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## Part I Financial Information

## Item 1 Financial Statements

## NEW PEOPLES BANKSHARES, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010
(IN THOUSANDS EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE DATA)
(UNAUDITED)

|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTEREST AND DIVIDEND INCOME |  |  |  |  |
| Loans including fees | \$ | 21,402 | \$ | 24,478 |
| Federal funds sold |  | 9 |  | 21 |
| Interest-earning deposits with banks |  | 80 |  |  |
| Investments |  | 84 |  | 58 |
| Dividends on equity securities (restricted) |  | 50 |  | 42 |
| Total Interest and Dividend Income |  | 21,625 |  | 24,599 |

## INTEREST EXPENSE

| Deposits |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Demand | 39 | 150 |
| Savings | 324 | 393 |
| Time deposits below $\$ 100,000$ | 2,630 | 3,792 |
| Time deposits above $\$ 100,000$ | 1,574 | 2,337 |
| FHLB Advances | 444 | 524 |
| Other borrowings | 105 | 122 |
| Trust Preferred Securities | 200 | 219 |
|  |  |  |
| Total Interest Expense | 5,366 | 7,537 |


| NET INTEREST INCOME | 16,259 | 17,062 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES | 3,457 | 3,940 |
|  |  | 13,122 |


| NONINTEREST INCOME |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Service charges | 1,159 | 1,313 |
| Fees, commissions and other income | 1,019 | 989 |
| Insurance and investment fees | 191 | 279 |
| Life insurance investment income | 176 | 209 |
|  |  | 2,545 |

## NONINTEREST EXPENSES
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| Salaries and employee benefits |  | 7,859 |  | 7,520 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupancy and equipment expense |  | 2,144 |  | 2,334 |
| Advertising and public relations |  | 183 |  | 194 |
| Data processing and telecommunications |  | 798 |  | 814 |
| FDIC insurance premiums |  | 1,096 |  | 1,500 |
| Other real estate owned and repossessed vehicles, net |  | 2,490 |  | 302 |
| Other operating expenses |  | 2,660 |  | 2,358 |
| Total Noninterest Expenses |  | 17,230 |  | 15,022 |
| INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES |  | $(1,883)$ |  | 890 |
| INCOME TAX EXPENSE (BENEFIT) |  | (757) |  | 235 |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) | \$ | $(1,126)$ | \$ | 655 |
| Earnings (Loss) Per Share |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | (0.11) | \$ | 0.07 |
| Fully Diluted | \$ | (0.11) | \$ | 0.07 |
| Average Weighted Shares of Common Stock |  |  |  |  |
| Basic |  | 10,010,178 |  | 10,009,039 |
| Fully Diluted | 10,010,178 |  |  | 10,009,039 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.
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## NEW PEOPLES BANKSHARES, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

## FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

(IN THOUSANDS EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE DATA)
(UNAUDITED)

|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTEREST AND DIVIDEND INCOME |  |  |  |  |
| Loans including fees | \$ | 10,514 | \$ | 12,283 |
| Federal funds sold |  |  |  | 11 |
| Interest-earning deposits with banks |  | 54 |  |  |
| Investments |  | 45 |  | 35 |
| Dividends on equity securities (restricted) |  | 28 |  | 21 |
| Total Interest and Dividend Income |  | 10,641 |  | 12,350 |

## INTEREST EXPENSE

| Deposits |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demand | 44 | 77 |
| Savings | 138 | 193 |
| Time deposits below \$ 100,000 | 1,242 | 1,812 |
| Time deposits above \$100,000 | 769 | 1,118 |
| FHLB Advances | 223 | 263 |
| Other borrowings | 44 | 59 |
| Trust Preferred Securities | 92 | 112 |
| Total Interest Expense | 2,552 | 3,634 |
| NET INTEREST INCOME | 8,089 | 8,716 |
| PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES | 2,312 | 1,950 |
| NET INTEREST INCOME AFTER PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES | 5,777 | 6,766 |

## NONINTEREST INCOME

| Service charges | 607 | 703 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Fees, commissions and other income | 445 |  |
| Insurance and investment fees | 93 |  |
| Life insurance investment income | 89 |  |
| Total Noninterest Income | 159 |  |

NONINTEREST EXPENSES

| Salaries and employee benefits | 3,946 | 4,019 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Occupancy and equipment expense | 1,119 | 9,130 |
| Advertising and public relations | 116 |  |
| Data processing and telecommunications | 392 |  |
| FDIC insurance premiums | 421 | 750 |
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| Other real estate owned and repossessed vehicles, net |  | 2,246 |  | 156 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other operating expenses |  | 1,395 |  | 1,301 |
| Total Noninterest Expenses |  | 9,617 |  | 7,871 |
| INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES |  | $(2,606)$ |  | 308 |
| INCOME TAX EXPENSE (BENEFIT) |  | (931) |  | 66 |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) | \$ | $(1,675)$ | \$ | 242 |
| Earnings (Loss) Per Share |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | (0.17) | \$ | 0.02 |
| Fully Diluted | \$ | (0.17) | \$ | 0.02 |
| Average Weighted Shares of Common Stock |  |  |  |  |
| Basic |  | 10,178 |  | 10,009,042 |
| Fully Diluted |  | 10,178 |  | 10,009,042 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.
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## NEW PEOPLES BANKSHARES, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

## (IN THOUSANDS EXCEPT PER SHARE AND SHARE DATA)

|  |  | June 30, <br> 2011 <br> Unaudited) | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \\ \text { (Audited) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 18,818 | \$ | 14,369 |
| Interest-bearing deposits with banks |  | 69,082 |  | 42,549 |
| Federal funds sold |  | 1,010 |  | 25,611 |
| Total Cash and Cash Equivalents |  | 88,910 |  | 82,529 |
| Investment securities |  |  |  |  |
| Available-for-sale |  | 4,383 |  | 4,658 |
| Loans receivable |  | 664,003 |  | 707,794 |
| Allowance for loan losses |  | $(18,697)$ |  | $(25,014)$ |
| Net Loans |  | 645,306 |  | 682,780 |
| Bank premises and equipment, net |  | 33,780 |  | 34,141 |
| Equity securities (restricted) |  | 3,733 |  | 3,878 |
| Other real estate owned |  | 11,137 |  | 12,346 |
| Accrued interest receivable |  | 3,368 |  | 3,700 |
| Life insurance investments |  | 11,187 |  | 11,011 |
| Goodwill and other intangibles |  | 4,288 |  | 4,346 |
| Deferred taxes |  | 7,362 |  | 8,037 |
| Other assets |  | 7,653 |  | 5,201 |
| Total Assets | \$ | 821,107 | \$ | 852,627 |

## LIABILITIES

| Deposits: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demand deposits: |  |  |  |
| Noninterest bearing | \$ 102,343 | \$ | 87,839 |
| Interest-bearing | 56,062 |  | 60,022 |
| Savings deposits | 99,992 |  | 108,119 |
| Time deposits | 482,756 |  | 510,100 |
| Total Deposits | 741,153 |  | 766,080 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 18,583 |  | 24,183 |
| Accrued interest payable | 1,795 |  | 1,720 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 1,150 |  | 1,475 |
| Line of credit borrowing |  |  | 4,900 |
| Other borrowings | 5,450 |  | 250 |
| Trust preferred securities | 16,496 |  | 16,496 |
| Total Liabilities | 784,627 |  | 815,104 |


| STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Common stock - \$2.00 par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized; $10,010,178$ shares issued and outstanding | 20,020 |  | 20,020 |
| Additional paid-in-capital | 21,689 |  | 21,689 |
| Retained earnings (deficit) | $(5,301)$ |  | $(4,175)$ |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | 72 |  | (11) |
| Total Stockholders Equity | 36,480 |  | 37,523 |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity | \$ 821,107 | \$ | 852,627 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.
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## NEW PEOPLES BANKSHARES, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

## FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

(IN THOUSANDS INCLUDING SHARE DATA)
(UNAUDITED)

|  | Shares of Common Stock | $\begin{gathered} \text { Common } \\ \text { Stock } \end{gathered}$ | Additional Paid in Capital | Retained Earnings (Deficit) | Accumulated |  |  |  | Comprehensive <br> Income <br> (Loss) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Com | er nensive me ss) |  | Total areholders Equity |  |  |
| Balance, December 31, 2009 | 10,009 | \$ 20,018 | \$ 21,683 | \$ 4,890 | \$ | 28 | \$ | 46,619 |  |  |
| Net Income |  |  |  | 655 |  |  |  | 655 | \$ | 655 |
| Unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities, net of \$2 tax |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 4 |  | 4 |
| Balance, June 30, 2010 | 10,009 | \$ 20,018 | \$ 21,683 | \$ 5,545 | \$ | 32 | \$ | 47,278 | \$ | 659 |
| Balance, December 31, 2010 | 10,010 | \$ 20,020 | \$ 21,689 | \$ (4,175) | \$ | (11) | \$ | 37,523 |  |  |
| Net Income (Loss) |  |  |  | $(1,126)$ |  |  |  | $(1,126)$ | \$ | $(1,126)$ |
| Unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities, net of \$43 tax |  |  |  |  |  | 83 |  | 83 |  | 83 |
| Balance, June 30, 2011 | 10,010 | \$ 20,020 | \$ 21,689 | \$ $(5,301)$ | \$ | 72 | \$ | 36,480 | \$ | $(1,043)$ |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.
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## NEW PEOPLES BANKSHARES, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

