

Employers Holdings, Inc.
Form DEF 14A
April 11, 2014

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
SCHEDULE 14A
Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities
Exchange Act of 1934
Filed by the Registrant
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
 - Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
 - Definitive Proxy Statement
 - Definitive Additional Materials
 - Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12
- EMPLOYERS HOLDINGS, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

- 1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
- 2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
- 3) Per unit price or other price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
- 4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
- 5) Total fee paid:

- Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form of Schedule and the date of its filing.

- 1) Amount Previously Paid:
- 2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

3) Filing Party:

4) Date Filed:

1

April 11, 2014

Dear Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Employers Holdings, Inc. The meeting will be held on Thursday, May 22, 2014, beginning at 9:30 a.m. Pacific Daylight Time at the Reno-Sparks Convention Center located at 4590 South Virginia Street, Reno, Nevada.

All stockholders of record of Employers Holdings, Inc. as of the close of business on March 24, 2014 are entitled to vote at the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

As described in the accompanying Notice and Proxy Statement, you will be asked to (i) elect three Directors for a three-year term expiring in 2017, (ii) vote on a non-binding resolution to approve the compensation paid to the Company's Named Executive Officers, and (iii) ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent accounting firm for 2014.

Employers Holdings, Inc.'s Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2013 is available at www.ematerials.com/eig.

We are pleased to continue to furnish proxy materials to our stockholders electronically over the Internet. We believe that this e-proxy process expedites stockholder receipt of proxy materials, lowers our costs associated with the production and distribution of proxy materials, and reduces the environmental impact of our Annual Meeting.

Your vote is very important to us. Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, we hope that you will vote as soon as possible. You may vote over the Internet, by telephone or, if you received printed proxy materials, by signing, dating, and returning a proxy card. You can revoke your proxy any time prior to the Annual Meeting and submit a new proxy as you deem necessary.

I look forward to seeing you at the Annual Meeting on May 22, 2014.

Sincerely,

Robert J. Kolesar

Chairman of the Board

America's small business insurance specialist.®

tel 775 327-2700 | 10375 PROFESSIONAL CIRCLE | RENO, NV 89521-4802 | www.employers.com

EMPLOYERS HOLDINGS, INC.

10375 Professional Circle

Reno, Nevada 89521-4802

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To be held on Thursday, May 22, 2014

The 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Employers Holdings, Inc. (the "Company") will be held on Thursday, May 22, 2014, beginning at 9:30 a.m. Pacific Daylight Time at the Reno-Sparks Convention Center located at 4590 South Virginia Street, Reno, Nevada for the following purposes:

1. To elect three Class II Directors to serve until the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders;
2. To hold an advisory (non-binding) vote to approve the compensation paid to the Company's Named Executive Officers;
3. To ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014; and
4. To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any postponement or adjournment thereof.

Only holders of the Company's common stock as of the close of business on March 24, 2014 have the right to receive notice of and to vote at the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and any postponement, adjournment or other delay thereof.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Lenard T. Ormsby

Executive Vice President, Secretary and Chief Legal Officer

April 11, 2014

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT. YOU MAY VOTE YOUR SHARES IN PERSON BY BALLOT AT THE ANNUAL MEETING, OVER THE INTERNET, BY TELEPHONE, OR BY RETURNING A SIGNED AND DATED PROXY CARD.

America's small business insurance specialist.®

tel 775 327-2700 | 10375 PROFESSIONAL CIRCLE | RENO, NV 89521-4802 | www.employers.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|-----------|
| PROXY STATEMENT | <u>1</u> |
| PROPOSAL ONE | <u>3</u> |
| NOMINEES FOR ELECTION AS CLASS II DIRECTORS WITH TERMS EXPIRING AT THE 2017 ANNUAL MEETING | <u>4</u> |
| CONTINUING DIRECTORS WHOSE TERMS EXPIRE AT THE 2015 ANNUAL MEETING | <u>5</u> |
| CONTINUING DIRECTORS WHOSE TERMS EXPIRE AT THE 2016 ANNUAL MEETING | <u>5</u> |
| THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND ITS COMMITTEES | <u>6</u> |
| CORPORATE GOVERNANCE | <u>10</u> |
| DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE | <u>10</u> |
| RISK OVERSIGHT | <u>11</u> |
| SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS REGARDING 2014 DIRECTORS AND NOMINEES | <u>12</u> |
| COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS | <u>13</u> |
| PROPOSAL TWO | <u>14</u> |
| PROPOSAL THREE | <u>16</u> |
| COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS | <u>16</u> |
| COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT | <u>26</u> |
| EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION | <u>27</u> |
| SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE | <u>27</u> |
| GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS | <u>28</u> |
| DIRECTOR COMPENSATION | <u>38</u> |
| COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION | <u>39</u> |
| CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS | <u>39</u> |
| SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT | <u>42</u> |
| SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE | <u>44</u> |
| AUDIT MATTERS | <u>44</u> |
| PRE-APPROVAL POLICIES AND PROCEDURES | <u>44</u> |
| GOVERNANCE DOCUMENT INFORMATION | <u>44</u> |
| SUBMISSION OF STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS | <u>44</u> |
| DISTRIBUTION INFORMATION | <u>45</u> |
| GENERAL | <u>46</u> |

2014 Proxy Statement Summary

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this proxy statement. This summary does not contain all of the information that you should consider, and you should read the entire proxy statement carefully before voting.

Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Time and Date 9:30 a.m. Pacific Daylight Time on Thursday, May 22, 2014
 Reno-Sparks Convention Center
 Place 4590 South Virginia Street
 Reno, Nevada
 Record date March 24, 2014
 Voting Stockholders as of the record date are entitled to vote. Each share of common stock is entitled to one vote for each director nominee and one vote for each of the other proposals to be voted on.
 Ways to Vote You may vote your shares in person by ballot at the annual meeting, over the Internet, by telephone, or by returning a signed and dated proxy card.

Meeting Agenda and Voting Matters

| | Board Vote Recommendation | Page Reference (for more detail) |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Election of Directors | FOR THE DIRECTOR NOMINEES | <u>5</u> |
| Other Management Proposals: | | |
| Advisory (non-binding) vote to approve executive compensation | FOR | <u>14</u> |
| Ratification of Ernst & Young LLP as independent auditor for 2014 | FOR | <u>16</u> |
| Transact other business that properly comes before the meeting | | |

Board Nominees

| Name | Age | Director Since | Principal Occupation | Independent | Committee Memberships | | | | |
|-------------------|-----|----------------|----------------------|-------------|-----------------------|------|----|----|----|
| | | | | | AC | BGNC | FC | CC | EC |
| Richard W. Blakey | 64 | 2005 | Orthopaedic Surgeon | Yes | | | | | |
| Douglas D. Dirks | 55 | 2005 | CEO | | | | | | |
| Robert J. Kolesar | 70 | 2005 | Attorney | Yes | | | | | |

AC Audit Committee
 FC Finance Committee

CC Compensation Committee
 EC Executive Committee
 BGNC Board Governance & Nominating Committee

Attendance In 2013, each of our directors attended at least 75% of the Board and committee meetings on which he or she sits during the time on which he or she was serving on the Board.
 Director Elections Each director nominee is elected by a plurality of votes cast.

Management Proposals

Advisory Resolution to Approve Executive Compensation. We are asking stockholders to approve, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, our named executive officer compensation. The Board recommends a FOR vote because it believes that our compensation program is one that rewards the achievement of specific financial goals, aligns executive officers' interests with those of our stockholders by rewarding performance for achievement of financial goals that we believe are linked to improved stock returns, and motivates our executives to increase stockholder value without encouraging excessive risk-taking.

1. Ratification of Selection of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. As a matter of good governance, we are asking stockholders to ratify the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent auditors for 2014.

2013 Compensation Actions

No Gross-Ups: None of our employment agreements contain tax gross-up provisions.

Increased Emphasis on Performance Shares: To improve the alignment of compensation with performance, our long-term incentive program is more heavily weighted toward performance shares and weighted less toward stock options and restricted stock units (RSUs). In 2013, performance shares, which were linked to the Company's three-year combined ratio performance relative to a comparator group, represented a greater percentage of the total value of our long-term incentive program than in 2012. Specifically, in 2013, 55% of the equity value granted to our Named Executive Officers ("NEOs") under our long-term incentive program was in performance share grants. By comparison, in 2012, 50% of this value was in performance share grants.

Used a Mix of Relative and Absolute Performance Metrics: We continued to use both relative and absolute combined ratio metrics to reward superior performance based on targeted performance and our performance relative to the performance of private carriers in an industry-related group.

Established Challenging Performance Goals: We annually evaluate our performance goals to ensure that they continue to motivate and adequately challenge our executives. In 2013, we increased the performance level of the goals applicable to our performance-related awards compared to 2012.

Executive Compensation Elements

- Base salary
- Annual bonuses
- Long-term incentives (performance shares, stock options and RSUs)
- Benefits and perquisites
- Employment agreements and compensation payable upon termination of employment

Other Key Compensation Features

Reasonable Perquisites and Benefits: We continue to provide a program that follows good compensation governance by providing our NEOs with limited perquisites and benefits consistent with our peer group.

Clawback (“Incentive Recovery”) Policy: We have a policy to recapture (or “clawback”) incentive compensation paid to our NEOs (see “Clawback Policy”).

Regular Annual Equity Grants: We have a policy of awarding equity grants during a regularly scheduled Compensation Committee meeting.

Long-term Vesting and Performance Requirements: Our 2013 awards of stock options and RSUs were granted with annual vesting over a four-year period, and our 2013 performance share awards cover a three-year performance period.

Stock Ownership Guidelines: We require our NEOs to attain and maintain competitive levels of Company stock ownership.

Hedging and Pledging Restrictions: We have policies restricting our NEOs from hedging or pledging Company equity securities, including securities granted under the Equity Plan.

2013 Named Executive Officers

Douglas D. Dirks, President & Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”)

William E. Yocke, Executive Vice President (“EVP”) & Chief Financial Officer (“CFO”)

Lenard T. Ormsby, EVP, Chief Legal Officer (“CLO”)

John P. Nelson, EVP, Chief Administrative Officer (“CAO”)

Stephen V. Festa, EVP, Chief Operating Officer (“COO”). Mr. Festa was promoted to COO, effective August 25, 2013.

2013 Summary Compensation

| Name and Principal Position | Salary(\$) | Bonus (\$) | Stock Awards (\$) | Option Awards (\$) | Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) | Change in Pension Value and Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$) | All Other Compensation (\$) | Total (\$) |
|---|------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------|---|---|-----------------------------|------------|
| Douglas D. Dirks President and Chief Executive Officer | 808,387 | — | 486,259 | 254,848 | 432,208 | — | 65,554 | 2,047,256 |
| William E. Yocke Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer | 439,151 | — | 100,035 | 77,440 | 160,637 | — | 45,650 | 822,913 |
| Lenard T. Ormsby Executive Vice President and Chief Legal Officer | 439,151 | — | 100,035 | 77,440 | 160,637 | — | 44,263 | 821,526 |
| John P. Nelson Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer | 334,391 | — | 82,251 | 63,360 | 112,284 | — | 44,615 | 636,901 |
| Stephen V. Festa Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer | 329,363 | — | 42,237 | 31,680 | 99,458 | — | 32,329 | 535,067 |

EMPLOYERS HOLDINGS, INC.

10375 Professional Circle
Reno, Nevada 89521-4802

PROXY STATEMENT

This Proxy Statement, the accompanying proxy card and the 2013 Annual Report to stockholders of Employers Holdings, Inc. (the "Company" or "Employers Holdings") are being made available on or about April 11, 2014 in connection with the solicitation on behalf of the Board of Directors of Employers Holdings of proxies to be voted at the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on Thursday, May 22, 2014, and any postponement(s), adjournment(s) or other delays thereof (the "Annual Meeting"). All holders of Employers Holdings common stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the "common stock"), as of the close of business on March 24, 2014 (the "Record Date") are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. Each stockholder on the Record Date is entitled to one vote at the Annual Meeting for each share of common stock held. On the Record Date, there were 31,355,361 shares of common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting.

Pursuant to rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), we are providing access to our proxy materials over the Internet. On or about April 11, 2014, we mailed to our stockholders a "Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials" (the "Notice"), which tells stockholders how to access and review the information contained in the proxy materials and how to submit their proxies over the Internet or by telephone. We believe that utilizing this e-proxy process expedites stockholder receipt of proxy materials, lowers the costs associated with the production and distribution of proxy materials, and reduces the environmental impact of our Annual Meeting. You may not receive a printed copy of the proxy materials unless you request the materials by following the instructions included in the Notice. In addition, by following the instructions included in the Notice, stockholders may request to receive proxy materials in printed form by mail or electronically by email on an ongoing basis. Your election to receive proxy materials in printed form by mail or by email will remain in effect until you terminate such election. Unless otherwise required by applicable law or the Company's Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws, both as amended and restated, a majority of the voting power of the issued and outstanding common stock entitled to vote, including the voting power that is represented in person or by proxy, regardless of whether any such proxy has the authority to vote on all matters, shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the Annual Meeting. Shares of common stock represented in person or by proxy (including broker non-votes and shares that abstain or do not vote with respect to one or more of the proposals to be voted upon) will be counted for the purpose of determining whether a quorum exists. There are no cumulative voting rights.

If you own shares of common stock held in a "street name" by a bank or brokerage firm and you do not instruct your bank or broker how to vote your shares using the instructions that your bank or broker provides to you, your bank or broker may not be able to vote your shares. If you give your bank or broker instructions, your shares will be voted as you direct. If you do not give instructions, whether the bank or broker can vote your shares depends on whether the proposal is considered "routine" or "non-routine" under New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") rules. If a proposal is routine, a bank or broker holding shares for a beneficial owner in street name may vote on the proposal without voting instructions from the beneficial owner. If a proposal is non-routine, the bank or broker may vote on the proposal only if the beneficial owner of the shares has provided voting instructions. A broker non-vote occurs when the bank or broker is unable to vote on a proposal because the proposal is non-routine and the beneficial owner does not provide instructions. The proposal to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent accounting firm is the only proposal at the Annual Meeting that is considered routine. If your shares are held in a "street name" and you wish to attend the Annual Meeting in person, you must bring an account statement or letter from your bank or broker showing that you are the beneficial owner of your shares as of the Record Date in order to be admitted to the Annual Meeting. If you hold your shares in "street name", and wish to vote by ballot at the Annual Meeting, you must bring a "legal proxy" from your bank or broker.

Directors are elected by a plurality of the votes cast. In the election of Directors, broker non-votes will be disregarded and have no effect on the outcome of the vote.

Approval of the non-binding vote to approve the compensation paid to the Company's Named Executive Officers requires the number of votes cast in favor of the proposal to exceed the number of votes cast in opposition to the

proposal. The results of this vote are not binding on the Board of Directors. For the non-binding vote to approve the compensation paid to the Company's Named Executive Officers, abstentions from voting and broker non-votes, if any, will be disregarded and have no effect on the outcome of the vote.

Approval of the proposal to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent accounting firm requires the number of votes cast in favor of the proposal to exceed the number of votes cast in opposition to the proposal. Abstentions from voting and broker non-votes, if any, will be disregarded and have no effect on the outcome of the vote.

You may vote your shares in any of the following ways:

by telephone at 1-866-883-3382 anytime before 11:59 p.m., Central Daylight Time, on Wednesday, May 21, 2014;

by the Internet at <http://www.proxypush.com/eig> anytime before 11:59 p.m., Central Daylight Time, on Wednesday, May 21, 2014;

by signing and dating the enclosed proxy card and returning it to the Company as soon as possible in the enclosed postage prepaid envelope; or

in person by ballot at the Annual Meeting.

