

Employers Holdings, Inc.
Form DEF 14A
April 13, 2011

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities
Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant S
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant £

- £ Preliminary Proxy Statement
- £ **Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- S Definitive Proxy Statement
- £ Definitive Additional Materials
- £ Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

EMPLOYERS HOLDINGS, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- S No fee required.
- £ Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

 - (3) Per unit price or other price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

 - (5) Total fee paid:

- £ Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

£ Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form of Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

April 13, 2011

Dear Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Employers Holdings, Inc. The meeting will be held on Thursday, May 26, 2011, beginning at 10:00 a.m. Pacific Daylight Time at the Reno-Sparks Convention Center located at 4590 South Virginia Street, Reno, Nevada 89502.

All holders of record of Employers Holdings, Inc. common stock as of the close of business on April 1, 2011 are entitled to vote at the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

As described in the accompanying Notice and Proxy Statement, you will be asked to (i) elect three Directors for three-year terms expiring in 2014, (ii) vote on a non-binding resolution to approve the compensation paid to the Company's Named Executive Officers, (iii) vote on a non-binding resolution on the frequency of subsequent votes on the compensation paid to the Company's Named Executive Officers, and (iv) ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent accounting firm for 2011.

Employers Holdings, Inc.'s Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2010 is available at www.ematerials.com/eig.

We are pleased to continue to furnish proxy materials to our stockholders electronically over the Internet. We believe that this e-proxy process expedites stockholder receipt of proxy materials, lowers our costs associated with the production and distribution of proxy materials, and reduces the environmental impact of our Annual Meeting.

Your vote is very important to us. Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, we hope that you will vote as soon as possible. You may vote over the Internet, by telephone or, if you received printed proxy materials, by signing, dating, and returning a proxy card. You can revoke your proxy any time prior to the Annual Meeting and submit a new proxy as you deem necessary.

I look forward to seeing you at the Annual Meeting on May 26, 2011.

Sincerely,

Robert J. Kolesar
Chairman of the Board

America's small business insurance specialist.®

tel 775 327-2700 | 10375 PROFESSIONAL CIRCLE | RENO, NV
89521-4802 | www.employers.com

EMPLOYERS HOLDINGS, INC.
10375 Professional Circle
Reno, Nevada 89521-4802

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
To be held on Thursday, May 26, 2011

The 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Employers Holdings, Inc. (the Company) will be held on Thursday, May 26, 2011, beginning at 10:00 a.m. Pacific Daylight Time at the Reno-Sparks Convention Center located at 4590 South Virginia Street, Reno, Nevada 89502 for the following purposes:

1. To elect three
C l a s s I I
Directors to
serve until the
2014 Annual
Meeting of
Stockholders
and until their
successors are
duly elected
and qualified;
2. To hold a
non-binding
vote on the
compensation
paid to the
Company s
N a m e d
E x e c u t i v e
Officers;
3. To hold a
non-binding
vote on the
frequency of
subsequent
votes on the
compensation
paid to the
Company s
N a m e d
E x e c u t i v e
Officers;
4. To ratify the
appointment
o f E r n s t
& Y o u n g L L P

a s t h e
C o m p a n y ' s
i n d e p e n d e n t
a c c o u n t i n g
f i r m f o r t h e
f i s c a l y e a r
e n d i n g
D e c e m b e r 3 1 ,
2 0 1 1 ; a n d

5. To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or a n y postponement o r adjournment thereof.

Only holders of record of the Company ' s common stock as of the close of business on April 1, 2011 have the right to receive notice of and to vote at the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and any postponement, adjournment or other delay thereof.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Lenard T. Ormsby
*Executive Vice President, Secretary
and Chief Legal Officer*

April 13, 2011

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT. YOU MAY VOTE YOUR SHARES IN PERSON BY BALLOT AT THE ANNUAL MEETING, OVER THE INTERNET, BY TELEPHONE, OR BY RETURNING A SIGNED AND DATED PROXY CARD.

America ' s small business insurance specialist.®

tel 775 327-2700 | 10375 PROFESSIONAL CIRCLE | RENO, NV
89521-4802 | www.employers.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PROXY STATEMENT</u>	1
<u>PROPOSAL ONE</u>	4
<u>NOMINEES FOR ELECTION AS CLASS II DIRECTORS WITH TERMS EXPIRING AT THE 2014 ANNUAL MEETING</u>	5
<u>CONTINUING DIRECTORS WHOSE TERMS EXPIRE AT THE 2012 ANNUAL MEETING</u>	6
<u>CONTINUING DIRECTORS WHOSE TERMS EXPIRE AT THE 2013 ANNUAL MEETING</u>	6
<u>THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND ITS COMMITTEES</u>	8
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	11
<u>DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE</u>	12
<u>RISK OVERSIGHT</u>	12
<u>SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS REGARDING 2011 DIRECTORS AND NOMINEES</u>	13
<u>COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS</u>	14
<u>PROPOSAL TWO</u>	15
<u>PROPOSAL THREE</u>	16
<u>PROPOSAL FOUR</u>	17
<u>COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS</u>	17
<u>COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT</u>	26
<u>GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS</u>	27
<u>DIRECTOR COMPENSATION</u>	37
<u>COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION</u>	38
<u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS</u>	38
<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT</u>	41
<u>SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE</u>	42
<u>AUDIT MATTERS</u>	42
<u>PRE-APPROVAL POLICIES AND PROCEDURES</u>	43
<u>GOVERNANCE DOCUMENT INFORMATION</u>	44
<u>SUBMISSION OF STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS</u>	44
<u>DISTRIBUTION INFORMATION</u>	44
<u>GENERAL</u>	45

EMPLOYERS HOLDINGS, INC.
10375 Professional Circle
Reno, Nevada 89521-4802

PROXY STATEMENT

This Proxy Statement, the accompanying proxy card and the 2010 Annual Report to stockholders of Employers Holdings, Inc. (the Company or Employers Holdings) are being made available on or about April 13, 2011 in connection with the solicitation on behalf of the Board of Directors of Employers Holdings of proxies to be voted at the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on Thursday, May 26, 2011, and any postponement(s), adjournment(s) or other delays thereof (the Annual Meeting). All holders of record of Employers Holdings common stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the common stock), as of the close of business on April 1, 2011 (the Record Date) are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. Each holder of record on the Record Date is entitled to one vote at the Annual Meeting for each share of common stock held. On the Record Date, there were 38,511,187 shares of common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting.

Pursuant to rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), we are providing access to our proxy materials over the Internet. On or about April 13, 2011, we mailed to our stockholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the Notice), which tells stockholders how to access and review the information contained in the proxy materials and how to submit their proxies over the Internet or by telephone. We believe that utilizing this e-proxy process expedites stockholder receipt of proxy materials, lowers the costs associated with the production and distribution of proxy materials, and reduces the environmental impact of our Annual Meeting. You may not receive a printed copy of the proxy materials unless you request the materials by following the instructions included in the Notice. In addition, by following the instructions included in the Notice, stockholders may request to receive proxy materials in printed form by mail or electronically by email on an ongoing basis. Your election to receive proxy materials in printed form by mail or by email will remain in effect until you terminate such election.

Unless otherwise required by applicable law or the Company s Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws, both as amended and restated, a majority of the voting power of the issued and outstanding common stock entitled to vote, including the voting power that is represented in person or by proxy, regardless of whether any such proxy has the authority to vote on all matters, shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the Annual Meeting. Shares of common stock represented in person or by proxy (including broker non-votes and shares that abstain or do not vote with respect to one or more of the proposals to be voted upon) will be counted for the purpose of determining whether a quorum exists. There are no cumulative voting rights.

If you own shares of common stock held in a street name by a bank or brokerage firm and you do not instruct your bank or broker how to vote your shares using the instructions that your bank or broker provides to you, your bank or broker may not vote your shares. If you give your bank or broker instructions, your shares will be voted as you direct. If you do not give instructions, whether the bank or broker can vote your shares depends on whether the proposal is considered routine or non-routine under New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) rules. If a proposal is routine, a bank, broker or other entity holding shares for an owner in a street name may vote on the proposal without voting instructions from the owner. If a proposal is non-routine, the bank, broker or other entity may vote on the proposal only if the owner has provided voting instructions. A broker non-vote occurs when the bank, broker or other entity is unable to vote on a proposal because the proposal is non-routine and the owner does not provide instructions. The proposal to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company s independent accounting firm is the only proposal that is considered routine. If your shares are held in a street name and you wish to attend the Annual Meeting in person, you must

bring an account statement or letter from your bank or broker showing that you are the beneficial owner of your shares as of the Record Date in order to be admitted to the Annual Meeting.

Directors are elected by a plurality of the votes cast, and the three nominees who receive the greatest number of votes cast in the election of Directors at the Annual Meeting will be elected Directors for a three-year term and until their successors are duly elected and qualified. In the election of Directors, broker non-votes will be disregarded and have no effect on the outcome of the vote.

Approval of the non-binding vote to approve the compensation paid to the Company's Named Executive Officers requires the number of votes cast in favor of the proposal to exceed the number of votes cast in opposition to the proposal. The results of this vote are not binding on the Board of Directors. The non-binding vote on the frequency of subsequent votes on the compensation paid to the Company's Named Executive Officers will be determined based on a plurality of the votes cast. This means that the option that receives the most affirmative votes of the votes cast will be deemed to be approved by the stockholders. The results of this vote are not binding on the Board of Directors. For the non-binding vote to approve the compensation paid to the Company's Named Executive Officers and the non-binding vote on the frequency of subsequent votes on the compensation paid to the Company's Named Executive Officers, abstentions from voting and broker non-votes, if any, will be disregarded and have no effect on the outcome of either vote.

Approval of the proposal to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent accounting firm requires the number of votes cast in favor of the proposal to exceed the number of votes cast in opposition to the proposal. Abstentions from voting and broker non-votes, if any, will be disregarded and have no effect on the outcome of the vote.

You may vote your shares in any of the following ways:

by telephone at
1-800-560-1965 anytime
before 12:00 p.m., Central
Daylight Time, on May 25,
2011;

by the Internet at
<http://www.eproxy.com/eig>
anytime before 12:00 p.m.,
Central Daylight Time, on
May 25, 2011;

by signing and dating the
enclosed proxy card and
returning it to the Company
as soon as possible in the
enclosed postage prepaid
envelope; or

in person by ballot at the
Annual Meeting.

If you vote by proxy, you may revoke your proxy at any time before it is voted at the Annual Meeting. You may do this by:

delivering
a written
notice
revoking
your
proxy to
the
Secretary
of the
Company
at the
above
address;

delivering
a new
proxy
bearing a
date after
the date of
the proxy
being
revoked,
before the
Annual
Meeting;
or

voting in
person by
ballot at
the
Annual
Meeting.

All properly executed proxies, unless revoked as described above, will be voted at the Annual Meeting in accordance with your directions on the proxy. If a properly executed proxy gives no specific instructions, the shares of common stock represented by your proxy will be voted:

FOR the
election of
each of the
three Director
nominees to
serve a
three-year
term expiring
at the 2014
Annual
Meeting of

Stockholders;

FOR approval
of the
compensation
paid to the
Company's
Named
Executive
Officers;

FOR annual
stockholder
votes on the
compensation
paid to the
Company's
Named
Executive
Officers;

FOR
ratification of
the
appointment
of Ernst &
Young LLP as
the Company's
independent
accounting
firm for 2011;
and

at the
discretion of
the
proxyholders
with regard to
any other
matter that is
properly
presented at
the Annual
Meeting.

In accordance with the Company's Bylaws, Robert Kolesar, Chairman of the Board, has appointed Kevin Kelly of Morrow & Co., LLC or his designee and Barbara M. Novak of Wells Fargo Shareowner Services or her designee to be the inspectors of the election at the Annual Meeting. The inspectors of the election are not officers or Directors of the Company. They will receive and canvass the votes given at the Annual Meeting and certify the results. You may contact Tanya Yamagata at (775) 327-2764 for directions to the Reno-Sparks Convention Center, 4590 South Virginia Street, Reno, Nevada, the site of the Annual Meeting.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be Held on May 26, 2011.

The Proxy Statement and Annual Report to stockholders are available at www.ematerials.com/eig.

PROPOSAL ONE

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Company's full Board of Directors (the Board of Directors or the Board) consists of nine Directors. Martin J. Welch resigned as the President and Chief Operating Officer of our operating companies and as a Director of the Company and its subsidiaries effective February 1, 2011. The Board of Directors, upon the recommendation of the Board Governance and Nominating Committee, voted to reduce the number of Directors from ten to nine during its meeting on February 23, 2011.

The Board is divided into three classes, with each having three Directors. The Directors in each class serve for a three-year term and until their successors are duly elected and qualified. The terms of each class expire at successive annual meetings so that the stockholders elect one class of Directors at each annual meeting. Currently, Class I, Class II and Class III terms expire in 2013, 2011, and 2012, respectively. The current composition of the Board, and the Director nominees for terms expiring at the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, is listed below. At its April 6, 2011 meeting, the Board of Directors voted to move Michael D. Rumbolz from Class III to Class I so that each class of Directors has three members and Mr. Rumbolz relinquished his seat as a Class III Director.

The election of the three Class II Directors will take place at the Annual Meeting. At its meeting of February 23, 2011, the Board, with each nominee abstaining on the vote for himself, approved the recommendation of the Board Governance and Nominating Committee that each of the current Class II Directors be nominated for a three-year term. All three nominees are current members of the Board. All properly executed proxies will be voted for these nominees unless contrary instructions are properly made, in which case the proxy will be voted in accordance with such instructions. Should any nominee become unable or unwilling to serve, the proxies will be voted for the election of such person as shall be recommended by the Board. The Board has no reason to believe that the persons listed as nominees will be unable to serve. Each of the nominees has consented to being named in this Proxy Statement and to serve as a Director if elected. The current composition of the Board is:

Class II Directors (*term expiring at the 2011 Annual Meeting and nominated to serve until the 2014 Annual Meeting*)

Robert J. Kolesar
Douglas D. Dirks
Richard W. Blakey

Class I Directors (*serving until the 2013 Annual Meeting*)

Rose E.
McKinney-James
John P. Sande, III
Michael D. Rumbolz

Class III Directors (*serving until the 2012 Annual Meeting*)

Ronald F. Mosher
Katherine W. Ong
Valerie R. Glenn

Pursuant to the rules promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), the following information lists, as to nominees for Director and Directors whose terms of office will continue after the Annual Meeting, the principal occupation, age, the year in which each first became a Director of Employers Holdings or its predecessor, the year in which each person first became a Director of any Employers Holdings subsidiary or its predecessor, and directorships in registered investment companies or companies having securities that are registered pursuant to, or that are subject to certain provisions of, the Exchange Act. Except as otherwise indicated, each nominee or continuing Director has had the same principal occupation or employment during the past five years. The information provided is as of February 2011, unless otherwise indicated.

Employers Holdings is the name of our Company resulting from the completion of the conversion of EIG Mutual Holding Company (EIG) from a Nevada mutual holding company to a Nevada stock corporation on February 5, 2007.

EIG and its wholly-owned direct subsidiary, Employers Insurance Group, Inc. (EIGI) (now known as Employers Group, Inc. (EGI)), were formed on April 1, 2005 in conjunction with the conversion of Employers Insurance Company of Nevada, A Mutual Company (EICN), into a Nevada stock corporation. EICN commenced operations as a private mutual insurance company on January 1, 2000, when it assumed the assets, liabilities and operations of the former Nevada State Industrial Insurance System (the Fund) pursuant to legislation passed in the

1999 Nevada Legislature. Employers Compensation Insurance Company (ECIC), a wholly-owned subsidiary of EICN, commenced operations when we acquired renewal rights and certain other tangible and intangible assets from Fremont Compensation Insurance Group and its affiliates (Fremont) in 2002. Employers Preferred Insurance Company (EPIC) (formerly known as AmComp Preferred Insurance Company) and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Employers Assurance Company (EAC) (formerly known as AmComp Assurance Corporation), commenced operations under their new names when we completed the acquisition of AmCOMP Incorporated (AmCOMP) on October 31, 2008. In connection with the acquisition of AmCOMP, we also acquired EIG Services, Inc. (formerly known as Pinnacle Administrative Services, Inc.), Pinnacle Benefits, Inc. and AmSERV, Inc. which, with EPIC, are wholly-owned subsidiaries of EGI.

Nominees for Election as Class II Directors With Terms Expiring at the 2014 Annual Meeting

Robert J. Kolesar, age 67, has served as a Director of Employers Holdings, EGI and their predecessors since their creation in April 2005; a Director of EICN since January 2000; a Director of ECIC since August 2002; and a Director of EPIC, EAC, EIG Services, Inc., and Pinnacle Benefits, Inc. since November 2008. He has been the Chairman of the Board of Employers Holdings, EGI and their predecessors since 2005, Chairman of the Board of EICN and ECIC since 2004, and Chairman of the Board of EPIC, EAC, EIG Services, Inc., and Pinnacle Benefits, Inc. since November 2008. Mr. Kolesar has been a founding/managing partner of the Las Vegas, Nevada law firm of Kolesar & Leatham, Chtd. since 1986. Mr. Kolesar practices in the fields of real estate, corporation, banking, finance, and fiduciary/trust law. Prior to entering into private practice in 1986, Mr. Kolesar held General Counsel and/or Senior Legal Staff positions in Nevada at Valley Bank of Nevada (now Bank of America), and in Cleveland, Ohio at Cardinal Federal Savings and Loan Association, The Ameritrust Company (now KeyBank) and Forest City Enterprises, Inc. He currently serves on the Boards of Directors of numerous Nevada subsidiaries of Marshall & Ilsley Corporation and has served on the Board of HELP of Southern Nevada, the Las Vegas Symphony, and the National Conference for Community and Justice. Mr. Kolesar has multiple group memberships, including the National Association of Industrial and Office Parks and the International Council of Shopping Centers, and is currently on the Board of Trustees of the Nevada Development Authority and the Board of Advisors of the Las Vegas Chamber of Commerce. He is a member of the American Bar Association and the Nevada and Clark County Bar Associations. Mr. Kolesar received a B.A. degree from John Carroll University and a J.D. degree from Case Western Reserve University.

Douglas D. Dirks, age 52, has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Employers Holdings, EGI and their predecessors since their creation in April 2005 and as President and Chief Executive Officer of EICN, ECIC, EPIC and EAC since February 1, 2011. He has served as Chief Executive Officer of EICN and ECIC since January 2006 and as Chief Executive Officer of EPIC, EAC, EIG Services, Inc., Pinnacle Benefits, Inc., and AmSERV, Inc. since November 2008. He served as President and Chief Executive Officer of EICN from January 2000 until January 2006, and served as President and Chief Executive Officer of ECIC from May 2002 until January 2006. Mr. Dirks has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Employers Occupational Health, Inc. (EOH) and Elite Insurance Services, Inc. (EIS) since 2002. He has been a Director of Employers Holdings, EGI and their predecessors since April 2005; a Director of EIS since 1999, EICN since December 1999, EOH since 2000, ECIC since May 2002, and a Director of EPIC, EAC, EIG Services, Inc., Pinnacle Benefits, Inc. and AmSERV, Inc. since November 2008. Mr. Dirks was the Chief Executive Officer of the Fund from 1995 to 1999 and its Chief Financial Officer from 1993 to 1995. Prior to joining the Fund, he served in senior insurance regulatory positions and as an advisor to the Nevada Governor's Office. Mr. Dirks also has worked in the public accounting and investment banking industries and is a licensed Certified Public Accountant in the state of Texas. He presently serves on the Board of Directors of the Nevada Insurance Guaranty Association and the Nevada Insurance Education Foundation. Mr. Dirks holds B.A. and M.B.A. degrees from the University of Texas and a J.D. degree from the University of South Dakota.

Richard W. Blakey, age 61, has served as a Director of Employers Holdings, EGI and their predecessors since their creation in April 2005. He was also a Director of EICN from January 2000 to March 2007 and a Director of ECIC from August 2002 to May 2004. Dr. Blakey is a practicing board

certified orthopaedic surgeon with and a Director and former Chairman of the Board of the Reno Orthopaedic Clinic, and Chairman of the Board of Healthy Family Foundation, and part owner of the Reno Orthopaedic Surgery Center. He is a member of the American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons, Nevada State Medical Association, and Washoe County Medical Society. Dr. Blakey actively practices at, and is affiliated with, Saint Mary's Regional Medical Center, Northern Nevada Medical Center, and Renown Regional Medical Center. He has served as Chairman of the Board of the Reno Spine Center. Dr. Blakey is a Board certified orthopedic surgeon. He received a B.S. degree from the California Institute of Technology and his medical degree from the University of Southern California, School of Medicine.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE THREE NOMINEES LISTED ABOVE.

Continuing Directors Whose Terms Expire at the 2012 Annual Meeting

Ronald F. Mosher, age 67, has served as a Director of Employers Holdings, EGI and their predecessors since their creation in April 2005. He was also a Director of EICN from December 2003 to March 2007 and a Director of ECIC from December 2003 to May 2004. Mr. Mosher has extensive experience in the insurance industry and served as a senior executive with AEGON N.V. from 1983 until his retirement in 2003. He also works as a consultant in the insurance industry. Mr. Mosher currently is a Director of WFG Reinsurance (Bermuda) Ltd. and is Executive Director of Asia Business Consulting Company (Beijing), and has previously served on several other insurance company boards. Mr. Mosher is a Certified Public Accountant, a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, and the National Association of Corporate Directors. Mr. Mosher earned a B.S. degree from the University of Denver and an M.B.A. degree from Cornell University.

Katherine W. Ong, age 53, has served as a Director of Employers Holdings, EGI and their predecessors since their creation in April 2005. She was also a Director of EICN from January 2000 to March 2007 and a Director of ECIC from August 2002 to May 2004. Since January 1996, she has been the co-founder and Director of Hobbs, Ong & Associates, Inc., a financial consulting group specializing in advisory services for municipal bond financings, problem solving and support. Prior to 1996, she was the Budget Manager for Clark County, Nevada. Ms. Ong is a member of the Government Finance Officers' Association. Ms. Ong received a B.S. degree from the University of Nevada Las Vegas.

Valerie R. Glenn, age 56, has served as a Director of Employers Holdings and its predecessor since April 2006 and EGI since February 2007. Ms. Glenn is CEO and majority owner of MPR, dba The Glenn Group, one of the largest privately held marketing communications firms in Nevada. Ms. Glenn has been co-owner and publisher of Visitor Publications, Inc., which publishes the Reno/Tahoe Visitor, since January 1998. She was a founding partner in the advertising sales firm of Kelley-Rose Advertising, Inc. from 1981 to 1994. Ms. Glenn began her advertising career in San Francisco in 1976 with international advertising agency Dancer Fitzgerald Sample. Ms. Glenn graduated from the University of Nevada, Reno with a B.A. degree.

Continuing Directors Whose Terms Expire at the 2013 Annual Meeting

Rose E. McKinney-James, age 59, has served as a Director of Employers Holdings, EGI and their predecessors since their creation in April 2005. She was also a Director of EICN from March 2001 to March 2007 and a Director of ECIC from August 2002 to May 2004. Ms. McKinney-James has been the owner of Energy Works Consulting, LLC since 2003 and McKinney-James & Associates since 2005, both located in Las Vegas, Nevada. Both firms focus on public affairs in the areas of energy, education, and environmental policy. In 2007, Ms. McKinney-James joined Nevada State Bank Public Finance as the Director of External Affairs. Prior to creating Energy Works Consulting in 2003, Ms. McKinney-James was President and Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation for Solar Technologies and Renewable Resources from 1995 to 2000, and the President of public affairs and advertising for Brown & Partners Advertising from 2000 to 2001. She held the position of President of Government Affairs for the firm of Faiss Foley

Merica in 2000 and 2001. Ms. McKinney-James is a former Commissioner with the Nevada Public Service Commission and also served as the Director of the Nevada Department of Business and Industry. She is a Director of The Energy Foundation, Toyota Financial Savings Bank,

MGM Resorts International, a publicly traded gaming, hospitality and entertainment company, American Council for Energy Efficient Economy, The Smith Center for the Performing Arts, and Three Square. She is also on the Board of Advisors of Vote Solar. Ms. McKinney-James received a B.A. degree from Olivet College and J.D. degree from Antioch School of Law in Washington, D.C.

Michael D. Rumbolz, age 56, has served as a Director of Employers Holdings, EGI and their predecessors since their creation in April 2005. He was also a Director of EICN from January 2000 to March 2007 and a Director of ECIC from August 2002 to May 2004. Mr. Rumbolz has over 25 years of experience in the gaming industry. He was Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Cash Systems, Inc., a public company, from January 2005 until the company was purchased by Global Cash Access Holdings, Inc. (GCA), a publicly traded provider of cash access and data intelligence services and solutions to the gaming industry, in August of 2008. He was the Corporate Strategy Advisor to the CEO of GCA from September 2008 until September 2010 when he joined the GCA board of directors and the board of directors of its wholly owned subsidiary Global Cash Access, Inc. He also joined the Board of Directors of Herbst Gaming, LLC in January 2011 upon its emergence from bankruptcy. He has been a Director of Seminole Hard Rock Entertainment since 2008. He has been Managing Director of Acme Gaming LLC, a gaming consultancy service, since July 2001. He was Vice Chairman and a member of the Board of Casino Data Systems, a public company, from March 2000 to July 2001 when it was acquired by Aristocrat. He was President and Chief Executive Officer of Anchor Gaming, a public company, from 1995 to 2000 and Director of Corporate Development for Circus Circus Enterprises, Inc. from late 1992 to June 1995, including serving as the first President and Managing Director of Windsor Casino Limited, a consortium company owned by Hilton Hotel Corp., Circus Circus Enterprises, Inc. and Caesars World. Mr. Rumbolz also held various executive positions with Trump Hotels & Casino Resorts. In addition to his corporate experience, Mr. Rumbolz was the former Chief Deputy Attorney General and the former Chairman of the Nevada Gaming Control Board. He received a B.A. degree with distinction from the University of Nevada, Las Vegas and a J.D. degree from the University of Southern California, Gould School of Law.

John P. Sande, III, age 61, has served as a Director of Employers Holdings, EGI and their predecessors since their creation in April 2005. He was also a Director of EICN from March 2001 to March 2007 and a Director of ECIC from August 2002 to May 2004. Mr. Sande has been a partner of the Nevada law firm of Jones Vargas and its predecessor firm, Vargas and Bartlett, since 1974, primarily practicing in the areas of administrative law and trusts and estates. He is Chairman of the Board of the Reno-Tahoe Open Foundation, serves as Director of the Reno Air Racing Association and The First TEE, and is a founding member of the Montreux Golf & Country Club Board of Governors. He has served as Co-Chairman of the KNPB Channel 5 Capital Campaign, as a Trustee of the William F. Harrah Automobile Foundation, and has served four terms on the Stanford University Athletic Board. Mr. Sande is a Trustee for the William F. Harrah Trusts, a Director of Western Alliance Bancorp, a publicly traded bank holding company, and a subsidiary, Western Alliance Bank, and he previously served on the Board of Directors for Bank of America Nevada (Valley Bank of Nevada) and as Chairman of First Independent Bank of Nevada. Mr. Sande holds a B.A. degree, with great distinction, from Stanford University and a J.D. degree, cum laude, from Harvard University.

The Company's Bylaws provide that no person (other than a person nominated by, or on behalf of, the Board or any authorized committee thereof) will be eligible to be elected a Director at an annual meeting of stockholders, or at any special meeting of stockholders called for the purpose of electing Directors, unless a written stockholder's notice, in proper form, is received by the Corporate Secretary not less than 90 days nor more than 120 days prior to the anniversary date of the immediately preceding annual meeting of stockholders. If the annual meeting is not called for a date that is within 25 days of the anniversary date of the immediately preceding annual meeting, a stockholder's notice must be given not later than the close of business 10 days after the date on which notice of the annual meeting was mailed or public disclosure of the date of the annual meeting was made, whichever occurs first. To be in proper written form, a stockholder's notice must include, among other things, the information specified in the Bylaws about each nominee and the stockholder making the nomination. The notice also must be

accompanied by a written consent of each proposed nominee to being named as a nominee and to serve as a Director if elected.

Separate procedures have been established for stockholders to submit Director candidates for consideration by the Board Governance and Nominating Committee. These procedures are described below under the subsection Board Governance and Nominating Committee.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND ITS COMMITTEES

Board of Directors. During the year ended December 31, 2010, there were nine meetings of Employers Holdings Board of Directors. Each Director attended at least 75% of the aggregate of the meetings of the Board of Directors and the committees of the Board on which such members served during 2010. The Company has the following standing Committees: Audit Committee, Board Governance and Nominating Committee, Compensation Committee, Executive Committee, and Finance Committee.

Directors are expected to attend the Annual Meeting. All Directors attended the 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the 2010 Annual Meeting).

Board Committees

In 2010, the Board Governance and Nominating Committee recommended and the Board of Directors approved a process whereby the Committee assignments and the Chairs of various Committees would rotate on a more frequent basis. This process is intended to provide the directors with additional exposure to the responsibilities of the various Committees and to increase the depth of their experience. This process includes the requirement that an incoming Chair of a Committee must either be on the Committee for at least one-year before assuming the duties of the Chair or must have prior experience on that Committee. The changes to the Committee and Chair assignments occur each year following the Annual Meeting. The following table reflects the Committee and Chair assignments following the 2010 Annual Meeting which occurred on May 27, 2010.

The following table summarizes the membership of the Board's committees and the independence of the Company's Directors.

Name of Director	Independent Director	Board Governance and				
		Audit	Nominating	Finance	Compensation	Executive
Richard W. Blakey	Yes		ü	ü		
Douglas D. Dirks				ü		ü
Valerie R. Glenn				ü		
Robert J. Kolesar	Yes		ü			ü (C)
Rose E. McKinney-James	Yes		ü (C)	ü		ü
Ronald F. Mosher	Yes	ü (C)				ü
Katherine W. Ong	Yes			ü (C)	ü	ü
Michael D. Rumbolz	Yes	ü			ü (C)	ü
John P. Sande, III	Yes	ü			ü	
		9	5	4	10	0

Number of Meetings
Held in 2010

(C) denotes
committee
chair

Audit Committee

This committee currently consists of Messrs. Mosher, Chair, Rumbolz and Sande. Prior to the 2010 Annual Meeting, the committee consisted of Mr. Mosher, Chair, Ms. Ong and Ms. McKinney-James. The Company's Audit Committee satisfies the independence and other requirements of the NYSE and the SEC. Each member of the Audit Committee is financially literate. In addition, the Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Mosher is an audit committee financial expert within the meaning of Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The Audit Committee assists the Board in monitoring the integrity of our financial statements, our independent auditors

qualifications and independence, the performance of our internal audit function and independent auditors, and our compliance with legal requirements. The Audit Committee also prepared the Audit Committee Report included in this Proxy Statement on page 39. The Audit Committee has direct responsibility for the appointment, compensation, retention, termination, and oversight of our independent auditors, and our independent auditors report directly to the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee reviews and evaluates, at least annually, the performance of the Audit Committee and its members, including its compliance with the Audit Committee Charter. A copy of the Audit Committee Charter is available on our website at www.employers.com. The Company will provide a print copy of the Charter to any stockholder who requests it. The Audit Committee met nine times in 2010.

Board Governance and Nominating Committee

This committee currently consists of Ms. McKinney-James, Chair, and Messrs. Kolesar and Blakey. Prior to the 2010 Annual Meeting, the committee consisted of Messrs. Sande, Chair, Kolesar and Ms. McKinney-James. Our Board Governance and Nominating Committee satisfies the independence and other requirements of the NYSE and the SEC. The purpose of the Board Governance and Nominating Committee is to identify and select qualified individuals to become members of the Board of Directors and its committees, to determine the composition of the Board of Directors and its committees, to recommend to the Board a slate of Director nominees for each annual meeting of stockholders, to develop and recommend to the Board of Directors sound corporate governance policies and procedures, to review succession plans of the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and to oversee the evaluation of the Board and committees.

The Board Governance and Nominating Committee will consider Director candidates recommended by stockholders. In considering candidates recommended by stockholders, the Board Governance and Nominating Committee will take into consideration the needs of the Board and the qualifications of the candidate. To have a candidate considered by the Board Governance and Nominating Committee, a stockholder must submit the recommendation in writing and must include the following information:

as to each
person the
stockholder
recommends
as a Director:

the name,
age, business
address and
residence
address of
the person;

the principal
occupation
or
employment
of the
person;

the class or
series and
number of

shares of
capital stock
of the
Company
which are
owned
beneficially
or of record
by the
person; and

the other
information
relating to
the person
that would
be required
to be
disclosed in
a proxy
statement or
other filings
required to
be made in
connection
with
solicitations
of proxies
for election
of Directors
pursuant to
Section 14 of
the
Exchange
Act and the
rules and
regulations
promulgated
thereunder;
and

as to the
stockholder
making the
recommendation:

the name and
record address
of such
stockholder;

the class or series and number of shares of capital stock of the Company that are owned beneficially or of record by such stockholder;

a description of all arrangements or understandings between such stockholder and each proposed nominee and any other person or persons (including their names) pursuant to which the nomination(s) are made by such stockholder; and

any other information relating to such stockholder that would be required to be disclosed in a proxy statement or other filings required to be made in connection with solicitations of proxies for election of

D i r e c t o r s
p u r s u a n t t o
S e c t i o n 1 4 o f
t h e E x c h a n g e
A c t a n d t h e
r u l e s a n d
r e g u l a t i o n s
p r o m u l g a t e d
t h e r e u n d e r .

Such recommendation must be accompanied by a written consent of each proposed nominee to being named as a nominee and to serve as a Director if elected.

The Board Governance and Nominating Committee may, if it determines to do so, utilize a search firm to assist in its review of any potential Director candidates and will evaluate Director candidates recommended by stockholders in the same manner as other candidates, in addition to considering the needs of the Board. Any Director recommendations by stockholders for consideration by the Board Governance and Nominating Committee must include the above-identified information and should be addressed to the Corporate Secretary at the address above.

Following verification of the stockholder status of person(s) recommending the candidate(s), the Board Governance and Nominating Committee will consider the recommendations at a regularly scheduled meeting. If any materials are provided by a stockholder in connection with the recommendation of a Director candidate, such materials will be forwarded to the Board Governance and Nominating Committee.

The Board Governance and Nominating Committee has adopted Procedures and Criteria for Nomination as a Director (the Procedures) to assist the Committee in reviewing and evaluating Director nominees. The Procedures identify qualifications that should be considered when comparing and evaluating Director nominees from any source. The general criteria include background and experience, and an appropriate mix of professional experience and/or training in accounting, finance, technology, management, marketing, securities, and the law. The specific criteria to be reviewed by the Board Governance and Nominating Committee includes, but is not limited to, the following: integrity; ability to work with others; experience at a senior level in a particular industry; commitment; financial literacy and an understanding of board governance; no conflict of interest with the Company; and, the ability to satisfy the independence requirements of the NYSE and the SEC. The Board Governance and Nominating Committee also may seek to have the Board represent a diversity of backgrounds and experience. Although the Board Governance and Nominating Committee does not have a written diversity policy, it considers diversity of knowledge, skills and professional experience as factors in evaluating candidates for the Board. The Board Governance and Nominating Committee assesses its achievement of diversity through its review of Board composition as part of the annual Board self-evaluation process. The Board Governance and Nominating Committee is responsible for assessing the appropriate balance of the various criteria required of Board members.

In 2010, the Board Governance and Nominating Committee did not engage the services of a third party to help identify or evaluate Director nominees. The Board Governance and Nominating Committee reviews and evaluates, at least annually, the performance of the Board Governance and Nominating Committee and its members, including its compliance with the Board Governance and Nominating Committee Charter. A copy of the Board Governance and Nominating Committee Charter is available on our website at www.employers.com. The Company will provide a print copy of this Charter to any stockholder who requests it. The Board Governance and Nominating Committee met five times in 2010.

Compensation Committee

This committee currently consists of Messrs. Rumbolz, Chair, Sande and Ms. Ong. Prior to the 2010 Annual Meeting, the committee consisted of Messrs. Blakey, Chair, Rumbolz and Ms. Ong. Our Compensation Committee satisfies the independence and other requirements of the NYSE and the SEC. This committee determines the details of the compensation package for the Chief Executive Officer and other Executive Officers; establishes the total compensation philosophy and strategy for the Company and its Board; administers our equity and incentive plan, incentive bonus, 401(k) plans and other benefits plans; and approves the salaries and bonuses for Executive Officers annually. The Compensation Committee prepared the Compensation Committee Report included in this Proxy Statement on page 26.

In July of 2009, the Compensation Committee retained the services of Towers Watson to provide the Compensation Committee, with respect to 2010 compensation-related matters, the same services and the same type of advice and recommendations that Frederick W. Cook & Co., Inc. had provided to the Compensation Committee for 2009 executive and director compensation-related matters. In this regard, we paid Towers Watson \$71,348 for executive compensation services that it performed for the Compensation Committee in 2010. After the Committee completed

making its decisions regarding the Company's 2010 executive compensation program, the Committee was informed that a group of

executive compensation consultants from Towers Watson, including the consultant advising the Committee, had created a new consulting firm called Pay Governance, LLP (Pay Governance). For continuity purposes, beginning September 7, 2010, the Committee retained the services of Pay Governance (instead of Towers Watson) to advise it. Management did not retain a separate compensation consultant for the purposes of determining compensation for any of the Named Executive Officers in 2010.

