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## Part I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

FRED'S, INC.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except for number of shares)
$\left.\begin{array}{lcc} & \begin{array}{l}\text { July 28, } \\ 2012\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { January } \\ \text { (unaudited) }\end{array} \\ 2012\end{array}\right)$

LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EOUITY
Current liabilities:
$\begin{array}{lcc}\text { Accounts payable } & \$ 103,105 & \$ 106,886 \\ \text { Current portion of indebtedness } & 1,614 & 658\end{array}$
Accrued expenses and other $\quad 44,719 \quad 44,876$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Deferred income taxes } & 24,374 \quad 23,878\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Total current liabilities } & 173,812 \\ 176,298\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Long-term portion of indebtedness } & 5,509 & 6,640\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Deferred income taxes } & 6,298 & 5,633\end{array}$
Other noncurrent liabilities $\quad 15,746 \quad 19,799$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Total liabilities } & \text { 201,365 } \\ \text { 208,370 }\end{array}$
Commitments and Contingencies
Shareholders' equity:

## Edgar Filing: FREDS INC - Form 10-Q

| Preferred stock, nonvoting, no par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized, none outstanding |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Preferred stock, Series A junior participating nonvoting, no par value, 224,594 shares |  |  |
| authorized, none outstanding | - | - |
| Common stock, Class A voting, no par value, $60,000,000$ shares authorized, $36,615,778$ and | - | - |
| $37,203,794$ shares issued and outstanding, respectively | 97,948 | 105,384 |
| Common stock, Class B nonvoting, no par value, 11,500,000 shares authorized, none | - | - |
| outstanding | 329,393 | 317,364 |
| Retained earnings | 864 | 864 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 428,205 | 423,612 |
| Total shareholders' equity | $\$ 629,570$ | $\$ 631,982$ |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.
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FRED'S, INC.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

## (unaudited)

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

Net sales
Cost of goods sold
Gross profit
Depreciation and amortization
Selling, general and administrative expenses
Operating income

| Interest income | - | $(58$ | $)$ | $(115$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Interest expense | 136 | 151 | 273 | 278 |
| Income before income taxes | 3,230 | 8,175 | 20,194 | 23,228 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Provision for income taxes | $(2,824$ | 3,089 | 3,682 | 8,628 |
| Net income | $\$ 6,054$ | $\$ 5,086$ | $\$ 16,512$ | $\$ 14,600$ |

Net income per share
Basic

| Thirteen Weeks |  | Twenty-Six Weeks |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ended |  | Ended |  |
| July 28, | July 30, | July 28, | July 30, |
| 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| \$ 470,816 | \$452,690 | \$971,321 | \$937,089 |
| 339,058 | 325,759 | 691,721 | 672,216 |
| 131,758 | 126,931 | 279,600 | 264,873 |
| 9,474 | 8,076 | 18,838 | 15,849 |
| 118,918 | 110,587 | 240,295 | 225,633 |
| 3,366 | 8,268 | 20,467 | 23,391 |
| - | (58 |  | (115 |
| 136 | 151 | 273 | 278 |
| 3,230 | 8,175 | 20,194 | 23,228 |
| (2,824 | ) 3,089 | 3,682 | 8,628 |
| \$ 6,054 | \$5,086 | \$16,512 | \$14,600 |

Diluted
Weighted average shares outstanding
Basic
Effect of dilutive stock options
Diluted
Dividends per common share

| $\$ 0.17$ | $\$ 0.13$ | $\$ 0.45$ | $\$ 0.37$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{llll}\$ 0.17 & \$ 0.13 & \$ 0.45 & \$ 0.37\end{array}$

| 36,493 | 39,134 | 36,738 | 39,118 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 128 | 113 | 133 | 104 |
| 36,621 | 39,247 | 36,871 | 39,222 |

FRED'S, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
(unaudited)

## (in thousands, except per share amounts)

|  | Thirteen Weeks Ended |  | Twenty-Six Weeks Ended |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July 28, 2012 | July 30, 2011 | July 28, $2012$ | July 30, $2011$ |
| Net income | \$6,054 | \$5,086 | \$ 16,512 | \$14,600 |
| Other comprehensive income (expense), net of tax postretirement plan adjustment | - | - | - | - |
| Comprehensive income | \$6,054 | \$5,086 | \$16,512 | \$14,600 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.
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## FRED'S, INC.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## (unaudited)

(in thousands)

|  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Twenty Six Weeks } \\ \text { Ended }\end{array}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { July 28, }\end{array}$ | July 30, |
|  | 2012 | 2011 |
|  |  |  |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | $\$ 16,512$ | $\$ 14,600$ |
| Net income |  |  |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flows from operating activities: | 18,838 | 15,903 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 696 | 253 |
| Net loss on asset disposition | $(43$ | 185 |
| Provision for store closures and asset impairment | 1,066 | 897 |
| Stock-based compensation | 448 | 35 |
| Provision for uncollectible receivables | 1,809 | 1,092 |
| LIFO reserve increase | $(24$ | 627 |
| Deferred income tax expense | 61 | 15 |
| Income tax benefit upon exercise of stock options | 3,446 | $(266$ |$)$


| Net cash used in financing activities | $(13,163)$ | $(9,805)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | $(4,007)$ | $(2,382)$ |
| Cash and cash equivalents: | 27,130 | 49,181 |
| Beginning of year | $\$ 23,123$ | $\$ 46,799$ |
| End of period |  |  |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: | $\$ 273$ | $\$ 163$ |
| Interest paid | $\$ 8,051$ | $\$ 7,210$ |
| Income taxes paid |  |  |
| Non-cash investing and financial activities: | $\$-$ | $\$ 3,497$ |
| Assets acquired through term loan | $\$-$ | $\$ 135$ |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.
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## FRED'S, INC.

