

Edgar Filing: Sensata Technologies Holding N.V. - Form 10-Q

Sensata Technologies Holding N.V.
Form 10-Q
October 27, 2015
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

☒ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2015

OR

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission File Number 001-34652

SENSATA TECHNOLOGIES HOLDING N.V.
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

THE NETHERLANDS
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

98-0641254
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

Kolthofsingel 8, 7602 EM Almelo
The Netherlands
(Address of Principal Executive Offices, including Zip
Code)

31-546-879-555
(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☒ Accelerated filer ☐

Non-accelerated filer ☐ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

As of October 15, 2015, 170,180,854 ordinary shares were outstanding.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

Item 1.	<u>Financial Statements (unaudited):</u>	
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014</u>	<u>3</u>
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014</u>	<u>4</u>
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014</u>	<u>5</u>
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014</u>	<u>6</u>
	<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>7</u>
Item 2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>35</u>
Item 3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>45</u>
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>45</u>

PART II

Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>46</u>
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>46</u>
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>46</u>
Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>46</u>
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>47</u>
	<u>Signatures</u>	<u>48</u>

Table of Contents

PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

SENSATA TECHNOLOGIES HOLDING N.V.

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

(unaudited)

	September 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$258,209	\$211,329
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$9,953 and \$10,364 as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively	481,737	444,852
Inventories	341,361	356,364
Deferred income tax assets	17,066	15,301
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	112,039	90,918
Total current assets	1,210,412	1,118,764
Property, plant and equipment, at cost	1,108,142	975,543
Accumulated depreciation	(457,122)	(386,059)
Property, plant and equipment, net	651,020	589,484
Goodwill	2,429,158	2,424,795
Other intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$1,362,367 and \$1,226,299 as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively	779,317	910,774
Deferred income tax assets	20,102	16,750
Deferred financing costs	27,029	29,102
Other assets	19,565	26,940
Total assets	\$5,136,603	\$5,116,609
Liabilities and shareholders' equity		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt, capital lease and other financing obligations	\$69,557	\$145,979
Accounts payable	297,940	287,800
Income taxes payable	14,606	7,516
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	256,940	222,781
Deferred income tax liabilities	12,236	13,430
Total current liabilities	651,279	677,506
Deferred income tax liabilities	374,246	362,738
Pension and post-retirement benefit obligations	33,086	35,799
Capital lease and other financing obligations, less current portion	43,814	45,113
Long-term debt, net of discount, less current portion	2,554,538	2,650,744
Other long-term liabilities	33,243	41,817
Commitments and contingencies		
Total liabilities	3,690,206	3,813,717
Shareholders' equity:		
Ordinary shares, €0.01 nominal value per share, 400,000 shares authorized; 178,437 shares issued as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014	2,289	2,289
Treasury shares, at cost, 8,256 and 9,120 shares as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively	(332,889)	(365,272)
Additional paid-in capital	1,621,732	1,610,390

Edgar Filing: Sensata Technologies Holding N.V. - Form 10-Q

Retained earnings	179,311	67,233
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(24,046) (11,748)
Total shareholders' equity	1,446,397	1,302,892
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$5,136,603	\$5,116,609

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SENSATA TECHNOLOGIES HOLDING N.V.
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations
(In thousands, except per share amounts)
(unaudited)

	For the three months ended		For the nine months ended	
	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Net revenue	\$727,360	\$ 577,095	\$2,248,490	\$ 1,704,542
Operating costs and expenses:				
Cost of revenue	476,634	371,940	1,501,142	1,097,585
Research and development	30,816	19,525	92,794	55,681
Selling, general and administrative	66,233	52,985	203,637	148,295
Amortization of intangible assets	45,184	35,985	136,068	100,562
Restructuring and special charges	1,615	4,543	12,424	7,148
Total operating costs and expenses	620,482	484,978	1,946,065	1,409,271
Profit from operations	106,878	92,117	302,425	295,271
Interest expense, net	(29,706)	(23,553)	(96,029)	(70,063)
Other, net	(10,805)	(8,578)	(44,647)	(4,108)
Income before taxes	66,367	59,986	161,749	221,100
Provision for/(benefit from) income taxes	13,215	(21,977)	32,342	6,871
Net income	\$53,152	\$ 81,963	\$129,407	\$ 214,229
Basic net income per share:	\$0.31	\$ 0.49	\$0.76	\$ 1.26
Diluted net income per share:	\$0.31	\$ 0.48	\$0.75	\$ 1.24

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SENSATA TECHNOLOGIES HOLDING N.V.
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income
(In thousands)
(unaudited)

	For the three months ended		For the nine months ended		
	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014	
Net income	\$53,152	\$ 81,963	\$129,407	\$ 214,229	
Other comprehensive (loss)/income, net of tax:					
Deferred (loss)/gain on derivative instruments, net of reclassifications	(17,430) 18,044	(13,058) 22,097	
Defined benefit and retiree healthcare plans	742	(170) 760	(370)
Other comprehensive (loss)/income	(16,688) 17,874	(12,298) 21,727	
Comprehensive income	\$36,464	\$ 99,837	\$117,109	\$ 235,956	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SENSATA TECHNOLOGIES HOLDING N.V.
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(In thousands)
(unaudited)

	For the nine months ended	
	September 30, 2015	September 30, 2014
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 129,407	\$ 214,229
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation	71,162	45,161
Amortization of deferred financing costs and discounts	4,755	3,605
Currency remeasurement gain on debt	(2,082)	(2)
Share-based compensation	11,093	9,920
Loss on debt financing	25,538	—
Amortization of inventory step-up to fair value	—	1,658
Amortization of intangible assets	136,068	100,562
Deferred income taxes	11,237	(13,280)
Gains from insurance proceeds	—	(2,417)
Unrealized loss on hedges and other non-cash items	13,541	6,445
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions:		
Accounts receivable, net	(37,021)	(57,178)
Inventories	14,969	(62,868)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(22,483)	(1,461)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	8,840	41,764
Income taxes payable	7,090	(1,997)
Other	(8,401)	(3,994)
Net cash provided by operating activities	363,713	280,147
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Acquisition of Schrader, net of cash received	(958)	—
Other acquisitions, net of cash received	3,881	(298,525)
Additions to property, plant and equipment and capitalized software	(130,243)	(101,104)
Insurance proceeds	—	2,417
Proceeds from the sale of assets	102	5,467
Net cash used in investing activities	(127,218)	(391,745)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from exercise of stock options and issuance of ordinary shares	15,361	18,083
Proceeds from issuance of debt	1,795,120	195,000
Payments on debt	(1,970,685)	(40,993)
Repurchase of ordinary shares from SCA	—	(169,680)
Payments to repurchase ordinary shares	(50)	(11,971)
Payments of debt issuance costs	(29,361)	—
Net cash used in financing activities	(189,615)	(9,561)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	46,880	(121,159)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	211,329	317,896
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 258,209	\$ 196,737

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SENSATA TECHNOLOGIES HOLDING N.V.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands, except per share amounts, or unless otherwise noted)

(unaudited)

1. Business Description and Basis of Presentation

Business Description

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements reflect the financial position, results of operations, comprehensive income, and cash flows of Sensata Technologies Holding N.V. ("Sensata Technologies Holding") and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, collectively referred to as the "Company," "Sensata," "we," "our," or "us." Sensata Technologies Holding is incorporated under the laws of the Netherlands and conducts its operations through subsidiary companies that operate business and product development centers in the United States (the "U.S."), the Netherlands, Belgium, China, Germany, Japan, South Korea, and the United Kingdom (the "U.K."); and manufacturing operations in China, Malaysia, Mexico, the Dominican Republic, Bulgaria, Poland, France, Brazil, the U.K., and the U.S. We organize our operations into the Performance Sensing and Sensing Solutions businesses. In the fourth quarter of 2014, we realigned our segments as a result of organizational changes to better allocate our resources to support our ongoing business strategy. Refer to Note 14, "Segment Reporting," for further discussion of this realignment. The discussion below, relating to our Performance Sensing and Sensing Solutions businesses, reflects this realignment.

Our Performance Sensing business is a manufacturer of pressure, temperature, speed, position, and force sensors, and electromechanical products used in subsystems of automobiles (e.g., engine, air conditioning, and ride stabilization) and heavy on- and off-road vehicles ("HVOR"). These products help improve performance, for example by making an automobile's heating and air conditioning systems work more efficiently, thereby improving gas mileage. These products are also used in systems that address safety and environmental concerns, for example by improving the stability control of the vehicle and reducing vehicle emissions.

Our Sensing Solutions business is a manufacturer of a variety of control products used in industrial, aerospace, military, commercial, and residential markets, and sensor products used in industrial applications such as heating, ventilation, and air conditioning ("HVAC") systems. These products include motor and compressor protectors, circuit breakers, semiconductor burn-in test sockets, electronic HVAC sensors and controls, power inverters, precision switches, and thermostats. These products help prevent damage from overheating and fires in a wide variety of applications, including commercial HVAC systems, refrigerators, aircraft, automobiles, lighting, and other industrial applications, and help optimize performance by using sensors which provide feedback to control systems. The Sensing Solutions business also manufactures direct current to alternating current power inverters, which enable the operation of electronic equipment when grid power is not available.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("U.S. GAAP") for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q, and therefore do not include all of the information and note disclosures required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. The accompanying financial information reflects all normal recurring adjustments which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the interim period results. The results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year, nor were those of the comparable 2014 periods necessarily representative of those actually experienced for the full year 2014. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.

All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

All U.S. dollar and share amounts presented, except per share amounts, are stated in thousands, unless otherwise indicated.

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior periods to conform to current period presentation.

