H&R BLOCK INC Form 10-Q March 06, 2009

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended January 31, 2009

OR

[] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 1-6089

H&R BLOCK, Inc. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

MISSOURI (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

44-0607856 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

One H&R Block Way
Kansas City, Missouri 64105
(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

(816) 854-3000 (Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes <u>√</u>	No
--------------	----

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer √	Accelerated filer	Non-accelerated filer	Smaller Reporting company
	(Do no	ot check if a smaller reporting c	ompany)
Indicate by check mark whether	or the registrant is a shell co	ompany (as defined in Rule 12b-	-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes No <u>√</u>			
The number of shares outstand: February 28, 2009 was 339,666	0	nmon Stock, without par value,	at the close of business on

Form 10-Q for the Period Ended January 31, 2009

Table of Contents

		Page
PART I	Financial Information	
Item 1.	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets January 31, 2009 and April 30, 2008	1
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss) Three and Nine months Ended January 31, 2009 and 2008	2
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Nine months Ended January 31, 2009 and 2008	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity Nine months Ended January 31, 2009 and 2008	4
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	5
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	25
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	37
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	37
PART II	Other Information	
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	38
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	41
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	42
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	42
<u>SIGNATURES</u>		43
EX-10.1 EX-10.2 EX-10.3 EX-10.4 EX-31.1		

EX-31.2 EX-32.1

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (amounts in 000s, except share and per share amounts)

	Janu	ary 31, 2009	Ap	ril 30, 2008
	J)	Jnaudited)		
ASSETS				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	1,269,203	\$	664,897
Cash and cash equivalents restricted		75,893		7,031
Receivables, less allowance for doubtful accounts				
of \$85,327 and \$120,155		2,642,951		534,229
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		425,042		420,738
Assets of discontinued operations, held for sale		-		987,592
Total current assets		4,413,089		2,614,487
Mortgage loans held for investment, less allowance		, ,		, ,
for loan losses of \$75,615 and \$45,401		781,755		966,301
Property and equipment, at cost, less accumulated depreciation and				
amortization of \$642,220 and \$620,460		383,704		363,664
Intangible assets, net		394,106		147,368
Goodwill		848,443		831,314
Other assets		480,795		700,291
Total assets	\$	7,301,892	\$	5,623,425
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY				
Liabilities:				
Short-term borrowings	\$	690,485	\$	_
Customer banking deposits	т	2,115,708	,	785,624
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities		734,755		739,887
Accrued salaries, wages and payroll taxes		206,959		365,712
Accrued income taxes		143,791		439,380
Current portion of long-term debt		9,030		7,286
Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings		104,000		129,000
Liabilities of discontinued operations, held for sale		-		644,446
Total current liabilities		4,004,728		3,111,335
Long-term debt		2,002,647		1,031,784
Other noncurrent liabilities		454,512		492,488
Total liabilities		6,461,887		4,635,607
Commitments and contingencies				

Commitments and contingencies Stockholders equity:

Common stock, no par, stated value \$.01 per share, 800,000,000 shares authorized.

800,000,000 shares authorized,		
shares issued of 444,176,510 and 435,890,796	4,442	4,359
Additional paid-in capital	835,329	695,959
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(16,614)	2,486
Retained earnings	2,015,650	2,384,449
Less treasury shares, at cost	(1,998,802)	(2,099,435)
Total stockholders equity	840,005	987,818
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 7,301,892	\$ 5,623,425

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

1

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

(unaudited, amounts in 000s, except per share amounts)

		Three Months Ended January 31,				Nine Mon Janua		
		2009	•	2008		2009	•	2008
Revenues: Service revenues	Ф	799,687	Ф	710,250	4	1,356,744	¢	1,267,924
Other revenues:	Ф	199,081	Ф	710,230	Ф	1,550,744	Ф	1,207,924
Product and other revenues		135,155		137,444		166,582		176,232
Interest income		58,604		47,110		93,498		101,358
		993,446		894,804		1,616,824		1,545,514
Operating expenses:								
Cost of services		572,854		552,807		1,272,762		1,264,880
Cost of other revenues		111,713		96,234		216,890		194,929
Selling, general and administrative		208,814		247,320		464,054		514,403
		893,381		896,361		1,953,706		1,974,212
Operating income (loss)		100,065		(1,557)		(336,882)		(428,698)
Other income (expense), net		1,674		1,973		(1,802)		19,792
Income (loss) from continuing operations before								
income taxes (benefit)		101,739		416		(338,684)		(408,906)
Income taxes (benefit)		34,909		(6,674)		(143,930)		(168,893)
Net income (loss) from continuing operations		66,830		7,090		(194,754)		(240,013)
Net loss from discontinued operations		(19,467)		(54,448)		(26,476)		(612,196)
Net income (loss)	\$	47,363	\$	(47,358)	\$	(221,230)	\$	(852,209)
Basic earnings (loss) per share:								
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	0.20	\$	0.02	\$	(0.59)	\$	(0.74)
Net loss from discontinued operations		(0.06)		(0.17)		(0.08)		(1.89)
Net income (loss)	\$	0.14	\$	(0.15)	\$	(0.67)	\$	(2.63)
Basic shares		337,338		325,074		331,429		324,544

Edgar Filing: H&R BLOCK INC - Form 10-Q

Diluted earnings (loss) per share:								
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	0.20	\$	0.02	\$	(0.59)	\$	(0.74)
Net loss from discontinued operations		(0.06)		(0.16)		(0.08)		(1.89)
Net income (loss)	\$	0.14	\$	(0.14)	\$	(0.67)	\$	(2.63)
Diluted shares		338,687		327,202		331,429		324,544
Dividends per share	\$	0.15	\$	0.14	\$	0.44	\$	0.42
Comprehensive income (loss):								
Net income (loss)	\$	47,363	\$	(47,358)	\$	(221,230)	\$	(852,209)
Change in unrealized gain on available-for-sale								
securities, net		(1,707)		381		(4,271)		1,544
Change in foreign currency translation adjustments		(3,671)		(1,860)		(14,829)		(572)
	ф	41.005	Ф	(40,007)	ф	(2.40, 220)	Ф	(051.007)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$	41,985	\$	(48,837)	\$	(240,330)	\$	(851,237)

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

2

Nine Months Ended January 31,

Net cash provided by financing activities

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

2009 2008)

3,041,594

3,844,769

(unaudited, amounts in 000s)

Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (221,230)	\$ (852,209)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	90,455	82,710
Stock-based compensation	20,364	30,131
Change in participation in tax client loans receivable	(1,048,993)	(1,693,506)
Operating cash flows of discontinued operations	99,425	(34,297)
Other, net of business acquisitions	(1,363,583)	(872,946)
Net cash used in operating activities	(2,423,562)	(3,340,117)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Principal repayments on mortgage loans held for investment, net	72,150	106,721
Purchases of property and equipment, net	(73,913)	(77,226)
Payments made for business acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(290,868)	(23,835)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities of discontinued operations:		
Proceeds from sale of operating unit, net	303,983	-
Other	(48,917)	(1,675)
Other, net	23,839	7,382
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(13,726)	11,367
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Repayments of commercial paper	-	(5,125,279)
Proceeds from issuance of commercial paper	-	4,133,197
Repayments of other short-term borrowings	(928,983)	(2,161,177)
Proceeds from other short-term borrowings	2,565,281	5,097,662
Proceeds from issuance of Senior Notes	-	599,376
Customer deposits, net	1,326,584	828,872
Dividends paid	(147,569)	(137,049)
Acquisition of treasury shares	(7,387)	(7,237)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	69,891	14,527
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net	141,450	-
Net cash provided by financing activities of discontinued operations	4,783	634,208
Other, net	17,544	(32,331)

Edgar Filing: H&R BLOCK INC - Form 10-Q

Net increase in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the period	604,306 664,897	516,019 816,917
Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period	\$ 1,269,203	\$ 1,332,936
Supplementary cash flow data: Income taxes paid, net of refunds received of \$156,522 and \$89,865 Interest paid on borrowings Interest paid on deposits Non-cash investing activities:	\$ (13,006) 70,891 11,484	\$ (55,975) 129,694 39,498
Foreclosed assets	62,774	-

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

3

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

(unaudited, amounts in 000s, except per share amounts)

					A	Additional		cumulated Other						
	Commo	n Stock		Preferred Stock		Paid-in	Comprehensive			Retained	Treasury Stock			
	Shares	Amount	Share	Amour	ıt	Capital		Income (Loss)		Earnings	Shares		Amount	
April 30, 2007 ment of x positions upon	435,891	\$ 4,359	-	\$ -	\$	676,766	\$	(1,320)	\$	2,886,440	(112,672)	\$	(2,151,746)	\$
FIN 48	-	-	_	-		-		-		(9,716) (852,209)	-		-	
translation loss net unrealized ilable-for-sale	-	-	-	-		-		(572)		-	-		-	
1 compensation	-	-	-	-		37,150		1,544		-	-		-	
d compensation ed for:	-	-	_	-		37,130		-		-	-		-	
rcises	-	-	-	-		(8,815)		-		-	1,072		20,478	
shares	-	-	-	-		(20,058)		-		-	938		17,917	
	-	-	_	-		(65))	-		-	412		7,872	
s of treasury	-	-	-	-		35		-		-	8		158	
or treasury	_	-	_	_		-		_		_	(325)		(7,237)	
ends paid \$0.42	-	-	-	-		-		-		(137,049)	-		-	
January 31,	435,891	\$ 4,359	_	\$ -	\$	685,013	\$	(348)	\$	1,887,466	(110,567)	\$	(2,112,558)	\$
April 30, 2008	435,891	\$ 4,359	_	\$ -	\$	695,959	\$	2,486	\$	2,384,449 (221,230)	(109,880)	\$	(2,099,435)	\$
translation loss net unrealized ilable-for-sale	-	_	_	-		-		(14,829)		-	-		-	
om common nce, net of	8,286	83	-	-		141,367		(4,271)		-	-		-	

25,769

d compensation-

ed for:										
rcises	-	-	-	-	(7,023)	-	-	4,341	82,954	
shares	-	-	-	-	(20,345)	-	-	1,011	19,326	
	-	-	-	-	(423)	-	-	292	5,577	
.s	-	-	-	-	25	-	-	9	163	
of treasury										
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(355)	(7,387)	
nds paid \$0.44										
	-	-	-	-	-	-	(147,569)	-	-	
January 31,	444,177	\$ 4,442	_	\$ -	\$ 835,329	\$ (16,614)	\$ 2,015,650	(104,582)	\$ (1,998,802)	\$
	111,177	Ψ 1,112		Ψ	Ψ 055,527	Ψ (10,014)	Ψ 2,013,030	(101,502)	Ψ (1,220,002)	Ψ

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

4

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(unaudited)

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of January 31, 2009, the condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2009 and 2008, the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the nine months ended January 31, 2009 and 2008, and the condensed consolidated statements of stockholders equity for the nine months ended January 31, 2009 and 2008 have been prepared by the Company, without audit. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, which include only normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations, cash flows and changes in stockholders equity at January 31, 2009 and for all periods presented have been made.

H&R Block, the Company, we, our and us are used interchangeably to refer to H&R Block, Inc. or to H&R Block. Inc. and its subsidiaries, as appropriate to the context.

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior year amounts to conform to the current year presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on our results of operations or stockholders—equity as previously reported. Effective November 1, 2008, we sold H&R Block Financial Advisors, Inc. (HRBFA) to Ameriprise Financial, Inc. (Ameriprise). As of January 31, 2009, HRBFA and its direct corporate parent are presented as discontinued operations in the condensed consolidated financial statements. All periods presented have been reclassified to reflect our discontinued operations. See additional discussion in note 17.

Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in our April 30, 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders on Form 10-K. All amounts presented herein as of April 30, 2008 or for the year then ended, are derived from our April 30, 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders on Form 10-K.

Management Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Seasonality of Business

Our operating revenues are seasonal in nature with peak revenues occurring in the months of January through April. Therefore, results for interim periods are not indicative of results to be expected for the full year.

Concentrations of Risk

Cash deposits in bank accounts in excess of insured or guaranteed limits are exposed to loss in the event of nonperformance by the financial institution. We had cash deposits in excess of these limits of approximately \$30 million at January 31, 2009. We have not historically experienced any losses on bank deposits. In addition to cash deposits with financial institutions, we had investments totaling approximately \$1 billion and \$110 million in federal funds sold and money market funds, respectively, at January 31, 2009.

Our mortgage loans held for investment include concentrations of loans to borrowers in certain states, which may result in increased exposure to loss as a result of changes in real estate values and underlying economic or market conditions related to a particular geographical location. Approximately 50% of our mortgage loan portfolio consists of loans to borrowers located in the states of Florida. California and New York.

2. Earnings (Loss) Per Share and Stockholders Equity

Basic and diluted loss per share is computed using the weighted average shares outstanding during each period. The dilutive effect of potential common shares is included in diluted earnings per share except in those periods with a loss from continuing operations. The computations of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share from continuing operations are as follows:

(in 000s, except per share amounts)

		Three Mor Janua			Nine Months Ended January 31,				
	2009 2008					2009	2008		
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	66,830	\$	7,090	\$	(194,754)	\$	(240,013)	
Basic weighted average common shares Potential dilutive shares from stock options and		337,338		325,074		331,429		324,544	
nonvested shares		1,347		2,126		-		-	
Convertible preferred stock		2		2		-		-	
Dilutive weighted average common shares		338,687		327,202		331,429		324,544	
Earnings (loss) per share from continuing operations:									
Basic	\$	0.20	\$	0.02	\$	(0.59)	\$	(0.74)	
Diluted		0.20		0.02		(0.59)		(0.74)	

Diluted earnings per share excludes the impact of shares of common stock issuable upon the lapse of certain restrictions or the exercise of options to purchase 16.0 million shares and 18.0 million shares for the three months ended January 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, as the effect would be antidilutive. Diluted earnings per share excludes the impact of shares of common stock issuable upon the lapse of certain restrictions or the exercise of options to purchase 20.2 million shares and 24.8 million shares for the nine months ended January 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, as the effect would be antidilutive due to the net loss from continuing operations during each period. The weighted average shares outstanding for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2009 increased to 337.3 million and 331.4 million, respectively, from 325.1 million and 324.5 million for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2008, respectively, primarily due to the issuance of shares of our common stock in October 2008. On October 27, 2008, we sold 8.3 million shares of our common stock, without par value, at a price of \$17.50 per share in a registered direct offering through subscription agreements with selected institutional investors. We received net proceeds of \$141.5 million, after deducting placement agent fees and other offering expenses. During the nine months ended January 31, 2009 and 2008, we issued 5.7 million and 2.4 million shares of common stock, respectively, due to the exercise of stock options, employee stock purchases and vesting of nonvested shares. During the nine months ended January 31, 2009, we acquired 0.4 million shares of our common stock, which represent shares swapped or surrendered to us in connection with the vesting of nonvested shares and the exercise of stock options, at an aggregate cost of \$7.4 million. During the nine months ended January 31, 2008, we acquired 0.3 million shares of our common stock, which represent shares swapped or surrendered to us in connection with the vesting of nonvested shares and the exercise of stock options, at an aggregate cost of \$7.2 million.

During the nine months ended January 31, 2009, we granted 5.1 million stock options and 1.0 million nonvested shares and units in accordance with our stock-based compensation plans. The weighted average fair value of options granted was \$3.80 for manager options and \$2.83 for options granted to our seasonal associates. At January 31, 2009, the total unrecognized compensation cost for options and nonvested shares and units was \$12.2 million and \$17.5 million, respectively.

3. Business Combinations

Effective November 3, 2008, we acquired the assets and franchise rights of our last major independent franchise operator for an aggregate purchase price of \$278.6 million. Results related to the acquired business have been included in our condensed consolidated financial statements since November 3, 2008. Pro forma results of operations have not been presented as the effects of this acquisition were not material

6

Table of Contents

to our results. The accompanying balance sheet reflects a preliminary allocation of the purchase price to assets acquired and liabilities assumed as follows:

(in 000s)

\$ 278,609

Property and equipment	\$ 6,169
Goodwill	16,062
Reacquired franchise rights	177,386
Franchise agreements	54,977
Customer relationships	24,264
Noncompete agreements	756
Other	735
Liabilities	(1,740)

Goodwill recognized in this transaction is included in the Tax Services segment and is deductible for tax purposes.

4. Receivables

Receivables related to our continuing operations consist of the following:

						(in 000s)
	Janu	ary 31, 2009	Janı	nary 31, 2008	Ap	ril 30, 2008
Participation in tax client loans	\$	1,122,347	\$	1,763,030	\$	73,354
Emerald Advance lines of credit		688,663		361,263		5,115
Business Services accounts receivable		335,893		257,010		320,377
Receivables for tax-related fees		309,379		117,328		47,301
Royalties from franchisees		80,603		68,573		1,784
Loans to franchisees		66,317		71,349		53,536
Other		125,076		119,740		152,917
		2,728,278		2,758,293		654,384
Allowance for doubtful accounts		(85,327)		(78,847)		(120,155)
	\$	2,642,951	\$	2,679,446	\$	534,229

5. Mortgage Loans Held for Investment

The composition of our mortgage loan portfolio as of January 31, 2009 and April 30, 2008 is as follows:

(dollar	S	in
00)()s)

	January 31,	2009		April 30, 2008		
	% of Total		An	nount	% of Total	
Adjustable-rate loans Fixed-rate loans	\$ 566,475 284,727	67% 33%	·	5,919 8,721	71% 29%	
Unamortized deferred fees and costs Less: Allowance for loan losses	851,202 6,168 (75,615)	100%		4,640 7,062 5,401)	100%	
	\$ 781,755		\$ 966	6,301		

Activity in the allowance for mortgage loan losses for the nine months ended January 31, 2009 and 2008 is as follows: (in 000s)

Nine Months Ended January 31,	2009	2008
Balance at beginning of the period Provision	\$ 45,401 51,953	\$ 3,448 12,345
Recoveries Charge-offs	50 (21,789)	999 (932)
Balance at end of the period	\$ 75,615	\$ 15,860

7

Table of Contents

The loan loss provision increased significantly during the current period as a result of declining collateral values due to a decline in residential home prices, and increasing delinquencies occurring in our portfolio. Our loan loss reserve as a percent of mortgage loans was 8.82% at January 31, 2009, compared to 4.49% at April 30, 2008.

In cases where we modify a loan and in so doing grant a concession to a borrower experiencing financial difficulty, the modification is considered a troubled debt restructuring (TDR). TDR loans totaled \$153.7 million and \$37.2 million at January 31, 2009 and April 30, 2008, respectively.

Loans 60 days past due are considered impaired. Impaired and TDR loans at January 31, 2009 and April 30, 2008 totaled \$258.2 million and \$128.9 million, respectively.

6. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Changes in the carrying amount of goodwill of continuing operations for the nine months ended January 31, 2009 consist of the following:

(in 000s)

	April 30, 2008	Additions	Impairment Other		January 31, 2009
Tax Services Business Services	\$ 431,981 399,333	\$ 19,820 3,003	\$ (2,188)	\$ (3,506)	\$ 446,107 402,336
Total	\$ 831,314	\$ 22,823	\$ (2,188)	\$ (3,506)	\$ 848,443

We test goodwill for impairment annually at the beginning of our fourth quarter, or more frequently if events occur indicating it is more likely than not the fair value of a reporting unit s net assets has been reduced below its carrying value.

During the nine months ended January 31, 2009, we recorded a \$2.2 million impairment in our Tax Services segment relating to the goodwill of a small digital business acquired in fiscal year 2005. No other events indicating possible impairment of goodwill were identified during the nine months ended January 31, 2009. Intangible assets of continuing operations consist of the following:

(in 000s)

	•	Janua	ary 31, 2009)	April 30, 2008						
	Gross Carrying Amount		umulated ortization	Net		(Gross Carrying Amount		umulated ortization		Net
Tax Services:											
Customer relationships	\$ 72,535	\$	(24,462)	\$	48,073	\$	46,479	\$	(22,007)	\$	24,472
Noncompete agreements	23,261		(20,587)		2,674		22,966		(19,981)		2,985
Reacquired franchise											
rights	177,386		-		177,386		-		-		-
Franchise agreements	54,977		(611)		54,366		-		-		-

Edgar Filing: H&R BLOCK INC - Form 10-Q

Purchased technology Trade name	12,500 1,025	(3,751) (192)	8,749 833	12,500 1,025	(2,283) (117)	10,217 908
Business Services:						
Customer relationships	146,040	(108,508)	37,532	143,402	(100,346)	43,056
Noncompete agreements	33,068	(19,344)	13,724	32,303	(17,589)	14,714
Trade name amortizing	2,600	(2,600)	-	3,290	(3,043)	247
Trade name						
non-amortizing	55,637	(4,868)	50,769	55,637	(4,868)	50,769
	\$ 579,029	\$ (184,923)	\$ 394,106	\$ 317,602	\$ (170,234)	\$ 147,368

Amortization of intangible assets of continuing operations for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2009 was \$6.8 million and \$20.4 million, respectively, and \$5.5 million and \$17.7 million for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2008, respectively. Estimated amortization of intangible assets for fiscal years 2009 through 2013 is \$26.8 million, \$29.9 million, \$28.0 million, \$25.2 million and \$21.1 million, respectively.

8

7. Borrowings

Borrowings of continuing operations consist of the following:

(in 000s)

	Janu	ary 31, 2009	Janu	ary 31, 2008	Aŗ	oril 30, 2008
Short-term borrowings: HSBC credit facility Other credit facilities	\$	690,485 -	\$	1,683,317 28,168	\$	- -
	\$	690,485	\$	1,711,485	\$	-
Long-term borrowings: CLOC borrowings, due August 2010 Senior Notes, 7.875%, due January 2013 Senior Notes, 5.125%, due October 2014 Other	\$	970,813 599,507 398,648 42,709 2,011,677	\$	1,800,000 599,383 398,412 23,948 2,821,743	\$	599,414 398,471 41,185 1,039,070
Less: Current portion	ф	(9,030)	¢	(8,332)	ф	(7,286)
	\$	2,002,647	\$	2,813,411	\$	1,031,784

At January 31, 2009, we maintained \$2.0 billion in revolving credit facilities to support commercial paper issuance and for general corporate purposes. These unsecured committed lines of credit (CLOCs), and outstanding borrowings thereunder, have a maturity date of August 2010 and an annual facility fee in a range of six to fifteen basis points per annum, based on our credit ratings. We had \$970.8 million outstanding as of January 31, 2009 to support working capital requirements primarily arising from off-season operating losses, to pay dividends and acquire businesses. These borrowings are included in long-term debt on our condensed consolidated balance sheet due to their contractual maturity date. The CLOCs, among other things, require we maintain at least \$650.0 million of net worth on the last day of any fiscal quarter. We had net worth of \$840.0 million at January 31, 2009.

Lehman Brothers Bank, FSB (Lehman) is a participating lender in our \$2.0 billion CLOCs, with a \$50.0 million credit commitment. In September 2008, Lehman s parent company declared bankruptcy. Since then, Lehman has not honored any funding requests under these facilities, thereby effectively reducing our available liquidity under our CLOCs to \$1.95 billion. We do not expect this change to have a material impact on our liquidity.

We entered into a committed line of credit agreement with HSBC Finance Corporation (HSBC) effective January 14, 2009 for use as a funding source for the purchase of refund anticipation loan (RAL) participations. This line provides funding totaling \$2.5 billion through March 30, 2009 and \$120.0 million thereafter through June 30, 2009. This line is subject to various covenants that are similar to our primary CLOCs, and is secured by our RAL participations. At January 31, 2009, there was \$690.5 million outstanding on this facility. Our contract with HSBC provides for them to fund RALs through 2011, with an option to renew, at our discretion, through 2013. We have also had a contract each of the last two years under which HSBC has funded our participation interest in RALs.

H&R Block Bank (HRB Bank) is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) of Des Moines, which extends credit to member banks based on eligible collateral. At January 31, 2009, HRB Bank had total FHLB advance capacity of \$434.1 million. There was \$104.0 million outstanding on this facility, leaving remaining availability of

\$330.1 million. Mortgage loans held for investment of \$698.6 million serve as eligible collateral and are used to determine total capacity.

8. Income Taxes

We file a consolidated federal income tax return in the United States and file tax returns in various state and foreign jurisdictions. Consolidated tax returns for the years 1999 through 2007 are currently under examination by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). Tax years prior to 1999 are closed by statute. Historically, tax returns in various foreign and state jurisdictions are examined and settled upon completion of the exam.

9

During the three and nine months ended January 31, 2009, we accrued an additional \$2.9 million and \$6.9 million, respectively, of interest and penalties related to our uncertain tax positions. We had unrecognized tax benefits of \$128.3 million and \$137.6 million at January 31, 2009 and April 30, 2008, respectively. The unrecognized tax benefits decreased \$9.3 million in the current year, due primarily to settlement payments. We have classified the liability for unrecognized tax benefits, including corresponding accrued interest, as long-term at January 31, 2009, which is included in other noncurrent liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheet. Amounts that we expect to pay, or for which statutes expire, within the next twelve months have been included in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

During the third quarter of fiscal year 2009 we received tax refunds of \$156.5 million, the majority of which was recorded as a receivable included in other assets on our condensed consolidated financial statements as of April 30, 2008.

Based upon the expiration of statutes of limitations, payments of tax and other factors in several jurisdictions, we believe it is reasonably possible that the total amount of previously unrecognized tax benefits may decrease by approximately \$6 to \$7 million within twelve months of January 31, 2009.

9. Interest Income and Expense

The following table shows the components of interest income and expense of our continuing operations. Operating interest expense is included in cost of other revenues, and interest expense on acquisition debt is included in other income (expense), net on our condensed consolidated statements of operations.

(in 000s)

		onths Ended Nine Months E ary 31, January 31			 		
	2009	•	2008		2009	2008	
Interest income:						50.1.10	
Mortgage loans, net	\$ 11,131	\$,	\$,	\$ 60,140	
Emerald Advance lines of credit	43,311		19,516		44,539	19,516	
Other	4,162		10,396		12,465	21,702	
	\$ 58,604	\$	47,110	\$	93,498	\$ 101,358	
Operating interest expense:							
Borrowings	\$ 21,623	\$	21,014	\$	60,849	\$ 41,674	
Deposits	3,719		11,464		11,646	37,928	
FHLB advances	1,326		1,349		3,981	4,709	
	26,668		33,827		76,476	84,311	
Interest expense acquisition debt	392		624		1,221	1,871	
Total interest expense	\$ 27,060	\$	34,451	\$	77,697	\$ 86,182	

10. Fair Value

On May 1, 2008, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure requirements for fair value measurements. We elected to defer the application of SFAS 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities until fiscal year 2010, as provided for by FASB Staff Position FAS 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157 (FSP 157-2). The adoption of SFAS 157 did not have an impact on our consolidated results of operations or financial position.

Fair Value Hierarchy

SFAS 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value into three broad levels, considering the relative reliability of the inputs, as follows:

n Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. An active market for the asset or liability is a market in which transactions for the asset or liability occur with sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis.

10

Table of Contents

- n Level 2 Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuations in which all significant inputs are observable in the market.
- n Level 3 Valuation is modeled using significant inputs that are unobservable in the market. These unobservable inputs reflect our own estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability.

Estimation of Fair Value

The following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for assets and liabilities measured at fair value and the general classification of these instruments pursuant to the fair value hierarchy.

- Available-for-sale securities Available-for-sale securities are carried at fair value on a recurring basis. When available, fair value is based on quoted prices in an active market and as such, would be classified as Level 1. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are estimated using quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, discounted cash flows or other pricing models. Available-for-sale securities that we classify as Level 2 include certain agency and non-agency mortgage-backed securities, U.S. states and political subdivisions debt securities and other debt and equity securities.
- n Mortgage loans held for sale The fair values of loans held for sale are generally based on observable market prices of securities that have loan collateral or interests in loans that are similar to the held-for-sale loans, or whole loan sale prices if formally committed. These loans are classified as Level 2.
- Residual interests in securitizations Determination of the fair value of residual interests in securitizations requires the use of unobservable inputs. We value these securities using a discounted cash flow approach that incorporates expectations of prepayment speeds and expectations of delinquencies and losses. Risk-adjusted discount rates are based on quotes from third party sources. These assets are classified as Level 3.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following table presents for each hierarchy level the financial assets of our continuing operations that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis at January 31, 2009:

(dollars in 000s)

	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Available-for-sale securities Mortgage loans held for sale Residual interests in securitizations	\$ 45,640 9,596 5,122	\$ 2,975	\$ 42,665 9,596	\$ - 5,122
	\$ 60,358	\$ 2,975	\$ 52,261	\$ 5,122
As a percentage of total assets	0.8%	0.0%	0.7%	0.1%

The following table presents changes in Level 3 financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

(in 000s)

Nine Months	Three Months
Ended	Ended

Edgar Filing: H&R BLOCK INC - Form 10-Q

	Jan	uary 31, 2009	Janu	January 31, 2009		
Fair value, beginning of period	\$	9,487	\$	16,678		
Losses:						
Included in earnings		(931)		(6,153)		
Included in other comprehensive income (loss)		(2,604)		(2,920)		
Cash received		(830)		(2,483		
Fair value, end of period	\$	5,122	\$	5,122		

Mortgage loans held for sale are included in prepaid expenses and other current assets, and available-for-sale securities and residual interests in securitizations are included in other assets on our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Fair Value Option

We adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities (SFAS 159) on May 1, 2008. SFAS 159 permits an instrument by

11

Table of Contents

instrument irrevocable election to account for selected financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value. We did not elect to apply the fair value option to any eligible financial assets or financial liabilities on May 1, 2008 or during the nine months ended January 31, 2009. Subsequent to the initial adoption, we may elect to account for selected financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value. Such an election could be made at the time an eligible financial asset, financial liability or firm commitment is recognized or when certain specified reconsideration events occur.

11. Other Noncurrent Assets and Liabilities

We have deferred compensation plans that permit directors and certain employees to defer portions of their compensation and accrue income on the deferred amounts. Included in other noncurrent liabilities is \$122.3 million and \$155.1 million at January 31, 2009 and April 30, 2008, respectively, reflecting our obligation under these plans. We may purchase whole-life insurance contracts on certain director and employee participants to recover distributions made or to be made under the plans. The cash surrender value of the policies and other assets held by the Deferred Compensation Trust is recorded in other noncurrent assets and totaled \$112.9 million and \$163.1 million at January 31, 2009 and April 30, 2008, respectively. These assets are restricted, as they are only available to fund the related liability. The decrease in value of these assets and liabilities are primarily due to current market conditions. Losses on certain invested assets are not deductible for income taxes and therefore have had an impact on our income tax rates in the current fiscal year.

12. Regulatory Requirements

HRB Bank and the Company are subject to various regulatory requirements, including capital guidelines for HRB Bank, administered by federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can trigger certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on HRB Bank and our consolidated financial statements. All savings associations are subject to the capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. HRB Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of HRB Bank s assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items, as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. HRB Bank s capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors. HRB Bank files its regulatory Thrift Financial Report (TFR) on a calendar quarter basis with the Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS). Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require HRB Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of tangible equity, total risk-based capital and Tier 1 capital, as set forth in the table below. In addition to these minimum ratio requirements, HRB Bank is required to continually maintain a 12.0% minimum leverage ratio as a condition of its charter-approval order through fiscal year 2009. This condition was extended through fiscal year 2012 as a result of a Supervisory Directive issued on May 29, 2007. As of January 31, 2009, HRB Bank s leverage ratio was 13.8%.