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## (unaudited)

## NOTE 1: BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Fred's, Inc. and subsidiaries ("We", "Our", "Us" or "Company") operates, as of July 28, 2012, 698 discount general merchandise stores, including 21 franchised Fred's stores, in 15 states in the southeastern United States. 332 of the stores have full service pharmacies.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") for interim financial information and are presented in accordance with the requirements of Form 10-Q and therefore do not include all information and notes necessary for a fair presentation of financial position, results of operations and cash flows in conformity with GAAP. The statements reflect all adjustments (consisting of only normal recurring accruals) which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of financial position in conformity with GAAP. The statements should be read in conjunction with the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the fiscal year ended January 28, 2012 incorporated into Our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The results of operations for the thirteen week and twenty-six week periods ended July 28, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full fiscal year.

## NOTE 2: INVENTORIES

Merchandise inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market using the retail first-in, first-out (FIFO) method for goods in our stores and the cost first-in, first-out (FIFO) method for goods in our distribution centers. The retail inventory method is a reverse mark-up, averaging method which has been widely used in the retail industry for many years. This method calculates a cost-to-retail ratio that is applied to the retail value of inventory to determine the cost value of inventory and the resulting cost of goods sold and gross margin. The assumption that the retail inventory method provides for valuation at lower of cost or market and the inherent uncertainties therein are discussed in the following paragraphs. In order to assure valuation at the lower of cost or market, the retail value of our inventory is adjusted on a consistent basis to reflect current market conditions. These adjustments include increases to the retail value of inventory for initial markups to set the selling price of goods or additional markups to adjust pricing for inflation and decreases to the retail value of inventory for markdowns associated with promotional, seasonal or other
declines in the market value. Because these adjustments are made on a consistent basis and are based on current prevailing market conditions, they approximate the carrying value of the inventory at net realizable value (market value). Therefore, after applying the cost to retail ratio, the cost value of our inventory is stated at the lower of cost or market as is prescribed by U.S. GAAP.

Because the approximation of net realizable value (market value) under the retail inventory method is based on estimates such as markups, markdowns and inventory losses (shrink), there exists an inherent uncertainty in the final determination of inventory cost and gross margin. In order to mitigate that uncertainty, the Company has a formal review by product class which considers such variables as current market trends, seasonality, weather patterns and age of merchandise to ensure that markdowns are taken currently, or a markdown reserve is established to cover future anticipated markdowns. This review also considers current pricing trends and inflation to ensure that markups are taken if necessary. The estimation of inventory losses (shrink) is a significant element in approximating the carrying value of inventory at net realizable value, and as such the following paragraph describes our estimation method as well as the steps we take to mitigate the risk of this estimate in the determination of the cost value of inventory.

The Company calculates inventory losses (shrink) based on actual inventory losses occurring as a result of physical inventory counts during each fiscal period and estimated inventory losses occurring between yearly physical inventory counts. The estimate for shrink occurring in the interim period between physical counts is calculated on a store-specific basis and is based on history, as well as performance on the most recent physical count. It is calculated by multiplying each store's shrink rate, which is based on the previously mentioned factors, by the interim period's sales for each store. Additionally, the overall estimate for shrink is adjusted at the corporate level to a three-year historical average to ensure that the overall shrink estimate is the most accurate approximation of shrink based on the Company's overall history of shrink. The three-year historical estimate is calculated by dividing the "book to physical" inventory adjustments for the trailing 36 months by the related sales for the same period. In order to reduce the uncertainty inherent in the shrink calculation, the Company first performs the calculation at the lowest practical level (by store) using the most current performance indicators. This ensures a more reliable number, as opposed to using a higher level aggregation or percentage method. The second portion of the calculation ensures that the extreme negative or positive performance of any particular store or group of stores does not skew the overall estimation of shrink. This portion of the calculation removes additional uncertainty by eliminating short-term peaks and valleys that could otherwise cause the underlying carrying cost of inventory to fluctuate unnecessarily. Management believes that the Company's retail inventory method provides an inventory valuation which reasonably approximates cost and results in carrying inventory at the lower of cost or market.

For pharmacy inventories, which were approximately $\$ 33.9$ million and $\$ 40.4$ million at July 28, 2012 and January 28,2012 , respectively, cost was determined using the retail LIFO (last-in, first-out) method in which inventory cost is maintained using the retail inventory method, then adjusted by application of the Producer Price Index published by the U.S. Department of Labor for the cumulative annual periods. The current cost of inventories exceeded the LIFO cost by approximately $\$ 28.6$ million at July 28, 2012 and $\$ 26.8$ million at January 28, 2012.

The Company has historically included an estimate of inbound freight and certain general and administrative costs in merchandise inventory as prescribed by GAAP. These costs include activities surrounding the procurement and storage of merchandise inventory such as merchandise planning and buying, warehousing, accounting, information technology and human resources, as well as inbound freight. The total amount of procurement and storage costs and inbound freight included in merchandise inventory at July 28, 2012 is $\$ 21.0$ million, with the corresponding amount of $\$ 20.3$ million at January 28, 2012.

## NOTE 3: STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company accounts for its stock-based compensation plans in accordance with FASB ASC 718 "Compensation Stock Compensation". Under FASB ASC 718, stock-based compensation expense is based on awards ultimately expected to vest, and therefore has been reduced for estimated forfeitures. Forfeitures are estimated at the time of grant based on the Company's historical forfeiture experience and will be revised in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates.

