EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND Form N-CSR November 22, 2010

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549 Form N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act File Number: <u>811-21148</u> Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter) Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)
Maureen A. Gemma
Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Name and Address of Agent for Services) (617) 482-8260

> (Registrant s Telephone Number) September 30

> > Date of Fiscal Year End September 30, 2010

Date of Reporting Period

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders

IMPORTANT NOTICES

Privacy. The Eaton Vance organization is committed to ensuring your financial privacy. Each of the financial institutions identified below has in effect the following policy (Privacy Policy) with respect to nonpublic personal information about its customers:

Only such information received from you, through application forms or otherwise, and information about your Eaton Vance fund transactions will be collected. This may include information such as name, address, social security number, tax status, account balances and transactions.

None of such information about you (or former customers) will be disclosed to anyone, except as permitted by law (which includes disclosure to employees necessary to service your account). In the normal course of servicing a customer s account, Eaton Vance may share information with unaffiliated third parties that perform various required services such as transfer agents, custodians and broker/dealers.

Policies and procedures (including physical, electronic and procedural safeguards) are in place that are designed to protect the confidentiality of such information.

We reserve the right to change our Privacy Policy at any time upon proper notification to you. Customers may want to review our Privacy Policy periodically for changes by accessing the link on our homepage: www.eatonvance.com.

Our pledge of privacy applies to the following entities within the Eaton Vance organization: the Eaton Vance Family of Funds, Eaton Vance Management, Eaton Vance Investment Counsel, Boston Management and Research, and Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc. Our Privacy Policy applies only to those Eaton Vance customers who are individuals and who have a direct relationship with us. If a customer—s account (i.e., fund shares) is held in the name of a third-party financial adviser/broker-dealer, it is likely that only such adviser—s privacy policies apply to the customer. This notice supersedes all previously issued privacy disclosures. For more information about Eaton Vance—s Privacy Policy, please call 1-800-262-1122.

Delivery of Shareholder Documents. The Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) permits funds to deliver only one copy of shareholder documents, including prospectuses, proxy statements and shareholder reports, to fund investors with multiple accounts at the same residential or post office box address. This practice is often called householding and it helps eliminate duplicate mailings to shareholders.

Eaton Vance, or your financial adviser, may household the mailing of your documents indefinitely unless you instruct Eaton Vance, or your financial adviser, otherwise. If you would prefer that your Eaton Vance documents not be householded, please contact Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122, or contact your financial adviser. Your instructions that householding not apply to delivery of your Eaton Vance documents will be effective within 30 days of receipt by Eaton Vance or your financial adviser.

Portfolio Holdings. Each Eaton Vance Fund and its underlying Portfolio(s) (if applicable) will file a schedule of portfolio holdings on Form N-Q with the SEC for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year. The Form N-Q will be available on the Eaton Vance website at www.eatonvance.com, by calling Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122 or in the EDGAR database on the SEC s website at www.sec.gov. Form N-Q may also be reviewed and copied at the SEC s public reference room in Washington, D.C. (call 1-800-732-0330 for information on the operation of the public reference room).

Proxy Voting. From time to time, funds are required to vote proxies related to the securities held by the funds. The Eaton Vance Funds or their underlying Portfolios (if applicable) vote proxies according to a set of policies and procedures approved by the Funds and Portfolios Boards. You may obtain a description of these policies and procedures and information on how the Funds or Portfolios voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12 month period ended June 30, without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-262-1122. This description is also available on the SEC s website at www.sec.gov.

Additional Notice to Shareholders. A Fund may purchase shares of its common stock in the open market when they trade at a discount to net asset value or at other times if a Fund determines such purchases are advisable. There can be no assurance that a Fund will take such action or that such purchases would reduce the discount.

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds as of September 30, 2010 TABLE OF CONTENTS

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance	2	
Performance Information and Portfolio Composition		
Municipal Bond Fund	4	
California Municipal Bond Fund	5	
New York Municipal Bond Fund	6	
Financial Statements	7	
Federal Tax Information	36	
Notice to Shareholders	37	
Annual Meeting of Shareholders	38	
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	39	
Board of Trustees Contract Approval	41	
Management and Organization EX-99.CERT Section 302 Certification EX-99.906CERT Section 906 Certification	44	
1	1	

Table of Contents

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds as of September 30, 2010 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION OF FUND PERFORMANCE

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds (the Funds) are closed-end funds traded on the NYSE Amex, which are designed to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax, federal alternative minimum tax and, in state specific funds, state personal income taxes. On January 29, 2010, shareholders of the Funds approved a modification to each Fund s 80 percent policy to eliminate the requirement to invest primarily in insured municipal obligations and to eliminate Insured from each Fund s name. Under normal market conditions, the Funds are now required to invest at least 80 percent of net assets in municipal obligations rated A or better by Moody s Investors Service, Inc., Standard & Poor s Ratings Group or Fitch Ratings.

Economic and Market Conditions

The U.S. economy remained generally stable, if still weak, during the year ending September 30, 2010, even as concerns about high unemployment and budget deficits provoked ongoing skittishness in the capital markets. The U.S. economy grew at an annualized rate of 3.7% in the first quarter of 2010, but slowed to 1.7% in the second quarter, according to the U.S. Department of Commerce. Advance estimates for the third quarter indicated an annualized increase in GDP of 2%.

Municipal bond performance was positive for the fiscal year, in spite of ongoing negative media attention on the tax-exempt sector. Solid performance resulted in part from continued investor concern about the strength (or weakness) of the economic recovery, and investments such as higher-quality municipals and Treasuries benefited. Toward the end of the period, the market was bolstered by very light issuance and sustained demand, as well as a flight to quality during July and August. September 2010 brought a change in sentiment, and investors took on more risk, helping higher-yielding, lower-rated sectors of the market.

Against this backdrop, the Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index (the Muni Bond Index) an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. gained 5.81% for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010. Munis with longer maturities performed best during

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

the year; the Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index (the Long 22+ Index) an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. with maturities of 22 years or more had a return of 6.56%. Intermediate-maturity bonds, represented by the 7-year segment of the Index, also performed well, gaining 6.48%. Shorter-maturity bonds in the 5-year segment of the Index returned 5.63%.

Management Discussion

In this generally positive environment, Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund outperformed its benchmark, the Long 22+ Index, ¹ at net asset value (NAV) for the year ending September 30, 2010. Management s research and selection of bonds across the sector and credit spectrum helped performance relative to the Long 22+ Index. In particular, an overweighting in high-coupon bonds and AAA-rated bonds made significant contributions to relative performance, as did the Fund s duration profile. In contrast, an overweighting in insured bonds detracted, and a modest hedging position an ongoing strategy that management has employed that is designed to help mitigate interest-rate risk also caused some underperformance for the year.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund underperformed the Muni Bond Index and the Long 22+ Index at NAV, while Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund outperformed the Muni Bond Index and underperformed the Long 22+ Index. Positive contributors to performance included overweighting high-coupon bonds, overweighting AAA-rated bonds, the duration profiles of the Funds, and sector selection. In contrast, an overweighting in insured bonds and an underweighting of 5%-6% coupon bonds hurt relative performance. Modest hedging positions also detracted from performance during the period.

Management employed leverage in the Funds, through which additional exposure to the municipal market was achieved. Leverage has the impact of magnifying a Fund s exposure to its underlying investments in both up and down markets. During the period, the Funds leverage generally helped their relative performance.

1 It is not possible to invest directly in an Index. The Indices total returns do not reflect expenses that would have been incurred if an investor individually purchased or sold the securities represented in the Indices.

P a s t performance is no guarantee of future results.

The views expressed throughout this report are those of the portfolio managers and are current only through the end of the period of the report as stated on the cover. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and the investment adviser disclaims any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied on as investment advice and, because investment decisions for a fund are based on many factors, may not be relied on as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund. Portfolio information provided in the report may not be representative of the Funds current or future investments and may change due to active management.

2

Table of Contents

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds as of September 30, 2010 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION OF FUND PERFORMANCE

As we move ahead, we continue to focus on state and local government budget deficits, which likely peaked in 2010 or are expected to peak in early 2011. The decline in tax revenues appears to be reaching a bottom, with some municipalities realizing growth in tax receipts due to a combination of slim economic growth and an increase in actual tax rates. However, spending continues to grow faster than tax receipts despite deep spending cuts enacted by some government officials. We will continue to analyze any new developments and solutions that government leaders formulate to address their fiscal problems.

As of February 19, 2010, William H. Ahern, Jr. became the portfolio manager of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund. Mr. Ahern is a Vice President of Eaton Vance and manages other Eaton Vance municipal portfolios. He has been employed by Eaton Vance since 1989.

A Note Regarding The Use Of Leverage

The Funds employ leverage through the use of residual interest bond (RIB) financing. Each Fund s RIB leverage percentage as of September 30, 2010 is reflected on the Fund-specific pages following this letter. The leverage created by RIB investments provides an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of the common shares).

¹See Note 1H to the Financial Statements for m o r e information on R I B investments.

3

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2010 PERFORMANCE INFORMATION AND PORTFOLIO COMPOSITION

\mathbf{r}		n				1
$-\mathbf{\nu}$	200	tn	rm	OI	ንሶል	1
		.,		aı	100	

NYSE Amex Symbol EIM

Average Annual Total Returns (by market price)

One Year	13.55%
Five Years	5.53
Life of Fund (8/30/02)	6.57

Average Annual Total Returns (by net asset value)

One Year	6.77%
Five Years	3.88
Life of Fund (8/30/02)	5.77

Premium/(Discount) to NAV 6.27%

Market Yields

Market Yield ²	6.59%
Taxable-Equivalent Market Yield ³	10.14%

Index Performance⁴ (Average Annual Total Returns)

Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index

One Year	6.56%
Five Years	4.50
Life of Fund (8/31/02)	5.39

Lipper Averages⁵ (Average Annual Total Returns)

Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) Classification (by net asset value)

One Year	9.50%
Five Years	4.72
Life of Fund (8/31/02)	5.80

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, the Fund s current performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Portfolio Manager: William H. Ahern, Jr., CFA

Rating Distribution*6
By total investments

*The rating distribution presented above includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles in which the Fund holds a residual interest. See Note 1H to the Fund s financial statements. Absent such securities, the Fund s rating distribution as of 9/30/10 is as follows:

AAA	33.0%	BB	0.2%
AA	32.1%	CCC	0.5%
A	25.0%	CC	0.1%
BBB	8.7%	C	0.4%

Fund Statistics⁷

Number of Issues: 166

Average Maturity: 25.5 years

Average Effective Maturity: 13.7 years

Average Call Protection: 9.5 years

Average Dollar Price: \$110.79

RIB Leveragë: 40.9%

**See Note 1H to the Fund s financial statements. RIB leverage represents the amount of Floating Rate N o t e soutstanding as of 9/30/10 as a percentage of the Fund s net assets plus Floating Rate Notes.

¹ Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in market price or net asset value (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. The Fund s performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV. Although market price performance generally reflects investment results over time, during shorter periods, returns at market price can also be affected by factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for the Fund s shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Performance results reflect the effects of auction preferred shares (for certain periods) outstanding and/or RIB investments, which are forms of investment leverage. Use of leverage creates an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of common shares). ² The Fund s market yield is calculated by dividing the last regular dividend per share in the period (annualized) by the market price at the end of the period. ³ Taxable-equivalent figure assumes a maximum 35.00% federal income tax rate. A lower tax rate would result in a lower tax-equivalent figure. 4 It is not possible to invest directly in an Index. The Index s total returns do not reflect the expenses that would have been incurred if an investor individually purchased or sold the securities represented in the Index. Index performance is available as of month end only. ⁵ The Lipper Averages are the average annual total returns, at net asset value, of the funds that are in the same Lipper Classification as the Fund. It is not possible to invest in a Lipper Classification. Lipper Classifications may include insured and uninsured funds, as well as leveraged and unleveraged funds. The Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) Classification (closed-end) contained 63, 59 and 54 funds for the 1-year, 5-year and Life-of-Fund periods, respectively. Lipper Averages are available as of month end only. 6 Rating Distribution is determined by dividing the total market value of the issues by the total investments of the Fund. Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. Credit ratings are based largely on the rating agency s investment analysis at the time of rating and the rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a reflection of the issuer s current financial condition. The rating assigned to a security by a rating agency does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in the security. If securities are rated differently by the rating agencies, the higher rating is applied. ⁷ Fund holdings information excludes securities held by special purpose vehicles in which the Fund holds a residual interest. See Note 1H to the Fund s financial statements.

4

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2010 PERFORMANCE INFORMATION AND PORTFOLIO COMPOSITION

Performance ¹ NYSE Amex Symbol	EVM
Average Annual Total Returns (by market price)	
One Year Five Years Life of Fund (8/30/02) Average Annual Total Returns (by net asset value)	10.00% 5.57 5.52
One Year Five Years Life of Fund (8/30/02)	4.53% 3.33 4.83
Premium/(Discount) to NAV Market Yields	5.47%
Market Yield ² Taxable-Equivalent Market Yield ³	6.38% 10.97%

Index Performance⁴ (Average Annual Total Returns)

Barclays Capital

	Municipal Bond Index	Municipal Bond Index
One		
Year	5.81%	6.56%
Five		
Years	5.13	4.50
Life of Fu	nd	
(8/31/02)	5.00	5.39

Lipper Averages⁵ (Average Annual Total Returns)

Lipper California Municipal Debt Funds Classification (by net asset value)

One Year	7.95%
Five Years	3.87

Barclays Capital Long (22+)

Life of Fund (8/31/02) 5.27

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, the Fund s current performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Portfolio Manager: Cynthia J. Clemson

Rating Distribution*6
By total investments

*The rating distribution presented above includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles in which the Fund holds a residual interest. See Note 1H to the Fund s financial statements. Absent such securities, the Fund s rating distribution as of 9/30/10 is as follows:

> AAA 34.2% BBB 0.8% AA 30.7% BB 2.3% A 32.0%

Fund Statistics⁷

Number of Issues: 100

Average Maturity: 22.6 years

Average Effective Maturity: 15.1 years

Average Call Protection: 8.4 years

Average Dollar Price: \$101.10

RIB Leverage: 41.8%

**See Note 1H to the Fund s financial statements. RIB leverage represents the amount of Floating Rate N o t e soutstanding as of 9/30/10 as a percentage of the Fund s net assets plus Floating Rate Notes.

¹ Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in market price or net asset value (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. The Fund s performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV. Although market price performance generally reflects investment results over time, during shorter periods, returns at market price can also be affected by factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for the Fund s shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Performance results reflect the effects of auction preferred shares (for certain periods) outstanding and/or RIB investments, which are forms of investment leverage. Use of leverage creates an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of common shares). ² The Fund s market yield is calculated by dividing the last regular dividend per share in the period (annualized) by the market price at the end of the period. ³ Taxable-equivalent figure assumes a maximum 41.86% combined federal and state income tax rate. A lower tax rate would result in a lower tax-equivalent figure. 4 It is not possible to invest directly in an Index. The Indices total returns do not reflect the expenses that would have been incurred if an investor individually purchased or sold the securities represented in the Indices. Index performance is available as of month end only. 5 The Lipper Averages are the average annual total returns, at net asset value, of the funds that are in the same Lipper Classification as the Fund. It is not possible to invest in a Lipper Classification. Lipper Classifications may include insured and uninsured funds, as well as leveraged and unleveraged funds. The Lipper California Municipal Debt Funds Classification (closed-end) contained 24, 23 and 21 funds for the 1-year, 5-year and Life-of-Fund periods, respectively. Lipper Averages are available as of month end only. ⁶ Rating Distribution is determined by dividing the total market value of the issues by the total investments of the Fund. Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. Credit ratings are based largely on the rating agency s investment analysis at the time of rating and the rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a reflection of the issuer s current financial condition. The rating assigned to a security by a rating agency does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in the security. If securities are rated differently by the rating agencies, the higher rating is applied. ⁷ Fund holdings information excludes securities held by special purpose vehicles in which the Fund holds a residual interest. See Note 1H to the Fund s financial statements.

5

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2010 PERFORMANCE INFORMATION AND PORTFOLIO COMPOSITION

-	•				1
PΔ	rťn	rn	กอ	nce	1

NYSE Amex Symbol ENX

Average Annual Total Returns (by market price)

One Year	5.56%
Five Years	6.25
Life of Fund (8/30/02)	5.69

Average Annual Total Returns (by net asset value)

One Year	6.16%
Five Years	4.09
Life of Fund (8/30/02)	5.31

Premium/(Discount) to NAV 2.94%

Market Yields

Market Yield ²	5.91%
Taxable-Equivalent Market Yield ³	9.99%

Index Performance⁴ (Average Annual Total Returns)

Barclays Capital	Barclays Capital Long (22+)
Municipal Bond Index	Municipal Bond Index

One Year	5.81%	6.56%
Five Years	5.13	4.50
Life of Fund		
(8/31/02)	5.00	5.39

Lipper Averages⁵ (Average Annual Total Returns)

Lipper New York Municipal Debt Funds Classification (by net asset value)

One Year	8.47%
Five Years	4.15
Life of Fund (8/31/02)	5.56

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, the Fund s current performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Portfolio Manager: Craig R. Brandon, CFA

Rating Distribution*6
By total investments

*The rating distribution presented above includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles in which the Fund holds a residual interest. See Note 1H to the Fund s financial statements. Absent such securities, the Fund s rating distribution as of 9/30/10 is as follows:

> AAA 23.6% BBB 3.6% AA 50.5% Not Rated 2.2% A 20.1%

Fund Statistics⁷

Number of Issues: 104

Average Maturity: 23.5 years

Average Effective Maturity: 11.5 years

Average Call Protection: 9.0 years

Average Dollar Price: \$119.76

RIB Leveragë: 39.8%

**See Note 1H to the Fund s financial statements. RIB leverage represents the amount of Floating Rate N o t e soutstanding as of 9/30/10 as a percentage of the Fund s net assets plus Floating Rate Notes.

 1 Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in market price or net asset value (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. The Fund s performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV. Although market price performance generally reflects investment results over time, during shorter periods, returns at market price can also be affected by factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for the Fund s shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Performance results reflect the effects of auction preferred shares (for certain periods) outstanding and/or RIB investments, which are forms of investment leverage. Use of leverage creates an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of common shares). ² The Fund s market yield is calculated by dividing the last regular dividend per share in the period (annualized) by the market price at the end of the period. ³ Taxable-equivalent figure assumes a maximum 40.83% combined federal and state income tax rate. A lower tax rate would result in a lower tax-equivalent figure. 4 It is not possible to invest directly in an Index. The Indices total returns do not reflect the expenses that would have been incurred if an investor individually purchased or sold the securities represented in the Indices. Index performance is available as of month end only. 5 The Lipper Averages are the average annual total returns, at net asset value, of the funds that are in the same Lipper Classification as the Fund. It is not possible to invest in a Lipper Classification. Lipper Classifications may include insured and uninsured funds, as well as leveraged and unleveraged funds. The Lipper New York Municipal Debt Funds Classification (closed-end) contained 20, 19 and 17 funds for the 1-year, 5-year and Life-of-Fund periods, respectively. Lipper Averages are available as of month end only. ⁶ Rating Distribution is determined by dividing the total market value of the issues by the total investments of the Fund. Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. Credit ratings are based largely on the rating agency s investment analysis at the time of rating and the rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a reflection of the issuer s current financial condition. The rating assigned to a security by a rating agency does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in the security. If securities are rated differently by the rating agencies, the higher rating is applied. ⁷ Fund holdings information excludes securities held by special purpose vehicles in which the Fund holds a residual interest. See Note 1H to the Fund s financial statements.

6

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2010

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS

Tax-Exempt Investments 167.6%

Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Security	Va	alue
Electric Utilities	0.5%		
\$ 10,300	Sabine River Authority, TX, (TXU Energy Co. LLC), 5.20%, 5/1/28	\$	4,036,673
		\$	4,036,673
Hospital 10.9%			
\$ 11,940	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 8/15/39	\$	12,127,577
5,000	California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (John Muir Health), 5.00%, 8/15/36		5,012,300
19,550	California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), 5.25%, 3/1/45		19,750,974
620	Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.00%, 2/15/25		622,623
2,610	Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.00%, 2/15/35		2,513,743
1,870	Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System),		
5,900	5.25%, 2/15/27 Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System),		1,888,794
3,900	5.75%, 2/15/34 Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance, (Hawaii Pacific Health),		6,003,899 3,940,170

jai i iiiig. E/(1011	VALUE INEXT TOTAL MONION ALE BOILE	, ,	OND TOTAL
7,190	5.60%, 7/1/33 Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System),		7 270 727
8,310	5.25%, 11/15/36 Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, (Covenant		7,378,737
10,000	Health), 0.00%, 1/1/38 Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, (Covenant		1,764,795
8,165	Health), 0.00%, 1/1/41 Lehigh County, PA, General Purpose		1,758,800
5,085	Authority, (Lehigh Valley Health Network), 5.25%, 7/1/32 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority,		8,315,399
10,000	(Henry Ford Health System), 5.00%, 11/15/38 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority,		5,039,184
,	(Henry Ford Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/46		10,049,300
100	South Miami, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Baptist Health), 5.00%, 8/15/42		101,505
900	South Miami, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Baptist Health), 5.00%, 8/15/42 ⁽¹⁾		913,545
10,000	Tarrant County, TX, Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., (Scott & White Healthcare), 5.25%, 8/15/40		10,208,000
		\$	97,389,345
Industrial Develop	ment Revenue 1.6%		
\$ 1,175	Liberty Development Corp., NY, (Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.), 5.25%, 10/1/35 ⁽¹⁾	\$	1,246,544
12,775	St. John Baptist Parish, LA, (Marathon Oil Corp.), 5.125%, 6/1/37	Ф	12,849,989
	• **	Φ.	
		\$	14,096,533
Insured-Electric U	tilities 11.0%		

Table of Contents 21

5,508,400

\$

5,000

1,350 14,005 2,735 60,755 7,840 2,170	American Municipal Power-Ohio, Inc., OH, (Prairie State Energy), (AGC), 5.75%, 2/15/39 Long Island, NY, Power Authority, (BHAC), 5.50%, 5/1/33 Mississippi Development Bank, (Municipal Energy), (XLCA), 5.00%, 3/1/41 Paducah, KY, Electric Plant Board, (AGC), 5.25%, 10/1/35 South Carolina Public Service Authority, (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/37 ⁽¹⁾ South Carolina Public Service Authority, (Santee Cooper), (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38 Springfield, MO, Public Utility, (BHAC), (FGIC), 4.50%, 8/1/36		1,524,663 14,024,187 2,937,554 63,075,233 8,743,795 2,225,313	
		\$	98,039,145	
Insured-Escrowed \$ 145	Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), (BHAC), Prerefunded to 11/15/16, 5.25%, 11/15/36 Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), (BHAC), Prerefunded to 11/15/16, 5.25%, 11/15/36 ⁽¹⁾	\$	175,882 459,090 634,972	
Insured-General Obligations 21.4%				
\$ 9,705	Alamo, TX, Community College District, (BHAC), (NPFG), 4.75%, 8/15/32 ⁽¹⁾	\$	10,206,845	
34,035 Chabot - Las Positas, College District, (AM 0.00%, 8/1/45	Chabot - Las Positas, CA, Community College District, (AMBAC),	Ψ	3,475,654	
	College District, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/46		3,347,770	
32,765			20,274,327	

