

ABLEAUCTIONS COM INC
Form DEFM14A
November 21, 2008

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-11(c) or §240.14a-12

ABLEAUCTIONS.COM, INC.

Name of the Registrant as Specified In Its Charter

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies: Not applicable

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies: Not applicable

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined): Not applicable

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction: Not applicable

(5) Total fee paid: Not applicable

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

Amount Previously Paid: Not applicable

Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.: Not applicable

Filing Party: Not applicable

Date Filed: Not applicable

ABLEAUCTIONS.COM, INC.

Suite 200 - 1963 Lougheed Highway, Coquitlam,

British Columbia, Canada V3K 3T8

Telephone (604)-521-3369 Fax (604)-521-4911

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

To our shareholders:

The annual meeting of the shareholders of Ableauctions.com, Inc. will be held on Wednesday, December 17, 2008, at 11:00 a.m. local time, at 700-595 Burrard Street, Vancouver, British Columbia for the following purposes:

(1)
to elect the four persons listed in the proxy statement that accompanies this Notice to serve as our directors;

(2)
to ratify the appointment of Cinnamon Jang Willoughby & Company, Chartered Accountants as our independent auditors for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2008;

(3)

to vote upon an amendment to our articles of incorporation which will reverse split the outstanding shares of our common stock so that each shareholder will receive 1 share for every 12 shares owned;

(4)

in accordance with Section 710 of the Amex Company Guide, to seek approval of the acquisition of a 50% interest in Surrey Central City Holdings Ltd. and the issuance of shares of our common stock in accordance with the terms of a convertible promissory note signed in conjunction therewith; and

(5)

to transact such other business that may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment or adjournments thereof.

Shareholders of record at the close of business on October 28, 2008 will be entitled to notice of and to vote at the annual meeting and at any continuation or adjournment thereof.

All shareholders are cordially invited to attend the annual meeting in person. Your vote is important. **Please return the enclosed proxy as promptly as possible, whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting.** Your promptness in returning the proxy will assist in the expeditious and orderly processing of the proxies and will assist in ensuring that a quorum is present or represented. Even though you return your proxy, you may nevertheless attend the annual meeting and vote your shares in person if you wish. If you want to revoke your proxy at a later time for any reason, you may do so in the manner described in the attached proxy statement.

By Order of the Board of Directors

December 2, 2008
Coquitlam, British Columbia

/s/ Abdul Ladha
Abdul Ladha, President

ABLEAUCTIONS.COM, INC.

Suite 200 - 1963 Lougheed Highway, Coquitlam,

British Columbia, Canada V3K 3T8

Telephone (604)-521-3369 Fax (604)-521-4911

PROXY STATEMENT

ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

To Be Held December 17, 2008

VOTING AND PROXY

This proxy statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the board of directors of Ableauctions.com, Inc., a Florida corporation (referred to as the company , we , our or us) for use at our annual meeting of shareholders to be held at 700-595 Burrard Street, Vancouver, British Columbia on Wednesday, December 17, 2008, at 11:00 a.m. local time, and at any meeting following adjournment thereof. The notice of annual meeting, this proxy statement and the accompanying proxy card are being mailed to shareholders on or about December 2 , 2008.

Revocability of Proxy and Voting of Shares

Any shareholder giving a proxy has the power to revoke it at any time before it is exercised. The proxy may be revoked by filing an instrument of revocation or a duly executed proxy bearing a later date with our president at our executive offices. The proxy may also be revoked by attending the meeting and voting in person. If it is not revoked, and assuming it is properly executed, dated and returned, the proxy will be voted at the meeting in accordance with the shareholder's instructions indicated on the proxy card. **If no instructions are indicated, the proxy will be voted FOR the director-nominees and FOR the approval of the three remaining proposals, and in accordance with the judgment of the proxy holders as to any other matter that may be properly brought before the meeting or any adjournments thereof.**

Record Date, Voting Rights, Outstanding Shares and Dissenters' Rights

The board of directors has fixed October 28, 2008 as the record date (the Record Date) for determining holders of our common stock, \$0.001 par value per share, who are entitled to vote at the meeting. As of the Record Date, we had 70,876,378 shares of common stock issued and outstanding. Each share of common stock is entitled to one vote. One-third of the shares of common stock issued and outstanding are required to reach a quorum and, if the quorum is met, acts of the shareholders require the approval of holders of 50.01% of the votes cast at the meeting. For purposes of determining whether the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present at the meeting and entitled to vote on a proposal has been obtained, abstentions and shares held in street name by brokers or nominees who indicate on their proxies that they do not have discretionary authority to vote such shares as to these matters (broker non-votes) will be included in the number of shares present and entitled to vote but will have no effect on the vote.

Votes cast by proxy or in person at the annual meeting will be tabulated by the Inspector of Election, in conjunction with information received from our transfer agent. The Inspector of Election will also determine whether or not a quorum is present.

In electing directors, no shareholder has cumulative voting rights. **Proxies cannot be voted for a greater number of persons than the number of nominees named.**

No shareholder has the right to dissent to or to receive an appraisal of his common stock in conjunction with the proposals to be voted upon.

Interests of Persons in Matters to be Acted Upon and Procedures Relating to Interested Party Transactions

Mr. Abdul Ladha, our chief executive officer, president, chief financial officer and a director, is an interested party in the transaction discussed at proposal 4. This proposal seeks approval from our shareholders of the acquisition of a 50% interest in Surrey Central City Holdings Ltd. from Bullion Reef Holdings Ltd. Pursuant to the Development Agreement that was entered into, one-half of the purchase price will be paid with a convertible promissory note. Bullion Reef Holdings Ltd. may, at its election, accept shares of our common stock in payment of the convertible promissory note. Mr. Ladha is the sole officer and sole director of Surrey Central City Holdings Ltd. The Ladha Family Trust owns all of the capital stock of Bullion Reef Holdings Ltd. While Mr. Ladha is not a beneficiary of the Ladha Family Trust, members of his family are beneficiaries. Bullion Reef Holdings Ltd. is the sole shareholder of Surrey Central City Holdings Ltd. Therefore, Mr. Ladha's indirect ownership of our common stock would increase by 29,583,333 shares if Bullion Reef Holdings Ltd. decides to accept shares of our common stock, rather than cash, as payment of the convertible promissory note.

Related party transactions are reviewed by those directors who are independent of the transaction. In certain instances, we also obtain assistance from independent third parties who may provide us with advice, reports, opinions and/or appraisals. For the transaction described in proposal 4, our board of directors received 2 appraisals of the property owned by Surrey Central City Holdings Ltd. and an opinion as to the fairness of the transaction from an independent third party. On October 6, 2008, Dr. David Vogt and Messrs. Barrett Sleeman and Michael Boyling, our 3 independent directors, approved the transaction, as did the members of our audit committee.

Mr. Ladha has indicated to us that he intends to vote for proposal 4. Mr. Ladha owns a total of 15,874,419 shares of our common stock, which represents approximately 22.4% of our issued and outstanding shares of common stock on the Record Date.

Failure to Satisfy a Continued Listing Rule

On September 18, 2008 we received a letter from the American Stock Exchange indicating that the low selling price of our common stock may not be suitable for auction market trading. Over the three months prior to the date we received the letter, the price per share of our common stock ranged between \$0.06 and \$0.10. The American Stock Exchange indicated in its letter that, in accordance with Section 1003(f)(v) of the Amex Company Guide, we must undertake a reverse split of our common stock. We have a reasonable time from the date of the letter to affect the reverse split. If we fail to affect the reverse split within a reasonable time after receiving the letter, the American Stock Exchange may consider suspending dealings in, or removing from the list, our common stock. In that event, we would become subject to the procedures and requirements of Section 1009 of the Amex Company Guide, which could, among other things, result in the staff initiating delisting proceedings.

Solicitation

We will bear the cost of solicitation of proxies, including expenses in connection with preparing and mailing this proxy statement. Copies of solicitation materials will be furnished to brokerage houses, nominees, fiduciaries and custodians to forward to beneficial owners of common stock held in their names. We will reimburse brokerage firms and other persons representing beneficial owners of common stock for their reasonable expenses in forwarding solicitation materials to the owners. In addition to original solicitation of proxies by mail, our directors, officers and other employees may, without additional compensation, solicit proxies by telephone, facsimile and personal interviews.

We will only deliver one proxy statement to multiple shareholders sharing an address unless we have received contrary instructions from one or more of the shareholders. We will promptly deliver a separate copy of this proxy statement to a shareholder at a shared address to which a single copy of the document was delivered upon oral or written request to:

Ableauctions.com, Inc.

Attn.: President

Suite 200 - 1963 Lougheed Highway

Coquitlam, British Columbia

Canada V3K 3T8

Telephone (604)-521-3369 Fax (604)-521-4911

Page 5

SUMMARY INFORMATION ABOUT PROPOSAL 4

The following summary highlights selected information from this proxy statement regarding proposal 4 and may not contain all of the information that is important to you. Accordingly, we encourage you to read carefully this proxy statement, its attachments and the documents referred to or incorporated by reference into this proxy statement. Each item in this summary includes a page reference directing you to a more complete description of that item.

Please note that all of the monetary values in this proxy statement have been stated in United States dollars. The conversion rate is 0.87. This conversion rate is based on the rate published by the Bank of Canada on November 4, 2008.

The Transaction (page 19)

The transaction discussed in proposal 4 of this proxy statement is the acquisition of one-half of the issued and outstanding shares of the Series A common stock of Surrey Central City Holdings Ltd., a private corporation, by Ableauctions.com, Inc. and is referred to throughout this Summary as the Transaction . The Transaction will be consummated in accordance with the terms of the Development Agreement dated October 6, 2008, as it was amended on October 22, 2008 and October 29, 2008. Bullion Reef Holdings Ltd. is the owner of 100% of the issued and outstanding shares of Series A common stock of Surrey Central City Holdings Ltd. is Bullion Reef Holdings Ltd. The Series A common stock is the only capital stock issued and outstanding.

The Parties to the Transaction

The parties to the Transaction are:

Ableauctions.com, Inc. (referred to in this proxy statement as Ableauctions , we , us or our

Suite 200 1963 Lougheed Highway

Coquitlam, British Columbia, Canada V3K 3T8

Telephone: (604)-521-3369

and

Bullion Reef Holdings Ltd. (referred to in this proxy statement as Bullion)

Suite 217 713 Columbia Street

New Westminster, British Columbia, Canada V3M 1B2

Telephone: (604) 522-9884

and

Surrey Central City Holdings Ltd.

Suite 217 713 Columbia Street

New Westminster, British Columbia, Canada V3M 1B2

Telephone: (604) 522-9884

Brief Description of Business Conducted (page 16)

For many years our primary business was to provide liquidation and merchandizing services along with auction and point-of-sale technology to businesses to assist them with managing the sale of their products. Beginning in 2007, revenues from our liquidation services, which generally accounted for approximately 79% of all of our revenues, began to decline. In 2005, in an effort to increase shareholder value, diversify our assets and provide a reliable source of income to our company, Mr. Abdul Ladha, our executive officer, a director and a significant shareholder, brought us an opportunity to develop certain real property located at 9655 King George Highway, Surrey, British Columbia by improving it with a retail facility of approximately 4,326 square feet and with a residential complex consisting of 111 condominiums of approximately 91,132 square feet. We accepted the opportunity and eventually entered into agreements to pre-sell 100% of the residential condominiums prior to construction. We expect this development to be completed by September 2009. We have collected approximately \$2.04 million (\$2.34 million CAD) in deposits that are currently being held in trust with Macdonald Realty Ltd. These non-refundable deposits represent approximately 10% of the total purchase price commitment from our buyers. We expect revenue of approximately \$22.1 million (\$25.4 million CAD) from the sale of the commercial and residential units upon completion and we estimate that the total cost to develop the property, including the cost of the land, will be approximately \$18.44 million (\$21.2 million CAD). Over 90% of the project construction costs have now been awarded in fixed price contracts or unit price agreements and our construction is currently on schedule and below budget. If we are successful in developing the property on-time and within our budget and the units are sold in accordance with the pre-sale agreements, the difference between the costs of development and the total sales price will provide us with record profits. Based on the foregoing, and due to e-Bay's decision to discontinue its e-Bay Live Auctions platform on December 31, 2008 and the continued downturn in the U.S. economy, management has determined that the acquisition and development of real property located in and around Vancouver, British Columbia is in the best interests of our shareholders. Due to the proximity of our development to Surrey's proposed development, we believe that the Transaction provides a logical progression of this segment of our business.

Surrey was incorporated in July 2007. Surrey owns 4 parcels of land next to the land we are developing and has signed an agreement to purchase a fifth parcel adjacent to the other 4. It is Surrey's intention to develop the 4 parcels of land that it owns (and, if it is successful in acquiring it, the fifth parcel) with a mixed residential and commercial development.

Brief Description of the Transaction (page 19)

The following discussion of the Development Agreement is subject to, and qualified in its entirety by, the detailed provisions of the Development Agreement, as amended, and any exhibits thereto.

Surrey has issued one series of common stock, which is its Series A common stock. All of the issued and outstanding shares of Series A common stock are owned by Bullion. Pursuant to the Development Agreement, we will purchase one-half of the outstanding Series A common stock owned by Bullion for a purchase price of \$1,347,440, although the price may be increased on the happening of certain events which are more fully discussed on page 19 of this proxy statement. We will pay one-half of the purchase price with cash, and the other half with a promissory note. The

promissory note bears simple interest at the prime rate as announced by the Royal Bank of Canada on the date of the promissory note and is due to be paid on October 6, 2009. Bullion may elect to accept shares of our common stock rather than cash for payment of some or all of the principal amount of the promissory note, up to \$1,000,000, and interest accrued thereon.

If Surrey is unsuccessful in obtaining approval of the preliminary development plan and a commitment for financing for the build-out, we will have the right to put the Series A common stock we purchased to Bullion and Bullion will be required to purchase it from us for cash in the amount of the purchase price (as it may have been adjusted), less one-half of the expenses incurred by Surrey in its efforts to develop the property. This put right will expire 12 months from the date of the Development Agreement.

The Development Agreement also provides for certain compensation to be paid to Mr. Ladha for services he has provided to us in the past and for services he may provide to us in the future.

Consideration for the Transaction (page 19)

We have agreed to pay \$1,347,440 for one-half of Bullion's shares of Series A common stock in Surrey. The purchase price may be adjusted upward in the event of either of the following occurrences. The purchase price may be increased to reflect the increase in value that will accrue to the property owned by Surrey if Surrey decides to develop the property with a 6-storey complex rather than a 4-storey complex. The purchase price may also be increased to reflect the increase in value that will accrue to the property if Surrey is able to acquire an adjacent lot owned by an unrelated third party. On October 23, 2008, Surrey entered into an agreement to acquire the adjacent lot for approximately \$701,668. Following the purchase of the adjacent lot, Surrey will obtain 2 appraisals from independent appraisers to determine the increase in value.

Interest of Abdul Ladha in the Transaction (pages 2 and 20)

Mr. Abdul Ladha, our chief executive officer, chief financial officer, a director and a significant shareholder, is the sole officer and sole director of Surrey. All of the shares of capital stock in Bullion, Surrey's sole shareholder, are owned by the Ladha Family Trust. While Mr. Ladha is not a beneficiary of the Ladha Family Trust, members of his family are the beneficiaries. Therefore, Mr. Ladha's ownership of our common stock would increase indirectly if Bullion decides to accept shares of our common stock, rather than cash, as payment for the promissory note.

The Development Agreement also anticipates that Mr. Ladha and Overture Development Corporation, a corporation that he owns and controls, will provide services to Surrey in developing the property. These services include managing the build-out; working with government agencies to obtain approval of the development and obtaining the plans, permits and approvals required to complete the build-out; providing contractor's services, including liaising with various trade supervisors to coordinate construction of the build-out and supervising and directing construction of the build-out; coordinating the implementation of a marketing plan; providing the construction bonds; and obtaining financing and home warranty coverage for the development. Mr. Ladha and Overture Development Corporation will jointly receive 12.5% of the net profit (the Developer's Fee) for providing these services. The term net profit means the revenue received from the sale of the residential units after deducting expenses. The term expenses includes, but is not limited to, all architectural, legal and professional fees; loan fees and interest paid on loans; fees, costs and taxes chargeable by any governmental authority; costs of insurance; and any and all costs related to the construction of the improvements. Net profit is to be determined when the project receives a conditional occupancy permit and when any and all loans or other debt related to the project have been paid in full. If the sale of the residential units included in the build-out of the Property fails to realize a net profit, Mr. Ladha and Overture Development Corporation will not receive the Developer's Fee. If units remain unsold following the payment in full of the loans or other debt related to the build-out of the Property, the Developer's Fee will be paid as each such unit is sold.

Finally, the Development Agreement permits us to pay a Developer's Fee to Mr. Ladha and Overture for providing services to us for the build-out of 9655 King George Highway, Surrey, British Columbia. The Developer's Fee for that project will be 25% of the net profit.

Our Reasons for Making the Acquisition (page 18)

A significant segment of our business provides liquidation and merchandizing services, along with auction and point-of-sale technology, to businesses to assist them in managing the sale of their products. On April 15, 2008 we announced that we had been notified by eBay that eBay intended to wind down the operations of its eBay Live Auctions platform effective December 31, 2008. This decision, along with the continued weakness in the U.S. economy, has negatively impacted our liquidation and live auction broadcast services. In order to address the adverse effect of these events on our revenues and operations, our management is currently considering whether to restructure our operations or to acquire or merge with another business. However, in the absence of any immediate and definitive transaction, we intend to expand our investment and property development business.

Surrey's Reasons for Entering into the Transaction (page 19)

By entering into the Transaction, Surrey will benefit from our existing relationships with the trades and sub-contractors already working on phase I of the development located at 9655 King George Highway. Additional efficiencies can be achieved for both parties by using the same qualified professionals (such as architects and engineers) and by using the same basic architectural design for both projects.

Vote Required for Approval of the Transaction (page 1)

One-third of the shares of common stock issued and outstanding are required to reach a quorum for the annual meeting. If a quorum is met, acts of the shareholders require the approval of holders of 50.01% of the votes cast at the meeting. For purposes of determining whether the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present at the meeting and entitled to vote on a proposal has been obtained, abstentions and shares held in street name by brokers or nominees who indicate on their proxies that they do not have discretionary authority to vote such shares as to these matters (broker non-votes) will be included in the number of shares present and entitled to vote but will have no effect on the vote. Mr. Ladha has indicated that he intends to vote for the Transaction. Mr. Ladha currently owns 15,874,419 shares of common stock, which represents approximately 22.4% of our issued and outstanding shares of common stock on the Record Date.

Effect of the Transaction on our Shareholders (page 25)

If Bullion were to take shares of our common stock, rather than cash, as payment for up to \$1,000,000 of the purchase price plus interest accrued thereon, we would be required to issue a total of 29,583,333 shares of common stock in payment of the principal and the accrued interest. The issuance of additional shares of common stock will have a dilutive effect on our remaining shareholders. Furthermore, Mr. Ladha will indirectly increase his ownership from 15,874,419 shares, or approximately 22.4% of our common stock, to 45,457,752 shares, or approximately 45.2% of our common stock.

Dissenters' or Appraisal Rights (page 1)

Shareholders will not be entitled to dissenters' or appraisal rights as a result of the Transaction.

Accounting Treatment (page 24)

The Transaction will be accounted for as a purchase, as such term is used under generally accepted accounting principles.

Fairness Opinions and Appraisals (page 21)

A fairness opinion and 2 appraisals relating to the Transaction have been received from independent third parties. The fairness opinion and the appraisals were considered by our board of directors in approving the Transaction. The appraisals were commissioned by Surrey, at our request. We paid for the appraisals. The full text of the opinion and appraisals is attached as Annex 5, Annex 6 and Annex 7 to this proxy statement.

OVERVIEW OF PROPOSALS

This proxy statement includes four proposals requiring shareholder action. The proposals relate to:

.

the election of four directors,

.

the ratification of the appointment of Cinnamon Jang Willoughby & Company, Chartered Accountants, as our independent auditors for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2008,

.

the approval of an amendment to our articles of incorporation that will result in a reverse split of our common stock so that each shareholder will receive 1 share for every 12 shares owned; and

.

in accordance with Section 710 of the Amex Company Guide, the approval of the acquisition of a 50% interest in Surrey Central City Holdings Ltd. and the issuance of shares of our common stock in accordance with the terms of a convertible promissory note signed in conjunction therewith.

The proposals are discussed in more detail below.

PROPOSALS

PROPOSAL 1 - ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Four directors are to be elected to our board of directors at the annual meeting. The directors will hold office until the next annual meeting of our shareholders. The board of directors has nominated Abdul Ladha, Barrett E. G. Sleeman,

Dr. David Vogt and Michael Boyling. We expect that these nominees will be available for election, but if they are not, your proxy will be voted for the election of other nominees to be designated by the board of directors to fill any such vacancies.

Information regarding the business experience of each nominee and director is provided below. There are no family relationships among our executive officers and directors.

Abdul Ladha, Age 46

Abdul Ladha has been a director, president, and chief executive officer of the company since August 24, 1999. He also currently serves as the chief financial officer. In addition, Mr. Ladha is president of all of the company's wholly owned subsidiaries. Mr. Ladha holds an honors degree in Electrical Engineering and Mathematics from the University of British Columbia. In 1985 he founded Dexton Enterprises Inc., a subsidiary of Dexton Technologies Corporation, which was a company engaged in the business of the development and provision of web-based business solutions to small to mid-size retail and business-to-business customers, and the marketing and sale of personal computer hardware and network systems to corporate and retail customers, as well as computer training and after-sales upgrade and support services. Mr. Ladha was president, chief executive officer, and a director of Dexton Technologies from December 1994 to July 2001. In 1997, Dexton Technologies acquired Able Auctions (1991) Ltd., which Dexton sold to the company on August 24, 1999.

Mr. Ladha is the executive director of CITA, the Canadian Institute for Technological Advancement, a non-profit organization dedicated to developing Canada's technological entrepreneurs. CITA is sponsored by the University of British Columbia, Simon Fraser University, the World Trade Centre, Ernst & Young, and some 60 corporations and institutions.

Barrett E.G. Sleeman, Age 68

Barrett Sleeman, a director since August 24, 1999, is a professional engineer. He has also been a director of Crystal Graphite Corporation, a graphite property development company, from February 1999 to February 2004, and the chief executive officer of THEMAC Resources Group Limited from October 2001 to the present. From April 1997 to September 2001, he was a director of Dexton Technologies Corporation, a technology company. From May 1988 to May 2000, he was a director and the president of Omicron Technologies Inc., whose focus is the acquisition, research and development, and marketing of leading edge technologies for the aerospace, telecommunications, defense, and consumer electronics industries, as well as Internet-based business concepts. Mr. Sleeman also served as a director of Java Group Inc., an oil and gas company, from November 1997 to March 2000. Mr. Sleeman also served as president (October 1996 to October 1997) and a director (August 1996 to October 1997) of White Hawk Ventures Inc., and president (August 1995 to April 1997) and a director (March 1995 to January 1998) of International Bravo Resources Inc., both mining exploration companies.

Dr. David Vogt, Age 52

Dr. David Vogt, a director since April 17, 2000, is a seasoned technology innovator with experience in the corporate, research and development and academic sectors. In September 2006 he founded, and since that date he has been the chief executive officer of, CrowdTrust Technologies Inc., a web-based company offering personal knowledge and identity management solutions. Since October 2004 he has also served as executive director of the Mobile MUSE Network, an applied research collaborative serving as an innovation engine for the emerging mobile media industry. Since August 1999 Dr. Vogt has also served as the director of Digital Learning Projects for the University of British Columbia's Faculty of Education. Dr. Vogt began his career with a Ph.D. in astronomy and was Director of Observatories at the University of British Columbia. He then became Director of Science at Science World, a public science museum. In 1996 he founded and became chief executive officer of Brainium Technologies Inc., a pioneer of Internet-based learning products for K through 12 students. More recently, Dr. Vogt was chief research officer at the New Media Innovation Centre (NewMIC) in Vancouver, British Columbia. Dr. Vogt lives in Vancouver and contributes to a number of public and private boards.

Michael Boyling, Age 50

Michael Boyling, a director since 2002, is vice president of Rogers Associate Partners Inc., a position he has held since January 1999. Rogers Associate Partners Inc., the stock of which is traded on the Toronto Securities Exchange, is an insurance and financial services company based in Vancouver, British Columbia with offices in Edmonton Alberta, Calgary Alberta and Winnipeg Manitoba. Rogers Associate Partners Inc. provides insurance and financial services to high net worth individuals and medium sized companies.

Since August 1999 Mr. Boyling has also provided consulting services through West Coast Global Equity Ventures Inc., a company he founded, which provides debt financing and investing services to private clients. Since 1999 he

has worked as a consultant and broker with foreign and domestic companies arranging non-traditional equity and debt financing. In this capacity, Mr. Boyling has brokered debt and equity financing over the previous two years in excess of CDN\$63 million.

Mr. Boyling served with the Canadian Military (Army) from the age of 17 to the age of 38.

Director Nomination Process

We do not have, nor are we required by the rules of the American Stock Exchange to have, a standing nominating or compensation committee. Our board of directors is made up of four members, three of whom are deemed to be independent, as that term is defined in the rules of the American Stock Exchange. Nominees to the board of directors were selected and approved by our three independent board members, Mr. Barrett Sleeman, Dr. David Vogt and Mr. Michael Boyling.

The board of directors, acting as a nominating committee, will consider candidates recommended by shareholders. Shareholders wishing to recommend a candidate for membership on the board of directors should submit to us the name of the individual and other pertinent information, including a short biography and contact information, in the manner described below in this proxy statement in the section titled Shareholder Proposals for 2009 Annual Meeting which appears on page 30.

Some, but not all, of the qualifications that may be considered by the board of directors in choosing a director are:

.

minimum, relevant employment experience;

.

familiarity with generally accepted accounting principles and the preparation of financial statements;

.

post secondary education or professional license;

.

previous experience as a board member of an operating company; and

.

the ability to commit the number of hours per year necessary to discharge his or her duty as a member of the board of directors.

A candidate for director must agree to abide by our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics.

Our goal is to seek to achieve a balance of knowledge and experience on our board. To this end, we seek nominees with the highest professional and personal ethics and values, an understanding of our business, diversity of business experience and expertise, a high level of education, broad-based business acumen, and the ability to think strategically. Although we use the criteria listed above as well as other criteria to evaluate potential nominees, we do

not have a stated minimum criteria for nominees. The board does not use different standards to evaluate nominees depending on whether they are proposed by our directors and management or by our shareholders. To date, we have not paid any third parties to assist us in finding director nominees.

The board of directors has not received a nominee from a shareholder who is not also an officer or director of the company. Each nominee to our board of directors expressed a willingness to serve during the next year and, based on a review of his qualifications, each was deemed to be a suitable candidate for nomination.

Communications with Members of our Board of Directors

The board of directors has not established a formal process for shareholders to send communications to its members. Any shareholder may send a communication to any member of the board of directors in care of our address below:

Ableauctions.com, Inc.

Attn.: President

Suite 200 - 1963 Lougheed Highway, Coquitlam,

British Columbia, Canada V3K 3T8

Telephone (604)-521-3369 Fax (604)-521-4911

If a communication is sent to our address, we will forward any such communication to the board member. If the shareholder would like the communication to be confidential, it should be so marked.

Meetings of the Board of Directors and Information about Committees

The board of directors met 10 times during the 2007 fiscal year. These meetings were attended by all the directors.

We have no policy with regard to the attendance by board members at our annual meetings. All of the members of our board of directors attended the last annual meeting.

The board of directors has one standing committee, which is the audit committee. Information regarding the functions of the audit committee, its present membership and the number of meetings held by the audit committee during the 2007 fiscal year is described below.

The audit committee is responsible for recommending to the board of directors the selection of independent public accountants to audit our books and records annually, to discuss with the independent auditors and internal financial personnel the scope and results of any audit, to review and approve any nonaudit services performed by our independent auditing firm, and to review certain related party transactions. The audit committee met 6 times during the 2007 fiscal year.

The audit committee is currently composed of two directors, Mr. Barrett Sleeman and Dr. David Vogt, who are independent directors as defined under Rule 121 of the American Stock Exchange. The audit committee operates under a written charter adopted by the board of directors.

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The audit committee oversees our financial reporting process on behalf of the board of directors. Management is responsible for our financial statements and the financial reporting process, including the system of internal controls. The independent auditors are responsible for expressing an opinion on the conformity of those audited financial statements with generally accepted accounting principles.

The audit committee has discussed with the independent auditors the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, *Communication with Audit Committees*, as amended. In addition, the audit committee has discussed with the independent auditors the auditors' independence from the company and its management including the matters in the written disclosures provided to the audit committee as required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1, *Independence Discussions with Audit Committees*.

The audit committee recommended to the board of directors, and the board of directors approved, the inclusion of the audited financial statements in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the 2007 fiscal year for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Members of the Audit Committee

Barrett Sleeman

Dr. David Vogt

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS

THAT YOU VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF THE FOUR NOMINEES.

PROPOSAL 2 - RATIFICATION OF CINNAMON JANG WILLOUGHBY & COMPANY, CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS, AS OUR INDEPENDENT AUDITORS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2008

The board of directors requests that the shareholders ratify its selection of Cinnamon Jang Willoughby & Company, Chartered Accountants, as our independent auditors for the 2008 fiscal year.

Disclosure of Fees Billed by our Auditors

The following table sets forth fees billed to us by our auditors during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 for: (i) services rendered for the audit of our annual financial statements and the review of our quarterly financial statements, (ii) services by our auditor that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our financial statements and that are not reported as Audit Fees, (iii) services rendered in connection with tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning, and (iv) all other fees for services rendered. STS Partners LLP, Chartered Accountants, our auditor until June 19, 2008, audited our financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 and Telford Sadovnick, P.L.L.C., Certified Public Accountants, audited our financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006. On June 19, 2008 we engaged Cinnamon Jang Willoughby & Company, Chartered Accountants, as our independent auditor.

		December 31,	
		2007	2006
(i)	Audit Fees	\$ 67,255	\$ 45,525
(ii)	Audit Related Fees	\$	\$
(iii)	Tax Fees	\$ --	\$ --
(iv)	All Other Fees	\$ 49,755	\$ 24,500

Unless they are de minimus, the audit committee is required to pre-approve services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our financial statements, services rendered in connection with tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning, and all other fees for services rendered. The audit committee reviews these services to assure that they do not impair the auditor's independence from the company.

We have not asked representatives of STS Partners, LLP, Chartered Accountants, or Cinnamon Jang Willoughby & Company, Chartered Accountants, to be present at the annual meeting.

Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

STS Partners LLP, Chartered Accountants, the independent accountant who had been engaged by us as the principal accountant to audit our consolidated financial statements, was dismissed effective June 19, 2008. On June 19, 2008, our board of directors approved the engagement of Cinnamon Jang Willoughby & Company, Chartered Accountants, as our new principal independent accountant to audit our consolidated financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2008.

The decision to change our independent accountant from STS Partners LLP, Chartered Accountants, to Cinnamon Jang Willoughby & Company, Chartered Accountants, was approved by our audit committee.

The report of STS Partners LLP, Chartered Accountants, on our financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2007 (the only year that was audited by STS Partners LLP, Chartered Accountants) did not contain an adverse opinion or a disclaimer of opinion. During the period ended December 31, 2007 and the interim period from January 1, 2008 through the date of dismissal, we did not have any disagreements with STS Partners LLP, Chartered Accountants, on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure, which disagreements, if not resolved to the satisfaction of STS Partners LLP, Chartered Accountants, would have caused it to make a reference to the subject matter of the disagreements in connection with its reports.

Prior to engaging Cinnamon Jang Willoughby & Company, Chartered Accountants, we had not consulted Cinnamon Jang Willoughby & Company, Chartered Accountants, regarding the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction, completed or proposed, or the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on our financial statements.

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE RATIFICATION OF
CINNAMON JANG WILLOUGHBY & COMPANY, CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS,
AS OUR INDEPENDENT AUDITORS FOR THE 2008 FISCAL YEAR.**

PROPOSAL 3 TO AUTHORIZE AN AMENDMENT TO OUR ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION TO EFFECT A REVERSE SPLIT OF OUR COMMON STOCK

We are asking our shareholders to authorize our board of directors to amend our articles of incorporation to affect a reverse split of our issued and outstanding common stock. By approving this proposal, the board of directors will be empowered to reverse split our common stock by combining 12 shares into one share.

The following table reflects the number of shares of common stock that would be outstanding as a result of the reverse split and the approximate percentage reduction in the number of outstanding shares based on 70,876,378 shares of common stock outstanding as of the Record Date.

Proposed		Approximate Shares
Reverse	Percentage	of Common Stock
Split Ratio	Reduction	to be Outstanding
12 shares for one share	91.7%	5,906,365

Reasons for the Reverse Split

On September 18, 2008 we received a letter from the American Stock Exchange indicating that the low selling price of our common stock may not be suitable for auction market trading. Over the three months preceding the date of the letter, the price per share of our common stock ranged between \$0.06 and \$0.10. The American Stock Exchange indicated in its letter that, in accordance with Section 1003(f)(v) of the Amex Company Guide, we must undertake a reverse split of our common stock. We have a reasonable time from the date of the letter to affect the reverse split. If we fail to affect the reverse split within a reasonable time after receiving the letter, the American Stock Exchange may consider suspending dealings in, or removing from the list, our common stock. In that event, we would become subject to the procedures and requirements of Section 1009 of the Amex Company Guide, which could, among other things, result in the staff initiating delisting proceedings.

Decreasing the number of shares of common stock outstanding should result in a proportionate adjustment to the price of our common stock, which should increase it. For example, if the price of one share of our common stock is \$0.06 on the date that the reverse split is effected, the reverse split should increase the market price to \$0.72 per share ($\$0.06 \times 12 = \0.72). We cannot assure you that the market price for our common stock immediately following the reverse split will exceed the current market price or that an increase in the market price will be maintained for any period of time.

The reverse split will also benefit shareholders holding less than 12 shares of common stock, because any shareholder who owns fewer than 12 shares will receive a cash payment and will be eliminated. Management believes that these shareholders may be deterred from selling their shares because of disproportionately high brokerage costs. The reverse split will give these shareholders the opportunity to receive cash for their shares without having to pay brokerage commissions. The cash payment will be equal to the average of the high and low trading prices of our common stock over the five trading days immediately prior to the effective date of the Amendment described below. For example, assuming that the reverse split was effective on October 17, 2008, a shareholder holding less than 12 shares would receive \$0.051 per share for his common stock, based upon the following:

Trading Day	High	Low	Average
October 16, 2008	\$0.058	\$0.046	\$0.052
October 15, 2008	\$0.055	\$0.045	\$0.050
October 14, 2008	\$0.058	\$0.054	\$0.056
October 13, 2008	\$0.053	\$0.045	\$0.049
October 10, 2008	\$0.052	\$0.039	\$0.046
Average of the high and low trading price over 5 days			\$0.051

We estimate that of our approximately 4,577 shareholders, 368 shareholders have fewer than 12 shares of our common stock. Assuming that each of these shareholders owned 11 shares, we would be required to purchase 4,048 shares of common stock at a price of \$0.051 per share for a total of \$206.45. We will use our general operating funds to make these payments. Following the purchase of these shares, we expect to have approximately 4,209 shareholders.

Based on the foregoing, our board of directors determined that a reverse split of one share of common stock in exchange for 12 shares of common stock would be in the best interests of our company and its shareholders. The criteria for determining the split ratio included the reasons discussed above.

Effectiveness of the Reverse Split and Mechanism for Share Exchange

If approved by the shareholders, the reverse split will become effective with the filing of an amendment (the Amendment) to our articles of incorporation with the Florida Secretary of State. A copy of the Amendment is attached to this proxy statement as Annex 1. The board of directors may abandon the Amendment, if the directors determine that it is in the best interests of the company and our shareholders to do so. If the board of directors determines that it is in the best interests of the company and our shareholders to affect the reverse split, it will file the Amendment no later than March 31, 2009 unless other arrangements are made with the American Stock Exchange.

If the reverse split is approved, then each share of common stock outstanding will immediately and automatically be changed, as of the effective date of the Amendment, into one-twelfth of a share of common stock. In addition, proportional adjustments will be made to the number of shares issuable upon exercise or conversion of, and the exercise price or conversion formula, of our outstanding options and warrants.

The table below illustrates, as of the Record Date, the number of shares of common stock that are issued and outstanding, the number of shares of common stock that are authorized and reserved for issuance and the number of shares of common stock that are authorized but unreserved.

Number of Shares	Number of Shares Authorized and Reserved for Issuance	Number of Shares Authorized but Unreserved for Issuance
Outstanding 70,876,378	Reserved for Issuance 16,487,756	Unreserved for Issuance 12,635,866

If the reverse split is approved, the number of issued and outstanding shares of our common stock would be reduced in accordance with the exchange ratio for the reverse split. The par value of our common stock would remain unchanged at \$0.001 per share and the number of authorized shares of common stock, which is 100,000,000, would remain unchanged. Giving effect to the reverse split, the table below illustrates, as of the Record Date, the number of shares of common stock that will be issued and outstanding, the number of shares of common stock that will be authorized and reserved for issuance and the number of shares of common stock that will be authorized but unreserved.

Number of Shares	Number of Shares Authorized and Reserved for Issuance	Number of Shares Authorized but Unreserved for Issuance
Outstanding 5,906,365	Reserved for Issuance 1,373,980	Unreserved for Issuance 92,719,656

Except as discussed in proposal 4 below and as indicated in the table above, we have no plans, proposals or arrangements to issue the additional shares that will be unreserved and available for issuance as a result of the reverse split.

No fractional shares of common stock will be issued in connection with the reverse split. Instead, fractional shares will be rounded up to the next whole share.

Effect of Reverse Split and Potential Anti-Takeover Effect

Management does not anticipate that our financial condition, the percentage ownership of management, the number of our shareholders, or any aspect of our business will materially change as a result of the reverse split. Because the reverse split will apply to all issued and outstanding shares of common stock and outstanding rights to purchase common stock or to convert other securities into common stock, the proposed reverse split will not alter the relative rights and preferences of existing shareholders. However, as noted above, the number of authorized shares of common stock will remain unchanged following the reverse split, but the number of shares of common stock outstanding will be decreased. As a result, we could potentially issue (using the number of shares of common stock outstanding and the number of shares authorized and reserved for issuance as of the Record Date) a total of 92,719,656 additional shares of common stock, as opposed to a total of 12,635,866 additional shares of common stock that would have been available to issue had the reverse split not occurred. Therefore, holders of our common stock could experience substantially greater dilution of their shareholdings if, in the future, we issue all of the authorized but unissued shares of common stock.

The effective increase in our authorized shares could also potentially be used by management to make it more difficult or to discourage a merger, tender offer or proxy contest or the removal of incumbent management. Management could use the additional shares to resist a third-party transaction favored by a majority of the independent shareholders, even if it would provide an above-market premium, by issuing additional shares to frustrate the takeover effort. However, this proposal is not the result of management's knowledge of an effort to accumulate our securities or to obtain control of our company by means of a merger, tender offer, solicitation or otherwise.

Neither our articles of incorporation nor our by-laws presently contain any provisions having anti-takeover effects and this proposal is not a plan by management to adopt a series of amendments to our articles of incorporation or by-laws to institute anti-takeover provisions. We do not presently have any plans or proposals to adopt other provisions or enter into other arrangements that may have material anti-takeover consequences.

There are no rules or practices on any stock exchange that permit such exchange to reserve the right to refuse to list or to de-list any stock which completes a reverse split.

Advantages and Disadvantages of the Reverse Split

The reason for the reverse split, and the major advantage of engaging in the reverse split, is to help us keep our listing on the American Stock Exchange. With the exception of the low trading price of our common stock, we believe that we meet all the criteria for continued listing. If the reverse split is not completed, we expect that the American Stock Exchange will begin delisting proceedings.

The main disadvantage to the reverse split is that we may issue the additional shares of common stock for the purpose of discouraging any potential takeover attempts, even if they are favored by the shareholders.

Exchange of Stock Certificates

Provided that the reverse split is approved by the shareholders, the board of directors will notify each holder of record with instructions for the surrender and exchange of certificates.

Based on the foregoing discussion, the board of directors requests that shareholders approve the following resolution in connection with the reverse split:

RESOLVED, that the shareholders hereby authorize the board of directors to file the Amendment to the Company's articles of incorporation to effect a combination of the Company's issued and outstanding common stock using the ratio 1:12, provided however, that at any time before the effective date of the Amendment, the board of directors may, by resolution, abandon the Amendment without further action by the shareholders.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR AUTHORIZING THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS TO AFFECT THE REVERSE SPLIT.

PROPOSAL 4 TO SEEK APPROVAL OF THE ACQUISITION OF A 50% INTEREST IN SURREY CENTRAL CITY HOLDINGS LTD. AND THE ISSUANCE OF SHARES OF OUR COMMON STOCK IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF A CONVERTIBLE PROMISSORY NOTE SIGNED IN CONJUNCTION THEREWITH

Section 712 of the Amex Company Guide requires approval by our shareholders for the acquisition of stock or assets of another company in the following circumstances:

(a)

if any individual director, officer or substantial shareholder of the listed company has a 5% or greater interest (or such persons collectively have a 10% or greater interest), directly or indirectly, in the company or assets to be acquired or in the consideration to be paid in the transaction and the present or potential issuance of common stock, or securities convertible into common stock, could result in an increase in outstanding common shares of 5% or more; or

(b)

where the present or potential issuance of common stock, or securities convertible into common stock, could result in an increase in outstanding common shares of 20% or more.

We intend to acquire a 50% interest in Surrey Central City Holdings Ltd. (Surrey), which is a party related to our chief executive officer and director, Mr. Abdul Ladha. As part of this acquisition, we intend to pay a portion of the purchase price with a promissory note that can be converted into shares of our common stock, at the election of the seller. This acquisition was approved by a majority of our independent directors and by the members of our audit committee. Mr. Ladha has indicated that he intends to vote for proposal 4. Mr. Ladha owned on the Record Date, and currently owns, 15,874,419 shares, or approximately 22.4% of the number of shares of our issued and outstanding common stock on the Record Date.

Information about Surrey Central City Holdings Ltd.

The following information includes a discussion of Surrey s financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires Surrey s management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. On an on-going basis, Surrey s management evaluates its estimates and judgments. Surrey s management bases its estimates and judgments on historical experience and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or

conditions.

Surrey is a privately held corporation that was incorporated under the laws of British Columbia on July 18, 2007. Surrey has one shareholder, Bullion Reef Holdings Ltd. (Bullion), which is also a privately held corporation. The sole shareholder of Bullion is the Ladha Family Trust. While Mr. Ladha is not a beneficiary of the Ladha Family Trust, members of his family are beneficiaries. Mr. Ladha is the sole officer and director of Surrey.

Surrey was incorporated for the purpose of developing real estate. It currently holds 4 parcels of real property and has signed an agreement to purchase a fifth parcel adjacent to these 4. Surrey intends to develop this property by building commercial and residential facilities on it. The property is currently improved with residential homes and apartments. Surrey intends to begin development of its properties during the next 12 months. Bullion has indicated that it will provide financial assistance to Surrey, in the form of additional loans or capital contributions, to allow it to start the development. Bullion has also indicated that it will assist Surrey in obtaining bank financing for the development. So long as Bullion continues to provide financial assistance, Surrey will continue to explore the acquisition and development of viable commercial and residential projects based on market conditions, commercial and residential real estate demand, and absorption rates. Surrey has no employees. Mr. Ladha provides his services to Surrey without compensation. Surrey does not expect that this will change during the next 12 months.

Significant accounting policies

Surrey's management has identified the following accounting principles as being key to an understanding of its financial statements. These important accounting policies require management's most difficult, subjective judgments.

Real Estate Rental Income. Rental income in respect to commercial and residential property leases is recognized on a straight-line basis over the lease term.

Real Estate Sales. For condominium development projects, profit is recognized on the percentage-of-completion method in respect to individual units sold on a pre-sale basis, when all the following criteria are met:

.

Construction is beyond a preliminary state.

.

The buyer may only receive a refund in the circumstances of non-delivery of the unit.

.

Sufficient units have already been sold to assure that the entire property will not revert to rental property.

.

Sales prices are collectible. Pursuant to the guidance of Emerging Issues Task Form 06-8, the collectability of the sales price is assessed by Surrey primarily based on the adequacy of the buyer's continuing investment in the form of non-refundable deposits, and the age and location of the property. The credit standing of the buyer is taken into account if known.

.

Aggregate sales proceeds and costs can be reasonably estimated.

Deposits received in respect to sales which do not meet the criteria for revenue recognition as described above are accounted for as deposits until the criteria are met. All revenues and costs related to a development project are deferred until the completion of the development and the successful completion of the sales and transfer of strata lots.

Foreign Currency Translation. Surrey accounts for foreign currency transactions and translation of foreign currency financial statements based on Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 52, Foreign Currency Translation. Surrey's functional currency is the Canadian dollar. All assets and liabilities are translated to U.S. dollars at current exchange rates, while shareholder's equity accounts are translated at the appropriate historical rate or rates. Revenues and expenses are translated at the weighted-average rate for the period. The resulting gains and losses are reported as a separate component of shareholder's equity.

Results of operations for the period from January 1, 2008 through September 30, 2008

During the nine month period ended September 30, 2008, Surrey earned no revenues and had expenses, which included advertising and promotion costs, insurance, interest and bank charges and professional fees, totaling \$ 5,296. Surrey's net loss for the period ended September 30, 2008 was \$ 5,296.

Cash flows used by operating activities totaled \$ 4,218 and were used primarily to fund Surrey's net loss. Changes in non-cash working capital included a decrease of \$ 223 in accounts receivable and an increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$ 1,301.

During the nine month period ended September 30, 2008 Surrey used \$ 30,621 for costs incurred on the lots it holds for development. During the nine month period ended September 30, 2008 Surrey received advances totaling \$ 12,216 from Bullion. Due to the payment of the costs incurred on the property held for development in the amount of \$ 30,621 and the use of \$ 4,218 for operating activities, offset by the advance of \$12,216 from Bullion, Surrey's cash was reduced during the nine month period ended September 30, 2008 by \$ 22,623. The effect of exchange rates on cash also resulted in a decrease of \$ 1,775. Therefore, there was a total decrease of cash and cash equivalents of \$ 24,398, from \$30,251 at the beginning of the period to \$ 5,853 at the end of the period.

Results of operations for the period from inception (July 18, 2007) through December 31, 2007

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, Surrey earned no revenues and had expenses, which included insurance costs, bank charges and professional fees totaling \$5,655. Surrey's net loss for the period from inception through December 31, 2007 was \$5,655.

During the period from inception through December 31, 2007, Surrey invested \$1,911,677 in 4 of the lots it holds for development. To purchase the property Surrey received two loans, totaling \$1,946,749, from Bullion. A loan in the amount of \$1,407,243 accrued interest at the prime rate as announced by the Royal Bank of Canada plus 1% per annum and was due and payable on December 31, 2008. The second loan was an advance of \$539,506, which was non-interest bearing and without specific repayment terms. Surrey paid both of these loans on October 27, 2008 by issuing 199 shares of its Series A common stock to Bullion. Subsequent to this issuance of Series A common stock, the Development Agreement was amended on October 29, 2008 to revise the number of shares being purchased by the company from 50 shares to 149.5 shares. No other changes were made to the Development Agreement with this amendment.

Surrey used \$4,710 in cash for operating activities during the period from inception through December 31, 2007. This amount was used primarily to fund its net loss of \$5,655. During the period from inception through December 31, 2007, accounts receivable increased in the amount of \$572 while accounts payable and accrued liabilities increased by \$1,517.

As noted above, Surrey used \$1,911,677 for the purchase of the initial 4 lots it holds for development. In order to purchase the property, Surrey borrowed a total of \$1,946,749 from Bullion.

As a result of the loans from Bullion, Surrey had an increase in cash of \$30,362 during the period ended December 31, 2007. This increase was offset by the effect of exchange rates on cash in the amount of \$111, leaving \$30,251 of cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2007.

Liquidity

As of September 30, 2008, Surrey had cash of \$ 5,853 and accounts receivable of \$ 795 .. This cash is sufficient to allow Surrey to conduct its day to day operations. While Bullion is not under any obligation to do so, Surrey expects that Bullion will provide advances, as and when needed, to fund its operations until it obtains the financing it will need in order to build out the property. If Bullion does not provide these advances, Surrey may be required to cease its operations. There is also no guarantee that Surrey will be able to obtain the financing necessary to build out the property.

Surrey received 2 loans from Bullion totaling \$1,946,749. In October 2008, Bullion agreed to accept 199 shares of Surrey's Series A common stock as full payment for the loans and all interest accrued thereon.

As described in Note 5 to Surrey's financial statements, during the periods ended December 31, 2007 and September 30, 2008, Surrey paid \$2,699 and \$ 50,154 , respectively, in interest on the loans from Bullion, received \$2,207 and \$ 44,548 in rental income from the 4 lots, respectively, and paid development costs of \$0 and \$ 25,473 , respectively.

Our Reasons for Making the Acquisition

A significant segment of our business provides liquidation and merchandizing services, along with auction and point-of-sale technology, to businesses to assist them in managing the sale of their products. On April 15, 2008 we announced that we had been notified by eBay that eBay intended to wind down the operations of its eBay Live Auctions platform effective December 31, 2008. This decision, along with the continued weakness in the U.S. economy, has negatively impacted our liquidation and live auction broadcast services. In order to address the adverse effect of these events on our revenues and operations, our management is currently considering whether to restructure our operations or to acquire or merge with another business. However, in the absence of any immediate and definitive transaction, we intend to expand our investment and property development business.

In 2009, we expect substantially all of our gross revenue, which we estimate may exceed \$20 million, to come from our property development business. We base this projection on the fact that we have entered into agreements to pre-sell 100% of the residential condominium units being built at 9655 King George Highway prior to construction. We expect this development to be completed by September 2009. We have collected approximately \$2.04 million (\$2.34 million CAD) in deposits that are currently being held in trust with Macdonald Realty Ltd. These non-refundable deposits represent approximately 10% of the total purchase price commitment from our buyers. We expect revenue of approximately \$22.1 million (\$25.4 million CAD) from the sale of the commercial and residential units upon completion and we estimate that the total cost to develop the property, including the cost of the land, will be approximately \$18.44 million (\$21.2 million CAD). Over 90% of the project construction costs have now been awarded in fixed price contracts or unit price agreements and our construction is currently on schedule and below budget. If we are successful in developing the property on-time and within our budget and the units are sold in accordance with the pre-sale agreements, the difference between the costs of development and the total sales price will provide us with record profits. Due to the proximity of our development to Surrey's proposed development, we believe that the acquisition of Surrey provides a logical progression of this segment of our business. To this end, on October 6, 2008 our board of directors and the audit committee approved a Development Agreement between the company and Mr. Ladha, Overture Development Corporation, Surrey and Bullion. The Development Agreement was subsequently amended on October 22, 2008 and October 29, 2008. Mr. Ladha is the sole officer, director and shareholder of Overture Development Corporation and the sole officer and director of Surrey. Bullion is the sole shareholder of Surrey. The Ladha Family Trust is the sole shareholder of Bullion. While Mr. Ladha is not a beneficiary of the Ladha Family Trust, members of his family are beneficiaries. The following is an organization chart illustrating this ownership.

Ladha Family Trust

217-713 Columbia St.

New Westminster, BC, V3M 1B2

Canada

100%

Bullion Reef Holdings Ltd.

217-713 Columbia St.

New Westminster, BC, V3M 1B2

Canada

100%

Surrey Central City Holdings Ltd.

217-713 Columbia St.

New Westminster, BC, V3M 1B2

Canada

A copy of the Development Agreement and the 2 amendments to the Development Agreement are attached to this proxy statement as Annex 2, Annex 3 and Annex 4, respectively.

Surrey's Reasons for Entering into the Transaction.

Surrey is the owner of 4 properties (collectively referred to as the Property) adjacent to 9655 King George Highway, Surrey, British Columbia. In October 2008 Surrey signed an agreement to purchase a fifth property adjacent to these 4. We own 9655 King George Highway and are in the process of improving it with a retail facility of approximately 4,326 square feet and with a residential complex consisting of 111 condominiums of approximately 91,132 square feet. By entering into the transaction, Surrey will benefit from our existing relationships with the trades and sub-contractors already working on this development. Additional efficiencies can be achieved for both parties by using the same qualified professionals (such as architects and engineers) and by using the same basic architectural design for both projects. Surrey intends to explore the potential of developing the Property by improving it with a residential complex of at least 4-stories consisting of at least 76 condominiums .. Our board of directors believes that this development has significant potential and has determined that the acquisition of a 50% interest in Surrey will be in our best interests and in the best interests of our shareholders.

Description of the Transaction

Surrey currently has 299 shares of Class A common stock issued and outstanding, all of which are owned by Bullion. We agreed to purchase one-half of these shares from Bullion. We agreed to pay \$1,347,440 for these shares. The purchase price is based on 2 appraisals provided to us by independent appraisers and a fairness opinion. The purchase price may be adjusted upward in the event of either of the following occurrences. The purchase price may be increased to reflect the increase in value that will accrue to the Property if Surrey decides to develop the Property with a 6-storey complex rather than a 4-storey complex. The purchase price may also be increased to reflect the increase in value that will accrue to the Property if Surrey is able to acquire an adjacent lot owned by an unrelated third party because Surrey will be able to develop the Property, including the adjacent lot, with a significantly larger structure. Negotiations relating to the acquisition of the adjacent lot had been entered into on Surrey's behalf approximately 2 years ago. On October 23, 2008 Surrey entered into an agreement to purchase the adjacent lot for approximately \$701,668. If Surrey is able to consummate the purchase of the adjacent lot, the value of the Property and, concurrently, the amount of the purchase price we will pay for the Class A common stock, will increase. According to the Development Agreement, this increase will be determined by at least 2 appraisers independent from the parties and each other. If the appraisers cannot agree on the increase in value, then the increase in value will equal the average of the appraisers' determinations. We intend to pay one-half of the purchase price in cash and the remainder of the purchase price with a promissory note. The promissory note will be due on October 6, 2009 and will accrue simple interest at the prime rate announced by the Royal Bank of Canada plus 2% per annum. The promissory note will include a provision that will allow Bullion to convert some or all of the principal amount, up to \$1,000,000, and some or all of the interest accrued on the amount to be converted, into shares of our common stock. The number of shares of common stock to be issued to Bullion upon conversion of the principal and any accrued interest will be computed as the last sale price of one share of our common stock on the date on which the Development Agreement was executed, plus 20%. The Development Agreement was executed on October 6, 2008. The last sale price of our common stock on that date was \$0.03, so the number of shares of common stock that would be issued in the event Surrey exercises its right to convert will be based on \$0.036. Our purchase of the shares from Bullion is contingent upon receipt of the approval of our shareholders of the transaction, including the potential issuance of our common stock in payment of the promissory note and approval by the American Stock Exchange of the additional listing application we will be required to submit prior to issuing such shares. We will only be required to submit an additional listing application if Bullion exercises its right to receive shares of our common stock, rather than cash, for some or all of the balance of the purchase price.

In the event that Surrey is unable to successfully obtain the approval of a preliminary development plan and a commitment for financing to complete the build-out of the Property, or if for any reason Surrey determines that the build-out of the Property is not in its best interests, notice of this event will be provided to us. Within 20 days of receiving the notice, we may put the stock we purchased to Bullion and Bullion will, within 120 days from receiving our put notice, repurchase the stock by paying to us in cash the purchase price (including the adjustments described above, if any) less one-half of the expenses incurred by Surrey in its efforts to develop the Property. This put right will expire 12 months from the date of the Development Agreement.

Interest of Abdul Ladha in the Transaction

The Development Agreement anticipates that Mr. Ladha and Overture Development Corporation will provide services to Surrey in developing the Property. These services include managing the build-out; working with government agencies to obtain approval of the development and obtaining the plans, permits and approvals required to complete the build-out; providing contractor's services, including liaising with various trades to coordinate construction of the build-out and supervising and directing construction of the build-out; preparing and implementing a marketing plan; providing the construction bonds; and obtaining financing and home warranty coverage for the development. Mr. Ladha and Overture Development Corporation will jointly receive 12.5% of the net profit (the Developer's Fee) for providing these services. The term net profit means the revenue received from the sale of the residential units after deducting expenses. The term expenses includes, but is not limited to, all architectural, legal and professional fees; loan fees and interest paid on loans; fees, costs and taxes chargeable by any governmental authority; costs of insurance; and any and all costs related to the construction of the improvements. Net profit is to be determined when the project receives a conditional occupancy permit and when any and all loans or other debt related to the project have been paid in full. If the sale of the residential units included in the build-out of the Property fails to realize a net profit, Mr. Ladha and Overture Development Corporation will not receive the Developer's Fee. If units remain unsold following the payment in full of the loans or other debt related to the build-out of the Property, the Developer's Fee will be paid as each such unit is sold.

Finally, the Development Agreement permits us to pay a Developer's Fee to Mr. Ladha and Overture for providing services to us for the build-out of 9655 King George Highway, Surrey, British Columbia. The Developer's Fee for that project will be 25% of the net profit.

Mr. Ladha devotes approximately 10 hours per month to his duties as president of Overture Development Corporation. By retaining the services of Mr. Ladha and Overture Development Corporation, we get the services of a person experienced in developing property who already has established relationships with professionals (such as architects, surveyors and engineers) and a banking relationship. Mr. Ladha or his affiliates have also provided funds to us as we need them to bridge timing issues relating to our bank financing for the project located at 9655 King George Highway and he has indicated that he or his affiliates will provide funds for this purpose for Surrey's project. Furthermore, developers of property with residential units are required to provide certain home warranty coverage in Canada, holding the directors of the development company personally liable for claims in excess of the warranty coverage. In his capacity as the sole director of Axion Investment Corporation, our subsidiary and the company undertaking the development located at 9655 King George Highway, Mr. Ladha may be held responsible for such claims. Mr. Ladha has indicated that he or his affiliates will provide similar services for Surrey. Because he has a personal interest in the development of Surrey's property, Mr. Ladha has also agreed to accept a percentage of net profits as compensation for his services. Because net profits can never be guaranteed, it would not have been possible for us to find someone who would have provided comparable services to us for this compensation.

Fairness Opinion and Appraisals

Opinion of Evans & Evans, Inc.

We have received a fairness opinion relating to the transaction from Evans & Evans, Inc., referred to in this discussion as Evans . The complete text of Evans' written opinion dated September 24, 2008 which sets forth the assumptions made, matters considered, limitations on and scope of the review undertaken by Evans, is attached to this proxy statement as Annex 5 and is incorporated herein by reference, as consented to by Evans.

Evans, located in Canada and the United States, has been providing valuation studies for private and public companies since 1998. The firm is dedicated to assisting companies of all sizes to achieve their unique business goals through a comprehensive set of investment banking and valuation advisory services. Evans provides its services in connection with a variety of transactions, including mergers and acquisitions, private equity, valuation and corporate finance.

Our board of directors selected Evans to prepare the valuation on the basis of its considerable experience in working with small to medium sized clients, its experience in preparing valuations for public companies and its reputation among its peers.

There is no material relationship that existed during the past two years or is mutually understood to be contemplated and no compensation received or to be received as a result of the relationship between Evans or its affiliates and Ableauctions.

Evans opinion states that, as of September 24, 2008, and based upon and subject to the factors, assumptions and limitations set forth in the written opinion, the consideration to be paid by us in the transaction with Bullion was fair, from a financial point of view, to the disinterested holders of our common stock.

The amount and form of consideration to be paid in the transaction was determined by negotiations between our independent directors and Mr. Ladha and not by Evans. Evans was not asked to consider, and Evans' opinion does not address, the underlying business decision by Ableauctions to engage in the transaction, the relative merits of the transaction as compared to other business strategies that might exist for us, the effect of any other transaction in which we might engage or any other aspect of the transaction. Evans' opinion did not express an opinion or recommendation to any director, shareholder or other person as to how to vote or act with respect to the transaction. No limitations were imposed by our board of directors with respect to the investigations made or procedures followed by Evans in rendering its opinion.

In arriving at its opinion, Evans conducted discussions with members of our senior management and reviewed or compared, as appropriate, the following:

- .
our SEC filings;
- .
information included on our website;
- .
press releases we issued during the 12 months preceding the date of its letter;
- .
construction and real estate market information within Vancouver and Surrey, including discussions with other real estate developers;
- .
information relating to the appraisals prepared by Collingwood Appraisals Ltd. and Hooker Craig Lum Garnett Real Estate Appraisers and Consultants Ltd.; and

such other financial studies and analyses and such other investigations and took into account such other matters as Evans deemed necessary and appropriate.

In connection with its review and arriving at its opinion, Evans assumed and relied upon, without assuming any responsibility for, or independently verifying, the accuracy and completeness of all information supplied or otherwise made available to it by Ableauctions and Surrey. Evans further relied upon the assurance of representatives of the management of Ableauctions and Surrey that they are unaware of any facts that would make the information provided to Evans incomplete or misleading in any material respect. With respect to projected financial and operating data, Evans assumed that such data had been reasonably prepared on bases reflecting the best currently available estimates and judgments of the managements and staffs of Ableauctions and Surrey, respectively, relating to the future financial and operation performance of each company. Evans did not assume any obligation to conduct, nor has it conducted, any physical inspection of the properties included in the transaction.

Evans received a fee of CAN\$10,500 in connection with rendering its fairness opinion, none of which was contingent upon consummation of the transaction between us and Bullion. The terms of the fee arrangement with Evans, which are customary in transactions of this nature, were negotiated on an arm's-length basis and our board of directors was aware of the arrangement.

Appraisal from Collingwood Appraisals Ltd.

Our board of directors received a verbal appraisal of the Property prior to October 6, 2008, and a written appraisal of the Property on October 10, 2008. The appraisal was prepared by Collingwood Appraisals Ltd. (Collingwood). The appraisal was commissioned by Surrey, on our behalf and at our request, and paid for by us. The complete text of Collingwood's written appraisal which sets forth the assumptions made, matters considered, limitations on and scope of the appraisal, is attached to this proxy statement as Annex 6 and is incorporated herein by reference, as consented to by Collingwood.

Collingwood, located in New Westminster, British Columbia, has been providing real estate consulting and appraisal services since 1972. Collingwood offers appraisal and consulting services on all types of commercial, industrial, investment and residential properties throughout British Columbia, with activities principally centered around the greater Vancouver and the lower mainland areas.

Collingwood was selected to prepare the valuation on the basis of its considerable experience and its reputation among its peers.

There is no material relationship that existed during the past two years or is mutually understood to be contemplated and no compensation received or to be received as a result of the relationship between Collingwood or its affiliates and Ableauctions or Surrey.

Collingwood was instructed to prepare the appraisal to assist in the decision making process in connection with the placement of financing and to facilitate the potential sale of an interest in the project. Collingwood's appraisal is made as of September 24, 2008. The appraisal states that, as of that date, and based upon and subject to the factors, assumptions and limitations set forth in the written appraisal, the value of the Property was equal to \$2,941,000 assuming a 76-unit strata apartment unit was approved for development and fully completed within two years.

The amount and form of consideration to be paid in the transaction was determined as a result of negotiations between our independent directors and Mr. Ladha and not by Collingwood. Collingwood was not asked to consider, and the appraisal does not address, the underlying business decision by Ableauctions to engage in the transaction, the relative merits of the transaction as compared to other business strategies that might exist for us, the effect of any other transaction in which we might engage or any other aspect of the transaction. Collingwood's appraisal did not express an opinion or recommendation to any director, shareholder or other person as to how to vote or act with respect to the transaction. No limitations were imposed by our board of directors, nor to its knowledge by Surrey, with respect to the investigations made or procedures followed by Collingwood in rendering its appraisal.

In arriving at its opinion, Collingwood reviewed or compared, as appropriate, the following:

.

an analysis of the region;

.

an analysis of the neighborhood;

.

a visual inspection of the Property and the building site;

.

a review of the development plan;

.

taxes and assessments relating to the Property;

.

zoning;

.

market conditions; and

.

such other studies and analyses and such other investigations and took into account such other matters as Collingwood deemed necessary and appropriate.

In connection with its review and arriving at its opinion, Collingwood assumed and relied upon, without assuming any responsibility for, or independently verifying, the accuracy and completeness of all information supplied or otherwise made available to it by Ableauctions and Surrey. Collingwood further relied upon the assurance of representatives of the management of Ableauctions and Surrey that they are unaware of any facts that would make the information provided to Collingwood incomplete or misleading in any material respect.

Collingwood received a fee of CAN\$4,077 in connection with rendering the appraisal, none of which was contingent upon consummation of the transaction between us and Bullion. The terms of the fee arrangement with Collingwood, which are customary in transactions of this nature, were negotiated on an arm's-length basis.

Appraisal from Hooker Craig Lum Garnett Real Estate Appraisers and Consultants Ltd.

On October 6, 2008 our board of directors received a written appraisal of the Property prepared as of September 10, 2008 by Hooker Craig Lum Garnett Real Estate Appraisers and Consultants Ltd. (HCLG). The appraisal was commissioned by Surrey, on our behalf and at our request, and paid for by us. The complete text of HCLG's written appraisal which sets forth the assumptions made, matters considered, limitations on and scope of the appraisal, is attached to this proxy statement as Annex 7 and is incorporated herein by reference, as consented to by HCLG.

HCLG, located in Surrey, British Columbia, has been providing real estate consulting and appraisal services since 1985. HCLG offers appraisal and consulting services on all types of commercial, industrial, investment and residential properties throughout British Columbia, with activities principally centered around the greater Vancouver and the lower mainland areas.

HCLG was selected to prepare the valuation on the basis of its considerable experience and its reputation among its peers.

There is no material relationship that existed during the past two years or is mutually understood to be contemplated and no compensation received or to be received as a result of the relationship between HCLG or its affiliates and Ableauctions or Surrey.

HCLG was instructed to prepare the appraisal to estimate the value of the vacant land and the gross sell-out price. HCLG's appraisal is made as of September 10, 2008. The appraisal states that, as of that date, and based upon and subject to the factors, assumptions and limitations set forth in the written appraisal, the value of the Property was \$2,500,000.

The amount and form of consideration to be paid in the transaction was determined as a result of negotiations between our independent directors and Mr. Ladha and not by HCLG. HCLG was not asked to consider, and the appraisal does not address, the underlying business decision by Ableauctions to engage in the transaction, the relative merits of the transaction as compared to other business strategies that might exist for us, the effect of any other transaction in which we might engage or any other aspect of the transaction. HCLG's appraisal did not express an opinion or recommendation to any director, shareholder or other person as to how to vote or act with respect to the transaction.

No limitations were imposed by our board of directors, nor to its knowledge by Surrey, with respect to the investigations made or procedures followed by HCLG in rendering its appraisal.

In arriving at its opinion, HCLG reviewed or compared, as appropriate, the following:

.

an analysis of the region;

.

an analysis of the neighborhood;

.

a visual inspection of the Property and the building site;

.

a review of the development plan;

.

taxes and assessments relating to the Property;

.

zoning;

market conditions; and

such other studies and analyses and such other investigations and took into account such other matters as HCLG deemed necessary and appropriate.

In connection with its review and arriving at its opinion, HCLG assumed and relied upon, without assuming any responsibility for, or independently verifying, the accuracy and completeness of all information supplied or otherwise made available to it by Ableauctions and Surrey. HCLG further relied upon the assurance of representatives of the management of Ableauctions and Surrey that they are unaware of any facts that would make the information provided to HCLG incomplete or misleading in any material respect.

HCLG received a fee of CAN\$5,000 in connection with rendering the appraisal, none of which was contingent upon consummation of the transaction between Bullion and us. The terms of the fee arrangement with HCLG, which are customary in transactions of this nature, were negotiated on an arm's-length basis.

Accounting Treatment

We will account for the acquisition of the 50% interest in Surrey as a purchase, as that term is used under generally accepted accounting principles. Accordingly, from and after the date that the transaction is completed, Surrey's results of operations will be included in our consolidated results of operations. For purposes of preparing our consolidated financial statements, we will establish a new accounting basis for Surrey's assets and liabilities based upon their estimated fair values and the purchase price of the Series A common stock, including direct costs of the acquisition.

Effect of the Transaction on our Shareholders

We are asking our shareholders to approve the terms of the acquisition of a one-half interest in Surrey and the issuance of shares of our common stock in payment of the promissory note that we will give to Bullion to acquire this interest.

If Bullion chooses to have the entire \$1 million of the purchase price, as well as all the interest, paid with our common stock (assuming an interest rate of 6.5%), we will issue a total of 2,465,277 shares to Bullion (assuming approval of the reverse split). This issuance will have a dilutive effect on our shareholders. It will also indirectly increase the number of shares of common stock owned and controlled by the Ladha Family Trust. While Mr. Ladha is not a beneficiary of the Ladha Family Trust, members of his family are beneficiaries.

Based on the foregoing discussion, the board of directors requests that shareholders approve the following resolution in connection with the acquisition of the Series A common stock of Surrey:

RESOLVED, that the shareholders hereby approve the acquisition of a one-half interest in Surrey Central City Holdings Ltd. pursuant to the terms of the Development Agreement as amended and the issuance of 2,465,277 post-split (29,583,333 pre-split) shares of Ableauctions.com, Inc. common stock necessary to pay the promissory note in accordance with its terms.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR APPROVING THE ACQUISITION OF A ONE-HALF INTEREST IN SURREY CENTRAL CITY HOLDINGS LTD. AND THE ISSUANCE OF SHARES OF OUR COMMON STOCK IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF A CONVERTIBLE PROMISSORY NOTE SIGNED IN CONJUNCTION THEREWITH.

MANAGEMENT

Executive Officers

Abdul Ladha, Age 46

Mr. Ladha is our chief executive officer, president and chief financial officer. For information regarding Mr. Ladha's business experience, please see page 3 of this proxy statement.

Executive Compensation

The table below shows, for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, compensation paid or accrued to our chief executive officer and the four most highly paid individuals whose total compensation exceeded \$100,000.

Summary Compensation Table

Name and principal position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus or Commission (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-	Non-	All Other Compensation(1)	Total (\$)
						Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Qualified Deferred Compensation (\$)		
Abdul Ladha									
President, CEO and CFO	2007	156,000	0	0	0	0	0	200	156,200
Erick Richardson, Vice President and director, Unlimited	2007	0	80,488	0	0	0	0	0	80,488

Closeouts

Steve Gold,
former Senior
Account Manager
of Unlimited
Closeouts, Inc.

2007	0	191,969	0	0	0	0	0	191,969
------	---	---------	---	---	---	---	---	---------

Abdul Ladha

President, CEO
and CFO

2006	156,000	0	0	0	0	0	6,000	162,000
------	---------	---	---	---	---	---	-------	---------

**Erick
Richardson,** Vice
President and
director,
Unlimited
Closeouts

2006	0	207,500	0	36,000(2)	0	0	0	243,500
------	---	---------	---	-----------	---	---	---	---------

Paul Piotrowski,
Manager of
iCollector.com
Technologies Ltd.

2006	0	128,344	0	20,000(3)	0	0	0	148,344
------	---	---------	---	-----------	---	---	---	---------

Steve Gold,
former Senior
Account Manager
of Unlimited
Closeouts, Inc.

2006	0	296,430	0	-	0	0	0	296,430
------	---	---------	---	---	---	---	---	---------

(1)

Car allowance.

(2)

The value of the option award was calculated using the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model. The assumptions used to calculate the value can be found in Note 17 of our financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.

(3)

The value of the option award was calculated using the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model. The assumptions used to calculate the value can be found in Note 17 of our financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.

Disclosure to Summary Compensation Table

Our compensation program consists of the following three components:

.

base salary;

.

bonuses; and

.

awards of options to purchase common stock from our 1999 Stock Option Plan and, for directors, our 2002 Stock Option Plan for Directors.

We believe that a combination of cash and options will allow us to attract and retain the services of the individuals who will help us achieve our business objectives, thereby increasing value for our shareholders.

In setting the compensation for our sole executive officer, our board of directors looked primarily at his responsibilities, at salaries paid to others in businesses comparable to ours, at his experience and at our ability to replace him. We expect the salary of our executive officer to remain relatively constant unless his responsibilities are materially changed.

Bonuses are used to reward performance, either by the individual or by the company. Bonuses are discretionary. No bonuses were granted to our executive officer during the 2007 fiscal year. During the 2007 fiscal year, commissions that were awarded to Mr. Richardson, Mr. Piotrowski and Mr. Gold were based on revenue targets.

During the 2006 fiscal year we granted options to Mr. Richardson and Mr. Piotrowski as an incentive. The number of shares covered by the awards was negotiated along with other components of their compensation. No options were granted during the 2007 fiscal year.

We do not have a compensation committee. The three independent members of our board of directors determine Mr. Ladha's compensation. The board of directors determines the compensation for our other highly compensated employees.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The following table shows the outstanding equity awards granted to our highest paid employees as of December 31, 2007. Equity awards granted to Mr. Ladha were granted in connection with his service as a director.

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END**OPTION AWARDS****STOCK AWARDS**

Name	Number of securities underlying unexercised options (#)	Number of securities underlying unexercised options (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities underlying unexercised options (#)	Option exercise price (\$)	Option expiration date	Equity incentive plan awards: Market or payout value of unearned shares, units or other rights that have not vested (#)			
						Number of shares or units of stock that have not vested (#)	Market value of stock that have not vested (\$)	Equity incentive plan awards: unearned shares, units or other rights that have not vested (#)	Market or payout value of unearned shares, units or other rights that have not vested (#)
Abdul Ladha	1,454,231	0	0	\$0.40	11/16/2014	0	0	0	0
Erick Richardson	1,600,000	0	0	\$0.42	08/24/2009	0	0	0	0
Paul Piotrowski	450,000	450,000	0	\$0.35	08/22/2008	0	0	0	0
	250,000			\$0.35	08/22/2008				
	250,000	250,000	0	\$0.42	08/24/2009	0	0	0	0

Employment Agreements

On April 1, 2002 we entered into an employment agreement with our chief executive officer, Abdul Ladha. The term of the agreement will continue until Mr. Ladha dies or is permanently disabled, we terminate the agreement for cause, we and Mr. Ladha mutually agree to terminate the agreement, Mr. Ladha elects to terminate the agreement or we elect to terminate the agreement. If Mr. Ladha elects to terminate the agreement, he must give us at least 90 days written notice of his intent to terminate. If we elect to terminate the agreement, we must give Mr. Ladha written notice equal to no less than the greater of one year or two months for each year of completed service. In lieu of such notice, we can pay Mr. Ladha compensation for the notice period. Mr. Ladha's cash compensation is \$156,000 per year, which may be increased by our board of directors. Mr. Ladha also receives an automobile allowance of \$500 per month and, upon execution of the agreement, he was granted options to purchase 1,000,000 shares of our common stock.

Compensation Paid to Members of our Board of Directors

We did not compensate our directors during the 2007 fiscal year.

Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act requires our directors, executive officers and persons who own more than 10% of our common stock to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership of our common stock with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Directors, executive officers and persons who own more than 10% of our common stock are required by Securities and Exchange Commission regulations to furnish to us copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

To our knowledge, based solely upon review of the copies of such reports received or written representations from the reporting persons, we believe that during our 2007 fiscal year our directors, executive officers and persons who own more than 10% of our common stock complied with all Section 16(a) filing requirements.

Material Legal Proceedings involving Affiliates

To our knowledge, none of our directors, officers or affiliates, or any 5% or greater shareholder, or any associate of any such directors, officers or affiliates, is a party that is adverse to us in any material legal proceeding.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS

AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth information as of October 28, 2008 as to each person or group who is known to us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of our outstanding voting securities and as to the security and percentage ownership of each of our executive officers and directors and of all of our officers and directors as a group.

Beneficial ownership is determined under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and generally includes voting or investment power over securities. The number of shares shown as beneficially owned in the tables below are calculated pursuant to Rule 13d-3(d)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Under Rule 13d-3(d)(1), shares not outstanding that are subject to options, warrants, rights or conversion privileges exercisable within 60 days are deemed outstanding for the purpose of calculating the number and percentage owned by such person, but not deemed outstanding for the purpose of calculating the percentage owned by each other person listed. Except in cases where community property laws apply or as indicated in the footnotes to this table, we believe that each shareholder identified in the table possesses sole voting and investment power over all of the shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned by the shareholder.

The following table is based on a total of 70,876,378 shares of common stock outstanding:

Name and Address(1)	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership of Securities	Percent of Class
---------------------	---	------------------

Abdul Ladha, director, chief executive officer, president and chief financial officer	26,152,175(2)(3)	32.2%
Barrett Sleeman, director	457,200(3)	0.6%
Dr. David Vogt, director	457,200(3)	0.6%
Michael Boyling, director	457,200(3)	0.6%

All current directors and executive officers as a group

(4 persons)	27,523,775	34.0%
-------------	------------	-------

(1) The address of each of the above individuals is, c/o Ableauctions.com, Inc., 1963 Lougheed Highway, Coquitlam, British Columbia V3K 3T8.

(2) Abdul Ladha, the sole executive officer of the Company, is a beneficiary of the Ladha (1999) Family Trust. Hamilton Trust Company Limited is the trustee of the Ladha (1999) Family Trust. Mr. Ladha disclaims beneficial ownership of the 3,006,875 shares held by the Ladha (1999) Family Trust.

(3) Consists of stock, warrants and options exercisable to acquire shares of common stock.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

On January 1, 2007 we entered into a three year lease of approximately 2,851 square feet of storage space from Bullion Reef Holdings Ltd., a private company wholly-owned by the Ladha Family Trust. While Mr. Ladha is not a beneficiary of the Ladha Family Trust, members of his family are beneficiaries. The monthly rent on the property, located at 3112 Boundary Road, Coquitlam, British Columbia, was approximately \$1,777 based on a triple-net lease. In 2007 the building was sold and the lease was terminated with no penalty.

We market the condominium units being developed by our subsidiary, Axion Investment Corporation, using the brand name Overture LivingTM. The mark, Overture Living belongs to Mr. Ladha.

On April 9, 2007 Mr. Ladha entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with us pursuant to which he purchased units consisting of one share of our common stock, \$0.001 par value, and a warrant to purchase three shares of our common stock. The purchase price was \$0.20 per unit, the last sale price of our common stock on Thursday, April 5, 2007, the last trading day prior to the purchase. Mr. Ladha purchased a total of 2,941,175 units, representing 2,941,175 shares of common stock and warrants to purchase an additional 8,823,525 shares. The total purchase price for the investment was \$588,000. The warrants have an exercise price of \$0.20, a term of 10 years and will expire, if not exercised, on April 9, 2017.

From October 2007 through December 2007, we rented approximately 1,681 square feet of residential property adjacent to our Surrey development site from Surrey Central City Holdings Ltd. (Surrey), a private company. Mr. Ladha is the sole officer and director of Surrey. Bullion Reef Holdings Ltd. (Bullion) is the sole shareholder of Surrey. All of the stock of Bullion Reef Holdings Ltd. is owned by the Ladha Family Trust. While Mr. Ladha is not a beneficiary of the Ladha Family Trust, members of his family are beneficiaries. The rent for the three month period was \$5,000, or approximately \$1,666 per month. We believe that we received fair value for the rent and that if we had paid an independent party, we would have paid approximately the same amount per month, based on market rents at the time.

At various times during the 2008 fiscal year, Mr. Ladha or his affiliates advanced funds to us which were used for working capital purposes. The advances were as follows:

Date of Advance	Amount
May 23, 2008	\$100,000.00
May 27, 2008	\$100,000.00
July 15, 2008	\$124,762.95
July 24, 2008	\$374,288.85

September 11, 2008	\$409,222.48
October 2, 2008	\$118,652.88

All of the advances accrued interest at the rate of 5% per annum. The advances made on May 23, 2008 and May 27, 2008 were repaid in cash on June 19, 2008 and June 24, 2008, respectively. \$384,000 in principal amount of the advances made on July 15, 2008 and July 24, 2008 was repaid on October 2, 2008, pursuant to the Agreement to Convert Debt described below. The balance of the July 15, 2008 and July 24, 2008 advances, which totaled \$115,051.80, as well as the advances made on September 11, 2008 and October 2, 2008 and the interest accrued on all of the advances (which totaled \$3,174.64) was paid to Mr. Ladha by applying all of the amounts we owed to him against the exercise price for the purchase of 7,200,000 shares of common stock covered by the warrant described below.

On August 19, 2008 Mr. Ladha entered into an Agreement to Convert Debt with us. Pursuant to the agreement, Mr. Ladha agreed to accept units consisting of 1 share of our common stock and a warrant to purchase 1.5 shares of our common stock as partial payment of certain loans made to us. On July 15, 2008, Mr. Ladha loaned us \$124,762.95 in principal amount and on July 24, 2008 Mr. Ladha loaned us \$374,288.85 in principal amount. The loans accrued interest at the prime rate as announced by Royal Bank of Canada plus 1% and were due on demand. Pursuant to the agreement, Mr. Ladha agreed to accept units consisting of 4,800,000 shares of common stock and a warrant for the purchase of 7,200,000 shares of common stock as full payment of \$384,000 in principal amount of the loans. The number of units issued was computed by using the last sale price of our common stock on Tuesday, August 19, 2008, which was \$0.08. The warrant exercise price was \$0.09 and the warrant term was 5 years. This transaction was conditioned on the receipt of approval of our additional listing application from the American Stock Exchange. We received approval of our additional listing application from the American Stock Exchange on October 2, 2008. On that date we issued the units to Mr. Ladha, who immediately thereafter exercised the warrants as described above.

On October 6, 2008 we entered into a Development Agreement with Mr. Ladha, Overture Development Corporation (Overture), Surrey and Bullion. For a more complete discussion of this agreement, please see the section of this proxy statement titled Proposal 4 To Seek Approval of the Acquisition of a 50% Interest in Surrey Central City Holdings Ltd. and the Issuance of Shares of our Common Stock in Accordance with the Terms of a Convertible Promissory Note signed in Conjunction Therewith , which appears on page 10.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR 2009 ANNUAL MEETING

To be considered for inclusion in next year's proxy statement, shareholder proposals must be received at our principal executive offices no later than the close of business on June 30, 2009.

Notice of intention to present a proposal at the 2009 annual meeting should be addressed to President, Ableauctions.com, Inc., 1963 Lougheed Highway, Coquitlam, British Columbia, Canada V3K 3T8. We reserve the right to reject, rule out of order, or take other appropriate action with respect to any proposal that does not comply with these and other applicable requirements. Any shareholder proposal for next year's annual meeting submitted after February 15, 2009 will not be considered filed on a timely basis. For proposals that are not timely filed, we retain discretion to vote the proxies we receive. For proposals that are timely filed, we retain discretion to vote the proxies we receive, provided that (i) we include in our proxy statement advice on the nature of the proposal and how we intend to exercise our voting discretion and (ii) the proponent does not issue a proxy statement.

TRANSACTION OF OTHER BUSINESS

Management does not know of any matters to be brought before the meeting other than those referred to in this proxy statement. If any matters which are not specifically set forth in the form of proxy and this proxy statement properly come before the meeting, the persons designated as proxies will vote thereon in accordance with their best judgment.

ABLEAUCTIONS.COM, INC.

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2008

ABLEAUCTIONS.COM, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

(Unaudited)

	SEPTEMBER 30 2008	DECEMBER 31 2007
ASSETS		
Current		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 963,687	\$ 1,594,657
Accounts receivable trade, net of allowance	543,805	888,199
Employee receivable	354,512	298,464
Mortgages and loans receivable	2,626,386	1,009,846
Inventory	583,367	817,448
Prepaid expenses	49,596	37,055
	5,121,353	4,645,669
Other receivable	-	215,067
Deposits	365,840	388,212
Intangible Assets	-	355,759
Property and Equipment	2,775,212	3,183,055
Property Held for Development	7,104,697	4,124,221
Investment in Joint Venture	1,392,964	1,507,403
	\$ 16,760,066	\$ 14,419,386
LIABILITIES		
Current		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 167,941	\$ 398,629
Deferred revenue	-	8,450
Due to Director	913,347	-
Bank loan	4,850,618	-
	5,931,906	407,079
SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY		
Capital Stock		
Authorized:		
100,000,000 common shares with a par value of \$0.001		

Issued and outstanding:

58,876,378 common shares at September 30, 2008

65,348,009 common shares at December 31, 2007

Additional paid-in capital

Deficit

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

Treasury stock, at cost

Contingent Liabilities

58,876

65,348

36,814,166

37,881,636

(27,216,035)

(25,380,855)

1,171,153

2,115,740

-

(669,562)

10,828,160

14,012,307

\$ 16,760,066 \$ 14,419,386

ABLEAUCTIONS.COM, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited)

	3 MONTHS ENDED		9 MONTHS ENDED	
	SEPTEMBER 30		SEPTEMBER 30	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Revenues				
Sales	\$ 604,486	\$ 1,321,108	\$ 2,252,233	\$ 4,169,992
Cost Of Revenues	845,723	731,897	1,846,805	2,235,725
Gross Profit (Loss)	(241,237)	589,211	405,428	1,934,267
Investment Income	81,550	86,017	155,253	325,981
	(159,687)	675,228	560,681	2,260,248
Expenses				
Operating expenses	655,955	632,014	1,869,516	1,943,882
Depreciation and amortization	26,316	61,589	115,375	132,880
	682,271	693,603	1,984,891	2,076,762
Income (Loss) Before Other Items	(841,958)	(18,375)	(1,424,210)	183,486
Other Items				
Foreign exchange loss	(11,383)	(349)	(7,735)	(349)
Impairment of asset	(321,612)	-	(321,612)	-
Share of net income (loss) of joint venture	(9,025)	1,775	(16,588)	9,485
Settlement of legal claim	-	-	(65,035)	-
	(342,020)	1,426	(410,970)	9,136
Income (Loss) For The Period	\$ (1,183,978)	\$ (16,949)	\$ (1,835,180)	\$ 192,622
Basic (loss) Earnings per Share	\$ (0.020)	\$ 0.000	\$ (0.031)	\$ 0.003

Diluted (loss) Earnings per Share	\$	(0.020)	\$	0.000	\$	(0.031)	\$	0.003
--	----	----------------	----	-------	----	----------------	----	-------

**Weighted Average Number
Of Shares Outstanding:**

Basic	58,876,378	65,348,009	59,711,551	64,238,335
Diluted	58,876,378	65,348,009	59,711,551	64,238,335

ABLEAUCTIONS.COM, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Unaudited)

	3 MONTHS ENDED		9 MONTHS ENDED	
	SEPTEMBER 30		SEPTEMBER 30	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Loss for the Period	\$ (1,183,978)	\$ (16,949)	\$ (1,835,180)	\$ 192,622
Other Comprehensive Income (loss), net of tax				
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(613,602)	823,642	(944,587)	1,853,731
Consolidated Comprehensive Income (loss)	\$ (1,797,580)	\$ 806,693	\$ (2,779,767)	\$ 2,046,353
Basic and Diluted Comprehensive Income (loss) per Share	\$ (0.031)	\$ 0.012	\$ (0.047)	\$ 0.032

Page F-4

ABLEAUCTIONS.COM, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

	9 MONTHS ENDED	
	SEPTEMBER 30	
	2008	2007
Cash Flows From Operating Activities		
Income (loss) for the period from continuing operations	\$ (1,835,180) \$	192,622
Non-cash items included in net Income (loss):		
Depreciation and amortization	115,375	132,880
Stock based compensation	20,625	29,224
Impairment of asset	321,612	
Joint Venture (Income) loss	16,588	(9,485)
	(1,360,980)	345,241
Changes in operating working capital items:		
Decrease (Increase) in accounts receivable	319,050	(55,546)
Decrease (Increase) in inventory	231,326	(28,827)
Decrease (Increase) in prepaid expenses	(15,671)	(69,683)
(Increase) Decrease in employee receivable	(56,048)	
Increase (Decrease) in accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(227,315)	(52,154)
Increase (Decrease) in deferred revenue	(8,450)	10,939
Net cash from (used in) operating activities	(1,118,088)	149,970
Cash Flows From Investing Activities		
Purchase of property and equipment, net	(27,654)	(10,907)
Purchase of property held for development	(3,423,850)	(1,945,093)
Loan advances	(2,200,644)	(1,314,073)
Loan repayment	390,960	3,582,607
Other receivables	215,067	(68,646)
Deposits	(4,000)	(462,386)
Note receivable	-	1,931
Net cash from (used in) Investing Activities	(5,050,121)	(216,567)
Cash Flows From Financing Activities		
Proceed from Bank Loan	5,062,300	25,000
Repayment of Bank Loan	-	(548,694)
Advances from Director	935,209	-

Proceeds from capital stock issuance, net of share issuance costs	(50,701)	528,235
Purchase of treasury stock	(374,304)	(237,252)
Net cash from (used in) financing activities	5,572,504	(232,711)
Change In Cash And Cash Equivalents For The Period	(595,705)	(299,308)
Cash And Cash Equivalents, Beginning Of Period	1,594,657	1,004,558
Effect Of Exchange Rates On Cash	(35,265)	114,366
Cash And Cash Equivalents, End Of Period	\$ 963,687	\$ 819,616

1. BUSINESS AND BASIS OF ORGANIZATION

Ableauctions.com, Inc. (the 'Company') was organized on September 30, 1996, under the laws of the State of Florida, as J.B. Financial Services, Inc. On July 19, 1999, an Article of Amendment was filed with the State of Florida for the change of the Company's name from J.B. Financial Services, Inc. to Ableauctions.com, Inc.

The Company provides liquidation and merchandising services along with auction and point-of-sale technology to businesses to assist them with managing the sale of their products. The Company also provides mortgages and loans to individuals and companies, and develops real estate property. The Company classifies its business interests into three reportable segments: Auction, Liquidation & Technology Business: consisting principally of liquidation and merchandizing services; Mortgages and Loans: consisting of mortgages, loans and other investments and Real Property & Property Development: consisting principally of properties held for development. Financial information for Ableauctions.com's various reportable segments is presented in Note 12.

The Company's operating subsidiaries are:

Unlimited Closeouts, Inc., a U.S. based liquidation business.

Jarvis Industries Ltd., a Canadian based liquidation business

Icollector.Com Technologies Ltd., a Canadian based Internet auction facility.

Rapidfusion Technologies Inc., a Canadian based Internet auction business.

Gruv Development Corporation, a Canadian based real estate

Axion Investment Corp., a Canadian based investment business.

1963 Loughheed Holdings Ltd., a Canadian based real estate holding company

AAC Holdings Ltd., a Canadian-based holding company (incorporated on April 24, 2007)

0716590 B.C. Ltd., a Canadian based real estate holding company

The unaudited consolidated financial statements of the Company at September 30, 2008 include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, and reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and operating results for the interim period. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted in these interim statements under the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Accounting policies used in fiscal 2008 are consistent with those used in fiscal 2007. The results of operations for the

nine month period ended September 30, 2008 are not necessarily indicative of the results for the entire fiscal year ending December 31, 2008. These interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 and the notes thereto included in the Company's Form 10K filed with the SEC on March 31, 2008. The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States.

2.

MORTGAGES & LOANS RECEIVABLE

	September 30,	December 31,
	2008	2007
i) Loan advanced originally in the amount of \$115,000 CAD and increased to \$125,000 CAD, bears interest at 10.9% per annum (receivable at \$1,133 (\$1,135 CAD) per month), with the principal due for repayment on January 31, 2009, and secured by a mortgage on the property of the borrower.	117,459	116,010
ii) Loan advanced in the amount of \$230,000 CAD, bears interest at 10% per annum (receivable at \$1,913 (\$1,917 CAD) per month), with the principal due for repayment on April 4, 2007. The loan was subsequently renewed under the same terms and is due for repayment on December 1, 2008. The loan is secured by a mortgage on the property of the borrower and a General Security Agreement.	216,125	232,018
iii) Loan advanced to an employee in the amount of \$55,000 CAD, bears interest at 10% per annum (receivable at \$457 (\$458 CAD) per month), with the principal due for repayment on February 9, 2009, and secured by a mortgage on the property of the borrower and a personal guarantee of the borrower.	51,682	55,482
iv) Loan advanced in the amount of \$237,000 CAD, bears interest at 10% per annum (receivable at \$1,971 (\$1,975 CAD) per month), with the principal due for repayment on May 27, 2007, and secured by a mortgage on the property of the borrower. The loan is extended month-to-month pending renewal. The loan was repaid on August 13, 2008.	-	239,080
v) Loan advanced in the amount of \$179,060 CAD, bears interest at 10% per annum (receivable at \$1,489 (\$1,492 CAD) per month), with the principal due for repayment on May 1, 2008, and secured by a mortgage on the property of the borrower. The loan was subsequently renewed under the same terms and is due for repayment on May 1, 2009. On August 1, 2008, the loan was repaid in full.	-	180,632

vi) Loan advanced in the amount of \$140,000 CAD, bears interest at 15% per annum (receivable at \$1,747 (\$1,750 CAD) per month), with the principal due for repayment on March 31, 2008, and secured by a mortgage on the property of the borrower. The loan was subsequently renewed under the same terms and is due for repayment on March 31, 2009.	131,554	141,229
vii) Loan advanced on August 7, 2007 in the amount of \$45,000 CAD, bears interest at 9.75% per annum (receivable at \$ 332 (\$333 CAD) per month), with the principal due for repayment on August 8, 2008, and secured by a mortgage on the property of the borrower and personal guarantees. The loan is extended month-to-month pending renewal.	42,285	45,395
viii) Loan advanced in the amount of \$450,000 CAD, bears interest at 9.5% per annum (receivable at \$3,924 (\$3,932 CAD) per month), with the principal due for repayment on January 27, 2009, and secured by a mortgage on the property of the borrower.	422,853	-
viii) Loan advanced in the amount of \$1,750,000 CAD, bears interest at 12% per annum (receivable at \$17,826 (\$17,500 CAD) per month), with the principal due for repayment on July 17, 2009, and secured by a mortgage on the property of the borrower.	1,644,428	-
	\$ 2,626,386	\$ 1,009,846

3.

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

a)

During the nine month period ended September 30, 2008, the Company incurred \$117,000 (2007: \$117,000) in management fees to a director of the Company.

b)

During the nine month period ended September 30, 2007, the Company incurred rent expense of \$29,769 to a private company owned by a trust the beneficiaries of which are members of the family of the Company's president.

On July 31, 2007, pursuant to the sale of the property, the rental agreement was reassigned to an unrelated company, 796257 BC Ltd.

c)

At September 30, 2008, a balance of \$354,512 (December 31, 2007: \$298,464) owed from employees to the Company as a result of overpayments in commissions, which will be offset by commissions to be paid in the following quarters.

d)

At March 31, 2008, included in other receivable is a balance of \$116,902 (\$120,000 CAD), (December 31, 2007: \$121,053 (\$120,000 CAD) owing from Charan Singh, a director of Township Holdings Ltd. The Company's wholly-owned subsidiary, Axion Investment Corp. is a shareholder of Township Holdings Ltd. Township Holdings Ltd. is the project coordinator for the Gruv Development (see Note 6). The loan balance that was due on May 1, 2008 was deemed to be paid in full as it was offset against amounts owing to Charan Singh for services related to the Gruv project.

e)

During the periods ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, the Company marketed condominium units being developed in Surrey using the brand name Overture Living. The mark, Overture Living, belongs to Abdul Ladha, the Company's President. Mr. Ladha did not receive compensation for the use of this mark.

f)

At September 30, 2008, the president of the Company has advanced amounts totalling \$913,347 to the Company. The loan bears interest at 5% per annum payable monthly, with the principal due for repayment on demand.

On August 19, 2008, the president entered into an Agreement to Convert Debt with the Company. Pursuant to the Agreement, the president agreed to accept units consisting of 1 share of the common stock and a warrant to purchase 1.5 shares of the common stock as partial payment of the loans made to the Company. Pursuant to the Agreement, the president accepted units consisting of 4,800,000 shares of common stock and warrants for the purchase of 7,200,000 shares of common stock as full payment of \$384,000 in principal amount of the loans. The number of units to be issued was computed by using the last sale price of the Company's common stock on August 19, 2008, which was \$0.08. The warrant exercise price is \$0.09 and the warrant term is 5 years. The agreement was subject to the approval of the American Stock Exchange, which was received on October 2, 2008. On October 6, 2008, the shares were issued and all the warrants were exercised by the President, resulting in the issuance of 12,000,000 common shares.

4.

PROPERTY HELD FOR DEVELOPMENT

On August 3, 2005, the Company entered into a Contract of Purchase and Sale (the Agreement) for property located at 9655 King George Highway, Surrey, British Columbia (the Property). The Agreement was subject to the Company's satisfactory investigation of the development potential of the Property. This investigation was completed on August 9, 2005, at which time the Company released to the seller, Imara Venture Ltd. (the Seller), a down payment of \$41,195 to be credited against a total purchase price of \$1,270,000. The remaining balance was paid in cash on August 15, 2005. The purchase price was negotiated between the Company and the Seller, who are not related to each other.

The Company's subsidiary Axion Investment Corp., owns a development property which consists of approximately 1.46 acres that is zoned for mixed commercial and residential use. Axion intends to develop the Property through the Company's wholly owned subsidiary Gruv Development Corporation by improving it with a retail facility of approximately 4,326 square feet and with a residential complex of approximately 91,132 square feet which will consist of 111 condominiums (the Development).

On March 16, 2007, the Company filed a disclosure statement with the Superintendent of Real Estate under the Real Estate Development Marketing Act of British Columbia to pre-sell the units. The Company engaged the services of Platinum Project Marketing Group and Macdonald Realty Ltd. (the Agent) to market the strata lots and, by May 9, 2007, the Company had entered into agreements to pre-sell 100% of the condominiums prior to construction and collected approximately \$2.04 million (\$2.34 million CAD) in deposits that are being held in trust with Macdonald Realty Ltd. If the Company is successful in selling all of the condominiums, it expects to receive sale proceeds of approximately \$22.1 million (\$25.4 million CAD). The Agent has been paid \$341,446 (\$366,749 CAD) for services provided to date. The Company is committed to additional commissions and bonuses to be paid in the amount of \$600,082 (\$689,750 CAD) upon the successful completion of the sales and transfer of Strata lots.

The Company has obtained a building permit from the City of Surrey and has advanced performance bonds for service and work totaling \$334,804 (\$384,833 CAD) to the City of Surrey, as commitment for the development. On satisfactory completion of the intended service and work, the City of Surrey will refund the deposits to the Company.

On February 15, 2008, the Company entered into a Construction Management contract with Cantera Management Group Ltd. (Cantera) to manage the development of the project. In consideration for its services, the Company has agreed to pay Cantera a fixed fee of \$542,316 (\$553,000 CAD) over the term of the contract calculated on a percentage of completion basis.

On March 12, 2008, the Company obtained an updated conditional credit facility from the Royal Bank of Canada for the development in Surrey in the amount of \$14.28 million (\$16.42 million CAD).

The credit facility is secured by guarantees from Axion Investment Corporation and Ableauctions.com Inc., by a general security agreement covering the assets of Axion and by the property. The advances will accrue interest at the prime rate set by Royal Bank of Canada plus .75% per annum, payable monthly. A fee of \$47,073 (\$48,000 CAD) was advanced to the Royal Bank of Canada for the arrangement of this credit facility.

The credit facility has been granted subject to a number of conditions, including appraisal of the project, the submission of an environmental report, the submission of a soils report, confirmation of permits and approvals, engagement of a project monitor, submission of a schedule of pre-sales contracts, the purchase of insurance, expenditures of approximately \$4.75 million (\$4.84 million CAD) on the development including the cost of the land, and fixed price contracts for at least 50% of the project's hard construction costs prior to the initial draw and 80% by December 2008. As of November 10, 2008, Axion has fulfilled all remaining obligations of the construction credit facility.

As of September 30, 2008 draws totalling \$3,162,029 CDN had been made against the credit facility. Borrowings are to be repaid from 100% of the net sales proceeds received on the closing of sales of units in the Project. In any event, all borrowings shall be repayable in full by December 31, 2009.

On April 28, 2008, construction of the project commenced and it is estimated that it will be completed by November 30, 2009. If the development is suspended for any reason, including but not limited to the Company's inability to obtain any additional financing that may be required or additional permits, the Company will not be able to recover all of its expenses. There can be no assurance that the development will be successful or that developing the property in this manner will increase or even maintain its value.

5.

INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Intangible assets are comprised of the following:

	September 2008				
	ACCUMULATED				
	COST	AMORTIZATION	IMPAIRMENT		NET
Affiliate related relationships	100,000	30,833	69,167		-
Intellectual property	338,034	85,589	252,445		
	\$ 438,034	\$ 116,422	\$ 321,612		-

December 2007
ACCUMULATED

COST AMORTIZATION NET

Affiliate related relationship

100,000

	25,833
	74,167
Intellectual property	
	338,034
	56,442
	281,592
\$	
	438,034
	\$
	82,275
	\$
	355,759

On June 1, 2005, the Company made a cash payment in the amount of \$100,000 to an unrelated third party as consideration for exclusive rights relating to that party's auction services. The cost is amortized on a straight-line basis over ten years.

During the 2007 and 2006 years, the Company incurred development costs to enhance the current on-line auction technology. These costs include fees paid to programmers to develop the systems, software and processes related to the enhancement. The Company completed the final stage in July 2007, and has started to amortize the costs over the estimated useful life of the technology of three years beginning in July 2007.

At September 30, 2008, the Company determined a net recoverable amount of \$0 for these intangible assets, thus the carrying cost of the intangible assets was written off, and an impairment loss of \$321,612 was charged to operations for the period.

6.

INVESTMENT IN JOINT VENTURE

a)

On July 14, 2006 Axion Investment Corp. (Axion), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into a Joint Venture Agreement (the Agreement) with two unrelated parties, Canitalia Industries (Canitalia) and 449991 B.C. Ltd. (449991), to form a joint venture for the purpose of purchasing two vacant lots located in Langley, B.C. for development (the Project). On July 28, 2006, Axion entered into a supplemental agreement with these two parties in respect to an arrangement for a bank loan to fund the purchase price and related expenses of acquiring the properties in the Project.

b)

Pursuant to the Agreement, a new company, Township Holdings Ltd. (THL), has been formed and is equally and jointly owned by the three partners. All expenses incurred and all profits earned by THL in conjunction with the Project are to be allocated in equal shares among Axion and the two unrelated parties. The initial deposit was provided by Axion and 449991 BC Ltd. The total purchase price of the property to be developed was \$3.42 million (\$3.49 million CAD). During the 2006 year, Axion paid its share of the investment in the amount of \$1,441,913 CAD.

Pursuant to the agreement of July 28, 2006, Axion was to advance a loan to one of the unrelated parties to pay for its portion of the purchase price. During the 2006 year, Axion advanced a loan in the amount of \$516,028 to two shareholders of this party for a one year term, bearing interest rate at 10% per annum. The loan was repaid during the 2006 year.

c)

On March 13, 2007, Axion authorized Envision Credit Union (ECU) to make a demand loan to THL in the amount of \$1.30 million (\$1.4 million CAD) for the benefit of the other two shareholders, Canitalia and 449991 (the Loan). The parties have acknowledged that the Loan is for the sole benefit of 449991 and Canitalia and have agreed that none of THL, Axion or the president of the Company will have responsibility for payments of the Loan and that THL, Axion and the president will be fully indemnified for any expenses or payments they become liable for thereunder. In exchange for the Loan, ECU received a promissory note from THL requiring the payment of interest only at the rate of prime plus 1% per annum until ECU demands payment of the principal. The loan is secured with a mortgage against the Property and a security interest in the personal property of THL. ECU also required Axion and the president of the Company to enter into a Debt Service Agreement.

Pursuant to the Debt Service Agreement, the president and Axion agree that they will be responsible for the monthly interest payments required by the promissory note in the event that 449991 and Canitalia fail to make the payments as required.

If 449991 and Canitalia default on the loan obligation to ECU, Axion will be entitled, but not obligated, to purchase the shares of stock in THL that are owned by the responsible parties at a price discount to market. If Axion exercises its right to purchase the stock owned by the responsible parties, then it will have no further recourse against 449991 and Canitalia for payment of the Loan. If Axion does not exercise its right to purchase the stock owned by the responsible parties, then the responsible parties agree that they shall indemnify and hold the president, Axion and THL harmless from and against any amounts that they or any of them may pay in order to bring the Loan into good standing or to prevent ECU from foreclosing on its security, including, without limiting the generality of the foregoing, any payments of principal, interest, and legal fees made by Axion, the president or THL.

d)

The Company estimated a value of \$40,535 for the above guarantee, and has provided a provision of \$40,535 for the guarantee liability, which is included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities at September 30, 2008.

e)

The Company considered the limited exception contained in FIN 46R exempting from consideration as a Variable Interest Entity a joint venture that is a business, under certain conditions. In the Company's view, this joint venture meets these conditions.

f)

Summarized financial statements for the joint venture investment:

	Sept 30, 2008		Dec 31, 2007	
Balance Sheet				
Assets	\$	3,352,650	\$	3,256,580
Liabilities		-		-
Equity		3,352,650		3,256,580

	3 months ended		9 months ended	
	September 30		September 30	
Statement of Operations	2008	2007	2008	2007
Revenue	\$ 2,356	\$ 5,639	\$ 5,390	\$ 23,448
Expenses	29,432	260	55,154	319
Net Income (Loss)	(27,076)	5,379	(49,764)	23,129

7.

CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

a)

The Company is ordinarily involved in claims and lawsuits, which arise in the normal course of business. Except as disclosed below, in management's opinion none of these claims will have a significant effect on the Company's financial condition.

b)

The Company is a defendant in several legal actions commenced by certain trade creditors of one of its wholly-owned US subsidiaries. The ultimate liability, if any, arising from this action is not expected to exceed \$20,000 and will be recorded at the time of that determination, if necessary.

8.

SETTLEMENT OF LEGAL CLAIM

On April 2, 2008, a former employee and agent, Mr. Steve Gold and Gold Network, Inc. (collectively, the Plaintiffs), filed a legal action against the Company for breach of contract relating to commissions they alleged were not paid to them. The action was filed in the Superior Court of Ventura County, California. On May 2, 2008 the Company reached an agreement with the Plaintiffs regarding the dispute and paid the sum of \$65,000 to the Plaintiffs in exchange for a release of their claims. The Company has recorded the full amount as settlement of legal claim in the Statement of Operations for the period ended September 30, 2008.

9.

BANK LOAN

On October 11, 2006, the Company arranged for a credit facility in the amount of \$1,879,346 (\$2,000,000 CAN) (the Credit Facility) from the Royal Bank of Canada (the Bank). The Credit Facility bore interest at the prime rate as announced by the Bank, plus 0.50% per year. Blended payments of interest and principal in the amount of \$14,914 CAN are due each month. Principal is due to be paid in full on the last day of a two to five year term chosen by the Company on the date of a draw down. Repayment of the Credit Facility is secured by a mortgage, which includes an assignment of rents, against the property where the Company s head office is located and a guarantee and postponement of claim signed by the Company in favour of the Bank. As of September 30, 2008, the amount of the loan was \$1,879,346.

10.

CAPITAL STOCK

Capital Stock

On October 6, 2008, 4,800,000 common shares were issued as a result of the debt conversion agreement described in Note 3(f). On the same day, 7,200,000 additional common shares were issued due to the exercise of warrants granted pursuant to the debt conversion agreement described in Note 3(f).

Stock-based Compensation

During the 9 month period ended September 30, 2008, the Company recognized an expense of \$20,625 in respect to stock options granted in the 2006 year, which are vested as of September 30, 2008.

Treasury Stock

For the 9 month period ended September 30, 2008, the Company repurchased 2,886,255 shares for a total cost of \$374,304. The Company may continue buying back its common stock from time to time, at the discretion of the board of directors, with its available cash resources.

Warrants

- a) On January 4, 2008, 795,384 of the warrants that were exercisable at \$0.80 per share expired.

- b) On October 2, 2008, 7,200,000 warrants for the purchase of common stock having an exercise price of \$0.09 per share became exercisable pursuant to the debt conversion agreement described in Note 3(f). All the warrants were subsequently exercised for total proceeds of \$648,000.

11.

SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On October 6, 2008 the board of directors approved a Development Agreement with the company's CEO Mr. Abdul Ladha, Overture Development Corporation, Surrey Central City Ltd. (Surrey) and Bullion Reef Holdings Ltd.

(Bullion). Mr. Ladha is the sole officer, director and shareholder of Overture Development Corporation and the sole officer and director of Surrey. Bullion is the sole shareholder of Surrey. A trust created for the benefit of Mr. Ladha s family is the sole shareholder of Bullion.

Surrey is the owner of 4 vacant lots (collectively referred to as the Property) adjacent to the Gruv Development on 9655 King George Highway, Surrey, British Columbia. Surrey intends to explore the potential of developing the Property by improving it with a residential complex of at least 4-stories, which will consist of at least 76 condominiums. The Company s board of directors believes that this development has significant potential and has determined that the acquisition of a 50% interest in Surrey will be in its best interest and in the best interests of the Company s shareholders.

Surrey has no assets other than the Property and no liabilities. Surrey currently has 299 shares of common stock issued and outstanding, all of which are owned by Bullion. The Company has agreed to purchase 149.5 of these shares from Bullion and has agreed to pay \$1,347,440 for these shares. The purchase price is based on an appraisal provided to the Company by an independent appraiser and a fairness opinion. The purchase price is subject to an upward adjustment in the event of either of the following occurrences. The purchase price may be increased to reflect the increase in value that will accrue to the Property if Surrey decides to develop the Property with a 6-storey complex rather than a 4-storey complex. The purchase price may also be increased to reflect the increase in value that will accrue to the Property if Surrey is able to acquire an adjacent lot owned by an unrelated third party. Negotiations relating to the acquisition of the lot have been on going and, if the adjacent lot is acquired, Surrey will be able to develop the Property (which will include the adjacent lot) with a significantly larger structure.

The Company intends to pay one-half of the purchase price in cash and the remainder of the purchase price with a promissory note. The promissory note will be due on demand and will accrue simple interest at the rate of prime plus 2% per annum. The promissory note will include a provision that will allow Bullion to convert some or all of the principal amount and some or all of the accrued interest, into shares of the Company's common stock. The number of shares of common stock to be issued to Bullion upon conversion of the principal and accrued interest will be computed at 20% above the last sale price of one share of the Company's common stock on the date on which the Development Agreement was executed. The last sale price of our common stock on October 6, 2008 was \$0.03; therefore the number of shares of common stock will be computed using a price of \$0.036 per share. The entire unpaid principal balance, together with any accrued interest and other unpaid charges or fees are due and payable on October 6, 2009. The Company's purchase of the shares from Bullion is contingent upon receipt of the approval of the Company's shareholders of the potential issuance of the Company's common stock in payment of the promissory note and approval by the American Stock Exchange of the additional listing application that will be required to be submitted prior to issuing such shares. The Company will only be required to submit an additional listing application if Bullion exercises its right to receive shares of common stock, rather than cash, for some or all of the balance of the purchase price.

In the event that Surrey is unable to successfully obtain the approval of a preliminary development plan and a commitment for financing to complete the build-out of the Property, or if for any reason Surrey determines that the build-out of the Property is not in its best interests, notice of this event will be provided to the Company. Within 20 days of receiving the notice, the Company may put the stock purchased to Bullion and Bullion will, within 120 days from receiving the put notice, repurchase the stock by paying to the Company in cash the purchase price (including the adjustments described above, if any), less one-half of the expenses incurred by Surrey in its efforts to develop the Property. This put right will expire within 12 months from the date of the Development Agreement.

The Development Agreement anticipates that Mr. Ladha and Overture Development Corporation will provide services to Surrey in developing the Property. These services include managing the build-out; working with government agencies to obtain approval of the development and obtaining the plans, permits and approvals required to complete the build-out; providing contractor's services, including liaising with various trades to coordinate construction of the build-out and supervising and directing construction of the build-out; preparing and implementing a marketing plan; providing the construction bonds; and obtaining financing and home warranty coverage for the development. Mr. Ladha and Overture Development Corporation will jointly receive 12.5% of the net profit (the Developer's Fee) for providing these services. The term net profit means the revenue received from the sale of the residential units after deducting expenses. The term expenses includes, but is not limited to, all architectural, legal and professional fees; loan fees and interest paid on loans; fees, costs and taxes chargeable by any governmental authority; costs of insurance; and any and all costs related to the construction of the improvements. Net profit is to be determined when the project receives a conditional occupancy permit and when any and all loans or other debt related to the project have been paid in full. If the sale of the residential units included in the build-out of the Property fails to realize a net profit, Mr. Ladha and Overture Development Corporation will not receive the Developer's Fee. If units remain unsold following the payment in full of the loans or other debt related to the build-out of the Property, the Developer's Fee will be paid as each such unit is sold.

On October 20, 2008, Surrey entered into an agreement to purchase a fifth lot, 13509 96th Ave., for approximately \$700,000. On October 22, 2008 the Company and Bullion, Surrey, Mr. Ladha and Overture Development Corporation agreed to amend the Development Agreement to provide that Bullion will be entitled to convert up to \$1 million of principal amount and interest accrued on such amount into shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$0.036 per share.

12.

SEGMENTED INFORMATION

a)

The Company has three reportable segments:

- Auction, Liquidation and Technology Business segment
- Real Property and Property Development segment
- Investment segment

Through the Auction, Liquidation and Technology Business segment, the Company provides auction broadcast technology, liquidation and merchandizing services, and technology to businesses to assist them with managing the sale of their products.

This segment information consists of the operations of iCollector, NAA Live, Jarvis, Unlimited Closeouts and Rapidfusion.

Through the Real Property and Property Development segment, the Company manages its real property and property development. This segment information includes 0716590 B.C. Ltd., Gruv Development Corporation and the Company's interest in the Township Holdings joint venture.

Through the Mortgages and Loans segment, the Company manages its marketable securities, mortgages and loans to third parties. This segment includes investments by Axion Investment Corporation, Ableauctions.com Inc and AAC Holdings Ltd.

Edgar Filing: ABLEAUCTIONS COM INC - Form DEFM14A

The other segment encompasses all other activities of the Company including management, investor relations and other office expenses incurred by Ableauctions.com Inc., which are also included in determining this segment's profits.

The Company's reportable segments are strategic business units that offer different products and services and are managed separately.

b)

Following is the segmented information for the nine month period ended September 30, 2008:

	Real Property & Property Development	Mortgages & Loans	Auction, Liquidation & Technology Businesses	Other	Total
External revenue by market					
US	-	-	1,814,581	-	1,814,581
Canada	118,829	-	290,687	-	409,516
Other	-	-	28,136	-	28,136
Total Revenue From External Customer	118,829	-	2,133,404	-	2,252,233
Investment income	-	138,073	-	17,180	155,253
Interest expense	21,454	23,752	-	3,175	48,381
Depreciation and amortization	23,359	-	90,016	-	115,375
Impairment of assets			321,612		321,612
Segment profit	31,169	78,887	(1,047,610)	(897,606)	(1,835,180)
Segment assets	11,572,002	1,225,668	1,849,755	2,112,641	16,760,066
Expenditures on long-lived assets	3,422,796		27,654		3,450,450
Investment in joint venture	1,392,964	-	-	-	1,392,964

Following is the segmented information for the nine month period ended September 30, 2007:

	Real Property & Property	Mortgages & Loans	Auction, Liquidation &	Other	Total
--	-----------------------------	----------------------	---------------------------	-------	-------

Edgar Filing: ABLEAUCTIONS COM INC - Form DEFM14A

	Development		Technology		
			Businesses		
External revenue by market					
US	-	-	3,711,123	-	3,711,123
Canada	90,181	-	333,860	-	424,041
Other	-	-	34,828	-	34,828
Total Revenue From External Customer	90,181	-	4,079,811	-	4,168,992
Investment income	-	325,981	-	-	325,981
Interest expense	50,219	-	-	-	50,219
Depreciation and amortization	28,994	-	103,886	-	132,880
Segment profit	(92,436)	420,026	67,800	(202,768)	192,622
Segment assets	8,854,468	2,398,788	3,671,889	406,635	15,331,780
Expenditures on long-lived assets	1,945,093		10,907		
Investment in joint venture	1,459,984	-	-	-	1,459,984

12.

SEGMENTED INFORMATION (Continued)

c)

On April 15, 2008, the Company was notified by eBay that eBay intends to wind down the operations of its eBay Live Auctions platform effective December 31, 2008. This event may cause the Company to suspend the live auction segment of its business. The Company's subsidiary, iCollector.com, currently derives approximately \$700,000 in annual revenue (or 14% of the Company's total revenues) from auctions broadcast on the eBay Live Auctions platform.

13.

OPERATING EXPENSES

	3 MONTHS ENDED		9 MONTHS ENDED	
	SEPTEMBER 30		SEPTEMBER 30	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Operating Expenses				
Accounting and legal	\$ 78,043	\$ (2,745)	\$ 121,420	\$ 53,525
Advertising and promotion	6,810	19,417	22,182	48,221
Automobile	2,539	2,470	21,657	7,147
Bad debts	-	-	59,584	32,503
Commission	77,108	169,624	174,686	489,956
Interest expense	46,220	14,898	48,381	50,219
Insurance	8,029	7,756	21,864	26,360
Investor relations and shareholder information	30,654	29,574	80,720	75,874
Management fees	39,000	39,000	117,000	117,000
Office and administration	23,673	29,790	62,303	77,555
Rent, utilities, and property tax	33,213	18,899	95,579	67,894
Repairs and maintenance	7,539	4,807	13,085	31,048
Salaries and benefits	251,178	242,221	861,356	719,250
Telephone	18,152	10,344	40,504	31,018

Travel	15,359	16,261	75,193	39,710
Website Maintenance	18,438	29,698	54,002	76,602
Total operating expenses	655,955	632,014	1,869,516	1,943,882

14.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (FAS) No. 141 (Revised 2007), Business Combinations (FAS 141(R)). FAS 141(R) establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer in a business combination recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interests in the acquiree, as well as the goodwill acquired. Significant changes from current practice resulting from FAS 141(R) include the expansion of the definitions of a business and a business combination. For all business combinations (whether partial, full or step acquisitions), the acquirer will record 100% of all assets and liabilities of the acquired business, including goodwill, generally at their fair values; contingent consideration will be recognized at its fair value on the acquisition date and, for certain arrangements, changes in fair value will be recognized in earnings until settlement; and acquisition-related transaction and restructuring costs will be expensed rather than treated as part of the cost of the acquisition. FAS 141(R) also establishes disclosure requirements to enable users to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. FAS 141(R) applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. Earlier adoption is not permitted. We are currently evaluating the potential impact of this statement.

In December 2007, the FASB issued FAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements - An amendment of ARB No. 51 (FAS 160). FAS 160 amends Accounting Research Bulletin 51, Consolidated Financial Statements, to establish accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. It clarifies that a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary, which is sometimes referred to as minority interest, is a third-party ownership interest in the consolidated entity that should be reported as a component of equity in the consolidated financial statements. Among other requirements, FAS 160 requires the consolidated statement of income to be reported at amounts that include the amounts attributable to both the parent and the noncontrolling interest. FAS 160 also requires disclosure on the face of the consolidated statement of income of the amounts of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest. FAS 160 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008. Earlier adoption is not permitted. We are currently evaluating the potential impact of this statement.

In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157 (FSP 157-2), to partially defer FASB Statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (FAS 157). FSP 157-2 defers the effective date of FAS 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually), to fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after November 15, 2008. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting the provisions of FAS 157 as it relates to non-financial assets and liabilities.

In March 2008, the FASB issued FAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (FAS 161). FAS 161 amends and expands the disclosure requirements of FAS 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities and requires qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about fair value amounts of gains and losses on derivative instruments, and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative agreements. This statement is effective for

financial statements issued for fiscal periods beginning after November 15, 2008. Earlier adoption is not permitted. We do not believe the adoption of FAS 161 will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) FAS 142-3, Determination of Useful Life of Intangible Assets (FSP FAS 142-3). FSP FAS 142-3 amends the factors that should be considered in developing the renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under FAS 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. FSP FAS 142-3 also requires expanded disclosure related to the determination of intangible asset useful lives. FSP FAS 142-3 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Earlier adoption is not permitted. We are currently evaluating the potential impact the adoption of FAS FSP 142-3 will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2008, the FASB issued FSP APB 14-1, Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement) (FSP APB 14-1). FSP APB 14-1 requires recognition of both the liability and equity components of convertible debt instruments with cash settlement features. The debt component is required to be recognized at the fair value of a similar instrument that does not have an associated equity component. The equity component is recognized as the difference between the proceeds from the issuance of the note and the fair value of the liability. FSP APB 14-1 also requires an accretion of the resulting debt discount over the expected life of the debt. Retrospective application to all periods presented is required. APB 14-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. We are currently evaluating the potential impact the adoption of FSP APB 14-1 will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2008, the FASB ratified FSP No. EITF 03-6-1, Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions are Participating Securities (FSP No. EITF 03-6-1), which addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment awards are participating securities prior to vesting and, therefore, must be included in the earnings allocation in calculating earnings per share under the two-class method described in SFAS No. 128, Earnings per Share (SFAS No. 128). FSP No. EITF 03-6-1 requires that unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend-equivalents be treated as participating securities in calculating earnings per share. FSP No. EITF 03-6-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and shall be applied retrospectively to all prior periods. We are currently evaluating the effects, if any that FSP No. EITF 03-6-1 may have on earnings per share.