As of December 31, 2008, our most recent TFR filing, HRB Bank was a well capitalized institution under the prompt corrective action provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). The five capital categories are: (1) well capitalized (total risk-based capital ratio of 10%, Tier 1 Risk-based capital ratio of 6% and leverage ratio of 5%); (2) adequately capitalized; (3) undercapitalized; (4) significantly undercapitalized; and (5) critically undercapitalized. There are no conditions or events since December 31, 2008 that management believes have changed HRB Bank s category.

12

The following table sets forth HRB Bank s regulatory capital requirements at December 31, 2008, as calculated in the most recently filed TFR:

(dollars in 000s)

T D XX 11

				For Capital Adequacy			To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt			
	Actual			Purposes			Corrective Action Provisions			
		Amount	Ratio		Amount	Ratio		Amount	Ratio	
Total risk-based capital										
ratio ⁽¹⁾	\$	268,259	22.1%	\$	97,294	8.0%	\$	121,617	10.0%	
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio ⁽²⁾	\$	252,471	20.8%		n/a	n/a	\$	72,970	6.0%	
Tier 1 capital ratio (leverage) ⁽³⁾ Tangible agaitst ratio ⁽⁴⁾		252,471	13.3%	\$ \$	228,368	12.0% 1.5%	\$	95,153	5.0%	
Tangible equity ratio ⁽⁴⁾	\$	252,471	13.3%	Ф	28,546	1.3%		n/a	n/a	

⁽¹⁾ Total risk-based capital divided by risk-weighted assets.

Block Financial LLC (BFC) made an additional capital contribution to HRB Bank of \$245.0 million during the nine months ended January 31, 2009. This contribution was necessary for HRB Bank to meet its capital requirements due to seasonal fluctuations in its balance sheet. During the three months ended January 31, 2009, we submitted an application to the OTS requesting that HRB Bank be allowed to pay dividends to BFC in an amount that will not exceed the capital necessary to continuously maintain HRB Bank s required 12.0% leverage ratio. The OTS approved our application on January 12, 2009.

13. Commitments and Contingencies

Changes in the deferred revenue liability related to our Peace of Mind (POM) program, the current portion of which is included in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities and the long-term portion of which is included in other noncurrent liabilities in the condensed consolidated balance sheets, are as follows:

		(in 000s)
Nine Months Ended January 31,	2009	2008
Balance, beginning of period Amounts deferred for new guarantees issued Revenue recognized on previous deferrals	\$ 140,583 23,480 (56,375)	\$ 142,173 19,672 (56,881)
Balance, end of period	\$ 107,688	\$ 104,964

⁽²⁾ Tier 1 (core) capital less deduction for low-level recourse and residual interest divided by risk-weighted assets.

⁽³⁾ Tier 1 (core) capital divided by adjusted total assets.

⁽⁴⁾ Tangible capital divided by tangible assets.

The following table summarizes certain of our other contractual obligations and commitments:

(in 000s)

As of	January 31, 2009		April 30, 2008	
Franchise Equity Lines of Credit Contingent business acquisition obligations Media advertising purchase obligation	\$	83,863 29,103 59,715	\$	79,134 24,288 19,043

We routinely enter into contracts that include embedded indemnifications that have characteristics similar to guarantees. Other guarantees and indemnifications of the Company and its subsidiaries include obligations to protect counterparties from losses arising from the following: (1) tax, legal and other risks related to the purchase or disposition of businesses; (2) penalties and interest assessed by federal and state taxing authorities in connection with tax returns prepared for clients; (3) indemnification of our directors and officers; and (4) third-party claims relating to various arrangements in the normal course of business. Typically, there is no stated maximum payment related to these indemnifications, and the terms of the indemnities may vary and in many cases are limited only by the applicable statute of limitations. The likelihood of any claims being asserted against us and the ultimate liability related to any such claims, if any, is difficult to predict. While we cannot provide assurance we will ultimately prevail in the event any

13

Table of Contents

such claims are asserted, we believe the fair value of guarantees and indemnifications relating to our continuing operations is not material as of January 31, 2009.

Discontinued Operations

Sand Canyon Corporation (SCC), formerly Option One Mortgage Corporation, maintains recourse with respect to loans previously sold or securitized under indemnification of loss provisions relating to breach of representations and warranties made to purchasers or insurers. As a result, SCC may be required to repurchase loans or otherwise indemnify third-parties for losses. These representations and warranties and corresponding repurchase obligations generally are not subject to stated limits or a stated term and, therefore, may continue for the foreseeable future. SCC has established a liability related to potential losses under these indemnifications and monitors the adequacy of the repurchase liability on an ongoing basis. To the extent that future claim volumes differ from current estimates, or the value of mortgage loans and residential home prices change, future losses may be different than these estimates and those differences may be significant.

The following table summarizes SCC s loan repurchase and indemnification activity:

(in 000s)

	Nine Months Ended January 31, January 31,					Year Ended		
	2009		2008	April 30, 2008				
Loan repurchase and indemnification liability at end of period	\$	213,120	\$	68,969	\$	243,066		
Loans repurchased and indemnification payments during the period Reserves added during the period		38,290	·	480,943 379,440	·	515,370 582,373		

As described more fully in note 17, we entered into indemnifications in connection with our November 2008 sale of HRBFA and recorded a liability with an estimated fair value of \$15.5 million at January 31, 2009. We have recorded a restructuring liability which primarily relates to estimated lease obligations for vacant space resulting from office closings and employee severance costs for our discontinued mortgage businesses. These liabilities are included in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities and accrued salaries, wages and payroll taxes on our condensed consolidated balance sheet, respectively. Actual results could differ from these estimates. Changes in our restructuring liability during the nine months ended January 31, 2009 are as follows:

(in 000s)

	Accrual Balance as of April 30, 2008		Cash Payments		Other	Accrual Balance as of January 31, 2009	
Employee severance costs Contract termination	\$ 4,807	\$	(4,871)	\$	428	\$	364
costs	23,113		(12,054)		1,779		12,838

\$ 27,920 \$ (16,925) \$ 2,207 \$ 13,202

14. Litigation and Related Contingencies

We are party to investigations, legal claims and lawsuits arising out of our business operations. We accrue our best estimate of the probable loss upon resolution of investigations, legal claims and lawsuits, which totaled \$10.5 million and \$11.5 million at January 31, 2009 and April 30, 2008, respectively. With respect to most of the matters described below, we have concluded that a loss is not probable and therefore no liability has been recorded.

RAL Litigation

We have been named as a defendant in numerous lawsuits throughout the country regarding our refund anticipation loan programs (collectively, RAL Cases). The RAL Cases have involved a variety of legal theories asserted by plaintiffs. These theories include allegations that, among other things: disclosures in the RAL applications were inadequate, misleading and untimely; the RAL interest rates were usurious and unconscionable; we did not disclose that we would receive part of the finance charges paid by the customer for such loans; untrue, misleading or deceptive statements in marketing RALs; breach of state

14

Table of Contents

laws on credit service organizations; breach of contract, unjust enrichment, unfair and deceptive acts or practices; violations of the federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act; violations of the federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act and unfair competition regarding debt collection activities; and that we owe, and breached, a fiduciary duty to our customers in connection with the RAL program.

The amounts claimed in the RAL Cases have been very substantial in some instances, with one settlement resulting in a pretax expense of \$43.5 million in fiscal year 2003 (the Texas RAL Settlement) and other settlements resulting in a combined pretax expense in fiscal year 2006 of \$70.2 million. On December 31, 2008, we reached a settlement with the California attorney general in the case entitled *The People of California v. H&R Block, Inc., H&R Block Services, Inc., H&R Block Enterprises, Inc., H&R Block Tax Services, Inc., Block Financial Corporation, HRB Royalty, Inc., and Does 1 through 50, Case No., CGC-06-449461, in the California Superior Court, San Francisco County (the California AG Case). Pursuant to the terms of the settlement, we agreed to pay \$2.45 million in restitution to certain clients who obtained a refund anticipation loan or a refund anticipation check, \$500,000 in civil penalties and \$1.9 million in fees and costs.*

Following settlement of the California AG Case, we have one remaining putative RAL class action. We believe we have meritorious defenses to this RAL Case and we intend to defend it vigorously. There can be no assurances, however, as to the outcome of the pending RAL Case or regarding the impact of the pending RAL Case on our financial statements. There were no other significant developments regarding the RAL Cases during the three months ended January 31, 2009.

Peace of Mind Litigation

We are defendants in lawsuits regarding our Peace of Mind program (collectively, the POM Cases), under which our applicable tax return preparation subsidiary assumes liability for additional tax assessments attributable to tax return preparation error. The POM Cases are described below.

Lorie J. Marshall, et al. v. H&R Block Tax Services, Inc., et al., Case No. 08-CV-591 in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Illinois, is a class action case originally filed in the Circuit Court of Madison County, Illinois on January 18, 2002, in which class certification was granted on August 27, 2003. The plaintiffs allege that the sale of POM guarantees constitutes (1) statutory fraud by selling insurance without a license, (2) an unfair trade practice, by omission and by cramming (i.e., charging customers for the guarantee even though they did not request it or want it), and (3) a breach of fiduciary duty. The court has certified plaintiff classes consisting of all persons who reside in 13 specified states and who from January 1, 1997 to final judgment (1) were charged a separate fee for POM by H&R Block; (2) were charged a separate fee for POM by an H&R Block entity not licensed to sell insurance; or (3) had an unsolicited charge for POM posted to their bills by H&R Block. Persons who received the POM guarantee through an H&R Block Premium office were excluded from the plaintiff class. In August 2008, we removed the case from state court in Madison County, Illinois to the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Illinois. On December 17, 2008, the case was remanded back to state court. We have filed a petition to appeal this ruling.

There is one other putative class action pending against us in Texas that involves the POM guarantee. This case is pending before the same judge that presided over the Texas RAL Settlement, involves the same plaintiffs attorneys that are involved in the Marshall litigation in Illinois, and contains allegations similar to those in the Marshall case. No class has been certified in this case.

We believe we have meritorious defenses to the claims in the POM Cases, and we intend to defend them vigorously. The amounts claimed in the POM Cases are substantial, and there can be no assurances as to the outcome of these pending actions individually or in the aggregate.

Express IRA Litigation

On March 15, 2006, the New York Attorney General filed a lawsuit in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York (Index No. 06/401110) entitled *The People of New York v. H&R Block, Inc. and H&R Block Financial Advisors, Inc. et al.* The complaint alleged fraudulent business practices, deceptive acts and practices, common law fraud and breach of fiduciary duty with respect to the Express IRA product and sought equitable relief, disgorgement of profits, damages and restitution, civil penalties and punitive damages. On July 12, 2007, the Supreme

Court of the State of New York issued a ruling that dismissed all defendants other than HRBFA and the claims of common law fraud. The intermediate

15

Table of Contents

appellate court reversed this ruling on January 6, 2009. We filed a petition for appeal with the highest state appellate court on January 30, 2009. We believe we have meritorious defenses to the claims in this case, and we intend to defend this case vigorously, but there are no assurances as to its outcome.

On January 2, 2008, the Mississippi Attorney General filed a lawsuit in the Chancery Court of Hinds County, Mississippi First Judicial District (Case No. G 2008 6 S 2) entitled *Jim Hood, Attorney for the State of Mississippi v. H&R Block, Inc., et al.* The complaint alleged fraudulent business practices, deceptive acts and practices, common law fraud and breach of fiduciary duty with respect to the Express IRA product and sought equitable relief, disgorgement of profits, damages and restitution, civil penalties and punitive damages. The defendants have filed a motion to dismiss. We believe we have meritorious defenses to the claims in this case, and we intend to defend this case vigorously, but there are no assurances as to its outcome.

In addition to the New York and Mississippi Attorney General actions, a number of civil actions were filed against HRBFA and us concerning the Express IRA product, the first of which was filed on March 17, 2006. Except for two cases pending in state court, all of the civil actions have been consolidated by the panel for Multi-District Litigation into a single action styled *In re H&R Block, Inc. Express IRA Marketing Litigation* in the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri.

Although we sold HRBFA effective November 1, 2008, we remain responsible for the Express IRA litigation through an indemnification agreement with Ameriprise. See additional discussion in note 17. The amounts claimed in these cases are substantial. We believe we have meritorious defenses to the claims in these cases, and we intend to defend these cases vigorously, but there are no assurances as to their outcome.

Securities Litigation

On April 6, 2007, a putative class action styled *In re H&R Block Securities Litigation* was filed against the Company and certain of its officers in the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri. The complaint alleges, among other things, deceptive, material and misleading financial statements and failure to prepare financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The complaint sought unspecified damages and equitable relief. The court dismissed the complaint on February 19, 2008, and plaintiffs appealed the dismissal on March 18, 2008. In addition, plaintiffs in a shareholder derivative action that was consolidated into the securities litigation filed a separate appeal on March 18, 2008, contending that the derivative action was improperly consolidated. The derivative action is *Iron Workers Local 16 Pension Fund v. H&R Block, et al.*, in the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri, Case No.06-cv-00466-ODS (instituted on June 8, 2006) and was brought against certain of our directors and officers purportedly on behalf of the Company. The derivative action alleges breach of fiduciary duty, abuse of control, gross mismanagement, waste, and unjust enrichment pertaining to (1) our restatement of financial results in fiscal year 2006 due to errors in determining our state effective income tax rate and (2) certain of our products and business activities. We believe we have meritorious defenses to the claims in these cases and intend to defend this litigation vigorously. We currently do not believe that we will incur a material loss with respect to this litigation.

RSM McGladrey Litigation

RSM McGladrey Business Services, Inc. and certain of its subsidiaries are parties to a putative class action filed on July 11, 2006 and entitled *Do Right s Plant Growers*, et al. v. RSM EquiCo, Inc., et al. Case No. 06 CC00137, in the California Superior Court, Orange County. The complaint contains allegations regarding business valuation services provided by RSM EquiCo, Inc., including fraud, negligent misrepresentation, breach of contract, breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, breach of fiduciary duty and unfair competition and seeks unspecified damages, restitution and equitable relief. A hearing on plaintiffs motion for class certification is scheduled for March 6, 2009. We intend to defend this case vigorously. The amount claimed in this action is substantial and there can be no assurance regarding the outcome and resolution of this matter. It is reasonably possible that we could incur losses with respect to this litigation, although an estimate of such losses cannot be made in light of the early stage of the litigation.

RSM McGladrey, Inc. (RSM) has a relationship with certain public accounting firms (collectively, the Attest Firms) pursuant to which (1) some RSM employees are also partners or employees of the Attest Firms, (2) many clients of the Attest Firms are also RSM clients, and (3) our RSM McGladrey brand is

16

Table of Contents

closely linked to the Attest Firms. The Attest Firms are parties to claims and lawsuits (collectively, Attest Firm Claims) arising in the normal course of business. Judgments or settlements arising from Attest Firm Claims exceeding the Attest Firms insurance coverage could have a direct adverse effect on Attest Firm operations and could impair RSM s ability to attract and retain clients and quality professionals. For example, accounting and auditing firms (including one of the Attest Firms) recently have become subject to claims based on losses their clients suffered from investments in investment funds managed by third parties. Although RSM may not have a direct liability for significant Attest Firm Claims, such Attest Firm Claims could have a material adverse effect on RSM s operations and impair the value of our investment in RSM. There is no assurance regarding the outcome of the Attest Firm Claims.

Litigation and Claims Pertaining to Discontinued Mortgage Operations

Although mortgage loan origination activities were terminated and the loan servicing business was sold during fiscal year 2008, SCC remains subject to investigations, claims and lawsuits pertaining to its loan origination and servicing activities that occurred prior to such termination and sale. These investigations, claims and lawsuits include actions by state attorneys general, other state regulators, municipalities, individual plaintiffs, and cases in which plaintiffs seek to represent a class of others alleged to be similarly situated. Among other things, these investigations, claims and lawsuits allege discriminatory or unfair and deceptive loan origination and servicing practices, public nuisance, fraud, and violations of the Truth in Lending Act, Equal Credit Opportunity Act and the Fair Housing Act. In the current non-prime mortgage environment, the number of these investigations, claims and lawsuits has increased over historical experience and is likely to continue at increased levels. The amounts claimed in these investigations, claims and lawsuits are substantial in some instances, and the ultimate resulting liability is difficult to predict. In the event of unfavorable outcomes, the amounts SCC may be required to pay in the discharge of liabilities or settlements could be substantial and, because SCC s operating results are included in our consolidated financial statements, could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated results of operations.

On June 3, 2008, the Massachusetts Attorney General filed a lawsuit in the Superior Court of Suffolk County, Massachusetts (Case No. 08-2474-BLS) entitled *Commonwealth of Massachusetts v. H&R Block, Inc., et al.*, alleging unfair, deceptive and discriminatory origination and servicing of mortgage loans and seeking equitable relief, disgorgement of profits, restitution and statutory penalties. On November 10, 2008, the court granted a preliminary injunction limiting the ability of the owner of SCC s former loan servicing business to initiate or advance foreclosure actions against certain loans originated by SCC or its subsidiaries without (1) advance notice to the Massachusetts Attorney General and (2) if the Attorney General objects to foreclosure, approval by the court. The preliminary injunction generally applies to loans meeting all of the following four characteristics: (1) adjustable rate mortgages with an introductory period of three years or less, (2) the borrower has a debt-to-income ratio generally exceeding 50 percent, (3) an introductory interest rate at least 2 percent lower than the fully indexed rate (unless the debt-to-income ratio is 55% or greater) and (4) loan-to-value ratio of 97 percent or certain prepayment penalties. We have appealed this preliminary injunction. We believe we have meritorious defenses to the claims in this case, and we intend to defend this case vigorously, but there are no assurances as to its outcome.

SCC also remains subject to potential claims for indemnification and loan repurchases pertaining to loans previously sold. In the current non-prime mortgage environment, it is likely that the frequency of repurchase and indemnification claims may increase over historical experience and give rise to additional litigation. In some instances, H&R Block, Inc. was required to guarantee SCC s obligations. The amounts involved in these potential claims may be substantial, and the ultimate resulting liability is difficult to predict. In the event of unfavorable outcomes, the amounts SCC may be required to pay in the discharge or settlement of these claims could be substantial and, because SCC s operating results are included in our consolidated financial statements, could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated results of operations.

Other Claims and Litigation

We are from time to time party to investigations, claims and lawsuits not discussed herein arising out of our business operations. These investigations, claims and lawsuits include actions by state attorneys

general, other state regulators, individual plaintiffs, and cases in which plaintiffs seek to represent a class of others similarly situated. Some of these investigations, claims and lawsuits pertain to RALs, the electronic filing of customers income tax returns, the POM guarantee program, wage and hour claims and investment products. We believe we have meritorious defenses to each of these claims, and we are defending or intend to defend them vigorously. The amounts claimed in these claims and lawsuits are substantial in some instances, and the ultimate liability with respect to such litigation and claims is difficult to predict. In the event of an unfavorable outcome, the amounts we may be required to pay in the discharge of liabilities or settlements could be material. In addition to the aforementioned types of cases, we are party to claims and lawsuits that we consider to be ordinary, routine litigation incidental to our business, including claims and lawsuits (collectively, Other Claims) concerning the preparation of customers income tax returns, the fees charged customers for various products and services, relationships with franchisees, intellectual property disputes, employment matters and contract disputes. While we cannot provide assurance that we will ultimately prevail in each instance, we believe the amount, if any, we are required to pay in the discharge of liabilities or settlements in these Other Claims will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated operating results, financial position or cash flows.

15. Segment Information

Results of our continuing operations by reportable operating segment are as follows:

(in 000s)

	Three Months Ended January 31,				Nine Months Ended January 31,			
	2009		2008		2009	•	2008	
Revenues:								
Tax Services	\$ 761,735	\$	661,787	\$	936,104	\$	822,454	
Business Services	185,177		191,884		592,873		623,755	
Consumer Financial Services	45,195		39,305		80,980		89,608	
Corporate	1,339		1,828		6,867		9,697	
	\$ 993,446	\$	894,804	\$	1,616,824	\$	1,545,514	
Pretax income (loss):								
Tax Services	\$ 130,443	\$	45,879	\$	(218,045)	\$	(325,559)	
Business Services	10,695		6,614		23,481		16,489	
Consumer Financial Services	(3,268)		12,318		(36,014)		12,751	
Corporate	(36,131)		(64,395)		(108,106)		(112,587)	
Income (loss) from continuing								
operations before income taxes (benefit)	\$ 101,739	\$	416	\$	(338,684)	\$	(408,906)	

As of January 31, 2009, the financial results of HRBFA are presented as discontinued operations. Accordingly, all periods presented above for our Consumer Financial Services segment have been revised to exclude results for discontinued businesses, and now reflect only the results of HRB Bank.

16. Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2008, FASB Staff Position on EITF 03-6-1, Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions are Participating Securities (FSP 03-6-1) was issued. FSP 03-6-1 addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to vesting and, therefore, should be included in the process of allocating earnings for purposes of computing earnings per share. This guidance is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and the related interim periods beginning after December 15, 2008. Early application is not permitted. The provisions of FSP 03-6-1 are effective for our first fiscal quarter of 2010. We are currently evaluating what effect FSP 03-6-1 will have on our consolidated financial statements. In December 2007, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141(R), Business Combinations, (SFAS 141R), and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160, Non-Controlling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements An Amendment of ARB No. 51 (SFAS 160) were issued. These

18

Table of Contents

standards will require an acquiring entity to recognize all the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a transaction, including non-controlling interests, at the acquisition-date fair value with limited exceptions. SFAS 141R will require acquisition-related expenses to be expensed and will generally require contingent consideration to be recorded as a liability at the time of acquisition. Under SFAS 141R, subsequent changes to deferred tax valuation allowances relating to acquired businesses and acquired liabilities for uncertain tax positions will no longer be applied to goodwill but will instead be typically recognized as an adjustment to income tax expense. The provisions of these standards are effective as of the beginning of our fiscal year 2010.

We are currently evaluating what effect the adoption of SFAS 141R and SFAS 160 will have on our consolidated financial statements.

As discussed in note 10, we adopted SFAS 157 and SFAS 159 as of May 1, 2008.

17. Discontinued Operations

Effective November 1, 2008, we sold HRB Financial Corporation, including our securities brokerage business formerly conducted through HRBFA, to Ameriprise. As a result of this transaction, we received cash proceeds, net of selling costs, of \$304.0 million, plus repayment of net intercompany liabilities of \$46.6 million. The carrying value of our investment in this business at the date of disposition was \$293.7 million. We deferred recognition of a portion of the sale proceeds totaling \$7.0 million, which represents the estimated value of an ongoing collaboration arrangement with our Tax Services businesses.

In connection with the sale, we indemnified Ameriprise against certain losses relating to pre-acquisition contingencies, including matters involving compliance with ERISA and the Fair Labor Standards Act, tax matters, and certain pending litigation. Certain indemnities are subject to a maximum aggregate payment of \$31.5 million, while other indemnities are not subject to any stated limit. The indemnities are not subject to a stated term. FASB Interpretation No. 45, Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others, requires that we recognize a liability for the estimated fair value of guarantee and indemnification obligations at the inception of the arrangement. We have estimated an aggregate fair value of \$15.5 million relating to the Ameriprise indemnifications and recorded a liability in that amount as of the date of sale. Subsequent changes in the estimated fair value of these indemnification obligations will be recorded in discontinued operations.

The transaction resulted in a capital loss for income tax purposes and, with the exception of benefits of approximately \$10 million recorded during the quarter ended October 31, 2008, is not currently expected to result in a tax benefit. Net of selling expenses, deferrals, and indemnification liabilities, we recorded a loss during the quarter ended January 31, 2009 in connection with the disposition of this business totaling \$12.2 million.

At January 31, 2009, HRBFA had \$21.2 million invested in the Reserve Primary Fund (Reserve Fund), a money market fund. That balance was reduced to \$14.5 million at February 20, 2009, reflecting an additional fund distribution as of that date. The Reserve Fund is currently in orderly liquidation under the supervision of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and its net asset value has fallen below its stated value of \$1.00 per share. The most recent net asset values communicated by the Reserve Fund were \$0.97 per share as of February 26, 2009. However, the Reserve Fund has indicated that it has established a special reserve for contingent damages and defense costs relating to pending litigation and, accordingly, fund distributions are currently being made at \$0.917 per share. This asset was sold to Ameriprise in connection with the sale of HRBRA at a contractually agreed to value of \$0.92 per share. Although this investment is no longer reported in our balance sheet we are subject to contingent gains or losses, through post-closing purchase price adjustments, to the extent ultimate redemptions from the Reserve Fund are greater or less than \$0.92 per share. Assuming HRBFA recovered its invested principal in full, we would recognize a gain at that time of approximately \$8 million. Assuming HRBFA received no further distributions from the Reserve Fund, we would ultimately record additional losses of approximately \$7 million.

As of January 31, 2009, the results of operations of HRBFA and its direct corporate parent are presented as discontinued operations in the condensed consolidated financial statements. All periods presented reflect our discontinued operations.

Overhead costs which would have previously been allocated to discontinued businesses totaled \$4.6 million for the nine months ended January 31, 2009 and \$4.0 million and \$11.6 million for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2008, respectively. These amounts are included in continuing operations.

The financial results of discontinued operations are as follows:

	Three Months Ended				(in 000s) Nine Months Ended					
	2009	Jai	nuary 31, 2008	2009			anuary 31, 2008			
Net revenue	\$ 609	\$	109,363	\$	130,205	\$	(52,777)			
Pretax loss Income tax benefit	\$ (20,054) (587)	\$	(93,440) (38,992)	\$	(47,443) (20,967)	\$	(978,000) (365,804)			
Net loss from discontinued operations	\$ (19,467)	\$	(54,448)	\$	(26,476)	\$	(612,196)			

During fiscal year 2008, we exited the mortgage business operated through a subsidiary and sold the related loan servicing business. Our discontinued operations include pretax losses related to our mortgage business of \$7.9 million and \$17.5 million for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2009, respectively, compared to \$97.0 million and \$977.9 million, respectively, in the prior year.

18. Condensed Consolidating Financial Statements

Condensed Consolidating Income Statements

BFC is an indirect, wholly-owned consolidated subsidiary of the Company. BFC is the Issuer and the Company is the Guarantor of the Senior Notes issued on January 11, 2008 and October 26, 2004, our CLOCs, the \$500.0 million credit facility entered into in April 2007 and other indebtedness issued from time to time. These condensed consolidating financial statements have been prepared using the equity method of accounting. Earnings of subsidiaries are, therefore, reflected in the Company s investment in subsidiaries account. The elimination entries eliminate investments in subsidiaries, related stockholders equity and other intercompany balances and transactions.

(in 000s)

Condensed Consolidating meonic statements										(111 0003)
Three Months Ended January 31, 2009		Block, Inc. rantor)		BFC (Issuer)	Su	Other bsidiaries		Elims		nsolidated &R Block
Total revenues	\$	-	\$	85,044	\$	908,466	\$	(64)	\$	993,446
Cost of services Cost of other revenues Selling, general and		-		10,615 69,128		562,233 42,586		6 (1)		572,854 111,713
administrative		-		44,125		164,791		(102)		208,814

Edgar Filing: H&R BLOCK INC - Form 10-Q

Total expenses	-	123,868	769,610	(97)	893,381
Operating income (loss)	-	(38,824)	138,856	33	100,065
Other income (expense), net	101,739	(1,968)	3,610	(101,707)	1,674
Income (loss) from continuing operations before taxes					
(benefit)	101,739	(40,792)	142,466	(101,674)	101,739
Income taxes (benefit)	34,909	(16,013)	50,942	(34,929)	34,909
Net income (loss) from					
continuing operations Net loss from discontinued	66,830	(24,779)	91,524	(66,745)	66,830
operations	(19,467)	(20,113)	-	20,113	(19,467)
Net income (loss)	\$ 47,363	\$ (44,892)	\$ 91,524	\$ (46,632)	\$ 47,363

Table of Contents

Three Months Ended January 31, 2008		R Block, Inc. uarantor)	BFC (Issuer)	Su	Other bsidiaries	Elims	nsolidated &R Block
Total revenues	\$	-	\$ 133,343	\$	774,765	\$ (13,304)	\$ 894,804
Cost of services Cost of other revenues Selling, general and		-	18,742 77,067		534,018 19,167	47 -	552,807 96,234
administrative		-	114,620		144,566	(11,866)	247,320
Total expenses		-	210,429		697,751	(11,819)	896,361
Operating income (loss) Other income, net		416	(77,086) 9		77,014 1,964	(1,485) (416)	(1,557) 1,973
Income (loss) from continuing operations before taxes (benefit) Income taxes (benefit)		416 (6,674)	(77,077) (33,637)		78,978 27,299	(1,901) 6,338	416 (6,674)
Net income (loss) from continuing operations Net loss from discontinued		7,090	(43,440)		51,679	(8,239)	7,090
operations		(54,448)	(54,589)		(2,622)	57,211	(54,448)
Net income (loss)	\$	(47,358)	\$ (98,029)	\$	49,057	\$ 48,972	\$ (47,358)
	II 0-D	D1 a ala					

Nine Months Ended January 31, 2009	H&R Block, Inc. (Guarantor)	BFC (Issuer)	Other Subsidiaries	Elims	Consolidated H&R Block
Total revenues	\$ -	\$ 124,145	\$ 1,495,472	\$ (2,793)	\$ 1,616,824
Cost of services Cost of other revenues Selling, general and	- -	17,886 154,301	1,254,854 62,621	22 (32)	1,272,762 216,890
administrative	-	74,669	389,669	(284)	464,054
Total expenses	-	246,856	1,707,144	(294)	1,953,706
Operating loss Other income (expense), net	(338,684)	(122,711) (5,858)	(211,672) 4,024	(2,499) 338,716	(336,882) (1,802)

Edgar Filing: H&R BLOCK INC - Form 10-Q

Loss from continuing operations before tax benefit Income tax benefit	(338,684) (143,930)	(128,569) (50,553)	(207,648) (92,329)	336,217 142,882	(338,684) (143,930)
Net loss from continuing operations Net loss from discontinued	(194,754)	(78,016)	(115,319)	193,335	(194,754)
operations	(26,476)	(28,577)	-	28,577	(26,476)
Net loss	\$ (221,230)	\$ (106,593)	\$ (115,319)	\$ 221,912	\$ (221,230)

Nine Months Ended January 31, 2008	&R Block, Inc. Guarantor)	BFC (Issuer)	S	Other ubsidiaries	Elims	onsolidated I&R Block
Total revenues	\$ -	\$ 260,871	\$	1,301,716	\$ (17,073)	\$ 1,545,514
Cost of services Cost of other revenues Selling, general and	-	33,652 160,703		1,231,236 34,226	(8)	1,264,880 194,929
administrative	-	148,423		377,934	(11,954)	514,403
Total expenses	-	342,778		1,643,396	(11,962)	1,974,212
Operating loss Other income (expense), net	(408,906)	(81,907) (12)		(341,680) 19,804	(5,111) 408,906	(428,698) 19,792
Loss from continuing operations before tax benefit Income tax benefit	(408,906) (168,893)	(81,919) (36,432)		(321,876) (130,398)	403,795 166,830	(408,906) (168,893)
Net loss from continuing operations Net loss from discontinued operations	(240,013) (612,196)	(45,487) (609,192)		(191,478) (6,212)	236,965 615,404	(240,013) (612,196)
Net loss	\$ (852,209)	\$ (654,679)	\$	(197,690)	\$ 852,369	\$ (852,209)

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets (in 000s)										
January 31, 2009	H&R Block, Inc. (Guarantor)	BFC (Issuer)	Other Subsidiaries	Elims	Consolidated H&R Block					
Cash & cash equivalents	\$ -	\$ 1,014,130	\$ 255,411	\$ (338)	\$ 1,269,203					
Cash & cash equivalents restricted	_	66,070	9,823	_	75,893					
Receivables, net Mortgage loans held for	1,175	1,866,003	775,773	-	2,642,951					
investment Intangible assets and	-	781,755	-	-	781,755					
goodwill, net Investments in subsidiaries	2 622 649	-	1,242,549	(2,622,649)	1,242,549					
Other assets	2,633,648	364,244	254 925,006	(2,633,648)	254 1,289,287					
Total assets	\$ 2,634,823	\$ 4,092,202	\$ 3,208,816	\$ (2,633,949)	\$ 7,301,892					
Short-term borrowings	\$ -	\$ 690,485	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 690,485					
Customer deposits	-	2,116,046	- 42.710	(338)	2,115,708					
Long-term debt FHLB borrowings	-	1,968,967 104,000	42,710	-	2,011,677 104,000					
Other liabilities	245	163,894	1,375,840	38	1,540,017					
Net intercompany advances	1,794,573	(1,083,579)		(1)	-					
Stockholders equity	840,005	132,389	2,501,259	(2,633,648)	840,005					
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 2,634,823	\$ 4,092,202	\$ 3,208,816	\$ (2,633,949)	\$ 7,301,892					
April 30, 2008	H&R Block, Inc. (Guarantor)	BFC (Issuer)	Other Subsidiaries	Elims	Consolidated H&R Block					
Cash & cash equivalents	\$ -	\$ 34,611	\$ 630,933	\$ (647)	\$ 664,897					
Cash & cash equivalents restricted	_	6,214	817	_	7,031					
Receivables, net	139	122,756	411,334	-	534,229					
Mortgage loans held for investment Intangible assets and	-	966,301	-	-	966,301					
goodwill, net	-	-	978,682	-	978,682					

Edgar Filing: H&R BLOCK INC - Form 10-Q

Investments in subsidiaries Assets of discontinued	4,131,345	-	322	(4,131,345)	322
operations	-	987,592	-	-	987,592
Other assets	-	514,463	969,896	12	1,484,371
Total assets	\$ 4,131,484	\$ 2,631,937	\$ 2,991,984	\$ (4,131,980)	\$ 5,623,425
Customer deposits	\$ -	\$ 786,271	\$ _	\$ (647)	\$ 785,624
Long-term debt	-	997,885	41,185	-	1,039,070
FHLB borrowings	-	129,000	-	_	129,000
Liabilities of discontinued					
operations	-	644,446	-	_	644,446
Other liabilities	2	466,236	1,571,178	51	2,037,467
Net intercompany advances	3,143,664	(632,522)	(2,511,103)	(39)	-
Stockholders equity	987,818	240,621	3,890,724	(4,131,345)	987,818
Total liabilities and					
stockholders equity	\$ 4,131,484	\$ 2,631,937	\$ 2,991,984	\$ (4,131,980)	\$ 5,623,425

Condensed Consolidating State	Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows (in 000s)									
Nine Months Ended January 31, 2009	H&R Block, Inc. (Guarantor)	BFC (Issuer)	Other Subsidiaries	Elims	Consolidated H&R Block					
Net cash used in operating activities:	\$ (3,360)	\$ (1,868,531)	\$ (551,671)	\$ -	\$ (2,423,562)					
Cash flows from investing: Mortgage loans originated for investment, net	-	72,150	-	-	72,150					
Purchase property & equipment	-	(5,366)	(68,547)	-	(73,913)					
Payments for business acquisitions Net intercompany advances Investing cash flows of	(71,691)	-	(290,868)	- 71,691	(290,868)					
discontinued operations Other, net	- -	255,066 7,483	16,356	- -	255,066 23,839					
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(71,691)	329,333	(343,059)	71,691	(13,726)					
Cash flows from financing:										
Repayments of short-term borrowings Proceeds from short-term	-	(928,983)	-	-	(928,983)					
borrowings Customer deposits	-	2,565,281 1,326,275	-	309	2,565,281 1,326,584					
Dividends paid	(147,569)	-	-	-	(147,569)					
Acquisition of treasury shares Proceeds from stock options	(7,387) 69,891	-	-	-	(7,387) 69,891					
Proceeds from issuance of stock	141,450	-	-	-	141,450					
Net intercompany advances Financing cash flows of	-	(448,639)	520,330	(71,691)	-					
discontinued operations Other, net	18,666	4,783	(1,122)	-	4,783 17,544					
Net cash provided by financing activities	75,051	2,518,717	519,208	(71,382)	3,041,594					
Net increase (decrease) in cash	-	979,519	(375,522)	309	604,306					
Cash beginning of period	-	34,611	630,933	(647)	664,897					

Cash end of period \$ - \$ 1,014,130 \$ 255,411 \$ (338) \$ 1,269,203

23

Table of Contents

Nine Months Ended January 31, 2008	H&R Block, Inc. (Guarantor)	BFC (Issuer)	Other Subsidiaries	Elims	Consolidated H&R Block
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:	\$ 35,374	\$ (2,786,795)	\$ (588,696)	\$ -	\$ (3,340,117)
Cash flows from investing: Mortgage loans originated for investment, net Purchase property &	-	106,721	-	-	106,721
equipment Payments for business	-	3,007	(80,233)	-	(77,226)
acquisitions Net intercompany advances Investing cash flows from	89,728	-	(23,835)	(89,728)	(23,835)
discontinued operations Other, net	-	(5,424) 7,046	3,749 336	-	(1,675) 7,382
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	89,728	111,350	(99,983)	(89,728)	11,367
Cash flows from financing: Repayments of commercial					
paper Proceeds from commercial	-	(5,125,279)	-	-	(5,125,279)
paper	-	4,133,197	-	-	4,133,197
Repayments of other borrowings Proceeds from other	-	(2,161,177)	-	-	(2,161,177)
borrowings Proceeds from issuance of LT	-	5,097,662	-	-	5,097,662
debt	-	599,376	-	-	599,376
Customer deposits	(127.040)	828,872	-	-	828,872
Dividends paid	(137,049)	-	-	-	(137,049)
Acquisition of treasury shares Proceeds from stock options	(7,237) 14,527	-	-	-	(7,237) 14,527
Net intercompany advances Financing cash flows of	14,327	(469,856)	380,128	89,728	14,327
discontinued operations	_	634,208	-	-	634,208
Other, net	4,657	(4,428)	(32,560)	-	(32,331)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(125,102)	3,532,575	347,568	89,728	3,844,769
Net increase (decrease) in cash	-	857,130	(341,111)	-	516,019

Edgar Filing: H&R BLOCK INC - Form 10-
--

Cash beginning of period - 60,197 756,720 - 816,917

Cash end of period \$ - \$ 917,327 \$ 415,609 \$ - \$ 1,332,936

24

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

H&R Block provides tax services, banking services and business and consulting services. Our Tax Services segment provides income tax return preparation services, electronic filing services and other services and products related to income tax return preparation to the general public primarily in the United States, Canada and Australia. Our Business Services segment consists of RSM McGladrey, Inc. (RSM), a national accounting, tax and business consulting firm primarily serving mid-sized businesses. Our Consumer Financial Services segment offers retail banking through H&R Block Bank (HRB Bank).

On August 12, 2008, we announced the signing of a definitive agreement to sell H&R Block Financial Advisors, Inc. (HRBFA) to Ameriprise Financial, Inc. (Ameriprise), and completed the disposition of this business effective November 1, 2008. As of January 31, 2009, the results of operations of HRBFA and its direct corporate parent are presented as discontinued operations in the condensed consolidated financial statements. All periods presented have been reclassified to reflect our discontinued operations. See additional discussion in note 17 to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

TAX SERVICES

This segment primarily consists of our income tax preparation businesses retail, online and software. Additionally, this segment includes commercial tax businesses, which provide tax preparation software to CPAs and other tax preparers.

Tax Services Operating Statistics (U.S. only)

Period November 1 through January 31,	2009	2008
Tax returns prepared (in 000s):		
Company-owned operations (1)	2,579	2,430
Franchise operations	1,339	1,427
Total retail operations	3,918	3,857
Software	780	799
Online	643	396
Free File Alliance	178	306
Total digital tax solutions	1,601	1,501
	5,519	5,358
Net average fee per tax return prepared: (2)		
Company-owned operations	\$ 202.07	\$ 181.19
Franchise operations	171.67	157.91
	\$ 191.68	\$ 172.58

Edgar Filing: H&R BLOCK INC - Form 10-Q

Offices:		
Company-owned	7,029	6,835
Company-owned shared locations (3)	1,542	1,478
Total company-owned offices	8,571	8,313
Franchise	3,565	3,812
Franchise shared locations (3)	787	913
Total franchise offices	4,352	4,725
	12,923	13,038

⁽¹⁾ Fiscal year 2009 returns include approximately 139,000 returns prepared in offices of our last major independent franchise operator, which we acquired in November 2008. Tax returns prepared by this franchise operator in fiscal year 2008 are presented within franchise operations for that year.

⁽²⁾ Calculated as net tax preparation fees divided by retail tax returns prepared.

⁽³⁾ Shared locations include offices located within Wal-Mart, Sears and other third-party businesses.

Tax Services Operating Results

(in 000s)

	Three	e Months Ended January 31,	Nine Months Ended Januar			
	2009	2008	2009	2008		
Service revenues:						
Tax preparation fees	\$ 534,389	\$ 455,036	\$ 620,728	\$ 529,423		
Other services	75,435	65,766	146,719	134,693		
	609,824	520,802	767,447	664,116		
Royalties	72,980	61,350	81,963	69,111		
Loan participation and related fees	36,123	40,584	36,123	41,737		
Other	42,808	39,051	50,571	47,490		
Total revenues	761,735	661,787	936,104	822,454		
Cost of services:						
Compensation and benefits	251,578	236,048	359,459	343,661		
Occupancy	93,474	90,818	253,761	245,886		
Depreciation	9,758	9,399	25,963	26,009		
Other	73,753	74,943	166,828	176,410		
Cost of other revenues, selling,	428,563	411,208	806,011	791,966		
general and administrative	202,729	204,700	348,138	356,047		
Total expenses	631,292	615,908	1,154,149	1,148,013		
Pretax income (loss)	\$ 130,443	\$ 45,879	\$ (218,045)	\$ (325,559)		

Three months ended January 31, 2009 compared to January 31, 2008

Tax Services revenues increased \$99.9 million, or 15.1%, for the three months ended January 31, 2009 compared to the prior year. Tax preparation fees increased \$79.4 million, or 17.4%, primarily due to a 6.1% increase in U.S. retail tax returns prepared in company-owned offices and an 11.5% increase in the net average fee per U.S. retail tax return. The increase in returns prepared in company-owned offices is primarily due to the November 2008 acquisition of our last major independent franchise operator. See note 3 to the condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information. Excluding operating results attributable to the acquired franchise operator, tax returns prepared in company-owned offices increased 0.5% over the prior year and tax preparation fees increased \$52.5 million. Increases in our net average fee are due to a combination of planned pricing increases, higher tax return complexity and lower discounts.

The business of our Tax Services segment is highly seasonal and results for our third quarter represent only a small portion of the tax season. Results reported in our third quarter were positively impacted by a shift of two peak days of tax preparation volume, as compared to prior year results, from February to January. Therefore, third quarter results

may not be indicative of the results we expect for the entire fiscal year. We do not expect to maintain this level of revenue or tax return growth throughout the remainder of the tax season. Tax returns prepared in company-owned and franchise offices through February 28, 2009 decreased 3.9% from the prior year, adjusted to exclude the effects of leap year in fiscal 2008. We also expect the increase in the net average fee to moderate throughout the remainder of the tax season.

Other service revenue increased \$9.7 million, or 14.7%, primarily due to \$8.7 million in additional license fees earned from bank products, mainly refund anticipation checks (RACs). Revenues from our online tax preparation and e-filing services were essentially flat, as an increase in clients was offset by the elimination of separate e-filing fees related to our software units.

Royalty revenue increased \$11.6 million, or 19.0%, from the prior year primarily due to an increase in franchise revenues and an increase in royalty rates at sub-franchises of the acquired franchise operator.

Loan participation and related fees decreased \$4.5 million, or 11.0%, due to a decline in refund anticipation loan (RAL) volume, as more clients elected to receive RACs.

Other revenues increased \$3.8 million, or 9.6%, primarily due to an increase of \$12.6 million in fees earned in connection with the Emerald Advance loan program, under which, this segment shares in the revenues and expenses associated with the program. This increase was partially offset by a decline in software sales.

26

Table of Contents

Total expenses increased \$15.4 million, or 2.5%, for the three months ended January 31, 2009. Cost of services increased \$17.4 million, or 4.2%, from the prior year, due to higher compensation and benefits. Compensation and benefits increased \$15.5 million, or 6.6%, primarily due to a 9.9% increase in commission-based wages resulting from a corresponding increase in tax preparation revenues. Cost of other revenues, selling, general and administrative expenses decreased slightly from the prior year, as declines in corporate wages and corporate shared services were offset by a \$14.7 million increase in marketing expenses. Bad debt expense related to lending products was essentially flat compared to the prior year, as the negative impact of the elimination of cross-collect practices by lending banks in the prior year was offset in the current year by higher bad debt expense due to higher numbers of Emerald Advance lines of credit.

Pretax income for the three months ended January 31, 2009 was \$130.4 million, compared to income of \$45.9 million in the prior year.

Nine months ended January 31, 2009 compared to January 31, 2008

Tax Services revenues increased \$113.7 million, or 13.8%, for the nine months ended January 31, 2009 compared to the prior year. Tax preparation fees increased \$91.3 million, or 17.2%, primarily due to a 6.6% increase in our U.S. retail tax returns prepared in company-owned offices and an 11.2% increase in the net average fee per U.S. retail tax return. The increase in tax returns prepared is primarily due to the acquisition of our last major independent franchise operator, as discussed above. Excluding operating results attributable to the acquired franchise operator, tax returns prepared increased 1.3% over the prior year.

Other service revenue increased \$12.0 million, or 8.9%, primarily due to \$9.1 million in additional license fees earned from bank products, mainly RACs. Additionally, we earned \$4.8 million in connection with an agreement with HRB Bank for the H&R Block Emerald Prepaid MasterCard®, under which, this segment shares in the revenues and expenses associated with this program.

Royalty revenue increased \$12.9 million, or 18.6%, from the prior year primarily due to an increase in franchisee revenues and certain royalty rates, as discussed above.

Loan participation and related fees decreased \$5.6 million, or 13.5%, due to a decline in RAL volume, as more clients elected to receive RACs.

Other revenues increased \$3.1 million, or 6.5%, primarily due to \$13.1 million in incremental fees earned in connection with the Emerald Advance loan program. This increase was partially offset by a decline in software sales. Total expenses increased \$6.1 million, or 0.5%, for the nine months ended January 31, 2009. Cost of services increased \$14.0 million, or 1.8%, over the prior year, due to higher compensation and benefits and occupancy expenses, partially offset by declines in other expenses. Compensation and benefits increased \$15.8 million, or 4.6%, primarily as a result of an 8.9% increase in commission-based wages. Occupancy expenses increased \$7.9 million, or 3.2%, primarily as a result of higher rent and utilities expenses due to a 3.1% increase in company-owned offices under lease and a 2.9% increase in the average rent. Other cost of services decreased \$9.6 million, or 5.4%, primarily due to a \$6.5 million decline in supplies expenses as our tax training schools move to more computer-based training. Cost of other revenues, selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$7.9 million from the prior year, as declines in RAL bad debt expense, corporate wages and corporate shared services were partially offset by a \$17.4 million increase in marketing expenses. Bad debt expense related to our RAL program declined primarily due to the elimination of cross-collect practices by lending banks and changes implemented by the IRS in the prior year, both of which resulted in higher expenses in the prior year.

The pretax loss for the nine months ended January 31, 2009 was \$218.0 million, compared to a loss of \$325.6 million in the prior year.

27

BUSINESS SERVICES

This segment offers accounting, tax and consulting services to middle-market companies.

Business Services Operating Statistics

	Three Months Ended January 31,			N	Nine Months	Ended Ja	inuary 31,	
	2009			2008		2009		2008
Accounting, tax and consulting:								
Chargeable hours		923,321		984,851		3,075,623		3,297,153
Chargeable hours per person		301		319		905		918
Net billed rate per hour	\$	150	\$	144	\$	147	\$	145
Average margin per person	\$	22,556	\$	23,463	\$	66,162	\$	67,695

Business Services Operating Results

(in 000s)

	Three Mor	nths Ended			
	Janua	ry 31,	Nine Months Ended January		
	2009	2008	2009	2008	
Tax services	\$ 78,267	\$ 76,222	\$ 265,137	\$ 256,048	
Business consulting	60,366	59,369	187,123	175,461	
Accounting services	13,904	12,513	40,285	42,198	
Capital markets	4,762	9,770	15,545	33,717	
Leased employee revenue	2	3,581	52	25,077	
Reimbursed expenses	5,883	3,356	14,418	13,923	
Other	21,993	27,073	70,313	77,331	
Total revenues	185,177	191,884	592,873	623,755	
Cost of revenues:					
Compensation and benefits	99,498	107,093	341,540	364,388	
Occupancy	20,423	19,138	60,017	54,814	
Other	15,969	16,166	46,290	59,723	
	135,890	142,397	447,847	478,925	
Amortization of intangible assets	3,177	3,372	9,946	10,572	
Selling, general and					
administrative	35,415	39,501	111,599	117,769	
Total expenses	174,482	185,270	569,392	607,266	

Pretax income \$ 10,695 \$ 6,614 \$ 23,481 \$ 16,489

Three months ended January 31, 2009 compared to January 31, 2008

Business Services revenues for the three months ended January 31, 2009 declined \$6.7 million, or 3.5% from the prior year.

Revenues from core tax, consulting and accounting services increased \$4.4 million, or 3.0%, over the prior year, however, these increases were offset by declines in other revenues.

Capital markets revenues decreased \$5.0 million, or 51.3%, primarily due to a 68.8% decline in the number of transactions closed in the current year.

Leased employee revenue decreased \$3.6 million primarily due to a change in organizational structure between the businesses we acquired from American Express Tax and Business Services, Inc. (AmexTBS) and the attest firms that, while not affiliates of our company, also serve our clients. Employees we previously leased to the attest firms were transferred to the separate attest practices in the prior fiscal year. As a result, we no longer record the revenues and expenses associated with leasing these employees.

Other revenue declined \$5.1 million, or 18.8%, primarily due to a decrease in outside contractor services performed for our clients.

Total expenses decreased \$10.8 million, or 5.8%, from the prior year. Compensation and benefits decreased \$7.6 million, or 7.1%, due to lower commissions related to capital markets and the change in organizational structure with AmexTBS discussed above. Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$4.1 million primarily as a result of our cost reduction program.

Pretax income for the three months ended January 31, 2009 was \$10.7 million compared to \$6.6 million in the prior year.

28

Table of Contents

Nine months ended January 31, 2009 compared to January 31, 2008

Business Services revenues for the nine months ended January 31, 2009 declined \$30.9 million, or 5.0% from the prior year.

Tax revenues increased \$9.1 million due to increases in net billed rate per hour. Business consulting revenues increased \$11.7 million primarily due to a large one-time financial institutions engagement. Capital markets revenues decreased \$18.2 million, or 53.9%, primarily due to a 43.2% decline in the number of transactions closed in the current year.

Leased employee revenue decreased \$25.0 million primarily due to a change in organizational structure with AmexTBS, as discussed above.

Other revenue declined \$7.0 million, or 9.1%, primarily due to a decrease in outside contractor services performed for our clients.

Total expenses decreased \$37.9 million, or 6.2%, from the prior year. Compensation and benefits and other cost of revenues decreased primarily due to reductions in commissions related to capital markets and the change in organizational structure with AmexTBS as discussed above. Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$6.2 million primarily as a result of our cost reduction program.

Pretax income for the nine months ended January 31, 2009 was \$23.5 million compared to \$16.5 million in the prior year.

CONSUMER FINANCIAL SERVICES

This segment is engaged in providing retail banking offerings to Tax Services clients through HRB Bank. HRB Bank offers traditional banking services including prepaid debit card accounts, checking and savings accounts, individual retirement accounts and certificates of deposit. This segment previously included HRBFA, which has been presented as a discontinued operation in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

Consumer Financial Services Operating Statistics

	Three Months E	nded January 31,	Nine Months Ended January		
	2009	2008	2009	2008	
Annualized net interest margin (1) Annualized pretax return on	6.32%	4.65%	4.56%	3.09%	
average assets (2)	(0.72)%	3.47%	(3.65)%	1.23%	
Total assets (in 000s)	\$ 2,610,019	\$ 2,395,156	\$ 2,610,019	\$ 2,395,156	
Mortgage loans held for					
investment:					
Loan loss reserve as a% of					
mortgage loans	8.82%	1.49%	8.82%	1.49%	
Delinquency rate (30+ days)	16.29%	7.13%	16.29%	7.13%	

⁽¹⁾ Defined as annualized net interest revenue divided by average bank earning assets. See Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Financial Information at the end of Part I, Item 2.

⁽²⁾ Defined as annualized pretax banking income divided by average bank assets. See Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Financial Information at the end of Part I, Item 2.

Consumer Financial Services Operating Results

(in 000s)

	Three Months Ended January 31,			2000	Nine Months Ended January 31,		
		2009	2008		2009		2008
Interest income:							
Mortgage loans	\$	11,131	\$ 17,198	\$	36,494	\$	60,140
Other		21,193	11,881		23,467		13,913
		32,324	29,079		59,961		74,053
Interest expense:							
Deposits		3,719	11,464		11,646		37,928
FHLB advances		1,326	1,349		3,981		4,709
		5,045	12,813		15,627		42,637
Net interest income		27,279	16,266		44,334		31,416
Provision for loan loss reserves		(13,870)	(419))	(51,953))	(12,345)
Other		12,871	10,225		21,019		15,555
Total revenues (1)		26,280	26,072		13,400		34,626
Non-interest expenses		29,548	13,754		49,414		21,875
Pretax income (loss)	\$	(3,268)	\$ 12,318	\$	(36,014)) \$	12,751

Three months ended January 31, 2009 compared to January 31, 2008

Consumer Financial Services revenues, net of interest expense and provision for loan loss reserves, for the three months ended January 31, 2009 was essentially flat compared to the prior year.

Net interest income increased \$11.0 million, or 67.7%, over the prior year, primarily due to an \$11.2 million increase in interest income received on our Emerald Advance loan program resulting from higher volumes. Interest income on mortgage loans held for investment and interest expense on deposits declined \$6.1 million and \$7.7 million, respectively, due to lower interest rates and lower average balances in the corresponding asset or liability. Interest income on mortgage loans held for investment is also declining due to an increase in non-accrual loans from \$44.8 million at January 31, 2008 to \$258.2 million at January 31, 2009. The following table summarizes the key drivers of net interest income:

			(dollars in 000s)
	Average Bala	ance	Average Rate Earned (Paid	
Three Months Ended January 31,	2009	2008	2009	2008

⁽¹⁾ Total revenues, less provision for loan loss reserves on mortgage loans held for investment and interest expense.

Loans	\$ 870,060	\$ 1,089,566	5.12%	6.31%
Emerald Advance lines of credit	375,255	171,925	36.00%	36.00%
Investments	545,825	154,498	0.21%	4.20%
Deposits	1,311,362	989,113	(1.13%)	(4.60%)

Our non-performing assets consist of the following:

Balance at		(in 000s) April 30, 2008	
Impaired loans Real estate owned (1)	\$	258,157 51,919	\$ 128,941 350
Total non-performing assets	\$	310,076	\$ 129,291

30

⁽¹⁾ Includes loans accounted for as in-substance foreclosures of \$39.7 million at January 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

Detail of our mortgage loans held for investment and the related allowance at January 31, 2009 and April 30, 2008 is as follows:

					(dollars in 000s)
	Outstanding	Lo	oan Loss	%30+Days	
	Principal				Average
	Balance	Al	Allowance Past Due		FICO
As of January 31, 2009:					
Purchased from SCC	\$ 547,832	\$	71,880	23.39%	639
All other	303,370		3,735	3.07%	717
	\$ 851,202	\$	75,615	16.29%	667
As of April 30, 2008:					
Purchased from SCC	\$ 683,889	\$	43,769	17.53%	664
All other	320,751		1,632	2.07%	721
	\$ 1,004,640	\$	45,401	11.71%	682

Mortgage loans held for investment include loans originated by our affiliate, Sand Canyon Corporation (SCC), and purchased by HRB Bank totaling \$547.8 million, or approximately 64% of the total loan portfolio at January 31, 2009. Loans originated by and purchased from SCC have characteristics which are representative of Alt-A loans loans to customers who have credit ratings above sub-prime, but may not conform to government-sponsored standards. As such, we have experienced higher rates of delinquency and have greater exposure to loss with respect to this segment of our loan portfolio. Cumulative losses on our original loan portfolio purchased from SCC and retained for investment, including losses on loans now classified as other real estate, totaled approximately 15% at January 31, 2009. Our remaining loan portfolio totaled \$303.4 million and is characteristic of a prime loan portfolio, and we believe subject to a lower loss exposure.

We recorded a provision for loan losses on our mortgage loans held for investment of \$13.9 million during the current quarter, compared to \$0.4 million in the prior year. Our loan loss provision increased as a result of continued declines in residential home prices, particularly in certain states where we have a higher concentration of loans, as well as reserves on modified loans. Our allowance for loan losses as a percent of mortgage loans was 8.82%, or \$75.6 million, at January 31, 2009, compared to 4.49%, or \$45.4 million, at April 30, 2008. This allowance represents our best estimate of credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of the balance sheet dates.

We record a specific loss allowance for each loan greater than 60 days past due based upon the estimated value of the underlying collateral. Our specific loan loss allowance reflected an average loss severity of 36% at January 31, 2009. We record a loan loss allowance for loans less than 60 days past due on a pooled basis. In estimating our loan loss allowance for all remaining loans, we stratify the loan portfolio based on our view of risk associated with various elements of the pool and assign estimated loss rates based on those risks. Loss rates are based primarily on historical experience and our assessment of economic and market conditions. Loss rates consider both the rate at which loans will become delinquent (frequency) and the amount of loss that will ultimately be realized upon occurrence of a liquidation of collateral (severity). At January 31, 2009 and April 30, 2008 our weighted average frequency assumption was approximately 13% and 14%, respectively, and included a frequency assumption of approximately 17% relating to the SCC segment of our portfolio. Our weighted average severity assumption increased to 40% at

January 31, 2009 from 37.5% at October 31, 2008 and 22% at April 30, 2008, due to declining collateral values during the current year.

For modified loans that we determine meet the definition of a troubled debt restructuring, we record impairment equal to the difference between the principal balance of the loan and the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s effective interest rate. However, if we assess that foreclosure of a modified loan is probable, we record impairment based upon the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral.

Residential real estate markets are experiencing significant declines in property values and mortgage default rates are increasing. If adverse market trends continue, including trends within our portfolio specifically, we may be required to record additional loan loss provisions, and those losses may be significant.

Non-interest expenses increased \$15.8 million from the prior year, primarily due to increases in expenses related to our Emerald Advance loan program.

31

The pretax loss for the three months ended January 31, 2009 was \$3.3 million compared to prior year income of \$12.3 million.

Nine months ended January 31, 2009 compared to January 31, 2008

Consumer Financial Services revenues, net of interest expense and provision for loan loss reserves, for the nine months ended January 31, 2009 decreased \$21.2 million from the prior year.

Net interest income increased \$12.9 million from the prior year primarily due to an \$11.9 million increase in interest income received on our Emerald Advance loan program resulting from higher volumes. Interest income on mortgage loans held for investment and interest expense on deposits declined \$23.6 million and \$26.3 million, respectively, due to lower interest rates and lower average balances in the corresponding asset or liability. The following table summarizes the key drivers of net interest income:

	Average Balance			(dollars in 000s) Average Rate Earned (Paid)		
Nine Months Ended January 31,	2009	2008	2009	2008		
Loans	\$ 918,803	\$ 1,207,583	5.30%	6.64%		
Emerald Advance lines of credit	128,352	57,930	36.00%	36.00%		
Investments	246,698	103,979	0.72%	4.77%		
Deposits	908,671	991,127	(1.69%)	(5.06%)		

We recorded a provision for loan losses on our mortgage loans held for investment of \$52.0 million during the current year, compared to \$12.3 million in the prior year. Our loan loss provision increased primarily as a result of steep and abrupt declines in residential home prices, as well as increasing delinquencies occurring in our portfolio. Non-interest expenses increased \$27.5 million from the prior year, primarily due to a \$5.7 million write-down to fair value recorded on real estate owned and increases in expenses related to our Emerald Advance loan program. The pretax loss for the nine months ended January 31, 2009 was \$36.0 million compared to prior year income of \$12.8 million.

Mortgage Loans Held for Investment and Related Assets

State Concentrations

Concentrations of loans to borrowers located in a single state may result in increased exposure to loss as a result of changes in real estate values and underlying economic or market conditions related to a particular geographical location. The table below presents outstanding loans by certain state concentrations for our mortgage loans held for investment portfolio:

						(dollars in 000s)
	Loans Purchased		Loans Purchased		Percent	Delinquency Rate (30+
	From SCC	Fr	om Others	Total	of Total	Days)
Florida California New York	\$ 70,259 128,582 104,792	\$	93,094 15,130 8,555	163,353 143,712 113,347	19% 17% 13%	17.09% 25.71% 16.78%

Edgar Filing: H&R BLOCK INC - Form 10-Q

Wisconsin	2,247	72,352	74,599	9%	1.77%
All others	241,952	114,239	356,191	42%	14.97%
Total	\$ 547,832	\$ 303,370	\$ 851,202	100%	16.29%

32

Table of Contents

Real Estate Owned

Amounts classified as real estate owned as of January 31, 2009 and April 30, 2008 totaled \$51.9 million and \$0.3 million, respectively. The table below presents activity related to our real estate owned:

Nine Months Ended January 31,	(in 000s) 2009
Balance, beginning of the period Additions Sales Writedowns	\$ 350 62,774 (5,506) (5,699)
Balance, end of the period	\$ 51,919

CORPORATE, ELIMINATIONS AND INCOME TAXES ON CONTINUING OPERATIONS

Three months ended January 31, 2009 compared to January 31, 2008

The pretax loss recorded in our corporate operations for the three months ended January 31, 2009 was \$36.1 million compared to \$64.4 million in the prior year. The decreased loss is primarily due to severance-related costs of \$20.4 million recorded in the prior year, coupled with benefits resulting from the cost reduction program implemented in fiscal year 2008.