FASB ASC 718 also requires the benefits of income tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation cost to be reported as a financing cash flow, rather than as an operating cash flow as required prior to FASB ASC 718. A summary of the Company's stock-based compensation (a component of selling and general and administrative expenses) and related income tax benefit is as follows (in thousands):

|  | Thirteen <br> Weeks <br> Ended | Twenty-Six <br> Weeks Ended |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | July July | July | July |  |
|  | 28, | 30 | 28, | 30, |
|  | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Stock option expense | $\$ 77$ | $\$ 82$ | $\$ 168$ | $\$ 251$ |
| Restricted stock expense | 435 | 330 | 808 | 559 |
| ESPP expense | 45 | 43 | 90 | 87 |
| Total stock-based compensation | $\$ 557$ | $\$ 455$ | $\$ 1,066$ | $\$ 897$ |

Income tax benefit on stock-based compensation $\begin{array}{lllll}\$ 162 & \$ 134 & \$ 304 & \$ 231\end{array}$
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The fair value of each option granted during the thirteen and twenty-six week periods ended July 28, 2012 and July 30 , 2011, respectively, are estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions:

|  | Thirteen Weeks Ended |  |  |  |  | Twenty-Six Weeks Ended |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July 28, } \\ & 2012^{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | July 30, 2011 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } 28, \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | July 30, 2011 |  |  |
| Stock Options |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expected volatility | - |  |  | 40.5 | \% |  | 42.1 | \% |  | 41.7 | \% |
| Risk-free interest rate | - |  |  | 2.5 | \% |  | 3.5 | \% |  | 2.1 | \% |
| Expected option life (in years) | - |  |  | 5.84 |  |  | 5.78 |  |  | 4.67 |  |
| Expected dividend yield | - |  |  | 0.86 | \% |  | 0.50 | \% |  | 0.93 | \% |
| Weighted average fair value at grant date | - |  | \$ | 5.29 |  |  | \$ 5.03 |  | \$ | 4.60 |  |
| Employee Stock Purchase Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expected volatility | 39.2 | \% |  | 21.8 | \% |  | 34.0 | \% |  | 21.5 | \% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 0.1 | \% |  | 0.3 | \% |  | 0.1 | \% |  | 0.3 | \% |
| Expected option life (in years) | 0.50 |  |  | 0.50 |  |  | 0.38 |  |  | 0.38 |  |
| Expected dividend yield | 0.78 | \% |  | 0.68 |  |  | 0.59 | \% |  | 0.51 | \% |
| Weighted average fair value at grant date | \$ 3.74 |  |  | 2.94 |  |  | \$ 3.37 |  | \$ | 2.81 |  |

1) There were no stock option grants during the second quarter of 2012.

The following is a summary of the methodology applied to develop each assumption:

Expected Volatility - This is a measure of the amount by which a price has fluctuated or is expected to fluctuate. The Company uses actual historical changes in the market value of our stock to calculate expected price volatility because management believes that this is the best indicator of future volatility. The Company calculates weekly market value changes from the date of grant over a past period representative of the expected life of the options to determine volatility. An increase in the expected volatility will increase compensation expense.

Risk-free Interest Rate - This is the yield of a U.S. Treasury zero-coupon bond issue effective at the grant date with a remaining term equal to the expected life of the option. An increase in the risk-free interest rate will increase compensation expense.

Expected Lives - This is the period of time over which the options granted are expected to remain outstanding and is based on historical experience. Options granted have a maximum term of seven and one-half years. An increase in the expected life will increase compensation expense.

Dividend Yield - This is based on the historical yield for a period equivalent to the expected life of the option. An increase in the dividend yield will decrease compensation expense.

Forfeiture Rate - This is the estimated percentage of options granted that are expected to be forfeited or cancelled before becoming fully vested. This estimate is based on historical experience. An increase in the forfeiture rate will decrease compensation expense.

## Employee Stock Purchase Plan

The 2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the "2004 Plan"), which was approved by Fred's stockholders, permits eligible employees to purchase shares of our common stock through payroll deductions at the lower of $85 \%$ of the fair market value of the stock at the time of grant or $85 \%$ of the fair market value at the time of exercise. There were 24,863 shares issued during the twenty-six weeks ended July 28, 2012. There are $1,410,928$ shares approved to be issued under the 2004 Plan and as of July 28, 2012, there were 949,444 shares available.

## Stock Options

The following table summarizes stock option activity during the twenty-six weeks ended July 28, 2012:

|  | Options | Weighted <br> Average <br> Exercise Price | Weighted Average <br> Remaining <br> Contractual Life <br> (Years) | Aggregate <br> Intrinsic <br> Value <br> (Thousands) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outstanding at January 28, 2012 | 795,376 | \$ 11.52 | 3.0 | \$ 2,831 |
| Granted | 12,669 | \$ 14.78 |  |  |
| Forfeited / Cancelled | $(22,100)$ | \$ 14.79 |  |  |
| Exercised | (44,950 ) | \$ 13.18 |  |  |
| Outstanding at July 28, 2012 | 740,995 | \$ 11.37 | 2.8 | \$ 2,414 |
| Exercisable at July 28, 2012 | 504,987 | \$ 11.37 | 2.2 | \$ 1,647 |

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value (the difference between Fred's closing stock price on the last trading day of the period ended July 28, 2012 and the exercise price of the option multiplied by the number of in-the-money options) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on that date. As of July 28, 2012, total unrecognized stock-based compensation expense net of estimated forfeitures related to non-vested stock options was approximately $\$ 337.6$ thousand, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 3.30 years. The total fair value of options vested during the twenty-six weeks ended July 28, 2012 was $\$ 239.2$ thousand.

## Restricted Stock

The following table summarizes restricted stock activity during the twenty-six weeks ended July 28, 2012:

|  | Weighted Average <br> Grant Date Fair |
| :--- | :--- |
| Number of Shares | Value |


| Non-vested Restricted Stock at January 28, 2012 | 711,600 | $\$$ | 12.56 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Granted | 33,881 | $\$$ | 12.53 |
| Forfeited / Cancelled | $(30,065$ | $) \$$ | 12.42 |
| Vested | $(59,890$ | $) \$$ | 13.51 |

The aggregate pre-tax intrinsic value of restricted stock outstanding as of July 28, 2012 is $\$ 9.6$ million with a weighted average remaining contractual life of 5.0 years. The unrecognized compensation expense net of estimated forfeitures, related to the outstanding stock is approximately $\$ 3.80$ million, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 6.3 years. The total fair value of restricted stock awards that vested during the twenty-six weeks ended July 28, 2012 was $\$ 781.9$ thousand.