2. New Accounting Standards

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) ("ASU 2014-09"), which modifies how all entities recognize revenue, and

Table of Contents

consolidates into one Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic (ASC Topic 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers), the current guidance found in ASC Topic 605, Revenue Recognition, and various other revenue accounting standards for specialized transactions and industries. The core principle of the guidance is that "an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services." In achieving this objective, an entity must perform five steps: (1) identify the contract(s) with a customer, (2) identify the performance obligations of the contract, (3) determine the transaction price, (4) allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract, and (5) recognize revenue when (or as) the entity satisfies a performance obligation. ASU 2014-09 also clarifies how an entity should account for costs of obtaining or fulfilling a contract in a new ASC Subtopic 340-40, Other Assets and Deferred Costs - Contracts with Customers.

In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-14, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Deferral of Effective Date, which defers the effective date of ASU 2014-09 by one year. ASU 2014-09 is now effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within that reporting period. Earlier application is permitted only as of annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim reporting periods within that reporting period.

ASU 2014-09 may be applied using either a full retrospective approach, under which all years included in the financial statements will be presented under the revised guidance, or a modified retrospective approach, under which financial statements will be prepared under the revised guidance for the year of adoption, but not for prior years. Under the latter method, entities will recognize a cumulative catch-up adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings at the effective date for contracts that still require performance by the entity, and disclose all line items in the year of adoption as if they were prepared under the old revenue guidance. We will adopt ASU 2014-09 on January 1, 2018 and are currently evaluating the impact that this adoption will have on our consolidated financial statements. At this time, we have not determined the transition method that will be used.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-03, Interest - Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30) ("ASU 2015-03"), which simplifies the presentation of debt issuance costs. ASU 2015-03 requires that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability, consistent with debt discounts. ASU 2015-03 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015 (and interim periods within those fiscal years) with early adoption permitted and retrospective application required. As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we had recorded deferred financing costs of \$27.0 million and \$29.1 million, respectively, which would have been classified as a reduction of long-term debt in our condensed consolidated balance sheets had we adopted this standard in the third quarter of 2015. There will not be a material impact on our results of operations upon adoption of ASU 2015-03.

3. Inventories

The components of inventories as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 were as follows:

	September 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
Finished goods	\$ 139,582	\$ 127,407
Work-in-process	64,218	69,218
Raw materials	137,561	159,739
Total	\$ 341,361	\$ 356,364

4. Shareholders' Equity

Treasury Shares

We have a \$250.0 million share repurchase program in place. Under this program, we may repurchase ordinary shares from time to time, at such times and in amounts to be determined by our management, based on market conditions, legal requirements, and other corporate considerations, on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. The share repurchase program may be modified or terminated by our Board of Directors at any time.

We did not repurchase any ordinary shares under this program during the nine months ended September 30, 2015.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, we repurchased 4.3 million ordinary shares for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$181.7 million, at a weighted-average price of \$42.22 per ordinary share. Of the ordinary shares repurchased, 4.0 million were repurchased from Sensata Investment Company S.C.A. ("SCA") in a private, non-underwritten transaction,

Table of Contents

concurrent with the closing of our secondary offering completed in May 2014, at \$42.42 per ordinary share, which was equal to the price paid by the underwriters.

Ordinary shares repurchased by us are recorded at cost as treasury shares and result in a reduction of shareholders' equity. We reissue treasury shares as part of our share-based compensation programs. When shares are reissued, we determine the cost using the first-in, first-out method. During the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014, we reissued 0.9 million and 1.1 million treasury shares, respectively, as part of our share-based compensation programs. During the nine months ended September 30, 2015, in connection with our treasury share reissuances, we recognized a loss of \$17.3 million that was recorded in Retained earnings.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

The following is a roll forward of the components of Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax, for the nine months ended September 30, 2015:

	Deferred (Loss)/Gain on Derivative Instruments, Net of Reclassifications	Defined Benefit and Retiree Healthcare Plans	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss
Balance as of December 31, 2014	\$ 17,578	\$(29,326)	\$ (11,748)
Other comprehensive (loss)/income before reclassifications	11,982	—	11,982
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss	(25,040)	760	(24,280)
Net current period other comprehensive (loss)/income	(13,058)	760	(12,298)
Balance as of September 30, 2015	\$ 4,520	\$(28,566)	\$ (24,046)

Table of Contents

The details of the amounts reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive loss for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014 are as follows:

Component	Amount of (Gain)/Loss Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss				Affected Line in Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations
	For the three months ended		For the nine months ended		
	September 30, 2015	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2015	September 30, 2014	
Derivative instruments designated and qualifying as cash flow hedges					
Interest rate caps	\$—	\$232	\$—	\$972	Interest expense ⁽¹⁾
Foreign currency forward contracts	(13,665)	822	(39,207)	5,543	Net revenue ⁽¹⁾
Foreign currency forward contracts	2,576	(512)	5,820	(811)	Cost of revenue ⁽¹⁾
	(11,089)	542	(33,387)	5,704	Total before tax
	2,767	(132)	8,347	(1,424)	Provision for/(benefit from) income taxes
	\$(8,322)	\$410	\$(25,040)	\$4,280	Net of tax
Defined benefit and retiree healthcare plans	\$1,017	\$(121)	\$1,327	\$(307)	Various ⁽²⁾
	(275)	(49)	(567)	(63)	Provision for/(benefit from) income taxes
	\$742	\$(170)	\$760	\$(370)	Net of tax

⁽¹⁾ See Note 12, "Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," for additional details on amounts to be reclassified in the future from Accumulated other comprehensive loss.

⁽²⁾ Amounts related to defined benefit and retiree healthcare plans reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive loss affect the Cost of revenue, Research and development, Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A"), and Restructuring and special charges line items in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. The amounts reclassified are included in the computation of net periodic benefit cost. See Note 8, "Pension and Other Post-Retirement Benefits," for additional details of net periodic benefit cost.

5. Restructuring and Special Charges

The following tables present costs/(gains) recorded within the condensed consolidated statements of operations associated with our restructuring activities and special charges, and where these amounts were recognized, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014:

	For the three months ended September 30, 2015				For the three months ended September 30, 2014			
	2011 Plan	Other	Special Charges	Total	2011 Plan	Other	Special Charges	Total
Restructuring and special charges	\$—	\$1,615	\$—	\$1,615	\$—	\$4,543	\$—	\$4,543
Other, net	—	(1,368)	—	(1,368)	—	(1)	—	(1)
Cost of revenue	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	\$—	\$247	\$—	\$247	\$—	\$4,542	\$—	\$4,542

Table of Contents

	For the nine months ended September 30, 2015				For the nine months ended September 30, 2014			
	2011 Plan	Other	Special Charges	Total	2011 Plan	Other	Special Charges	Total
Restructuring and special charges	\$—	\$12,424	\$—	\$12,424	\$(198)	\$7,346	\$—	\$7,148
Other, net	—	(2,051)	—	(2,051)	—	(1)	—	(1)
Cost of revenue	—	—	—	—	—	—	(4,072)	(4,072)
Total	\$—	\$10,373	\$—	\$10,373	\$(198)	\$7,345	\$(4,072)	\$3,075

The "other" restructuring charges of \$1.6 million and \$12.4 million recognized during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, consist primarily of costs associated with the termination of a limited number of employees in various locations throughout the world and severance charges recorded in connection with acquired businesses, including \$4.0 million of severance charges recorded in the second quarter of 2015 related to the closing of our manufacturing facility in Brazil that was part of the Schrader acquisition. Additional charges related to the closing of this facility are not recorded in Restructuring and special charges, and are discussed below in Exit and Disposal Activities. Amounts presented in the table above that were recorded in Other, net represent (gains)/losses associated with the remeasurement of our restructuring liabilities.

The following table outlines the changes to the restructuring liability associated with the severance portion of our "other" actions during the nine months ended September 30, 2015:

	Severance
Balance at December 31, 2014	\$19,914
Charges	11,051
Payments	(9,953)
Impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates	(2,051)
Balance at September 30, 2015	\$18,961

The "other" restructuring charges of \$4.5 million and \$7.3 million recognized during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively, consist primarily of \$3.5 million and \$4.4 million, respectively, of charges related to the termination of a limited number of employees in various locations throughout the world. These costs were accounted for as part of an ongoing benefit arrangement in accordance with ASC Topic 712, Compensation - Nonretirement Postemployment Benefits. The remainder of the charges for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 consist of severance recorded in connection with acquired businesses.

Special Charges

On September 30, 2012, a fire damaged a portion of our manufacturing facility in JinCheon, South Korea. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, we recognized \$7.3 million of insurance proceeds related to this fire, which were partially offset by certain charges and expenses incurred during the second quarter of 2014 related to the completed transformation of our South Korean operations. During the three months ended September 30, 2014, we did not recognize any insurance proceeds related to this fire. The insurance proceeds received during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, and the offsetting charges and expenses incurred during the second quarter of 2014, were recognized in Cost of revenue.

Exit and Disposal Activities

In the second quarter of 2015, we decided to close our manufacturing facility in Brazil that was part of the Schrader acquisition. During the nine months ended September 30, 2015, in connection with this closing, and in addition to the \$4.0 million of severance charges recorded in Restructuring and special charges as discussed above, we incurred approximately \$5.0 million of charges, primarily recorded in Cost of revenue, related to the write-down of certain assets, including Property, plant and equipment and Inventory. These charges are not included in the restructuring and special charges table above.

Table of Contents

6. Debt

Our debt as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
Original Term Loan	\$—	\$469,308
Incremental Term Loan	—	598,500
Sixth Amendment Term Loan	985,170	—
6.5% Senior Notes	—	700,000
4.875% Senior Notes	500,000	500,000
5.625% Senior Notes	400,000	400,000
5.0% Senior Notes	700,000	—
Revolving Credit Facility	55,000	130,000
Other debt	—	2,153
Less: discount	(20,730)	(6,312)
Less: current portion	(64,902)	(142,905)
Long-term debt, net of discount, less current portion	\$2,554,538	\$2,650,744
Capital lease and other financing obligations	\$48,469	\$48,187
Less: current portion	(4,655)	(3,074)
Capital lease and other financing obligations, less current portion	\$43,814	\$45,113

On September 29, 2015, certain of our indirect, wholly-owned subsidiaries, including Sensata Technologies B.V. ("STBV"), Sensata Technologies Finance Company, LLC ("ST Finance," and collectively with STBV, the "Borrowers"), and Sensata Technologies Intermediate Holding B.V. ("ST Intermediate"), entered into an amendment (the "Seventh Amendment") of our credit agreement dated as of May 12, 2011, as amended (the "Credit Agreement"). The Seventh Amendment increased the availability on the existing revolving credit facility (the "Revolving Credit Facility") by \$70.0 million to \$420.0 million.

On May 11, 2015, the Borrowers and ST Intermediate entered into an amendment (the "Sixth Amendment") of the Credit Agreement. Pursuant to the Sixth Amendment, the Original Term Loan (the term loan facility entered into in May 2011 in connection with the execution of the Credit Agreement) and the Incremental Term Loan (the \$600.0 million term loan facility provided for by the third amendment to the Credit Agreement, and together with the Original Term Loan, the "Existing Term Loans") were prepaid in full, and a new term loan (the "Sixth Amendment Term Loan") was entered into in an aggregate principal amount equal to the sum of the outstanding balances of the Existing Term Loans. The maturity date of the Sixth Amendment Term Loan is October 14, 2021. Principal payments on the Sixth Amendment Term Loan are due in quarterly installments on the last business day of each of March, June, September, and December, commencing on June 30, 2015, in an amount equal to 0.25% of the original aggregate principal amount of the Sixth Amendment Term Loan, with the balance due on the maturity date.

Also, pursuant to the Sixth Amendment, the applicable margins for the Sixth Amendment Term Loan are set at 1.25% and 2.25% for Base Rate loans and Eurodollar Rate loans, respectively, subject to floors of 1.75% and 0.75% for Base Rate loans and Eurodollar Rate loans, respectively. Base Rate loans and Eurodollar Rate loans are defined in the Sixth Amendment. The Sixth Amendment Term Loan is subject to a repricing prepayment premium of 1.0% if there is a repricing event that occurs prior to May 11, 2016. The Sixth Amendment Term Loan was offered at 99.75% of par. On March 26, 2015, STBV completed a series of financing transactions (the "Financing Transactions"), including the settlement of \$620.9 million of STBV's \$700.0 million aggregate principal amount of 6.5% senior notes due 2019 (the "6.5% Senior Notes") that was validly tendered in connection with a cash tender offer that commenced on March 19, 2015, the issuance and sale of \$700.0 million aggregate principal amount of 5.0% senior notes due 2025 (the "5.0% Senior Notes"), and, along with ST Finance and ST Intermediate, the entry into an amendment (the "Fifth Amendment") to the Credit Agreement. On April 29, 2015, STBV redeemed the remaining \$79.1 million principal amount of 6.5% Senior Notes (the "Redemption").

The Fifth Amendment (1) increased the availability on the Revolving Credit Facility by \$100.0 million to \$350.0 million in the aggregate; (2) extended the maturity date of the Revolving Credit Facility to March 26, 2020; (3) lowered the maximum

Table of Contents

commitment fee on the unused portion of the Revolving Credit Facility from 0.50% to 0.375%; and (4) revised certain index rate spreads and letter of credit fees on the Revolving Credit Facility (each of which depends on the achievement of certain senior secured net leverage ratios) as follows: (i) lowered the index rate spread for Eurodollar Rate loans from 2.500%, 2.375%, or 2.250% to 1.75% or 1.50%; (ii) lowered the index rate spread for Base Rate loans from 1.500%, 1.375%, or 1.250% to 0.75% or 0.50%; and (iii) lowered the letter of credit fees from 2.500%, 2.375%, or 2.250% to 1.625% or 1.375%.

5.0% Senior Notes

The 5.0% Senior Notes were issued under an indenture dated March 26, 2015 (the "5.0% Senior Notes Indenture") among STBV, as issuer, The Bank of New York Mellon, as trustee, and the guarantors. The 5.0% Senior Notes were offered at par. Interest on the 5.0% Senior Notes is payable semi-annually on April 1 and October 1 of each year, with the first payment to be made on October 1, 2015. Our obligations under the 5.0% Senior Notes are guaranteed by all of STBV's subsidiaries that guarantee STBV's obligations under its existing senior secured credit facilities issued under the Credit Agreement (the "Senior Secured Credit Facilities"), except for Sensata Technologies Finance Company, LLC, a finance subsidiary of STBV and a borrower under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities. The 5.0% Senior Notes and the guarantees are senior unsecured obligations of STBV and the guarantors and rank equally in right of payment to all existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness of STBV or the guarantors, including the 4.875% senior notes due 2023 (the "4.875% Senior Notes") and the 5.625% senior notes due 2024 (the "5.625% Senior Notes").

At any time, and from time to time, STBV may redeem some or all of the 5.0% Senior Notes at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the 5.0% Senior Notes redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to, but excluding, the date of redemption, plus the "make-whole" premium set forth in the 5.0% Senior Notes Indenture. If certain changes in the law of any relevant taxing jurisdiction become effective that would impose withholding taxes or other deductions on the payments on the 5.0% Senior Notes or the guarantees, STBV may redeem the notes in whole, but not in part, at any time, at a redemption price of 100% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, and Additional Amounts (as defined in the 5.0% Senior Notes Indenture), if any, to, but excluding, the date of redemption. If STBV experiences certain change of control events, STBV will be required to make an offer to purchase the 5.0% Senior Notes then outstanding at a purchase price equal to 101% of the principal amount of the 5.0% Senior Notes on the date of purchase, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the repurchase date.

The 5.0% Senior Notes Indenture provides for events of default (subject in certain cases to customary grace and cure periods) which include, among others, nonpayment of principal or interest when due, breach of covenants or other agreements in the 5.0% Senior Notes Indenture, defaults in payment of certain other indebtedness, certain events of bankruptcy or insolvency, and when the guarantees of significant subsidiaries cease to be in full force and effect. Generally, if an event of default occurs, the trustee or the holders of at least 25% in principal amount of the then outstanding 5.0% Senior Notes may declare the principal of, and accrued but unpaid interest on, all of the 5.0% Senior Notes to be due and payable immediately. All provisions regarding remedies in an event of default are subject to the 5.0% Senior Notes Indenture.

Revolving Credit Facility

As of September 30, 2015, there was \$359.7 million of availability under the Revolving Credit Facility, net of \$5.3 million in letters of credit. Outstanding letters of credit are issued primarily for the benefit of certain operating activities. As of September 30, 2015, no amounts had been drawn against these outstanding letters of credit, which are scheduled to expire on various dates through 2016.

Accounting for Debt Transactions

In accounting for the Sixth Amendment, the Seventh Amendment, the Financing Transactions, and the Redemption (together the "Transactions"), we applied the provisions of ASC Subtopic 470-50, Modifications and Extinguishments ("ASC 470-50"). Our evaluation of the accounting under ASC 470-50 was done on a creditor by creditor basis in order to determine if the terms of the debt were substantially different and, as a result, whether to apply modification or extinguishment accounting.

As required by ASC 470-50, in the event that individual creditors invested in both our existing debt and our new debt, we determined whether the terms of the debt were substantially different, and if so, applied extinguishment accounting. If the terms were not substantially different, modification accounting was applied. For holders of our existing debt who did not invest in the new debt, we applied extinguishment accounting. Borrowings associated with holders of the new debt that were not holders of the existing debt were accounted for as new issuances.

Table of Contents

During the nine months ended September 30, 2015, we recorded a loss of \$25.5 million in Other, net, primarily related to the Transactions, which was primarily composed of \$13.3 million in fees paid to creditors, \$5.9 million in costs incurred with third parties, and the write-off of unamortized deferred financing fees and original issue discount.

Debt Maturities

The final maturity of the Revolving Credit Facility is March 26, 2020. Loans made pursuant to the Revolving Credit Facility must be repaid in full on or prior to such date and are pre-payable at our option at par. All letters of credit issued thereunder will terminate at the final maturity of the Revolving Credit Facility unless cash collateralized prior to such time. The final maturity of the Sixth Amendment Term Loan is October 14, 2021. The Sixth Amendment Term Loan must be repaid in full on or prior to this date. The 4.875% Senior Notes, the 5.625% Senior Notes, and the 5.0% Senior Notes mature on October 15, 2023, November 1, 2024, and October 1, 2025, respectively.

Accrued Interest

Accrued interest associated with our outstanding debt is included as a component of Accrued expenses and other current liabilities in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, accrued interest totaled \$42.9 million and \$22.6 million, respectively.

7. Income Taxes

We recorded a Provision for/(benefit from) income taxes for the three months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014 of \$13.2 million and \$(22.0) million, respectively, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014 of \$32.3 million and \$6.9 million, respectively. The Provision for/(benefit from) income taxes consists of current tax expense, which relates primarily to our profitable operations in non-U.S. tax jurisdictions, and deferred tax expense, which relates primarily to the amortization of tax deductible goodwill and the use of net operating losses.