Our effective tax rate for continuing operations was 34.3% for the three months ended January 31, 2009. The rate for the current quarter was lower than expected primarily due to benefits recorded as a result of adjustments of our prior year estimated tax provision to actual federal and state returns filed, as well as a net benefit recorded in the quarter resulting from adjustments to our estimated annual effective tax rate. We expect our effective tax rate for full fiscal year 2009 to be approximately 40%. In the prior year, we also recorded certain discrete tax benefits, resulting in a net tax benefit of \$6.7 million on pretax income of \$0.4 million.

Nine months ended January 31, 2009 compared to January 31, 2008

The pretax loss recorded in our corporate operations for the nine months ended January 31, 2009 was \$108.1 million compared to \$112.6 million in the prior year. The decreased loss is primarily due to severance-related costs recorded in the prior year and benefits resulting from the cost reduction program implemented in fiscal year 2008. These improvements were partially offset by lower investment income and increased interest expense, as our corporate operations absorbed current year financing costs for all long-term debt.

Our effective tax rate for continuing operations was 42.5% and 41.3% for the nine months ended January 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Our effective tax rate increased primarily due to changes in our estimated state tax rate and non-deductible investment losses. We expect our effective tax rate for full fiscal year 2009 to be approximately 40%.

DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

On August 12, 2008, we announced the signing of a definitive agreement to sell HRBFA to Ameriprise. The disposition of this business was completed effective November 1, 2008. As of January 31, 2009, the results of operations of HRBFA and its direct corporate parent are presented as discontinued operations in the condensed consolidated financial statements. All periods presented have been reclassified to reflect our discontinued operations. See additional discussion in note 17 to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Discontinued operations also includes the wind-down of our mortgage loan origination business and the sale of our mortgage loan servicing business in the prior year. Also included in the prior year are the results of three smaller lines of business previously reported in our Business Services segment.

Three months ended January 31, 2009 compared to January 31, 2008

The pretax loss of our discontinued operations for the three months ended January 31, 2009 was \$20.1 million compared to a loss of \$93.4 million in the prior year. The loss from discontinued operations for the prior year period included significant losses from our former mortgage loan businesses, including impairments of residual interests of \$14.7 million and losses relating to loan repurchase obligations of \$49.5 million.

33

Table of Contents

Losses from discontinued operations in the current quarter consist primarily of a \$15.5 million charge relating to the estimated fair value of indemnification obligations undertaken in connection with the disposition of HRBFA, as discussed in note 17 to the condensed consolidated financial statements, and ongoing wind-down costs associated with our former mortgage businesses.

As discussed below, the disposition of HRBFA resulted in a capital loss for income tax purposes, and therefore, we recorded no tax benefit on reported losses during the quarter incurred in connection with the sale. As such, our effective tax rate for discontinued operations was 2.9% for the three months ended January 31, 2009 compared with 41.7% for the three months ended January 31, 2008.

Nine months ended January 31, 2009 compared to January 31, 2008

The pretax loss of our discontinued operations for the nine months ended January 31, 2009 was \$47.4 million compared to a loss of \$978.0 million in the prior year. The loss from discontinued operations for the prior year period resulted from significant losses from our former mortgage loan businesses, including impairments of residual interests of \$125.9 million, losses relating to loan repurchase obligations of \$379.4 million and losses on the sale of mortgage loans totaling \$118.9 million.

Losses from discontinued operations in the current year consist primarily of the \$15.5 million indemnification obligation, as discussed above, and ongoing wind-down costs associated with our former mortgage businesses. During the current year, we recorded a deferred tax asset totaling \$165 million, representing the difference between the tax and book basis in the stock of our brokerage business sold to Ameriprise in November. For tax purposes, we incurred a capital loss upon disposition of that business, which generally can only be utilized to the extent we realize capital gains within five years subsequent to the date of the loss. We don't currently expect to be able to realize a tax benefit for substantially all of this loss and, therefore, recorded a valuation allowance of \$155 million, resulting in a net tax benefit during our second fiscal quarter of approximately \$10 million.

Our effective tax rate for discontinued operations was 44.2% and 37.4% for the nine months ended January 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. As discussed above, our effective tax rate increased primarily due to second quarter tax benefits of \$10 million recognized in connection with the disposition of HRBFA.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

These comments should be read in conjunction with the condensed consolidated balance sheets, condensed consolidated statements of cash flows and condensed consolidated statements of stockholders equity found on pages 1, 3 and 4, respectively.

CAPITAL RESOURCES & LIQUIDITY BY SEGMENT

Our sources of capital include cash from operations, issuances of common stock and debt. We use capital primarily to fund working capital, pay dividends, acquire businesses and repurchase treasury shares. Our operations are highly seasonal and therefore generally require the use of cash to fund operating losses during the period May through December.

Given the likely availability of a number of liquidity options discussed herein, including borrowing capacity under our unsecured committed lines of credit (CLOCs), we believe, that in the absence of any unexpected developments, our existing sources of capital at January 31, 2009 are sufficient to meet our operating needs.

Cash From Operations. Cash used in operating activities for the first nine months of fiscal year 2009 totaled \$2.4 billion, compared with \$3.3 billion for the same period last year. The decline was due primarily to lower losses and reduced working capital requirements of our discontinued businesses.

Debt. We borrow under our CLOCs to support working capital requirements primarily arising from off-season operating losses in our Tax Services and Business Services segments, pay dividends, acquire businesses and repurchase treasury shares. We had \$970.8 million outstanding under our CLOCs at January 31, 2009 compared to \$1.8 billion at January 31, 2008. See additional discussion in Borrowings.

We entered into a committed line of credit agreement with HSBC Finance Corporation (HSBC) effective January 14, 2009 for use as a funding source for the purchase of RAL participations. This line provides funding totaling

\$2.5 billion through March 30, 2009 and \$120.0 million thereafter through June 30, 2009. This line is

34

Table of Contents

subject to various covenants that are similar to our primary CLOCs, and is secured by our RAL participations. At January 31, 2009, there was \$690.5 million outstanding on this facility.

Issuance of Common Stock. On October 27, 2008, we sold 8.3 million shares of our common stock, without par value, at a price of \$17.50 per share in a registered direct offering through subscription agreements with selected institutional investors. We received net proceeds of \$141.5 million, after deducting placement agent fees and other offering expenses. The purpose of the equity offering was to ensure we maintained adequate equity levels, as a condition of our CLOCs, during our off-season. Proceeds were used for general corporate purposes.

Proceeds from the issuance of common stock in accordance with our stock-based compensation plans totaled \$80.1 million and \$17.4 million for the nine months ended January 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Dividends. Dividends paid totaled \$147.6 million and \$137.0 million for the nine months ended January 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Share Repurchases. In June 2008, our Board of Directors rescinded previous authorizations to repurchase shares of our common stock, and approved an authorization to purchase up to \$2.0 billion of our common stock over the next four years. We did not repurchase shares during the nine months ended January 31, 2009.

Restricted Cash. We hold certain cash balances that are restricted as to use. Cash and cash equivalents—restricted totaled \$75.9 million at January 31, 2009 compared to \$7.0 million at April 30, 2008. At January 31, 2009, our corporate operations held \$69.4 million of this total, primarily as a requirement of our \$2.5 billion line with HSBC Finance Corporation.

Segment Cash Flows. A condensed consolidating statement of cash flows by segment for the nine months ended January 31, 2009 is as follows:

			Consumer			(in 000s)
	Tax Services	Business Services	Financial Services	Corporate	Discontinued Operations	Consolidated H&R Block
Cash provided by (used in): Operations Investing Financing Net intercompany	\$ (1,824,397) (313,389) (9,807) 2,137,931	\$ (21,612) (21,009) 809 31,080	\$ (647,605) 77,116 1,300,875 261,992	\$ (2,897) (11,510) 1,744,934 (2,098,205)	\$ 72,949 255,066 4,783 (332,798)	\$ (2,423,562) (13,726) 3,041,594

Tax Services. Tax Services has historically been our largest provider of annual operating cash flows. The seasonal nature of Tax Services generally results in a large positive operating cash flow in our fourth quarter. Tax Services used \$1.8 billion in its current nine-month operations for off-season working capital requirements, including the purchase of participation interests in RALs. This segment also used \$313.4 million in investing activities primarily related to the acquisition of our last major franchise operator.

Business Services. Business Services funding requirements are largely related to receivables for completed work and work in process. We provide funding sufficient to cover this segment s working capital needs. This segment used \$21.6 million in operating cash flows during the first nine months of the year for off-season working capital requirements. Business Services used \$21.0 million in investing activities primarily related to capital expenditures. **Consumer Financial Services.** In the first nine months of fiscal year 2009, Consumer Financial Services used \$647.6 million in operating cash flows primarily relating to advances under Emerald Advance lines of credit. This segment also provided \$77.1 million in investing activities primarily from principal payments received on mortgage loans held for investment and provided \$1.3 billion in financing activities due to Emerald Card deposits relating to tax

client refunds.

HRB Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) of Des Moines, which extends credit to member banks based on eligible collateral. At January 31, 2009, HRB Bank had total FHLB advance capacity of \$434.1 million. There was \$104.0 million outstanding on this facility, leaving remaining availability of \$330.1 million. Mortgage loans held for investment of \$698.6 million serve as eligible collateral and are used to determine total capacity.

35

Table of Contents

BORROWINGS

The following chart provides the debt ratings for Block Financial LLC (BFC) as of January 31, 2009 and April 30, 2008:

	January 3	31, 2009				
	Short-term	Long-term	Outlook	Short-term	Long-term	Outlook
Moody s	P-2	Baa1	Stable	P-2	Baa1	Negative
S&P	A-2	BBB	Positive	A-3	BBB-	Negative
Fitch	F2	BBB	Stable	F3	BBB	Negative
DBRS	R-2 (high)	BBB (high)	Positive	R-2 (high)	BBB (high)	Negative

At January 31, 2009, we maintained \$2.0 billion in revolving credit facilities to support commercial paper issuance and for general corporate purposes. These CLOCs, and outstanding borrowings thereunder, have a maturity date of August 2010 and an annual facility fee in a range of six to fifteen basis points per annum, based on our credit ratings. We had \$970.8 million outstanding as of January 31, 2009 to support working capital requirements primarily arising from off-season operating losses, to pay dividends and acquire businesses. These borrowings are included in long-term debt on our condensed consolidated balance sheet due to their contractual maturity date. The CLOCs, among other things, require we maintain at least \$650.0 million of net worth on the last day of any fiscal quarter. We had net worth of \$840.0 million at January 31, 2009.

Lehman Brothers Bank, FSB (Lehman) is a participating lender in our \$2.0 billion CLOCs, with a \$50.0 million credit commitment. In September 2008, Lehman s parent company declared bankruptcy. Since then, Lehman has not honored any funding requests under these facilities, thereby effectively reducing our available liquidity under our CLOCs to \$1.95 billion. We do not expect this change to have a material impact on our liquidity.

We entered into a committed line of credit agreement with HSBC effective January 14, 2009 for use as a funding source for the purchase of RAL participations. This line provides funding totaling \$2.5 billion through March 30, 2009 and \$120.0 million thereafter through June 30, 2009. This line is subject to various covenants that are similar to our primary CLOCs, and is secured by our RAL participations. At January 31, 2009, there was \$690.5 million outstanding on this facility. Our contract with HSBC provides for them to fund RALs through 2011, with an option to renew, at our discretion, through 2013. We have also had a contract each of the last two years under which HSBC has funded our participation interest in RALs.

Other than the changes outlined above, there have been no material changes in our borrowings from those reported at April 30, 2008 in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND COMMERCIAL COMMITMENTS

There have been no material changes in our contractual obligations and commercial commitments from those reported at April 30, 2008 in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT

Effective October 27, 2008, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority approved our request to sell HRBFA to Ameriprise, and that disposition was completed effective November 1, 2008.

There have been no other material changes in our regulatory environment from those reported at April 30, 2008 in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This report and other documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) may contain forward-looking statements. In addition, our senior management may make forward-looking statements orally to analysts, investors, the media and others. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. They often include words such as expects, anticipates, plans, believes, seeks, estimates, would, should, could or may. Forward-looking statements provide man will, current expectations or predictions of future conditions, events or results. They may include projections of revenues, income, earnings per share, capital expenditures, dividends, liquidity, capital structure or other financial items, descriptions of management s plans or objectives for future operations, products or services, or descriptions of assumptions underlying any of the above. They are not guarantees of future performance. By their nature, forward-looking statements are subject to risks and

36

Table of Contents

uncertainties. These statements speak only as of the date made and management does not undertake to update them to reflect changes or events occurring after that date except as required by federal securities laws.

RECONCILIATION OF NON-GAAP FINANCIAL INFORMATION

We report our financial results in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). However, we believe certain non-GAAP performance measures and ratios used in managing the business may provide additional meaningful comparisons between current year results and prior periods. Reconciliations to GAAP financial measures are provided below. These non-GAAP financial measures should be viewed in addition to, not as an alternative for, our reported GAAP results.

Banking Ratios (dollars in 000s)

	Three Months Ended January 31, 2009 2008		Nine Months Ended January 31, 2009 2008				
Net Interest Margin annualized:							
Net interest revenue	\$ 27,279	\$	16,266	\$	44,334	\$	31,416
Net interest revenue annualized	\$ 109,116	\$	65,064	\$	59,112	\$	41,888
Divided by average earning assets	\$ 1,724,636	\$	1,398,583	\$	1,297,427	\$	1,357,562
Return on Average Assets annualized:	6.32%		4.65%		4.56%		3.09%
Pretax income (loss)	\$ (3,268)	\$	12,318	\$	(36,014)	\$	12,751
Pretax income (loss) annualized	\$ (13,072)	\$	49,272	\$	(48,019)	\$	17,001
Divided by average assets	\$ 1,810,957	\$	1,420,599	\$	1,314,452	\$	1,379,865
	(0.72%)		3.47%		(3.65%)		1.23%

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

There have been no material changes in our market risks from those reported at April 30, 2008 in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-Q, we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. The controls evaluation was done under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. Based on this evaluation, we have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

There were no changes that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The information below should be read in conjunction with the information included in note 14 to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

RAL Litigation

We have been named as a defendant in numerous lawsuits throughout the country regarding our refund anticipation loan programs (collectively, RAL Cases). The RAL Cases have involved a variety of legal theories asserted by plaintiffs. These theories include allegations that, among other things: disclosures in the RAL applications were inadequate, misleading and untimely; the RAL interest rates were usurious and unconscionable; we did not disclose that we would receive part of the finance charges paid by the customer for such loans; untrue, misleading or deceptive statements in marketing RALs; breach of state laws on credit service organizations; breach of contract, unjust enrichment, unfair and deceptive acts or practices; violations of the federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act; violations of the federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act and unfair competition regarding debt collection activities; and that we owe, and breached, a fiduciary duty to our customers in connection with the RAL program.

The amounts claimed in the RAL Cases have been very substantial in some instances, with one settlement resulting in a pretax expense of \$43.5 million in fiscal year 2003 (the Texas RAL Settlement) and other settlements resulting in a combined pretax expense in fiscal year 2006 of \$70.2 million. On December 31, 2008, we reached a settlement with the California attorney general in the case entitled *The People of California v. H&R Block, Inc., H&R Block Services, Inc., H&R Block Enterprises, Inc., H&R Block Tax Services, Inc., Block Financial Corporation, HRB Royalty, Inc., and Does 1 through 50, Case No., CGC-06-449461, in the California Superior Court, San Francisco County (the California AG Case). Pursuant to the terms of the settlement, we agreed to pay \$2.5 million in restitution to certain clients who obtained a refund anticipation loan or a refund anticipation check, \$0.5 million in civil penalties and \$1.9 million in fees and costs.*

Following settlement of the California AG Case, we have one remaining putative RAL class action. We believe we have meritorious defenses to this RAL Case and we intend to defend it vigorously. There can be no assurances, however, as to the outcome of the pending RAL Case or regarding the impact of the pending RAL Case on our financial statements. There were no other significant developments regarding the RAL Cases during the three months ended January 31, 2009.

Peace of Mind Litigation

We are defendants in lawsuits regarding our Peace of Mind program (collectively, the POM Cases), under which our applicable tax return preparation subsidiary assumes liability for additional tax assessments attributable to tax return preparation error. The POM Cases are described below.

Lorie J. Marshall, et al. v. H&R Block Tax Services, Inc., et al., Case No. 08-CV-591 in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Illinois, is a class action case originally filed in the Circuit Court of Madison County, Illinois on January 18, 2002, in which class certification was granted on August 27, 2003. The plaintiffs allege that the sale of POM guarantees constitutes (1) statutory fraud by selling insurance without a license, (2) an unfair trade practice, by omission and by cramming (i.e., charging customers for the guarantee even though they did not request it or want it), and (3) a breach of fiduciary duty. The court has certified plaintiff classes consisting of all persons who reside in 13 specified states and who from January 1, 1997 to final judgment (1) were charged a separate fee for POM by H&R Block; (2) were charged a separate fee for POM by an H&R Block entity not licensed to sell insurance; or (3) had an unsolicited charge for POM posted to their bills by H&R Block. Persons who received the POM guarantee through an H&R Block Premium office were excluded from the plaintiff class. In August 2008, we removed the case from state court in Madison County, Illinois to the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Illinois. On December 17, 2008, the case was remanded back to state court. We have filed a petition to appeal this ruling.

There is one other putative class action pending against us in Texas that involves the POM guarantee. This case is pending before the same judge that presided over the Texas RAL Settlement, involves the same plaintiffs attorneys that are involved in the Marshall litigation in Illinois, and contains allegations similar to those in the Marshall case. No class has been certified in this case.

38

Table of Contents

We believe we have meritorious defenses to the claims in the POM Cases, and we intend to defend them vigorously. The amounts claimed in the POM Cases are substantial, and there can be no assurances as to the outcome of these pending actions individually or in the aggregate.

Express IRA Litigation

On March 15, 2006, the New York Attorney General filed a lawsuit in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York (Index No. 06/401110) entitled The People of New York v. H&R Block, Inc. and H&R Block Financial Advisors, Inc. et al. The complaint alleged fraudulent business practices, deceptive acts and practices, common law fraud and breach of fiduciary duty with respect to the Express IRA product and sought equitable relief, disgorgement of profits, damages and restitution, civil penalties and punitive damages. On July 12, 2007, the Supreme Court of the State of New York issued a ruling that dismissed all defendants other than HRBFA and the claims of common law fraud. The intermediate appellate court reversed this ruling on January 6, 2009. We filed a petition for appeal with the highest state appellate court on January 30, 2009. We believe we have meritorious defenses to the claims in this case, and we intend to defend this case vigorously, but there are no assurances as to its outcome. On January 2, 2008, the Mississippi Attorney General filed a lawsuit in the Chancery Court of Hinds County, Mississippi First Judicial District (Case No. G 2008 6 S 2) entitled Jim Hood, Attorney for the State of Mississippi v. H&R Block, Inc., et al. The complaint alleged fraudulent business practices, deceptive acts and practices, common law fraud and breach of fiduciary duty with respect to the Express IRA product and sought equitable relief, disgorgement of profits, damages and restitution, civil penalties and punitive damages. The defendants have filed a motion to dismiss. We believe we have meritorious defenses to the claims in this case, and we intend to defend this case vigorously, but there are no assurances as to its outcome.

In addition to the New York and Mississippi Attorney General actions, a number of civil actions were filed against HRBFA and us concerning the Express IRA product, the first of which was filed on March 17, 2006. Except for two cases pending in state court, all of the civil actions have been consolidated by the panel for Multi-District Litigation into a single action styled *In re H&R Block, Inc. Express IRA Marketing Litigation* in the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri.

Although we sold HRBFA effective November 1, 2008, we remain responsible for the Express IRA litigation through an indemnification agreement with Ameriprise. The amounts claimed in these cases are substantial. We believe we have meritorious defenses to the claims in these cases, and we intend to defend these cases vigorously, but there are no assurances as to their outcome.

Securities Litigation

On April 6, 2007, a putative class action styled *In re H&R Block Securities Litigation* was filed against the Company and certain of its officers in the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri. The complaint alleges, among other things, deceptive, material and misleading financial statements and failure to prepare financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The complaint sought unspecified damages and equitable relief. The court dismissed the complaint on February 19, 2008, and plaintiffs appealed the dismissal on March 18, 2008. In addition, plaintiffs in a shareholder derivative action that was consolidated into the securities litigation filed a separate appeal on March 18, 2008, contending that the derivative action was improperly consolidated. The derivative action is *Iron Workers Local 16 Pension Fund v. H&R Block, et al.*, in the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri, Case No. 06-cv-00466-ODS (instituted on June 8, 2006) and was brought against certain of our directors and officers purportedly on behalf of the Company. The derivative action alleges breach of fiduciary duty, abuse of control, gross mismanagement, waste, and unjust enrichment pertaining to (1) our restatement of financial results in fiscal year 2006 due to errors in determining our state effective income tax rate and (2) certain of our products and business activities. We believe we have meritorious defenses to the claims in these cases and intend to defend this litigation vigorously. We currently do not believe that we will incur a material loss with respect to this litigation.

RSM McGladrey Litigation

RSM McGladrey Business Services, Inc. and certain of its subsidiaries are parties to a putative class action filed on July 11, 2006 and entitled *Do Right s Plant Growers*, et al. v. RSM EquiCo, Inc., et al. Case No. 06 CC00137, in the California Superior Court, Orange County. The complaint contains allegations regarding

39

Table of Contents

business valuation services provided by RSM EquiCo, Inc., including fraud, negligent misrepresentation, breach of contract, breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, breach of fiduciary duty and unfair competition and seeks unspecified damages, restitution and equitable relief. A hearing on plaintiffs motion for class certification is scheduled for March 6, 2009. We intend to defend this case vigorously. The amount claimed in this action is substantial and there can be no assurance regarding the outcome and resolution of this matter. It is reasonably possible that we could incur losses with respect to this litigation, although an estimate of such losses cannot be made in light of the early stage of the litigation.

RSM McGladrey, Inc. (RSM) has a relationship with certain public accounting firms (collectively, the Attest Firms) pursuant to which (1) some RSM employees are also partners or employees of the Attest Firms, (2) many clients of the Attest Firms are also RSM clients, and (3) our RSM McGladrey brand is closely linked to the Attest Firms. The Attest Firms are parties to claims and lawsuits (collectively, Attest Firm Claims) arising in the normal course of business. Judgments or settlements arising from Attest Firm Claims exceeding the Attest Firms insurance coverage could have a direct adverse effect on Attest Firm operations and could impair RSM s ability to attract and retain clients and quality professionals. For example, accounting and auditing firms (including one of the Attest Firms) recently have become subject to claims based on losses their clients suffered from investments in investment funds managed by third parties. Although RSM may not have a direct liability for significant Attest Firm Claims, such Attest Firm Claims could have a material adverse effect on RSM s operations and impair the value of our investment in RSM. There is no assurance regarding the outcome of the Attest Firm Claims.

Litigation and Claims Pertaining to Discontinued Mortgage Operations

Although mortgage loan origination activities were terminated and the loan servicing business was sold during fiscal year 2008, SCC remains subject to investigations, claims and lawsuits pertaining to its loan origination and servicing activities that occurred prior to such termination and sale. These investigations, claims and lawsuits include actions by state attorneys general, other state regulators, municipalities, individual plaintiffs, and cases in which plaintiffs seek to represent a class of others alleged to be similarly situated. Among other things, these investigations, claims and lawsuits allege discriminatory or unfair and deceptive loan origination and servicing practices, public nuisance, fraud, and violations of the Truth in Lending Act, Equal Credit Opportunity Act and the Fair Housing Act. In the current non-prime mortgage environment, the number of these investigations, claims and lawsuits has increased over historical experience and is likely to continue at increased levels. The amounts claimed in these investigations, claims and lawsuits are substantial in some instances, and the ultimate resulting liability is difficult to predict. In the event of unfavorable outcomes, the amounts SCC may be required to pay in the discharge of liabilities or settlements could be substantial and, because SCC s operating results are included in our consolidated financial statements, could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated results of operations.

On June 3, 2008, the Massachusetts Attorney General filed a lawsuit in the Superior Court of Suffolk County, Massachusetts (Case No. 08-2474-BLS) entitled *Commonwealth of Massachusetts v. H&R Block, Inc., et al.*, alleging unfair, deceptive and discriminatory origination and servicing of mortgage loans and seeking equitable relief, disgorgement of profits, restitution and statutory penalties. On November 10, 2008, the court granted a preliminary injunction limiting the ability of the owner of SCC s former loan servicing business to initiate or advance foreclosure actions against certain loans originated by SCC or its subsidiaries without (i) advance notice to the Massachusetts Attorney General and (ii) if the Attorney General objects to foreclosure, approval by the court. The preliminary injunction generally applies to loans meeting all of the following four characteristics: (1) adjustable rate mortgages with an introductory period of three years or less, (2) the borrower has a debt-to-income ratio generally exceeding 50 percent, (3) an introductory interest rate at least 2 percent lower than the fully indexed rate (unless the debt-to-income ratio is 55% or greater) and (4) loan-to-value ratio of 97 percent or certain prepayment penalties. We have appealed this preliminary injunction. We believe we have meritorious defenses to the claims in this case, and we intend to defend this case vigorously, but there are no assurances as to its outcome.

SCC also remains subject to potential claims for indemnification and loan repurchases pertaining to loans previously sold. In the current non-prime mortgage environment, it is likely that the frequency of repurchase and indemnification claims may increase over historical experience and give rise to additional litigation. In some instances, H&R Block,

Inc. was required to guarantee SCC s obligations. The amounts involved in these

40

Table of Contents

potential claims may be substantial, and the ultimate resulting liability is difficult to predict. In the event of unfavorable outcomes, the amounts SCC may be required to pay in the discharge or settlement of these claims could be substantial and, because SCC s operating results are included in our consolidated financial statements, could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated results of operations.

Other Claims and Litigation

We are from time to time party to investigations, claims and lawsuits not discussed herein arising out of our business operations. These investigations, claims and lawsuits include actions by state attorneys general, other state regulators, individual plaintiffs, and cases in which plaintiffs seek to represent a class of others similarly situated. Some of these investigations, claims and lawsuits pertain to RALs, the electronic filing of customers—income tax returns, the POM guarantee program, wage and hour claims and investment products. We believe we have meritorious defenses to each of these claims, and we are defending or intend to defend them vigorously. The amounts claimed in these claims and lawsuits are substantial in some instances, and the ultimate liability with respect to such litigation and claims is difficult to predict. In the event of an unfavorable outcome, the amounts we may be required to pay in the discharge of liabilities or settlements could be material.

In addition to the aforementioned types of cases, we are party to claims and lawsuits that we consider to be ordinary, routine litigation incidental to our business, including claims and lawsuits (collectively, Other Claims) concerning the preparation of customers income tax returns, the fees charged customers for various products and services, relationships with franchisees, intellectual property disputes, employment matters and contract disputes. While we cannot provide assurance that we will ultimately prevail in each instance, we believe the amount, if any, we are required to pay in the discharge of liabilities or settlements in these Other Claims will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated operating results, financial position or cash flows.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our businesses may be adversely affected by conditions in the global financial markets and economic conditions generally.

Our business may be materially affected by conditions in the global financial markets and economic conditions generally, and these conditions may change suddenly and dramatically. For example, the capital and credit markets have been experiencing extreme volatility and disruption, which have reached unprecedented levels this year. Difficulties in the mortgage and broader credit markets in the United States and elsewhere resulted in a relatively sudden and substantial decrease in the availability of credit and a corresponding increase in funding costs. We cannot predict how long these conditions will exist or how our business or financial statements may be affected. Increases in interest rates or credit spreads, as well as limitations on the availability of credit, such as has occurred recently, may affect our ability to borrow in excess of our current commitments on a secured or unsecured basis, which may adversely affect our liquidity and results of operations. This could increase our cost of funding, which could reduce our profitability.

In addition, the downturn in the residential housing market, rising unemployment and an increase in mortgage defaults has, and may continue, to negatively impact our operating results. An economic recession will likely reduce the ability of our borrowers to repay mortgage loans, and declining home values would increase the severity of loss we may incur in the event of default.

In response to the current financial markets, legislation has been proposed to allow mortgage loan cram-downs, which would empower courts to modify the terms of mortgage loans including a reduction in the principal amount to reflect lower underlying property values. This could result in our writing down the balance of those mortgage loans in bankruptcy to reflect their current collateral values. The availability of principal reductions or other mortgage loan modifications could make bankruptcy a more attractive option for troubled borrowers, leading to increased bankruptcy filings and accelerated defaults.

In addition to mortgage loans, we also extend secured and unsecured credit to other customers, including refund anticipation loans and Emerald Advance lines of credit to our tax preparation customers. We may incur significant

losses on credit we extend, which in turn could reduce our profitability.

Other than the item discussed above, there have been no material changes in our risk factors from those reported at April 30, 2008 in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

41

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

A summary of our purchases of H&R Block common stock during the third quarter of fiscal year 2009 is as follows:

(in 000s, except per share amounts) Total Number of Shares Maximum \$Value Total Average Purchased as Part of of Shares that May Number of Shares Price Paid Be Purchased Under **Publicly Announced** Plans or Programs Purchased (1) the Plans or Programs (2) per Share November 1 November 30 \$ 2,000,000 147 19.35 \$ \$ December 1 December 31 2,000,000 4 \$ \$ January 1 January 31 22.41 2,000,000

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

- 10.1 Third Amendment to Program Contracts dated as of December 5, 2008 by and among HSBC Bank USA, HSBC Trust Company (Delaware), N.A., HSBC Taxpayer Financial Services Inc., Beneficial Franchise Company Inc., HRB Tax Group, Inc., H&R Block Tax Services LLC, H&R Block Enterprises LLC, H&R Block Eastern Enterprises, Inc., HRB Digital LLC, Block Financial LLC, HRB Innovations, Inc., HSBC Finance Corporation, and H&R Block, Inc.*
- 10.2 Credit and Guarantee Agreement dated as of January 14, 2009, among Block Financial LLC, H&R Block, Inc. and HSBC Finance Corporation.
- 10.3 Separation and Release Agreement dated January 21, 2009 between RSM McGladrey Business Services and Steven Tait.**
- 10.4 H&R Block, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan for Executives (amended and restated effective December 31, 2008).**
- 31.1 Certification by Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification by Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification by Chief Executive Officer furnished pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification by Chief Financial Officer furnished pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

⁽¹⁾ We purchased 150,358 shares in connection with the funding of employee income tax withholding obligations arising upon the exercise of stock options or the lapse of restrictions on nonvested shares.

⁽²⁾ In June 2008, our Board of Directors rescinded previous authorizations to repurchase shares of our common stock, and approved an authorization to purchase up to \$2.0 billion of our common stock over the next four years.

- * Confidential information has been omitted from this exhibit and filed separately with the Commission pursuant to a confidential treatment request under Rule 24b-2.
- ** Indicates management contracts, compensatory plans or arrangements.

42

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

H&R BLOCK, INC.

/s/ Russell P. Smyth

Russell P. Smyth President and Chief Executive Officer March 6, 2009

/s/ Becky S. Shulman Becky S. Shulman Senior Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer March 6, 2009

/s/ Jeffrey T. Brown Jeffrey T. Brown Vice President and Corporate Controller March 6, 2009

43