Aeterna Zentaris Inc.

Form 20-F

March 21, 2014

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 20-F

"Registration Statement Pursuant to Section 12(b) or 12(g) of The Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of The Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended \circ December 31, 2013

OR

"Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of The Securities Exchange Act of 1934

"Shell Company Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of The Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission file number 0-30752

AETERNA ZENTARIS INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Not Applicable

(Translation of Registrant's Name into English)

Canada

(Jurisdiction of Incorporation)

1405 du Parc-Technologique Blvd.

Ouebec City, Ouebec

Canada, G1P 4P5

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Dennis Turpin

Telephone: 418-652-8525 E-mail: dturpin@aezsinc.com 1405 du Parc-Technologique Blvd.

Quebec City, Quebec Canada, G1P 4P5

(Name, Telephone, E-mail and Address of Company Contact Person)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on Which

Registered

Common Shares

NASDAQ Capital Market
Toronto Stock Exchange

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: NONE

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the ACT: NONE

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as at the close of the period covered by the annual report: 45,312,009 Common Shares as at December 31, 2013.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the

Securities Act. Yes " No ý

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Yes "No ý

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was

required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ý No "Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes "No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or, or a non-accelerated filer. See definitions of "accelerated filer" and "large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer ý Non-accelerated filer "

Indicate by check mark which basis of accounting the registrant has used to prepare the financial statements included in this filing:

US GAAP " International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the Other " International Accounting Standards Board ý

If "other" has been checked in response to the previous question, indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow. Item 17 " Item 18 "

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes " No \circ

Basis of Presentation

General

Except where the context otherwise requires, all references in this annual report on Form 20-F to the "Company", "Aeterna Zentaris Inc.", "we", "us", "our" or similar words or phrases are to Aeterna Zentaris Inc. and its subsidiaries, taken together. In this annual report on Form 20-F, references to "\$" and "US\$" are to United States dollars, references to "CAN\$" are to Canadian dollars and references to "EUR" are to euros. Unless otherwise indicated, the statistical and financial data contained in this annual report on Form 20-F are presented as at December 31, 2013. This annual report on Form 20-F also contains certain information regarding products or product candidates that may potentially compete with our products and product candidates, and such information has been primarily derived from information made publicly available by the companies developing such potentially competing products and product candidates and has not been independently verified by Aeterna Zentaris Inc.

Forward-Looking Statements

This annual report on Form 20-F contains forward-looking statements made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the U.S. Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements can be identified by words such as: "intend," "believe," "designed to," "vision," "aimed at," "expect," "may," "should," "would," "will" and similar references. Such statements include, but are not limited to, statements about the progress of our research, development and clinical trials and the timing of, and prospects for, regulatory approval and commercialization of our product candidates, the timing of expected results of our studies and anticipated results of these studies, and estimates regarding our capital requirements and our needs for, and our ability to obtain, additional financing. Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties, which could cause the Company's actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements. Such risks and uncertainties include, among others, the availability of funds and resources to pursue our research and development ("R&D") projects, the successful and timely completion of clinical studies, the degree of market acceptance once our products are approved for commercialization, the ability of the Company to take advantage of business opportunities in the pharmaceutical industry, the ability of the Company to protect its intellectual property, uncertainties related to the regulatory process and general changes in economic conditions. Investors should consult the Company's quarterly and annual filings with the Canadian and United States ("U.S.") securities commissions for additional information on risks and uncertainties relating to the forward-looking statements. Investors are cautioned not to rely on these forward-looking statements. The Company does not undertake to update these forward-looking statements and disclaims any obligation to update any such factors or to publicly announce the result of any revisions to any of the forward-looking statements contained herein to reflect future results, events or developments except if required to do so by a governmental authority or applicable law.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

GENERAL	INFORMATION	
Page		
PART I		
Item 1.	Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers	<u>1</u>
	A. Directors and senior management	<u>1</u>
	B. Advisors	<u>1</u>
	C. Auditors	<u>1</u>
Item 2.	Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable	<u>1</u>
	A. Offer statistics	<u>1</u>
	B. Method and expected timetable	<u>1</u>
Item 3.	Key Information	<u>1</u>
	A. Selected financial data	<u>1</u>
	B. Capitalization and indebtedness	<u>3</u>
	C. Reasons for the offer and use of proceeds	<u>3</u>
	D. Risk factors	$\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{3}{3} \\ \frac{3}{3} \end{array}$
Item 4.	<u>Information on the Company</u>	<u>18</u>
	A. History and development of the Company	<u>18</u>
	B. Business overview	<u>19</u>
	C. Organizational structure	<u>19</u> <u>42</u>
	D. Property, plants and equipment	<u>42</u>
Item 4A.	Unresolved Staff Comments	42 42 62
Item 5.	Operating and Financial Review and Prospects	<u>42</u>
Item 6.	Directors, Senior Management and Employees	<u>62</u>
	A. Directors and senior management	<u>62</u>
	B. Compensation	<u>65</u>
	C. Board Practices	<u>81</u>
	D. Employees	<u>82</u>
	E. Share ownership	<u>82</u>
Item 7.	Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions	<u>82</u>
	A. Major shareholders	<u>82</u>
	B. Related party transactions	<u>83</u>
	C. Interests of experts and counsel	<u>83</u>
Item 8.	Financial Information	83 83
	A. Consolidated statements and other financial information	<u>83</u>
	B. Significant changes	<u>83</u>
Item 9.	The Offering and Listing	<u>83</u>
	A. Offer and listing details	<u>83</u>
	B. Plan of distribution	<u>84</u>
	C. Markets	<u>84</u>
	D. Selling shareholders	<u>84</u>
	E. Dilution	<u>84</u>
	F. Expenses of the issuer	<u>84</u>
Item 10.	Additional Information	<u>85</u> <u>85</u>
	A. Share capital	<u>85</u>
	B. Memorandum and articles of association	<u>85</u>

	C. Material contracts	<u>93</u>
	D. Exchange controls	<u>95</u>
	E. Taxation	<u>95</u>
	F. Dividends and paying agents	<u>102</u>
	G. Statement by experts	<u>102</u>
	H. Documents on display	<u>102</u>
	I. Subsidiary information	<u>102</u>
Item 11.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>102</u>
Item 12.	Description of Securities Other than Equity Securities	<u>104</u>
	A. Debt securities	<u>104</u>
	B. Warrants and rights	<u>104</u>
	C. Other securities	<u>104</u>
	D. American depositary shares	<u>104</u>
PART II		
Item 13.	Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies	<u>105</u>
Item 14.	Material Modification to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds	105
Item 14.	Controls and Procedures	105 105
Item 16A.	Audit Committee Financial Expert	105 106
Item 1671.	Code of Ethics	106 106
Item 16C.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	106 106
item roc.	A. Audit Fees	106 106
	B. Audit-related Fees	106 106
	C. Tax Fees	106 106
	D. All Other Fees	106 106
	E. Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures	100 107
	F. Work performed by Full-time, Permanent Employees of Principal Accountant	107 107
Item 16D.	Exemptions from the Listing Standards for Audit Committees	107 107
Item 16E.	Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers	107 107
Item 16E.	Changes in Registrant's Certifying Accountant	107 107
Item 16G.	Corporate Governance	107 107
Item 16H.	Mine Safety Disclosure	107
item 1011.	Wille Safety Disclosure	107
PART III		
Item 17.	<u>Financial Statements</u>	<u>108</u>
Item 18.	<u>Financial Statements</u>	<u>108</u>
Item 19.	<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>160</u>

PART I

Item 1. Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers

A. Directors and senior management

Not applicable.

B. Advisers

Not applicable.

C. Auditors

Not applicable.

Item 2. Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable

A. Offer statistics

Not applicable.

B. Method and expected timetable

Not applicable.

Item 3. Key Information

A. Selected financial data

The consolidated statement of comprehensive income (loss) data set forth in this Item 3.A with respect to the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 and the consolidated statement of financial position data as at December 31, 2013 and 2012 have been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements listed in Item 18, which have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"), as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB"). The consolidated statement of financial position data as at December 31, 2011 set forth in this Item 3.A have been derived from our previous consolidated financial statements not included herein, and have also been prepared in accordance with IFRS, as issued by the IASB. The selected financial data should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this annual report on Form 20-F, as well as "Item 5. – Operating and Financial Review and Prospects" of this annual report on Form 20-F.

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)

(in thousands of US dollars, except share and per share data)

Derived from consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with IFRS

1 1	Years ended December 31,			
	2013	2012	2011	
	\$	\$	\$	
Revenues				
Sales	96	834	250	
License fees and other	6,079	1,219	4,455	
	6,175	2,053	4,705	
Operating expenses	•	,	,	
Cost of sales	51	591	212	
Research and development costs, net of refundable tax	21.204	20.502	24245	
credits and grants	21,284	20,592	24,245	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	12,316	10,606	11,955	
	33,651	31,789	36,412	
Loss from operations	·) (29,736) (31,707)
Finance income	1,748	6,974	6,239	,
Finance costs	·) (382) (8)
Net finance income	236	6,592	6,231	,
Loss before income taxes) (23,144) (25,476)
Income tax expense	_	, <u> </u>	(1,104)
Net loss from continuing operations	(27,240) (23,144) (26,580)
Net income (loss) from discontinued operations	34,055	2,732	(487)
Net income (loss)	6,815	(20,412) (27,067)
Other comprehensive income (loss):	,	,	, , ,	
Items that may be reclassified subsequently to profit				
or loss:				
Foreign currency translation adjustments	1,073	(504) (789)
Items that will not be reclassified to profit or loss:	,		, (,
Actuarial gain (loss) on defined benefit plans	2,346	(3,705) (1,335)
Comprehensive income (loss)	10,234	(24,621) (29,191)
Net loss per share (basic and diluted) from continuing				
operations	(0.92) (1.17) (1.69)
Net income (loss) (basic and diluted) from			/a.a.	
discontinued operations	1.16	0.14	(0.03)
Net income (loss) (basic and diluted) per share	0.24	(1.03) (1.72)
Weighted average number of shares outstanding:				,
Basic	29,476,455	19,775,073	15,751,331	
Diluted	29,476,455	19,806,687	15,751,331	
	•	•	, ,	

Consolidated Statement of Financial Position Information

(in thousands of US dollars)

Derived from consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with IFRS

As at December 31,			
2013	2012	2011	
\$	\$	\$	
43,202	39,521	46,881	
865	826	806	
59,196	67,655	75,369	
18,010	6,176	9,204	
134,101	122,791	101,884	
17,064	(6,695) (4,546)
	2013 \$ 43,202 865 59,196 18,010 134,101	2013 2012 \$ \$ 43,202 39,521 865 826 59,196 67,655 18,010 6,176 134,101 122,791	2013 2012 2011 \$ \$ \$ 43,202 39,521 46,881 865 826 806 59,196 67,655 75,369 18,010 6,176 9,204 134,101 122,791 101,884

B. Capitalization and indebtedness

Not applicable.

C. Reasons for the offer and use of proceeds

Not applicable.

D. Risk factors

Risks Relating to Us and Our Business

Investments in biopharmaceutical companies are generally considered to be speculative.

The prospects for companies operating in the biopharmaceutical industry may generally be considered to be uncertain, given the very nature of the industry and, accordingly, investments in biopharmaceutical companies should be considered to be speculative.

We have a history of operating losses and we may never achieve or maintain operating profitability.

Our product candidates remain at the development stage, and we have incurred substantial expenses in our efforts to develop products. Consequently, we have incurred recurrent operating losses and, as disclosed in our audited consolidated financial statements as at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, we had an accumulated deficit of \$203.9 million as at December 31, 2013. Our operating losses have adversely impacted, and will continue to adversely impact, our working capital, total assets and shareholders' equity (deficiency). We do not expect to reach operating profitability in the immediate future, and our operating expenses are likely to continue to represent a significant component of our overall cost profile as we continue our R&D and clinical study programs and seek regulatory approval for our product candidates. Even if we succeed in developing, acquiring or in-licensing new commercial products, we could incur additional operating losses for at least the next several years. If we do not ultimately generate sufficient revenue from commercialized products and achieve or maintain operating profitability, an investment in our Common Shares could result in a significant or total loss.

Our clinical trials may not yield results which will enable us to obtain regulatory approval for our products, and a setback in any of our clinical trials would likely cause a drop in the price of our Common Shares.

We will only receive regulatory approval for a product candidate if we can demonstrate in carefully designed and conducted clinical trials that the product candidate is both safe and effective. We do not know whether our pending or any future clinical trials will demonstrate sufficient safety and efficacy to obtain the requisite regulatory approvals or will result in marketable products. Unfavorable data from those studies could result in the withdrawal of marketing approval for approved products or an extension of the review period for developmental products. Clinical trials are inherently lengthy, complex, expensive and uncertain processes and have a high risk of failure. It typically takes many years to complete testing, and failure can occur at any stage of testing. Results attained in preclinical testing and early clinical studies, or trials, may not be indicative of results that are obtained in later studies.

None of our current product candidates has to date received regulatory approval for its intended commercial sale. We cannot market a pharmaceutical product in any jurisdiction until it has completed rigorous preclinical testing and clinical trials and passed such jurisdiction's extensive regulatory approval process. In general, significant R&D and clinical studies are required to demonstrate the safety and efficacy of our product candidates before we can submit regulatory applications. Preclinical testing and clinical development are long, expensive and uncertain processes. Preparing, submitting and advancing applications for regulatory approval is complex, expensive and time-consuming and entails significant uncertainty. Data obtained from preclinical and clinical tests can be interpreted in different ways, which could delay, limit or prevent regulatory approval. It may take us many years to complete the testing of our product candidates and failure can occur at any stage of this process. In addition, we have limited experience in conducting and managing the clinical trials necessary to obtain regulatory approval in the U.S., in Canada and abroad and, accordingly, may encounter unforeseen problems and delays in the approval process. Though we may engage a contract research organization (a "CRO") with experience in conducting regulatory trials, errors in the conduct, monitoring and/or auditing could invalidate the results from a regulatory perspective. Even if a product candidate is approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (the "FDA"), the Canadian Therapeutic Products Directorate or any other regulatory authority, we may not obtain approval for an indication whose market is large enough to recover our investment in that product candidate. In addition, there can be no assurance that we will ever obtain all or any required regulatory approvals for any of our product candidates.

We are currently developing our product candidates based on R&D activities, preclinical testing and clinical trials conducted to date, and we may not be successful in developing or introducing to the market these or any other new products or technology. If we fail to develop and deploy new products successfully and on a timely basis, we may become non-competitive and unable to recover the R&D and other expenses we incur to develop and test new products.

Interim results of preclinical or clinical studies do not necessarily predict their final results, and acceptable results in early studies might not be obtained in later studies. Safety signals detected during clinical studies and preclinical animal studies may require us to perform additional studies, which could delay the development of the drug or lead to a decision to discontinue development of the drug. Product candidates in the later stages of clinical development may fail to show the desired safety and efficacy traits despite positive results in initial clinical testing. Results from earlier studies may not be indicative of results from future clinical trials and the risk remains that a pivotal program may generate efficacy data that will be insufficient for the approval of the drug, or may raise safety concerns that may prevent approval of the drug. Interpretation of the prior preclinical and clinical safety and efficacy data of our product candidates may be flawed and there can be no assurance that safety and/or efficacy concerns from the prior data were overlooked or misinterpreted, which in subsequent, larger studies appear and prevent approval of such product candidates.

Furthermore, we may suffer significant setbacks in advanced clinical trials, even after promising results in earlier studies. Based on results at any stage of clinical trials, we may decide to repeat or redesign a trial or discontinue development of one or more of our product candidates. Further, actual results may vary once the final and quality-controlled verification of data and analyses has been completed. If we fail to adequately demonstrate the safety and efficacy of our products under development, we will not be able to obtain the required regulatory approvals to commercialize our product candidates.

Clinical trials are subject to continuing oversight by governmental regulatory authorities and institutional review boards and must:

meet the requirements of these authorities;

meet the requirements for informed consent; and

meet the requirements for good clinical practices.

We may not be able to comply with these requirements in respect of one or more of our product candidates. In addition, we rely on third parties, including CROs and outside consultants, to assist us in managing and monitoring clinical trials. Our reliance on these third parties may result in delays in completing, or in failing to complete, these trials if one or more third parties fails to perform with the speed and level of competence we expect.

A failure in the development of any one of our programs or product candidates could have a negative impact on the development of the others. Setbacks in any phase of the clinical development of our product candidates would have an adverse financial impact (including with respect to any agreements and partnerships that may exist between us and other entities), could jeopardize regulatory approval and would likely cause a drop in the price of our securities. If we are unable to successfully complete our clinical trial programs, or if such clinical trials take longer to complete than we project, our ability to execute our current business strategy will be adversely affected.

Whether or not and how quickly we complete clinical trials is dependent in part upon the rate at which we are able to engage clinical trial sites and, thereafter, the rate of enrollment of patients, and the rate we collect, clean, lock and analyze the clinical trial database. Patient enrollment is a function of many factors, including the design of the protocol, the size of the patient population, the proximity of patients to and availability of clinical sites, the eligibility criteria for the study, the perceived risks and benefits of the drug under study and of the control drug, if any, the efforts to facilitate timely enrollment in clinical trials, the patient referral practices of physicians, the existence of competitive clinical trials, and whether existing or new drugs are approved for the indication we are studying. Certain clinical trials are designed to continue until a pre-determined number of events have occurred to the patients enrolled. Such trials are subject to delays stemming from patient withdrawal and from lower than expected event rates and may also incur increased costs if enrollment is increased in order to achieve the desired number of events. If we experience delays in identifying and contracting with sites and/or in patient enrollment in our clinical trial programs, we may incur additional costs and delays in our development programs, and may not be able to complete our clinical trials on a cost-effective or timely basis. In addition, conducting multi-national studies adds another level of complexity and risk as we are subject to events affecting countries outside Canada. Moreover, negative or inconclusive results from the clinical trials we conduct or adverse medical events could cause us to have to repeat or terminate the clinical trials. Accordingly, we may not be able to complete the clinical trials within an acceptable time frame, if at all. If we or any third party have difficulty enrolling a sufficient number of patients to conduct our clinical trials as planned, we may need to delay or terminate ongoing clinical trials.

Additionally, we have limited experience in filing a New Drug Application ("NDA") or similar application for approval in the U.S. or in any country for our current product candidates, which may result in a delay in, or the rejection of, our filing of an NDA or similar application. During the drug development process, regulatory agencies will typically ask questions of drug sponsors. While we endeavor to answer all such questions in a timely fashion, or in the NDA filing, some questions may not be answered by the time we file our NDA. Unless the FDA waives the requirement to answer any such unanswered questions, submission of an NDA may be delayed and acceptance of an NDA may ultimately be rejected.

If we are unable to establish sales and marketing capabilities or enter into agreements with third parties to market and sell our product candidates, we may not be successful in commercializing MACRILENTM or any other product candidate if and when they are approved.

We currently have a lean sales and marketing staff and have limited recent experience in the sale or marketing of pharmaceutical or biopharmaceutical products. To achieve commercial success for any approved product, including, in the near and medium term, MACRILENTM, we must either develop a sales and marketing organization or outsource these functions to third parties. We currently plan to establish our own sales and marketing capabilities and promote MACRILENTM with a targeted sales force if and when it is ultimately approved. There are risks involved with establishing our own sales and marketing capabilities and entering into arrangements with third parties to perform these services. For example, recruiting and training a sales force is expensive and time-consuming and could delay any product launch. If the commercial launch of a product candidate for which we recruit a sales force and establish marketing capabilities is delayed or does not occur for any reason, we would have prematurely or unnecessarily incurred these commercialization expenses. This may be costly, and our investment would be lost if we cannot retain or reposition our sales and marketing personnel.

Factors that may inhibit our efforts to commercialize our products on our own include:

our inability to recruit, train and retain adequate numbers of effective sales and marketing personnel and representatives;

the inability of sales personnel to obtain access to or persuade adequate numbers of physicians to prescribe any future products;

the lack of complementary products to be offered by sales personnel, which may put us at a competitive disadvantage relative to companies with more extensive product lines; and

unforeseen costs and expenses associated with creating an independent sales and marketing organization.

If we enter into arrangements with third parties to perform sales and marketing services, our product revenues or the profitability of these product revenues to us are likely to be lower than if we were to market and sell any products that

we develop ourselves. In addition, we may not be successful in entering into arrangements with third parties to sell and market our product candidates or may be unable to do so on terms that are favorable to us. We likely will have little control over such third parties, and any of them may fail to devote the necessary resources and attention to sell and market our products effectively. If we do not establish sales and marketing capabilities successfully, either on our own or in collaboration with third parties, we will not be successful in commercializing our product candidates and our business, financial condition and results of operations will be materially adversely affected.

We may not be able to successfully integrate acquired businesses or in-licensed products.

Future acquisitions or in-licensed products may not be successfully integrated. The failure to successfully integrate the personnel and operations of businesses that we may acquire or of products that we may in-license in the future with our operations, business and products could have a material adverse effect on our operations and results.

We are and will be subject to stringent ongoing government regulation for our products and our product candidates, even if we obtain regulatory approvals for the latter.

The manufacture, marketing and sale of our products and product candidates are and will be subject to strict and ongoing regulation, even if regulatory authorities approve any of the latter. Compliance with such regulation will be expensive and consume substantial financial and management resources. For example, an approval for a product may be conditioned on our agreement to conduct costly post-marketing follow-up studies to monitor the safety or efficacy of the products. In addition, as a clinical experience with a drug expands after approval because the drug is used by a greater number and more diverse group of patients than during clinical trials, side effects or other problems may be observed after approval that were not observed or anticipated during pre-approval clinical trials. In such a case, a regulatory authority could restrict the indications for which the product may be sold or revoke the product's regulatory approval.

We and our contract manufacturers will be required to comply with applicable current Good Manufacturing Practice ("cGMP") regulations for the manufacture of our products. These regulations include requirements relating to quality assurance, as well as the corresponding maintenance of rigorous records and documentation. Manufacturing facilities must be approved before we can use them in the commercial manufacturing of our products and are subject to subsequent periodic inspection by regulatory authorities. In addition, material changes in the methods of manufacturing or changes in the suppliers of raw materials are subject to further regulatory review and approval. If we, or if any future marketing collaborators or contract manufacturers, fail to comply with applicable regulatory requirements, we may be subject to sanctions including fines, product recalls or seizures and related publicity requirements, injunctions, total or partial suspension of production, civil penalties, suspension or withdrawals of previously granted regulatory approvals, warning or untitled letters, refusal to approve pending applications for marketing approval of new products or of supplements to approved applications, import or export bans or restrictions, and criminal prosecution and penalties. Any of these penalties could delay or prevent the promotion, marketing or sale of our products and product candidates.

If our products do not gain market acceptance, we may be unable to generate significant revenues.

Even if our products are approved for commercialization, they may not be successful in the marketplace. Market acceptance of any of our products will depend on a number of factors including, but not limited to:

demonstration of clinical efficacy and safety;

the prevalence and severity of any adverse side effects;

4imitations or warnings contained in the product's approved labeling;

availability of alternative treatments for the indications we target;

the advantages and disadvantages of our products relative to current or alternative treatments;

the availability of acceptable pricing and adequate third-party reimbursement; and

the effectiveness of marketing and distribution methods for the products.

If our products do not gain market acceptance among physicians, patients, healthcare payers and others in the medical community, who may not accept or utilize our products, our ability to generate significant revenues from our products would be limited and our financial condition could be materially adversely affected. In addition, if we fail to further penetrate our core markets and existing geographic markets or successfully expand our business into new markets, the growth in sales of our products, along with our operating results, could be negatively impacted.

Our ability to further penetrate our core markets and existing geographic markets in which we compete or to successfully expand our business into additional countries in Europe, Asia or elsewhere is subject to numerous factors, many of which are beyond our control. Our products, if successfully developed, may compete with a number of drugs, therapies, products and tests currently manufactured and marketed by major pharmaceutical and other biotechnology companies. Our products may also compete with new products currently under development by others or with products which may be less expensive than our products. There can be no assurance that our efforts to increase market

penetration in our core markets and existing geographic markets will be successful. Our failure to do so could have an adverse effect on our operating results and would likely cause a drop in the price of our securities.

We may require significant additional financing, and we may not have access to sufficient capital.

We may require additional capital to pursue planned clinical trials, regulatory approvals, as well as further R&D and marketing efforts for our product candidates and potential products. Except as expressly described in this annual report on Form 20-F, we do not anticipate generating significant revenues from operations in the near future and we currently have no committed sources of capital.

We may attempt to raise additional funds through public or private financings, collaborations with other pharmaceutical companies or from other sources, including, without limitation, through at-the-market offerings and issuances of Common Shares. Additional funding may not be available on terms which are acceptable to us. If adequate funding is not available to us on reasonable terms, we may need to delay, reduce or eliminate one or more of our product development programs or obtain funds on terms less favorable than we would otherwise accept. To the extent that additional capital is raised through the sale of equity securities or securities convertible into or exchangeable for equity securities (collectively, "Convertible Securities"), the issuance of those securities could result in dilution to our shareholders. Moreover, the incurrence of debt financing could result in a substantial portion of our future operating cash flow, if any, being dedicated to the payment of principal and interest on such indebtedness and could impose restrictions on our operations. This could render us more vulnerable to competitive pressures and economic downturns.

We anticipate that our existing working capital, including the proceeds from any sale of Common Shares or other securities and anticipated revenues, will be sufficient to fund our development programs, clinical trials and other operating expenses for the near future. However, our future capital requirements are substantial and may increase beyond our current expectations depending on many factors including:

the duration and results of our clinical trials for our various product candidates going forward;

unexpected delays or developments in seeking regulatory approvals;

the time and cost involved in preparing, filing, prosecuting, maintaining and enforcing patent claims;

other unexpected developments encountered in implementing our business development and commercialization strategies;

the potential addition of commercialized products to our pipeline;

the outcome of litigation, if any; and

further arrangements, if any, with collaborators.

In addition, global economic and market conditions as well as future developments in the credit and capital markets may make it even more difficult for us to raise additional financing in the future.

If we are unsuccessful in increasing our revenues and/or raising additional funding, we may possibly cease to continue operating as we currently do.

We have had sustained losses, accumulated deficits and negative cash flows from operations since our inception and we expect that this will continue for the foreseeable future.

Although our audited consolidated financial statements as at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and liquidation of liabilities during the normal course of operations, our ability to continue as a going concern is dependent on the successful execution of our business plan, which will require an increase in revenue and/or additional funding to be provided by potential investors as well as non-traditional sources of financing.

Although we stated in our audited consolidated financial statements as at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 that management believed that the Company had, as at December 31, 2013, sufficient financial resources to fund planned expenditures and other working capital needs for at least, but not limited to, the 12-month period following such date, there can be no assurance that management will be able to reiterate such belief in the future, particularly in the event that we do not or are unable to raise additional capital, as we do not expect our operations to generate sufficient cash flow to fund our obligations.

Additional funding may be in the form of debt or equity or a hybrid instrument depending on our needs, those of investors and market conditions. Depending on the prevailing global economic and credit market conditions, we may not be able to raise additional cash resources through these traditional sources of financing. Although we may also pursue non-traditional sources of financing with third parties, the global credit markets may adversely affect the

ability of potential third parties to pursue such transactions with us. Accordingly, as a result of the foregoing, we continue to review traditional sources of financing, such as private and public debt or various equity financing alternatives, as well as other alternatives to enhance shareholder value including, but not limited to, non-traditional sources of financing, such as alliances with strategic partners, the sale of assets or licensing of our technology or intellectual property, a combination of operating and related initiatives or a substantial reorganization of our business.

There can be no assurance that we will achieve profitability or positive cash flows or be able to obtain additional funding or that, if obtained, they will be sufficient, or whether any other initiatives will be successful, such that we may continue as a going concern. There could also be material uncertainties related to certain adverse conditions and events that could impact our ability to remain a going concern.

We may expend our limited resources to pursue a particular product candidate or indication and fail to capitalize on product candidates or indications for which there may be a greater likelihood of success.

Because we have limited financial and managerial resources, we are currently focusing our efforts on our later-stage clinical research programs, zoptarelin doxorubicin and macimorelin, and we are doing so for specific indications. As a result, we may forego or delay pursuit of opportunities with other product candidates or for other indications for which there may be a greater likelihood of success or may prove to have greater commercial potential. Notwithstanding our investment to date and anticipated future expenditures on zoptarelin doxorubicin, macimorelin and our earlier-stage programs, we have not yet developed, and may never successfully develop, any marketed treatments using these products. Research programs to identify new product candidates or pursue alternative indications for current product candidates require substantial technical, financial and human resources. These activities may initially show promise in identifying potential product candidates or indications, yet fail to yield product candidates or indications for further clinical development.

We may not achieve our projected development goals in the time-frames we announce and expect.

We set goals and make public statements regarding the timing of the accomplishment of objectives material to our success, such as the commencement, enrollment and anticipated completion of clinical trials, anticipated regulatory submission and approval dates and time of product launch. The actual timing of these events can vary dramatically due to factors such as delays or failures in our clinical trials, the uncertainties inherent in the regulatory approval process and delays in achieving manufacturing or marketing arrangements sufficient to commercialize our products. There can be no assurance that our clinical trials will be completed, that we will make regulatory submissions or receive regulatory approvals as planned or that we will be able to adhere to our current schedule for the launch of any of our products. If we fail to achieve one or more of these milestones as planned, the price of our securities would likely decline.

If we fail to obtain acceptable prices or adequate reimbursement for our products, our ability to generate revenues will be diminished.

The ability for us and/or our partners to successfully commercialize our products will depend significantly on our ability to obtain acceptable prices and the availability of reimbursement to the patient from third-party payers, such as governmental and private insurance plans. These third-party payers frequently require companies to provide predetermined discounts from list prices, and they are increasingly challenging the prices charged for pharmaceuticals and other medical products. Our products may not be considered cost-effective, and reimbursement to the patient may not be available or sufficient to allow us or our partners to sell our products on a competitive basis. It may not be possible to negotiate favorable reimbursement rates for our products.

In addition, the continuing efforts of third-party payers to contain or reduce the costs of healthcare through various means may limit our commercial opportunity and reduce any associated revenue and profits. We expect proposals to implement similar government control to continue. In addition, increasing emphasis on managed care will continue to put pressure on the pricing of pharmaceutical and biopharmaceutical products. Cost control initiatives could decrease the price that we or any current or potential collaborators could receive for any of our products and could adversely affect our profitability. In addition, in the U.S., in Canada and in many other countries, pricing and/or profitability of some or all prescription pharmaceuticals and biopharmaceuticals are subject to government control.

If we fail to obtain acceptable prices or an adequate level of reimbursement for our products, the sales of our products would be adversely affected or there may be no commercially viable market for our products.

Competition in our targeted markets is intense, and development by other companies could render our products or technologies non-competitive.

The biopharmaceutical field is highly competitive. New products developed by other companies in the industry could render our products or technologies non-competitive. Competitors are developing and testing products and technologies that would compete with the products that we are developing. Some of these products may be more

effective or have an entirely different approach or means of accomplishing the desired effect than our products. We expect competition from pharmaceutical and biopharmaceutical companies and academic research institutions to continue to increase over time. Many of our competitors and potential competitors have substantially greater product development capabilities and financial, scientific, marketing and

human resources than we do. Our competitors may succeed in developing products earlier and in obtaining regulatory approvals and patent protection for such products more rapidly than we can or at a lower price.

We may not obtain adequate protection for our products through our intellectual property.

We rely heavily on our proprietary information in developing and manufacturing our product candidates. Our success depends, in large part, on our ability to protect our competitive position through patents, trade secrets, trademarks and other intellectual property rights. The patent positions of pharmaceutical and biopharmaceutical firms, including us, are uncertain and involve complex questions of law and fact for which important legal issues remain unresolved. Applications for patents and trademarks in Canada, the U.S. and in other foreign territories have been filed and are being actively pursued by us. Pending patent applications may not result in the issuance of patents and we may not be able to obtain additional issued patents relating to our technology or products. Even if issued, patents to us or our licensing partners may be challenged, narrowed, invalidated, held to be unenforceable or circumvented, which could limit our ability to stop competitors from marketing similar products or limit the length of term of patent protection we may have for our products. Changes in either patent laws or in interpretations of patent laws in the U.S. and other countries may diminish the value of our intellectual property or narrow the scope of our patent protection. The patents issued or to be issued to us may not provide us with any competitive advantage or protect us against competitors with similar technology. In addition, it is possible that third parties with products that are very similar to ours will circumvent our patents by means of alternate designs or processes. We may have to rely on method of use and new formulation protection for our compounds in development, and any resulting products, which may not confer the same protection as claims to compounds per se.

In addition, our patents may be challenged by third parties in patent litigation, which is becoming widespread in the biopharmaceutical industry. There may be prior art of which we are not aware that may affect the validity or enforceability of a patent claim. There may also be prior art of which we are aware, but which we do not believe affects the validity or enforceability of a claim, which may, nonetheless, ultimately be found to affect the validity or enforceability of a claim. No assurance can be given that our patents would, if challenged, be held by a court to be valid or enforceable or that a competitor's technology or product would be found by a court to infringe our patents. Our granted patents could also be challenged and revoked in U.S. post-grant proceedings as well as in opposition or nullity proceedings in certain countries outside the U.S. In addition, we may be required to disclaim part of the term of certain patents.

Patent applications relating to or affecting our business have been filed by a number of pharmaceutical and biopharmaceutical companies and academic institutions. A number of the technologies in these applications or patents may conflict with our technologies, patents or patent applications, and any such conflict could reduce the scope of patent protection which we could otherwise obtain. Because patent applications in the U.S. and many other jurisdictions are typically not published until eighteen months after their first effective filing date, or in some cases not at all, and because publications of discoveries in the scientific literature often lag behind actual discoveries, neither we nor our licensing partners can be certain that we or they were the first to make the inventions claimed in our or their issued patents or pending patent applications, or that we or they were the first to file for protection of the inventions set forth in these patent applications. If a third party has also filed a patent application in the U.S. covering our product candidates or a similar invention, we may have to participate in adversarial proceedings, such as interferences and deviation proceedings, before the United States Patent and Trademark Office to determine which party is entitled to a U.S. patent claiming the disputed invention. The costs of these proceedings could be substantial and it is possible that our efforts could be unsuccessful, resulting in a loss of our U.S. patent position.

In addition to patent protection, we may utilize orphan drug regulations, pediatric exclusivity or other provisions of the United States Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act of 1938, as amended, such as new chemical entity exclusivity or new formulation exclusivity, to provide market exclusivity for a drug candidate. Orphan drug regulations provide incentives to pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies to develop and manufacture drugs for the treatment of rare diseases, currently defined as diseases that exist in fewer than 200,000 individuals in the U.S., or, diseases that affect more than 200,000 individuals in the U.S. but that the sponsor does not realistically anticipate will generate a net profit. Under these provisions, a manufacturer of a designated orphan drug can seek tax benefits, and the holder of the first FDA approval of a designated orphan product will be granted a seven-year period of marketing exclusivity for

such FDA-approved orphan product. In the U.S., the FDA has the authority to grant additional data protection for approved drugs where the sponsor conducts specified testing in pediatric or adolescent populations. If granted, this pediatric exclusivity provides an additional six months which are added to the term of data protection as well as to the term of any relevant patents, to the extent these protections have not already expired. We may also seek to utilize market exclusivities in other territories, such as in the European Union (the "EU"). We cannot assure that any of our drug candidates will obtain such orphan drug designation, pediatric exclusivity, new chemical entity exclusivity or any other market exclusivity in the U.S., the EU or any other territory, or that we will be the first to receive the respective regulatory approval for such drugs so as to be eligible for any market exclusivity protection.

We also rely on trade secrets and proprietary know-how to protect our intellectual property. If we are unable to protect the confidentiality of our proprietary information and know-how, the value of our technology and products could be adversely affected. We seek to protect our unpatented proprietary information in part by requiring our employees, consultants, outside scientific collaborators and sponsored researchers and other advisors to enter into confidentiality agreements. These agreements provide that all confidential information developed or made known to the individual during the course of the individual's relationship with us is to be kept confidential and not disclosed to third parties except in specific circumstances. In the case of our employees, the agreements provide that all of the technology which is conceived by the individual during the course of employment is our exclusive property. These agreements may not provide meaningful protection or adequate remedies in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure of our proprietary information. In addition, it is possible that third parties could independently develop proprietary information and techniques substantially similar to ours or otherwise gain access to our trade secrets. If we are unable to protect the confidentiality of our proprietary information and know-how, competitors may be able to use this information to develop products that compete with our products and technologies, which could adversely impact our business.

We currently have the right to use certain patents and technologies under license agreements with third parties. Our failure to comply with the requirements of material license agreements could result in the termination of such agreements, which could cause us to terminate the related development program and cause a complete loss of our investment in that program.

As a result of the foregoing factors, we may not be able to rely on our intellectual property to protect our products in the marketplace.

We may infringe the intellectual property rights of others.

Our commercial success depends significantly on our ability to operate without infringing the patents and other intellectual property rights of third parties. There could be issued patents of which we are not aware that our products or methods may be found to infringe, or patents of which we are aware and believe we do not infringe but which we may ultimately be found to infringe. Moreover, patent applications and their underlying discoveries are in some cases maintained in secrecy until patents are issued. Because patents can take many years to issue, there may be currently pending applications of which we are unaware that may later result in issued patents that our products or technologies are found to infringe. Moreover, there may be published pending applications that do not currently include a claim covering our products or technologies but which nonetheless provide support for a later drafted claim that, if issued, our products or technologies could be found to infringe.

If we infringe or are alleged to infringe intellectual property rights of third parties, it will adversely affect our business. Our research, development and commercialization activities, as well as any product candidates or products resulting from these activities, may infringe or be accused of infringing one or more claims of an issued patent or may fall within the scope of one or more claims in a published patent application that may subsequently be issued and to which we do not hold a license or other rights. Third parties may own or control these patents or patent applications in the U.S. and abroad. These third parties could bring claims against us or our collaborators that would cause us to incur substantial expenses and, if successful against us, could cause us to pay substantial damages. Further, if a patent infringement suit were brought against us or our collaborators, we or they could be forced to stop or delay research, development, manufacturing or sales of the product or product candidate that is the subject of the suit. The biopharmaceutical industry has produced a proliferation of patents, and it is not always clear to industry participants, including us, which patents cover various types of products. The coverage of patents is subject to interpretation by the courts, and the interpretation is not always uniform. In the event of infringement or violation of another party's patent or other intellectual property rights, we may not be able to enter into licensing arrangements or make other arrangements at a reasonable cost. Any inability to secure licenses or alternative technology could result in delays in the introduction of our products or lead to prohibition of the manufacture or sale of products by us or our partners and collaborators.

Patent litigation is costly and time consuming and may subject us to liabilities.

Our involvement in any patent litigation, interference, opposition or other administrative proceedings will likely cause us to incur substantial expenses, and the efforts of our technical and management personnel will be significantly

diverted. In addition, an adverse determination in litigation could subject us to significant liabilities.

We may not obtain trademark registrations for our product candidates.

We have filed applications for trademark registrations in connection with our product candidates in various jurisdictions, including the U.S. We intend to file further applications for other possible trademarks for our product candidates. No assurance can be given that any of our trademark applications will be registered in the U.S. or elsewhere, or that the use of any registered or unregistered trademarks will confer a competitive advantage in the marketplace. Furthermore, even if we are successful in our trademark registrations, the FDA and regulatory authorities in other countries have their own process for drug nomenclature and their own views concerning appropriate proprietary names. The FDA and other regulatory authorities also have the power, even after granting market approval, to request a company to reconsider the name for a product because of evidence of confusion in the marketplace. No assurance can be given that the FDA or any other regulatory authority will approve of any of our trademarks or will not request reconsideration of one of our trademarks at some time in the future. The loss, abandonment, or cancellation of any of our trademarks or trademark applications could negatively affect the success of the product candidates to which they relate.

Our revenues and expenses may fluctuate significantly, and any failure to meet financial expectations may disappoint securities analysts or investors and result in a decline in the price of our securities.

We have a history of operating losses. Our revenues and expenses have fluctuated in the past and may continue to do so in the future. These fluctuations could cause our share price to decline. Some of the factors that could cause our revenues and expenses to fluctuate include but are not limited to:

the inability to complete product development in a timely manner that results in a failure or delay in receiving the required regulatory approvals to commercialize our product candidates;

the timing of regulatory submissions and approvals;

the timing and willingness of any current or future collaborators to invest the resources necessary to commercialize our product candidates;

the revenue available from royalties derived from our strategic partners;

the nature and timing of licensing fees revenues;

the nature and timing of tax credits and grants (R&D);

the outcome of litigation, if any;

changes in foreign currency fluctuations;

the timing of achievement and the receipt of milestone payments from current or future collaborators;

failure to enter into new or the expiration or termination of current agreements with collaborators.

Due to fluctuations in our revenues and expenses, we believe that period-to-period comparisons of our results of operations are not necessarily indicative of our future performance. It is possible that in some future quarter or quarters, our revenues and expenses will be above or below the expectations of securities analysts or investors. In this case, the price of our securities could fluctuate significantly or decline.

We are currently dependent on certain strategic partners and may enter into future collaborations for the R&D of our product candidates.

We are currently dependent on certain strategic partners and may enter into future collaborations for the R&D of our product candidates. Our arrangements with these strategic partners may not provide us with the benefits we expect and may expose us to a number of risks.

We are dependent on, and rely upon, strategic partners to perform various functions related to our business, including, but not limited to, the R&D of some of our product candidates. Our reliance on these relationships poses a number of risks.

We may not realize the contemplated benefits of such agreements nor can we be certain that any of these parties will fulfill their obligations in a manner which maximizes our revenue. These arrangements may also require us to transfer certain material rights or issue our equity, voting or other securities to corporate partners, licensees and others. Any license or sublicense of our commercial rights may reduce our product revenue.

These agreements also create certain risks. The occurrence of any of the following or other events may delay product development or impair commercialization of our products:

not all of our strategic partners are contractually prohibited from developing or commercializing, either alone or with others, products and services that are similar to or competitive with our product candidates and, with respect to our strategic partnership agreements that do contain such contractual prohibitions or restrictions, prohibitions or restrictions do not always apply to our partners' affiliates and they may elect to pursue the development of any additional product

candidates and pursue technologies or products either on their own or in collaboration with other parties, including our competitors, whose technologies or products may be competitive with ours;

our strategic partners may under-fund or fail to commit sufficient resources to marketing, distribution or other development of our products;

we may not be able to renew such agreements;

our strategic partners may not properly maintain or defend certain intellectual property rights that may be important to the commercialization of our products;

our strategic partners may encounter conflicts of interest, changes in business strategy or other issues which could adversely affect their willingness or ability to fulfill their obligations to us (for example, pharmaceutical companies historically have re-evaluated their priorities following mergers and consolidations, which have been common in recent years in this industry);

delays in, or failures to achieve, scale-up to commercial quantities, or changes to current raw material suppliers or product manufacturers (whether the change is attributable to us or the supplier or manufacturer) could delay clinical studies, regulatory submissions and commercialization of our product candidates; and

disputes may arise between us and our strategic partners that could result in the delay or termination of the development or commercialization of our product candidates, resulting in litigation or arbitration that could be time-consuming and expensive, or causing our strategic partners to act in their own self-interest and not in our interest or those of our shareholders or other stakeholders.

In addition, our strategic partners can terminate our agreements with them for a number of reasons based on the terms of the individual agreements that we have entered into with them. If one or more of these agreements were to be terminated, we would be required to devote additional resources to developing and commercializing our product candidates, seek a new partner or abandon this product candidate which would likely cause a drop in the price of our securities.

We have entered into important strategic partnership agreements relating to certain of our product candidates for various indications. Detailed information on our research and collaboration agreements is available in our various reports and disclosure documents filed with the Canadian securities regulatory authorities and filed with or furnished to the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), including the documents incorporated by reference into this annual report on Form 20-F. For example, on April 10, 2013, we announced that we had entered into a co-development and profit-sharing agreement with Ergomed Clinical Research Ltd. ("Ergomed") for zoptarelin doxorubicin in endometrial cancer. Ergomed was selected as the contract clinical development organization to conduct the multicenter, multinational, randomized Phase 3 "ZoptEC" (Zoptarelin doxorubicin in Endometrial Cancer) trial with zoptarelin doxorubicin in endometrial cancer. Under the terms of this agreement, Ergomed will assume 30% (up to \$10 million) of the clinical and regulatory costs for our Phase 3 ZoptEC trial of zoptarelin doxorubicin in endometrial cancer, which are currently estimated at approximately \$30 million over the course of the study, and Ergomed will receive its return on investment based on an agreed single digit percentage of any net income received by us for zoptarelin doxorubicin in this indication, up to a specified maximum amount.

We have also entered into a variety of collaboration agreements with various universities and institutes under which we are obligated to support some of the research expenses incurred by the university laboratories and pay royalties on future sales of the products. In turn, we have retained exclusive rights for the worldwide exploitation of results generated during the collaborations.

We rely on third parties to conduct, supervise and monitor our clinical trials, and those third parties may not perform satisfactorily.

We rely on third parties such as CROs, medical institutions and clinical investigators to enroll qualified patients and conduct, supervise and monitor our clinical trials. Our reliance on these third parties for clinical development activities reduces our control over these activities. Our reliance on these third parties, however, does not relieve us of our regulatory responsibilities, including ensuring that our clinical trials are conducted in accordance with Good Clinical Practice guidelines and the investigational plan and protocols contained in an Investigational New Drug ("IND") application, or a comparable foreign regulatory submission. Furthermore, these third parties may also have relationships with other entities, some of which may be our competitors. In addition, they may not complete activities

on schedule, or may not conduct our preclinical studies or clinical trials in accordance with regulatory requirements or our trial design. If these third parties do not successfully carry out their contractual duties or meet expected deadlines, our efforts to obtain regulatory approvals for, and commercialize, our product candidates may be delayed or prevented.

In carrying out our operations, we are dependent on a stable and consistent supply of ingredients and raw materials. There can be no assurance that we, our contract manufacturers or our partners, will be able, in the future, to continue to purchase products from our current suppliers or any other supplier on terms similar to current terms or at all. An interruption in the availability of certain raw materials or ingredients, or significant increases in the prices paid by us for them, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, liquidity and operating results. The failure to perform satisfactorily by third parties upon which we rely to manufacture and supply products may lead to supply shortfalls.

We will rely on third parties to manufacture and supply marketed products. We also have certain supply obligations vis à vis our licensing partners who are responsible for the marketing of the products. To be successful, our products have to be manufactured in commercial quantities in compliance with quality controls and regulatory requirements. Even though it is our objective to minimize such risk by introducing alternative suppliers to ensure a constant supply at all times, we cannot guarantee that we will not experience supply shortfalls and, in such event, we may not be able to perform our obligations under contracts with our partners.

We are subject to intense competition for our skilled personnel, and the loss of key personnel or the inability to attract additional personnel could impair our ability to conduct our operations.

We are highly dependent on our management and our clinical, regulatory and scientific staff, the loss of whose services might adversely impact our ability to achieve our objectives. Recruiting and retaining qualified management and clinical, scientific and regulatory personnel is critical to our success. Competition for skilled personnel is intense, and our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel may be affected by such competition.

Our strategic partners' manufacturing capabilities may not be adequate to effectively commercialize our product candidates.

Our manufacturing experience to date with respect to our product candidates consists of producing drug substance for clinical studies. To be successful, these product candidates have to be manufactured in commercial quantities in compliance with regulatory requirements and at acceptable costs. Our strategic partners' current manufacturing facilities have the capacity to produce projected product requirements for the foreseeable future, but we will need to increase capacity if expected sales grow more than originally forecasted. Our strategic partners may not be able to expand capacity or to produce additional product requirements on favorable terms. Moreover, delays associated with securing additional manufacturing capacity may reduce our revenues and adversely affect our business and financial position. There can be no assurance that we will be able to meet increased demand over time.

We are subject to the risk of product liability claims, for which we may not have or be able to obtain adequate insurance coverage.

The sale and use of our products, in particular our biopharmaceutical products, involve the risk of product liability claims and associated adverse publicity. Our risks relate to human participants in our clinical trials, who may suffer unintended consequences, as well as products on the market whereby claims might be made directly by patients, healthcare providers or pharmaceutical companies or others selling, buying or using our products. We manage our liability risks by means of insurance. We maintain liability insurance covering our liability for our preclinical and clinical studies and for our pharmaceutical products already marketed. However, we may not have or be able to obtain or maintain sufficient and affordable insurance coverage, including coverage for potentially very significant legal expenses, and without sufficient coverage any claim brought against us could have a materially adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our business involves the use of hazardous materials which requires us to comply with environmental and occupational safety laws regulating the use of such materials. If we violate these laws, we could be subject to significant fines, liabilities or other adverse consequences.

Our discovery and development processes involve the controlled use of hazardous and radioactive materials. We are subject to federal, provincial and local laws and regulations governing the use, manufacture, storage, handling and disposal of such materials and certain waste products. The risk of accidental contamination or injury from these materials cannot be completely eliminated. In the event of an accident or a failure to comply with environmental or occupational safety laws, we could be held liable for any damages that result, and any such liability could exceed our resources. We may not be adequately insured against this type of liability. We may be required to incur significant

costs to comply with environmental laws and regulations in the future, and our operations, business or assets may be materially adversely affected by current or future environmental laws or regulations.

We are a holding company, and claims of creditors of our subsidiaries will generally have priority as to the assets of such subsidiaries over our claims and those of our creditors and shareholders.

Aeterna Zentaris Inc. is a holding company and a substantial portion of our assets is the share capital of our subsidiaries. AEZS GmbH, our principal operating subsidiary, based in Frankfurt, Germany, holds most of our intellectual property rights, which represent the principal assets of our business.

Because Aeterna Zentaris Inc. is a holding company, our obligations to our creditors are structurally subordinated to all existing and future liabilities of our subsidiaries. Therefore, our rights and the rights of our creditors to participate in any distribution of the assets of any subsidiary in the event that such subsidiary were to be liquidated or reorganized or in the event of any bankruptcy or insolvency proceeding relating to or involving such subsidiary, and therefore the rights of the holders of our Common Shares to participate in those assets, are subject to the prior claims of such subsidiary's creditors. To the extent that we may be a creditor with recognized claims against any such subsidiary, our claims would still be subject to the prior claims of our subsidiary's creditors to the extent that they are secured or senior to those held by us.

Holders of our Common Shares are not creditors of our subsidiaries. Claims to the assets of our subsidiaries will derive from our own ownership interest in those operating subsidiaries. Claims of our subsidiaries' creditors will generally have priority as to the assets of such subsidiaries over our own ownership interest claims and will therefore have priority over the holders of our Common Shares. Our subsidiaries' creditors may from time to time include general creditors, trade creditors, employees, secured creditors, taxing authorities, and creditors holding guarantees. Accordingly, in the event of any foreclosure, dissolution, winding-up, liquidation or reorganization, or a bankruptcy or insolvency proceeding relating to us or our property, or any subsidiary, there can be no assurance as to the value, if any, that would be available to holders of our Common Shares.

In addition, any distributions to us by our subsidiaries could be subject to monetary transfer restrictions in the jurisdictions in which our subsidiaries operate.

Our subsidiaries may incur additional indebtedness and other liabilities.

It may be difficult for U.S. investors to obtain and enforce judgments against us because of our Canadian incorporation and German presence.

We are a company existing under the laws of Canada. Many of our directors and officers, and certain of the experts named herein, are residents of Canada or otherwise reside outside the U.S., and all or a substantial portion of their assets, and a substantial portion of our assets, are located outside the U.S. Consequently, although we have appointed an agent for service of process in the U.S., it may be difficult for investors in the U.S. to bring an action against such directors, officers or experts or to enforce against those persons or us a judgment obtained in a U.S. court predicated upon the civil liability provisions of federal securities laws or other laws of the U.S. Investors should not assume that foreign courts (1) would enforce judgments of U.S. courts obtained in actions against us or such directors, officers or experts predicated upon the civil liability provisions of the U.S. federal securities laws or the securities or "blue sky" laws of any state within the U.S. or (2) would enforce, in original actions, liabilities against us or such directors, officers or experts predicated upon the U.S. federal securities laws or any such state securities or "blue sky" laws. In addition, we have been advised by our Canadian counsel that in normal circumstances, only civil judgments and not other rights arising from U.S. securities legislation (for example, penal or similar awards made by a court in a regulatory prosecution or proceeding) are enforceable in Canada and that the protections afforded by Canadian securities laws may not be available to investors in the U.S.

Health care reform measures could hinder or prevent the commercial success of our product candidates and adversely affect our business.

The business prospects and financial condition of pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies are affected by the efforts of governmental and third-party payers to contain or reduce the costs of health care. In the U.S. and in foreign jurisdictions there have been, and we expect that there will continue to be, a number of legislative and regulatory proposals aimed at changing the health care system, such as proposals relating to the pricing of healthcare products and services in the U.S. or internationally, the reimportation of drugs into the U.S. from other countries (where they are then sold at a lower price), and the amount of reimbursement available from governmental agencies or other third party payers. For example, drug manufacturers are required to have a national rebate agreement with the Department

of Health and Human Services in order to obtain state Medicaid coverage, which requires manufacturers to pay a rebate on drugs dispensed to Medicaid patients. On January 27, 2012, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services ("CMS") issued a proposed regulation covering the calculation of Average Manufacturer Price ("AMP") which is the key variable in the calculation of these rebates.

In March 2010, President Obama signed into law a legislative overhaul of the U.S. healthcare system, known as the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010, as amended by the Healthcare and Education Affordability Reconciliation Act of 2010 (collectively, the "PPACA"), which may have far-reaching consequences for most healthcare companies, including specialty biopharmaceutical companies like us. For example, if reimbursement for our product candidates is substantially less than we expect, our revenue prospects could be materially and adversely impacted.

Regardless of the impact of the PPACA on us, the U.S. government and other governments have shown significant interest in pursuing healthcare reform and reducing healthcare costs. Any government-adopted reform measures could cause significant pressure on the pricing of healthcare products and services, including our product candidates, in the United States and internationally, as well as the amount of reimbursement available from governmental agencies and other third-party payors.

In addition, on September 27, 2007, the Food and Drug Administration Amendments Act of 2007 was enacted, giving the FDA enhanced post-market authority, including the authority to require post-marketing studies and clinical trials, labeling changes based on new safety information, and compliance with risk evaluations and mitigation strategies approved by the FDA. The FDA's exercise of this authority may result in delays or increased costs during the period of product development, clinical trials and regulatory review and approval, which may also increase costs related to complying with new post-approval regulatory requirements, and increase potential FDA restrictions on the sale or distribution of approved products.

We are subject to additional reporting requirements under applicable Canadian securities laws and the Sarbanes-Oxley Act in the U.S.. We can provide no assurance that we will at all times in the future be able to report that our internal controls over financial reporting are effective.

As a public company, we are required to comply with Section 404 of the U.S. Sarbanes-Oxley Act ("Section 404") and National Instrument 52-109 - Certification of Disclosure in Issuers' Annual and Interim Filings, and we are required to obtain an annual attestation from our independent auditors regarding our internal control over financial reporting. In any given year, we cannot be certain as to the time of completion of our internal control evaluation, testing and remediation actions or of their impact on our operations. Upon completion of this process, we may identify control deficiencies of varying degrees of severity under applicable SEC and Public Company Accounting Oversight Board rules and regulations. As a public company, we are required to report, among other things, control deficiencies that constitute material weaknesses or changes in internal controls that, or that are reasonably likely to, materially affect internal controls over financial reporting. A "material weakness" is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Company's annual consolidated financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. If we fail to comply with the requirements of Section 404, Canadian requirements or report a material weakness, we might be subject to regulatory sanction and investors may lose confidence in our consolidated financial statements, which may be inaccurate if we fail to remedy such material weakness.

It is possible that we may be a passive foreign investment company, which could result in adverse tax consequences to U.S. investors.

Adverse U.S. federal income tax rules apply to "U.S. Holders" (as defined in "Item 10.E – Taxation – Certain Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations" in this annual report on Form 20-F) that directly or indirectly hold Common Shares of a passive foreign investment company ("PFIC"). We will be classified as a PFIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes for a taxable year if (i) at least 75% of our gross income is "passive income" or (ii) at least 50% of the average value of our assets, including goodwill (based on annual quarterly average), is attributable to assets which produce passive income or are held for the production of passive income.

We believe that we were not a PFIC for the 2013 taxable year. However, the PFIC determination depends on the application of complex U.S. federal income tax rules concerning the classification of our assets and income for this purpose, and these rules are uncertain in some respects. In addition, the fair market value of our assets may be determined in large part by the market price of our Common Shares, which is likely to fluctuate, and the composition of our income and assets will be affected by how, and how quickly, we spend any cash that is raised in any financing transaction. No assurance can be provided that we will not be classified as a PFIC for the 2014 taxable year and for

any future taxable year.

PFIC characterization could result in adverse U.S. federal income tax consequences to U.S. Holders. In particular, absent certain elections, a U.S. Holder would generally be subject to U.S. federal income tax at ordinary income tax rates, plus a possible interest charge, in respect of a gain derived from a disposition of our Common Shares, as well as certain distributions by us. If we are treated as a PFIC for any taxable year, a U.S. Holder may be able to make an election to "mark to market" Common Shares each taxable year and recognize ordinary income pursuant to such election based upon increases in the value of the Common Shares. In addition, U.S. Holders may mitigate the adverse tax consequences of the PFIC rules by making a "qualified electing fund" ("QEF") election; however, the Company does not expect to provide the information regarding its income that would be necessary for a U.S. Holder to make a QEF election.

If the Company is a PFIC, U.S. Holders will generally be required to file an annual information return with the Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS") (on IRS Form 8621, which PFIC shareholders will be required to file with their U.S. federal income tax or information returns) relating to their ownership of Common Shares. This new filing requirement is in addition to any preexisting reporting requirements that apply to a U.S. Holder's interest in a PFIC (which this requirement does not affect).

For a more detailed discussion of the potential tax impact of us being a PFIC, see "Item 10.E – Taxation – Certain Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations" in this annual report on Form 20-F. The PFIC rules are complex. U.S. Holders should consult their tax advisors regarding the potential application of the PFIC regime and any reporting obligations to which they may be subject under that regime.

We may incur losses associated with foreign currency fluctuations.

Our operations are in many instances conducted in currencies other than the euro, our functional currency. Fluctuations in the value of currencies could cause us to incur currency exchange losses. We do not currently employ a hedging strategy against exchange rate risk. We cannot assert with any assurance that we will not suffer losses as a result of unfavorable fluctuations in the exchange rates between the US dollar, the euro, the Canadian dollar and other currencies. For more information, see "Item 11. – Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" in this annual report on Form 20-F.

Legislative actions, new accounting pronouncements and higher insurance costs are likely to impact our future financial position or results of operations.

Changes in financial accounting standards or implementation of accounting standards may cause adverse, unexpected revenue or expense fluctuations and affect our financial position or results of operations. New pronouncements and varying interpretations of pronouncements have occurred with greater frequency and are expected to occur in the future, and we may make or be required to make changes in our accounting policies in the future. Compliance with changing regulations of corporate governance and public disclosure, notably with respect to internal controls over financial reporting, may result in additional expenses. Changing laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure are creating uncertainty for companies such as ours, and insurance costs are increasing as a result of this uncertainty.

The outcome of any future claims and litigation could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The Company and its subsidiaries may, from time to time, be parties to litigation in the normal course of business. Due to the inherent uncertainties of litigation, it is not possible to predict the final outcome of these lawsuits or determine the amount of any potential losses, if any, and we may, in the future, be subject litigation proceedings, including class action lawsuits. In the event we are required or determine to pay amounts in connection with any such lawsuits, such amounts could be significant and could have a material adverse impact on our liquidity, business, financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Relating to our Common Shares

Our share price is volatile, which may result from factors outside of our control. If our Common Shares were to be delisted from NASDAQ Capital Market ("NASDAQ") or Toronto Stock Exchange (the "TSX"), investors may have difficulty in disposing of our Common Shares held by them.

Our Common Shares are currently listed and traded only on NASDAQ and TSX. Our valuation and share price since the beginning of trading after our initial listings, first in Canada and then in the U.S., have had no meaningful relationship to current or historical financial results, asset values, book value or many other criteria based on conventional measures of the value of shares.

Between January 1, 2013 and December 31, 2013, the closing price of our Common Shares ranged from \$1.03 to \$3.23 on NASDAQ and from C\$1.08 to C\$3.27 per share on TSX. Our share price may be affected by developments directly affecting our business and by developments out of our control or unrelated to us. The stock market generally, and the biopharmaceutical sector in particular, are vulnerable to abrupt changes in investor sentiment. Prices of shares and trading volume of companies in the biopharmaceutical industry can swing dramatically in ways unrelated to, or that bear a disproportionate relationship to, operating performance. Our share price and trading volume may fluctuate based on a number of factors including, but not limited to:

clinical and regulatory developments regarding our product candidates; delays in our anticipated development or commercialization timelines; developments regarding current or future third-party collaborators; other announcements by us regarding technological, product development or other matters; arrivals or departures of key personnel;

governmental or regulatory action affecting our product candidates and our competitors' products in the U.S., Canada and other countries;

developments or disputes concerning patent or proprietary rights;

actual or anticipated fluctuations in our revenues or expenses;

general market conditions and fluctuations for the emerging growth and biopharmaceutical market sectors; and economic conditions in the U.S., Canada or abroad.

Our listing on both NASDAQ and TSX may increase price volatility due to various factors, including different ability to buy or sell our Common Shares, different market conditions in different capital markets and different trading volumes. In addition, low trading volume may increase the price volatility of our Common Shares. A thin trading market could cause the price of our Common Shares to fluctuate significantly more than the stock market as a whole. A period of large price decline in our Common Shares could increase the risk that securities class action litigation could be initiated against us. Litigation of this type and other litigation could result in substantial costs and diversion of management's attention and resources, which would adversely affect our business. Any adverse determination in litigation could also subject us to significant liabilities.

We must meet continuing listing requirements to maintain the listing of our Common Shares on NASDAQ and TSX. For continued listing, NASDAQ requires, among other things, that listed securities maintain a minimum closing bid price of not less than \$1.00 per share.

If our Common Shares trade for 30 consecutive business days below the required \$1.00 minimum closing bid price, we expect that NASDAQ would then send us a deficiency notice and provide us with a period of 180 calendar days to regain compliance with the minimum bid price requirement. In order to regain compliance, the closing bid price of our Common Shares would have to be at least US\$1.00 for a minimum of 10 consecutive business days. If we were not able to regain compliance, NASDAQ would notify us that our securities are subject to delisting. At that time, we could appeal the determination to delist our securities to a Listing Qualifications Panel.

In addition to the minimum bid price requirement, the continued listing rules of NASDAQ require us to meet at least one of the following listing standards: (i) stockholders' equity of at least \$2.5 million (the "Equity Standard"), (ii) market value of listed securities (calculated by multiplying the daily closing bid price of our Common Shares by our total outstanding Common Shares) of at least \$35 million (the "Market Value Standard") or (iii) net income from continuing operations (in the latest fiscal year or in two of the last three fiscal years) of at least \$500,000 (the "Net Income Standard"). If our total market capitalization decreases to an amount less than \$35 million for 30 consecutive trading days, it is possible that we could no longer meet any of these three listing standards. Similar to the process described above in the minimum bid price context, if we fail to meet the Market Value Standard for 30 consecutive trading days and do not otherwise meet the Equity Standard or the Net Income Standard, we expect that we would then receive a notification letter from NASDAQ advising us that we fail to comply with the Market Value Standard and providing us a period of 180 calendar days to regain compliance with the Market Value Standard. In order to regain compliance with the Market Value Standard, the market value of our listed securities would have to be at least \$35 million for a period of 10 consecutive business days. Otherwise, our securities may then be subject to delisting. There can be no assurance that our Common Shares will remain listed on NASDAQ. If we fail to meet any of NASDAQ's continued listing requirements, our Common Shares may be delisted. Any delisting of our Common Shares may adversely affect a shareholder's ability to dispose, or obtain quotations as to the market value, of such shares.

We do not intend to pay dividends in the near future.

To date, we have not declared or paid any dividends on our Common Shares. We currently intend to retain our future earnings, if any, to finance further research and the overall commercial expansion of our business. As a result, the return on an investment in our Common Shares will, for the foreseeable future, depend upon any future appreciation in value. There is no guarantee that our Common Shares will appreciate in value or even maintain the price at which shareholders have purchased them.

Future issuances of securities and hedging activities may depress the trading price of our Common Shares. Any additional or future issuance of Convertible Securities, including the issuance of Common Shares upon the exercise of stock options and upon the exercise of outstanding warrants, could dilute the interests of our existing

shareholders, and could substantially decrease the trading price of our Common Shares. We may issue equity securities in the future for a number of reasons, including to finance our operations and business strategy, to satisfy our obligations upon the exercise of options or warrants or for other reasons. Our Stock Option Plan generally permits us to have outstanding, at any given time, stock options that are exercisable for a maximum number of Common Shares equal to 11.4% of all then issued and outstanding Common Shares. As at December 31, 2013, there were:

45,312,009 Common Shares issued and outstanding;

no issued and outstanding preferred shares;

20,107,410 Common Shares issuable upon exercise of outstanding warrants; and

2,412,573 stock options outstanding.

In addition, the price of Common Shares could also be affected by possible sales of Common Shares by investors who view other investment vehicles as more attractive means of equity participation in us and by hedging or arbitrage trading activity that may develop involving our Common Shares. This hedging or arbitrage could, in turn, affect the trading price of our Common Shares.

Our articles of incorporation contain "blank check" preferred share provisions, which could delay or impede an acquisition of our company.

Our articles of incorporation, as amended, authorize the issuance of an unlimited number of "blank check" preferred shares, which could be issued by our Board of Directors without shareholder approval and may contain liquidation, dividend and other rights equivalent or superior to our Common Shares. In addition, we have implemented in our constating documents an advance notice procedure for shareholder approvals to be brought before an annual meeting of our shareholders, including proposed nominations of persons for election to our Board of Directors. These provisions, among others, whether alone or together, could delay or impede hostile takeovers and changes in control or changes in our management. Any provision of our constating documents that has the effect of delaying or deterring a change in control could limit the opportunity for our shareholders to receive a premium for their Common Shares and could also affect the price that some investors are willing to pay for our Common Shares.

Item 4. Information on the Company

A. History and development of the Company

We are a specialty biopharmaceutical Company engaged in developing novel treatments in oncology and endocrinology. Our pipeline encompasses compounds at various stages of development.

We were incorporated on September 12, 1990 under the Canada Business Corporations Act (the "CBCA") and continue to be governed by the CBCA. Our registered address and head office is located at 1405 du Parc-Technologique Blvd., Quebec City, Quebec, Canada G1P 4P5, our telephone number is (418) 652-8525 and our website is www.aezsinc.com. None of the documents or information found on our website shall be deemed to be included in or incorporated by reference into this annual report on Form 20-F, unless such document is specifically incorporated herein by reference.

On December 30, 2002, we acquired Zentaris AG, a biopharmaceutical company based in Frankfurt, Germany. Zentaris was a spin-off of Asta Medica GmbH, a former pharmaceutical company affiliated with Degussa AG. In May 2004, we changed our name to Aeterna Zentaris Inc. and on May 11, 2007, Zentaris GmbH was renamed Aeterna Zentaris GmbH ("AEZS GmbH"). AEZS GmbH is our principal operating subsidiary.

On April 6, 2005, our former subsidiary, Atrium Biotechnologies Inc. (now Atrium Innovations Inc.) ("Atrium"), completed its initial public offering in Canada and began trading on the TSX under the ticker symbol "ATB". In 2006, we spun off our ownership interest in Atrium in two phases. As of January 2, 2007 we no longer held any ownership interest in Atrium.

In May 2007, we opened an office in the United States, located at 20 Independence Boulevard, Warren, New Jersey 07059-2731. The Company moved this office to a new location in December 2011 at 25 Mountainview Blvd., Suite 203, Basking Ridge, NJ 07920.

On October 2, 2012, we effected a 6-to-1 Share Consolidation (reverse stock split). Our Common Shares commenced trading on a consolidated and adjusted basis on both NASDAQ and TSX on October 5, 2012.

On October 1, 2013, we announced the completion of our previously announced agreements with various partners and licensees with respect to the manufacturing rights and obligations for our Cetrotide® product. The principal outcome of such agreements is the transfer of all manufacturing rights and the grant of a license to a subsidiary of Merck KGaA of Darmstadt, Germany for the manufacture, testing, assembling, packaging, storage and release of Cetrotide® in all territories (the "Cetrotide® Business"). Following this transfer, the Cetrotide® Business has been presented in our consolidated financial statements as a discontinued operation.

We currently have three wholly-owned direct and indirect subsidiaries, Aeterna Zentaris GmbH (Germany), based in Frankfurt, Germany, Zentaris IVF GmbH, a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of AEZS Germany based in Frankfurt, Germany, and Aeterna Zentaris, Inc., an entity incorporated in the State of Delaware with an office in Basking Ridge, New Jersey in the United States.

Aeterna Zentaris Inc. (Canada)

100%

Aeterna Zentaris GmbH (Germany)

Aeterna Zentaris, Inc. (Delaware)

100%

Zentaris IVF GmbH (Germany)

In oncology, our current principal focus is on our ongoing Phase 3 "ZoptEC" (Zoptarelin doxorubicin in Endometrial Cancer) trial in endometrial cancer with zoptarelin doxorubicin. In endocrinology, we are focused on preparing the launch of MACRILENTM (macimorelin). This product is currently subject to a standard review by the FDA. If approved, MACRILENTM will be the first orally administrated drug indicated for the evaluation of Adult Growth Hormone Deficiency ("AGHD") by evaluating the pituitary gland secretion of growth hormone in response to an oral dose of the product. We are also investigating various additional compounds as potential treatments for a host of unmet medical needs, as depicted in the chart reproduced under the heading, "Our Product Pipeline".

Our Common Shares are listed for trading on the TSX under the trading symbol "AEZ" and on NASDAQ under the trading symbol "AEZS".

The Company's agent for service of process and SEC matters in the United States is its wholly-owned subsidiary, Aeterna Zentaris, Inc., located at 25 Mountainview Blvd., Suite 203, Basking Ridge, NJ 07920.

There have been no public takeover offers by third parties with respect to the Company or by the Company in respect of other companies' shares during the last or current fiscal year.

B. Business overview

We are a specialty biopharmaceutical Company engaged in developing novel treatments in oncology and endocrinology. Our pipeline encompasses compounds at various stages of development.

Over the years, the Company has incurred recurring operating losses, having invested significantly in our R&D activities, as well as supporting our general and administrative expenses. We have financed our operations through different sources including the issuance of Common Shares and warrants, the conclusion of strategic alliances with licensee partners and R&D grants awarded by governmental agencies. The Company expects to continue to incur operating losses and may require significant capital to fulfill our future obligations. See the capital disclosures and the liquidity risk sections in "Item 5. – Operating and Financial Review and Prospects – Liquidity Risk".

In oncology, we have an ongoing Phase 3 ZoptEC trial in endometrial cancer under a Special Protocol Assessment ("SPA") with the FDA with zoptarelin doxorubicin, a doxorubicin Luteinizing Hormone-Releasing Hormone ("LHRH") targeted conjugate compound for which we have successfully completed a Phase 2 trial in advanced endometrial and advanced ovarian cancer. We are also advancing a Phase 2 investigator-driven trial with zoptarelin

doxorubicin in castration- and taxane-resistant prostate cancer. Our oncology pipeline also encompasses earlier-stage programs, including our AEZS-120, a targeted, live recombinant oral tumor vaccine candidate, our Erk/PI3K inhibitors, such as AEZS-129 and AEZS-136 and our disorazol Z

product candidates (AEZS-137 and AEZS-138). We are also investigating various additional compounds as potential treatments for a host of unmet medical needs.

In endocrinology, we have filed an NDA in the U.S. for the registration of MACRILENTM, our orally available peptidomimetic ghrelin receptor agonist with growth hormone secretagogue activity. On January 6, 2014, we announced that the FDA had accepted for substantive review our NDA for MACRILENTM. The acceptance for filing of the NDA indicates that the FDA has determined that the application is sufficiently complete to permit a substantive review. The NDA, submitted on November 5, 2013, seeks approval for the commercialization of MACRILENTM, which, if approved, will be the first orally administered drug indicated for the evaluation of AGHD by evaluating the pituitary gland secretion of growth hormone in response to an oral dose of the product. The application is subject to a standard review by the FDA.

Recent Developments

For a complete description of our recent corporate and pipeline developments, refer to "Item 5. – Operating and Financial Review and Prospects – Key Developments in 2013".

Our Business Strategy

Our primary business strategy is to pursue the successful development and commercialization of our pipeline with a focus on our principal product candidates zoptarelin doxorubicin and MACRILENTM in oncology and endocrinology and achieve successful revenue-generating in-/out-licensing opportunities. Our vision is to become a growth-oriented specialty biopharmaceutical company.

Our product pipeline

⁽¹⁾ Investigator-driven and sponsored.

⁽²⁾ Phase 2 in ovarian cancer completed.

Sponsored entirely by our licensee partners (Spectrum Pharmaceuticals, World (ex-Japan, Korea and other Asian

⁽³⁾ countries) – Handok Pharmaceuticals, Korea and other Asian countries for benign prostatic hyperplasia ("BPH") indication – Nippon Kayaku, Japan for oncology indications).

Sponsored entirely by our licensee partners (Yakult Honsha, Japan – Handok Pharmaceuticals, Korea – Hikma Pharmaceuticals, Middle East/North Africa).

Oncology

In oncology, we are conducting the ZoptEC Phase 3 study under a SPA with the FDA for zoptarelin doxorubicin in endometrial cancer. We are also advancing an investigator-driven Phase 2 trial with zoptarelin doxorubicin in castration- and taxane-resistant prostate cancer.

Zoptarelin doxorubicin

Zoptarelin doxorubicin represents a new targeting concept in oncology using a hybrid molecule composed of a synthetic peptide carrier and a well-known chemotherapy agent, doxorubicin. Zoptarelin doxorubicin is the first intravenous drug in advanced clinical development that directs the chemotherapy agent specifically to LHRH-receptor expressing tumors, resulting in more targeted treatment with less damage to healthy tissue. The product has successfully completed Phase 2 studies for the treatment of ovarian and endometrial cancer. We hold the worldwide rights to zoptarelin doxorubicin pursuant to an exclusive license agreement with Tulane University, as licensor, and AEZS GmbH, as licensee.

Endocrinology

In endocrinology, an NDA is under review by the FDA for the registration of MACRILENTM, for use in the evaluation of AGHD, in the U.S. Furthermore, macimorelin is being investigated in a Phase 2A trial in cancer-induced cachexia currently conducted under a cooperative R&D agreement ("CRADA") with the Michael E. DeBakey Veterans Affairs Medical Center that is funding the study. We hold the worldwide rights to macimorelin pursuant to an exclusive license agreement with The French Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, as licensor, and AEZS GmbH, as licensee.

MACRILENTM

MACRILENTM is an orally available peptidomimetic ghrelin receptor agonist with growth hormone secretagogue activity. MACRILENTM has been granted orphan-drug designation by the FDA. On January 6, 2014, we announced that the FDA had accepted for substantive review our NDA for MACRILENTM for the evaluation of AGHD. The application is subject to a standard review by the FDA.

Clinical and Preclinical Programs

Our oncology pipeline also encompasses other earlier-stage programs, including AEZS-120, a targeted, live recombinant oral tumor vaccine candidate, our Erk/PI3K inhibitors, including AEZS-129 and AEZS-136, as well as our disorazol Z product candidates comprise AEZS-137 and AEZS-138.

We are also investigating various additional compounds as potential treatments for a host of unmet medical need. We also continue to perform targeted drug discovery activities from which we are able to derive preclinical candidates. This drug discovery includes high throughput screening systems and a library of more than 120,000 compounds. We are currently at a stage in which some of our products and product candidates are being further developed jointly with strategic partners or with funding from governmental organizations.

1.00NCOLOGY

1.1 TUMOR TARGETING CYTOTOXIC CONJUGATES AND CYTOTOXICS

Cytotoxic conjugates

In view of the non-specific toxicity of most chemotherapeutic agents against normal cells, targeting such drugs to cancerous tissue offers a potential benefit for patients with advanced or metastatic tumors. Targeted cytotoxic peptide conjugates are hybrid molecules composed of a cytotoxic moiety linked to a peptide carrier which binds to receptors on tumors. Cytotoxic conjugates are designed to achieve differential delivery, or targeting, of the cytotoxic agent to cancer vs. normal cells.

Our cytotoxic conjugates represent a novel oncological strategy to control and reduce toxicity and improve the effectiveness of cytotoxic drugs.

In zoptarelin doxorubicin, the most advanced of our cytotoxic conjugates, doxorubicin is chemically linked to an LHRH agonist, a modified natural hormone with affinity for the LHRH receptor. This design allows for the specific binding and selective uptake of the cytotoxic conjugate by LHRH receptor-positive tumors. Potential benefits of this targeted approach include a more favorable safety profile with lower incidence and severity of side effects, as normal tissues would be spared from the toxic effects of doxorubicin. In addition, the targeted approach may enable treatment of LHRH receptor-positive cancers that have become refractory to doxorubicin which has been administered in its non-targeted form.

1.1.1 Zoptarelin doxorubicin – Ovarian and Endometrial Cancer

In 2007, a Phase 2 open-label, non-comparative, multicenter two indication trial stratified with two stages Simon Design was prepared. The study was planned to involve up to 82 patients, with up to 41 patients each with a diagnosis of platinum-resistant ovarian cancer (stratum A) or disseminated endometrial cancer (stratum B). Under coordination by Prof. Günter Emons, M.D., Chairman of the Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology at the University of Göttingen, Germany, this open-label, multicenter and multinational Phase 2 study "AGO-GYN 5" was conducted by the German AGO Study Group (Arbeitsgemeinschaft Gynäkologische Onkologie / Gynaecological Oncology Working Group), in cooperation with clinical sites in Europe. An i.v. infusion of zoptarelin doxorubicin (267 mg/m²) was administered over a period of two hours, every Day 1 of a 21-day (3-week) cycle. The proposed duration of the study treatment was six cycles. The study was performed with 14 centers of the German Gynaecological Oncology Working Group, in cooperation with three clinical sites in Europe. The primary efficacy endpoint was a response rate with a success criterion at the end of Stage II defined as five or more patients with partial or complete tumor responses according to Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors ("RECIST") and/or Gynaecologic Cancer Intergroup ("GCIG") guidelines. Secondary endpoints included time to progression ("TTP"), survival, toxicity, as well as adverse effects. In October 2008, we announced that we had entered the second stage of patient recruitment for the Phase 2 trial in platinum-resistant ovarian cancer indication. This decision was taken following the report of two partial responses ("PR") among patients with ovarian cancer. The second stage of patient recruitment for the endometrial cancer indication was reached in November 2008 and was based on the report of one complete response ("CR") and two PR among 14 patients with endometrial cancer.

On November 2, 2009, we announced positive preliminary efficacy data for the Phase 2 study in patients with LHRH-receptor positive platinum-resistant and taxane-pretreated ovarian cancer. All 43 patients who had entered the study had completed their treatment, and a preliminary evaluation had shown that the study had met its predefined primary efficacy endpoint of five or more responders in 41 evaluable patients. Responders, as well as patients with stable disease after completion of treatment with zoptarelin doxorubicin, were to be followed to assess the duration of response and, ultimately, overall survival ("OS").

On November 24, 2009, we announced positive results for the Phase 2 study in patients with endometrial cancer. Preliminary evaluation showed that the study met its predefined primary efficacy endpoint of five or more responders in endometrial cancer patients. Responders, as well as patients with stable disease after completion of treatment with zoptarelin doxorubicin, were to be followed to assess the duration of progression free survival ("PFS") and, ultimately, OS.

On May 6, 2010, we announced that we had received orphan drug designation from the FDA for zoptarelin doxorubicin for the treatment of ovarian cancer.

On May 17, 2010, we announced that we had received a positive opinion for orphan medicinal product designation from the COMP of the EMA for zoptarelin doxorubicin for the treatment of ovarian cancer.

On June 7, 2010, Prof. Günter Emons, Chairman, Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology Georg-August University Göttingen, Germany, presented positive efficacy and safety data for zoptarelin doxorubicin in ovarian cancer at the American Society of Clinical Oncology's ("ASCO") Annual Meeting. The poster (abstract #5035), was entitled "Phase 2 study of AEZS-108, a targeted cytotoxic LHRH analog, in patients with LHRH receptor-positive platinum resistant ovarian cancer".

42 patients with platinum-resistant ovarian cancer entered the study. Efficacy included PR in five patients (11.9%) and stable disease for more than twelve weeks in eleven patients (26.2%). Based on those data, a clinical benefit rate ("CBR") of 38% was estimated. Median TTP and OS were evaluated as 3.5 months (104 days) and 15.6 months (475 days), respectively. OS compared favourably with data from Doxil® and topotecan (8-9 months). In all, tolerability of zoptarelin doxorubicin was good and commonly allowed retreatment as scheduled. Only one patient (2.4%) had a dose reduction, and overall, 25 of 170 (14.7%) courses were given with a delay, including cases in which delay was not related to toxicity. Severe (Grade 3 or 4) toxicity was mainly restricted to rapidly reversible hematologic toxicity (leukopenia / neutropenia) associated with fever in three cases. Good tolerability of zoptarelin doxorubicin was also reflected with only a few patients with non-hematological toxicities of Grade 3 (none with Grade 4), including single cases each of nausea, constipation, poor general condition, and an enzyme elevation. No cardiac toxicity was reported. Final evaluation of the ovarian cancer study revealed six patients with PR based on tumor lesions, plus two responders with tumor marker response including one case with normalization, for an overall response rate of 19% (one unconfirmed CR and seven partial responses). Median TTP and OS were evaluated as three and twelve months, respectively.

On September 14, 2011, positive final Phase 2 efficacy and safety data for zoptarelin doxorubicin in advanced endometrial cancer were presented at the European Society of Gynecological Oncology in Milan, Italy. The data showed that zoptarelin doxorubicin, administered as a single agent at a dosage of 267 mg/m² every three weeks was active, well tolerated and that OS was similar to that reported for modern triple combination chemotherapy, but was achieved with lower toxicity. The primary endpoint was the response rate as defined by the RECIST. Secondary endpoints included safety, TTP and OS.

In all, of 43 patients treated with zoptarelin doxorubicin, 39 were evaluable for efficacy. Efficacy confirmed by independent response review included two CR, ten PR, and 17 patients with stable disease ("SD"). Based on those data, the estimated overall response rate ("ORR") (ORR = CR+PR) was 30.8% and the CBR (CBR = CR+PR+SD) was 74.4%. Responses in patients previously treated with chemotherapy included one CR, one PR and two SDs in eight of the patients with prior use of platinum/taxane regimens. Median TTP and OS were seven months and 13.7 months, respectively. A final evaluation, not excluding non-evaluable cases, revealed the following results: two CR, eleven PR (including three patients with PR not confirmed at subsequent time point), and 17 patients with SD, for an ORR of 30.2% and CBR of 70%; median TTP and OS at seven and 15 months, respectively.

Overall, tolerability of zoptarelin doxorubicin was good and commonly allowed retreatment as scheduled. Severe (Grade 3 or 4) toxicity was mainly restricted to rapidly reversible leukopenia and neutropenia, associated with fever in only one patient who had been treated only three weeks after a surgery. Good tolerability of zoptarelin doxorubicin was also reflected by a low rate of severe non-hematological and possibly drug-related adverse events which included single cases each of nausea, diarrhea, fatigue, general health deterioration, creatinine elevation, and blood potassium decrease. No cardiac toxicity was reported.

On December 28, 2012, we announced that we had reached an agreement with the FDA with respect to a SPA for the ZoptEC Phase 3 registration trial of zoptarelin doxorubicin in endometrial cancer. The SPA agreement states that the proposed trial protocol design, clinical endpoints and planned analyses are acceptable to the FDA to support a regulatory submission. Final marketing approval depends on the results of efficacy, the adverse event profile and an evaluation of the benefit/risk of treatment demonstrated in the ZoptEC Phase 3 trial. This Phase 3 ZoptEC trial in women with locally advanced, recurrent or metastatic endometrial cancer who have progressed and who have received

one chemotherapeutic regimen with platinum and taxane (either as adjuvant first-line treatment), is an open-label, randomized, multicenter trial conducted in North America, Europe and Israel. The trial compares zoptarelin doxorubicin with doxorubicin as second line therapy and will involve approximately 500 patients. The primary efficacy endpoint of the ZoptEC trial is improvement in median Overall Survival.

On April 10, 2013, we announced the signing of a co-development and profit-sharing agreement with Ergomed for zoptarelin doxorubicin in endometrial cancer. Ergomed was selected as the contract clinical development organization to conduct the ZoptEC Phase 3 trial. Under the terms of the agreement, Ergomed has agreed to assume 30% (up to \$10 million) of the clinical and regulatory costs for the trial which are estimated at approximately \$30 million over the course of the study. Ergomed will

receive its return on investment based on an agreed single digit percentage of any net income received by Aeterna Zentaris for zoptarelin doxorubicin in this indication, up to a specified maximum amount.

On July 31, 2013, we announced that the first patient had been recruited and dosed for the ZoptEC Phase 3 trial in endometrial cancer.

On February 4, 2014, we announced that an article on the Phase 2 results for zoptarelin doxorubicin in endometrial cancer had been published in the February issue of the International journal of Gynecological Cancer. The results published in this article refer to the final evaluation of the Phase 2 trial in endometrial cancer described above. Competitors for zoptarelin doxorubicin in Endometrial Cancer

At present, the Company is not aware of any approved drug product for the treatment of advanced and recurrent metastatic endometrial cancer in either the United States or Europe. There is also no systemic therapy approved in either the United States or Europe (except Germany) for treating advanced or recurrent endometrial cancer.

The following products are among some of the many products currently in clinical trial in endometrial cancer:

Product / mode of action*	Company*	Development Status*
Ixabepilone / microtubule inhibitor	Bristol-Myers Squibb	Phase 3
Letrozole / non-steroidal aromatase inhibitor	Novartis	Phase 2 and Phase 3
SAR245408 (XL-147)/PI3K inhibitor	Sanofi	Phase 2
BKM120/PI3K inhibitor	Novartis	Phase 1/2
TK1258/FGFR inhibitor	Novartis	Phase 1/2
GDC/0980 PI3K/mTOR inhibitor	Genentech	Phase 2
Lenvatinib (E7080)/ Multi-kinase inhibitor	Eisai	Phase 2
Sunitinib malate/Tyrosine kinase inhibitor	NCI	Phase 2

^{*}Source: Competitor company's website and www.clinicaltrials.gov.

See also the risk factor entitled "Competition in our targeted markets is intense, and development by other companies could render our products or technologies non-competitive" in Item 3D of this annual report on Form 20-F. Market Data - Endometrial Cancer

According to the American Cancer Society, endometrial cancer is the most common invasive gynecologic cancer in women in the United States, with an estimated 52,630 new cases expected to occur in 2014. This disease primarily affects postmenopausal women at an average age of 60 years at diagnosis. In the United States, it is estimated that approximately 8,590 women will die of endometrial cancer in 2014.

According to Datamonitor Healthcare (March 2010), a research and advisory firm that focuses on therapeutic, strategic and health market analysis and competitive intelligence, the incidence of endometrial cancer in the seven major pharmaceutical markets was 94,061 patients in 2010 and is forecasted to reach approximately 98,500 cases by 2019.

1.1.2 Zoptarelin doxorubicin – Triple-Negative Breast Cancer

On October 25, 2011, we announced that the FDA had granted Alberto J. Montero M.D. of the Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center, an IND approval for the initiation of a randomized Phase 2 trial in chemotherapy refractory triple-negative (ER/PR/HER2-negative) LHRH receptor-positive metastatic breast cancer with zoptarelin doxorubicin. Subsequently, the study was converted into a Company-sponsored study and is now conducted under our IND.

On February 20 2013, we announced that a first patient had been treated for the randomized Phase 2 trial in chemotherapy refractory triple-negative ("ER/PR/HER2-negative") luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone receptor ("LHRH-R")-positive metastatic breast cancer, with zoptarelin doxorubicin. Alberto J. Montero, MD, Assistant Professor, Department of Medicine, Division of Hematology/Oncology, Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center at the University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, is the lead investigator of this trial which also include sites at the

Universities of Regensburg and Goettingen, in Germany.

This is an open-label, randomized, two-arm, multicenter Phase 2 study which will involve up to 74 patients. Patients will be randomized in a 1:1 ratio into one of the two treatment arms: [Arm A] zoptarelin doxorubicin (267 mg/m² every 21 days) or [Arm B] SSC standard single agent cytotoxic chemotherapy at the discretion of the treating oncologist.

The primary study endpoint is median time of progression-free survival. Secondary endpoints include overall response rate, and overall survival. The study will also evaluate zoptarelin doxorubicin's toxicity profile and patients' quality of life relative to conventional cytotoxic chemotherapy.

On June 3, 2013, Stefan Buchholz, MD. at the Medical Center University of Regensburg, Germany, presented at the ASCO Annual Meeting the study design of the Phase 2 trial of zoptarelin doxorubicin in chemotherapy refractory triple negative LHRH-R positive metastatic breast cancer. The poster (abstract #TPS11124) was entitled "A randomized, Phase 2 trial of AEZS-108 in chemotherapy refractory triple negative (ER/PR/HER2-negative) LHRH-R positive metastatic breast cancer".

As part of our ongoing review to ensure optimization of our resources, we have decided to terminate this Phase 2 trial in triple-negative breast cancer.

1.1.3 Zoptarelin doxorubicin – Bladder Cancer

On May 12, 2010, we announced that the FDA had approved our IND application for zoptarelin doxorubicin in LHRH receptor-positive urothelial (bladder) cancer. Following this approval from the FDA, this trial will be conducted by Dr. Gustavo Fernandez at the Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center at the University of Miami's Miller School of Medicine, and will include up to 64 patients, male and female, with advanced LHRH receptor-positive urothelial (bladder) cancer. The study will be conducted in two parts: first, a dose-finding part in up to twelve patients; subsequently, the selected dose will be studied for its effect on PFS.

On December 14, 2010, we announced the initiation of the Phase 1/2 trial.

On July 26, 2012, we announced that preclinical data on zoptarelin doxorubicin in urinary bladder cancer were published in the online edition of Oncotarget. The article underlined that zoptarelin doxorubicin powerfully inhibited growth of bladder cancers in nude mice, exerted greater effects and was less toxic than doxorubicin ("DOX"). In contrast to DOX alone, which activated strong multidrug resistance mechanisms in RT-4 and HT-1197 cancers, zoptarelin doxorubicin had no or fewer such effects. Polymerase Chain Reaction ("PCR") assays and in vitro studies revealed differences in the action of zoptarelin doxorubicin and DOX on the expression of genes involved in apontosis.

As part of our ongoing review to ensure optimization of our resources, we have decided to terminate this Phase 1/2 trial in bladder cancer.

1.1.4Zoptarelin doxorubicin – Prostate Cancer

On August 5, 2010, we announced that the The National Institutes of Health ("NIH") had awarded Dr. Jacek Pinski, Associate Professor of Medicine at the Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center of the University of Southern California, a grant of \$1.6 million over three years to conduct a Phase 1/2 study in refractory prostate cancer with zoptarelin doxorubicin. The study, entitled A Phase I/II Trial of AN-152 [AEZS-108] in Castration- and Taxane-Resistant Prostate Cancer, will enroll up to 55 patients and will be conducted in two portions: an abbreviated dose-escalation followed by a single arm, Simon Optimum two-stage design Phase 2 study using the dose selected in the Phase 1 portion. The primary objective of the Phase 2 portion is to evaluate the clinical benefit of zoptarelin doxorubicin in men with castration- and taxane-resistant metastatic prostate cancer, for which the presence of LHRH receptors has been confirmed.

On December 14, 2010, we announced the initiation of the investigator initiated Phase 1/2 trial.

On September 26, 2011, we announced positive interim data for the Phase 1 portion of the Phase 1/2 trial with zoptarelin doxorubicin in castration-and taxane-resistant prostate cancer at the European Society for Medical Oncology ("ESMO) meeting, Stockholm, Sweden. This is a single arm study with a Phase 1 lead-in to a Phase 2 clinical trial. The primary endpoint of the Phase 1 portion is safety. The primary objective of the Phase 2 portion is to evaluate the clinical benefit of zoptarelin doxorubicin for these patients. Twelve patients entered the study: three patients each received zoptarelin doxorubicin at the lower dose levels of 160 and 210 mg/m², and six patients at 267 mg/m². Data on ten patients were presented as two patients were too early for evaluation. Zoptarelin doxorubicin was

generally well tolerated and there were no dose limiting toxicities so far. The only Grade 3 and 4 toxicities were hematologic in nature. At the time, there were three Grade 4 toxicities (two at 210 mg/m² and one at 267 mg/m²), all of which were asymptomatic. There were six Grade 3 toxicities including two cases of Grade 3 anemia after repeated courses (cycles five and six) and one case of febrile neutropenia that occurred during cycle one. Signs of therapeutic activity included five patients with Prostate Specific Antigen ("PSA") regression. One of these patients treated at the lowest dose level, received eight treatment cycles because the patient demonstrated continued clinical benefit. Three out of

four evaluable patients with radiologic evaluable disease achieved stable disease per RECIST. The Phase 2 extension is planned after completion of the toxicity assessment in the final dose level of the Phase 1 portion of the study. In correlative studies, drug uptake was demonstrated for the first time in captured circulating tumor cells of patients, thus validating the principle of targeted tumor therapy with zoptarelin doxorubicin in a clinical setting.

On February 3, 2012, we reported updated results for the Phase 1 portion of the ongoing Phase 1/2 study of zoptarelin doxorubicin in prostate cancer.

The results were based on 13 patients who had been previously treated with androgen-deprivation therapy (LHRH agonist) and at least one taxane-based chemotheraphy regimen, who were treated on three dose levels of zoptarelin doxorubicin: three at 160 mg/m², three at 210 mg/m², and seven at 267 mg/m². Overall, zoptarelin doxorubicin was well tolerated among this group of heavily pretreated older patients. There were two dose-limiting toxicities, each of which having been a case of asymptomatic Grade 4 neutropenia at the 267 mg/m² dose level and both patients fully recovered. The Grade 3 and 4 toxicities were primarily hematologic. There was minimal non-hematologic toxicity, most frequently fatigue and alopecia.

Despite the low doses of zoptarelin doxorubicin in the first cohorts, there was some evidence of antitumor activity. One patient received eight cycles (at 210 mg/m²) due to continued benefit. Among the five evaluable patients with measurable disease, four achieved stable disease. At the time of submission of the abstract, a decrease in PSA was noted in six patients. Six of 13 (46%) treated patients received at least five cycles of therapy with no evidence of disease progression at twelve weeks. Correlative studies on circulating tumor cells ("CTC") demonstrated the uptake of zoptarelin doxorubicin into the targeted tumor.

On November 12, 2012, we announced the initiation of the Phase 2 portion of the ongoing Phase 1/2 study of zoptarelin doxorubicin in prostate cancer. The primary endpoint of the Phase 2 portion is to evaluate the clinical benefit of zoptarelin doxorubicin for these patients. Secondary endpoints include toxicity, time to RECIST and PSA progression, RECIST response rate for patients with measurable disease, PSA response rate, pain palliation and overall survival.

On June 3, 2013, we announced that final data for the Phase1 portion of the ongoing Phase 1/2 trial with zoptarelin doxorubicin in prostate cancer, demonstrated the compound's promising anti-tumor activity. Results were presented by lead investigator, Jacek Pinski, MD, PhD, of the USC Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center, during a poster session at the ASCO Annual Meeting in Chicago.

Eighteen men with a median of two prior chemotherapy regimens (range 1/5) and a median PSA of 106.4 ng/mL (range 8.4-1624.0) were enrolled. The dose of zoptarelin doxorubicin was escalated from 160 mg/m² to 210 mg/m² then to 267 mg/m². There were two Dose-Limiting Toxicities ("DLT") in the seven patients receiving zoptarelin doxorubicin at a dose of 267 mg/m² (grade 4 neutropenia), establishing 210 mg/m² as the Maximum Tolerated Dose ("MTD"). Significant non-hematologic toxicities included one case of grade 3 nausea. No cardiotoxicity was seen on serial evaluation and six patients completed six cycles. Internalization of zoptarelin doxorubicin was consistently visualized in CTCs 1 to 3 hours after dosing. Maximal PSA response was stable or decreased in 8 of 18 men. Among the 15 evaluable patients with measurable disease, ten achieved stable disease and a drop in PSA was noted in three patients. The MTD of zoptarelin doxorubicin in this indication is 210 mg/m², which is below the MTD reported in women with refractory endometrial and ovarian cancer.

The Phase 2 portion of that Phase 1/2 trial is ongoing.

1.1.5 AEZS-137 (Disorazol Z) / AEZS-138 (LHRH-Disorazol Z)

In search of new antitumor agents, we found that disorazol Z (AEZS-137), isolated from the myxobacterium Sorangium cellulosum, possess cytotoxicity in the picomolar range in a panel of different tumor cell lines. Inhibition of tubulin polymerization, cell cycle arrest and efficient induction of apoptosis, have been identified as modes of action.

On March 24, 2011, we were awarded a \$1.5 million grant from the German Ministry of Education and Research to develop, up to the clinical stage, cytotoxic conjugates of the proprietary cytotoxic compound AEZS-137 and peptides targeting G-protein coupled receptors, including the LHRH receptors. The compounds being developed will combine the targeting principle successfully employed in Phase 3 with zoptarelin doxorubicin with the novel cytotoxic disorazol Z. Furthermore, diagnostic tools systematically assessing the receptor expression in tumor specimens will be

developed to allow the future selection of patients and tumor types with the highest chance of benefiting from this personalized medicine approach. The grant was payable as a partial reimbursement of qualifying expenditures over a three-year period, until January 31, 2014. The qualified project was performed with Morphisto GmbH and the Helmholtz Institute in Saarbrücken, Germany, which received additional funding of approximately US\$0.7 million. Researchers from the departments of Gynecology and Obstetrics at both the University of Göttingen and the University of Würzburg, Germany, were also part of the collaboration.

On November 16, 2011, we announced the presentation of a poster at the AACR-NCI-EORTC International Conference on Molecular Targets and Cancer Therapeutics on encouraging preclinical data for AEZS-137. The data showed that AEZS-137 possesses cytotoxicity in a highly diverse panel of 60 different tumor cell lines, and also underlined the identification of important aspects of this novel natural compound's mechanism of action. AEZS-137 has been identified as a tubulin binding agent with highly potent antitumor properties. Cell cycle analysis revealed that AEZS-137 arrested cells in the G2/M cell cycle phase and subsequently induced apoptosis with remarkable potency, as shown by sub-nanomolar EC50 values. Currently, experiments are under way to determine the tubulin binding site for disorazol Z and to identify further mechanisms of action of this novel highly potent agent. To expand our zoptarelin doxorubicin technology platform, we aim to evaluate the utility of disorazol Z as a cytotoxic component in a drug-targeting approach utilizing GPCR ligands as the targeting moieties for the treatment of GPCR over-expressing cancers.

On April 10, 2013, we announced at the American Association for Cancer Research's ("AACR") encouraging updated proof-of-concept results for Disorazol Z cytotoxic conjugates, such as AEZS-125 and AEZS-138, in human ovarian and endometrial cancer xenograft models. Data demonstrated that conjugates of D-Lys6-LHRH and Disorazol Z retained strong binding to the LHRH receptor and showed potent inhibition of tubulin polymerization. Cellular cytotoxicity of the conjugates was in the low nanomolar EC50 range. Increased cytotoxicity in cells over-expressing the LHRH receptor, support receptor targeting as a mechanism of action. The LHRH receptor-dependent efficacies of Disorazol Z - D-Lys6-LHRH conjugates in vitro and in mouse xenograft models that were presented, support the principle of tumor targeting by the LHRH receptor as already employed by the drug candidate zoptarelin doxorubicin, which is currently in a ZoptEC Phase 3 study in endometrial cancer and in a Phase 2 study in prostate cancer. On February 11, 2014, at the 11th International Symposium on GnRH, in Salzburg, Austria, we presented further data on the mechanism of action and proof of concept of the disorazol Z cytotoxic conjugate, AEZS-138, which had led to the initiation of its preclinical development during the second quarter of 2013.

As part of our ongoing review to ensure the optimization of our resources, we are currently evaluating our options for this project.

1.2 TUBULIN INHIBITORS / VASCULAR TARGETING AGENTS

1.2.1 AEZS-112

Tubulin is a protein found in all cells that plays an important role during cell division in that, it helps to transmit genetic information to the daughter cells. Inhibition of this process leads to the death of the affected cell. The antitumor agents taxol and vincristine, which are widely used in cancer therapy, are based on this principle. Both compounds are expensive natural substances and cause severe side effects when used in humans.

We are currently identifying and developing novel tubulin inhibitors which, compared with currently used products, exhibit improved efficacy in animal models, have a more acceptable side effect profile, an incomplete or no cross-resistance and are administered orally.

AEZS-112 is a drug development candidate with a favorable safety and tolerability profile showing excellent in vivo activity in various tumor models including mammary, colon, melanoma and leukemia cancers at acceptable and very well tolerated doses administered orally once weekly. This compound acts through three mechanisms of action. Strong anticancer activity is combined with proapoptotic and antiangiogenic properties. AEZS 112 inhibits the polymerization of tubulin, destroys the mitotic spindle of the cancer cells and inhibits topoisomerase II activity. AEZS-112 arrests the cancer cells in the G2M cell cycle phase at a nanomolar concentration and induces apoptosis. AEZS-112 is not cross-resistant to cisplatin, vincristine and doxorubicin in cell lines resistant to these drugs.

On January 8, 2007, we announced the initiation of a Phase 1 trial for AEZS-112 in patients with solid tumors and lymphoma. This open-label, dose-escalation, multicenter, intermittent treatment Phase 1 trial was conducted in the United States with Daniel D. Von Hoff, M.D., Senior Investigator at the Translational Genomics Research Institute in Phoenix, AZ, as the lead investigator. The trial included up to 50 patients with advanced solid tumors and lymphoma who have either failed standard therapy or for whom no standard therapy exists. Patients received a once-a-week oral administration of AEZS-112 for three consecutive weeks, followed by a one-week period without treatment. The cycles were repeated every four weeks based on tolerability and response, basically planned for up to four cycles, but allowing for continuation in case of potential benefit for the patient. The starting dose of AEZS-112 in this study was

13 mg/week, with doubling of doses in subsequent cohorts in the absence of significant toxicity. The primary endpoint of the Phase 1 trial focused on determining the safety and tolerability of AEZS-112 as well as establishing the recommended Phase 2 dose and regimen. Secondary endpoints were aimed at establishing the pharmacokinetics and determining the efficacy based on standard response criteria.

Results of this Phase 1 study were presented in April 2009 at the AACR meeting. In part I, 22 patients (twelve men / ten women) were studied on seven dose levels ranging from 13 to 800 mg/week. In all, 62 treatment cycles were administered. In part II, the weekly dose was split into three doses taken eight hours apart. Ultimately, 22 patients (twelve men / ten women) were studied on five dose levels ranging from 120 to 600 (= 200 x 3) mg/week. As at April 1, 2009, 62 treatment cycles had been administered (mean 3.2/patient) and treatment had been ongoing in eight patients. SD for more than twelve weeks was observed in 16 patients; four more patients were ongoing at less than twelve weeks. Prolonged courses of SD ranging from 20 to 35+ weeks were observed in nine patients with the following primary cancer types: trachea (39+), tongue (30+), thyroid (29+), prostate and melanoma (28), non-small cell lung cancer (26+), pancreas and 2x colorectal (20). Except for one patient with a background of gastrointestinal problems ("GI") who had dose-limiting GI reactions and electrolyte loss at a dose of 200 x 3 mg/week, no clinically relevant drug-related adverse events or changes in laboratory parameters were observed. AEZS-112 was shown to be metabolically stable in human plasma. As predicted by pharmacokinetic modelling based on data from part I of the study, the split-dose scheme led to a higher Cmax and trough values after administration of comparable doses. Those preliminary results showed that a maximum tolerated dose for weekly dosing has not been defined so far. However, prolonged courses of stable disease in both parts of the study were an encouraging observation.

Completion of this Phase 1 trial was announced on September 21, 2009. Stable disease with time to failure ranging from 20 to 60+ weeks was achieved in twelve patients with various cancer types, including melanoma and cancers of the colon/rectum, lung, pancreas, prostate, tongue, trachea and thyroid. In several of these patients, the duration of stabilization exceeded the duration of disease control on previous treatment regimens. Except for a dose-limiting gastrointestinal reaction in a patient with pre-existing GI problems, no clinically relevant drug-related adverse events or changes in laboratory safety parameters were observed.

In 2011, we developed a higher concentration oral formulation of AEZS-112 in order to improve patient compliance. As part of our ongoing review to ensure the optimization of our resources and as the compound did not reach the expected outcome in terms of formulation, we are currently in the process of evaluating our options for this compound.

1.3 IMMUNOTHERAPY / VACCINES

1.3.1 AEZS-120

AEZS-120 is a preclinical tumor vaccine. The general principle of active tumor vaccines is the induction of a cellular and/or humoral immune response which is capable of attacking the tumor. AEZS-120 is a live recombinant oral tumor vaccine candidate based on Salmonella typhi Ty21a as a carrier strain. Salmonella typhi Ty21a is an approved oral typhoid vaccine which has been safely applied in more than 250 million doses. The molecular basis of AEZS-120 is the recombinant expression of the fusion protein between cholera toxin B (CtxB) and prostate specific antigen ("PSA"), and the recombinant expression of two components of the hemolysin secretion system (HlyB and HlyD) as well as the signal component HlyA which allow the secretion of the fusion protein by the attenuated approved carrier strain S. typhi Ty21a.

The relevant features with respect to activity as a tumor vaccine can be divided into two parts: A) adjuvant elements for optimal induction of innate and adaptive immunity; and B) the tumor antigen itself.

In the case of AEZS-120, the tumor antigen is PSA which is expressed in the majority of prostate cancer cases and is employed as a tumor antigen in several prostate cancer vaccines in development. Therefore, PSA can be considered as a valid antigen for prostate cancer vaccines.

The adjuvant activity is provided by two elements: the live bacterial carrier itself and the fusion to CtxB.

An important property of AEZS-120 is the oral application mode, which is based on the carrier S. typhi Ty21a. This strain is approved as a vaccine against typhoid fever and has preserved some features of virulent S. typhi strains which are relevant for the use of S. typhi Ty21a as a vaccine carrier. Virulent S. typhi is a pathogen which leads to systemic infection after oral uptake. Several virulence factors allow the survival within the gastro-intestinal tract and the crossing of the intestinal barrier. These features are, at least in part, also intact in the attenuated live vaccine S. typhi Ty21a allowing oral application with retained immunogenicity.

However, in particular, the cellular immune response against recombinantly expressed antigens, which is important for anti-tumor immunity, has been described as being suboptimal if the antigen is expressed within the carrier cell. A substantial enhancement can be achieved via secretion of the recombinant antigen. In gram negative bacteria, like Salmonellae, protein secretion requires the activity of protein secretion machineries. Several types of secretion systems with different levels of complexity have been described. The principle of AEZS-120 is based on the recombinant expression of prostate-specific antigen fused to the B subunit of cholera toxin and a secretion signal in the presence of the Escherichia coli type I hemolysin secretion system. The proprietary system allows the secretion of the antigen together with an immunological adjuvant which has been demonstrated to be required for optimal induction of CD8 T-cell responses by recombinant Salmonella based bacterial vaccines. The proof-of-concept was already demonstrated for the mouse homologue of AEZS-120 in a mouse tumor challenge model and is supported by several patent applications filed in 2007 and 2009.

In 2007, AEZS-120 was selected by the Company as its first preclinical development candidate of an antitumor vaccine.

On July 20, 2011, we reached a key milestone in this non-clinical development program of AEZS-120, which encompassed the full development of a GMP process, including GMP production and quality testing of a clinical batch, as well as a non-clinical safety and toxicology package. AEZS-120 has been developed through a research collaboration with the Department of Medical Radiation Biology and Cell Research, and the Department of Microbiology of the University of Würzburg, Germany. The collaboration was funded with a total of \$890,000 for us and \$870,000 for the university partner by the German Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) for a period of three years. In accordance with this grant, 50% of our preclinical development costs and 100% of those of our university partner were reimbursed by the German Ministry of Science and Education. In addition, as part of the collaboration, a melanoma vaccine based on the recombinant expression of a modified B-Raf protein has been generated.

On October 2, 2012, we announced the presentation of a poster on AEZS-120 during the 32nd Congress of the Société Internationale d'Urologie in Fukuoka, Japan. The poster, entitled "Preclinical Proof of Concept and Characterization of AEZS-120, a Therapeutic Oral Prostate Cancer Vaccine Candidate Based on Live Recombinant Attenuated Salmonella", underlined the feasibility of an oral therapeutic vaccination approach against prostate cancer. The production, release, pharmacology, safety and toxicology program was conducted in agreement with the regulatory authorities and successfully finalized. The conclusions were:

The proof-of-concept has been shown in a tumor-challenge mouse model using the anticipated clinical application schedule.

Biosafety and biodistribution studies did not reveal a different safety profile compared to the carrier strain.

Pharmacological and toxicological studies did not reveal differences to the approved carrier strain.

In all, the non-clinical studies suggest that the safety and toxicological profile of AEZS-120 is similar to the approved carrier strain S. typhi Ty21a, which has already been safely administered in more than 250 million doses.

GMP material for clinical use has been produced and released, and we have approval from the Danish regulatory authorities as well as the ethics committee for the initiation of a proof-of-concept Phase 1 trial in prostate cancer. However, as part of our ongoing review to ensure the optimization of our resources, we are currently evaluating our options for this project.

1.4 SIGNAL TRANSDUCTION INHIBITORS

1.4.1 Erk/PI3K inhibitors and dual kinase inhibitors

The Ras/Raf/Mek/Erk and the PI3K/Akt signaling pathways are prime targets for drug discovery in proliferative diseases such as cancer. The results of research to date indicate that both the MAPK and the PI3K signaling pathways

represent therapeutic intervention points for the clinical treatment of malignant tumors.

Our multi-parameter optimization program for kinase inhibitor selectivity, cellular efficacy, physicochemical and in vitro ADMET properties has led to the identification of small molecular compounds with a unique kinase selectivity profile. Our kinase research program comprises the investigation of different compounds for single Erk inhibition, single PI3K inhibition and dual Erk/PI3K kinase inhibition.

1.4.1.1 AEZS-129

On November 17, 2010, we presented a poster on encouraging preclinical results for AEZS-129, a novel orally active compound with antitumor effects, at the 22nd EORTC-NCI-AACR Symposium on Molecular Targets and Cancer Therapeutics in Berlin, Germany. AEZS-129 has been identified as a highly potent and selective pan-PI3K inhibitor. The compound inhibits the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway both in vitro and in vivo and leads to growth inhibition of tumor cells. The compound was well tolerated during the four-week treatment period and showed substantial tumor growth inhibition in different mouse xenograft tumor models.

On March 22, 2011, we presented preclinical results for AEZS-129 at the Informa Life Sciences Protein Kinases Congress in Berlin, Germany. AEZS-129 was identified as a potent inhibitor of class I PI3Ks lacking activity against mTOR. Lack of mTOR activity is considered to potentially lead to a better safety profile. In biochemical and cellular assays, AEZS-129 demonstrated favorable properties in early in vitro ADMET screening, including microsomal stability, plasma stability and screening against a safety profile composed of receptors, enzymes and cardiac ion-channels. In vitro, the compound was shown to be a selective ATP-competitive inhibitor of PI3K with a broad antiproliferative activity against a broad panel of tumor cell lines. In vivo, AEZS-129 showed excellent plasma exposure and significant tumor growth inhibition in several tumor xenografts models, including A-549 (lung), HCT-116 (colon) and Hec1B (endometrium). These data suggest that AEZS-129 is a promising compound for clinical intervention of the PI3K/Akt pathway in human tumors.

1.4.1.2 AEZS-136

On April 3, 2012, we announced that a poster on AEZS-136 showed the compound's unique inhibition and promising activity against PI3K and Erk signaling pathways, as well as being well tolerated. The poster, entitled "Dual inhibition of PI3K and Erk1/2 shows synergy and efficacy in human tumor cells, either by using drug combinations or novel dual PI3K/Erk inhibitors", was presented at the AACR Annual Meeting in Chicago.

The conclusions were as follows:

Effective dual targeting of Raf-Mek-Erk and PI3K-Akt pathway.

Unique inhibitor with excellent activity against PI3K and Erk.

Induction of cell cycle arrest in G1 phase and apoptosis.

Broad anti-proliferative activity in vitro.

Favorable in vitro ADMET and in vivo PK profile.

Well tolerated up to daily doses of 90mg/kg for 4 weeks.

In vivo antitumor efficacy after oral administration.

On August 13, 2012, we announced the presentation of a poster on AEZS-136 during the 244th National Meeting of the American Chemistry Society in Philadelphia. The data outlined the compound's unique inhibition and excellent preclinical activity against PI3K and Erk signaling pathways, as well as being well tolerated. AEZS-136 is an integral part of our kinase research program comprising the investigation of different compounds for single Erk inhibition, single PI3K inhibition and dual Erk/PI3K kinase inhibition. AEZS-136 selectively inhibits the kinase activity of Erk 1/2 and class 1 PI3Ks, enabling simultaneous inhibition of the Raf-Mek-Erk and the PI3K-Akt signaling cascades. AEZS-136 was discovered using our proprietary compound library and high throughput screening technology. As part of our ongoing review to ensure the optimization of our resources, we are currently evaluating the next steps for our Erk/PI3K inhibitors program.

1.4.2 Perifosine

On March 11, 2013, we announced that the Phase 3 trial in multiple myeloma was discontinued after an interim analysis by an independent Data Safety Monitoring Board reported that it was highly unlikely the study would achieve a significant difference in its primary endpoint of progression-free survival. We therefore decided not to make any further investment in the development of perifosine.

Perifosine remains partnered with Yakult in Japan, Handok in Korea and Hikma in the MENA region for various cancer indications.

In addition, perifosine remains the object of certain investigator-initiated studies in different indications such as neuroblastoma, glioma, pediatric solid tumors and other indications.

2.0ENDOCRINOLOGY

2.1 MACIMORELIN

Macimorelin, a ghrelin agonist, is a novel orally active small molecule that stimulates the secretion of growth hormone by binding to the ghrelin receptor (GHSR-1a). It has potential uses in both endocrinology and in oncology indications.

In endocrinology, the FDA has accepted for substantive review our NDA for MACRILENTM for the evaluation of AGHD. MACRILENTM is a peptidomimetic ghrelin receptor agonist with growth hormone secretagogue activity. If approved, MACRILENTM will be the first orally administrated drug indicated for the evaluation of AHGD by evaluating the pituitary gland secretion of growth hormone in response to an oral dose of the product. MACRILENTM has been granted orphan-drug designation by the FDA for use in evaluating growth hormone deficiency ("GHD"). We own the worldwide rights to MACRILENTM.

In oncology, an IND has been granted for a Phase 2A trial with macimorelin in cancer-induced cachexia, a disease which leads to significant weight loss and diminished functional performance. Since ghrelin agonists such as macimorelin have been shown to stimulate food intake and increase body weight in rats and mice, macimorelin could lead to better quality of life for patients with cancer-induced cachexia. Ghrelin agonists have been in clinical trials for over a decade and have generally demonstrated good safety and efficacy profiles.

2.1.1 MACRILENTM (macimorelin) – Use for evaluation of AGHD

On October 19, 2009, we announced that we had initiated activities intended to complete the clinical development of MACRILENTM for use in evaluating growth hormone deficiency. We had already assumed the sponsorship of the IND and discussed with the FDA the best way to complete the ongoing Phase 3 clinical trial and subsequently file an NDA for approval of MACRILENTM for use in evaluating AGHD.

The pivotal Phase 3 trial was designed to investigate the safety and efficacy of the oral administration of MACRILENTM as a growth hormone stimulator for use in evaluating AGHD. It was accepted by the FDA that for the ongoing part of the study, MACRILENTM would not be tested against a comparator drug, as Gerefinad been removed from the market. On June 21, 2010, we presented positive data at the 92nd ENDO Meeting on MACRILENTM for evaluation and therapeutic use. The preclinical data showed that MACRILENTM is a potent and safe oral synthetic GH-releasing compound with potential utility in evaluating growth hormone deficiencies.

On July 14, 2010, we announced the presentation of a poster on MACRILENTM, entitled Use of the Orally Active Ghrelin Mimetic AEZS-130 as a Simple Test for the Diagnosis of Growth Hormone (GH) Deficiency (GHD) in adults (AGHD). Merriam G.R., Yuen K., Bonert V., Dobs A, Garcia J., Kipnes M., Molitch M., Swerdloff R., Wang C., Cook D., Altemose I. and Biller B. This poster was presented at the Seventh International Congress of Neuroendocrinology, in Rouen, France.

On October 5, 2010, at the Fifth International Congress of the Growth Hormone Research Society and the Insulin-like Growth Factors Society, we announced that, after the interim Phase 3 analysis, MACRILENTM demonstrated the potential to provide a simple, well tolerated and safe oral product for use in evaluating AGHD.

On December 20, 2010, we announced we had reached agreement with the FDA on a SPA for MACRILENTM, enabling the Company to complete the ongoing registration study required to gain approval for use in evaluating AGHD. The first part of the study, conducted by our former partner, Ardana, was a two-way cross-over study and included 42 patients with confirmed AGHD or multiple pituitary hormone deficiencies and a low insulin-like growth factor-I. A control group of 10 subjects without AGHD were matched to patients for age, gender, body mass index and (for females) estrogen status.

On July 26, 2011, we announced the completion of the Phase 3 study of MACRILENTM as a first oral product for use in evaluating AGHD and the decision to meet with the FDA for the future filing of an NDA for the registration of MACRILENTM in the United States.

On August 30, 2011, we announced favorable top-line results of our completed Phase 3 study with MACRILENTM as a first oral product for use in evaluating AGHD. The results showed that MACRILENTM had reached its primary endpoint demonstrating >90% area-under-the-curve ("AUC") of the Receiver Operating Characteristic ("ROC") curve, which determines the level of specificity and sensitivity of the product. Importantly, the primary efficacy parameters show that the study achieved both specificity and sensitivity at a level of 90% or greater. In addition, eight of the ten newly

enrolled AGHD patients were correctly classified by a pre-specified peak GH threshold level. The use of MACRILENTM was shown to be safe and well tolerated overall throughout the completion of this trial.

On June 26, 2012, we announced that the final results from a Phase 3 trial for MACRILENTM showed that the drug is safe and effective in evaluating AGHD. Jose M. Garcia, MD, PhD, of the Baylor College of Medicine and the Michael E. DeBakey VA Medical Center, disclosed these data during an oral presentation at the 94th ENDO Annual Meeting and Expo in Houston. The study had originally been designed as a cross-over trial of MACRILENTM vs. growth hormone-releasing hormone (GHRH) + L-Arginine (ARG) in AGHD patients and in controls matched for body mass index ("BMI"), estrogen status, gender and age. After 43 AGHD patients and ten controls had been tested, GHRH became unavailable. The study was completed by testing ten more AGHD patients and 38 controls with MACRILENTM alone. Of the 53 AGHD subjects enrolled, 52 received MACRILENTM, and 50 who had confirmed AGHD prior to study entry were included in this analysis, along with 48 controls. Two AGHD subjects could not be matched due to the combination of young age, high BMI and estrogen use. The objective of this clinical trial was to determine the efficacy and safety of MACRILENTM in the evaluating of AGHD. Mean peak growth hormone ("GH") levels in AGHD patients and controls following MACRILENTM administration were 2.36ng/mL (range 0.03-33) and 17.71ng/mL (range 10.5-94), respectively. The ROC plot analysis yielded an optimal GH cut-point of 2.7ng/mL, with 82% sensitivity, 92% specificity and a 13% misclassification rate. Obesity (BMI>30) was present in 58% of cases and controls, and peak GH levels were inversely associated with BMI in controls. Adverse events ("AE") were seen in 37% of AGHD patients and in 21% of controls following MACRILENTM. In contrast, 61% of AGHD subjects and 30% of controls experienced AEs with L ARG+GHRH. The most common AEs after MACRILENTM were unpleasant taste (19.2%) and diarrhea (3.8%) for the AGHD patients and unpleasant taste (4.2%) and diarrhea (4.2%) for the matched controls. No clinically meaningful changes from baseline in ECG results during the study for AGHD patients; however, one control subject had an ECG change (T wave abnormality and OTc interval prolongation) one hour after treatment with MACRILENTM that was considered a serious treatment-related adverse event and resolved spontaneously within 24 hours. The subject had been pre-treated with citalogram, a drug that was later reported by the FDA to be associated with OT prolongation, although the patient had stopped this medication seven days prior to dosing. Overall, this study demonstrated that MACRILENTM is safe and effective for use in evaluating AGHD.

On August 7, 2012, the United States Patent and Trademark Office granted us a patent for the use of MACRILENTM as a product to be used in evaluating AGHD. Filed on February 19, 2007, the patent (US 8,192,719 B2), entitled "Methods and Kits to Diagnose Growth Hormone Deficiency by Oral Administration of EP1572 or EP1573 Compounds", became effective as of June 5, 2012 and will expire on October 12, 2027. The corresponding composition of matter patent (US 6,861,409 B2), filed on June 13, 2001 and granted on March 1, 2005, will expire on August 1, 2022, with the possibility of a patent term extension of up to five years.

On September 25, 2012, the European Patent Office granted us a patent for the use of MACRILENTM related to methods and kits for use in relation to the evaluation of GHD in a human or animal subject. Filed on February 19, 2007, the patent, (EP #1 984 744 B1) entitled "Methods and Kits to Diagnose Growth Hormone Deficiency", was effective as of September 19, 2012 following its publication in the European Patent Bulletin, and it will expire on February 19, 2027. On September 26, 2012, we received notification from the FDA that Fast Track designation previously applied for had not been granted for MACRILENTM as a product for use in evaluating AGHD.

On October 18, 2012, we announced that results from a multicenter open-label Phase 3 trial for MACRILEN™ demonstrated that the drug is safe and effective in evaluating AGHD. George R. Merriam, MD, Director of the Clinical Study Unit at the Veterans Affairs Puget Sound Health Care System, and Professor of Medicine at the University of Washington, Seattle and Tacoma, WA, disclosed these data at the 6th International Congress of the GRS and IGF Society in Munich, Germany. His presentation confirmed data previously presented by Jose M. Garcia, MD, Ph.D., of the Baylor College of Medicine and the Michael E. DeBakey Veterans Affairs Medical Center, at the 94th ENDO Meeting in Houston, Texas in June 2012. Dr. Merriam's presentation drew attention to the effect of BMI on optimizing the cut-off values to improve the sensitivity and specificity of the test. Responses in normal subjects classified as obese, with BMI's above 30, were significantly lower than in leaner subjects. Since GH deficiency can lead to increased body fat, many of the patients also met criteria for obesity, and therefore, a lower peak GH cut-off is more accurate in separating obese normals from obese patients. Based upon these study results, a cut-off of 2.7 µg/L was optimal for subjects with a BMI≥30 and a cut-off of 6.8 µg/L for subjects with a BMI<30. Age had a weaker effect on test performance and gender made no difference. Thus GH stimulation with oral MACRILEN™ may provide a

simple, rapid, safe, and well-tolerated product used in evaluating AGHD, with accuracy comparable to that of the GHRH-ARG test.

On January 6, 2014, we announced that the FDA had accepted for substantive review our NDA for our orally available peptidomimetic ghrelin receptor agonist with growth hormone secretagogue activity, MACRILENTM, for the evaluation of AGHD. The acceptance for filing of the NDA indicates that the FDA has determined that the application is sufficiently complete to permit a substantive review. The NDA, submitted on November 5, 2013, seeks approval for the commercialization of MACRILENTM, which, if approved, will be the first orally administered drug indicated for the evaluation of AGHD by evaluating the pituitary gland secretion of growth hormone in response to an oral dose of the product. The application is subject

to a standard review by the FDA. MACRILENTM benefits from patent protection covering major markets; in particular, the product is protected in the U.S. at least until October 2027. Phase 3 data have demonstrated the compound to be well tolerated, with accuracy comparable to available intravenous and intramuscular testing procedures. Throughout the remainder of 2014, we expect to advance the pre-launch activities related to the initial commercialization of MACRILENTM in AGHD in the U.S. market. As noted above, our NDA is currently under substantive review by the FDA. Subject to the successful review and acceptance of our NDA, we expect to make MACRILENTM available by prescription in the U.S. as soon as commercially practicable following final regulatory approval.

We intend to build a commercial infrastructure necessary to access the physicians who perform the majority of AGHD tests (endocrinologists) along with the major centers of AGHD influence. Commercial initiatives are likely to include the targeted selection, hiring and deployment of a contracted sales force by the end of 2014. The targeted marketing efforts of our sales force will reach endocrinology specialists of AGHD. We believe these efforts will enable the realization of a substantial portion of the potential commercial opportunity for MACRILENTM.

Competitors for MACRILENTM in the evaluation of AGHD

Competitors for MACRILEN TM as a product for the evaluation of AGHD are principally the diagnostic tests currently performed by endocrinologists, although none of these tests are approved by the FDA for this purpose.

The most commonly used diagnostics tests for GHD are:

Measurement of blood levels of Insulin Growth Factor ("IGF")-1, which is typically used as the first test when GHD is suspected. However, this test is not used to definitively rule out GHD as many growth hormone deficient patients show normal IGF-1 levels;

Insulin Tolerance Test ("ITT"), which is considered to be the "gold standard" for GH secretion provocative tests but requires constant patient monitoring while the test is administered and is contra-indicated in patients with seizure disorders, with cardiovascular disease and in brain injured patients and elderly patients. ITT is administered i.v.; GHRH + Arginine test, which is an easier test to perform in an office setting and has a good safety profile but is considered to be costly to administer compared to ITT and Glucagon. This test is contra-indicated in patients with renal failure. GHRH + Arginine is approved in the EU and has been proposed to be the best alternative to ITT, but it is no longer available in the United States. This test is administered i.v.; and

Glucagon test, which is simple to perform and is considered relatively safe by endocrinologists but is contraindicated in malnourished patients and patients who have not eaten for more than 48 hours. Since there is a suspicion that this test may cause hypoglycemia, it may not be appropriate in diabetic populations. This test is administered i.m. Oral administration of MACRILENTM offers more convenience and simplicity over the current GHD tests used, requiring either i.v. or i.m. administration. Additionally, MACRILENTM may demonstrate a more favorable safety profile than existing diagnostic tests, some of which may be inappropriate for certain patient populations e.g. diabetes mellitus or renal failure, and have demonstrated a variety of side effects which MACRILENTM has not thus far. These factors may be limiting the use of GHD testing and may enable MACRILENTM to become the product of choice in evaluating AGHD.

Market Data - AGHD

There are approximately 36,000 AGHD tests performed annually in the U.S. Based on published information from the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, different scientific publications and by Navigant Research, we estimate that the total potential U.S. market for AGHD evaluation is approximately 158,000 tests per year, including the evaluation of patients who have suffered traumatic brain injury ("TBI"). In patients with TBI, a GHD is frequent and may contribute to cognitive sequel and reduction in quality of life. GHD develops in approximately 19% of both severe and moderate hospitalized TBI victims (scientific publications: Agha et al., British Journal of Neurosurgery, 2007, Fernandez-Rodrigues et al., Frontiers in Endocrinology, 2011 and Popovic et al., Frontiers of Hormone Research, Basel, Karger, 2005).

2.1.2 Macimorelin – Cancer Cachexia

On November 28, 2011, we announced that the FDA had granted Jose M. Garcia, M.D., Ph.D., Assistant Professor, Division of Diabetes Endocrinology and Metabolism, Departments of Medicine and Molecular and Cell Biology, Baylor College of Medicine and Michael E. DeBakey Veterans Affairs Medical Center, in Houston Texas, an IND

approval for the initiation of a Phase 2A trial to assess the safety and efficacy of repeated doses of macimorelin in patients with cancer cachexia. Cachexia,

which is characterized by diminished appetite and food intake in cancer patients, is defined as an involuntary weight loss of at least 5% of the pre-illness body weight over the previous 6 months.

On March 8, 2012, we announced that the Michael E. DeBakey Veterans Affairs Medical Center, in Houston, Texas, had initiated the Phase 2A trial assessing the safety and efficacy of repeated doses of macimorelin in patients with cancer cachexia. The study is conducted under a CRADA with the Michael E. DeBakey Veterans Affairs Medical Center, which is funding the study. This is a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled Phase 2A trial to test the effects of different doses of macimorelin in 18 to 26 patients with cancer cachexia. The study will involve three sequential groups receiving differing doses of macimorelin. Each dose group will have six patients who will receive macimorelin and two to four patients who will receive a placebo. The primary objective of the study is to evaluate the safety and efficacy of repeated oral administration of macimorelin at different doses daily for one week in view of developing a treatment for cachexia.

The study is ongoing with patient enrollment not yet completed.

2.2LHRH ANTAGONISTS

2.2.1 Cetrotide®

On October 1, 2013, we announced that we had completed the transactions contemplated by the transfer and service agreement and concurrent agreements with various partners and licensees with respect to the manufacturing rights for Cetrotide®, currently marketed by a subsidiary of Merck KGaA of Darmstadt, Germany ("Merck Serono") for therapeutic use as part of in vitro fertilization programs. The principal outcome of these agreements is the transfer of manufacturing rights and the grant of a license to Merck Serono for the manufacture, testing, assembling, packaging, storage and release of Cetrotide® in all territories in exchange for a non-refundable one-time payment of €2.5 million (approximately \$3.3 million). In addition, we also entered into a transitional services agreement with Merck KGaA under which the Company will, during a 36-month period, provide various transition services to assist Merck KGaA in assuming responsibility for the manufacturing of Cetrotide® in consideration for the payment of a monthly fee to the Company throughout such period.

2.2.2 Ozarelix

Ozarelix is a modified LHRH antagonist which is a linear decapeptide sequence. Ozarelix is a fourth-generation LHRH antagonist designed to extend the suppression of testosterone levels, which does not require a sophisticated depot formulation for long-lasting activity.

On August 12, 2004, we entered into a licensing and collaboration agreement with Spectrum for ozarelix and its potential to treat hormone-dependent cancers as well as benign proliferative disorders, such as BPH and endometriosis for all potential indications in North America (including Canada and Mexico) and India while keeping the rights for the rest of the world. In addition, Spectrum is entitled to receive 50% of upfront and milestone payments and royalties received from our Japanese partner, Nippon Kayaku, that are generated in the Japanese market for oncological indications. In November 2010, this agreement with Spectrum was amended. Under the terms of the amended agreement, Spectrum is entitled to use our patent rights and know-how to develop, use, make, have made, sell, offer for sale, have sold, import, export and commercialize ozarelix in all worldwide territories except Japan, Korea, Indonesia, Malaysia, the Philippines and Singapore. Under the terms of the amended agreement, Spectrum granted, as further consideration, 326,956 shares of its common stock, with an equivalent fair value at the time of approximately \$1,263,000, as an upfront nonrefundable license fee payment to us. Also per the amended agreement, we will be entitled to receive a total of approximately \$22,765,000 in cash payments, as well as approximately \$670,000 in Spectrum common stock, upon achieving certain regulatory milestones in various markets. Furthermore, we will be entitled to receive royalties (scale-up royalties from high single to low double-digit) on future net sales of ozarelix products in the named territories.

On August 3, 2006, we announced a licensing and collaboration agreement with Nippon Kayaku for ozarelix. Under the terms of the agreement, we granted Nippon Kayaku an exclusive license to develop and market ozarelix for all potential oncological indications in Japan. In return, we received an upfront payment upon signature and are eligible to receive payments upon achievement of certain development and regulatory milestones, in addition to low double-digit royalties on potential net sales. Spectrum is entitled to receive 50% of the upfront, milestone payments and royalties received from Nippon Kayaku.

During the third quarter of 2008, we entered into a commercialization agreement with Handok for ozarelix (BPH indication) for the Korean market.

2.2.2.1 Prostate Cancer Clinical Trials

In August 2006, we announced positive Phase 2 results for ozarelix in hormone-dependent inoperable prostate cancer. This open-label, randomized-controlled dose-finding trial enrolled 64 patients receiving different IM dosage regimens of ozarelix to assess its safety and efficacy. The study achieved its primary endpoint of defining a tolerable dosage regimen of ozarelix that would ensure continuous suppression of testosterone at castration level for a three-month test period. A secondary efficacy endpoint aimed at assessing tumor response as determined by a 50% or greater reduction of serum PSA level, compared to baseline, was also achieved. The best results regarding the primary endpoint of continuous suppression were obtained with a dose of 130 mg per cycle where all patients remained suppressed to castration until at least day 85. In patients with continuous testosterone suppression below castration level, tumor response as measured by PSA levels was 97%.

A Phase 2 trial for the treatment of prostate cancer is currently ongoing with our partner, Spectrum. This is an international, multicenter, open-label, randomized study assessing the safety and efficacy of a monthly dosing regimen of ozarelix versus goserelin depot in men with prostate cancer (source: www.clinicaltrials.gov).

RAW MATERIALS

Raw materials and supplies are generally available in quantities adequate to meet the needs of our business. We will be dependent on third-party manufacturers for the pharmaceutical products that we will market. An interruption in the availability of certain raw materials or ingredients, or significant increases in the prices paid by us for them, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, liquidity and operating results.

DISTRIBUTION

Regarding MACRILENTM, throughout the remainder of 2014, we expect to advance the pre-launch activities related to the initial commercialization of this product for the evaluation of AGHD in the U.S. market. As noted above, our NDA is currently under substantive review by the FDA. Subject to the successful review and acceptance of our NDA, we expect to make MACRILENTM available by prescription in the U.S. as soon as commercially practicable following final regulatory approval.

We intend to build a commercial infrastructure necessary to access the physicians who perform the majority of AGHD tests (endocrinologists) along with the major centers of AGHD influence. Commercial initiatives are likely to include the targeted selection, hiring and deployment of a contracted sales force by the end of 2014. The marketing efforts of our sales force will target endocrinology specialists of AGHD. We believe these efforts should enable the realization of a substantial portion of the potential commercial opportunity for MACRILENTM.

We are evaluating the possible final distribution channels for MACRILENTM, however, we expect that MACRILENTM will be accessed through a mixture of specialty pharmacies, hospital pharmacies, wholesalers and other secondary channels

To date, we have established an agreement with a contract manufacturer for the commercial supply of the product and expect to use a third-party logistics provider for key services related to logistics, warehousing and inventory management.

We continue to evaluate the potential to commercialize MACRILENTM in other geographic territories, including Canada and Europe.

REGULATORY COMPLIANCE

Governmental authorities in Canada, the United States, Europe and other countries extensively regulate the preclinical and clinical testing, manufacturing, labeling, storage, record keeping, advertising, promotion, export, marketing and distribution, among other things, of our product candidates. Under the laws of the United States, the countries of the EU, and other countries, we and the institutions at which we sponsor research are subject to obligations to ensure that our clinical trials are conducted in accordance with Good Clinical Practices ("GCP") guidelines and the investigational plan and protocols contained in an IND application, or comparable foreign regulatory submission. The Japanese regulatory process for approval of new drugs is similar to the FDA approval process described below except that Japanese regulatory authorities request bridging studies to verify that foreign clinical data are applicable to Japanese patients and also require the tests to determine appropriate dosages for Japanese patients to be conducted on Japanese patient volunteers. Due to these requirements, delays of two to three years in introducing a drug developed outside of Japan to the Japanese market are possible. Set forth below is a brief summary of the material governmental regulations

affecting the Company in the major markets in which we intend to market our products.

Canada

In Canada, the Canadian Therapeutic Products Directorate is the Canadian federal authority that regulates pharmaceutical drugs and medical devices for human use. Prior to being given market authorization, a manufacturer must present substantive scientific evidence of a product's safety, efficacy and quality as required by the Food and Drugs Act and other legislation and regulations. The requirements for the development and sale of pharmaceutical drugs in Canada are substantially similar to those in the United States, which are described below. United States

In the United States, the FDA under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, the Public Health Service Act and other federal statutes and regulations, subject pharmaceutical products to rigorous review.

In order to obtain approval of a new product from the FDA, we must, among other requirements, submit proof of safety and efficacy as well as detailed information on the manufacture and composition of the product. In most cases, this proof entails extensive preclinical, clinical, and laboratory tests. Before approving a new drug or marketing application, the FDA also typically conducts pre-approval inspections of the company, its CROs and/or its clinical trial sites to ensure that clinical, safety, quality control, and other regulated activities are compliant with GCP, or Good Laboratory Practices ("GLP"), for specific non-clinical toxicology studies. Manufacturing facilities used to produce a product are also subject to ongoing inspection by the FDA. The FDA may also require confirmatory trials, post-marketing testing, and extra surveillance to monitor the effects of approved products, or place conditions on any approvals that could restrict the commercial applications of these products. Once approved, the labeling, advertising, promotion, marketing, and distribution of a drug or biologic product must be in compliance with FDA regulatory requirements.

The first stage required for ultimate FDA approval of a new biologic or drug involves completion of preclinical studies and the submission of the results of these studies to the FDA. This, together with proposed clinical protocols, manufacturing information, analytical data, and other information in an IND, must become effective before human clinical trials may commence. Preclinical studies involve laboratory evaluation of product characteristics and animal studies to assess the efficacy and safety of the product. The FDA regulates preclinical studies under a series of regulations called the current GLP regulations. If the sponsor violates these regulations, the FDA may require that the sponsor replicate those studies.

After the IND becomes effective, a sponsor may commence human clinical trials. The sponsor typically conducts human clinical trials in three sequential phases, but the phases may overlap. In Phase 1 trials, the sponsor tests the product in a small number of patients or healthy volunteers, primarily for safety at one or more doses. Phase 1 trials in cancer are often conducted with patients who have end-stage or metastatic cancer. In Phase 2, in addition to safety, the sponsor evaluates the efficacy of the product in a patient population somewhat larger than Phase 1 trials. Phase 3 trials typically involve additional testing for safety and clinical efficacy in an expanded population at geographically dispersed test sites. The sponsor must submit to the FDA a clinical plan, or "protocol", accompanied by the approval of the institutions participating in the trials, prior to commencement of each clinical trial. The FDA may order the temporary or permanent discontinuation of a clinical trial at any time. In the case of product candidates for cancer, the initial human testing may be done in patients with the disease rather than in healthy volunteers. Because these patients are already afflicted with the target disease, such studies may provide results traditionally obtained in Phase 2 studies. Accordingly, these studies are often referred to as "Phase 1/2" studies. Even if patients participate in initial human testing and a Phase 1/2 study is carried out, the sponsor is still responsible for obtaining all the data usually obtained in both Phase 1 and Phase 2 studies.

The sponsor must submit to the FDA the results of the preclinical and clinical testing, together with, among other things, detailed information on the manufacture and composition of the product, in the form of an NDA or, in the case of a biologic, a Biologics License Applications ("BLA"). In a process that can take a year or more, the FDA reviews this application and, when and if it decides that adequate data are available to show that the new compound is both safe and effective for a particular indication and that other applicable requirements have been met, approves the drug or biologic for marketing. The amount of time taken for this approval process is a function of a number of variables, including the quality of the submission and studies presented and the potential contribution that the compound will make in improving the treatment of the disease in question.

Orphan-drug designation is granted by the FDA Office of Orphan Drug Products to novel drugs or biologics that treat a rare disease or condition affecting fewer than 200,000 patients in the U.S. The designation provides the drug developer with a seven-year period of U.S. marketing exclusivity if the drug is the first of its type approved for the specified indication or if it demonstrates superior safety, efficacy or a major contribution to patient care versus another drug of its type previously granted the designation for the same indication. We have been granted orphan drug designations for zoptarelin doxorubicin for the treatment of advanced ovarian cancer and for MACRILENTM for the evaluation of growth hormone deficiency.

Under the Hatch-Waxman Act, newly-approved drugs and indications may benefit from a statutory period of non-patent data exclusivity. The Hatch-Waxman Act provides five-year data exclusivity to the first applicant to gain approval of an NDA for a new chemical entity, or NCE, meaning that the FDA has not previously approved any other drug containing the same active pharmaceutical ingredient, or active moiety. Although protection under the Hatch-Waxman Act will not prevent the submission or approval of another full NDA, such an NDA applicant would be required to conduct its own preclinical and adequate, well controlled clinical trials to demonstrate safety and effectiveness.

The Hatch-Waxman Act also provides three years of data exclusivity for the approval of new and supplemental NDAs, including Section 505(b)(2) applications, for, among other things, new indications, dosage forms, routes of administration, or strengths of an existing drug, or for a new use, if new clinical investigations that were conducted or sponsored by the applicant are determined by the FDA to be essential to the approval of the application. This exclusivity, which is sometimes referred to as clinical investigation exclusivity, would not prevent the approval of another application if the applicant has conducted its own adequate, well-controlled clinical trials demonstrating safety and efficacy, nor would it prevent approval of a generic product that did not incorporate the exclusivity-protected changes of the approved drug product.

The labeling, advertising, promotion, marketing, and distribution of a drug or biologic product must be in compliance with FDA regulatory requirements. Failure to comply with applicable requirements can lead to the FDA demanding that production and shipment cease and, in some cases, that the manufacturer recall products, or to enforcement actions that can include seizures, injunctions, and criminal prosecution. These failures can also lead to FDA withdrawal of approval to market a product.

European Union

Medicines can be authorized in the EU by using either the centralized authorization procedure or national authorization procedures.

Centralized procedure

The EU has implemented a centralized procedure coordinated by the EMA for the approval of human medicines, which results in a single marketing authorization issued by the European Commission that is valid across the EU, as well as Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway. The centralized procedure is compulsory for human medicines that are derived from biotechnology processes, such as genetic engineering, that contain a new active substance indicated for the treatment of certain diseases, such as HIV/AIDS, cancer, diabetes, neurodegenerative disorders or autoimmune diseases and other immune dysfunctions, and designated orphan medicines. For medicines that do not fall within these categories, an applicant has the option of submitting an application for a centralized marketing authorization to the EMA, as long as the medicine concerned is a significant therapeutic, scientific or technical innovation, or if its authorization would be in the interest of public health.

National authorization procedures

There are also two other possible routes to authorize medicinal products in several EU countries, which are available for investigational drug products that fall outside the scope of the centralized procedure:

Decentralized procedure. Using the decentralized procedure, an applicant may apply for simultaneous authorization in more than one EU country of medicinal products that have not yet been authorized in any EU country and that do not fall within the mandatory scope of the centralized procedure.

The application will be reviewed by a selected Reference Member State ("RMS"). The Marketing Authorization granted by the RMS will then be recognized by the other Member States involved in this procedure.

Mutual recognition procedure. In the mutual recognition procedure, a medicine is first authorized in one EU Member State, in accordance with the national procedures of that country. Following this, further marketing authorizations can be sought from other EU countries in a procedure whereby the countries concerned agree to recognize the validity of the original, national marketing authorization.

For more information about the regulatory risks associated with the Company's business operations, see "Item 3. – Key Information – Risk Factors".

DRUG DISCOVERY

There is an increasing demand on the world market for active substances. Our internal drug discovery unit provides an important prerequisite for the provision of new patented active substances, which can then be developed further or licensed to third parties.

Our drug discovery unit concentrates on the search for active substances for innovative targets, which open the door to the introduction of new therapeutic approaches. Further, this unit searches for new active substances having improved properties for clinically validated targets for which drugs are already being used in humans and which produce inadequate effects, cause severe side effects, are not economical or are not available in a patient-friendly form. To this end, we possess an original substance library for the discovery of active compounds with a comprehensive range of promising natural substances which can serve as models for the construction of synthetic molecules. The initial tests involve 120,000 samples from our internal substance library in the form of high-throughput screening. The "hits", which are the first active compounds found in the library, are tested further and built up specifically into potential lead structures. Based on two to three lead structures, they are then optimized in a further step to potential development candidates.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY - PATENTS

We believe that we have a solid intellectual property portfolio that covers compounds, manufacturing processes, compositions and methods of medical use for our lead drugs and drug candidates. Our patent portfolio consists of approximately 50 owned and in-licensed patent families (issued, granted or pending in the United States, Europe and other jurisdictions). Independent of the original patent expiry date, additional exclusivity is possible in the United States, Europe and several other countries by data protection for new chemical entities or by orphan drug designation. In addition, in the United States, Europe and certain other jurisdictions the terms of a patent covering an approved drug can be extended by patent term extension or supplementary protection certificate. In the United States, the patent term of a patent that covers an FDA-approved drug may also be eligible for patent term extension, which permits patent term restoration as compensation for the patent term lost during the FDA regulatory review process. The Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984, or the Hatch-Waxman Act, permits a patent term extension of up to five years beyond the expiration of the patent, in which the patentee may file an application for yearly interim extensions within five years if the patent will expire and the FDA has not yet approved the NDA. The length of the patent term extension is related to the length of time the drug is under regulatory review. Patent extension cannot extend the remaining term of a patent beyond a total of 14 years from the date of product approval and only one patent applicable to an approved drug may be extended. Similar provisions are available in Europe and other foreign jurisdictions to extend the term of a patent that covers an approved drug. In these jurisdictions, however, no interim extensions exist and the marketing approval must be granted before the patent expires. In the future, if and when our pharmaceutical products receive FDA approval, we expect to apply for patent term extensions on patents covering those products. While we anticipate that any such applications for patent term extensions will likely be granted, we cannot predict the precise length of the time for which such patent terms would be extended in the United States, Europe or other jurisdictions. If we are not able to secure patent term extensions on patents covering our products for meaningful periods of additional time, we may not achieve or sustain profitability, which would adversely affect our business.

Of the issued or granted patents, the protective rights described below form the core of our patent portfolio with regard to our lead drugs and drug candidates.

Zoptarelin doxorubicin:

U.S. patent 5,843,903 provides protection in the United States for the compound zoptarelin doxorubicin and other related targeted cytotoxic anthracycline analogs, pharmaceutical compositions comprising the compounds as well as their medical use for the treatment of cancer. This U.S. patent expires in November 2015. A patent term extension of up to five years may be possible.

European patent 0 863 917 B1 provides protection in Europe for the compound zoptarelin doxorubicin and other related targeted cytotoxic anthracycline analogs, pharmaceutical compositions comprising the compounds as well as their medical use for the treatment of tumors. This European patent expires in November 2016. A patent term extension of up to five years may be possible in case approval has been achieved prior to patent expiration. Japanese patent 3 987 575 provides protection in Japan for the compound zoptarelin doxorubicin and other related targeted cytotoxic anthracycline analogs, pharmaceutical compositions comprising the compounds as well as their medical use for the treatment of tumors. This Japanese patent expires in November 2016. A patent term extension of up to five years may be possible in case approval has been achieved prior to patent expiration.

Macimorelin:

U.S. patent 6,861,409 protects the compound maximorelin and U.S. patent 7,297,681 protects other related growth hormone

secretagogue compounds, each also protecting pharmaceutical compositions comprising the compounds as well as their medical use for elevating the plasma level of growth hormone. U.S. patent 6,861,409 and U.S. patent 7,297,681 both expire in August 2022. A patent term extension of up to five years may be possible.

European patent 1 289 951 protects the compound macimorelin and European patent 1 344 773 protects other related growth hormone secretagogue compounds, pharmaceutical compositions comprising the compounds as well as their medical use for elevating the plasma level of growth hormone. EP patent 1 289 951 and EP patent 1 344 773 both expire in June 2021. A patent term extension of up to five years by SPC may be possible in case approval has been achieved prior to patent expiration.

Japanese patent 3 522 265 protects the compound macimorelin and pharmaceutical compositions comprising the compounds as well as their medical use for elevating the plasma level of growth hormone. This Japanese patent expires in June 2021. A patent term extension of up to five years may be possible in case approval has been achieved prior to patent expiration.

Canadian patent 2,407,659 protects the compound maximorelin and pharmaceutical compositions comprising the compounds as well as their medical use for elevating the plasma level of growth hormone. This Canadian patent expires in June 2021.

U.S. patent 8,192,719 protects a method of assessing pituitary-related growth hormone deficiency in a human or animal subject comprising an oral administration of the compound maximorelin and determination of the level of growth hormone in the sample and assessing whether the level of growth hormone in the sample is indicative of growth hormone deficiency. This U.S. patent 8,192,719 expires in October 2027.

European patent 1 984 744 protects a method of assessing pituitary-related growth hormone deficiency by oral administration of macimorelin. The European patent 1 984 744 expires in February 2027.

Japanese patent 4 852 728 protects a method of assessing pituitary-related growth hormone deficiency by oral administration of macimorelin. The Japanese patent 4 852 728 expires in February 2027. AEZS-120:

European patent 2 092 067 B1 provides protection in Europe for microorganisms as carriers of heterogeneous nucleotide sequences coding for antigens and protein toxins, a process of manufacturing thereof as well as corresponding plasmids or expression vectors, useful as medicaments, in particular as tumor vaccines for the treatment of various tumors. This European patent expires in November 2027. A patent term extension of up to five years may be possible in case approval has been achieved prior to patent expiration.

U.S. and Japanese patent applications (both filed in November 2007) recently received a Notice of Allowance. Granted patents will expire in November 2027.

Ozarelix:

U.S. patent 6,627,609 provides protection in the United States for the compound ozarelix and related third-generation LHRH antagonists and pharmaceutical compositions comprising them. This U.S. patent will expire in March 2020. A patent term extension of up to five years may be possible.

European patent 1 163 264 provides protection in Europe for the compound ozarelix and related third-generation LHRH antagonists and pharmaceutical compositions comprising them. This European patent will expire in March 2020. A SPC of up to five years may be possible in case approval has been achieved prior to patent expiration. Japanese patent 3 801 867 provides protection in Japan for the compound ozarelix and related third-generation LHRH antagonists and pharmaceutical compositions comprising them. This Japanese patent will expire in March 2020. A patent term extension of up to five years may be possible in case approval has been achieved prior to patent expiration.

Erk/PI3K:

- U.S. patent 8,202,883 protects compound AEZS-129. This U.S. patent will expire in May 2029 (including patent term adjustment ("PTA"). A patent term extension of up to five years may be possible.
- U.S. patent 8,507,486 protects compound AEZS-136. This U.S. patent will expire in May 2028. A patent term extension of up to five years may be possible.
- U.S. patent 8,536,332 protects methods of treatment for compound AEZS-129. This U.S. patent will expire in May 2028. A patent term extension of up to five years may be possible.

U.S. patent 8,604,196 protects methods of treatment for compound AEZS-136. This U.S. patent will expire in May 2028 and is subject to a terminal disclaimer based on US 8,507,486 (07/04Z/2). A patent term extension of up to five years may be possible.

U.S. patent application US-2012-0258080 seeks protection for compound AEZS-134 as well as methods of treatment for this compound. When granted, the U.S. patent would expire in April 2032. A patent term extension of up to five years may be possible.

European Patent Application EP2,164,849 seeks protection for compounds AEZS-129 and -136 as well as methods of treatment for these compounds. When granted, the EP patent would expire in May 2028. A SPC of up to five years may be possible in case approval has been achieved prior to patent expiration.

European Patent Application No. EP2,694,067 seeks protection for compound AEZS-134 as well as methods of treatment for this compound. When granted, the EP patent would expire in April 2032. A SPC of up to five years may be possible in case approval has been achieved prior to patent expiration.

Japanese Patent Application No. 2010-506945 seeks protection for compound AEZS-129 as well as methods of treatment for this compound. When granted, the JP patent would expire in May 2028. A SPC of up to five years may be possible in case approval has been achieved prior to patent expiration.

Japanese Patent Application No. 2014-6832 seeks protection for compounds AEZS-136 as well as methods of treatment for this compound. When granted, the JP patent would expire in May 2028. A SPC of up to five years may be possible in case approval has been achieved prior to patent expiration.

Japanese patent application based on PCT/EP2012/056138 seeks protection for compound AEZS-134 as well as methods of treatment for this compound. When granted, the JP patent would expire in April 2032. A SPC of up to five years may be possible in case approval has been achieved prior to patent expiration.

Disorazol Z - LHRH conjugates (AEZS-138):

U.S. patent 7,741,277 protects compound AEZS-138 (disorazole Z - LHRH conjugate). This U.S. patent will expire in January 2028 (including PTA). A patent term extension of up to five years may be possible.

U.S. patent 8,470,776 protects methods of treatment for compound AEZS-138 (disorazole Z - LHRH conjugate). This U.S. patent will expire in February 2029 (including PTA). A patent term extension of up to five years may be possible.

European patent application 2,066,679 protects compound AEZS-138 (disorazole Z - LHRH conjugate) as well as methods of treatment for this compound. When granted, this EP patent will expire in September 2027. A SPC of up to five years may be possible in case approval has been achieved prior to patent expiration.

Japanese patent 5,340,155 protects compound AEZS-138 (disorazole Z - LHRH conjugate) as well as methods of treatment for this compound. This JP patent will expire in September 2027. A SPC of up to five years may be possible in case approval has been achieved prior to patent expiration.

Overview of important granted patents in the United States, Europe and Japan:

Overview of important g	ranted patents in the emited States, Europe a	ia sapan.	
Patent No.	Title	Country	Expiry Date
Zoptarelin doxorubicin			
U.S. 5,843,903	Targeted cytotoxic anthracycline analogs	United States	2015-11-27
EP 0 863 917	Targeted cytotoxic anthracycline analogs	Europe	2016-11-14
JP 3 987 575	Targeted cytotoxic anthracycline analogs	Japan	2016-11-14
Macimorelin			
U.S. 6,861,409	Growth hormone secretagogues	United States	2022-08-01
		Germany, United Kingdom,	
EP 1 289 951	Growth hormone secretagogues	France, Switzerland and	2021-06-13
		others	
JP 3 522 265	Growth hormone secretagogues	Japan	2021-06-13
CA 2,407,659	Growth hormone secretagogues	Canada	2021-06-13
U.S. 8,192,719	Method and kit to diagnose growth	United States	2027-10-12
0.3. 0,192,719	hormone deficiency	Office States	2027-10-12

Patent No.	Title	Country	Expiry Date
EP 1 984 744	Method and kit to diagnose growth hormone deficiency	Europe	2027-02-19
JP 4 852 728	Method and kit to diagnose growth hormone deficiency	Japan	2027-02-19
AEZS-120 EP 2 092 067	Microorganisms as carriers of nucleotide sequences	Europe	2027-11-13
AEZS-112			
U.S. 7,365,081	Indole derivatives and their use as medicaments	United States	2017-09-08
EP 1 309 585	Indole derivatives and their use as medicaments	Germany, United Kingdom, France, Switzerland and others	2021-07-26
Ozarelix			
U.S. 6,627,609	LHRH antagonists having improved solubility properties	United States	2020-03-14
EP 1 163 264	LHRH antagonists having improved solubility properties	Germany, United Kingdom, France, Switzerland and others	2020-03-11
JP 3 801 867	LHRH antagonists having improved solubility properties	Japan	2020-03-11
AEZS-129			
U.S. 8,202,883	Novel Pyridopyrazine Derivatives, Process of Manufacturing and Uses thereof	United States	2029-05-29*
U.S. 8,536,332	Novel Pyridopyrazine Derivatives, Process of Manufacturing and Uses thereof	United States	2028-05-09
EP Patent Appl. EP 2,164,849	Novel Pyridopyrazine Derivatives, Process of Manufacturing and Uses thereof	Europe	2028-05-09
JP Patent Appl. JP 2010-506945	Novel Pyridopyrazine Derivatives, Process of Manufacturing and Uses thereof	Japan	2028-05-09
AEZS-136			
U.S. 8,507,486	Novel Pyridopyrazine Derivatives, Process of Manufacturing and Uses thereof	United States	2028-05-09
U.S. 8,604,196	Novel Pyridopyrazine Derivatives, Process of Manufacturing and Uses thereof	United States	2028-05-09 (term. disclaimer)
EP Patent Appl. EP 2,164,849	Novel Pyridopyrazine Derivatives, Process of Manufacturing and Uses thereof	Europe	2028-05-09
JP Patent Appl. JP 2014-6832	Novel Pyridopyrazine Derivatives, Process of Manufacturing and Uses thereof	Japan	2028-05-09
AEZS-134	Pyridopyrazine Derivatives and their Use	United States	2032-04-04
	J Try-man a series and mon obe		

Pyridopyrazine Derivatives and their Use	Europe	2032-04-04
Pyridopyrazine Derivatives and their Use	Japan	2032-04-04
Conjugates of Disorazoles and Derivatives, Process of Manufacturing and Uses thereof	United States	2028-01-19*
Conjugates of Disorazoles and Derivatives,	United States	2029-02-02*
	Emana	2027 00 06
Process of Manufacturing and Uses thereof	Europe	2027-09-06
Conjugates of Disorazoles and Derivatives, Process of Manufacturing and Uses thereof	Japan	2027-09-06
	Pyridopyrazine Derivatives and their Use Conjugates of Disorazoles and Derivatives, Process of Manufacturing and Uses thereof Conjugates of Disorazoles and Derivatives, Process of Manufacturing and Uses thereof Conjugates of Disorazoles and Derivatives, Process of Manufacturing and Uses thereof	Pyridopyrazine Derivatives and their Use Japan Conjugates of Disorazoles and Derivatives, Process of Manufacturing and Uses thereof Conjugates of Disorazoles and Derivatives, Process of Manufacturing and Uses thereof Conjugates of Disorazoles and Derivatives, Process of Manufacturing and Uses thereof Conjugates of Disorazoles and Derivatives, Process of Manufacturing and Uses thereof Conjugates of Disorazoles and Derivatives

^{*} Includes Patent Term Extension.

C. Organizational structure

The following chart presents our corporate structure, the jurisdiction of incorporation of our direct and indirect subsidiaries and the percentage of shares that we held in those subsidiaries as at December 31, 2013.

Aeterna Zentaris Inc. (Canada)

100%

Aeterna Zentaris GmbH Aeterna Zentaris, Inc. (Germany) (Delaware)

100%

Zentaris IVF GmbH (Germany)

D. Property, plants and equipment

Our corporate head office is located in Quebec City, Province of Quebec, Canada. The following table sets forth information with respect to our main facilities as at December 31, 2013.

Location	Use of space	Square Footage	Type of interest
1405 du Parc Technologique Blvd., Quebec City (Quebec), Canada	Fully occupied for management, R&D and administration	3,561	Leased
25 Mountainview Blvd., Suite 203, Basking Ridge, NJ 07920	Fully occupied for management, R&D and administration	3,188	Leased
Weismüllerstr. 50 D-60314	Fully occupied for management, R&D, business development and administration	46,465	Leased
Frankfurt-am-Main, Germany Item 4A Unresolved Staff Comments	business development and administration		
None.			

Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects Key Developments

MACRILENTM

On January 6, 2014, we announced that the FDA had accepted for substantive review our New Drug Application ("NDA") for our orally available peptidomimetic ghrelin receptor agonist with growth hormone secretagogue activity, MACRILENTM, for the evaluation of adult growth hormone deficiency ("AGHD"). The acceptance for filing of the NDA indicates that the FDA has determined that the application is sufficiently complete to permit a substantive review. The NDA, submitted on November 5, 2013, seeks approval for the commercialization of MACRILENTM, which, if approved, will be the first orally administered drug indicated for the evaluation of AGHD by evaluating the pituitary gland secretion of growth hormone in response to an oral dose of the product. The application is subject to a standard review and will have a Prescription Drug User Fee Act ("PDUFA") date of November 5, 2014. The PDUFA date is the goal date for the FDA to complete its review of the NDA. MACRILENTM benefits from patent protection covering major markets; in particular, the product is protected in the U.S. at least until October 2027. Phase 3 data have demonstrated the compound to be well tolerated, with accuracy comparable to available intravenous and intramuscular testing procedures.

Zoptarelin Doxorubicin

On April 10, 2013 we announced the signing of a co-development and profit sharing agreement with Ergomed Clinical Research Ltd. ("Ergomed") as the contract clinical development organization for the Phase 3 ZoptEC (Zoptarelin doxorubicin in Endometrial Cancer) trial in women with locally advanced, recurrent or metastatic endometrial cancer who have progressed and who have received one chemotherapeutic regimen with platinum and taxane (either as adjuvant or first-line treatment). The ZoptEC trial is an open-label, randomized, multicenter trial conducted in North America, Europe and Israel under a Special Protocol Assessment with the FDA. The trial compares zoptarelin doxorubicin with doxorubicin as second line therapy and will involve approximately 500 patients. Patient dosing was initiated in July 2013, and the primary efficacy endpoint of the ZoptEC trial is improvement in median Overall Survival.

Under the terms of the agreement, Ergomed has agreed to assume 30% (up to \$10 million) of the clinical and regulatory costs for this trial, which are estimated at approximately \$30 million over the course of the study. Ergomed will be entitled to receive an agreed upon single-digit percentage of any net income received by us for zoptarelin doxorubicin in this indication, up to a specified maximum amount.

On June 3, 2013, we announced encouraging final data for the Phase 1 portion of the ongoing Phase 1/2 trial in men with castration- and taxane-resistant prostate cancer with zoptarelin doxorubicin. Data were presented at the American Society of Clinical Oncology Annual Meeting in Chicago by the principal investigator, Jacek Pinski, MD, PhD, of the University of Southern California's Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center. In general, zoptarelin doxorubicin was well tolerated and demonstrated promising evidence of its anti-tumor activity in this heavily pretreated population. Among the 15 evaluable patients with measurable disease, ten achieved stable disease, and a drop in Prostatic Specific Antigen was noted in three patients. The maximum tolerated dose ("MTD") of zoptarelin doxorubicin in this indication was established at 210 mg/m², which is below the MTD reported in women with refractory endometrial and ovarian cancer. The Phase 2 portion of this trial in prostate cancer is ongoing.

Cetrotide® Manufacturing Rights and Discontinued Operations

On October 1, 2013, we announced that we had successfully completed the transactions contemplated by the transfer and service agreement and concurrent agreements with various partners and licensees with respect to the manufacturing rights for Cetrotide®, currently marketed by a subsidiary of Merck KGaA of Darmstadt, Germany ("Merck Serono") for therapeutic use as part of in vitro fertilization programs. The principal outcome of these agreements is the transfer of manufacturing rights and the grant of a license to Merck Serono for the manufacture, testing, assembling, packaging, storage and release of Cetrotide® in all territories (the "Cetrotide® Business") in exchange for a non-refundable, one-time payment of €2.5 million (approximately \$3.3 million).

The Cetrotide[®] Business has been presented in our consolidated financial statements as a discontinued operation. As such, relevant amounts impacting elements of our comprehensive income (loss) and cash flows have been retroactively reclassified to reflect the Cetrotide[®] Business as a discontinued operation and are discussed separately

from continuing operations in this MD&A.

Perifosine

On March 11, 2013, we announced that the Phase 3 trial in multiple myeloma was discontinued after an interim analysis by an independent Data Safety Monitoring Board reported that it was highly unlikely the study would achieve a significant difference in its primary endpoint of progression-free survival. We therefore decided not to make any further investment in the development of perifosine.

Appointments to Executive Management Team

On April 15, 2013, we announced the appointment of David Dodd as our President, Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and director of the Company. Mr. Dodd's executive management experience in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries spans more than 35 years. Prior to joining our Company, Mr. Dodd was President, CEO and Chairman of BioReliance Corporation, a leading provider of biological safety and related testing services, and President, CEO and director of Serologicals Corporation. Mr. Dodd also held the roles of President and CEO of Solvay Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and of Chairman of its subsidiary, Unimed Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and held various senior management positions at Wyeth-Ayerst Laboratories, the Mead Johnson Laboratories Division at Bristol-Myers Squibb and at Abbott Laboratories. Mr. Dodd holds a Master's degree from Georgia State University and completed the Harvard Business School Advanced Management Program.

On November 1, 2013, we announced the appointment of Jude Dinges as our Senior Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer. Mr. Dinges is responsible for all activities regarding the potential commercial launch of MACRILENTM in AGHD, as well as for identifying future commercial opportunities, Mr. Dinges began his career nearly 30 years ago at Bristol Laboratories and later at Merck & Co. in training, sales, management, marketing and market development and was a key contributor to the successful launch of brands such as Cozaar[®], Fosamax[®], Singulair[®], Maxalt[®], Vioxx[®], and Vytorin[®]. Mr. Dinges joined Novartis Pharmaceuticals in 2006, overseeing the launch of Tekturna®, and in 2008 became the Respiratory & Infectious Disease Specialty Medicines Director. In 2009, he joined Amgen Inc. as Executive Director of Region Sales, Bone Health Business Unit. On January 3, 2014, we announced the appointment of Richard Sachse, MD, PhD, as our Senior Vice President, Chief Scientific Officer and Managing Director. Dr. Sachse, who is based in Frankfurt, holds a degree in medicine from the Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen and a board certification in Clinical Pharmacology and has over 20 years' experience as a physician and scientist. He has extensive expertise in a variety of different therapeutic areas, including endocrinology and oncology. In addition to registration studies, Dr. Sachse is especially experienced in the design and implementation of translational programs to bridge research programs to the clinic, as well as in the design and implementation of clinical pharmacology programs, including all required profiling studies and activities, enabling successful registration of products at the international level. Before joining Aeterna Zentaris, Dr. Sachse was Vice President and Head of Global Translational Medicine at Boehringer Ingelheim. From 1996 to 2000, he was International Project Leader at the Bayer AG Institute for Clinical Pharmacology and Principal Investigator at the Bayer Clinical Pharmacology Unit. From 2001 to 2006, Dr. Sachse held a variety of management positions within early and late phase clinical development programs, including responsibilities for completed Phase 3 programs leading to successful New Drug Application / Marketing Authorization Application submissions. In 2007, he became Senior Director, Head of Experimental Medicine, at UCB in Belgium, before being appointed Vice President, Head of Global Translational Medicine, at Boehringer Ingelheim in 2010.

Corporate Developments

"At-the-Market" Issuance Program

Between May 22, 2013 and December 31, 2013, we sold a total of approximately 1.7 million common shares under our At The-Market ("ATM") sales program at an average price of \$1.76 per share, resulting in aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$3.0 million. This ATM sales program allows the Company to sell, at market prices prevailing at the time of sale, up to a maximum of 2.5 million of our common shares through ATM issuances on the NASDAQ for aggregate gross proceeds not to exceed \$4.6 million. Between January 1, 2014 and March 20, 2014, we issued a total of 0.2 million common shares under this ATM sales program for aggregate gross proceeds of \$0.3 million.

Registered Direct Offering

On July 30, 2013, we completed a registered direct offering of 5.2 million units at a purchase price of \$1.50 per unit, generating net proceeds of approximately \$7.0 million. Each unit consisted of one common share and 0.5 of a warrant to purchase one common share. Each warrant is exercisable at any time after January 30, 2014 for a period of five years from the date of issuance at an exercise price of \$1.85 per share.

Public Offerings

On November 25, 2013 we completed a public offering of 13.1 million units, generating net proceeds of approximately \$13.7 million. Each unit consisted of one common share and one whole warrant to purchase one common share, at a purchase price of \$1.15 per unit. Each warrant is exercisable for a period of five years at an original exercise price of \$1.60 per share, subject to certain anti-dilution provisions.

Subsequent to year-end, on January 14, 2014, we completed a public offering of 11.0 million units, generating net proceeds of approximately \$12.2 million, with each unit consisting of one common share and 0.8 of a warrant to purchase one common share, at a purchase price of \$1.20 per unit. Each warrant is exercisable for a period of five years at an original exercise price of \$1.25 per share, which is subject to certain anti-dilution provisions. Listing Transfer to the NASDAO Capital Market

On August 28, 2013, we announced that our request to transfer our listing to the NASDAQ Capital Market from the NASDAQ Global Market had been approved by the NASDAQ Listing Qualifications Staff. Our common shares continue to trade on the NASDAQ Capital Market, effective August 29, 2013. Status of Our Drug Pipeline

We are focused on preparing for the launch of MACRILENTM for the evaluation of AGHD in the U.S. and on advancing our ZoptEC Phase 3 program with zoptarelin doxorubicin in endometrial cancer, as discussed further below. Regarding AEZS-120, which is a targeted, live recombinant oral tumor vaccine candidate, we are reviewing the development program and our available resources related to this compound.

Ozarelix, a modified luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone ("LHRH") receptor antagonist, with the potential to treat hormone-dependent cancers as well as benign proliferative endocrinological disorders, and perifosine, an oral AKT inhibitor which is being investigated as a potential treatment option for various cancer indications, no longer require significant investment from our Company, being licensed out to Spectrum Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and to Yakult Honsha Co., Ltd. ("Yakult"), respectively. Both partners are responsible for conducting and sponsoring all ongoing development.

⁽¹⁾ Investigator-driven and sponsored.

⁽²⁾ Phase 2 in ovarian cancer completed.

⁽³⁾ Sponsored entirely by license partners.

As for our compounds in earlier stages of development, our Erk/PI3K inhibitors and our disorazol Z product candidates, as well as our discovery activities, are both under review as part of our focused initiative to optimize research and development ("R&D") activities. Our Erk/PI3K inhibitors are part of our kinase research program, comprising the investigation of different compounds for single Erk inhibition, single PI3K inhibition and dual Erk/PI3K kinase inhibition. Disorazol Z product candidates comprise AEZS-138, a novel cytotoxic hybrid based on the natural compound disorazol Z (AEZS-137), and the LHRH receptor agonist D-Lys6-LHRH. We currently do not expect to invest significantly in these projects, unless partnered and/or sponsored through strategic alliances. Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) Information

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive in	Three-more ended Dec	ith	periods		Years ende	ed i	December 3	31,		
(in thousands, except share and per share data)	2013		2012		2013		2012		2011	
uata)	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	
Revenues			·				•		•	
Sales					96		834		250	
License fees and other	_		281		6,079		1,219		4,455	
			281		6,175		2,053		4,705	
Operating expenses										
Cost of sales					51		591		212	
Research and development costs, net of refundable tax credits and grants	5,345		5,523		21,284		20,592		24,245	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	2,627		2,877		12,316		10,606		11,955	
	7,972		8,400		33,651		31,789		36,412	
Loss from operations	(7,972)	(8,119)	(27,476)	(29,736)	(31,707)
Finance income	65		689		1,748		6,974		6,239	
Finance costs	(2,689)	(700)	(1,512)	(382)	(8)
Net finance (costs) income	(2,624)	(11)	236		6,592		6,231	
Loss before income taxes	(10,596)	(8,130)	(27,240)	(23,144)	(25,476)
Income tax expense	_		_		_		_		(1,104)
Net loss from continuing operations	(10,596)	(8,130)	(27,240)	(23,144)	(26,580)
Net income (loss) from discontinued	2,353		1,183		34,055		2,732		(487	`
operations	2,333		1,103		34,033		2,732		(407)
Net (loss) income	(8,243)	(6,947)	6,815		(20,412)	(27,067)
Other comprehensive (loss) income:										
Items that may be reclassified subsequently to										
profit or loss:										
Foreign currency translation adjustments	424		(204)	1,073		(504)	(789)
Items that will not be reclassified to profit or										
loss:										
Actuarial gain (loss) on defined benefit plans	2,346		(3,705)	2,346		(3,705)	(1,335)
Comprehensive (loss) income	(5,473)	(10,856)	10,234		(24,621)	(29,191)
Net loss per share (basic and diluted) from	(0.28)	(0.34)	(0.92)	(1.17)	(1.69)
continuing operations	(0.20	,	(0.5.	,	(0.52	,	(1.17	,	(1.0)	,
Net income (loss) (basic and diluted) from discontinuing operations	0.06		0.05		1.16		0.14		(0.03)
Net (loss) income (basic and diluted) per share	(0.22)	(0.29)	0.24		(1.03)	(1.72)
Weighted average number of shares										
1.										

outstanding:

Basic Diluted	37,274,129 37,274,129	, - , -	29,476,455 29,476,455	
46				

2013 compared to 2012

Revenues from Continuing Operations

Revenues are derived predominantly from license fees, which include periodic milestone payments, R&D contract fees and the amortization of upfront payments received from our licensing partners.

Sales revenues are derived from the sale of active pharmaceutical ingredients, or raw materials, to license partners. Periodic variations of sales, and, consequently, of cost of sales, are attributable to the R&D needs of the requesting license partner.

License fees and other revenues were nil and \$6.1 million for the three-month period and the year ended December 31, 2013, respectively, as compared to \$0.3 million and \$1.2 million for the same periods in 2012. In March 2011, we entered into an agreement with Yakult for the development, manufacture and commercialization of perifosine in all human uses, excluding leishmaniasis, in Japan. Under the terms of this agreement, Yakult had made an initial, non-refundable gross upfront payment to the Company of approximately \$8.4 million. We recorded this upfront payment as deferred revenues and commenced amortizing the underlying proceeds on a straight-line basis over the estimated life cycle of perifosine in colorectal cancer ("CRC") and multiple myeloma ("MM").

On April 1, 2012, following negative results of a Phase 3 study of perifosine in CRC, we discontinued the perifosine program in that indication. Furthermore, in March 2013, following an analysis of interim results of the Phase 3 study of perifosine in MM, we also discontinued the development of perifosine in the MM indication. Given these results and the termination of these studies, we determined that we no longer had significant obligations under the agreement with Yakult to continue with the development of perifosine, and we recognized, in March 2013, the remaining unamortized amount of deferred revenue of \$5.9 million related to the above licensing agreement.

On a year-over-year basis, the increase in license fees and other revenues is therefore attributable to the earlier-than-expected recognition of the previously deferred upfront license payment received from Yakult, following the discontinuance of our development of perifosine and given that the earnings process associated with this compound as pertaining to the upfront proceeds received was deemed to be complete.

License fees and other revenues are expected to decrease significantly in 2014 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2013, given the absence of any remaining unamortized license fee payments as at December 31, 2013. Operating Expenses from Continuing Operations

R&D costs, net of refundable tax credits and grants, were \$5.3 million and \$21.3 million for the three-month period and the year ended December 31, 2013, respectively, compared to \$5.5 million and \$20.6 million for the same periods in 2012.

The following table summarizes our net R&D costs by nature of expense:

		onth periods cember 31,	Years ende	Years ended December 31,			
(in thousands)	2013	2012	2013	2012	2011		
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$		
Third-party costs	2,828	2,345	10,049	8,679	10,077		
Employee compensation and benefits	1,629	2,145	7,864	8,590	10,028		
Facilities rent and maintenance	466	401	1,758	1,661	1,835		
Other costs*	540	744	2,130	2,530	2,688		
R&D tax credits and grants	(118) (112) (517) (868) (383)	
	5,345	5,523	21,284	20,592	24,245		

^{*} Includes depreciation, amortization and impairment charges.

The following table summarizes primary third-party R&D costs, by product candidate, incurred by the Company during the three-month periods ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

(in thousands, except percentages)	Three-month periods ended December 31,				
Product Candidate	2013		2012		
	\$	%	\$	%	
Zoptarelin doxorubicin	1,667	58.9	282	12.0	
MACRILEN TM , macimorelin	284	10.0	30	1.3	
Erk/PI3K inhibitors	312	11.0	199	8.5	
Perifosine	_		1,434	61.2	
Disorazol Z	139	4.9	55	2.3	
Other	426	15.2	345	14.7	
	2,828	100.0	2,345	100.0	

The following table summarizes primary third-party R&D costs, by product candidate, incurred by the Company during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

(in thousands, except percentages)	Years ended December 31,						
Product Candidate	2013		2012		2011		
	\$	%	\$	%	\$	%	
Zoptarelin doxorubicin	4,934	49.1	2,133	24.6	1,652	16.4	
MACRILEN TM , macimorelin	1,238	12.3	112	1.3	1,156	11.5	
Erk/PI3K inhibitors	1,128	11.2	1,727	19.9	1,860	18.5	
Perifosine	1,134	11.3	3,801	43.8	3,726	37.0	
Disorazol Z	659	6.6	331	3.8	256	2.5	
Other	956	9.5	575	6.6	1,427	14.1	
	10,049	100.0	8,679	100.0	10,077	100.0	

Third-party R&D costs were \$10.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to \$8.7 million for the same period in 2012. This increase mainly results from the higher development costs associated with zoptarelin doxorubicin, and in particular with our Phase 3 ZoptEC trial initiated in 2013 with Ergomed, as discussed above. Additionally, we incurred higher development costs in 2013 related to MACRILENTM and macimorelin, primarily consisting of the purchase of active pharmaceutical ingredients. These increases were partly offset by the lower comparative development costs associated with perifosine, given that we have decided not to make any further investment in this product candidate, as discussed above, and by the lower preclinical study-related costs associated with our Erk/PI3K inhibitors.

Third-party R&D costs also increased during the year ended December 31, 2013 due to higher expenditures associated with our disorazol Z product candidates, pursuant to a variety of collaboration agreements with various universities and institutes, and to the purchase of active pharmaceutical ingredients.

Excluding the impact of foreign exchange rate fluctuations, we expect net R&D costs for 2014 to increase, as compared to 2013, mainly due to the advancement of our lead ZoptEC Phase 3 trial with zoptarelin doxorubicin and related sub-studies. Based on currently available information and forecasts, we expect that we will incur net R&D costs of between \$24 million and \$26 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. As discussed below, however, we currently are in the process of performing a strategic review of all of our preclinical activities. This review may result in changes to our future overall R&D activities that may have a significant impact on our results of operations versus the currently available guidance. As such, our net R&D cost estimates may be revised in future periods as we continue to review our R&D activities, advance R&D development and as new information becomes available.

Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses were \$2.6 million and \$12.3 million for the three-month period and the year ended December 31, 2013, respectively, compared to \$2.9 million and \$10.6 million for the same periods in 2012.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, the increase in SG&A expenses, as compared to 2012, is mainly related to the recognition in the second quarter of 2013 of non-recurring termination benefits (approximately \$1.4 million) paid to our former CEO and to the recording of related non-cash share-based compensation costs, amounting to approximately \$0.7 million.

We expect SG&A expenses to decrease in 2014 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2013, despite the progressive ramping up of pre-commercialization activities associated with MACRILENTM, which, as discussed below and conditional upon the successful regulatory approval of our NDA, we expect to launch in the evaluation of AGHD indication in the U.S. market in 2015.

Net finance income (costs) is comprised predominantly of the change in fair value of warrant liability, gains and losses due to changes in foreign currency exchange rates and, as pertaining to 2011 only, to gains on a short-term investment. For the three-month period and the year ended December 31, 2013, net finance (costs) income totalled \$(2.6) million and \$0.2 million, respectively, as compared to nil and \$6.6 million for the same periods in 2012, as presented below.

	Three-month periods ended December 31,		Years ended December 31,			
(in thousands)	2013	2012	2013	2012	2011	
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
Finance income						
Gains due to changes in foreign currency exchange rates	_	_	_	_	2,197	
Change in fair value of warrant liability		634	1,563	6,746	2,533	
Interest income	65	55	185	228	223	
Gain on held-for-trading financial instrument		_			1,278	
	65	689	1,748	6,974	6,231	
Finance costs						
Losses due to changes in foreign currency exchange rates	(805)) (700) (1,512) (382) —	
Change in fair value of warrant liability	(1,884) —	_	_	_	
	(2,689) (700) (1,512) (382) —	
	(2,624) (11) 236	6,592	6,231	

The change in fair value of our warrant liability results from the "mark-to-market" revaluation, via the application of the Black-Scholes option pricing model, of currently outstanding share purchase warrants. The Black-Scholes "mark-to-market" warrant valuation most notably has been impacted by the closing price of our common shares, which, on the NASDAQ, has fluctuated from between \$1.03 and \$3.23 during the year ended December 31, 2013. Gains or losses due to changes in foreign currency exchange rates are mainly related to the US dollar vis-à-vis the euro, which weakened from 2011 to 2012 and strengthened from 2012 to 2013, as presented below.

	Three-more ended Dec	onth periods cember 31,	Years end	ed December 3	31,
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2011
Euro to US\$ average conversion rate	1.3617	1.2975	1.3288	1.2858	1.3919

Net loss from continuing operations for the three-month period and the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$10.6 million and \$27.2 million, or \$0.28 and \$0.92 per basic and diluted share, respectively, compared to \$8.1 million and \$23.1 million, or \$0.34 and \$1.17 per basic and diluted share for the same periods in 2012.

The increase in net loss from continuing operations for the three-month period ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the same period in 2012, is largely due to higher net finance costs, as presented above.

The increase in net loss from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to 2012, is due largely to the recording of non-recurring termination benefits and related non-cash share-based compensation costs, lower comparative net finance income and higher comparative net R&D costs, partially offset by higher comparative license fee revenues, largely associated with the accelerated recognition of remaining net unamortized amount of deferred revenues related to the licensing agreement entered into with Yakult, as discussed above. 2012 compared to 2011

Revenues from Continuing Operations

License fees and other revenues were \$1.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to \$4.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. This decrease is mainly due to the recording of a \$2.6 million milestone payment from Yakult with respect to the initiation of a Phase 1 trial with perifosine in CRC in Japan during the last quarter of 2011.

Operating Expenses from Continuing Operations

R&D costs, net of refundable tax credits and grants, were \$20.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to \$24.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. This decrease is attributable to lower R&D employee compensation and benefit costs, as well as to continued cost-rationalization initiatives, resulting in a lower number of employees. The decrease is also related to comparative lower third-party costs associated with the development of MACRILENTM in AGHD and to the weakening of the euro against the US dollar.

SG&A expenses were \$10.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to \$12.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease in SG&A expenses is mainly related to non-recurring 2011 events. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we recognized an impairment loss on property, plant and equipment, an increase in onerous lease provision and we incurred marketing expenses related to the potential marketing by the Company of perifosine in Europe. In addition, the decrease in SG&A expenses is attributable to the decrease in employee benefit expenses as well as to the weakening of the euro against the US dollar, partly offset by higher transaction costs related to share purchase warrants, higher share-based compensation costs related to collaborators and higher professional fees.

Income tax expense was nil for the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to \$1.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, which consists of foreign withholding taxes related to an upfront payment received from a partner and to milestone license fee revenues recorded in 2011.

Net loss from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$23.1 million, or \$1.17 per basic and diluted share, as compared to \$26.6 million, or \$1.69 per basic and diluted share, for the year ended December 31, 2011. This decrease is largely due to lower net R&D costs, SG&A expenses and income tax expense, as well as to higher net finance income, partly offset by the significant decrease in license fee revenues.

Discontinued Operations

Following a strategic review of our risk and prospects with respect to the Cetrotide® Business and, in particular, having taken into account, as discussed below, the previous monetization of the corresponding royalty stream, we decided to transfer all manufacturing rights of Cetrotide® and to discontinue our involvement with the Cetrotide® Business. On April 3, 2013 (the "Effective Date"), we entered into a transfer and service agreement ("TSA") and concurrent agreements with various partners and licensees with respect to our manufacturing rights for Cetrotide®, currently marketed for therapeutic use as part of in vitro fertilization programs. The principal effect of these agreements was to transfer, effective October 1, 2013 (the "Closing Date"), our manufacturing rights for Cetrotide® to Merck Serono in all territories. Also per the TSA, we agreed to provide certain transition services to Merck Serono over a period of 36 months from the Effective Date in order to assist Merck Serono in managing overall responsibility for the Cetrotide® Business.

Under the TSA, during the period commencing on the Effective Date and ending on the Closing Date (the "Interim Period"), we were obligated to continue to conduct the Cetrotide® Business in the ordinary course in a manner consistent with past practices, subject to certain conditions. Per the TSA, we received a non-refundable, one-time payment of €2.5 million (approximately \$3.3 million) in consideration for the transfer of our manufacturing rights referred to above, as well as other payments in exchange for the transfer, also on the Closing Date, of certain assets, such as inventory and equipment used solely for the manufacture of Cetrotide®. We recognized the non-refundable,

one-time payment on the Closing Date, as we no longer had managerial involvement or effective control over the manufacturing of goods sold through the Cetrotide® Business. We provide the aforementioned transition services to Merck Serono in exchange for a monthly service fee.

As a result of the transfer of substantially all of the risks and rewards associated with the Cetrotide[®] Business on the Closing Date, the Cetrotide[®] Business has been classified as a discontinued operation in the consolidated financial statements. As such, relevant amounts in our consolidated statements of comprehensive income (loss) have been retroactively reclassified to reflect the Cetrotide[®] Business as a discontinued operation, as presented below.

		onth periods cember 31,	Years end	Years ended December 31,		
(in thousands)	2013	2012	2013	2012	2011	
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
Revenues						
Sales and royalties	3,057	9,165	63,755	30,704	31,056	
License fees and other*	3,717	99	4,589	908	292	
	6,774	9,264	68,344	31,612	31,348	
Operating expenses						
Cost of sales	3,071	7,489	30,002	26,229	27,348	
Research and development costs, net of tax credits and grants	_	_	8	12	272	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	1,350	592	4,279	2,639	4,215	
	4,421	8,081	34,289	28,880	31,835	
Net income (loss) from discontinued operations	2,353	1,183	34,055	2,732	(487)

^{*} Includes the non-refundable, one-time payment made by Merck Serono in exchange for the manufacturing rights for Cetrotide[®].

Revenues from discontinued operations

Sales and royalties related to discontinued operations were comprised both of net sales of Cetrotide® and of royalties, which represented the amortization, under the units-of-revenue method, of the proceeds received pursuant to a transaction with Healthcare Royalty Partners L.P. (formerly Cowen Healthcare Royalty Partners L.P.) ("HRP"), in which we monetized our royalty stream related to Cetrotide®. In this transaction, we had received a payment of \$52.5 million, less certain transaction costs, from HRP in exchange for our rights to royalties on future net sales of Cetrotide® generated by Merck Serono.

We had initially recorded the proceeds received from HRP as deferred revenue due to our then significant continuing involvement with the Cetrotide® Business. However, as of the Closing Date, there was no basis to continue amortizing the deferred revenue associated with HRP, primarily due to the fact that we no longer had significant continuing involvement in the Cetrotide® Business. As such, commencing on the Effective Date, we accelerated the amortization of the remaining deferred revenues of approximately \$31.9 million over the Interim Period, by continuing to apply the units-of-revenue method, which is consistent with past practice. The remaining deferred revenues were fully amortized through the end of September 2013.

Sales and royalties from discontinued operations were \$3.1 million and \$63.8 million for the three-month period and year ended December 31, 2013, respectively, as compared to \$9.2 million and \$30.7 million for the same periods in 2012.

The decrease in sales and royalties from discontinued operations during the quarter ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the quarter ended December 31, 2012, relates to the lower comparative volume of recurring Cetrotide® sales. Specifically, we recorded no sales of Cetrotide® during the three months ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the corresponding quarter of 2012, given that the transfer of the Cetrotide® Business was effective on October 1, 2013. However, in connection with the transfer of the Cetrotide® Business, we sold Cetrotide®-related inventory (amounting to approximately \$3.0 million) to Merck Serono on October 1, 2013. The sale of inventory assets, therefore, partially offset the significant comparative reduction in recurring Cetrotide® product sales.

²⁰¹³ compared to 2012

License fees and other revenues from discontinued operations increased significantly from each of the quarters and years ended December 31, 2012 to the same periods in 2013 primarily due to the recording, on the Closing Date, of the non-refundable, one-time payment made by Merck Serono, as discussed above.

Cost of sales from discontinued operations were \$3.1 million and \$30.0 million for the three-month period and year ended December 31, 2013, respectively, as compared to \$7.5 million and \$26.2 million for the same periods in 2012. The decrease in comparative quarterly cost of sales from discontinued operations results from the absence of recurring Cetrotide® product sales in the fourth quarter of 2013 as compared to the same quarter in 2012. On a comparative annual basis, however, total cost of sales from discontinued operations increased in 2013, as compared to 2012, as a result of the higher comparative volume of Cetrotide® product sales, including the sale of inventory assets to Merck Serono, as discussed above.

Additionally, cost of sales as a percentage of sales and royalties increased to approximately 100.5% for the three-month period ended December 31, 2013, as compared to 81.7% for the same period in 2012, mainly due to the absence of royalties recognized after the Cetrotide® Business was transferred.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, cost of sales as a percentage of sales and royalties decreased to approximately 47.1%, as compared to 85.4% for the same period in 2012, predominantly due to the accelerated recognition of royalties as mentioned above.

SG&A expenses from discontinued operations amounted to \$1.4 million and \$4.3 million for the three-month period and year ended December 31, 2013, respectively, as compared to \$0.6 million and \$2.6 million for the same periods in 2012. The year-over-year increase is largely attributable to the recording of a provision for certain non-cancellable contracts related to the Cetrotide® Business that were deemed onerous due to the fact that management expects no economic benefits to flow to the Company following the transfer of the Cetrotide® Business on the Closing Date. The provisions for onerous contracts total \$1.3 million and represent the present value of estimated unavoidable future royalty and patent costs associated with the intellectual property underlying Cetrotide®.

Net income from discontinued operations was \$2.4 million and \$34.1 million for the three-month period and year ended December 31, 2013, respectively, as compared to \$1.2 million and \$2.7 million for the same periods in 2012. The comparative increases reflect the net impact of items discussed above, and in particular, for comparative annual purposes, are influenced in large part by the inclusion of the accelerated recognition of previously deferred remaining HRP-related revenues as discontinued operations.

2012 compared to 2011

Revenues from discontinued operations, which included recurring sales of Cetrotide[®] and the ongoing amortization of the proceeds received in 2008 from HRP, were \$31.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to \$31.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Cost of sales from discontinued operations were \$26.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to \$27.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011.

SG&A expenses from discontinued operations were \$2.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to \$4.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The comparative decrease is attributable in large part to the absence of an impairment loss in 2012 on our Cetrotide® intangible asset, as compared to 2011.

Net income (loss) from discontinued operations was \$2.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to \$(0.5) million for the same periods in 2011. The comparative increase reflects the net impact of items discussed above.

Net (loss) income

2013 compared to 2012

Net (loss) income for the three-month period and the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$(8.2) million and \$6.8 million, or \$(0.22) and \$0.24 per basic and diluted share, respectively, compared to \$(6.9) million and \$(20.4) million, or \$(0.29) and \$(1.03) per basic and diluted share for the same periods in 2012.

The comparative quarter-to-quarter increase in net loss is mainly due to increased finance costs, partially offset by higher net income from discontinued operations and lower operating expenses. The comparative year-over-year decrease in net loss is mainly due to higher net income from discontinued operations and higher revenues, partially compensated by higher operating costs and lower finance income.

2012 compared to 2011

Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$20.4 million, or \$1.03 per basic and diluted share, compared to \$27.1 million, or \$1.72 per basic and diluted share for the same period in 2011. The decrease in net loss for the year ended December 31, 2012 is explained above.

Quarterly Consolidated Results of Operations Information

(in thousands, except for per share data)	Quarters ended		Santambar 20		Juna 20					
	December 31, 2013		September 30, 2013		June 30, 2013		March 31, 201	3		
	\$		\$		\$		\$			
Revenues	Ψ —		17		96		6,062			
Loss from operations	(7,972)	(8,648)	(9,693)	(1,163)		
Net (loss) income from continuing operations	(10,596)	(7,799)	(9,848)	1,003			
Net (loss) income	(8,243)	3,842		9,330	,	1,886			
Net (loss) income per share from continuing operations (basic and diluted)*	(0.28)	(0.26)	(0.39)	0.04			
Net (loss) income per share (basic and diluted)*	(0.22)	0.13		0.37		0.07			
(in thousands, except for per share data)	Quarters ende	d								
	-		December 31, 2012		September 30, 2012		June 30, 2012		March 31, 2012	
	\$		\$		\$		\$			
Revenues	201						1 105			
Revenues	281		265		402		1,105			
Loss from operations	281 (8,119)	265 (6,447)	402 (7,672)	1,105 (7,498)		
)))	•)		
Loss from operations	(8,119)	(6,447)	(7,672)	(7,498)		
Loss from operations Net (loss) income from continuing operations	(8,119 (8,130)	(6,447 (7,321))	(7,672 4,468)	(7,498 (12,161))		

Net (loss) income per share is based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during each reporting period, which may differ on a quarter-to-quarter basis. As such, the sum of the quarterly net (loss) income per share amounts may not equal year-to-date net (loss) income per share.

Historical quarterly results of operations and net (loss) income cannot be taken as reflective of recurring expenditure patterns or predictable trends.

In the last eight quarters, net (loss) income has been impacted by revenues from continuing operations, which have been non-recurring and have been derived predominantly from licensing initiatives, by the comparative level of net R&D costs in connection with the development and termination of our previous perifosine Phase 3 programs, by the increased development of zoptarelin doxorubicin, including the initiation in 2013 of a Phase 3 ZoptEC trial and by the development of MACRILENTM and of certain earlier stage compounds, as well as by the net income (loss) from discontinued operations, related to the transfer of the Cetrotide[®] Business mentioned above.

Quarterly net (loss) income was also impacted by the recognition of termination benefits granted to our former CEO and to the related non-cash share-based compensation costs in the second quarter of 2013, as well as by foreign exchange gains or losses and changes in fair value of our warrant liability.

Consolidated Statement of Financial Position Information

	As at December 31,	
(in thousands)	2013	2012
	\$	\$
Cash and cash equivalents	43,202	39,521
Trade and other receivables and other current assets	2,453	13,780
Restricted cash	865	826
Property, plant and equipment	1,351	2,147
Other non-current assets	11,325	11,391
Total assets	59,196	67,665
Payables and other current liabilities	7,242	10,470
Current portion of deferred revenues	_	5,235
Warrant liability (current and non-current portions)	18,010	6,176
Non-financial non-current liabilities*	16,880	52,479
Total liabilities	42,132	74,360
Shareholders' equity (deficiency)	17,064	(6,695)
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity (deficiency)	59,196	67,665

^{*} Comprised mainly of non-current portion of deferred revenues, employee future benefits and provisions.

The increase in cash and cash equivalents as at December 31, 2013, as compared to December 31, 2012, is due to the receipt of net proceeds of \$20.8 million pursuant to registered direct and public offerings and \$2.9 million in drawdowns made under our May 2013 ATM Program, the receipt of the non-refundable, one-time payment after the Closing Date of the transactions involving the discontinuation of the Cetrotide® Business, variations in components of our working capital and the relative strengthening, as at December 31, 2013, of the euro against the US dollar, as compared to December 31, 2012, partially offset by recurring disbursements.

The decrease in trade and other receivables and other current assets as at December 31, 2013, as compared to December 31, 2012, is mainly due to lower trade accounts receivable, inventory and prepaid expenses as a result of the transfer of the Cetrotide® Business, partly offset by the relative strengthening, as at December 31, 2013, of the euro against the US dollar, as compared to December 31, 2012.

The decrease in payables and other current liabilities as at December 31, 2013, as compared to December 31, 2012, is mainly due to lower trade accounts payable, lower accrued Cetrotide® services and deliveries and lower accrued R&D costs, partly offset by the relative strengthening, as at December 31, 2013, of the euro against the US dollar, as compared to December 31, 2012.

The decrease in current portion of deferred revenues as at December 31, 2013, as compared to December 31, 2012, is predominantly due to the change in the timing in the amortization of deferred revenues, as mentioned above. Our warrant liability increased from December 31, 2012 to December 31, 2013 predominantly due to the issuance of 15.7 million additional share purchase warrants in connection with the registered direct and public offerings mentioned above. The increase was partly offset by net fair value gains, recorded pursuant to the periodic "mark-to-market" revaluation of the underlying outstanding share purchase warrants.

The decrease in non-financial non-current liabilities as at December 31, 2013, as compared to December 31, 2012, is mainly due to a decrease in deferred revenues, resulting predominantly from the amortization of upfront payments received from our partners in connection with Cetrotide® and perifosine, as mentioned above, and to the decrease of \$1.8 million in our pension-related employee benefit obligation (due predominantly to the recording of an actuarial gain). These decreases were partly offset by the recognition of a provision for onerous contracts of \$1.3 million, as mentioned above, and by the relative strengthening, as at December 31, 2013, of the euro against the US dollar, as compared to December 31, 2012.

The significant increase in shareholders' equity from December 31, 2012 to December 31, 2013 is mainly attributable to the decrease in our deficit due to the recording of net income, to the increase in share capital following the issuance of common shares pursuant to the aforementioned registered direct and public offerings and May 2013 ATM Program drawdowns, to the decrease in accumulated other comprehensive loss due to foreign currency translation gains and to the increase in other capital due to the recording of share-based compensation costs.

Financial Liabilities, Obligations and Commitments

We have certain contractual lease obligation commitments as well as other long-term obligations related to unfunded benefit pension plans and unfunded post-employment benefit plans. The following tables summarize future cash requirements with respect to these obligations.

Future minimum lease payments and future minimum sublease payments expected to be received under non-cancellable operating leases (subleases), as well as future payments in connection with utility service agreements are as follows:

are as follows:	As at December 31, 2013						
(in thousands)	Minimum lease payments	Minimum sublease payments	Utilities				
	\$	\$	\$				
Less than 1 year	1,795	(226) 640				
1-3 years	2,562	(451) 559				
4 – 5 years	515	(244) —				
More than 5 years	_	_	_				
Total	4,872	(921) 1,199				

In accordance with the assumptions used in our employee future benefits obligation calculation as at December 31, 2013, undiscounted benefits expected to be paid are as follows:

	As at December 31,
(in thousands)	2013
	\$
Less than 1 year	531
1-3 years	1,177
4 – 5 years	1,259
More than 5 years	26,654
Total	29,621

Outstanding Share Data

As at March 20, 2014, we had 56,513,969 common shares issued and outstanding, as well as 2,546,740 stock options outstanding. Warrants outstanding as at March 20, 2014 represented a total of 28,907,410 equivalent common shares. Capital Disclosures

Our objective in managing capital, consisting of shareholders' equity (deficiency), with cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash equivalents being its primary components, is to ensure sufficient liquidity to fund R&D activities, selling, general and administrative expenses, working capital and capital expenditures.

In the past, we have had access to liquidity through non-dilutive sources, including investment tax credits and grants, interest income, licensing and related services and royalties. More recently, we have increasingly raised capital via public equity offerings and drawdowns under various ATM sales programs.

Our capital management objective remains the same as that in previous periods. The policy on dividends is to retain cash to keep funds available to finance the activities required to advance our product development portfolio. We are not subject to any capital requirements imposed by any regulators or by any other external source.

Liquidity, Cash Flows and Capital Resources

Our operations and capital expenditures have been financed through certain transactions impacting our cash flows from operating activities, public equity offerings, as well as from the drawdowns under various ATM programs, as discussed above.

Our cash and cash equivalents amounted to \$43.2 million as at December 31, 2013, as compared to \$39.5 million as at December 31, 2012. As at December 31, 2013, we had cash and cash equivalents amounting to \$9.3 million that were denominated in euros.

Based on our assessment, which took into account current cash levels, as well as our strategic plan and corresponding budgets and forecasts, we believe that we have sufficient liquidity and financial resources to fund planned expenditures and other working capital needs for at least, but not limited to, the 12-month period following the statement of financial position date of December 31, 2013.

We may endeavour to secure additional financing, as required, through strategic alliance arrangements or through other activities, as well as via the issuance of new share capital.

The variations in our liquidity by activity are explained below.

(in thousands)	Three-month periods ended December 31,			Years ended December 31,					
	2013 \$	2012 \$		2013 \$	2012 \$	2011 \$			
Cash and cash equivalents - Beginning of period	24,829	33,202		39,521	46,881	31,998			
Cash flows from operating activities:									
Cash used in operating activities from continuing operations	(6,184	(6,481)	(30,131	(25,681)	(22,454)			
Cash provided by (used in) operating activities from discontinued operations	9,622	(2,282)	10,147	(5,134)	(3,789)			
	3,438	(8,763)	(19,984	(30,815)	(26,243)			
Cash flows from financing activities: Net proceeds from issuance of common shares and warrants	14,795	15,097		23,708	23,619	36,250			
Net proceeds from the exercise of share					589	2,306			
purchase warrants and other	14,795	15,097		23,708	24,208	38,556			
Cash flows from investing activities:									
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities from continuing operations	,	(113)	(85) (272	2,463			
Net cash provided by investing activities from discontinued operations	113	_		113	_	_			
discontinued operations	92	(113)	28	(272)	2,463			
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	48	98		(71) (481	107			
Cash and cash equivalents - End of period	43,202	39,521		43,202	39,521	46,881			

Operating Activities

2013 compared to 2012

Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities were \$3.4 million and \$(20.0) million for the three-month period and the year ended December 31, 2013, respectively, compared to \$(8.8) million and \$(30.8) million for the same periods in 2012. The significant decreases in cash flows used in operating activities are mainly due to the cash provided by operating activities from discontinued operations as a result of the changes in operating assets and liabilities and to the receipt, during the fourth quarter of 2013, of the non-refundable, one-time payment received from Merck Serono pursuant to the transfer of the Cetrotide® Business, as discussed above.

The year-over-year decrease in cash flows used in operating activities is partly offset by the increase in cash used in operating activities from continuing operations, which is explained by the comparable increase in R&D and SG&A expenditures, mainly related to the zoptarelin doxorubicin and MACRILENTM projects, as well as by lower cash flows provided by license fee revenues.

We expect net cash used in operating activities to range from \$33 million to \$35 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, as we continue to invest in zoptarelin doxorubicin, our ZoptEC Phase 3 program and related sub-studies, as well as the pre-launch activities related to MACRILENTM in AGHD in the U.S. market. This estimate may vary significantly in future periods, most notably as a result of the strategic review of our R&D activities, as discussed further below.

2012 compared to 2011

Cash flows used in operating activities totalled \$30.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to \$26.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. Operating cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2011 included the receipt of a non-recurring \$8.4 million upfront payment made by Yakult in connection with our development, commercialization and licensing agreement for the rights related to perifosine in Japan. The increase in cash used in operating activities during 2012 was partially offset by a lower loss from operations for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Financing Activities

2012 compared to 2011

Cash flows provided by financing activities were \$24.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to \$38.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The year-over-year decrease is primarily due to lower proceeds from the issuance of common shares and warrants, which resulted in the receipt of net cash proceeds of \$23.6 million in 2012, as compared to \$36.3 million for the same period in 2011, and to lower proceeds received on the exercise of share purchase warrants.

Investing Activities

2012 compared to 2011

financial statements are prepared.

Cash flows (used in) provided by investing activities totalled \$(0.3) million for the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to \$2.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. This decrease is due to the absence, in 2012, of cash proceeds received on the sale of short-term investments, partly offset by lower cash disbursements made in connection with the purchases of laboratory and other equipment used in ongoing R&D activities.

Critical Accounting Policies, Estimates and Judgments

Our consolidated financial statements as at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 have been prepared in accordance with IFRS as issued by the IASB. The preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with IFRS requires management to make judgments, estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of our assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and related disclosures. Judgments, estimates and assumptions are based on historical experience, expectations, current trends and other factors that management believes to be relevant at the time at which our consolidated

Management reviews, on a regular basis, the Company's accounting policies, assumptions, estimates and judgments in order to ensure that the consolidated financial statements are presented fairly and in accordance with IFRS. Revisions to accounting estimates are recognized in the period in which the estimates are revised and in any future periods affected.

A summary of those critical accounting estimates and assumptions, as well as critical judgments used in applying accounting policies in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements, can be found in note 3 to our consolidated financial statements as at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Adopted in 2013

The following new standards and amendments to standards are effective for the first time for interim periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013 and have been applied in preparing these consolidated financial statements. The accounting policies have been applied consistently by all subsidiaries of the Company.

IFRS 10, Consolidated Financial Statements ("IFRS 10"), which builds on existing principles by identifying the concept of control as the determining factor in whether an entity should be included within the consolidated financial statements of a parent company. IFRS 10 also provides additional guidance to assist in the determination of control where this is difficult to assess.

IFRS 11, Joint Arrangements ("IFRS 11"), which enhances accounting for joint arrangements, particularly by focusing on the rights and obligations of the arrangement, rather than the arrangement's legal form. IFRS 11 also addresses inconsistencies in the reporting of joint arrangements by requiring a single method to account for interests in jointly controlled entities and prohibits proportionate consolidation.

IFRS 12, Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities, which is a comprehensive standard on disclosure requirements for all forms of interests in other entities, including joint arrangements, associates, special purpose vehicles and other off-balance sheet vehicles.

IFRS 13, Fair Value Measurement ("IFRS 13"), which defines fair value, sets out in a single IFRS a framework for measuring fair value and requires disclosures about fair value measurements. IFRS 13 does not determine when an asset, a liability or an entity's own equity instrument is measured at fair value. Rather, the measurement and disclosure requirements of IFRS 13 apply when another IFRS requires or permits the item to be measured at fair value (with limited exceptions).

In June 2011, the IASB issued an amended version of IAS 19, Employee Benefits, including the elimination of the option to defer the recognition of actuarial gains and losses (known as the "corridor method"), the streamlining of the presentation of changes in assets and liabilities arising from defined benefit plans and the enhancement of the disclosure requirements for defined benefit plans, including additional information about the characteristics of defined benefit plans and the risks to which entities are exposed through participation in those plans.

In December 2011, the IASB issued an amended version of IFRS 7, Financial Instruments: Disclosure ("IFRS 7"), including the requirement to disclose information that enables users of an entity's financial statements to evaluate the effect, or potential effect, of offsetting financial assets and financial liabilities, to the entity's financial position. The impact of the adoption of these standards and amendments did not have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Not yet adopted

On May 29, 2013, the IASB made amendments to the disclosure requirements of IAS 36, Impairment of Assets ("IAS 36"), requiring disclosure, in certain instances, of the recoverable amount of an asset or cash generating unit, and the basis for the determination of fair value less costs of disposal, when an impairment loss is recognized or when an impairment loss is subsequently reversed. The amendments to IAS 36 are effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2014 and will be applied prospectively. The Company does not expect that these amendments will have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In May 2013, the IFRS Interpretations Committee ("IFRIC") issued International Financial Reporting Standard Interpretation 21, Levies ("IFRIC 21"), an interpretation on the accounting for levies imposed by governments. IFRIC 21 is an interpretation of IAS 37, Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets ("IAS 37"). IAS 37 sets out criteria for the recognition of a liability, one of which is the requirement for the entity to have a present obligation as a result of a past event (known as an obligating event). IFRIC 21 clarifies that the obligating event that gives rise to a liability to pay a levy is the activity described in the relevant legislation that triggers the payment of the levy. IFRIC 21 is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2014 and is to be applied on a retrospective basis.