(IN THOUSANDS)
(UNAUDITED)

|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES |  |  |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (1,126) | \$ 655 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |
| Depreciation | 1,245 | 1,383 |
| Provision for loan losses | 3,457 | 3,940 |
| Income (less expenses) on life insurance | (176) | (209) |
| Loss on sale of fixed assets | 152 |  |
| Loss on sale of foreclosed real estate | 47 | 36 |
| Adjustment of carrying value of foreclosed real estate | 1,869 |  |
| Accretion of bond premiums/discounts | 4 |  |
| Deferred tax expense | 631 | 735 |
| Amortization of core deposit intangible | 58 | 109 |
| Net change in: |  |  |
| Interest receivable | 332 | (71) |
| Other assets | $(2,452)$ | $(1,811)$ |
| Accrued interest payable | 75 | (37) |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | (325) | 314 |
| Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities | 3,791 | 5,044 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES |  |  |
| Net decrease in loans | 30,655 | 11,478 |
| Purchase of securities available-for-sale | $(2,455)$ | $(1,654)$ |
| Proceeds from sale and maturities of securities available-for-sale | 2,853 | 613 |
| Sale of Federal Home Loan Bank stock | 145 |  |
| Purchase of Federal Reserve Bank stock |  | 1 |
| Payments for the purchase of property and equipment | $(1,204)$ | $(1,269)$ |
| Proceeds from sales of property and equipment | 168 |  |
| Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned | 2,655 | 1,559 |
| Net Cash Provided by Investing Activities | 32,817 | 10,728 |

## CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES

| Net decrease in line of credit borrowings | $(4,900)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Net increase in other borrowings | 5,200 |
| Repayments to Federal Home Loan Bank | $(5,600)$ |
| Net change in: | 10,544 |
| Demand deposits | $(600)$ |
| Savings deposits | $(8,127)$ |
| Time deposits | $(27,344)$ |
|  | $(21,434)$ |
| Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities | $(30,227)$ |
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| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 6,381 |  | 16,552 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Period |  | 82,529 |  | 39,560 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period |  | 88,910 | \$ | 56,112 |
| Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Paid During the Period for: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest |  | 5,441 | \$ | 7,500 |
| Taxes | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Supplemental Disclosure of Non Cash Transactions: |  |  |  |  |
| Other real estate acquired in settlement of foreclosed loans |  | 3,362 | \$ | 5,897 |
| Loans made to finance sale of foreclosed real estate | \$ |  | \$ | 175 |
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## NEW PEOPLES BANKSHARES, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## NOTE 1 NATURE OF OPERATIONS:

New Peoples Bankshares, Inc. ( The Company ) is a bank holding company whose principal activity is the ownership and management of a community bank. New Peoples Bank, Inc. ( Bank ) was organized and incorporated under the laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia on December 9, 1997. The Bank commenced operations on October 28, 1998, after receiving regulatory approval. As a state chartered member bank, the Bank is subject to regulation by the Virginia Bureau of Financial Institutions, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and the Federal Reserve Bank. The Bank provides general banking services to individuals, small and medium size businesses and the professional community of southwestern Virginia, southern West Virginia, and eastern Tennessee. On June 9, 2003, the Company formed two wholly owned subsidiaries, NPB Financial Services, Inc. and NPB Web Services, Inc. On July 7, 2004 the Company established NPB Capital Trust I for the purpose of issuing trust preferred securities. On September 27, 2006, the Company established NPB Capital Trust 2 for the purpose of issuing additional trust preferred securities. NPB Financial Services, Inc. was a subsidiary of the Company until January 1, 2009 when it became a subsidiary of the Bank.

## NOTE 2 ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES:

The financial statements conform to U. S. generally accepted accounting principles and to general industry practices. In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited financial statements contain all adjustments (consisting of only normal recurring accruals) necessary to present fairly the financial position at June 30, 2011, and the results of operations for the three month and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. The notes included herein should be read in conjunction with the notes to financial statements included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K/A Amendment No. 2 for the year ended December 31, 2010. The results of operations for the three month and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The determination of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is based on estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant changes in the economic environment and market conditions.

## NOTE 3 FORMAL WRITTEN AGREEMENT:

Effective July 29, 2010, the Company and the Bank entered into a written agreement with the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond ( Reserve Bank ) and the Virginia State Corporation Commission Bureau of Financial Institutions (the Bureau ) called (the Written Agreement ). We believe we have made good progress in our compliance efforts under the Written Agreement and all of the written plans required to date, as discussed in the following paragraphs, have been submitted on a timely basis.

Under the terms of the Written Agreement, the Bank has agreed to develop and submit for approval within specified time periods written plans to: (a) strengthen board oversight of management and the Bank s operation; (b) if appropriate after review, to strengthen the Bank s management and board governance; (c) strengthen credit risk management policies; (d) enhance lending and credit administration; (e) enhance the Bank s management of commercial real estate concentrations; (f) conduct ongoing review and grading of the Bank s loan portfolio; (g) improve the Bank s position with respect to loans, relationships, or other assets in excess of $\$ 1$ million which are now or in the future become past due more than 90 days, which are on the Bank s problem loan list, or which are adversely classified in any report of examination of the Bank; (h) review and revise, as appropriate, current policy and maintain sound processes for maintaining an adequate allowance for loan and lease losses; (i) enhance management of the Bank s liquidity position and funds management practices; (j) revise its contingency funding plan; (k) revise its strategic plan; and (l) enhance the Bank s anti-money laundering and related activities.

In addition, the Bank has agreed that it will: (a) not extend, renew, or restructure any credit that has been criticized by the Reserve Bank or the Bureau absent prior board of directors approval in accordance with the restrictions in the Written Agreement; (b) eliminate all assets or portions of assets classified as loss and thereafter charge off all assets classified as loss in a federal or state report of examination, unless otherwise approved by the Reserve Bank.
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Under the terms of the Written Agreement, both the Company and the Bank have agreed to submit capital plans to maintain sufficient capital at the Company, on a consolidated basis, and the Bank, on a stand-alone basis, and to refrain from declaring or paying dividends without prior regulatory approval. The Company has agreed that it will not take any
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## NEW PEOPLES BANKSHARES, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

## NOTE 3 FORMAL WRITTEN AGREEMENT (CONTINUED):

other form of payment representing a reduction in the Bank s capital or make any distributions of interest, principal, or other sums on subordinated debentures or trust preferred securities without prior regulatory approval. The Company may not incur, increase or guarantee any debt without prior regulatory approval and has agreed not to purchase or redeem any shares of its stock without prior regulatory approval.

Under the terms of the Written Agreement, the Company and the Bank have appointed a committee to monitor compliance with the Written Agreement. The directors of the Company and the Bank have recognized and unanimously agree with the common goal of financial soundness represented by the Written Agreement and have confirmed the intent of the directors and executive management to diligently seek to comply with all requirements of the Written Agreement.

## NOTE 4 CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS:

The Company and the Bank are subject to various capital requirements administered by federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and, possibly, additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company s and the Bank sfinancial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company and the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors. Prompt corrective action provisions are not applicable to bank holding companies.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the following table) of total and Tier 1 capital (as defined) to risk-weighted assets (as defined) and of Tier 1 capital (as defined) to average assets (as defined). Management believes that, as of June 30, 2011, the Company and the Bank meet all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

As of June 30, 2011 the Bank was well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized, an institution must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based and Tier 1 leverage ratios as set forth in the following tables. There are no conditions or events since the notification that management believes have changed the Bank s category.

The Company s and the Bank s actual capital amounts and ratios are presented in the table as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

|  | Actual |  | Minimum Capital Requirement |  | Minimum to Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars are in thousands) | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| June 30, 2011: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Capital to Risk Weighted Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Company | \$ 51,988 | 9.35\% | \$ 44,496 | 8\% | \$ N/A | N/A |
| The Bank | 56,651 | 10.21\% | 44,384 | 8\% | 55,480 | 10\% |
| Tier 1 Capital Risk Weighted Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Company | 39,615 | 7.12\% | 22,248 | 4\% | N/A | N/A |
| The Bank | 49,571 | 8.93\% | 22,192 | 4\% | 33,288 | 6\% |

Tier 1 Capital to Average Assets:
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| The Company | 39,615 | $4.74 \%$ | 33,409 | $4 \%$ | N/A | N/A |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| The Bank | 49,571 | $5.97 \%$ | 33,194 | $4 \%$ | 41,492 | $5 \%$ |

December 31, 2010:

| Total Capital to Risk Weighted Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The Company | \$ 53,020 | 8.87\% | \$ 47,825 | 8\% | \$ | N/A | N/A |
| The Bank | 58,466 | 9.79\% | 47,786 | 8\% |  | 59,732 | 10\% |
| Tier 1 Capital Risk Weighted Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Company | 39,108 | 6.54\% | 23,913 | 4\% |  | N/A | N/A |
| The Bank | 50,777 | 8.50\% | 23,893 | 4\% |  | 35,839 | 6\% |
| Tier 1 Capital to Average Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Company | 39,108 | 4.62\% | 33,857 | 4\% |  | N/A | N/A |
| The Bank | 50,777 | 6.00\% | 33,857 | 4\% |  | 42,321 | 5\% |
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## NEW PEOPLES BANKSHARES, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

## NOTE 5 INVESTMENT SECURITIES:

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities are as follows:

| (Dollars are in thousands) | Amortized Cost |  | Gross Unrealized Gains |  | Gross Unrealized Losses |  | Approximate <br> Fair <br> Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Available for Sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government Agencies | \$ | 2,707 | \$ | 37 | \$ |  | \$ | 2,744 |
| Taxable municipals |  | 893 |  | 52 |  |  |  | 945 |
| US. Government Mortgage backed securities |  | 674 |  | 20 |  |  |  | 694 |
| Total Securities AFS | \$ | 4,274 | \$ | 109 | \$ |  | \$ | 4,383 |
| December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Available for Sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government Agencies | \$ | 3,001 | \$ |  | \$ | 31 | \$ | 2,970 |
| Taxable municipals |  | 894 |  | 5 |  |  |  | 899 |
| U.S. Government Mortgage backed securities |  | 781 |  | 8 |  |  |  | 789 |
| Total Securities AFS |  | 4,676 | \$ | 13 | \$ | 31 | \$ | 4,658 |

The following table details unrealized losses and related fair values in the available for sale portfolio. This information is aggregated by the length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

|  | Less th | 12 Months |  | Months or More |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars are in thousands) | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government Agencies | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |

December 31, 2010
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { U.S. Government Agencies } & \$ 2,970 & \$ & 31 & \$ & \$ & \$ 2,970 & \$ & 31\end{array}$
At June 30, 2011, the available for sale portfolio had no investments for which the fair market value was less than amortized cost. At December 31, 2010, the available for sale portfolio included four investments for which the fair market value was less than amortized cost. No securities had an other than temporary impairment.

The amortized cost and fair value of investment securities at June 30, 2011, by contractual maturity, are shown in the following schedule. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.
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| (Dollars are in thousands) |  |  | Weighted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Securities Available for Sale | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Average Yield |
| Due in one year or less | \$ | \$ | \% |
| Due after one year through five years | 231 | 236 | 3.14\% |
| Due after five years through fifteen years | 4,043 | 4,147 | 3.50\% |
| Total | \$ 4,274 | \$ 4,383 | 3.46\% |

Investment securities with a carrying value of $\$ 1.2$ million and $\$ 892$ thousand at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, were pledged to secure public deposits and for other purposes required by law.

The Bank, as a member of the Federal Reserve Bank and the Federal Home Loan Bank, is required to hold stock in each. These equity securities are restricted from trading and are recorded at a cost of $\$ 3.7$ million and $\$ 3.9$ million as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.
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## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

## NOTE 6 LOANS:

Loans receivable outstanding are summarized as follows:

|  | June 30, | December 31, |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| (Dollars are in thousands) | 2011 | 2010 |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | $\$ 98,365$ | $\$ 109,551$ |
| Real estate-construction | 44,872 | 52,307 |
| Real estate-mortgages | 473,814 | 489,314 |
| Installment loans to individuals | 46,952 | 56,622 |
|  |  |  |
| Total loans | $\$ 664,003$ | $\$$ |

The following table presents information concerning the Company s investment in loans considered impaired as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\text { As of June 30, 2011 } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Average } \\ \text { Recorded } \\ \text { Investment }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Interest } \\ \text { Income } \\ \text { Recognized }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Recorded } \\ \text { Investment }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Unpaid } \\ \text { Principal } \\ \text { Balance }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Related } \\ \text { Allowance }\end{array} \\ \text { (Dollars are in thousands) } & \$ 14,062 & \$ & 322 & \$ 16,934 & \$ & 17,712\end{array}\right\}$

| As of December 31, 2010 | Unpaid |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Recorded <br> Investment | Principal <br> Balance | Related Allowance |
| With no related allowance recorded: |  |  |  |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | \$ 9,264 | \$ 9,785 | \$ |
| Real estate-construction | 8,585 | 12,594 |  |
| Real Estate-mortgages | 27,138 | 27,997 |  |
| Installment loans to individuals |  |  |  |
| With an allowance recorded: |  |  |  |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | 11,729 | 11,729 | 4,042 |
| Real estate-construction | 14,913 | 16,253 | 3,926 |
| Real Estate-mortgages | 18,973 | 19,142 | 4,842 |
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| Installment loans to individuals | 46 | 46 | 23 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 90,648$ | $\$ 97,546$ | $\$ 12,833$ |

The average recorded investment in impaired loans was $\$ 63.4$ million for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Loans receivable on nonaccrual status are summarized as follows:

|  | June 30, | December 31, |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| (Dollars are in thousands) | 2011 | 2010 |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | $\$ 11,544$ | $\$ 5,970$ |
| Real estate-construction | 12,239 | 15,460 |
| Real estate-mortgages | 18,889 | 22,986 |
| Installment loans to individuals | 324 | 1,365 |
|  |  |  |
| Total loans receivable on nonaccrual status | $\$ 42,996$ | $\$$ |

Total interest income not recognized on nonaccrual loans for six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was $\$ 1.1$ million and $\$ 309$ thousand, respectively.
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## NEW PEOPLES BANKSHARES, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

## NOTE 6 LOANS (CONTINUED):

An age analysis of past due loans receivable was as follows:
$\left.\begin{array}{lccccccc} & & & & & & & \\ \text { Accruing } \\ \text { Loans }\end{array}\right)$


The Company categorizes loans receivable into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. The Company analyzes loans and leases individually by classifying the loans receivable as to credit risk. The Company uses the following definitions for risk ratings:

Pass - Loans in this category are considered to have a low likelihood of loss based on relevant information analyzed about the ability of the borrowers to service their debt and other factors.

Special Mention - Loans in this category are currently protected but are potentially weak, including adverse trends in borrower s operations, credit quality or financial strength. Those loans constitute an undue and unwarranted credit risk but not to the point of justifying a substandard classification. The credit risk may be relatively minor yet constitute an unwarranted risk in light of the circumstances. Special mention loans
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have potential weaknesses which may, if not checked or corrected, weaken the loan or inadequately protect the Company scredit position at some future date.

Substandard - A substandard loan is inadequately protected by the current sound net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans classified as substandard must have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt; they are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful - Loans classified Doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in loans classified Substandard, plus the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values highly questionable and improbable.

Based on the most recent analysis performed, the risk category of loans receivable was as follows:

As of June 30, 2011

|  | Special <br> (Dollars are in thousands) |  |  |  |  | Pass |  |  |  |  |  | Mention | Substandard | Doubtful | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | $\$ 68,631$ | $\$ 8,906$ | $\$$ | 18,304 | $\$ 2,524$ | $\$$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate-construction | 25,638 | 4,158 | 15,076 |  | 44,872 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real Estate-mortgages | 375,388 | 30,728 | 63,560 | 4,138 | 473,814 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Installment loans to individuals | 44,720 | 632 | 1,560 | 40 | 46,952 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\$ 514,377$ | $\$ 44,424$ | $\$$ | 98,500 | $\$ 6,702$ | $\$ 664,003$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

## NOTE 6 LOANS (CONTINUED):

## As of December 31, 2010

|  | Special <br> (Dollars are in thousands) |  |  |  |  | Pass |  |  |  |  |  | Mention | Substandard | Doubtful | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | $\$ 83,482$ | $\$ 5,561$ | $\$$ | 18,619 | $\$ 1,889$ | $\$ 109,551$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate-construction | 28,802 | 4,095 | 19,410 | 52,307 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real Estate-mortgages | 418,166 | 20,113 | 47,389 | 3,646 | 489,314 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Installment loans to individuals | 56,037 | 122 | 434 | 29 | 56,622 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\$ 586,487$ | $\$ 29,891$ | $\$ 85,852$ | $\$ 5,564$ | $\$ 707,794$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

At June 30, 2011 there were $\$ 19.2$ million in loans that are classified as troubled debt restructurings compared to $\$ 13.9$ million at December 31, 2010.

## NOTE 7 ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES:

A summary of transactions in the allowance for loan losses is as follows:

|  | For the Six Months Ended |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, | June 30, |
| (Dollar are in thousands) | 2011 | 2010 |
| Balance, beginning of year | 25,014 | $\$ 18,588$ |
| Provision for loan losses | 3,457 | 3,940 |
| Advances made on loans with off balance sheet provision | 153 | 60 |
| Recoveries of loans charged off | $(10,986)$ | $(6,167)$ |
| Loans charged off | $\$ 18,697$ | $\$ 16,427$ |
| Balance, End of period |  |  |
|  | $2.82 \%$ | $2.22 \%$ |

The following table details activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the periods ended June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Allocation of a portion of the allowance to one category of loans does not preclude its availability to absorb losses in other categories.

| As of June 30, 2011 (Dollars are in thousands) | Commercial, <br> Financial and <br> Agricultural |  | Real Estate Construction |  | Real Estate <br> Mortgages |  | Installment <br> Loans to Individuals |  | Unallocated |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allowance for loan losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning balance | \$ | 5,323 | \$ | 4,913 | \$ | 6,882 | \$ | 1,733 | \$ | 6,163 | \$ | 25,014 |
| Charge offs |  | $(2,208)$ |  | $(3,965)$ |  | $(3,480)$ |  | $(1,333)$ |  |  |  | $(10,986)$ |
| Recoveries |  | 39 |  | 707 |  | 217 |  | 96 |  |  |  | 1,059 |
| Advances |  |  |  | 153 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 153 |
| Provisions |  | 190 |  | 1,011 |  | 2,261 |  | 492 |  | (497) |  | 3,457 |


| Ending balance | \$ | 3,344 | \$ | 2,819 | \$ | 5,880 | \$ | 988 | \$ | 5,666 |  | 18,697 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ending balance allocated to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 1,256 | \$ | 1,632 | \$ | 1,735 | \$ | 7 | \$ |  | \$ | 4,630 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment |  | 2,088 |  | 1,187 |  | 4,145 |  | 981 |  | 5,666 |  | 14,067 |
| Ending balance | \$ | 3,344 | \$ | 2,819 | \$ | 5,880 | \$ | 988 | \$ | 5,666 | \$ | 18,697 |
| Loans ending balances: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 20,584 | \$ | 18,731 | \$ | 51,184 | \$ | 30 | \$ |  | \$ | 90,529 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment |  | 77,781 |  | 26,141 |  | 422,630 |  | 46,922 |  |  |  | 573,474 |
| Total | \$ | 98,365 | \$ | 44,872 |  | 473,814 | \$ | 46,952 | \$ |  |  | 664,003 |
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## NOTE 7 ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (CONTINUED):

| As of December 31, 2010 (Dollars are in thousands) | Commercial, Financial and Agricultural |  | Real Estate Construction |  | Real Estate <br> Mortgages |  | Installment <br> Loans to Individuals |  | Unallocated |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allowance for loan losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning balance | \$ | 1,710 | \$ | 8,036 | \$ | 3,525 | \$ | 1,501 | \$ | 3,816 |  | 18,588 |
| Charge offs |  | $(1,425)$ |  | $(10,002)$ |  | $(3,867)$ |  | $(1,214)$ |  |  |  | $(16,508)$ |
| Recoveries |  | 509 |  |  |  | 7 |  | 90 |  |  |  | 606 |
| Provisions |  | 4,529 |  | 6,879 |  | 7,217 |  | 1,356 |  | 2,347 |  | 22,328 |
| Ending balance | \$ | 5,323 | \$ | 4,913 | \$ | 6,882 | \$ | 1,733 | \$ | 6,163 |  | 25,014 |
| Ending balance allocated to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 4,042 | \$ | 3,926 | \$ | 4,842 | \$ | 23 | \$ |  |  | 12,833 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment |  | 1,281 |  | 987 |  | 2,040 |  | 1,710 |  | 6,163 |  | 12,181 |
| Ending balance | \$ | 5,323 | \$ | 4,913 | \$ | 6,882 | \$ | 1,733 | \$ | 6,163 |  | 25,014 |
| Loans ending balances: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 20,993 | \$ | 23,497 | \$ | 46,112 | \$ | 46 | \$ |  |  | 90,648 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment |  | 88,558 |  | 28,810 |  | 443,202 |  | 56,576 |  |  |  | 617,146 |
| Total | \$ | 109,551 | \$ | 52,307 |  | 489,314 | \$ | 56,622 | \$ |  |  | 707,794 |

In determining the amount of our allowance, we rely on an analysis of our loan portfolio, our experience and our evaluation of general economic conditions, as well as the requirements of the written agreement and other regulatory input. If our assumptions prove to be incorrect, our current allowance may not be sufficient to cover future loan losses and we may experience significant increases to our provision.

## NOTE 8 EARNINGS PER SHARE:

Basic earnings per share computations are based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during each year. Dilutive earnings per share reflect the additional common shares that would have been outstanding if dilutive potential common shares had been issued. Potential common shares that may be issued relate to outstanding options and are determined by the Treasury method. For the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, potential common shares were anti-dilutive and were not included in the calculation. Basic and diluted net income per common share calculations follows:

| (Amounts in Thousands, Except Share and Per Share Data) | For the three months ended June 30, |  |  |  | For the six months ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 11 |  | 2010 |  | 11 |  | 2010 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | $(1,675)$ | \$ | 242 | \$ | $(1,126)$ | \$ | 655 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding |  | 10,178 |  | 10,009,042 |  | 10,178 |  | 10,009,039 |
| Dilutive shares for stock options |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Weighted average dilutive shares outstanding | $10,010,178$ |  | $10,009,042$ |  | $10,010,178$ | $10,009,039$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share | $\$$ | $(0.17)$ | $\$$ | 0.02 | $\$$ | $(0.11)$ | $\$$ | 0.07 |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share | $\$$ | $(0.17)$ | $\$$ | 0.02 | $\$$ | $(0.11)$ | $\$$ | 0.07 |
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## NOTE 9 FAIR VALUES:

ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures provides a framework for measuring fair value under generally accepted accounting principles and requires disclosures about the fair value of assets and liabilities recognized in the balance sheet in periods subsequent to initial recognition, whether the measurements are made on a recurring basis (for example, available for sale investment securities) or on a nonrecurring basis (for example, impaired loans and other real estate acquired through foreclosure).

Fair value is the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures also establishes fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1: Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 1 assets and liabilities include debt and equity securities and derivative contracts that are traded in an exchange market, as well as U. S. Treasury, other U. S. Government and agency mortgage-backed debt securities that are highly liquid and are actively traded in over-the-counter markets.

Level 2: Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Level 2 assets and liabilities include debt securities with quoted prices that are traded less frequently than exchange-traded instruments and derivative contracts whose value is determined using a pricing model with inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets and liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation. For example, this category generally includes certain private equity investments, retained residual interests in securitizations, residential mortgage servicing rights, and highly structured or long-term derivative contracts.

Investment Securities Available for Sale - Investment securities available for sale are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Fair value measurement is based upon quoted prices. The Company s available for sale securities, totaling $\$ 4.4$ million and $\$ 4.7$ million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, are the only assets whose fair values are measured on a recurring basis using Level 2 inputs from an independent pricing service.

Loans - The Company does not record loans at fair value on a recurring basis. The Company is predominantly an asset based lender with real estate serving as collateral on a substantial majority of loans. From time to time a loan is considered impaired and an allowance for loan losses is established. Loans which are deemed to be impaired are primarily valued on a non-recurring basis at the fair values of the underlying real estate collateral. Such fair values are obtained using independent appraisals, which management used to determine the current value of the collateral. If further impairment below the appraised value is warranted based on changes in market conditions and there is no observable market price, or an appraised value does not include estimated costs of disposition, then management must make an estimate of these costs. In that case, the Company records the impaired loan as nonrecurring Level 3. The aggregate carrying amounts of impaired loans were $\$ 90.5$ million and $\$ 90.6$ million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Foreclosed Assets - Foreclosed assets are adjusted to fair value upon transfer of the loans to foreclosed assets. Foreclosed assets are carried at the lower of the carrying value or fair value. Fair value is based upon independent observable market prices or appraised values of the collateral with a third party estimate of disposition costs, which the Company considers to be level 2 inputs. When the appraised value is not available, management determines the fair value of the collateral is further impaired below the appraised value and there is no observable market price, or an appraised value does not include estimated costs of disposition and management must make an estimate, the Company records the foreclosed asset as nonrecurring Level 3 .
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Assets and liabilities measured at fair value are as follows as of June 30, 2011:

| (Dollars are in thousands) | Quoted market price in active markets (Level 1) | Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) |  | Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (On a recurring basis) |  |  |  |  |
| Available for sale investments |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government Agencies | \$ | \$ | 2,744 | \$ |
| Taxable municipals |  |  | 945 |  |
| Mortgage backed securities |  |  | 694 |  |
| (On a non-recurring basis) |  |  |  |  |
| Other real estate owned |  |  |  | 11,137 |
| Impaired loans |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural |  |  |  | 20,584 |
| Real estate-construction |  |  |  | 18,731 |
| Real estate-mortgages |  |  |  | 51,184 |
| Installment loans to individuals |  |  |  | 30 |
| Total | \$ | \$ | 4,383 | \$ 101,666 |

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value are as follows as of December 31, 2010:

| (Dollars are in thousands) | Quoted market price in active markets (Level 1) |  | ficant <br> her <br> le inputs <br> el 2) | Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (On a recurring basis) |  |  |  |  |
| Available for sale investments |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government Agencies | \$ | \$ | 2,970 | \$ |
| Taxable municipals |  |  | 899 |  |
| Mortgage backed securities |  |  | 789 |  |
| (On a non-recurring basis) |  |  |  |  |
| Other real estate owned |  |  |  | 12,346 |
| Impaired loans |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural |  |  |  | 20,993 |


| Real estate-construction |  | 23,497 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Real estate-mortgages |  | 46,112 |  |  |
| Installment loans to individuals |  | 46 |  |  |
|  | $\$$ | $\$$ | 4,658 | $\$ 102,994$ |

For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the changes in other real estate owned Level 3 assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis are summarized as follows (dollars in thousands):

|  | June 30, 2011 <br> Other Real <br> Estate Owned | June 30, 2010 <br> Other Real <br> Estate Owned |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (Dollars are in thousands) | $\$$ | 12,346 | $\$$ |
| Balance, January 1 | 3,675 |  |  |
| Acquired in settlement of loans | $(2,655)$ | $(4,861$ |  |
| Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned | $(1,869)$ | $(1,559)$ |  |
| Gain (Loss) on sale of other real estate owned |  | $(36)$ |  |
| Adjustments to carrying value |  |  |  |
| Balance, June 30 | $\$$ | 11,137 | $\$$ |
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## NOTE 9 FAIR VALUES (CONTINUED):

## Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the balance sheet, for which it is practical to estimate the value is based upon the characteristics of the instruments and relevant market information. Financial instruments include cash, evidence of ownership in an entity, or contracts that convey or impose on an entity that contractual right or obligation to either receive or deliver cash for another financial instrument.

The following summary presents the methodologies and assumptions used to estimate the fair value of the Company s financial instruments presented below. The information used to determine fair value is highly subjective and judgmental in nature and, therefore, the results may not be precise. Subjective factors include, among other things, estimates of cash flows, risk characteristics, credit quality, and interest rates, all of which are subject to change. Since the fair value is estimated as of the balance sheet date, the amounts that will actually be realized or paid upon settlement or maturity on these various instruments could be significantly different.

The carrying value of cash and due from banks, federal funds sold, interest-bearing deposits, deposits with no stated maturities, trust preferred securities and accrued interest approximates fair value. The estimated fair value of investment securities was based on closing market prices. The remaining financial instruments were valued based on the present value of estimated future cash flows, discounted at various rates in effect for similar instruments during the months of June 2011 and December 2010.

| (Dollars are in thousands) | June 30, 2011 |  | December 31, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimated <br> Fair Value | Carrying <br> Value | Estimated <br> Fair Value | Carrying <br> Value |
| Financial Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from bank | \$ 18,818 | \$ 18,818 | \$ 14,369 | \$ 14,369 |
| Interest bearing deposits with banks | 69,082 | 69,082 | 42,549 | 42,549 |
| Federal funds sold | 1,010 | 1,010 | 25,611 | 25,611 |
| Investment securities | 4,383 | 4,383 | 4,658 | 4,658 |
| Equity securities (restricted) | 3,733 | 3,733 | 3,878 | 3,878 |
| Net Loans | 648,980 | 645,306 | 700,420 | 682,780 |
| Accrued Interest receivable | 3,368 | 3,368 | 3,700 | 3,700 |
| Life insurance investments | 11,187 | 11,187 | 11,011 | 11,011 |
| Financial Liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| Demand Deposits |  |  |  |  |
| Non-interest bearing | 102,343 | 102,343 | 87,839 | 87,839 |
| Interest-bearing | 56,062 | 56,062 | 60,022 | 60,022 |
| Savings deposits | 99,992 | 99,992 | 108,119 | 108,119 |
| Time deposits | 485,889 | 482,756 | 513,090 | 510,100 |
| FHLB advances | 18,156 | 18,583 | 23,611 | 24,183 |
| Accrued interest payable | 1,795 | 1,795 | 1,720 | 1,720 |
| Line of credit borrowing |  |  | 4,900 | 4,900 |
| Other borrowings | 5,450 | 5,450 | 250 | 250 |
| Trust preferred securities | 16,496 | 16,496 | 16,496 | 16,496 |

NOTE 10 RECENT ACCOUNTING DEVELOPMENTS:
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The following is a summary of recent authoritative announcements:
In July 2010, the Receivables topic of the Accounting Standards Codification ( ASC ) was amended by Accounting Standards Update ( ASU ) 2010-20 to require expanded disclosures related to a company s allowance for credit losses and the credit quality of its financing receivables. The amendments require the allowance disclosures to be provided on a disaggregated basis. The Company is required to include these disclosures in their interim and annual financial statements. See Notes 6 and 7 to the consolidated financial statements for the required disclosures.
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## NEW PEOPLES BANKSHARES, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

## NOTE 10 RECENT ACCOUNTING DEVELOPMENTS (CONTINUED):

Disclosures about Troubled Debt Restructurings ( TDRs ) required by ASU 2010-20 were deferred by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) in ASU 2011-01 issued in January 2011. In April 2011 FASB issued ASU 2011-02 to assist creditors with their determination of when a restructuring is a TDR. The determination is based on whether the restructuring constitutes a concession and whether the debtor is experiencing financial difficulties as both events must be present.

Disclosures related to TDRs under ASU 2010-20 will be effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2011, but are not expected to have a material effect on the financial statements.

In December 2010, the Intangibles topic of the ASC was amended to modify Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. For those reporting units, an entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test if it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists. Any resulting goodwill impairment should be recorded as a cumulative-effect adjustment to beginning retained earnings upon adoption. Impairments occurring subsequent to adoption should be included in earnings. The amendment is effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2011, but is not expected to have a material effect on the financial statements. Early adoption is not permitted.

In April 2011, the criteria used to determine effective control of transferred assets in the Transfers and Servicing topic of the ASC was amended by ASU 2011-03. The requirement for the transferor to have the ability to repurchase or redeem the financial assets on substantially the agreed terms and the collateral maintenance implementation guidance related to that criterion were removed from the assessment of effective control. The other criteria to assess effective control were not changed. The amendments are effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2012 but are not expected to have a material effect on the financial statements.

ASU 2011-04 was issued in May 2011 to amend the Fair Value Measurement topic of the ASC by clarifying the application of existing fair value measurement and disclosure requirements and by changing particular principles or requirements for measuring fair value or for disclosing information about fair value measurements. The amendments will be effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2012 but are not expected to have a material effect on the financial statements.

The Comprehensive Income topic of the ASC was amended in June 2011. The amendment eliminates the option to present other comprehensive income as a part of the statement of changes in stockholders equity. The amendment requires consecutive presentation of the statement of net income and other comprehensive income and requires an entity to present reclassification adjustments from other comprehensive income to net income on the face of the financial statements. The amendments will be applicable to the Company on January 1, 2012 and will be applied retrospectively.

Other accounting standards that have been issued or proposed by the FASB or other standards-setting bodies are not expected to have a material impact on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.
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## Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

## Caution About Forward Looking Statements

We make forward looking statements in this quarterly report that are subject to risks and uncertainties. These forward looking statements include statements regarding our profitability, liquidity, allowance for loan losses, interest rate sensitivity, market risk, business strategy, and financial and other goals. The words believes, expects, may, will, should, projects, contemplates, anticipates, forecasts, intends, or othe terms are intended to identify forward looking statements.

Certain information contained in this discussion may include forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These forward-looking statements contain the Company s expectations, plans, future financial performance, and other statements that are not historical facts. These forward-looking statements are generally identified by phrases such as the Company expects, the Company believes or words of similar importance. Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks including, but not limited to, changes in general economic and business conditions, interest rate fluctuations, competition within and from outside the banking industry, new products and services in the banking industry, risk inherent in making loans such as repayment risks and fluctuating collateral values, problems with technology utilized by the Company, changing trends in customer profiles and changes in laws and regulations applicable to the Company. Although the Company believes that its expectations with respect to the forward-looking statements are based upon reasonable assumptions within the bounds of its knowledge of its business and operations, there can be no assurance that actual results, performance or achievements of the Company will not differ materially from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements.

Because of these uncertainties, our actual future results may be materially different from the results indicated by these forward looking statements. In addition, our past results of operations do not necessarily indicate our future results.

## Overview

The Company had a net loss for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 of $\$ 1.7$ million as compared to net income of $\$ 242$ thousand for the quarter ended June 30, 2010. Year-to-date June 30, 2011, the Company had a net loss of $\$ 1.1$ million as compared to net income of $\$ 655$ thousand for the same period in 2011. Basic net loss per share was $\$ 0.17$ for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 as compared to basic net income of $\$ 0.02$ for the quarter ended June 30, 2010. Basic net loss per share was $\$ 0.11$ for the six months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to net income per share of $\$ 0.07$ for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The net loss is primarily the result of other real estate owned property write-downs in the second quarter 2011 totaling $\$ 1.9$ million of which $\$ 1.8$ million of this amount is related to a single property market value depreciation in a development property in the coastal Carolina area. In addition, we made additional provisions for loan losses totaling $\$ 2.3$ million in the second quarter of 2011 as a result of additional impairments on troubled credits, one totaling $\$ 1.4$ million in the Coastal Carolina area, and net charge-offs realized in the quarter.

Total assets decreased to $\$ 821.1$ million, or $3.70 \%$, from $\$ 852.6$ million at December 31,2010 . We intentionally are reducing our asset size in an attempt to manage our net interest margin by reducing higher cost funding and to improve our capital position. We foresee total assets to remain around the current level in the near future; however, future reductions may occur to maintain a well-capitalized status under regulatory guidelines.

In the second quarter of 2011, we experienced a decrease in our net interest margin to $4.28 \%$, as compared to $4.45 \%$ for the same period in 2010 . This is reflected in the $\$ 627$ thousand decrease in net interest income during the second quarter of 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010 primarily related to increased nonaccrual loans in 2011 and less earning assets.

The provision for loan losses increased $\$ 362$ thousand, or $18.56 \%$, to $\$ 2.3$ million for the second quarter of 2011 as compared to $\$ 2.0$ million in the same period for 2010. At June 30, 2011 our allowance for loan losses totaled $\$ 18.7$ million, or $2.82 \%$ of total loans, as compared to $\$ 25.0$ million, or $3.53 \%$ of total loans at December 31, 2010. At June 30, 2010 our allowance for loan losses totaled $\$ 16.4$ million, or $2.22 \%$ of total loans. The allowance for loan losses are being maintained at a level that management deems appropriate to absorb any potential future losses and known impairments within the loan portfolio whether or not the losses are actually ever realized.

Total loans decreased to $\$ 664.0$ million at June 30, 2011 from $\$ 707.8$ million at year end 2010. This is the result of charge offs of $\$ 11.0$ million for the first six months of 2011, resolution of problem loans, and the intentional shrinking of the loan portfolio to increase regulatory capital ratios. We continue to serve our customers, and although the total loan portfolio has shrunk, we have renewed existing credits and have made new loans to qualified borrowers as well. We plan to decrease the loan portfolio in the near future as we reduce our exposure to certain risks and decrease nonperforming loans. Total deposits decreased $\$ 24.9$ million from $\$ 766.1$ million at December 31, 2010 to $\$ 741.2$ million at June 30,
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2011 as two larger depositors and interest rate sensitive customers withdrew deposits to seek other investment opportunities. However, we continue to experience growth in core deposits through attractive consumer and commercial deposit products.
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The deterioration of the residential and commercial real estate markets, as well as the extended recessionary period, have resulted in increases to our nonperforming assets. However, we are identifying potential problems early in an effort to minimize losses. The ratio of nonperforming assets to total assets is $6.88 \%$ at June 30, 2011 in comparison to $7.02 \%$ at December 31, 2010. Nonperforming assets, which include nonaccrual loans, other real estate owned and past due loans greater than 90 days still accruing interest, decreased to $\$ 56.5$ million at June 30 , 2011 from $\$ 59.8$ million at December 31, 2010; however, as total assets have decreased during 2011, the June 30, 2011 ratio is higher than December 31, 2010. The majority of these assets are real estate development projects and commercial real estate secured loans. We are working aggressively to reduce these totals primarily by working with the customer for additional collateral, or restructuring the debt. However, we also may have to foreclose, repossess collateral or take other prudent measures. We are uncertain how long these processes will take. In the first six months of 2011, net charge offs were $\$ 9.9$ million as compared to $\$ 6.1$ million in the same period of 2010. The majority of the charge offs in the first six months of 2011 were related to real estate construction loans and commercial loans with collateral values that are dependent upon current market and economic conditions when these are ascertainable.

The Bank was well capitalized for regulatory purposes at June 30, 2011 as compared to adequately capitalized at December 31, 2010. The following ratios existed at June 30, 2011: Tier 1 leverage ratio of $5.97 \%$, Tier 1 risk based capital ratio of $8.93 \%$, and Total risk based capital ratio of $10.21 \%$. The ratios were as follows at December 31, 2010: Tier 1 leverage ratio of $6.00 \%$, Tier 1 risk based capital ratio of $8.50 \%$, and Total risk based capital ratio of $9.79 \%$.

## Critical Accounting Policies

Certain critical accounting policies affect the more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our financial statements. The most critical accounting policy relates to our provision for loan losses, which reflects the estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments. If the financial condition of our borrowers were to further deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, our estimates would be updated, and additional provisions could be required. For further discussion of the estimates used in determining the allowance for loan losses, we refer you to the section on Provision for Loan Losses below. For discussion of our significant accounting policies see our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A Amendment No. 2 for the year ended December 31, 2010.

## Balance Sheet Changes

At June 30, 2011, total assets were $\$ 821.1$ million, a decrease of $\$ 31.5$ million, or $3.70 \%$, from December 31, 2010. Total deposits decreased $\$ 24.9$ million, or $3.25 \%$, for the first six months of 2011 to $\$ 741.2$ million from $\$ 766.1$ million at December 31, 2010. Total loans decreased $\$ 43.8$ million, or $6.19 \%$, to $\$ 664.0$ million at June 30, 2011 from $\$ 707.8$ million at December 31, 2010.

We continue to experience an increase in core deposits as noninterest bearing deposits increased $16.51 \%$, or $\$ 14.5$ million, from $\$ 87.8$ million at December 31, 2010 to $\$ 102.3$ million at June 30, 2011. This was partially offset by a $\$ 4.0$ million decrease in interest bearing demand deposits. Overall, we continue to experience growth in core deposits through attractive consumer and commercial deposit products.

We experienced a decrease in savings deposits of $\$ 8.1$ million and a decrease in time deposits of $\$ 27.3$ million. The decreases in savings and time deposits are due to customer desires to be more liquid in the current rate environment. During 2011, some larger liquid and rate sensitive deposits have withdrawn to seek other investment opportunities. We expect to continue to lose higher cost and rate sensitive deposits in the near future. However, we monitor deposits to ensure that we maintain adequate liquidity levels. We believe despite the deposit decrease, we have adequate liquidity.

Total loans decreased to $\$ 664.0$ million at June 30, 2011 from $\$ 707.8$ million at year end 2010. This is the result of charge offs of $\$ 11.0$ million for the first half of 2011 and resolution of problem loans. We plan to decrease the loan portfolio as we manage our capital levels to maintain a well-capitalized status, reduce certain risks to various industry sectors that have posed higher risks in recent times, and resolve nonperforming loans. Even as we decrease our loan portfolio, we still are committed to serving our customers. We have hired commercial lending personnel, continue to train our loan officers to meet the needs of our customers, and are developing new business with qualified borrowers that will ensure a stronger loan portfolio in the future.
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## Net Interest Income and Net Interest Margin

Net interest income decreased $\$ 627$ thousand, or $7.19 \%$, to $\$ 8.1$ million for the second quarter of 2011 from $\$ 8.7$ million for the same period in 2010. Our net interest margin decreased to $4.28 \%$ in the second quarter of 2011 as compared to $4.45 \%$ for the same period in 2010. This is the result of nonaccrual loans of $\$ 43.0$ million at June 30,2011 which negatively affects the net interest margin as these loans are nonearning assets. If non-accruing loans increase, it may reduce our net interest margin further. We continue to manage our yields on assets and our costs of funds to improve the net interest margin.

## Noninterest Income

Noninterest income decreased $\$ 179$ thousand, or $12.67 \%$, to $\$ 1.2$ million in the second quarter of 2011 from $\$ 1.4$ million in the same period in 2010. The decrease is the result of a $\$ 96$ thousand decrease in services charges on deposit accounts and a $\$ 66$ thousand decrease in insurance and investment fees. We expect noninterest income to remain flat throughout 2011 as a result of regulatory changes. During the third quarter 2011, we are increasing overdraft fees and some service charges. We continue to seek opportunities to improve noninterest income.

## Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expense totaled $\$ 9.6$ million for the second quarter of 2011 as compared to $\$ 7.9$ million for the second quarter of 2010. The primary contributors to the increase in noninterest expenses for the quarter are the increase in other real estate owned expenses of $\$ 2.1$ million, the increase in other operating expenses of $\$ 94$ thousand, offset by a decrease in FDIC insurance premiums of $\$ 329$ thousand. Other operating expenses increased mainly due to the result of complying with the terms of the Written Agreement entered into during 2010 and problem loan resolution expenses.

Salaries and benefits decreased $\$ 73$ thousand in the quarter-to-quarter comparison. We have decreased staffing during the first half of 2011 and in the subsequent period to improve earnings. In the future, we should continue to see improvements in salary and benefits expenses.

Our efficiency ratio, which is defined as noninterest expense divided by the sum of net interest income plus noninterest income, was $103.15 \%$ for the second quarter of 2011 as compared to $77.71 \%$ for the same period in 2010 . This increase in the ratio is due primarily to the $\$ 1.7$ million increase in noninterest expenses for the quarter.

## Provision for Loan Losses

The calculation of the allowance for loan losses is considered a critical accounting policy. The adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is based upon management s judgment and analysis. The following factors are included in our evaluation of determining the adequacy of the allowance: risk characteristics of the loan portfolio, current and historical loss experience, concentrations and internal and external factors such as general economic conditions.

The allowance for loan losses decreased to $\$ 18.7$ million at June 30, 2011 as compared to $\$ 25.0$ million at December 31, 2010. The allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2011 was approximately $2.82 \%$ of total loans as compared to $3.53 \%$ at December 31, 2010 and $2.22 \%$ at June 30, 2010. Net loans charged off for the first six months of 2011 were $\$ 9.9$ million compared to $\$ 6.1$ million for the first six months of 2010. The provision for loan losses was $\$ 2.3$ million for the second quarter of 2011 as compared with $\$ 2.0$ million in the same period for 2010.

Certain risks exist in the Bank s loan portfolio. Historically, we have experienced significant annual loan growth until the past couple of years. However, there might be loans that have single pay maturities or demand loans that may be too new to have exhibited signs of weakness. Also, past expansions into new markets increase potential credit risk. A majority of our loans are collateralized by real estate located in our market area. It is our policy to sufficiently collateralize loans to help minimize loss exposures in case of default. The recent negative trends in the national real estate market and economy pose threats to our portfolio. With the exception of real estate development type properties which have experienced more deterioration in market values, the local residential and commercial real estate market values have shown some deterioration but remain relatively stable. National real estate markets have experienced a more significant downturn and this has impacted our portfolio for certain out-of-market loans in the Coastal Carolina, northeastern Tennessee, and eastern West Virginia markets. Prior to 2008, we had purchased participation construction loans in the Coastal Carolina area. The totals of these credits were $\$ 5.9$ million at June 30, 2011 and $\$ 7.6$ million at December 31, 2010. At June 30, $2011 \$ 1.3$ million of the allowance for loan losses was allocated to these credits compared to $\$ 1.2$ million at December 31, 2010. The $\$ 1.7$ million decrease in these credits was the result of charge offs of $\$ 1.6$ million during the first six months of 2011. This market area poses higher risk to potential future writedowns if the real estate market conditions do not show improvements. It is uncertain as to when or if local real estate values will be more significantly impacted. We do not believe that there will be a severely negative effect in our market area, but because of the uncertainty we deem it prudent to assign more of
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the allowance to these types of loans. Our market area is somewhat diverse, but certain areas are more reliant upon agriculture, coal mining and natural gas. As a result, increased risk of loan impairments is possible if these industries experience a significant downturn, although we do not believe this to be likely at least in the near future. We consider these factors to be the primary higher risk characteristics of the loan portfolio.

An evaluation of individual loans is performed by the loan review function. Loans are initially risk rated by the originating loan officer. If deteriorations in the financial condition of the borrower and the capacity to repay the debt occur, along with other factors, the loan may be downgraded. This is typically determined by either the loan officer or loan reviewers. Guidance for the evaluation is established by the regulatory authorities who periodically review the Bank s loan portfolio for compliance. Classifications used by the Bank are exceptional, very good, standard, acceptable, transitory risk, other assets especially mentioned, substandard, doubtful and loss. For the year 2010 and 2011, we have engaged a third party loan review firm to conduct quarterly loan reviews.

All loans classified as special mention, substandard, doubtful and loss are individually reviewed for impairment. In determining impairment, collateral for loans classified as substandard, doubtful and loss is reviewed to determine if the collateral is sufficient for each of these credits. If an appraisal is older than one year, a new external certified appraisal is obtained in most cases and used to determined impairment. If an exposure exists, a specific allowance is directly made for the amount of the potential loss in addition to estimated liquidation and disposal costs. The evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available. Impaired loans decreased to $\$ 90.5$ million with a valuation allowance of $\$ 4.6$ million at June 30, 2011 as compared to $\$ 90.6$ million with a valuation allowance of $\$ 12.8$ million at December 31, 2010. Of the $\$ 90.5$ million recorded as impaired loans, $\$ 35.7$ million were nonperforming loans, which includes nonaccrual loans and past due 90 days or more and still accruing. We determined we had $\$ 18.0$ million in loans that required a valuation allowance of $\$ 4.6$ million at June 30, 2011. At December 31, 2010 we had $\$ 45.7$ million in loans that required a valuation allowance of $\$ 12.8$ million. The $\$ 27.7$ million decrease in loans requiring a valuation allowance was the result of $\$ 8.1$ million decrease in commercial loans, $\$ 8.6$ million decrease in real estate loans, and a decrease of $\$ 11.0$ million in real estate construction loans that required a valuation allowance. Management is aggressively working to reduce the impaired credits at minimal loss.

Loans delinquent greater than 90 days still accruing interest and loans in non-accrual status present higher risks. At June 30, 2011, there were 132 loans in non-accrual status totaling $\$ 43.0$ million, or $6.48 \%$ of total loans. At December 31, 2010, there were 113 loans in non-accrual status totaling $\$ 45.8$ million, or $6.47 \%$ of total loans. It is our policy to stop accruing interest on a loan, and to classify that loan as non-accrual, under the following circumstances: (a) whenever we are advised by the borrower that scheduled payment or interest payments cannot be met, (b) when our best judgment indicates that payment in full of principal and interest can no longer be expected, or (c) when any such loan or obligation becomes delinquent for 90 days unless it is both well secured and in the process of collection. At June 30, 2011 there were $\$ 19.2$ million in loans that are classified as troubled debt restructurings compared to $\$ 13.9$ million at December 31, 2010. There are also no loans identified as potential problem loans. We do not have any commitments to lend additional funds to non-performing debtors.

## Liquidity

We closely monitor our liquidity and have increased liquid assets in the form of cash, due from banks, federal funds sold, and unpledged available for sale investments from $\$ 86.3$ million at December 31, 2010 to $\$ 92.1$ million at June 30, 2011. We plan to maintain surplus short-term assets at levels adequate to meet potential liquidity needs during 2011.

At June 30, 2011, all of our investments are classified as available-for-sale, providing an additional source of liquidity in the amount of $\$ 3.2$ million, which is net of those securities pledged as collateral. We anticipate developing an investment portfolio in the near future as we shrink our loan portfolio and increase deposits. This will primarily serve as a source of liquidity while yielding a higher return than other short term investment options, such as federal funds sold and overnight deposits with the Federal Reserve Bank. In the third quarter of 2011, we are required to pledge approximately $\$ 13.0$ million in securities or loans for our payment processing with the Federal Reserve Bank. We anticipate purchasing securities and reducing our overnight funds at the Federal Reserve Bank to meet this requirement.

Our loan to deposit ratio was $89.59 \%$ at June 30, 2011 and $92.39 \%$ at year end 2010. We anticipate this ratio to remain below $90 \%$ as we continue to decrease our loan portfolio throughout 2011. We can further lower the ratio as management deems appropriate by managing the rate of growth in our loan portfolio and by offering special promotions to entice new deposits. This can be done by changing interest rates charged or limiting the amount of new loans approved.

Available third party sources of liquidity remain intact at June 30, 2011 which includes the following: our line of credit with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta, the brokered certificates of deposit markets, internet certificates of deposit, and the discount window at the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond.
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At June 30, 2011, we had borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank totaling $\$ 18.6$ million as compared to $\$ 24.2$ million at December 31, 2010. The $\$ 5.6$ million decrease was due to a $\$ 5.0$ million term note which matured in January 2011 and we paid off this note with liquid funds and the remaining $\$ 600$ thousand decrease was due to regular monthly principal payments. Of these borrowings at June 30, 2011, none are overnight and subject to daily interest rate changes. Term notes of $\$ 10.2$ million mature in the year 2012 and we anticipate paying these off as liquidity is available to do so. Two additional borrowings totaling $\$ 8.4$ million have a maturity date in the year 2018, but reduce in principal amounts monthly. We also used our line of credit with the Federal Home Loan Bank to issue a letter of credit for $\$ 7.0$ million in 2008 and $\$ 3.0$ million in 2010 to the Treasury Board of Virginia for collateral on public funds. An additional $\$ 39.5$ million was available on June 30 , 2011 on the $\$ 68.1$ million line of credit which is secured by a blanket lien on our residential real estate loans. Recently, the Federal Home Loan Bank conducted a collateral review indicating fewer exceptions and our available line of credit increased slightly to $\$ 68.1$ million at the end of the second quarter of 2011.

We have access to the brokered deposits market. Currently we have $\$ 2.7$ million in 10 year term time deposits comprised of $\$ 3$ thousand incremental deposits which yield an interest rate of $4.10 \%$. We utilized this low cost source of funds to match funding for a 10 year balloon mortgage product. With the exception of CDARS time deposits, we have no other brokered deposits. Though this has not been a strategy in the past, we may utilize this source in the future as a lower cost source of funds.

We are a member of an internet certificate of deposit network whereby we may obtain funds from other financial institutions at auction. We may invest funds through this network as well. Currently, we only intend to use this source of liquidity in a liquidity crisis event.

In September 2009, the Bank obtained approval for the Federal Reserve Bank discount window for overnight funding needs. We may collateralize this line with investment securities and loans at our discretion and in July 2011 we pledged an investment security with a fair value of $\$ 359$ thousand at July 31, 2011 as collateral. We did not request funding as a result of this pledge and do not anticipate using this funding source except as a last resort.

Additional liquidity is expected to be provided by loan repayments and core deposit growth that will result from an increase in market share in our targeted trade area.

With the increased asset liquidity and other external sources of funding, we believe at the Bank level we have adequate liquidity and capital resources to meet our requirements and needs for the foreseeable future. However, liquidity can be further affected by a number of factors such as counterparty willingness or ability to extend credit, regulatory actions and customer preferences, some of which are beyond our control.

Concerning the Company s liquidity, we borrowed $\$ 500$ thousand from two directors at $\$ 250$ thousand each. One borrowing occurred at the end of 2010 and the other in January 2011. The use of these funds is to meet current liquidity needs of the Company. At December 31, 2010, there was a $\$ 4.9$ million Silverton line of credit that management was diligently working to eliminate and to increase liquidity at the Company level. As reported in the Subsequent Event Section in Item 1. Business of the December 31, 2010 10-K, on March 16, 2011 we received additional borrowings from two directors totaling $\$ 4.95$ million and were able to retire the Silverton line of credit that was to mature in June 2011 and we continue to work on enhancing the Company s liquidity.

## Capital Resources

Total capital at the end of the second quarter of 2011 was $\$ 36.5$ million as compared to $\$ 37.5$ million at the end of December 31, 2010. The decrease was due to the net loss of $\$ 1.1$ million for the first half of 2011. The Bank remains well capitalized at June 30, 2011, as defined by the capital guidelines of bank regulations. The Company s capital as a percentage of total assets was $4.44 \%$ at June 30, 2011 compared to $4.40 \%$ at December 31, 2010.

Our primary source of additional capital comes from retained earnings. We continue to implement and follow our strategic plan and capital plan. Under current economic conditions, we believe it is prudent to increase capital to absorb potential losses that may occur if asset quality deteriorates further. We are aware that capital needs and requirements are affected by the level of problem assets, growth, earnings and other factors. Retained earnings are not alone sufficient to provide for this economic cycle and we believe we will need access to additional sources of capital. As part of our initiative to improve regulatory capital ratios, we are reducing our higher risk assets, which results in a shrinking loan portfolio. Deposit growth is primarily focused on growing core deposits, which are mainly transaction accounts, commercial relationships and savings products. We are focused on improving earnings by maintaining a strong net interest margin and decreasing overhead expenses. These options we are fully implementing to increase capital. However, these efforts alone may not provide us adequate capital if further loan losses are realized. We are exploring a common stock offering.
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No cash dividends have been paid historically and none are anticipated in the foreseeable future. Earnings will continue to be retained to build capital.

## Off Balance Sheet Items and Contractual Obligations

There have been no material changes during the quarter ended June 30, 2011 to the off-balance sheet items and the contractual obligations disclosed in our annual report on Form 10-K/A Amendment No. 2 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.
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## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

There have been no material changes in market risks faced by the Company during the quarter ended June 30, 2011. For information regarding the Company s market risk, refer to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K/A Amendment No. 2 for the year ended December 31, 2010.

## Item 4. Controls and Procedures

We have carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our President and Chief Executive Officer (our CEO ) and our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (our CFO ), of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act )) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, our CEO and CFO concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not operating effectively in providing reasonable assurance that (a) the information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms, and (b) such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Our CEO and CFO based this conclusion on the fact that the Company identified a material weakness in New Peoples internal control over financial reporting relating to the system of monitoring the real estate collateral values of impaired loans and other real estate owned properties at the Bank and while internal control procedures have been implemented to remediate this material weakness, no testing has been performed to determine the effectiveness of the new procedures. Additional information with respect to this issue is included in the discussion below.

## Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

During May 2011, after management discovered the material weakness in its internal control over financial reporting described above, management implemented the following internal control procedures to ensure timely reporting of changes in collateral values on impaired loans and other real estate owned properties at the Bank to management responsible for financial reporting of the Company:

1. A Disclosure Control Committee has been established consisting of the following officers: Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Credit Officer, Chief Operating Officer, Senior Lending Officer, Controller, In-house Legal Counsel, and Director of Internal Audit. This Committee will meet quarterly to discuss loan portfolio and problem asset quality issues, operation risks, legal issues, subsequent events, suspicious activities, and reported disclosures.
2. The Chief Credit Officer ( CCO ) of the Bank is assigned the responsibility for monitoring all assets classified as impaired. The CCO will also be responsible for determining when appraisals or evaluations will be needed on impaired loans and other real estate owned properties, and for reporting any reductions in collateral values to the Chief Financial Officer (CFO ) on a quarterly basis prior to the filing of the quarterly and annual reports with the SEC.
3. When appraisals are received from the third party appraisal firm, a copy of the appraisal will promptly be logged on a register designating the date of receipt and provided to the Loan Officer and the Loan Operations Department, with the Loan Officer responsible to promptly place the appraisal in the customer file, update valuations on the Bank $s$ database and forward a copy to the CCO.
4. The independent appraisal review department of the Bank will immediately notify the CCO and the Loan Officer of the results of an appraisal on an impaired or suspected impaired loan or other real estate owned property. After the CCO and Loan Officer review the appraisal, a determination will be made as to the reasonableness of the appraisal. The final determination as to reasonableness will be the responsibility of the CCO. Upon a determination of reasonableness, then the CCO will notify the CFO, Controller and Allowance for Loan Loss model coordinator of any deterioration in the appraised value. A new impairment test will be performed and reviewed by the CCO and CFO to determine if an additional allowance reserve is needed for this credit relationship, which will be provided to the Controller to make general ledger adjustments and financial statement revisions prior to issuance.
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5. Prior to release of financial information, the CFO will contact the CCO to insure no appraisals have been received or are being reviewed, the result of which might be material to the financial statement of the Company.
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## Part II Other Information

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

In the course of operations, we may become a party to legal proceedings. We became aware of a lawsuit against the Bank in April 2010. This case involves a claim against the Bank by a joint venture between bank customers, some of whom are former members of senior management, and three investors. The allegation is that the joint venture, VFI, should have priority over the Bank s deed of trust in order for VFI s unrecorded and unrecordable ground lease to be enforceable for its full ten year term. There are also additional claims for damages resulting from allegations that the Bank s representatives imputed liability to the Bank based upon breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and collaboration. The parties agreed to litigate the ground lease issue first and are now in negotiations to resolve all pending issues due to the fact that the business associated with the building has ceased and the building is vacant. Management and Bank s counsel believe VFI s position is not supported by law or the facts presented.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no other material changes in the risk factors faced by the Company from those disclosed in the Company s Amendment No. 2 on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2010 except for the following:

Prior lending practices regarding demand and single pay loans can inhibit our ability to analyze the risk of these credits and timing of potential impairment due to the nature of their payment terms.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds
Not Applicable

## Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not Applicable

## Item 4. (Removed and Reserved).

## Item 5. Other Information

Not Applicable

## Item 6. Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed as part of this Form 10-Q, and this list includes the exhibit index:
No. Description
31.1 Certification by Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act.
31.2 Certification by Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act.

32 Certification by Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

NEW PEOPLES BANKSHARES, INC.
(Registrant)

By: /s/ JONATHAN H. MULLINS
Jonathan H. Mullins
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 8, 2011

By: /s/ C. TODD ASBURY
C. Todd Asbury

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Date: August 8, 2011

