

BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP

Form 10-Q

December 11, 2012

[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended October 27, 2012

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from            to            .

Commission File Number 333-137916-110

# BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY INVESTMENTS HOLDINGS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**  
(State or Other Jurisdiction of

**20-4663833**  
(I.R.S. Employer

Incorporation or Organization)

Identification No.)

**1830 Route 130 North**

**Burlington, New Jersey**  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

**08016**  
(Zip Code)

**Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code: (609) 387-7800**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.\* Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule g of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer

Non-Accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

As of December 11, 2012, the registrant has 1,000 shares of common stock outstanding, all of which are owned by Burlington Coat Factory Holdings, Inc., the registrant's parent holding company, and are not publicly traded.

\* The Registrant has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, but is not required to file such reports under such sections.



**Table of Contents**

**BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY INVESTMENTS HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

INDEX

	Page
<b><u>Part I - Financial Information</u></b>	
<b><u>Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)</u></b>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of October 27, 2012, January 28, 2012 and October 29, 2011</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss - Nine and Three Months Ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - Nine Months Ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011</u>	5
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
<b><u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u></b>	28
<b><u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u></b>	46
<b><u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u></b>	47
<b><u>Part II - Other Information</u></b>	
<b><u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u></b>	48
<b><u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u></b>	48
<b><u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u></b>	48
<b><u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u></b>	48
<b><u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u></b>	48
<b><u>Item 5. Other Information</u></b>	48
<b><u>Item 6. Exhibits</u></b>	49
<b><u>SIGNATURES</u></b>	50

**Table of Contents****Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY INVESTMENTS HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(Unaudited)****(All amounts in thousands)**

	October 27, 2012	January 28, 2012	October 29, 2011
<b>ASSETS</b>			
<b>Current Assets:</b>			
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 30,169	\$ 35,664	\$ 45,843
Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents	34,800	34,800	34,822
Accounts Receivable, Net of Allowances for Doubtful Accounts	43,638	40,119	41,946
Merchandise Inventories	844,991	682,260	945,719
Deferred Tax Assets	16,283	23,243	25,991
Prepaid and Other Current Assets	46,173	40,062	43,196
Prepaid Income Taxes	31,961	21,319	49,274
Assets Held for Sale	483	521	521
<b>Total Current Assets</b>	<b>1,048,498</b>	<b>877,988</b>	<b>1,187,312</b>
Property and Equipment - Net of Accumulated Depreciation	893,690	865,215	881,442
Tradenames	238,000	238,000	238,000
Favorable Leases - Net of Accumulated Amortization	338,443	359,903	367,493
Goodwill	47,064	47,064	47,064
Other Assets	114,307	112,973	90,258
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 2,680,002</b>	<b>\$ 2,501,143</b>	<b>\$ 2,811,569</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER S DEFICIT</b>			
<b>Current Liabilities:</b>			
Accounts Payable	\$ 678,092	\$ 276,285	\$ 650,152
Other Current Liabilities	252,916	221,343	239,845
Current Maturities of Long Term Debt	5,515	7,659	5,728
<b>Total Current Liabilities</b>	<b>936,523</b>	<b>505,287</b>	<b>895,725</b>
Long Term Debt	1,422,571	1,605,464	1,605,238
Other Liabilities	217,313	224,352	208,404
Deferred Tax Liabilities	254,082	276,985	273,109
Commitments and Contingencies (Notes 3, 4, 10 and 11)			
<b>Stockholder s Deficit:</b>			
Common Stock (Par Value \$0.01; 1,000 Shares Issued and Outstanding)			
Capital in Excess of Par Value	477,298	474,569	472,431
Accumulated Deficit	(627,785)	(585,514)	(643,338)
<b>Total Stockholder s Deficit</b>	<b>(150,487)</b>	<b>(110,945)</b>	<b>(170,907)</b>
<b>Total Liabilities and Stockholder s Deficit</b>	<b>\$ 2,680,002</b>	<b>\$ 2,501,143</b>	<b>\$ 2,811,569</b>

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Table of Contents****BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY INVESTMENTS HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE LOSS****(Unaudited)****(All amounts in thousands)**

	<b>Nine Months Ended</b>		<b>Three Months Ended</b>	
	<b>October 27, 2012</b>	<b>October 29, 2011</b>	<b>October 27, 2012</b>	<b>October 29, 2011</b>
<b>REVENUES:</b>				
Net Sales	\$ 2,814,497	\$ 2,621,094	\$ 967,894	\$ 898,663
Other Revenue	23,051	22,483	7,958	8,140
<b>Total Revenue</b>	<b>2,837,548</b>	<b>2,643,577</b>	<b>975,852</b>	<b>906,803</b>
<b>COSTS AND EXPENSES:</b>				
Cost of Sales	1,757,823	1,625,163	594,389	540,807
Selling and Administrative Expenses	948,432	882,668	335,106	317,134
Restructuring and Separation Costs (Note 4)	2,441	5,621	635	431
Depreciation and Amortization	120,748	113,174	40,844	39,188
Impairment Charges Long-Lived Assets	1,100	34	1,021	
Other Income, Net	(6,330)	(7,015)	(1,913)	(1,897)
Loss on Extinguishment of Debt	3,413	37,764		
Interest Expense (Inclusive of Gain (Loss) on Interest Rate Cap Agreements)	84,529	97,976	27,421	34,812
<b>Total Costs and Expenses</b>	<b>2,912,156</b>	<b>2,755,385</b>	<b>997,503</b>	<b>930,475</b>
<b>Loss Before Income Tax Benefit</b>	<b>(74,608)</b>	<b>(111,808)</b>	<b>(21,651)</b>	<b>(23,672)</b>
Income Tax Benefit	(31,964)	(47,712)	(14,204)	(13,395)
<b>Net Loss</b>	<b>\$ (42,644)</b>	<b>\$ (64,096)</b>	<b>\$ (7,447)</b>	<b>\$ (10,277)</b>
<b>Total Comprehensive Loss</b>	<b>\$ (42,644)</b>	<b>\$ (64,096)</b>	<b>\$ (7,447)</b>	<b>\$ (10,277)</b>

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Table of Contents****BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY INVESTMENTS HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(Unaudited)****(All amounts in thousands)**

	<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	
	<b>October 27, 2012</b>	<b>October 29, 2011</b>
<b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Net Loss	\$ (42,644)	\$ (64,096)
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Loss to Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities:		
Depreciation and Amortization	120,748	113,174
Impairment Charges Long-Lived Assets	1,100	34
Amortization of Debt Issuance Costs	4,138	10,297
Accretion of Senior Notes	1,241	60
Interest Rate Cap Agreement - Adjustment to Market	19	2,355
Provision for Losses on Accounts Receivable	105	1,241
Deferred Income Tax Provision (Benefit)	(16,021)	(7,113)
Loss on Retirement of Fixed Assets	396	708
Loss on Extinguishment of Debt Write-off of Deferred Financing Fees	3,413	16,435
Excess Tax Benefit from Stock Based Compensation	(302)	(213)
Non-Cash Stock Based Compensation Expense	1,968	4,917
Non-Cash Rent Expense	(6,532)	(3,177)
Changes in Assets and Liabilities:		
Accounts Receivable	(11,883)	(10,670)
Merchandise Inventories	(162,731)	(301,492)
Prepaid and Other Current Assets	(16,754)	(39,934)
Accounts Payable	401,807	459,692
Other Current Liabilities and Income Tax Payable	23,040	20,248
Deferred Rent Incentives	19,320	26,934
Other Long Term Assets and Long Term Liabilities	(7,232)	(4,218)
<b>Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities</b>	<b>\$ 313,196</b>	<b>\$ 225,182</b>
<b>INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Cash Paid for Property and Equipment	(129,254)	(116,246)
Proceeds Received from Sale of Property and Equipment	407	663
Increase in Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents		(4,558)
Lease Acquisition Costs	(430)	(557)
Other		(1,064)
<b>Net Cash Used in Investing Activities</b>	<b>\$ (129,277)</b>	<b>\$ (121,762)</b>
<b>FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Proceeds from Long Term Debt ABL Line of Credit	404,500	687,800
Proceeds from Long Term Debt Senior Notes		450,000
Proceeds from Long Term Debt Term Loan	116,913	991,158
Principal Payments on Long Term Debt ABL Line of Credit	(572,800)	(698,300)
Principal Payments on Long Term Debt Senior Discount Notes		(99,309)
Principal Payments on Long Term Debt Senior Notes		(302,056)
Principal Payments on Long Term Debt	(521)	(622)
Principal Payments on Long Term Debt Term Loan	(135,749)	(790,050)
Payment of Dividends	(1,711)	(297,917)
Stock Option Exercise and Related Tax Benefits	761	760



Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

Debt Issuance Costs	(807)	(29,255)
<b>Net Cash Used in Financing Activities</b>	\$ (189,414)	\$ (87,791)
(Decrease)/Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(5,495)	15,629
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	35,664	30,214
<b>Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period</b>	\$ 30,169	\$ 45,843
<b>Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information</b>		
Interest Paid	\$ 91,274	\$ 85,507
Net Income Tax Payments	\$ 4,029	\$ 4,064
<b>Non-Cash Investing Activities:</b>		
Accrued Purchases of Property and Equipment	\$ 22,150	\$ 19,950

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Table of Contents**

**BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY INVESTMENTS HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**October 27, 2012**

**(UNAUDITED)**

**1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

*Basis of Presentation*

These unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of Burlington Coat Factory Investments Holdings, Inc. and all of its subsidiaries (Company or Holdings). Holdings has no operations and its only asset is all of the stock of Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation. All discussions of operations in this report relate to Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation and its subsidiaries (BCFWC), which are reflected in the financial statements of Holdings. The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are unaudited, but in the opinion of management reflect all adjustments (which are of a normal and recurring nature) necessary for the fair presentation of the results of operations for the interim periods presented. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) have been condensed or omitted. It is suggested that these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 28, 2012 (Fiscal 2011 10-K). The balance sheet at January 28, 2012 presented herein has been derived from the audited Consolidated Financial Statements contained in the Fiscal 2011 10-K. Because the Company's business is seasonal in nature, the operating results for the three and nine month periods ended October 27, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of results for the fiscal year ending February 2, 2013 (Fiscal 2012).

Accounting policies followed by the Company are described in Note 1 to the audited Consolidated Financial Statements contained in the Fiscal 2011 10-K.

In July 2012, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2012-02, *Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment*, (ASU 2012-02). In accordance with ASU 2012-02, an entity has the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset is less than its carrying value. If the entity determines that it is more likely than not that the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible asset is less than the carrying value, the entity will be required to perform the quantitative impairment test. ASU 2012-02 is effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. However, early adoption is permitted. The Company has elected not to early adopt in the current fiscal year and does not expect ASU 2012-02, once adopted, to have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

There were no new accounting standards that had a material impact on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements during the nine month period ended October 27, 2012 and there were no new accounting standards or pronouncements that were issued but not yet effective as of October 27, 2012 that the Company expects to have a material impact on its financial position or results of operations upon becoming effective.

**Table of Contents****2. Stockholder's Deficit**

Activity for the three and nine month periods ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011 in the Company's common stock, capital in excess of par value, accumulated deficit, and total stockholder's deficit are summarized below:

	<i>(in thousands)</i>			
	Common Stock	Capital in Excess of Par Value	Accumulated Deficit	Total
<b>Balance at January 28, 2012</b>	\$	\$ 474,569	\$ (585,514)	\$ (110,945)
Net Loss			(3,940)	(3,940)
Stock Options Exercised and Related Tax Benefits		394		394
Stock Based Compensation		791		791
Dividends (b)			373	373
<b>Balance at April 28, 2012</b>		475,754	(589,081)	(113,327)
Net Loss			(31,257)	(31,257)
Stock Options Exercised and Related Tax Benefits		267		267
Stock Based Compensation		612		612
<b>Balance at July 28, 2012</b>		476,633	(620,338)	(143,705)
Net Loss			(7,447)	(7,447)
Stock Options Exercised and Related Tax Benefits		100		100
Stock Based Compensation		565		565
<b>Balance at October 27, 2012</b>	\$	\$ 477,298	\$ (627,785)	\$ (150,487)
<b>Balance at January 29, 2011</b>	\$	\$ 466,754	\$ (279,242)	\$ 187,512
Net Loss			(21,056)	(21,056)
Excess Tax Benefit from Stock Based Compensation		448		448
Stock Option Expense		705		705
Dividends (a)			(300,000)	(300,000)
<b>Balance at April 30, 2011</b>		467,907	(600,298)	(132,391)
Net Loss			(32,763)	(32,763)
Stock Options Exercised and Related Tax Benefits		310		310
Stock Based Compensation		195		195
<b>Balance at July 30, 2011</b>		468,412	(633,061)	(164,649)
Net Loss			(10,277)	(10,277)
Stock Options Exercised and Related Tax Benefits		2		2
Stock Based Compensation		4,017		4,017
<b>Balance at October 29, 2011</b>	\$	\$ 472,431	\$ (643,338)	\$ (170,907)

(a) Represents dividends payable to the stockholders of Burlington Coat Factory Holdings, Inc. (Parent) in conjunction with the Company's February 2011 debt refinancing, of which \$297.9 million was paid as of April 30, 2011 and \$1.7 million was paid as of April 28, 2012. Less than \$0.1 million was paid during the three months ended July 28, 2012.

(b)

## Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

As a result of certain forfeitures of Parent's restricted stock prior to the payment date, \$0.4 million of dividend payments were forfeited and reverted back to the Company.

**Table of Contents****3. Long Term Debt**

Long term debt consists of:

	October 27, 2012	(in thousands) January 28, 2012	October 29, 2011
\$1,000,000 Senior Secured Term Loan Facility, LIBOR (with a floor of 1.5%) plus 4.8%, due in quarterly payments of \$2,400 from August 3, 2013 to January 28, 2017, matures on February 23, 2017.	\$ 932,907	\$ 949,123	\$ 978,658
\$450,000 Senior Notes, 10%, due at maturity on February 15, 2019, semi-annual interest payments on August 15 and February 15, from February 15, 2013 to February 15, 2019.	450,000	450,000	450,000
\$600,000 ABL Senior Secured Revolving Facility, LIBOR plus spread based on average outstanding balance, expires September 2, 2016.	21,700	190,000	158,100
Promissory Note, non-interest bearing, due in monthly payments of \$17 through January 1, 2012.			50
Promissory Note, 4.4% due in monthly payments of \$8 through December 23, 2011.			16
Capital Lease Obligations	23,479	24,000	24,142
<b>Total debt</b>	<b>1,428,086</b>	<b>1,613,123</b>	<b>1,610,966</b>
Less: current maturities	(5,515)	(7,659)	(5,728)
<b>Long-term debt, net of current maturities</b>	<b>\$ 1,422,571</b>	<b>\$ 1,605,464</b>	<b>\$ 1,605,238</b>

*\$1 Billion Senior Secured Term Loan Facility (Term Loan Facility)*

On May 16, 2012, the Company entered into Amendment No. 1 (the Amendment) to the Term Loan Credit Agreement, which, among other things, reduces the applicable margin on the interest rates applicable to the Company's Term Loan Facility by 50 basis points. To accomplish this interest rate reduction, the Amendment provided for a replacement of the previously outstanding \$950.5 million principal amount of term B loans (the Term B Loans) with a like aggregate principal amount of term B-1 loans (the Term B-1 Loans). The Company offered existing term loan lenders the option to convert their Term B Loans into Term B-1 Loans on a non-cash basis. The \$119.3 million of Term B Loans held by existing lenders electing not to convert their Term B Loans into Term B-1 Loans were prepaid in full on the effective date of the Amendment from the proceeds of new Term B-1 Loans. The Term B-1 Loans have the same maturity date that was applicable to the Term B Loans. The Term Loan Credit Agreement provisions relating to the representations and warranties, covenants and events of default applicable to the Company and the guarantors were not modified by the Amendment.

As a result of this transaction, mandatory quarterly payments of \$2.4 million are payable as of the last day of each quarter beginning with the quarter ended July 28, 2012. The Company elected to make a prepayment of \$9.5 million in May 2012, which offsets the mandatory quarterly payments through August 3, 2013.

In accordance with ASC Topic No. 470-50, Debt Modifications and Extinguishments (Topic 470), the Company recognized a non-cash loss on the partial extinguishment of debt of \$3.4 million, which was recorded in the line item Loss on the Extinguishment of Debt in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss during the nine months ended October 27, 2012. In connection with the Amendment, the Company incurred fees of \$3.1 million, primarily related to legal and placement fees, which were recorded in the line item Selling and Administrative Expense in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss during the nine months ended October 27, 2012 related to the portion of the debt that was not extinguished.

The Term Loan Facility contains financial, affirmative and negative covenants and requires that BCFWC, exclusive of subsidiaries (referred to herein as BCFW), among other things, maintain on the last day of each fiscal quarter a consolidated leverage ratio not to exceed a maximum amount and maintain a consolidated interest coverage ratio of at least a certain amount. The consolidated leverage ratio compares our total debt to Adjusted EBITDA, as each term is defined in the Term Loan Credit Agreement, for the trailing twelve months, and such ratios may not exceed 6.75 to 1 through October 27, 2012; 6.25 to 1 through November 2, 2013; 5.50 to 1 through November 1, 2014; 5.00 to 1 through October 31, 2015; and 4.75 to 1 at January 30, 2016 and thereafter. The consolidated interest coverage ratio compares our consolidated interest expense to Adjusted EBITDA, as each term is defined in the Term Loan Credit Agreement, for the trailing twelve months, and such ratios must

## Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

exceed 1.75 to 1 through October 27, 2012; 1.85 to 1 through November 2, 2013; 2.00 to 1 through October 31, 2015; and 2.10 to 1 at January 30, 2016 and thereafter. Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure of our liquidity. Adjusted EBITDA, as defined in the credit agreement governing the Company's Term Loan Facility, starts with consolidated net loss for the period and adds back (i) depreciation, amortization, impairments and other non-cash charges that were deducted in arriving at consolidated net loss, (ii) the (benefit) provision for taxes, (iii) interest expense, (iv) advisory fees, and (v) unusual, non-recurring or extraordinary expenses, losses or charges as reasonably approved by the administrative agent for such period. Adjusted EBITDA is used to calculate the consolidated leverage ratio. Adjusted EBITDA provides management, including the Company's chief operating decision maker, with helpful information with respect to its operations such as its ability to meet its future debt service, fund its capital expenditures and working capital requirements, and comply with various covenants in each indenture governing its outstanding notes and the credit agreements governing its senior secured credit facilities which are material to its financial condition and financial statements.

---

## **Table of Contents**

The interest rates for the Term Loan Facility are based on: (i) for LIBO rate loans for any interest period, at a rate per annum equal to (a) the greater of (x) the LIBO rate, as determined by the Term Loan Facility Administrative Agent, for such interest period multiplied by the Statutory Reserve Rate (as defined in the Term Loan Credit Agreement) and (y) 1.50% (the Term Loan Adjusted LIBO Rate), plus an applicable margin; and (ii) for prime rate loans, a rate per annum equal to the highest of (a) the variable annual rate of interest then announced by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. at its head office as its prime rate, (b) the federal funds rate in effect on such date plus 0.50% per annum, and (c) the Term Loan Adjusted LIBO Rate for the applicable class of term loans for one-month plus 1.00%, plus, in each case, an applicable margin. The interest rate on the Term Loan Facility was 5.5% as of October 27, 2012.

### *ABL Line of Credit*

On September 2, 2011, the Company completed an amendment and restatement of the credit agreement governing the Company's \$600 million ABL Line of Credit, which, among other things, extended the maturity date to September 2, 2016. The aggregate amount of commitments under the amended and restated credit agreement is \$600 million and, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, the Company may increase the aggregate amount of commitments up to \$900 million. Interest rates under the amended and restated credit agreement are based on LIBO rates as determined by the administrative agent plus an applicable margin of 1.75% to 2.25% based on daily availability, or various prime rate loan options plus an applicable margin of 0.75% to 1.25% based on daily availability. The fee on the average daily balance of unused loan commitments is 0.375%.

Prior to the September 2, 2011 ABL amendment and restatement, the ABL Line of Credit carried an interest rate of LIBOR plus a spread which was determined by the Company's annual average borrowings outstanding. Commitment fees of 0.75% to 1.0%, based on the Company's usage of the line of credit, were charged on the unused portion of the facility and were included in the line item Interest Expense on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss.

At October 27, 2012, the Company had \$542.3 million available under the ABL Line of Credit and \$21.7 million of outstanding borrowings. The maximum borrowings under the facility during the three and nine month periods ended October 27, 2012 amounted to \$84.0 million and \$213.7 million, respectively. Average borrowings during the three and nine month periods ended October 27, 2012 amounted to \$41.1 million and \$45.0 million, respectively, at average interest rates of 2.1% for both periods. At October 27, 2012 the Company's borrowing rate related to the ABL Line of Credit was 4.0%. At January 28, 2012, \$190.0 million was outstanding under this facility.

At October 29, 2011, the Company had \$401.3 million available under the ABL Line of Credit and \$158.1 million of outstanding borrowings. The maximum borrowings under the facility during each of the three and nine month periods ended October 29, 2011 amounted to \$195.0 million for both periods. Average borrowings during the three and nine month periods ended October 29, 2011 amounted to \$142.1 million and \$82.3 million, respectively, at average interest rates of 2.6% and 3.5%, respectively. At October 29, 2011 the Company's borrowing rate related to the ABL Line of Credit was 2.7%.

Both the Term Loan Facility and the ABL Line of Credit are fully, jointly, severally, unconditionally, and irrevocably guaranteed by all of the Company's subsidiaries (with the exception of one immaterial non-guarantor subsidiary). The ABL Line of Credit is collateralized by a first lien on the Company's inventory and receivables and a second lien on the Company's real estate and property and equipment. The Term Loan Facility is collateralized by a first lien on the Company's real estate, favorable leases, and machinery and equipment and a second lien on the Company's inventory and receivables.

As of October 27, 2012, the Company was in compliance with all of its debt covenants. The agreements regarding the ABL Line of Credit and the Term Loan Facility, as well as the indenture governing the Senior Notes, contain covenants that, among other things, limit the Company's ability, and the ability of the Company's restricted subsidiaries, to pay dividends on, redeem or repurchase capital stock; make investments; incur additional indebtedness or issue preferred stock; create liens; permit dividends or other restricted payments by the Company's subsidiaries; sell all or substantially all of the Company's assets or consolidate or merge with or into other companies; and engage in transactions with affiliates.

The Company had \$26.2 million, \$31.5 million and \$31.8 million in deferred financing fees, net of accumulated amortization, as of October 27, 2012, January 28, 2012 and October 29, 2011, respectively, related to its debt instruments recorded in the line item Other Assets on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. Amortization of deferred financing fees amounted to \$1.4 million and \$5.3 million for the three month periods ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011, respectively, and is included in the line item Interest Expense in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss.

Amortization of deferred financing fees amounted to \$4.1 million and \$10.3 million for the nine months ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011, respectively. During the nine months ended October 27, 2012, the Company recorded \$0.8 million of new deferred financing costs and wrote off \$2.0 million deferred financing costs and accumulated amortization related to the Amendment of the Term Loan Facility

discussed above.



**Table of Contents****4. Restructuring and Separation**

The Company accounts for restructuring and separation costs in accordance with ASC Topic No. 420, *Exit or Disposal Cost Obligations* (Topic No. 420). In an effort to improve workflow efficiencies and realign certain responsibilities, the Company effected a reorganization of certain positions within its stores and corporate locations. During the three and nine months ended October 27, 2012, severance charges were \$0.6 million and \$2.4 million, respectively, and were recorded in the line item *Restructuring and Separation Costs* in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Loss.

In comparison, severance charges for the three and nine months ended October 29, 2011 were \$0.4 million and \$5.6 million, respectively. Severance charges for the three and nine months ended October 29, 2011 were also the result of the Company's efforts to improve workflow efficiencies and realign certain responsibilities, which effected the reorganization of certain positions within its stores and corporate locations.

The table below summarizes the charges and payments related to the Company's restructuring and separation costs, which are included in the line items *Other Current Liabilities* in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011:

		<i>(in thousands)</i>					
		January 28, 2012	Charges	Cash Payments	Other	October 27, 2012	
Severance	Restructuring	\$	\$ 1,015	\$ (1,015)	\$	\$	
Severance	Separation Cost		979	1,426	(1,670)	735	
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$</b>	<b>979</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>2,441</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>(2,685)</b>
					<b>\$</b>	<b>735</b>	

		<i>(in thousands)</i>					
		January 29, 2011	Charges	Cash Payments	Other	October 29, 2011	
Severance	Restructuring	\$	\$ 6	\$ 3,503	\$ (3,286)	\$ 223	
Severance	Separation Cost		1,231	2,118	(2,260)	1,089	
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$</b>	<b>1,237</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>5,621</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>(5,546)</b>
					<b>\$</b>	<b>1,312</b>	

**5. Fair Value Measurements**

The Company accounts for fair value measurements in accordance with ASC Topic No. 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, (Topic No. 820) which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measurement and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. Topic No. 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (exit price), and classifies the inputs used to measure fair value into the following hierarchy:

- Level 1: Quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.
- Level 2: Quoted market prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations whose inputs are observable or whose significant value drivers are observable.
- Level 3: Pricing inputs that are unobservable for the assets and liabilities and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the assets and liabilities.

The inputs into the determination of fair value require significant management judgment or estimation.

*Financial Assets*

## Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

The Company's financial assets as of October 27, 2012 included cash equivalents, interest rate cap agreements and a note receivable. The Company's financial liabilities are discussed below. The carrying value of cash equivalents approximates fair value due to its short-term nature. The fair values of the interest rate cap agreements are determined using quotes that are based on models whose inputs are observable LIBOR forward interest rate curves. To comply with the provisions of Topic No. 820, the Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both the Company's non-performance risk and the respective counterparty's non-performance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of the Company's interest rate cap agreements for the effect of non-performance risk, the Company has considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings, thresholds, mutual puts, and guarantees. As a result, the Company has determined that the inputs used to value this investment fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

**Table of Contents**

Although the Company has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its interest rate cap agreements fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with the Company's interest rate cap agreements utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default. As of October 27, 2012, the Company recorded credit valuation adjustments of less than \$0.1 million to the overall valuation of the Company's interest rate cap agreements. The credit valuation adjustment is not considered significant to the valuation of each of the individual interest rate cap agreements and as a result, the Company has determined that its interest rate cap agreement valuations in their entirety are classified as Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy.

The fair value of the note receivable is based on a discounted cash flow analysis whose inputs are unobservable, and therefore it falls within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

The fair values of the Company's financial assets and the hierarchy of the level of inputs are summarized below:

	<i>(in thousands)</i>		
	October 27, 2012	Fair Value Measurements at January 28, 2012	October 29, 2011
<b>Assets:</b>			
Level 1			
Cash equivalents (including restricted cash)	\$ 34,959	\$ 34,915	\$ 34,924
Level 2			
Interest rate cap agreements (a)	\$ 95	\$ 114	\$ 924
Level 3			
Note Receivable (b)	\$ 758	\$ 763	\$ 1,099

- (a) Included in "Other Assets" within the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (refer to Note 6 of the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, entitled "Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," for further discussion regarding the Company's interest rate cap agreements).
- (b) Included in "Prepaid and Other Current Assets" and "Other Assets" on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. The change in fair value of the Company's Level 3 note receivable from January 28, 2012 to October 27, 2012 is related to unrealized losses. The change in fair value of the Company's Level 3 note receivable from October 29, 2011 to October 27, 2012 is related to the Company receiving a partial payment in the amount of \$0.5 million, which was partially offset by unrealized gains in the amount of \$0.2 million.

*Financial Liabilities*

The fair value of the Company's debt as of October 27, 2012, January 28, 2012 and October 29, 2011 is noted in the table below:

	October 27, 2012		<i>(in thousands)</i> January 28, 2012		October 29, 2011	
	Carrying Amount (c)	Fair Value (c)	Carrying Amount (c)	Fair Value (c)	Carrying Amount (c)	Fair Value (c)
\$1,000,000 Senior Secured Term Loan Facility, LIBOR (with a floor of 1.5%) plus 4.8% due in quarterly payments of \$2,400 from August 3, 2013 to January 28, 2017, matures on February 23, 2017.	\$ 932,907	\$ 943,791	\$ 949,123	\$ 945,247	\$ 978,658	\$ 955,823
\$450,000 Senior Notes, 10% due at maturity on February 15, 2019, semi-annual interest payments on August 15 and February 15, from February 15, 2013 to February 15, 2019.	450,000	497,250	450,000	432,000	450,000	442,125
\$600,000 ABL Senior Secured Revolving Facility, LIBOR plus spread based on average	21,700	21,700	190,000	190,000	158,100	158,100

Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

outstanding balance, expires September 2, 2016.

(a)

Other debt (b)					66	66
----------------	--	--	--	--	----	----

Total debt	\$ 1,404,607	\$ 1,462,741	\$ 1,589,123	\$ 1,567,247	\$ 1,586,824	\$ 1,556,114
------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------

(a) The carrying value of the ABL Line of Credit approximates its fair value due to its short term nature (borrowings are typically done in increments of 30 days or less) and its variable interest rate.

## **Table of Contents**

(b) Other debt includes the industrial revenue bonds and both promissory notes, as described in the Fiscal 2011 10-K.

(c) Capital lease obligations are excluded from the table above.

As of October 27, 2012, the fair value of the Company's debt, exclusive of capital leases, was \$1,462.7 million compared to the carrying value of \$1,404.6 million. The fair values presented herein are based on estimates using quoted market prices for the same or similar issues and other pertinent information available to management as of the respective period end dates. The estimated fair values of the Company's debt are classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy. Although management is not aware of any factors that could significantly affect the estimated fair value amounts, such amounts have not been comprehensively revalued for purposes of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements since October 27, 2012, and current estimates of fair value may differ from amounts presented herein.

## **6. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities**

The Company accounts for derivatives and hedging activities in accordance with ASC Topic No. 815 *Derivatives and Hedging* (Topic No. 815). Topic No. 815 provides disclosure requirements to provide users of financial statements with an enhanced understanding of: (i) How and why an entity uses derivative instruments; (ii) How derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under Topic No. 815 and its related interpretations; and (iii) How derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows.

The Company is exposed to certain risks relating to its ongoing business operations, including market risks relating to fluctuations in interest rates. The Company's senior secured credit facilities contain floating rate obligations and are subject to interest rate fluctuations. The Company uses interest rate cap agreements, which are designated as economic hedges, to manage interest rate risk associated with the Company's variable-rate borrowings and to minimize the negative impact of interest rate fluctuations on its earnings and cash flows, thus reducing the Company's exposure to variability in expected future cash flows attributable to the changes in LIBOR rates.

Topic No. 815 requires recognition of all derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities at fair value in the statement of financial position. Interest rate cap agreements are recorded at a fair value and adjusted to market on a quarterly basis. Gains or losses associated with the interest rate cap agreements are recorded in the line item *Interest Expense* on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss and in the line item *Interest Rate Cap Contract Adjustment to Market* on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

As of October 27, 2012, January 28, 2012 and October 29, 2011, the Company was party to two outstanding interest rate cap agreements to manage the interest rate risk associated with future interest payments on variable-rate debt.

**Table of Contents**

<i>(in thousands)</i>						
<b>Fair Values of Derivative Instruments</b>						
<b>Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments</b>	<b>October 27, 2012</b>		<b>Asset Derivatives January 28, 2012</b>		<b>October 29, 2011</b>	
	<b>Balance Sheet Location</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>	<b>Balance Sheet Location</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>	<b>Balance Sheet Location</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>
<b>Under Topic No. 815</b>						
Interest Rate Cap Agreements	Other Assets	\$ 95	Other Assets	\$ 114	Other Assets	\$ 924
<b>Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments</b>	<b>October 27, 2012</b>		<b>Liability Derivatives January 28, 2012</b>		<b>October 29, 2011</b>	
	<b>Balance Sheet Location</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>	<b>Balance Sheet Location</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>	<b>Balance Sheet Location</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>
<b>Under Topic No. 815</b>						
Interest Rate Cap Agreements	Other Liabilities	\$	Other Liabilities	\$	Other Liabilities	\$
<b>(Gain) or Loss on Derivative Instruments</b>						
<b>Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments</b>	<b>Location of (Gain) Loss Recognized in Income on Derivatives</b>	<b>Amount of (Gain) Loss Recognized in Income on Derivatives</b>				
		<b>Nine Months Ended</b>		<b>Three Months Ended</b>		
<b>Under Topic No. 815</b>		<b>October 27, 2012</b>	<b>October 29, 2011</b>	<b>October 27, 2012</b>	<b>October 29, 2011</b>	
Interest Rate Cap Agreements	Interest Expense	\$ 19	\$ 2,355	\$ 85	\$ 493	

**Table of Contents**

The Company has two interest rate cap agreements each of which has a notional principal amount of \$450 million, a cap rate of 7.0% and terminates on May 31, 2015. The Company has not elected hedge accounting treatment for its interest rate cap agreements. The Company adjusts these interest rate cap agreements to fair value on a quarterly basis and as a result, gains or losses associated with these agreements are included in the line item Interest Expense on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss and in the line item Interest Rate Cap Contract Adjustment to Market on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

**7. Income Taxes**

	October 27, 2012	January 28, 2012	October 29, 2011
Current Deferred Tax Asset	\$ 16,283	\$ 23,243	\$ 25,991
Non-Current Deferred Tax Liability	254,082	276,985	273,109
<b>Net Deferred Tax Liability</b>	<b>\$ 237,799</b>	<b>\$ 253,742</b>	<b>\$ 247,118</b>

Current deferred tax assets consisted primarily of certain operating costs and inventory related costs not currently deductible for tax purposes. Non-current deferred tax liabilities primarily related to rent expense, intangible assets, and depreciation expense where the Company has a future obligation for tax purposes.

In accordance with ASC Topic No. 270, *Interim Reporting* (Topic No. 270) and ASC Topic No. 740, *Income Taxes* (Topic No. 740), at the end of each interim period the Company is required to determine the best estimate of its annual effective tax rate and then apply that rate in providing for income taxes on a current year-to-date (interim period) basis. As of October 27, 2012, the Company's best estimate of its annual effective income tax rate was 38.1% (before discrete items).

As of October 27, 2012, January 28, 2012 and October 29, 2011, valuation allowances amounted to \$6.1 million, \$6.1 million and \$5.8 million, respectively, primarily related to state tax net operating losses. The Company believes that it is more likely than not that a portion of the benefit of the state tax net operating losses will not be realized. The state net operating losses have been generated in a number of taxing jurisdictions and are subject to various expiration periods ranging from five to twenty years beginning with Fiscal 2012. During the three and nine months ended October 27, 2012, the Company's unrecognized tax benefits were reduced by \$7.5 million (including penalties and interest) upon the closing of ongoing state audits related to filing positions taken by the Company. As a result, the Company recognized a \$5.0 million income tax benefit during the three and nine months ended October 27, 2012.

In addition, management also determined that a full valuation allowance of \$1.2 million was required against the tax benefit associated with Puerto Rico alternative minimum tax credits as of both October 27, 2012 and January 28, 2012.

**8. Stock Option and Award Plans and Stock-Based Compensation**

On April 13, 2006, Parent's Board of Directors adopted the 2006 Management Incentive Plan (the Plan). The Plan provides for the granting of service-based and performance-based stock options, restricted stock and other forms of awards to key employees and directors of the Company or its affiliates. Grants made pursuant to the Plan are comprised of units of Parent's common stock. Each unit consists of nine shares of Parent's Class A common stock and one share of Parent's Class L common stock. The shares comprising a unit are in the same proportion as the shares of Class A and Class L common stock held by all stockholders of the Parent. Options granted pursuant to the Plan are exercisable only for whole units and cannot be separately exercised for the individual classes of Parent's common stock. As of October 27, 2012, there were 730,478 units reserved under the Plan consisting of 6,574,302 shares of Parent's Class A common stock and 730,478 shares of Parent's Class L common stock.

Non-cash stock compensation expense for the three and nine months ended October 27, 2012 amounted to \$0.6 million and \$2.0 million, respectively. In comparison, non-cash stock compensation expense for the three and nine months end October 29, 2011 amounted to \$4.0 million and \$4.9 million, respectively. The table below summarizes the types of stock compensation:

<i>(in thousands)</i>			
Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
October 27, 2012	October 29, 2011	October 27, 2012	October 29, 2011

Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

<b>Type of Non-Cash Stock Compensation</b>				
Stock Option Compensation	\$ 590	\$ 3,726	\$ 1,507	\$ 4,271
Restricted Stock Compensation	(25)	291	461	646
Total	\$ 565	\$ 4,017	\$ 1,968	\$ 4,917



**Table of Contents***Stock Options*

Options granted during the nine month periods ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011 were all service-based awards and were granted at exercise prices of (i) \$90 per unit and \$180 per unit prior to May 1, 2011, (ii) \$50 per unit and \$120 per unit from May 1, 2011 through May 17, 2012, and (iii) \$65 per unit and \$120 per unit from and after May 17, 2012.

In April 2011, the Parent's Board of Directors, in order to reflect the dividends paid in connection with the debt refinancing, approved a reduction of the exercise prices of each then outstanding option from \$90 per unit and \$180 per unit, respectively, to \$30.60 and \$120.60 per unit, respectively, without affecting the existing vesting schedules thereof. Upon application of modification accounting, which contemplates fair value of awards both before and after the debt refinancing and related dividends, the stock compensation cost did not change.

All of the service-based awards granted during the nine month period ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011 vest 40% on the second anniversary of the award with the remaining amount vesting ratably over the subsequent three years. The final exercise date for any option granted is the tenth anniversary of the grant date.

All options awarded pursuant to the Plan become exercisable upon a change of control. Unless determined otherwise by the plan administrator and except as otherwise set forth in the option holders' stock agreement, upon cessation of employment, (1) options that have not vested will terminate immediately; (2) units previously issued upon the exercise of vested options will be callable at the Company's option; and (3) unexercised vested options will be exercisable for a period of 60 days.

As of October 27, 2012, the Company had 479,672 options outstanding to purchase units, all of which are service-based awards. The Company accounts for awards issued under the Plan in accordance with ASC Topic No. 718, *Stock Compensation*. For the three and nine months ended October 27, 2012, the Company recognized non-cash stock option compensation expense of \$0.6 million (\$0.4 million after tax) and \$1.5 million (\$0.9 million after tax), respectively, net of less than a \$0.1 million and a \$0.2 million forfeiture adjustment. These forfeiture adjustments were the result of actual forfeitures being higher than initially estimated. For the three and nine months ended October 29, 2011, the Company recognized non-cash stock option compensation expense of \$3.7 million (\$1.6 million after tax) and \$4.3 million (\$2.5 million after tax), respectively, net of a respective \$3.2 million and \$2.5 million forfeiture adjustment that was recorded as a result of actual forfeitures being higher than initially estimated.

As of October 27, 2012 there was approximately \$4.1 million of unearned non-cash stock-based option compensation that the Company expected to recognize as expense over the next 5.0 years. The service-based awards are expensed on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of five years. As of October 27, 2012, 44.4% percent of outstanding options to purchase units had vested.

Stock option transactions during the nine month period ended October 27, 2012 are summarized as follows:

	Number of Units	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Unit
<b>Options Outstanding January 28, 2012</b>	472,673	\$ 69.86
Options Granted	47,000	83.12
Options Forfeited	(24,768)	(72.31)
Options Exercised	(15,233)	(30.60)
<b>Options Outstanding October 27, 2012</b>	479,672	\$ 73.04

Non-vested stock option unit transactions during the nine months ended October 27, 2012 are summarized below:

Number of Units	Weighted Average Grant
--------------------	------------------------------

		<b>Date Fair Value Per Unit</b>
<b>Non-Vested Options Outstanding, January 28, 2012</b>	290,464	\$ 34.12
Granted	47,000	41.53
Vested	(53,259)	(40.61)
Forfeited	(17,333)	(43.11)
<b>Non-Vested Options Outstanding, October 27, 2012</b>	266,872	\$ 33.50

**Table of Contents**

The following table summarizes information about the exercise price and weighted average remaining contractual life of options to purchase units that were outstanding under the Plan as well as options that were exercisable under the Plan as of October 27, 2012:

Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding		Options Exercisable	
	Number Outstanding At October 27, 2012	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Number Exercisable At October 27, 2012	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)
\$30.60	215,151	6.4	118,546	5.9
\$50.00	54,000	8.8		
\$65.00	30,667	9.7		
\$120.00	42,333	9.1		
\$120.60	123,521	5.2	80,254	4.3
\$270.00	14,000	0.3	14,000	0.3
	479,672		212,800	

The following table summarizes information about the exercise prices and weighted average remaining contractual life of vested options and options expected to vest during the contractual term:

Exercise Prices	Options	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Vested and Expected to Vest as of October 27, 2012			
\$30.60	178,560	6.3	\$ 30.60
\$50.00	43,200	8.8	\$ 50.00
\$65.00	24,533	9.7	\$ 65.00
\$120.00	33,867	9.1	\$ 120.00
\$120.60	108,057	5.0	\$ 120.60
\$270.00	14,000	0.3	\$ 270.00

402,217

The fair value of each option granted is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions used for grants under the Plan during the nine months ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011:

	Nine Months Ended			
	October 27, 2012		October 29, 2011	
Risk-Free Interest Rate	1.0	1.3%	2.4	3.4%
Expected Volatility	35.0%		30.3%	
Expected Life (years)	6.6		6.4	8.5
Contractual Life (years)	10		10	
Expected Dividend Yield	0.0%		0.0%	
Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value of Options Issued at an exercise price of:				
\$30.60	\$		\$	
\$50.00	\$	28.14	\$	26.75

Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

\$65.00	\$ 47.42	\$
\$120.00	\$ 30.57	\$ 17.67
\$120.60	\$	\$

The weighted average grant date fair value of options granted has varied from period to period due to changes in the Company's business enterprise value resulting from changes in the Company's business forecast, market conditions and the refinancing of the Company's debt and related dividend.

**Table of Contents***Restricted Stock Awards*

Under the Plan, the Company also has the ability to grant restricted stock awards. Restricted stock awards typically vest 50% on the second anniversary of the grant and 50% on the third anniversary of the grant. Following a change of control, as defined by the Plan, all unvested units shall accelerate and vest as of the date of such change of control.

The Company did not incur any non-cash restricted stock compensation expense during the three months ended October 27, 2012. During the nine months ended October 27, 2012, the Company recorded \$0.5 million of non-cash restricted stock compensation expense. There were no forfeitures of restricted stock during either the three or nine month periods ended October 27, 2012. During the three and nine month periods ended October 29, 2011, the Company recorded \$0.3 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, of non-cash restricted stock compensation expense. Included in the \$0.3 million of restricted stock compensation expense during the three months ended October 29, 2011, the Company recognized \$0.1 million of incremental expense related to forfeiture adjustments which increased the expense during the period, as a result of actual forfeitures during the period being less than initially estimated. During the nine month period ended October 29, 2011, the Company's restricted stock compensation expense was reduced by \$0.1 million as a result of forfeitures during the nine months ended October 29, 2011 being higher than initially estimated.

As of October 27, 2012, there was approximately \$0.2 million of unearned non-cash stock-based compensation that the Company expects to recognize as expense over the next 2.6 years. Awards of restricted stock are expensed on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of three years. At October 27, 2012, 91,460 of the outstanding awards of restricted stock were vested.

Non-vested restricted stock transactions during the nine months ended October 27, 2012 are summarized below:

	Number of Awards	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Awards
<b>Non-Vested Restricted Stock Awards Outstanding, January 28, 2012</b>	28,122	\$ 45.96
Restricted Stock Awards Granted	5,000	61.12
Restricted Stock Awards Vested	(28,122)	(45.96)
 <b>Non-Vested Restricted Stock Awards Outstanding, October 27, 2012</b>	 5,000	 \$ 61.12

**9. Other Current Liabilities**

Other current liabilities primarily consist of sales tax payable, customer liabilities, accrued payroll costs, self-insurance reserves, accrued operating expenses, payroll taxes payable, current portion of straight line rent liability and other miscellaneous items. Customer liabilities comprised of gift cards and layaway deposits totaled \$30.5 million, \$29.7 million and \$32.2 million as of October 27, 2012, January 28, 2012 and October 29, 2011, respectively.

The Company has risk participation agreements with insurance carriers with respect to workers' compensation, general liability insurance and health insurance. Pursuant to these arrangements, the Company is responsible for paying individual claims up to designated dollar limits. The amounts included in costs related to these claims are estimated and can vary based on changes in assumptions or claims experience included in the associated insurance programs. An increase in workers' compensation or health insurance claims by employees or general liability claims may result in a corresponding increase in costs related to these claims. Self-insurance reserves were \$47.7 million, \$49.6 million and \$47.3 million, as of October 27, 2012, January 28, 2012 and October 29, 2011, respectively. At October 27, 2012, January 28, 2012 and October 29, 2011, the portion of self-insurance reserve expected to be paid in the next twelve months of \$18.8 million, \$19.1 million and \$18.0 million, respectively, were recorded in the line item "Other Current Liabilities" in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The remaining respective balances of \$28.9 million, \$30.5 million and \$29.3 million were recorded in the line item "Other Liabilities" in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

**10. Commitments and Contingencies**

*Legal*

The Company establishes reserves relating to legal claims, in connection with litigation to which the Company is party from time to time in the ordinary course of business. The aggregate amounts of such reserves were \$1.0 million, \$6.1 million and \$3.9 million as of October 27, 2012, January 28, 2012 and October 29, 2011, respectively. The Company believes that potential liabilities in excess of those recorded will not have a material effect on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements. However, there can be no assurances to this effect.

---

## **Table of Contents**

There have been no significant changes in the Company's commitments and contingencies from those disclosed in the Fiscal 2011 10-K, except as noted below:

### *Lease Agreements*

The Company enters into lease agreements during the ordinary course of business in order to secure favorable store locations. As of October 27, 2012, the Company was committed to four new lease agreements (inclusive of one relocation) for locations at which stores are expected to be opened during the remainder of Fiscal 2012. Inclusive of these new leases, the Company's minimum lease payments for all operating leases are expected to be \$64.6 million, \$225.1 million, \$211.1 million, \$187.8 million and \$799.8 million for the remainder of the fiscal year ended February 2, 2013, and the fiscal years ended February 1, 2014, January 31, 2015, January 30, 2016 and January 28, 2017 and all subsequent years thereafter, respectively.

### *Letters of Credit*

The Company had letters of credit arrangements with various banks in the aggregate amount of \$36.0 million and \$40.5 million as of October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011, respectively. Based on the terms of the credit agreement related to the ABL Line of Credit, the Company had the ability to enter into letters of credit up to \$542.3 million and \$401.3 million as of October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011, respectively. Among these arrangements as of October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011, the Company had letters of credit in the amount of \$26.2 million and \$30.7 million, respectively, guaranteeing performance under various insurance contracts and utility agreements. In addition, the Company had outstanding letters of credit agreements in the amount \$9.8 million at both October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011, related to certain merchandising agreements.

The Company had irrevocable letters of credit in the amount of \$35.3 million as of January 28, 2012. Based on the terms of the credit agreement relating to the ABL Line of Credit, the Company had the ability to enter into letters of credit up to \$242.6 million as of January 28, 2012. Letters of credit outstanding at January 28, 2012 amounted to \$27.7 million, guaranteeing performance under various lease agreements, insurance contracts and utility agreements. The Company also had letters of credit in the amount of \$7.6 million at January 28, 2012 related to certain merchandising agreements.

## **11. Subsequent Events**

As a result of the effects of Hurricane Sandy on October 29, 2012, 134 of the Company's stores were closed for at least one day during the fourth quarter Fiscal 2012, of which one store was closed permanently. Three of the Company's stores experienced significant damage, which led to merchandise inventory totaling approximately \$5.8 million at retail being totally destroyed and written off by the Company in the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2012. The Company is insured at the selling price of the inventory less a deductible and believes it will be reimbursed in excess of the net book value of the merchandise inventory. The Company also incurred losses related to the long lived assets at these stores which had a net book value of approximately \$1.3 million. These assets will be disposed of and written down to zero during the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2012. The Company is insured at replacement costs for these assets.

## **12. Condensed Guarantor Data**

Holdings and subsidiaries of BCFWC have fully, jointly, severally, and unconditionally guaranteed BCF's obligations under the ABL Line of Credit, Term Loan Facility and the Senior Note. The following condensed consolidating financial statements present the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of Holdings, BCFW and the guarantor subsidiaries. The Company has one immaterial non-guarantor subsidiary that is not wholly-owned and is considered to be minor as that term is defined in Rule 3-10 of Regulation S-X promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Neither the Company nor any of its subsidiaries may declare or pay cash dividends or make other distributions of property to any affiliate unless such dividends are used for certain specified purposes including, among others, to pay general corporate and overhead expenses incurred by Holdings in the ordinary course of business, or the amount of any indemnification claims made by any director or officer of Holdings or the Company, or to pay taxes that are due and payable by Holdings or any of its direct or indirect subsidiaries.

**Table of Contents****Burlington Coat Factory Investments Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets**

(All amounts in thousands)

	Holdings	BCFW	As of October 27, 2012		Consolidated
			Guarantors	Eliminations	
<b>ASSETS</b>					
<b>Current Assets:</b>					
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$	\$ 26,998	\$ 3,171	\$	\$ 30,169
Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents		34,800			34,800
Accounts Receivable		33,550	10,088		43,638
Merchandise Inventories			844,991		844,991
Deferred Tax Asset		8,497	7,786		16,283
Prepaid and Other Current Assets		14,760	31,413		46,173
Prepaid Income Tax		28,909	3,052		31,961
Intercompany Receivable			445,568	(445,568)	
Assets Held for Sale			483		483
<b>Total Current Assets</b>		147,514	1,346,552	(445,568)	1,048,498
Property and Equipment - Net of Accumulated Depreciation		77,361	816,329		893,690
Tradenames		238,000			238,000
Favorable Leases - Net of Accumulation Amortization			338,443		338,443
Goodwill		47,064			47,064
Investment in Subsidiaries		2,095,171		(2,095,171)	
Other Assets		26,422	87,885		114,307
<b>Total Assets</b>	\$	2,631,532	2,589,209	(2,540,739)	2,680,002
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER S EQUITY</b>					
<b>Current Liabilities:</b>					
Accounts Payable	\$	678,092			678,092
Other Current Liabilities		122,032	130,884		252,916
Intercompany Payable		445,568		(445,568)	
Current Maturities of Long Term Debt		4,753	762		5,515
<b>Total Current Liabilities</b>		1,250,445	131,646	(445,568)	936,523
Long Term Debt		1,399,854	22,717		1,422,571
Other Liabilities		48,624	168,689		217,313
Deferred Tax Liability		83,096	170,986		254,082
Investment in Subsidiaries	150,487			(150,487)	
<b>Stockholder s (Deficit) Equity:</b>					
Common Stock					
Capital in Excess of Par Value	477,298	477,298	1,063,182	(1,540,480)	477,298
(Accumulated Deficit) Retained Earnings	(627,785)	(627,785)	1,031,989	(404,204)	(627,785)
<b>Total Stockholder s (Deficit) Equity</b>	(150,487)	(150,487)	2,095,171	(1,944,684)	(150,487)
<b>Total Liabilities and Stockholder s (Deficit) Equity</b>	\$	2,631,532	2,589,209	(2,540,739)	2,680,002





**Table of Contents****Burlington Coat Factory Investments Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Balance Sheets**

(All amounts in thousands)

	Holdings	BCFW	As of January 28, 2012		Consolidated
			Guarantors	Eliminations	
<b>ASSETS</b>					
<b>Current Assets:</b>					
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$	\$ 11,522	\$ 24,142	\$	\$ 35,664
Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents		34,800			34,800
Accounts Receivable		21,037	19,082		40,119
Merchandise Inventories			682,260		682,260
Deferred Tax Assets		10,008	13,235		23,243
Prepaid and Other Current Assets		13,628	26,434		40,062
Prepaid Income Taxes		18,964	2,355		21,319
Intercompany Receivable			471,255	(471,255)	
Assets Held for Disposal			521		521
<b>Total Current Assets</b>		109,959	1,239,284	(471,255)	877,988
Property and Equipment Net of Accumulated Depreciation		80,220	784,995		865,215
Tradenames		238,000			238,000
Favorable Leases Net of Accumulated Amortization			359,903		359,903
Goodwill		47,064			47,064
Investment in Subsidiaries		1,995,796		(1,995,796)	
Other Assets		31,696	81,277		112,973
<b>Total Assets</b>	\$	\$ 2,502,735	\$ 2,465,459	\$ (2,467,051)	\$ 2,501,143
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER S EQUITY</b>					
<b>Current Liabilities:</b>					
Accounts Payable	\$	\$ 276,285	\$	\$	\$ 276,285
Other Current Liabilities		134,874	86,469		221,343
Intercompany Payable		471,255		(471,255)	
Current Maturities of Long Term Debt		6,953	706		7,659
<b>Total Current Liabilities</b>		889,367	87,175	(471,255)	505,287
Long Term Debt		1,582,169	23,295		1,605,464
Other Liabilities		56,909	167,443		224,352
Deferred Tax Liability		85,235	191,750		276,985
Investment in Subsidiaries	110,945			(110,945)	
Commitments and Contingencies					
<b>Stockholder s (Deficit) Equity:</b>					
Common Stock					
Capital in Excess of Par Value	474,569	474,569	1,063,180	(1,537,749)	474,569
(Accumulated Deficit) Retained Earnings	(585,514)	(585,514)	932,616	(347,102)	(585,514)
<b>Total Stockholder s (Deficit) Equity</b>	(110,945)	(110,945)	1,995,796	(1,884,851)	(110,945)
<b>Total Liabilities and Stockholder s Equity (Deficit)</b>	\$	\$ 2,502,735	\$ 2,465,459	\$ (2,467,051)	\$ 2,501,143



