



Edgar Filing: M I HOMES INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes                      No              X

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common shares, par value \$.01 per share: 18,710,100 shares outstanding as of April 27, 2011.

---

M/I HOMES, INC.  
FORM 10-Q

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART 1.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	M/I Homes, Inc. and Subsidiaries Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at March 31, 2011 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2010	<u>3</u>
	Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010	<u>4</u>
	Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011	<u>5</u>
	Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010	<u>6</u>
	Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>7</u>
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>27</u>
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>51</u>
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	<u>53</u>
PART II.	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	<u>53</u>
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	<u>53</u>
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	<u>53</u>
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	<u>54</u>
Item 5.	Other Information	<u>54</u>
Item 6.	Exhibits	<u>54</u>
Signatures		<u>55</u>
Exhibit Index		<u>56</u>



M/I HOMES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	March 31, 2011 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2010
(Dollars in thousands, except par values)		
<b>ASSETS:</b>		
Cash	\$80,361	\$81,208
Restricted cash	46,668	41,923
Mortgage loans held for sale	33,182	43,312
Inventory	443,478	450,936
Property and equipment - net	15,952	16,554
Investment in Unconsolidated LLCs	10,822	10,589
Income tax receivable	1,162	994
Other assets	14,117	16,378
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$645,742</b>	<b>\$661,894</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
<b>LIABILITIES:</b>		
Accounts payable	\$32,242	\$29,030
Customer deposits	4,076	3,017
Other liabilities	42,806	42,116
Community development district obligations	6,860	7,112
Obligation for consolidated inventory not owned	468	468
Note payable bank - financial services operations	26,024	32,197
Note payable — other	5,773	5,853
Senior notes	238,711	238,610
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>356,960</b>	<b>358,403</b>
Commitments and contingencies	—	—
<b>SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:</b>		
Preferred shares — \$.01 par value; authorized 2,000,000 shares; issued 4,000 shares	96,325	96,325
Common shares — \$.01 par value; authorized 38,000,000 shares; issued 22,101,723 shares at both March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010	221	221
Additional paid-in capital	139,058	140,418
Retained earnings	120,539	137,578
Treasury shares — at cost — 3,391,623 and 3,577,388 shares, respectively, at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010	(67,361 )	(71,051 )
<b>TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>	<b>288,782</b>	<b>303,491</b>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>	<b>\$645,742</b>	<b>\$661,894</b>

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

M/I HOMES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
(In thousands, except per share amounts)	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)
Revenue	\$ 110,570	\$ 119,389
Costs and expenses:		
Land and housing	92,574	99,308
Impairment of inventory and investment in Unconsolidated LLCs	10,871	3,116
General and administrative	11,402	12,892
Selling	8,654	10,594
Interest	4,035	2,141
Total costs and expenses	127,536	128,051
Loss before income taxes	(16,966 )	(8,662 )
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	73	(327 )
Net loss	\$(17,039 )	\$(8,335 )
Loss per common share:		
Basic	\$(0.92 )	\$(0.45 )
Diluted	\$(0.92 )	\$(0.45 )
Weighted average shares outstanding:		
Basic	18,615	18,521
Diluted	18,615	18,521

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

M/I HOMES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 (Unaudited)							Total Shareholders' Equity
	Preferred Shares		Common Shares		Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Treasury Shares	
	Outstanding Shares	Amount	Outstanding Shares	Amount				
Balance at December 31, 2010	4,000	\$96,325	18,524,335	\$ 221	\$140,418	\$137,578	\$(71,051)	\$ 303,491
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	(17,039 )	—	(17,039 )
Income tax benefit from stock options and deferred compensation distributions	—	—	—	—	369	—	—	369
Stock options exercised	—	—	179,446	—	(2,148 )	—	3,564	1,416
Stock-based compensation expense	—	—	—	—	483	—	—	483
Deferral of executive and director compensation	—	—	—	—	62	—	—	62
Executive and director deferred compensation distributions	—	—	6,319	—	(126 )	—	126	—
Balance at March 31, 2011	14,000	\$96,325	18,710,100	\$ 221	\$139,058	\$120,539	\$(67,361)	\$ 288,782

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.



M/I HOMES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)
<b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Net loss	\$(17,039	) \$(8,335
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Inventory valuation adjustments and abandoned land transaction write-offs	11,129	3,191
Mortgage loan originations	(71,034	) (80,751
Proceeds from the sale of mortgage loans	83,195	81,833
Fair value adjustment of mortgage loans held for sale	(2,031	) (1,244
Net loss from property disposals	35	6
Depreciation	1,252	1,285
Amortization of intangibles, debt discount and debt issue costs	649	677
Stock-based compensation expense	483	695
Deferred income tax benefit	(6,558	) (3,035
Deferred tax asset valuation allowance	6,558	3,035
Income tax receivable	(168	) 25,685
Excess tax expense from stock-based payment arrangements	(369	) 14
Equity in undistributed loss of Unconsolidated LLCs	10	4
Change in assets and liabilities:		
Cash held in escrow	(6,314	) (6,794
Inventory	(4,226	) (26,791
Other assets	1,663	292
Accounts payable	3,212	7,686
Customer deposits	1,059	1,125
Accrued compensation	(2,273	) (2,314
Other liabilities	3,394	(899
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	2,627	(4,635
<b>INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Restricted cash	1,569	(2,034
Purchase of property and equipment	(332	) (642
Investment in unconsolidated limited liability companies	(243	) (94
Return of investment from Unconsolidated LLCs	—	13
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	994	(2,757
<b>FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Repayments of bank borrowings - net	(6,173	) 150
Principal repayments of note payable-other and community development district bond obligations	(80	) (93
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	1,416	5
Excess tax benefit from stock-based payment arrangements	369	(14
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(4,468	) 48
Net decrease in cash	(847	) (7,344
Cash balance at beginning of period	81,208	109,930

Edgar Filing: M I HOMES INC - Form 10-Q

Cash balance at end of period	\$80,361	\$102,586
-------------------------------	----------	-----------

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:

Cash paid during the year for:

Interest — net of amount capitalized	\$(1,573	) \$(1,918	)
Income taxes	\$102	\$5	

NON-CASH TRANSACTIONS DURING THE YEAR:

Community development district infrastructure	\$(252	) \$(305	)
Consolidated inventory not owned	\$—	\$(616	)
Deferral of executive and director compensation	\$62	\$112	
Executive and director deferred compensation distributions	\$126	\$37	

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

M/I HOMES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (the “financial statements”) of M/I Homes, Inc. and its subsidiaries (the “Company”) and notes thereto have been prepared in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission for interim financial information. The financial statements include the accounts of M/I Homes, Inc. and its subsidiaries. All intercompany transactions have been eliminated. Results for the interim period are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year. In the opinion of management, the accompanying financial statements reflect all adjustments (all of which are normal and recurring in nature) necessary for a fair presentation of financial results for the interim periods presented. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 (the “2010 Form 10-K”).

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during that period. Actual results could differ from these estimates and have a significant impact on the financial condition and results of operations and cash flows. With regard to the Company, estimates and assumptions are inherent in calculations relating to valuation of inventory and investment in unconsolidated limited liability companies (“Unconsolidated LLCs”), property and equipment depreciation, valuation of derivative financial instruments, accounts payable on inventory, accruals for costs to complete inventory, accruals for warranty claims, accruals for self-insured general liability claims, litigation, accruals for health care and workers' compensation, accruals for guaranteed or indemnified loans, stock-based compensation expense, income taxes, and contingencies. Items that could have a significant impact on these estimates and assumptions include the risks and uncertainties listed in “Item 1A. Risk Factors” in Part I of our 2010 Form 10-K, as the same may be updated from time to time in our subsequent filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

NOTE 2. Cash and Restricted Cash

The table below is a summary of our cash balances at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

(In thousands)	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Homebuilding	\$63,385	\$71,874
Financial services	16,976	9,334
Unrestricted cash	\$80,361	\$81,208
Restricted cash	46,668	41,923
Total cash	\$127,029	\$123,131

Restricted cash primarily consists of homebuilding cash the Company had designated as collateral at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 in accordance with the four secured Letter of Credit Facilities that were entered into in July 2009 and the one secured Letter of Credit Facility entered into in June 2010 (collectively, as amended, the “Letter of Credit Facilities”). Restricted cash also includes cash held in escrow of \$9.5 million and \$3.1 million at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

NOTE 3. Fair Value Measurements

There are three measurement input levels for determining fair value: Level 1, Level 2, and Level 3. Fair values determined by Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access. Fair values determined by Level 2 inputs utilize inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability.

#### Assets Measured on a Recurring Basis

The Company measures both mortgage loans held for sale and interest rate lock commitments (“IRLCs”) at fair value. Fair value measurement results in a better presentation of the changes in fair values of the loans and the derivative instruments used to economically hedge them.

In the normal course of business, our financial services segment enters into contractual commitments to extend credit to buyers of single-family homes with fixed expiration dates. The commitments become effective when the borrowers “lock-in” a specified interest rate within established time frames. Market risk arises if interest rates move adversely between the time of the “lock-in” of rates by the borrower and the sale date of the loan to an investor. To mitigate the effect of the interest rate risk inherent in providing rate lock commitments to borrowers, the Company enters into optional or mandatory delivery forward sale contracts to sell whole loans and mortgage-backed securities to broker/dealers. The forward sale contracts lock in an interest rate and price for the sale of loans similar to the specific rate lock commitments. The Company does not engage in speculative or trading derivative activities. Both the rate lock commitments to borrowers and the forward sale contracts to broker/dealers or investors are undesignated derivatives, and accordingly, are marked to fair value through earnings. Changes in fair value measurements are included in earnings in the accompanying statements of operations.

The fair value of mortgage loans held for sale is estimated based primarily on published prices for mortgage-backed securities with similar characteristics. To calculate the effects of interest rate movements, the Company utilizes applicable published mortgage-backed security prices, and multiplies the price movement between the rate lock date and the balance sheet date by the notional loan commitment amount. The Company sells the majority of its loans on a servicing released basis, and receives a servicing release premium upon sale. Thus, the value of the servicing rights included in the fair value measurement is based upon contractual terms with investors and depends on the loan type. The Company applies a fallout rate to IRLCs when measuring the fair value of rate lock commitments. Fallout is defined as locked loan commitments for which the Company does not close a mortgage loan and is based on management’s judgment and experience.

The fair value of the Company’s forward sales contracts to broker/dealers solely considers the market price movement of the same type of security between the trade date and the balance sheet date. The market price changes are multiplied by the notional amount of the forward sales contracts to measure the fair value.

**Loan Commitments.** IRLCs are extended to certain home-buying customers who have applied for a mortgage loan and meet certain defined credit and underwriting criteria. Typically, the IRLCs will have a duration of less than six months; however, in certain markets, the duration could extend to twelve months.

Some IRLCs are committed to a specific third-party investor through the use of best-efforts whole loan delivery commitments matching the exact terms of the IRLC loan. The notional amount of the committed IRLCs and the best-efforts contracts was \$8.8 million and \$2.3 million at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Uncommitted IRLCs are considered derivative instruments and are fair value adjusted, with the resulting gain or loss recorded in current earnings. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the notional amount of the uncommitted IRLCs was \$29.4 million and \$24.9 million, respectively.

**Forward Sales of Mortgage-Backed Securities.** Forward sales of mortgage-backed securities (“FMBSs”) are used to protect uncommitted IRLC loans against the risk of changes in interest rates between the lock date and the funding date. FMBSs related to uncommitted IRLCs are classified and accounted for as non-designated derivative instruments and are recorded at fair value, with gains and losses recorded in current earnings. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the notional amount under these FMBSs was \$30.0 million and \$27.0 million, respectively.

**Mortgage Loans Held for Sale:** Mortgage loans held for sale consist primarily of single-family residential loans collateralized by the underlying property. During the intervening period between when a loan is closed and when it is sold to an investor, the interest rate risk is covered through the use of a best-efforts contract or by FMBSs.

Edgar Filing: M I HOMES INC - Form 10-Q

The notional amount of the best-efforts contracts and related mortgage loans held for sale was \$27.7 million and \$42.7 million at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

The notional amounts of both the FMBSs and the related mortgage loans held for sale were both \$4.0 million at March 31, 2011 and \$2.0 and \$1.9, respectively, at December 31, 2010. The FMBSs are classified and accounted for as non-designated derivative instruments, with gains and losses recorded in current earnings.

Edgar Filing: M I HOMES INC - Form 10-Q

The table below shows the level and measurement of assets and liabilities measured on a recurring basis at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

Description of Financial Instrument (in thousands)	Fair Value Measurements March 31, 2011	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Mortgage loans held for sale	\$33,182	\$—	\$33,182	\$—
Forward sales of mortgage-backed securities	171	—	171	—
Interest rate lock commitments	3	—	3	—
Best-efforts contracts	145	—	145	—
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$33,501</b>	<b>\$—</b>	<b>\$33,501</b>	<b>\$—</b>

  

Description of Financial Instrument (in thousands)	Fair Value Measurements December 31, 2010	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Mortgage loans held for sale	\$43,312	\$—	\$43,312	\$—
Forward sales of mortgage-backed securities	121	—	121	—
Interest rate lock commitments	(43 )	—	(43 )	—
Best-efforts contracts	340	—	340	—
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$43,730</b>	<b>\$—</b>	<b>\$43,730</b>	<b>\$—</b>

The following table sets forth the amount of gain (loss) recognized, within our financial services revenue in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations, on assets and liabilities measured on a recurring basis:

Description (in thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Mortgage loans held for sale	\$2,031	\$1,244
Forward sales of mortgage-backed securities	50	(6 )
Interest rate lock commitments	46	210
Best-efforts contracts	(195 )	(534 )
<b>Total gain recognized</b>	<b>\$1,932</b>	<b>\$914</b>

The following tables set forth the fair value of the Company's derivative instruments and their location within the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets for the periods indicated:

Description of Derivatives	Asset Derivatives March 31, 2011		Liability Derivatives March 31, 2011	
	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value (in thousands)	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value (in thousands)
Forward sales of mortgage-backed securities	Other assets	\$171	Other liabilities	\$—
Interest rate lock commitments	Other assets	3	Other liabilities	—
Best-efforts contracts	Other assets	145	Other liabilities	—
<b>Total fair value measurements</b>		<b>\$319</b>		<b>\$—</b>

  

Description of Derivatives	Asset Derivatives At December 31, 2010		Liability Derivatives At December 31, 2010	
	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value (in thousands)	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value (in thousands)
	Other assets	\$121	Other liabilities	\$—

Forward sales of mortgage-backed securities

Interest rate lock commitments	Other assets	—	Other liabilities	43
Best-efforts contracts	Other assets	340	Other liabilities	—
Total fair value measurements		\$461		\$43

9

---



### Assets Measured on a Non-Recurring Basis

The Company assesses inventory for recoverability on a quarterly basis if events or changes in local or national economic conditions indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. In conducting our quarterly review for indicators of impairment on a community level, we evaluate, among other things, margins on sales contracts in backlog, the margins on homes that have been delivered, expected changes in margins with regard to future home sales over the life of the community, expected changes in margins with regard to future land sales, and the value of the land itself. We pay particular attention to communities in which inventory is moving at a slower than anticipated absorption pace, and communities whose average sales price and/or margins are trending downward and are anticipated to continue to trend downward. We also evaluate communities where management intends to lower the sales price or offer incentives in order to improve absorptions even if the community's historical results do not indicate a potential for impairment. From this review, we identify communities whose carrying values may exceed their undiscounted cash flows. For those communities whose carrying values exceed the estimated undiscounted future cash flows and which are deemed to be impaired, the impairment recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the communities exceeds the estimated fair value. Due to the fact that the Company's cash flow models and estimates of fair values are based upon management estimates and assumptions, unexpected changes in market conditions may lead the Company to incur additional impairment charges in the future.

Our determination of fair value is based on projections and estimates, which are Level 3 measurement inputs. Our analysis is completed at a phase level within each community; therefore, changes in local conditions may affect one or several of our communities. For all of the categories discussed below, the key assumptions relating to the valuations are dependent on project-specific local market and/or community conditions and are inherently uncertain. Because each inventory asset is unique, there are numerous inputs and assumptions used in our valuation techniques. Market factors that may impact these assumptions include:

- historical project results such as average sales price and sales pace, if closings have occurred in the project;
- competitors' market and/or community presence and their competitive actions;
- project specific attributes such as location desirability and uniqueness of product offering;
- potential for alternative product offerings to respond to local market conditions; and
- current economic and demographic conditions and related trends and forecasts.

These, and other market factors that may impact project assumptions, are considered by personnel in our homebuilding divisions as they prepare or update the forecasts for each community. Quantitative and qualitative factors other than home sales prices could significantly impact the potential for future impairments. The sales objectives can differ between communities, even within a given sub-market. For example, facts and circumstances in a given community may lead us to price our homes with the objective of yielding a higher sales absorption pace, while facts and circumstances in another community may lead us to price our homes to minimize deterioration in our gross margins, although it may result in a slower sales absorption pace. Furthermore, the key assumptions included in our estimated future undiscounted cash flows may be interrelated. For example, a decrease in estimated base sales price or an increase in home sales incentives may result in a corresponding increase in sales absorption pace. Changes in our key assumptions, including estimated average selling price, construction and development costs, absorption pace, selling strategies, or discount rates, could materially impact future cash flow and fair value estimates.

**Operating Communities:** If an indicator for impairment exists for existing operating communities, the recoverability of assets is evaluated by comparing the carrying amount of the assets to estimated future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the assets based on home sales. These estimated cash flows are developed based primarily on management's assumptions relating to the specific community. The significant assumptions used to evaluate the recoverability of assets include: the timing of development and/or marketing phases; projected sales price and sales pace of each existing or planned community; the estimated land development, home construction, and

selling costs of the community; overall market supply and demand; the local market; and competitive conditions. Management reviews these assumptions on a quarterly basis. While we consider available information to determine what we believe to be our best estimates as of the end of a reporting period, these estimates are subject to change in future reporting periods as facts and circumstances change. Some of the most critical assumptions in the Company's cash flow models are projected absorption pace for home sales, sales prices, and costs to build and deliver homes on a community by community basis.

In order to estimate the assumed absorption pace for home sales included in the Company's cash flow models, the Company analyzes the historical absorption pace in the community as well as other communities in the geographic area. In addition, the Company considers internal and external market studies and trends, which may include, but are not limited to, statistics on population demographics, unemployment rates, foreclosure sales, and availability of competing products in the geographic area where a community is located. When analyzing the Company's historical absorption pace for home sales and corresponding internal and external market studies, the Company places greater emphasis on more current metrics and trends such as the absorption pace realized in its most recent quarters.

In order to estimate the sales prices included in its cash flow models, the Company considers the historical sales prices realized on homes it delivered in the community and other communities in the geographic area, as well as the sales prices included in its current backlog for such communities. In addition, the Company considers internal and external market studies and trends, which may include, but are not limited to, statistics on sales prices in neighboring communities, which include the impact of short sales, if any, and sales prices on similar products in non-neighboring communities in the geographic area where the community is located. When analyzing its historical sales prices and corresponding market studies, the Company places greater emphasis on more current metrics and trends such as the sales prices realized in its most recent quarters and the sales prices in current backlog. Based upon this analysis, the Company sets a sales price for each house type in the community which it believes will achieve an acceptable gross margin and sales pace in the community. This price becomes the price published to the sales force for use in its sales efforts. The Company then considers the average of these published sales prices when estimating the future sales prices in its cash flow models.

In order to arrive at the Company's assumed costs to build and deliver homes, the Company generally assumes a cost structure reflecting contracts currently in place with its vendors and subcontractors adjusted for any anticipated cost reduction initiatives or increases in cost structure. With respect to overhead included in the cash flow models, the Company uses forecasted rates included in the Company's annual budget adjusted for actual experience that is materially different than budgeted rates.

**Future Communities:** If an indicator of impairment exists for raw land, land under development, or lots that management anticipates will be utilized for future homebuilding activities, the recoverability of assets is evaluated by comparing the carrying amount of the assets to estimated future undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the assets based on home sales, consistent with the evaluations performed for operating communities discussed above.

For raw land, land under development, or lots that management intends to market for sale to a third party, but that do not meet all of the criteria to be classified as land held for sale as discussed below, the estimated fair value of the assets is determined based on either the estimated net sales proceeds expected to be realized on the sale of the assets or the estimated fair value determined using cash flow valuation techniques.

If the Company has not yet determined whether raw land or land under development will be utilized for future homebuilding activities or marketed for sale to a third party, the Company assesses the recoverability of the inventory using a probability-weighted approach.

**Land Held for Sale:** Land held for sale includes land that meets all of the following six criteria: (1) management, having the authority to approve the action, commits to a plan to sell the asset; (2) the asset is available for immediate sale in its present condition subject only to terms that are usual and customary for sales of such assets; (3) an active program to locate a buyer and other actions required to complete the plan to sell the asset have been initiated; (4) the sale of the asset is probable, and transfer of the asset is expected to qualify for recognition as a completed sale, within one year; (5) the asset is being actively marketed for sale at a price that is reasonable in relation to its current fair value; and (6) actions required to complete the plan indicate that it is unlikely that significant changes to the plan will be made or that the plan will be withdrawn. The Company records land held for sale at the lower of its carrying value or estimated fair value less costs to sell. In performing the impairment evaluation for land held for sale, management considers, among other things, prices for land in recent comparable sales transactions, market analysis and recent bona fide offers received from outside third parties, as well as actual contracts. If the estimated fair value less the costs to sell an asset is less than the current carrying value, the asset is written down to its estimated fair value less costs to sell.

**Investment In Unconsolidated Limited Liability Companies:** The Company evaluates its investment in Unconsolidated LLCs for potential impairment on a quarterly basis. If the fair value of the investment is less than the investment's

carrying value and the Company has determined that the decline in value is other than temporary, the Company would write down the value of the investment to fair value.

The estimate of an investment's fair value requires management to make certain assumptions regarding the amount and timing of future contributions to the Unconsolidated LLC, the timing of distribution of lots to the Company from the Unconsolidated LLC, the fair value of the lots at the time of distribution to the Company, and the proceeds from, and timing of, the sale of land or lots to third parties. In estimating the fair value of its investments in Unconsolidated LLCs, the Company projects cash flows associated with each entity, and, as of March 31, 2011, applied a discount rate of 16% to reflect the perceived risks associated with the projected cash flows.

In addition to the assumptions management must make to determine if the investment's fair value is less than its carrying value, management must also use judgment in determining whether the impairment is other than temporary. Among the factors management considers are: (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than the carrying amount; (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the entity, including its liquidity needs; and (3) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment in the Unconsolidated LLC for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in value. Because of the high degree of judgment involved in developing these assumptions, it is possible that the Company may determine the investment is not impaired in the current period but, due to passage of time or change in market conditions leading to changes in assumptions, impairment could occur in the future.

The table below shows the level and measurement of assets and liabilities measured on a non-recurring basis for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and the year ended December 31, 2010:

Description of asset or liability (In thousands)	Fair Value Measurements March 31, 2011	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Losses
Inventory	\$20,416	\$—	\$—	\$20,416	10,821
Investments in LLCs	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	50
Total fair value measurements	\$20,416	\$—	\$—	\$20,416	10,871
Description of asset or liability (In thousands)	Fair Value Measurements December 31, 2010	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Losses
Inventory	\$16,793	\$—	\$—	\$16,793	12,506
Investments in LLCs	\$50	\$—	\$—	\$50	32
Total fair value measurements	\$16,843	\$—	\$—	\$16,843	12,538

#### NOTE 4. Inventory

A summary of the Company's inventory as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is as follows:

(In thousands)	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Single-family lots, land and land development costs	\$258,038	\$262,960
Homes under construction	150,286	151,524
Model homes and furnishings - at cost (less accumulated depreciation: March 31, 2011 - \$3,570; December 31, 2010 - \$3,230)	22,340	23,255
Community development district infrastructure	6,860	7,112
Land purchase deposits	1,834	1,965
Consolidated inventory not owned	4,120	4,120
Total inventory	\$443,478	\$450,936

Single-family lots, land and land development costs include raw land that the Company has purchased to develop into lots, costs incurred to develop the raw land into lots, and lots for which development has been completed but which have not yet been used to start construction of a home.

Homes under construction includes homes that are in various stages of construction. As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we had 441 homes (with a carrying value of \$54.7 million) and 561 homes (with a carrying value of \$74.4 million), respectively, included in homes under construction that were not subject to a sales contract.

Model homes and furnishings include homes that are under construction or have been completed and are being used as sales models. The amount also includes the net book value of furnishings included in our model homes. Depreciation on model home furnishings is recorded using an accelerated method over the estimated useful life of the assets, typically three years.

The Company assesses inventory for recoverability on a quarterly basis, by reviewing for impairment whenever events or changes in local or national economic conditions indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Refer to Note 3 for additional details relating to our procedures for evaluating our inventories for impairment.

Land purchase deposits include both refundable and non-refundable amounts paid to third party sellers relating to the purchase of land. On an ongoing basis, the Company evaluates the land option agreements relating to the land purchase deposits. In the period during which the Company makes the decision not to proceed with the purchase of land under an agreement, the Company writes off any deposits and accumulated pre-acquisition costs relating to such agreement. For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company wrote off \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, in option deposits and pre-acquisition costs. Refer to Note 5 for additional details relating to write-offs of land option deposits and pre-acquisition costs.

#### NOTE 5. Valuation Adjustments and Write-offs

The Company assesses inventory for recoverability on a quarterly basis, by reviewing for impairment whenever events or changes in local or national economic conditions indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable.

A summary of the Company's valuation adjustments and write-offs for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 is as follows:

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Impairment of operating communities:		
Midwest	\$2,868	\$1
Southern	1,764	74
Mid-Atlantic	—	90
Total impairment of operating communities (a)	\$4,632	\$165
Impairment of future communities:		
Midwest	\$2,144	\$—
Southern	3,455	1,661
Mid-Atlantic	—	1,290
Total impairment of future communities (a)	\$5,599	\$2,951
Impairment of land held for sale:		
Midwest	\$—	\$—
Southern	590	—
Mid-Atlantic	—	—
Total impairment of land held for sale (a)	\$590	\$—
Option deposits and pre-acquisition costs write-offs:		
Midwest	\$21	\$10
Southern	8	1
Mid-Atlantic	229	64
Total option deposits and pre-acquisition costs write-offs (b)	\$258	\$75
Impairment of investments in Unconsolidated LLCs:		
Midwest	\$—	\$—
Southern	50	—
Mid-Atlantic	—	—
Total impairment of investments in Unconsolidated LLCs (a)	\$50	\$—

Total impairments and write-offs of option deposits and pre-acquisition costs

\$11,129      \$3,191

(a) Amounts are recorded within Impairment of inventory and investment in Unconsolidated LLCs in the Company's Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

(b) Amounts are recorded within General and administrative expenses in the Company's Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.



## NOTE 6. Capitalized Interest

The Company capitalizes interest during land development and home construction. Capitalized interest is charged to cost of sales as the related inventory is delivered to a third party. A summary of capitalized interest is as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
(In thousands)	2011	2010
Capitalized interest, beginning of period	\$20,075	\$23,670
Interest capitalized to inventory	2,162	2,248
Capitalized interest charged to cost of sales	(2,338 )	(2,231 )
Capitalized interest, end of period	\$19,899	\$23,687
Interest incurred — net	\$6,197	\$4,389

## NOTE 7. Investment in Unconsolidated Limited Liability Companies

At March 31, 2011, the Company had interests ranging from 33% to 50% in Unconsolidated LLCs that do not meet the criteria of variable interest entities because each of the entities had sufficient equity at risk to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated support from the equity investors, and one of these Unconsolidated LLCs has outside financing that is not guaranteed by the Company. These Unconsolidated LLCs engage in land acquisition and development activities for the purpose of selling or distributing (in the form of a capital distribution) developed lots to the Company and its partners in the entity. The Company's maximum exposure related to its investment in these entities as of March 31, 2011 was the amount invested of \$10.8 million. Included in the Company's investment in Unconsolidated LLCs at both March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were \$0.8 million of capitalized interest and other costs. The Company does not have a controlling interest in these Unconsolidated LLCs; therefore, they are recorded using the equity method of accounting.

The Company evaluates its investment in Unconsolidated LLCs for potential impairment on a quarterly basis. If the fair value of the investment (see Note 3) is less than the investment's carrying value, and the Company determines the decline in value was other than temporary, the Company would write down the investment to fair value.

## NOTE 8. Guarantees and Indemnifications

## Warranty

The Company offers a limited warranty program in conjunction with a thirty-year transferable structural limited warranty on homes closed after September 30, 2007. This warranty program covers construction defects and certain damage resulting from construction defects for a statutory period based on geographic market and state law (currently ranging from five to ten years for the states in which the Company operates) and includes a mandatory arbitration clause. Prior to this warranty program, the Company provided up to a two-year limited warranty on materials and workmanship and a twenty-year (for homes closed between September 1, 1989 and April 24, 1998) and a thirty-year (for homes closed after April 24, 1998) transferable limited warranty against major structural defects. Warranty expense is accrued as the home sale is recognized and is intended to cover estimated material and outside labor costs to be incurred during the warranty period.

The accrual amounts are based upon historical experience and geographic location. Our warranty accruals are included in Other liabilities in the Company's Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. A summary of warranty activity for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 is as follows:

Three Months Ended March 31,

Edgar Filing: M I HOMES INC - Form 10-Q

(In thousands)	2011	2010
Warranty accrual, beginning of period	\$8,335	\$8,657
Warranty expense on homes delivered during the period	869	1,018
Changes in estimates for pre-existing warranties	(130 )	49
Settlements made during the period	(1,149 )	(1,295 )
Warranty accrual, end of period	\$7,925	\$8,429
Guarantees and Indemnities		

In the ordinary course of business, M/I Financial Corp. (“M/I Financial”), a wholly-owned subsidiary of M/I Homes, Inc., enters into agreements that guarantee certain purchasers of its mortgage loans that M/I Financial will repurchase a loan if certain conditions occur, primarily if the mortgagor does not meet those conditions of the loan within the first six months after the sale of the

loan. Loans totaling approximately \$128.0 million and \$142.2 million were covered under the above guarantees as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. A portion of the revenue paid to M/I Financial for providing the guarantees on the above loans was deferred at March 31, 2011, and will be recognized in income as M/I Financial is released from its obligation under the guarantees. M/I Financial has not repurchased any loans under the above agreements in 2011, but has provided indemnifications to third party investors in lieu of repurchasing certain loans. The total of these indemnified loans was approximately \$3.6 million at both March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The risk associated with the guarantees and indemnities above is offset by the value of the underlying assets. The Company has accrued management's best estimate of the probable loss on the above loans.

M/I Financial has also guaranteed the collectability of certain loans to third-party insurers of those loans for periods ranging from five to thirty years. The maximum potential amount of future payments is equal to the outstanding loan value less the value of the underlying asset plus administrative costs incurred related to foreclosure on the loans, should this event occur. The total of these costs are estimated to be \$1.4 million as of both March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, and would be offset by the value of the underlying assets. The Company has accrued management's best estimate of the probable loss on the above loans.

The Company has recorded a liability relating to the guarantees and indemnities described above totaling \$2.1 million and \$2.0 million at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, which is management's best estimate of the fair value of the Company's liability.

At March 31, 2011, the Company had outstanding \$41.4 million of 6.875% Senior Notes due 2012 (the "2012 Senior Notes"), which are fully and unconditionally guaranteed jointly and severally by all of the Company's wholly-owned subsidiaries. At December 31, 2010, the Company also had outstanding \$200 million aggregate principal amount of 8.625% Senior Notes due 2018 (the "2018 Senior Notes"). The Company's obligations under both the 2018 Senior Notes and the Company's three-year \$140 million secured revolving credit facility entered into on June 9, 2010 (the "Credit Facility") are guaranteed by all of the Company's subsidiaries, with the exception of subsidiaries that are primarily engaged in the business of mortgage financing, the origination of mortgages for resale, title insurance or similar financial businesses relating to the homebuilding and home sales business and certain subsidiaries that are not wholly-owned by the Company or another subsidiary.

#### NOTE 9. Commitments and Contingencies

At March 31, 2011, the Company had outstanding approximately \$63.6 million of completion bonds and standby letters of credit, some of which were issued to various local governmental entities that expire at various times through December 2017. Included in this total are: (1) \$21.7 million of performance and maintenance bonds and \$27.2 million of performance letters of credit that serve as completion bonds for land development work in progress (including the Company's \$0.4 million share of our Unconsolidated LLCs' letters of credit and bonds); (2) \$9.4 million of financial letters of credit, of which \$0.9 million represent deposits on land and lot purchase agreements; and (3) \$5.3 million of financial bonds.

As of March 31, 2011, the Company has identified approximately 90 homes that have been confirmed as having defective imported drywall installed by our subcontractors. All of these homes are located in Florida. Since 2009, the Company has accrued approximately \$13.0 million for the repair of these homes. The remaining balance in this accrual is \$1.5 million, which is included in Other liabilities on the Company's Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. During the third quarter of 2010, the Company received a \$2.4 million settlement for claims attributed to the defective drywall. The Company has made demand for additional reimbursement from manufacturers, suppliers, insurers and others for costs the Company has incurred and may incur in the future in connection with the defective drywall.

At March 31, 2011, the Company had sales agreements outstanding, some of which have contingencies for financing approval, to deliver 747 homes with an aggregate sales price of approximately \$188.4 million. Based on our current housing gross margin, excluding the charge for impairment of inventory, less variable selling costs, less payments to date on homes in backlog, we estimate payments totaling approximately \$90.8 million to be made in 2011 relating to those homes. At March 31, 2011, the Company also has options and contingent purchase agreements to acquire land and developed lots with an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$122.0 million. Purchase of properties under these agreements is contingent upon satisfaction of certain requirements by the Company and the sellers.

NOTE 10. Legal Liabilities

On March 5, 2009, a resident of Florida and an owner of one of our homes filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio, on behalf of himself and other similarly situated owners and residents of homes in the United States or alternatively in Florida, against the Company and certain other identified and unidentified parties (the "Initial Action"). The plaintiff alleged that the Company built his home with defective drywall, manufactured and supplied by certain of the

defendants, that contains sulfur or other organic compounds capable of harming the health of individuals and damaging metals. The plaintiff alleged physical and economic damages and sought legal and equitable relief, medical monitoring and attorney's fees. The Company filed a responsive pleading on or about April 30, 2009. This case was consolidated with other similar actions not involving the Company and transferred to the Eastern District of Louisiana pursuant to an order from the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation for coordinated pre-trial proceedings (collectively, the "In Re: Chinese Manufactured Drywall Product Liability Litigation"). In connection with the administration of the In Re: Chinese Manufactured Drywall Product Liability Litigation, the same homeowner and seven other homeowners were named as plaintiffs in omnibus class action complaints filed in and after December 2009 against certain identified manufacturers of drywall and others (including the Company), including one homeowner named as a plaintiff in an omnibus class action complaint filed in March 2010 against various unidentified manufacturers of drywall and others (including the Company) (collectively, the "MDL Omnibus Actions"). As they relate to the Company, the Initial Action and the MDL Omnibus Actions address substantially the same claims and seek substantially the same relief. During the third quarter of 2010, the Company entered into agreements with three of those homeowners named as plaintiffs pursuant to which the Company agreed to make repairs to their homes consistent with repairs made to the homes of other homeowners. As a result of these agreements, the Initial Action has been resolved, and those three homeowners are no longer parties to any of the MDL Omnibus Actions. The Company intends to vigorously defend against the remaining claims. Given the inherent uncertainties in this litigation, as of March 31, 2011, no accrual has been recorded (other than the accrual for repairs described in Note 9) because we cannot make a determination as to the probability of a loss resulting from this matter or estimate the range of possible loss, if any. Please refer to Note 9 for further information on this matter.

The Company and certain of its subsidiaries have been named as defendants in other claims, complaints and legal actions which are routine and incidental to our business. Certain of the liabilities resulting from these other matters are covered by insurance. While management currently believes that the ultimate resolution of these other matters, individually and in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows, such matters are subject to inherent uncertainties. The Company has recorded a liability to provide for the anticipated costs, including legal defense costs, associated with the resolution of these other matters. However, there exists the possibility that the costs to resolve these other matters could differ from the recorded estimates and, therefore, have a material adverse effect on the Company's net income for the periods in which the matters are resolved. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we had \$0.7 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, reserved for legal expenses.

#### NOTE 11. Debt

##### Notes Payable - Homebuilding

At March 31, 2011, borrowing availability under the Credit Facility was \$23.2 million in accordance with the borrowing base calculation, and there were no borrowings outstanding and \$0.1 million of letters of credit outstanding under the Credit Facility, leaving net remaining borrowing availability of \$23.1 million. At March 31, 2011, the Company had pledged \$76.7 million in aggregate book value of inventory to secure those outstanding letters of credit and any borrowings that we may make in the future under the Credit Facility. At March 31, 2011, the Company was in compliance with all covenants of the Credit Facility.

At March 31, 2011, there was \$36.4 million outstanding under the Letter of Credit Facilities, which was collateralized with \$37.2 million of the Company's cash.

##### Notes Payable — Financial Services

At March 31, 2011, M/I Financial had \$16.1 million outstanding under its \$45 million secured credit agreement that was entered into on April 27, 2010 (the "MIF Credit Agreement") and was in compliance with all covenants of that

agreement. The MIF Credit Agreement was terminated on April 18, 2011 in connection with M/I Financial's entry into a \$50 million secured mortgage warehousing agreement (the "MIF Mortgage Warehousing Agreement"). See Note 18 for additional information regarding the MIF Mortgage Warehousing Agreement.

At March 31, 2011, M/I Financial had sold and not yet repurchased \$9.9 million of mortgages under its uncommitted repurchase agreement that was entered into on December 27, 2010 (the "MIF Mortgage Repurchase Agreement") and was in compliance with all covenants of that agreement. As a result of the repurchase agreement, these were accounted for as secured borrowings.

#### Senior Notes

As of March 31, 2011, we had \$41.4 million of our 2012 Senior Notes and \$200.0 million of our 2018 Senior Notes outstanding. The 2012 Senior Notes and the 2018 Senior Notes are general, unsecured senior obligations of the Company and the subsidiary guarantors and rank equally in right of payment with all our existing and future unsecured senior indebtedness. The 2012 Senior

Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis by all of our wholly-owned subsidiaries. The parent company has no independent assets or operations, and any subsidiaries of the parent company, other than the subsidiary guarantors of the 2012 Senior Notes, are minor. The 2018 Senior Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis by all of our subsidiaries that, as of the date of issuance of the notes, were guarantors under the Credit Facility.

The indentures governing our 2012 Senior Notes and our 2018 Senior Notes contain restrictive covenants that limit, among other things, the ability of the Company to pay dividends on common and preferred shares, or repurchase any shares. If our "restricted payments basket," as defined in each of the indentures, is less than zero, we are restricted from making certain payments, including dividends, as well as from repurchasing any shares. At March 31, 2011, the restricted payments basket was (\$199.7) million under the indenture governing our 2012 Senior Notes, and \$10.4 million under the indenture governing our 2018 Senior Notes. As a result of the deficit in our restricted payments basket under the indenture governing our 2012 Senior Notes, we are currently restricted from paying dividends on our common shares and our 9.75% Series A Preferred Shares, and from repurchasing any of our common or preferred shares. These restrictions do not affect our compliance with any of the covenants contained in the Credit Facility and will not permit the lenders under the Credit Facility to accelerate any outstanding borrowings under the facility.

#### NOTE 12. Loss Per Share

Loss per share is calculated based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. There are no adjustments to net loss necessary in the calculation of basic or diluted loss per share. The table below presents information regarding basic and diluted loss per share for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010:

(In thousands, except per share amounts)	Three Months Ended March 31,					
	2011			2010		
	Loss	Shares	Loss per share	Loss	Shares	Loss per share
Net loss to common shareholders	\$(17,039 )	18,615	\$(0.92 )	\$(8,335 )	18,521	\$(0.45 )
Diluted loss to common shareholders	\$(17,039 )	18,615	\$(0.92 )	\$(8,335 )	18,521	\$(0.45 )
Anti-dilutive stock equivalent awards not included in the calculation of diluted loss per share		2,193			1,938	

#### NOTE 13. Income Taxes

Deferred federal and state income tax assets primarily represent the deferred tax benefits arising from temporary differences between book and tax income which will be recognized in future years as an offset against future taxable income. These assets were largely generated as a result of inventory impairments that the Company incurred since late 2006. If, for some reason, the combination of future years' income (or loss), combined with the reversal of the timing differences, results in a loss, such losses can be carried forward to future years to recover the deferred tax assets.

The Company evaluates its deferred tax assets, including net operating losses, to determine if a valuation allowance is required. We are required to assess whether a valuation allowance should be established based on the consideration of all available evidence using a "more likely than not" standard. In making such judgments, significant weight is given to evidence that can be objectively verified. A cumulative loss in recent years is significant negative evidence in considering whether deferred tax assets are realizable, and also restricts the amount of reliance on projections of future

taxable income to support the recovery of deferred tax assets. The Company's current and prior year losses present the most significant negative evidence as to whether the Company needs to reduce its deferred tax assets with a valuation allowance. We are currently in excess of a four-year cumulative pre-tax loss position. We currently believe the cumulative weight of the negative evidence exceeds that of the positive evidence and, as a result, it is more likely than not that we will not be able to utilize all of our deferred tax assets. Therefore, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company has recorded an additional valuation allowance of \$6.5 million, for a total valuation allowance recorded of \$134.4 million, against its deferred tax assets. Excluding the carryback of \$0.2 million of certain 2011 expenses to 2001, we do not expect to record any additional tax benefits in 2011 as our carryback under the current tax law has been exhausted. The accounting for deferred taxes is based upon an estimate of future results. Differences between the anticipated and actual outcomes of these future tax consequences could have a material impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations or financial position.

At March 31, 2011, the Company had federal net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$72.8 million and federal credit carryforwards of \$3.4 million. These federal carryforward benefits will begin to expire in 2029. The Company also had state net operating loss benefits of \$14.8 million, with \$8.0 million expiring between 2022 and 2027, and \$6.8 million expiring between 2028 and 2033.



## NOTE 14. Financial Instruments

Counterparty Credit Risk. To reduce the risk associated with accounting losses that would be recognized if counterparties failed to perform as contracted, the Company limits the entities with whom management can enter into commitments. This risk of accounting loss is the difference between the market rate at the time of non-performance by the counterparty and the rate to which the Company committed.

The following table presents the carrying amounts and fair values of the Company's financial instruments at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (an exit price).

(In thousands)	March 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
<b>Assets:</b>				
Cash, including restricted cash	\$127,029	\$127,029	\$123,131	\$123,131
Mortgage loans held for sale	33,182	33,182	43,312	43,312
Other assets	12,880	12,927	14,998	15,052
Notes receivable	918	785	919	771
Commitments to extend real estate loans	3	3	—	—
Best-efforts contracts for committed IRLCs and mortgage loans held for sale	145	145	340	340
Forward sales of mortgage-backed securities	171	171	121	121
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Note payable - banks	26,024	26,024	32,197	32,197
Mortgage notes payable	5,773	5,712	5,853	6,564
Note payable - other	—	—	—	—
Senior Notes	238,711	240,175	238,610	243,263
Commitments to extend real estate loans	—	—	43	43
Forward sales of mortgage-backed securities	—	—	—	—
Best-efforts contracts for committed IRLCs and mortgage loans held for sale	—	—	—	—
Other liabilities	41,370	41,370	38,301	38,301
<b>Off-Balance Sheet Financial Instruments:</b>				
Letters of credit	—	481	—	627

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Company in estimating its fair value disclosures of financial instruments at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

Cash, Restricted Cash and Other Liabilities. The carrying amounts of these items approximate fair value.

Mortgage Loans Held for Sale, Forward Sales of Mortgage-Backed Securities, Commitments to Extend Real Estate Loans, Best-Efforts Contracts for Committed IRLCs and Mortgage Loans Held for Sale, Note Payable - Other and Senior Notes. The fair value of these financial instruments was determined based upon market quotes at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The market quotes used were quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities along with inputs taken from observable market data by correlation. The inputs were adjusted to account for the condition of the asset or liability.

Other Assets and Notes Receivable. The estimated fair value was determined by calculating the present value of the amounts based on the estimated timing of receipts using discount rates that incorporate management's estimate of risk associated with the corresponding note receivable.

Note Payable - Banks. The interest rate available to the Company fluctuates with the Alternate Base Rate or the Eurodollar Rate (for the Credit Facility) or LIBOR (for the MIF Credit Agreement), and thus their carrying value is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Mortgage Notes Payable. The estimated fair value was determined by calculating the present value of the future cash flows using the Company's current incremental borrowing rate.

Letters of Credit. Letters of credit of \$36.5 million and \$39.2 million represent potential commitments at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The letters of credit generally expire within one or two years. The estimated fair value of letters of credit was determined using fees currently charged for similar agreements.

NOTE 15. Stock-Based Compensation

On February 8, 2011, the Company awarded 313,200 annual service-based stock options under the Company's 2009 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "2009 LTIP") that vest 20% annually over five years. These equity awards were granted at a price of \$14.18, which represents the closing price of the Company's common shares on the date of grant. The grant date fair value of the stock options (\$6.58) was determined at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model.

Total recorded compensation expense relating to equity awards granted under the 2009 LTIP was approximately \$0.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. As of March 31, 2011, there was a total of \$0.1 million of unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested stock option awards that will be recognized as compensation expense as the awards vest over a weighted average period of 0.75 years for the 2009 performance bonus awards.

NOTE 16. Business Segments

The Company's segment information is presented on the basis that the chief operating decision makers use in evaluating segment performance. The Company's chief operating decision makers evaluate the Company's performance in various ways, including: (1) the results of our ten individual homebuilding operating segments and the results of the financial services operations; (2) the results of our three homebuilding regions; and (3) our consolidated financial results. We have determined our reportable segments as follows: Midwest homebuilding, Southern homebuilding (formerly Florida homebuilding), Mid-Atlantic homebuilding and financial services operations. The homebuilding operating segments that are included within each reportable segment have similar operations and exhibit similar economic characteristics. Our homebuilding operations include the acquisition and development of land, the sale and construction of single-family attached and detached homes, and the occasional sale of lots to third parties. The homebuilding operating segments that comprise each of our reportable segments are as follows:

Midwest	Southern	Mid-Atlantic
Columbus, Ohio	Tampa, Florida	Washington, D.C.
Cincinnati, Ohio	Orlando, Florida	Charlotte, North Carolina
Indianapolis, Indiana	Houston, Texas <sup>(1)</sup>	Raleigh, North Carolina
Chicago, Illinois		

<sup>(1)</sup> We announced our entry into the Houston, Texas market in March 2010, and we commenced home construction in Houston during the fourth quarter of 2010.

In April 2011, we acquired the assets of TriStone Homes, a privately-held homebuilder based in San Antonio, Texas.

The financial services operations include the origination and sale of mortgage loans and title services primarily for purchasers of the Company's homes.

Edgar Filing: M I HOMES INC - Form 10-Q

The following table shows, by segment, revenue, operating (loss) income and interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, as well as the Company's (loss) income before income taxes for such periods:

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Revenue:		
Midwest homebuilding	\$50,472	\$57,908
Southern homebuilding	16,936	19,433
Mid-Atlantic homebuilding	39,962	38,341
Financial services	3,200	3,707
Total revenue	\$110,570	\$119,389
Operating (loss) income:		
Midwest homebuilding (a)	\$(4,620 )	\$(166 )
Southern homebuilding (a)	(6,634 )	(2,597 )
Mid-Atlantic homebuilding (a)	1,193	(364 )
Financial services	1,622	1,862
Less: Corporate selling, general and administrative expenses	(4,492 )	(5,256 )
Total operating loss	\$(12,931 )	\$(6,521 )
Interest expense:		
Midwest homebuilding	\$1,881	\$997
Southern homebuilding	536	437
Mid-Atlantic homebuilding	1,348	578
Financial services	270	129
Total interest expense	\$4,035	\$2,141
Loss before income taxes	\$(16,966 )	\$(8,662 )

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, the impact of charges relating to the impairment of inventory and investment in Unconsolidated LLCs and the write-off of abandoned land transaction costs was \$11.1 (a) million and \$3.2 million, respectively. These charges reduced operating income by \$5.0 million and less than \$0.1 million in the Midwest region, \$5.9 million and \$1.7 million in the Southern region and \$0.2 million and \$1.4 million in the Mid-Atlantic region for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The following tables show total assets by segment:

(In thousands)	At March 31, 2011				Corporate, Financial Services and Unallocated	Total
	Midwest	Southern	Mid-Atlantic	—		
Deposits on real estate under option or contract	\$947	\$76	\$811	\$—	\$1,834	
Inventory (a)	201,527	75,958	164,158	—	441,643	
Investments in unconsolidated entities	6,025	4,798	—	—	10,823	
Other assets	5,335	1,536	5,820	178,751	191,442	
Total assets	\$213,834	\$82,368	\$170,789	\$178,751	\$645,742	

At December 31, 2010

Corporate,

Edgar Filing: M I HOMES INC - Form 10-Q

(In thousands)	Midwest	Southern	Mid-Atlantic	Financial Services and Unallocated	Total
Deposits on real estate under option or contract	\$1,027	\$85	\$853	\$—	\$1,965
Inventory (a)	212,159	69,652	167,161	—	448,972
Investments in unconsolidated entities	5,929	4,660	—	—	10,589
Other assets	5,187	1,719	4,283	189,179	200,368
Total assets	\$224,302	\$76,116	\$172,297	\$189,179	\$661,894

Inventory includes single-family lots, land and land development costs; land held for sale; homes under (a) construction; model homes and furnishings; community development district infrastructure; and consolidated inventory not owned.

## NOTE 17. Supplemental Guarantor Information

The Company's obligations under the 2018 Senior Notes are not guaranteed by all of the Company's subsidiaries and therefore, the Company has disclosed condensed consolidating financial information in accordance with SEC Regulation S-X Rule 3-10, Financial Statements of Guarantors and Issuers of Guaranteed Securities Registered or Being Registered.

The following condensed consolidating financial information includes balance sheets, statements of operations and cash flow information for the parent company, the Guarantors, as defined and listed in the indenture for the 2018 Senior Notes (the "Guarantor Subsidiaries"), collectively, and for all other subsidiaries and joint ventures of the Company ("the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries"), collectively. Each Guarantor Subsidiary is a direct or indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of M/I Homes, Inc. and has fully and unconditionally guaranteed the 2018 Senior Notes, on a joint and several basis.

There are no significant restrictions on the parent company's ability to obtain funds from its Guarantor Subsidiaries in the form of a dividend, loan, or other means.

As of March 31, 2011, each of the Company's subsidiaries is a Guarantor Subsidiary, with the exception of subsidiaries that are primarily engaged in the business of mortgage financing, the origination of mortgages for resale, title insurance or similar financial businesses relating to the homebuilding and home sales business and certain subsidiaries that are not wholly-owned by the Company or another subsidiary.

In the condensed financial tables presented below, the parent company includes all of the wholly-owned subsidiaries accounted for under the equity method. All applicable corporate expenses have been allocated appropriately among the Guarantor Subsidiaries and Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31, 2011				Eliminations	Consolidated
	M/I Homes, Inc.	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries			
Revenue	\$—	\$ 107,370	\$ 3,200	\$—		\$ 110,570
Costs and expenses:						
Land and housing	—	92,574	—	—		92,574
Impairment of inventory and investment in Unconsolidated LLCs	—	10,871	—	—		10,871
General and administrative	—	9,698	1,704	—		11,402
Selling	—	8,654	—	—		8,654
Interest	—	3,765	270	—		4,035
Total costs and expenses	—	125,562	1,974	—		127,536
(Loss) income before income taxes	—	(18,192)	)1,226	—		(16,966 )
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	—	(355)	)428	—		73
Equity in subsidiaries	(17,039)	)—	—	17,039		—

Net (loss) income	\$ (17,039	)	\$ (17,837	)	\$ 798	\$ 17,039	\$ (17,039	)
-------------------	------------	---	------------	---	--------	-----------	------------	---

21

---

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31, 2010				Eliminations	Consolidated
	M/I Homes, Inc.	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries			
Revenue	\$—	\$115,682	\$3,707	\$—		\$119,389
Costs and expenses:						
Land and housing	—	99,308	—	—		99,308
Impairment of inventory and investment in Unconsolidated LLCs	—	3,116	—	—		3,116
General and administrative	—	10,947	1,945	—		12,892
Selling	—	10,594	—	—		10,594
Interest	—	2,012	129	—		2,141
Total costs and expenses	—	125,977	2,074	—		128,051
(Loss) income before income taxes	—	(10,295	)1,633	—		(8,662 )
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	—	(844	)517	—		(327 )
Equity in subsidiaries	(8,335	)—	—	8,335		—
Net (loss) income	\$(8,335	)\$(9,451	)\$1,116	\$8,335		\$(8,335 )



## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET

(In thousands)	March 31, 2011				Consolidated
	M/I Homes, Inc.	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	
<b>ASSETS:</b>					
Cash	\$—	\$63,385	\$ 16,976	\$—	\$80,361
Restricted cash	—	46,668	—	—	46,668
Mortgage loans held for sale	—	—	33,182	—	33,182
Inventory	—	443,478	—	—	443,478
Property and equipment - net	—	15,784	168	—	15,952
Investment in Unconsolidated LLCs	—	—	10,822	—	10,822
Investment in subsidiaries	401,046	—	—	(401,046 )	—
Intercompany	119,777	(103,613 )	(16,164 )	—	—
Income tax receivable	—	1,162	—	—	1,162
Other assets	6,670	6,556	891	—	14,117
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$527,493</b>	<b>\$473,420</b>	<b>\$ 45,875</b>	<b>\$ (401,046 )</b>	<b>\$645,742</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>					
<b>LIABILITIES:</b>					
Accounts payable	\$—	\$31,686	\$ 556	\$—	\$32,242
Customer deposits	—	4,076	—	—	4,076
Other liabilities	—	38,966	3,840	—	42,806
Community development district obligations	—	6,860	—	—	6,860
Obligation for consolidated inventory not owned	—	468	—	—	468
Note payable bank - financial services operations	—	—	26,024	—	26,024
Note payable – other	—	5,773	—	—	5,773
Senior notes	238,711	—	—	—	238,711
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>238,711</b>	<b>87,829</b>	<b>30,420</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>356,960</b>
Shareholders' equity	288,782	385,591	15,455	(401,046 )	288,782
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>	<b>\$527,493</b>	<b>\$473,420</b>	<b>\$ 45,875</b>	<b>\$ (401,046 )</b>	<b>\$645,742</b>

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET

(In thousands)	December 31, 2010				Consolidated
	M/I Homes, Inc.	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	
<b>ASSETS:</b>					
Cash	\$—	\$71,874	\$ 9,334	\$—	\$81,208
Restricted cash	—	41,923	—	—	41,923
Mortgage loans held for sale	—	—	43,312	—	43,312
Inventory	—	450,936	—	—	450,936
Property and equipment - net	—	16,340	214	—	16,554
Investment in Unconsolidated LLCs	—	—	10,589	—	10,589
Investment in subsidiaries	418,085	—	—	(418,085 )	—
Intercompany	116,875	(102,884 )	(13,991 )	—	—
Income tax receivable	—	994	—	—	994
Other assets	7,141	6,631	2,606	—	16,378
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$542,101</b>	<b>\$485,814</b>	<b>\$ 52,064</b>	<b>\$ (418,085 )</b>	<b>\$661,894</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>					
<b>LIABILITIES:</b>					
Accounts payable	\$—	\$28,631	\$ 399	\$—	\$29,030
Customer deposits	—	3,017	—	—	3,017
Other liabilities	—	37,305	4,811	—	42,116
Community development district obligations	—	7,112	—	—	7,112
Obligation for consolidated inventory not owned	—	468	—	—	468
Note payable bank - financial services operations	—	—	32,197	—	32,197
Note payable – other	—	5,853	—	—	5,853
Senior notes	238,610	—	—	—	238,610
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>238,610</b>	<b>82,386</b>	<b>37,407</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>358,403</b>
Shareholders' equity	303,491	403,428	14,657	(418,085 )	303,491
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>	<b>\$542,101</b>	<b>\$485,814</b>	<b>\$ 52,064</b>	<b>\$ (418,085 )</b>	<b>\$661,894</b>

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31, 2011				Consolidated
	M/I Homes, Inc.	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>					
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	—	(9,289	) 11,916	—	2,627
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>					
Restricted cash	—	1,569	—	—	1,569
Purchase of property and equipment	—	(332	) —	—	(332 )
Distributions from Unconsolidated LLCs	—	—	(243	) —	(243 )
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	—	1,237	(243	) —	994
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>					
Proceeds from bank borrowings - net	—	—	(6,173	) —	(6,173 )
Principal repayments of mortgage notes payable other and community development district bond obligations	—	(80	) —	—	(80 )
Intercompany financing	(1,785	) (354	) 2,139	—	—
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	1,416	—	—	—	1,416
Excess tax benefits from stock-based payment arrangements	369	—	—	—	369
Net cash used in financing activities	—	(434	) (4,034	) —	(4,468 )
Net (decrease) increase in cash	—	(8,486	) 7,639	—	(847 )
Cash balance at beginning of period	—	71,874	9,334	—	81,208
Cash balance at end of period	\$—	\$ 63,388	\$ 16,973	\$—	\$ 80,361

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31, 2010				Consolidated
	M/I Homes, Inc.	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>					
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	—	(6,420	) 1,785	—	(4,635 )
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>					
Restricted cash	—	(2,034	) —	—	(2,034 )
Purchase of property and equipment	—	(586	) (56	) —	(642 )
	—	—	(94	) —	(94 )

Investments in and advances to Unconsolidated  
LLCs

Distributions from Unconsolidated LLCs	—	—	13	—	13	
Net cash used in investing activities	—	(2,620	) (137	) —	(2,757	)

CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING  
ACTIVITIES:

Proceeds from bank borrowings - net	—	—	150	—	150	
Principal repayments of mortgage notes payable other and community development district bond obligations	—	(93	) —	—	(93	)
Intercompany financing	9	(1,116	) 1,107	—	—	
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	5	—	—	—	5	
Excess tax benefits from stock-based payment arrangements	(14	) —	—	—	(14	)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	—	(1,209	) 1,257	—	48	
Net (decrease) increase in cash	—	(10,249	) 2,905	—	(7,344	)
Cash balance at beginning of period	—	96,464	13,466	—	109,930	
Cash balance at end of period	\$—	\$ 86,215	\$ 16,371	\$—	\$ 102,586	

NOTE 18. Subsequent Events

On April 18, 2011, M/I Financial entered into the MIF Mortgage Warehousing Agreement. The MIF Mortgage Warehousing Agreement replaced the MIF Credit Agreement, which had provided \$45 million of maximum borrowing availability and was scheduled to expire on April 26, 2011. The MIF Mortgage Warehousing Agreement expires on March 31, 2012 and will be used to finance eligible residential mortgage loans originated by M/I Financial. The MIF Mortgage Warehousing Agreement provides M/I Financial with maximum borrowing availability of \$50 million. M/I Financial pays interest on each advance under the MIF Mortgage Warehousing Agreement at a per annum rate equal to the greater of (i) the floating LIBOR rate plus 225 basis points and (ii) 4.0%.

## ITEM 2: MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

### OVERVIEW

M/I Homes, Inc. (the “Company” or “we”) is one of the nation’s leading builders of single-family homes, having delivered over 78,500 homes since we commenced homebuilding activities in 1976. The Company's homes are marketed and sold under the M/I Homes trade name. The Company has homebuilding operations in Columbus and Cincinnati, Ohio; Indianapolis, Indiana; Chicago, Illinois; Tampa and Orlando, Florida; Houston, Texas; Charlotte and Raleigh, North Carolina; and the Virginia and Maryland suburbs of Washington, D.C.

Included in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations are the following topics relevant to the Company's performance and financial condition:

- Information Relating to Forward-Looking Statements;
- Our Application of Critical Accounting Estimates and Policies;
- Our Results of Operations;
- Discussion of Our Liquidity and Capital Resources;
- Update of Our Contractual Obligations;
- Discussion of Our Utilization of Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements; and
- Impact of Interest Rates and Inflation.

### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain information included in this report or in other materials we have filed or will file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) (as well as information included in oral statements or other written statements made or to be made by us) contains or may contain forward-looking statements, including, but not limited to, statements regarding our future financial performance and financial condition. Words such as “expects,” “anticipates,” “targets,” “goals,” “projects,” “intends,” “plans,” “believes,” “seeks,” “estimates,” variations of such words and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. These statements involve a number of risks and uncertainties. Any forward-looking statements that we make herein and in future reports and statements are not guarantees of future performance, and actual results may differ materially from those in such forward-looking statements as a result of various risk factors. Please see “Item 1A Risk Factors” in Part I of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date made. Except as required by applicable law, we undertake no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statements or risk factors, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. However, any further disclosures made on related subjects in our subsequent reports on Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K and our other filings with the SEC should be consulted. This discussion is provided as permitted by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and all of our forward-looking statements are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained or referenced in this section.

### APPLICATION OF CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES AND POLICIES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Management bases its estimates and judgments on historical experience and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under

the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. On an ongoing basis, management evaluates such estimates and judgments and makes adjustments as deemed necessary. Actual results could differ from these estimates using different estimates and assumptions, or if conditions are significantly different in the future. Listed below are those estimates that we believe are critical and require the use of complex judgment in their application.

**Revenue Recognition.** Revenue from the sale of a home is recognized when the closing has occurred, title has passed, and an adequate initial and continuing investment by the homebuyer is received, or when the loan has been sold to a third-party investor. Revenue for homes that close to the buyer having a deposit of 5% or greater, home closings financed by third parties, and all home closings insured under Federal Housing Administration (“FHA”) or U.S. Veterans Administration (“VA”) government-insured programs are recorded in the financial statements on the date of closing.

Revenue related to all other home closings initially funded by our wholly-owned subsidiary, M/I Financial Corp. (“M/I Financial”), is recorded on the date that M/I Financial sells the loan to a third-party investor, because the receivable from the third-party investor is not subject to future subordination, and the Company has transferred to this investor the usual risks and rewards of ownership that is in substance a sale and does not have a substantial continuing involvement with the home.

All associated homebuilding costs are charged to cost of sales in the period when the revenues from home closings are recognized. Homebuilding costs include: land and land development costs; home construction costs (including an estimate of the costs to complete construction); previously capitalized interest; real estate taxes; indirect costs; and estimated warranty costs. All other costs are expensed as incurred. Sales incentives, including pricing discounts and financing costs paid by the Company, are recorded as a reduction of revenue in the Company's Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. Sales incentives in the form of options or upgrades are recorded in homebuilding costs.

We recognize the majority of the revenue associated with our mortgage loan operations when the mortgage loans and related servicing rights are sold to third party investors. The revenue recognized is reduced by the fair value of the related guarantee provided to the investor. The fair value of the guarantee is recognized in revenue when the Company is released from its obligation under the guarantee. Generally, all of the financial services mortgage loans and related servicing rights are sold to third party investors within two to three weeks of origination. We recognize financial services revenue associated with our title operations as homes are closed, closing services are rendered, and title policies are issued, all of which generally occur simultaneously as each home is closed. All of the underwriting risk associated with title insurance policies is transferred to third-party insurers.

**Inventory.** We use the specific identification method for the purpose of accumulating costs associated with land acquisition and development, and home construction. Inventory is recorded at cost, unless events and circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the land may be impaired. In addition to the costs of direct land acquisition, land development and related costs (both incurred and estimated to be incurred) and home construction costs, inventory includes capitalized interest, real estate taxes, and certain indirect costs incurred during land development and home construction. Such costs are charged to cost of sales simultaneously with revenue recognition, as discussed above. When a home is closed, we typically have not yet paid all incurred costs necessary to complete the home. As homes close, we compare the home construction budget to actual recorded costs to date to estimate the additional costs to be incurred from our subcontractors related to the home. We record a liability and a corresponding charge to cost of sales for the amount we estimate will ultimately be paid related to that home. We monitor the accuracy of such estimates by comparing actual costs incurred in subsequent months to the estimate. Although actual costs to complete a home in the future could differ from our estimates, our method has historically produced consistently accurate estimates of actual costs to complete closed homes.

The Company assesses inventory for recoverability on a quarterly basis if events or changes in local or national economic conditions indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. In conducting our quarterly review for indicators of impairment on a community level, we evaluate, among other things, margins on sales contracts in backlog, the margins on homes that have been delivered, expected changes in margins with regard to future home sales over the life of the community, expected changes in margins with regard to future land sales, and the value of the land itself. We pay particular attention to communities in which inventory is moving at a slower than anticipated absorption pace, and communities whose average sales price and/or margins are trending downward and are anticipated to continue to trend downward. We also evaluate communities where management intends to lower the sales price or offer incentives in order to improve absorptions even if the community's historical results do not indicate a potential for impairment. From this review, we identify communities whose carrying values may exceed their undiscounted cash flows. For those communities whose carrying values exceed the estimated undiscounted future cash flows and which are deemed to be impaired, the impairment recognized is measured by the amount by which the



carrying amount of the communities exceeds the estimated fair value. Due to the fact that the Company's cash flow models and estimates of fair values are based upon management estimates and assumptions, unexpected changes in market conditions may lead the Company to incur additional impairment charges in the future.

At the end of each quarter, the Company evaluates all active communities for impairment indicators. At March 31, 2011, a recoverability analysis was performed for 19 active communities, and an impairment charge was recorded in 12 of those communities.

For all of the categories listed below, the key assumptions relating to the valuations are dependent on project-specific local market and/or community conditions and are inherently uncertain. Because each inventory asset is unique, there are numerous inputs and assumptions used in our valuation techniques. Market factors that may impact these assumptions include:

- Historical project results such as average sales price and sales pace, if closings have occurred in the project;
- competitors' market and/or community presence and their competitive actions;
- project specific attributes such as location desirability and uniqueness of product offering;
- potential for alternative product offerings to respond to local market conditions; and
- current economic and demographic conditions and related trends and forecasts.

These and other market factors that may impact project assumptions are considered by personnel in our homebuilding divisions as they prepare or update the forecasts for each community. Quantitative and qualitative factors other than home sales prices could significantly impact the potential for future impairments. The sales objectives can differ between communities, even within a given sub-market. For example, facts and circumstances in a given community may lead us to price our homes with the objective of yielding a higher sales absorption pace, while facts and circumstances in another community may lead us to price our homes to minimize deterioration in our gross margins, although it may result in a slower sales absorption pace. Furthermore, the key assumptions included in our estimated future undiscounted cash flows may be interrelated. For example, a decrease in estimated base sales price or an increase in home sales incentives may result in a corresponding increase in sales absorption pace. Changes in our key assumptions, including estimated average selling price, construction and development costs, absorption pace, selling strategies, or discount rates, could materially impact future cash flow and fair value estimates.

As of March 31, 2011, our projections generally assume a gradual improvement in market conditions over time, along with a gradual increase in costs. These assumed gradual increases generally begin in 2012. If communities are not recoverable based on undiscounted cash flows, the impairment to be recognized is measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the estimated fair value of the assets. The fair value of a community is estimated by discounting management's cash flow projections using an appropriate risk-adjusted interest rate. As of March 31, 2011, we utilized discount rates ranging from 13% to 16% in our valuations. The discount rate used in determining each asset's estimated fair value reflects the inherent risks associated with the related estimated cash flow stream, as well as current risk-free rates available in the market and estimated market risk premiums. For example, construction in progress inventory, which is closer to completion, will generally require a lower discount rate than land under development in communities consisting of multiple phases spanning several years of development.

**Operating Communities:** If an indicator for impairment exists for existing operating communities, the recoverability of assets is evaluated by comparing the carrying amount of the assets to estimated future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the assets based on home sales. These estimated cash flows are developed based primarily on management's assumptions relating to the specific community. The significant assumptions used to evaluate the recoverability of assets include: the timing of development and/or marketing phases; projected sales price and sales pace of each existing or planned community; the estimated land development, home construction, and selling costs of the community; overall market supply and demand; the local market; and competitive conditions. Management reviews these assumptions on a quarterly basis. While we consider available information to determine what we believe to be our best estimates as of the end of a reporting period, these estimates are subject to change in future reporting periods as facts and circumstances change. Some of the most critical assumptions in the Company's cash flow models are projected absorption pace for home sales, sales prices, and costs to build and deliver homes on a community by community basis.

In order to estimate the assumed absorption pace for home sales included in the Company's cash flow models, the Company analyzes the historical absorption pace in the community as well as other communities in the geographic

area. In addition, the Company considers internal and external market studies and trends, which may include, but are not limited to, statistics on population demographics, unemployment rates, foreclosure sales, and availability of competing products in the geographic area where a community is located. When analyzing the Company's historical absorption pace for home sales and corresponding internal and external market studies, the Company places greater emphasis on more current metrics and trends such as the absorption pace realized in its most recent quarters.

In order to estimate the sales prices included in its cash flow models, the Company considers the historical sales prices realized on homes it delivered in the community and other communities in the geographic area, as well as the sales prices included in its current backlog for such communities. In addition, the Company considers internal and external market studies and trends, which may include, but are not limited to, statistics on sales prices in neighboring communities, which include the impact of short sales, if any, and sales prices on similar products in non-neighboring communities in the geographic area where the community is located. When analyzing its historical sales prices and corresponding market studies, the Company places greater emphasis on more current metrics and trends such as the sales prices realized in its most recent quarters and the sales prices in current backlog. Based upon this analysis, the Company sets a sales price for each house type in the community which it believes will achieve an acceptable

gross margin and sales pace in the community. This price becomes the price published to the sales force for use in its sales efforts. The Company then considers the average of these published sales prices when estimating the future sales prices in its cash flow models.

In order to arrive at the Company's assumed costs to build and deliver homes, the Company generally assumes a cost structure reflecting contracts currently in place with its vendors and subcontractors, adjusted for any anticipated cost reduction initiatives or increases in cost structure. With respect to overhead included in the cash flow models, the Company uses forecasted rates included in the Company's annual budget adjusted for actual experience that is materially different than budgeted rates.

Future communities. If an indicator of impairment exists for raw land, land under development, or lots that management anticipates will be utilized for future homebuilding activities, the recoverability of assets is evaluated by comparing the carrying amount of the assets to the estimated future undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the assets based on home sales, consistent with the evaluations performed for operating communities discussed above.

For raw land, land under development, or lots that management intends to market for sale to a third party, but that do not meet all of the criteria to be classified as land held for sale as discussed below, the estimated fair value of the assets is determined based on either the estimated net sales proceeds expected to be realized on the sale of the assets or the estimated fair value determined using cash flow valuation techniques.

If the Company has not yet determined whether raw land, land under development, or lots will be utilized for future homebuilding activities or marketed for sale to a third party, the Company assesses the recoverability of the inventory using a probability-weighted approach.

Land held for sale. Land held for sale includes land that meets all of the following six criteria: (1) management, having the authority to approve the action, commits to a plan to sell the asset; (2) the asset is available for immediate sale in its present condition subject only to terms that are usual and customary for sales of such assets; (3) an active program to locate a buyer and other actions required to complete the plan to sell the asset have been initiated; (4) the sale of the asset is probable, and transfer of the asset is expected to qualify for recognition as a completed sale, within one year; (5) the asset is being actively marketed for sale at a price that is reasonable in relation to its current fair value; and (6) actions required to complete the plan indicate that it is unlikely that significant changes to the plan will be made or that the plan will be withdrawn. The Company records land held for sale at the lower of its carrying value or estimated fair value less costs to sell. In performing the impairment evaluation for land held for sale, management considers, among other things, prices for land in recent comparable sales transactions, market analysis and recent bona fide offers received from outside third parties, as well as actual contracts. If the estimated fair value less the costs to sell an asset is less than the asset's current carrying value, the asset is written down to its estimated fair value less costs to sell.

Our quarterly assessments reflect management's best estimates. Due to the inherent uncertainties in management's estimates and uncertainties related to our operations and our industry as a whole as further discussed in "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in Part I of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, we are unable to determine at this time if and to what extent continuing future impairments will occur.

Investment in Unconsolidated Limited Liability Companies. We invest in entities that acquire and develop land for distribution to us in connection with our homebuilding operations. In our judgment, we have determined that these entities generally do not meet the criteria of variable interest entities ("VIEs") because they have sufficient equity to finance their operations. We must use our judgment to determine if we have substantive control of these entities. If we were to determine that we have substantive control, we would be required to consolidate the entity. Factors considered

in determining whether we have substantive control include risk and reward sharing, experience and financial condition of the other partners, voting rights, involvement in day-to-day capital and operating decisions, and continuing involvement. In the event an entity does not have sufficient equity to finance its operations, we would be required to use judgment to determine if we were the primary beneficiary of the VIE. We consider our accounting policies with respect to determining whether we are the primary beneficiary or have substantive control of the VIE to be critical accounting policies due to the judgment required. Based on the application of our accounting policies, these entities are accounted for by the equity method of accounting.

The Company evaluates its investment in unconsolidated limited liabilities companies (“Unconsolidated LLCs”) for potential impairment on a quarterly basis. If the fair value of the investment is less than the investment's carrying value and the Company has determined that the decline in value is other than temporary, the Company would write down the value of the investment to fair value. The determination of whether an investment's fair value is less than the carrying value requires management to make certain assumptions regarding the amount and timing of future contributions to the Unconsolidated LLC, the timing of distribution of lots to the Company from the Unconsolidated LLC, the projected fair value of the lots at the time of distribution to the Company, and the estimated proceeds from, and timing of, the sale of land or lots to third parties. In determining the fair value of investments

in Unconsolidated LLCs, the Company evaluates the projected cash flows associated with each Unconsolidated LLC. As of March 31, 2011, the Company used a discount rate of 16% in determining the fair value of investments in Unconsolidated LLCs. In addition to the assumptions management must make to determine if the investment's fair value is less than the carrying value, management must also use judgment in determining whether the impairment is other than temporary. The factors management considers are: (1) the length of time and the extent to which the market value has been less than cost; (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the Company; and (3) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment in the Unconsolidated LLC for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value. Because of the high degree of judgment involved in developing these assumptions, it is possible that the Company may determine the investment is not impaired in the current period but, due to passage of time or change in market conditions leading to changes in assumptions, impairment could occur.

**Guarantees and Indemnities.** Guarantee and indemnity liabilities are established by charging the applicable line item in our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations or our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, depending on the nature of the guarantee or indemnity, and crediting a liability. M/I Financial provides a limited-life guarantee on loans sold to certain third parties and estimates its actual liability related to the guarantee and any indemnities subsequently provided to the purchaser of the loans in lieu of loan repurchase based on historical loss experience. Actual future costs associated with loans guaranteed or indemnified could differ materially from our current estimated amounts. The Company has also provided certain other guarantees and indemnifications in connection with the purchase and development of land, including guarantees of the completion of land development. The Company estimates these liabilities based on the estimated cost of insurance coverage or estimated cost of acquiring a bond in the amount of the exposure. Actual future costs associated with these guarantees and indemnifications could differ materially from our current estimated amounts.

**Warranty.** Warranty accruals are established by charging cost of sales and crediting a warranty accrual for each home closed. The amounts charged are estimated by management to be adequate to cover expected warranty-related costs for materials and outside labor required under the Company's warranty programs. Accruals are recorded for warranties under the following warranty programs:

• Home Builder's Limited Warranty; and  
• 30-year transferable structural warranty – effective for homes closed after April 24, 1998.

The warranty accruals for the Home Builder's Limited Warranty are established as a percentage of average sales price, and the structural warranty accruals are established on a per unit basis. Our warranty accruals are based upon historical experience by geographic area and recent trends. Factors that are given consideration in determining the accruals include: (1) the historical range of amounts paid per average sales price on a home; (2) type and mix of amenity packages added to the home; (3) any warranty expenditures not considered to be normal and recurring; (4) timing of payments; (5) improvements in quality of construction expected to impact future warranty expenditures; (6) actuarial estimates, which reflect both Company and industry data; and (7) conditions that may affect certain projects and require a different percentage of average sales price for those specific projects.

Changes in estimates for warranties occur due to changes in the historical payment experience and differences between the actual payment pattern experienced during the period and the historical payment pattern used in our evaluation of the warranty accrual balance at the end of each quarter. Actual future warranty costs could differ from our current estimated amount.

**Self-insurance.** Self-insurance accruals are made for estimated liabilities associated with employee health care, workers' compensation, and general liability insurance. For 2011, our self-insurance limit for employee health care was \$250,000 per claim per year, with stop loss insurance covering amounts in excess of \$250,000. Our workers' compensation claims are insured by a third party and carry a deductible of \$250,000 per claim, with maximum

incurred losses not to exceed \$350,000, except for claims made in the state of Ohio where the Company is self-insured. Our self-insurance limit for Ohio workers' compensation is \$450,000 per claim, with stop loss insurance covering all amounts in excess of this limit. The accruals related to employee health care and workers' compensation are based on historical experience and open case reserves. Our general liability claims are insured by a third party; the Company generally has a \$7.5 million deductible per occurrence and a \$30.0 million deductible in the aggregate, with lower deductibles for certain types of claims. The Company records a general liability accrual for claims falling below the Company's deductible. The general liability accrual estimate is based on an actuarial evaluation of our past history of claims, other industry specific factors and specific event analysis. The Company recorded expenses totaling \$0.6 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, for all self-insured and general liability claims during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. For the three months ended March 31, 2010, this included \$0.6 million of charges related to defective imported drywall. Because of the high degree of judgment required in determining these estimated accrual amounts, actual future costs could differ from our current estimated amounts. Please see Note 9 to our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for more information regarding expenses relating to defective drywall.

**Stock-Based Compensation.** We record stock-based compensation by recognizing compensation expense at an amount equal to the fair value of share-based awards granted under compensation arrangements. We calculate the fair value of stock options using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Determining the fair value of share-based awards at the grant date requires judgment in developing assumptions, which involve a number of variables. These variables include, but are not limited to, the expected stock price volatility over the term of the awards and the expected term of the awards. In addition, when we first issue share-based awards, we also use judgment in estimating the number of share-based awards that are expected to be forfeited.

**Derivative Financial Instruments.** To meet financing needs of our home-buying customers, M/I Financial is party to interest rate lock commitments (“IRLCs”), which are extended to customers who have applied for a mortgage loan and meet certain defined credit and underwriting criteria. These IRLCs are considered derivative financial instruments. M/I Financial manages interest rate risk related to its IRLCs and mortgage loans held for sale through the use of forward sales of mortgage-backed securities (“FMBSs”), the use of best-efforts whole loan delivery commitments, and the occasional purchase of options on FMBSs in accordance with Company policy. These FMBSs, options on FMBSs, and IRLCs covered by FMBSs are considered non-designated derivatives. In determining the fair value of IRLCs, M/I Financial considers the value of the resulting loan if sold in the secondary market. The fair value includes the price that the loan is expected to be sold for along with the value of servicing release premiums. Subsequent to inception, M/I Financial estimates an updated fair value, which is compared to the initial fair value. In addition, M/I Financial uses fallout estimates, which fluctuate based on the rate of the IRLC in relation to current rates. Gains or losses are recorded in financial services revenue. Certain IRLCs and mortgage loans held for sale are committed to third party investors through the use of best-efforts whole loan delivery commitments. The IRLCs and related best-efforts whole loan delivery commitments, which generally are highly effective from an economic standpoint, are considered non-designated derivatives and are accounted for at fair value, with gains or losses recorded in financial services revenue. Under the terms of these best-efforts whole loan delivery commitments covering mortgage loans held for sale, the specific committed mortgage loans held for sale are identified and matched to specific delivery commitments on a loan-by-loan basis. The delivery commitments and loans held for sale are recorded at fair value, with changes in fair value recorded in financial services revenue.

**Income Taxes—Valuation Allowance.** A valuation allowance is recorded against a deferred tax asset if, based on the weight of available evidence, it is more-likely-than-not (a likelihood of more than 50%) that some portion or the entire deferred tax asset will not be realized. The realization of a deferred tax asset ultimately depends on the existence of sufficient taxable income in either the carryback or carryforward periods under applicable tax law. The four sources of taxable income to be considered in determining whether a valuation allowance is required are:

- Future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences (i.e., offset gross deferred tax assets against gross deferred tax liabilities);
- Taxable income in prior carryback years;
- Tax planning strategies; and
- Future taxable income, exclusive of reversing temporary differences and carryforwards.

Determining whether a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets is necessary requires an analysis of both positive and negative evidence regarding realization of the deferred tax assets. Examples of positive evidence may include:

- A strong earnings history exclusive of the loss that created the deductible temporary differences, coupled with evidence indicating that the loss is the result of an aberration rather than a continuing condition;
- An excess of appreciated asset value over the tax basis of a company’s net assets in an amount sufficient to realize the deferred tax asset; and
- Existing backlog that will produce more than enough taxable income to realize the deferred tax asset based on existing sales prices and cost structures.



Examples of negative evidence may include:

- The existence of “cumulative losses” (defined as a pre-tax cumulative loss for the business cycle – in our case four years);
- an expectation of being in a cumulative loss position in a future reporting period;
- a carryback or carryforward period that is so brief that it would limit the realization of tax benefits;
- a history of operating loss or tax credit carryforwards expiring unused; and
- unsettled circumstances that, if unfavorably resolved, would adversely affect future operations and profit levels on a continuing basis.

The Company evaluates its deferred tax assets, including net operating losses, to determine if a valuation allowance is required. We evaluate this based on the consideration of all available evidence using a “more likely than not” standard. In making such judgments, significant weight is given to evidence that can be objectively verified. A cumulative loss in recent years is significant

negative evidence in considering whether deferred tax assets are realizable, and also restricts the amount of reliance on projections of future taxable income to support the recovery of deferred tax assets. The Company's current and prior year losses present the most significant negative evidence as to whether the Company needs to reduce its deferred tax assets with a valuation allowance. We are currently in excess of a four-year cumulative pre-tax loss position. We currently believe the cumulative weight of the negative evidence exceeds that of the positive evidence and, as a result, it is more likely than not that we will not be able to utilize all of our deferred tax assets. Therefore, as of March 31, 2011, the Company had a total valuation allowance of \$134.4 million recorded. The accounting for deferred taxes is based upon an estimate of future results. Differences between the anticipated and actual outcomes of these future tax consequences could have a material impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations or financial position.

Future adjustments to our deferred tax asset valuation allowance will be determined based upon changes in the expected realization of our net deferred tax assets. Excluding the carryback of \$0.2 million of certain 2011 expenses to 2001, we do not expect to record any additional tax benefits in 2011 as the carryback has been exhausted. Additionally, our determination with respect to recording a valuation allowance may be further impacted by, among other things:

- Additional inventory impairments;
- additional pre-tax operating losses;
- the utilization of tax planning strategies that could accelerate the realization of certain deferred tax assets; or
- changes in relevant tax law.

Additionally, due to the considerable estimates utilized in establishing a valuation allowance and the potential for changes in facts and circumstances in future reporting periods, it is reasonably possible that we will be required to either increase or decrease our valuation allowance in future reporting periods.

**Income Taxes—Tax Positions.** The Company evaluates tax positions that have been taken or are expected to be taken in tax returns, and records the associated tax benefit or liability. Tax positions are recognized when it is more-likely-than-not that the tax position would be sustained upon examination. The tax position is measured at the largest amount of benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon settlement. Interest and penalties for all uncertain tax positions are recorded within Provision (benefit) for income taxes in the Company's Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

**Income Tax Receivable.** Income tax receivable consists of tax refunds that the Company expects to receive within one year. As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had \$1.2 million and \$1.0 million, respectively of income tax receivable.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The Company's segment information is presented on the basis that the chief operating decision makers use in evaluating segment performance. The Company's chief operating decision makers evaluate the Company's performance in various ways, including: (1) the results of our ten individual homebuilding operating segments and the results of the financial services operations; (2) the results of our three homebuilding regions; and (3) our consolidated financial results. We have determined our reportable segments as follows: Midwest homebuilding, Southern homebuilding (formerly Florida homebuilding), Mid-Atlantic homebuilding and financial services operations. The homebuilding operating segments that are included within each reportable segment have similar operations and exhibit similar economic characteristics. Our homebuilding operations include the acquisition and development of land, the sale and construction of single-family attached and detached homes, and the occasional sale of lots to third parties. The homebuilding operating segments that comprise each of our reportable segments are as follows:

Midwest	Southern	Mid-Atlantic
Columbus, Ohio	Tampa, Florida	Washington, D.C.
Cincinnati, Ohio	Orlando, Florida	Charlotte, North Carolina
Indianapolis, Indiana	Houston, Texas <sup>(1)</sup>	Raleigh, North Carolina
Chicago, Illinois		

<sup>(1)</sup> We announced our entry into the Houston, Texas market in March 2010, and we commenced home construction in Houston during the fourth quarter of 2010.

In April 2011, we acquired the assets of TriStone Homes, a privately-held homebuilder based in San Antonio, Texas.

The financial services operations include the origination and sale of mortgage loans and title services primarily for purchasers of the Company's homes.

### Highlights and Trends for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011

#### Overview

During the first quarter of 2011, we and the homebuilding industry continued to face challenging operating conditions amid the ongoing housing downturn that began in mid-2006. These conditions are the result of a persistent oversupply of homes available for sale and reserved consumer demand, particularly following the expiration of the federal homebuyer tax credit in the second quarter of 2010. The main factors negatively impacting the homebuilding industry were foreclosure sales, generally weak economic conditions, high unemployment, tighter mortgage lending standards and reduced credit availability, and intense competition for home sales. While there are signs of stability in certain markets, it is difficult to predict when and at what rate these negative conditions will improve, or when the homebuilding industry will experience a sustained recovery.

As a result of these difficult conditions, we saw an 8% decrease in our homes delivered, a 15% decrease in our new contracts, and a 20% decrease in our backlog units in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010. We believe the year-over-year decrease in new contracts and backlog was primarily attributable to the temporarily elevated levels of homebuying activity during the first quarter of 2010 relating to the federal homebuyer tax credit. We also incurred greater impairment charges during the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010, which were primarily the result of our decision to lower sales prices and offer increased sales incentives in some of our legacy communities to help improve the sales pace in those communities.

Throughout the present housing market downturn, we have focused on the following primary strategic business objectives:

- Maintaining a strong balance sheet;
- emphasizing customer service, product design, and premier locations;
- improving affordability through design changes and other cost reduction efforts;
- strategically investing in new communities and/or markets; and
- obtaining meaningful presence in our markets.

Actions taken to achieve these objectives have included:

- Improving and refining our product offerings, including the eco series, to compete with resale homes, meet the affordability demands and energy concerns of our core customers, and reduce our overall cost to build;

aligning our overhead to current market conditions while taking steps to develop a meaningful presence in each of our ten homebuilding markets;

a consistent focus on controlling material, subcontractor and overhead costs;

improving our operating processes, particularly our customer facing ones and our build cycle times;

maintaining a strong and liquid balance sheet to allow us to make opportunistic investments in our business; and

acquiring attractively-priced new land interests meeting our investment standards.

In particular, in addition to our cost control efforts, we believe our investment in new communities has helped us, and will continue to help us in our effort to restore profitability. During the first quarter of 2011, we opened 12 new communities and closed 11 older communities. Our new communities, defined as those purchased after January 1, 2009, on average, contribute 400 more basis points to our gross margin line than our legacy communities. Also, at the beginning of our second quarter of 2011, the Company acquired the assets of a privately-held homebuilder in San Antonio, Texas, in order to further enhance our geographic diversification in what we believe is a dynamic, growing housing market. Looking into the rest of 2011, we believe these actions have helped position us operationally and financially to be able to generate higher future revenues and sustained profitability as and to the extent housing markets improve over time.

For the quarter ended March 31, 2011, total revenue decreased \$8.8 million (7%), from \$119.4 million in the first quarter of 2010 to \$110.6 million. This decrease was attributable to an 8% decrease in homes delivered, from 479 in the first quarter of 2010 to 439 in the first quarter of 2011, which was partially offset by a slight increase in the average sales price of homes delivered, from \$242,000 in 2010 to \$243,000 in 2011. Our decline in homes delivered largely reflects our relatively low backlog level at the beginning of 2011, reflecting the decline in new contracts we experienced in the latter half of 2010 following the April 30, 2010 expiration of the federal homebuyer tax credit. Revenue in our financial services segment decreased 14%, from \$3.7 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 to \$3.2 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011, primarily due to a 13% decrease in the number of loans originated, from 385 in the first quarter of 2010 to 334 in the first quarter of 2011.

- Loss before income taxes increased \$8.3 million, from \$8.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 to \$17.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The \$8.3 million increase was primarily due to the decrease in revenue described above, increased impairment charges, and increased interest costs due to our issuance of \$200 million aggregate principal amount of 8.625% Senior Notes due 2018 (the "2018 Senior Notes") in the fourth quarter of 2010. These costs were partially offset by lower selling, general and administrative expenses. During the first quarter of 2011, the Company incurred charges totaling \$10.9 million related to the impairment of inventory and investment in Unconsolidated LLCs and \$0.2 million of abandoned land transaction costs, compared to \$3.2 million of like charges in the first quarter of 2010. The \$7.9 million increase in these charges was due to increased impairment charges in some of our legacy and close-out communities, management's decision to decrease sales prices in various communities within our Midwest and Southern regions to help improve sales pace and meet competition, as well as increased sales incentives offered. Adjusted operating gross margin for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was 16.3% compared to 17.3% for the three months ended March 31, 2010, which was the result of a decrease in homebuilding revenue as lower leverage related to homebuilding fixed overhead costs due to lower volume. Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$3.4 million from the first quarter of 2010 to the first quarter of 2011, primarily due to (1) a \$0.6 million decrease in advertising expenses; (2) a decrease of \$0.6 million in expenses related to our model homes; (3) a \$0.5 million reduction in variable selling expenses; (4) a decrease of \$0.4 million in payroll and incentive related expenses; (5) a decrease of \$0.3 million in professional fees; and (6) a \$0.2 million decrease in land related expenses, including abandoned land transaction costs. The Company had an adjusted pre-tax loss from operations of \$5.9 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011, an increase of \$1.0 million over 2010's first quarter adjusted pre-tax loss from operations of \$4.9 million. Please see the table set forth below which reconciles the non-GAAP financial

measures of adjusted operating gross margin and adjusted pre-tax loss from operations to their respective most directly comparable GAAP financial measures, gross margin, and loss from operations before income taxes.

New contracts for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 were 654, a decrease of 15% compared to 765 for the quarter ended March 31, 2010. Our cancellation rate decreased to 16% for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 compared 18% for the quarter ended March 31, 2010. Our homes in backlog decreased 20%, from 936 units at March 31, 2010 to 747 units at March 31, 2011, as a result of the decline in our new contracts.

Our mortgage company's capture rate decreased from 85% for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 to 84% for the quarter ended March 31, 2011. Capture rate is influenced by financing availability and can fluctuate up or down from period to period.

As a result of our net loss during the three months ended March 31, 2011, we generated deferred tax assets of \$6.5 million and recorded a non-cash valuation allowance against the entire amount of deferred tax assets generated.

The following table reconciles our adjusted operating gross margin and adjusted pre-tax loss from operations (each of which constitutes a non-GAAP financial measure) for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 to the GAAP financial measures of gross margin and loss from operations before income taxes, respectively:

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Gross margin	\$7,125	\$16,965
Add:		
Impairments	10,871	3,116
Imported drywall charges	—	600
Adjusted operating gross margin	\$17,996	\$20,681
Loss from operations before income taxes	\$ (16,966 )	\$ (8,662 )
Add:		
Impairments and abandonments	11,129	3,191
Imported drywall charges	—	600
Adjusted pre-tax loss from operations	\$ (5,837 )	\$ (4,871 )

Adjusted operating gross margin and adjusted pre-tax loss from operations are non-GAAP financial measures. Management finds these measures to be useful in evaluating the Company's performance because they disclose the financial results generated from homes the Company actually delivered during the period, as the asset impairments and certain other write-offs relate, in part, to inventory that was not delivered during the period. They also assist the Company's management in making strategic decisions regarding the Company's future operations. The Company believes investors will also find these to be important and useful because they disclose profitability measures that can be compared to a prior period without regard to the variability of asset impairments and certain other write-offs. In addition, to the extent that the Company's competitors provide similar information, disclosure of these measures helps readers of the Company's financial statements compare the Company's profits to the profits of its competitors with regard to the homes they deliver in the same period. Because these measures are not calculated in accordance with GAAP, they may not be completely comparable to similarly titled measures of the Company's competitors due to potential differences in methods of calculation and charges being excluded. Due to the significance of the GAAP components excluded, such measures should not be considered in isolation or as an alternative to operating performance measures prescribed by GAAP.

The following table shows, by segment, revenue, operating (loss) income and interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, as well as the Company's loss before income taxes for such periods:

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Revenue:		
Midwest homebuilding	\$50,472	\$57,908
Southern homebuilding	16,936	19,433
Mid-Atlantic homebuilding	39,962	38,341
Financial services	3,200	3,707
Total revenue	\$110,570	\$119,389
Operating (loss) income:		
Midwest homebuilding (a)	\$ (4,620 )	\$ (166 )
Southern homebuilding (a)	(6,634 )	(2,597 )
Mid-Atlantic homebuilding (a)	1,193	(364 )
Financial services	1,622	1,862

Edgar Filing: M I HOMES INC - Form 10-Q

Less: Corporate selling, general and administrative expenses	(4,492 )	(5,256 )
Total operating loss	\$(12,931 )	\$(6,521 )
Interest expense:		
Midwest homebuilding	\$1,881	\$997
Southern homebuilding	536	437
Mid-Atlantic homebuilding	1,348	578
Financial services	270	129
Total interest expense	\$4,035	\$2,141
Loss before income taxes	\$(16,966 )	\$(8,662 )

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, the impact of charges relating to the impairment of inventory and investment in Unconsolidated LLCs and the write-off of abandoned land transaction costs was \$11.1 (a) million and \$3.2 million, respectively. These charges reduced operating income by \$5.0 million and less than \$0.1 million in the Midwest region, \$5.9 million and \$1.7 million in the Southern region and \$0.2 million and \$1.4 million in the Mid-Atlantic region for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.



The following tables show total assets by segment:

At March 31, 2011

(In thousands)	Midwest	Southern	Mid-Atlantic	Corporate, Financial Services and Unallocated	Total
Deposits on real estate under option or contract	\$947	\$76	\$811	\$—	\$1,834
Inventory (a)	201,527	75,958	164,158	—	441,643
Investments in unconsolidated entities	6,025	4,798	—	—	10,823
Other assets	5,335	1,536	5,820	178,751	191,442
Total assets	\$213,834	\$82,368	\$170,789	\$178,751	\$645,742

At December 31, 2010

(In thousands)	Midwest	Southern	Mid-Atlantic	Corporate, Financial Services and Unallocated	Total
Deposits on real estate under option or contract	\$1,027	\$85	\$853	\$—	\$1,965
Inventory (a)	212,159	69,652	167,161	—	448,972
Investments in unconsolidated entities	5,929	4,660	—	—	10,589
Other assets	5,187	1,719	4,283	189,179	200,368
Total assets	\$224,302	\$76,116	\$172,297	\$189,179	\$661,894

Inventory includes single-family lots, land and land development costs; land held for sale; homes under (a) construction; model homes and furnishings; community development district infrastructure; and consolidated inventory not owned.

#### Seasonality

Typically, our homebuilding operations experience significant seasonality and quarter-to-quarter variability in homebuilding activity levels. In general, homes delivered increase substantially in the second half of the year compared to the first half of the year. We believe that this seasonality reflects the tendency of homebuyers to shop for a new home in the spring with the goal of closing in the fall or winter, as well as the scheduling of construction to accommodate seasonal weather conditions. Our financial services operations also experience seasonality because loan originations correspond with the delivery of homes in our homebuilding operations.

## Reportable Segments

The following table presents, by reportable segment, selected financial information for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010:

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended March	
	2011	2010
Midwest Region		
Homes delivered	214	265
Average sales price per home delivered	\$236	\$219
Revenue homes	\$50,472	\$57,908
Operating loss homes (a)	\$(4,620 )	\$(166 )
New contracts, net	287	436
Backlog at end of period	409	588
Average sales price of homes in backlog	\$238	\$235
Aggregate sales value of homes in backlog	\$97,390	\$138,052
Number of new communities	4	9
Number of active communities	62	66
Southern Region		
Homes delivered	79	93
Average sales price per home delivered	\$204	\$209
Revenue homes	\$16,086	\$19,347
Revenue third party land sales	\$850	\$86
Operating loss homes (a)	\$(6,044 )	\$(2,601 )
Operating (loss) income land (a)	\$(590 )	\$4
New contracts, net	159	139
Backlog at end of period	167	101
Average sales price of homes in backlog	\$240	\$224
Aggregate sales value of homes in backlog	\$40,008	\$22,623
Number of new communities	5	2
Number of active communities	19	22
Mid-Atlantic Region		
Homes delivered	146	121
Average sales price per home delivered	\$274	\$317
Revenue homes	\$39,962	\$38,341
Operating income (loss) homes (a)	\$1,193	\$(364 )
New contracts, net	208	190
Backlog at end of period	171	247
Average sales price of homes in backlog	\$298	\$348
Aggregate sales value of homes in backlog	\$51,005	\$85,959
Number of new communities	3	3
Number of active communities	30	21
Total Homebuilding Regions		
Homes delivered	439	479
Average sales price per home delivered	\$243	\$242
Revenue homes	\$106,520	\$115,596
Revenue third party land sales	\$850	\$86
Operating loss homes (a)	\$(9,471 )	\$(3,131 )
Operating (loss) income land (a)	\$(590 )	\$4

Edgar Filing: M I HOMES INC - Form 10-Q

New contracts, net	654	765
Backlog at end of period	747	936
Average sales price of homes in backlog	\$252	\$264
Aggregate sales value of homes in backlog	\$188,403	\$246,635
Number of new communities	12	14
Number of active communities	111	109

38

---

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended March	
	31, 2011	2010
Financial Services		
Number of loans originated	334	385
Value of loans originated	\$71,034	\$80,751
Revenue	\$3,200	\$3,707
Selling, general and administrative expenses	\$1,578	\$1,845
Interest expense	\$270	\$129
Income before income taxes	\$1,352	\$1,733

(a) Amount shown includes impairment of inventory and investment in Unconsolidated LLCs and abandoned land transaction costs for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended March	
	31, 2011	2010
Midwest:		
Homes	\$5,033	\$11
Land	—	—
	5,033	11
Southern:		
Homes	5,277	1,736
Land	590	—
	5,867	1,736
Mid-Atlantic:		
Homes	229	1,444
Land	—	—
	229	1,444
Total		
Homes	10,539	3,191
Land	590	—
	\$11,129	\$3,191

A home is included in “new contracts” when our standard sales contract is executed. “Homes delivered” represents homes for which the closing of the sale has occurred. “Backlog” represents homes for which the standard sales contract has been executed, but which are not included in homes delivered because closings for these homes have not yet occurred as of the end of the period specified.

#### Cancellation Rates

The following table sets forth the cancellation rates for each of our homebuilding segments for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010:

	Three Months Ended March			
	31, 2011		2010	
Midwest	20.5	%	21.2	%
Southern	13.6	%	10.9	%
Mid-Atlantic	11.9	%	13.2	%
Total cancellation rate	16.3	%	17.6	%

Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2010

Midwest Region. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, homebuilding revenue in our Midwest region decreased \$7.4 million, from \$57.9 million in the first quarter of 2010 to \$50.5 million in 2011. This decrease was primarily the result of a decrease in homes delivered, from 265 in 2010 to 214 in 2011. Our decline in homes delivered largely reflects our relatively low backlog level at the beginning of the year, reflecting the decline in new contracts we experienced in the latter half of 2010 following the April 30, 2010 expiration of the federal homebuyer tax credit. The decrease in homes delivered was partially offset by an 8% increase in the average sales price of the homes delivered in our Midwest region, from \$219,000 in the first quarter of 2010 to \$236,000 in the first quarter of 2011, primarily due to a change in our product mix from the first quarter of 2010. Our Midwest region had an operating loss of \$4.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, a \$4.5 million increase from the first

quarter of 2010, primarily due to an increase in impairment charges as well as the decrease in revenue described above. For the three months ended March 31, 2011 there were \$5.0 million of impairment charges in our Midwest region, compared to less than \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The \$4.9 million increase in impairment charges was primarily due to management's decision to lower selling prices and increase sales incentives in some of our legacy and close-out communities in certain markets within our Midwest region. Excluding these impairment charges, our adjusted operating gross margins were 12.0% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 12.5% for the three months ended March 31, 2010. For the first quarter of 2011, we opened four new communities in our Midwest region compared to nine new communities for the first quarter of 2010. Overall, we are experiencing gross margins that are over 600 basis points higher in our new communities than our legacy communities. Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$1.7 million, from \$7.4 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 to \$5.7 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011, due to a decrease in variable selling expenses, payroll related expenses, land related expenses, professional fees, advertising expenses, and expenses related to our model homes. New contracts in our Midwest region decreased 34% for the three months ended March 31, 2011, from 436 in the first quarter of 2010 to 287 in 2011. Backlog at March 31, 2011 decreased 30% from 588 homes at March 31, 2010 to 409 homes at March 31, 2011, with an average sales price in backlog of \$238,000 at March 31, 2011 compared to \$235,000 at March 31, 2010. Our first quarter 2011 monthly absorption rate in the Midwest was 1.6 per community, compared to 2.3 per community in the first quarter of 2010. Our absorption rate decline, along with our other year over year comparisons, were negatively impacted in the first quarter of 2011 by the April 30, 2010 expiration of the federal homebuyer tax credit.

Southern Region. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, homebuilding revenue in our Southern region decreased \$2.5 million (13%), from \$19.4 million in 2010 to \$16.9 million in 2011. This decrease was primarily the result of a 15% decrease in the number of homes delivered, from 93 for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 to 79 for the quarter ended March 31, 2011, as well as a decrease in the average sales price of homes delivered from \$209,000 in 2010 to \$204,000 in 2011. Our decline in homes delivered largely reflects our relatively low backlog level at the beginning of the year, reflecting the decline in new contracts we experienced in the latter half of 2010 following the April 30, 2010 expiration of the federal homebuyer tax credit. Operating loss in our Southern region increased \$4.0 million, from \$2.6 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 to \$6.6 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011, primarily due to an increase in impairment charges, as well as the decrease in revenue described above. For the three months ended March 31, 2011 there were \$5.9 million of impairment charges in our Southern region, compared to \$1.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The \$4.2 million increase in impairment charges was primarily due to management's decision to lower selling prices and increase sales incentives in some of our legacy and close-out communities in various markets within our Southern region. Excluding these impairment charges, as well as charges related to defective drywall of \$0.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010, our adjusted operating gross margins were 13.7% and 16.9% for those same periods. For the first quarter of 2011, we opened five new communities in our Southern region compared to two new communities for the first quarter of 2010. Overall, we are experiencing higher gross margins in our new communities than in our legacy communities. Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$0.5 million, from \$3.6 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 to \$3.1 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 due to a decrease in advertising expenses, professional fees, variable selling expenses, and expenses related to our model homes and sales offices. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in payroll related expenses. Homes in backlog increased from 101 homes at March 31, 2010 to 167 homes at March 31, 2011 due to a 14% increase in new contracts from 139 in the first quarter of 2010 to 159 in the first quarter of 2011. The average sales price of homes in backlog also increased in our Southern region from \$224,000 at March 31, 2010 to \$240,000 at March 31, 2011. Our first quarter 2011 monthly absorption rate in our Southern region was 2.8 per community, compared to 2.2 per community in the first quarter of 2010.

Mid-Atlantic Region. Homebuilding revenue in our Mid-Atlantic region increased \$1.6 million (4%), from \$38.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 to \$39.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. This increase was primarily due to a 21% increase in the number of homes delivered, from 121 homes for the quarter ended

March 31, 2010 to 146 homes for the quarter ended March 31, 2011. The decrease in average sales price was partially offset by a 14% decrease in the average sales price of homes delivered, from \$317,000 to \$274,000 for those same periods, primarily due to changes in our product mix from the first quarter of 2010, along with a 44% increase in the number of speculative homes sold in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010, which typically carry a lower sales price. Our Mid-Atlantic region had operating income of \$1.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, a \$1.6 million improvement from an operating loss of \$0.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. This increase was primarily due to the increase in revenue described above, along with lower homebuilding costs as a percentage of revenue, and lower selling, general and administrative expenses. Our operating gross margin for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was 16.0% compared to an adjusted operating gross margin (excluding impairment charges of \$1.4 million) for the three months ended March 31, 2010 of 16.8%. We did not have any inventory impairment charges in the first quarter of 2011 in our Mid-Atlantic region. For the first quarter of 2011, we opened three new communities in our Mid-Atlantic region, which was the same number of new communities that were opened during the first quarter of 2010. Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$0.2 million, primarily due to a decrease in advertising expenses, research and development expenses and expenses related to our model homes, which was partially offset by an increase in payroll related expenses and land related expenses. Homes in backlog decreased 31% from 247 homes at March 31, 2010 to 171 homes at March 31, 2011, despite

the 9% increase in new contracts from 190 in the first quarter of 2010 to 208 in the first quarter of 2011. The average sales price of homes in backlog also decreased, from \$348,000 at March 31, 2010 to \$298,000 at March 31, 2011, which was the result of the change in product mix discussed above. Our decline in backlog units largely reflects our relatively low backlog level at the beginning of the year, reflecting the decline in new contracts we experienced in the latter half of 2010 following the April 30, 2010 expiration of the federal homebuyer tax credit. Our first quarter 2011 monthly absorption rate in our Mid-Atlantic region was 2.3 per community, compared to 3.0 per community in the first quarter of 2010. Our absorption rate decline, along with our other year over year comparisons, were negatively impacted in the first quarter of 2011 by the April 30, 2010 expiration of the federal homebuyer tax credit.

**Financial Services.** For the three months ended March 31, 2011, revenue from our mortgage and title operations decreased \$0.5 million (14%), from \$3.7 million in the first quarter of 2010 to \$3.2 million in the first quarter of 2011, primarily due to a 13% decrease in the number of loan originations. Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$0.3 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 compared to the quarter ended March 31, 2010. We had a decrease of \$0.2 million in operating income during the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 which was primarily due to the decrease in revenue discussed above.

At March 31, 2011, M/I Financial had mortgage operations in all of our markets except Houston. Approximately 84% of our homes delivered during the first quarter of 2011 that were financed were financed through M/I Financial, compared to 85% in the first quarter of 2010. Capture rate is influenced by financing availability and can fluctuate up or down from quarter to quarter.

**Corporate Selling, General and Administrative Expenses.** Corporate selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$0.8 million (15%), from \$5.3 million in the first quarter of 2010 to \$4.5 million in the first quarter of 2011. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease of \$0.3 million in payroll related expenses, a \$0.2 million decrease in variable selling expenses, and a \$0.1 million decrease in expenses related to our computer systems.

**Interest Expense - Net.** Interest expense for the Company increased \$1.9 million, from \$2.1 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 to \$4.0 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011. This increase was primarily due to the increase in our weighted average borrowing rate from 8.55% for the three months ended March 31, 2010 to 9.66% for the three months ended March 31, 2011, along with the increase in our weighted average borrowings from \$209.1 million in the first quarter of 2010 to \$259.0 million in the first quarter of 2011, due primarily to the issuance of the 2018 Senior Notes in the fourth quarter of 2010.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

### Overview of Capital Resources and Liquidity

Funding for our business has been provided principally by cash flows from operating activities, borrowings under our credit facilities, and the public debt and equity markets. In the first quarter of 2011, we generated \$2.6 million of cash from operating activities and \$1.0 million from investing activities. These cash increases were offset by our use of \$4.5 million for financing activities, which was primarily the result of repayments under M/I Financial's \$45 million secured credit agreement dated April 27, 2010 (the "MIF Credit Agreement"). The MIF Credit Agreement was terminated on April 18, 2011 in connection with M/I Financial's entry into a \$50 million secured mortgage warehousing agreement (the "MIF Mortgage Warehousing Agreement").

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we purchased \$19.3 million of land and lots and spent \$7.6 million on land development activities. Excluding land purchases, land development costs, and land sales revenues of \$0.9



million, we had adjusted cash flows from operations of \$28.6 million during the first quarter of 2011. Adjusted cash flows from operations is a non-GAAP financial measure. Management finds this measure to be useful in evaluating the Company's performance compared with other homebuilders because it eliminates variations among different homebuilders in their level of investment in land and land development which can vary significantly from builder to builder, can be discretionary and is linked to the current growth stage of each different homebuilder. Because this measure is not calculated in accordance with GAAP, it may not be completely comparable to similar measures of the Company's competitors. Due to the significance of the GAAP components excluded, this measure should not be considered in isolation or as an alternative to measures prescribed by GAAP.

The following table reconciles the non-GAAP financial measure of adjusted cash flows provided by operating activities to its most directly comparable GAAP financial measure, cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities.

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Cash flow provided by (used in) operating activities	\$2,627	\$(4,635 )
Add: Land/lot purchases	19,277	25,282
Land development spending	7,565	5,609
Less: Land/lot sale proceeds	850	86
Adjusted cash flows provided by operating activities	\$28,619	\$26,170

At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, our ratio of net debt to net capital was 33% and 34%, respectively. Net debt to net capital consists of total debt net of cash divided by total debt net of cash plus shareholders' equity. We believe that the ratio of net debt to net capital is useful in understanding the leverage employed in our operations and comparing us with other homebuilders.

We continue to operate in a challenging economic environment, and our ability to maintain sufficient liquidity for our business operations may be affected by economic or business conditions beyond our control. However, we believe that our balance of unrestricted cash, available borrowing options, and other sources of liquidity will be sufficient to fund currently anticipated working capital, planned capital spending, and debt service requirements for at least the next twelve months.

#### Operating Cash Flow Activities

In the first quarter of 2011, we generated \$2.6 million of cash in our operating activities, compared to using \$4.6 million of cash in our operating activities in the first three months of 2010. Contributing to the generation of cash during the first quarter of 2011 was a \$3.0 million increase in other liabilities, which was primarily the result of an increase in accrued interest, a \$3.2 million increase in accounts payable, a \$1.7 million cash inflow due to a decrease in other assets and a \$1.1 million increase in customer deposits. These increases were partially offset by a \$6.3 million increase in cash held in escrow, over half of which was for our acquisition of the assets of a privately-held homebuilder based in San Antonio, Texas.

The amount of cash generated from our operating activities in the first