

Edgar Filing: Douglas Emmett Inc - Form 10-Q

Douglas Emmett Inc
Form 10-Q
August 07, 2012

United States
Securities and Exchange Commission
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13

OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012

Commission file number 001-33106

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

MARYLAND

20-3073047

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

808 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 200, Santa Monica,
California

90401

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(310) 255-7700

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

None

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Edgar Filing: Douglas Emmett Inc - Form 10-Q

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding at July 31, 2012
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share	139,735,070 shares

1

DOUGLAS EMMETT, INC.
FORM 10-Q
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE NO.
<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1	
<u>Financial Statements</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2012 (unaudited) and December 31, 2011</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (unaudited)</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (unaudited)</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (unaudited)</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>7</u>
Item 2	
<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>20</u>
Item 3	
<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>28</u>
Item 4	
<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>29</u>
<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1	
<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>30</u>
Item 1A	
<u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>30</u>
Item 2	
<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>30</u>
Item 3	
<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>30</u>
Item 4	
<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>30</u>
Item 5	
<u>Other Information</u>	<u>30</u>
Item 6	
<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>32</u>

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(in thousands, except shares and per share data)

	June 30, 2012 (unaudited)	December 31, 2011
Assets		
Investment in real estate:		
Land	\$851,679	\$851,679
Buildings and improvements	5,236,554	5,233,692
Tenant improvements and lease intangibles	666,551	640,647
Investment in real estate, gross	6,754,784	6,726,018
Less: accumulated depreciation	(1,212,144)	(1,119,619)
Investment in real estate, net	5,542,640	5,606,399
Cash and cash equivalents	162,025	406,977
Tenant receivables, net	1,984	1,722
Deferred rent receivables, net	61,527	58,681
Interest rate contracts	22	699
Acquired lease intangible assets, net	5,481	6,379
Investment in unconsolidated real estate funds	150,571	117,055
Other assets	33,211	33,690
Total assets	\$5,957,461	\$6,231,602
Liabilities		
Secured notes payable, including loan premium	\$3,256,140	\$3,624,156
Interest payable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities	47,305	55,280
Security deposits	34,073	33,954
Acquired lease intangible liabilities, net	76,566	86,801
Interest rate contracts	107,471	98,417
Dividends payable	20,960	17,039
Total liabilities	3,542,515	3,915,647
Equity		
Douglas Emmett, Inc. stockholders' equity:		
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value 750,000,000 authorized, 139,731,748 and 131,070,239 outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively	1,397	1,311
Additional paid-in capital	2,614,117	2,461,649
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(90,570)	(89,180)
Accumulated deficit	(538,665)	(508,674)
Total Douglas Emmett, Inc. stockholders' equity	1,986,279	1,865,106
Noncontrolling interests	428,667	450,849
Total equity	2,414,946	2,315,955
Total liabilities and equity	\$5,957,461	\$6,231,602

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Operations
(unaudited and in thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Revenues				
Office rental				
Rental revenues	\$98,523	\$98,109	\$196,561	\$197,319
Tenant recoveries	11,787	12,744	21,762	22,069
Parking and other income	17,885	17,046	35,142	33,906
Total office revenues	128,195	127,899	253,465	253,294
Multifamily rental				
Rental revenues	16,939	16,230	33,687	32,275
Parking and other income	1,334	1,279	2,704	2,430
Total multifamily revenues	18,273	17,509	36,391	34,705
Total revenues	146,468	145,408	289,856	287,999
Operating Expenses				
Office expense	42,444	42,183	83,391	82,787
Multifamily expense	4,931	4,736	9,861	9,485
General and administrative	6,741	6,820	13,441	14,306
Depreciation and amortization	46,728	57,114	92,525	114,267
Total operating expenses	100,844	110,853	199,218	220,845
Operating income	45,624	34,555	90,638	67,154
Other income	159	343	392	599
Loss including depreciation, from unconsolidated real estate funds	(1,117)	(255)	(2,101)	(1,779)
Interest expense	(36,591)	(40,852)	(74,152)	(72,528)
Net income (loss)	8,075	(6,209)	14,777	(6,554)
Less: Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	(1,548)	1,193	(2,864)	1,189
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$6,527	\$(5,016)	\$11,913	\$(5,365)
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders per share – basic	\$0.05	\$(0.04)	\$0.09	\$(0.04)
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders per share – diluted	\$0.05	\$(0.04)	\$0.08	\$(0.04)
Dividends declared per common share	\$0.15	\$0.13	\$0.30	\$0.23

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.
 Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income
 (unaudited and in thousands)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended June	
	June 30,		30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net income (loss)	\$8,075	\$(6,209)	\$14,777	\$(6,554)
Other comprehensive income (loss): cash flow hedge adjustment	(9,465)	(14,914)	597	15,779
Comprehensive income (loss)	(1,390)	(21,123)	15,374	9,225
Less comprehensive (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	90	4,124	(4,851)	(2,159)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$(1,300)	\$(16,999)	\$10,523	\$7,066

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(unaudited and in thousands)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
Operating Activities		
Net income (loss)	\$14,777	\$(6,554)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Loss, including depreciation, from unconsolidated real estate funds	2,101	1,779
Depreciation and amortization	92,525	114,267
Net accretion of acquired lease intangibles	(9,336)	(10,681)
Amortization of deferred loan costs	2,051	2,305
Amortization of loan premium	(1,060)	(5,651)
Non-cash market value adjustments on interest rate contracts	7,766	12,102
Non-cash amortization of stock-based compensation	3,052	3,754
Change in working capital components:		
Tenant receivables	(262)) 301
Deferred rent receivables	(2,846)) (5,296)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(3,557)) (1,763)
Security deposits	119	1,145
Other	4,305	3,390
Net cash provided by operating activities	109,635	109,098
Investing Activities		
Capital expenditures	(29,893)) (26,203)
Contributions to unconsolidated real estate funds	(2,604)) (9,211)
Acquisitions of additional interests in unconsolidated real estate funds	(33,448)) —
Distributions from unconsolidated real estate funds	2,570	2,835
Net cash used in investing activities	(63,375)) (32,579)
Financing Activities		
Proceeds from long-term borrowings	155,000	860,000
Deferred loan costs	(757)) (6,945)
Payment of refundable loan deposit	(6,270)) (7,100)
Refund of loan deposit	1,575	—
Repayment of borrowings	(521,956)) (853,260)
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	(9,068)) (6,570)
Cash dividends	(37,983)) (24,843)
Investment in taxable REIT subsidiary	—	10
Distributions of capital to noncontrolling interests	(10)) —
Issuance of common stock, net	128,257	21,909
Net cash used in financing activities	(291,212)) (16,799)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(244,952)) 59,720
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	406,977	272,419
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$162,025	\$332,139

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(unaudited)

1. Overview

Organization and Description of Business

Douglas Emmett, Inc. is a fully integrated, self-administered and self-managed Real Estate Investment Trust (REIT). We are one of the largest owners and operators of high-quality office and multifamily properties in Los Angeles County, California and in Honolulu, Hawaii. We focus on owning and acquiring a substantial share of top-tier office properties and premier multifamily communities in neighborhoods that possess significant supply constraints, high-end executive housing and key lifestyle amenities.

Through our interest in Douglas Emmett Properties, LP (our operating partnership) and its subsidiaries, as well as our investment in our institutional unconsolidated real estate funds (Funds), we own or partially own, manage, lease, acquire and develop real estate, consisting primarily of office and multifamily properties in Los Angeles County, California and Honolulu, Hawaii. As of June 30, 2012, we own a consolidated portfolio of 50 office properties (including ancillary retail space) and 9 multifamily properties, as well as the fee interests in 2 parcels of land subject to ground leases. Alongside our consolidated portfolio, we also manage and own equity interests in our Funds which, at June 30, 2012, owned 8 additional office properties, for a combined 58 office properties in our total portfolio.

The terms “us,” “we” and “our” as used in these financial statements refer to Douglas Emmett, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 are the consolidated financial statements of Douglas Emmett, Inc. and our subsidiaries, including our operating partnership. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in our consolidated financial statements.

