

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.

Form 10-K

February 22, 2013

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1934
For The Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 0-22462

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

| | |
|---|--|
| Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation organization) | 16-1445150 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.) |
| 3556 Lake Shore Road, P.O. Box 2028 | |
| Buffalo, New York (address of principal executive offices) | 14219-0228 (zip code) |
| Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (716) 826-6500 | |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of each class | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|---|---|
| Common Stock, \$0.01 par value | NASDAQ Global Select Market |
| Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: NONE | |

Indicate by checkmark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No .

Indicate by checkmark if the registrant is not required to file report pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No .

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of the Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer", and "small reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the Common Stock outstanding and held by non-affiliates (as defined in Rule 405 under the Securities Act of 1933) of the registrant based upon the closing sale price of the Common Stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market on June 30, 2012, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second quarter, was approximately \$298.0 million.

As of February 18, 2013, the number of common shares outstanding was: 31,039,715

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders

(2012 Proxy Statement) are incorporated by reference into Part III of this report.

Exhibit Index begins on Page 87

Table of Contents

Form 10-K Index

| | Page Number |
|---|------------------------|
| <u>PART I</u> | |
| <u>Item 1 Business</u> | 3 |
| <u>Item 1A Risk Factors</u> | 10 |
| <u>Item 1B Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 16 |
| <u>Item 2 Properties</u> | 16 |
| <u>Item 3 Legal Proceedings</u> | 16 |
| <u>Item 4 Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 16 |
| <u>PART II</u> | |
| <u>Item 5 Market for Common Stock and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 17 |
| <u>Item 6 Selected Financial Data</u> | 19 |
| <u>Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 20 |
| <u>Item 7A Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 36 |
| <u>Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 38 |
| <u>Item 9 Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 82 |
| <u>Item 9A Controls and Procedures</u> | 82 |
| <u>PART III</u> | |
| <u>Item 10 Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance</u> | 84 |
| <u>Item 11 Executive Compensation</u> | 84 |
| <u>Item 12 Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 84 |
| <u>Item 13 Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence</u> | 84 |
| <u>Item 14 Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> | 84 |
| <u>PART IV</u> | |
| <u>Item 15 Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 85 |

Table of Contents

Safe Harbor Statement

Certain information set forth herein includes statements that express our opinions, expectations, beliefs, plans, objectives, assumptions or projections regarding future events or future results and therefore are, or may be deemed to be, forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements can generally be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology, including the terms believes, estimates, anticipates, expects, estimates, seeks, projects, intends, plans, may, will or should or, in each case, their negative or other variations or comparable terminology. These forward-looking statements include all matters that are not historical facts. They include statements regarding our intentions, beliefs or current expectations concerning, among other things, our results of operations, financial condition, liquidity, prospects, growth, competition, strategies and the industry in which we operate. By their nature, forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties because they relate to events and depend on circumstances that may or may not occur in the future. We believe that these risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, those described in Item 1A Risk Factors. Those factors should not be construed as exhaustive and should be read with the other cautionary statements in Item 1A Risk Factors. Although we base these forward-looking statements on assumptions that we believe are reasonable when made, we caution you that forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and that our actual results of operations, financial condition and liquidity and the development of the industry in which we operate may differ materially from those made in or suggested by the forward-looking statements contained herein. In addition, even if our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity and the development of the industry in which we operate are consistent with the forward-looking statements contained in this offering memorandum, those results or developments may not be indicative of results or developments in subsequent periods. Given these risks and uncertainties, you are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Any forward-looking statements that we make herein speak only as of the date of those statements, and we undertake no obligation to update those statements or to publicly announce the results of any revisions to any of those statements to reflect future events or developments. Comparisons of results for current and any prior periods are not intended to express any future trends or indications of future performance, unless expressed as such, and should only be viewed as historical data.

PART I

Item 1. Business

The Company

Gibraltar is a leading manufacturer and distributor of products for the building and industrial markets. Our products provide structural and architectural enhancements for residential homes, low-rise retail, other commercial and professional buildings, industrial plants, bridges and a wide variety of other structures. These products include ventilation products, mail storage solutions including mailboxes and package delivery products, rain dispersion products and accessories, bar grating, expanded metal, metal lath and expansion joints and structural bearings. We believe Gibraltar has strong brand recognition in these product categories which provides us with product leadership positions. We serve customers throughout North America, Europe, Asia, and Central and South America including major home improvement retailers, distributors and contractors. As of December 31, 2012, we operated 44 facilities in 21 states, Canada, England and Germany, giving us a broad platform for just-in-time delivery and support to our customers. Our common stock is trading on the NASDAQ under the ticker symbol ROCK.

Our customers principally serve the home improvement; residential, commercial and industrial construction; highway construction; building materials; and architectural industries. Major customers include The Home Depot, other big box retailers, national building products wholesalers, industrial equipment manufacturers and contractors.

We believe that we have established a reputation as an industry leader in quality, service and innovation and have achieved strong competitive positions in our markets. We attribute our standing in the market primarily to the following competitive strengths:

Leading market share. We have a leading market position in many of the products and services we offer, and we estimate that a majority of our net sales for the year ended December 31, 2012 were derived from the sale of products in which we had one of the leading U.S. market shares. We believe we have leading market shares in six distinct product families.

Diversified product mix and manufacturing base. We manufacture an extensive variety of products that are sold through building material wholesalers, buying groups, discount and major retail home centers, roofing distributors, residential, industrial, commercial, and transportation contractors and industrial manufacturers.

Table of Contents

We operate 34 manufacturing facilities and eight distribution centers throughout the United States, Canada, England and Germany, giving us a base of operations to provide customer support, delivery, service and quality to a number of regional and national customers and providing us with manufacturing and distribution efficiencies in North America, as well as a presence in the European market. Despite our global reach, our capital expenditures have remained low. During each of the last three years, our capital expenditures have averaged 1.5% or less of net sales. The following table outlines our key products sold to both residential and non-residential end markets:

| Product | End market |
|---|---|
| | <i>Residential end markets</i> |
| Roof & foundation ventilation products | New build; repair and remodel |
| Mail storage (single and cluster) | New build; repair and remodel |
| Rain dispersion, flashing, soffits and trim, metal lath | New build; repair and remodel |
| | <i>Non-residential end markets</i> |
| Bar grating | Oil, gas and mining; industrial; wastewater and water treatment; leisure and sports parks |
| Expanded metal and perforated metal | Mining; steel mills; transportation; petro-chemical; architectural facades; security |
| Engineered public infrastructure products | Bridge construction; highway construction; airport runways |

Provider of value-added products and services. We increasingly focus on value-added products and services, such as mail storage solutions, infrastructure products, and ventilation products, to improve our margins and profitability. We use complex and demanding production and treatment processes that require advanced production equipment, sophisticated technology and exacting quality control measures. We have also targeted our acquisition strategy on producers of value-added products, including, but not limited to, our prior year acquisitions of The D.S. Brown Company (D.S. Brown), the largest U.S. manufacturer of specialty components for the transportation infrastructure industry, Pacific Award Metals, Inc. (Award Metals), a leading regional manufacturer of roof ventilation, roof trims, flashing and rain ware, drywall trims and specialty clips and connectors for concrete forms used in the new construction and repair and remodel markets. The Company also acquired the following companies in 2012:

Metal grating products for the oil sands region of Western Canada;

Function-critical components for public infrastructure construction and maintenance;

Perforated metal products for industrial applications; and

Sun protection products for new residential construction and home remodeling.

These acquisitions have increased our portfolio of value-added products. We also strive to develop and launch new products, expand our geographic market coverage and penetrate new end markets to strengthen our product leadership positions.

Strength in non-residential end markets. Since 2007, we have sought to bolster our presence in non-residential end markets through both strategic acquisitions and organic growth, and we estimate that sales from these markets now account for approximately half of the net sales for the company. Our presence in this diverse end market, which serves industries such as oil & gas, mining, transportation and industrial, complements our residential end markets business and provides additional stability.

Solid relationships with blue-chip customers. We have strong relationships with many of the largest customers in the markets we serve, including the building and construction, machinery and general manufacturing industries. Through acquisitions, we have gained new longstanding relationships, and we have maintained and developed those relationships by offering an increasing range of products and providing quality customer support. We have long-standing relationships with many large market leaders such as The Home Depot, other big box retailers,

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

national building products wholesalers, industrial equipment manufacturers and contractors.

Commitment to quality. We place great importance on providing our customers with high quality products for use in critical construction applications. We carefully select our raw material vendors and use inspection and analysis to maintain our quality standards so our products meet critical customer specifications. To meet customer specifications, we use documented procedures utilizing statistical process control systems linked directly to processing equipment to monitor many stages of production. A number of our facilities' quality systems are registered under ISO 9001, an internationally recognized set of quality-assurance standards, and other industry standards.

Table of Contents

History of substantial debt reduction and strong liquidity profile. Since 2007, we have been committed to debt reduction and have used cash generated from operations, as well as certain proceeds of asset sales, to make significant repayments against our outstanding debt. Our total debt declined from \$487.5 million at December 31, 2007 to \$207.8 million as of December 31, 2012. We had no borrowings under our revolving credit facility during the year ended December 31, 2012, and our liquidity as of December 31, 2012 was \$165 million, including \$48 million of cash and \$117 million of availability under our revolving credit facility. We believe that our low leverage and substantial liquidity allows us to successfully manage our business, meet the demands of our customers and weather the cyclicity of certain end markets.

Proven acquisition track record. Over the last eight years, we have successfully acquired and integrated over fifteen businesses, including D.S. Brown, Florence Manufacturing and Alabama Metal Industries Corporation. These acquisitions have helped to diversify our products and customers while growing our net sales and earnings.

Experienced management team. Brian Lipke has been our Chief Executive Officer since 1987, the Chairman of our Board since 1992 and a director since our formation. Henning Kornbrekke, President and Chief Operating Officer, has been with us for nine years and has extensive experience in manufacturing, marketing and distribution from his previous employment at Rexam, PLC and The Stanley Works. Kenneth Smith, our Chief Financial Officer, joined our company in 2008 with extensive operational and public markets experience at Circor International, North Safety Products and Digital Equipment Corporation. Our executive management team is supported by a talented and experienced divisional management team. Overall, our management team has broad experience in operational excellence, quality and merchandising gained over multiple business cycles.

Operational excellence. Our strategy is to position Gibraltar as a low-cost provider and a market share leader in product areas that offer the opportunity for sales growth and margin enhancement over the long-term. We focus on operational excellence, including lean initiatives throughout the company to position Gibraltar as our customers' low-cost provider of the products we offer. We continuously seek to improve our on-time delivery, quality and service to position Gibraltar as a preferred supplier to our customers. During recent years, Gibraltar invested in new enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems which, among other things, have enabled us to more effectively manage our inventory, forecast customer orders, improve supply chain management and respond more timely to volatile raw material costs. At the same time, we have significantly reduced our working capital levels while maintaining a high level of customer service.

Recent Developments

On January 31, 2013, the Company issued \$210 million of 6.25% Senior Subordinated Notes (6.25% Notes) due February 1, 2021. In connection with the issuance of the 6.25% Notes on January 16, 2013, the Company initiated a tender offer to purchase the outstanding \$204 million of 8% Senior Subordinated Notes (8% Notes) due 2015. Simultaneously with the closing of the sale of the 6.25% Notes on January 31, 2013, the Company purchased the tendered 8% Notes. The 8% Notes that were not tendered and purchased were called for redemption. In connection with the purchase and subsequent redemption, the Company satisfied and discharged its obligations under the 8% Notes as of January 31, 2013. Refer to Note 20 of the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for more information regarding the issuance of the 6.25% Notes and redemption of the 8% Notes.

During 2012, Gibraltar purchased the assets of four businesses in separate transactions, three of which were acquired in November and December 2012. The acquired product lines complement and expand the Company's product portfolio and customer base in four key U.S. and Canadian markets:

Metal grating products for the oil sands region of Western Canada;

Function-critical components for public infrastructure construction and maintenance;

Perforated metal products for industrial and automotive applications; and

Sun protection products for new residential construction and home remodeling.

Gibraltar funded the aggregate investment of \$43 million from existing cash on hand. Gibraltar's results from operations include all 2012 acquisitions from their respective dates of acquisition.

Table of Contents**Economic Trends**

End markets served by our business are subject to economic conditions which include but are not limited to interest rates, commodity costs, demand for residential construction, the level of non-residential construction and infrastructure projects, and demand within repair and remodel markets. In 2012, the United States construction markets continued an uneven recovery from an unprecedented recession that began in 2008. In addition, tightened credit markets over the same period may have limited the ability of the end users of our products to obtain financing for construction projects. While the U.S. economy has grown modestly since the recession, the construction markets have only recovered modestly from the recession and many economic indicators remain at levels well below long-term historical averages. As an example, the table below shows housing starts in the United States continued to remain significantly below the long-term historical average of 1.5 million starts per year:

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Residential Housing Starts | 767,000 | 607,000 | 585,000 |

The decrease in residential housing starts and non-residential construction had a significant impact on the operations of our business by contributing to decreased sales volume and profitability. To respond to current economic conditions facing Gibraltar, including weakened end market conditions and volatile commodity costs, we continue to focus on providing a high level of customer service along with maintaining our position as a market share leader and low-cost provider of our products. As a result, we have closed or consolidated 11 facilities over the past three years, including two during 2012. We have also aggressively reduced operating costs throughout the Company to maximize cash flows generated from operating activities. As a result of these restructuring activities, our break-even point has decreased significantly since 2008.

Commodity raw material prices for materials such as steel, aluminum, and resins, have also fluctuated significantly during the past three years. These fluctuations impact the cost of raw materials we purchase and the pricing we offer to our customers. Commodity prices fell precipitously during the fourth quarter of 2008 and continued to fall during the first two quarters of 2009. The rapid decrease in commodity prices led to lower sales prices offered to customers and falling margins on our product sales during much of 2009. Commodity prices rose in 2010 and are somewhat less volatile since such time. We believe our investment in ERP systems and decreased inventory requirements allow us to react better to these fluctuations and have improved our margins.

As a result of the steps we have taken throughout the economic downturn, we have increased liquidity to a strong level. Using cash generated from operations, we have made significant repayments against our outstanding debt and did not have any amounts outstanding against our revolving credit facility during 2012. Our liquidity as of December 31, 2012 was \$165 million including \$48 million of cash and \$117 million of availability under our revolving credit facility.

Industry Overview

Our business occupies an intermediate market between the primary steel, aluminum, resin, and other basic material producers and the wholesale, retail building supply, industrial manufacturing, and highway construction markets. The primary producers typically focus on producing high volumes of their product. We purchase raw materials from these producers and, through various production processes, convert these raw materials into specialized products for use in the construction or repair and remodel of residential and commercial buildings, industrial and transportation structures, and other products. We primarily distribute our products through wholesale distributors, retailers, and contractors.

Products

Gibraltar is primarily, but not exclusively, a manufacturer of metal products used in the residential and commercial building, industrial manufacturing, and highway construction markets. We operate 34 manufacturing facilities and eight distribution centers throughout the United States, Canada, England, and Germany, giving us a base of operations to provide customer support, delivery, service, and quality to a number of regional and national customers, and providing us with manufacturing and distribution efficiencies in North America, as well as a presence in the European market.

We manufacture an extensive variety of products that are sold through a number of sales channels including building material wholesalers, buying groups, discount and major retail home centers, roofing distributors, residential, industrial, commercial and transportation contractors, and industrial manufacturers.

Table of Contents

Our product offerings include a full line of bar grating and safety plank grating used in walkways, stairs, platforms, safety barriers, drainage covers, and ventilation grates; expanded and perforated metal used in walkways, catwalks, shelving, fencing, barriers, patio furniture, and other applications where both visibility and security are necessary; metal lath products used in exterior stucco, stone, and tile projects; fiberglass grating used in areas where high strength, light weight, low maintenance, and corrosion resistance are required; expansion joint systems, bearing assemblies, and pavement sealing systems used in bridge and elevated highway infrastructure construction; roof and foundation ventilation products and accessories; mail storage solutions, including single mailboxes and cluster boxes for multi-unit housing; roof edging, underlayment, and flashing; soffits and trim; drywall corner bead; coated coil stock; metal roofing and accessories; steel framing; and rain dispersion products, including gutters and accessories;, each of which can be sold separately or as an integral part of a package or program sale.

We improve our offerings of building products by introducing new products, enhancing existing products, adjusting product specifications to respond to building code and regulatory changes, and providing additional solutions to homeowners and contractors. New products introduced in recent years that we sell for residential applications include roof top safety kits, chimney caps, heat trace coils and exterior, and remote-controlled deck awnings for sun protection. We have expanded our offerings of non-residential products including customized perforated and expanded metal to penetrate a range of new markets such as architectural facades for buildings (museums, sports stadiums and retail outlets); front grilles for a major truck tractor manufacturer; interior ceilings and lighting fixtures; and outdoor railings and balustrades. Our expanded grating fabrication capabilities have been successfully serving new applications such as wind towers and our newly developed manufacturing process of aluminum-swaged grating has extended our sales penetration into the water and waste water markets. In addition, we have extended the public infrastructure products of D.S. Brown, a business we acquired in 2011, into new markets. For example, D.S. Brown's long-lasting pavement sealants for roadways are now being installed on airport runways; structural bearings for elevated highways and bridges were recently installed on an offshore oil production platform; and corrosion-protection products for cable-suspension bridges are now marketed and sold into Europe and China.

Many of our building products are used by home owners and builders to provide structural and architectural enhancements for residential, commercial, and industrial building projects, including projects in geographic locations subject to severe weather or seismic activity, and facilitate compliance with increasingly stringent building codes and insurance requirements. Our building products are manufactured primarily from galvanized and painted steel, anodized and painted aluminum, copper, brass, zinc, and various resins.

Gibraltar focuses on operational excellence by making our production process as efficient as possible without compromising the quality our customers expect from our business units. Our focus on efficiency relies upon continuous improvement at our plants and distribution centers where we have continued lean manufacturing practices. Additionally, we have implemented ERP systems that allow for just-in-time delivery of materials, efficient production planning, and eliminate manual efforts in the manufacturing process. Improvements in our manufacturing process have enabled Gibraltar to better control manufacturing costs while focusing on new product development and quality. Continued focus on operational excellence will remain a significant initiative as Gibraltar strives to be the low-cost manufacturer of our products.

Our production capabilities allow us to process a wide range of metals and plastics necessary for manufacturing building products. Most of our production is completed using automatic roll forming machines, stamping presses, computer numerical control CNC machines, shears, slitters, press brakes, paint lines, milling, welding, injection molding, and numerous automated assembly machines. We maintain our equipment with a thorough preventive maintenance program, including in-house tool and die shops, allowing us to meet the demanding service requirements of many of our customers. Gibraltar also sources some products from third-party vendors when cost savings can be generated.

Quality Assurance

We place great importance on providing our customers with high-quality products for use in critical construction applications. We carefully select our raw material vendors and use inspection and analysis to maintain our quality standards so our products meet critical customer specifications. To meet customer specifications, we use documented procedures utilizing statistical process control systems linked directly to processing equipment to monitor many stages of production. A number of our facilities' quality systems are registered under ISO 9001, an internationally recognized set of quality-assurance standards, and other industry standards. Gibraltar believes ISO registration is important as the disciplines it promotes help ensure the high quality products our customers expect.

Technical Services

We employ a staff of engineers and other technical personnel and maintain fully-equipped, modern laboratories to support our operations. These laboratories enable us to verify, analyze, and document the physical, chemical, metallurgical, and mechanical properties of our raw materials and products. In addition, our engineering staff employs a range of drafting software to design highly specialized and technically precise products. Technical service personnel also work in conjunction with our sales force to determine the types of products and services required for the particular needs of our customers.

Table of Contents

Suppliers and Raw Materials

Our business is required to maintain sufficient quantities of raw material inventory in order to accommodate our customers' short lead times and just-in-time delivery requirements. Accordingly, we plan our purchases to maintain raw materials at sufficient levels to satisfy the anticipated needs of our customers. We use recently implemented ERP systems to better manage our inventory, forecast customer orders, enable efficient supply chain management, and allow for more timely counter-measures to changing customer demand and market conditions.

The primary raw materials we purchase are flat-rolled steel, aluminum, and resins. We purchase flat-rolled steel and aluminum at regular intervals on an as-needed basis, primarily from the major North American mills, as well as a limited amount from domestic service centers and foreign steel importers. Substantially all of our resins are purchased from domestic vendors, primarily through distributors with a small amount direct from manufacturers. Supply has been adequate from these sources to fulfill our needs. Because of our strategy to develop longstanding relationships in our supply chain, we have been able to adjust our deliveries of raw materials to match our required inventory positions to support our on-time deliveries to customers while allowing us to manage our investment in inventory and working capital.

The cost of our raw material purchases of steel, aluminum, and resins are significantly linked to commodity markets. The markets for commodities are highly cyclical and the costs of purchasing these raw materials can be volatile due to a number of factors including general economic conditions, domestic and worldwide demand, labor costs, competition, import duties, tariffs, and currency exchange rates. Changes in commodity costs not only impact the cost of our raw materials but also influence the prices we offer our customers. Gibraltar has not used hedge contracts to mitigate changes in commodity costs, instead we manage fluctuations in the market by maintaining lean inventory levels and increasing the efficiency of our manufacturing processes.

We purchase natural gas and electricity from suppliers in proximity to our operations.

We have no long-term contractual commitments with our suppliers. Management continually examines and improves our purchasing practices across our geographically dispersed facilities in order to streamline purchasing across similar commodities.

Intellectual Property

We actively protect our proprietary rights throughout North America and Europe by the use of trademark, copyright, and patent registrations and use our intellectual property in the business activities of each business unit. While no individual item of our intellectual property is considered material, we believe our trademarks, copyrights, and patents provide us with a competitive advantage when marketing our products to customers. Our brands are well recognized in the markets we serve and we believe they stand for high-quality manufactured goods at a competitive price. These trademarks and trade names allow us to maintain product leadership positions for the goods we offer.

Sales and Marketing

Our products and services are sold primarily by channel partners who are called on by our sales personnel and outside sales representatives located throughout the United States, Canada, Mexico, and Europe. We have organized sales teams to focus on specific customers and national accounts through which we provide enhanced supply solutions and improve our ability to increase the number of products that we sell. Our sales staff works with certain retail customers to optimize shelf space for our products which is expected to increase sales at these locations.

We focus on providing our customers with industry leading customer service. Our business units generate numerous publications, catalogs, and other printed materials to facilitate the ordering process. In addition, we provide our retail customers with point-of-sale marketing aids to encourage consumer spending on our products in their stores. Continual communication with our customers allows us to understand their concerns and provides us with the capability to identify solutions that will meet our customers' needs. We offer our customers prompt service and short lead times because we have the ability to successfully meet short deadlines. Gibraltar is able to meet our customers' demand requirements due to our efficient manufacturing process and extensive distribution network.

Customers and Distribution

Our customers are located throughout the United States, Canada, Europe, Asia, and Central and South America, principally in the home improvement; new residential, low-rise commercial; bridge and highway construction; and a variety of industrial markets. A majority of our products are sold through sales channels. Major customers include home improvement retailers, building product distributors, and commercial, residential, and transportation contractors. The Home Depot represented 12%, 13%, and 14% of our consolidated net sales for 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. No other customer accounted for more than 10% of our net sales.

Table of Contents

Our products are distributed to our customers using common carriers and our own fleet of trucks. We maintain a network of distribution centers that complement our manufacturing plants from which we ship products and ensure on-time delivery while maintaining efficiency within our distribution process. During the past three years, we have consolidated a number of distribution centers and reduced the number of distribution centers from fifteen as of December 31, 2008 to eight today. Increased efficiency within our distribution network allowed us to eliminate costs from our business while continuing to provide excellent service to our customers.

Backlog

Because of the nature of our products and the short lead time order cycle, backlog is not a significant factor in most of our business units. We believe that substantially all of our firm orders existing on December 31, 2012 will be shipped during 2013.

Competition

Gibraltar operates in the highly competitive building products market with several North American suppliers and, in the case of European operations, some international suppliers. A few of our competitors may be larger, have greater financial resources, or have less financial leverage than we do. As a result, these competitors may be better positioned to respond to any downward pricing pressure or other adverse economic or industry conditions or to identify and acquire companies or product lines compatible with their business.

We compete with numerous suppliers of building products based on the range of products offered, quality, price, and delivery. Although some of these competing suppliers are large companies, the majority are small to medium-sized and do not offer the large range of building products we do.

The prices for raw materials used in our operations, primarily steel, aluminum, and resins, are volatile due to a number of factors beyond our control, including but not limited to supply shortages, general industry and economic conditions, labor costs, import duties, tariffs, and currency exchange rates. Although we have strategies to deal with volatility in raw material costs, such as reducing inventory levels, our competitors who do not have to maintain inventories as large as ours may be better able to mitigate the effects of this volatility and thereby compete effectively against us on product price.

We believe our broad range of products, high quality, and sustained ability to meet exacting customer delivery requirements gives us a competitive advantage over many of our competitors.

Employees

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, we employed 2,306 and 2,221 employees, respectively. The 4% increase in employment from the prior year was primarily attributable to the four acquisitions made during 2012.

Approximately 17% of our workforce was represented by unions through various collective bargaining agreements (CBAs) as of December 31, 2012. One CBA, representing 1% of our workforce, expired and is currently being re-negotiated. One of the four acquisitions made during 2012 was party to a CBA; the Company recognized the union upon acquisition, but not their contract. Another CBA, representing less than 1% of our workforce, will expire during 2013. Our other CBAs expire between January 1, 2014 and April 1, 2016. We historically have had good relationships with our unions. We expect the current and future negotiations with our unions to result in contracts that provide benefits that are consistent with those provided in our current agreements.

Seasonality

Our net sales and income are generally lower in the first and fourth quarters compared to the second and third quarters primarily due to the seasonality of construction activity. Our sales volume is driven by residential renovation and other construction activities which typically peaks with warmer weather and is reduced due to colder and more inclement weather in the winter months. Operating margins are impacted by this seasonality because Gibraltar's operating costs have fixed cost components.

Governmental Regulation

Our manufacturing facilities and distribution centers are subject to many federal, state, and local requirements relating to the protection of the environment. Our production processes use some environmentally sensitive materials. For example, we lubricate our machines with oil and use oil baths to treat some of our products. We believe that we operate our business in material compliance with all environmental laws and

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

regulations, do not anticipate any material expenditures to continue to meet environmental requirements, and do not believe that future compliance with such laws and regulations will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. However, we could incur operating costs or capital expenditures in complying with new or more stringent environmental requirements in the future or with current requirements if they are applied to our facilities in a way we do not anticipate. In addition, new or more stringent regulation of our energy suppliers could cause them to increase the price of energy they supply us.

Table of Contents

Our operations are also governed by many other laws and regulations covering our labor relationships, the zoning of our facilities, our general business practices, and other matters. We believe that we are in material compliance with these laws and regulations and do not believe that future compliance with such laws and regulations will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Internet Information

Copies of the Company's Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are available free of charge through the Company's website (www.gibraltar1.com) as soon as reasonably practicable after the Company electronically files the material with, or furnishes it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Uncertainty and market volatility within the United States and worldwide capital and credit markets have and could continue to negatively impact the Company's business.

The economic conditions experienced since the recession began in 2008 have caused market prices of many stocks to fluctuate substantially, the spreads on prospective debt financings to widen considerably, and have materially impacted liquidity in the financial markets, making terms for certain financings less attractive, and in some cases have resulted in the unavailability of financing. Continued uncertainty in the capital and credit markets may negatively impact our business, including our ability to access additional financing at reasonable terms, which may negatively affect our ability to make future acquisitions. A prolonged downturn in the financial markets may cause us to seek alternative sources of potentially less attractive financing, and may require us to further adjust our business plan accordingly. These events may also make it more difficult or costly for us to raise capital through the issuance of our equity securities and could reduce our net income by increasing our interest expense and other costs of capital. The disruptions in the financial markets may have a material adverse effect on the market value of our common stock.