If you vote by proxy, you may revoke your proxy at any time before it is voted at the Annual Meeting. You may do this by:

delivering a written notice (before the Annual Meeting) revoking your proxy to the Secretary of the Company at the above address;

delivering a new proxy (before the Annual Meeting) bearing a date after the date of the proxy being revoked; or

voting in person by ballot at the Annual Meeting.

All properly executed proxies, unless revoked as described above, will be voted at the Annual Meeting in accordance with your directions on the proxy. If a properly executed proxy gives no specific instructions, the shares of common stock represented by that proxy will be voted:

FOR the election of three Director nominees to serve three-year terms expiring at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders;

FOR approval of the compensation paid to the Company's Named Executive Officers;

FOR ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent accounting firm for 2014; and

at the discretion of the proxyholders with regard to any other matter that is properly presented at the Annual Meeting.

In accordance with the Company's Bylaws, Robert Kolesar, Chairman of the Board, has appointed Kevin Kelly of Morrow & Co., LLC, or his designee, and Mark Henning of Wells Fargo Shareowner Services, or his designee, to be the inspectors of election at the Annual Meeting. The inspectors of election are not officers or Directors of the Company. They will receive and canvass the votes given at the Annual Meeting and certify the results. You may contact Tanya Yamagata at (775) 327-2764 for directions to the Reno-Sparks Convention Center, 4590 South Virginia Street, Reno, Nevada, the site of the Annual Meeting.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be Held on May 22, 2014.

The Proxy Statement and Annual Report to stockholders are available at www.proxydocs.com/eig.

PROPOSAL ONE
ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Company's full Board of Directors (the "Board of Directors" or the "Board") consists of nine directors. On September 26, 2013, James R. Kroner and Michael J. McSally were appointed to the Boards of Employers Holdings, Inc. ("EHI" or "Company") and its wholly-owned subsidiary Employers Group, Inc. ("EGI") as Class I directors with terms scheduled to expire at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of EHI and EGI.

The Board is divided into three classes, with each currently having three Directors. The Directors in each class serve for a three-year term and until their successors are duly elected and qualified. The terms of each class expire at successive annual meetings so that the stockholders elect one class of Directors at each annual meeting. Currently, Class II, Class III and Class I terms expire in 2014, 2015, and 2016, respectively.

The election of the Class II Directors will take place at the Annual Meeting. At its meeting on February 19, 2014, the Board, with each nominee abstaining on the vote for himself, approved the recommendation of the Board Governance and Nominating Committee that each of the current Class II Directors be nominated for a three-year term. All three nominees are current members of the Board. All properly executed proxies will be voted for these nominees unless contrary instructions are properly made, in which case the proxy will be voted in accordance with such instructions. Should any of the nominees become unable or unwilling to serve, the proxies will be voted for the election of such person(s) as shall be recommended by the Board. The Board has no reason to believe that the nominees will be unable to serve. The nominees have consented to being named in this Proxy Statement and to serve as a Director if elected.

The current composition of the Board is:

| | |
|---|--|
| Class II Directors (term expiring at the 2014 Annual Meeting) | Robert J. Kolesar Douglas D. Dirks Richard W. Blakey |
|---|--|

| | |
|---|--|
| Class III Directors (serving until the 2015 Annual Meeting) | Ronald F. Mosher Katherine W. Ong Valerie R. Glenn |
|---|--|

| | |
|---|---|
| Class I Directors (serving until the 2016 Annual Meeting) | Michael D. Rumbolz James R. Kroner Michael J. McSally |
|---|---|

Pursuant to the rules promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), the following information lists, as to nominees for Director and Directors whose terms of office will continue after the Annual Meeting, the principal occupation, age, the year in which each first became a Director of Employers Holdings or its predecessor, the year in which each person first became a Director of any Employers Holdings subsidiary or its predecessor, and directorships in registered investment companies or companies having securities that are registered pursuant to, or that are subject to certain provisions of, the Exchange Act. Except as otherwise indicated, each nominee or continuing Director has had the same principal occupation or employment during the past five years. The information provided is as of January 2014, unless otherwise indicated.

Employers Holdings is the name of our Company resulting from the completion of the conversion of EIG Mutual Holding Company ("EIG") from a Nevada mutual holding company to a Nevada stock corporation on February 5, 2007. EIG and its wholly-owned direct subsidiary, Employers Insurance Group, Inc. ("EIGI") (now known as Employers Group, Inc. ("EGI")), were formed on April 1, 2005 in conjunction with the conversion of Employers Insurance Company of Nevada, A Mutual Company ("EICN"), into a Nevada stock corporation. EICN commenced operations as a private mutual insurance company on January 1, 2000, when it assumed the assets, liabilities and operations of the former Nevada State Industrial Insurance System (the "Fund") pursuant to legislation passed in the 1999 Nevada Legislature. Employers Compensation Insurance Company ("ECIC"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of EICN, commenced operations when we acquired renewal rights and certain other tangible and intangible assets from

Fremont Compensation Insurance Group and its affiliates (“Fremont”) in 2002. Employers Preferred Insurance Company (“EPIC”) (formerly known as AmComp Preferred Insurance Company) and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Employers Assurance Company (“EAC”) (formerly known as AmComp Assurance Corporation), commenced operations under their new names when we completed the acquisition of AmCOMP Incorporated (“AmCOMP”) on October 31, 2008. In connection with the acquisition of AmCOMP, we also acquired EIG Services, Inc. (formerly known as Pinnacle Administrative Services, Inc.), Pinnacle Benefits, Inc. and AmSERV, Inc. which, with EPIC, are wholly-owned subsidiaries of EGI. Pinnacle Benefits, Inc. and AmSERV, Inc. merged into EIG Services, Inc. effective June 3, 2013.

Nominees for Election as Class II Directors With Terms Expiring at the 2017 Annual Meeting

Robert J. Kolesar, age 70, has served as a Director of Employers Holdings, EGI and their predecessors since their creation in April 2005; a Director of EICN since January 2000; a Director of ECIC since August 2002; and a Director of EPIC, EAC, EIG Services, Inc. since November 2008, and Pinnacle Benefits, Inc. from November 2008 to June 2013 when it merged into EIG Services, Inc. He has been the Chairman of the Board of Employers Holdings, EGI and their predecessors since 2005, Chairman of the Board of EICN and ECIC since 2004, and Chairman of the Board of EPIC, EAC, EIG Services, Inc. since November 2008 and Pinnacle Benefits, Inc. from November 2008 to June 2013. Mr. Kolesar has been a founding/managing shareholder of the Las Vegas, Nevada law firm of Kolesar & Leatham since 1986. Mr. Kolesar practices in the fields of real estate, corporation, banking, finance, and fiduciary/trust law. Prior to entering into private practice in 1986, Mr. Kolesar held General Counsel and/or Senior Legal Staff positions in Nevada at Valley Bank of Nevada (now Bank of America), and in Cleveland, Ohio at Cardinal Federal Savings and Loan Association, The Ameritrust Company (now KeyBank) and Forest City Enterprises, Inc. He has served on the Board of HELP of Southern Nevada, the Las Vegas Symphony, and the National Conference for Community and Justice. Mr. Kolesar has multiple group memberships, including the National Association of Industrial and Office Parks and the International Council of Shopping Centers. He is a member of the American Bar Association and the Nevada and Clark County Bar Associations. Mr. Kolesar received a B.A. degree from John Carroll University and a J.D. degree from Case Western Reserve University.

Douglas D. Dirks, age 55, has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Employers Holdings, EGI and their predecessors since their creation in April 2005 and as President and Chief Executive Officer of EICN, ECIC, EPIC, and EAC since February 1, 2011. He has served as Chief Executive Officer of EICN and ECIC since January 2006 and as Chief Executive Officer of EPIC, EAC, EIG Services, Inc. since November 2008, as well as Pinnacle Benefits, Inc., and AmSERV, Inc. from November 2008 to June 2013 when they merged into EIG Services, Inc. He served as President and Chief Executive Officer of EICN from January 2000 until January 2006, and served as President and Chief Executive Officer of ECIC from May 2002 until January 2006. Mr. Dirks has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Elite Insurance Services, Inc. (“EIS”) since 2002 and Employers Occupational Health, Inc. (“EOH”) from 2002 to January 2014 when it merged into EIG Services, Inc. He has been a Director of Employers Holdings, EGI and their predecessors since April 2005, a Director of EIS since 1999, EICN since December 1999, EOH from 2000 to January 2014, ECIC since May 2002, and a Director of EPIC, EAC, EIG Services, Inc. since November 2008, and Pinnacle Benefits, Inc. and AmSERV, Inc. from November 2008 to June 2013. Mr. Dirks was the Chief Executive Officer of the Fund from 1995 to 1999 and its Chief Financial Officer from 1993 to 1995. Prior to joining the Fund, he served in senior insurance regulatory positions and as an advisor to the Nevada Governor's Office. Mr. Dirks also has worked in the public accounting and investment banking industries and is a licensed Certified Public Accountant in the state of Texas. He presently serves on the Board of Governors of the Property Casualty Insurers Association of America and Board of Directors of the Nevada Insurance Education Foundation. Mr. Dirks holds B.A. and M.B.A. degrees from the University of Texas and a J.D. degree from the University of South Dakota.

Richard W. Blakey, age 64, has served as a Director of Employers Holdings, EGI and their predecessors since their creation in April 2005. He was also a Director of EICN from January 2000 to March 2007 and a Director of ECIC from August 2002 to May 2004. Dr. Blakey is a practicing board certified orthopaedic surgeon with and a Director and former Chairman of the Board of the Reno Orthopaedic Clinic, and Chairman of the Board of Healthy Families Foundation, and part owner of the Reno Orthopaedic Surgery Center. He is a member of the American Academy of

Orthopedic Surgeons, Nevada State Medical Association, and Washoe County Medical Society. Dr. Blakey actively practices at, and is affiliated with, Saint Mary's Regional Medical Center, Northern Nevada Medical Center, and Renown Regional Medical Center. He has served as Chairman of the Board of the Reno Spine Center. Dr. Blakey is

a Board certified orthopedic surgeon. He received a B.S. degree from the California Institute of Technology and his medical degree from the University of Southern California, School of Medicine.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE NOMINEES LISTED ABOVE.

Continuing Directors Whose Terms Expire at the 2015 Annual Meeting

Ronald F. Mosher, age 70, has served as a Director of Employers Holdings, EGI and their predecessors since their creation in April 2005. He was also a Director of EICN from December 2003 to March 2007 and a Director of ECIC from December 2003 to May 2004. Mr. Mosher has extensive experience in the insurance industry and served as a senior executive with AEGON N.V. from 1983 until his retirement in 2003. He also works as a consultant in the insurance industry. Mr. Mosher currently is a Director of Transamerica Life (Bermuda), Ltd., WFG Reinsurance (Bermuda) Ltd. and has previously served on several other insurance company boards. Mr. Mosher is a Certified Public Accountant, a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, and the National Association of Corporate Directors. Mr. Mosher earned a B.S. degree from the University of Denver and an M.B.A. degree from Cornell University.

Katherine W. Ong, age 55, has served as a Director of Employers Holdings, EGI and their predecessors since their creation in April 2005. She was also a Director of EICN from January 2000 to March 2007 and a Director of ECIC from August 2002 to May 2004. Since January 1996, she has been the co-founder and Director of Hobbs, Ong & Associates, Inc., a financial consulting group specializing in advisory services for municipal bond financings, problem solving and support. Prior to 1996, she was the Budget Manager for Clark County, Nevada. Ms. Ong is a member of the Government Finance Officer's Association. In 2011, Ms. Ong was appointed to the Nevada Public Employees' Retirement System Board by Governor Brian Sandoval. Ms. Ong received a B.S. degree from the University of Nevada Las Vegas.

Valerie R. Glenn, age 59, has served as a Director of Employers Holdings and its predecessor since April 2006 and EGI since February 2007. Ms. Glenn is CEO and majority owner of MPR, dba The Glenn Group, one of the largest privately held marketing communications firms in Nevada. Ms. Glenn had been co-owner and publisher of Visitor Publications, Inc., which published the Reno/Tahoe Visitor, from January 1998 until May 2012 when she sold the company to Morris Publishing. She was a founding partner in the advertising sales firm of Kelley-Rose Advertising, Inc. from 1981 to 1994. Ms. Glenn began her advertising career in San Francisco in 1976 with international advertising agency Dancer Fitzgerald Sample. Ms. Glenn graduated from the University of Nevada, Reno with a B.A. degree. Ms. Glenn is a past chairman of the boards of the Nevada Museum of Art, Economic Development Authority of Western Nevada (EDAWN), Reno-Sparks Chamber of Commerce, Nevada Women's Fund, and University of Nevada College of Business Advisory Board. She currently serves as Vice President of the Nevada Chapter of the International Women's Forum and on the Las Vegas Metro Chamber of Commerce Government Affairs Committee.

Continuing Directors Whose Terms Expire at the 2016 Annual Meeting

Michael D. Rumbolz, age 59, has served as a Director of Employers Holdings, EGI and their predecessors since their creation in April 2005. He was also a Director of EICN from January 2000 to March 2007 and a Director of ECIC from August 2002 to May 2004. Mr. Rumbolz has over 30 years of experience in the gaming industry. He was Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Cash Systems, Inc., a public company, from January 2005 until the company was purchased by Global Cash Access Holdings, Inc. ("GCA"), a publicly traded provider of cash access and data intelligence services and solutions to the gaming industry, in August of 2008. He was the Corporate Strategy Advisor to the CEO of GCA from September 2008 until September 2010 when he joined the GCA board of directors and the board of directors of its wholly-owned subsidiary Global Cash Access, Inc. He also was a member of the Board of Directors of Herbst Gaming, LLC beginning in January 2011 upon its emergence from bankruptcy. The company was then renamed Affinity Gaming, LLC and then to Affinity Gaming when it converted from an LLC to a corporation. Mr. Rumbolz resigned from the Affinity Gaming Board of Directors, effective April 1, 2013. He has been a Director of Seminole Hard Rock Entertainment, Inc. since 2008 and is also a manager to various Hard Rock LLCs. He has been Managing Director of Acme Gaming LLC, a gaming consultancy service, since July 2001. He joined the Board of Directors of Open Wager, LLC in March of 2013. He has also been a Director on the Board of Trustees of Nathan Adelson Hospice and its subsidiaries since 2000. He was Vice Chairman and a member of the Board of Casino

Data Systems, a public company, from March 2000 to July 2001 when it was acquired by Aristocrat. He was President and

5

Chief Executive Officer of Anchor Gaming, a public company, from 1995 to 2000 and Director of Corporate Development for Circus Circus Enterprises, Inc. from late 1992 to June 1995, including serving as the first President and Managing Director of Windsor Casino Limited, a consortium company owned by Hilton Hotel Corp., Circus Circus Enterprises, Inc. and Caesars World. Mr. Rumbolz also held various executive positions with Trump Hotels & Casino Resorts. In addition to his corporate experience, Mr. Rumbolz was the former Chief Deputy Attorney General and the former Chairman of the Nevada Gaming Control Board. He received a B.A. degree with distinction from the University of Nevada, Las Vegas and a J.D. degree from the University of Southern California, Gould School of Law.

James R. Kroner, age 52, has served as a Director of Employers Holdings and EGI since September 2013. Mr. Kroner was formerly the Chief Financial Officer and Chief Investment Officer of Endurance Specialty Holdings Ltd. (“Endurance”) which he co-founded in 2001 and from which he retired in December 2005. In addition, he served on its Executive Committee and its Board of Directors. Since his retirement, Mr. Kroner has served as a consultant to various insurance companies and as a private investor. Mr. Kroner recently served on the Boards of Terra Industries Inc. (“Terra”) and Global Indemnity plc (“Global Indemnity”) and its U.S. insurance subsidiaries. He served as a member of the Audit Committees of Terra and Global Indemnity and Chair of Global Indemnity’s Investment Committee and 162(m) Committee. Prior to founding Endurance, Mr. Kroner was Managing Director at Fox Paine & Company LLC and served as a Managing Director and co-head of insurance industry investment banking in the Americas for JP Morgan & Co. Mr. Kroner received a Bachelor’s Degree in International Relations from Northwestern University and a Master’s Degree in Management from the Northwestern Kellogg School.

Michael J. McSally, age 56, has served as a Director of Employers Holdings and EGI since September 2013. Mr. McSally retired in 2010 and is currently a private investor with focus on insurance and related businesses. In December 2013, Mr. McSally became the majority owner and non-executive Chairman of Provider Insurance Group, LLC. Mr. McSally served as Senior Vice President at OneBeacon Insurance Group (“OneBeacon”) and through his career at OneBeacon, led commercial lines, distribution and agency management and personal lines from 2001 through 2010. Prior to that, he served as President and Chief Operating Officer of John Hancock’s property and casualty operations, as Chief Executive Officer of Commercial Union York Insurance Company, and as President and Chief Executive Officer of York Insurance Company of Maine. He has also served on several boards of directors of privately held insurance companies and was an Executive Partner of Charter Oak Capital Partners, LP. Mr. McSally received a Bachelor’s Degree from Providence College, is a Fellow of the Casualty Actuarial Society and is a member of the American Academy of Actuaries.

The Company's Bylaws provide that no person (other than a person nominated by, or on behalf of, the Board or any authorized committee thereof) will be eligible to be elected a Director at an annual meeting of stockholders, or at any special meeting of stockholders called for the purpose of electing Directors, unless a written stockholder's notice, in proper form, is received by the Corporate Secretary not less than 90 days nor more than 120 days prior to the anniversary date of the immediately preceding annual meeting of stockholders. If the annual meeting is not called for a date that is within 25 days of the anniversary date of the immediately preceding annual meeting, a stockholder's notice must be given not later than the close of business 10 days after the date on which notice of the annual meeting was mailed or public disclosure of the date of the annual meeting was made, whichever occurs first. To be in proper written form, a stockholder's notice must include, among other things, the information specified in the Bylaws about each nominee and the stockholder making the nomination. The notice also must be accompanied by a written consent of each proposed nominee to being named as a nominee and to serve as a Director if elected.

Separate procedures have been established for stockholders to submit Director candidates for consideration by the Board Governance and Nominating Committee. These procedures are described below under the subsection “Board Governance and Nominating Committee.”

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND ITS COMMITTEES

Board of Directors. During the year ended December 31, 2013, there were twelve meetings of Employers Holdings' Board of Directors. Each Director attended at least 75% of the aggregate of the meetings of the Board of Directors and the committees of the Board on which such members served during 2013. The Company has the following standing

Committees: Audit Committee, Board Governance and Nominating Committee, Compensation Committee, Executive Committee, and Finance Committee.

Directors are expected to attend the Annual Meeting. All Directors attended the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the “2013 Annual Meeting”).

Board Committees

In 2010, the Board Governance and Nominating Committee recommended and the Board of Directors approved a process whereby the Committee assignments and the Chairs of various Committees would rotate on a more frequent basis. This process is intended to provide the directors with additional exposure to the responsibilities of the various Committees and increase the depth of their experience. This process generally includes the requirement that an incoming Chair of a Committee must either be on the Committee for at least one year before assuming the duties of the Chair or must have prior experience on that Committee. The changes to the Committee and Chair assignments generally occur each year following the Annual Meeting. Having two outgoing directors prior to the 2013 Annual Meeting, changes were made to the Committee and Chair Assignments after the Annual Meeting and then again in September 2013 when two new directors were appointed. The following table reflects the Committee and Chair assignments effective September 27, 2013.

The following table summarizes the membership of the Board's standing committees and the independence of the Company's Directors.

| Name of Director | Independent Director | Audit | Board Governance and Nominating | Finance | Compensation | Executive |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------|---------------------------------|---------|--------------|-----------|
| Richard W. Blakey | Yes | ü | ü | — | — | — |
| Douglas D. Dirks | — | — | — | ü | — | ü |
| Valerie R. Glenn | Yes | — | — | (C)ü | — | ü |
| Robert J. Kolesar | Yes | — | — | — | ü | (C)ü |
| James R. Kroner | Yes | — | — | ü | ü | — |
| Michael J. McSally | Yes | ü | ü | — | — | — |
| Ronald F. Mosher | Yes | (C)ü | — | — | — | ü |
| Katherine W. Ong | Yes | — | (C)ü | — | — | ü |
| Michael D. Rumbolz | Yes | — | — | — | (C)ü | ü |
| Number of Meetings Held in 2013 | | 11 | 10 | 7 | 9 | — |

(C) denotes committee chair

Audit Committee

This committee currently consists of Messrs. Mosher, Chair, Blakey and McSally. The Company's Audit Committee satisfies the independence and other requirements of the NYSE and the SEC. Each member of the Audit Committee is financially literate. In addition, the Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Mosher is an “audit committee financial expert” within the meaning of Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The Audit Committee assists the Board in monitoring the integrity of our financial statements, our independent auditors' qualifications and independence, the performance of our internal audit function and independent auditors, and our compliance with legal requirements. The Audit Committee also prepared the Audit Committee Report included in this Proxy Statement on page 46. The Audit Committee has direct responsibility for the appointment, compensation, retention, termination, and oversight of our independent auditors, and our independent auditors report directly to the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee reviews and evaluates, at least annually, the performance of the Audit Committee and its members, including its compliance with the Audit Committee Charter. A copy of the Audit Committee Charter is available on our website at www.employers.com. The Company will provide a print copy of the Charter to any stockholder who requests it. The Audit Committee met eleven times in 2013.

Board Governance and Nominating Committee

This committee currently consists of Ms. Ong, Chair, Messrs. Blakey and McSally. Our Board Governance and Nominating Committee satisfies the independence and other requirements of the NYSE and the SEC. The purpose of

the Board Governance and Nominating Committee is to identify and select qualified individuals to become members of the Board of Directors and its committees, to determine the composition of the Board of Directors and its committees, to recommend to the Board a slate of Director nominees for each annual meeting of stockholders, to develop and recommend to the Board of Directors sound corporate governance policies and procedures, to review succession plans of the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and to oversee the evaluation of the Board and committees.

The Board Governance and Nominating Committee will consider Director candidates recommended by stockholders. In considering candidates recommended by stockholders, the Board Governance and Nominating Committee will take into consideration the needs of the Board and the qualifications of the candidate. To have a candidate considered by the Board Governance and Nominating Committee, a stockholder must submit the recommendation in writing and must include the following information:

as to each person the stockholder recommends as a Director:

the name, age, business address and residence address of the person;

the principal occupation or employment of the person;

the class or series and number of shares of capital stock of the Company which are owned beneficially or of record by the person; and

the other information relating to the person that would be required to be disclosed in a proxy statement or other filings required to be made in connection with solicitations of proxies for election of Directors pursuant to Section 14 of the Exchange Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder; and

as to the stockholder making the recommendation:

the name and record address of such stockholder;

the class or series and number of shares of capital stock of the Company that are owned beneficially or of record by such stockholder;

a description of all arrangements or understandings between such stockholder and each proposed nominee and any other person or persons (including their names) pursuant to which the nomination(s) are made by such stockholder; and

any other information relating to such stockholder that would be required to be disclosed in a proxy statement or other filings required to be made in connection with solicitations of proxies for election of Directors pursuant to Section 14 of the Exchange Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

Such recommendation must be accompanied by a written consent of each proposed nominee to being named as a nominee and to serve as a Director if elected.

The Board Governance and Nominating Committee may, if it determines to do so, utilize a search firm to assist in its review of any potential Director candidates and will evaluate Director candidates recommended by stockholders in the same manner as other candidates, in addition to considering the needs of the Board. During the past year, the Committee retained the National Association of Corporate Directors and Russell Reynolds Associates to assist in Director searches. Russell Reynolds initially identified Messrs. Kroner and McSally as possible candidates for the Board. Any Director recommendations by stockholders for consideration by the Board Governance and Nominating Committee must include the above-identified information and should be addressed to the Corporate Secretary at the address above.

Following verification of the stockholder status of person(s) recommending the candidate(s), the Board Governance and Nominating Committee will consider the recommendations at a regularly scheduled meeting. If any materials are provided by a stockholder in connection with the recommendation of a Director candidate, such materials will be forwarded to the Board Governance and Nominating Committee.

The Board Governance and Nominating Committee has adopted Procedures and Criteria for Nomination as a Director (the "Procedures") to assist the Committee in reviewing and evaluating Director nominees. The Procedures identify

qualifications that should be considered when comparing and evaluating Director nominees from any source. The general criteria include background and experience, and an appropriate mix of professional experience and/or training in accounting, finance, technology, management, marketing, securities, and the law. The specific criteria to be reviewed by the Board Governance and Nominating Committee include, but are not limited to, the following: integrity; ability to work with others; experience at a senior level in a particular industry; commitment; financial literacy; an understanding of board governance; no conflict of interest with the Company; and the ability to satisfy the independence requirements of the NYSE and the SEC. The Board Governance and Nominating Committee also may seek to have the Board represent a diversity of backgrounds and experience. Although the Board Governance and Nominating Committee does not have a written diversity policy, it considers diversity of knowledge, skills and professional experience as factors in evaluating candidates for the Board. The Board Governance and Nominating Committee assesses its achievement of diversity through its review of Board composition as part of the annual Board self-evaluation process. The Board Governance and Nominating Committee is responsible for assessing the appropriate balance of the various criteria required of Board members.

The Board Governance and Nominating Committee reviews and evaluates, at least annually, the performance of the Board Governance and Nominating Committee and its members, including its compliance with the Board Governance and Nominating Committee Charter. A copy of the Board Governance and Nominating Committee Charter is available on our website at www.employers.com. The Company will provide a print copy of this Charter to any stockholder who requests it. The Board Governance and Nominating Committee met ten times in 2013.

Compensation Committee

This committee currently consists of Messrs. Rumbolz, Chair, Kolesar and Kroner. Our Compensation Committee satisfies the independence and other requirements of the NYSE and the SEC. This committee determines the details of the compensation package for the Chief Executive Officer and other Executive Officers, with advice and recommendations from the Chief Executive Officer with respect to the compensation packages of the other Executive Officers; establishes the total compensation philosophy and strategy for the Company and its Board; administers our equity and incentive plan, incentive and discretionary bonuses, 401(k) plan and other benefits plans; and approves the salaries and bonuses for Executive Officers. This committee may delegate to one or more of its members or to one or more executive officers or other agents those administrative duties it may deem advisable (including the authority to grant awards under the equity and incentive plan to non-officers), and this committee or its delegate may employ one or more persons to render advice with respect to any responsibility this committee or such person may have under the plan. The Compensation Committee prepared the Compensation Committee Report included in this Proxy Statement on page 29.

The Compensation Committee retained the services of Pay Governance, LLP ("Pay Governance") to advise it. We paid Pay Governance \$87,078 for executive compensation services that it performed for the Compensation Committee in 2013. The Company did not retain Pay Governance to provide any services other than those related to executive and director compensation. Management did not retain a separate compensation consultant for the purposes of determining compensation for any of the NEOs in 2013.

In May 2013 and January 2014, the Compensation Committee assessed the independence of Pay Governance and confirmed that the Compensation Committee's engagement of Pay Governance and the work performed by Pay Governance for the Compensation Committee have not raised any conflicts of interest. The Compensation Committee's conclusions were based on the factors set forth by the SEC and in the NYSE Listing Standards (as defined below) and any other factors deemed relevant by the Compensation Committee for this purpose.

In 2008, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors adopted stock ownership guidelines that require our non-employee directors to own a minimum number of shares of our common stock equal to three times the directors' annual cash retainer. The non-employee directors may accumulate the number of shares necessary to meet the minimum stock ownership level during the first three years after becoming a non-employee director.

The Compensation Committee reviews and evaluates, at least annually, the performance of the Compensation Committee and its members, including its compliance with the Compensation Committee Charter. A copy of the Compensation Committee Charter is available on our website at www.employers.com. The Company will provide a

print copy of this Charter to any stockholder who requests it. The Compensation Committee met nine times in 2013.

9

Executive Committee

This committee currently consists of Messrs. Kolesar, Chair, Rumbolz, Dirks, Mosher, Ms. Ong and Ms. Glenn. The Executive Committee functions on behalf of the Board of Directors, acting with respect to ordinary course matters, during intervals between meetings of the Board of Directors, as necessary. The Executive Committee performs a Committee evaluation in the years in which it meets. The Executive Committee did not meet in 2013.

Finance Committee

This committee currently consists of Ms. Glenn, Chair, and Messrs. Dirks and Kroner. The Finance Committee reviews and makes recommendations to the Board of Directors with respect to certain of our financial affairs and policies, including investments, investment policies and guidelines, financial planning, capital structure and management, stock dividend policy and dividends, stock repurchases, and strategic plans and transactions. The Finance Committee reviews and evaluates, at least annually, the performance of the Finance Committee and its members, including its compliance with the Finance Committee Charter. The Finance Committee met seven times in 2013.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The Board has adopted the Corporate Governance Guidelines (the "Guidelines") of Employers Holdings, which are available on our website at www.employers.com, and the Company will furnish a print copy to any stockholder who requests it. These Guidelines were adopted to assist the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities and are in compliance with Section 303A of the NYSE Listed Company Manual (the "Listing Standards").

DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

In accordance with the rules of the NYSE, the Board affirmatively determines the independence of each Director and nominee for election as a Director in accordance with the Guidelines, which include all elements of independence set forth in Section 303A of the Listing Standards. Specifically, the Board has agreed that it shall be comprised of a majority of Directors who qualify as Independent Directors under the Listing Standards.

The Guidelines provide that the Board reviews annually the relationships that each Director has with the Company (either directly or as a partner, shareholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the Company). Following such annual review, only those Directors who the Board affirmatively determines have no material relationship with the Company (either directly or as a partner, shareholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the Company) will be considered Independent Directors, subject to additional qualifications prescribed under the Listing Standards or applicable law. The Board may, but has not, adopted categorical standards to assist it in determining Director independence. In the event that a Director becomes aware of any change of circumstances that may result in such Director no longer being considered independent under the Listing Standards or applicable law, the Director shall promptly inform the Chair of the Board Governance and Nominating Committee. The Board has considered the independence of its members pursuant to the standards set forth in the Listing Standards and determined that Mr. Dirks is not an Independent Director, and that Messrs. Kolesar, Kroner, McSally, Mosher, Rumbolz, and Blakey, Ms. Glenn and Ms. Ong are Independent Directors.

Lead Independent Director Policy

The Guidelines provide that if the Chairman of the Board is not an Independent Director, the Company's Independent Directors will designate one of the Independent Directors on the Board to serve as a Lead Independent Director (the "Lead Independent Director"). If the Chairman of the Board is an Independent Director, then he or she satisfies the Guideline's requirements for a Lead Independent Director. The Board is currently lead by an Independent Chairman of the Board, Mr. Kolesar. The Board believes that there is no single best organizational model that is the most effective in all circumstances and that the stockholders' interests are best served by allowing the Board to retain the flexibility to determine the optimal organizational structure for the Company at a given time, including whether the Chairman role should be held by an Independent Director or one or more senior executives who serve on the Board. The members of the Board possess considerable experience and unique knowledge of the challenges and opportunities the Company faces, and are in the best position to evaluate the needs of the Company and how to best organize the capabilities of the Directors and management to meet those needs. The Board has determined that having Mr. Kolesar, an Independent Director, serve as Chairman is in the best interest of the Company's stockholders at this time. This structure ensures a

greater role for the Independent Directors in the oversight of the Company and active participation of the Independent Directors in setting agendas and establishing Board priorities and procedures, and is useful in establishing a system of corporate checks and balances. In addition, as managing the Board can be a time-intensive responsibility, this structure permits Mr. Dirks, our Chief Executive Officer, to focus on the management of the Company's day-to-day operations.

RISK OVERSIGHT

The Board of Directors adopted its Enterprise Risk Management program in 2011. Oversight for the new program rests with the Board Governance and Nominating Committee and the program consists of annual review of the risks faced by the Company, annual qualitative and quantitative evaluations of those risks, identification of the top four risks faced by the Company and quarterly presentation of one of those four risks and review of the other three selected risks. This new program is in addition to the quarterly review of the risks by respective Committees and the full Board of Directors in the preparation of the Company's periodic reports.

In addition to the above, risk management oversight is provided at both the Board and Committee levels. The Board and its Committees monitor and evaluate the risks associated with the Company's operations and achieving the Company's goals and objectives, including those which are inherent in the business of the Company, as well as risks from external sources such as competitors, the economy and credit markets, regulatory and legislative developments, and other external forces. The Board of Directors also provides oversight so that the Company has the necessary resources to proactively manage risk, including a periodic review of the development, experience, skills, and leadership of the Company's existing management and the employees who report to them. The Board Committees provide oversight under the direction of their respective Chairs. Risk oversight is a significant component of all major Board decisions and the evaluation of risk is an important element of the Board's decision-making process. The Board believes that its leadership structure at present is conducive to the risk oversight process.

The Audit Committee meets periodically with the Chief Financial Officer, Corporate Controller, General Counsel, Internal Auditor, and the external auditor with regard to the Company's risk management processes, controls, and capabilities. In addition, the Audit Committee reviews at least annually, the Company's legal and regulatory risks and the Company's compliance programs and policies, the Company's Code of Conduct, and the Company's procedures regarding the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints concerning internal accounting, accounting controls, insurance and reinsurance recoverables exposure to terrorism and catastrophes, information technology and security and audit matters.

The Finance Committee oversees and provides review and oversight as to the Company's liquidity and capital needs, the proper allocation and distribution of capital between the Company and its subsidiaries, dividend declarations, and other financial matters on an ongoing basis. The Finance Committee also monitors the Company's financial structure and reviews the Company's policies and procedures for risks or exposure to capital markets, our need for capital, our debt structure, the assessments or surcharges for which we may become liable and the restrictions and requirements of insurance laws.

The Board Governance and Nominating Committee oversees the executive and Board Chair succession plans, the Company's compliance with the requirements of the NYSE and the SEC, and reviews the Company's governing documents, Committee charters and other policies at least annually. The Board Governance and Nominating Committee is also responsible for identifying and selecting individuals qualified to serve as members of the Board, recommending the Committee structure to the Board, developing and recommending the Guidelines to the Board, exposures to the risks of regulatory and legislative changes, and overseeing the evaluation of the Board and its Committees.

Finally, the Compensation Committee oversees the Company's overall benefit and compensation philosophy and executive compensation arrangements and is responsible for making a determination as to whether or not risks arise from compensation practices that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Nearly every Director sits on more than one Committee and this overlap helps ensure that the risk responsibilities of the various Committees are well coordinated. Each Committee Chair makes a report on Committee activity to the Board at least quarterly which, in addition to the quarterly presentations on the Company's top four risks, enables the Board to continually review and evaluate risks which could affect the Company.

SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS REGARDING 2014 DIRECTORS AND NOMINEES

The Board Governance and Nominating Committee considered the nominee's experiences, qualifications, attributes, and skills when determining the current performance of the Board of Directors and specifically each Director whose term is expiring. The Committee also reviewed the Board and Committee evaluations and considered the significant experience our Directors have had working together on the Board. The Board evaluated the same criteria when it approved the nominees recommended by the Board Governance and Nominating Committee.

In considering the nominees, the Board Governance and Nominating Committee and the Board focused on the background and experiences of the nominees, as described in the biographies appearing elsewhere in this Proxy Statement. The Committee and the Board concluded that the nominees for reelection and those Directors who continue on the Board provide the Company with an appropriate mix of experience, knowledge, education, and abilities to allow the Board to fulfill its responsibilities to the Company and its stockholders.

2014 Nominees:

With respect to Dr. Blakey, the Committee and Board considered in particular his extensive practice as a board certified orthopaedic surgeon and his leadership and experience as an owner and director of several medical clinics, many of which share similar characteristics to the Company's small business customers. In addition, the Committee and the Board considered Dr. Blakey's service as a Director of the Company and its subsidiaries.

With respect to Mr. Dirks, the Committee and Board considered in particular his work experience in the public accounting and investment banking industries, his leadership as President and CEO of our insurance subsidiaries for many years, his service on insurance-related associations and foundations, his public service prior to joining the Company, and his extensive experience and expertise in the areas of management, accounting and finance. The Committee and Board also considered Mr. Dirks' deep knowledge and understanding of the Company as a result of his service as our President and Chief Executive Officer and a Director, as well as his familiarity with the Company's history and culture, all of which allow him to provide an invaluable perspective during Board discussions.

With respect to Mr. Kolesar, the Committee and Board considered in particular his experience as the founder, owner and managing director of his Las Vegas law firm, his extensive practice in the areas of banking, finance and real estate, his service and experience as a director of numerous private companies, his leadership and service on several local charities, and his service as a Director and Chair of the Company and its operating companies' Boards of Directors.

Continuing Directors:

With respect to Ms. Glenn, the Committee and Board considered in particular her leadership experience as the CEO and majority owner of her marketing company, her understanding of the needs of small business owners, her extensive service in, and leadership with, various charitable organizations, and her experience and expertise in marketing, distribution and public affairs.

With respect to Mr. Mosher, the Committee and Board considered in particular his more than 25 years of experience at senior levels in the insurance industry, his experience and expertise in the areas of accounting and public company reporting, his service as the financial expert on the Audit Committee, and his extensive experience and expertise in the areas of management, accounting and finance.

With respect to Ms. Ong, the Committee and Board considered in particular her experience and leadership as the co-founder and director of her public sector financial advisory practice, her extensive experience in municipal government, and her experience and expertise in the areas of management, accounting and finance.

With respect to Mr. Rumbolz, the Committee and Board considered in particular his experience as Chief Executive Officer and/or member of the boards of directors of several public companies and foundations, his extensive experience in other senior level positions of publicly-traded companies, his regulatory and public service experience, and his significant experience and expertise in the areas of management, law, accounting, and finance.

With respect to Mr. Kroner, the Committee and Board considered in particular his experience as Chief Financial Officer, Chief Investment Officer and board member of a publicly-traded insurance company that he co-founded, his consulting experience to various insurance companies, as well as his service as a director to other insurance companies, and his experience and expertise in the areas of insurance, management, finance, investment, and investment banking.

With respect to Mr. McSally, the Committee and Board considered in particular his experience as Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer, and Senior Vice President positions to various insurance companies leading commercial and personal lines, distribution and agency management, as well as his service on several boards of privately held insurance companies and his significant experience and expertise in the areas of insurance, management and actuary.

COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Any interested party desiring to communicate with the Chairman of the Board and/or the other Directors regarding the Company may contact such Directors by sending correspondence to: Employers Holdings, Inc., c/o Chief Legal Officer, 10375 Professional Circle, Reno, Nevada 89521-4802. Communications may also be sent electronically to: ChiefLegalOfficer@employers.com. Communications may be submitted anonymously and a sender may indicate whether he or she is a stockholder, customer, supplier, or other interested party.

All communications received as described above shall be opened by the Chief Legal Officer for the purpose of determining whether the contents represent a message to our Directors and, depending on the facts and circumstances outlined in the communication, will be distributed to the Board, the non- management Directors, an individual Director or committee of Directors, as appropriate. The Chief Legal Officer distributes the communication to each Director who is a member of the Board, or of the group or Committee, to which the communication is directed.

PROPOSAL TWO

NON-BINDING VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

As required by Section 14A of the Exchange Act and pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, we are providing our stockholders the opportunity to vote on a non-binding, advisory resolution to approve the compensation of our Named Executive Officers ("NEOs"), as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis compensation tables and narrative discussion contained in this Proxy Statement. Accordingly, the following resolution will be submitted to a stockholder vote at the Annual Meeting:

“RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the Company's Named Executive Officers, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion, is hereby APPROVED.”

As described in the section titled “Compensation Discussion and Analysis,” our Compensation Committee believes that the most effective executive compensation program is one that rewards the achievement of specific financial goals, aligns executive officers' interests with those of our stockholders by rewarding performance for achievement of financial goals, and motivates our executives to increase stockholder value without encouraging excessive risk-taking. Our executive compensation program continues to be tied to the Company's financial performance, supports our commitment to good compensation governance, and provides competitive compensation opportunities to attract, retain and motivate our officers.

Our 2013 executive compensation program:

- Offers an appropriate mix of base salary, annual bonus, long-term equity grants, benefits and perquisites that is competitive with companies in our peer group;

- Provides total compensation opportunities that are within the competitive range (generally between the 50th and 75th percentile) for our peer group in terms of total compensation and benefits provided to our NEOs, each of whom is identified below, subject to adjustment to reflect individual performance and any additional roles and responsibilities not reflected in the competitive data;

- Aligns pay and performance by linking executive compensation with short and long-term financial performance through an annual bonus program and regular long-term equity grants, utilizing both absolute and relative performance goals;

- Attracts, motivates and retains our executives by establishing performance goals and rewarding our executives for their successful performance; and

- Discourages excessive or undue risk-taking by including appropriate mitigating factors.

Highlights of Our Executive Compensation Program

Our program is built on a sound compensation philosophy and a solid governance framework. In 2013, we continued to align our program with our philosophy, link compensation to performance, apply challenging performance goals and emphasize strong compensation governance. Our program included the following:

- **No Gross-Ups:** None of our employment agreements contain tax gross-up provisions.

- **Increased Emphasis on Performance Shares:** To improve the alignment of compensation with performance, our long-term incentive program is more heavily weighted toward performance shares and weighted less toward stock options and restricted stock units ("RSUs"). In 2013, performance shares, which were linked to the Company's three-year combined ratio performance relative to a comparator group, represented a greater percentage of the total value of our long-term incentive program than in 2012. Specifically, in 2013, 55% of the equity value granted to our NEOs under our long-term incentive program was in performance share grants. By comparison, in 2012, 50% of this value was in performance share grants.

- **Used a Mix of Relative and Absolute Performance Metrics:** We continued to use both relative and absolute combined ratio metrics to reward superior performance based on targeted performance and our performance relative to the performance of private carriers in an industry-related group.

Established Challenging Performance Goals: We annually evaluate our performance goals to ensure that they continue to motivate and adequately challenge our executives. In 2013, we increased the performance level of the goals applicable to our performance-related awards compared to 2012.

Reasonable Perquisites and Benefits: We continue to provide a program that follows good compensation governance by providing our NEOs with limited perquisites and benefits consistent with our peer group.

Clawback (“Incentive Recovery”) Policy: We have a policy to recapture (or “clawback”) incentive compensation paid to our NEOs (see “Clawback Policy”).

Regular Annual Equity Grants: We have a policy of awarding equity grants during a regularly scheduled Compensation Committee meeting.

Long-term Vesting and Performance Requirements: Our 2013 awards of stock options and RSUs were granted with annual vesting over a four-year period, and our 2013 performance share awards cover a three-year performance period.

Stock Ownership Guidelines: We require our NEOs to attain and maintain competitive levels of Company stock ownership.

Hedging and Pledging Restrictions: We have policies restricting our NEOs from hedging or pledging Company equity securities, including securities granted under the Equity Plan.

In 2013, our compensation program resulted in the following:

• Modest increases in 2013 base salaries based on factors such as the individual's performance, changes in responsibilities, and market trends;

• Grants of performance shares, stock options and RSUs to more closely align our NEOs' interests with stockholder interest in creating stockholder value; and

• Under the 2013 annual bonus program, the Company achieved an Adjusted GAAP Combined Ratio (as defined in the “Annual Bonuses” section of “Elements of Our 2013 Executive Compensation Program” below) of 109.8%, 1.3 points greater than target, which resulted in a payout under our annual bonus program equal to 67.5% of target.

Stockholders are urged to read the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement which more thoroughly discusses how our compensation policies and procedures implement our compensation philosophy and objectives. The Compensation Committee and the Board believe that these policies and procedures are effective in implementing our compensation philosophy and in achieving its goals.

This vote is only advisory, will not be binding upon the Company or the Board, and will not create or imply any change in the fiduciary duties of, or impose any additional fiduciary duty on, the Company or the Board. Because the Board values constructive dialogue on executive compensation and other important governance topics with our stockholders, it encourages all stockholders to vote their shares on this matter. The Compensation Committee will take into account the outcome of the vote when considering future executive compensation arrangements.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE COMPENSATION PAID TO THE COMPANY'S NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS.

PROPOSAL THREE INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company has appointed Ernst & Young LLP (“Ernst & Young”) as Employers Holdings' independent accounting firm to examine the financial statements of Employers Holdings and its subsidiaries for the 2014 calendar year. The Board of Directors recommends ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young.

A representative of Ernst & Young will be present at the Annual Meeting. This representative will have an opportunity to make a statement if such representative desires to do so and to respond to appropriate questions.

Although stockholder approval of this appointment is not required or binding on the Audit Committee, the Board of Directors believes that, as a matter of good corporate governance, stockholders should be given the opportunity to express their views. If the stockholders do not ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young as Employers Holdings' independent accounting firm, the Audit Committee will consider this vote in determining whether or not to continue the engagement of Ernst & Young.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE RATIFICATION OF THIS APPOINTMENT.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Overview of Our 2013 Executive Compensation Program

Our Compensation Committee believes that the most effective executive compensation program is one that rewards the achievement of specific financial goals, aligns executive officers' interests with those of our stockholders by rewarding performance for achievement of financial goals, and motivates our executives to increase stockholder value without encouraging excessive risk-taking.

Our executive compensation program continues to be tied to the Company's financial performance, supports our commitment to good compensation governance, and provides competitive compensation opportunities to attract, retain and motivate our officers.

Our 2013 executive compensation program:

- Offers an appropriate mix of base salary, annual bonus, long-term equity grants, benefits and perquisites that is competitive with companies in our peer group;

- Provides total compensation opportunities that are within the competitive range (generally between the 50th and 75th percentile) for our peer group in terms of total compensation and benefits provided to our NEOs, each of whom is identified below, subject to adjustment to reflect individual performance and any additional roles and responsibilities not reflected in the competitive data;

- Aligns pay and performance by linking executive compensation with short and long-term financial performance through an annual bonus program and regular long-term equity grants, utilizing both absolute and relative performance goals;

- Attracts, motivates and retains our executives by establishing performance goals and rewarding our executives for their successful performance; and

- Discourages excessive or undue risk taking by including appropriate mitigating factors.

Highlights of Our Executive Compensation Program

Our program is built on a sound compensation philosophy and a solid governance framework. In 2013, we continued to align our program with our philosophy, link compensation to performance, apply challenging performance goals and emphasize strong compensation governance. Our program included the following:

- **No Gross-Ups:** None of our employment agreements contain tax gross-up provisions.

- **Increased Emphasis on Performance Shares:** To improve the alignment of compensation with performance, our long-term incentive program is more heavily weighted toward performance shares and weighted less toward stock options and RSUs. In 2013, performance shares, which were linked to the Company's three-year

combined ratio performance relative to a comparator group, represented a greater percentage of the total value of our long-term incentive program than in 2012. Specifically, in 2013, 55% of the equity value granted to our NEOs under our long-term incentive program was in performance share grants. By comparison, in 2012, 50% of this value was in performance share grants.

Used a Mix of Relative and Absolute Performance Metrics: We continued to use both relative and absolute combined ratio metrics to reward superior performance based on targeted performance and our performance relative to the performance of private carriers in an industry-related group.

Established Challenging Performance Goals: We annually evaluate our performance goals to ensure that they continue to motivate and adequately challenge our executives. In 2013, we increased the performance level of the goals applicable to our performance-related awards compared to 2012.

Reasonable Perquisites and Benefits: We continue to provide a program that follows good compensation governance by providing our NEOs with limited perquisites and benefits consistent with our peer group.

Clawback (“Incentive Recovery”) Policy: We have a policy to recapture (or “clawback”) incentive compensation paid to our NEOs (see “Clawback Policy”).

Regular Annual Equity Grants: We have a policy of awarding equity grants during a regularly scheduled Compensation Committee meeting.

Long-term Vesting and Performance Requirements: Our 2013 awards of stock options and RSUs were granted with annual vesting over a four-year period, and our 2013 performance share awards cover a three-year performance period.

Stock Ownership Guidelines: We require our NEOs to attain and maintain competitive levels of Company stock ownership.

Hedging and Pledging Restrictions: We have policies restricting our NEOs from hedging or pledging Company equity securities, including securities granted under the Equity Plan.

In 2013, our compensation program resulted in the following:

• Modest increases in 2013 base salaries based on factors such as the individual's performance, changes in responsibilities, and market trends;

• Grants of performance shares, stock options and RSUs to more closely align our NEOs' interests with stockholder interest in creating stockholder value; and

• Under the 2013 annual bonus program, the Company achieved an Adjusted GAAP Combined Ratio (as defined in the “Annual Bonuses” section of “Elements of Our 2013 Executive Compensation Program” below) of 109.8%, 1.3 points greater than target, which resulted in a payout under our annual bonus program equal to 67.5% of target.

Our Named Executive Officers

The subsequent sections provide a discussion and analysis of the material elements of our current program outlined briefly above. For 2013, our NEOs were:

• Douglas D. Dirks, President & Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”)

• William E. Yocke, Executive Vice President (“EVP”) & Chief Financial Officer (“CFO”)

• Lenard T. Ormsby, EVP, Chief Legal Officer (“CLO”)

• John P. Nelson, EVP, Chief Administrative Officer (“CAO”)

• Stephen V. Festa, EVP, Chief Operating Officer (“COO”). Mr. Festa was promoted to COO, effective August 25, 2013.

Say on Pay

The Compensation Committee and the Board value the opinions of our stockholders. At the last three annual meetings of our stockholders, more than 95% of the votes cast on the stockholder advisory vote proposal on our executive compensation program (“Say on Pay”) were in favor of our program. The Compensation Committee views this result as an endorsement of our program, and intends to continue to apply its current principles and philosophy in establishing policies and making decisions regarding our executive compensation program. In the Compensation Committee's ongoing efforts to link pay to performance, in 2013, performance shares represented a greater percentage of the Company's equity grant mix than in 2012, for our NEOs. These performance shares will be payable only upon the achievement of a pre-established relative performance goal. In addition, the Board has continued its policy of holding an annual stockholder advisory vote on our executive compensation program.

How Executive Compensation Was Determined

The Compensation Committee is responsible for all decisions regarding our executive compensation program. The Compensation Committee Charter authorizes the Compensation Committee to retain independent counsel and compensation consultants, at the Company's expense. During 2013, the Compensation Committee relied on advice from its independent compensation consultant and recommendations from the CEO concerning the compensation of the other NEOs, as discussed below.

Independent Compensation Consultant

The Compensation Committee has engaged Pay Governance, LLC (“Pay Governance”) to identify competitive compensation practices for our executive and director compensation programs, and to advise the Compensation Committee regarding the design of the 2013 short and long-term incentive compensation components, as well as the competitive ranges for each element of our NEOs' compensation. In addition, Pay Governance provided the Compensation Committee with specific recommendations for each element of the CEO's compensation. Pay Governance did not perform any unrelated services on behalf of management. Management did not retain a separate compensation consultant for the purpose of determining compensation for any of the NEOs in 2013.

The Peer Group

The engagement of Pay Governance included a review of our 2012 peer group. Our peer companies were selected based upon a review of organizations that have similar industry focus (insurance companies in the property and casualty segment, including companies with a workers' compensation line of business), financial size (gross and net written premiums), market capitalization, returns (return on equity, total shareholder return) and financial performance (combined ratio and net income). Other than the elimination of two companies from our 2012 peer group, the peer group recommended by Pay Governance and approved by the Compensation Committee for 2013 is identical to the group used in 2012. In this regard, Harleysville Group, Inc. was eliminated from the peer group because it was acquired by Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company, and Erie Indemnity Company was eliminated to improve the comparability of the group.

The companies in the 2013 peer group were as follows:

Peer Group

| | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| AMERISAFE, Inc. | AmTrust Financial Services, Inc. | Baldwin & Lyons, Inc. |
| Donegal Group, Inc. | EMC Insurance Group, Inc. | Meadowbrook Insurance Group, Inc. |
| The Navigators Group, Inc. | ProAssurance Corp. | RLI Corp. |
| Safety Insurance Group, Inc. | Seabright Insurance Holdings, Inc. | Selective Insurance Group, Inc. |
| State Auto Financial Corp. | Tower Group, Inc. | United Fire & Casualty Company |

NEO Compensation Decisions

As in previous years, the Compensation Committee, in setting the CEO's compensation, considered the CEO's performance, Company performance, peer group and general market trends and retention risk. The Compensation Committee also independently collected input on the CEO's performance from the Board as part of a formal evaluation

process, and used this evaluation in combination with the other information noted above. The Compensation Committee did not assign a specific weight to any of these factors, but used its judgment, in consultation with Pay Governance, in making a final decision. The Compensation Committee deliberated on the compensation of the CEO in executive session outside of the presence of management.

The Compensation Committee solicited the input and recommendations of the CEO in determining compensation for the other NEOs. The CEO's input included the other NEOs' performance, and recommendations regarding the levels of base salary and short and long-term incentive grants for each of the other NEOs. The CEO also provided recommendations regarding the design of the short and long-term incentive compensation components, including the specific targets for each applicable performance metric. The Compensation Committee considered the recommendations of the CEO in conjunction with Company performance, peer group and general market trends, retention risk and advice and recommendations from Pay Governance in determining the other NEOs' compensation.

Elements of Our 2013 Executive Compensation Program

The following sections discuss each of the components of our executive compensation program as approved by the Compensation Committee. As discussed above, in developing the 2013 executive compensation program, the Compensation Committee considered the trends and practices of the Company's peer group, advice and recommendations provided by Pay Governance and the recommendations of the CEO, and determined that the following components would be appropriate for the 2013 executive compensation program:

• Base salary

• Annual bonuses

• Long-term incentives (performance shares, stock options and RSUs)

• Benefits and perquisites

• Employment agreements and compensation payable upon termination of employment

Base Salary

As in previous years, the Compensation Committee believed that it was important to provide competitive base salaries for our executives because these salaries act as primary retention and recruitment tools, and provide the basis for determining other components of compensation such as bonus opportunities, severance and other benefits and perquisites whose values are derived from base salary levels. The Compensation Committee considers, but does not specifically weight, multiple factors in its decisions regarding NEO salaries including individual performance, roles and responsibilities, organizational performance, and competitive data and trends from the peer group, as well as recommendations made by the CEO regarding the other NEOs.

In 2013, the Compensation Committee considered several general factors, including: (1) for 2009 - 2011, salaried employees received relatively small increases in base salaries, (2) in 2012, one-time base salary increases that were more substantial for some of the executive officers, (3) in 2013, salaried employees generally received a 2.5% increase, and (4) the CEO's recommendations to reward the NEOs based on the amount of responsibility that they had been assigned. The Compensation Committee approved increases to our NEOs' base salaries, effective March 24, 2013. In addition, in connection with Mr. Festa's promotion to COO in August 2013, the Compensation Committee considered the CEO's recommendation and Pay Governance's advice regarding an appropriate salary range for a COO based on peer group data, and increased Mr. Festa's base salary to \$390,000 from \$300,000. Mr. Festa's base salary had been increased in March 2013 from \$285,000 to \$300,000 at the time of the Company's annual salary reviews.

The table below shows the annual base salary rates as modified in 2013 for each of our NEOs, compared to their 2012 final annual base salary rates:

| Name | 2012 Annual Base Salary Rate | 2013 Annual Base Salary Rate | Change to 2012 Annual Base Salary Rate ⁽¹⁾ | % |
|------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|
| Douglas D. Dirks | \$785,000 | \$805,000 | 2.5 | |
| William E. Yocke | 425,000 | 435,000 | 2.4 | |
| Lenard T. Ormsby | 425,000 | 435,000 | 2.4 | |
| John P. Nelson | 325,000 | 335,000 | 3.1 | |
| Stephen V. Festa | 285,000 | 390,000 | 36.8 | |

(1) The change to Mr. Festa's annual base salary rate reflects both a Company-wide annual increase and a subsequent increase in connection with his promotion to COO.

Annual Bonuses

Each of our NEOs was eligible for an annual cash bonus upon the achievement of a pre-established annual financial goal. The Compensation Committee believed that the annual bonus was a key component of our executive compensation program as it enabled us to (1) align certain compensation opportunities with our short-term financial goals, (2) create incentives based on the Company's 2013 performance, and (3) provide competitive compensation opportunities for our NEOs.

As discussed in more detail below, for 2013, the Compensation Committee, after consulting with Pay Governance, concluded that a performance goal based on an absolute Combined Ratio metric was an appropriate metric for rewarding our executives in the annual bonus program. The Compensation Committee changed the annual bonus program metric from GAAP Combined Ratio, which was used for the 2012 annual bonus program, to Adjusted GAAP Combined Ratio (which is defined below) because of a change in the Company's accounting treatment of its deferred gain. In choosing an appropriate metric, the Compensation Committee concluded that comparing the Company's actual 2013 Adjusted GAAP Combined Ratio to the Compensation Committee's targeted goal would motivate executives to meet or exceed the pre-established goal selected by the Compensation Committee for this purpose. The Committee further concluded that the use of an absolute goal would complement the performance goal used for the performance shares in our long-term incentive program (described in "Long-Term Incentive Grants," below), which is based on a relative Statutory Combined Ratio metric. As in previous years, to create complete alignment between the annual bonus and the key financial goal that impacts stockholder value, the Compensation Committee relied solely on a corporate financial goal and did not use any individual performance goals for our CEO and EVPs, which included, at the time of grant, each of our NEOs other than Mr. Festa. Because Mr. Festa's annual bonus grant was made when he was a Senior Vice President, for the period of the 2013 calendar year preceding his promotion to COO, 80% of his annual bonus was based on the corporate financial goal and the remaining 20% of his goals were based on individual non-financial goals. These goals were: budgetary and financial goals for Claims and Policyholder Services; leadership in the development and implementation of technology and processes for Claims and Policyholder Services to improve efficiencies and service levels, and reduce expenses; supervising legal and regulatory compliance; and active participation in industry-related organizations. For the remainder of the 2013 calendar year, 100% of Mr. Festa's annual bonus was based on corporate financial goals.

In setting each of the bonus targets, the Compensation Committee took into account the peer group information and recommendations made by Pay Governance. The Compensation Committee did not change any of the annual bonus targets from the targets set for 2012. However, in connection with Mr. Festa's promotion to COO on August 25, 2013, his annual bonus target pursuant to the terms of his employment agreement was increased to 55% of his annual base salary from 35%, which is the rate in effect for our Senior Vice Presidents. The annual bonus targets for 2013 were as follows:

| Name | 2013 Annual Cash Bonus Target as a Percentage of Base Salary | |
|------------------|--|-------|
| Douglas D. Dirks | 80 | % |
| William E. Yocke | 55 | |
| Lenard T. Ormsby | 55 | |
| John P. Nelson | 50 | |
| Stephen V. Festa | | 35/55 |

As mentioned above, for 2013, after consultation with Pay Governance and the CEO, the Compensation Committee selected the corporate performance metric of Adjusted GAAP Combined Ratio as the sole metric for the 2013 annual bonus program. Specifically, for 2013, the performance goal was based on how the Company's Adjusted GAAP Combined Ratio for the 2013 calendar year compared to the pre-established range set forth below. This range was intended to be aggressive, while rewarding our executives for solid performance. For purposes of the annual bonus program, Adjusted GAAP Combined Ratio was defined as:

(Losses + Loss Adjustment Expenses + Commission Expense + Dividends Paid to Policyholders + Underwriting and Other Operating Expenses – Amortization of the Deferred Gain – Impact of the LPT Reserve Adjustment – Impact of the LPT Contingent Commission Adjustment)
Net Premiums Earned

The Company's Adjusted GAAP Combined Ratio was calculated based on the financial information disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2013. In the 2013 Annual Report, Dividends Paid to Policyholders were included in Underwriting and Other Operating Expenses.

The Compensation Committee continues to believe that a performance goal based on combined ratio is an effective measure of management performance for an insurance holding company because the combined ratio:

- (1) utilizes a measure of the operating insurance companies' profitability;
- (2) balances revenue and underwriting losses, thereby guarding against the potential for increasing revenue by undertaking unnecessary risk;
- (3) provides a meaningful incentive for management to pursue increasing levels of operating profitability; and
- (4) is an effective metric for measuring what our executives can directly accomplish.

Our NEOs are entitled to annual bonuses only if corporate performance falls within the specific range we have established for this purpose. Specifically, the threshold, target and maximum levels for both Adjusted GAAP Combined Ratio and corresponding payouts as a percentage of target established for 2013 were as follows:

| | 2013 Adjusted GAAP Combined Ratio | Payout as a Percentage of Target | |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Maximum | ≤103.5 | 200 | % |
| Target | 108.5 | 100 | |
| Threshold | ≥112.5 | 0 | |

For 2013, the Company achieved an Adjusted GAAP Combined Ratio of 109.8% or 1.3 points greater than target, which resulted in a payout equal to 67.5% of target. As a result, our NEOs received bonuses under the 2013 annual bonus program in the amounts, and as a percentage of base salary, as set forth in the following table.

| NEO | Percentage of 2013 Actual Base Salary | Bonus Amount |
|------------------|--|--------------|
| Douglas D. Dirks | 54.0 | % \$432,208 |
| William E. Yocke | 37.1 | 160,637 |
| Lenard T. Ormsby | 37.1 | 160,637 |
| John P. Nelson | 33.8 | 112,284 |
| Stephen V. Festa | 30.4 | 99,458 |

Long-Term Incentive Grants

We believe that a properly designed long-term incentive program, along with competitive compensation opportunities, encourage our NEOs to pursue and execute long-term strategies for increasing stockholder value. It also serves as an important retention and recruiting tool in securing a highly-qualified senior management team.

In March 2013, the Compensation Committee approved long-term incentive grants under the Equity Plan within our long-term incentive program for each NEO. Performance share awards represented approximately 55% of the aggregate value of these grants. Performance shares are earned and payable only if a pre-established performance goal is achieved. RSUs (each unit having the value of one share of our common stock) represented approximately 25% of the aggregate value of these grants, and non-qualified stock options represented approximately 20% of this value.

The Compensation Committee designed the compensation structure to ensure that a significant portion of our NEOs' compensation (specifically, annual bonuses, performance shares, and stock options) was performance based. In determining the overall long-term incentive grant levels for each NEO, the Compensation Committee considered the relative total compensation opportunities (cash plus long-term incentives), relative responsibilities of each executive, replacement/retention risk, individual performance, Company performance and peer group and general market practices for compensation. The Compensation Committee did not assign a specific weight to any of these factors. The three components of the Company's long-term incentive program are described below.

Performance Shares

Our NEOs received grants of performance shares in March 2013 that were based upon our achievement of a relative Combined Ratio goal over a three-year performance period. Performance shares were used to motivate management to focus on Combined Ratio. In particular, the reasons for selection of Combined Ratio as the performance metric were the same as those discussed in "Annual Bonuses" above. The selection of the relative Statutory Combined Ratio goal determined over a three-year performance period was intended to reward performance over a multi-year period of time, and to condition the reward on how the Company's performance compared to that of the group of private carriers described below. By using relative performance, the Compensation Committee sought to ensure that the NEOs were not inadvertently advantaged or disadvantaged by market cycle changes during the three-year performance period. The performance share grants that were made in 2013 to our NEOs are set out and described in the Summary Compensation Table on page 30 and the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table on page 32.

Specifically, as was used for the 2012-2014 performance cycle, for the performance period commencing on January 1, 2013, and ending on December 31, 2015, the performance goals selected were based on how the Company's average three-year Statutory Combined Ratio (as defined below) for this performance period compares to an average of the Statutory Combined Ratios for a group of private carriers. The group selected was a group established and reported by A.M. Best Company, Inc. ("A.M. Best"), which collects data using the A.M. Best Statutory Combined Ratio definition. In order to accurately compare the Company's performance to the performance of this group of private carriers, we likewise used the A.M. Best Statutory Combined Ratio definition to measure our performance. To enable the Company to calculate and pay out awards during March 2016, the 2013 grant specified the use of the Statutory Combined Ratios for the comparator private carrier group for the quarterly periods from October 1, 2012 through September 30, 2015. We selected a three-year performance period to ensure that our performance shares are earned for long-term, sustained performance, which we believe aligns with our stockholders' interests.

For purposes of the 2013 performance share grant, Statutory Combined Ratio is defined as:

$$\frac{\text{Losses Incurred} + \text{Loss Adjustment Expenses Incurred} + \text{Dividends Paid to Policyholders} + \text{Other Underwriting Expenses}}{\text{Net Earned Premium} + \text{Net Written Premium}}$$

The Company's three-year Statutory Combined Ratio will be calculated as the average of the 12 quarterly Statutory Combined Ratios for the Company based on the financial information disclosed in the Company's Annual and Quarterly Statutory Financial Statements, on a combined basis, for each quarter of the 2013, 2014 and 2015 fiscal years.

For the 2013 - 2015 performance period, the threshold, target and maximum levels for Statutory Combined Ratio and the corresponding payouts as a percentage of the target number of performance shares awarded are as follows:

| | Company's Three-Year Statutory Combined Ratio | Payout as a Percentage of Target | |
|-----------|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| Maximum | ≤Industry Avg -8 | 200 | % |
| Target | Industry Avg -3 | 100 | |
| Threshold | >Industry Avg +1 | 0 | |

Stock Options

Our NEOs received grants of non-qualified stock options in March 2013. As in previous years, the Compensation Committee believes stock options are performance-based compensation that provide the proper incentive to generate long-term growth in stockholder value and retain our leadership talent through a four-year vesting period. However, as mentioned above, in 2013 we increased the percentage of performance shares in our mix of long-term equity incentive awards and reduced the percentage of stock options so that for 2013, stock options composed a smaller percentage of the total value of these incentive awards than in prior years. The option grants made in 2013 to our NEOs are set out and described in the Summary Compensation Table on page 30 and the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table on page 32.

Restricted Stock Units

Our NEOs received grants of time-vesting RSUs in March 2013. As in previous years, the Compensation Committee believes that the RSU grants, including the selection of a four-year vesting period, will positively impact retention and will effectively motivate management to focus on executing the existing long-term strategic plan designed to increase stockholder value. In 2013, we maintained the percentage of RSUs in the mix of our long-term incentive program. Unrelated to our long-term incentive program, our CEO was granted additional RSUs pursuant to certain negotiated terms of his employment agreement. All RSU grants that were made in 2013 to our NEOs are set out and described in the Summary Compensation Table on page 30 and the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table on page 32.

Benefits and Perquisites

Our NEOs are eligible to participate in all of the benefit programs generally offered to employees. In addition, our NEOs receive automobile allowances and supplemental life insurance benefits, and some of our NEOs also receive airline travel club memberships and country club memberships.

The Compensation Committee has determined that the NEOs' modest perquisites are appropriate. The supplemental life insurance benefits provided to the NEOs are consistent with those provided to similarly situated executives of the companies in our peer group. Airline travel club memberships are provided to our NEOs to facilitate efficient business travel. The country club memberships provide our NEOs with access to quality establishments for business entertainment and encourage them to interface with our community.

Employment Agreements

Each of our NEOs is a party to an employment agreement. These employment agreements are designed to protect the Company through restrictive covenants, to serve as recruiting and retention tools, and to provide for severance both generally, and in connection with a change in control.

The agreement with Mr. Dirks was re-negotiated prior to its December 31, 2012 expiration and a new agreement became effective on May 10, 2012. The agreements with Messrs. Yocke, Ormsby and Nelson, which were scheduled

to expire December 31, 2013, were renewed and now are scheduled to expire December 31, 2015, and, in connection with Mr. Festa's promotion to COO, he entered into a new employment agreement, which became effective August 25, 2013, and is scheduled to expire December 31, 2015. This agreement is substantially similar to the employment agreements with our other NEOs. None of our current employment agreements provide for payments to offset excise taxes related to a change in control ("280G gross-up" payments). Instead, the agreements provide for a cap at the statutory threshold to the extent that capping the change in control related payments would put the affected NEO in a better after-tax position and, if not, the payments would remain uncapped so that the executive would be responsible for any related excise taxes imposed and the Company would not be entitled to a deduction for the amounts subject to any such excise taxes.

At the various times that the employment agreements were either entered into, or negotiated, the Compensation Committee had concluded that the applicable provisions of these agreements were reasonable and consistent with market practice.

A more detailed description of these agreements is provided in "Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control" on page 35.

Risk Assessment

Management prepared a risk assessment to determine whether our compensation plans promote excessive or undue risk-taking generally, and specifically as applied to our NEOs, and concluded that, in each case, the potential for such risk is low. Pay Governance then reviewed management's analysis and provided the Compensation Committee with the same conclusion. Finally, the Compensation Committee considered both management's analysis and Pay Governance's review, and similarly concluded that these compensation plans are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company, and reported its results to the full Board. In making this determination, the Compensation Committee considered various aspects of our compensation program, including:

- The mix of fixed and performance-based compensation;

- Providing base salaries that ensure that our basic compensation is competitive within our industry;

- Designing performance-based compensation awards that balance both short and long-term performance over varying time horizons and provide a mix of cash and equity awards based upon varying performance goals among our performance-based awards;

- Capping annual cash bonus awards and performance share awards at competitive levels;

- Granting equity awards that are earned only after satisfying vesting schedules and/or achieving applicable performance goals;

- Linking a portion of total compensation to the Company's long-term performance, to mitigate the short-term risk that could be detrimental to the Company's long-term interests, and encourage the creation of stockholder value;

- Granting equity-based performance awards that are subject to multi-year vesting or performance periods and derive their value from the Company's total performance, which we believe further encourages decision-making that is in the long-term interests of the Company and its stockholders;

- Maintaining executive stock ownership guidelines (described below), for those employees who we believe can have the greatest influence on the financial performance of the Company, that are designed to strengthen the alignment between the interests of our senior officers and the Company's stockholders, and discourage risk-taking that could be detrimental to the long-term interests of the Company, its performance, and our stock price; and

- Maintaining clawback, grant, and retention policies (see descriptions below) that provide additional assurance that any risks associated with our compensation plans and policies are further mitigated.

Stock Ownership and Retention Guidelines

The Compensation Committee adopted mandatory guidelines that require senior executives, including all of the NEOs, to attain specific levels of stock ownership. These guidelines reinforce the importance of aligning the interests of our

NEOs with the interests of our stockholders. Under these guidelines, executives must attain specific levels of ownership of Company stock, expressed as a multiple of base salary, as set forth in the table below. It is the Compensation Committee's intention that these levels of ownership be achieved by February 5, 2017, which is the tenth anniversary of our initial public offering or, if later, by the tenth anniversary of the date that the executive first became subject to an applicable level of stock ownership under these guidelines. For purposes of clarification, if an executive's stock ownership requirement increases because of a change in position, then a new ten-year period to achieve the incremental amount of shares will begin on the effective date of the change of position. These guidelines are intended to motivate our executive officers to reach and maintain appropriate levels of stock ownership.

| Position | Multiple of Base Salary |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| CEO | 4x |
| Executive Vice President | 3x |
| Senior Vice President | 2x |

Equity and Other Compensation Grant Policies, Procedures and Requirements Stock Grant Policy and Guidelines

The Board has adopted an equity grant policy that specifies the Company's practices and procedures for granting equity awards, including stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, RSUs, performance shares and any other stock based award. This policy contains procedures to prevent stock option backdating or other timing improprieties. The equity grant policy governing the 2013 annual grants to the NEOs requires that all equity grants, other than new hire grants, certain grants to non-officers and grants of performance share awards, will be made at a regularly scheduled Compensation Committee meeting occurring between February 15 and March 30, unless exigent circumstances exist, as determined by the Compensation Committee.

Performance share awards (and similar performance-based awards other than stock options) that are intended to satisfy the requirements for performance-based compensation under section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code typically will be made within the first 90 days of the calendar year.

Generally, our CAO will prepare a list of equity grantees for our CEO's consideration and, prior to a scheduled Compensation Committee meeting (or approval date for awards), our CEO will submit to the Compensation Committee, for its consideration, a list of recommended equity grants, including the names of the grantees (which will not include our CEO) and the terms of the awards. To the extent that any grantees are officers subject to reporting obligations pursuant to Section 16 of the Exchange Act, the list of proposed grants will be provided to our CLO at the same time. The Compensation Committee may delegate to our CEO the authority to grant equity awards, but solely with respect to equity awards to non-officers, and only if such equity awards are within the guidelines established by the Compensation Committee for this purpose.

"Clawback" Policy

We have a "clawback" policy that applies to our equity awards. Under the Equity Plan, if a grantee engages in certain conduct considered harmful to the Company during employment or following termination of employment, then the grantee may be required to forfeit, without consideration (1) all then outstanding awards under the plan, (2) any shares of Company stock owned by the grantee that were previously subject to an award under the plan, and (3) any cash amounts previously paid to a grantee pursuant to a plan award. In addition, if the grantee sold shares of Company stock during the 12-month period preceding the time the grantee engaged in the harmful conduct, then the grantee may be required to repay to the Company the aggregate value of these shares on the date of the sale minus the amounts, if any, paid for these shares.

In addition, if the Company is required to restate its financial statements, the Company may require our NEOs to repay to the Company the aggregate value of any performance shares that became payable upon the achievement of the performance goals, to the extent these performance goals would not have been achieved had the restatement not been required.

Finally, we are monitoring anticipated regulations regarding clawback requirements and intend to modify or implement new policies as may become necessary or be deemed appropriate.

Policies Prohibiting Hedging and Pledging

The Company's Insider Trading Policy prohibits Directors and other Company insiders, which include our NEOs, from making "short sales" of the Company's equity securities, or otherwise speculating on the Company's equity securities, as these activities may place the personal gain of the Director or other insider in conflict with the best interests of the Company and its stockholders. Additionally, the equity grants made to the Company's officers, including the NEOs, generally prohibit pledging or otherwise assigning equity granted under the Equity Plan. We are monitoring anticipated regulations regarding hedging and pledging restrictions and intend to modify or implement new policies as may become necessary or be deemed appropriate.

Tax and Accounting Considerations

Under section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, the Company may not be able to deduct certain forms of compensation in excess of \$1,000,000 paid to our CEO or any of our three other highest paid executive officers (other than our CFO) who are employed by the Company at year-end. The Compensation Committee believes that it is generally in the Company's best interests to satisfy the requirements for deductibility under section 162(m).

Accordingly, the Compensation Committee has taken, and intends to take, appropriate actions, to the extent it believes feasible, to preserve the deductibility of annual incentive and long-term performance awards. However, notwithstanding this general policy, the Compensation Committee also believes there may be circumstances in which the Company's interests are best served by maintaining flexibility in the way compensation is provided, even if the compensation is not fully deductible under section 162(m). In this regard, approximately \$560,000 of compensation paid for 2013 to our CEO was not deductible by reason of section 162(m) and some portion of the RSUs currently granted may not be deductible in the future.

In addition, the Compensation Committee, when granting equity-related awards, considers the accounting treatment and implications of these awards.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

John P. Sande, III served as the Chair and a member of the Compensation Committee until his resignation from the Board on May 22, 2013. Mr. Sande was an Independent Director.

The individuals listed below served on the Compensation Committee during all or part of 2013, and each of these current or former members of the Compensation Committee is an Independent Director. These members reviewed and discussed with the Company's management those portions of the above Compensation Discussion and Analysis applicable to their respective terms on the Compensation Committee. Based on the reviews and discussions, they recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement.

/s/ Compensation Committee

Ronald F. Mosher, member until September 26, 2013, and Chair from May 23, 2013 until September 26, 2013

Katherine W. Ong, member starting May 23, 2013 until September 26, 2013

Michael D. Rumbolz, Chair and member starting September 26, 2013

Robert J. Kolesar, current member (and member for the entire fiscal year)

James R. Kroner, member starting September 26, 2013

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth information regarding compensation earned during 2013, 2012 and 2011 by our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer and our three other most highly compensated executive officers who were serving as executive officers as of December 31, 2013. These five officers are referred to as our NEOs in the following tables:

| Name and Principal Position | Year | Salary ⁽¹⁾ (\$) | Bonus (\$) | Stock Awards ⁽²⁾ (\$) | Option Awards ⁽³⁾ (\$) | Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation ⁽⁴⁾ (\$) | Change in Pension Value and Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$) | All Other Compensation ⁽⁶⁾ (\$) | Total (\$) |
|---|------|-------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|---------------|
| Douglas D. Dirks President and Chief Executive Officer, EHI | 2013 | 808,387 | — | 486,259 | 254,848 | 432,208 | — | 65,554 | 2,047,256 |
| | 2012 | 930,966 | — | 1,778,025 | 328,812 | 1,230,154 | — | 60,913 | 4,328,870 |
| | 2011 | 783,137 | 250,000 | 594,300 | 588,069 | — | — | 57,749 | 2,273,255 |
| William E. Yocke Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, EHI | 2013 | 439,151 | — | 100,035 | 77,440 | 160,637 | — | 45,650 | 822,913 |
| | 2012 | 462,071 | — | 489,325 | 101,520 | 457,346 | — | 44,378 | 1,554,640 |
| | 2011 | 447,091 | 90,000 | 175,319 | 173,483 | — | — | 45,313 | 931,206 |
| Lenard T. Ormsby Executive Vice President and Chief Legal Officer, EHI | 2013 | 439,151 | — | 100,035 | 77,440 | 160,637 | — | 44,263 | 821,526 |
| | 2012 | 469,428 | — | 489,325 | 101,520 | 454,808 | — | 46,671 | 1,561,752 |
| | 2011 | 435,896 | 90,000 | 175,319 | 173,483 | — | — | 45,235 | 919,933 |
| John P. Nelson Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer, EHI | 2013 | 334,391 | — | 82,251 | 63,360 | 112,284 | — | 44,615 | 636,901 |
| | 2012 | 354,559 | — | 404,225 | 78,960 | 315,192 | — | 44,217 | 1,197,153 |
| | 2011 | 336,522 | 65,000 | 147,585 | 146,039 | — | — | 44,704 | 739,850 |
| Stephen V. Festa ⁽⁵⁾ Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, EHI | 2013 | 329,363 | — | 42,237 | 31,680 | 99,458 | — | 32,329 | 535,067 |

Salary includes base salary and payments for vacation, holiday, bereavement and sick days and income recognized with respect to excess life insurance provided by the Company. Although base salary rates were increased for each (1)NEO in 2013, in 2012, the salary amount included: \$158,022, \$38,501, \$48,221, and \$37,344 for Messrs Dirks, Yocke, Ormsby, and Nelson, respectively, for accrued vacation paid pursuant to a Vacation Cash Out program provided to all employees.

(2)The amounts in the “Stock Awards” column for 2013 consist of performance shares (PSUs) and RSUs granted in 2013 under the Equity Plan. The amounts shown do not reflect compensation actually received by the NEO.

Rather, the amounts shown for 2013 represent the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with

FASB ASC Topic 718, excluding any assumption for future forfeitures. There were no actual forfeitures of stock awards by any of our NEOs in 2013 and all other assumptions used to calculate the expense amounts shown for 2013 are set forth in Note 16 to the 2013 Consolidated Financial Statements. The PSUs are units each of which is equal to the value of one share of our common stock. The PSUs will be settled as of the end of the three-year performance period to the extent that the applicable performance goals have been achieved. The values of the PSUs as of the grant date at maximum level of achievement for Messrs. Dirks, Yocke, Ormsby, Nelson and Festa were \$1,458,288, \$444,600, \$444,600, \$364,572 and \$182,286, respectively. The RSUs are units each of which is equal to the value of one share of our common stock, and vest as to 25% of the units on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of the grant. For more information regarding these awards, see the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table on page 32.

(3) The amounts in the “Options Awards” column relate to stock options granted in 2013 under the Equity Plan. The amounts shown do not reflect compensation actually received by the NEO. Rather, the amounts shown for 2013 represent the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, excluding any assumption for future forfeitures. There were no actual forfeitures of stock options by any of our NEOs in 2013 and we have used the Black-Scholes option pricing method for calculating the expense amounts shown. Specifically, the assumptions used to calculate the expense amounts shown for stock options for 2013 are set forth in Note 16 to the 2013 Consolidated Financial Statements. For more information regarding these awards, see the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table on page 32.

The Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation in this table reflects the cash bonus earned under this plan by each (4) of our NEOs with respect to 2013, which was paid in the first quarter of 2014 at 67.5% of target based upon the Company's performance compared to the pre-established performance goals.

(5) No disclosure is provided for Mr. Festa for 2012 or 2011 because he was not a NEO during those years.

(6) Includes the following payments that we made to or on behalf of our NEOs:

| Name | Year | Car Allowance (\$) | Club Membership (\$) | 401(k) Matching Contributions (\$) | Excess Accrued Vacation ^(a) (\$) | Life Insurance Premiums (\$) | Personal Benefits ^(b) (\$) | Total (\$) |
|------------------|------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|
| Douglas D. Dirks | 2013 | 15,600 | 11,400 | 10,200 | 15,481 | 5,491 | 7,382 | 65,554 |
| William E. Yocke | 2013 | 14,400 | 6,720 | 10,200 | 8,365 | 2,375 | 3,589 | 45,649 |
| Lenard T. Ormsby | 2013 | 14,400 | 6,720 | 10,200 | 8,365 | 2,375 | 2,202 | 44,262 |
| John P. Nelson | 2013 | 14,400 | 11,400 | 10,200 | 6,442 | 1,871 | 302 | 44,615 |
| Stephen V. Festa | 2013 | 12,831 | — | 10,200 | 7,500 | 1,676 | 122 | 32,329 |

For each NEO, excess accrued vacation represents the dollar value of vacation accrued during 2013, in excess of (a) the vacation accrual levels for the Company's salaried employees generally. The dollar values were determined by reference to the NEOs' base salaries in effect on December 31, 2013.

Personal benefits include the aggregate incremental costs associated with NEOs' and their guests' (i.e., spouse, (b) family member or similar guest) attendance at board meetings and/or board activities. Also included are the aggregate incremental costs associated with the NEOs' professional memberships.

GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS

Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards

2013 Annual Cash Bonus Program. As discussed above, the 2013 annual cash bonus program provides for a cash bonus, dependent upon the Company's level of achievement of a pre-established corporate goal (and for Mr. Festa, pre-established corporate and individual goals), calculated as a percentage of the NEO's base salary earned during the applicable year. This percentage varied among the executives. For 2013, the target bonus award percentages were as follows: Mr. Dirks, 80%; Messrs. Yocke and Ormsby, 55%; Mr. Nelson, 50% and Mr. Festa, 35% until he was promoted to COO in August 2013, and 55% thereafter. Amounts earned under the 2013 bonus program by our NEOs are reflected in the Summary Compensation Table above in the "Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation" column. The cash bonus opportunities under this program for 2013 for our NEOs at threshold, target and maximum performance levels are set forth below under the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards columns.

Performance Shares, Stock Options and RSUs

As discussed above, the Company granted Performance Shares (PSUs), stock options and RSUs to our NEOs in 2013 under the Equity Plan.

PSUs are equity awards granted to cover a three-year performance period commencing on January 1, 2013 and ending on December 31, 2015. Each PSU represents one share of our common stock, and the number of shares earned is based on the achievement of pre-established performance goals, which are determined at the end of the performance period. The performance goals are based on the Company's three-year average Statutory Combined Ratio for the period from January 1, 2013 until December 31, 2015, compared to the three-year Statutory Combined Ratio of the Company's peer group during the period from October 1, 2012 until September 30, 2015. At target level of achievement, 100% of the number of PSUs granted would be earned, and the maximum number of PSUs that an individual may earn based on actual performance during the performance period is 200% of the targeted number of PSUs. PSUs are subject to accelerated vesting in certain limited circumstances, such as the death, disability or retirement of the executive, or in connection with a change in control of the Company. PSUs awarded for 2013 are set forth under the All Other Stock Awards column below.

Each option was granted with an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant (which is the closing price of the shares on the date of grant), has a term of seven years, and vests as to 25% of the shares underlying each grant on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of grant. The options are subject to accelerated vesting in certain limited circumstances, such as the death, disability or retirement of the executive, or in connection

with a change in control of the Company. The stock options awarded for 2013 are set forth under the Option Awards columns below.

The RSUs are units each of which is equal to the value of one share of our common stock, and vest as to 25% of the units on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of the grant. The RSUs are subject to accelerated vesting in certain limited circumstances, such as death, disability or retirement of the executive, or in connection with a change in control of the Company. RSUs awarded for 2013 are set forth under the All Other Stock Awards column below. Mr. Dirks' employment agreement provides him with a maximum of five annual long-term incentive grants in addition to (and not in lieu of) the equity awards generally made to Company executives, including Mr. Dirks. Specifically, Mr. Dirks is entitled to a grant of RSUs with a value equal to approximately \$150,000 on up to five occasions during the term of his employment agreement (including any renewals thereof). The second of these grants occurred on March 19, 2013, the same date that the annual equity grants were made to the Company's executives, and is included with his other RSU grants in the applicable proxy tables.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2013

| Name | Grant Date | Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽¹⁾ | | | Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽²⁾ | | | All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares or Stock Units ⁽³⁾ | All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options ⁽⁴⁾ | Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh) | Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards ⁽⁵⁾ |
|------------------|------------|--|-------------|--------------|--|------------|-------------|--|---|---|---|
| | | Threshold (\$) | Target (\$) | Maximum (\$) | Threshold (#) | Target (#) | Maximum (#) | | | | |
| | n/a | — | 640,308 | 1,280,616 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Douglas D. Dirks | 3/19/2013 | — | — | — | — | 32,800 | 65,600 | — | — | — | — |
| | 3/19/2013 | — | — | — | — | — | — | 21,874 | 36,200 | 22.23 | 254,848 |
| | 3/19/2013 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 486,259 |
| William E. Yocke | n/a | — | 237,981 | 475,962 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | 3/19/2013 | — | — | — | — | 10,000 | 20,000 | — | — | — | — |
| | 3/19/2013 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 11,000 | 22.23 | 77,440 |
| | 3/19/2013 | — | — | — | — | — | — | 4,500 | — | — | 100,035 |
| Lenard T. Ormsby | n/a | — | 237,981 | 475,962 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | 3/19/2013 | — | — | — | — | 10,000 | 20,000 | — | — | — | — |
| | 3/19/2013 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 11,000 | 22.23 | 77,440 |
| | 3/19/2013 | — | — | — | — | — | — | 4,500 | — | — | 100,035 |
| John P. Nelson | n/a | — | 166,346 | 332,692 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | 3/19/2013 | — | — | — | — | 8,200 | 16,400 | — | — | — | — |
| | 3/19/2013 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 9,000 | 22.23 | 63,360 |
| | 3/19/2013 | — | — | — | — | — | — | 3,700 | — | — | 82,251 |
| Stephen V. Festa | n/a | — | 141,439 | 282,878 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | 3/19/2013 | — | — | — | — | 4,100 | 8,200 | — | — | — | — |
| | 3/19/2013 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 4,500 | 22.23 | 31,680 |
| | 3/19/2013 | — | — | — | — | — | — | 1,900 | — | — | 42,237 |

The Estimated Future Payouts under the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards columns reflect 100% of the award at (1) target level of achievement, and 200% of the award at maximum level of achievement, based on a percentage of the base salary earned by each NEO in 2013.

Amounts shown are the number of PSUs granted to the NEOs in March 2013. The PSUs will become distributable (2) in 2015, subject to, and to the extent of, the achievement of the applicable performance goals, as of the end of the performance period, which ends on December 31, 2015.

- (3) Amounts shown are the number of RSUs granted to each of the NEOs in March 2013. The RSUs will vest as to 25% of the units on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of grant.

29

- (4) Amounts shown are the number of shares underlying the options granted to the NEOs in March 2013. The options will vest as to 25% of the shares underlying the grant on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of grant. Amounts shown represent the aggregate fair value of the PSUs, RSUs and stock options as of the date of grant calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, excluding any assumption for future forfeitures. Assumptions used to calculate the grant date fair value amounts are set forth in Note 16 to the 2013 Consolidated Financial
- (5) Statements. However, the fair value shown above may not be indicative of the value realized due to the variability in the share price of our common stock. The exercise price of the stock options equals the closing price of the shares as of the date of grant, pursuant to the terms of the Equity Plan.

The Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards table should be read in conjunction with both the preceding “Compensation Discussion and Analysis,” which provides detailed information regarding our compensation philosophy and objectives, and “Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control,” below, which provides a description of the material terms of the employment and other compensatory arrangements with our NEOs.

Edgar Filing: Employers Holdings, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2013 Fiscal Year-End

The following table sets forth certain information concerning outstanding equity awards for each of our NEOs as of December 31, 2013:

| Name | Grant Date | Option Awards | | | | Stock Awards | | | | |
|------------------|------------|---|--|---|----------------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| | | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable ⁽¹⁾ | Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) | Option Exercise Price (\$) | Option Expiration Date | Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) | Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested ⁽²⁾ (\$) | Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested ⁽³⁾ (#) | Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (\$) |
| Douglas D. Dirks | 3/19/2013 | — | 36,200 | — | 22.23 | 3/19/2020 | 21,874 | 692,312 | — | — |
| | 5/24/2012 | — | — | — | — | — | 6,578 | 208,202 | — | — |
| | 3/17/2012 | 1,375 | 4,125 | — | 17.02 | 3/17/2019 | 1,350 | 42,728 | 7,200 | 227,880 |
| | 3/16/2012 | 13,200 | 39,600 | — | 17.02 | 3/16/2019 | 12,975 | 410,659 | 69,200 | 2,190,180 |
| | 3/16/2011 | 141,945 | 41,945 | — | 19.81 | 3/16/2018 | 15,000 | 474,750 | — | — |
| | 3/30/2010 | 66,375 | 22,125 | — | 15.31 | 3/30/2017 | 7,375 | 233,419 | — | — |
| | 5/28/2009 | 99,500 | — | — | 11.84 | 5/28/2016 | — | — | — | — |
| | 5/29/2008 | 95,000 | — | — | 19.21 | 5/29/2015 | — | — | — | — |
| | 8/8/2007 | 75,000 | — | — | 18.79 | 8/8/2014 | — | — | — | — |
| William E. Yocke | 3/19/2013 | — | 11,000 | — | 22.23 | 3/19/2020 | 4,500 | 142,425 | — | — |
| | 3/16/2012 | 4,500 | 13,500 | — | 17.02 | 3/16/2019 | 4,313 | 136,491 | 23,000 | 727,950 |
| | 3/16/2011 | 12,374 | 12,374 | — | 19.81 | 3/16/2018 | 4,425 | 140,051 | — | — |
| | 3/30/2010 | 20,250 | 6,750 | — | 15.31 | 3/30/2017 | 2,250 | 71,213 | — | — |
| | 5/28/2009 | 30,500 | — | — | 11.84 | 5/28/2016 | — | — | — | — |
| | 5/29/2008 | 30,000 | — | — | 19.21 | 5/29/2015 | — | — | — | — |
| Lenard T. Ormsby | 3/19/2013 | — | 11,000 | — | 22.23 | 3/19/2020 | 4,500 | 142,425 | — | — |
| | 3/16/2012 | 4,500 | 13,500 | — | 17.02 | 3/16/2019 | 4,313 | 136,491 | 23,000 | 727,950 |
| | 3/16/2011 | 12,374 | 12,374 | — | 19.81 | 3/16/2018 | 4,425 | 140,051 | — | — |
| | 3/30/2010 | 17,775 | 5,925 | — | 15.31 | 3/30/2017 | 1,975 | 62,509 | — | — |
| | 5/28/2009 | 25,500 | — | — | 11.84 | 5/28/2016 | — | — | — | — |
| | 5/29/2008 | 20,000 | — | — | 19.21 | 5/29/2015 | — | — | — | — |
| John P. Nelson | 3/19/2013 | — | 9,000 | — | 22.23 | 3/19/2020 | 3,700 | 117,105 | — | — |
| | 3/16/2012 | 3,500 | 10,500 | — | 17.02 | 3/16/2019 | 3,563 | 112,753 | 19,000 | 601,350 |
| | 3/16/2011 | 10,416 | 10,417 | — | 19.81 | 3/16/2018 | 3,725 | 117,896 | — | — |
| | 3/30/2010 | 17,775 | 5,925 | — | 15.31 | 3/30/2017 | 1,975 | 62,509 | — | — |
| | 5/28/2009 | 25,500 | — | — | 11.84 | 5/28/2016 | — | — | — | — |
| | 5/29/2008 | 25,000 | — | — | 19.21 | 5/29/2015 | — | — | — | — |
| 3/19/2013 | — | 4,500 | — | 22.23 | 3/19/2020 | 1,900 | 60,135 | — | — | |

Edgar Filing: Employers Holdings, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|-----------|--------|-------|---|-------|-----------|-------|--------|-------|---------|
| Stephen V. | 3/16/2012 | 1,625 | 4,875 | — | 17.02 | 3/16/2019 | 1,575 | 49,849 | 8,400 | 265,860 |
| Festa | 3/16/2011 | 4,823 | 4,824 | — | 19.81 | 3/16/2018 | 1,725 | 54,596 | — | — |
| | 3/30/2010 | 8,775 | 2,925 | — | 15.31 | 3/30/2017 | 975 | 30,859 | — | — |
| | 5/28/2009 | 11,144 | — | — | 11.84 | 5/28/2016 | — | — | — | — |

For the years 2013, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009, and 2008, the column reflects stock options granted in March 2013, (1) March 2012, March 2011, March 2010, May 2009, and May 2008, respectively, under the Equity Plan. The options vest as to 25% of the shares underlying the grant on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of grant.

For the year 2007, the column reflects options granted in August 2007 under the Equity Plan. Each option vested as to 25% of the shares underlying each grant six months following the date of grant and as to an additional 25% on each of the first three anniversaries of the six-month anniversary of the date of grant.

For the years 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010, the column reflects RSUs granted in March, 2013, May 2012, March (2)2012, March 2011 and March 2010, respectively, under the Equity Plan. The RSUs will vest as to 25% of the units on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of grant.

The column reflects the number of PSUs granted in March 2013 and March 2012 under our Equity Plan that would be awarded to the NEOs at the end of the three-year performance periods commencing January 1, 2013 and (3)January 1, 2012, respectively, assuming that the threshold and maximum level of the performance goal is achieved, respectively. Specifically, each grant of performance shares will be earned based on the achievement of pre-established corporate performance goals over a three-year performance period.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested for 2013

| Name | Option Awards | | Stock Awards | |
|------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|---|
| | Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#) | Value Realized on Exercise (\$) | Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting ⁽¹⁾ (#) | Value Realized on Vesting ⁽²⁾ (\$) |
| Douglas D. Dirks | — | — | 30,217 | 717,345 |
| William E. Yocke | 25,000 | 246,025 | 8,525 | 201,725 |
| Lenard T. Ormsby | 30,000 | 289,950 | 7,750 | 182,566 |
| John P. Nelson | 15,000 | 143,850 | 7,150 | 169,048 |
| Stephen V. Festa | 24,856 | 75,737 | 3,363 | 79,555 |

The number of shares acquired on vesting column reflects the vesting of 25% of the RSUs granted on May 28, (1)2009, March 30, 2010, March 16, 2011 and March 16, 2012 for each of the NEOs and March 17, 2012 and May 24, 2012 for Mr. Dirks, under the Equity Plan.

The value realized reflects the number of shares underlying the RSU grants that vested on March 16, 2013, March 17, 2013, March 30, 2013, May 24, 2013 and May 28, 2013, multiplied by the per share fair market value of the shares as of the respective vesting dates, which were \$22.53 (the closing price on March 15, 2013, which was the (2)last preceding trading date for the RSUs vesting on either March 16 or 17, 2013), \$23.45 (the closing price on March 28, 2013, which was the last preceding trading date for the RSUs vesting on March 30, 2013), \$25.07 (the closing price on May 24, 2013, for RSUs vesting on that date), and \$25.42 (the closing price on May 28, 2013, for the RSUs vesting on that date).

Pension Benefits

None of our NEOs participates in or has any accrued benefits under any qualified or nonqualified defined benefit plans maintained by the Company.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

None of our NEOs participates in or has an account balance in any nonqualified defined contribution plans or other nonqualified deferred compensation plans maintained by the Company.

Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control

The following summaries and the table that follows set forth estimated potential amounts payable to our NEOs upon termination of employment or a change in control as of December 31, 2013, under the employment agreements that were in effect as of that date, and the Company's other compensation plans, programs, policies, agreements and arrangements. The Compensation Committee may in its discretion revise, amend or add to the benefits if it deems it advisable.

As discussed above, each of our NEOs has an employment agreement with the Company. Mr. Dirks' current employment agreement is scheduled to expire on December 31, 2014, and the other NEOs' employment agreements are scheduled to expire on December 31, 2015. The following summaries describe the terms of the employment agreements with our NEOs and similarly, the numbers in the table below reflect the terms of these agreements.

Named Executive Officers' Employment Agreements

If, during the term of each of the employment agreements, the executive's employment is terminated other than (1) by reason of death or disability or (2) by the Company for cause, in either case, other than during (a)(i) the 24-month period following a change in control of the Company for Mr. Dirks, or (ii) the 18-month period following a change in control of the Company for the remaining NEOs, or (b) for each NEO, during the six-month period prior to, but in connection with, a change in control, then the executive would be entitled to receive:

severance payments equal to, for Mr. Dirks, three times his base salary payable in bi-weekly installments for 36 months and, for the remaining NEOs, two times base salary payable in bi-weekly installments for 24 months; and continued health insurance coverage for 18 months following termination of employment with the Company paying the employer portion of the premium.

If, during the term of the employment agreement, the executive terminates employment for good reason or the executive's employment is terminated for any reason other than death, disability or by the Company for cause, in each case, either (1)(a) for Mr. Dirks, within 24 months following a change in control, or (b) for the remaining NEOs, within 18 months following a change in control, or (2) for each NEO, within six months prior to, but in connection with, a change in control, then the executive would be entitled to receive:

a lump sum cash payment equal to, for Mr. Dirks, three times the sum of his base salary and the average of the annual bonus amounts he earned for the three years preceding the year in which the change in control occurs, and for the remaining NEOs, two times the sum of the executive's base salary and the average of the annual bonus amounts earned by the executive for the three years preceding the year in which the change in control occurs; and continued health insurance coverage for 18 months following the termination date with the Company paying the employer portion of the premium.

In addition, if the executive would be subject to a golden parachute excise tax imposed under section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code, the executive's change in control related payments and benefits would be capped at a statutory safe harbor (thereby avoiding imposition of the change in control related excise tax) if the executive would be better off, on an after tax basis, being capped.

The executives would be subject to certain non-competition and non-solicitation restrictions for 24 months after the termination date for Mr. Dirks, and 18 months following the executive's termination date for the remaining NEOs, in addition to other restrictive covenants. Additionally, the executives would be required to sign a global release of liability.

Termination for Death or Disability. In accordance with the Company's policies generally applicable to all employees, if the executive's employment is terminated as a result of disability, the executive would be entitled to a benefit of up to \$15,000 per month until the executive reached age 65. In addition, the Company provides life insurance benefits for its senior executives in an amount equal to three times the executive's annual base salary, subject to a \$1.5 million cap for each senior executive other than Mr. Dirks.

Additional Provision for Mr. Dirks. Mr. Dirks' employment agreement provides him with a maximum of five annual long-term incentive grants in addition to (and not in lieu of) the equity awards generally made to Company executives, including Mr. Dirks. Specifically, Mr. Dirks is entitled to a grant of RSUs with a value equal to approximately \$150,000 on up to five occasions during the term of his employment agreement (including any renewals thereof). The treatment of these RSUs is described in the "Terms of Equity Awards" section below.

Terms of Equity Awards

Terminations Not Related to a Change in Control:

Termination of Employment by the Company for other than Cause. Under the terms of the equity award agreements, if the executive's employment is terminated other than for cause, death or disability, and not in connection with a change in control, then (1) all options that are unvested as of that date would be forfeited and all then vested options would remain exercisable for one year following such termination (or one year following death if the executive dies within the one-year period following such termination), (2) a prorated portion of the executive's PSUs would be deemed

earned based on the period of time the executive had been employed during the performance period and based on the Company's achievement of the applicable performance goals as of the end of the performance period, and (3) all outstanding unvested or unearned RSUs and PSUs would be forfeited.

Termination by Reason of Death or Disability. If the executive's employment is terminated by reason of death or disability, the executive's options would vest in full as of the date of termination of employment and would remain exercisable for one year thereafter; provided, however, that if the executive's employment terminates by reason of disability and the executive dies during such one-year period, then, depending on the grant, the executive's options would remain exercisable for up to one year following death. In addition, a prorated portion of the executive's PSUs would be deemed earned based on the period of time the executive had been employed during the performance period and based on the Company's achievement of the applicable performance goals as of the end of the performance period, and the executive's RSUs would become fully vested.

Termination by the Company for Cause or by the Executive Voluntarily. If the executive's employment is terminated by the Company for cause or the executive terminates employment for any reason other than as described above or, if applicable, by reason of retirement as described below (and not in connection with a change in control) then the executive would forfeit any outstanding unvested or unearned awards. If the executive's termination is by the Company for cause, then the executive's vested options would immediately terminate. However, if the executive voluntarily terminates employment, the executive's options would remain exercisable for, depending on the grant, either 90 days or one year following termination of employment (or one year following death if the executive dies during the post-termination exercise period). In addition, the executive's unvested or unearned RSUs and PSUs would terminate upon termination of employment.

Change in Control Provisions, If Equity Awards are Not Assumed. If the executive's equity awards are not assumed or substituted in connection with a change in control, then upon the occurrence of the change in control, (1) the executive's options would become fully vested and exercisable and would terminate immediately following the change in control, and (2) the executive's RSUs would become fully vested and (3) the number of PSUs that would have been earned at target level of achievement will be deemed earned and the shares (or the equivalent value of the shares) will be payable shortly after the occurrence of the change in control.

If Equity Awards are Assumed. If the executive's options and/or RSUs are assumed or substituted for in connection with a change in control but the executive's employment is terminated without cause during the 24-month period following such change in control, then the executive's RSUs would become fully vested and the executive's options would become fully vested and exercisable. The PSUs will be treated as described in the previous paragraph, whether or not they are assumed or substituted for.

Termination by Reason of Retirement. As of December 31, 2013, the retirement provisions in our 2011, 2012 and 2013 equity awards would apply only to Mr. Ormsby because he is the only NEO who would satisfy the criteria necessary to terminate employment by reason of retirement as of that date. Specifically, with respect to these awards, upon Mr. Ormsby's retirement, 50% of his then unvested options would vest and become exercisable as of the date of his retirement and all remaining unvested options would be forfeited. In addition, all of his vested options would remain exercisable for three years following the date of his retirement (but not later than the expiration of the option term) and if he were to die during this post-termination exercise period, his vested options would remain exercisable for at least one year following his death (but not later than the expiration of the option term). With respect to the 2011, 2012 and 2013 RSU and PSU awards, 50% of his then unvested RSUs would vest and the remaining unvested RSUs would be forfeited, and a prorated portion of his PSUs would be deemed earned based on the number of months that he continued in employment during the applicable performance period, and would become payable upon the applicable payment date based on the Company's actual performance and provided that he refrains from breaching Company confidentiality or non-solicitation agreements and violating certain restrictive covenants applicable to him. For purposes of our equity awards, "retirement" is defined as termination of employment after attaining age 60 and completing 10 years of continuous service, provided that the executive has given written notice of intent to retire no fewer than six months prior to the date that the executive terminates employment.

2013 Annual Bonus Program for all Named Executive Officers

The following termination and change in control provisions of our 2013 Annual Bonus Program apply to the annual bonuses granted to each of our NEOs:

Death or Disability. If the executive's employment terminates prior to December 31, 2013, by reason of death or disability, the 2013 annual bonus award would become payable at the same time as it would otherwise have been paid, calculated as if the executive had continued in employment until December 31, 2013, and based on the actual salary that the executive would have earned had he remained in employment through December 31, 2013, and subject to, and to the extent of, the actual achievement of the performance goals.

Involuntary Termination Without Cause. If the Company terminates the executive's employment prior to December 31, 2013, other than for cause, then the executive would be entitled to a prorated annual bonus, payable at the same time as this bonus would otherwise have been paid, in an amount equal to the product of (1) the total value of the annual bonus that would have been paid had the executive continued in employment until December 31, 2013, calculated based on the actual salary that would have earned for 2013 had the executive remained in employment through December 31, 2013, and subject to, and to the extent of, the actual achievement of the performance goals; and (2) a fraction, the numerator of which is the number of full months elapsed from January 1, 2013, until the executive's date of termination, and the denominator of which is 12.

For Cause; Voluntary Termination. If the Company terminates the executive's employment for cause or the executive voluntarily terminates employment for any reason prior to the date the 2013 annual bonuses are paid out, other than for any of the reasons described above, then the executive's 2013 annual bonus would terminate and be forfeited immediately.

Change in Control. Upon the consummation of a change in control, if the executive remains continuously employed through such consummation, then the executive would be entitled to a prorated 2013 annual bonus award, payable as soon as practicable following the consummation of the change in control, in an amount equal to the product of (1) the greater of (a) the total value of the annual bonus that would have been paid to the executive had the executive continued in employment until December 31, 2013, subject to, and to the extent of, the actual achievement of the performance goals as of the consummation of the change in control, and (b) the total value of the annual bonus that would have been paid had the executive continued in employment until December 31, 2013, assuming target level of achievement of all applicable performance goals, and (2) a fraction, the numerator of which is the number of full months elapsed from January 1, 2013, until the consummation of the change in control, and the denominator of which is 12.

Assuming the employment of each of the NEOs had terminated on December 31, 2013, under each of the circumstances set forth in the table below (including the occurrence of a change in control on December 31, 2013), the payments and benefits described above would have the estimated values under their employment agreements, and other applicable plans, programs, policies, agreements and arrangements, as provided below.

Employment Termination and Change-in-Control Payments and Benefits

| Name | Salary (\$) | Bonus ⁽¹⁾ (\$) | Accrued Vacation (\$) | Medical Continuation (\$) | Death Benefit (\$) | Disability Benefits ⁽²⁾ (\$) | Value of Accelerated Equity (\$) | Total (\$) |
|---|----------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|---------------|
| Douglas D. Dirks | | | | | | | | |
| Termination not in connection with a change in control either (a) by EHI for other than (i) cause, (ii) death or (iii) disability, or (b) by the executive for good reason. | 2,415,000 | 432,208 | 154,305 | 37,795 | — | — | 1,612,040 | 4,651,347 |
| Termination in connection with a change in control (a) by EHI for other than (i) cause, (ii) death or (iii) disability, or (b) by the executive for good reason. | 2,415,000 | 2,120,462 | 154,305 | 37,795 | — | — | 6,148,071 ⁽³⁾ | 10,875,632 |
| Voluntary Termination | — | — | 154,305 | — | — | — | — | 154,305 |
| Termination for Cause | — | — | 154,305 | — | — | — | — | 154,305 |
| Change in Control | — | 640,308 ⁽⁴⁾ | — | — | — | — | 6,148,071 ⁽⁵⁾ | 6,788,379 |
| Death | — | 432,208 | 154,305 | — | 2,415,000 | — | 5,512,961 | 8,514,473 |
| Disability | — | 432,208 | 154,305 | — | — | 1,740,000 | 5,512,961 | 7,839,473 |
| William E. Yocke | | | | | | | | |
| Termination not in connection with a change in control either (a) by EHI for other than (i) cause, (ii) death or (iii) disability, or (b) by the executive for good reason. | 870,000 | 160,637 | 67,709 | 23,649 | — | — | 485,300 | 1,607,295 |
| Termination in connection with a change in control (a) by EHI for other than (i) cause, (ii) death or (iii) disability, or (b) by the executive for good reason. | 870,000 | 602,878 | 67,709 | 23,649 | — | — | 1,728,583 ⁽³⁾ | 3,292,819 |
| Voluntary Termination | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |