NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND Form N-CSR May 06, 2015

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-06383

Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund (Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

Nuveen Investments
333 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Kevin J. McCarthy
Nuveen Investments
333 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
(Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (312) 917-7700

Date of fiscal year end: February 28

Date of reporting period: February 28, 2015

Form N-CSR is to be used by management investment companies to file reports with the Commission not later than 10 days after the transmission to stockholders of any report that is required to be transmitted to stockholders under Rule 30e-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30e-1). The Commission may use the information provided on Form N-CSR in its regulatory, disclosure review, inspection, and policymaking roles.

A registrant is required to disclose the information specified by Form N-CSR, and the Commission will make this information public. A registrant is not required to respond to the collection of information contained in Form N-CSR unless the Form displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") control number. Please direct comments concerning the accuracy of the information collection burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing the burden to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549-0609. The OMB has reviewed this collection of information under the clearance requirements of 44 U.S.C. ss. 3507.

ITEM 1. REPORTS TO STOCKHOLDERS.

Life is Complex

Nuveen makes things e-simple.

It only takes a minute to sign up for e-Reports. Once enrolled, you'll receive an e-mail as soon as your Nuveen Investments Fund information is ready—no more waiting for delivery by regular mail. Just click on the link within the e-mail to see the report and save it on your computer if you wish.

Free e-Reports right to your e-mail!

www.investordelivery.com

If you receive your Nuveen Fund dividends and statements from your financial advisor or brokerage account.

or

www.nuveen.com/accountaccess

If you receive your Nuveen Fund dividends and statements directly from Nuveen.

Table of Contents

Chairman's Letter to Shareholders		4
Portfolio Managers' Comments		5
Fund Leverage		12
Common Share Information		13
Risk Considerations		15
Performance Overview and Holding Summaries		16
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm		24
Portfolios of Investments		25
Statement of Assets and Liabilities		57
Statement of Operations		58
Statement of Changes in Net Assets		59
Statement of Cash Flows		61
Financial Highlights		62
Notes to Financial Statements		68
Additional Fund Information		83
Glossary of Terms Used in this Report		84
Reinvest Automatically, Easily and Conveniently		86
Board Members & Officers		87
	Nuveen Investments	3

Chairman's Letter to Shareholders

Dear Shareholders,

A pattern of divergence has emerged in the past year. Steady and moderate growth in the U.S. economy helped sustain the stock market's bull run another year. U.S. bonds also performed well, amid subdued inflation, interest rates that remained unexpectedly low and concerns about the economic well-being of the rest of the world. The stronger domestic economy enabled the U.S. Federal Reserve (Fed) to gradually reduce its large scale bond purchases, known as quantitative easing (QE), without disruption to the markets, as well as begin to set expectations for a transition into tightening mode.

The economic story outside the U.S. continues to improve. Despite the drama over Greece's debt negotiations, the European economy appears to be stabilizing. Japan is on a moderate recovery path as it emerged from recession late last quarter. China's economy decelerated and, despite running well above the rate of other major global economies, investors feared it looked slow by China's standards. Some areas of concern were a surprisingly steep decline in oil prices, the U.S. dollar's rally and an increase in geopolitical tensions, including the Russia-Ukraine crisis and terrorist attacks across the Middle East and Africa, as well as more recently in Europe.

While a backdrop of healthy economic growth in the U.S. and the continuation of accommodative monetary policy (with the central banks of Japan and potentially Europe stepping in where the Fed has left off) bodes well for the markets, the global outlook has become more uncertain. Indeed, volatility is likely to feature more prominently in the investment landscape going forward. Such conditions underscore the importance of professional investment management. Experienced investment teams have weathered the market's ups and downs in the past and emerged with a better understanding of the sensitivities of their asset class and investment style, particularly in times of turbulence. We recognize the importance of maximizing gains, while striving to minimize volatility.

And, the same is true for investors like you. Maintaining an appropriate time horizon, diversification and relying on practiced investment teams are among your best strategies for achieving your long-term investment objectives. Additionally, I encourage you to communicate with your financial consultant if you have questions about your investment in a Nuveen Fund. On behalf of the other members of the Nuveen Fund Board, we look forward to continuing to earn your trust in the months and years ahead.

William J. Schneider Chairman of the Board April 22, 2015

Portfolio Managers' Comments

Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund (NAZ) Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund (NUM) Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund (NUO) Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund (NTX)

These Funds feature portfolio management by Nuveen Asset Management, LLC, an affiliate of Nuveen Investments, Inc. Portfolio managers Michael S. Hamilton and Daniel J. Close, CFA, review U.S. economic and municipal market conditions at the national and state levels, key investment strategies and the twelve-month reporting period performance of these four Nuveen Funds. Michael assumed portfolio management responsibility for NAZ in 2011, while Dan has managed NUM, NUO and NTX since 2007.

What factors affected the U.S. economy and the national municipal bond market during the twelve-month reporting period ended February 28, 2015?

During this reporting period, the U.S. economy continued to expand at a moderate pace. The Federal Reserve (Fed) maintained efforts to bolster growth and promote progress toward its mandates of maximum employment and price stability by holding the benchmark fed funds rate at the record low level of zero to 0.25% that it established in December 2008. At its October 2014 meeting, the Fed announced that it would end its bond-buying stimulus program as of November 1, 2014, after tapering its monthly asset purchases of mortgage-backed and longer-term Treasury securities from the original \$85 billion per month to \$15 billion per month over the course of seven consecutive meetings (December 2013 through September 2014). In making the announcement, the Fed cited substantial improvement in the outlook for the labor market since the inception of the current asset purchase program as well as sufficient underlying strength in the broader economy to support ongoing progress toward maximum employment in a context of price stability. The Fed also reiterated that it would continue to look at a wide range of factors, including labor market conditions, indicators of inflationary pressures and readings on financial developments, in determining future actions. Additionally, the Fed stated that it would likely maintain the current target range for the fed funds rate for a considerable time after the end of the asset purchase program, especially if projected inflation continues to run below the Fed's 2% longer run goal. However, if economic data shows faster progress, the Fed indicated it could raise the fed funds rate sooner than expected.

The Fed changed its language slightly in December, indicating it would be "patient" in normalizing monetary policy. This shift helped ease investors' worries that the Fed might raise rates too soon. As the reporting period drew to a close, expectations were that the Fed would drop the word patient from its March post-meeting statement, in an effort to telegraph that a rate hike was likely

Certain statements in this report are forward-looking statements. Discussions of specific investments are for illustration only and are not intended as recommendations of individual investments. The forward-looking statements and other views expressed herein are those of the portfolio managers as of the date of this report. Actual future results or occurrences may differ significantly from those anticipated in any forward-looking statements, and the views expressed herein are subject to change at any time, due to numerous market and other factors. The Funds disclaim any obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking statements or views expressed herein.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's (S&P), Moody's Investors Service, Inc. (Moody's) or Fitch, Inc. (Fitch). Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such

securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Bond insurance guarantees only the payment of principal and interest on the bond when due, and not the value of the bonds themselves, which will fluctuate with the bond market and the financial success of the issuer and the insurer. Insurance relates specifically to the bonds in the portfolio and not to the share prices of a Fund. No representation is made as to the insurers' ability to meet their commitments.

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this section.

Nuveen Investments

5

Portfolio Managers' Comments (continued)

in June. The March statement (issued after the close of this reporting period) did indeed remove the word patient but also highlighted the Fed's less optimistic view of the economy's overall health as well as downgraded its inflation projections.

In the fourth quarter of 2014, the U.S. economy, as measured by the U.S. gross domestic product (GDP), grew at a 2.2% annual rate, compared with 4.6% in the second quarter and 5.0% in the third quarter of 2014. The decline in real GDP growth rate from the third quarter to the fourth quarter primarily reflects an upturn in imports, a downturn in federal government spending, and decline in exports. These were partly offset by an upturn in consumer spending. The Consumer Price Index (CPI) fell 0.1% year-over-year as of January 2015 (most recent data available at the time this report was prepared), the first negative twelve-month change since October 2009. The core CPI (which excludes food and energy) increased 1.6% during the same period, below the Fed's unofficial longer term inflation objective of 2.0%. As of February 28, 2015, the national unemployment rate was 5.5%, the lowest level since May 2008 and the level considered "full employment" by some Fed officials, down from the 6.7% reported in February 2014. The housing market continued to post gains, although price growth has shown signs of deceleration in recent months. The average home price in the S&P/Case-Shiller Index of 20 major metropolitan areas rose 4.6% for the twelve months ended January 2015 (most recent data available at the time this report was prepared).

Municipal bonds enjoyed strong performance during the twelve-month reporting period, buoyed by a backdrop of low interest rates, improving investor sentiment and favorable supply-demand dynamics. Interest rates were widely expected to rise in 2014, as the economy improved and the Fed wound down its asset purchases. However, the 10-year Treasury yield ended the year even lower than where it began. As a result, fixed income asset classes performed surprisingly well (as yields fall, prices rise and vice versa). At the same time, investors grew more confident that the Fed's tapering would proceed at a measured pace and that the credit woes of Detroit and Puerto Rico would be contained. In addition, credit fundamentals for state and local governments were generally stabilizing, although pockets of trouble remained. California and New York showed marked improvements during 2014, whereas Illinois, New Jersey and Puerto Rico, for example, still face considerable challenges.

Investors' declining risk aversion bolstered demand for higher-yielding assets, including municipal bonds, which reversed the tide of outflows municipal bond funds suffered in 2013. While demand and inflows rose, supply continued to be subdued. More municipal bonds left the market than were added in 2014, a condition known as net negative issuance. Part of the reason for net negative issuance was that a significant portion of issuer activity focused on current refundings, in which a new bond is issued to replace the called bond (in contrast to an advanced refunding, where the called bond remains in the market as a pre-refunded bond).

These factors helped drive municipal bond yields lower and tightened yield spreads relative to Treasuries in 2014 overall. However, as the new year began, market conditions turned more volatile. A series of disappointing economic data underscored the fragility of the U.S. recovery, as well as cast further uncertainty on the timing of the Fed's first rate hike. A change in the supply-demand balance also hampered the municipal bond sector. Issuance was unusually strong in the first two months of 2015, up 72.5% compared to the same two-month period in 2014. Over the twelve months ended February 28, 2015, municipal bond issuance nationwide totaled \$358.8 billion, an increase of 13% from the issuance for the twelve-month period ended February 28, 2014. Finally, divergence in economic growth and foreign central bank policies have reinforced an interest rate differential that favors demand for U.S. Treasuries, maintaining downward pressure on yields.

How were the economic and market environments in Arizona, Michigan, Ohio and Texas during the twelve-month reporting period ended February 28, 2015?

Arizona's economic recovery has surpassed the nations after experiencing a severe housing market decline. Growth in hospitality and health care has led recent improvements in the state's employment picture. The recovery in the housing market has slowed from last year's pace but remains a moderate driver. However, in the long term, Arizona is expected to outperform because of its strong population growth and investment in biotech, medical devices and health care. Additionally, its low business costs relative to neighboring Southern California make Arizona more attractive for new business ventures. Gains in Arizona housing prices have

6 Ni	uveen	Invest	ments
------	-------	--------	-------

been driven primarily by the Phoenix market, with the state's smaller metropolitan areas also showing progress. According to the S&P/Case-Shiller Index, housing prices in Phoenix rose 2.6% over the twelve months ended January 2015 (most recent data available at the time this report was prepared), compared with the 20 City Composite Home Price Index increase of 4.6% nationally. In the job market, the Arizona unemployment rate dropped to a preliminary 6.5% as of February 2015, the lowest level since June 2008, down from 7.1% in February 2014. The 2015 General Fund Budget was enacted in April, totaling \$9.2 billion, up 4.2% over the 2014 Enacted Budget and includes increased spending for child safety and education and protects the rainy day fund. The state joint legislative budget committee projects a structural deficit in Fiscal Year 2015, which the state plans to fill with the use of rainy day funds. At the end of Fiscal 2013, the state's temporary one-cent sales tax, enacted in 2011, expired, resulting in budget gaps for Fiscal 2014 and Fiscal 2015. Arizona used the accumulated financial cushion generated by the sales taxes to offset these shortfalls. The newly sworn in Republican Governor, Doug Ducey, signed the 2016 General Fund Budget in March 2015 (subsequent to the close of this reporting period), totaling \$9.1 billion. Once enacted it fills the Fiscal Year 2015 shortfall and a projected budget deficit for Fiscal Year 2016 through expenditure reductions, reallocation of monies from certain separate agency funds to the State's general fund and drawing down the rainy day fund without raising taxes. The State reports it will face budgetary shortfall for Fiscal Year 2017 before becoming in balance by Fiscal Year 2018. The Arizona Supreme Court Ruled that the state did not provide inflation adjustments in school funding during Fiscal Years 2010-2013 as required under voter approved Proposition 301. It is yet unknown whether the ruling will result in a retroactive repayment of prior years' missed payments estimated to total \$1.2 billion or apply only to future years' budgets, as the case is still being litigated. In November 2013, Moody's affirmed Arizona's issuer rating at Aa3 and changed its outlook for the state to positive from stable. S&P affirmed the State of Arizona's issuer credit at AA- but revised its outlook to positive from stable on November 27, 2013. During the twelve months ended February 28, 2015, municipal issuance in Arizona totaled \$6.9 billion, an increase of 84% from the twelve months ended February 28, 2014. This issuance may be attributed to a dramatic increase in refunding activity.

Michigan's economic recovery has strengthened over the last year and though job growth overall is still behind the U.S., auto manufacturing and health care hiring are projected to remain strong through 2015. Strong domestic auto sales have incrementally bolstered growth over the past five years and an uptick in the sales of light-trucks is expected to directly benefit hiring in southeastern Michigan this year. To a large extent, the Michigan economy remained tied to events in the auto industry, as the "Big Three" (General Motors, Ford and Chrysler) continued to rank among the state's five largest employers. Overall, Michigan remained heavily reliant on manufacturing, which represented 13.5% of employment in the state, compared with 8.8% nationally. As of February 2015, Michigan's unemployment rate was 5.9%, down from 7.8% in January 2014, and the lowest level since April 2008. Favorably, the state's labor force participation rate has remained stable as unemployment has improved, indicating a real improvement in job growth. Following the peak in housing prices in mid-2006, home prices in Michigan declined dramatically and the inventory of foreclosed homes remained elevated in many of the state's hardest-hit metropolitan areas, including Detroit, Warren and Flint. Improvement in the state economy has brought some recuperation in the housing market. After steep gains over 2013, housing price appreciation saw continued, but slower growth. According to the S&P/Case-Shiller Index of 20 major metropolitan areas, housing prices in Detroit rose 2.9% over the twelve months ended January 2015 (most recent data available at the time this report was prepared), compared with the national average increase of 4.6%. On the fiscal front, Michigan's budgetary performance over the last two years has been impressive. As revenues improved, the state demonstrated a commitment to rebuild reserves. For Fiscal 2014, Michigan's \$49 billion budget was structurally balanced and did not require major expenditure cuts or borrowing. Although the state appropriated \$75 million to the state's reserve fund in Fiscal 2014, \$194.8 million was withdrawn to fund the state's contribution to the Detroit bankruptcy settlement. At year end the reserve fund balance stood at \$386.2 million. Beginning in 2015 this withdrawal will be repaid with annual deposits from tobacco settlement revenues and the Fiscal 2015 budget provides for a \$94 million contribution. By Fiscal Year end 2015, the reserve balance is projected to be nearly \$500 million. The state's improved financial and cash position has eliminated the need for cash flow borrowing. A modest \$336 million budget gap due to revenue shortfalls is now projected for Fiscal Year 2015. This gap is expected to be closed by shifting school aid revenues and cutting about \$100 million in expenditures. The governor's proposed \$54 billion

budget for Fiscal 2016 represents a

Portfolio Managers' Comments (continued)

2% spending increase. In May 2015 Michigan voters will be asked to approve a sales tax increase to generate up to \$1.2 billion in new annual revenues to help fund state infrastructure and transportation needs. The governor has also proposed making a \$95 million contribution to the state's reserve fund in Fiscal 2016. The budget is expected to be adopted by the end of June. As of March 2015, Moody's and S&P rated Michigan general obligation (GO) debt at Aa2 and AA-, respectively. Both agencies revised their outlook for the state to positive in 2013. During the twelve months ended February 28, 2015, municipal issuance in Michigan totaled \$10.9 billion, an increase of 91% from the twelve months ended February 28, 2014.

Ohio's economy has been growing at a moderate rate for the past two years due to strong auto sales and increasing demand in the health care industry. As of February 2015, the state's unemployment rate was 5.1%, compared with the national rate of 5.5%. Manufacturing is the largest of Ohio's major employment sectors and the state continued to be a leading producer of steel and autos. Like other manufacturing-heavy states, Ohio tends to have a somewhat more cyclical economy than the nation as a whole. The state has experienced a small boom in oil and gas production, due largely to hydraulic fracturing in the Utica shale field in the Appalachian Basin. The recent decline in natural gas prices has caused the number of active rotary rigs to fall sharply. Over the long term, the Utica Shale is expected to remain a growth driver for the state. The housing market in Ohio is experiencing some weakness, as it is in much of the Midwest. According to the S&P/Case-Shiller Index of prices in 20 major metropolitan areas, housing prices in Cleveland posted a year-over-year increase of 1.6% as of January 2015 (most recent data available at the time this report was prepared). On the fiscal front, Ohio has seen revenue recovery in line with its economic recovery. Fiscal year-to-date (through Feb 2015) General Fund tax receipts are 4.2% higher than the prior year-to-date collections. Income and sales taxes now make up more than half the state's general fund revenues. In Fiscal 2013, Ohio fully funded its budget stabilization fund to its statutory maximum for the first time since 2000. The current balance in the state's Budget Stabilization Fund is \$1.47 billion, which is the maximum limit. The governor's proposed biennium budget for Fiscal Years 2015-2016 continues a trend from prior budgets by calling for further reductions in the personal income tax rate and the taxes paid by small businesses. The reductions would be paid for in part by increases in the rates of the sales tax and cigarette tax, and a revamping of Ohio's severance tax on oil and natural gas. As of March 2015, Moody's and S&P rated Ohio GO debt at Aa1 and AA+, respectively, with stable outlooks. For the twelve months ended February 28, 2015, municipal issuance in Ohio totaled \$10.9 billion, an increase of 20% compared with the twelve months ended February 28, 2014.

The economic recovery in Texas continues to outpace the national recovery and most other states. State GDP growth was largely driven by gains in the mining, real estate and professional services sectors. The state's employment surpassed pre-recession levels in September 2011 and solid employment growth continued across all industries in 2014. The biggest year over year employment gains were seen in transportation and utility, leisure and hospitality, professional and business services. Texas' three largest non-government employment sectors, education and health services, professional and business services, and retail trade, represented approximately 57% of the state's workers. Strong employment and expanded labor force participation, together with positive demographic trends, created strong demand for housing and increases in the state's housing prices and home sales. The state economy continues to exhibit elevated exposure to the mining sector, with this sector contributing 9.1% of state earnings in 2013, compared to the mining sector contributing only 1.7% of national earnings in 2013. As of February 2015, the state's 4.3% unemployment rate was down from 5.4% in February 2014 and well below the February 2015 national unemployment rate of 5.5%. According to the S&P/Case-Shiller Index, housing prices in Dallas posted a year-over-year increase of 8.1% as of January 2015 (most recent data available at the time this report was prepared). On the fiscal front, Texas continued to benefit from strong revenue growth and the state's Fiscal 2014-2015 biennium budget was able to restore some previous budget cuts. The 84th session of the Texas Legislature is currently in session until June 1st and is working on the Fiscal 2016-2017 budget. Texas state sales tax collections represent more than half of the state's general revenues and Fiscal 2015 year to date collections through February 2015 were 9.8% higher than the first 6

months of Fiscal 2014. S&P upgraded its Texas general obligation (GO) rating to AAA from AA+ in December 2013, while Moody's and Fitch rated Texas GO debt at Aaa and AAA, respectively. For the twelve months ended February 28, 2015, municipal issuance in Texas totaled \$42.1 billion a 25% increase from the previous twelve months. For the most recent twelve months, Texas was ranked as the second largest state issuer only behind California.

What key strategies were used to manage these Funds during the twelve-month reporting period ended February 28, 2015?

A backdrop of supportive technical and fundamental factors helped the municipal market rally for most of the reporting period. For the period as a whole, municipal bond prices generally rose, while interest rates declined. During this time, we continued to take a bottom-up approach to discovering sectors that appeared undervalued as well as individual credits that had the potential to perform well over the long term.

NAZ continued to focus on maintaining a bias toward longer and intermediate duration bonds while staying diversified, as well as actively seeking to minimize call risk especially toward the end of the reporting period. Additions to NAZ's portfolio included charter school, higher education and hospital credits. NAZ also purchased Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corporation (COFINA) bonds in May 2014, based on their attractive diversification, duration and yield. Due to ongoing developments in Puerto Rico, Nuveen made the decision to sell these bonds from the portfolio in July 2014.

NUM, NUO and NTX also continued to focus on buying a mix of intermediate and longer-term credits, seeking opportunities in both the new issue and secondary markets.

In Michigan, municipal issuance rose substantially for the reporting period. This increase was largely due to the refinancing of Detroit water and sewer bonds, which accounted for approximately \$1.8 billion of Michigan's \$5.1 billion in issuance or almost all of the increase. In August 2014, Detroit announced a tender offer for the city's water and sewer bonds, aimed at replacing some of the \$5.2 billion of existing debt with lower cost bonds. Approximately \$1.5 billion in existing water and sewer bonds were returned to the city by investors under the tender offer, which enabled Detroit to issue new water and sewer bonds, resulting in savings of \$250 million over the life of the bonds. The city also raised about \$150 million to finance sewer system improvements. As part of the deal, Detroit water and sewer bonds also were permanently removed from the city's bankruptcy case, which led to a rally in the bonds' prices. NUM participated in both the tender offer and the new issue of Detroit water and sewer bonds. During this reporting period, NUM also found value in higher education, electric utilities, dedicated tax bonds (Detroit Regional Convention Facility Authority) and local government obligation (GO) credits. NUO continued to find bonds that helped us accomplish our goals for the Fund, adding Cuyahoga County sales tax revenue bonds, water and sewer credits and electric utility bonds. NUO also sold a longer duration Buckeye Tobacco Settlement credit to buy a shorter duration credit from the same issuer with an equivalent yield. Our purchases in NTX focused on dedicated tax bonds, state GOs, airports, water and sewer, and higher education bonds. All of our bond purchases in the Michigan, Ohio and Texas Funds represented in-state paper.

In addition, we established a portfolio hedge in NUM, NUO and NTX by purchasing a credit default swap on the debt obligations of the U.S. territory of Puerto Rico. We have previously noted a correlation between the credit quality of Puerto Rico bonds and that of the overall high yield municipal bond market. Given that these portfolios regularly maintain a meaningful stake in BBB-rated and below investment grade rated bonds, we saw this as a way to reduce the Funds' overall risk while continuing to take advantage of opportunities to invest in the lower quality portion of the market. During the reporting period, these swaps had a negligible impact on performance.

