

Ally Financial Inc.
Form 10-K
March 03, 2014
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
Form 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 or
 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 1-3754

ALLY FINANCIAL INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

38-0572512

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

200 Renaissance Center

P.O. Box 200 Detroit, Michigan

48265-2000

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(866) 710-4623

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act (all listed on the New York Stock Exchange):

Title of each class

10.30% Deferred Interest Debentures due June 15, 2015

Fixed Rate/Floating Rate Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A

7.375% Notes due December 16, 2044

8.125% Fixed Rate/Floating Rate Trust Preferred Securities, Series 2 of GMAC Capital Trust I

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulations S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No
Aggregate market value of voting and nonvoting common equity held by nonaffiliates: Ally Financial Inc. common equity is not registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission and there is no ascertainable market value for such common equity.

At February 28, 2014, the number of shares outstanding of the Registrant's common stock was 1,547,637 shares.
Documents incorporated by reference. None.

Table of Contents

INDEX

Ally Financial Inc. Form 10-K

	Page
<u>Part I</u>	
Item 1. <u>Business</u>	<u>1</u>
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>11</u>
Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>20</u>
Item 2. <u>Properties</u>	<u>20</u>
Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>21</u>
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>21</u>
<u>Part II</u>	
Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>22</u>
Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>23</u>
Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>25</u>
Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>81</u>
Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>82</u>
<u>Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting</u>	<u>82</u>
<u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>83</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Income</u>	<u>85</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income</u>	<u>87</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheet</u>	<u>88</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity</u>	<u>90</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows</u>	<u>91</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>93</u>
Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>172</u>
Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>172</u>
Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u>	<u>172</u>
<u>Part III</u>	
Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>173</u>
Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>	<u>177</u>
Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>196</u>
Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	<u>196</u>
Item 14. <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	<u>197</u>
<u>Part IV</u>	
Item 15. <u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedule</u>	<u>199</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>203</u>

Table of Contents

Part I

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Item 1. Business

General

Ally Financial Inc. (formerly GMAC Inc.) is a leading, independent, financial services firm with \$151.2 billion in assets. Founded in 1919, we are a leading automotive financial services company with over 90 years of experience providing a broad array of financial products and services to automotive dealers and their customers. We became a bank holding company on December 24, 2008, under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (the BHC Act). Additionally, our election to become a financial holding company (FHC) under the BHC Act was approved by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (FRB), and became effective on December 20, 2013. Our banking subsidiary, Ally Bank, is an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Ally Financial Inc. and a leading franchise in the growing direct (internet, telephone, mobile, and mail) banking market, with \$52.9 billion of deposits at December 31, 2013. The terms “Ally,” “the Company,” “we,” “our,” and “us” refer to Ally Financial Inc. and its subsidiaries as a consolidated entity, except where it is clear that the terms means only Ally Financial Inc.

Our Business

Dealer Financial Services, which includes our Automotive Finance and Insurance operations, and Mortgage are our primary lines of business. Our Dealer Financial Services business is centered on our strong and longstanding relationships with automotive dealers and supports manufacturers with which we have marketing relationships and their marketing programs. Our Dealer Financial Services business serves the financial needs of approximately 16,000 dealers in the United States and approximately 4 million of their retail customers with a wide range of financial services and insurance products. We believe our dealer-focused business model makes us the preferred automotive finance company for thousands of our automotive dealer customers. We have developed particularly strong relationships with thousands of dealers resulting from our longstanding relationship with General Motors Company (GM) as well as relationships with other manufacturers, including Chrysler Group LLC (Chrysler), providing us with an extensive understanding of the operating needs of these dealers relative to other automotive finance companies. In addition, we have established specialized incentive programs that are designed to encourage dealers to direct more of their business to us.

Ally Bank, our direct banking platform, provides us with a stable and diversified low-cost funding source. Our focus is on building a stable deposit base driven by our compelling brand and strong value proposition. Ally Bank raises deposits directly from customers through the direct banking channel via the internet, over the telephone, and through mobile applications. Ally Bank offers a full spectrum of deposit product offerings including savings and money market accounts, certificates of deposit, interest-bearing checking accounts, trust accounts, and individual retirement accounts. We continue to expand the deposit product offerings in our banking platform in order to meet customer needs. Ally Bank's assets and operating results are divided between our Automotive Finance operations and Mortgage operations based on its underlying business activities.

Our strategy is to extend our leading position in automotive finance in the United States by continuing to provide automotive dealers and their retail customers with premium service, a comprehensive product suite, consistent funding and competitive pricing, reflecting our commitment to the automotive industry. During 2012 and 2013, we further executed on our strategy by selling or liquidating nonstrategic operations. We are focused on expanding profitable dealer relationships, prudent earning asset growth, and higher risk-adjusted returns. Our growth strategy continues to focus on diversifying the franchise by expanding into different products as well as strengthening our network of dealer relationships. Over the past several years, we have increased our focus on the used vehicle and leased vehicle markets, which have resulted in used and leased vehicle financing volume growth. We also seek to broaden and deepen the Ally Bank franchise, prudently growing stable, quality deposits while extending our foundation of products and providing a high level of customer service.

Dealer Financial Services

Dealer Financial Services includes our Automotive Finance operations and Insurance operations. Our primary customers are automotive dealers, which are typically independently owned businesses. As part of the process of

selling a vehicle, automotive dealers typically enter into retail installment sales contracts and leases with their retail customers. Dealers then select Ally or another automotive finance provider to which they sell retail installment sales contracts and leases. Use of the word "loan" in this document is intended to refer to, as the context suggests, retail installment sales contracts that we have acquired or other financing products. The term "originate" generally refers to our acquisition of retail installment sales contracts, other financing products, or leases as the context suggests. Our Dealer Financial Services operations offer a wide range of financial services and insurance products to approximately 16,000 automotive dealerships and approximately 4 million of their retail customers. We have deep dealer relationships that have been built over our greater-than 90-year history. Our dealer-focused business model encourages dealers to use our broad range of products through incentive programs like our Ally Dealer Rewards program, which rewards individual dealers based on the depth and breadth of our relationship. During 2013, 70% of our U.S. automotive dealer customers received benefits under the Ally Dealer Rewards program, which was initiated in 2009. Our automotive finance services include providing retail installment sales contracts, loans, and leases, offering term loans to dealers, financing dealer floorplans and other lines of credit to dealers, fleet financing, and vehicle remarketing services. We also offer retail vehicle service contracts and commercial insurance primarily covering dealers' wholesale vehicle inventories. We are a leading provider of vehicle service contracts and maintenance coverage.

Table of Contents

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Automotive Finance

Our Automotive Finance operations consist of automotive finance business generated in the United States. At December 31, 2013, our Automotive Finance operations had \$109.3 billion of assets and generated \$3.4 billion of total net revenue in 2013. According to Experian Automotive, we were one of the largest independent providers of new retail automotive loans to franchised dealers in the United States during 2013. We have approximately 1,800 automotive finance and 600 insurance employees across the United States focused on serving the needs of our dealer customers with finance and insurance products, expanding the number of overall dealer and automotive manufacturer relationships, and supporting our dealer lending and underwriting functions. In addition, we have over 2,200 employees that support our servicing operations. We manage commercial account servicing for approximately 4,500 dealers that utilize our floorplan inventory lending or other commercial loans. We provide consumer asset servicing for a \$77.7 billion portfolio at December 31, 2013. The extensive infrastructure and experience of our servicing operations are important to our ability to minimize our loan losses and enable us to deliver favorable customer experience to both our dealers and their retail customers.

Our success as an automotive finance provider is driven by the consistent and broad range of products and services we offer to dealers who originate loans and leases to their retail customers who are acquiring new and used vehicles. Ally and other automotive finance providers purchase these loans and leases from automotive dealers. Most automotive dealers are independently owned businesses and are our primary customers. Our growth strategy continues to focus on diversifying the franchise by expanding into different products as well as strengthening our network of dealer relationships. Over the past several years, we have continued to focus on the used vehicle segment primarily through franchised dealers, which has resulted in used vehicle financing volume growth. The fragmented used vehicle financing market provides an attractive opportunity that we believe will further expand and support our dealer relationships and increase our volume of retail loan originations.

Automotive dealers desire a full range of financial products, including new and used vehicle inventory financing, inventory insurance, term loans including real estate and working capital loans, and vehicle remarketing services to conduct their respective businesses as well as service contracts and guaranteed automobile protection (GAP) products to offer their customers. We have consistently provided this full suite of products to dealers.

For consumers, we provide retail automotive financing for new and used vehicles and leasing for new vehicles. In the United States, retail financing for the purchase of vehicles takes the form of installment sales financing. During 2013, we originated a total of 1.4 million automotive loans and leases totaling approximately \$37.3 billion.

Our consumer automotive financing operations generate revenue through finance charges or lease payments and fees paid by customers on the retail contracts and leases. We also recognize a gain or loss on the remarketing of the vehicles financed through lease contracts at the end of the lease. When the lease contract is originated, we estimate the residual value of the leased vehicle at lease termination. Periodically we revise the projected value of the leased vehicle at lease termination. Our actual sales proceeds from remarketing the vehicle may be higher or lower than the estimated residual value.

Automotive manufacturers may elect as a marketing incentive to sponsor special financing programs for retail sales of their respective vehicles. The manufacturer can lower the financing rate paid by the customer on either a retail contract or a lease by paying us the present value of the difference between the customer rate and our standard market rates at contract inception. These marketing incentives are referred to as rate support or subvention. GM may also from time to time offer lease pull-ahead programs, which encourage consumers to terminate existing leases early if they acquire a new GM vehicle. As part of these programs, we waive all or a portion of the customer's remaining payment obligation. In most cases, GM compensates us for a portion of the foregone revenue from those waived payments after consideration of the extent that our remarketing sale proceeds are higher than otherwise would be realized if the vehicle had been remarketed at lease contract maturity. Manufacturers may also elect to lower a customer's lease payments through residual support incentive programs. In these instances, we agree to increase the projected value of the vehicle at the time the lease contract was signed in exchange for a payment from the manufacturer.

Our commercial automotive financing operations primarily fund dealer inventory purchases of new and used vehicles, commonly referred to as wholesale or floorplan financing. This represents the largest portion of our commercial automotive financing business. Wholesale floorplan loans are secured by vehicles financed (and all other vehicle inventory), which provide strong collateral protection in the event of dealership default. Additional collateral (e.g., personal guarantees from dealership owners) are oftentimes obtained to further manage credit risk. The amount we advance to dealers is equal to 100% of the wholesale invoice price of new vehicles. Interest on wholesale automotive financing is generally payable monthly and is indexed to a floating rate benchmark. The rate for a particular dealer is based on, among other considerations, competitive factors and the dealer's creditworthiness. During 2013, we financed an average of \$28.2 billion of dealer vehicle inventory through wholesale or floorplan financings. We also provide comprehensive automotive remarketing services, including the use of SmartAuction, our online auction platform, which efficiently supports dealer-to-dealer and other commercial wholesale car transactions. In 2013, we and others including dealers, fleet rental companies, financial institutions, and GM, utilized SmartAuction to sell 261,000 vehicles to dealers and other commercial customers. SmartAuction served as the remarketing channel for 40% of Ally's off-lease vehicles.

Manufacturer Agreements

We were previously party to agreements with each of GM and Chrysler that provided for certain exclusivity privileges related to subvention programs that they offered. Our agreement with Chrysler expired in April 2013. In addition, our agreement with GM expired effective February 28, 2014. These agreements provided Ally with certain preferred provider benefits, including limiting the use of other

Table of Contents

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

financing providers by GM and Chrysler for their incentive programs. We entered into a new auto financing agreement with GM that became effective on March 1, 2014 (the GM Agreement), which provides a general framework for dealer and consumer financing related to GM vehicles, as well as with respect to our ongoing participation in GM subvention programs. The GM Agreement does not provide Ally with any exclusivity or similar privileges related to the financing of GM vehicles, whether through subvention programs or otherwise. As a result, the GM Agreement does not provide the economic benefits or impose the obligations that were included within our prior agreement with GM. The GM Agreement is cancellable upon notice by either party after one year.

We have successfully competed at the dealer-level for consumer retail financing and leasing originations for GM and Chrysler automobiles based on our strong dealer relationships, competitive pricing, full suite of products, and comprehensive service. For example, during 2013, our share of GM subvented business was well in excess of the minimum level that GM was required to provide us under our prior agreement with GM. We have diversified our business mix by expanding our product offering for GM and Chrysler dealers as well as establishing new relationships with non-GM and non-Chrysler dealers.

Insurance

Our Insurance operations offer both consumer finance protection and insurance products sold primarily through the automotive dealer channel, and commercial insurance products sold directly to dealers. As part of our focus on offering dealers a broad range of consumer financial and insurance products, we provide vehicle service contracts, maintenance coverage, and GAP products. We also underwrite selected commercial insurance coverages, which primarily insure dealers' wholesale vehicle inventory in the United States. Our Insurance operations had \$7.1 billion of assets at December 31, 2013, and generated \$1.3 billion of total net revenue in 2013.

Our vehicle service contracts for retail customers offer owners and lessees mechanical repair protection and roadside assistance for new and used vehicles beyond the manufacturer's new vehicle warranty. These vehicle service contracts are marketed to the public through automotive dealerships and on a direct response basis. The vehicle service contracts cover virtually all vehicle makes and models. We also offer GAP products, which allow the recovery of a specified economic loss beyond the covered vehicle's value in the event the vehicle is damaged and declared a total loss.

Wholesale vehicle inventory insurance for dealers provides physical damage protection for dealers' floorplan vehicles. Dealers are generally required to maintain this insurance by their floorplan finance provider. During 2013, these insurance products were purchased by approximately 3,800 dealers. Among U.S. GM franchised dealers to whom we provide wholesale financing, our wholesale insurance product penetration rate is approximately 82%. Dealers who receive wholesale financing from Ally are eligible for wholesale insurance incentives, such as automatic eligibility in our preferred insurance programs and increased financial benefits.

A significant aspect of our Insurance operations is the investment of proceeds from premiums and other revenue sources. We use these investments to satisfy our obligations related to future claims at the time these claims are settled. Our Insurance operations have an Investment Committee, which develops investment guidelines and strategies. The guidelines established by this committee reflect our risk tolerance, liquidity requirements, regulatory requirements, and rating agency considerations, among other factors.

Mortgage

Our Mortgage operations were historically a significant portion of our operations and were conducted primarily through the Residential Capital, LLC (ResCap) subsidiary. On May 14, 2012, ResCap and certain of its wholly-owned direct and indirect subsidiaries filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (Bankruptcy Court). The Bankruptcy Court entered an order confirming a bankruptcy plan on December 11, 2013, which became effective on December 17, 2013. For further details with respect to this matter, refer to Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Our Mortgage operations had \$8.2 billion of assets at December 31, 2013, and generated \$76 million of total net revenue in 2013.

With the completion of the ResCap settlement, we have exited the mortgage origination and servicing business. Our ongoing Mortgage operations are limited to the management of our held-for-investment mortgage portfolio. During

2013, we sold our business lending operations to Walter Investment Management Corp., completed the sales of agency mortgage servicing rights (MSRs) to Ocwen Financial Corp. (Ocwen) and Quicken Loans, Inc. (Quicken), and exited the correspondent lending channel.

Corporate and Other

Corporate and Other primarily consists of our Commercial Finance Group, our centralized corporate treasury activities, such as management of the cash and corporate investment securities portfolios, short- and long-term debt, retail and brokered deposit liabilities, derivative instruments, the amortization of the discount associated with debt issuances and bond exchanges, and the residual impacts of our corporate funds-transfer pricing (FTP) and treasury asset liability management (ALM) activities. Corporate and Other also includes certain equity investments, reclassifications and eliminations between the reportable operating segments, and overhead that was previously allocated to operations that have since been sold or classified as discontinued operations. Our Commercial Finance Group provides senior secured commercial-lending products to primarily U.S.-based middle market companies.

Ally Bank

Ally Bank raises deposits directly from customers through direct banking via the internet, telephone, mobile, and mail channels. Ally Bank has established a strong and growing retail banking franchise that is based on a promise of being straightforward, easy to use, and

Table of Contents

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

offering high-quality customer service. Ally Bank's products and services are designed to develop long-term customer relationships and capitalize on the shift in consumer preference away from branch banking in favor of direct banking. Ally Bank provides us with a stable and diversified low-cost funding source. At December 31, 2013, we had \$52.9 billion of deposits including \$43.2 billion of retail deposits sourced by Ally Bank. The focus on retail deposits and growth and retention in our deposit base from \$19.2 billion at the end of 2008 to \$52.9 billion at the end of 2013, combined with favorable capital market conditions and a lower interest rate environment have contributed to a reduction in our cost of funds of approximately 94 basis points since the first quarter of 2012. We expect to continue to lower our cost of funds and diversify our overall funding as our deposit base grows.

We believe Ally Bank is well-positioned to continue to benefit from the consumer driven-shift from branch banking to direct banking. According to a 2013 American Bankers Association survey, the percentage of customers who prefer to do their banking via direct channels (internet, mail, phone, and mobile) increased from 21% to 61% between 2007 and 2013, while those who prefer branch banking declined from 39% to 18% over the same period. Ally Bank has received a positive response to innovative savings and other deposit products. Ally Bank's products include savings and money market accounts, certificates of deposit, interest-bearing checking accounts, and individual retirement accounts. Ally Bank's competitive direct banking features include online and mobile banking, electronic bill pay, remote deposit, electronic funds transfer nationwide, ATM fee reimbursements, and no minimum balance requirements.

Industry and Competition

The markets for automotive and mortgage financing, banking, and insurance are highly competitive. The market for automotive financing has grown more competitive as more consumers are financing their vehicle purchases and as more competitors continue to enter this market as a result of how well automotive finance assets generally performed relative to other asset classes through the economic cycle during the past several years. More recently, competition for automotive financing has further intensified as a growing number of banks have become increasingly interested in automotive-finance assets. In addition, Ally Bank faces significant competition from commercial banks, savings institutions, and other financial institutions. Our insurance business also faces significant competition from automotive manufacturers, insurance carriers, third-party administrators, brokers, and other insurance-related companies. Many of our competitors have substantial positions nationally or in the markets in which they operate. Some of our competitors have lower cost structures, substantially lower costs of capital, and are much less reliant on securitization activities, unsecured debt, and other public markets. We face significant competition in most areas, including product offerings, rates, pricing and fees, and customer service.

The market for automotive securitizations is also competitive, and other issuers could increase the amount of their issuances. In addition, lenders and other investors within this market often establish limits on their credit exposure to particular issuers and asset classes, or they may require higher returns to increase the amount of their exposure. Increased issuance by other participants in the market or decisions by investors to limit their credit exposure to (or to require a higher yield for) us or to automotive securitizations could negatively affect our ability and that of our subsidiaries to price our securitizations at attractive rates. The result would be lower proceeds from these activities and lower profits for our subsidiaries and us.

Certain Regulatory Matters

We are subject to various regulatory, financial, and other requirements of the jurisdictions in which our businesses operate. In light of recent conditions in the global financial markets, regulators have increased their focus on the regulation of the financial services industry. As a result, proposals for legislation or regulations that could increase the scope and nature of regulation of the financial services industry are expected. The following is a description of some of the laws and regulations that currently affect our business.

Bank Holding Company and Financial Holding Company Status

Ally Financial Inc. (Ally) and IB Finance Holding Company, LLC (IB Finance) are currently both bank holding companies under the BHC Act. IB Finance is the direct holding company for Ally's FDIC-insured depository institution, Ally Bank. As a bank holding company, Ally is subject to supervision, examination and regulation by the FRB. Ally must also comply with regulatory risk-based and leverage capital requirements, as well as various safety

and soundness standards imposed by the FRB, and is subject to certain statutory restrictions concerning the types of assets or securities it may own and the activities in which it may engage. Ally Bank, our banking subsidiary, is currently not a member of the Federal Reserve System and is subject to supervision, examination and regulation by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) and the Utah Department of Financial Institutions (Utah DFI). This regulatory oversight focuses on the protection of depositors, the FDIC's Deposit Insurance Fund, and the banking system as a whole, not security holders, and in some instances may be contrary to their interests.

Our election to become a FHC under the BHC Act was approved by the FRB, and became effective on December 20, 2013. To maintain its status as a financial holding company, Ally and its bank subsidiary, Ally Bank, must remain “well-capitalized” and “well-managed,” as defined under applicable law.

Permitted Activities —The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 (GLB Act) amended the BHC Act by providing a new regulatory framework applicable to “financial holding companies,” which are bank holding companies that meet certain qualifications and elect financial holding company status. The FRB supervises, examines, and regulates financial holding companies, as it does all bank holding companies. However, insurance and securities activities conducted by a financial holding company or its nonbank subsidiaries are regulated primarily by functional regulators. As a financial holding company, Ally is permitted to engage in a broader range of financial and related activities than those that are permissible for bank holding companies, in particular, securities,

Table of Contents

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

insurance, and merchant banking activities. Ally's status as a financial holding company allows us to continue all existing insurance activities, as well as our SmartAuction vehicle remarketing services for third parties. Under the BHC Act, Ally generally may not, directly or indirectly, acquire more than 5% of any class of voting shares of any nonaffiliated bank or bank holding company without first obtaining FRB approval.

Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act — On July 21, 2010, the President of the United States signed into law the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act). The Dodd-Frank Act represents a significant overhaul of many aspects of the regulation of the financial services industry, addressing, among other things, systemic risk, capital adequacy, deposit insurance assessments, consumer financial protection, derivatives, restrictions on an insured bank's transactions with its affiliates, lending limits, and mortgage-lending practices. When fully implemented, the Dodd-Frank Act will have material implications for Ally and the entire financial services industry. Among other things, it would:

- result in Ally being subject to enhanced prudential standards, oversight and scrutiny as a result of being a bank holding company with \$50 billion or more in total consolidated assets (large bank holding company);
- increase the levels of capital and liquidity with which Ally must operate and affect how it plans capital and liquidity levels;
- subject Ally to new and/or higher fees paid to various regulatory entities, including but not limited to deposit insurance fees paid by Ally Bank to the FDIC;
- potentially impact a number of Ally's business and risk management strategies;
- potentially restrict the revenue that Ally generates from certain businesses;
- require Ally to provide to the FRB and FDIC an annual plan for its rapid and orderly resolution in the event of material financial distress;
- subject Ally to regulation by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB), which has very broad rule-making, examination, and enforcement authorities;
- subject Ally to the Volcker Rule, which prohibits “proprietary trading” activities as well as investing in, sponsoring, or maintaining certain other relationships with “covered funds,” each as defined in the final implementing regulations and subject to important exemptions contained therein; and
- subject derivatives that Ally enters into for hedging, risk management and other purposes to a comprehensive new regulatory regime which, over time, will require central clearing and execution on designated markets or execution facilities for certain standardized derivatives and impose margin, documentation, trade reporting and other new requirements.

A number of provisions in the Dodd-Frank Act have entered into effect while others will become effective at a later date or after a rulemaking process is completed. While U.S. regulators have finalized many regulations to implement various provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act, they plan to propose or finalize additional implementing regulations in the future.

Under the Dodd-Frank Act, financial holding companies such as Ally are subjected to a new orderly liquidation authority. The orderly liquidation authority became effective in July 2010, with implementing regulations adopted thereafter in stages, with some rulemakings still to come. Under the orderly liquidation authority, the FDIC would be appointed as receiver upon an insolvency of Ally, giving the FDIC considerable rights and powers that it must exercise with the goal of liquidating and winding up Ally, including the ability to assign assets and liabilities without the need for creditor consent or prior court review and the ability of the FDIC to differentiate and determine priority among creditors. In December 2013, the FDIC released its proposed Single Point of Entry strategy for resolution of a systemically important financial institution under the orderly liquidation authority. The FDIC's release outlines how it would use its powers under the orderly liquidation authority to resolve a systemically important financial institution by placing its top-tier U.S. holding company in receivership and keeping its operating subsidiaries open and out of insolvency proceedings by transferring the operating subsidiaries to a new bridge holding company, recapitalizing the operating subsidiaries, and imposing losses on the shareholders and creditors of the holding company in receivership according to their statutory order of priority.

In February 2014, the FRB issued a final rule to implement certain enhanced prudential standards under the Dodd-Frank Act for large bank holding companies such as Ally. The final rule will, among other things, require Ally to maintain a buffer of unencumbered highly liquid assets to meet projected net cash outflows for 30 days over the range of liquidity stress scenarios used in internal stress tests and to comply with a number of risk management and governance requirements, including liquidity risk management standards. The final rule will have a general compliance date of January 1, 2015. The Federal Reserve has stated that it will issue, at a later date, final rules to implement certain other enhanced prudential standards under the Dodd-Frank Act for large bank holding companies, including single counterparty credit limits and an early remediation framework.

Table of Contents

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

To complement the above mentioned internal liquidity stress testing and liquidity buffer requirements, the FRB and other U.S. banking regulators issued a proposal in October 2013 to implement the Basel III liquidity coverage ratio (LCR) requirements for large bank holding companies. The LCR was developed by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (Basel Committee) to ensure banking organizations have sufficient high-quality liquid assets to withstand a standardized short-term supervisory liquidity stress scenario. The U.S. LCR proposal is more stringent in certain respects compared to the Basel Committee's version of the LCR, and includes a generally narrower definition of high-quality liquid assets and a two-year phase-in period that would end on December 31, 2016.

The CFPB has issued various rules to implement consumer financial protection provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act and related requirements. Many of these rules impose new requirements on Ally and its business operations. In addition, as an insured depository institution with total assets of more than \$10 billion, Ally Bank is subject to examination by the CFPB with respect to its compliance with federal consumer financial protection laws and regulations.

Capital Adequacy Requirements — Ally and Ally Bank are subject to various guidelines as established under FRB and FDIC regulations. Refer to Note 20 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information. See also "Basel Capital Accord" below.

Capital Planning and Stress Tests — In December 2011, the FRB adopted a capital plan rule for large bank holding companies. The capital planning regime requires Ally to submit a proposed capital plan to the FRB every January, which the FRB must take action on by the following March. The proposed capital plan must include a description of all planned capital actions over a nine-quarter planning horizon, including any issuance of a debt or equity capital instrument, any capital distribution, and any similar action that the FRB determines could have an impact on Ally's consolidated capital. The proposed action plan must also include a discussion of how Ally will maintain capital above the U.S. Basel III minimum regulatory capital ratios that are phased in over the nine-quarter planning horizon, and above a Tier 1 common equity-to-total risk-weighted assets ratio of 5 percent, and serve as a source of strength to Ally Bank. The FRB's capital plan rule requires that Ally receive no objection from the FRB before making a capital distribution. If the FRB objects to the capital plan, or if certain material events occur after approval of a plan, Ally must submit a revised capital plan within 30 days. In addition, even with an approved capital plan, Ally must seek the approval of the FRB before making a capital distribution if, among other factors, Ally would not meet its regulatory capital requirements after making the proposed capital distribution.

In October 2012, U.S. banking regulators issued final rules to implement the capital stress testing requirements in the Dodd-Frank Act. The FRB final rule requires Ally to conduct semi-annual (annual and mid-cycle) company-run stress tests under baseline, adverse, and severely adverse economic scenarios over a planning horizon that spans nine quarters. The FDIC final rule requires Ally Bank to conduct an annual company-run stress test under baseline, adverse, and severely adverse economic scenarios over a planning horizon that spans nine quarters. Under these rules, Ally and Ally Bank are required to submit the results of these stress tests to regulators and publicly disclose summary results of the stress tests under the severely adverse economic scenario. In addition, the FRB will also publish, by March 31 of each calendar year, summary results of Dodd-Frank supervisory stress tests conducted by the FRB of each large bank holding company, including Ally. The Dodd-Frank stress tests are intended to provide supervisors with forward-looking information to help identify downside risk and the potential effect of adverse conditions on capital adequacy.

As part of the FRB's annual Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review (CCAR), the Dodd-Frank stress tests required under the FRB's final rule are integrated into the capital planning process in the FRB's capital plan rule. Ally submitted its 2013 capital plan in January 2013. In March 2013, the FRB objected to the capital plan both on quantitative and qualitative grounds. In September 2013, Ally submitted a revised capital plan, to which the FRB did not object in November 2013. In November 2013, the FRB issued instructions for the 2014 CCAR and the 2014 supervisory stress test scenarios. On January 6, 2014, Ally and Ally Bank submitted the 2014 capital plan and stress tests as required by the rules and the 2014 CCAR instructions.

Limitations on Bank and Bank Holding Company Dividends and Capital Distributions — Utah law (and, in certain instances, federal law) places restrictions and limitations on dividends or other distributions payable by our banking

subsidiary, Ally Bank, to Ally. Under the FRB's capital plan rule, an objection to a large bank holding company's capital plan generally prohibits it from paying dividends or making certain other capital distributions without specific FRB non-objection to such action. Even if a large bank holding company receives a non-objection to its capital plan, it may not pay a dividend or make certain other capital distributions without FRB approval under certain circumstances (e.g., after giving effect to the dividend or distribution, the bank holding company would not meet a minimum regulatory capital ratio or a Tier 1 common ratio of at least 5%). In addition, FRB supervisory guidance requires bank holding companies such as Ally to consult with the FRB prior to increasing dividends, implementing common stock repurchase programs or redeeming or repurchasing capital instruments. Such guidance provides for a supervisory capital assessment program that outlines FRB expectations concerning the processes that bank holding companies have in place to ensure they hold adequate capital under adverse conditions to maintain ready access to funding. The U.S. banking regulators are also authorized to prohibit a banking subsidiary or bank holding company from engaging in unsafe or unsound banking practices and, depending upon the circumstances, could find that paying a dividend or making a capital distribution would constitute an unsafe or unsound banking practice.

Table of Contents

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Transactions with Affiliates — Certain transactions between Ally Bank and any of its nonbank “affiliates,” including but not limited to Ally, are subject to federal statutory and regulatory restrictions. Pursuant to these restrictions, unless otherwise exempted, “covered transactions” including Ally Bank's extensions of credit to and asset purchases from its nonbank affiliates, generally (1) are limited to 10% of Ally Bank's capital stock and surplus with respect to transactions with any individual affiliate, with an aggregate limit of 20% of Ally Bank's capital stock and surplus for all affiliates and all such transactions; (2) in the case of certain credit transactions, are subject to stringent collateralization requirements; (3) in the case of asset purchases by Ally Bank, may not involve the purchase of any asset deemed to be a “low quality asset” under federal banking guidelines; and (4) must be conducted in accordance with safe-and-sound banking practices (collectively, the Affiliate Transaction Restrictions). In addition, transactions between Ally Bank and a nonbank affiliate generally must be on market terms and conditions.

Furthermore, there is an “attribution rule” that provides that a transaction between Ally Bank and a third party must be treated as a transaction between Ally Bank and a nonbank affiliate to the extent that the proceeds of the transaction are used for the benefit of or transferred to a nonbank affiliate of Ally Bank. For example, because Ally controls Ally Bank, Ally is an affiliate of Ally Bank for purposes of the Affiliate Transaction Restrictions. Thus, retail financing transactions by Ally Bank involving vehicles for which Ally provided floorplan financing are subject to the Affiliate Transaction Restrictions because the proceeds of the retail financings are deemed to benefit, and are ultimately transferred to, Ally.

Under the Dodd-Frank Act, among other changes to the Affiliate Transaction Restrictions, credit exposures arising from derivatives transactions, securities lending and borrowing transactions, and acceptance of affiliate-issued debt obligations (other than securities) as collateral for a loan or extension of credit will be treated as “covered transactions.” The Dodd-Frank Act also expands the scope of covered transactions required to be collateralized, requires that collateral be maintained at all times for covered transactions required to be collateralized, and places limits on acceptable collateral.

Historically, the FRB was authorized to exempt, in its discretion, transactions or relationships from the requirements of these rules if it found such exemptions to be in the public interest and consistent with the purposes of the rules. As a result of the Dodd-Frank Act, exemptions now may be granted by the FDIC if the FDIC and FRB jointly find that the exemption is in the public interest and consistent with the purposes of the rules, and the FDIC finds that the exemption does not present an unacceptable risk to the Deposit Insurance Fund. The FRB granted several such exemptions to Ally Bank in the past. However, the existing exemptions are subject to various conditions and, particularly in light of the statutory changes made by the Dodd-Frank Act, any requests for future exemptions might not be granted. Moreover, these limited exemptions generally do not encompass consumer leasing or used vehicle financing. Since there is no assurance that Ally Bank will be able to obtain future exemptions or waivers with respect to these restrictions, the ability to grow Ally Bank's business will be affected by the Affiliate Transaction Restrictions and the conditions set forth in the existing exemption letters.

Source of Strength — Pursuant to the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, as amended by the Dodd-Frank Act, FRB policy and regulations and the Parent Company Agreement and the Capital and Liquidity Maintenance Agreement described in Note 20 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Ally is required to act as a source of financial and managerial strength to Ally Bank and is required to commit necessary capital and liquidity to support Ally Bank. This support may be required at inopportune times for Ally.

Enforcement Authority — The FDIC and FRB have broad authority to issue orders to banks and bank holding companies to cease and desist from unsafe or unsound banking practices and from violations of laws, rules, regulations, or conditions imposed in writing by the banking agencies. The FDIC and FRB also are empowered to require affirmative actions to correct any violation or practice; issue administrative orders that can be judicially enforced; direct increases in capital; limit dividends and distributions; restrict growth; assess civil money penalties against institutions or individuals who violate any laws, regulations, orders, or written agreements with the banking agencies; order termination of certain activities of bank holding companies or their subsidiaries; remove officers and directors; order divestiture of ownership or control of a nonbanking subsidiary by a bank holding company (in the

case of the FRB); terminate deposit insurance (in the case of the FDIC); and/or place a bank into receivership (in the case of the FDIC).

Basel Capital Accord

The existing risk-based capital standards adopted by the U.S. banking regulators are based on the Basel Committee's Basel I capital accord (Basel I). The U.S. banking regulators adopted Basel I in 1989, which generally applies to U.S. insured depository institutions and bank holding companies. In 2004, the Basel Committee published a revision to Basel I known as Basel II. The goal of Basel II is to provide more risk-sensitive approaches for calculating risk-weighted assets (the denominator of a banking organization's risk-based capital ratio) and promote enhanced risk management practices among large internationally active U.S. banking organizations (advanced approaches banking organizations). U.S. banking regulators published final Basel II rules in December 2007. Basel II's more risk-sensitive approaches for calculating risk-weighted assets for credit risk and operational risk are referred to in the United States as the advanced approaches capital rules. Ally is not subject to the advanced approaches capital rules.

In December 2010, the Basel Committee reached an agreement on the Basel III capital framework, which was designed to increase the quality and quantity of regulatory capital by introducing new risk-based and leverage capital standards. In July 2013, the U.S. banking regulators finalized rules implementing the Basel III capital framework and related Dodd-Frank Act provisions. The U.S. Basel III final rules represent substantial revisions to the existing regulatory capital standards for U.S. banking organizations. Ally will become subject to the U.S.

Table of Contents

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Basel III final rules beginning on January 1, 2015. Certain aspects of the U.S. Basel III final rules, including the new capital buffers and regulatory capital deductions, will be phased in over several years.

Once fully phased in, the U.S. Basel III final rules will subject Ally to a minimum Common Equity Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 4.5%, a minimum Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 6%, and a minimum Total risk-based capital ratio of 8% on a fully phased-in basis. Ally will also be subject to a 2.5% Common Equity Tier 1 capital conservation buffer. Failure to maintain such buffers will result in restrictions on Ally's ability to make capital distributions, including dividend payment, stock repurchases and redemptions, and pay discretionary bonuses to executive officers. In addition to these new risk-based capital standards, the U.S. Basel III final rules require advanced approaches banking organizations to comply with a minimum Basel III supplementary leverage ratio of 3%. Ally is not an advanced approaches banking organization and therefore will not be subject to the Basel III supplementary leverage ratio requirement. The U.S. Basel III final rules subjects all U.S. banking organizations, including Ally, to a minimum Tier 1 leverage ratio of 4%, the denominator of which only takes into account on-balance sheet assets. Effective January 1, 2015, the "well-capitalized" standard for Ally Bank will be revised to reflect the higher capital requirements in the U.S. Basel III final rules.

In addition to introducing new capital ratios, the U.S. Basel III final rules revise the eligibility criteria for regulatory capital instruments and provides for the phase-out of existing capital instruments that do not satisfy the new criteria. Subject to certain exceptions (e.g., for certain debt or equity issued to the U.S. government under the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act), trust preferred and other "hybrid" securities will be phased out from a banking organization's Tier 1 capital by January 1, 2016. Also, certain new items will be deducted from Common Equity Tier 1 capital and certain existing deductions from regulatory capital will be modified. Among other things, the final rules require significant investments in the common shares of unconsolidated financial institutions, MSRs, and certain deferred tax assets that exceed specified individual and aggregate thresholds to be deducted from Common Equity Tier 1 capital.

Beginning on January 1, 2015, the U.S. Basel III final rules will replace the existing Basel I-based approach for calculating risk-weighted assets with the U.S. Basel III standardized approach that, among other things, modifies certain existing risk weights and introduces new methods for calculating risk-weighted assets of certain types of assets and exposures. In December 2013, the FRB made technical revisions to the market risk capital rule, which only applies to banking organizations with significant trading assets and liabilities. Ally is currently not subject to the market risk capital rule.

Troubled Asset Relief Program

As part of the Automotive Industry Financing Program created under the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP) established by the U.S. Department of Treasury (Treasury) under the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (the EESA), Ally has entered into agreements pursuant to which Treasury has made investments in Ally. As a result of these investments, subject to certain exceptions, Ally and its subsidiaries are generally prohibited from paying certain dividends or distributions on, or redeeming, repurchasing, or acquiring any common stock without the consent of Treasury. Ally has further agreed that until Treasury ceases to hold Ally common stock, Ally will comply with certain restrictions on executive perquisites and compensation. Ally must also take all necessary action to ensure that its corporate governance and benefit plans with respect to its senior executive officers comply with Section 111(b) of the EESA as implemented by any guidance or regulation under the EESA, as amended by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, as implemented by the Interim Final Rule issued by Treasury on June 15, 2009. For further details regarding these restrictions on compensation as a result of TARP investments, refer to the Compensation Discussion and Analysis in Item 11.

Depository Institutions

Ally Bank's deposits are insured by the FDIC, and Ally Bank is required to file periodic reports with the FDIC concerning its financial condition. Total assets of Ally Bank were \$98.7 billion and \$94.8 billion at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. As a commercial nonmember bank chartered by the State of Utah, Ally Bank is subject to various regulatory capital adequacy requirements administered by state and federal banking agencies. The Federal

Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 (FDICIA), among other things, identifies five capital categories for insured depository institutions ("well-capitalized," "adequately capitalized," "undercapitalized," "significantly undercapitalized," and "critically undercapitalized") and requires the respective federal regulatory agencies to implement systems for "prompt corrective action" for insured depository institutions that do not meet minimum capital requirements within such categories. Depending on the category in which an institution is classified, FDICIA imposes progressively more restrictive constraints on operations, management, and capital distributions. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on Ally Bank's results of operations and financial condition. FDICIA generally prohibits a depository institution from making any capital distribution, including payment of a cash dividend or paying any management fee to its holding company, if the depository institution would become under-capitalized after such payment. Under-capitalized institutions are also subject to growth limitations and are required by the appropriate federal banking agency to submit a capital restoration plan. If any depository institution subsidiary of a holding company is required to submit a capital restoration plan, the holding company would be required to provide a limited guarantee regarding compliance with the plan as a condition of approval of such plan. Failure to meet the capital guidelines could also subject a banking institution to capital raising requirements. At December 31, 2013, we were in compliance with our regulatory capital requirements. For an additional discussion of capital adequacy requirements, refer to Note 20 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

U.S. Mortgage Business

Our U.S. mortgage business is subject to extensive federal, state, and local laws, rules, and regulations in addition to judicial and administrative decisions that impose requirements and restrictions on this business. As a Federal Housing Administration-approved lender, Ally Bank is required to submit audited financial statements to the Department of Housing and Urban Development on an annual basis. The U.S. mortgage business is also subject to examination by the Federal Housing Commissioner to assure compliance with Federal Housing Administration regulations, policies, and procedures. The federal, state, and local laws, rules, and regulations to which our U.S. mortgage business is subject, among other things, impose licensing obligations and financial requirements; limit the interest rates, finance charges, and other fees that can be charged; regulate the use of credit reports and the reporting of credit information; impose underwriting requirements; regulate marketing techniques and practices; require the safeguarding of nonpublic information about customers; and regulate servicing practices, including the assessment, collection, foreclosure, claims handling, and investment and interest payments on escrow accounts.

The Dodd-Frank Act imposed new requirements regarding mortgage loan servicing, and the CFPB's final regulations implementing these provisions went into effect in January 2014. The risk retention requirement under the Dodd-Frank Act requires securitizers to retain no less than 5% of the credit risk when they create, sell, or transfer mortgage-backed securities (MBS) to third parties, with an exception for securitizations that are wholly composed of "qualified residential mortgages" (QRMs). Federal regulators repropose a regulation implementing this Dodd-Frank Act requirement in August 2013.

The future of the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae), the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac), and the Government National Mortgage Association (Ginnie Mae) (collectively, the Government-sponsored Enterprises, or GSEs) and the role of government agencies in the U.S. mortgage markets remain uncertain. The Executive Branch has committed to work with the Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA) to develop a plan to responsibly reduce the role of the GSEs in the mortgage market and, ultimately, wind down Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. In addition, proposals have been introduced in both houses of Congress to reform the role of the GSEs in the U.S. housing sector and move toward a private sector model.

Automotive Lending Business

The CFPB has focused on the area of automotive finance, particularly with respect to indirect financing arrangements and fair lending compliance. In March 2013, the CFPB provided guidance about compliance with the fair lending requirements of the Equal Credit Opportunity Act and its implementing regulations for indirect automotive finance companies that permit dealers to charge annual percentage rates to consumers in excess of buy rates used by the finance company to calculate the price paid to acquire an assignment of the retail installment sale contract. In December 2013, Ally Financial Inc. and Ally Bank entered into Consent Orders issued by the CFPB and the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) pertaining to the allegation of disparate impact in the automotive finance business. For further information, refer to Note 29 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Insurance Companies

Our Insurance operations are subject to certain minimum aggregate capital requirements, net asset and dividend restrictions under applicable state and foreign insurance law, and the rules and regulations promulgated by various U.S. and foreign regulatory agencies. Under various state and foreign insurance regulations, dividend distributions may be made only from statutory unassigned surplus with approvals required from the regulatory authorities for dividends in excess of certain statutory limitations. Our insurance operations are also subject to applicable state laws generally governing insurance companies, as well as laws and regulations for products that are not regulated as insurance, such as vehicle service contracts and guaranteed asset protection waivers.

Investments in Ally

Because Ally Bank is an FDIC-insured bank and Ally and IB Finance are bank holding companies, acquisitions of our voting stock above certain thresholds may be subject to regulatory approval or notice under federal or state law. Investors are responsible for ensuring that they do not, directly or indirectly, acquire shares of our stock in excess of the amount that may be acquired without regulatory approval under the Change in Bank Control Act, the BHC Act,

and Utah state law.

Further, refer to the Tax Assets Protective Measures section of Management's Discussion and Analysis for details of certain actions taken by us during January 2014, which are intended to prevent persons from acquiring Ally common stock that exceeds certain ownership thresholds.

Other Regulations

Some of the other more significant regulations that we are subject to include:

Privacy — The GLB Act imposes additional obligations on us to safeguard the information we maintain on our customers, requires us to provide notice of our privacy practices, and permits customers to “opt-out” of information sharing with unaffiliated parties. The U.S. banking regulators and the Federal Trade Commission have issued regulations that establish obligations to safeguard information. In addition, several states have enacted even more stringent privacy and safeguarding legislation. If a variety of inconsistent state privacy rules or requirements are enacted, our compliance costs could increase substantially.

Fair Credit Reporting Act — The Fair Credit Reporting Act regulates the use of credit reports and the reporting of information to credit reporting agencies, and also provides a national legal standard for lenders to share information with affiliates and certain third parties and to provide firm offers of credit to consumers. In late 2003, the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act was enacted,

Table of Contents

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

making this preemption of conflicting state and local law permanent. The Fair Credit Reporting Act was also amended to place further restrictions on the use of information shared between affiliates, to provide new disclosures to consumers when risk-based pricing is used in the credit decision, and to help protect consumers from identity theft. All of these provisions impose additional regulatory and compliance costs on us and reduce the effectiveness of our marketing programs.

Truth in Lending Act — The Truth in Lending Act (TILA), as amended, and Regulation Z, which implements TILA, requires lenders to provide borrowers with uniform, understandable information concerning terms and conditions in certain credit transactions. These rules apply to Ally and its subsidiaries in transactions in which they extend credit to consumers and require, in the case of certain mortgage and automotive financing transactions, conspicuous disclosure of the finance charge and annual percentage rate, if any. In addition, if an advertisement for credit states specific credit terms, Regulation Z requires that such advertisement state only those terms that actually are or will be arranged or offered by the creditor. The CFPB has recently issued substantial amendments to the mortgage requirements under TILA, and additional changes are likely in the future. Failure to comply with TILA can result in liability for damages as well as criminal and civil penalties.

Sarbanes-Oxley Act — The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 implemented a broad range of corporate governance and accounting measures designed to promote honesty and transparency in corporate America. The principal provisions of the act include, among other things, (1) the creation of an independent accounting oversight board; (2) auditor independence provisions that restrict non-audit services that accountants may provide to their audit clients; (3) additional corporate governance and responsibility measures including the requirement that the principal executive and financial officers certify financial statements; (4) the potential forfeiture of bonuses or other incentive-based compensation and profits from the sale of an issuer's securities by directors and senior officers in the twelve-month period following initial publication of any financial statements that later require restatement; (5) an increase in the oversight of and enhancement of certain requirements relating to audit committees and how they interact with the independent auditors; (6) requirements that audit committee members must be independent and are barred from accepting consulting, advisory, or other compensatory fees from the issuer; (7) requirements that companies disclose whether at least one member of the audit committee is a "financial expert" (as defined by the SEC) and, if not, why the audit committee does not have a financial expert; (8) a prohibition on personal loans to directors and officers, except certain loans made by insured financial institutions, on nonpreferential terms and in compliance with other bank regulatory requirements; (9) disclosure of a code of ethics; (10) requirements that management assess the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting and that the Independent Registered Public Accounting firm attest to the assessment; and (11) a range of enhanced penalties for fraud and other violations.

USA PATRIOT Act/Anti-Money-Laundering Requirements — In 2001, the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act (USA PATRIOT Act) was signed into law. Title III of the USA PATRIOT Act amends the Bank Secrecy Act and contains provisions designed to detect and prevent the use of the U.S. financial system for money laundering and terrorist financing activities. The Bank Secrecy Act, as amended by the USA PATRIOT Act, requires bank holding companies, banks, and certain other financial companies to undertake activities including maintaining an anti-money-laundering program, verifying the identity of clients, monitoring for and reporting on suspicious transactions, reporting on cash transactions exceeding specified thresholds, and responding to requests for information by regulatory authorities and law enforcement agencies. We have implemented internal practices, procedures, and controls designed to comply with these anti-money-laundering requirements.

Community Reinvestment Act — Under the Community Reinvestment Act (CRA), a bank has a continuing and affirmative obligation, consistent with the safe-and-sound operation of the institution, to help meet the credit needs of its entire community, including low- and moderate-income persons and neighborhoods. The CRA does not establish specific lending requirements or programs for financial institutions. However, institutions are rated on their performance in meeting the needs of their communities. Failure by Ally Bank to maintain a "satisfactory" or better rating under the CRA may adversely affect Ally's ability to make acquisitions and engage in new activities, and in the

event of such a rating, the Federal Reserve must prohibit the financial holding company and its subsidiaries from engaging in any additional activities other than those permissible for bank holding companies that are not financial holding companies.

Employees

We had approximately 7,100 and 10,600 employees at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Employee head count at December 31, 2012, included employees of operations that were held-for-sale as of December 31, 2012.

Additional Information

The results of operations for each of our reportable operating segments and the products and services offered are contained in the individual business operations sections of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. Financial information related to reportable operating segments and geographic areas is provided in Note 26 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, and Current Reports on Form 8-K (and amendments to these reports) are available on our internet website, free of charge, as soon as reasonably practicable after the reports are electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC. These reports are available at www.ally.com. Choose Investor Relations, Financial Information, and then SEC Filings (under About Ally). These reports can also be found on the SEC website at www.sec.gov.

Table of Contents

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our businesses face many risks and uncertainties, any of which could result in a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition. We believe that the most significant of the risks and uncertainties that we face are described below. This Form 10-K is qualified in its entirety by these risk factors.

Risks Related to Regulation

Our business, financial condition, and results of operations could be adversely affected by regulations to which we are subject as a result of our bank holding company and financial holding company status.

We are a bank holding company and a financial holding company under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (BHC Act). Many of the regulatory requirements to which we are subject as a bank holding company were not applicable to us prior to December 2008 and have and will continue to require significant expense and devotion of resources to fully implement necessary policies and procedures to ensure continued compliance. Compliance with such regulations involves substantial costs and may adversely affect our ability to operate profitably. The 2008 financial crisis has resulted in bank regulatory agencies placing increased focus and scrutiny on participants in the financial services industry, including us. For a description of our regulatory requirements, see “Business — Certain Regulatory Matters.”

Ally is subject to ongoing supervision, examination and regulation by the FRB, and Ally Bank by the FDIC and the Utah DFI, in each case, through regular examinations and other means that allow the regulators to gauge management’s ability to identify, assess, and control risk in all areas of operations in a safe-and-sound manner and to ensure compliance with laws and regulations. In the course of their supervision and examinations, our regulators may require improvements in various areas. Such areas could include, among others: board and senior management oversight, risk management, regulatory reporting, internal audit planning, capital adequacy process, stress testing, Bank Secrecy Act / anti-money laundering compliance, compliance management and training, compliance monitoring, and consumer complaint resolution. Ally is currently required by its regulators to make improvements related to its fair lending monitoring practices. Any requirement imposed is generally judicially enforceable, and if we are unable to implement and maintain any required actions in a timely and effective manner, we could become subject to formal supervisory actions that could lead to significant restrictions on our existing business or on our ability to develop any new business. Such forms of supervisory action could include, without limitation, written agreements, cease and desist orders, and consent orders and may, among other things, result in restrictions on our ability to pay dividends, requirements to increase capital, restrictions on our activities, the imposition of civil monetary penalties, and enforcement of such action through injunctions or restraining orders. We could also be required to dispose of certain assets and liabilities within a prescribed period. The terms of any such supervisory action could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating flexibility, financial condition, and results of operations.

As a financial holding company, we are permitted to engage in a broader range of financial and related activities than those that are permissible for bank holding companies, in particular, securities, insurance, and merchant banking activities. Ally's status as a financial holding company allows us to continue all existing insurance activities, as well as our SmartAuction vehicle remarketing services for third parties. Notwithstanding our status as a financial holding company, certain activities may require prior approval of the relevant banking supervisors. There can be no assurance that such prior approval will be obtained. To maintain its status as a financial holding company, Ally and its bank subsidiary, Ally Bank, must remain “well-capitalized” and “well-managed,” as defined under applicable law. If we fail to maintain our status as a financial holding company, our ability to engage in the broader range of activities permitted to financial holding companies may be restricted and we may be required to discontinue these activities or divest our bank subsidiary, Ally Bank.

Our ability to execute our business strategy may be affected by regulatory considerations.

Our business strategy for Ally Bank, which is primarily focused on automotive lending and growth of our direct-channel deposit business, is subject to regulatory oversight from a safety and soundness perspective. If our banking supervisors raise concerns regarding any aspect of our business strategy for Ally Bank, we may be obliged to alter our strategy, which could include moving certain activities, such as certain types of lending, outside of Ally Bank

to one of our nonbanking affiliates. Alternative funding sources outside of Ally Bank, such as unsecured funding in the capital markets, could be more expensive than funding through Ally Bank and could adversely affect our business prospects, results of operations, and financial condition. Further, our regulators require Ally Bank to maintain capital levels in excess of what management believes is needed, which affects Ally Bank's ability to optimally deploy capital and execute certain business initiatives, and we will need to obtain regulatory approvals in order to maintain lower capital levels.