**Table of Contents****Burlington Coat Factory Investments Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets**

(All amounts in thousands)

	Holdings	BCFW	As of October 29, 2011		Consolidated
			Guarantors	Eliminations	
<b>ASSETS</b>					
<b>Current Assets:</b>					
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$	\$ 12,789	\$ 33,054	\$	\$ 45,843
Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents		34,800	22		34,822
Accounts Receivable		30,520	11,426		41,946
Merchandise Inventories			945,719		945,719
Deferred Tax Asset		7,421	18,570		25,991
Prepaid and Other Current Assets		15,047	28,149		43,196
Income Tax Receivable		45,973	3,301		49,274
Intercompany Receivable			92,440	(92,440)	
Assets Held for Sale			521		521
<b>Total Current Assets</b>		146,550	1,133,202	(92,440)	1,187,312
Property and Equipment Net of Accumulated Depreciation		79,979	801,463		881,442
Tradenames		238,000			238,000
Favorable Leases Net of Accumulation Amortization			367,493		367,493
Goodwill		47,064			47,064
Investment in Subsidiaries		1,876,968		(1,876,968)	
Other Assets		33,627	56,631		90,258
<b>Total Assets</b>	\$	\$ 2,422,188	\$ 2,358,789	\$ (1,969,408)	\$ 2,811,569
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER S EQUITY</b>					
<b>Current Liabilities:</b>					
Accounts Payable	\$	\$ 650,079	\$ 73	\$	\$ 650,152
Other Current Liabilities		127,899	111,946		239,845
Intercompany Payable		92,440		(92,440)	
Current Maturities of Long Term Debt		5,000	728		5,728
<b>Total Current Liabilities</b>		875,418	112,747	(92,440)	895,725
Long Term Debt		1,581,759	23,479		1,605,238
Other Liabilities		48,495	159,909		208,404
Deferred Tax Liability		87,423	185,686		273,109
Investment in Subsidiaries	170,907			(170,907)	
<b>Stockholder s (Deficit) Equity:</b>					
Common Stock					
Capital in Excess of Par Value	472,431	472,431	1,063,182	(1,535,613)	472,431
(Accumulated Deficit) Retained Earnings	(643,338)	(643,338)	813,786	(170,448)	(643,338)
<b>Total Stockholder s (Deficit) Equity</b>	(170,907)	(170,907)	1,876,968	(1,706,061)	(170,907)
<b>Total Liabilities and Stockholder s (Deficit) Equity</b>	\$	\$ 2,422,188	\$ 2,358,789	\$ (1,969,408)	\$ 2,811,569

**Table of Contents****Burlington Coat Factory Investments Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Loss (Income)**

(All amounts in thousands)

	Holdings	For the Nine Months Ended October 27, 2012			Consolidated
		BCFW	Guarantors	Eliminations	
<b>REVENUES:</b>					
Net Sales	\$	\$	\$ 2,814,497	\$	\$ 2,814,497
Other Revenue		175	22,876		23,051
<b>Total Revenue</b>		175	2,837,373		2,837,548
<b>COSTS AND EXPENSES:</b>					
Cost of Sales			1,757,823		1,757,823
Selling and Administrative Expenses		143,942	804,490		948,432
Restructuring and Separation Costs		1,783	658		2,441
Depreciation and Amortization		19,526	101,222		120,748
Impairment Charges Long-Lived Assets			1,100		1,100
Other Income, Net		(3,022)	(3,308)		(6,330)
Loss on Extinguishment of Debt		3,413			3,413
Interest Expense		83,001	1,528		84,529
Loss (Earnings) from Equity Investment	42,644	(99,375)		56,731	
<b>Total Costs and Expenses</b>	42,644	149,268	2,663,513	56,731	2,912,156
<b>(Loss) Income Before (Benefit) Provision for Income Taxes</b>	(42,644)	(149,093)	173,860	(56,731)	(74,608)
(Benefit) Provision for Income Taxes		(106,449)	74,485		(31,964)
<b>Net (Loss) Income</b>	\$ (42,644)	\$ (42,644)	\$ 99,375	\$ (56,731)	\$ (42,644)
<b>Total Comprehensive (Loss) Income</b>	\$ (42,644)	\$ (42,644)	\$ 99,375	\$ (56,731)	\$ (42,644)

**Table of Contents****Burlington Coat Factory Investments Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Loss (Income)**

(All amounts in thousands)

	For the Three Months Ended October 27, 2012				
	Holdings	BCFW	Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
<b>REVENUES:</b>					
Net Sales	\$	\$	\$ 967,894	\$	\$ 967,894
Other Revenue		97	7,861		7,958
<b>Total Revenue</b>		97	975,755		975,852
<b>COSTS AND EXPENSES:</b>					
Cost of Sales			594,389		594,389
Selling and Administrative Expenses		45,903	289,203		335,106
Restructuring and Separation Costs		413	222		635
Depreciation and Amortization		6,290	34,554		40,844
Impairment Charges Long-Lived Assets			1,021		1,021
Other Income, Net		(942)	(971)		(1,913)
Interest Expense		26,921	500		27,421
Loss (Earnings) from Equity Investment	7,447	(21,598)		14,151	
<b>Total Costs and Expenses</b>	7,447	56,987	918,918	14,151	997,503
<b>(Loss) Income Before (Benefit) Provision for Income Taxes</b>	(7,447)	(56,890)	56,837	(14,151)	(21,651)
(Benefit) Provision for Income Taxes		(49,443)	35,239		(14,204)
<b>Net (Loss) Income</b>	\$ (7,447)	\$ (7,447)	\$ 21,598	\$ (14,151)	\$ (7,447)
<b>Total Comprehensive (Loss) Income</b>	\$ (7,447)	\$ (7,447)	\$ 21,598	\$ (14,151)	\$ (7,447)

**Table of Contents****Burlington Coat Factory Investments Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Loss (Income)**

(All amounts in thousands)

		For the Nine Months Ended October 29, 2011			
	Holdings	BCFW	Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
<b>REVENUES:</b>					
Net Sales	\$	\$	\$ 2,621,094	\$	\$ 2,621,094
Other Revenue		294	22,189		22,483
<b>Total Revenue</b>		294	2,643,283		2,643,577
<b>COSTS AND EXPENSES:</b>					
Cost of Sales			1,625,163		1,625,163
Selling and Administrative Expenses		129,272	753,396		882,668
Restructuring and Separation Costs		3,025	2,596		5,621
Depreciation and Amortization		17,070	96,104		113,174
Impairment Charges Long-Lived Assets			34		34
Other Income, Net		(4,285)	(2,730)		(7,015)
Loss on Extinguishment of Debt		36,042	1,722		37,764
Interest Expense		95,352	2,624		97,976
Loss (Earnings) from Equity Investment	64,096	(94,231)		30,135	
<b>Total Costs and Expenses</b>	64,096	182,245	2,478,909	30,135	2,755,385
<b>(Loss) Income Before (Benefit) Provision for Income Taxes</b>	(64,096)	(181,951)	164,374	(30,135)	(111,808)
(Benefit) Provision for Income Taxes		(117,855)	70,143		(47,712)
<b>Net (Loss) Income</b>	\$ (64,096)	\$ (64,096)	\$ 94,231	\$ (30,135)	\$ (64,096)
<b>Total Comprehensive (Loss) Income</b>	\$ (64,096)	\$ (64,096)	\$ 94,231	\$ (30,135)	\$ (64,096)

**Table of Contents****Burlington Coat Factory Investments Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Loss (Income)**

(All amounts in thousands)

	Holdings	For the Three Months Ended October 29, 2011			Consolidated
		BCFW	Guarantors	Eliminations	
<b>REVENUES:</b>					
Net Sales	\$	\$	\$ 898,663	\$	\$ 898,663
Other Revenue		114	8,026		8,140
<b>Total Revenue</b>		114	906,689		906,803
<b>COSTS AND EXPENSES:</b>					
Cost of Sales			540,807		540,807
Selling and Administrative Expenses		46,597	270,537		317,134
Restructuring and Separation Costs		(895)	1,326		431
Depreciation and Amortization		6,482	32,706		39,188
Other Income, Net		(1,268)	(629)		(1,897)
Interest Expense		34,293	519		34,812
Loss (Earnings) from Equity Investment	10,277	(31,361)		21,084	
<b>Total Costs and Expenses</b>	10,277	53,848	845,266	21,084	930,475
<b>(Loss) Income Before (Benefit) Provision for Income Taxes</b>	(10,277)	(53,734)	61,423	(21,084)	(23,672)
(Benefit) Provision for Income Taxes		(43,457)	30,062		(13,395)
<b>Net (Loss) Income</b>	\$ (10,277)	\$ (10,277)	\$ 31,361	\$ (21,084)	\$ (10,277)
<b>Total Comprehensive (Loss) Income</b>	\$ (10,277)	\$ (10,277)	\$ 31,361	\$ (21,084)	\$ (10,277)



**Table of Contents****Burlington Coat Factory Investments Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows**

(All amounts in thousands)

	Holdings	For the Nine Months Ended October 27, 2012			Consolidated
		BCFW	Guarantors	Elimination	
<b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>					
<b>Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities</b>	\$	\$ 255,010	\$ 58,186	\$	\$ 313,196
<b>INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>					
Cash Paid For Property and Equipment		(24,955)	(104,299)		(129,254)
Proceeds Received from Sale of Fixed Assets			407		407
Lease Rights Acquired			(430)		(430)
<b>Net Cash Used in Investing Activities</b>		(24,955)	(104,322)		(129,277)
<b>FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>					
Proceeds from Long Term Debt ABL Line of Credit		404,500			404,500
Proceeds from Long Term Debt Term Loan		116,913			116,913
Principal Payments on Long Term Debt ABL Line of Credit		(572,800)			(572,800)
Principal Payments on Long Term Debt			(521)		(521)
Principal Payments on Long Term Debt Term Loan		(135,749)	-		(135,749)
Debt Issuance Cost		(807)	-		(807)
Stock Option Exercise and Related Tax Benefits		761	-		761
Intercompany Borrowings (Payments)		(25,687)	25,687		
Payment of Dividends	(1,711)	(1,711)		1,711	(1,711)
Receipt of Dividends	1,711			(1,711)	
<b>Net Cash Used In Financing Activities</b>		(214,580)	25,166		(189,414)
Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents		15,475	(20,970)		(5,495)
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period		11,523	24,141		35,664
<b>Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period</b>	\$	\$ 26,998	\$ 3,171	\$	\$ 30,169

**Table of Contents****Burlington Coat Factory Investments Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows**

(All amounts in thousands)

	Holdings	For the Nine Months Ended October 29, 2011			Consolidated
		BCFW	Guarantors	Elimination	
<b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>					
<b>Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities</b>	\$	\$ 325,478	\$ (100,296)	\$	\$ 225,182
<b>INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>					
Cash Paid For Property and Equipment		(36,588)	(79,658)		(116,246)
Proceeds Received from Sale of Fixed Assets			663		663
Lease Rights Acquired			(557)		(557)
Change in Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents		(7,000)	2,442		(4,558)
Investing Activity Other		(1,064)			(1,064)
<b>Net Cash Used in Investing Activities</b>		(44,652)	(77,110)		(121,762)
<b>FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>					
Proceeds from Long Term Debt ABL Line of Credit		687,800			687,800
Proceeds from Long Term Debt Notes Payable		450,000			450,000
Proceeds from Long Term Debt Term Loan		991,158			991,158
Principal Payments on Long Term Debt ABL Line of Credit		(698,300)			(698,300)
Principal Payments on Long Term Debt Senior Discount Notes			(99,309)		(99,309)
Principal Payments on Long Term Debt Senior Notes		(302,056)			(302,056)
Principal Payments on Long Term Debt			(622)		(622)
Principal Payments on Long Term Debt Term Loan		(790,050)			(790,050)
Debt Issuance Cost		(29,255)			(29,255)
Stock Option Exercise and Related Tax Benefits		760			760
Intercompany Borrowings (Payments)		(287,345)	287,345		
Payment of Dividends	(297,917)	(297,917)		297,917	(297,917)
Receipt of Dividends	297,917			(297,917)	
<b>Net Cash Used In Financing Activities</b>		(275,205)	187,414		(87,791)
Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents		5,621	10,008		15,629
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period		7,168	23,046		30,214
<b>Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period</b>	\$	\$ 12,789	\$ 33,054	\$	\$ 45,843

---

**Table of Contents**

**BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY INVESTMENTS HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

The Company's management intends for this discussion to provide the reader with information that will assist in understanding the Company's financial statements, the changes in certain key items in those financial statements from period to period, and the primary factors that accounted for those changes, as well as how certain accounting principles affect our financial statements. All discussions of operations in this report relate to Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation and its subsidiaries, which are reflected in the financial statements of Burlington Coat Factory Investments Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries (hereinafter we or our or Holdings). The following discussion contains forward-looking information and should be read in conjunction with the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this report and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K related to the fiscal year ended January 28, 2012 (Fiscal 2011 10-K). Our actual results could differ materially from the results contemplated by these forward-looking statements due to various factors, including those discussed under the section of this Item 2 entitled "Safe Harbor Statement."

**Fiscal Year**

Fiscal 2012 is defined as the 53 week year ending February 2, 2013. We define the 2011 fiscal year (Fiscal 2011) and the 2010 fiscal year (Fiscal 2010) as the 52 week periods ending January 28, 2012 and January 29, 2011, respectively.

**Overview**

*Three Month Period Ended October 27, 2012 Compared with the Three Month Period ended October 29, 2011*

Consolidated net sales increased \$69.2 million, or 7.7%, to \$967.9 million for the three months ended October 27, 2012 from \$898.7 million for the three months ended October 29, 2011. This increase was primarily attributable to an increase in sales related to new stores and stores previously opened that are not included in our comparable store sales as well as a 2.1%, or \$19.1 million, increase in our comparable store sales. We believe the comparable store sales increase was due primarily to our ongoing initiatives as discussed in further detail below (refer to the sections below entitled "Ongoing Initiatives for Fiscal 2012" and "Three Month Period Ended October 27, 2012 compared with the Three Month Period Ended October 29, 2011" for further explanation).

Cost of sales increased \$53.6 million, or 9.9%, during the three month period ended October 27, 2012 compared with the three month period ended October 29, 2011. The dollar increase in cost of sales was primarily related to sales from 25 net new stores that were opened since October 29, 2011 as well as our 2.1%, or \$19.1 million, comparable store sales increase.

Cost of sales as a percentage of net sales increased to 61.4% during the three months ended October 27, 2012 compared with 60.2% during the three months ended October 29, 2011. The increase in cost of sales as a percentage of net sales was primarily driven by lower initial markups partially offset by fewer markdowns taken and a lower shrink accrual rate.

Total selling and administrative expenses increased \$18.0 million, or 5.7%, during the three months ended October 27, 2012 compared with the three months ended October 29, 2011, primarily related to new stores and stores that were not operating for the full three months ended October 29, 2011 but did operate for the full three months ended October 27, 2012. Selling and administrative expenses as a percentage of sales decreased to 34.6% during the three months ended October 27, 2012 from 35.3% during the three months ended October 29, 2011. The decrease in selling and administrative expenses as a percentage of net sales was primarily related to our 2.1% comparable store sales growth (refer to the section below entitled "Three Month Period Ended October 27, 2012 compared with the Three Month Period Ended October 29, 2011" for further explanation).

We recorded a net loss of \$7.4 million for the three month period ended October 27, 2012 compared with a net loss of \$10.3 million for the three month period ended October 29, 2011. The improvement in our net loss position was primarily driven by our 2.1% increase in comparable store sales and a reduction in interest expense as a result of our refinancing, partially offset by a deterioration in gross margin (refer to the section below entitled "Three Month Period Ended October 27, 2012 compared with the Three Month Period Ended October 29, 2011" for further explanation).

*Nine Month Period Ended October 27, 2012 Compared with the Nine Month Period ended October 29, 2011*

## Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

Consolidated net sales increased \$193.4 million, or 7.4%, to \$2,814.5 million for the nine months ended October 27, 2012 from \$2,621.1 million for the nine months ended October 29, 2011. This increase was primarily attributable to an increase in sales related to new stores, stores previously opened that are not included in our comparable store sales, and a 1.8%, or \$47.9 million, increase in our comparable store sales. We believe the comparable store sales increase was due primarily to our ongoing initiatives as discussed in further detail below (refer to the sections below entitled Ongoing Initiatives for Fiscal 2012 and Nine Month Period Ended October 27, 2012 compared with the Nine Month Period Ended October 29, 2011 for further explanation).

## **Table of Contents**

Cost of sales increased \$132.6 million, or 8.2%, during the nine month period ended October 27, 2012 compared with the nine month period ended October 29, 2011. The dollar increase in cost of sales was primarily related to sales from 25 net new stores that were opened since October 29, 2011 as well as our 1.8%, or \$47.9 million, comparable store sales increase.

Cost of sales as a percentage of net sales during the nine months ended October 27, 2012 increased to 62.5% from 62.0% for the nine months ended October 29, 2011 primarily driven by lower initial markups partially offset by fewer markdowns taken and a lower shrink accrual rate (refer to the section below entitled *Nine Month Period Ended October 27, 2012 compared with the Nine Month Period Ended October 29, 2011* for further explanation).

Total selling and administrative expenses increased \$65.8 million, or 7.5%, during the nine months ended October 27, 2012 compared with the nine months ended October 29, 2011, primarily related to new stores. At October 27, 2012, we operated 497 stores compared with 472 stores at October 29, 2011. Selling and administrative expenses as a percentage of sales during the nine months ended October 27, 2012 remained in line with the nine months ended October 29, 2011 at 33.7% (refer to the section below entitled *Nine Month Period Ended October 27, 2012 compared with the Nine Month Period Ended October 29, 2011* for further explanation).

We recorded a net loss of \$42.6 million for the nine month period ended October 27, 2012 compared with a net loss of \$64.1 million for the nine month period ended October 29, 2011. The improvement in our results during the nine months ended October 27, 2012 compared with the nine months ended October 29, 2011 was primarily attributable to the impact of a \$37.8 million loss on extinguishment of debt that occurred during the nine months ended October 29, 2011 related to our debt refinancing transactions, compared to a loss on extinguishment of debt during the nine months ended October 27, 2012 of only \$3.4 million. These items are discussed in further detail below under the caption *Nine Month Period Ended October 27, 2012 compared with the Nine Month Period Ended October 29, 2011*.

## **Debt Refinancing**

On May 16, 2012, we entered into Amendment No. 1 (the Amendment) to the credit agreement governing our term loan credit agreement (the Term Loan Credit Agreement) in order to, among other things, reduce the applicable margin on the interest rates applicable to our \$1,000.0 million Senior Secured Term Loan Facility (Term Loan Facility) by 50 basis points. To accomplish this interest rate reduction, the Amendment provided for a replacement of the previously outstanding \$950.5 million principal amount of term B loans (the Term B Loans) with a like aggregate principal amount of term B-1 loans (the Term B-1 Loans). We offered existing term loan lenders the option to convert their Term B Loans into Term B-1 Loans on a non-cash basis. The \$119.3 million Term B Loans held by existing lenders electing not to convert their Term B Loans into Term B-1 Loans were prepaid in full on the effective date of the Amendment from the proceeds of new Term B-1 Loans.

The Term B-1 Loans have the same maturity date that was applicable to the Term B Loans. The Term Loan Credit Agreement provisions relating to the representations and warranties, covenants and events of default applicable to the Company and the guarantors were not modified by the Amendment.

## **Current Conditions**

### *Store Openings, Closings, and Relocations.*

During the nine months ended October 27, 2012, we opened 21 Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Stores (BCF Stores) and closed one store. As of October 27, 2012, we operated 497 stores under the names Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse (479 stores), Cohoes Fashions (two stores), Super Baby Depot (two stores) and 14 shoe stores under the names MJM Designer Shoes (13 stores) and Burlington Shoes (one store).

We continue to pursue our growth plans and invest in capital projects that meet our financial requirements. We currently plan to open four new stores (inclusive of one relocation) during the remainder of Fiscal 2012.

### *Ongoing Initiatives for Fiscal 2012*

We continue to focus on a number of ongoing initiatives aimed at increasing our overall profitability by improving our comparable store sales trends, total sales growth and reducing expenses. These initiatives include, but are not limited to:

I.

**Continuing to Offer a Leading Selection of Branded Apparel at Every Day Low Prices (EDLP):** We offer broad product assortments to provide our customers with a wider selection and variety of branded products and categories than that of our off-price competitors. Our selection of youth apparel, including special occasion clothing, as well as our baby clothing, furniture and care items are key offering differentiators from department stores' selections. In contrast to merchandise at department and specialty stores, our merchandise is offered at EDLP, allowing customers to obtain great values at our stores without waiting for sales or promotions. We focus on delivering exceptional values that fit within a good, better and best pricing strategy.

**Table of Contents**

**II. Continuing to Execute Our Open to Buy Model and Improve Merchandising:** Our open to buy paradigm, in which we purchase both pre-season and in-season merchandise, improves our receipt-to-reduction ratio and enables more flexibility for buying wear-now products. Our receipt-to-reduction ratio matches forecasted levels of receipts to forecasted inventory outflows (inclusive of sales, markdowns, and inventory shrinkage) on a monthly basis. Our buying model continues to be focused on purchasing less pre-season, with the majority purchased in-season and opportunistically. Less pre-season purchasing allows us to buy more in-season product to capitalize on strong performing categories and businesses as well as to take full advantage of the current levels of highly desirable opportunistic product in the marketplace. We are also able to better appeal to our core female customer by improving product freshness and broadening brand assortments.

**III. Continuing to Improve Our Store Experience Through the Eyes of the Customer:** We have empowered our store teams to provide an outstanding customer experience for every customer in every store, every day. We will continue to streamline processes to create opportunities for fast and effective customer interactions wherever possible. Our mission is to have stores that reflect clean, organized merchandise presentations that highlight the brands, value and diversity of our selection within our assortments.

We plan to continue execution of this initiative throughout Fiscal 2012 by:

- a) Continuing with our in-store customer satisfaction program that measures 13 different aspects of customer satisfaction. Examples include: friendliness of associates, interior cleanliness and selection of merchandise;
- b) Continuing the implementation of a store upgrade program with respect to stores that we have identified as having certain needs such as new flooring, lighting and graphics, painting and fitting rooms and various other improvements;
- c) Continuing to train, develop and recognize our store employees so that they can continue to provide an outstanding customer experience. Our goal is to provide an outstanding customer experience to every customer in every store, every time they enter the store. We expect to accomplish this through enhanced training and education programs, the continuing evolution of our Manager On Duty program and our enhanced associate and store recognition programs. Through these, and other directives, we expect to keep our store managers and store associates focused on core behaviors that will enhance the customer experience and ultimately drive sales; and
- d) Continuing to improve our execution within the stores in order to get goods to the floor more quickly in a manner that makes it easier for the customer to find what they are looking for and to improve the efficiency at checkout.

We plan to accomplish this through:

enhancing our store receiving procedures so we can get goods from the loading dock to the floor in a more timely manner;

enhancing our sizing initiatives to make it easier for customers to find the size of the goods they are looking for; and

enhancing and expanding our queuing project practices such that customers check out through one line where the next available register checks out the next customer in the line, ultimately improving the speed at which customers can get through the checkout process as well as driving incremental sales related to impulse buys while customers are in the queue.

**IV.**

## Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

**Continuing to Focus on Improving our Customer Loyalty:** We continue to focus on enhancing our relationship with our current customer base with the goal of increasing the frequency with which they shop our stores as well as the amount of merchandise they buy during those visits. We intend to accomplish this through:

- a) Continuing our improvement of the in-store experience, as previously described and
- b) Continuing to enhance the targeting of our message to our customers. We are developing more tactical ways to better communicate with our customers, both nationally and locally.

**V. Continuing to Deliver Consistent Gross Margin:** We continue to focus on having stable merchandise gross margin as a percentage of net sales. We plan to continue execution of this initiative by:

- a) Continuing the implementation of new software applications which will provide for enhanced functionality during Fiscal 2012 and beyond including:

refined allocation of goods;

markdown optimization; and

more efficient planning and forecasting tools.



## Table of Contents

The foundation of these systems and the new planning tools were completed during Fiscal 2011. The enhanced functionality related to allocation of goods and markdown optimization are planned to be fully implemented during Fiscal 2012 and Fiscal 2013;

- b) Continuing to manage our inventory receipt to reduction ratio. By matching receipt dollars to sales and markdown dollars we believe we will continue to maintain liquidity and will be able to take advantage of in season buying opportunities and to capitalize on those businesses that are trending well;
- c) Continuing to ensure adequate open to buy and buying more opportunistically in season. By staying liquid, we believe we will put ourselves in a position to be able to take advantage of opportunistic in-season buys that will maximize our sales;
- d) Continuing to improve the amount of current inventory as a percentage of our total inventory. By having more current inventory in our merchandise mix, we believe we will be afforded more pricing flexibility to provide additional value to our customers without reducing our overall merchandise margins; and
- e) Reducing our shrink as a percentage of net sales. During Fiscal 2011 we added additional resources to help improve existing controls and processes to reduce our shrink as a percentage of net sales without negatively impacting the store experience. We expect to continue to see improved results from this initiative during Fiscal 2012.

## **VI. Continuing to Improve Upon Operating Efficiencies:**

- a) **Improve store efficiencies.** During Fiscal 2011, we implemented an automated store labor scheduling system in our stores. We believe this new system will provide numerous efficiencies without sacrificing our ability to serve our customers, including, but not limited to, better forecasting of volume and workload, improved allocation of manpower to meet customer demand, and support of our store experience and service initiatives.
- b) **Supply chain efficiencies.** We continue to work on several key initiatives to improve supply chain efficiencies and service levels. We will continue to make prudent investments within our distribution network to handle increased volume with greater flexibility. In turn, this should allow us to better support our off-price model and enable our merchants to take advantage of more closeout opportunities.

Additionally, we will continue working towards minimizing costs and improving efficiencies with any expected savings being reinvested into the business. We plan to accomplish this primarily by increasing labor efficiency, strategically reducing our vendor direct to store shipments and reducing our outbound distribution expense through a variety of initiatives.

## **Uncertainties and Challenges**

As management strives to increase profitability through achieving positive comparable store sales and leveraging productivity initiatives focused on improving the in-store experience, more efficient movement of products from the vendors to the selling floors, and modifying our marketing plans to increase our core customer base and increase our share of our current customers' spending, there are uncertainties and challenges that we face as an off-price retailer of apparel and accessories for men, women and children and home furnishings that could have a material impact on our revenues or income.

Consumer spending habits, including spending for the merchandise that we sell, are affected by, among other things, prevailing economic conditions, inflation, levels of employment, salaries and wage rates, prevailing interest rates, housing costs, energy costs, commodities pricing, income tax rates and policies, consumer confidence and consumer perception of economic conditions. In addition, consumer purchasing patterns may be influenced by consumers' disposable income, credit availability and debt levels.

## Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

A weakness in the U.S. economy, an uncertain economic outlook or a credit crisis could adversely affect consumer spending habits resulting in lower net sales and profits than expected on a quarterly or annual basis. Consumer confidence is also affected by the domestic international political situation. Our financial condition and operations could be impacted by changes in government regulations such as taxes, healthcare reform and other areas. The outbreak or escalation of war or the occurrence of terrorist acts or other hostilities in or affecting the U.S. could lead to a decrease in spending by consumers.

We closely monitor our net sales, gross margin, expenses and working capital. We have performed scenario planning such that if our net sales decline, we have identified variable costs that could be reduced to partially mitigate the impact of these declines. If adverse economic trends continue, or if our efforts to counteract the impacts of these trends are not sufficiently effective, there could be a negative impact on our financial performance and position in future fiscal periods. For further discussion of the risks to us regarding general economic conditions, please refer to the section below entitled **Liquidity and Capital Resources** and the risks discussed in the Fiscal 2011 10-K under the heading **Risk Factors**.

---

## Table of Contents

### **Key Performance Measures**

We consider numerous factors in assessing our performance. Key performance measures used by management include comparable store sales, gross margin, inventory, store payroll as a percentage of net sales and liquidity.

*Comparable Store Sales.* Comparable store sales measure performance of a store during the current reporting period against the performance of the same store in the corresponding period of the previous year. The method of calculating comparable store sales varies across the retail industry. As a result, our definition of comparable store sales may differ from other retailers. We define comparable store sales as sales of those stores, including online, commencing on the first day of the fiscal month one year after the end of their grand opening activities, which normally conclude within the first two months of operations. For the three and nine months ended October 27, 2012, we experienced increases in comparable store sales of 2.1% and 1.8%, respectively, compared with the three and nine months ended October 29, 2011.

Various factors affect comparable store sales, including, but not limited to, weather conditions, current economic conditions, the timing of our releases of new merchandise and promotional events, the general retail sales environment, consumer preferences and buying trends, changes in sales mix among distribution channels, competition, and the success of marketing programs.

*Gross Margin.* Gross margin is a measure used by management to indicate whether we are selling merchandise at an appropriate gross profit. Gross margin is the difference between net sales and the cost of sales. Our cost of sales and gross margin may not be comparable to those of other entities, since some entities include all of the costs related to their buying and distribution functions in cost of sales. We include certain of these costs in the line items Selling and Administrative Expenses and Depreciation and Amortization in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss. We include in our Cost of Sales line item all costs of merchandise (net of purchase discounts and certain vendor allowances), inbound freight, distribution center outbound freight and certain merchandise acquisition costs, primarily commissions and import fees. Gross margin as a percentage of net sales during the three and nine months ended October 27, 2012 decreased to 38.6% and 37.5%, respectively, compared with the three and nine months ended October 29, 2011 at 39.8% and 38.0%, respectively. The decrease in our gross margin as a percentage of sales for the three and nine months ended October 27, 2012 compared with the three and nine months ended October 30, 2011 is the result of our initiative to be more competitively priced initially which has resulted in lower initial markup and in turn an improved inventory turnover ratio, thereby partially offsetting the need to take markdowns. Also partially offsetting the impact of our lower initial markup is a reduction in our shrink accrual rate period over period which is based on our year over year shrink trends. In accordance with our policy, physical inventories are taken during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year at which point our estimated shrink will be adjusted to actual.

*Inventory.* Inventory at October 27, 2012 was \$845.0 million compared with \$682.3 million at January 28, 2012. The increase of \$162.7 million was primarily the result of the seasonality of our business, as inventory is typically at its lowest levels in January, after the holiday selling season. The increase in inventory resulted in an increase of average store inventory (defined as inventory inclusive of stores and warehouse inventory divided by the total number of stores as of the respective balance sheet date) at October 27, 2012 of approximately 18.9% to \$1.7 million per store compared with average store inventory of \$1.4 million per store at January 28, 2012.

Inventory at October 27, 2012 decreased \$100.7 million from \$945.7 million at October 29, 2011 to \$845.0 million at October 27, 2012. Average store inventory at October 27, 2012 decreased 15.1% to \$1.7 million per store compared with average store inventory of \$2.0 million per store at October 29, 2011. Average inventory per comparable store decreased 16.6%. The decrease in both average store inventory and comparable store inventory was the result of our ongoing initiative to reduce inventory levels which we believe will result in faster turns and reduced markdowns.

In order to better serve our customers, and maximize sales, we continue to refine our merchandising mix and inventory levels within our stores. By managing our inventories appropriately we believe we will be better able to deliver a continual flow of fresh merchandise to our customers. We continue to move toward more productive inventories by increasing the amount of current inventory as a percent of total inventory.

We continue to manage our merchandise flow based on a receipt-to-reduction ratio. By matching forecasted levels of receipts to forecasted inventory outflows (inclusive of sales, markdowns and inventory shrinkage) on a monthly basis, we believe we create a more normalized receipt cadence to support sales which will ultimately lead to an improved inventory turnover ratio.

Inventory turnover is a measure that indicates how efficiently inventory is bought and sold. It measures the length of time that we own our inventory. This is significant because usually the longer the inventory is owned, the more likely markdowns may be required to sell the inventory. Inventory turnover is calculated by dividing retail sales before sales discounts by the average retail value of the inventory for the period being measured. This inventory turnover calculation is based on a rolling 13 month average of inventory for the period being measured.

Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

Our annualized inventory turnover rate as of October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011 was 3.0 turns per year and 2.8 turns per year, respectively.

---

## **Table of Contents**

*Store Payroll as a Percentage of Net Sales.* Store payroll as a percentage of net sales measures our ability to manage our payroll in accordance with increases or decreases in net sales. The method of calculating store payroll varies across the retail industry. As a result, our store payroll as a percentage of net sales may differ from other retailers. We define store payroll as regular and overtime payroll for all store personnel as well as regional and territory personnel, exclusive of payroll charges to corporate and warehouse employees. Store payroll as a percentage of net sales was 11.0% and 10.8% during the three and nine months ended October 27, 2012 compared with 11.1% and 10.8% during the three and nine months ended October 29, 2011, respectively.

*Liquidity.* Liquidity measures our ability to generate cash. Management measures liquidity through cash flow and working capital position. Cash flow is the measure of cash generated from operating, financing, and investing activities. We experienced a decrease in cash flow of \$5.5 million during the nine month period ended October 27, 2012 resulting in a cash and cash equivalent balance of \$30.2 million as of October 27, 2012 compared with an increase in cash flow generated during the nine months ended October 29, 2011 of \$15.6 million. This decrease was primarily driven by a decrease in cash flow related to repayments, net of borrowings on our ABL Line of Credit of \$168.3 million during the nine months ended October 27, 2012 compared with repayments, net of borrowings on our ABL of \$10.5 million during the nine months ended October 29, 2011. Also contributing to the decreased cash flow was a smaller increase in accounts payable from January 28, 2012 to October 27, 2012 compared with the accounts payable increase from January 30, 2011 to October 29, 2011 related to our working capital management strategy at the end of each fiscal year. Our working capital management strategy accelerated certain vendor payments at the end of each fiscal year that typically would not have been made until the first quarter of the next fiscal year, which lowered our accounts payable balances at the end of each fiscal year. As our accounts payable balances return to historical levels at the end of the first quarter of each fiscal year, this creates additional cash flow. The decrease during the nine months ended October 27, 2012 compared with the nine months ended October 29, 2011 was primarily driven by fewer accelerated payments made as part of our working capital management strategy during January of Fiscal 2011 compared with January of Fiscal 2010 and the timing of accounts payable payments.

These reductions in cash flow were partially offset by a smaller increase in merchandise inventories from January 28, 2012 to October 27, 2012 compared with the increase from January 29, 2011 to October 29, 2011 which resulted in \$138.8 million less in cash outflows related to merchandise inventory.

Changes in working capital also impact our cash flows. Working capital equals current assets (exclusive of restricted cash and cash equivalents) minus current liabilities. Working capital at October 27, 2012 was \$77.2 million compared with \$337.9 million at January 28, 2012. The decrease in working capital from January 28, 2012 was primarily attributable to an increase in accounts payable related to our year end working capital management strategy.

Working capital at October 27, 2012 decreased \$179.6 million from \$256.8 million at October 29, 2011 to \$77.2 million. The decrease in working capital was primarily attributable to our ongoing initiatives to reduce inventory levels which we believe will result in faster turns and reduced markdowns.

## **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

Our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect (i) the reported amounts of assets and liabilities; (ii) the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements; and (iii) the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. On an on-going basis, management evaluates its estimates and judgments, including those related to inventories, long lived assets, intangible assets, goodwill impairment, insurance reserves and income taxes. Historical experience and various other factors, that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, form the basis for making estimates and judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Our critical accounting policies and estimates are consistent with those disclosed in our Fiscal 2011 10-K.

## **Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth certain items in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss as a percentage of net sales for the three and nine month periods ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011.

**Table of Contents**

	Percentage of Net Sales			
	Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	October 27, 2012	October 29, 2011	October 27, 2012	October 29, 2011
Net Sales	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Other Revenue	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.9
<b>Total Revenue</b>	<b>100.8</b>	<b>100.9</b>	<b>100.8</b>	<b>100.9</b>
Cost of Sales	62.5	62.0	61.4	60.2
Selling and Administrative Expenses	33.7	33.7	34.6	35.3
Restructuring and Separation Costs	0.1	0.2	0.1	
Depreciation and Amortization	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3
Impairment Charges Long-Lived Assets			0.1	
Other (Income) Expense, Net	(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.2)	(0.2)
Loss on Extinguishment of Debt	0.1	1.5		
Interest Expense	3.0	3.8	2.8	3.9
<b>Total Expense</b>	<b>103.5</b>	<b>105.2</b>	<b>103.0</b>	<b>103.5</b>
<b>Loss before Income Tax Benefit</b>	<b>(2.7)</b>	<b>(4.3)</b>	<b>(2.2)</b>	<b>(2.6)</b>
Income Tax Benefit	(1.1)	(1.8)	(1.5)	(1.5)
<b>Net Loss</b>	<b>(1.6)%</b>	<b>(2.5)%</b>	<b>(0.7)%</b>	<b>(1.1)%</b>

**Three Month Period Ended October 27, 2012 compared with the Three Month Period Ended October 29, 2011***Net Sales*

We experienced an increase in net sales for the three months ended October 27, 2012 compared with the three months ended October 29, 2011. Consolidated net sales increased \$69.2 million, or 7.7%, to \$967.9 million for the three months ended October 27, 2012 from \$898.7 million for the three months ended October 29, 2011. This increase was primarily attributable to the following:

an increase in net sales of \$29.1 million related to 21 new stores opened during Fiscal 2012,

an increase in net sales of \$21.9 million from stores previously opened that were not included in our comparable store sales, and

an increase in comparable store sales of \$19.1 million, or 2.1%, to \$910.5 million; partially offset by

a decrease in net sales of \$0.9 million from stores closed since the comparable period last year and other sales adjustments.

We believe the comparable store sales increase was due primarily to our ongoing initiatives as discussed previously under the caption entitled Ongoing Initiatives for Fiscal 2012.

*Other Revenue*

Other revenue (consisting of rental income from leased departments, sublease rental income, layaway, alteration and other service charges, and miscellaneous revenue items) decreased to \$8.0 million for the three month period ended October 27, 2012 compared with \$8.1 million for the three month period ended October 29, 2011.

*Cost of Sales*

## Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

Cost of sales increased \$53.6 million, or 9.9%, during the three month period ended October 27, 2012 compared with the three month period ended October 29, 2011. The dollar increase in cost of sales was primarily related to the increase in net sales as described above. Cost of sales as a percentage of net sales increased to 61.4% during the three months ended October 27, 2012 compared with the three months ended October 29, 2011 of 60.2%. The increase in cost of sales as a percentage of net sales was primarily driven by lower initial markups partially offset by fewer markdowns taken and a lower shrink accrual rate.

**Table of Contents*****Selling and Administrative Expenses***

Selling and administrative expenses increased \$18.0 million, or 5.7%, for the three month period ended October 27, 2012 compared with the three month period ended October 29, 2011. Selling and administrative expenses decreased to 34.6% of net sales for the three month period ended October 27, 2012 compared with 35.3% of net sales for the three month period ended October 29, 2011. The increase in selling and administrative expenses is summarized in the table below:

	<i>(in thousands)</i>			
	Three Months Ended		\$ Variance	% Change
	October 27, 2012	October 29, 2011		
Payroll and Payroll Related	\$ 149,318	\$ 140,845	\$ 8,473	6.0%
Advertising	26,500	22,666	3,834	16.9
Occupancy	106,464	103,026	3,438	3.3
Benefit Costs	5,857	4,711	1,146	24.3
Other	39,989	39,043	946	2.4
Business Insurance	6,978	6,843	135	2.0
<b>Selling &amp; Administrative Expenses</b>	<b>\$ 335,106</b>	<b>\$ 317,134</b>	<b>\$ 17,972</b>	<b>5.7%</b>

The increase in payroll and payroll related expense of \$8.5 million during the three months ended October 27, 2012 compared with the three months ended October 29, 2011 was primarily related to the addition of 25 net new stores as well as stores that were operating for the full three months ended October 27, 2012 that were not operating for the full three months ended October 29, 2011. Amounts related to these stores resulted in an increase in payroll and payroll related costs of \$7.2 million. Also contributing to the increase in payroll and payroll related costs was an increase in store, corporate and warehouse payroll of \$4.1 million primarily related to our planned investments aimed at improving the execution of our buying model and our customers' shopping experience and a corresponding increase in payroll taxes of \$0.3 million associated with the increased payroll expense. These increases were partially offset by a \$3.1 million decrease in stock compensation expense primarily related to an adjustment to the forfeiture rate in the prior year.

The increase in advertising expense of \$3.8 million during the three months ended October 27, 2012 compared with the three months ended October 29, 2011 was primarily related to a \$1.9 million increase as the result of new stores and stores that operated for the full three month period ended October 27, 2012 that were not operating for the full three months ended October 29, 2011 inclusive of six additional grand openings during the three month period compared with the prior year. Also contributing to the increase in advertising expense is the increase in television and mailing advertisements.

The increase in occupancy related costs of \$3.4 million during the three months ended October 27, 2012 compared with the three months ended October 29, 2011 was primarily related to a \$7.3 million increase in new stores and stores that operated for the full three month period ended October 27, 2012 that were not operating for the full three months ended October 29, 2011 and a \$1.0 million increase in real estate taxes. The increase was partially offset by decreases in utilities expense of \$2.3 million and a decrease in maintenance expense of \$0.6 million, both resulting from our ongoing initiatives to reduce costs without sacrificing customer satisfaction.

Benefit costs increased \$1.1 million for the three months ended October 27, 2012 compared with the three months ended October 29, 2011, primarily attributable to increased participation in our health insurance plans.

Other selling and administrative expenses increased \$0.9 million for the three months ended October 27, 2012 compared with the three months ended October 29, 2011, primarily attributable to a \$0.8 million increase related to the operation of new stores and stores that were operating for the full three months ended October 27, 2012 that were not operating for the full three months ended October 29, 2011. Also contributing to the increase was a \$0.6 million increase in temporary help and a \$0.6 million increase in miscellaneous taxes, partially offset by a \$0.9 million decrease in credit card fees as a result of the Durbin Amendment.

***Restructuring and Separation Costs***

Restructuring and separation costs totaled \$0.6 million during the three months ended October 27, 2012 compared with \$0.4 million during the three months ended October 29, 2011. During the three months ended October 27, 2012, in an effort to improve workflow efficiencies and



## Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

realign certain responsibilities, we effected a reorganization of certain positions within our stores and corporate locations. As a result of this reorganization, we incurred a charge of \$0.6 million.

### ***Depreciation and Amortization***

Depreciation and amortization expense related to the depreciation of fixed assets and the amortization of favorable and unfavorable leases amounted to \$40.8 million during the three month period ended October 27, 2012 compared with \$39.2 million during the three month period ended October 29, 2011. The increase in depreciation and amortization expense is primarily driven by capital expenditures related to investments in our warehouse functions as well as 25 net new stores opened since October 29, 2011.

### ***Impairment Charges - Long-Lived Assets***

We incurred a \$1.0 million impairment charge during the three months ended October 27, 2012, compared with no impairment charges incurred during the three month period ended October 29, 2011. The impairment charge during the three months ended October 27, 2012 was primarily related to an asset that was classified as held for sale being written down to its fair value. There were no triggering events during these periods that would have required us to perform additional impairment testing.

**Table of Contents**

The recoverability assessment related to these store-level assets requires judgments and estimates of future revenues, gross margin rates and store expenses. We base these estimates upon our past and expected future performance. We believe our estimates are appropriate in light of current market conditions. However, future impairment charges could be required if we do not achieve our current revenue or cash flow projections for each store.

**Other Income, Net**

Other Income, Net (consisting of investment income, gains and losses on disposition of assets, breakage income and other miscellaneous items) for the three month period ended October 27, 2012 remained in line with the three month period ended October 29, 2011 at \$1.9 million.

**Interest Expense**

Interest expense was \$27.4 million for the three month period ended October 27, 2012 compared with \$34.8 million for the three month period ended October 29, 2011. The \$7.4 million decrease in interest expense was primarily driven by the following:

a \$3.9 million reduction in amortization of deferred debt fees resulting from the May 2012 Term Loan Facility refinancing,

a \$2.4 million decrease in interest expense related to lower average balances and lower interest rates related to our Term Loan Facility as a result of our May 2012 refinancing transaction,

a \$0.7 million reduction in interest expense on our ABL Line of Credit as a result of lower average balances and lower average interest rates, and

a \$0.4 million reduction as a result of our adjustments to our interest rate cap agreements to fair value, as discussed further below. Adjustments of the interest rate cap agreements to fair value, which are recorded in the line item Interest Expense in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss, amounted to a loss of \$0.1 million for the three months ended October 27, 2012 compared with a loss of \$0.5 million for the three months ended October 29, 2011. The loss recognized during the three months ended October 27, 2012 was primarily the result of a decrease in the underlying market rates and the passage of time, which in turn, decreased the value of the interest rate cap agreements. These charges resulted in a year over year decrease in interest expense of \$0.4 million.

Our average interest rates and average balances related to our Term Loan Facility and our ABL Line of Credit, for the three months ended October 27, 2012 compared with the three months ended October 29, 2011 are summarized in the table below:

		<b>Three Months Ended</b>	
		<b>October 27, 2012</b>	<b>October 29, 2011</b>
Average Interest Rate	ABL Line of Credit	2.1%	2.6%
Average Interest Rate	Term Loan	5.5%	6.4%
Average Balance	ABL Line of Credit	\$ 41.1 million	\$ 142.1 million
Average Balance	Term Loan	\$ 941.0 million	\$ 987.5 million

**Income Tax Benefit**

Income tax benefit was \$14.2 million and \$13.4 million for the three month periods ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011, respectively. The effective tax rates for the three month periods ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011 were 65.6% and 56.6%, respectively. In accordance with ASC Topic No. 270, *Interim Reporting* (Topic No. 270) and ASC Topic No. 740, *Income Taxes* (Topic No. 740), at the end of each interim period we are required to determine the best estimate of our annual effective tax rate and then apply that rate in providing for income taxes on a current year-to-date (interim period) basis. We used this methodology during the third quarter of Fiscal 2012, resulting in the annual effective income tax rate of 38.1% (before discrete items) being our best estimate. The effective tax rate for the three months ended

## Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

October 27, 2012 was impacted by discrete adjustments that increased the tax benefit by \$6.0 million primarily related to the reduction in unrecognized tax benefits (including interest and penalties) upon the closing of ongoing state audits related to filing positions taken by the Company, and true-ups for income tax estimates in prior periods.

Our best estimate of the projected annual effective income tax rate for the three months ended October 29, 2011 was 39.8% (before discrete items). The effective tax rate for the three months ended October 29, 2011 was impacted by discrete adjustments that increased the tax benefit by \$0.3 million predominantly relating to tax positions that are considered effectively settled as the result of the finalization of an IRS audit, offset by federal income tax true-ups as well as state tax assessments.

## **Table of Contents**

As of October 27, 2012, Congress had not yet extended certain tax credits. As a result, the estimated annual effective tax rate of 38.1% for Fiscal 2012 was lower than the estimated annual effective tax rate of 39.8% for Fiscal 2011 largely due to the effect of these tax credits being excluded from the estimated annual effective tax rate for Fiscal 2012.

### ***Net Loss***

Net loss amounted to \$7.4 million for the three months ended October 27, 2012 compared with a net loss of \$10.3 million for the three months ended October 29, 2011. The improvement in our net loss position was primarily driven by our 2.1% increase in comparable store sales and our reduction in interest expense as a result of our refinancing, partially offset by the previously discussed decrease in gross margin.

### **Nine Month Period Ended October 27, 2012 compared with Nine Month Period Ended October 29, 2011**

#### ***Net Sales***

We experienced an increase in net sales for the nine months ended October 27, 2012 compared with the nine months ended October 29, 2011. Consolidated net sales increased \$193.4 million, or 7.4%, to \$2,814.5 million for the nine months ended October 27, 2012 from \$2,621.1 million for the nine months ended October 29, 2011. This increase was primarily attributable to the following:

an increase in net sales of \$89.7 million from stores previously opened that were not included in our comparable store sales,

an increase in net sales of \$54.6 million related to 21 new stores opened during the nine months ended October 27, 2012, and

an increase in comparable store sales of \$47.9 million, or 1.8%, to \$2,648.3 million; partially offset by

an increase in net sales of \$1.2 million from stores closed since the comparable period last year and other sales adjustments.

We believe the comparable store sales increase was due primarily to our ongoing initiatives as discussed previously under the caption entitled Ongoing Initiatives for Fiscal 2012.

#### ***Other Revenue***

Other revenue (consisting of rental income from leased departments, sublease rental income, layaway, alteration and other service charges, and miscellaneous revenue items) increased to \$23.1 million for the nine month period ended October 27, 2012 compared with \$22.5 million for the nine month period ended October 29, 2011, primarily driven by an increase in rental income from leased departments as a result of increased sales in our leased departments.

#### ***Cost of Sales***

Cost of sales increased \$132.6 million, or 8.2%, during the nine month period ended October 27, 2012 compared with the nine month period ended October 29, 2011. The dollar increase in cost of sales was primarily related to the increase in net sales during the nine months ended October 27, 2012 compared to the nine months ended October 29, 2011, as discussed above, under the caption entitled Overview. Cost of sales as a percentage of net sales during the nine months ended October 27, 2012 increased to 62.5% from 62.0% for the nine months ended October 29, 2011. The increase in cost of sales as a percentage of net sales was primarily driven by lower initial markups partially offset by lower markdown expense and a lower shrink accrual rate.

**Table of Contents*****Selling and Administrative Expenses***

Selling and administrative expenses increased \$65.8 million, or 7.5%, for the nine month period ended October 27, 2012 compared with the nine month period ended October 29, 2011. Selling and administrative expenses for the nine month period ended October 27, 2012 remained unchanged compared with the nine month period ended October 29, 2011 at 33.7%. The increase in selling and administrative expenses is summarized in the table below:

	<i>(in thousands)</i>			
	Nine Months Ended		\$ Variance	% Change
	October 27, 2012	October 29, 2011		
Payroll and Payroll Related	\$ 440,428	\$ 403,896	\$ 36,532	9.0%
Occupancy	307,903	291,874	16,029	5.5
Benefit Costs	19,540	13,900	5,640	40.6
Other	106,526	102,067	4,459	4.4
Advertising	54,436	50,634	3,802	7.5
Business Insurance	19,599	20,297	(698)	(3.4)
<b>Selling &amp; Administrative Expenses</b>	<b>\$ 948,432</b>	<b>\$ 882,668</b>	<b>\$ 65,764</b>	<b>7.5%</b>

The increase in payroll and payroll related expense of \$36.5 million during the nine months ended October 27, 2012 compared with the nine months ended October 29, 2011 was primarily related to the addition of 25 net new stores as well as stores that were operating for the full nine months ended October 27, 2012 that were not operating for the full nine months ended October 29, 2011. Amounts related to these stores resulted in an increase in payroll and payroll related costs of \$21.1 million. Also contributing to the increase in payroll and payroll related costs was an increase in store and warehouse payroll of \$14.5 million primarily related to our planned investments aimed at improving the execution of our buying model and our customers shopping experience, and a corresponding increase in payroll taxes of \$2.4 million associated with the increased payroll expense.

The increase in occupancy related costs of \$16.0 million during the nine months ended October 27, 2012 compared with the nine months ended October 29, 2011 was primarily related to increases associated with new stores of \$12.6 and a \$7.9 million increase in stores that operated for the full nine month period ended October 27, 2012 that were not operating for the full nine months ended October 29, 2011. Also contributing to this increase was an increase in comparable store rent of \$0.7 million primarily driven by planned increases in rent expense with the passage of time as well as the impact of our straight line rent expense. These increases were partially offset by decreases in utilities expense of \$4.1 million as well as a decrease in maintenance expense of \$1.6 million, both as a result of our ongoing initiative to reduce costs without sacrificing customer experience.

Benefit costs increased \$5.6 million for the nine months ended October 27, 2012 compared with the nine months ended October 29, 2011 primarily attributable to increased participation in our health insurance plans.

The increase in other selling and administrative expenses of \$4.5 million during the nine months ended October 27, 2012 compared with the nine months ended October 29, 2011 was primarily related to \$3.7 million of fees incurred as part of our May 2012 debt refinancing that were expensed in accordance with Topic 470. Also contributing to the increase in other selling and administrative expenses were:

a \$2.7 million increase related to the addition of 25 net new stores as well as stores that were operating for the full nine months ended October 27, 2012 that were not operating for the full nine months ended October 29, 2011,

an increase in temporary help expense of \$2.0 million, primarily related to a planned increase in the number of units being processed through our distribution centers,

a \$1.4 million increase in legal fees and

increased travel and entertainment of \$1.3 million.

These increases were partially offset by a decrease in credit card fees of \$4.4 million as a result of the Durbin Amendment and a decrease in the legal reserve of \$2.3 million as a result of certain contingencies being settled during the nine months ended October 27, 2012.

The increase in advertising expense of \$3.8 million during the nine months ended October 27, 2012 compared with the nine months ended October 29, 2011 was primarily related to a \$3.9 million increase related to new stores and stores that operated for the full nine month period ended October 27, 2012 that were not operating for the full nine months ended October 29, 2011 as well as six additional grand openings during the three month period compared with the prior year.

***Restructuring and Separation Costs***

Restructuring and separation costs totaled \$2.4 million during the nine months ended October 27, 2012 compared with \$5.6 million during the nine months ended October 29, 2011. During the nine months ended October 27, 2012, in an effort to improve workflow efficiencies and realign certain responsibilities, we effected a reorganization of certain positions within our stores and corporate locations. As a result of the reorganization, we incurred a charge of \$2.4 million.

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Depreciation and Amortization***

Depreciation and amortization expense related to the depreciation of fixed assets and the amortization of favorable and unfavorable leases amounted to \$120.7 million during the nine month period ended October 27, 2012 compared with \$113.2 million during the nine month period ended October 29, 2011. The increase in depreciation and amortization expense is primarily driven by capital expenditures related to investments in our corporate and warehouse functions as well as 25 net new stores that were opened since October 29, 2011.

### ***Impairment Charges - Long-Lived Assets***

We incurred \$1.1 million of impairment charges during the nine months ended October 27, 2012, compared with less than \$0.1 million of impairment charges incurred during the nine month period ended October 29, 2011. The impairment charge during the nine months ended October 27, 2012 was primarily related to an asset that was classified as held for sale that was written down to its fair value. The impairment during the nine months ended October 29, 2011 was related to fixed asset additions at stores that had been previously impaired and therefore could not support the additional asset value. There were no triggering events during these periods that would have required us to perform additional impairment testing.

The recoverability assessment related to these store-level assets requires judgments and estimates of future revenues, gross margin rates and store expenses. We base these estimates upon our past and expected future performance. We believe our estimates are appropriate in light of current market conditions. However, future impairment charges could be required if we do not achieve our current revenue or cash flow projections for each store.

### ***Other Income, Net***

Other Income, Net (consisting of investment income, gains and losses on disposition of assets, breakage income and other miscellaneous items) decreased \$0.7 million to \$6.3 million for the nine month period ended October 27, 2012 compared with the nine month period ended October 29, 2011, primarily driven by recoveries during the prior nine month period that did not repeat during the nine months ended October 27, 2012.

### ***Loss on Extinguishment of Debt***

As discussed above under the caption *Debt Refinancing*, in May of 2012, we entered into the Amendment to the Term Loan Credit Agreement. As a result of this transaction and in accordance with Topic 470, we recognized a non-cash loss on the extinguishment of debt of \$3.4 million, which was recorded in the line item *Loss on the Extinguishment of Debt* in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss during the nine months ended October 27, 2012.

Comparatively, on February 24, 2011 we completed the refinancing of our \$900 million Senior Secured Term Loan, 11.1% Senior Notes, and 14.5% Senior Discount Notes. As a result of these transactions and in accordance with Topic 470, these transactions were determined to be an extinguishment of the previous debt and an issuance of new debt. As a result, we recorded a loss on the extinguishment of debt in the amount of \$37.8 million in the line item *Loss on Extinguishment of Debt* in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss for the nine months ended October 29, 2011.

### ***Interest Expense***

Interest expense was \$84.5 million for the nine month period ended October 27, 2012 compared with \$98.0 million for the nine month period ended October 29, 2011. The \$13.5 million decrease in interest expense was driven by the following:

a \$6.2 million reduction in amortization of deferred financing fees resulting from the May 2012 Term Loan Facility refinancing,

a \$3.6 million reduction in interest expense related to our ABL Line of Credit and Term Loan Facility as a result of lower average balances and lower average interest rates, as further described below,

## Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

a \$2.3 million reduction as a result of our adjustments to our interest rate cap agreements to fair value, as described further below, and

a \$1.0 million reduction in commitment fees as a result of our September 2011 amendment to the ABL which reduced the fee on the average daily balance of unused loan commitments.

Adjustments of the interest rate cap agreements to fair value, which are recorded in the line item Interest Expense in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss, amounted to a loss of less than \$0.1 million for the nine months ended October 27, 2012 compared with a loss of \$2.4 million for the nine months ended October 29, 2011. The loss recognized during the nine months ended October 27, 2012 was primarily the result of a decrease in the underlying market rates, which in turn, decreased the value of the interest rate cap agreements. These charges resulted in a year over year decrease in interest expense of \$2.3 million.



**Table of Contents**

Our average interest rates and average balances related to our Term Loan Facility and our ABL Line of Credit, for the nine months ended October 27, 2012 compared with the nine months ended October 29, 2011 are summarized in the table below:

		Nine Months Ended	
		October 27, 2012	October 29, 2011
Average Interest Rate	ABL Line of Credit	2.1%	3.5%
Average Interest Rate	Term Loan	5.8%	6.2%
Average Balance	ABL Line of Credit	\$ 45.0 million	\$ 82.3 million
Average Balance	Term Loan	\$ 947.3 million	\$ 970.2 million

**Income Tax Benefit**

Income tax benefit was \$32.0 million for the nine month period ended October 27, 2012. For the nine months ended October 29, 2011 we recorded income tax benefit of \$47.7 million. The effective tax rates for the nine month periods ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011 were 42.8% and 42.7% respectively. In accordance with Topic No. 270 and Topic No. 740, at the end of each interim period we are required to determine the best estimate of our annual effective tax rate and then apply that rate in providing for income taxes on a current year-to-date (interim period) basis. Our best estimate of the annual effective income tax rate as of October 27, 2012 was 38.1% (before discrete items). The effective tax rate for the nine months ended October 27, 2012 was impacted by discrete adjustments that increased the tax benefit by \$3.5 million primarily related to the reduction in unrecognized tax benefits (including interest and penalties) upon the closing of ongoing state audits related to filing positions taken by the Company, and true-ups for income tax estimates in prior periods.

Our best estimate of the projected annual effective income tax rate as of October 29, 2011 was 39.8% (before discrete items). The effective tax rate for the nine months ended October 29, 2011 was impacted by discrete adjustments that increased the tax benefit by \$3.2 million predominantly relating to state legislation enacted during the quarter, the accrual of interest related to unrecognized tax benefits established in prior years in accordance with Topic No. 740, and tax positions that are considered effectively settled as the result of the finalization of an IRS audit.

**Net Loss**

We recorded a net loss of \$42.6 million for the nine month period ended October 27, 2012 compared with a net loss of \$64.1 million for the nine month period ended October 29, 2011. The improvement in our results during the nine months ended October 27, 2012 compared with the nine months ended October 29, 2011 was primarily attributable to the impact of a \$37.8 million loss on extinguishment of debt that occurred during the nine months ended October 29, 2011 related to our debt refinancing transactions, compared to a loss on extinguishment of debt during the nine months ended October 27, 2012 of only \$3.4 million, partially offset by the change in the income tax benefit for the nine months ended October 27, 2012.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources****Overview**

We fund inventory expenditures during normal and peak periods through cash flows from operating activities, available cash, and our ABL Line of Credit. Liquidity may be affected by the terms we are able to obtain from vendors and their factors. Our working capital needs follow a seasonal pattern, peaking each October and November when inventory is received for the Fall selling season. Our largest source of operating cash flows is cash collections from our customers. In general, our primary uses of cash are providing for working capital, which principally represents the purchase of inventory, the payment of operating expenses, debt servicing, the opening of new stores and the remodeling of existing stores. As of October 27, 2012, we had \$542.3 million available on our ABL Line of Credit. The maximum borrowings during the three and nine months ended October 27, 2012 were \$84.0 million and \$213.7 million, respectively.

Our ability to satisfy interest payment obligations on our outstanding debt and maintain compliance with our debt covenants, as discussed below, will depend largely on our future performance which, in turn, is subject to prevailing economic conditions and to financial, business and other factors beyond our control. If we do not have sufficient cash flow to service interest payment obligations on our outstanding indebtedness and if we cannot borrow or obtain equity financing to satisfy those obligations, our business and results of operations will be materially adversely affected. We cannot be assured that any replacement borrowing or equity financing could be successfully completed on terms similar to our current financing agreements, or at all.

Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

We closely monitor our net sales, gross margin, expenses and working capital. We have performed scenario planning such that if our net sales decline, we have identified variable costs that could be reduced to partially mitigate the impact of these declines and

---

## Table of Contents

maintain compliance with our debt covenants. We believe that cash generated from operations, along with our existing cash and our ABL Line of Credit, will be sufficient to fund our expected cash flow requirements and planned capital expenditures for at least the next twelve months as well as the foreseeable future. However, there can be no assurance that we would be able to offset any declines in our comparable store sales with savings initiatives.

Our Term Loan Facility agreement contains financial, affirmative and negative covenants and requires that we, among other things, maintain on the last day of each fiscal quarter a consolidated leverage ratio not to exceed a maximum amount and maintain a consolidated interest coverage ratio of at least a certain amount. The consolidated leverage ratio compares our total debt to Adjusted EBITDA, as each term is defined in the credit agreement governing the Term Loan Facility, for the trailing twelve months, and that ratio may not exceed 6.75 to 1 through October 27, 2012; 6.25 to 1 through November 2, 2013; 5.5 to 1 through November 1, 2014; 5.00 to 1 through October 31, 2015; and 4.75 to 1 January 30, 2016 and thereafter. The consolidated interest coverage ratio compares our consolidated interest expense to Adjusted EBITDA, as each term is defined in the new credit agreement governing the Term Loan Facility, for the trailing twelve months, and that ratio must exceed 1.75 to 1 through October 27, 2012; 1.85 to 1 through November 2, 2013; 2.00 to 1 through October 31, 2015; and 2.10 to 1 at January 30, 2016 and thereafter. Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure of our liquidity. Adjusted EBITDA, as defined in the credit agreement governing our Term Loan Facility, starts with consolidated net loss for the period and adds back (i) depreciation, amortization, impairments and other non-cash charges that were deducted in arriving at consolidated net loss, (ii) the (benefit) provision for taxes, (iii) interest expense, (iv) advisory fees, and (v) unusual, non-recurring or extraordinary expenses, losses or charges as reasonably approved by the administrative agent for such period. Adjusted EBITDA is used to calculate the consolidated leverage ratio and the interest coverage ratio. We present Adjusted EBITDA because we believe it is a useful supplemental measure in evaluating the performance of our business and provides greater transparency into our results of operations. Adjusted EBITDA provides management, including our chief operating decision maker, with helpful information with respect to our operations such as our ability to meet our future debt service, fund our capital expenditures and working capital requirements, and comply with various covenants in each indenture governing our outstanding notes and the credit agreements governing our senior secured credit facilities which are material to our financial condition and financial statements.

Adjusted EBITDA has limitations as an analytical tool, and should not be considered either in isolation or as a substitute for net income or other data prepared in accordance with GAAP or for analyzing our results or cash flows from operating activities, as reported under GAAP. Some of these limitations include:

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital needs;

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect our interest expense, or the cash requirements necessary to service interest or principal payments, on our debt;

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect our income tax expense or the cash requirements to pay our taxes;

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect historical cash expenditures or future requirements for capital expenditures or contractual commitments;

Although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated and amortized will likely have to be replaced in the future, and Adjusted EBITDA measures do not reflect any cash requirements for such replacements; and

Other companies in our industry may calculate Adjusted EBITDA differently such that our calculation may not be directly comparable.

Adjusted EBITDA for the three months ended October 27, 2012 decreased \$4.1 million, or 7.0%, to \$54.5 million. The decline in Adjusted EBITDA was primarily the result of decreased gross margin.

Adjusted EBITDA for the nine months ended October 27, 2012 decreased \$6.9 million, or 4.3%, to \$155.1 million from \$162.0 million during the nine months ended October 29, 2011. The decrease in Adjusted EBITDA was primarily the result of certain investments aimed at improving

## Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

the execution of our buying model and our customers' shopping experience which were made during the third and fourth quarters of Fiscal 2011 that have not annualized and a decrease in our gross margin rate of 50 basis points, partially offset by our an overall net sales increase of 7.4% during the nine months ended October 27, 2012.

**Table of Contents**

The following table shows our calculation of Adjusted EBITDA for the nine and three months ended October 27, 2012 compared with the nine and three months ended October 29, 2011:

	<i>(in thousands)</i>			
	Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	October 27, 2012	October 29, 2011	October 27, 2012	October 29, 2011
<b>Reconciliation of Net Loss to Adjusted EBITDA:</b>				
Net Loss	\$ (42,644)	\$ (64,096)	\$ (7,447)	\$ (10,277)
Interest Expense	84,529	97,976	27,421	34,812
Income Tax Benefit	(31,964)	(47,712)	(14,204)	(13,395)
Depreciation and Amortization	120,748	113,174	40,844	39,188
Impairment Charges Long-Lived Assets	1,100	34	1,021	
Interest Income	(130)	(37)	(84)	(37)
Non Cash Straight-Line Rent Expense (a)	6,266	7,915	2,457	3,080
Advisory Fees (b)	3,086	3,196	1,000	1,039
Stock Compensation Expense (c)	1,968	4,917	565	4,017
Amortization of Purchased Lease Rights (d)	748	670	264	232
Severance and Restructuring (e)	2,441	5,621	635	431
Franchise Taxes (f)	946	1,232	300	300
Advertising Expense Related to Barter (g)	2,598	3,162	1,273	1,558
Loss on Disposal of Fixed Assets (h)	1,070	1,022	275	577
Refinancing Fees (i)	3,225	(473)	131	28
Loss on Extinguishment of Debt (j)	3,413	37,764		
Litigation Reserves (k)	(2,325)			
Transfer Tax (l)		(20)		
Insurance Reserve (m)		(2,349)		(3,024)
<b>Adjusted EBITDA</b>	<b>\$ 155,075</b>	<b>\$ 161,996</b>	<b>\$ 54,451</b>	<b>\$ 58,529</b>
<b>Reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to Net Cash Provided by (Used In) Operating Activities:</b>				
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 155,075	\$ 161,996	\$ 54,451	\$ 58,529
Interest Expense	(84,529)	(97,976)	(27,421)	(34,812)
Changes in Operating Assets and Liabilities	229,459	132,313	28,059	(50,331)
Other Items, Net	13,191	28,849	7,513	10,943
<b>Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities</b>	<b>\$ 313,196</b>	<b>\$ 225,182</b>	<b>\$ 62,602</b>	<b>(15,671)</b>
<b>Net Cash Used in Investing Activities</b>	<b>\$ (129,277)</b>	<b>\$ (121,762)</b>	<b>\$ (67,475)</b>	<b>\$ (46,170)</b>
<b>Net Cash (Used in) Provided by Financing Activities</b>	<b>\$ (189,414)</b>	<b>\$ (87,791)</b>	<b>\$ 3,372</b>	<b>\$ 75,876</b>

Beginning in Fiscal 2012, we changed the components comprising Adjusted EBITDA such that specific charges associated with our insurance reserves are no longer added back to consolidated net (loss) income when calculating Adjusted EBITDA. These changes were made prospectively. For the three and nine month periods ended October 29, 2011, this change would have resulted in an increase in Adjusted EBITDA of \$3.0 million and \$2.3 million, respectively.

- (a) Represents the difference between the actual base rent and rent expense calculated in accordance with GAAP (on a straight line basis), in accordance with the credit agreements governing the Term Loan Facility and ABL Line of Credit.
- (b) Represents the annual advisory fee of Bain Capital expensed during the fiscal periods, in accordance with the credit agreements governing the Term Loan Facility and ABL Line of Credit.

## Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

- (c) Represents expenses recorded under ASC Topic No. 718 *Stock Compensation* during the fiscal periods, in accordance with the credit agreements governing the Term Loan Facility and ABL Line of Credit.
- (d) Represents amortization of purchased lease rights which are recorded in rent expense within our selling and administrative line item, in accordance with the credit agreements governing the Term Loan Facility and ABL Line of Credit.
- (e) Represents a severance and restructuring charge resulting from a reorganization of certain positions within our stores and corporate locations (refer to Note 4 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements entitled *Restructuring and Separation Costs* for further discussion), in accordance with the credit agreements governing the Term Loan Facility and ABL Line of Credit.
- (f) Represents franchise taxes paid based on our equity, as approved by the administrative agents for the Term Loan Facility and ABL Line of Credit.

**Table of Contents**

- (g) Represents non-cash advertising expense based on the usage of barter advertising credits obtained as part of a non-cash exchange of inventory, as approved by the administrative agents for the Term Loan Facility and ABL Line of Credit.
- (h) Represents the gross non-cash loss recorded on the disposal of certain assets in the ordinary course of business, in accordance with the credit agreements governing the Term Loan Facility and ABL Line of Credit.
- (i) Represents refinancing fees that reduce Adjusted EBITDA per the administrative agents for the Term Loan Facility and the ABL Line of Credit.
- (j) Represents charges incurred in accordance with Topic No. 470, whereby we incurred a loss on the settlement of the old debt instruments as approved by the administrative agents for the Term Loan Facility and the ABL Line of Credit.
- (k) Represents reduction in legal assessment in conjunction with legal settlements as approved by the administrative agents for the Term Loan Facility and ABL Line of Credit.
- (l) Represents one-time transfer taxes incurred on certain leased properties as approved by the administrative agents for the Term Loan Facility and the ABL Line of Credit.
- (m) Represents non-cash change in reserves based on estimated general liability, workers' compensation and health insurance claims as approved by the administrative agents for the Term Loan Facility and ABL Line of Credit.

***Cash Flow for the Nine Months Ended October 27, 2012 Compared with the Nine Months Ended October 29, 2011***

We had a \$5.5 million decrease in cash flow for the nine months ended October 27, 2012 compared with generating \$15.6 million of cash flow for the nine months ended October 29, 2011. Net cash provided by operating activities amounted to \$313.2 million for the nine months ended October 27, 2012. For the nine months ended October 29, 2011, net cash provided by operating activities amounted to \$225.2 million. The increase in net cash provided by operating activities was primarily the result of changes in the Company's working capital. The biggest driver of the increase relates to cash flow from changes in merchandise inventories. Cash flow from the change in merchandise inventories for the nine months ended October 27, 2012 increased \$138.8 million as a result of our ongoing initiative to reduce inventory levels and continue to flow fresh merchandise to the stores more frequently. This increase was partially offset by a decrease in the cash flow from the change in accounts payable for the nine months ended October 27, 2012 of \$57.9 million compared with the nine months ended October 29, 2011. This decrease was primarily driven by a smaller increase in accounts payable from January 28, 2012 to October 27, 2012 compared with the accounts payable increase from January 30, 2011 to October 29, 2011 related to our working capital management strategy at the end of each fiscal year. Based on the working capital management strategy, we accelerated certain payments at the end of each fiscal year that typically would not have been made until the first quarter of the next fiscal year, which lowered our accounts payable balances at the end of each fiscal year. As our accounts payable balances return to historical levels this creates additional cash flow. The decrease in accounts payable that generates this item was primarily driven by the difference in the accelerated payments during January of Fiscal 2011 of \$152.9 million compared with the payments made in January of Fiscal 2010 of \$237.7 million and the timing of payments.

Net cash used in investing activities increased to \$129.3 million for the nine months ended October 27, 2012 from \$121.8 million for the nine months ended October 29, 2011. This increase was primarily the result of a \$12.9 million increase in cash paid for property and equipment during the nine months ended October 27, 2012 as compared with the nine months ended October 29, 2011. This increase was partially offset by a \$4.6 million decrease in restricted cash and cash equivalents during the nine months ended October 29, 2011. Restricted cash remained constant at \$34.8 million during the nine months ended October 27, 2012.

Cash flow used in financing activities increased \$101.6 million during the nine months ended October 27, 2012 compared with the nine months ended October 29, 2011. This was primarily driven by repayments on our ABL Line of Credit, net of borrowings, of \$168.3 million during the nine months ended October 27, 2012 compared with repayments net of borrowings of \$10.5 million during the nine months ended October 29, 2011. This increase was partially offset by the impact of the February 2011 debt refinancing, inclusive of a \$297.9 million dividend payment, which resulted in a net cash outflow of \$77.4 million which didn't repeat during the nine months ended October 27, 2012.

Cash flow and working capital levels assist management in measuring our ability to meet our cash requirements. Working capital measures our current financial position. Working capital is defined as current assets (exclusive of restricted cash) less current liabilities. Working capital at October 27, 2012 was \$77.2 million compared with \$337.9 million at January 28, 2012. The decrease in working capital was primarily the result of increased accounts payable as of October 27, 2012 compared with January 28, 2012 as a result of our working capital management strategy at the end of Fiscal 2011.

***Operational Growth***

During the nine months ended October 27, 2012, we opened 21 BCF stores, and closed one store. As of October 27, 2012, we operated stores under the names Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse (479 stores), Cohoes Fashions (two stores), Super Baby Depot (two stores) and 14 shoe stores under the names MJM Designer Shoes (13 stores) and Burlington Shoes (one store). We estimate that we will spend between \$130 and \$140 million, net of approximately \$30 million of landlord allowances, in capital expenditures during Fiscal 2012, including approximately \$90 million, net of the previously mentioned landlord allowances for store expenditures, and approximately \$35 million for information

technology. We expect to use the remaining capital to support continued distribution facility enhancements and other initiatives. For the nine months ended October 27, 2012, capital expenditures, net of landlord allowances, amounted to \$110.4 million.



---

## **Table of Contents**

We monitor the availability of desirable locations for our stores from such sources as presentations by brokers, real estate developers and existing landlords, evaluating dispositions by other retail chains and bankruptcy auctions. Most of our stores are located in malls, strip shopping centers, regional power centers or are freestanding. We also lease existing space and are opening some built-to-suit locations. For most of our new leases, our lease model provides for at least a ten year initial term with a number of five year options thereafter. Typically, our lease strategy includes landlord allowances for leasehold improvements. We believe our lease model makes us more competitive with other retailers for desirable locations. We may seek to acquire a number of such locations either through transactions to acquire individual locations or transactions that involve the acquisition of multiple locations simultaneously.

Additionally, we may consider strategic acquisitions. If we undertake such transactions, we may seek additional financing to fund acquisitions and carrying charges (i.e., the cost of rental, maintenance, tax and other obligations associated with such properties from the time of commitment to acquire to the time that such locations can be readied for opening as our stores) related to the newly acquired stores. There can be no assurance, however, that any additional locations will become available from other retailers or that, if available, we will undertake to bid or be successful in bidding for such locations. Furthermore, to the extent that we decide to purchase additional store locations, it may be necessary to finance such acquisitions with additional long term borrowings.

From time to time we make available for sale certain assets based on current market conditions. These assets are recorded in the line item *Assets Held for Sale* in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. Based on prevailing market conditions, we may determine that it is no longer advantageous to continue marketing certain assets and will reclassify those assets out of the line item *Assets Held for Sale* and into the respective asset category based on the lesser of their carrying value or fair value less cost to sell.

### ***Dividends***

Payment of dividends is prohibited under our credit agreements except in limited circumstances. In connection with our February 2011 financing transactions, we declared a cash dividend of approximately \$300.0 million in the aggregate to the equity holders of Parent, on a pro rata basis. Of the \$300.0 million in dividends that were declared, \$297.9 million was paid during the nine months ended October 29, 2011 and of the remaining \$2.1 million, \$1.7 million was paid during the nine months ended October 27, 2012. The remaining \$0.4 million of dividend equivalent payments were forfeited and reverted back to the Company as further discussed in Note 2 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements entitled *Stockholder's Equity*.

### ***Long Term Borrowings, Lines of Credit and Capital Lease Obligations***

Holdings and each of our current and future subsidiaries, with the exception of one immaterial non-guarantor subsidiary, have fully, jointly, severally, unconditionally, and irrevocably guaranteed BCFWC's obligations pursuant to the \$600 million ABL Line of Credit, \$1,000 million Term Loan Facility and the \$450 million Notes due in 2019. As of October 27, 2012, we were in compliance with all of our debt covenants.

### ***\$1 Billion Senior Secured Term Loan Facility***

As discussed previously under the caption *Debt Refinancing*, on May 16, 2012, we entered into the Amendment to the Term Loan Credit Agreement, which, among other things, reduces the applicable margin on the interest rates applicable to our Term Loan Facility by 50 basis points. As a result of this transaction, mandatory quarterly payments of \$2.4 million were payable as of the last day of each quarter beginning with the quarter ended July 28, 2012. We elected to make a prepayment of \$9.5 million in May 2012, which offsets the mandatory quarterly payments through August 3, 2013.

This transaction resulted in a non-cash loss on the extinguishment of debt of \$3.4 million in the line item *Loss on the Extinguishment of Debt* in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss during the second quarter of Fiscal 2012. In addition, fees of \$3.1 million were recorded in the line item *Selling and Administrative Expense* in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss during the second quarter of Fiscal 2012.

### ***ABL Line of Credit***

During the three month periods ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011 we borrowed \$3.5 million and \$79.1 million, net of repayments, on our ABL Line of Credit. During the nine month periods ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011, we repaid \$168.3 million and \$10.5 million, net of borrowings, on our ABL Line of Credit. As of October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011, we had \$21.7 million and \$158.1 million outstanding under our ABL Line of Credit and unused availability of \$542.3 million and \$401.3 million, respectively.

### ***Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements***

## Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

Other than operating leases consummated in the normal course of business and letters of credit, as more fully described below, we are not involved in any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a material current or future impact on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures, or capital resources.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Contingencies and Contractual Obligations**

#### *Legal*

We establish reserves for the settlement amounts, as well as reserves relating to legal claims, in connection with litigation to which we are party from time to time in the ordinary course of business. The aggregate amount of such reserves was \$1.0 million, \$6.1 million and \$3.9 million as of October 27, 2012, January 28, 2012 and October 29, 2011, respectively. We believe that potential liabilities in excess of those recorded will not have a material effect on our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. However, there can be no assurances to this effect.

There have been no significant changes to our contractual obligations and commercial commitments table as disclosed in our Fiscal 2011 10-K, except as follows:

#### *Lease Agreements*

We enter into lease agreements during the ordinary course of business in order to secure favorable store locations. As of October 27, 2012, we were committed to four new lease agreements (inclusive of one relocation) for locations at which stores are expected to be opened during the remainder of Fiscal 2012. Inclusive of these new leases, the Company's minimum lease payments for all operating leases are expected to be \$64.6 million, \$225.1 million, \$211.1 million, \$187.8 million and \$799.8 million for the remainder of the fiscal year ended February 2, 2013, and the fiscal years ended February 1, 2014, January 31, 2015, January 30, 2016 and January 28, 2017 and all subsequent years thereafter, respectively.

#### *Letters of Credit*

We had letters of credit arrangements with various banks in the aggregate amount of \$36.0 million and \$40.5 million as of October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011, respectively. Based on the terms of the credit agreement related to the ABL Line of Credit, we had the ability to enter into letters of credit up to \$542.3 million and \$401.3 million as of October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011, respectively. Among these arrangements as of October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011, we had letters of credit in the amount of \$26.2 million and \$30.7 million, respectively, guaranteeing performance under various insurance contracts and utility agreements. Additionally, we had outstanding letters of credit agreements in the amount of \$9.8 million at both October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011, related to certain merchandising agreements.

We had irrevocable letters of credit in the amount of \$35.3 million as of January 28, 2012. Based on the terms of the credit agreement relating to the ABL Line of Credit, we had the ability to enter into letters of credit up to \$242.6 million as of January 28, 2012. Letters of credit outstanding as of January 28, 2012 amounted to \$27.7 million, guaranteeing performance under various lease agreements, insurance contracts, and utility agreements. We also had outstanding letters of credit arrangements in the aggregate amount of \$7.6 million at January 28, 2012 related to certain merchandising agreements.

### **Safe Harbor Statement**

This report contains forward-looking statements that are based on current expectations, estimates, forecasts and projections about us, the industry in which we operate and other matters, as well as management's beliefs and assumptions and other statements regarding matters that are not historical facts. For example, when we use words such as projects, expects, anticipates, intends, plans, believes, seeks, estimates, would, could, will, opportunity, potential or may, variations of such words or other words that convey uncertainty of future events or outcomes, we are making forward looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 (Securities Act) and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act). Our forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties. Such statements include but are not limited to, proposed store openings and closings, proposed capital expenditures, projected financing requirements, proposed developmental projects, projected sales and earnings, our ability to maintain selling margins, and the effect of the adoption of recent accounting pronouncements on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Actual events or results may differ materially from the results anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of a variety of factors. While it is impossible to identify all such factors, factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those estimated by us include: competition in the retail industry, seasonality of our business, adverse weather conditions, changes in consumer preferences and consumer spending patterns, import risks, inflation, general economic conditions, our ability to implement our strategy, our substantial level of indebtedness and related debt-service obligations, restrictions imposed by covenants in our debt agreements, availability of adequate financing, our dependence on vendors for our merchandise, events affecting the delivery of merchandise to our stores, existence of adverse litigation, availability of desirable locations on suitable terms, and other risks discussed from time to time in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).



**Table of Contents**

Many of these factors are beyond our ability to predict or control. In addition, as a result of these and other factors, our past financial performance should not be relied on as an indication of future performance. The cautionary statements referred to in this section also should be considered in connection with any subsequent written or oral forward-looking statements that may be issued by us or persons acting on our behalf. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law. In light of these risks and uncertainties, the forward-looking events and circumstances discussed in this report might not occur. Furthermore, we cannot guarantee future results, events, levels of activity, performance or achievements.

**Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In July 2012, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2012-02, *Intangibles – Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment*, (ASU 2012-02). In accordance with ASU 2012-02, an entity has the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset is less than its carrying amount. If the entity determines that it is more likely than not that the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible asset is less than the carrying value, the entity will be required to perform the quantitative impairment test. ASU 2012-02 is effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. However, early adoption is permitted. The Company has elected not to early adopt in the current fiscal year and does not expect it to have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

There were no other new accounting standards that had a material impact on our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements during the period ended October 27, 2012 and there were no new accounting standards or pronouncements that were issued but not yet effective as of October 27, 2012 that we expect to have a material impact upon becoming effective.

**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

We are exposed to certain market risks as part of our ongoing business operations. Primary exposures include changes in interest rates, as borrowings under our ABL Line of Credit and Term Loan Facility bear interest at floating rates based on LIBOR or the base rate, in each case plus an applicable borrowing margin and investing activities. The Term Loan Facility interest is also dependent on the LIBOR, prime rate, and the federal funds rate as further discussed in Note 3 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements entitled *Long Term Debt*.

We will manage our interest rate risk by balancing the amount of fixed-rate and floating-rate debt and through the use of interest rate cap agreements. For fixed-rate debt, interest rate changes do not affect earnings or cash flows. Conversely, for floating-rate debt, interest rate changes generally impact our earnings and cash flows, assuming other factors are held constant.

At October 27, 2012, we had \$473.5 million principal amount of fixed-rate debt and \$954.6 million of floating-rate debt. Based on \$954.6 million outstanding as floating-rate debt, an immediate increase of one percentage point, excluding the interest rate caps, would cause an increase to cash interest expense of approximately \$9.5 million per year, resulting in \$9.5 million less in our pre-tax earnings. This sensitivity analysis assumes our mix of financial instruments and all other variables will remain constant in future periods. These assumptions are made in order to facilitate the analysis and are not necessarily indicative of our future intentions.

If a one percentage point increase in interest rates were to occur over the next four quarters excluding the interest rate cap, such an increase would result in the following additional interest expenses (assuming current borrowing level remains constant):

	<i>(in thousands)</i>				
	Principal Outstanding at October 27, 2012	Additional Interest Expense Q4 2012	Additional Interest Expense Q1 2013	Additional Interest Expense Q2 2013	Additional Interest Expense Q3 2013
<b>Floating Rate Debt</b>					
ABL Line of Credit	21,700	54	54	54	54
Term Loan	932,907	2,334	2,335	2,330	2,325
	\$ 954,607	\$ 2,388	\$ 2,389	\$ 2,384	\$ 2,379

We have two interest rate cap agreements for a maximum principal amount of \$900.0 million which limit our interest rate exposure to 7% on our first \$900.0 million of borrowings under our variable rate debt obligations. If interest rates were to increase above the 7% cap rates in effect as of October 27, 2012, for a full fiscal year, then our maximum interest rate exposure would be \$13.8 million assuming constant borrowing levels of \$900.0 million. Currently, we have unlimited interest rate risk related to our variable rate debt in excess of \$900 million. As of October 27, 2012,

## Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

the borrowing rates related to our Term Loan Facility and our ABL Line of Credit were 5.5% and 4.0%.

Our ability to satisfy our interest payment obligations on our outstanding debt will depend largely on our future performance, which, in turn, is in part subject to prevailing economic conditions and to financial, business and other factors beyond our control. If

## **Table of Contents**

we do not have sufficient cash flow to service our interest payment obligations on our outstanding indebtedness and if we cannot borrow or obtain equity financing to satisfy those obligations, our business and results of operations will be materially adversely affected. We cannot be assured that any replacement borrowing or equity financing could be successfully completed.

A change in interest rates generally does not have an impact upon our future earnings and cash flow for fixed-rate debt instruments. As fixed-rate debt matures, however, and if additional debt is acquired to fund the debt repayment, future earnings and cash flow may be affected by changes in interest rates. This effect would be realized in the periods subsequent to the periods when the debt matures.

### **Item 4. Controls and Procedures.**

Our management team, under the supervision and with the participation of our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act), as of the last day of the fiscal period covered by this report, October 27, 2012. The term disclosure controls and procedures means our controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including our principal executive and principal financial officer, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of October 27, 2012.

During the three months ended October 27, 2012, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**Table of Contents**

**PART II - OTHER INFORMATION**

**Item 1. Legal Proceedings.**

We are party to various litigation matters, in most cases involving ordinary and routine claims incidental to our business. We cannot estimate with certainty our ultimate legal and financial liability with respect to such pending litigation matters. However, we believe, based on our examination of such matters, that our ultimate liability will not have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors.**

There have been no material changes in our risk factors from those disclosed in Part I, Item 1A of our Fiscal 2011 10-K.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.**

None.

**Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.**

None.

**Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.**

Not applicable.

**Item 5. Other Information.**

None.



**Table of Contents**

**Item 6. Exhibits.**

<b>Exhibit</b>	<b>Description</b>
10.1	Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement made as of October 23, 2012 by Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation, Burlington Coat Factory Holdings, Inc. and Thomas Kingsbury.
10.2	Amendment No. 2 to Employment Agreement made as of October 18, 2012 by Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation and Joyce Manning Magrini.
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY**

**INVESTMENTS HOLDINGS, INC.**

/s/ THOMAS A. KINGSBURY  
**Thomas A. Kingsbury**  
**President & Chief Executive Officer**

/s/ TODD WEYHRICH  
**Todd Weyhrich**  
**Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Officer**

**(Principal Financial Officer)**

Date: December 11, 2012

**Table of Contents**

**INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

<b>Exhibit</b>	<b>Description</b>
10.1	Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement made as of October 23, 2012 by Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation, Burlington Coat Factory Holdings, Inc. and Thomas Kingsbury.
10.2	Amendment No. 2 to Employment Agreement made as of October 18, 2012 by Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation and Joyce Manning Magrini.
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase