GREATBATCH, INC. Form DEF 14A April 20, 2010

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 SCHEDULE 14A

PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(a) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant p Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o Check the appropriate box:

- o Preliminary Proxy Statement
- o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- **b** Definitive Proxy Statement
- o Definitive Additional Materials
- o Soliciting Material Pursuant §240.14a-12

GREATBATCH, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- b No fee required.
- o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
 - (5) Total fee paid:
- o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - (1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
(3)	Filing Party:
(4)	Date Filed:

GREATBATCH, INC. 10000 WEHRLE DRIVE CLARENCE, NEW YORK 14031

April 20, 2010

Dear Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Greatbatch, Inc. which will be held on Tuesday, May 18, 2010 at 10:00 a.m. at the company s corporate offices at 10000 Wehrle Drive, Clarence, New York 14031.

Details of the business to be conducted at the Annual Meeting are given in the enclosed Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement. Included with the Proxy Statement is a copy of the company s 2009 Annual Report. We encourage you to read this document. It includes information on the company s operations, markets and products, as well as the company s audited financial statements.

Whether or not you attend the Annual Meeting, it is important that your shares be represented and voted. To make it easier for you to vote, we are offering Internet and telephone voting. The instructions included on your proxy card describe how to vote using these services. Of course, if you prefer, you can vote by mail by completing and signing your proxy card, and returning it in the enclosed postage-paid envelope provided.

We look forward to seeing you at the Annual Meeting.

Sincerely,

/s/ Bill R. Sanford Bill R. Sanford Chairman of the Board /s/ Thomas J. Hook Thomas J. Hook

President & Chief Executive Officer

GREATBATCH, INC. 10000 WEHRLE DRIVE CLARENCE, NEW YORK 14031 NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To the Stockholders of Greatbatch, Inc.:

The Annual Meeting of the Stockholders of Greatbatch, Inc. will be held at the company s corporate offices at 10000 Wehrle Drive, Clarence, New York 14031, on Tuesday, May 18, 2010 at 10:00 a.m. for the following purposes:

- 1. To elect nine directors for a term of one year and until their successors have been elected and qualified;
- 2. To ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche, LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm for Greatbatch, Inc. for fiscal year 2010; and
- 3. To consider and act upon other matters that may properly come before the Annual Meeting and any adjournments thereof.

Stockholders of record at 5:00 p.m., Eastern Standard Time, on April 2, 2010 are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

/s/ Timothy G. McEvoy

Timothy G. McEvoy

Vice President, General Counsel & Secretary

Clarence, New York

April 20, 2010

IT IS IMPORTANT THAT YOUR SHARES BE REPRESENTED AND VOTED AT THE ANNUAL MEETING. YOU CAN VOTE YOUR SHARES BY PROXY BY USING ONE OF THE FOLLOWING METHODS: MARK, SIGN, DATE AND PROMPTLY RETURN THE ENCLOSED PROXY CARD IN THE POSTAGE-PAID ENVELOPE FURNISHED FOR THAT PURPOSE, **OR** VOTE BY TELEPHONE OR THE INTERNET USING THE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE ENCLOSED PROXY CARD. ANY PROXY MAY BE REVOKED IN THE MANNER DESCRIBED IN THE ACCOMPANYING PROXY STATEMENT AT ANY TIME PRIOR TO ITS EXERCISE AT THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS. ANY STOCKHOLDER PRESENT AT THE MEETING MAY WITHDRAW HIS OR HER PROXY AND VOTE PERSONALLY ON ANY MATTER PROPERLY BROUGHT BEFORE THE MEETING.

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON MAY 18, 2010 THE GREATBATCH, INC. 2010 PROXY STATEMENT AND 2009 ANNUAL REPORT ARE AVAILABLE AT

http://proxy.greatbatch.com

GREATBATCH, INC. 10000 WEHRLE DRIVE CLARENCE, NEW YORK 14031

PROXY STATEMENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contents	Page
<u>Introduction</u>	2
Voting Rights	2
Principal Beneficial Owners of Shares	3
Company Proposals Proposal 1 Election of Directors	3 3
Proposal 2 Ratification of the Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	6
Stock Ownership by Directors and Executive Officers Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	7 8
Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis Overview Compensation Committee Practices and Procedures	9 9 11
Competitive Market Review	11
Base Salary Annual Cash Incentives	12 13
Long-Term Equity Awards	14
Retirement and Change of Control Agreements	18
Other Personal Benefits	20
Employment Agreements	21
Compensation and Organization Committee Report Compensation Risk Analysis	21 22
Compensation Tables	22
Summary Compensation Table	22
2009 Grants of Plan-Based Awards	24
Outstanding Equity Awards at 2009 Fiscal Year-End	25
2009 Stock Option Exercises and Stock Vested	28
Pension Benefits and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Tables	28
Corporate Governance and Board Matters	28
Leadership Structure of the Board	28
Board Independence	29
Enterprise Risk Management	29
Meetings and Committees of the Board Executive Sessions of the Board	29
HVACUITIVA NACCIONO AT THA HAARA	211

Communications with the Board	30
Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation	30
2009 Director Compensation	31
Related Person Transactions	32
Audit Committee Report	32
Stockholder Proposals	33
Other Matters	33
1	

PROXY STATEMENT INTRODUCTION

This Proxy Statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation by the Board of Directors (the Board) of Greatbatch, Inc. (the Company) of proxies in the accompanying form for use at the 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders or any adjournment or adjournments thereof. The Company will bear the expense of preparing, printing and mailing this proxy statement and the proxies solicited hereby.

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Company will be held at 10:00 a.m. on May 18, 2010 at the Company s corporate offices located at 10000 Wehrle Drive, Clarence, New York 14031. The Company s mailing address is 10000 Wehrle Drive, Clarence, New York 14031, and its telephone number is (716) 759-5600.

This Proxy Statement and the accompanying form of proxy are first being sent to stockholders of record on or about April 20, 2010. A copy of the Company s 2009 Annual Report, including financial statements, has either previously been delivered or accompanies this Proxy Statement, but is not part of the proxy solicitation materials.

VOTING RIGHTS

Stockholders of record at 5:00 p.m., Eastern Standard Time, on April 2, 2010 are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. At that time, the Company had outstanding 23,193,410 shares of common stock, \$0.001 par value per share (Common Stock). Each share of Common Stock is entitled to one vote. An individual who has a beneficial interest in shares allocated to the Company stock fund account under the Greatbatch, Inc. 401(k) Retirement Plan (the 401(k) Plan) is entitled to vote the shares of Common Stock allocated to that account.

Shares may not be voted at the meeting unless the owner is present or represented by proxy. A stockholder can be represented through the return of a physical proxy or by utilizing the telephone or Internet voting procedures. An individual with a beneficial interest in the 401(k) Plan may give directions to the trustee of the 401(k) Plan, or its designated representative, as to how the allocated shares should be voted by returning the proxy card or using the telephone or Internet voting methods. The telephone and Internet voting procedures are designed to authenticate stockholders by use of a control number and allow stockholders to confirm that their instructions have been properly recorded. The method by which you vote will in no way limit your right to vote at the Annual Meeting if you later decide to attend in person. A stockholder giving a proxy may revoke it at any time before it is exercised by giving written notice of such revocation or by delivering a later dated proxy, in either case, to Timothy G. McEvoy, the Company s Secretary, at the Company s mailing address set forth above, or by the vote of the stockholder in person at the Annual Meeting.

Proxies will be voted in accordance with the stockholder s direction, if any. Unless otherwise directed, proxies will be voted in favor of the election as directors of the persons named under the caption NOMINEES FOR DIRECTOR and in favor of ratifying the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP (Deloitte & Touche) as the independent registered public accounting firm for the Company for fiscal year 2010.

The presence in person or by proxy of the holders of a majority of the outstanding Common Stock will constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the meeting. Broker non-votes, abstentions and directions to withhold authority will be counted as being present or represented at the meeting for purposes of establishing a quorum. A broker non-vote occurs when a broker or other nominee holding shares for a beneficial owner does not vote on a particular proposal because it does not have discretionary voting power on that proposal and has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner.

The vote of a plurality of the shares of Common Stock present in person or represented at the meeting is required for the election of directors. Broker non-votes and directions to withhold authority will have no effect on the election of directors.

The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting is required to ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche as the independent registered public accounting firm for the Company for fiscal year 2010. In determining whether the proposal has received the requisite number of affirmative votes, an abstention will have the same effect as a vote against such ratification.

2

PRINCIPAL BENEFICIAL OWNERS OF SHARES

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to all persons known to the Company to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of its outstanding Common Stock as of April 2, 2010.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner FMR LLC ⁽¹⁾ 82 Devonshire Street Boston, MA 02109	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned 3,474,740	Percent of Class 14.98%
Lord, Abbett & Co. LLC ⁽²⁾ 90 Hudson Street Jersey City, NJ 07302	1,812,320	7.81%
BlackRock, Inc. ⁽³⁾ 40 East 52 nd Street New York, NY 10022	1,749,447	7.54%
Capital Research Global Investors ⁽⁴⁾ 333 South Hope Street Los Angeles, CA 90071	1,395,600	6.02%

(1) FMR LLC

(FMR), Fidelity

Management &

Research

Company,

(Fidelity),

Edward C.

Johnson 3d

(Johnson), and

Fidelity Magellan

Fund filed a

Schedule 13G/A

dated

February 12,

2010. The

beneficial

ownership

information

presented and the

remainder of the

information

contained in this

footnote is based

solely on the

Schedule 13G/A.

Fidelity, a

wholly-owned

subsidiary of

FMR and an

investment

adviser registered

under

Section 203 of

the Investment

Advisers Act of

1940 (the

Advisers Act), is

the beneficial

owner of

2,319,300 shares

of the Company s

Common Stock

as a result of

acting as

investment

adviser to various

investment

companies

registered under

Section 8 of the

Investment

Company Act of

1940 (ICA). The

ownership of one

investment

company,

Fidelity Magellan

Fund, amounted

to 2,318,300

shares of the

Company s

Common Stock.

Johnson and

FMR, through its

control of

Fidelity, and the

Fidelity funds

each has sole

power to dispose

of 2,318,300 of

these shares.

Neither FMR nor

Johnson has the

sole power to

vote or direct the

voting of the

shares owned

directly by the

Fidelity funds.

Pyramis Global

Advisors, LLC,

an indirect

wholly-owned

subsidiary of

FMR and an

investment

adviser registered

under

Section 203 of

the Advisers Act

is the beneficial

owner of 46,480

shares of the

Company s

Common Stock.

Pyramis Global

Advisors Trust

Company, an

indirect

wholly-owned

subsidiary of

FMR, is the

beneficial owner

of 1,043,160

shares of the

Company s

Common Stock.

FIL Limited is

the beneficial

owner of 65,800

shares of the

Company s

Common Stock.

(2) Lord, Abbett &

Co. LLC filed a

Schedule 13G

dated

February 12,

2010. The

beneficial

ownership

information

presented is

based solely on

the

Schedule 13G. Includes 1,234,800 shares held by Lord Abbett Research Fund, Inc. Small-Cap Value Series.

(3) BlackRock, Inc. filed a Schedule 13G/A dated January 20, 2010. That filing amended the most recent Schedule 13G filing made by Barclays Global Investors, which was acquired by BlackRock, Inc. on December 1, 2009. The beneficial ownership information presented is based solely on the Schedule 13G/A. The reported securities are owned by BlackRock, Inc. and its affiliated companies listed

(4) Capital Research
Global Investors
(CRGI), a
division of
Capital Research
and Management
Company
(CRMC), filed a
Schedule 13G/A
dated February 8,
2010. The

Schedule 13G/A.

in the

beneficial ownership information presented and the remainder of the information contained in this footnote is based solely on the Schedule 13G/A. CRGI is deemed to be the beneficial owner of 1,395,600 shares of the Company s Common Stock as a result of CRMC acting as an investment adviser to various investment companies registered under Section 8 of the

COMPANY PROPOSALS

Proposal 1 Election of Directors

ICA.

Shares represented by properly executed proxies will be voted, unless such authority is withheld, for the election as directors of the Company of the following nine persons nominated by the Board, to hold office until the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and until their successors have been elected and qualified. Each of the nominees listed below was elected at the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

3

Table of Contents

If any nominee for any reason should become unavailable for election or if a vacancy should occur before the election (which events are not expected), the shares represented by the proxies will be voted for such other person, if any, as the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee shall designate. Information regarding the nominees standing for election as directors is set forth below:

Nominees for Director

Pamela G. Bailey is 61, is a member and Chair of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, a member of the Compensation and Organization Committee, and has been a director since 2002.

Ms. Bailey has been President and Chief Executive Officer of The Grocery Manufacturers Association (GMA), a Washington, D.C. based trade association, since January 2009. From April 2005 until January 2009, she was President and Chief Executive Officer of the Personal Care Products Council. Ms. Bailey served as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Advanced Medical Technology Association (AdvaMed), the world slargest association representing the medical technology industry, from June 1999 to April 2005. From 1970 to 1999, she served in the White House, the Department of Health and Human Services and other public and private organizations with responsibilities for health care public policy. Ms. Bailey is a director and compliance committee member and chair of the compensation committee of MedCath Corporation, a national provider of high acuity healthcare services, and a director of The National Food Laboratory, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of GMA and a provider of integrated concepts to commercialization services to food industry customers. She also is a member of the board of trustees of Franklin and Marshall College. Ms. Bailey formerly served as a director of Albertsons, Inc.

Ms. Bailey s thirty years of healthcare public policy experience with public and private organizations including service in the White House, the Department of Health and Human Services, and as President and Chief Executive Officer of AdvaMed gives her a unique perspective on a variety of healthcare-related issues. With over ten years of managerial experience at GMA, the Personal Care Products Council and AdvaMed, Ms. Bailey brings to the Board demonstrated management ability at senior levels. This experience, together with her experienced gained as a director of Albertsons and MedCath Corporation, support her continued service as a member of the Board.

Michael Dinkins is 56, is a member of the Audit Committee, a member of the Compensation and Organization Committee, and has been a director since 2008.

Mr. Dinkins has been the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of USI Insurance Services, an insurance intermediary company, since October 2008. From 2005 until 2008, he was Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Hilb Rogal & Hobbs Co., an insurance and risk management services company. Mr. Dinkins was Vice President, Global Control & Reengineering at Guidant Corporation from 2004 to 2005, and Vice President and Chief Financial Officer for NCR Worldwide Customer Service Operation from 2002 to 2004. Prior to 2002, he held senior positions at Access Worldwide Communications, Cadmus Communications Group and General Electric Company. Mr. Dinkins is a former director of LandAmerica Financial Group, Inc.

As Chief Financial Officer of USI, Hilb Rogal & Hobbs and NCR, Mr. Dinkins gained extensive knowledge of complex financial and operational issues facing large organizations and an understanding of operations and financial strategy in challenging environments. In addition, Mr. Dinkins is able to draw upon, among other things, his knowledge of the medical device industry gained while at Guidant where he led process re-engineering projects for operations and finance. This experience supports his continued service as a member of the Board.

Thomas J. Hook is 47, is a member of the Technology Development and Innovation Committee, and has been a director since 2006.

Mr. Hook has been the Company s President and Chief Executive Officer since August 2006. Prior to August 2006, he was the Company s Chief Operating Officer, a position to which he was appointed upon joining the Company in September 2004. From August 2002 until September 2004, Mr. Hook was employed by CTI Molecular Imaging where he served as President, CTI Solutions Group. From March 2000 to July 2002, he was General Manager, Functional and Molecular Imaging for General Electric Medical Systems. From 1997 to 2000, Mr. Hook worked for the Van Owen Group Acquisition Company and prior to that, Duracell, Inc. He is a director of Central Radiopharmaceuticals, Inc. and serves on the audit committee of that board, HealthNow New York, Inc. and serves as chairman and on the finance and compensation committees of that board, AdvaMed, the Buffalo-Niagara Partnership, and the National Federation of Just Communities of WNY. Mr. Hook also is a member of the board of trustees of St.

Bonaventure University.

Since joining the Company as Chief Operating Officer in 2004 and becoming President and Chief Executive Officer in 2006, Mr. Hook has directed the Company s acquisition, integration and product development efforts, growing the business from \$200 million to the more diverse \$520 million medical products company that it is today. Mr. Hook s knowledge of the Company s business and his role as the Company s President and Chief Executive Officer support his continued service as a member of the Board.

4

Kevin C. Melia is 62, is a member and Chair of the Audit Committee, a member of the Technology Development and Innovation Committee, and has been a director since 2007.

Mr. Melia has been the non-executive Chairman of Vette Corporation, a privately-held provider of thermal management solutions since June 2009. From 2003 to 2008, he was the non-executive Chairman of IONA Technologies PLC, a leading middleware software company. Between 2003 and November 2007, Mr. Melia also was the non-executive Chairman of A.Net (formerly Lightbridge Inc.), an e-payment company. He was the co-founder of Manufacturers Services Ltd. (MSL), a leading company in the electronics manufacturing services industry, and served as its Chairman and Chief Executive Officer from June 1994 to January 2003. Prior to establishing MSL, Mr. Melia held a number of senior executive positions over a five-year period at Sun Microsystems. He also held a number of senior executive positions in operations and finance over a seventeen-year career at Digital Equipment Corporation. Mr. Melia is a director of RadiSys Corporation, a provider of embedded advanced solutions for the communications networking and commercial systems markets, and serves on the audit committee of that board, and Analogic Corporation, a high-technology signal and image processing company. He also is a director of DCC plc, a procurement, sales, marketing, distribution and business support services group headquartered in Dublin, Ireland, and serves on the audit committee of that board. Mr. Melia also is a joint managing director of Boulder Brook Partners LLC, a private investment company and a member of the advisory board of directors of C&S Wholesale Grocers. He also is a former director of A.Net, Manugistic Corporation, and Eircom, Inc.

Having been a co-founder and Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of MSL and non-executive Chairman of Vette Corporation, IONA and A.Net, Mr. Melia has extensive business leadership experience. His service in senior management positions at Sun Microsystems and Digital Equipment Corporation provided him with broad knowledge in global operations and financial and accounting matters. The depth and breadth of Mr. Melia s experience support his continued service as a member of the Board.

Dr. Joseph A. Miller, Jr. is 68, is a member and Chair of the Technology Development and Innovation Committee, a member of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, and has been a director since 2003.

Dr. Miller has been Executive Vice President and Chief Technology Officer for Corning, Inc. since 2002. Before joining Corning in 2001, he served as Senior Vice President of E.I. DuPont de Nemours from 1999 to 2001 and held various executive positions with that company prior to that time. Dr. Miller also serves on the board of directors of Dow Corning Corporation and serves on the corporate responsibility committee of that board.

Dr. Miller has significant research and development knowledge and experience gained through his positions at Corning and DuPont. His extensive knowledge and experience gives him an insight into a number of issues facing the Company and supports his continued service as a member of the Board.

Bill R. Sanford is 66, is Chairman of the Board, is a member of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, and has been a director since 2000.

Mr. Sanford is Chairman of Symark LLC, a company he founded in 1979 that focuses on the development and commercialization of biosciences systems, products and services. He is Executive Founder and retired Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Steris Corporation, a global provider of infection and contamination prevention systems, products, services and technologies. Mr. Sanford serves on the board of directors of KeyCorp and on its executive, risk management and compliance committees. He is an active early and growth stage equity investor through Symark, and serves as a board member and advisor of private for-profit companies, not-for-profit organizations, investment limited partnerships and venture capital firms.

Mr. Sanford is an experienced entrepreneur, senior executive, consultant, investor and board member with extensive public company, new venture, merger and acquisition, marketing and sales, turnaround and market development experience. He has public and private company financing experience, including initial and secondary public stock offerings, structured debt financings, public stock mergers and private equity and venture capital investments.

Mr. Sanford s background and experience, including his substantial experience in the medical device industry, support his continued service as a member of the Board.

Peter H. Soderberg is 63, is a member of the Audit Committee, a member of the Compensation and Organization Committee, and has been a director since 2002.

Mr. Soderberg is managing partner of Worthy Ventures Resources, LLC, a private investment company he founded in February 2010. He retired in January 2010 as President and Chief Executive Officer of Hill-Rom Holdings, Inc., a position he held since March 2006. Since his retirement, Mr. Soderberg has served as Hill-Rom s Chief Innovation Officer on a part-time basis. From January 2000 to March 2006, he was President and Chief Executive Officer of Welch Allyn, Inc., and for the seven years prior to that, Chief Operating Officer of Welch Allyn s medical products business. Mr. Soderberg also held a number of positions over a twenty-three year career with Johnson & Johnson, where his final position was as president of one of its operating subsidiaries. He serves on the board of directors of Constellation Brands, Inc. Until his retirement, Mr. Soderberg also had served on the board of directors of Hill-Rom and AdvaMed.

5

Table of Contents

Having served in the roles of President and Chief Executive Officer of Hill-Rom and of Welch Allyn, Mr. Soderberg has significant management experience and business understanding. Running a public company gave Mr. Soderberg front-line exposure to many of the issues facing public companies, particularly on the operational, financial and corporate governance fronts. His deep knowledge of healthcare policy and patient care delivery, gained through his long career in the healthcare industry, provides our Board with a valuable perspective on the priorities of and challenges facing our major customers. These attributes support Mr. Soderberg s continued service as a member of the Board.

William B. Summers, Jr. is 59, is a member and Chair of the Compensation and Organization Committee, a member of the Audit Committee, and has been a director since 2001.

Mr. Summers retired in June 2006 as Chairman of McDonald Investments, Inc., a position he had held since 1998. He also held the additional positions of President (from 1989 through 1998) and Chief Executive Officer (from 1994 through 1998) of that investment company. Mr. Summers serves on the board of directors of RPM International, Inc. and is a member and chairman of its audit committee and a member of its executive committee, and on the board of directors of Developers Diversified Realty, Inc. and is a member of its audit and pricing committees. He also serves on the advisory boards of Molded Fiberglass Companies, Dix & Eaton and MAI Wealth Advisors LLC. Mr. Summers also serves on the board of directors of The Rock and Roll Hall of Fame and Museum, Baldwin-Wallace College, The Great Lakes Science Center, and State Troopers of Ohio.

Through his positions with McDonald Investments, Mr. Summers gained leadership experience and extensive knowledge of complex financial and operational issues. In addition, through his service on the audit committee of other public companies, Mr. Summers has gained valuable experience dealing with accounting principles and financial reporting rules and regulations, evaluating financial results and generally overseeing the financial reporting process of large public corporations. This experience supports Mr. Summers continued service as a member of the Board.

Dr. Helena S. Wisniewski is 60, is a member of the Technology Development and Innovation Committee, a member of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, and has been a director since 2008.

Dr. Wisniewski is Chief Executive Officer of Equinox Toys, LLC, a company she founded in 2009 to develop innovative toys using biometrics. She also is a special consultant to the Naval Research Advisory Committee, the senior scientific advisory group to the Secretary of the Navy. From August 2004 until October 2008, Dr. Wisniewski served as Vice President, Research and Enterprise Development at the Stevens Institute of Technology. During that same period, she also was Chief Executive Officer of Castle Point Holdings, Inc., a Stevens Institute-owned company that invested in technology companies. From 2001 through 2004, Dr. Wisniewski was Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of Aurora Biometrics, a company she founded. Prior to that time, she was a senior executive at Lockheed Corporation and a Vice President of Titan Corporation. Dr. Wisniewski is a director of Smart Trax, Inc., an educational media company, and serves on the advisory boards of Soar Technology Inc., a company that develops cognitive software to solve complex problems in training, modelling and simulation, robotics, and medical informatics, and of Kulper and Company, LLC, where she chairs its research committee. She is the former chairman of Attila Technologies, LLC, a provider of continuous broadband on-demand communication systems. As Vice President for Research and Enterprise Development at the Stevens Institute of Technology, Dr. Wisniewski led the Institute s innovation research that commercialized new technologies. Through her service at Lockheed and Titan, Dr. Wisniewski gained management experience at senior levels. These attributes and her significant experience in leading and managing technology innovation provides the Company valuable insight into developing new technologies to support future growth and supports Dr. Wisniewski s continued service on the Board.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF EACH OF THE NOMINEES FOR DIRECTOR.

PROPOSAL 2 Ratification of the Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

On the recommendation of the Audit Committee, the accounting firm of Deloitte & Touche has been appointed by the Board as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2010, a capacity in which it has served since 2000. Although stockholder approval is not required by law, the Company has determined that it is desirable to request that the stockholders ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche as the Company s independent

registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2010. In the event the stockholders fail to ratify the appointment, the Board will reconsider this appointment and make such a determination as it believes to be in the Company s best interests. Even if the appointment is ratified, the Board, in its discretion, may direct the appointment of a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if the Board determines that such a change would be in the Company s best interests. Representatives of Deloitte & Touche are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting. The representatives may, if they wish, make a statement and, it is expected, will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

6

Table of Contents

<u>Audit Fees</u>. The following table sets forth the aggregate fees billed by Deloitte & Touche for services provided to the Company during fiscal years 2009 and 2008:

Audit Fees ⁽¹⁾ Audit-Related Fees	2009 \$ 1,309,962	2008 \$1,341,200
Total Audit and Audit-Related Fees Tax Fees ⁽²⁾ All Other Fees	1,309,962 2,000	1,341,200 24,300
Total Fees	\$ 1,311,962	\$ 1,365,500

(1) The amounts

indicated

represent fees

billed by

Deloitte &

Touche for

services

rendered for the

audit of the

Company s

annual

consolidated

financial

statements and

for its review of

the Company s

quarterly

condensed

consolidated

financial

statements.

(2) The amounts

indicated

represent fees

billed by

Deloitte &

Touche for tax

compliance,

planning and

consulting.

<u>Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy on Audit and Non-Audit Services</u>. As described in the Audit Committee charter, the Audit Committee must review and pre-approve both audit and non-audit services to be provided by the

Company s independent registered public accounting firm (other than with respect to *de minimis* exceptions permitted by Regulation S-X, Rule 2-01(c)(7)(i)(c)). This duty may be delegated to one or more designated members of the Audit Committee with any such pre-approval reported to the Audit Committee at its next regularly scheduled meeting. None of the services described above provided by Deloitte & Touche were approved by the Audit Committee under the *de minimis* exception rule.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP AS THE COMPANY S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010 STOCK OWNERSHIP BY DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Direct and indirect ownership of Common Stock by each of the directors, each of the executive officers who are named in the Summary Compensation Table (the Named Executive Officers), and by all directors and executive officers as a group is set forth in the following table as of April 2, 2010, together with the percentage of total shares outstanding represented by such ownership. For purposes of this table, beneficial ownership has been determined in accordance with the provisions of Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act, under which, in general, a person is deemed to be the beneficial owner of a security if that person has or shares the power to vote or to direct the voting of the security or the power to dispose or to direct the disposition of the security, or if he or she has the right to acquire the beneficial ownership of the security within 60 days.

	Number of	Percent of
Name of Beneficial Owner	Shares	Class
Pamela G. Bailey ⁽¹⁾	53,052	*
Michael Dinkins ⁽²⁾	15,633	*
Thomas J. Hook ⁽³⁾	315,542	1.35%
Kevin C. Melia ⁽⁴⁾	31,855	*
Dr. Joseph A. Miller, Jr. ⁽⁵⁾	45,936	*
Bill R. Sanford ⁽⁶⁾	94,368	*
Peter H. Soderberg ⁽⁷⁾	52,016	*
William B. Summers, Jr. ⁽⁸⁾	62,594	*
John P. Wareham ⁽⁹⁾	45,173	*
Dr. Helena S. Wisniewski ⁽¹⁰⁾	27,154	*
Thomas J. Mazza ⁽¹¹⁾	77,324	*
Mauricio Arellano ⁽¹²⁾	68,811	*
Susan M. Bratton ⁽¹³⁾	135,493	*
Susan H. Campbell ⁽¹⁴⁾	73,683	*
All Directors and Executive Officers as a group (16 persons)	1,172,950	4.89%
7		

- (1) Includes (i) 38,183 shares Ms. Bailey has the right to acquire pursuant to options exercisable currently or within 60 days after April 2, 2010; (ii) 3,069 shares awarded to her under the Company s 2005 Stock Incentive Plan; and (iii) 11,800 shares directly held by her.
- (2) Includes (i) 9,905 shares Mr. Dinkins has the right to acquire pursuant to options exercisable currently or within 60 days after April 2, 2010; (ii) 3,069 shares awarded to him under the Company s 2005 Stock Incentive Plan; and (iii) 2,659 shares directly held by him.
- (3) Includes
 (i) 228,180
 shares Mr. Hook
 has the right to
 acquire pursuant
 to options
 exercisable
 currently or

within 60 days after April 2, 2010; (ii) 49,181 shares awarded to him under the Company s 2002 Restricted Stock Plan and 2005 Stock Incentive Plan; (iii) 2,306 shares allocated to his account under the 401(k) Plan; and (iv) 35,875 shares directly held by him.

Mr. Melia has the right to acquire pursuant to options exercisable currently or

(i) 20,375 shares

(4) Includes

within 60 days after April 2, 2010; (ii) 3,069 shares awarded

to him under the

Company s 2005

Stock Incentive

Plan; and

(iii) 8,411 shares directly

held by him.

(5) Includes

(i) 32,933 shares

Dr. Miller has

the right to

acquire pursuant

to options

exercisable

currently or

within 60 days

after April 2,

2010; (ii) 3,069

shares awarded

to him under the Company s 2005 Stock Incentive Plan; and (iii) 9,934 shares directly held by him.

(6) Includes (i) 47,675 shares Mr. Sanford has the right to acquire pursuant to options exercisable currently or within 60 days after April 2, 2010; (ii) 4,603 shares awarded to him under the Company s 2005 Stock Incentive Plan; and (iii) 42,090

shares directly held by him.

(7) Includes (i) 38,183 shares Mr. Soderberg has the right to acquire pursuant to options exercisable currently or within 60 days after April 2, 2010; (ii) 3,069 shares awarded to him under the Company s 2005 Stock Incentive Plan; and (iii) 10,764 shares directly held by him.

(8) Includes (i) 38,183 shares Mr. Summers

has the right to acquire pursuant to options exercisable currently or within 60 days after April 2, 2010; (ii) 3,069 shares awarded to him under the Company s 2005 Stock Incentive Plan; and (iii) 21,342 shares directly held by him.

(9) Includes

(i) 32,683 shares Mr. Wareham has the right to acquire pursuant to options exercisable currently or within 60 days after April 2, 2010; (ii) 3,069 shares awarded to him under the Company s 2005 Stock Incentive Plan; and (iii) 9,421 shares directly held by him. As previously disclosed, Mr. Wareham has notified the Company that, due to personal considerations, he will not stand for re-election at

(10) Includes

(i) 19,342 shares

the 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Dr. Wisniewski has the right to acquire pursuant to options exercisable currently or within 60 days after April 2, 2010; (ii) 3,069 shares awarded to her under the Company s 2005 Stock Incentive Plan; and (iii) 4,743 shares directly held by her.

(11) Includes

(i) 58,989 shares Mr. Mazza has the right to acquire pursuant to options exercisable currently or within 60 days after April 2, 2010; (ii) 6,076 shares awarded to him under the Company s 2002 Restricted Stock Plan and 2005 Stock Incentive Plan; (iii) 2,513 shares allocated to his account under the 401(k) Plan; and (iv) 9,746 shares directly held by him.

(12) Includes

(i) 51,640 shares Mr. Arellano has the right to acquire pursuant to options exercisable

currently or within 60 days after April 2, 2010; (ii) 6,162 shares awarded to him under the Company s 2002 Restricted Stock Plan and 2005 Stock Incentive Plan; (iii) 2,392 shares allocated to his account under the 401(k) Plan; and (iv) 8,617 shares directly held by him.

(13) Includes

(i) 66,598 shares Ms. Bratton has the right to acquire pursuant to options exercisable currently or within 60 days after April 2, 2010; (ii) 5,499 shares awarded to her under the Company s 2002 Restricted Stock Plan and 2005 Stock Incentive Plan; (iii) 4,671 shares allocated to her account under the 401(k) Plan; and (iv) 58,725 shares directly held by her.

(14) Includes

(i) 55,630 shares Ms. Campbell has the right to acquire pursuant to options

exercisable currently or within 60 days after April 2, 2010; (ii) 6,062 shares awarded to her under the Company s 2002 Restricted Stock Plan and 2005 Stock Incentive Plan; (iii) 2,584 shares allocated to her account under the 401(k) Plan; and (iv) 9,407 shares directly held by her.

* Less than 1%

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance. Under Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act, the Company s directors and officers are required to report their beneficial ownership of the Common Stock and any changes in that beneficial ownership to the SEC and the New York Stock Exchange. The Company believes that these filing requirements were satisfied by its directors and officers during 2009. In making the foregoing statement, the Company has relied on copies of the reporting forms received by it or on the written representations from such reporting persons.

8

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Overview

The compensation programs for our senior level managers, which includes all of the Named Executive Officers, are designed to be consistent with our compensation philosophy. Our philosophy is to provide a compensation package that is competitive, encourages executives to act in the best interest of our stockholders, and strikes a proper balance between risk and our performance. Based upon this philosophy, we designed our compensation programs to include fixed cash and equity-based compensation at the targeted competitive market median rate and performance or at risk cash and equity-based compensation at above competitive market median rates if above competitive market median performance is achieved. We believe that paying above competitive market median compensation for above competitive market median performance is important in order to attract, retain and properly incentivize senior level management and should provide value to our stockholders through a higher stock price, which should benefit from the higher level of performance.

As illustrated in the graphs below, we believe that performance and equity-based compensation should increase as a percentage of total direct compensation as salary grade levels and responsibility increases. We target our Named Executive Officer s total cash and direct compensation to be consistent with our competitive market. By following this philosophy, we believe we can attract and retain executives who have the appropriate skill set to carry out our strategic plans and attain both our short- and long-term financial and strategic goals.

The following graphs depict the mix of cash versus equity compensation as a percentage of total direct compensation granted to our Named Executive Officers during 2009 assuming maximum performance levels are achieved: The following graphs depict the mix of time (or fixed) versus performance (or variable) based compensation as a percentage of total direct compensation granted to our Named Executive Officers during 2009 assuming maximum performance levels are achieved:

Our compensation programs are designed by our Compensation and Organization Committee (Compensation Committee) in collaboration with management and input from an independent compensation consultant hired by the Compensation Committee, and approved by our Board. Our compensation programs consist of the following components:

Base Salary

Annual Cash Incentives

Long-Term Equity Awards

Ø Time-Based

Ø Performance-Based Retirement and Change of Control Agreements

Other Personal Benefits

Other than as discussed below, we do not believe the compensation for our Named Executive Officers in 2010 will change materially from 2009.

9

Table of Contents

Consistent with our compensation philosophy, we believe that our compensation programs should have a certain amount of compensation that is at risk, which serves to better align the interests of management with those of our stockholders. To accomplish this, our compensation programs include both short- and long-term performance incentive programs. Our long-term incentive programs cover a three-year performance period. The following graph depicts total direct compensation received by our Named Executive Officers versus the value granted for fiscal years 2006 to 2008 (excluding retention equity awards) and illustrates the significant impact that our performance-based programs can have on the compensation levels of our Named Executive Officers. Fiscal years 2008 and 2009 were the last years of the three year performance periods for awards granted in 2006 and 2007. The performance metrics for the 2006 performance-based long-term incentive award (SALT Program) was achieved while the 2007 metrics were not. Additionally, we currently expect to achieve 30% of the 2008 performance metrics (in thousands):

Total Direct Compensation of Named Executive Officers

In 2010, our Board approved a new long-term incentive equity compensation plan (LTI Plan) for senior level managers, including our Named Executive Officers, which replaces the existing long-term incentive plans. The objective of the LTI Plan is to better align our compensation programs with stockholder interests and our competitive market, as well as to adopt a number of current best practices with regards to equity compensation programs. This includes increasing the percentage of performance- and equity-based compensation as a percentage of total direct compensation; issuing equity performance-based compensation in performance shares; and tying equity performance-based awards to total stockholder return (TSR) relative to our peer group. See further discussion of the LTI Plan under Long-Term Equity Awards. Additionally, in conjunction with his contract renewal and based upon his performance and strategic accomplishments over the last four years, in 2010 our Board approved an increase to the award percentages under the Company s annual cash and long-term equity award programs for Mr. Hook. These accomplishments include, among other things, developing and making significant progress towards implementing our long-term strategic plan, as well as successfully leading our Company through the global economic downturn. The following graphs depict the mix of cash versus equity compensation as a percentage of total direct compensation under the LTI Plan assuming maximum performance levels are achieved:

10

Table of Contents

The following graphs depict the mix of time (or fixed) versus performance (or variable) based compensation as a percentage of total direct compensation under the LTI Plan assuming maximum performance levels are achieved:

Compensation Committee Practices and Procedures

The Compensation Committee, in collaboration with management, is responsible for the design and administration of our compensation programs with appropriate approval and general oversight from the Board. This responsibility includes the determination of compensation levels and awards provided to the Named Executive Officers. The Compensation Committee directly engages Ernst & Young LLP to independently advise them on compensation matters and recommendations made by management. A representative of Ernst & Young was present in person or by telephone for all five of the meetings held by the Compensation Committee during 2009.

The Compensation Committee also is responsible for recommending to the Board for approval the performance and merit adjustments for Mr. Hook, our President & Chief Executive Officer. For the remaining Named Executive Officers, Mr. Hook makes recommendations regarding performance and merit adjustments to the Compensation Committee for approval. Grants of equity-based compensation are recommended by management and approved by the Compensation Committee in accordance with approved long-term incentive programs established by the Compensation Committee with the assistance of Ernst & Young.

During 2009, Mr. Hook, Mr. Mazza, Barbara M. Davis, Vice President of Human Resources and Timothy G. McEvoy, Vice President, General Counsel & Secretary, attended meetings of the Compensation Committee to provide counsel and assistance to the Compensation Committee. These executives were not present during executive sessions and when items pertaining to their individual compensation were discussed.

Competitive Market Review

When determining compensation levels and programs, the Compensation Committee compares our programs and performance against an approved peer group of companies. The peer group consists of fifteen publicly traded companies that are similar in size and operate in similar industries as our Company and with whom we may compete for executive talent. The Compensation Committee typically reevaluates the peer group every two to three years or sooner if an event, such as an acquisition, no longer makes the companies in the peer group comparable to our Company.

The companies comprising our compensation peer group, which was last updated in 2009, are:

Analogic Corporation ResMed Inc.
CONMED Corporation SonoSite, Inc.

CTS Corporation Symmetry Medical, Inc. Edwards Lifesciences Corp. Thoratec Corporation Ev3, Inc. West Pharmaceutical

Integra LifeSciences Holdings Corp. Wright Medical Group, Inc.

Merit Medical Systems, Inc. ZOLL Medical Corporation

Orthofix International

For 2009, the following changes were made to our peer group:

Additions **Deletions**

Analogic Corporation Datascope Corporation

Orthofix International DJO, Inc.
West Pharmaceutical Vital Signs, Inc.

Table of Contents

Datascope Corporation, DJO, Inc. and Vital Signs, Inc. were removed from the peer group because they were acquired by other companies. The additions for 2009 were made to replace the companies removed from the peer group in order to maintain the number of companies at fifteen. We believe that this is an appropriate size for a peer group in order to get a representative sample of our market, yet keeping the size of the peer group at a manageable level for analysis purposes. We believe these companies (i) have relevant overlap with our industry, customers and products, (ii) are similar in size and (iii) have key metrics that are consistent with our growth strategy. The key metrics considered included revenue size and growth rate, return on equity, net income, earnings per share (EPS) growth, average gross margins and enterprise value.

Our 2010 total compensation packages are based upon a 2009 market study performed by Ernst & Young which utilized compensation peer group data supplemented by market survey data and adjusted for factors such as prior individual performance and expected future contributions, performance of our Company, internal equity within our Company and the degree of difficulty in replacing the individual. Prior to this, the last market study was performed in 2008. The 2009 market study, which provided base salary, total cash compensation and total direct compensation analysis, utilized proxy data of our peer group from 2006 to 2008 and survey data from the following sources:

Title	Publisher	Year
Executive Compensation Assessor	Economic Research Institute	2009
U.S. Executive Survey Report	Mercer HR Consulting	2008
Top Management Compensation	Watson Wyatt Data Services	2008-2009

The proxy and survey data were trended to 2010 levels based upon an annual increase factor of 2.9% as obtained from a WorldatWork survey. In determining recommended compensation levels, the Ernst & Young analysis also utilized various analytical tools, including total remuneration analysis and tally sheets, which provide a summary of the total compensation (cash, equity, benefits and perquisites) provided to the Named Executive Officers, as well as the impact of the Company s performance on compensation. In 2008, internal pay equity analysis and equity wealth accumulation and sensitivity analysis were also performed. The Compensation Committee has determined that, absent a meaningful change in circumstances, such tools should be utilized on a periodic, as opposed to an annual, basis. In making final compensation decisions, the Compensation Committee considers all of these analyses.

The Compensation Committee sets the performance goals for annual cash incentives and long-term equity programs based upon the current year and long-range plan of our Company. Our current year and long-range plan takes into consideration the performance of our largest customers supplemented by performance information of our peer group, as well as relevant market indices. We believe the performance of our largest customers should be considered since they account for a large percentage of our sales. The market indices considered include the revenue growth rates of our largest markets (Cardiac Rhythm Management, Vascular, Orthopaedics and Energy (as a proxy for our Electrochem Solutions segment)).

Base Salary

We want to provide our senior level managers with a fixed level of cash compensation in the form of base salary that is consistent with their skill level, experience, knowledge, length of service with our Company and the level of responsibility and complexity of their position. The target salary for our senior level managers is based in part on the competitive market median of our compensation peer group, supplemented by published survey data. Our general practice is to be within 90% to 110% of the competitive market median. In addition to the factors listed above, actual base salaries may differ from the competitive market median target as a result of various other factors including prior individual performance and expected future contributions, performance of our Company, internal pay equity within our Company and the degree of difficulty in replacing the individual. Any such differences are approved by the Compensation Committee and in the case of Mr. Hook, by the Board. The base salaries of our Named Executive Officers are reviewed by the Compensation Committee on an annual basis, as well as at the time of promotion or significant changes in responsibility. We expect the base salaries of our Named Executive Officers to generally increase in-line with any increases to the median competitive market rates.

The 2009 base salaries for our Named Executive Officers compared to the competitive market median are as follows:

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form DEF 14A

		% of Competitive	
	2009		
	Base Salary	Market Median	
Thomas J. Hook	\$491,600	98%	
Thomas J. Mazza	271,500	91%	
Mauricio Arellano	267,500	92%	
Susan M. Bratton	250,200	86%	
Susan H. Campbell	267,500	92%	

2009 base salaries were increased by 3.5% from the 2008 levels. This was consistent with the average merit increase across our U.S. based organization. We believe this reflects the solid performance of our Company during a year of internal integration and external financial market turmoil and is a reasonable market increase for companies with similar performance to ours. The additional salary that was provided to Mr. Arellano and Ms. Campbell took into consideration the size and complexity of the Cardiac & Neurology and Orthopaedics product lines.

12

In connection with the Compensation Committee s annual review of compensation levels, the following salaries were approved, but not yet implemented, for 2010 for the Named Executive Officers: Mr. Hook \$525,000; Mr. Mazza \$290,000; Mr. Arellano \$285,000; Ms. Bratton \$265,000; and Ms. Campbell \$280,000. These salaries as a percentage of the competitive market median are as follows: Mr. Hook (105%); Mr. Mazza (97%); Mr. Arellano (98%); Ms. Bratton (91%); and Ms. Campbell (96%) and are consistent with our target of 90% to 110%. These salaries reflect the accomplishments of the Named Executive Officers during 2009, which included the successful consolidation of five facilities; conversion of four facilities onto the Oracle ERP system; 7% increase in CRM and Neuromodulation revenue; achievement of our 12% adjusted operating margin goal; deepening customer relationships; and furthering the Company s technology initiatives, including the development of system level products for our customers. In addition to these accomplishments, the increase for Mr. Hook took into consideration and was determined in conjunction with the negotiations of his contract. The higher salary levels provided to Mr. Arellano and Ms. Campbell take into consideration the size and complexity of the Cardiac & Neurology and Orthopaedics product lines. In conjunction with various other cost cutting initiatives we are implementing in order to reduce the impact of lower revenue levels on our net income, 2010 base salaries for all of our senior level managers, including our Named Executive Officers, are being held constant with 2009 amounts. The above salary increases for the Named Executive Officers are currently not being implemented and will only take effect if the Company meets its financial targets for 2010, and may be retroactive to January 1, 2010.

Annual Cash Incentives

Overview. The payment of annual cash incentives for senior level managers is formula-based and is governed by our Short-Term Incentive Compensation Program (STIC Program). The objective of the STIC Program is to provide a target level of cash compensation that is based upon the achievement of internal performance metrics, which take into consideration the competitive market median performance with the opportunity for above median compensation for above median performance.

STIC Program awards for the Named Executive Officers are based upon Company-wide performance goals. For all other levels, STIC Program awards are primarily based upon a combination of the achievement of specific individual operational goals and Company-wide performance. As a result, this component of compensation can be highly variable from year to year.

STIC Program awards are calculated based upon the following formulas:

Total Available Award (TAA) = (Base Salary x Individual STIC %) x STIC Funding % **Actual Award** = $((TAA \times 75\%) \times Individual Performance %) + <math>(TAA \times 25\%)$

For senior level managers, other than the Named Executive Officers, individual business unit and functional goals (Individual Performance %) impact 75% of the actual award and cannot exceed 100%. The remaining 25% of the award is determined by the achievement of the Company performance target (same as funding target). In general, the higher the salary grade of an employee, the more the individual performance goals are based upon the performance of the Company. The Named Executive Officers performance goals are based upon overall Company performance metrics (same as funding target).

<u>STIC Funding %</u>. Overall funding of the STIC Program is based upon a Company-wide performance measure as recommended by the Compensation Committee and approved by the Board at its first meeting of every year. Funding of the STIC Program is calculated in accordance with the following scale:

Achievement of Performance Measure	Funding %
Less than 75%	0%
75% - 100%	50% - 100%
100% - 133%	100% - 200%

This funding model was designed to provide stockholders with a three-to-one payout ratio compared to management. That is, for every four dollars earned above the target, one dollar is paid in additional incentive compensation to fund the STIC Program, up to the maximum threshold, and three dollars are retained in the business and benefit stockholders.

For fiscal years 2007 to 2009, the STIC Program funding performance measure was adjusted EPS. We selected adjusted EPS because of its direct correlation with the interests of our stockholders. In establishing this measure, the Compensation Committee considered the respective year s budget, three-year compound annual growth rate and how that growth rate compared to our competitive market. Achievement at the 100% target level was deemed to be a realistic goal and any amount greater than the target was believed to be a stretch goal. The STIC Program was funded as follows:

		2007	2008	2009
Adjusted EPS Target		\$1.30	\$1.46	\$1.68
Adjusted EPS Actual		\$1.47	\$1.49	\$1.52
STIC Funding %		140%	106%	81%
	13			

Table of Contents

Adjusted EPS and our EPS under generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) differ primarily as a result of the exclusion of: (i) acquisition-related charges, (ii) facility consolidation, manufacturing transfer and system integration charges, (iii) asset write-down and disposition charges, (iv) litigation charges and (v) the income tax (benefit) related to these adjustments, all of which were not included in the original STIC target measures. All of these adjustments were reviewed and approved by the Compensation Committee. See Financial Overview in Item 7 of our 2009 Form 10-K for a reconciliation of adjusted amounts to GAAP.

For 2010, the STIC Program funding performance measure will be based upon two internal financial metrics: total revenue (40%) and operating income (60%). This change was made to better align the STIC Program with the interests of our stockholders and to adopt current best practices of using multiple performance metrics. The Compensation Committee also believes that this methodology will encourage both top- and bottom-line growth versus just bottom-line growth. Achieving a STIC funding percentage of 100% is expected to be more difficult under the new program given that there are two metrics to be achieved.

<u>Individual STIC %</u>. Individual cash incentives are calculated by multiplying the funding percentage by the individual s target payout. The individual target payout was determined by the Compensation Committee in order to provide targeted total cash compensation (base salary + cash incentive) at the median of our competitive market and up to the 75th percentile of our competitive market for above competitive market median performance.

The target payout as a percentage of base salary for our Named Executive Officers is as follows:

	2007	2008	2009	2010
President & CEO	80%	80%	80%	85%(1)
CFO	65%	$70\%^{(1)}$	70%	70%
Other Named Executive Officers	65%	65%	65%	65%

(1) Includes a promotional increase.

Example Computation of 2009 STIC Award for Thomas J. Hook:

TAA = (Base Salary (\$491,600) x Individual STIC % (80%)) x STIC Funding % (81%) = \$318,557 The STIC Program for our Named Executive Officers was included in our 2007 proxy statement and approved by our

stockholders in order to meet the requirements for an income tax deduction under IRC §162(m). Under IRC §162(m), a limitation is placed on the tax deductibility of compensation to certain executives of a publicly-held corporation that exceeds \$1,000,000 in any taxable year, unless the compensation meets certain requirements. Currently our STIC Program is designed to meet these requirements. Historically, our deductions for executive compensation were not limited by IRC §162(m), except in isolated circumstances.

Long-Term Equity Awards

Overview. In addition to cash incentives, we also compensate senior level managers, including our Named Executive Officers, with long-term equity awards. These awards are designed to align management s performance with the interests of our stockholders. Additionally, they are used as a recruiting and retention tool. Historically, we granted equity awards under various existing equity compensation plans, including the following: (i) the 1997 Stock Option Plan; (ii) the 1998 Stock Option Plan; (iii) the 1999 Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan; (iv) the 2002 Restricted Stock Plan; (v) the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan; and (vi) the 2009 Stock Incentive Plan. The 1997 Stock Option Plan, the 1998 Stock Option Plan, the 1999 Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan, and the 2002 Restricted Stock Plan have all been frozen for new stock award issuances.

The award of equity-based compensation is formula-based and is described in the Long-Term Incentive Award Program (LTIP Program) and Supplemental Annual Long-Term Incentive Award Program (SALT Program) sections. The objective of the LTIP Program is to provide total direct compensation (total cash compensation + equity-based compensation) at the median of our competitive market. SALT is a performance-based equity program that is intended to provide above competitive market median total direct compensation for performance that is above the competitive market median.

In 2010, the Board approved a new LTI Plan for senior level managers, including our Named Executive Officers, which replaced the LTIP and SALT Programs. The LTI Plan has both a time- and performance-based component similar to the LTIP and SALT Programs and includes a number of current best practices with regards to equity compensation programs. In reviewing potential redesign, we looked at both qualitative and quantitative data from our peer group as well as other survey sources including a market study performed by Fred W. Cook & Co. of the 250 largest U.S. companies in the S&P 500, James F. Reda & Associates on the 191 largest companies in the S&P 500, the Corporate Executive Board (2007), and Equilar, Inc. on Fortune 250 companies (2009), among others. See further discussion under the LTI Plan section.

14

Table of Contents

In addition to LTIP and SALT Program awards, all managers and above are eligible for equity awards at the time of hire, upon promotion and for special recognition or significant changes in responsibility. Equity awards issued for recognition or newly hired or newly promoted employees are typically granted at the next scheduled Board meeting following the event date.

Our equity-based compensation plans and awards are designed and administered by the Compensation Committee in collaboration with management and subject to the approval of our Board. Historically, we have granted employees equity-based compensation in the form of non-qualified and incentive stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units. The LTIP and SALT Program awards are granted before April of every year once performance targets for the current and future years are determined. The Board typically meets five times per year based upon a schedule determined several months in advance. Accordingly, the proximity of any awards to earnings announcements or the release of material non-public information would be coincidental. All stock options are issued with strike prices that are equal to the value of our closing stock price on the grant date.

Except as described in the Employment Agreement and Executive Retirement Guidelines sections, all unvested stock-based awards expire upon death, disability, termination by employee and termination by the Company, other than for cause. Upon termination for cause, all outstanding stock-based awards expire immediately. Upon death, disability, termination by employee and termination by the Company, other than for cause, all vested stock-based awards expire at various times following the event, up to one year, based upon the termination reason and the equity plan they were awarded from. In the event of a change of control, all unvested time-based equity awards immediately vest. See further discussion regarding change of control benefits in the Change of Control Agreements section. We believe that majority of our compensation expense arising from stock options granted under the 2005 and 2009 Stock Incentive Plans is deductible for tax purposes and not limited by IRC §162(m). Our deductions for time-based restricted stock and restricted stock units may be limited in the future under IRC §162(m) primarily due to the timing of vesting. The Compensation Committee considers the potential non-deductibility of stock incentive awards under IRC §162(m) when setting award levels. The Compensation Committee believes that our equity programs are properly designed for the retention and incentivizing of senior management, which is in the best interest of stockholders even if IRC §162(m) limits are periodically exceeded and the tax deductions are limited.

Time-Based

<u>LTIP Program</u>. The objective of the LTIP Program is to provide a fixed level of equity-based compensation at the median of our competitive market and adjusted for individual performance.

The 2009 LTIP Program awards were determined based upon the following formula and are granted in the form of ¹/2 non-qualified stock options and ¹/2 restricted stock units:

Total Available Award (TAA) = (Base Salary x Individual LTIP Program %)

Actual Award Payout (AAP) = TAA x Individual Performance %

Non-Qualified Stock Option Grant = $(AAP \times 1/2) \div Black-Scholes Value (1)$

Restricted Stock Unit Grant = (AAP x ¹/2) ÷ Closing Stock Price on Grant Date

(1) We utilize the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the fair value of stock options granted for financial statement reporting purposes as allowed under GAAP. See Note 8 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 8 of our 2009 Form 10-K for further explanation of the assumptions and methodology for determining the fair value of stock options granted.

<u>Individual LTIP Program %</u>. The target grant of LTIP Program equity awards as a percentage of base salary for our Named Executive Officers is as follows:

	2007	2008	2009
President & CEO	150%	150%	150%
CFO	70%	$80\%^{(1)}$	80%
Other Named Executive Officers	70%	70%	70%

(1)

Includes a promotional increase.

The actual amount of awards granted may differ from the target percentage as a result of the consideration by the Compensation Committee of individual business unit and functional goals (Individual Performance %). The individual performance percentage that may be taken into account by the Compensation Committee is the same individual performance percentage used to calculate annual cash incentive awards under the STIC Program.

15

Table of Contents

Example Computation of 2009 LTIP Program Grant for Thomas J. Hook:

 $TAA = (Base Salary (\$475,000^{(1)}) \times Individual LTIP Program \% (150\%)) = \$712,500$

 $AAP = (TAA (\$712,500) \times Individual Performance \% (100\%)) = \$712,500$

Non-Qualified Stock Option Grant = $(AAP (\$712,500) \times {}^{1}/2) \div Black$ -Scholes Value (\$10.5168) = 33,874Restricted Stock Unit Grant = $(AAP (\$712,500) \times {}^{1}/2) \div Closing Stock Price on Grant Date (\$26.53) = 13,428$

(1) The 2009 LTIP Program grant was effective on the first business day of fiscal year 2009, which was prior to 2009 salaries being established. As such, 2009 LTIP Program awards were calculated utilizing 2008 salaries.
For 2009, the Compensation Committee began granting restricted stock units to all employees under the LTIP Program in place of restricted stock, due to the tax consequences upon the grant of restricted stock awards to employees in certain foreign jurisdictions. In order to achieve uniformity with respect to the timing of taxation for LTIP Program awards, the Committee chose restricted stock units since they are not taxable in most jurisdictions until the vest date which is similar to how restricted stock is taxed for U.S. employees.

LTIP Program stock option awards vest over four years, 25% at the end of each year, including the year of grant. The LTIP Program restricted stock or restricted stock unit awards vest 50% at the end of the second year, including the year of grant and 25% at the end of the third and fourth years.

Performance-Based

<u>SALT Program</u>. The objective of the SALT Program is to provide a supplemental award that targets total direct compensation up to the 75th percentile of our competitive market if 75th percentile performance goals, set at the beginning of the period, are met. This is consistent with our philosophy of providing above competitive market median compensation for above competitive market median performance. The use of SALT Program awards puts a larger percentage of our Named Executive Officers pay in equity and at risk, which we believe is appropriate and consistent with our compensation philosophy. SALT Program awards are determined based upon the following formula and are granted in the form of non-qualified stock options:

Non-Qualified Stock Option Grant = (Base Salary x Individual SALT Program %) \div Black Scholes Value Individual SALT Program %. The SALT Program equity awards expressed as a percentage of base salary for our Named Executive Officers is as follows:

	2007	$2008^{(1)}$	2009
President & CEO	125%	175%	175%
CFO	50%	$85\%^{(2)}$	85%
Other Named Executive Officers	50%	70%	70%

- (1) Increased for 2008 in order to bring total direct compensation up to the 75th percentile of the competitive market.
- (2) Includes a promotional increase.

Example Computation of 2009 SALT Program Grant for Thomas J. Hook:

Non-Qualified Stock Option Grant = (Base Salary ($$475,000^{(1)}$) x Individual SALT Program % (175%)) \div Black Scholes Value (\$10.8949) = 76,297

(1) The 2009 SALT Program grant was effective on the first business day of fiscal year 2009, which was prior to 2009 salaries being established. As such, 2009 SALT Program awards were calculated utilizing 2008 salaries. For the 2007 SALT Program, stock options vest either at 100% or 0% depending on whether or not our Company achieves certain three-year performance measures. In 2008, the SALT Program was modified to provide for pro rata vesting relative to the achievement of the three performance metrics utilized in the SALT Program. This change was made as none of our peer group was in the top 25th percentile for all three metrics and only a few were in the top 25th percentile for two of the three metrics. Thus, requiring upper quartile performance for all three metrics would not achieve our objective of awarding 75th percentile total compensation for 75th percentile performance. Starting with the 2008 SALT Program grant, each metric is tracked independently and achievement of one or more will allow for at least pro rata vesting of the award depending on how many of the metrics are achieved and the lowest percentage achievement of the metric(s) which was not achieved. For example, if two of the performance goals are met and the third metric was only 90% of the target, then 2/3 of the award multiplied by 90% will vest. Similarly, if only one of the performance goals is met and the lowest percentage achieved of the other two metrics was 80% of the target, then 1/3 of the award multiplied by 80% will vest.

16

Table of Contents

Performance measures for the 2009 SALT Program awards were as follows:

2009 2011 cumulative revenue;

2009 2011 cumulative adjusted operating income; and

2009 2011 cumulative adjusted cash flow provided by operations.

The Compensation Committee sets the performance goals for SALT Program awards based upon our long-range financial plan. Our long range plan takes into consideration the performance of our largest customers supplemented by performance information for our peer group, as well as relevant market indices. We believe the performance of our largest customers should be considered since they account for a large percentage of our sales. These performance targets were set with the intent that they would represent stretch goals and would result in upper quartile performance relative to our customers and peers. Achievement of these targets is believed to be a challenging goal. Additionally, even after the targets are achieved they continue to incentivize management since the award is in the form of stock options. The only way an employee receives value from a stock option is if our Company s stock price, which is heavily dependent on the performance of our Company, remains above the options—exercise price. Thus, stock options align the goals of management with those of our stockholders.

These performance measures are subject to adjustment based upon acquisitions, as well as unusual or extraordinary items that were not contemplated when the performance measures were set. The Compensation Committee approves all adjustments. The adjustments for acquisitions are based upon the projections for the respective acquisition at the time the transaction was approved by the Board. We believe these adjustments provide incentive for senior level managers to ensure acquisitions are successful. The original 2006 and 2007 SALT Program metrics were adjusted for the acquisitions we completed in 2007 and 2008.

2009 was the final performance year for the 2007 SALT Program award. During the three year period from 2007 to 2009, we earned cumulative revenues of \$1.39 billion, cumulative adjusted operating income of \$164 million and cumulative adjusted cash flow provided by operations of \$199 million. This compares to the 2007 grant metrics of \$1.47 billion, \$192 million and \$161 million, respectively. Thus, in 2010 our Board approved the forfeiture of these awards as all three metrics were not achieved. Adjusted operating income and cash flow provided by operations differs from our operating income and cash flow provided by operations under GAAP primarily as a result of the exclusion of: (i) acquisition-related charges, (ii) facility consolidation, manufacturing transfer and system integration charges, (iii) asset write-down and disposition charges, (iv) litigation charges and (v) the income tax (benefit) related to these adjustments, all of which were not included in the original SALT Program target measures. All of these adjustments were reviewed and approved by the Compensation Committee. See Financial Overview in Item 7 of our 2009 Form 10-K for a reconciliation of adjusted amounts to GAAP.

<u>LTI Plan</u>. In 2010, the Board approved a LTI Plan for senior level managers, including our Named Executive Officers, which replaced the LTIP and SALT Programs. The objective of the LTI Plan is to better align our compensation programs with stockholder interests and our competitive market, as well as to adopt a number of current best practices with regards to equity compensation programs.

The LTI Plan includes both a time- and performance-based award. The objective of the time-based portion of the LTI Plan is to provide a fixed level of equity-based compensation at the 25th to 35th percentile of our competitive market. The objective of the performance-based portion of the LTI Plan is to provide a supplemental award that targets total direct compensation at the 60th percentile and can award up to 200% of that target, which equates to approximately the 75th percentile of our competitive market, if above median performance goals are achieved.

The maximum award that can be earned under the LTI Plan is expressed as a percentage of the Named Executive Officers salary as follows:

	2010
President & CEO	430%
CFO	260%
Other Named Executive Officers	230%

2010

The time-based portion of the LTI Plan awards, which is granted ¹/2 in non-qualified stock options and ¹/2 in restricted stock units, will be determined based upon the following formula:

Maximum Time Award (MTA) = (Base Salary x Individual Maximum Award %) x 25%

Non-Qualified Stock Option Grant = $(MTA \times 1/2) \div Grant Date Black-Scholes Value$

Restricted Stock Unit Grant = $(MTA \times 1/2) \div Grant Date Closing Stock Price$

The time-based portion of the LTI Plan award vests in three equal annual installments on the last day of each fiscal year, beginning in the year of grant.

17

Table of Contents

The maximum performance-based portion of the LTI Plan awards, which is granted in restricted stock units, will be determined based upon the following formula:

Maximum Performance Award (MPA) = (Base Salary x Individual Maximum Award %) x 75% **Restricted Stock Unit Grant** = MPA ÷ Grant Date Closing Stock Price

The performance metric for these awards is TSR relative to our peer group for a three-year performance period. Relative TSR was selected, as that was the metric management and the Compensation Committee believed most closely aligns the interests of management with those of stockholders without incentivizing excess risk taking. These performance awards will vest at the end of the three-year performance period as follows:

TSR Performance Rank

Vesting Amount

25th Percentile 25th Percentile 7th Percentile 4% of maximum award (Threshold Shares)
Linear calculation between Threshold and Maximum
Shares

75th Percentile

100% of MPA (Maximum Shares)

Other Equity-Based Compensation. In addition to the LTIP and SALT Programs, senior level managers may receive additional equity-based compensation at the date of hire, upon promotion, for special recognition or upon a significant change in responsibility. These awards are used as a recruiting and retention tool. Historically, these grants were made in the form of incentive stock options or restricted stock and were typically granted as a percentage of the respective employee s base salary. The amount of incentive stock options granted that become exercisable in any one year by an individual employee is subject to the \$100,000 limit imposed by IRC §422(d). Beginning in 2007, these awards were made in the form of non-qualified stock options and restricted stock. Also during 2007, the Company s executive officers, except for the President and CEO who received a similar type grant upon his promotion in 2006, received a one-time restricted stock grant equal to 100% of their base salary. This grant will serve as an additional retention tool for the executive officers as they vest over four years (50% at the end of the second year and 25% at the end of the third and fourth years).

Share Ownership and Holding Period Guidelines

In order to better align the interests of our senior level managers with the interests of our stockholders and to promote our commitment to sound corporate governance, the Compensation Committee designed and the Board approved stock ownership guidelines. These guidelines require non-employee directors to own at least \$90,000 in shares of the Company.

The ownership requirement for our Named Executive Officers is calculated as a multiple of base salary as follows:

President & CEO

CFO

Other Named Executive Officers

Multiple of Base Salary

5.0x

3.0x

3.0x

Directors and senior level managers are required to be in compliance with these guidelines within five years of becoming subject to the policy and meaningful progress must be made and is monitored throughout that time period. The ownership guidelines also contain a holding period requirement for equity-based awards. Non-employee directors are expected to hold all equity-based awards, net of applicable taxes, until their tenure as a Board member has ended. Senior level managers are required to hold exercised stock options and vested restricted stock/units, net of applicable taxes, for one year following the exercise or vesting. For purposes of these guidelines, a 50% tax rate is assumed.

Retirement and Change of Control Agreements

Overview. We believe that it is in the best interest of our Company and stockholders to have the unbiased dedication of our senior level managers, without the distraction of personal uncertainties such as retirement or a change of control. We have designed our retirement and other post-employment benefit programs to reduce such distraction. We believe that our programs allow for a smooth transition in the event of retirement or a change of control without providing windfall benefits. We also believe that these benefits are competitive with those of other comparable companies.

The components of our retirement and post-employment benefits program are as follows: Executive Retirement Guidelines

401(k) Plan

Change of Control Agreements

18

Table of Contents

We do not offer our employees defined benefit pension, post-retirement or deferred compensation benefits. We believe that such plans are both undervalued by employees and more expensive to administer in comparison to the programs that we do offer. When designing our retirement and other post-employment benefit programs we consider IRC §409A and continue to evaluate our programs in light of the guidance issued under that rule.

We currently do not have a formal severance plan for our employees. In the past, we have provided post-employment severance benefits to our employees who are terminated in connection with a reduction-in-force or corporate reorganization. Generally these benefit amounts are based upon length of service and position level with the Company. Severance payments are at the discretion of management.

Executive Retirement Guidelines. Senior managers who are at least $59^{1}/2$ with a combination of age and length of service equal to at least $69^{1}/2$ are eligible to receive certain benefits under our Executive Retirement Guidelines. These guidelines have been approved by our Board and allow for the following:

accelerated vesting of all outstanding time-based stock incentive awards;

discretionary vesting of all outstanding performance-based stock incentive awards; and

a discretionary extension of the time eligible to exercise outstanding stock options.

In exchange for these benefits, the executives are required to sign a two-year non-compete agreement. In order to be considered for these benefits, executives must submit a voluntary retirement request form at least one year prior to their anticipated retirement date and facilitate the transition of their responsibilities to their successor. All requests for retirement and benefits under our Executive Retirement Guidelines by Named Executive Officers are reviewed and approved by our Board.

<u>401(k) Plan</u>. Nearly all of our U.S. based employees are eligible to participate in our defined contribution 401(k) Plan. This plan provides for the deferral of employee compensation up to the maximum IRC limit and a discretionary Company match. From 2007 to 2009, this match was \$0.35 per dollar of participant deferral, up to 6% of the base salary for each participant.

In addition to the discretionary contributions described above, U.S. based employees are eligible to receive an additional discretionary contribution of up to 5% of their base salary to the 401(k) Plan. This discretionary contribution is made in the form of Common Stock and is subject to certain IRC limits. The full 5% award was received by employees in 2007 and 2008. No contribution was made in 2009 as a result of our Company not achieving its performance targets for the year.

Each year we perform standard year-end coverage, nondiscrimination and compliance testing on our 401(k) Plan to ensure compliance with applicable Internal Revenue Service rules and regulations. In the event the plan does not meet the nondiscrimination requirements, a prorated portion of the contributions made by highly compensated employees will be returned to the respective employee in order to ensure compliance.

Participants immediately vest in their own contributions and earnings, and in the matching and stock contributions to the 401(k) Plan.

<u>Change of Control Agreements</u>. We have entered into change of control agreements with certain key employees including our Named Executive Officers. These agreements provide for continued employment with the same base salary, annual cash incentive and benefits for two years following a change of control. If the employee is terminated after the change of control, other than for death, disability or cause, or the executive terminates the agreement for good reason, then the executive will be entitled to certain benefits.

The most significant components of those benefits are as follows:

two times annual salary;

two times the greater of i) average bonus for the three year period prior to the date of termination or ii) current year STIC at the target level;

two times the Company s 5% discretionary contributions to the Company s 401(k) Plan;

\$25,000 for outplacement services;

continued coverage under the Company s medical and other benefit plans (i.e. education assistance, financial planning) for a period of two years; and

all time-based unvested equity awards immediately vest.

Our change of control agreements provide that an executive is entitled to an excise tax gross-up payment to the extent the change of control parachute payment exceeds 110% of the safe harbor threshold. Conversely, an executive is not entitled to a gross-up if the present value of payments does not exceed 110% of the safe harbor threshold. Instead, the payments due to

19

Table of Contents

these executives would be reduced to the maximum that could be paid so that the value of the payment would not exceed the safe harbor threshold.

Based upon the hypothetical termination date of January 1, 2010, the change of control termination benefits for our Named Executive Officers would be as follows:

		Acceleration of Stock-				
	Salary &	Based	Continuance	401(k)	Outplacement	
	Bonus	Awards ⁽¹⁾	of Benefits ⁽²⁾	Plan	Services	Total
Thomas J. Hook	\$2,052,999	\$1,203,961	\$187,784	\$	\$25,000	\$3,469,744
Thomas J. Mazza	1,038,331	194,595	118,922		25,000	1,376,848
Mauricio Arellano	975,743	181,599	41,110		25,000	1,223,452
Susan M. Bratton	937,651	167,041	104,622		25,000	1,234,314
Susan H. Campbell	975,743	179,676	36,785		25,000	1,217,204

- (1) Based upon our closing stock price of \$19.23 per share as of January 1, 2010 (the last day of our fiscal year).
- (2) Includes the continuation of all benefits described in the Other Personal Benefits section below.

In exchange for the above, the terminated executive is required to sign a 36-month confidentiality agreement. In designing these agreements, we considered IRC §280G. IRC §280G denies a tax deduction for any and all excess parachute payments for corporations undergoing a change of control. In addition, the individual recipient of such payment must pay an extra 20% excise tax on the amount of the payment. IRC §280G provides a safe harbor from this excise tax if the present value of any parachute payments under a change of control does not exceed certain thresholds as defined in the IRC.

Other Personal Benefits

In addition to the elements of compensation discussed above, we also provide senior level managers with various other benefits as follows:

Education Assistance

Life Insurance

Long-Term Disability

Executive Financial Planning

Executive Physical

We provide these benefits in order to remain competitive with the market and believe that these benefits help us to attract and retain qualified executives. These benefits also reduce the amount of time and attention that senior level managers must spend on personal matters and allow them to dedicate more time to our Company. We believe that these benefits are in-line with the market, are reasonable in nature, are not excessive and are in the best interest of our Company and its stockholders.

Education Assistance. All employees and their dependents are eligible to participate in our Education Assistance Program. This program is provided to support our innovation and commitment to continuous improvement. We believe that education will support the development of our employees for new positions and enhance their contributions to the achievement of our strategic goals. This program was pioneered by our founder, Wilson Greatbatch, who believed as we do that education is always worth more than it costs in time, money and effort. Under our Education Assistance Program we reimburse tuition, textbooks and laboratory fees for all of our employees and their dependents. All fulltime employees are eligible for 100% reimbursement upon the successful completion of job related courses or degree program. The dependent children benefit relates to secondary education and vests on a straight-line basis over ten years. For employees hired after January 1, 2003, the maximum amount of dependent children reimbursable tuition is the cost of tuition at the recognized local state university. For employees hired prior to January 1, 2003 and for all of the Named Executive Officers, there is no maximum limit for dependent children reimbursement. Minimum academic achievement is required in order to receive reimbursement under all Education Assistance Programs. In 2009, Mr. Hook, Mr. Mazza and Ms. Bratton received benefits under this program. Life Insurance. Our executive officers receive term life insurance paid by the Company equal to \$5 million for the President & CEO and \$1 million for other executive officers. Additionally, the Company reimburses the executive officers for any additional tax burden resulting from this benefit. We believe this benefit is consistent with and will allow us to remain competitive with the market and believe that these benefits help us to attract and retain qualified executives.

20

Table of Contents

Long-Term Disability. Our executive officers receive long-term disability insurance paid by the Company equal to 60% of salary plus STIC at the target level, with no cap. Additionally, the Company reimburses the executive officers for any additional tax burden resulting from this benefit. We believe this benefit is consistent with and will allow us to remain competitive with the market and believe that these benefits help us to attract and retain qualified executives. Executive Financial Planning. Senior level managers are eligible for reimbursement of financial planning services. Reimbursement is approved for dollar amounts up to \$5,000 in the first year of the program and up to \$2,500 in all other years (\$3,000 for the President & CEO). Qualified expenses include income tax preparation, estate planning and investment planning, among others.

<u>Executive Physical</u>. We provide senior level managers with annual physicals. We cover 100% of the cost of this program. This program was developed to promote the physical well being and health of our senior level managers. We believe this program is in the best long-term interest of our stockholders.

Other Benefits. Senior level managers also participate in other benefit plans that we fully or partially subsidize. Their participation is on the same terms as other employees of the Company. Some of the more significant of these benefits include medical, dental and vision insurance, as well as relocation reimbursement and vacation.

Employment Agreements

In April 2010, the Company entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Hook in order to secure his continuing services as President & Chief Executive Officer. No other Named Executive Officer has an employment agreement. In addition to the benefits discussed in this section, the agreement provides for the following:

Term extends through January 7, 2013 and automatically renews for one year upon consent by Mr. Hook and the Compensation Committee, which must be given one year prior to the expiration date;

Grant of 50,000 shares of non-qualified stock options and 25,000 shares of restricted stock, which vest 25% on January 3, 2011, 25% on January 2, 2012 and 50% on January 2, 2013;

In the event of death or disability i) salary and benefits (only health insurance in the event of death) continuation through the term of the contract or one year whichever is longer; and ii) immediate vesting of all non-vested equity awards, except SALT Program awards, including performance awards granted in 2010 or later, which may be reduced by up to 50% at the discretion of the Compensation Committee;

In the event of termination without cause or with good reason as defined in the agreement i) one year salary; ii) severance payment equal to \$3 million plus 85% of base salary; and iii) immediate vesting of all equity awards, except SALT Program awards and performance-awards granted in 2010 or later;

Right to exercise vested options upon termination is extended to twelve months; and

Non-compete agreement during the term of the contract and 24 months from the date of last payment under the contract.

The following table presents the benefits that would be received by Mr. Hook under his new employment agreement in the event of a hypothetical termination as of January 1, 2010 as follows:

		Acceleration			
		of			
			Continuance		
		Stock-Based	of		
	Salary	$Awards^{(1)}$	Benefits(2)	Severance	Total
Permanent Disability	\$1,575,000	\$1,203,961	\$278,397	\$	\$3,057,358
Death	1,575,000	1,203,961	25,956		2,804,917
Termination Without Cause	525,000	1,203,961		3,446,250	5,175,211
	525,000	1,203,961		3,446,250	5,175,211

Termination With Good

Reason

Termination for Cause

Termination Without Good

Reason

Retirement 1,203,961 1,203,961

- (1) Based upon our closing stock price of \$19.23 per share as of January 1, 2010 (the last day of our fiscal year).
- (2) Includes the continuation of all benefits described in the Other Personal Benefits section below.

Compensation and Organization Committee Report

The Compensation and Organization Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis appearing in this document with management and based upon this review and discussion recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement for filing with the SEC.

21

Table of Contents

Respectively submitted,

Pamela G. Bailey

Michael Dinkins

Peter H. Soderberg

William B. Summers, Jr. (Chair)

Compensation Risk Analysis

The preceding Compensation Discussion and Analysis section generally describes our compensation policies, plans and practices that are applicable for executives and senior managers of the Company. The Company uses a combination of fixed and variable and short- and long-term compensation programs across all of its business units, with a significant focus on corporate and business financial performance as generally described in this Proxy Statement. The Company does not believe that risks arising from its compensation policies, plans or practices are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

COMPENSATION TABLES

Summary Compensation Table

The following table summarizes the total compensation paid or earned by each of the Named Executive Officers for fiscal years 2009, 2008 and 2007. We have entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Hook—see the Employment Agreements—section of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis for further discussion. The Named Executive Officers were not entitled to receive payments which would be characterized as—Bonus—payments for fiscal years 2009, 2008 or 2007. Total cash compensation, which includes salary and non-equity incentive plan compensation, is based on individual performance as well as on the overall performance of the Company as more fully described in the—Base Salary—and—Annual Cash Incentives—sections of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis. Generally, the emphasis that is placed on stock-based compensation increases as the level of responsibility of the individual employee increases.

						Non-Equity Incentive		
Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary ⁽¹⁾	S Bonus Aw	tock ards ⁽²⁾	Option Awards ⁽³⁾	Plan Comp. (4)	All Other Comp. ⁽⁵⁾	Total
Thomas J. Hook President &	2009 2008	\$491,600 475,000	\$ \$35	56,245 63,829	\$ 904,167 1,195,082	\$318,557 431,278	\$143,120 50,778	\$2,213,689 2,515,967
Chief Executive Officer	2007	448,231	32	21,096	883,989	527,421	41,511	2,222,248
Thomas J. Mazza Senior Vice President	2009	271,500	10	04,873	251,779	153,941	83,628	865,721
& Chief Financial	2008	262,200	10	07,105	329,965	208,307	91,019	998,596
Officer	2007	247,962	30	57,901	208,236	242,089	76,005	1,142,193
Mauricio Arellano Senior Vice	2009	267,500	8	37,071	201,878	140,839	37,809	735,097
President,	2008	248,800	8	38,918	263,054	183,543	30,864	815,179
Cardiac & Neurology	2007	228,962	33	37,872	239,575	223,161	76,079	1,105,649
Susan M. Bratton Senior Vice	2009	250,200	8	34,578	196,110	131,730	65,697	728,315
President, Electrochem	2008	241,700	8	36,380	255,569	178,305	92,694	854,648
Solutions	2007	228,962	33	37,872	191,239	223,161	72,132	1,053,366

Susan H. Campbell	2009	267,500	87,071	201,878	140,839	19,790	717,078
Senior Vice							
President,	2008	248,800	88,918	263,054	183,543	21,788	806,103
Orthopaedic	2007	228,962	337,872	215,407	223,161	15,975	1,021,377

- (1) The amounts represent the dollar value of base salary earned during fiscal years 2009, 2008 and 2007.
- (2) The amounts represent the aggregate fair value of stock awards granted. The valuation of restricted stock and restricted stock units are based on the assumptions and methodology set forth in notes 1 and 8 to our financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, which was filed with the SEC on March 2, 2010.
- (3) The amounts represent the aggregate fair value of stock options granted. The valuation of stock options is based on the assumptions and methodology set forth in notes 1 and 8 to our financial

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form DEF 14A

statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, which was filed with the SEC on March 2, 2010.

22

Table of Contents

- (4) The amounts represent cash awards earned under our STIC Program. See
 Annual Cash Incentives section of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis for a discussion of this program.
- (5) Items included in All Other Compensation that were in excess of \$10,000 were as follows:

				Long-Term				
			Term Life	Disability				
		401 (k)	Insurance	Insurance	Tax			
	Year	Contribution	n Premiums	Premiums	Gross-Up	Perquisites	Other	Total
Thomas J.								
Hook (1)	2009	\$ 5,145	\$13,250	\$16,106	\$26,539	\$58,239	\$23,841	\$143,120
	2008	16,225	13,250	7,907	10,802		2,594	50,778
	2007	15,956	12,900			12,655		41,511
Thomas J.								
Mazza (2)	2009	5,145	5,780	12,922	11,212	35,417	13,152	83,628
	2008	16,225	5,780	1,902	3,922	49,980	13,210	91,019
	2007	15,975				52,817	7,213	76,005
Mauricio								
Arellano (3)	2009	3,271	1,740	8,828	6,336		17,634	37,809
	2008	14,483	1,740	3,744	2,800		8,097	30,864
	2007	15,975				47,635	12,469	76,079
Susan M								
Bratton	2009	5,145	1,420	9,021	6,259	35,607	8,245	65,697
	2008	16,225	1,420	1,770	1,628	71,651		92,694
	2007	15,975				56,157		72,132
Susan H								
Campbell	2009							