

AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC
Form 10-Q
November 07, 2006
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2006

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 1-9360

AMERICAN LAND LEASE, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of

Incorporation or organization)

29399 U.S. Hwy 19 North, Suite 320

Clearwater, Florida
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (727) 726-8868

84-1038736
(IRS Employer

Identification No.)

33761
(Zip Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

As of October 30, 2006, approximately 7,797,000 shares of common stock, par value \$.01 per share, were outstanding.

Table of Contents

AMERICAN LAND LEASE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

FORM 10-Q

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements:</u>	
<u>Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2006 (unaudited) and December 31, 2005</u>	1
<u>Statements of Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 (unaudited)</u>	2
<u>Statement of Stockholders' Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 (unaudited)</u>	3
<u>Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 (unaudited)</u>	4
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	5
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations:</u>	
<u>Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates</u>	24
<u>Recent Accounting Pronouncement</u>	27
<u>Portfolio Summary</u>	28
<u>Occupancy Roll Forward</u>	28
<u>Operating Strategy</u>	28
<u>Results of Operations</u>	30
<u>Executive Overview</u>	30
<u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u>	45
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	48
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	49
<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION:</u>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	50
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	50
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	50

Table of Contents**PART I****FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****AMERICAN LAND LEASE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(in thousands, except per share data)**

	September 30, 2006 (unaudited)	December 31, 2005
ASSETS		
Real estate, net of accumulated depreciation of \$28,041 and \$25,277, respectively, including real estate under development of \$103,940 and \$78,416, respectively	\$ 374,192	\$ 294,126
Cash and cash equivalents	311	1,795
Inventory	23,731	18,759
Other assets, net	14,845	11,236
Assets held for sale	3,874	3,773
Total Assets	\$ 416,953	\$ 329,689
LIABILITIES		
Secured long-term notes payable	\$ 203,428	\$ 149,388
Secured short-term financing	43,783	19,669
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	17,359	12,474
Liabilities related to assets held for sale	2,261	2,304
	266,831	183,835
MINORITY INTEREST IN OPERATING PARTNERSHIP	16,333	15,945
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Preferred stock, par value \$.01 per share, 3,000 shares authorized, 1,000 and 1,000 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	25,000	25,000
Common stock, par value \$.01 per share; 12,000 shares authorized; 9,238 and 9,346 shares issued, respectively; 7,512 and 7,620 shares outstanding (excluding treasury stock), respectively	92	93
Additional paid-in capital	289,223	288,224
Deferred compensation re restricted stock		(1,651)
Dividends in excess of accumulated earnings	(153,914)	(155,145)
Treasury stock, 1,726 and 1,726 shares at cost, respectively	(26,612)	(26,612)
	133,789	129,909
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 416,953	\$ 329,689

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**AMERICAN LAND LEASE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

(in thousands, except per share data)(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended	Three Months Ended	Nine Months Ended	Nine Months Ended
	September 30, 2006	September 30, 2005	September 30, 2006	September 30, 2005
RENTAL PROPERTY OPERATIONS				
Rental and other property revenues	\$ 9,121	\$ 7,740	\$ 25,801	\$ 22,738
Golf course operating revenues	154	131	837	724
Total property operating revenues	9,275	7,871	26,638	23,462
Property operating expenses	(3,136)	(2,750)	(9,108)	(7,927)
Recovery of expenses (expenses) from casualty events		(21)		156
Golf course operating expenses	(296)	(329)	(1,034)	(995)
Total property operating expenses	(3,432)	(3,100)	(10,142)	(8,766)
Depreciation	(1,136)	(869)	(3,147)	(2,535)
Income from rental property operations	4,707	3,902	13,349	12,161
SALES OPERATIONS				
Home sales revenue	12,197	13,676	37,745	34,669
Cost of home sales	(8,244)	(9,562)	(25,202)	(24,064)
Gross profit on home sales	3,953	4,114	12,543	10,605
Commissions earned on brokered sales	45	112	368	514
Commissions paid on brokered sales	(27)	(64)	(185)	(288)
Gross profit on brokered sales	18	48	183	226
Selling and marketing expenses	(2,582)	(2,550)	(8,136)	(7,431)
Income from sales operations	1,389	1,612	4,590	3,400
General and administrative expenses	(1,055)	(946)	(2,941)	(2,240)
Interest and other income	34	2	178	22
Casualty gain				237
Interest expense	(2,218)	(1,283)	(5,629)	(4,158)
Income before minority interest in Operating Partnership and discontinued operations	2,857	3,287	9,547	9,422
Minority interest in Operating Partnership	(330)	(391)	(1,115)	(1,121)
Income from continuing operations	2,527	2,896	8,432	8,301
DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS				
Income from discontinued operations, net of minority interest in Operating Partnership	40	24	133	88
Net income	\$ 2,567	\$ 2,920	\$ 8,565	\$ 8,389

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-Q

Cumulative preferred stock dividends		(485)	(484)	(1,453)	(1,162)
Net income available to common stockholders	\$	2,082	\$ 2,436	\$ 7,112	\$ 7,227
Basic earnings from continuing operations (net of preferred stock dividends)	\$	0.27	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.93	\$ 0.99
Basic earnings from discontinued operations		0.01	0.00	0.02	0.01
Basic earnings per common share	\$	0.28	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.95	\$ 1.00
Diluted earnings from continuing operations (net of preferred stock dividends)	\$	0.26	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.89	\$ 0.93
Diluted earnings from discontinued operations		0.01	0.00	0.02	0.01
Diluted earnings per common share	\$	0.27	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.91	\$ 0.94
Weighted average common shares outstanding		7,507	7,331	7,477	7,262
Weighted average common shares and common share equivalents outstanding		7,808	7,706	7,839	7,649
Dividends paid per common share	\$	0.25	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.75	\$ 0.75

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statement

Table of Contents**AMERICAN LAND LEASE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY**

(in thousands)

(unaudited)

	Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Deferred Compensation on Restricted Stock	Dividends In Excess of Accumulated Earnings	Treasury Stock	Total Stockholders' Equity
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount					
BALANCES DECEMBER 31, 2005	1,000	\$ 25,000	9,346	\$ 93	\$ 288,224	\$ (1,651)	\$ (155,145)	\$ (26,612)	\$ 129,909
Exercise of options			44		561				561
Vesting of restricted stock			32						
Equity compensation granted to the Board of Directors			5		140				140
Stock-based compensation					948				948
Stock issued for acquisition			39	1	999				1,000
SFAS 123R adjustment			(228)	(2)	(1,649)	1,651			
Net income							8,565		8,565
Dividends paid - preferred stock							(1,453)		(1,453)
Dividends paid - common stock							(5,881)		(5,881)
BALANCES SEPTEMBER 30, 2006	1,000	\$ 25,000	9,238	\$ 92	\$ 289,223	\$	\$ (153,914)	\$ (26,612)	\$ 133,789

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**AMERICAN LAND LEASE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(in thousands)****(unaudited)**

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2006	2005
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income	\$ 8,565	\$ 8,389
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	4,078	3,347
Revenue recognized related to acquired lease obligations	(142)	(53)
Stock-based compensation	971	607
Minority interest in Operating Partnership	1,115	1,121
Minority interest in Operating Partnership discontinued operations	18	12
Casualty gain		(237)
Increase in inventory	(4,972)	(2,643)
Net change in operating assets and liabilities	1,678	3,507
 Net cash provided by operating activities	 11,311	 14,050
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Proceeds from casualty gain		237
Purchase of real estate	(43,480)	(15,804)
Additions to real estate, including development	(29,662)	(18,471)
Capitalized interest	(5,421)	(4,034)
Hurricane capital replacements		(744)
Capital replacements	(1,505)	(865)
Additions to fixed assets for taxable subsidiaries classified as other assets	(1,316)	(977)
Notes receivable advances	(533)	
Proceeds from notes receivable	208	78
 Net cash used in investing activities	 (81,709)	 (40,580)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Proceeds from secured short-term financing	24,114	9,133
Proceeds from secured long-term notes payable borrowings	56,299	2,159
Principal payments on secured long-term notes payable	(2,300)	(2,452)
Payments of deferred financing costs	(1,546)	(854)
Payments to escrow funds	(322)	(636)
Collections of escrowed funds	187	162
Collections of notes receivable on common stock purchases		748
Proceeds from stock options exercised	561	2,150
Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock		23,902
Payments of costs associated with equity issuance		(24)
Dividends previously accounted for as compensation expense		(371)
Payments of common stock dividends	(5,881)	(5,646)
Payments of preferred stock dividends	(1,453)	(1,001)
Payments of OP Unit distributions	(745)	(732)

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-Q

Net cash provided by financing activities	68,914	26,538
NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(1,484)	8
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	1,795	820
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	\$ 311	\$ 828

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

AMERICAN LAND LEASE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2006

(unaudited)

A. The Company

American Land Lease, Inc. (ANL) is a Delaware corporation that owns home sites leased to owners of homes situated on the leased land and operates the communities composed of these homes. ANL has elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust (REIT). ANL 's preferred stock (preferred stock), par value \$0.01 per share, is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol ANLPRA. ANL 's common stock (common stock), par value \$0.01 per share, is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol ANL. In May 1997, ANL contributed its net assets to Asset Investors Operating Partnership, L.P. (the Operating Partnership) in exchange for the sole general partner interest in the Operating Partnership and substantially all of the Operating Partnership 's initial capital. Except as the context requires, we, our, us and the Company refer to ANL, the Operating Partnership and all majority-owned subsidiaries.

Interests in the Operating Partnership held by limited partners other than ANL are referred to as OP Units. The Operating Partnership 's income is allocated to holders of OP Units based on the weighted average number of OP Units outstanding during the period. The holders of the OP Units receive distributions, prorated from the date of issuance, in an amount equivalent to the dividends paid, if any, to holders of ANL 's common stock. After holding OP Units for one year, limited partners generally have the right to redeem their OP Units for cash. Notwithstanding that right, the Operating Partnership may elect to acquire some or all of the OP Units tendered for redemption in exchange for shares of ANL 's common stock in lieu of cash. At September 30, 2006, the Operating Partnership had approximately 992,000 OP Units outstanding, excluding those owned by ANL, and ANL owned 88% of the Operating Partnership.

As of September 30, 2006, based on total sites, 72% of the Company 's portfolio of residential land lease communities is located in Florida, 23% in Arizona, 4% in Alabama and 1% in New Jersey.

B. Presentation of Financial Statements

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included and are of a normal recurring nature. Operating results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2006.

The condensed consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2005 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date but does not include all of the information and notes required by GAAP.

For further information, refer to the statements and notes thereto included in ANL 's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Table of Contents

C. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of ANL, the Operating Partnership and all majority-owned subsidiaries. The minority interest in the Operating Partnership represents the OP Units that are redeemable at the option of the holder. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Real Estate and Depreciation

The Company capitalizes direct costs associated with the acquisition of consolidated properties as a cost of the assets acquired, and such direct costs are depreciated over the estimated useful lives of the related assets. In accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141, *Business Combinations*, the Company allocates the purchase price of real estate to land, land improvements, buildings, furniture, fixtures, equipment and intangibles, such as the value of above and below market leases and origination costs associated with the in-place leases. In order to allocate purchase price on these various components, the Company performs the following procedures for properties acquired:

1. Determine the as-if vacant fair value of the physical property acquired;
2. Allocate the as-if vacant fair value among land, land improvements, buildings (based on real estate valuation techniques), furniture, fixtures and equipment; and
3. Compute the difference between the purchase price of the property and the as-if vacant fair value and allocate such difference to leases in-place (based on the nature of our business, customer relationship value is assumed to be zero), which will represent the total intangible assets or liabilities. The fair value of the leases in-place are comprised of:
 - a. The value of the above and/or below market leases in-place. Above-market and below-market in-place lease values are computed based on the difference between (i) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to the in-place leases and (ii) management's estimate of fair market lease rates and effective lease terms for the corresponding in-place leases, measured over a period equal to the estimated remaining effective terms of the leases.
 - b. Avoided leasing commissions and other costs that were incurred to execute leases.
 - c. The value associated with lost rents during the absorption period (estimates of lost rental revenue during the expected lease-up periods based on current market demand).

The values of the above and below market leases are amortized and recorded as either an increase (in the case of below market leases) or a decrease (in the case of above market leases) to rental income over the estimated remaining expected terms of the associated leases (including fixed rate renewal periods for below market leases). Amortization expense is recorded over the expected remaining terms of the associated leases for the values associated with avoided leasing commissions, other costs that were incurred to execute leases and the value associated with lost rents during the absorption period. If a resident vacates a home site prior to the effective term of the lease and no rental payments are being made on the lease, any unamortized balance of the related intangible will be written off.

Table of Contents

Rental properties are recorded at cost less accumulated depreciation, unless considered impaired. Significant renovations and improvements, which improve or extend the useful life of an asset, are capitalized and depreciated using the straight-line method over the remaining estimated life. In addition, the Company capitalizes direct and indirect costs (including interest, taxes and other costs) in connection with the development of additional home sites within its residential land lease communities. Maintenance, repairs and minor improvements are expensed as incurred.

Interest incurred relating to the development of communities is capitalized during the development period. The Company's strategy is to master plan, develop, and build substantially all of the home sites in its communities. Accordingly, substantially all projects, excluding finished lots where the home is available for occupancy, are undergoing development. The Company capitalized interest of approximately \$2,001,000 and \$1,448,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, and \$5,421,000 and \$4,034,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

If events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a property may be impaired, the Company will make an assessment of its recoverability by estimating the future undiscounted cash flows, excluding interest charges, of the property. If the carrying amount exceeds the aggregate future cash flows, the Company would recognize an impairment loss to the extent the carrying amount exceeds the fair value of the property.

Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over an estimated useful life of 5 to 75 years for land improvements, 5 to 50 years for buildings and 5 to 15 years for furniture and other equipment, all of which are judgmental determinations. These determinations may prove to be different than the actual life of any individual asset.

Cash Equivalents

The Company considers highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Inventory

The Company, through a taxable subsidiary corporation, maintains an inventory of homes situated within its residential land lease communities. Carrying amounts for inventory are determined on a specific identification basis and are stated at the lower of cost or market. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management, inventory write-downs may be required that could have a significant impact on the Company's results of operations and cash flows. As of September 30, 2006, approximately \$1,942,000 of the Company's total inventory investment of approximately \$23,731,000 was older than one year. The Company recorded charges of approximately \$87,000 and \$29,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, and \$308,000 and \$252,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, to record inventory carrying amounts to market value.

Table of Contents

Revenue Recognition

The Company generates income from the rental of home sites. The leases entered into by residents for the rental of home sites are generally for terms of one year, and the rental revenues associated with the leases are recognized when earned and due from residents.

The Company, through a taxable subsidiary, generates income from memberships, daily green fees, cart rentals and merchandise sales at golf courses located within its communities. Revenues associated with the activities of the golf courses are recognized when earned and received by the Company.

The Company, through a taxable subsidiary, generates income from the sale of homes situated on home sites owned by the Company. Sales of homes by the Company are recorded upon the closing of the home sale transaction and title passing to the purchaser.

Deferred Financing Costs

Fees and costs incurred in obtaining financing are capitalized. Such costs are amortized over the terms of the related loan agreements using the effective interest method and are charged to interest expense.

Advertising Costs

Costs of advertising are expensed the first time the advertising takes place. Direct response advertising conducted by the Company during the periods was expensed as incurred, as the Company could not define the expected period of future benefits. Advertising expenses were \$442,000 and \$477,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, and \$1,811,000 and \$1,724,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and are included within golf course operating expenses and selling and marketing expenses in the condensed consolidated statements of income.

Income Taxes

ANL has elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. To qualify as a REIT, ANL must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including income, asset, and stockholder requirements, and a requirement that, in general, it must distribute currently at least 90% of its adjusted taxable income to its stockholders. It is management's current intention to adhere to these requirements and maintain ANL's REIT status. As a REIT, ANL generally will not be subject to corporate level federal income tax on taxable income that it distributes currently to its stockholders, by virtue of a deduction for dividends paid. If ANL fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, it will be subject to federal income taxes at regular corporate rates (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) and, unless entitled to relief under certain statutory provisions, may not be able to qualify as a REIT for four subsequent taxable years. Even if ANL qualifies for taxation as a REIT, the Company may be subject to certain state and local taxes on its income and property, and to federal income and excise taxes and penalties, including taxes on ANL's undistributed taxable income. In addition, taxable income from non-REIT activities conducted through taxable subsidiaries of ANL is subject to federal, state, and local income taxes.

Table of Contents

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share are based upon the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding during each period. Diluted earnings per share reflect the effect of dilutive, unexercised stock options, both vested and unvested, and unvested restricted stock of 301,000 and 375,000 shares for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, and 362,000 and 387,000 shares for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Unvested stock options totaling 10,000 and 0 shares for the three month ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, were excluded from diluted earnings per share as their effect was anti-dilutive. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, there were no potential shares of stock excluded from diluted earnings per share as all unexercised stock options, both vested and unvested, and unvested restricted stock would be dilutive.

Stock-Based Compensation

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment*, or SFAS 123(R), which is a revision of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, or SFAS 123. SFAS 123(R) supersedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and amends SFAS No. 95, *Statement of Cash Flows*. Generally, the fair value approach in SFAS 123(R) is similar to the fair value approach described in SFAS 123. In 2005, the Company used the Black-Scholes-Merton formula to estimate the fair value of stock options and a barrier options model to estimate the fair value of market-based restricted stock granted to employees. The Company adopted SFAS 123(R), using the modified-prospective transition method, effective January 1, 2006. Based on the terms of the Company's 1998 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended (the "Plan"), the Company did not have a material cumulative effect related to the adoption of SFAS 123(R). The Company elected to continue to estimate the fair value of stock options and market-based restricted stock using the Black-Scholes-Merton formula and a barrier option model, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, the adoption of SFAS 123(R) did not have a material impact on stock-based compensation expense. Further, the adoption of SFAS 123(R) will not have a material impact on the Company's future stock-based compensation expense.

Treasury Stock

On October 17, 2000, the Board of Directors authorized the Company to repurchase up to 2,000,000 shares of the outstanding common stock. The timing of stock purchases is at the discretion of management. No shares were repurchased for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, and 2005. The Company has repurchased approximately 577,000 shares as of September 30, 2006 pursuant to this authorization.

Depreciation of Personal Property

Depreciation of personal property is reported in property operating expenses, selling and marketing expenses, or general and administrative expenses, based upon the use of the associated asset. The Company recorded depreciation expense relating to personal property of \$133,000 and \$115,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, and \$385,000 and \$330,000, for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over an estimated useful life of 5 to 15 years for furniture and other equipment, all of which are judgmental determinations. These determinations may prove to be different than the actual life of any individual asset.

Table of Contents*Statements of Cash Flows*

The Company considers cash maintained in bank accounts, money market funds and highly liquid investments with an initial maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Non-cash investing and financing activities for the period ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 were as follows:

	2006	2005
Issuance of common stock for:		
Services by directors	\$ 140,000	\$ 120,000
Acquisition of an age-restricted residential land lease community	\$ 1,000,000	\$
Real estate acquired:		
By assumption of below market leases	\$ 2,774,000	\$
By issuance of OP Units	\$	\$ 364,000

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The aggregate fair value of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, payables and secured short-term financing as of September 30, 2006 approximates their carrying value due to their relatively short-term nature. Management further believes that the fair value of variable rate secured long-term notes payable approximates their carrying value. For the Company's fixed rate secured long-term notes payable, fair values have been based on estimates using present value techniques. These techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. In that regard, the calculated estimates of fair value cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent market quotes and, in many cases, may not be realized in immediate settlement of the instrument. The estimated fair value of the Company's secured long-term notes payable was \$210,370,000 and \$156,657,000 at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively, as compared to the carrying value of \$203,428,000 and \$149,388,000 at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made in the 2005 consolidated financial statements used in the current period, including treatment of discontinued operations. Such reclassifications have no material effect on the amounts as originally presented.

Table of Contents**D. Real Estate**

Real estate at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 is as follows (in thousands):

	September 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
Land	\$ 88,958	\$ 64,316
Land improvements and buildings	313,275	255,087
	402,233	319,403
Less accumulated depreciation	(28,041)	(25,277)
Real estate, net	\$ 374,192	\$ 294,126

The Company's real estate investment consists of buildings, land improvements, and land. Buildings consist primarily of clubhouses at its residential land lease communities maintained as amenities for resident use. A majority of the Company's investment in land improvements consists of long-lived assets such as lateral infrastructure at its residential land lease communities including sanitary sewer and storm water collection systems, potable water supply systems, roads and walkways. The balance of land improvements consists of assets with shorter lives such as fencing, swimming pools, spas, shuffleboard courts, tennis courts and other resident amenities. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over an estimated useful life of 5 to 75 years for land improvements and 5 to 50 years for buildings.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the Company acquired an age-restricted community with 465 home sites in Sebastian, Florida, in a cash transaction for a total consideration of \$28,500,000. In addition to the cash consideration, approximately \$1,910,000 was allocated to a liability for below-market leases, which is included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities and is amortized over the periods of the acquired leases, including estimated renewal periods. The community is situated on 145 acres and contains 365 occupied home sites and an additional 100 fully developed home sites.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the Company acquired an age-restricted community with a projected site plan of 425 home sites in Foley, Alabama, in a cash and stock transaction for a total consideration of \$5,000,000. As part of the consideration, 39,118 shares of the Company's common stock were issued to the sellers. The community is situated on 112 acres and contains 91 occupied home sites, 74 home sites fully developed and unoccupied and an additional 260 home sites to be developed.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the Company acquired an age-restricted community with 314 home sites in Bullhead City, Arizona, in a cash transaction for a total consideration of \$11,111,000. In addition to the cash consideration, approximately \$864,000 was allocated to a liability for below-market leases, which is included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities and is amortized over the periods of the acquired leases, including estimated renewal periods. The community is situated on 56 acres and contains 205 occupied home sites and an additional 109 fully developed home sites.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, the Company acquired a 260-acre tract of land in Micco, Florida, in a cash transaction for a total consideration of \$15,700,000 for a new age-restricted community of approximately 533 home sites.

Table of Contents**E. Casualty Events**

In August and September 2004, several of the Company's properties were impacted by the four hurricanes that traversed central Florida. Hurricanes Charley, Frances, Ivan and Jeanne damaged community amenities and resident homes. At December 31, 2004, the Company recorded \$97,000 as a receivable from its insurer and had additional claims with its insurer related to recoveries of damages caused during the hurricanes in 2004 that were not included in the accounts of the Company. During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, the Company was successful in obtaining \$971,000 in additional proceeds from its insurers related to the 2004 hurricanes. During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, the proceeds were accounted for as a casualty gain of approximately \$237,000 and reimbursements of residual hurricane expenses of approximately \$734,000, resulting in net recoveries of approximately \$156,000, or \$137,000 net of minority interest in the Operating Partnership. The Company's insurance claims were closed in 2005.

F. Discontinued Operations and Assets Held For Sale

At September 30, 2006, the Company classified one property in New Jersey with an aggregate of 90 home sites as an asset held for sale. The following is a summary of the components of income from discontinued operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2006	2005	September 30, 2006	2005
Discontinued Property Operations:				
Rental and other property revenues	\$ 137	\$ 136	\$ 425	\$ 401
Property operating expenses	(27)	(44)	(83)	(111)
Interest expense	(46)	(47)	(137)	(140)
Depreciation	(18)	(17)	(54)	(50)
Income from discontinued operations before minority interest	46	28	151	100
Minority interest attributed to discontinued operations	(6)	(4)	(18)	(12)
Income from discontinued operations, net of minority interest	\$ 40	\$ 24	\$ 133	\$ 88

At September 30, 2006, no impairment loss has been recorded for the asset held for sale.

Table of Contents**G. Secured Long-Term Notes Payable**

The following table summarizes the Company's secured long-term notes payable (in thousands):

	September 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
Fixed rate, ranging from 7.86% to 8.20%, fully amortizing, non-recourse notes maturing at various dates from 2018 through 2020	\$ 10,599	\$ 62,563
Fixed rate, ranging from 5.48% to 7.75%, partially amortizing, non-recourse notes maturing at various dates from 2007 through 2020	181,473	71,810
Variable rate, at 90 day LIBOR plus 175 basis points, non-recourse note maturing in 2011	11,356	15,015
	\$ 203,428	\$ 149,388

On January 10, 2006, the Company issued an \$11,500,000 non-recourse mortgage note payable and modified an existing \$4,500,000 note payable, resulting in a restated \$16,000,000 note payable with a fixed rate of 6.02% maturing on February 1, 2016.

On February 27, 2006, the Company issued a \$4,489,000 thirteen-year, non-recourse mortgage note payable with a fixed rate of 6.05% and modified an existing \$4,036,000 note, resulting in a restated \$8,525,000 note payable with a blended fixed rate of 6.32% maturing on February 28, 2019.

On February 27, 2006, the Company issued a \$3,093,000 fourteen-year, non-recourse mortgage note payable with a fixed rate of 5.92% and modified an existing \$6,177,000 note, resulting in a restated \$9,270,000 note payable with a blended fixed rate of 6.76% maturing on February 29, 2020.

On March 14, 2006, the Company issued an \$8,052,000 thirteen-year, non-recourse mortgage note payable with a fixed rate of 5.90% and modified an existing \$21,999,000 note, resulting in a restated \$30,051,000 note payable with a blended fixed rate of 6.39% maturing on March 31, 2019.

On March 14, 2006, the Company issued a \$3,989,000 thirteen-year, non-recourse mortgage note payable with a fixed rate of 5.89% and modified an existing \$6,236,000 note, resulting in a restated \$10,225,000 note payable with a blended fixed rate of 6.56% maturing on March 31, 2019.

On March 14, 2006, the Company issued a \$3,125,000 thirteen-year, non-recourse mortgage note payable with a fixed rate of 5.89% and modified an existing \$3,570,000 note, resulting in a restated \$6,695,000 note payable with a blended fixed rate of 6.46% maturing on March 31, 2019.

On April 10, 2006, the Company issued a \$2,660,000 fourteen-year, non-recourse mortgage note payable and modified an existing \$5,340,000 note, resulting in a restated \$8,000,000 note payable with a blended fixed rate of 6.64% maturing on April 30, 2020.

On May 22, 2006, the Company issued a \$5,584,000 twelve and a half-year, non-recourse mortgage note payable and modified a \$10,366,000 note, resulting in a restated \$15,950,000 note payable with a blended fixed rate of 6.76% maturing on November 30, 2018.

Table of Contents

On June 1, 2006, the Company entered into a construction loan agreement and associated mortgage that provides for total advances of \$26,500,000 with a variable rate of 175 basis points over the three month LIBOR rate maturing on July 1, 2011. As of September 30, 2006, the outstanding balance was \$11,356,000.

On July 12, 2006, the Company issued a \$2,403,000 thirteen-year, non-recourse mortgage note payable with a fixed rate of 6.63% and modified an existing \$3,797,000 note, resulting in a restated \$6,200,000 note payable with a blended fixed rate of 7.17% maturing on October 31, 2019. The note allows the Company to obtain additional earn out advances of \$7,250,000 over the next six years.

H. Secured Short-Term Financing

On July 25, 2006, the Company issued a \$10,000,000 short-term note payable with a variable rate of 200 basis points over the 1-month LIBOR rate (7.32% at September 30, 2006) maturing on January 25, 2007. The note is secured by real property and improvements located in Indian River County, Florida with a net book value of \$30,569,000.

The Company has a revolving line of credit with a bank with a total commitment of \$16,000,000 with a variable rate of 200 basis points over the 1-month LIBOR rate (7.32% at September 30, 2006). The line of credit is secured by real property and improvements located in St. Lucie, Lake, and Pasco Counties, Florida and Maricopa County, Arizona with a net book value of \$36,757,000. The revolving line of credit matures in December 2006. At September 30, 2006, \$9,970,000 was outstanding and \$6,030,000 was not drawn under the revolving line of credit. The availability of funds to the Company under the line of credit is subject to certain borrowing base and other customary restrictions, including compliance with financial and other covenants thereunder. The financial covenants of the line of credit require the Company to maintain a ratio of cash flow (as defined by the lender) on a trailing twelve-month basis to pro forma annual debt service obligations (as defined by the lender) of not less than 1.1 to 1.0 on properties securing the line of credit, to maintain a tangible net worth of \$90,000,000 and to maintain a debt to net worth ratio of not more than 2.0 to 1.0, among others. Based upon the application of these covenants, as of September 30, 2006, \$15,557,000 was available to the Company. The Company believes it was in compliance with all financial covenant requirements at September 30, 2006.

The Company has a floor plan line of credit with a floor plan lender providing a committed credit facility of \$35,000,000 with a variable interest rate linked to the prime rate and spreads varying from 0.25% to 3.5%, depending on the manufacturer and age of the inventory. Individual advances mature between 360 days and 720 days based on the aging of the Company's inventory. This floor plan line of credit is secured by inventory located in the Company's residential land lease communities with a carrying value of approximately \$22,062,000. At September 30, 2006, approximately \$23,813,000 was outstanding, of which \$3,079,000 was recourse to the Company, and approximately \$11,187,000 was available under the floor plan credit facility. The financial covenants of the floor plan line of credit require the Company to maintain a tangible net worth of \$90,000,000 and to maintain a debt to net worth ratio of not more than 2.0 to 1.0, among others. The Company believes it was in compliance with all financial covenant requirements at September 30, 2006. The floor plan lender's commitment to fund future inventory purchases expires in September 2009.

Table of Contents

I. Commitments and Contingencies

Commitments

In the ordinary course of business, the Company has entered into various construction contracts with third parties to develop subdivisions within the Company's existing portfolio of residential land lease communities. The unpaid balance of these contracts remaining at September 30, 2006 is approximately \$14,744,000.

As of September 30, 2006, the Company's outstanding purchase obligations with manufacturers of homes to be constructed in the Company's communities totaled \$1,042,000.

Legal Contingencies

The Company is party to various legal actions resulting from its operating activities. These actions are routine litigation and administrative proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, some of which are covered by liability insurance, and none of which are expected to have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial condition or results of operations of the Company and its subsidiaries taken as a whole.

J. Segment Reporting

The Company has two reportable segments: rental property (ownership of land leases, land development, investment acquisition and disposition) and home sales (sale of homes, both new and used, to be sited on land owned by the Company). The rental property segment consists of residential land lease communities that generate rental and other property related income through the leasing of land to residents that are unrelated to the Company. The home sales segment sells homes to customers that are unrelated to the Company. The homes sold by the home sales segment are situated on land within the Company's portfolio of rental property. The customers of the home sales business become residents of the Company's rental property segment coincident with the sale of a home, at which time the customer enters into a lease with the rental property segment. No revenues are generated from transactions with other segments and no single resident or customer contributed 10% or more of total revenues during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005.

Non-segment revenue used to reconcile total revenue consists of interest income and other income. Non-segment assets used to reconcile to total assets include cash and cash equivalents, cash in escrows, accounts receivable, prepaid expenses, investments, deferred charges and other assets. Overhead expenses, such as administrative expenses, are allocated to each segment based upon management's best estimate of the resources utilized in the management and operations of each segment. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in Note C.

SFAS 131, *Disclosure about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*, requires that segment disclosures present the measure(s) used by the chief operating decision maker for purposes of assessing such segments' performance. The Company's chief operating decision maker is comprised of its executive senior management team who use several generally accepted industry financial measures to assess the performance of the business. Specifically, the Company's chief operating decision makers assess and measure rental property operating activities based on income before depreciation and contribution margins for our home sales segment.

Table of Contents

The revenues, net income (loss), and assets for each of the reportable segments are summarized in the following tables for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005 (in thousands).

	Three months ended September 30, 2006			Total
	Rental Property	Home Sales	Corporate, Interest and Other	
Revenues	\$ 9,275	\$ 12,242	\$	\$ 21,517
Home sales contribution margin	\$	\$ 1,389	\$	\$ 1,389
Rental property income before depreciation	5,843			5,843
Depreciation	(1,136)			(1,136)
General and administrative expenses	(453)	(600)	(2)	(1,055)
Interest expense			(2,218)	(2,218)
Interest and other income			34	34
Income from discontinued operations	40			40
Minority interest in earnings			(330)	(330)
Net income (loss)	\$ 4,294	\$ 789	\$ (2,516)	\$ 2,567
Assets	\$ 381,196	\$ 33,320	\$ 2,437	\$ 416,953
Capital additions to:				
Real estate	\$ 42,629	\$	\$	\$ 42,629
Capital replacements real estate	235			235
Capital replacements other assets	220			220
Other assets	516	492	52	1,060
Total	\$ 43,600	\$ 492	\$ 52	\$ 44,144

Table of Contents

	Three months ended September 30, 2005			
	Rental Property	Home Sales	Corporate, Interest and Other	Total
Revenues	\$ 7,871	\$ 13,788	\$	\$ 21,659
Home sales contribution margin	\$	\$ 1,612	\$	\$ 1,612
Rental property income before depreciation	4,771			4,771
Depreciation	(869)			(869)
General and administrative expenses	(348)	(598)		(946)
Interest expense			(1,283)	(1,283)
Interest and other income			2	2
Income from discontinued operations	24			24
Minority interest in earnings			(391)	(391)
Net income (loss)	\$ 3,578	\$ 1,014	\$ (1,672)	\$ 2,920
Assets	\$ 292,825	\$ 23,043	\$ 699	\$ 316,567
Capital additions to:				
Real estate	\$ 7,814	\$	\$	\$ 7,814
Capital replacements real estate	219			219
Capital replacements other assets	140			140
Other assets	236	138	3	377
Total	\$ 8,409	\$ 138	\$ 3	\$ 8,550
	Nine months ended September 30, 2006			
	Rental Property	Home Sales	Corporate, Interest and Other	Total
Revenues	\$ 26,638	\$ 38,113	\$	\$ 64,751
Home sales contribution margin	\$	\$ 4,590	\$	\$ 4,590
Rental property income before depreciation	16,496			16,496
Depreciation	(3,147)			(3,147)
General and administrative expenses	(1,206)	(1,727)	(8)	(2,941)
Interest expense			(5,629)	(5,629)
Interest and other income			178	178
Income from discontinued operations	133			133
Minority interest in earnings			(1,115)	(1,115)
Net income (loss)	\$ 12,276	\$ 2,863	\$ (6,574)	\$ 8,565
Assets	\$ 381,196	\$ 33,320	\$ 2,437	\$ 416,953
Capital additions to:				
Real estate	\$ 82,314	\$	\$	\$ 82,314
Capital replacements real estate	1,196			1,196
Capital replacements other assets	309			309
Other assets	711	540	96	1,347
Total	\$ 84,530	\$ 540	\$ 96	\$ 85,166

Table of Contents

	Nine months ended September 30, 2005			
	Rental Property	Home Sales	Corporate, Interest and Other	Total
Revenues	\$ 23,462	\$ 35,183	\$	\$ 58,645
Home sales contribution margin	\$	\$ 3,400	\$	\$ 3,400
Rental property income before depreciation	14,696			14,696
Depreciation	(2,535)			(2,535)
General and administrative expenses	(905)	(1,334)	(1)	(2,240)
Interest expense			(4,158)	(4,158)
Interest and other income			22	22
Casualty gain	237			237
Income from discontinued operations	88			88
Minority interest in earnings			(1,121)	(1,121)
Net income (loss)	\$ 11,581	\$ 2,066	\$ (5,258)	\$ 8,389
Assets	\$ 292,825	\$ 23,043	\$ 699	\$ 316,567
Capital additions to:				
Real estate	\$ 38,898	\$	\$	\$ 38,898
Capital replacements real estate	512			512
Capital replacements other assets	353			353
Other assets	426	520	31	977
Total	\$ 40,189	\$ 520	\$ 31	\$ 40,740

K. Stock and Dividends*Common Stock Dividends*

ANL's common stock dividend is set quarterly by ANL's Board of Directors and is subject to change or elimination at any time. ANL paid quarterly dividends on common stock of \$0.25 per share, totaling \$1,949,000 and \$1,893,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, and \$5,881,000 and \$5,646,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Preferred Stock

On February 23, 2005 and March 2, 2005, ANL sold 900,000 and 100,000 shares, respectively, of newly created 7.75% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the Series A Preferred Stock) in a registered public offering generating net proceeds of approximately \$23,907,000, net of offering costs of \$1,102,000. The net proceeds from these issuances were used to repay indebtedness including amounts outstanding under a promissory note incurred on February 4, 2005 in connection with the acquisition of property in Micco, Florida and the Company's revolving line of credit. Holders of the Series A Preferred Stock are entitled to receive quarterly dividend payments of \$0.48 per share, equivalent to \$1.94 per share on an annual basis, or 7.75% of the \$25 per share liquidation preference. Series A Preferred Stock is senior to common stock as to dividends and liquidation. Upon ANL's liquidation, dissolution or winding up, before payments of distributions are made to any holders of ANL's common stock, the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock are entitled to

Table of Contents

receive a liquidation preference of \$25 per share, plus accumulated, accrued and unpaid dividends. Each share of Series A Preferred Stock is redeemable at ANL's option beginning February 23, 2010 for cash in the amount of \$25 per share, plus all accrued and unpaid dividends, if any, to the date fixed for redemption.

ANL's preferred stock dividend is set quarterly by ANL's Board of Directors and is subject to change or elimination at any time. The Company deducts cumulative paid and unpaid preferred stock dividends from net income to arrive at net income available to common stockholders. The Company deducted \$485,000 and \$484,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, and \$1,453,000 and \$1,162,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, related to cumulative unpaid preferred stock dividends.

L. Stock-Based Compensation

The Company's Plan provides for the issuance of up to 3,000,000 shares of common stock in the form of qualified and non-qualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, limited stock appreciation rights, restricted stock and deferred stock to its directors, officers, employees and consultants. As of September 30, 2006, the Company has granted awards of stock options and restricted stock under the Plan. The exercise price for stock options may not be less than 100% of the fair value of the shares of common stock at the date of grant. Stock options generally vest ratably over 4 years and have 10-year contractual terms. All outstanding stock options are non-qualified stock options. Restricted stock awards generally vest ratably over four years. The Company uses the straight-line method to recognize expense for plans with graded vesting. Restricted stock awards are entitled to receive dividends during the vesting period. In addition to the ratable amortization of fair value over the vesting period, dividends paid on unvested shares of restricted stock which are not expected to vest are charged to compensation expense in the period paid. As of September 30, 2006, there was approximately \$2,288,000 of total unrecognized compensation costs related to nonvested stock-based compensation arrangements granted under the Plan. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.6 years. This expected cost does not include the impact of any future stock-based compensation awards. The Company recognized \$329,000 and \$290,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, and \$971,000 and \$599,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, in stock-based compensation expense.

Stock Options

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the grant date using the Black-Scholes-Merton formula that uses the assumptions noted in the following table.

	2006	2005
Risk free interest rates	4.54%	4.07%
Expected dividend yield	4.03%	4.33%
Volatility factor of the expected market price of ANL's common stock	0.136	0.142
Expected life of options	7.0 years	8.5 years

Table of Contents

For the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, the estimated weighted-average grant-date fair value of options granted was \$2.84 per option and \$2.36 per option, respectively. The Company assumed lives of seven to eight and a half years based on historical data and risk-free interest rates equal to the seven or eight and a half year U.S. Treasury rates on the date the options were granted. In addition, the expected stock price volatility and dividends rates were estimated based upon historical experience.

Presented below is a summary of the changes in stock options for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 (share amounts in thousands):

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term
Outstanding-December 31, 2005	1,213	\$ 17.24	3.94 Years
Granted	236	\$ 24.80	
Exercised	(44)	\$ 12.90	
Expired/Forfeited	(26)	\$ 18.93	
Outstanding-September 30, 2006	1,379	\$ 18.64	4.13 Years
Exercisable at September 30, 2006	1,068	\$ 17.17	2.74 Years

Restricted Stock

Pursuant to the Plan, the Company has awarded two general types of stock-based awards of restricted stock. Restricted stock may not be sold, assigned, transferred, pledged, hypothecated or otherwise disposed of and is subject to forfeiture within the vesting period. The first type of award is a time-based award that vests based upon continued employment during the service period required for vesting, which is generally over a period of four years with graded vesting of 25% per year. The second type of award is a market-based award that vests based upon attaining specific stock prices and total stockholder returns over the requisite service period.

The Company issued 18,500 and 15,000 shares as time based awards of restricted stock to members of management for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, and 2005, respectively, with weighted average fair values per share of \$24.80 and \$23.05, respectively. The time-based awards of restricted stock were issued at the fair value of ANL's common stock on the date of issuance. The fair value of such restricted stock is amortized to compensation expense over the vesting period.

The Company issued 91,500 and 80,000 shares as market-based awards of restricted stock to members of management for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, with weighted average fair values per share of \$8.09 and \$8.99, respectively. In the event the market-based performance objectives are not attained, the market-based awards of restricted stock are forfeited, but the dividends paid are not forfeited.

For the nine-months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, the fair value of each share of market-based restricted stock was estimated on the grant date using a barrier option model. The Company applied risk

Table of Contents

free rates of 4.3% and 3.48% for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, which were equal to the U.S. Treasury rates on the date the market-based awards were granted with a maturity equal to the term of the award. Expected stock price volatility was estimated based upon historical experience. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, the Company used a volatility factor of 12.9% and 14.2%, respectively.

The fair value of the market-based awards of restricted stock is amortized to compensation expense over the requisite service period. The requisite service period for market-based restricted stock awards granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was three years for both grants. The principal terms of one of the market-based awards of restricted stock, also known as high performance shares (HPS Shares), is more fully described below.

The HPS Shares vest to the extent, if any, that the total return realized by stockholders during the measurement period exceeds the ten-year return for the Equity REIT Index prior to the date of grant, as reported by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT). Total return is defined as the total of the closing price at year-end plus any dividends paid, less the closing price for the prior year-end. The total return for the Company is measured over a three-year period that ends on the final valuation date specified in connection with each grant of HPS Shares. To the extent that HPS Shares are not vested as of the final valuation date, such shares are forfeited and are returned to the Company. Vesting is achieved ratably on the final valuation date to the extent that excess value has been realized. In order for management's HPS Shares to vest in full at a given final valuation date, the actual total return to stockholders over the applicable three-year period is required to exceed the Equity REIT Index total return by 5% per year on a compounded basis.

65,000 HPS Shares were granted in 2006 with a final measurement date of December 31, 2008. The Equity REIT Index annual compounded total return over the trailing ten years as of December 31, 2005 was 14.5%. For the 2006 HPS Shares to fully vest, the actual total return over the three-year period is required to be 19.5%. If the actual total return is between 14.5% and 19.5%, then a ratable portion of the HPS Shares would vest (for example, one half of the HPS Shares would vest if the actual total return is 17.31%). If the actual total return does not exceed 14.5%, all of the 2006 HPS Shares would be forfeited, but none of the dividends paid during the three-year period would be forfeited.

A summary of the status of the Company's nonvested restricted stock, including market-based restricted stock, as of December 31, 2005, and changes during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 is presented below (share amounts in thousands):

	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Nonvested at December 31, 2005	228	\$ 12.68
Granted	110	\$ 10.90
Vested	(33)	\$ 16.40
Forfeited	(21)	\$ 16.38
Nonvested at September 30, 2006	284	\$ 11.28

Table of Contents

Effective January 1, 2006 upon the adoption of SFAS 123(R), the Company does not record the unamortized value of restricted stock as a debit within equity. In accordance with the Emerging Issues Task Force Topic D-90, *Grantor Balance Sheet Presentation of Unvested, Forfeitable Equity Instruments Granted to a Nonemployee*, the equity instrument is recognized when vested and related compensation cost has been recognized.

Stock-Based Compensation Correction

In the first quarter of 2005, the Company reviewed its application of SFAS 123, and management determined that the accounting for certain aspects of its accounting for stock-based compensation was in error. The Company had previously valued certain awards of performance-based restricted stock at the market value of the Company's common stock at the date of issuance. These awards were determined to be target stock price awards that should have been recorded at fair value on the date of issuance. In addition, the Company had previously treated dividends paid on unvested shares of restricted stock as additional compensation expense until the vesting condition was satisfied. Under SFAS 123, only the dividends paid on non-vested awards that are not expected to vest are accounted for as additional compensation expense. The Company corrected these errors in first quarter 2005 to conform to the provisions of SFAS 123 for valuing target stock price awards and dividends paid on unvested awards that are expected to vest. The correction related solely to accounting treatment and did not affect the Company's historical or future cash flows. The impact on the Company's prior years' earnings per share, cash from operations and stockholders' equity was immaterial.

M. Recent Accounting Developments

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is currently reviewing the effect of this Statement on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes-an interpretation of SFAS 109*, (FIN 48). FIN 48 provides interpretive guidance for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company is currently reviewing the effect of this Interpretation on its consolidated financial statements.

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, *Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets*, which will be effective for fiscal years that begin after December 15, 2006. This statement amends SFAS 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities*, a replacement of FASB Statement 125, or SFAS 140, regarding (1) the circumstances under which a servicing asset or servicing liability must be recognized, (2) the initial and subsequent measurement of recognized servicing assets and liabilities, and (3) information required to be disclosed relating to servicing assets and liabilities. The Company does not anticipate adoption of this standard will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, *Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments*, or SFAS 155, which will be effective for fiscal years that begin after December 15, 2006. This statement amends SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, to narrow the scope exception for interest-only and principal-only strips on debt instruments to include only such strips representing rights to receive a specified portion of the contractual interest or principal cash flows. SFAS 155 also amends SFAS 140 to allow qualifying special-purpose entities to hold a passive derivative financial instrument pertaining to beneficial interests that itself is a derivative financial instrument. The Company does not anticipate adoption of this standard will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections*, which was adopted effective January 1, 2006. This statement addresses the retrospective application of such changes and corrections and will be followed if and when necessary. Adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

N. Subsequent Events

ANL's common stock dividend is set quarterly and is subject to change or elimination at any time. On November 1, 2006, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.25 per share of common stock for the quarter ended September 30, 2006, payable on November 30, 2006 to stockholders of record on November 16, 2006.

ANL's preferred stock dividend is set quarterly and is subject to change or elimination at any time. On November 1, 2006, the Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.48 per share of Series A Preferred Stock for the quarter ended September 30, 2006, payable on November 30, 2006 to stockholders of record on November 16, 2006.

On October 11, 2006, the Company issued a \$3,209,000 nine-year, non-recourse mortgage note payable with a fixed rate of 6.15% maturing on January 1, 2016. The proceeds were used to continue the development of the Company's residential land lease communities and for general corporate purposes.

On October 17, 2006, the Company issued a \$7,250,000 ten-year, non-recourse mortgage note payable with a fixed rate of 6.06% maturing on February 28, 2017. The note will be interest only for five years and allows the Company to obtain additional earn out advances of \$3,750,000 over the next two years. The interest rate on the earn out advances is also fixed at 6.06%. The proceeds were used to continue the development of the Company's residential land lease communities and for general corporate purposes.

Table of Contents

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Introduction

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a "safe harbor" for forward-looking statements in certain circumstances. Certain information included in this report and our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the Exchange Act, as well as information communicated orally or in writing between the dates of these SEC filings, constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such statements may include projections relating to our cash flow, dividends, anticipated returns on real estate investments and opportunities to acquire additional communities. Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Such factors include: general economic and business conditions; interest rate changes; financing and refinancing risks; risks inherent in owning real estate or debt secured by real estate; future development rate of home sites; competition; the availability of real estate assets at prices which meet our investment criteria; our ability to reduce expense levels, implement rent increases, use leverage and other risks set forth in our SEC filings. In addition, our current and continuing qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex provisions of the Code and depends on our ability to meet the various requirements imposed by the Code, through actual operating results, distribution levels and diversity of stock ownership. Readers should carefully review our financial statements and the notes thereto, as well as the risk factors described in the documents we file from time to time with the SEC. The Company undertakes no obligation to update forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of this report.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, which require us to make estimates and assumptions. We believe that of our significant accounting policies (see Note C to the condensed consolidated financial statements), the following may involve a higher degree of judgment and complexity.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Real estate and other long-lived assets are recorded at cost, less accumulated depreciation, unless considered impaired. If events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a property may be impaired, we will make an assessment of its recoverability by estimating the undiscounted future cash flows, excluding interest charges, of the property. In the event the property is under development, the estimate of future cash flows includes all future expenditures necessary to develop the property. If the carrying amount exceeds the aggregate future cash flows, we would recognize an impairment loss to the extent the carrying amount exceeds the fair market value of the property.

Real property investments are subject to varying degrees of risk. Several factors may adversely affect the economic performance and value of our real estate investments. These factors include changes in the national, regional and local economic climates; local conditions, such as an oversupply of residential land

Table of Contents

lease properties or a reduction in the demand for our residential land lease properties; competition from other housing sources including single and multifamily properties; plus changes in market rental rates. Additional factors that may adversely affect the economic performance and value of our development properties include regulatory changes that impact the number of home sites that can be built on our undeveloped land, changes in projected costs to construct new subdivisions in our communities and regulatory changes made by local, regional, state or national authorities. Any adverse changes in these factors could cause impairment in our real estate.

Capitalized Costs

We capitalize direct and indirect costs (including interest, real estate taxes, and other costs) in connection with initial capital expenditures, capital enhancements, and capital replacements, as well as similar spending for development and redevelopment of our properties. Indirect costs that are not capitalized, including general and administrative expenses, are charged to expense as incurred. The amounts capitalized vary with the volume, cost and timing of these activities and, especially, with the pace of development and redevelopment activities. As a result, changes in the volume, cost and timing of these activities may have a significant impact on our financial results.

The most significant capitalized cost is interest. We capitalize interest when the following three conditions are present: (i) expenditures for the asset have been made, (ii) activities necessary to get the asset ready for its intended use are in progress and (iii) interest cost is being incurred. Our determination of the activities in progress for a development property is subject to professional judgment. The most significant judgment is the determination to capitalize interest relating to the ownership of land being developed as new home sites. In many cases, the development activity is expected to take place over several years and in multiple phases. It is our conclusion that the entirety of each parcel is under development and is a qualifying asset. Accordingly, interest is capitalized with respect to the entire parcel until such time as development activities cease or the individual home site is ready for its intended use. We regularly review the amount of capitalized costs in conjunction with our review of impairment of long-lived assets. Based on the level of development activity for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2006, if our development activities decrease such that 10% of our assets qualifying for capitalization of interest are no longer qualified, the amount of capitalized interest would have been reduced by \$542,000. If development activities had stopped at the beginning of the nine month period ended September 30, 2006 such that all of our assets qualifying for capitalization of interest were no longer qualified, the amount of capitalized interest would have been reduced by \$5,421,000. Reducing capitalized interest would increase interest expense, resulting in lower net income, which would be partially offset in future periods by lower depreciation expense.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The aggregate fair value of our cash equivalents, receivables, payables and short-term secured debt as of September 30, 2006 approximates their carrying value due to their relatively short-term nature. Management further believes that the fair value of our variable rate secured long-term debt approximates carrying value. For the fixed rate secured long-term debt, fair values have been based upon estimates using present value techniques. These techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. In that regard, the derived fair value estimates cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent market quotes and, in many cases, may not be realized in immediate settlement of the instrument. The estimated fair value of the Company's

Table of Contents

secured long-term notes payable was \$210,370,000 and \$156,657,000 at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively, as compared to the carrying value of \$203,428,000 and \$149,388,000 at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively.

Rental Property Depreciation

Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over an estimated useful life of 5 to 75 years for land improvements, 5 to 50 years for buildings and 5 to 15 years for furniture and other equipment, all of which are judgmental determinations. These determinations may prove to be different than the actual life of any individual asset.

Inventory

Carrying amounts for inventory are determined on a specific identification basis and are stated at the lower of cost or market. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management, if customer preferences change, or if material improvements are made by suppliers that are preferred by our customers compared to inventory we own, inventory write-downs may be required. Any such write-downs may have a significant impact on our financial results. On a quarterly basis, we review each home in inventory that is older than one year and evaluate our carrying amount versus recent offers, comparable sales, and our asking price in order to derive an estimate of its market value. In the event that the carrying amount exceeds our estimate of market value, less a normalized margin, we record a write-down of the carrying amount as a charge to the cost of home sales in the current period. As of September 30, 2006, \$1,942,000 or 8% of our total inventory of \$23,731,000 was older than one year. We recorded charges of \$87,000 and \$29,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, and \$308,000 and \$252,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, to write down carrying amounts to market value. If our estimate of fair market value was overstated by 10%, we would record an additional write down to fair market value, less a normalized margin, of \$146,000 based upon the carrying value of inventory as of September 30, 2006.

Stock-Based Compensation

Stock-based compensation expense is recorded at the fair value of awards at the date of issuance. The determination of fair value of market-based restricted stock and stock options requires the application of complex financial models and assumptions. The fair value assigned to awards at the date of issuance determines the amount of compensation expense that will be recognized over the vesting period for the award. There are alternative valuation models that may result in a valuation for awards that differs from our assessment of fair value. The application of alternative models or different valuation assumptions within the models we use will result in a fair value that is greater than or less than the fair value we assign to awards. To the extent that the alternative fair value is greater than the fair value we assign to awards, compensation expense over the vesting term of the award would increase resulting in lower net income. In addition, management must estimate the number of shares and options that will be forfeited by employees before the vesting requirements are met. We base our estimate of forfeitures on the historical forfeiture rate realized on prior awards. We revise our estimate on an ongoing basis to reflect actual forfeitures.

Table of Contents

Legal Contingencies

The Company is currently involved in certain legal proceedings. We do not believe these proceedings will have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position. It is possible, however, that future results of operations for any particular quarterly or annual period could be materially affected by changes in assumptions and the effectiveness of strategies related to these proceedings. The amount of loss contingencies involving litigation, for which a loss is probable and can be reasonably estimated, is determined through consultation with legal counsel representing the Company. Our evaluation of loss contingencies arising from litigation, claims and assessments, considers unasserted claims and associated estimates of loss, if any, are provided to the extent probable and reasonably estimable.

Recent Accounting Pronouncement

Adoption of SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS 123(R). SFAS 123(R) supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and amends SFAS No. 95, *Statement of Cash Flows*. Generally, the fair value approach in SFAS 123(R) is similar to the fair value approach described in SFAS 123. In 2005, our Company used the Black-Scholes-Merton formula to estimate the fair value of stock options granted to employees and a barrier option model to estimate the fair value of market-based restricted stock granted to employees. Our Company adopted SFAS 123(R), using the modified-prospective transition method, effective January 1, 2006. Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for stock-based compensation under SFAS 123, which also required the Company to recognize the fair value of stock-based compensation in its financial statements. Based on the terms of our Plan, the Company did not have a material cumulative effect related to the Plan. The Company elected to continue to estimate the fair value of stock options and market-based restricted stock using the Black-Scholes-Merton formula and barrier option model, respectively. Adoption of SFAS 123(R) did not have a material impact on stock-based compensation expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006. Further, we believe the adoption of SFAS 123(R) will not have a material impact on the Company's future stock-based compensation expense. As of September 30, 2006, there was approximately \$2,288,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Plan. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.6 years. This expected cost does not include the impact of any future stock-based compensation awards. The Company recognized compensation expense of \$329,000 and \$290,000, for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, and \$971,000, and \$599,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Table of Contents**Portfolio Summary**

	Operational	Developed	Undeveloped	RV	Total
	Home sites	Home sites	Home sites	Sites	
As of December 31, 2005	7,283	976	1,270	129	9,658
Properties developed		19	(19)		
Redevelopment of lots	(114)	114			
New lots purchased	667	278	260		1,205
New leases originated	240	(240)			
Adjust for site plan changes	(1)	(5)	164		158
As of September 30, 2006	8,075 ⁽¹⁾	1,142	1,675	129	11,021

(1) As of September 30, 2006, 7,862 of these operational home sites were occupied.

Occupancy Roll Forward

	Occupied	Operational	Occupancy
	Home sites	Home sites	
As of December 31, 2005	6,947	7,283	95.4%
New home sales	292	240	
Used home sales	4		
Used homes acquired	(30)		
Redevelopment of lots		(114)	
Lots acquired	667	667	
Homes constructed by others	15		
Homes removed from previously leased sites	(33)	(1)	
As of September 30, 2006	7,862	8,075	97.4%

Operating Strategy

In addition to reviewing financial measures determined in accordance with GAAP, we assess the performance of the business by using several generally accepted industry financial measures, including Funds From Operations (FFO), which is defined below. We believe this measure provides useful information regarding our performance, but this measure should not be considered as an alternative to net income or net cash flow from operating activities, as determined in accordance with GAAP.

The Board of Governors of NAREIT defines FFO as net income or loss, computed in accordance with GAAP, excluding gains and losses from sales of property, plus depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. We calculate FFO beginning with the NAREIT definition and include adjustments for the minority interest in the Operating Partnership owned by persons other than us.

FFO should not be considered an alternative to net income or net cash flows from operating activities, as calculated in accordance with GAAP, as an indication of our performance or as a measure of liquidity. FFO is not necessarily indicative of cash available to fund future cash needs. In addition, although FFO

Table of Contents

is a measure used for comparability in assessing the performance of real estate investment trusts, there can be no assurance that our basis for computing FFO is comparable with that of other real estate investment trusts.

We use FFO in measuring our operating performance and believe that it is helpful to investors because we believe that (i) the items that result in a difference between FFO and net income do not impact the ongoing operating performance of a real estate company, (ii) FFO captures real estate performance by recognizing that real estate generally appreciates over time or maintains residual value to a much greater extent than do other depreciating assets such as machinery, computers or other personal property, and (iii) other real estate companies, analysts and investors utilize FFO in analyzing the results of real estate companies.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, our FFO was (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2006	September 30, 2005	September 30, 2006	September 30, 2005
Net income available to common stockholders ⁽¹⁾	\$ 2,082	\$ 2,436	\$ 7,112	\$ 7,227
Adjustments:				
Cumulative unpaid preferred stock dividends	485	484	1,453	1,162
Minority interest in operating partnership	330	391	1,115	1,121
Minority interest related to discontinued operations	6	4	18	12
Depreciation from discontinued operations	18	17	54	50
Real estate depreciation	1,136	869	3,147	2,535
Casualty gain				(237)
FFO	\$ 4,057	\$ 4,201	\$ 12,899	\$ 11,870
Preferred stock dividends	(485)	(484)	(1,453)	(1,162)
FFO available to common stockholders	\$ 3,572	\$ 3,717	\$ 11,446	\$ 10,708
Weighted average common shares, common share equivalents and OP Units outstanding	8,800	8,688	8,831	8,629

(1) Represents the numerator for earnings per common share calculated in accordance with GAAP
 For the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, net cash flows were as follows (in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2006	September 30, 2005
Cash provided by operating activities	\$ 11,311	\$ 14,050
Cash used in investing activities	\$ (81,709)	\$ (40,580)
Cash provided by financing activities	\$ 68,914	\$ 26,538

Table of Contents

**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE
THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006**

Executive Overview

American Land Lease is in the business of owning and generating residential land leases. Our current business focuses on the ownership and generation of these leases within age-restricted (55+) communities (96% of our total home sites at September 30, 2006); we focus on these communities for the following reasons:

Current demographic projections predict that the customer base for this asset class will grow for the next 20+ years.

The residents have established credit histories and therefore are able to obtain favorable financing or pay cash for their home making significant equity investments to improve the leasehold estate that secures our lease.

The residents, as a result of their retired or semi-retired status, are less affected by current economic changes thereby making their continued rental payments more stable and the continued sales of homes in our communities more consistent year to year.

The Company is able to leverage its current marketing, brand, and management expertise. The Company seeks growth through home sales to fill unoccupied home sites in current subdivisions, development of its land portfolio to increase the inventory of available home sites, and the selective acquisition of communities and development opportunities.

This business model presents a number of challenges and risks for the Company's management. Several of these risks are:

Community operations require management of expenses throughout the year while revenue increases are established on an annual basis.

The continued development of additional home sites is a capital-intensive activity that requires substantial investments to be made in advance of returns.

Older homes may depreciate or become obsolete, thereby reducing the value of the property that effectively secures our lease.

Changes in the interest rate environment may have an adverse impact our new home sales customer's ability to realize sufficient proceeds from the sale of their present homes, therefore limiting their financial ability to acquire new homes in our communities.

The cost of developing additional home sites and communities may increase at a rate or to a level that may exceed the costs projected at the point of the initial investment by the Company.

Changes in governmental or other regulatory agencies may adversely impact the timing of development. During the third quarter of 2006, the Company learned that a new municipality was formed that impacts the Company's Sebastian Beach and Tennis Village project on the east coast of Florida. Known as the Town of Grant-Valkaria, the new municipality does not have a building department

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-Q

and the election of the first mayor and town council will be held on November 7, 2006. While the county continues to inspect the project, we are unable to project what impact the formation of the town may ultimately have on timing of the project.

Based upon the above and other factors, the rate of sale of new homes may be substantially slower than projected at the point of the initial investment by the Company, resulting in returns on investment materially different from original projections.

The Company contracts with third parties for key elements of its home construction process. The supply of labor for the Company's building trade subcontractors has been adversely impacted by the demand for residential housing, thereby extending cycle times for home completion. The extension of cycle time may negatively impact the Company's business through higher carrying costs for its inventory (principally interest and insurance expense), lower rental revenues as a result of delayed home closings and missed sales opportunities as a result of uncompleted unsold homes.

Table of Contents

There are additional challenges that might occur as a result of hurricane seasons:

The costs of hurricane clean up and repair may not be fully covered by insurance policies, which may result in higher than projected capital spending.

Our residents' homes may have damage that exceeds the insurance proceeds available under homeowner's policies, thereby limiting residents' ability to restore their homes to their pre-hurricane condition. In some instances, this may result in a temporary loss of occupancy. This occupancy loss may be largely, but not entirely, insured under the Company's business interruption policies.

The extent of claims made against properties in our asset class may have a material impact on the cost of insurance for both the Company and our residents, thereby increasing the Company's operating costs at a rate in excess of rental rate increases and limiting our residents' ability to reinvest in their homes at the same rate enjoyed before the hurricanes. The cost and availability of homeowners' insurance purchased by our home sales customers may impact the timing of home closings.

The severity and number of hurricanes that impacted Florida may result in a slowing rate of home sales on our expansion sites, thereby reducing the rate of absorption and lowering the Company's return on investment.

Comparison of Three Months Ended September 30, 2006 to Three Months Ended September 30, 2005

Rental Property Operations

Rental and other property revenues from our owned properties totaled \$9,121,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to \$7,740,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2005, an increase of \$1,381,000 or 17.8%. The increase in property operating revenue was a result of:

\$696,000 increase in base rental income driven by increases in rental rates and the origination of leases of new home sites at our development properties,

\$676,000 increase in revenues from newly acquired communities, and a

\$71,000 increase in the pass on of utility expenses to tenants correlated with an increase in utility expenses, all offset by

\$54,000 decrease in other property income, and a

\$8,000 reduction in recoveries in excess of bad debt expense.

Golf course operating revenues totaled \$154,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to \$131,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2005, an increase of \$23,000 or 17.6%. Golf revenues increased at each of our three golf courses as a result of additional annual membership fees in 2006 as compared to 2005.

Property operating expenses from our owned properties totaled \$3,136,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to \$2,750,000 for the same period in 2005, an increase of \$386,000 or 14.0%. The increase in property operating expenses was a result of:

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-Q

\$222,000 increase in operating expenses from newly acquired communities,

Table of Contents

\$120,000 increase in utility expenses,

\$57,000 increase in property taxes,

\$26,000 increase in insurance expenses, and a

\$24,000 increase in tenant related legal fees, all offset by

\$35,000 decrease in repairs, maintenance and other administrative expenses, and a

\$28,000 decrease in property operating overhead.

During the three months ended September 30, 2005, we had expenses totaling \$21,000 that related to earlier periods. These expenses related to the hurricanes that traversed Florida in August and September of 2004. As a result, there is no comparable amount for 2006.

Golf course operating expenses totaled \$296,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to \$329,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2005, a decrease of \$33,000 or 10.0%. The decrease was driven by a reclassification of depreciation expense on real estate assets offset by increased utility and insurance costs.

Depreciation expense was \$1,136,000 during the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to \$869,000 during the same period in 2005. The increase was due to an increase in depreciable property attributable to the continued development of previously undeveloped home sites and the acquisition of three communities in 2006.

Same store property revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2006 increased by 10.0% from the three months ended September 30, 2005, consisting of a 4.4% increase from same site rental revenues, a 5.3% increase from absorption rental site revenues and a 0.3% increase from golf revenues. Expenses related to those revenues increased 6.0% over that same period consisting of a 3.6% increase in same site rental expenses, a 3.6% increase from absorption rental site expenses and a 1.2% decrease in golf expenses. Same store property net operating income increased 12.0% for the three months ended September 30, 2006. Our same store base included 90% of our property operating revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2006.

The Company believes that same store information provides the user of these financial statements with a comparison of the profitability for properties owned during both reporting periods that cannot be obtained from a review of the consolidated income statements. This comparison can be useful to an understanding of the parts in addition to an understanding of the whole. A reconciliation of same store operating results used in the above calculation to total operating revenues and total expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, determined in accordance with GAAP, is reflected in the following table (in thousands):

Table of Contents

		Three Months Ended September 30, 2006	Three Months Ended September 30, 2005	Change	% Change	Contribution to Same Store % Change ⁽¹⁾
Same site rental revenues		\$ 7,661	\$ 7,327	\$ 334	4.6%	4.4%
Absorption rental revenues		577	171	406	237.4%	5.3%
Same site golf revenues		154	131	23	17.6%	0.3%
Same store revenues	A	8,392	7,629	763	10.0%	10.0%
Re-development and newly acquired property revenues		883	242	641	264.9%	
Total property revenues	C	\$ 9,275	\$ 7,871	\$ 1,404	17.8%	
Same site rental expenses		\$ 2,315	\$ 2,223	\$ 92	4.1%	3.6%
Absorption rental expenses		93		93	100.0%	3.6%
Same site golf expenses		296	329	(33)	(10.0)%	(1.2)%
Same store expenses	B	2,704	2,552	152	6.0%	6.0%
Re-development and newly acquired property expenses		305	96	209	217.7%	
Recoveries of casualty expenses related to hurricanes			(21)	21	100.0%	
Expenses related to offsite management ⁽²⁾		423	473	(50)	10.6%	
Total property operating expenses	D	\$ 3,432	\$ 3,100	\$ 332	10.7%	
Same Store net operating income	A-B	\$ 5,688	\$ 5,077	\$ 611	12.0%	
Total net operating income	C-D	\$ 5,843	\$ 4,771	\$ 1,072	22.5%	

(1) Contribution to Same Store % Change is computed as the change in the individual component of same store revenue or expense divided by the total applicable same store base (revenue or expense) for the 2005 period. For example, same site rental revenue increase of \$334 as compared to the total same store revenues in 2005 of \$7,629 is a 4.4% increase ($\$334 / \$7,629 = 4.4\%$).

(2) Expenses related to offsite management reflect portfolio property management costs not attributable to a specific property.

Home Sales Operations

Revenues for the home sales business totaled \$12,197,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to \$13,676,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2005, with the decrease driven by lower unit sales volumes partially offset by an increase in average selling prices. Homes sold totaled 92 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to 115 homes for the three months ended September 30, 2005, a decrease of 20.0%.

Table of Contents

A summary of our home sales activities for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 is highlighted in the following table:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2006	Three Months Ended September 30, 2005	Unit Change	Percent Change
New home closings	92	115	(23)	(20.0)%
New home contracts	81	145	(64)	(44.1)%
New home backlog	51	157	(106)	(67.5)%
Home resales	2	4	(2)	(50.0)%
Brokered home closings	20	45	(25)	(55.6)%

The average selling price of new homes closed was \$129,000 and \$117,000, respectively, for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, an increase of 10.3%. Total cost of sales for the three months ended September 30, 2006 was \$8,244,000 compared to \$9,562,000 for the three months ended September 31, 2005. Resulting gross margin increases are attributable to increased selling prices and increased manufacturer rebates associated with higher purchasing volumes partially offset by increases in costs of homes purchased. Selling and marketing expenses increased \$32,000 from the 2005 period primarily as a result of increased marketing costs for newly constructed subdivisions within existing communities and new communities acquired in 2006, and an increase in staff levels.

We reported income from the home sales business of \$1,389,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to income of \$1,612,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2005.

General and Administrative Expenses

During the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, general and administrative expenses were \$1,055,000 and \$946,000, respectively. The increase of \$109,000 was a result of:

\$45,000 increase in compensation costs,

\$40,000 increase in stock based compensation,

\$25,000 increase in failed acquisition pursuit costs,

\$13,000 increase in public reporting expenses, and a

\$6,000 increase in travel expenses, all offset by a

\$20,000 decrease in meetings, seminars and other expenses.

Interest and Other Income

During the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, interest and other income was \$34,000 and \$2,000, respectively. The increase of \$32,000 is a result of interest earned from interest-bearing bank accounts.

Table of Contents

Interest Expense

During the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, interest expense was \$2,218,000 and \$1,283,000, respectively. The increase was primarily a result of new debt secured on existing properties to acquire three age-restricted communities and to fund development expenditures made in advance of home sales coupled with rising interest rates on our variable-rate debt, higher outstanding balance on the Company's line of credit and higher outstanding balances on the Company's floor plan facility, all offset by scheduled amortization of existing long-term debt and additional capitalized interest as a result of purchasing three development communities and continued development of our existing communities.

Preferred Stock Dividends

During the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, we deducted cumulative paid and unpaid preferred stock dividends of \$485,000 and \$484,000, respectively, for the 1,000,000 outstanding shares of our Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock.

Comparison of Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005

Rental Property Operations

Rental and other property revenues from our owned properties totaled \$25,801,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to \$22,738,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, an increase of \$3,063,000 or 13.5%. The increase in property operating revenue was a result of:

\$1,843,000 increase in base rental income driven by increases in rental rates and the origination of leases of new home sites at our development properties,

\$947,000 increase in revenues from newly acquired communities,

\$276,000 increase in the pass on of utility expenses to tenants correlated with an increase in utility expenses, and a

\$78,000 increase in the pass on of property tax expense to tenants correlated with an increase in certain property tax expenses, all offset by a

\$30,000 decrease in other income,

\$30,000 decrease in RV property income, and a

\$21,000 reduction in recoveries in excess of bad debt expense.

Golf course operating revenues totaled \$837,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to \$724,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, an increase of \$113,000 or 15.6%. Golf revenues increased at two communities and decreased at one other community that have adjacent golf courses.

Property operating expenses from our owned properties totaled \$9,108,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to \$7,927,000 for the same period in 2005, an increase of \$1,181,000 or 14.9%. The increase in property operating expenses was a result of:

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-Q

\$358,000 increase in utility expenses,

\$338,000 increase in operating expenses for newly acquired communities,

Table of Contents

\$153,000 increase in property taxes,

\$120,000 increase in property operating overhead,

\$72,000 increase in property insurance,

\$65,000 increase in salaries, wages and benefits,

\$54,000 increase in tenant legal fees, and a

\$21,000 increase in other property level expenses.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we collected proceeds under insurance policies totaling \$156,000 that related to expenses incurred in earlier periods. These proceeds related to the hurricanes that traversed Florida in August and September of 2004. There was no comparable amount for 2006.

Golf course operating expenses totaled \$1,034,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to \$995,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, an increase of \$39,000 or 3.9% primarily due to increased insurance and maintenance cost at two courses adjacent to our communities.

Depreciation expense was \$3,147,000 during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to \$2,535,000 during the same period in 2005. The increase was a result of an increase in depreciable property attributable to the continued development of previously undeveloped home sites and the acquisition of three communities in 2006.

Same store property revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 increased by 10.4% compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2005, consisting of a 4.7% increase from same site rental revenues, a 5.2% increase from absorption rental site revenues and a 0.5% increase from golf revenues. Expenses related to those revenues increased 10.9% over that same period consisting of a 5.2% increase in same site rental expenses, a 5.2% increase from absorption rental site expenses and a 0.5% increase in golf expenses. Same store property net operating income increased 10.2% for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Our same store base included 94% of our property operating revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

The Company believes that same store information provides the user of these financial statements with a comparison of the profitability for properties owned during both reporting periods that cannot be obtained from a review of the consolidated income statement. This comparison can be useful to an understanding of the parts in addition to an understanding of the whole. A reconciliation of same store operating results used in the above calculation to total operating revenues and total expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, determined in accordance with GAAP, is reflected in the following table (in thousands):

Table of Contents

		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005	Change	% Change	Contribution to Same Store % Change ⁽¹⁾
Same site rental revenues		\$ 22,739	\$ 21,664	\$ 1,075	5.0%	4.7%
Absorption rental revenues		1,470	293	1,177	401.7%	5.2%
Same site golf revenues		837	724	113	15.6%	0.5%
Same store revenues	A	25,046	22,681	2,365	10.4%	10.4%
Re-development and newly acquired property revenues		1,592	781	811	103.8%	
Total property revenues	C	\$ 26,638	\$ 23,462	\$ 3,176	13.5%	
Same site rental expenses		\$ 6,810	\$ 6,427	\$ 383	6.0%	5.2%
Absorption rental expenses		384		384	100.0%	5.2%
Same site golf expenses		1,034	995	39	3.9%	0.5%
Same store expenses	B	8,228	7,422	806	10.9%	10.9%
Re-development and newly acquired property expenses		592	278	314	112.9%	
Recoveries of casualty expenses related to hurricanes			(156)	156	100.0%	
Expenses related to offsite management ⁽²⁾		1,322	1,222	100	8.2%	
Total property operating expenses	D	\$ 10,142	\$ 8,766	\$ 1,376	15.7%	
Same Store net operating income	A-B	\$ 16,818	\$ 15,259	\$ 1,559	10.2%	
Total net operating income	C-D	\$ 16,496	\$ 14,696	\$ 1,800	12.2%	

⁽¹⁾ Contribution to Same Store % Change is computed as the change in the individual component of same store revenue or expense divided by the total applicable same store base (revenue or expense) for the 2005 period. For example, same site rental revenue increase of \$1,075 as compared to the total same store revenues in 2005 of \$22,681 is a 4.7% increase ($\$1,075 / \$22,681 = 4.7\%$).

⁽²⁾ Expenses related to offsite management reflect portfolio property management costs not attributable to a specific property.

Home Sales Operations

Revenues for the home sales business totaled \$37,745,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to \$34,669,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, with the increase driven by increased average selling prices offset by a decrease in unit sales volume. Homes sold totaled 292 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to 302 homes for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, a decrease of 3.3%.

Table of Contents

A summary of our home sales activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 is highlighted in the following table:

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005	Unit Change	Percent Change
New home closings	292	302	(10)	(3.3)%
New home contracts	262	365	(103)	(28.2)%
New home backlog	51	157	(106)	(67.5)%
Home resales	5	11	(6)	(54.5)%
Brokered home closings	136	196	(60)	(30.6)%

The average selling price of new homes closed was \$127,000 and \$112,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, an increase of 13.4%. Total cost of sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was \$25,202,000 compared to \$24,064,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. Resulting gross margin increases are attributable to increased selling prices and increased manufacturer rebates associated with higher purchasing volumes, partially offset by increases in costs of homes purchased. Selling and marketing expenses increased \$705,000 from the 2005 period primarily as a result of increased marketing costs for newly constructed subdivisions within existing communities, new communities acquired in 2006 and increased operating expenses such as utilities and insurance.

We reported income from the home sales business of \$4,590,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to income of \$3,400,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

General and Administrative Expenses

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, general and administrative expenses were \$2,941,000 and \$2,240,000, respectively. The increase of \$701,000 was a result of:

\$384,000 increase in amortization of deferred compensation largely driven by the correction of our accounting for stock-based compensation in 2005, including amounts related to expenses from prior periods,

\$286,000 increase in compensation expense for dividends on non-vested restricted stock as a result of the correction of our accounting for stock-based compensation in 2005, including amounts related to expenses from prior periods,

\$28,000 increase in expenses related to public company reporting,

\$25,000 increase in directors fees and travel,

\$9,000 increase in travel costs,

\$7,000 increase in other tax expense, and a

\$6,000 increase in failed acquisition pursuit costs, all offset by a

\$27,000 decrease in salaries, wages and benefits,

\$7,000 decrease in consulting and professional fees,

Table of Contents

\$5,000 decrease in insurance costs, and a

\$5,000 decrease in meetings and seminars costs.

Interest and Other Income

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, interest and other income were \$178,000 and \$22,000, respectively. The increase of \$156,000 was a result of a \$174,000 increase in interest earned on higher cash balances maintained in 2006, offset by a \$18,000 decrease in interest income related to repayment in full of officer loans in 2005. In compliance with current regulations, the Company has not made loans to executive officers since January 1, 2001.

Casualty Gain

During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we collected proceeds under insurance policies totaling \$237,000 that related to assets destroyed by the hurricanes that traversed Florida in August and September of 2004. There were no comparable amounts for 2006.

Interest Expense

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, interest expense was \$5,629,000 and \$4,158,000, respectively. The increase is primarily a result of new debt secured on existing owned properties to acquire three age-restricted communities and to fund development expenditures made in advance of home sales coupled with rising interest rates on our variable-rate debt, higher outstanding balances under the Company's line of credit, and higher outstanding balances on the Company's floor plan facility, all offset by scheduled amortization of existing long-term debt, a decrease in the amount of variable rate debt carried by the Company, and additional capitalized interest as a result of purchasing three development communities and continued development of our existing communities.

Preferred Stock Dividends

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, we deducted cumulative paid and unpaid preferred stock dividends of \$1,453,000 and \$1,162,000, respectively, for the 1,000,000 outstanding shares of our Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock. The increase of \$291,000 is due to the preferred stock being outstanding for a portion of quarter during the nine months ended September 30, 2005 as compared to the entirety of the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

Returns from Home Sales Business

We engage in the home sales business for four primary reasons:

- 1) to lease expansion home sites within our portfolio, thereby increasing the profitability and value of our communities;
- 2) to upgrade existing leased home sites with new and more valuable homes, thereby increasing the long term value of the lease income stream;
- 3) to broker the resale of homes in order to support investment values in the homes and to attract good neighbors all so as to promote the long term values of the communities, both for the residents who are our customers and for the long term growth and security of our own investment; and

Table of Contents

- 4) to resell any homes we acquire as a result of defaults in lease obligations owed to us.

We seek to measure the profitability of developing and leasing expansion home sites within our portfolio through identifying the following:

- 1) an estimate of the first year annualized profit on the leases originated on expansion home sites,
- 2) an estimate of the total development costs of the expansion sites leased, including all current and projected development costs, and
- 3) an estimate of the home sales profit or loss attributable to new homes sold on expansion sites, without consideration for the other aspects of the home sales business.

We believe that our projection of the first year returns from the leases originated on expansion home sites provides the user of our financial statements with a comparison of the profitability of the newly leased sites to our current portfolio and to alternative investments in stabilized communities. Our calculation of estimated first year annualized profit on leases originated on expansion home sites is based upon a non-GAAP financial measure. We project the amount of variable property operating expenses we will incur as a result of the newly leased home sites. In order to project our variable operating expenses, we begin with operating expenses determined under GAAP and deduct those expenses we believe will not increase with the addition of newly leased sites.

The most directly comparable financial measure that can be reconciled to GAAP is our historical return on investment in operational home sites, which is reconciled below. Our presentation of the estimated first year return on the expansion home sites cannot be directly reconciled to a comparable GAAP measure principally because there will be leases that begin in the middle of the period and we estimate the incremental operating expenses associated with these leases. The estimated first year annualized return on investment in expansion home sites should not be considered in isolation from nor is it intended to represent an alternative measure of operating income or cash flow or any other measure of performance as determined in accordance with GAAP.

By comparing the estimated first year annualized profit on the expansion home site leases originated to the sum of total development costs, as increased (in the event of a home sales loss) or decreased (in the event of a home sales profit) by the estimated home sales profit or loss, we are able to measure the estimated first year annualized return on our investment in expansion home sites. We believe that this measure provides a useful comparison to the returns available from investing in stabilized communities.

Our calculation of an estimated first year annualized return on investment of new home sales includes the following components:

- (a) We derive our estimated first year annualized profit on leases originated on expansion home sites by deducting estimated operating expenses from the contractual annual revenues from leases originated during the period. We estimate operating expenses using one half of the actual ratio of property operating expenses incurred to property revenue generated in the prior year. For example, if we originate a lease at a property where the ratio of operating expense to property revenues was 40% for the prior year, we apply a 20% expense ratio to project the additional

Table of Contents

expense associated with the newly leased home site for the first year. We believe that one half of the actual expenses is an appropriate estimate of the relationship between fixed and variable expenses of operating our communities.

- (b) The total development costs of the expansion sites leased are based upon the sum of land, construction costs, and other capitalized costs, including interest expense, as allocated to the individual home sites based upon the leased value of each home site.

- (c) We determine the home sales profit or loss that is attributable to sale of homes situated on expansion home sites by deducting from the reported home sales operating income the gross margin and commissions attributable to the (i) sale of new homes on existing leased sites, (ii) the sale of used homes, and (iii) brokerage of home sale transactions between third parties. We make no allocation of sales overhead to the transactions identified above.

We believe that our home sales operations drive our estimated first year annualized return on investment in expansion home sites because most of our expansion home site leases originate with our sale of a home.

Comparison of the Three Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

The leases facilitated by the home sales business during the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 are estimated to provide a first year return on investment of 8.0% and 12.1%, respectively. These returns are shown on the following page and are based upon unaudited pro forma information. This compares to our realized returns from earning sites of 8.2% for the year ended December 31, 2005. The decrease in return on expansion home sites is driven primarily by (i) increases in the per site cost of development as a result of larger lots and higher unit construction costs, (ii) increased lease incentives given in 2006 over 2005, and (iii) increases in the per site cost of development as a result of additional amenities. Our future returns are dependent upon a number of factors including changes in the per site cost of development, changes in lease incentives utilized in support of the rate of new home sales, changes in the profitability of our home sales business, changes in the quantity of new homes sold and other factors.

Table of Contents

The calculation of our estimated first year return on investment in expansion home sites for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 is shown in the following table (in thousands, except expansion sites leased):

		Three Months Ended	
		September 30, 2006	September 30, 2005
Expansion sites leased during the period		76	92
Estimated first year annualized profit on leases originated during the period	A	\$ 269	\$ 369
Costs, including development costs of sites leased		\$ 4,714	\$ 4,607
Home sales income attributable to sites leased		1,370	1,564
Total costs incurred to originate ground leases	B	\$ 3,344	\$ 3,037
Estimated first year annualized return on investment for leases originated during the period	A/B	8.0%	12.1%

For the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, we estimate our profit or loss attributable to the sale of homes situated on expansion home sites as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2006	Three Months Ended September 30, 2005
Reported income from sales operations	\$ 1,389	\$ 1,612
Brokerage business income	(18)	(48)
Used home sales	(1)	
Adjusted income for pro forma analysis	\$ 1,370	\$ 1,564

We exclude the profits from our used home sales and brokerage business from our pro forma calculation of return on investment in expansion home sites. The profits from these activities represent profits that are not directly related to our expansion activities.

Comparison of the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

The leases facilitated by the home sales business during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 are estimated to provide a first year return on investment of 8.8% and 10.6%, respectively. These returns are shown on the following page and are based upon unaudited pro forma information. This compares to our realized returns from earning sites of 8.2% for the year ended December 31, 2005. The decrease in return on expansion home sites is driven primarily by (i) increases in the per site cost of development as a result of larger lots and higher unit construction costs, (ii) increases in lease incentives given in 2006 over 2005, and (iii) increases in the per site cost of development as a result of additional

Table of Contents

amenities. Our future returns are dependent upon a number of factors including changes in the per site cost of development, changes in lease incentives utilized in support of the rate of new home sales, changes in the profitability of our home sales business, changes in the quantity of new homes sold and other factors.

The calculation of our estimated first year return on investment in expansion home sites for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 is shown in the following table (in thousands, except expansion sites leased):

		Nine Months Ended	
		September 30, 2006	September 30, 2005
Expansion sites leased during the period		244	263
Estimated first year annualized profit on leases originated during the period	A	\$ 906	\$ 992
Costs, including development costs of sites leased		\$ 14,657	\$ 12,376
Home sales income attributable to sites leased		4,389	3,042
Total costs incurred to originate ground leases	B	\$ 10,268	\$ 9,334
Estimated first year annualized return on investment for leases originated during the period	A/B	8.8%	10.6%

Table of Contents

For the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, we estimate our profit or loss attributable to the sale of homes situated on expansion home sites as follows (in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005
Reported income from sales operations	\$ 4,590	\$ 3,400
Brokerage business income	(183)	(226)
Used home sales	(18)	(132)
Adjusted income for pro forma analysis	\$ 4,389	\$ 3,042

We exclude the profits from our used home sales and brokerage business from our pro forma calculation of return on investment in expansion home sites. The profits from these activities represent profits that are not directly related to our expansion activities.

The reconciliation of our estimated first year return on investment in expansion home sites, a non-GAAP financial measure, to our return on investment in operational home sites in accordance with GAAP is shown in the following table (in thousands):

		Total Portfolio for Year Ended December 31, 2005
Property income before depreciation ¹	A	\$ 19,819
Total investment in operating home sites ¹	B	\$ 242,304
Return on investment from earning home sites ¹	A/B	8.2%

¹ A reconciliation of our return on investment for earning sites for the year ended December 31, 2005 to property income before depreciation and investment in operational sites is shown below (in thousands):

	December 31, 2005
Rental and other property revenues	\$ 31,914
Property operating expenses	(12,095)
Property income before depreciation (A)	\$ 19,819
Real estate assets, net	\$ 297,800
Add: Accumulated depreciation	26,014
Less: Real estate under development	(78,416)
Less: Cost of home sites ready for intended use	(3,094)
Investment in operational sites (B)	\$ 242,304
Return on investment in operational sites (A/B) ¹	8.2%

¹ Our return on investment in operational sites reflects our income from and investment in sites that were leased for the first time during the year ended December 31, 2005. For these leases, the income reported above includes less than a full twelve months of operating results. Consequently, when compared to the investment we have made in these home sites, the return on investment during the year ended December 31, 2005 is less than the return when measured using a full twelve months of operating results.

Table of Contents

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

As of September 30, 2006, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$311,000. Our principal activities that demand liquidity include our normal operating activities, development expenditures, payments of principal and interest on outstanding debt, acquisitions of and additional investments in properties, and payments of distributions to preferred and common stockholders and OP Unit holders. We expect to utilize cash provided by operating activities and short-term borrowings to meet short-term liquidity demands. We expect to meet our long-term liquidity requirements, such as debt maturities and property acquisitions, through long-term borrowings, both secured and unsecured, the issuance of debt or equity securities (including OP Units), the sales of properties and cash generated from operations.

In the event that there is an economic downturn and the cash provided by operating activities is reduced or, if access to short term borrowing sources becomes restricted, the Company may be required to reduce or eliminate expenditures for the continued development of its communities and/or reduce or eliminate its preferred and common stock dividends.

The Company has a revolving line of credit with a bank with a total commitment of \$16,000,000 with a variable rate of 200 basis points over the 1-month LIBOR rate (7.32% at September 30, 2006). The line of credit is secured by real property and improvements located in St. Lucie, Lake, and Pasco Counties, Florida and Maricopa County, Arizona with a net book value of \$36,757,000. The revolving line of credit matures in December 2006. At September 30, 2006, \$9,970,000 was outstanding and \$6,030,000 was not drawn under the revolving line of credit. The availability of funds to the Company under the line of credit is subject to certain borrowing base and other customary restrictions, including compliance with financial and other covenants thereunder. The financial covenants of the line of credit require the Company to maintain a ratio of cash flow (as defined by the lender) on a trailing twelve-month basis to pro forma annual debt service obligations (as defined by the lender) of not less than 1.1 to 1.0 on properties securing the line of credit, to maintain a tangible net worth of \$90,000,000 and to maintain a debt to net worth ratio of not more than 2.0 to 1.0, among others. Based upon the application of these covenants, as of September 30, 2006, \$15,557,000 was available to the Company. The Company believes it was in compliance with all financial covenant requirements at September 30, 2006.

The Company has a floor plan line of credit with a floor plan lender providing a committed credit facility of \$35,000,000 with a variable interest rate linked to the prime rate and spreads varying from 0.25% to 3.5%, depending on the manufacturer and age of the inventory. Individual advances mature between 360 days and 720 days based on the aging of the Company's inventory. This floor plan line of credit is secured by inventory located in the Company's residential land lease communities with a carrying value of approximately \$22,062,000. At September 30, 2006, approximately \$23,813,000 was outstanding, of which \$3,079,000 was recourse to the Company, and approximately \$11,187,000 was available under the floor plan credit facility. The

Table of Contents

financial covenants of the floor plan line of credit require the Company to maintain a tangible net worth of \$90,000,000 and to maintain a debt to net worth ratio of not more than 2.0 to 1.0, among others. The Company believes it was in compliance with all financial covenant requirements at September 30, 2006. The floor plan lender's commitment to fund future inventory purchases expires in September 2009.

Our ability to access secured and unsecured borrowings as a source of liquidity is dependent upon factors outside of our control including economic trends that impact the availability of credit from lending sources we currently utilize. Our ability to issue additional equity in the form of equity securities (including the issuance by the Operating Partnership of OP Units) is dependent upon certain factors outside of our control including returns available on alternative investments and other economic factors. The amount of cash generated by our operations is dependent upon our ability to operate the existing portfolio of revenue earning sites and to originate new earning sites through new lease originations generated by our home sales business. Our ability to generate cash through the operation of the current portfolio is dependent upon the costs we pay to acquire the goods and services required to operate the portfolio, the absence of natural disasters, such as hurricanes, that would disrupt the flow of rental income for an undeterminable time period and other factors. Our ability to generate cash through the origination of new earning sites is dependent upon our ability to market effectively to our target market customers, to originate contracts for sale of homes at our properties, thereby generating income producing leases, and to develop the undeveloped land within our portfolio in a timely fashion, and on a cost effective basis.

Operating Activities

Our net cash provided by operating activities was \$11.3 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2005 compared to \$14.1 million during the same period in 2005. The \$2.8 million decrease was primarily the result of:

\$1.8 million decrease in cash provided by operating assets and liabilities as a result of increased business volumes and decreases in accounts payable balances from 2005, and a

\$2.3 million increase in cash used to fund inventory in 2006 as compared to the cash used to fund inventory increases in 2005. This increase is a result of both higher average costs in inventory and a higher percentage of completion for homes in inventory, offset by a

\$1.3 million increase in earnings before depreciation, amortization, minority interest, and casualty gain.

Investing Activities

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the net cash used in investing activities was \$81.7 million, compared with \$40.6 million net cash used during the same period in 2005. The \$41.1million increase in net cash used for investing activities is primarily the result of:

\$27.6 million increase in expenditures related to the purchase of three new age-restricted communities,

\$13.2 million increase in expenditures for capital replacements, development and improvements in 2006 as compared to 2005, primarily related to the continued development of unleased sites,

\$0.5 million increase in notes receivable advances,

Table of Contents

\$0.4 million increase in purchase of furniture, fixtures, and equipment for taxable subsidiaries classified as other assets, and a

\$0.2 million decrease in proceeds from hurricane insurance claims for 2005, all offset by a

\$0.7 million decrease in costs associated with capital replacements incurred in 2005 as a result of multiple hurricanes in Florida during 2004, and a

\$0.1 million increase in proceeds from notes receivable.

Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$68.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared with net cash provided during the same period in 2005 of \$26.5 million.

The \$42.4 million increase in cash provided by financing activities is primarily the result of:

Increases

\$54.1 million increase in proceeds from secured long-term notes payable,

\$15.0 million increase in proceeds from secured short-term financing,

\$0.4 million decrease in dividends previously accounted for as compensation expense,

\$0.3 million decrease in payments to escrow funds, and a

\$0.2 million decrease in principal payments on secured long-term notes payable, all offset by

Decreases

\$23.9 million decrease in net proceeds received from the issuance of preferred stock in 2005 which did not recur in 2006,

\$1.6 million decrease in proceeds from stock options exercised,

\$0.7 million increase in payment of loan costs,

\$0.7 million decrease in proceeds from notes receivable on common stock purchases,

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-Q

\$0.5 million increase in payments of preferred stock dividends, and a

\$0.2 million increase in payment of common stock dividends.

Dividends and Distributions

Our dividends on common and preferred stock are set quarterly by ANL's Board of Directors and are subject to change or elimination at any time. Our primary financial objective is to maximize long term, risk adjusted returns on investment for common stockholders. While dividend policy is considered within the context of this objective, maintenance of past dividend levels is not a primary investment objective of the Company and is subject to numerous factors including the Company's profitability, capital expenditure plans, obligations related to principal payments and capitalized interest, and the availability of debt and equity capital at terms deemed attractive by the Company to finance these expenditures. Our net operating loss may be used to offset all or a portion of our REIT taxable income, which may allow us to reduce or eliminate our dividends paid and still maintain our REIT status.

Historically, the combination of dividend payments, capital expenditures, capitalized interest and debt repayment has exceeded funds provided from operating activities, and we have funded a portion of these expenditures from debt financings. However, there is no assurance that we will be able to continue to do so on terms deemed acceptable in the future. In the event that we are unable to do so or decide not to pursue such financing, we will be required to reduce or eliminate dividends, reduce or eliminate capital expenditures, or both.

Table of Contents

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our principal exposure to market risk is changes in interest rates relating to our various debt instruments and borrowings. The following is a discussion of the potential impact of changes in interest rates on our debt instruments.

We have \$10.6 million of fixed rate, fully amortizing, non-recourse, secured long-term notes payable. We do not have exposure to changing interest rates on these notes as the rates are fixed and the notes are fully amortizing.

We have \$181.5 million of fixed rate, partially amortizing, non-recourse, secured long-term notes payable. We do not have significant exposure to changes in interest rates since the interest rates are fixed. We have repricing and refunding risks as to the unpaid balance on these notes of \$148.3 million due at maturity between 2007 and 2020.

We have an \$11.4 million interest only, non-recourse, secured long-term note payable. This is a variable rate loan and bears interest at 90 day LIBOR plus 175 basis points. If LIBOR increased immediately by 1%, then our annual income before minority interest in the Operating Partnership and cash flows would decrease by approximately \$114,000 due to an increase in interest expense based on the outstanding balance at September 30, 2006. We have repricing and refunding risks as to the unpaid balance due at maturity of this note in 2011.

We have a committed secured floor plan facility that bears interest at the lender's prime rate plus amounts ranging from 0.25% to 3.5% based upon the manufacturer and age of the inventory. If the lender's prime rate increased immediately by 1%, then our annual income before minority interest in the Operating Partnership and cash flows would decrease by approximately \$238,000 due to an increase in interest expense on this line of credit, based on the approximately \$23.8 million outstanding balance at September 30, 2006. We have repricing and refunding risks as to the unpaid balance due at the maturity of this note in 2009.

We have a \$10.0 million interest only secured short-term note payable. This is a variable rate loan and bears interest at 30 day LIBOR plus 200 basis points. If LIBOR increased immediately by 1%, then our annual income before minority interest in the Operating Partnership and cash flows would decrease by approximately \$100,000 due to an increase in interest expense based on the outstanding balance at September 30, 2006. We have repricing and refunding risks as to the unpaid balance due at maturity of this note in 2007.

We have a \$16.0 million line of credit that bears interest at 30 day LIBOR plus 200 basis points. If LIBOR increased immediately by 1% then our annual income before minority interest in the Operating Partnership and cash flows would decrease by approximately \$100,000 due to an increase in interest expense based on the approximate 10.0 million outstanding balance at September 30, 2006. We have repricing and refunding risks as to the unpaid balance due at the maturity of this line of credit in December 2006.

Table of Contents

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our senior management, including our chief executive officer, chief operating officer and chief financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report (the Evaluation Date). Based upon this evaluation, our chief executive officer, chief operating officer, and chief financial officer concluded as of the Evaluation Date that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective such that the information relating to American Land Lease, including our consolidated subsidiaries, required to be disclosed in our SEC filings (i) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and (ii) is accumulated and communicated to American Land Lease's management, including our chief executive officer, chief operating officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II

OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The information disclosed under the heading "Legal Contingencies" in Note I of the condensed consolidated financial statements of this Form 10-Q and in Part I, Item 2. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

For discussion of our potential risks or uncertainties, please see Part 1, Item 1A, of our 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 10, 2006. There have been no material changes to the risk factors disclosed in Part 1, Item 1A, of our 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
3.1	Third Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of American Land Lease, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated May 4, 2005 and filed on May 4, 2005).
3.2	Fourth Amended and Restated By-laws of American Land Lease, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated April 4, 2005 and filed on April 5, 2005).
10.1	Amended and Restated Wholesale Security Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated August 17, 2006 filed on August 22, 2006).
31.1	Certification of CEO pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of COO pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.3	Certification of CFO pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of CEO Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 furnished herewith.
32.2	Certification of COO Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 furnished herewith.
32.3	Certification of CFO Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 furnished herewith.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC.
(Registrant)

Date: November 7, 2006

By /s/ Shannon E. Smith
Shannon E. Smith
Chief Financial Officer

51