

SOUTHERN UNION CO

Form 425

March 08, 2012

Energy Transfer Partners, L.P.  
Barclays Capital Investment Grade  
Energy and Pipeline Conference  
March 7, 2012  
Michael Doss

Vice President -  
Finance

Filed by Energy Transfer Equity, L.P.  
pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act of 1933  
and deemed filed pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the  
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Subject Company: Southern Union Company  
Commission File No.: 1-06407

2

Legal Disclaimer

This presentation may contain statements about future events, outlook and expectations of Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. (ETP), Energy Transfer Equity, L.P. (ETE), and/or Southern Union Company (SUG, and together with ETP and ETE, the Companies ) all of which are forward-looking statements.

Any  
statement  
in  
this  
presentation  
that

is  
not  
a  
historical  
fact  
may  
be  
deemed  
to  
be  
a  
forward-looking

statement. These forward-looking statements rely on a number of assumptions concerning future events that are believed to be reasonable, but are subject to a number of risks, uncertainties and other factors,

many  
of  
which  
are  
outside  
the  
Companies  
control,  
and  
which  
could  
cause  
the  
actual

results, performance or achievements of the Companies to be materially different. While the Partnerships believe that the assumptions concerning future events are reasonable, we caution that there are inherent difficulties in predicting certain important factors that could impact the future performance or results of our businesses. These risks and uncertainties are discussed in more detail in the filings made by the Companies with the Securities and Exchange Commission, copies of which are available to the public. The Companies expressly disclaim any intention or obligation to revise or publicly update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

All references in this presentation to capacity of a pipeline, processing plant or storage facility relate to maximum capacity under normal operating conditions and with respect to pipeline transportation capacity and is subject to multiple factors (including natural gas injections and withdrawals at various delivery points along the pipeline and the utilization of compression) which may reduce the throughput capacity from specified capacity levels.

ETP Overview

4  
ETP Overview

Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. ( ETP ) is one of the largest publicly traded investment grade MLPs

\$1.74 billion of Adjusted EBITDA in 2011

Equity  
market  
capitalization  
of

approximately  
\$10.7  
billion  
and  
an  
enterprise  
value  
of  
\$18.4  
billion  
1,2

More than \$15.5 billion of total assets

We have a diversified portfolio of natural gas and natural gas liquids assets strategically positioned to serve many of the major North American natural gas producing basins

Approximately 18,000 miles of intrastate and interstate natural gas pipelines

74 Bcf of working natural gas storage capacity

More than 1,400 miles of NGL pipeline

NGL storage (Mont Belvieu and Hattiesburg Storage) and fractionation facilities

The last year has been transformative for ETP as we:

Entered  
the  
NGL  
business  
through  
our  
Lone  
Star  
joint  
venture  
and  
its  
acquisition  
of  
LDH  
Energy

Contributed our Propane business to AmeriGas

Announced the pending acquisition of SUG's 50% interest in Citrus

Announced  
more

than  
\$3.0  
billion  
of  
organic  
growth  
opportunities  
with  
a  
focus  
on  
liquids  
rich  
opportunities  
in the  
Eagle Ford, Permian, and Woodford areas

Throughout  
this  
transformation,  
we  
have  
continued  
to  
demonstrate  
our  
commitment  
to  
investment  
grade  
ratings by:

Focusing on long-term, fee-based contracts

Significantly improving our business profile

Managing commodity exposure through the use of hedges

Applying cash proceeds from the Propane Contribution to reduce indebtedness

Issuing more than \$3.5 billion in equity over the past three years to fund growth

1  
As of March 1, 2012. Excludes the value of incentive distribution rights (IDRs) held by ETE

2  
Includes net debt as of December 31, 2011

1



5  
ETE Pro Forma Organizational Structure  
Energy Transfer Equity, L.P.  
(NYSE: ETE)  
NGL  
Interstate Transportation  
Midstream  
70%  
30%  
Contract Treating

Joint Ventures  
Gathering & Processing  
Southern Union Co.  
Intrastate Transportation & Storage  
LDCs  
Panhandle Companies  
SUGS  
Lone Star NGL  
Ownership in RGP  
100% RGP IDRs  
1.8% General Partner Interest  
26.3mm LP units (17% of total)  
Ownership in ETP  
100% ETP IDRs  
1.5% General Partner Interest  
50.2mm LP units (22% of total)  
Ownership in SUG  
100% SUG Shares  
Citrus  
FEP  
50%  
50%  
Contract Compression  
Regency Energy Partners LP  
(NYSE: RGP)  
Energy  
Transfer  
Partners,  
L.P.  
(NYSE: ETP)