The accompanying unaudited interim financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in the financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) may have been condensed or omitted pursuant to SEC rules and regulations, although we believe that the disclosures are adequate to make their presentation not misleading. The accompanying unaudited financial statements include, in our opinion, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly the financial information set forth therein. The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ended December 31, 2012. The interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K and the notes thereto. Any reference to the number of properties and square footage are unaudited and outside the scope of our independent registered public accounting firm’s review of our financial statements in accordance with the standards of the United States Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to make certain estimates and assumptions, including, for example, with respect to the allocation of the purchase price of acquisitions among land, buildings, improvements, equipment and any related intangible assets and liabilities. These estimates and assumptions are subjective and affect the reported amounts in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

We have not made any material changes during the period covered by this report to our significant accounting policies included in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

7

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

(unaudited)

Earnings Per Share (EPS)

We calculate basic EPS by dividing the net income attributable to common stockholders for the period by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. We calculate fully diluted EPS by dividing the net income attributable to common stockholders and holders of equity in our consolidated operating partnership for the period by the weighted average number of common shares and dilutive instruments outstanding during the period using the treasury stock method.

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Numerator (in thousands):				
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$6,527	\$(5,016)	\$11,913	\$(5,365)
Add back: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests in our Operating Partnership	1,431	—	2,641	—
Numerator for diluted net income (loss) attributable to all equity holders	\$7,958	\$(5,016)	\$14,554	\$(5,365)
Denominator (in thousands):				
Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding - basic	139,651	124,610	139,025	124,411
Effect of dilutive securities ⁽¹⁾ :				
Operating partnership units	30,548	—	30,825	—
Stock options	2,392	—	2,106	—
Unvested long term incentive plan (LTIP) units	602	—	525	—
Weighted average shares of common stock and common stock equivalents outstanding - diluted	173,193	124,610	172,481	124,411
Basic earnings per share:				
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders per share	\$0.05	\$(0.04)	\$0.09	\$(0.04)
Diluted earnings per share:				
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders per share	\$0.05	\$(0.04)	\$0.08	\$(0.04)

Diluted shares represent ownership in our company through shares of common stock, units in our operating partnership and other convertible equity instruments. Basic and diluted shares are calculated in accordance with GAAP, and include common stock plus dilutive equity instruments, as appropriate. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, all potentially dilutive instruments, including OP units, stock options, and LTIP units have been excluded from the computation of weighted average dilutive shares outstanding because they were not dilutive.

Income Taxes

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Provided we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we are generally not subject to corporate-level income tax on the earnings distributed currently to our stockholders that we derive from our REIT qualifying activities. We are subject to corporate-level tax on the

earnings we derive through our taxable REIT subsidiaries (TRS).

Recently Issued Accounting Literature

Changes to GAAP are established by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) in the form of Accounting Standard Updates (ASUs). We consider the applicability and impact of all ASUs. The FASB did not issue any ASUs during the first six months of 2012 that we expect to be applicable and have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

8

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

(unaudited)

3. Segment Reporting

Segment information is prepared on the same basis that we review information for operational decision-making purposes. We operate in two business segments: (i) the acquisition, redevelopment, ownership and management of office real estate and (ii) the acquisition, redevelopment, ownership and management of multifamily real estate. The products for our office segment primarily include rental of office space and other tenant services, including parking and storage space rental. The products for our multifamily segment include rental of apartments and other tenant services, including parking and storage space rental.

Asset information by segment is not reported because we do not use this measure to assess performance or make decisions to allocate resources. Therefore, depreciation and amortization expense is not allocated among segments. Interest and other income, management services, general and administrative expenses, interest expense, and net derivative gains and losses are not included in segment profit as our internal reporting addresses these items on a corporate level.

Segment profit is not a measure of operating income or cash flows from operating activities as measured by GAAP, and it is not indicative of cash available to fund cash needs and should not be considered an alternative to cash flows as a measure of liquidity. Not all companies may calculate segment profit in the same manner. We consider segment profit to be an appropriate supplemental measure to net income because it can assist both investors and management in understanding the core operations of our properties.

The following table represents operating activity within our reportable segments (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Office Segment				
Rental revenue	\$128,195	\$127,899	\$253,465	\$253,294
Rental expense	(42,444)	(42,183)	(83,391)	(82,787)
Segment profit	85,751	85,716	170,074	170,507
Multifamily Segment				
Rental revenue	18,273	17,509	36,391	34,705
Rental expense	(4,931)	(4,736)	(9,861)	(9,485)
Segment profit	13,342	12,773	26,530	25,220
Total segments' profit	\$99,093	\$98,489	\$196,604	\$195,727

The following table is a reconciliation of segment profit to net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Total segments' profit	\$99,093	\$98,489	\$196,604	\$195,727
General and administrative expense	(6,741)	(6,820)	(13,441)	(14,306)
Depreciation and amortization	(46,728)	(57,114)	(92,525)	(114,267)
Other income	159	343	392	599
Loss, including depreciation, from unconsolidated real estate funds	(1,117)	(255)	(2,101)	(1,779)

Edgar Filing: Douglas Emmett Inc - Form 10-Q

Interest expense	(36,591) (40,852) (74,152) (72,528)
Net income (loss)	8,075	(6,209) 14,777	(6,554)
Less: Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	(1,548) 1,193	(2,864) 1,189	
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$6,527	\$(5,016) \$11,913	\$(5,365)

9

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

(unaudited)

4. Other Assets

Other assets consist of the following (in thousands) as of:

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Deferred loan costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$6,085 and \$8,850 at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively	\$20,154	\$21,448
Restricted cash	2,377	2,434
Prepaid expenses	735	3,770
Interest receivable	—	334
Other indefinite-lived intangible	1,988	1,988
Deposits in escrow	6,270	1,575
Other	1,687	2,141
Total other assets	\$33,211	\$33,690

We incurred deferred loan cost amortization expense of \$896 thousand and \$926 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$2.1 million and \$2.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Deferred loan cost amortization is included as a component of interest expense in the consolidated statements of operations.

5. Interest Payable, Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities consist of the following (in thousands) as of:

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Accounts payable	\$22,347	\$28,360
Accrued interest payable	9,796	10,781
Deferred revenue	15,162	16,139
Total interest payable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$47,305	\$55,280

6. Acquired Lease Intangibles

The following summarizes our acquired lease intangibles related to above/below-market leases (in thousands) as of:

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Above-market tenant leases	\$34,968	\$34,968
Accumulated amortization	(32,249)	(31,389)
Below-market ground leases	3,198	3,198
Accumulated amortization	(436)	(398)
Acquired lease intangible assets, net	\$5,481	\$6,379
Below-market tenant leases	\$263,220	\$263,220
Accumulated accretion	(199,507)	(189,371)

Edgar Filing: Douglas Emmett Inc - Form 10-Q

Above-market ground leases	16,200	16,200	
Accumulated accretion	(3,347) (3,248)
Acquired lease intangible liabilities, net	\$76,566	\$86,801	

10

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

(unaudited)

7. Secured Notes Payable

The following summarizes our secured notes payable (in thousands):

Description	Maturity Date ⁽¹⁾	Outstanding Principal Balance as of June 30, 2012	Outstanding Principal Balance as of December 31, 2011	Variable Interest Rate	Effective Annual Fixed Interest Rate ⁽¹⁾	Swap Maturity Date ⁽¹⁾
Term Loans	8/31/2012	\$—	\$521,956	LIBOR + 0.85%	N/A	--
Term Loan ⁽²⁾	3/3/2014	16,140	16,140	LIBOR + 1.85%	N/A	--
Fannie Mae Loan ⁽³⁾	2/1/2015	111,920	111,920	DMBS + 0.707%	N/A	--
Term Loan	4/1/2015	340,000	340,000	LIBOR + 1.50%	4.77%	1/2/2013
Fannie Mae Loan	2/1/2016	82,000	82,000	LIBOR + 0.62%	N/A	--
Fannie Mae Loans	6/1/2017	18,000	18,000	LIBOR + 0.62%	N/A	--
Term Loan	10/2/2017	400,000	400,000	LIBOR + 2.00%	4.45%	7/1/2015
Term Loan	4/2/2018	510,000	510,000	LIBOR + 2.00%	4.12%	4/1/2016
Term Loan	8/1/2018	530,000	530,000	LIBOR + 1.70%	3.74%	8/1/2016
Term Loan ⁽⁴⁾	8/5/2018	355,000	355,000	N/A	4.14%	--
Term Loan ⁽⁵⁾	2/1/2019	155,000	—	N/A	4.00%	--
Term Loan ⁽⁶⁾	3/1/2020 ⁽⁷⁾	350,000	350,000	N/A	4.46%	--
Fannie Mae Loans	11/2/2020	388,080	388,080	LIBOR + 1.65%	3.65%	11/1/2017
Aggregate loan principal		3,256,140	3,623,096			
Unamortized Loan Premium ⁽⁸⁾		—	1,060			
Total		\$3,256,140	\$3,624,156			
Aggregate amount of effective fixed rate loans		\$2,168,080	\$2,268,080		4.11%	
Aggregate amount of fixed rate loans		860,000	705,000		4.25%	
Aggregate amount of variable rate loans		228,060	650,016		N/A	
Aggregate loan principal		3,256,140	3,623,096			
Unamortized loan premium		—	1,060			
Total		\$3,256,140	\$3,624,156			