We are subject to capital planning and systemic risk regimes, which impose significant restrictions and requirements. As a bank holding company with \$50 billion or more of consolidated assets, Ally is required to conduct periodic stress tests and submit a proposed capital action plan to the FRB every January, which the FRB must take action on by the following March. The proposed capital action plan must include a description of all planned capital actions over a nine-quarter planning horizon, including any issuance of a debt or equity capital instrument, any capital distribution, and any similar action that the FRB determines could have an impact on Ally's consolidated capital. The proposed capital action plan must also include a discussion of how Ally will maintain capital above the minimum regulatory capital ratios and above a Tier 1 common equity-to-total risk-weighted assets ratio of 5 percent, and serve as a source of strength to Ally Bank. The FRB's capital plan rule requires that Ally receive no objection from the FRB prior to making a capital distribution. The failure to receive no objection from the FRB would prohibit us from paying dividends and making other capital distributions. See "Business — Certain Regulatory Matters" for further details.

Table of Contents

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

In addition, in February 2014, the FRB issued a final rule to implement certain of the enhanced prudential standards mandated by Section 165 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act) for large bank holding companies with \$50 billion or more of consolidated assets, such as Ally. The final rule will, among other things, require Ally to maintain a sufficient quantity of highly liquid assets to survive a projected 30-day liquidity stress event and implement various liquidity-related corporate governance measures; and impose certain requirements, duties, and qualifications for Ally's Risk Management Committee and Chief Risk Officer. The final rule will have a general compliance date of January 1, 2015. The enhanced prudential standards, when effective, could adversely affect our business prospects, results of operations, and financial condition. Additionally, the FRB has stated that it will issue, at a later date, final rules to implement certain other enhanced prudential standards mandated by Section 165 of the Dodd-Frank act, including single counterparty credit limits and an early remediation framework. Once implemented and adopted, these rules could adversely affect our business prospects, results of operations, and financial condition.

Our ability to rely on deposits as a part of our funding strategy may be limited.

Ally Bank continues to be a key part of our funding strategy, and we have continued to place greater reliance on deposits as a source of funding through Ally Bank. Ally Bank does not have a retail branch network, and it obtains its deposits through direct banking and brokered deposits which, at December 31, 2013, included \$8.2 billion of brokered certificates of deposit that may be more price sensitive than other types of deposits and may become less available if alternative investments offer higher interest rates. At December 31, 2013, brokered deposits represented 18% of Ally Bank total deposits. Our ability to maintain our current level of deposits or grow our deposit base could be affected by regulatory restrictions including the possible imposition of prior approval requirements, restrictions on deposit growth, or restrictions on our rates offered. In addition, perceptions of our financial strength, rates offered by third parties, and other competitive factors beyond our control, including returns on alternative investments, will also impact the size of our deposit base. In addition, our regulators may impose restrictions on our ability to fund certain types of assets at Ally Bank, potentially raising the cost of funding those activities without the use of Ally Bank deposits. Qualitative and quantitative liquidity requirements that are being proposed and finalized by the U.S. banking regulators may also impact our funding strategy.

The regulatory environment in which we operate could have a material adverse effect on our business and earnings.

Our domestic operations are subject to various laws and judicial and administrative decisions imposing various requirements and restrictions relating to supervision and regulation by state and federal authorities. Such regulation and supervision are primarily for the benefit and protection of our customers, not for the benefit of investors in our securities, and could limit our discretion in operating our business. Noncompliance with applicable statutes, regulations, rules, or policies could result in the suspension or revocation of any license or registration at issue as well as the imposition of civil fines and criminal penalties.

Ally, Ally Bank, and many of our nonbank subsidiaries are heavily regulated by bank and other regulatory agencies at the federal and state levels. This regulatory oversight is established to protect depositors, the FDIC's Deposit Insurance Fund, and the banking system as a whole, not security holders. Changes to statutes, regulations, rules, or policies including the interpretation or implementation of statutes, regulations, rules, or policies could affect us in substantial and unpredictable ways including limiting the types of financial services and products we may offer, limiting our ability to pursue acquisitions and increasing the ability of third parties to offer competing financial services and products.

Our inability to remain in compliance with regulatory requirements in a particular jurisdiction could have a material adverse effect on our operations in that market with regard to the affected product and on our reputation generally. No assurance can be given that applicable laws or regulations will not be amended or construed differently, that new laws and regulations will not be adopted, or that we will not be prohibited by local laws or regulators from raising interest rates above certain desired levels, any of which could materially adversely affect our business, operating flexibility, financial condition, or results of operations.

Financial services legislative and regulatory reforms may have a significant impact on our business and results of operations.

The Dodd-Frank Act, which became law in July 2010, has and will continue to substantially change the legal and regulatory framework under which we operate. Certain portions of the Dodd-Frank Act were effective immediately, and others have become effective since enactment, while others are subject to further rulemaking and discretion of various regulatory bodies. The Dodd-Frank Act, when fully implemented, will have material implications for Ally and the entire financial services industry. Among other things, it would:

- result in Ally being subject to enhanced oversight and scrutiny as a result of being a bank holding company with \$50 billion or more in total consolidated assets (large bank holding company);
- increase the levels of capital and liquidity with which Ally must operate and affect how it plans capital and liquidity levels;
- subject Ally to new and/or higher fees paid to various regulatory entities, including but not limited to deposit insurance fees and any other similar assessments paid by Ally Bank to the FDIC;
- potentially impact a number of Ally's business and risk management strategies;
- potentially restrict the revenue that Ally generates from certain businesses;

Table of Contents

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

require Ally to provide to the FRB and FDIC an annual plan for its rapid and orderly resolution in the event of material financial distress;

subject Ally to regulation by the CFPB, which has very broad rule-making, examination, and enforcement authorities; and

subject derivatives that Ally enters into for hedging, risk management and other purposes to a comprehensive new regulatory regime which, over time, will require central clearing and execution on designated markets or execution facilities for certain standardized derivatives and impose margin, documentation, trade reporting and other new requirements.

While U.S. regulators have finalized many regulations to implement various provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act, they plan to propose or finalize additional regulations for implementation in the future. In light of the further rulemaking required to fully implement the Dodd-Frank Act, as well as the discretion afforded to federal regulators, the full impact of this legislation on Ally, its business strategies, and financial performance cannot be known at this time and may not be known for a number of years. In addition, regulations may impact us differently in comparison to other more established financial institutions. However, these impacts are expected to be substantial and some of them are likely to adversely affect Ally and its financial performance. The extent to which Ally can adjust its strategies to offset such adverse impacts also is not knowable at this time.

Our business may be adversely affected upon our implementation of the revised capital requirements under the U.S. Basel III final rules.

In December 2010, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (Basel Committee) reached an agreement on the Basel III capital framework, which was designed to increase the quality and quantity of regulatory capital by introducing new risk-based and leverage capital standards. The U.S. banking regulators have finalized rules implementing the Basel III capital framework and related Dodd-Frank Act provisions. The U.S. Basel III final rules represent substantial revisions to the existing regulatory capital standards for U.S. banking organizations. Ally will become subject to the U.S. Basel III final rules beginning on January 1, 2015. Certain aspects of the U.S. Basel III final rules, including the new capital buffers and regulatory capital deductions, will be phased in over several years. The U.S. Basel III final rules will subject Ally to higher minimum risk-based capital ratios and capital buffers above these minimum requirements. Failure to maintain such buffers will result in restrictions on Ally's ability to make capital distributions, including dividend payment, stock repurchases and redemptions, and pay discretionary bonuses to executive officers.

The U.S. Basel III final rules will, over time, require more stringent deductions for, among other assets, certain deferred tax assets (DTAs) from Ally's Common Equity Tier 1 capital and limit Ally's ability to meet its regulatory capital requirements through the use of trust preferred securities, or other "hybrid" securities (although certain debt or equity issued to the U.S. government under the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act are grandfathered as Tier 1 capital).

If we or Ally Bank fail to satisfy regulatory capital requirements, we or Ally Bank may be subject to serious regulatory sanctions ranging in severity from being precluded from making acquisitions or engaging in new activities to becoming subject to informal or formal supervisory actions by the FRB and/or FDIC and, potentially, FDIC receivership of Ally Bank. If any of these were to occur, such actions could prevent us from successfully executing our business plan and have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial position. Effective January 1, 2015, the "well-capitalized" standard for Ally Bank will be revised to reflect the higher capital requirements in the U.S. Basel III final rules. To maintain its status as a financial holding company, Ally and its bank subsidiary, Ally Bank, must remain "well-capitalized" and "well-managed", as defined under applicable law. Commencing with the current capital planning and stress testing cycle that began in October 2013, the Dodd-Frank company-run stress tests and FRB supervisory stress tests to which Ally is subject, the annual capital plan that Ally must submit and the FRB's annual post-stress capital analysis under the Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review (CCAR) must incorporate the more stringent capital requirements in the U.S. Basel III final rules as they are phased in over the nine-quarter forward-looking planning horizon. Under the FRB's capital plan rule, an objection to a large bank

holding company's capital plan would prohibit it from paying dividends or making certain other capital distributions. Our business, financial condition, and results of operations could be adversely affected by governmental fiscal and monetary policies.

Our business and earnings are significantly affected by the fiscal and monetary policies of the U.S. government and its agencies. We are particularly affected by the policies of the FRB, which regulates the supply of money and credit in the United States. The FRB's policies influence the new and used vehicle financing market, which significantly affects the earnings of our businesses. The FRB's policies also influence the yield on our interest earning assets and the cost of our interest-bearing liabilities. Changes in those policies are beyond our control and difficult to predict and could adversely affect our revenues, profitability, and financial condition.

Future consumer legislation or actions could harm our competitive position.

In addition to the enactment of the Dodd-Frank Act, various legislative bodies have also recently been considering altering the existing framework governing creditors' rights, including legislation that would result in or allow loan modifications of various sorts. Such legislation may change banking statutes and the operating environment in substantial and unpredictable ways. If enacted, such legislation could increase or decrease the cost of doing business; limit or expand permissible activities; or affect the competitive balance among banks, savings associations, credit unions, and other financial institutions. We cannot predict whether new legislation will be enacted, and if enacted, the effect that it or any regulations would have on our activities, financial condition, or results of operations.

Table of Contents

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Ally and its subsidiaries are involved in investigations, and proceedings by government and self-regulatory agencies, which may lead to material adverse consequences.

Ally and its subsidiaries, including Ally Bank, are or may become involved from time to time in reviews, investigations, and proceedings (both formal and informal), and information-gathering requests, by government and self-regulatory agencies, including the FRB, FDIC, Utah DFI, CFPB, DOJ, SEC, and the Federal Trade Commission regarding their respective operations. Such requests include subpoenas from each of the SEC and the DOJ. The subpoenas and document requests from the SEC include information covering a wide range of mortgage-related matters, and the subpoenas received from the DOJ include a broad request for documentation and other information in connection with its investigations of potential fraud and other potential legal violations related to mortgage-backed securities, as well as the origination and/or underwriting of mortgage loans.

Further, in December 2013, Ally Financial Inc. and Ally Bank entered into Consent Orders issued by the CFPB and the DOJ pertaining to the allegation of disparate impact in the automotive finance business, which resulted in a \$98 million charge in the fourth quarter of 2013. The Consent Orders require Ally to create a compliance plan addressing, at a minimum, the communication of Ally's expectations of Equal Credit Opportunity Act compliance to dealers, maintenance of Ally's existing limits on dealer finance income for contracts acquired by Ally, and monitoring for potential discrimination both at the dealer level and across all dealers. Ally also must form a compliance committee consisting of Ally and Ally Bank directors to oversee Ally's execution of the Consent Orders' terms. Failure to achieve certain remediation targets could result in the payment of additional amounts in the future.

Investigations, proceedings or information-gathering requests that Ally is, or may become, involved in may result in material adverse consequences including without limitation, adverse judgments, settlements, fines, penalties, injunctions, or other actions.

Our business, financial position, and results of operations could be adversely affected by the impact of affiliate transaction restrictions imposed in connection with certain financing transactions.

Certain transactions between Ally Bank and any of its nonbank "affiliates," including but not limited to Ally Financial Inc. are subject to federal statutory and regulatory restrictions. Pursuant to these restrictions, unless otherwise exempted, "covered transactions," including Ally Bank's extensions of credit to and asset purchases from its nonbank affiliates, generally (1) are limited to 10% of Ally Bank's capital stock and surplus with respect to transactions with any individual affiliate, with an aggregate limit of 20% of Ally Bank's capital stock and surplus for all affiliates and all such transactions; (2) in the case of certain credit transactions, are subject to stringent collateralization requirements; (3) in the case of asset purchases by Ally Bank, may not involve the purchase of any asset deemed to be a "low quality asset" under federal banking guidelines; and (4) must be conducted in accordance with safe-and-sound banking practices (collectively, the Affiliate Transaction Restrictions). Furthermore, there is an "attribution rule" that provides that a transaction between Ally Bank and a third party must be treated as a transaction between Ally Bank and a nonbank affiliate to the extent that the proceeds of the transaction are used for the benefit of, or transferred to, a nonbank affiliate of Ally Bank.

Under the Dodd-Frank Act, among other changes to Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act, credit exposures resulting from derivatives transactions, securities lending and borrowing transactions, and acceptance of affiliate-issued debt obligations (other than securities) as collateral for a loan or extension of credit will be treated as "covered transactions." The Dodd-Frank Act also expands the scope of covered transactions required to be collateralized and places limits on acceptable collateral.

The ability to grow Ally Bank's business in the future could be affected by the Affiliate Transaction Restrictions. Ally Financial Inc. may require distributions in the future from its subsidiaries.

We currently fund Ally Financial Inc.'s obligations, including dividend payments to our preferred shareholders, and payments of interest and principal on our indebtedness, from cash generated by Ally Financial Inc. In the future, Ally Financial Inc. may not generate sufficient funds at the parent company level to fund its obligations. As such, it may require dividends, distributions, or other payments from its subsidiaries to fund its obligations. However, regulatory and other legal restrictions may limit the ability of Ally Financial Inc.'s subsidiaries to transfer funds freely to Ally

Financial Inc. In particular, many of Ally Financial Inc.'s subsidiaries are subject to laws, regulations, and rules that authorize regulatory bodies to block or reduce the flow of funds to it or that prohibit such transfers entirely in certain circumstances. These laws, regulations, and rules may hinder Ally Financial Inc.'s ability to access funds that it may need to make payments on its obligations in the future. Furthermore, as a bank holding company, Ally Financial Inc. may become subject to a prohibition or to limitations on its ability to pay dividends. The bank regulators have the authority and, under certain circumstances, the duty to prohibit or to limit payment of dividends by the banking organizations they supervise, including Ally Financial Inc. and its subsidiaries.

Risks Related to Our Business

The profitability and financial condition of our operations are heavily dependent upon the performance, operations, and prospects of the overall U.S. automotive market, and also upon GM and Chrysler.

GM and Chrysler dealers and their retail customers compose a significant portion of our customer base, and our Dealer Financial Services operations are highly dependent on GM and Chrysler production and sales volume. In 2013, 62% of our U.S. new vehicle dealer inventory financing and 69% of our U.S. new vehicle consumer automotive financing volume were for GM franchised dealers and customers, and 27% of our U.S. new vehicle dealer inventory financing and 22% of our U.S. new vehicle consumer automotive financing volume were for Chrysler dealers and customers.

Table of Contents

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

On October 1, 2010, GM acquired AmeriCredit Corp. (which GM subsequently renamed General Motors Financial Company, Inc. (GMF)), an independent automotive finance company. Further, during 2013 we completed the sale of our automotive finance operations in Europe and Latin America to GMF and expect to complete the sale of our interest in the joint venture in China to GMF in the next twelve months. As GMF continues to grow and offer new products, and as GM directs additional business to GMF, it could reduce GM's reliance on our services over time, which could have a material adverse effect on our profitability and financial condition. In addition, GMF has begun to offer certain insurance products that we also offer. In addition, GM or other automotive manufacturers could utilize other existing companies to support their financing needs including offering products or terms that we would not or could not offer, which could have a material adverse impact on our business and operations. Furthermore, other automotive manufacturers could expand or establish or acquire captive finance companies to support their financing needs thus reducing their need for our services.

A significant adverse change in GM's or Chrysler's business, including the production or sale of GM or Chrysler vehicles; the quality or resale value of GM or Chrysler vehicles; the use of GM or Chrysler marketing incentives; GM's or Chrysler's relationships with its key suppliers; or GM's or Chrysler's relationship with the United Auto Workers and other labor unions and other factors impacting GM or Chrysler or their respective employees, or significant adverse changes in their respective liquidity position and access to the capital markets; could have a material adverse effect on our profitability and financial condition.

There is no assurance that the automotive market or GM's and Chrysler's respective share of that market will not suffer downturns in the future, and any negative impact could in turn have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial position.

Our agreements with GM and Chrysler that provided for certain exclusivity privileges have expired. The expiration of these agreements could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition. We were previously party to agreements with each of GM and Chrysler that provided for certain exclusivity privileges related to subvention programs that they offered. On April 25, 2012, Chrysler provided us with notification of nonrenewal for our existing agreement with them, and as a result, our agreement with Chrysler expired in April 2013. Further, in May 2013 Chrysler announced that it has entered into a ten-year agreement with Santander Consumer USA Inc. (Santander), pursuant to which Santander will provide a full range of wholesale and retail financing services to Chrysler dealers and consumers. Since this time, our originations of Chrysler subvented retail financing and subvented leases have ceased and resulted in a reduction of originations from the Chrysler channel. In addition, our agreement with GM expired effective February 28, 2014. These agreements provided Ally with certain preferred provider benefits, including limiting the use of other financing providers by GM and Chrysler for their incentive programs. While we have entered into a new agreement with GM relating to certain matters, such agreement does not provide Ally with any exclusivity or similar privileges related to the financing of GM vehicles, whether through subvention programs or otherwise. As a result, our existing agreement with GM does not provide the economic benefits or impose the obligations that were included within our prior agreement with GM. We cannot predict the ultimate impact that the expiration of prior agreements or the terms of the new GM Agreement will have on our operations. However, the expiration of these agreements and the terms of the new GM agreement are likely to continue to increase competitive pressure on Ally. Our share of financing for GM consumer sales decreased from 38% in 2011 to 29% in 2013, and our share of financing for Chrysler consumer sales decreased from 32% in 2011 to 14% in 2013.

Our inability to maintain relationships with dealers could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

Our business depends on the continuation of our relationships with our customers, particularly the automotive dealers with whom we do business. While the number of dealers that we have retail relationships with has held relatively flat during 2013, the number of dealers that we have wholesale relationships with has decreased approximately 10% as compared to December 31, 2012. Further, our share of GM commercial wholesale financing decreased from 78% in 2011 to 67% in 2013, and our share of Chrysler commercial wholesale financing decreased from 67% in 2011 to 50% in 2013. If we are not able to maintain existing relationships with key automotive dealers or if we are not able to

develop new relationships for any reason, including if we are not able to provide services on a timely basis or offer products that meet the needs of the dealers, this trend related to wholesale funding may continue, and the number dealers with which we have retail funding relationships could also decline in the future. As a result, our business, results of operations, and financial condition could be adversely affected in the future.

Our business requires substantial capital and liquidity, and disruption in our funding sources and access to the capital markets would have a material adverse effect on our liquidity, capital positions, and financial condition.

Our liquidity and the long-term viability of Ally depend on many factors, including our ability to successfully raise capital and secure appropriate bank financing. We are currently required to maintain a Tier 1 leverage ratio of 15% at Ally Bank, which will require that Ally maintain substantial capital levels in Ally Bank.

We have significant maturities of unsecured debt each year. While we have reduced our reliance on unsecured funding, it continues to remain a critical component of our capital structure and financing plans. At December 31, 2013, approximately \$5.5 billion in principal amount of total outstanding consolidated unsecured debt is scheduled to mature in 2014, and approximately \$5.2 billion and \$1.9 billion in principal amount of consolidated unsecured debt is scheduled to mature in 2015 and 2016, respectively. We also obtain short-term funding from the sale of floating rate demand notes, all of which the holders may elect to have redeemed at any time without restriction. At December 31, 2013, a total of \$3.2 billion in principal amount of Demand Notes were outstanding. We also rely substantially on secured funding. At December 31, 2013, approximately \$11.9 billion of outstanding consolidated secured debt is scheduled to mature in 2014, approximately

Table of Contents

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

\$13.8 billion is scheduled to mature in 2015, and approximately \$7.9 billion is scheduled to mature in 2016. Furthermore, at December 31, 2013, approximately \$15.5 billion in certificates of deposit at Ally Bank are scheduled to mature in 2014, which is not included in the 2014 unsecured maturities provided above. Additional financing will be required to fund a material portion of the debt maturities over these periods. The capital markets can be volatile, and Ally's access to the debt markets may be significantly reduced during periods of market stress.

As a result of volatility in the markets and our current unsecured debt ratings, we have increased our reliance on various secured debt markets. Although market conditions have improved, there can be no assurances that this will continue. In addition, we continue to rely on our ability to borrow from other financial institutions, and many of our primary bank facilities are up for renewal on a yearly basis. Any weakness in market conditions and a tightening of credit availability could have a negative effect on our ability to refinance these facilities and increase the costs of bank funding. Ally and Ally Bank also continue to access the securitization markets. While markets have continued to stabilize following the 2008 liquidity crisis, there can be no assurances these sources of liquidity will remain available to us.

Our indebtedness and other obligations are significant and could materially and adversely affect our business. We have a significant amount of indebtedness. At December 31, 2013, we had approximately \$79.2 billion in principal amount of indebtedness outstanding (including \$47.6 billion in secured indebtedness). Interest expense on our indebtedness constituted approximately 33% of our total financing revenue and other interest income for the year ended December 31, 2013. In addition, during the twelve months ending December 31, 2013, we declared and paid preferred stock dividends of \$810 million in the aggregate.

We have the ability to create additional unsecured indebtedness. If our debt service obligations increase, whether due to the increased cost of existing indebtedness or the incurrence of additional indebtedness, we may be required to dedicate a significant portion of our cash flow from operations to the payment of principal of, and interest on, our indebtedness, which would reduce the funds available for other purposes. Our indebtedness also could limit our ability to withstand competitive pressures and reduce our flexibility in responding to changing business and economic conditions.

The financial services industry is highly competitive. If we are unable to compete successfully or if there is increased competition in the automotive financing and/or insurance markets or generally in the markets for securitizations or asset sales, our business could be negatively affected.

The markets for automotive financing, banking, and insurance are highly competitive. The market for automotive financing has grown substantially more competitive as more consumers are financing their vehicle purchases and as more competitors continue to enter this market as a result of how well automotive finance assets generally performed relative to other asset classes during the 2008 economic downturn. Competition for automotive financing has further intensified as a growing number of banks have become increasingly interested in automotive-finance assets, which has resulted in pressure on our net interest margins. For example, on April 1, 2011, TD Bank Group announced the closing of its acquisition of Chrysler Financial, which could enhance Chrysler Financial's ability to expand its product offerings and may result in increased competition. Ally Bank faces significant competition from commercial banks, savings institutions, mortgage companies, and other financial institutions. Our insurance business faces significant competition from insurance carriers, reinsurers, third-party administrators, brokers, and other insurance-related companies. Many of our competitors have substantial positions nationally or in the markets in which they operate. Some of our competitors have lower cost structures, substantially lower costs of capital, and are much less reliant on securitization activities, unsecured debt, and other public markets. Our competitors may be subject to different, and in some cases, less stringent, legislative and regulatory regimes than we are, thus putting us at a competitive disadvantage to these competitors. We face significant competition in most areas including product offerings, rates, pricing and fees, and customer service. If we are unable to compete effectively in the markets in which we operate, our profitability and financial condition would be negatively affected.

The markets for asset securitizations and whole-loan sales are competitive, and other issuers and originators could increase the amount of their issuances and sales. In addition, lenders and other investors within those markets often

establish limits on their credit exposure to particular issuers, originators, and asset classes, or they may require higher returns to increase the amount of their exposure. Increased issuance by other participants in the market or decisions by investors to limit their credit exposure to (or to require a higher yield for) us or to automotive securitizations or whole-loans could negatively affect our ability and that of our subsidiaries to price our securitizations and whole-loan sales at attractive rates. The result would be lower proceeds from these activities and lower profits for our subsidiaries and us.

Our allowance for loan losses may not be adequate to cover actual losses, and we may be required to materially increase our allowance, which may adversely affect our capital, financial condition, and results of operations.

We maintain an allowance for loan losses, which is a reserve established through a provision for loan losses charged to expenses, which represents management's best estimate of probable credit losses that have been incurred within the existing portfolio of loans, all as described in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The allowance, in the judgment of management, is established to reserve for estimated loan losses and risks inherent in the loan portfolio.

The determination of the appropriate level of the allowance for loan losses inherently involves a high degree of subjectivity and requires us to make significant estimates of current credit risks using existing qualitative and quantitative information, all of which may undergo material changes. Changes in economic conditions affecting borrowers, accounting rules and related guidance, new information regarding existing loans, identification of additional problem loans, and other factors, both within and outside of our control, may require an increase in the allowance for loan losses. In addition, our continued expansion of our originations across a broader credit spectrum is expected to increase our allowance for loan losses in the future.

Table of Contents

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Bank regulatory agencies periodically review our allowance for loan losses, as well as our methodology for calculating our allowance for loan losses and may require an increase in the provision for loan losses or the recognition of additional loan charge-offs, based on judgments different than those of management. An increase in the allowance for loan losses results in a decrease in net income and capital and may have a material adverse effect on our capital, financial condition, and results of operations.

We are exposed to consumer credit risk, which could adversely affect our profitability and financial condition. We are subject to credit risk resulting from defaults in payment or performance by customers for our contracts and loans, as well as contracts and loans that are securitized and in which we retain a residual interest. Furthermore, a weak economic environment and high unemployment rates could exert pressure on our consumer automotive finance customers resulting in higher delinquencies, repossessions, and losses. There can be no assurances that our monitoring of our credit risk as it affects the value of these assets and our efforts to mitigate credit risk through our risk-based pricing, appropriate underwriting policies, and loss-mitigation strategies are, or will be, sufficient to prevent a further adverse effect on our profitability and financial condition. We have continued to expand our nonprime automobile financing. We define nonprime consumer automobile loans primarily as those loans with a FICO score (or an equivalent score) at origination of less than 620. In addition, we have increased our used vehicle financing. Customers that finance used vehicles tend to have lower FICO scores as compared to new vehicle customers, and defaults resulting from vehicle breakdowns are more likely to occur with used vehicles as compared to new vehicles that are financed. At December 31, 2013, the carrying value of our Automotive Finance operations nonprime consumer automobile loans before allowance for loan losses was \$6.0 billion, or approximately 10.7% of our total consumer automobile loans. Of these loans, \$91 million were considered nonperforming as they had been placed on nonaccrual status in accordance with internal loan policies. Refer to the Nonaccrual Loans section of Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information. As we continue to grow our nonprime automobile financing loans over time, our credit risk may increase. As part of the underwriting process, we rely heavily upon information supplied by third parties. If any of this information is intentionally or negligently misrepresented and the misrepresentation is not detected before completing the transaction, the credit risk associated with the transaction may be increased.

Our profitability and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected if the residual value of off-lease vehicles decrease in the future.

Lease originations are increasingly a substantial portion of our consumer financing originations. In particular, our GM lease originations grew to 23% in 2013 of our consumer financing originations from 13% in 2011. Our expectation of the residual value of a vehicle subject to an automotive lease contract is a critical element used to determine the amount of the lease payments under the contract at the time the customer enters into it. As a result, to the extent the actual residual value of the vehicle, as reflected in the sales proceeds received upon remarketing at lease termination, is less than the expected residual value for the vehicle at lease inception, we incur additional depreciation expense and/or a loss on the lease transaction. General economic conditions, the supply of off-lease and other vehicles to be sold, new vehicle market prices, perceived vehicle quality, overall price and volatility of gasoline or diesel fuel, among other factors, heavily influence used vehicle prices and thus the actual residual value of off-lease vehicles. Consumer confidence levels and the strength of automotive manufacturers and dealers can also influence the used vehicle market. For example, during 2008, sharp declines in demand and used vehicle sale prices adversely affected our remarketing proceeds and financial results.

Vehicle brand images, consumer preference, and vehicle manufacturer marketing programs that influence new and used vehicle markets also influence lease residual values. In addition, our ability to efficiently process and effectively market off-lease vehicles affects the disposal costs and proceeds realized from the vehicle sales. While manufacturers, at times, may provide support for lease residual values including through residual support programs, this support does not in all cases entitle us to full reimbursement for the difference between the remarketing sales proceeds for off-lease vehicles and the residual value specified in the lease contract. Differences between the actual residual values realized on leased vehicles and our expectations of such values at contract inception could have a material negative impact on

our profitability and financial condition.

General business and economic conditions may significantly and adversely affect our revenues, profitability, and financial condition.

Our business and earnings are sensitive to general business and economic conditions in the United States. A downturn in economic conditions resulting in increased short- and long-term interest rates, inflation, fluctuations in the debt capital markets, unemployment rates, housing prices, consumer and commercial bankruptcy filings, or a decline in the strength of national and local economies and other factors that negatively affect household incomes could decrease demand for our financing products and increase financing delinquency and losses on our customer and dealer financing operations. Further, a significant and sustained increase in fuel prices could lead to diminished new and used vehicle purchases and negatively affect our automotive finance business. Finally, concerns about the pace of economic growth in the U.S. and elsewhere and uncertainty regarding U.S. fiscal and monetary policies and the federal deficit, have resulted in significant volatility in the financial markets, and could impact our ability to obtain, and the pricing with respect to, funding that is collateralized by affected instruments and obtained through the secured and unsecured markets. As these conditions persist, our business, results of operation, and financial position could be materially adversely affected.

If the rate of inflation were to increase, or if the debt capital markets or the economy of the United States were to weaken, or if home prices or new and used vehicle purchases experience declines, we could be significantly and adversely affected, and it could become more expensive for us to conduct our business. For example, business and economic conditions that negatively affect household incomes, housing prices, and consumer behavior related to our businesses could decrease (1) the demand for our new and used vehicle financing and (2) the value of the collateral underlying our portfolio of held-for-investment assets and new and used vehicle loans and interests that continue to be

Table of Contents

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

held by us, thus further increasing the number of consumers who become delinquent or default on their loans. In addition, the rate of delinquencies, foreclosures, and losses on our loans could be higher during more severe economic slowdowns.

Any sustained period of increased delinquencies, foreclosures, or losses could further harm our ability to sell our new and used vehicle loans, the prices we receive for our new and used vehicle loans, or the value of our portfolio of mortgage and new and used vehicle loans held-for-investment or interests from our securitizations, which could harm our revenues, profitability, and financial condition. Continued adverse business and economic conditions could affect demand for new and used vehicles, housing, the cost of construction, and other related factors that could harm the revenues and profitability of our business.

Acts or threats of terrorism and political or military actions taken by the United States or other governments could adversely affect general economic or industry conditions.

Geopolitical conditions may affect our earnings. Acts or threats of terrorism and political or military actions taken by the United States or other governments in response to terrorism, or similar activity, could adversely affect general economic or industry conditions.

The U.S. Department of Treasury (Treasury) holds a significant amount of our outstanding common stock.

At February 28, 2014, Treasury held 571,971 shares of common stock, which represents approximately 37% of the voting power of the holders of common stock outstanding for matters requiring a vote of the holders of common stock.

Pursuant to the Stockholders Agreement dated August 19, 2013, as of the date hereof, Treasury also has the right to appoint four of the eleven members to our board of directors. As a result of this stock ownership interest and Treasury's right to appoint four directors to our board of directors, Treasury has the ability to exert control, through its power to vote for the election of our directors, over various matters. To the extent Treasury elects to exert such control over us, its interests (as a government entity) may differ from those of our other stockholders and it may influence, through its ability to vote for the election of our directors, matters including:

- the selection, tenure and compensation of our management;
- our business strategy and product offerings;
- our relationship with our employees and other constituencies; and
- our financing activities, including the issuance of debt and equity securities.

In the future we may also become subject to new and additional laws and government regulations regarding various aspects of our business as a result of participation in the TARP program and the U.S. government's ownership in our business. These regulations could make it more difficult for us to compete with other companies that are not subject to similar regulations.

The limitations on compensation imposed on us due to our participation in TARP, including the restrictions placed on our compensation by the Special Master for TARP Executive Compensation, may adversely affect our ability to retain and motivate our executives and employees.

Our performance is largely dependent on the talent and efforts of our management team and employees. As a result of our participation in TARP, the compensation of certain members of our management team and employees is subject to extensive restrictions under the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008, as amended by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (the ARRA), which was signed into law on February 17, 2009, as implemented by the Interim Final Rule issued by Treasury on June 15, 2009 (the IFR). In addition, due to our participation in TARP, pursuant to ARRA and the IFR, the Office of the Special Master for TARP Executive Compensation has the authority to further regulate our compensation arrangements with certain of our executives and employees. In addition, we may become subject to further restrictions under any other future legislation or regulation limiting executive compensation. Many of the restrictions are not limited to our senior executives and affect other employees whose contributions to revenue and performance may be significant. These limitations may leave us unable to create a compensation structure that permits us to retain and motivate certain of our executives and employees or to attract new executives or employees, especially if we are competing against institutions that are not subject to the

same restrictions. Any such inability could have a material and adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Our borrowing costs and access to the unsecured debt capital markets depend significantly on our credit ratings.

The cost and availability of unsecured financing are materially affected by our short- and long-term credit ratings.

Each of Standard & Poor's Rating Services; Moody's Investors Service, Inc.; Fitch, Inc.; and Dominion Bond Rating Service rates our debt. Our current ratings as assigned by each of the respective rating agencies are below investment grade, which negatively impacts our access to liquidity and increases our borrowing costs in the unsecured market.

Ratings reflect the rating agencies' opinions of our financial strength, operating performance, strategic position, and ability to meet our obligations. Future downgrades of our credit ratings would increase borrowing costs and further constrain our access to the unsecured debt markets and, as a result, would negatively affect our business. In addition, downgrades of our credit ratings could increase the possibility of additional terms and conditions being added to any new or replacement financing arrangements as well as impact elements of certain existing secured borrowing arrangements.

Table of Contents

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Agency ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security and may be revised or withdrawn at any time by the issuing organization. Each agency's rating should be evaluated independently of any other agency's rating. Significant indemnification payments or contract, lease, or loan repurchase activity of retail contracts or leases could harm our profitability and financial condition.

We have repurchase obligations in our capacity as servicer in securitizations and whole-loan sales. If a servicer breaches a representation, warranty, or servicing covenant with respect to an automotive receivable, the servicer may be required by the servicing provisions to repurchase that asset from the purchaser or otherwise compensate one or more classes of investors for losses caused by the breach. If the frequency at which repurchases of assets or other payments occurs increases substantially from its present rate, the result could be a material adverse effect on our financial condition, liquidity, and results of operations.

Our earnings may decrease because of decreases or increases in interest rates.

We are subject to risks from decreasing interest rates. A low interest rate environment or a flat or inverted yield curve may adversely affect certain of our businesses by compressing net interest margins or reducing the amounts we earn on our investment securities portfolio, thereby reducing our net interest income and other revenues.

Rising interest rates could also have an adverse impact on our business as well. For example, rising interest rates:

- will increase our cost of funds;
- may reduce our consumer automotive financing volume by influencing customers to pay cash for, as opposed to financing, vehicle purchases or not to buy new vehicles;
- may negatively impact our ability to remarket off-lease vehicles; and
- will generally reduce the value of automotive financing loans and contracts and retained interests and fixed income securities held in our investment portfolio.

Our hedging strategies may not be successful in mitigating our risks associated with changes in interest rates and could affect our profitability and financial condition as could our failure to comply with hedge accounting principles and interpretations.

We employ various economic hedging strategies to mitigate the interest rate and prepayment risk inherent in many of our assets and liabilities. Our hedging strategies rely on assumptions and projections regarding our assets, liabilities, and general market factors. If these assumptions and projections prove to be incorrect or our hedges do not adequately mitigate the impact of changes in interest rates, we may experience volatility in our earnings that could adversely affect our profitability and financial condition. In addition, we may not be able to find market participants that are willing to act as our hedging counterparties, which could have an adverse effect on the success of our hedging strategies.

In addition, hedge accounting in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) requires the application of significant subjective judgments to a body of accounting concepts that is complex.

A failure of or interruption in, as well as, security risks of the communications and information systems on which we rely to conduct our business could adversely affect our revenues and profitability.

We rely heavily upon communications and information systems to conduct our business. Any failure or interruption of our information systems or the third-party information systems on which we rely as a result of inadequate or failed processes or systems, human errors, employee misconduct, catastrophic events, external or internal security breaches, acts of vandalism, computer viruses, malware, misplaced or lost data, or other external events could cause underwriting or other delays and could result in fewer applications being received, slower processing of applications, and reduced efficiency in servicing.

In addition, our communication and information systems may present security risks, and could be susceptible to hacking or identity theft. The access by unauthorized persons to personal, confidential or proprietary information in our possession or our proprietary information, software, methodologies and business secrets could result in a significant legal and financial exposure, supervisory liability, damage to our reputation or a loss of confidence in the security of our systems, products, and services. For example, similar to other large financial institutions, in the past we

have been subject to cyber attacks that briefly resulted in slow performance and unavailability of our website for some customers. Information security risks for large financial institutions like us have increased recently in part because of new technologies, the use of the internet and telecommunications technologies (including mobile devices) to conduct financial and other business transactions and the increased sophistication and activities of organized crime, perpetrators of fraud, hackers, terrorists, and others. We may not be able to anticipate or implement effective preventive measures against all security breaches of these types, especially because the techniques used change frequently and because attacks can originate from a wide variety of sources. The occurrence of any of these events could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Table of Contents

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

We use estimates and assumptions in determining the fair value of certain of our assets. If our estimates or assumptions prove to be incorrect, our cash flow, profitability, financial condition, and business prospects could be materially and adversely affected.

We use estimates and various assumptions in determining the fair value of many of our assets, including certain held-for-sale loans for which we elected fair value accounting, retained interests from securitizations of loans and contracts, and other investments, which do not have an established market value or are not publicly traded. We also use estimates and assumptions in determining the residual values of leased vehicles. In addition, we use estimates and assumptions in determining our reserves for legal matters, insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses which represent the accumulation of estimates for both reported losses and those incurred, but not reported, including claims adjustment expenses relating to direct insurance and assumed reinsurance agreements. For further discussion related to estimates and assumptions, see “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Critical Accounting Estimates.” Our assumptions and estimates may be inaccurate for many reasons, including that they often involve matters that are inherently difficult to predict and that are beyond our control (for example, macro economic conditions and their impact on our dealers), and that they often involve complex interactions between a number of dependent and independent variables, factors, and other assumptions. As a result, our actual experience may differ materially from these estimates and assumptions. A material difference between our estimates and assumptions and our actual experience may adversely affect our cash flow, profitability, financial condition, and business prospects.

Fluctuations in valuation of investment securities or significant fluctuations in investment market prices could negatively affect revenues.

Investment market prices in general are subject to fluctuation. Consequently, the amount realized in the subsequent sale of an investment may significantly differ from the reported market value and could negatively affect our revenues. Additionally, negative fluctuations in the value of available-for-sale investment securities could result in unrealized losses recorded in equity. Fluctuation in the market price of a security may result from perceived changes in the underlying economic characteristics of the investee, the relative price of alternative investments, national and international events, and general market conditions.

Changes in accounting standards issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) could adversely affect our reported revenues, profitability, and financial condition.

Our financial statements are subject to the application of GAAP, which are periodically revised and/or expanded. The application of accounting principles is also subject to varying interpretations over time. Accordingly, we are required to adopt new or revised accounting standards or comply with revised interpretations that are issued from time to time by various parties, including accounting standard setters and those who interpret the standards, such as the FASB and the SEC, banking regulators, and our independent registered public accounting firm. Those changes could adversely affect our reported revenues, profitability, or financial condition.

Recently, the FASB has proposed new financial accounting standards, and has many active projects underway, that could materially affect our reported revenues, profitability, or financial condition. These proposed standards or projects include the potential for significant changes in the accounting for financial instruments (including loans, deposits, allowance for loan losses, and debt) and the accounting for leases, among others. It is possible that any changes, if enacted, could adversely affect our reported revenues, profitability, or financial condition.

The soundness of other financial institutions could adversely affect us.

Financial services institutions are interrelated as a result of trading, clearing, counterparty, or other relationships. We have exposure to different counterparties, and we routinely execute transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, including brokers and dealers, commercial banks, investment banks, and other institutions. Many of these transactions expose us to credit risk in the event of default of our counterparty.

Adverse economic conditions or changes in laws in states in which we have customer concentrations may negatively affect our operating results and financial condition.

We are exposed to consumer loan portfolio concentration in certain states, including California, Texas, and Florida. Factors adversely affecting the economies and applicable laws in these and other states could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial position.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

Our principal corporate offices are located in Detroit, Michigan; New York, New York; and Charlotte, North Carolina. In Detroit, we lease approximately 247,000 square feet from GM pursuant to a lease agreement expiring in November 2016. In New York, we lease approximately 35,000 square feet of office space under a lease that expires in July 2015. In Charlotte, we lease approximately 133,000 square feet of office space under a lease expiring in December 2015.

The primary offices for our Dealer Financial Services operations are located in Detroit, Michigan, and Southfield, Michigan. The primary office for our Automotive Finance operations is located in Detroit, Michigan, and is included in the totals referenced above. The

Table of Contents

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

primary office for our Insurance operations is located in Southfield, Michigan, where we lease approximately 71,000 square feet of office space under leases expiring in April 2016.

The primary offices for our Mortgage operations are located in Fort Washington, Pennsylvania, and Charlotte, North Carolina. In Fort Washington, we lease approximately 96,000 square feet of office space pursuant to a lease that expires in April 2016. The office space in Charlotte is included in the totals referenced above.

In addition to the properties described above, we lease additional space to conduct our operations. We believe our facilities are adequate for us to conduct our present business activities.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Refer to Note 29 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion related to our legal proceedings.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

Part II

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Common Stock

We currently have a total of 1,547,779 shares of common stock authorized for issuance, of which 1,547,637 shares of common stock were issued and outstanding at February 28, 2014. Our common stock is not registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission, and there is no established public trading market for the shares. At February 28, 2014, there were 259 holders of common stock reflected on our stock register.

Subject to certain exceptions, for so long as the U.S. Department of Treasury (Treasury) holds any shares of Ally common stock, Ally and its subsidiaries are generally prohibited from paying certain dividends or distributions on, or redeeming, repurchasing or acquiring, any common stock without the consent of Treasury. In addition, pursuant to the terms of Ally's Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series G, Ally may only make Restricted Payments if certain conditions are satisfied. For this purpose, Restricted Payments include dividends or distribution of assets on any share of common stock and any redemption, purchase, or other acquisition of any shares of common stock, subject to certain exceptions.

Information relating to compensation plans under which our equity securities are authorized for issuance is presented in Part III, Item 12 of this Form 10-K.

Preferred Stock

For a discussion of preferred stock currently outstanding, refer to Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities

Ally did not have any unregistered sales of its equity securities in fiscal year 2013, except as previously disclosed on Form 8-K.

Table of Contents

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The selected historical financial information set forth below should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, our Consolidated Financial Statements, and the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. The historical financial information presented may not be indicative of our future performance.

The following table presents selected statement of income data.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Total financing revenue and other interest income	\$8,093	\$7,342	\$6,671	\$7,156	\$8,069
Interest expense	3,319	4,052	4,606	4,832	4,876
Depreciation expense on operating lease assets	1,995	1,399	941	1,251	2,256
Net financing revenue	2,779	1,891	1,124	1,073	937
Total other revenue	1,484	2,574	2,288	2,672	3,226
Total net revenue	4,263	4,465	3,412	3,745	4,163
Provision for loan losses	501	329	161	361	3,584
Total noninterest expense	3,405	3,622	3,428	3,621	3,937
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax (benefit) expense	357	514	(177)	(237)	(3,358)
Income tax (benefit) expense from continuing operations (a)	(59)	(856)	42	97	12
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	416	1,370	(219)	(334)	(3,370)
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax	(55)	(174)	62	1,363	(6,973)
Net income (loss)	\$361	\$1,196	\$(157)	\$1,029	\$(10,343)
Basic and diluted earnings per common share:					
Net (loss) income from continuing operations	\$(468)	\$427	\$(738)	\$(2,742)	\$(8,677)
Net (loss) income	(509)	296	(691)	(1,039)	(21,850)
Non-GAAP financial measures (b):					
Net income (loss)	\$361	\$1,196	\$(157)	\$1,029	\$(10,343)
Add: Original issue discount amortization expense (c)	249	336	962	1,300	1,143
Add: Income tax (benefit) expense from continuing operations	(59)	(856)	42	97	12
Less: (Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax	(55)	(174)	62	1,363	(6,973)
Core pretax income (loss) (b)	\$606	\$850	\$785	\$1,063	\$(2,215)

Effective June 30, 2009, we converted from a limited liability company into a corporation and, as a result, became (a) subject to corporate U.S. federal, state, and local taxes. Our conversion to a corporation resulted in a change in tax status and a net deferred tax liability of \$1.2 billion was established through income tax expense.

Core pretax income (loss) is not a financial measure defined by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). We define core pretax income as earnings from continuing operations before income taxes and original issue discount amortization expense primarily associated with our 2008 bond exchange.

We believe that the presentation of core pretax income (loss) is useful information for the users of our financial (b) statements in understanding the earnings from our core businesses. In addition, core pretax income (loss) is an important measure that management uses to assess the performance of our operations. We believe that core pretax income (loss) is a useful alternative measure of our ongoing profitability and performance, when viewed in conjunction with GAAP measures. The presentation of this additional information is not a substitute for net income (loss) determined in accordance with GAAP.

(c)

Primarily represents original issue discount amortization expense associated with the significant private debt exchange completed during 2008.

Table of Contents

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

The following table presents selected balance sheet and ratio data.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	
Selected period-end balance sheet data:						
Total assets	\$ 151,167	\$ 182,347	\$ 184,059	\$ 172,008	\$ 172,306	
Long-term debt	\$ 69,465	\$ 74,561	\$ 92,885	\$ 86,703	\$ 88,066	
Preferred stock	\$ 1,255	\$ 6,940	\$ 6,940	\$ 6,972	\$ 12,180	
Total equity	\$ 14,208	\$ 19,898	\$ 19,280	\$ 20,398	\$ 20,794	
Financial ratios						
Return on assets (a)						
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	0.27	% 0.75	% (0.12))% (0.19))% (1.89))%
Net income (loss)	0.23	% 0.65	% (0.09))% 0.58	% (5.81))%
Core pretax income (loss)	0.39	% 0.46	% 0.43	% 0.60	% (1.25))%
Return on equity (a)						
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	2.22	% 7.24	% (1.09))% (1.62))% (13.90))%
Net income (loss)	1.92	% 6.32	% (0.78))% 4.98	% (42.65))%
Core pretax income (loss)	3.23	% 4.49	% 3.91	% 5.14	% (9.13))%
Equity to assets (a)	12.00	% 10.30	% 11.10	% 11.69	% 13.63	%
Net interest spread (a)(b)	1.75	% 1.18	% 0.69	% 0.81	% 0.31	%
Net interest spread excluding original issue discount (a)(b)	1.99	% 1.49	% 1.57	% 2.16	% 1.84	%
Net yield on interest-earning assets (a)(c)	2.03	% 1.40	% 0.92	% 1.02	% 0.94	%
Net yield on interest-earning assets excluding original issue discount (a)(c)	2.21	% 1.66	% 1.68	% 2.18	% 2.10	%
Regulatory capital ratios						
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets) (d)	11.79	% 13.13	% 13.65	% 14.93	% 14.12	%
Total risk-based capital (to risk-weighted assets) (e)	12.76	% 14.07	% 14.69	% 16.30	% 15.52	%
Tier 1 leverage (to adjusted quarterly average assets) (f)	10.23	% 11.16	% 11.45	% 12.99	% 12.68	%
Total equity	\$ 14,208	\$ 19,898	\$ 19,280	\$ 20,398	\$ 20,794	
Goodwill and certain other intangibles	(27)) (494)) (493)) (532)) (534))
Unrealized gains and other adjustments	(1,560)) (1,715)) (262)) (309)) (447))
Trust preferred securities	2,544	2,543	2,542	2,541	2,540	
Tier 1 capital (d)	15,165	20,232	21,067	22,098	22,353	
Preferred stock	(1,255)) (6,940)) (6,940)) (6,972)) (12,180))
Trust preferred securities	(2,544)) (2,543)) (2,542)) (2,541)) (2,540))
Tier 1 common capital (non-GAAP) (g)	\$ 11,366	\$ 10,749	\$ 11,585	\$ 12,585	\$ 7,633	
Risk-weighted assets (h)	\$ 128,575	\$ 154,038	\$ 154,319	\$ 147,979	\$ 158,326	
Tier 1 common (to risk-weighted assets) (g)	8.84	% 6.98	% 7.51	% 8.50	% 4.82	%

(a) The ratios were computed based on average assets and average equity using a combination of monthly and daily average methodologies.

(b) Net interest spread represents the difference between the rate on total interest-earning assets and the rate on total interest-bearing liabilities, excluding discontinued operations for the periods shown.

(c) Net yield on interest-earning assets represents net financing revenue as a percentage of total interest-earning assets.

Tier 1 capital generally consists of common equity, minority interests, qualifying noncumulative preferred stock, (d) and the fixed rate cumulative preferred stock sold to the U.S. Department of Treasury (Treasury) under TARP, less goodwill and other adjustments.

(e)

Total risk-based capital is the sum of Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital. Tier 2 capital generally consists of preferred stock not qualifying as Tier 1 capital, limited amounts of subordinated debt and the allowance for loan losses, and other adjustments. The amount of Tier 2 capital may not exceed the amount of Tier 1 capital.

Tier 1 leverage equals Tier 1 capital divided by adjusted quarterly average total assets (which reflects adjustments (f) for disallowed goodwill and certain intangible assets). The minimum Tier 1 leverage ratio is 3% or 4% depending on factors specified in the regulations.

We define Tier 1 common as Tier 1 capital less noncommon elements, including qualifying perpetual preferred stock, minority interest in subsidiaries, trust preferred securities, and mandatorily convertible preferred securities. Ally considers various measures when evaluating capital utilization and adequacy, including the Tier 1 common equity ratio, in addition to capital ratios defined by banking regulators. This calculation is intended to complement the capital ratios defined by banking regulators for both absolute and comparative purposes. Because GAAP does (g) not include capital ratio measures, Ally believes there are no comparable GAAP financial measures to these ratios.

Tier 1 common equity is not formally defined by GAAP or codified in the federal banking regulations and, therefore, is considered to be a non-GAAP financial measure. Ally believes the Tier 1 common equity ratio is important because we believe analysts and banking regulators may assess our capital adequacy using this ratio. Additionally, presentation of this measure allows readers to compare certain aspects of our capital adequacy on the same basis to other companies in the industry.

(h) Risk-weighted assets are defined by regulation and are determined by allocating assets and specified off-balance sheet financial instruments into several broad risk categories.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation (MD&A), as well as other portions of this Form 10-K, may contain certain statements that constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. The words “expect,” “anticipate,” “estimate,” “forecast,” “initiative,” “object,” “plan,” “goal,” “project,” “outlook,” “priorities,” “target,” “intend,” “evaluate,” “pursue,” “seek,” “may,” “would,” “could,” “should,” “potential,” “continue,” or the negatives of any of these words or similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. All statements herein, other than statements of historical fact, including without limitation statements about future events and financial performance, are forward-looking statements that involve certain risks and uncertainties. You should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statement and should consider all uncertainties and risks discussed in this report, including those under Item 1A, Risk Factors, as well as those provided in any subsequent SEC filings. Forward-looking statements apply only as of the date they are made, and Ally undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances that arise after the date the forward-looking statement are made.