The diminished availability of credit and other capital is also affecting end users in the key markets we serve. There is continued uncertainty as to sustainability of the recovery of the worldwide capital and credit markets and the impact this uncertainty and volatility will continue to have on our key end markets. Further volatility in the worldwide capital and credit markets may continue to significantly impact the key end markets we serve and could result in further reductions in sales volume, increased credit and collection risks, and may have other adverse effects on our business.

Our amount of indebtedness could adversely affect our ability to raise additional capital to fund our operations, limit our ability to react to changes in the economy or our industry, and prevent us from meeting our obligations.

We had total indebtedness of \$207.8 million as of December 31, 2012. The following chart shows our level of indebtedness and certain other information as of December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| Senior subordinated notes | \$ 202,702 |
| Other debt | 5,101 |
| Total debt | \$ 207,803 |
| Shareholders' equity | \$ 476,822 |
| Ratio of earnings to fixed charges¹ | 2.23x |

¹ For purposes of calculating the ratio of earnings to fixed charges, earnings consist of income before taxes minus capitalized interest plus intangible asset impairment charges plus fixed charges. Fixed charges include interest expense (including amortization of debt issuance costs), capitalized interest, the portion of operating rental expense that management believes is representative of the interest component of rent expense, and the interest on uncertain tax positions.

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

We may not be able to generate sufficient cash flow from operating results and other sources to service all of our indebtedness, including the Senior Subordinated Notes, and may be forced to take other actions to satisfy our obligations under our debt agreements, which may not be successful.

Our ability to make scheduled debt service payments or to refinance our debt obligations, depends on our financial condition and operating performance, which are subject to prevailing economic and competitive conditions and to certain financial, business, legislative, regulatory and other factors beyond our control. We may be unable to maintain a level of cash flows from operating activities sufficient to permit us to pay the principal, premium, if any, and interest on our indebtedness.

Table of Contents

If our cash flows and capital resources are insufficient to fund our debt service obligations, we could face substantial liquidity problems and could be forced to reduce or delay investments and capital expenditures, or to dispose of material assets or operations, seek additional debt or equity capital or restructure or refinance our indebtedness. We may not be able to affect any such alternative measures, if necessary, on commercially reasonable terms or at all and, even if successful, those alternative actions may not allow us to meet our scheduled debt service obligations. The credit agreement governing the revolving credit facility and the indenture that govern the notes restricts our ability to dispose of assets and use the proceeds from those dispositions and may also restrict our ability to raise debt or equity capital to be used to repay other indebtedness when it becomes due. We may not be able to consummate those dispositions or to obtain proceeds in an amount sufficient to meet any debt service obligations then due.

If we cannot make scheduled payments on our debt, we will be in default and, as a result:

our debt holders could declare all outstanding principal and interest to be due and payable;

the lenders under the revolving credit facility could terminate their commitments to loan money, and foreclose against the assets securing their borrowings; and

we could be forced into bankruptcy or liquidation.

Relative to current indebtedness levels, we may still be able to incur substantially more debt. This could further exacerbate the risks described above.

Subsequent to December 31, 2012, the Company issued \$210.0 million of 6.25% Senior Subordinated Notes (6.25% Notes) due February 1, 2021. In connection with the issuance of the 6.25% Notes, on January 16, 2013 the Company initiated a tender offer to purchase the outstanding \$204.0 million of 8% Senior Subordinated Notes (8% Notes) due in 2015. Simultaneously with the closing of the sale of the 6.25% Notes on January 31, 2013, the Company purchased the tendered 8% Notes. The 8% Notes that were not tendered and purchased were called for redemption. In connection with the purchase and subsequent redemption, the Company satisfied and discharged its obligations under the 8% Notes as of January 31, 2013. The terms of the indenture for our 6.25% Notes do not fully prohibit us or our subsidiaries from incurring additional debt.

Additionally, the Senior Credit Agreement provides us with a revolving credit facility commitment up to \$200 million with borrowings limited to the lesser of (i) \$200 million or (ii) a borrowing base determined by reference to the trade receivables, inventories, and property, plant, and equipment of our significant domestic subsidiaries. At December 31, 2012, we had \$116.8 million of availability under our revolving credit facility. Under the terms of our Senior Credit Agreement, we are required to repay all amounts outstanding under the revolving credit facility by October 10, 2016. Our principal operating subsidiary, Gibraltar Steel Corporation of New York, is also a borrower under the Senior Credit Agreement and the full amount of our commitments under the revolving credit facility may be borrowed by that subsidiary.

In addition, our substantial degree of indebtedness could have other important consequences, including the following:

it may limit our ability to obtain additional debt or equity financing for working capital, capital expenditures, product development, debt service requirements, acquisitions, and general corporate or other purposes;

a substantial portion of our cash flows from operations have been and are expected to be dedicated to the payment of principal and interest on our indebtedness and may not be available for other purposes, including our operations, capital expenditures, and future business opportunities;

certain of our borrowings, including borrowings under the Senior Credit Agreement, are at variable rates of interest, exposing us to the risk of increased interest rates; and

it may limit our ability to adjust to changing market conditions and place us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors that have less debt.

Restrictive covenants may adversely affect our operations.

The Senior Credit Agreement and the indenture governing our 6.25% Notes contain various covenants that limit our ability to, among other things:

incur additional debt or provide guarantees in respect of obligations of other persons;

pay dividends or distributions or redeem or repurchase capital stock;

prepay, redeem, or repurchase debt;

make loans, investments including acquisitions, and capital expenditures;

Table of Contents

incur debt that is senior to our 6.25% Notes but junior to our indebtedness under the Senior Credit Agreement and other senior indebtedness;

incur liens;

receive distributions from our subsidiaries;

sell assets and capital stock of our subsidiaries;

consolidate or merge with or into, or sell substantially all of our assets to, another person; and

enter into new lines of business.

In addition, the restrictive covenants in the Senior Credit Agreement include a single financial covenant that requires the Company to maintain a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.25 to 1.00. Our ability to meet the restrictive covenants in the future can be affected by events beyond our control and we cannot assure you that we will meet the financial ratio. A breach of any of these covenants would result in a default under the Senior Credit Agreement. Upon the occurrence of an event of default under the Senior Credit Agreement, we would attempt to receive a waiver from our lenders, which could result in us incurring additional financing fees that would be costly and adversely affect our profitability and cash flows. If a waiver was not provided, the lenders could elect to declare all amounts outstanding under such facility to be immediately due and payable and terminate all commitments to extend further credit. If such event of default and election occurs, the lenders under the Senior Credit Agreement would be entitled to be paid before current 6.25% Note holders receive any payment under our notes. In addition, if we were unable to repay those amounts, the lenders under the Senior Credit Agreement could proceed against the collateral granted to them to secure that indebtedness. We have pledged substantially all our assets as collateral under the Senior Credit Agreement. If the lenders under the Senior Credit Agreement accelerate the repayment of borrowings, we cannot assure you that we will have sufficient assets to repay debt outstanding under the Senior Credit Agreement and our other indebtedness, including our 6.25% Notes, or borrow sufficient funds to refinance such indebtedness. An acceleration of the amounts outstanding under the Senior Credit Agreement would result in an event of default under the 6.25% Notes which would then entitle the holders thereof to accelerate and demand repayment of the notes as well. Even if we are able to obtain new financing to pay the amounts due under the Senior Credit Agreement and 6.25% Notes, it may not be on commercially reasonable terms, or terms that are acceptable to us. A breach of any of our covenants would have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and cash flow.

Variable rate indebtedness subjects us to interest rate risk which could cause our debt service obligations to increase significantly.

Certain of our borrowings, primarily borrowings under the Senior Credit Agreement, are, and are expected to continue to be, at variable rates of interest and expose us to interest rate risk. If interest rates increase, our debt service obligations on the variable rate indebtedness would increase on any amounts outstanding under the Senior Credit Agreement, and our net income would decrease. Assuming all revolving loans were fully drawn or funded on December 31, 2012, as applicable, each 25 basis point change in interest rates would result in a \$0.5 million change in annual interest expense on debt outstanding under the Senior Credit Agreement.

The residential building as well as the repair and remodel markets account for a significant portion of our sales, and any substantial reduction in demand from these markets is likely to adversely affect our profitability and cash flow.

The residential building market in North America experienced a significant decline in volume during a recession that began in 2008 which has recently shown signs of modest recovery. Similar trends were noted in the other end markets we serve, including various commercial and industrial markets.

Our largest customers are retail home improvement centers and wholesale distributors who serve our key end markets. The Home Depot accounted for approximately 12%, 13%, and 14% of our net sales during 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

A loss of sales due to decreased demand from the residential building market, the repair and remodel market, the specified customer, or any of the other industries we serve, or a decrease in the prices that we can realize from sales of our products to customers in any of these markets could adversely affect our profitability and cash flows. The end markets we serve have been and are expected to continue to be cyclical, with product

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

demand based on numerous factors such as availability of credit, interest rates, general economic conditions, consumer confidence, unemployment levels, and other factors beyond our control. The economic conditions experienced in the earlier years of the recession negatively affected all of these factors. Modest recovery in the past two years has demonstrated improvement in these factors and in our profitability and cash flow.

Table of Contents

We rely on a few customers for a significant portion of our net sales. The loss of those customers would adversely affect our business.

Some of our customers are material to our business and results of operations. Our ten largest customers accounted for approximately 36%, 31%, and 34% of our net sales during 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. Our percentage of net sales to our major customers may increase if we are successful in executing our strategy of broadening the range of products we sell to existing customers. In such as event, or in the event of any consolidation of our customers, our net sales may be increasingly sensitive to deterioration in the financial condition of, or other adverse developments with, one or more of our largest customers. These customers are also able to exert pricing and other influences on us, requiring us to market, deliver, and promote our products in a manner that may be more costly to us. Moreover, we generally do not have long-term contracts with our customers. As a result, although our customers periodically provide indications of their product needs and purchases, they generally purchase our products on an order-by-order basis, and the relationship, as well as particular orders, can be terminated at any time. The loss, bankruptcy, or significant decrease in business from any of our major customers would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and cash flow.

Our business is highly competitive and increased competition could reduce our gross profit, net income, and cash flow.

The principal markets that we serve are highly competitive. Competition is based primarily on quality, price, raw material and inventory availability, and the ability to meet delivery schedules dictated by customers. We compete in our principal markets with companies of various sizes, some of which have greater financial and other resources than we do and some of which have better established brand names in the markets we serve. Increased competition could force us to lower our prices or to offer additional services or enhanced products at a higher cost to us, which could reduce our gross profit, net income, and cash flow and cause us to lose market share.

Our future operating results may be affected by fluctuations in raw material costs. We may not be able to pass on increased raw material costs to our customers.

Our principal raw materials are commodity products consisting of steel, aluminum, and resins, which we purchase from multiple primary suppliers. The commodity market as a whole is cyclical, and at times availability and pricing can be volatile due to a number of factors beyond our control, including general economic conditions, domestic and worldwide demand, labor costs, competition, import duties, tariffs, and currency exchange rates. This volatility can significantly affect our raw material costs.

Global consolidation of the primary steel producers and increased demand from other nations such as China and India continue to put upward pressure on market prices for steel and other commodities. Additionally, we are required to maintain moderate to high levels of inventories to accommodate the short lead times and just-in-time delivery requirements of our customers. Accordingly, we purchase raw materials on a regular basis in an effort to maintain our inventory at levels that we believe are sufficient to satisfy the anticipated needs of our customers based upon expected buying practices and market conditions. In an environment of increasing raw material prices, competitive conditions will impact how much of the steel price increases we can pass on to our customers. To the extent we are unable to pass on price increases in our raw materials to our customers, the profitability of our business and resulting cash flows could be adversely affected. In the event of rapidly decreasing raw material prices, we may be left to absorb the cost of higher cost inventory as customers receive reduced pricing related to decreases in raw material costs. To the extent we are unable to match our costs to purchase raw materials to prices given to our customers, the profitability of our business and resulting cash flows could be adversely affected.

Lead time and the cost of our products could increase if we were to lose one of our primary suppliers.

If, for any reason, our primary suppliers of steel, aluminum, resins, or other materials should curtail or discontinue deliveries to us in quantities we need and at prices that are competitive, our business could suffer. The number of available suppliers has been reduced in recent years due to industry consolidation and bankruptcies affecting steel and metal producers and this trend may continue. Our top ten suppliers accounted for 30% of our purchases during 2012. We could be significantly and adversely affected if delivery were disrupted from a major supplier or several suppliers. In addition, we do not have long-term contracts with any of our suppliers. If, in the future, we were unable to obtain sufficient amounts of the necessary metals at competitive prices and on a timely basis from our traditional suppliers, we may not be able to obtain such metals from alternative sources at competitive prices to meet our delivery schedules, which would have a material adverse effect on our results, profitability, and cash flow.

Table of Contents

Increases in energy and freight prices would increase our operating costs and we may be unable to pass all these increases on to our customers in the form of higher prices for our products. We use energy to manufacture and transport our products. In particular, our plants use considerable amounts of electricity and our freight expenses include the cost of fuel to operate trucks. Our operating costs increase if energy costs rise. Although we do not believe we have experienced materially higher energy costs as a result of new or more stringent environmental regulations of our energy suppliers, such regulations could increase the cost of generating energy that is passed on to us. We do not hedge our exposure to higher prices via energy futures contracts. During periods of higher freight and energy costs, we may not be able to recover our operating cost increases through price increases without reducing demand for our products. Increases in energy prices may reduce our profitability and cash flows if we are unable to pass all the increases on to our customers through higher selling prices.

We may not be able to identify, manage, and integrate future acquisitions successfully and if we are unable to do so, we are unlikely to sustain growth in net sales or profitability and our ability to repay our outstanding indebtedness may decline.

Historically, we have grown through a combination of internal growth plus external expansion through acquisitions such as the recent acquisitions made in 2011 and 2012. Although we intend to actively pursue our growth strategy in the future, we cannot provide any assurance that we will be able to identify appropriate acquisition candidates or, if we do, that we will be able to negotiate successfully the terms of an acquisition, finance the acquisition, or integrate the acquired business profitably into our existing operations. Integration of an acquired business could disrupt our business by diverting management away from day-to-day operations and could result in liabilities that were not anticipated. Further, failure to integrate any acquisition successfully may cause significant operating inefficiencies and could adversely affect our profitability and our ability to repay our outstanding indebtedness. Consummating an acquisition could require us to raise additional funds through additional equity or debt financing. Additional debt financing would increase our interest expense and reduce our cash flow otherwise available to reinvest in our business and neither debt nor equity financing may be available on satisfactory terms when required.

We are subject to information system security risks and systems integration issues could disrupt our internal operations.

We are dependent upon information technology for the distribution of information internally and also to our customers and suppliers. This information technology is subject to theft, damage, or interruption from a variety of sources, including but not limited to malicious computer code, such as worms, viruses and Trojan horses, security breaches, and defects in design. The implementation of new information technology solutions could lead to interruptions of information flow internally and to our customers and suppliers while the implementation project is being completed. We implemented new systems during the past three years at several business units. Various measures have been taken to manage our risks related to information system and network disruptions, but a security breach, system failure, or failure to implement new systems properly could negatively impact our operations and financial results.

Our principal stockholders have the ability to exert significant influence in matters requiring a stockholder vote and could delay, deter, or prevent a change in control of the Company.

Approximately 8% of our outstanding common stock, including shares of common stock issuable under options and similar compensatory instruments granted which are exercisable, or which vested or will vest within 60 days, are owned by Brian J. Lipke, the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, Eric R. Lipke, Neil E. Lipke, Meredith A. Lipke, and the estate of Curtis W. Lipke, all of whom are siblings, and certain trusts for the benefit of each of them and their families. As a result, the Lipke family has influence over all actions requiring stockholder approval, including the election of our board of directors. In deciding how to vote on such matters, the Lipke family may be influenced by interests that conflict with the interests of other shareholders.

We depend on our senior management team, and the loss of any member could adversely affect our operations.

Our success is dependent on the management and leadership skills of our senior management team. The loss of any of these individuals or an inability to attract, retain, and maintain additional personnel could prevent us from successfully executing our business strategy. We cannot assure you that we will be able to retain our existing senior management personnel or to attract additional qualified personnel when needed. We have not entered into employment agreements with any of our senior management personnel other than Brian J. Lipke, our Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, and Henning N. Kornbrekke, our President and Chief Operating Officer.

Table of Contents

We could incur substantial costs in order to comply with, or to address any violations of, environmental laws.

Our operations and facilities are subject to a variety of federal, state, local, and foreign laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment and human health and safety. Compliance with these laws and regulations sometimes involves substantial operating costs and capital expenditures, and any failure to maintain or achieve compliance with these laws and regulations or with the permits required for our operations could result in fines and civil or criminal sanctions, third-party claims for property damage or personal injury, cleanup costs or temporary or permanent discontinuance of operations including claims arising from the businesses and facilities that we have sold. We sometimes use hazardous and regulated substances such as petroleum products, hydraulic fluids, and solvents in our operations and are responsible for the proper handling, storage and disposal of hazardous materials and wastes. We have also acquired and continue to acquire, businesses and facilities to add to our operations. Certain facilities of ours have been in operation for many years and we may be liable for remediation of any contamination at our current or former facilities, or at off-site locations where wastes have been sent for disposal, regardless of fault or whether we, our predecessors or others are responsible for such contamination. We have been responsible for remediation of contamination at some of our locations and, while such costs have not been material so far, the cost of remediation of any newly-discovered contamination cannot be quantified, and we cannot assure you that it will not materially affect our profits or cash flows. Changes in environmental laws, regulations or enforcement policies, including without limitation new or more stringent regulations affecting greenhouse gas emissions, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, or results of operations.

Labor disruptions at any of our major customers or at our own manufacturing facilities could adversely affect our results of operations and cash flow.

Many of our customers have unionized workforces and could experience labor disruptions such as work stoppages, slow-downs, and strikes. A labor disruption at one or more of our customers could interrupt production or sales by that customer and cause the customer to halt or limit orders for our products and services. Any such reduction in the demand for our products and services would adversely affect our net sales, results of operations, and cash flow.

In addition, approximately 17% of our own employees are represented by unions through various collective bargaining agreements. Our collective bargaining agreements are scheduled to expire between January 1, 2013 and April 1, 2016. We also have recognized a union at one of the businesses we acquired during the fourth quarter of 2012, and are in the process of negotiating an initial agreement with this union. It is likely that our unionized employees would seek an increase in wages and benefits at the expiration of these agreements, and we may be unable to negotiate new agreements without labor disruption or on terms favorable to us. In addition, labor organizing activities could occur at any of our facilities. If any labor disruption were to occur at our facilities, we could lose sales due to interruptions in production and could incur additional costs, which would adversely affect our net sales, results of operations, and cash flow.

Our operations are subject to seasonal fluctuations that may impact our cash flow.

Our net sales are generally lower in the first and fourth quarters primarily due to reduced activity in the building industry due to colder, more inclement weather. In addition, quarterly results may be affected by the timing of shipments of large customer orders. Therefore, our cash flow from operations may vary from quarter to quarter. If, as a result of any such fluctuation, our quarterly cash flows were significantly reduced, we may not be able to service our indebtedness or maintain covenant compliance. A default under any of our indebtedness could prevent us from borrowing additional funds and limit our ability to pay interest or principal, and allow our senior secured lenders to enforce their liens against our assets securing our indebtedness to these senior secured lenders.

Economic, political, and other risks associated with foreign operations could adversely affect our financial results.

Although the large majority of our business activity takes place in the United States, we derive a portion of our revenues and earnings from operations in foreign countries, and are subject to risks associated with doing business internationally. Our sales originating outside the United States represented approximately 12% of our consolidated net sales during the year ended December 31, 2012. We have facilities in Canada, England, and Germany. We believe that our business activities outside of the United States involve a higher degree of risk than our domestic activities. The risks of doing business in foreign countries include deterioration of foreign economic conditions, uncertainty over the stability of the Eurozone, the potential for adverse changes in the local political climate, in diplomatic relations between foreign countries and the United States or in governmental policies, laws or regulations, terrorist activity that may cause social disruption, logistical and communications challenges, costs of complying with a variety of laws and regulations, difficulty in staffing and managing geographically diverse operations, deterioration of foreign economic conditions, currency rate fluctuations, foreign exchange restrictions, differing local business practices and cultural considerations, restrictions on imports and exports or sources of supply, and changes in duties or taxes. Adverse changes in any of these risks could adversely affect our net sales, results of operations, and cash flows.

Table of Contents

Disruptions to our business or the business of our customers or suppliers could adversely impact our operations and financial results.

Business disruptions, including increased costs for or interruptions in the supply of energy or raw materials, resulting from severe weather events such as hurricanes, floods, blizzards, from casualty events, such as fires or material equipment breakdown, from acts of terrorism, from epidemic or pandemic disease, from labor disruptions, or from other events such as required maintenance shutdowns, could cause interruptions to our businesses as well as the operations of our customers and suppliers. Such interruptions could have an adverse effect on our operations and financial results.

The nature of our business exposes us to product liability, product warranty and other claims and other legal proceedings.

We are involved in product liability, product warranty and other claims relating to the products we manufacture and distribute that, if adversely determined, could adversely affect our financial condition, operating results, and cash flows. In addition, we are exposed to potential claims arising from parties, such as customers, for which we may be contractually liable. Although we currently maintain what we believe to be suitable and adequate insurance in excess of our self-insured amounts, there can be no assurance that we will be able to maintain such insurance on acceptable terms or that such insurance will provide adequate protection against potential liabilities. Product liability claims can be expensive to defend and can divert the attention of management and other personnel for significant periods, regardless of the ultimate outcome. Claims of this nature could also have a negative impact on customer confidence in our products and our company. We cannot assure you that any current or future claims will not adversely affect our financial condition, operating results, and cash flows.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

Our principal executive office and headquarters is located in Buffalo, New York, in a leased facility. As of December 31, 2012, we owned or leased 37 facilities in the U.S., five in Canada, and two in Europe of which 26 were leased and 18 were owned. We believe the facilities we operate and their equipment are effectively utilized, well maintained, in good condition, and will be able to accommodate our capacity needs to meet current levels of demand. Our broad North American and European network is well maintained and our sites are located to optimize customer service, market requirements, distribution capability and freight costs. We continuously review our anticipated requirements for facilities and, on the basis of that review, may from time to time acquire additional facilities and/or dispose of existing facilities.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, the Company is named a defendant in legal actions arising out of the normal course of business. The Company is not a party to any pending legal proceedings that management believes will have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations or financial condition. The Company is also not a party to any other pending legal proceedings other than ordinary, routine litigation incidental to its business. The Company maintains liability insurance against risks arising out of the normal course of business.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters**

As of December 31, 2012 there were 131 shareholders of record of the Company's common stock. However, the Company believes that it has a significantly higher number of shareholders because of the number of shares that are held by nominees.

The Company's common stock is traded in the over-the-counter market and quoted on the NASDAQ Global Select Market (NASDAQ) under the symbol ROCK. The following table sets forth the high and low sale prices per share for the Company's common stock for each quarter of 2012 and 2011 as reported on the NASDAQ Stock Exchange.

| | 2012 | | 2011 | |
|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | High | Low | High | Low |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 16.00 | \$ 11.96 | \$ 14.77 | \$ 7.40 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 13.78 | \$ 9.03 | \$ 11.66 | \$ 7.35 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 15.75 | \$ 9.10 | \$ 14.12 | \$ 10.51 |
| First Quarter | \$ 17.44 | \$ 13.08 | \$ 14.48 | \$ 10.13 |

The Company did not declare cash dividends during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. Cash dividends are declared at the discretion of the Company's Board of Directors. The Board of Directors determines to pay dividends based upon such factors as the Company's earnings, financial condition, capital requirements, debt covenant requirements, and other relevant conditions.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table summarizes information as of December 31, 2012 concerning securities authorized for issuance under the Company's stock option plans:

| Plan Category | Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options | Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options | Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans ¹ |
|--|--|--|---|
| Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Security Holders | 711,624 | \$ 14.97 | 726,461 |
| Total | 711,624 | \$ 14.97 | 726,461 |

¹ Consists of the Gibraltar Industries, Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan (the Plan). Note 11 of the Company's audited consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K provides additional information regarding the Plan and securities issuable upon exercise of options. All currently effective equity compensation plans have been approved by the Company's shareholders.

Table of Contents

Performance Graph

The following information in this Item of the Annual Report on Form 10-K is not deemed to be soliciting material or to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission or subject to Regulation 14A or 14C under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), or to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, and will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate such information into such a filing.

The performance graph shown below compares the cumulative total shareholder return on the Company's common stock, based on the market price of the common stock, with the total return of the S&P SmallCap 600 Index and the S&P SmallCap 600 Industrials Index for the five-year period ended December 31, 2012. The comparison of total return assumes that a fixed investment of \$100 was invested on December 31, 2007 in common stock and in each of the foregoing indices and further assumes the reinvestment of dividends. The stock price performance shown on the graph is not necessarily indicative of future price performance.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

(in thousands, except per share data)

The following selected historical consolidated financial data for each of the five years in the period ended December 31, 2012 have been derived from the Company's audited financial statements as restated for discontinued operations. The selected historical consolidated financial data presented in Item 6 are qualified in their entirety by, and should be read in conjunction with, the Company's audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in Item 8 and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations set forth in Item 7 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Net sales | \$ 790,058 | \$ 766,607 | \$ 637,454 | \$ 639,076 | \$ 917,476 |
| Intangible asset impairment | \$ 4,628 | \$ | \$ 76,964 | \$ 60,098 | \$ |
| Income (loss) from operations | \$ 40,261 | \$ 36,158 | \$ (72,642) | \$ (37,061) | \$ 62,341 |
| Interest expense | \$ 18,582 | \$ 19,363 | \$ 19,714 | \$ 21,433 | \$ 23,820 |
| Income (loss) before taxes | \$ 22,167 | \$ 16,885 | \$ (92,279) | \$ (58,183) | \$ 39,245 |
| Provision for (benefit of) income taxes | \$ 9,517 | \$ 7,669 | \$ (16,923) | \$ (18,611) | \$ 14,723 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 12,650 | \$ 9,216 | \$ (75,356) | \$ (39,572) | \$ 24,522 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations per share | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.41 | \$ 0.30 | \$ (2.49) | \$ (1.31) | \$ 0.82 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 30,752 | 30,507 | 30,303 | 30,135 | 29,981 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations per share | | | | | |
| Diluted | \$ 0.41 | \$ 0.30 | \$ (2.49) | \$ (1.31) | \$ 0.81 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 30,857 | 30,650 | 30,303 | 30,135 | 30,193 |
| Cash dividends declared per common share | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.20 |
| Current assets | \$ 267,238 | \$ 268,854 | \$ 242,377 | \$ 252,125 | \$ 348,229 |
| Current liabilities | \$ 117,585 | \$ 128,424 | \$ 100,118 | \$ 109,016 | \$ 125,201 |
| Total assets | \$ 883,674 | \$ 872,055 | \$ 810,890 | \$ 974,942 | \$ 1,146,359 |
| Total debt | \$ 207,803 | \$ 207,163 | \$ 207,197 | \$ 257,282 | \$ 356,372 |
| Shareholders' equity | \$ 476,822 | \$ 459,936 | \$ 440,853 | \$ 528,226 | \$ 568,487 |
| Capital expenditures | \$ 11,351 | \$ 11,552 | \$ 8,362 | \$ 9,791 | \$ 17,639 |
| Depreciation | \$ 19,673 | \$ 19,872 | \$ 18,797 | \$ 18,034 | \$ 17,971 |
| Amortization | \$ 6,671 | \$ 6,309 | \$ 5,167 | \$ 5,187 | \$ 5,550 |

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations should be read in conjunction with the Company’s risk factors and its consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in Item 1A and Item 8, respectively, of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Certain information set forth herein Item 7 constitutes forward-looking statements as that term is used in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such forward-looking statements are based, in whole or in part, on management’s beliefs, estimates, assumptions, and currently available information. For a more detailed discussion of what constitutes a forward-looking statement and of some of the factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from such forward-looking statements, please refer to the Safe Harbor Statement on page 2 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Company Overview

Gibraltar is a leading manufacturer and distributor of products for the building and industrial markets. Our products provide structural and architectural enhancements for residential homes, low-rise retail, other commercial and professional buildings, industrial plants, bridges and a wide variety of other structures. These products include ventilation products, mail storage solutions including mailboxes and package delivery products, rain dispersion products and accessories, bar grating, expanded metal, metal lath and expansion joints and structural bearings. We believe Gibraltar has strong brand recognition in these product categories which provides us with product leadership positions. We serve customers throughout North America, Europe, Asia, and Central and South America including major home improvement retailers, distributors and contractors. As of December 31, 2012, we operated 44 facilities in 21 states, Canada, England and Germany, giving us a broad platform for just-in-time delivery and support to our customers. Our common stock is trading on the NASDAQ stock market under the ticker symbol ROCK.