(1) Includes the effect of interest rate contracts and excludes amortization of loan fees, all shown on an actual/360-day basis. As of June 30, 2012, the weighted average remaining life of our consolidated outstanding debt was 5.8 years. Of the \$3.03 billion of that debt where the interest rate was fixed under the terms of the loan or a swap, the

weighted average remaining life was 6.1 years, the weighted average remaining period during which interest was fixed was 4.4 years, and the weighted average annual interest rate was 4.15%. Including the non-cash amortization of interest rate contracts, loan premium and prepaid financing, the effective weighted average interest rate was 4.31%. Except as otherwise noted, each loan is secured by a separate collateral pool consisting of one or more properties, requiring monthly payments of interest only with outstanding principal due upon maturity.

- (2) The borrower is a consolidated entity in which our operating partnership owns a two-thirds interest.
- (3) The loan has a \$75.0 million tranche bearing interest at DMBS + 0.76% and a \$36.9 million tranche bearing interest at DMBS + 0.60%.
- (4) Monthly payments are interest-only until February 5, 2016, with principal amortization thereafter based upon a 30-year amortization table.
- (5) Interest-only until February 2015, with principal amortization thereafter based upon a 30-year amortization table. Bears interest at a fixed interest rate until March 1, 2018 and a floating interest rate based on LIBOR thereafter.
- (6) Monthly interest payments are interest-only until March 1, 2014, with principal amortization thereafter based upon a 30-year amortization table.
- (7) We have 2 one-year extension options, which would extend the maturity to March 1, 2020 from March 1, 2018, subject to meeting certain conditions.
- (8) Represents non-cash mark-to-market adjustment on variable rate debt associated with office properties.

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

(unaudited)

The minimum future principal payments due on our secured notes payable at June 30, 2012, were as follows (in thousands):

Twelve months ending June 30:	
2013	\$—
2014	17,538
2015	458,794
2016	92,793
2017	33,359
Thereafter	2,653,656
Total future principal payments	\$3,256,140

8. Interest Rate Contracts

Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

We manage our interest rate risk associated with floating-rate borrowings by obtaining interest rate swap and interest rate cap contracts. Our objective in using derivatives is to add stability to interest expense and to manage our exposure to interest rate movements or other identified risks. To accomplish this objective, we primarily use interest rate swaps as part of our cash flow hedging strategy to convert our floating-rate debt to a fixed-rate basis, thus reducing the impact of interest-rate changes on future interest expense and cash flows. These agreements involve the receipt of floating-rate amounts in exchange for fixed-rate interest payments over the life of the agreements without an exchange of the underlying principal amount. In limited instances, we use interest rate caps to limit our exposure to interest rate increases on an underlying floating-rate debt instrument. We may enter into derivative contracts that are intended to hedge certain economic risks, even though hedge accounting does not apply, or for which we elect to not apply hedge accounting. We do not use any other derivative instruments.

As of June 30, 2012, the totals of our existing swaps that qualified as highly effective cash flow hedges were as follows:

Interest Rate Derivative	Number of Instruments	Notional (in thousands)
Interest Rate Swaps	9	\$2,168,080
Interest Rate Caps	2	\$111,920

Non-designated Hedges

Derivatives not designated as hedges are not speculative. As of June 30, 2012, we had the following outstanding interest rate derivatives that were not designated for accounting purposes as hedging instruments, but were used to hedge our economic exposure to interest rate risk:

Interest Rate Derivative	Number of Instruments	Notional (in thousands)
Purchased Caps	4	\$100,000

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

(unaudited)

Credit-risk-related Contingent Features

We have agreements with each of our derivative counterparties that contain a provision under which we could also be declared in default on our derivative obligations if we default on any of our indebtedness, including any default where repayment of the indebtedness has not been accelerated by the lender. We have agreements with certain of our derivative counterparties that contain a provision under which, if we fail to maintain a minimum cash and cash equivalents balance of \$1.0 million, then the derivative counterparty would have the right to terminate the derivative. There have been no events of default on any of our derivatives.

As of June 30, 2012, the fair value of derivatives in a net liability position, when aggregated by counterparty, was \$115.2 million, which includes accrued interest but excludes any adjustment for nonperformance risk related to these agreements.

Accounting for Interest Rate Contracts

Hedge accounting generally provides for the timing of gain or loss recognition on the hedging instrument to match the earnings effect of the hedged forecasted transactions in a cash flow hedge. All other changes in fair value, with the exception of hedge ineffectiveness, are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (AOCI), which is a component of equity outside of earnings. Amounts reported in AOCI related to derivatives designated as accounting hedges will be reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on our hedged variable-rate debt. The ineffective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is recognized directly in earnings as interest expense. We assess the effectiveness of each hedging relationship by comparing the changes in fair value or cash flows of the derivative hedging instrument with the changes in fair value or cash flows of the designated hedged item or transaction. For derivatives not designated as hedges, changes in fair value are recognized directly in earnings as interest expense.

For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, we estimate an additional \$42.5 million will be reclassified within the next 12 months from AOCI to interest expense as an increase to interest expense.

The following table represents the effect of derivative instruments on our consolidated statements of operations (in thousands) for the six months ended June 30:

	2012		2011
Derivatives Designated as Cash Flow Hedges:			
Amount of gain (loss) recognized in other comprehensive income (OCI) on derivatives (effective portion)	\$(30,761)	\$(29,162)
Amount of gain (loss) reclassified from AOCI into earnings under "interest expense" (effective portion) ⁽¹⁾	\$(31,252)	\$(44,941)
Amount of gain (loss) on derivatives recognized in earnings under "interest expense" (ineffective portion and amount excluded from effectiveness testing) ⁽²⁾	\$(169)	\$45
Derivatives Not Designated as Cash Flow Hedges:			
Amount of realized and unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives recognized in earnings under "interest expense"	\$(28)	\$(188)

(1)

Edgar Filing: Douglas Emmett Inc - Form 10-Q

The six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 includes a non-cash expense of \$7.6 million and \$8.9 million, respectively, related to the amortization of accumulated other comprehensive income balances on previously terminated swaps.

- (2) The six months ended June 30, 2012 includes a net non-cash loss of \$138 thousand related to previously terminated swaps.

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

(unaudited)

Fair Value Measurement

We record all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value, using the framework for measuring fair value established by the FASB. The fair value of these hedges is obtained through independent third-party valuation sources that use conventional valuation algorithms. The following table represents the fair values of derivative instruments (in thousands) as of:

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Derivative assets disclosed as "Interest Rate Contracts":		
Derivatives designated as accounting hedges	\$5	\$55
Derivatives not designated as accounting hedges	17	644
Total derivative assets	\$22	\$699
Derivative liabilities disclosed as "Interest Rate Contracts":		
Derivatives designated as accounting hedges	\$107,471	\$97,774
Derivatives not designated as accounting hedges	—	643
Total derivative liabilities	\$107,471	\$98,417

The FASB fair value framework includes a hierarchy that distinguishes between assumptions based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity and the reporting entity's own assumptions about market-based inputs. Level 1 inputs utilize unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 inputs are observable either directly or indirectly for similar assets and liabilities in active markets. Level 3 inputs are unobservable assumptions generated by the reporting entity.

The valuation of our interest rate swaps and caps is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques, including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves and implied volatilities. We incorporate credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both our own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of our derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, we considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings, thresholds, mutual puts and guarantees. We have determined that our derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. We did not have any fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) as of June 30, 2012.

The table below presents the derivative assets and liabilities presented in our financial statements at their estimated fair value on a gross basis as of June 30, 2012 without reflecting any net settlement positions with the same counterparty (in thousands):

	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets and Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Balance at June 30, 2012
Assets				
Interest Rate Contracts	\$—	\$22	\$—	\$22

Edgar Filing: Douglas Emmett Inc - Form 10-Q

Liabilities

Interest Rate Contracts	\$—	\$107,471	\$—	\$107,471
----------------------------	-----	-----------	-----	-----------

14

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

(unaudited)

9. Equity

Noncontrolling Interests

Noncontrolling interests in our operating partnership relate to interests that are not owned by us. Noncontrolling interests represented approximately 18% of our operating partnership at June 30, 2012. A unit in our operating partnership and a share of our common stock have essentially the same economic characteristics, as they share equally in the total net income or loss distributions of our operating partnership. Investors who own units in our operating partnership have the right to cause our operating partnership to redeem any or all of their units in our operating partnership for an amount of cash per unit equal to the then-current market value of one share of common stock, or, at our election, shares of our common stock on a one-for-one basis.

Noncontrolling interests also includes the interest of a minority partner in a joint venture which owns an office building in Honolulu, Hawaii. The joint venture is two-thirds owned by our operating partnership and was consolidated in our financial statements as of June 30, 2012.

The tables below represent our condensed consolidated statements of equity (in thousands):

	Douglas Emmett, Inc. Stockholders' Equity	Noncontrolling Interests	Total Equity
Balance as of January 1, 2012, as reported	\$1,865,106	\$450,849	\$2,315,955
Net income	11,913	2,864	14,777
Cash flow hedge adjustment	(1,390) 1,987	597
Dividends and distributions	(41,904) (9,068) (50,972
Conversion of operating partnership units	23,950	(23,950) —
Stock compensation	347	5,995	6,342
Sale of common stock, net of offering costs	128,257	—	128,257
Other	—	(10) (10
Balance as of June 30, 2012	\$1,986,279	\$428,667	\$2,414,946

	Douglas Emmett, Inc. Stockholders' Equity	Noncontrolling Interests	Total Equity
Balance as of January 1, 2011, as reported	\$1,827,061	\$472,108	\$2,299,169
Net loss	(5,365) (1,189) (6,554
Cash flow hedge adjustment	12,431	3,348	15,779
Dividends and distributions	(28,748) (6,406) (35,154
Conversion of operating partnership units	4,328	(4,328) —
Stock compensation	713	6,116	6,829
Sale of common stock, net of offering costs	21,499	—	21,499
Other	—	10	10
Balance as of June 30, 2011	\$1,831,919	\$469,659	\$2,301,578

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

(unaudited)

Equity Sales, Conversions and Repurchases

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, we sold 6.9 million shares of our common stock in open market transactions under our “at the market” (ATM) stock offering program for net proceeds of approximately \$128.3 million, and approximately 1.7 million units in our operating partnership were converted to shares of our common stock. We did not make any repurchases of shares or share equivalents during the six months ended June 30, 2012. The table below represents the net income attributable to common stockholders and transfers (to) from the noncontrolling interests (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$6,527	\$(5,016)	\$11,913	\$(5,365)
Transfers from the noncontrolling interests:				
Increase in common stockholders paid-in capital for redemption of operating partnership units	1,401	1,844	23,933	4,325
Change from net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders and transfers from noncontrolling interest	\$7,928	\$(3,172)	\$35,846	\$(1,040)

Stock-Based Compensation

The Douglas Emmett, Inc. 2006 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan is administered by the compensation committee of our board of directors. All officers, employees, directors and consultants are eligible to participate in our stock incentive plan. For more information on our stock incentive plan, please refer to the notes to the consolidated financial statements in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K, which was filed with the SEC on February 24, 2012.

Total net equity compensation expense for equity grants was \$1.6 million and \$2.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$3.1 million and \$3.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. These amounts do not include (i) capitalized equity compensation totaling \$134 thousand and \$140 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$254 thousand and \$271 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and (ii) \$3.0 million and \$2.8 million in immediately vested equity grants issued during the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, to satisfy a portion of the annual bonuses that were accrued during the prior year. During each year, we accrue the estimated amount of bonuses that are expected to be settled with immediately vested equity shortly after the end of that year as part of the annual bonuses. Compensation expense for our long term incentive plan units which are not immediately vested is recognized using the accelerated recognition method. Compensation expense for options which are not immediately vested is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period which is equal to the vesting period. Certain amounts of equity compensation expense are capitalized for employees who provide leasing and construction services.

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

(unaudited)

10. Future Minimum Lease Receipts

We lease space to tenants primarily under non-cancelable operating leases that generally contain provisions for a base rent plus reimbursement for certain operating expenses. Operating expense reimbursements are reflected in our consolidated statements of operations as tenant recoveries.

We also lease space to certain tenants under non-cancelable leases that provide for percentage rents based upon tenant revenues. Percentage rental income for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 totaled \$124 thousand and \$106 thousand, respectively, and \$299 thousand and \$254 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Future minimum base rentals on our non-cancelable office and ground operating leases at June 30, 2012 were as follows (in thousands):

Twelve months ending June 30:	
2013	\$359,741
2014	312,065
2015	259,844
2016	211,599
2017	166,029
Thereafter	443,042
Total future minimum base rentals	\$1,752,320

The above future minimum lease receipts exclude residential leases, which typically have a term of one year or less, as well as tenant reimbursements, amortization of deferred rent receivables and above/below-market lease intangibles. Some leases are subject to termination options, generally upon payment of a termination fee. The preceding table assumes that these options are not exercised.

11. Future Minimum Lease Payments

We currently lease portions of the land underlying two of our office properties. We expensed ground lease payments of \$543 thousand and \$543 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$1.1 million and \$1.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. We have a purchase option in one of these two leases, which we may exercise at any time prior to May 31, 2014 for a purchase price of \$27.5 million. Because we have the ability and the intent to exercise this option, we have excluded payments under this lease from the future minimum rent payments in the table below. The following is a schedule of our future minimum ground lease payments as of June 30, 2012 (in thousands):

Twelve months ending June 30:	
2013	\$733
2014	733
2015	733
2016	733
2017	733
Thereafter	50,942

Total future minimum lease payments

\$54,607

17

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

(unaudited)

12. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Our estimates of the fair value of financial instruments at June 30, 2012 were determined using available market information and appropriate valuation methods. Considerable judgment is necessary to interpret market data and develop an estimated fair value. The use of different market assumptions or estimation methods may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

The carrying amounts for cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, rents and other receivables, due from affiliates, interest payable, accounts payable and other liabilities approximate their fair values because of the short-term nature of these instruments. We calculate the fair value of our secured notes payable by adjusting their face value for current market interest rates (assuming the loans are outstanding through maturity) and any changes to underlying collateral. We have determined that our secured notes payable in their entirety are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. At June 30, 2012, the aggregate fair value of our secured notes payable was estimated to be approximately \$3.26 billion, based on a credit-adjusted present value of the future principal and interest payments related to our debt, compared to their carrying value of \$3.26 billion at June 30, 2012. As of December 31, 2011, the estimated fair value of our secured loans was approximately \$3.67 billion compared to their carrying value of \$3.62 billion at December 31, 2011.

Currently, we use interest rate swaps and caps to manage interest rate risk resulting from variable interest payments on our floating rate debt. These financial instruments are carried on our balance sheet at fair value based on assumptions used by market participants in pricing the asset or liability. See Note 8.

13. Investments in Unconsolidated Real Estate Funds

We manage and own an equity interest in two Funds through which institutional investors provide capital commitments for acquisition of properties. During the first quarter of 2012, we acquired an additional 16.3% interest in one of our Funds for approximately \$33.4 million. The table below reflects selected financial information for our Funds. The amounts represent 100% (not our pro-rata share) of amounts related to the Funds, and are based upon historical acquired book value (in thousands).

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
Total revenues	\$30,436	\$29,593
Operating income	5,271	3,619
Net loss	(6,575) (8,314
)
	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Total assets	\$754,583	\$762,020
Total liabilities	441,712	450,046
Total equity	312,871	311,974

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

(unaudited)

14. Commitments and Contingencies

We are subject to various legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of business. Excluding ordinary routine litigation incidental to our business, we are not currently a party to any legal proceedings that we believe would reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Our properties are located in Los Angeles County, California and Honolulu, Hawaii. The ability of the tenants to honor the terms of their respective leases is dependent upon the economic, regulatory and social factors affecting the markets in which the tenants operate. We perform ongoing credit evaluations of our tenants for potential credit losses. In addition, we have financial instruments that subject us to credit risk, which consist primarily of accounts receivable, deferred rents receivable and interest rate contracts. We maintain our cash and cash equivalents at high quality financial institutions with investment grade ratings. Interest bearing accounts at each U.S. banking institution are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation up to \$250 thousand, while non interest bearing accounts (where we have the bulk of our funds) do not currently have a limit on insurance. We have not experienced any losses to date on our deposited cash.

Asset Retirement Obligations

Conditional asset retirement obligations represent a legal obligation to perform an asset retirement activity in which the timing and/or method of settlement is conditional on a future event that may or may not be within our control. A liability for a conditional asset retirement obligation must be recorded if the fair value of the obligation can be reasonably estimated. Environmental site assessments and investigations have identified 20 properties in our consolidated portfolio containing asbestos, which would have to be removed in compliance with applicable environmental regulations if these properties undergo major renovations or are demolished. As of June 30, 2012, the obligations to remove the asbestos from these properties have indeterminable settlement dates, and we are unable to reasonably estimate the fair value of the associated conditional asset retirement obligation.

Investment in Unconsolidated Real Estate Funds

At June 30, 2012, we had commitments for future capital contributions related to our investments in our Funds totaling \$38.5 million.

Guarantees

In 2008, we contributed six properties, a related \$365.0 million term loan and the benefits and burdens of related interest rate swap agreements to one of our Funds. If that Fund fails to perform any obligations under the swap agreement, we remain liable to the swap counterparties. The maximum future payments under the swap agreement were approximately \$2.6 million as of June 30, 2012. As of June 30, 2012, all obligations under the swap agreement have been performed by that Fund in accordance with the terms of that agreement.

Tenant Concentrations

For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, no tenant accounted for more than 10% of our total rental revenue and tenant recoveries.

15. Subsequent Events

On July 17, 2012, we obtained a secured, non-recourse \$285.0 million term loan. The loan bears interest at a fixed interest rate of 3.85% through its maturity date of June 2019. Monthly interest payments are interest-only until February 2017 with principal amortization thereafter based upon a 30-year amortization table. On August 1, 2012, we used the proceeds to prepay \$100.0 million of the outstanding \$340.0 million balance on a loan that matures on April 1, 2015.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (Report) contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). You can find many (but not all) of these statements by looking for words such as "approximates," "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "estimates," "intends," "plans," "would," "may" or other similar expressions in this Report. We do not claim the protection of the safe harbor contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. We caution investors that any forward-looking statements presented in this Report, or those that we may make orally or in writing from time to time, are based on our beliefs and assumptions. The actual outcome will be affected by known and unknown risks, trends, uncertainties and factors that are beyond our control or ability to predict. Although we believe that our assumptions are reasonable, they are not guarantees of future performance and some will inevitably prove to be incorrect. As a result, our actual future results can be expected to differ from our expectations, and those differences may be material. Accordingly, investors should use caution in relying on previously reported forward-looking statements, which were based on results and trends at the time they were made, to anticipate future results or trends.

Some of the risks and uncertainties that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed or implied by forward-looking statements include the following: adverse economic or real estate developments in Southern California and Honolulu, Hawaii; a general downturn in the economy, such as the recent global financial crisis; decreased rental rates or increased tenant incentive and vacancy rates; defaults on, early termination of, or non-renewal of leases by tenants; increased interest rates and operating costs; failure to generate sufficient cash flows to service our outstanding indebtedness; difficulties in raising capital for our institutional funds; difficulties in identifying properties to acquire and completing acquisitions; failure to successfully operate acquired properties and operations; failure to maintain our status as a Real Estate Investment Trust (REIT) under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended; possible adverse changes in rent control laws and regulations; environmental uncertainties; risks related to natural disasters; lack or insufficient amount of insurance, or changes to the cost of maintaining existing insurance coverage; inability to successfully expand into new markets and submarkets; risks associated with property development; conflicts of interest with our officers; changes in real estate zoning laws and increases in real property tax rates; the negative results of litigation or governmental proceedings and the consequences of any possible future terrorist attacks. For further discussion of these and other factors, see "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

This Report and all subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or any person acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained or referred to in this section. We do not undertake any obligation to release publicly any revisions to our forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this Report.

Executive Summary

Douglas Emmett, Inc. is a fully integrated, self-administered and self-managed REIT. We are one of the largest owners and operators of high-quality office and multifamily properties in Los Angeles County, California and in Honolulu, Hawaii. We focus on owning and acquiring a substantial share of top-tier office properties and premier multifamily communities in neighborhoods that possess significant supply constraints, high-end executive housing and key lifestyle amenities.

Edgar Filing: Douglas Emmett Inc - Form 10-Q

Through our interest in Douglas Emmett Properties, LP (our operating partnership) and its subsidiaries, including our investments in unconsolidated Funds, we own or partially own, manage, lease, acquire and develop real estate, consisting primarily of office and multifamily properties. As of June 30, 2012:

Our consolidated portfolio of properties included 50 Class A office properties (including ancillary retail space) totaling approximately 12.9 million rentable square feet and 9 multifamily properties containing 2,868 apartment units, as well as the fee interests in 2 parcels of land subject to ground leases.

Our total office portfolio of 58 office properties aggregating approximately 14.7 million rentable square feet, consisting of both our consolidated office properties and 8 Class A office properties owned by our Funds (in which we held an average of 44% of the capital interests).

Our consolidated office portfolio was 90.8% leased and 89.2% occupied, our total office portfolio was 90.1% leased and 88.2% occupied, and our multifamily properties were 99.8% leased and 98.2% occupied.

Table of Contents

Approximately 86.1% of the annualized rent of our consolidated portfolio was derived from our office properties and the remaining 13.9% from our multifamily properties.

Approximately 85.9% of the annualized rent of our consolidated portfolio was derived from our Los Angeles County office and multifamily properties and the remaining 14.1% from our Honolulu, Hawaii office and multifamily properties.

Current Year Acquisitions, Dispositions and Financings

Acquisitions: During the first quarter of 2012 we acquired an additional 16.3% interest in Douglas Emmett Fund X, LLC for approximately \$33.4 million from an existing Fund investor that was rebalancing its portfolio. The acquisition also included the assumption of approximately \$3.2 million in undrawn commitments. Douglas Emmett Fund X, LLC owns six properties, totaling 1.4 million square feet of office space in our core submarkets, as well as an interest of approximately 10% in our second Fund.

Dispositions: We had no property dispositions during the first six months of 2012.

Financings: Since the beginning of 2012, we completed the following transactions:

During the first quarter of 2012, we closed a secured non-recourse \$155.0 million term loan maturing on February 1, 2019, with fixed interest at 4% per annum. See “Liquidity and Capital Resources” below, and Note 7 to our consolidated financial statements in Item 1 of this Report.

During the first quarter of 2012, we sold an aggregate of approximately 6.9 million shares of our common stock under our “at the market” (ATM) program (which completed that \$250.0 million program), in exchange for aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$130.2 million.

During the first quarter of 2012, we used the proceeds of these financings, together with a portion of our cash on hand to fully repay a \$522.0 million loan, our last with a 2012 maturity date.

In July 2012, we obtained a secured, non-recourse \$285.0 million term loan maturing on June 5, 2019, with fixed interest of 3.85% per annum. Monthly interest payments are interest-only until February 2017, with principal amortization thereafter based upon a 30-year amortization table. We used \$100.0 million of the proceeds to prepay existing debt and retained the remaining proceeds for acquisitions and other working capital needs.

Rental rate trends

Office Rental Rates: The following table sets forth the average effective annual rental rate per leased square foot and the annualized lease transaction costs for leases executed in our total office portfolio during the specified periods:

	Six Months Ended	Twelve Months Ended December 31,			
	June 30, 2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Historical straight-line rents: ⁽¹⁾					
Average rental rate ⁽²⁾	\$33.46	\$32.76	\$32.33	\$35.11	\$41.90
Annualized lease transaction costs ⁽³⁾	\$4.18	\$3.64	\$3.68	\$3.33	\$3.23

(1) Because straight-line rent takes into account the full economic value of each lease, including accommodations and rent escalations, we believe that it may provide a better comparison than ending cash rents, which include the impact of the annual escalations over the entire term of the lease. However, care should be taken in any

comparison, as the averages can be affected in each period by factors such as the buildings, submarkets, types of space and term involved in the leases executed during the period.

(2) Represents the weighted average straight-line annualized base rent (i.e., excludes tenant reimbursements, parking and other revenue) per leased square foot for leases entered into within our total office portfolio. For our triple net Burbank and Honolulu office properties, annualized rent is calculated by adding expense reimbursements to base rent.

(3) Represents the weighted average leasing commissions and tenant improvement allowances under all office leases within our total office portfolio that were entered into during the applicable period, divided by the number of years of the lease.

Office rental rates in our markets generally peaked in 2007 and early 2008, so that rental rates on new leases since that

Table of Contents

period have generally been less than the rental rates on the expiring leases for the same space. During the second quarter of 2012, the average straight-line rent under new and renewal leases we signed was 8.3% lower than the average straight-line rent under the expiring leases for the same space. However, net changes in our office rental rates have not had a significant impact on our revenues in recent periods, as the negative effect of rent roll downs, which affect approximately 11% to 14% of our office portfolio each year, have been essentially offset by the positive impact of the annual 3% to 5% rent escalations contained in virtually all of our continuing in-place office leases.

Over the next four quarters, we expect to see expiring cash rents as set forth in the following table:

	Three Months Ended			
Expiring cash rents:	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2012	March 31, 2013	June 30, 2013
Expiring square feet ⁽¹⁾	372,717	447,601	269,869	354,859
Expiring rent per square foot ⁽²⁾	\$35.81	\$35.61	\$37.44	\$39.42

Includes scheduled expirations for our total office portfolio, including our consolidated portfolio of 50 properties totaling 12.9 million square feet, as well as 8 properties totaling 1.8 million square feet owned by our Funds. Expiring square footage reflects all existing leases that are scheduled to expire in the respective quarter shown above, excluding the square footage under leases where the existing tenant has renewed the lease prior to (1) June 30, 2012. These numbers (i) include leases for space where someone other than the existing tenant (for example, a subtenant) had executed a lease for the space prior to June 30, 2012 but that had not commenced as of that date but (ii) do not include exercises of early termination options (unless exercised prior to June 30, 2012) or defaults occurring after June 30, 2012. All month-to-month tenants are included in the expiring leases in the first quarter listed.

Represents annualized base rent (i.e., excludes tenant reimbursements, parking and other revenue) per leased square foot at expiration. The amount reflects total cash base rent before abatements. For our Burbank and (2) Honolulu office properties, we calculate annualized base rent for triple net leases by adding expense reimbursements to base rent. Expiring rent per square foot on a quarterly basis is impacted by a number of variables, including variations in the submarkets or buildings involved.

Multifamily Rental Rates. With respect to our residential properties, our average rent on leases to new tenants during the second quarter of 2012 was 7.0% higher than the rent for the same unit at the time it became vacant. The following table sets forth the average effective annual rental rate per leased unit for leases executed in our residential portfolio during the specified periods:

	Six Months Ended	Twelve Months Ended December 31,			
Rental rate	June 30, 2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
	\$25,565	\$24,502	\$22,497	\$22,776	\$23,427

Occupancy Rates

Occupancy Rates: The following tables set forth the occupancy rates for our total office portfolio and multifamily portfolio as of the specified periods:

	June 30, 2012	December 31,				
Occupancy Rates as of:		2011	2010	2009	2008	
Total Office Portfolio	88.2	% 87.5	% 86.9	% 89.0	% 92.4	%
Multifamily Portfolio	98.2	% 98.4	% 98.4	% 98.0	% 97.9	%

	Six Months Ended	Twelve Months Ended December 31,			
Average Occupancy Rates for:	June 30, 2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
(1)					

Edgar Filing: Douglas Emmett Inc - Form 10-Q

Total Office Portfolio	87.9	% 87.0	% 88.0	% 90.3	% 93.6	%
Multifamily Portfolio	98.4	% 98.2	% 98.3	% 97.9	% 98.2	%

Average occupancy rates are calculated by averaging the occupancy on the last day of the quarter with the (1) occupancy on the last day of the prior quarter, and for periods longer than a quarter, by taking the average of the rates at the quarter-end immediately before, and each quarter-end contained in, such period.

Funds From Operations

Table of Contents

Many investors use Funds From Operations (FFO) as a performance yardstick to compare our operating performance with that of other REITs. FFO represents net income (loss), computed in accordance with GAAP, excluding gains (or losses) from sales of depreciable operating property, other-than-temporary impairments of depreciable operating property and investments, real estate depreciation and amortization (other than amortization of deferred financing costs) and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. We calculate FFO in accordance with the standards established by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT), adjusted to treat debt interest rate swaps as terminated for all purposes in the quarter of termination.

Like any metric, FFO is not perfect as a measure of our performance, because it excludes depreciation and amortization and captures neither the changes in the value of our properties that result from use or market conditions nor the level of capital expenditures and leasing commissions necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties, all of which have real economic effect and could materially impact our results from operations. Other REITs may not calculate FFO in accordance with the NAREIT definition or may not adjust that definition to treat debt interest rate swaps as terminated for all purposes in the quarter of termination and, accordingly, our FFO may not be comparable to those other REITs' FFO. Accordingly, FFO should be considered only as a supplement to net income as a measure of our performance. FFO should not be used as a measure of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to pay dividends. FFO should not be used as a supplement to or substitute measure for cash flow from operating activities computed in accordance with GAAP.

For the reasons described below, our FFO (adjusted for our terminated swaps) for the three months ended June 30, 2012 increased by \$3.3 million, or 5.7%, to \$61.6 million compared to \$58.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, which is primarily attributable to a decrease in our interest expense resulting from lower debt balances. FFO (adjusted for our terminated swaps) for the six months ended June 30, 2012 decreased by \$1.1 million, or 0.9%, to \$121.5 million compared to \$122.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, which is primarily attributable to an increase in our interest expense resulting from the refinancing of maturing floating-rate debt with long-term fixed-rate debt.

The following table (in thousands) sets forth a reconciliation of our FFO to net income (loss) computed in accordance with GAAP:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended June	
	June 30,	2011	30,	2011
	2012		2012	2011
Funds From Operations (FFO)				
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$6,527	\$(5,016)	\$11,913	\$(5,365)
Depreciation and amortization of real estate assets	46,728	57,114	92,525	114,267
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	1,548	(1,193)	2,864	(1,189)
Less: adjustments attributable to consolidated joint venture and unconsolidated investment in real estate funds	3,449	2,884	6,523	6,004
FFO (before our adjustments for terminated swaps)	58,252	53,789	113,825	113,717
Amortization of accumulated other comprehensive income as a result of terminated swaps ⁽¹⁾	3,360	4,480	7,707	8,910
FFO (after our adjustments for terminated swaps)	\$61,612	\$58,269	\$121,532	\$122,627

- (1) We terminated certain interest rate swaps in November 2010 and December 2011 in connection with the refinancing of related loans. In calculating FFO, we make an adjustment to treat debt interest rate swaps as terminated for all purposes in the quarter of termination. In contrast, under GAAP, terminated swaps can continue to impact net income over their original lives as if they were still outstanding. In the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, GAAP net income was reduced by amortization expense as a result

of certain swaps terminated in November 2010. However, in calculating FFO, we recognize the full expense in the period the swaps are terminated and offset the subsequent amortization expense contained in GAAP net income by an equivalent amount in this table, leaving a net zero impact as a result of terminated swaps on our 2011 FFO. Similarly, in the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, GAAP net income was reduced by amortization expense as a result of certain swaps terminated in December 2011, and we offset that expense by an equivalent amount in calculating our 2012 FFO.

Table of Contents

Historical Results of Operations

Overview

Our results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 consists of the rental operations for our 50 consolidated office properties and 9 consolidated multifamily properties. Our share of results from our unconsolidated Funds, which owned an additional 8 office properties at June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, is included through Loss, Including Depreciation, from Unconsolidated Real Estate Funds.

Comparison of three months ended June 30, 2012 to three months ended June 30, 2011

Revenues

Office Rental Revenue: Rental revenue includes rental revenues from our office properties, percentage rent on the retail space contained within office properties and lease termination income. Total office rental revenue increased by \$414 thousand, or 0.4%, to \$98.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$98.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The increase primarily reflects higher cash revenues partly offset by lower non-cash revenue from straight line and below-market leases. Net accretion from above- and below- market leases declined by \$842 thousand to \$3.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$4.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, largely as the result of the ongoing expiration of leases in place at the time of our initial public offering (IPO).

Tenant Recoveries: Total office tenant recoveries decreased by \$957 thousand, or 7.5%, to \$11.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$12.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease is primarily due to the timing of prior year common area maintenance (CAM) reconciliation adjustments some of which were completed in the first quarter of 2012 while in 2011 almost all were included in the second quarter for 2011.

Total Multifamily Revenue: Total multifamily revenue consists of rent, parking income and other income. Total multifamily revenue increased by \$764 thousand, or 4.4%, to \$18.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$17.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The increase is primarily due to increases in rental rates.

Operating Expenses

Office Rental Expenses: Total office rental expense increased by \$261 thousand, or 0.6%, to \$42.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$42.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The increase is primarily due to increases in utilities expenses.

Multifamily Rental Expenses: Total multifamily rental expense increased by \$195 thousand, or 4.1%, to \$4.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$4.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The increase is primarily due to increases in scheduled services and utilities expenses.

General and Administrative Expenses: General and administrative expenses were essentially unchanged at \$6.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$6.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011.

Depreciation and Amortization: Depreciation and amortization expense decreased \$10.4 million, or 18.2%, to \$46.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$57.1 million for the three months ended

June 30, 2011. The decrease is primarily due to the completion of the depreciation of certain tenant-related assets acquired at the time of our IPO in 2006.

Non-Operating Income and Expenses

Loss, Including Depreciation, from Unconsolidated Real Estate Funds: The loss, including depreciation, from unconsolidated real estate funds represents our equity interest in the operating results of our Funds, including the operating income net of historical cost-basis depreciation. Our share of the loss, including depreciation, from our Funds increased \$862 thousand, or 338.0%, to \$1.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$255 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2011, which was primarily due to the inclusion in increased losses, including depreciation, for the Funds, as well as our increased ownership in the Funds as a result of our acquisition of an additional 16.3% interest in Douglas Emmett Fund X, LLC during the first quarter.

Table of Contents

Interest Expense: Interest expense decreased \$4.3 million, or 10.4%, to \$36.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$40.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease is primarily due to lower debt balances. See Notes 7 and 8 to our consolidated financial statements in Item 1 of this Report.

Comparison of six months ended June 30, 2012 to six months ended June 30, 2011

Revenues

Office Rental Revenue: Total office rental revenue decreased by \$758 thousand, or 0.4%, to \$196.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$197.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease primarily reflects lower non-cash revenue from straight line and below-market leases, partly offset by higher cash revenues. Net accretion from above- and below- market leases declined by \$1.3 million to \$7.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$8.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, largely as the result of the ongoing expiration of leases in place at the time of our IPO.

Tenant Recoveries: Total office tenant recoveries decreased by \$307 thousand, or 1.4%, to \$21.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$22.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease is primarily due to unfavorable CAM reconciliations completed in 2012.

Total Multifamily Revenue: Total multifamily revenue increased by \$1.7 million, or 4.9%, to \$36.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$34.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase is primarily due to increases in rental rates.

Operating Expenses

Office Rental Expenses: Total office rental expense increased by \$604 thousand, or 0.7%, to \$83.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$82.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase is primarily due to increases in utilities expenses.

Multifamily Rental Expenses: Total multifamily rental expense increased by \$376 thousand, or 4.0%, to \$9.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$9.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase is primarily due to increases in scheduled services, repairs and maintenance and utilities expenses.

General and Administrative Expenses: General and administrative expenses decreased \$865 thousand, or 6.0%, to \$13.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$14.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease is primarily due to decreases in employee equity compensation expense.

Depreciation and Amortization: Depreciation and amortization expense decreased \$21.7 million, or 19.0%, to \$92.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$114.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease is primarily due to the completion of the depreciation of certain tenant-related assets acquired at the time of our IPO in 2006.

Non-Operating Income and Expenses

Loss, Including Depreciation, from Unconsolidated Real Estate Funds: Our share of the loss, including depreciation, from our Funds increased \$322 thousand, or 18.1%, to \$2.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$1.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, which was primarily due to our acquisition of an additional 16.3% interest in Douglas Emmett Fund X, LLC in the first quarter, partly offset by decreased losses for the Funds.

Interest Expense: Interest expense increased \$1.6 million, or 2.2%, to \$74.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$72.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase is primarily due to higher effective interest rates as we fixed the rate on a portion of our debt which was floating during the period of our refinancings, partly offset by lower debt balances. Although fixing these rates locked in historically low interest rates, the rates were still higher than the floating rates during 2011. See Notes 7 and 8 to our consolidated financial statements in Item 1 of this Report.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Table of Contents

Available Borrowings, Cash Balances and Capital Resources

We have typically financed our capital needs through short-term lines of credit and long-term secured mortgages. We had total indebtedness of \$3.26 billion at June 30, 2012. See Note 7 to our consolidated financial statements in Item 1 of this Report. To mitigate the impact of fluctuations in short-term interest rates on our cash flows from operations, some of our long-term secured mortgages carry fixed interest rates, and we generally enter into interest rate swap or interest rate cap agreements with respect to our mortgages with floating interest rates. As of June 30, 2012, approximately \$3.03 billion, or 93%, of our debt had an annual interest rate that was effectively fixed, with an average rate of 4.15% per annum (on an actual / 360-day basis). As of June 30, 2012, none of our long-term debt matures in 12 months or less. For information concerning the estimated impact of changes in market interest rates on our annual earnings, please see Item 3, "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk."

At June 30, 2012, our net debt (consisting of our \$3.26 billion of borrowings under secured loans less our cash and cash equivalents of \$162.0 million) represented 44% of our total enterprise value of \$7.10 billion. Total market capitalization includes our consolidated debt and the value of our common stock, the minority units in our operating partnership and other convertible equity instruments, each based on our common stock closing price on June 30, 2012 on the New York Stock Exchange of \$23.10 per share. For a description of our financing transactions during the six months ended June 30, 2012, and subsequent to June 30, 2012, please see "Current Year Acquisitions, Dispositions and Financings" above.

We expect to meet our operating liquidity requirements generally through cash on hand and cash provided by operations. At June 30, 2012, except for commitments for future capital contributions related to our investment in our Funds totaling \$38.5 million, we did not have any material commitments for development projects or acquisitions, although we expect to pursue such opportunities as they occur. Excluding any acquisitions and debt refinancings, we anticipate that cash on hand and provided by operations will be sufficient to meet our liquidity requirements for at least the next 12 months.

Our long-term liquidity needs consist primarily of funds necessary to pay for acquisitions, redevelopment and repositioning of properties, non-recurring capital expenditures and refinancing of indebtedness. We do not expect that we will have sufficient funds on hand to cover all of these long-term cash requirements. The nature of our business, and the requirements imposed by REIT rules that we distribute a substantial majority of our income on an annual basis, may cause us to have substantial liquidity needs over the long term. We will seek to satisfy our long-term liquidity needs through cash flows from operations, long-term secured and unsecured indebtedness, the issuance of debt and equity securities, including units in our operating partnership, property dispositions and joint venture transactions.

Cash Flows

Our cash flows from operating activities are primarily dependent upon the occupancy level of our portfolio, the rental rates on our leases, the collectability of rent and recoveries from our tenants and the level of our operating expenses and other general and administrative costs. Net cash provided by operating activities increased by \$537 thousand to \$109.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$109.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase is primarily due to an increase in cash revenues from our office and multifamily portfolios of \$5.1 million, partly offset by an increase in cash interest expense of \$4.2 million, as well as a increase in cash operating expenses.

Our net cash used in investing activities is generally used to fund property acquisitions, development and redevelopment projects and recurring and non-recurring capital expenditures. Net cash used in investing activities increased by \$30.8 million to \$63.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$32.6 million for the

six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase is primarily due to our acquisition of an additional 16.3% interest in Douglas Emmett Fund X, LLC for approximately \$33.4 million.

Our net cash related to financing activities is generally impacted by our borrowings and capital activities net of dividends and distributions paid to common stockholders and noncontrolling interests. Net cash used in financing activities increased by \$274.4 million to \$291.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$16.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase is primarily due to the repayment of a \$522.0 million loan with a 2012 maturity date, partially offset by new borrowings with a 2019 maturity of \$155.0 million and the issuance of 6.9 million shares of our common stock under our ATM program for net proceeds of \$128.3 million.

Contractual Obligations

During the first quarter of 2012, we paid down a \$522.0 million loan with a maturity date of August 2012, and we

Table of Contents

entered into a new secured, non-recourse \$155.0 million term loan agreement. As of June 30, 2012, our outstanding \$3.26 billion of debt included \$3.03 billion of effectively fixed rate debt that bore interest at a weighted average rate of 4.15%, as well as \$228.1 million of floating rate debt at a weighted average rate of 0.90% using the floating rates in effect at June 30, 2012. See Note 7 to our consolidated financial statements in Item 1 of this Report. Other than the loan mentioned above, during the first six months of 2012 there were no material changes outside the ordinary course of business in the information regarding contractual obligations contained in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K. During the first six months of 2012, our commitments for future capital contributions related to our investments in our Funds increased from \$38.0 million as of December 31, 2011 to \$38.5 million as of June 30, 2012, reflecting the undrawn commitments assumed in our acquisition of an additional interest in one of our Funds, net of contributions funded during the first quarter of 2012.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have established and manage Funds through which institutional investors provide capital commitments for acquisition of properties. The capital we invest in our Funds is invested on a pari passu basis with the other investors. In addition, we also receive certain additional distributions based on committed capital and on any profits that exceed certain specified cash returns to the investors. We do not expect to receive additional significant liquidity from our investments in our Funds until the disposition of the properties held by the relevant Fund, which may not be for many years. Certain of our wholly-owned affiliates provide property management and other services with respect to the real estate owned by our Funds for which we are paid fees and/or reimbursed our costs.

At June 30, 2012, our Funds had obtained capital commitments of \$554.7 million, of which \$167.3 million remained undrawn. This amount included commitments from us of \$246.4 million, of which \$38.5 million remained undrawn.

We do not have any debt outstanding in connection with our interest in our Funds. Each of our Funds may have its own debt, secured by the properties it owns. The following table summarizes the debt of our Funds at June 30, 2012:

Type of Debt	Principal Balance (in millions)	Maturity Date	Variable Rate	Fixed Rate	Swap Maturity Date
Variable rate term loan (swapped to fixed rate) ^{(1) (2)}	\$365.0	8/19/2013	LIBOR + 1.65%	5.52 % ⁽³⁾	9/4/2012
Fixed rate term loan ⁽⁴⁾	\$54.8	4/1/2016	N/A	5.67 %	N/A

(1) The loan is secured by six properties in a collateralized pool. Requires monthly payments of interest only, with outstanding principal due upon maturity.

We transferred this loan to one of our Funds during the fourth quarter of 2008 when we contributed the properties securing it to that Fund. We remain responsible under certain environmental and other limited indemnities and guarantees covering customary non-recourse carve outs under this loan, which we entered into prior to our contribution of this debt and the related properties, although we have an indemnity from that Fund for any amounts we would be required to pay under these agreements. If that Fund fails to perform any obligations under a swap agreement related to this loan, we remain liable to the swap counterparties. The maximum future payments under the swap agreements were approximately \$2.6 million as of June 30, 2012. To date, all obligations under the swap agreements have been performed by that Fund in accordance with the terms of the agreements.

(2) Effective annual rate including the effect of interest rate contracts. Based on actual/360-day basis and excludes amortization of loan fees.

(3) Assumed by one of our Funds upon acquisition of the property securing the loan. Requires monthly payments of principal and interest.

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion and analysis of our historical financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of our financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to make estimates of certain items and judgments as to certain future events, for example with respect to the allocation of the purchase price of acquired property among land, buildings, improvements, equipment, and any related intangible assets and liabilities, or the effect of a property tax reassessment of our properties. These determinations, even though inherently subjective and prone to change, affect the reported amounts of our assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. While we believe that our estimates are based on reasonable assumptions and judgments at the time they are made, some of our assumptions, estimates and judgments will inevitably prove to be incorrect. As a result, actual outcomes will likely differ from our accruals, and those differences—positive or negative—could be material. Some of our accruals are subject to adjustment, as we believe appropriate based on revised estimates and reconciliation to the actual results when available.

In addition, we identified certain critical accounting policies that affect certain of our more significant estimates and assumptions used in preparing our consolidated financial statements in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K. We have not made any material changes to these policies during the period covered by this Report.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Our future income, cash flows and fair values relevant to financial instruments are dependent upon prevailing market interest rates. Market risk refers to the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and interest rates. We use derivative financial instruments to manage, or hedge, interest rate risks related to our borrowings. We only enter into contracts with major financial institutions based on their credit rating and other factors. For a description of our interest rate contracts, please see Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements contained in Item 1 of this Report.

At June 30, 2012, \$860.0 million (26%) of our debt was fixed rate debt, \$2.17 billion (67%) of our debt was floating rate debt hedged with derivative instruments that swapped to fixed interest rates, and \$228.1 million (7%) of our debt was unhedged floating rate debt. Based on the level of unhedged floating rate debt outstanding at June 30, 2012, a 50 basis point change in LIBOR would result in an annual impact to our earnings of approximately \$1.1 million.

We calculate interest sensitivity by multiplying the amount of unhedged floating rate debt by the respective change in rate. The sensitivity analysis does not take into consideration possible changes in the balances or fair value of our floating rate debt.

By using derivative instruments to hedge exposure to changes in interest rates, we expose ourselves to credit risk and the potential inability of our counterparties to perform under the terms of the agreements. We attempt to minimize this credit risk by contracting with high-quality bank financial counterparties.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports under the Exchange Act is processed, recorded, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and regulations and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

As of June 30, 2012, the end of the period covered by this Report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, regarding the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures at the end of the period covered by this Report. Based on the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded, as of that time, that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed by us in reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act (i) is processed, recorded, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and (ii) is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

No changes to our internal control over financial reporting were identified in connection with the evaluation referenced above that occurred during the period covered by this Report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we are party to various lawsuits, claims and other legal proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of our business. Excluding ordinary routine litigation incidental to our business, we are not currently a party to any legal proceedings that we believe would reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

As of the date of this Report, we are not aware of any material changes to the risk factors included in Item 1A. "Risk Factors" in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Sales: We did not make any unregistered sales of our securities during the quarter ended June 30, 2012.

Purchases: We did not make any purchases of our share equivalents during the quarter ended June 30, 2012.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number Description

31.1	Certificate of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certificate of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certificate of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. ⁽¹⁾
32.2	Certificate of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. ⁽¹⁾
101	The following financial information from Douglas Emmett, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited), (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited), (iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (unaudited), (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) and (v) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Footnotes to exhibits

In accordance with Securities and Exchange Commission Release No. 33-8212, these exhibits are being furnished, (1) are not being filed as part of this Report on Form 10-Q or as a separate disclosure document, and are not being incorporated by reference into any Securities Act of 1933 registration statement.

Table of Contents

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

DOUGLAS EMMETT, INC.

Date: August 7, 2012

By: /s/ JORDAN L. KAPLAN
Jordan L. Kaplan
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 7, 2012

By: /s/ THEODORE E. GUTH
Theodore E. Guth
Chief Financial Officer