## NOTE 4: PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and Equipment are carried at cost. Depreciation is recorded using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Improvements to leased premises are amortized using the straight-line method over the shorter of the initial term of the lease or the useful life of the improvement. Leasehold improvements added late in the lease term are amortized over the shorter of the remaining term of the lease (including the upcoming renewal option, if the renewal is reasonably assured) or the useful life of the improvement. Assets under capital leases are amortized in accordance with the Company's normal depreciation policy for owned assets or over the lease term (regardless of renewal options), if shorter, and the charge to earnings is included in depreciation expense in the consolidated financial statements. Gains or losses on the sale of assets are recorded as a component of operating income.

The following illustrates the breakdown of the major categories within Property and Equipment (in thousands):

July 28, 2012 January 28, 2012
Property and equipment, at cost:

| Buildings and building improvements | $\$ 112,855$ | $\$ 112,535$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Leasehold improvements | 71,183 | 70,509 |
| Automobiles and vehicles | 5,208 | 4,900 |
| Airplane | 4,697 | 4,697 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 256,762 | 250,592 |
|  | 450,705 | 443,233 |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization | $(300,977$ | $(290,001$ |
|  | 149,728 | 153,232 |
| Construction in progress | 40 | 23 |
| Land | 8,604 | 7,857 |
| Total Property and equipment, at depreciated cost | $\$ 158,372$ | $\$ 161,112$ |

## NOTE 5: EXIT AND DISPOSAL ACTIVITIES

Lease Termination

A lease obligation still exists for some store closures that occurred in 2008. We record the estimated future liability associated with the rental obligation on the cease use date (when the stores were closed). The lease obligations are established at the cease use date for the present value of any remaining operating lease obligations, net of estimated sublease income, and at the communication date for severance and other exit costs, as prescribed by FASB ASC 420, "Exit or Disposal Cost Obligations". Key assumptions in calculating the liability include the timeframe expected to terminate lease agreements, estimates related to the sublease potential of closed locations, and estimates of other related exit costs. If actual timing and potential termination costs or realization of sublease income differ from our estimates, the resulting liabilities could vary from recorded amounts. These liabilities are reviewed periodically and adjusted when necessary.

During the first half of fiscal 2012, we incurred less than $\$ 0.1$ million in rent expense related to the revision of the estimated amount of the remaining lease liability for the fiscal 2008 store closures. We utilized $\$ 0.1$ million, leaving $\$ 0.2$ million in the reserve at July 28, 2012.

The following table illustrates the exit and disposal activity related to the store closures discussed in the previous paragraph (in millions):

|  | Balance at <br> January 28, 2012 | Additions |  | Ending Balance |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lease contract termination liability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S | 0.3 | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | 0.1 | $\$$ | 0.2 |

## NOTE 6: ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Comprehensive income consists of two components, net income and other comprehensive income (loss). Other comprehensive income (loss) refers to gains and losses that under GAAP are recorded as an element of shareholders' equity but are excluded from net income. The Company's accumulated other comprehensive income includes the unrecognized prior service costs, transition obligations and actuarial gains/losses associated with our postretirement benefit plan.

The following table illustrates the activity in accumulated other comprehensive income:

|  | Thirteen Weeks Ended <br> July 28, |  | Year Ended |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (in thousands) | 2012 | July 30, 2011 | January 28, 2012 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | $\$ 864$ | $\$ 872$ | $\$$ | 872 |
| Amortization of postretirement benefit <br> Ending balance | - | - |  |  |

## NOTE 7: RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Atlantic Retail Investors, LLC, which is partially owned by Michael J. Hayes, a director of the Company and Chairman of the Board, owns the land and buildings occupied by three Fred's stores. The terms and conditions regarding the leases on these locations were consistent in all material respects with other stores leases of the Company with unrelated landlords. The total rental payments related to related party leases were $\$ 150.5$ thousand and $\$ 276.3$ thousand for the twenty six weeks ended July 28, 2012 and July 30, 2011, respectively.

## Item 2:

# Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial 

## Condition and Results of Operations

## GENERAL

## Executive Overview

Fred's, Inc. and subsidiaries ("We", "Our", "Us" or "Company") operates, as of July 28, 2012, 698 discount general merchandise stores, including 21 franchised Fred's stores, in 15 states in the southeastern United States. 332 of the stores have full service pharmacies.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2012, our earnings per diluted share increased $31 \%$ to $\$ 0.17$ as compared to $\$ 0.13$ during the second quarter of 2011. Net income during the second quarter increased $19 \%$ to $\$ 6.1$ million over the same quarter of 2011. The second quarter performance includes the resolution of a long-standing tax matter with the state of Tennessee which drove most of the $\$ 4.0$ million in favorable tax credits or $\$ 0.11$ per diluted share.

During the second quarter of 2012, our comparable store sales decreased $1.0 \%$, primarily attributed to the impact of the continuing macroeconomic pressures being experienced in the Southeast. Additionally, we continue to experience double-digit comparable sales decreases in our tobacco category. To address this negative trend, we have finalized an agreement with a major supplier which will give Fred's more aggressive promotion capabilities in addition to new tobacco in-store signage which is currently rolling out to our stores. Also, we will add additional resources and capital to focus on driving more traffic into our store during the remainder of the year.

In addition to the strong net income and earnings per share results, we continued to leverage our pharmacy advantage and other strategic initiatives. Our key initiatives include improving store productivity through the Core 5 Program, finding ways to help our financially challenged customer, building customer loyalty and focusing on initiatives aimed at driving operating margin improvement.

Our pharmacy department is one of our Core 5 categories and is a key differentiating factor from other small-box discount retailers. Accelerating pharmacy growth is a key strategy at Fred's. We aggressively pursue opportunities to acquire independent pharmacies within our targeted markets. Our emphasis is on opening a majority of our stores with
pharmacies. Through the first half of 2012, eight new pharmacies were opened, and one pharmacy was closed in existing locations, totaling 332 pharmacy locations at quarter end. This emphasis in growth was a major factor in the pharmacy department sales increase as a percentage of sales of 150 basis points to $35.7 \%$ from $34.2 \%$. Comparable script growth increased $3.2 \%$ and overall scripts increased $9.3 \%$ during the quarter. During the second quarter, our pharmacy department continued to experience sales pressure from the large brand to generic drug conversions that have occurred thus far in 2012, as well as challenges in third-party reimbursements.

Launched in 2010, the Core 5 Program is our long-term strategy designed to highlight key categories within our stores that differentiate us from our competition. The Core 5 categories are Pet, Household Supplies, Celebration, Home and Pharmacy and are strong trip driving departments in which Fred's has a clear and marketable advantage versus small box competitors. Through the second quarter of 2012, we have remodeled 61 stores with the Core 5 layout, bringing the total completed stores to 474 or $68 \%$ of our locations. We continue to see improvement in stores that have been reformatted with the Core 5 layout, especially in the Pet, Household Supplies and Pharmacy Departments.

Our markets, primarily southeastern U.S. rural towns, have been hard hit by high unemployment, fuel price increases and inflation. To help our financially challenged customer, we have focused our merchandising and marketing teams on key initiatives such as adding new value priced items, introducing new financial services and the expansion of Fred's® brand products. We will continue expanding these programs over the remainder of the year.

Our fred's® brand initiative continues to be a key strategy for the Company in terms of building customer loyalty and increasing gross margin. As of July 28, 2012, our fred's® brand penetration rate was $19.7 \%$ of consumable product sales, which is up 130 basis points for the year. Our commitment to quality in our fred's® brand products is resonating with our customers, and they continue to make the switch to our fred's ${ }^{\circledR}$ brand. We are continuing to add new products to our own brand line on an ongoing basis and have seen significant penetration in our fred's® branded paper, pet and automotive categories. The launch of the Fred's loyalty card, called smartcard ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$, during the second quarter rewards customers for qualifying purchases, primarily purchases of fred's® brand products. Through the second quarter, we had approximately 800,000 activated cards with approximately $25 \%$ of those customers with enrolled accounts. The information gained from the usage of the smartcard ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ will be used to grow our loyal customer base and to direct the use of promotional funds towards those customers.

In 2012, we are continuing the focus on improving operating performance primarily through initiatives to increase gross margin. The margin drivers are expected to be improving the sales mix through new product introductions in our home categories, expanding global sourcing resources to increase higher margin import purchases, the expansion of price optimization technology, managing promotional markdowns, shrink improvement and increasing volume rebates. During the second quarter, however, our operating margin declined 90 basis points from the same quarter last year. The shortfall resulted from the deleveraging of selling, general and administrative expenses, primarily attributed to higher labor, insurance, and depreciation and amortization expenses.

Over the remainder of 2012, we intend to continue with capital improvements in infrastructure, including new stores and pharmacies, distribution center upgrades and further development of our information technology capabilities. In 2012, the Company expects to open between 25 and 30 new stores and the same number of pharmacies. Technology upgrades will be made in the areas of corporate software and hardware, RF gun replacements and pharmacy server upgrades.

As previously published in our second quarter press release filed August 23, 2012, the Company expects total sales in the third quarter to increase in the range of $2 \%$ to $4 \%$. Comparable store sales are expected to decrease in the range of $(1 \%)$ and (3\%). Over the remainder of 2012, Fred's anticipates that ongoing brand to generic drug conversions, as well as the high unemployment rate in the Southeast and reduced unemployment benefits, will continue to negatively affect comparable store sales. Third quarter 2012 earnings per diluted share are forecasted to be in the range of $\$ 0.22$ to $\$ 0.26$ compared with earnings per diluted share of $\$ 0.24$ in the same period last year. Based on actual results for the first half of 2012 and this outlook, the Company now expects total earnings per diluted share for 2012 to be in the range of $\$ 0.97$ to $\$ 1.04$.

Key factors that will be critical to the Company's future success include the successful performance of our Core 5 program, as well as managing the strategy for opening new stores and pharmacies. The successful opening of new stores and pharmacies includes the ability to open and operate efficiently, maintaining high standards of customer service, maximizing efficiencies in the supply chain, controlling working capital needs through improved inventory turnover, controlling the effects of inflation or deflation, controlling product mix, increasing operating margin through improved gross margin and leveraging operating costs and generating adequate cash flow to fund the Company's future needs.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The Company's discussion and analysis of its financial condition and results of operations are based upon the Company's condensed financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The critical accounting matters that are particularly important to the portrayal of the Company's financial condition and results of operations, and require some of management's most difficult, subjective and complex judgments, are described in detail in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the
fiscal year ended January 28, 2012. The preparation of condensed financial statements requires the Company to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, the Company evaluates its estimates, including those related to inventories, income taxes, insurance reserves, contingencies and litigation. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## Thirteen Weeks Ended July 28, 2012 and July 30, 2011

## Sales

Net sales for the second quarter of 2012 increased to $\$ 470.8$ million from $\$ 452.7$ million in 2011, a year-over-year increase of $\$ 18.1$ million or $4.0 \%$. On a comparable store basis, sales decreased $1.0 \%$ ( $\$ 4.3$ million) compared with a $0.4 \%$ ( $\$ 2.1$ million) decrease in the same period last year.

General merchandise (non-pharmacy) sales increased $2.5 \%$ to $\$ 294.2$ million from $\$ 287.2$ million in 2011. We experienced sales increases in categories such as pet, food, beverage, housewares and health aids, which were partially offset by sales decreases in tobacco, ladies apparel and accessories, electronics and home furnishings.