Our customers principally serve the home improvement; new residential, low-rise commercial; bridge and highway construction and a variety of industrial markets. A majority of our products are sold through sales channels. Major customers include The Home Depot, other big box retailers, national building products wholesalers, industrial equipment manufacturers and contractors.

We believe that we have established a reputation as an industry leader in quality, service and innovation and have achieved strong competitive positions in our markets. We attribute our standing in the market primarily to the following competitive strengths:

Leading market share. We have a leading market position in many of the products and services we offer, and we estimate that a majority of our net sales for the year ended December 31, 2012 were derived from the sale of products in which we had one of the leading U.S. market shares. We believe we have leading market shares in six distinct product families.

Diversified product mix and manufacturing base. We manufacture an extensive variety of products that are sold through building material wholesalers, buying groups, discount and major retail home centers, roofing distributors, residential, industrial, commercial, and transportation contractors and industrial manufacturers. We operate 34 manufacturing facilities and eight distribution centers throughout the United States, Canada, England and Germany, giving us a base of operations to provide customer support, delivery, service and quality to a number of regional and national customers and providing us with manufacturing and distribution efficiencies in North America, as well as a presence in the European market. Despite our global reach, our capital expenditures have remained low. During each of the last three years, our capital expenditures have averaged 1.5% or less of net sales. The following table outlines many of our key products sold to both residential and non-residential end markets:

| Product | End market |
|---|---|
| | <i>Residential end markets</i> |
| Roof & foundation ventilation products | New build; repair and remodel |
| Mail storage (single and cluster) | New build; repair and remodel |
| Rain dispersion, flashing, soffits and trim, metal lath | New build; repair and remodel |
| | <i>Non-residential end markets</i> |
| Bar grating | Oil, gas and mining; industrial; wastewater and water treatment; leisure and sports parks |
| Expanded metal and perforated metal | Mining; steel mills; transportation; petro-chemical; architectural facades; security |

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Engineered public infrastructure products

Bridge construction; highway construction; airport runways

20

Table of Contents

Provider of value-added products and services. We increasingly focus on value-added products and services, such as mail storage solutions, infrastructure products, and ventilation products, to improve our margins and profitability. We use complex and demanding production and treatment processes that require advanced production equipment, sophisticated technology and exacting quality control measures. We have also targeted our acquisition strategy on producers of value-added products, including, but not limited to, our recent acquisitions of The D.S. Brown Company (D.S. Brown), the largest U.S. manufacturer of specialty components for the transportation infrastructure industry, Pacific Award Metals, Inc. (Award Metals), a leading regional manufacturer of roof ventilation, roof trims, flashing and rain ware, drywall trims and specialty clips and connectors for concrete forms used in the new construction and repair and remodel markets. The Company also acquired the assets of four businesses in 2012:

Metal grating products for the oil sands region of Western Canada;

Function-critical components for public infrastructure construction and maintenance;

Perforated metal products for industrial applications; and

Sun protection products for new residential construction and home remodeling.

These acquisitions have increased our portfolio of value-added products. We also strive to develop and launch new products, expand our geographic market coverage and penetrate new end markets to strengthen our product leadership positions.

Strength in non-residential end markets. Since 2007, we have sought to bolster our presence in non-residential end markets through both strategic acquisitions and organic growth, and we estimate that sales from these markets now account for approximately half of the net sales for the company. Our presence in this diverse end market, which serves industries such as oil & gas, mining, transportation and industrial, complements our residential end markets business and provides additional stability.

Solid relationships with blue-chip customers. We have strong relationships with many of the largest customers in the markets we serve, including the building and construction, machinery and general manufacturing industries. Through acquisitions, we have gained new longstanding relationships and we have maintained and developed those relationships by offering an increasing range of products and providing quality customer support. We have long-standing relationships with many large market leaders such as The Home Depot, other big box retailers, national building products wholesalers, industrial equipment manufacturers and contractors.

Commitment to quality. We place great importance on providing our customers with high quality products for use in critical construction applications. We carefully select our raw material vendors and use inspection and analysis to maintain our quality standards so our products meet critical customer specifications. To meet customer specifications, we use documented procedures utilizing statistical process control systems linked directly to processing equipment to monitor many stages of production. A number of our facilities' quality systems are registered under ISO 9001, an internationally recognized set of quality-assurance standards, and other industry standards.

History of substantial debt reduction and strong liquidity profile. Since 2007, we have been committed to debt reduction and used cash generated from operations, as well as certain proceeds of asset sales, to make significant repayments against our outstanding debt. Our total debt declined from \$487.5 million at December 31, 2007 to \$207.8 million as of December 31, 2012. We had no borrowings under our revolving credit facility during the year ended December 31, 2012, and our liquidity as of December 31, 2012 was \$165 million, including \$48 million of cash and \$117 million of availability under our revolving credit facility. We believe that our low leverage and substantial liquidity allows us to successfully manage our business, meet the demands of our customers and weather the cyclicity of certain end markets.

Proven acquisition track record. Over the last eight years, we have successfully acquired and integrated over fifteen businesses, including D.S. Brown, Florence Manufacturing and Alabama Metal Industries Corporation. These acquisitions have helped to diversify our products and customers while growing our net sales and earnings.

Experienced management team. Brian J. Lipke has been our Chief Executive Officer since 1987, the Chairman of our Board since 1992 and a director since our formation. Henning N. Kornbrekke, President and Chief Operating Officer, has been with us for nine years and has extensive experience in manufacturing, marketing and distribution from his previous employment at Rexam, PLC and The Stanley Works. Kenneth W.

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Smith, our Chief Financial Officer, joined our company in 2008 with extensive experience at Circor International, North Safety Products and Digital Equipment Corporation. Our executive management team is supported by a talented and experienced divisional management team. Overall, our management team has broad experience in operational excellence, quality and merchandising gained over multiple business cycles.

Table of Contents

Operational excellence. Our strategy is to position Gibraltar as a low-cost provider and a market share leader in product areas that offer the opportunity for sales growth and margin enhancement over the long-term. We focus on operational excellence, including lean initiatives throughout the company to position Gibraltar as our customers' low-cost provider of the products we offer. We continuously seek to improve our on-time delivery, quality and service to position Gibraltar as a preferred supplier to our customers. During recent years, Gibraltar invested in new enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems which, among other things, have enabled us to more effectively manage our inventory, forecast customer orders, improve supply chain management and respond more timely to volatile raw material costs. At the same time, we have significantly reduced our working capital levels while maintaining a high level of customer service.

Acquisitions

During 2012, Gibraltar purchased the assets of four businesses in separate transactions. The acquired product lines complement and expand the Company's product portfolio and customer base in four key U.S. and Canadian markets:

Metal grating products for the oil sands region of Western Canada ;

Function-critical components for public infrastructure construction and maintenance;

Perforated metal products for industrial applications; and

Sun protection products for new residential construction and home remodeling.
Gibraltar funded the aggregate investment of \$43 million from existing cash on hand.

Gibraltar acquired D.S. Brown on April 1, 2011 for \$98 million. D.S. Brown is the largest U.S. manufacturer of specialty components for the transportation infrastructure industry and has established a leading market position for many of the products offered. Products manufactured and distributed by D.S. Brown include expansion joint systems, structural bearing assemblies, pavement sealing systems, and other specialty components for bridges, highways, and other infrastructure projects.

On June 3, 2011, the Company acquired Award Metals for \$13 million. Award Metals is a leading regional manufacturer of roof ventilation, roof trims, flashing and rain ware, drywall trims, and specialty clips and connectors for concrete forms used in the new construction and repair and remodel markets.

The D.S. Brown and Award Metals acquisitions were financed through cash on hand and debt available under our revolving credit facility. Gibraltar's results from operations included acquisitions from the respective dates of acquisition.

Divestitures

Gibraltar sold its United Steel Products subsidiary (USP) in March 2011 for \$59 million. The sale of USP, along with the acquisitions completed in 2011 and 2012 provide Gibraltar with a broader product offering to construction markets and greater potential for revenue and earnings growth. These transactions are consistent with management's strategy to position Gibraltar as a market leader in the product areas offered by our business and to expand our offering of value-added products.

On February 1, 2010, Gibraltar completed the sale of the majority of the assets of the Processed Metal Products business. This transaction finalized our exit from steel processing. This strategic initiative began in 2005 and included the 2006 sale of our steel strapping business, the 2007 sale of the Hubbell Steel business, and the 2008 sale of the SCM powdered metal business. These divestitures were an ongoing part of our objective to build a company with optimal operating characteristics and improve shareholder value. We now are focused on the manufacture and distribution of building products where the Company has historically generated its highest operating margins. The divestitures described above were recognized as components of discontinued operations in the Company's consolidated financial statements and notes thereto.

Economic Trends

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

The end markets served by our business are subject to economic conditions influenced by outside factors which include but are not limited to interest rates, commodity costs, demand for residential construction, the level of non-residential construction and infrastructure projects, and demand within the repair and remodel market. The United States construction markets continued an uneven recovery from an unprecedented recession that began in 2008 and led to reduced demand for the products we manufacture and distribute. In addition, tightened credit markets over the same period may have limited the ability of the end users of our products to obtain financing for construction projects. While the U.S. economy has grown since the recession, the construction markets continue to face significant challenges. Construction markets have only recovered modestly from the recession and many economic indicators remain at levels well below long-term averages. As an example, the table below shows housing starts in the United States continued to remain significantly below the long-term average of 1.5 million starts per year:

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Residential Housing Starts | 767,000 | 607,000 | 585,000 |

Table of Contents

The decrease in residential housing starts and non-residential construction had a significant impact on the operations of our business by contributing to decreased sales volume and profitability. To respond to current economic conditions facing Gibraltar, including weakened end market conditions and volatile commodity costs, we continue to focus on providing a high level of customer service along with maintaining our position as a market share leader and low-cost provider of our products. We strive for operational excellence through lean initiatives and the consolidation of facilities to reduce costs. As a result, we have closed or consolidated 11 facilities over the past three years, including two during 2012. We have also aggressively reduced operating costs throughout the Company to maximize cash flows generated from operating activities. As a result of these restructuring activities, our break-even point has decreased significantly since 2008.

As noted above, commodity raw material prices for materials such as steel, aluminum, and resins, have also fluctuated significantly during the past three years. These fluctuations impact the cost of raw materials we purchase and the pricing we offer to our customers. Commodity prices fell precipitously during the fourth quarter of 2008 and continued to fall during the first two quarters of 2009. The rapid decrease in commodity prices led to lower sales prices offered to customers and falling margins on our product sales during much of 2009. Commodity prices rose in 2010 and have somewhat stabilized since such time. We believe our investment in ERP systems and decreased inventory requirements allow us to react better to these fluctuations and have improved our margins.

As a result of the steps we have taken throughout the economic downturn, we have increased liquidity to a strong position. Using cash generated from operations, we have made significant repayments against our outstanding debt and no longer have any amounts outstanding against our revolving credit facility as of year-end. Our liquidity as of December 31, 2012 was \$165 million including \$48 million of cash and \$117 million of availability under our revolving credit facility.

Additionally, the steps taken since the economic downturn in 2008 allowed us to return to and sustain profitability for the past two years. We believe our reduced cost structure and lean manufacturing process will allow us to continue growing our revenue and profitability as the economy continues to improve.

Results of Operations*Year Ended December 31, 2012 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2011*

The following table sets forth selected results of operations data (in thousands) and its percentages of net sales for the years ended December 31:

| | 2012 | | 2011 | |
|--|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|
| Net sales | \$ 790,058 | 100.0% | \$ 766,607 | 100.0% |
| Cost of sales | 640,498 | 81.1% | 621,492 | 81.1% |
| Gross profit | 149,560 | 18.9% | 145,115 | 18.9% |
| Selling, general, and administrative expense | 104,671 | 13.2% | 108,957 | 14.2% |
| Intangible asset impairment | 4,628 | 0.6% | | 0.0% |
| Income from operations | 40,261 | 5.1% | 36,158 | 4.7% |
| Interest expense | 18,582 | 2.4% | 19,363 | 2.5% |
| Other income | (488) | -0.1% | (90) | 0.0% |
| Income before taxes | 22,167 | 2.8% | 16,885 | 2.2% |
| Provision for income taxes | 9,517 | 1.2% | 7,669 | 1.0% |
| Income from continuing operations | 12,650 | 1.6% | 9,216 | 1.2% |
| (Loss) income from discontinued operations | (5) | 0.0% | 7,307 | 1.0% |
| Net income | \$ 12,645 | 1.6% | \$ 16,523 | 2.2% |

The following table sets forth the impact of the Company's acquisitions on net sales and operating income for the year ended December 31 (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

| | 2012 | 2011 | Total Change | Change Due To | |
|------------------|------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|
| | | | | Acquisitions | Operations |
| Net sales | \$ 790,058 | \$ 766,607 | \$ 23,451 | \$ 29,106 | \$ (5,655) |
| Operating income | \$ 40,261 | \$ 36,158 | \$ 4,103 | \$ 600 | \$ 3,503 |

Table of Contents

Net sales increased by \$23.5 million, or 3.1%, to \$790.1 million for 2012 from net sales of \$766.6 million for 2011. The increase from prior year was primarily the result of incremental sales generated by the two acquisitions completed in the second quarter of 2011 which contributed \$25.0 million or 3.3% of the increase in net sales for 2012. Acquisitions completed in 2012 contributed additional net sales of \$4.1 million or an increase of 0.5%. Net sales from businesses operating in both periods decreased 0.7% or \$5.7 million, the result of a 1.4% decrease in pricing to customers offset by a 0.7% increase in volume. While volume increased modestly compared to 2011 for our products sold into the majority of our geographic markets, this increase was net of declines in volume sold in the West Coast residential market and European market. Lower demand for roofing materials resulting from unusually dry weather this year contributed to the lower volumes in the West Coast residential market, while weak economic conditions in Europe suppressed demand for our industrial and vehicle filtration products. The lower selling prices were primarily the result of a decline in commodity costs for steel and aluminum and meeting selective competitive situations.

Despite our increase in net sales, our gross margin remained unchanged at 18.9% for both 2012 and 2011. As we continue consolidating certain of our West Coast locations with similar products and market characteristics, we have incurred costs related to this consolidation in 2012. We believe completing the initiatives to restructure the West Coast locations will lead to improved gross margins in future periods. The impact to our gross margin from these consolidation costs was offset equally by a more favorable alignment of material costs to customer selling prices and cost reductions.

Selling, general, and administrative (SG&A) expenses decreased by \$4.3 million, or 3.9%, to \$104.7 million for 2012 from \$109.0 million for 2011. The \$4.3 million decrease was the net result of a \$3.3 million decrease in equity compensation, \$2.7 million in cost reductions due to consolidation of facilities, a \$1 million decrease in other variable incentive compensation and in restructuring and acquisition related costs from 2011. The decreases were partially offset by an increase of \$4.5 million in SG&A expense as a result of businesses acquired in 2012 and from having a full year of SG&A expenses from business acquired in 2011. SG&A expenses as a percentage of net sales decreased to 13.2% for 2012 compared to 14.2% for 2011.

During 2012, due to changes in the estimated fair value of a certain reporting unit resulting from a decrease in sales projections, along with continued underperformance in the operations of that reporting unit, we recognized intangible asset impairment charges of \$4.6 million. No impairment charges were recognized for 2011.

Interest expense decreased \$0.8 million, or 4.0%, to \$18.6 million for 2012 from \$19.4 million for 2011. The interest expense incurred in both periods primarily relates to our \$204.0 million of Senior Subordinated 8% Notes. Net interest expense for 2011 was higher due to funds borrowed under our revolving credit facility to finance the acquisitions of D.S. Brown and Award Metals along with a \$0.3 million charge to write-off deferred financing fees in 2011. These expenses were partially offset by \$0.7 million of interest income earned on the \$8.5 million note held resulting from the sale of SCM Metal Products in 2008. This note receivable was collected in full during November 2011. No amounts were outstanding under our revolving credit facility during 2012.

We recognized a provision for income taxes of \$9.5 million for 2012, an effective tax rate of 42.9%, compared with a provision for income taxes of \$7.7 million, an effective tax rate of 45.4% for 2011. The effective tax rate for 2012 was reduced by 9.3 percentage points from the 2011 rate largely due to lower non-deductible expenses incurred during 2012. This reduction was partially offset by an increase of 6.8 percentage points over 2011 due to the effect of intangible asset impairment charges, the majority of which was not deductible for tax purposes. The effective tax rate for 2012 and 2011 exceeded the U.S. federal statutory rate due to state taxes and non-deductible permanent differences.

Table of Contents**Year Ended December 31, 2011 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2010**

The following table sets forth selected results of operations data (in thousands) and its percentages of net sales for the years ended December 31:

| | 2011 | | 2010 | |
|--|------------|--------|-------------|--------|
| Net sales | \$ 766,607 | 100.0% | \$ 637,454 | 100.0% |
| Cost of sales | 621,492 | 81.1% | 533,586 | 83.7% |
| Gross profit | 145,115 | 18.9% | 103,868 | 16.3% |
| Selling, general, and administrative expense | 108,957 | 14.2% | 99,546 | 15.6% |
| Intangible asset impairment | | 0.0% | 76,964 | 12.1% |
| Income (loss) from operations | 36,158 | 4.7% | (72,642) | -11.4% |
| Interest expense | 19,363 | 2.5% | 19,714 | 3.1% |
| Other income | (90) | 0.0% | (77) | 0.0% |
| Income (loss) before taxes | 16,885 | 2.2% | (92,279) | -14.5% |
| Provision for (benefit of) income taxes | 7,669 | 1.0% | (16,923) | -2.7% |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 9,216 | 1.2% | (75,356) | -11.8% |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | 7,307 | 1.0% | (15,712) | -2.5% |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 16,523 | 2.2% | \$ (91,068) | -14.3% |

| | 2011 | 2010 | Total Change | Change Due To | |
|-------------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|------------|
| | | | | Acquisitions | Operations |
| Net sales | \$ 766,607 | \$ 637,454 | \$ 129,153 | \$ 71,422 | \$ 57,731 |
| Operating income (loss) | \$ 36,158 | \$ (72,642) | \$ 108,800 | \$ 5,462 | \$ 103,338 |

Net sales increased by \$129.1 million, or 20.3%, to \$766.6 million for 2011 from net sales of \$637.5 million for 2010. The most significant portion of the increase in net sales was from two acquisitions in 2011 which provided an additional \$71.4 million of net sales for 2011. The remaining increase in net sales was impacted by an 8.0% increase in the pricing offered to customers and a 1.1% increase in volume. Our selling prices increased from the prior year as a result of the higher costs we paid for steel, aluminum, and resins which impacted the selling prices offered to our customers. Sales volume improved from the previous year as a result of repairs for spring storm damage and some improved macroeconomic conditions in the industrial construction markets which offset the uneven recovery in the new build housing and commercial construction markets.

Our gross margin also increased to 18.9% for 2011 compared to 16.3% for 2010. The improvement in gross margin was primarily due to a better alignment of material costs with customer selling prices and the impact of our 2011 acquisitions which contributed sales of products with higher gross margins. Cost reductions, increased volume, and a \$2.4 million reduction in restructuring costs also contributed to our improved gross margin.

Selling, general, and administrative (SG&A) expenses increased by \$9.4 million, or 9.4%, to \$108.9 million for 2011 from \$99.5 million for 2010. The \$9.4 million increase was the net result of \$9.7 million of additional expense from acquired businesses, increased cost of variable incentive compensation from improved operating results, \$1.0 million of acquisition-related costs, and a \$0.9 million charge recognized as a result of time-based equity awards surrendered by Gibraltar's Chief Executive Officer partially offset by our cost reduction efforts that resulted in decreased spending on professional services, rent, and other operating expenses. Despite the increased costs, SG&A expenses as a percentage of net sales decreased to 14.2% for 2011 compared to 15.6% for 2010.

Due to changes in the estimated fair value of certain reporting units resulting from a significant decrease in sales projections, we recognized intangible asset impairment charges of \$77.0 million for 2010. No impairment charges were recognized for 2011.

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Interest expense decreased \$0.3 million, or 1.5%, to \$19.4 million for 2011 from \$19.7 million for 2010. The reduction in interest expense was a result of incurring a \$1.4 million charge in 2010 related to an ineffective interest rate swap. The reduction was offset by incurring a \$0.3 million charge to write-off deferred financing fees in 2011 and having slightly higher levels of debt outstanding during 2011 compared to 2010. In the first quarter of 2010, we repaid \$50 million of debt, which constituted all outstanding debt under our revolving credit facility. Subsequently, we borrowed funds under our revolving credit facility to finance the acquisitions of D.S. Brown and Award Metals during the second quarter of 2011 which were repaid in full during the third quarter of 2011.

Table of Contents

We recognized a provision for income taxes of \$7.7 million for 2011, an effective tax rate of 45.4%. The effective tax rate for 2011 exceeded the U.S. federal statutory tax rate of 35% due to state taxes and the impact of non-deductible permanent differences. During 2010, we recognized a tax benefit of \$16.9 million, an effective tax rate of 18.3%. The effective tax rate differed from the statutory rate due to the effect of non-deductible permanent differences, a large portion of which related to the intangible asset impairment charges that were not deductible for tax purposes. In addition, we recognized a \$2.4 million valuation allowance against deferred tax assets in 2010 for certain state net operating loss carryforwards which further reduced the effective tax rate.

Outlook

For the first quarter of 2012, we expect revenues to increase approximately 3% primarily from incremental revenues of our most recent acquisitions. We believe the continued recovery in the residential and non-residential construction markets will provide stronger growth opportunities in the second half of 2013. We expect the first quarter gross margin to approximate 19% as our cost reductions offset the margin impact of lower sales volume in a seasonally weak quarter. For 2013, we expect SG&A expense to approximate \$29 million per quarter, or 13% of full year revenue. Our expectations for other financial measures for our continuing operations, excluding refinancing charges, include net interest at a run rate of \$4 million per quarter, a full year effective tax rate of 37% and capital expenditures of \$20 million for the year.

Over the long-term, we believe that the fundamentals of the building and industrial markets are positive and the aggressive actions taken to streamline and improve the efficiency of our business have reduced our break-even point and positioned Gibraltar to generate marked improvements in profitability when economic and market conditions return toward historical levels.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

General

Our principal capital requirements are to fund our operations with working capital, the purchase of capital improvements for our business and facilities, and to fund acquisitions. We will continue to invest in growth opportunities as appropriate while continuing to focus on working capital efficiency and profit improvement opportunities to minimize the cash invested to grow our business. We have successfully generated positive cash flows from operating activities during the past three years to fund our capital requirements and assist in the funding of our 2011 and 2012 acquisitions as noted below in the *Cash Flows* section of Item 7 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. We generated positive operating cash flows during these periods despite the continued challenging economic conditions our business faced. In the future, we expect to continue profitable growth and sustain strong working capital management to continue to generate positive operating cash flow.

On October 11, 2011, we entered into the Senior Credit Agreement which includes a \$200 million revolving credit facility and provides Gibraltar with access to capital and improved financial flexibility. As of December 31, 2012, our liquidity of \$164.8 million consisted of \$48.0 million of cash and \$116.8 million of availability under our revolving credit facility as compared to liquidity of \$169.7 million as of December 31, 2011. We believe that availability of funds under our Senior Credit Agreement together with the cash generated from operations should be sufficient to provide the Company with the liquidity and capital resources necessary to support our principal capital requirements during the next twelve months.

Our Senior Credit Agreement provides the Company with liquidity and capital resources for use by our U.S. operations. Historically, our foreign operations have generated cash flow from operations sufficient to invest in working capital and fund their capital improvements. As of December 31, 2012, our foreign subsidiaries held \$21.9 million of cash. We believe cash held by our foreign subsidiaries provides our foreign operations with the necessary liquidity to meet future obligations and allows the foreign business units to reinvest in their operations. These cash resources could eventually be used to grow our business internationally through transactions similar to our 2012 acquisition of the Western Canadian bar grating business.

Over the long-term, we expect that future obligations, including strategic business opportunities such as acquisitions, may be financed through a number of sources, including internally available cash, availability under our revolving credit facility, new debt financing, the issuance of equity securities, or any combination of the above. Potential acquisitions are evaluated on the basis of our ability to enhance our existing products, operations, or capabilities, as well as provide access to new products, markets, and customers and improve shareholder value. Our 2012 acquisitions were funded by cash on hand, while the 2011 acquisitions of D.S. Brown and Award Metals were financed through the use of cash on hand and debt available under our revolving credit facility.

Table of Contents**Cash Flows**

The following table sets forth selected cash flow data for the years ended December 31 (in millions):

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|------------|------------|
| Cash provided by (used in): | | |
| Operating activities of continuing operations | \$ 50,232 | \$ 49,828 |
| Investing activities of continuing operations | (55,763) | (52,295) |
| Financing activities of continuing operations | (1,173) | (2,775) |
| Discontinued operations | (151) | (1,044) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes | 766 | (463) |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | \$ (6,089) | \$ (6,749) |

During the year ended December 31, 2012, net cash provided by continuing operations totaled \$50.2 million, primarily driven by income from continuing operations of \$12.7 million and non-cash charges totaling \$40.8 million that included depreciation, amortization, deferred income taxes, stock compensation, and an intangible asset impairment. Net cash provided by continuing operations for 2011 was \$49.8 million and was primarily driven by income from continuing operations of \$9.2 million and \$41.5 million from non-cash charges including depreciation, amortization, deferred income taxes, and stock compensation.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company modestly increased its investment in working capital and other net assets from December 31, 2011 resulting in \$3.3 million of cash outflow. Cash flow invested in working capital and other net assets included \$6.3 million decrease in accounts receivable, partially offset by a \$1.0 million increase in inventory and \$3.8 million and \$7.1 million decreases in accounts payable and accrued liabilities. The decrease in accounts receivable was a result of reduced net sales in the fourth quarter of 2012 compared to 2011 as customer pricing decreased year over year. Inventory levels saw a minor increase due to the timing of our receipts near year-end. Accounts payable decreased due to the timing of vendor payments made near year-end. The decrease in accrued liabilities of \$7.1 million was largely due to performance-based variable compensation awards earned in 2009 and 2011 that were paid during 2012, partially offset by accruals for long term incentive plans earned during 2012.

Net cash used in investing activities of continuing operations for 2012 of \$55.8 million consisted primarily of \$45.1 million of acquisitions and capital expenditures of \$11.4 million. Net cash used in investing activities of continuing operations for 2011 of \$52.3 million consisted primarily of \$109.2 million of acquisitions and capital expenditures of \$11.6 million offset by \$67.5 million of proceeds from the sale of our USP business unit and the collection of a note receivable related to the 2008 sale of our SCM business unit.

Net cash used in financing activities for 2012 of \$1.2 million primarily consisted of \$1.0 million of treasury stock repurchases related to the net settlement of vested stock awards and repayments of \$0.5 million on long-term debt. Net cash used in financing activities for 2011 of \$2.8 million primarily consisted of \$1.6 million of deferred financing fees related to the Senior Credit Agreement, \$0.8 million of treasury stock repurchases related to the net settlement of vested stock awards, and net repayments of \$0.4 million on long-term debt.

Cash used for discontinued operations was \$0.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to cash used for discontinued operations of \$1.0 million for 2011. The 2011 cash flows related primarily to the USP business that was divested in 2011.

Senior Credit Agreement and Senior Subordinated Notes

Borrowings under the Senior Credit Agreement are secured by the trade receivables, inventory, personal property and equipment, and certain real property of the Company's significant domestic subsidiaries. The Senior Credit Agreement provides for a revolving credit facility and letters of credit in an aggregate amount that does not exceed the lesser of (i) \$200 million or (ii) a borrowing base determined by reference to the trade receivables, inventories, and property, plant, and equipment of the Company's significant domestic subsidiaries. The Senior Credit Agreement provides Gibraltar with flexibility by allowing us to request additional financing from the lenders to increase the revolving credit facility to \$250 million. The Senior Credit Agreement also provided Gibraltar with a commitment to enter into a term loan subject to conditions that subsequently the Company decided not to satisfy. The Senior Credit Agreement is committed through October 10, 2016.

Borrowings under the Senior Credit Agreement bear interest at a variable interest rate based upon the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus an additional margin of 2.0% to 2.5%, based on the amount of borrowings available to Gibraltar. The Senior Credit Agreement also carries

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

an annual facility fee of 0.375% on the undrawn portion of the facility and fees on outstanding letters of credit which are payable quarterly.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2012, we had \$116.8 million of availability under the Senior Credit Agreement and outstanding letters of credit of \$13.6 million. Only one financial covenant is contained within the Senior Credit Agreement, which requires us to maintain a fixed charge ratio (as defined in the agreement) of 1.25 to 1.00 or higher on a trailing four-quarter basis at the end of each quarter. As of December 31, 2012, we were in compliance with the minimum fixed charge coverage ratio covenant. Management expects to be in compliance with the fixed coverage ratio covenant throughout the next twelve months.

To help finance the \$109.2 million for the 2011 acquisitions of D.S. Brown and Award Metals in the second quarter of 2011, we borrowed \$43.0 million under the revolving credit facility which was subsequently repaid during the third quarter of 2011. No amounts were outstanding under our revolving credit facility during 2012.

At December 31, 2012, the Company had \$204.0 million of Senior Subordinate 8% Notes (8% Notes) outstanding which were issued in December 2005 at a discount to yield 8.25% and were due December 1, 2015.

Subsequent to December 31, 2012, the Company issued \$210.0 million of 6.25% Senior Subordinated Notes (6.25% Notes) due February 1, 2021. In connection with the issuance of the 6.25% Notes, on January 16, 2013 the Company initiated a tender offer to purchase the outstanding 8% Notes. Simultaneously with the closing of the sale of the 6.25% Notes on January 31, 2013, the Company purchased the tendered 8% Notes. The 8% Notes that were not tendered and purchased were called for redemption. In connection with the purchase and subsequent redemption, the Company satisfied and discharged its obligations under the 8% Notes. Refer to Note 20 in the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for more information regarding the issuance of the 6.25% Notes and redemption of the 8% Notes.

The provisions of the 6.25% Notes include, without limitation, restrictions on indebtedness, liens, and distributions from restricted subsidiaries, asset sales, affiliate transactions, dividends, and other restricted payments. Dividend payments are subject to annual limits of the greater of \$0.25 per share or \$25 million. The 6.25% Notes are redeemable at the option of the Company, in whole or in part, at any time on or after February 1, 2017, at the redemption price (as defined in the Senior Subordinated 6.25% Notes Indenture). The redemption prices will be 103.13%, and 101.56% of the principal amount thereof if the redemption occurs during the 12-month periods beginning February 1, of the years 2017 and 2018, respectively, and 100% of the principal amount thereof on and after February 1, 2019, in each case plus accrued and unpaid interest to the applicable redemption date. In addition, prior to February 1, 2016, the Company may redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the Notes with the net cash proceeds of certain equity offerings by the Company at a redemption price of 106.25% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date. In the event of a Change in Control (as defined in the Senior Subordinated 6.25% Notes Indenture), each holder of the 6.25% Notes may require the Company to repurchase all or a portion of such holder's 6.25% Notes at a purchase price equal to 101% of the principal amount thereof.

Each of our significant domestic subsidiaries has guaranteed the obligations under the Senior Credit Agreement. The Senior Credit Agreement contains other provisions and events of default that are customary for similar agreements and may limit our ability to take various actions. The Senior Subordinate 6.25% Notes Indenture also contains provisions that limit additional borrowings based on the Company's consolidated coverage ratio.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company does not have any off balance sheet arrangements, other than operating leases, that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future material effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures, or capital resources.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations**

The following table summarizes by category our Company's expected future cash outflows associated with contractual obligations in effect at December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

| Contractual Obligation | Total | Payments Due by Period | | | |
|---|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| | | Less than One Year | One to Three Years | Three to Five Years | More Than Five Years |
| Fixed rate debt ¹ | \$ 203,395 | \$ 389 | \$ 202,939 | \$ 67 | \$ |
| Interest on fixed rate debt | 47,664 | 16,355 | 31,305 | 4 | |
| Variable rate debt | 4,408 | 408 | 800 | 800 | 2,400 |
| Interest on variable rate debt ² | 51 | 9 | 15 | 12 | 15 |
| Operating lease obligations | 35,221 | 11,031 | 13,692 | 6,261 | 4,237 |
| Performance stock unit awards | 1,639 | | 1,639 | | |
| Pension and other post- retirement payments | 8,933 | 742 | 1,484 | 1,438 | 5,269 |
| Management stock purchase plan ³ | 1,046 | 530 | 488 | 28 | |
| Total⁴ | \$ 302,357 | \$ 29,464 | \$ 252,362 | \$ 8,610 | \$ 11,921 |

¹ 8% Notes were re-financed subsequent to December 31, 2012. See Note 20

² Calculated using the interest rate in effect at December 31, 2012.

³ Includes amounts due to retired participants of the Management Stock Purchase Plan (MSPP). Excludes the future payments due to active participants of the MSPP, which represents a liability of approximately \$9.2 million as of December 31, 2012. Future payments to active participants cannot be accurately estimated as we are uncertain of when active participants' service to the Company will terminate.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make decisions based upon estimates, assumptions, and factors it considers relevant to the circumstances. Such decisions include the selection of applicable principles and the use of judgment in their application, the results of which could differ from those anticipated.

A summary of the Company's significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 of the Company's consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Our most critical accounting policies include:

valuation of accounts receivable, which impacts selling, general, and administrative expense;

valuation of inventory, which impacts cost of sales and gross margin;

the allocation of the purchase price of acquisitions to the fair value of acquired assets and liabilities, which impacts our depreciation and amortization costs;

the assessment of recoverability of depreciable and amortizable long-lived assets, which impacts the impairment of long-lived assets;

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

the assessment of recoverability of goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets, which impacts the impairment of goodwill and intangible assets; and

accounting for income taxes and deferred tax assets and liabilities, which impact the provision for income taxes.

Management reviews these estimates, including the allowance for doubtful accounts and inventory reserves, on a regular basis and makes adjustments based on historical experience, current conditions, and future expectations. Management believes these estimates are reasonable, but actual results could differ from these estimates.

Table of Contents

Valuation of Accounts Receivable

Our accounts receivable represent those amounts that have been billed to our customers but not yet collected. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, allowances for doubtful accounts of \$4.5 million and \$4.6 million were recorded, respectively, or approximately 5% of gross accounts receivable for both periods. We record an allowance for doubtful accounts based on the portion of those accounts receivable that we believe are potentially uncollectible based on various factors, including experience, creditworthiness of customers, and current market and economic conditions. If the financial condition of customers were to deteriorate, resulting in impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required. Changes in judgments on these factors could impact the timing of costs recognized.

Valuation of Inventories

We state our inventories at the lower of cost or market. We determine the cost basis of our inventory on a first-in, first-out basis using a standard cost methodology that approximates actual cost. On a regular basis, we calculate an estimated market value of our inventory, considered to be the prevailing selling price for the inventory less the cost to complete and sell the product. We compare the current carrying value of our inventory to the estimated market value to determine whether a reserve to value inventory at the lower of cost or market is necessary. We recorded insignificant charges during the three year period ended December 31, 2012 to value our inventory at the lower of cost or market.

We regularly review inventory on hand and record provisions for excess, obsolete, and slow-moving inventory based on historical and current sales trends. We recorded reserves for excess, obsolete, and slow-moving inventory of \$4.9 million and \$4.1 million as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, or approximately 4% of gross inventories for both periods. Changes in product demand and our customer base may affect the value of inventory on hand, which may require higher provisions for obsolete inventory.

Accounting for Acquired Assets and Liabilities

When we acquire a business, we allocate the purchase price to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the transaction at their respective estimated fair values. We record any premium over the fair value of net assets acquired as goodwill. The allocation of the purchase price involves judgments and estimates both in characterizing the assets and in determining their fair value. The way we characterize the assets has important implications, as long-lived assets with definitive lives, for example, are depreciated or amortized, whereas goodwill is tested annually for impairment, as explained below.

With respect to determining the fair value of assets, the most subjective estimates involve valuations of long-lived assets, such as property, plant, and equipment as well as identified intangible assets. We use all available information to make these fair value determinations and engage independent valuation specialists to assist in the fair value determination of the acquired long-lived assets. The fair values of long-lived assets are determined using valuation techniques that use discounted cash flow methods, independent market appraisals, and other acceptable valuation techniques.

The following summarizes the amount of purchase price allocated to property, plant, and equipment, identifiable intangible assets, and goodwill for the acquisitions completed in 2012 (in millions):

| Initial Purchase Price | Property, Plant, and Equipment | Identified Intangible Assets | Goodwill | Other Net Assets |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------|---------------------|
| \$ 43.1 | \$ 9.9 | \$ 10.2 | \$ 15.0 | \$ 8.0 |

Due to the subjectivity inherent in determining the fair value of long-lived assets and the significant number of acquisitions we have completed, we believe the allocation of purchase price to acquired assets and liabilities is a critical accounting policy.

Impairment of Depreciable and Amortizable Long-lived Assets

We test long-lived assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of those assets may not be recoverable and exceed their fair value. The following summarizes the value of long-lived assets subject to impairment testing when events or circumstances indicate potential impairment as of December 31, 2012 (in millions):

| | |
|--|----------|
| Property, plant, and equipment, net | \$ 151.6 |
| Acquired intangibles with useful lives | \$ 50.0 |
| Other assets | \$ 6.2 |

Table of Contents

Impairment exists if the carrying amount of the asset in question exceeds the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset. The impairment loss would be measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of a long-lived asset exceeds its fair value as determined by discounted cash flow method, an independent market appraisal of the asset, or another acceptable valuation technique. We recognized impairment charges for property, plant, and equipment as a result of restructuring activities during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Goodwill and Other Indefinite-lived Intangible Asset Impairment Testing

Our goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible asset balances of \$359.9 million and \$48.8 million as of December 31, 2012, respectively, are subject to impairment testing. We test goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment on an annual basis as of October 31 and at interim dates when indicators of impairment are present. Indicators of impairment could include a significant long-term adverse change in business climate, poor indicators of operating performance, or a sale or disposition of a significant portion of a reporting unit.

During 2012, we concluded that no indicators of impairment existed at interim dates and did not perform any interim impairment tests related to goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets. We tested goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment during the fourth quarter of 2012 at the annual test date. As a result of the October 31, 2012 impairment test, the Company recognized an intangible asset impairment charge of \$4.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. No impairment charges were recognized for the year ended December 31, 2011. However, the Company recognized intangible asset impairment charges of \$77.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2010.

We test goodwill for impairment at the reporting unit level. We identify our reporting units by assessing whether the components of our company constitute businesses for which discrete financial information is available and management regularly reviews the operating results of those components. As of the October 31, 2012 impairment test, we identified ten reporting units in total, of which all have goodwill. The number of reporting units declined from eleven to ten in the current year as we consolidated two reporting units due to restructuring activities that consolidated their operating activities.

The goodwill impairment test consists of comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount including goodwill. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds the reporting unit's fair value, the implied fair value of goodwill is compared to the carrying amount of goodwill. An impairment loss is recognized for the amount by which the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of goodwill.

The following table sets forth the amount of goodwill allocated to each reporting unit tested for goodwill impairment and the percentage by which the estimated fair value of each reporting unit exceeded its carrying value as of the October 31, 2012 goodwill impairment test (in thousands):

| Reporting Unit | Goodwill Allocated to Reporting Unit Before Impairment Charges | Percentage By Which Estimated Fair Value Exceeds Carrying Value | Goodwill Impairment Charges | Goodwill Allocated To Reporting Unit After Impairment Charges |
|----------------|--|---|-----------------------------|---|
| #1 | \$ 111,499 | 37% | \$ | \$ 111,499 |
| #2 | 89,279 | 33% | | 89,279 |
| #3 | 46,198 | 29% | | 46,198 |
| #4 | 27,332 | 57% | | 27,332 |
| #5 | 20,578 | 7% | | 20,578 |
| #6 | 19,569 | 45% | | 19,569 |
| #7 | 18,261 | 25% | | 18,261 |
| #8 | 8,256 | 35% | | 8,256 |
| #9 | 4,328 | N/A | (4,328) | |
| #10 | 3,589 | 233% | | 3,589 |
| Total | \$ 348,889 | | \$ (4,328) | \$ 344,561 |

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

The October 31, 2012 goodwill impairment test included significant assumptions. To estimate the fair value of the reporting units as a part of step one of the impairment test, we used two valuation techniques: an income approach and a market approach. The income approach included a discounted cash flow model relying on significant assumptions consisting of revenue growth rates and profit margins based on internal forecasts, terminal value, and the weighted average cost of capital (WACC) used to discount future cash flows. The market approach consisted of applying an Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization (EBITDA) multiple to the forecasted EBITDA to be generated in 2012 and 2013. The market approach also relied on significant assumptions consisting of revenue growth rates and profit margins based on internal forecasts and the EBITDA multiple selected from an analysis of peer companies.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the compound annual revenue growth rate for the five-year period used to forecast cash flows and the average operating margin for the forecasted periods compared to actual operating margins generated over the long-term:

| Reporting Unit | Compound Annual Revenue Growth | Operating Margins | Actual Long-term Operating Margins |
|----------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| | Rate For Forecasted Periods | For Forecasted Periods | (a) |
| #1 | 2% | 19% | 21% |
| #2 | 4% | 10% | 10% |
| #3 | 5% | 17% | 18% |
| #4 | 1% | 14% | 14% |
| #5 | 7% | 7% | 6% |
| #6 | 4% | 10% | 9% |
| #7 | 8% | 7% | 5% |
| #8 | 13% | 13% | 11% |
| #9 (b) | 12% | 3% | 0% |
| #10 (c) | 1% | 10% | 7% |

- (a) Operating margins presented exclude restructuring charges incurred by each reporting unit.
- (b) The operating margins presented only include operating results generated since the dates these reporting units were acquired by Gibraltar. The reporting unit has undergone significant consolidation and restructuring activities in the past five years as locations were acquired, sold, and reorganized. Some of the acquisitions made occurred during the economic downturn. Significant changes have been made to downsize locations, realign operations, and change distribution patterns in order to turn the unit profitable and spur future economic growth.
- (c) This reporting unit was restructured in 2007 and 2008 to increase the efficiency and reduce the cost of production. Operating margins have improved since the restructuring was completed. As a result, we believe forecasted operating margins will continue to exceed prior results. We analyzed third-party forecasts of housing starts and other macroeconomic indicators that impact each reporting unit to provide a reasonable estimate of revenue growth in future periods. Our analysis of third-party forecasts noted that housing starts were projected to grow at a compound annual growth rate of 18% from 2012 to 2017. We considered these forecasts in developing each reporting unit's growth rates over the next five years depending on the level of correlation between housing starts and net sales for each reporting unit. The correlation between housing starts and net sales was based on an analysis of historical housing starts and our historical revenue. We concluded that this approach provided a reasonable estimate of long-term revenue growth and cash flows for each reporting unit.

Operating margins used to estimate future cash flows were consistent with long-term margins generated by the reporting units while they have been owned and operated by Gibraltar as shown in the table above. The reporting units where forecasted operating margins exceed long-term operating margins generated by the reporting unit were for reporting units that were recently acquired and, therefore, the long-term operating margins were more significantly impacted by the economic turmoil that began in 2008 or were more significantly impacted by the decline in the residential housing market. Additionally, we took strategic actions to consolidate facilities, reduce costs, and restructure these business units to become more profitable as the economy recovers. These actions led to increased costs and lower operating margins in the short term. Based on our understanding of these reporting units and the actions taken by management to restructure the businesses for improved growth and profitability, we concluded that the long-term cash flows forecasted for all of the Company's reporting units were reasonable.

In addition to revenue growth and operating margin forecasts, the discounted cash flow model used to estimate the fair value of each reporting unit also uses assumptions for the amount of working capital needed to support each reporting unit. We forecasted modest improvement in working capital management for future periods at each reporting unit based on past performance. The Company experienced a significant reduction in days of working capital from 77 days for the year ended December 31, 2008 to 65 days for the year ended December 31, 2012. We have been able to significantly improve our working capital management through lean initiatives, efficiency improvements, and facility consolidations. We believe continued improvement in our ability to manage working capital will allow us to increase the cash flow generated from each reporting unit.

Table of Contents

The terminal value of each reporting unit was based on a projected terminal year of forecasted cash flows in our discounted cash flow model. We made an assumption that cash flows would grow 3.0% each year thereafter in the North American markets, and 2.0% each year thereafter in the European markets served by the company, based on our approximation of gross domestic product growth. This assumption was based on a third-party forecast of future economic growth over the long-term.

The discounted cash flow model uses the WACC to discount cash flows in the forecasted period and to discount the terminal value to present value. To determine the WACC, we used a standard valuation method, the capital asset pricing model, based on readily available and current market data of peer companies considered market participants. Acknowledging the risk inherent in each reporting unit's ability to achieve long-term forecasted cash flows, in applying the income approach we increased the WACC of each reporting unit based upon each reporting unit's past operating performance and their relative ability to achieve the forecasted cash flows. As a result of these analyses, we assigned a WACC between 10.7% and 13.1% for each reporting unit.

The EBITDA multiple used in the market approach to determine the fair value of each reporting unit was applied to the forecasted EBITDA to be generated during 2012 and 2013. The market approach relies on significant assumptions consisting of revenue growth rates and profit margins based on internal forecasts and the EBITDA multiple selected from an analysis of peer companies considered market participants. The revenue growth rates and profit margins used in the market approach were the same projections used in the discounted cash flows model as described above. The EBITDA multiples were established by analyzing each peer company's total invested capital in proportion to EBITDA derived from each peer company's most recently reported earnings. Similar to the WACC analysis, we assessed the risk of each reporting unit achieving its forecasts with consideration given to how each reporting unit has performed historically compared to forecasts. As a result of these analyses, we assigned an EBITDA multiple between 7.7 and 9.2 for 2012 EBITDA forecasts and 7.1 and 8.6 for 2013 EBITDA forecasts.

As noted above, we used two commonly accepted valuation techniques to estimate a fair value for each reporting unit. The estimated fair value for each reporting unit was calculated using a weighted average between the calculated amounts determined under the income approach and the market approach. We weighted the income approach more heavily (67%) as the technique uses a long-term approach that considers the expected operating profit of each reporting unit during periods where housing starts and other macroeconomic indicators are nearer historical averages. The market approach (33%) values the reporting units using 2012 and 2013 EBITDA values which were forecasted using estimated housing starts of 761,000 and 935,000, respectively. Housing starts have historically approximated 1.5 million each year. We believe the income approach considers the expected recovery in the residential building market better than the market approach. Therefore, we concluded that the income approach more accurately estimated the fair value of the reporting units as it considers earnings potential during a longer term and does not use the short-term perspective used by the market approach. Accordingly, we concluded that the market participants who execute transactions to sell or buy a business in the current economic environment would place greater emphasis on the income approach.

The following table sets forth the Company's estimated fair value and carrying value for each reporting unit as of October 31, 2012 (in thousands):

| Reporting Unit | Estimated Fair Value | Carrying Value |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| #1 | \$ 125,322 | \$ 91,230 |
| #2 | 223,231 | 167,673 |
| #3 | 113,375 | 87,639 |
| #4 | 55,159 | 35,136 |
| #5 | 36,096 | 33,715 |
| #6 | 26,958 | 18,584 |
| #7 | 31,022 | 24,856 |
| #8 | 67,495 | 50,167 |
| #9 | 67,586 | 80,787 |
| #10 | 24,784 | 7,447 |
| Corporate | (132,623) | 7,481 |
| Total | \$ 638,406 | \$ 604,715 |
| Net Debt | | \$ 122,930 |
| Equity (Net Book Value) | | 481,785 |

| | |
|-------|------------|
| Total | \$ 604,715 |
|-------|------------|

Table of Contents

The Corporate category includes unallocated corporate cash out flows. Unallocated corporate cash out flows include executive compensation and other administrative costs. Gibraltar has grown substantially through acquisitions and our strategy is to allow business unit management to operate the business units autonomous of corporate management. For example, each business unit has its own accounting, marketing, purchasing, information technology, and executive functions. As a result, we believe a market participant would not consider unallocated corporate cash flows when valuing each reporting unit and these cash flows have been properly excluded from the valuation of the reporting units.

Step two of the impairment analysis involved estimating the implied fair value of goodwill by allocating the fair value of each reporting unit to its assets and liabilities other than goodwill and comparing the implied fair value of goodwill to its carrying value. The step two analysis relied on a number of significant assumptions to determine the fair value of the reporting unit's net assets, including intangible assets. The fair value of intangible assets was determined using standard valuation methodologies including the relief-from-royalty method and excess earnings method. These methods primarily employed the use of future cash flows to determine the fair value of the applicable intangible assets. The future cash flows used to determine the fair values of these intangible assets were derived from step one of the goodwill impairment analysis as described above. The discount rate used in the valuation of intangible assets was derived from the WACC used in step one of the goodwill impairment analysis. Based on the analysis described above, we concluded the assumptions underlying step two of our impairment analysis were reasonable and appropriate.

In addition to the analyses described above, we performed a reconciliation of the total estimated fair values of the reporting units to our market capitalization as of October 31, 2012 to support the reasonableness of the fair value estimates used in our goodwill impairment test. The following calculation provides this reconciliation and the resulting control premium determined as of our October 31, 2012 impairment analysis (in thousands):

| | Estimated Fair Value | Estimated Market Capitalization |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Estimated Fair Value of Reporting Units | \$ 638,406 | |
| Less: Net Debt as of October 31, 2012 | (122,930) | |
| Shares Outstanding as of October 31, 2012 | | 30,565 |
| Average Stock Price from October 15, 2012 to November 7, 2012 | | \$ 12.71 |
| Value of Equity | \$ 515,476 | \$ 388,481 |
| Control Premium | | 33% |

During our annual goodwill impairment test for 2012, the Company identified one reporting unit with a carrying value in excess of fair value due to decreased revenue projections. The Company initiated step two of the goodwill impairment test which involved calculating the implied fair value of goodwill by allocating the fair value of the reporting unit to the fair value of its assets and liabilities other than goodwill, calculating an implied fair value of goodwill, and comparing the implied fair value to the carrying amount of goodwill. As a result of step two of the goodwill impairment test, the Company estimated that the implied fair value of goodwill for the reporting unit was less than its carrying value by \$4,328,000, which has been recorded as an impairment charge for the year ended December 31, 2012. The remaining reporting units all had fair values in excess of their carrying value. The difference between the total carrying value of our reporting units and the estimated fair value of equity is the result of the negative future cash flows associated with our unallocated corporate net assets as described above. Although the book value of equity exceeds our market capitalization, we deemed the control premium as of the October 31, 2012 impairment analysis to be reasonable based upon recent comparable transactions to acquire the control of similar businesses in our industry. Accordingly, we concluded the estimated fair value of each reporting unit was reasonably estimated.

We test our intangible assets for impairment by comparing the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible asset, determined using a discounted cash flow model, with its carrying amount. An impairment loss would be recognized for the carrying amount in excess of its fair value. We recognized impairment charges for indefinite-lived intangible assets as a result of our October 31, 2012 and 2010 impairment tests. No impairments charges were recognized as a result of the October 31, 2011 impairment test. The assumptions used to determine the fair value of our indefinite-lived intangible assets are consistent with the assumptions employed in the determination of the fair values of our reporting units.

Table of Contents

Accounting for Income Taxes and Deferred Tax Assets and Liabilities

Significant management judgment is required in determining our provision for income taxes, deferred tax assets and liabilities, and any valuation allowances. Our effective tax rates differ from the statutory rate due to the impact of permanent differences between income or loss reported for financial statement purposes and tax purposes, provisions for uncertain tax positions, state taxes, and income generated by international operations. Our effective tax rate was 43%, 45%, and 18%, for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. Our future effective tax rates could be adversely affected by earnings being lower than anticipated in countries where we have lower statutory rates and vice versa. Changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets or liabilities or changes in tax laws or interpretations thereof may also adversely affect our future effective tax rate. In addition, we are subject to the continuous examination of our income tax returns by the Internal Revenue Service and other tax authorities. We regularly assess the likelihood of adverse outcomes resulting from these examinations to determine the adequacy of our provision for income taxes.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based upon the differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities as measured by the enacted tax rates that will be in effect when these differences reverse. Valuation allowances are provided if based upon the weight of available evidence, it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

Regarding deferred income tax assets, we maintained a valuation allowance of \$2.6 million as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, due to uncertainties related to our ability to utilize these assets, primarily consisting of state net operating losses and other deferred tax assets. The valuation allowances are based on estimates of taxable income in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate and the period over which our deferred tax assets will be recoverable. If market conditions improve and future results of operations exceed our current expectations, our existing tax valuation allowances may be adjusted, resulting in future tax benefits. Alternatively, if market conditions deteriorate further or future operating results do not meet expectations, future assessments may result in a determination that some or all of the deferred tax assets are not realizable. As a result, we may need to establish additional tax valuation allowances for all or a portion of the gross deferred tax assets, which may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

It is our policy to record estimated interest and penalties due to tax authorities as income tax expense and tax credits as a reduction in income tax expense. Insignificant amounts of interest and penalties were recognized in the provision for income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

The Company recognizes the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by tax authorities, based on the technical merits of each position. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a position are measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate resolution. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the liability for uncertain income tax positions was \$1.7 million and \$2.5 million, respectively. Due to the high degree of uncertainty regarding the timing of potential future cash flows associated with these liabilities, we are unable to make a reasonably reliable estimate of the amount and period in which these liabilities might be paid.

Related Party Transactions

A member of our Board of Directors, Gerald S. Lippes, is a partner in a law firm that provides legal services to Gibraltar. For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, the Company incurred costs of \$1.5 million, \$1.8 million, and \$0.9 million, respectively, for legal services from this firm. Costs incurred increased significantly for 2011 as a result of additional legal services provided for the acquisitions completed in 2011 and our amendment of the Senior Credit Agreement. For 2012, costs included fees for four acquisitions completed during the year. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, we had \$0.5 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, recorded in accounts payable for amounts due to this law firm.

Another member of our Board of Directors, Robert E. Sadler, Jr., is a member of the Board of Directors of M&T Bank Corporation, one of the ten participating lenders which have committed capital to our \$200 million revolving credit facility in our Senior Credit Agreement. All amounts outstanding under the revolving credit facility were repaid in full as of December 31, 2011. No amounts were outstanding on the revolving credit facility in 2012. Therefore, no principal or interest was paid to the lenders in 2012.

Borrowings under the Senior Credit Agreement bear interest at a variable interest rate based upon the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus an additional margin of 2.0% to 2.5%, based on the amount of borrowings available to Gibraltar. The revolving credit facility also carries an annual facility fee of 0.375% on the undrawn portion of the facility and fees on outstanding letters of credit which are payable quarterly.

Table of Contents

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (Update) 2012-02, Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Indefinite Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment. Update 2012-02 states that an entity has the option first to assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events and circumstances indicates that it is more likely than not that the indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired. An entity also has the option to bypass the qualitative assessment for any indefinite-lived intangible asset in any period and proceed directly to performing the quantitative test. Gibraltar has elected not to perform the qualitative assessment and performed the full quantitative test as of October 31, 2012.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

In the ordinary course of business, the Company is exposed to various market risk factors, including changes in general economic conditions, competition, and raw materials pricing and availability. In addition, the Company is exposed to other financial market risks, primarily related to its long-term debt and foreign operations.

Raw Material Pricing Risk

We are subject to market risk exposure related to volatility in the price of steel, aluminum, and resins. A significant amount of our cost of sales relates to material costs. Our business is heavily dependent on the price and supply of our raw materials. Our various products are fabricated from steel, primarily hot-rolled and galvanized steel coils and bars, produced by mills and service centers. Many of our products are also fabricated from aluminum coils and resins. The commodity market, which includes the steel, aluminum, and resin industries, is highly cyclical in nature, and commodity costs have been volatile in recent years and may remain volatile in the future. Commodity costs are influenced by numerous factors beyond our control, including general economic conditions, the availability of raw materials, competition, labor costs, freight and transportation costs, production costs, import duties and other trade restrictions.

Although we have the ability to purchase steel from a number of suppliers, a production cutback by one or more of our current suppliers could create challenges in meeting delivery schedules to our customers. The prices we offer to our customers are also impacted by changes in commodity costs. We manage the alignment of the cost of our raw materials and prices offered to customers and attempt to pass changes to raw material costs through to our customers. To improve our management of commodity costs, we attempt to maintain lean inventory levels. Our investment in ERP systems was made to increase our effectiveness in this process.

We do not enter into long-term contracts for the purchase of raw materials and do not maintain inventory levels in excess of our production requirements. However, from time to time, we may purchase raw materials in advance of commodity cost increases.

We rely on major suppliers for our supply of raw materials. During 2012, we purchased our raw materials from domestic and foreign suppliers in an effort to purchase the lowest cost material as possible.

We cannot accurately calculate the pre-tax impact a one percent change in the commodity costs would have on our 2012 operating results as the change in commodity costs would both impact the cost to purchase materials and the selling prices we offer our customers. The impact to our operating results would significantly depend on the competitive environment and the costs of other alternative building products, which could impact our ability to pass commodity costs to our customers.

Interest Rate Risk

To manage interest rate risk, Gibraltar uses both fixed and variable interest rate debt. We repaid all amounts outstanding under our revolving credit facility, and no amounts remain outstanding as of December 31, 2012. As a result, fixed rate debt consisting of the Company's Senior Subordinated 8% Notes was the only significant debt that remains outstanding at year end. We believe we limited our exposure to interest rate risk as a result of repaying substantially all variable rate debt and the long-term nature of our fixed rate debt. However, the Company will continue to monitor changes in its debt levels and access to capital ensuring interest rate risk is appropriately managed.

At December 31, 2012, our fixed rate debt consisted primarily of \$202.7 million, net of discount, of our 8% Notes. The Company's \$204.0 million of 8% Notes were issued in December 2005 at a discount to yield 8.25%. The 8% Notes were due December 1, 2015.

Table of Contents

Subsequent to December 31, 2012, the Company issued \$210.0 million of 6.25% Senior Subordinated Notes (6.25% Notes) due February 1, 2021. In connection with the issuance of the 6.25% Notes, on January 16, 2013 the Company initiated a tender offer to purchase the outstanding \$204.0 million of 8% Senior Subordinated Notes due in 2015. Simultaneously with the closing of the sale of the 6.25% Notes on January 31, 2013, the Company purchased the tendered 8% Notes. The 8% Notes that were not tendered and purchased were called for redemption. In connection with the purchase and subsequent redemption, the Company satisfied and discharged its obligations under the 8% Notes. Refer to Note 20 of the consolidated financial statements of Item 8 for more information regarding the issuance of the 6.25% Notes and redemption of the 8% Notes.

Our variable rate debt consists primarily of the revolving credit facility under the Senior Credit Agreement, of which no amounts are outstanding as of December 31, 2012, and other debt. Borrowings under the revolving credit facility bear interest at a variable interest rate based upon the LIBOR plus an additional margin. A hypothetical 1% increase or decrease in interest rates would have changed the 2012 interest expense by less than \$0.1 million.

Foreign Exchange Risk

Gibraltar has foreign exchange risk in our international operations, primarily located in Canada and Europe, and through purchases from foreign vendors. Therefore, changes in the values of currencies of these countries affect our financial position and cash flows when translated into U.S. Dollars. We accepted our exposure to exchange rate movements relative to Gibraltar's international operations and do not hedge our foreign exchange risk exposures. A change of 10% in the value of all applicable foreign currencies would not have a material effect on our financial position and cash flows.

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

| | Page Number |
|--|-------------|
| <u>Financial Statements:</u> | |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 39 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010</u> | 40 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010</u> | 41 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011</u> | 42 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010</u> | 43 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010</u> | 44 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 45 |
| <u>Supplementary Data:</u> | |
| <u>Quarterly Unaudited Financial Data</u> | 81 |

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of Gibraltar Industries, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Gibraltar Industries, Inc. as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Gibraltar Industries, Inc. at December 31, 2012 and 2011 and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Gibraltar Industries, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 22, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Buffalo, New York

February 22, 2013

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(in thousands, except per share data)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net sales | \$ 790,058 | \$ 766,607 | \$ 637,454 |
| Cost of sales | 640,498 | 621,492 | 533,586 |
| Gross profit | 149,560 | 145,115 | 103,868 |
| Selling, general, and administrative expense | 104,671 | 108,957 | 99,546 |
| Intangible asset impairment | 4,628 | | 76,964 |
| Income (loss) from operations | 40,261 | 36,158 | (72,642) |
| Interest expense | 18,582 | 19,363 | 19,714 |
| Other income | (488) | (90) | (77) |
| Income (loss) before taxes | 22,167 | 16,885 | (92,279) |
| Provision for (benefit of) income taxes | 9,517 | 7,669 | (16,923) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 12,650 | 9,216 | (75,356) |
| Discontinued operations: | | | |
| (Loss) income before taxes | (289) | 13,840 | (27,125) |
| (Benefit of) provision for income taxes | (284) | 6,533 | (11,413) |
| (Loss) income from discontinued operations | (5) | 7,307 | (15,712) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 12,645 | \$ 16,523 | \$ (91,068) |
| Net income (loss) per share Basic: | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 0.41 | \$ 0.30 | \$ (2.49) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | 0.00 | 0.24 | (0.52) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 0.41 | \$ 0.54 | \$ (3.01) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding Basic | 30,752 | 30,507 | 30,303 |
| Net income (loss) per share Diluted: | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 0.41 | \$ 0.30 | \$ (2.49) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | 0.00 | 0.24 | (0.52) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 0.41 | \$ 0.54 | \$ (3.01) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding Diluted | 30,857 | 30,650 | 30,303 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(in thousands)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 12,645 | \$ 16,523 | \$ (91,068) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | 2,353 | (1,232) | (591) |
| Adjustment to retirement benefit liability, net of tax | (79) | (187) | 277 |
| Adjustment to post-retirement healthcare benefit liability, net of tax | (499) | 129 | (722) |
| Reclassification of unrealized loss on interest rate swap, net of tax | | | 1,206 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | 1,775 | (1,290) | 170 |
| Total comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 14,420 | \$ 15,233 | \$ (90,898) |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands)

| | December 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 48,028 | \$ 54,117 |
| Accounts receivable, net of reserve | 89,473 | 90,595 |
| Inventories | 116,357 | 109,270 |
| Other current assets | 13,380 | 14,872 |
| Total current assets | 267,238 | 268,854 |
| Property, plant, and equipment, net | 151,613 | 151,974 |
| Goodwill | 359,863 | 348,326 |
| Acquired intangibles | 98,759 | 95,265 |
| Other assets | 6,201 | 7,636 |
| | \$ 883,674 | \$ 872,055 |
| Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 69,060 | \$ 67,320 |
| Accrued expenses | 47,432 | 60,687 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | 1,093 | 417 |
| Total current liabilities | 117,585 | 128,424 |
| Long-term debt | 206,710 | 206,746 |
| Deferred income taxes | 57,068 | 55,801 |
| Other non-current liabilities | 25,489 | 21,148 |
| Shareholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; authorized 10,000 shares; none outstanding | | |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value; authorized 50,000 shares; 30,938 and 30,702 shares issued in 2012 and 2011 | 309 | 307 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 240,107 | 236,673 |
| Retained earnings | 242,082 | 229,437 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (1,575) | (3,350) |
| Cost of 350 and 281 common shares held in treasury in 2012 and 2011 | (4,101) | (3,131) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 476,822 | 459,936 |
| | \$ 883,674 | \$ 872,055 |

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 12,645 | \$ 16,523 | \$ (91,068) |
| (Loss) income from discontinued operations | (5) | 7,307 | (15,712) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 12,650 | 9,216 | (75,356) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 26,344 | 26,181 | 23,964 |
| Provision for deferred income taxes | 994 | 5,028 | (10,629) |
| Stock compensation expense | 3,148 | 4,642 | 4,315 |
| Non-cash charges to interest expense | 1,547 | 2,328 | 4,324 |
| Intangible asset impairment | 4,628 | | 76,964 |
| Other non-cash adjustments | 4,176 | 3,321 | 7,252 |
| Increase (decrease) in cash resulting from changes in the following (excluding the effects of acquisitions): | | | |
| Accounts receivable | 6,268 | (7,612) | (4,186) |
| Inventories | (1,022) | (10,101) | 152 |
| Other current assets and other assets | 2,409 | 10,172 | 1,626 |
| Accounts payable | (3,770) | 2,076 | 12,506 |
| Accrued expenses and other non-current liabilities | (7,140) | 4,577 | 6,259 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations | 50,232 | 49,828 | 47,191 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities of discontinued operations | (151) | (3,133) | 22,178 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 50,081 | 46,695 | 69,369 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities | | | |
| Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash acquired | (45,071) | (109,248) | |
| Purchase of other investment | | (250) | (1,250) |
| Purchases of property, plant, and equipment | (11,351) | (11,552) | (8,362) |
| Net proceeds from sale of business | | 67,529 | 29,164 |
| Net proceeds from sale of property and equipment | 659 | 1,226 | 221 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities of continuing operations | (55,763) | (52,295) | 19,773 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities of discontinued operations | | 2,089 | (384) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | (55,763) | (50,206) | 19,389 |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities | | | |
| Long-term debt payments | (473) | (74,262) | (58,967) |
| Proceeds from long-term debt | | 73,849 | 8,559 |
| Payment of deferred financing fees | (18) | (1,570) | (164) |
| Purchase of treasury stock at market prices | (970) | (826) | (1,114) |
| Excess tax benefit from stock compensation | 10 | | 54 |
| Net proceeds from issuance of common stock | 278 | 34 | 270 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (1,173) | (2,775) | (51,362) |

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | 766 | (463) | (126) |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (6,089) | (6,749) | 37,270 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 54,117 | 60,866 | 23,596 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ 48,028 | \$ 54,117 | \$ 60,866 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

GIBALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

(in thousands)

| | Common Stock | | Additional Paid-In Capital | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | Treasury Stock | | Total Shareholders Equity |
|---|--------------|--------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---|----------------|------------|---------------------------------|
| | Shares | Amount | | | | Shares | Amount | |
| Balance at January 1, 2010 | 30,295 | \$ 303 | \$ 227,362 | \$ 303,982 | \$ (2,230) | 151 | \$ (1,191) | 528,226 |
| Net loss | | | | (91,068) | | | | (91,068) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | | | | (591) | | | (591) |
| Adjustment to retirement benefit liability, net of taxes of \$161 | | | | | 277 | | | 277 |
| Adjustment to post-retirement healthcare benefit liability, net of taxes of \$417 | | | | | (722) | | | (722) |
| Reclassification of unrealized loss on interest rate swap, net of tax of \$693 | | | | | 1,206 | | | 1,206 |
| Stock compensation expense | | | 4,315 | | | | | 4,315 |
| Net settlement of restricted stock units | 187 | 2 | (2) | | | 68 | (1,114) | (1,114) |
| Issuance of restricted stock | 6 | | | | | | | |
| Stock options exercised | 28 | | 270 | | | | | 270 |
| Tax effect from stock compensation | | | 54 | | | | | 54 |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | 30,516 | \$ 305 | \$ 231,999 | \$ 212,914 | \$ (2,060) | 219 | \$ (2,305) | \$ 440,853 |
| Net income | | | | 16,523 | | | | 16,523 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | | | | (1,232) | | | (1,232) |
| Adjustment to retirement benefit liability, net of taxes of \$108 | | | | | (187) | | | (187) |
| Adjustment to post-retirement healthcare benefit liability, net of taxes of \$74 | | | | | 129 | | | 129 |
| Stock compensation expense | | | 4,642 | | | | | 4,642 |
| Net settlement of restricted stock units | 177 | 2 | (2) | | | 62 | (826) | (826) |
| Issuance of restricted stock | 6 | | | | | | | |
| Stock options exercised | 3 | | 34 | | | | | 34 |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | 30,702 | \$ 307 | \$ 236,673 | \$ 229,437 | \$ (3,350) | 281 | \$ (3,131) | \$ 459,936 |
| Net income | | | | 12,645 | | | | 12,645 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | | | | 2,353 | | | 2,353 |
| Adjustment to retirement benefit liability, net of taxes of \$40 | | | | | (79) | | | (79) |
| Adjustment to post-retirement healthcare benefit liability, net of taxes of \$290 | | | | | (499) | | | (499) |
| Stock compensation expense | | | 3,148 | | | | | 3,148 |
| Excess tax benefit from compensation | | | 10 | | | | | 10 |
| Net settlement of restricted stock units | 197 | 2 | (2) | | | 69 | (970) | (970) |
| Issuance of restricted stock | 11 | | | | | | | |
| Stock options exercised | 28 | | 278 | | | | | 278 |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | 30,938 | \$ 309 | \$ 240,107 | \$ 242,082 | \$ (1,575) | 350 | \$ (4,101) | \$ 476,822 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

GIBALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**Principles of consolidation**

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Gibraltar Industries, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company). All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Revenue recognition

Revenue is recognized when products are shipped or service is provided, the customer takes ownership and assumes the risk of loss, collection of the corresponding receivable is probable, persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, and the sales price is fixed or determinable. Sales returns, allowances, and customer incentives are treated as reductions to sales and are provided for based on historical experience and current estimates.

Promotional allowances

The Company promotes its branded products through cooperative advertising programs with retailers. Retailers also are offered in-store promotional allowances and rebates based on sales volume. Promotion costs (including allowances and rebates) incurred during the year are expensed during periods related to the associated revenues and recorded as a reduction to net sales.

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, checking accounts, and all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less.

Accounts receivable

Accounts receivable are composed of trade receivables recorded at the invoiced amount, are expected to be collected within one year, and do not bear interest. The allowance for doubtful accounts is the Company's best estimate of the probable amount of uncollectible accounts in the Company's existing accounts receivable. The Company determines the allowance based on a number of factors, including experience, credit worthiness of customers, and current market and economic conditions. The Company reviews the allowance for doubtful accounts on a regular basis. Account balances are charged against the allowance after all means of collection have been exhausted and the potential for recovery is considered remote. The following table summarizes activity recorded within the allowance for doubtful accounts for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| Beginning balance | \$ 4,614 | \$ 3,504 | \$ 3,677 |
| Bad debt expense | 920 | 1,799 | 1,213 |
| Reserves from acquisitions | 189 | 896 | |
| Accounts written-off and other adjustments | (1,274) | (1,553) | (1,367) |
| Foreign currency translation | 32 | (32) | (19) |
| Ending balance | \$ 4,481 | \$ 4,614 | \$ 3,504 |

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Concentrations of credit risk on accounts receivable are limited to those from significant customers that are believed to be financially sound. The Company typically does not require collateral. Accounts receivable from the Company's most significant customer as a percentage of consolidated accounts receivable as of December 31 was as follows:

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|----------------|-------|-------|
| The Home Depot | 16.9% | 16.5% |

Net sales to the Company's most significant customer as a percentage of consolidated net sales for the years ended December 31 was as follows:

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------|
| The Home Depot | 12.4% | 12.5% | 14.0% |

Table of Contents**Inventories**

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market. The Company did not recognize material charges within cost of sales to adjust inventory to the lower of cost or market because inventory at cost exceeded the Company's estimate of net realizable value less normal profit margins during the three year period ended December 31, 2012.

The cost basis of the inventory is determined on a first-in, first-out basis using either actual costs or a standard cost methodology which approximates actual cost. Shipping and handling costs are recognized as a component of cost of sales.

Inventory on hand is regularly reviewed and provisions for excess, obsolete, and slow-moving inventory based on historical and current sales trends are recorded. The following table summarizes activity recorded within the reserves for excess, obsolete, and slow moving inventory for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Beginning balance | \$ 4,146 | \$ 3,044 | \$ 3,395 |
| Excess, obsolete, and slow-moving inventory expense | 2,417 | 378 | 1,046 |
| Reserves from acquisitions | 95 | 1,270 | |
| Scrapped inventory and other adjustments | (1,756) | (542) | (1,402) |
| Foreign currency translation | 5 | (4) | 5 |
| Ending balance | \$ 4,907 | \$ 4,146 | \$ 3,044 |

Property, plant, and equipment

Property, plant, and equipment are stated at cost and depreciated over their estimated useful lives using the straight-line method. Interest is capitalized in connection with construction of qualified assets. Expenditures that extend the useful lives of assets are capitalized, while repair and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred. The estimated useful lives of land improvements, buildings, and building improvements are 15 to 40 years, while the estimated useful lives for machinery and equipment are 3 to 20 years. Accelerated depreciation methods are used for income tax purposes.

The table below sets forth the amount of interest capitalized and depreciation expense recognized during the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Capitalized interest | \$ 376 | \$ 250 | \$ 152 |
| Depreciation expense | \$ 19,673 | \$ 19,872 | \$ 18,797 |

Acquisition related assets and liabilities

Accounting for the acquisition of a business as a purchase transaction requires an allocation of the purchase price to the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed in the transaction at their respective estimated fair values. The most difficult estimations of individual fair values are those involving long-lived assets, such as property, plant, and equipment and intangible assets. The Company uses all available information to make these fair value determinations and, for major business acquisitions, engages independent valuation specialists to assist in the fair value determination of the acquired long-lived assets.

Goodwill and other intangible assets

The Company tests goodwill for impairment at the reporting unit level on an annual basis at October 31 or more frequently if an event occurs or circumstances change that indicate that the fair value of a reporting unit could be below its carrying amount. The reporting units are at the component level, or one level below the operating segment level. Goodwill is assigned to each reporting unit as of the date the reporting unit is acquired and based upon the expected synergies of the acquisition. The impairment test consists of comparing the fair value of a reporting unit, determined using two valuation techniques, with its carrying amount including goodwill, and, if the carrying amount of the reporting unit

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

exceeds its fair value, comparing the implied fair value of goodwill with its carrying amount. An impairment loss would be recognized for the carrying amount of goodwill in excess of its implied fair value.

The Company also tests its indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment on an annual basis as of October 31, or more frequently if an event occurs or circumstances change that indicate that the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset could be below its carrying amount. The impairment test consists of comparing the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible asset, determined using discounted cash flows on a relief-from-royalty basis, with its carrying amount. An impairment loss would be recognized for the carrying amount in excess of its fair value.

Table of Contents

The Company recognized the following intangible asset impairment charges during the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|----------|------|-----------|
| Goodwill impairment | \$ 4,328 | \$ | \$ 66,589 |
| Indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment | 300 | | 5,100 |
| Finite-lived intangible asset impairment | | | 5,275 |
| Total intangible asset impairment | \$ 4,628 | \$ | \$ 76,964 |

Acquired identifiable intangible assets are recorded at estimated cost. Identifiable intangible assets with finite useful lives are amortized over their estimated useful lives.

Deferred charges

Deferred charges associated with initial costs incurred to enter into new debt arrangements are included in other assets and are amortized as a part of interest expense over the terms of the associated debt agreements. In 2011, portions of these deferred financing charges were written off as a result of entering into amended and restated credit agreements and the early payment of debt outstanding under a term loan as discussed in Note 7 of the consolidated financial statements.

Impairment of long-lived assets

Long-lived assets, including acquired identifiable intangible assets, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of those assets may not be recoverable. The Company uses undiscounted cash flows to determine whether impairment exists and measures any impairment loss by approximating fair value using acceptable valuation techniques, including discounted cash flow models and third-party appraisals. As noted above, the Company recognized an impairment charge related to intangible assets during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2010. In addition, the Company recognized a number of impairment charges related to restructuring plans during the three year period ended December 31, 2012 as described in Note 14 of the consolidated financial statements.

Derivative instruments and hedging activities

The Company is exposed to certain risks relating to its ongoing business operations. From time to time, the Company may use derivative instruments to manage interest rate risk. Interest rate swaps have been entered into in prior periods to manage interest rate risk associated with the Company's variable-rate borrowings. The Company had an interest rate swap outstanding with a notional amount of \$57,500,000, which expired on December 22, 2010. The Company designated this interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge at inception.

On February 1, 2010, the Company sold the majority of the assets of the Processed Metal Products business as disclosed in Note 13 of the consolidated financial statements. The Company used proceeds from the sale together with cash generated from operations to repay all remaining variable-rate debt outstanding. Accordingly, all losses previously deferred in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to the interest rate swap were reclassified to interest expense during the first quarter of 2010. Changes in the fair value of the swap continued to be recorded in earnings until the swap expired.

The following table summarizes the gains and losses recorded in interest expense and other comprehensive income as a result of the interest rate swap for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|------|------|----------|
| Adjustments to interest expense: | | | |
| Loss reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,899 |
| Loss from changes in the fair value of the interest rate swap | | | 170 |
| Total loss included in interest expense | \$ | \$ | \$ 2,069 |

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|----|----|----------|
| Adjustments to other comprehensive income: | | | |
| Realized loss reclassified to interest expense, net of taxes | \$ | \$ | \$ 302 |
| Unrealized loss reclassified to interest expense, net of taxes | | | 904 |
| Gain included in other comprehensive income | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,206 |

Table of Contents**Foreign currency transactions and translation**

The assets and liabilities of the Company's foreign subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars at the rate of exchange in effect at the balance sheet date. Income and expense items are translated at the average exchange rates prevailing during the period. Gains and losses resulting from foreign currency transactions are recognized currently in income and those resulting from the translation of financial statements are accumulated as a separate component of comprehensive income. The following table summarizes the foreign currency transaction gains and losses recognized during the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|-----------------------|-------|--------|--------|
| Foreign currency loss | \$ 69 | \$ 232 | \$ 271 |

Shareholders' equity

During 2012, 2011, and 2010, the Company acquired 69,000, 62,000, and 68,000 shares of stock, respectively, as satisfaction of statutory minimum tax withholdings related to stock compensation. These reacquired shares and related cost are reflected as treasury stock in the consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Comprehensive income

Comprehensive income includes net income as well as other comprehensive income. The Company's other comprehensive income consists of unrealized gains and losses on interest rate swaps and retirement liability adjustments, which are recorded net of related taxes, along with foreign currency translation adjustments.

Earnings per share

Earnings per share equals net income divided by the weighted average shares outstanding during the year. The computation of diluted earnings per share includes all dilutive common stock equivalents in the weighted average shares outstanding. A reconciliation between basic and diluted earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010 is displayed in Note 16 of the consolidated financial statements.

Income taxes

The provision for income taxes is determined using the asset and liability approach. Under this approach, deferred income taxes represent the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and tax basis of assets and liabilities. The Company records a valuation allowance to reduce deferred tax assets when uncertainty exists regarding their realization.

Equity-based compensation

The Company measures the cost of equity-based compensation based on grant date fair value and recognizes the cost over the period in which the employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award. Equity-based compensation consists of grants of stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, and performance stock units. Equity-based compensation expense is included as a component of selling, general, and administrative expenses. The Company's equity-based compensation plans are discussed in more detail in Note 11 of the consolidated financial statements.

Collective bargaining agreements

At December 31, 2012, the Company employed 2,306 people, of which approximately 17% were represented by unions through various collective bargaining agreements (CBAs). One CBA, representing 1% of our workforce, expired and is currently being re-negotiated. One of the four acquisitions made during 2012 was party to a CBA. The Company recognized the union upon acquisition, but not their contract. Another CBA, representing less than 1% of our workforce, will expire during 2013. Our other CBAs expire between January 1, 2014 and April 1, 2016. We historically have had good relationships with our unions. We expect the current and future negotiations with our unions to result in contracts that provide benefits that are consistent with those provided in our current agreements.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

In July 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (Update) 2012-02, Intangibles – Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Indefinite Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment . Update 2012-02 states that an entity has the option first to assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events and circumstances indicates that it is more likely than not that the indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired. An entity also has the option to bypass the qualitative assessment for any indefinite-lived intangible asset in any period and proceed directly to performing the quantitative test. The Company has elected not to perform the qualitative assessment and performed the full quantitative test as of October 31, 2012.

Table of Contents**2. INVENTORIES**

Inventories at December 31 consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|-------------------|------------|------------|
| Raw material | \$ 49,750 | \$ 43,911 |
| Work-in-process | 12,430 | 12,003 |
| Finished goods | 54,177 | 53,356 |
| Total inventories | \$ 116,357 | \$ 109,270 |

3. PROPERTY, PLANT, AND EQUIPMENT

Components of property, plant, and equipment at December 31 consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|---------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Land and land improvements | \$ 16,165 | \$ 15,801 |
| Building and improvements | 71,411 | 68,402 |
| Machinery and equipment | 199,622 | 193,355 |
| Construction in progress | 5,063 | 7,010 |
| Property, plant, and equipment, gross | 292,261 | 284,568 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation | (140,648) | (132,594) |
| Property, plant, and equipment, net | \$ 151,613 | \$ 151,974 |

4. ACQUISITIONS

During 2012, Gibraltar purchased the assets of four businesses in separate transactions, three of which were acquired in November and December 2012. The acquired product lines complement and expand the Company's product portfolio and customer base in four key U.S. and Canadian markets:

Metal grating products for the oil sands region of Western Canada;

Function-critical components for public infrastructure construction and maintenance;

Perforated metal products for industrial applications; and

Sun protection products for new residential construction and home remodeling.

Gibraltar funded the aggregate investment of \$43,117,000 from existing cash on hand. The purchase price for each acquisition was allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based upon their respective fair values. The excess consideration was recorded as goodwill and approximated \$15,011,000, all of which is deductible for tax purposes. Goodwill represents future economic benefits arising from other assets acquired that could not be individually identified including workforce additions, growth opportunities, and increased presence in the building products markets.

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

The allocation of purchase consideration to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed during 2012 are as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| Working capital | 8,794 |
| Property, plant, and equipment | 9,862 |
| Intangible assets | 10,183 |
| Other liabilities | (733) |
| Goodwill | 15,011 |
| | |
| Fair value of purchase consideration | \$ 43,117 |

The acquired intangible assets consisted of the following for the four acquisitions completed during the year ended December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

| | Fair Value | Estimated Useful Life |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| Unpatented technology and patents | \$ 2,310 | 15 Years |
| Trademarks | 2,110 | Indefinite |
| Trademarks | 799 | 5 Years |
| Customer relationships | 4,484 | 5-15 Years |
| Backlog | 130 | 0.5 Years |
| Non-compete agreements | 350 | 5-10 Years |
| | | |
| Total | \$ 10,183 | |

Table of Contents

As noted above, the 2012 acquisitions were financed through cash on hand. The Company incurred certain acquisition-related costs, primarily composed of legal and consulting fees of \$456,000 for the year ended December 31, 2012. All acquisition related costs were recognized as a component of selling, general and administrative expenses on the consolidated statement of operations. The Company also recognized additional cost of sales of \$244,000 for the year ended December 31, 2012 related to the recognition of inventory at fair value when allocating the purchase price of the acquisitions.

On April 1, 2011, the Company acquired all of the outstanding stock of The D.S. Brown Company (D.S. Brown). D.S. Brown is located in North Baltimore, Ohio and is the largest U.S. manufacturer of components for the bridge and highway transportation infrastructure industry including expansion joint systems, bearing assemblies, pavement sealing systems, and other specialty components. The acquisition of D.S. Brown provides the Company with a diversified product offering to the infrastructure market. The results of D.S. Brown have been included in the Company's consolidated financial results since the date of acquisition. The aggregate purchase consideration for the acquisition of D.S. Brown was \$97,643,000, net of a working capital adjustment.

On June 3, 2011, the Company acquired all of the outstanding stock of Pacific Award Metals, Inc. (Award Metals). Award Metals operates six facilities in Arizona, California, Colorado, and Washington and is a leading regional manufacturer of roof ventilation, roof trims, flashing and rain ware, drywall trims, and specialized clips and connectors for concrete forms used in the new construction and repair and remodel markets. The acquisition of Award Metals expands the breadth of the Company's product offerings and allows the Company access to new customers. The results of Award Metals have been included in the Company's consolidated financial results since the date of acquisition. The fair value of the aggregate purchase consideration for the acquisition of Award Metals was \$13,369,000, net of a working capital adjustment. A portion of the purchase consideration was payable in November 2012. The Company recorded a payable of \$1,826,000 as of December 31, 2011 to reflect this obligation. The acquisitions of D. S. Brown and Award Metals were not considered significant to the Company's results of operations.

The Company remitted \$1,954,000 for the portion of the Award Metals acquisition purchase consideration that was due November 2012, which was paid in 2012 which represented the \$1,826,000 payable recorded in 2011 plus interest.

The purchase price for each acquisition was allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based upon their respective fair values. The excess consideration was recorded as goodwill and approximated \$50,526,000, of which \$5,241,000 is deductible for tax purposes. Goodwill represents future economic benefits arising from other assets acquired that could not be individually identified including workforce additions, growth opportunities, and increased presence in the building products markets.

The allocation of purchase consideration to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed is as follows (in thousands):

| | D.S. Brown | Award Metals |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| Working capital | \$ 16,735 | \$ 4,177 |
| Property, plant, and equipment | 14,481 | 2,794 |
| Intangible assets | 33,300 | 2,101 |
| Other assets | 230 | 75 |
| Other liabilities | (13,301) | (106) |
| Goodwill | 46,198 | 4,328 |
| Fair value of purchase consideration | \$ 97,643 | \$ 13,369 |

The acquired intangible assets consisted of the following for the two acquisitions completed during the year ended December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

| | Fair Value | Estimated Useful Life |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|
| Unpatented technology and patents | \$ 16,560 | 15 Years |
| Trademarks | 11,470 | Indefinite |
| Customer relationships | 5,970 | 16 Years |
| Backlog | 1,200 | 1.5 Years |
| Non-compete agreements | 201 | 4 Years |

Total

\$ 35,401

Table of Contents

The acquisitions of D.S. Brown and Award Metals were financed through cash on hand and debt available under the Company's revolving credit facility. The Company incurred certain acquisition-related costs, primarily composed of legal and consulting fees of \$986,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011. All acquisition-related costs were recognized as a component of selling, general, and administrative expenses on the consolidated statement of operations. The Company also recognized additional cost of sales of \$2,467,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011 related to the recognition of inventory at fair value when allocating the purchase price of the acquisitions.

5. GOODWILL AND RELATED INTANGIBLE ASSETS**Goodwill**

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the years ended December 31 were as follows (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|------------|------------|
| Balance as of the beginning of the year | \$ 348,326 | \$ 298,346 |
| Acquired goodwill | 15,011 | 50,526 |
| Impairment | (4,328) | |
| Foreign currency translation | 854 | (546) |
| Balance as of the end of the year | \$ 359,863 | \$ 348,326 |

Goodwill is recognized net of accumulated impairment losses of \$129,925,000 and \$125,597,000 as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The Company performed its annual goodwill impairment test as of October 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010. As a result, the Company recognized goodwill impairment charges during the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2010. No impairment charges were incurred in 2011.

As of the October 31, 2012 impairment test, the Company identified ten reporting units in total. The Company consolidated two of the reporting units from the prior year due to restructuring activities amongst two units that consolidated operating activities.

Step one of the goodwill impairment test consists of comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount including goodwill. The fair value of each reporting unit was determined using two valuation techniques: an income approach and a market approach. Each valuation approach relies on significant assumptions including a weighted average cost of capital (WACC). The WACC is calculated based upon the capital structure of nine market participants in the Company's peer group. The following table summarizes the WACC used during the goodwill impairment tests performed during 2012 and 2011:

| Date of Impairment Test | WACC |
|-------------------------|----------------|
| October 31, 2012 | 10.7% to 13.1% |
| October 31, 2011 | 10.8% to 12.5% |

Other assumptions used to calculate a fair value for each reporting unit include projected revenue growth, forecasted cash flows, and earnings multiples based on the market value of the Company and nine market participants in a peer group. A third-party forecast of housing starts was utilized to estimate revenue growth for future periods.

During our 2012 and 2010 goodwill impairment tests, we identified reporting units with carrying values in excess of fair value due to decreased revenue projections. Therefore, the Company initiated step two of the goodwill impairment test which involved calculating the implied fair value of goodwill by allocating the fair value of the reporting unit to the fair value of its assets and liabilities other than goodwill, calculating an implied fair value of goodwill, and comparing the implied fair value to the carrying amount of goodwill. As a result of step two of the goodwill impairment test, the Company estimated that the implied fair value of goodwill for the reporting units was less than their carrying values by \$4,328,000 and \$66,589,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2010, respectively, which has been recorded as impairment charges. No goodwill impairment charges were recorded in 2011.

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

The Company identified one reporting unit with a carrying value in excess of fair value in step one of the 2012 goodwill impairment test which resulted in a goodwill impairment charge. Another reporting unit would have failed step one if the Company used a WACC of 12.6% instead of 11.7%, reduced earnings multiples to a factor of 6.01 instead of 7.81, or reduced the compounded annual revenue growth rate to 6% instead of 7%.

Table of Contents

The Company will continue to monitor impairment indicators and financial results in future periods. If cash flows change or if the market value of the Company's stock does not increase, there may be additional impairment charges. Impairment charges could be based on factors such as the Company's stock price, forecasted cash flows, assumptions used, control premiums, or other variables.

Acquired Intangible Assets

Acquired intangible assets consist of the following (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | | Estimated Useful Life |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | |
| Indefinite-lived intangible assets: | | | | | |
| Trademarks | \$ 48,774 | \$ | \$ 46,760 | \$ | Indefinite |
| Finite-lived intangible assets: | | | | | |
| Trademarks | 2,771 | 1,085 | 1,968 | 921 | 2 to 15 Years |
| Unpatented technology | 24,427 | 5,204 | 22,117 | 3,577 | 5 to 20 Years |
| Customer relationships | 53,043 | 24,687 | 48,276 | 20,512 | 5 to 16 Years |
| Non-compete agreements | 3,207 | 2,598 | 2,857 | 2,303 | 4 to 10 Years |
| Backlog | 1,330 | 1,219 | 1,200 | 600 | 1 to 2 Years |
| | 84,778 | 34,793 | 76,418 | 27,913 | |
| Total acquired intangible assets | \$ 133,552 | \$ 34,793 | \$ 123,178 | \$ 27,913 | |

The Company recognized an impairment charge related to a trademark intangible asset for the year ended December 31, 2012, as well as, for trademark and customer relationship intangible assets for the year ended December 31, 2010. The impairment charges related to the trademarks were recognized as a result of the Company's impairment test of indefinite-lived intangibles. The fair values of the impaired trademarks were determined using an income approach consisting of the relief-from-royalty method. The impairment charge related to the customer relationship asset was recognized as a result of the estimated future undiscounted cash flows of the asset being less than its carrying value. The fair value of the impaired customer relationship was determined using an income approach consisting of the excess earnings method. In addition, the Company recognized amortization expense related to the acquired intangible assets.

The following table summarizes the impairment charges and acquired intangibles amortization expense for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|----------------------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Impairment charges | \$ 300 | \$ | \$ 10,375 |
| Amortization expense | \$ 6,671 | \$ 6,309 | \$ 5,167 |

Amortization expense related to acquired intangible assets for the next five years ended December 31 is estimated as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|------|----------|
| 2013 | \$ 6,606 |
| 2014 | \$ 5,498 |
| 2015 | \$ 5,342 |
| 2016 | \$ 5,321 |
| 2017 | \$ 5,320 |

6. ACCRUED EXPENSES

Accrued expenses at December 31 consist of the following (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Compensation | \$ 17,837 | \$ 26,459 |
| Customer rebates | 9,530 | 9,102 |
| Insurance | 8,136 | 8,740 |
| Other | 11,929 | 16,386 |
| Total accrued expenses | \$ 47,432 | \$ 60,687 |

Table of Contents

Accrued expenses for insurance are primarily for general liability, workers' compensation and employee healthcare policies for which the Company is self-insured up to certain per-occurrence and aggregate limits. The amounts accrued represent our best estimates of the probable amount of claims to be paid. Differences between the amounts accrued and the amount that may be reasonably possible of payment are not material.

7. DEBT

Long-term debt at December 31 consists of the following (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Senior Subordinated 8% Notes recorded net of unamortized discount of \$1,298 and \$1,677 in 2012 and 2011 | \$ 202,702 | \$ 202,323 |
| Other debt | 5,101 | 4,840 |
| Total debt | 207,803 | 207,163 |
| Less current maturities | 1,093 | 417 |
| Total long-term debt | \$ 206,710 | \$ 206,746 |

On October 11, 2011, the Company entered into the Fourth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement with a syndicate of ten banks (the Senior Credit Agreement). Borrowings under the Senior Credit Agreement are secured by the trade receivables, inventory, personal property, equipment, and certain real property of the Company's significant domestic subsidiaries. The Senior Credit Agreement is also guaranteed by each of the Company's significant domestic subsidiaries. The Senior Credit Agreement provides for a revolving credit facility and letters of credit in an aggregate amount that does not exceed the lesser of (i) \$200 million and (ii) a borrowing base determined by reference to the trade receivables, inventories, and property, plant, and equipment of the Company's significant domestic subsidiaries. The Company can request additional financing from the banks to increase the revolving credit facility to \$250 million under the terms of the Senior Credit Agreement.

The terms of the Senior Credit Agreement provide that the revolving credit facility will terminate on the earlier of October 10, 2016 or six months prior to the maturity date of the Company's 8% Notes, which are due December 1, 2015. All revolving credit borrowings must be repaid on or before the maturity date. Interest rates on the revolving credit facility continue to be based on the London Interbank Offering Rate (LIBOR) plus an additional margin of 2.0% to 2.5%. In addition, the revolving credit facility is subject to an annual commitment fee calculated as 0.375% of the daily average undrawn balance.

Standby letters of credit of \$13,592,000 have been issued under the Senior Credit Agreement to third parties on behalf of the Company at December 31, 2012. These letters of credit reduce the amount otherwise available under the revolving credit facility. At December 31, 2012, the Company had \$116,844,000 of availability under the revolving credit facility.

As a result of the modifications of terms of the revolving credit facility, the Company incurred charges of \$313,000 to write off deferred financing costs for the year ended December 31, 2011.

On a trailing four-quarter basis, the Senior Credit Agreement includes a single financial covenant that requires the Company to maintain a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.25 to 1.00 at the end of each quarter. As of December 31, 2012, the Company was in compliance with this financial covenant. The Senior Credit Agreement contains other provisions and events of default that are customary for similar agreements and may limit the Company's ability to take various actions.

On December 8, 2005, the Company issued \$204,000,000 of Senior Subordinated 8% Notes (8% Notes), due December 1, 2015, at a discount to yield 8.25%. Provisions of the 8% Notes include, without limitation, restrictions on indebtedness liens, distributions from restricted subsidiaries, asset sales, affiliate transactions, dividends in excess of \$10,000,000 in a fiscal year, and other restricted payments. The 8% Notes are redeemable at the option of the Company, in whole or in part, at the redemption price, which declined to 103% on December 1, 2011 and to 101% on December 1, 2012, and will decline to 100% on December 1, 2013. In the event of a Change of Control, each holder of the 8% Notes may require the Company to repurchase all or a portion of such holder's 8% Notes at a purchase price equal to 101% of the principal amount thereof. The 8% Notes are guaranteed by certain existing and future domestic subsidiaries and are not subject to any sinking fund requirements.

Table of Contents

The aggregate maturities of long-term debt for the next five years and thereafter are as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|------------|------------|
| 2013 | \$ 1,093 |
| 2014 | \$ 407 |
| 2015 | \$ 203,103 |
| 2016 | \$ 400 |
| 2017 | \$ 400 |
| Thereafter | \$ 2,400 |

Subsequent to December 31, 2012, the Company issued \$210.0 million of 6.25% Senior Subordinated Notes (6.25% Notes) due February 1, 2021. In connection with the issuance of the 6.25% Notes, on January 16, 2013 the Company initiated a tender offer to purchase the outstanding \$204.0 million of 8% Senior Subordinated Notes due in 2015. Simultaneously with the closing of the sale of the 6.25% Notes on January 31, 2013, the Company purchased the tendered 8% Notes. The 8% Notes that were not tendered and purchased were called for redemption. In connection with the purchase and subsequent redemption, the Company satisfied and discharged its obligations under the 8% Notes. Refer to Note 20 for information regarding the issuance of the 6.25% Notes and redemption of the 8% Notes.

Total cash paid for interest in the years ended December 31 was (in thousands):

| | | | |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Cash paid for interest | \$ 17,189 | \$ 17,787 | \$ 19,372 |

8. EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT PLANS

The Company has an unfunded supplemental pension plan which provides defined pension benefits to certain salaried employees upon retirement. Benefits under the plan are based on the salaries of individual plan participants in the year they were admitted into the plan. The plan has been frozen and no additional participants will be added to the plan in the future.

The following table presents the changes in the plan's projected benefit obligation, fair value of plan assets, and funded status for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| | | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Projected benefit obligation at January 1 | \$ 2,653 | \$ 2,730 | \$ 3,131 |
| Service cost | | 41 | 80 |
| Interest cost | 110 | 141 | 171 |
| Actuarial losses (gains) | 50 | 93 | (328) |
| Benefits paid | (335) | (352) | (324) |
| Projected benefit obligation at December 31 | 2,478 | 2,653 | 2,730 |
| Fair value of plan assets | | | |
| Under funded status | (2,478) | (2,653) | (2,730) |
| Unamortized prior service cost | 53 | 67 | 82 |
| Unrecognized actuarial gain | (130) | (180) | (490) |
| Net amount recognized | \$ (2,555) | \$ (2,766) | \$ (3,138) |

Amounts recognized in the consolidated financial statements consisted of (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|
| Accrued pension liability: | | | |
| Current portion | \$ (402) | \$ (435) | \$ (351) |
| Long term portion | (2,076) | (2,218) | (2,379) |
| Pre-tax accumulated other comprehensive income retirement benefit liability adjustment | (77) | (113) | (408) |
| Net amount recognized | \$ (2,555) | \$ (2,766) | \$ (3,138) |

The plan's accumulated benefit obligation equaled the projected benefit obligation as of December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010. The measurement date used to determine pension benefit measures was December 31.

Table of Contents

Components of net periodic pension cost for the years ended December 31 were as follows (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| Service cost | \$ | \$ 41 | \$ 80 |
| Interest cost | 110 | 141 | 171 |
| Amortization of unrecognized prior service cost | 14 | 15 | 110 |
| Gain amortization | | (218) | |
| Net periodic pension cost (income) | \$ 124 | \$ (21) | \$ 361 |

Assumptions used to calculate the benefit obligation:

| | | | |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Discount rate | 3.50% | 4.50% | 5.50% |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|

Expected benefit payments from the plan for the years ended December 31 are as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|-------------------|--------|
| 2013 | \$ 402 |
| 2014 | \$ 395 |
| 2015 | \$ 395 |
| 2016 | \$ 372 |
| 2017 | \$ 360 |
| Years 2018 - 2022 | \$ 892 |

The Company has another unfunded supplemental pension plan at one of our European subsidiaries which provides defined pension benefits to certain employees upon retirement. The plan has been frozen and no additional participants will be added to the plan in the future. The projected benefit obligation at December 31, 2012 is \$501,000. A pre-tax accumulated other comprehensive loss retirement benefit liability adjustment of \$83,000 has been recognized in 2012 in the Company's financial statements, for a net liability of \$418,000.

All U.S. subsidiaries participate in the Company's 401(k) Plan. In addition, the Company contributes to a number of multiemployer defined benefit pension plans under the terms of collective-bargaining agreements that cover union-represented employees. The risks of participating in these multiemployer plans are different from single-employer plans in the following aspects:

- Assets contributed to the multiemployer plan by one employer may be used to provide benefits to employees of other participating employers.
- If a participating employer stops contributing to the plan, the unfunded obligations of the plan may be borne by the remaining participating employers.
- If the Company chooses to stop participating in some of the multiemployer plans, the Company may be required to pay those plans an amount based on the underfunded status of the plan, referred to as a withdrawal liability.

The Company's participation in these plans for the year ended December 31, 2012 is outlined in the table below. The EIN/ Pension Plan Number column provides the Employee Identification Number (EIN) and three-digit plan number, if applicable. Unless otherwise noted, the most recent Pension Protection Act (PPA) zone status available in 2012 and 2011 is for the plan's year ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The zone status is based on information that the Company received from the plans and is certified by the plans' actuaries. Among other factors, plans in the red zone are generally less than 65% funded, plans in the yellow zone are less than 80% funded, and plans in the green zone are at least 80% funded.

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

| Pension Fund | EIN/ Pension Plan Number | PPA Zone | Status | Surcharge Imposed |
|---|--------------------------|----------|--------|-------------------|
| National Integrated Group Pension Plan | 22-6190618-001 | 2011 | 2010 | Yes |
| Sheet Metal Workers National Pension Plan | 52-6112463-001 | Red | Red | Yes |
| Sheet Metal Workers Pension Plan of Northern California | 51-6115939-001 | Red | Red | No |

Each fund's collective bargaining agreement expires February 23, 2015, April 30, 2015, and February 28, 2015, respectively. All of the funds have rehabilitation plans in place. Each plan with a rehabilitation plan requires minimum contributions from the Company. Given the status of these plans, it is reasonably possible that future contributions to the plans will increase although the Company cannot reasonably estimate a possible range of increased contributions as of December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

The Company did not contribute more than 5% of any fund's total contributions in any of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012. The table below sets forth the contributions made by the Company to each multiemployer plan for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| Pension Fund | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| National Integrated Group Pension Plan | \$ 202 | \$ 186 | \$ 197 |
| Sheet Metal Workers National Pension Plan | 98 | 50 | 41 |
| Sheet Metal Workers Pension Plan of Northern California | 33 | 63 | 154 |
| Western Metal Industry Pension Fund | | 8 | 9 |
| | \$ 333 | \$ 307 | \$ 401 |

At the date the financial statements were issued, Forms 5500 were not available for plan years ended December 31, 2012.

Total expense for all retirement plans for the years ended December 31 was (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|-------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Total retirement plan expense | \$ 2,884 | \$ 2,610 | \$ 1,246 |

9. OTHER POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS

The Company has an unfunded postretirement healthcare plan which provides health insurance to certain employees and their spouses upon retirement. This plan has been frozen and no additional participants will be added to the plan in the future.

The following table presents the changes in the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation related to the Company's unfunded postretirement healthcare benefits at December 31 (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| Projected benefit obligation at January 1 | \$ 5,120 | \$ 5,201 | \$ 4,115 |
| Service cost | 15 | 13 | 13 |
| Interest cost | 224 | 278 | 226 |
| Plan amendments and curtailments | | | (167) |
| Actuarial loss (gain) | 899 | (80) | 1,308 |
| Benefits paid | (304) | (292) | (294) |
| Projected benefit obligation at December 31 | 5,954 | 5,120 | 5,201 |
| Fair value of plan assets | | | |
| Under funded status | (5,954) | (5,120) | (5,201) |
| Unamortized prior service cost | | (1) | (2) |
| Unrecognized actuarial loss | 2,329 | 1,541 | 1,745 |
| Net amount recognized | \$ (3,625) | \$ (3,580) | \$ (3,458) |

Amounts recognized in the consolidated financial statements consisted of (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|------|------|------|
| Accrued postretirement benefit liability | | | |

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| Current portion | \$ (322) | \$ (303) | \$ (292) |
| Long term portion | (5,632) | (4,817) | (4,909) |
| Pre-tax accumulated other comprehensive loss unamortized post-retirement healthcare costs | 2,329 | 1,540 | 1,743 |
| Net amount recognized | \$ (3,625) | \$ (3,580) | \$ (3,458) |

Table of Contents

Components of net periodic postretirement benefit cost charged to expense for the years ended December 31 were as follows (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Service cost | \$ 15 | \$ 13 | \$ 13 |
| Interest cost | 224 | 278 | 226 |
| Amortization of unrecognized prior service cost | (1) | (1) | (5) |
| Loss amortization | 111 | 125 | 17 |
| Curtailement benefit | | | (9) |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 349 | \$ 415 | \$ 242 |
| Assumptions used to calculate the benefit obligation: | | | |
| Discount rate | 3.5% | 4.5% | 5.5% |
| Annual rate of increase in the per capita cost of: | | | |
| Medical costs before age 65 ¹ | 9.0% | 10.0% | 11.0% |
| Medical costs after age 65 ¹ | 7.5% | 8.0% | 9.0% |
| Prescription drug costs ¹ | 8.0% | 9.0% | 10.0% |

¹ It was assumed that these rates would gradually decline to 5% by 2018.

The effect of a 1% increase or decrease in the annual medical inflation rate would increase or decrease the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation at December 31, 2012 by approximately \$706,000 and \$643,000, respectively, and increase or decrease the annual service and interest costs by approximately \$30,000 and \$27,000, respectively.

The measurement date used to determine postretirement benefit obligation measures was December 31.

Expected benefit payments from the plan for the years ended December 31 are as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|-------------------|----------|
| 2013 | \$ 322 |
| 2014 | \$ 329 |
| 2015 | \$ 327 |
| 2016 | \$ 322 |
| 2017 | \$ 346 |
| Years 2018 - 2022 | \$ 1,732 |

10. ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME

The cumulative balance of each component of accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income is as follows (in thousands):

| | Foreign Currency Translation Adjustment | Retirement Benefit Liability Adjustment | Unamortized Post-Retirement Health Care Costs | Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| Balance as of December 31, 2010 | \$ (1,214) | \$ 258 | \$ (1,104) | \$ (2,060) |
| Current period change | (1,232) | (187) | 129 | (1,290) |
| Balance as of December 31, 2011 | \$ (2,446) | \$ 71 | \$ (975) | \$ (3,350) |
| Current period change | 2,353 | (79) | (499) | 1,775 |

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|--------|------------|------------|
| Balance as of December 31, 2012 | \$ (93) | \$ (8) | \$ (1,474) | \$ (1,575) |
|---------------------------------|---------|--------|------------|------------|

11. EQUITY-BASED COMPENSATION

Equity-based payments to employees and directors, including grants of stock options, restricted stock units, and restricted stock, are recognized in the statements of operations based on the grant-date fair value of the award. The Company uses the straight-line method of attributing the value of stock-based compensation expense over the vesting periods. Stock compensation expense recognized during the period is based on the value of the portion of equity-based awards that is ultimately expected to vest during the period. Vesting requirements vary for directors, executives, and key employees with a vesting period that typically equals four years with graded vesting.

Table of Contents

The Gibraltar Industries, Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan (the Plan) is an incentive compensation plan that allows the Company to grant equity-based incentive compensation awards to eligible participants to provide them an additional incentive to promote the business of the Company, to increase their proprietary interest in the success of the Company, and to encourage them to remain in the Company's employ. Awards under the plan may be in the form of options, restricted shares, restricted units, performance shares, performance stock units, and rights. The Plan provides for the issuance of up to 3,000,000 shares of common stock. Of the total number of shares of common stock issuable under the Plan, the aggregate number of shares which may be issued in connection with grants of incentive stock options and rights cannot exceed 900,000 shares. Vesting terms and award life are governed by the award document.

The following table provides the number of restricted stock units (that will convert to shares upon vesting), shares of restricted stock, and non-qualified stock options that were issued during the years ended December 31 along with the weighted-average grant-date fair value of each award:

| Awards | 2012 | | 2011 | | 2010 | |
|-----------------------------|------------------|--|------------------|--|------------------|--|
| | Number of Awards | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value | Number of Awards | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value | Number of Awards | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
| Restricted stock units | 151,452 | \$ 13.58 | 315,834 | \$ 11.05 | 169,867 | \$ 16.80 |
| Restricted shares | 11,130 | \$ 11.86 | 6,000 | \$ 13.63 | 6,000 | \$ 12.74 |
| Non-qualified stock options | | \$ | 239,000 | \$ 5.19 | 131,000 | \$ 4.62 |

At December 31, 2012, 726,000 shares were available for issuance under the Plan as incentive stock options or other stock awards.

The Company also has stock options and restricted stock outstanding under plans that were terminated prior to the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan. The termination of those plans did not modify, amend, or otherwise affect the terms of any outstanding awards on the date of termination. The Company recognized the following compensation expense in connection with awards that vested under the Plan and previously terminated plans along with the related tax benefits recognized during the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Expense recognized under terminated plans | \$ 18 | \$ 47 | \$ 47 |
| Expense recognized under the Plan | 3,130 | 4,595 | 4,268 |
| Total stock compensation expense | \$ 3,148 | \$ 4,642 | \$ 4,315 |
| Tax benefits recognized related to stock compensation expense | \$ 1,228 | \$ 1,764 | \$ 1,640 |

On March 24, 2011, the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer surrendered a portion of his 2010 restricted stock unit grant. The unamortized portion of compensation expense related to these awards, totaling \$885,000, was accelerated and recognized as stock compensation expense for the year ended December 31, 2011.

The fair value of the restricted shares and restricted stock units issued during the three years ended December 31, 2012 was based on the grant-date market price. The fair value of stock options granted was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. No options were granted in 2012. Expected stock volatility was based on volatility of the Company's stock price using a historical period commensurate with the expected life of the options. The following table provides the weighted average assumptions used to value stock options issued during the years ended December 31:

| Year of Grant | Fair Value | Expected Life (in years) | Expected Stock Volatility | Risk-free Interest Rate | Annual Forfeiture Rate | Expected Dividend Yield |
|---------------|------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| 2011 | \$ 5.19 | 5.80 | 58.3% | 1.1% | 18.0% | 0.0% |
| 2010 | \$ 4.62 | 5.70 | 55.9% | 1.7% | 18.0% | 0.0% |

Table of Contents

In September 2009, the Company awarded 905,000 performance stock units. As of December 31, 2011, 868,000 of the originally awarded performance stock units remained outstanding after forfeitures and re-issuances. The final number of performance stock units earned was determined based on the Company's total stockholder returns relative to a peer group for three separate performance periods, consisting of the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010, and 2011. During the three performance periods, participants earned between 0% and 200% of target, aggregating 684,299 performance stock units compared to the target of 868,000 awards. The performance stock units earned were converted to cash based on the trailing 90-day closing price of the Company's common stock as of the last day of the third performance period and totaled \$8,319,000 which was paid in January 2012.

In January 2012, the Company awarded 295,000 performance stock units with grant date fair value of \$4,152,000. As of December 31, 2012, 280,000 of the originally awarded performance stock units remained outstanding after forfeitures. The final number of performance stock units earned was based on the Company's total stockholder returns relative to the S&P Small Cap 600 Index for the calendar year of 2012. During the performance period, the participants earned 58.3% of target, aggregating 163,200 performance stock units compared to the target of 280,000 awards. The cost of the January 2012 performance stock awards was partially recognized over the performance period of 2012, and will continue to be recognized for an additional two years of vesting. After the three-year period, any performance stock units earned will convert to cash based on the trailing 90-day closing price of the Company's common stock as of December 31, 2014 and be payable in January 2015.

The cost of performance stock awards was accrued over their respective vesting periods which ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The following table summarizes the compensation expense recognized from the change in fair value and vesting of performance stock units awarded for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Performance stock unit compensation expense | \$ 1,639 | \$ 4,409 | \$ 2,310 |

The Management Stock Purchase Plan (MSPP) is an integral component of the Plan and provides participants the ability to defer a portion of their salary, their annual bonus under the Management Incentive Compensation Plan, and Directors' fees. The deferral is converted to restricted stock units and credited to an account together with a company-match in restricted stock units equal to a percentage of the deferral amount. The account is converted to cash at the trailing 200-day average closing price of the Company's stock and payable to the participants upon a termination of their service to the Company. The matching portion vests only if the participant has reached their sixtieth (60th) birthday. If a participant terminates prior to age sixty (60), the match is forfeited. Upon termination, the account is converted to a cash account that accrues interest at 2% over the then current ten-year U.S. Treasury note rate. The account is then paid out in either one lump sum, or in five or ten equal annual cash installments at the participant's election.

The fair value of restricted stock units held in the MSPP equals the trailing 200-day average closing price of the Company's common stock as of the last day of the period. During the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively, 253,587, 164,589, and 153,383 restricted stock units that will convert to cash upon vesting were credited to participant accounts. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the value of the restricted stock units in the MSPP was \$12.30 and \$11.15 per unit, respectively. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, 777,159 and 533,548 restricted stock units were credited to participant accounts including 71,992 and 65,374, respectively, of unvested restricted stock units.

The MSPP is a share-based liability settled in cash. The following table sets forth the cash paid to settle these liability awards for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Share-based liabilities paid | \$ 542 | \$ 577 | \$ 353 |

The following table summarizes the ranges of outstanding and exercisable options at December 31, 2012:

| Range of Exercise Prices | Options Outstanding | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years) | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Options Exercisable | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
|--------------------------|---------------------|--|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| \$8.90 - \$8.90 | 89,500 | 7.70 | \$ 8.90 | 40,500 | \$ 8.90 |

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|---------|---------|---------|------|----------|---------|----------|
| \$9.74 | \$9.74 | 211,125 | 8.70 | \$ 9.74 | 48,750 | \$ 9.74 |
| \$11.89 | \$18.78 | 202,900 | 5.69 | \$ 15.31 | 179,612 | \$ 15.54 |
| \$20.52 | \$23.78 | 208,099 | 4.36 | \$ 22.57 | 208,099 | \$ 22.57 |
| | | 711,624 | | | 476,961 | |

Table of Contents

The weighted average remaining life of options exercisable at December 31, 2012 is 5.5 years. The intrinsic value of options exercisable at December 31, 2012 was \$864,000.

The following table summarizes information about stock option transactions:

| | Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| Balance at January 1, 2010 | 630,889 | \$ 17.88 | | |
| Granted | 131,000 | 8.90 | | |
| Exercised | (28,586) | 9.46 | | |
| Forfeited | (103,522) | 14.25 | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | 629,781 | \$ 17.02 | | |
| Granted | 239,000 | 9.74 | | |
| Exercised | (3,375) | 9.97 | | |
| Forfeited | (94,907) | 17.89 | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | 770,499 | \$ 14.74 | | |
| Exercised | (27,500) | 9.70 | | |
| Forfeited | (31,375) | 13.76 | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | 711,624 | \$ 14.97 | 6.45 | \$ 2,273,000 |

The aggregate intrinsic value in the preceding table represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value, based on the \$15.95 per share market price of the Company's common stock as of December 31, 2012, which would have been received by the option holders had all option holders with an exercise price below the per share market price on December 31, 2012, exercised their options as of that date.

The following table sets forth the aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised and aggregate fair value of restricted stock units and restricted shares that vested during the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised | \$ 115 | \$ 13 | \$ 128 |
| Aggregate fair value of vested restricted stock units | \$ 2,760 | \$ 2,356 | \$ 3,040 |
| Aggregate fair value of vested restricted shares | \$ 193 | \$ 155 | \$ 150 |

The following table summarizes information about non-vested restricted stock units (that will convert to shares upon vesting) and restricted shares:

| | Restricted Stock Units | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value | Restricted Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|----------------------------|------------------------|--|-------------------|--|
| Balance at January 1, 2012 | 628,591 | \$ 14.29 | 6,000 | \$ 15.52 |
| Granted | 151,452 | 13.58 | 11,130 | 11.86 |
| Vested | (196,155) | 13.18 | (17,130) | 13.14 |
| Forfeited | (25,259) | 10.59 | | |

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|------------------------------|---------|----------|----|
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | 558,629 | \$ 14.66 | \$ |
|------------------------------|---------|----------|----|

As of December 31, 2012, there was \$3,632,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested options, restricted shares, and restricted stock units. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.2 years.

12. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

FASB ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, defines fair value, sets out a framework for measuring fair value, and requires certain disclosures about fair value measurements. A fair value measurement assumes that the transaction to sell an asset or transfer a liability occurs in the principal market for the asset or liability. Fair value is defined based upon an exit price model.

Table of Contents

FASB ASC Topic 820 establishes a valuation hierarchy for disclosure of the inputs used to measure fair value. This hierarchy prioritizes the inputs into three broad levels as follows. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 inputs are quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets or inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly through market corroboration, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs based on the Company's assumptions used to measure assets and liabilities at fair value. A financial asset or liability's classification within the hierarchy is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

As disclosed in Note 1 of the consolidated financial statements, an interest rate swap expired in 2010 and the Company did not hold any other derivatives as of December 31, 2012 and 2011. The Company did not have any other material assets or liabilities carried at fair value and measured on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

As described in Note 4 of the consolidated financial statements, the Company completed four acquisitions during the year ended December 31, 2012. The estimated fair values allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed relied upon fair value measurements based in part on Level 3 inputs which are further described below.

Property, plant and equipment

Real property: The cost approach was utilized to determine fair value for real property. This valuation technique considers the current cost of reproducing the property, less estimated depreciation plus the market value of the land. The income approach was not utilized since the property was not an income producing property. The sales comparison approach was considered and comparable sales were obtained to ensure the ascribed value was appropriate.

The reproduction / replacement cost is derived from various building cost manuals as well as a knowledge of actual construction costs by an independent third party appraiser.

The estimated depreciation is determined by the following elements:

Physical Depreciation: The adverse effect on value caused by deterioration or impairment of condition as a result of wear and tear and disintegration;

Functional Obsolescence: The adverse effect on value resulting from defects in design or other factors that impair utility;

Economic Obsolescence: Created outside of the property by specific detrimental economic influences or from the real estate market's lack of recognition for this type of property.

Market value of land reflects the purchase price of similar parcels of vacant land, and after adjustments to the subject land, the common price level provides a useful indicator of market value.

Personal property: The cost approach was utilized to determine fair value for tangible property. Similar to the utilization in the real property approach noted above, this approach considers the current replacement cost of the property and then deducts for the loss in value caused by physical deterioration, functional obsolescence and economic obsolescence. The replacement cost is derived by referencing prices for similar or comparable equipment in cost manuals, the internet, in market publications, as well as, reaching out to manufacturers of major equipment items for confirmation on current costs. In estimating the fair values, declining balance curves in the rate of depreciation are differentiated based on the type of property being valued. These curves emphasize the typical relationship between the used market and original costs for the various types of property considering their components and functions.

Intangible assets

The fair value measurements for intangible assets were calculated using discounted cash flow analyses which rely upon significant unobservable Level 3 inputs which included the following:

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

| Unobservable input | Range |
|------------------------------|-------------|
| Discount rate (WACC) | 10.9% 13.2% |
| Risk premium | 1.0% 3.0% |
| Royalty rate | 0.5% 1.0% |
| Future attributable revenues | 70% 100% |

Table of Contents

The Company also applied fair value principles during the goodwill impairment tests performed during 2012, 2011, and 2010. Step one of the goodwill impairment test consisted of determining a fair value for each of the Company's reporting units. The fair value for the Company's reporting units cannot be determined using readily available quoted Level 1 or Level 2 inputs that are observable or available from active markets. Therefore, the Company used two valuation models to estimate the fair values of its reporting units, both of which use Level 3 inputs. To estimate the fair values of reporting units, the Company uses significant estimates and judgmental factors. The key estimates and factors used in the valuation models include revenue growth rates and profit margins based on internal forecasts, terminal value, WACC, and earnings multiples. As a result of the goodwill impairment test performed during 2012 and 2010, the Company recognized goodwill impairment charges. See Note 5 of the consolidated financial statements for the results of the Company's goodwill impairment tests.

During 2012 and 2010, the Company also recognized impairments to intangible assets. The impairment charges were calculated by determining the fair value of these assets. The fair value measurements were calculated using discounted cash flow analyses which rely upon unobservable inputs classified as Level 3 inputs. See Note 5 of the consolidated financial statements for more disclosure regarding the impairment of intangible assets.

The Company also recognized the impairment of certain property, plant, and equipment during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010. The impairment charges were calculated by determining the fair value of the property, plant, and equipment using unobservable inputs which primarily include market data for transactions involving similar assets. These inputs are classified as Level 3 inputs. See Note 14 of the consolidated financial statements for more disclosure regarding the impairment of certain property, plant, and equipment.

The Company's financial instruments primarily consist of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, notes receivable, accounts payable, and long-term debt. The carrying values for our financial instruments approximate fair value with the exception, at times, of long-term debt. At December 31, 2012, the fair value of outstanding debt was \$210,466,000 compared to its carrying value of \$207,803,000. The fair value of the Company's Senior Subordinated 8% Notes was estimated based on quoted market prices, a Level 1 input.

13. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

On March 10, 2011, the Company sold the stock of the United Steel Products business (USP) for cash proceeds of \$59,029,000 including a working capital adjustment. The divestiture of USP allowed the Company to allocate capital resources to businesses with strong market leadership positions and growth potential. The Company recognized a pre-tax gain of \$14,022,000 from the transaction.

On February 1, 2010, the Company sold the majority of the assets of the Processed Metal Products business. The assets were sold for \$29,164,000 including a working capital adjustment. This transaction finalized the Company's exit from the steel processing business and established the Company solely as a manufacturer and distributor of products for building and industrial markets. The Company incurred a pre-tax loss of \$30,225,000 from the transaction. The Company did not sell certain real estate held by the Processed Metal Products business and the receivables generated from the operation of the business prior to its sale. Subsequent to February 1, 2010, the Company sold the real estate and collected these receivables net of uncollectible amounts. The transactions to dispose of the remaining assets of this business were completed during the year ended December 31, 2011 which resulted in the recognition of additional gains and losses classified in discontinued operations.

In October 2008, the Company sold the stock of its SCM Metal Products subsidiaries (SCM), a copper powdered metal business. The purchase price was payable by delivery of a promissory note in the principal amount of \$8,500,000 and cash. Interest was payable on the promissory note quarterly at interest rates that increase over time from 8% to 12% per annum. During 2011, the principal portion of the promissory note was repaid in full along with all interest due under the terms of the note.

During 2007, the Company committed to a plan to dispose of the assets of its bath cabinet manufacturing business. Certain assets of this business were not disposed of until 2010. Accordingly, the Company incurred costs related to these assets during the year ended December 31, 2010.

The results of operations and financial position of USP, the Processed Metal Products, SCM, and the bath cabinet manufacturing businesses have been classified as discontinued operations in the consolidated financial statements for all periods presented. The Company allocates interest to its discontinued operations in accordance with FASB ASC Subtopic 205-20, Presentation of Financial Statements - Discontinued Operations. Interest was allocated based on the amount of net assets held by the discontinued operation in comparison to consolidated net assets.

Table of Contents

For certain transactions, the Company has agreed to indemnify the buyer for various liabilities that may arise after the disposal date, subject to limits of time and amount. The Company is a party to certain claims made under these indemnification provisions. For the year ended December 31, 2012, management recorded a contingent liability for environmental remediation related to a discontinued operation. Management does not believe that the outcome of this claim, or other claims, would significantly affect the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

Components of the income (loss) from discontinued operations before taxes, including the interest allocated to discontinued operations, for the years ended December 31 were as follows (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Net sales | \$ | \$ 9,057 | \$ 64,189 |
| Operating expenses | (289) | (8,977) | (59,435) |
| Gain (loss) on sale of business | | 14,022 | (30,225) |
| Interest expense allocation | | (262) | (1,654) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations before taxes | \$ (289) | \$ 13,840 | \$ (27,125) |

14. EXIT ACTIVITY COSTS AND ASSET IMPAIRMENTS

The Company focuses on being the low-cost provider of its products by reducing operating costs and implementing lean manufacturing initiatives, which have in part led to the consolidation of facilities and product lines. The Company consolidated two, three, and six facilities during 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively, in this effort.

During this process, the Company has incurred exit activity costs, including contract termination costs, severance costs, and other moving and closing costs. The Company has not specifically identified any other facilities to close or consolidate and, therefore, does not expect to incur any material exit activity costs for future restructuring activities. However, if future opportunities for cost savings are identified, other facility consolidations and closings will be considered.

The Company incurred asset impairment charges primarily due to the impairment of a facility in 2012 related to the restructuring activities noted above. The fair values of the impaired assets were determined using a market approach relying upon significant assumptions primarily associated with sales data of assets having similar utility. The following table sets forth the asset impairment charges incurred during the years ended December 31 related to the restructuring activities described above (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Asset impairment charges related to restructuring activities | \$ 2,073 | \$ 1,642 | \$ 4,299 |

The following table provides a summary of where the exit activity costs and asset impairments are recorded in the consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Cost of sales | \$ 3,742 | \$ 3,916 | \$ 6,361 |
| Selling, general, and administrative expense | 263 | 581 | 724 |
| Total exit activity and costs and asset impairments | \$ 4,005 | \$ 4,497 | \$ 7,085 |

The following table reconciles the beginning and ending liability for exit activity costs relating to the Company's facility consolidation efforts (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|--------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Balance as of January 1 | \$ 2,315 | \$ 2,069 |
| Exit activity costs recognized | 1,932 | 2,855 |
| Cash payments | (2,924) | (2,609) |
| Balance as of December 31 | \$ 1,323 | \$ 2,315 |

15. INCOME TAXES

The components of income (loss) before taxes from continuing operations consisted of the following for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| Domestic | \$ 18,468 | \$ 13,403 | \$ (93,285) |
| Foreign | 3,699 | 3,482 | 1,006 |
| Income (loss) before taxes from continuing operations | \$ 22,167 | \$ 16,885 | \$ (92,279) |

Table of Contents

The provision for (benefit of) income taxes from continuing operations for the years ended December 31 consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Current: | | | |
| U.S. Federal | \$ 5,780 | \$ 139 | \$ (6,955) |
| State | 1,483 | 920 | 285 |
| Foreign | 1,260 | 1,582 | 376 |
| Total current | 8,523 | 2,641 | (6,294) |
| Deferred: | | | |
| U.S. Federal | 844 | 4,998 | (10,847) |
| State | 489 | 783 | 482 |
| Foreign | (339) | (753) | (264) |
| Total deferred | 994 | 5,028 | (10,629) |
| Provision for (benefit of) income taxes | \$ 9,517 | \$ 7,669 | \$ (16,923) |

The provision for (benefit of) income taxes from discontinued operations for the years ended December 31 consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Current: | | | |
| U.S. Federal | \$ (98) | \$ 5,643 | \$ (542) |
| State | (186) | 622 | 61 |
| Foreign | | 67 | 254 |
| Total current | (284) | 6,332 | (227) |
| Deferred: | | | |
| U.S. Federal | | 166 | (10,192) |
| State | | 35 | (972) |
| Foreign | | | (22) |
| Total deferred | | 201 | (11,186) |
| Provision for (benefit of) income taxes | \$ (284) | \$ 6,533 | \$ (11,413) |

The provision for (benefit of) income taxes from continuing operations differs from the federal statutory rate of 35% for the years December 31 due to the following (in thousands):

| | 2012 | | 2011 | | 2010 | |
|----------------------------------|----------|-------|----------|-------|-------------|--------|
| Statutory rate | \$ 7,758 | 35.0% | \$ 5,910 | 35.0% | \$ (32,298) | 35.0% |
| Intangible asset impairment | 1,514 | 6.8% | | 0.0% | 14,560 | -15.8% |
| State taxes, less federal effect | 1,282 | 5.8% | 1,107 | 6.6% | 499 | -0.5% |
| Non-deductible expenses | 284 | 1.3% | 1,443 | 8.5% | 984 | -1.1% |
| Foreign rate differential | (335) | -1.5% | (344) | -2.0% | (68) | 0.1% |
| Uncertain tax positions | (872) | -3.9% | (228) | -1.4% | 305 | -0.3% |
| Other | (114) | -0.6% | (219) | -1.3% | (905) | 0.9% |

| | | | | | |
|----------|-------|----------|-------|-------------|-------|
| \$ 9,517 | 42.9% | \$ 7,669 | 45.4% | \$ (16,923) | 18.3% |
|----------|-------|----------|-------|-------------|-------|

Table of Contents

Deferred tax liabilities (assets) at December 31 consist of the following (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| Depreciation | \$ 20,116 | \$ 22,782 |
| Goodwill | 28,130 | 21,686 |
| Intangible assets | 22,587 | 24,111 |
| Other | 1,680 | 2,046 |
| Gross deferred tax liabilities | 72,513 | 70,625 |
| Equity compensation | (9,897) | (8,526) |
| Other | (15,975) | (16,315) |
| Gross deferred tax assets | (25,872) | (24,841) |
| Valuation allowances | 2,574 | 2,613 |
| Deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowances | (23,298) | (22,228) |
| Net deferred tax liabilities | \$ 49,215 | \$ 48,397 |

Net current deferred tax assets of \$7,853,000 and \$7,404,000 are included in other current assets in the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Deferred taxes include net deferred tax assets relating to certain state and foreign tax jurisdictions. A reduction of the carrying amount of deferred tax assets by a valuation allowance is required if it is more likely than not that such assets will not be realized. During 2010, the Company recorded an additional valuation allowance of \$2,400,000 due to the uncertainty of its ability to utilize the deferred tax assets related to one state. The deferred tax assets in that state primarily relate to state net operating losses and intangible assets. The following sets forth a reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of the Company's valuation allowance (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Balance as of January 1 | \$ 2,613 | \$ 2,829 | \$ 1,779 |
| Cost charged to the tax provision | 266 | | 2,436 |
| Reductions | (305) | (216) | (1,386) |
| Balance as of December 31 | \$ 2,574 | \$ 2,613 | \$ 2,829 |

The Company received net refunds (made net payments) for income taxes, for the following amounts for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|------------|----------|----------|
| (Payments made) refunds received for income taxes, net | \$ (8,944) | \$ 2,000 | \$ 6,085 |

Provision has not been made for U.S. taxes on \$32,499,000 of undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries. Those earnings have been and will continue to be indefinitely reinvested. As of December 31, 2012, the Company's foreign operations held \$21,865,000 of cash that provides foreign operations with liquidity to reinvest in working capital and capital expenditures for their operations. Any excess earnings could be used to grow the Company's foreign operations through launches of new capital projects or additional acquisitions. Determination of the amount of unrecognized deferred U.S. income tax liability is not practicable due to the complexities associated with its hypothetical calculation.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|----------|----------|
| Balance as of January 1 | \$ 2,487 | \$ 2,160 |
| Additions for acquisitions | | 557 |
| Additions for tax positions of the current year | 68 | 218 |
| Additions for tax positions of prior years | 67 | 34 |
| Reductions for tax positions of prior years for: | | |
| Settlements and changes in judgment | (557) | (186) |
| Lapses of applicable statute of limitations | (380) | (296) |
| Balance as of December 31 | \$ 1,685 | \$ 2,487 |

Table of Contents

The Company and its U.S. subsidiaries file a U.S. federal consolidated income tax return. Foreign and U.S. state jurisdictions have statute of limitations generally ranging from four to six years. Currently, the Company does not have any returns under examination in U.S. state jurisdictions.

All unrecognized tax benefits would affect the effective tax rate, if recognized as of December 31, 2012 and 2011. The Company classifies accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. Interest (net of federal tax benefit) and penalties recognized during the years ended December 31 were (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|---------|-------|--------|
| Interest and penalties recognized as (income) expense | \$ (48) | \$ 10 | \$ 115 |

At December 31, 2012, the Company had net operating loss carry forwards for federal, state, and foreign income tax purposes totaling \$43,456,000 which will expire between 2013 and 2032. The Company recognized \$2,703,000 of deferred tax assets, net of the federal tax benefit, related to these net operating losses prior to any valuation allowances.

16. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted earnings per share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, as well as dilutive potential common shares which, in the Company's case, include shares issuable under the equity compensation plans described in Note 12 of the consolidated financial statements. The weighted average number of shares and conversions utilized in the calculation of diluted earnings per share does not include potential anti-dilutive common shares aggregating 973,000, 993,000, and 1,041,000 at December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. The treasury stock method is used to calculate dilutive shares, which reduces the gross number of dilutive shares by the number of shares purchasable from the proceeds of the options assumed to be exercised and the unrecognized expense related to the restricted stock and restricted stock awards assumed to have vested.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|---------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Numerator: | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 12,650 | \$ 9,216 | \$ (75,356) |
| (Loss) income from discontinued operations | (5) | 7,307 | (15,712) |
| Net income (loss) available to common shareholders | \$ 12,645 | \$ 16,523 | \$ (91,068) |
| Denominator for basic earnings per share: | | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 30,752 | 30,507 | 30,303 |
| Denominator for diluted earnings per share: | | | |
| Common stock options and restricted stock | 105 | 143 | |
| Weighted average shares and conversions | 30,857 | 30,650 | 30,303 |

For the year ended December 31, 2010, all stock options, unvested restricted stock, and unvested restricted stock units were anti-dilutive and, therefore, not included in the dilutive loss per share calculation. The number of weighted average stock options, unvested restricted stock, and unvested restricted stock units that were not included in the dilutive loss per share calculation because the effect would have been anti-dilutive was 158,000 shares for the year ended December 31, 2010.

17. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company leases certain facilities and equipment under operating leases. As leases expire, it can be expected that, in the normal course of business, certain leases will be renewed or replaced. Certain lease agreements include escalating rent payments over the lease terms. The Company expenses rent on a straight-line basis over the lease term which commences on the date the Company has the right to control the property. Rent expense under operating leases for the years ended December 31 aggregated (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Rent expense | \$ 11,178 | \$ 10,675 | \$ 10,299 |

Table of Contents

Future minimum lease payments under these non-cancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2012 are as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|------------|-----------|
| 2013 | \$ 11,031 |
| 2014 | \$ 8,477 |
| 2015 | \$ 5,215 |
| 2016 | \$ 3,434 |
| 2017 | \$ 2,827 |
| Thereafter | \$ 4,237 |

The Company offers various product warranties to its customers concerning the quality of its products and services. Based upon the short duration of warranty periods and favorable historical warranty experience, the Company determined that a significant warranty accrual at December 31, 2012 and 2011 was not required.

The Company is a party to certain claims and legal actions generally incidental to its business. Management does not believe that the outcome of these actions, which are not clearly determinable at the present time, would significantly affect the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

18. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

A member of the Company's Board of Directors, Gerald S. Lippes is a partner in a law firm that provides legal services to the Company. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company had \$530,000 and \$277,000 recorded in accounts payable for amounts due to this law firm, respectively. For the years ended December 31, the Company incurred the following costs for legal services from this firm, including services provided in connection with the sale of businesses and recognized as a component of discontinued operations as well as deferred debt issuance costs (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|----------|----------|--------|
| Selling, general, and administrative expense | \$ 1,511 | \$ 1,538 | \$ 788 |
| Discontinued operations | 12 | 183 | 154 |
| Capitalized as deferred financing costs | | 66 | |
| Total related-party legal costs | \$ 1,523 | \$ 1,787 | \$ 942 |

Costs incurred from this law firm increased in 2012 and 2011 as compared to 2010 as a result of legal services provided for the acquisitions completed in 2012 and 2011.

Another member of the Company's Board of Directors, Robert E. Sadler, Jr., is a member of the Board of Directors of M&T Bank Corporation, one of the ten participating lenders which have committed capital under the Company's Senior Credit Agreement. As of December 31, 2012, the Senior Credit Agreement provided the Company with a revolving credit facility with availability up to \$200 million. See Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements for the terms of the Senior Credit Agreement and the amounts outstanding on the revolving credit facility as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

19. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company determined that it has one reportable segment for external reporting purposes. The Company is organized in five groups that process steel, aluminum, resins, and other materials to produce a wide variety of construction products for use in the building and industrial markets. These groups have been aggregated into one operating segment on the basis that they have similar economic and operating characteristics and because management makes operating decisions and assesses performance on a consolidated basis.

Table of Contents

The Company conducted operations in foreign countries including Canada, England, Germany, and Poland during the three year period ended December 31, 2012. Certain information about the Company's foreign operations is disclosed in aggregate as the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries within Note 21 of the consolidated financial statements. Net sales by region of origin and long-lived assets by region of domicile for the years ended and as of December 31 were as follows (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Net sales: | | | |
| North America | \$ 741,675 | \$ 708,917 | \$ 585,549 |
| Europe | 48,383 | 57,690 | 51,905 |
| Total | \$ 790,058 | \$ 766,607 | \$ 637,454 |
| Long-lived assets: | | | |
| North America | \$ 582,914 | \$ 569,038 | \$ 531,740 |
| Europe | 33,522 | 34,163 | 36,773 |
| Total | \$ 616,436 | \$ 603,201 | \$ 568,513 |

20. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On January 31, 2013, the Company issued \$210.0 million of 6.25% Senior Subordinated Notes (6.25% Notes) due February 1, 2021. In connection with the issuance of the 6.25% Notes, the Company initiated a tender offer for the purchase of the outstanding 8% Notes. Simultaneously with the closing of the sale of the 6.25% Notes, the Company purchased tendered notes or called for redemption all of the remaining 2015 Notes that were not purchased. In connection with the redemption, the Company satisfied and discharged its obligations under the 2015 Notes.

The provisions of the 6.25% Notes include, without limitation, restrictions on indebtedness, liens, and distributions from restricted subsidiaries, asset sales, affiliate transactions, dividends, and other restricted payments. Dividend payments are subject to annual limits of the greater of \$0.25 per share and \$10 or \$25 million. The 6.25% Notes are redeemable at the option of the Company, in whole or in part, at any time on or after February 1, 2017, at the redemption price (as defined in the Senior Subordinated 6.25% Notes Indenture). The redemption prices will be 103.12513%, and 101.56356% of the principal amount thereof if the redemption occurs during the 12-month periods beginning February 1, of the years 2017 and 2018, respectively, and 100% of the principal amount thereof on and after February 1, 2019, in each case plus accrued and unpaid interest to the applicable redemption date. In addition, prior to February 1, 2016, the Company may redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the Notes with the net cash proceeds of certain equity offerings by the Company at a redemption price of 106.25% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date. In which the event of a Change in Control (as defined in the Senior Subordinated 6.25% Notes Indenture), each holder of the 6.25% Notes require the Company to repurchase all or a portion of such holder's 6.25% Notes at a purchase price equal to 101% of the principal amount thereof.

On January 16, 2013 the Company initiated a tender offer to purchase for cash any and all of its \$204 million in aggregate principal amount of outstanding 8% notes due 2015 (8% Notes). On January 31, 2013, the Company accepted tenders for approximately \$143,110,000 in aggregate principal amount of outstanding 8% Notes in connection with the early acceptance date of the tender offer. The holders of the accepted notes received total consideration of \$1,017.08 per \$1,000 principal amount of notes tendered, which included a \$10.00 consent payment per \$1,000 principal amount of notes tendered. The total cash payment to purchase the tendered 2015 Notes, including accrued and unpaid interest, was approximately \$147,462,000, which the Company obtained from the closing of the private offering of the Notes described above.

On January 31, 2013, the Company called for redemption, the remaining \$60,890,000 principal amount of 2015 Notes that were not purchased on the early acceptance date of the tender offer for the 2015 Notes. The redemption date for the remaining 2015 Notes will be March 4, 2013 (the Redemption Date). The 2015 Notes will be redeemed at a redemption price of 101.333% of the principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest, in accordance with the provisions of the 2015 Notes Indenture. In connection with the redemption, the Company satisfied and discharged its obligations under the 2015 Notes Indenture in accordance with the satisfaction and discharge provisions of the 2015 Notes Indenture as of January 31, 2013 by depositing with the Trustee sufficient funds to pay the redemption price, plus accrued and unpaid interest on the remaining outstanding 2015 Notes.

Table of Contents

In connection with the tender and redemption described above, the Company expects to record a charge of approximately \$7.2 million in the first quarter of 2013, including \$3.7 million for the prepayment premium we will pay to holders of the 8% Notes, \$2.2 million to write-off deferred financing fees and \$1.3 million for the unamortized original issue discount related to the 8% Notes.

21. SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following information sets forth the consolidating summary financial statements of the issuer (Gibraltar Industries, Inc.) and guarantors, which guarantee the Senior Subordinated 8% Notes due December 1, 2015, and the non-guarantors. The guarantors are 100% owned subsidiaries of the issuer and the guarantees are full, unconditional, joint and several.

Investments in subsidiaries are accounted for by the parent using the equity method of accounting. The guarantor subsidiaries and non-guarantor subsidiaries are presented on a combined basis. The principal elimination entries eliminate investments in subsidiaries and intercompany balances and transactions.

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.

CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012

(in thousands)

| | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Total |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| Net sales | \$ | \$ 712,279 | \$ 98,304 | \$ (20,525) | \$ 790,058 |
| Cost of sales | | 574,647 | 85,407 | (19,556) | 640,498 |
| Gross profit | | 137,632 | 12,897 | (969) | 149,560 |
| Selling, general, and administrative expense | 23 | 96,499 | 8,149 | | 104,671 |
| Intangible asset impairment | | 4,628 | | | 4,628 |
| (Loss) income from operations | (23) | 36,505 | 4,748 | (969) | 40,261 |
| Interest expense (income) | 17,422 | 1,285 | (125) | | 18,582 |
| Other income | | (480) | (8) | | (488) |
| (Loss) income before taxes | (17,445) | 35,700 | 4,881 | (969) | 22,167 |
| (Benefit of) provision for income taxes | (6,524) | 15,120 | 921 | | 9,517 |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations | (10,921) | 20,580 | 3,960 | (969) | 12,650 |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | | |
| Loss before taxes | | (289) | | | (289) |
| Benefit of income taxes | | (284) | | | (284) |
| Loss from discontinued operations | | (5) | | | (5) |
| Equity in earnings from subsidiaries | 24,535 | 3,960 | | (28,495) | |
| Net income | \$ 13,614 | \$ 24,535 | \$ 3,960 | \$ (29,464) | \$ 12,645 |

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.

CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

(in thousands)

| | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Total |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| Net sales | \$ | \$ 683,614 | \$ 104,077 | \$ (21,084) | \$ 766,607 |
| Cost of sales | | 551,641 | 89,676 | (19,825) | 621,492 |
| Gross profit | | 131,973 | 14,401 | (1,259) | 145,115 |
| Selling, general, and administrative expense | 75 | 99,090 | 9,792 | | 108,957 |
| (Loss) income from operations | (75) | 32,883 | 4,609 | (1,259) | 36,158 |
| Interest expense (income) | 16,874 | 2,569 | (80) | | 19,363 |
| Other income | | (90) | | | (90) |
| (Loss) income before taxes | (16,949) | 30,404 | 4,689 | (1,259) | 16,885 |
| (Benefit of) provision for income taxes | (6,339) | 13,180 | 828 | | 7,669 |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations | (10,610) | 17,224 | 3,861 | (1,259) | 9,216 |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | | |
| Income before taxes | | 13,621 | 219 | | 13,840 |
| Provision for income taxes | | 6,432 | 101 | | 6,533 |
| Income from discontinued operations | | 7,189 | 118 | | 7,307 |
| Equity in earnings from subsidiaries | 28,392 | 3,979 | | (32,371) | |
| Net income | \$ 17,782 | \$ 28,392 | \$ 3,979 | \$ (33,630) | \$ 16,523 |

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.

CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

(in thousands)

| | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Total |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Net sales | \$ | \$ 564,312 | \$ 91,721 | \$ (18,579) | \$ 637,454 |
| Cost of sales | | 471,280 | 80,712 | (18,406) | 533,586 |
| Gross profit | | 93,032 | 11,009 | (173) | 103,868 |
| Selling, general, and administrative expense | 331 | 90,433 | 8,782 | | 99,546 |
| Intangible asset impairment | | 76,964 | | | 76,964 |
| (Loss) income from operations | (331) | (74,365) | 2,227 | (173) | (72,642) |
| Interest expense (income) | 16,111 | 3,615 | (12) | | 19,714 |
| Other income | | (50) | (27) | | (77) |
| (Loss) income before taxes | (16,442) | (77,930) | 2,266 | (173) | (92,279) |
| (Benefit of) provision for income taxes | (6,592) | (10,642) | 311 | | (16,923) |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations | (9,850) | (67,288) | 1,955 | (173) | (75,356) |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | | |
| (Loss) income before taxes | | (27,912) | 787 | | (27,125) |
| (Benefit of) provision for income taxes | | (11,646) | 233 | | (11,413) |
| (Loss) income from discontinued operations | | (16,266) | 554 | | (15,712) |
| Equity in earnings from subsidiaries | (81,045) | 2,509 | | 78,536 | |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (90,895) | \$ (81,045) | \$ 2,509 | \$ 78,363 | \$ (91,068) |

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012

(in thousands)

| | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Total |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| Net income | \$ 13,614 | \$ 24,535 | \$ 3,960 | \$ (29,464) | \$ 12,645 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income: | | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | | 2,353 | | 2,353 |
| Adjustment to retirement benefit liability, net of tax | | (79) | | | (79) |
| Adjustment to post-retirement healthcare benefit liability, net of tax | | (499) | | | (499) |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income | | (578) | 2,353 | | 1,775 |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ 13,614 | \$ 23,957 | \$ 6,313 | \$ (29,464) | \$ 14,420 |

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

(in thousands)

| | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Total |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| Net income | \$ 17,782 | \$ 28,392 | \$ 3,979 | \$ (33,630) | \$ 16,523 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income: | | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | | (1,232) | | (1,232) |
| Adjustment to retirement benefit liability, net of tax | | (187) | | | (187) |
| Adjustment to post-retirement healthcare benefit liability, net of tax | | 129 | | | 129 |
| Other comprehensive loss | | (58) | (1,232) | | (1,290) |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ 17,782 | \$ 28,334 | \$ 2,747 | \$ (33,630) | \$ 15,233 |

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

(in thousands)

| | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Total |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Net (loss) income | \$ (90,895) | \$ (81,045) | \$ 2,509 | \$ 78,363 | \$ (91,068) |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income: | | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | | (591) | | (591) |
| Adjustment to retirement benefit liability, net of tax | | 277 | | | 277 |
| Adjustment to post-retirement healthcare benefit liability, net of tax | | (722) | | | (722) |
| Reclassification of unrealized loss on interest rate swap, net of tax | | 1,206 | | | 1,206 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | | 761 | (591) | | 170 |
| Total comprehensive (loss) income | \$ (90,895) | \$ (80,284) | \$ 1,918 | \$ 78,363 | \$ (90,898) |

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.

CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

(in thousands)

| | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Total |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| Assets | | | | | |
| Current assets: | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | \$ 26,163 | \$ 21,865 | \$ | \$ 48,028 |
| Accounts receivable, net | | 78,565 | 10,908 | | 89,473 |
| Intercompany balances | (16,349) | 37,397 | (21,048) | | |
| Inventories | | 107,137 | 9,220 | | 116,357 |
| Other current assets | 6,524 | 5,815 | 1,041 | | 13,380 |
| Total current assets | (9,825) | 255,077 | 21,986 | | 267,238 |
| Property, plant, and equipment, net | | 140,394 | 11,219 | | 151,613 |
| Goodwill | | 331,404 | 28,459 | | 359,863 |
| Acquired intangibles | | 90,311 | 8,448 | | 98,759 |
| Other assets | 2,259 | 3,941 | 1 | | 6,201 |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 688,450 | 56,716 | | (745,166) | |
| | \$ 680,884 | \$ 877,843 | \$ 70,113 | \$ (745,166) | \$ 883,674 |
| Liabilities and Shareholders Equity | | | | | |
| Current liabilities: | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ | \$ 61,841 | \$ 7,219 | \$ | \$ 69,060 |
| Accrued expenses | 1,360 | 43,843 | 2,229 | | 47,432 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | | 1,093 | | | 1,093 |
| Total current liabilities | 1,360 | 106,777 | 9,448 | | 117,585 |
| Long-term debt | 202,702 | 4,008 | | | 206,710 |
| Deferred income taxes | | 53,639 | 3,429 | | 57,068 |
| Other non-current liabilities | | 24,969 | 520 | | 25,489 |
| Shareholders equity | 476,822 | 688,450 | 56,716 | (745,166) | 476,822 |
| | \$ 680,884 | \$ 877,843 | \$ 70,113 | \$ (745,166) | \$ 883,674 |

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.

CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS

DECEMBER 31, 2011

(in thousands)

| | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Total |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| Assets | | | | | |
| Current assets: | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | \$ 34,691 | \$ 19,426 | \$ | \$ 54,117 |
| Accounts receivable, net | | 77,395 | 13,200 | | 90,595 |
| Intercompany balances | 16,762 | 4,811 | (21,573) | | |
| Inventories | | 101,637 | 7,633 | | 109,270 |
| Other current assets | 6,339 | 7,676 | 857 | | 14,872 |
| Total current assets | 23,101 | 226,210 | 19,543 | | 268,854 |
| Property, plant, and equipment, net | | 140,343 | 11,631 | | 151,974 |
| Goodwill | | 320,771 | 27,555 | | 348,326 |
| Acquired intangibles | | 86,160 | 9,105 | | 95,265 |
| Other assets | 2,890 | 4,745 | 1 | | 7,636 |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 637,628 | 52,173 | | (689,801) | |
| | \$ 663,619 | \$ 830,402 | \$ 67,835 | \$ (689,801) | \$ 872,055 |
| Liabilities and Shareholders Equity | | | | | |
| Current liabilities: | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ | \$ 59,600 | \$ 7,720 | \$ | \$ 67,320 |
| Accrued expenses | 1,360 | 55,670 | 3,657 | | 60,687 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | | 417 | | | 417 |
| Total current liabilities | 1,360 | 115,687 | 11,377 | | 128,424 |
| Long-term debt | 202,323 | 4,423 | | | 206,746 |
| Deferred income taxes | | 52,065 | 3,736 | | 55,801 |
| Other non-current liabilities | | 20,599 | 549 | | 21,148 |
| Shareholders equity | 459,936 | 637,628 | 52,173 | (689,801) | 459,936 |
| | \$ 663,619 | \$ 830,402 | \$ 67,835 | \$ (689,801) | \$ 872,055 |

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

(in thousands)

| | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Total |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities of continuing operations | \$ (16,435) | \$ 58,628 | \$ 8,039 | \$ | \$ 50,232 |
| Net cash (used in) operating activities of discontinued operations | | (151) | | | (151) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (16,435) | 58,477 | 8,039 | | 50,081 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities | | | | | |
| Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash acquired | | (42,366) | (2,705) | | (45,071) |
| Purchases of property, plant, and equipment | | (9,868) | (1,483) | | (11,351) |
| Net proceeds from sale of property and equipment | | 311 | 348 | | 659 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | | (51,923) | (3,840) | | (55,763) |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities | | | | | |
| Long-term debt payments | | (473) | | | (473) |
| Payment of deferred financing fees | | (18) | | | (18) |
| Purchase of treasury stock at market prices | (970) | | | | (970) |
| Intercompany financing | 17,116 | (14,590) | (2,526) | | |
| Tax benefit from equity compensation | 11 | (1) | | | 10 |
| Net proceeds from issuance of common stock | 278 | | | | 278 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 16,435 | (15,082) | (2,526) | | (1,173) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | | | 766 | | 766 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | | (8,528) | 2,439 | | (6,089) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | | 34,691 | 19,426 | | 54,117 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ | \$ 26,163 | \$ 21,865 | \$ | \$ 48,028 |

Table of Contents

GIBALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

DECEMBER 31, 2011

(in thousands)

| | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Total |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities of continuing operations | \$ (15,876) | \$ 56,841 | \$ 8,863 | \$ | \$ 49,828 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities of discontinued operations | | (3,181) | 48 | | (3,133) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (15,876) | 53,660 | 8,911 | | 46,695 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities | | | | | |
| Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash acquired | | (109,248) | | | (109,248) |
| Purchases of property, plant, and equipment | | (11,033) | (519) | | (11,552) |
| Purchase of equity method investment | | (250) | | | (250) |
| Net proceeds from sale of property and equipment | | 1,085 | 141 | | 1,226 |
| Net proceeds from sale of business | | 67,529 | | | 67,529 |
| Net cash used in investing activities of continuing operations | | (51,917) | (378) | | (52,295) |
| Net cash provided by investing activities of discontinued operations | | 2,089 | | | 2,089 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | | (49,828) | (378) | | (50,206) |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities | | | | | |
| Long-term debt payments | | (74,262) | | | (74,262) |
| Proceeds from long-term debt | | 73,849 | | | 73,849 |
| Payment of deferred financing fees | | (1,570) | | | (1,570) |
| Purchase of treasury stock at market prices | | (826) | | | (826) |
| Intercompany financing | 16,668 | (13,507) | (3,161) | | |
| Net proceeds from issuance of common stock | 34 | | | | 34 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 15,876 | (15,490) | (3,161) | | (2,775) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | | | (463) | | (463) |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | | (11,658) | 4,909 | | (6,749) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | | 46,349 | 14,517 | | 60,866 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ | \$ 34,691 | \$ 19,426 | \$ | \$ 54,117 |

Table of Contents

GIBALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

DECEMBER 31, 2010

(in thousands)

| | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Total |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities of continuing operations | \$ (15,397) | \$ 57,167 | \$ 5,421 | \$ | \$ 47,191 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities of discontinued operations | | 21,466 | 712 | | 22,178 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (15,397) | 78,633 | 6,133 | | 69,369 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities | | | | | |
| Net proceeds from sale of business | | 29,164 | | | 29,164 |
| Net proceeds from sale of property and equipment | | 217 | 4 | | 221 |
| Purchase of equity method investment | | (1,250) | | | (1,250) |
| Purchases of property, plant, and equipment | | (7,694) | (668) | | (8,362) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities of continuing operations | | 20,437 | (664) | | 19,773 |
| Net cash used in investing activities of discontinued operations | | (384) | | | (384) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | | 20,053 | (664) | | 19,389 |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities | | | | | |
| Long-term debt payments | | (58,967) | | | (58,967) |
| Proceeds from long-term debt | | 8,559 | | | 8,559 |
| Purchase of treasury stock at market prices | (1,114) | | | | (1,114) |
| Payment of deferred financing fees | | (164) | | | (164) |
| Intercompany financing | 16,187 | (11,870) | (4,317) | | |
| Excess tax benefit from stock compensation | 54 | | | | 54 |
| Net proceeds from issuance of common stock | 270 | | | | 270 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 15,397 | (62,442) | (4,317) | | (51,362) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | | | (126) | | (126) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | | 36,244 | 1,026 | | 37,270 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | | 10,105 | 13,491 | | 23,596 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ | \$ 46,349 | \$ 14,517 | \$ | \$ 60,866 |

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.

QUARTERLY UNAUDITED FINANCIAL DATA

(in thousands, except per share data)

| | 2012 Quarters Ended | | | | Total |
|---|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | March 31 | June 30 | Sept. 30 | Dec. 31 | |
| Net sales | \$ 192,171 | \$ 219,734 | \$ 205,514 | \$ 172,639 | \$ 790,058 |
| Gross profit | \$ 35,481 | \$ 41,726 | \$ 40,228 | \$ 32,125 | \$ 149,560 |
| Income from operations | \$ 7,023 | \$ 16,293 | \$ 15,749 | \$ 1,196 | \$ 40,261 |
| Interest expense | \$ 4,674 | \$ 4,627 | \$ 4,688 | \$ 4,593 | \$ 18,582 |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 1,449 | \$ 7,915 | \$ 7,022 | \$ (3,736) | \$ 12,650 |
| (Loss) income from discontinued operations | \$ (87) | \$ (9) | \$ 279 | \$ (188) | \$ (5) |
| Net income | \$ 1,362 | \$ 7,906 | \$ 7,301 | \$ (3,924) | \$ 12,645 |
| Income (loss) per share from continuing operations: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.05 | \$ 0.26 | \$ 0.23 | \$ (0.12) | \$ 0.41 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.05 | \$ 0.26 | \$ 0.23 | \$ (0.12) | \$ 0.41 |
| (Loss) income per share from discontinued operations: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ (0.01) | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.01 | \$ (0.01) | \$ 0.00 |
| Diluted | \$ (0.01) | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.01 | \$ (0.01) | \$ 0.00 |
| | 2011 Quarters Ended | | | | Total |
| | March 31 | June 30 | Sept. 30 | Dec. 31 | |
| Net sales | \$ 163,563 | \$ 208,807 | \$ 220,096 | \$ 174,141 | \$ 766,607 |
| Gross profit | \$ 30,045 | \$ 45,428 | \$ 42,963 | \$ 26,679 | \$ 145,115 |
| Income (loss) from operations | \$ 7,222 | \$ 17,390 | \$ 18,361 | \$ (6,815) | \$ 36,158 |
| Interest expense | \$ 4,454 | \$ 4,998 | \$ 4,869 | \$ 5,042 | \$ 19,363 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 1,441 | \$ 7,246 | \$ 7,383 | \$ (6,854) | \$ 9,216 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | \$ 6,968 | \$ 559 | \$ (469) | \$ 249 | \$ 7,307 |
| Net Income (loss) | \$ 8,409 | \$ 7,805 | \$ 6,914 | \$ (6,605) | \$ 16,523 |
| Income (loss) per share from continuing operations: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.05 | \$ 0.24 | \$ 0.24 | \$ (0.22) | \$ 0.30 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.05 | \$ 0.24 | \$ 0.24 | \$ (0.22) | \$ 0.30 |
| Income (loss) per share from discontinued operations: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.02 | \$ (0.01) | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.24 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.22 | \$ 0.01 | \$ (0.01) | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.24 |

Table of Contents

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Not applicable.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains a system of disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). The Company's Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Operating Officer, and Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls as of the end of the period covered in this report. Based upon that evaluation and the definition of disclosure controls and procedures contained in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company's Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Operating Officer, and Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that as of the end of such period the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The management of Gibraltar Industries, Inc. is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for the Company, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer, and Chief Financial Officer, the Company conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on the Company's evaluation, management concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2012.

The Company completed four acquisitions in 2012, three of which were excluded from management's annual report on internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012. The Company acquired selected assets in connection with these three acquisitions. The results of these acquired businesses are included in our 2012 consolidated financial statements and collectively constituted \$46.8 million and \$40.4 million of total and net assets, respectively, as of December 31, 2012 and \$2.0 million and \$(0.1) million of net sales and net income, respectively, for the year then ended.

The effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012 has been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which is included below in this Item 9A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined by Rule 13a-15(f)) that occurred during the three months ended December 31, 2012 that have materially affected the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of Gibraltar Industries, Inc.

We have audited Gibraltar Industries, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Gibraltar Industries, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

As indicated in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, management's assessment of and conclusion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting did not include the internal controls of three businesses that were acquired in 2012, which are included in the 2012 consolidated financial statements of Gibraltar Industries, Inc. and constituted \$46.8 million and \$40.4 million of total and net assets, respectively, as of December 31, 2012 and \$2.0 million and \$(0.1) million of net sales and net loss, respectively, for the year then ended. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting of Gibraltar Industries, Inc. also did not include an evaluation of the internal control over financial reporting of these businesses.

In our opinion, Gibraltar Industries, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on the COSO criteria.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Gibraltar Industries, Inc. as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012 of Gibraltar Industries, Inc. and our report dated February 22, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Buffalo, New York

February 22, 2013

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance

Information regarding directors and executive officers of the Company, as well as the required disclosures with respect to the Company's audit committee financial expert, is incorporated herein by reference to the information included in the Company's 2013 Proxy Statement which will be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the end of the Company's 2012 fiscal year.

The Company has adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Operating Officer, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, and other senior financial officers and executives of the Company. The complete text of this Code of Ethics is available in the corporate governance section of our website at www.gibraltar1.com. The Company does not intend to incorporate the contents of our website into this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Information regarding executive compensation is incorporated herein by reference to the information included in the Company's 2013 Proxy Statement which will be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the end of the Company's 2012 fiscal year.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Information regarding security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management is incorporated herein by reference to the information included in the Company's 2013 Proxy Statement which will be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the end of the Company's 2012 fiscal year.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence

Information regarding certain relationships and related transactions is incorporated herein by reference to the information included in the Company's 2013 Proxy Statement which will be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the end of the Company's 2012 fiscal year.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

Information regarding principal accounting fees and services is incorporated herein by reference to the information included in the Company's 2013 Proxy Statement which will be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the end of the Company's 2012 fiscal year.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

- (a) Documents filed as part of this report:
- (1) The following financial statements are included:
 - (i) Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
 - (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010
 - (iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010
 - (iv) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011
 - (v) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010
 - (vi) Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010
 - (vii) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
 - (2) The following Financial Statement Schedules for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010 are included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K:
 - (i) Quarterly Unaudited Financial Data (included in notes to consolidated financial statements)Schedules other than those listed above are omitted because the conditions requiring their filing do not exist, or because the required information is provided in the consolidated financial statements, including the notes thereto.
 - (3) Exhibits: the index of exhibits to this Annual Report on Form 10-K included herein is set forth on the attached Exhibit Index beginning on page 85.
- (b) Other Information:
Not applicable

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.

By /s/ Brian J. Lipke

Brian J. Lipke

Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Dated : February 22, 2013

In accordance with the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| SIGNATURE | TITLE | DATE |
|--|--|-------------------|
| /s/ Brian J. Lipke Brian J. Lipke | Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer) | February 22, 2013 |
| /s/ Henning N. Kornbrekke Henning N. Kornbrekke | President and Chief Operating Officer | February 22, 2013 |
| /s/ Kenneth W. Smith Kenneth W. Smith | Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (principal financial and accounting officer) | February 22, 2013 |
| /s/ David N. Campbell David N. Campbell | Director | February 22, 2013 |
| /s/ William J. Colombo William J. Colombo | Director | February 22, 2013 |
| /s/ Gerald S. Lippes Gerald S. Lippes | Director | February 22, 2013 |
| /s/ William P. Montague William P. Montague | Director | February 22, 2013 |
| /s/ Arthur A. Russ, Jr. Arthur A. Russ, Jr. | Director | February 22, 2013 |
| /s/ Robert E. Sadler, Jr. Robert E. Sadler, Jr. | Director | February 22, 2013 |

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

| Exhibit | |
|---------|--|
| Number | Exhibit |
| 3.1 | Certificate of Incorporation of registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (Registration No. 333-135908)), as amended by Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation of Gibraltar Industries, Inc. filed May 22, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 22, 2012). |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated By Laws of Gibraltar Industries, Inc. effective August 1, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 3, 2012) |
| 4.1 | Specimen Common Share Certificate (incorporated by reference number to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 33-69304)) |
| 4.2 | Indenture for 8% Notes dated as of December 8, 2005, among the Company, the Guarantors (as defined therein) and the Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 13, 2005), as amended by Supplemental Indenture dated as of January 31, 2013, among the Company, the Guarantors (as defined therein) and the Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 1, 2013). |
| 4.3 | Indenture for 6.25% Notes dated as of January 31, 2013, among the Company, the Guarantors (as defined therein) and the Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 1, 2013). |
| 10.1* | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated as of August 21, 2007 between the Registrant and Brian J. Lipke (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 24, 2007) |
| 10.2* | Employment Agreement dated as of August 21, 2007 between the Registrant and Henning N. Kornbrekke (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 24, 2007) |
| 10.3* | Change in Control Agreement between the Company and Brian J. Lipke dated March 24, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 25, 2011) |
| 10.4* | Change in Control Agreement between the Company and Henning N. Kornbrekke dated March 24, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 25, 2011) |
| 10.5* | Change in Control Agreement between the Company and Kenneth W. Smith (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 24, 2009) |
| 10.6* | Change in Control Agreement between the Company and Timothy J. Heasley (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 24, 2009) |
| 10.7* | Change in Control Agreement between the Company and Paul M. Murray (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 24, 2009) |
| 10.8* | Gibraltar 401(k) Plan Amendment and Restatement Effective October 1, 2004 as amended by the First, Second, and Third Amendments to the Amendment and Restatement Effective October 1, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004) |
| 10.9* | Gibraltar Deferred Compensation Plan Amended and Restated, effective January 1, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 24, 2009) |

Table of Contents

Exhibit

| Number | Exhibit |
|--------|--|
| 10.10* | Amended and Restated Gibraltar Industries, Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 21, 2006), as amended by Equity Incentive Plan, dated May 18, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 21, 2009) |
| 10.11* | Fourth Amendment and Restatement of the Gibraltar Industries, Inc. Management Stock Purchase Plan, dated June 27, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed June 27, 2012) |
| 10.12* | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. Omnibus Code Section 409A Compliance Policy, dated December 30, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 6, 2009) |
| 10.13* | Summary Description of Annual Management Incentive Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 24, 2009) |
| 10.14* | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan Form of Award of Restricted Units (Long Term Incentive) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 25, 2005) |
| 10.15* | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan Form of Award of Non-Qualified Option (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 25, 2005) |
| 10.16* | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan Form of Award of Restricted Stock Units, dated January 5, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 9, 2009) |
| 10.17* | Gibraltar Industries, Inc., 2005 Equity Incentive Plan Form of Award of Performance Units (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed September 23, 2009) |
| 10.18* | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan Form of Award (Retirement) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 9, 2011) |
| 10.19* | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan Form of Award of Performance Units (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 6, 2012) |
| 10.20* | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan Form of Award of Performance Units (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 7, 2013) |
| 10.21* | Letter from Brian J. Lipke dated March 24, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 25, 2011) |

Table of Contents

Exhibit

| Number | Exhibit |
|--------|--|
| 10.22 | Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of January 31, 2013, among the Company, the Guarantors and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC., KeyBanc Capital Markets Inc., HSBC Securities (USA) Inc. and RBS Securities Inc., as initial purchasers of the 6.25% Notes (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 1, 2013) |
| 10.23 | Fourth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated October 11, 2011 among Gibraltar Industries, Inc. and Gibraltar Steel Corporation of New York, as borrowers, the lenders parties thereto, Key Bank National Association, as administrative agent, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as co-syndication agent, Bank of America, N.A., as co-syndication agent, M&T Bank, as co-documentation agent, RBS Citizens, National Association, as co-documentation agent, and HSBC Bank USA, National Association, as co-documentation agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 13, 2011) |
| 10.24 | Stock Purchase Agreement among Gibraltar Steel Corporation of New York, MiTek Industries, Inc., and MiTek Canada, Inc. dated March 10, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 15, 2011) |
| 10.25 | Stock Purchase Agreement among Gibraltar Industries, Inc. and the stockholders of D.S.B. Holding Corp. dated March 10, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 15, 2011) |
| 10.26 | Stock Purchase Agreement By and Between Southeastern Metals Manufacturing Company, Inc. and the stockholders of Pacific Award Metals, Inc. dated June 3, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed June 9, 2011) |
| 21 | Subsidiaries of the Registrant |
| 23.1 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 | Certification of President and Chief Operating Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.3 | Certification of Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.2 | Certification of President and Chief Operating Officer pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |

Table of Contents

Exhibit

| Number | Exhibit |
|---------|---|
| 32.3 | Certification of Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document ** |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document** |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document** |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document ** |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document ** |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document ** |

* Document is a management contract or compensatory plan or agreement.

** Submitted electronically with this Annual Report on Form 10-K.