6  
ETP Pro Forma Asset Overview  
Note: Includes assets under construction

Business Update

8

ETE s Acquisition of SUG

ETE s acquisition of Southern Union Company (SUG) is on track to close this month

Final regulatory approval was received on February 29, when the Missouri Public Service Commission approved the transaction

Election forms have been mailed to SUG shareholders, who have until March 19 to respond with their desired mix of ETE units and/or cash

Shareholders may choose to receive, in aggregate, a minimum of 50% cash (50% units) with up to a maximum of 60% cash (40% units)

ETE has launched an institutional term loan of up to \$2.3 billion that will be used to fund a portion of the cash consideration to be paid to SUG shareholders

As part of the SUG Acquisition, ETP agreed to acquire a 50% interest in Citrus for \$2.0 billion, consisting of \$1.895 billion of cash and \$105 million of ETP common units

\$445  
million  
of  
the  
cash  
proceeds  
from  
the  
sale  
of  
Citrus  
to  
ETP  
will  
be  
used  
to  
repay  
existing  
SUG indebtedness

The remaining \$1.45 billion will be used to fund a portion of the cash consideration to be paid to SUG shareholders

On January 9, 2012, ETP priced \$2.0 billion of senior notes to pre-fund the Citrus Acquisition (a portion of the proceeds were used for transaction expenses and general partnership purposes)

9

ETP Propane Contribution

ETP's contribution of its retail propane business to AmeriGas Partners, L.P. (APU) closed on January 12, 2012

As consideration for the contribution, ETP received:

\$1.46 billion of cash

Cash proceeds were used to repay borrowings under ETP's revolving credit facility and fund a \$750 million tender offer for outstanding senior notes

29.6 million APU common units (market value of \$1.3 billion) which represent approximately 34% of pro forma APU common units outstanding

The APU units, which ETP is required to hold through the end of 2012, are expected to provide more than \$90 million of annual cash distributions to ETP

In addition, AmeriGas assumed approximately \$71 million of existing debt

With this transaction, ETP:

Increases percentage of revenue attributable to fee-based operations with long-term contracts

Reduces its exposure to the commodity-sensitive, seasonal propane sector

Lowers its indebtedness

Mitigates near-term capital markets funding requirements



10

ETP launched a \$750 million tender offer on January 9, 2012

The offer included two components:

An Any-and-All  
offer for ETP's 5.65% senior notes due 2012

A Maximum Tender Offer  
for ETP's 2013, 2014, and 2019 senior notes

A total of \$750 million (principal amount) was tendered as follows:

\$292 million of the 5.65% due 2012

\$200 million of the 9.70% due 2019

\$200 million of the 9.00% due 2019

\$58 million of the 8.50% due 2014

The total cost of the tender offer was \$863 million

As a result, ETP:

Reduced indebtedness

Reduced future capital markets risk by taking out a portion of 2012 and 2014 debt maturities

Lowered

annual

interest

expense

by

\$55

-

\$60

million

ETP Tender Offer

11

ETP Has a Robust Portfolio of Attractive Projects

Project

Description

Capacity

Expected

Completion

Estimated Cost

(\$ mm)

Midstream

Dos Hermanas

Pipeline

50-mile, 24-inch pipeline originating in northwest Webb County and extending to ETP's existing Houston Pipeline rich gas gathering system in eastern Webb County

400 MMcf/d

In-service

Q4 2010

\$43

Chisholm Pipeline

83 mile, 20-inch pipeline extending from DeWitt County to ETP's La Grange Processing Plant in Fayette County

100 MMcf/d, expandable

to 300 MMcf/d

In-service

Q2 2011

\$68

REM Phase I

160-mile, 30-inch pipeline originating in Dimmitt County and extending to the Chisholm Pipeline for ultimate delivery to ETP's processing plants

400 MMcf/d, expandable to

800 MMcf/d

In-service

Q4 2011

\$230

Chisholm Plant

Natural gas processing plant located adjacent to ETP's existing La Grange Plant in Fayette County

120 MMcf/d

Q1 2012

\$70

REM Phase II

70 mile, 42-inch pipeline expansion, which will extend from the Chisholm Pipeline in DeWitt County east into Jackson County

800 MMcf/d

Q4 2012

\$170

400 MMcf/d, Phase I

Q1 2013

\$400

200 MMcf/d, Phase II

Q1 2014

200 MMcf/d, Phase III

Q1 2014

Red River Gathering

Pipeline & Godley

117-mile, 24- and 30-inch pipeline from Carter County, Oklahoma to ETP's Godley Plant in Johnson County, Texas

450 MMcf/d, expandable to

550 MMcf/d

Q4 2012

\$360

Godley Plant

Expansion

Cryogenic processing plant to be constructed at the Godley processing facility in Johnson County,  
Texas

200 MMcf/d

Q4 2013

Karnes County

Processing Plant

Natural gas processing plant located in Karnes County

200 MMcf/d

Q4 2012

\$210

REM Expansion

37 miles, 30-inch pipeline expansion

-

Q4 2013

Sub-total

\$1,551

NGL (ETP)

Freedom Pipeline

43-mile, 8-inch NGL pipeline connecting the Liberty pipeline to ETP's La Grange & Chisholm plants

40 Mbpd

In-service

Q3 2011

\$30

Liberty Pipeline

93-mile, 12-inch NGL pipeline owned through a 50/50 JV with Copano. Connects the Freedom pipeline  
to the Formosa plant

90 Mbpd

In-service

Q3 2011

\$26

Justice Pipeline

130-mile, 20-inch NGL pipeline from the Jackson Plant to Mont Belvieu

340 Mpbpd

Q3 2012

\$300

Sub-total

\$356

NGL (70% interest in Lone Star)

West Texas Gateway

570-mile NGL pipeline originating in Winkler County and terminating in Jackson County

200 Mbpd

Q1 2013

\$642

Frac I

Mont Belvieu NGL fractionator

100 Mbpd

Q1 2013

\$273

Frac II

Mont Belvieu NGL fractionator

100 Mbpd

Q1 2014

\$245

Sub-total

\$1,160

Total announced ETP growth projects since Q4 2010 (including 70% of Lone Star)

\$3,067

Jackson Plant

Natural gas processing plant located in Jackson County

12

FEP

50/50 joint venture with

KMP

185 mile, 42-inch interstate

pipeline originating in the

Fayetteville Shale,

extending through

Arkansas and into

Mississippi

1.85 Bcf/d sold under 10-

12 year agreements  
In-service  
January 2011  
Tiger  
100% owned and operated  
by ETP  
175 mile, 42-inch interstate  
pipeline originating near  
Carthage, Texas and  
extending to Perryville,  
Louisiana  
2.4 Bcf/d of capacity sold  
under 10-15 year  
agreements  
Initial 2.0 Bcf/d in-service  
January 2011  
400 MMcf/d expansion in-  
service August 2011  
0.0  
0.5  
1.0  
1.5  
2.0  
2.5  
Jan-11  
May-11  
Sep-11  
Jan-12  
May-12  
Sep-12  
Jan-13  
Pipeline Capacity  
Contractual Demand  
Bcf/d  
0.0  
0.5  
1.0  
1.5  
2.0  
2.5  
Jan-11  
May-11  
Sep-11  
Jan-12  
May-12  
Sep-12  
Jan-13  
Bcf/d  
4.25 Bcf/d of  
Take-or-Pay



Demand Fees

for the Next

9

14 Years

Tiger and FEP Have Reached Their

Contractual Ramp-Up Periods

13  
ETP s Business Profile Has Strengthened  
45%  
61%  
54%  
58%  
51%  
46%  
38%  
35%  
27%

22%  
15%  
16%  
15%  
22%  
23%  
21%  
12%  
12%  
13%  
13%  
19%  
34%  
28%  
17%  
19%  
14%  
22%  
19%  
13%  
8%  
10%  
0%  
25%  
50%  
75%  
100%

FY 2005  
FY 2006  
FY 2007  
2008  
2009  
2010  
2011  
Pro Forma  
2011  
Intrastate  
Midstream  
Interstate  
Propane  
NGL  
2,3

1  
Calculated as operating income + depreciation & amortization. Excludes eliminations.

2  
Excludes expected AmeriGas distributions. Assumes Propane Contribution closed 1/1/2011.

3  
NGL and interstate segments reflect annualized Q4 2011 operating income + depreciation & amortization. Interstate segment i  
segment operating income + depreciation & amortization.

Business

Performance  
by  
Operating  
Segment  
1

Financial & Liquidity Overview

15  
\$401  
\$766  
\$1,143  
\$1,379  
\$1,477  
\$1,541  
\$1,743  
FY 2005  
FY 2006  
FY 2007

2008

2009

2010

2011

Adjusted EBITDA in \$ millions

Since Q4 2010, ETP has

announced investments

of more than \$3.0 billion

for organic growth

projects and \$3.3 billion

for strategic

acquisitions

Adjusted EBITDA Will Continue to Grow

16  
Balanced Approach To Funding Growth  
49%  
24%  
79%  
29%  
34%  
60%  
76%  
63%  
54%



51%  
76%  
21%  
71%  
66%  
40%  
24%  
37%  
46%  
FY 2005  
FY 2006  
FY 2007  
4 Mo. Ended  
12/31/07  
2008  
2009  
2010  
2011  
Cumulative  
Equity + Excess Cash Flow  
Debt  
Total Capital  
Deployed  
(\$ mm):  
\$1,292  
\$1,204  
\$2,092  
\$970  
\$1,896  
\$1,328  
\$834  
\$3,428  
\$13,043

1  
Excludes capital contributions to joint ventures other than Lone Star. Includes cash paid for acquisitions and proceeds from the

2  
Equity  
includes  
net  
proceeds  
from  
issuance  
of  
common  
units  
plus  
capital  
contributions  
from  
general

partner,  
capital  
contributions  
from  
non-controlling  
interest,  
and

common units issued in connection with acquisitions. Excess Cash Flow includes net cash provided by operating activities less  
and distributions paid plus proceeds from sale of assets and discontinued operations.

3  
ETP  
changed  
from  
a  
fiscal  
year  
end  
of  
August  
31  
to  
a  
calendar  
year  
end  
at  
the  
end  
of  
2007.  
2  
3  
st  
1

17  
Debt Capitalization  
(\$ million)  
12/31/2011  
Jan 2012  
Notes Offering  
Jan 2012  
Propane  
Contribution and  
Tender Offer  
Pro Forma

12/31/2011

Revolving Credit Facility

314

\$

-

\$

(314)

\$

-

\$

Senior Notes:

5.65%

due 2012

400

-

(292)

108

6.00%

due 2013

350

-

-

350

8.50%

due 2014

350

-

(58)

292

5.95%

due 2015

750

-

-

750

6.13%  
due 2017  
400

-

-

400

6.70%  
due 2018  
600

-

-

600

9.70%  
due 2019  
600

-

(200)

400

9.00%  
due 2019  
650

-

(200)

450

4.65%  
due 2021  
800

-

-

800

5.20%  
due 2022

-

1,000

-

1,000

6.63%  
due 2036  
400

-

-

400

7.50%  
due 2038  
550

-

-

550

6.05%  
due 2041  
700

-

-

700

6.50%  
due 2042

-

1,000

-

1,000

Total Senior Notes

6,550

2,000

(750)

7,800

Other Long-Term Debt:

Transwestern Senior Notes

870

-

-

870

Heritage Senior Notes

71

-

(71)

-

Other

10

-

-

10

Total Other Long-Term Debt

952

-

(71)

880

Total Debt

7,816

\$

2,000  
\$  
(1,136)  
\$  
8,680  
\$



18  
Managed Commodity Exposure

Intrastate Segment

We  
have  
open  
transportation  
capacity  
between

points  
across  
Texas  
(Waha/HSC  
basis)  
that  
we  
manage through buy/sale transactions and derivatives

We have 100% of net retained fuel volumes (approximately 60 MMcf/d) hedged at an average price of \$3.78/MMBtu in 2012 and \$3.72/MMBtu in 2013

We have approximately 47 Bcf of natural gas storage at our Bammel facility that we manage for our own account. Average hedged storage spreads are currently \$0.96/MMBtu

#### Midstream Segment

We have approximately 16,700 Bbl/d of equity NGL volumes

#### Interstate Segment

Nearly all revenues are demand charges

Minimal direct exposure to natural gas prices

#### NGL Segment

Nearly all gross margin is fee-based

Lone Star (of which we own 70%) has approximately 3,500 Bbl/d equity NGL volumes

19

ETP  
is  
one  
of  
the  
largest  
MLPs  
with  
an

equity  
market  
capitalization  
of  
approximately  
\$10.7  
billion  
1  
and  
an  
enterprise  
value  
of  
\$18.4  
billion  
1,2

Owns and operates more than 18,000 miles of intrastate and interstate pipelines and 1,400 miles of NGL pipeline

Connects prolific natural gas producing areas with multiple end markets

Investment Considerations

Large  
Diversified  
Asset Base  
Strong  
Balance Sheet  
Stable Asset  
Base & Strong  
Cash Flow  
Profile  
Well  
Managed  
Growth  
Profile

Committed to maintaining a strong balance sheet and investment grade metrics

Track record of maintaining a strong liquidity position

Proven ability to raise equity including more than \$3.0 billion in net proceeds from equity offerings over the past three years

Significant fee-based operating income and long-lived assets

High-quality customer base with strong credit profile

Hedge positions provide for further cash flow stability in commodity price sensitive areas

Low-risk, high-return projects supported by long-term customer contracts

Demonstrated ability to construct and place into service pipelines on-time / on-budget

Balanced approach to funding

1

As of March 1, 2012

2

Includes net debt as of December 31, 2011

Supplemental Information

21  
Consolidated ETE Pro Forma Assets

Citrus Overview

22

Florida Gas Transmission System Map

Florida Gas Transmission is 100% owned by Citrus Corp. ( Citrus ), which is indirectly owned 50% by SUG and 50% by El Paso Corp.

Approximately 5,400 miles of pipe and mainline system capacity of 3.1 Bcf/d (including Phase VIII expansion)



Significant supply pipeline serving Florida market

Delivered ~63% of the natural gas consumed in Florida in 2010

Over 240 delivery points

Largely demand driven with 30,000+ MW of gas-fired generation connected to FGT

50 interconnects with interstate and intrastate pipelines

Gulfstream is currently the only competitor for gas supply into Florida, with 1.26 Bcf/d capacity

2011 revenue and EBITDA were \$694 million and \$531 million, respectively

Upon closing of the acquisition of SUG by ETE, SUG's 50% interest in Citrus will be sold to ETP for \$2 billion (see slide 8)

FGT Phase VIII Expansion

Phase VIII, an 820,000 MMBtu/d expansion from Mississippi to South / Central Florida, was placed in service on April 1, 2011 at an estimated project cost of \$2.5 billion

Expansion capacity of 820,000 MMBtu/day is currently 74% contracted on a firm basis for a tenure of 25 years

Overview

Eagle Ford Shale Projects  
23

Woodford Shale Project

24

95 miles of 30-inch pipe and  
22 miles of 24-inch loop of  
existing system

450 MMcf/d of initial pipeline  
capacity

Originating in Carter County,

OK and terminating in Johnson  
County at the Godley Plant

200 MMcf/d Cryo plant at  
Godley

Expected pipeline in-service  
by Q4 2012

Expected Godley expansion  
in-service by Q3 2013

Project cost ~\$360MM

Supported by long-term  
agreement with XTO/Exxon

25

West Texas Gateway Project  
(NGL) Pipeline

Approximately 570 miles of 16-inch pipe  
with an initial capacity of 200,000 Bbl/d

Originating in Winkler County and  
terminating in Jackson County, Texas

Lone Star has secured capacity through  
ETP s Justice NGL pipeline from  
Jackson County to Mont Belvieu

Estimated cost of \$917 million

Expected in-service Q1 2013  
Mont Belvieu Fractionator I & II

Lone Star Projects

Two 100,000 Bbl/d NGL fractionators to  
be constructed at Mont Belvieu

A substantial amount of the fractionation  
capacity will be utilized for NGLs from  
ETP s Justice Pipeline

Estimated cost:

Frac I -  
\$390 million

Frac II -  
\$350 million

Expected in-service:

Frac I -  
Q1 2013

Frac II -  
Q1 2014

Freedom Pipeline  
43 mile 8-inch NGL pipeline  
40,000 Bbl/d design capacity  
In-service September 2011  
Project cost \$30MM

Liberty Pipeline

93 mile 12-inch NGL pipeline

90,000 Bbl/d design capacity

50/50 JV with Copano

In-service September 2011

Project cost \$26MM (ETP share)

Justice Pipeline

130 mile 20-inch NGL pipeline

340,000 Bbl/d design capacity

Expected in-service Q3 2012

Project cost \$300MM

ETP NGL Pipeline Projects

26



27

Growth Expenditures

1

Net of contributions from Regency for its 30% noncontrolling interest in Lone Star  
(\$ millions)

2011

2012E

Growth Capital Expenditures

Intrastate / Midstream

842

\$

\$ 800 -  
900

Interstate  
181

-  
NGL

1  
317

950 -  
1,100

Propane & Other  
36

-  
Total  
1,376

\$  
\$ 1,750 -  
2,000

Contributions to Joint Ventures  
201

-  
Total  
1,576

\$  
\$ 1,750 -  
2,000

28  
Adjusted EBITDA Reconciliation  
Years Ended December 31,  
(\$ millions)  
2008  
2009  
2010  
2011  
Net income  
866.0  
\$

791.5

\$

617.2

\$

697.2

\$

Interest expense, net of interest capitalized

265.7

394.3

412.6

474.1

Income tax expense

6.7

12.8

15.5

18.8

Depreciation and amortization

262.2

312.8

343.0

430.9

Non-cash compensation expense

23.5

24.0

27.2

37.5

(Gains) losses on disposals of assets

1.3

1.6

5.0

3.2

Gains on non-hedged interest rate derivatives  
51.0

(39.2)

(4.6)

77.4

Unrealized (gains) losses on commodity risk management activities  
(35.5)

(30.0)

78.3

11.4

Goodwill impairment loss  
11.4

-

-

-

Impairment of investment in affiliate

-

-

52.6

5.4

Proportionate share of unconsolidated affiliates' interest, depreciation  
and allowance for equity funds used during construction

-

22.3

22.5

30.0

Adjusted EBITDA attributable to non-controlling interest

-

-

-

(37.8)

Other, net (includes allowance for equity funds used during construction)

(73.3)

(12.7)

(28.5)

(5.4)

Adjusted EBITDA

1,378.9

\$

1,477.4

\$

1,540.9

\$

1,742.6

\$

The Partnership has disclosed in this press release EBITDA, as adjusted, and distributable cash flow which are non-GAAP financial measures. Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure. Management believes Adjusted EBITDA provides useful information to investors, including companies that may have different financing and capital structures. The presentation of Adjusted EBITDA also allows for comparison to the methods used by management and provides additional insight to our operating results.

There are material limitations to using measures such as Adjusted EBITDA, including the difficulty associated with using it as a measure of performance, the inability to compare measures from one company to another, and the inability to analyze certain significant items that directly affect a company's net income or loss or cash flow. Adjusted EBITDA may not be consistent with similarly titled measures of other companies and should be viewed in conjunction with measurements such as gross margin, operating income, net income, and cash flow from operating activities.

Definition of Adjusted EBITDA

The Partnership defines Adjusted EBITDA as total partnership earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization, and depletion expense, gains and losses on disposals of assets, the allowance for equity funds used during construction, unrealized gains and losses on commodity derivatives and inventory fair value adjustments (excluding lower of cost or market adjustments), cash impairment charges, and other non-operating income or expense items. Unrealized gains and losses on commodity risk management and losses on commodity derivatives and inventory fair value adjustments (excluding lower of cost or market adjustments).

29

Reconciliation of Capital Deployed and  
Funding Sources

1

Non-cash activity comprises issuances of common units in connection with certain acquisitions (2009, 2008, four months ended 2007) and the transfer of the investment in MEP (year ended 12/31/10).

Fiscal Years Ended 8/31

Four Months

Years Ended 12/31

(\$ millions)

2005

2006

2007

Ended 12/31/07

2008

2009

2010

2011

Net cash used in investing activities

1,133.7

\$

1,244.4

\$

2,158.1

\$

995.9

\$

2,015.6

\$

1,345.8

\$

1,493.8

\$

3,552.4

\$

Proceeds from sale of assets and discontinued operations

196.9

6.9

23.1

21.5

19.4

21.5

27.9

9.3

Non-cash activity †

2.5

4.0

-

1.4



2.2

63.3

(588.7)

-

Maintenance capital expenditures

(41.0)

(51.8)

(89.2)

(49.0)

(141.0)

(102.7)

(99.3)

(134.2)

Capital deployed

1,292.1

\$

1,203.5

\$

2,092.0

\$

969.8

\$

1,896.2

\$

1,327.9

\$

833.8

\$

3,427.5

\$

Net cash provided by operating activities

169.4

\$

543.9

\$

1,112.7

\$

245.7

\$  
1,258.1  
\$  
826.9  
\$  
1,202.3  
\$  
1,344.4  
\$  
Maintenance capital expenditures  
(41.0)  
  
(51.8)  
  
(89.2)  
  
(49.0)  
  
(141.0)  
  
(102.7)  
  
(99.3)  
  
(134.2)  
  
Distributions paid  
(207.0)  
  
(343.8)  
  
(622.5)  
  
(176.0)  
  
(879.2)  
  
(957.3)  
  
(1,066.0)  
  
(1,159.5)  
  
Proceeds from sale of assets and discontinued operations  
196.9  
  
6.9  
  
23.1

21.5

19.4

21.5

27.9

9.3

Excess cash flow

118.3

\$

155.2

\$

424.1

\$

42.2

\$

257.3

\$

(211.6)

\$

64.9

\$

60.0

\$

Net proceeds from issuance of common units

507.7

\$

132.4

\$

1,200.0

\$

234.9

\$

373.1

\$

936.3

\$

1,152.2

\$

1,467.0

\$

Capital contributions from general partner

10.4

2.8

24.5

-

8.0

3.4

8.9

-

Capital contributions from noncontrolling interest

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

645.3

Non-cash activity †

2.5

4.0

-

1.4

2.2

63.3

(588.7)

-

Equity issued

520.6

\$

139.2

\$

1,224.5  
\$  
236.3  
\$  
383.3  
\$  
1,003.0  
\$  
572.5  
\$  
2,112.2  
\$

30  
Ratings Summary  
Moody's  
S&P  
Fitch  
Rating  
Outlook  
Rating  
Outlook  
Rating  
Outlook

ETE  
Corporate Rating  
Ba1  
Review Down  
BB-  
Pos Watch  
BB-  
Stable  
Senior Secured  
Ba2  
Review Down  
BB-  
Pos Watch  
BB  
Stable  
ETP  
Senior Unsecured  
Baa3  
Negative  
BBB-  
Negative  
BBB-  
Negative  
RGP  
Corporate Rating  
Ba3  
Stable  
BB  
Stable  
NR  
NR  
Senior Unsecured  
B1  
Stable  
BB-  
Stable  
NR  
NR  
SUG  
Senior Unsecured  
Baa3  
Negative  
BBB-  
Neg Watch  
BBB-  
Neg Watch  
PEPL  
Senior Unsecured  
Baa3  
Stable

BBB-  
Neg Watch  
BBB-  
Neg Watch



31

Definitions

The following is a list of certain acronyms and terms generally used in the energy industry and throughout

this

presentation

:

/d

per day

Bbl

barrels

Btu

British thermal unit, an energy measurement

Capacity

capacity of a pipeline, processing plant or storage facility refers to the maximum capacity under normal operating conditions and, with respect to pipeline transportation capacity, is subject to multiple factors (including natural gas injections and withdrawals at various delivery points along the pipeline and the utilization of compression) which may reduce the throughout capacity from specified capacity levels.

gpm

gallons per minute

Mcf

thousand cubic feet

MMBtu

million British thermal units

MMcf

million cubic feet

Bcf

billion cubic feet

NGL

natural gas liquid, such as propane, butane and natural gasoline

NYMEX

New York Mercantile Exchange

32

In  
connection  
with  
the  
proposed  
merger,  
ETE  
filed  
with

the  
SEC  
a  
Registration  
Statement  
on  
Form  
S-

4 that included a proxy statement/prospectus. The Registration Statement was declared effective on October 27, 2011. Southern Union mailed the definitive proxy statement/prospectus to its stockholders on or about October 27, 2011 and again on February 17, 2012. **Investors and security holders are urged to carefully read the definitive proxy statement/prospectus because it contains important information regarding ETE, Southern Union and the merger.**

Investors and security holders may obtain a free copy of the definitive proxy statement/prospectus and other documents filed by ETE and Southern Union with the SEC at the SEC's website, [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov). The definitive proxy statement/prospectus and such other documents relating to ETE may also be obtained free of charge by directing a request to Energy Transfer Equity, L.P., Attn: Investor Relations, 3738 Oak Lawn Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75219, or from ETE's website, [www.energytransfer.com](http://www.energytransfer.com). The definitive proxy statement/prospectus and such other documents relating to Southern Union may also be obtained free of charge by directing a request to Southern Union Company, Attn: Investor Relations, 5051 Westheimer Road, Houston, Texas 77056, or from the Company's website, [www.sug.com](http://www.sug.com).

Additional Information