	Chicago, IL, Board of Education, (FGIC),	
	(NPFG), 0.00%, 12/1/21	
12,465	Clark County, NV, (AMBAC),	
	2.50%, 11/1/36	8,750,555
10,055	Frisco, TX, Independent School District,	
	(AGM), 2.75%, 8/15/39	7,552,713
14,330	Frisco, TX, Independent School District,	
	(AGM), 4.00%, 8/15/40	14,317,103
2,840	Goose Creek, TX, Consolidated	
	Independent School District, (FGIC),	
	(NPFG), 4.55%, 2/15/29	2,945,421
2,975	Goose Creek, TX, Consolidated	
	Independent School District, (FGIC),	
	(NPFG), 4.55%, 2/15/30	3,073,264
15,725	Kane, Cook and Du Page Counties, IL,	
	School District No. 46, (AMBAC),	
	0.00%, 1/1/21	10,593,618
50,650	Kane, Cook and Du Page Counties, IL,	
	School District No. 46, (AMBAC),	
	0.00%, 1/1/22	32,507,676
7,000	King County, WA, Public Hospital	
	District No. 1, (AGC), 5.00%, 12/1/37 ⁽¹⁾	7,351,820
7,000	Los Angeles, CA, Unified School District,	
	(AGC), 5.00%, 1/1/34	7,340,830

See notes to financial statements

7

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2010

Insured-Hospital 18.8%

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS CONT D

Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Security	Value
Insured-General C	Obligations (continued)	
\$ 2,585	North Las Vegas, NV, Wastewater Reclamation System, (NPFG), 4.25%, 10/1/33	\$ 2,525,597
8,955	Palm Springs, CA, Unified School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 8/1/32	9,632,804
11,045	Port Arthur, TX, Independent School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 2/15/38 ⁽¹⁾	11,143,632
12,750	Schaumburg, IL, (BHAC), (FGIC), 5.00%, 12/1/38 ⁽¹⁾	13,138,110
13,060	Texas Transportation Commission, (NPFG), 5.00%, 4/1/29	14,217,900
8,325	Yuma and La Paz Counties, AZ, Community College District, (Arizona Western College), (NPFG), 3.75%, 7/1/31	7,754,404
		\$ 190,150,043

\$ 8,250	Arizona Health Facilities Authority,	
	(Banner Health), (BHAC),	
	5.375%, 1/1/32	\$ 8,863,470
11,000	California Statewide Communities	
	Development Authority, (Sutter Health),	
	(AGM), 5.05%, 8/15/38 ⁽¹⁾	11,437,580
3,950	Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority,	
	(Mount Nittany Medical Center), (AGC),	
	6.125%, 11/15/39	4,174,637
1,050	Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority,	
	(Mount Nittany Medical Center), (AGC),	
	6.25%, 11/15/44	1,108,905

11,500	Colorado Health Facilities Authority, (Catholic Health), (AGM),	
	5.10%, 10/1/41 ⁽¹⁾	11,919,865
6,085	Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System),	
15.050	(BHAC), 5.25%, 11/15/36	6,384,747
15,872	Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System),	
	(BHAC), 5.25%, 11/15/36 ⁽¹⁾	16,653,350
3,795	Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System),	
15.000	(NPFG), 5.00%, 11/15/35	3,837,504
15,000	Illinois Finance Authority, (Children s Memorial Hospital), (AGC),	
• • •	5.25%, 8/15/47 ⁽¹⁾	15,375,144
2,500	Indiana Health and Educational Facility Finance Authority, (Sisters of St. Francis	
	Health Services), (AGM),	
2,625	5.25%, 5/15/41 ⁽¹⁾ Iowa Finance Authority, Health Facilities,	2,634,875
2,023	(Iowa Health System), (AGC),	
1,675	5.625%, 8/15/37 Maricopa County, AZ, Industrial	2,892,461
1,075	Development Authority, (Catholic	
	Healthcare West), (BHAC), 5.25%, 7/1/32	1,779,889
19,150	Maryland Health and Higher Educational	1,779,009
	Facilities Authority, (Lifebridge Health), (AGC), 4.75%, 7/1/47 ⁽¹⁾	19,444,909
5,250	New Jersey Health Care Facilities	19,444,909
	Financing Authority, (Hackensack	
	University Medical Center), (AGC), 5.25%, 1/1/36 ⁽¹⁾	5,532,502
6,735	New Jersey Health Care Facilities	
	Financing Authority, (Meridian Health Center), Series II, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38	7,008,778
240	New Jersey Health Care Facilities	, ,
	Financing Authority, (Meridian Health Center), Series V, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38	249,756
3,750	New Jersey Health Care Facilities	,
	Financing Authority, (Meridian Health Center), Series V, (AGC),	
	5.00%, 7/1/38 ⁽¹⁾	3,902,702
13,115	New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Virtua Health),	
	(AGC), 5.50%, 7/1/38	14,357,122
5,795	Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (MultiCare Health System),	
	(AGC), 6.00%, 8/15/39	6,379,947
8,700	Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence Health Care),	9,362,243
	. I willow j, (I to ridelice Health Care),	

Series C, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/33⁽¹⁾ Washington Health Care Facilities 12,605 Authority, (Providence Health Care), Series D, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/33⁽¹⁾ 13,607,854 \$ 166,908,240 Insured-Industrial Development Revenue 1.1% 9,000 Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc. Project), (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/39(1) \$ 9,636,120 9,636,120

Insured-Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 11.3%

\$ 15,000	Hudson Yards, NY, Infrastructure Corp., (NPFG), 4.50%, 2/15/47	\$ 14,534,700
2,910	New Jersey Economic Development	
	Authority, (School Facilities	
	Construction), (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/34	3,261,732
24,000	San Diego County, CA, Water Authority,	
	Certificates of Participation, (AGM),	
	5.00%, 5/1/38 ⁽¹⁾	25,291,200
42,750	San Jose, CA, Financing Authority,	
	(Civic Center), (AMBAC), (BHAC),	
	5.00%, 6/1/37 ⁽¹⁾	43,338,240
13,000	Tri-Creek Middle School Building Corp.,	
	IN, (AGM), 5.25%, 1/15/34 ⁽¹⁾	14,019,200

\$ 100,445,072

Insured-Other Revenue 5.4%

\$

\$ Golden State Tobacco Securitization 37,800 Corp., CA, (AGC), 5.00%, 6/1/45⁽¹⁾ 37,612,134 16,795 2,842,890

Harris County-Houston, TX, Sports Authority, (NPFG), 0.00%, 11/15/34 6,750 New York, NY, Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (AGC), 7.00%, 3/1/49

7,986,937

\$ 48,441,961

See notes to financial statements

8

Table of Contents

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2010

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS CONT D

Principa Amount (000 s o	t	Security	Va	llue
Insured-	Private Ed	ducation 3.0%		
\$	8,000	Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Boston University), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/35	\$	8,722,240
	7,865	Miami-Dade County, FL, Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Miami), (AMBAC), (BHAC),		
	9,595	5.00%, 4/1/31 Washington, DC, Georgetown University,		8,299,935
	7,575	(AMBAC), 4.50%, 4/1/42		9,659,574
			\$	26,681,749
Insured-	Solid Was	ste 0.5%		
\$	2,760	Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/24	\$	3,105,027
	1,575	Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste	Ψ	
		Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/26		1,749,022
			\$	4,854,049
Insured-	Special Ta	ax Revenue 12.5%		
\$	18,005	Alabama Public School and College		
	18,980	Authority, (AGM), 2.50%, 12/1/27 Houston, TX, Hotel Occupancy Tax,	\$	15,102,774
		(AMBAC), 0.00%, 9/1/24		9,139,060

1,175	Jacksonville, FL, Excise Tax, (FGIC),	
	(NPFG), 5.125%, 10/1/27	1,239,061
34,500	Metropolitan Pier and Exposition	
	Authority, IL, (McCormick Place	
	Expansion), (NPFG), 0.00%, 12/15/34	8,664,330
15,000	Miami-Dade County, FL, Professional	
	Sports Franchise Facilities, (AGC),	
	0.00%, 10/1/39	10,009,500
600	Miami-Dade County, FL, Special	
	Obligation, (NPFG), 0.00%, 10/1/35	125,250
8,000	Miami-Dade County, FL, Special	
	Obligation, (NPFG), 0.00%, 10/1/39	1,252,400
14,620	New York Convention Center	
	Development Corp., Hotel Occupancy	
	Tax, (AMBAC), 4.75%, 11/15/45	14,708,451
5,785	New York Convention Center	
	Development Corp., Hotel Occupancy	
	Tax, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 11/15/44	5,885,312
196,275	Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp.,	
	(AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54	13,252,488
26,815	Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp.,	
	(NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/44	3,616,003
78,410	Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp.,	
	(NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	9,874,955
49,580	Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp.,	
	(NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/46	5,848,952
1,075	Sunrise, FL, Public Facilities, (NPFG),	
	0.00%, 10/1/20	699,664
10,800	Utah Transportation Authority, Sales Tax	
	Revenue, (AGM), 4.75%, 6/15/32 ⁽¹⁾	11,468,304

\$ 110,886,504

Insured-Student Loan 1.1%

\$ 9,350 Maine Educational Loan Authority, (AGC), 5.625%, 12/1/27

\$ 10,135,961

\$ 10,135,961

Insured-Transportation 27.0%

\$ 21,640 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 4.75%, 1/1/34⁽¹⁾

\$ 21,997,060

Chicago, IL, (O Hare International	12 760 540
* **	13,768,548
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	8,477,778
	0,477,770
-	
	1,040,030
Director of the State of Nevada	, ,
Department of Business and Industry,	
(Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC),	
0.00%, 1/1/28	238,173
Director of the State of Nevada	
	3,157,500
-	5 (0) (22 (
	5,606,226
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2 472 250
	3,472,250
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	8,344,284
	0,544,204
	7,036,039
Maryland Transportation Authority,	, ,
(AGM), 4.50%, 7/1/41 ⁽¹⁾	10,413,900
Maryland Transportation Authority,	
(AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/35 ⁽¹⁾	22,767,188
	15,162,140
•	1,957,717
-	
	14 251 056
	14,351,056
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	14,569,490
	11,505,150
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	1,121,433
•	, ,
(Triangle Expressway System), (AGC),	
5.75%, 1/1/39	1,271,928
North Texas Tollway Authority, (BHAC),	
5.75%, 1/1/48 ⁽¹⁾	21,998,200
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Jersey, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/26 ⁽¹⁾	11,204,100
	Airport), (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ Clark County, NV, (Las Vegas-McCarran International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 7/1/39 Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/23 Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/28 Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/28 Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 5.375%, 1/1/40 ⁽²⁾ E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/21 E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/39 Harris County, TX, Toll Road, Senior Lien, (BHAC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/15/33 ⁽¹⁾ Manchester, NH, (Manchester-Boston Regional Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/30 Maryland Transportation Authority, (AGM), 4.50%, 7/1/41 ⁽¹⁾ Maryland Transportation Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/35 ⁽¹⁾ Maryland Transportation Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/36 ⁽¹⁾ Metropolitan Washington, DC, Airports Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/29 Minneapolis and St. Paul, MN, Metropolitan Airports Commission, (FGIC), (NPFG), 4.50%, 1/1/32 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (AGC), 5.50%, 1/1/29 North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 5.50%, 1/1/29 North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 5.75%, 1/1/39 North Texas Tollway Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 1/1/39

1,605	Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA),	
	0.00%, 9/1/24	676,877
1,950	Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA),	
	0.00%, 9/1/25	765,121
1,000	Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA),	
	0.00%, 9/1/26	364,560
36,215	San Joaquin Hills, CA, Transportation	
	Corridor Agency, (Toll Road Bonds),	
	(NPFG), 0.00%, 1/15/25	12,166,067

See notes to financial statements

9

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2010

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS CONT D

nt	Security	V	alue
l-Transport	ation (continued)		
25,305	Texas Turnpike Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/15/20	\$	15,673,917
22,590	Texas Turnpike Authority, (Central Texas Turnpike System), (AMBAC),		
	5.00%, 8/15/42		22,287,294
		\$	239,888,876
	25,305	1-Transportation (continued) 25,305 Texas Turnpike Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/15/20 22,590 Texas Turnpike Authority, (Central Texas Turnpike System), (AMBAC),	d-Transportation (continued) 25,305 Texas Turnpike Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/15/20 \$ 22,590 Texas Turnpike Authority, (Central Texas Turnpike System), (AMBAC),

Insured-Water and Sewer 12.3%

\$	2,000	Austin, TX, Water and Wastewater,		
Ψ	2,000	(AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/33 ⁽¹⁾	\$	2,119,320
	1,015	Birmingham, AL, Waterworks and Sewer	Ψ	_,112,,020
	,	Board, (AMBAC), (BHAC),		
		4.50%, 1/1/39		1,023,475
	3,185	Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue,		
		(BHAC), 5.25%, 10/1/26		3,598,381
	1,985	Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue,		
		(BHAC), 5.25%, 10/1/27		2,226,376
	3,170	Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue,		
		(BHAC), 5.50%, 10/1/38		3,471,309
	3,570	Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission		
		Revenue, (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38		3,881,768
	13,670	Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission		
		Revenue, (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/1/23		8,293,862
	1,100	DeKalb County, GA, Water and Sewer,		
		(AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/32		1,292,104
	8,500	District of Columbia Water and Sewer		
		Authority, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/1/34 ⁽¹⁾		9,074,175
	2,410	East Baton Rouge, LA, Sewerage		2,464,370
		Commission, (AGM), (BHAC),		

4.50%, 2/1/31	
Fernley, NV, Water and Sewer, (AGC),	
$5.00\%, 2/1/38^{(1)}$	2,061,780
Houston, TX, Utility System, (AGM),	
(BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/33 ⁽¹⁾	29,342,473
New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance	
Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 6/15/40	185,006
New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance	
Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 6/15/40 ⁽¹⁾	10,984,755
Seattle, WA, Drain and Wastewater	
Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 6/1/38 ⁽¹⁾	29,697,934
	Fernley, NV, Water and Sewer, (AGC), 5.00%, 2/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ Houston, TX, Utility System, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/33 ⁽¹⁾ New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 6/15/40 New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 6/15/40 ⁽¹⁾ Seattle, WA, Drain and Wastewater

\$ 109,717,088

Insured-Water Revenue 11.1%

\$ 53,500	Los Angeles, CA, Department of Water and Power, (BHAC), (FGIC),	
	5.00%, 7/1/43 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 54,153,770
5,540	Massachusetts Water Resources	
	Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 8/1/32	6,600,467
1,070	Massachusetts Water Resources	
	Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 8/1/38	1,260,193
22,305	Massachusetts Water Resources	
	Authority, (AMBAC), (BHAC),	
	4.00%, 8/1/40	22,092,879
5,750	Metropolitan Water District, CA, Water	
	and Sewer Systems, (BHAC), (FGIC),	
	5.00%, 10/1/36 ⁽¹⁾	5,941,590
8,625	San Luis Obispo County, CA,	
	(Nacimiento Water Project), (NPFG),	
	4.50%, 9/1/40	8,423,606

\$ 98,472,505

Other Revenue 0.3%

\$ 2,920 Main Street Natural Gas, Inc., GA, Gas Project Revenue, 5.50%, 9/15/27 \$ 3,048,743

\$ 3,048,743

Private Education	10.9%		
\$ 14,700	Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Wesleyan	Φ.	1 (001 (50
4,850	University), 5.00%, 7/1/39 ⁽¹⁾ Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Yale University),	\$	16,031,673
15,000	5.00%, 7/1/42 Houston, TX, Higher Education Finance Corp., (William Marsh Rice University),		5,182,807
2,000	5.00%, 5/15/35 ⁽¹⁾ Massachusetts Health and Educational		16,382,100
8,790	Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ Massachusetts Health and Educational		2,175,180
11,800	Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.50%, 11/15/36 Massachusetts Health and Educational		10,242,459
Facilities Authority, (Massachusetts Institute of Technology), 5.50%, 7/1/32 15,340 New York Dormitory Authority,		15,234,626	
13,500	(Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40 ⁽³⁾ North Carolina Capital Facilities Finance Agency, (Duke University),		16,764,626
	5.00%, 10/1/38 ⁽¹⁾		14,581,080
		\$	96,594,551
Transportation 4	1.7%		
\$ 8,275	Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/35	\$	8,751,640
2,535	Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey,	Ψ	
14,545	5.00%, 1/1/40 Miami-Dade County, FL, (Miami International Airport), 5.00%, 10/1/41		2,667,150 14,664,705
2,915	Orlando-Orange County, FL, Expressway Authority, 5.00%, 7/1/35		3,047,574
3,480	Orlando-Orange County, FL, Expressway Authority, 5.00%, 7/1/40		3,627,065
5,000	Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission,		3 921 650

3,921,650

0.00%, 12/1/34

5,000 Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 5.00%, 7/15/39

5,454,450

\$ 42,134,234

See notes to financial statements

10

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2010

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS CONT D

Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Security	Va	alue
Water and Sewer	0.9%		
\$ 1,445	Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/34	\$	1,500,314
6,325	Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/40	Ψ	6,608,613
		¢	
		\$	8,108,927
Water Revenue	1.2%		
\$ 10,000	King County, WA, Sewer Revenue, 5.00%, 1/1/45	\$	10,754,400
		\$	10,754,400
Total Tax-Exemp (identified cost \$		\$	1,491,055,691
Other Assets, Les	ss Liabilities (67.6)%	\$	(601,516,278)
Net Assets 100	.0%	\$	889,539,413

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

AGC - Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM - Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

BHAC - Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.

FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

NPFG - National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

XLCA - XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

At September 30, 2010, the concentration of the Fund s investments in the various states, determined as a percentage of total investments is as follows:

California	17.4%
Texas	13.8%
Others, representing less than 10% individually	68.8%

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2010, 81.5% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 1.1% to 25.7% of total investments.

- (1) Security represents the underlying municipal bond of an inverse floater (see Note 1H).
- (2) Defaulted bond.
- (3) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for open swap contracts. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$464,470.

See notes to financial statements

11

Table of Contents

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2010

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS

Tax-Exempt Investments 169.0%

Principal Amount (000 s or		Security	Va	lue	
Electric U	Itilities	2.4%			
\$	3,940 2,375	Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, 5.25%, 7/1/40 Vernon, Electric System Revenue,	\$	4,120,216	
		5.125%, 8/1/21		2,534,386	
			\$	6,654,602	
General Obligations 2.8% \$ 7,020 Palo Alto, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/40 ⁽¹⁾ \$ 7,678,476					
			\$	7,678,476	
Hospital	11.3%				
\$	2,000 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5,25%, 7/1/23		\$	2,083,720	
	4,745	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 8/15/39 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Huntington	¥	4,819,544	
	4,780				
	2,330	Memorial Hospital), 5.00%, 7/1/35		4,766,186 2,320,214	

	California Statewide Communities	
	Development Authority, (John Muir	
	Health), 5.00%, 8/15/34	
3,850	California Statewide Communities	
	Development Authority, (Kaiser	
	Permanente), 5.25%, 3/1/45	3,889,578
3,950	Torrance Hospital, (Torrance Memorial	
	Medical Center), 5.50%, 6/1/31	3,992,936
2,045	Turlock, (Emanuel Medical Center, Inc.),	
	5.375%, 10/15/34	2,003,057
3,165	Washington Township Health Care	
	District, 5.00%, 7/1/32	3,144,016
1,000	Washington Township Health Care	
	District, 5.00%, 7/1/37	975,430
3,005	Washington Township Health Care	
	District, 5.25%, 7/1/29	3,010,890

\$ 31,005,571

Insured-Electric Utilities 13.1%

\$ 20,000	Anaheim Public Financing Authority, (Electric System District), (BHAC),	
	(NPFG), 4.50%, 10/1/32 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 20,435,398
6,750	Los Angeles Department of Water and	
	Power, (AMBAC), (BHAC),	
	5.00%, 7/1/26 ⁽¹⁾	7,402,793
2,000	Northern California Power Agency,	
	(Hydroelectric), (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/24	2,197,780
1,000	Sacramento Municipal Utility District,	
	(AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/27	1,099,180
4,000	Sacramento Municipal Utility District,	
	(AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.25%, 7/1/24	4,777,120

\$ 35,912,271

Insured-Escrowed / Prerefunded 6.9%

\$ 3,045	California Infrastructure & Economic	
	Development Bank, (Bay Area Toll	
	Bridges), (AMBAC), Prerefunded to	
	1/1/28, 5.00%, 7/1/36 ⁽²⁾	\$ 3,839,166
13,940	Sacramento County Airport System,	15,072,904
	(AGM) Prerefunded to 7/1/12	

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR $5.00\%, 7/1/27^{(1)}$

\$ 18,912,070

Insured-General Obligations 31.2%

\$ 4,260	Antelope Valley Community College	
	District, (Election of 2004), (NPFG),	
	5.25%, 8/1/39	\$ 4,470,231
18,375	Arcadia Unified School District, (AGM),	
	0.00%, 8/1/41	2,740,448
1,190	Azusa Unified School District, (AGM),	
	0.00%, 7/1/25	561,228
4,135	Burbank Unified School District, (FGIC),	
	(NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/21	2,574,451
10,000	Chabot - Las Positas, Community College	
	District, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/32	2,558,000
9,500	Chabot - Las Positas, Community College	
	District, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/37	1,750,090
14,755	Chabot - Las Positas, Community College	
	District, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/44	1,689,743
2,000	Chino Valley Unified School District,	
	(AGM), 5.00%, 8/1/26	2,105,220
10,600	Coast Community College District,	
	(Election of 2002), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/33	2,734,482
23,150	Coast Community College District,	
	(Election of 2002), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/34	5,584,011
4,400	El Camino Hospital District, (NPFG),	
	4.45%, 8/1/36	4,365,020
6,040	Escondido, (Election of 2004), (NPFG),	
	4.75%, 9/1/36	6,039,758
2,060	Huntington Beach, City School District,	
	(FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/25	952,153
2,140	Huntington Beach, City School District,	
	(FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/26	930,344
2,000	Jurupa Unified School District, (FGIC),	
- 000	(NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/23	992,100
2,000	Jurupa Unified School District, (FGIC),	
	(NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/26	811,240
7,300	Los Angeles Community College District,	
	(Election of 2001), (AGM), (FGIC),	
2 000	5.00%, 8/1/32	7,730,262
2,900	Modesto High School District, Stanislaus	1 400 400
4.500	County, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/24	1,402,498
4,500	Palm Springs Unified School District,	4.006.745
2.025	(Election of 2008), (AGC), 5.00%, 8/1/33	4,826,745
3,825		2,289,454

San Diego Unified School District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/22

8,015 San Diego Unified School District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/23 4,347,336

5,630 San Juan Unified School District, (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/21 3,505,238

See notes to financial statements

12

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2010

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS CONT D

Principa Amount (000 s o		Security	Va	alue		
Insured-General Obligations (continued)						
\$	4,840	San Mateo County, Community College District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/22	\$	2,873,314		
	4,365	San Mateo County, Community College	Φ	2,073,314		
	.,000	District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/23		2,447,063		
	3,955	San Mateo County, Community College				
		District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/25		1,968,957		
	5,240	San Mateo Union High School District,				
		(FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/21		3,354,386		
	5,195	Santa Clara Unified School District,				
		(Election of 2004), (AGM), 4.375%, 7/1/30				