

RAYTHEON CO/  
Form PRE 14A  
April 02, 2010  
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**Washington, D.C. 20549**

**SCHEDULE 14A**

**Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the**

**Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

**(Amendment No. )**

Filed by the Registrant  Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

**Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

**Raytheon Company**

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form PRE 14A

x No fee required.

.. Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

.. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

.. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

**Table of Contents**

April [ ], 2010

Dear Raytheon Stockholder,

I am pleased to invite you to attend Raytheon's 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders on Thursday, May 27, 2010. The meeting will be held at 11:00 a.m. Eastern Time at The Ritz-Carlton, Pentagon City, 1250 South Hayes Street, Arlington, Virginia 22202. For your convenience, we are pleased to offer a live webcast (audio only) of the meeting at [www.raytheon.com/ir](http://www.raytheon.com/ir).

This booklet includes a formal notice of the meeting and the proxy statement. It also provides information on, among other things, Raytheon's corporate governance, the Company's executive compensation program and the matters to be voted on at the meeting. The proxy statement reflects Raytheon's commitment to strong governance processes, including independent and active Board oversight, accountability to stockholders, transparent disclosure, and compliance with complex and changing regulatory responsibilities.

Over the last year, the Board continued to demonstrate its strong commitment to sound and contemporary governance. Our Board has voted to submit a proposal to stockholders at the upcoming Annual Meeting to amend the Company's Restated Certificate of Incorporation to give holders of not less than 25% of the Company's common stock the right to call a special meeting of stockholders. This proposal is described in more detail in the proxy statement. Other governance enhancements described in the proxy statement include the Board's clarification of stock ownership and retention guidelines for officers and directors, and the Management Development and Compensation Committee's charter amendments designed to ensure independence of its outside compensation consultant and to increase focus on career development for elected officers and other key employees. We believe that these measures, combined with the Board's past initiatives, represent a thoughtful and proactive approach to corporate governance.

I encourage you to visit our web site, [www.raytheon.com](http://www.raytheon.com), to learn more about our governance practices, and I look forward to sharing information with you about Raytheon at the Annual Meeting. Whether or not you plan to attend, I encourage you to vote your proxy as soon as possible so that your shares will be represented at the meeting.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

WILLIAM H. SWANSON

*Chairman and Chief Executive Officer*

**Table of Contents**

**NOTICE OF 2010 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS**

**Time:** 11:00 a.m. Eastern Time

**Date:** Thursday, May 27, 2010

**Place:** The Ritz-Carlton, Pentagon City

1250 South Hayes Street

Arlington, Virginia 22202

**Record Date:** Stockholders of record at the close of business on Friday, April 2, 2010 are entitled to notice of and to vote at the meeting.

**Purpose:** (1) Elect nine directors nominated by the Company's Board to hold office until the next annual stockholders' meeting or until their respective successors have been elected.

(2) Ratify the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as Raytheon's independent auditors.

(3) Approve an amendment to the Company's Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, granting to holders of not less than 25% of the Company's shares of common stock the right to call a special meeting of stockholders.

(4) Approve the Raytheon Company 2010 Stock Plan.

(5) Consider and act upon such other business, including stockholder proposals if properly presented, as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

**Proxy Voting:** You can vote your shares by completing and returning the proxy card or voting instruction form sent to you. Most stockholders can also vote their shares over the Internet or by telephone. Please check your proxy card or the information forwarded by your bank, broker or other holder of record to see which options are available to you. You can revoke a proxy at any time prior to its exercise by following the instructions in the proxy statement.

By order of the Board of Directors,

Jay B. Stephens  
*Secretary*

Waltham, Massachusetts

April [ ], 2010

**Table of Contents**

**RAYTHEON COMPANY**

**870 Winter Street, Waltham, Massachusetts 02451**

**PROXY STATEMENT FOR 2010 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
<b><u>Proxy Statement</u></b>	1
<u>Proxies and Voting Procedures</u>	1
<u>Stockholders Entitled to Vote</u>	1
<u>Quorum and Required Vote</u>	2
<u>Tabulation of Votes</u>	3
<u>Multiple Copies of Annual Report to Stockholders</u>	3
<u>Householding Information</u>	3
<u>Electronic Delivery of Future Proxy Materials and Annual Reports</u>	3
<u>Cost of Proxy Solicitation</u>	4
<u>Stockholder Account Maintenance</u>	4
<b><u>Corporate Governance</u></b>	5
<u>Governance Principles</u>	5
<u>Board Independence</u>	5
<u>Director Nomination Process</u>	6
<u>Majority Voting for Directors</u>	6
<u>Board Leadership Structure</u>	7
<u>The Board's Role in Risk Oversight</u>	7
<u>Risk Assessment of Overall Compensation Program</u>	7
<u>Lead Director</u>	8
<u>Communication with the Board</u>	8
<u>Service on Other Boards</u>	8
<u>Director Education</u>	8
<u>Board and Committee Evaluation Process</u>	9
<u>Policy on Stockholder Rights Plans</u>	9
<u>Political Contributions Disclosure</u>	9
<u>Restatement Clawback Policy</u>	9
<u>Code of Ethics and Conflicts of Interest</u>	9
<u>Transactions with Related Persons</u>	9
<b><u>The Board of Directors and Board Committees</u></b>	11
<u>Audit Committee</u>	12
<u>Management Development and Compensation Committee</u>	12
<u>Governance and Nominating Committee</u>	13
<u>Public Affairs Committee</u>	13
<u>Special Activities Committee</u>	13
<u>Executive Committee</u>	14
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	14
<b><u>Director Compensation</u></b>	15
<u>Elements of Director Compensation</u>	17
<u>Director Stock Ownership and Retention Guidelines</u>	17
<b><u>Election of Directors</u></b>	17
<u>Nominees for Election</u>	19
<b><u>Stock Ownership</u></b>	24
<u>Five Percent Stockholders</u>	24
<u>Management and Directors</u>	24

Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form PRE 14A

<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	25
<b><u>Executive Compensation</u></b>	26
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	26
<u>Management Development and Compensation Committee Report</u>	42
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	43

**Table of Contents**

	<b>Page</b>
<u>2009 Grants of Plan-Based Awards</u>	46
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at 2009 Fiscal Year-End</u>	49
<u>2009 Option Exercises and Stock Vested</u>	51
<u>Pension Benefits</u>	51
<u>Nonqualified Deferred Compensation</u>	54
<u>Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control</u>	55
<b><u>Equity Compensation Plan Information</u></b>	59
<b><u>Audit Committee Report</u></b>	60
<b><u>Independent Auditors: Audit and Non-Audit Fees</u></b>	61
<b><u>Ratification of Appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP</u></b>	61
<b><u>Approval of Amendment to Restated Certificate of Incorporation Granting to Holders of Not Less than 25% of the Company's Shares the Right to Call a Special Meeting of Stockholders</u></b>	62
<b><u>Approval of the Raytheon Company 2010 Stock Plan</u></b>	63
<b><u>Stockholder Proposals</u></b>	66
<b><u>Other Matters</u></b>	70
<b><u>Appendix A Amendment to Article V of Restated Certificate of Incorporation</u></b>	A-1
<b><u>Appendix B Raytheon 2010 Stock Plan</u></b>	B-1

---

**Table of Contents**

**RAYTHEON COMPANY**

**870 Winter Street, Waltham, Massachusetts 02451**

**PROXY STATEMENT FOR 2010 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS**

We are providing these proxy materials in connection with the solicitation by the Board of Directors of Raytheon Company ( "Raytheon" or the Company ) of proxies to be voted at our 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and at any meeting following adjournment thereof.

You are cordially invited to attend Raytheon's Annual Meeting on Thursday, May 27, 2010 beginning at 11:00 a.m. Eastern Time. Stockholders will be admitted beginning at 10:30 a.m. The meeting will be held at The Ritz-Carlton, Pentagon City, 1250 South Hayes Street, Arlington, Virginia 22202. Directions may be found on our web site at [www.raytheon.com/proxy](http://www.raytheon.com/proxy).

We are first mailing this proxy statement and accompanying forms of proxy and voting instructions on or about April [ ], 2010 to holders of shares of our common stock as of Friday, April 2, 2010, the record date for the meeting.

If you are a stockholder of record as of the record date for the meeting, you will find an admission ticket attached to the proxy card sent to you. If you plan to attend the meeting in person, please detach the admission ticket from the proxy card and bring it with you to the meeting. For security purposes, in order to enter the meeting, you will be asked to present a valid picture identification, such as a driver's license or passport, with your admission ticket.

If your shares are held through a broker, bank, trust or other holder of record and you plan to attend the meeting in person, we will admit you only if we are able to verify that you are a Raytheon stockholder as of the record date. You should bring a letter or account statement demonstrating that you are the beneficial owner of our common stock on the record date, along with a valid picture identification in order to be admitted to the meeting. In order to vote your shares at the meeting, please see below.

**Proxies and Voting Procedures**

Your vote is important. Because many stockholders cannot personally attend the meeting, it is necessary that a large number of stockholders be represented by proxy. Most stockholders have a choice of voting over the Internet, by using a toll-free telephone number or by completing a proxy card or voting instruction form and mailing it in the envelope provided. Please check your proxy card or the information forwarded by your bank, broker or other holder of record to see which options are available to you. The Internet and telephone voting facilities for stockholders of record will close at 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, May 26, 2010. The Internet and telephone voting procedures have been designed to authenticate stockholders, to allow you to vote your shares and to confirm that your instructions have been properly recorded. If your shares are held through a broker, bank, trust or other holder of record and Internet or telephone facilities are made available to you, these facilities may, by necessity, close sooner than is the case for stockholders of record.

You can revoke your proxy at any time before it is exercised by timely delivery of a properly executed, later-dated proxy (including an Internet or telephone vote) or by voting by ballot at the meeting. By providing your voting instructions promptly, you may save the Company the expense of a second mailing and help avoid unnecessary resource consumption.

The method by which you vote will not limit your right to vote at the meeting if you later decide to attend in person. If your shares are held in the name of a bank, broker or other holder of record, you must obtain a proxy, executed in your favor, from the holder of record to be able to vote at the meeting.

All shares entitled to vote and represented by properly executed proxies received prior to the meeting and not revoked will be voted at the meeting in accordance with your instructions. If you do not indicate how your shares should be voted on a matter, the shares represented by your proxy will be voted as the Board recommends.

**Stockholders Entitled to Vote**

Stockholders at the close of business on the record date are entitled to notice of and to vote at the meeting. On April 2, 2010, there were [ ] shares of our common stock outstanding.

## Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form PRE 14A

If you are a participant in our Dividend Reinvestment Plan, shares acquired under the plan may be voted in the same manner as the shares that generated the dividends for reinvestment. Thus, these shares may be voted by following the same procedures as those described above.

If you are a participant in the Raytheon Savings and Investment Plan, your vote will serve as the voting instruction to the trustee of the plan for all shares you own through the plan. If you own shares through this plan and do not provide voting instructions to the trustee, the trustee will vote those shares at the meeting in the same proportion as shares for which instructions were received under the plan.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Quorum and Required Vote**

#### *Quorum*

The presence, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the shares entitled to vote for the election of directors is necessary to constitute a quorum. Abstentions and broker non-votes are counted as present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining a quorum. A broker non-vote occurs when a nominee holding shares for a beneficial owner (i.e., in street name) does not vote on a particular proposal because the nominee does not have discretionary voting power with respect to that item and has not received instructions from the beneficial owner. We believe that nominees only have discretionary voting power with respect to the ballot item on ratification of auditors described in this proxy statement.

#### *Required Vote Election of Directors*

In uncontested elections of directors (as is the case for this annual meeting), each nominee must receive a majority of votes cast to be elected. That means that the number of votes cast for that nominee must exceed the votes cast against that nominee. An abstention does not count as a vote cast. Moreover, our Governance Principles require any incumbent nominee who fails to receive such a majority to tender his or her resignation to our Governance and Nominating Committee. For more information, see Corporate Governance Majority Voting for Directors on page 6. As a result of rule changes that became effective this year, a nominee holding shares in street name does not have discretionary voting power with respect to the election of directors and may not vote shares unless the nominee receives voting instructions from the beneficial owner. Accordingly, a broker non-vote is not counted for voting purposes with respect to, and has no effect on, election of directors.

#### *Required Vote Ratification of Auditors*

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of shares of common stock, present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote, is required to ratify the selection of our independent auditors. An abstention is treated as present and entitled to vote and therefore has the effect of a vote against ratification of the independent auditors. Because the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) considers the ratification of the independent auditors to be routine, a nominee holding shares in street name may vote on this proposal in the absence of instructions from the beneficial owner.

#### *Required Vote Amendment to Restated Certificate of Incorporation Granting to Holders of Not Less than 25% of the Company's Shares the Right to Call a Special Meeting of Stockholders*

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of our outstanding shares of common stock is required to approve an amendment to our Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, granting to holders of not less than 25% of the Company's shares the right to call a special meeting of stockholders. An abstention has the effect of a vote against the proposal. A nominee holding shares in street name does not have discretionary voting power with respect to this proposal and may not vote shares unless the nominee receives voting instructions from the beneficial owner. A broker non-vote also has the effect of a vote against the proposal.

#### *Required Vote Approval of Raytheon Company 2010 Stock Plan*

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of shares of common stock, present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote, is required to approve the Raytheon Company 2010 Stock Plan. An abstention is treated as present and entitled to vote on this proposal and therefore has the effect of a vote against the proposal. A nominee holding shares in street name does not have discretionary voting power with respect to this proposal and may not vote shares unless the nominee receives voting instructions from the beneficial owner. Accordingly, a broker non-vote is not counted for voting purposes with respect to, and has no effect on, this proposal.

#### *Required Vote Stockholder Proposals*

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of shares of common stock, present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote, is required to approve a stockholder proposal. An abstention is treated as present and entitled to vote on the stockholder proposal and therefore has the effect of a vote against the proposals. A nominee holding shares in street name does not have discretionary voting power with respect to the stockholder proposal and may not vote shares unless the nominee receives voting instructions from the beneficial owner. Accordingly, a broker non-vote is not counted for voting purposes with respect to, and has no effect on, the stockholder proposals.

## Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form PRE 14A

### *Other Matters*

If any other matters are properly presented for consideration at the meeting, including, among other things, consideration of a motion to adjourn the meeting to another time or place, the persons named in the proxy card

## **Table of Contents**

will have discretion to vote on those matters according to their best judgment to the same extent as the person signing the proxy would be entitled to vote. At the date of this proxy statement, we do not anticipate that any other matters will be raised at the meeting.

In accordance with our Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, each share of our common stock is entitled to one vote.

## **Tabulation of Votes**

All votes, whether by proxy or ballot, will be tabulated by an independent business entity, which will not disclose your vote except as:

required by law;

necessary in connection with a judicial or regulatory action or proceeding;

necessary in connection with a contested proxy solicitation; or

requested or otherwise disclosed by you.

Any comment written on a proxy card will be provided to our Corporate Secretary without disclosing your vote, unless necessary to an understanding of the comment.

## **Multiple Copies of Annual Report to Stockholders**

A copy of our 2009 Annual Report is enclosed. If you received more than one copy of the annual report and wish to reduce the number of reports you receive to save us the cost of producing and mailing the annual report, we will discontinue the mailing of reports on the accounts you select if you follow the instructions regarding electronic access when you vote over the Internet.

At least one account must continue to receive annual reports and proxy statements, unless you elect to view future annual reports and proxy statements over the Internet. Mailing of dividends, dividend reinvestment statements and special notices will not be affected by your election to discontinue duplicate mailings of the annual report and proxy statement.

## **Householding Information**

We have adopted a procedure approved by the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) called householding. Under this procedure, we are permitted to deliver a single copy of our proxy statement and annual report to stockholders sharing the same address. Householding allows us to reduce our printing and postage costs and reduces the volume of duplicative information received at your household.

In accordance with a notice previously sent to our record holders and certain street name holders who share a single address, we are sending only one annual report and proxy statement to that address unless we received instructions to the contrary from any stockholder at that address. If you wish to receive an additional copy of our annual report or proxy statement this year, you may obtain one by calling the Raytheon Investor Relations Information Line at 877-786-7070 (Option 1) or by writing to the Corporate Secretary at Raytheon Company, 870 Winter Street, Waltham, Massachusetts 02451. You also may request copies of our annual disclosure documents on our web site at [www.raytheon.com](http://www.raytheon.com) under the heading Investor Relations/Request Information. If you are a street name holder and wish to revoke your consent to householding and receive additional copies of our proxy statement and annual report in future years, you may call Broadridge Investor Communications Services toll-free at 1-800-542-1061. If you are a stockholder of record and wish to revoke your consent to householding and receive additional copies of our proxy statement and annual report in future years, you may call Raytheon Stockholder Services toll-free at 1-800-360-4519.

## **Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to Be Held on Thursday, May 27, 2010.**

This proxy statement and our 2009 Annual Report are also available on our web site at [www.raytheon.com/proxy](http://www.raytheon.com/proxy).

**Electronic Delivery of Future Proxy Materials and Annual Reports**

Most stockholders can elect to view future proxy statements and annual reports, as well as vote their shares of our common stock, over the Internet instead of receiving paper copies in the mail. This will save the Company the cost of producing and mailing these documents and help avoid unnecessary resource consumption.

If you are a stockholder of record, you may choose this option by following the instructions provided when you vote over the Internet. You may also elect to receive annual disclosure documents electronically by following the instructions published on our web site at [www.raytheon.com/proxy](http://www.raytheon.com/proxy). If you choose to view future proxy statements and annual reports over the Internet, you will receive an e-mail message next year containing the Internet address to access our annual report and proxy statement. Your choice will remain in effect until you

## **Table of Contents**

cancel your election at [www.raytheon.com/proxy](http://www.raytheon.com/proxy). You do not have to elect Internet access each year.

If you hold our common stock through a bank, broker or other holder of record, please refer to the information provided by your bank, broker or other holder of record regarding the availability of electronic delivery. If you hold our common stock through a bank, broker or other holder of record and you have elected electronic access, you will receive information from your bank, broker or other holder of record containing the Internet address for use in accessing our proxy statement and annual report.

## **Cost of Proxy Solicitation**

We will pay the cost of soliciting proxies. Proxies may be solicited on behalf of Raytheon by directors, officers or employees of Raytheon in person or by telephone, facsimile or other electronic means. We have retained D. F. King & Co., Inc. to assist in the distribution and solicitation of proxies. We have agreed to pay D. F. King & Co., Inc. a fee of \$28,000 plus out-of-pocket expenses for these services.

As required by the SEC and the NYSE, we will also reimburse brokerage firms and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for their expenses incurred in sending proxies and proxy materials to beneficial owners of our common stock.

## **Stockholder Account Maintenance**

Our transfer agent is American Stock Transfer & Trust Company ( AST ). All communications concerning accounts of stockholders of record, including address changes, name changes, inquiries as to requirements to transfer Raytheon stock and similar issues, can be handled by calling Raytheon Stockholder Services toll-free at 1-800-360-4519 or by accessing AST 's web site at [www.amstock.com](http://www.amstock.com).

For other Raytheon information, you can visit our web site at [www.raytheon.com](http://www.raytheon.com). We make our web site content available for information purposes only. It should not be relied upon for investment purposes, nor is it incorporated by reference into this proxy statement.

**Table of Contents**

**CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

The Board of Directors is committed to being a leader in corporate governance. The Board believes that good governance enhances stockholder value and goes beyond simply complying with applicable requirements. It means adhering to the highest standards of ethics and integrity. To that end, the Board has adopted a number of policies and processes to ensure effective governance. Our key governance documents are described below and are available on our web site at [www.raytheon.com](http://www.raytheon.com).

**Governance Principles**

Our Governance Principles, which provide the framework for the oversight of our business and operations, are summarized below. The Governance Principles address, among other things, the following:

A substantial majority of the Board should be independent directors. In addition, the Audit, Management Development and Compensation, and Governance and Nominating Committees must consist entirely of independent directors.

The non-management directors designate a Lead Director with the role and responsibilities set forth in the Governance Principles. More information regarding the Lead Director's role and responsibilities may be found on page 8 under the heading "Lead Director."

The limit on the number of public company boards (including Raytheon) on which a director may serve is five, or three in the case of a director who is a chief executive officer of a public company.

The Board regularly reviews our long-term strategic and business plans.

A director must retire at the expiration of his or her term following attaining age 74. The Board increased the mandatory retirement age in 2009 from 72 to 74 to better attract and retain a qualified and diverse set of directors.

The Board has established a process, led and implemented by the Management Development and Compensation Committee, through which the performance of the CEO is evaluated annually by the independent directors.

The CEO provides an annual report on succession planning and management development to the Management Development and Compensation Committee and the Board.

The Board is subject to the Code of Business Ethics and Conduct and the Company's Conflicts of Interest Policy, and engages in periodic reviews of the Company's ethics program.

The Board has adopted stock ownership guidelines applicable to officers and directors. The Board revised the stock ownership guidelines in 2009 to clarify that a director or officer may not dispose of Company stock until attaining and maintaining the applicable requisite ownership threshold set forth in the guidelines.

The Governance Principles are available on our web site at [www.raytheon.com](http://www.raytheon.com) under the heading "Investor Relations/Corporate Governance/Governance Principles" and are also available in print to any stockholder who requests them by writing to Raytheon Company, Investor Relations, 870 Winter Street, Waltham, MA 02451 or by emailing [invest@raytheon.com](mailto:invest@raytheon.com).

**Board Independence**

The Governance Principles also include criteria adopted by the Board to assist it in making determinations regarding the independence of its members. The criteria, summarized below, are consistent with the NYSE listing standards regarding director independence. To be considered independent, the Board must determine that a director does not have a material relationship, directly or indirectly, with Raytheon. A director will be not considered independent if he or she is a current partner or employee of an internal or external auditor of Raytheon, or if his or her immediate family member is a current partner of an internal or external auditor of Raytheon, or if he or she, or an immediate family member, has been within the last three years:

an executive officer of Raytheon;

a partner or employee of an internal or external auditor of Raytheon who personally worked on a Raytheon audit;

an executive officer of a public company that has an executive officer of Raytheon on the compensation committee of its board;

a paid advisor or consultant to Raytheon receiving in excess of \$120,000 per year in direct compensation from Raytheon (other than fees for service as a director); or

an employee (or in the case of an immediate family member, an executive officer) of a company that does business with Raytheon and the annual payments to or from Raytheon exceeded the greater of \$1 million or 2% of the other company's annual gross revenues.

## **Table of Contents**

A director will also not be considered independent if he or she, or an immediate family member, has been an executive officer of a tax-exempt entity that receives contributions in any fiscal year from Raytheon exceeding the greater of \$1 million or 2% of the entity's gross revenues.

The Board has considered the independence of its members in light of its independence criteria, and has reviewed Raytheon's relationships with organizations with which our directors are affiliated. In this regard, the Board considered that the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, where Mr. Deutch is a professor, provides services to, and receives services from, the Company. The amounts involved were well below the relevant thresholds referenced above.

In addition, although none of our directors or their spouses is an executive officer of a not-for-profit organization, the Board reviewed charitable contributions to not-for-profit organizations with which our directors or spouses are affiliated. None of the contributions approached the thresholds set forth in our independence criteria.

The Board has determined that Messrs. Clark, Deutch, Hadley, Poses, Ruetters, Skates, Spivey, and Ms. Stuntz do not directly or indirectly have a material relationship with the Company, nor do they directly or indirectly have a material interest in any transaction involving the Company, and each of them satisfies the independence criteria set forth in the Governance Principles.

## **Director Nomination Process**

The Governance and Nominating Committee's frame of reference for considering director candidates is set forth in the Board Selection section of the Governance Principles which identifies diversity of experience, expertise and business judgment as key objectives. The Governance Principles also provide that the Committee, in consultation with the Board, will be guided by a number of other criteria, including that each director candidate should be chosen without regard to gender, race, religion, age, sexual orientation or national origin. The Committee considers the effectiveness of the framework established in the Governance Principles periodically when considering the attributes and experience that might be most valuable in a new Board member. The Committee seeks to have a balanced, engaged and collegial board whose members possess the skills and background necessary to ensure that stockholder value is maximized in a manner consistent with all legal requirements and the highest ethical standards.

The Committee reviews each candidate's qualifications in accordance with the director qualification criteria contained in our Governance Principles and determines whether the candidate should be nominated for election to the Board. There is no difference in the way in which the Committee evaluates nominees for director positions based on the source of the nomination. From time to time, the Committee may engage a third party for a fee to assist it in identifying potential director candidates. In 2009, the Governance and Nominating Committee identified Stephen J. Hadley as a potential candidate for the Board and subsequently nominated Mr. Hadley to the Board.

Stockholders wishing to nominate a director candidate may do so by sending the candidate's name, biographical information and qualifications to the Chair of the Governance and Nominating Committee, in care of the Corporate Secretary, Raytheon Company, 870 Winter Street, Waltham, Massachusetts 02451. All director nominations should be made in accordance with the provisions set forth in our By-Laws, which are published on our web site at [www.raytheon.com](http://www.raytheon.com) under the heading Investor Relations/Corporate Governance. You also may obtain a copy of our By-Laws by writing to the Corporate Secretary at the address set forth above.

Under our By-Laws, nominations for director may be made only by the Board or a Board committee, or by a stockholder entitled to vote who complies with the advance notice provision in our By-Laws. For our 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, we must receive this notice between January 27, 2011 and February 26, 2011. However, in no event are we obligated to include any such nomination in our proxy materials.

## **Majority Voting for Directors**

Our By-Laws contain a majority of votes cast standard for uncontested elections of directors. Under the majority of votes cast standard, a director nominee is elected if the number of votes cast for the nominee exceeds the number of votes cast against the nominee. In contested elections (that is, those in which the number of nominees exceeds the number of directors to be elected), the voting standard will continue to be a plurality of votes cast.

In conjunction with the adoption of the majority of votes cast standard, the Board also amended the director resignation policy contained in the Governance Principles to provide that any incumbent director in an uncontested election who fails to receive the requisite majority of votes cast for his or her election will tender his or her resignation to the Governance and Nominating Committee. The Governance and Nominating Committee will make a recommendation to the Board as to whether to accept or reject the director's resignation. The Board will act on the resignation and publicly disclose its decision and the



## **Table of Contents**

rationale behind it within 90 days from the date of the certification of results. The director whose resignation is under consideration will abstain from participating in both the Governance and Nominating Committee's recommendation and the Board's decision with respect to the resignation. If a resignation is not accepted by the Board, the director will continue to serve.

In addition to the adoption of the majority of votes cast standard, the Board maintains the following policies and processes, which it believes represent best practices with respect to the election of directors:

the annual election of all directors;

a policy that a substantial majority of the Board shall be independent;

a rigorous nomination process conducted by the independent Governance and Nominating Committee; and

disclosure of a process by which stockholders may nominate director candidates.

The Board believes that the foregoing policies and practices help ensure the integrity of the election process by providing stockholders with a meaningful voice in director elections, thereby increasing the Board's accountability to stockholders.

## **Board Leadership Structure**

The Board believes that the most effective leadership structure for the Company at this time is one with a combined Chairman and CEO, coupled with an independent Lead Director. Having the CEO serve as Chairman has a number of benefits. It promotes a cohesive vision and strategy for the Company and strong execution ability. It helps to assure clear and direct communication to the Board of any key enterprise risks. The Company has found that in our industry having a combined Chairman and CEO is particularly advantageous when doing business internationally, especially with foreign government customers who value unified leadership and a single ultimate executive decision maker. Finally, it facilitates the Company's ability to respond nimbly to changing business needs and customer objectives. When taken together with the robust role established for the Lead Director, the Board believes that the structure is currently optimal for the Company.

The Board created the position of Lead Director in 2002 to strengthen independent Board oversight in accord with Raytheon's contemporary governance practices. The Lead Director must qualify as independent under our Governance Principles, which comply with the NYSE listing standards. The Lead Director is empowered with broad leadership authority and responsibilities, including working with the Chairman to develop and approve Board agendas, advising on the quality, quantity and timeliness of information provided by management to the Board, and acting as a liaison between the independent directors and the Chairman. The Lead Director also chairs executive sessions of the independent directors not attended by management in conjunction with each regularly scheduled Board meeting. The Lead Director's duties are described in greater detail below.

## **The Board's Role in Risk Oversight**

The Board oversees various risks potentially affecting the Company both directly and indirectly through its committees. The Company has in place an enterprise risk management (ERM) process that, among other things, is designed to identify risks across the Company with input from each business unit and function. Under the ERM process, various business risks are identified, assessed and prioritized. The top risks to the Company, and any mitigation plans associated with those risks, are reported to the Board. The ERM process itself has been reviewed with the Board and is the subject of ongoing periodic review by the Audit Committee of the Board. The Company also manages risk through numerous controls and processes embedded in its operations and such controls and processes are reviewed from time to time with the Board and/or the relevant Board committees as noted below.

Risk considerations are also raised in the context of a range of matters that are reported by management to the Board or one of the Board's committees for review. For example, elements of risk are discussed by the full Board in presentations concerning company-wide and business unit annual operating plans, merger and acquisition opportunities, market environment updates, international business activities and other strategic discussions. Elements of risk related to financial reporting, internal audit, auditor independence and related areas of law and regulation are reviewed by the Audit Committee. Elements of risk related to various aspects of U.S. and international regulatory compliance, social

## Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form PRE 14A

responsibility, environmental matters, export/import controls and crisis management are reviewed by the Public Affairs Committee. Elements of risk related to compensation policies and practices and talent management are reviewed by the Management Development and Compensation Committee ( MDCC ), as further discussed below. Similarly, elements of risk related to governance issues are reviewed by the Governance and Nominating Committee.

### **Risk Assessment of Overall Compensation Program**

The MDCC has reviewed with management the design and operation of our incentive compensation arrangements

## **Table of Contents**

for all employees, including executive officers, for the purpose of determining whether such programs might encourage inappropriate risk-taking that would be reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. Management compiled an inventory of all incentive compensation arrangements applicable to the Company's employees at all levels which it then reviewed with the MDCC. The MDCC considered the performance objectives and target levels used in connection with these incentive awards and also the features of the Company's compensation programs that are designed to mitigate compensation-related risk, such as those described on page 33 under the caption "Management of Compensation-Related Risk." The MDCC concluded that the Company's compensation plans, programs and policies, considered as a whole, including applicable risk-mitigation features, are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

### **Lead Director**

In December 2002, the Board created the position of independent Lead Director. The Board believes that a Lead Director is an integral part of a Board structure that promotes strong, independent oversight of Raytheon's management and affairs. The Lead Director must be independent as determined by the Board in accordance with the criteria included in our Governance Principles, which are summarized above. The Lead Director's duties include working with the Chairman to develop and approve Board agendas, developing and approving meeting schedules with the Chairman to ensure there is sufficient time for discussion of agenda topics, advising the Chairman as to the quality, quantity and timeliness of the information sent to the Board by management, developing agendas for and chairing executive sessions of the Board (in which the non-management directors meet without management), acting as a liaison between the Chairman and the independent directors and performing such other duties as the Board may determine from time to time. The designation of a Lead Director is not intended to inhibit communication among the directors or between any of them and the Chairman. Annually, the Board reviews the role and function of the Lead Director.

The position of Lead Director is currently held by Michael C. Ruetters, former Chairman and CEO of EMC Corporation. Mr. Ruetters was first elected Lead Director by the Board in 2006.

### **Communication with the Board**

Stockholders may communicate with our Board through our Lead Director. You may contact the Lead Director in writing, care of the Corporate Secretary, Raytheon Company, 870 Winter Street, Waltham, Massachusetts 02451. Interested parties also may contact the Lead Director electronically by submitting comments on our web site at [www.raytheon.com](http://www.raytheon.com) under the heading "Investor Relations/Corporate Governance/Contact the Board." These matters will be referred to the Lead Director and tracked by the Office of the General Counsel.

Anyone who has a concern regarding our accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters may communicate that concern to the Audit Committee. You may contact the Audit Committee in writing, care of Raytheon Company, P.O. Box 21, Concord, Massachusetts 01742. Interested parties may also contact the Audit Committee electronically by submitting comments on our web site at [www.raytheon.com](http://www.raytheon.com) under the heading "Investor Relations/Corporate Governance/Contact the Board." All such communications will be referred to the Audit Committee and will be tracked and investigated in the ordinary course by our Ethics Office with the assistance of the Office of the General Counsel unless otherwise instructed by the Audit Committee.

### **Service on Other Boards**

In 2008, the Board amended our Governance Principles to establish a formal policy limiting the number of public company boards on which a director may serve. The limit on the number of public company boards (including Raytheon) on which a director may serve is five, or three in the case of a director who currently serves as a CEO of a public company. This latter limitation applies to the Company's Chairman and CEO. The Governance Principles provide that a director who is considering joining the board of another public company must notify the Chairman of the Board and the Chair of the Governance and Nominating Committee regarding the proposed board service, and shall not accept the position until advised by the Chairman of the Board that service on the other board would not conflict with a Raytheon policy or service on the Raytheon Board.

### **Director Education**

Our director education program consists of visits to Raytheon facilities, education regarding our Code of Business Ethics and Conduct and other policies and practices relevant to our business and operations. In addition, we sponsor in-house educational programs for the Board and provide updates on relevant topics of interest to the Board. We also encourage directors to attend accredited director education programs and institutes sponsored by various educational institutions.



## **Table of Contents**

### **Board and Committee Evaluation Process**

The Governance and Nominating Committee leads an annual assessment of the Board's performance and of its contribution as a whole. In addition, each committee of the Board (except the Executive Committee) annually reviews its performance. Many of the changes to the Governance Principles, committee charters and Board governance practices in general have resulted from the annual evaluation process. The Board views the annual self-assessment review as an integral part of its commitment to achieving high levels of Board and committee performance.

### **Policy on Stockholder Rights Plans**

We do not have a stockholder rights plan. The Board will obtain stockholder approval prior to adopting a stockholder rights plan unless the Board, in the exercise of its fiduciary duties, determines that, under the circumstances then existing, it would be in the best interests of Raytheon and our stockholders to adopt a rights plan without prior stockholder approval. If a rights plan is adopted by the Board without prior stockholder approval, the plan must provide that it will expire within one year of adoption unless ratified by stockholders.

### **Political Contributions Disclosure**

We disclose on our web site a description of our oversight process for political contributions and a summary of direct corporate contributions, including those to state and local parties and candidates, and organizations operated in accordance with section 527 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code. This disclosure is available on our web site at [www.raytheon.com](http://www.raytheon.com) under the heading Investor Relations/Corporate Governance/Political Contributions.

### **Restatement Clawback Policy**

In 2008, the Board amended our Governance Principles to add a Restatement Clawback Policy. This policy gives the Board the right to recover Results Based Incentive Plan payments, Long-Term Performance Plan awards and restricted stock awards made on or after January 1, 2009 to any elected officer to the extent that such payments or awards were inflated due to erroneous financial statements substantially caused by the executive's knowing or intentionally fraudulent or illegal conduct. The policy is designed to maximize the likelihood that the Company will be successful if it seeks to recover the portion of an executive's incentive compensation attributable to inflated financial results caused by the executive's malfeasance.

### **Code of Ethics and Conflicts of Interest**

We have adopted a Code of Business Ethics and Conduct and a Conflict of Interest Policy which apply to all officers, directors, employees and representatives. The Code of Business Ethics and Conduct and the Conflict of Interest Policy are the foundation of our ethics and compliance program and cover a wide range of areas. Many of our policies are summarized in the Code of Business Ethics and Conduct, including our policies regarding conflict of interest, insider trading, discrimination and harassment, confidentiality and compliance with laws and regulations applicable to the conduct of our business. All officers, directors, employees and representatives are required to comply with the Code of Business Ethics and Conduct and are subject to disciplinary action, including termination, for violations. We provide ethics education for directors, officers and employees. The Code of Business Ethics and Conduct is published on our web site at [www.raytheon.com](http://www.raytheon.com) under the heading Investor Relations/Corporate Governance/Code of Conduct and is also available in print to any stockholder who requests it by writing to Raytheon Company, Investor Relations, 870 Winter Street, Waltham, Massachusetts 02451 or by emailing [invest@raytheon.com](mailto:invest@raytheon.com). Any amendments to the Code of Business Ethics and Conduct or the grant of a waiver from a provision of the Code of Business Ethics and Conduct requiring disclosure under applicable SEC rules will be disclosed on our web site.

In addition to complying with the Code of Business Ethics and Conduct and other applicable Company policies, directors, officers and employees are expected to bring to the attention of the Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary or the Vice President Business Ethics and Compliance any actual or potential conflict of interest. Directors, officers and employees may report matters of concern through our anonymous, confidential toll-free EthicsLine at 1-800-423-0210.

### **Transactions with Related Persons**

In 2007, the Board adopted a written Related Party Transactions Policy. Related party transactions include all transactions and relationships involving amounts in excess of \$120,000 between (a) the Company (including subsidiaries) and (b) any director, executive officer or 5%

## Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form PRE 14A

stockholder, including immediate family members and certain entities in which they have a significant interest. Under the policy, the General Counsel (or the CEO, in the case of a matter involving the General Counsel) provides information regarding any related party transaction or relationship to the Governance and Nominating Committee based on information solicited by the General Counsel (or the CEO, in the case of a matter involving the General Counsel). The Governance and Nominating Committee

**Table of Contents**

reviews the material facts of all related party transactions and determines whether to approve, disapprove or ratify the transaction or relationship involved. Certain transactions and relationships have been pre-approved by the Governance and Nominating Committee for purposes of the policy, including (a) executive officer compensation approved by the Board, (b) director compensation, (c) certain relatively small transactions between the Company and other companies, (d) certain charitable contributions made by the Company and (e) matters considered by the Board in its director independence determinations.

In a Schedule 13G filing made with the SEC, BlackRock, Inc. ( BlackRock ) reported beneficial ownership of 8.04% of our outstanding common stock as of December 31, 2009. This ownership position includes shares owned by Barclays Global Investors, NA, and affiliates ( BGI ). As was publicly announced at the time, BGI was acquired by BlackRock on December 1, 2009 ( the acquisition ). Under previously established business relationships, both BGI and BlackRock have provided investment management services for the benefit of certain Raytheon benefit plans. For providing such investment management services, BGI and BlackRock received combined fees of \$1.9 million in 2009, as well as additional amounts from participants in connection with two 401(k) plan investment options. Subsequent to the acquisition, all of these arrangements are under the direct control of BlackRock. In accordance with the Related Party Transactions Policy referenced above, the Governance and Nominating Committee has reviewed these relationships. The Committee ratified these relationships on the basis that BlackRock s ownership of Raytheon stock plays no role in the business relationship between the two companies and that the engagement of BlackRock, and previously BGI, were on terms no more favorable to them than terms that would be available to unaffiliated third parties under the same or similar circumstances.

**Table of Contents****THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND BOARD COMMITTEES**

Our business, property and affairs are managed under the direction of the Board. Directors are kept informed of our business through discussions with the Lead Director, the Chairman and other officers, by reviewing materials provided to them and by participating in meetings of the Board and its committees. The Board has a separately designated Audit Committee established in accordance with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as well as a standing Governance and Nominating Committee, Management Development and Compensation Committee, Public Affairs Committee, and Executive Committee. In March 2010, the Board established a Special Activities Committee to oversee matters relating to the Company's classified business. Each committee's charter (other than the Executive Committee) is published on our web site at [www.raytheon.com](http://www.raytheon.com) under the heading "Investor Relations/Corporate Governance/Committees" and is also available in print to any stockholder who requests it by writing to Raytheon Company, Investor Relations, 870 Winter Street, Waltham, Massachusetts 02451 or by emailing [invest@raytheon.com](mailto:invest@raytheon.com).

The table below provides current membership information regarding the Board and Board committees as of the date of this proxy statement. During 2009, the Board met 10 times. The Board and certain committees also engaged in other discussions and actions during 2009 apart from these meetings. During 2009, the average attendance for directors at Board and committee meetings was 98%, and no director attended less than 75% of the total of all Board and committee meetings on which they served. All directors are expected to attend the Annual Meeting of stockholders. In 2009, all of the directors attended the annual meeting.

The non-management directors, all of whom are independent, meet in an executive session chaired by the Lead Director at the conclusion of every regularly scheduled Board meeting. In addition, committee members generally meet in executive session, without management present, at the conclusion of regularly scheduled meetings of the Governance and Nominating Committee, the Management Development and Compensation Committee, the Audit Committee and the Public Affairs Committee.

	<b>Audit Committee</b>	<b>Governance and Nominating Committee</b>	<b>Management Development and Compensation Committee</b>	<b>Public Affairs Committee</b>	<b>Executive Committee</b>
<b>Independent Directors</b>					
Vernon E. Clark	X		X	X	
John M. Deutch		Chair		X	X
Stephen J. Hadley	X			X	
Frederic M. Poses	X		X		
Michael C. Ruettgers					X
Ronald L. Skates	Chair	X			X
William R. Spivey			Chair	X	X
Linda G. Stuntz	X	X		Chair	X
<b>Inside Director</b>					
William H. Swanson					Chair
<b>Number of Meetings in 2009</b>	11	7	8	5	0

## **Table of Contents**

### **Audit Committee**

The Audit Committee:

Oversees the integrity of our financial statements;

Evaluates the independent auditors' qualifications, performance and independence;

Oversees our internal audit function;

Meets with management to consider the adequacy of our internal controls and the objectivity of financial reporting;

Reviews the independent auditors' audit of the effectiveness of the Company's internal controls;

Prepares the audit committee report located on page 60 under the heading "Audit Committee Report";

Meets with the independent auditors, internal auditors and appropriate financial personnel;

Appoints the independent auditors;

Pre-approves all audit fees and terms as well as all non-audit engagements with the independent auditors;

Reviews annual and periodic reports and earnings press releases and recommends to the Board whether the annual audited financial statements should be included in the Company's Form 10-K;

Has established a process for employees and others confidentially and anonymously to report concerns or complaints regarding accounting, internal control or auditing matters. More information regarding this process is available on page 8 under the heading "Corporate Governance - Communication with the Board";

Reviews compliance with our Code of Business Ethics and Conduct with respect to certain financial reporting, controls and allegations of financial misconduct; and

Has the authority to hire independent counsel and other advisors.

The Board has determined that each member of the Audit Committee is independent as defined by the rules of the NYSE and the SEC. The Board has also determined that Ronald L. Skates, the Chair of the Committee, is an audit committee financial expert, as defined by SEC rules, based upon Mr. Skates' experience and training.

**Management Development and Compensation Committee**

The Management Development and Compensation Committee (MDCC):

Reviews and oversees compensation and benefits, as well as personnel plans, policies and programs;

Reviews and recommends to the Board the compensation of the CEO and the other four most highly compensated executive officers;

Reviews and approves the compensation of other officers and key employees;

Approves director compensation with the concurrence of the Board;

Reviews peer company practices to ensure competitiveness and seeks to align compensation with the performance of the Company;

Periodically reviews succession plans for the CEO, the other named executive officers and elected officers of the Company;

Periodically reviews career development plans for elected officers and other key employees;

Annually assesses the independence of its outside compensation consultants and pre-approves any services proposed to be provided by such consultants to the Company;

Administers and makes awards under our equity compensation plans;

Reviews the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this proxy statement beginning on page 26;

Prepares the Management Development and Compensation Committee Report found on page 42;

Consists solely of independent directors; and

Has the authority to hire independent counsel and other advisors.

While the Board bears the ultimate responsibility for approving compensation of our named executive officers, the MDCC assists the Board in discharging these responsibilities. The MDCC also carries out the responsibilities summarized above. The agenda for the meetings of the MDCC is determined by its Chair, with the assistance of our Senior Vice President Human Resources and our Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, who also regularly attend MDCC meetings. At each meeting, the MDCC meets in executive session. The Chair reports the MDCC's actions and its

## **Table of Contents**

recommendations on named executive officer compensation to the Board. Using its authority to hire independent advisors, in 2009, the MDCC retained Watson Wyatt Worldwide ( Watson Wyatt ), an independent compensation consulting firm, to obtain information on compensation levels, programs and practices within certain peer groups, provide the MDCC with a report on peer compensation trends, perform a pay-for-performance assessment and perform related services. For more information on the MDCC, see the section entitled Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 26.

### **Governance and Nominating Committee**

The Governance and Nominating Committee:

Reviews and reports to the Board on a periodic basis with regard to matters of corporate governance;

Establishes procedures for the nomination of directors and recommends candidates for election to the Board;

Considers director nominees proposed by stockholders;

Reviews and assesses the effectiveness of our Governance Principles and recommends proposed revisions to the Board;

Reviews and approves or ratifies transactions and relationships under our Related Party Transactions Policy;

Reviews proposals by stockholders in connection with the annual meeting of stockholders and makes recommendations to the Board for action on such proposals;

Makes recommendations to the Board regarding the size and composition of the Board;

Oversees the orientation program for new directors and the continuing education program for existing directors;

Consists solely of independent directors; and

Has the authority to hire independent counsel and other advisors.

### **Public Affairs Committee**

The Public Affairs Committee:

Reviews, identifies and brings to the attention of the Board political, social, legal and environmental trends and issues that may have an impact on our business, operations, financial performance or public image;

## Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form PRE 14A

Reviews our policies and practices in the areas of political, legal, environmental and social responsibility and recommends to the Board such policies and practices, including those involving:

environmental protection;

health and safety of employees;

ethics;

export control;

regulatory compliance (except financial matters);

charitable contributions and community relations;

government relations, legislative policy and government consultants;

crisis management and emergency preparedness;

pension performance and management; and

government contracting and defense procurement policies.

Reviews, monitors and makes recommendations to the Board on corporate policies and practices that relate to public policy; and

Has the authority to hire independent counsel and other advisors.

### **Special Activities Committee**

The Special Activities Committee was formed in March 2010 to perform the following activities:

Review Company programs, activities, and potential acquisitions involving classified business which involve special performance, financial, reputational or other risks; and

Review policies, processes, practices, procedures, risk management and internal controls applicable to the Company's classified business to the extent that they deviate from those applicable to the Company's non-classified business activities.

It is anticipated that the Board will appoint members of the Committee at an upcoming meeting.

**Table of Contents**

**Executive Committee**

The Executive Committee is empowered to act for the full Board during intervals between Board meetings, with the exception of certain matters that by law may not be delegated. The Executive Committee did not meet in 2009.

**Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation**

The members of our Management Development and Compensation Committee during fiscal year 2009 were Vernon E. Clark, Frederic M. Poses and William R. Spivey. None of these members is or has ever been an officer or employee of the Company. To our knowledge, there were no relationships involving members of the Management Development and Compensation Committee or our other directors which require disclosure in this proxy statement as a Compensation Committee interlock.

**Table of Contents****DIRECTOR COMPENSATION**

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash <sup>(1)</sup> (\$)	Stock Awards <sup>(2)</sup> (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Vernon E. Clark	\$ 112,000	\$ 109,982				\$ 5,000 <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 226,982
John M. Deutch	\$ 104,000	\$ 109,982					\$ 213,982
Stephen J. Hadley	\$ 46,000 <sup>(4)</sup>	\$ 109,998					\$ 155,998
Frederic M. Poses	\$ 104,500	\$ 109,982				\$ 5,000 <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 219,482
Michael C. Ruettgers	\$ 103,500	\$ 146,002				\$ 5,000 <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 254,502
Ronald L. Skates	\$ 124,000 <sup>(5)</sup>	\$ 109,982				\$ 5,000 <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 238,982
William R. Spivey	\$ 107,500	\$ 109,982					\$ 217,482
Linda G. Stuntz	\$ 121,000	\$ 109,982				\$ 3,000 <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 233,982

(1) Consists of the amounts described below:

Director	Role	Basic Annual Retainer (\$)	Supplemental Annual Retainer (\$)	Meeting Fees (\$)
Mr. Clark	Director	\$ 65,000		\$ 47,000
Mr. Deutch	Chair, Governance Committee	\$ 65,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 29,000
Mr. Hadley	Director, Partial Year	\$ 32,500		\$ 13,500
Mr. Poses	Director	\$ 65,000		\$ 39,500
Mr. Ruettgers	Lead Director	\$ 65,000	\$ 24,000	\$ 14,500
Mr. Skates	Chair, Audit Committee	\$ 65,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 39,000
Mr. Spivey	Chair, MDCC	\$ 65,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 32,500
Ms. Stuntz	Chair, Public Affairs Committee	\$ 65,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 46,000

Mr. Skates elected to receive his annual retainer of \$65,000 and his Audit Committee Chair annual retainer of \$20,000 in shares of our common stock. See footnote 5.

(2) These amounts represent the aggregate grant date fair value of awards of restricted stock in accordance with the accounting standard for share-based payments.

The aggregate numbers of shares of restricted stock held by each director as of December 31, 2009 were as follows:

Director	Restricted Stock (#)
Mr. Clark	2,461
Mr. Deutch	2,461
Mr. Hadley	2,362
Mr. Poses	2,461
Mr. Ruettgers	3,267
Mr. Skates	2,461
Mr. Spivey	2,461



**Table of Contents**

The following table shows the shares of restricted stock awarded to each director during 2009, and the aggregate grant date fair value for each award.

<b>Director</b>	<b>Grant Date</b>	<b>All Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units(#)</b>	<b>Full Grant Date Value of Award(\$)</b>
Mr. Clark	5/28/09	2,461	\$ 109,982
Mr. Deutch	5/28/09	2,461	\$ 109,982
Mr. Hadley	7/29/09	2,362	\$ 109,998
Mr. Poses	5/28/09	2,461	\$ 109,982
Mr. Ruettgers	5/28/09	3,267	\$ 146,002
Mr. Skates	5/28/09	2,461	\$ 109,982
Mr. Spivey	5/28/09	2,461	\$ 109,982
Ms. Stuntz	5/28/09	2,461	\$ 109,982

- (3) Represents Raytheon contributions under our matching gift and charitable awards program, which is available to all employees.
- (4) Mr. Hadley joined the Board of Directors in July 2009.
- (5) Mr. Skates elected to receive his annual retainer of \$65,000 and his Audit Committee Chair annual retainer of \$20,000 in shares of our common stock. As a result of such election, Mr. Skates received 1,902 shares of our common stock on May 28, 2009 based on a stock price of \$44.69.

**Table of Contents****Elements of Director Compensation**

The principal features of the compensation received by our non-employee directors for 2009 are described below.

*Annual Retainers.* All of our non-employee directors are paid an annual Board cash retainer as indicated below. A supplemental annual cash retainer is also paid to committee chairs and the Lead Director as indicated below. Directors may elect to receive their annual cash retainers in shares of our common stock in lieu of cash. We pay the cash retainers quarterly and the stock retainers, including stock in lieu of cash retainers, annually.

<b>Annual Retainer</b>	<b>2009</b>
Board of Directors	\$ 65,000
Lead Director	\$ 24,000
Governance and Nominating Committee Chair	\$ 10,000
Audit Committee Chair	\$ 20,000
Management Development and Compensation Committee Chair	\$ 10,000
Public Affairs Committee Chair	\$ 10,000

*Meeting Fees.* Our non-employee directors receive a \$1,500 meeting fee for each Board or committee meeting attended in person or by teleconference, except with respect to our Lead Director who receives meeting fees only for Board meetings and not fees for Committee meeting attendance.

*Equity Awards.* Each non-employee director also receives an annual grant of restricted stock. Through 2009, these grants were made under the 1997 Nonemployee Directors Restricted Stock Plan and the non-employee directors are entitled to full dividend and voting rights on such restricted stock. Unless otherwise provided by the Board, the restricted stock vests (becomes non-forfeitable) on the date of the annual meeting of stockholders in the calendar year following the year of grant, or upon the earlier occurrence of the director's termination as a director after a change in control of Raytheon or the director's death. Upon a director's termination of service on the Board for any other reason, his or her unvested restricted stock award will be forfeited to Raytheon. Regardless of the vesting date, the shares will remain subject to transfer restriction for at least six months after the grant date. In 2009, each non-employee director was awarded \$110,000 of restricted stock (\$146,000 in the case of the Lead Director).

*Benefits.* We reimburse our non-employee directors for actual expenses incurred in the performance of their service as directors, including attendance at director education programs sponsored by educational and other institutions. We also maintain a business travel accident insurance policy which includes coverage for non-employee directors with up to \$1,000,000 per incident in accident insurance when traveling on Raytheon business. In addition, all directors are eligible to participate in our matching gift and charitable awards program available to all employees. We match eligible gifts up to \$5,000 per donor per calendar year.

Pursuant to our Deferred Compensation Plan, directors may defer receipt of their annual retainer and/or meeting fees until retirement from the Board. Directors also may elect to receive their cash retainer in shares of our common stock which also can be deferred.

**Director Stock Ownership and Retention Guidelines**

As stated in our Governance Principles, the Board believes that directors should be stockholders and have a financial stake in the Company. Accordingly, independent directors are paid a substantial portion of their compensation in equity awards. Further, each director is expected to own shares of stock with a market value of at least two times his or her annual retainer, with five years to achieve the target ownership threshold. The Governance Principles also provide that a director may not dispose of Company stock until attaining the requisite ownership threshold and thereafter must maintain such equity ownership level.

**ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

**(Item No. 1 on the proxy card)**

## Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form PRE 14A

The Board is subject to annual election by stockholders. The Board has nominated Vernon E. Clark, John M. Deutch, Stephen J. Hadley, Frederic M. Poses, Michael C. Ruetters, Ronald L. Skates, William R. Spivey, Linda G. Stuntz and William H. Swanson to serve one-year terms that will expire at the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

We have included below the principal occupation and employment during the past five years and other information about the nominees, including a discussion of the specific considerations relating to the experience, qualifications, attributes or skills considered by the Governance and Nominating Committee in support of each individual's nomination to serve as a director.

**Table of Contents**

If elected, the nominees will continue in office until their successors have been duly elected and qualified, or until the earlier of their death, resignation or retirement. We expect each of the nominees to be able to serve if elected. If any of these persons is unable to serve or for good cause will not serve, the proxies may be voted for a substitute nominee designated by the Board.

**Table of Contents**

**Nominees for Election**

**VERNON E. CLARK**

Director of the Company since 2005.

Chief of Naval Operations, the senior uniformed executive of the United States Navy, from 2000 to 2005 (retired in 2005).

37-year career in the United States Navy, serving in various positions of increasing responsibility; commanded a patrol gunboat and concluded as the Chief of Naval Operations.

Current Directorship: Rolls Royce North America (aerospace, marine and energy-related manufacturer) since 2006; and Horizon Lines, Inc. (ocean shipping and integrated logistics company) since 2007.

Affiliations: Trustee of Regent University and Vanguard University; Member of Air University Board of Visitors; Chairman of the Board of SRI International (Stanford Research Institute); Member of USO Board of Governors; and Member of the Defense Policy Board.

Age 65.

Admiral Clark's qualifications to serve on the Board include his extensive knowledge of, and experience with, the products used by and the needs of our customers based on his extensive career as an officer in the United States Navy, coupled with his organizational acumen and leadership ability illustrated by his service as Chief of Naval Operations.

**JOHN M. DEUTCH**

Director of the Company since 1998.

Institute Professor at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology since 1990.

Director of Central Intelligence from 1995 to 1996.

Deputy Secretary of Defense from 1994 to 1995.

Undersecretary of Defense, Acquisition and Technology from 1993 to 1994.

Provost (from 1985 to 1990), Dean of Science (from 1981 to 1988) and Chairman of the Department of Chemistry (from 1982 to 1985) of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

## Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form PRE 14A

Current Directorship: Cheniere Energy, Inc. (liquefied natural gas receiving company) since 2006;

Past Directorship: Citigroup Inc. (financial services company) from 1996 to April 2010; Cummins Engine Company, Inc. (provider of engines and related technologies) from 1997 to 2008; and Schlumberger Ltd. (oilfield services company) from 1997 to 2007.

Affiliations: Museum of Fine Arts Boston; Resources for the Future; Center for American Progress; and Urban Institute.

Age 71.

Mr. Deutch's qualifications to serve on the Board include his extensive knowledge and experience relating to technology, intelligence and security matters, as well as public policy, based on his varied high level roles in government and academia.

**Table of Contents**

**STEPHEN J. HADLEY**

Director of the Company since July 2009.

Senior Advisor for International Affairs at the U.S. Institute of Peace since 2009.

Principal in The RiceHadley Group, LLC (international strategic consulting firm) since 2009.

Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs from 2005 to 2009.

Assistant to the President and Deputy National Security Advisor from 2001 to 2005.

Partner in the Washington, D.C. law firm of Shea & Gardner and a principal in The Scowcroft Group (international consulting firm) from 1993 to 2001.

Affiliations: Director of the Atlantic Council of the United States; Member of the Council on Foreign Relations; Member of the International Institute for Strategic Studies.

Age 63.

Mr. Hadley's qualifications to serve on the Board include his extensive knowledge and experience relating to national security, international affairs, public policy, legal matters and formulation of strategy, based on his varied high level roles in government, consulting and the practice of law.

**FREDERIC M. POSES**

Director of the Company since 2000.

Chairman and CEO of Trane, Inc. (formerly American Standard Companies, Inc.) (air conditioning systems, services and solutions provider) from 2000 to 2008.

President and Chief Operating Officer of AlliedSignal, Inc. from 1998 to December 1999.

30-year business career at AlliedSignal, starting as a financial analyst in 1969 and serving in various capacities including President of the Engineered Materials business beginning in April 1988.

Current Directorship: Non-executive Chairman of the Board of Tyco Electronics, Ltd. (manufacturer and provider of an array of products including electronics and telecommunications) since 2007.

## Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form PRE 14A

Past Directorship: Centex Corporation (home building company) from 2001 to 2009; and Trane, Inc. from 1999 to 2008.

Affiliations: Chairman of the Board of National Center for Learning Disabilities; Member of the Duke Engineering School Board of Visitors; Trustee of Eagle Hill School, Greenwich, CT; and Member of the Board of Central Synagogue.

Age 67.

Mr. Poses' qualifications to serve on the Board include his extensive business experience, skills and acumen reflected in his positions as chief operating officer of a large public company and as chairman and CEO of a second large public company.

**Table of Contents**

**MICHAEL C. RUETTIGERS**

Director of the Company since 2000.

Chairman of EMC Corporation (data storage and management products and services provider) from January 2004 to December 2005.

Executive Chairman (from 2001 to 2004) and CEO (from 1992 to 2001) of EMC Corporation; held a variety of senior executive positions at EMC Corporation from 1988 to 1992.

Current Directorship: Non-executive Chairman of the Board of Wolfson Microelectronics plc (manufacturer of semiconductor chips used in audio, video and imaging applications) since 2008.

Past Directorship: EMC Corporation from 1992 to 2005.

Affiliations: Trustee of Lahey Clinic.

Age 67.

Mr. Ruettggers' qualifications to serve on the Board include his extensive business experience, skills and acumen reflected in his positions as chairman and CEO of a large public company operating in the technology sector.

**RONALD L. SKATES**

Director of the Company since 2003.

Private investor since 1999.

President and CEO of Data General Corporation (data storage and enterprise solutions supplier) from 1989 to 1999; held other positions at Data General Corporation from 1986 to 1989.

Partner at Price Waterhouse (now PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP) from 1976 to 1986.

Current Directorship: State Street Corporation (financial services company) since 2002; and Courier Corporation (book manufacturer and specialty publisher) since 2003.

Past Directorship: Cabot Microelectronics Corporation (supplier of chemical mechanical planarization products and services) from 2001 to 2005.

## Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form PRE 14A

Affiliations: Trustee Emeritus of Massachusetts General Hospital; and Trustee of the Massachusetts General Hospital Physicians Organization.

Age 68.

Mr. Skates' qualifications to serve on the Board include his extensive business experience, skills and acumen evidenced by his service as president and CEO of a large public technology company, coupled with his accounting expertise derived from being a certified public accountant and partner of a major accounting firm.

**Table of Contents**

**WILLIAM R. SPIVEY**

Director of the Company since 1999.

President and CEO of Luminent, Inc. (fiber-optic transmission products provider) from July 2000 to September 2001.

Group President, Network Products Group, Lucent Technologies Inc. from 1997 to 2000.

Vice President, Systems & Components Group, AT&T Corporation from 1994 to 1997.

Group Vice President and President, Tektronix Development Company, Tektronix, Inc. from 1991 to 1994.

Current Directorship: Cascade Microtech, Inc. (advanced wafer probing solutions provider) since 1998; Novellus Systems, Inc. (advanced process equipment provider) since 1998; Laird PLC (electronics and security systems provider) since 2002; and ADC Telecommunications, Inc. (supplier of network infrastructure products and services) since 2004.

Past Directorship: Lyondell Chemical Company (manufacturer of basic chemicals and derivatives) from 2000 to 2007.

Age 63.

Mr. Spivey's qualifications to serve on the Board include his extensive business experience, skills and acumen reflected in his positions as a business unit head at three public technology companies and CEO of another public technology company.

**LINDA G. STUNTZ**

Director of the Company since 2004.

Partner in the law firm of Stuntz, Davis & Staffier, P.C. since 1995.

Partner in the law firm of Van Ness Feldman from 1993 to 1995.

Deputy Secretary of, and held senior policy positions in, the United States Department of Energy from 1989 to 1993.

Associate Minority Counsel and Minority Counsel to the U.S. House of Representatives Energy and Commerce Committee from 1981 to 1987.

## Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form PRE 14A

Past Directorship: Schlumberger Ltd. (oilfield services company) from 1993 to April 2010.

Affiliations: Director of Wittenberg University beginning in 2010.

Age 55.

Ms. Stuntz's qualifications to serve on the Board include her extensive knowledge and experience relating to corporate governance, public policy, legal matters, as well as legislative and regulatory affairs, based on her varied high-level roles in both the executive and legislative branches of government, as well as the practice of law.

**Table of Contents**

**WILLIAM H. SWANSON**

Chairman of the Board since 2004.

Director and CEO of the Company since 2003.

President of the Company from July 2002 to May 2004.

Executive Vice President of the Company and President of Electronic Systems from January 2000 to July 2002.

Executive Vice President of the Company and Chairman and CEO of Raytheon Systems Company from January 1998 to January 2000.

In the 38<sup>th</sup> year of his career at the Company, which has included a wide range of leadership positions.

Current Directorship: FPL Group, Inc. (a clean energy company) since October 2009.

Past Directorship: Sprint Nextel Corporation (wireless and wireline communications services provider) from 2004 to 2008.

Affiliations: Member of the Congressional Medal of Honor Foundation board, the California Polytechnic State University President's Cabinet, the Cal Poly Foundation board of directors, and the John F. Kennedy Library Foundation board of directors, and Vice Chairman of the Business-Higher Education Forum.

Age 61.

Mr. Swanson's qualifications to serve on the Board include his extensive business experience, skills and acumen developed over the past 37 years with the Company during which he has held a wide range of leadership positions, including general manager of Missile Systems, head of Electronic Systems, CEO of Raytheon Systems Company, President of the Company and, since 2003, CEO.

**Table of Contents****STOCK OWNERSHIP****Five Percent Stockholders**

The following table lists those persons or groups (based solely on our examination of Schedule 13Gs filed with the SEC or furnished to us) who are beneficial owners of more than 5% of our common stock as of December 31, 2009.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Common Stock	Percent of Class
BlackRock, Inc. 40 East 52 <sup>nd</sup> Street, New York, NY 10022	30,809,246	8.04%
Barrow, Hanley, Mewhinney & Strauss, LLC 2200 Ross Avenue, 31 <sup>st</sup> Floor, Dallas, TX 75201-2761	20,150,618	5.26%

**Management and Directors**

The following table contains information regarding the beneficial ownership of shares of our common stock as of March 1, 2010 for (a) each director and nominee for director, including our CEO, (b) our CFO and our three other most highly compensated executive officers who are not also directors, and (c) the directors, nominees and all executive officers as a group. No individual director or nominee for director or named executive officer beneficially owns 1% or more of the outstanding shares of common stock. The directors and executive officers as a group own less than 1% of the outstanding shares of common stock.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares and Nature of Beneficial Ownership
(a)	
William H. Swanson	587,911 <sup>(1)</sup>
	604,827 <sup>(2)(7)(8)</sup>
	1,192,738 <sup>(1)(2)(7)(8)</sup>
Vernon E. Clark	7,842 <sup>(4)</sup>
John M. Deutch	16,886 <sup>(4)</sup>
Stephen J. Hadley	2,362 <sup>(4)</sup>
Frederic M. Poses	23,541 <sup>(4)(5)</sup>
Michael C. Ruettgers	31,456 <sup>(4)</sup>
Ronald L. Skates	28,810 <sup>(4)(6)</sup>
William R. Spivey	28,116 <sup>(4)</sup>
Linda G. Stuntz	12,616 <sup>(4)</sup>
(b)	
David C. Wajsgras	132,582 <sup>(7)</sup>
Jay B. Stephens	177,256 <sup>(2)(3)(7)</sup>
Daniel L. Smith	143,751 <sup>(2)(3)(7)</sup>
Colin J.R. Schottlaender	111,505 <sup>(2)(3)(7)</sup>
(c)	
All directors, nominees for director and executive officers as a group (20 persons)	2,393,452 <sup>(1)(2)(3)(4)(5)(6)(7)(8)</sup>

(1) Shares owned outright by Mr. Swanson.

(2)

## Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form PRE 14A

- Includes shares which the beneficial owner has the right to acquire upon the exercise of stock options as follows: Mr. Swanson 265,000; Mr. Stephens 46,820; Mr. Smith 18,485; Mr. Schottlaender 24,422; all executive officers as a group 380,821.
- (3) Includes shares indirectly held by the beneficial owner through the Raytheon Savings and Investment Plan and the Raytheon Excess Savings Plan as follows: Mr. Stephens 87; Mr. Smith 4,955; Mr. Schottlaender 3,467; all executive officers as a group 23,381.
  - (4) Includes shares of restricted stock issued under the 1997 Nonemployee Directors Restricted Stock Plan over which the beneficial owner has voting power as follows: Ms. Stuntz and Messrs. Clark, Deutch, Poses, Skates and Spivey 2,461 shares each; Mr. Hadley 2,362 shares; and Mr. Ruettgers 3,267 shares.
  - (5) Includes 16,272 shares held by a family charitable foundation over which Mr. Poses has voting and investment power.
  - (6) Includes 26,349 shares held in various trusts. Mr. Skates disclaims beneficial ownership of 2,400 shares held in such trusts.
  - (7) Includes shares of restricted stock over which the beneficial owner has voting power as follows: Mr. Swanson 211,577; Mr. Wajsgras 56,935; Mr. Stephens 54,429; Mr. Smith 45,174; Mr. Schottlaender 45,174; and all executive officers and directors as a group 616,078.
  - (8) Includes vested deferred compensation equivalent to 128,250 shares of our common stock attributable to Mr. Swanson.

**Table of Contents**

**Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance**

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our directors, executive officers and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of a registered class of our equity securities to file reports of holdings and transactions in our common stock with the SEC and the NYSE. Based on our records and other information, we believe that, in 2009, none of our directors, executive officers or 10% stockholders failed to file a required report on time, with one exception. A Form 4, reporting a grant of shares of common stock in lieu of annual cash retainers for service on the Board of Directors and as Chair of the Audit Committee on behalf of Ronald L. Skates, was filed two days late due to an administrative error.

**Table of Contents**

**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

**Compensation Discussion and Analysis**

In the discussion that follows, we first provide an executive summary of this section, and then provide an overview and analysis of our compensation program and policies, the material compensation decisions we have made under those programs and policies, and the factors that we considered in making those decisions. Following this section you will find a series of tables containing specific information about the compensation earned or paid in 2009 to the following individuals, whom we refer to as our named executive officers:

our Chairman and CEO, William H. Swanson;

our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, David C. Wajsglas;

our Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, Jay B. Stephens;

our Vice President, and President of our Integrated Defense Systems business, Daniel L. Smith; and

our Vice President, and President of our Network Centric Systems business, Colin J. R. Schottlaender.

The discussion below is intended to help our stockholders understand the detailed information provided in those tables and put that information into context within our overall compensation program.

**Executive Summary**

From a compensation perspective, we are committed to (1) retaining and attracting highly-qualified executives, (2) motivating these executives to achieve our overall business objectives, (3) rewarding performance, and (4) aligning the interests of our executives with our stockholders. Consistent with this compensation philosophy, our program seeks to:

provide our executives with total compensation opportunities at levels that are competitive for comparable positions at companies with whom we compete for talent;

tie a significant portion of each executive's compensation to the Company's performance and individual performance against various pre-established financial, operational and other goals, through variable, at-risk short- and long-term incentive awards;

provide awards with both significant upside opportunity for exceptional performance and downside risk for under-performance, which can result in different compensation among executives; and

align closely our executives' interests with those of our stockholders by making stock-based incentives a core element of our executives' compensation and requiring that they retain a significant amount of our common stock throughout their tenure with us.

In accordance with our philosophy, we provide our executives with consistent, competitive compensation opportunities allowing potential upside for exceptional performance. The table below summarizes the 2007-2009 compensation opportunities provided to our named executive officers,

## Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form PRE 14A

and reflects the view of our Board and the Management Development and Compensation Committee (MDCC) with respect to their annual compensation decisions for those executives during those years.

**Table of Contents****TOTAL COMPENSATION FOR 2007 2009**

Executive	Year <sup>(1)</sup>	Salary <sup>(2)</sup>	(Non-Equity	(Stock Awards)		All Other	Total
			Incentive Plan	Restricted	LTPP		
			Compensation)	Stock	Award <sup>(4)</sup>		
			RBI <sup>(3)</sup>				
William H. Swanson	2009	\$ 1,297,920	\$ 3,050,000	\$ 3,799,991	\$ 6,386,000	\$ 402,445	\$ 14,936,356
	2008	1,328,640	3,050,000	3,799,985	6,385,987	491,539	15,056,151
	2007	1,229,544	3,050,000	3,726,100	6,332,400	585,699	14,923,743
David C. Wajsgras	2009	\$ 790,203	\$ 870,000	\$ 999,983	\$ 1,200,005	\$ 108,112	\$ 3,968,303
	2008	789,944	870,000	1,000,003	1,169,954	119,051	3,948,952
	2007	725,846	870,000	968,786	1,160,940	229,272	3,954,844
Jay B. Stephens	2009	\$ 690,825	\$ 780,000	\$ 950,020	\$ 1,200,005	\$ 111,514	\$ 3,732,364
	2008	689,146	780,000	949,996	1,169,954	113,332	3,702,428
	2007	635,461	780,000	920,879	1,160,940	125,162	3,622,442
Daniel L. Smith	2009	\$ 485,671	\$ 525,000	\$ 799,996	\$ 1,100,012	\$ 104,274	\$ 3,014,953
	2008	473,435	550,000	799,977	1,063,588	131,636	3,018,636
	2007	411,224	550,000	771,835	1,063,600	104,164	2,900,823
Colin J.R. Schottlaender	2009	\$ 485,238	\$ 550,000	\$ 799,996	\$ 1,100,012	\$ 75,706	\$ 3,010,952

- (1) Years in which the executive was a named executive officer.
- (2) 2009 salaries are based on 26 bi-weekly pay periods as compared to 27 pay periods for 2008.
- (3) Annual Results-Based Incentive (RBI) cash award. RBI awards are discussed under Annual Incentives on page 34.
- (4) Long-Term Performance Plan (LTPP) award. LTPP awards are discussed under Long-Term Incentives LTPP on page 37.

The above table differs from the 2009 Summary Compensation Table required by the SEC, which appears on page 43, and is not a substitute for that table. The 2009 Summary Compensation Table includes amounts based on the change in pension accrual and above-market nonqualified deferred compensation earnings. The above table excludes these amounts because the Company and the MDCC consider these programs in the context of their assessment of the overall benefit design and the competitiveness of the Company's retirement benefits, and not as an element of their annual compensation decisions. Another difference is that the amounts set forth under the Restricted Stock and LTPP Award columns in the above table represent the full grant date intrinsic values of such awards granted in the respective years only (e.g., target number of shares times the price of our common stock on the grant date) that is the basis upon which the Company, the MDCC, and the Board consider these awards in proposing, recommending and approving annual compensation. In contrast, the Stock Awards column in the 2009 Summary Compensation Table represents the grant date fair value of such awards for financial statement reporting purposes which differs from the intrinsic value of the LTPP awards. These awards are discussed in more detail under Long-Term Incentives on page 37.

We discuss the elements of our compensation program set forth in the above table in detail beginning on page 31 and describe how we set these opportunities and the total compensation of our named executive officers so that they are market competitive and are based on Company and individual performance.

While we have provided consistent compensation opportunities, the actual compensation earned by our executives has varied based on performance in accordance with our pay-for-performance objective. Overall, the named executive officers' compensation has reflected strong Company and individual performance in 2007-2009. Our CEO, in discussion with the MDCC, requested that his 2009 base salary not be increased over his 2008 base salary and that his 2009 RBI not be increased over his 2008 award, which requests were accepted by the MDCC prior to the grant date of any awards for 2009. Thus, despite his strong individual performance in 2009, our CEO did not receive an increase in salary or RBI for the year. Additionally, based on the fact that there were 26 pay periods in 2009 in comparison to 27 pay periods in 2008, this request resulted in a decrease in actual salary compensation in 2009 in comparison to 2008. Similarly, salary amounts for other named executive officers, which were increased on average by approximately 4% over 2008, do not appear to reflect fully these increases due to the difference in the number of pay periods in 2009 in comparison to 2008. For a discussion of named executive officer base salaries and RBI awards see pages 33 and 34, respectively.



**Table of Contents**

In 2009, we continued to perform well across all of our businesses, essentially meeting or exceeding our key financial and operating goals and other business objectives. Examples of our strong performance in 2009 include:

Bookings of \$25.1 billion and backlog of \$36.9 billion;  
 Net sales growth of 7%, with all of our businesses contributing to this growth;

Operating cash flow of \$2.7 billion; and

Earnings per share from continuing operations growth of 24%.

As a result, we rewarded our executives with competitive compensation, particularly through our performance-based 2009 RBI and the 2007-2009 LTTP. These programs are specifically designed to closely tie the compensation paid to the individual executive with the performance of the Company. A summary of these compensation elements, the applicable performance metrics, the results we achieved, and the location of where you can find a more detailed discussion of this section, are set forth in the table below.

**Compensation**

<b>Element</b>	<b>Performance Metrics</b>	<b>Results Achieved</b>
2009 RBI	Bookings; net sales; free cash flow (FCF); operating profit from continuing operations; and return on invested capital (ROIC).	We exceeded our pre-established 2009 targets for nearly all of these metrics, which resulted in an overall RBI funding level of 106.6%. See discussion on page 34.
2007 2009 LTTP	ROIC; cumulative FCF; and total stockholder return (TSR).	We exceeded our pre-established three-year targets for ROIC and cumulative FCF and finished 4th in TSR relative to our core peer group over the three-year period, which resulted in a 187.5% of target payout in shares of our common stock. See discussion on page 37.

**How We Determine and Assess Executive Compensation**

We design our compensation program with a view to retaining and attracting executive leadership of a caliber and level of experience necessary to manage our complex, global businesses effectively. Given the length of our programs, contracts and business cycles, it is especially important for us to retain our executive talent over a number of years to provide continuity of management in a highly competitive industry. Our Board bears the ultimate responsibility for approving the compensation of our named executive officers. The MDCC assists the Board in discharging this responsibility. Information about the MDCC and its composition, responsibilities and operations can be found on page 12 under the heading "The Board of Directors and Board Committees Management Development and Compensation Committee."

Our determinations and assessments of executive compensation are primarily driven by two considerations:

Company and individual performance in five areas financial, operational, customer satisfaction, people and Six Sigma ; and

Market competitiveness of our compensation programs

*Company and Individual Performance*

In addition to market competitiveness, we use a number of factors to determine our compensation levels and to customize our compensation program to most accurately recognize Company and individual performance and contribution to the enterprise. We consistently review performance in these five areas:

Financial we focus on financial metrics that are good indicators of whether the Company and our businesses are achieving their annual or longer-term business objectives, such as bookings, sales, operating profit, free cash flow and return on invested capital;

Operational we evaluate product development and program execution through the use of tools designed to measure operational efficiencies, such as Integrated Product Development Systems and the Earned Value Management System;

Customer satisfaction we measure customer satisfaction through the use of customer satisfaction surveys, performance against program cost and schedule indices, annual customer performance assessment reports and through customer award fees;

**Table of Contents**

People we assess our executives development of people, leadership behavior, ethical conduct, employee opinion survey results and the development of an inclusive workforce; and

Six Sigma we look to see how well the Company, a business or an individual has used Raytheon Six Sigma, a continuous process improvement effort designed to reduce costs and improve efficiency.

Collectively, we consider these five factors to provide a measurable assessment of executive performance that will build value for our stockholders. We look to each of them, to varying degrees, to make most of our executive compensation decisions, from setting base salaries to providing annual and longer-term rewards.

*Market Data*

We consider the compensation levels, programs and practices of certain other companies to assist us in setting our executive compensation so that it is market competitive. In 2009, we used two peer groups for these purposes:

A core peer group, which consists of companies that are either aerospace and defense companies or that have substantial aerospace or defense businesses. We compete to varying degrees for business and talent with the companies in this core peer group. The companies comprising the core peer group are as follows:

The Boeing Company  
 Goodrich Corporation  
 L-3 Communications Holdings, Inc.  
 Northrop Grumman Corporation  
 Textron Inc.

General Dynamics Corporation  
 Honeywell International, Inc.  
 Lockheed Martin Corporation  
 Rockwell Collins, Inc.  
 United Technologies Corporation

A broader peer group, comprised of our core peer group and six additional companies from other industries, which we selected on the basis of the comparability of their complexity, operations, revenues, net income and market capitalization to ours. The broader peer group companies are as follows:

3M Company  
 Caterpillar Inc.  
 General Dynamics Corporation  
 Honeywell International, Inc.  
 Johnson Controls, Inc.  
 Lear Corporation  
 Northrop Grumman Corporation  
 Textron Inc.

The Boeing Company  
 Emerson Electric Co.  
 Goodrich Corporation  
 ITT Corporation  
 L-3 Communications Holdings, Inc.  
 Lockheed Martin Corporation  
 Rockwell Collins, Inc.  
 United Technologies Corporation

We review the peer groups annually to ensure that we have the appropriate marketplace focus. As our strategy changes, we leverage our capabilities into other markets, or significant changes occur to a company or companies within the peer groups, we may change the companies within them. In October 2009, after consultation with the MDCC's independent compensation consultant, we removed Lear Corporation from our broader peer group due to its bankruptcy filing and replaced it with Motorola, Inc.

The MDCC obtains information on the compensation levels, programs and practices of the companies within the core and broader peer groups. Statistical techniques such as regression analysis are typically used to adjust the data for differences in company size. The MDCC also considers market survey data for companies outside of our core and broader peer groups as a general indicator of relevant market conditions and pay practices and as a broader reference point. This market survey data was developed by national compensation consulting firms and provided to the MDCC by its compensation consultant.

The MDCC establishes and evaluates compensation levels for our named executive officers based on the market data, as well as other factors, as discussed below. While aggregate target and actual pay levels are analyzed and measured against market data, individual and Company

performance can result in compensation for any one individual that varies from the market median. In 2009,

## **Table of Contents**

Watson Wyatt, the MDCC's independent compensation consultant, also provided the MDCC a report on peer compensation trends (levels, mix, vehicles and metrics), which is used to help set pay levels and design programs.

### *2009 Review of Compensation*

Watson Wyatt provided the MDCC with a marketplace assessment of our named executive officers' 2009 compensation in comparison to compensation for comparable positions within the market. They looked at the market in terms of:

base salaries;

total cash compensation (which includes base salary and annual incentive award);

total direct compensation (which includes base salary, annual incentive award and long-term incentive opportunity); and

benefits and perquisites.

This assessment showed that:

The 2009 base salaries for our named executive officers approximated the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile of the market, except that the salary of our Chief Financial Officer was more closely aligned with the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile;

Total cash compensation for our named executive officers, on average, approximated the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile;

Total direct compensation for our named executive officers, on average, fell between the 50<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles;

Raytheon is competitive with peers in terms of the number and types of executive benefits and perquisites provided; and

Raytheon continues to exhibit close alignment between relative pay and relative performance on both a short-term and long-term basis and delivered pay commensurate with that performance.

Most recently for 2008, Watson Wyatt also assisted the MDCC with a pay-for-performance analysis, which assessed the correlation between our short-term pay (annual incentive award) and short-term performance and our long-term pay and long-term performance relative to the peer groups. Rather than looking at current compensation opportunities (current salary, target annual incentive award and present value of long-term incentives), this analysis focused on realized or realizable pay in relation to past performance. For 2008 Watson Wyatt concluded that there was close correlation between pay and performance at Raytheon relative to the peer groups. A similar 2009 pay-for-performance assessment will be performed in 2010 once peer group performance data is available.

The MDCC also periodically reviews the formulas that determine benefits under our pension and retirement plans and our severance arrangements so that we can be sure that these plans integrate appropriately into our total compensation strategy. We provide benefits under these plans that are comparable to our peer group companies in order to offer employment packages that attract highly-qualified executives to join us and to keep our compensation competitive in order to retain such executives.



---

**Table of Contents**
**Elements of Our Compensation Program**

Our executive compensation program is designed to meet the objectives discussed in the Executive Summary, including tying a significant portion of each executive's compensation to Company and individual performance. As discussed in more detail below, our 2009 program successfully met our pay-for-performance objectives.

Our program consists primarily of the following integrated elements: base salary, annual incentive awards, and long-term achievement opportunities (Long-Term Performance Plan and restricted stock awards), which together make up an executive's total direct compensation in a given year or performance period. The program is rounded out with perquisites and other executive benefits, including retirement and severance benefits.

*Mix of Total Compensation*

The MDCC, with the assistance of management and the MDCC's independent consultant, oversees, approves, administers and assesses the effectiveness of all compensation vehicles against the market and our overall compensation philosophy as discussed earlier in this report. The table below describes each element and its link to our compensation objectives.

Compensation Element	Retain and attract	Incentivize achievement of	Differentiate rewards based on individual performance	Incentivize and reward long-term performance in alignment with stockholders' interests
	highly-qualified executive talent	our overall business objectives		
Base Salary	ü			
Annual Incentive Plan (RBI)	ü	ü	ü	
Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units (LTPP)	ü	ü		ü
Time-Based Restricted Shares (RSA)	ü			ü
Benefits, Perquisites, and Other Compensation, including severance and change-in-control arrangements	ü			

**Table of Contents**

The following charts illustrate the percentage of total target compensation comprised by each element for our CEO and for our other named executive officers, on average, respectively. These percentages correspond to the target levels for each element, as approved for fiscal 2009.

*Allocation of Total Direct Compensation*

The MDCC annually reviews the relative mix of our compensation elements to those of the market for comparable positions. Specifically, we review the total direct compensation opportunity (i.e., the sum of salary, target annual and target long-term incentives) in the following categories:

Fixed versus variable

Short-term versus long-term

Cash versus equity-based

Just as our stockholders put their money at risk when they invest in our Company, a significant portion of our executives' compensation is at risk, and that risk increases with the executive's level of responsibility. We also balance the short and long-term focus of our executives and align their interests with those of our stockholders by making sure that a significant portion of their compensation is payable in stock. In addition, to reinforce this direct link with stockholders' interests, we require our executive officers to own and retain a meaningful amount of our stock. See page 38 for a discussion of our Stock Ownership and Retention Guidelines.

**Table of Contents**

With these considerations in mind, the allocations of total direct compensation opportunities for fiscal year 2009 appear in the charts below.<sup>(1)</sup>

<b>CEO</b>	
<b>Fixed 9%</b>	<b>Variable 91%</b>
(Base Salary) <b>Short-term 28%</b>	(RBI + Long-Term Incentive Value) <b>Long-term 72%</b>
(Base Salary + RBI) <b>Cash 28%</b>	(Long-Term Incentive Value) <b>Equity-Based 72%</b>
(Base Salary + RBI)	(Long-Term Incentive Value)

**Other Named Executive Officers**

(Average allocation for the four Named Executive Officers other than the CEO)	
<b>Fixed 19%</b>	<b>Variable 81%</b>
(Base Salary) <b>Short-term 38%</b>	(RBI + Long-Term Incentive Value) <b>Long-term 62%</b>
(Base Salary + RBI) <b>Cash 38%</b>	(Long-Term Incentive Value) <b>Equity-Based 62%</b>
(Base Salary + RBI)	(Long-Term Incentive Value)

<sup>(1)</sup> Total direct compensation opportunity does not include perquisites and other executive benefits, including retirement and severance benefits. As a result, the percentages above may vary slightly from the percentages set forth in the pay mix charts on page 32, which do include perquisites and other executive benefits.

According to an analysis performed by Watson Wyatt, these weightings were in line with what the companies in our peer groups provided to their executives holding comparable positions. The MDCC does not establish any fixed relationship between the compensation of our CEO and that of any other named executive officer. Using market data as a general reference point, we believe that the differences between our CEO's compensation and the compensation of the other named executive officers are consistent with differences that exist at comparable companies and are consistent with our executive compensation philosophy.

*Management of Compensation-Related Risk*

We have designed our compensation programs to avoid excessive risk-taking. The following are some of the features of our program designed to help us appropriately manage business risk:

An assortment of vehicles for delivering compensation, both fixed and variable, and including cash and equity based measures with different time horizons, to focus our executives on specific objectives that help us achieve our business plan and create an alignment with long-term stockholder interests;

Diversification of incentive-related risk by employing a variety of performance measures;

A balanced weighting of the various performance measures to avoid excessive attention to achievement of one measure over another;

Fixed maximum award levels for performance-based awards;

Guidelines designed to assure the independence of our compensation consultant who advises the MDCC as described above;

A clawback policy and equity grant procedures, as described below; and

Incentive compensation to named executive officers based on overall Company performance as opposed to a business unit's performance.

*Base Salary*

Base salary is the one fixed component of our executives' total direct compensation that is not at risk based on Company performance and/or stock price variations. The MDCC reviews the base salaries of our executive officers annually and whenever an executive changes position. Our CEO makes salary recommendations to the MDCC with respect to his direct reports. To maintain competitive levels, we refer to the market median of base salaries for comparable positions in setting our named executive officers' base salaries. However, we also consider the executive's:

Experience for the position;

Personal contribution to the financial and operational performance of the Company and its businesses; and

Contribution in the areas of operational improvements, customer satisfaction, effective management of human resources, and Six Sigma.

## **Table of Contents**

Stock ownership and retention guidelines applicable to all executive officers, as described below. These other factors could cause any one executive officer's base salary to be above or below the market median for a comparable position. Annual merit-based salary increases are an integral part of the annual performance management process and are used to reward and reinforce desired behaviors and maintain competitive marketplace positions.

Based on the MDCC's review of market data and the foregoing individual factors, base salaries for 2009 for our named executive officers, other than our CEO who requested no salary increase, were increased by an average of approximately 4% over 2008 levels. The 2009 base salaries for our named executive officers, on average, fell within the 50<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles of the market.

### *Annual Incentives*

Annual incentive awards provide a direct link between executive compensation and the achievement of financial, operational and individual goals over a one-year period. Unlike base salary, which is fixed, our executives' annual incentive award is at risk based on how well the Company and the executive perform.

We award annual incentives under our Results Based Incentive (RBI) Plan to focus our executive officers on attaining pre-established annual performance goals. The RBI incentive for our named executive officers is variable in two respects.

First, the funding of an overall RBI incentive pool is dependent on the Company's success in achieving specified financial performance goals, as described below.

Second, the size of the executive's incentive payout from the funded pool depends on actual performance against pre-established individual performance objectives, which can be below target or, for exceptional individual performance, as much as 200% of target. *Target Opportunities.* We generally set the target RBI amount for each executive at the median of our peer groups' annual cash incentives for employees in similar positions. In order to encourage and reward extraordinary performance, our RBI awards are structured so that the actual payout under an executive officer's award can approach or exceed the 75th percentile if such executive exceeds individual performance objectives.

We use the sum of target awards to determine the overall funding of the RBI incentive pool, as described below, but the targets are not entirely determinative of what any one participant's actual RBI incentive payout will be.

*Funding the RBI Pool.* The pool of available funds to pay our RBI awards is based on the extent to which the Company and our businesses meet or exceed predetermined goals under selected financial metrics, which are set by the MDCC prior to the beginning of the performance year. The MDCC selected the financial metrics identified below at the total Company and business segment levels, each equally weighted, for purposes of funding an overall pool for the RBI plan for 2009. These metrics and weightings determining RBI funding have remained the same since 2003.

**Bookings** – a forward-looking metric that measures the value of new contracts awarded to us during the year and an indicator of potential future growth.

**Net Sales** – a growth metric that measures our revenue for the current year.

**Free Cash Flow (FCF)** – a measure of the cash that is generated in a given year that we can use to make strategic investments to grow our businesses or return to our stockholders.

## Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form PRE 14A

Operating Profit from Continuing Operations a measure of our profit for the year, before interest and taxes.

Return on Invested Capital (ROIC) a measure of the efficiency and effectiveness of our use of capital.  
ROIC and FCF, are non-GAAP financial measures and are calculated as follows:

ROIC is (a) (i) income from continuing operations plus (ii) after-tax net interest expense plus (iii) one-third of operating lease expense after-tax (estimate of interest portion of operating lease expense) less (iv) after-tax FAS/CAS pension and post-retirement benefits expense/income, and (v) excluding charges for debt repurchases and other similar non-operational items, divided by (b) average invested capital after capitalizing operating leases (operating lease expense times a multiplier of 8), adding financial guarantees less net investment in discontinued operations, and adding back the cumulative minimum pension liability/impact of the accounting standard for employers accounting for defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans, and excluding other similar non-operational items.

**Table of Contents**

FCF is operating cash flow less capital expenditures and internal software spending.

Considered in the aggregate, the five metrics are strong indicators of our overall performance and our ability to create stockholder value. These measures are balanced among long-term and short-term performance, growth and efficiency and are aligned with our business strategies. For example, we continue to focus on growing our business in core defense and new markets, both domestic and international, and our success in this area should be reflected in our bookings in the shorter term and net sales in the longer term. In addition to growing our business, we maintain a strong focus on program execution and the prudent management of capital and investments in order to maximize operating profit and cash and to continue to improve ROIC.

For each financial metric, we set a specific target performance goal and a defined performance range around the target. The performance range consists of a threshold or minimum performance level and a maximum performance level. If the threshold performance level for a financial metric is not achieved, then we do not allocate funds to the RBI funding pool based on that metric. Funding of the pool for any one metric is capped once performance achieves or exceeds the maximum performance level set for that metric. We also establish a funding range around the target for each financial metric, generally 75% to 150% of target. If Company performance falls within the performance range (e.g., between the threshold and maximum performance levels), the funding amount is based on the corresponding funding range. A total Company RBI funding percentage is determined based on the overall performance of the Company against each of these financial metrics. The RBI payouts to all of our named executive officers, including those who are leaders of individual businesses, are based on the total Company RBI funding percentage. In addition to the five financial metrics, the MDCC has given our CEO the authority to increase or decrease funding of the RBI pool based on an assessment of a business performance on criteria such as customer satisfaction, growth, people and productivity.

The following table summarizes the performance target, and the corresponding total Company RBI funding percentage for 2009:

<b>Financial Metric</b>	<b>Performance Target</b>	<b>RBI Funding</b>
Bookings	\$ 24.78B	101.1%
Net Sales	\$ 24.87B	99.7%
Free Cash Flow	\$ 2.04B	110.9%
Operating Profit from Continuing Operations	\$ 2.90B	109.4%
ROIC	11.24%	112.0%
Overall Funding Level %		106.6%

In 2009, the Company continued to perform very well, substantially meeting or exceeding applicable performance targets, which resulted in a total Company RBI funding percentage of 106.6%.

The RBI financial metric targets for a performance year are based on our annual operating plan for that year. The annual operating plan represents management's view of the potential performance of the Company as a whole and its individual businesses for the coming year based on identified challenges, risks and opportunities. The annual plan is built using a rigorous bottoms up approach. Each business proposed plan is carefully considered and scrutinized by management through multiple reviews. Often management requires the businesses to increase or stretch their plan financial targets and incorporate other changes. This process culminates with a Board review of each business annual plan during which the Board assesses the credibility of the plan. Based on the final annual plans of the businesses, management prepares the Company's annual operating plan, which is also reviewed and approved by the Board of Directors. In addition, consistent with past practice, Company performance against these financial metric targets, which are established at the beginning of the year and based on anticipated operational performance during the year, was adjusted to account for the impact of certain matters not factored into the annual operating plan, such as acquisitions and dispositions that occur after the applicable targets are established, and certain non-operational items such as the FAS/CAS pension adjustment.

*Individual Performance Objectives.* Individual performance is directly reflected in an executive's RBI award. While combined Company and individual business performance drives funding of the overall RBI pool, individual RBI awards reflect an assessment of an executive's contribution to our achievement of the financial performance goals, plus the executive's achievement of individual performance objectives. If an executive under-performs in relation to his objectives, the RBI award could fall below the funded target level or even be zero if threshold performance is not achieved. On the other hand, an executive who exceeds his performance objectives could receive up to 200% of target.

Individual performance objectives are established annually in writing for top executives and include primarily quantifiable objectives with some subjective measures also included. In the case of our CEO, at the beginning of a review period, the MDCC recommends, and the full Board (excluding the CEO) approves, a statement of the CEO's objectives. When the CEO's annual evaluation is to commence, our CEO provides to the

Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form PRE 14A

MDCC a written self-appraisal, assessing his performance against these objectives. The self-appraisal is discussed with the CEO

## **Table of Contents**

and then distributed to all of the independent directors for their input. The Lead Director and the Chair of the MDCC discuss the preliminary evaluation with the independent directors, who then evaluate and write their own assessment of the CEO's performance both as CEO and Chairman. The Lead Director and the Chair of the MDCC then meet with the CEO and provide performance feedback directly to him. Based on this evaluation, the MDCC recommends, and the full Board (excluding the CEO) approves, the CEO's RBI award.

A similar process is followed for the named executive officers other than the CEO. In the case of the other executive officers, our CEO provides input to the MDCC both with regard to the establishment of performance objectives and the determination of success in meeting those objectives.

Examples of individual performance objectives for our named executive officers for 2009 include:

Financial objectives within the individual's business or functional area;

Successfully managing human resources and developing a stronger organization within the individual's business or functional area;

Improving employee opinion survey results;

Achieving exemplary regulatory compliance;

Increasing energy efficiency and successfully implementing workplace safety initiatives;

Demonstrating effective leadership behaviors; and

Promoting a culture of innovation through respect and inclusion.

This individual assessment promotes accountability for each executive's performance and helps differentiate our executives' compensation based on performance. Thus, while the target incentive award for each executive is set with reference to the marketplace median for his position, in order to encourage and reward extraordinary performance, our RBI awards are structured so that the actual payout under an executive officer's award can approach or exceed the 75th percentile if such executive exceeds his individual performance objectives.

In 2009 our named executive officers performed against their individual objectives in accordance with our high expectations and, accordingly, their individual performances did not result in RBI awards that differed materially from their funded RBI target levels. Our CEO requested, in discussion with the MDCC, that his 2009 RBI award not be increased over his 2008 award, which request was accepted by the MDCC prior to the grant date of any awards for 2009. Thus, despite his strong performance in 2009, our CEO did not receive an increase in his RBI award in 2009. A summary of our named executive officers' 2009 performance follows:

### ***William Swanson***

Under Mr. Swanson's leadership as Chief Executive Officer in 2009, Raytheon experienced 7.4% revenue growth and achieved 106.6% of plan, enhancing stockholder value. The Company also successfully completed three acquisitions. Mr. Swanson's focus on succession planning and leadership development led to recognition by Fortune magazine as one of 25 top companies for developing leaders in North America. Additionally, Mr. Swanson continued to drive a culture of diversity and inclusion.

### ***David Wajsgras***

## Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form PRE 14A

In his role as Chief Financial Officer, Mr. Wajsgras guided the Company in substantially meeting or exceeding our 2009 bookings, net sales, operating profit, free cash flow and ROIC targets. He also led significant improvements in working capital management and a redesign of the Company's merger & acquisition process.

### *Jay Stephens*

Mr. Stephens has provided broad business guidance beyond the traditional role of General Counsel. In 2009, he continued to enhance transparency in governance and regulatory compliance, successfully managed the Company's risk profile, led enhancements in Board and annual meeting processes and delivered superior results in the areas of employee safety and environmental management.

### *Daniel Smith*

As President of Raytheon's Integrated Defense Systems business, Mr. Smith secured critical contract wins, including the Taiwan Patriot, UAE Patriot, and Finland Surface Launched Advanced Medium Range Air-to-Air Missile programs. He also met ROIC, free cash flow and operating profit targets, despite delays in domestic awards and international bookings.

### *Colin Schottlaender*

As President of Raytheon's Network Centric Systems business, Mr. Schottlaender met or exceeded all financial business metrics and continued to expand the competitive base of the business. He also secured a number of key

## Table of Contents

contracts including a tolling system for a turnpike program, an Advanced Extremely High Frequency antenna system for military satellites, a command and control system for an international customer, a targeting system for TOW missiles, and a network combat sensors and targeting system for the United States Army.

At the end of the year, our CEO recommends to the MDCC the RBI awards for our executive officers other than himself. The full Board of Directors (excluding the CEO) approves all of our named executive officers' annual RBI awards, based on a recommendation from the MDCC. The 2009 Grants of Plan-Based Awards table on page 46 shows the threshold, target and maximum RBI awards that each of our named executive officers was eligible to receive for 2009. Their actual RBI awards earned for 2009 are shown in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table on page 43. The actual 2009 RBI payments to our named executive officers, on average, fell between the 50<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles of the market. This is consistent with our RBI structure, which contemplates an executive's actual payout approaching or exceeding the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile based on strong individual and Company performance.

### *Long-Term Incentives*

Our long-term incentive opportunities reward Company leaders and assist with the retention of these leaders. By aligning financial rewards with the economic interests of our stockholders, leaders are encouraged to work toward achieving our long-term strategic objectives. Our named executive officers receive long-term incentive awards in the form of performance-vesting restricted stock units pursuant to our Long-Term Performance Plan (LTPP) and restricted stock awards that vest on a specified time schedule. While we do not follow a formula allocation as between the two forms of long-term incentives, we more heavily weight the performance-based awards under the LTPP.

We target our named executive officers' long-term incentives, on average, between the 50<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles of the market for long-term incentives for employees in similar positions. The 2009 Grants of Plan-Based Awards table on page 46 shows the LTPP and restricted stock awards that were granted to each of our named executive officers for 2009.

*LTPP.* We award performance-based restricted stock units pursuant to the LTPP under our 2001 Stock Plan to encourage both retention and targeted performance. The LTPP provides awards of restricted stock units that vest at the end of a three-year performance cycle based upon the achievement of specific pre-established levels of Company performance. Stock units may be settled in our common stock or in cash, at the discretion of the MDCC. The LTPP awards are granted annually in over-lapping three-year cycles, which provides continuity of opportunity and marketplace consistency.

The performance goals for the four most recent performance cycles, which are independent of each other, are based on the following weighted metrics:

Performance Cycle	ROIC	CFCF	TSR	Total
2010 - 2012	50%	25%	25%	100%
2009 - 2011	50%	25%	25%	100%
2008 - 2010	50%	25%	25%	100%
2007 - 2009	50%	25%	25%	100%

We have used the same performance metrics since 2006 and the same weightings since 2007. In each case, the goals are independent and additive, which means that if we miss the threshold performance as to one measure, no credit would apply to that element, but could be made up for by above-target performance in another area.

Return on Invested Capital (ROIC) measures how efficiently and effectively we use capital. The calculation of ROIC is the same as the calculation described above under the discussion of the RBI plan except for certain variations due to averaging the metric over the three-year performance cycle.

Cumulative Free Cash Flow (CFCF) measures, on a cumulative basis, the cash that is generated over the three-year performance cycle, which we can use to make strategic investments to grow our businesses or return to our stockholders. The calculation of CFCF is essentially the same as the FCF calculation described above under the discussion of the RBI plan.

## Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form PRE 14A

Total Stockholder Return (TSR) compares our stock price appreciation, including reinvested dividends, over the performance period to our peers' stock performance over the same period and provides a percentage ranking.

We selected these three non-GAAP financial performance measures because they are good indicators of the Company's overall performance and lead to the creation of long-term value for our stockholders. They also reflect input from our investors. In particular, CFCF is a useful measure because our ability to generate cash efficiently will continue to be critical to our ability to fund our operations, grow our business and manage our debt levels, and, going forward, will be useful in the development of technology and making acquisitions to meet our strategic objectives.

Comparative TSR is a good measure that incentivizes our executives to outperform our peers in creating

**Table of Contents**

stockholder value. We use the core peer group rather than the broader peer group for this comparison because the TSR of our peer aerospace and defense companies is more relevant to our performance. The non-defense companies in the broader peer group may be impacted differently by economic and market factors that may be less relevant to aerospace and defense companies.

In setting the performance levels for each of these metrics in the LTPP, we start with our five-year strategic plan. The five-year plan represents management's long-term view of the potential performance of the Company for such period based on identified future challenges, risks and opportunities, and is reviewed and approved by the Board of Directors. We take the three relevant years within the five-year strategic plan and derive a three-year set of financial targets. This set of targets is reviewed by the MDCC and is used for setting the three-year LTPP target performance metrics. The LTPP targets are established at the beginning of each three-year cycle when the performance results are substantially uncertain. We do not make changes in the LTPP targets as our business projections are revised in subsequent years.

The following table summarizes our performance in the three performance metrics and the corresponding funding for such metrics for the 2007-2009 award cycle.

**Performance Metric**

<b>and Weighting</b>	<b>Performance Against Targets</b>	<b>Metric Funding</b>
CFCF (25%)	\$6,774 million (pre-established target of \$4,401 million and maximum of \$5,901 million)	50.0%
TSR (25%)	Ranked fourth within our core peer group (with funding dependent on our relative rank compared to our core peer group of 11 companies, including ourselves)	37.5%
ROIC (50%)	12.10% (pre-established target of 10.09% and maximum of 11.38%)	100.0%
		187.5%

This combined level of performance on CFCF, TSR and ROIC resulted in 187.5% of the target award for the 2007-2009 award cycle being earned and paid out in shares of common stock.

Given that the LTPP financial metric targets are long-term estimates of potential Company performance, it is difficult to accurately predict whether they will be met as the Company's performance during the period will be impacted by a wide range of known and unknown factors, including geo-political events, macroeconomic conditions and other matters beyond our control. As noted in our discussion of our RBI financial metrics, we have delivered outstanding financial performance since the inception of the LTPP program in 2004. With respect to our TSR ranking, our stock performance has been relatively strong during this period, including 2008 when our stock outperformed all of our peer companies' stocks. However, our TSR ranking has changed significantly from LTPP cycle to cycle primarily because of the volatility of the stock performance of our peer companies during this period. In particular, peer companies with significant commercial businesses have been subject to relatively higher volatility over the last several years, benefiting from strong valuations in the first part of this period, then being hampered by weaker valuations during recent market turmoil, and most recently recovering more quickly than our peers without significant commercial businesses. As a result of these factors, it is too early to tell how our future financial performance will affect LTPP awards for the 2008-2010, 2009-2011 and 2010-2012 performance cycles. For the prior LTPP cycle, covering the period 2006-2008, awards were paid out at 200% of target.

*Restricted Stock.* Restricted stock awards with time-based vesting schedules provide a strong retentive complement to the LTPP, while still keeping focus on creating stockholder value. Restricted stock awards also encourage executive officers to manage the Company from the perspective of an owner with an equity stake in our business. Restricted stock awards granted to our named executive officers in 2009 vest in three equal tranches on each of the second, third and fourth anniversaries of the grant date, provided the executive is then still employed by the Company. The two-year vesting period for the first tranche, and the four-year vesting period for the entire award, are effective ways to promote retention of our executives.

*Stock Ownership and Retention Guidelines*

As a way to reinforce a culture and expectation of long-term share ownership, we have implemented stock ownership guidelines for our executive officers. These guidelines are designed to ensure sustained, meaningful executive share ownership, align executive long-term interests with stockholder interests, and demonstrate our officers' commitment to enhancing long-term stockholder value. Our CEO is required to own

## Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form PRE 14A

shares of stock with a market value of at least five times his annual base salary, and our other named executive officers are required to own shares of stock with a market value of at least three times their annual base salaries. For our other officers, the ownership requirements range from one to three times their annual base salaries. Officers are required to meet the

---

## **Table of Contents**

applicable target ownership threshold within five years. Attainment of these ownership levels is reviewed regularly by the MDCC. In 2009, we clarified the stock retention provisions of our Stock Ownership and Retention Guidelines in line with our existing practices to state specifically that directors and executive officers may not dispose of Company stock until attaining ownership thresholds and thereafter must maintain specific ownership levels. As of December 31, 2009, each of our named executive officers had met or exceeded his stock ownership requirements.

### *Perquisites and Other Executive Benefits*

While a relatively small portion of our executives' total direct compensation opportunities, perquisites and other executive benefits are important to ensure competitiveness at the senior leadership level. Access to quality health care and other benefits protects the health and well-being of our employees and their families. In addition, under our executive perquisite policy, we provide our executive officers a car allowance or Company-leased car, financial planning services and life insurance coverage benefits. We also provide other perquisites to certain executives in limited circumstances due to our security and personal safety requirements. Individually and in the aggregate, the perquisites we provide to our named executive officers are comparable in scope to those provided by the companies in our peer groups, particularly in the core peer group of aerospace and defense companies. In 2009, we took action to eliminate, effective as of January 1, 2010, the following perquisites previously provided to certain executives after a separation under our executive severance guidelines and upon a qualifying termination within 24 months after a change-in-control under change-in-control severance agreements: car allowances, excess liability insurance, financial planning services, and executive physicals.

### *Retirement Benefits and Deferred Compensation*

*Retirement Benefits.* Retirement benefits also fulfill an important role within our overall executive compensation objective because they provide an element of financial security that promotes retention. Our retirement program, including the amount of benefit, is comparable to those offered by the companies in our peer groups and, as a result, ensures that our executive compensation remains competitive.

We maintain the following broad-based retirement plans in which our named executive officers are eligible to participate, along with a majority of our employees:

the Raytheon Savings and Investment Plan, a tax-qualified defined contribution retirement plan (401(k) plan);

the Raytheon Company Pension Plan for Salaried Employees, a tax-qualified, non-contributory defined benefit pension plan that covers most of our salaried employees and executive officers, including Messrs. Swanson, Wajsglas, and Stephens;

the Raytheon Non-Bargaining Retirement Plan, a tax-qualified contributory pension plan that covers people who became Raytheon employees when we merged with Hughes Aircraft in 1997, including Mr. Smith (who is covered under the non-contributory part); and

the TI Systems Pension Plan, a tax-qualified, non-contributory pension plan that is part of the Raytheon Company Pension Plan for Salaried Employees and covers most of the people who became Raytheon employees when Raytheon acquired certain assets of Texas Instruments Incorporated in 1997, including Mr. Schottlaender.

We also maintain the Raytheon Excess Pension Plan for employees who qualify, including our named executive officers. The Raytheon Excess Pension Plan is a nonqualified excess pension plan which provides benefits that would have been provided to a participant under the tax-qualified pension plan but for compensation limits imposed under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code.

In addition, certain senior executives, including our named executive officers, are eligible to participate in the Raytheon Company Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP). The SERP is designed to replicate but not exceed the retirement benefit that a mid-career person joining the Company would achieve under the qualified and excess pension plans had such person begun his or her career with Raytheon. The SERP benefit (up to 50% of final average earnings for a participant who retires after age 60 with at least 15 years of service to the Company) is offset by amounts payable under our other Company pension plans, any prior employer plan and Social Security.

## Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form PRE 14A

Mr. Swanson will not receive a payment under the SERP because of his longstanding service with Raytheon (38 years). Were he to retire, his pension benefit under the formula in our qualified and excess pension plans exceeds the maximum 50% of final average earnings that the SERP would provide. Because the SERP has offsets for the pension plan benefits, there would be no excess benefit payable under the SERP. Our other named executive officers could be eligible for SERP benefits, depending on when they retire.

Each of the above retirement plans, including the SERP, is described in more detail under the heading Pension Benefits beginning on page 51.

---

## Table of Contents

*Deferred Compensation Plan.* We maintain the Raytheon Deferred Compensation Plan under which a select group of management or highly compensated employees, including our named executive officers, may elect to defer up to 50% of their salary and up to 90% of their annual RBI plan awards and receive tax-deferred returns on those deferrals. The account balances in this plan are unfunded and represent money that the participants have previously earned and voluntarily elect to defer in order to accumulate tax-deferred returns. Plan participants can allocate their account balances among the same investment options available under our qualified Savings and Investment Plan, which also accumulate on a tax-deferred basis. We make a matching contribution of up to 4% of deferrable compensation. The provision of this deferral opportunity is a competitive practice in the marketplace. For more information on our Deferred Compensation Plan, see *Nonqualified Deferred Compensation* on page 54.

### *Severance Pay Arrangements*

Our employees, including our named executive officers, are employees at-will and do not have long-term employment contracts with the Company. The at-will employment status of our employees affords us the necessary flexibility to remove employees when appropriate under the circumstances. However, in order to retain and attract highly-qualified executives who may otherwise desire the protection of long-term employment contracts, we offer specified severance benefits under our executive severance guidelines. Such benefits provide protection for our executives who, upon joining the Company, may forfeit substantial pay and benefits earned from a previous employer. For our named executive officers, the severance benefits under these guidelines provide a multiple of base salary and target annual incentive award (2.99 for our CEO and 2.0 for the other named executive officers) and continuation of welfare, benefit and retirement plans for 2.99 and 2 years respectively. In 2009, we changed our guidelines prospectively to reduce these multiples for new executives (2.0 for a CEO and 1.0 for other executive officers). We also took action to eliminate, effective as of January 1, 2010, the following perquisites previously provided to certain executives after a separation: car allowances, excess liability insurance, financial planning services, and executive physicals.

Separate from our executive severance guidelines, we have change-in-control agreements with our executive officers that have been reviewed and approved by the MDCC. Changes in corporate control are often accompanied by changes in the corporate culture and job losses, especially at executive levels. If a transaction affecting corporate control of Raytheon were under consideration, we expect that our executives would naturally be faced with personal uncertainties and distractions about how this might affect their continued employment by the Company. By entering into change-in-control agreements before any such transaction is contemplated, we hope to focus our executives' full attention and dedication on our stockholders' best interests despite any threatened or pending change-in-control, and to encourage our executives to stay with the Company until the transaction is completed. The agreements do this by providing a meaningful severance benefit in the event that a change-in-control occurs and, within the 24 months after the change-in-control, the executive is either terminated without cause or resigns due to a material reduction in compensation or a material change in the executive's job duties without his consent. These agreements are not intended to provide a windfall to our executives occasioned by a change-in-control. The agreements provide for a double trigger such that an executive would only receive severance benefits upon a qualifying termination following a change-in-control, and not simply upon a change-in-control. Furthermore, any benefit received by an executive under a change-in-control agreement would be reduced by the severance benefit he or she may earn under our severance guidelines as described above, so that there would be no duplication of benefits. In 2009, we took action to eliminate, effective as of January 1, 2010, the following perquisites upon a qualifying termination within 24 months after a change-in-control: car allowances, excess liability insurance, financial planning services, and executive physicals.

All of our executive officers, including the named executive officers, are entitled to gross-up protection for any excise tax that might apply in the case of a change-in-control under their change-in-control agreements. However, this protection applies only if the after-tax benefit to the executive of such gross-up would exceed \$50,000. Otherwise, his benefits would be reduced to the maximum amount that could be received without triggering the excise tax. In 2009, we amended the change-in-control agreements to eliminate these tax gross-ups for changes-in-control that occur on or after January 1, 2012. In addition, beginning on January 1, 2010, new executives will receive change-in-control agreements that do not provide for any tax gross-ups.

Our severance programs, including the conditions under which such benefits are triggered, and the amount of such benefits, are comparable to those provided by the companies in our peer groups. The MDCC's independent consultant has confirmed that our change-in-control and other severance arrangements are competitive in our industry.

---

## **Table of Contents**

For more information on our executive severance policy and the terms of our change-in-control agreements, see [Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control](#) beginning on page 55.

### **Enhancements in Governance**

Over the last two years, the Company has adopted a number of important governance enhancements related to its executive compensation program. First, in 2008, the MDCC adopted a formal policy to ensure that it will continue to receive independent and unbiased advice and analyses from its compensation consultant. The policy requires the MDCC to assess annually the independence of its compensation consultant and, further, prohibits the consultant from providing certain services either to our executive officers and directors, personally, or to the Company (other than the MDCC). The services to the Company subject to this prohibition are advice to management related to executive and director compensation, employee compensation and employee benefits. The Board of Directors also amended the MDCC charter in 2009 to require an annual MDCC assessment of the independence of its outside compensation consultant and the pre-approval of any services proposed to be provided by such consultant to the Company.

As part of the independence assessment mandated by the new policy, the MDCC reviews any services proposed to be provided by the consultant to the Company not otherwise prohibited under the policy and considers whether the provision of such non-MDCC services to the Company will impair the consultant's independence. Such non-MDCC services may be provided only with the MDCC's pre-approval. Additionally, as a guideline to avoid any actual or perceived conflict of interest or bias, the policy limits the fees paid by the Company for such non-MDCC services to no more than 1% of the consultant's annual gross revenues. Pursuant to this policy, the MDCC took action at the end of 2009 to change its independent compensation consultant from Watson Wyatt to Pearl Meyers & Partners due to Watson Wyatt's merger with Towers Perrin Forster & Crosby Inc., with whom the Company also has a relationship.

Second, in 2008, the Board of Directors amended the Company's Governance Principles to add a Restatement Clawback Policy. This policy gives the Board the right to recover RBI payments, LTTP awards and restricted stock awards made on or after January 1, 2009 to any elected officer to the extent that such payments or awards were inflated due to erroneous financial statements substantially caused by the executive's knowing or intentionally fraudulent or illegal conduct. The policy is designed to maximize the likelihood that the Company will be successful if it seeks to recover the portion of an executive's incentive compensation attributable to inflated financial results caused by the executive's malfeasance.

Third, in 2009, the Board of Directors amended its Governance Principles to clarify stock retention requirements in the Stock Ownership and Retention Guidelines. The clarifications implement existing Company policy under which executive officers and directors may not dispose of Company stock until attaining ownership thresholds and thereafter must maintain specified ownership levels.

Fourth, in 2009, the Board of Directors also amended the MDCC charter to formalize the practice and responsibility of the MDCC to review succession plans for the CEO, executive officers, and other elected officers of the Company and career development plans for elected officers and other key employees.

### **Other Considerations**

*Tax Considerations.* Under Section 162(m) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code, there is a limit of \$1,000,000 on the amount of compensation that we may deduct in any one year with respect to compensation paid to certain of our named executive officers. However, qualified performance-based compensation will not be subject to the deduction limit if specified requirements are met. To maintain flexibility in compensating our executives, the MDCC reserves the right to use its judgment to authorize compensation payments that may be subject to the limit when the MDCC believes that such payments are appropriate. Accordingly, certain components of our executive compensation program are designed to be qualified performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) while others are not.

In 2006, the MDCC determined that compensation paid pursuant to new awards under the LTTP, starting with our LTTP awards made for the 2006-2008 performance cycle, should be made tax deductible pursuant to Section 162(m). As a result, we obtained stockholder approval of the performance goals that may be used with respect to the LTTP at our 2006 annual meeting of stockholders and we operate the LTTP to comply with the Section 162(m) exemption. The MDCC again made this determination with respect to the 2010 Stock Plan for which we are seeking stockholder approval at the 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

However, neither our annual RBI awards nor our time-based restricted stock awards are eligible for the performance-based compensation exemption from Section 162(m). In the case of our RBI awards, we believe that the ability to exercise judgment about our executive's individual performance in certain areas that do not easily lend themselves to specific measurement, such as effective



## **Table of Contents**

management of human resources, ethical business behavior and leadership competencies, outweighs the cost to us of the loss of a tax deduction imposed by the limits of Section 162(m).

*Other Considerations.* Many of our government contracts are cost reimbursable contracts under which we are reimbursed for our allowable costs. These types of contracts are subject to special regulations about what are and are not allowable costs, which we sometimes take into consideration in structuring our compensation programs. For example, since compensation in the form of time-based restricted stock is an allowable cost and stock options are not, in 2004 we began consistently to use restricted stock awards in our executive compensation program rather than stock options.

### **Equity Grant Practices**

Historically we have not timed the grant of equity awards to coincide with, precede or follow the release of material non-public information. In January, 2007, we adopted an internal policy on equity grant practices, which was approved by the MDCC. This policy provides, among other things, that equity awards shall be approved only at regularly scheduled meetings of the MDCC or Board, that equity awards granted to new employees or directors or promoted employees shall be considered by the MDCC or Board at a regularly scheduled meeting subsequent to the hire or promotion date, and that equity awards shall not be granted with a retroactive effective date.

### **Agreed-Upon Procedures on Compensation Tables**

As part of the Company's annual governance procedures, our independent registered public accounting firm is engaged to perform certain agreed-upon procedures determined by the Company and our Board of Directors, with respect to the information provided in the compensation tables related to the named executive officers.

## **MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT AND COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT**

The Management Development and Compensation Committee is comprised entirely of independent directors. The Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K. Based on such review and discussions, the Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

### **Submitted by the Management Development and Compensation Committee**

William R. Spivey, Chairman,

Vernon E. Clark and Frederic M. Poses

*The above report of the Management Development and Compensation Committee does not constitute soliciting material and shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any other filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, including by any general statement incorporating this proxy statement, except to the extent we specifically incorporate this information by reference, and shall not otherwise be deemed filed under such Acts.*

**Table of Contents****Summary Compensation Table**

The following table sets forth the compensation for each of our named executive officers for the fiscal years ending December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009, other than Mr. Schottlaender who first became a named executive officer in 2009.

The table below was prepared in accordance with SEC requirements. The total compensation presented below does not necessarily reflect the actual total compensation received by our named executive officers or the Company's view of their total compensation opportunities in 2007-2009. More specifically, the amounts under "Stock Awards" do not represent the actual amounts paid to or realized by our named executive officers for these awards during 2007-2009 and simply represent the grant date fair value of awards granted in those years for financial reporting purposes. The Long-Term Performance Plan awards are subject to future Company performance and, like the restricted stock awards, are subject to future vesting periods. Likewise, the amounts under "Change in Pension Value and Non-qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings" which represent the change in the actuarial present values of such officers' accumulated pension benefits based on the same assumptions we use for financial reporting purposes, do not reflect amounts paid to or realized by our named executive officers during 2007-2009 nor does the MDCC consider such changes in pension benefits as an element of its annual compensation decisions. For information regarding the named executive officers' compensation opportunities in 2007-2009, see our supplemental table on page 27 in "Compensation Discussion and Analysis." The supplemental table is not a substitute for the required table below.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary <sup>(1)</sup> (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards <sup>(2)</sup> (\$)	Option Award <sup>(3)</sup> (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation <sup>(3)</sup> (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Non- qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings <sup>(4)(5)</sup> (\$)	All Other Compensation <sup>(6)</sup> (\$)	Total (\$)
William H. Swanson Chairman and CEO	2009	\$ 1,297,920	\$	\$ 10,353,257	\$	\$ 3,050,000	\$ 3,533,250	\$ 402,445	\$ 18,636,872
	2008	1,328,640		10,933,819		3,050,000	4,703,615	491,539	20,507,613
	2007	1,229,544		10,105,900		3,050,000	3,298,080	585,699	18,269,223
David C. Wajsgras Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2009	\$ 790,203	\$	\$ 2,231,419	\$	\$ 870,000	\$ 205,211	\$ 108,112	\$ 4,204,945
	2008	789,944		2,306,967		870,000	154,468	119,051	4,240,430
	2007	725,846		2,138,416		870,000	80,985	229,272	4,044,519
Jay B. Stephens Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary	2009	\$ 690,825	\$	\$ 2,181,456	\$	\$ 780,000	\$ 755,656	\$ 111,514	\$ 4,519,451
	2008	689,146		2,256,960		780,000	903,105	113,332	4,742,543
	2007	635,461		2,090,509		780,000	3,539,712	125,162	7,170,844
Daniel L. Smith Vice President and President, Integrated Defense Systems	2009	\$ 485,671	\$	\$ 1,928,820	\$	\$ 525,000	\$ 771,580	\$ 104,274	\$ 3,815,345
	2008	473,435		1,988,120		550,000	873,572	131,636	4,016,763
	2007	411,224		1,835,135		550,000	2,445,872	104,164	5,346,395
Colin J.R. Schottlaender Vice President and President, Network Centric Systems	2009	\$ 485,238	\$	\$ 1,928,820	\$	\$ 550,000	\$ 576,476	\$ 75,706	\$ 3,616,240

(1) 2009 salaries are based on 26 bi-weekly pay periods as compared to 27 pay periods for 2008.

(2) Amounts represent the aggregate grant date fair values of restricted stock and Long-Term Performance Plan (LTPP) awards granted in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, in accordance with the accounting standard for share-based payments, disregarding for this purpose the estimate of forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. Values for LTPP awards, which are subject to performance conditions, are computed based upon the probable outcome of the performance conditions as of the grant date of such awards. The values of the 2009-2011 LTPP awards at the grant date of such awards assuming the highest level of performance conditions will be achieved during the three-year performance cycle, are as follows: Mr. Swanson \$13,106,533; Messrs. Wajsgras and Stephens \$2,462,873; and Messrs. Smith

## Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form PRE 14A

and Schottlaender \$2,257,648. For more information on potential payouts under the 2009-2011 LTTP awards, see the 2009 Grants of Plan-Based Awards table on page 46.

The grant date fair values of restricted stock awards are based solely on the stock price on the date of grant and the number of shares (or the intrinsic value method). The grant date fair values of LTTP awards are calculated using the intrinsic value method for the cumulative free cash flow and ROIC portions of the awards and the Monte Carlo simulation method for the total stockholder return portion of the awards. For more information on the assumptions used

**Table of Contents**

by us in calculating the grant date fair values for restricted stock and LTPP awards, see Note 13: Stock-based Compensation Plans to our financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 ( 2009 Form 10-K ). A description of the material terms and conditions of the stock awards granted to the named executive officers in 2009 can be found on page 48 under the heading 2009 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Equity Incentive Plan Awards.

- (3) Amounts earned pursuant to Results-Based Incentive (RBI) awards for 2007, 2008 and 2009 but which were paid in 2008, 2009 and 2010, respectively. A description of the material terms and conditions of the 2009 RBI awards can be found on page 46 under the heading 2009 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards.
- (4) The amounts represent the aggregate change in the actuarial present value of the named executive officer's accumulated benefit under all defined benefit and actuarial pension plans (including supplemental plans) from the end of the preceding year to the end of the reported year. Generally, these amounts represent the change in value of the named executive officer's benefit due to an additional year of service, changes in compensation and changes in the discount rate. The amounts were computed using the same assumptions we used for financial reporting purposes under the accounting standard for employers' accounting for pensions. Actual amounts paid under our plans are based on assumptions contained in the plans which may be different than the assumptions used for financial statement reporting purposes.
- (5) None of the named executive officers received any earnings on their deferred compensation based on above-market or preferential rates (as defined by the SEC). For more information on our Deferred Compensation Plan, see Nonqualified Deferred Compensation on page 54.
- (6) All Other Compensation amounts include, as applicable, (a) the value of perquisites and personal benefits (as defined by the SEC), (b) the amount of tax gross-ups and reimbursements, (c) the amount of Raytheon contributions to qualified and non-qualified defined contribution plans, (d) the value of insurance premiums paid, and (e) certain other payments or items of compensation. Where the value of the items reported in a particular category for a named executive officer exceeded \$10,000 in 2009, those items are identified and quantified below.
- (a) Perquisites and Personal Benefits*

Under our executive perquisites policy, each of the named executive officers is entitled to receive certain perquisites, including a car allowance of up to \$18,000 per year or use of a Raytheon-leased car with a lease value of up to \$18,000 per year plus certain expenses (other than our CEO who is entitled to a larger allowance/higher lease value); financial planning services of up to \$15,000 per year; and participation in the Executive Health Program (benefits of up to \$2,000 per year).

Mr. Swanson's amount includes an aggregate of \$190,800 for personal use of Raytheon aircraft, personal use of a Raytheon-leased car, driving services, financial planning services, home security system expenses, and certain travel and incidental expenses relating to his spouse attending Raytheon-related events at our request.

Mr. Wajsglas's amount includes an aggregate of \$32,153 for personal use of a Raytheon-leased car, financial planning services, and certain travel and incidental expenses relating to his spouse attending Raytheon-related events at our request.

Mr. Stephens's amount includes an aggregate of \$37,773 for personal use of a Raytheon-leased car, financial planning services, certain travel and incidental expenses relating to his spouse attending Raytheon-related events at our request, and an executive physical.

Mr. Smith's amount includes an aggregate of \$38,141 for a car allowance, financial planning services, certain travel and incidental expenses relating to his spouse attending Raytheon-related events at our request, and an executive physical.

Mr. Schottlaender's amount includes an aggregate of \$32,700 for a car allowance, financial planning services, and certain travel and incidental expenses relating to his spouse attending Raytheon-related events at our request.

*Personal use of corporate aircraft* For reasons of security and personal safety, we require Mr. Swanson generally to use Raytheon aircraft for all air travel, including for personal purposes. We determined our incremental cost for the personal use of corporate aircraft as follows:

We derived an average variable operating cost per hour for such aircraft based on fuel, aircraft maintenance, landing, parking, and catering costs, certain taxes, and certain other miscellaneous fees and costs, and the hours flown. Since our corporate aircraft are used primarily for business travel, we did not include fixed costs for such aircraft that generally do not change with usage, such as pilots' and other employees' salaries, purchase costs of aircraft, and certain hangar expenses.

**Table of Contents**

In determining the number of hours that an aircraft was used for personal purposes, we did not include the flight time of any deadhead flight, e.g., a return flight on which no passenger was aboard.

For trips that involved mixed personal and business usage, we determined the total variable cost attributable to personal use by subtracting the total variable cost of a business-only trip from the total variable cost of the whole trip (both personal and business).

In 2009, we incurred incremental costs of \$115,645 for personal use of Raytheon aircraft by Mr. Swanson. As noted above, this amount was calculated without deadhead flights. Based on our average variable operating cost per hour (as derived above), these deadhead flights would have amounted to an additional \$67,512 for Mr. Swanson.

*Personal use of automobiles* Each named executive officer is furnished with certain automobile-related perquisites, including the use of a Raytheon-leased car or an annual car allowance. The named executive officers also have access to a pool of Raytheon vehicles and drivers which are generally available for various corporate purposes and which may be used in limited circumstances for uses that may have a personal element. For reasons of security and personal safety, Mr. Swanson frequently travels in Raytheon-provided vehicles operated by Raytheon-provided drivers for business and personal (primarily commuting) purposes. We determined our incremental cost for personal travel in Raytheon-provided vehicles operated by Raytheon-provided drivers as follows:

We determined our total annual cost for each pooled vehicle used by such executives for personal purposes (or, in the event that the vehicle was provided to Raytheon by our leasing company at either no or a significantly discounted cost, an estimated annual lease cost) and then allocated such total cost based on the total miles driven in the year and the number of miles driven for each executive for personal purposes, as tracked by our administrators.

We determined our total cost for each driver and then allocated such amount based on the total hours worked and the estimated number of hours that such driver drove the executive for personal purposes.

In 2009, we incurred incremental costs of \$36,705 for certain automobile-related perquisites for Mr. Swanson.

*(b) Tax Gross-Ups and Reimbursements*

In limited circumstances, we have agreed to make certain items of imputed income to our named executive officers tax-neutral to them. Accordingly, we gross-up our named executive officers for the income tax on their imputed income resulting from certain perquisites and personal benefits furnished by us. In 2009, we made \$24,843 and \$22,287 in tax gross-up payments to Mr. Swanson and Mr. Smith, respectively. Consistent with prior years, Mr. Swanson did not receive any tax gross-ups for his personal use of Raytheon aircraft.

*(c) Contributions to Plans*

We make a 4% matching contribution to compensation deferred under our qualified RAYSIP 401(k) Plan and under our non-qualified, unfunded Deferred Compensation Plan. The Deferred Compensation Plan matching contributions include our matching contribution for deferred 2008 RBI compensation earned in 2009 but made in March 2010. For information on our matching contributions under the Deferred Compensation Plan, see *Nonqualified Deferred Compensation* on page 54. In 2009, we made the following contributions to qualified and non-qualified defined contribution plans for the named executive officers:

	Mr. Swanson	Mr. Wajsgras	Mr. Stephens	Mr. Smith	Mr. Schottlaender
RAYSIP 401(k) Plan Match	\$ 9,800	\$ 9,800	\$ 8,167	\$ 9,800	\$ 9,800
Deferred Compensation Plan Match	\$ 164,117	\$ 56,608	\$ 47,532	\$ 30,627	\$ 27,501
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 173,917</b>	<b>\$ 66,408</b>	<b>\$ 55,699</b>	<b>\$ 40,427</b>	<b>\$ 37,301</b>

*(d) Insurance Premiums*

Under our executive perquisites policy, we pay for the premiums for certain insurance policies covering our named executive officers, including basic life, executive liability, and business travel and accident insurance policies. We also provide our named executive officers and certain other executives with a senior executive life insurance benefit for which we impute income to each executive based on the amount of the annual premium for a comparable term life insurance policy and include such amount of imputed income in the value of insurance premiums paid. In 2009, the total value of insurance premiums paid for Mr. Stephens was \$11,799.



**Table of Contents****2009 Grants of Plan-Based Awards**

The following table sets forth the awards granted to each of our named executive officers under any plan during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009. All equity awards are granted under our 2001 Stock Plan.

Name	Grant Date	Date of Committee Action	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards <sup>(1)</sup>			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards <sup>(2)</sup>			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units <sup>(3)</sup>	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options <sup>(#)</sup>	Exercise Price of Option Awards <sup>(\$/Sh)</sup>	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards <sup>(\$)<sup>(4)</sup></sup>
			Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)				
William H. Swanson	2/24/09					17,794	142,354	284,708				\$ 6,553,266
	5/28/09		\$ 389,376	\$ 2,595,840	\$ 5,191,680				85,030			\$ 3,799,991
David C. Wajsglas	2/24/09					3,344	26,750	53,500				\$ 1,231,436
	5/28/09		\$ 120,001	\$ 800,010	\$ 1,600,020				22,376			\$ 999,983
Jay B. Stephens	2/24/09					3,344	26,750	53,500				\$ 1,231,436
	5/28/09		\$ 105,022	\$ 700,149	\$ 1,400,298				21,258			\$ 950,020
Daniel L. Smith	2/24/09					3,065	24,521	49,042				\$ 1,128,824
	5/28/09		\$ 73,953	\$ 493,022	\$ 986,044				17,901			\$ 799,996
Colin J.R. Schottlaender	2/24/09	2/23/09				3,065	24,521	49,042				\$ 1,128,824
	5/28/09	5/27/09	\$ 74,240	\$ 494,936	\$ 989,872				17,901			\$ 799,996

- (1) Amounts represent the thresholds, target and maximum payout opportunities under the 2009 Results-Based Incentive (RBI) Program.
- (2) Amounts represent the threshold, target and maximum payouts under the 2009-2011 LTPP awards. LTPP payouts range from zero to 200% of target and may be paid in our common stock or in cash, at the discretion of the MDCC. The amounts do not include any accrued dividend equivalents.
- (3) Amounts represent awards of time-vesting restricted stock.
- (4) Amounts represent the grant date fair value of the restricted stock and 2009-2011 LTPP awards granted to the named executive officer in 2009 in accordance with the accounting standard for share-based payments disregarding for this purpose the estimate of forfeitures related to service based vesting conditions. Such values are generally expensed by us over the employee's requisite service period, generally the vesting period of the awards. Values for the 2009-2011 LTPP awards, which are subject to performance conditions, are computed based upon the probable outcome of the performance conditions as of the grant date of such awards.

The following is a description of the material terms and conditions of the awards set forth in the table above.

*Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards*

**2009 RBI Program.** In 2009, each of our named executive officers received an annual award under our 2009 Results-Based Incentive (RBI) Program which provides the executive the opportunity to earn incentive cash compensation based upon the attainment of the specific pre-established performance metrics and an assessment of his individual performance during 2009.

**Target Opportunities.** Each named executive officer is assigned a pre-established individual RBI payout target expressed as a percentage of base salary, as well as threshold and maximum payout amounts. The MDCC established individual payout targets for each named executive officer based on the criteria discussed in our Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Our Compensation Program Annual Incentives beginning on page 34. We use the target awards to determine the overall funding of the RBI bonus pool, as described below, but the targets are not entirely determinative of what any one participant's RBI bonus will be.

## Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form PRE 14A

*Funding the RBI Pool.* The pool of available funds to pay our RBI awards is based on the extent to which the Company and our businesses meet or exceed predetermined goals under selected financial metrics, which are set by the MDCC prior to the beginning of the performance year. The MDCC selected the following financial metrics at the total Company and business unit levels, each equally weighted, for purposes of funding an overall pool under the RBI plan for 2009:

**Bookings** a forward-looking metric that measures the value of new contracts awarded to us during the year.

**Net Sales** a growth metric that measures our revenue for the current year.

**Table of Contents**

Free Cash Flow (FCF) a measure of the cash that is generated in a given year that we can use to make strategic investments to grow our businesses or return to our stockholders.

Operating Profit from Continuing Operations a measure of our profit for the year, before interest and taxes.

Return on Invested Capital (ROIC) a measure of the efficiency and effectiveness of our use of capital invested in our operations. ROIC and FCF are non-GAAP financial measures and are calculated as follows:

ROIC is (a) (i) income from continuing operations plus (ii) after-tax net interest expense plus (iii) one-third of operating lease expense after-tax (estimate of interest portion of operating lease expense) less (iv) after-tax FAS/CAS pension and post-retirement benefits expense/income, and (v) excluding charges for debt repurchases and other similar non-operational items, divided by (b) average invested capital after capitalizing operating leases (operating lease expense times a multiplier of 8), adding financial guarantees less net investment in discontinued operations, and adding back the cumulative minimum pension liability/impact of the accounting standard for employers accounting for defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans, and excluding other similar non-operational items.

FCF is operating cash flow less capital expenditures and internal software spending.

For each financial metric, we set a specific target performance goal and defined performance range around the target. The performance range consists of a threshold or minimum performance level and a maximum performance level. If the threshold performance level for a financial metric is not achieved, then we do not allocate funds to the RBI funding pool based on that metric. Funding of the pool for any one metric is capped once performance achieves or exceeds the maximum performance level set for that metric. We also establish a funding range around the target for each financial metric, generally 75% to 150% of target. If Company performance falls within the performance range (e.g., between the threshold and maximum performance levels), the funding amount is based on the corresponding funding range. In addition to the five financial metrics, with the authorization of the MDCC, our CEO may increase or decrease funding of the RBI pool based on an assessment of a business unit's performance on criteria such as customer satisfaction, growth, people and productivity. Consistent with past practice, Company performance against these financial metric targets, which are established at the beginning of the year and based on anticipated operational performance during the year, was adjusted to account for the impact of certain matters not factored into the Company's annual operating plan (upon which the targets are based), such as acquisitions and dispositions that occur after the applicable targets are established, and certain non-operational items such as the FAS/CAS pension adjustment. For information regarding the 2009 RBI performance targets and funding percentages, see Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Our Compensation Program Annual Incentives beginning on page 34.

*Individual Performance Objectives.* Individual performance is directly reflected in what each executive receives as an RBI award. While total Company and business unit performance drives funding of the overall RBI bonus pool, individual RBI awards reflect an assessment of an executive's contribution to our achievement of the financial performance goals, plus his achievement of individual performance objectives, including successful management of human resources, furtherance of ethical business behavior, leadership competencies, Six Sigma, and customer satisfaction, as well as the degree of challenge in the executive's position. If an executive under-performs in relationship to his objectives, the RBI award could fall below the funded target level or even be zero if threshold performance is not achieved. On the other hand, an executive who exceeds his performance objectives could receive up to 200% of target. Individual performance objectives are established annually in writing for top executives and include primarily quantifiable objectives with some subjective measures also included. In the case of our CEO, at the beginning of a review period, the MDCC recommends, and the full Board approves, a statement of the CEO's objectives. When the CEO's annual evaluation is to commence, our CEO provides to the MDCC a written self-appraisal assessing his performance against these objectives. The self-appraisal is discussed with the CEO and then distributed to all of the independent directors for their input. The lead director and the Chair of the MDCC discuss the preliminary evaluation with the independent directors, who then evaluate and write their own assessment of the CEO's performance both as CEO and Chairman. The lead director and the Chair of the MDCC then meet with the CEO and provide performance feedback directly to him. Based on this evaluation, the MDCC recommends, and the full Board approves, the CEO's RBI award. A similar process is followed for the named executive officers other than the CEO. In the case of the other executive officers, our CEO provides input to the MDCC both with regard to the establishment of performance objectives and the determination of success in meeting those objectives.



---

## **Table of Contents**

For information on the actual amounts paid to the named executive officers under their 2009 RBI awards, see the amounts in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column in the Summary Compensation Table on page 43. For more information regarding our RBI program, see our Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 26.

### *Equity Incentive Plan Awards*

In 2009, each of our named executive officers received two equity-based awards: an award of restricted stock units under our long-term incentive compensation program called the 2009-2011 Long-Term Performance Plan (2009-2011 LTPP), and a restricted stock award.

*2009-2011 Long-Term Performance Plan.* The 2009-2011 LTPP operates under, and awards were made pursuant to, our 2001 Stock Plan. All shares issued in settlement of awards will be issued pursuant to the 2001 Stock Plan. Under the 2009-2011 LTPP, each named executive officer was awarded restricted stock units that vest when specific pre-established levels of Company performance are achieved over a three-year performance cycle (2009-2011). Stock units may be settled in our common stock or in cash, at the discretion of the MDCC.

*Target Opportunities.* Before each three-year cycle, the MDCC assigns a target number of restricted stock units to each participant. These target numbers are assigned by the MDCC based on the criteria discussed in our Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Our Compensation Program Long-Term Incentives beginning on page 37.

*Performance Goals.* The MDCC approves the performance goals for each three-year cycle, the relative weightings that those goals will have for that cycle and the target level of performance for each goal. The MDCC specifies the shares or amount of cash that will be paid out in settlement of the restricted stock unit awards based on whether actual performance is at, below, or above the target, subject to a maximum payout. The performance criteria for the 2009-2011 LTPP, which are independent of each other and are weighted as follows, are: cumulative free cash flow (CFCF) (25%); total stockholder return relative to our peer group (TSR) (25%); and ROIC (50%). In each case, the goals are independent and additive, which means that if we miss the threshold performance as to one measure, no credit would apply to that element, but could be made up for by above-target performance in another area.

CFCF measures, on a cumulative basis, the cash that is generated over the three-year performance cycle, which we can use to make strategic investments to grow our businesses or return to our stockholders.

the TSR component of the LTPP compares our stock price appreciation, including reinvested dividends, over the performance cycle to our peers' stock performance over the same period, and provides a percentage ranking.

ROIC measures how efficiently and effectively we use capital that is invested in our operations over the performance cycle. CFCF, TSR and ROIC are non-GAAP financial measures. The calculation of ROIC under the LTPP is the same as the calculation described above under the RBI plan except for certain variations due to averaging the metric over the three-year performance cycle. The calculation of CFCF is the FCF calculation described above under the RBI plan over a three-year performance cycle. Finally, TSR is stock price appreciation over the applicable period plus reinvested dividends paid during the applicable period.

*Award Settlement.* Shortly after the performance results for the three-year performance cycle are determined, we settle each award in cash or stock based upon our actual performance relative to the LTPP performance goals plus an amount equivalent to dividends that would have been paid during the performance cycle on the shares ultimately issued under the award, assuming that those dividends had been reinvested in our common stock.

For more information regarding our LTPP program, see our Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Our Compensation Program Long-Term Incentives beginning on page 37.

*Restricted Stock Awards.* In 2009, each of our named executive officers received an award of restricted stock. The awards, which were made pursuant to our 2001 Stock Plan, vest one-third per year on each of the second, third and fourth anniversaries of the grant date, subject to the executive remaining employed by us. The holders of the restricted stock awards are entitled to any dividends paid with respect to the shares, whether vested or unvested.



**Table of Contents****Outstanding Equity Awards at 2009 Fiscal Year-End**

The following table sets forth information regarding unexercised options, unvested stock and unvested equity incentive plan awards for each of our named executive officers outstanding as of December 31, 2009.

Name	Option Awards					Stock Awards		Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested <sup>(3)</sup>	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested <sup>(2)</sup>
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date <sup>(1)</sup>	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested <sup>(2)</sup>		
William H. Swanson	2,249			\$ 44.4500	5/12/12	211,577 <sup>(4)</sup>	\$ 10,900,447	246,474 <sup>(5)(12)</sup>	\$ 12,698,340
	87,751			\$ 44.4500	5/13/12				
	3,180			\$ 31.4450	5/13/13				
	171,820			\$ 31.4450	5/14/13				
David C. Wajsgas						56,935 <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ 2,933,291	45,837 <sup>(7)(12)</sup>	\$ 2,361,522
Jay B. Stephens						54,429 <sup>(8)</sup>	\$ 2,804,182	45,837 <sup>(7)(12)</sup>	\$ 2,361,522
	49,615			\$ 29.4800	1/22/13				
	46,820			\$ 31.4450	5/14/13				
Daniel L. Smith						45,174 <sup>(9)</sup>	\$ 2,327,364	41,876 <sup>(10)(12)</sup>	\$ 2,157,452
	12,751			\$ 44.4500	5/13/12				
	5,734			\$ 29.4800	1/22/13				
Colin J.R. Schottlaender						45,174 <sup>(9)</sup>	\$ 2,327,364	41,724 <sup>(11)(12)</sup>	\$ 2,149,620
	8,500			\$ 31.8150	1/24/11				
	3,194			\$ 29.6850	5/23/11				
	12,728			\$ 44.4500	5/13/12				

(1) Options generally expire ten years from the date of grant.

(2) Amounts are equal to \$51.52, the closing price of our common stock on the NYSE on December 31, 2009, times the number of unvested shares or units.

(3) Amounts represent the number of shares that would be issued upon vesting of awards of restricted stock units under the 2008-2010 LTPP and 2009-2011 LTPP assuming target performance for the applicable 3-year performance cycle and settlement of such awards in shares of stock. They also include the number of shares representing accrued dividend equivalents on such LTPP awards as of December 31, 2009. Amounts do not include any shares for the 2007-2009 LTPP awards as the 3-year performance cycle for such awards ended as of December 31, 2009. Information on the 2007-2009 LTPP awards and the shares issued to the named executive officers in January 2010, including accrued dividend equivalents, is set forth in the 2009 Option Exercises and Stock Vested table on page 51.

(4) Includes 20,000, 23,333, 23,334, 19,960, 19,960, 19,960, 28,343, 28,343 and 28,344 shares of restricted stock that vest on May 8, 2010, May 2, 2010, May 2, 2011, May 29, 2010, May 29, 2011, May 29, 2012, May 28, 2011, May 28, 2012 and May 28, 2013 respectively, subject, in each case, to Mr. Swanson remaining employed by us.

(5) Includes 96,001 and 142,354 shares for Mr. Swanson's 2008-2010 LTPP and 2009-2011 LTPP awards, respectively. Also includes 5,626 and 2,493 shares, which represent accrued dividend equivalents on such LTPP awards, respectively, as of December 31, 2009. See Note 12 below for information regarding the vesting of and payouts under these LTPP awards.

## Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form PRE 14A

- (6) Includes 6,667, 6,067, 6,067, 5,252, 5,253, 5,253, 7,458, 7,459 and 7,459 shares of restricted stock that vest on May 8, 2010, May 2, 2010, May 2, 2011, May 29, 2010, May 29, 2011, May 29, 2012, May 28, 2011, May 28, 2012 and May 28, 2013 respectively, subject, in each case, to Mr. Wajsgas remaining employed by us.
- (7) Includes 17,588 and 26,750 shares for the executive s 2008-2010 LTPP and 2009-2011 LTPP awards, respectively. Also includes 1,031 and 468 shares, which represent accrued dividend equivalents on such LTPP awards, respectively, as of December 31, 2009. See Note 12 below for information regarding the vesting of and payouts under these LTPP awards.

**Table of Contents**

- (8) Includes 6,667, 5,767, 5,767, 4,990, 4,990, 4,990, 7,086, 7,086 and 7,086 shares of restricted stock that vest on May 8, 2010, May 2, 2010, May 2, 2011, May 29, 2010, May 29, 2011, May 29, 2012, May 28, 2011, May 28, 2012 and May 28, 2013 respectively, subject, in each case, to Mr. Stephens remaining employed by us.
- (9) Includes 5,000, 4,833, 4,834, 4,202, 4,202, 4,202, 5,967, 5,967 and 5,967 shares of restricted stock that vest on May 8, 2010, May 2, 2010, May 2, 2011, May 29, 2010, May 29, 2011, May 29, 2012, May 28, 2011, May 28, 2012 and May 28, 2013 respectively, subject, in each case, to the executive remaining employed by us.
- (10) Includes 15,989 and 24,521 shares for Mr. Smith's 2008-2010 LTPP and 2009-2011 LTPP awards, respectively. Also includes 937 and 429 shares, which represent accrued dividend equivalents on such LTPP awards, respectively, as of December 31, 2009. See Note 12 below for information regarding the vesting of and payouts under these LTPP awards.
- (11) Includes 15,845 and 24,521 shares for Mr. Schottlaender's 2008-2010 LTPP and 2009-2011 LTPP awards, respectively. Also includes 929 and 429 shares, which represent accrued dividend equivalents on such LTPP awards, respectively, as of December 31, 2009. See Note 12 below for information regarding the vesting of and payouts under these LTPP awards.
- (12) Awards of restricted stock units under the LTPP generally vest upon the completion of the applicable 3-year performance cycle, subject to the attainment of certain performance goals over the performance cycle and the executive remaining employed by us. These awards may be settled in shares of our common stock or cash and the actual number of shares to be issued or cash to be paid upon settlement will be based on the extent to which we have attained or exceeded the performance goals, which performance is determined by the MDCC shortly after the completion of the 3-year performance cycle. Such awards also include dividend equivalents accrued over the 3-year performance cycle.

**Table of Contents****2009 Option Exercises and Stock Vested**

The following table sets forth options exercised and stock vested for each of our named executive officers during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise <sup>(1)</sup> (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting <sup>(2)</sup> (\$)
William H. Swanson	199,100	\$ 2,585,439	351,248 <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 17,541,327
David C. Wajsgras			76,657 <sup>(4)</sup>	\$ 3,645,701
Jay B. Stephens	100	\$ 2,352	63,024 <sup>(4)</sup>	\$ 3,173,359
Daniel L. Smith			54,764 <sup>(5)</sup>	\$ 2,763,901
Colin J.R. Schottlaender	48,920	\$ 993,204	50,771 <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ 2,558,182

- (1) These amounts are equal to the number of shares underlying the exercised option times the difference between the sales price of the shares and the exercise price of the option.
- (2) These amounts are equal to the closing price of our common stock on the NYSE on the vesting date times the number of shares vested.
- (3) Includes 239,581 shares which were issued to Mr. Swanson in January 2010 upon the MDCC's determination of performance under the 2007-2009 LTPP (which includes accrued dividend equivalents), which had vested at the end of 2009.
- (4) Includes 43,924 shares which were issued to the executive in January 2010 upon the MDCC's determination of performance under the 2007-2009 LTPP (which includes accrued dividend equivalents), which had vested at the end of 2009.
- (5) Includes 39,931 shares which were issued to Mr. Smith in January 2010 upon the MDCC's determination of performance under the 2007-2009 LTPP (which includes accrued dividend equivalents), which had vested at the end of 2009.
- (6) Includes 35,938 shares which were issued to Mr. Schottlaender in January 2010 upon the MDCC's determination of performance under the 2007-2009 LTPP (which includes accrued dividend equivalents), which had vested at the end of 2009.

**Pension Benefits**

The following table sets forth the present value of accumulated benefits payable to each of our named executive officers, as well as the number of years of credited service, as of December 31, 2009.

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years of Credited Service (#)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (\$)	Payments During the Last Fiscal Year (\$)
William H. Swanson	Qualified	36.34	\$ 1,283,384	\$ 0
	Excess	36.34	\$ 24,252,256	\$ 0
	SERP	37.50	\$	\$ 0
David C. Wajsgras	Qualified	2.75	\$ 64,757	\$ 0
	Excess	2.75	\$ 375,908	\$ 0
	SERP	3.75	\$	\$ 0
Jay B. Stephens <sup>(1)</sup>	Qualified	11.17	\$ 209,407	\$ 0
	Excess	11.17	\$ 1,184,903	\$ 0
	SERP	12.17	\$ 4,321,755	\$ 0
Daniel L. Smith	Qualified	12.17	\$ 282,796	\$ 0
	Excess	12.17	\$ 996,082	\$ 0
	SERP	13.17	\$ 3,341,336	\$ 0
Colin J.R. Schottlaender	Qualified	31.09	\$ 552,085	\$ 0
	Excess	31.09	\$ 1,838,710	\$ 0
	SERP	32.58	\$	\$ 0

- (1) Reflects five additional years of credited service under our pension plans, including the SERP, which Mr. Stephens received upon completion of five years of employment with us in October 2007.

---

## Table of Contents

The following is a discussion regarding the valuation and material assumptions used in determining the pension benefits set forth above and certain other material information regarding our pension plans.

Benefits are valued at the age of the named executive officer when he is first eligible for unreduced benefits and discounted to the named executive officer's current age with interest and are based upon current levels of compensation. In addition, we have assumed no pre-retirement mortality, disability or termination. All other assumptions, including the discount rate of 6.25% for 2009, are consistent with those used to determine our pension obligations under the accounting standard for employers' accounting for pensions in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. For a discussion of our discount rate assumption, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations' Critical Accounting Estimates' Pension Costs' FAS Expense' in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

An executive's SERP benefit is assumed to be zero until he first becomes eligible for such benefit.

### *Qualified Pension Plans*

*Salaried Pension Plan.* We sponsor the Raytheon Company Pension Plan for Salaried Employees (Salaried Pension Plan), a non-contributory pension plan that covers most of our salaried employees and executive officers, including Messrs. Swanson, Wajsgas and Stephens. The Salaried Pension Plan is Company-funded and since 1981 has not permitted employee contributions. Benefits under the Salaried Pension Plan are a percentage of final average compensation based on the following formula, and reduced by the same percentage of the employee's estimated primary social security benefit:

1.8% for each of the first 20 years of credited service; and

1.2% for each year of credited service thereafter.

Final average compensation is the average of the 60 highest consecutive months of compensation in the final 120 months of employment and includes base salary and annual cash incentive awards.

The normal retirement age under the Salaried Pension Plan is 65. Employees who are at least 55 with at least 10 years of service can retire with reduced benefits. There is no reduction for employees who retire at age 60 or older with at least 10 years of service.

The standard form of benefit for married participants is a 50% joint and survivor annuity. The standard form of benefit for single participants is a single life annuity. Both married and single participants can elect other actuarially equivalent optional forms of payment, including a 10-year certain and continuous benefit and joint and survivor annuities of 50%, 66-2/3%, 75% and 100%.

*TI Pension Plan.* The TI Systems Employees Pension Plan (TI Plan) is a non-contributory pension plan that is part of the Salaried Pension Plan and covers most of the people who became Raytheon employees when Raytheon acquired certain assets of Texas Instruments Incorporated in 1997, including Mr. Schottlaender. The TI Plan is Company-funded.

Benefits at 65 under the TI Plan are 1.5% times average credited earnings times years of credited service, reduced by a percentage (ranging from 0.65% to 0.75% per year of credited service, depending on when the participant was born) of the 35-year average Social Security wage base times a service ratio if actual or total projected service is less than 35 years.

Average credited earnings is the average of the five highest consecutive calendar years of employment and includes base salary and annual cash incentive awards.

The normal retirement age under the TI Plan is 65. Employees whose employment ends before 65 may begin receiving reduced benefits at any time after termination of employment.

The standard form of benefit for married participants is a 50% joint and survivor annuity. The standard form of benefit for single participants is a single life annuity. Both married and single participants can elect other actuarially equivalent optional forms of payment, including a five-year or

## Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form PRE 14A

10-year certain and continuous benefit, joint and survivor annuities of 50%, 75% and 100%, and a lump sum.

*Non-Bargaining Retirement Plan.* The Raytheon Non-Bargaining Retirement Plan (Retirement Plan) covers most of the people who became Raytheon employees when Raytheon merged with Hughes Aircraft in 1997. The Retirement Plan has a contributory part (under which some employees are covered) and a non-contributory part (under which most other employees are covered). Mr. Smith is covered under the non-contributory part of the Retirement Plan. Except for employee contributions made under the contributory part, the Retirement Plan is Company-funded. The non-contributory part does not permit employee contributions.

---

## **Table of Contents**

Benefits under the non-contributory part of the Retirement Plan are based on a percentage of final average compensation, as defined by the plan, reduced by a percentage of the employee's estimated primary Social Security benefit. For each of the first 35 years of credited service, the pension under the non-contributory part of the Retirement Plan is 1.5% of the employee's final average compensation, less 0.6% of the final average compensation up to the average of the Social Security wage bases for the 35 years through the year of the employee's Social Security retirement date (as defined in the Retirement Plan). For each year of credited service after 35 years, the pension increases by 0.5% of the employee's final average compensation.

Final average compensation under the Retirement Plan is the average of compensation in the highest five 12-month periods out of the last ten 12-month periods of employment and includes base salary and annual cash incentive awards.

An employee will be entitled immediately to 100% of the pension otherwise payable at the Social Security retirement date, provided that both of the following requirements are satisfied: (1) the employee's termination date is within three years of the employee's Social Security retirement date; and (2) the employee has completed at least 10 years of continuous service. Unless both of those requirements are satisfied, a pension beginning before the employee's Social Security retirement date will be reduced for early commencement.

The standard form of benefit for married participants is a 50% joint and survivor annuity. The standard form of benefit for single participants is a single life annuity. Both married and single participants can elect other actuarially equivalent optional forms of payment, including a 10-year certain and continuous benefit, joint and survivor annuities of 50%, 66-2/3%, 75% and 100%, and payments over a specified period of 10 or 15 years. There is no cost-of-living adjustment under the non-contributory part.

### *Excess Pension Plan*

We also sponsor the Raytheon Excess Pension Plan, a separate, non-qualified, unfunded plan. The Raytheon Excess Pension Plan provides to participants in our qualified pension plans the benefits that would have been provided by the qualified plan but for certain U.S. Internal Revenue Code limitations on qualified pension plans. For 2009, federal law limited to \$245,000 the amount of compensation that may be included under qualified pension plans. Messrs. Swanson, Wajsgas, Stephens, Smith and Schottlaender are covered by the Excess Pension Plan.

### *Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan*

We have a supplemental executive retirement plan (SERP) that covers each of the named executive officers as well as certain other senior executives. This plan provides a pension of 35% of final average compensation after 10 years of service and age 55, increasing by 3% of final average compensation for every additional year of service up to a maximum of 50% of final average compensation. Amounts payable under this plan will be offset by amounts payable under our other pension plans, any prior employer plan and Social Security.

### *Years of Credited Service*

Mr. Stephens' pension will be calculated as follows: 35% of final average earnings after 10 years of service reaching 50% of final average earnings after 15 years of service, with all pension benefits offset by amounts payable under our other pension plans, any prior employer plans and Social Security. In June 2003, our Board of Directors granted Mr. Stephens five additional years of credited service under our pension plans, including the SERP, effective upon Mr. Stephens' completion of five years of employment with us. Mr. Stephens received such additional years of credited service effective as of October 2007.

The years of credited service for Messrs. Swanson, Wajsgas, Stephens, Smith and Schottlaender do not include an additional three years that each is eligible to receive under change-in-control agreements. More information regarding these change-in-control agreements may be found below under the heading "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control."

**Table of Contents****Nonqualified Deferred Compensation**

The following table provides information regarding contributions, earnings and account balances under defined contribution or other plans that provide for the deferral of compensation on a basis that is not tax-qualified for each of our named executive officers as of December 31, 2009. Our named executive officers participate in the Deferred Compensation Plan, a non-qualified plan designed to enable employees who are projected to reach the Internal Revenue Code ( IRC ) compensation limit to elect to defer 4-50% of their salary over the IRC compensation limit and defer 4-90% of their RBI compensation earned in the current year but paid in the following year on a pre-tax basis. We make a matching contribution of up to 4% of deferrable compensation.

Name	Executive Contributions in Last Fiscal Year <sup>(1)</sup> (\$)	Registrant Contributions in Last Fiscal Year <sup>(2)</sup> (\$)	Aggregate Earnings in Last Fiscal Year <sup>(3)</sup> (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last Fiscal Year-End <sup>(4)</sup> (\$)
William H. Swanson	\$ 165,930	\$ 164,117	\$ 279,775	\$	\$ 3,321,375
David C. Wajsgras	\$ 400,770	\$ 56,608	\$ 344,600	\$	\$ 1,751,676
Jay B. Stephens	\$ 520,956	\$ 47,532	\$ 199,083	\$	\$ 4,060,187
Daniel L. Smith	\$ 216,741	\$ 30,627	\$ 259,523	\$	\$ 1,741,811
Colin J.R. Schottlaender	\$ 33,710	\$ 27,501	\$ 487,832	\$	\$ 2,412,062

- (1) Contributions of deferred salary and RBI compensation earned in 2009 to the Deferred Compensation Plan. Deferred salary and 2009 RBI compensation are included in the amounts under the Salary column and the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column, respectively, for 2009 in the Summary Compensation Table on page 43. Deferred 2009 RBI compensation was earned in 2009 but was paid in March 2010.
- (2) Raytheon matching contributions on deferred salary and RBI compensation earned in 2009 under the Deferred Compensation Plan. Matching contributions are included in the All Other Compensation column for 2009 in the Summary Compensation Table on page 43. Matching contributions on deferred 2009 RBI compensation were earned in 2009 but were made in March 2010 when the 2009 RBI awards were paid.
- (3) Earnings on deferred compensation are not included in the Summary Compensation Table for 2009 because such earnings are not based on above-market or preferential rates.
- (4) Amounts shown are actual aggregate account balances as of December 31, 2009 plus (a) deferred 2009 RBI compensation (see footnote 1 above) and (b) Raytheon matching contributions on such deferred 2009 RBI compensation (see footnote 2 above). The aggregate balances also include the following executive contributions and Raytheon matching contributions reported as compensation earned in 2008 and 2007 in the Summary Compensation Table:

Year	Contribution	Mr. Swanson	Mr. Wajsgras	Mr. Stephens	Mr. Smith	Mr. Schottlaender
2008	Executive	\$ 166,746	\$ 397,307	\$ 971,882	\$ 221,169	\$ N/A
	Raytheon Matching	166,746	56,872	49,671	31,533	N/A
2007	Executive	\$ 168,317	\$ 432,220	\$ 473,763	\$ 179,129	\$ N/A
	Raytheon Matching	168,317	54,834	48,072	30,195	N/A

Under the Deferred Compensation Plan, participants must elect how deferred amounts are to be distributed to them when they leave or retire from Raytheon. Participants must also indicate how they wish their deferred compensation and the Raytheon matching contributions to be notionally invested among the same investment options available through the qualified RAYSIP 401(k) plan. Participants may change their investment options in their discretion, subject to any applicable trading restrictions on changes involving the Raytheon stock fund. The account balances in this plan are unfunded and represent money that the participants have previously earned and voluntarily elected to defer in order to accumulate tax-deferred returns.

**Table of Contents****Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control**

The tables below indicate the amount of compensation payable by us to each named executive officer upon voluntary resignation, involuntary for-cause termination, involuntary not-for-cause termination, a qualifying termination following a change-in-control, termination due to disability, termination due to death, and retirement. The amounts assume that such termination was effective as of December 31, 2009 and thus include amounts earned through such date and are only estimates of the amounts that would actually be paid to such executives upon their termination. The tables do not include certain amounts that the named executive officer is entitled to receive under certain plans or arrangements that do not discriminate in scope, terms or operation in favor of our executive officers and that are generally available to all salaried employees.

*Treatment of Certain Compensation Elements*

*Executive Severance Guidelines.* We have executive severance guidelines which provide certain benefits to our executives in the event that their employment with us is involuntarily terminated without cause. Under the guidelines, Mr. Swanson is entitled to receive cash payments equal to 2.99 times his current base salary plus targeted RBI incentive cash award and continuation for three years of certain executive benefits and perquisites and fringe benefits pursuant to welfare, benefit and retirement plans, and each of Messrs. Wajsgas, Stephens, Smith and Schottlaender is entitled to receive a cash payment equal to two times current base salary plus targeted RBI incentive cash award and continuation for two years of certain executive benefits and perquisites and fringe benefits pursuant to welfare, benefit and retirement plans.

*Pension Benefits.* Except in the circumstances discussed below, the named executive officer is only entitled to amounts accrued and vested through our pension plans upon the termination of his employment. The accrued and vested amounts are not included in the tables below because all participants in our pension plans are entitled to these amounts upon termination of their employment. However, in the event of an involuntary termination without cause, the named executive officer is entitled to continuation for two or three years of fringe benefits pursuant to welfare, benefit and retirement plans. In the event of a qualifying termination within 24 months after a change-in-control (as discussed below), such executive is entitled to special supplemental retirement benefits determined as if the executive had three or two years additional credited service under our pension plans as of the date of termination.

*Health and Welfare Benefit and Executive Benefits and Perquisites Continuation.* Except in the circumstances discussed below, the named executive officer is not entitled to any continuation of his health and welfare benefits, executive benefits or perquisites (other than pursuant to COBRA) following the termination of his employment. In the event of an involuntary termination without cause, such executive is entitled to continuation for two or three years of certain executive benefits and perquisites and fringe benefits pursuant to welfare, benefit and retirement plans. In the event of a qualifying termination within 24 months after a change-in-control, such executive is entitled to continuation for two or three years of certain executive benefits and perquisites and fringe benefits pursuant to all welfare, benefit and retirement plans under which the executive and his family are eligible to receive benefits.

*Long-Term Incentives.* Except in the circumstances discussed below, the named executive officer forfeits his options and restricted stock awards to the extent they are unvested and is not entitled to any continuation of vesting or acceleration of vesting with respect to his options and restricted stock awards. Such executive is entitled to exercise any vested options for a limited period after termination and is entitled to continue to hold his shares of unrestricted stock after termination. The amounts representing the value of vested stock options and unrestricted stock are not included in the tables below because all employees who hold vested options and unrestricted stock under our stock plans are entitled to exercise such options and continue to hold such stock upon termination of their employment. However, in the event of a change-in-control, or termination by reason of disability or death, a named executive officer is entitled to the acceleration of vesting with respect to all of his restricted stock awards (or, in the case of disability, to continued vesting of his restricted stock awards), and certain payments pursuant to his LTPP awards. Upon a change-in-control, the executive is entitled to a prorated LTPP payment assuming target performance and based on service completed through the change-in-control. Upon a termination by reason of death, disability or retirement, the executive is entitled to a prorated LTPP payment based on our performance for the performance cycle and service completed through the termination date. LTPP payments are generally made by us when the remaining LTPP awards are settled after the end of the performance cycle in accordance with their terms. The amounts in the tables below representing such LTPP payments assume target performance for those awards.

*Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation.* A named executive officer is entitled to amounts accrued and vested under our Excess Savings Plan and Deferred Compensation Plan upon the termination of his employment. The amounts

---

**Table of Contents**

are generally distributed to such executive in accordance with his election under the applicable plan. The accrued and vested amounts under the plans, which are set forth in the table under *Nonqualified Deferred Compensation* on page 54, are not included in the tables below because all employees who participate in these plans are entitled to these amounts upon termination of their employment and these plans are available to a substantial number of employees (including the executive officers) who qualify under the applicable compensation requirements.

*Change-in-Control Agreements*

In order to receive benefits under a change-in-control agreement, an executive must experience a *qualifying termination* within two years after a change-in-control. Benefits under the change-in-control agreements include: (i) a cash payment of either three times or two times the executive's current compensation (including base salary plus targeted bonus or the bonus for the year prior to the change-in-control, if greater); (ii) special supplemental retirement benefits determined as if the executive had three or two years additional credited service under our pension plans as of the date of termination; and (iii) continuation of fringe benefits pursuant to all welfare, benefit and retirement plans under which the executive and the executive's family are eligible to receive benefits for a period of three or two years. The cash payment will be paid six months after the executive's separation from service. In addition, the agreement provides for a gross-up payment if the executive is subject to excise taxes on payments made under the agreement. Generally, the gross-up payment will be paid within 5 days of an accounting firm's determination regarding such payment, as contemplated by the agreement. The level of benefits payable in the event of a qualifying termination is determined based upon the level of responsibility of the executive.

A *change-in-control* means the acquisition by a third party of 25% or more of our common stock, the replacement of the majority of the incumbent directors by individuals not approved by a majority of the incumbent Board, or the consummation of an agreement for the sale of substantially all of the assets of Raytheon, a liquidation of Raytheon, or a merger which results in a change in the ownership or control of more than 50% of the voting securities of Raytheon. A *qualifying termination* means: (i) we terminate the executive other than for cause within 24 months following a change-in-control; or (ii) the executive terminates his employment with us for *good reason*. Termination for *good reason* means that the executive has terminated employment with us because the executive's compensation has been materially reduced or the executive's job duties have been materially changed without the executive's consent.

We have entered into change-in-control agreements with Messrs. Swanson, Wajsgas, Stephens, Smith and Schottlaender. The terms of those agreements provide for benefits of three times base salary plus targeted RBI cash incentive award, three years' credited service under our pension plans and continuation of fringe benefits for three years, all as more fully described above.

*Certain Changes Effective after December 31, 2009*

In 2009, we took action to eliminate, effective as of January 1, 2010, the following perquisites previously provided to certain executives after a separation under our executive severance guidelines: car allowances, excess liability insurance, financial planning services, and executive physicals. We also amended our change-in-control agreements to eliminate, effective as of January 1, 2010, these same perquisites and to eliminate tax gross-ups for changes-in-control that occur on or after January 1, 2012. The amounts set forth in the tables below do not reflect these changes because such amounts assume that the terminations of employment occurred as of December 31, 2009, which is prior to the effective date of the changes.

**Table of Contents**

The tables below indicate the amounts of compensation payable by us to our named executive officers, including cash severance, benefits and perquisites, and long-term incentives, upon certain different types of terminations of employment.

*William H. Swanson*

Element	Voluntary Resignation	Involuntary For Cause	Involuntary Without Cause	Change-in- Control	Disability	Death	Retirement
<i>Cash Severance</i>							
Base Salary + Bonus	\$	\$	\$ 11,642,342	\$ 13,043,760	\$	\$	\$
Pro-rata Target Bonus				2,595,840			
<i>Benefits &amp; Perquisites</i>							
<i>Pension<sup>(1)</sup></i>							
Health and Welfare Benefit Continuation <sup>(2)</sup>			&				