From time to time, Towers Watson and its affiliates have been retained by management to provide to the Company services unrelated to executive and director compensation. In 2010, the Company paid Towers Watson and its affiliates \$135,778 for work principally related to enhancements and general assistance to the Company's internal actuarial department. Neither the Compensation Committee nor the Board of Directors approved such other services. However, the Compensation Committee was aware of management's retention of Towers Watson and its affiliates for such services and monitored the fees paid for such services.

In 2008, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors adopted stock ownership guidelines that require our non-employee directors to own a minimum number of shares of our common stock equal to three times the directors annual cash retainer. The non-employee directors may accumulate the number of shares necessary to meet the minimum stock ownership level during the three years following the adoption of the guidelines or, if later, during the first three years after becoming a non-employee director.

The Compensation Committee reviews and evaluates, at least annually, the performance of the Compensation Committee and its members, including its compliance with the Compensation Committee Charter. A copy of the Compensation Committee Charter is available on our website at www.employers.com. The Company will provide a print copy of this Charter to any stockholder who requests it. The Compensation Committee met ten times in 2010.

Executive Committee

This committee currently consists of Messrs. Kolesar, Chair, Rumbolz, Mosher and Dirks, and Ms. Ong and Ms. McKinney-James. Prior to the 2010 Annual Meeting, the committee consisted of Mr. Kolesar, Chair, and Messrs. Blakey, Mosher, Rumbolz, and Sande. The Executive Committee functions on behalf of the Board of Directors, acting with respect to ordinary course matters, during intervals between meetings of the Board of Directors, as necessary. The Executive Committee performs a Committee evaluation in the years in which it meets. The Executive Committee did not meet in 2010.

Finance Committee

This committee currently consists of Ms. Ong, Chair, Ms. Glenn, Ms. McKinney-James and Messrs. Blakey and Dirks. Prior to the 2010 Annual Meeting, the committee consisted of Mr. Rumbolz, Chair, Ms. Glenn and Messrs. Blakey, Dirks and Sande. The Finance Committee reviews and makes recommendations to the Board of Directors with respect to certain of our financial affairs and policies, including investments, investment policies and guidelines, financial planning, capital structure and management, stock dividend policy and dividends, stock repurchases, and strategic plans and transactions. The Finance Committee reviews and evaluates, at least annually, the performance of the Finance Committee and its members, including its compliance with the Finance Committee Charter. The Finance Committee met four times in 2010.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The Board has adopted the Corporate Governance Guidelines (the Guidelines) of Employers Holdings, which are available on our website at www.employers.com, and the Company will furnish a print copy to any stockholder who requests it. These Guidelines were adopted to assist the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities and are in compliance with Section 303A of the NYSE Listed Company Manual (the Listing Standards).

DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

In accordance with the rules of the NYSE, the Board affirmatively determines the independence of each Director and nominee for election as a Director in accordance with the Guidelines, which include all elements of independence set forth in Section 303A of the Listing Standards. Specifically, the Board has agreed that it shall be comprised of a majority of Directors who qualify as Independent Directors under the Listing Standards.

The Guidelines provide that the Board reviews annually the relationships that each Director has with the Company (either directly or as a partner, shareholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the Company). Following such annual review, only those Directors who the Board affirmatively determines have no material relationship with the Company (either directly or as a partner, shareholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the Company) will be considered Independent Directors, subject to additional qualifications prescribed under the Listing Standards or applicable law. The Board may, but has not, adopted categorical standards to assist it in determining Director independence. In the event that a Director becomes aware of any change of circumstances that may result in such Director no longer being considered independent under the Listing Standards or applicable law, the Director shall promptly inform the Chair of the Board Governance and Nominating Committee.

The Board has considered the independence of its members pursuant to the standards set forth in the Listing Standards and determined that Mr. Dirks and Ms. Glenn are not Independent Directors, and that Messrs. Kolesar, Mosher, Rumbolz, Sande, and Blakey, Ms. McKinney-James and Ms. Ong are Independent Directors.

Lead Independent Director Policy

The Guidelines provide that if the Chairman of the Board is not an Independent Director, the Company's Independent Directors will designate one of the Independent Directors on the Board to serve as a Lead Independent Director (the Lead Independent Director). If the Chairman of the Board is an Independent Director, then he or she satisfies the Guideline's requirements for a Lead Independent Director. The Board is currently lead by an Independent Chairman of the Board, Mr. Kolesar. The Board believes that there is no single best organizational model that is the most effective in all circumstances and that the stockholders' interests are best served by allowing the Board to retain the flexibility to determine the optimal organizational structure for the Company at a given time, including whether the Chairman role should be held by an Independent Director or one or more senior executives who serve on the Board. The members of the Board possess considerable experience and unique knowledge of the challenges and opportunities the Company faces, and are in the best position to evaluate the needs of the Company and how to best organize the capabilities of the Directors and management to meet those needs. The Board has determined that having Mr. Kolesar, an Independent Director, serve as Chairman is in the best interest of the Company's stockholders at this time. This structure ensures a greater role for the Independent Directors in the oversight of the Company and active participation of the Independent Directors in setting agendas and establishing Board priorities and procedures, and is useful in establishing a system of corporate checks and balances. In addition, as managing the Board can be a time-intensive responsibility, this structure permits Mr. Dirks, our Chief Executive Officer, to focus on management of the Company's day-to-day operations.

RISK OVERSIGHT

Risk management oversight is primarily provided at both the Board and Committee levels. The Board and its Committees monitor and evaluate the risks associated with the Company's operations and achieving the Company's goals and objectives, including those which are inherent in the business of the Company, as well as risks from external sources such as competitors, the economy and credit markets, regulatory and legislative developments, and other external forces. The Board of Directors also provides oversight so that the Company has the necessary resources to proactively manage risk, including a periodic review of the development, experience, skills, and leadership of the Company's existing management and the employees who report to them. The Board Committees provide oversight under the direction of their respective Chairs. Risk oversight is a significant component of all major Board decisions

and the evaluation of risk is an important element of the Board's decision-making

process. The Board believes that its leadership structure at present is conducive to the risk oversight process.

The Audit Committee meets periodically with the Chief Financial Officer, Corporate Controller, General Counsel, Internal Auditor, and the external auditor with regard to the Company's risk management processes, controls, and capabilities. In addition, the Audit Committee reviews at least annually, the Company's legal and regulatory risks and the Company's compliance programs and policies, the Company's Code of Conduct, and the Company's procedures regarding the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints concerning internal accounting, accounting controls, and audit matters.

The Finance Committee oversees and provides review and oversight as to the Company's liquidity and capital needs, the proper allocation and distribution of capital between the Company and its subsidiaries, dividend declarations, and other financial matters on an ongoing basis. The Finance Committee also monitors the Company's financial structure and reviews the Company's policies and procedures for investment risk management on an ongoing basis.

The Board Governance and Nominating Committee oversees the executive and Board Chair succession plans, the Company's compliance with the requirements of the NYSE and the SEC, and reviews the Company's governing documents, Committee Charters and other policies at least annually. The Board Governance and Nominating Committee is also responsible for identifying and selecting individuals qualified to serve as members of the Board, recommending the Committee structure to the Board, developing and recommending the Guidelines to the Board, and overseeing the evaluation of the Board and its Committees.

Finally, the Compensation Committee oversees the Company's overall benefit and compensation philosophy and executive compensation arrangements and is responsible for making a determination as to whether or not risks arise from compensation practices that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Nearly every Director (and every Independent Director) sits on more than one Committee and this overlap helps ensure that the risk responsibilities of the various Committees are well coordinated. Each Committee Chair makes a report on Committee activity to the Board at least quarterly which enables the Board to continually review and evaluate risks which could affect the Company.

SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS REGARDING 2011 DIRECTORS AND NOMINEES

The Board Governance and Nominating Committee considered each of our Director's experiences, qualifications, attributes, and skills when determining the current performance of the Board of Directors and specifically the Directors whose terms were expiring. The Committee also reviewed the Board and Committee evaluations and considered the significant experience our Directors have had working together on the Board. The Board evaluated the same criteria when it approved the nominees for Director recommended by the Board Governance and Nominating Committee.

In considering the nominees for Director, the Board Governance and Nominating Committee and the Board focused on the background and experiences of each nominee, as described in the biographies appearing elsewhere in this Proxy Statement. The Committee and the Board concluded that each nominee for reelection and those Directors who continue on the Board provide the Company with an appropriate mix of experience, knowledge, education, and abilities to allow the Board to fulfill its responsibilities to the Company and its stockholders.

2011 Nominees:

With respect to Dr. Blakey, the Committee and Board considered in particular his extensive practice as a board certified orthopaedic surgeon and his leadership and experience as an owner and Director of several medical clinics and foundations, many of which share similar characteristics to the Company's small business customers. In addition, the Committee and the Board considered Dr. Blakey's service as a Director of the Company and its subsidiaries.

With respect to Mr. Dirks, the Committee and Board considered in particular his work experience in the public accounting and investment banking industries, his leadership as President and CEO of our insurance subsidiaries for many years, his service on insurance-related associations and foundations, his

public service prior to joining the Company, and his extensive experience and expertise in the areas of management, accounting and finance. The Committee and Board also considered Mr. Dirks' deep knowledge and understanding of the Company as a result of his service as our President and Chief Executive Officer and a Director, as well as his familiarity with the Company's history and culture, all of which allow him to provide an invaluable perspective during Board discussions.

With respect to Mr. Kolesar, the Committee and Board considered in particular his experience as the founder, owner and managing Director of his Las Vegas law firm, his extensive practice in the areas of banking, finance and real estate, his service and experience as a Director of numerous private companies, his leadership and service on several local charities, and his service as a Director and Chair of the Company and its operating companies' Boards of Directors.

Continuing Directors:

With respect to Ms. Glenn, the Committee and Board considered in particular her leadership experience as the CEO and majority owner of her marketing company, her understanding of the needs of small business owners, her extensive service in, and leadership with, various charitable organizations, and her experience and expertise in marketing, distribution and public affairs.

With respect to Ms. McKinney-James, the Committee and Board considered in particular her public service, including with a state regulatory agency, her experience as a Director of other public companies, her experience as a small business owner, and her significant experience and expertise with environmental issues and initiatives.

With respect to Mr. Sande, the Committee and Board considered in particular his leadership and experience as a Director of a publicly-traded financial institution, his service as Chairman and as a Director with several other private organizations, and his significant experience and expertise in the areas of the law, government relations, and corporate governance.

With respect to Mr. Mosher, the Committee and Board considered in particular his more than 25 years of experience at senior levels in the insurance industry, his experience and expertise in the areas of accounting and public company reporting, his service as the financial expert on the Audit Committee, and his extensive experience and expertise in the areas of management, accounting and finance.

With respect to Ms. Ong, the Committee and Board considered in particular her experience and leadership as the co-founder and Director of her public sector financial advisory practice, her extensive experience in municipal government, and her experience and expertise in the areas of management, accounting and finance.

With respect to Mr. Rumbolz, the Committee and Board considered in particular his experience as Chief Executive Officer and/or member of the board of directors of several public companies, his extensive experience in other senior level positions of publicly-traded companies, his regulatory and public service experience, and his significant experience and expertise in the areas of management, law, accounting, and finance.

COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Any interested party desiring to communicate with the Chairman of the Board and/or the other Directors regarding the Company may contact such Directors by sending correspondence to: Employers Holdings, Inc., c/o Chief Legal Officer, 10375 Professional Circle, Reno, Nevada 89521-4802. Communications may also be sent electronically to: *ChiefLegalOfficer@employers.com*. Communications may be submitted anonymously and a sender may indicate whether he or she is a stockholder, customer, supplier, or other interested party.

All communications received as described above shall be opened by the Chief Legal Officer for the purpose of determining whether the contents represent a message to our Directors and, depending on the facts and circumstances outlined in the communication, will be distributed to the Board, the non- management Directors, an individual Director or committee of Directors, as appropriate. The Chief Legal Officer distributes the communication to each Director who is a member of the Board, or of the group or Committee, to which the communication is directed.

PROPOSAL TWO

NON-BINDING VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

As required by Section 14A of the Exchange Act and pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, we are providing our stockholders the opportunity to vote on a non-binding, advisory resolution to approve the compensation of our Named Executive Officers, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis compensation tables and narrative discussion contained in this Proxy Statement. Accordingly, the following resolution will be submitted to a stockholder vote at the Annual Meeting:

RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the Company's Named Executive Officers, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion, is hereby APPROVED.

As described in the section titled "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," the Compensation Committee believes that the most effective executive compensation program is one that rewards the achievement of specific goals, aligns executive officers' interests with those of our stockholders by rewarding performance that meets or exceeds the established goals, and ultimately motivates our executives to increase stockholder value without encouraging excessive risk taking.

In 2010, the Compensation Committee created a compensation program that is tied to the Company's financial performance and is designed to:

Offer an appropriate mix of base salary, annual bonus, long-term equity grants, benefits, and perquisites that is generally competitive with companies in our peer group;

Provide compensation opportunities that are between the 50th and 75th percentile levels of our peer group in

terms of total compensation and benefits provided to each Named Executive Officer (NEO), each of whom is identified below;

Create alignment between pay and performance by linking executive compensation with short- and long-term financial and operating performance through an annual bonus plan and regular equity grants; and

Discourage excessive or undue risk-taking by including appropriate mitigating factors.

Our executive compensation program is designed to provide competitive compensation, align NEO compensation with stockholder interests, and support our commitment to good compensation governance. Specifically, our executive compensation program includes:

Annual bonuses linked entirely to aggressive goals based on the Company's financial

performance,
c r e a t i n g
a l i g n m e n t
between the
annual bonus
and the key
financial goals
t h a t d r i v e
s t o c k h o l d e r
v a l u e;

A balance of
l o n g - t e r m
equity grants
(stock options
and restricted
s t o c k u n i t s
(R S U s)) with
multiple-year
v e s t i n g
requirements,
encouraging
our NEOs to
pursue and
e x e c u t e
l o n g - t e r m
strategies for
i n c r e a s i n g
s t o c k h o l d e r
v a l u e;

Employment
agreements
that provide
for reasonable
amounts of
severance and
change in
control
payments;

Modest
benefits and
perquisites;

A p o l i c y
regarding the
recapture (or
c l a w b a c k) of
i n c e n t i v e
compensation

paid to our
NEOs if the
NEO engages
in conduct
which is
harmful to the
Company
and/or results
in a
restatement in
the Company's
financial
statements;

A policy for
awarding
equity grants
during a
regularly
scheduled
Compensation
Committee
meeting,
designed to
prevent stock
option
backdating or
other timing
improprieties;
and

Stock
ownership
guidelines
requiring our
NEOs to attain
and maintain
certain levels
of Company
stock
ownership,
thereby
aligning the
interests of our
NEOs with the
interests of our
stockholders.

We do not provide a defined benefit pension plan, supplement retirement plan (SERP), or other deferred compensation plan.

Our 2010 compensation program produced the following results, providing our NEOs with:

Modest increases in base salary based on factors such as the individual s performance, changes in responsibilities, and market data;

Grants of stock options and RSUs to more closely align the NEOs interests with stockholder interests in creating stockholder value; and

An annual bonus program that was repositioned to pay out only if certain Company financial performance goals were met. Although the Company s overall performance compared favorably to the industry, the Company did not meet the aggressive goals set by the

Committee. As a result the NEOs did not receive annual bonuses.

Stockholders are urged to read the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement which more thoroughly discusses how our compensation policies and procedures implement our compensation philosophy and objectives. The Compensation Committee and the Board believe that these policies and procedures are effective in implementing our compensation philosophy and in achieving its goals.

This vote is only advisory, will not be binding upon the Company or the Board, and will not create or imply any change in the fiduciary duties of, or impose any additional fiduciary duty on, the Company or the Board. Because the Board values constructive dialogue on executive compensation and other important governance topics with our stockholders, it encourages all stockholders to vote their shares on this matter. The Compensation Committee will take into account the outcome of the vote when considering future executive compensation arrangements.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE COMPENSATION PAID TO THE COMPANY'S NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS.

PROPOSAL THREE

NON-BINDING VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF VOTES ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

As required by Section 14A of the Exchange Act and pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, we are providing our stockholders the opportunity to vote on a non-binding resolution on the frequency of the stockholder vote to approve the compensation of the Company's Named Executive Officers. By voting on this resolution, stockholders may indicate whether they would prefer a non-binding vote to approve the compensation paid to our Named Executive Officers every one, two or three years, or stockholders may abstain from voting on this resolution.

After careful consideration of the frequency alternatives provided to the stockholders, the Board believes that conducting a non-binding vote on the compensation paid to our Named Executive Officers on an annual basis is appropriate for the Company and its stockholders at this time, as an annual advisory vote will allow our stockholders to provide timely, direct input on the Company's executive compensation philosophy, policies and practices as disclosed in the proxy statement each year. The Board believes that an annual vote is consistent with the Company's efforts to engage in an ongoing dialogue with stockholders on executive compensation and corporate governance matters. Therefore, the Board recommends that stockholders vote to hold a non-binding vote on executive compensation annually.

This vote is only advisory, will not be binding upon the Company or the Board, and will not create or imply any change in the fiduciary duties of, or impose any additional fiduciary duty on, the Company or the Board. The Board of Directors will take the outcome of this vote into account in making a determination on the frequency at which advisory votes on executive compensation will be included in the Company's proxy statement. This vote is not to approve or disapprove the Board's recommendation.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR AN ANNUAL ADVISORY VOTE ON THE COMPENSATION OF THE COMPANY'S NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS.

PROPOSAL FOUR

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company has appointed Ernst & Young LLP (Ernst & Young) as Employers Holdings independent accounting firm to examine the financial statements of Employers Holdings and its subsidiaries for the 2011 calendar year. The Board of Directors recommends ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young.

A representative of Ernst & Young will be present at the Annual Meeting. This representative will have an opportunity to make a statement if such representative desires to do so and to respond to appropriate questions.

Although stockholder approval of this appointment is not required or binding on the Audit Committee, the Board of Directors believes, as a matter of good corporate governance, that stockholders should be given the opportunity to express their views. If the stockholders do not ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young as Employers Holdings independent accounting firm, the Audit Committee will consider this vote in determining whether or not to continue the engagement of Ernst & Young.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE RATIFICATION OF THIS APPOINTMENT.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Overview of Our 2010 Executive Compensation Program

Our Compensation Committee believes that the most effective executive compensation program is one that rewards the achievement of specific goals, aligns executive officers interests with those of our stockholders by rewarding performance that meets or exceeds the established goals, and ultimately motivates our executives to increase stockholder value without encouraging excessive risk taking.

In 2010, our Compensation Committee created a compensation program that is tied to the Company's financial performance and is designed to:

O f f e r a n
a p p r o p r i a t e
m i x o f b a s e
s a l a r y , a n n u a l
b o n u s ,
l o n g - t e r m
e q u i t y g r a n t s ,
b e n e f i t s a n d
p e r q u i s i t e s
t h a t i s
g e n e r a l l y
c o m p e t i t i v e
w i t h

companies in
o u r p e e r
group;

P r o v i d e
compensation
opportunities
t h a t a r e
between the
50th and 75th
p e r c e n t i l e
levels of our
peer group in
terms of total
compensation
and benefits
provided to
each Named
E x e c u t i v e
O f f i c e r
(N E O), each
of whom is
i d e n t i f i e d
below;

C r e a t e
a l i g n m e n t
between pay
a n d
performance
b y l i n k i n g
e x e c u t i v e
compensation
with short-
and long-term
financial and
o p e r a t i n g
performance
through an
annual bonus
p l a n a n d
regular equity
grants; and

Discourage
excessive or
undue
risk-taking by
including
appropriate
mitigating

factors.

Our executive compensation program is designed to provide competitive compensation, align NEO compensation with stockholder interests and support our commitment to good compensation governance. Specifically, our executive compensation program includes:

A n n u a l
b o n u s e s
linked entirely
to aggressive
goals based
o n t h e
C o m p a n y ' s
f i n a n c i a l
p e r f o r m a n c e ,
c r e a t i n g
a l i g n m e n t
b e t w e e n t h e
a n n u a l b o n u s
a n d t h e k e y
f i n a n c i a l
g o a l s t h a t
d r i v e
s t o c k h o l d e r
v a l u e ;

A b a l a n c e o f
l o n g - t e r m
e q u i t y g r a n t s
(s t o c k o p t i o n s
a n d r e s t r i c t e d
s t o c k u n i t s
(R S U s)) w i t h
m u l t i p l e - y e a r
v e s t i n g
r e q u i r e m e n t s ,
e n c o u r a g i n g
o u r N E O s t o
p u r s u e a n d
e x e c u t e
l o n g - t e r m
s t r a t e g i e s f o r
i n c r e a s i n g
s t o c k h o l d e r
v a l u e ;

Employment agreements that provide for reasonable amounts of severance and change in control payments;

Modest benefits and perquisites;

A policy regarding the recapture (or clawback) of incentive compensation paid to our NEOs, if the NEO engages in conduct which is harmful to the Company and/or results in a restatement in the Company's financial statements;

A policy for awarding equity grants during a regularly scheduled Compensation Committee meeting, designed to prevent stock option backdating or other timing improprieties; and

S t o c k
o w n e r s h i p
g u i d e l i n e s
r e q u i r i n g o u r
N E O s t o a t t a i n
a n d m a i n t a i n
c e r t a i n l e v e l s
o f C o m p a n y
s t o c k
o w n e r s h i p ,
t h e r e b y
a l i g n i n g t h e
i n t e r e s t s o f o u r
N E O s w i t h t h e
i n t e r e s t s o f o u r
s t o c k h o l d e r s .

We do not provide a defined benefit pension plan, supplement retirement plan (SERP), or other deferred compensation plan.

Our 2010 compensation program produced the following results, providing our NEOs with:

Modest
increases in
base salary
based on factors
such as the
individual s
performance,
changes in
responsibilities,
and market data,
following a
freeze in base
salary in 2009;

Grants of stock
options and
RSUs to more
closely align the
NEOs interests
with
stockholder
interests in
creating
stockholder
value; and

An annual
bonus program

that was repositioned to pay out only if certain Company financial performance goals were met. Although the Company's overall performance compared favorably to the industry, the Company did not meet the aggressive goals set by the Compensation Committee. As a result, the NEOs did not receive annual bonuses.

Our Named Executive Officers

The subsequent sections provide a discussion and analysis of the material elements of our current program outlined briefly above. For 2010, our Named Executive Officers were:

Douglas D.
Dirks,
President &
Chief
Executive
Officer (CEO)

William E.
Yocke,
Executive Vice
President
(EVP) & Chief
Financial
Officer (CFO)

Martin J.
Welch,
President &
Chief
Operating

Officer (COO),
EICN, ECIC,
EPIC, and
EAC

Lenard T.
Ormsby, EVP,
Chief Legal
Officer

John P. Nelson,
EVP, Chief
Administrative
Officer

Mr. Welch's employment with EICN terminated effective February 1, 2011. The payments and benefits provided to him in connection with his departure are described in the section titled "Potential Payments and Termination upon a Change in Control" on page 30.

How Executive Compensation Was Determined

The Compensation Committee approves all decisions regarding our executive compensation program. The Compensation Committee is expressly authorized in its charter to retain independent counsel and compensation consultants, at the Company's expense. During 2010, the Compensation Committee relied on advice from its independent compensation consultant and recommendations from the CEO concerning the compensation of the other NEOs, as discussed below.

Independent Compensation Consultant

For all decisions made regarding our 2010 executive compensation program, the Compensation Committee utilized the services and advice of Towers Watson & Co. ("Towers Watson"). In particular, for 2010, the Compensation Committee engaged Towers Watson to do the following:

Review our
existing
peer group
of
companies
and to
recommend
changes as
appropriate
or to
recommend
a new peer
group of
companies;

Identify competitive compensation practices for our executive and director compensation programs; and

Review our existing Equity and Incentive Plan (the Equity Plan) and recommend changes as appropriate, as well as recommend the appropriate number of additional shares that would be subject to future grants under the Equity Plan, the addition of which was subject to stockholder approval at the May 2010 Annual Meeting.

Towers Watson provided the Compensation Committee with advice regarding the design of this year's short- and long-term incentive compensation components, as well as competitive ranges for each element of our NEOs compensation. In addition, it provided the Compensation Committee with specific recommendations regarding the appropriate level for each element of the CEO's compensation. Towers Watson performed certain unrelated services on behalf of management.

After the Compensation Committee completed making its decisions regarding the Company's 2010 executive compensation program, the Compensation Committee was informed that a group of executive compensation consultants from Towers Watson, including the consultant advising the Compensation Committee, had created a new consulting firm, Pay Governance LLP (Pay Governance). For continuity purposes, beginning September 7, 2010, the Compensation Committee retained the services of Pay Governance (instead of Towers Watson) to advise it.

The Peer Group

In its first year as the Compensation Committee's compensation consultant, Towers Watson formulated the peer group to be used as the basis of its recommendations regarding the 2010 executive compensation program. Towers Watson initially identified a group of publicly traded companies using the insurance industry group under the Global Industry Classification Standard in certain industry segments similar to ours, with an emphasis on workers' compensation, multiple property and casualty lines, and other property and casualty insurance. Towers Watson then established size ranges for financial criteria such as gross premiums written and market capitalization. Other metrics, such as net income, return on equity, return on assets and combined ratio were also considered. In addition, Towers Watson considered the size criteria and number of peer companies generally suggested by institutional investors. Towers Watson then concluded that the resulting ranges, as well as the number of companies identified provided the Compensation Committee with an appropriate peer group for benchmarking purposes. Management, and then the Compensation Committee, approved the peer group recommended by Towers Watson. Ultimately, the peer group companies were selected because Towers Watson and the Compensation Committee believed this was a valid group to use for purposes of comparing the compensation practices and levels of these similarly situated companies to our executive compensation program.

The 2010 peer group approved by the Compensation Committee differed from the 2009 peer group in that it now includes AMERISAFE, Inc., which is similar to the Company in terms of its business focus and size, and no longer includes Argo Group International Holdings, Ltd., which is less comparable to the Company due to its large size and its heavy emphasis on specialty insurance lines.

As of November 2009, the range of the gross premiums written, net income, market capitalizations and the returns on equity of the companies in the 2010 peer group were as follows:

	Gross Premiums Written (\$M)	Net Income (\$M)	Market Cap (\$M)	Return on Equity(%)
75th Percentile	\$ 1,006	\$ 66	\$ 974	12.14
Median	\$ 622	\$ 44	\$ 773	8.12
25th Percentile	\$ 476	\$ 11	\$ 363	5.02
Employers Holdings, Inc.	\$ 323	\$ 102	\$ 709	22.89

The companies in the 2010 peer group were as follows:

Peer Group		
American Physicians Capital, Inc.	AMERISAFE, Inc. Donegal Group, Inc.	AmTrust Financial Services, Inc.
Baldwin & Lyons, Inc.	Harleysville Group, Inc.	EMC Insurance Group, Inc.
Erie Indemnity Company	ProAssurance Corp.	The Navigators Group, Inc.
PMA Capital Corp.	Selective Insurance Group, Inc.	RLI Corp.
Seabright Insurance Holdings, Inc.	United Fire & Casualty Company	State Auto Financial Corp.
Tower Group, Inc.		Zenith National Insurance Corp.

CEO Recommendations

The Compensation Committee solicited the input and recommendations of the CEO in determining compensation for the other NEOs. The CEO's input included recommending the levels of base salary and long-term incentive grants for each of the other NEOs. The CEO also provided recommendations regarding the design of the short- and long-term incentive compensation components, including the specific targets for each applicable performance metric. The Compensation Committee considered the recommendations of the CEO, in conjunction with the peer group information and advice and recommendations from Towers Watson, in its creation of the Company's 2010 executive compensation program.

Elements of Our 2010 Executive Compensation Program

The following sections discuss each of the components of our executive compensation program as approved by the Compensation Committee. As discussed above, in developing the 2010 executive compensation program, the Compensation Committee considered the survey data, advice and recommendations provided by Towers Watson and the recommendations of the CEO, and determined that the following components remained appropriate for the 2010 executive compensation program:

Base salary

Annual bonus

Long-term
incentives
(stock options
and restricted
stock units)

Benefits and
perquisites

Employment
agreements
and

compensation
payable upon
termination of
employment

Following the Compensation Committee's determination of the final compensation packages for each of our NEOs, Towers Watson confirmed to the Compensation Committee that these packages, and the compensation decisions being made in connection with these packages, would bring the Company in line with what Towers Watson would expect to see at a relatively new public company of our size and performance relative to other companies in our industry.

Base Salary

The Compensation Committee believes it is important to provide competitive base salaries to our executives because these salaries act as primary retention and recruitment tools, and provide the basis for determining other components of compensation such as bonus opportunities, severance and other benefits and perquisites whose values are derived from base salary levels. The Compensation Committee's intent is to position base salaries near the 50th percentile of similar positions within the peer group, subject to adjustments to reflect individual performance and any additional roles and responsibilities not reflected in the competitive data, as well as recommendations made by the CEO regarding the other NEOs.

In setting the framework for potential increases to the NEOs' base salaries in 2010, the Compensation Committee considered several general factors, including: the continued sluggishness in

the economy, Mr. Dirks' decision to freeze the base salaries of non-executive officer employees at the level of Vice President and above in 2009, and management's decision to implement a 2% budget for non-officer salary increases in 2010. The Compensation Committee then reviewed Towers Watson's analysis of the peer group market data, individual performance, changes in individual responsibilities, and the CEO's recommendations regarding the other NEOs' base salaries, and the Compensation Committee approved modest increases to our NEOs' base salaries, effective March 29, 2010.

The table below shows the base salaries for each of our NEOs, compared to their 2009 final base salaries:

Name	2009 Annual Base Salary	2010 Annual Base Salary	Change in Annual Base Salary
Douglas D. Dirks	\$ 675,000	\$ 695,000	\$ 20,000
Martin J. Welch	\$ 420,000	\$ 430,000	\$ 10,000
William E. Yocke	\$ 365,000	\$ 375,000	\$ 10,000
Lenard T. Ormsby	\$ 355,000	\$ 365,000	\$ 10,000
John P. Nelson	\$ 250,000	\$ 275,000	\$ 25,000

Annual Bonus

Each of our NEOs is eligible for annual cash bonus payments for the achievement of the pre-established annual financial goals. The Compensation Committee believes the annual bonus is a key component of our executive compensation program as it enables us to align certain compensation opportunities with our short-term financial goals and provide competitive compensation opportunities for our NEOs. This year, the Compensation Committee, after consulting with Towers Watson, relied solely on corporate financial goals and eliminated individual performance goals for our NEOs. The Compensation Committee made this determination to create complete alignment between the annual bonus and the key financial goals that drive stockholder value. Additionally, the Compensation Committee believed that, given that the Company has undergone significant changes over the last several years, individual performance goals set early in the year may not be as clear an indicator of NEO performance as corporate financial performance.

In setting each of the bonus targets, the Compensation Committee took into account the peer group information and recommendations made by Towers Watson. Annual cash bonus targets were calculated as a percentage of each NEO's actual base salary for 2010. As reflected in the table below, no adjustments were made to the bonus targets for any of our NEOs during 2010 as compared to the targets in 2009:

Name	2009 and 2010 Annual Cash Bonus Target as a Percentage of Base Salary
Douglas D. Dirks	70 %
Martin J. Welch	70 %
William E. Yocke	55 %
Lenard T. Ormsby	45 %
John P. Nelson	45 %

For 2010, after consultation with Towers Watson and the CEO, the Compensation Committee adopted, as the corporate performance metric, a modified GAAP combined ratio definition that excluded all aspects of the Company's Loss Portfolio Transfer. (The Loss Portfolio Transfer is explained on page 12 of the Company's Annual Report filed on February 25, 2011). For this purpose, combined ratio is defined as:

$$\frac{\text{Losses} + \text{Loss Adjustment Expense} + \text{Commission Expenses} + \text{Underwriting and Other Operating Expenses} + \text{Dividends Paid to Policyholders}}{\text{Net Premiums Earned}}$$

Net Premiums Earned

The Compensation Committee continues to believe that a performance goal based on combined ratio is an effective measure of management performance for an insurance holding company because it utilizes a measure of the insurance operating companies' profitability, balances revenue and

underwriting losses, thereby guarding against the potential for increasing revenue by undertaking unnecessary risk, and provides a meaningful incentive for management to pursue increasing levels of operating profitability.

As described above, for 2010, actual annual bonus incentives for our NEOs were based solely on corporate performance. In addition, the Compensation Committee determined that it was appropriate to increase the maximum payout percentage from 150% of the target to 200% to bring the annual bonus structure more in line with similar programs of peer companies. Additionally, given the volatility of the market, the Compensation Committee increased the range of combined ratios for which bonuses would be paid, because the Compensation Committee did not want to create a range that was so narrow that it might result in an all or nothing payout situation.

The threshold, target and maximum levels for both combined ratio and corresponding payouts as a percentage of target established for 2010 were as follows:

	2010 Combined Ratio	Payout as % Target
Maximum	≤ 94	200 %
Target	100	100 %
Threshold	>106	0 %

For 2010, the Company achieved a combined ratio of 112.7%, resulting in no bonus payout for the NEOs.

Long-Term Incentive Grants

We believe that a properly designed long-term incentive program, along with competitive compensation opportunities, encourages our NEOs to pursue and execute long-term strategies for increasing stockholder value. It also serves as an important retention and recruiting tool in securing a highly-qualified senior management team.

In March 2010, the Compensation Committee approved long-term incentive grants under the Equity Plan for each NEO consisting of an equal mix of non-qualified stock options and RSUs, each unit having the value of one share of our common stock. The Compensation Committee granted time vested restricted stock units to ensure that a significant portion of our NEOs' compensation (specifically, annual bonuses, RSUs and stock options) was performance based. The overall grant levels for each individual were set so that, when combined with cash compensation, the levels resulted in total compensation opportunities generally between the 50th and 75th percentiles of the peer group. The Compensation Committee reviewed these resulting total compensation levels for each NEO and concluded that the differences in total compensation reflected the relative responsibilities of each, and each of their abilities to impact our long-term performance results.

Stock Options

Our NEOs received grants of non-qualified stock options in March 2010. The Compensation Committee believes stock options, including the selection of a four-year vesting period, will provide the proper incentive to pursue strategies that will generate long-term growth in stockholder value. The option grants that were made in 2010 to our NEOs are set out and described in the Summary Compensation Table on page 26 and the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table on page 28.

Restricted Stock Units

Our NEOs received grants of time vested RSUs in March 2010. The Compensation Committee believes that the restricted stock unit grants, including the selection of a four-year vesting period, will positively impact retention and

will effectively motivate management to focus on executing the existing long-term strategic plan designed to increase overall stockholder value. The RSU grants that were made in 2010 to our NEOs are set out and described in the Summary Compensation Table on page 26 and the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table on page 28.

The Compensation Committee is in the process of determining the structure of the long-term incentive grants that will be made to our NEOs for periods commencing in 2011 and expects that the program for the NEOs in 2011 will be similar to the 2010 structure, and will include stock options and RSUs.

Performance Share Units

In March 2010, the Compensation Committee determined the value of the awards of performance shares (each performance share having the value of one share of our common stock) that had been granted for the 2007-2009 performance period. The performance goals and threshold, target and maximum achievement levels, which were established in 2007 by the members of the Compensation Committee at that time, were described in the proxy statement for the Company's 2008 Annual Meeting of stockholders. Specifically, the performance goals applicable to the performance shares were based on (1) the Company's three-year combined ratio and (2) the Company's adjusted return on average equity, each weighted 50%, where the return on average equity was determined on a Generally Accepted Accounting Principles basis, adjusted for deferred gain on reinsurance. For the 2007-2009 performance period, the Compensation Committee certified that the Company had achieved the maximum level for both goals, which resulted in payment of the awards at 150% of the number of performance shares awarded at target level. The resulting numbers of shares awarded are set forth below and are also provided in the Option Exercise and Stock Vested for 2010 table on page 29.

Name	Number of Shares Awarded for the 2007-2009 Performance Period
Douglas D. Dirks	37,500
Martin J. Welch	18,750
William E. Yocke	12,499
Lenard T. Ormsby	12,499
John P. Nelson	7,500

Benefits and Perquisites

Our NEOs are eligible to participate in all of the benefit programs generally offered to employees. In addition, NEOs receive automobile allowances, supplemental life insurance benefits, airline travel club memberships, and country club memberships.

The Compensation Committee has determined that the NEOs' modest perquisites are appropriate. The supplemental life insurance benefits provided to the NEOs are consistent with those provided to similarly situated executives of the companies in our peer group. Although the airline travel club memberships are occasionally used for meetings, they are generally used for the executive's convenience. The country club memberships provide our NEOs with access to quality establishments for business entertainment and allow them to interface with our community.

Employment Agreements; Other Compensation

Each of our NEOs is a party to an employment agreement which was effective January 1, 2009. These agreements were designed to protect the Company through restrictive covenants, to serve as recruiting and retention tools and to provide for severance both generally, and in connection with a change in control. The agreements' severance and change in control payments are determined based on a fixed formula. In the event of a change in control, the

executives would be eligible to receive a change in control tax gross-up unless the change in control-related payments exceed the statutory threshold by a small amount, in which case, the change in control-related payments and benefits would instead be capped at the statutory threshold and no gross-up would be paid. At the time the agreements were negotiated, the Compensation Committee concluded that the provisions of these agreements were reasonable and consistent with market practice. The Compensation Committee also concluded that the non-competition restrictions in the agreements with Messrs. Dirks and Welch were material to the Company. Therefore, these agreements contain certain provisions providing for payments to Messrs.