Overview

Ally Financial Inc. (formerly GMAC Inc.) is a leading, independent, financial services firm. Founded in 1919, we are a leading automotive financial services company with over 90 years of experience providing a broad array of financial products and services to automotive dealers and their customers. We became a bank holding company on December 24, 2008, under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (the BHC Act). Additionally, our election to become a financial holding company (FHC) under the BHC Act was approved by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (FRB), and became effective on December 20, 2013. Our banking subsidiary, Ally Bank, is an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Ally Financial Inc. and a leading franchise in the growing direct (internet, telephone, mobile, and mail) banking market.

Our Business

Dealer Financial Services

Our Dealer Financial Services operations offer a wide range of financial services and insurance products to approximately 16,000 automotive dealerships and approximately 4 million of their retail customers. We have deep dealer relationships that have been built over our greater-than 90-year history and our dealer-focused business model makes us a preferred automotive finance company for many automotive dealers. Our broad set of product offerings and customer-focused marketing programs differentiate Ally in the marketplace and help drive higher product penetration in our dealer relationships. Our ability to generate attractive automotive assets is driven by our platform and scale, strong relationships with automotive dealers, a full suite of dealer financial products, automotive loan-servicing capabilities, dealer-based incentive programs, and superior customer service.

Our automotive financial services include providing retail installment sales financing, loans, and leases, offering term loans to dealers, financing dealer floorplans and other lines of credit to dealers, fleet leasing, and vehicle remarketing services. We also offer vehicle service contracts and commercial insurance, primarily covering dealers' wholesale vehicle inventories. We are a leading provider of vehicle service contracts.

We have a longstanding relationship with General Motors Company (GM), as well as past relationships with other manufacturers, including Chrysler Group LLC (Chrysler), and have developed strong relationships directly with GM- and Chrysler-franchised dealers resulting from preferred financing provider arrangements to GM and Chrysler for incentivized retail loans. Our agreement with Chrysler expired on April 30, 2013. In addition, our agreement with GM expired effective February 28, 2014. While we have entered into a new agreement with GM relating to certain matters, such agreement does not provide Ally with any exclusivity or similar privileges related to the financing of GM vehicles, whether through subvention programs or otherwise. As a result, our existing agreement with GM does not provide the economic benefits or impose the obligations that were included within our prior agreement with GM. Ally currently competes in the marketplace for all of the business with GM and Chrysler dealers including wholesale financing, consumer retail financing, and leasing, except we do not compete on subvented consumer financing for

Chrysler dealers. Ally expects to continue to play a significant role with GM and Chrysler dealers in the future as the dealer is Ally's direct customer for substantially all business that is conducted.

We have diversified our business mix by expanding our product offering for GM and Chrysler dealers as well as establishing new relationships with non-GM and non-Chrysler dealers. During 2010 our primary emphasis was on originating loans of higher credit tier borrowers. For this reason, our current operating results continue to reflect higher credit quality, lower yielding loans with lower credit loss experience. Ally however seeks to be a meaningful lender to a wide spectrum of borrowers. In 2010 we enhanced our risk management practices and efforts on risk-based pricing. We have been gradually increasing volumes in lower credit tiers. We plan to continue to increase the proportion of our non-GM and non-Chrysler business, as we focus on the used vehicle market, as well as maintaining and growing our dealer-customer base through our full suite of products, our dealer relationships, the scale of our platform, and our dealer-based incentive programs.

Our Insurance operations offer both consumer financial and insurance products sold primarily through the automotive dealer channel, and commercial insurance products sold to dealers. As part of our focus on offering dealers a broad range of consumer financial and insurance products, we provide vehicle service contracts, maintenance coverage, and guaranteed automobile protection (GAP) products. We also underwrite selected commercial insurance coverage, which primarily insures dealers' wholesale vehicle inventory in the United States.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Mortgage

Our Mortgage operations were historically a significant portion of our operations and were conducted primarily through the Residential Capital, LLC (ResCap) subsidiary. On May 14, 2012, ResCap and certain of its wholly-owned direct and indirect subsidiaries filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (Bankruptcy Court). The Bankruptcy Court entered an order confirming a bankruptcy plan on December 11, 2013, which became effective on December 17, 2013. For further details with respect to this matter, refer to Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

With the completion of the ResCap settlement, we have exited the mortgage origination and servicing business. Our ongoing Mortgage operations are limited to the management of our held-for-investment mortgage portfolio. During 2013, we sold our business lending operations to Walter Investment Management Corp., completed the sales of agency mortgage servicing rights (MSRs) to Ocwen Financial Corp. (Ocwen) and Quicken Loans, Inc. (Quicken), and exited the correspondent lending channel.

Corporate and Other

Corporate and Other primarily consists of our Commercial Finance Group, our centralized corporate treasury activities, such as management of the cash and corporate investment securities portfolios, short- and long-term debt, retail and brokered deposit liabilities, derivative instruments, the amortization of the discount associated with debt issuances and bond exchanges, and the residual impacts of our corporate funds-transfer pricing (FTP) and treasury asset liability management (ALM) activities. Corporate and Other also includes certain equity investments, reclassifications and eliminations between the reportable operating segments, and overhead that was previously allocated to operations that have since been sold or classified as discontinued operations. Our Commercial Finance Group provides senior secured commercial-lending products to primarily U.S.-based middle market companies. The net financing revenue of our Automotive Finance and Mortgage operations includes the results of an FTP process that insulates these operations from interest rate volatility by matching assets and liabilities with similar interest rate sensitivity and maturity characteristics. The FTP process assigns charge rates to the assets and credit rates to the liabilities within our Automotive Finance and Mortgage operations, respectively, based on anticipated maturity and a benchmark index plus an assumed credit spread. The assumed credit spread represents the cost of funds for each asset class based on a blend of funding channels available to the enterprise, including unsecured and secured capital markets, private funding facilities, and deposits. In addition, a risk-based methodology, which incorporates each operations credit, market, and operational risk components is used to allocate equity to these operations.

Ally Bank

Ally Bank, our direct banking platform, provides us with a stable and diversified low-cost funding source. Our focus is on building a stable deposit base driven by our compelling brand and strong value proposition. Ally Bank raises deposits directly from customers through direct banking via the internet, telephone, mobile, and mail channels. Ally Bank has established a strong and growing retail banking franchise which is based on a promise of being straightforward, easy to use, and offering high-quality customer service. Ally Bank's products and services are designed to develop long-term customer relationships and capitalize on the shift in consumer preference for direct banking.

Ally Bank offers a full spectrum of deposit product offerings, such as checking, savings, and certificates of deposit (CDs), as well as 48-month raise your rate CDs, IRA deposit products, Popmoney person-to-person transfer service, eCheck remote deposit capture, Ally Perks debit rewards program, and Mobile Banking. In addition, brokered deposits are obtained through third-party intermediaries. At December 31, 2013, Ally Bank had \$52.9 billion of deposits, including \$43.2 billion of retail deposits. The growth of our retail base from \$7.2 billion at the end of 2008 to \$43.2 billion at December 31, 2013, has enabled us to reduce our cost of funds during that period. The growth in deposits is primarily attributable to our retail deposits while our brokered deposits have remained at historical levels. Strong retention rates, reflecting the strength of the franchise, have materially contributed to our growth in retail deposits.

Funding and Liquidity

Our funding strategy largely focuses on the diversification of funding programs that include a mix of retail and brokered deposits, public and private asset-backed securitizations, committed credit facilities, and public unsecured debt. These funding programs are managed across products, markets, and investors. The diversity of our funding sources enhances funding flexibility, limits dependence on any one source and results in a more cost-effective funding strategy over the long term.

As part of our overall transformation from an independent financial services company to a bank holding company in 2008, we took actions to further diversify and develop more stable funding sources and, in particular, embarked upon initiatives to grow our consumer deposit-taking capabilities within Ally Bank. In addition, we began distinguishing our liquidity management strategies between bank funding and nonbank funding.

Maximizing bank funding continues to be the cornerstone of our long-term liquidity strategy. We have made significant progress in migrating asset originations to Ally Bank and growing our retail deposit base since becoming a bank holding company. Retail deposits provide a low-cost source of funds that are less sensitive to interest rate changes, market volatility or changes in our credit ratings than other funding sources. At December 31, 2013, deposit liabilities totaled \$53.4 billion, which constituted 41% of our total funding. This compares to just 23% at December 31, 2009.

In addition to building a larger deposit base, we continue to remain active in the securitization markets to finance Ally Bank's automotive loan portfolios. During 2013, we issued \$4.5 billion in secured funding backed by retail automotive loans and leases as well as dealer

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

floorplan automotive loans of Ally Bank. Continued structural efficiencies in securitizations combined with favorable capital market conditions have resulted in a reduction in the cost of funds achieved through secured funding transactions, making them a very attractive source of funding. Additionally, for retail loans and leases, the term structure of the transaction locks in funding for a specified pool of loans and leases for the life of the underlying asset. Once a pool of retail automobile loans are selected and placed into a securitization, the underlying assets and corresponding debt amortize simultaneously resulting in committed and matched funding for the life of the asset. We manage the execution risk arising from secured funding by maintaining a diverse investor base and maintaining committed secured facilities.

As we have shifted our focus to migrating assets to Ally Bank and growing our bank funding capabilities, our reliance on parent company liquidity has consequently been reduced. Funding sources at the parent company generally consist of longer-term unsecured debt, asset-backed securitizations, and private committed credit facilities. In 2013, we issued over \$3.1 billion of unsecured debt through several issuances and raised \$4.1 billion through four public securitization transactions comprised of non-prime retail automotive loan collateral. At December 31, 2013, we had \$5.5 billion and \$5.2 billion of outstanding unsecured long-term debt with maturities in 2014 and 2015, respectively. To fund these maturities, we expect to use a combination of existing liquidity and opportunistic new issuances.

The strategies outlined above have allowed us to build and maintain a conservative liquidity position. Total available liquidity at the parent company was \$13.3 billion and Ally Bank had \$5.9 billion of available liquidity at December 31, 2013. Parent company liquidity is defined as our consolidated operations less Ally Bank and the regulated subsidiaries of Ally Insurance's holding company. Absolute levels of liquidity decreased as a result of liability and equity management transactions. At the same time, these strategies have also resulted in a cost of funds improvement of approximately 94 basis points since the first quarter of 2012. Looking forward, given our liquidity and capital position and generally improved credit ratings, we expect that our cost of funds will continue to improve over time.

Credit Strategy

Within our Automotive Finance operations, we are a full spectrum automotive finance lender with most of our loan originations underwritten within the prime-lending markets. During 2013, we continued the execution of our underwriting strategy to prudently expand our originations across a broader credit spectrum to include used, nonprime, extended term, non-GM, non-Chrysler, and non-subvented. Within our Mortgage operations, we sold our business lending operations to Walter Investment Management Corp., completed the sales of agency MSR to Ocwen and Quicken, and exited the correspondent and direct lending channels. Our ongoing Mortgage operations are limited to the management of our held-for-investment mortgage portfolio. In the future, we may purchase mortgage loans as part of our held-for-investment mortgage portfolio.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the credit performance of our portfolios remained strong overall as our asset quality trends within our automotive and mortgage portfolios were stable. Nonperforming loans continued to decline, benefiting from the exit of our nonstrategic operations in 2012 and 2013. Charge-offs remained stable primarily due to runoff of our mortgage assets and improvement in home prices slightly offset by the reduction of recoveries in the commercial portfolio. Our provision for loan losses increased to \$501 million in 2013 from \$329 million in 2012 due to the continued execution of our underwriting strategy to prudently expand our originations of consumer automotive assets across a broader credit spectrum, which was significantly narrowed during the most recent economic recession, and the growth of our U.S. consumer automotive portfolio.

We continue to see signs of economic stabilization as the labor market recovered further during the year, with nonfarm payrolls increasing and the annual unemployment rate falling. Our credit portfolio will continue to be impacted by the overall economy, used vehicle and housing price levels, unemployment levels, and their impact to our borrowers.

U.S. Department of Treasury Investments

During 2008, and continuing into 2009, the credit, capital, and mortgage markets became increasingly disrupted. This disruption led to severe reductions in liquidity and adversely affected our capital position. As a result, Ally sought approval to become a bank holding company to obtain access to capital at a lower cost to remain competitive in our markets. The U.S. Department of Treasury (Treasury) made an initial preferred stock investment in Ally on December 29, 2008, pursuant to the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP), and made additional investments pursuant to TARP thereafter, including investments in additional preferred stock, common stock, and trust preferred securities. On November 20, 2013 Ally completed the repurchase of all remaining outstanding shares of its Fixed Rate Cumulative Mandatorily Convertible Preferred Stock, Series F-2, which was all of the remaining preferred stock held by Treasury, and elimination of the share adjustment right. As of February 28, 2014, Treasury holds approximately 37% of Ally common stock, which is its sole remaining investment in Ally.

Tax Assets Protective Measures

In January 2014, the Ally Board of Directors (the Board) implemented measures intended to help protect certain tax benefits primarily associated with Ally's net operating losses and tax credit carryovers (collectively, Tax Benefits). Ally's use of the Tax Benefits in the future may be significantly limited if it experiences an "ownership change" (within the meaning of Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code)) for U.S. federal income tax purposes. In general, an ownership change will occur when the percentage of Ally's ownership (by value) of one or more "5-percent shareholders" (as defined in Code) has increased by more than 50 percent over the lowest percentage owned by such shareholders at any time during the prior three years (calculated on a rolling basis).

On January 9, 2014, the Board approved an amendment (the Protective Amendment) to Ally's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation that is intended to help protect the Tax Benefits. The Protective Amendment generally restricts any transfer of Ally's common

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

stock if the effect of the transfer would be to either (i) increase the direct or indirect ownership of any of Ally common stock by any Person (as defined in the Code) to 4.99% or more; or (ii) increase the percentage of Ally Capital Stock owned directly or indirectly by any Person that was a 5 Percent Holder, subject to certain exceptions. For further details related to the Protective Amendment, refer to Exhibit 3.2 to this Form 10-K.

In addition, on January 9, 2014, the Board approved the adoption of a Tax Asset Protection Plan (the Plan) and Ally entered into the Plan on January 10, 2014. The Plan is designed to reduce the likelihood that Ally will experience an “ownership change” for U.S. federal income tax purposes (as described above) by (i) discouraging any person or group from becoming a holder of 4.99 percent or more of the outstanding shares of Ally common stock and (ii) discouraging any existing holder of 4.99 percent or more of Ally common stock from acquiring additional shares of Ally common stock, subject to certain exceptions. For further details related to the Plan, refer to Exhibit 10.30 to this Form 10-K.

Discontinued Operations

During 2013 and 2012, certain disposal groups met the criteria to be presented as discontinued operations. For all periods presented, the operating results for these operations have been removed from continuing operations. Refer to Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more details. MD&A has been adjusted to exclude discontinued operations unless otherwise noted.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Primary Lines of Business

Dealer Financial Services, which includes our Automotive Finance and Insurance operations, and Mortgage are our primary lines of business. The following table summarizes the operating results excluding discontinued operations of each line of business. Operating results for each of the lines of business are more fully described in the MD&A sections that follow.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012	2011	Favorable/ (unfavorable) 2013-2012 % change	Favorable/ (unfavorable) 2012-2011 % change
Total net revenue (loss)					
Dealer Financial Services					
Automotive Finance operations	\$3,427	\$3,149	\$2,952	9	7
Insurance operations	1,253	1,214	1,398	3	(13)
Mortgage operations	76	1,308	559	(94)	134
Corporate and Other	(493)	(1,206)	(1,497)	59	19
Total	\$4,263	\$4,465	\$3,412	(5)	31
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax (benefit) expense					
Dealer Financial Services					
Automotive Finance operations	\$1,271	\$1,389	\$1,333	(8)	4
Insurance operations	254	160	316	59	(49)
Mortgage operations	(258)	595	92	(143)	n/m
Corporate and Other	(910)	(1,630)	(1,918)	44	15
Total	\$357	\$514	\$(177)	(31)	n/m

n/m = not meaningful

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Consolidated Results of Operations

The following table summarizes our consolidated operating results excluding discontinued operations for the periods shown. Refer to the operating segment sections of the MD&A that follows for a more complete discussion of operating results by line of business.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012	2011	Favorable/ (unfavorable) 2013-2012 % change	Favorable/ (unfavorable) 2012-2011 % change
Net financing revenue					
Total financing revenue and other interest income	\$8,093	\$7,342	\$6,671	10	10
Interest expense	3,319	4,052	4,606	18	12
Depreciation expense on operating lease assets	1,995	1,399	941	(43)	(49)
Net financing revenue	2,779	1,891	1,124	47	68
Other revenue					
Net servicing (loss) income	(87) 405	91	(121)	n/m
Insurance premiums and service revenue earned	1,012	1,055	1,153	(4)	(8)
Gain on mortgage and automotive loans, net	55	379	229	(85)	66
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(59) (148) (64) 60	(131)
Other gain on investments, net	180	146	258	23	(43)
Other income, net of losses	383	737	621	(48)	19
Total other revenue	1,484	2,574	2,288	(42)	13
Total net revenue	4,263	4,465	3,412	(5)	31
Provision for loan losses	501	329	161	(52)	(104)
Noninterest expense					
Compensation and benefits expense	1,019	1,106	993	8	(11)
Insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses	405	454	452	11	—
Other operating expenses	1,981	2,062	1,983	4	(4)
Total noninterest expense	3,405	3,622	3,428	6	(6)
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax (benefit) expense	357	514	(177) (31)	n/m
Income tax (benefit) expense from continuing operations	(59) (856) 42	(93)	n/m
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$416	\$1,370	\$(219) (70)	n/m

n/m = not meaningful

2013 Compared to 2012

We earned net income from continuing operations of \$416 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$1.4 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012. Net income from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2013, declined \$853 million in our Mortgage operations, primarily due to the exit of all non-strategic mortgage-related activities, including consumer mortgage-lending production associated with government-sponsored refinancing programs, our warehouse lending operations, and our agency MSR portfolio. Results for the year ended December 31, 2013 were also impacted unfavorably by a decrease in income tax benefit. The decreases were partially offset by lower original issue discount (OID) amortization expense related to bond maturities and normal monthly amortization, and lower funding costs.

Total financing revenue and other interest income increased \$751 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 2012. The increase resulted primarily from an increase in operating lease revenue and consumer financing revenue for our Automotive Finance operations driven primarily by an increase in consumer asset levels as a

result of strong lease originations. Additionally, we continued to prudently expand our nonprime origination volume across a broader credit spectrum, effecting margin expansion. This increase was partially offset by lower mortgage loan production as a result of the wind-down of our consumer held-for-sale portfolio, run-off of our held-for-investment portfolio, and the shutdown of our warehouse lending operations.

Interest expense decreased 18% for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 2012, primarily due to lower funding costs as a result of continued deposit growth and the refinancing of higher-cost legacy debt, and a decrease in OID amortization expense. Including a decrease in OID amortization expense of \$87 million, total interest expense on long-term debt decreased \$734 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 2012.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Depreciation expense on operating lease assets increased 43% for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 2012, primarily due to higher lease asset balances as a result of strong lease origination volume, partially offset by higher lease remarketing gains.

We incurred a net servicing loss of \$87 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to net servicing income of \$405 million in 2012. The decrease was primarily due to the completed sales of our agency MSR portfolio to Ocwen and Quicken in the second quarter of 2013, including the valuation of the portfolio in conjunction with the sale and the unwinding of all related derivative activity.

Gain on mortgage and automotive loans decreased 85% for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 2012.

The decrease was primarily related to lower consumer mortgage-lending production through our direct lending channel and margins associated with government-sponsored refinancing programs as a result of our decision to substantially exit mortgage-related activities. Furthermore, while we continue to evaluate opportunistic use of whole-loan sales as a source of funding in our Automotive Finance operations, we did not execute any whole-loan sales during 2013 and have primarily focused on securitization and deposit-based funding sources.

Loss on extinguishment of debt decreased \$89 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 2012, primarily due to the nonrecurrence of fees related to the early termination of FHLB debt as a result of replacing our higher-cost long-term debt structure in favor of a lower-cost short-term FHLB debt structure in 2012. The decrease was partially offset by the accelerated recognition of issuance expenses related to calls of redeemable debt in 2013.

Other gain on investments, net, was \$180 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$146 million in 2012. The increase was primarily due to favorable market conditions, resulting in lower recognition of other-than-temporary impairment, and increased gain on sales of investments.

Other income, net of losses, decreased 48% for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 2012. The decrease was primarily due to lower fee income and net origination revenue related to decreased consumer mortgage-lending production associated with government-sponsored refinancing programs.

The provision for loan losses was \$501 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$329 million in 2012. The increase was primarily due to the continued execution of our underwriting strategy to prudently expand our originations of consumer automotive assets across a broader credit spectrum, which was significantly narrowed during the most recent economic recession, and the growth in our U.S. consumer automotive portfolio.

Total noninterest expense decreased 6% for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 2012, primarily due to lower consumer mortgage-lending production through our direct lending channel and the broker fee associated with those government-sponsored refinancing programs, and lower representation and warranty expense. Lower representation and warranty expense was primarily due to the establishment of our representation and warranty liability during the second quarter of 2012 resulting from the deconsolidation of ResCap. The decrease was partially offset by the recognition of a \$98 million charge in the fourth quarter of 2013 relating to the execution of Consent Orders issued by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) and the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) pertaining to the allegation of disparate impact in the automotive finance business. Refer to Note 29 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional details.

We recognized consolidated income tax benefit from continuing operations of \$59 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$856 million in 2012. For the year ended December 31, 2012, our results from operations benefited from the release of U.S. federal and state valuation allowances and related effects on the basis of management's reassessment of the amount of its deferred tax assets that are more likely than not to be realized. A commensurate benefit was not recognized for the year ended December 31, 2013.

2012 Compared to 2011

We earned net income from continuing operations of \$1.4 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to a net loss from continuing operations of \$219 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. Net income from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2012, was favorably impacted by our Automotive Finance operations, primarily due to an increase in consumer automotive financing revenue related to growth in the retail loan

and operating lease portfolios. Additional favorability for the year ended December 31, 2012 was primarily the result of a more favorable servicing asset valuation, net of hedge, compared to the same period in 2011, higher fee income and net origination revenue related to increased consumer mortgage-lending production associated with government-sponsored refinancing programs, higher net gains on the sale of mortgage loans, and lower original issue discount (OID) amortization expense related to bond maturities and normal monthly amortization. The increase was partially offset by higher provision for loan losses and lower investment income due to impairment related to certain investment securities that we do not plan on holding to recovery.

Total financing revenue and other interest income increased \$671 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to 2011. The increase resulted primarily from an increase in operating lease revenue and consumer financing revenue at our Automotive Finance operations driven primarily by an increase in consumer asset levels as a result of increased used vehicle automotive financing and higher automotive industry sales, as well as limited use of whole-loan sales as a funding source in recent periods. Additionally, we continue to prudently expand our nonprime origination volume. The increase was partially offset by a lower average yield mix as higher-rate Ally Bank mortgage loans run off.

Interest expense decreased 12% for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to 2011. OID amortization expense decreased \$576 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to 2011, due to bond maturities and normal monthly amortization, as well as lower funding costs at our Mortgage operations.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Depreciation expense on operating lease assets increased 49% for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to 2011, primarily due to higher lease asset balances as a result of strong lease origination volume and lower lease remarketing gains primarily due to lower lease remarketing volume. During the latter half of 2009, we re-entered the U.S. leasing market with targeted lease product offerings and have continued to expand lease volume since that time. Net servicing income was \$405 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$91 million in 2011. The increase was primarily due to the performance of the derivative servicing hedge as compared to a less favorable hedge performance in 2011, partially offset by lower servicing fees resulting from a lower unpaid principal balance of our MSR portfolio.

Insurance premiums and service revenue earned decreased 8% for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to 2011, primarily due to declining U.S. vehicle service contracts written between 2007 and 2009 as a result of lower domestic vehicle sales volume.

Gain on mortgage and automotive loans increased 66% for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to 2011. The increase was primarily due to higher consumer mortgage-lending production through our direct lending channel and margins associated with government-sponsored refinancing programs, higher margins on warehouse and correspondent lending due to decreased competition and more selective originations from these channels, and improved market gains on specified pooled loans.

Loss on extinguishment of debt increased \$84 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to the same period in 2011, primarily due to fees incurred related to the early termination of FHLB debt as a result of replacing our higher-cost long-term debt structure in favor of a lower-cost short-term FHLB debt structure.

Other gain on investments, net, was \$146 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$258 million in 2011. The decrease was primarily due to the recognition of \$61 million other-than-temporary impairment on certain equity securities in 2012 and lower realized investment gains.

Other income, net of losses, increased 19% for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to 2011. The increase was primarily due to higher fee income and net origination revenue related to increased consumer mortgage-lending production associated with government-sponsored refinancing programs, partially offset by lower remarketing fee income from our Automotive Finance operations driven by lower remarketing volumes through our proprietary SmartAuction platform.

The provision for loan losses was \$329 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$161 million in 2011. The increase was driven primarily by higher asset levels in the consumer automotive portfolio and our prudent expansion of underwriting strategy to originate volumes across a broader credit spectrum, which was significantly narrowed during the recession.

Total noninterest expense increased 6% for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to 2011. The increase was primarily driven by higher representation and warranty expense resulting from the transfer of liability relating to Ally Bank's sold and serviced loans that had previously been recorded at ResCap, and higher compensation and benefits expense due to an increase in functional services provided by ResCap through the shared services agreement.

We recognized consolidated income tax benefit from continuing operations of \$856 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to income tax expense of \$42 million in 2011. In 2011, we had a full valuation allowance against our domestic net deferred tax assets and certain international net deferred tax assets. For the year ended December 31, 2012, our results from operations benefited from the release of U.S. federal and state valuation allowances and related effects on the basis of management's reassessment of the amount of its deferred tax assets that are more likely than not to be realized.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Dealer Financial Services

Results for Dealer Financial Services are presented by reportable segment, which includes our Automotive Finance and Insurance operations.

Automotive Finance Operations

Results of Operations

The following table summarizes the operating results of our Automotive Finance operations excluding discontinued operations for the periods shown. The amounts presented are before the elimination of balances and transactions with our other reportable segments.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012	2011	Favorable/ (unfavorable) 2013-2012 % change	Favorable/ (unfavorable) 2012-2011 % change
Net financing revenue					
Consumer	\$3,004	\$2,827	\$2,411	6	17
Commercial	1,061	1,152	1,134	(8)	2
Loans held-for-sale	—	15	5	(100)	n/m
Operating leases	3,209	2,379	1,929	35	23
Other interest income	22	52	92	(58)	(43)
Total financing revenue and other interest income	7,296	6,425	5,571	14	15
Interest expense	2,142	2,199	2,100	3	(5)
Depreciation expense on operating lease assets	1,995	1,399	941	(43)	(49)
Net financing revenue	3,159	2,827	2,530	12	12
Other revenue					
Servicing fees	58	109	161	(47)	(32)
Gain on automotive loans, net	—	41	48	(100)	(15)
Other income	210	172	213	22	(19)
Total other revenue	268	322	422	(17)	(24)
Total net revenue	3,427	3,149	2,952	9	7
Provision for loan losses	494	253	89	(95)	(184)
Noninterest expense					
Compensation and benefits expense	450	416	395	(8)	(5)
Other operating expenses	1,212	1,091	1,135	(11)	4
Total noninterest expense	1,662	1,507	1,530	(10)	2
Income from continuing operations before income tax (benefit) expense	\$1,271	\$1,389	\$1,333	(8)	4
Total assets (a)	\$109,312	\$128,411	\$112,591	(15)	14

n/m = not meaningful

(a) The decline in total assets from 2012 to 2013 was primarily due to the sale of substantially all of our international automotive finance businesses. Refer to Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further details.

2013 compared to 2012

Our Automotive Finance operations earned income from continuing operations before income tax expense of \$1.3 billion for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$1.4 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Results for the year ended December 31, 2013 were unfavorably impacted by lower commercial and other revenue, higher depreciation expense on operating lease assets related to growth in the lease portfolio, recognition of a charge related to a settlement with the CFPB and DOJ, and higher provision for loan losses primarily driven by the continued execution of our underwriting strategy to prudently expand our originations of consumer automotive assets across a

broader credit spectrum, offset mostly by higher consumer and operating lease revenues driven by growth in the consumer loan and operating lease portfolios.

Consumer financing revenue increased 6% for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 2012, due to an increase in consumer asset levels primarily related to continued strong loan origination volumes relative to the pay-down of the existing portfolio despite lower penetration levels for new GM and Chrysler retail automotive loans. Additionally, our originations of Chrysler subvented retail financing and leases have ceased, but we continue to participate in standard rate consumer loan and lease products in the Chrysler channel. The increase in consumer revenue from higher consumer asset levels was partially offset by slightly lower margins as a result of the competitive market environment for automotive financing.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Commercial financing revenue decreased \$91 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 2012. The decrease was primarily due to lower yields as a result of competitive markets for automotive commercial financing. Operating lease revenue increased 35% for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 2012, primarily due to higher lease asset balances as a result of strong origination volume primarily driven by an increase in GM marketing incentives.

Depreciation expense on operating lease assets increased 43% for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 2012, primarily due to higher lease asset balances as a result of strong lease origination volume, partially offset by higher lease remarketing gains.

Servicing fee income decreased 47% for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 2012, due to lower levels of off-balance sheet retail serviced assets.

We experienced no gains on the sale of automotive loans for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$41 million for 2012. While we continue to evaluate opportunistic use of whole-loan sales as a source of funding, we have primarily focused on securitization and deposit-based funding sources in 2013.

Other income increased 22% for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 2012. The increase for the year ended December 31, 2013, was primarily due to higher remarketing fee income coupled with a one-time fee earned from a vendor that did not occur during 2012.

The provision for loan losses was \$494 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$253 million in 2012. The increase was primarily due to the continued execution of our underwriting strategy to prudently expand our originations of consumer automotive assets across a broader credit spectrum, which was significantly narrowed during the most recent economic recession, and the growth in our U.S. consumer automotive portfolio.

Total noninterest expense increased 10% for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 2012. The increase was primarily due to an increase in other operating expenses resulting from the recognition of a \$98 million charge in the fourth quarter of 2013 relating to the execution of Consent Orders issued by the CFPB and the DOJ pertaining to the allegation of disparate impact in the automotive finance business. Refer to Note 29 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional details.

2012 Compared to 2011

Our Automotive Finance operations earned income from continuing operations before income tax expense of \$1.4 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$1.3 billion for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Results for the year ended December 31, 2012 were favorably impacted by higher consumer and operating lease revenues driven by growth in the retail loan and operating lease portfolios. These items were partially offset by higher provision for loan losses, lower operating lease remarketing gains due primarily to lower remarketing volume, lower servicing fees, and lower income generated from lease remarketing.

Consumer financing revenue increased 17% for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to 2011, due to an increase in consumer asset levels driven by limited use of whole-loan sales as a funding source in recent periods, increased volumes of used vehicle automotive financing, and higher automotive industry sales; however, our GM and Chrysler penetration levels for new retail automotive loans were lower than those in 2011. Additionally, we continue to prudently expand our nonprime origination volume. The increase in consumer revenue from volume was partially offset by lower yields as a result of the competitive market environment for automotive financing.

Commercial financing revenue increased \$18 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to 2011. The increase was primarily driven by higher commercial loan balances due to growth in our wholesale dealer floorplan lending and dealer loan portfolio, partially offset by lower yields as a result of competitive markets for automotive commercial financing.

Operating lease revenue increased 23% for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to 2011, primarily due to higher lease asset balances as a result of strong origination volume.

Interest expense increased \$99 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to 2011. The increase was primarily due to higher levels of earning assets, primarily as a result of growth in the retail loan and lease portfolios.

Depreciation expense on operating lease assets increased 49% for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to 2011, primarily due to higher lease asset balances as a result of strong lease origination volume and lower lease remarketing gains primarily due to lower lease remarketing volume.

Servicing fee income decreased 32% for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to 2011, due to lower levels of off-balance sheet retail serviced assets.

Gains on the sale of automotive loans were \$41 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$48 million for 2011. We sold approximately \$2.5 billion of retail automotive loans during 2012 compared to approximately \$2.8 billion during 2011. While we continue to opportunistically utilize whole-loan sales as a source of funding, we have primarily focused on securitization and deposit-based funding sources.

Other income decreased 19% for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to 2011, primarily due to lower remarketing fee income driven by lower remarketing volumes through our proprietary SmartAuction platform.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

The provision for loan losses was \$253 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$89 million in 2011. The increase was primarily due to continued growth in the consumer portfolio and our prudent expansion of underwriting strategy to originate volumes across a broader credit spectrum, which was significantly narrowed during the recession.

35

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Automotive Finance Operations

Our Automotive Finance operations provide automotive financing services to consumers and automotive dealers. For consumers, we provide retail financing and leasing for new and used vehicles, and through our commercial automotive financing operations, we fund dealer purchases of new and used vehicles through wholesale or floorplan financing.

Consumer Automotive Financing

Historically, we have provided two basic types of financing for new and used vehicles: retail installment sale contracts (retail contracts) and lease contracts. In most cases, we purchase retail contracts and leases for new and used vehicles from dealers when the vehicles are purchased or leased by consumers. Our consumer automotive financing operations generate revenue through finance charges or lease payments and fees paid by customers on the retail contracts and leases. In connection with lease contracts, we also recognize a gain or loss on the remarketing of the vehicle at the end of the lease.

The amount we pay a dealer for a retail contract is based on the negotiated purchase price of the vehicle and any other products, such as service contracts, less any vehicle trade-in value and any down payment from the consumer. Under the retail contract, the consumer is obligated to make payments in an amount equal to the purchase price of the vehicle (less any trade-in or down payment) plus finance charges at a rate negotiated between the consumer and the dealer. In addition, the consumer is also responsible for charges related to past-due payments. When we purchase the contract, it is normal business practice for the dealer to retain some portion of the finance charge as income for the dealership.

Our agreements with dealers place a limit on the amount of the finance charges they are entitled to retain. Although we do not own the vehicles we finance through retail contracts, we hold a perfected security interest in those vehicles. With respect to consumer leasing, we purchase leases (and the associated vehicles) from dealerships. The purchase price of consumer leases is based on the negotiated price for the vehicle less any vehicle trade-in and any down payment from the consumer. Under the lease, the consumer is obligated to make payments in amounts equal to the amount by which the negotiated purchase price of the vehicle (less any trade-in value or down payment) exceeds the contract residual value (including residual support) of the vehicle at lease termination, plus lease charges. The consumer is also generally responsible for charges related to past due payments, excess mileage, excessive wear and tear, and certain disposal fees where applicable. At contract inception, we determine the projected residual value based on an internal evaluation of the expected future value. This evaluation is based on a proprietary model, which includes variables such as age, mileage, seasonality, segment factors, vehicle type, economic indicators and production cycle. This internally generated data is compared against third party, independent data for reasonableness.

Periodically, we revise the projected value of the lease vehicle at termination based on current market conditions and adjust depreciation expense appropriately over the remaining life of the contract. At termination, our actual sales proceeds from remarketing the vehicle may be higher or lower than the estimated residual value resulting in a gain or loss on remarketing recorded through depreciation expense.

Our standard U.S. leasing plan, SmartLease, requires a monthly payment by the consumer. We also offer an alternative leasing plan, SmartLease Plus, that requires one up-front payment of all lease amounts at the time the consumer takes possession of the vehicle.

Consumer leases are operating leases; therefore, credit losses on the operating lease portfolio are not as significant as losses on retail contracts because lease credit losses are primarily limited to payments and assessed fees. Since some of these fees are not assessed until the vehicle is returned, these losses on the lease portfolio are correlated with lease termination volume. U.S. operating lease accounts past due over 30 days represented 0.74% and 0.73% of the total portfolio at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

With respect to all financed vehicles, whether subject to a retail contract or a lease contract, we require that property damage insurance be obtained by the consumer. In addition, for lease contracts, we require that bodily injury, collision, and comprehensive insurance be obtained by the consumer.

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-K

Total consumer financing revenue of our Automotive Finance operations was \$3.0 billion, \$2.8 billion, and \$2.4 billion during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

Consumer Automotive Financing Volume

The following table summarizes our new and used vehicle consumer financing volume, including lease, and our share of consumer sales in the United States.

Year ended December 31, (units in thousands)	Consumer automotive financing volume			% Share of manufacturer consumer sales		
	2013	2012	2011	2013	2012	2011
GM new vehicles	611	579	707	29	30	38
Chrysler new vehicles	199	315	304	14	26	32
Other non-GM and non-Chrysler new vehicles	79	81	68			
Used vehicles	498	485	466			
Total consumer automotive financing volume	1,387	1,460	1,545			

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

The decline in consumer automotive financing volume in 2013, compared to 2012, was primarily driven by a decrease in Chrysler new subvented vehicle originations as a result of the expiration of our operating agreement on April 30, 2013, and lower penetration. The decrease was partially offset by an increase in used volume and GM new vehicle originations resulting from stronger lease volume.

Manufacturer Marketing Incentives

Automotive manufacturers may elect to sponsor incentive programs (on both retail contracts and leases) by supporting finance rates below the standard market rates at which we purchase retail contracts. These marketing incentives are also referred to as rate support or subvention. When automotive manufacturers utilize these marketing incentives, we are compensated at contract inception for the present value of the difference between the customer rate and our standard rates. For retail loans, we defer and recognize this amount as a yield adjustment over the life of the contract. For lease contracts, this payment reduces our cost basis in the underlying lease asset.

Under what we refer to as pull-ahead programs, consumers may be encouraged by the manufacturer to terminate leases early in conjunction with the acquisition of a new vehicle. As part of these programs, we waive all or a portion of the customer's remaining payment obligation. Under most programs, the automotive manufacturer compensates us for a portion of the foregone revenue from the waived payments that are offset partially to the extent that our remarketing sales proceeds are higher than otherwise would be realized if the vehicle had been remarketed at lease contract maturity.

We were previously party to agreements with each of GM and Chrysler that provided for certain exclusivity privileges related to subvention programs that they offered. Our agreement with Chrysler expired in April 2013. In addition, our agreement with GM expired effective February 28, 2014. These agreements provided Ally with certain preferred provider benefits, including limiting the use of other financing providers by GM and Chrysler for their incentive programs. We entered into a new auto financing agreement with GM that became effective on March 1, 2014 (the GM Agreement), which provides a general framework for dealer and consumer financing related to GM vehicles, as well as with respect to our ongoing participation in GM subvention programs. The GM Agreement does not provide Ally with any exclusivity or similar privileges related to the financing of GM vehicles, whether through subvention programs or otherwise. As a result, the GM Agreement does not provide the economic benefits or impose the obligations that were included within our prior agreement with GM. The GM Agreement is cancellable upon notice by either party after one year.

The following table presents the total U.S. consumer origination dollars and percentage mix by product type.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	Consumer automotive financing originations			% Share of Ally originations		
	2013	2012	2011	2013	2012	2011
GM new vehicles						
New retail standard	\$6,322	\$6,230	\$9,009	17	16	23
New retail subvented	4,416	5,960	6,734	12	15	17
Lease	8,484	5,919	5,075	23	15	13
Total GM new vehicle originations	19,222	18,109	20,818			
Chrysler new vehicles						
New retail standard	3,468	4,431	4,062	9	12	10
New retail subvented	390	1,971	2,454	1	5	6
Lease	1,936	2,380	2,165	5	6	5
Total Chrysler new vehicle originations	5,794	8,782	8,681			
Other new retail vehicles	2,269	2,178	1,684	6	6	4
Other lease	171	93	76	1	—	—
Used vehicles	9,874	9,581	8,990	26	25	22
Total consumer automotive financing originations	\$37,330	\$38,743	\$40,249			

During the year ended December 31, 2013, total GM new vehicle originations increased compared to 2012, primarily due to stronger lease volume. This increase was partially offset by lower new retail subvented volume. Chrysler new retail contracts decreased primarily as a result of lower retail penetration at Chrysler as a result of the expiration of our operating agreement on April 30, 2013. Other used and lease originations were higher due to our continued strategic focus within the non-GM and non-Chrysler market.

Servicing

We have historically serviced all retail contracts and leases we retained on-balance sheet. On occasion, we have also sold a portion of the retail contracts we originated and retained the right to service and earn a servicing fee for our servicing functions. Ally Servicing LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary, performs most servicing activities for U.S. retail contracts and consumer automobile leases.

Servicing activities consist largely of collecting and processing customer payments, responding to customer inquiries such as requests for payoff quotes, processing customer requests for account revisions (such as payment extensions and rewrites), maintaining a perfected security

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

interest in the financed vehicle, monitoring certain vehicle insurance coverages, and disposing of off-lease vehicles. Servicing activities are generally consistent for our Automotive Finance operations; however, certain practices may be influenced by local laws and regulations.

Our U.S. customers have the option to receive monthly billing statements to remit payment by mail or through electronic fund transfers, or to establish online web-based account administration through the Ally Account Center. Customer payments are processed by regional third-party processing centers that electronically transfer payment data to customers' accounts.

Servicing activities also include initiating contact with customers who fail to comply with the terms of the retail contract or lease, reminder notices are sent, and telephone contact is typically initiated when an account becomes 3 to 15 days past due. The type of collection treatment and level of intensity increases as the account becomes more delinquent. The nature and timing of these activities depend on the repayment risk of the account.

During the collection process, we may offer a payment extension to a customer experiencing temporary financial difficulty. A payment extension enables the customer to delay monthly payments for 30, 60, or 90 days, thereby deferring the maturity date of the contract by the period of delay. Extensions granted to a customer typically do not exceed 90 days in the aggregate during any 12-month period or 180 days in aggregate over the life of the contract. During the deferral period, we continue to accrue and collect finance charges on the contract as part of the deferral agreement. If the customer's financial difficulty is not temporary and management believes the customer could continue to make payments at a lower payment amount, we may offer to rewrite the remaining obligation, extending the term and lowering the monthly payment obligation. In those cases, the principal balance generally remains unchanged while the interest rate charged to the customer generally increases. Extension and rewrite collection techniques help mitigate financial loss in those cases where management believes the customer will recover from financial difficulty and resume regularly scheduled payments or can fulfill the obligation with lower payments over a longer period. Before offering an extension or rewrite, collection personnel evaluate and take into account the capacity of the customer to meet the revised payment terms. Generally, we do not consider extensions that fall within our policy guidelines to represent more than an insignificant delay in payment and, therefore, they are not considered Troubled Debt Restructurings (TDRs). Although the granting of an extension could delay the eventual charge-off of an account, typically we are able to repossess and sell the related collateral, thereby mitigating the loss. Of the total amount outstanding in the U.S. traditional retail portfolio at December 31, 2009, only 8.7% of the extended or rewritten balances were subsequently charged off through December 31, 2013. A four-year period was utilized for this analysis as this approximates the weighted average remaining term of the portfolio. At December 31, 2013, 7.8% of the total amount outstanding in the servicing portfolio had been granted an extension or was rewritten.

Subject to legal considerations, in the United States we normally begin repossession activity once an account becomes greater than 70-days past due. Repossession may occur earlier if management determines the customer is unwilling to pay, the vehicle is in danger of being damaged or hidden, or the customer voluntarily surrenders the vehicle.

Approved third-party repossession firms handle repossessions. Normally the customer is given a period of time to redeem or reinstate the vehicle by paying off the account or bringing the account current. If the vehicle is not redeemed or reinstated, it is sold at auction. If the proceeds do not cover the unpaid balance, including unpaid earned finance charges and allowable expenses, the resulting deficiency is charged off. Asset recovery centers pursue collections on accounts that have been charged off, including those accounts where the vehicle was repossessed, and skip accounts where the vehicle cannot be located.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, our total consumer automotive serviced portfolio was \$77.7 billion and \$75.3 billion, respectively, compared to our consumer automotive on-balance sheet portfolio of \$74.1 billion and \$67.3 billion at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Refer to Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding servicing activities.

Remarketing and Sales of Leased Vehicles

When we acquire a consumer lease, we assume ownership of the vehicle from the dealer. Neither the consumer nor the dealer is responsible for the value of the vehicle at the time of lease termination. When vehicles are not purchased by customers or the receiving dealer at scheduled lease termination, the vehicle is returned to us for remarketing. We generally bear the risk of loss to the extent the value of a leased vehicle upon remarketing is below the expected residual value determined at the time the lease contract is signed. Automotive manufacturers may share this risk with us for certain leased vehicles, as described previously under Manufacturer Marketing Incentives. Our methods of vehicle sales in the United States at lease termination primarily include the following:

• **Sale to dealer** — After the lessee declines an option to purchase the off-lease vehicle, the dealer who accepts the returned off-lease vehicle has the opportunity to purchase the vehicle directly from us at a price we define.

• **Internet auctions** — Once the lessee and dealer decline their options to purchase, we offer off-lease vehicles to dealers and certain other third parties in the United States through our proprietary internet site (SmartAuction). This internet sales program maximizes the net sales proceeds from off-lease vehicles by reducing the time between vehicle return and ultimate disposition, reducing holding costs, and broadening the number of prospective buyers. We maintain the internet auction site, set the pricing floors on vehicles, and administer the auction process. We earn a service fee for every vehicle sold through SmartAuction, which, in 2013, was approximately 261,000 vehicles.

• **Physical auctions** — We dispose of our off-lease vehicles not purchased at termination by the lease consumer or dealer or sold on an internet auction through traditional official manufacturer-sponsored auctions. We are responsible for handling decisions at the auction including arranging for inspections, authorizing repairs and reconditioning, and determining whether bids received at auction should be accepted.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Commercial Automotive Financing

Automotive Wholesale Dealer Financing

One of the most important aspects of our dealer relationships is supporting the sale of vehicles through wholesale or floorplan financing. We primarily support automotive finance purchases by dealers of new and used vehicles manufactured or distributed before sale or lease to the retail customer. Wholesale automotive financing represents the largest portion of our commercial financing business and is the primary source of funding for dealers' purchases of new and used vehicles. During 2013, we financed an average commercial wholesale floorplan receivables balance of \$15.7 billion of new GM vehicles, representing a 67% share of GM's U.S. dealer inventory. We also financed an average of \$6.9 billion of new Chrysler vehicles representing a 50% share of Chrysler's U.S. dealer inventory. In addition, we financed an average of \$2.6 billion of combined new non-GM and non-Chrysler vehicles and \$3.0 billion of used vehicles.

Wholesale credit is arranged through lines of credit extended to individual dealers. Wholesale floorplan loans are secured by the vehicles financed (and all other vehicle inventory), which provide strong collateral protection in the event of dealership default. Additional collateral (e.g., blanket lien over all dealership assets) and/or other credit enhancements (e.g., personal guarantees from dealership owners) are oftentimes obtained to further manage credit risk. Furthermore, Ally benefits from automotive manufacturer repurchase arrangements, which serve as an additional layer of protection in the event of repossession of dealership inventory and/or dealership franchise termination. The amount we advance to dealers is equal to 100% of the wholesale invoice price of new vehicles, which includes destination and other miscellaneous charges, and a price rebate, known as a holdback, from the manufacturer to the dealer in varying amounts stated as a percentage of the invoice price. Interest on wholesale automotive financing is generally payable monthly. Most wholesale automotive financing is structured to yield interest at a floating rate indexed to the Prime Rate. The rate for a particular dealer is based on, among other things, competitive factors, the size of the account, and the dealer's creditworthiness.

Under the terms of the credit agreement with the dealer, we may demand payment of interest and principal on wholesale credit lines at any time; however, unless we terminate the credit line or the dealer defaults or the risk and exposure warrant, we generally require payment of the principal amount financed for a vehicle upon its sale or lease by the dealer to the customer.

Total commercial wholesale revenue of our Automotive Finance operations was \$908 million, \$999 million, and \$976 million during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

Commercial Wholesale Financing Volume

The following table summarizes the average balances of our commercial wholesale floorplan finance receivables of new and used vehicles and share of dealer inventory in the United States.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	Average balance			% Share of manufacturer franchise dealer inventory		
	2013	2012	2011	2013	2012	2011
GM new vehicles (a)	\$15,650	\$15,331	\$13,407	67	71	78
Chrysler new vehicles (a)	6,885	6,693	6,228	50	58	67
Other non-GM and non-Chrysler new vehicles	2,637	2,230	1,844			
Used vehicles	3,044	2,985	2,920			
Total commercial wholesale finance receivables	\$28,216	\$27,239	\$24,399			

(a) Share of manufacturer franchise dealer inventory based on a 13 month average of dealer inventory (excludes in-transit units).

Commercial wholesale financing average volume increased during 2013, compared to 2012. Wholesale penetration with GM and Chrysler decreased during 2013 compared to 2012, as a result of increased competition in the wholesale marketplace. The decrease in wholesale penetration during 2013 was more than offset by an increase in commercial

wholesale financing average volume, primarily due to growing dealer inventories required to support increasing automotive industry sales.

Other Commercial Automotive Financing

We also provide other forms of commercial financing for the automotive industry including automotive dealer term loans and automotive fleet financing. Automotive dealer term loans are loans that we make to dealers to finance other aspects of the dealership business. These loans are typically secured by real estate and/or other dealership assets, and are typically personally guaranteed by the individual owners of the dealership. Automotive fleet financing credit lines may be obtained by dealers, their affiliates, and other independent companies that are used to purchase vehicles, which they lease or rent to others.

Servicing and Monitoring

We service all of the wholesale credit lines in our portfolio and the wholesale automotive finance receivables that we have securitized. A statement setting forth billing and account information is distributed on a monthly basis to each dealer. Interest and other non-principal charges are billed in arrears and are required to be paid immediately upon receipt of the monthly billing statement. Generally, dealers remit payments to us through wire transfer transactions initiated by the dealer through a secure web application.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Dealers are assigned a risk rating based on various factors, including capital sufficiency, operating performance, and credit and payment history. The risk rating affects the amount of the line of credit and the ongoing risk management of the account. We monitor the level of borrowing under each dealer's credit line daily. When a dealer's balance exceeds the credit line, we may increase the dealer's credit line, temporarily suspend the granting of additional credit, or take other actions following evaluation and analysis of the dealer's financial condition.

We periodically inspect and verify the existence of dealer vehicle inventories. The timing of these collateral audits varies, and no advance notice is given to the dealer. Among other things, audits are intended to assess dealer compliance with the financing agreement and confirm the status of our collateral.

40

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Insurance Operations

Results of Operations

The following table summarizes the operating results of our Insurance operations excluding discontinued operations for the periods shown. The amounts presented are before the elimination of balances and transactions with our other reportable segments.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012	2011	Favorable/ (unfavorable) 2013-2012 % change	Favorable/ (unfavorable) 2012-2011 % change
Insurance premiums and other income					
Insurance premiums and service revenue earned	\$1,012	\$1,055	\$1,153	(4)	(8)
Investment income	227	124	220	83	(44)
Other income	14	35	25	(60)	40
Total insurance premiums and other income	1,253	1,214	1,398	3	(13)
Expense					
Insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses	405	454	452	11	—
Acquisition and underwriting expense					
Compensation and benefits expense	62	61	61	(2)	—
Insurance commissions expense	370	382	431	3	11
Other expenses	162	157	138	(3)	(14)
Total acquisition and underwriting expense	594	600	630	1	5
Total expense	999	1,054	1,082	5	3
Income from continuing operations before income tax (benefit) expense	\$254	\$160	\$316	59	(49)
Total assets	\$7,124	\$8,439	\$8,036	(16)	5
Insurance premiums and service revenue written	\$997	\$1,061	\$1,039	(6)	2
Combined ratio (a)	98.0	% 98.3	% 93.1	%	

Management uses a combined ratio as a primary measure of underwriting profitability. Underwriting profitability is indicated by a combined ratio under 100% and is calculated as the sum of all incurred losses and expenses (a) (excluding interest and income tax expense) divided by the total of premiums and service revenues earned and other fee income.

2013 Compared to 2012

Our Insurance operations earned income from continuing operations before income tax expense of \$254 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$160 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase was primarily attributable to higher realized investment gains partially offset by a reduction in insurance premiums and service revenue earned.

Insurance premiums and service revenue earned was \$1.0 billion for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$1.1 billion in 2012. The decrease was primarily due to declining U.S. vehicle service contracts written in prior years when the automotive market was depressed.

Investment income totaled \$227 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$124 million in 2012. The increase was primarily due to higher realized investment gains and lower recognition of other-than-temporary impairment on certain equity securities of \$20 million in 2013 as compared to \$61 million in 2012.

Other income totaled \$14 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$35 million in 2012. The decrease was primarily due to a 2012 gain of \$8 million on the sale of our Canadian personal lines business.

Insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses totaled \$405 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$454 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease was due to the wind-down of the Canadian

personal lines portfolio and lower losses in line with earned premium.

2012 Compared to 2011

Our Insurance operations earned income from continuing operations before income tax expense of \$160 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$316 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease was primarily attributable to lower investment income, lower insurance premiums and service revenue earned from our U.S. vehicle service contracts, and higher weather-related losses, including the effects of Super Storm Sandy.

41

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Insurance premiums and service revenue earned was \$1.1 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$1.2 billion in 2011. The decrease was primarily due to declining U.S. vehicle service contracts written between 2007 and 2009 as a result of lower domestic vehicle sales volume.

Investment income totaled \$124 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$220 million in 2011. The decrease was primarily due to the recognition of other-than-temporary impairment on certain equity securities of \$61 million and lower realized investment gains.

Other income totaled \$35 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$25 million in 2011. The increase was primarily due to a gain of \$8 million on the sale of our Canadian personal lines business during the second quarter of 2012.

Insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses totaled \$454 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$452 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The slight increase was driven primarily by higher weather-related losses in the United States on our dealer inventory insurance products, including the effects of Super Storm Sandy, mostly offset by lower frequency experienced in our vehicle service contract business and lower losses matching our decrease in earned premium. Despite the decrease in insurance premiums and service revenue earned, insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses increased primarily due to the impacts of Super Storm Sandy, which further impacted the increase in the combined ratio.

Acquisition and underwriting expense decreased 5% for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to 2011. The decrease was primarily a result of lower commission expense in our U.S. dealership-related products matching our decrease in earned premiums, partially offset by increased technology expense.

Premium and Service Revenue Written

The following table shows premium and service revenue written by insurance product.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012	2011
Vehicle service contracts			
New retail	\$421	\$406	\$376
Used retail	509	509	514
Reinsurance	(143)	(119)	(103)
Total vehicle service contracts	787	796	787
Wholesale	157	132	115
Other finance and insurance (a)	53	133	137
Total	\$997	\$1,061	\$1,039

Other finance and insurance includes GAP coverage, excess wear and tear, wind-down of Canadian personal lines, (a) and other ancillary products. The wind-down of Canadian personal lines was zero for the year ended December 31, 2013 and \$58 million and \$64 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Insurance premiums and service revenue written was \$1.0 billion for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$1.1 billion in 2012. Insurance premiums and service revenue written decreased due to the wind-down of the Canadian personal lines business. Excluding Canadian Personal Lines, written premium for the year ended December 31, 2013 decreased by \$6 million as compared to 2012 due to a decrease in vehicle service contracts as a result of increased reinsurance participation, which is in line with market trends, partially offset by an increase in wholesale due to higher dealer inventory levels. Vehicle service contract revenue is earned over the life of the service contract on a basis proportionate to the anticipated cost pattern. Accordingly, the majority of earnings from vehicle service contracts written during 2013 will be recognized as income in future periods.

Insurance premiums and service revenue written was \$1.1 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$1.0 billion in 2011. Insurance premiums and service revenue written increased slightly due to higher written premiums in our new retail vehicle service contract and dealer inventory insurance products.

Cash and Investments

A significant aspect of our Insurance operations is the investment of proceeds from premiums and other revenue sources. We use these investments to satisfy our obligations related to future claims at the time these claims are settled. Our Insurance operations have an Investment Committee, which develops guidelines and strategies for these investments. The guidelines established by this committee reflect our risk tolerance, liquidity requirements, regulatory requirements, and rating agency considerations, among other factors.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

The following table summarizes the composition of our Insurance operations cash and investment portfolio at fair value.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012
Cash		
Noninterest-bearing cash	\$ 166	\$ 129
Interest-bearing cash	810	488
Total cash	976	617
Available-for-sale securities		
Debt securities		
U.S. Treasury and federal agencies	568	1,090
U.S. States and political subdivisions	315	—
Foreign government	288	303
Mortgage-backed	1,102	714
Asset-backed	37	8
Corporate debt	1,069	1,264
Total debt securities	3,379	3,379
Equity securities	940	1,148
Total available-for-sale securities	4,319	4,527
Total cash and securities	\$5,295	\$5,144

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Mortgage Operations

Results of Operations

The following table summarizes the operating results for our Mortgage operations excluding discontinued operations for the periods shown. The amounts presented are before the elimination of balances and transactions with our other reportable segments.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012	2011	Favorable/ (unfavorable) 2013-2012 % change	Favorable/ (unfavorable) 2012-2011 % change
Net financing revenue					
Total financing revenue and other interest income	\$378	\$617	\$758	(39)	(19)
Interest expense	302	468	553	35	15
Net financing revenue	76	149	205	(49)	(27)
Servicing fees	68	300	365	(77)	(18)
Servicing asset valuation and hedge activities, net	(213)	(4)	(434)	n/m	99
Total servicing (loss) income, net	(145)	296	(69)	(149)	n/m
Gain on mortgage loans, net	55	375	172	(85)	118
Other income, net of losses	90	488	251	(82)	94
Total other revenue	—	1,159	354	(100)	n/m
Total net revenue	76	1,308	559	(94)	134
Provision for loan losses	13	86	123	85	30
Noninterest expense					
Compensation and benefits expense	39	96	74	59	(30)
Representation and warranty expense	104	171	—	39	n/m
Other operating expenses	178	360	270	51	(33)
Total noninterest expense	321	627	344	49	(82)
(Loss) income from continuing operations before income tax (benefit) expense	\$(258)	\$595	\$92	(143)	n/m
Total assets	\$8,168	\$14,744	\$33,906	(45)	(57)

n/m = not meaningful

2013 Compared to 2012

Our Mortgage operations incurred a loss from continuing operations before income tax expense of \$258 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to income from continuing operations before income tax expense of \$595 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease was primarily related to our exit of all non-strategic mortgage-related activities, including consumer mortgage-lending production associated with government-sponsored refinancing programs, our warehouse lending operations, and our agency MSR portfolio.

Net financing revenue was \$76 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$149 million in 2012. The decrease in net financing revenue was primarily due to lower production as a result of the wind-down of our consumer held-for-sale portfolio, run-off of our held-for-investment portfolio, and the shutdown of our warehouse lending operations. The decrease was partially offset by lower interest expense as a result of lower funding costs.

We incurred a net servicing loss of \$145 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to net servicing income of \$296 million in 2012. The decrease was primarily due to the completed sales of our agency MSR portfolio to Ocwen and Quicken in the second quarter of 2013, including the valuation of the portfolio in conjunction with the sale and the unwinding of all related derivative activity.

The net gain on mortgage loans decreased \$320 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 2012.

The decrease was primarily due to our decision to cease mortgage-lending production through our direct lending

channel and lower margins associated with government-sponsored refinancing programs.

Other income, net of losses, was \$90 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$488 million in 2012. The decrease was primarily due to lower fee income and net origination revenue related to decreased consumer mortgage-lending production associated with government-sponsored refinancing programs.

The provision for loan losses was \$13 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$86 million in 2012. The decrease for the year ended December 31, 2013, was primarily due to lower net charge-offs in 2013 due to the continued runoff of legacy mortgage assets and improvements in home prices.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Total noninterest expense decreased 49% for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 2012. The decrease was primarily due to our decision to cease consumer mortgage-lending production through our direct lending channel and the broker fee associated with those government-sponsored refinancing programs, and lower representation and warranty expense. Lower representation and warranty expense was primarily due to the establishment of our representation and warranty liability during the second quarter of 2012 resulting from the deconsolidation of ResCap and the subsequent sale of the MSR portfolio in 2013.

2012 Compared to 2011

Our Mortgage operations earned income from continuing operations before income tax expense of \$595 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$92 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. During 2011, we experienced an unfavorable servicing asset valuation, net of hedge, that did not recur in 2012. Additionally, during 2012, we earned higher fee income and net origination revenue related to increased consumer mortgage-lending production associated with government-sponsored refinancing programs, and higher net gains on the sale of mortgage loans. The increase was partially offset by higher representation and warranty expense due to the transfer of liability relating to Ally Bank's sold and serviced loans that had previously been recorded at ResCap, and higher other operating expenses required to establish separate Mortgage processes as a result of the ResCap separation.

Net financing revenue was \$149 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$205 million in 2011. The decrease in net financing revenue was primarily due to lower average yield mix as higher-rate Ally Bank mortgage loans continued to run off. Partially offsetting the decrease was lower interest expense related to lower funding costs.

We earned net servicing income of \$296 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to a net servicing loss of \$69 million in 2011. The increase was primarily due to the performance of the derivative servicing hedge as compared to a less favorable hedge performance in 2011. The increase was partially offset by lower servicing fees resulting from a lower unpaid principal balance of our MSR portfolio.

The net gain on mortgage loans increased \$203 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to 2011. The increase was primarily due to higher consumer mortgage-lending production through our direct lending channel and margins associated with government-sponsored refinancing programs, higher margins on warehouse and correspondent lending due to decreased competition and more selective originations from these channels, and improved market gains on specified pooled loans.

Other income, net of losses, was \$488 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$251 million in 2011. The increase was primarily due to higher fee income and net origination revenue related to increased consumer mortgage-lending production associated with government-sponsored refinancing programs.

The provision for loan losses was \$86 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$123 million in 2011. The decrease for the year ended December 31, 2012, was primarily due to lower net charge-offs in 2012 due to the continued runoff of legacy mortgage assets and improvements in home prices.

Total noninterest expense increased 82% for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to 2011. The increase was primarily driven by higher representation and warranty expense resulting from the transfer of liability relating to Ally Bank's sold and serviced loans that had previously been recorded at ResCap, and higher compensation and benefits expense due to an increase in functional services provided by ResCap through the shared services agreement.

Mortgage Loan Production and Servicing

Mortgage loan production was \$6.8 billion, \$32.4 billion, and \$56.2 billion for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. Loan production decreased \$25.6 billion, or 79%, compared to 2012. During 2013, we sold our business lending operations to Walter Investment Management Corp., completed the sales of agency MSRs to Ocwen and Quicken, and exited the correspondent and direct lending channels. Our ongoing Mortgage operations are limited to the management of our held-for-investment mortgage portfolio. In the future, we may purchase mortgage loans as part of our held-for-investment mortgage portfolio.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Corporate and Other

The following table summarizes the activities of Corporate and Other excluding discontinued operations for the periods shown. Corporate and Other primarily consists of our Commercial Finance Group, our centralized corporate treasury activities, such as management of the cash and corporate investment securities portfolios, short- and long-term debt, retail and brokered deposit liabilities, derivative instruments, the amortization of the discount associated with debt issuances and bond exchanges, and the residual impacts of our corporate funds-transfer pricing (FTP) and treasury asset liability management (ALM) activities. Corporate and Other also includes certain equity investments, overhead that was previously allocated to operations that have since been sold or classified as discontinued operations, and reclassifications and eliminations between the reportable operating segments. Our Commercial Finance Group provides senior secured commercial-lending products to primarily U.S.-based middle market companies.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012	2011	Favorable/ (unfavorable) 2013-2012 % change	Favorable/ (unfavorable) 2012-2011 % change
Net financing loss					
Total financing revenue and other interest income	\$298	\$157	\$195	90	(19)
Interest expense					
Original issue discount amortization	262	349	925	25	62
Other interest expense	549	957	943	43	(1)
Total interest expense	811	1,306	1,868	38	30
Net financing loss (a)	(513) (1,149) (1,673) 55	31
Other revenue (expense)					
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(59) (148) (64) 60	(131)
Other gain on investments, net	3	69	84	(96)	(18)
Other income, net of losses	76	22	156	n/m	(86)
Total other revenue (expense)	20	(57) 176	135	(132)
Total net loss	(493) (1,206) (1,497) 59	19
Provision for loan losses	(6) (10) (51) (40)	(80)
Noninterest expense					
Compensation and benefits expense	468	533	463	12	(15)
Other operating expense (b)	(45) (99) 9	(55)	n/m
Total noninterest expense	423	434	472	3	8
Loss from continuing operations before income tax (benefit) expense	\$(910) \$(1,630) \$(1,918) 44	15
Total assets	\$26,563	\$30,753	\$29,526	(14)	4

n/m = not meaningful

(a) Refer to the table that follows for further details on the components of net financing loss.

Includes a reduction of \$739 million, \$814 million, and \$757 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, (b) 2012, and 2011, respectively, related to the allocation of corporate overhead expenses to other segments. The receiving segments record their allocation of corporate overhead expense within other operating expense.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

The following table summarizes the components of net financing losses for Corporate and Other.

At and for the year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012	2011
Original issue discount amortization			
2008 bond exchange amortization	\$(241)	\$(320)	\$(886)
Other debt issuance discount amortization	(21)	(29)	(39)
Total original issue discount amortization (a)	(262)	(349)	(925)
Net impact of the funds transfer pricing methodology			
Unallocated liquidity costs (b)	(318)	(586)	(564)
Funds-transfer pricing / cost of funds mismatch (c)	235	170	42
Unassigned equity costs (d)	(225)	(443)	(315)
Total net impact of the funds transfer pricing methodology	(308)	(859)	(837)
Other (including Commercial Finance Group net financing revenue)	57	59	89
Total net financing losses for Corporate and Other	\$(513)	\$(1,149)	\$(1,673)
Outstanding original issue discount balance	\$1,589	\$1,840	\$2,194

(a) Amortization is included as interest on long-term debt in the Consolidated Statement of Income.

(b) Represents the unallocated cost of funding our cash and investment portfolio.

Represents our methodology to assign funding costs to classes of assets and liabilities based on expected duration and the London interbank offer rate (LIBOR) swap curve plus an assumed credit spread. Matching duration

(c) allocates interest income and interest expense to the reportable segments so the respective reportable segments results are insulated from interest rate risk. The balance above is the resulting benefit (loss) due to holding interest rate risk at Corporate and Other.

(d) Primarily represents the unassigned cost of maintaining required capital positions for certain of our regulated entities, primarily Ally Bank and Ally Insurance.

The following table presents the scheduled remaining amortization of the original issue discount at December 31, 2013.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019 and thereafter (a)	Total
Original issue discount							
Outstanding balance	\$1,399	\$1,340	\$1,274	\$1,198	\$1,107	\$—	
Total amortization (b)	190	59	65	77	90	1,108	\$1,589
2008 bond exchange amortization (c)	166	43	53	66	82	977	1,387

(a) The maximum annual scheduled amortization for any individual year is \$158 million in 2030 of which \$152 million is related to 2008 bond exchange amortization.

(b) The amortization is included as interest on long-term debt on the Consolidated Statement of Income.

(c) 2008 bond exchange amortization is included in total amortization.

2013 Compared to 2012

Loss from continuing operations before income tax expense for Corporate and Other was \$910 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$1.6 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012. Corporate and Other's loss from continuing operations before income tax expense was driven by net financing losses, which primarily represents original issue discount amortization expense and the net impact of our FTP methodology, which includes the unallocated cost of maintaining our liquidity and investment portfolios.

The improvement in the loss from continuing operations before income tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2013 was primarily due to a decrease in interest expense of \$495 million, which was primarily driven by OID amortization expense related to bond maturities and normal monthly amortization; lower funding costs as a result of early repayments of debt, including certain Federal Home Loan Bank debt during the fourth quarter of 2012; and increases in derivative gains. The improvement was partially offset by a decrease in other gain on investments as a

result of fewer sales of investments during the year ended December 31, 2013.

Corporate and Other also includes the results of our Commercial Finance Group. Our Commercial Finance Group earned income from continuing operations before income tax expense of \$50 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$48 million for the year ended December 31, 2012.

2012 Compared to 2011

Loss from continuing operations before income tax expense for Corporate and Other was \$1.6 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$1.9 billion for the year ended December 31, 2011. Corporate and Other's loss from continuing operations before income tax expense was driven by net financing losses, which primarily represents original issue discount amortization expense and the net impact of our FTP methodology, which includes the unallocated cost of maintaining our liquidity and investment portfolios.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

The improvement in the loss from continuing operations before income tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 was primarily due to a decrease in OID amortization expense related bond maturities and normal monthly amortization. Additionally, we incurred no accelerated amortization of OID for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$50 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The improvement was partially offset by the early repayment of certain Federal Home Loan Bank debt to further reduce funding costs, the absence of a \$121 million gain on the early settlement of a loss holdback provision related to certain historical automotive whole-loan forward flow agreements recognized during 2011, and an increase in compensation and benefits expense as a result of increased incentive compensation and pension-related expenses. The pension-related expenses resulted from our decision to de-risk our long-term pension liability through lump-sum buyouts and annuity placements for former subsidiaries. Refer to Note 23 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further detail on these certain pension actions.

Corporate and Other also includes the results of our Commercial Finance Group. Our Commercial Finance Group earned income from continuing operations before income tax expense of \$48 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$141 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease was primarily related to lower net revenue resulting from a decline in income from servicer advance collections, lower accelerated fee income due to fewer early loan payoffs during 2012, compared to 2011. Additionally, provision expense was less favorable in 2012 due to a greater decline in portfolio-level reserves in 2011 associated with higher recoveries on nonperforming exposures, combined with the runoff of the majority of our higher-risk non-core portfolio.

Cash and Securities

The following table summarizes the composition of the cash and securities portfolio held at fair value by Corporate and Other.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012
Cash		
Noninterest-bearing cash	\$1,123	\$944
Interest-bearing cash	3,396	5,942
Total cash	4,519	6,886
Available-for-sale securities		
Debt securities		
U.S. Treasury and federal agencies	859	1,124
Mortgage-backed	9,718	6,191
Asset-backed	2,183	2,332
Total debt securities	12,760	9,647
Equity securities	4	4
Total available-for-sale securities	12,764	9,651
Total cash and securities	\$17,283	\$16,537

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Risk Management

Managing the risk/reward trade-off is a fundamental component of operating our businesses. Our risk management program is overseen by the Ally Board of Directors (the Board), various risk committees, the executive leadership team, and our associates. The Board sets the risk appetite across our company while the risk committees, executive leadership team, and our associates identify and monitor potential risks and manage those risks to be within our risk appetite. Ally's primary risks include credit, lease residual, market, operational, insurance/underwriting, and liquidity.

• **Credit risk** — The risk of loss arising from an obligor not meeting its contractual obligations to our firm.

• **Lease Residual risk** — The risk of loss arising from the possibility that the actual proceeds realized upon the sale of returned vehicles will be lower than the projection of the values used in establishing the pricing at lease inception.

• **Market risk** — The risk of loss arising from changes in the fair value of our assets or liabilities (including derivatives) caused by movements in market variables, such as interest rates, foreign-exchange rates, and equity and commodity prices.

• **Operational risk** — The risk of loss arising from inadequate or failed processes or systems, human factors, or external events.

• **Insurance/Underwriting risk** — The risk of loss associated with insured events occurring, the severity of insured events, and the timing of claim payments arising from insured events.

• **Liquidity risk** — The risk that our financial condition or overall safety and soundness is adversely affected by an inability, or perceived inability, to meet our financial obligations, and to withstand unforeseen liquidity stress events (see Liquidity Management, Funding, and Regulatory Capital discussion within this MD&A).

While risk oversight is ultimately the responsibility of the Board, our governance structure starts within each line of business, including committees established to oversee risk in their respective areas. The lines of business are responsible for executing on risk strategies, policies, and controls that are fundamentally sound and compliant with enterprise risk management policies and with applicable laws and regulations. The line of business risk committees, which report up to the Risk and Compliance Committee of the Board, monitor the performance within each portfolio and determine whether to amend any risk practices based upon portfolio trends.

In addition, the Enterprise Risk Management and Compliance organizations are accountable for independently identifying, monitoring, measuring, and reporting on our various risks. In addition, they are responsible for designing an effective risk management framework and structure. They are also responsible for monitoring that our risks remain within the tolerances established by the Board, developing and maintaining policies, and implementing risk management methodologies.

All lines of business and enterprise functions are subject to full and unrestricted audits by Audit Services. Audit Services reports to the Audit Committee of the Board, and is primarily responsible for assisting the Audit Committee in fulfilling its governance and oversight responsibilities. Audit Services is granted free and unrestricted access to any and all of our records, physical properties, technologies, management, and employees.

In addition, our Loan Review Group provides an independent assessment of the quality of Ally's credit risk portfolios and credit risk management practices, and all lines of business and corporate functions that create or influence credit risk are subject to full and unrestricted reviews by the Loan Review Group. This group also is granted free and unrestricted access to any and all of our records, physical properties, technologies, management, and employees and reports its findings directly to the Risk and Compliance Committee. The findings of this group help to strengthen our risk management practices and processes throughout the organization.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Loan and Lease Exposure

The following table summarizes the exposures from our loan and lease activities.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012
Finance receivables and loans		
Dealer Financial Services	\$90,220	\$86,542
Mortgage operations	8,444	9,821
Corporate and Other	1,664	2,692
Total finance receivables and loans	100,328	99,055
Held-for-sale loans		
Dealer Financial Services	\$—	\$—
Mortgage operations	16	2,490
Corporate and Other	19	86
Total held-for-sale loans	35	2,576
Total on-balance sheet loans	\$100,363	\$101,631
Off-balance sheet securitized loans		
Dealer Financial Services	\$899	\$1,495
Mortgage operations	—	119,384
Corporate and Other	—	—
Total off-balance sheet securitized loans	\$899	\$120,879
Operating lease assets		
Dealer Financial Services	\$17,680	\$13,550
Mortgage operations	—	—
Corporate and Other	—	—
Total operating lease assets	\$17,680	\$13,550
Serviced loans and leases		
Dealer Financial Services	\$111,589	\$134,122
Mortgage operations (a)	8,333	130,324
Corporate and Other	1,498	1,344
Total serviced loans and leases	\$121,420	\$265,790

(a) Includes primary mortgage loan-servicing portfolio only, which includes on-balance sheet loans of \$8.3 billion and \$10.9 billion at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

The risks inherent in our loan and lease exposures are largely driven by changes in the overall economy, used vehicle and housing price levels, unemployment levels, and their impact to our borrowers. The potential financial statement impact of these exposures varies depending on the accounting classification and future expected disposition strategy. We retain the majority of our automobile loans as they complement our core business model, but we do sell loans from time to time on an opportunistic basis. Historically, we primarily originated mortgage loans with the intent to sell and, as such, retained only a small percentage of the loans that we originated or purchased. Mortgage loans that we did not intend to retain were sold to investors, primarily through securitizations guaranteed by the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae), the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac), and the Government National Mortgage Association (Ginnie Mae) (collectively, the Government-sponsored Enterprises, or GSEs). We ultimately manage the associated risks based on the underlying economics of the exposure. During 2013, we sold our business lending operations to Walter Investment Management Corp., completed the sales of agency MSR to Ocwen and Quicken, and exited the correspondent and direct lending channels. Our ongoing Mortgage operations are limited to the management of our held-for-investment mortgage portfolio.

Finance receivables and loans — Loans that we have the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity, or loans associated with an on-balance sheet securitization classified as secured financing. These loans are

recorded at the principal amount outstanding, net of unearned income, premiums and discounts, and allowances. We manage the economic risks of these exposures, including credit risk, by adjusting underwriting standards and risk limits, augmenting our servicing and collection activities (including loan modifications and restructurings), and optimizing our product and geographic concentrations. Additionally, we have elected to account for certain mortgage loans at fair value. Changes in the fair value of these loans are recognized in a valuation allowance separate from the allowance for loan losses and were reflected in current period earnings. We used market-based instruments, such as derivatives, to hedge changes in the fair value of these loans.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Held-for-sale loans — Loans that we do not have the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity. These loans are recorded on our balance sheet at the lower of cost or estimated fair value and are evaluated by portfolio and product type. Changes in the recorded value are recognized in a valuation allowance and reflected in current period earnings. We manage the economic risks of these exposures, including market and credit risks, in various ways including the use of market-based instruments such as derivatives.

Off-balance sheet securitized loans — Loans that we transfer off-balance sheet to nonconsolidated variable interest entities. We primarily report this exposure as cash, servicing rights, or retained interests (if applicable). Similar to finance receivables and loans, we manage the economic risks of these exposures, including credit risk, through activities including servicing and collections.

Operating lease assets — The net book value of the automobile assets we lease includes the expected residual values upon remarketing the vehicles at the end of the lease. We are exposed to fluctuations in the expected residual value upon remarketing the vehicle at the end of the lease, and as such at contract inception, we determine the projected residual value based on an internal evaluation of the expected future value. This evaluation is based on a proprietary model, which includes variables such as age, mileage, seasonality, segment factors, vehicle type, economic indicators and production cycle. This internally generated data is compared against third party, independent data for reasonableness. Periodically, we revise the projected value of the lease vehicle at termination based on current market conditions and adjust depreciation expense appropriately over the remaining life of the contract. At termination, our actual sales proceeds from remarketing the vehicle may be higher or lower than the estimated residual value resulting in a gain or loss on remarketing recorded through depreciation expense. The balance sheet reflects both the lease asset as well as any associated rent receivables. The lease rent receivable is a component of other assets. A valuation allowance representing the uncollectible portion of the lease rent receivable is recorded directly against this receivable. The lease asset is reviewed for impairment in accordance with applicable accounting standards.

Serviced loans and leases — Loans that we service on behalf of our customers or another financial institution. As such, these loans can be on or off our balance sheet. For our serviced consumer automobile loans, we do not recognize servicing assets or liabilities because we receive a fee that adequately compensates us for the servicing costs.

Historically, for our MSRs, we would record an asset (at fair value) based on whether the expected servicing benefits would exceed the expected servicing costs.

Refer to the Critical Accounting Estimates discussion within this MD&A and Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.

Credit Risk Management

Credit risk is defined as the potential failure to receive payments when due from an obligor in accordance with contractual obligations. Therefore, credit risk is a major source of potential economic loss to us. Credit risk is monitored by enterprise and line of business committees and the Enterprise Risk Management organization. Together they oversee the credit decisioning and management processes, and monitor credit risk exposures to ensure they are managed in a safe-and-sound manner and are within our risk appetite. In addition, our Loan Review Group provides an independent assessment of the quality of our credit portfolios and credit risk management practices, and directly reports its findings to the Risk and Compliance Committee of the Board on a regular basis.

To mitigate risk, we have implemented specific policies and practices across all lines of business, utilizing both qualitative and quantitative analyses, that reflect our commitment to maintain an independent and ongoing assessment of credit risk and credit quality. Our policies require an objective and timely assessment of the overall quality of the consumer and commercial loan and lease portfolios. This includes the identification of relevant trends that affect the collectability of the portfolios, segments of the portfolios that are potential problem areas, loans and leases with potential credit weaknesses, as well as stress testing and the assessment of the adequacy of internal credit risk policies and procedures to monitor compliance with relevant laws and regulations. In addition, we maintain limits and underwriting policies that reflect our risk appetite.

We manage credit risk based on the risk profile of the borrower, the source of repayment, the underlying collateral, and current market conditions. We monitor the credit risk profile of individual borrowers and the aggregate portfolio of borrowers either within a designated geographic region or a particular product or industry segment. We perform ongoing analyses of the consumer automobile, consumer mortgage, and commercial portfolios using a range of indicators to assess the adequacy of the allowance based on historical and current trends. Refer to Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Additionally, we utilize numerous collection strategies to mitigate loss and provide ongoing support to customers in financial distress. For automobile loans, we work with customers when they become delinquent on their monthly payment. In lieu of repossessing their vehicle, we may offer several types of assistance to aid our customers based on their willingness and ability to repay their loan. Loss mitigation may include extension of the loan maturity date and rewriting the loan terms. For mortgage loans, as part of our participation in certain governmental programs, we offer mortgage loan modifications to qualified borrowers. Numerous initiatives are in place to provide support to our mortgage customers in financial distress, including principal forgiveness, maturity extensions, delinquent interest capitalization, and changes to contractual interest rates.

Furthermore, we manage our counterparty credit exposure based on the risk profile of the counterparty. Within our policies, we have established standards and requirements for managing counterparty risk exposures in a safe-and-sound manner. Counterparty credit risk is derived from multiple exposure types, including derivatives, securities trading, securities financing transactions, financial futures, cash

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

balances (e.g., due from depository institutions, restricted accounts, and cash equivalents), and investment in debt securities. For more information on Derivative Counterparty Credit Risk, refer to Note 21 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

During 2013, the U.S. economy continued to expand. The labor market recovered further during the year, with nonfarm payrolls increasing and the annual unemployment rate falling. Within the U.S. automotive portfolio, encouraging trends include an average annual rate of 15.5 million new light vehicle sales during the year. However, we continue to be cautious with the economic outlook.

On-balance Sheet Portfolio

Our on-balance sheet portfolio includes both finance receivables and loans and held-for-sale loans. At December 31, 2013, this primarily included \$90.2 billion of automobile finance receivables and loans and \$8.5 billion of mortgage finance receivables and loans. Within our on-balance sheet portfolio, we have elected to account for certain mortgage loans at fair value. The valuation allowance recorded on fair value-elected loans is separate from the allowance for loan losses. Changes in the fair value of loans are classified as gain on mortgage and automotive loans, net, in the Consolidated Statement of Income.

During 2012 and 2013, we further executed on our strategy of discontinuing and selling or liquidating nonstrategic operations. Refer to Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

The following table presents our total on-balance sheet consumer and commercial finance receivables and loans reported at carrying value before allowance for loan losses.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	Outstanding		Nonperforming (a)		Accruing past due 90 days or more (b)	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
Consumer						
Finance receivables and loans						
Loans at historical cost	\$64,860	\$63,536	\$521	\$642	\$1	\$1
Loans at fair value	1	—	—	—	—	—
Total finance receivables and loans	64,861	63,536	521	642	1	1
Loans held-for-sale	16	2,490	9	25	—	—
Total consumer loans	64,877	66,026	530	667	1	1
Commercial						
Finance receivables and loans at historical cost	35,467	35,519	204	216	—	—
Loans held-for-sale	19	86	—	—	—	—
Total commercial loans	35,486	35,605	204	216	—	—
Total on-balance sheet loans	\$100,363	\$101,631	\$734	\$883	\$1	\$1

(a) Includes nonaccrual troubled debt restructured loans (TDRs) of \$312 million and \$419 million at December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Generally, loans that are 90 days past due and still accruing represent loans with government guarantees. There were no troubled debt restructured loans classified as 90 days past due and still accruing at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Total on-balance sheet loans outstanding at December 31, 2013, decreased \$1.3 billion to \$100.4 billion from December 31, 2012, reflecting a decrease of \$1.1 billion in the consumer portfolio and a decrease of \$119 million in the commercial portfolio. The decrease in consumer on-balance sheet loans was primarily driven by our decisions to exit the direct lending and correspondent lending channels, partially offset by automobile originations, which outpaced portfolio runoff. The decrease in commercial on-balance sheet loans outstanding was primarily driven by the payoff of ResCap's debtor-in-possession financing.

The total TDRs outstanding at December 31, 2013, increased \$83 million to \$1.3 billion from December 31, 2012, primarily due to our loss mitigation efforts on commercial and consumer loans including continued foreclosure prevention and participation in a variety of government-sponsored refinancing programs. Refer to Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Total nonperforming loans at December 31, 2013, decreased \$149 million to \$734 million from December 31, 2012, reflecting a decrease of \$137 million of consumer nonperforming loans and a decrease of \$12 million of commercial nonperforming loans. The decrease in total nonperforming loans from December 31, 2012, was driven, in part, by the improved performance of remaining consumer mortgage loans as lower quality legacy loans continued to runoff.

Nonperforming loans include finance receivables and loans on nonaccrual status when the principal or interest has been delinquent for 90 days or when full collection is determined not to be probable. Refer to Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

The following table includes consumer and commercial net charge-offs from finance receivables and loans at historical cost and related ratios reported at carrying value before allowance for loan losses.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	Net charge-offs (recoveries)		Net charge-off ratios (a)			
	2013	2012 (b)	2013	2012		
Consumer						
Finance receivables and loans at historical cost	\$477	\$507	0.7	%	0.7	%
Commercial						
Finance receivables and loans at historical cost	(5)	(33)	—		(0.1)	
Total finance receivables and loans at historical cost	\$472	\$474	0.5	%	0.4	%

(a) Net charge-off ratios are calculated as net charge-offs divided by average outstanding finance receivables and loans excluding loans measured at fair value and loans held-for-sale during the year for each loan category.

(b) Includes \$102 million of international consumer net charge-offs and \$30 million of international commercial recoveries.

Net charge-offs were \$472 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$474 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease in the consumer portfolio during the year ended December 31, 2013 was driven by continued improved performance of mortgage assets and improvements in home prices. The change in the commercial portfolio during the year ended December 31, 2013 was largely due to recoveries in 2012 that did not repeat in 2013. Loans held-for-sale are accounted for at the lower-of-cost or fair value and, therefore, we do not record charge-offs.

The Consumer Credit Portfolio and Commercial Credit Portfolio discussions that follow relate to consumer and commercial finance receivables and loans recorded at historical cost. Finance receivables and loans recorded at historical cost have an associated allowance for loan losses. Finance receivables and loans measured at fair value were excluded from these discussions since those exposures are not accounted for within our allowance for loan losses.

Consumer Credit Portfolio

Our consumer portfolio primarily consists of automobile loans, first mortgages, and home equity loans. Loan losses in our consumer portfolio are influenced by general business and economic conditions including unemployment rates, bankruptcy filings, and home and used vehicle prices. Additionally, our consumer credit exposure is significantly concentrated in automobile lending (largely through GM and Chrysler dealerships). Due to our subvention relationships, we have been able to mitigate some interest income exposure to certain consumer defaults by receiving a rate support payment directly from the automotive manufacturers at origination.

Credit risk management for the consumer portfolio begins with the initial underwriting and continues throughout a borrower's credit cycle. We manage consumer credit risk through our loan origination and underwriting policies, credit approval process, and servicing capabilities. We use proprietary credit-scoring models to differentiate the expected default rates of credit applicants enabling us to better evaluate credit applications for approval and to tailor the pricing and financing structure according to this assessment of credit risk. We regularly review the performance of the credit scoring models and update them for historical information and current trends. These and other actions mitigate but do not eliminate credit risk. Improper evaluations of a borrower's creditworthiness, fraud, and/or changes in the applicant's financial condition after approval could negatively affect the quality of our receivables portfolio, resulting in loan losses.

Our servicing activities are another key factor in managing consumer credit risk. Servicing activities consist largely of collecting and processing customer payments, responding to customer inquiries such as requests for payoff quotes, and processing customer requests for account revisions (such as payment extensions and refinancings). Servicing activities are generally consistent across our operations; however, certain practices may be influenced by local laws and regulations.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the credit performance of the consumer portfolio remained strong and reflects the continued execution of our underwriting strategy to prudently expand our originations of consumer automotive assets across a broader credit spectrum. For information on our consumer credit risk practices and policies regarding delinquencies, nonperforming status, and charge-offs, refer to Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

The following table includes consumer finance receivables and loans recorded at historical cost reported at carrying value before allowance for loan losses.

	Outstanding		Nonperforming (a)		Accruing past due 90 days or more (b)	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
December 31, (\$ in millions)						
Consumer automobile (c)	\$56,417	\$53,715	\$329	\$260	\$—	\$—
Consumer mortgage	8,443	9,821	192	382	1	1
Total consumer finance receivables and loans	\$64,860	\$63,536	\$521	\$642	\$1	\$1

(a) Includes nonaccrual troubled debt restructured loans of \$237 million and \$373 million at December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively.

(b) There were no troubled debt restructured loans classified as 90 days past due and still accruing at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

(c) Includes \$1 million of fair value adjustment for loans in hedge accounting relationships at December 31, 2013.

(c) Refer to Note 21 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Total consumer outstanding finance receivables and loans increased \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2013, compared with December 31, 2012. This increase was related to our U.S. automobile consumer loan originations which outpaced portfolio runoff. Additionally, we continued to prudently expand our used and nonprime originations as a percent of our total originations.

Total consumer nonperforming finance receivables and loans at December 31, 2013, decreased \$121 million to \$521 million from December 31, 2012, reflecting a decrease of \$190 million of consumer mortgage nonperforming finance receivables and loans and an increase of \$69 million of consumer automobile nonperforming finance receivables and loans. Consumer mortgage nonperforming finance receivables and loans decreased due to the improved performance of remaining loans. Consumer automobile nonperforming finance receivables and loans increased primarily due to the change in our portfolio mix as we continued the execution of our underwriting strategy to prudently expand our originations across a broader credit spectrum, including used and nonprime, as well as seasoning of the portfolio. Refer to Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information. Total consumer nonperforming finance receivables and loans as a percentage of total outstanding consumer finance receivables and loans were 0.8% and 1.0% at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Consumer domestic automotive loans accruing and past due 30 days or more increased \$252 million to \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2013, compared with December 31, 2012. The increase is predominantly due to the change in our portfolio mix as we continued the execution of our underwriting strategy to prudently expand our originations across a broader credit spectrum, including used and nonprime.

The following table includes consumer net charge-offs from finance receivables and loans at historical cost and related ratios reported at carrying value before allowance for loan losses.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	Net charge-offs		Net charge-off ratios (a)			
	2013	2012 (b)	2013	2012		
Consumer automobile	\$402	\$369	0.7	0.5	%	%
Consumer mortgage	75	138	0.8	1.4		
Total consumer finance receivables and loans	\$477	\$507	0.7	0.7	%	%

(a) Net charge-off ratios are calculated as net charge-offs divided by average outstanding finance receivables and loans excluding loans measured at fair value and loans held-for-sale during the year for each loan category.

(b) Includes \$102 million of international consumer automobile net charge-offs.

Our net charge-offs from total consumer automobile finance receivables and loans were \$402 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$369 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase was driven primarily by the change in our U.S. portfolio mix as we continued the execution of our underwriting strategy to

prudently expand our originations across a broader credit spectrum, including used and nonprime, seasoning of the portfolio, and higher outstandings. This increase was partially offset by the inclusion of international net charge-offs during the year ended December 31, 2012 prior to the reclassification of the international automotive finance business to discontinued operations.

Our net charge-offs from total consumer mortgage receivables and loans were \$75 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$138 million in 2012. The decrease was driven by continued improved performance of mortgage assets and improvements in home prices.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

The following table summarizes the unpaid principal balance of total consumer loan originations for the periods shown. Total consumer loan originations include loans classified as finance receivables and loans and loans held-for-sale during the period.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012 (a)
Consumer automobile	\$26,739	\$40,004
Consumer mortgage	6,804	32,465
Total consumer loan originations	\$33,543	\$72,469

(a) Includes \$9.7 billion of international consumer automobile originations.

Total automobile-originated loans decreased \$13.3 billion for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 2012. The decrease was primarily due to the reclassification of our international automotive finance business to discontinued operations at the end of 2012 as well as lower new vehicle originations as a result of more competition within the automotive finance market. Total mortgage-originated loans decreased \$25.7 billion for the year ended December 31, 2013. The decline in loan production was driven by our strategic exit from the direct lending channel and our decision announced on April 17, 2013 to exit the correspondent lending channel.

Consumer loan originations retained on-balance sheet as held-for-investment were \$27.5 billion at December 31, 2013, compared to \$42.2 billion at December 31, 2012. The decrease was primarily due to the reclassification of our international automotive finance business to discontinued operations at the end of 2012 as well as lower new vehicle originations as a result of more competition within the automotive finance market.

The following table shows the percentage of total consumer finance receivables and loans recorded at historical cost reported at carrying value before allowance for loan losses by geographic region. Total automobile loans were \$56.4 billion and \$53.7 billion at December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively. Total mortgage and home equity loans were \$8.4 billion and \$9.8 billion at December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively.

December 31,	2013 (a)		2012	
	Automobile	1st Mortgage and home equity	Automobile	1st Mortgage and home equity
Texas	13.2	% 5.8	% 12.9	% 5.8
California	5.8	29.5	5.6	29.2
Florida	7.0	3.6	6.7	3.6
Pennsylvania	5.3	1.7	5.2	1.6
Illinois	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.8
Michigan	4.4	3.9	5.0	4.1
New York	4.3	1.9	4.6	2.0
Georgia	4.0	2.1	3.7	1.9
Ohio	4.0	0.7	4.0	0.8
North Carolina	3.4	1.9	3.3	2.0
Other United States	44.2	44.5	44.7	44.2
Total consumer loans	100.0	% 100.0	% 100.0	% 100.0

(a) Presentation is in descending order as a percentage of total consumer finance receivables and loans at December 31, 2013.

We monitor our consumer loan portfolio for concentration risk across the geographies in which we lend. The highest concentrations of loans in the United States are in Texas and California, which represented an aggregate of 21.1% and 21.0% of our total outstanding consumer finance receivables and loans at December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Concentrations in our Mortgage operations are closely monitored given the volatility of the housing markets. Our consumer mortgage loan concentrations in California, Florida, and Michigan receive particular attention as the real

estate value depreciation in these states has been amongst the most severe.

Repossessed and Foreclosed Assets

We classify an asset as repossessed or foreclosed (included in other assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheet) when physical possession of the collateral is taken. We dispose of the acquired collateral in a timely fashion in accordance with regulatory requirements. For more information on repossessed and foreclosed assets, refer to Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Repossessed assets in our Automotive Finance operations at December 31, 2013, increased \$39 million to \$101 million from December 31, 2012. Foreclosed mortgage assets at December 31, 2013, increased \$4 million to \$10 million from December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Commercial Credit Portfolio

Our commercial portfolio consists primarily of automotive loans (wholesale floorplan, dealer term loans including real estate loans, and automotive fleet financing), and some commercial finance loans. Wholesale floorplan loans are secured by the vehicles financed (and all other vehicle inventory), which provide strong collateral protection in the event of dealership default. Additional collateral (e.g., blanket lien over all dealership assets) and/or other credit enhancements (e.g., personal guarantees from dealership owners) are oftentimes obtained to further manage credit risk. Furthermore, Ally benefits from the automotive manufacturer repurchase arrangements, which serve as an additional layer of protection in the event of repossession of dealership inventory and/or dealership franchise termination.

Within our commercial portfolio, we utilize an internal credit risk rating system that is fundamental to managing credit risk exposure consistently across various types of commercial borrowers and captures critical risk factors for each borrower. The ratings are used for many areas of credit risk management, such as loan origination, portfolio risk monitoring, management reporting, and loan loss reserves analyses. Therefore, the rating system is critical to an effective and consistent credit risk management framework.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the credit performance of the commercial portfolio remained strong as nonperforming finance receivables and loans and net charge-offs remained stable. For information on our commercial credit risk practices and policies regarding delinquencies, nonperforming status, and charge-offs, refer to Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The following table includes total commercial finance receivables and loans reported at carrying value before allowance for loan losses.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	Outstanding		Nonperforming (a)		Accruing past due 90 days or more (b)	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
Commercial and industrial						
Automobile	\$30,948	\$30,270	\$116	\$146	\$—	\$—
Mortgage	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other (c)	1,664	2,697	74	33	—	—
Commercial real estate - Automobile	2,855	2,552	14	37	—	—
Total commercial finance receivables and loans	\$35,467	\$35,519	\$204	\$216	\$—	\$—

(a) Includes nonaccrual troubled debt restructured loans of \$75 million and \$29 million at December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively.

(b) There were no troubled debt restructured loans classified as 90 days past due and still accruing at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

(c) Other commercial primarily includes senior secured commercial lending.

Total commercial finance receivables and loans outstanding decreased \$52 million to \$35.5 billion at December 31, 2013, from December 31, 2012. The commercial and industrial outstandings decreased \$355 million primarily due to the June 2013 payoff of ResCap's \$1.3 billion debtor-in-possession financing partially offset by the increase in the dealer inventories required to support increasing automotive industry sales.

Total commercial nonperforming finance receivables and loans were \$204 million at December 31, 2013, a decrease of \$12 million compared to December 31, 2012. Total commercial nonperforming finance receivables and loans as a percentage of outstanding commercial finance receivables and loans remained flat at 0.6% as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

The following table includes total commercial net charge-offs from finance receivables and loans at historical cost and related ratios reported at carrying value before allowance for loan losses.

Net charge-off ratios (a)

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-K

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	Net charge-offs (recoveries)			
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Commercial and industrial				
Automobile	\$—	\$—	—	% —
Mortgage	—	(1)	—	(0.1)
Other	(7)	(31)	(0.3)	(1.5)
Commercial real estate - Automobile	2	(1)	0.1	—
Total commercial finance receivables and loans	\$(5)	\$(33)	—	% (0.1)%

(a) Net charge-off ratios are calculated as net charge-offs divided by average outstanding finance receivables and loans excluding loans measured at fair value and loans held-for-sale during the year for each loan category.

Our net charge-offs from commercial finance receivables and loans resulted in recoveries of \$5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to recoveries of \$33 million in 2012. The change in net charge-offs was largely driven by strong recoveries in certain wind-down portfolios during the year ended December 31, 2012 that did not repeat in 2013.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Commercial Real Estate

The commercial real estate portfolio consists of finance receivables and loans issued primarily to automotive dealers. Commercial real estate finance receivables and loans were \$2.9 billion and \$2.6 billion at December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively.

The following table presents the percentage of total commercial real estate finance receivables and loans by geographic region. These finance receivables and loans are reported at carrying value before allowance for loan losses.

December 31, Geographic region	2013	2012		
Texas	13.2	% 13.0		%
Florida	12.6	11.7		
Michigan	11.6	12.6		
California	9.2	9.3		
New York	4.5	4.9		
North Carolina	4.1	3.9		
Virginia	3.8	3.9		
Pennsylvania	3.3	3.3		
Georgia	3.1	3.0		
Illinois	2.5	1.8		
Other United States	32.1	32.6		
Total commercial real estate finance receivables and loans	100.0	% 100.0		%

Commercial Criticized Exposure

Finance receivables and loans classified as special mention, substandard, or doubtful are deemed criticized. These classifications are based on regulatory definitions and generally represent finance receivables and loans within our portfolio that have a higher default risk or have already defaulted. These finance receivables and loans require additional monitoring and review including specific actions to mitigate our potential economic loss.

The following table presents the percentage of total commercial criticized finance receivables and loans by industry concentrations. These finance receivables and loans are reported at carrying value before allowance for loan losses.

December 31, Industry	2013	2012		
Automotive	91.4	% 85.7		%
Electronics	3.4	1.2		
Services	2.5	4.9		
Other	2.7	8.2		
Total commercial criticized finance receivables and loans	100.0	% 100.0		%

Total criticized exposures increased \$431 million to \$2.1 billion at December 31, 2013 from December 31, 2012, primarily due to the reclassification of a small number of commercial loans within the overall stable commercial portfolio.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Selected Loan Maturity and Sensitivity Data

The table below shows the commercial finance receivables and loans portfolio and the distribution between fixed and floating interest rates based on the stated terms of the commercial loan agreements. This portfolio is reported at carrying value before allowance for loan losses.

December 31, 2013 (\$ in millions)	Within 1 year (a)	1-5 years	After 5 years	Total (b)
Commercial and industrial	\$30,442	\$2,053	\$117	\$32,612
Commercial real estate	82	2,082	691	2,855
Total commercial finance receivables and loans	\$30,524	\$4,135	\$808	\$35,467
Loans at fixed interest rates		\$1,919	\$649	
Loans at variable interest rates		2,216	159	
Total commercial finance receivables and loans		\$4,135	\$808	

(a) Includes loans (e.g., floorplan) with revolving terms.

(b) Loan maturities are based on the remaining maturities under contractual terms.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The following tables present an analysis of the activity in the allowance for loan losses on finance receivables and loans.

(\$ in millions)	Consumer automobile	Consumer mortgage	Total consumer	Commercial	Total	
Allowance at January 1, 2013	\$575	\$452	\$1,027	\$143	\$1,170	
Charge-offs	(639)	(93)	(732)	(5)	(737)	
Recoveries	237	18	255	10	265	
Net charge-offs	(402)	(75)	(477)	5	(472)	
Provision for loan losses	490	13	503	(2)	501	
Other	10	(1)	9	—	9	
Allowance at December 31, 2013	\$673	\$389	\$1,062	\$146	\$1,208	
Allowance for loan losses to finance receivables and loans outstanding at December 31, 2013 (a)	1.2	% 4.6	% 1.6	% 0.4	% 1.2	%
Net charge-offs to average finance receivables and loans outstanding at December 31, 2013 (a)	0.7	% 0.8	% 0.7	% —	% 0.5	%
Allowance for loan losses to total nonperforming finance receivables and loans at December 31, 2013 (a)	204.4	% 203.1	% 203.9	% 71.6	% 166.6	%
Ratio of allowance for loans losses to net charge-offs at December 31, 2013	1.7	5.2	2.2	(27.1)	2.6	

(a) Coverage percentages are based on the allowance for loan losses related to finance receivables and loans excluding those loans held at fair value as a percentage of the unpaid principal balance, net of premiums and discounts.

The allowance for consumer loan losses at December 31, 2013, increased \$35 million compared to December 31, 2012. The increase was primarily due to increases in the allowance for consumer automotive assets due to the continued execution of our underwriting strategy to prudently expand our originations of consumer automotive assets across a broader credit spectrum, and the growth in our U.S. automotive consumer portfolio. The increase was partially offset by continued improved performance of mortgage assets.

The allowance for commercial loan losses increased \$3 million at December 31, 2013, compared to December 31, 2012, primarily related to the higher automotive assets during 2013.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

(\$ in millions)	Consumer automobile	Consumer mortgage	Total consumer	Commercial	Total	
Allowance at January 1, 2012	\$766	\$516	\$1,282	\$221	\$1,503	
Charge-offs	(616)	(149)	(765)	(11)	(776)	
Recoveries	247	11	258	44	302	
Net charge-offs	(369)	(138)	(507)	33	(474)	
Provision for loan losses	257	86	343	(14)	329	
Other (a)	(79)	(12)	(91)	(97)	(188)	
Allowance at December 31, 2012	\$575	\$452	\$1,027	\$143	\$1,170	
Allowance for loan losses to finance receivables and loans outstanding at December 31, 2012 (b)	1.1	% 4.6	% 1.6	% 0.4	% 1.2	%
Net charge-offs to average finance receivables and loans outstanding at December 31, 2012 (b)	0.5	% 1.4	% 0.7	% (0.1)	% 0.4	%
Allowance for loan losses to total nonperforming finance receivables and loans at December 31, 2012 (b)	221.3	% 118.0	% 159.8	% 66.4	% 136.3	%
Ratio of allowance for loan losses to net charge-offs at December 31, 2012	1.6	3.3	2.0	(4.3)	2.5	

(a) Includes provision for loan losses relating to discontinued operations of \$65 million.

(b) Coverage percentages are based on the allowance for loan losses related to finance receivables and loans excluding those loans held at fair value as a percentage of the unpaid principal balance, net of premiums and discounts.

The allowance for consumer loan losses was \$1.0 billion at December 31, 2012, compared to \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2011. The decline reflected the reclassification of the foreign Automotive Finance operations to discontinued operations and the runoff of legacy portfolios, which was partially offset by an increase in loans outstanding.

The allowance for commercial loan losses was \$143 million at December 31, 2012, compared to \$221 million at December 31, 2011. The decline was primarily related to improvement in dealer performance and continued wind-down of non-core commercial assets.

Allowance for Loan Losses by Type

The following table summarizes the allocation of the allowance for loan losses by product type.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013			2012		
	Allowance for loan losses	Allowance as a % of loans outstanding	Allowance as a % of allowance for loan losses	Allowance for loan losses	Allowance as a % of loans outstanding	Allowance as a % of allowance for loan losses
Consumer						
Consumer automobile	\$673	1.2	% 55.7	% \$575	1.1	% 49.2
Consumer Mortgage	389	4.6	32.2	452	4.6	38.6
Total consumer loans	1,062	1.6	87.9	1,027	1.6	87.8
Commercial						
Commercial and industrial						
Automobile	67	0.2	5.6	55	0.2	4.7
Mortgage	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other	50	3.0	4.1	48	1.8	4.1

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-K

Commercial real estate - Automobile	29	1.0	2.4	40	1.6	3.4	
Total commercial loans	146	0.4	12.1	143	0.4	12.2	
Total allowance for loan losses	\$1,208	1.2	100.0	% \$1,170	1.2	100.0	%

59

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Provision for Loan Losses

The following table summarizes the provision for loan losses by product type.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012	2011
Consumer			
Consumer automobile	\$490	\$257	\$102
Consumer mortgage	13	86	126
Total consumer loans	503	343	228
Commercial			
Commercial and industrial			
Automobile	11	(3)	(3)
Mortgage	—	(1)	(3)
Other	(6)	(10)	(51)
Commercial real estate - Automobile	(7)	—	(10)
Total commercial loans	(2)	(14)	(67)
Total provision for loan losses	\$501	\$329	\$161

The provision for consumer loan losses increased \$160 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 2012. The increase was primarily due to the continued execution of our underwriting strategy to prudently expand our originations of consumer automotive assets across a broader credit spectrum, which was significantly narrowed during the most recent economic recession, and the growth in our U.S. automotive consumer portfolio.

Provision for commercial loan losses were credits of \$2 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to credits of \$14 million in 2012. Fewer recoveries and allowance releases from legacy businesses drove a lower credit for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Lease Residual Risk Management

We are exposed to residual risk on vehicles in the consumer lease portfolio. This lease residual risk represents the possibility that the actual proceeds realized upon the sale of returned vehicles will be lower than the projection of these values used in establishing the pricing at lease inception. The following factors most significantly influence lease residual risk. For additional information on our valuation of automobile lease assets and residuals, refer to the Critical Accounting Estimates — Valuation of Automobile Lease Assets and Residuals section within this MD&A.

Used vehicle market — We have exposure to changes in used vehicle prices. General economic conditions, used vehicle supply and demand, and new vehicle market prices heavily influence used vehicle prices.

Residual value projections — At contract inception, we determine the projected residual value based on an internal evaluation of the expected future value. This evaluation is based on a proprietary model, which includes variables such as age, mileage, seasonality, segment factors, vehicle type, economic indicators and production cycle. This internally generated data is compared against third party, independent data for reasonableness. Periodically, we revise the projected value of the lease vehicle at termination based on current market conditions and adjust depreciation expense appropriately over the remaining life of the contract. At termination, our actual sales proceeds from remarketing the vehicle may be higher or lower than the estimated residual value resulting in a gain or loss on remarketing recorded through depreciation expense.

Remarketing abilities — Our ability to efficiently process and effectively market off-lease vehicles affects the disposal costs and the proceeds realized from vehicle sales.

Manufacturer vehicle and marketing programs — Automotive manufacturers influence lease residual results in the following ways:

The brand image of automotive manufacturers and consumer demand for their products affect residual risk.

Automotive manufacturer marketing programs may influence the used vehicle market for those vehicles through programs such as incentives on new vehicles, programs designed to encourage lessees to terminate their leases early in conjunction with the acquisition of a new vehicle (referred to as pull-ahead programs), and special rate used vehicle

programs.

Automotive manufacturers may provide support to us for certain residual deficiencies.

60

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

The following table summarizes the volume of Ally lease terminations in the United States over recent periods. It also summarizes the average sales proceeds on 24-, 36-, and 48-month scheduled lease terminations for those same periods.

Year ended December 31,	2013	2012	2011
Off-lease vehicles remarketed (in units)	148,587	63,435	248,934
Average sales proceeds on scheduled lease terminations (\$ per unit)			
24-month (a)	\$22,228	\$23,133	n/m
36-month (b)	17,660	17,434	\$20,239
48-month	16,613	17,144	15,720

n/m = not meaningful

(a) During 2011, 24-month lease terminations were not materially sufficient to create a historical comparison due to our temporary curtailment of leasing beginning in late 2008.

(b) The majority of our outstanding consumer lease portfolio is comprised of 36-month leases.

The number of off-lease vehicles remarketed in 2013 more than doubled the historically low terminations in 2012. The decline in 2012 was the result of our temporary curtailment of lease originations beginning in late 2008. In late 2009, we began originating leases in material volume again, a primary driver of higher terminations in 2013. For information on our Investment in Operating Leases, refer to Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Market Risk

Our automotive financing, mortgage, and insurance activities give rise to market risk representing the potential loss in the fair value of assets or liabilities and earnings caused by movements in market variables, such as interest rates, foreign-exchange rates, equity prices, market perceptions of credit risk, and other market fluctuations that affect the value of securities, assets held-for-sale, and operating leases. We are exposed to interest rate risk arising from changes in interest rates related to financing, investing, and cash management activities. More specifically, we have entered into contracts to provide financing and to retain various assets related to securitization activities all of which are exposed in varying degrees to changes in value due to movements in interest rates. Interest rate risk arises from the mismatch between assets and the related liabilities used for funding. We enter into various financial instruments, including derivatives, to maintain the desired level of exposure to the risk of interest rate and other fluctuations. Refer to Note 21 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.

We are also exposed to some foreign-currency risk arising from foreign-currency denominated assets and liabilities. We enter into hedges to mitigate foreign exchange risk.

We also have exposure to equity price risk, primarily in our Insurance operations, which invests in equity securities that are subject to price risk influenced by capital market movements. We enter into equity options to economically hedge our exposure to the equity markets.

Although the diversity of our activities from our complementary lines of business may partially mitigate market risk, we also actively manage this risk. We maintain risk management control systems to monitor interest rates, foreign-currency exchange rates, equity price risks, and any of their related hedge positions. Positions are monitored using a variety of analytical techniques including market value, sensitivity analysis, and value at risk models.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Fair Value Sensitivity Analysis

The following table and subsequent discussion presents a fair value sensitivity analysis of our assets and liabilities using isolated hypothetical movements in specific market rates. The analysis assumes adverse instantaneous, parallel shifts in market-exchange rates, interest rate yield curves, and equity prices. Additionally, since only adverse fair value impacts are included, the natural offset between asset and liability rate sensitivities that arise within a diversified balance sheet, such as ours, is not considered.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012
Financial instruments exposed to changes in:		
Interest rates		
Estimated fair value	(a)	(a)
Effect of 10% adverse change in rates	(a)	(a)
Foreign-currency exchange rates		
Estimated fair value	\$588	\$2,791
Effect of 10% adverse change in rates	(23) (279
Equity prices		
Estimated fair value	\$938	\$1,152
Effect of 10% decrease in prices	(90) (115

(a) Refer to the next section titled Net Interest Income Sensitivity Analysis for information on the interest rate sensitivity of our financial instruments.

The fair value of our foreign currency exchange-rate sensitive financial instruments decreased during the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 2012, due to decreases in finance receivables and loans. This decreased foreign-currency exchange rate exposure drove our decreased sensitivity to a 10% adverse change in rates. The decrease in the fair value of our equity-sensitive financial instruments was due to a lower equity investment balance compared to prior year. This change in equity exposure drove our decreased sensitivity to a 10% decrease in equity prices.

Net Interest Income Sensitivity Analysis

We use net interest income sensitivity analysis as our primary metric to measure and manage the interest rate sensitivities of our financial instruments. Interest rate risk represents the most significant market risk to our exposures. We actively monitor the level of exposure so that movements in interest rates do not adversely affect future earnings. We prepare forward-looking forecasts of net interest income, which take into consideration anticipated future business growth, asset/liability positioning, and interest rates based on the implied forward curve. Simulations are used to assess changes in net interest income in multiple interest rates scenarios relative to the baseline forecast. The changes in net interest income relative to the baseline are defined as the sensitivity. The net interest income sensitivity tests measure the potential change in our pretax net interest income over the following twelve months. A number of alternative rate scenarios are tested including immediate parallel shocks to the forward yield curve, nonparallel shocks to the forward yield curve, and stresses to certain term points on the yield curve in isolation to capture and monitor a number of risk types.

Our twelve-month pretax net interest income sensitivity based on the forward-curve was as follows.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012
Parallel rate shifts		
-100 basis points	\$53	\$(7
+100 basis points	(127) (46
+200 basis points	(176) 48

The positive change in net interest income in the -100 basis points scenario in the 2013 analysis is mainly due to declines in deposit interest expense and market-based funding. The impact of downward shocks is somewhat muted by the current low interest rate environment which limits absolute declines in short term rates in a shock scenario. The

adverse change in net interest income in the upward shock scenarios is mainly due to increased interest expense on rate sensitive liabilities as well as rate index floors on certain commercial loans that limit interest income increases until the related rate index rises above the level of the floor. Compared to 2012, the increased impact of +100 and +200 basis point scenarios is largely driven by increased rate sensitive liabilities and a steeper yield curve.

Operational Risk

We define operational risk as the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed processes or systems, human factors, or external events. Operational risk is an inherent risk element in each of our businesses and related support activities. Such risk can manifest in various ways, including errors, business interruptions, and inappropriate behavior of employees, and can potentially result in financial losses and other damage to us. Examples of operational risk include legal/compliance, vendor management, model, reputational, and representation and warranty obligation risks (see the Purchase Obligations discussion within this MD&A).

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

To monitor and control such risk, we maintain a system of policies and a control framework designed to provide a sound and well-controlled operational environment. This framework employs practices and tools designed to maintain risk governance, risk and control assessment and testing, risk monitoring, and transparency through risk reporting mechanisms. The goal is to maintain operational risk at appropriate levels based on our financial strength, the characteristics of the businesses and the markets in which we operate, and the related competitive and regulatory environment.

Notwithstanding these risk and control initiatives, we may incur losses attributable to operational risks from time to time, and there can be no assurance these losses will not be incurred in the future.

Insurance / Underwriting Risk

In underwriting our vehicle service contracts and insurance policies, we assess the particular risk involved, including losses and loss adjustment expenses, and determine the acceptability of the risk as well as the categorization of the risk for appropriate pricing. We base our determination of the risk on various assumptions tailored to the respective insurance product. With respect to vehicle service contracts, assumptions include the quality of the vehicles produced, the price of replacement parts, repair labor rates in the future, and new model introductions. Insurance risk also includes event risk, which is synonymous with pure risk, hazard risk, or insurance risk, and presents no chance of gain, only of loss.

In some instances, reinsurance is used to reduce the risk associated with volatile businesses, such as catastrophe risk in U.S. dealer vehicle inventory insurance. Our dealer vehicle inventory insurance products are covered by traditional property catastrophe excess of loss protection, as well as aggregate stop loss protection, both of which include catastrophe coverage for hurricane events. In addition, loss control techniques, such as hail nets or storm path monitoring to assist dealers in preparing for severe weather, help to mitigate loss potential.

We mitigate losses by the active management of claim settlement activities using experienced claims personnel and the evaluation of current period reported claims. Losses for these events may be compared to prior claims experience, expected claims, or loss expenses from similar incidents to assess the reasonableness of incurred losses.

In accordance with industry and accounting practices and applicable insurance laws and regulatory requirements, we maintain reserves for reported losses, losses incurred but not reported, and loss adjustment expenses. The estimated values of our prior reported loss reserves and changes to the estimated values are routinely monitored by credentialed actuaries. Our reserve estimates are regularly reviewed by management; however, since the reserves are based on estimates and numerous assumptions, the ultimate liability may differ from the amount estimated.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Liquidity Management, Funding, and Regulatory Capital

Overview

The purpose of liquidity management is to ensure our ability to meet changes in loan and lease demand, debt maturities, deposit withdrawals, and other cash commitments under both normal operating conditions as well as periods of economic or financial stress. Our primary objective is to maintain cost-effective, stable and diverse sources of funding capable of sustaining the organization throughout all market cycles. Sources of liquidity include both retail and brokered deposits and secured and unsecured market-based funding across various maturity, interest rate, and investor profiles. Further liquidity is available through a pool of unencumbered highly liquid securities, borrowing facilities, repurchase agreements, as well as funding programs supported by the Federal Reserve and the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh (FHLB).

We define liquidity risk as the risk that an institution's financial condition or overall safety and soundness is adversely affected by an inability, or perceived inability, to meet its financial obligations, and to withstand unforeseen liquidity stress events. Liquidity risk can arise from a variety of institution specific or market-related events that could have a negative impact on cash flows available to the organization. Effective management of liquidity risk helps ensure an organization's preparedness to meet uncertain cash flow obligations caused by unanticipated events. The ability of financial institutions to manage liquidity needs and contingent funding exposures has proven essential to their solvency.

The Asset-Liability Committee (ALCO) is chaired by the Corporate Treasurer and is responsible for monitoring Ally's liquidity position, funding strategies and plans, contingency funding plans, and counterparty credit exposure arising from financial transactions. Corporate Treasury is responsible for managing the liquidity positions of Ally within prudent operating guidelines and targets approved by ALCO and the Risk and Compliance Committee of the Ally Financial Board of Directors. We manage liquidity risk at the parent company, Ally Bank, and consolidated levels. The parent company and Ally Bank prepare periodic forecasts depicting anticipated funding needs and sources of funds with oversight and monitoring by the Liquidity Risk group within Corporate Treasury. Corporate Treasury executes our funding strategies and manages liquidity under baseline economic projections as well as more severe economic stressed environments.

We use multiple measures to frame the level of liquidity risk, manage the liquidity position, or identify related trends such as early warning indicators. These measures include coverage ratios that measure the sufficiency of the liquidity portfolio and stability ratios that measure longer-term structural liquidity. In addition, we have established internal management routines designed to review all aspects of liquidity and funding plans, evaluate the adequacy of liquidity buffers, review stress testing results, and assist senior management in the execution of its structured strategy and risk management accountabilities.

We maintain available liquidity in the form of cash, unencumbered highly liquid securities, and available credit facility capacity that, taken together, allows us to operate and to meet our contractual and contingent obligations in the event of market-wide disruptions and enterprise-specific events. We maintain available liquidity at various entities and consider regulatory restrictions and tax implications that may limit our ability to transfer funds across entities. At December 31, 2013, we maintained \$13.3 billion of total available parent company liquidity and \$5.9 billion of total available liquidity at Ally Bank. Parent company liquidity is defined as our consolidated operations less Ally Bank and the regulated subsidiaries of Ally Insurance's holding company. Absolute levels of liquidity decreased as a result of liability and equity management transactions. To optimize cash and secured facility capacity between entities, the parent company lends cash to Ally Bank on occasion under an intercompany loan agreement. At December 31, 2013, \$0.6 billion was outstanding under the intercompany loan agreement. Amounts outstanding are repayable to the parent company upon demand, subject to five days notice. As a result, this amount is included in the parent company available liquidity and excluded from the available liquidity at Ally Bank.

Regulatory Liquidity Developments

In December 2010, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (Basel Committee) issued “Basel III: International framework for liquidity risk measurement, standards and monitoring”, which included two minimum quantitative liquidity standards. The first standard is the Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR). The LCR is the ratio of a bank's unencumbered high-quality liquid assets to its total net cash outflows over a 30 calendar-day time horizon under a standardized liquidity stress scenario specified by supervisors. The second standard is the Net Stable Funding Ratio (NSFR). The NSFR is structured to ensure that long term assets are funded with at least a minimum amount of stable liabilities in relation to their liquidity risk profiles. In January 2013, the Group of Governors and Heads of Supervision, the oversight body of the Basel Committee, unanimously endorsed amendments to the LCR announced in December 2010. In January 2014, the Basel Committee issued final standards for banks' LCR related public disclosures and proposed revisions to the NSFR.

In November 2013, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve (FRB), and Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation issued a proposal titled “Liquidity Coverage Ratio: Liquidity Risk Measurement, Standards, and Monitoring; Proposed Rule” (Proposed Rule). The purpose of the Proposed Rule is to seek comment on the implementation of a quantitative liquidity standard that is broadly consistent with, but is in certain respects more stringent than, the LCR standard established by the Basel Committee. The Proposed Rule would apply a U.S. version of the LCR to all internationally active banking organizations, generally, bank holding companies, certain savings and loan holding companies, and depository institutions with more than \$250 billion in total assets or more than \$10 billion in on balance sheet foreign exposure.

As part of the Proposed Rule, the FRB, on its own, also proposed a modified LCR (MLCR) standard that is based on a 21-calendar day standardized supervisory liquidity stress scenario for bank holding companies and savings and loan holding companies without significant insurance or commercial operations that have \$50 billion or more in total consolidated assets. Because Ally's total consolidated assets are less

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

than \$250 billion but greater than \$50 billion, and because it has immaterial foreign exposure, Ally is expected to be subject to the requirements of the MLCR.

The Proposed Rule targets a January 1, 2015 effectiveness date, subject to a transition period (phased-in implementation with a minimum ratio of 80% in 2015, 90% in 2016 and 100% in 2017 and beyond). The Basel Committee has targeted a 2018 effective date for the NSFR. We will continue to monitor the potential impacts of both the Proposed Rule and anticipated NSFR, and expect to be able to meet the final requirements of each.

Funding Strategy

Liquidity and ongoing profitability are largely dependent on our timely and cost-effective access to retail deposits and funding in different segments of the capital markets. Our funding strategy largely focuses on the development of diversified funding sources across a broad investor base to meet all our liquidity needs throughout different market cycles, including periods of financial distress. These funding sources include capital market based unsecured debt, unsecured retail term notes, public and private asset-backed securitizations, committed credit facilities, brokered deposits, and retail deposits. We also supplement these sources with a modest amount of short-term borrowings, including Demand Notes, bank loans, and repurchase arrangements. The diversity of our funding sources enhances funding flexibility, limits dependence on any one source, and results in a more cost-effective funding strategy over the long term. We evaluate funding markets on an ongoing basis to achieve an appropriate balance of unsecured and secured funding sources and the maturity profiles of both. In addition, we further distinguish our funding strategy between Ally Bank funding and parent company (nonbank) funding.

We diversify Ally Bank's overall funding in order to reduce reliance on any one source of funding and to achieve a well-balanced funding portfolio across a spectrum of risk, duration, and cost of funds characteristics. Over the past few years, we have been focused on optimizing our funding sources, in particular at Ally Bank by growing retail deposits, expanding public and private securitization programs, maintaining a prudent maturity profile of our brokered deposit portfolio while not exceeding a \$10.0 billion portfolio, maintaining repurchase agreements, and continuing to access funds from the Federal Home Loan Banks.

Since 2009, we have been directing asset originations in the United States to Ally Bank in order to reduce and minimize our parent company exposures and funding requirements and to utilize our growing consumer deposit-taking capabilities. This has allowed us to use bank funding for a wider array of our automotive finance assets and to provide a sustainable long-term funding channel for the business, while also improving the cost of funds for the enterprise.

Ally Bank

Ally Bank raises deposits directly from customers through the direct banking channel via the internet, over the telephone, and through mobile applications. These deposits provide our Automotive Finance and Mortgage operations with a stable and low-cost funding source. At December 31, 2013, Ally Bank had \$52.9 billion of total external deposits, including \$43.2 billion of retail deposits.

At December 31, 2013, Ally Bank maintained cash liquidity of \$2.3 billion and unencumbered highly liquid U.S. federal government and U.S. agency securities of \$3.9 billion. In addition, at December 31, 2013, Ally Bank had unused capacity in committed secured funding facilities of \$0.3 billion. Our ability to access unused capacity depends on having eligible assets to collateralize the incremental funding and, in some instances, the execution of interest rate hedges. To optimize cash and secured facility capacity between entities, the parent company lends cash to Ally Bank on occasion under an intercompany loan agreement. Amounts outstanding on this loan are repayable to the parent company upon demand, subject to five days notice. Ally Bank has total available liquidity of \$5.9 billion at December 31, 2013, excluding the intercompany loan of \$0.6 billion.

Maximizing bank funding continues to be a key part of our long-term liquidity strategy. We have made significant progress in migrating asset originations to Ally Bank and growing our retail deposit base since becoming a bank holding company in December 2008. Retail deposit growth is key to further reducing our cost of funds and decreasing our reliance on the capital markets. We believe deposits provide a stable, low-cost source of funds that are less

sensitive to interest rate changes, market volatility, or changes in our credit ratings when compared to other funding sources. We have continued to expand our deposit gathering efforts through our direct and indirect marketing channels. Current retail product offerings consist of a variety of products including certificates of deposits (CDs), savings accounts, money market accounts, IRA deposit products, as well as an interest checking product. In addition, we utilize brokered deposits, which are obtained through third-party intermediaries. During 2013, the deposit base at Ally Bank grew \$6.0 billion, ending the year at \$52.9 billion from \$46.9 billion at December 31, 2012. The growth in deposits has been primarily attributable to our retail deposit portfolio, particularly within our savings and money market checking accounts, and our CDs, partially offset by a decline in our mortgage escrow accounts related to the disposition of Ally Bank's MSR assets. Strong retention rates continue to materially contribute to our growth in retail deposits. In the fourth quarter of 2013 we retained 92% of maturing CD balances up for renewal in the same period. Refer to Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a summary of deposit funding by type.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

The following table shows Ally Bank's number of accounts and deposit balances by type as of the end of each quarter since 2012.

(\$ in millions)	4th Quarter 2013	3rd Quarter 2013	2nd Quarter 2013	1st Quarter 2013	4th Quarter 2012	3rd Quarter 2012	2nd Quarter 2012	1st Quarter 2012
Number of retail accounts	1,509,354	1,451,026	1,389,577	1,334,483	1,219,791	1,142,837	1,082,753	1,036,468
Deposits								
Retail	\$43,172	\$41,691	\$39,859	\$38,770	\$35,041	\$32,139	\$30,403	\$29,323
Brokered	9,678	9,724	9,552	9,877	9,914	9,882	9,905	9,884
Other (a)	60	66	72	844	1,977	2,487	2,411	2,314
Total deposits	\$52,910	\$51,481	\$49,483	\$49,491	\$46,932	\$44,508	\$42,719	\$41,521

(a) Other deposits include mortgage escrow and other deposits (excluding intercompany deposits).

In addition to building a larger deposit base, we continue to remain active in the securitization markets to finance our Ally Bank automotive loan portfolios. During 2013, Ally Bank completed six term securitization transactions backed by retail and dealer floorplan automotive loans and lease notes raising \$4.5 billion. Securitization has proven to be a reliable and cost-effective funding source. Additionally, for retail automotive loans and lease notes, the term structure of the transaction locks in funding for a specified pool of loans and leases for the life of the underlying asset creating an effective tool for managing interest rate and liquidity risk. We manage the execution risk arising from secured funding by maintaining a diverse investor base and maintaining capacity in our committed secured facilities. At December 31, 2013, Ally Bank had exclusive access to \$3.0 billion from committed credit facilities including a \$2.5 billion syndicated facility that can fund automotive retail and dealer floorplan loans, as well as leases. In March 2013, this facility was renewed by a syndicate of nineteen lenders and extended until June 2014. At December 31, 2013, the amount outstanding under this facility was \$2.5 billion.

Ally Bank also has access to funding through advances with the FHLB of Pittsburgh. These advances are primarily secured by consumer and commercial mortgage finance receivables and loans. As of December 31, 2013, Ally Bank had pledged \$12.7 billion of assets and investment securities to the FHLB resulting in \$6.6 billion in total funding capacity with \$6.6 billion of debt outstanding.

In addition, Ally Bank has access to repurchase agreements. A repurchase agreement is a transaction in which the firm sells financial instruments to a buyer, typically in exchange for cash, and simultaneously enters into an agreement to repurchase the same or substantially the same financial instruments from the buyer at a stated price plus accrued interest at a future date. The financial instruments sold in repurchase agreements typically include U.S. government and federal agency, and investment-grade sovereign obligations. As of December 31, 2013, Ally Bank had received \$1.5 billion in cash under repurchase agreements.

Additionally Ally Bank has access to the Federal Reserve Bank Discount Window and can borrow funds to meet short-term liquidity demands. However, the Federal Reserve Bank is not a primary source of funding for day to day business. Instead, it is a liquidity source that can be accessed in stressed environments or periods of market disruption. Ally Bank has assets pledged and restricted as collateral to the Federal Reserve Bank totaling \$3.2 billion. Ally Bank had no debt outstanding with the Federal Reserve as of December 31, 2013.

Parent Company (Nonbank) Funding

At December 31, 2013, the parent company maintained liquid cash and equivalents in the amount of \$3.3 billion and unencumbered highly liquid U.S. federal government and U.S. agency securities of \$2.9 billion. These assets can be used to obtain funding through repurchase agreements with third parties or through outright sales. At December 31, 2013, the parent company had no debt outstanding under repurchase agreements. In addition, at December 31, 2013, the parent company had available liquidity from unused capacity in committed credit facilities of \$6.5 billion. Parent company liquidity is defined as our consolidated operations less Ally Bank and the regulated subsidiaries of Ally

Insurance's holding company. Our ability to access unused capacity in secured facilities depends on the availability of eligible assets to collateralize the incremental funding and, in some instances, on the execution of interest rate hedges. Funding sources at the parent company generally consist of long-term unsecured debt, unsecured retail term notes, committed credit facilities, asset-backed securitizations, and a modest amount of short-term borrowings. To optimize cash and secured facility capacity between entities, the parent company lends cash to Ally Bank on occasion under an intercompany loan agreement. Amounts outstanding on this loan are repayable to the parent company upon demand, subject to five days notice. The parent company had total available liquidity of \$13.3 billion at December 31, 2013, which included the intercompany loan of \$0.6 billion.

During 2013, we completed three transactions totaling \$3.1 billion in funding through the unsecured debt capital markets and we will continue to access those markets on an opportunistic basis.

In addition, we have short-term and long-term unsecured debt outstanding from retail term note programs. These programs generally consist of callable fixed-rate instruments with fixed-maturity dates. There were \$1.8 billion and \$10.4 billion of retail term notes outstanding at December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively. As of December 31, 2013, we have redeemed \$8.1 billion of high-coupon callable retail notes and we have provided notice for the early redemption of \$1.6 billion of high-coupon callable debt during the first quarter of 2014, as part of a liability management strategy to continue to improve Ally's cost of funds.

We also obtain unsecured funding from the sale of floating-rate demand notes under our Demand Notes program. The holder has the option to require us to redeem these notes at any time without restriction. Demand Notes outstanding were \$3.2 billion at December 31, 2013,

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

compared to \$3.1 billion at December 31, 2012. Refer to Note 14 and Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about our outstanding short-term borrowings and long-term unsecured debt, respectively.

Secured funding continues to be a significant source of financing at the parent company. The total capacity in our committed funding facilities is provided by banks and other financial institutions through private transactions. The committed secured funding facilities can be revolving in nature and allow for additional funding during the commitment period, or they can be amortizing and not allow for any further funding after the closing date. At December 31, 2013, \$22.4 billion of our \$24.7 billion of committed capacity was revolving. Our revolving facilities generally have an original tenor ranging from 364 days to two years. As of December 31, 2013, we had \$11.5 billion of committed funding capacity from revolving facilities with a remaining tenor greater than 364 days. The parent company's largest facility is an \$8.5 billion revolving syndicated credit facility secured by automotive receivables. In March 2013, we increased and renewed this facility until March 2015. In the event this facility is not renewed at maturity, the outstanding debt will be repaid over time as the underlying collateral amortizes. At December 31, 2013, there was \$6.5 billion outstanding under this facility. In addition to our syndicated revolving credit facility, we also maintain various bilateral and multilateral secured credit facilities that fund our Automotive Finance operations. These are primarily private securitization facilities that fund a specific pool of automotive assets. Many of the facilities have revolving commitments and allow for the funding of additional assets during the commitment period. Secured funding continues to be a significant source of financing at the parent company.

During 2013, the parent company raised \$4.1 billion through four public securitization transactions comprised of non-prime retail automotive loan collateral.

At December 31, 2013, the parent company maintained exclusive access to \$20.6 billion of committed secured credit facilities in the U.S. with outstanding debt of \$14.1 billion. In addition, we have funded \$1.0 billion in automotive assets through forward purchase commitments.

Recent Funding Developments

During 2013, we completed U.S. secured funding transactions totaling \$8.6 billion and renewed or increased key existing funding facilities as we accessed both the public and private markets. Key funding highlights from 2013 to date were as follows:

Ally Financial Inc. renewed, increased and/or extended \$19.7 billion in U.S. credit facilities. The automotive credit facility renewal amount includes the March 2013 refinancing of \$11.0 billion in credit facilities at both the parent company and Ally Bank with a syndicate of nineteen lenders. The \$11.0 billion capacity is secured by retail, lease, and dealer floorplan automotive assets and is allocated to two separate facilities, one is an \$8.5 billion facility maturing in March 2015, which is available to the parent company, while the other is a \$2.5 billion facility available to Ally Bank maturing in June 2014.

Ally Financial Inc. continued to access the public asset-backed securitization markets completing ten U.S. transactions that raised \$8.6 billion, with \$4.5 billion and \$4.1 billion raised by Ally Bank and the parent company, respectively.

Ally Financial Inc. accessed the unsecured debt capital markets during 2013 and raised \$3.1 billion.

In January 2014, Ally Financial Inc. accessed the unsecured debt capital markets and raised \$0.8 billion.

In January 2014, Ally Financial Inc. issued a public non-prime securitization. The transaction raised \$1.2 billion in funding.

In February 2014, Ally Bank raised \$1.0 billion through a public securitization backed by dealer floorplan automotive assets.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Funding Sources

The following table summarizes debt and other sources of funding and the amount outstanding under each category for the periods shown.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	Bank	Nonbank	Total	%
2013				
Secured financings	\$27,818	\$19,776	\$47,594	36
Institutional term debt	—	24,936	24,936	19
Retail debt programs (a)	—	5,035	5,035	4
Total debt (b)	27,818	49,747	77,565	59
Deposits (c)	52,910	440	53,350	41
Total on-balance sheet funding	\$80,728	\$50,187	\$130,915	100
2012				
Secured financings	\$29,161	\$15,950	\$45,111	35
Institutional term debt	—	22,200	22,200	17
Retail debt programs (a)	—	13,451	13,451	10
Bank loans and other	2	164	166	—
Total debt (b)	29,163	51,765	80,928	62
Deposits (c)	46,932	983	47,915	38
Total on-balance sheet funding	\$76,095	\$52,748	\$128,843	100

(a) Includes \$1.8 billion and \$10.4 billion of Retail Term Notes at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

(b) Excludes fair value adjustment as described in Note 24 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(c) Bank deposits include retail, brokered, mortgage escrow, and other deposits. Nonbank deposits include dealer deposits. Intercompany deposits are not included.

As a result of our funding strategy to maximize funding sources at Ally Bank and grow our retail deposit base, the percentage of funding sources from Ally Bank has increased in 2013 from 2012 levels, thus deposits represent a larger portion of the overall funding mix. Accordingly, the decline in committed funding facilities is attributed to the growth in Ally Bank deposits as well as to the sale of international businesses. Refer to Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a summary of the scheduled maturity of long-term debt at December 31, 2013.

Committed Funding Facilities

December 31, (\$ in millions)	Outstanding		Unused capacity (a)		Total capacity	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
Bank funding						
Secured	\$2,750	\$3,800	\$250	\$4,700	\$3,000	\$8,500
Parent funding						
Unsecured (b)	—	118	—	25	—	143
Secured (c) (d) (e)	15,159	22,454	6,497	7,839	21,656	30,293
Total Parent funding	15,159	22,572	6,497	7,864	21,656	30,436
Shared capacity (f)	—	1,154	—	2,971	—	4,125
Total committed facilities	\$17,909	\$27,526	\$6,747	\$15,535	\$24,656	\$43,061

(a) Funding from committed secured facilities is available on request in the event excess collateral resides in certain facilities or is available to the extent incremental collateral is available and contributed to the facilities.

(b) Total unsecured parent funding capacity represented committed funding for our discontinued international automobile financing business.

(c) Total secured parent funding capacity included committed funding for our discontinued international automobile financing business of \$12.0 billion at December 31, 2012, with outstanding debt of \$9.6 billion.

(d) Total unused capacity included \$2.2 billion at December 31, 2012 from certain committed funding arrangements that were generally reliant upon the origination of future automotive receivables available in 2013.

(e) Includes the secured facilities of our Commercial Finance Group.

Funding was generally available for assets originated by Ally Bank or the parent company, Ally Financial Inc.

(f) Total shared facilities included committed funding for our discontinued international automobile financing business of \$0.1 billion as of December 31, 2012, with outstanding debt of \$0.1 billion.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Cash Flows

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$2.5 billion for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$5.0 billion for the same period in 2012. The decrease in net cash provided by operating activities was primarily due to higher cash outflow to settle derivatives during the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 2012. The decrease was partially offset by the net cash inflow from sales and repayments of mortgage and automotive loans held-for-sale exceeding cash outflow from new originations and purchase of such loans by \$2.5 billion during the year ended December 31, 2013. During the year ended December 31, 2012, this activity resulted in a net cash inflow of \$1.0 billion.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$3.5 billion for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$16.6 billion for the same period in 2012. The decrease in net cash used in investing activities was primarily due to \$7.4 billion of net cash proceeds resulting from the sale of international businesses and proceeds of \$911 million from the sale of MSRs during the year ended December 31, 2013, as well as a \$7.1 billion decrease in net cash outflow from finance receivables and loans and a \$1.6 billion increase in cash provided from the net change in restricted cash balances for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 2012. Cash used to purchase available-for-sale investment securities, net of proceeds from sales, maturities and repayments increased \$3.7 billion during the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 2012. The cash outflow to purchase operating lease assets exceeded cash inflows from disposals of such assets by \$6.2 billion for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to a net cash outflow of \$5.7 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase in net cash outflows associated with leasing activities compared to the prior year was primarily due to an increase in cash used to acquire operating lease assets.

Net cash used in financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2013, totaled \$3.1 billion, compared to net cash provided by financing of \$8.0 billion in the same period in 2012. Cash used to repay long-term debt exceeded cash generated from long-term debt issuances by \$4.6 billion for the year ended December 31, 2013, as cash generated from the sale of international businesses was used in part to pay down debt. During the year ended December 31, 2012, cash used to repay long-term debt exceeded cash from long-term debt issuances by \$0.5 billion. Also contributing to the increase in cash used in financing activities was a \$5.9 billion cash outflow to redeem mandatorily convertible preferred stock held by Treasury during 2013, as well as decreases in cash provided by deposits of \$1.3 billion and short-term borrowings of \$1.1 billion during the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 2012. Financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2013, also reflect a \$1.3 billion cash inflow from the issuance of common stock in a private placement transaction.

Capital Planning and Stress Tests

As a bank holding company with \$50 billion or more of consolidated assets, Ally is required to conduct periodic stress tests and submit a proposed capital plan to the FRB every January, which the FRB must take action on by the following March. The proposed capital plan must include a description of all planned capital actions over a nine-quarter planning horizon. The proposed capital plan must also include a discussion of how Ally will maintain capital above the minimum regulatory capital ratios and above a Tier 1 common equity-to-total risk-weighted assets ratio of 5 percent, and serve as a source of strength to Ally Bank. The FRB must approve Ally's proposed capital plan before Ally may take any proposed capital action.

Ally submitted its annual capital plan in January 2013; and then submitted a revised capital plan in September 2013 after reaching a settlement agreement with ResCap's major creditors (refer to Note 1 for further details) and entering into investment agreements, with certain accredited investors, to issue and sell them common stock in a private placement with an aggregate price of \$1.3 billion (refer to Note 17 for further details). In connection with its review of the revised capital plan, the FRB did not object to our revised Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review. We continue to have active, frequent and constructive dialogue with the FRB, and have submitted the required 2014 capital plan on January 6, 2014.

Regulatory Capital

Refer to Note 20 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Credit Ratings

The cost and availability of unsecured financing are influenced by credit ratings, which are intended to be an indicator of the creditworthiness of a particular company, security, or obligation. Lower ratings result in higher borrowing costs and reduced access to capital markets. This is particularly true for certain institutional investors whose investment guidelines require investment-grade ratings on term debt and the two highest rating categories for short-term debt (particularly money market investors).

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Nationally recognized statistical rating organizations rate substantially all our debt. The following table summarizes our current ratings and outlook by the respective nationally recognized rating agencies.

Rating agency	Short-term	Senior debt	Outlook	Date of last action
Fitch	B	BB	Stable	December 13, 2013 (a)
Moody's	Not-Prime	B1	Stable	December 19, 2013 (b)
S&P	B	BB	Stable	December 12, 2013 (c)
DBRS	R-4	BB	Stable	July 3, 2013 (d)

(a) Fitch upgraded our senior debt rating to BB from BB- and affirmed our short term rating of B on December 13, 2013.

(b) Moody's upgraded our corporate family rating to Ba3 and confirmed our senior debt ratings of B1 and our short term ratings of Not Prime on December 19, 2013.

(c) Standard & Poor's upgraded our senior debt rating to BB from B+ and upgraded our short term rating to B from C on December 12, 2013.

(d) DBRS upgraded our senior debt rating to BB, confirmed our short term rating of R-4, and changed the outlook to Stable on July 3, 2013.

Insurance Financial Strength Ratings

Substantially all of our Insurance operations have a Financial Strength Rating (FSR) and an Issuer Credit Rating (ICR) from the A.M. Best Company. The FSR is intended to be an indicator of the ability of the insurance company to meet its senior most obligations to policyholders. Lower ratings generally result in fewer opportunities to write business as insureds, particularly large commercial insureds, and insurance companies purchasing reinsurance have guidelines requiring high FSR ratings. On February 14, 2013, A.M. Best affirmed the FSR of B++ (good) and the ICR of bbb.

Off-balance Sheet Arrangements

Refer to Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Securitization

We are involved in several types of securitization and financing transactions that allow us to diversify funding sources by converting assets into cash earlier than what would have occurred in the normal course of business. Securitized assets include consumer and commercial automobile loans, operating leases, and commercial loans. Information regarding our securitization activities is further described in Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

As part of these securitization activities, we sell assets to various securitization entities. In turn, the securitization entities establish separate trusts to which they transfer the assets in exchange for the proceeds from the sale of securities issued by the trust. The trusts' activities are generally limited to acquiring the assets, issuing securities, making payments on the securities, and periodically reporting to the investors.

These securitization entities are separate legal entities that assume the risks and rewards of ownership of the receivables they hold. The assets of the securitization entities are not available to satisfy our claims or those of our creditors. In addition, the trusts do not invest in our equity nor in the equity of any of our affiliates. Our economic exposure related to the securitization trusts is generally limited to cash reserves, retained interests, and customary representation and warranty provisions described in Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The trusts have a limited life and generally terminate upon final distribution of amounts owed to investors or upon exercise of a cleanup call option by us, as servicer, when the costs of servicing the contracts becomes burdensome.

Certain of these securitization transactions meet the criteria to be accounted for as off-balance sheet arrangements if we either do not hold a potentially significant economic interest or do not provide servicing or asset management functions for the financial assets held by the securitization entity. Certain of our securitization transactions do not meet the required criteria to be accounted for as off-balance sheet arrangements; therefore, they are accounted for as secured financings. As secured financings, the underlying automobile finance retail contracts, wholesale loans, automobile leases, or commercial loans remain on our Consolidated Balance Sheet with the corresponding obligation (consisting of the beneficial interests issued by the securitization entity) reflected as debt. We recognize interest

income on the finance receivables, automobile leases and loans, and interest expense on the beneficial interests issued by the securitization entity; and we provide for loan losses on the finance receivables and loans as incurred or adjust to fair value for fair value-elected loans. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, \$72.0 billion and \$68.0 billion of our total assets, respectively, were related to secured financings. Refer to Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

As part of our securitization activities, we typically agree to service the transferred assets for a fee, and we may also earn other related fees. The amount of the fees earned is disclosed in Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. We may also retain a portion of senior and subordinated interests issued by the trusts. Subordinate interests typically provide credit support to the more highly rated senior interest in a securitization transaction and may be subject to all or a portion of the first loss position related to the sold assets. For off-balance sheet arrangements, these interests are reported as investment securities or other assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheet and are disclosed in Note 6 and Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. For secured financings, retained interests are not recognized as a separate asset on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

The FDIC, which regulates Ally Bank, promulgated safe harbor regulation for securitizations by banks. Compliance with this regulation requires the sponsoring bank to retain either five percent of each class of beneficial interests issued in the securitization or a representative

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

sample of similar financial assets equal to five percent of the securitized financial assets to comply with the regulation. The retained interests or assets must be held for the life of the securitization and may not be sold, pledged or hedged, except that interest rate and currency hedging is permitted. Further, the risk retention requirement under the Dodd-Frank Act requires securitizers to retain no less than 5% of the credit risk when they create, sell, or transfer asset-backed securities (ABS) to third parties, subject to certain exceptions. Federal regulators repropose a regulation implementing this Dodd-Frank Act requirement in August 2013. These risk retention requirements adversely affect the efficiency of securitizations, because it reduces the amount of funds that can be raised against a given pool of financial assets.

Purchase Obligations

Certain of the structures related to whole-loan sales, securitization transactions, and other off-balance sheet activities contain provisions that are standard in the whole-loan sale and securitization markets where we may (or, in certain limited circumstances, are obligated to) purchase specific assets from entities. Our obligations are as follows.

Loan Repurchases and Obligations Related to Loan Sales

ResCap Bankruptcy Filing

As described in Note 1 and Note 29 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, on May 14, 2012, Residential Capital, LLC and certain of its wholly owned direct and indirect subsidiaries filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. As a result of the deconsolidation of ResCap, a significant portion of our representation and warranty reserve was eliminated.

Overview

Ally Bank, within our Mortgage operations, sold loans that took the form of securitizations guaranteed by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. In connection with securitizations and loan sales, the trustee, for the benefit of the related security holders, was provided various representations and warranties related to the loans sold. The specific representations and warranties typically relate to, among other things, the ownership of the loan, the validity of the lien securing the loan, the loan's compliance with the criteria for inclusion in the transaction, including compliance with underwriting standards or loan criteria established by the buyer, the ability to deliver required documentation and compliance with applicable laws. In general, the representations and warranties described above may be enforced against Ally Bank at any time unless a sunset provision is in place. Upon discovery of a breach of a representation or warranty, the breach is corrected in a manner conforming to the provisions of the sale agreement. This may require Ally Bank to repurchase the loan, indemnify the investor for incurred losses, or otherwise make the investor whole.

Representation and Warranty Obligation Reserve Methodology

The liability for representation and warranty obligations reflects management's best estimate of probable losses with respect to Ally Bank's mortgage loans sold to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. We considered historical and recent demand trends in establishing the reserve. The methodology used to estimate the reserve considers a variety of assumptions including borrower performance (both actual and estimated future defaults), repurchase demand behavior, historical loan defect experience, historical mortgage insurance rescission experience, and historical and estimated future loss experience, which includes projections of future home price changes as well as other qualitative factors including investor behavior. It is difficult to predict and estimate the level and timing of any potential future demands. In cases where we may not be able to reasonably estimate losses, a liability is not recognized. Management monitors the adequacy of the overall reserve and makes adjustments to the level of reserve, as necessary, after consideration of other qualitative factors including ongoing dialogue and experience with counterparties. At the time a loan is sold, an estimate of the fair value of the liability is recorded and classified in accrued expenses and other liabilities on our Consolidated Balance Sheet and recorded as a component of gain (loss) on mortgage and automotive loans, net, in our Consolidated Statement of Income. We recognize changes in the liability when additional relevant information becomes available. Changes in the estimate are recorded as other operating expenses in our Consolidated Statement of Income.

On April 16, 2013, we completed the sales of agency MSR to Ocwen and Quicken. The sale to Ocwen included the transfer of the origination representation and warranty liabilities (but not those related to servicing) on any and all claims following the sale of the MSR through an indemnification agreement. However, Ally Bank retained all representation and warranty liability related to loans previously liquidated with a loss (e.g. GSEs completed a foreclosure) as well as the liability on outstanding claims at the time of the sale. The MSR sale to Quicken did not include the transfer of representation and warranty liabilities. The repurchase reserve of \$45 million at December 31, 2013 represents Ally Bank's expected losses associated with the contractual obligation retained.

Guarantees

Guarantees are defined as contracts or indemnification agreements that contingently require us to make payments to third parties based on changes in an underlying agreement that is related to a guaranteed party. Our guarantees include standby letters of credit and certain contract provisions regarding securitizations and sales. Refer to Note 28 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more information regarding our outstanding guarantees to third parties.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Aggregate Contractual Obligations

The following table provides aggregated information about our outstanding contractual obligations disclosed elsewhere in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

December 31, 2013 (\$ in millions)	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
Contractually obligated payments due by period					
Long-term debt					
Total (a)	\$70,609	\$17,362	\$28,777	\$12,272	\$12,198
Scheduled interest payments for fixed-rate long-term debt	23,659	2,050	3,540	2,554	15,515
Estimated interest payments for variable-rate long-term debt (b)	554	223	250	72	9
Estimated net payments under interest rate swap agreements (b)	390	—	—	82	308
Lease commitments	96	39	52	5	—
Purchase obligations	78	76	2	—	—
Bank certificates of deposit	31,640	15,483	12,984	3,173	—
Total contractually obligated payments due by period	\$127,026	\$35,233	\$45,605	\$18,158	\$28,030
Total other commitments by expiration period					
Lending commitments	\$1,700	\$641	\$195	\$465	\$399

(a) Total long-term debt amount reflects the remaining principal obligation and excludes original issue discount of \$1.6 billion and fair value adjustments of \$445 million related to fixed-rate debt designated as a hedged item.

Estimate utilized a forecasted variable interest model, when available, or the applicable variable interest rate as of (b) the most recent reset date prior to December 31, 2013. For additional information on derivative instruments and hedging activities, refer to Note 21.

The foregoing table does not include our reserves for insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses, which total \$275 million at December 31, 2013. While payments due on insurance losses are considered contractual obligations because they related to insurance policies issued by us, the ultimate amount to be paid and the timing of payment for an insurance loss is an estimate subject to significant uncertainty. Furthermore, the timing on payment is also uncertain; however, the majority of the balance is expected to be paid out in less than five years.

The following provides a description of the items summarized in the preceding table of contractual obligations.

Long-term Debt

Amounts represent the scheduled maturity of long-term debt at December 31, 2013, assuming that no early redemptions occur. The maturity of secured debt may vary based on the payment activity of the related secured assets. The amounts presented are before the effect of any unamortized discount or fair value adjustment. Refer to Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on our debt obligations.

Lease Commitments

We have obligations under various operating lease arrangements (primarily for real property) with noncancelable lease terms that expire after December 31, 2013. Refer to Note 28 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Purchase Obligations

We enter into multiple contractual arrangements for various services. The arrangements represent fixed payment obligations under our most significant contracts and primarily relate to contracts with information technology providers. Refer to Note 28 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Bank Certificates of Deposit

Refer to Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Lending Commitments

We have outstanding lending commitments with customers. The amounts presented represent the unused portion of those commitments at December 31, 2013. Refer to Note 28 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Critical Accounting Estimates

Accounting policies are integral to understanding our Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) requires management to make certain judgments and assumptions, on the basis of information available at the time of the financial statements, in determining accounting estimates used in the preparation of these statements. Our significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements; critical accounting estimates are described in this section. An accounting estimate is considered critical if the estimate requires management to make assumptions about matters that were highly uncertain at the time the accounting estimate was made. If actual results differ from our judgments and assumptions, then it may have an adverse impact on the

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

results of operations and cash flows. Our management has discussed the development, selection, and disclosure of these critical accounting estimates with the Audit Committee of the Board, and the Audit Committee has reviewed our disclosure relating to these estimates.

Allowance for Loan Losses

We maintain an allowance for loan losses (the allowance) to absorb probable loan credit losses inherent in the held-for-investment portfolio, excluding those loans measured at fair value in accordance with applicable accounting standards. The allowance is maintained at a level that management considers to be adequate based upon ongoing quarterly assessments and evaluations of collectability and historical loss experience in our lending portfolio. The allowance is management's estimate of incurred losses in our lending portfolio and involves significant judgment. Management performs quarterly analyses of these portfolios to determine if impairment has occurred and to assess the adequacy of the allowance based on historical and current trends and other factors affecting credit losses. Additions to the allowance are charged to current period earnings through the provision for loan losses; amounts determined to be uncollectible are charged directly against the allowance, while amounts recovered on previously charged-off accounts increase the allowance. Determining the appropriateness of the allowance requires management to exercise significant judgment about matters that are inherently uncertain, including the timing, frequency, and severity of credit losses that could materially affect the provision for loan losses and, therefore, net income. The methodology for determining the amount of the allowance differs between the consumer automobile, consumer mortgage, and commercial portfolio segments. For additional information regarding our portfolio segments and classes, refer to Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. While we attribute portions of the allowance across our lending portfolios, the entire allowance is available to absorb probable loan losses inherent in our total lending portfolio.

The consumer portfolio segments consist of smaller-balance, homogeneous loans. Excluding certain loans that are identified as individually impaired, the allowance for each consumer portfolio segment (automobile and mortgage) is evaluated collectively. The allowance is based on aggregated portfolio segment evaluations that begin with estimates of incurred losses in each portfolio segment based on various statistical analyses. We leverage proprietary statistical models, including vintage and migration analyses, based on recent loss trends, to develop a systematic incurred loss reserve. These statistical loss forecasting models are utilized to estimate incurred losses and consider several credit quality indicators including, but not limited to, historical loss experience, estimated foreclosures or defaults based on observable trends, delinquencies, and general economic and business trends. Management believes these factors are relevant to estimate incurred losses and are updated on a quarterly basis in order to incorporate information reflective of the current economic environment, as changes in these assumptions could have a significant impact. In order to develop our best estimate of probable incurred losses inherent in the loan portfolio, management reviews and analyzes the output from the models and may adjust the reserves to take into consideration environmental, qualitative and other factors that may not be captured in the models. These adjustments are documented and reviewed through our risk management processes. Management reviews, updates, and validates its systematic process and loss assumptions on a periodic basis. This process involves an analysis of loss information, such as a review of loss and credit trends, a retrospective evaluation of actual loss information to loss forecasts, and other analyses.

The commercial portfolio segment is primarily composed of larger-balance, nonhomogeneous exposures within our Automotive Finance operations and Commercial Finance Group. These loans are primarily evaluated individually and are risk-rated based on borrower, collateral, and industry-specific information that management believes is relevant in determining the occurrence of a loss event and measuring impairment. A loan is considered impaired when it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement based on current information and events. Management establishes specific allowances for commercial loans determined to be individually impaired based on the present value of expected future cash flows, discounted at the loans' effective interest rate, observable market price or the fair value of collateral, whichever is determined to be the most appropriate. Estimated costs to sell the collateral on a discounted basis are included in the impairment measurement, when appropriate. In addition to the specific allowances for impaired loans, loans that are not identified

as individually impaired are grouped into pools based on similar risk characteristics and collectively evaluated. These allowances are based on historical loss experience, concentrations, current economic conditions, and performance trends within specific geographic locations. The commercial historical loss experience is updated quarterly to incorporate the most recent data reflective of the current economic environment.

The determination of the allowance is influenced by numerous assumptions and many factors that may materially affect estimates of loss, including volatility of loss given default, probability of default, and rating migration. The critical assumptions underlying the allowance include: (1) segmentation of each portfolio based on common risk characteristics; (2) identification and estimation of portfolio indicators and other factors that management believes are key to estimating incurred credit losses; and (3) evaluation by management of borrower, collateral, and geographic information. Management monitors the adequacy of the allowance and makes adjustments as the assumptions in the underlying analyses change to reflect an estimate of incurred loan losses at the reporting date, based on the best information available at that time. In addition, the allowance related to the commercial portfolio segment is influenced by estimated recoveries from automotive manufacturers relative to guarantees or agreements with them to repurchase vehicles used as collateral to secure the loans. If an automotive manufacturer is unable to fully honor its obligations, our ultimate loan losses could be higher. To the extent that actual outcomes differ from our estimates, additional provision for credit losses may be required that would reduce earnings.

Valuation of Automobile Lease Assets and Residuals

We have significant investments in vehicles in our operating lease portfolio. In accounting for operating leases, management must make a determination at the beginning of the lease contract of the estimated realizable value (i.e., residual value) of the vehicle at the end of the lease. Residual value represents an estimate of the market value of the vehicle at the end of the lease term, which typically ranges from two to four years. At contract inception, we determine the projected residual value based on an internal evaluation of the expected future value. This

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

evaluation is based on a proprietary model, which includes variables such as age, mileage, seasonality, segment factors, vehicle type, economic indicators and production cycle. This internally generated data is compared against third party, independent data for reasonableness. The customer is obligated to make payments during the term of the lease for the difference between the purchase price and the contract residual value plus a finance charge. However, since the customer is not obligated to purchase the vehicle at the end of the contract, we are exposed to a risk of loss to the extent the value of the vehicle is below the residual value estimated at contract inception. Management periodically performs a detailed review of the estimated realizable value of leased vehicles to assess the appropriateness of the carrying value of lease assets.

To account for residual risk, we depreciate automobile operating lease assets to estimated realizable value on a straight-line basis over the lease term. The estimated realizable value is initially based on the residual value established at contract inception. Periodically, we revise the projected value of the lease vehicle at termination based on current market conditions and adjust depreciation expense appropriately over the remaining term of the lease.

Impairment of the operating lease asset is assessed upon the occurrence of a triggering event. Triggering events are systemic, observed events impacting the used car market such as shocks to oil and gas prices that may indicate impairment of the operating lease asset. Impairment is determined to exist if the expected undiscounted cash flows generated from the operating lease assets are less than the carrying value of the operating lease assets. If the operating lease assets are impaired, they are written down to their fair value as estimated by discounted cash flows. There were no such impairment charges in 2013, 2012, or 2011.

Our depreciation methodology on operating lease assets considers management's expectation of the value of the vehicles upon lease termination, which is based on numerous assumptions and factors influencing used vehicle values. The critical assumptions underlying the estimated carrying value of automobile lease assets include: (1) estimated market value information obtained and used by management in estimating residual values, (2) proper identification and estimation of business conditions, (3) our remarketing abilities, and (4) automotive manufacturer vehicle and marketing programs. Changes in these assumptions could have a significant impact on the value of the lease residuals. Expected residual values include estimates of payments from automotive manufacturers related to residual support and risk-sharing agreements, if any. To the extent an automotive manufacturer is not able to fully honor its obligation relative to these agreements, our depreciation expense would be negatively impacted.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

We use fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain instruments and to determine fair value disclosures. Refer to Note 24 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a description of valuation methodologies used to measure material assets and liabilities at fair value and details of the valuation models, key inputs to those models, and significant assumptions utilized. We follow the fair value hierarchy set forth in Note 24 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in order to prioritize the inputs utilized to measure fair value. We review and modify, as necessary, our fair value hierarchy classifications on a quarterly basis. As such, there may be reclassifications between hierarchy levels.

We have numerous internal controls in place to ensure the appropriateness of fair value measurements. Significant fair value measures are subject to detailed analytics and management review and approval. We have an established model validation policy and program in place that covers all models used to generate fair value measurements. This model validation program ensures a controlled environment is used for the development, implementation, and use of the models and change procedures. Further, this program uses a risk-based approach to select models to be reviewed and validated by an independent internal risk group to ensure the models are consistent with their intended use, the logic within the models is reliable, and the inputs and outputs from these models are appropriate. Additionally, a wide array of operational controls are in place to ensure the fair value measurements are reasonable, including controls over the inputs into and the outputs from the fair value measurement models. For example, we backtest the internal assumptions used within models against actual performance. We also monitor the market for recent trades, market surveys, or other market information that may be used to benchmark model inputs or outputs. Certain valuations will

also be benchmarked to market indices when appropriate and available. We have scheduled model and/or input recalibrations that occur on a periodic basis but will recalibrate earlier if significant variances are observed as part of the backtesting or benchmarking noted above.

Considerable judgment is used in forming conclusions from market observable data used to estimate our Level 2 fair value measurements and in estimating inputs to our internal valuation models used to estimate our Level 3 fair value measurements. Level 3 inputs such as interest rate movements, prepayment speeds, credit losses, and discount rates are inherently difficult to estimate. Changes to these inputs can have a significant effect on fair value measurements. Accordingly, our estimates of fair value are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that could be realized or would be paid in a current market exchange.

Legal and Regulatory Reserves

Our legal and regulatory reserves reflect management's best estimate of probable losses on legal and regulatory matters. As a legal or regulatory matter develops, management, in conjunction with internal and external counsel handling the matter, evaluates on an ongoing basis whether such matter presents a loss contingency that is both probable and estimable. If, at the time of evaluation, the loss contingency related to a legal or regulatory matter is not both probable and estimable, the matter will continue to be monitored for further developments that would make the loss contingency both probable and estimable. When the loss contingency related to a legal or regulatory matter is deemed to be both probable and estimable, we will establish a liability with respect to the loss contingency and record a corresponding expense amount. To estimate the probable loss, we evaluate the individual facts and circumstances of the case including information learned through the discovery process, rulings on dispositive motions, settlement discussions, our prior history with similar matters and other rulings by courts, arbitrators or others. The reserves are continuously monitored and updated to reflect the most recent information related to each matter.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Additionally, in matters for which a loss event is not deemed probable, but rather reasonably possible to occur, we would attempt to estimate a loss or range of loss related to that event, if possible. For these matters, we do not record a liability. However, if we are able to estimate a loss or range of loss, we would disclose this loss, if it is material to our financial statements. To estimate a range of probable or reasonably possible loss, we evaluate each individual case in the manner described above. We do not accrue for matters for which a loss event is deemed remote.

For details regarding the nature of all material contingencies, refer to Note 29 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Loan Repurchase and Obligations Related to Loan Sales

The liability for representation and warranty obligations reflects management's best estimate of probable lifetime losses. We consider historical and recent demand trends in establishing the reserve. The methodology used to estimate the reserve considers a variety of assumptions including borrower performance (both actual and estimated future defaults), repurchase demand behavior, historical loan defect experience, historical mortgage insurance rescission experience, and historical and estimated future loss experience, which includes projections of future home price changes as well as other qualitative factors including investor behavior. In cases where we may not be able to reasonably estimate losses, a liability is not recognized. Management monitors the adequacy of the overall reserve and makes adjustments to the level of reserve, as necessary, after consideration of other qualitative factors including ongoing dialogue and experience with counterparties.

Determination of Provision for Income Taxes

Our income tax expense, deferred tax assets and liabilities, and reserves for unrecognized tax benefits reflect management's best assessment of estimated current and future taxes to be paid. We are subject to income taxes in both the United States and several foreign jurisdictions. Significant judgments and estimates are required in determining consolidated income tax expense. Deferred income taxes arise from temporary differences between the tax and financial statement recognition of revenue and expense. In evaluating our ability to recover our deferred tax assets within the jurisdiction from which they arise, we consider all available positive and negative evidence, including scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, tax planning strategies, and recent results of operations. In projecting future taxable income, we begin with historical results adjusted for the results of discontinued operations and incorporate assumptions about the amount of future state, federal, and foreign pretax operating income. These assumptions about future taxable income require significant judgment and are consistent with the plans and estimates we are using to manage the underlying businesses. In evaluating the objective evidence that historical results provide, we consider three years of cumulative operating income (loss).

A valuation allowance of \$1.2 billion and \$1.6 billion was recorded against the net U.S. deferred tax asset balance as of December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2013, our results from operations benefited \$154 million from a release of a portion of our valuation allowance related to the measurement of foreign tax credit carryforwards anticipated to be utilized in the future and reversal of our valuation allowance on capital loss carryforwards utilized against current year capital gains. For the year ended December 31, 2012, our results from operations benefited \$1.0 billion from a release of a portion of our U.S. valuation allowance on the basis of management's reassessment of the amount of its deferred tax assets that are more likely than not to be realized. As of each reporting date, we consider existing evidence, both positive and negative, that could impact our view with regard to future realization of deferred tax assets. During the fourth quarter of 2012, we determined that positive evidence existed to conclude that it was more likely than not that additional deferred tax assets were realizable, and therefore, we reduced the valuation allowance accordingly. Positive evidence in this assessment consisted of forecasts of future taxable income that are sufficient to realize net operating loss carryforwards before their expiration, coupled with our emergence from a cumulative three-year U.S. pretax loss (after removing the effects of non-recurring charges and discontinued operations).

We continue to believe it is more likely than not that the benefit for certain capital loss, foreign tax credit and state net operating loss carryforwards will not be realized. In recognition of this risk, we continue to provide a partial valuation

allowance on the deferred tax assets relating to these carryforwards.

For additional information regarding our provision for income taxes, refer to Note 22 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

Refer to Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information related to recently adopted and recently issued accounting standards.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Statistical Table

The accompanying supplemental information should be read in conjunction with the more detailed information, including our Consolidated Financial Statements and the notes thereto, which appears elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Net Interest Margin Table

The following table presents an analysis of net interest margin excluding discontinued operations for the periods shown.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013			2012			2011		
	Average balance (a)	Interest income/ interest expense	Yield/ rate	Average balance (a)	Interest income/ interest expense	Yield/ rate	Average balance (a)	Interest income/ interest expense	Yield/ rate
Assets									
Interest-bearing cash and cash equivalents	\$6,412	\$10	0.16 %	\$10,610	\$24	0.23 %	\$10,336	\$15	0.15 %
Trading assets	—	—	—	261	10	3.83	321	8	2.49
Investment securities (b)	15,195	300	1.97	12,336	262	2.12	13,082	325	2.48
Loans held-for-sale, net	600	20	3.33	2,759	98	3.55	4,517	180	3.98
Finance receivables and loans, net (c) (d) (e)	97,467	4,529	4.65	95,311	4,539	4.76	83,162	4,189	5.04
Investment in operating leases, net (f)	16,028	1,214	7.57	11,185	980	8.76	7,968	988	12.40
Total interest-earning assets	135,702	6,073	4.48	132,462	5,913	4.46	119,386	5,705	4.78
Noninterest-bearing cash and cash equivalents	1,628			1,794			1,118		
Other assets (g)	20,298			50,719			61,846		
Allowance for loan losses	(1,192)			(1,234)			(1,513)		
Total assets	\$156,436			\$183,741			\$180,837		
Liabilities									
Interest-bearing deposit liabilities	\$50,188	\$654	1.30 %	\$42,478	\$645	1.52 %	\$37,535	\$615	1.64 %
Short-term borrowings	4,858	63	1.30	3,852	71	1.84	3,605	61	1.69
Long-term debt (e) (h) (i)	66,634	2,602	3.90	77,057	3,336	4.33	71,441	3,930	5.50
Total interest-bearing liabilities (h) (j)	121,680	3,319	2.73	123,387	4,052	3.28	112,581	4,606	4.09
Noninterest-bearing deposit liabilities	536			2,261			2,238		
Total funding sources (h) (k)	122,216	3,319	2.72	125,648	4,052	3.22	114,819	4,606	4.01
Other liabilities (l)	15,448			39,173			45,949		
Total liabilities	137,664			164,821			160,768		
Total equity	18,772			18,920			20,069		
Total liabilities and equity	\$156,436			\$183,741			\$180,837		
Net financing revenue		\$2,754			\$1,861			\$1,099	
Net interest spread (m)			1.75 %			1.18 %			0.69 %

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-K

Net interest spread excluding original issue discount (m)	1.99 %	1.49 %	1.57 %
Net interest spread excluding original issue discount and including noninterest-bearing deposit liabilities (m)	2.00 %	1.55 %	1.63 %
Net yield on interest-earning assets (n)	2.03 %	1.40 %	0.92 %
Net yield on interest-earning assets excluding original issue discount (n)	2.21 %	1.66 %	1.68 %

(a) Average balances are calculated using a combination of monthly and daily average methodologies.

Excludes income on equity investments of \$25 million, \$30 million, and \$25 million at December 31, 2013, 2012, (b) and 2011, respectively. Yields on available-for-sale debt securities are based on fair value as opposed to historical cost.

(c) Nonperforming finance receivables and loans are included in the average balances. For information on our accounting policies regarding nonperforming status, refer to Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(d) Includes other interest income of \$1 million, \$4 million, and \$8 million at December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

(e) Includes the effects of derivative financial instruments designated as hedges.

Includes remarketing gains of \$332 million, \$116 million, and \$217 million at December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, (f) respectively. Excluding these gains, the annualized yield would be 5.50%, 7.72%, and 9.68% at December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

(g) Includes average balances of assets of discontinued operations.

Average balance includes \$1,660 million, \$1,927 million, and \$2,522 million related to original issue discount at (h) December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. Interest expense includes original issue discount amortization of \$249 million, \$336 million, and \$912 million during the year ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

(i) Excluding original issue discount the rate on long-term debt was 3.45%, 3.80%, and 4.08% at December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

(j) Excluding original issue discount the rate on total interest-bearing liabilities was 2.49%, 2.97%, and 3.21% at December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

(k) Excluding original issue discount the rate on total funding sources was 2.48%, 2.91%, and 3.15% at December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

(l) Includes average balances of liabilities of discontinued operations.

(m) Net interest spread represents the difference between the rate on total interest-earning assets and the rate on total interest-bearing liabilities.

(n) Net yield on interest-earning assets represents net financing revenue as a percentage of total interest-earning assets.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

The following table presents an analysis of the changes in net interest income, volume and rate.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013 vs 2012			2012 vs 2011		
	Increase (decrease)			Increase (decrease)		
	due to (a)			due to (a)		
	Volume	Yield/rate	Total	Volume	Yield/rate	Total
Assets						
Interest-bearing cash and cash equivalents	\$ (8)	\$ (6)	\$ (14)	\$ —	\$ 9	\$ 9
Trading assets	(5)	(5)	(10)	(2)	4	2
Investment securities	57	(19)	38	(18)	(45)	(63)
Loans held-for-sale, net	(72)	(6)	(78)	(64)	(18)	(82)
Finance receivables and loans, net	101	(111)	(10)	588	(238)	350
Investment in operating leases, net	381	(147)	234	331	(339)	(8)
Total interest-earning assets	\$454	\$ (294)	\$160	\$835	\$ (627)	\$208
Liabilities						
Interest-bearing deposit liabilities	\$107	\$ (98)	\$9	\$77	\$ (47)	\$30
Short-term borrowings	16	(24)	(8)	4	6	10
Long-term debt	(425)	(309)	(734)	291	(885)	(594)
Total interest-bearing liabilities	\$ (302)	\$ (431)	\$ (733)	\$372	\$ (926)	\$ (554)
Net financing revenue	\$756	\$137	\$893	\$463	\$299	\$762

(a) Changes in interest not solely due to volume or yield/rate are allocated in proportion to the absolute dollar amount of change in volume and yield/rate.

Outstanding Finance Receivables and Loans

The following table presents the composition of our on-balance sheet finance receivables and loans.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Consumer					
Consumer automobile	\$56,417	\$53,715	\$63,459	\$51,254	\$30,245
Consumer mortgage	8,444	9,821	10,828	11,763	12,604
Total consumer	64,861	63,536	74,287	63,017	42,849
Commercial					
Commercial and industrial					
Automobile (a)	30,948	30,270	34,817	33,342	27,547
Mortgage	—	—	1,911	1,581	1,668
Other	1,664	2,697	1,241	2,107	3,125
Commercial real estate					
Automobile	2,855	2,552	2,485	2,287	2,229
Mortgage	—	—	14	79	283
Total commercial loans	35,467	35,519	40,468	39,396	34,852
Total finance receivables and loans (b)	\$100,328	\$99,055	\$114,755	\$102,413	\$77,701
Loans held-for-sale	\$35	\$2,576	\$8,557	\$11,411	\$20,625

Amounts include no notes receivable from General Motors at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, (a) respectively, and \$529 million, \$484 million, and \$911 million at December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

(b) Includes historical cost, fair value, and repurchased loans.

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Nonperforming Assets

The following table summarizes the nonperforming assets in our on-balance sheet portfolio.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Consumer					
Consumer automobile	\$329	\$260	\$228	\$207	\$386
Consumer mortgage	192	382	549	821	929
Total consumer (a)	521	642	777	1,028	1,315
Commercial					
Commercial and industrial					
Automobile	116	146	223	296	347
Mortgage	—	—	—	40	72
Other	74	33	37	134	987
Commercial real estate					
Automobile	14	37	67	199	280
Mortgage	—	—	12	71	197
Total commercial (b)	204	216	339	740	1,883
Total nonperforming finance receivables and loans	725	858	1,116	1,768	3,198
Foreclosed properties	10	8	82	150	255
Repossessed assets (c)	101	62	56	47	58
Total nonperforming assets	\$836	\$928	\$1,254	\$1,965	\$3,511
Loans held-for-sale	\$9	\$25	\$2,820	\$3,273	\$3,390

Interest revenue that would have been accrued on total consumer finance receivables and loans at original (a) contractual rates was \$52 million during the year ended December 31, 2013. Interest income recorded for these loans was \$17 million during the year ended December 31, 2013.

Interest revenue that would have been accrued on total commercial finance receivables and loans at original (b) contractual rates was \$16 million during the year ended December 31, 2013. Interest income recorded for these loans was \$8 million during the year ended December 31, 2013.

(c) Repossessed assets exclude \$7 million, \$3 million, \$3 million, \$14 million, and \$23 million of repossessed operating lease assets at December 31, 2013, 2012, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

Accruing Finance Receivables and Loans Past Due 90 Days or More

The following table presents our on-balance sheet accruing loans past due 90 days or more as to principal and interest.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Consumer					
Consumer automobile	\$—	\$—	\$3	\$5	\$5
Consumer mortgage	1	1	1	1	2
Total consumer	1	1	4	6	7
Commercial					
Commercial and industrial					
Automobile	—	—	—	—	—
Mortgage	—	—	—	—	—
Other	—	—	—	—	3
Commercial real estate					
Automobile	—	—	—	—	—
Mortgage	—	—	—	—	—
Total commercial	—	—	—	—	3
	\$1	\$1	\$4	\$6	\$10

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-K

Total accruing finance receivables and loans past due

90 days or more

Loans held-for-sale	\$—	\$—	\$73	\$25	\$33
---------------------	-----	-----	------	------	------

78

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Allowance for Loan Losses

The following table presents an analysis of the activity in the allowance for loan losses on finance receivables and loans.

(\$ in millions)	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Balance at January 1,	\$1,170	\$1,503	\$1,873	\$2,445	\$3,433
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principles (a)	—	—	—	222	—
Charge-offs	(737)	(776)	(880)	(1,646)	(4,013)
Write-downs related to transfers to held-for-sale	—	—	—	—	(3,438)
Total charge-offs	(737)	(776)	(880)	(1,646)	(7,451)
Recoveries	265	302	327	448	352
Net charge-offs	(472)	(474)	(553)	(1,198)	(7,099)
Provision for loan losses	501	329	161	361	3,584
Other (b)	9	(188)	22	43	2,527
Balance at December 31,	\$1,208	\$1,170	\$1,503	\$1,873	\$2,445

(a) Effect of change in accounting principle due to adoption of ASU 2009-17, Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities.

(b) Includes provision for loan losses relating to discontinued operations of \$65 million, \$58 million, \$77 million, and \$2.6 billion for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

Allowance for Loan Losses by Type

The following table summarizes the allocation of the allowance for loan losses by product type.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013		2012		2011		2010		2009	
	Amount	% of total	Amount	% of total	Amount	% of total	Amount	% of total	Amount	% of total
Consumer										
Consumer automobile	\$673	55.7	\$575	49.2	\$766	51.0	\$970	51.8	\$1,024	41.8
Consumer mortgage	389	32.2	452	38.6	516	34.3	580	30.9	640	26.2
Total consumer loans	1,062	87.9	1,027	87.8	1,282	85.3	1,550	82.7	1,664	68.0
Commercial										
Commercial and industrial										
Automobile	67	5.6	55	4.7	110	7.3	106	5.6	211	8.6
Mortgage	—	—	—	—	11	0.7	12	0.7	30	1.2
Other	50	4.1	48	4.1	53	3.6	136	7.3	433	17.8
Commercial real estate										
Automobile	29	2.4	40	3.4	42	2.8	56	3.0	—	—
Mortgage	—	—	—	—	5	0.3	13	0.7	107	4.4
Total commercial loans	146	12.1	143	12.2	221	14.7	323	17.3	781	32.0
Total allowance for loan losses	\$1,208	100.0	\$1,170	100.0	\$1,503	100.0	\$1,873	100.0	\$2,445	100.0

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Deposit Liabilities

The following table presents the average balances and interest rates paid for types of domestic deposits.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013		2012		2011	
	Average balance (a)	Average deposit rate	Average balance (a)	Average deposit rate	Average balance (a)	Average deposit rate
Domestic deposits						
Noninterest-bearing deposits	\$536	—	% \$2,262	—	% \$2,237	—
Interest-bearing deposits						
Savings and money market checking accounts	18,223	0.83	10,953	0.88	9,696	0.88
Certificates of deposit	31,291	1.53	29,972	1.64	26,109	1.77
Dealer deposits	674	3.74	1,515	3.81	1,685	3.87
Total domestic deposit liabilities	\$50,724	1.29	% \$44,702	1.44	% \$39,727	1.55

(a) Average balances are calculated using a combination of monthly and daily average methodologies.

The following table presents the amount of domestic certificates of deposit in denominations of \$100 thousand or more segregated by time remaining until maturity.

December 31, 2013 (\$ in millions)	Three months or less	Over three months through six months	Over six months through twelve months	Over twelve months	Total
Domestic certificates of deposit (\$100,000 or more)	\$1,720	\$1,716	\$3,301	\$6,408	\$13,145

Table of Contents

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk
Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-k

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk
Refer to the Market Risk Management section of Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis.

81

Table of Contents

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting
Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

4Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Ally management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Senior Executive Vice President of Finance and Corporate Planning to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of published financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

The Company's internal control over financial reporting includes policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting can provide only reasonable assurance and may not prevent or detect misstatements. Further, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management conducted, under the supervision of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Senior Executive Vice President of Finance and Corporate Planning, an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, commonly referred to as the “COSO” criteria.

Based on the assessment performed, management concluded that at December 31, 2013, Ally's internal control over financial reporting was effective based on the COSO criteria.

The independent registered public accounting firm, Deloitte & Touche LLP, has audited the Consolidated Financial Statements of Ally and has issued an attestation report on our internal control over financial reporting at December 31, 2013, as stated in its report, which is included herein.

/S/ MICHAEL A. CARPENTER

Michael A. Carpenter

Chief Executive Officer

March 3, 2014

/S/ JEFFREY J. BROWN

Jeffrey J. Brown

Senior Executive Vice President of Finance and
Corporate Planning

March 3, 2014

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Ally Financial Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet of Ally Financial Inc. and subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related Consolidated Statements of Income, Comprehensive Income, Changes in Equity, and Cash Flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company at December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 3, 2014, expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Deloitte & Touche LLP

Detroit, Michigan

March 3, 2014

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Ally Financial Inc.:

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Ally Financial Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2013, based on the criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on the criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013, of the Company and our report dated March 3, 2014, expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Deloitte & Touche LLP

Detroit, Michigan

March 3, 2014

Table of Contents

Consolidated Statement of Income

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012	2011
Financing revenue and other interest income			
Interest and fees on finance receivables and loans	\$4,529	\$4,539	\$4,189
Interest on loans held-for-sale	20	98	180
Interest on trading assets	—	10	8
Interest and dividends on available-for-sale investment securities	325	292	350
Interest-bearing cash	10	24	15
Operating leases	3,209	2,379	1,929
Total financing revenue and other interest income	8,093	7,342	6,671
Interest expense			
Interest on deposits	654	645	615
Interest on short-term borrowings	63	71	61
Interest on long-term debt	2,602	3,336	3,930
Total interest expense	3,319	4,052	4,606
Depreciation expense on operating lease assets	1,995	1,399	941
Net financing revenue	2,779	1,891	1,124
Other revenue			
Servicing fees	126	409	525
Servicing asset valuation and hedge activities, net	(213)	(4)	(434)
Total servicing (loss) income, net	(87)	405	91
Insurance premiums and service revenue earned	1,012	1,055	1,153
Gain on mortgage and automotive loans, net	55	379	229
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(59)	(148)	(64)
Other gain on investments, net	180	146	258
Other income, net of losses	383	737	621
Total other revenue	1,484	2,574	2,288
Total net revenue	4,263	4,465	3,412
Provision for loan losses	501	329	161
Noninterest expense			
Compensation and benefits expense	1,019	1,106	993
Insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses	405	454	452
Other operating expenses	1,981	2,062	1,983
Total noninterest expense	3,405	3,622	3,428
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense	357	514	(177)
Income tax (benefit) expense from continuing operations	(59)	(856)	42
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	416	1,370	(219)
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax	(55)	(174)	62
Net income (loss)	\$361	\$1,196	\$(157)

Statement continues on the next page.

The Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

Consolidated Statement of Income

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions except per share data)	2013	2012	2011
Net (loss) income attributable to common shareholders			
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$416	\$1,370	\$(219)
Preferred stock dividends — U.S. Department of Treasury	(543)	(535)	(534)
Impact of repurchase of mandatorily convertible preferred stock held by U.S. Department of Treasury and elimination of share adjustment right (a)	(240)	—	—
Preferred stock dividends	(267)	(267)	(260)
Impact of preferred stock conversion or amendment	—	—	32
Net (loss) income from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders (b)	(634)	568	(981)
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax	(55)	(174)	62
Net (loss) income attributable to common shareholders	\$(689)	\$394	\$(919)
Basic weighted-average common shares outstanding	1,355,375	1,330,970	1,330,970
Diluted weighted-average common shares outstanding (b)	1,355,375	1,330,970	1,330,970
Basic earnings per common share			
Net (loss) income from continuing operations	\$(468)	\$427	\$(738)
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax	(41)	(131)	47
Net (loss) income	\$(509)	\$296	\$(691)
Diluted earnings per common share (b)			
Net (loss) income from continuing operations	\$(468)	\$427	\$(738)
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax	(41)	(131)	47
Net (loss) income	\$(509)	\$296	\$(691)

(a) Refer to Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further detail.

Due to the antidilutive effect of converting the Fixed Rate Cumulative Mandatorily Convertible Preferred Stock into common shares and the net loss from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders for 2013, and (b) 2011, respectively, net (loss) income from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders and basic weighted-average common shares outstanding were used to calculate basic and diluted earnings per share.

The Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of ContentsConsolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income
Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012	2011
Net income (loss)	\$361	\$1,196	\$(157)
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax			
Unrealized (losses) gains on investment securities			
Net unrealized (losses) gains arising during the period	(159)	331	196
Less: Net realized gains reclassified to net income	186	141	284
Net change	(345)	190	(88)
Translation adjustments and net investment hedges			
Translation adjustments	(509)	184	(237)
Hedges	206	(168)	173
Net change	(303)	16	(64)
Cash flow hedges			
Net unrealized losses arising during the period	(1)	(4)	—
Less: Net realized losses reclassified to net income	(4)	—	—
Net change	3	(4)	—
Defined benefit pension plans			
Net gains (losses) arising during the period	18	(36)	(27)
Less: Net losses reclassified to net income	(40)	(58)	(7)
Net change	58	22	(20)
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax	(587)	224	(172)
Comprehensive (loss) income	\$(226)	\$1,420	\$(329)

The Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of ContentsConsolidated Balance Sheet
Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012
Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents		
Noninterest-bearing	\$1,315	\$1,073
Interest-bearing	4,216	6,440
Total cash and cash equivalents	5,531	7,513
Investment securities	17,083	14,178
Loans held-for-sale, net of unearned income (\$16 and \$2,490 fair value-elected)	35	2,576
Finance receivables and loans, net		
Finance receivables and loans, net of unearned income (\$1 and \$— fair value-elected)	100,328	99,055
Allowance for loan losses	(1,208)	(1,170)
Total finance receivables and loans, net	99,120	97,885
Investment in operating leases, net	17,680	13,550
Mortgage servicing rights	—	952
Premiums receivable and other insurance assets	1,613	1,609
Other assets	9,589	11,908
Assets of operations held-for-sale	516	32,176
Total assets	\$151,167	\$182,347
Liabilities		
Deposit liabilities		
Noninterest-bearing	\$60	\$1,977
Interest-bearing	53,290	45,938
Total deposit liabilities	53,350	47,915
Short-term borrowings	8,545	7,461
Long-term debt	69,465	74,561
Interest payable	888	932
Unearned insurance premiums and service revenue	2,314	2,296
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	2,397	6,585
Liabilities of operations held-for-sale	—	22,699
Total liabilities	136,959	162,449
Equity		
Common stock and paid-in capital	20,939	19,668
Mandatorily convertible preferred stock held by U.S. Department of Treasury	—	5,685
Preferred stock	1,255	1,255
Accumulated deficit	(7,710)	(7,021)
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(276)	311
Total equity	14,208	19,898
Total liabilities and equity	\$151,167	\$182,347

The Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

Consolidated Balance Sheet

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

The assets of consolidated variable interest entities, presented based upon the legal transfer of the underlying assets in order to reflect legal ownership, that can be used only to settle obligations of the consolidated variable interest entities and the liabilities of these entities for which creditors (or beneficial interest holders) do not have recourse to our general credit were as follows.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012
Assets		
Finance receivables and loans, net		
Finance receivables and loans, net of unearned income	\$32,265	\$31,510
Allowance for loan losses	(174) (144
Total finance receivables and loans, net	32,091	31,366
Investment in operating leases, net	4,620	6,060
Other assets	3,436	2,868
Assets of operations held-for-sale	—	12,139
Total assets	\$40,147	\$52,433
Liabilities		
Short-term borrowings	\$250	\$400
Long-term debt	24,147	26,461
Interest payable	—	1
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	43	16
Liabilities of operations held-for-sale	—	9,686
Total liabilities	\$24,440	\$36,564

The Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of ContentsConsolidated Statement of Changes in Equity
Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

(\$ in millions)	Common stock and paid-in capital	Mandatorily convertible preferred stock held by U.S. Department of Treasury	Preferred stock	Accumulated deficit	Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	Total equity
Balance at January 1, 2011	\$19,668	\$5,685	\$1,287	\$(6,501)	\$259	\$20,398
Net loss				(157)		(157)
Preferred stock dividends — U.S. Department of Treasury				(534)		(534)
Preferred stock dividends Series A preferred stock amendment			(32)	32		—
Other comprehensive loss					(172)	(172)
Other (a)				5		5
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$19,668	\$5,685	\$1,255	\$(7,415)	\$87	\$19,280
Net income				1,196		1,196
Preferred stock dividends — U.S. Department of Treasury				(535)		(535)
Preferred stock dividends				(267)		(267)
Other comprehensive income					224	224
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$19,668	\$5,685	\$1,255	\$(7,021)	\$311	\$19,898
Net income				361		361
Preferred stock dividends — U.S. Department of Treasury (b)				(543)		(543)
Preferred stock dividends				(267)		(267)
Other comprehensive loss					(587)	(587)
Increase in paid-in capital	1					1
Issuance of common stock	1,270					1,270
Repurchase of mandatorily convertible preferred stock held by U.S. Department of Treasury and elimination of share adjustment right (c)		(5,685)		(240)		(5,925)
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$20,939	\$—	\$1,255	\$(7,710)	\$(276)	\$14,208

(a) Represents a reduction of the estimated payment accrued for tax distributions as a result of the completion of the GMAC LLC U.S. Return of Partnership Income for the tax period January 1, 2009, through June 30, 2009.

(b) Includes \$8 million of preferred stock dividends paid to the U.S. Department of Treasury related to the period from November 15, 2013 through November 20, 2013.

(c) Refer to Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further detail.

The Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of ContentsConsolidated Statement of Cash Flows
Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012	2011
Operating activities			
Net income (loss)	\$361	\$1,196	\$(157)
Reconciliation of net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities			
Depreciation and amortization	2,864	2,381	2,713
Changes in fair value of mortgage servicing rights	101	677	1,606
Provision for loan losses	570	405	217
Gain on sale of loans, net	(55)	(527)	(459)
Net gain on investment securities	(182)	(177)	(294)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	59	148	64
Originations and purchases of loans held-for-sale	(6,235)	(33,075)	(60,270)
Proceeds from sales and repayments of loans held-for-sale	8,696	34,073	61,187
Impairment and accruals related to Residential Capital, LLC	(600)	1,192	—
Gain on sale of subsidiaries, net	(666)	(28)	—
Net change in			
Trading assets	—	595	(483)
Deferred income taxes	(671)	(1,491)	(198)
Interest payable	(39)	(311)	(98)
Other assets	2,592	802	(311)
Other liabilities	(3,860)	(595)	1,390
Other, net	(434)	(216)	586
Net cash provided by operating activities	2,501	5,049	5,493
Investing activities			
Purchases of available-for-sale securities	(12,304)	(12,816)	(19,377)
Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities	3,627	7,662	14,232
Proceeds from maturities and repayment of available-for-sale securities	5,509	5,673	4,965
Net increase in finance receivables and loans	(2,479)	(11,943)	(16,998)
Proceeds from sales of finance receivables and loans	—	2,332	2,868
Purchases of operating lease assets	(9,196)	(7,444)	(6,528)
Disposals of operating lease assets	2,964	1,745	5,517
Sale of mortgage servicing rights	911	—	—
Proceeds from sale of business units, net (a)	7,444	516	50
Net cash effect from deconsolidation of Residential Capital, LLC	—	(539)	—
Net change in restricted cash	(70)	(1,698)	346
Other, net	51	(43)	797
Net cash used in investing activities	(3,543)	(16,555)	(14,128)

Statement continues on the next page.

The Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of ContentsConsolidated Statement of Cash Flows
Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012	2011
Financing activities			
Net change in short-term borrowings	1,591	2,694	514
Net increase in deposits	5,375	6,653	6,074
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	27,312	39,401	44,754
Repayments of long-term debt	(31,892)	(39,909)	(40,473)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	1,270	—	—
Repurchase of mandatorily convertible preferred stock held by U.S. Department of Treasury and elimination of share adjustment right	(5,925)	—	—
Dividends paid	(810)	(802)	(819)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(3,079)	8,037	10,050
Effect of exchange-rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	45	(58)	49
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(4,076)	(3,527)	1,464
Adjustment for change in cash and cash equivalents of operations held-for-sale (a) (b)	2,094	(1,995)	(99)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	7,513	13,035	11,670
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$5,531	\$7,513	\$13,035
Supplemental disclosures			
Cash paid for			
Interest	\$3,827	\$5,311	\$5,630
Income taxes	75	404	507
Noncash items			
Transfer of mortgage servicing rights into trading securities through certification	—	—	266
Other disclosures			
Proceeds from sales and repayments of mortgage loans held-for-investment originally designated as held-for-sale	51	127	241

(a) The amounts are net of cash and cash equivalents of \$1.6 billion at December 31, 2013, \$147 million at December 31, 2012, and \$88 million at December 31, 2011 of business units at the time of disposition.

Cash flows of discontinued operations are reflected within operating, investing, and financing activities in the (b) Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows. The cash balance of these operations is reported as assets of operations held-for-sale on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

The Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

1. Description of Business, Basis of Presentation, and Changes in Significant Accounting Policies

Ally Financial Inc. (formerly GMAC Inc. and referred to herein as Ally, we, our, or us) is a leading, independent, diversified, financial services firm. Founded in 1919, we are a leading automotive financial services company with over 90 years of experience providing a broad array of financial products and services to automotive dealers and their customers. We became a bank holding company on December 24, 2008, under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (the BHC Act). Additionally, our election to become a financial holding company (FHC) under the BHC Act was approved by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (FRB), and became effective on December 20, 2013. Our banking subsidiary, Ally Bank, is an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Ally Financial Inc. and a leading franchise in the growing direct (internet, telephone, mobile, and mail) banking market.

Residential Capital, LLC

Our mortgage operations were historically a significant portion of our operations and were conducted primarily through the Residential Capital, LLC (ResCap) subsidiary. On May 14, 2012, ResCap and certain of its wholly owned direct and indirect subsidiaries (collectively, the Debtors) filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the Bankruptcy Court). As a result of the bankruptcy filing, effective May 14, 2012, we deconsolidated ResCap from our financial statements and recorded a charge of \$442 million for the impairment of Ally's investment in ResCap. During the first quarter of 2013, we discontinued performing certain mortgage activities, which were required as part of the bankruptcy process until the sale of certain assets occurred. As a result of us discontinuing these activities, the operations of ResCap were classified as discontinued.

On May 14, 2013, Ally Financial Inc., on behalf of itself and certain of its subsidiaries (collectively, AFI) entered into a Plan Support Agreement (the PSA) with the Debtors, the official committee of unsecured creditors appointed in the Debtors' Chapter 11 cases, and certain creditors. The PSA, which was approved by the Bankruptcy Court on June 26, 2013, required the parties to support a Chapter 11 plan in the Debtors' Chapter 11 cases (the Plan) that, among other things, settled and provided AFI full releases for all existing and potential claims between AFI and the Debtors, including all representation and warranty claims that resided with the Debtors, as well as full releases for all pending and potential claims related to the Debtors that have been or could be brought against AFI by third parties.

On July 3, 2013, the Debtors filed the Plan and related disclosure statement (the Disclosure Statement), with the Bankruptcy Court. The Bankruptcy Court entered an order approving the Disclosure Statement on August 23, 2013. Pursuant to the Plan, on the effective date of the Plan AFI contributed to the Debtors' estates \$1.95 billion in cash, and will further contribute \$150 million received by AFI for claims it pursues against its insurance carriers related to the claims released in connection with the Plan, with such amount guaranteed by AFI to be paid no later than September 30, 2014. The Bankruptcy Court entered an order confirming the Plan on December 11, 2013, which became effective on December 17, 2013. The confirmed Plan excludes from the third party releases the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae) and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac), and the Federal Housing Finance Agency (the FHFA) as conservator for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, with respect to certain ordinary-course claims against Ally Bank as a former mortgage seller and servicer, as well as the Department of Justice and state attorneys general with respect to certain types of claims. Further, AFI has agreed to settlements with each of the FHFA and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), as receiver for certain failed banks, pursuant to which, among other things, in exchange for a monetary payment, the FHFA's and FDIC's previously pending lawsuits against AFI were dismissed.

Consolidation and Basis of Presentation

The Consolidated Financial Statements include our accounts and accounts of our majority-owned subsidiaries, after eliminating intercompany balances and transactions, and include all variable interest entities (VIEs) in which we are the primary beneficiary. Refer to Note 9 for further details on our VIEs. Our accounting and reporting policies conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). Additionally, where

applicable, the policies conform to the accounting and reporting guidelines prescribed by bank regulatory authorities. We operate our international subsidiaries in a similar manner as we operate in the United States of America (U.S. or United States), subject to local laws or other circumstances that may cause us to modify our procedures accordingly. The financial statements of subsidiaries that operate outside of the United States generally are measured using the local currency as the functional currency. All assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars at year-end exchange rates. The resulting translation adjustments are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income. Income and expense items are translated at average exchange rates prevailing during the reporting period.

Use of Estimates and Assumptions

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and that affect income and expenses during the reporting period and related disclosures. In developing the estimates and assumptions, management uses all available evidence; however, actual results could differ because of uncertainties associated with estimating the amounts, timing, and likelihood of possible outcomes.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Significant Accounting Policies

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand and certain highly liquid investment securities with maturities of three months or less from the date of purchase. Cash and cash equivalents that have restrictions on our ability to withdraw the funds are included in other assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. The book value of cash equivalents approximates fair value because of the short maturities of these instruments. Certain securities with original maturities less than 90 days that are held as a portion of longer-term investment portfolios, primarily held by our Insurance operations, are classified as investment securities.

Securities

Our portfolio of securities includes government securities, corporate bonds, asset- and mortgage-backed securities (MBS), interests in securitization trusts, equity securities, and other investments. Securities are classified based on management's intent. Our securities are primarily classified as available-for-sale and carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income or loss, on an after-tax basis. Premiums and discounts on debt securities are amortized as an adjustment to investment yield generally over the stated maturity of the security. We employ a systematic methodology that considers available evidence in evaluating potential other-than-temporary impairment of our investments classified as available-for-sale. If the cost of an investment exceeds its fair value, we evaluate, among other factors, the magnitude and duration of the decline in fair value. We also evaluate the financial health of and business outlook for the issuer, the performance of the underlying assets for interests in securitized assets, and our intent and ability to hold the investment.

Once a decline in fair value of a debt security is determined to be other-than-temporary, an impairment charge for the credit component is recorded to other gain (loss) on investments, net, in our Consolidated Statement of Income, and a new cost basis in the investment is established. Noncredit component losses of a debt security are recorded in other comprehensive income (loss) when we do not intend to sell the security or it is not more likely than not that we will have to sell the security prior to the security's anticipated recovery. Subsequent increases and decreases to the fair value of available-for-sale securities are included in other comprehensive income (loss), so long as they are not attributable to another other-than-temporary impairment.

Realized gains and losses on investment securities are reported in other gain (loss) on investments, net, and are determined using the specific identification method.

For information on investment securities refer to Note 6.

Finance Receivables and Loans

Finance receivables and loans are reported at the principal amount outstanding, net of unearned income, premiums and discounts, and allowances. Unearned income, which includes unearned rate support received from an automotive manufacturer on certain automotive loans and deferred origination fees reduced by origination costs, is amortized over the contractual life of the related finance receivable or loan using the effective interest method. We make incentive payments for consumer automobile loan originations to automotive dealers under our Ally Dealer Rewards Program and account for these payments as direct loan origination costs. Loan commitment fees are generally deferred and amortized over the commitment period. For information on finance receivables and loans, refer to Note 7.

We classify finance receivables and loans between loans held-for-sale and loans held-for-investment based on management's assessment of our intent and ability to hold loans for the foreseeable future or until maturity.

Management's intent and ability with respect to certain loans may change from time to time depending on a number of factors including economic, liquidity, and capital conditions. Management's view of the foreseeable future is based on the longest reasonably reliable net income, liquidity, and capital forecast period.

Our portfolio segments are based on the level at which we develop and document our methodology for determining the allowance for loan losses. Additionally, the classes of finance receivables are based on several factors including the method for monitoring and assessing credit risk, the method of measuring carrying value, and the risk characteristics of the finance receivable. Based on an evaluation of our process for developing the allowance for loan

losses including the nature and extent of exposure to credit risk arising from finance receivables, we have determined our portfolio segments to be consumer automobile, consumer mortgage, and commercial.

• Consumer automobile — Consists of retail automobile financing for new and used vehicles.

• Consumer mortgage — Consists of first mortgage, subordinate-lien mortgages and home equity loans.

• Commercial — Consists of the following classes of finance receivables.

• Commercial and Industrial

Automobile — Consists of financing operations to fund dealer purchases of new and used vehicles through wholesale or floorplan financing. Additional commercial offerings include automotive dealer term loans, revolving lines of credit, and dealer fleet financing.

• Mortgage — Consists primarily of warehouse lending.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Other — Consists of senior secured commercial lending.

Commercial Real Estate — Automobile — Consists of term loans to finance dealership land and buildings.

Nonaccrual Loans

Revenue recognition is suspended when any finance receivables and loans are placed on nonaccrual status. Generally, all classes of finance receivables and loans are placed on nonaccrual status when principal or interest has been delinquent for 90 days or when full collection is determined not to be probable. Exceptions include commercial real estate loans that are placed on nonaccrual status when delinquent for 60 days. These loans are reported as nonperforming loans in Note 7. Revenue accrued, but not collected, at the date finance receivables and loans are placed on nonaccrual status is reversed and subsequently recognized only to the extent it is received in cash or until it qualifies for return to accrual status. However, where there is doubt regarding the ultimate collectability of loan principal, all cash received is applied to reduce the carrying value of such loans. Finance receivables and loans are restored to accrual status only when contractually current and the collection of future payments is reasonably assured. Generally, we recognize all classes of loans as past due when they are 30 days delinquent on making a contractually required payment.

Impaired Loans

All classes of loans are considered impaired when we determine it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due (both principal and interest) according to the terms of the loan agreement.

For all classes of consumer loans, impaired loans are loans that have been modified in troubled debt restructurings (TDRs).

All classes of commercial loans are considered impaired on an individual basis and reported as impaired when we determine it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the terms of the loan agreement. With exception of certain consumer TDRs that have been returned to accruing status, for all classes of impaired loans, income recognition is consistent with that of nonaccrual loans discussed above. For collateral dependent loans, if the recorded investment in impaired loans exceeds the fair value of the collateral, a charge-off is recorded consistent with the TDR discussion below.

Troubled Debt Restructurings (TDRs)

When the terms of finance receivables or loans are modified, consideration must be given as to whether or not the modification results in a TDR. A modification is considered to be a TDR when both a) the borrower is experiencing financial difficulty and b) we grant a concession to the borrower. These considerations require significant judgment and vary by portfolio segment. In all cases, the cumulative impacts of all modifications are considered at the time of the most recent modification.

For all classes of consumer loans, various qualitative factors are utilized for assessing the financial difficulty of the borrower. These include, but are not limited to, the borrowers default status on any of its debts, bankruptcy and recent changes in financial circumstances (loss of job, etc.). A concession has been granted when as a result of the modification we do not expect to collect all amounts due, including interest accrued at the original contract rate. Types of modifications that may be considered concessions include, but are not limited to, extensions of terms at a rate that does not constitute a market rate, a reduction, deferral or forgiveness of principal or interest owed and loans that have been discharged in a Chapter 7 Bankruptcy and have not been reaffirmed by the borrower.

In addition to the modifications noted above, in our consumer automobile class of loans we also provide extensions or deferrals of payments to borrowers who we deem to be experiencing only temporary financial difficulty. In these cases, there are limits within our operational policies to minimize the number of times a loan can be extended, as well as limits to the length of each extension, including a cumulative cap over the life of the loan. Before offering an extension or deferral, we evaluate the capacity of the customer to make the scheduled payments after the deferral period. During the deferral period, we continue to accrue and collect interest on the loan as part of the deferral agreement. We grant these extensions or deferrals when we expect to collect all amounts due including interest accrued at the original contract rate.

A restructuring that results in only a delay in payment that is deemed to be insignificant is not a concession and the modification is not considered to be a TDR. In order to assess whether a restructuring that results in a delay in payment is insignificant, we consider the amount of the restructured payments subject to delay in conjunction with the unpaid principal balance or the collateral value of the loan, whether or not the delay is significant with respect to the frequency of payments under the original contract, or the loan's original expected duration. In the cases where payment extensions on our automobile loan portfolio cumulatively extend beyond 90 days and are more than 10% of the original contractual term or any cumulative extension beyond 180 days, we deem the delay in payment to be more than insignificant, and as such, classify these types of modifications as TDRs. Otherwise, we believe that the modifications do not represent a concessionary modification and accordingly, they are not classified as TDRs. For all classes of commercial loans, similar qualitative factors are considered when assessing the financial difficulty of the borrower. In addition to the factors noted above, consideration is also given to the borrower's forecasted ability to service the debt in accordance with the contractual terms, possible regulatory actions and other potential business disruptions (e.g., the loss of a significant customer or other revenue stream). Consideration of a concession is also similar for commercial loans. In addition to the factors noted above, consideration is also given to whether additional guarantees or collateral have been provided.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

For all loans, TDR classification typically results from our loss mitigation activities. For loans held-for-investment that are not carried at fair value and are TDRs, impairment is typically measured based on the differences between the net carrying value of the loan and the present value of the expected future cash flows of the loan. The loan may also be measured for impairment based on the fair value of the underlying collateral less costs to sell for loans that are collateral dependent. We recognize impairment by either establishing a valuation allowance or recording a charge-off. The financial impacts of modifications that meet the definition of a TDR are reported in the period in which they are identified as TDRs. Additionally, if a loan that is classified as a TDR redefaults within twelve months of the modification, we are required to disclose the instances of redefault. For the purpose of this disclosure, we have determined that a loan is considered to have redefaulted when the loan meets the requirements for evaluation under our charge-off policy except for commercial loans where redefault is defined as 90 days past due.

Our policy is to generally place all TDRs on nonaccrual status until the loan has been brought fully current, the collection of contractual principal and interest is reasonably assured, and six consecutive months of repayment performance is achieved. In certain cases, if a borrower has been current up to the time of the modification and repayment of the debt subsequent to the modification is reasonably assured, we may choose to continue to accrue interest on the loan.

Charge-offs

As a general rule, consumer automobile loans are written down to estimated collateral value, less costs to sell, once a loan becomes 120 days past due. In our consumer mortgage segment, first-lien mortgages and a subset of our home equity portfolio that are secured by real estate in a first-lien position are written down to the estimated fair value of the collateral, less costs to sell, once a mortgage loan becomes 180 days past due. Consumer mortgage loans that represent second-lien positions are charged off at 180 days past due. Consumer mortgage loans within our second-lien portfolio in bankruptcy that are 60 days past due are fully charged off within 60 days of receipt of notification of filing from the bankruptcy court. Consumer automobile and first-lien consumer mortgage loans in bankruptcy that are 60 days past due are written down to the estimated fair value of the collateral, less costs to sell, within 60 days of receipt of notification of discharge from the bankruptcy court. Regardless of other timelines noted within this policy, loans are considered collateral dependent once foreclosure or repossession proceedings begin and are charged off to the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral, less costs to sell at that time.

Commercial loans are individually evaluated and where collectability of the recorded balance is in doubt are written down to the estimated fair value of the collateral less costs to sell. Generally, all commercial loans are charged off when it becomes unlikely that the borrower is willing or able to repay the remaining balance of the loan and any underlying collateral is not sufficient to recover the outstanding principal. Collateral dependent loans are charged-off to the fair market value of collateral less costs to sell and non-collateral dependent loans are fully written-off.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses (the allowance) is management's estimate of incurred losses in the lending portfolios. We determine the amount of the allowance required for each of our portfolio segments based on its relative risk characteristics. The evaluation of these factors for both consumer and commercial finance receivables and loans involves quantitative analysis combined with sound management judgment. Additions to the allowance are charged to current period earnings through the provision for loan losses; amounts determined to be uncollectible are charged directly against the allowance, net of amounts recovered on previously charged-off accounts.

The allowance is comprised of two components: specific reserves established for individual loans evaluated as impaired and portfolio-level reserves established for large groups of typically smaller balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment. We evaluate the adequacy of the allowance based on the combined total of these two components. Determining the appropriateness of the allowance is complex and requires judgment by management about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. It is possible that others, given the same information, may at any point in time reach different reasonable conclusions.

Measurement of impairment for specific reserves is generally determined on a loan-by-loan basis. Loans determined to be specifically impaired are measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, an observable market price, or the estimated fair value of the collateral less estimated costs to sell, whichever is determined to be the most appropriate. When these measurement values are lower than the carrying value of that loan, impairment is recognized. Loans that are not identified as individually impaired are pooled with other loans with similar risk characteristics for evaluation of impairment for the portfolio-level allowance. For the purpose of calculating portfolio-level reserves, we have grouped our loans into three portfolio segments: consumer automobile, consumer mortgage, and commercial. The allowance consists of the combination of a quantitative assessment component based on statistical models, a retrospective evaluation of actual loss information to loss forecasts, and includes a qualitative component based on management judgment. Management takes into consideration relevant qualitative factors, including external and internal trends such as the impacts of changes in underwriting standards, collections and account management effectiveness, geographic concentrations, and economic events, among other factors, that have occurred but are not yet reflected in the quantitative assessment component. Qualitative adjustments are documented, reviewed, and approved through our established risk governance processes. Refer to Note 7 for information on the allowance for loan losses.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Consumer Loans

Our consumer automobile and consumer mortgage portfolio segments are reviewed for impairment based on an analysis of loans that are grouped into common risk categories (i.e., past due status, loan or lease type, collateral type, borrower, industry or geographic concentrations). We perform periodic and systematic detailed reviews of our lending portfolios to identify inherent risks and to assess the overall collectability of those portfolios. Loss models are utilized for these portfolios, which consider a variety of credit quality indicators including, but not limited to, historical loss experience, current economic conditions, anticipated repossessions or foreclosures based on portfolio trends, delinquencies and credit scores, and expected loss factors by loan type.

Consumer Automobile Portfolio Segment

The allowance for loan losses within the consumer automobile portfolio segment is calculated using proprietary statistical models and other risk indicators applied to pools of loans with similar risk characteristics, including credit bureau score and loan-to-value ratios to arrive at an estimate of incurred losses in the portfolio. These statistical loss forecasting models are utilized to estimate incurred losses and consider a variety of factors including, but not limited to, historical loss experience, estimated defaults based on portfolio trends, delinquencies, and general economic and business trends. These statistical models predict forecasted losses inherent in the portfolio based on both vintage and migration analyses.

The forecasted losses consider historical factors such as frequency (the number of contracts that we expect to default) and loss severity (the expected loss on a per vehicle basis). The loss severity within the consumer automobile portfolio segment is impacted by the market values of vehicles that are repossessed. Vehicle market values are affected by numerous factors including vehicles supply, the condition of the vehicle upon repossession, the overall price and volatility of gasoline or diesel fuel, consumer preference related to specific vehicle segments, and other factors. The historical loss experience is updated quarterly to incorporate the most recent data reflective of the current economic environment.

The quantitative assessment component is supplemented with qualitative reserves based on management's determination that such adjustments provide a better estimate of credit losses. This qualitative assessment takes into consideration relevant internal and external factors that have occurred but are not yet reflected in the forecasted losses and may affect the performance of the portfolio.

Our methodology and policies with respect to the allowance for loan losses for our consumer automobile portfolio segment did not change during 2013.

Consumer Mortgage Portfolio Segment

The allowance for loan losses within the consumer mortgage portfolio segment is calculated by using proprietary statistical models based on pools of loans with similar risk characteristics, including credit score, loan-to-value, loan age, documentation type, product type, and loan purpose, to arrive at an estimate of incurred losses in the portfolio. These statistical loss forecasting models are utilized to estimate incurred losses and consider a variety of factors including, but not limited to, historical loss experience, estimated foreclosures or defaults based on portfolio trends, delinquencies, and general economic and business trends.

The forecasted losses are statistically derived based on a suite of behavioral based transition models. This transition framework predicts various stages of delinquency, default, and voluntary prepayment over the course of the life of the loan. The transition probability is a function of the loan and borrower characteristics and economic variables and considers historical factors such as frequency (the number of contracts that we expect to default) and loss severity (the expected loss on a per loan basis). When a default event is predicted, a severity model is applied to estimate future loan losses. Loss severity within the consumer mortgage portfolio segment is impacted by the market values of foreclosed properties, which is affected by numerous factors, including geographic considerations and the condition of the foreclosed property. The historical loss experience is updated quarterly to incorporate the most recent data reflective of the current economic environment.

The quantitative assessment component is supplemented with qualitative reserves based on management's determination that such adjustments provide a better estimate of credit losses. This qualitative assessment takes into consideration relevant internal and external factors that have occurred but are not yet reflected in the forecasted losses and may affect the credit quality of the portfolio.

Our methodology and policies with respect to the allowance for loan losses for our consumer mortgage portfolio segment did not change during 2013.

Commercial Loans

The allowance for loan losses within the commercial portfolio is comprised of reserves established for specific loans evaluated as impaired and portfolio-level reserves based on nonimpaired loans grouped into pools based on similar risk characteristics and collectively evaluated.

A commercial loan is considered impaired when it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement based on current information and events. These loans are primarily evaluated individually and are risk-rated based on borrower, collateral, and industry-specific information that management believes is relevant in determining the occurrence of a loss event and measuring impairment. Management establishes specific allowances for commercial loans determined to be individually impaired based on the present value of expected future cash flows, discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, observable market price or

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

the fair value of collateral, whichever is determined to be the most appropriate. Estimated costs to sell or realize the value of the collateral on a discounted basis are included in the impairment measurement, when appropriate. Loans not identified as impaired are grouped into pools based on similar risk characteristics and collectively evaluated. Our risk rating models use historical loss experience, concentrations, current economic conditions, and performance trends. The commercial historical loss experience is updated quarterly to incorporate the most recent data reflective of the current economic environment. The determination of the allowance is influenced by numerous assumptions and many factors that may materially affect estimates of loss, including volatility of loss given default, probability of default, and rating migration. In assessing the risk rating of a particular loan, several factors are considered including an evaluation of historical and current information involving subjective assessments and interpretations. In addition, the allowance related to the commercial portfolio segment is influenced by estimated recoveries from automotive manufacturers relative to guarantees or agreements with them to repurchase vehicles used as collateral to secure the loans.

The quantitative assessment component may be supplemented with qualitative reserves based on management's determination that such adjustments provide a better estimate of credit losses. This qualitative assessment takes into consideration relevant internal and external factors that have occurred and may affect the credit quality of the portfolio.

Our methodology and policies with respect to the allowance for loan losses for our commercial portfolio segment did not change during 2013.

Securitizations and Variable Interest Entities

We securitize, transfer, and service consumer automobile loans, operating leases, and wholesale loans. Securitization transactions typically involve the use of variable interest entities and are accounted for either as sales or secured financings. We may retain economic interests in the securitized and sold assets, which are generally retained in the form of senior or subordinated interests, interest- or principal-only strips, cash reserve accounts, residual interests, and servicing rights.

In order to conclude whether or not a variable interest entity is required to be consolidated, careful consideration and judgment must be given to our continuing involvement with the variable interest entity. In circumstances where we have both the power to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly impact the entity's performance and the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits of the entity that could be significant, we would conclude that we would consolidate the entity, which would also preclude us from recording an accounting sale on the transaction. In the case of a consolidated variable interest entity, the accounting is consistent with a secured financing, (i.e., we continue to carry the loans and we record the related securitized debt on our balance sheet).

In transactions where either one or both of the power or economic criteria mentioned above are not met, we then must determine whether or not we achieve a sale for accounting purposes. In order to achieve a sale for accounting purposes, the assets being transferred must be legally isolated, not be constrained by restrictions from further transfer, and be deemed to be beyond our control. If we were to fail any of the three criteria for sale accounting, the accounting would be consistent with the preceding paragraph (i.e., a secured borrowing). Refer to Note 9 for discussion on variable interest entities.

Gains or losses on off-balance sheet securitizations take into consideration the fair value of the retained interests including the value of certain servicing assets or liabilities, if any, which are initially recorded at fair value at the date of sale. The estimate of the fair value of the retained interests and servicing requires us to exercise significant judgment about the timing and amount of future cash flows from the interests. Refer to Note 24 for a discussion of fair value estimates.

Gains or losses on off-balance sheet securitizations and sales are reported in gain (loss) on mortgage and automotive loans, net, in our Consolidated Statement of Income for consumer automobile loans, and wholesale loans. Declines in the fair value of retained interests below the carrying amount are reflected in other comprehensive income, or as other gain on investments, net, in our Consolidated Statement of Income if such declines are determined to be

other-than-temporary or if the interests are classified as trading. Retained interests, as well as any purchased securities, are generally included in available-for-sale investment securities, trading investment securities, or other assets.

Designation as available-for-sale or trading depends on management's intent. Securities that are noncertificated and cash reserve accounts related to securitizations are included in other assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

We retain servicing responsibilities for all of our consumer automobile loan, operating lease, and wholesale loan securitizations. We may receive servicing fees based on the securitized loan balances and certain ancillary fees, all of which are reported in servicing fees in the Consolidated Statement of Income.

Whether on- or off-balance sheet, the investors in the securitization trusts generally have no recourse to our assets outside of customary market representation and warranty repurchase provisions.

Mortgage Servicing Rights

We capitalized the value expected to be realized from performing specified mortgage servicing activities for others as mortgage servicing rights (MSRs) when the expected future cash flows from servicing were projected to be more than adequate compensation for such activities. These capitalized servicing rights were purchased or retained upon sale or securitization of mortgage loans. MSRs were not recorded on securitizations accounted for as secured financings.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

We measured all mortgage servicing assets and liabilities at fair value. We defined our servicing rights based on both the availability of market inputs and the manner in which we managed the risks of our servicing assets and liabilities. We leveraged all available relevant market data to determine the fair value of our recognized servicing assets and liabilities. We sold our remaining MSRMs during the year ended December 31, 2013. Refer to Note 10 for further details.

Repossessed and Foreclosed Assets

Assets are classified as repossessed and foreclosed and included in other assets when physical possession of the collateral is taken regardless of whether foreclosure proceedings have taken place. Repossessed and foreclosed assets are carried at the lower of the outstanding balance at the time of repossession or foreclosure or the fair value of the asset less estimated costs to sell. Losses on the revaluation of repossessed and foreclosed assets are charged to the allowance for loan losses at the time of repossession. Declines in value after repossession are charged to other operating expenses for loans and depreciation expense for operating lease assets as incurred.

Investment in Operating Leases

Investment in operating leases represents the automobiles that are underlying the automotive lease contracts and is reported at cost, less accumulated depreciation and net of impairment charges and origination fees or costs.

Depreciation of vehicles is generally provided on a straight-line basis to an estimated residual value over the lease term. Manufacturer support payments that we receive are treated as a reduction to the cost-basis in the underlying lease asset, which has the effect of reducing depreciation expense over the life of the contract. We periodically evaluate our depreciation rate for leased vehicles based on projected residual values. Income from operating lease assets that includes lease origination fees, net of lease origination costs, is recognized as operating lease revenue on a straight-line basis over the scheduled lease term.

We have significant investments in the residual values of the assets in our operating lease portfolio. The residual values represent an estimate of the values of the assets at the end of the lease contracts. At contract inception, we determine the projected residual value based on an internal evaluation of the expected future value. This evaluation is based on a proprietary model, which includes variables such as age, mileage, seasonality, segment factors, vehicle type, economic indicators and production cycle. This internally generated data is compared against third party, independent data for reasonableness and analysis. Realization of the residual values is dependent on our future ability to market the vehicles under the prevailing market conditions. Over the life of the lease, we evaluate the adequacy of our estimate of the residual value and may make adjustments to the depreciation rates to the extent the expected value of the vehicle (including any residual support payments) at lease termination changes. In addition to estimating the residual value at lease termination, we also evaluate the current value of the operating lease asset and test for impairment to the extent necessary based on market considerations and portfolio characteristics. Impairment is determined to exist if the undiscounted expected future cash flows are lower than the carrying value of the asset. If our operating lease assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment is measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value as estimated by discounted cash flows. The accrual of revenue on operating leases is generally discontinued at the time an account is determined to be uncollectible, at the earliest of time of repossession, within 60 days of bankruptcy notification and greater than 60 days past due, or greater than 120 days past due.

When a lease vehicle is returned to us, the asset is reclassified from investment in operating leases, net, to other assets and recorded at the lower-of-cost or estimated fair value, less costs to sell, on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Impairment of Long-lived Assets

The carrying value of long-lived assets (including property and equipment) are evaluated for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying values may not be recoverable from the estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to result from their use and eventual disposition. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of their carrying amount to future net undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the assets. If these assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment is measured as the

amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value as estimated by discounted cash flows. No material impairment was recognized in 2013, 2012, or 2011.

An impairment test on an asset group to be sold or otherwise disposed of is performed upon occurrence of a triggering event or when certain criteria are met (e.g., the asset is planned to be disposed of within twelve months, appropriate levels of authority have approved the sale, there is an active program to locate a buyer, etc.), which cause the disposal group to be classified as held-for-sale. Long-lived assets held-for-sale are recorded at the lower of their carrying amount or estimated fair value less cost to sell. If the carrying value of the assets held-for-sale exceeds the fair value less cost to sell, we recognize an impairment loss based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the assets less cost to sell. During 2013, 2012, and 2011, impairment losses were recognized on asset groups that were classified as held-for-sale or disposed of by sale. Refer to Note 2 for a discussion of discontinued and held-for-sale operations.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization, are reported in other assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in property and equipment are certain buildings, furniture and fixtures, leasehold improvements, company vehicles, IT hardware and software, and capitalized software costs. Depreciation is computed on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which generally ranges from three to thirty years. Capitalized software is generally amortized on a straight-line basis over its useful life, which generally ranges from three to five years. Capitalized software that is not expected to provide substantive service potential or for which development costs significantly exceed the amount originally expected is considered impaired and written down to fair value. Software expenditures that are considered general, administrative, or of a maintenance nature are expensed as incurred.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Unearned Insurance Premiums and Service Revenue

Insurance premiums, net of premiums ceded to reinsurers, and service revenue are earned over the terms of the policies. The portion of premiums and service revenue written applicable to the unexpired terms of the policies is recorded as unearned insurance premiums or unearned service revenue. For extended service and maintenance contracts, premiums and service revenues are earned on a basis proportionate to the anticipated cost emergence. For other short duration contracts, premiums and unearned service revenue are earned on a pro rata basis. For further information, refer to Note 3.

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs

Commissions, including compensation paid to sellers of vehicle service contracts and other costs of acquiring insurance that are primarily related to and vary with the production of business, are deferred and recorded in other assets. Deferred policy acquisition costs are amortized over the terms of the related policies and service contracts on the same basis as premiums and revenue are earned except for direct response advertising costs, which are amortized over their expected future benefit. We group costs incurred for acquiring like contracts and consider anticipated investment income in determining the recoverability of these costs.

Reserves for Insurance Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

Reserves for insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses are reported in accrued expenses and other liabilities. They are established for the unpaid cost of insured events that have occurred as of a point in time. More specifically, the reserves for insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses represent the accumulation of estimates for both reported losses and those incurred, but not reported, including claims adjustment expenses relating to direct insurance and assumed reinsurance agreements. Estimates for salvage and subrogation recoverable are recognized at the time losses are incurred and netted against provision for insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses. Reserves are established for each business at the lowest meaningful level of homogeneous data. Since the reserves are based on estimates, the ultimate liability may vary from such estimates. The estimates are regularly reviewed and adjustments, which can potentially be significant, are included in earnings in the period in which they are deemed necessary.

Legal and Regulatory Reserves

Reserves for legal and regulatory matters are established when those matters present loss contingencies that are both probable and estimable, with a corresponding amount recorded to other noninterest expense. In cases where we have an accrual for losses, it is our policy to include an estimate for probable and estimable legal expenses related to the case. If, at the time of evaluation, the loss contingency related to a legal or regulatory matter is not both probable and estimable, we do not establish an accrued liability. We continue to monitor legal and regulatory matters for further developments that could affect the requirement to establish a liability or that may impact the amount of a previously established liability. There may be exposure to loss in excess of any amounts recognized. For certain other matters where the risk of loss is determined to be reasonably possible, estimable, and material to the financial statements, disclosure regarding details of the matter and an estimated range of loss is required. The estimated range of possible loss does not represent our maximum loss exposure. Financial statement disclosure is also required for matters that are deemed probable or reasonably possible, material to the financial statements, but for which an estimated range of loss is not possible to determine. While we believe our reserves are adequate, the outcome of legal and regulatory proceedings is extremely difficult to predict and we may settle claims or be subject to judgments for amounts that differ from our estimates. For information regarding the nature of all material contingencies, refer to Note 29.

Loan Repurchase and Obligations Related to Loan Sales

Our mortgage operations has sold consumer loans through securitization transactions involving the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae), the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac), and the Government National Mortgage Association (Ginnie Mae) (collectively, the Government-sponsored Enterprises, or GSEs) and via whole-loan transactions directly to third party investors. In connection with these activities we provide to the GSEs and third party investors various representations and warranties related to the loans sold. These representations and warranties generally relate to, among other things, the ownership of the loan, the validity of the

lien securing the loan, the loan's compliance with the criteria for inclusion in the transaction, including compliance with underwriting standards or loan criteria established by the buyer, ability to deliver required documentation and compliance with applicable laws. Generally, the representations and warranties described in Note 29 may be enforced at any time over the life of the loan.

Upon a breach of a representation, we correct the breach in a manner conforming to the provisions of the sale agreement. This may require us either to repurchase the loan or to indemnify (make-whole) a party for incurred losses or provide other recourse to a GSE or investor. Repurchase demands and claims for indemnification payments are reviewed on a loan-by-loan basis to validate if there has been a breach requiring repurchase or a make-whole payment. We actively contest claims to the extent we do not consider them valid. In cases where we repurchase loans, we bear the credit loss on the loans. Repurchased loans are classified as held-for-sale and initially recorded at fair value and subsequently at the lower of cost or market. We seek to manage the risk of repurchase and associated credit exposure through our underwriting and quality assurance practices and by servicing mortgage loans to meet investor standards. The reserve for representation and warranty obligations reflects management's best estimate of probable lifetime loss. We consider historical and recent demand trends in establishing the reserve. The methodology used to estimate the reserve considers a variety of assumptions including borrower performance (both actual and estimated future defaults), repurchase demand behavior, historical loan defect experience, historical and estimated future loss experience, which includes projections of future home price changes as well as other qualitative factors including investor behavior. In cases where we may not be able to reasonably estimate losses, a liability is not recognized.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Management monitors the adequacy of the overall reserve and makes adjustments to the level of reserve, as necessary, after consideration of other qualitative factors including ongoing dialogue with counterparties.

At the time a loan is sold, an estimate of the fair value of the liability is recorded and classified in other liabilities on our Consolidated Balance Sheet, and recorded as a component of gain (loss) on mortgage and automotive loans, net, in our Consolidated Statement of Income. We recognize changes in the reserve when additional relevant information becomes available. Changes in the liability are recorded as other operating expenses in our Consolidated Statement of Income.

Earnings per Common Share

We compute basic earnings (loss) per common share by dividing net income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders after deducting dividends on preferred stock by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. We compute diluted earnings (loss) per common share by dividing net income (loss) from continuing operations after deducting dividends on preferred stock by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period plus the dilution resulting from the conversion of convertible preferred stock, if applicable.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

We primarily use derivative instruments for risk management purposes. Some of our derivative instruments are designated in qualifying hedge accounting relationships; other derivative instruments do not qualify for hedge accounting or are not elected to be designated in a qualifying hedging relationship. In accordance with applicable accounting standards, all derivative financial instruments, whether designated for hedge accounting or not, are required to be recorded on the balance sheet as assets or liabilities and measured at fair value. Additionally, we report derivative financial instruments on the Consolidated Balance Sheet primarily on a gross basis. For additional information on derivative instruments and hedging activities, refer to Note 21.

At inception of a hedge accounting relationship, we designate each qualifying derivative financial instrument as a hedge of the fair value of a specifically identified asset or liability (fair value hedge); as a hedge of the variability of cash flows to be received or paid related to a recognized asset or liability (cash flow hedge); or as a hedge of the foreign-currency exposure of a net investment in a foreign operation. We formally document all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items and risk management objectives for undertaking various hedge transactions.

Both at the hedge's inception and on an ongoing basis, we formally assess whether the derivatives that are used in hedging relationships are highly effective in offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows of hedged items.

Changes in the fair value of derivative financial instruments that are designated and qualify as fair value hedges along with the gain or loss on the hedged asset or liability attributable to the hedged risk, are recorded in the current period earnings. For qualifying cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the change in the fair value of the derivative financial instruments is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income, and recognized in the income statement when the hedged cash flows affect earnings. For a derivative designated as hedging the foreign-currency exposure of a net investment in a foreign operation, the gain or loss is reported in accumulated other comprehensive income as part of the cumulative translation adjustment. The ineffective portions of fair value, cash flow, and net investment hedges are immediately recognized in earnings, along with the portion of the change in fair value that is excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness, if any.

The hedge accounting treatment described herein is no longer applied if a derivative financial instrument is terminated or the hedge designation is removed or is assessed to be no longer highly effective. For these terminated fair value hedges, any changes to the hedged asset or liability remain as part of the basis of the asset or liability and are recognized into income over the remaining life of the asset or liability. For terminated cash flow hedges, unless it is probable that the forecasted cash flows will not occur within a specified period, any changes in fair value of the derivative financial instrument previously recognized remain in accumulated other comprehensive income, and are reclassified into earnings in the same period that the hedged cash flows affect earnings. The previously recognized net derivative gain or loss for a net investment hedge continues to remain in accumulated other comprehensive income

until earnings are impacted by sale or liquidation of the associated foreign operation. In all instances, after hedge accounting is no longer applied, any subsequent changes in fair value of the derivative instrument will be recorded into earnings.

Changes in the fair value of derivative financial instruments held for risk management purposes that are not designated for hedge accounting under GAAP are reported in current period earnings.

Income Taxes

Our income tax expense, deferred tax assets and liabilities, and reserves for unrecognized tax benefits reflect management's best assessment of estimated future taxes to be paid. We are subject to income taxes in the United States and numerous foreign jurisdictions. Significant judgments and estimates are required in determining the consolidated income tax expense.

Deferred income taxes arise from temporary differences between the tax and financial statement recognition of revenue and expense. In evaluating our ability to recover our deferred tax assets within the jurisdiction from which they arise we consider all available positive and negative evidence including scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, tax planning strategies and recent financial operations. In projecting future taxable income, we begin with historical results adjusted for the results of discontinued operations and changes in accounting policies and incorporate assumptions including the amount of future state, federal and foreign pretax operating income, the reversal of temporary differences, and the implementation of feasible and prudent tax planning strategies. For additional information regarding our provision for income taxes, refer to Note 22.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

We recognize the financial statement effects of uncertain income tax positions when it is more likely than not, based on the technical merits, that the position will be sustained upon examination. Also, we recognize accrued interest and penalties related to uncertain income tax positions in interest expense and other operating expenses, respectively.

Share-based Compensation

Under accounting guidance for share-based compensation, compensation cost recognized includes cost for share-based awards. For certain share-based awards compensation cost is ratably charged to expense over the applicable service periods. For other share-based awards, the awards require liability treatment and are remeasured quarterly at fair value, as determined by the Board of Directors, until they are paid, with changes in fair value charged to compensation expense in the period in which the change occurs. Refer to Note 23 for a discussion of our share-based compensation plans.

Foreign Exchange

Foreign-denominated assets and liabilities resulting from foreign-currency transactions are valued using period-end foreign-exchange rates and the results of operations and cash flows are determined using approximate weighted average exchange rates for the period. Translation adjustments are related to foreign subsidiaries using local currency as their functional currency and are reported as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income. We may elect to enter into foreign-currency derivatives to mitigate our exposure to changes in foreign-exchange rates. Refer to Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities above for a discussion of our hedging activities of the foreign-currency exposure of a net investment in a foreign operation.

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

Balance Sheet - Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities (ASU 2011-11 and ASU 2013-01)

As of January 1, 2013, we adopted ASU 2011-11, which amends ASC 210, Balance Sheet. This ASU contains new disclosure requirements regarding the nature of an entity's rights of offset and related arrangements associated with its financial instruments and derivative instruments. In addition, we adopted ASU 2013-01, which simply clarified the scope of ASU 2011-11. The new disclosures will give financial statement users information about both gross and net exposures. ASU 2011-11 and ASU 2013-01 were required to be applied retrospectively. Since the guidance relates only to disclosure of information, the adoption did not have an impact to our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Comprehensive Income - Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (ASU 2013-02)

As of January 1, 2013, we adopted ASU 2013-02, which amends ASC 220, Comprehensive Income. The ASU contains new requirements related to the presentation and disclosure of items that are reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. The new requirements provide financial statement users a more comprehensive view of items that are reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. ASU 2013-02 was required to be applied prospectively. Since the guidance relates only to presentation and disclosure of information, the adoption did not have an impact to our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Derivatives and Hedging - Inclusion of the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate (or Overnight Index Swap Rate) as a Benchmark Interest Rate for Hedge Accounting Purposes (ASU 2013-10)

As of July 17, 2013, we adopted ASU 2013-10, which amends ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging. The ASU established the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate (OIS) as an additional U.S. benchmark interest rate for hedge accounting purposes. Prior to the ASU's addition of the OIS as a benchmark rate, only interest rates on direct Treasury obligations and the LIBOR swap rate were considered to be such benchmarks. Amendments of the update also remove the restriction on using different benchmark rates for similar hedges. The amendments were effective prospectively when entering into new or redesignating existing hedging relationships on or after July 17, 2013. Since the new guidance simply allows for an additional hedge index to be utilized for hedge accounting purposes, the implementation of this guidance has not had a material effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

Liabilities - Obligations Resulting from Joint and Several Liability Arrangements for Which the Total Amount of the Obligation Is Fixed at the Reporting Date (ASU 2013-04)

In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued ASU 2013-04. This ASU requires an entity to measure obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount of the obligation within the scope of this guidance is fixed at the reporting date, as the sum of the following: (a) The amount the reporting entity agreed to pay on the basis of its arrangement among its co-obligors and (b) any additional amount the reporting entity expects to pay on behalf of its co-obligors. It further requires an entity to disclose the nature and amount of the obligation as well as other information about those obligations. ASU 2013-04 will be effective for us on January 1, 2014, with retrospective application required. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Foreign Currency Matters - Parent's Accounting for the Cumulative Translation Adjustment upon Derecognition of Certain Subsidiaries or Groups of Assets within a Foreign Entity or of an Investment in a Foreign Entity (ASU 2013-05)

In March 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-05. This ASU requires a reporting entity that ceases to have a controlling financial interest, in a subsidiary or group of assets or a business, within a foreign entity to release any related Cumulative Translation Adjustment (CTA) into

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

net income. The CTA should be released into net income only if the sale or transfer results in the complete or substantially complete liquidation of the foreign entity. For an equity method investment that is a foreign entity, a pro rata portion of the CTA should be released into net income upon a partial sale of such an investment. This ASU clarifies that the sale of an investment in a foreign entity includes both events that result in the loss of a controlling financial interest in a foreign entity, irrespective of any retained investment, and events that result in step acquisition under which an acquirer obtains control of an acquiree in which it held an equity interest immediately before the acquisition date. Under these circumstances, the CTA should be released into net income upon their occurrence. ASU 2013-04 will be effective for us prospectively on January 1, 2014. Management is currently assessing the potential impact of the application of this guidance. However, since the guidance is prospective and we have sold or exited substantially all of our international businesses, it is not expected to have a material effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Income Taxes - Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists (ASU 2013-11)

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-11. This ASU requires an unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, to be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward. The guidance further includes an exception that if a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward is not available to settle any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position at the reporting date or the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction does not require the entity to use them and the entity does not intend to use them, the deferred tax asset for such purpose should be presented in the financial statements as a liability and should not be combined with deferred tax assets. The assessment of whether a deferred tax asset is available is based on the unrecognized tax benefit and deferred tax asset that exist at the reporting date and should be made presuming disallowance of the tax position at the reporting date. The amendments are effective for us beginning on January 1, 2014. The amendments should be applied prospectively to all unrecognized tax benefits that exist at the effective date. Early adoption and retrospective application are permitted. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material effect to our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Investments - Accounting for Investments in Qualified Affordable Housing Projects (ASU 2014-01)

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-01. The amendments in this ASU allow an entity to make an accounting policy election to account for investments in qualified affordable housing projects using a proportional amortization method, if certain conditions are met. Under the election, the entity would amortize the initial cost of the investment in proportion to the tax credits and other benefits received while recognizing the net investment performance in the statement of comprehensive income as a component of income tax expense. The amendments are effective for us beginning on January 1, 2015. The amendments should be applied retrospectively to all periods presented. Early adoption is permitted. Management is assessing the impact of the adoption of this guidance in order to determine if our affordable housing investments will qualify for the election and whether or not we will choose to exercise the election.

Receivables - Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure (ASU 2014-04)

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-04. The amendments in this ASU clarify the timing for which an entity should reclassify a loan that has been foreclosed or where an in substance repossession to real estate owned. The guidance requires such reclassification to occur when the entity obtains legal title upon completion of foreclosure or the borrower conveys all interest in the residential real estate property to the entity to satisfy the loan through completion of a deed in lieu of foreclosure or similar legal agreement. In addition, the ASU clarifies that redemption rights of the borrower should be ignored for purposes of determining whether legal title has transferred. The amendments are effective for us beginning on January 1, 2015. The amendments can be applied using either a modified retrospective or prospective basis. Under the modified retrospective approach, the entity should record a

cumulative-effect adjustment to residential consumer mortgage loans and residential real estate owned as of the beginning of the annual period for which the amendments are effective. Early adoption is permitted. Management is assessing the impact of the adoption of this guidance.

2. Discontinued and Held-for-sale Operations

Discontinued Operations

We classify operations as discontinued when operations and cash flows will be eliminated from our ongoing operations and we do not expect to retain any significant continuing involvement in their operations after the respective sale transactions. For all periods presented, the operating results for these discontinued operations have been removed from continuing operations and presented separately as discontinued operations, net of tax, in the Consolidated Statement of Income. The Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements have been adjusted to exclude discontinued operations unless otherwise noted.

Select Mortgage Operations

During the first quarter of 2013, the operations of ResCap were classified as discontinued. During the second quarter of 2012, we sold the Canadian mortgage operations of ResMor Trust.

Select Insurance Operations

During the second quarter of 2013, we sold our Mexican insurance business, ABA Seguros. During the first quarter of 2013, we completed the sale of our U.K.-based operations that provided vehicle service contracts and insurance products internationally. During the second quarter of 2011, we completed the sale of our U.K. consumer property and casualty insurance business.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Select Automotive Finance Operations

During the fourth quarter of 2012, we committed to sell our automotive finance operations in Europe and Latin America to General Motors Financial Company, Inc. (GM Financial). On the same date, we entered into an agreement with GM Financial to acquire our international operations and 40% interest in a motor vehicle finance joint venture in China. As of December 31, 2013, our interest in the motor vehicle finance joint venture in China is the only remaining component of the disposal group for which the sale has not been completed. No impairment was recognized to present the operations at the lower-of-cost or fair value. We expect to complete the sale of the joint venture in China during 2014.

During the fourth quarter of 2013, we completed the sale of our Latin American operations in Brazil. During the second quarter of 2013, we completed the sale of our operations in Europe and the majority of Latin America. The transaction included European operations in Germany, the United Kingdom, Italy, Sweden, Switzerland, Austria, Belgium, France and the Netherlands, and Latin America operations in Mexico, Chile, and Colombia. During the first quarter of 2013, we sold our Canadian automotive finance operations, Ally Credit Canada Limited and ResMor Trust. During the first quarter of 2012, we completed the sale of our Venezuela operations. During the first quarter of 2011, we completed the sale of our Ecuador operations.

Select Corporate and Other Operations

During the fourth quarter of 2012, we ceased operations at our Commercial Finance Group's European division and classified it as discontinued.

Select Financial Information

Select financial information of discontinued operations is summarized below. The pretax income or loss, including direct costs to transact a sale, includes any impairment recognized to present the operations at the lower-of-cost or fair value. Fair value was based on the estimated sales price, which could differ from the ultimate sales price due to price volatility, changing interest rates, changing foreign-currency rates, and future economic conditions.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012	2011
Select Mortgage operations			
Total net revenue	\$—	\$439	\$562
Pretax loss including direct costs to transact a sale (a) (b)	(1,741)	(1,282)	(811)
Tax (benefit) expense (c)	(592)	(443)	2
Select Insurance operations			
Total net revenue	\$190	\$625	\$710
Pretax income including direct costs to transact a sale (a)	319	(d) 86	145
Tax (benefit) expense (c)	(14)	53	39
Select Automotive Finance operations			
Total net revenue	\$572	\$1,503	\$1,690
Pretax income including direct costs to transact a sale (a)	660	(e) 786	820
Tax (benefit) expense (c)	(101)	235	92
Select Corporate and Other operations			
Total net revenue	\$—	\$11	\$7
Pretax income	—	83	44
Tax expense	—	2	3

(a) Includes certain treasury and other corporate activity recognized by Corporate and Other.

(b) Includes the results of ResCap. Refer to Note 1 for more information regarding the Debtors' bankruptcy.

(c) Includes certain income tax activity recognized by Corporate and Other.

(d) Includes recognized pretax gain of \$274 million in connection with the sale of our Mexican insurance business, ABA Seguros.

(e)

Includes recognized pretax loss of \$488 million in connection with the sale of our European and Latin American automotive finance operations and pretax gain of \$888 million in connection with the sale of our Canadian automotive finance operations, Ally Credit Canada Limited and ResMor Trust.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Held-for-sale Operations

The assets and liabilities of operations held-for-sale are summarized below.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	Select Insurance operations (a)	Select Automotive Finance operations (b)	Total held-for-sale operations
2013			
Assets			
Other assets	\$—	\$516	\$516
Total assets	\$—	\$516	\$516
2012			
Assets			
Cash and cash equivalents			
Noninterest-bearing	\$8	\$100	\$108
Interest-bearing	119	1,918	2,037
Total cash and cash equivalents	127	2,018	2,145
Investment securities	576	424	1,000
Finance receivables and loans, net			
Finance receivables and loans, net of unearned income	—	25,835	25,835
Allowance for loan losses	—	(208)	(208)
Total finance receivables and loans, net	—	25,627	25,627
Investment in operating leases, net	—	144	144
Premiums receivable and other insurance assets	277	—	277
Other assets	94	2,942	3,036
Impairment on assets of held-for-sale operations	(53)	—	(53)
Total assets	\$1,021	\$31,155	\$32,176
Liabilities			
Interest-bearing deposit liabilities	\$—	\$3,907	\$3,907
Short-term borrowings	—	2,800	2,800
Long-term debt	—	13,514	13,514
Interest payable	—	177	177
Unearned insurance premiums and service revenue	506	—	506
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	297	1,498	1,795
Total liabilities	\$803	\$21,896	\$22,699

(a) Includes our U.K.-based operations that provide vehicle service contracts and insurance products, and ABA Seguros.

(b) Includes our Canadian operations sold to Royal Bank of Canada and international entities being sold to GM Financial.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

3. Insurance Premiums and Service Revenue Earned

The following table is a summary of insurance premiums and service revenue written and earned.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013		2012		2011	
	Written	Earned	Written	Earned	Written	Earned
Insurance premiums						
Direct	\$270	\$305	\$332	\$335	\$342	\$309
Assumed	61	58	44	49	38	76
Gross insurance premiums	331	363	376	384	380	385
Ceded	(172)	(120)	(141)	(109)	(129)	(126)
Net insurance premiums	159	243	235	275	251	259
Service revenue	838	769	826	780	788	894
Insurance premiums and service revenue written and earned	\$997	\$1,012	\$1,061	\$1,055	\$1,039	\$1,153

4. Other Income, Net of Losses

Details of other income, net of losses, were as follows.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012	2011
Late charges and other administrative fees	\$94	\$83	\$82
Remarketing fees	82	63	96
Mortgage processing fees and other mortgage income	81	475	236
Fair value adjustment on derivatives (a)	24	(30)	(125)
Securitization income	23	45	199
Other, net	79	101	133
Total other income, net of losses	\$383	\$737	\$621

(a) Refer to Note 21 for a description of derivative instruments and hedging activities.

5. Other Operating Expenses

Details of other operating expenses were as follows.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012	2011
Insurance commissions	\$370	\$382	\$431
Technology and communications	346	317	342
Professional services	176	149	171
Lease and loan administration	173	325	201
Advertising and marketing	136	145	150
Regulatory and licensing fees	116	118	124
Provision for legal and regulatory settlements (a)	105	6	2
Mortgage representation and warranty obligation, net	104	171	—
Premises and equipment depreciation	81	76	70
Vehicle remarketing and repossession	60	52	84
Occupancy	44	50	47
Other	270	271	361
Total other operating expenses	\$1,981	\$2,062	\$1,983

Results for the year ended December 31, 2013 include a \$98 million settlement charge related to Consent Orders (a) issued by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) and the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) pertaining to the allegation of disparate impact in the automotive finance business. Refer to Note 29 for additional details.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

6. Investment Securities

Our portfolio of securities includes bonds, equity securities, asset- and mortgage-backed securities, interests in securitization trusts, and other investments. The cost, fair value, and gross unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities were as follows.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013			2012				
	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value
Available-for-sale securities								
Debt securities								
U.S. Treasury and federal agencies	\$1,495	\$1	\$(69)	\$1,427	\$2,212	\$3	\$(1)	\$2,214
U.S. States and political subdivisions	316	—	(1)	315	—	—	—	—
Foreign government	287	4	(3)	288	295	8	—	303
Mortgage-backed residential (a)	11,131	49	(398)	10,782	6,779	130	(3)	6,906
Mortgage-backed commercial	39	—	—	39	—	—	—	—
Asset-backed	2,207	15	(3)	2,219	2,309	32	(1)	2,340
Corporate debt	1,052	23	(6)	1,069	1,209	57	(3)	1,263
Total debt securities	16,527	92	(480)	16,139	12,804	230	(8)	13,026
Equity securities	898	74	(28)	944	1,193	32	(73)	1,152
Total available-for-sale securities (b)	\$17,425	\$166	\$(508)	\$17,083	\$13,997	\$262	\$(81)	\$14,178

(a) Residential mortgage-backed securities include agency-backed bonds totaling \$8,266 million and \$4,983 million at December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Certain entities related to our Insurance operations are required to deposit securities with state regulatory (b) authorities. These deposited securities totaled \$15 million and \$15 million at December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

The maturity distribution of available-for-sale debt securities outstanding is summarized in the following tables. Prepayments may cause actual maturities to differ from scheduled maturities.

	Total		Due in one year or less		Due after one year through five years		Due after five years through ten years		Due after ten years (a)	
(\$ in millions)	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield
December 31, 2013										
Fair value of available-for-sale debt securities (b)										
U.S. Treasury and federal agencies	\$1,427	1.3 %	\$9	3.0 %	\$766	1.2 %	\$652	1.3 %	\$—	— %
U.S. States and political subdivisions	315	3.3	39	1.3	10	0.6	102	2.6	164	4.3
Foreign government	288	2.7	18	2.7	105	2.4	164	2.9	1	2.7
Mortgage-backed residential	10,782	2.7	—	—	90	2.1	3	4.2	10,689	2.7
Mortgage-backed commercial	39	1.3	—	—	—	—	—	—	39	1.3
Asset-backed	2,219	2.0	76	2.4	1,483	1.9	491	1.9	169	2.7
Corporate debt	1,069	4.1	24	3.4	547	3.0	430	5.3	68	5.7
Total available-for-sale debt securities	\$16,139	2.5	\$166	2.3	\$3,001	1.9	\$1,842	2.5	\$11,130	2.7
Amortized cost of available-for-sale debt securities	\$16,527		\$165		\$3,000		\$1,882		\$11,480	
December 31, 2012										
Fair value of available-for-sale debt securities (b)										
U.S. Treasury and federal agencies	\$2,214	0.9 %	\$422	— %	\$682	0.7 %	\$1,110	1.4 %	\$—	— %
Foreign government	303	2.5	1	2.2	136	1.8	166	3.0	—	—
Mortgage-backed residential	6,906	2.7	—	—	—	—	35	4.3	6,871	2.7
Asset-backed	2,340	2.1	—	—	1,543	2.0	510	1.7	287	3.3
Corporate debt	1,263	5.1	9	3.2	560	4.0	596	6.0	98	5.8
Total available-for-sale debt securities	\$13,026	2.4	\$432	0.1	\$2,921	2.0	\$2,417	2.6	\$7,256	2.6
Amortized cost of available-for-sale debt securities	\$12,804		\$431		\$2,880		\$2,369		\$7,124	

(a) Investments with no stated maturities are included as contractual maturities of greater than 10 years. Actual maturities may differ due to call or prepayment options.

(b) Yields on tax-exempt obligations are computed on a tax-equivalent basis.

The balances of cash equivalents were \$2.4 billion and \$3.4 billion at December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively, and were composed primarily of money market accounts and short-term securities, including U.S. Treasury bills.

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-K

The following table presents gross gains and losses realized upon the sales of available-for-sale securities and other-than-temporary impairment.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012	2011
Gross realized gains	\$221	\$241	\$297
Gross realized losses	(21)) (34) (28
Other-than-temporary impairment	(20)) (61) (11
Other gain on investments, net	\$180	\$146	\$258

108

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

The following table presents interest and dividends on available-for-sale securities.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012	2011
Taxable interest	\$297	\$262	\$325
Taxable dividends	25	30	25
Interest and dividends exempt from U.S. federal income tax	3	—	—
Interest and dividends on available-for-sale securities	\$325	\$292	\$350

Certain available-for-sale securities were sold at a loss in 2013, 2012, and 2011 as a result of market conditions within these respective periods (e.g., a downgrade in the rating of a debt security). The table below summarizes available-for-sale securities in an unrealized loss position in accumulated other comprehensive income. Based on the methodology described below that was applied to these securities, we believe that the unrealized losses relate to factors other than credit losses in the current market environment. As of December 31, 2013, we did not have the intent to sell the debt securities with an unrealized loss position in accumulated other comprehensive income, and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell these securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis. As of December 31, 2013, we had the ability and intent to hold equity securities with an unrealized loss position in accumulated other comprehensive income, and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell these securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis. As a result, we believe that the securities with an unrealized loss position in accumulated other comprehensive income are not considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2013. Refer to Note 1 for additional information related to investment securities and our methodology for evaluating potential other-than-temporary impairments.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013		12 months or longer		2012		12 months or longer	
	Fair value	Unrealized loss	Fair value	Unrealized loss	Fair value	Unrealized loss	Fair value	Unrealized loss
Available-for-sale securities								
Debt securities								
U.S. Treasury and federal agencies	\$1,405	\$(69)	\$—	\$—	\$244	\$(1)	\$—	\$—
U.S. States and political subdivisions	212	(1)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Foreign government	114	(3)	—	—	11	—	—	—
Mortgage-backed	7,503	(388)	100	(10)	493	(2)	23	(1)
Asset-backed	407	(3)	1	—	143	(1)	1	—
Corporate debt	310	(6)	3	—	120	(2)	15	(1)
Total temporarily impaired debt securities	9,951	(470)	104	(10)	1,011	(6)	39	(2)
Temporarily impaired equity securities	167	(12)	100	(16)	380	(39)	218	(34)
Total temporarily impaired available-for-sale securities	\$10,118	\$(482)	\$204	\$(26)	\$1,391	\$(45)	\$257	\$(36)

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

7. Finance Receivables and Loans, Net

The composition of finance receivables and loans, net, reported at carrying value before allowance for loan losses was as follows.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012
Consumer automobile (a)	\$56,417	\$53,715
Consumer mortgage (b) (c)	8,444	9,821
Commercial		
Commercial and industrial		
Automobile	30,948	30,270
Mortgage	—	—
Other	1,664	2,697
Commercial real estate - Automobile	2,855	2,552
Total commercial	35,467	35,519
Total finance receivables and loans (d)	\$100,328	\$99,055

(a) Includes \$1 million of fair value adjustment for loans in hedge accounting relationships at December 31, 2013.

(a) Refer to Note 21 for additional information.

(b) Includes interest-only mortgage loans of \$1.5 billion and \$2.1 billion at December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively, the majority of which are expected to start principal amortization in 2015 or beyond.

(c) Includes domestic consumer mortgages at a fair value of \$1 million at December 31, 2013, as a result of fair value option election.

(d) Totals are net of unearned income, unamortized premiums and discounts, and deferred fees and costs of \$595 million and \$895 million at December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively.

The following tables present an analysis of the activity in the allowance for loan losses on finance receivables and loans.

(\$ in millions)	Consumer automobile	Consumer mortgage	Commercial	Total
Allowance at January 1, 2013	\$575	\$452	\$143	\$1,170
Charge-offs	(639)	(93)	(5)	(737)
Recoveries	237	18	10	265
Net charge-offs	(402)	(75)	5	(472)
Provision for loan losses	490	13	(2)	501
Other	10	(1)	—	9
Allowance at December 31, 2013	\$673	\$389	\$146	\$1,208
Allowance for loan losses				
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$23	\$199	\$26	\$248
Collectively evaluated for impairment	650	190	120	960
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	—	—	—	—
Finance receivables and loans at historical cost				
Ending balance	56,417	8,443	35,467	100,327
Individually evaluated for impairment	281	919	204	1,404
Collectively evaluated for impairment	56,128	7,524	35,263	98,915
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	8	—	—	8

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

(\$ in millions)	Consumer automobile	Consumer mortgage	Commercial	Total
Allowance at January 1, 2012	\$766	\$516	\$221	\$1,503
Charge-offs	(616)	(149)	(11)	(776)
Recoveries	247	11	44	302
Net charge-offs	(369)	(138)	33	(474)
Provision for loan losses	257	86	(14)	329
Other (a)	(79)	(12)	(97)	(188)
Allowance at December 31, 2012	\$575	\$452	\$143	\$1,170
Allowance for loan losses				
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$16	\$186	\$26	\$228
Collectively evaluated for impairment	556	266	117	939
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	3	—	—	3
Finance receivables and loans at historical cost				
Ending balance	53,715	9,821	35,519	99,055
Individually evaluated for impairment	260	873	1,538	2,671
Collectively evaluated for impairment	53,425	8,948	33,981	96,354
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	30	—	—	30

(a) Includes provision for loan losses relating to discontinued operations of \$65 million.

The following table presents information about significant sales of finance receivables and loans recorded at historical cost and transfers of finance receivables and loans from held-for-investment to held-for-sale.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012
Consumer automobile	\$—	\$1,960
Consumer mortgage	—	40
Commercial	65	96
Total sales and transfers	\$65	\$2,096

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

The following table presents an analysis of our past due finance receivables and loans, net, recorded at historical cost reported at carrying value before allowance for loan losses.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	30-59 days past due	60-89 days past due	90 days or more past due	Total past due	Current	Total finance receivables and loans
2013						
Consumer automobile	\$1,145	\$255	\$157	\$1,557	\$54,860	\$ 56,417
Consumer mortgage	82	31	124	237	8,206	8,443
Commercial						
Commercial and industrial						
Automobile	—	—	36	36	30,912	30,948
Mortgage	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other	—	—	—	—	1,664	1,664
Commercial real estate - Automobile	—	—	6	6	2,849	2,855
Total commercial	—	—	42	42	35,425	35,467
Total consumer and commercial	\$1,227	\$286	\$323	\$1,836	\$98,491	\$ 100,327
2012						
Consumer automobile	\$920	\$213	\$138	\$1,271	\$52,444	\$ 53,715
Consumer mortgage	81	43	174	298	9,523	9,821
Commercial						
Commercial and industrial						
Automobile	—	—	16	16	30,254	30,270
Mortgage	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other	—	—	1	1	2,696	2,697
Commercial real estate - Automobile	—	—	8	8	2,544	2,552
Total commercial	—	—	25	25	35,494	35,519
Total consumer and commercial	\$1,001	\$256	\$337	\$1,594	\$97,461	\$ 99,055

The following table presents the carrying value before allowance for loan losses of our finance receivables and loans recorded at historical cost on nonaccrual status.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012
Consumer automobile	\$329	\$260
Consumer mortgage	192	382
Commercial		
Commercial and industrial		
Automobile	116	146
Mortgage	—	—
Other	74	33
Commercial real estate - Automobile	14	37
Total commercial	204	216
Total consumer and commercial finance receivables and loans	\$725	\$858

Management performs a quarterly analysis of the consumer automobile, consumer mortgage, and commercial portfolios using a range of credit quality indicators to assess the adequacy of the allowance based on historical and current trends. The tables below present the population of loans by quality indicators for our consumer automobile, consumer mortgage, and commercial portfolios.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

The following table presents performing and nonperforming credit quality indicators in accordance with our internal accounting policies for our consumer finance receivables and loans recorded at historical cost reported at carrying value before allowance for loan losses. Nonperforming loans include finance receivables and loans on nonaccrual status when the principal or interest has been delinquent for 90 days or when full collection is determined not to be probable. Refer to Note 1 for additional information.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013			2012		
	Performing	Nonperforming	Total	Performing	Nonperforming	Total
Consumer automobile	\$56,088	\$329	\$56,417	\$53,455	\$260	\$53,715
Consumer mortgage	8,251	192	8,443	9,439	382	9,821

The following table presents pass and criticized credit quality indicators based on regulatory definitions for our commercial finance receivables and loans recorded at historical cost reported at carrying value before allowance for loan losses.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013			2012		
	Pass	Criticized (a)	Total	Pass	Criticized (a)	Total
Commercial						
Commercial and industrial						
Automobile	\$29,194	\$1,754	\$30,948	\$28,978	\$1,292	\$30,270
Mortgage	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other	1,388	276	1,664	2,417	280	2,697
Commercial real estate - Automobile	2,770	85	2,855	2,440	112	2,552
Total commercial	\$33,352	\$2,115	\$35,467	\$33,835	\$1,684	\$35,519

Includes loans classified as special mention, substandard, or doubtful. These classifications are based on regulatory (a) definitions and generally represent loans within our portfolio that have a higher default risk or have already defaulted.

Impaired Loans and Troubled Debt Restructurings**Impaired Loans**

Loans are considered impaired when we determine it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the terms of the loan agreement. For more information on our impaired finance receivables and loans, refer to Note 1.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

The following table presents information about our impaired finance receivables and loans recorded at historical cost.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	Unpaid principal balance	Carrying value before allowance	Impaired with no allowance	Impaired with an allowance	Allowance for impaired loans
2013					
Consumer automobile	\$281	\$281	\$—	\$281	\$23
Consumer mortgage	924	919	128	791	199
Commercial					
Commercial and industrial					
Automobile	116	116	57	59	7
Mortgage	—	—	—	—	—
Other	74	74	—	74	16
Commercial real estate - Automobile	14	14	9	5	3
Total commercial	204	204	66	138	26
Total consumer and commercial finance receivables and loans	\$1,409	\$1,404	\$194	\$1,210	\$248
2012					
Consumer automobile	\$260	\$260	\$90	\$170	\$16
Consumer mortgage	958	873	124	749	186
Commercial					
Commercial and industrial					
Automobile	146	146	54	92	7
Mortgage	—	—	—	—	—
Other	33	33	9	24	7
Commercial real estate - Automobile	37	37	9	28	12
Total commercial	216	216	72	144	26
Total consumer and commercial finance receivables and loans	\$1,434	\$1,349	\$286	\$1,063	\$228

The following tables present average balance and interest income for our impaired finance receivables and loans.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013		2012		2011	
	Average balance	Interest income	Average balance	Interest income	Average balance	Interest income
Consumer automobile	\$278	\$18	\$131	\$12	\$35	\$2
Consumer mortgage	908	29	693	28	553	22
Commercial						
Commercial and industrial						
Automobile	152	6	178	8	303	19
Mortgage	—	—	5	—	19	6
Other	72	2	32	6	84	1
Commercial real estate						
Automobile	29	1	64	1	126	7
Mortgage	—	—	6	—	40	1
Total commercial	253	9	285	15	572	34
Total consumer and commercial finance receivables and loans	\$1,439	\$56	\$1,109	\$55	\$1,160	\$58
Troubled Debt Restructurings						

TDRs are loan modifications where concessions were granted to borrowers experiencing financial difficulties. Numerous initiatives are in place to provide support to our mortgage customers in financial distress, including principal forgiveness, maturity extensions, delinquent interest capitalization, and changes to contractual interest rates. Additionally for automobile loans, we may offer several types of assistance to aid our customers, including extension of the loan maturity date and rewriting the loan terms. Total TDRs recorded at historical cost and

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

reported at carrying value before allowance for loan losses were \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2013, reflecting an increase of \$112 million from December 31, 2012. Refer to Note 1 for additional information.

The following table presents information related to finance receivables and loans recorded at historical cost modified in connection with a TDR during the period.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013 (a)			2012 (a)		
	Number of loans	Pre-modification carrying value before allowance	Post-modification carrying value before allowance	Number of loans	Pre-modification carrying value before allowance	Post-modification carrying value before allowance
Consumer automobile	19,388	\$ 297	\$ 249	36,285	\$ 407	\$ 295
Consumer mortgage	1,092	278	234	2,969	436	350
Commercial						
Commercial and industrial						
Automobile	8	37	37	9	15	15
Mortgage	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other	4	80	78	—	—	—
Commercial real estate - Automobile	5	20	20	8	14	13
Total commercial	17	137	135	17	29	28
Total consumer and commercial finance receivables and loans	20,497	\$ 712	\$ 618	39,271	\$ 872	\$ 673

(a) Due to recent industry practice, bankruptcy loans that have not been reaffirmed have been included within our TDR population beginning in the fourth quarter of 2012.

The following table presents information about finance receivables and loans recorded at historical cost that have redefaulted during the reporting period and were within 12 months or less of being modified as a TDR. Redefault is when finance receivables and loans meet the requirements for evaluation under our charge-off policy (Refer to Note 1 for additional information) except for commercial finance receivables and loans, where redefault is defined as 90 days past due.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013 (a)			2012 (a)		
	Number of loans	Carrying value before allowance	Charge-off amount	Number of loans	Carrying value before allowance	Charge-off amount
Consumer automobile	6,038	\$ 75	\$ 37	2,290	\$ 26	\$ 12
Consumer mortgage	32	8	1	153	19	3
Commercial						
Commercial and industrial - Automobile	—	—	—	4	3	—
Commercial real estate - Automobile	—	—	—	3	3	—
Total commercial	—	—	—	7	6	—
Total consumer and commercial finance receivables and loans	6,070	\$ 83	\$ 38	2,450	\$ 51	\$ 15

(a) Due to recent industry practice, bankruptcy loans that have not been reaffirmed have been included within our TDR population beginning in the fourth quarter of 2012.

At December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, commercial commitments to lend additional funds to debtors owing receivables whose terms had been modified in a TDR were \$26 million and \$25 million, respectively.

Concentration Risk

Consumer

We monitor our consumer loan portfolio for concentration risk across the geographies in which we lend. The highest concentrations of loans are in Texas and California, which represent an aggregate of 21.1% of our total outstanding consumer finance receivables and loans at December 31, 2013.

Concentrations in our mortgage portfolio are closely monitored given the volatility of the housing markets. Our consumer mortgage loan concentrations in California, Florida, and Michigan receive particular attention as the real estate value depreciation in these states has been the most severe.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

The following table shows the percentage of total consumer finance receivables and loans recorded at historical cost reported at carrying value before allowance for loan losses by geographic region.

December 31,	2013 (a)		2012	
	Automobile	1st Mortgage and home equity	Automobile	1st Mortgage and home equity
Texas	13.2	% 5.8	% 12.9	% 5.8
California	5.8	29.5	5.6	29.2
Florida	7.0	3.6	6.7	3.6
Pennsylvania	5.3	1.7	5.2	1.6
Illinois	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.8
Michigan	4.4	3.9	5.0	4.1
New York	4.3	1.9	4.6	2.0
Georgia	4.0	2.1	3.7	1.9
Ohio	4.0	0.7	4.0	0.8
North Carolina	3.4	1.9	3.3	2.0
Other United States	44.2	44.5	44.7	44.2
Total consumer loans	100.0	% 100.0	% 100.0	% 100.0

(a) Presentation is in descending order as a percentage of total consumer finance receivables and loans at December 31, 2013.

Commercial Real Estate

The commercial real estate portfolio consists of loans issued primarily to automotive dealers. The following table shows the percentage of total commercial real estate finance receivables and loans reported at carrying value before allowance for loan losses by geographic region.

December 31, Geographic region	2013	2012
Texas	13.2	% 13.0
Florida	12.6	11.7
Michigan	11.6	12.6
California	9.2	9.3
New York	4.5	4.9
North Carolina	4.1	3.9
Virginia	3.8	3.9
Pennsylvania	3.3	3.3
Georgia	3.1	3.0
Illinois	2.5	1.8
Other United States	32.1	32.6
Total commercial real estate finance receivables and loans	100.0	% 100.0

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Commercial Criticized Exposure

Finance receivables and loans classified as special mention, substandard, or doubtful are deemed as criticized. These classifications are based on regulatory definitions and generally represent finance receivables and loans within our portfolio that have a higher default risk or have already defaulted. The following table presents the percentage of total commercial criticized finance receivables and loans reported at carrying value before allowance for loan losses by industry concentrations.

December 31, Industry	2013		2012	
Automotive	91.4	%	85.7	%
Electronics	3.4		1.2	
Services	2.5		4.9	
Other	2.7		8.2	
Total commercial criticized finance receivables and loans	100.0	%	100.0	%

8. Investment in Operating Leases, Net

Investments in operating leases were as follows.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013		2012	
Vehicles and other equipment	\$21,125		\$16,009	
Accumulated depreciation	(3,445))	(2,459))
Investment in operating leases, net	\$17,680		\$13,550	

Depreciation expense on operating lease assets includes remarketing gains and losses recognized on the sale of operating lease assets. The following summarizes the components of depreciation expense on operating lease assets.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013		2012		2011	
Depreciation expense on operating lease assets (excluding remarketing gains)	\$2,327		\$1,515		\$1,158	
Remarketing gains	(332))	(116))	(217))
Depreciation expense on operating lease assets	\$1,995		\$1,399		\$941	

The following table presents the future lease nonresidual rental payments due from customers for equipment on operating leases.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	
2014	\$2,942
2015	1,890
2016	733
2017	22
2018 and after	—
Total	\$5,587

9. Securitizations and Variable Interest Entities

Overview

We are involved in several types of securitization and financing transactions that utilize special-purpose entities (SPEs). An SPE is an entity that is designed to fulfill a specified limited need of the sponsor. Our principal use of SPEs is to obtain liquidity by securitizing certain of our financial assets and operating lease assets.

The SPEs involved in our securitization and other financing transactions are generally considered variable interest entities (VIEs). VIEs are entities that have either a total equity investment that is insufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support or whose equity investors lack the ability to control the entity's activities.

Due to the deconsolidation of ResCap, our mortgage securitization activity and involvement with certain mortgage-related VIEs has substantially decreased. Refer to Note 1 for additional information related to ResCap. We no longer securitize consumer mortgage loans through transactions involving GSEs, or through private-label mortgage

securitizations. Accordingly, the discussion below represents our current involvement with variable interest entities as of December 31, 2013, except where otherwise stated or where comparative information is presented.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Securitizations

We provide a wide range of consumer and commercial automobile loans, operating leases, and commercial loans to a diverse customer base. We often securitize these loans (also referred to as financial assets) and leases through the use of securitization entities, which may or may not be consolidated on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. We securitize consumer and commercial automobile loans, operating leases, and other commercial loans through private-label securitizations.

In executing a securitization transaction, we typically sell pools of financial assets to a wholly owned, bankruptcy-remote SPE, which then transfers the financial assets to a separate, transaction-specific securitization entity for cash, servicing rights, and in some transactions, other retained interests. The securitization entity is funded through the issuance of beneficial interests in the securitized financial assets. The beneficial interests take the form of either notes or trust certificates, which are sold to investors and/or retained by us. These beneficial interests are collateralized by the transferred leases and loans and entitle the investors to specified cash flows generated from the underlying securitized assets. In addition to providing a source of liquidity and cost-efficient funding, securitizing these leases and financial assets also reduces our credit exposure to the borrowers beyond any economic interest we may retain.

Each securitization is governed by various legal documents that limit and specify the activities of the securitization entity. The securitization entity is generally allowed to acquire the loans, to issue beneficial interests to investors to fund the acquisition of the loans, and to enter into derivatives or other yield maintenance contracts to hedge or mitigate certain risks related to the financial assets or beneficial interests of the entity. A servicer, who is generally us, is appointed pursuant to the underlying legal documents to service the assets the securitization entity holds and the beneficial interests it issues. Servicing functions include, but are not limited to, general collection activity on current and noncurrent accounts, loss mitigation efforts including repossession and sale of collateral, as well as advancing principal and interest payments before collecting them from individual borrowers. Our servicing responsibilities, which constitute continued involvement in the transferred financial assets, consist of primary servicing (i.e., servicing the underlying transferred financial assets) and master servicing (i.e., servicing the beneficial interests that result from the securitization transactions).

Cash flows from the assets initially transferred into the securitization entity represent the sole source for payment of distributions on the beneficial interests issued by the securitization entity and for payments to the parties that perform services for the securitization entity, such as the servicer or the trustee. In certain securitization transactions, a liquidity facility may exist to provide temporary liquidity to the entity. The liquidity provider generally is reimbursed prior to other parties in subsequent distribution periods.

We typically hold retained beneficial interests in our securitizations, which may represent a form of significant continuing economic interest. These retained interests include, but are not limited to, senior or subordinate asset-backed securities and residuals; and other residual interests. Certain of these retained interests provide credit enhancement to the trust as they may absorb credit losses or other cash shortfalls. Additionally, the securitization agreements may require cash flows to be directed away from certain of our retained interests due to specific over-collateralization requirements, which may or may not be performance-driven.

We generally hold certain conditional repurchase options specific to securitizations that allow us to repurchase assets from the securitization entity. The majority of the securitizations provide us, as servicer, with a call option that allows us to repurchase the remaining transferred financial assets or outstanding beneficial interests at our discretion once the asset pool reaches a predefined level, which represents the point where servicing becomes burdensome (a clean-up call option). The repurchase price is typically the par amount of the loans plus accrued interest. Additionally, we may hold other conditional repurchase options that allow us to repurchase a transferred financial asset if certain events outside our control occur. The typical conditional repurchase option is a delinquent loan repurchase option that gives us the option to purchase the loan or contract if it exceeds a certain prespecified delinquency level. We generally have discretion regarding when or if we will exercise these options, but we would do so only when it is in our best interest.

Other than customary representation and warranty provisions, these securitizations are nonrecourse to us, thereby transferring the risk of future credit losses to the extent the beneficial interests in the securitization entities are held by third parties. Representation and warranty provisions generally require us to repurchase loans or indemnify the investor or other party for incurred losses to the extent it is determined that the loans were ineligible or were otherwise defective at the time of sale. Refer to Note 29 for detail on representation and warranty provisions. We did not provide any noncontractual financial support to any of these entities during 2013 or 2012.

Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities

The determination of whether the assets and liabilities of the VIEs are consolidated on our balance sheet (also referred to as on-balance sheet) or not consolidated on our balance sheet (also referred to as off-balance sheet) depends on the terms of the related transaction and our continuing involvement (if any) with the VIE. We are deemed the primary beneficiary and therefore consolidate VIEs for which we have both (a) the power, through voting rights or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance, and (b) a variable interest (or variable interests) that (i) obligates us to absorb losses that could potentially be significant to the VIE and/or (ii) provides us the right to receive residual returns of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. We determine whether we hold a significant variable interest in a VIE based on a consideration of both qualitative and quantitative factors regarding the nature, size, and form of our involvement with the VIE. We assess whether we are the primary beneficiary of a VIE on an ongoing basis.

We are generally determined to be the primary beneficiary in VIEs established for our securitization activities when we have a controlling financial interest in the VIE, primarily due to our servicing activities, and we hold a significant beneficial interest in the VIE. The consolidated VIEs included in the Consolidated Balance Sheet represent separate entities with which we are involved. The third-party investors in the obligations of consolidated VIEs have legal recourse only to the assets of the VIEs and do not have such recourse to us,

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

except for the customary representation and warranty provisions or when we are the counterparty to certain derivative transactions involving the VIE. In addition, the cash flows from the assets are restricted only to pay such liabilities. Thus, our economic exposure to loss from outstanding third-party financing related to consolidated VIEs is significantly less than the carrying value of the consolidated VIE assets. All assets of consolidated VIEs, presented below based upon the legal transfer of the underlying assets in order to reflect legal ownership, are restricted for the benefit of the beneficial interest holders. Refer to Note 24 for discussion of the assets and liabilities for which the fair value option has been elected.

The nature, purpose, and activities of nonconsolidated securitization entities are similar to those of our consolidated securitization entities with the primary difference being the nature and extent of our continuing involvement. We are generally not determined to be the primary beneficiary in VIEs established for our securitization activities when we either do not hold potentially significant variable interests or do not provide servicing or asset management functions for the financial assets held by the securitization entity. Additionally, to qualify for off-balance sheet treatment, transfers of financial assets must meet appropriate sale accounting conditions. For nonconsolidated securitization entities, the transferred financial assets are removed from our balance sheet provided the conditions for sale accounting are met. The financial assets obtained from the securitization are primarily reported as cash, servicing rights, or retained interests (if applicable). Typically, we conclude that the fee we are paid for servicing consumer automobile finance receivables represents adequate compensation, and consequently, we do not recognize a servicing asset or liability. Liabilities incurred as part of these securitization transactions, such as representation and warranty provisions, are recorded at fair value at the time of sale and are reported as accrued expenses and other liabilities on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. Upon the sale of the loans, we recognize a gain or loss on sale for the difference between the assets recognized, the assets derecognized, and the liabilities recognized as part of the transaction. The pretax gains recognized on financial assets sold into nonconsolidated securitization and similar asset-backed financing entities for consumer mortgage - GSEs were \$112 million, \$629 million, and \$131 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. There were no pretax gains recognized for consumer automobile for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2011, and \$6 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. We have involvement with various other on-balance sheet, immaterial VIEs. Most of these VIEs are used for additional liquidity whereby we sell certain financial assets into the VIE and issue beneficial interests to third parties for cash. We also provide long-term guarantee contracts to investors in certain nonconsolidated affordable housing entities and have extended a line of credit to provide liquidity and minimize our exposure under these contracts. Since we do not have control over the entities or the power to make decisions, we do not consolidate the entities and our involvement is limited to the guarantee and the line of credit.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Our involvement with consolidated and nonconsolidated VIEs in which we hold variable interests is presented below.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	Consolidated involvement with VIEs (a)	Assets of nonconsolidated VIEs (a)	Maximum exposure to loss in nonconsolidated VIEs	
2013				
On-balance sheet variable interest entities				
Consumer automobile	\$19,072			
Commercial automobile	20,511			
Commercial other	564			
Off-balance sheet variable interest entities				
Consumer automobile	—	\$899	\$899	(b)
Commercial other	(24)	—	40	(d)
Total	\$40,123	\$899	\$939	
2012				
On-balance sheet variable interest entities				
Consumer automobile	\$28,566			
Commercial automobile	23,139			
Commercial other	728			
Off-balance sheet variable interest entities				
Consumer automobile	—	\$1,495	\$1,495	(b)
Consumer mortgage — other	—	—	12	(d)
Commercial other	(28)	—	85	(d)
Total	\$52,405	\$1,495	\$1,592	

(a) Asset values represent the current unpaid principal balance of outstanding consumer finance receivables and loans within the VIEs.

(b) Maximum exposure to loss represents the current unpaid principal balance of outstanding loans based on our customary representation and warranty provisions. This measure is based on the unlikely event that all of the loans have underwriting defects or other defects that trigger a representation and warranty provision and the collateral supporting the loans are worthless. This required disclosure is not an indication of our expected loss.

(c) Amounts classified as accrued expenses and other liabilities.

(d) Includes a VIE for which we have no management oversight and therefore we are not able to provide the total assets of the VIEs.

Our maximum exposure to loss in this VIE is a component of servicer advances made that are allocated to the trust.

(e) The maximum exposure to loss presented represents the unlikely event that every loan underlying the excess servicing rights sold defaults, and we, as servicer, are required to advance the entire excess service fee to the trust for the contractually established period. This required disclosure is not an indication of our expected loss.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

On-balance Sheet Variable Interest Entities

The consolidated VIEs included in the Consolidated Balance Sheet represent separate entities with which we are involved. The third-party investors in the obligations of consolidated VIEs have legal recourse only to the assets of the VIEs and do not have such recourse to us, except for the customary representation and warranty provisions or when we are the counterparty to certain derivative transactions involving the VIE. In addition, the cash flows from the assets are restricted only to pay such liabilities. Thus, our economic exposure to loss from outstanding third-party financing related to consolidated VIEs is significantly less than the carrying value of the consolidated VIE assets. All assets of consolidated VIEs, presented below based upon the legal transfer of the underlying assets in order to reflect legal ownership, are restricted for the benefit of the beneficial interest holders. Refer to Note 24 for discussion of the assets and liabilities for which the fair value option has been elected.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012
Assets		
Finance receivables and loans, net		
Consumer	\$13,291	\$13,671
Commercial	18,974	17,839
Allowance for loan losses	(174)	(144)
Total finance receivables and loans, net	32,091	31,366
Investment in operating leases, net	4,620	6,060
Other assets	3,436	2,868
Assets of operations held-for-sale	—	12,139
Total assets	\$40,147	\$52,433
Liabilities		
Short-term borrowings	\$250	\$400
Long-term debt	24,147	26,461
Interest payable	—	1
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	43	16
Liabilities of operations held-for-sale	—	9,686
Total liabilities	\$24,440	\$36,564

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Cash Flows with Off-balance Sheet Variable Interest Entities

The following table summarizes cash flows received and paid related to securitization entities, asset-backed financings, or other similar transfers of financial assets where the transfer is accounted for as a sale and we have a continuing involvement with the transferred assets (e.g., servicing) that were outstanding in 2013, 2012, and 2011. Additionally, this table contains information regarding cash flows received from and paid to nonconsolidated securitization entities that existed during each period.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	Consumer automobile	Consumer mortgage GSEs	Consumer mortgage private-label
2013			
Cash proceeds from transfers completed during the period	\$—	\$8,676	\$—
Servicing fees	13	70	—
Representations and warranties obligations	—	(66) —
Other cash flows	—	70	—
2012			
Cash proceeds from transfers completed during the period	\$1,979	\$32,796	\$5
Cash flows received on retained interests in securitization entities	—	—	71
Servicing fees	12	693	63
Purchases of previously transferred financial assets	—	(876) (12
Representations and warranties obligations	—	(108) (7
Other cash flows	—	(96) 255
2011			
Cash proceeds from transfers completed during the period	\$—	\$59,815	\$722
Cash flows received on retained interests in securitization entities	—	—	68
Servicing fees	—	999	201
Purchases of previously transferred financial assets	—	(2,537) (222
Representations and warranties obligations	—	(143) (38
Other cash flows	—	(13) 187

The following tables represent on-balance sheet loans held-for-sale and finance receivable and loans, off-balance sheet securitizations, and whole-loan sales where we have continuing involvement. The table presents quantitative information about delinquencies and net credit losses.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	Total Amount		Amount 60 days or more past due		Net credit losses	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
On-balance sheet loans						
Consumer automobile	\$56,417	\$53,715	\$412	\$351	\$402	\$369
Consumer mortgage	8,460	12,311	164	241	75	8
Commercial automobile	33,803	32,822	42	24	2	(1
Commercial mortgage	—	—	—	—	—	(1
Commercial other	1,683	2,783	—	1	(7) (31
Total on-balance sheet loans	100,363	101,631	618	617	472	344
Off-balance sheet securitization entities						
Consumer automobile	899	1,495	3	4	3	2

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-K

Consumer mortgage - GSEs (a)	—	119,384	—	1,892	n/m	n/m
Total off-balance sheet securitization entities	899	120,879	3	1,896	3	2
Whole-loan transactions (b)	2,848	6,756	69	129	6	16
Total	\$104,110	\$229,266	\$690	\$2,642	\$481	\$362

n/m = not meaningful

(a) Anticipated credit losses are not meaningful due to the GSE guarantees.

(b) Whole-loan transactions are not part of a securitization transaction, but represent consumer automobile and consumer mortgage pools of loans sold to third-party investors.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

10. Servicing Activities

Mortgage Servicing Rights

The following table summarizes past activity related to mortgage servicing rights (MSRs), which were carried at fair value. Management estimated fair value using our transaction data and other market data or, in periods when there were limited MSR market transactions that were directly observable, internally developed discounted cash flow models (an income approach) were used to estimate the fair value. These internal valuation models estimated net cash flows based on internal operating assumptions that we believed would be used by market participants in orderly transactions combined with market-based assumptions for loan prepayment rates, interest rates, and discount rates that we believed approximate yields required by investors in this asset.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012 (a)
Estimated fair value at January 1,	\$952	\$2,519
Additions	60	240
Sales (b)	(911)	—
Changes in fair value		
Due to changes in valuation inputs or assumptions used in the valuation model	(32)	(282)
Other changes in fair value	(69)	(395)
Deconsolidation of ResCap	—	(1,130)
Estimated fair value at December 31,	\$—	\$952

(a) Includes activities of our discontinued operations.

(b) Includes the sales of agency MSRs to Ocwen Financial Corp. (Ocwen) and Quicken Loans, Inc. (Quicken) on April 1, 2013 and April 16, 2013, respectively.

Changes in fair value due to changes in valuation inputs or assumptions used in the valuation model included all changes due to a revaluation by a model or by a benchmarking exercise. Other changes in fair value primarily included the accretion of the present value of the discount related to forecasted cash flows and the economic runoff of the portfolio.

The key economic assumptions and sensitivity of the fair value of MSRs to immediate 10% and 20% adverse changes in those assumptions were as follows.

(\$ in millions)	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Weighted average life (in years)	n/a	4.6
Weighted average prepayment speed	n/a	13.5 %
Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change	n/a	\$(77)
Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change	n/a	(144)
Weighted average discount rate	n/a	7.7 %
Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change	n/a	\$(10)
Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change	n/a	(19)

n/a = not applicable

These sensitivities are hypothetical and should be considered with caution. Changes in fair value based on a 10% and 20% variation in assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated because the relationship of the change in assumptions to the change in fair value may not be linear. Also, the effect of a variation in a particular assumption on the fair value is calculated without changing any other assumption. In reality, changes in one factor may result in changes in another (e.g., increased market interest rates may result in lower prepayments and increased credit losses) that could magnify or counteract the sensitivities. Further, these sensitivities show only the change in the asset balances and do not show any expected change in the fair value of the instruments used to manage the interest rates and prepayment risks associated with these assets.

Risk Mitigation Activities

The primary risk of servicing rights is interest rate risk and the resulting impact on prepayments. A significant decline in interest rates could lead to higher-than-expected prepayments that could reduce the value of the MSR. We previously economically hedged the impact of these risks with both derivative and nonderivative financial instruments. Refer to Note 21 for additional information regarding the derivative financial instruments used to economically hedge MSR.

123

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

The components of servicing valuation and hedge activities, net, were as follows.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012	2011
Change in estimated fair value of mortgage servicing rights	\$(101)	\$(560)	\$(793)
Change in fair value of derivative financial instruments	(112)	556	359
Servicing asset valuation and hedge activities, net	\$(213)	\$(4)	\$(434)

Mortgage Servicing Fees

The components of mortgage servicing fees were as follows.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012	2011
Contractual servicing fees, net of guarantee fees and including subservicing	\$62	\$281	\$344
Late fees	2	7	9
Ancillary fees	4	12	12
Total mortgage servicing fees	\$68	\$300	\$365

Automobile Finance Servicing Activities

We service consumer automobile contracts. Historically, we have sold a portion of our consumer automobile contracts. With respect to contracts we sell, we retain the right to service and earn a servicing fee for our servicing function. Typically, we conclude that the fee we are paid for servicing consumer automobile finance receivables represents adequate compensation, and consequently, we do not recognize a servicing asset or liability. We recognized automobile servicing fee income of \$58 million, \$109 million, and \$160 million during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

Automobile Finance Serviced Assets

The total serviced automobile finance loans and leases outstanding were as follows.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012
On-balance sheet automobile finance loans and leases		
Consumer automobile	\$56,417	\$53,715
Commercial automobile	33,803	32,822
Operating leases	17,680	13,550
Operations held-for-sale	—	25,979
Other	54	41
Off-balance sheet automobile finance loans		
Loans sold to third-party investors		
Securitizations	887	1,474
Whole-loan	2,748	6,541
Total serviced automobile finance loans and leases	\$111,589	\$134,122

11. Premiums Receivable and Other Insurance Assets

Premiums receivable and other insurance assets consisted of the following.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	\$288	\$236
Reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses	182	234
Reinsurance recoverable on paid losses	13	40
Premiums receivable	85	108
Deferred policy acquisition costs	1,045	991
Total premiums receivable and other insurance assets	\$1,613	\$1,609

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

12. Other Assets

The components of other assets were as follows.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012
Property and equipment at cost	\$709	\$693
Accumulated depreciation	(474)	(411)
Net property and equipment	235	282
Restricted cash collections for securitization trusts (a)	3,664	2,983
Deferred tax asset	2,040	1,190
Cash reserve deposits held-for-securitization trusts (b)	402	442
Fair value of derivative contracts in receivable position (c)	362	2,298
Nonmarketable equity securities	337	303
Collateral placed with counterparties	328	1,290
Unamortized debt issuance costs	312	425
Other accounts receivable	290	525
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	205	889
Other assets	1,414	1,281
Total other assets	\$9,589	\$11,908

(a) Represents cash collection from customer payments on securitized receivables. These funds are distributed to investors as payments on the related secured debt.

(b) Represents credit enhancement in the form of cash reserves for various securitization transactions.

(c) For additional information on derivative instruments and hedging activities, refer to Note 21.

13. Deposit Liabilities

Deposit liabilities consisted of the following.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012
Domestic deposits		
Noninterest-bearing deposits	\$60	\$1,977
Interest-bearing deposits		
Savings and money market checking accounts	21,210	13,871
Certificates of deposit	31,640	31,084
Dealer deposits	440	983
Total deposit liabilities	\$53,350	\$47,915

Historically, noninterest-bearing deposits primarily represented third-party escrows associated with our mortgage loan-servicing portfolio. See Note 10 for further detail relating to our MSR sales during 2013. The escrow deposits are not subject to an executed agreement and can be withdrawn without penalty at any time. At December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, certificates of deposit included \$13.1 billion and \$12.0 billion, respectively, of domestic certificates of deposit in denominations of \$100 thousand or more.

The following table presents the scheduled maturity of total certificates of deposit.

(\$ in millions)

Due in 2014	\$15,483
Due in 2015	8,709
Due in 2016	4,275
Due in 2017	2,142
Due in 2018	1,031
Total certificates of deposit	\$31,640

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

14. Short-term Borrowings

The following table presents the composition of our short-term borrowings portfolio.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013			2012			
	Unsecured	Secured (a)	Total	Unsecured	Secured (a)	Total	
Demand notes	\$3,225	\$—	\$3,225	\$3,094	\$—	\$3,094	
Bank loans and overdrafts	—	—	—	167	—	167	
Federal Home Loan Bank	—	3,570	3,570	—	3,800	3,800	
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (b)	—	1,500	1,500	—	—	—	
Other (c)	—	250	250	—	400	400	
Total short-term borrowings	\$3,225	\$5,320	\$8,545	\$3,261	\$4,200	\$7,461	
Weighted average interest rate (d)			0.8	%		1.0	%

(a) Refer to Note 15 for further details on assets restricted as collateral for payment of the related debt.

We periodically enter into term repurchase agreements, short-term borrowing arrangements in which we sell financial instruments to one or more investors while simultaneously committing to repurchase them at a specified future date, at the stated price plus accrued interest. The financial instruments sold under agreement to repurchase typically consist of U.S. government and agency securities.

(c) Other relates to secured borrowings at our Commercial Finance Group.

(d) Based on the debt outstanding and the interest rate at December 31 of each year.

15. Long-term Debt

The following tables present the composition of our long-term debt portfolio.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	Amount	Interest rate	Weighted average interest rate (a)	Due date range
2013				
Unsecured debt				
Fixed rate (b)	\$21,367			
Variable rate	2,755			
Trust preferred securities	2,624			
Fair value adjustment (c)	445			
Total unsecured debt	27,191	0.32 - 10.29%	6.28	% 2014 - 2049
Secured debt				
Fixed rate	20,492			
Variable rate	21,782			
Total secured debt (d) (e)	42,274	0.40 - 4.59%	0.98	% 2014 - 2022
Total long-term debt	\$69,465			
2012				
Unsecured debt				
Fixed rate (b)	\$27,588			
Variable rate	2,345			
Trust preferred securities	2,623			
Fair value adjustment (c)	1,094			
Total unsecured debt	33,650		6.72	%

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-K

		0.38 -		2013 -
		10.29%		2049
Secured debt				
Fixed rate	20,076			
Variable rate	20,835			
Total secured debt (d) (e)	40,911	0.25 - 8.30%	1.10	% 2013 - 2021
Total long-term debt	\$74,561			

(a) Based on the debt outstanding and the interest rate at December 31 of each year.

(b) Includes subordinated debt of \$271 million at December 31, 2013 and \$251 million at December 31, 2012.

(c) Amount represents the hedge accounting adjustment of fixed-rate debt.

(d) Includes \$24.1 billion and \$26.5 billion of VIE secured debt outstanding at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

(e) Includes \$15.1 billion and \$13.5 billion of debt outstanding from the Automotive secured revolving credit facilities at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013			2012		
	Unsecured	Secured	Total	Unsecured	Secured	Total
Long-term debt						
Due within one year	\$5,321	\$11,851	\$17,172	\$1,070	\$11,503	\$12,573
Due after one year	21,425	30,423	51,848	31,486	29,408	60,894
Fair value adjustment	445	—	445	1,094	—	1,094
Total long-term debt	\$27,191	\$42,274	\$69,465	\$33,650	\$40,911	\$74,561

The following table presents the scheduled remaining maturity of long-term debt, assuming no early redemptions will occur. The actual payment of secured debt may vary based on the payment activity of the related pledged assets.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions)	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019 and thereafter	Fair value adjustment	Total
Unsecured								
Long-term debt	\$5,511	\$5,163	\$1,934	\$3,527	\$1,278	\$10,922	\$445	\$28,780
Original issue discount	(190)	(59)	(65)	(77)	(90)	(1,108)	—	(1,589)
Total unsecured	5,321	5,104	1,869	3,450	1,188	9,814	445	27,191
Secured								
Long-term debt	11,851	13,819	7,861	5,211	2,256	1,276	—	42,274
Total long-term debt	\$17,172	\$18,923	\$9,730	\$8,661	\$3,444	\$11,090	\$445	\$69,465

To achieve the desired balance between fixed- and variable-rate debt, we utilize interest rate swap agreements. The use of these derivative financial instruments had the effect of synthetically converting \$11.1 billion of our fixed-rate debt into variable-rate obligations and \$4.9 billion of our variable-rate debt into fixed-rate obligations at December 31, 2013.

The following summarizes assets restricted as collateral for the payment of the related debt obligation primarily arising from securitization transactions accounted for as secured borrowings and repurchase agreements.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013		2012	
	Total	Ally Bank (a)	Total	Ally Bank (a)
Investment securities	\$2,864	\$2,864	\$1,911	\$1,911
Mortgage assets held-for-investment and lending receivables	8,524	8,524	9,866	9,866
Consumer automobile finance receivables	32,947	12,332	29,557	14,833
Commercial automobile finance receivables	21,249	21,249	19,606	19,606
Investment in operating leases, net	5,810	3,190	6,058	1,691
Other assets	563	—	999	272
Total assets restricted as collateral (b)	\$71,957	\$48,159	\$67,997	\$48,179
Secured debt (c)	\$47,594	\$27,818	\$45,111	\$29,162

(a) Ally Bank is a component of the total column.

Ally Bank has an advance agreement with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh (FHLB) and had assets pledged to secure borrowings that were restricted as collateral to the FHLB totaling \$12.7 billion and \$12.6 billion at December 31, 2013, and 2012, respectively. These assets were composed primarily of consumer and commercial mortgage finance receivables and loans, net. Ally Bank has access to the Federal Reserve Bank Discount Window.

(b) Ally Bank had assets pledged and restricted as collateral to the Federal Reserve Bank totaling \$3.2 billion and \$1.9 billion at December 31, 2013, and 2012, respectively. These assets were composed of consumer mortgage finance receivables and loans, net; consumer automobile finance receivables and loans, net; and investment securities.

Availability under these programs is only for the operations of Ally Bank and cannot be used to fund the operations or liabilities of Ally or its subsidiaries.

(c) Includes \$5.3 billion and \$4.2 billion of short-term borrowings at December 31, 2013, and 2012, respectively.

Trust Preferred Securities

On December 30, 2009, we entered into a Securities Purchase and Exchange Agreement with U.S. Department of Treasury (Treasury) and GMAC Capital Trust I, a Delaware statutory trust (the Trust), which is a finance subsidiary that is wholly owned by Ally. As part of the agreement, the Trust sold to Treasury 2,540,000 trust preferred securities (TRUPS) issued by the Trust with an aggregate liquidation preference of \$2.5 billion. Additionally, we issued and sold to Treasury a ten-year warrant to purchase up to 127,000 additional TRUPS with an aggregate liquidation preference of \$127 million, at an initial exercise price of \$0.01 per security, which Treasury immediately exercised in full. The Trust, as issuer of the TRUPS, is a variable interest entity which is not consolidated by Ally. Ally has issued junior subordinated notes to the Trust which are reflected as a component of our unsecured debt.

127

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

On March 1, 2011, the Declaration of Trust and certain other documents related to the TRUPS were amended and all the outstanding TRUPS held by Treasury were designated 8.125% Fixed Rate / Floating Rate Trust Preferred Securities, Series (Series 2 TRUPS). On March 7, 2011, Treasury sold 100% of the Series 2 TRUPS in an offering registered with the SEC. Ally did not receive any proceeds from the sale.

Each Series 2 TRUPS security has a liquidation amount of \$25. Distributions are cumulative and are payable until redemption at the applicable coupon rate. Distributions are payable at an annual rate of 8.125% payable quarterly in arrears, beginning August 15, 2011, to but excluding February 15, 2016. From and including February 15, 2016, to but excluding February 15, 2040, distributions will be payable at an annual rate equal to three-month London interbank offer rate plus 5.785% payable quarterly in arrears, beginning May 15, 2016. Ally has the right to defer payments of interest for a period not exceeding 20 consecutive quarters. The Series 2 TRUPS have no stated maturity date, but must be redeemed upon the redemption or maturity of the related debentures (Debentures), which mature on February 15, 2040. Ally at any time on or after February 15, 2016 may redeem the Series 2 TRUPS at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount being redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest through the date of redemption. The Series 2 TRUPS are generally nonvoting, other than with respect to certain limited matters. During any period in which any Series 2 TRUPS remain outstanding but in which distributions on the Series 2 TRUPS have not been fully paid, none of Ally or its subsidiaries will be permitted to (i) declare or pay dividends on, make any distributions with respect to, or redeem, purchase, acquire or otherwise make a liquidation payment with respect to, any of Ally's capital stock or make any guarantee payment with respect thereto; or (ii) make any payments of principal, interest, or premium on, or repay, repurchase or redeem, any debt securities or guarantees that rank on a parity with or junior in interest to the Debentures with certain specified exceptions in each case.

Covenants and Other Requirements

In secured funding transactions, there are trigger events that could cause the debt to be prepaid at an accelerated rate or could cause our usage of the credit facility to be discontinued. The triggers are generally based on the financial health and performance of the servicer as well as performance criteria for the pool of receivables, such as delinquency ratios, loss ratios, and commercial payment rates. During 2013, there were no trigger events that resulted in the repayment of debt at an accelerated rate or impacted the usage of our credit facilities.

Funding Facilities

We utilize both committed and other credit facilities. The amounts outstanding under our various funding facilities are included on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

As of December 31, 2013, Ally Bank had exclusive access to \$3.0 billion of funding capacity from committed credit facilities. Funding programs supported by the Federal Reserve and the FHLB, together with repurchase agreements, complement Ally Bank's private committed facilities.

The total capacity in our committed funding facilities is provided by banks and other financial institutions through private transactions. The committed secured funding facilities can be revolving in nature and allow for additional funding during the commitment period, or they can be amortizing and not allow for any further funding after the closing date. At December 31, 2013, \$22.4 billion of our \$24.7 billion of committed capacity was revolving. Our revolving facilities generally have an original tenor ranging from 364 days to two years. As of December 31, 2013, we had \$11.5 billion of committed funding capacity from revolving facilities with a remaining tenor greater than 364 days.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

Committed Funding Facilities

December 31, (\$ in millions)	Outstanding		Unused capacity (a)		Total capacity	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
Bank funding						
Secured	\$2,750	\$3,800	\$250	\$4,700	\$3,000	\$8,500
Parent funding						
Unsecured (b)	—	118	—	25	—	143
Secured (c) (d) (e)	15,159	22,454	6,497	7,839	21,656	30,293
Total Parent funding	15,159	22,572	6,497	7,864	21,656	30,436
Shared capacity (f)	—	1,154	—	2,971	—	4,125
Total committed facilities	\$17,909	\$27,526	\$6,747	\$15,535	\$24,656	\$43,061

(a) Funding from committed secured facilities is available on request in the event excess collateral resides in certain facilities or is available to the extent incremental collateral is available and contributed to the facilities.

(b) Total unsecured parent funding capacity represented committed funding for our discontinued international automobile financing business.

(c) Total secured parent funding capacity included committed funding for our discontinued international automobile financing business of \$12.0 billion at December 31, 2012, with outstanding debt of \$9.6 billion.

(d) Total unused capacity included \$2.2 billion at December 31, 2012 from certain committed funding arrangements that were generally reliant upon the origination of future automotive receivables available in 2013.

(e) Includes the secured facilities of our Commercial Finance Group.

Funding was generally available for assets originated by Ally Bank or the parent company, Ally Financial Inc.

(f) Total shared facilities included committed funding for our discontinued international automobile financing business of \$0.1 billion as of December 31, 2012, with outstanding debt of \$0.1 billion.

16. Accrued Expenses and Other Liabilities

The components of accrued expenses and other liabilities were as follows.

December 31, (\$ in millions)	2013	2012
Employee compensation and benefits	\$437	\$494
Accounts payable	414	565
Fair value of derivative contracts in payable position (a)	317	2,468
Reserves for insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses	275	341
Collateral received from counterparties	159	941
Deferred revenue	122	97
Accrual related to ResCap Bankruptcy and deconsolidation (b)	—	750
Other liabilities (c)	673	929
Total accrued expenses and other liabilities	\$2,397	\$6,585

(a) For additional information on derivative instruments and hedging activities, refer to Note 21.

(b) Refer to Note 1 for more information regarding the Debtors' bankruptcy.

Includes \$150 million and \$0 accrual for insurance proceeds to be contributed to the ResCap estate at

(c) December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively. Refer to Note 1 for more information regarding the Debtors' bankruptcy.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

17. Equity

Common Stock

Our common stock has a par value of \$0.01 and there are 1,547,779 shares authorized for issuance as of December 31, 2013. Our common stock is not registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission, and there is no established public trading market for the shares. Treasury held 63.4% of Ally common stock as of December 31, 2013. The following table presents changes in the number of shares issued and outstanding.

(in shares)	2013	2012	2011
Common stock			
January 1,	1,330,970	1,330,970	1,330,970
New issuances			
Private placement (a)	216,667	—	—
December 31,	1,547,637	1,330,970	1,330,970

(a) On November 20, 2013, Ally completed its private placement of its common stock for an aggregate price of \$1.3 billion.

Preferred Stock

Series F-2 Mandatorily Convertible Preferred Stock held by U.S. Department of Treasury

On December 30, 2009, Ally entered into a Securities Purchase and Exchange Agreement (the Purchase Agreement) with Treasury, pursuant to which a series of transactions occurred resulting in Treasury acquiring 228,750,000 shares of Ally's newly issued Fixed Rate Cumulative Mandatorily Convertible Preferred Stock, Series F-2 (the New MCP), with a total liquidation preference of \$11.4 billion. On December 30, 2010, Treasury converted 110,000,000 shares of the New MCP into 531,850 shares of Ally common stock. The conversion occurred at an agreed upon rate that exceeded the initial conversion rate as defined in Exhibit H to the Ally Certificate of Incorporation.

On November 20, 2013, Ally completed its private placement of an aggregate of 216,667 shares of its common stock for an aggregate price of \$1.3 billion and its repurchase of all 118,750,000 outstanding shares of the New MCP, held by Treasury, including payment for the elimination or relinquishment of any right to receive additional shares of common stock to be issued pursuant to Section 6(a)(i)(B) of the certificate of designations of the New MCP. Ally paid to Treasury a total of approximately \$5.9 billion for the repurchase of the New MCP and Treasury's elimination of its share adjustment right in connection with the New MCP.

Series A Preferred Stock

On March 1, 2011, pursuant to a registration rights agreement between Ally and GM, GM notified Ally of its intent to sell shares of Ally's existing Fixed Rate Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A (Existing Series A Preferred Stock), held by a subsidiary of GM. On March 25, 2011, Ally filed a Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (the Amendment) with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware. Pursuant to the Amendment, Ally's Certificate of Incorporation, which included the terms of the Existing Series A Preferred Stock, was amended to modify certain terms of the Existing Series A Preferred Stock. As part of the Amendment, the Existing Series A Preferred Stock was redesignated as Ally's Fixed Rate / Floating Rate Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A (the Amended Series A Preferred Stock) and the liquidation amount was reduced from \$1,000 per share to \$25 per share. The Amendment, and a corresponding amendment to Ally's bylaws, also increased the authorized number of shares of Amended Series A Preferred Stock to 160,870,560 shares, which was adjusted to account for the decreased liquidation amount per share. The total number of shares outstanding following the Amendment is 40,870,560 shares.

Immediately following the Amendment, the subsidiary of GM that held all of the outstanding Amended Series A Preferred Stock sold 100% of the stock in an offering registered with the SEC. Ally did not receive any proceeds from the sale.

Holders of the Amended Series A Preferred Stock are entitled to receive, when, and if declared by Ally, noncumulative cash dividends. Beginning March 25, 2011, to but excluding May 15, 2016, dividends accrue at a fixed

rate of 8.5% per annum. Beginning on May 15, 2016, dividends will accrue at a rate equal to three-month London interbank offer rate (LIBOR) plus 6.243%, commencing on August 15, 2016, in each case on the 15th day of February, May, August, and November. Dividends will be payable to holders of record at the close of business on the preceding February 1, May 1, August 1, or November 1, as the case may be, or on such other date, not more than seventy calendar days prior to the dividend payment date, as will be fixed by the Ally Board of Directors. In the event that dividends with respect to a dividend period have not been paid in full on the dividend payment date, we will be prohibited, subject to certain specified exceptions, from (i) redeeming, purchasing or otherwise acquiring, any stock that ranks on a parity basis with, or junior in interest to, the Amended Series A Preferred Stock; (ii) paying any dividends or making any distributions with respect to any stock that ranks junior in interest to the Amended Series A Preferred Stock, until such time as Ally has paid the dividends payable on shares of the Amended Series A Preferred Stock with respect to a subsequent dividend period; and (iii) declaring or paying any dividend on any stock ranking on a parity basis with the Amended Series A Preferred Stock, subject to certain exceptions.

The holders of the Amended Series A Preferred Stock do not have voting rights other than those set forth in the certificate of designations for the Amended Series A Preferred Stock included in Ally's Certificate of Incorporation. Ally may not redeem the Amended Series A Preferred Stock before May 15, 2016, and after such time the Amended Series A Preferred Stock may be redeemed in certain circumstances.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

In the event of any liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the affairs of Ally, holders of the Amended Series A Preferred Stock will be entitled to receive the liquidation amount per share of Amended Series A Preferred Stock and an amount equal to all declared, but unpaid dividends declared prior to the date of payment out of assets available for distribution, before any distribution is made for holders of stock that ranks junior in interest to the Amended Series A Preferred Stock, subject to the rights of Ally's creditors.

The changes to the terms of the Existing Series A Preferred Stock pursuant to the terms of the Amendment were deemed substantive, and as a result, the transaction was accounted for as a redemption of the Existing Series A Preferred Stock and the issuance of the Amended Series A Preferred Stock. The Existing Series A Preferred Stock was removed at its carrying value, the Amended Series A Preferred Stock was recognized at its fair value, and the difference of \$32 million was recorded as an increase to retained earnings, which impacted the income available to common stockholders used for the earnings per common share calculation.

Series G Preferred Stock

Effective June 30, 2009, we converted (the Conversion) from a Delaware limited liability company into a Delaware corporation in accordance with applicable law. In connection with the Conversion, the 7% Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock (the Blocker Preferred) of Preferred Blocker Inc. (PBI), a wholly owned subsidiary, was required to be converted into or exchanged for preferred stock. For this purpose, we had previously authorized for issuance its 7% Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series G (the Series G Preferred Stock). Pursuant to the terms of a Certificate of Merger, effective October 15, 2009, PBI merged with and into Ally with Ally continuing as the surviving entity. At that time, each share of the Blocker Preferred issued and outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the merger was converted into the right to receive an equal number of newly issued shares of Series G Preferred Stock. In the aggregate, 2,576,601 shares of Series G Preferred Stock were issued to holders of the Blocker Preferred in connection with the merger. The Series G Preferred Stock ranks equally in right of payment with each of our outstanding series of preferred stock in accordance with the terms thereof.

The Series G Preferred Stock accrues dividends at a rate of 7% per annum. Dividends are payable quarterly, in arrears, only if and when declared by Ally's Board of Directors. Subject to any other restrictions contained in the terms of any other series of stock or other agreements that Ally is or may become subject to, at Ally's option and subject to Ally having obtained any required regulatory approvals, Ally may, subject to certain conditions, redeem the Series G Preferred Stock, in whole or in part, at any time or from time to time, upon proper notice given, at a redemption price equal to the liquidation amount plus the amount of any accrued and unpaid dividends thereon through the date of redemption. Further, so long as any shares of Series G Preferred Stock remain outstanding, if any shares of Parity Stock (as defined in the certificate of designation for the Series G Preferred Stock) are redeemed, then shares of the Series G Preferred Stock shall also be redeemed on a pro rata basis based on the aggregate liquidation amount of the Series G Preferred Stock and such Parity Stock. The Series G Preferred Stock generally is nonvoting other than class-voting on certain matters under certain circumstances including generally, the authorization of senior capital stock or amendments that adversely impact the Series G Preferred Stock. Ally is generally prohibited from making any Restricted Payments on or prior to January 1, 2014, and may only make Restricted Payments after January 1, 2014, if certain conditions are satisfied. For this purpose, Restricted Payments include, subject to certain exceptions, any dividend payment or distribution of assets on any common stock or any redemption, purchase, or other acquisition of any shares of common stock.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

The following table summarizes information about our Series F-2, Series A, and Series G preferred stock.

December 31,	2013	2012		
Mandatorily convertible preferred stock held by U.S. Department of Treasury				
Series F-2 preferred stock				
Carrying value (\$ in millions)	\$—	\$5,685		
Par value (per share)	0.01	0.01		
Liquidation preference (per share)	50	50		
Number of shares authorized	228,750,000	228,750,000		
Number of shares issued and outstanding	—	118,750,000		
Dividend/coupon Preferred stock	9	% 9		%
Series A preferred stock (a)				
Carrying value (\$ in millions)	\$1,021	\$1,021		
Par value (per share)	0.01	0.01		
Liquidation preference (per share)	25	25		
Number of shares authorized	160,870,560	160,870,560		
Number of shares issued and outstanding	40,870,560	40,870,560		
Dividend/coupon				
Prior to May 15, 2016	8.5	% 8.5		%
On and after May 15, 2016	three month LIBOR + 6.243%	three month LIBOR + 6.243%		
Series G preferred stock (b) (c)				
Carrying value (\$ in millions)	\$234	\$234		
Par value (per share)	0.01	0.01		
Liquidation preference (per share)	1,000	1,000		
Number of shares authorized	2,576,601	2,576,601		
Number of shares issued and outstanding	2,576,601	2,576,601		
Dividend/coupon	7	% 7		%

(a) Nonredeemable prior to May 15, 2016.

Pursuant to a registration rights agreement, we are required to maintain an effective shelf registration statement. In (b) the event we fail to meet this obligation, we may be required to pay additional interest to the holders of the Series G Preferred Stock.

(c) Redeemable beginning at December 31, 2011.

18. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The following table presents changes, net of tax, in each component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

(\$ in millions)	Unrealized (losses) gains on investment securities (a)	Translation adjustments and net investment hedges (b)	Cash flow hedges (b)	Defined benefit pension plans	Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)
Balance at January 1, 2011	\$(26)	\$416	\$6	\$(137)	\$ 259
2011 net change	(88)	(64)	—	(20)	(172)
Balance at December 31, 2011	(114)	352	6	(157)	87
2012 net change	190	16	(4)	22	224

Edgar Filing: Ally Financial Inc. - Form 10-K

Balance at December 31, 2012	76	368	2	(135) 311	
2013 net change	(345) (303) 3	58	(587)
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$(269) \$65	\$5	\$(77) \$ (276)

(a) Represents the after-tax difference between the fair value and amortized cost of our available-for-sale securities portfolio.

(b) For additional information on derivative instruments and hedging activities, refer to Note 21.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

The following table presents the before- and after-tax changes in each component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

December 31, (\$ in millions)	Before Tax	Tax Effect	After Tax
2013			
Unrealized losses on investment securities			
Net unrealized losses arising during the period	\$(333)	\$174	\$(159)
Less: Net realized gains reclassified to income from continuing operations	180	(a) (2)	(b) 178
Less: Net realized gains reclassified to income from discontinued operations, net of tax	10	(2)	8
Net change	(523)	178	(345)
Translation adjustments			
Net unrealized losses arising during the period	(104)	24	(80)
Less: Net realized gains reclassified to income from discontinued operations, net of tax	337	92	429
Net change	(441)	(68)	(509)
Net investment hedges (c)			
Net unrealized gains arising during the period	59	(22)	37
Less: Net realized losses reclassified to income from discontinued operations, net of tax	(250)	81	(169)
Net change	309	(103)	206
Cash flow hedges (c)			
Net unrealized losses arising during the period	(1)	—	(1)
Less: Net realized losses reclassified to income from continuing operations	(7)	(d) 3	(b) (4)
Net change	6	(3)	3
Defined benefit pension plans			
Net unrealized gains arising during the period	26	(8)	18
Less: Net losses reclassified to income from continuing operations	(2)	(e) —	(b) (2)
Less: Net losses reclassified to income from discontinued operations, net of tax	(49)	11	(38)
Net change	77	(19)	58
Other comprehensive loss	\$(572)	\$(15)	\$(587)

(a) Includes gains reclassified to other gain on investments, net in our Consolidated Statement of Income.

(b) Includes amounts reclassified to income tax (benefit) expense from continuing operations in our Consolidated Statement of Income.

(c) For additional information on derivative instruments and hedging activities, refer to Note 21.

(d) Includes losses reclassified to interest on long-term debt in our Consolidated Statement of Income.

(e) Includes losses reclassified to compensation and benefits expense in our Consolidated Statement of Income.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Ally Financial Inc. • Form 10-K

19. Earnings per Common Share

The following table presents the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per common share.

Year ended December 31, (\$ in millions except per share data)	2013	2012	2011
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$416	\$1,370	\$(219)
Preferred stock dividends — U.S. Department of Treasury	(543)	(535)	(534)
Impact of repurchase of mandatorily convertible preferred stock held by U.S. Department of Treasury and elimination of share adjustment right (a)	(240)	—	—
Preferred stock dividends	(267)	(267)	(260)
Impact of preferred stock conversion or amendment	—	—	32
Net (loss) income from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders (b)	(634)	568	(981)
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax	(55)	(174)	62
Net (loss) income attributable to common shareholders	\$(689)	\$394	\$(919)
Basic weighted-average common shares outstanding	1,355,375	1,330,970	1,330,970
Diluted weighted-average common shares outstanding (b)	1,355,375	1,330,970	1,330,970
Basic earnings per common share			
Net (loss) income from continuing operations	\$(468)	\$427	\$(738)
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax	(41)	(131)	47
Net (loss) income	\$(509)	\$296	\$(691)
Diluted earnings per common share (b)			