During this reporting period, S&P upgraded its credit rating on National Public Finance Guarantee Corp. (NPFG), the insurance subsidiary of MBIA, to AA- rating from A rating, citing NPFG's strong operating performance and competitive position in the financial guarantee market. As a result, the ratings on the Funds' holdings of bonds backed by insurance from NPFG were similarly upgraded to AA- rating as of mid-March 2014. This action produced an increase in the percentage of our portfolios held in the AA- rated credit quality category (and a corresponding decrease in the A rated category), improving the overall credit quality of the Funds. During this reporting period, S&P also upgraded its rating on Assured Guaranty Municipal (AGM) as well as AGM's municipal-only insurer Municipal

Assurance Corp. to AA from AA-.

Cash for new purchases was generated primarily by proceeds from called and matured bonds, which we worked to redeploy to keep the Funds fully invested and support their income streams. As previously mentioned, the decline in municipal yields and the flattening of the municipal yield curve relative to the Treasury curve helped to make refunding deals more attractive and we saw an

Portfolio Managers' Comments (continued)

increase in this activity during the reporting period, which provided ample cash for purchases. NAZ took an active approach to managing heightened call activity, selling some callable bond positions at the end of 2014 and in early 2015 to try to reduce the overall portfolio's reinvestment risk. NAZ's other notable sell during the period included the COFINA bonds described earlier. NUM sold all of its remaining uninsured Detroit water and sewer paper, uninsured Wayne County GOs (which were eliminated prior to Fitch's downgrade of Wayne County in March 2015) and Detroit Public Schools state aid revenue bonds. NUO sold a Wittenberg University revenue bond on deteriorating credit concerns, and NTX sold a pre-refunded bond.

As of February 28, 2015, all four of these Funds continued to use inverse floating rate securities. We employ inverse floaters for a variety of reasons, including duration management and income enhancement. For duration and cash management reasons, NUM and NUO found it advantageous to terminate several inverse floating rate trusts during this reporting period. This had the effect of modestly reducing leverage.

How did the Funds perform for the twelve-month reporting period ended February 28, 2015?

The tables in each Fund's Performance Overview and Holding Summaries section of this report provide the Funds' total returns for the one-year, five-year and ten-year periods ended February 28, 2015. Each Fund's returns on common share net asset value (NAV) are compared with the performance of corresponding market index and Lipper classification average.

For the twelve months ended February 28, 2015, the total returns on common share NAV for these four Funds outperformed the returns for their respective state's S&P Municipal Bond Index as well as that of the national S&P Municipal Bond Index. NAZ and NUO outperformed the average return for the Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Classification, while NUM and NTX underperformed this Lipper average. Shareholders should note that the performance of the Lipper Other States classification represents the overall average of returns for funds from ten states with a wide variety of municipal market conditions, making direct comparisons less meaningful.

Key management factors that influenced the Funds' returns during this reporting period included duration and yield curve positioning, credit exposure and sector allocation. The use of leverage also was an important factor affecting the Funds' performance. Leverage is discussed in more detail later in this report.

Given the combination of declining interest rates and a flattening yield curve during this reporting period, municipal bonds with longer maturities generally outperformed those with shorter maturities. Overall, credits with maturities of 15 years or more, especially those at the longest end of the municipal yield curve, outperformed the general municipal market, while bonds at the shortest end of the curve produced the weakest results. Because these four Funds were generally overweighted in the longer segments of the municipal curve that performed best and generally underweighted in the underperforming shorter end of the curve, duration and yield curve positioning was a significant positive contributor to their performance.

During the reporting period, lower rated bonds generally outperformed higher quality bonds, as the municipal market rally continued and investors became more willing to accept risk. NUO and NTX were the most favorably positioned for this environment, with overweights in the stronger performing, lower rated bonds and underweights in the weaker performing, higher rated bonds contributing positively to performance. NAZ benefited from similar positioning, but gains were slightly offset by a AA-rated Puerto Rico credit that detracted from returns. For NUM, an overweight to AA-rated bonds (which underperformed) and an underweight to BB-rated bonds (which outperformed) were detrimental to performance.

The influence of sector allocation varied among the Funds during the reporting period. Although an overweight in the underper-forming housing sector was modestly disadvantageous to performance, NUO benefited from its underweight in state GO credits, a sector that underperformed, and an overweight in health care credits, a group that outperformed. For NAZ, the impact on overall returns was small, but still positive. Exposure to Tax-Supported credits, particularly dedicated tax credits hurt NAZ's returns. Despite being underweight in transportation this sector was a positive contributor as the positions held were longer duration bonds. An overweight in the industrial development/pollution control revenue credits boosted results. Among individual credits, NAZ had

positive results from a Phoenix Sky Harbor International Airport bond, two charter school bonds issued by the Phoenix Industrial Development Authority (Choice Academies Inc. and Legacy Traditional Schools), two hospital systems (John C. Lincoln Health Network and University Medical Center) and tax increment dirt bonds, which are so-called because they are secured by land values.

For NUM and NTX, sector allocation was an overall detractor from performance. Specifically, although exposure to health care helped NUM's performance, it did not offset the negative effect of exposure to higher education and pre-refunded bonds. NTX was hurt by health care credits and an underweight in toll roads, which overwhelmed gains from its position in dedicated tax bonds.

We also continue to monitor two situations in the broader municipal market for any impact on the Funds' holdings and performance: the ongoing economic problems of Puerto Rico and the bankruptcy filing of Detroit, Michigan. Regarding Puerto Rico, shareholders should note that NUM, NUO and NTX had sold out of their exposures to Puerto Rico debt prior to this reporting period, while NAZ had an allocation of approximately 1% throughout the reporting period. The Puerto Rico credits offered higher yields, added diversification and triple exemption (i.e., exemption from most federal, state, and local taxes). However, Puerto Rico's continued economic weakening, escalating debt service obligations, and long-standing inability to deliver a balanced budget led to multiple downgrades on its debt over the past two years. Following the latest rating reduction by Moody's in July 2014, Puerto Rico general obligation debt was rated B2/BB+/BB (below investment grade) by Moody's, S&P and Fitch, respectively, with negative outlooks.

On February 6, 2015 a federal court found Puerto Rico's Recovery Act to be unconstitutional. Though the Commonwealth is pursuing an appeal of the ruling, the outcome is uncertain. Puerto Rico's non-voting Representative in Congress recently introduced legislation that would make chapter 9 bankruptcy available to the Commonwealth's public corporations. A congressional committee hearing was held on February 26, 2015, but the bill has not advanced out of committee.

In light of the evolving economic situation in Puerto Rico, Nuveen's credit analysis of the Commonwealth had previously considered the possibility of a default and restructuring of public corporations and we adjusted our portfolios to prepare for such an outcome, although no such default or restructuring has occurred to date. The Nuveen complex's entire exposure to obligations of the government of Puerto Rico and other Puerto Rico issuers totaled 0.36% of assets under management as of February 28, 2015. As of February 28, 2015, NAZs limited exposure to Puerto Rico generally was invested in bonds that were insured (which we believe adds value), pre-refunded (and therefore backed by securities such as U.S. Treasuries), or tobacco settlement bonds. Overall, the small size of our exposures meant that our Puerto Rico holdings had a negligible impact on performance.

The second situation that we continued to monitor was the City of Detroit's filing for chapter 9 in federal bankruptcy court in July 2013. Burdened by decades of population loss, changes in the auto manufacturing industry and significant tax base deterioration, Detroit had been under severe financial stress for an extended period prior to the filing. Before Detroit could exit bankruptcy, issues surrounding the city's complex debt portfolio, numerous union contracts, significant legal questions and more than 100,000 creditors had to be resolved. By October 2014, all of the major creditors had reached an agreement on the city's plan to restructure its \$18.5 billion of debt and emerge from bankruptcy on November 7, 2014. The U.S. Bankruptcy Court approved the city's bankruptcy exit plan, thereby erasing approximately \$7 billion in debt. The settlement plan also provided for \$1.7 billion to be reinvested in the city for improved public safety, blight removal and upgraded basic services. As described in the key strategies section earlier in this commentary, Detroit's tender offer for the city's water and sewer bonds in August 2014 was a positive event, resulting in beneficial savings for the city and the permanent removal of the water and sewer credits from the city's bankruptcy case. In general, Detroit water and sewer credits rallied following these positive developments. Shareholders of NUM should note that this Fund has no exposure to Detroit general obligation bonds and is underweighted in Detroit water and sewer bonds relative to the Michigan index.

Fund Leverage

IMPACT OF THE FUNDS' LEVERAGE STRATEGY ON PERFORMANCE

One important factor impacting the returns of the Funds relative to their comparative benchmarks was the Funds' use of leverage through their issuance of preferred shares and/or investments in inverse floating rate securities, which represent leveraged investments in underlying bonds. The Funds use leverage because our research has shown that, over time, leveraging provides opportunities for additional income, particularly in the recent market environment where short-term market rates are at or near historical lows, meaning that the short-term rates the Fund has been paying on its leveraging instruments have been much lower than the interest the Fund has been earning on its portfolio of long-term bonds that it has bought with the proceeds of that leverage. However, use of leverage also can expose the Fund to additional price volatility. When a Fund uses leverage, the Fund will experience a greater increase in its net asset value if the municipal bonds acquired through the use of leverage increase in value, but it will also experience a correspondingly larger decline in its net asset value if the bonds acquired through leverage decline in value, which will make the Fund's net asset value more volatile, and its total return performance more variable over time. In addition, income in levered funds will typically decrease in comparison to unlevered funds when short-term interest rates increase and increase when short-term interest rates decrease. Leverage had a positive impact on the performance of the Funds over this reporting period.

As of February 28, 2015, the Funds' percentages of leverage are shown in the accompanying table.

	NAZ	NUM	NUO	NTX
Effective Leverage*	35.59%	34.58%	35.20%	32.20%
Regulatory Leverage*	31.27%	32.57%	31.96%	31.03%

^{*} Effective leverage is a Fund's effective economic leverage, and includes both regulatory leverage and the leverage effects of certain derivative and other investments in a Fund's portfolio that increase the Fund's investment exposure. Currently, the leverage effects of Tender Option Bond (TOB) inverse floater holdings are included in effective leverage values, in addition to any regulatory leverage. Regulatory leverage consists of preferred shares issued or borrowings of a Fund. Both of these are part of a Fund's capital structure. Regulatory leverage is subject to asset coverage limits set forth in the Investment Company Act of 1940.

THE FUNDS' REGULATORY LEVERAGE

As of February 28, 2015, the Funds have issued and outstanding MuniFund Term Preferred (MTP) Shares, Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred (VMTP) Shares and/or Variable Rate Demand Preferred (VRDP) Shares as shown in the accompanying table.

	\mathbf{N}	ITP Shares			VMT	P Shares	VRD	P Shares
	S	Shares Issued	Annual	NYSE		Shares Issued		Shares Issued
	a	t Liquidation	Dividend			at Liquidation		at Liquidation
	Series	Value	Rate	Ticker	Series	Value	Series	Value
NAZ	_ \$	_		_	2016 \$	79,000,000	_ \$	_
NUM	-\$				2016 \$	159,000,000	— \$	_
NUO	_ \$	_	- —	_	— \$		— 1 \$	148,000,000
				NTX				
NTX	2015 \$	70,920,000	2.30%	PRCCL	\$		\$	_

Refer to Notes to Financial Statements, Note 1 – General Information and Significant Accounting Policies for further details on MTP, VMTP and VRDP Shares.

Common Share Information

DISTRIBUTION INFORMATION

The following information regarding the Funds' distributions is current as of February 28, 2015. Each Fund's distribution levels may vary over time based on each Fund's investment activities and portfolio investment value changes.

During the current reporting period, each Fund's distributions to common shareholders were as shown in the accompanying table.

Per Common Share Amounts							
	NAZ		NUM		NUO		NTX
\$	0.0655	\$	0.0740	\$	0.0800	\$	0.0580
	0.0655		0.0740		0.0800		0.0580
	0.0655		0.0740		0.0800		0.0580
	0.0655		0.0740		0.0800		0.0580
	0.0665		0.0740		0.0800		0.0580
	0.0665		0.0740		0.0800		0.0580
	0.0665		0.0705		0.0740		0.0545
	0.0665		0.0705		0.0740		0.0545
	0.0665		0.0705		0.0740		0.0545
	0.0665		0.0675		0.0740		0.0545
	0.0665		0.0675		0.0740		0.0545
	0.0665		0.0675		0.0740		0.0545
\$	0.0003	\$	0.0002	\$	0.0027	\$	0.0010
	5.55%		5.85%)	5.77%		4.56%
	8.08%		8.49%)	8.46%		6.33%
		\$ 0.0655 0.0655 0.0655 0.0665 0.0665 0.0665 0.0665 0.0665 0.0665 0.0665 \$ 0.0665	NAZ \$ 0.0655 \$ 0.0655 0.0655 0.0665 0.0665 0.0665 0.0665 0.0665 0.0665 0.0665 0.0665	NAZ NUM \$ 0.0655 \$ 0.0740 0.0655 0.0740 0.0655 0.0740 0.0655 0.0740 0.0665 0.0740 0.0665 0.0740 0.0665 0.0705 0.0665 0.0705 0.0665 0.0705 0.0665 0.0675 0.0665 0.0675 0.0665 0.0675 \$ 0.0665 0.0675 \$ 0.0003 \$ 0.0002	NAZ NUM \$ 0.0655 \$ 0.0740 \$ 0.0655 0.0740 \$ 0.0655 0.0740 \$ 0.0655 0.0740 \$ 0.0665 0.0740 \$ 0.0665 0.0740 \$ 0.0665 0.0705 \$ 0.0665 0.0705 \$ 0.0665 0.0705 \$ 0.0665 0.0675 \$ 0.0665 0.0675 \$ 0.0665 0.0675 \$ 0.0665 0.0675 \$ 0.0003 \$ 0.0002 \$ 5.85%	NAZ NUM NUO \$ 0.0655 \$ 0.0740 \$ 0.0800 0.0655 0.0740 0.0800 0.0655 0.0740 0.0800 0.0655 0.0740 0.0800 0.0665 0.0740 0.0800 0.0665 0.0740 0.0800 0.0665 0.0705 0.0740 0.0665 0.0705 0.0740 0.0665 0.0705 0.0740 0.0665 0.0705 0.0740 0.0665 0.0675 0.0740 0.0665 0.0675 0.0740 0.0665 0.0675 0.0740 \$ 0.0065 0.0675 0.0740 \$ 0.0003 \$ 0.0002 \$ 0.0027	NAZ NUM NUO \$ 0.0655 \$ 0.0740 \$ 0.0800 \$ 0.0655 0.0740 0.0800 0.0655 0.0740 0.0800 0.0655 0.0740 0.0800 0.0665 0.0740 0.0800 0.0665 0.0740 0.0800 0.0665 0.0705 0.0740 0.0665 0.0705 0.0740 0.0665 0.0705 0.0740 0.0665 0.0675 0.0740 0.0665 0.0675 0.0740 0.0665 0.0675 0.0740 0.0665 0.0675 0.0740 \$ 0.0003 \$ 0.0002 \$ 0.0027 \$ 5.55% 5.85% 5.77%

^{*} Distribution paid in December 2014.

Each Fund in this report seeks to pay regular monthly dividends out of its net investment income at a rate that reflects its past and projected net income performance. To permit each Fund to maintain a more stable monthly dividend, the Fund may pay dividends at a rate that may be more or less than the amount of net income actually earned by the Fund during the period. If a Fund has cumulatively earned more than it has paid in dividends, it will hold the excess in reserve as undistributed net investment income (UNII) as part of the Fund's net asset value. Conversely, if a Fund has cumulatively paid in dividends more than it has earned, the excess will constitute a negative UNII that will likewise be reflected in the Fund's net asset value. Each Fund will, over time, pay all its net investment income as dividends to shareholders.

^{**} Market Yield is based on the Fund's current annualized monthly distribution divided by the Fund's current market price as of the end of the reporting period. Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 31.3%, 31.1% and 31.8% for the Arizona, Michigan and Ohio Funds, respectively. The Texas Fund is based on a federal income tax rate of 28.0%. When comparing a Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

As of February 28, 2015, the Funds had positive UNII balances for tax purposes and positive UNII balances for financial reporting purposes.

All monthly dividends paid by each Fund during the current reporting period, were paid from net investment income. If a portion of the Fund's monthly distributions was sourced from or comprised of elements other than net investment income, including capital gains and/or a return of capital, shareholders would have received a notice to that effect. For financial reporting purposes, the composition and per share amounts of each Fund's dividends for the reporting period are presented in this report's Statement of Changes in Net Assets and Financial Highlights, respectively. For income tax purposes, distribution information for each Fund as of its most recent tax year end is presented in Note 6 – Income Tax Information within the Notes to Financial Statements of this report.

COMMON SHARE REPURCHASES

During August 2014, the Funds' Board of Trustees reauthorized an open-market share repurchase program, allowing each Fund to repurchase an aggregate of up to approximately 10% of its outstanding shares.

As of February 28, 2015, and since the inception of the Funds' repurchase programs, the Funds have cumulatively repurchased and retired common shares as shown in the accompanying table.

	NAZ	NUM	NUO	NTX
Common Shares Cumulatively Repurchased and Retired	_	- 185,000	_	_
Common Shares Authorized for Repurchase	1,155,000	2,085,000	1,850,000	1,005,000

During the current reporting period, the Funds did not repurchase any of their outstanding common shares.

COMMON SHARE EQUITY SHELF PROGRAMS

During the reporting period, NTX was authorized to issue an additional 950,000 common shares through its ongoing equity shelf program. Under this program, the Fund, subject to market conditions, may raise additional capital from time to time in varying amounts and offering methods at a net price at or above the Fund's NAV per common share.

During the current reporting period, NTX did not sell any of its common shares through its equity shelf program.

As of June 30, 2014, NTX's shelf offering registration statement is no longer effective, Therefore, NTX may not issue additional common shares under its equity shelf program until a new registration statement is effective.

OTHER COMMON SHARE INFORMATION

As of February 28, 2015, and during the current reporting period, the Funds' common share prices were trading at a premium/ (discount) to their common share NAVs as shown in the accompanying table.

	NAZ		NUM		NUO		NTX
Common Share NAV	\$ 15.02	\$	15.80	\$	17.01	\$	15.72
Common Share Price	\$ 14.37	\$	13.85	\$	15.40	\$	14.35
Premium/(Discount) to NAV	$(4.33)^{\circ}$	%	$(12.34)^{\circ}$	%	$(9.47)^{9}$	%	(8.72)%
12-Month Average Premium/(Discount) to NAV	$(5.79)^{\circ}$	%	$(10.22)^{\circ}$	%	$(6.83)^{\circ}$	%	(7.09)%

Risk Considerations

Fund Shares are not guaranteed or endorsed by any bank or other insured depository institution, and are not federally insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Fund common shares are subject to a variety of risks, including:

Investment, Price and Market Risk. An investment in common shares is subject to investment risk, including the possible loss of the entire principal amount that you invest. Your investment in common shares represents an indirect investment in the municipal securities owned by the Fund, which generally trade in the over-the-counter markets. Shares of closed-end investment companies like these Funds frequently trade at a discount to their net asset value (NAV). Your common shares at any point in time may be worth less than your original investment, even after taking into account the reinvestment of Fund dividends and distributions.

Leverage Risk. Each Fund's use of leverage creates the possibility of higher volatility for the Fund's per share NAV, market price, distributions and returns. There is no assurance that a Fund's leveraging strategy will be successful. Certain aspects of the recently adopted Volcker Rule may limit the availability of tender option bonds, which are used by the Funds for leveraging and duration management purposes. The effects of this new Rule, expected to take effect in mid-2015, may make it more difficult for a Fund to maintain current or desired levels of leverage and may cause the Fund to incur additional expenses to maintain its leverage.

Tax Risk. The tax treatment of Fund distributions may be affected by new IRS interpretations of the Internal Revenue Code and future changes in tax laws and regulations.

Issuer Credit Risk. This is the risk that a security in a Fund's portfolio will fail to make dividend or interest payments when due.

Interest Rate Risk. Fixed-income securities such as bonds, preferred, convertible and other debt securities will decline in value if market interest rates rise.

Reinvestment Risk. If market interest rates decline, income earned from a Fund's portfolio may be reinvested at rates below that of the original bond that generated the income.

Call Risk or Prepayment Risk. Issuers may exercise their option to prepay principal earlier than scheduled, forcing a Fund to reinvest in lower-yielding securities.

Inverse Floater Risk. The Funds invest in inverse floaters. Due to their leveraged nature, these investments can greatly increase a Fund's exposure to interest rate risk and credit risk. In addition, investments in inverse floaters involve the risk that the Fund could lose more than its original principal investment.

NAZ

Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of February 28, 2015

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this section.

Average Annual Total Returns as of February 28, 2015

	Average Annual			
	1-Year	5-Year	10-Year	
NAZ at Common Share NAV	12.01%	7.27%	5.57%	
NAZ at Common Share Price	18.94%	7.30%	4.34%	
S&P Municipal Bond Arizona Index	6.17%	5.27%	4.87%	
S&P Municipal Bond Index	6.47%	5.19%	4.75%	
Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average	11.51%	7.05%	5.44%	

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Returns at NAV are net of Fund expenses, and assume reinvestment of distributions. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

This data relates to the securities held in the Fund's portfolio of investments as of the end of the reporting period. It should not be construed as a measure of performance for the Fund itself. Holdings are subject to change.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Fund Allocation	
(% of net assets)	
Long-Term Municipal Bonds	145.5%
Other Assets Less Liabilities	1.6%
Net Assets Plus Floating Rate Obligations	
& VMTP Shares, at Liquidation Value	147.1%
Floating Rate Obligations	(1.6)%
VMTP Shares, at Liquidation Value	(45.5)%
Net Assets	100%
Portfolio Composition	
(% of total investments)	
Tax Obligation/Limited	24.8%
Health Care	17.6%
Education and Civic Organizations	16.3%
Tax Obligation/General	13.0%
Utilities	12.4%
Water and Sewer	7.6%
U.S. Guaranteed	5.4%
Other	2.9%
Total	100%
Credit Quality	
(% of total investment exposure)	
AAA/U.S. Guaranteed	15.4%
AA	39.9%
A	27.6%
BBB	8.6%
BB or Lower	2.6%
N/R (not rated)	5.9%
Total	100%

NUM

Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of February 28, 2015

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this section.

Average Annual Total Returns as of February 28, 2015

	Average Annual			
	1-Year	5-Year	10-Year	
NUM at Common Share NAV	11.45%	7.22%	5.59%	
NUM at Common Share Price	9.48%	7.76%	3.95%	
S&P Municipal Bond Michigan Index	7.35%	5.68%	4.83%	
S&P Municipal Bond Index	6.47%	5.19%	4.75%	
Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average	11.51%	7.05%	5.44%	

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Returns at NAV are net of Fund expenses, and assume reinvestment of distributions. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

This data relates to the securities held in the Fund's portfolio of investments as of the end of the reporting period. It should not be construed as a measure of performance for the Fund itself. Holdings are subject to change.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Fund Allocation	
(% of net assets)	
Long-Term Municipal Bonds	146.3%
Other Assets Less Liabilities	4.0%
Net Assets Plus Floating Rate Obligations	
& VMTP Shares, at Liquidation Value	150.3%
Floating Rate Obligations	(2.0)%
VMTP Shares, at Liquidation Value	(48.3)%
Net Assets	100%
Portfolio Composition	
(% of total investments)	
Tax Obligation/General	26.5%
Health Care	12.3%
U.S. Guaranteed	11.8%
Tax Obligation/Limited	11.2%
Water and Sewer	11.2%
Education and Civic Organizations	9.6%
Utilities	8.1%
Other	9.3%
Total	100%
Credit Quality	
(% of total investment exposure)	
AAA/U.S. Guaranteed	20.9%
AA	63.9%
A	9.9%
BBB	1.2%
BB or Lower	3.5%
N/R (not rated)	0.6%
Total	100%

NUO

Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of February 28, 2015

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this section.

Average Annual Total Returns as of February 28, 2015

	Average Annual		
	1-Year	5-Year	10-Year
NUO at Common Share NAV	12.23%	6.97%	5.77%
NUO at Common Share Price	10.79%	5.85%	4.32%
S&P Municipal Bond Ohio Index	7.07%	5.49%	4.68%
S&P Municipal Bond Index	6.47%	5.19%	4.75%
Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average	11.51%	7.05%	5.44%

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Returns at NAV are net of Fund expenses, and assume reinvestment of distributions. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

This data relates to the securities held in the Fund's portfolio of investments as of the end of the reporting period. It should not be construed as a measure of performance for the Fund itself. Holdings are subject to change.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Fund Allocation

Fund Allocation	
(% of net assets)	
Long-Term Municipal Bonds	144.5%
Other Assets Less Liabilities	2.5%
Net Assets Plus VRDP Shares, at	
Liquidation Value	147.0%
VRDP Shares, at Liquidation Value	(47.0)%
Net Assets	100%
Portfolio Composition	
(% of total investments)	
Health Care	21.8%
Tax Obligation/General	20.5%
Tax Obligation/Limited	20.4%
U.S. Guaranteed	9.3%
Water and Sewer	8.2%
Education and Civic Organizations	5.7%
Other	14.1%
Total	100%
Credit Quality	
(% of total investment exposure)	
AAA/U.S. Guaranteed	21.7%
AA	48.9%
A	19.2%
BBB	5.7%
BB or Lower	4.2%
N/R (not rated)	0.3%
Total	100%

NTX

Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of February 28, 2015

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this section.

Average Annual Total Returns as of February 28, 2015

	Average Annual		
	1-Year	5-Year	10-Year
NTX at Common Share NAV	10.81%	6.63%	5.57%
NTX at Common Share Price	11.07%	3.21%	4.44%
S&P Municipal Bond Texas Index	6.57%	5.36%	5.04%
S&P Municipal Bond Index	6.47%	5.19%	4.75%
Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average	11.51%	7.05%	5.44%

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Returns at NAV are net of Fund expenses, and assume reinvestment of distributions. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

This data relates to the securities held in the Fund's portfolio of investments as of the end of the reporting period. It should not be construed as a measure of performance for the Fund itself. Holdings are subject to change.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Fund Allocation	
(% of net assets)	
Long-Term Municipal Bonds	144.1%
Other Assets Less Liabilities	3.4%
Net Assets Plus Floating Rate Obligations	
& MTP Shares, at Liquidation Value	147.5%
Floating Rate Obligations	(2.5)%
MTP Shares, at Liquidation Value	(45.0)%
Net Assets	100%
Portfolio Composition	
(% of total investments)	
Tax Obligation/General	21.4%
Transportation	11.8%
Water and Sewer	11.1%
U.S. Guaranteed	11.1%
Utilities	11.0%
Tax Obligation/Limited	10.6%
Education and Civic Organizations	10.4%
Health Care	7.5%
Other	5.1%
Total	100%
Credit Quality	
(% of total investment exposure)	
AAA/U.S. Guaranteed	26.9%
AA	34.5%
A	20.7%
BBB	15.9%
BB or Lower	1.6%
N/R (not rated)	0.4%
Total	100%

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Trustees and Shareholders of Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund:

We have audited the accompanying statement of assets and liabilities, including the portfolios of investments, of Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund, Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund and Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund (the "Funds") as of February 28, 2015, and the related statements of operations, changes in net assets and cash flows, and the financial highlights for the year then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Funds' management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits. The statements of changes in net assets and the financial highlights for the periods presented through February 28, 2014, were audited by other auditors whose report dated April 25, 2014, expressed an unqualified opinion on those statements and those financial highlights.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of February 28, 2015, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers or other appropriate auditing procedures. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Funds as of February 28, 2015, the results of their operations, the changes in their net assets, their cash flows and the financial highlights for the year then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ KPMG LLP Chicago, Illinois April 28, 2015

NAZ

Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund Portfolio of Investments

February 28, 2015

Principal		Optional Call			
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions I (2)	Ratings (3)		Value
Ì	LONG-TERM INVESTMENTS – 145.5% (100.0% of				
	Total Investments)				
	MUNICIPAL BONDS – 145.5% (100.0% of Total				
	Investments) Consumer Staples – 0.6% (0.4% of Total Investments)				
\$ 1,010	Puerto Rico, The Children's Trust Fund, Tobacco	5/15 at	BBB+	\$	1,012,555
,	Settlement Asset-Backed Refunding Bonds, Series 2002, 5.375%, 5/15/33	100.00		·	, - ,
	Education and Civic Organizations – 23.7% (16.3% of Total Investments)				
3,480	Arizona Board of Regents, Arizona State University System Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2013A, 5.000%, 7/01/43	No Opt. Call	AA		3,933,131
2,815	Arizona Board of Regents, University of Arizona, SPEED Revenue Bonds, Stimulus Plan for Economic and Educational Development, Series 2014, 5.000%, 8/01/44	8/24 at 100.00	Aa3		3,199,951
2,240	Arizona Board of Regents, University of Arizona, System Revenue Bonds, Tender Option Bond Trust 2015-XF0053, 18.007%, 6/01/20 (IF)	No Opt. Call	Aa2		3,400,387
1,400	Arizona Board of Regents, University of Arizona, SPEED Revenue Bonds, Stimulus Plan for Economic and Educational Development, Series 2013, 5.000%, 8/01/21	No Opt. Call	Aa3		1,678,376
	Arizona State University, System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005:				
2,705	5.000%, 7/01/20 – AMBAC Insured	7/15 at 100.00	Aa3		2,750,201
750	5.000%, 7/01/21 – AMBAC Insured	7/15 at 100.00	Aa3		762,503
2,000	Glendale Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Revenue Bonds, Midwestern University, Refunding Series 2007, 5.000%, 5/15/31	5/22 at 100.00	A–		2,231,620
3,775	Glendale Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Revenue Bonds, Midwestern University, Refunding Series 2010, 5.125%, 5/15/40	5/20 at 100.00	A+		4,236,453
1,875	Northern Arizona University, System Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2014, 5.000%, 6/01/40	6/24 at 100.00	A+		2,131,631
910	Northern Arizona University, System Revenue Bonds, Series 2012, 5.000%, 6/01/41	6/21 at 100.00	A+		1,000,600

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

900	Phoenix Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Education Facility Revenue Bonds, Choice Academies Charter Schools Project, Series 2012, 5.625%, 9/01/42	9/22 at 100.00	BB+	952,173
755	Phoenix Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Education Facility Revenue Bonds, fbo Brighter Choice Foundation Charter Middle Schools Project, Albany, New York, Series 2012, 7.500%, 7/01/42	7/22 at 100.00	B+	707,684
500	Phoenix Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Education Facility Revenue Bonds, Legacy Traditional Schools Project, Series 2014A, 6.750%, 7/01/44	7/24 at 100.00	N/R	574,105
585	Phoenix Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Education Revenue Bonds, Great Hearts Academies – Veritas Project, Series 2012, 6.300%, 7/01/42	7/21 at 100.00	BB	624,751
745	Phoenix Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Education Revenue Bonds, Painted Rock Academy Charter School Project, Series 2012A, 7.500%, 7/01/42	7/20 at 100.00	N/R	767,074
3,675	Phoenix Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Lease Revenue Bonds, Rowan University Project, Series 2012, 5.000%, 6/01/42 (UB) (4)	6/22 at 100.00	A	3,998,547
200	Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Charter School Revenue Bonds, Desert Heights Charter School, Series 2014, 7.250%, 5/01/44	5/24 at 100.00	N/R	210,256
745	Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Education Revenue Bonds, Carden Traditional Schools Project, Series 2012, 7.500%, 1/01/42	1/22 at 100.00	В	734,734
1,000	Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Education Revenue Bonds, Horizon Community Learning Center Project, Series 2000, 5.250%, 6/01/35	6/15 at 100.00	BBB	1,002,130
	Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Education Revenue Bonds, Paradise Education Center Project, Series 2010:			
745	6.000%, 6/01/40	6/19 at 100.00	BB+	781,356
200	6.100%, 6/01/45	6/19 at 100.00	BB+	210,208
655	Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Educational Revenue Bonds, Paradise Education Center Charter School, Series 2006, 6.000%, 6/01/36	6/16 at 100.00	BB+	665,133

NAZ Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund Portfolio of Investments (continued)

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions F (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	Education and Civic Organizations (continued)			
\$ 1,000	Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Educational Revenue Bonds, Valley Academy Charter School Project, Series 2008, 6.500%, 7/01/38	7/18 at 100.00	Baa3	\$ 1,058,520
780	Student and Academic Services LLC, Arizona, Lease Revenue Bonds, Northern Arizona University Project, Series 2014, 5.000%, 6/01/39 – BAM Insured	6/24 at 100.00	AA	880,386
250	Sun Devil Energy LLC, Arizona, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Arizona State University Project, Series 2008, 5.000%, 7/01/22	No Opt. Call	AA-	296,303
1,350	Tucson Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Charter School Revenue Bonds, Arizona Agribusiness and Equine Center Charter School, Series 2004A, 6.125%, 9/01/34	9/15 at 100.00	BB+	1,351,836
825	Yavapai County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Charter School Revenue Bonds, Arizona Agribusiness and Equine Center Charter School, Series 2011, 7.875%, 3/01/42	3/21 at 100.00	BB+	975,101
36,860	Total Education and Civic Organizations			41,115,150
	Health Care – 25.5% (17.6% of Total Investments)			
3,500	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Banner Health Systems, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 1/01/25	1/17 at 100.00	AA-	3,757,845
7,730	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Banner Health Systems, Series 2008D, 5.500%, 1/01/38	1/18 at 100.00	AA-	8,442,781
1,200	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Banner Health Systems, Series 2014A, 5.000%, 1/01/44	1/24 at 100.00	AA-	1,349,448
5,100	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital System Revenue Bonds, Phoenix Children's Hospital, Refunding Series 2012A, 5.000%, 2/01/42	2/22 at 100.00	BBB+	5,519,577
	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Scottsdale Lincoln Hospitals Project, Series 2014A:			
2,280	5.000%, 12/01/39	12/24 at 100.00	A2	2,571,658
2,500	5.000%, 12/01/42	12/24 at 100.00	A2	2,806,575
7,560	Maricopa County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Health Facility Revenue Bonds, Catholic	7/17 at 100.00	A	8,042,706

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

	Healthcare West, Series 2007A, 5.250%, 7/01/32			
120	Puerto Rico Industrial, Tourist, Educational, Medical	5/15 at	AA+	120,560
	and Environmental Control Facilities Financing	100.00		
	Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Hospital de la			
	Concepcion, Series 2000A, 6.375%, 11/15/15			
1,120	Scottsdale Industrial Development Authority,	9/20 at	AA	1,257,178
	Arizona, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Scottsdale	100.00		
	Healthcare, Series 2006C. Re-offering, 5.000%,			
	9/01/35 – AGC Insured Show Low Industrial Development Authority			
	Show Low Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Navapache			
	Regional Medical Center, Series 2005:			
1,415	5.000%, 12/01/25 – RAAI Insured	12/15 at	BBB	1,434,909
, -		100.00		, - ,
1,160	5.000%, 12/01/30 – RAAI Insured	12/15 at	BBB	1,174,512
		100.00		
2,585	University Medical Center Corporation, Tucson,	7/21 at	Baa2	3,059,373
	Arizona, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Series 2011,	100.00		
	6.000%, 7/01/39			
	University Medical Center Corporation, Tucson,			
200	Arizona, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Series 2013:	No Ont Call	Daga	222 472
200 800	5.000%, 7/01/19 5.000%, 7/01/20	No Opt. Call No Opt. Call	Baa2 Baa2	223,472 900,528
800	Yavapai County Industrial Development Authority,	No Opt. Can	Daa∠	900,328
	Arizona, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Yavapai Regional			
	Medical Center, Series 2013A:			
210	5.000%, 8/01/19	No Opt. Call	Baa1	232,149
1,000	5.250%, 8/01/33	8/23 at	Baa1	1,121,700
		100.00		
	Yuma Industrial Development Authority, Arizona,			
	Hospital Revenue Bonds, Yuma Regional Medical			
	Center, Series 2014A:			
1,000	5.000%, 8/01/22	No Opt. Call	A-	1,170,230
1,000	5.250%, 8/01/32	8/24 at	A–	1,153,800
40.400	Total Haalth Com	100.00		44 220 001
40,480	Total Health Care			44,339,001

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions Rat (2)	tings (3)	Value
,	Long-Term Care – 0.8% (0.6% of Total Investments)	,		
\$ 550	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Health Care Facilities Revenue Bonds, The Beatitudes Campus Project, Series 2006, 5.100%, 10/01/22	10/16 at 100.00	N/R \$	555,869
780	Tempe Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Revenue Bonds, Friendship Village of Tempe Project, Refunding Series 2012A, 6.000%, 12/01/32	12/21 at 100.00	N/R	851,292
1,330	Total Long-Term Care Tax Obligation/General – 19.0% (13.0% of Total Investments)			1,407,161
2,500	Chandler, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2014, 5.000%, 7/01/24 Dysart Unified School District Number 89, Maricopa	No Opt. Call	AAA	3,144,800
	County, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2014:			
1,000	5.000%, 7/01/26	7/24 at 100.00	AA-	1,197,040
525	5.000%, 7/01/27	7/24 at 100.00	AA–	623,411
2,140	El Mirage, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds Series 2012, 5.000%, 7/01/42 – AGM Insured	7/22 at 100.00	AA	2,372,532
1,000	Maricopa County Elementary School District 83 Cartwright, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, School Improvement, Project 2010, Series 2011A, 5.375%, 7/01/30 – AGM Insured	7/21 at 100.00	AA	1,142,450
1,020	Maricopa County School District 6, Arizona, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Washington Elementary School, Series 2002A, 5.375%, 7/01/16 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	1,088,401
775	Maricopa County School District 79 Litchfield Elementary, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011, 5.000%, 7/01/23	7/21 at 100.00	Aa2	924,343
1,200	Maricopa County Unified School District 95 Queen Creek, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008, 5.000%, 7/01/27 – AGM Insured	7/18 at 100.00	Aa3	1,331,940
1,405	Mesa, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002, 5.375%, 7/01/15 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	Aa2	1,430,360
1,370	Pima County Continental Elementary School District 39, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011A, 6.000%, 7/01/30 – AGM Insured	7/21 at 100.00	AA	1,662,659
1,000	Pima County Unified School District 08 Flowing Wells, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011B, 5.375%, 7/01/29	7/21 at 100.00	A+	1,123,260
2,895	Pima County Unified School District 12 Sunnyside, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, School	7/24 at 100.00	AA	3,312,893

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

	Improvement Project 2011, Series 2014D, 5.000%, 7/01/34 – AGM Insured			
1,750	Pima County Unified School District 6, Marana, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, School Improvement Project 2010 Series 2011A, 5.000%, 7/01/25	7/21 at 100.00	A+	2,005,728
4,530	Pinal County Unified School District 1, Florence, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008C, 5.250%, 7/01/28	7/18 at 100.00	A	5,056,114
	Scottsdale, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Preserve Acquisition Series 1999:			
1,310	5.000%, 7/01/32	7/21 at 100.00	AAA	1,503,487
1,360	5.000%, 7/01/33	7/21 at 100.00	AAA	1,557,431
1,705	5.000%, 7/01/34	7/21 at 100.00	AAA	1,947,144
	Western Maricopa Education Center District 402, Maricopa County, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, School Improvement Project 2012, Series 2014B:			
715	4.500%, 7/01/33	7/24 at 100.00	AA–	785,899
665	4.500%, 7/01/34	7/24 at 100.00	AA-	728,135
28,865	Total Tax Obligation/General			32,938,027
	Tax Obligation/Limited – 36.1% (24.8% of Total Investments)			
2,310	Arizona Sports and Tourism Authority, Tax Revenue Bonds, Multipurpose Stadium Facility Project, Refunding Senior Series 2012A, 5.000%, 7/01/36	7/22 at 100.00	A1	2,503,024
	Arizona State Transportation Board, Highway Revenue Bonds, Refunding Subordinate Series 2011A:			
1,275	5.000%, 7/01/16	No Opt. Call	AA+	1,356,052
1,025	5.000%, 7/01/36	7/21 at 100.00	AA+	1,167,988
	Buckeye, Arizona, Festival Ranch Community Facilities District General Obligation Bonds, Series 2012:			
345	5.000%, 7/15/27 – BAM Insured	7/22 at 100.00	AA	383,806
1,085	5.000%, 7/15/31 – BAM Insured	7/22 at 100.00	AA	1,183,410

NAZ Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund Portfolio of Investments (continued)

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions Rat (2)	tings (3)	Value
	Tax Obligation/Limited (continued)			
\$ 612	Centerra Community Facilities District, Goodyear, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.500%, 7/15/29	7/15 at 100.00	N/R \$	613,848
498	Eastmark Community Facilities District 1, Mesa, Arizona, Special Assessment Revenue Bonds, Assessment District 1, Series 2013, 5.250%, 7/01/38	7/23 at 100.00	N/R	527,447
	Estrella Mountain Ranch Community Facilities District, Arizona, Special Assessment Bonds, Montecito Assessment District, Series 2007:			
429	5.700%, 7/01/27	1/17 at 100.00	N/R	440,356
461	5.800%, 7/01/32	1/17 at 100.00	N/R	472,202
662	Estrella Mountain Ranch Community Facilities District, Goodyear, Arizona, Special Assessment Lien Bonds, Series 2001A, 7.875%, 7/01/25	7/15 at 100.00	N/R	664,059
1,500	Goodyear, Arizona, Community Facilities General District 1, Arizona, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2013, 5.000%, 7/15/23	No Opt. Call	A–	1,724,595
	Government of Guam, Business Privilege Tax Bonds, Series 2011A:			
510	5.000%, 1/01/31	1/22 at 100.00	A	567,661
200	5.125%, 1/01/42	1/22 at 100.00	A	221,028
1,500	Government of Guam, Business Privilege Tax Bonds, Series 2012B-1, 5.000%, 1/01/37	1/22 at 100.00	A	1,645,395
1,550	Greater Arizona Development Authority, Infrastructure Revenue Bonds, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 8/01/23 – NPFG Insured	8/16 at 100.00	AA–	1,647,201
250	La Paz County, Arizona, Excise Tax Revenue Bonds, Judgement Series 2011A, 4.750%, 7/01/36	7/17 at 100.00	AA	258,333
1,425	Marana, Arizona, Pledged Excise Tax Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2013, 5.000%, 7/01/33	7/23 at 100.00	AA	1,624,928
2,862	Marana, Arizona, Tangerine Farms Road Improvement District Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 4.600%, 1/01/26	7/16 at 100.00	A2	2,909,366
680	Marley Park Community Facilities District, City of Surprise, Arizona, Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008 (Bank Qualified), 6.100%,	7/17 at 100.00	N/R	701,318

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

	7/15/32			
1,160	Merrill Ranch Community Facilities District 1, Florence, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008A, 7.400%, 7/15/33	7/18 at 100.00	BBB-	1,278,065
300	Page, Arizona, Pledged Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2011, 5.000%, 7/01/26	7/21 at 100.00	AA-	341,952
1,500	Palm Valley Community Facility District 3, Goodyear, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.300%, 7/15/31	7/16 at 100.00	N/R	1,516,815
1,000	Palm Valley Community Facility District 3, Goodyear, Arizona, Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.800%, 7/15/32	7/17 at 100.00	N/R	1,024,660
400	Parkway Community Facilities District 1, Prescott Valley, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.350%, 7/15/31	7/16 at 100.00	N/R	403,680
1,010	Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Transit Excise Tax Revenue Refunding Bonds, Light Rail Project, Series 2013, 5.000%, 7/01/20	No Opt. Call	AA	1,195,173
2,500	Phoenix Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Education Facility Revenue Bonds, JMF-Higley 2012 LLC Project, Series 2012, 5.000%, 12/01/36	No Opt. Call	A	2,743,900
580	Phoenix Mesa Gateway Airport Authority, Arizona, Special Facility Revenue Bonds, Mesa Project, Series 2012, 5.000%, 7/01/38 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	7/22 at 100.00	AA+	636,678
2,095	Pinal County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Correctional Facilities Contract Revenue Bonds, Florence West Prison LLC, Series 2002A, 5.000%, 10/01/18 – ACA Insured	4/15 at 100.00	BBB-	2,098,059
1,000	Pinal County, Arizona, Pledged Revenue Obligations, Series 2014, 5.000%, 8/01/33	8/24 at 100.00	AA-	1,159,590
1,140	Pinetop Fire District of Navajo County, Arizona, Certificates of Participation, Series 2008, 7.750%, 6/15/29	6/16 at 102.00	A3	1,186,979
1,000	Regional Public Transportation Authority, Arizona, Transportation Excise Tax Revenue Bonds, Maricopa County Public Transportation Fund Series 2014, 5.250%, 7/01/22	No Opt. Call	AA+	1,237,130

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions Rat	tings (3)	Value
(111)	Tax Obligation/Limited (continued)	()		
	San Luis, Arizona, Pledged Excise Tax Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2014A:			
\$ 1,400	5.000%, 7/01/34 – BAM Insured	7/24 at 100.00	AA	\$ 1,587,572
2,100	5.000%, 7/01/38 – BAM Insured	7/24 at 100.00	AA	2,370,564
3,000	Scottsdale Municipal Property Corporation, Arizona, Excise Tax Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2006, 5.000%, 7/01/24	No Opt. Call	AAA	3,743,280
5,000	Scottsdale Municipal Property Corporation, Arizona, Excise Tax Revenue Bonds, Water & Sewer Improvements Project, Series 2010, 5.000%, 7/01/36	7/20 at 100.00	AAA	5,723,900
1,570	Tartesso West Community Facility District, Buckeye, Arizona, Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.900%, 7/15/32	7/17 at 100.00	N/R	1,633,444
4,000	Tempe, Arizona, Transit Excise Tax Revenue Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2012, 5.000%, 7/01/37	7/22 at 100.00	AAA	4,543,440
1,750	Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, Matching Fund Loan Notes Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Series 2010A, 5.000%, 10/01/29	10/20 at 100.00	BBB	1,922,358
3,145	Vistancia Community Facilities District, Peoria, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.750%, 7/15/24	7/15 at 100.00	A1	3,186,105
1,508	Watson Road Community Facilities District, Arizona, Special Assessment Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 6.000%, 7/01/30	7/16 at 100.00	N/R	1,545,112
1,000	Westpark Community Facilities District, Buckeye, Arizona, General Obligation Tax Increment Bonds Series 2006, 5.250%, 7/15/31	7/16 at 100.00	N/R	1,011,210
57,337	Total Tax Obligation/Limited Transportation – 2.7% (1.9% of Total Investments)			62,701,683
180	Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Junior Lien Airport Revenue Bonds, Series 2010A, 5.000%, 7/01/40	7/20 at 100.00	A+	198,329
	Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Senior Lien Airport Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2013:			
1,785	5.000%, 7/01/30 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	7/23 at 100.00	AA-	2,025,582
2,215	5.000%, 7/01/32 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	7/23 at 100.00	AA-	2,492,783
4,180	Total Transportation			4,716,694

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

	U.S. Guaranteed – 7.9% (5.4% of Total Investments) (5)			
1,265	Gila County Unified School District 10 Payson, Arizona, School Improvement Bonds, Project 2006, Series 2008B, 5.750%, 7/01/28 (Pre-refunded 7/01/18)	7/18 at 100.00	Aa3 (5)	1,470,082
1,840	Glendale Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Revenue Bonds, John C. Lincoln Health Network, Series 2005B, 5.000%, 12/01/37 (Pre-refunded 12/01/15)	12/15 at 100.00	N/R (5)	1,907,712
2,965	Glendale Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Revenue Bonds, John C. Lincoln Health Network, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/42 (Pre-refunded 12/01/17)	12/17 at 100.00	N/R (5)	3,313,714
2,280	Greater Arizona Development Authority, Infrastructure Revenue Bonds, Series 2006-1, 5.000%, 8/01/22 (Pre-refunded 8/01/16) – NPFG Insured	8/16 at 100.00	AA- (5)	2,431,301
730	Maricopa County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, Samaritan Health Services, Series 1990A, 7.000%, 12/01/16 – NPFG Insured (ETM)	No Opt. Call	N/R (5)	772,289
665	Maricopa County Union High School District 210 Phoenix, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006C, 5.000%, 7/01/24 (Pre-refunded 7/01/16) – NPFG Insured	7/16 at 100.00	AA (5)	707,228
630	Mesa, Arizona, Utility System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2002, 5.250%, 7/01/17 – FGIC Insured (ETM)	No Opt. Call	Aa2 (5)	697,700
1,045	Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Charter School Revenue Bonds, Noah Webster Basic Schools Inc., Series 2004, 6.000%, 12/15/24 (Pre-refunded 4/23/15)	4/15 at 100.00	BBB- (5)	1,045,167
1,340	Yuma & La Paz Counties Community College District, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 7/01/21 (Pre-refunded 7/01/16) – NPFG Insured	7/16 at 100.00	AA- (5)	1,425,090
12,760	Total U.S. Guaranteed			13,770,283

NAZ Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund Portfolio of Investments (continued)

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions R (2)	atings (3)	Value
\$ 1,495	Utilities – 18.1% (12.4% of Total Investments) Apache County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Pollution Control Revenue Bonds, Tucson Electric Power Company, Series 20102A, 4.500%, 3/01/30	3/22 at 100.00	A3 \$	1,611,326
	Arizona Power Authority, Special Obligation Power Resource Revenue Refunding Crossover Bonds, Hoover Project, Series 2001:			
1,000	5.250%, 10/01/15	No Opt. Call	AA	1,030,290
1,500	5.250%, 10/01/17	No Opt. Call	AA	1,676,175
4,310	Maricopa County Pollution Control Corporation, Arizona, Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, Southern California Edison Company, Series 2000A, 5.000%, 6/01/35	6/20 at 100.00	Aa3	4,887,971
370	Mesa, Arizona, Utility System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2002, 5.250%, 7/01/17 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	Aa2	410,267
1,800	Pinal County Electrical District 3, Arizona, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2011, 5.250%, 7/01/36	7/21 at 100.00	A	2,015,766
2,000	Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District, Arizona, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 1/01/35	No Opt. Call	Aa1	2,076,260
2,500	Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District, Arizona, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Tender Option Bond Trust 09-9W, 17.767%, 1/01/38 (IF) (4)	1/18 at 100.00	Aa1	3,459,100
	Salt Verde Financial Corporation, Arizona, Senior Gas Revenue Bonds, Citigroup Energy Inc Prepay Contract Obligations, Series 2007:			
4,500	5.500%, 12/01/29	No Opt. Call	A-	5,437,530
5,665	5.000%, 12/01/37	No Opt. Call	A-	6,552,309
2,370	Yuma County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Exempt Revenue Bonds, Far West Water & Sewer Inc. Refunding, Series 2007A, 6.375%, 12/01/37 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	12/17 at 100.00	N/R	2,243,418
27,510	Total Utilities			31,400,412
	Water and Sewer – 11.1% (7.6% of Total Investments)			
500	City of Goodyear, Arizona Subordinate Lien Water and Sewer Revenue Obligations, Series 2011, 5.500%, 7/01/41	7/21 at 100.00	AA	579,845
1,005	,		BBB+	1,006,518

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

	Cottonwood, Arizona, Senior Lien Water System Revenue Bonds, Municipal Property Corporation, Series 2004, 5.000%, 7/01/24 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	7/15 at 100.00		
500	Glendale, Arizona, Water and Sewer Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2012, 5.000%, 7/01/28	7/22 at 100.00	AA	583,385
2,855	Goodyear, Arizona, Water and Sewer Revenue Obligations, Series 2010, 5.625%, 7/01/39	7/20 at 100.00	AA-	3,329,757
500	Guam Waterworks Authority, Water and Wastewater System Revenue Bonds, Series 2013, 5.250%, 7/01/33	7/23 at 100.00	A–	569,025
2,000	Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Junior Lien Water System Revenue Bonds, Series 2014A, 5.000%, 7/01/39	7/24 at 100.00	AAA	2,329,100
	Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Junior Lien Water System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2001:			
1,250	5.500%, 7/01/21 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AAA	1,545,988
1,040	5.500%, 7/01/22 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AAA	1,304,430
1,135	Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Wastewater System Revenue Bonds, Refunding Junior Lien Series 2014, 5.000%, 7/01/29	7/24 at 100.00	AA+	1,349,288
1,500	Pima County, Arizona, Sewer System Revenue Obligations, Series 2012A, 5.000%, 7/01/26	No Opt. Call	AA-	1,774,995
1,000	Pima County, Arizona, Sewer System Revenue Obligations, Series 2014, 5.000%, 7/01/22	No Opt. Call	AA-	1,210,810

³⁰ Nuveen Investments

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions Ra (2)	tings (3)	Value
	Water and Sewer (continued)			
	Surprise Municipal Property Corporation, Arizona,			
	Wastewater System Revenue Bonds, Series 2007:			
\$ 990	4.700%, 4/01/22	4/15 at 100.00	A	\$ 994,029
1,970	4.900%, 4/01/32	4/17 at 100.00	A	2,023,170
500	Tucson, Arizona, Water System Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2013A, 5.000%, 7/01/23	No Opt. Call	AA	611,955
16,745	Total Water and Sewer			19,212,295
\$ 227,077	Total Long-Term Investments (cost \$231,298,587)			252,613,261
	Floating Rate Obligations – (1.6)%			(2,755,000)
	Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value – (45.5)% (6)			(79,000,000)
	Other Assets Less Liabilities – 1.6%			2,789,882
	Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares – 100%			\$ 173,648,143

- (1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to common shares unless otherwise noted.
- Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
- Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the highest of Standard & Poor's Group ("Standard & Poor's"), Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ("Moody's") or Fitch, Inc. ("Fitch' rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's, Baa by Moody's or BBB by Fitch are considered to be below investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.
- (4) Investment, or portion of investment, has been pledged to collateralize the net payment obligations for investments in inverse floating rate transactions.
- (5) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities, which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities.
- (6) Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value as a percentage of Total Investments is 31.3%.
- (ETM) Escrowed to maturity.
- (IF) Inverse floating rate investment.
- (UB) Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction. See Notes to Financial Statements, Note 3 Portfolio Securities and Investments in Derivatives, Inverse Floating Rate Securities for more information.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

NUM

Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund Portfolio of Investments

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions Rat (2)	tings (3)	Value
	LONG-TERM INVESTMENTS – 146.3% (100.0% of			
	Total Investments)			
	MUNICIPAL BONDS – 146.3% (100.0% of Total Investments)			
	Consumer Staples – 4.5% (3.1% of Total Investments)			
\$ 7,100	Michigan Tobacco Settlement Finance Authority, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Series 2007A, 6.000%, 6/01/34	6/17 at 100.00	В- \$	6,296,209
8,650	Michigan Tobacco Settlement Finance Authority, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, Series 2008A, 6.875%, 6/01/42	6/18 at 100.00	BB-	8,571,977
15,750	Total Consumer Staples			14,868,186
	Education and Civic Organizations – 14.1% (9.6% of Total Investments)			
1,220	Central Michigan University Board of Trustees, General Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2014, 5.000%, 10/01/39	10/24 at 100.00	Aa3	1,401,243
1,000	Conner Creek Academy East, Michigan, Public School Revenue Bonds, Series 2007, 5.250%, 11/01/36	11/16 at 100.00	B+	743,560
1,255	Detroit Community High School, Michigan, Public School Academy Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.750%, 11/01/30	11/15 at 100.00	В–	915,623
500	Grand Valley State University, Michigan, General Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2014B, 5.000%, 12/01/28	12/24 at 100.00	A+	584,665
805	Michigan Finance Authority, Public School Academy Revenue Bonds, Detroit Service Learning Academy Project, Refunding Series 2011, 7.000%, 10/01/31	10/21 at 100.00	BB-	855,908
	Michigan Higher Education Facilities Authority, Limited Obligation Revenue Refunding Bonds, Kettering University, Series 2001:			
1,685	5.500%, 9/01/17 – AMBAC Insured	3/15 at 100.00	N/R	1,687,342
1,170	5.000%, 9/01/26 – AMBAC Insured	3/15 at 100.00	N/R	1,170,304
240	Michigan Public Educational Facilities Authority, Charter School Revenue Bonds, American Montessori Academy, Series 2007, 6.500%, 12/01/37	12/17 at 100.00	N/R	241,961
5,000	,, ,, <u>,</u> , , , , , , , , ,		AA+	5,639,000

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

	Michigan State University, General Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2010C, 5.000%, 2/15/40	2/20 at 100.00		
7,790	Michigan State University, General Revenue Bonds, Series 2013A, 5.000%, 8/15/41	8/23 at 100.00	AA+	8,884,729
3,175	Michigan Technological University, General Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2012A, 5.000%, 10/01/34	10/21 at 100.00	A1	3,547,459
4,000	University of Michigan, General Revenue Bonds, Series 2014A, 5.000%, 4/01/44	4/24 at 100.00	AAA	4,615,320
5,000	Wayne State University, Michigan, General Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2008, 5.000%, 11/15/35 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	5,566,200
3,700	Wayne State University, Michigan, General Revenue Bonds, Series 2013A, 5.000%, 11/15/40	11/23 at 100.00	AA-	4,178,891
525	Western Michigan University, General Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2011, 5.000%, 11/15/31	11/21 at 100.00	A1	585,380
	Western Michigan University, General Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2013:			
750	5.250%, 11/15/33 – AGM Insured	11/23 at 100.00	AA	854,475
4,250	5.000%, 11/15/39 – AGM Insured	11/23 at 100.00	AA	4,772,665
42,065	Total Education and Civic Organizations Health Care – 18.0% (12.3% of Total Investments)			46,244,725
4,000	Grand Traverse County Hospital Financial Authority, Michigan, Revenue Bonds, Munson Healthcare, Refunding Series 2011A, 5.000%, 7/01/29	7/21 at 100.00	AA-	4,412,680
1,800	Jackson County Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Allegiance Health, Refunding Series 2010A, 5.000%, 6/01/37 – AGM Insured	6/20 at 100.00	AA	1,992,402
	Kent Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Spectrum Health System, Refunding Series 2011C:			
5,500	5.000%, 1/15/31	1/22 at 100.00	AA	6,143,115
2,000	5.000%, 1/15/42	1/22 at 100.00	AA	2,176,920

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions R (2)	atings (3)	Value
` ,	Health Care (continued)	,		
\$ 1,780	Michigan Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Beaumont Health Credit Group, Refunding Series 2015A, 5.000%, 8/01/33	8/24 at 100.00	A1	\$ 2,019,552
5,505	Michigan Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Crittenton Hospital Medical Center, Refunding Series 2012A, 5.000%, 6/01/39	No Opt. Call	BBB	5,893,378
3,930	Michigan Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Oakwood Obligated Group, Refunding Series 2013, 5.000%, 8/15/31	8/23 at 100.00	A1	4,465,030
	Michigan Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Oakwood Obligated Group, Refunding Series 2012:			
1,000	5.000%, 11/01/25	11/22 at 100.00	A1	1,150,750
1,000	5.000%, 11/01/26	No Opt. Call	A1	1,144,060
3,750	5.000%, 11/01/42	11/22 at 100.00	A1	4,043,138
3,000	Michigan Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Sparrow Obligated Group, Series 2012, 5.000%, 11/15/42	11/22 at 100.00	A+	3,241,770
9,650	Michigan Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Trinity Health Credit Group, Refunding Series 2011, 5.000%, 12/01/39	12/21 at 100.00	AA	10,841,582
	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Henry Ford Health System, Refunding Series 2009:			
150	5.000%, 11/15/20	11/19 at 100.00	A-	171,227
7,300	5.750%, 11/15/39	11/19 at 100.00	A–	8,289,880
2,000	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, Henry Ford Health System, Series 2006A, 5.250%, 11/15/46	11/16 at 100.00	A–	2,098,100
1,000	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Trinity Health Care Group, Series 2009C, 5.000%, 12/01/48	6/22 at 100.00	AA	1,088,220
53,365	Total Health Care			59,171,804
	Housing/Multifamily – 4.4% (3.0% of Total Investments)			
2,675	Michigan Housing Development Authority, FNMA Limited Obligation Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Parkview Place Apartments, Series 2002A, 5.550%, 12/01/34 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	12/20 at 101.00	AA	2,929,125
695	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds, Breton Village Green	4/15 at 100.00	AA	702,207

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

	Project, Series 1993, 5.625%, 10/15/18 – AGM Insured			
1,055	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds, Walled Lake Villa Project, Series 1993, 6.000%, 4/15/18 – AGM Insured	4/15 at 100.00	AA	1,057,511
	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 1988A:			
1,395	3.375%, 11/01/16 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	11/15 at 100.00	AA	1,411,433
1,405	3.875%, 11/01/17 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	11/15 at 100.00	AA	1,421,593
2,300	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Rental Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 2006D, 5.125%, 4/01/31 – AGM Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	7/15 at 100.00	AA	2,321,091
1,825	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Rental Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 2010A, 5.000%, 10/01/35	10/20 at 100.00	AA	1,949,538
1,725	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Rental Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 2012A-2, 4.625%, 10/01/41	4/22 at 100.00	AA	1,797,450
1,000	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Rental Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 2012D, 4.000%, 10/01/42	No Opt. Call	AA	1,008,590
14,075	Total Housing/Multifamily Housing/Single Family – 0.9% (0.6% of Total Investments)			14,598,538
2,495	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Single Family Homeownership Revenue Bonds, Series 2010C, 5.500%, 12/01/28 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	6/20 at 100.00	AA+	2,660,843
380	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Single Family Homeownership Revenue Bonds, Series 2011A, 4.600%, 12/01/26	6/21 at 100.00	AA+	397,784
2,875	Total Housing/Single Family			3,058,627

NUM Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund

Portfolio of Investments (continued) February 28, 2015

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions Ra (2)	atings (3)	Value
	Tax Obligation/General – 38.8% (26.5% of Total Investments)			
\$ 2,310	Ann Arbor Public School District, Washtenaw County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2012, 5.000%, 5/01/29	5/22 at 100.00	Aa2 \$	2,717,091
2,200	Ann Arbor, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Court & Police Facilities Capital Improvement Series 2008, 5.000%, 5/01/38	5/18 at 100.00	AA+	2,426,270
100	Battle Creek School District, Calhoun County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 5/01/37 – AGM Insured	5/17 at 100.00	AA	107,560
	Byron Center Public Schools, Kent County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2012:			
1,000	4.000%, 5/01/32	5/21 at 100.00	AA-	1,037,660
500	4.000%, 5/01/33	5/21 at 100.00	AA-	518,050
1,135	Caledonia Community Schools, Kent, Allegan and Barry Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, School Building & Site Series 2014, 5.000%, 5/01/39	5/24 at 100.00	AA-	1,298,258
7,740	Caledonia Community Schools, Kent, Allegan and Barry Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 4.750%, 5/01/32 – NPFG Insured	5/17 at 100.00	Aa2	8,271,738
875	Charlotte Public School District, Easton County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2012, 5.000%, 5/01/20	No Opt. Call	AA-	1,017,371
	Comstock Park Public Schools, Kent County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, School Building & Site, Series 2011B:			
1,200	5.500%, 5/01/36	5/21 at 100.00	AA-	1,392,924
2,190	5.500%, 5/01/41	5/21 at 100.00	AA-	2,527,370
	Detroit-Wayne County Stadium Authority, Michigan, Wayne County Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Building Authority Stadium Refunding Series 2012:			
1,040	5.000%, 10/01/19 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	1,151,197
2,615	5.000%, 10/01/20 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	2,914,836
1,000	5.000%, 10/01/21 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	1,118,990
1,645	5.000%, 10/01/22 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	1,851,744

4,850	5.000%, 10/01/26 – AGM Insured	10/22 at 100.00	AA	5,279,856
	Grand Rapids and Kent County Joint Building Authority, Michigan, Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Devos Place Project, Series 2001:			
8,900	0.000%, 12/01/25	No Opt. Call	AAA	6,759,728
3,000	0.000%, 12/01/26	No Opt. Call	AAA	2,188,200
100	0.000%, 12/01/27	No Opt. Call	AAA	70,202
5,305	0.000%, 12/01/29	No Opt. Call	AAA	3,450,637
	Grand Rapids, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Capital Improvement Series 2007:			
860	5.000%, 9/01/24 – NPFG Insured	9/17 at 100.00	AA	945,630
2,000	5.000%, 9/01/27 – NPFG Insured	9/17 at 100.00	AA	2,176,200
3,185	Kalamazoo Public Schools, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 5/01/25 AGM Insured	5/16 at 100.00	AA	3,358,742
	Lake Saint Claire, Macomb County, Michigan, Clean water Drainage District General Obligation Bonds, Series 2013:			
1,000	5.000%, 10/01/25	10/23 at 100.00	AA+	1,183,970
1,020	5.000%, 10/01/26	10/23 at 100.00	AA+	1,196,287
2,505	Lincoln Consolidated School District, Washtenaw and Wayne Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 5/01/25 – NPFG Insured	5/16 at 100.00	Aa2	2,628,196
2,160	Lowell Area Schools, Kent and Ionia Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 5/01/37 – AGM Insured	5/17 at 100.00	AA	2,321,352
1,925	Marshall Public Schools, Calhoun County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 5/01/30 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	5/17 at 100.00	AA-	2,009,642
4,000	Michigan State, General Obligation Bonds, Environmental Program, Refunding Series 2011A, 5.000%, 12/01/22	12/21 at 100.00	Aa2	4,794,360
1,000	Michigan State, General Obligation Bonds, Environmental Program, Series 2009A, 5.500%, 11/01/25	5/19 at 100.00	Aa2	1,147,080
2,500	Montrose School District, Michigan, School Building and Site Bonds, Series 1997, 6.000%, 5/01/22 – NPFG Insured	•	AA-	2,990,775
2,945	Muskegon Community College District, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Community Facility Series 2013I, 5.000%, 5/01/38 – BAM Insured	5/24 at 100.00	AA	3,424,623

Principal Amount	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions	Ratings (3)	Value
(000)	Tax Obligation/General (continued)	(2)		
\$1,410	New Haven Community Schools, Macomb County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 5/01/25 – AGM Insured	5/16 at 100.00	AA	\$1,483,250
6,820	Oakland Intermediate School District, Oakland County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 5/01/36 – AGM Insured	5/17 at 100.00	Aaa	7,352,369
1,100	Oxford Area Community Schools, Oakland and Lapeer Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 5/01/25 – AGM Insured	5/15 at 100.00	AA	1,108,503
5,785	Parchment School District, Kalamazoo County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 4.750%, 5/01/36 – AGM Insured	5/17 at 100.00	AA	5,973,186
750	Plainwell Community Schools, Allegan County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, School Building & Site, Series 2008, 5.000%, 5/01/28 – AGC Insured	5/18 at 100.00	AA	827,715
	Port Huron, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding & Capital Improvement Series 2011:			
1,585	5.000%, 10/01/31 – AGM Insured	10/21 at 100.00	AA	1,740,504
640	5.250%, 10/01/37 – AGM Insured	10/21 at 100.00	AA	708,205
	Port Huron, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011B:			
530	5.000%, 10/01/31 – AGM Insured	10/21 at 100.00	AA	581,998
800	5.250%, 10/01/40 – AGM Insured	10/21 at 100.00	AA	883,264
500	Rockford Public Schools, Kent County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2012, 5.000%, 5/01/19	No Opt. Cal	1AA–	573,525
1,000	Rockford Public Schools, Kent County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 5/01/27 – AGM Insured	5/15 at 100.00	AA	1,007,930
2,100	Rockford Public Schools, Kent County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008, 5.000%, 5/01/33 – AGM Insured	5/18 at 100.00	AA	2,305,044
625	Royal Oak City School District, Oakland County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2014, 5.000%, 5/01/20	No Opt. Cal	1Aa2	732,675
1,435	South Haven Public Schools, Van Buren County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, School Building & Site, Series 2014A, 5.000%, 5/01/41 – BAM Insured	5/24 at 100.00	AA	1,611,031

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

Ü	ŭ			
350	South Haven, Van Buren County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Capital Improvement Series 2009, 5.125%, 12/01/33 – AGC Insured	12/19 at 100.00	AA	400,110
3,600	Trenton Public Schools District, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008, 5.000%, 5/01/34 – AGM Insured	5/18 at 100.00	AA	3,951,504
550	Troy School District, Oakland County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2015, 5.000%, 5/01/26 (WI/DD, Settling 3/25/15)	5/25 at 100.00	AA	669,746
	Van Dyke Public Schools, Macomb County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, School Building & Site, Series 2008:			
1,110	5.000%, 5/01/31 – AGM Insured	5/18 at 100.00	AA	1,219,102
2,150	5.000%, 5/01/38 – AGM Insured	5/18 at 100.00	AA	2,361,324
1,600	Walled Lake Consolidated School District, Oakland County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, School Building & Site Series 2014, 5.000%, 5/01/40	11/23 at 100.00	Aa2	1,819,248
	Wayne Charter County, Michigan, Limited Tax General Obligation Airport Hotel Revenue Bonds, Detroit Metropolitan Wayne County Airport, Series 2001A:			
1,500	5.500%, 12/01/18 – NPFG Insured	6/15 at 100.00	AA-	1,505,385
5,000	5.000%, 12/01/21 – NPFG Insured	6/15 at 100.00	AA-	5,015,900
6,125	5.000%, 12/01/30 – NPFG Insured	6/15 at 100.00	AA-	6,141,721
1,725	Williamston Community School District, Michigan, Unlimited Tax General Obligation QSBLF Bonds, Series 1996, 5.500%, 5/01/25 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Cal	1AA-	2,028,686
1,475	Willow Run Community Schools, Washtenaw County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2011, 4.500%, 5/01/31 – AGM Insured	5/21 at 100.00	AA	1,599,726
123,070	Total Tax Obligation/General			127,878,190

NUM	Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund
	Portfolio of Investments (continued)

NUM		ortfolio of Investments (continued)			February 28, 2015
	Principal		Optional Call		
	Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions R (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
		Tax Obligation/Limited – 16.4% (11.2% of Total Investments)			
		Grand Rapids Building Authority, Kent County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2011:			
\$	560	5.000%, 10/01/28	10/21 at 100.00	AA \$	630,823
	500	5.000%, 10/01/30	10/21 at 100.00	AA	562,280
	500	5.000%, 10/01/31	10/21 at 100.00	AA	561,960
	1,000	Grand Rapids Building Authority, Kent County, Michigan, Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Series 1998, 5.000%, 4/01/16	No Opt. Call	AA	1,049,900
		Michigan Finance Authority, Local Government Loan Program Revenue Bonds, Detroit Regional Convention Facility Authority Local Project, Series 2014H-1:			
	1,240	5.000%, 10/01/20	10/19 at 100.00	AA-	1,409,644
	2,000	5.000%, 10/01/24	10/23 at 100.00	AA-	2,358,220
	11,025	5.000%, 10/01/39	10/24 at 100.00	AA-	12,410,402
	4,730	Michigan Finance Authority, Unemployment Obligation Assessment Revenue Bonds, Series 2012B, 5.000%, 7/01/22	7/16 at 100.00	AAA	5,029,031
		Michigan State Building Authority, Revenue Bonds, Facilities Program, Series 2005II:			
	1,600	5.000%, 10/15/30 – AMBAC Insured	10/15 at 100.00	Aa3	1,643,392
	2,135	5.000%, 10/15/33 – AMBAC Insured	10/15 at 100.00	Aa3	2,194,801
		Michigan State Building Authority, Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2006IA:			
	7,000	0.000%, 10/15/27 – AGM Insured	10/16 at 58.27	AA	4,022,760
	7,720	0.000%, 10/15/28 – AGM Insured	10/16 at 55.35	AA	4,084,112
	1,500	0.000%, 10/15/30 – FGIC Insured	10/16 at 50.02	AA-	708,030

8,040	5.000%, 10/15/36 – FGIC Insured	10/16 at 100.00	AA-	8,524,973
	Michigan State Trunk Line Fund Bonds, Series 2011:			
1,100	5.000%, 11/15/24	11/21 at 100.00	AA+	1,297,802
1,750	5.000%, 11/15/29	11/21 at 100.00	AA+	2,032,398
1,605	5.000%, 11/15/31	11/21 at 100.00	AA+	1,845,943
1,160	4.000%, 11/15/32	11/21 at 100.00	AA+	1,217,791
1,970	5.000%, 11/15/36	11/21 at 100.00	AA+	2,231,931
57,135	Total Tax Obligation/Limited			53,816,193
	Transportation – 3.8% (2.6% of Total Investments)			
4,500	Wayne County Airport Authority, Michigan, Revenue Bonds, Detroit Metropolitan Airport, Refunding Series 2011A, 5.000%, 12/01/21 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	No Opt. Call	A	5,270,670
	Wayne County Airport Authority, Michigan, Revenue Bonds, Detroit Metropolitan Wayne County Airport, Series 2012A:			
2,345	5.000%, 12/01/23	No Opt. Call	A	2,754,343
4,000	5.000%, 12/01/42 – AGM Insured	12/22 at 100.00	AA	4,453,360
10,845	Total Transportation			12,478,373
	U.S. Guaranteed – 17.2% (11.8% of Total Investments) (4)			
	Caledonia Community Schools, Kent, Allegan and Barry Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005:			
1,000	5.000%, 5/01/25 (Pre-refunded 5/01/15) – NPFG Insured	5/15 at 100.00	Aa2 (4)	1,008,720
2,250	5.000%, 5/01/26 (Pre-refunded 5/01/15) – NPFG Insured	5/15 at 100.00	Aa2 (4)	2,269,620
915	Detroit, Michigan, Second Lien Sewerage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 7/01/30 (Pre-refunded 7/01/15) – NPFG Insured	7/15 at 100.00	AA- (4)	930,262
1,330	Grand Rapids, Michigan, Sanitary Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 1/01/30 (Pre-refunded 7/01/15) – NPFG Insured	7/15 at 100.00	AA+ (4)	1,352,278
1,650	Holly Area School District, Oakland County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.125%, 5/01/32 (Pre-refunded 5/01/16) – NPFG Insured	5/16 at 100.00	Aa2 (4)	1,744,644
230	Kent County, Michigan, Airport Revenue Bonds, Gerald R. Ford International Airport, Series 2007, 5.000%, 1/01/32 (Pre-refunded 1/01/17)	1/17 at 100.00	AAA	249,000
200	L'Anse Creuse Public Schools, Macomb County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 5/01/35 (Pre-refunded 5/01/15) – AGM	5/15 at 100.00	AA (4)	201,714

Insured

Principal		Optional Call			
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions R (2)	atings (3)	Valu	.e
, ,	U.S. Guaranteed (4) (continued)	` '			
\$ 830	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Clean Water Revolving Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 10/01/19 (Pre-refunded 10/01/15)	10/15 at 100.00	N/R (4)	\$ 854,06	2
4,000	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, MidMichigan Obligated Group, Series 2009A, 5.875%, 6/01/39 (Pre-refunded 6/01/19) – AGC Insured	6/19 at 100.00	AA+ (4)	4,799,360	0
3,415	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, St. John's Health System, Series 1998A, 5.000%, 5/15/28 – AMBAC Insured (ETM)	5/15 at 100.00	Aaa	3,428,52	3
	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Chelsea Community Hospital, Series 2005:				
425	5.000%, 5/15/25 (Pre-refunded 5/15/15)	5/15 at 100.00	AA+ (4)	429,46	7
1,600	5.000%, 5/15/30 (Pre-refunded 5/15/15)	5/15 at 100.00	AA+ (4)	1,616,81	6
835	5.000%, 5/15/37 (Pre-refunded 5/15/15)	5/15 at 100.00	AA+ (4)	843,77	6
	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Marquette General Hospital, Series 2005A:				
4,435	5.000%, 5/15/26 (Pre-refunded 5/15/15)	5/15 at 100.00	N/R (4)	4,481,612	2
2,680	5.000%, 5/15/34 (Pre-refunded 5/15/15)	5/15 at 100.00	N/R (4)	2,708,16	7
1,595	Oakridge Public Schools, Muskegon County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 5/01/22 (Pre-refunded 5/01/15) – NPFG Insured	5/15 at 100.00	AA- (4)	1,608,92	4
	Ottawa County, Michigan, Water Supply System, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007:				
4,330	5.000%, 8/01/26 (Pre-refunded 8/01/17) – NPFG Insured (UB)	8/17 at 100.00	Aaa	4,755,16	3
5,620	5.000%, 8/01/30 (Pre-refunded 8/01/17) – NPFG Insured (UB)	8/17 at 100.00	Aaa	6,144,00	9
3,640	Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan, Hospital Revenue Bonds, William Beaumont Hospital Obligated Group, Refunding Series 2009W, 6.000%, 8/01/39 (Pre-refunded 8/01/19)	8/19 at 100.00	A1 (4)	4,410,44	2
1,500	Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan, Hospital Revenue Bonds, William Beaumont Hospital, Refunding Series 2009V, 8.250%, 9/01/39 (Pre-refunded 9/01/18)	9/18 at 100.00	Aaa	1,879,29	0
3,175			Aa2 (4)	3,202,68	6

Edgar	Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR				
	South Redford School District, Wayne County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, School Building and Site, Series 2005, 5.000%, 5/01/30 (Pre-refunded 5/01/15) – NPFG Insured	5/15 at 100.00			
1,655	Southfield Library Building Authority, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 5/01/26 (Pre-refunded 5/01/15) – NPFG Insured	5/15 at 100.00	AA (4)	1,669,399	
1,930	Taylor Brownfield Redevelopment Authority, Wayne County, Michigan, Tax Increment Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 5/01/34 (Pre-refunded 5/01/15) – NPFG Insured	5/15 at 100.00	AA- (4)	1,946,733	
1,535	Thornapple Kellogg School District, Barry County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 5/01/32 (Pre-refunded 5/01/17) – NPFG Insured	5/17 at 100.00	Aa2 (4)	1,682,959	
2,275	Troy City School District, Oakland County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 5/01/19 (Pre-refunded 5/01/16) – NPFG Insured	5/16 at 100.00	Aa1 (4)	2,402,150	
53,050	Total U.S. Guaranteed			56,619,776	
6,020	Utilities – 11.9% (8.1% of Total Investments) Holland, Michigan, Electric Utility System Revenue Bonds, Series 2014A, 5.000%, 7/01/39	No Opt. Call	AA	6,776,531	
	Lansing Board of Water and Light, Michigan, Steam and Electric Utility System Revenue Bonds, Series 2008A:				
390	5.000%, 7/01/28	7/18 at 100.00	AA-	432,541	
8,250	5.000%, 7/01/32	7/18 at 100.00	AA-	9,070,628	
	Lansing Board of Water and Light, Michigan, Utility System Revenue Bonds, Tender Option Bond Trust 4700:				
1,700	18.446%, 7/01/37 (IF) (5)	7/21 at 100.00	AA-	2,523,072	
1,110	18.446%, 7/01/37 (IF) (5)	7/21 at 100.00	AA-	1,647,418	
	Michigan Public Power Agency, AFEC Project Revenue Bonds, Series 2012A:				
1,900	5.000%, 1/01/27	1/22 at 100.00	A2	2,117,968	
4,530	5.000%, 1/01/43	1/22 at 100.00	A2	4,880,169	

NUM	Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund
	Portfolio of Investments (continued)

				2013
Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions Ration (2)	ngs (3)	Value
	Utilities (continued)			
	Michigan Public Power Agency, Revenue Bonds, Combustion Turbine 1 Project, Refunding Series 2011:			
\$ 1,760	5.000%, 1/01/24 – AGM Insured	1/21 at 100.00	AA \$	2,010,149
1,990	5.000%, 1/01/25 – AGM Insured	1/21 at 100.00	AA	2,255,426
2,180	5.000%, 1/01/26 – AGM Insured	1/21 at 100.00	AA	2,453,110
290	5.000%, 1/01/27 – AGM Insured	1/21 at 100.00	AA	324,829
3,640	Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Revenue Refunding Bonds, Detroit Edison Company, Series 1991BB, 7.000%, 5/01/21 – AMBAC Insured	No Opt. Call	Aa3	4,693,562
33,760	Total Utilities			39,185,403
,	Water and Sewer – 16.3% (11.2% of Total Investments)			,,
	Detroit, Michigan, Second Lien Sewerage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A:			
1,085	5.000%, 7/01/30 – NPFG Insured	7/15 at 100.00	AA-	1,096,154
135	5.000%, 7/01/35 – NPFG Insured	7/15 at 100.00	AA-	135,923
425	Detroit, Michigan, Sewage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Second Lien Series 2006A, 5.500%, 7/01/36 – BHAC Insured	7/18 at 100.00	AA+	464,648
305	Detroit, Michigan, Water Supply System Second Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 2003B, 5.000%, 7/01/34 – NPFG Insured	7/15 at 100.00	AA-	306,049
10,100	Detroit, Michigan, Water Supply System Second Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 7/01/34 – AGM Insured	7/16 at 100.00	AA	10,377,346
190	Detroit, Michigan, Water Supply System Second Lien Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2006C, 5.000%, 7/01/33 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	195,217
175	Detroit, Michigan, Water Supply System Senior Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 2003D. RMKTD, 5.000%, 7/01/33 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-	179,641
	Grand Rapids, Michigan, Sanitary Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Improvement & Refunding Series			

February 28,

2015

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

	2014:			
1,000	5.000%, 1/01/32	1/24 at	AA+	1,168,700
		100.00		
1,000	5.000%, 1/01/33	1/24 at 100.00	AA+	1,167,000
1,000	5.000%, 1/01/34	1/24 at 100.00	AA+	1,163,600
1,855	5.000%, 1/01/44	1/24 at 100.00	AA+	2,128,835
1,190	Grand Rapids, Michigan, Sanitary Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Series 2008, 5.000%, 1/01/38	1/18 at 100.00	AA+	1,301,348
2,605	Grand Rapids, Michigan, Water Supply System Revenue Bonds, Series 2009, 5.100%, 1/01/39 – AGC Insured	1/19 at 100.00	AA	2,908,456
	Michigan Finance Authority, Local Government Loan Program Revenue Bonds, Detroit Water & Sewerage Department Water Supply System Local Project, Refunding Senior Loan Series 2014D-1:			
1,500	5.000%, 7/01/35 – AGM Insured	7/24 at 100.00	AA	1,664,055
1,220	5.000%, 7/01/37 – AGM Insured	7/24 at 100.00	AA	1,348,344
	Michigan Finance Authority, State Revolving Fund Revenue Bonds, Clean Water Series 2012:			
2,000	5.000%, 10/01/31	10/22 at 100.00	AAA	2,327,320
1,135	5.000%, 10/01/32	10/22 at 100.00	AAA	1,317,360
	Michigan Finance Authority, State Revolving Fund Revenue Bonds, Clean Water Subordinate Refunding Series 2013:			
1,945	5.000%, 10/01/22	No Opt. Call	AAA	2,386,457
3,200	5.000%, 10/01/25	10/22 at 100.00	AAA	3,854,464
2,000	Michigan Finance Authority, State Revolving Fund Revenue Bonds, Clean Water, Refunding Series 2012, 5.000%, 10/01/20	No Opt. Call	AAA	2,386,980
580	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Clean Water Revolving Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 10/01/19	4/15 at 100.00	AAA	582,332
170	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Clean Water Revolving Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 10/01/19	10/15 at 100.00	AAA	174,847

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions R (2)	tatings (3)	Value
	Water and Sewer (continued)			
\$ 390	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Clean Water Revolving Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2010, 5.000%, 10/01/26	No Opt. Call	AAA	\$ 459,880
90	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Drinking Water Revolving Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 10/01/23	4/15 at 100.00	AAA	90,358
	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Water Revolving Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2007:			
500	5.000%, 10/01/23	10/17 at 100.00	AAA	554,535
2,000	5.000%, 10/01/24	10/17 at 100.00	AAA	2,219,220
8,245	North Kent Sewer Authority, Michigan, Sewer Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 11/01/31 – NPFG Insured	11/16 at 100.00	AA	8,750,336
	Port Huron, Michigan, Water Supply System Revenue Bonds, Series 2011:			
500	5.250%, 10/01/31	10/21 at 100.00	A	549,875
1,500	5.625%, 10/01/40	10/21 at 100.00	A	1,709,880
700	Saginaw, Michigan, Water Supply System Revenue Bonds, Series 2008, 5.250%, 7/01/22 – NPFG Insured	7/18 at 100.00	AA-	775,047
48,740	Total Water and Sewer			53,744,207
\$ 454,730	Total Long-Term Investments (cost \$447,565,543)			481,664,022
	Floating Rate Obligations – $(2.0)\%$			(6,625,000)
	Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value – (48.3)% (6)			(159,000,000)
	Other Assets Less Liabilities – 4.0% (7)			13,192,864
	Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares – 100%			\$ 329,231,886

NUM Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund Portfolio of Investments (continued)

February 28, 2015

Investments in Derivatives as of February 28, 2015 Credit Default Swaps outstanding:

			Current				Uı	nrealized
					Fixed			
		Buy/Sell	Credit	Notional	Rate Te	rmination	App	reciation
	Referenced	Protection						
Counterparty	Entity	(8	Spread (9)	Amo(u At nn	ualized)	Date	ValuDep	reciation)
Goldman	Commonwealth		_				_	
Sachs	of Puerto Rico	Buy	26.5-29.0%\$	2,250,000	5.000%	9/20/19 \$	613,828 \$	106,483
Goldman	Commonwealth							
Sachs	of Puerto Rico	Buy	26.75-29.25%	1,700,000	5.000	12/20/19	477,822	80,869
			\$	3,950,000		\$	1,091,650 \$	187,352

- (1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to common shares unless otherwise noted.
- (2) Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
- Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the highest of Standard & Poor's Group ("Standard & Poor's"), Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ("Moody's") or Fitch, Inc. ("Fitch' rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's, Baa by Moody's or BBB by Fitch are considered to be below investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.
- (4) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities, which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities.
- (5) Investment, or portion of investment, has been pledged to collateralize the net payment obligations for investments in derivatives and/or inverse floating rate transactions.
- (6) Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value as a percentage of Total Investments is 33.0%.
- Other assets less liabilities includes the unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of certain over-the-counter derivatives as presented on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. The unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of exchange-cleared and exchange-traded derivatives is recognized as part of the cash collateral at brokers and/or the receivable or payable for variation margin as presented on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities, when applicable.
- (8) The Fund entered into the credit default swap to gain investment exposure to the referenced entity. Selling protection has a similar credit risk position to owning that referenced entity. Buying protection has a similar credit risk position to selling the referenced entity short.
- (9) The credit spread generally serves as an indication of the current status of the payment/performance risk and therefore the likelihood of default of the credit derivative. The credit spread also reflects the cost of buying/selling protection and may include upfront payments required to be made to enter into a credit default swap contract. Higher credit spreads are indicative of a higher likelihood of performance by the seller of protection.
- (ETM) Escrowed to maturity.

- (IF) Inverse floating rate investment.
- (UB) Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction. See Notes to Financial Statements, Note 3 Portfolio Securities and Investments in Derivatives, Inverse Floating Rate Securities for more information.

(WI/DD) Investment, or portion of investment, purchased on a when-issued or delayed delivery basis.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

NUO

Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund Portfolio of Investments

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
(000)	LONG-TERM INVESTMENTS – 144.5% (100.0% of Total Investments)			
	MUNICIPAL BONDS – 144.5% (100.0% of Total Investments)			
	Consumer Staples – 4.5% (3.1% of Total Investments)			
\$ 400	Buckeye Tobacco Settlement Financing Authority, Ohio, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien, Series 2007A-1, 5.000%, 6/01/16	No Opt. Call	Aa1 \$	418,500
	Buckeye Tobacco Settlement Financing Authority, Ohio, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien, Series 2007A-2:			
15,035	5.125%, 6/01/24	6/17 at 100.00	В–	12,919,724
1,085	5.875%, 6/01/47	6/17 at 100.00	В	902,080
16,520	Total Consumer Staples Education and Civic Organizations – 8.2% (5.7% of Total Investments)			14,240,304
4,375	Miami University of Ohio, General Receipts Bonds, Refunding Series 2014, 5.000%, 9/01/33	9/24 at 100.00	AA	5,121,988
	Miami University of Ohio, General Receipts Bonds, Series 2011:			
130	5.000%, 9/01/33	No Opt. Call	AA	148,528
1,960	5.000%, 9/01/36	9/21 at 100.00	AA	2,206,940
	Miami University of Ohio, General Receipts Bonds, Series 2012:			
480	4.000%, 9/01/32	9/22 at 100.00	AA	506,443
1,000	4.000%, 9/01/33	9/22 at 100.00	AA	1,053,040
3,150	Ohio Higher Education Facilities Commission, General Revenue Bonds, Kenyon College, Series 2006, 5.000%, 7/01/41	7/16 at 100.00	A+	3,303,941
2,420	Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, General Revenue Bonds, University of Dayton, 2006 Project, Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/01/30 – AMBAC Insured	12/16 at 100.00	A	2,580,107
	Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Revenue Bonds, Denison University Project, Series			

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

	2012			
4.5.0	2012:	7.100		4.40.005
120	5.000%, 11/01/27	5/22 at 100.00	AA	140,382
590	5.000%, 11/01/32	5/22 at	AA	675,916
7 000		100.00		5 500 250
5,000	Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Revenue Bonds, University of Dayton, Series 2013, 5.000%, 12/01/43	12/22 at 100.00	A	5,599,350
2,250	Ohio State Higher Education Facilities, Revenue Bonds, Case Western Reserve University, Series	12/16 at 100.00	AA-	2,398,860
	2006, 5.000%, 12/01/44 – NPFG Insured			
950	Ohio State, Higher Educational Facility Revenue Bonds, Otterbein College Project, Series 2008A, 5.500%, 12/01/28	12/18 at 100.00	A3	1,066,556
1,000	Ohio University at Athens, General Receipts Bonds,	12/22 at	Aa3	1,128,650
22.425	Series 2013, 5.000%, 12/01/39	100.00		25 020 501
23,425	Total Education and Civic Organizations			25,930,701
2.000	Health Care – 31.5% (21.8% of Total Investments)	5.100	A 1	2 200 (40
3,000	Akron, Bath and Copley Joint Township Hospital District, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Children's Hospital Medical Center, Improvement Series 2013, 5.000%, 11/15/38	5/23 at 100.00	A1	3,299,640
1,950	Allen County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue	6/20 at	AA-	2,215,844
ŕ	Bonds, Catholic Healthcare Partners, Series 2010A, 5.250%, 6/01/38	100.00		, ,
3,500	Butler County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue	11/20 at	A-	4,018,490
,	Bonds, UC Health, Series 2010, 5.500%, 11/01/40	100.00		, ,
6,575	Butler County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue	5/16 at	A3	6,812,358
	Bonds, Cincinnati Children's Medical Center Project,	100.00		
	Series 2006K, 5.000%, 5/15/31 – FGIC Insured			
2,400	Fairfield County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue	6/23 at	Baa2	2,584,632
	Bonds, Fairfield Medical Center Project, Series 2013, 5.000%, 6/15/43	100.00		

NUO Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund Portfolio of Investments (continued)

Principal		Optional Call			
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions Ra (2)	tings (3)		Value
	Health Care (continued)				
	Franklin County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Nationwide Children's Hospital Project, Improvement Series 2009:				
\$ 250	5.000%, 11/01/34	11/19 at 100.00	Aa2	\$	281,303
2,615	5.250%, 11/01/40	11/19 at 100.00	Aa2		2,965,122
2,470	Franklin County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Nationwide Children's Hospital Project, Series 2008A, 5.000%, 11/01/40	11/18 at 100.00	Aa2		2,701,069
250	Franklin County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, OhioHealth Corporation, Series 2011A, 5.000%, 11/15/41	11/21 at 100.00	AA+		281,010
4,480	Franklin County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, OhioHealth Corporation, Tender Option Bond Trust 11-21B, 9.436%, 11/15/41 (IF) (4)	11/21 at 100.00	AA+		5,591,398
3,225	Hancock County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Blanchard Valley Regional Health Center, Series 2011A, 6.250%, 12/01/34	6/21 at 100.00	A2		3,866,936
1,865	Lake County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Lake Hospital System, Inc., Refunding Series 2008C, 6.000%, 8/15/43	8/18 at 100.00	A3		2,108,961
	Lucas County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, ProMedica Healthcare Obligated Group, Series 2008D:				
90	5.000%, 11/15/38	11/18 at 100.00	AA		98,741
40	5.125%, 11/15/40	11/18 at 100.00	AA		43,957
3,965	Lucas County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, ProMedica Healthcare Obligated Group, Series 2011A, 6.000%, 11/15/41	11/21 at 100.00	AA		4,807,047
1,500	Miami County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds, Upper Valley Medical Center Inc., Series 2006, 5.250%, 5/15/21	5/16 at 100.00	A		1,575,600
820	Middleburg Heights, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Southwest General Health Center Project, Refunding Series 2011, 5.250%, 8/01/41	8/21 at 100.00	A2		890,389
	Montgomery County, Ohio, Revenue Bonds, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series 2004A:				

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

3,700	5.000%, 5/01/30	5/15 at 100.00	A+	3,711,322
2,500	5.000%, 5/01/32	5/15 at 100.00	A+	2,505,100
6,105	Muskingum County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Genesis HealthCare System Obligated Group Project, Series 2013, 5.000%, 2/15/44	2/23 at 100.00	BB+	6,328,077
95	Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Revenue Bonds, University Hospitals Health System Inc., Series 2007A, 5.250%, 1/15/46 – BHAC Insured	1/17 at 100.00 1	AA+	101,802
	Ohio State Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Cleveland Clinic Health System Obligated Group, Series 2008A:			
3,000	5.000%, 1/01/25	1/18 at 100.00	Aa2	3,290,370
240	5.250%, 1/01/33	1/18 at 100.00	Aa2	263,203
1,100	Ohio State Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Cleveland Clinic Health System Obligated Group, Series 2012A, 5.000%, 1/01/38	1/22 at 100.00	Aa2	1,233,727
	Ohio State Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Summa Health System Project, Series 2010:			
1,500	5.750%, 11/15/40 – AGM Insured	5/20 at 100.00	AA	1,731,420
1,520	5.250%, 11/15/40 – AGM Insured	5/20 at 100.00	AA	1,707,355
8,050	Ohio State, Hospital Facility Revenue Bonds, Cleveland Clinic Health System Obligated Group, Refunding Series 2009A, 5.500%, 1/01/39	1/19 at 100.00	Aa2	9,103,343
	Ohio State, Hospital Facility Revenue Refunding Bonds, Cleveland Clinic Health System Obligated Group, Tender Option Bond Trust 3551:			
875	20.338%, 1/01/17 (IF)	No Opt. Call	Aa2	1,212,995
5,350	20.455%, 1/01/33 (IF)	1/19 at 100.00	Aa2	8,150,190
	Ohio State, Hospital Revenue Bonds, University Hospitals Health System, Inc., Series 2013A:			
1,000	5.000%, 1/15/28	1/23 at 100.00	A	1,138,320
2,000	5.000%, 1/15/29	1/23 at 100.00	A	2,272,140

	Principal		Optional		
	A	Description (1)	Call	odin on (2)	Value
	Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions Ratings (3) (2)		Value
	(000)	Health Care (continued)			
		Ross County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Refunding			
Φ	1 405	Bonds, Adena Health System Series 2008:	10/10	Α Φ	1 (20 205
\$	1,425	5.750%, 12/01/28	12/18 at 100.00	A- \$	1,628,205
	1,385	5.750%, 12/01/35	12/18 at	A-	1,573,845
			100.00		
	1,000	5.750%, 12/01/35 – AGC Insured	12/18 at 100.00	AA	1,136,350
		Wood County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Refunding and Improvement Revenue Bonds, Wood County Hospital Project, Series 2012:			
	2,635	5.000%, 12/01/37	No Opt. Call	Baa2	2,770,544
	4,920	5.000%, 12/01/42	No Opt. Call	Baa2	5,146,566
	87,395	Total Health Care			99,147,371
		Housing/Multifamily – 2.7% (1.9% of Total Investments)			
	1,325	Clermont County, Ohio, GNMA Collateralized Mortgage Revenue Bonds, S.E.M. Villa II Project,	8/15 at 100.00	N/R	1,327,637
	670	Series 1994A, 5.950%, 2/20/30 Franklin County, Ohio, GNMA Collateralized	5/15 at	Aaa	671,427
	070	Multifamily Housing Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Agler Project, Series 2002A, 5.550%, 5/20/22 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	100.00	7 tuu	071,127
	1,600	Montgomery County, Ohio, GNMA Guaranteed	10/18 at	Aa1	1,699,712
		Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Canterbury Court Project, Series 2007, 5.500%, 10/20/42 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	101.00		
	1,180	Ohio Housing Finance Agency, FHA-Insured	6/16 at	A1	1,205,913
	1,100	Multifamily Housing Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Madonna Homes, Series 2006M, 4.900%, 6/20/48 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	102.00	111	1,200,710
	3,390	Summit County Port Authority, Ohio, Multifamily	9/17 at	Aa1	3,551,195
	,	Housing Revenue Bonds, Callis Tower Apartments Project, Series 2007, 5.250%, 9/20/47 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	102.00		, ,
	8,165	Total Housing/Multifamily			8,455,884
	0,100	Industrials – 2.3% (1.6% of Total Investments)			2,.22,001
	2,055	Cleveland-Cuyahoga County Port Authority, Ohio, Common Bond Fund Revenue Bonds, Cleveland Christian Home Project, Series 2002C, 5.950%, 5/15/22	5/15 at 100.00	BBB+	2,063,302
	680	Cleveland-Cuyahoga County Port Authority, Ohio, Development Revenue Bonds, Bond Fund Program – Columbia National Group Project, Series 2005D,	11/15 at 100.00	BBB+	694,695

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

	5.000%, 5/15/20 (Alternative Minimum Tax)			
3,495	Toledo-Lucas County Port Authority, Ohio, Revenue Refunding Bonds, CSX Transportation Inc., Series	No Opt. Call	Baa1	4,426,697
	1992, 6.450%, 12/15/21			
1,600	Western Reserve Port Authority, Ohio, Solid Waste Facility Revenue Bonds, Central Waste Inc., Series 2007A, 6.350%, 7/01/27 (Alternative Minimum Tax) (5)	7/17 at 102.00	N/R	52,496
7,830	Total Industrials			7,237,190
7,030	Long-Term Care – 1.1% (0.8% of Total Investments)			7,237,190
895	Franklin County, Ohio, Healthcare Facilities Revenue Bonds, Ohio Presbyterian Retirement Services,	7/21 at 100.00	BBB-	975,094
2 220	Improvement Series 2010A, 5.625%, 7/01/26 Montgomery County, Ohio, Health Care and	4/20 at	BBB-	2 157 791
2,220	Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Saint Leonard, Refunding & improvement Series 2010, 6.625%, 4/01/40	100.00	DDD-	2,457,784
3,115	Total Long-Term Care			3,432,878
	Materials – 0.7% (0.4% of Total Investments)			
2,000	Toledo-Lucas County Port Authority, Ohio, Port Revenue Bonds, Cargill Inc., Series 2004B, 4.500%, 12/01/15	No Opt. Call	A	2,054,220
	Tax Obligation/General – 29.6% (20.5% of Total Investments)			
	Central Ohio Solid Waste Authority, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding & Improvements, Series 2012:			
1,140	5.000%, 12/01/26	6/22 at 100.00	Aaa	1,345,052
2,545	5.000%, 12/01/28	6/22 at 100.00	Aaa	2,960,395
1,605	5.000%, 12/01/29	6/22 at 100.00	Aaa	1,861,784
	Cincinnati, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Various Purpose Series 2012A:			
1,960	5.000%, 12/01/31	12/20 at 100.00	Aa2	2,264,525
875	5.000%, 12/01/32	12/20 at 100.00	Aa2	1,010,949

NUO Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund Portfolio of Investments (continued)

Amount (000) Description (1)	Principal					
\$ 2,000 Cleveland, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 12/19 at 100.00 1,140 Columbia Local School District, Lorain County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, School Facilities 100.00 Improvement Series 2011, 5.000%, 11/01/39 – AGM Insured Columbus City School District, Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006: 4,310 0.000%, 12/01/27 – AGM Insured No Opt. Call AA+ 2,961,703 5,835 0.000%, 12/01/28 – AGM Insured No Opt. Call AA+ 3,870,647 1,730 Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2014, 5.000%, 6/01/31 100.00 Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Various Purpose Series 2007: 3,355 5.000%, 12/01/28 12/17 at 100.00 1,840 5.000%, 12/01/28 12/17 at 100.00 1,840 5.000%, 12/01/28 12/17 at 100.00 1,840 5.000%, 12/01/28 11/17 at 100.00 1,840 5.000%, 11/01/30 11/17 at 100.00 1,540 5.000%, 11/01/30 11/17 at 100.00 1,540 5.000%, 11/01/30 11/24 at 100.00 1,540 5.000%, 11/01/31 11/24 at 100.00 11/24 at 100.00 1,540 5.000%, 11/01/31 11/24 at 100.00 11/24 at 100.00 Green'al Obligation Bonds, School Improvement Series 2014: 1,540 5.000%, 11/01/31 11/24 at 100.00 11/24 at 1		Description (1)				
1,140 Columbia Local School District, Lorain County, 11/21 at A2 1,291,004		Tax Obligation/General (continued)				
Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, School Facilities Improvement Series 2011, 5.000%, 11/01/39 – AGM Improvement Series 2011, 5.000%, 11/01/39 – AGM Insured Columbus City School District, Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006: 4,310	\$ 2,000			AA	\$ 2,285,620	
Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006: 4,310	1,140	Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, School Facilities Improvement Series 2011, 5.000%, 11/01/39 – AGM		A2	1,291,004	
5,835 0.000%, 12/01/28 – AGM Insured No Opt. Call AA+ 3,870,647 1,730 Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2014, 5.000%, 6/01/31 100.00 Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Various Purpose Series 2007: 3,355 5.000%, 12/01/27 12/17 at AAA 3,705,967 100.00 1,840 5.000%, 12/01/28 12/17 at AAA 2,028,361 Gallia County Local School District, Gallia and Jackson Counties, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding School Improvement Series 2014: 1,260 5.000%, 11/01/30 11/24 at Aa2 1,487,669 1,540 5.000%, 11/01/31 11/24 at Aa2 1,812,549 Greenville City School District, Drake County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, School Improvement Series 2013: 555 5.250%, 1/01/38 1/22 at AA 627,694 1,355 Grove City, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Construction & Improvement Series 2009, 5.125%, 100.00 1,355 Grove City, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, 12/19 at Aa1 1,552,979 Construction & Improvement Series 2009, 5.125%, 100.00 12/01/36 Hamilton City School District, Ohio, General 6/17 at AA 13,735,318 Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/34 100.00 6,580 Indian Lake Local School District, Logan and 6/17 at AA 7,097,649						
1,730 Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2014, 5.000%, 6/01/31 100.00 Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Various Purpose Series 2007: 3,355 5.000%, 12/01/27 12/17 at AAA 3,705,967 100.00 1,840 5.000%, 12/01/28 12/17 at AAA 2,028,361 100.00 Gallia County Local School District, Gallia and Jackson Counties, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding School Improvement Series 2014: 1,260 5.000%, 11/01/30 11/24 at Aa2 1,487,669 100.00 1,540 5.000%, 11/01/31 11/24 at Aa2 1,812,549 100.00 Greenville City School District, Drake County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, School Improvement Series 2013: 555 5.250%, 1/01/38 1/22 at AA 627,694 100.00 1,355 Grove City, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, 12/19 at Aa1 1,528,914 Construction & Improvement Series 2009, 5.125%, 100.00 1,2/50 Hamilton City School District, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/34 AGM Insured 6,580 Indian Lake Local School District, Logan and 6/17 at AA 7,097,649	4,310	0.000%, 12/01/27 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA+	2,961,703	
Refunding Series 2014, 5.000%, 6/01/31 100.00 Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Various Purpose Series 2007: 3,355 5.000%, 12/01/27 12/17 at 100.00 1,840 5.000%, 12/01/28 12/17 at 100.00 12/01/28 12/17 at 100.00 12/01/28 12/17 at 100.00 100.00 12/01/28 12/17 at 100.00	5,835	0.000%, 12/01/28 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA+	3,870,647	
Various Purpose Series 2007: 3,355	1,730	·		AAA	2,065,326	
100.00 1,840 5.000%, 12/01/28 12/17 at		•				
Gallia County Local School District, Gallia and Jackson Counties, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding School Improvement Series 2014: 1,260 5.000%, 11/01/30 11/24 at Aa2 1,487,669 100.00 1,540 5.000%, 11/01/31 11/24 at 100.00 Greenville City School District, Drake County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, School Improvement Series 2013: 555 5.250%, 1/01/38 1/22 at AA 627,694 100.00 1,355 5.250%, 1/01/41 1/22 at AA 1,528,914 100.00 1,355 Grove City, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, 12/19 at Aa1 1,552,979 Construction & Improvement Series 2009, 5.125%, 100.00 12/01/36 12,750 Hamilton City School District, Ohio, General 6/17 at AA 13,735,318 Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/34 - 100.00 AGM Insured 6,580 Indian Lake Local School District, Logan and 6/17 at AA 7,097,649	3,355	5.000%, 12/01/27		AAA	3,705,967	
Jackson Counties, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding School Improvement Series 2014: 1,260 5.000%, 11/01/30 11/24 at Aa2 1,487,669 100.00 1,540 5.000%, 11/01/31 11/24 at 100.00 Greenville City School District, Drake County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, School Improvement Series 2013: 555 5.250%, 1/01/38 1/22 at AA 627,694 100.00 1,355 5.250%, 1/01/41 1/22 at 100.00 1,355 Grove City, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, 12/19 at 100.00 1,355 Grove City, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, 12/19 at Aa1 1,552,979 Construction & Improvement Series 2009, 5.125%, 100.00 12/01/36 12,750 Hamilton City School District, Ohio, General 6/17 at AA 13,735,318 Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/34 - 100.00 AGM Insured 6,580 Indian Lake Local School District, Logan and 6/17 at AA 7,097,649	1,840	5.000%, 12/01/28		AAA	2,028,361	
1,540 5.000%, 11/01/31 11/24 at 100.00 Greenville City School District, Drake County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, School Improvement Series 2013: 555 5.250%, 1/01/38 1/22 at AA 627,694 100.00 1,355 5.250%, 1/01/41 1/22 at AA 1,528,914 100.00 1,355 Grove City, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, 12/19 at Aa1 1,552,979 Construction & Improvement Series 2009, 5.125%, 100.00 12/01/36 12,750 Hamilton City School District, Ohio, General 6/17 at AA 13,735,318 Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/34 – 100.00 AGM Insured 6,580 Indian Lake Local School District, Logan and 6/17 at AA 7,097,649		Jackson Counties, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds,				
100.00 Greenville City School District, Drake County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, School Improvement Series 2013: 1/22 at	1,260	5.000%, 11/01/30		Aa2	1,487,669	
General Obligation Bonds, School Improvement Series 2013: 555 5.250%, 1/01/38 1/22 at 100.00 1,355 5.250%, 1/01/41 1/22 at 100.00 1,355 Grove City, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, 12/19 at 100.00 1,355 Grove City, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, 12/19 at 100.00 12/01/36 12,750 Hamilton City School District, Ohio, General 6/17 at AA 13,735,318 Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/34 - 100.00 AGM Insured 6,580 Indian Lake Local School District, Logan and 6/17 at AA 7,097,649	1,540	5.000%, 11/01/31		Aa2	1,812,549	
1,355 5.250%, 1/01/41 1/22 at AA 1,528,914 100.00 1,355 Grove City, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, 12/19 at Aa1 1,552,979 Construction & Improvement Series 2009, 5.125%, 100.00 12/01/36 12,750 Hamilton City School District, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/34 - 100.00 AGM Insured 6,580 Indian Lake Local School District, Logan and 6/17 at AA 7,097,649		General Obligation Bonds, School Improvement				
1,355 Grove City, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, 12/19 at Aa1 1,552,979 Construction & Improvement Series 2009, 5.125%, 100.00 12/01/36 12,750 Hamilton City School District, Ohio, General 6/17 at AA 13,735,318 Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/34 – 100.00 AGM Insured 6,580 Indian Lake Local School District, Logan and 6/17 at AA 7,097,649	555	5.250%, 1/01/38		AA	627,694	
Construction & Improvement Series 2009, 5.125%, 100.00 12/01/36 12,750 Hamilton City School District, Ohio, General 6/17 at AA 13,735,318 Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/34 – 100.00 AGM Insured 6,580 Indian Lake Local School District, Logan and 6/17 at AA 7,097,649	1,355	5.250%, 1/01/41		AA	1,528,914	
Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/34 – 100.00 AGM Insured 6,580 Indian Lake Local School District, Logan and 6/17 at AA– 7,097,649	1,355	Construction & Improvement Series 2009, 5.125%,		Aa1	1,552,979	
	12,750	Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/34 –		AA	13,735,318	
	6,580			AA-	7,097,649	

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

	Improvement and Refunding Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/34 – NPFG Insured			
2,160	Kenston Local School District, Geauga County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011, 0.000%, 12/01/21	No Opt. Call	Aa1	1,907,237
2,620	Lucas County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Various Purpose Series 2010, 5.000%, 10/01/40	10/18 at 100.00	AA	2,916,558
1,130	Marysville Exempted Village School District, Union County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/01/25 – AGM Insured	12/15 at 100.00	AA	1,170,511
4,500	Middletown City School District, Butler County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2007, 5.250%, 12/01/31 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	A2	5,609,293
1,500	Milford Exempted Village School District, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008, 5.250%, 12/01/36	12/18 at 100.00	Aa3	1,682,145
1,305	Monroe Local School District, Butler County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.500%, 12/01/24 – AMBAC Insured	No Opt. Call	Baa1	1,581,582
725	Napoleon City School District, Henry County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Facilities Construction & Improvement Series 2012, 5.000%, 12/01/36	6/22 at 100.00	Aa3	810,913
2,500	New Albany Plain Local School District, Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding School Improvement Series 2013, 4.000%, 12/01/43	12/22 at 100.00	AA+	2,567,075
985	Newark City School District, Licking County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/28 – NPFG Insured	12/15 at 100.00	AA-	1,016,047
2,300	Northmor Local School District, Morrow County, Ohio, General Obligation School Facilities Construction and Improvement Bonds, Series 2008, 5.000%, 11/01/36	11/18 at 100.00	Aa2	2,559,992
500	Oak Hills Local School District, Hamilton County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/24 – AGM Insured	12/15 at 100.00	AA	517,675
1,000	Ohio State, General Obligation Bonds, Highway Capital Improvement Series 2012Q, 5.000%, 5/01/28	5/22 at 100.00	AAA	1,170,470
3,000	Ohio State, General Obligation Bonds, Highway Capital Improvement, Series 2014R, 5.000%, 5/01/29	5/24 at 100.00	AAA	3,600,690

Principal		Optional		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Call Provisions Rat (2)	Value	
(000)	Tax Obligation/General (continued)	(2)		
\$ 5,000	South Euclid, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Real Estate Acquisition and Urban Redevelopment, Series 2012, 5.000%, 6/01/42	6/22 at 100.00	Aa2	\$ 5,520,800
2,250	South-Western City School District, Franklin and Pickaway Counties, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, School Facilities Construction & Improvement Series 2012, 5.000%, 12/01/36	6/22 at 100.00	AA	2,557,283
1,500	Springboro Community City School District, Warren County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2007, 5.250%, 12/01/32	No Opt. Call	AA	1,899,990
70	Strongsville, Ohio, Limited Tax General Obligation Various Purpose Improvement Bonds, Series 1996, 5.950%, 12/01/21	6/15 at 100.00	Aaa	70,338
700	Sylvania City School District, Lucas County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, School Improvement Series 1995, 5.250%, 12/01/36 – AGC Insured	6/17 at 100.00	AA	757,967
87,475	Total Tax Obligation/General			93,410,671
	Tax Obligation/Limited – 29.4% (20.4% of Total Investments)			
	Cleveland, Ohio, Income Tax Revenue Bonds, Bridges & Roadways Improvements, Subordinate Lien Series 2013A-2:			
1,315	5.000%, 10/01/27	10/23 at 100.00	AA	1,527,504
1,520	5.000%, 10/01/30	10/23 at 100.00	AA	1,747,514
1,600	5.000%, 10/01/31	10/23 at 100.00	AA	1,832,976
3,000	Cleveland, Ohio, Income Tax Revenue Bonds, Public Facilities Improvements, Series 2014A-1, 5.000%, 11/15/38	11/23 at 100.00	AA	3,427,410
500	Columbus-Franklin County Finance Authority, Ohio, Development Revenue Bonds, Hubbard Avenue Parking Facility Project, Series 2012A, 5.000%, 12/01/36	12/19 at 100.00	BBB	508,645
6,750	Cuyahoga County, Ohio, Recovery Zone Facility Economic Development Revenue Bonds, Medical Mart- Convention Center Project, Series 2010F, 5.000%, 12/01/27	12/20 at 100.00	Aa2	7,796,453
	Cuyahoga County, Ohio, Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Various Purpose Series 2014:			
1,815	5.000%, 12/01/32	12/24 at 100.00	AAA	2,153,897
1,415	5.000%, 12/01/33		AAA	1,675,233

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

		12/24 at 100.00		
1,000	5.000%, 12/01/34	12/24 at 100.00	AAA	1,179,230
945	5.000%, 12/01/35	12/24 at 100.00	AAA	1,111,736
300	Delaware County District Library, Ohio, Library Fund Library Facilities Special Obligation Notes, Series 2009, 5.000%, 12/01/34	12/19 at 100.00	Aa2	330,480
5,000	Franklin County Convention Facilities Authority, Ohio, Excise Tax and Lease Revenue Anticipation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/27 – AMBAC Insured	12/15 at 100.00	Aaa	5,170,750
10,350	Franklin County Convention Facilities Authority, Ohio, Tax and Lease Revenue Anticipation and Refunding Bonds, Columbus City & Franklin County Lessees, Series 2014, 5.000%, 12/01/35	12/24 at 100.00	Aaa	11,910,159
	Greater Cleveland Regional Transit Authority, Ohio, Sales Tax Supported Capital Improvement Bonds, Refunding Series 2012:			
1,010	5.250%, 12/01/27	12/21 at 100.00	AAA	1,215,575
1,090	5.250%, 12/01/28	12/21 at 100.00	AAA	1,303,422
760	5.250%, 12/01/30	12/21 at 100.00	AAA	903,283
600	5.000%, 12/01/31	12/21 at 100.00	AAA	698,838
7,250	Hamilton County, Ohio, Sales Tax Bonds, Subordinate Lien, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 12/01/32 – AMBAC Insured	12/16 at 100.00	A+	7,729,660
5,565	Hamilton County, Ohio, Sales Tax Bonds, Subordinate Series 2000B, 0.000%, 12/01/28 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	3,468,609
5,000	Hamilton County, Ohio, Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2011A, 5.000%, 12/01/31	12/21 at 100.00	A+	5,620,650
1,750	Hudson City School District, Ohio, Certificates of Participation, Series 2012, 4.000%, 6/01/34 – NPFG Insured	6/22 at 100.00	Aa3	1,806,963
20,700	JobsOhio Beverage System, Ohio, Statewide Liquor Profits Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Series 2013A, 5.000%, 1/01/38	1/23 at 100.00	AA	23,281,286

NUO Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund Portfolio of Investments (continued)

Principal		Optional		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Call Provisions I (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
,	Tax Obligation/Limited (continued)	,		
\$ 1,000	New Albany Community Authority, Ohio, Community Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2012C, 5.000%, 10/01/24	10/22 at 100.00	A1	\$ 1,153,420
	Riversouth Authority, Ohio, Riversouth Area Redevelopment Bonds, Refunding Series 2012A:			
1,645	5.000%, 12/01/23	12/22 at 100.00	AA+	1,961,334
1,200	5.000%, 12/01/24	12/22 at 100.00	AA+	1,421,316
	Vermilion Local School District, East and Lorain Counties, Ohio, Certificates of Participation, Series 2012:			
765	5.000%, 12/01/24	No Opt. Call	Aa3	870,731
805	5.000%, 12/01/25	12/20 at 100.00	Aa3	908,555
84,650	Total Tax Obligation/Limited			92,715,629
	Transportation – 5.3% (3.6% of Total Investments)			
	Cleveland, Ohio, Airport System Revenue Bonds, Series 2012A:			
2,150	5.000%, 1/01/30	1/22 at 100.00	A–	2,369,429
1,500	5.000%, 1/01/31 – AGM Insured	1/22 at 100.00	AA	1,665,690
3,550	Ohio Turnpike Commission, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1998A, 5.500%, 2/15/18 – FGIC Insure	No Opt. Call d	AA	4,034,007
2,050	Ohio Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Bonds, Infrastructure Project, Junior Lien Series 2013A-1, 5.250%, 2/15/39	2/23 at 100.00	A+	2,365,249
	Ohio Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Bonds, Infrastructure Projects, Junior Lien Series 2013A-2:			
11,250	0.000%, 2/15/38	No Opt. Call	A+	4,434,300
5,000	0.000%, 2/15/40	No Opt. Call	A+	1,751,900
25,500	Total Transportation			16,620,575
	U.S. Guaranteed – 13.5% (9.3% of Total Investments) (6)			
4,705	American Municipal Power Ohio Inc., Prairie State Energy Campus Project Revenue Bonds, Series 2008A, 5.250%, 2/15/43 (Pre-refunded 2/15/18)	2/18 at 100.00	N/R (6)	5,325,401
125	,		AA (6)	142,868

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR Barberton City School District, Summit County, 6/18 at 100.00 Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, School Improvement Series 2008, 5.250%, 12/01/31 (Pre-refunded 6/01/18) 3,000 Centerville City School District, Montgomery 6/15 at Aa1 (6) 3,038,130 County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 100.00 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/30 (Pre-refunded 6/01/15) – **AGM** Insured Central Ohio Solid Waste Authority, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding & Improvements, Series 2012: 110 5.000%, 12/01/26 (Pre-refunded 6/01/22) 6/22 at N/R (6) 135,076 100.00 5.000%, 12/01/28 (Pre-refunded 6/01/22) 245 6/22 at N/R (6) 300,850 100.00 160 5.000%, 12/01/29 (Pre-refunded 6/01/22) 6/22 at N/R (6) 196,474 100.00 Cincinnati City School District, Ohio, Certificates of Participation, School Improvement Project, Series 2006: 95 5.000%, 12/15/32 (Pre-refunded 12/15/16) – AGM 12/16 at AA (6) 102,823 100.00 Insured 30 5.000%, 12/15/32 (Pre-refunded 12/15/16) – AGM 12/16 at AA (6) 32,459 100.00 Insured 1,165 Cleveland-Cuyahoga County Port Authority, Ohio, 8/15 at N/R (6) 1,188,335 Student Housing Facility Revenue Bonds, Euclid 100.00 Avenue Housing Corporation – Fenn Tower Project, Series 2005, 5.000%, 8/01/23 (Pre-refunded 8/01/15) -**AMBAC** Insured Fairview Park City School District, Cuyahoga 1,195 6/15 at Aa2 (6) 1,210,284 County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 100.00 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/24 (Pre-refunded 6/01/15) -NPFG Insured Green, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008, 2,725,953 2,620 12/15 at AA+(6)5.500%, 12/01/32 (Pre-refunded 12/01/15) 100.00 1,850 Hilliard City School District, Franklin County, Ohio, 12/15 at Aa1 (6) 1,918,358 General Obligation Bonds, School Construction, 100.00 Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/26 (Pre-refunded 12/01/15) - NPFG Insured Hilliard City School District, Franklin County, Ohio, 3,000 12/16 at Aa1 (6) 3,242,280 General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 100.00 12/01/25 (Pre-refunded 12/01/16) - NPFG Insured

⁴⁶ Nuveen Investments

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions F	Value	
(000)	U.S. Guaranteed (6) (continued)	(2)		
	Lakewood City School District, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007:			
\$ 1,010	5.000%, 12/01/25 (Pre-refunded 12/01/17) – FGIC Insured	12/17 at 100.00	Aa2 (6) \$	1,130,311
775	5.000%, 12/01/30 (Pre-refunded 12/01/17) – FGIC Insured	12/17 at 100.00	Aa2 (6)	867,318
1,000	Marysville Exempted Village School District, Ohio, Certificates of Participation, School Facilities Project, Series 2005, 5.250%, 12/01/21 (Pre-refunded 6/01/15) – NPFG Insured	6/15 at 100.00	N/R (6)	1,013,410
1,885	Marysville Exempted Village School District, Union County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/01/25 (Pre-refunded 12/01/15) – AGM Insured	12/15 at 100.00	AA (6)	1,954,802
1,000	Mason City School District, Warren and Butler Counties, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/31 (Pre-refunded 6/01/17)	6/17 at 100.00	Aa1 (6)	1,099,890
2,680	Newark City School District, Licking County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/28 (Pre-refunded 12/01/15) – NPFG Insured	12/15 at 100.00	AA- (6)	2,779,240
1,595	Ohio State Building Authority, State Facilities Bonds, Administrative Building Fund Projects, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 4/01/25 (Pre-refunded 4/01/15) – AGM Insured	4/15 at 100.00	AA (6)	1,602,385
2,000	Ohio State Building Authority, State Facilities Bonds, Adult Correctional Building Fund Project, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 4/01/23 (Pre-refunded 4/01/15) – AGM Insured	4/15 at 100.00	AA (6)	2,009,260
3,000	Ohio State Higher Educational Facility Commission, Higher Education Facility Revenue Bonds, Xavier University 2008C, 5.750%, 5/01/28 (Pre-refunded 11/01/18)	11/18 at 100.00	A- (6)	3,452,700
1,220	Ohio Water Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Drinking Water Assistance Fund, State Match, Series 2008, 5.000%, 6/01/28 (Pre-refunded 6/01/18) – AGM Insured	6/18 at 100.00	AAA	1,383,748
	Ohio Water Development Authority, Water Pollution Control Loan Fund Revenue Bonds, Water Quality Project, Series 2005B:			
1,225	5.000%, 6/01/25 (Pre-refunded 6/01/15)	6/15 at 100.00	AAA	1,240,692
275	5.000%, 6/01/25 (Pre-refunded 6/01/15)	6/15 at 100.00	AAA	278,523
500			AA+ (6)	567,110

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR Olentangy Local School District, Delaware and 6/18 at Franklin Counties, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, 100.00 Series 2008, 5.000%, 12/01/36 (Pre-refunded 6/01/18) 2,300 Richland County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, 11/16 at N/R (6) 2,488,876 MidCentral Health System Group, Series 2006, 100.00 5.250%, 11/15/36 (Pre-refunded 11/15/16) Vandalia Butler City School District, Montgomery County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, School Improvement Series 2009: 685 5.125%, 12/01/37 (Pre-refunded 6/01/19) 6/19 at N/R (6) 802,416 100.00 315 5.125%, 12/01/37 (Pre-refunded 6/01/19) 6/19 at 368,994 Aa3 (6) 100.00 39,765 Total U.S. Guaranteed 42,598,966 Utilities – 3.9% (2.7% of Total Investments) American Municipal Power Ohio Inc., Prairie State Energy Campus Project Revenue Bonds, Series 2008A: 50 5.000%, 2/15/38 - AGC Insured 2/18 at AA 54,623 100.00 295 5.250%, 2/15/43 2/18 at **A**1 323,857 100.00 1,500 American Municipal Power Ohio Inc., Prairie State **A**1 1,696,515 2/24 at Energy Campus Project Revenue Bonds, Series 100.00 2015A, 5.000%, 2/15/42 Cleveland, Ohio, Public Power System Revenue Bonds, Series 2008B: 2,000 0.000%, 11/15/28 – NPFG Insured No Opt. Call AA-1,233,440 No Opt. Call 0.000%, 11/15/32 - NPFG Insured 6,895 AA-3,397,511 2,155 0.000%, 11/15/34 - NPFG Insured No Opt. Call AA-976,560 12/19 at 1,500 Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, Air Quality 1,681,155 Baa1 Revenue Refunding Bonds, Columbus Southern 100.00 Power Company Project, Series 2009B, 5.800%, 12/01/38 2,025 Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, Ohio, No Opt. Call BBB-2,299,388 Revenue Bonds, Ohio Valley Electric Corporation Project, Series 2009E, 5.625%, 10/01/19 950 Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, 591,204 No Opt. Call AA-Beneficial Interest Certificates, Belleville Hydroelectric Project – Joint Venture 5, Series 2001,

0.000%, 2/15/29 - NPFG Insured

Total Utilities

17,370

Nuveen Investments 47

12,254,253

NUO Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund Portfolio of Investments (continued)

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions I (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	Water and Sewer – 11.8% (8.2% of Total Investments)			
\$ 8,150	Cincinnati, Ohio, Water System Revenue Bonds, Series 2012A, 5.000%, 12/01/37	12/21 at 100.00	AAA \$	9,384,151
865	City of Marysville, Ohio, Water System Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/32 – AMBAC Insured	12/17 at 100.00	A1	946,812
	Cleveland, Ohio, Water Revenue Bonds, Second Lien Series 2012A:			
2,500	5.000%, 1/01/25	1/22 at 100.00	Aa2	2,958,150
1,975	5.000%, 1/01/26	1/22 at 100.00	Aa2	2,317,682
2,035	Cleveland, Ohio, Water Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Series 2012X, 5.000%, 1/01/42	1/22 at 100.00	Aal	2,285,061
1,015	Cleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Series 1993G, 5.500%, 1/01/21 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	Aa1	1,165,129
1,275	Hamilton County, Ohio, Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Metropolitan Sewer District of Greater Cincinnati, Refunding Series 2014A, 5.000%, 12/01/31	12/24 at 100.00	AA+	1,514,267
1,220	Hamilton, Ohio, Wastewater System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.250%, 10/01/22 – AGM Insured	10/15 at 100.00	A1	1,255,807
2,025	Ironton, Ohio, Sewer System Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series 2011, 5.250%, 12/01/40 – AGM Insured	12/20 at 100.00	A2	2,242,850
1,670	Marysville, Ohio, Wastewater Treatment System Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.250%, 12/01/24 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	12/16 at 100.00	A–	1,808,276
225	Marysville, Ohio, Wastewater Treatment System Revenue Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/37 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	12/17 at 100.00	A–	245,896
2,000	Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District, Wastewater Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series 2013, 5.000%, 11/15/38	5/23 at 100.00	AA+	2,286,160
4,000	Ohio Water Development Authority, Water Pollution Control Loan Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2014, 5.000%, 12/01/23	No Opt. Call	AAA	4,985,600
	Toledo, Ohio, Sewerage System Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2013:			
820	5.000%, 11/15/25	11/23 at 100.00	Aa3	977,612

605	5.000%, 11/15/26	11/23 at 100.00	Aa3	714,475
1,075	5.000%, 11/15/27	11/23 at 100.00	Aa3	1,259,137
695	5.000%, 11/15/28	11/23 at 100.00	Aa3	808,132
32,150	Total Water and Sewer			37,155,197
\$ 435,360	Total Long-Term Investments (cost \$418,813,528)			455,253,839
	Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value – (47.0)% (7)			(148,000,000)
	Other Assets Less Liabilities – 2.5% (8)			7,887,895
	Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares – 100%			\$ 315,141,734

⁴⁸ Nuveen Investments

Investments in Derivatives as of February 28, 2015 Credit Default Swaps outstanding:

			Current				Unrealized
					Fixed		
		Buy/Sell	Credit	Notional	Rate Te	rmination	Appreciation
	Referenced	Protection					
Counterparty	Entity	(9)	Spread (10)	Amo(uAntın	ualized)	Date	Value Depreciation)
Goldman	Commonwealth	ı					
Sachs	of Puerto Rico	Buy	26.75-29.25%	\$ 3,850,000	5.000%	12/20/19 \$	1,082,126 \$ 197,460

- (1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to common shares unless otherwise noted.
- Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
- Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the highest of Standard & Poor's Group ("Standard & Poor's"), Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ("Moody's") or Fitch, Inc. ("Fitch' rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's, Baa by Moody's or BBB by Fitch are considered to be below investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.
- (4) Investment, or portion of investment, has been pledged to collateralize the net payment obligations for investments in derivatives and/or inverse floating rate transactions.
- As of, or subsequent to, the end of the reporting period this security is non-income producing. Non-income producing, in the case of a fixed-income security, generally denotes that the issuer has (1) defaulted on the payment of principal or interest, (2) is under the protection of the Federal Bankruptcy Court or (3) the Fund's Adviser has concluded that the issue is not likely to meet its future interest payment obligations and has ceased accruing additional income on the Fund's records.
- (6) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities, which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities.
- (7) Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value as a percentage of Total Investments is 32.5%.
- (8) Other assets less liabilities includes the unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of certain over-the-counter derivatives as presented on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. The unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of exchange-cleared and exchange-traded derivatives is recognized as part of the cash collateral at brokers and/or the receivable or payable for variation margin as presented on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities, when applicable.
- (9) The Fund entered into the credit default swap to gain investment exposure to the referenced entity. Selling protection has a similar credit risk position to owning that referenced entity. Buying protection has a similar credit risk position to selling the referenced entity short.
- (10) The credit spread generally serves as an indication of the current status of the payment/performance risk and therefore the likelihood of default of the credit derivative. The credit spread also reflects the cost of buying/selling protection and may include upfront payments required to be made to enter into a credit default swap contract. Higher credit spreads are indicative of a higher likelihood of performance by the seller of protection.
- (IF) Inverse floating rate investment.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

NTX

Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund Portfolio of Investments

Principal		Optional Call			
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions Ra (2)	tings (3)	Value	
	LONG-TERM INVESTMENTS – 144.1% (100.0% of	,			
	Total Investments)				
	MUNICIPAL BONDS – 144.1% (100.0% of Total				
	Investments)				
	Consumer Discretionary – 3.0% (2.1% of Total				
	Investments) Austin Convention Enterprises Inc., Texas,				
	Convention Center Hotel Revenue Bonds, First Tier				
	Series 2006A:				
\$ 1,450	5.250%, 1/01/18 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	1/17 at	BBB- \$	1,536,638	
		100.00			
1,000	5.000%, 1/01/34 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	1/17 at	BBB-	1,017,910	
2 200		100.00	222	0.000 106	
2,200	San Antonio Convention Center Hotel Finance	7/15 at	BBB	2,229,436	
	Corporation, Texas, Contract Revenue Empowerment	100.00			
	Zone Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 7/15/39 – AMBAC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)				
4,650	Total Consumer Discretionary			4,783,984	
1,050	Education and Civic Organizations – 14.9% (10.4% of			1,705,701	
	Total Investments)				
2,500	Board of Regents of the University of Texas,	7/24 at	AAA	3,009,800	
	Permanent University Fund Bonds, Refunding Series	100.00			
	2015A, 5.000%, 7/01/28 (WI/DD, Settling 4/02/15)				
2,000		No Opt. Call	AAA	2,463,620	
	Financing System Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series				
	2012B, 5.000%, 8/15/22 Clifton Higher Education Finance Corporation,				
	Texas, Education Revenue Bonds, Uplift Education				
	Charter School, Series 2013A:				
2,000	4.350%, 12/01/42	12/22 at	BBB-	1,999,880	
		100.00			
1,000	4.400%, 12/01/47	12/22 at	BBB-	999,940	
		100.00			
1,000	Danbury Higher Education Authority, Texas, Charter	8/23 at	BBB–	1,111,320	
	School Revenue Bonds, John H. Wood Jr. Public	100.00			
	Charter District, Inspire Academies, Series 2013A,				
1,000	6.000%, 8/15/28 Hale Center Education Facilities Corporation, Texas,	3/21 at	A–	1,080,110	
1,000	Revenue Bonds, Wayland Baptist University Project,	100.00	Α-	1,000,110	
	Improvement and Refunding Series 2010, 5.000%,	20.00			

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

	3/01/35			
1,000	Harris County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corporation, Texas, Medical Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds, Baylor College of Medicine, Series 2012A, 5.000%, 11/15/26	11/22 at 100.00	A–	1,145,630
3,000	Harris County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corporation, Texas, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Young Men's Christian Association of the Greater Houston Area, Series 2013A, 5.000%, 6/01/38	6/23 at 100.00	Baa3	3,211,290
2,000	Laredo Community College District, Webb County, Texas, Combined Fee Revenue Bonds, Series 2010, 5.250%, 8/01/35 – AGM Insured	8/20 at 100.00	AA	2,297,200
2,000	Lone Star College System, Harris, Montgomery and San Jacinto Counties, Texas, Revenue Financing System Bonds, Series 2013, 5.000%, 2/15/36	2/21 at 100.00	AA	2,278,280
200	Newark Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corporation, Texas, Lease Revenue Bonds, A.W. Brown-Fellowship Leadership Academy, Series 2012A, 6.000%, 8/15/42	8/15 at 103.00	BBB-	206,478
	Red River Education Finance Corporation, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Hockaday School, Series 2005:			
1,170	5.000%, 5/15/27	5/15 at 100.00	AA	1,181,677
1,230	5.000%, 5/15/28	5/15 at 100.00	AA	1,241,943
1,290	5.000%, 5/15/29	5/15 at 100.00	AA	1,302,255
21,390	Total Education and Civic Organizations			23,529,423
2,000	Energy – 1.3% (0.9% of Total Investments) Gulf Coast Industrial Development Authority, Texas, Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds, Citgo Petroleum Corporation Project, Series 1995, 4.875%, 5/01/25 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	10/22 at 100.00	ВВ	2,111,160
	Health Care – 10.9% (7.5% of Total Investments)			
1,000	Harris County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corporation, Texas, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Memorial Hermann Healthcare System, Refunding Series 2013A, 5.000%, 12/01/35	12/22 at 100.00	A+	1,105,060
1,350	Harrison County Health Facilities Development Corporation, Texas, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Good Shepherd Health System, Refunding Series 2010, 5.250%, 7/01/28	7/20 at 100.00	BB-	1,303,439
2,000	North Central Texas Health Facilities Development Corporation, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Children's Medical Center Dallas Project, Series 2009, 5.750%, 8/15/39	8/19 at 100.00	Aa2	2,313,380

Principal		Optional Call			
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions Ration (2)	ings (3)		Value
	Health Care (continued)				
\$ 885	North Central Texas Health Facilities Development Corporation, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Children's Medical Center Dallas Project, Series 2012, 5.000%, 8/15/32	8/22 at 100.00	Aa2	\$	1,010,874
515	Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corporation, Texas, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Hendrick Medical Center, Series 2013, 5.125%, 9/01/33	9/23 at 100.00	A2		573,931
1,250	Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corporation, Texas, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Scott & White Healthcare Project, Series 2010, 5.250%, 8/15/40	8/20 at 100.00	Aa3		1,421,875
1,590	Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corporation, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Christus Health, Refunding Series 2008A, 6.500%, 7/01/37 – AGC Insured	1/19 at 100.00	AA		1,847,039
2,510	Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corporation, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Texas Health Resources, Series 2007B, 5.000%, 11/15/42	11/17 at 100.00	AA		2,703,220
1,720	Tyler Health Facilities Development Corporation, Texas, Hospital Revenue Bonds, East Texas Medical Center Regional Healthcare System, Series 2007A, 5.375%, 11/01/37	11/17 at 100.00	Baa2		1,802,336
700	Tyler Health Facilities Development Corporation, Texas, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Mother Frances Hospital Regional Healthcare Center, Series 2007B, 5.000%, 7/01/37	7/17 at 100.00	Baa1		723,646
2,250	Tyler Health Facilities Development Corporation, Texas, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Mother Frances Hospital Regional Healthcare Center, Series 2007, 5.000%, 7/01/33	7/17 at 100.00	Baa1		2,328,570
15,770	Total Health Care Housing/Multifamily – 2.1% (1.4% of Total Investments)				17,133,370
3,000	New Hope Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corporation, Texas, Student Housing Revenue Bonds, CHF-Collegiate Housing Foundation – College Station I LLC – Texas A&M University Project, Series 2014A, 5.000%, 4/01/46 – AGM Insured	4/24 at 100.00	AA		3,291,300
	Long-Term Care – 1.0% (0.7% of Total Investments)				
	Bexar County, Texas, Health Facilities Development Corporation Revenue Bonds, Army Retirement Residence, Series 2007:				
865	5.000%, 7/01/27		BBB		900,560

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

		7/17 at 100.00		
600	5.000%, 7/01/37	7/17 at 100.00	BBB	620,268
1,465	Total Long-Term Care	100.00		1,520,828
1,100	Tax Obligation/General – 30.8% (21.4% of Total Investments)			1,020,020
400	Calallen Independent School District, Nueces County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, School Building Series 2008, 5.000%, 2/15/38	2/18 at 100.00	AAA	441,012
1,620	Cameron County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, State Highway 550 Project, Series 2012, 5.000%, 2/15/32 – AGM Insured	2/22 at 100.00	AA	1,816,911
1,500	College Station, Texas, Certificates of Obligation, Series 2012, 5.000%, 2/15/32	2/21 at 100.00	AA+	1,721,340
1,000	El Paso County Hospital District, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2013, 5.000%, 8/15/33	8/23 at 100.00	AA-	1,121,140
1,750	El Paso County, Texas, Certificates of Obligation, Series 2001, 5.000%, 2/15/21 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	2,096,973
8,500	Grand Prairie Independent School District, Dallas County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Capital Appreciation Refunding Series 2009, 0.000%, 8/15/39	8/18 at 22.64	AA	1,811,520
3,255	Hutto Independent School District, Williamson County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2012A, 0.000%, 8/01/45	8/21 at 100.00	A	591,759
1,360	Jacksonville Independent School District, Cherokee County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, School Building Series 2014, 5.000%, 2/15/39	2/24 at 100.00	Aaa	1,566,666
2,675	Laredo Community College District, Webb County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2014, 5.000%, 8/01/34	8/24 at 100.00	AA-	3,111,453
1,000	Leander Independent School District, Williamson and Travis Counties, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008, 0.000%, 8/15/36	8/17 at 33.01	AAA	318,080
365	Lone Star College System, Harris and Montgomery Counties, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2009, 5.000%, 8/15/34	8/19 at 100.00	AAA	414,516
1,350	Lubbock Independent School District, Lubbock County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, School Building Series 2013A, 5.000%, 2/15/43	No Opt. Call	AAA	1,544,171
1,750	Martin County Hospital District, Texas, Combination Limited Tax and Revenue Bonds, Series 2011A, 7.250%, 4/01/36	4/21 at 100.00	BBB	1,977,570

NTX Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund Portfolio of Investments (continued)

Principal		Optional Call			
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions R (2)	atings (3)		Value
	Tax Obligation/General (continued)				
	McCamey County Hospital District, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2013:				
\$ 1,000	5.750%, 12/01/33	12/25 at 100.00	Baa2	\$	1,126,090
1,000	6.125%, 12/01/38	12/25 at 100.00	Baa2		1,129,580
1,010	Mercedes Independent School District, Hidalgo County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 8/15/23	8/15 at 100.00	AAA		1,032,715
1,500	Montgomery County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2008B, 5.250%, 3/01/32	3/19 at 100.00	AA+		1,709,295
2,000	Plano Independent School District, Collin County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008A, 5.250%, 2/15/34	2/18 at 100.00	at Aaa		2,226,940
1,425	Port of Houston Authority, Harris County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2010E, 0.000%, 10/01/35	No Opt. Call	AAA		769,415
205	Reagan Hospital District of Reagan County, Texas, Limited Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2014A, 5.125%, 2/01/39	2/24 at 100.00	Baa2		217,501
	Roma Independent School District, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005:				
1,110	5.000%, 8/15/22	8/15 at 100.00	AAA		1,134,964
1,165	5.000%, 8/15/23 – AGM Insured	8/15 at 100.00	AAA		1,191,201
2,000	Texas State, General Obligation Bonds, Transportation Commission Highway Improvement Series 2012A, 5.000%, 4/01/42	No Opt. Call	AAA		2,285,420
2,000	Texas State, General Obligation Bonds, Transportation Commission Highway Improvement, Series 2014, 5.000%, 4/01/44	4/24 at 100.00	AAA		2,319,720
2,000	Texas State, General Obligation Bonds, Transportation Commission Mobility Fund, Refunding Series 2014, 5.000%, 10/01/34	4/24 at 100.00	AAA		2,351,060
5,000	Texas State, General Obligation Bonds, Transportation Commission Mobility Fund, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 4/01/33 – FGIC Insured (UB)	4/17 at 100.00	AAA		5,426,550
1,000	Texas State, General Obligation Bonds, Transportation Commission Mobility Fund, Series	4/18 at 100.00	AAA		1,116,470

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

	2008, 5.000%, 4/01/30 (UB)			
3,025	Victoria Independent School District, Victoria County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, School Building Series 2007, 5.000%, 2/15/32	2/17 at 100.00	AAA	3,268,785
	West Texas Independent School District, McLennan and Hill Counties, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 1998:			
45	0.000%, 8/15/22	8/15 at 68.26	AAA	30,072
45	0.000%, 8/15/24	8/15 at 61.20	AAA	26,964
	White Settlement Independent School District, Tarrant County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006:			
240	0.000%, 8/15/43	8/15 at 23.11	AAA	54,576
240	0.000%, 8/15/44	8/15 at 21.88	AAA	51,646
65	0.000%, 8/15/45	8/15 at 20.76	AAA	13,272
9,000	Wylie Independent School District, Collin County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Capital Appreciation Series 2015, 0.000%, 8/15/45 (WI/DD, Settling 3/05/15)	8/25 at 44.15	Aaa	2,597,400
61,600	Total Tax Obligation/General			48,612,747
	Tax Obligation/Limited – 15.3% (10.6% of Total Investments)			
1,000	Bexar County, Texas, Venue Project Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2010, 5.250%, 8/15/38 – AGM Insured	8/19 at 100.00	AA	1,132,100
1,175	Dallas Area Rapid Transit, Texas, Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Refunding Senior Lien Series 2014A, 5.000%, 12/01/36	12/24 at 100.00	AA+	1,380,132
3,315	Dallas Area Rapid Transit, Texas, Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Refunding Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/36 – AMBAC Insured	12/16 at 100.00	AA+	3,534,287
500	Flower Mound, Texas, Special Assessment Revenue Bonds, River Walk Public Improvement District 1, Series 2014, 6.500%, 9/01/36	No Opt. Call	N/R	533,375
1,390	Harris County Metropolitan Transit Authority, Texas, Sales and Use Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2011A, 5.000%, 11/01/41	11/21 at 100.00	AA+	1,571,590

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions Ra (2)	atings (3)	Value
(000)	Tax Obligation/Limited (continued)	(_)		
	Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, Texas,			
	Revenue Bonds, Junior Lien Series 2001H:			
\$ 300	0.000%, 11/15/24 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AA- \$	205,929
210	0.000%, 11/15/32 – NPFG Insured	11/31 at 94.05	AA–	94,479
260	0.000%, 11/15/33 – NPFG Insured	11/31 at 88.44	AA-	109,096
2,045	0.000%, 11/15/34 – NPFG Insured	11/31 at 83.17	AA–	806,957
1,130	0.000%, 11/15/36 – NPFG Insured	11/31 at 73.51	AA-	390,935
4,270	0.000%, 11/15/38 – NPFG Insured	11/31 at 64.91	AA-	1,293,767
2,260	0.000%, 11/15/39 – NPFG Insured	11/31 at 60.98	AA-	643,264
400	Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Refunding Second Lien Series 2014C, 5.000%, 11/15/34	11/24 at 100.00	A3	452,208
3,440	Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Series 2001G, 0.000%, 11/15/41 – NPFG Insured	11/31 at 53.78	AA-	906,234
1,000	Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Third Lien Series 2004A-3, 0.000%, 11/15/33 – NPFG Insured	11/24 at 59.10	AA-	381,520
1,500	Houston, Texas, Hotel Occupancy Tax and Special Revenue Bonds, Convention and Entertainment Facilities Department, Refunding Series 2011B, 5.000%, 9/01/30	No Opt. Call	A2	1,548,945
1,015	Houston, Texas, Hotel Occupancy Tax and Special Revenue Bonds, Convention and Entertainment Facilities Department, Refunding Series 2014, 5.000%, 9/01/34	No Opt. Call	A2	1,139,886
1,470	Houston, Texas, Hotel Occupancy Tax and Special Revenue Bonds, Convention and Entertainment Project, Series 2001B, 0.000%, 9/01/32 – AMBAC Insured	No Opt. Call	A2	697,265
250	Little Elm. Texas, Valencia Public Improvement District Phase I Special Assessment Revenue Bonds, Series 2014, 7.150%, 9/01/37	3/18 at 103.00	N/R	262,813
3,000	North Texas Tollway Authority, Special Projects System Revenue Bonds, Current Interest Series 2011D, 5.000%, 9/01/31	9/21 at 100.00	AA+	3,505,590
2,000	North Texas Tollway Authority, Special Projects System Revenue Bonds, Series 2011A, 5.500%,	9/21 at 100.00	AA+	2,406,840

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

	9/01/41			
1,000	Uptown Development Authority, Houston, Texas,	9/19 at	BBB	1,111,880
	Tax Increment Revenue Bonds, Infrastructure	100.00		
	Improvement Facilities, Series 2009, 5.500%, 9/01/29			
32,930	Total Tax Obligation/Limited			24,109,092
	Transportation – 17.0% (11.8% of Total Investments)			
3,000	Austin, Texas, Airport System Revenue Bonds, Series	11/24 at	A1	3,370,440
	2015, 5.000%, 11/15/39 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	100.00		
	Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority, Revenue			
	Bonds, Senior Lien Series 2010:			
2,945	0.000%, 1/01/36	No Opt. Call	BBB	1,153,674
2,205	0.000%, 1/01/37	No Opt. Call	BBB	824,053
2,160	0.000%, 1/01/38	No Opt. Call	BBB	769,673
1,000	0.000%, 1/01/40	No Opt. Call	BBB	320,100
665	Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority, Revenue	1/23 at	BBB–	727,151
	Bonds, Subordinate Lien Refunding Series 2013,	100.00		
1.000	5.000%, 1/01/42	11/00	A .	1 101 (20
1,000	Dallas-Fort Worth International Airport, Texas, Joint	11/20 at	A+	1,101,630
	Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2010A, 5.000%,	100.00		
1 165	11/01/42 Delles Fout Wouth International Airmout Toyon Joint	11/20 at	Δ.	1 200 004
1,165	Dallas-Fort Worth International Airport, Texas, Joint Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2012B, 5.000%,	100.00	A+	1,308,004
	11/01/35	100.00		
1,670	Grand Parkway Transportation Corporation, Texas,	10/23 at	BBB+	1,824,492
1,070	System Toll Revenue Bonds, First Tier Series 2013A,	100.00	DDD 1	1,021,172
	5.125%, 10/01/43	100.00		
1,165	Harris County, Texas, Toll Road Revenue Bonds,	No Opt. Call	AA	1,345,936
,	Refunding Senior Lien Series 2012C, 5.000%,			, ,
	8/15/31			
2,000	Houston, Texas, Subordinate Lien Airport System	7/22 at	A	2,225,580
	Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2012A, 5.000%,	100.00		
	7/01/31 (Alternative Minimum Tax)			
3,000	Love Field Airport Modernization Corporation,	11/20 at	BBB	3,294,627
	Texas, Special Facilities Revenue Bonds, Southwest	100.00		
	Airlines Company, Series 2010, 5.250%, 11/01/40			
	North Texas Tollway Authority, System Revenue			
400	Bonds, First Tier Series 2009A:	4.44.0		11= 00:
100	6.100%, 1/01/28	1/19 at	A2	117,201
2.000	(2500) 1/01/20	100.00	4.0	2 21 6 100
2,000	6.250%, 1/01/39	1/19 at	A2	2,316,180
		100.00		

NTX Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund Portfolio of Investments (continued)

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions I (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	Transportation (continued)			
\$ 395	North Texas Tollway Authority, System Revenue Bonds, Refunding First Tier, Series 2008A, 5.750%, 1/01/40	1/18 at 100.00	A2	\$ 439,576
	North Texas Tollway Authority, System Revenue Bonds, Refunding First Tier, Series 2008B:			
325	5.750%, 1/01/40	1/18 at 100.00	A2	361,676
225	5.750%, 1/01/40 – NPFG Insured	1/18 at 100.00	AA–	251,393
2,500	North Texas Tollway Authority, System Revenue Bonds, Refunding First Tier, Series 2008D, 0.000%, 1/01/36 – AGC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	1,067,375
950	North Texas Tollway Authority, System Revenue Bonds, Refunding Second Tier, Series 2008F, 5.750%, 1/01/38	1/18 at 100.00	A3	1,052,173
2,500	San Antonio, Texas, Airport System Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2012, 5.000%, 7/01/27 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	7/22 at 100.00	A+	2,860,975
30,970	Total Transportation			26,731,909
,	U.S. Guaranteed – 16.0% (11.1% of Total Investments) (4)			, ,
2,000	Borger Independent School District, Hutchison County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 2/15/36 (Pre-refunded 2/15/16)	2/16 at 100.00	AAA	2,092,560
3,455	Brownsville, Texas, Utility System Priority Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 9/01/27 (Pre-refunded 9/01/15) – AMBAC Insured	9/15 at 100.00	A2 (4)	3,541,030
1,190	Canutillo Independent School District, El Paso County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 8/15/22 (Pre-refunded 8/15/15)	8/15 at 100.00	AAA	1,217,132
4,625	Dallas Area Rapid Transit, Texas, Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Refunding Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/36 (Pre-refunded 12/01/16) – AMBAC Insured	12/16 at 100.00	Aa2 (4)	5,000,224
1,000	El Paso, Texas, Water and Sewer Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2008C, 5.375%, 3/01/29 (Pre-refunded 3/01/18)	3/18 at 100.00	AA+ (4)	1,134,100
3,615	Frisco, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 2/15/26 (Pre-refunded 2/15/16) – FGIC Insured	2/16 at 100.00	AA+ (4)	3,782,664
25			N/R (4)	30,506

	Lower Colorado River Authority, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2012B, 5.000%, 5/15/29 (Pre-refunded 5/15/22)	5/22 at 100.00		
	Lower Colorado River Authority, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Series 2008:			
25	5.750%, 5/15/37 (Pre-refunded 5/15/15)	5/15 at 100.00	N/R (4)	25,306
40	5.750%, 5/15/37 (Pre-refunded 5/15/15)	5/15 at 100.00	N/R (4)	40,482
1,785	5.750%, 5/15/37 (Pre-refunded 5/15/15)	5/15 at 100.00	A2 (4)	1,806,813
1,000	Lufkin Health Facilities Development Corporation, Texas, Health System Revenue Bonds, Memorial Health System of East Texas, Series 2007, 5.500%, 2/15/32 (Pre-refunded 2/15/17)	2/17 at 100.00	Baa3 (4)	1,097,320
1,000	North Central Texas Health Facilities Development Corporation, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Presbyterian Healthcare System, Series 1996A, 5.750%, 6/01/26 – NPFG Insured (ETM)	No Opt. Call	Aaa	1,244,730
2,500	Retama Development Corporation, Texas, Special Facilities Revenue Bonds, Retama Park Racetrack, Series 1993, 8.750%, 12/15/18 (Pre-refunded 12/15/17)	12/17 at 100.00	Aaa	3,052,525
410	Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corporation, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Christus Health, Refunding Series 2008A, 6.500%, 7/01/37 (Pre-refunded 1/01/19) – AGC Insured	1/19 at 100.00	AA (4)	491,996
	White Settlement Independent School District, Tarrant County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006:			
1,260	0.000%, 8/15/43 (Pre-refunded 8/15/15)	8/15 at 23.11	N/R (4)	290,984
1,260	0.000%, 8/15/44 (Pre-refunded 8/15/15)	8/15 at 21.88	N/R (4)	275,373
360	0.000%, 8/15/45 (Pre-refunded 8/15/15)	8/15 at 20.76	N/R (4)	74,660
25,550	Total U.S. Guaranteed Utilities – 15.8% (11.0% of Total Investments)			25,198,405
3,000	Austin, Texas, Electric Utility System Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2012A, 5.000%, 11/15/40	No Opt. Call	AA–	3,364,318
2,560	Brazos River Authority, Texas, Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, TXU Electric Company, Series 1999C, 7.700%, 3/01/32 (Alternative Minimum Tax) (5)	4/15 at 100.00	С	121,600
1,545	Brownsville, Texas, Utility System Priority Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 9/01/27 – AMBAC Insured	9/15 at 100.00	A+	1,579,701
2,000	Bryan, Brazos County, Texas, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Series 2009, 5.000%, 7/01/34	7/17 at 100.00	A+	2,166,920
3,000	Lower Colorado River Authority, Texas, Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 2010A, 5.000%, 5/15/40	5/20 at 100.00	A1	3,309,420

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions R (2)	atings (3)	Value
(000)	Utilities (continued)	(2)		
\$ 1,150	Lower Colorado River Authority, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2012A, 5.000%, 5/15/36	5/22 at 100.00	A1	\$ 1,285,539
1,975	Lower Colorado River Authority, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2012B, 5.000%, 5/15/29	5/22 at 100.00	A1	2,251,520
150	Lower Colorado River Authority, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Series 2008, 5.750%, 5/15/37	5/15 at 100.00	A1	151,623
1,500	Matagorda County Navigation District Number One, Texas, Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, Central Power and Light Company Project, Series 2009A, 6.300%, 11/01/29	7/19 at 102.00	Baa1	1,729,170
1,000	Sam Rayburn Municipal Power Agency, Texas, Power Supply System Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2012, 5.000%, 10/01/20	No Opt. Call	BBB+	1,164,490
	Texas Municipal Gas Acquisition and Supply Corporation I, Gas Supply Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Series 2008D:			
770	5.625%, 12/15/17	No Opt. Call	A-	830,853
3,000	6.250%, 12/15/26	No Opt. Call	A-	3,742,228
1,000	Texas Municipal Gas Acquisition and Supply Corporation I, Gas Supply Revenue Bonds, Series 2006A, 5.250%, 12/15/20	No Opt. Call	A–	1,152,890
	Texas Municipal Power Agency, Revenue Bonds, Transmission Refunding Series 2010:			
640	5.000%, 9/01/34	9/20 at 100.00	A+	711,130
1,000	5.000%, 9/01/40	9/20 at 100.00	A+	1,108,880
325	Texas State, General Obligation Bonds, Water Utility, Series 2001, 5.250%, 8/01/23	8/15 at 100.00	AAA	326,378
24,615	Total Utilities			24,996,660
	Water and Sewer – 16.0% (11.1% of Total Investments)			
1,575	Bell County Water Control Improvement District 1, Texas, Water Revenue Bonds, Series 2014, 5.000%, 7/10/38 – BAM Insured	7/23 at 100.00	AA	1,782,900
2,500	Bexar Metropolitan Water District, Texas, Waterworks System Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2010, 5.875%, 5/01/40	5/20 at 100.00	A+	2,917,025
2,500	Canadian River Municipal Water Authority, Texas, Contract Revenue Bonds, Conjunctive Use Groundwater Supply Project, Subordinate Lien Series 2011, 5.000%, 2/15/31	2/21 at 100.00	AA	2,837,000
2,000	Corpus Christi, Texas, Utility System Revenue Bonds, Improvement Junior Lien Series 2013,	7/23 at 100.00	A1	2,254,980

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

	5.000%, 7/15/43			
2,000	Houston, Texas, First Lien Combined Utility System Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2012D, 5.000%, 11/15/42	11/22 at 100.00	AA	2,273,640
	Irving, Texas, Waterworks and Sewerage Revenue Bonds, Subordinate Lien Series 2004:			
100	5.000%, 8/15/22 – AMBAC Insured	8/15 at 100.00	Aa1	100,403
105	5.000%, 8/15/23 – AMBAC Insured	8/15 at 100.00	Aa1	105,423
4,000	Laredo, Webb County, Texas, Waterworks and Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Series 2010, 5.250%, 3/01/40	3/20 at 100.00	AA-	4,634,880
710	North Fort Bend Water Authority, Texas, Water System Revenue Bonds, Series 2011, 5.000%, 12/15/36 – AGM Insured	12/21 at 100.00	AA	791,643
3,860	North Harris County Regional Water Authority, Texas, Water Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Refunding Series 2013, 5.000%, 12/15/33	12/22 at 100.00	AA-	4,401,828
2,640	San Antonio, Texas, Water System Revenue Bonds, Refunding Junior Lien Series 2015B, 5.000%, 5/15/34	5/25 at 100.00	AA	3,106,620
21,990	Total Water and Sewer			25,206,342
\$ 245,930	Total Long-Term Investments (cost \$209,619,780)			227,225,220
	Floating Rate Obligations – (2.5)%			(3,960,000)
	MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value – (45.0)% (6)			(70,920,000)
	Other Assets Less Liabilities – 3.4% (7)			5,298,972
	Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares – 100%		\$	157,644,192

NTX Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund Portfolio of Investments (continued)

February 28, 2015

Investments in Derivatives as of February 28, 2015 Credit Default Swaps outstanding:

			Current				Un	realized
					Fixed			
		Buy/Sell	Credit	Notional	Rate T	ermination	Appı	eciation
	Referenced	Protection						
Counterparty	Entity	(8)	Spread (9)	Amou(nAnn	ualized)	Date	Val@Depr	reciation)
Citibank	Commonwealth						_	
N.A.	of Puerto Rico	Buy	28.5-30.0%\$	1,830,000	5.000%	12/20/19 \$	514,361 \$	94,558

- (1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to common shares unless otherwise noted.
- Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
- Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the highest of Standard & Poor's Group ("Standard & Poor's"), Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ("Moody's") or Fitch, Inc. ("Fitch' rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's, Baa by Moody's or BBB by Fitch are considered to be below investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.
- (4) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities, which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities.
- As of, or subsequent to, the end of the reporting period this security is non-income producing. Non-income producing, in the case of a fixed-income security, generally denotes that the issuer has (1) defaulted on the payment of principal or interest, (2) is under the protection of the Federal Bankruptcy Court or (3) the Fund's Adviser has concluded that the issue is not likely to meet its future interest payment obligations and has ceased accruing additional income on the Fund's records.
- (6) MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value as a percentage of Total Investments is 31.2%.
- (7) Other assets less liabilities includes the unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of certain over-the-counter derivatives as presented on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. The unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of exchange-cleared and exchange-traded derivatives is recognized as part of the cash collateral at brokers and/or the receivable or payable for variation margin as presented on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities, when applicable.
- (8) The Fund entered into the credit default swap to gain investment exposure to the referenced entity. Selling protection has a similar credit risk position to owning that referenced entity. Buying protection has a similar credit risk position to selling the referenced entity short.
- (9) The credit spread generally serves as an indication of the current status of the payment/performance risk and therefore the likelihood of default of the credit derivative. The credit spread also reflects the cost of buying/selling protection and may include upfront payments required to be made to enter into a credit default swap contract. Higher credit spreads are indicative of a higher likelihood of performance by the seller of protection.

(ETM) Escrowed to maturity.

(UB)

Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction. See Notes to Financial Statements, Note 3 – Portfolio Securities and Investments in Derivatives, Inverse Floating Rate Securities for more information.

(WI/DD) Investment, or portion of investment, purchased on a when-issued or delayed delivery basis.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Statement of

Assets and Liabilities				February 28, 2015
	Arizona	Michigan	Ohio	Texas
	Premium	Quality	Quality	Quality
	Income	Income	Income	Income
	(NAZ)	(NUM)	(NUO)	(NTX)
Assets				
Long-term investments, at value (cost				
\$231,298,587, \$447,565,543, \$418,813,528 and				
\$209,619,780, respectively)	\$ 252,613,261	\$481,664,022	\$455,253,839	\$ 227,225,220
Cash	1,300,225	10,493,167	2,876,694	3,984,734
Credit default swaps premiums paid	_	- 904,298	884,666	419,803
Unrealized appreciation on credit default swaps	_	– 187,352	197,460	94,558
Receivable for:				
Interest	2,513,716	6,074,540	5,150,166	2,464,623
Investments sold	_			- 4,529,313
Deferred offering costs	86,876	135,217	280,943	223,891
Other assets	1,157	31,499	129,790	969
Total assets	256,515,235	499,490,095	464,773,558	238,943,111
Liabilities				
Floating rate obligations	2,755,000	6,625,000	_	- 3,960,000
Payable for:				
Common share dividends	747,784	1,309,508	1,256,132	512,190
Interest	58,785	118,313	_	- 135,930
Investments purchased	_	- 2,796,832	_	- 5,578,330
Offering costs	825	_	- 1,201	_
MuniFund Term Preferred ("MTP") Shares, at				
liquidation value	_			- 70,920,000
Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred				
("VMTP") Shares, at liquidation value	79,000,000	159,000,000	_	
Variable Rate Demand Preferred ("VRDP") Share	es,			
at liquidation value	_		- 148,000,000	_
Accrued expenses:				
Management fees	123,631	227,520	221,017	108,696
Trustees fees	2,458	34,706	10,757	2,225
Other	178,609	146,330	142,717	81,548
Total liabilities	82,867,092	170,258,209	149,631,824	81,298,919
Net assets applicable to common shares	\$ 173,648,143	\$ 329,231,886	\$ 315,141,734	\$ 157,644,192
Common shares outstanding	11,563,886	20,833,387	18,521,955	10,027,210
Net asset value ("NAV") per common share				
outstanding	\$ 15.02	\$ 15.80	\$ 17.01	\$ 15.72
Net assets applicable to common shares consist				
of:				
Common shares, \$0.01 par value per share	\$ 115,639	\$ 208,334	\$ 185,220	\$ 100,272
Paid-in surplus	157,663,450	295,604,465	281,391,428	141,884,337
Undistributed (Over-distribution of) net				
investment income	1,493,133	731,592	495,988	80,176

Accumulated net realized gain (loss)	(6,938,753)	(1,598,336)	(3,568,673)	(2,120,591)
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	21,314,674	34,285,831	36,637,771	17,699,998
Net assets applicable to common shares	\$ 173,648,143	\$ 329,231,886	\$ 315,141,734	\$ 157,644,192
Authorized shares:				
Common	Unlimited	Unlimited	Unlimited	Unlimited
Preferred	Unlimited	Unlimited	Unlimited	