Dirks and Welch throughout the non-competition periods, even in the event that their employment were terminated after the terms of their employment agreements have expired.

As discussed above, Mr. Welch's employment terminated effective as of February 1, 2011. As a consequence, he became entitled to certain payments and benefits, and continues to be subject to non-competition and other restrictions, under the terms of his employment agreement.

Risk Assessment

Management prepared a risk assessment to determine whether our compensation plans promote excessive or undue risk-taking generally, and specifically as applied to our NEOs, and concluded that, in each case, the potential for such risk is low. Pay Governance then reviewed management's analysis and provided the Compensation Committee with the same conclusion. Finally, the Compensation Committee considered both management's analysis and Pay Governance's review, agreed with their conclusions, similarly concluded that these compensation plans are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company, and reported its results to the full Board of Directors.

In making this determination, the Compensation Committee considered various aspects of our compensation program, including the mix of fixed and performance-based compensation. We provide competitive base salaries to ensure that our basic compensation is competitive. Our performance-based compensation awards are designed to reward both short- and long-term performance and provide a mix of cash and equity based awards. Annual cash awards are capped at competitive levels and equity awards are earned only after satisfying vesting schedules. By linking a portion of total compensation to the Company's long-term performance, we mitigate the short-term risk that could be detrimental to the Company's long-term interests and to the creation of stockholder value. Equity-based performance awards are subject to multi-year vesting periods and derive their value from the Company's total performance, which we believe further encourages decision-making that is in the long-term interests of the Company and its stockholders. Our executive stock ownership guidelines (described below), for those employees who we believe can have the greatest influence on the financial performance of the Company, are designed to strengthen the alignment between the interests of our senior officers and the Company's stockholders. We believe that these guidelines discourage any risk-taking that could be detrimental to the long-term interests of the Company, its performance, and our stock price. Finally, the Compensation Committee believes that any risks associated with our compensation plans and policies are further mitigated by our grant policies and clawback policies, which are described below.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

The Compensation Committee adopted guidelines that require executives, including all of the NEOs, to attain specific levels of share ownership. These guidelines reinforce the importance of aligning the interests of our NEOs with the interests of our stockholders. Executives eligible for regular annual equity grants must retain 50% of each of net gain shares acquired upon exercise of stock options (*i.e.*, shares acquired after the payment of the option exercise price and all employment and income taxes) and payment of RSUs and earned performance shares, until the multiple is achieved. Once the multiple is achieved, executives must continue to retain 25% of all net gain shares for the duration of their employment. These guidelines insure that officers are adding to their holdings in the Company's shares to the extent that the equity incentives granted under the Company's executive compensation program deliver realized value to these officers.

Position	Multiple of Base Salary
CEO	4x
COO/Executive Vice President	3x

Senior Vice President	2x
-----------------------	----

Vice President	1x
----------------	----

Given our short time as a publicly-traded company, as of December 31, 2010, our NEOs are still working to attain the applicable levels of share ownership set forth in the stock ownership guidelines. However, several NEOs purchased Company stock in 2010, in addition to receiving the equity grants provided by the Company.

Stock Option Approval and Grant Procedures

Stock Grant Policy

The Board has adopted an equity grant policy that specifies the Company's practices and procedures for granting equity awards, including stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, RSUs, performance shares and any other stock based award. This policy contains procedures to prevent stock option backdating or other timing improprieties. The equity grant policy governing the 2010 annual grants to the NEOs requires that all equity grants other than new hire grants, certain grants to non-officers and grants of performance share awards will be made at a regularly scheduled Compensation Committee meeting occurring between February 15 and March 30, unless exigent circumstances exist, as determined by the Compensation Committee.

Performance share awards (and similar performance-based awards other than stock options) that are intended to satisfy the requirements for performance-based compensation under section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code typically will be made within the first 90 days of the calendar year.

Generally, our Chief Administrative Officer will prepare a list of equity grants for our CEO's consideration and, prior to a scheduled Compensation Committee meeting (or approval date for awards), our CEO will submit to the Compensation Committee, for its consideration, a list of recommended equity grants, including the names of the grantees (which will not include our CEO) and the terms of the awards. To the extent that any grantees are officers subject to reporting obligations pursuant to Section 16 of the Exchange Act, the list of proposed grants will be provided to our Chief Legal Officer at the same time. The Compensation Committee may delegate to our CEO the authority to grant equity awards but solely with respect to equity awards to non-officers, and only if such equity awards are within the guidelines established by the Compensation Committee for this purpose.

Policy Regarding Recapture or Clawback of Incentive Compensation

Under the Equity Plan, if a grantee engages in certain conduct considered harmful to the Company during employment or following termination of employment, then the grantee may be required to forfeit, without consideration (1) all then outstanding awards under the plan, (2) any shares of Company stock owned by the grantee that were previously subject to an award under the plan, and (3) any cash amounts previously paid to a grantee in respect of a plan award. In addition, if the grantee sold shares of Company stock during the 12-month period preceding the time the grantee engaged in the harmful conduct, then the grantee may be required to repay to the Company the aggregate value of these shares on the date of the sale minus the amounts, if any, paid for these shares.

In addition, if the Company is required to restate its financial statements, the Company may require our NEOs to repay to the Company the aggregate value of any performance shares that became payable upon the achievement of the performance goals, to the extent these performance goals would not have been achieved had this restatement not been required.

Tax Considerations

Under section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, the Company may not be able to deduct certain forms of compensation in excess of \$1,000,000 paid to our CEO or any of our three other highest paid executive officers (other than our CFO) who are employed by the Company at year-end. The Compensation Committee believes that it is generally in the Company's best interests to satisfy the requirements for deductibility under section 162(m). Accordingly, the Compensation Committee has taken, and intends to take, appropriate actions, to the extent it believes feasible, to preserve the deductibility of annual incentive and long-term performance awards. However, notwithstanding this general policy, the Compensation Committee also believes there may be circumstances in which the Company's interests are best served by maintaining flexibility in the way compensation is provided, even if the compensation is not fully deductible under section 162(m). In this regard, approximately \$18,000 of compensation

paid in 2010 to the CEO was not deductible by reason of section 162(m) and some portion of the restricted stock units currently granted may not be deductible in the future.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

On March 16, 2011, the current and former members of the Compensation Committee who are listed below, and each of whom is an Independent Director, reviewed and discussed the above Compensation Discussion and Analysis with the Company's management. Based on the review and discussions, they recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement.

/s/ Compensation Committee

Michael D. Rumbolz, *Chair* (member for fiscal year 2010 and Chair commencing May 27, 2010)

Katherine W. Ong

John P. Sande, III (member commencing May 27, 2010)

Richard W. Blakey (former member and Chair until May 27, 2010)

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth information regarding compensation earned by our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer and our three other most highly compensated executive officers during 2010, 2009 and 2008:

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary ⁽¹⁾ (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards ⁽²⁾ (\$)	Option Awards ⁽³⁾ (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Non-Equity Non-Qualified Incentive Plan Compensation ⁽⁴⁾		All Other Compensation ⁽⁵⁾ (\$)
						Non-Equity Non-Qualified Incentive Plan Compensation ⁽⁴⁾ (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Non-Equity Non-Qualified Incentive Plan Compensation ⁽⁴⁾ (\$)	
Douglas D. Dirks President and Chief Executive Officer, EHI	2010	774,579		451,645	513,300			56,478
	2009	702,810		396,640	456,705	229,857		57,188
	2008	595,232		576,300	570,950	745,096		51,532
William E. Yocke Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, EHI	2010	434,204		137,790	156,600			44,114
	2009	384,638		124,320	139,995	97,981		52,012
	2008	365,021		192,100	180,300	277,052		41,505
Martin J. Welch President and Chief	2010	434,055		229,650	261,000			44,918
	2009	439,622		195,360	238,680	143,495		53,579

Edgar Filing: Employers Holdings, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

Operating Officer, EICN, ECIC, EPIC and EAC ⁽⁶⁾	2008	416,289		288,150	300,500	404,815	41,731
Lenard T. Ormsby	2010	368,049		120,949	137,460		45,261
Executive Vice President and Chief	2009	374,093		100,640	117,045	77,970	43,290
Legal Officer, EHI	2008	356,630		144,075	150,250	221,470	48,400
John P. Nelson	2010	270,594		120,949	137,460		43,034
Executive Vice President and Chief	2009	260,924		100,640	117,045	54,909	49,159
Administrative Officer, EHI	2008	242,539	2,172	144,075	150,250	152,048	32,494

(1) Salary includes base salary and payments in respect of vacation, holiday and sick days and income recognized with respect to excess life insurance provided by the Company. Specifically, the salary amount includes \$80,192 for Mr. Dirks and \$53,052 for Mr. Yocke for accrued

vacation paid out in 2010 pursuant to a Vacation Cash Out program provided to all employees.

- (2) The amounts in the Stock Awards column for 2010 consist of RSUs granted in 2010 under the Equity Plan. The amounts shown do not reflect compensation actually received by the Named Executive Officer. Rather, the amounts shown for 2010 represent the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, excluding any assumption for future forfeitures. There were no actual forfeitures of stock awards by any of our Named Executive Officers in 2010 and all

o t h e r
assumptions
u s e d t o
calculate the
e x p e n s e
a m o u n t s
s h o w n f o r
2010 are set
forth in Note
15 to the 2010
Consolidated
F i n a n c i a l
Statements.
The RSUs are
units each of
which is equal
to the value of
one share of
our common
stock, and vest
as to 25% of
the units on
each of the
first four
anniversaries
of the date of
the grant. For
m o r e
information
r e g a r d i n g
these awards,
see the Grants
of Plan-Based
Awards table
on page 28.

- (3) The amounts
in the Options
A w a r d s
column relate
t o s t o c k
o p t i o n s
g r a n t e d i n
2010 under the
Equity Plan.
The amounts
shown do not
r e f l e c t
compensation
a c t u a l l y
received by the

N a m e d
E x e c u t i v e
O f f i c e r .
Rather, the
a m o u n t s
s h o w n f o r
2010 represent
the aggregate
grant date fair
v a l u e
computed in
accordance
with FASB
ASC Topic
718, excluding
a n y
assumption for
f u t u r e
forfeitures.
There were no
a c t u a l
forfeitures of
stock options
by any of our
N a m e d
E x e c u t i v e
O f f i c e r s i n
2010 and we
have used the
Black-Scholes
option pricing
method for
calculating the
e x p e n s e
a m o u n t s
s h o w n .
Specifically,
t h e
assumptions
u s e d t o
calculate the
e x p e n s e
a m o u n t s
s h o w n f o r
stock options
for 2010 are
set forth in
Note 15 to the
2010

Consolidated
Financial
Statements.

For more
information
regarding
these awards,
see the
Grants of
Plan-Based
Awards table
on page 26.

(4) For the year 2010, no cash bonuses were earned under the Equity Incentive Plan by any of the NEOs because, based on the Company's performance in 2010, the target level necessary for bonus payments was not achieved.

(5) Includes the following payments that we made to or on behalf of our Named Executive Officers:

Name	Year	Car Allowance (\$)	Club Membership (\$)	401(k) Matching Contributions (\$)	Excess Accrued Vacation ^(a) (\$)	Life Insurance Premiums (\$)	Personal Benefits ^(b) (\$)	Total (\$)
Douglas D. Dirks	2010	15,600	10,976	9,800	13,365	5,148	1,589	56,478
William E.	2010	14,400	6,224	9,800	7,212	3,634	2,844	44,114

Yocke

Martin J. Welch	2010	14,400	6,735	9,800	8,269	4,170	1,544	44,918
--------------------	------	--------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	--------

Lenard

T. Ormsby	2010	14,400	8,462	9,800	7,019	3,536	2,044	45,261
--------------	------	--------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	--------

John P.

Nelson	2010	14,400	10,806	9,795	5,288	2,625	120	43,034
--------	------	--------	--------	-------	-------	-------	-----	--------

(a) For each Named Executive Officer, excess accrued vacation represents the dollar value of vacation accrued during 2010, in excess of the vacation accrual permitted for the Company's salaried employees generally. The dollar values were determined by reference to the Named Executive Officers' base salaries in effect on December 31, 2010.

(b) Personal benefits include the aggregate incremental costs

associated with Named Executive Officers and their guests (i.e., spouse, family member or similar guest) attendance at board meetings and/or board activities. Also included are the aggregate incremental costs associated with the Named Executive Officers professional memberships.

- (6) As discussed above, Mr. Welch's employment terminated effective February 1, 2011. The payments and benefits provided to him in connection with his departure are described in the section titled Potential Payments and Termination upon a Change in

Control on
page 30.

GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS

Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards

2010 Incentive Bonus Program. As discussed above, the 2010 annual cash bonus program provides for a cash bonus, dependent upon the level of achievement of the stated corporate goals, calculated as a percentage of the Named Executive Officers' base salary earned during the applicable year, with higher ranked executive officers being eligible for a higher percentage of base salary. For 2010, the target bonus award percentages were as follows: Mr. Dirks, 70%; Mr. Welch, 70%; Mr. Yocke, 55%; and Messrs. Ormsby and Nelson, 45%. Amounts earned under the 2010 bonus program by our Named Executive Officers are reflected in the Summary Compensation Table above in the

Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column. The cash bonus opportunities for 2010 for our Named Executive Officers at threshold, target and maximum performance levels are set forth below under the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards columns.

Stock Options and Restricted Stock Units

As discussed above, the Company granted stock options and RSUs to our Named Executive Officers in 2010 under the Equity Plan.

Each option was granted with an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant (which is the closing price of the shares on the date of grant), has a term of seven years, and vests as to 25% of the shares underlying each grant on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of grant. The options are subject to accelerated vesting in certain limited circumstances, such as the death or disability of the executive, or in connection with a change in control of the Company. The stock options awarded for 2010 are set forth under the Option Awards columns below.

The RSUs are units each of which is equal to the value of one share of our common stock, and vest as to 25% of the units on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of the grant. The RSUs are subject to accelerated vesting in certain limited circumstances, such as death or disability of the executive, or in connection with a change in control of the Company. RSUs awarded for 2010 are set forth below under the All Other Stock Awards column.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2010

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽¹⁾			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares or Stock Units ⁽²⁾ (#)	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options ⁽³⁾ (#)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards ⁽⁴⁾ (\$)
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)				
Douglas D. Dirks	n/a		483,269	966,538				
	3/30/10					88,500	15.31	513,300
	3/30/10				29,500			451,645
William E. Yocke	n/a		204,981	409,962				
	3/30/10					27,000	15.31	156,600
	3/30/10				9,000			137,790
Martin J. Welch	n/a		299,385	598,769				
	3/30/10					45,000	15.31	261,000
	3/30/10				15,000			229,650
Lenard T. Ormsby	n/a		163,212	326,423				
	3/30/10					23,700	15.31	137,460
	3/30/10				7,900			120,949
John P. Nelson	n/a		121,154	242,308				
	3/30/10					23,700	15.31	137,460
	3/30/10				7,900			120,949

(1) The Estimated Future Payouts under the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards columns reflect 100%

of the award at target level of achievement, and 200% of the award at maximum level of achievement, based on a percentage of the base salary earned by each Named Executive Officer in 2010.

- (2) Amounts shown are the number of RSUs granted to the Named Executive Officers in March 2010. The RSUs will vest as to 25% of the units on each of four anniversaries of the date of grant.
- (3) Amounts shown are the number of shares underlying the options granted to the Named Executive Officers in March 2010. The options will vest as to 25% of the shares

underlying the grant on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of grant.

- (4) Amounts shown represent the aggregate fair value of the RSUs and stock options as of the date of grant calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, excluding any assumption for future forfeitures. Assumptions used to calculate the grant date fair value amounts are set forth in Note 15 to the 2010 Consolidated Financial Statements. However, the fair value shown above may not be indicative of the value realized due to the variability in the share price of common stock. The

exercise price
of the stock
o p t i o n s
equals the
closing price
of the shares
as of the date
o f g r a n t ,
pursuant to
the terms of
the Equity
Plan.

The Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards table should be read in conjunction with both the preceding Compensation Discussion and Analysis, which provides detailed information regarding our compensation philosophy and objectives, and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control, below, which provides a description of the material terms of the employment arrangements with our Named Executive Officers.

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2010 Fiscal Year-End

The following table sets forth certain information concerning outstanding equity awards for each of our Named Executive Officers as of December 31, 2010:

Name	Grant Date	Option Awards				Option Expiration Date	Stock Awards		
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Options Exercised Price (\$)		Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested ⁽²⁾ (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)
Douglas D. Dirks	3/30/10		88,500		15.31	03/30/2017	29,500	515,660	
	5/28/09	24,875	74,625		11.84	05/28/2016	25,125	439,185	
	5/29/08	47,500	47,500		19.21	05/29/2015	15,000	262,200	
	8/08/07	56,250	18,750		18.79	08/08/2014			
William E. Yocke	3/30/10		27,000		15.31	03/30/2017	9,000	157,320	
	5/28/09	7,625	22,875		11.84	05/28/2016	7,875	137,655	
	5/29/08	15,000	15,000		19.21	05/29/2015	5,000	87,400	
	8/08/07	18,750	6,250		18.79	08/08/2014			
Martin J. Welch	3/30/10		45,000		15.31	03/30/2017	15,000	262,200	
	5/28/09	13,000	39,000		11.84	05/28/2016	12,375	216,315	
	5/29/08	25,000	25,000		19.21	05/29/2015	7,500	131,100	
	8/08/07	28,125	9,375		18.79	08/08/2014			
Lenard T. Ormsby	3/30/10		23,700		15.31	03/30/2017	7,900	138,092	
	5/28/09	6,375	19,125		11.84	05/28/2016	6,375	111,435	

Edgar Filing: Employers Holdings, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

	5/29/08	12,500	12,500	19.21	05/29/2015	3,750	65,550
	8/08/07	18,750	6,250	18.79	08/08/2014		
John P. Nelson	3/30/10		23,700	15.31	03/30/2017	7,900	138,092
	5/28/09	6,375	19,125	11.84	05/28/2016	6,375	111,435
	5/29/08	12,500	12,500	19.21	05/29/2015	3,750	65,550
	8/08/07	11,250	3,750	18.79	08/08/2014		

- (1) For the years 2010, 2009 and 2008, reflects stock options granted in March 2010, May 2009 and May 2008, respectively, under the Equity Plan. The options will vest as to 25% of the shares underlying the grant on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of grant.

For the year 2007, reflects options granted in August 2007 under the Equity Plan. Each option will vest as to 25% of the shares underlying each grant six

m o n t h s
following the
date of grant
and as to an
additional
25% on each
of the first
t h r e e
anniversaries
o f t h e
s i x - m o n t h
anniversary
of the date of
grant.

- (2) For the years
2010, 2009
and 2008,
reflects RSUs
granted in
March 2010,
May 2009
and May
2008,
respectively,
under the
Equity Plan.
The RSUs
will vest as to
25% of the
units on each
of the first
f o u r
anniversaries
of the date of
grant.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested for 2010

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting ⁽¹⁾ (#)	Value Realized on Vesting ⁽²⁾ (\$)
Douglas D. Dirks			53,375	807,831
William E. Yocke			17,624	266,604

Martin J. Welch	26,625	402,919
Lenard T. Ormsby	16,499	248,660
John P. Nelson	11,500	174,725

- (1) The number of shares acquired on vesting column reflects (a) the vesting of 25% of the RSUs granted on May 29, 2008 and May 28, 2009, under the Equity Plan and (b) the number of shares acquired upon the settlement of the vested performance shares granted on August 8, 2007, under the Equity Plan following the end of the three-year performance period that commenced on January 1, 2007, based on the achievement of the performance goals, as determined by the Compensation

Committee in March 2010 at the level of 150%. Of these shares, 15,875, 5,125, 7,875, 4,000, and 4,000 shares for Messrs. Dirks, Yocke, Welch, Ormsby and Nelson, respectively, represent the numbers of shares acquired upon vesting of the RSUs and the remaining 37,500, 12,499, 18,750, 12,499, and 7,500 shares for Messrs. Dirks, Yocke, Welch, Ormsby and Nelson, respectively, represent the numbers of shares acquired upon the settlement of the vested performance shares.

- (2) The value realized reflects the number of

s h a r e s
underlying
the RSU
grants that
vested on
M a y 2 8 ,
2 0 1 0 a n d
M a y 2 9 ,
2 0 1 0 ,
multiplied by
the per share
fair market
value of the
shares as of
M a y 2 8 ,
2 0 1 0 , which
was \$15.95,
a n d t h e
number of
s h a r e s
a c q u i r e d
with respect
to the vested
performance
s h a r e s
multiplied by
the per share
fair market
value of the
shares as of
M a r c h 1 2 ,
2 0 1 0 , t h e
d a t e t h a t
these shares
were settled,
which was
\$14.79.

Pension Benefits

None of our Named Executive Officers participates in or has an account balance in any qualified or nonqualified defined benefit plans maintained by the Company.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

None of our Named Executive Officers participates in or has an account balance in any nonqualified defined contribution plans or other nonqualified deferred compensation plans maintained by the Company.

Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control

The following summaries and the chart that follows set forth estimated potential amounts payable to our Named Executive Officers upon termination of employment or a change in control under the employment agreements that

were in effect as of December 31, 2010, and the Company's other compensation plans, programs, policies and agreements. The Compensation Committee may in its discretion revise, amend or add to the benefits if it deems it advisable.

Douglas D. Dirks

Employment Agreement

If, during the term of Mr. Dirks' employment agreement, his employment is terminated other than (1) by reason of death or disability or (2) by the Company for cause, in each case, other than either during the 24-month period following a change in control of the Company or during the six-month period prior to, but in connection with, a change in control, then he would be entitled to:

severance
payment
equal to two
times his
base salary
payable in
bi-weekly
installments
for 24
months; and

continued
health
insurance
coverage for
18 months
following
the
termination
date with the
Company
paying the
employer
portion of
the
premium.

If, during the term of his employment agreement, Mr. Dirks terminates his employment for good reason or his employment is terminated for any reason other than death, disability or by the Company for cause, in each case, either within 24 months following a change in control or within six months prior to, but in connection with, a change in control, then he would be entitled to receive:

a lump sum
cash
payment
equal to
three times

the sum of
his base
salary and
the average
of the
annual
bonus
amounts he
earned for
the three
years
preceding
the year in
which the
change in
control
occurs; and

continued
health
insurance
coverage
for 18
months
following
the
termination
date with
the
Company
paying the
employer
portion of
the
premium.

In addition, if Mr. Dirks would be subject to a golden parachute excise tax imposed under section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code, then he would be entitled to payment in an amount that is necessary to place Mr. Dirks in the same after-tax financial position that he would have been in if he had not been subject to this excise tax (the Gross-Up Payment). However, if payments and benefits to which Mr. Dirks would be entitled by reason of the change in control do not exceed 110% of the largest amount that would result in no portion of these benefits and compensation being subject to the

excise tax, then Mr. Dirks would not be entitled to a Gross-Up Payment, and instead his payments and benefits would be reduced so that he is not subject to the excise tax.

In exchange for the severance compensation and other benefits, if Mr. Dirks or the Company terminates Mr. Dirks employment for any reason other than his death or disability (whether or not during the term of his employment), Mr. Dirks would be subject to certain non-competition and non-solicitation restrictions for 24 months after the termination date, in addition to other restrictive covenants. Additionally, Mr. Dirks would be required to sign a global release of liability. Finally, if Mr. Dirks employment is terminated (1) during the term of his agreement by him for other than good reason, death or disability, or (2) following the expiration of the term (by either him or the Company) for any reason other than (a) by the Company for cause or (b) by reason of his death or disability, then Mr. Dirks would be entitled to non-competition payments equal to two times his base salary payable in bi-weekly installments for 24 months following his termination of employment. If the non-competition provisions in his employment agreement are nonenforceable, however, then Mr. Dirks would not be entitled to any unpaid non-competition payments.

Termination for Death or Disability. In accordance with the Company's policies generally applicable to all employees, if Mr. Dirks employment is terminated as a result of his disability, Mr. Dirks would be entitled to a benefit equal to \$15,000 per month until Mr. Dirks reached age 65.

Terms of Equity Awards

Terminations Not Related to a Change in Control:

Termination of Employment by the Company for other than Cause. Under the terms of his equity award agreements, if Mr. Dirks employment is terminated other than for cause, death or disability, and not in connection with a change in control, then (1) a portion of his unvested options would become vested, the remaining unvested options would be forfeited and all of his then vested options would remain exercisable for one year following such termination (or one year following his death if he dies within the one-year period following such termination), and (2) all outstanding unvested RSUs would be forfeited.

Termination by Reason of Death or Disability. If Mr. Dirks employment is terminated by reason of his death or disability, his options would vest in full as of the date of his termination of employment and would remain exercisable for one year thereafter; provided, however, that if his employment terminates by reason of his disability and he dies during such one-year period, then, depending on the grant, his options would remain exercisable for up to one year following his death. In addition, his RSUs would become fully vested.

Termination by the Company for Cause or by Mr. Dirks Voluntarily. If Mr. Dirks employment is terminated by the Company for cause or he terminates his employment for any reason other than as described above (and not in connection with a change in control) then he would forfeit any outstanding unvested awards. If his termination is by the Company for cause, then his vested options would immediately terminate. However, if Mr. Dirks voluntarily terminates his employment, his options would remain exercisable for, depending on the grant, either 90 days or one year following his termination of employment (or one year following his death if he dies during the post-termination exercise period). In addition, Mr. Dirks RSUs would terminate upon his termination of employment.

Change in Control Provisions. If Equity Awards are Not Assumed. If Mr. Dirks equity awards are not assumed or substituted in connection with a change in control, then upon the occurrence of the change in control, (1) Mr. Dirks options would become fully vested and exercisable and would terminate immediately following the change in control, and (2) his RSUs would become fully vested.

If Equity Awards are Assumed. If Mr. Dirks equity awards are assumed or substituted for in connection with a change in control but Mr. Dirks employment is terminated without cause during the 24-month period following such change in control, then his RSUs would become fully vested and his options would become fully vested and exercisable.

Terms of Annual Bonus Award

The treatment of Mr. Dirks' annual bonus award upon his termination of employment or the occurrence of a change in control is described under *2010 Incentive Bonus Program for all Named Executive Officers*, below.

Messrs. Yocke, Welch, Ormsby, and Nelson

Employment Agreements

Under their employment agreements, upon termination of employment other than for cause or by the executive for good reason, and not related to a change in control, Mr. Welch would receive base salary continuation for 12 months (and potentially additional severance or noncompetition payments, which are described below) and Messrs. Yocke, Ormsby, and Nelson would receive base salary continuation for 18 months. If their termination of employment other than for cause or by the executives for good reason is within 18 months following a change in control, or within six months prior to, but related to a change in control, the executives would instead receive (1) a lump sum cash payment equal to two times the sum of (a) the executives' base salary and (b) the average of the annual bonus amounts earned by the executive for the three years preceding the year in which the change in control occurs, and (2) continued health insurance coverage for 18 months following their date of termination. In addition, the executives would be entitled to either a Gross-Up Payment or reduction in payments and benefits under the same terms that would apply to Mr. Dirks, as described above.

In addition, under Mr. Welch's employment agreement, in exchange for the severance compensation and other benefits, if Mr. Welch or EICN terminates Mr. Welch's employment for any reason other than his death or disability (whether or not during the term of his agreement), Mr. Welch would be subject to non-competition restrictions for 12 months and non-solicitation restrictions for 18 months after his termination of employment in addition to other restrictive covenants. Additionally, Mr. Welch would be required to sign a global release of liability. Moreover, if Mr. Welch's employment is terminated (1) during the term of his agreement by him for other than good reason, death or disability or (2) following the expiration of the term (by either him or EICN) for any reason other than (a) by EICN for cause or (b) by reason of his death or disability, then Mr. Welch would be entitled to non-competition payments equal to continuation of his base salary for 12 months following his termination of employment. Finally, if following the one-year anniversary of the date of his termination of employment, (x) Mr. Welch continues to satisfy the restrictions in the non-competition covenant in his employment agreement and (y) Mr. Welch's employment had been terminated whether or not during the term for any reason other than by EICN for cause, by reason of his death or disability or by him for good reason, then: (A) if his termination had occurred during the term, he would continue to receive the severance payments and health insurance medical benefits described above generally starting from the one-year anniversary of his termination of employment, and (B) if such termination occurred after the term, Mr. Welch would continue to receive the non-competition payments and benefits described above generally starting from the one-year anniversary of his termination of employment, in either case, for the shorter of an additional six months or until Mr. Welch engages in activities that no longer satisfy the restrictions in the non-competition covenant. If the non-competition provisions in his employment agreement are nonenforceable, however, then Mr. Welch would not be entitled to any unpaid non-competition payments or benefits.

Under the employment agreements with Messrs. Yocke, Ormsby and Nelson, in exchange for the severance compensation and the other benefits, if the executive or Company terminates the executive's employment for any reason other than the executive's death or disability during the term of his employment agreement, then the executive would be subject to certain non-competition and non-solicitation restrictions for 18 months after the termination date in addition to other restrictive covenants. Additionally, the executive would be required to sign a global release of liability.

Effective February 1, 2011, Mr. Welch became entitled to the following payments and benefits pursuant to the terms of his employment agreement by reason of his termination of employment without cause: (1) continuation for 12

months following his termination of employment of (a) his base salary (at the annual rate of \$430,000), payable in bi-weekly installments, and (b) medical, dental and

vision insurance in effect on the date of his termination, with the Company paying the employee portion so that the total bi-weekly cost to the Company of this coverage would be \$711; and (2) if Mr. Welch refrains from competing following the 12-month post-termination period, he will continue to receive salary continuation and subsidized health coverage for six additional months, or, if shorter, until he competes.

Termination for Death or Disability. In accordance with the Company's policies generally applicable to all employees, if the employment of Messrs. Welch, Yocke, Ormsby, or Nelson is terminated as a result of the executive's disability, the executive would be entitled to a benefit equal to \$15,000 per month until he reached age 65.

Equity Awards

The description of the terms of the equity awards for Mr. Dirks set forth above apply as well to the terms of the equity awards for Messrs. Yocke, Welch, Ormsby, and Nelson.

Effective February 1, 2011, 8,482 shares subject to Mr. Welch's 2007 option award became vested and exercisable by reason of his termination of employment without cause, and all of his remaining unvested options and all of his unvested RSUs were forfeited. No shares subject to subsequent option awards became vested or exercisable by reason of his termination. All of the vested shares subject to his outstanding option awards will remain exercisable for a period of one year from the date of his termination.

2010 Incentive Bonus Program for all Named Executive Officers

The following termination and change in control provisions of our 2010 Incentive Bonus Program apply to the 2010 annual bonuses granted to each of our Named Executive Officers:

Death or Disability. If the executive's employment terminates prior to December 31, 2010, by reason of his death or total and permanent disability, the 2010 annual bonus award would become payable at the same time as it would otherwise have been paid, calculated as if the executive had continued in employment until December 31, 2010, and based on the actual salary that the executive would have earned had he remained in employment through December 31, 2010, and subject to, and to the extent of, the actual achievement of the performance goals including actual performance of any applicable individual goals prior to termination of employment.

Retirement. In the event the executive's employment terminates prior to December 31, 2010, by reason of his retirement (meaning, termination when the sum of his age and service credit since January 1, 2000 equals or exceeds 65), the 2010 annual bonus award would become payable at the same time as it would otherwise have been paid, calculated as if the executive had continued in employment until December 31, 2010, and based on the actual salary that he would have earned from the Company for 2010, had he remained in employment through December 31, 2010, and subject to, and to the extent of, the actual achievement of the performance goals, including actual performance of any applicable individual goals prior to termination of employment, so long as the executive refrains from engaging in Harmful Conduct (as defined below) through December 31, 2010.

Harmful Conduct means (1) a breach in any material respect of an agreement to not reveal confidential information regarding the business operations of the Company or any affiliate or an agreement to refrain from solicitation of the customers, suppliers or employees of the Company or any affiliate, or (2) a violation of any of the restrictive covenants contained in the executive's employment, severance or other agreement with the Company, or any of its affiliates.

Involuntary Termination Without Cause. If the Company (or with respect to Mr. Welch, EICN) terminates the executive's employment prior to December 31, 2010, other than for cause, then the executive would be entitled to a prorated annual bonus, payable at the same time as his bonus would otherwise have been paid, in an amount equal to the product of (a) the total value of the annual bonus that would have been paid had he continued in employment until

December 31, 2010, calculated based on the actual salary that he would have earned for 2010 had he remained in employment through December 31, 2010, and subject to, and to the extent of, the actual achievement of the performance goals; provided that any applicable individual goals would be assumed to be achieved at zero

percentage and (b) a fraction, the numerator of which is the number of full months elapsed from January 1, 2010, until the executive's date of termination, and the denominator of which is 12.

For Cause; Voluntary Termination. If the Company (or with respect to Mr. Welch, EICN) terminates the executive's employment for cause or the executive voluntarily terminates his employment for any reason prior to the date the 2010 annual bonuses are paid out, other than for any of the reasons described above, then the executive's 2010 annual bonus would terminate and be forfeited immediately.

Change in Control. Upon the consummation of a change in control, if the executive remains continuously employed through such consummation, then he would be entitled to a prorated 2010 annual bonus award, payable as soon as practicable following the consummation of the change in control, in an amount equal to the product of (1) the greater of (a) the total value of the annual bonus that would have been paid to the executive had the executive continued in employment until December 31, 2010, subject to, and to the extent of, the actual achievement of the performance goals as of the consummation of the change in control including actual performance of any applicable individual goals as of the consummation of the change in control, and (b) the total value of the annual bonus that would have been paid had the executive continued in employment until December 31, 2010, assuming target level of achievement of all applicable performance goals, and (2) a fraction, the numerator of which is the number of full months elapsed from January 1, 2010, until the consummation of the change in control, and the denominator of which is 12.

Assuming each of the Named Executive Officers' employment had terminated on December 31, 2010, under each of the circumstances set forth in the chart below (including the occurrence of a change in control on December 31, 2010), the payments and benefits described above would have the estimated values under their employment agreements, and other applicable plans, programs, policies and agreements, as provided below.

Employment Termination and Change-in-Control Payments and Benefits

Name	Salary (\$)	Bonus⁽¹⁾ (\$)	Accrued Vacation (\$)	Medical Continuation (\$)	Death Benefit (\$)	Disability Benefits⁽²⁾ (\$)
Douglas D. Dirks						
Termination prior to the expiration of the employment agreement term, not in connection with a change in control, and either (a) by EHI for other than (i) cause, (ii) death or (iii) disability, or (b) by the executive for good reason.	1,390,000		257,244	23,642		
Termination prior to the expiration of the employment agreement term in connection with a change in control (a) by EHI for other than (i) cause, (ii) death or (iii) disability, or (b) by the executive for good reason.	2,085,000	2,176,946	257,244	23,642		
	1,390,000 ⁽⁴⁾		257,244			

Termination
(a) prior to
the
expiration of
the
employment
agreement
term by the
executive for
other than
good reason,
death or
disability, or
(b) following
the
expiration of
the
employment
agreement
term (by the
executive or
EHI) for any
reason other
than (i) by
EHI for
cause or (ii)
by reason of
death or
disability.

Change in
Control

483,269 ⁽⁵⁾

Death

257,244

2,085,000

Disability

257,244

2,280,000

**William E.
Yocke**

Termination
prior to the
expiration of
the
employment
agreement
term, not in
connection
with a
change in
control, and
either (a) by
EHI for
other than (i)

562,500

75,236

14,896

cause, (ii) death or (iii) disability, or (b) by the executive for good reason.

Termination prior to the expiration of the employment agreement term in connection with a change in control (a) by EHI for other than (i) cause, (ii) death or (iii) disability, or (b) by the executive for good reason.

750,000

570,923

75,236

14,896

Change in Control

204,981 ⁽⁵⁾

Death

75,236

1,125,000

Disability

75,236

795,000

Martin J. Welch

Termination prior to the expiration of the employment agreement term, not in connection with a change in control, and either (a) by EICN for other than (i) cause, (ii) death or (iii) disability, or (b) by the

645,000 ⁽⁸⁾

104,103

23,642 ⁽⁹⁾

executive for good reason.				
Termination prior to the expiration of the employment agreement term in connection with a change in control (a) by EICN for other than (i) cause, (ii) death or (iii) disability, or (b) by the executive for good reason.	860,000	864,069	104,103	23,642
Termination (a) prior to expiration of the employment agreement term by the executive for other than good reason, death or disability, or (b) following the expiration of the employment agreement term (by the executive or EICN) for any reason other than (i) by EICN for cause or (ii) by reason of death or disability.	645,000 ⁽¹⁰⁾		104,103	23,642 ⁽⁹⁾
		299,385 ⁽⁵⁾		

Change in
Control

Death	104,103	1,290,000	
Disability	104,103		1,800,000

35

Name	Salary (\$)	Bonus ⁽¹⁾ (\$)	Accrued Vacation (\$)	Medical Continuation (\$)	Death Benefit (\$)	Disability Benefits ⁽²⁾ (\$)	Value of Accelerated Equity (\$)
Lenard T. Ormsby							
Termination prior to the expiration of the employment agreement term, not in connection with a change in control, and either (a) by EHI for other than (i) cause, (ii) death or (iii) disability, or (b) by the executive for good reason.	547,500		102,405	23,642			
Termination prior to the expiration of the employment agreement term in connection with a change in control (a) by EHI for other than (i) cause, (ii) death or (iii) disability, or (b) by the executive for good reason.	481,495	730,000	102,405	23,642			474,371
Change in Control		163,212 ⁽⁵⁾					474,371
Death			102,405		1,095,000		474,371

Disability			102,405		1,200,000	474,371
John P. Nelson						
Termination prior to the expiration of the employment agreement term, not in connection with a change in control, and either (a) by Employers for other than (i) cause, (ii) death or (iii) disability, or (b) by the executive for good reason.	412,500		99,366	23,642		
Termination prior to the expiration of the employment agreement term in connection with a change in control (a) by Employers for other than (i) cause, (ii) death or (iii) disability, or (b) by the executive for good reason.	550,000	328,427	99,366	23,642		474,371
Change in Control		121,154 ⁽⁵⁾				474,371
Death			99,366		825,000	474,371
Disability			99,366		3,015,000	474,371

- (1) For the year 2010, no cash bonuses were earned under the Equity Plan by any of the NEOs because, based on the Company's performance in 2010, the target level necessary for bonus payments was not achieved.

- (2) Disability benefits are available to all full-time employees. In the event the Named Executive Officer had been terminated due to disability, he would have been entitled to a benefit equal to $66\frac{2}{3}\%$ of his monthly salary, up to a maximum of \$15,000 per month until he attains age 65.

- (3) The value for equity acceleration that is shown for termination of a Named Executive Officers

employment following a change in control is calculated based on the assumption that the equity awards would be assumed upon the occurrence of the change in control and the executive would be terminated immediately thereafter.

- (4) This amount will be provided only if, and to the extent that, Mr. Dirks does not violate the noncompetition covenant in his employment agreement.
- (5) This amount reflects the greater of (a) the actual cash bonus earned by each of our Named Executive Officers with respect to 2010 under the Equity Plan and (b) the value of the 2010 cash bonus determined for such Named Executive Officer based on target level of achievement

of all applicable performance goals. For 2010, the cash bonus based on target level of achievement was greater than the actual cash bonus for each of our Named Executive Officers.

- (6) The value of the equity acceleration that is shown for a change in control is calculated based on the assumption that the equity awards would not be assumed in the change in control, and therefore the awards would become vested and exercisable whether or not the Named Executive Officers' employment had been terminated.
- (7) The actual amounts to which Messrs. Yocke, Welch and Nelson would be entitled are: \$1,961,262 instead of \$1,981,035, \$2,648,387

instead of \$2,779,039, and \$1,440,844 instead of \$1,475,806, respectively; because, under the terms of their employment agreements, when the total value of their change in control related payments and benefits exceeds the safe harbor under section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code, but does not exceed 110% of this safe harbor, then these totals would be reduced to the value of this safe harbor, with the non-cash portion reduced first (if necessary, to zero), and then the cash portion would be reduced, to the extent necessary.

- (8) This amount also includes an additional six months of salary following the first anniversary of his termination, but will be

provided only if, and to the extent that, Mr. Welch does not violate the noncompetition covenant in his employment agreement.

- (9) This amount includes an additional six months of continuation of medical benefits, which would be provided to Mr. Welch following the first anniversary of his termination if, and to the extent that, he does not violate the noncompetition covenant in his employment agreement, and his employment is terminated prior to the expiration of the employment agreement term. Mr. Welch would not be entitled to this additional six months of continuation of medical benefits if his employment terminates following the expiration of the employment

agreement term.

- (10) This amount also includes an additional six months of salary following the first anniversary of his termination, but will be provided only if, and to the extent that, Mr. Welch does not violate the noncompetition covenant in his employment agreement, and has terminated his employment for good reason.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

During 2010, non-employee Directors received an annual cash retainer of \$25,000 and were also paid cash fees of \$1,000 for each Board meeting attended above four meetings in a calendar year, \$1,500 for each Audit Committee meeting attended and \$1,000 for each other committee meeting attended. The Chairman of the Board was paid an additional cash fee of \$20,000. Committee chairpersons were paid an additional cash fee of \$10,000.

In addition to the cash compensation, on May 27, 2010, each non-employee Director was granted an award of RSUs with a value of \$59,996. Subject to accelerated vesting as set forth below, the RSUs will vest in full on May 27, 2011, and will be paid in shares on the vesting date, or if deferred by the Director, six months following termination of Board service. Vested, deferred RSUs will be credited with dividend equivalents which will be reinvested in additional RSUs.

The following table sets forth a summary of the compensation we paid to our non-employee Directors in 2010:

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards ⁽¹⁾ (\$)	Option Award Compensation (\$)	Non-Equity Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Non- Qualified Incentive Deferred Earnings ⁽²⁾	All Other Compensation ⁽³⁾ (\$)	Total (\$)
					(\$)		
Robert J. Kolesar	61,000	59,996				1,318	122,314
Richard W. Blakey	47,000	59,996				1,318	108,314
Valerie R. Glenn	34,000	59,996				1,318	95,314
Rose E. McKinney-James	49,500	59,996				165	109,661
Ronald F. Mosher	53,500	59,996				1,024	114,520
Katherine W. Ong	56,500	59,996				1,318	117,814
Michael D. Rumbolz	60,000	59,996				1,010	121,006
John P. Sande, III	49,000	59,996				1,144	110,140

(1) The amounts in the Stock Awards column relate to the RSUs granted by the Company in

2010 to the non-employee Directors under the Equity Plan.

The RSUs granted in 2010 will vest on May 27, 2011. The fair market value of each share of common stock subject to the RSUs on the date of grant for each non-employee Director was \$15.62. The amounts shown do not reflect compensation actually received by the non-employee Director but rather represent the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, excluding any assumption for future forfeitures. Dividend equivalents will be credited to those non-employee Directors who

elect to defer settlement of the RSUs until 6 months after termination of Board service. There were no actual forfeitures of stock awards by any of our Directors in 2010 and all other assumptions used to calculate the expense amounts shown are set forth in Note 15 to the 2010 Consolidated Financial Statements.

- (2) The RSUs granted in August 2007 vested on May 29, 2008. The RSUs granted in May 2008 vested on May 29, 2009. The RSUs granted in May 2009 vested on May 28, 2010. However, the settlement of the 2007 RSUs was deferred for each non-employee Director until six months after termination of Board service and the 2008

and 2009 RSU grants allowed each non-employee Director to decide whether to defer settlement of the RSUs until six months after termination of Board service or settle the RSUs at vesting. Dividend equivalents were granted to Directors who elected to defer settlement of the RSUs after the grants vested. The following table summarizes the dividend equivalents that were granted during 2010.

Name	March 24, 2010		June 2, 2010		September 1, 2010		December 1, 2010	
	Number of Dividend Equivalents Issued	Value of Dividend Equivalents	Number of Dividend Equivalents Issued	Value of Dividend Equivalents	Number of Dividend Equivalents Issued	Value of Dividend Equivalents	Number of Dividend Equivalents Issued	Value of Dividend Equivalents
Robert J. Kolesar	24	\$ 366	43	\$ 665	45	\$ 678	42	\$ 683
Richard W. Blakey	12	183	11	170	12	181	11	179
Valerie R. Glenn	24	366	43	665	45	678	42	683
Rose E. McKinney-James	24	366	43	665	45	678	42	683
Ronald F. Mosher	24	366	43	665	45	678	42	683

Edgar Filing: Employers Holdings, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

Katherine W. Ong	24	366	43	665	45	678	42	683
Michael D. Rumbolz	12	183	11	170	12	181	11	179
John P. Sande, III	12	183	11	170	12	181	11	179
Total	156	\$ 2,379	248	\$ 3,835	261	\$ 3,933	243	\$ 3,952

(3) All Other Compensation includes the aggregate incremental costs associated with the non-employee Directors and their guests (i.e., spouse, family member or similar guest) attending board meetings and/or board activities.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

The Compensation Committee consisted of Dr. Blakey, Chair, Mr. Rumbolz and Ms. Ong until May 27, 2010 and Messrs. Rumbolz, Chair, and Sande, and Ms. Ong thereafter. None of these Directors were at any time during 2010, or before, an officer or employee of Employers Holdings or any of its subsidiaries required to be disclosed under Item 404 of Regulation S-K of the Exchange Act. As noted below under Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, Dr. Blakey is a Director and part owner of two medical providers on our Nevada medical provider panel. He was also a former Director of one other medical provider that is no longer on our Nevada medical provider panel. Mr. Sande is the Chairman of the Board of the Reno Tahoe Open. None of the executive officers of the Company or its subsidiaries served as a Director or member of the Compensation Committee (or other committee serving an equivalent function) of any other entity, whose executive officer served on Employers Holdings, or its subsidiaries, Boards of Directors or their Compensation Committees.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

The Board of Directors has adopted the Related Person Transactions Policy and Procedures which is available on our website at www.employers.com and a print copy will be made available to any stockholder who requests it. Among other things, this policy provides that any transaction, arrangement or relationship (or any series of similar transactions, arrangements or relationships) in which the Company (including any of our subsidiaries) was, is or will be a participant and the amount involved exceeds \$25,000, and in which any related person had, has or will have a direct or indirect material interest, must be reported to the Company not less than annually. The Audit Committee reviews these related party transactions at least annually and considers all of the relevant facts and circumstances available to the Committee, including but not limited to: the benefits to the Company; the impact on a Director's independence in the event the related person is a Director, an immediate family member of a Director or an entity in which a Director is a partner, stockholder or Executive Officer; the availability of other sources for comparable products or services; the terms of the transaction; and the terms available to unrelated third parties or to employees generally. The Audit Committee may approve only those related party transactions that are in, or are not inconsistent with, the best interests of the Company and of our stockholders, as the Audit Committee determines in good faith.

No Director, executive officer, or other significant officer has loans or other debt with Employers Holdings or its subsidiaries.

Following is a list of transactions that may be considered related party transactions. The Audit Committee reviewed, approved and/or ratified each transaction.

Dr. Blakey, one of our Directors, is a practicing board certified orthopaedic surgeon, Director and former Chairman of the Board of the Reno Orthopaedic Clinic. In 2008, 2009, and 2010, EICN paid Reno Orthopaedic Clinic \$282,197, \$307,459, and \$301,158, respectively, for medical services it provided to injured workers. In 2008, 2009 and 2010, EICN paid the Reno Orthopaedic Surgery Center \$0, \$0, and \$40,527, respectively. Dr. Blakey was formerly a member of the Board of Directors of ARC Medcenters, LLC. In 2008, 2009, and 2010, EICN paid ARC Medcenters, LLC \$60,767, \$0, and \$0, respectively, for medical services it provided to injured workers. Dr. Blakey was also formerly the Chairman of the Board of the Reno Spine Center. EICN intends to continue its relationships with certain medical providers at Reno Orthopaedic Clinic and Reno Orthopaedic Surgery Center.

Ms. Glenn, one of our Directors, is the Chief Executive Officer of MPR, dba The Glenn Group, formerly known as Rose/Glenn Group. In 2008, 2009, and 2010, the Company paid Rose/Glenn Group and/or The Glenn Group \$436,882, \$817,090 (of which \$527,073 of the \$817,090 was paid to outside vendors), and \$351,096, respectively (of which \$163,755 of the \$351,096 was paid to outside vendors) for advertising services it provided to the Company. The Company plans to continue utilizing the services of The Glenn Group in 2011 for branding and marketing consultation services.

Mr. Sande, one of the Company's Directors, is Chairman of the Board of the Reno Tahoe Open, a PGA tournament event, of which the Company was a sponsor and paid \$282,197, \$1,500, and \$0 in 2008, 2009, and 2010, respectively, in connection with such sponsorship.

Executive Officers of the Registrant

The following provides information regarding our senior executive officers and key employees as of February 19, 2011. No family relationships exist among our directors or executive officers.

Name	Age⁽¹⁾	Position
Douglas D. Dirks	52	President and Chief Executive Officer of Employers Holdings, Inc.
William E. Yocke	60	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Employers Holdings, Inc.
Lenard T. Ormsby	58	Executive Vice President, Chief Legal Officer, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of Employers Holdings, Inc.
Ann W. Nelson	49	Executive Vice President, Corporate and Public Affairs, of Employers Holdings, Inc.
John P. Nelson	48	Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer of Employers Holdings, Inc.
Richard P. Hallman	47	Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer of Employers Holdings, Inc.

(1) At
December
31, 2010.

Executive Officers

Douglas D. Dirks. Mr. Dirks has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Employers Holdings, EGI and their predecessors since their creation in April 2005 and as President and Chief Executive Officer of EICN, ECIC, EPIC and EAC since February 1, 2011. He has served as Chief Executive Officer of EICN and ECIC since January 2006 and as Chief Executive Officer of EPIC, EAC, EIG Services, Inc., Pinnacle Benefits, Inc., and AmSERV, Inc. since November 2008. He served as President and Chief Executive Officer of EICN from January 2000 until January 2006, and served as President and Chief Executive Officer of ECIC from May 2002 until January 2006. Mr. Dirks has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Employers Occupational Health, Inc. (EOH) and Elite Insurance Services, Inc. (EIS) since 2002. He has been a Director of Employers Holdings, EGI and their predecessors since April 2005; a Director of EIS since 1999, EICN since December 1999, EOH since 2000, ECIC since May 2002, and a Director of EPIC, EAC, EIG Services, Inc., Pinnacle Benefits, Inc. and AmSERV, Inc. since November 2008. Mr. Dirks was the Chief Executive Officer of the Fund from 1995 to 1999 and its Chief Financial Officer from 1993 to 1995. Prior to joining the Fund, he served in senior insurance regulatory positions and as an advisor to the Nevada Governor's Office. Mr. Dirks also has worked in the public accounting and investment banking industries and is a licensed Certified Public Accountant in the state of Texas. He presently serves on the Board of Directors of the Nevada Insurance Guaranty Association and the Nevada Insurance Education Foundation. Mr. Dirks holds B.A. and M.B.A. degrees from the University of Texas and a J.D. degree from the University of South Dakota.

William E. Yocke. Mr. Yocke has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Employers Holdings since February 2007. He served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer for EICN and ECIC from June 2005 to February 2007. He has been Treasurer of EPIC, EAC, and the Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer for EIG Services, Inc., Pinnacle Benefits, Inc. and AmSERV, Inc. since October 31, 2008. He has also been Treasurer of Employers Holdings, EGI and their predecessors and EICN, ECIC, EOH and EIS since 2005. Mr. Yocke is a Director of EPIC, EAC, EIG Services, Inc. and Pinnacle Benefits, Inc. since October 31, 2008. Mr. Yocke has

been a Director of ECIC since November 2005 and EICN since April 2007. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Yocke was Senior Vice President for the Willis Group, a London-based risk management and insurance intermediary, from 2004 to 2005. Previously, he served as Chief Financial Officer for AVRA Insurance Company from 2002 to 2004, Director of Deloitte & Touche West Region Actuarial and Risk Management Consulting from 1996 to 2002, and Director of West Region Risk Management Consulting for Ernst & Young LLP from 1987 to 1996.

Lenard T. Ormsby. Mr. Ormsby has served as Executive Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary of Employers Holdings since February 2007. He was appointed Corporate Secretary to EIG in April 2005, General Counsel in October 2006 and Chief Legal Officer in November 2006. He previously served as Executive Vice President and General Counsel of EICN and ECIC from June 2002 to November 2006. He has served as Secretary or Assistant Secretary of EICN, ECIC, EOH and EIS since 2002, EGI since April 2005, and as Assistant Secretary of EPIC, EAC, Pinnacle Benefits, Inc., EIG Services, Inc. and AmSERV (and their predecessors) since November 2008. Mr. Ormsby has been a Director of ECIC since June 2004, EICN since April 2007, and EPIC, EAC, Pinnacle Benefits, Inc., EIG Services, Inc. and AmSERV (and their predecessors) since November 2008. He was Chief Operating Officer of the Fund and EICN from 1999 to June 2002 and General Counsel of the Fund from 1995 to 1999. Before joining the Fund, Mr. Ormsby was a partner in the Nevada law firm of McDonald, Carano, Wilson, McCune, Bergin, Frankovich & Hicks.

Ann W. Nelson. Ms. Nelson has served as Executive Vice President, Corporate and Public Affairs of Employers Holdings since February 2007. She has served as Executive Vice President, Corporate and Public Affairs of EICN and ECIC since January 2006. Ms. Nelson served EICN as Associate General Counsel from January through December 1999, as General Counsel from December 1999 through July 2002, Executive Vice President of Government Affairs from July 2002 through July 2004, and Executive Vice President of Strategy and Corporate Affairs from July 2004 through December 2005. Ms. Nelson's governmental experience includes service as Legal Counsel to Nevada Governor Bob Miller from 1994 to 1999, and as a Deputy District Attorney in the Civil Division of the Washoe County District Attorney's Office in Reno, Nevada from 1993 through 1994.

John P. Nelson. Mr. Nelson has been Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer of Employers Holdings since June 2008. He has been Senior Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer of Employers Holdings since February 2007 and Senior Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer of EICN and ECIC since July 2004. Prior to joining the Company, he was Vice President, Human Resources & Administration for Fielding Graduate University in Santa Barbara, California from October 1993 to June 2004. Mr. Nelson has 26 years of experience in the field of Human Resources.

Richard P. Hallman. Mr. Hallman has been Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer of Employers Holdings since January 2011. He has been Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer of Employers Insurance Company of Nevada since June 2010 and previously served for five years as Vice President of Information Technology Infrastructure and Operations of Employers Insurance Company of Nevada, managing enterprise-wide IT systems and critical data center operations. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Hallman held senior IT leadership positions at Intuit, Inc. where he managed data center operations, IT site facilities and the company's IT payroll operations activities. While at Intuit, Inc., he also provided strategic and tactical IT support to various business units. Mr. Hallman was also the IT Operations Manager for Computing Resources Inc. providing direct technology management for ACH payroll processing to over 80 banking partners. He is an Information Technology veteran with over 20 years of leadership experience. Mr. Hallman is also retired from the U.S. Military where he served for over 23 years in the Nevada Air National Guard and the U.S. Air Force.

Key Employees

Name	Position
Stephen V. Festa	Senior Vice President and Chief Claims Officer
T. Hale Johnston	Senior Vice President and Regional Manager of the Western Region
Cynthia M. Morrison	Senior Vice President, Corporate Controller and Chief Accountant
David M. Quezada	Senior Vice President and General Manager of Strategic Partnerships and Alliances
Timothy J. Spear	Senior Vice President and Regional Manager of the Eastern Region

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table and accompanying footnotes show information regarding the beneficial ownership of our voting securities as of April 1, 2011, by:

each person
who is known
by us to own
beneficially
more than 5%
of our voting
securities;

each Director;

each current
executive
officer named
in the
Summary
Compensation
Table; and

all Directors
and executive
officers as a
group.

Except as otherwise indicated, we believe that the beneficial owners listed below, based on information furnished by such owners, will have sole investment and voting power with respect to such shares, subject to community property laws where applicable. Shares of common stock underlying options and restricted stock units that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of April 1, 2011 are considered outstanding and beneficially owned by the person holding the options or restricted stock units for the purposes of computing the percentage ownership of that person, but are not treated as outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other person. As of April 1, 2011, 38,511,187 shares of common stock were outstanding.

Name of Beneficial Owner⁽¹⁾	Common Stock Beneficially Owned	Percent of Class
Group comprised of Greenlight Capital, L.L.C., Greenlight Capital, Inc., DME Management GP, LLC, DME Advisors, L.P., DME Capital Management, LP, DME Advisors GP, L.L.C. and David Einhorn, 140 East 45th Street, 24th Floor, New York, NY 10017	2,325,000 ⁽²⁾	6.0
The Vanguard Group, Inc., 100 Vanguard Boulevard, Malvern, PA 19355	2,027,968 ⁽³⁾	5.3
Robert J. Kolesar	21,478 ⁽⁴⁾	*
Richard W. Blakey	32,101 ⁽⁵⁾	*
Valerie R. Glenn	39,359 ⁽⁶⁾	*
Rose E. McKinney-James	15,616 ⁽⁷⁾	*

Edgar Filing: Employers Holdings, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

Ronald F. Mosher	25,001 ⁽⁸⁾	*
Katherine W. Ong	18,626 ⁽⁹⁾	*
Michael D. Rumbolz	22,001 ⁽¹⁰⁾	*
John P. Sande, III	20,501 ⁽¹¹⁾	*
Douglas D. Dirks	297,709 ⁽¹²⁾	*
John P. Nelson	65,874 ⁽¹³⁾	*
Lenard T. Ormsby	78,501 ⁽¹⁴⁾	*
William E. Yocke	89,797 ⁽¹⁵⁾	*
All Directors and Executive Officers as a group (12 persons)	726,564 ⁽¹⁶⁾	1.9

* Represents less than 1%

(1) The address of all current executive officers and directors listed above is in the care of the Company.

(2) Information concerning stock ownership was obtained from Amendment No. 1 to the Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 14, 2011. David Einhorn reported shared voting and dispositive power with respect to all 2,325,000 shares of common

stock. DME
Advisors GP,
L . L . C .
r e p o r t e d
shared voting
a n d
dispositive
power with
respect to
276,348 of
such shares of
c o m m o n
stock. DME
C a p i t a l
Management,
LP reported
shared voting
a n d
dispositive
power with
respect to
141,548 of
such shares of
c o m m o n
stock. DME
Advisors, L.P.
r e p o r t e d
shared voting
a n d
dispositive
power with
respect to
134,800 of
such shares of
c o m m o n
stock. DME
Management
G P , L L C
r e p o r t e d
shared voting
a n d
dispositive
power with
respect to
85,510 of
such shares of
c o m m o n
s t o c k .
Greenlight
Capital, Inc.
r e p o r t e d

shared voting
a n d
dispositive
power with
respect to
2,048,652 of
such shares of
c o m m o n
s t o c k .
Greenlight
C a p i t a l ,
L . L . C .
r e p o r t e d
shared voting
a n d
dispositive
power with
respect to
816,395 of
such shares of
c o m m o n
stock.

- (3) Information concerning s t o c k ownership obtained from the Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 10, 2011. The Vanguard Group, Inc. reported sole voting power with respect to 61,364 shares of c o m m o n stock, sole dispositive power with respect to 1,966,604 shares of c o m m o n stock and s h a r e d dispositive

power with respect to 61,364 shares of common stock.

- (4) Includes 3,841 restricted stock units that vest within 60 days of April 1, 2011.
- (5) Includes 3,841 restricted stock units that vest within 60 days of April 1, 2011.
- (6) Includes (i) 24,358 shares of common stock beneficially owned by the Glenn Family Trust; and (ii) 3,841 restricted stock units that vest within 60 days of April 1, 2011.
- (7) Includes 3,841 restricted stock units that vest within 60 days of April 1, 2011.
- (8) Includes (i) 10,000 shares

of common
stock
beneficially
owned by the
Ronald F.
Mosher
Retirement
Trust; and (ii)
3,841
restricted
stock units
that vest
within 60
days of April
1, 2011.

- (9) Includes 3,841 restricted stock units that vest within 60 days of April 1, 2011.
- (10) Includes 3,841 restricted stock units that vest within 60 days of April 1, 2011.
- (11) Includes 3,841 restricted stock units that vest within 60 days of April 1, 2011.
- (12) Includes (i) 199,375 shares of common stock subject to options that were exercisable as of April 1, 2011 or that will become exercisable within 60 days of April 1, 2011; and (ii) 15,875 restricted

stock units
that will
vest within
60 days of
April 1,
2011.

- (13) Includes (i)
48,675
shares of
common
stock
subject to
options that
were
exercisable
as of April
1, 2011 or
that will
become
exercisable
within 60
days of
April 1,
2011; and
(ii) 4,000
restricted
stock units
that will
vest within
60 days of
April 1,
2011.

- (14) Includes (i)
56,175
shares of
common
stock
subject to
options that
were
exercisable
as of April
1, 2011 or
that will
become
exercisable
within 60
days of
April 1,

2011; and
(ii) 4,000
restricted
stock units
that will
vest within
60 days of
April 1,
2011.

(15) Includes (i)
5,000 shares
of common
s t o c k
beneficially
owned by
the Yocke
2 0 0 6
F a m i l y
Trust; (ii)
6 3 , 2 5 0
shares of
c o m m o n
s t o c k
subject to
options that
w e r e
exercisable
as of April
1, 2011 or
that will
b e c o m e
exercisable
within 60
d a y s o f
April 1,
2011; and
(iii) 5,125
restricted
stock units
that will
vest within
60 days of
April 1,
2011.

(16) Includes (i)
367,475
shares of
common
stock

subject to
options that
were
exercisable
as of April
1, 2011 or
that will
become
exercisable
within 60
days of
April 1,
2011; and
(ii) 59,728
restricted
stock units
that will
vest within
60 days of
April 1,
2011.

Pursuant to NRS § 693A.500, until February 5, 2012, or, if earlier, such date as the Company no longer directly or indirectly owns a majority of the outstanding voting stock of EICN, no person, other than the Company, any direct or indirect subsidiary of the Company and any employee compensation or benefit plan of the Company or any such direct or indirect subsidiary, may directly or indirectly offer to acquire or acquire in any manner the beneficial ownership of five percent (5%) or more of any class of voting security of the Company without the prior approval of the Nevada Commissioner of Insurance of an application for such acquisition pursuant to NRS § 693A.500. On December 14, 2007, the Nevada Division of Insurance waived any ownership of stock over five percent (5%) limit as a direct result of the Company's 2007 share repurchase program. Any such acquisition may be subject to the provisions of NRS § 693A.505 to 693A.525.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Employers Holdings Directors and certain officers are required to report their ownership and changes in ownership of Employers Holdings common stock to the SEC. These individuals are also required by SEC rules to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. Based solely upon a review of the forms filed and received, the Company believes that its Section 16(a) officers and Directors timely filed all of the required forms.

AUDIT MATTERS

Audit Committee Independence

The three members of Employers Holdings Audit Committee are independent (as independence is defined by the provisions of Section 303A.02 of the Listing Standards).

Communications with the Audit Committee

Complaints or concerns about accounting matters may be submitted to the Audit Committee in any of the following ways:

by mailing
a written
description
of the
complaint
or concern
to the
following
address:

Corporate Compliance Reporting
Employers Holdings, Inc.
748 S. Meadows Parkway, Suite A9, #249
Reno, Nevada 89521

by sending a written description of the
complaint or concern to the following e-mail
address:

CorporateComplianceOfficer@employers.com;
or

by calling the toll-free hotline and talking to a
disinterested person at (800) 826-6762.

Reports may be made anonymously. The Corporate Compliance Officer will check the above mailbox, e-mail address, and telephone hotline messages on a regular basis and will promptly review and log all submissions. Any concerns regarding accounting, internal controls or auditing matters requiring immediate Audit Committee action will be submitted to the Chairman of the Audit Committee within 24 hours. Reports of suspected violations of law and Company policies will be

investigated appropriately. The Corporate Compliance Officer will provide periodic reports to the Audit Committee regarding the submissions relating to accounting, internal controls or auditing matters and the investigation and resolution of such matters.

Audit Committee Report

In connection with the financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, the Audit Committee has:

reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements with management;

discussed with Ernst & Young, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, the matters required to be discussed by the statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended, as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T; and

received the written disclosure and letter from Ernst & Young required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding Ernst & Young's communications

with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and has discussed with Ernst & Young its independence.

Based upon these reviews and discussions, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors, at the February 23, 2011 meeting of the Board, that Employers Holdings' audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010 be included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC. The Board has approved the inclusion of this Audit Committee Report in this Proxy Statement.

/s/ Audit Committee

Ronald F. Mosher, *Chair*
Michael D. Rumbolz
John P. Sande, III

Service Fees Paid to Independent Accounting Firm

The Audit Committee engaged Ernst & Young to perform an annual audit of the Company's financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 and the Company's internal controls over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010. Following is the breakdown of fees paid to Ernst & Young by the Company for the last two fiscal years.

Audit Fees. Fees incurred for audit services provided by Ernst & Young approximated \$1,763,000 and \$1,668,000 for fiscal years 2010 and 2009, respectively. These amounts include the annual financial statement audits for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009; audit of the Company's internal controls over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010 and 2009; reviews of the Company's quarterly financial statements; annual statutory audits of the Company's insurance subsidiaries for the year ended December 31, 2010 and 2009; and comfort letters and consents related to registration statements. All of such audit fees were pre-approved by the Audit Committee.

Audit-Related Fees. Fees incurred for audit-related services provided by Ernst & Young related to employee benefit plan audits, and claims system post implementation testing approximated \$57,000 and \$167,000 for fiscal years ended 2010 and 2009. All of such audit-related fees were pre-approved by the Audit Committee.

Tax fees. The Company has not incurred any tax-related fees from Ernst & Young for 2010 or 2009.

All Other Fees. The Company has not incurred any other fees from Ernst & Young for 2010 or 2009.

PRE-APPROVAL POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

The Audit Committee's pre-approval policies and procedures for the Auditor's Fees are contained in its Charter, a copy of which is available on our website at www.employers.com and available in print

form to any stockholder who requests it. Specifically, under paragraph 2 of the Authority, Responsibilities, and Limitations section, the committee reviews and, in its sole discretion, approves in advance the Company's independent auditor's annual engagement letter, including the proposed fees contained therein, as well as all audit and, as provided in the Exchange Act and the SEC rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, all permitted non-audit engagements and relationships between the Company and such independent auditors (which approval is made after receiving input from the Company's management, if desired). Approval of audit and permitted non-audit services is made by the Committee or by one or more members of the Committee as designated by the Committee or the Chairman of the Committee, and the person(s) granting such approval report such approval to the Committee at the next scheduled meeting.

GOVERNANCE DOCUMENT INFORMATION

Employers Holdings' Board of Directors Committee Charters, Corporate Governance Guidelines, Related Person Transactions Policy and Procedures, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, and Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers are posted on the Company's website at www.employers.com. Copies of these documents will be delivered, free of charge, to any stockholder who requests them from Employers Holdings' Vice President, Investor Relations, Vicki Erickson, at (775) 327-2794.

SUBMISSION OF STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS

Stockholder proposals intended for inclusion in the next year's proxy statement pursuant to Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act must be directed to the Corporate Secretary, Employers Holdings, Inc., at 10375 Professional Circle, Reno, Nevada 89521, and must be received by December 15, 2011. In order for proposals of stockholders made outside of Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act to be considered timely within the meaning of Rule 14a-4(c) under the Exchange Act, such proposals must be received by the Corporate Secretary at the above address by February 26, 2012. The Company's Bylaws require that proposals of stockholders made outside of Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act must be submitted, in accordance with the requirements of the Bylaws, not later than February 26, 2012 and not earlier than January 27, 2012.

DISTRIBUTION INFORMATION

Only one Annual Report to Stockholders and Proxy Statement is being delivered to multiple stockholders sharing an address unless Employers Holdings received other instructions from one or more of the stockholders.

If a stockholder wishes to receive a hard copy of the Annual Report or Proxy Statement, he or she should contact Employers Holdings' transfer agent, Wells Fargo Shareholder Services, at 1-800-468-9716 or by writing to Wells Fargo Shareowner Services at P.O. Box 64854, St. Paul, MN 55164-0854 or www.wellsfargo.com/shareownerservices. The stockholder will be sent, without charge, a hard copy of the Annual Report and/or Proxy Statement promptly upon request.

GENERAL

The Board of Directors knows of no other matters which will be presented at the Annual Meeting. However, if other matters properly come before the Annual Meeting, the person or persons voting your shares pursuant to instructions by proxy card will vote your shares in accordance with their best judgment on such matters.

Employers Holdings will bear the expense of preparing, printing and mailing this Proxy Statement. Officers and regular employees of Employers Holdings and its subsidiaries may solicit the return of proxies. However, they will not receive additional compensation for soliciting proxies. Employers Holdings has engaged the services of Morrow & Co., LLC to assist it in the solicitation of proxies at an anticipated cost of \$6,500, plus reasonable and customary disbursements. Employers Holdings has requested brokers, banks, and other custodians, nominees, and fiduciaries to send notice and proxy materials to beneficial owners and will, upon request, reimburse them for their expense in so doing. Solicitations may be made by mail, telephone, email, Internet, or other means.

So that your shares may be represented if you do not plan to attend the Annual Meeting, please vote your proxy by telephone or by the Internet or by returning the enclosed proxy card in the postage prepaid envelope as soon as possible. Your prompt response will greatly facilitate arrangements for the Annual Meeting, and your cooperation is appreciated.

On Behalf of the Board of Directors,

Lenard T. Ormsby, Secretary
April 13, 2011

EMPLOYERS HOLDINGS, INC.
ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

Thursday, May 26, 2011
10:00 a.m. Pacific Daylight Time

RENO-SPARKS CONVENTION CENTER
4590 South Virginia Street
Reno, Nevada 89502

Employers Holdings, Inc.
10375 Professional Circle
Reno, Nevada 89521-4802 **Proxy**

The undersigned hereby appoints Michael D. Rumbolz, Katherine W. Ong and Valerie Glenn, and each of them, with full power of substitution, to represent the undersigned and as proxies to vote all the common stock of Employers Holdings, Inc. that the undersigned has power to vote, with all powers which the undersigned would possess if personally present at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 26, 2011, or at any adjournment or postponement thereof. In their discretion, the proxies are hereby authorized to vote upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting and any adjournments or postponements thereof.

The validity of this proxy is governed by Nevada law. This proxy does not revoke any prior powers of attorney except for prior proxies given in connection with the Annual Meeting.

This proxy is solicited on behalf of the Board of Directors. This proxy will be voted as specified by the undersigned. If no choice is specified, the proxy will be voted FOR all of the Director nominees listed in Proposal 1, FOR approval of the compensation paid to the Company's Named Executive Officers in Proposal 2, FOR an annual advisory vote on the compensation of the Company's Named Executive Officers in Proposal 3, and FOR ratification of the Company's independent accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, for 2011 in Proposal 4. You do not need to mark any boxes if you wish to vote as the Board of Directors recommends.

See reverse for voting instructions.

COMPANY #

There are four ways to vote your proxy.

Your telephone or Internet vote authorizes the named proxies to vote your shares by proxy in the same manner as if you signed and returned your proxy card.

VOTE BY PHONE TOLL FREE 1-800-560-1965 QUICK * EASY *** IMMEDIATE**

Use any touch-tone telephone to vote your proxy 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, until 12:00 p.m. (CDT) on May 25, 2011.

Please have your proxy card and the last four digits of your Social Security Number or Tax Identification Number available. Follow the simple instructions the voice provides you.

VOTE BY INTERNET <http://www.eproxy.com/eig> QUICK * EASY *** IMMEDIATE**

Use the Internet to vote your proxy 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, until 12:00 p.m. (CDT) on May 25,

2011.

Please have your proxy card and the last four digits of your Social Security Number or Tax Identification Number available. Follow the simple instructions to obtain your records and create an electronic ballot.

VOTE IN PERSON

Sign and date your proxy card and bring it to the Annual Meeting on Thursday, May 26, 2011 at 10:00 a.m. (PDT) at the Reno-Sparks Convention Center, 4590 South Virginia Street, Reno, Nevada.

VOTE BY MAIL (if you have received a paper copy of the Proxy Card along with a return envelope)

Mark, sign and date your proxy card and mail it to

Employers
Holdings,
Inc., c/o
Shareowner
ServicesSM,
P.O. Box
64873, St.
Paul, MN
55164-0873
or in the
enclosed
envelope.

Ä Please detach here Ä

**The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR Proposals 1, 2 and 4, and
FOR 1 year on Proposal 3.**

- | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----|-------------------|---|--------------------|---|-------------------|
| 1. Election of Directors: | 01 | Robert J. Kolesar | £ | Vote FOR | £ | Vote WITHHELD |
| | 02 | Douglas D. Dirks | | all nominees | | from all nominees |
| | 03 | Richard W. Blakey | | (except as marked) | | |

(Instructions: To withhold authority to vote for any indicated nominee, write the number(s) of the nominee(s) in the box provided to the right.)

- | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---------|---|---------|---|---------|
| 2. Advisory vote on executive compensation | £ | For | £ | Against | £ | Abstain |
| 3. Advisory vote on frequency of advisory executive compensation vote | £ | 1 year | £ | 2 years | £ | 3 years |
| | | Abstain | | | | |
| 4. Ratification of the appointment of the Company's independent
accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, for 2011. | £ | For | £ | Against | £ | Abstain |

**THIS PROXY WHEN PROPERLY EXECUTED WILL BE VOTED AS DIRECTED OR, IF NO
DIRECTION IS GIVEN, WILL BE VOTED FOR PROPOSALS 1, 2 AND 4, AND FOR 1 YEAR ON
PROPOSAL 3.**

Address Change? Mark Box £ Indicate changes below: Date:

Signature(s) in Box

Please sign exactly as your name(s) appears on Proxy. If held in joint tenancy, all persons should sign. Trustees, administrators, etc., should include title and authority. Corporations should provide full name of corporation and title of authorized officer signing the proxy.