During the three months ended September 30, 2014, we released a portion of our U.S. valuation allowance and recognized \$32.5 million of benefit from income taxes in connection with the DeltaTech Controls acquisition, for which deferred tax liabilities were established related primarily to the step-up of intangible assets for book purposes. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, in addition to the \$32.5 million of benefit from income taxes recognized in connection with the DeltaTech Controls acquisition, we recognized \$8.3 million of benefit from income taxes due to the release of a portion of the U.S. valuation allowance in connection with the Wabash Technologies acquisition, for which deferred tax liabilities were established related primarily to the step-up of intangible assets for book purposes. Refer to Note 16, "Acquisitions," for further discussion of these acquisitions.

8. Pension and Other Post-Retirement Benefits

We provide various pension and other post-retirement benefit plans for current and former employees, including defined benefit, defined contribution, and retiree healthcare benefit plans.

Table of Contents

The components of net periodic benefit cost associated with our defined benefit and retiree healthcare plans for the three months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014 were as follows:

	U.S. Plans				Non-U.S. Plans		Total	
	Defined Benefit		Retiree Healthcare		Defined Benefit			
	September 30, 2015	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2015	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2015	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2015	September 30, 2014
Service cost	\$—	\$ —	\$26	\$ 14	\$705	\$ 654	\$731	\$ 668
Interest cost	381	448	66	74	264	319	711	841
Expected return on plan assets	(654)	(612)	—	—	(221)	(216)	(875)	(828)
Amortization of net loss	116	65	90	104	154	45	360	214
Amortization of prior service credit	—	—	(334)	(335)	(9)	—	(343)	(335)
Loss on settlement	273	—	—	—	147	—	420	—
Loss on curtailment	—	—	—	—	580	—	580	—
Net periodic benefit cost	\$116	\$ (99)	\$ (152)	\$ (143)	\$1,620	\$ 802	\$1,584	\$ 560

The components of net periodic benefit cost associated with our defined benefit and retiree healthcare plans for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014 were as follows:

	U.S. Plans				Non-U.S. Plans		Total	
	Defined Benefit		Retiree Healthcare		Defined Benefit			
	September 30, 2015	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2015	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2015	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2015	September 30, 2014
Service cost	\$—	\$ —	\$77	\$ 80	\$2,199	\$ 1,884	\$2,276	\$ 1,964
Interest cost	1,142	1,344	198	247	807	847	2,147	2,438
Expected return on plan assets	(1,961)	(1,837)	—	—	(671)	(655)	(2,632)	(2,492)
Amortization of net loss/(gain)	348	196	270	362	(90)	136	528	694
Amortization of prior service credit	—	—	(1,002)	(1,001)	(27)	—	(1,029)	(1,001)
Loss on settlement	273	—	—	—	440	—	713	—
Loss on curtailment	—	—	—	—	1,115	—	1,115	—
Net periodic benefit cost	\$(198)	\$ (297)	\$(457)	\$ (312)	\$3,773	\$ 2,212	\$3,118	\$ 1,603

9. Share-Based Payment Plans

Share-Based Compensation Expense

The table below presents non-cash compensation expense related to our equity awards recorded within SG&A expense in the condensed consolidated statements of operations during the identified periods:

	For the three months ended		For the nine months ended	
	September 30, 2015	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2015	September 30, 2014
Stock options	\$ 1,650	\$ 2,019	\$5,305	\$ 5,969
Restricted securities	1,862	1,550	5,788	3,951
Total share-based compensation expense	\$ 3,512	\$ 3,569	\$11,093	\$ 9,920

Table of Contents

Share-Based Compensation Awards

We granted the following options under the Sensata Technologies Holding N.V. 2010 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2010 Equity Plan") during the nine months ended September 30, 2015:

Awards Granted to	Number of Options Granted	Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value	Vesting Period
Various executives and employees	281	\$18.17	25% per year over four years
Directors	72	\$17.05	1 year

We granted the following restricted securities under the 2010 Equity Plan during the nine months ended September 30, 2015:

Awards Granted to	Number of Restricted Securities Granted	Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value
Various executives and employees	278	\$56.66

Of the restricted securities granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2015, 128 were performance-based securities that cliff vest in 2018. The number of these performance-based securities that vest will depend on the extent to which certain performance criteria are met and could range between 0.0% and 172.5% of the number of securities granted. The remaining restricted securities granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2015 are non-performance-based restricted securities that vest on various dates between February 2017 and September 2018.

Option Exercises

During the nine months ended September 30, 2015, 858 stock options were exercised, all of which were settled with shares reissued from treasury.

10. Commitments and Contingencies

Off-Balance Sheet Commitments

We execute contracts involving indemnifications standard in the relevant industry and indemnifications specific to certain transactions, such as the sale of a business. These indemnifications might include claims relating to the following: environmental matters; intellectual property rights; governmental regulations and employment-related matters; customer, supplier, and other commercial contractual relationships; and financial matters. Performance under these indemnifications would generally be triggered by a breach of terms of the contract or by a third-party claim. Historically, we have experienced only immaterial and irregular losses associated with these indemnifications. Consequently, any future liabilities brought about by these indemnifications cannot reasonably be estimated or accrued.

Indemnifications Provided As Part of Contracts and Agreements

We are party to the following types of agreements pursuant to which we may be obligated to indemnify a third party with respect to certain matters.

Sponsors: Upon the closing of the acquisition of the Sensors and Controls business of Texas Instruments Incorporated ("TI") on April 27, 2006, we entered into customary indemnification agreements with entities associated with Bain Capital Partners, LLC and co-investors (collectively referred to as the "Sponsors"). Pursuant to these indemnification agreements, we agreed to indemnify the Sponsors, either during or after the term of the agreements, against certain liabilities arising out of performance of a consulting agreement between us and each of the Sponsors, and certain other claims and liabilities, including liabilities arising out of financing arrangements and securities offerings. There is no limit to the maximum future payments, if any, under these indemnifications.

Officers and Directors: In connection with our initial public offering ("IPO"), we entered into indemnification agreements with each of our board members and executive officers pursuant to which we agreed to indemnify, defend, and hold harmless, and also advance expenses as incurred, to the fullest extent permitted under applicable law, from

damages arising from the fact that such person is or was one of our directors or officers or that of any of our subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

Our articles of association provide for indemnification of directors and officers by us to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law, as it now exists or may hereinafter be amended (but, in the case of an amendment, only to the extent such amendment permits broader indemnification rights than permitted prior thereto), against any and all liabilities, including all expenses (including attorneys' fees), judgments, fines, and amounts paid in settlement actually and reasonably incurred by him or her in connection with such action, suit, or proceeding, provided he or she acted in good faith and in a manner he or she reasonably believed to be in, or not opposed to, our best interests, and, with respect to any criminal action or proceeding, had no reasonable cause to believe his or her conduct was unlawful or outside of his or her mandate. The articles do not provide a limit to the maximum future payments, if any, under the indemnification. No indemnification is provided for in respect of any claim, issue, or matter as to which such person has been adjudged to be liable for gross negligence or willful misconduct in the performance of his or her duty on our behalf.

In addition, we have a liability insurance policy that insures directors and officers against the cost of defense, settlement, or payment of claims and judgments under some circumstances. Certain indemnification payments may not be covered under our directors' and officers' insurance coverage.

Underwriters: Pursuant to the terms of the underwriting agreements entered into in connection with our IPO and secondary public equity offerings, we are obligated to indemnify the underwriters against certain liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act of 1933, or to contribute to payments the underwriters may be required to make in respect thereof. The underwriting agreements do not provide a limit to the maximum future payments, if any, under these indemnifications.

Initial Purchasers of Senior Notes: Pursuant to the terms of the purchase agreements entered into in connection with our private placement senior note offerings, we are obligated to indemnify the initial purchasers of our senior notes against certain liabilities caused by any untrue statement or alleged untrue statement of a material fact in various documents relied upon by such initial purchasers, or to contribute to payments the initial purchasers may be required to make in respect thereof. The purchase agreements do not provide a limit to the maximum future payments, if any, under these indemnifications.

Intellectual Property and Product Liability Indemnification: We routinely sell products with a limited intellectual property and product liability indemnification included in the terms of sale. Historically, we have had only immaterial and irregular losses associated with these indemnifications. Consequently, any future liabilities resulting from these indemnifications cannot reasonably be estimated or accrued.

Product Warranty Liabilities

Our standard terms of sale provide our customers with a warranty against faulty workmanship and the use of defective materials, which, depending on the product, generally exists for a period of twelve to eighteen months after the date we ship the product to our customer or for a period of twelve months after the date the customer resells our product, whichever comes first. We do not offer separately priced extended warranty or product maintenance contracts. Our liability associated with this warranty is, at our option, to repair the product, replace the product, or provide the customer with a credit.

We also sell products to customers under negotiated agreements or where we have accepted the customer's terms of purchase. In these instances, we may provide additional warranties for longer durations, consistent with differing end-market practices, and where our liability is not limited. In addition, many sales take place in situations where commercial or civil codes, or other laws, would imply various warranties and restrict limitations on liability.

In the event a warranty claim based on defective materials exists, we may be able to recover some of the cost of the claim from the vendor from whom the materials were purchased. Our ability to recover some of the costs will depend on the terms and conditions to which we agreed when the materials were purchased. When a warranty claim is made, the only collateral available to us is the return of the inventory from the customer making the warranty claim.

Historically, when customers make a warranty claim, we either replace the product or provide the customer with a credit. We generally do not rework the returned product.

Our policy is to accrue for warranty claims when a loss is both probable and estimable. This is accomplished by accruing for estimated returns and estimated costs to replace the product at the time the related revenue is recognized.

Liabilities for warranty claims have historically not been material. In some instances, customers may make claims for costs they incurred or other damages related to a claim. Any potentially material liabilities associated with these claims are discussed in this Note under the heading Legal Proceedings and Claims.

Table of Contents

Environmental Remediation Liabilities

Our operations and facilities are subject to U.S. and non-U.S. laws and regulations governing the protection of the environment and our employees, including those governing air emissions, water discharges, the management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes, and the cleanup of contaminated sites. We could incur substantial costs, including cleanup costs, fines, civil or criminal sanctions, or third-party property damage or personal injury claims, in the event of violations or liabilities under these laws and regulations, or non-compliance with the environmental permits required at our facilities. Potentially significant expenditures could be required in order to comply with environmental laws that may be adopted or imposed in the future. We are, however, not aware of any threatened or pending material environmental investigations, lawsuits, or claims involving us or our operations.

In 2001, TI Brazil was notified by the State of São Paulo, Brazil regarding its potential cleanup liability as a generator of wastes sent to the Aterro Mantovani disposal site, which operated near Campinas from 1972 to 1987. The site is a landfill contaminated with a variety of chemical materials, including petroleum products, allegedly disposed at the site. TI Brazil is one of over 50 companies notified of potential cleanup liability. There have been several lawsuits filed by third parties alleging personal injuries caused by exposure to drinking water contaminated by the disposal site. Our subsidiary, Sensata Technologies Brazil ("ST Brazil"), is the successor in interest to TI Brazil. However, in accordance with the terms of the acquisition agreement entered into in connection with the acquisition of the Sensors and Controls business of TI (the "Acquisition Agreement"), TI retained these liabilities (subject to the limitations set forth in that agreement) and has agreed to indemnify us with regard to these excluded liabilities. Additionally, in 2008, five lawsuits were filed against ST Brazil alleging personal injuries suffered by individuals who were exposed to drinking water allegedly contaminated by the Aterro Mantovani disposal site. These matters are managed and controlled by TI. TI is defending these five lawsuits in the 1st Civil Court of Jaquariuna, São Paulo. Although ST Brazil cooperates with TI in this process, we do not anticipate incurring any non-reimbursable expenses related to the matters described above. Accordingly, no amounts have been accrued for these matters as of September 30, 2015.

Control Devices, Inc. ("CDI"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of one of our U.S. operating subsidiaries, Sensata Technologies, Inc., acquired through our acquisition of First Technology Automotive, is party to a post-closure license, along with GTE Operations Support, Inc. ("GTE"), from the Maine Department of Environmental Protection with respect to a closed hazardous waste surface impoundment located on real property owned by CDI in Standish, Maine. The post-closure license obligates GTE to operate a pump and treatment process to reduce the levels of chlorinated solvents in the groundwater under the property. The post-closure license obligates CDI to maintain the property and provide access to GTE. We do not expect the costs to comply with the post-closure license to be material. As a related but separate matter, pursuant to the terms of an environmental agreement dated July 6, 1994, GTE retained liability and agreed to indemnify CDI for certain liabilities related to the soil and groundwater contamination from the surface impoundment and an out-of-service leach field at the Standish, Maine facility, and CDI and GTE have certain obligations related to the property and each other. The site is contaminated primarily with chlorinated solvents. In 2013, CDI subdivided and sold a portion of the property subject to the post-closure license, including a manufacturing building, but retained the portion of the property that contains the closed hazardous waste surface impoundment, for which it and GTE continue to be subject to the obligations of the post closure license. The buyer of the facility is also now subject to certain restrictions of the post-closure license. CDI has agreed to complete an ecological risk assessment on sediments in an unnamed stream crossing the sold and retained land and to indemnify the buyer for certain remediation costs associated with sediments in the unnamed stream. We do not expect the remaining cost associated with addressing the soil and groundwater contamination, or our obligations relating to the indemnification of the buyer of the facility, to be material.

Legal Proceedings and Claims

We account for litigation and claims losses in accordance with ASC Topic 450, Contingencies ("ASC 450"). Under ASC 450, loss contingency provisions are recorded for probable and estimable losses at our best estimate of a loss or, when a best estimate cannot be made, at our estimate of the minimum loss. These estimates are often developed prior to knowing the amount of the ultimate loss, require the application of considerable judgment, and are refined each accounting period as additional information becomes known. Accordingly, we are often initially unable to develop a

best estimate of loss and therefore the minimum amount, which could be an immaterial amount, is recorded. As information becomes known, either the minimum loss amount is increased, or a best estimate can be made, generally resulting in additional loss provisions. A best estimate amount may be changed to a lower amount when events result in an expectation of a more favorable outcome than previously expected.

We are regularly involved in a number of claims and litigation matters in the ordinary course of business. Most of our litigation matters are third-party claims for property damage allegedly caused by our products, but some involve allegations of personal

Table of Contents

injury or wrongful death. We believe that the ultimate resolution of the current litigation matters pending against us, except potentially those matters described below, will not have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Insurance Claims

The accounting for insurance claims depends on a variety of factors, including the nature of the claim, the evaluation of coverage, the amount of proceeds (or anticipated proceeds), the ability of an insurer to satisfy the claim, and the timing of the loss and corresponding recovery. In accordance with ASC 450, receipts from insurance up to the amount of loss recognized are considered recoveries. Recoveries are recognized in the financial statements when they are probable of receipt. Insurance proceeds in excess of the amount of loss recognized are considered gains. Gains are recognized in the financial statements in the period in which contingencies related to the claim (or a specific portion of the claim) have been resolved. We classify insurance proceeds in our condensed consolidated statements of operations in a manner consistent with the related losses.

Pending Litigation and Claims

Ford Speed Control Deactivation Switch Litigation: We are involved in a number of litigation matters relating to a pressure switch that TI sold to Ford Motor Company (“Ford”) for several years until 2002. Ford incorporated the switch into a cruise control deactivation switch system that it installed in certain vehicles. Due to concerns that, in some circumstances, this system and switch may cause fires, Ford and related companies issued numerous separate recalls of vehicles between 1999 and 2009, which covered approximately fourteen million vehicles in the aggregate.

As of September 30, 2015, we were a defendant in nine lawsuits in which plaintiffs have alleged property damage and, in some of the cases, various personal injuries caused by vehicle fires related to the system and switch. For the most part, these cases seek an unspecified amount of compensatory and exemplary damages, however three plaintiffs have submitted demands in amounts ranging from \$0.1 million to \$0.4 million. Ford and TI are co-defendants in each of these lawsuits. In accordance with the terms of the Acquisition Agreement, we are managing and defending these lawsuits on behalf of both parties.

Pursuant to the terms of the Acquisition Agreement, and subject to the limitations set forth in that agreement, TI has agreed to indemnify us for certain claims and litigation, including the Ford matter. The Acquisition Agreement provides that when the aggregate amount of costs and/or damages from such claims exceeds \$30.0 million, TI will reimburse us for amounts incurred in excess of that threshold up to a cap of \$300.0 million. We entered into an agreement with TI, called the Contribution and Cooperation Agreement, dated October 24, 2011, whereby TI acknowledged that amounts we paid through September 30, 2011, plus an additional cash payment, would be deemed to satisfy the \$30.0 million threshold. Accordingly, TI will not contest the claims or the amounts claimed through September 30, 2011. Costs that we have incurred since September 30, 2011, or may incur in the future, will be reimbursed by TI up to a cap of \$300.0 million less amounts incurred by TI. TI has reimbursed us for expenses incurred through September 30, 2015. We do not believe that aggregate TI and Sensata costs will exceed \$300.0 million.

SGL Italia: Our subsidiaries, STBV and Sensata Technologies Italia, were defendants in a lawsuit, Luigi Lavazza s.p.a. and SGL Italia s.r.l. v. Sensata Technologies Italia s.r.l., Sensata Technologies, B.V., and Komponent s.r.l., Court of Milan, bench 7, brought in the court in Milan, Italy. The lawsuit alleged defects in one of our electromechanical control products. The plaintiffs had alleged €5.0 million in damages. On July 3, 2015, the parties entered into a settlement agreement to end the litigation, under which we agreed to pay €1.0 million to the plaintiffs. We made this payment in the third quarter of 2015.

Automotive Customers: In the fourth quarter of 2013, one of our automotive customers alleged defects in certain of our sensor products installed in the customer's vehicles during 2013. In the first quarter of 2014, a second customer alleged similar defects. The alleged defects are not safety related. In the third quarter of 2014, we made a contribution to the first customer in the amount of \$0.7 million, which resolved a portion of the claim. In the second quarter of 2015, we settled with the second customer for an immaterial amount. We continue to work towards a final resolution of the open matter with the first customer and consider a loss to be probable. As of September 30, 2015, we have recorded an accrual related to the open matter of \$0.7 million, representing our best estimate of the potential loss.

U.S. Automaker: A U.S. automaker has alleged non-safety-related defects in certain of our sensor products installed in its vehicles from 2009 through 2011. In January 2015, the customer informed us that future repairs may involve up to 150,000 vehicles over an estimated ten-year period, and that it would seek reimbursement of these costs (or a portion thereof). On March 26, 2015, we entered into a settlement agreement with the customer in which we agreed to reimburse it for 50% of its future costs, with a maximum contribution by us of \$4.0 million. As of September 30, 2015, based on the projected repairs anticipated, we have recorded an accrual at the maximum of \$4.0 million related to this matter.

Table of Contents

Korean Supplier: In the first quarter of 2014, one of our Korean suppliers, Yukwang Co. Ltd. ("Yukwang"), notified us that it was terminating its existing agreement with us and stopped shipping product to us. We brought legal proceedings against Yukwang in Seoul Central District Court, seeking an injunction to protect Sensata-owned manufacturing equipment physically located at Yukwang's facility. Yukwang countered that we were in breach of contract and alleged damages of approximately \$7.6 million. We are litigating these proceedings. The Seoul Central District Court granted our request for an injunction ordering Yukwang not to destroy any of our assets physically located at Yukwang's facility, but on August 25, 2014 did not grant injunctive relief requiring Yukwang to return equipment and inventory to us. We have filed an appeal of the adverse decision and intend to aggressively pursue our claims and to defend against Yukwang's counter claims.

In the first quarter of 2014, Yukwang filed a complaint against us with the Small and Medium Business Administration (the "SMBA"), a Korean government agency charged with protecting the interests of small and medium sized businesses. The SMBA attempted to mediate the dispute between us and Yukwang, but its efforts failed. We believe that the SMBA has abandoned its efforts to mediate the dispute.

On May 27, 2014, Yukwang filed a patent infringement action against us and our equipment supplier with the Suwon district court seeking a preliminary injunction for infringement of Korean patent number 847,738. Yukwang also filed a patent scope action on the same patent with the Korean Intellectual Property Tribunal ("KIPT") and sought police investigation into the alleged infringement. Yukwang is seeking unspecified damages as well as an injunction barring us from using parts covered by the patent in the future. On October 8, 2014, the Suwon district court entered an order dismissing the patent infringement action on invalidity grounds. Yukwang filed an appeal of that decision on October 14, 2014, which is being heard by the Seoul High Court (an intermediate appellate court). The Seoul High Court held a first hearing on the appeal on March 10, 2015 and a second hearing on May 26, 2015. On April 24, 2015, the KIPT issued a decision in our favor, finding the patent to be invalid. Yukwang has appealed this decision. Both matters remain on appeal, and we continue to vigorously defend ourselves in these actions.

In August 2014, the Korean Fair Trade Commission (the "KFTC") opened investigations into allegations made by Yukwang that our indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary, Sensata Technologies Korea Limited, engaged in unfair trade practices and violated a Korean law relating to subcontractors. We have responded to information requests from the KFTC. A hearing was held by the KFTC on October 2, 2015, and we anticipate an initial ruling on this matter in the fourth quarter of 2015. If its investigation determines that our subsidiary has violated Korean law, the KFTC can order injunctions, award damages of up to 2% of impacted revenue for unfair trade practices, and award damages of up to two times the value of the relevant subcontract for violations of the subcontractor law. Damages could cover up to the entire period, which is several years, during which Sensata or any of its current subsidiaries had been operating in Korea. In addition, the KFTC has the authority to prosecute criminally.

We are responding to these various actions by Yukwang. We do not believe that a loss is probable, and as of September 30, 2015, we have not recorded an accrual for these matters.

Brazil Local Tax: Schrader International Brasil Ltda. is involved in litigation with the tax department of the State of São Paulo, Brazil (the "São Paulo Tax Department"), which is claiming underpayment of state taxes. The total amount claimed is approximately \$25.0 million, which includes penalties and interest. It is our understanding that the courts have denied the São Paulo Tax Department's claim, a decision which has been appealed. Although we do not believe that a loss is probable in this matter, Schrader International Brasil Ltda. has been requested to pledge certain of its assets as collateral for the disputed amount while the case is heard. Certain of our subsidiaries have been indemnified by Tomkins Limited (a previous owner of Schrader) for any potential loss relating to this issue, and Tomkins Limited is responsible for and is currently managing the defense of this matter. As of September 30, 2015, we have not recorded an accrual for this matter.

Bridgestone: We are involved in patent litigation with Bridgestone Americas Tire Operations, LLC ("Bridgestone") in both the United States and Germany.

On May 2, 2013, Bridgestone filed a lawsuit, Bridgestone Americas Tire Operations, LLC v. Schrader-Bridgeport International, Inc., Case No. 1:13-cv-00763, in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware, alleging that Schrader-Bridgeport International, Inc. d/b/a Schrader International, Inc., Schrader Electronics Ltd., and Schrader

Electronics, Inc. (collectively, "Schrader Electronics") infringed on certain of its patents (U.S. Patent Numbers 5,562,787, 6,630,885, and 7,161,476) concerning original equipment and original equipment replacement tire pressure monitoring sensors ("TPMS"). Bridgestone is seeking a permanent injunction preventing Schrader Electronics from making, using, importing, offering to sell, or selling any devices that infringe or contribute to the infringement of any claim of the asserted patents, or from inducing others to infringe any claim of the asserted patents; judgment for money damages, interest, costs, and other damages; and the award of a compulsory ongoing licensing fee. A trial was held in Wilmington, Delaware from June 1, 2015 through June 9, 2015. At the

Table of Contents

trial, Bridgestone claimed approximately \$28.0 million in damages for past sales. On June 9, 2015, the jury announced its decision finding that the Bridgestone patents were valid but not infringed by Schrader Electronics. Bridgestone has subsequently filed a motion asking the court to dismiss the jury verdict. The court has yet to rule on this motion. On May 2, 2013, Bridgestone also filed a patent infringement lawsuit in Germany, Bridgestone Americas Tire Operations LLC v. Schrader International Inc., District Court Munich I, alleging that Schrader Electronics' TPMS products sold in Germany are infringing on one of its German counterparts' patents (the German part of European Patent Office patent No. 1309460 B1). On June 12, 2014, the German court rendered a judgment in favor of Bridgestone on the issue of infringement. We have filed an appeal of this decision and expect a hearing in April 2016. On May 25, 2015, we also filed a motion requesting stay of any enforcement of the first instance decision, pending the appeal. No hearing date has been set on this motion. Additionally, we have filed a nullity action in the German patent court seeking a finding of invalidity of the patent. A hearing on that matter is expected in November 2015. Bridgestone is seeking a permanent injunction preventing Schrader Electronics from making, using, importing, offering to sell, or selling any devices that infringe or contribute to the infringement of any claim of the asserted patent, or from inducing others to infringe any claim of the asserted patent; judgment for money damages for past sales since September 25, 2010, interest, costs, and other damages; and the award of compulsory ongoing licensing fees. The specific amounts claimed are unspecified.

On October 15, 2015, the parties reached an oral agreement to settle the U.S. and German matters, under which we agreed to pay Bridgestone \$6.0 million. The details of the agreement are being negotiated by the parties. As a result, as of September 30, 2015, we have recorded an accrual of \$6.0 million related to these matters.

Hassett Class Action Lawsuit: On March 19, 2015, two named plaintiffs filed a class action complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan against Chrysler and Schrader-Bridgeport International, Inc., styled Hassett v. FCA US, LLC et al., case number 2:2015cv11030 (E.D. Michigan). The lawsuit alleges that faulty valve stems were used in Schrader TPMS installed on Chrysler vehicles model years 2007 through 2014. It alleges breach of warranty, unjust enrichment, and violations of the Michigan consumer protection act and the federal Magnuson-Moss warranty act, and is seeking compensatory and punitive damages. Both the size of the class and the damages sought are unspecified. The plaintiffs, now joined by an additional individual, have filed an amended complaint dated June 2, 2015. On July 23, 2015, along with Chrysler, we filed motions to dismiss. The court has scheduled a hearing on these motions for November 9, 2015. We do not believe a loss is probable, and as of September 30, 2015, we have not recorded an accrual related to this matter.

FCPA Voluntary Disclosure

In 2010, an internal investigation was conducted under the direction of the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors to determine whether any laws, including the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (the "FCPA"), may have been violated in connection with a certain business relationship entered into by one of our operating subsidiaries involving business in China. We believe the amount of payments and the business involved was immaterial. We discontinued the specific business relationship, and our investigation has not identified any other suspect transactions. We contacted the U.S. Department of Justice (the "DOJ") and the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") to make a voluntary disclosure of the possible violations, the investigation, and the initial findings. We have been fully cooperating with their review. During 2012, the DOJ informed us that it has closed its inquiry into the matter but indicated that it could reopen its inquiry in the future in the event it were to receive additional information or evidence. We have not received an update from the SEC concerning the status of its inquiry. The FCPA (and related statutes and regulations) provides for potential monetary penalties, criminal and civil sanctions, and other remedies. We are unable to estimate the potential penalties and/or sanctions, if any, that might be assessed and, accordingly, no provision has been made in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

11. Fair Value Measures

Our assets and liabilities reported at fair value have been categorized based upon a fair value hierarchy in accordance with ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. The levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

-

Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets and liabilities that we have the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 inputs utilize inputs, other than quoted prices included in Level 1, that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, quoted prices in markets that are not active, and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals.

Table of Contents

Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, allowing for situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability.

Measured on a Recurring Basis

The following table presents information about our assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, aggregated by the level in the fair value hierarchy within which those measurements fell:

	September 30, 2015			December 31, 2014		
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets						
Foreign currency forward contracts	\$—	\$32,435	\$ —	\$—	\$31,785	\$ —
Commodity forward contracts	—	38	—	—	114	—
Total	\$—	\$32,473	\$ —	\$—	\$31,899	\$ —
Liabilities						
Foreign currency forward contracts	\$—	\$23,212	\$ —	\$—	\$9,656	\$ —
Commodity forward contracts	—	14,872	—	—	11,975	—
Total	\$—	\$38,084	\$ —	\$—	\$21,631	\$ —

The valuations of the foreign currency and commodity forward contracts are determined using widely accepted valuation techniques, including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each instrument. This analysis utilizes observable market-based inputs, including foreign currency and commodity forward curves, and reflects the contractual terms of these instruments, including the period to maturity. The specific contractual terms utilized as inputs in determining fair value and a discussion of the nature of the risks being mitigated by these instruments are detailed in Note 12, "Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," under the captions "Hedges of Foreign Currency Risk" and "Hedges of Commodity Risk."

Although we have determined that the majority of the inputs used to value our derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with our derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads, to appropriately reflect both our own non-performance risk and the respective counterparties' non-performance risk in the fair value measurement. However, as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of our derivative positions and have determined that the credit valuation adjustments are not significant to the overall valuation of our derivatives. As a result, we have determined that our derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

Measured on a Non-recurring Basis

We evaluate the recoverability of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets in the fourth quarter of each fiscal year, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that goodwill or other intangible assets may be impaired. As of October 1, 2014, we evaluated our goodwill for impairment using the qualitative method, and determined that it was more likely than not that the fair values of each of our reporting units were greater than their net book values at that date. Also, as of October 1, 2014, we evaluated our indefinite-lived intangible assets (other than goodwill) for impairment using the quantitative method, and determined that the fair values of these indefinite-lived intangible assets exceeded their carrying values on that date. As of September 30, 2015, no events or changes in circumstances have occurred that would trigger the need for an additional impairment review.

Table of Contents

Financial Instruments Not Recorded at Fair Value

The following table presents the carrying values and fair values of financial instruments not recorded at fair value in the condensed consolidated balance sheets as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

	September 30, 2015				December 31, 2014			
	Carrying Value ⁽¹⁾	Fair Value Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Carrying Value ⁽¹⁾	Fair Value Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Liabilities								
Original Term Loan	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$469,308	\$—	\$466,966	\$—
Incremental Term Loan	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$598,500	\$—	\$595,534	\$—
Sixth Amendment Term Loan	\$985,170	\$—	\$982,708	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
6.5% Senior Notes	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$700,000	\$—	\$730,660	\$—
4.875% Senior Notes	\$500,000	\$—	\$482,190	\$—	\$500,000	\$—	\$495,650	\$—
5.625% Senior Notes	\$400,000	\$—	\$407,000	\$—	\$400,000	\$—	\$415,000	\$—
5.0% Senior Notes	\$700,000	\$—	\$657,566	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Revolving Credit Facility	\$55,000	\$—	\$52,722	\$—	\$130,000	\$—	\$128,250	\$—
Other debt	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$2,153	\$—	\$2,153	\$—

⁽¹⁾ The carrying value is presented excluding discount.

The fair values of our term loans and senior notes are primarily determined using observable prices in markets where these instruments are generally not traded on a daily basis. The fair value of the Revolving Credit Facility is calculated as the present value of the difference between the contractual spread on the loan and the estimated replacement credit spread using the current outstanding balance on the loan projected to the loan maturity.

Cash and cash equivalents, trade receivables, and trade payables are carried at their cost, which approximates fair value, because of their short-term nature.

12. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

As required by ASC Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging ("ASC 815"), we record all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative, whether we have elected to designate a derivative as being in a hedging relationship, and whether the hedging relationship has satisfied the criteria necessary to apply hedge accounting. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability, or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered fair value hedges. Derivatives designated and qualifying as hedges of the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges. Derivatives may also be designated as hedges of the foreign currency exposure of a net investment in a foreign operation. We currently only utilize cash flow hedges.

Hedge accounting generally provides for the matching of the timing of gain or loss recognition on the hedging instrument with the recognition of the changes in the fair value of the hedged asset or liability that are attributable to the hedged risk in a fair value hedge, or the earnings effect of the hedged forecasted transactions in a cash flow hedge. We may enter into derivative contracts that are intended to economically hedge certain risks, even though we elect not to apply hedge accounting under ASC 815. Changes in the fair value of derivatives not designated in hedging relationships are recorded directly in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. Specific information about the valuations of derivatives and classification in the fair value hierarchy is described in Note 11, "Fair Value Measures."

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and qualifying as cash flow hedges is recorded in Accumulated other comprehensive loss and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period in which the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. Refer to Note 4, "Shareholders' Equity," and elsewhere in this Note, for more details on the reclassification of amounts from Accumulated other comprehensive loss into

earnings. The ineffective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and qualifying as cash flow hedges is recognized directly in earnings.

Table of Contents

We do not offset the fair value amounts recognized for derivative instruments against fair value amounts recognized for the right to reclaim cash collateral or the obligation to return cash collateral. As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we had posted no cash collateral.

Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

On August 12, 2014, our interest rate cap, a portion of which was designated as a cash flow hedge of floating interest payments on the Original Term Loan, matured. As a result, we had no interest rate derivatives outstanding during the nine months ended September 30, 2015.

Our objectives in using interest rate derivatives have historically been to add stability to interest expense and to manage our exposure to interest rate movements on our floating rate debt. To accomplish these objectives, during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, we used interest rate caps to hedge the variable cash flows associated with our variable rate debt as part of our interest rate risk management strategy. Interest rate caps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable rate amounts if interest rates rise above the cap strike rate on the contract. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, we recorded no ineffectiveness in earnings related to these hedges, and no amounts were excluded from the assessment of effectiveness.

Hedges of Foreign Currency Risk

We are exposed to fluctuations in various foreign currencies against our functional currency, the U.S. dollar. We use foreign currency forward agreements to manage this exposure. We currently have outstanding foreign currency forward contracts that qualify as cash flow hedges intended to offset the effect of exchange rate fluctuations on forecasted sales and certain manufacturing costs. We also have outstanding foreign currency forward contracts that are intended to preserve the economic value of foreign currency denominated monetary assets and liabilities; these instruments are not designated for hedge accounting treatment in accordance with ASC 815. Derivatives not designated as hedges are not speculative and are used to manage our exposure to foreign exchange movements. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014, the ineffective portion of the changes in the fair value of these derivatives that was recognized directly in earnings was not material and no amounts were excluded from the assessment of effectiveness. As of September 30, 2015, we estimate that \$11.1 million in net gains will be reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive loss to earnings during the twelve months ending September 30, 2016.

Table of Contents

As of September 30, 2015, we had the following outstanding foreign currency forward contracts:

Notional (in millions)	Effective Date	Maturity Date	Index	Weighted- Average Strike Rate	Hedge Designation
450.9 EUR	Various from October 2013 to September 2015	Various from November 2015 to December 2017	Euro to U.S. Dollar Exchange Rate	1.18 USD	Designated
84.1 EUR	Various from October 2013 to September 2015	October 30, 2015	Euro to U.S. Dollar Exchange Rate	1.17 USD	Non-designated
110.0 CNY	September 25, 2015	October 30, 2015	U.S. Dollar to Chinese Renminbi Exchange Rate	6.42 CNY	Non-designated
779.0 JPY	September 28, 2015	October 30, 2015	U.S. Dollar to Japanese Yen Exchange Rate	119.75 JPY	Non-designated
44,400.0 KRW	Various from March 2014 to September 2015	Various from November 2015 to December 2017	U.S. Dollar to Korean Won Exchange Rate	1,106.18 KRW	Designated
27,800.0 KRW	Various from March 2014 to September 2015	October 30, 2015	U.S. Dollar to Korean Won Exchange Rate	1,177.44 KRW	Non-designated
101.4 MYR	Various from January 2014 to September 2015	Various from November 2015 to December 2017	U.S. Dollar to Malaysian Ringgit Exchange Rate	3.73 MYR	Designated
33.1 MYR	Various from January 2014 to September 2015	October 30, 2015	U.S. Dollar to Malaysian Ringgit Exchange Rate	4.21 MYR	Non-designated
1,542.0 MXN	Various from January 2014 to September 2015	Various from November 2015 to December 2017	U.S. Dollar to Mexican Peso Exchange Rate	15.68 MXN	Designated
156.6 MXN	Various from January 2014 to September 2015	October 30, 2015	U.S. Dollar to Mexican Peso Exchange Rate	15.32 MXN	Non-designated
55.4 GBP	Various from October 2014 to September 2015	Various from November 2015 to December 2017	Pound Sterling to U.S. Dollar Exchange Rate	1.54 USD	Designated
9.1 GBP	Various from October 2014 to September 2015	October 30, 2015	Pound Sterling to U.S. Dollar Exchange Rate	1.54 USD	Non-designated

The notional amounts above represent the total quantities we have outstanding over the remaining contracted periods.
Hedges of Commodity Risk

Our objective in using commodity forward contracts is to offset a portion of our exposure to the potential change in prices associated with certain commodities used in the manufacturing of our products, including silver, gold, nickel, aluminum, copper, platinum, palladium, and zinc. The terms of these forward contracts fix the price at a future date for various notional amounts associated with these commodities. These instruments are not designated for hedge accounting treatment in accordance with ASC 815. Commodity forward contracts not designated as hedges are not speculative and are used to manage our exposure to commodity price movements.

Table of Contents

We had the following outstanding commodity forward contracts, none of which were designated as derivatives in qualifying hedging relationships, as of September 30, 2015:

Commodity	Notional	Remaining Contracted Periods	Weighted-Average Strike Price Per Unit
Silver	1,725,533 troy oz.	October 2015 - December 2017	\$17.44
Gold	12,589 troy oz.	October 2015 - December 2017	\$1,237.52
Nickel	549,238 pounds	October 2015 - December 2017	\$6.69
Aluminum	5,153,614 pounds	October 2015 - December 2017	\$0.88
Copper	8,608,875 pounds	October 2015 - December 2017	\$2.85
Platinum	7,117 troy oz.	October 2015 - December 2017	\$1,233.23
Palladium	1,082 troy oz.	October 2015 - December 2017	\$772.04
Zinc	854,997 pounds	October 2015 - October 2016	\$1.04

The notional amounts above represent the total quantities we have outstanding over the remaining contracted periods.

Financial Instrument Presentation

The following table presents the fair values of our derivative financial instruments and their classification in the condensed consolidated balance sheets as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

	Asset Derivatives			Liability Derivatives		
	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value September 30, 2015	Fair Value December 31, 2014	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value September 30, 2015	Fair Value December 31, 2014
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815						
Foreign currency forward contracts	Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$24,682	\$ 24,097	Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	\$15,603	\$ 6,332
Foreign currency forward contracts	Other assets	3,119	5,163	Other long-term liabilities	5,620	2,210
Total		\$27,801	\$ 29,260		\$21,223	\$ 8,542
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815						
Commodity forward contracts	Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$—	\$ 107	Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	\$12,296	\$ 10,591
Commodity forward contracts	Other assets	38	7	Other long-term liabilities	2,576	1,384
Foreign currency forward contracts	Prepaid expenses and other current assets	4,634	2,525	Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	1,989	1,114
Total		\$4,672	\$ 2,639		\$16,861	\$ 13,089

These fair value measurements are all categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. Refer to Note 11, "Fair Value Measures," for more detail on these measurements.

Table of Contents

The following tables present the effect of our derivative financial instruments on the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014:

Derivatives designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815	Amount of Deferred (Loss)/Gain Recognized in Other Comprehensive (Loss)/Income		Location of Net Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss into Net Income	Amount of Net Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss into Net Income	
	September 30, 2015	September 30, 2014		September 30, 2015	September 30, 2014
Interest rate caps	\$—	\$—	Interest expense	\$—	\$(232)
Foreign currency forward contracts	\$1,672	\$25,382	Net revenue	\$13,665	\$(822)
Foreign currency forward contracts	\$(13,816)	\$(1,871)	Cost of revenue	\$(2,576)	\$512

Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815	Amount of (Loss)/Gain on Derivatives Recognized in Net Income		Location of (Loss)/Gain on Derivatives Recognized in Net Income
	September 30, 2015	September 30, 2014	
Commodity forward contracts	\$(7,995)	\$(9,147)	Other, net
Foreign currency forward contracts	\$(939)	\$4,765	Other, net

The following tables present the effect of our derivative financial instruments on the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014:

Derivatives designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815	Amount of Deferred (Loss)/Gain Recognized in Other Comprehensive (Loss)/Income		Location of Net Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss into Net Income	Amount of Net Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss into Net Income	
	September 30, 2015	September 30, 2014		September 30, 2015	September 30, 2014
Interest rate caps	\$—	\$—	Interest expense	\$—	\$(972)
Foreign currency forward contracts	\$33,576	\$23,664	Net revenue	\$39,207	\$(5,543)
Foreign currency forward contracts	\$(17,600)	\$91	Cost of revenue	\$(5,820)	\$811

Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815	Amount of (Loss)/Gain on Derivatives Recognized in Net Income		Location of (Loss)/Gain on Derivatives Recognized in Net Income
	September 30, 2015	September 30, 2014	
Commodity forward contracts	\$(14,111)	\$(3,629)	Other, net
Foreign currency forward contracts	\$2,391	\$3,726	Other, net

Credit Risk Related Contingent Features

We have agreements with certain of our derivative counterparties that contain a provision whereby if we default on our indebtedness, and where repayment of the indebtedness has been accelerated by the lender, then we could also be declared in default on our derivative obligations.

As of September 30, 2015, the termination value of outstanding derivatives in a liability position, excluding any adjustment for non-performance risk, was \$39.0 million. As of September 30, 2015, we have not posted any cash collateral related to these agreements. If we breach any of the default provisions on any of our indebtedness, as described above, we could be required to settle our obligations under the derivative agreements at their termination values.

Table of Contents

13. Other, Net

Other, net consisted of the following for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014:

	For the three months ended September 30, September 30,		For the nine months ended September 30, September 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Currency remeasurement loss on net monetary assets	\$(1,944)	\$(4,461)	\$(7,672)	\$(4,411)
Loss on commodity forward contracts	(7,995)	(9,147)	(14,111)	(3,629)
(Loss)/gain on foreign currency forward contracts	(939)	4,765	2,391	3,726
Loss on debt financing	—	—	(25,538)	—
Other	73	265	283	206
Total Other, net	\$(10,805)	\$(8,578)	\$(44,647)	\$(4,108)

14. Segment Reporting

Prior to the fourth quarter of 2014, our two reportable segments, Performance Sensing and Sensing Solutions, were organized based on product families included in each segment (sensor products in the Performance Sensing segment and control products in the Sensing Solutions segment). In the fourth quarter of 2014, we realigned our segments as a result of organizational changes that better allocate our resources to support our ongoing business strategy. The portion of the Performance Sensing segment that has historically served the HVAC and industrial end-markets (the industrial sensing product line) was moved to the Sensing Solutions segment because this segment is active in the end-markets that this product line serves, and has available resources and capacity to drive content growth in existing channels and systems. We have recast our reportable segments for each of the periods presented to reflect this change. The realigned reportable segments are consistent with how management views the markets served by us and reflect the financial information that is reviewed by our chief operating decision maker. Our operating segments, Performance Sensing and Sensing Solutions, each of which is also a reportable segment, are businesses that we manage as components of an enterprise, for which separate information is available and is evaluated regularly by our chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and assess performance.

An operating segment's performance is primarily evaluated based on Segment operating income, which excludes share-based compensation expense, restructuring and special charges, and certain corporate costs not associated with the operations of the segment, including amortization expense and a portion of depreciation expense associated with assets recorded in connection with acquisitions. In addition, an operating segment's performance excludes results from discontinued operations, if any. Corporate costs excluded from an operating segment's performance are separately stated below and also include costs that are related to functional areas such as finance, information technology, legal, and human resources. We believe that Segment operating income, as defined above, is an appropriate measure for evaluating the operating performance of our segments. However, this measure should be considered in addition to, and not as a substitute for, or superior to, income from operations or other measures of financial performance prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The accounting policies of each of our two reporting segments are materially consistent with those in the summary of significant accounting policies as described in Note 2, "Significant Accounting Policies," included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

The following table presents Net revenue and Segment operating income for the reported segments and other operating results not allocated to the reported segments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014 (recast to reflect our realigned segments):

	For the three months ended September 30,		For the nine months ended September 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Net revenue:				
Performance Sensing	\$576,476	\$ 411,076	\$1,774,081	\$ 1,206,549
Sensing Solutions	150,884	166,019	474,409	497,993
Total net revenue	\$727,360	\$ 577,095	\$2,248,490	\$ 1,704,542
Segment operating income (as defined above):				
Performance Sensing	\$150,782	\$ 111,220	\$447,662	\$ 333,271
Sensing Solutions	49,734	51,601	151,069	153,569
Total segment operating income	200,516	162,821	598,731	486,840
Corporate and other	(46,839)	(30,176)	(147,814)	(83,859)
Amortization of intangible assets	(45,184)	(35,985)	(136,068)	(100,562)
Restructuring and special charges	(1,615)	(4,543)	(12,424)	(7,148)
Profit from operations	106,878	92,117	302,425	295,271
Interest expense, net	(29,706)	(23,553)	(96,029)	(70,063)
Other, net	(10,805)	(8,578)	(44,647)	(4,108)
Income before taxes	\$66,367	\$ 59,986	\$161,749	\$ 221,100

15. Net Income per Share

Basic and diluted net income per share are calculated by dividing Net income by the number of basic and diluted weighted-average ordinary shares outstanding during the period. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014, the weighted-average ordinary shares outstanding for basic and diluted net income per share were as follows:

	For the three months ended September 30,		For the nine months ended September 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Basic weighted-average ordinary shares outstanding	170,147	168,554	169,880	170,463
Dilutive effect of stock options	1,093	2,042	1,359	1,974
Dilutive effect of unvested restricted securities	368	169	273	174
Diluted weighted-average ordinary shares outstanding	171,608	170,765	171,512	172,611

Net income and net income per share are presented in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014, certain potential ordinary shares were excluded from our calculation of diluted weighted-average shares outstanding because they would have had an anti-dilutive effect on net income per share, or because they related to share-based awards associated with restricted securities that were contingently issuable, for which the contingency had not been satisfied.

	For the three months ended September 30,		For the nine months ended September 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Anti-dilutive shares excluded	1,160	773	707	747
Contingently issuable shares excluded	429	380	401	365

Table of Contents

16. Acquisitions

CST

On July 30, 2015, we entered into an agreement to acquire the sensing portfolio of Custom Sensors & Technologies, Inc. ("CST"), including the Kavlico, BEI, Crydom, and Newall product lines and brands, for a purchase price of approximately \$1.0 billion, subject to working capital and other adjustments. The transaction is subject to customary regulatory approvals and is expected to close in the fourth quarter of 2015. The acquisition includes sales, engineering, and manufacturing sites in the U.S., the U.K., Germany, France, China, and Mexico.

Kavlico is a provider of linear and rotary position sensors to aerospace original equipment manufacturers and Tier 1 suppliers and pressure sensors to the general industrial and HVOR markets. BEI provides harsh environment position sensors, optical and magnetic encoders, and motion control sensors to the industrial, aerospace, agricultural, and medical device markets. Crydom manufactures solid state relays for power control applications in industrial markets. Newall provides encoders and digital readouts to machinery and machine tool markets.

On July 30, 2015, STBV and ST Finance entered into an agreement pursuant to which certain financial institutions have committed to provide financing in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$1.0 billion in the form of unsecured senior notes and/or an unsecured senior bridge facility in order to fund this anticipated acquisition.

Schrader

On October 14, 2014, we completed the acquisition of all of the outstanding shares of August Cayman Company, Inc., an exempted company incorporated with limited liability under the laws of the Cayman Islands ("Schrader"), for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,004.7 million. Schrader is a global manufacturer of sensing and valve solutions for automotive manufacturers, including TPMS, and is being integrated into our Performance Sensing segment. We acquired Schrader to add TPMS and additional low pressure sensing capabilities to our current product portfolio. The following table summarizes the preliminary allocation of the purchase price to the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed: