Digital Realty Trust, Inc. Form 424B7 November 06, 2007

> Filed Pursuant to Rule 424(b)(7) Registration No. 333-142396

PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT NO. 5

(To Prospectus dated April 26, 2007)

6,245,466 Shares

Common Stock

This prospectus supplement no. 5 supplements the prospectus dated April 26, 2007 and supersedes, in its entirety, prospectus supplement no. 4, dated October 5, 2007, relating to the resale by selling securityholders of shares of our common stock that may be issuable upon exchange of the 4.125% Exchangeable Senior Debentures due 2026, of our Operating Partnership, Digital Realty Trust, L.P.

You should read this prospectus supplement no. 5 in conjunction with the prospectus. This prospectus supplement no. 5 is not complete without, and may not be delivered or used except in conjunction with, the prospectus, including any amendments or supplements to it. This prospectus supplement no. 5 is qualified by reference to the prospectus, except to the extent that the information provided by this prospectus supplement no. 5 supersedes information contained in the prospectus.

You should consider carefully the risk factors beginning on page 2 of the prospectus as well as the risk factors relating to our business that are incorporated by reference in the prospectus before investing in the shares of common stock that may be issuable upon exchange of the debentures.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or passed upon the accuracy or adequacy of the prospectus or this prospectus supplement no. 5. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The date of this prospectus supplement no. 5 is November 6, 2007.

The section entitled Selling Securityholders in the prospectus is superseded in its entirety with the following:

SELLING SECURITYHOLDERS

The 4.125% Exchangeable Senior Debentures due 2026, were originally issued by Digital Realty Trust, L.P., our operating partnership, and sold by the initial purchasers of the debentures in transactions exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act to persons reasonably believed by the initial purchasers to be qualified institutional buyers as defined by Rule 144A under the Securities Act. Under certain circumstances, we may issue shares of our common stock upon the exchange of the debentures. In such circumstances, the recipients of shares of our common stock, whom we refer to as the selling securityholders, may use this prospectus and any accompanying prospectus supplement to resell from time to time the shares of our common stock that we may issue to them upon the exchange of the debentures. Information about selling securityholders is set forth in this prospectus, and information about additional selling securityholders may be set forth in a prospectus supplement, in a post-effective amendment, or in filings we make with the SEC under the Exchange Act that are incorporated by reference in this prospectus.

The following table sets forth information, as of November 6, 2007, with respect to the selling securityholders and the maximum number of shares of our common stock that could become beneficially owned by each selling securityholder should we issue shares of our common stock to such selling securityholder that may be offered pursuant to this prospectus upon the exchange of the debentures. The information is based on information provided by or on behalf of the selling securityholders. The selling securityholders may offer all, some or none of the shares of our common stock which we may issue upon the exchange of the debentures. The number of shares of our common stock issuable upon the exchange of the debentures shown in the table below assumes exchange of the full amount of debentures held by each selling securityholder at the maximum exchange rate of 36.2056 shares of our common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of debentures and a cash payment in lieu of any fractional share (the initial exchange rate of the debentures is 30.6828 shares of our common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of debentures). The exchange rate on the debentures is subject to adjustment in certain events. Accordingly, the maximum number of shares of our common stock issuable upon the exchange of the debentures may increase or decrease from time to time. In addition, due to the exchange settlement provisions of the debentures, we may not be required to issue the maximum number of shares of our common stock upon any exchanges of debentures. The percent of shares of common stock beneficially owned following the exchange is based on 64,758,872 shares of common stock outstanding as of November 1, 2007.

Name ⁽¹⁾	Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned Prior to the Exchange	Maximum Number of Shares of Common Stock Issuable Upon Exchange of Outstanding Debentures ⁽²⁾	Stock B Owned Fo	f Common eneficially ollowing the hange Percent ⁽³⁾	Number of Shares of Common Stock Offered ⁽⁴⁾	Beneficial	on Stock lly Owned Resale Percent
1976 Distribution Trust FBO A.R. Lauder	Exchange	Debentures ->	Shares	r el celit ^(e)	Onereu	Shares	rercent
(5)		181	181	*	181		*
2000 Revocable Trust FBO A.R. Lauder							
(5)		144	144	*	144		*
AHFP Context (6)		24,438	24,438	*	24,438		*
Alcon Laboratories (5)		16,690	16,690	*	16,690		*
Altma Fund Sicav plc in respect of the							
Grafton Sub Fund (6)		114,952	114,952	*	114,952		*
Amaranth LLC (7)	15,600	117,668	133,268	*	117,668	15,600	*
Arkansas Teacher Retirement (8)		226,466	226,466	*	226,466		*
Arlington County Employees Retirement							
System (5)		23,931	23,931	*	23,931		*
Baptist Health of South Florida (8)		39,283	39,283	*	39,283		*
Basso Fund Ltd. (9)		8,182	8,182	*	8,182		*
Basso Holdings Ltd. (9)		190,006	190,006	*	190,006		*

	Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned Prior to the	Maximum Number of Shares of Common Stock Issuable Upon Exchange of Outstanding	Stock Be Owned Fo	f Common eneficially ollowing the hange	Number of Shares of Common Stock	Beneficia	on Stock lly Owned Resale
Name ⁽¹⁾	Exchange	Debentures ⁽²⁾	Shares	Percent ⁽³⁾	Offered ⁽⁴⁾	Shares	Percent
Basso Multi-Strategy Holding Fund	8.						
Ltd. (9)		38,595	38,595	*	38,595		*
Black Diamond Convertible Offshore		,	, i				
LDC (10)		72,411	72,411	*	72,411		*
Black Diamond Offshore Ltd. (10)		24,981	24,981	*	24,981		*
BMO Nesbitt Burns, Inc. (11)		128,529	128,529	*	128,529		*
British Virgin Islands Social Security							
Board (5)		5,539	5,539	*	5,539		*
Casam Context Offshore Advantage							
Fund Limited (6)		56,118	56,118	*	56,118		*
CB Richard Ellis Investors, LLC (12)		24,852	24,852	*	24,852		*
Cincinnati Insurance Company (13)		5,430	5,430	*	5,430		*
Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. (14)		36,205	36,205	*	36,205		*
City University of New York (5)		4,779	4,779	*	4,779		*
CNH CA Master Account, L.P. (15)		99,565	99,565	*	99,565		*
Columbia Convertible Securities Fund							
(16)		98,841	98,841	*	98,841		*
Continental Assurance Company on							
Behalf of Its Separate Account (E) (17)		94,134	94,134	*	94,134		*
Convertible Securities Fund (16)		724	724	*	724		*
Context Advantage Master Fund, L.P.		202 770	202 770	ملد	202 770		ste
(6)		383,779	383,779	*	383,779		*
CSS, LLC (44)		217,233	217,233	^	217,233		*
CQS Convertible and Quantitative		90,514	00 514	*	90,514		*
Strategies Master Fund (18) Daimler Chrysler Corp. Emp. #1		90,514	90,514		90,314		
Pension Plan dated 4/1/89 (19)		45,655	45,655	*	45,655		*
D.E. Shaw Valence Portfolios, L.L.C.		+5,055	+5,055		+5,055		
(20)		181,028	181,028	*	181,028		*
Double Black Diamond Offshore LDC		101,020	101,020		101,020		
(10)		156,046	156,046	*	156,046		*
Ellington Overseas Partners, LTD (21)		72,411	72,411	*	72,411		*
Engineers Joint Pension Fund (8)		15,387	15,387	*	15,387		*
Finch Tactical Plus Class B (6)		17,197	17,197	*	17,197		*
Five Sticks, L.P. (9)		16,654	16,654	*	16,654		*
FPL Group Employee Pension Plan							
(19)		17,595	17,595	*	17,595		*
Franklin and Marshall College (19)		1,086	1,086	*	1,086		*
Froley Revy Alternative Strategies (22)		18,102	18,102	*	18,102		*
Grable Foundation (5)		2,461	2,461	*	2,461		*
Grady Hospital (5)		3,005	3,005	*	3,005		*
Guardian Pension Trust (23)		18,102	18,102	*	18,102		*
Harry M. & Violet Turner Charitable							
Trust (24)		3,620	3,620	*	3,620		*
HBK Master Fund L.P. (25)		63,359	63,359	*	63,359		*
Highbridge International LLC (26)		543,084	543,084	*	543,084		*
Independence Blue Cross (5)		16,401	16,401	*	16,401		*
Institutional Benchmarks Series							
(Master Feeder) Limited in Respect of		17 107	17 107	*	17 107		*
Alcor Series (6)		17,197	17,197	-te	17,197		*
Institutional Benchmarks Series (Master Feeder) Limited in Respect of							
the Grafton Sub Fund (6)		8,146	8,146	*	8,146		*
JMG Capital Partners, LP (27)		391,020	391,020	*	391,020		*
sino cupitari annois, Er (27)		591,020	571,020		571,020		

KBC Convertibles MAC28 Limited (28)

*

	Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned Prior to the	ock Common Stock Owned Following the ly Issuable Upon Exchange Number of		Stock Beneficially Owned Following the		Beneficia	on Stock lly Owned Resale
Name ⁽¹⁾	Exchange	Debentures ⁽²⁾	Shares	Percent ⁽³⁾	Offered ⁽⁴⁾	Shares	Percent
KBC Diversified Fund, a Segregated	Literange	2 ch chi chi ch	51111 05	1 01 0000	o nor ou	5	1 01 00110
Portfolio of KBC Diversified Fund,							
SPC (28)		57,928	57,928	*	57,928		*
KBC Financial Products USA Inc.							
(29)		311,368	311,368	*	311,368		*
LDG Limited (30)		12,092	12,092	*	12,092		*
Lehman Brothers (45)		217,233	217,233	*	217,233		*
Lyxor/Context Fund LTD (6)		52,498	52,498	*	52,498		*
Lyxor Quest Fund LTD (31)		108,616	108,616	*	108,616		*
McMahan Securities Co. L.P. (46)		18,102	18,102	*	18,102		*
Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner and							
Smith (32)		155,684	155,684	*	155,684		*
Mohican VCA Naster Fund, Ltd. (33)		99,565	99,565	*	99,565		*
Morgan Stanley Convertible							
Securities Trust (34)		12,671	12,671	*	12,671		*
New Orleans Firefighters (5)		2,787	2,787	*	2,787		*
Nicholas Applegate U.S. Convertible							
Fund (8)		66,256	66,256	*	66,256		*
NJF DIV, INT, Prem Strategy (8)		343,953	343,953	*	343,953		*
Occidental Petroleum (5)		10,644	10,644	*	10,644		*
Police & Firefighters of the City of							
Detroit (5)		16,292	16,292	*	16,292		*
Polygon Global Opportunities Master		00 F (F			00 -		
Fund (35)		99,565	99,565	*	99,565		*
Promutual (5)		30,195	30,195	*	30,195		*
Quest Global Convertible Master		26 205	26 205	*	26.205		*
Fund LTD (31)		36,205	36,205		36,205		*
Rampart Enhanced Convertible		۶ D72	8 072	*	۹ 072		*
Investors, LLC (36) RBC Capital Markets (37)		8,073 108,616	8,073 108,616	*	8,073 108,616		*
Rhythm Fund, Ltd. (28)		57,928	57,928	*	57,928		*
San Diego City Retirement (8)		68,971	68,971	*	68,971		*
San Diego County Convertible (8)		60,644	60,644	*	60,644		*
San Francisco Public Employees		00,044	00,044		00,044		
Retirement System (5)		47,284	47,284	*	47,284		*
Silvercreek L.P. (38)		543,084	543,084	*	543,084		*
Silvercreek II Limited (38)		289,644	289,644	*	289,644		*
Steelhead Pathfinder Fund L.P. (39)		54,308	54,308	*	54,308		*
Suttonbrook Capital Portfolio, L.P.		-)	,,		- ,		
(40)		1,375,812	1,375,812	2.08%	1,375,812		*
TQA Master Fund, Ltd. (30)		94,713	94,713	*	94,713		*
TQA Master Plus Fund, Ltd. (30)		43,157	43,157	*	43,157		*
Trustmark (5)		10,463	10,463	*	10,463		*
Van Kampen Harbor Fund (41)		23,533	23,533	*	23,533		*
Vicis Capital Master Fund (42)		117,668	117,668	*	117,668		*
Worldwide Transactions Ltd. (6)		14,482	14,482	*	14,482		*
Wyoming State Treasurer (8)		46,886	46,886	*	46,886		*
Zerbst 2003 Family Trust (43)		2,862	2,862	*	2,862		*
Zurich Institutional Benchmarks							
Master Fund, Ltd. (30)		22,013	22,013	*	22,013		*
Total	15,600	6,245,466	6,261,066	8.80%	6,245,466	15,600	*

* Less than one percent of the outstanding shares of common stock.

Edgar Filing: Digital Realty Trust, Inc. - Form 424B7

- Additional selling securityholders not named in this prospectus will not be able to use this prospectus for resales until they are named in the selling securityholder table by prospectus supplement or post-effective amendment.
- (2) The maximum aggregate number of shares of common stock that may be sold under this prospectus will not exceed 6,245,466.
- (3) Calculated based on Rule 13d-3(d)(1)(i) under the Exchange Act using 64,758,872 shares of common stock outstanding as of November 1, 2007. In calculating this percentage for a particular holder, we treated as outstanding the number of shares of common stock held by that particular holder and excluded the number of shares of common stock held by any other holder.
- (4) Assumes that all of the shares of common stock have been sold by the selling securityholders. Based upon this assumption, no selling securityholder will beneficially own greater than one percent of our common stock after completion of the offering.
- (5) Tracy Maitland has the power to direct the="top" STYLE="BORDER-BOTTOM:1px solid #999999"> \$ 2,373,165

#999999">		\$	2,373,165
Local Authorities			
- 1.1% Nashville &			
Davidson			
County, TN,			
Metropolitan			
Government			
Convention			
Center Authority			
(Build America Bonds), 6.731%,			
2043	\$ 580,000	\$ 569,061	
New Jersey	\$ 200,000	¢ 000,001	
Turnpike			
Authority Rev.			
(Build America			
Bonds), F , 7.414%, 2040	32,000	36,101	
San Francisco,	52,000	50,101	
CA, City &			
County Public			
Utilities			
Commission,			
Water Rev. (Build America			
Bonds), 6%,			
2040	1,650,000	1,605,549	
University of			
California Rev.			
(Build America Bonds), 5.77%,			
2043	450,000	430,727	
	,	,	
		\$ 2,641,438	
Major Banks -			
0.4%			
Bank of America Corp., 7.625%,			
2019	\$ 170.000	\$ 193,264	
Merrill Lynch &		, .	
Co., Inc., 6.05%,			
2016	750,000	769,597	
		\$ 962,861	
Mortgage-Backed - 43.9%			
Fannie Mae,			
4.79%, 2012	\$ 120,973	\$ 126,467	
Fannie Mae, 4.542%, 2013	945,479	1,000,102	
Fannie Mae, 5%,	210,772	1,000,102	
2013 - 2040	4,818,926	5,106,556	
Fannie Mae,	272 207	200 (42	
5.06%, 2013	373,297	390,642	

Fannie Mae, 5.37%, 2013

983,400

1,033,838

Edgar Filing: Digital Realty Trust, Inc. - Form 424B7

Fannie Mae,		
4.77%, 2014	460,086	499,886
Fannie Mae,		
4.841%, 2014	2,646,520	2,869,992
Fannie Mae, 5.1%, 2014	497,733	545,425
Fannie Mae,	497,755	545,425
4.7%, 2015	453,568	494,896
Fannie Mae,		
4.74%, 2015	368,311	402,684
Fannie Mae, 4.78%, 2015	514,435	564,060
Fannie Mae,	514,455	504,000
4.815%, 2015	539,925	592,517
Fannie Mae,		
4.82%, 2015	912,517	998,694
Fannie Mae, 4.85%, 2015	323,879	354,822
Fannie Mae,	525,077	334,022
4.86%, 2015	150,178	164,342
Fannie Mae,		
4.87%, 2015	342,853	376,656
Fannie Mae, 4.89%, 2015	384,370	421,952
Fannie Mae,	504,570	421,952
5.466%, 2015	850,030	941,540
Fannie Mae,		
5.09%, 2016	500,000	554,615
Fannie Mae, 5.424%, 2016	755,443	836,786
Fannie Mae,	,55,445	
5.845%, 2016	345,788	380,197

Portfolio of Investments continued

Issuer	Shares/Par		Value (\$)
Bonds - continued			
U.S. Bonds - continued			
Mortgage-Backed - continued			
Fannie Mae, 6.5%, 2016 - 2037	\$ 4,233,392	\$	4,761,473
Fannie Mae, 5.05%, 2017	519,695		577,175
Fannie Mae, 5.3%, 2017	572,026		633,033
Fannie Mae, 5.5%, 2017 - 2037	31,505,539		34,083,597
Fannie Mae, 6%, 2017 - 2037	8,803,649		9,662,295
Fannie Mae, 4.88%, 2020	277,369		305,907
Freddie Mac, 5%, 2016 - 2040	10,722,341		11,393,988
Freddie Mac, 6%, 2021 - 2038	4,215,260		4,609,254
Freddie Mac, 4.5%, 2024	1,002,270		1,054,461
Freddie Mac, 5.5%, 2024 - 2036	6,735,407		7,277,999
Freddie Mac, 6.5%, 2037	1,342,336		1,497,906
Ginnie Mae, 5.5%, 2033 - 2038	4,955,599		5,410,564
Ginnie Mae, 5.612%, 2058	1,126,926		1,215,890
Ginnie Mae, 6.357%, 2058	1,006,021		1,097,113
		. .	
Municipals - 1.1%		\$ 1	02,237,324
California Educational Facilities Authority Rev. (Stanford University), 5.25%, 2040	\$ 620.000	\$	707,432
Massachusetts Health & Educational Facilities Authority Rev. (Boston College), 5.5%, 2027	755,000	-	870,960
Massachusetts Health & Educational Facilities Authority Rev. (Massachusetts Institute of Technology), K , 5.5%,	,		,
2032	745,000		874,496
		\$	2,452,888
Natural Gas - Pipeline - 0.5%			
Energy Transfer Partners LP, 8.5%, 2014	\$ 21,000	\$	24,745
Kinder Morgan Energy Partners, 6.85%, 2020	1,000,000		1,174,529
		\$	1,199,274
Network & Telecom - 0.3%			
Verizon Communications, Inc., 8.75%, 2018	\$ 449,000	\$	605,648
Other Banks & Diversified Financials - 0.5%			
Capital One Financial Corp., 8.8%, 2019	\$ 280,000	\$	348,508
Citigroup, Inc., 8.5%, 2019	94,000		115,103
UBS Preferred Funding Trust V, 6.243% to 2016, FRN to 2049	750,000		712,500
Pollution Control - 0.5%		\$	1,176,111
Allied Waste North America, Inc., 6.875%, 2017	\$ 1,000,000	\$	1,108,750

Portfolio of Investments continued

Issuer	Shares/Par	Value (\$)
Bonds - continued		
U.S. Bonds - continued		
Real Estate - 0.4%		
Simon Property Group, Inc., REIT, 5.875%, 2017	\$ 750,000	\$ 848,521
Retailers - 0.4%		
Staples, Inc., 9.75%, 2014	\$ 750,000	\$ 920,315
Tobacco - 1.3%		
Altria Group, Inc., 9.7%, 2018	\$ 500,000	\$ 672,371
Altria Group, Inc., 9.25%, 2019	250,000	333,631
Lorillard Tobacco Co., 8.125%, 2019	796,000	911,305
Lorillard Tobacco Co., 6.875%, 2020	1,000,000	1,062,893
		\$ 2,980,200
U.S. Government Agencies and Equivalents - 4.5%		+ _,, ,
Aid-Egypt, 4.45%, 2015	1,755,000	\$ 1,975,779
Farmer Mac, 5.5%, 2011 (n)	3,010,000	3,104,252
Small Business Administration, 8.875%, 2011	7,884	8,045
Small Business Administration, 6.35%, 2021	561,581	614,328
Small Business Administration, 6.34%, 2021	498,735	546,041
Small Business Administration, 6.44%, 2021	563,501	616,320
Small Business Administration, 6.625%, 2021	619,410	680,002
Small Business Administration, 5.52%, 2024	884,408	961,708
U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development, 6.36%, 2016	1,580,000	1,637,815
U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development, 6.59%, 2016	299,000	299,784
		\$ 10,444,074
U.S. Treasury Obligations - 15.9%		
U.S. Treasury Bonds, 4.75%, 2037	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,242,500
U.S. Treasury Bonds, 4.375%, 2038	6,580,000	6,934,701
U.S. Treasury Bonds, 4.5%, 2039	2,500,000	2,674,610
U.S. Treasury Notes, 4.625%, 2011	1,500,000	1,548,633
U.S. Treasury Notes, 1.375%, 2013	4,711,000	4,797,489
U.S. Treasury Notes, 4%, 2015	4,140,000	4,622,244
U.S. Treasury Notes, 2.625%, 2016	4,499,000	4,735,548
U.S. Treasury Notes, 4.75%, 2017 (f)	3,389,000	3,968,573
U.S. Treasury Notes, 3.75%, 2018	975,000	1,075,699
U.S. Treasury Notes, 3.125%, 2019	2,500,000	2,621,290
U.S. Treasury Bonds, TIPS, 1.25%, 2020	1,749,830	1,840,603
		\$ 37,061,890
Total U.S. Bonds		\$ 211,584,113

Portfolio of Investments continued

Issuer	Shares/Par	Value (\$)
Bonds - continued		
Foreign Bonds - 6.5%		
Brazil - 1.2%		
Banco do Brasil (Cayman Branch), 6%, 2020 (n)	\$ 100,000	\$ 110,750
Banco do Nordeste do Brasil (BNB), 3.625%, 2015 (z)	253,000	248,086
BNDES Participacoes S.A., 6.5%, 2019 (n)	475,000	536,750
Federative Republic of Brazil, 5.625%, 2041	323,000	333,498
Federative Republic of Brazil, 11%, 2040	183,000	251,899
Net Servicos de Comunicacao S.A., 7.5%, 2020	226,000	262,160
Petrobras International Finance Co., 7.875%, 2019	453,000	550,871
Vale Overseas Ltd., 4.625%, 2020	104,000	104,808
Vale Overseas Ltd., 6.875%, 2039	165,000	183,432
Votorantim Participacoes S.A., 6.75%, 2021 (n)	125,000	131,875
		\$ 2,714,129
Canada - 0.9%		
Rogers Communications, Inc., 6.8%, 2018	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,222,122
Talisman Energy, Inc., 7.75%, 2019	650,000	823,279
		\$ 2,045,401
Chile - 0.8%		
Colbun S.A., 6%, 2020 (n)	\$ 704,000	\$ 750,017
Corporacion Nacional del Cobre de Chile, 3.75%, 2020 (n)	309,000	301,852
Empresa Nacional del Petroleo, 6.25%, 2019	214,000	234,452
Sociedad Quimica y Minera de Chile S.A., 6.125%, 2016	429,000	478,245
		\$ 1,764,566
China - 0.0%		
Sino-Forest Corp., 6.25%, 2017 (n)	\$ 18,000	\$ 17,595
India - 0.1%		
State Bank of India, 4.5%, 2015 (n)	\$ 267,000	\$ 275,541
Luxembourg - 0.1%		
ArcelorMittal, 6.125%, 2018	\$ 33,000	\$ 35,329
UniCredito Luxembourg Finance S.A., 6%, 2017 (n)	200,000	208,058
		\$ 243,387
Malaysia - 0.2% Petronas Capital Ltd., 7.875%, 2022	\$ 370,000	\$ 489,761
Mexico - 0.4%	φ 570,000	φ +02,701
Pemex Project Funding Master Trust, 5.75%, 2018	\$ 160,000	\$ 174,039
Petroleos Mexicanos, 8%, 2019	228,000	279,186
	220,000	279,180

Portfolio of Investments continued

Issuer	s	hares/Par		Value (\$)
Bonds - continued				
Foreign Bonds - continued				
Mexico - continued				
Petroleos Mexicanos, 6%, 2020	\$	404,000	\$	440,360
Petroleos Mexicanos, 5.5%, 2021		100,000		104,500
			\$	998,085
Peru - 0.2%			Ť	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Republic of Peru, 8.75%, 2033	\$	180,000	\$	256,050
Southern Copper Corp., 6.75%, 2040		117,000		122,349
			\$	378,399
Portugal - 0.0%				
EDP Finance B.V., 6%, 2018 (n)	\$	100,000	\$	99,904
Qatar - 0.5% Qatari Diar Finance Q.S.C., 5%, 2020 (n)	\$	268,000	\$	272,805
Otel International Finance Ltd., 7.875%, 2019 (n)	Э	107,000	¢	127,603
Qtel International Finance Ltd., 7.875%, 2019 (ii)		132,000		127,077
State of Qatar, 5.15%, 2014 (n)		477,000		513,968
		477,000		515,700
			\$	1,071,958
Russia - 1.3%			Ŷ	1,071,200
Gaz Capital S.A., 8.125%, 2014 (n)	\$	509,000	\$	572,625
LUKOIL International Finance B.V., 6.125%, 2020 (n)		810,000		793,800
SCF Capital Ltd., 5.375%, 2017 (n)		447,000		433,590
VEB Finance Ltd., 6.902%, 2020 (n)		593,000		606,343
VTB Capital S.A., 6.551%, 2020 (n)		395,000		391,038
VTB Capital S.A., 6.465%, 2015 (n)		307,000		316,978
			\$	3,114,374
South Africa - 0.5%				
Gold Fields Orogen Holdings Ltd., 4.875%, 2020 (n)	\$	964,000	\$	935,338
Myriad International Holdings B.V., 6.375%, 2017 (n)		174,000		180,020
Republic of South Africa, 5.5%, 2020		140,000		152,600
			\$	1,267,958
Ukraine - 0.0%				
Government of Ukraine, 6.875%, 2015 (n)	\$	101,000	\$	96,960
United Kingdom 0.20				
United Kingdom - 0.3%	¢	520.000	\$	606 706
Diageo Capital PLC, 5.75%, 2017 Total Foreign Bonds	\$	520,000		606,706 15,184,724
Total Bonds (Identified Cost, \$206,903,191)				15,184,724
10tal Donus (1uchulicu Cost, #200,703,171)			¢ 4	20,700,037

Portfolio of Investments continued

Money Market Funds (v) - 1.9%		
Issuer	Shares/Par	Value (\$)
MFS Institutional Money Market Portfolio, 0.22%,		
at Cost and Net Asset Value	4,478,377	\$ 4,478,377
Total Investments (Identified Cost, \$211,381,568)		\$ 231,247,214
Other Assets, Less Liabilities - 0.7%		1,618,282
Net Assets - 100.0%		\$ 232,865,496

(f) All or a portion of the security has been segregated as collateral for open futures contracts.

(n) Securities exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. These securities may be sold in the ordinary course of business in transactions exempt from registration, normally to qualified institutional buyers. At period end, the aggregate value of these securities was \$11,741,416, representing 5.0% of net assets.

(v) Underlying fund that is available only to investment companies managed by MFS. The rate quoted is the annualized seven-day yield of the fund at period end.

(z) Restricted securities are not registered under the Securities Act of 1933 and are subject to legal restrictions on resale. These securities generally may be resold in transactions exempt from registration or to the public if the securities are subsequently registered. Disposal of these securities may involve time-consuming negotiations and prompt sale at an acceptable price may be difficult. The fund holds the following restricted securities:

			Current
	Acquisition		Market
Restricted Securities	Date	Cost	Value
Banco do Nordeste do Brasil (BNB), 3.625%, 2015	11/04/10	\$251,225	\$248,086
Prudential Securities Secured Financing Corp., FRN, 7.316%, 2013	12/06/04	1,879,758	1,833,131
Total Restricted Securities			\$2,081,217
% of Net Assets			0.9 %

The following abbreviations are used in this report and are defined:

FRN Floating Rate Note. Interest rate resets periodically and may not be the rate reported at period end.

- PLC Public Limited Company
- REIT Real Estate Investment Trust
- STRIPS Separate Trading of Registered Interest and Principal of Securities

TIPS Treasury Inflation Protected Security

Portfolio of Investments continued

Derivative Contracts at 11/30/10

Futures Contracts Outstanding at 11/30/10

Description	Currency	Contracts	Value	Expiration Date	Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation)
Asset Derivatives					
Interest Rate Futures					
U.S. Treasury Note 10 yr (Short)	USD	120	\$14,893,125	March - 2011	\$38,017
Liability Derivatives					
Interest Rate Futures					
U.S. Treasury Bond 30 yr (Short)	USD	87	\$11,073,469	March - 2011	\$(16,617)

At November 30, 2010, the fund had sufficient cash and/or other liquid securities to cover any commitments under these derivative contracts.

See Notes to Financial Statements

Financial Statements

STATEMENT OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

At 11/30/10

This statement represents your fund s balance sheet, which details the assets and liabilities comprising the total value of the fund.

Assets		
Investments-		
Non-affiliated issuers, at value (identified cost, \$206,903,191)	\$226,768,837	
Underlying funds, at cost and value	4,478,377	
Total investments, at value (identified cost, \$211,381,568)		\$231,247,214
Receivables for		
Interest	1,934,524	
Other assets	10,786	
Total assets		\$233,192,524
Liabilities		
Payables for		
Distributions	\$577	
Daily variation margin on open futures contracts	74,156	
Payable to affiliates		
Investment adviser	20,391	
Transfer agent and dividend disbursing costs	6,741	
Administrative services fee	219	
Payable for independent Trustees compensation	161,886	
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	63,058	
Total liabilities		\$327,028
Net assets		\$232,865,496
Net assets consist of		
Paid-in capital	\$230,653,352	
Unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments and transactions of assets and liabilities in foreign		
currencies	19,887,046	
Accumulated net realized gain (loss) on investments and foreign currency transactions	(17,393,277)	
Accumulated distributions in excess of net investment income	(281,625)	
Net assets		\$232,865,496
Shares of beneficial interest outstanding		32,457,612
Net asset value per share (net assets of		
\$232,865,496 / 32,457,612 shares of beneficial		
interest outstanding)		\$7.17
See Notes to Financial Statements		

Financial Statements

STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

Year ended 11/30/10

This statement describes how much your fund earned in investment income and accrued in expenses. It also describes any gains and/or losses generated by fund operations.

Net investment income		
Income		
Interest	\$11,646,495	
Dividends from underlying funds	12,682	
Foreign taxes withheld	(3,114)	
Total investment income		\$11,656,063
Expenses		
Management fee	\$1,403,163	
Transfer agent and dividend disbursing costs	111,223	
Administrative services fee	42,586	
Independent Trustees compensation	66,431	
Stock exchange fee	28,782	
Custodian fee	52,370	
Shareholder communications	138,237	
Auditing fees	68,366	
Legal fees	5,254	
Miscellaneous	22,869	
Total expenses		\$1,939,281
Fees paid indirectly	(47)	
Reduction of expenses by investment adviser	(69,589)	
Net expenses		\$1,869,645
Net investment income		\$9,786,418
Realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investments		
Realized gain (loss) (identified cost basis)		
Investment transactions	\$1,090,448	
Futures contracts	(2,154,227)	
Net realized gain (loss) on investments		\$(1,063,779)
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)		
Investments	\$4,697,093	
Futures contracts	362,554	
Net unrealized gain (loss) on investments		\$5,059,647
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investments		\$3,995,868
Change in net assets from operations		\$13,782,286
See Notes to Financial Statements		

Financial Statements

STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS

These statements describe the increases and/or decreases in net assets resulting from operations, any distributions, and any shareholder transactions.

	Years ended 11/30		
	2010	2009	
Change in net assets			
From operations			
Net investment income	\$9,786,418	\$10,311,648	
Net realized gain (loss) on investments	(1,063,779)	187,322	
Net unrealized gain (loss) on investments	5,059,647	14,449,910	
Change in net assets from operations	\$13,782,286	\$24,948,880	
Distributions declared to shareholders			
From net investment income	\$(10,510,222)	\$(11,015,463)	
From tax return of capital	(6,420,638)	(5,603,818)	
Total distributions declared to shareholders	\$(16,930,860)	\$(16,619,281)	
Change in net assets from fund share transactions	\$1,422,740	\$1,082,350	
Total change in net assets	\$(1,725,834)	\$9,411,949	
Net assets			
At beginning of period	234,591,330	225,179,381	
At end of period (including accumulated distributions in excess of net investment income of \$281,625 and \$260,246, respectively) See Notes to Financial Statements	\$232,865,496	\$234,591,330	

Financial Statements

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

The financial highlights table is intended to help you understand the fund s financial performance for the past 5 years. Certain information reflects financial results for a single fund share. The total returns in the table represent the rate by which an investor would have earned (or lost) on an investment in the fund share class (assuming reinvestment of all distributions) held for the entire period.

		Ye	ears ended 11/30		
	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Net asset value, beginning of period	\$7.27	\$7.01	\$7.35	\$7.28	\$7.27
Income (loss) from investment operations					
Net investment income (d)	\$0.30	\$0.32	\$0.33	\$0.32	\$0.31
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investments and foreign					
currency	0.12	0.46	(0.15)	0.09	0.04
Total from investment operations	\$0.42	\$0.78	\$0.18	\$0.41	\$0.35
Less distributions declared to shareholders					
From net investment income	\$(0.32)	\$(0.35)	\$(0.34)	\$(0.36)	\$(0.35)
From tax return of capital	(0.20)	(0.17)	(0.18)	(0.02)	
Total distributions declared to shareholders	\$(0.52)	\$(0.52)	\$(0.52)	\$(0.38)	\$(0.35)
Net increase from repurchase of capital shares	\$	\$	\$	\$0.04	\$0.01
Net asset value, end of period	\$7.17	\$7.27	\$7.01	\$7.35	\$7.28
Per share market value, end of period	\$7.15	\$7.28	\$7.21	\$6.59	\$6.60
Total return at market value (%)	5.54	8.45	17.96	5.73	9.06
Total return at net asset value $(\%)$ (j)(r)(s)	5.98	11.39	2.83	6.91	5.74
Ratios (%) (to average net assets) and Supplemental data:					
Expenses before expense reductions (f)	0.83	0.83	0.94	0.89	0.80
Expenses after expense reductions (f)	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.89	0.80
Net investment income	4.19	4.48	4.55	4.33	4.29
Portfolio turnover	26	21	31	28	26
Net assets at end of period (000 omitted)	\$232,865	\$234,591	\$225,179	\$235,654	\$373,505

(d) Per share data is based on average shares outstanding.

(f) Ratios do not reflect reductions from fees paid indirectly, if applicable.

(j) Total return at net asset value is calculated using the net asset value of the fund, not the publicly traded price and therefore may be different than the total return at market value.

(r) Certain expenses have been reduced without which performance would have been lower.

(s) From time to time the fund may receive proceeds from litigation settlements, without which performance would be lower.

See Notes to Financial Statements

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(1) Business and Organization

MFS Government Markets Income Trust (the fund) is organized as a Massachusetts business trust and is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, as a closed-end management investment company.

(2) Significant Accounting Policies

General The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. In the preparation of these financial statements, management has evaluated subsequent events occurring after the date of the fund s Statement of Assets and Liabilities through the date that the financial statements were issued. The fund invests a significant portion of its assets in asset-backed and/or mortgage-backed securities. The value of these securities may depend, in part, on the issuer s or borrower s credit quality or ability to pay principal and interest when due and may fall if an issuer or borrower defaults on its obligation to pay principal or interest or if the instrument s credit rating is downgraded by a credit rating agency. U.S. Government securities not supported as to the payment of principal or interest by the U.S. Treasury, such as those issued by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and the Federal Home Loan Banks, are subject to greater credit risk than are U.S. Government securities supported by the U.S. Treasury, such as those issued by Ginnie Mae. The fund invests in foreign securities, including securities of emerging market issuers. Investments in foreign securities are vulnerable to the effects of changes in the relative values of the local currency and the U.S. dollar and to the effects of changes in each country s legal, political, and economic environment. The markets of emerging markets countries are generally more volatile than the markets of developed countries with more mature economies. All of the risks of investing in foreign securities previously described are heightened when investing in emerging markets countries.

Investment Valuations Debt instruments and floating rate loans (other than short-term instruments), including restricted debt instruments, are generally valued at an evaluated or composite bid as provided by a third-party pricing service. Short-term instruments with a maturity at issuance of 60 days or less generally are valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value. Futures contracts are generally valued at last posted settlement price as provided by a third-party pricing service on the market on which they are

Notes to Financial Statements continued

primarily traded. Futures contracts for which there were no trades that day for a particular position are generally valued at the closing bid quotation as provided by a third-party pricing service on the market on which such futures contracts are primarily traded. Open-end investment companies are generally valued at net asset value per share. Securities and other assets generally valued on the basis of information from a third-party pricing service may also be valued at a broker/dealer bid quotation. Values obtained from third-party pricing services can utilize both transaction data and market information such as yield, quality, coupon rate, maturity, type of issue, trading characteristics, and other market data. The values of foreign securities and other assets and liabilities expressed in foreign currencies are converted to U.S. dollars using the mean of bid and asked prices for rates provided by a third-party pricing service.

The Board of Trustees has delegated primary responsibility for determining or causing to be determined the value of the fund s investments (including any fair valuation) to the adviser pursuant to valuation policies and procedures approved by the Board. If the adviser determines that reliable market quotations are not readily available, investments are valued at fair value as determined in good faith by the adviser in accordance with such procedures under the oversight of the Board of Trustees. Under the fund s valuation policies and procedures, market quotations are not considered to be readily available for most types of debt instruments and floating rate loans and many types of derivatives. These investments are generally valued at fair value based on information from third-party pricing services. In addition, investments may be valued at fair value if the adviser determines that an investment s value has been materially affected by events occurring after the close of the exchange or market on which the investment is principally traded (such as foreign exchange or market) and prior to the determination of the fund s net asset value, or after the halting of trading of a specific security where trading does not resume prior to the close of the exchange or market on which the security is principally traded. The adviser generally relies on third-party pricing services or other information (such as the correlation with price movements of similar securities in the same or other markets; the type, cost and investment characteristics of the security; the business and financial condition of the issuer; and trading and other market data) to assist in determining whether to fair value and at what value to fair value an investment. The value of an investment for purposes of calculating the fund s net asset value can differ depending on the source and method used to determine value. When fair valuation is used, the value of an investment used to determine the fund s net asset value may differ from quoted or published prices for the same investment. There can be no assurance that the fund could obtain the fair value assigned to an investment if it were to sell the investment at the same time at which the fund determines its net asset value per share.

Notes to Financial Statements continued

Various inputs are used in determining the value of the fund s assets or liabilities. These inputs are categorized into three broad levels. In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, an investment s level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The fund s assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the investment. Level 1 includes unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 includes other significant observable market-based inputs (including quoted prices for similar securities, interest rates, prepayment speed, and credit risk). Level 3 includes unobservable inputs, which may include the adviser s own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments. Other financial instruments are derivative instruments not reflected in total investments, such as futures, forwards, swap contracts, and written options. The following is a summary of the levels used as of November 30, 2010 in valuing the fund s assets or liabilities:

Investments at Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
U.S. Treasury Bonds & U.S. Government Agency & Equivalents	\$	\$79,113,003	\$	\$79,113,003
Non-U.S. Sovereign Debt		8,229,687		8,229,687
Municipal Bonds		2,452,888		2,452,888
Corporate Bonds		17,557,951		17,557,951
Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities		102,237,324		102,237,324
Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities		10,222,947		10,222,947
Foreign Bonds		6,955,037		6,955,037
Mutual Funds	4,478,377			4,478,377
Total Investments	\$4,478,377	\$226,768,837	\$	\$231,247,214
Other Financial Instruments				
Futures	\$21,400	\$	\$	\$21,400
East further information recording accurity characteristics, see the	Doutfolio of Investme	anto		

For further information regarding security characteristics, see the Portfolio of Investments.

Inflation-Adjusted Debt Securities The fund invests in inflation-adjusted debt securities issued by the U.S. Treasury. The fund may also invest in inflation-adjusted debt securities issued by U.S. Government agencies and instrumentalities other than the U.S. Treasury and by other entities such as U.S. and foreign corporations and foreign governments. The principal value of these debt securities is adjusted through income according to changes in the Consumer Price Index or another general price or wage index. These debt securities typically pay a fixed rate of interest, but this fixed rate is applied to the inflation-adjusted principal amount. The principal paid at maturity of the

Notes to Financial Statements continued

debt security is typically equal to the inflation-adjusted principal amount, or the security s original par value, whichever is greater. Other types of inflation-adjusted securities may use other methods to adjust for other measures of inflation.

Foreign Currency Translation Purchases and sales of foreign investments, income, and expenses are converted into U.S. dollars based upon currency exchange rates prevailing on the respective dates of such transactions or on the reporting date for foreign denominated receivables and payables. Gains and losses attributable to foreign currency exchange rates on sales of securities are recorded for financial statement purposes as net realized gains and losses on investments. Gains and losses attributable to foreign currency transaction gains and losses. That portion of both realized and unrealized gains and losses on investments that results from fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates is not separately disclosed.

Derivatives The fund may use derivatives for different purposes, including to earn income and enhance returns, to increase or decrease exposure to a particular market, to manage or adjust the risk profile of the fund, or as alternatives to direct investments. Derivatives may be used for hedging or non-hedging purposes. While hedging can reduce or eliminate losses, it can also reduce or eliminate gains. When the fund uses derivatives as an investment to increase market exposure, or for hedging purposes, gains and losses from derivative instruments may be substantially greater than the derivative s original cost.

Derivative instruments include written options, purchased options, futures contracts, forward foreign currency exchange contracts, and swap agreements. The fund s period end derivatives, as presented in the Portfolio of Investments and the associated Derivative Contract Tables, generally are indicative of the volume of its derivative activity during the period.

The following table presents, by major type of derivative contract, the fair value, on a gross basis, of the asset and liability components of derivatives held by the fund at November 30, 2010 as reported in the Statement of Assets and Liabilities:

		Fair Value (a)	
Risk	Derivative	Asset Derivatives	Liability Derivatives
Interest Rate Contracts	Interest Rate Futures	\$38,017	\$(16,617)

(a) The value of futures contracts outstanding includes cumulative appreciation (depreciation) as reported in the fund s Portfolio of Investments. Only the current day variation margin for futures contracts is separately reported within the fund s Statement of Assets and Liabilities.

Notes to Financial Statements continued

The following table presents, by major type of derivative contract, the realized gain (loss) on derivatives held by the fund for the year ended November 30, 2010 as reported in the Statement of Operations:

Risk	Futures Contracts
Interest Rate Contracts	\$(2,154,227)
The following table presents, by major type of derivative contract, the change in unrealized appreciation (c	lepreciation) on derivatives held by
the fund for the year ended November 30, 2010 as reported in the Statement of Operations:	

Risk

Interest Rate Contracts

Futures Contracts \$362,554

Derivative counterparty credit risk is managed through formal evaluation of the creditworthiness of all potential counterparties. On certain over-the-counter derivatives, the fund attempts to reduce its exposure to counterparty credit risk whenever possible by entering into an International Swaps and Derivatives Association (ISDA) Master Agreement on a bilateral basis with each of the counterparties with whom it undertakes a significant volume of transactions. The ISDA Master Agreement gives each party to the agreement the right to terminate all transactions traded under such agreement if there is a certain deterioration in the credit quality of the other party. The ISDA Master Agreement gives the fund the right, upon an event of default by the applicable counterparty or a termination of the agreement, to close out all transactions traded under such agreement and to net amounts owed under each transaction to one net amount payable by one party to the other. This right to close out and net payments across all transactions traded under the ISDA Master Agreement could result in a reduction of the fund s credit risk to such counterparty equal to any amounts payable by the fund under the applicable transactions, if any. However, absent an event of default by the counterparty or a termination of the agreement, the ISDA Master Agreement does not result in an offset of reported amounts of assets and liabilities in the Statement of Assets and Liabilities across transactions between the fund and the applicable counterparty.

Collateral requirements differ by type of derivative. Collateral or margin requirements are set by the broker or exchange clearing house for exchange traded derivatives (i.e., futures and exchange-traded options) while collateral terms are contract specific for over-the-counter traded derivatives (i.e., forwards, swaps and over-the-counter options). For derivatives traded under an ISDA Master Agreement, the collateral requirements are netted across all transactions traded under such agreement and one amount is posted from one party to the other to collateralize such obligations. Cash collateral that has been pledged to cover obligations of the fund under derivative contracts, if any, will

Notes to Financial Statements continued

be reported separately on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities as restricted cash. Securities collateral pledged for the same purpose, if any, is noted in the Portfolio of Investments.

Futures Contracts The fund entered into futures contracts which may be used to gain or to hedge against broad market, interest rate or currency exposure. A futures contract represents a commitment for the future purchase or sale of an asset at a specified price on a specified date.

Upon entering into a futures contract, the fund is required to deposit with the broker, either in cash or securities, an initial margin in an amount equal to a certain percentage of the notional amount of the contract. Subsequent payments (variation margin) are made or received by the fund each day, depending on the daily fluctuations in the value of the contract, and are recorded for financial statement purposes as unrealized gain or loss by the fund until the contract is closed or expires at which point the gain or loss on futures is realized.

The fund bears the risk of interest rates, exchange rates or securities prices moving unexpectedly, in which case, the fund may not achieve the anticipated benefits of the futures contracts and may realize a loss. While futures may present less counterparty risk to the fund since the contracts are exchange traded and the exchange s clearinghouse guarantees payments to the broker, there is still counterparty credit risk due to the insolvency of the broker. The fund s maximum risk of loss due to counterparty credit risk is equal to the margin posted by the fund to the broker plus any gains or minus any losses on the outstanding futures contracts.

Indemnifications Under the fund's organizational documents, its officers and Trustees may be indemnified against certain liabilities and expenses arising out of the performance of their duties to the fund. Additionally, in the normal course of business, the fund enters into agreements with service providers that may contain indemnification clauses. The fund's maximum exposure under these agreements is unknown as this would involve future claims that may be made against the fund that have not yet occurred.

Investment Transactions and Income Investment transactions are recorded on the trade date. Interest income is recorded on the accrual basis. All premium and discount is amortized or accreted for financial statement purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Inflation-indexed bonds are fixed-income securities whose principal value is periodically adjusted upward or downward to the rate of inflation. Interest is accrued based on the principal value, which is adjusted for inflation. Any increase or decrease in the principal amount of an inflation-indexed bond is generally recorded as an increase or decrease in interest income, respectively,

Notes to Financial Statements continued

even though the adjusted principal is not received until maturity. Dividends received in cash are recorded on the ex-dividend date. Dividend and interest payments received in additional securities are recorded on the ex-dividend or ex-interest date in an amount equal to the value of the security on such date.

The fund may receive proceeds from litigation settlements. Any proceeds received from litigation involving portfolio holdings are reflected in the Statement of Operations in realized gain/loss if the security has been disposed of by the fund or in unrealized gain/loss if the security is still held by the fund. Any other proceeds from litigation not related to portfolio holdings are reflected as other income in the Statement of Operations.

The fund entered into TBA (to be announced) purchase commitments to purchase securities for a fixed unit price at a future date. Although the unit price has been established, the principal value has not been finalized. However, the principal amount of the commitments will not fluctuate more than 0.01%. The fund holds, and maintains until settlement date, cash or high-grade debt obligations in an amount sufficient to meet the purchase price, or the fund may enter into offsetting contracts for the forward sale of other securities it owns. Income on the securities will not be earned until settlement date. TBA purchase commitments may be considered securities in themselves, and involve a risk of loss if the value of the security to be purchased declines prior to settlement date, which is in addition to the risk of decline in the value of the fund s other assets. Unsettled TBA purchase commitments are valued at the current market value of the underlying securities.

Fees Paid Indirectly The fund s custody fee may be reduced according to an arrangement that measures the value of cash deposited with the custodian by the fund. This amount, for the year ended November 30, 2010, is shown as a reduction of total expenses on the Statement of Operations.

Tax Matters and Distributions The fund intends to qualify as a regulated investment company, as defined under Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code, and to distribute all of its taxable income, including realized capital gains. As a result, no provision for federal income tax is required. The fund s federal tax returns for the prior three fiscal years remain subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service. Foreign taxes, if any, have been accrued by the fund in the accompanying financial statements.

Distributions to shareholders are recorded on the ex-dividend date. The fund seeks to pay monthly distributions based on an annual rate of 7.25% of the fund s average monthly net asset value. As a result, distributions may exceed actual earnings which may result in a tax return of capital or, to the extent the fund has long-term gains, distributions of current year long-term gains may be recharacterized as ordinary income. Income and capital gain distributions are

Notes to Financial Statements continued

determined in accordance with income tax regulations, which may differ from U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Certain capital accounts in the financial statements are periodically adjusted for permanent differences in order to reflect their tax character. These adjustments have no impact on net assets or net asset value per share. Temporary differences which arise from recognizing certain items of income, expense, gain or loss in different periods for financial statement and tax purposes will reverse at some time in the future. Distributions from other sources, in excess of net investment income or net realized gains are temporary overdistributions for financial statement purposes resulting from differences in the recognition or classification of income or distributions for financial statement and tax purposes.

Book/tax differences primarily relate to amortization and accretion of debt securities and straddle loss deferrals.

The tax character of distributions declared to shareholders for the last two fiscal years is as follows:

	11/30/10	11/30/09
Ordinary income (including any short-term capital gains)	\$10,510,222	\$11,015,463
Tax return of capital(b)	6,420,638	5,603,818
Total distributions	\$16,930,860	\$16,619,281

(b) Distributions in excess of tax basis earnings and profits are reported in the financial statements as a tax return of capital. The federal tax cost and the tax basis components of distributable earnings were as follows:

As of 11/30/10	
Cost of investments	\$215,902,095
Gross appreciation	16,467,502
Gross depreciation	(1,122,383)
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	\$15,345,119
Capital loss carryforwards	(11,708,633)
Other temporary differences	(1,424,342)

As of November 30, 2010, the fund had capital loss carryforwards available to offset future realized gains. Such losses expire as follows:

11/30/12	\$(3,637,025)
11/30/14	(1,612,467)
11/30/15	(5,364,143)
11/30/16	(766,085)
11/20/17	(100.7(4)
11/30/17	(129,764)
11/30/18	(199,149)
11/50/10	
Total	\$(11,708,633)

Notes to Financial Statements continued

(3) Transactions with Affiliates

Investment Adviser The fund has an investment advisory agreement with MFS to provide overall investment management and related administrative services and facilities to the fund. The management fee is computed daily and paid monthly at an annual rate of 0.32% of the fund s average daily net assets and 5.33% of gross income. Gross income is calculated based on tax elections that generally include the accretion of discount and exclude the amortization of premium, which may differ from investment income reported in the Statement of Operations. MFS has agreed to reduce its management fee to the lesser of the contractual management fee as set forth above or 0.85% of the average daily net assets. This written agreement will continue until modified by the fund s Board of Trustees, but such agreement will continue at least until November 30, 2011. The management fee, from net assets and gross income, incurred for the year ended November 30, 2010 was equivalent to an annual effective rate of 0.60% of the fund s average daily net assets.

The investment adviser has agreed in writing to pay a portion of the fund s total annual operating expenses, exclusive of interest, taxes, extraordinary expenses, brokerage and transaction costs and investment-related expenses, such that operating expenses do not exceed 0.80% annually of the fund s average daily net assets. This written agreement will continue until modified by the fund s Board of Trustees, but such agreement will continue at least until November 30, 2011. For the year ended November 30, 2010, this reduction amounted to \$68,437 and is reflected as a reduction of total expenses in the Statement of Operations.

Transfer Agent The fund engages Computershare Trust Company, N.A. (Computershare) as the sole transfer agent for the fund. MFS Service Center, Inc. (MFSC) monitors and supervises the activities of Computershare for an agreed upon fee approved by the Board of Trustees. For the year ended November 30, 2010, these fees paid to MFSC amounted to \$36,752.

Administrator MFS provides certain financial, legal, shareholder communications, compliance, and other administrative services to the fund. Under an administrative services agreement, the fund partially reimburses MFS the costs incurred to provide these services. The fund is charged an annual fixed amount of \$17,500 plus a fee based on average daily net assets. The administrative services fee incurred for the year ended November 30, 2010 was equivalent to an annual effective rate of 0.0182% of the fund s average daily net assets.

Trustees and Officers Compensation The fund pays compensation to independent Trustees in the form of a retainer, attendance fees, and additional compensation to Board and Committee chairpersons. The fund does not pay compensation directly to Trustees or to officers of the fund who are also

Notes to Financial Statements continued

officers of the investment adviser, all of whom receive remuneration for their services to the fund from MFS. Certain officers and Trustees of the fund are officers or directors of MFS and MFSC.

Prior to December 31, 2001, the fund had an unfunded defined benefit plan (DB plan) for independent Trustees. As of December 31, 2001, the Board took action to terminate the DB plan with respect to then-current and any future independent Trustees, such that the DB Plan covers only certain of those former independent Trustees who retired on or before December 31, 2001. Effective January 1, 2002, accrued benefits under the DB Plan for then-current independent Trustees who continued were credited to an unfunded retirement deferral plan (the Retirement Deferral plan), which was established for and exists solely with respect to these credited amounts, and is not available for other deferrals by these or other independent Trustees. Although the Retirement Deferral plan is unfunded, amounts deferred under the plan are periodically adjusted for investment experience as if they had been invested in shares of the fund. The DB Plan resulted in a pension expense of \$7,460 and the Retirement Deferral plan resulted in an expense of \$17,228. Both amounts are included in independent Trustees under both plans amounted to \$153,391 at November 30, 2010, and is included in payable for independent Trustees compensation on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities.

Deferred Trustee Compensation Under a Deferred Compensation Plan (the Plan), independent Trustees previously were allowed to elect to defer receipt of all or a portion of their annual compensation. Effective January 1, 2005, the Board elected to no longer allow Trustees to defer receipt of future compensation under the Plan. Amounts deferred under the Plan are invested in shares of certain MFS Funds selected by the independent Trustees as notional investments. Deferred amounts represent an unsecured obligation of the fund until distributed in accordance with the Plan. Included in other assets and payable for independent Trustees compensation on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities is \$8,485 of deferred Trustees compensation. There is no current year expense associated with the Plan.

Other This fund and certain other funds managed by MFS (the funds) have entered into services agreements (the Agreements) which provide for payment of fees by the funds to Tarantino LLC and Griffin Compliance LLC in return for the provision of services of an Independent Chief Compliance Officer (ICCO) and Assistant ICCO, respectively, for the funds. The ICCO and Assistant ICCO are officers of the funds and the sole members of Tarantino LLC and Griffin Compliance LLC, respectively. The funds can terminate the Agreements with Tarantino LLC and Griffin Compliance LLC at any time under the terms of the

Notes to Financial Statements continued

Agreements. For the year ended November 30, 2010, the aggregate fees paid by the fund to Tarantino LLC and Griffin Compliance LLC were \$2,347 and are included in miscellaneous expense on the Statement of Operations. MFS has agreed to reimburse the fund for a portion of the payments made by the fund in the amount of \$1,152, which is shown as a reduction of total expenses in the Statement of Operations. Additionally, MFS has agreed to bear all expenses associated with office space, other administrative support, and supplies provided to the ICCO and Assistant ICCO.

The fund invests in the MFS Institutional Money Market Portfolio which is managed by MFS and seeks a high level of current income consistent with preservation of capital and liquidity. Income earned on this investment is included in dividends from underlying funds on the Statement of Operations. This money market fund does not pay a management fee to MFS.

(4) Portfolio Securities

Purchases and sales of investments, other than purchased option transactions and short-term obligations, were as follows:

	Purchases	Sales
U.S. Government securities	\$41,587,207	\$31,321,874
Investments (non-U.S. Government securities)	\$16,601,187	\$26,393,062

(5) Shares of Beneficial Interest

The fund s Declaration of Trust permits the Trustees to issue an unlimited number of full and fractional shares of beneficial interest. The Trustees have authorized the repurchase by the fund of up to 10% annually of its own shares of beneficial interest. During the years ended November 30, 2010 and November 30, 2009, the fund did not repurchase any shares. Transactions in fund shares were as follows:

	Year ended		Year ended	
	11/3	30/10	11/3	30/09
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount
Shares issued to shareholders in				
reinvestment of distributions	196,107	\$1,422,740	149,930	\$1,082,350

(6) Line of Credit

The fund and certain other funds managed by MFS participate in a \$1.1 billion unsecured committed line of credit, subject to a \$1 billion sublimit, provided by a syndication of banks under a credit agreement. Borrowings may be made for temporary financing needs. Interest is charged to each fund, based on its borrowings, generally at a rate equal to the higher of the Federal Reserve funds rate or one month LIBOR plus an agreed upon spread. A commitment fee, based on the average daily, unused portion of the committed line of credit, is allocated among the participating funds at the end of each calendar quarter. In addition, the fund and other funds managed by MFS have established

Notes to Financial Statements continued

unsecured uncommitted borrowing arrangements with certain banks for temporary financing needs. Interest is charged to each fund, based on its borrowings, at a rate equal to the Federal Reserve funds rate plus an agreed upon spread. For the year ended November 30, 2010, the fund s commitment fee and interest expense were \$2,730 and \$0, respectively, and are included in miscellaneous expense on the Statement of Operations.

(7) Transactions in Underlying Funds-Affiliated Issuers

An affiliated issuer may be considered one in which the fund owns 5% or more of the outstanding voting securities, or a company which is under common control. For the purposes of this report, the fund assumes the following to be affiliated issuers:

Underlying Funds	Beginning Shares/Par Amount	Acquisitions Shares/Par Amount	Dispositions Shares/Par Amount	Ending Shares/Par Amount
MFS Institutional Money				
Market Portfolio	11,833,578	59,141,630	(66,496,831)	4,478,377
	Realized	Capital Gain	Dividend	Ending
Underlying Funds	Gain (Loss)	Distributions	Income	Value
MFS Institutional Money				
Market Portfolio	\$	\$	\$12,682	\$4,478,377

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Trustees and the Shareholders of MFS Government Markets Income Trust:

We have audited the accompanying statement of assets and liabilities, including the portfolio of investments, of MFS Government Markets Income Trust (the Fund) as of November 30, 2010, and the related statement of operations for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Fund s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. The Fund is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of November 30, 2010, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers; where replies were not received from brokers, we performed other auditing procedures. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of MFS Government Markets Income Trust as of November 30, 2010, the results of its operations for the year then ended, the changes in its net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Boston, Massachusetts

January 14, 2011

RESULTS OF SHAREHOLDER MEETING

(unaudited)

At the annual meeting of shareholders of MFS Governments Markets Income Trust, which was held on October 7, 2010, the following actions were taken:

Item 1. To elect the following individuals as Trustees:

	Number of Shares			
Nominee	For	Withheld Authority		
Maureen R. Goldfarb	27,983,270.473	654,662.662		
Robert J. Manning	27,920,918.980	717,014.155		
Laurie J. Thomsen	27,992,526.823	645,406.312		
Edulie 5. Thomsen	21,772,520.025	0		

TRUSTEES AND OFFICERS

IDENTIFICATION AND BACKGROUND

The Trustees and officers of the Trust, as of January 1, 2011, are listed below, together with their principal occupations during the past five years. (Their titles may have varied during that period.) The address of each Trustee and officer is 500 Boylston Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02116.

	Position(s) Held	Trustee/Officer	Term	the Past Five Years & Other
Name, Date of Birth INTERESTED TRUSTEES	with Fund	Since (h)	Expiring	Directorships (j)
Robert J. Manning (k)	Trustee	February 2004	2013	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and Director;
(born 10/20/63)				President (until December 2009); Chief Investment Officer (until July 2010)
Robert C. Pozen (k)	Trustee	February 2004	2012	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Chairman Emeritus; Chairman (until July 2010);
(born 8/08/46)				Medtronic, Inc, (medical devices), Director (since 2004); Harvard Business School (education), Senior Lecturer (since 2008); Telesat (satellite communications), Director (until November 2007); Bell Canada Enterprises (telecommunications), Director (until February 2009)
INDEPENDENT TRUSTEES	Turreto o un d Chasin af	J	2012	Defined, Classical Cliffe Inc. (mining and hortz and
David H. Gunning	Trustee and Chair of Trustees	January 2004	2012	Retired; Cleveland-Cliffs Inc. (mining products and service provider), Vice Chairman/Director (until May
(born 5/30/42)				2007); Lincoln Electric Holdings, Inc. (welding equipment manufacturer), Director; Development Alternatives, Inc. (consulting), Portman Limited (mining), Director (until 2008)
Robert E. Butler	Trustee	January 2006	2012	Consultant investment company industry regulatory and compliance matters; PricewaterhouseCoopers
(born 11/29/41)				LLP (professional services firm), Partner (until 2002)

38

Principal Occupations During

Trustees and Officers continued

Principal Occupations During

	Position(s) Held	Trustee/Officer	Term	the Past Five Years & Other
Name, Date of Birth Maureen R. Goldfarb (born 4/6/55)	with Fund Trustee	Since (h) January 2009	Expiring 2013	Directorships (j) Private investor; John Hancock Financial Services, Inc., Executive Vice President (until 2004); John Hancock Mutual Funds, Trustee and Chief Executive
(born 9/27/41)	Trustee	December 1993	2011	Officer (until 2004) Private investor and real estate consultant ; Capital Entertainment Management Company (video franchise), Vice Chairman; Texas Donuts (donut franchise), Vice Chairman (since 2007); Atlantic Coast Tan (tanning salons), Vice Chairman (until
Michael Hegarty (born 12/21/44)	Trustee	December 2004	2011	2007) Private Investor; AXA Financial (financial services and insurance), Vice Chairman and Chief Operating Officer (until 2001); The Equitable Life Assurance Society (insurance), President and Chief Operating
John P. Kavanaugh (born 11/4/54)	Trustee	January 2009	2011	Officer (until 2001) Private investor; The Hanover Insurance Group, Inc., Vice President and Chief Investment Officer (until 2006); Allmerica Investment Trust, Allmerica Securities Trust and Opus Investment Trust (investment companies), Chairman, President and
J. Dale Sherratt (born 9/23/38)	Trustee	June 1989	2012	Trustee (until 2006) Insight Resources, Inc. (acquisition planning specialists), President; Wellfleet Investments (investor in health care companies), Managing General Partner
Laurie J. Thomsen (born 8/05/57)	Trustee	March 2005	2013	Private investor; The Travelers Companies (property and casualty insurance), Director; New Profit, Inc. (venture philanthropy), Executive Partner (until 2010)

Trustees and Officers continued

Principal Occupations During

	Position(s) Held	Trustee/Officer	Term	the Past Five Years & Other
Name, Date of Birth Robert W. Uek (born 5/18/41)	with Fund Trustee	Since (h) January 2006	Expiring 2011	Directorships (j) Consultant to investment company industry; PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (professional services firm), Partner (until 1999); TT International Funds
OFFICERS				(mutual fund complex), Trustee (until 2005); Hillview Investment Trust II Funds (mutual fund complex), Trustee (until 2005)
Maria F. DiOrioDwyer (k) (born 12/01/58)	President	March 2004	N/A	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Executive Vice President and Chief Regulatory Officer (since March 2004) Chief Compliance
Christopher R. Bohane (k)	Assistant Secretary and Assistant Clerk	July 2005	N/A	Officer (since December 2006) Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Vice President and Senior Counsel
(born 1/18/74) John M. Corcoran (k)	Treasurer	October 2008	N/A	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Senior Vice President (since October 2008); State Street Bank and Trust (financial services provider), Senior
(born 4/13/65) Ethan D. Corey (k)	Assistant Secretary and Assistant Clerk	July 2005	N/A	Vice President and Associate General Coursel
(born 11/21/63) David L. DiLorenzo (k)	Assistant Treasurer	July 2005	N/A	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Vice
(born 8/10/68) Timothy M. Fagan (k)	Assistant Secretary	September 2005	N/A	President Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Vice
(born 7/10/68) Mark D. Fischer (k)	and Assistant Clerk Assistant Treasurer	July 2005	N/A	President and Senior Counsel Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Vice
		,		President

(born 10/27/70)

Trustees and Officers continued

Principal Occupations During

	Position(s) Held	Trustee/Officer	Term	the Past Five Years & Other
Name, Date of Birth Robyn L. Griffin (born 7/04/75)	with Fund Assistant Independent Chief Compliance Officer	Since (h) August 2008	Expiring N/A	Directorships (j) Griffin Compliance LLC (provider of compliance services), Principal (since August 2008); State Street Corporation (financial services provider), Mutual Fund Administration Assistant Vice President (October 2006 July 2008); Liberty Mutual Group (insurance), Personal Market Assistant Controller (April 2006 October 2006); Deloitte & Touche LLP (professional services firm), Senior Manager (prior to April 2006)
Brian E. Langenfeld (k) (born 3/07/73)	Assistant Secretary and Assistant Clerk	June 2006	N/A	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Vice President and Senior Counsel (since May 2006); John Hancock Advisers, LLC, Assistant Vice President
Ellen Moynihan (k)	Assistant Treasurer	April 1997	N/A	and Counsel (until April 2006) Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Senior Vice President
(born 11/13/57) Susan S. Newton (k)	Assistant Secretary and Assistant Clerk	May 2005	N/A	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Senior Vice President and Associate General Counsel
(born 3/07/50) Susan A. Pereira (k)	Assistant Secretary and Assistant Clerk	July 2005	N/A	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Vice President and Senior Counsel
(born 11/05/70) Mark N. Polebaum (k)	Secretary and Clerk	January 2006	N/A	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Executive Vice President, General Counsel and
(born 5/01/52)				Secretary (since January 2006); Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP (law firm), Partner (until January 2006)
Frank L. Tarantino	Independent Chief Compliance Officer	June 2004	N/A	Tarantino LLC (provider of compliance services), Principal
(born 3/07/44) Richard S. Weitzel (k)	Assistant Secretary and Assistant Clerk	October 2007	N/A	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Vice President and Assistant General Counsel

(born 7/16/70)

Trustees and Officers continued

				Principal Occupations During
	Position(s) Held	Trustee/Officer	Term	the Past Five Years & Other
Name, Date of Birth James O. Yost (k)	with Fund Assistant Treasurer	Since (h) September 1990	Expiring N/A	Directorships (j) Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Senior Vice President

(born 6/12/60)

(h) Date first appointed to serve as Trustee/officer of an MFS fund. Each Trustee has served continuously since appointment unless indicated otherwise. For the period from December 15, 2004 until February 22, 2005, Messrs. Pozen and Manning served as Advisory Trustees. For the period March 2008 until October 2008, Ms. DiOrioDwyer served as Treasurer of the Funds.

(j) Directorships or trusteeships of companies required to report to the Securities and Exchange Commission (i.e., public companies).

(k) Interested person of the Trust within the meaning of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (referred to as the 1940 Act), which is the principal federal law governing investment companies like the fund, as a result of position with MFS. The address of MFS is 500 Boylston Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02116. The Trust holds annual shareholder meetings for the purpose of electing Trustees, and Trustees are elected for fixed terms. The Board of Trustees is currently divided into three classes, each having a term of three years which term expires on the date of the third annual meeting following the election to office of the Trustee s class. Each year the term of one class expires. Each Trustee and officer will serve until next elected or his or her earlier death, resignation, retirement or removal.

Messrs. Butler, Kavanaugh, and Uek and Ms. Thomsen are members of the Fund s Audit Committee.

Each of the Fund s Trustees and officers holds comparable positions with certain other funds of which MFS or a subsidiary is the investment adviser or distributor, and, in the case of the officers, with certain affiliates of MFS. As of January 1, 2011, the Trustees served as board members of 99 funds within the MFS Family of Funds.

The Statement of Additional Information for the Fund includes further information about the Trustees and is available without charge upon request by calling 1-800-225-2606.

Investment Adviser Massachusetts Financial Services Company 500 Boylston Street, Boston, MA 02116-3741 Portfolio Manager Geoffrey Schechter Custodian State Street Bank and Trust 1 Lincoln Street, Boston, MA 02111-2900 Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Deloitte & Touche LLP 200 Berkeley Street, Boston, MA 02116

42

BOARD REVIEW OF INVESTMENT ADVISORY AGREEMENT

The Investment Company Act of 1940 requires that both the full Board of Trustees and a majority of the non-interested (independent) Trustees, voting separately, annually approve the continuation of the Fund s investment advisory agreement with MFS. The Trustees consider matters bearing on the Fund and its advisory arrangements at their meetings throughout the year, including a review of performance data at each regular meeting. In addition, the independent Trustees met several times over the course of three months beginning in May and ending in July, 2010 (contract review meetings) for the specific purpose of considering whether to approve the continuation of the investment advisory agreement for the Fund and the other investment companies that the Board oversees (the MFS Funds). The independent Trustees were assisted in their evaluation of the Fund s investment advisory agreement by independent legal counsel, from whom they received separate legal advice and with whom they met separately from MFS during various contract review meetings. The independent Trustees were also assisted in this process by the MFS Funds Independent Chief Compliance Officer, a full-time senior officer appointed by and reporting to the independent Trustees.

In connection with their deliberations regarding the continuation of the investment advisory agreement, the Trustees, including the independent Trustees, considered such information and factors as they believed, in light of the legal advice furnished to them and their own business judgment, to be relevant. The investment advisory agreement for the Fund was considered separately, although the Trustees also took into account the common interests of all MFS Funds in their review. As described below, the Trustees considered the nature, quality, and extent of the various investment advisory, administrative, and shareholder services performed by MFS under the existing investment advisory agreement and other arrangements with the Fund.

In connection with their contract review meetings, the Trustees received and relied upon materials that included, among other items: (i) information provided by Lipper Inc., an independent third party, on the investment performance (based on net asset value) of the Fund for various time periods ended December 31, 2009 and the investment performance (based on net asset value) of a group of funds with substantially similar investment classifications/objectives (the Lipper performance universe), (ii) information provided by Lipper Inc. on the Fund s advisory fees and other expenses and the advisory fees of comparable funds identified by Lipper Inc. (the Lipper expense group), (iii) information provided by MFS on the advisory fees of comparable portfolios of other clients of MFS, including institutional separate accounts and other clients, (iv) information as to whether and to what

Board Review of Investment Advisory Agreement continued

extent applicable expense waivers, reimbursements or fee breakpoints are observed for the Fund, (v) information regarding MFS financial results and financial condition, including MFS and certain of its affiliates estimated profitability from services performed for the Fund and the MFS Funds as a whole, and compared to MFS institutional business, (vi) MFS views regarding the outlook for the mutual fund industry and the strategic business plans of MFS, (vii) descriptions of various functions performed by MFS for the Funds, such as compliance monitoring and portfolio trading practices, and (viii) information regarding the overall organization of MFS, including information about MFS senior management and other personnel providing investment advisory, administrative and other services to the Fund and the other MFS Funds. The comparative performance, fee and expense information prepared and provided by Lipper Inc. was not independently verified and the independent Trustees did not independently verify any information provided to them by MFS.

The Trustees conclusion as to the continuation of the investment advisory agreement was based on a comprehensive consideration of all information provided to the Trustees and not the result of any single factor. Some of the factors that figured particularly in the Trustees deliberations are described below, although individual Trustees may have evaluated the information presented differently from one another, giving different weights to various factors. It is also important to recognize that the fee arrangements for the Fund and other MFS Funds are the result of years of review and discussion between the independent Trustees and MFS, that certain aspects of such arrangements may receive greater scrutiny in some years than in others, and that the Trustees conclusions may be based, in part, on their consideration of these same arrangements during the course of the year and in prior years.

Based on information provided by Lipper Inc., the Trustees reviewed the Fund s total return investment performance as well as the performance of peer groups of funds over various time periods. The Trustees placed particular emphasis on the total return performance of the Fund s common shares in comparison to the performance of funds in its Lipper performance universe over the three-year period ended December 31, 2009, which the Trustees believed was a long enough period to reflect differing market conditions. The total return performance of the Fund s common shares ranked 2nd out of a total of 11 funds in the Lipper performance universe for this three-year period (a ranking of first place out of the total number of funds in the performance universe indicating the best performer and a ranking of last place out of the total number of funds in the performance universe indicating the total return performance of the Fund s common shares ranked 11th out of a total of 11 funds in the performance.

44

Board Review of Investment Advisory Agreement continued

10 funds for the five-year period ended December 31, 2009. Given the size of the Lipper performance universe and information previously provided by MFS regarding differences between the Fund and other funds in its Lipper performance universe, the Trustees also reviewed the Fund s performance in comparison to the Barclays Capital U.S. Government/Mortgage Bond Index. The Fund out-performed the Barclays Capital U.S. Government/Mortgage Bond Index each of the one- and three-year periods ended December 31, 2009 (one-year: 7.5% total return for the Fund versus 2.0% total return for the benchmark; three-year: 6.7% total return for the Fund versus 6.6% total return for the benchmark) and under-performed the Index for the five-year period ended December 31, 2009 (five-year: 5.2% total return for the Fund versus 5.3% total return for the benchmark). Because of the passage of time, these performance results are likely to differ from the performance results for more recent periods, including those shown elsewhere in this report.

In the course of their deliberations, the Trustees took into account information provided by MFS in connection with the contract review meetings, as well as during investment review meetings conducted with portfolio management personnel during the course of the year regarding the Fund s performance. After reviewing these and related factors, the Trustees concluded, within the context of their overall conclusions regarding the investment advisory agreement, that they were satisfied with MFS responses and efforts relating to investment performance.

In assessing the reasonableness of the Fund s advisory fee, the Trustees considered, among other information, the Fund s advisory fee and the total expense ratio of the Fund s common shares as a percentage of average daily net assets and the advisory fee and total expense ratios of peer groups of funds based on information provided by Lipper Inc. The Trustees considered that MFS has agreed in writing to reduce its advisory fee, and that MFS currently observes an expense limitation for the Fund, each of which may not be changed without the Trustees approval. The Trustees also considered that, according to the Lipper data (which takes into account any fee reductions or expense limitations that were in effect during the Fund s last fiscal year), the Fund s effective advisory fee rate was higher than the Lipper expense group median, and the Fund s total expense ratio was approximately at the Lipper expense group median.

The Trustees also considered the advisory fees charged by MFS to institutional accounts. In comparing these fees, the Trustees considered information provided by MFS as to the generally broader scope of services provided by MFS to the Fund in comparison to institutional accounts and the impact on MFS and expenses associated with the more extensive regulatory regime to which the Fund is subject in comparison to institutional accounts.

Board Review of Investment Advisory Agreement continued

The Trustees considered that, as a closed-end fund, the Fund is unlikely to experience meaningful asset growth. As a result, the Trustees did not view the potential for realization of economies of scale as the Fund s assets grow to be a material factor in their deliberations. The Trustees noted that they would consider economies of scale in the future in the event the Fund experiences significant asset growth, such as through an offering of preferred shares (which is not currently contemplated) or a material increase in the market value of the Fund s portfolio securities.

The Trustees also considered information prepared by MFS relating to MFS costs and profits with respect to the Fund, the MFS Funds considered as a group, and other investment companies and accounts advised by MFS, as well as MFS methodologies used to determine and allocate its costs to the MFS Funds, the Fund and other accounts and products for purposes of estimating profitability.

After reviewing these and other factors described herein, the Trustees concluded, within the context of their overall conclusions regarding the investment advisory agreement, that the advisory fees charged to the Fund represent reasonable compensation in light of the services being provided by MFS to the Fund.

In addition, the Trustees considered MFS resources and related efforts to continue to retain, attract and motivate capable personnel to serve the Fund. The Trustees also considered current and developing conditions in the financial services industry, including the presence of large and well-capitalized companies which are spending, and appear to be prepared to continue to spend, substantial sums to engage personnel and to provide services to competing investment companies. In this regard, the Trustees also considered the financial resources of MFS and its ultimate parent, Sun Life Financial Inc. The Trustees also considered the advantages and possible disadvantages to the Fund of having an adviser that also serves other investment companies as well as other accounts.

The Trustees also considered the nature, quality, cost, and extent of administrative services provided to the Fund by MFS under agreements other than the investment advisory agreement. The Trustees also considered the nature, extent and quality of certain other services MFS performs or arranges for on the Fund s behalf, which may include securities lending programs, directed expense payment programs, class action recovery programs, and MFS interaction with third-party service providers, principally custodians and sub-custodians. The Trustees concluded that the various non-advisory services provided by MFS and its affiliates on behalf of the Funds were satisfactory.

46

Board Review of Investment Advisory Agreement continued

The Trustees also considered benefits to MFS from the use of the Fund s portfolio brokerage commissions, if applicable, to pay for investment research and various other factors. Additionally, the Trustees considered so-called fall-out benefits to MFS such as reputational value derived from serving as investment manager to the Fund.

Based on their evaluation of factors that they deemed to be material, including those factors described above, the Board of Trustees, including a majority of the independent Trustees, concluded that the Fund s investment advisory agreement with MFS should be continued for an additional one-year period, commencing August 1, 2010.

A discussion regarding the Board s most recent review and renewal of the fund s Investment Advisory Agreement with MFS is available by clicking on the fund s name under Closed End Funds in the Products and Performance section of the MFS Web site (*mfs.com*).

PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND INFORMATION

A general description of the MFS funds proxy voting policies and procedures is available without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-225-2606, by visiting the Proxy Voting section of *mfs.com* or by visiting the SEC s Web site at *http://www.sec.gov*.

Information regarding how the fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent twelve-month period ended June 30 is available without charge by visiting the Proxy Voting section of *mfs.com* or by visiting the SEC s Web site at *http://www.sec.gov*.

QUARTERLY PORTFOLIO DISCLOSURE

The fund will file a complete schedule of portfolio holdings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the Commission) for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year on Form N-Q. The fund s Form N-Q may be reviewed and copied at the:

Public Reference Room

Securities and Exchange Commission

100 F Street, NE, Room 1580

Washington, D.C. 20549

Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the Commission at 1-800-SEC-0330. The fund s Form N-Q is available on the EDGAR database on the Commission s Internet Web site at *http://www.sec.gov*, and copies of this information may be obtained, upon payment of a duplicating fee, by electronic request at the following e-mail address: publicinfo@sec.gov or by writing the Public Reference Section at the above address.

A shareholder can also obtain the quarterly portfolio holdings report at mfs.com.

FURTHER INFORMATION

From time to time, MFS may post important information about the fund or the MFS funds on the MFS web site (*mfs.com*). This information is available by visiting the News & Commentary section of *mfs.com* or by clicking on the fund s name under Closed End Funds in the Products and Performance section of *mfs.com*.

FEDERAL TAX INFORMATION (unaudited)

The fund will notify shareholders of amounts for use in preparing 2010 income tax forms in January 2011.

48

MFS® PRIVACY NOTICE

Privacy is a concern for every investor today. At MFS Investment Management® and the MFS funds, we take this concern very seriously. We want you to understand our policies about the investment products and services that we offer, and how we protect the nonpublic personal information of investors who have a direct relationship with us and our wholly owned subsidiaries.

Throughout our business relationship, you provide us with personal information. We maintain information and records about you, your investments, and the services you use. Examples of the nonpublic personal information we maintain include

data from investment applications and other forms share balances and transactional history with us, our affiliates, or others facts from a consumer reporting agency

We do not disclose any nonpublic personal information about our customers or former customers to anyone, except as permitted by law. We may share nonpublic personal information with third parties or certain of our affiliates in connection with servicing your account or processing your transactions. We may share information with companies or financial institutions that perform marketing services on our behalf or with other financial institutions with which we have joint marketing arrangements, subject to any legal requirements.

Authorization to access your nonpublic personal information is limited to appropriate personnel who provide products, services, or information to you. We maintain physical, electronic, and procedural safeguards to help protect the personal information we collect about you.

If you have any questions about the MFS privacy policy, please call 1-800-225-2606 any business day.

Note: If you own MFS products or receive MFS services in the name of a third party such as a bank or broker-dealer, their privacy policy may apply to you instead of ours.

CONTACT US

Transfer Agent, Registrar and Dividend Disbursing Agent

Call

1-800-637-2304

9 a.m. to 5 p.m. Eastern time

Write

Computershare Trust Company, N.A.

P.O. Box 43078

Providence, RI 02940-3078

500 Boylston Street, Boston, MA 02116

New York Stock Exchange Symbol: MGF

ITEM 2. CODE OF ETHICS.

The Registrant has adopted a Code of Ethics pursuant to Section 406 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and as defined in Form N-CSR that applies to the Registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial and accounting officer. The Registrant has not amended any provision in its Code of Ethics (the Code) that relates to an element of the Code s definitions enumerated in paragraph (b) of Item 2 of this Form N-CSR. During the period covered by this report, the Registrant did not grant a waiver, including an implicit waiver, from any provision of the Code.

ITEM 3. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT.

Messrs. Robert E. Butler, John P. Kavanaugh and Robert W. Uek and Ms. Laurie J. Thomsen, members of the Audit Committee, have been determined by the Board of Trustees in their reasonable business judgment to meet the definition of audit committee financial expert as such term is defined in Form N-CSR. In addition, Messrs. Butler, Kavanaugh and Uek and Ms. Thomsen are independent members of the Audit Committee (as such term has been defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission in regulations implementing Section 407 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002). The Securities and Exchange Commission has stated that the designation of a person as an audit committee financial expert pursuant to this Item 3 on the Form N-CSR does not impose on such a person any duties, obligations or liability that are greater than the duties, obligations or liability imposed on such person as a member of the Audit Committee and the Board of Trustees in the absence of such designation or identification.

ITEM 4. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES. Items 4(a) through 4(d) and 4(g):

The Board of Trustees has appointed Deloitte & Touche LLP (Deloitte) to serve as independent accountants to the Registrant (hereinafter the Registrant or the Fund). The tables below set forth the audit fees billed to the Fund as well as fees for non-audit services provided to the Fund and/or to the Fund s investment adviser, Massachusetts Financial Services Company (MFS), and to various entities either controlling, controlled by, or under common control with MFS that provide ongoing services to the Fund (MFS Related Entities).

For the fiscal years ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, audit fees billed to the Fund by Deloitte were as follows:

	Audit	Audit Fees		
	2010	2009		
Fees billed by Deloitte:				
MFS Government Markets Income Trust	50,876	49,698		

For the fiscal years ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, fees billed by Deloitte for audit-related, tax and other services provided to the Fund and for audit-related, tax and other services provided to MFS and MFS Related Entities were as follows:

	Audit-Related Fees ¹		Tax Fees ²		All Other Fees ³	
	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009
Fees billed by Deloitte:						
To MFS Government Markets Income Trust	10,000	10,000	5,871	5,756	1,376	1,354
To MFS and MFS Related Entities of MFS Government Markets Income						
Trust*	1,266,889	1,053,102	0	0	60,000	142,584
	2010	2009				
Aggregate fees for non-audit services:						
To MFS Government Markets Income Trust, MFS and MFS Related						
Entities#	1,669,032	1,310,921				

* This amount reflects the fees billed to MFS and MFS Related Entities for non-audit services relating directly to the operations and financial reporting of the Fund (portions of which services also related to the operations and financial reporting of other funds within the MFS Funds complex).

- # This amount reflects the aggregate fees billed by Deloitte for non-audit services rendered to the Fund and for non-audit services rendered to MFS and the MFS Related Entities.
- ¹ The fees included under Audit-Related Fees are fees related to assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of financial statements, but not reported under Audit Fees, including accounting consultations, agreed-upon procedure reports, attestation reports, comfort letters and internal control reviews.
- ² The fees included under Tax Fees are fees associated with tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning, including services relating to the filing or amendment of federal, state or local income tax returns, regulated investment company qualification reviews and tax distribution and analysis.
- ³ The fees included under All Other Fees are fees for products and services provided by Deloitte other than those reported under Audit Fees, Audit-Related Fees and Tax Fees, including fees for services related to analysis of certain portfolio holdings and, review of internal controls and review of Rule 38a-1 compliance program.

Item 4(e)(1):

Set forth below are the policies and procedures established by the Audit Committee of the Board of Trustees relating to the pre-approval of audit and non-audit related services:

To the extent required by applicable law, pre-approval by the Audit Committee of the Board is needed for all audit and permissible non-audit services rendered to MFS or MFS Related Entities if the services relate directly to the operations and financial reporting of the Registrant. Pre-approval is currently on an engagement-by-engagement basis. In the event pre-approval of such services is necessary between regular meetings of the Audit Committee and it is not practical to wait to seek pre-approval at the next regular meeting of the Audit Committee, pre-approval of such services exceeding \$50,000 or multiple engagements for such services in the aggregate exceeding \$100,000 between such regular meetings of the Audit Committee. Any engagement pre-approved by the Chair between regular meetings of the Audit Committee shall be presented for ratification by the entire Audit Committee at its next regularly scheduled meeting.

Item 4(e)(2):

None, or 0%, of the services relating to the Audit-Related Fees, Tax Fees and All Other Fees paid by the Fund and MFS and MFS Related Entities relating directly to the operations and financial reporting of the Registrant disclosed above were approved by the audit committee pursuant to paragraphs (c)(7)(i)(C) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X (which permits audit committee approval after the start of the engagement with respect to services other than audit, review or attest services, if certain conditions are satisfied).

Item 4(f): Not applicable.

Item 4(h): The Registrant s Audit Committee has considered whether the provision by a Registrant s independent registered public accounting firm of non-audit services to MFS and MFS Related Entities that were not pre-approved by the Committee (because such services were provided prior to the effectiveness of SEC rules requiring pre-approval or because such services did not relate directly to the operations and financial reporting of the Registrant) was compatible with maintaining the independence of the independent registered public accounting firm as the Registrant s principal auditors.

ITEM 5. AUDIT COMMITTEE OF LISTED REGISTRANTS.

The Registrant has an Audit Committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The members of the Audit Committee are Messrs. Robert E. Butler, John P. Kavanaugh, and Robert W. Uek and Ms. Laurie J. Thomsen.

ITEM 6. SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

A schedule of investments of the Registrant is included as part of the report to shareholders of the Registrant under Item 1 of this Form N-CSR.

ITEM 7. DISCLOSURE OF PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

MASSACHUSETTS FINANCIAL SERVICES COMPANY

PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

February 1, 2010

Massachusetts Financial Services Company, MFS Institutional Advisors, Inc., MFS International (UK) Limited, MFS Heritage Trust Company, and MFS other subsidiaries that perform discretionary investment management activities (except Four Pillars Capital, Inc.) (collectively, MFS) have adopted proxy voting policies and procedures, as set forth below (MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures), with respect to securities owned by the clients for which MFS serves as investment adviser and has the power to vote proxies, including the registered investment companies sponsored by MFS (the MFS Funds). References to clients in these policies and procedures include the MFS Funds and other clients of MFS, such as funds organized offshore, sub-advised funds and separate account clients, to the extent these clients have delegated to MFS the responsibility to vote proxies on their behalf under the MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures.

The MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures include:

- A. Voting Guidelines;
- B. Administrative Procedures;
- C. Monitoring System;
- D. Records Retention; and
- E. Reports.

A. VOTING GUIDELINES

1. General Policy; Potential Conflicts of Interest

MFS policy is that proxy voting decisions are made in what MFS believes to be the best long-term economic interests of MFS clients, and not in the interests of any other party or in MFS corporate interests, including interests such as the distribution of MFS Fund shares, and institutional relationships.

In developing these proxy voting guidelines, MFS reviews corporate governance issues and proxy voting matters that are presented for shareholder vote by either management or shareholders of public companies. Based on the overall

principle that all votes cast by MFS on behalf of its clients must be in what MFS believes to be the best long-term economic interests of such clients, MFS has adopted proxy voting guidelines, set forth below, that govern how MFS generally will vote on specific matters presented for shareholder vote.

As a general matter, MFS votes consistently on similar proxy proposals across all shareholder meetings. However, some proxy proposals, such as certain excessive executive compensation, environmental, social and governance matters, are analyzed on a case-by-case basis in light of all the relevant facts and circumstances of the proposal. Therefore, MFS may vote similar proposals differently at different shareholder meetings based on the specific facts and circumstances of the issuer or the terms of the proposal. In addition, MFS also reserves the right to override the guidelines with respect to a particular proxy proposal when such an override is, in MFS best judgment, consistent with the overall principle of voting proxies in the best long-term economic interests of MFS clients.

MFS also generally votes consistently on the same matter when securities of an issuer are held by multiple client accounts, unless MFS has received explicit voting instructions to vote differently from a client for its own account. From time to time, MFS may also receive comments on the MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures from its clients. These comments are carefully considered by MFS when it reviews these guidelines and revises them as appropriate.

These policies and procedures are intended to address any potential material conflicts of interest on the part of MFS or its subsidiaries that are likely to arise in connection with the voting of proxies on behalf of MFS clients. If such potential material conflicts of interest do arise, MFS will analyze, document and report on such potential material conflicts of interest (see Sections B.2 and E below), and shall ultimately vote the relevant proxies in what MFS believes to be the best long-term economic interests of its clients. The MFS Proxy Voting Committee is responsible for monitoring and reporting with respect to such potential material conflicts of interest.

MFS is also a signatory to the United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment. In developing these guidelines, MFS considered environmental, social and corporate governance issues in light of MFS fiduciary obligation to vote proxies in the best long-term economic interest of its clients.

2. <u>MFS Policy on Specific Issues</u> <u>Election of Directors</u>

MFS believes that good governance should be based on a board with at least a simple majority of directors who are independent of management, and whose key committees (e.g., compensation, nominating, and audit committees) are comprised entirely of independent directors. While MFS generally supports the board s nominees in uncontested elections, we will not support a nominee to a board of a U.S. issuer if, as a result of such nominee being elected to the board, the board would be comprised of a majority of members who are not independent or, alternatively, the compensation, nominating (including instances in which the full board serves as the nominating committee) or audit committees would include members who are not independent.

MFS will also not support a nominee to a board if we can determine that he or she failed to attend at least 75% of the board and/or relevant committee meetings in the previous year without a valid reason stated in the proxy materials or other company communications. In addition, MFS will not support all nominees standing for re-election to a board if we can determine: (1) since the last annual meeting of shareholders and without shareholder approval, the board or its compensation committee has re-priced or exchanged underwater stock options; or (2) since the last annual meeting, the board has either implemented a poison pill without shareholder approval (including those related to net-operating loss carryforwards), or has not taken responsive action to a majority shareholder approved resolution recommending that the poison pill be rescinded. Responsive action would include the rescission of the poison pill (without a broad reservation to reinstate the poison pill in the event of a hostile tender offer), or assurance in the proxy materials that the terms of the poison pill would be put to a binding shareholder vote within the next five to seven years.

MFS will also not support a nominee (other than a nominee who serves as the issuer s Chief Executive Officer) standing for re-election if such nominee participated (as a director or committee member) in the approval of senior executive compensation that MFS deems to be excessive due to pay for performance issues and/or poor pay practices. In the event that MFS determines that an issuer has adopted excessive executive compensation, MFS may also not support the re-election of the issuer s Chief Executive Officer as director regardless of whether the Chief Executive Officer directly participated in the approval of the package. MFS will determine whether senior executive compensation is excessive on a case-by-case basis. Examples of excessive executive compensation practices may include, but are not limited to, a pay-for-performance disconnect, egregious employment contract terms such as guaranteed bonus provisions, excessive pension payouts, backdated stock options, overly generous hiring bonuses for chief executive officers, excessive perquisites, or the potential reimbursement of excise taxes to an executive in regards to a severance package.

MFS evaluates a contested or contentious election of directors on a case-by-case basis considering the long-term financial performance of the company relative to its industry, management s track record, the qualifications of

the nominees for both slates, if applicable, and an evaluation of what each side is offering shareholders.

Majority Voting and Director Elections

MFS votes for reasonably crafted proposals calling for directors to be elected with an affirmative majority of votes cast and/or the elimination of the plurality standard for electing directors (including binding resolutions requesting that the board amend the company s bylaws), provided the proposal includes a carve-out for a plurality voting standard when there are more director nominees than board seats (*e.g.*, contested elections) (Majority Vote Proposals). MFS considers voting against Majority Vote Proposals if the company has adopted, or has proposed to adopt in the proxy statement, formal corporate governance principles that present a meaningful alternative to the majority voting standard and provide an adequate response to both new nominees as well as incumbent nominees who fail to receive a majority of votes cast. MFS believes that a company s election policy should address the specific circumstances at that company. In determining whether the issuer has a meaningful alternative to the majority voting standard, MFS considers whether a company s election policy articulates the following elements to address each director nominee who fails to receive an affirmative majority of votes cast in an election:

Establish guidelines for the process by which the company determines the status of nominees who fail to receive an affirmative majority of votes cast and disclose the guidelines in the annual proxy statement;

Guidelines should include a reasonable timetable for resolution of the nominee s status and a requirement that the resolution be disclosed together with the reasons for the resolution;

Vest management of the process in the company s independent directors, other than the nominee in question; and

Outline the range of remedies that the independent directors may consider concerning the nominee. <u>Classified Boards</u>

MFS generally opposes proposals to classify a board (e.g. a board in which only one-third of board members is elected each year) for issuers (other than for certain closed-end investment companies). MFS generally supports proposals to declassify a board for issuers (other than for certain closed-end investment companies).

Non-Salary Compensation Programs

MFS votes against stock option programs for officers, employees or non-employee directors that do not require an investment by the optionee, that give free rides on the stock price, or that permit grants of stock options with an exercise price below fair market value on the date the options are granted.

MFS also opposes stock option programs that allow the board or the compensation committee, without shareholder approval, to re-price underwater options or to automatically replenish shares (i.e. evergreen plans). MFS will consider proposals to exchange existing options for newly issued options, restricted stock or cash on a case-by-case basis, taking into account certain factors, including, but not limited to, whether there is a reasonable value-for-value exchange and whether senior executives are excluded from participating in the exchange.

MFS opposes stock option programs and restricted stock plans that provide unduly generous compensation for officers, directors or employees, or could result in excessive dilution to other shareholders. As a general guideline, MFS votes against restricted stock plans, stock option, non-employee director, omnibus stock plans and any other stock plan if all such plans for a particular company involve potential dilution, in the aggregate, of more than 15%. However, MFS will also vote against stock plans that involve potential dilution, in aggregate, of more than 10% at U.S. issuers that are listed in the Standard and Poor s 100 index as of December 31 of the previous year.

Expensing of Stock Options

MFS supports shareholder proposals to expense stock options because we believe that the expensing of options presents a more accurate picture of the company s financial results to investors. We also believe that companies are likely to be more disciplined when granting options if the value of stock options were treated as an expense item on the company s income statements.

Executive Compensation

MFS believes that competitive compensation packages are necessary to attract, motivate and retain executives. However, MFS also recognizes that certain executive compensation practices can be excessive and not in the best, long-term economic interest of a company s shareholders. We believe that the election of an issuer s compensation committee members and votes on stock plans (as outlined above) are currently the most effective mechanisms to express our view on a company s compensation practices.

MFS also supports reasonably crafted shareholder proposals that (i) require the issuer to adopt a policy to recover the portion of performance-based bonuses and awards paid to senior executives that were not earned based upon a significant negative restatement of earnings unless the company already has adopted a satisfactory policy on the matter, or (ii) expressly prohibit the backdating of stock

options. Although we support linking executive stock option grants to a company s performance, MFS opposes shareholder proposals that mandate a link of performance-based options to a specific industry or peer group stock index. MFS also opposes shareholder proposals that seek to set rigid restrictions on executive compensation as MFS believes that compensation committees should retain some flexibility to propose the appropriate index or other criteria by which performance-based options should be measured.

Advisory Votes on Executive Compensation

MFS supports reasonably crafted shareholder proposals to include an advisory shareholder vote on an issuer s executive compensation practices in the issuer s proxy statement.

For a U.S. issuer that already includes an advisory vote on its executive compensation practices in its proxy statement, MFS will generally support the issuer s advisory vote, unless MFS has determined that issuer has adopted excessive executive compensation practices.

Employee Stock Purchase Plans

MFS supports the use of a broad-based employee stock purchase plans to increase company stock ownership by employees, provided that shares purchased under the plan are acquired for no less than 85% of their market value and do not result in excessive dilution.

Golden Parachutes

From time to time, shareholders of companies have submitted proxy proposals that would require shareholder approval of severance packages for executive officers that exceed certain predetermined thresholds. MFS votes in favor of such shareholder proposals when they would require shareholder approval of any severance package for an executive officer that exceeds a certain multiple of such officer s annual compensation that is not determined in MFS judgment to be excessive.

Anti-Takeover Measures

In general, MFS votes against any measure that inhibits capital appreciation in a stock, including proposals that protect management from action by shareholders. These types of proposals take many forms, ranging from poison pills and shark repellents to super-majority requirements.

MFS generally votes for proposals to rescind existing poison pills and proposals that would require shareholder approval to adopt prospective poison pills, unless the company already has adopted a clearly satisfactory policy on the

matter. MFS may consider the adoption of a prospective poison pill or the continuation of an existing poison pill if we can determine that the following two conditions are met: (1) the poison pill allows MFS clients to hold an aggregate position of up to 15% of a company s total voting securities (and of any class of voting securities); and (2) either (a) the poison pill has a term of not longer than five years, provided that MFS will consider voting in favor of the poison pill if the term does not exceed seven years and the poison pill allow MFS clients the opportunity to accept a fairly structured and attractively priced tender offer (e.g. a chewable poison pill that automatically dissolves in the event of an all cash, all shares tender offer at a premium price). MFS will also consider on a case-by-case basis proposals designed to prevent tenders which are disadvantageous to shareholders such as tenders at below market prices and tenders for substantially less than all shares of an issuer.

MFS will consider any poison pills designed to protect a company s net-operating loss carryforwards on a case-by-case basis, weighing the accounting and tax benefits of such a pill against the risk of deterring future acquisition candidates.

Reincorporation and Reorganization Proposals

When presented with a proposal to reincorporate a company under the laws of a different state, or to effect some other type of corporate reorganization, MFS considers the underlying purpose and ultimate effect of such a proposal in determining whether or not to support such a measure. MFS generally votes with management in regards to these types of proposals, however, if MFS believes the proposal is in the best long-term economic interests of its clients, then MFS may vote against management (e.g. the intent or effect would be to create additional inappropriate impediments to possible acquisitions or takeovers).

Issuance of Stock

There are many legitimate reasons for the issuance of stock. Nevertheless, as noted above under Non-Salary Compensation Programs, when a stock option plan (either individually or when aggregated with other plans of the same company) would substantially dilute the existing equity (e.g. by approximately 10-15% as described above), MFS generally votes against the plan. In addition, MFS typically votes against proposals where management is asking for authorization to issue common or preferred stock with no reason stated (a blank check) because the unexplained authorization could work as a potential anti-takeover device. MFS may also vote against the authorization or issuance of common or preferred stock if MFS determines that the requested authorization is excessive and not warranted.

Repurchase Programs

MFS supports proposals to institute share repurchase plans in which all shareholders have the opportunity to participate on an equal basis. Such plans may include a company acquiring its own shares on the open market, or a company making a tender offer to its own shareholders.

Confidential Voting

MFS votes in favor of proposals to ensure that shareholder voting results are kept confidential. For example, MFS supports proposals that would prevent management from having access to shareholder voting information that is compiled by an independent proxy tabulation firm.

Cumulative Voting

MFS opposes proposals that seek to introduce cumulative voting and for proposals that seek to eliminate cumulative voting. In either case, MFS will consider whether cumulative voting is likely to enhance the interests of MFS clients as minority shareholders. In our view, shareholders should provide names of qualified candidates to a company s nominating committee, which, in our view, should be comprised solely of independent directors.

Written Consent and Special Meetings

Because the shareholder right to act by written consent (without calling a formal meeting of shareholders) can be a powerful tool for shareholders, MFS generally opposes proposals that would prevent shareholders from taking action without a formal meeting or would take away a shareholder s right to call a special meeting of company shareholders pursuant to relevant state law.

Independent Auditors

MFS believes that the appointment of auditors for U.S. issuers is best left to the board of directors of the company and therefore supports the ratification of the board s selection of an auditor for the company. Some shareholder groups have submitted proposals to limit the non-audit activities of a company s audit firm or prohibit *any* non-audit services by a company s auditors to that company. MFS opposes proposals recommending the prohibition or limitation of the performance of non-audit services by an auditor, and proposals recommending the removal of a company s auditor due to the performance of non-audit work for the company by its auditor. MFS believes that the board, or its audit committee, should have the discretion to hire the company s auditor for specific pieces of non-audit work in the limited situations permitted under current law.

Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Issues

MFS believes that a company s ESG practices may have an impact on the company s long-term economic financial performance and will generally support proposals relating to ESG issues that MFS believes are in the best long-term economic interest of the company s shareholders. For those ESG proposals for which a specific policy has not been adopted, MFS considers such ESG proposals on a case-by-case basis. As a result, it may vote similar proposals differently at various shareholder meetings based on the specific facts and circumstances of such proposal.

MFS generally supports proposals that seek to remove governance structures that insulate management from shareholders (*i.e.*, anti-takeover measures) or that seek to enhance shareholder rights. Many of these governance-related issues, including compensation issues, are outlined within the context of the above guidelines. In addition, MFS typically supports proposals that require an issuer to reimburse successful dissident shareholders (who are not seeking control of the company) for reasonable expenses that such dissident incurred in soliciting an alternative slate of director candidates. MFS typically does not support proposals to separate the chairman and CEO positions as we believe that the most beneficial leadership structure of a company should be determined by the company s board of directors. For any governance-related proposal for which an explicit guideline is not provided above, MFS will consider such proposals on a case-by-case basis and will support such proposals if MFS believes that it is in the best long-term economic interest of the company s shareholders.

MFS generally supports proposals that request disclosure on the impact of environmental issues on the company s operations, sales, and capital investments. However, MFS may not support such proposals based on the facts and circumstances surrounding a specific proposal, including, but not limited to, whether (i) the proposal is unduly costly, restrictive, or burdensome, (ii) the company already provides publicly-available information that is sufficient to enable shareholders to evaluate the potential opportunities and risks that environmental matters pose to the company s operations, sales and capital investments, or (iii) the proposal seeks a level of disclosure that exceeds that provided by the company s industry peers. MFS will analyze all other environmental proposals on a case-by-case basis and will support such proposals if MFS believes such proposal is in the best long-term economic interest of the company s shareholders.

MFS will analyze social proposals on a case-by-case basis. MFS will support such proposals if MFS believes that such proposal is in the best long-term economic interest of the company s shareholders. Generally, MFS will support shareholder proposals that (i) seek to amend a company s equal employment opportunity policy to prohibit discrimination based on sexual orientation and

gender identity; and (ii) request additional disclosure regarding a company s political contributions.

The laws of various states or countries may regulate how the interests of certain clients subject to those laws (e.g. state pension plans) are voted with respect to social issues. Thus, it may be necessary to cast ballots differently for certain clients than MFS might normally do for other clients.

Foreign Issuers

MFS generally supports the election of a director nominee standing for re-election in uncontested elections unless it can be determined that (1) he or she failed to attend at least 75% of the board and/or relevant committee meetings in the previous year without a valid reason given in the proxy materials; (2) since the last annual meeting of shareholders and without shareholder approval, the board or its compensation committee has re-priced underwater stock options; or (3) since the last annual meeting, the board has either implemented a poison pill without shareholder approval or has not taken responsive action to a majority shareholder approved resolution recommending that the poison pill be rescinded. MFS generally supports the election of auditors, but may determine to vote against the election of a statutory auditor in certain markets if MFS reasonably believes that the statutory auditor is not truly independent.

Some international markets have adopted mandatory requirements for all companies to hold advisory votes on executive compensation. MFS will not support such proposals if MFS determines that a company s executive compensation practices are excessive, considering such factors as the specific market s best practices that seek to maintain appropriate pay-for-performance alignment and to create long-term shareholder value.

Many other items on foreign proxies involve repetitive, non-controversial matters that are mandated by local law. Accordingly, the items that are generally deemed routine and which do not require the exercise of judgment under these guidelines (and therefore voted with management) for foreign issuers include, but are not limited to, the following: (i) receiving financial statements or other reports from the board; (ii) approval of declarations of dividends; (iii) approval of shareholders to sign board meeting minutes; (iv) discharge of management and supervisory boards; and (v) approval of share repurchase programs (absent any anti-takeover concerns). MFS will evaluate all other items on proxies for foreign companies in the context of the guidelines described above, but will generally vote against an item if there is not sufficient information disclosed in order to make an informed voting decision.

In accordance with local law or business practices, many foreign companies or custodians prevent the sales of shares that have been voted for a certain period beginning prior to the shareholder meeting and ending on the day following the

meeting (share blocking). Depending on the country in which a company is domiciled, the blocking period may begin a stated number of days prior or subsequent to the meeting (e.g. one, three or five days) or on a date established by the company. While practices vary, in many countries the block period can be continued for a longer period if the shareholder meeting is adjourned and postponed to a later date. Similarly, practices vary widely as to the ability of a shareholder to have the block restriction lifted early (e.g. in some countries shares generally can be unblocked up to two days prior to the meeting whereas in other countries the removal of the block appears to be discretionary with the issuer s transfer agent). Due to these restrictions, MFS must balance the benefits to its clients of voting proxies against the potentially serious portfolio management consequences of a reduced flexibility to sell the underlying shares at the most advantageous time. For companies in countries with share blocking periods or in markets where some custodians may block shares, the disadvantage of being unable to sell the stock regardless of changing conditions generally outweighs the advantages of voting at the shareholder meeting for routine items. Accordingly, MFS will not vote those proxies in the absence of an unusual, significant vote that outweighs the disadvantage of being unable to sell the stock.

In limited circumstances, other market specific impediments to voting shares may limit our ability to cast votes, including, but not limited to, late delivery of proxy materials, power of attorney and share re-registration requirements, or any other unusual voting requirements. In these limited instances, MFS votes securities on a best efforts basis in the context of the guidelines described above.

B. <u>ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES</u>

1. <u>MFS Proxy Voting Committee</u>

The administration of these MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures is overseen by the MFS Proxy Voting Committee, which includes senior personnel from the MFS Legal and Global Investment Support Departments. The Proxy Voting Committee does not include individuals whose primary duties relate to client relationship management, marketing, or sales. The MFS Proxy Voting Committee:

- a. Reviews these MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures at least annually and recommends any amendments considered to be necessary or advisable;
- b. Determines whether any potential material conflict of interest exists with respect to instances in which MFS (i) seeks to override these MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures; (ii) votes on ballot items not governed by these MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures; (iii) evaluates an excessive executive compensation issue in relation to the election of directors; or (iv) requests a vote recommendation from an

MFS portfolio manager or investment analyst (e.g. mergers and acquisitions); and

c. Considers special proxy issues as they may arise from time to time.

2. <u>Potential Conflicts of Interest</u>

The MFS Proxy Voting Committee is responsible for monitoring potential material conflicts of interest on the part of MFS or its subsidiaries that could arise in connection with the voting of proxies on behalf of MFS clients. Due to the client focus of our investment management business, we believe that the potential for actual material conflict of interest issues is small. Nonetheless, we have developed precautions to assure that all proxy votes are cast in the best long-term economic interest of shareholders. Other MFS internal policies require all MFS employees to avoid actual and potential conflicts of interests between personal activities and MFS client activities. If an employee identifies an actual or potential conflict of interest with respect to any voting decision, then that employee must recuse himself/herself from participating in the voting process. Additionally, with respect to decisions concerning all Non-Standard Votes, as defined below, MFS will review the securities holdings reported by the individuals that participate in such decision to determine whether such person has a direct economic interest in the decision, in which case such person shall not further participate in making the decision. Any significant attempt by an employee of MFS or its subsidiaries to influence MFS voting on a particular proxy matter should also be reported to the MFS Proxy Voting Committee.

In cases where proxies are voted in accordance with these MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures, no material conflict of interest will be deemed to exist. In cases where (i) MFS is considering overriding these MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures, (ii) matters presented for vote are not governed by these MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures, (iii) MFS evaluates an excessive executive compensation issue in relation to the election of directors, or (iv) a vote recommendation is requested from an MFS portfolio manager or investment analyst (e.g. mergers and acquisitions) (collectively, Non-Standard Votes); the MFS Proxy Voting Committee will follow these procedures:

- a. Compare the name of the issuer of such proxy against a list of significant current (i) distributors of MFS Fund shares, and (ii) MFS institutional clients (the MFS Significant Client List);
- b. If the name of the issuer does not appear on the MFS Significant Client List, then no material conflict of interest will be deemed to exist, and the proxy will be voted as otherwise determined by the MFS Proxy Voting Committee;

- c. If the name of the issuer appears on the MFS Significant Client List, then the MFS Proxy Voting Committee will be apprised of that fact and each member of the MFS Proxy Voting Committee will carefully evaluate the proposed vote in order to ensure that the proxy ultimately is voted in what MFS believes to be the best long-term economic interests of MFS clients, and not in MFS corporate interests; and
- d. For all potential material conflicts of interest identified under clause (c) above, the MFS Proxy Voting Committee will document: the name of the issuer, the issuer s relationship to MFS, the analysis of the matters submitted for proxy vote, the votes as to be cast and the reasons why the MFS Proxy Voting Committee determined that the votes were cast in the best long-term economic interests of MFS clients, and not in MFS corporate interests. A copy of the foregoing documentation will be provided to MFS Conflicts Officer.

The members of the MFS Proxy Voting Committee are responsible for creating and maintaining the MFS Significant Client List, in consultation with MFS distribution and institutional business units. The MFS Significant Client List will be reviewed and updated periodically, as appropriate.

From time to time, certain MFS Funds (the top tier fund) may own shares of other MFS Funds (the underlying fund). If an underlying fund submits a matter to a shareholder vote, the top tier fund will generally vote its shares in the same proportion as the other shareholders of the underlying fund.

3. <u>Gathering Proxies</u>

Most proxies received by MFS and its clients originate at Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. (Broadridge). Broadridge and other service providers, on behalf of custodians, send proxy related material to the record holders of the shares beneficially owned by MFS clients, usually to the client s proxy voting administrator or, less commonly, to the client itself. This material will include proxy ballots reflecting the shareholdings of Funds and of clients on the record dates for such shareholder meetings, as well as proxy materials with the issuer s explanation of the items to be voted upon.

MFS, on behalf of itself and the Funds, has entered into an agreement with an independent proxy administration firm, RiskMetrics Group, Inc., (the Proxy Administrator), pursuant to which the Proxy Administrator performs various proxy vote related administrative services, such as vote processing and recordkeeping functions for MFS Funds and institutional client accounts. The

Proxy Administrator receives proxy statements and proxy ballots directly or indirectly from various custodians, logs these materials into its database and matches upcoming meetings with MFS Fund and client portfolio holdings, which are input into the Proxy Administrator system by an MFS holdings data-feed. Through the use of the Proxy Administrator system, ballots and proxy material summaries for all upcoming shareholders meetings are available on-line to certain MFS employees and members of the MFS Proxy Voting Committee.

4. Analyzing Proxies

Proxies are voted in accordance with these MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures. The Proxy Administrator, at the prior direction of MFS, automatically votes all proxy matters that do not require the particular exercise of discretion or judgment with respect to these MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures as determined by the MFS Proxy Voting Committee. With respect to proxy matters that require the particular exercise of discretion or judgment, MFS considers and votes on those proxy matters. MFS also receives research and recommendations from the Proxy Administrator which it may take into account in deciding how to vote. In addition, MFS expects to rely on the Proxy Administrator to identify circumstances in which a board may have approved excessive executive compensation or whether certain environmental or social proposals warrant consideration. Representatives of the MFS Proxy Voting Committee review, as appropriate, votes cast to ensure conformity with these MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures.

As a general matter, portfolio managers and investment analysts have little or no involvement in specific votes taken by MFS. This is designed to promote consistency in the application of MFS voting guidelines, to promote consistency in voting on the same or similar issues (for the same or for multiple issuers) across all client accounts, and to minimize the potential that proxy solicitors, issuers, or third parties might attempt to exert inappropriate influence on the vote. In limited types of votes (e.g. corporate actions, such as mergers and acquisitions, or shareholder proposals relating to environmental and social issues), a representative of MFS Proxy Voting Committee may consult with or seek recommendations from MFS portfolio managers or investment analysts.¹ However, the MFS Proxy Voting Committee would ultimately determine the manner in which all proxies are voted.

As noted above, MFS reserves the right to override the guidelines when such an override is, in MFS best judgment, consistent with the overall principle of voting proxies in the best long-term economic interests of MFS clients. Any such

¹ From time to time, due to travel schedules and other commitments, an appropriate portfolio manager or research analyst may not be available to provide a recommendation on a merger or acquisition proposal. If such a recommendation cannot be obtained prior to the cut-off date of the shareholder meeting, certain members of the MFS Proxy Voting Committee may determine to abstain from voting.

override of the guidelines shall be analyzed, documented and reported in accordance with the procedures set forth in these policies.

5. Voting Proxies

In accordance with its contract with MFS, the Proxy Administrator also generates a variety of reports for the MFS Proxy Voting Committee, and makes available on-line various other types of information so that the MFS Proxy Voting Committee may review and monitor the votes cast by the Proxy Administrator on behalf of MFS clients.

6. <u>Securities Lending</u>

From time to time, the MFS Funds or other pooled investment vehicles sponsored by MFS may participate in a securities lending program. In the event MFS or its agent receives timely notice of a shareholder meeting for a U.S. security, MFS and its agent will attempt to recall any securities on loan before the meeting s record date so that MFS will be entitled to vote these shares. However, there may be instances in which MFS is unable to timely recall securities on loan for a U.S. security, in which cases MFS will not be able to vote these shares. MFS will report to the appropriate board of the MFS Funds those instances in which MFS is not able to timely recall the loaned securities. MFS generally does not recall non-U.S. securities on loan because there may be insufficient advance notice of proxy materials, record dates, or vote cut-off dates to allow MFS to timely recall the shares in certain markets. As a result, non-U.S. securities that are on loan will not generally be voted. If MFS receives timely notice of what MFS determines to be an unusual, significant vote for a non-U.S. security whereas MFS shares are on loan, and determines that voting is in the best long-term economic interest of shareholders, then MFS will attempt to timely recall the loaned shares.

7. Engagement

The MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures are available on www.mfs.com and may be accessed by both MFS clients and the companies in which MFS clients invest. From time to time, MFS may determine that it is appropriate and beneficial for representatives from the MFS Proxy Voting Committee to engage in a dialogue with a company or other shareholder regarding certain matters on the company s proxy statement that are of concern to shareholders, including environmental, social and governance matters. A company or shareholder may also seek to engage with representatives of the MFS Proxy Voting Committee in advance of the company s formal proxy solicitation to solicit support for certain contemplated proposals.

C. MONITORING SYSTEM

It is the responsibility of the Proxy Administrator and MFS Proxy Voting Committee to monitor the proxy voting process. When proxy materials for clients are received by the Proxy Administrator, they are input into the Proxy Administrator s system. Through an interface with the portfolio holdings database of MFS, the Proxy Administrator matches a list of all MFS Funds and clients who hold shares of a company s stock and the number of shares held on the record date with the Proxy Administrator s listing of any upcoming shareholder s meeting of that company.

When the Proxy Administrator s system tickler shows that the voting cut-off date of a shareholders meeting is approaching, a Proxy Administrator representative checks that the vote for MFS Funds and clients holding that security has been recorded in the computer system. If a proxy ballot has not been received from the client s custodian, the Proxy Administrator contacts the custodian requesting that the materials be forwarded immediately. If it is not possible to receive the proxy ballot from the custodian in time to be voted at the meeting, then MFS may instruct the custodian to cast the vote in the manner specified and to mail the proxy directly to the issuer.

D. <u>RECORDS RETENTION</u>

MFS will retain copies of these MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures in effect from time to time and will retain all proxy voting reports submitted to the Board of Trustees and Board of Managers of the MFS Funds for the period required by applicable law. Proxy solicitation materials, including electronic versions of the proxy ballots completed by representatives of the MFS Proxy Voting Committee, together with their respective notes and comments, are maintained in an electronic format by the Proxy Administrator and are accessible on-line by the MFS Proxy Voting Committee. All proxy voting materials and supporting documentation, including records generated by the Proxy Administrator s system as to proxies processed, including the dates when proxy ballots were received and submitted, and the votes on each company s proxy issues, are retained as required by applicable law.

E. <u>*REPORTS*</u> MFS Funds

MFS publicly discloses the proxy voting records of the MFS Funds on an annual basis, as required by law. MFS will also report the results of its voting to the Board of Trustees and Board of Managers of the MFS Funds. These reports will include: (i) a summary of how votes were cast; (ii) a summary of votes against management s recommendation; (iii) a review of situations where MFS did not vote in accordance with the guidelines and the rationale therefore; (iv) a review of the procedures used by MFS to identify material conflicts of interest and any

matters identified as a material conflict of interest; (v) a review of these policies and the guidelines; (vi) a report and impact assessment of instances in which the recall of loaned securities of a U.S. issuer was unsuccessful; and (vii) as necessary or appropriate, any proposed modifications thereto to reflect new developments in corporate governance and other issues. Based on these reviews, the Trustees and Managers of the MFS Funds will consider possible modifications to these policies to the extent necessary or advisable.

All MFS Advisory Clients

At any time, a report can be printed by MFS for each client who has requested that MFS furnish a record of votes cast. The report specifies the proxy issues which have been voted for the client during the year and the position taken with respect to each issue and, upon request, may identify situations where MFS did not vote in accordance with the MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures.

Except as described above, MFS generally will not divulge actual voting practices to any party other than the client or its representatives (unless required by applicable law) because we consider that information to be confidential and proprietary to the client. However, as noted above, MFS may determine that it is appropriate and beneficial to engage in a dialogue with a company regarding certain matters. During such dialogue with the company, MFS may disclose the vote it intends to cast in order to potentially effect positive change at a company in regards to environmental, social or governance issues.

ITEM 8. PORTFOLIO MANAGERS OF CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

General. Information regarding the portfolio manager(s) of the MFS Government Markets Income Trust (the Fund) is set forth below.

Portfolio Manager	Primary Role		Since	Title and Five Year History
Geoffrey L. Schechter	Portfolio Manager	2006		Investment Officer of MFS;
				employed in the investment area
				of MFS since 1993.
Compensation Portfolio mana	ger compensation is reviewed annua	lly As of December	31 2009 portf	olio manager total cash compensation is

Compensation. Portfolio manager compensation is reviewed annually. As of December 31, 2009, portfolio manager total cash compensation is a combination of base salary and performance bonus:

Base Salary Base salary represents a smaller percentage of portfolio manager total cash compensation than performance bonus.

Performance Bonus Generally, the performance bonus represents more than a majority of portfolio manager total cash compensation.

The performance bonus is based on a combination of quantitative and qualitative factors, generally with more weight given to the former and less weight given to the latter.

The quantitative portion is based on the pre-tax performance of assets managed by the portfolio manager over one-, three-, and five-year periods relative to peer group universes and/or indices (benchmarks). As of December 31, 2009, the following benchmarks were used:

Portfolio Manager Geoffrey L. Schechter

Benchmark(s)

Lipper General Municipal Funds, Lipper Short-Intermediate Municipal Funds Lipper High Yield Municipal Funds Lipper General US Government Funds Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index Barclays Capital U.S. Government/Mortgage Bond Index Morningstar Dollar Government Bond Funds Lipper Variable Annuity General U.S. Government Funds

Additional or different benchmarks, including versions of indices and custom indices may also be used. Primary weight is given to portfolio performance over a three-year time period with lesser consideration given to portfolio performance over one-year and five-year periods (adjusted as appropriate if the portfolio manager has served for less than five years).

The qualitative portion is based on the results of an annual internal peer review process (conducted by other portfolio managers, analysts, and traders) and management s assessment of overall portfolio manager contributions to investor relations and the investment process (distinct from fund and other account performance).

Portfolio managers also typically benefit from the opportunity to participate in the MFS Equity Plan. Equity interests and/or options to acquire equity interests in MFS or its parent company are awarded by management, on a discretionary basis, taking into account tenure at MFS, contribution to the investment process, and other factors.

Finally, portfolio managers also participate in benefit plans (including a defined contribution plan and health and other insurance plans) and programs available generally to other employees of MFS. The percentage such benefits represent of any portfolio manager s compensation depends upon the length of the individual s tenure at MFS and salary level, as well as other factors.

Ownership of Fund Shares. The following table shows the dollar range of equity securities of the Fund beneficially owned by the Fund s portfolio manager(s) as of the fund s fiscal year ended November 30, 2010. The following dollar ranges apply:

N. None

- A. \$1 \$10,000
- B. \$10,001 \$50,000
- C. \$50,001 \$100,000
- D. \$100,001 \$500,000
- E. \$500,001 \$1,000,000
- F. Over \$1,000,000

Name of Portfolio Manager	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Fund
Geoffrey L. Schechter	N

Other Accounts. In addition to the Fund, the Fund s portfolio manager is named as a portfolio manager of certain other accounts managed or subadvised by MFS or an affiliate, the number and assets of which, as of the fund s fiscal year ended November 30, 2010

	Registered Investment Companies		Other Pooled Investment Vehicles		Other Accounts	
	Number of		Number of		Number of	
Name	Accounts*	Total Assets*	Accounts	Total Assets	Accounts	Total Assets
Geoffrey L. Schechter	13	\$ 8.5billion	1	\$ 490.5million	0	N/A

* Includes the Fund.

Advisory fees are not based upon performance of any of the accounts identified in the table above.

Potential Conflicts of Interest. The Adviser seeks to identify potential conflicts of interest resulting from a portfolio manager s management of both the Fund and other accounts, and has adopted policies and procedures designed to address such potential conflicts.

The management of multiple funds and accounts (including proprietary accounts) gives rise to potential conflicts of interest if the funds and accounts have different objectives and strategies, benchmarks, time horizons and fees as a portfolio manager must allocate his or her time and investment ideas across multiple funds and accounts. In certain instances there are securities which are suitable for the Fund s portfolio as well as for accounts of the Adviser or its subsidiaries with similar investment objectives. A Fund s trade allocation policies may give rise to conflicts of interest if the Fund s orders do not get fully executed or are delayed in getting executed due to being aggregated with those of other accounts of the Adviser or its subsidiaries. A portfolio manager may execute transactions for another fund or account that may adversely affect the value of the Fund s investments. Investments selected for funds or accounts other than the Fund may outperform investments selected for the Fund.

When two or more clients are simultaneously engaged in the purchase or sale of the same security, the securities are allocated among clients in a manner believed by the Adviser to be fair and equitable to each. It is recognized that in some cases this system could have a detrimental effect on the price or volume of the security as far as the Fund is concerned. In most cases, however, the Adviser believes that the Fund is ability to participate in volume transactions will produce better executions for the Fund.

The Adviser and/or a portfolio manager may have a financial incentive to allocate favorable or limited opportunity investments or structure the timing of investments to favor accounts other than the Fund, for instance, those that pay a higher advisory fee and/or have a performance adjustment.

ITEM 9. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANY AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS.

MFS Government Markets Income Trust

Period 12/01/09-12/31/09	(a) Total number of Shares Purchased	(b) Average Price Paid per Share N/A	(c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	(d) Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased under the Plans or Programs 2, 212, 020
	0		0	3,213,929
1/01/10-1/31/10	0	N/A	0	3,213,929
2/01/10-2/28/10	0	N/A	0	3,213,929
3/01/10-3/31/10	0	N/A	0	3,229,317
4/01/10-4/30/10	0	N/A	0	3,229,317
5/01/10-5/31/10	0	N/A	0	3,229,317
6/01/10-6/30/10	0	N/A	0	3,229,317
7/01/10-7/31/10	0	N/A	0	3,229,317
8/01/10-8/31/10	0	N/A	0	3,229,317
9/01/10-9/30/10	0	N/A	0	3,229,317
10/01/10-10/31/10	0	N/A	0	3,229,317
11/01/10-11/30/10	0	N/A	0	3,229,317
Total	0		0	

Note: The Board of Trustees approves procedures to repurchase shares annually. The notification to shareholders of the program is part of the semi-annual and annual reports sent to shareholders. These annual programs begin on March 1st of each year. The programs conform to the conditions of Rule 10b-18 of the securities Exchange Act of 1934 and limit the aggregate number of shares that may be purchased in each annual period (March 1 through the following February 28) to 10% of the Registrant s outstanding shares as of the first day of the plan year (March 1). The aggregate number of shares available for purchase for the March 1, 2010 plan year is <u>3.229.317</u>.

ITEM 10. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

There were no material changes to the procedures by which shareholders may send recommendations to the Board for nominees to the Registrant s Board since the Registrant last provided disclosure as to such procedures in response to the requirements of Item 407 (c)(2)(iv) of Regulation S-K or this Item.

ITEM 11. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

(a) Based upon their evaluation of the registrant s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 30a-3(c) under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the Act)) as conducted within 90 days of the filing date of this Form N-CSR, the registrant s

principal financial officer and principal executive officer have concluded that those disclosure controls and procedures provide reasonable assurance that the material information required to be disclosed by the registrant on this report is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms.

(b) There were no changes in the registrant s internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 30a-3(d) under the Act) that occurred during the second fiscal quarter covered by the report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 12. EXHIBITS.

(a) File the exhibits listed below as part of this form. Letter or number the exhibits in the sequence indicated.
(1) Any code of ethics, or amendment thereto, that is the subject of the disclosure required by Item 2, to the extent that the registrant intends to satisfy the Item 2 requirements through filing of an exhibit: Code of Ethics attached hereto.

(2) A separate certification for each principal executive officer and principal financial officer of the registrant as required by Rule 30a-2(a) under the Act (17 CFR 270.30a-2): Attached hereto.

(3) Notices to Trust s common shareholders in accordance with Investment Company Act Section 19(a) and Rule 19a-1.

(b) If the report is filed under Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, provide the certifications required by Rule 30a-2(b) under the Act (17 CFR 270.30a-2(b)), Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13a-14(b) or 240.15d-14(b)) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (18 U.S.C. 1350) as an exhibit. A certification furnished pursuant to this paragraph will not be deemed filed for the purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78r), or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Such certification will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the registrant specifically incorporates it by reference: Attached hereto.

Notice

A copy of the Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust of the Registrant is on file with the Secretary of State of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and notice is hereby given that this instrument is executed on behalf of the Registrant by an officer of the Registrant as an officer and not individually and the obligations of or arising out of this instrument are not binding upon any of the Trustees or shareholders individually, but are binding only upon the assets and property of the respective constituent series of the Registrant.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Registrant MFS GOVERNMENT MARKETS INCOME TRUST

By (Signature and Title)*	MARIA F. DIORIODWYER
	Maria F. DiOrioDwyer, President
Date: January 1/ 2011	

Date: January 14, 2011

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By (Signature and Title)*	MARIA F. DIORIODWYER
	Maria F. DiOrioDwyer, President
	(Principal Executive Officer)
Date: January 14, 2011	

By (Signature and Title)*	JOHN M. CORCORAN
	John M. Corcoran, Treasurer
	(Principal Financial Officer
	and Accounting Officer)
Date: January 14, 2011	_

* Print name and title of each signing officer under his or her signature.