , the acquisition restrictions placed on the Company by an affiliated entity Berkshire Multifamily Value Fund II, LP, (“BVF II” or “Fund II”), availability of capital, interest rates and interest rate spreads, changes in accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”) and policies and guidelines applicable to REITs, those factors set forth herein in Part I, Item 1A. “Risk Factors” and other risks and uncertainties as may be detailed from time to time in our public announcements and our reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”).

The risks listed here are not exhaustive. Other sections of this report may include additional factors that could adversely affect our business and financial performance. Moreover, we operate in a competitive and rapidly changing environment. New risk factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for management to predict all such risks factors, nor can it assess the impact of all such risk factors on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. Given these risks and uncertainties, undue reliance should not be placed on forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results.

As used herein, the terms “we”, “us”, “BIR” or the “Company” refer to Berkshire Income Realty, Inc., a Maryland corporation incorporated on July 19, 2002. The Company is in the business of acquiring, owning, operating and renovating multifamily apartment communities. Berkshire Property Advisors, L.L.C. (“Berkshire Advisor” or “Advisor”) is an affiliated entity we have contracted with to make decisions relating to the day-to-day management and operation of our business, subject to the Board of Directors (“Board”) oversight. Refer to Part III, Item 13 – Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence and Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 12 –Related Party Transactions of this Form 10-K for additional information about the Advisor.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Executive Summary

2007 was another year of successful operations for Berkshire Income Realty, Inc. (“BIR”). BIR adjusted its property holdings with the acquisition of two properties, Hampton House in Towson, Maryland and Sunfield Lakes in Sherwood, Oregon in transactions that approximated \$44,750,000 of aggregate purchase price at the time of purchase,

while selling its interest in Dorsey's Forge ("Dorsey's") for net proceeds of \$13,137,316 and Trellis at Lee's Mill ("Trellis") for net proceeds of \$5,256,480. Sunfield Lakes represents the Company's entry into a new submarket with its first acquisition in the Northwest region of the country. The Company funded an additional \$8,890,950 of its total commitment of capital of \$23,400,000 in BVF, a limited partnership, and affiliate of the Company, bringing the total that has been invested by the Company as of year end to \$21,072,251, or approximately 90.1% of its total commitment.

During 2007 the Company continued to aggressively finance and refinance its portfolio to minimize the effect of rising mortgage interest rates and maximize the cash available for capital investments. Fixed rate first mortgages of \$39,440,000 were financed on the newly acquired properties and one of the previously acquired properties at an average interest rate of approximately 6.03%. Fixed rate supplemental mortgages of \$15,050,000 were obtained on a group of four existing properties at an average interest rate of approximately 6.01% and the Company refinanced approximately \$6,400,000 of outstanding debt with new fixed rate first mortgage debt of \$18,600,000 at 5.71%.

2007 occupancy levels remained stable in the low 90% range which, approximate average occupancy levels from the prior year at the Company's Same Portfolio Properties ("Same Store"). Occupancy levels benefited from the Company's strategy for setting rental rates, which generally tries to balance occupancy with rental increases to achieve market level occupancy rates and suffered slightly from vacancies experienced at properties undergoing rehabilitation projects due to turnover of units being renovated. In periods of market softness, BIR will offer short-term rental concessions to new and renewing tenants at properties within markets experiencing the softness to maintain occupancy without producing significant fluctuations in market rental rates. This strategy allows the Company to react appropriately to market condition changes without subjecting the Company to extended periods of reduced rental rates.

It is the Company's strategy to increase the value of its portfolio by implementing property management improvements and physical asset improvements at its properties. As in past years, the Company's efforts executing property management improvements and renovations of certain properties in the portfolio continued to realize the desired operating results during 2007. Berkshires on Brompton, a renovation project in Texas that started in 2005, was completed during the year and was another example of a rehabilitation project that met the Company's projected targets with respect to returns on the capital invested in the project and increased rents achieved on renovated units placed back into service. Additionally, a renovation project at the Chisholm Place property, also located in Texas, was completed during the year with similar positive rent increases and capital returns. The completion of the rehabilitation project at Berkshires on Brompton allowed the Company to take advantage of improved property operating results to refinance the outstanding mortgage on the property.

In 2008, the Company intends to continue to consider the adjustment of the portfolio through sourcing strategies that include market, non-market/seller direct, bank and lender owned real estate and foreclosure auctions. The Company intends to continue to invest funds as they become available in qualifying investment opportunities, if any, in the form of new acquisitions, new development projects and the renovation of established properties. The Company currently anticipates selling two properties in the portfolio and will determine the best use of any proceeds from any completed sales.

Business

In 2002, the Company filed a registration statement on Form S-11 with the SEC with respect to its offers (the "Offering") to issue its 9% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock ("Preferred Shares") in exchange for interests ("Interests") in the following six mortgage funds: Krupp Government Income Trust ("GIT"), Krupp Government Income Trust II ("GIT II"), Krupp Insured Mortgage Limited Partnership ("KIM"), Krupp Insured Plus Limited Partnership ("KIP"), Krupp Insured Plus II Limited Partnership ("KIP II"), and Krupp Insured Plus III Limited Partnership ("KIP III") (collectively, the "Mortgage Funds"). For each Interest in the Mortgage Funds validly tendered and not withdrawn in the Offering, the Company offered to issue its Preferred Shares based on an exchange ratio applicable to each Mortgage Fund. The registration statement was declared effective on January 9, 2003. Offering costs incurred in connection with the Offering have been reflected as a reduction of Preferred Shares reflected in the financial statements of the Company.

On April 4, 2003 and April 18, 2003, the Company issued 2,667,717 and 310,393 Preferred Shares, respectively, with a \$25.00 liquidation preference per share. The Preferred Shares were issued in exchange for Interests in the six Mortgage Funds referred to above. For each Interest in the Mortgage Funds that was validly tendered and not withdrawn in the Offering, the Company issued its Preferred Shares based on an exchange ratio applicable to each Mortgage Fund.

Simultaneously with the completion of the Offering on April 4, 2003, KRF Company, L.L.C. ("KRF Company"), an affiliate of the Company, contributed its ownership interests in five multifamily apartment communities (the

“Properties”) to our operating partnership, Berkshire Income Realty – OP, L.P. (the “Operating Partnership”), in exchange for common limited partner interests in the Operating Partnership. KRF Company then contributed an aggregate of \$1,283,213, or 1% of the fair value of the total net assets of the Operating Partnership, to the Company, which together with the \$100 contributed prior to the Offering, resulted in the issuance of 1,283,313 shares of common stock of the Company to KRF Company. This amount was contributed by the Company to its wholly owned subsidiary, BIR GP, L.L.C., who then contributed the cash to the Operating Partnership in exchange for the sole general partner interest in the Operating Partnership. The Operating Partnership is the successor to the Berkshire Income Realty Predecessor Group (the “Predecessor”). The merger of the separate businesses into the Company and the Operating Partnership was considered a purchase business combination with the Predecessor being the accounting acquirer. Accordingly, the acquisition or contribution of the various Predecessor interests was accounted for at the interests’ historical cost. The acquisition of the Interests was accounted for using purchase accounting based upon the fair value of the Interests acquired. Certain minority ownership interests in three of the contributed multifamily properties are owned by an unaffiliated third party. As the minority interests did not change in connection with the completion of the Offering, the accounting for these interests was based on existing carrying amounts.

As a result of the common control of ownership between the Predecessor and the Company, the Company was not deemed a new reporting entity pursuant to the provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion #20 Accounting Changes. Accordingly, the financial statements of the Company did not start “fresh” upon completion of the Offering in April 2003. Rather, the Company’s financial statements are a continuation of the Predecessor’s financial statements and have been re-titled to those of the Company effective in April 2003.

The Company’s financial statements include the accounts of the Company, its subsidiary, the Operating Partnership, as well as the various subsidiaries of the Operating Partnership. The Company owns preferred and general partner interests in the Operating Partnership. The remaining common limited partnership interests in the Operating Partnership owned by KRF Company and affiliates are reflected as Minority Interest in Operating Partnership in the financial statements of the Company.

On March 20, 2003, KRF Company, through a newly formed affiliate, Gables of Texas Limited Partnership (“Gables”), and its general partner, Gables of Texas, L.L.C., also a newly formed affiliate, acquired The Gables Apartments, a 140-unit multifamily apartment community located in Houston, Texas, from an unaffiliated third party for a purchase price of approximately \$6,925,000. On April 24, 2003, the Operating Partnership acquired all of the interests in Gables and Gables of Texas L.L.C. from KRF Company for approximately \$6,925,000 plus closing costs of approximately \$143,000. The purchase price for Gables and Gables of Texas, L.L.C. was equal to the purchase price KRF Company paid the original seller of The Gables Apartments (including equity payments, transfer taxes, financing and closing costs as applicable). The Gables Apartments is a contiguous property to Walden Pond Apartments; the Company owns both communities and currently operates them as one community under the name Walden Pond/Gable Apartments.

Due to the affiliation of the ownership of the Company and KRF Company, the acquisition of interests in the Gables property has been accounted for as a reorganization of entities under common control, requiring the Company to retroactively restate its financial statements from March 20, 2003, the acquisition date of the property by KRF Company, through the period presented, which is similar to the accounting for a pooling of interests.

On April 29, 2003, the Preferred Shares began trading on the American Stock Exchange, under the symbol “BIR.pr.a”.

On May 30, 2003, the Operating Partnership and its wholly owned subsidiary, BIR McNab Sub, L.L.C., a newly formed Delaware limited liability Company, acquired all of the outstanding limited and general partner units of McNab KC3 Limited Partnership (“McNab”) from affiliates of the Company. The acquisition was structured as a contribution of units from an affiliate of the Company in exchange for the issuance by the Operating Partnership of 5,000 common limited partner units valued at \$10.00 per unit. McNab is the fee simple owner of a 276-unit multifamily apartment community located in Pompano, Florida that is referred to as Windward Lakes Apartments. The former general and limited partners of McNab are affiliates of the Company, namely George and Douglas Krupp. George Krupp is former Chairman of the Board and Douglas Krupp is the current Chairman of the Board. The acquisition was approved by the Audit Committee of the Board (the “Audit Committee”), which is composed solely of directors who are independent under applicable rules and regulations of the SEC and American Stock Exchange. At the time of the contribution, control of both the Company and McNab rested with George and Douglas Krupp via their 100% ownership interest in the common stock of the Company through KRF Company’s ownership of such shares and their 100% indirect ownership interest in the general and limited partnership units of McNab. Therefore, the acquisition or contribution of the general and limited partnership units of McNab by the Operating Partnership in exchange for the issuance by the Operating Partnership of common limited partner units is considered a transfer of net assets between entities under common control. As discussed below, Windward Lakes Apartments was sold on June 22, 2005.

Due to the affiliation of the ownership of the Company and McNab, the acquisition of the interests in McNab was accounted for as a reorganization of entities under common control, requiring the Company to retroactively restate its financial statements for the periods presented, which is similar to the accounting for a pooling of interests. Windward Lakes Apartments was sold in 2005 and is reported as discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2005 and prior.

On October 30, 2003, the Operating Partnership, through a newly formed and wholly owned subsidiary, St. Marin/Karrington Limited Partnership (“St. Marin/Karrington”), whose general partner, SM Karrington, L.L.C., also a newly formed affiliate, acquired The St. Marin/Karrington Apartments, a 600 unit multifamily apartment community located in Coppell, Texas, from a third party for a purchase price of approximately \$46,125,000.

On January 28, 2004, the Operating Partnership, through its newly formed and wholly owned subsidiary Marina Mile L.L.C., purchased Pond Apple Creek Apartments (subsequently renamed The Berkshires at Marina Mile (“Marina Mile”)), a 306-unit multifamily apartment community located in Fort Lauderdale, Florida, from Pond Apple Creek Associates Limited Partnership. The seller was an unaffiliated third party. The purchase and sale agreement, as amended, was agreed upon through arms-length

negotiations and provided for the purchase price of \$23,000,000 to be paid in cash. The purchase price was funded with available cash and new first mortgage financing. Effective May 1, 2004, the Company consummated a multifamily venture relationship (the "Multifamily Venture") with an unaffiliated third party (the "Venture Partner") whereby each of the parties to the agreement agreed to participate, on a pro rata basis, in the economic benefits of Marina Mile. Under the terms of the limited liability company agreement governing the Multifamily Venture, the Venture Partner contributed, in cash, 65% of the total Multifamily Venture equity in exchange for a 65% interest in the newly formed entity, JV Marina Mile, L.L.C. (the "L.L.C."). The Operating Partnership contributed its interest in Marina Mile, L.L.C., the fee simple owner of the property, in exchange for a 35% interest in the L.L.C. and a cash distribution representing a return of capital of approximately \$3,594,693 net of \$387,236 of additional capital invested by the Operating Partnership. Both parties received proportional distributions of available cash up to an effective 10% internal rate of return on each party's capital (the "Preferred Return"). After payment of the Preferred Return and the return of each party's capital contribution, the Operating Partnership is entitled to, in addition to its 35% pro rata share, additional distributions equal to approximately 30% of the distributions otherwise payable to the Venture Partner. The Operating Partnership is the managing member of the L.L.C. The Company evaluated its investment in the Multifamily Venture and concluded that the investment did not fall under the requirements of FIN 46R; therefore the Company accounted for the investment under Statement of Position 78-9, Accounting for Investments in Real Estate ("SOP "78-9") as an equity method investment. As discussed below Marina Mile was sold on April 18, 2006.

On March 30, 2004, the Operating Partnership, through its newly formed and wholly owned subsidiary BIR Laurel Woods Limited Partnership, purchased Laurel Woods Apartments ("Laurel Woods"), a 150-unit multifamily apartment community located in Austin, Texas, from Berkshire Mortgage Finance Limited Partnership, an affiliate of the Company. The acquisition was approved by the Audit Committee, which is composed solely of directors who are independent under applicable rules and regulations of the SEC and the American Stock Exchange. The seller acquired the property through foreclosure on February 2, 2004. The purchase price of \$5,250,000 was funded with available cash.

On March 31, 2004, the Operating Partnership, through its newly formed and wholly owned subsidiary BIR Bear Creek Limited Partnership, purchased Bear Creek Apartments ("Bear Creek") from an unaffiliated third party. The purchase price of \$4,900,000 was funded with available cash. Bear Creek is a 152-unit multifamily apartment community located in Dallas, Texas. Prior to the sale, the seller had acquired the property through foreclosure.

On November 3, 2004, the Operating Partnership, through its newly formed and wholly owned subsidiaries, BIR Bridgewater, L.L.C. and BIR Trellis L.L.C., purchased Bridgewater on the Lake Apartments ("Bridgewater") and Trellis, respectively, from an unaffiliated third party. Bridgewater is a 216-unit multifamily apartment community located in Hampton, Virginia. Trellis is a 176-unit multifamily apartment community located in Newport News, Virginia. The purchase price for Bridgewater and Trellis was \$18,590,000 and \$8,825,000, respectively, and was funded with available cash and new first mortgage financing. As discussed below, Trellis was sold on May 30, 2007.

On November 4, 2004, the Operating Partnership, through its newly formed and wholly owned subsidiaries, BIR Arboretum L.L.C. and BIR Silver Hill L.L.C., purchased Arboretum Place Apartments ("Arboretum") and Silver Hill at Arboretum Apartments ("Silver Hill"), respectively, from an unaffiliated third party. Arboretum is a 184 unit multifamily apartment community located in Newport News, Virginia. Silver Hill is a 153 unit multifamily apartment community also located in Newport News, Virginia. The gross purchase price for Arboretum and Silver Hill was \$10,575,000 and \$4,350,000, respectively. The properties were purchased subject to the assumption of the existing mortgages outstanding on the properties with a face value of approximately \$5,929,000 and \$3,444,000, respectively. The purchase price, net of assumed debt, was paid from available cash. Pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations", the assumed mortgages were recorded at fair value, based on the present value of the amounts to be paid under the obligations. The fair market value of the debt assumed on Arboretum and Silver Hill is \$6,894,193 and \$4,010,241, respectively. Additionally, on November 4, 2004, the Company acquired the vacant land adjacent to Arboretum for \$1,500,000 from F.C. Arboretum Land Associates, L.P., an unaffiliated

third party. The purchase of the land was funded with available cash.

Effective September 24, 2004, the Operating Partnership consummated the JV BIR/ERI, L.L.C. multifamily venture agreement (“JV BIR/ERI”) with Equity Resources Investments, L.L.C. (“ERI”), an unaffiliated third party, whereby each of the parties to the agreement agreed to participate, on a pro rata basis, in the economic benefits of the partnership interests purchased from Capital Realty Investors-II Limited Partnership (“CRI”). Under the terms of the limited liability company agreement governing JV BIR/ERI, the Operating Partnership owns a 58% interest as the managing member and ERI owns the remaining 42% interest. All profits and losses are shared by the Operating Partnership and ERI on a pro rata basis according to their respective ownership interests. Affiliates of the Operating Partnership are entitled to perform asset management and property management services and receive fees in payment thereof. The Company evaluated its investment in JV BIR/ERI and concluded that the investment did not fall under the requirements of FIN 46R, Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 03-16, Accounting for Investments in Limited Liability Companies, Statement of Position 78-9, Accounting for Investments in Real Estate Ventures or Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 18, The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock. Therefore the Company has consolidated the investment under Accounting Research Bulletin 51, Consolidated Financial Statements (“ARB 51”) based on its controlling interest in the subsidiary.

On November 17, 2004, the Operating Partnership, through JV BIR/ERI, consummated the acquisition of 100% of the outstanding limited and general partner interests of Arrowhead Apartments Associates Limited Partnership, the fee owner of Arrowhead Apartments (“Arrowhead”), a 200 unit multifamily apartment community located in Palatine, Illinois, and Moorings Apartments Associates Limited Partnership, the fee owner of Moorings Apartments (“Moorings”), a 216 unit multifamily apartment community located in Roselle, Illinois. The net purchase price for the Arrowhead and Moorings interests was \$1,313,392 and \$416,455, respectively. The properties owned by the partnerships were subject to existing mortgages at the time of the purchase of the Arrowhead and Moorings interests. These mortgages were recorded at their fair value pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 141. The fair value approximates the payoff value of the amounts to be paid under the obligations, including the right of defeasance. JV BIR/ERI exercised its right of defeasance and extinguished the outstanding mortgage obligations of approximately \$7,431,000 and \$8,801,000, respectively. The purchase price and payoff of the existing mortgages were funded through a combination of new mortgage debt, available cash and contributions from ERI.

On December 28, 2004, the Operating Partnership, through a newly formed and wholly owned subsidiary, BIR Yorktowne, L.L.C., consummated the acquisition of 100% of the fee simple interest of Yorktowne at Olde Mill Apartments (“Yorktowne”), a 216 unit multifamily apartment community located in Millersville, Maryland, from EQR-Yorktowne Vistas, Inc., an unaffiliated third party, for \$21,500,000, plus customary closing costs. The purchase price was paid from available cash. On January 26, 2005, the Company closed on \$16,125,000 of first mortgage debt at a fixed interest rate of 5.13% for ten years collateralized by the Yorktowne property.

On December 29, 2004, the Operating Partnership, through JV BIR/ERI, consummated the acquisition of 100% of the outstanding limited and general partner interests of Blackburn Associates Limited Partnership, the fee owner of Country Place I Apartments (“Country Place I”), a 192 unit multifamily apartment community located in Burtonsville, Maryland, and Second Blackburn Associates Limited Partnership, the fee owner of Country Place II Apartments (“Country Place II”), a 120 unit multifamily apartment community also located in Burtonsville, Maryland. The net purchase price for the Country Place I and Country Place II interests was \$7,769,720 and \$5,054,677, respectively. The properties owned by the partnerships were subject to existing mortgages at the time of the purchase of the Country Place I and Country Place II interests. These mortgages were recorded at their fair value pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 141. The fair value approximates the payoff value of the amounts to be paid under the obligations, including the right of prepayment. JV BIR/ERI exercised its right of prepayment on the purchase date and extinguished the outstanding mortgage obligations of approximately \$6,728,000 and \$4,078,000, respectively. The purchase price and payoff of the existing mortgages were funded through a combination of new mortgage debt, available cash and contributions from ERI.

On February 15, 2005, the Operating Partnership and its newly formed and wholly owned subsidiary, BIR Westchester West, L.L.C., consummated the acquisition of 100% of the outstanding limited and general partner interests of BRI Westchester Limited Partnership, the fee simple owner of Westchester West Apartments, a 345 unit multifamily apartment community located in Silver Spring, Maryland, from BRH Westchester, L.L.C. and BRI OP Limited Partnership. The seller’s are affiliates of the Company. The purchase price, which was agreed upon through arms-length negotiations, was \$39,250,000, subject to normal operating pro rations. The acquisition, which was undertaken in an effort to invest available funds and to increase the number of properties in the Company’s portfolio, was approved by the Audit Committee of the Company, which is comprised solely of directors who are independent under applicable rules and regulations of the SEC and the American Stock Exchange. The purchase price and related closing costs were funded through a \$29,500,000 first mortgage and available cash. The first mortgage has a fixed interest rate of 5.03% and matures on March 1, 2015.

The net purchase price, including closing costs and acquisition fees, was allocated as follows:

	Total
Multifamily apartment communities	\$ 38,948,802
In-place leases and tenant relationships	732,219
Replacement reserve accounts	111,000
Deferred expenses	196,547
Prepaid expenses and other assets	343,955
Deferred revenue and other liabilities	(326,246)
New first mortgage	(29,500,000)
Cash Paid	10,506,277

The transaction was complete and the purchase price allocation was final as of December 31, 2005. There are no contingent payments, options or commitments outstanding associated with the acquisition. The purchase price allocation included assignment of \$732,219 to intangible assets of which \$576,586 represented acquired-in-place leases and \$155,633 represented tenant relationships. Amortization of acquired-in-place leases is based on the specific expiration dates of the in-place leases over a period of 12 months and amortization of the tenant relationships is based on the straight line method of amortization over a 24 month period.

On March 1, 2005, the Operating Partnership, through a newly formed and wholly owned subsidiary, BIR Brompton Limited Partnership, consummated the acquisition of 100% of the fee simple interest of Waters on Brompton, a 362 unit multifamily apartment community located in Houston, Texas, from an unaffiliated third party. The Company operates the property under the name Berkshires on Brompton Apartments. The acquisition was consummated pursuant to a winning bid placed on the property at foreclosure auction. The successful bid was \$14,400,000 and was immediately paid from available cash.

On March 30, 2005, the Operating Partnership, through a newly formed and wholly owned subsidiary, BIR Westchase Limited Partnership, consummated the acquisition of 100% of the fee simple interest of Antilles Apartment Homes, a 324 unit multifamily apartment community located in Houston, Texas, from an unaffiliated third party. The Company operates the property under the name The Berkshires at Westchase Apartments. The purchase price was \$9,900,000, and was subject to normal operating pro rations. The purchase price was immediately paid from available cash.

On May 31, 2005, the Operating Partnership, through a newly formed and wholly owned subsidiary, BIR-Charlotte I, LLC, consummated the acquisition of 100% of the fee simple interest of Riverbirch Apartments, a 210 unit multifamily apartment community located in Charlotte, North Carolina, from an unaffiliated third party. The acquisition was consummated pursuant to a bid placed at the May 16, 2005 foreclosure auction of the property. The bid of \$8,200,000 was declared the winning bid on May 26, 2005, after a mandatory 10 day waiting period during which the seller was required to accept incrementally higher bids (5%) from other interested parties, as required by North Carolina law. A deposit on the purchase price was paid at the time the bid was accepted, and the balance of the acquisition cost was paid on May 31, 2005 at the closing on the property. Both payments were made from available cash.

On June 22, 2005, the Operating Partnership completed the sale of 100% of the fee simple interest of Windward Lakes Apartments ("Windward Lakes"), a 276-unit multifamily apartment community located in Pompano, Florida, to an unaffiliated third party for a sale price of \$34,725,000. The sale price, which was subject to normal operating pro rations, was received immediately in cash. The operating results of Windward Lakes have been presented in the

consolidated statement of operations included in this Form 10-K, as discontinued operations in accordance with FAS 144 "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets."

On July 1, 2005, the Operating Partnership, through a newly formed and wholly owned subsidiary, BIR Lakeridge, L.L.C., consummated the acquisition of 100% of the fee simple interest of Lake Ridge Apartments, a 282 unit multifamily apartment community located in Hampton, Virginia, from an unaffiliated third party. The purchase price of \$34,344,000 was paid in part from an escrow account administered by a qualified intermediary institution in connection with the prior sale of a qualified property structured to comply with the requirements of a Section 1031 tax deferred exchange ("1031 Exchange") under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, (the "Tax Code"), and the balance was paid from borrowings under the revolving credit facility available to the Company from an affiliate of the Company.

The borrowings under the revolving credit facility were repaid subsequent to the closing on Lakeridge Apartments with proceeds from two new mortgages totaling \$25,650,000 obtained by the Company on July 8, 2005 and August 1, 2005 and are collateralized by the Lakeridge Apartments property. The purchase price was subject to normal operating pro rations and adjustments as provided for in the purchase and sale agreement.

The net purchase price, including closing costs and acquisition fees, was allocated as follows:

	Total
Multifamily apartment communities	\$ 34,349,380
In-place leases and tenant relationships	502,023
Prepaid expenses and other assets	334,822
Deferred revenue and other liabilities	(137,808)
Cash from 1031 Exchange escrow account	(21,450,876)
New mortgages	(25,650,000)
Cash received	\$ (12,052,459)

As of December 31, 2007, the purchase price allocation of the Lakeridge Apartments acquisition are subject to final adjustment pursuant to an outstanding commitment under the agreement for the seller to build 18 additional apartment units and 48 garages on the property. The Company is currently party to a legal proceeding initiated with the seller/developer from whom the Company acquired the property in 2005. The dispute involves the interpretation of certain provisions of the purchase and sales agreement related to post acquisition construction activities. On February 13, 2008, the court entered judgment on the seller/developer's behalf awarding them a judgment in the amount of \$774,292 for costs and damages. The Company intends to appeal the judgment awarded by the court.

The purchase price allocation included assignment of \$502,023 to intangible assets of which \$402,588 represented acquired-in-place leases and \$99,435 represented tenant relationships. Amortization of acquired-in-place leases is based on the specific expiration dates of the in-place leases over a period of 12 months and amortization of the tenant relationships is based on the straight line method of amortization over a 24 month period.

On August 12, 2005, the Company, together with affiliates, entered into a subscription agreement to invest in BVF, an affiliate of the Advisor. Under the terms of the agreement and the related limited partnership agreement, the Company will invest up to \$23,400,000, or approximately 7%, of the total capital of BVF. The Fund's investment strategy is to acquire middle-market properties where there is an opportunity to add value through repositioning or rehabilitation. Under the terms of the BVF partnership agreement, the Company's ability to acquire additional properties is restricted to the two following conditions: (1) the Company can invest up to \$8,000,000 per year in new properties from available cash or cash generated from the refinancing of existing properties, for a period of up to thirty-nine months, and (2) the Company is authorized to sell existing properties and reinvest those proceeds through transactions structured to comply with 1031 Exchanges under the Tax Code, without limit. The Company has evaluated its investment in the Fund and concluded that the investment, although subject to the requirements of FIN 46R, does not require the Company to consolidate the activity of the Fund. Additionally, the Company has determined, pursuant to the guidance promulgated in EITF Issue No. 04-5, "Investors Accounting for an Investment in a Limited Partnership When the Investor is the Sole General Partner and the Limited Partners Have Certain Rights", that the Company does not have a controlling interest in the Multifamily Limited Partnership and is not required to consolidate the activity of the Fund. The Company accounts for its investments in the Fund under Statement of Position 78-9, Accounting for Investments in Real Estate ("SOP "78-9"), as an equity method investment.

Management has evaluated these restrictions and believes that they will not materially impact the Company. Management believes the Company had invested substantially all of its available capital, as of the date of the subscription agreement, and due to the Company's ability to consummate 1031 Exchanges with existing properties, will not be significantly restricted in its ability to appropriately manage its investments. As of December 31, 2007,

BVF has made, and the Company has funded, capital calls totaling \$21,072,251, or 90% of its total commitment.

On November 18, 2005, the Operating Partnership, through a newly formed and wholly owned subsidiary, BIR – Savannah, L.L.C., consummated the acquisition of 100% of the fee simple interest of Savannah at Citrus Park Apartments, a 264-unit multifamily apartment community located in Tampa, Florida, from two unaffiliated third parties. The purchase price was \$27,520,000, and was subject to normal operating prorations, apportionments and adjustments as provided for in the applicable purchase and sale agreement. Additionally, the cash portion of the purchase price was reduced by the \$15,720,000 principal balance of an existing first mortgage loan on the property that was assumed by the Company upon its obtaining all necessary approvals from the lender. The mortgage was recorded at its fair value pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 141. The fair value approximates the payoff value of the amounts to be paid under the obligation. The remaining \$11,800,000 balance of the purchase price was paid from available cash.

On April 18, 2006, the Operating Partnership completed the sale of 100% of its interest in Marina Mile in Fort Lauderdale, Florida. The Company's share of the proceeds from the sale of Marina Mile in the amount of \$11,073,818 were deposited in an escrow account with a qualified institution pursuant to a transaction structured to comply with a 1031 Exchange under the Tax Code. The Company reinvested the proceeds from the sale of its interests in Marina Mile in the acquisitions of Chisholm Place and Briarwood Village Apartments, which the Company completed the acquisition of on June 28, 2006 and August 30, 2006, respectively. The operating results of Marina Mile have not been presented in the consolidated statement of operations as discontinued operations in accordance with FAS 144 "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long Lived Assets", as those results were not previously reported as part of continuing operations.

On June 28, 2006, the Operating Partnership, through a newly formed and wholly owned subsidiary, BIR Chisholm Limited Partnership, consummated the acquisition of 100% of the fee simple interest of Chisholm Place Apartments, a 142 unit multifamily apartment community located in Plano, Texas, from an unaffiliated third party. The purchase price of \$9,625,000 was paid from an escrow account administered by a qualified intermediary institution in connection with the prior sale of a qualified property structured to comply with the requirements of a 1031 Exchange under the Tax Code. The purchase price was subject to normal operating pro rations and adjustments as provided for in the purchase and sale agreement. On August 1, 2006, the Company closed on \$6,953,000 of first mortgage debt at a fixed interest rate of 6.25% for ten years collateralized by the Chisholm Place property.

On August 30, 2006, the Operating Partnership, through a newly formed and wholly owned subsidiary, BIR Briarwood Limited Partnership, consummated the acquisition of 100% of the fee simple interest of Briarwood Village Apartments, a 342 unit multifamily apartment community located in Houston, Texas, from an unaffiliated third party. The purchase price of \$13,816,700 was paid by the assumption of the existing mortgage debt on the property at the time of closing, cash from the buyer, and cash from the remaining balance of an escrow account administered by a qualified intermediary institution in connection with the prior sale of a qualified property structured to comply with the requirements of a 1031 Exchange under the Tax Code. The purchase price was subject to normal operating pro rations and adjustments as provided for in the purchase and sale agreement. Pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations", the assumed mortgage was recorded at fair value, based on the present value of the amounts to be paid under the obligations. The fair market value of the debt assumed on Briarwood was \$8,958,818.

On December 6, 2006, the Operating Partnership, through a newly formed and wholly owned subsidiary, BIR Lenox, L.L.C., consummated the acquisition of 100% of the fee simple interest of The Standard at Lenox Park Apartments, a 375-unit multifamily apartment community located in the Buckhead section of Atlanta, Georgia, from an unaffiliated third party. The purchase price was \$47,100,000, and was subject to normal operating proration, apportionments and adjustments as provided for in the purchase and sale agreement. The purchase price was paid with a combination of proceeds from new first mortgage debt of \$35,000,000, which is collateralized by the property, and cash from available working capital. The loan is an unsecured first mortgage note with a fixed interest rate of 5.80% and a term of 10 years of which interest only payments are due for the first 60 months of the loan.

The net purchase price, including closing costs and acquisition fees, was allocated as follows:

	Total
Multifamily apartment communities	\$ 47,060,403
In-place leases and tenant relationships	592,687
Replacement reserve accounts	5,000,000
Escrows	76,553

Edgar Filing: BERKSHIRE INCOME REALTY INC - Form 10-K

Deferred expenses	355,185
Prepaid expenses and other assets	58,686
Deferred revenue and other liabilities	(59,894)
New first mortgage	(35,000,000)
Cash paid	\$ 18,083,620

The transaction was complete and the purchase price allocation was final as of December 31, 2007. There are no contingent payments, options or commitments outstanding associated with the acquisition. The purchase price allocation included assignment of \$592,687 to intangible assets of which \$468,050 represented acquired-in-place leases and \$124,637 represented tenant relationships.

Amortization of acquired-in-place leases is based on the specific expiration dates of the in-place leases over a period of 12 months and amortization of the tenant relationships is based on the straight line method of amortization over a 24 month period.

On March 2, 2007, the Operating Partnership, through a newly formed and wholly owned subsidiary, BIR Hampton Manager, LLC, completed the acquisition of 100% of the fee simple interest of Hampton House Apartments (“Hampton House”), a 222 unit mixed use high-rise apartment building located in Towson, Maryland, from an unaffiliated third party. The purchase price was \$20,500,000 subject to normal operating pro rations. The purchase price and related closing costs were funded through a \$20,000,000 advance from the revolving credit facility available from an affiliate and available cash. The Company obtained first mortgage financing, which is collateralized by the property, in the amount of \$20,000,000 on April 26, 2007 and subsequently used a portion of the proceeds and the 1031 Exchange net proceeds to repay the outstanding advance on the revolving credit facility. The acquisition of Hampton House is intended to be the qualified replacement property in connection with the sale of properties identified for replacement pursuant to a transaction structured to comply with the requirements of a reverse 1031 Exchange under the Tax Code. As required by the tax code, a qualified 1031 Exchange intermediary was retained to execute the Hampton House acquisition and relinquished properties transactions. As of December 31, 2007, the purchase price allocation is final and no further adjustment is contemplated.

On May 30, 2007, the Operating Partnership completed the sale of 100% of its interest in Trellis in Newport News, Virginia. The assets and liabilities related to the sale of the Trellis property have been removed from the accounts of the Company pursuant to the recording of the sale of the property. The proceeds from the sale of Trellis in the amount of \$5,256,480 were deposited in an escrow account with a qualified institution pursuant to a transaction structured to comply with a 1031 Exchange under the Tax Code. The Company reinvested the proceeds from the sale of Trellis by purchasing qualified replacement property. The operating results of Trellis have been presented in the consolidated statement of operations as discontinued operations in accordance with FAS 144 “Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long Lived Assets.”

On June 1, 2007, the Operating Partnership, through a newly and wholly owned subsidiary, BIR Sunfield, LLC, completed the acquisition of 100% of the fee simple interest of Sunfield Lakes Apartments, a 200 unit multifamily apartment community is located in the City of Sherwood, County of Washington, Oregon, from an unaffiliated third party. The purchase price was \$24,250,000 subject to normal operating pro rations. The purchase price and related closing costs were funded through a \$17,500,000 advance from the revolving credit facility available from an affiliate and available cash. On August 15, 2007, the Company closed on first mortgage financing, which is collateralized by the property, in the amount of \$19,440,000. A portion of the financing and 1031 Exchange net proceeds were used to repay the outstanding balance on the revolving credit facility. The acquisition of Sunfield Lakes is intended to be the qualified replacement property in connection with the sale of properties identified for replacement pursuant to a transaction structured to comply with the requirements of a reverse 1031 Exchange under the Tax Code. As required by the tax code, a qualified 1031 Exchange intermediary was retained to execute the Hampton House acquisition and relinquished properties transactions. As of December 31, 2007, the purchase price allocation is final and no further adjustment is contemplated.

The Company identified the Dorsey’s and Trellis as the properties it relinquished as part of the 1031 Exchange transaction. As required by the tax code, qualified 1031 Exchange intermediaries were retained to execute the Hampton House and Sunfield Lakes acquisitions, and the two relinquished properties transactions. The sale of Dorsey’s and Trellis occurred in the second quarter of 2007, and the 1031 Exchange transaction was subsequently settled on July 13, 2007.

On June 22, 2007, the Operating Partnership completed the sale of 100% of its interest in Dorsey's in Columbia, Maryland. The assets and liabilities related to the sale of the Dorsey's property have been removed from the accounts of the Company pursuant to the recording of the sale of the property. The Company's share of the proceeds from the sale of Dorsey's in the amount of \$13,137,316 were deposited in an escrow account with a qualified institution pursuant to a transaction structured to comply with a 1031 Exchange under the Tax Code. The Company reinvested its share of the proceeds from the sale of Dorsey's by purchasing qualified replacement property. The operating results of Dorsey's have been presented in the consolidated statement of operations as discontinued operations in accordance with FAS 144 "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long Lived Assets."

The Company owns parcels of land held for development at certain of its multifamily apartment communities. On November 1, 2007, the Company commenced construction on the development of vacant land adjacent to the Arboretum Place property. The development plans include the construction of five buildings, containing 143 units, and a clubhouse. The project cost is currently estimated at \$17,000,000 and is expected to be completed in late 2008.

The Company does not have any employees. Its day-to-day business is managed by Berkshire Advisor, an affiliate of KRF Company, the holder of the majority of our common stock, which has been retained pursuant to the advisory services agreement described under Part III, Item 13 – Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence. Our properties were managed by BRI OP Limited Partnership pursuant to property management agreements described under Part III, Item 13 – Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence until December 31, 2004. As of January 1, 2005, Berkshire Advisor assumed property management responsibilities under the various property management agreements.

Our principal executive offices are located at One Beacon Street, Suite 1500, Boston, Massachusetts 02108 and our telephone number at that address is (617) 523-7722.

We are required to file annual, quarterly, and current reports, and other documents with the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The public may read and copy any materials that we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. Also, the SEC maintains an Internet website that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers, including the Company, that file electronically with the SEC. The public can obtain any documents that we file with the SEC at <http://www.sec.gov>. The Company voluntarily provides, free of charge, paper or electronic copies of all filings upon request. Additionally, all filings are available free of charge on our website. Our Internet address is <http://www.berkshireincomerealty.com>.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

RISK FACTORS

The following risk factors should be read carefully in connection with evaluating our business and the forward-looking statements contained in this report and other statements we or our representatives make from time to time. Any of the following risks could materially adversely affect our business, our operating results, our financial condition and the actual outcome of matters as to which forward-looking statements are made in this report. In connection with the forward-looking statements that appear in this report, you should also carefully review the cautionary statement referred to herein under "Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements."

Risk Factors Relating to the Company

Maintenance of our Investment Company Act exemption imposes limits on our operations.

We intend to conduct our operations so as not to be required to register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940. We believe that there are exemptions under the Investment Company Act that are applicable to us. The assets that we may acquire are limited by the provisions of the Investment Company Act and the exemption on which we rely. In addition, we could, among other things, be required either to change the manner in which we conduct our operations to avoid being required to register as an investment company, or to register as an investment company. Either of these could have an adverse effect on us and the market price for our publicly traded securities. For example, one exception from the definition of an "investment company" we believe we could rely on would require us to manage our assets such that no more than 40% of our total assets (exclusive of government securities and cash) are invested in "investment securities". Generally speaking, "investment securities" are all securities except securities issued by majority-owned operating company subsidiaries and government securities. To be able to continue to rely on this exception in the event the value of our investment securities were to increase relative to our total assets, we may need to sell certain investment securities that we otherwise would not want to sell. Furthermore, we may be required to hold other non-investment security assets, such as some of our real property assets that we may otherwise want to sell, in order to avoid increasing the value of our investment securities relative to our total assets.

Certain Federal Income Tax Risks

Our failure to qualify as a REIT would result in higher taxes and reduced cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

We intend to operate in a manner to allow us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. Although we believe that we have been organized and will operate in this manner, we cannot be certain that we will be able to operate so as to qualify as a REIT under

the Tax Code, or to remain so qualified. Qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex provisions of the Code for which there are only limited judicial or administrative interpretations. The determination of various factual matters and circumstances not entirely within our control may affect our ability to qualify as a REIT. The complexity of these provisions and of the applicable income tax regulations under the Code is greater in the case of a REIT that holds its assets through a partnership, as we do. Moreover, our qualification as a REIT depends upon the qualification of certain of our investments as REITs. In addition, we cannot be certain that legislation, new regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions will not significantly change the tax laws with respect to the qualification as a REIT or the federal income tax consequences of this qualification. We are not aware of any proposal currently being considered by Congress to amend the tax laws in a manner that would materially and adversely affect our ability to operate as a REIT.

If for any taxable year we fail to qualify as a REIT, we would not be allowed a deduction for distributions to our stockholders in computing our taxable income and would be subject to federal income tax (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) on our taxable income at regular corporate rates. In addition, we would normally be disqualified from treatment as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year of losing our REIT status. This would likely result in significant increased costs to us. Any corporate tax liability could be substantial and would reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to our stockholders and for investment, which in turn could have an adverse impact on the value of, and trading prices for, our publicly traded securities.

Although we intend to operate in a manner designed to qualify as a REIT, future economic, market, legal, tax or other considerations may cause our Board and the holders of our common stock to determine that it is in the best interests of the Company and our stockholders to revoke our REIT election.

We believe that our operating partnership will be treated for federal income tax purposes as a partnership and not as a corporation or an association taxable as a corporation. If the Internal Revenue Service were to determine that our operating partnership were properly to be treated as a corporation, our operating partnership would be required to pay federal income tax at corporate rates on its net income, its partners would be treated as stockholders of the operating partnership and distributions to partners would constitute dividends that would not be deductible in computing the operating partnership's taxable income. In addition, we would fail to qualify as a REIT, with the resulting consequences described above.

REIT distribution requirements could adversely affect our liquidity.

To obtain the favorable tax treatment for REITs qualifying under the Code, we generally are required each year to distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our real estate investment trust taxable income, determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and by excluding net capital gains. We are subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax on the amount, if any, by which distributions paid by us with respect to any calendar year are less than the sum of: (1) 85% of our ordinary income for the calendar year; (2) 95% of our capital gain net income for the calendar year, unless we elect to retain and pay income tax on those gains; and (3) 100% of our undistributed amounts from prior years.

Failure to comply with these requirements would result in our income being subject to tax at regular corporate rates.

We intend to distribute our income to our stockholders in a manner intended to satisfy the distribution requirement and to avoid corporate income tax and the 4% excise tax. Differences in timing between the recognition of income and the related cash receipts or the effect of required debt amortization payments could require us to borrow money or sell assets to distribute enough of our taxable income to satisfy the distribution requirement and to avoid corporate income tax and the 4% excise tax in a given year.

Legislative or regulatory action could adversely affect holders of our securities.

In recent years, numerous legislative, judicial and administrative changes have been made to the federal income tax laws applicable to investments in REITs and similar entities. Additional changes to tax laws are likely to continue to occur in the future, and we cannot be certain that any such changes will not adversely affect the taxation of a holder of our securities.

Risk Factors Relating to Our Business

Operating risks and lack of liquidity may adversely affect our investments in real property.

Varying degrees of risk affect real property investments. The investment returns available from equity investments in real estate depend in large part on the amount of income earned and capital appreciation generated by the related properties as well as the expenses incurred. If our assets do not generate revenue sufficient to meet operating expenses, including debt service and capital expenditures, our income and ability to service our debt and other obligations could be adversely affected. Some significant expenditures associated with an investment in real estate, such as mortgage and other debt payments, real estate taxes and maintenance costs, generally are not reduced when circumstances cause a reduction in revenue from the investment. In addition, income from properties and real estate values are also affected by a variety of other factors, such as interest rate levels, governmental regulations and applicable laws and the availability of financing.

Equity real estate investments, such as ours, are relatively illiquid. This illiquidity limits our ability to vary our portfolio in response to changes in economic or other conditions. We cannot be certain that we will recognize full value for any property that we are required to sell for liquidity reasons. Our inability to respond rapidly to changes in the performance of our investments could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Our properties are subject to operating risks common to apartment ownership in general. These risks include: our ability to rent units at the properties; competition from other apartment communities; excessive building of comparable properties that might adversely affect apartment occupancy or rental rates; increases in operating costs due to inflation and other factors, which increases may not necessarily be offset by increased rents; increased affordable housing requirements that might adversely affect rental rates; inability or unwillingness of residents to pay rent increases; and future enactment of rent control laws or other laws regulating apartment housing, including present and possible future laws relating to access by disabled persons or the right to convert a property to other uses, such as condominiums or cooperatives. If operating expenses increase, the local rental market may limit the extent to which rents may be increased to meet increased expenses without decreasing occupancy rates. If any of the above were to occur, our ability to meet our debt service and other obligations could be adversely affected.

In order to achieve or enhance our desired financial results we may make investments that involve more risk than market rate core and core-plus acquisitions.

In many of the markets where we may seek to acquire multifamily apartment communities we may face significant competition from well capitalized real estate investors, including private investors, publicly traded REITs and institutional investors. This competition can result in sellers obtaining premiums on their real estate, which sometimes pushes the price beyond what we may consider to be a prudent purchase price. To mitigate these factors our sourcing strategy includes non-market/seller direct deals, bank and lender owned real estate and foreclosure auctions. Some of these acquisition strategies can involve more risk than market rate core and core-plus acquisitions, but may allow the Company to realize higher returns if the underlying assumptions are achieved. However, if the underlying assumptions are not achieved, the additional risks associated with these broader sourcing strategies could result in lower profits, or higher losses, than would be realized in market rate acquisitions.

We may renovate our properties, which could involve additional operating risks.

We expect to be working on the renovation of multifamily properties that we may acquire. We may also acquire completed multifamily properties. The renovation of real estate involves risks in addition to those involved in the ownership and operation of established multifamily properties, including the risks that specific project approvals may take longer to obtain than expected, that construction may not be completed on schedule or budget and that the properties may not achieve anticipated rent or occupancy levels.

We may not be able to pay the costs of necessary capital improvements on our properties, which could adversely affect our financial condition.

We anticipate funding any required capital improvements on our properties using cash flow from operations, cash reserves or additional financing if necessary. However, the anticipated sources of funding may not be sufficient to make the necessary improvements. If our cash flow from operations and cash reserves proves to be insufficient, we might have to fund the capital improvements by borrowing money. If we are unable to borrow money on favorable terms, or at all, we may not be able to make necessary capital improvements, which could harm our financial condition.

Our tenants-in-common or future venture partners may have interests or goals that conflict with ours, which may restrict our ability to manage some of our investments and adversely affect our results of operations.

One or more of our properties that we acquire may be owned through tenancies-in-common or by venture partnerships between us and the seller of the property, an independent third party or another investment entity sponsored by our affiliates. Our investment through tenancies-in-common or in venture partnerships that own properties may, under certain circumstances, involve risks that would not otherwise be present. For example, our tenant-in-common or venture partner may experience financial difficulties and may at any time have economic or business interests or goals that are inconsistent with our economic or business interests or our policies or goals. In addition, actions by, or litigation involving, any tenant-in-common or venture partner might subject the property owned through a tenancy-in-common or by the venture to liabilities in excess of those contemplated by the terms of the tenant-in-common or venture agreement. Also, there is a risk of impasse between the parties since generally either party may disagree with a proposed transaction involving the property owned through a tenancy-in-common or venture and impede any proposed action, including the sale or other disposition of the property.

Our inability to dispose of a property we may acquire in the future without the consent of a tenant-in-common or venture partner would increase the risk that we could be unable to dispose of the property, or dispose of it promptly, in response to economic or other conditions. The inability to respond promptly to changes in performance of the property could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

We may face significant competition and we may not compete successfully.

We may face significant competition in seeking investments including competition from our affiliates BVF and BVF II. We may be unable to acquire a desired property because of competition from other well capitalized real estate investors, such as publicly traded REIT's, institutional investors and other investors, including companies that may be affiliated with the Advisor. When we are successful in acquiring a desired property, competition from other real estate investors may significantly increase our purchase price. Some of our competitors may have greater financial and other resources than us and may have better relationships with lenders and sellers, and we may not be able to compete successfully for investments.

We plan to borrow, which may adversely affect our return on our investments and may reduce income available for distribution.

Where possible, we may seek to borrow funds to increase the rate of return on our investments and to allow us to make more investments than we otherwise could. Borrowing by us presents an element of risk if the cash flow from our properties and other investments is insufficient to meet our debt service and other obligations. A property encumbered by debt increases the risk that the property will operate at a loss and may ultimately be forfeited upon foreclosure by the lender. Loans that do not fully amortize during the term, such as "bullet" or "balloon-payment" loans, present refinancing risks. Variable rate loans increase the risk that the property may become unprofitable in adverse economic conditions. Loans that require guaranties, including full principal and interest guaranties, master leases, debt service guaranties and indemnities for liabilities such as hazardous waste, may result in significant liabilities for us.

Under our current investment policies, we may not incur indebtedness if by doing so our ratio of debt to total assets, at fair market value, exceeds 75%. However, we may reevaluate our borrowing policies from time to time, and the Board may change our investment policies without the consent of our stockholders. At December 31, 2007 and 2006, our ratio of debt to total assets, at fair value, was 61.45% and 60.59%, respectively.

Our insurance on our real estate may not cover all losses.

We carry comprehensive liability, fire, extended coverage and rental loss insurance covering all of our properties, with policy specifications and insured limits that we believe are adequate and appropriate under the circumstances. Some types of losses, such as from terrorism, are uninsurable or not insurable on economically feasible terms. In addition, many insurance carriers are excluding asbestos-related claims and most mold-related claims from standard policies, pricing asbestos and mold endorsements at prohibitively high rates or adding significant restrictions to this coverage. Because of our inability to obtain specialized coverage at rates that correspond to the perceived level of risk, we have not obtained insurance for asbestos-related claims or all mold-related risks. We have obtained a limited amount of terrorism insurance, which we determined was economically feasible, that would cover losses at any of our properties according to the policy specifications and up to the insured limit. We continue to evaluate the availability and cost of additional insurance coverage from the insurance market. If we decide in the future to purchase additional levels of coverage for terrorism, or insurance for asbestos or mold, the cost could have a negative impact on our

results of operations. If an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of insured limits occurs on a property, we could lose our capital invested in the property, as well as the anticipated future revenues from the property and, in the case of debt that is recourse to us, we would remain obligated for any mortgage debt or other financial obligations related to the property. Any loss of this nature could adversely affect us.

Additionally, the policy specifications of our insurance coverage on our properties include deductibles related to an insured loss. The deductibles applicable to an insured loss caused by “Named Storms”, as defined in the insurance policy, which are usually in the form of a hurricane, at certain of the properties we operate, are higher than deductibles for other insured losses covered by the policy. Specifically, the deductibles for “Named Storms” are based on a percentage of the insured property value with a specific minimum amount. Both the percentage and the related minimum amounts are higher than the standard policy deductibles for insured losses

caused by a “Named Storm” in certain higher risk counties of certain states, including Florida, North Carolina, Texas and Virginia and even higher amounts for insured losses caused by a “Named Storm” in the counties of Dade, Broward and Palm Beach, Florida. In addition to the higher deductibles, coverage also differs as some types of losses are specifically excluded when the cause of the loss is a “Named Storm”. Examples of items excluded from coverage include fencing and landscape items, such as trees. Losses resulting from “Named Storms” could adversely affect us.

Environmental compliance costs and liabilities with respect to our real estate may adversely affect our results of operations.

Our operating costs may be affected by our obligation to pay for the cost of complying with existing environmental laws, ordinances and regulations, as well as the cost of complying with future legislation with respect to the assets, or loans collateralized by assets, with environmental problems that materially impair the value of assets. Under various federal, state or local environmental laws, ordinances and regulations, an owner of real property may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of hazardous or toxic substances located on or in the property. These laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of the hazardous or toxic substances. The costs of any required remediation or removal of these substances may be substantial. In addition, the owner’s liability as to any property is generally not limited under these laws, ordinances and regulations and could exceed the value of the property and/or the aggregate assets of the owner. The presence of hazardous or toxic substances, or the failure to remediate properly, may also adversely affect the owner’s ability to sell or rent the property or to borrow using the property as collateral. Under these laws, ordinances and regulations, an owner or any entity who arranges for the disposal of hazardous or toxic substances, such as asbestos, at a disposal facility may also be liable for the costs of any required remediation or removal of the hazardous or toxic substances at the facility, whether or not the facility is owned or operated by the owner or entity. In connection with the ownership of any of our properties, or participation in ventures, or the disposal of hazardous or toxic substances, we may be liable for any of these costs.

Other federal, state and local laws may impose liability for the release of hazardous material, including asbestos-containing materials, into the environment, or require the removal of damaged asbestos containing materials in the event of remodeling or renovation, and third parties may seek recovery from owners of real property for personal injury associated with exposure to released asbestos-containing materials or other hazardous materials. We do not currently have insurance for asbestos-related claims.

Recently there has been an increasing number of lawsuits against owners and managers of multifamily properties alleging personal injury and property damage caused by the presence of mold in residential real estate. Some of these lawsuits have resulted in substantial monetary judgments or settlements. We do not currently have insurance for all mold-related risks. Environmental laws may also impose restrictions on the manner in which a property may be used or transferred or in which businesses may be operated, and these restrictions may require additional expenditures. In connection with the ownership of properties, we may be potentially liable for any of these costs. The cost of defending against claims of liability or remediating contaminated property and the cost of complying with environmental laws could materially adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

We have been notified of the presence of asbestos in certain structural elements in our properties, which we are addressing in accordance with various operations and maintenance plans. The asbestos operations and maintenance plans require that all structural elements that contain asbestos not be disturbed. In the event the asbestos containing elements are disturbed either through accident, such as a fire, or as a result of planned renovations at the property, those elements would require removal by a licensed contractor, who would provide for containment and disposal in an authorized landfill. The property managers of our properties have been directed to work proactively with licensed ablation contractors whenever there is any question regarding possible exposure.

We are not aware of any environmental liability relating to our properties that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our business, assets or results of operations. Nevertheless, it is possible that there are material environmental liabilities of which we are unaware with respect to our properties. Moreover, we cannot be certain that future laws, ordinances or regulations will not impose material environmental liabilities or that the current environmental condition of our properties will not be affected by residents and occupants of our properties, by the uses or condition of properties in the vicinity of our properties, such as leaking underground storage tanks, or by third parties unaffiliated with us.

Our failure to comply with various regulations affecting our properties could adversely affect our financial condition.

Various laws, ordinances, and regulations affect multifamily residential properties, including regulations relating to recreational facilities, such as activity centers and other common areas. We believe that each of our properties has all material permits and approvals to operate its business.

Our multifamily residential properties must comply with Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (the “ADA”) to the extent that such properties are public accommodations and/or commercial facilities as defined by the ADA.
Compliance with the ADA

requires removal of structural barriers to handicapped access in certain public areas of our properties where such removal is readily achievable. The ADA does not, however, consider residential properties to be public accommodations or commercial facilities, except to the extent portions of such facilities, such as a leasing office, are open to the public. We believe that our properties comply in all material respects with all current requirements under the ADA and applicable state laws. Noncompliance with the ADA could result in imposition of fines or an award of damages to private litigants. The cost of defending against any claims of liability under the ADA or the payment of any fines or damages could adversely affect our financial condition.

The Fair Housing Act (the "FHA") requires, as part of the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988, apartment communities first occupied after March 13, 1990 to be accessible to the handicapped. Noncompliance with the FHA could result in the imposition of fines or an award of damages to private litigants. We believe that our properties that are subject to the FHA are in compliance with such law. The cost of defending against any claims of liability under the FHA or the payment of any related fines or damages could adversely affect our financial condition.

We face risks associated with property acquisitions.

We intend to acquire additional properties in the future, either directly or by acquiring entities that own properties. These acquisition activities are subject to many risks. We may acquire properties or entities that are subject to liabilities or that have problems relating to environmental condition, state of title, physical condition or compliance with zoning laws, building codes, or other legal requirements. In each case, our acquisition may be without any recourse, or with only limited recourse, with respect to unknown liabilities or conditions. As a result, if any liability were asserted against us relating to those properties or entities, or if any adverse condition existed with respect to the properties or entities, we might have to pay substantial sums to settle or cure it, which could adversely affect our cash flow and operating results. However, some of these liabilities may be covered by insurance. In addition, we intend to perform customary due diligence regarding each property or entity we acquire. We also intend to obtain appropriate representations and indemnities from the sellers of the properties or entities we acquire, although it is possible that the sellers may not have the resources to satisfy their indemnification obligations if a liability arises. Unknown liabilities to third parties with respect to properties or entities acquired might include: liabilities for clean-up of undisclosed environmental contamination; claims by tenants, vendors or other persons dealing with the former owners of the properties; liabilities incurred in the ordinary course of business; and claims for indemnification by general partners, directors, officers and others indemnified by the former owners of the properties.

We may acquire multifamily apartment communities through foreclosure auctions, which limit our ability to perform due diligence.

One of our acquisition strategies seeks to acquire multifamily apartment communities through foreclosure auctions. Generally when a property is foreclosed on by a lender, there is minimal time between the announcement of foreclosure and the auction to dispose of the property and access to the property for due diligence is either severely limited or unavailable. The lack of time and access for due diligence can result in only limited knowledge of problems, including environmental issues, that are identified after the acquisition has taken place. While the Company generally includes provisions for unforeseen problems into its underwriting models, there is no assurance that these provisions will be sufficient to remediate all of the issues identified after closing. If significant issues are identified after closing, which were not provided for during the underwriting, this sourcing strategy could result in lower profits, or higher losses, than would be realized in market rate acquisitions, where full due diligence is available.

Development risks could affect available capital and operating profitability.

We intend to develop new apartment units on property that we own or may acquire in the future. These development projects are subject to many risks including governmental approvals, which we have no assurance will be obtained. We may develop properties that have problems relating to environmental conditions, compliance with zoning laws, building codes, or other legal requirements or may be subject to unknown liabilities to third parties with respect to undisclosed environmental contamination, claims by vendors or claims by other persons. The cost to construct the projects may require capital in excess of projected amounts and possibly render the economic viability of the project unfeasible. The apartment units in the completed project may command rents and occupancy rates at less than anticipated levels and result in operating expenses at higher than forecasted levels.

Risk Factors Relating to Our Management

We are dependent on Berkshire Advisor and may not find a suitable replacement at the same cost if Berkshire Advisor terminates the advisory services agreement.

We have entered into a contract with Berkshire Advisor (which we refer to as the advisory services agreement) under which Berkshire Advisor is obligated to manage our portfolio and identify investment opportunities consistent with our investment policies and

objectives, as the Board may adopt from time to time. Although the Board has continuing exclusive authority over our management, the conduct of our affairs and the management and disposition of our assets, the Board initially has delegated to Berkshire Advisor, subject to the supervision and review of our Board, the power and duty to make decisions relating to the day-to-day management and operation of our business. We generally utilize officers of Berkshire Advisor to provide our services and employ only a few individuals as our officers, none of whom are compensated by us for their services to us as our officers. We believe that our success depends to a significant extent upon the experience of Berkshire Advisor's officers, whose continued service is not guaranteed. We have no separate facilities and are completely reliant on Berkshire Advisor, which has significant discretion as to the implementation of our operating policies and strategies. We face the risk that Berkshire Advisor could terminate the advisory services agreement and we may not find a suitable replacement at the same cost with similar experience and ability. However, we believe that so long as KRF Company, which is an affiliate of Berkshire Advisor, continues to own a significant amount of our common stock, Berkshire Advisor will not terminate the advisory services agreement. Although KRF Company currently owns all of our common stock, we cannot be certain that KRF Company will continue to do so.

Our relationship with Berkshire Advisor may lead to general conflicts of interest that adversely affect the interests of holders of our Series A Preferred Stock.

Berkshire Advisor is an affiliate of KRF Company, which owns the majority of our common stock. All of our directors and executive officers, other than our three independent directors, are also officers or directors of Berkshire Advisor. As a result, our advisory services agreement with Berkshire Advisor was not negotiated at arm's-length and its terms, including the fees payable to Berkshire Advisor, may not be as favorable to us as if it had been negotiated with an unaffiliated third party. Asset management fees and acquisition fees for new investments are payable to Berkshire Advisor under the advisory services agreement regardless of the performance of our portfolio and may create conflicts of interest. Conflicts of interest also may arise in connection with any decision to renegotiate, renew or terminate our advisory services agreement. In order to mitigate these conflicts, the renegotiation, renewal or termination of the advisory services agreement requires the approval of the Audit Committee (which committee is comprised of our three directors who are independent under applicable rules and regulations of the SEC and the American Stock Exchange).

Through December 31, 2004, our property manager, an affiliate of Berkshire Advisor, in most cases provided on-site management services for our properties. Our directors who are affiliates of our property manager might be subject to conflicts of interest in their dealings with our property manager. In order to mitigate these conflicts, the renegotiation, renewal or termination of the property management agreements requires the approval of the Audit Committee (which committee is comprised of our three directors who are independent under applicable rules and regulations of the SEC and the American Stock Exchange). As of January 1, 2005, Berkshire Advisor assumed the role of property manager for our properties, under the same terms as the agreements with the prior property manager.

Berkshire Advisor and its affiliates may engage in other businesses and business ventures, including business activities relating to real estate or other investments, whether similar or dissimilar to those made by us, or may act as advisor to any other person or entity (including other REITs). The ability of Berkshire Advisor and its officers and employees to engage in these other business activities may reduce the time Berkshire Advisor spends managing us. Berkshire Advisor and its affiliates may have conflicts of interest in the allocation of management and staff time, services and functions among us and its other investment entities presently in existence or subsequently formed. However, under our advisory services agreement with Berkshire Advisor, Berkshire Advisor is required to devote sufficient resources as may be required to discharge its obligations to us under the advisory services agreement.

Our advisory services agreement with Berkshire Advisor provides that neither Berkshire Advisor nor any of its affiliates is obligated to present to us all investment opportunities that come to their attention, even if any of those opportunities might be suitable for investment by us. It is within the sole discretion of Berkshire Advisor to allocate investment opportunities to us as it deems advisable. However, it is expected that, to the extent possible, the resolution of conflicting investment opportunities between us and others will be based upon differences in investment objectives and policies, the makeup of investment portfolios, the amount of cash and financing available for investment and the length of time the funds have been available, the estimated income tax effects of the investment, policies relating to leverage and cash flow, the effect of the investment on diversification of investment portfolios and any regulatory restrictions on investment policies.

We have adopted policies to ensure that Berkshire Advisor does not enter into investments on our behalf involving its affiliates that could be less favorable to us than investments involving unaffiliated third parties. For example, any transaction between us and Berkshire Advisor or any of its affiliates requires the prior approval of the Audit Committee (which committee is comprised of our three directors who are independent under applicable rules and regulations of the SEC and the American Stock Exchange). Members of our Audit Committee are also required under our bylaws to be unaffiliated with Berkshire Advisors and its affiliates. We cannot be certain that these policies will be successful in eliminating the influence of any conflicts.

Our Board of Directors has approved investment guidelines for Berkshire Advisor, but might not approve each multifamily residential property investment decision made by Berkshire Advisor within those guidelines.

Berkshire Advisor is authorized to follow investment guidelines adopted from time to time by the Board in determining the types of assets it may decide to recommend to the Board as proper investments for us. The Board periodically reviews our investment guidelines and our investment portfolio. In conducting periodic reviews, the Board relies primarily on information provided by Berkshire Advisor. However, Berkshire Advisor may make investments in multifamily residential property on our behalf within the Board approved guidelines without the approval of the Board.

We may change our investment strategy without stockholder consent, which could result in our making different and potentially riskier investments.

We may change our investment strategy at any time without the consent of our stockholders, which could result in our making investments that are different from, and possibly riskier than, our initial plan to primarily acquire, own and operate multifamily residential properties. In addition, the methods of implementing our investment policies may vary as new investment techniques are developed. A change in our investment strategy may increase our exposure to interest rate and real estate market fluctuations.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

A summary of the multifamily apartment communities in which the Company had an interest as of December 31, 2007 is presented below. Schedule III included in Item 15 to this report contains additional detailed information with respect to individual properties consolidated by the Company in the financial statements contained herein and is incorporated by reference herein.

Description	Location	Year Acquired	Total Units	Ownership Interest	2007 Occupancy (1)
Century Berkshires of Columbia (formerly Hannibal Grove)	Cockeysville, Maryland	1984	468	75.82%	96.51%
Seasons of Laurel	Laurel, Maryland	1985	1,088	100.00%	90.99%
Walden Pond/Gables	Houston, Texas	1983/2003	556	100.00%	92.65%
St. Marin/Karrington	Coppell, Texas	2003	600	100.00%	96.03%
Laurel Woods	Austin, Texas	2004	150	100.00%	96.88%
Bear Creek	Dallas, Texas	2004	152		