The Company's pharmacy department sales were $35.7 \%$ of total sales ( $\$ 168.2$ million) in 2012 compared to $34.7 \%$ of total sales ( $\$ 156.9$ million) in the prior year and continue to rank as the largest department within the Company. The total sales in this department increased $7.2 \%$ over 2011 with third party prescription sales representing approximately $91 \%$ of total pharmacy sales, the same as in the prior year. The Company's pharmacy department continues to benefit from an ongoing program of purchasing prescription files from independent pharmacies as well as the addition of pharmacy departments in existing store locations.

There were 21 franchised locations as of July 28, 2012 as compared to 22 at July 30, 2011. Sales to these 21 franchised locations during 2012 were $\$ 8.4$ million ( $1.8 \%$ of sales) compared to $\$ 8.6$ million ( $1.9 \%$ of sales) in 2011. The Company does not intend to expand its franchise network.

The following table illustrates the sales mix unadjusted for deferred layaway sales:

|  | Thirteen Weeks Ended <br> July 28, |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 |  | July 30, 2011 |  |

For the quarter, comparable store customer traffic decreased $2.5 \%$ over last year while the average customer ticket increased $1.5 \%$ to $\$ 19.93$.

## Gross Profit

Gross profit for the quarter increased to $\$ 131.8$ million in 2012 from $\$ 126.9$ million in 2011, a year-over-year increase of $\$ 4.9$ million or $3.8 \%$. Gross margin, measured as a percentage of sales was $28.0 \%$ in 2012 , unchanged from the same quarter last year. In the quarter, increases in pharmacy department gross margins were offset by increases in general merchandise markdowns and shrink.

## Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses, including depreciation and amortization, increased to $\$ 128.4$ million in 2012 ( $27.3 \%$ of sales) from $\$ 118.7$ million in 2011 ( $26.2 \%$ of sales). The 110 basis points deleveraging resulted from increased labor expense of $\$ 4.0$ million ( 36 basis points), $\$ 1.4$ million in higher depreciation and amortization as a result of the Company's capital investment in areas such as store growth and pharmacy acquisitions ( 23 basis points), $\$ 1.5$ million in additional property rental ( 21 basis points) and $\$ 1.3$ million for increased employee medical benefits cost (20 basis points).

## Operating Income

Operating income decreased to $\$ 3.4$ million in 2012 ( $0.7 \%$ of sales) from $\$ 8.3$ million in 2011 ( $1.8 \%$ of sales). The year-over-year decline in operating income is attributable to the $\$ 9.7$ million increase in selling, general and administrative expenses as detailed in the Selling, General and Administrative Expenses section above and was partially offset by the $\$ 4.9$ million increase in gross profit which was driven by higher pharmacy department gross margins.

## Interest Expense, Net

Net interest expense for the second quarter totaled $\$ 0.1$ million or less than $0.1 \%$ of sales compared to $\$ 0.1$ million, which was also less than $0.1 \%$ of sales in the second quarter of 2011.

## Income Taxes

During the second quarter, income tax expense was favorably impacted by $\$ 4.0$ million, or $\$ 0.11$ per diluted share, related to a state income tax settlement of $\$ 3.6$ million and $\$ 0.4$ million of other tax related assumptions and estimates. Excluding the impact of these favorable tax credits, the effective income tax rate for the quarter was $36.8 \%$ in 2012 compared to $37.8 \%$ in 2011. The federal Work Opportunity Tax Credits expired at the end of 2011 but are expected to be reinstated later in the fiscal year.

## Net Income

Net income increased to $\$ 6.1$ million ( $\$ .17$ per diluted share) in 2012 from $\$ 5.1$ million ( $\$ .13$ per diluted share) in 2011. The increase in net income was due to the $\$ 4.9$ million increase in gross profit as a result of higher pharmacy department margins and $\$ 5.9$ million in reduced tax expense primarily due to $\$ 4.0$ million in favorable tax credits as the result of a state income tax settlement and other tax-related assumptions and estimates. This favorability was offset by a $\$ 9.7$ million increase in selling, general and administrative expenses as described in the Selling, General and Administrative Expenses section above.

# Twenty-Six Weeks Ended July 28, 2012 and July 30, 2011 

## Sales

Net sales for the first half of 2012 increased to $\$ 971.3$ million from $\$ 937.1$ million in 2011, a year-over-year increase of $\$ 34.2$ million or $3.7 \%$. On a comparable store basis, sales decreased $0.5 \%$ ( $\$ 6.2$ million) compared with a $0.4 \%$ ( $\$ 3.7$ million) increase in the same period last year.

General merchandise (non-pharmacy) sales increase resulted primarily from categories such as food, pet, beverage and health aids, which were partially offset by sales decreases in tobacco, electronics, home furnishings and lawn and garden.

The Company's pharmacy department sales were $35.7 \%$ of total sales ( $\$ 347.6$ million) in 2012 compared to $34.2 \%$ of total sales ( $\$ 320.3$ million) in the prior year and continue to rank as the largest department within the Company. The total sales in this department increased $8.5 \%$ over 2011 with third party prescription sales representing approximately $91 \%$ of total pharmacy sales, the same as in the prior year. The Company's pharmacy department continues to benefit from an ongoing program of purchasing prescription files from independent pharmacies as well as the addition of pharmacy departments in existing store locations.

During the first half of 2012, there were no changes to franchised locations leaving 21 franchised locations as of July 28, 2012 as compared to 22 franchised locations as of July 30, 2011. Sales to franchised locations during 2012 decreased to $\$ 17.4$ million ( $1.8 \%$ of sales) from $\$ 18.0$ million ( $1.9 \%$ of sales) in 2011. The Company does not intend to expand its franchise network.

The following table illustrates the sales mix unadjusted for deferred layaway sales:

|  | Twenty-Six Weeks Ended <br> July 28, |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | July 30, 2011 |  |  |

100.0 \% 100.0 \%

For the first half, comparable store customer traffic decreased $2.0 \%$ over last year while the average customer ticket was increased $1.5 \%$ to $\$ 20.44$.

## Gross Profit

Gross profit for the first half of 2012 increased to $\$ 279.6$ million in 2012 from $\$ 264.9$ million in 2011, a year-over-year increase of $\$ 14.7$ million or $5.6 \%$. Gross margin, measured as a percentage of sales was $28.8 \%$ in 2012 as compared to $28.3 \%$ from last year. In the first half of the year, increases in pharmacy department gross margins were partially offset by general merchandise markdowns and shrink.

## Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses, including depreciation and amortization was $\$ 259.1$ million in the first half of 2012 ( $26.7 \%$ of sales) as compared to $\$ 241.5$ million in 2011 ( $25.8 \%$ of sales). The 90 basis points deleveraging as a percentage of sales during the first half of the year was derived from increased labor expense of $\$ 7.0$ million ( 27 basis points), $\$ 3.0$ million in higher depreciation and amortization as a result of the Company's capital investment in areas such as pharmacy acquisitions ( 25 basis points), $\$ 2.5$ million in additional property rental (16 basis points) and $\$ 2.2$ million for increased medical insurance ( 16 basis points).

## Operating Income

Operating income decreased to $\$ 20.5$ million in 2012 ( $2.1 \%$ of sales) from $\$ 23.4$ million in 2011 ( $2.5 \%$ of sales). The year-over-year decrease of $\$ 2.9$ million was driven by the $\$ 17.7$ million of increased selling, general and administrative expenses as described in the Selling, General and Administrative section above which was partially offset by the $\$ 14.7$ million increase in gross profit driven by the increase in pharmacy department gross margins.

## Interest Expense, Net

Net interest expense for 2012 totaled $\$ 0.3$ million or less than $0.1 \%$ of sales compared to $\$ 0.2$ million which was also less than $0.1 \%$ of sales in 2011.

## Income Taxes

Income tax expense for the first half of the year was impacted by $\$ 4.0$ million, or $\$ 0.11$ per diluted share, of favorable tax credits related to a state income tax settlement of $\$ 3.6$ million and $\$ 0.4$ million of other tax-related assumptions and estimates. Excluding the impact of these favorable tax credits, the effective income tax rate for the first six months of the year was $38.1 \%$ in 2012 compared to $37.1 \%$ in 2011. The federal Work Opportunity Tax Credits expired at the end of 2011 but are expected to be reinstated later in the fiscal year.

## Net Income

Net income increased to $\$ 16.5$ million ( $\$ .45$ per diluted share) in 2012 from $\$ 14.6$ million ( $\$ .37$ per diluted share) in 2011. The increase in net income was due to the $\$ 14.7$ million increase in gross profit as a result of higher pharmacy department margins and $\$ 4.9$ million in reduced tax expense primarily due to the $\$ 4.0$ million in favorable tax credits as the result of a state income tax settlement and other tax-related assumptions and estimates. This favorability was offset by a $\$ 17.7$ million increase in selling, general and administrative expenses as described in the Selling, General and Administrative Expenses section above.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Due to the seasonality of our business and the continued increase in the number of stores and pharmacies, inventories are generally lower at year-end than at each quarter-end of the following year.

Cash provided by operating activities totaled $\$ 29.1$ million during the twenty-six week period ended July 28, 2012 compared to $\$ 37.3$ million in the same period of the prior year. We generated operating cash flow primarily through $\$ 16.5$ million in year-to-date net income, an $\$ 18.8$ million increase in depreciation and amortization, a $\$ 5.5$ million decrease in other assets primarily related to prepaid expenses, an increase in trade receivables of $\$ 3.4$ million, an increase to the LIFO reserve of $\$ 1.8$ million and an increase to stock based compensation of $\$ 1.1$ million. Operating cash flows were partially offset by a $\$ 8.8$ million decrease in operating liabilities and an inventory increase of $\$ 10.0$ million.

Cash used in investing activities totaled $\$ 19.9$ million, and consisted primarily of capital expenditures of $\$ 12.1$ million related to existing store and pharmacy expenditures ( $\$ 6.0$ million), technology and other corporate expenditures ( $\$ 3.8$ million) and new store and pharmacy expenditures ( $\$ 2.3$ million). In addition, the Company planned expenditures of approximately $\$ 16.4$ million in 2012 for the acquisition of prescription lists and other pharmacy related items of which $\$ 7.9$ million has been spent to date. During the first half of 2012, we opened 12 new locations, consisting of 6 new stores and 6 new Xpress pharmacies. Fred's also closed 14 locations during this period. In 2012, the Company is planning capital expenditures excluding the acquisition of prescription lists of approximately $\$ 30.0$ million. Expenditures are planned totaling $\$ 23.8$ million for new and existing stores and pharmacies. Planned expenditures also include approximately $\$ 3.6$ million for technology upgrades and approximately $\$ 2.6$ million for distribution center equipment and other capital maintenance. Technology upgrades in 2012 will be made in the areas of IT software and hardware, RF gun replacements and pharmacy server upgrades. To date, the Company has spent $\$ 3.0$ million towards these transactions.

Cash used by financing activities totaled $\$ 13.2$ million and included $\$ 9.2$ million for the repurchase of shares, $\$ 4.5$ million for the payment of cash dividends and $\$ 0.2$ million for the repayment of debt offset by $\$ 0.7$ million for the exercise of stock options. There were $\$ 7.1$ million in borrowings outstanding at July 28, 2012 related to real estate mortgages compared to $\$ 7.3$ million at January 28, 2012. The decrease is attributable to $\$ 0.2$ million of payments on mortgage debt.

We believe that sufficient capital resources are available in both the short-term and long-term through currently available cash and cash generated from future operations and, if necessary, the ability to obtain additional financing.

## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Other than statements based on historical facts, many of the matters discussed in this Form 10-Q relate to events which we expect or anticipate may occur in the future. Such statements are defined as "forward-looking statements" under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the "Reform Act"), 15 U.S.C. Sections 77z-2 and 78u-5. The Reform Act created a safe harbor to protect companies from securities law liability in connection with forward-looking statements. We intend to qualify both our written and oral forward-looking statements for protection under the Reform Act and any other similar safe harbor provisions.

The words "believe", "anticipate", "project", "plan", "expect", "estimate", "objective", "forecast", "goal", "intend", "will likel. continue" and similar expressions generally identify forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements are inherently uncertain, and concern matters that involve risks and other factors that may cause the actual performance of the Company to differ materially from the performance expressed or implied by these statements. Therefore, forward-looking statements should be evaluated in the context of these uncertainties and risks, including but not limited to:

- Economic and weather conditions which affect buying patterns of our customers and supply chain efficiency. . Changes in consumer spending and our ability to anticipate buying patterns and implement appropriate inventory strategies.

Continued availability of capital and financing.<br>Competitive factors.<br>Unemployment.<br>Changes in reimbursement practices for pharmaceuticals. Governmental regulation.<br>Increases in fuel and utility rates.<br>Potential adverse results in the litigation described under Legal Proceedings on page 18.<br>Other factors affecting business beyond our control, including (but not limited to) those discussed under Part 1, ITEM 1A "Risk Factors" of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 28, 2012.

Consequently, all forward-looking statements are qualified by this cautionary statement. Readers should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances arising after the date on which it was made.

## Item 3.

## QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

We have no holdings of derivative financial or commodity instruments as of July 28, 2012. We are exposed to financial market risks, including changes in interest rates. We had no borrowings under our Revolving Credit Agreement, which bears interest at LIBOR plus 100 basis points. An increase in interest rates of 100 basis points would not significantly affect our income. All of our business is transacted in U.S. dollars and, accordingly, foreign exchange rate fluctuations have not had a significant impact on us, and they are not expected to in the foreseeable future.

## Item 4.

## CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")). Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Act (15 U.S.C. 78 et seq.) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms. Additionally, they concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that the Company is required to file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.
(b) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting. There have been no changes during the quarter ended July 28, 2012 in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f)) that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

In November, 2010, a lawsuit entitled Hensley, et al v. Fred's Stores of Tennessee, Inc., et al, was filed in the General Court of Justice of the Superior Court Division in Forsyth County, North Carolina, in which the plaintiffs allege past, future and other damages as a result of a 2007 vehicle accident involving a Fred's vehicle. The Company denied liability. However to eliminate certain risks, on or about August 27, 2012, the Company settled the claim with plaintiffs for approximately $\$ 1.1$ million. This case is covered by the Company's vehicular insurance, which has a \$150,000 deductible.

In July 2008, a lawsuit styled Jessica Chapman, on behalf of herself and others similarly situated, v. Fred's Stores of Tennessee, Inc. was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama, Southern Division, in which the plaintiff alleges that she and other female assistant store managers are paid less than comparable males and seeks compensable damages, liquidated damages, attorney fees and court costs. The plaintiff filed a motion seeking collective action. Briefs have been filed, but discovery has not yet begun. The Company believes that all assistant managers have been properly paid and that the matter is not appropriate for collective action treatment. The Company is and will continue to vigorously defend this matter. In accordance with FASB ASC 450, "Contingencies", the Company does not feel that a loss in this matter is probable or can be reasonably estimated. Therefore, we have not recorded a liability for this case.

In addition to the matters disclosed above, the Company is party to several pending legal proceedings and claims arising in the normal course of business. Although the outcome of the proceedings and claims cannot be determined with certainty, management of the Company is of the opinion that it is unlikely that these proceedings and claims will have a material adverse effect on the financial statements as a whole. However, litigation involves an element of uncertainty. There can be no assurance that pending lawsuits will not consume the time and energy of our management or that future developments will not cause these actions or claims, individually or in aggregate, to have a material adverse effect on the financial statements as a whole. We intend to vigorously defend or prosecute each pending lawsuit.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

The risk factors listed in Part I, "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 28, 2012, should be considered with the information provided elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, which could materially adversely affect the business, financial condition or results of operations. There have been no material changes to the risk factors as previously disclosed in such Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

On August 27, 2007, the Board of Directors approved a plan that authorized stock repurchases of up to 4.0 million shares of the Company's common stock. Under the plan, the Company may repurchase its common stock in the open market or through privately negotiated transactions at such times and at such prices as determined to be in the Company's best interest. On February 16, 2012, Fred's Board authorized the expansion of the Company's existing stock repurchase program by increasing the authorization to repurchase an additional 3.6 million shares or approximately $10 \%$ of the current outstanding shares. These repurchases may be commenced or suspended without prior notice depending on then-existing business or market conditions and other factors. The following table sets forth the amounts of our common stock purchased by the Company through July 28, 2012 (amounts in thousands, except price data). The repurchased shares have been cancelled and returned to authorized but unissued shares.

|  | Total Number of <br> Shares Purchased |  |  | Maximum Number <br> of |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| as |  |  |  |  |$\quad$| Part of Publicly |
| :--- |$\quad$ Authorized | Shares That May |
| :--- |
| Total |


| Balance at January 28, 2012 <br> February 16, 2012 |  |  |  | 90.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| January 29 - February 25, 2012 | - | $\$-$ | $3,600.0$ | $3,690.0$ |
| February 26 - March 31, 2012 | 72.7 | $\$ 13.72$ | - | $3,690.0$ |
| April 1, - April 28, 2012 | 425.2 | $\$ 14.23$ | 425.2 | $3,617.3$ |
| April 29, - May 26, 2012 | 151.3 | $\$ 13.99$ | 151.3 | $3,192.1$ |
| May 27, - June 30, 2012 | - | $\$-$ | - | $3,040.8$ |
| July 1, - July 28, 2012 | - | $\$-$ | - | $3,040.8$ |

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibits

### 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer.

31.2Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
${ }_{32}$ Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to rule 13a-14(b) under the Securities 32 Exchange Act of 1934 and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

FRED'S, INC.
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Bruce A. Efird
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