The Company does not expect that IFRIC 21 will have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In November 2009 and October 2010, the IASB issued IFRS 9, Financial Instruments ("IFRS 9"), which represents the completion of the first part of a three-part project to replace IAS 39, Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement. Under the new standard, an entity choosing to measure a liability at fair value will present the portion of the change in its fair value due to changes in the entity's own credit risk in the other comprehensive income or loss section of the entity's statement of comprehensive income (loss), rather than within profit or loss in the case where the fair value option is taken for financial liabilities. Additionally, IFRS 7, which is effective on adoption of IFRS 9, was amended to include revised guidance related to the derecognition of financial instruments. The Company is currently assessing the impact, if any, that IFRS 9 will have on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Outlook for 2014

MACRILENTM

Throughout the remainder of 2014, we expect to advance the pre-launch activities related to the initial commercialization of MACRILENTM for the evaluation of AGHD in the U.S. market. As noted above, our NDA is currently under substantive review by the FDA. Subject to the successful review and acceptance of our NDA, we expect to make MACRILENTM available by prescription in the U.S. as soon as commercially practicable following final regulatory approval.

There are approximately 36,000 AGHD tests performed annually in the U.S. Based on published information from the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and by Navigant Research, we estimate that the total potential U.S. market for AGHD evaluation is approximately 158,000 tests per year, including the evaluation of patients who have suffered traumatic brain injury ("TBI"). Research published in the British Journal of Neurosurgery (2007) and in the Frontiers in Endocrinology (2011) estimates that approximately 19% of hospitalized patients suffering from severe and moderate cases of TBI will develop growth hormone deficiency.

We intend to build a commercial infrastructure necessary to access the physicians who perform the majority of AGHD tests (endocrinologists) along with the major centers of AGHD influence. Commercial initiatives are likely to include the targeted selection, hiring and deployment of a contracted sales force by the end of 2014. The targeted marketing efforts of our sales force will reach endocrinology specialists of AGHD. We believe these efforts will enable the realization of a substantial portion of the potential commercial opportunity for MACRILENTM.

We are evaluating the possible final distribution channels for MACRILENTM, however, we expect that MACRILENTM will be accessed through a mixture of specialty pharmacies, hospital pharmacies, wholesalers and other secondary channels.

To date, we have established an agreement with a contract manufacturer for the commercial supply of the product and expect to use a third-party logistics provider for key services related to logistics, warehousing and inventory management.

We will continue to evaluate the potential to commercialize MACRILENTM in other geographic territories, including Canada and Europe.

Zoptarelin doxorubicin

We expect to complete initiation of clinical sites (over 100) for our Phase 3 ZoptEC study with Ergomed. Our goal is to secure a first interim (futility) analysis for the Phase 3 ZoptEC study during the first half of 2015 by reaching anticipated patient enrollment.

We also expect to disclose results of the Phase 2 investigator-driven study in castration- and taxane-resistant prostate cancer, for which the investigator of this study was awarded a grant from the National Institutes of Health. Business development

With our focus to become a growth-oriented, specialty biopharmaceutical company, and in addition to our commitment to developing key product candidates in our existing pipeline, we expect to continue to evaluate potential in-licensing and/or acquisition opportunities, as well as co-promotional arrangements related to targeted commercial products.

Expectations for revenues, operating expenditures and cash flows

Revenues from continuing operations are expected to significantly decrease in 2014, as compared to 2013, mainly as a result of the transfer of the Cetrotide[®] Business and as all deferred revenues have been recognized in 2013.

Our main focus for R&D efforts will be on our later-stage compound, zoptarelin doxorubicin and its Phase 3 ZoptEC study, as discussed above, where we anticipate substantial investment to fund ongoing development initiatives. For earlier-stage initiatives and product candidates, we expect to recover certain R&D costs through grants, R&D credits or other collaboration

agreements. As noted above, however, we currently are in the process of performing a review of all of our preclinical activities in order to streamline our operations, reduce our operating cash burn and more appropriately align our financial resources with our longer-term strategic goals. This review may result in changes to our future overall R&D activities and cost profile that may have a significant impact on our results of operations versus currently available information and forecasts, which estimates net R&D costs at between \$24 million and \$26 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. As such, our net R&D cost estimates may be revised in future periods as we continue to review our R&D activities, advance R&D development and as new information becomes available.

Our SG&A expenses are expected to decrease in 2014, as compared to 2013, despite the ramping up of pre-commercialization efforts related to the expected launch of MACRILENTM in the U.S. The overall decrease largely reflects the decrease in termination benefits, which in 2013 were paid to our former CEO, as discussed above. We expect that our overall operating burn in 2014 will range from \$33 million to \$35 million as we continue to fund operating activities and working capital requirements, and excluding the impact of any decisions associated with the overall review of our R&D activities and of any foreign exchange impacts. Our Board of Directors and management team are committed to optimizing our use of operating cash flows, and while we cannot provide any definitive conclusions or address the timeline and potential results of the aforementioned strategic review, we continue to work diligently in order to reach a successful outcome.

Financial Risk Factors and Other Instruments

Fair value risk

The change in our warrant liability, which is measured at fair value through profit or loss, results from the periodic "mark-to-market" revaluation, via the application of the Black-Scholes option pricing model, of currently outstanding share purchase warrants. The Black-Scholes valuation is impacted, among other inputs, by the market price of our common shares. As a result, the change in fair value of the warrant liability, which is reported as finance income (costs) in our consolidated statements of comprehensive income (loss), has been and may continue in future periods to be materially affected by changes in our common share closing price, which has ranged from \$1.03 to \$3.23 on the NASDAO during the year ended December 31, 2013.

If variations in the market price of our common shares of -10% and +10% were to occur, the impact on our net income (loss) for the warrant liability held at December 31, 2013 would be as follows:

(in thousands)	Carrying	-10%	+10%	
(in thousands)	amount		11070	
	\$	\$	\$	
Warrant liability	18,010	2,205	(2,172)
Total impact on net income – increase / (decrease)		2,205	(2,172)
Familian armanar sials				

Foreign currency risk

Since we operate internationally, we are exposed to currency risks as a result of potential exchange rate fluctuations related to non-intragroup transactions. In particular, fluctuations in the U.S. dollar exchange rates against the euro could have a significant impact on our results of operations.

If foreign exchange rate variations of -5% (depreciation of the EUR) and +5% (appreciation of the EUR) against the US\$, from period-end rates of EUR1 = US\$1.3779 were to occur, the impact on our net (loss) income for each category of financial instruments held at December 31, 2013 would be as follows:

		Balances denominated in US\$			
(in thousands)	Carrying amount	-5%	+5%		
	\$	\$	\$		
Cash and cash equivalents	27,452	1,373	(1,373)	
Warrant liability	18,010	(901) 900		
Total impact on net income – increase / (decrease)		472	(473)	

Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that we will not be able to meet our financial obligations as they become due. We manage this risk through the management of our capital structure and by continuously monitoring actual and projected cash flows. The Board of Directors reviews and approves our operating and capital budgets, as well as any material transactions out of the ordinary course of business. We have adopted an investment policy in respect of the safety and preservation of our capital to ensure our liquidity needs are met. The instruments are selected with regard to the expected timing of expenditures and prevailing interest rates.

We believe that we have sufficient funds to pay our ongoing general and administrative expenses, to pursue our R&D activities and to meet our liabilities, obligations and existing commitments as they fall due for the ensuing twelve months. In assessing whether the going concern assumption is appropriate, we take into account all available information about the future, which is at least, but not limited to, twelve months from the end of the reporting period. We expect to continue to incur operating losses and may require significant capital to fulfill our future obligations. Our ability to continue future operations beyond December 31, 2014 and to fund our activities is dependent on our ability to secure additional financings which may be completed in a number of ways including but not limited to licensing arrangements, partnerships, share and other equity issuances and other financing activities. We will pursue such additional sources of financing when required, and while we have been successful in securing financing in the past, there can be no assurance we will be able to do so in the future or that these sources of funding or initiatives will be available for the Company or that they will be available on terms which are acceptable to us.

Credit risk

Credit risk is the risk of an unexpected loss if a customer or counterparty to a financial instrument fails to meet its contractual obligations. We regularly monitor credit risk exposure and take steps to mitigate the likelihood of this exposure resulting in losses. Our exposure to credit risk currently relates to cash and cash equivalents, to trade and other receivables and to restricted cash. We invest our available cash in amounts that are readily convertible to known amounts of cash and deposit our cash balances with financial institutions that are rated the equivalent of "Baa1" and above. This information is supplied by independent rating agencies where available and, if not available, we use publicly available financial information to ensure that we invest our cash in creditworthy and reputable financial institutions.

As at December 31, 2013, trade accounts receivable for an amount of approximately \$1.7 million were with one customer.

As at December 31, 2013, no trade accounts receivable were past due or impaired.

Generally, we do not require collateral or other security from customers for trade accounts receivable; however, credit is extended following an evaluation of creditworthiness. In addition, we perform ongoing credit reviews of all our customers and establish an allowance for doubtful accounts when accounts are determined to be uncollectible. The maximum exposure to credit risk approximates the amount recognized on the statement of financial position.

Related Party Transactions and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

In addition to payments made to members of our key management team, during the year ended December 31, 2013, we paid \$76,800 in professional fees to one of the members of the Company's Board of Directors for special tasks mandated by our Nominating, Corporate Governance and Compensation Committee.

As at December 31, 2013, we did not have any interests in special purpose entities or any other off-balance sheet arrangements.

Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees

A. Directors and senior management

The following table sets forth information about our directors and corporate officers as at March 20, 2014.

Name and Place of Residence Position with Aeterna Zentaris

Aubut, Marcel Director

Quebec, Canada

Dodd, David A. President and Chief Executive Officer

South Carolina, United States

Dinges, Jude Senior Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer

Georgia, United States

Dorais, José P. Director

Quebec, Canada

Egbert, Carolyn Director

Texas, United States

Ernst, Juergen Chairman of the Board and Director

Brussels, Belgium

Lapalme, Pierre Director

Quebec, Canada

Limoges, Gérard Director

Quebec, Canada

Métivier, Amélie Assistant Secretary

Quebec, Canada

Sachse, Richard Senior Vice President, Chief Scientific Officer/Chief Medical Officer

Mittelbiberach, Germany

Shapiro, Elliot Corporate Secretary

Quebec, Canada

Turpin, Dennis Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Ouebec, Canada

There are no family relationships among any of the directors or executive officers of the Company and its subsidiaries.

The following is a brief biography of each of our directors and senior officers.

Marcel Aubut has served as a director on our Board since 1996. Mr. Aubut is a partner and Vice-Chairmain of the Board of BCF LLP, a law firm. The countless companies and boards with which Marcel Aubut has been involved over the years demonstrate his versatility and, above all, his vast experience in the world of business. These include, among others, Atomic Energy of Canada, Olymel L.P. (Olybro), Boralex Power Income Fund, Triton Electronik, Whole Foods Market Canada, Hydro-Québec (Executive Committee), Purolator Courier Ltd., Tremblant Resort, Cinar Inc., La Laurentienne générale, La Laurentienne vie, Investors Group Inc., Transforce Inc., Intra Continental Insurers Ltd., the National Hockey League Pension Society, Boréal Entreprises Premier CDN Ltée, Les Industries Amisco Ltée, Donohue Matane Inc., La Société de développement du Loisir et du Sport du Québec, the Canadian Olympic Committee, the Canadian Olympic Foundation, member of VANOC's Audit Committee, Governance and Ethics Committee and Observer Team, Sodic Québec Inc., Innovatech Québec, Textile Dionne, Canada's Sports Hall of Fame, the Committee for the 2002 Quebec City Olympic Games Bid, the Committee for the 2015 Toronto Pan American Games Bid, la Fondation Nordiques, etc. He has also presided over the establishment of numerous industrial projects in the greater region of Quebec City.

Jude Dinges was appointed our Senior Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer in November 2013. He began his career nearly 30 years ago as a professional sales representative at Bristol Laboratories and later at Merck & Co., where he was promoted to positions with increased responsibilities in training, sales, management, marketing, and market development. While at Merck, Mr. Dinges won multiple awards, including the President's Achievement Award in 2001, awarded to one of 32 Business Directors each year. He received the Change Agent Award for his market development prelaunch business planning and contributions to sales force execution, while launching the blockbuster brands Cozaar®, Fosamax®, Singulair®, Maxalt®, Vioxx®, and Vytorin®. He was recognized with a Career Achievement Award for his consistent top performance as a Senior/Executive Business Director. Mr. Dinges joined Novartis Pharmaceuticals in 2006 and led his region to top performance in the launch of Tekturna® while balancing a broad antihypertensive portfolio across several Novartis divisions. His region also led the nation in market share for Exelon® and Exelon Patch®. In 2008, Mr. Dinges became the Respiratory & Infectious Disease Specialty Medicines Director. In 2009, Mr. Dinges joined Amgen Inc. as Executive Director of Region Sales, Bone Health Business Unit. Mr. Dinges led his region team to a highly successful launch of monoclonal antibody, Prolia®, across southeastern United States and Puerto Rico.

David A. Dodd was appointed our President and CEO in April 2013. Mr. Dodd's executive management experience in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries spans more than 35 years. Prior to joining Aeterna Zentaris, Mr. Dodd was President and CEO of Solvay Pharmaceuticals, Inc. During his six-year tenure as President, CEO and Director of Serologicals Corporation, the market value of the company increased from \$85 million in June 2000 to an all-cash sale to Millipore Corporation in July 2006 for \$1.5 billion. He also was President, CEO and Chairman of BioReliance Corporation, a leading provider of biological safety and related testing services. Prior to that, Mr. Dodd held various senior management positions at Wyeth-Ayerst Laboratories, the Mead Johnson Laboratories Division at Bristol-Myers Squibb, and Abbott Laboratories. Mr. Dodd holds a Master degree from Georgia State University, and completed the Harvard Business School Advanced Management Program.

José P. Dorais has served as a director on our Board since 2006. Mr. Dorais is a partner of Miller Thomson LLP, a law firm, where he mainly practices administrative, corporate, business and international trade law. Over his 35-year career, he has worked in both the private and public sectors; in the latter he acted as Secretary to the Minister of Justice and as Secretary of the consulting committee on the Free Trade Agreement for the Quebec Provincial Government. Mr. Dorais has been a member of numerous boards of directors, including the Société des Alcools du Québec, Armand-Frappier Institute, Biochem Pharma and St-Luc Hospital in Montreal. He was, until recently, a member of the Board of Directors of Alliance Films Inc. and Investissement Québec and Chairman of the Board of Foster Wheeler Énergie Inc. He holds a law degree from the University of Ottawa and is a member of the Barreau du Ouébec.

Carolyn Egbert has served as a director on our Board since August 2012. After enjoying the private practice of law as a defense litigator in Michigan and Washington, D.C., she joined Solvay America, Inc. ("Solvay") (a chemical and pharmaceutical company) in Houston, Texas. Over the course of a twenty-year career with Solvay, she held the positions of Vice President, Human Resources, President of Solvay Management Services, Global Head of Human

Resources and Senior Executive Vice President of Global Ethics and Compliance. During her tenure with Solvay, she served as a director on the Board of Directors of seven subsidiary companies. After retiring in 2010, she established a consulting business providing expertise in corporate governance, ethics and compliance, organizational development and strategic human resources. She holds a Bachelor of Sciences degree in Biological Sciences from George Washington University, Washington D.C. and a Juris Doctor degree from Seattle University, Seattle, Washington. She also was a Ph.D. candidate in Pharmacology at both Georgetown University Medical School at Washington, D.C. and Northwestern University Medical School at Chicago, Illinois. She remains an active member of both the Michigan State Bar and the District of Columbia Bar, Washington, D.C.

Juergen Ernst has served as a director on our Board since 2005. As the former General Manager of the Pharmaceutical Sector of Solvay S.A. (international chemical and pharmaceutical group), Mr. Ernst has had extensive senior management experience, where, among other functions, he oversaw the human resources department. Mr. Ernst is also a member of the Board of Directors of Pharming Group N.V., a biotechnology company based in the Netherlands. Pierre Lapalme has served as a director on our Board since December 2009. Mr. Lapalme has, over the course of his career, held numerous senior management positions in various global life sciences companies. He is former Senior Vice President, Sales and Marketing for Ciba-Geigy (which subsequently became Novartis) and former Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Rhone-Poulenc Pharmaceuticals Inc. in Canada and in North America, as well as Executive Vice President and Chief Executive Officer of Rhone-Poulenc-Rorer Inc. North America (now sanofi-aventis), where he supervised the development, manufacturing and sales of prescription products in North and Central America. Mr. Lapalme served on the Board of Directors of the National Pharmaceutical Council USA and was a member of the Board of Directors of the Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Association of Canada, where he played a leading role in reinstituting patent protection for pharmaceuticals. Until recently, he was a member of the Board and Chairman of the Board of Sciele Pharma Inc., which was acquired by Shionogi and Co. Ltd. Mr. Lapalme is currently Chairman of the Board of Biomarin Inc., Chairman of the Board of Pediapharm Inc., Chairman of the Board of GlyPharma Therapeutics and a member of the Board of Directors of Algorithme Pharma Inc. and of Insy's Therapeutics Inc., a Phoenix-Arizona based specialty pharma company. He studied at the University of Western Ontario and at INSEAD, France.

Gérard Limoges, has served as a director on our Board since 2004. Mr. Limoges served as the Deputy Chairman of Ernst & Young LLP Canada until his retirement in September 1999. After a career of 37 years with Ernst & Young, Mr. Limoges has been devoting his time as a director of a number of companies. Mr. Limoges began his career with Ernst & Young in Montreal in 1962. After graduating from the Management Faculty of the Université de Montréal (HEC Montréal) in 1966, he wrote the CICA exams the same year (Honors: Governor General's Gold Medal for the highest marks in Canada and Gold Medal of the Ordre des Comptables Agréés du Québec). He became a chartered accountant in 1967 and partner of Ernst & Young in 1971. After practicing as auditor since 1962 and partner since 1971, he was appointed Managing Partner of the Montreal Office in 1979 and Chairman for Quebec in 1984 when he also joined the National Executive Committee. In 1992, he was appointed Vice Chairman of Ernst & Young Canada and the following year, Deputy Chairman of the Canadian firm. After retirement from practice at the end of September 1999, he was appointed Trustee of the School board of Greater Montreal (1999), member of the Quebec Commission on Health Care and Social Services (2000-2001) and special advisor to the Rector of the Université de Montréal and affiliate schools (2000-2003). Mr. Limoges, at the request of the Board of Directors of the Université de Montréal, participated in the selection of the Dean of the Faculty of Medicine in 2011. Mr. Limoges is a board member or trustee and chairman of the Audit Committees of the following public companies: Aeterna Zentaris Inc. (TSX and the NASDAQ), Hartco Inc. (TSX), PROREIT (TSX) and Hart Stores Inc. (TSXV). He is also a board member of various private companies and charities. Mr. Limoges became an FCPA, FCA (Fellow) in 1984 and received the Order of Canada in 2002.

Amélie Métivier, Assistant Secretary. Ms. Métivier has served as our Assistant Secretary since April 2009. In addition, Ms. Métivier is currently a lawyer at the law firm of Norton Rose Fulbright Canada LLP with a business law and transaction-oriented practice, where she has worked since 2003. She is a member of the Barreau du Québec and holds an LL.B. (2004) degree from the Université de Montréal.

Richard Sachse was appointed our Senior Vice President and Chief Scientific Officer in January 2014. In March 2014, he was also appointed Chief Medical Officer. Dr. Sachse holds a degree in medicine from the Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen, in Germany, and a board certification in Clinical Pharmacology. With more than 20 years experience as a physician and scientist, he has extensive expertise in a variety of different therapeutic areas, including endocrinology and oncology. In addition to registration studies, he is especially experienced in the design and implementation of translational programs to bridge research programs to the clinic, as well as in the design and implementation of clinical pharmacology programs, including all required profiling studies and activities, enabling successful registration of products at the international level. From 1996 to 2000, he was International Project Leader at the Bayer AG Institute for Clinical Pharmacology, and Principal Investigator at the Bayer Clinical

Pharmacology Unit, implementing innovative exploratory development tools, including biomarkers to demonstrate early Proof of Concept. From 2001 to 2006, Dr. Sachse held a variety of different management positions within early and late phase clinical development programs, including responsibilities for completed Phase 3 programs leading to successful NDA/MAA submissions. In 2007, after a merger, he became Senior Director, Head of Experimental Medicine, at UCB in Belgium, where he managed the implementation of novel biomarkers in clinical development to provide data supporting identification of appropriate target indication and target population. In 2010, Dr. Sachse became Vice President, Head of Global Translational Medicine at Boehringer Ingelheim.

Elliot Shapiro was appointed our Corporate Secretary in April 2009. In addition, Mr. Shapiro is currently a partner and a lawyer at the law firm of Norton Rose Fulbright Canada LLP with a business law and transaction-oriented practice, where he has worked since 1999. He is a member of the Barreau du Québec. Mr. Shapiro holds B.C.L. (1999), LL.B. (1999) and B.A. (1993) degrees from McGill University.

Dennis Turpin was appointed our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer in August 2007. Prior to that, he served as our Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since June 1999. Mr. Turpin joined Aeterna Zentaris in August 1996 as Director of Finance. Prior to that, he was Director in the tax department at Coopers Lybrand, now PricewaterhouseCoopers, from 1988 to 1996 and worked as an auditor from 1985 to 1988. Mr. Turpin earned his Bachelor's degree in Accounting from Laval University in Québec. He obtained his license in accounting in 1985 and became a chartered accountant in 1987.

B. Compensation

Our executive officers are generally paid in their home country's currency. Unless otherwise indicated, all directors' and executive compensation information included in this document is presented in US dollars and, to the extent a director or officer has been paid in a currency other than US dollars (i.e. Canadian dollars or euros), the amounts have been converted from such person's home country currency to US dollars based on the following average exchange rates: for the financial year ended December 31, 2013: $\{1.000 = \text{US}\}1.329$ and CAN\$1.000 = US\$0.971; for the financial year ended December 31, 2012: $\{1.000 = \text{US}\}1.286$ and CAN\$1.000 = US\$1.001; and for the financial year ended December 31, 2011: $\{1.000 = \text{US}\}1.392$ and CAN\$1.000 = US\$1.011.

1. Compensation of Outside Directors

The compensation paid to the Company's directors is designed to (i) attract and retain the most qualified people to serve on the Board and its committees, (ii) align the interests of the Company's directors with those of its shareholders, and (iii) provide appropriate compensation for the risks and responsibilities related to being an effective director. This compensation is recommended to the Board by the Nominating, Governance and Compensation (NGC) Committee ("Governance Committee"). The Governance Committee is composed of three (3) directors, each of whom is independent, namely Messrs. José P. Dorais (Chair), Juergen Ernst and Ms. Carolyn Egbert. One of the members of the Governance Committee, Juergen Ernst, is the Chairman of the Board.

The Board has adopted a formal mandate for the Governance Committee, which is available on our website at www.aezsinc.com. The mandate of the Governance Committee provides that it is responsible for (i) assisting the Board in developing our approach to corporate governance issues, (ii) proposing new Board nominees, (iii) assessing the effectiveness of the Board and its committees, their respective chairs and individual directors and (iv) making recommendations to the Board with respect to directors' compensation.

In 2013, the Governance Committee retained James F. Reda and Associates ("Reda"), a division of Gallagher Benefit Services, Inc., as a compensation consultant. Reda was retained to assist the Governance Committee with (i) a review of the Company's compensation programs, particularly its executive short-term and long-term incentive programs, and (ii) a review and benchmarking of the remuneration of members of the Board. Reda analyzed the Company's past practices and defined a peer group of companies in order to understand the competitive compensation practices and to propose a program designed to deliver both cash and equity compensation components to the Company's directors and executive officers. The Company's existing director compensation structure was benchmarked against market compensation data gathered from North American biopharmaceutical companies of comparable size. See the section below titled "Compensation Consultant" and "Benchmarking" for more information.

Based on the results of the benchmarking study and in light of the substantial responsibilities inherent to the position of director, the payment of an increased target envelope of director compensation, including both cash and equity components was proposed by Reda. Upon recommendation of the Governance Committee, the Board determined not to immediately effect or implement any increase to the remuneration payable to directors and deferred further consideration of various elements of directors' compensation to a later point in time in 2014.

Annual Retainers and Attendance Fees

Annual retainers and attendance fees are paid on a quarterly basis to the members of the Board who are not employees of the Company or its subsidiaries ("Outside Directors") on the following basis:

Annual Compensation for the

year 2013

Type of Compensation (in units of home country

currency)

Chairman's Retainer 45,000 Board Member Retainer 15,000

Board Meeting Attendance Fees 1,000 per meeting

Audit Committee Chair Retainer 15,000 Audit Committee Member Retainer 4,000

Audit Committee Meeting Attendance Fees 1,000 per meeting

Governance Committee Chair Retainer 12,000
Governance Committee Member Retainer 2,000

Governance Committee Meeting Attendance Fees 1,000 per meeting

All amounts in the above table are paid to Board and committee members in their home country currency.

The President and Chief Executive Officer is the only member of the Board who is not an Outside Director and as such is not compensated in his capacity as a director. The Chairman is an Outside Director and is compensated as such. Outside Directors are reimbursed for travel and other out-of-pocket expenses incurred in attending Board or committee meetings.

Outstanding Option-Based Awards and Share-Based Awards

The following table shows all awards outstanding to each Outside Director up to the end of the financial year ending and as at December 31, 2013:

Option-based Awards

Share-based Awards

	Option-based Awards						Share-based Awards			
Name	Issuance Date	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options ⁽¹⁾		Option Expiration Date	Value of Unexercised In-the-money Options ⁽²⁾	Issuance Date	Number of Shares or Units of Shares that have Not Vested	Market or Payout Value of Share-based Awards that have Not Vested		
	(mm-dd-yyyy)	(#)	(CAN\$ or US\$)	(mm-dd-yyyy)	(CAN\$ or US\$)	(mm-dd-y	у у#у)	(\$)		
Aubut, Marcel	12/14/2004	2,500	CAN\$34.98	12/13/2014	_	_	_	_		
1,141001	12/13/2005	2,500	CAN\$21.18	12/12/2015	_		_	_		
	01/04/2007	833	CAN\$27.90			_		_		
	12/11/2007	4,166	CAN\$10.92			_		_		
	12/08/2008	2,500	CAN\$3.30	12/08/2018	_	_		_		
	12/09/2009	3,333	CAN\$5.70	12/08/2019	_			_		
	12/08/2010	5,000	CAN\$9.12	12/07/2020		_	_	_		
	12/07/2011	8,333	US\$10.44	12/06/2021		_		_		
	05/09/2012	10,000	US\$3.54	05/08/2022				_		
	05/08/2013	5,000	US\$1.86	05/07/2023	_	_		_		
	11/27/2013	25,000	US\$1.12	11/26/2023	US\$6,500	_	_	_		
Dorais, José P.	12/08/2010	5,000	CAN\$9.12	12/07/2020	_	_	_	_		
	12/07/2011	8,333	US\$10.44	12/06/2021	_	_		_		
	05/09/2012	10,000	US\$3.54	05/08/2022		_	_	_		
	05/08/2013	5,000	US\$1.86	05/07/2023		_		_		
	11/27/2013	25,000	US\$1.12	11/26/2023	US\$6,500			_		
Egbert, Carolyn	12/06/2012	7,500	US\$2.17	12/05/2022	_	_		_		
	05/08/2013	5,000	US\$1.86	05/07/2023		_	_			
	11/27/2013	25,000	US\$1.12	11/26/2023	US\$6,500			_		
Ernst, Juergen	02/25/2005	2,500	CAN\$30.54	02/24/2015	_	_		_		
C	12/13/2005	2,500	CAN\$21.18	12/12/2015	_			_		
	01/04/2007	833	CAN\$27.90	01/03/2017	_	_		_		
	12/11/2007	4,166	CAN\$10.92	12/10/2017	_	_		_		
	11/14/2008	16,666	CAN\$3.90	11/13/2018		_	_	_		
	12/08/2008	2,500	CAN\$3.30	12/08/2018			_	_		
	12/09/2009	3,333	CAN\$5.70	12/08/2019				_		
	12/08/2010	5,000	CAN\$9.12	12/07/2020						
	12/07/2011	8,333	US\$10.44	12/06/2021	_	_	_	_		
	05/09/2012	10,000	US\$3.54	05/08/2022	_		_	_		
	05/08/2013	5,000	US\$1.86	05/07/2023				_		

Edgar Filing: Aeterna Zentaris Inc. - Form 20-F

	11/27/2013	25,000	US\$1.12	11/26/2023	US\$6,500			
Lapalme, Pierre	12/09/2009	3,333	CAN\$5.70	12/08/2019	_	_	_	
	12/08/2010	5,000	CAN\$9.12	12/07/2020	_			
	12/07/2011	8,333	US\$10.44	12/06/2021		_	_	_
	05/09/2012	10,000	US\$3.54	05/08/2022		_	_	_
	05/08/2013	5,000	US\$1.86	05/07/2023		_	_	_
	11/27/2013	25,000	US\$1.12	11/26/2023	US\$6,500	_	_	
Limoges, Gérard	12/14/2004	2,500	CAN\$34.98	12/13/2014		_	_	_
	12/13/2005	2,500	CAN\$21.18	12/12/2015				_
	01/04/2007	833	CAN\$27.90	01/03/2017		_	_	_
	12/11/2007	4,166	CAN\$10.92	12/10/2017		_	_	_
	12/08/2008	2,500	CAN\$3.30	12/08/2018		_	_	_
	12/09/2009	3,333	CAN\$5.70	12/08/2019		_	_	
	12/08/2010	5,000	CAN\$9.12	12/07/2020		_	_	_
	12/07/2011	8,333	US\$10.44	12/06/2021		_	_	_
	05/09/2012	10,000	US\$3.54	05/08/2022		_	_	_
	05/08/2013	5,000	US\$1.86	05/07/2023		_	_	_
	11/27/2013	25,000	US\$1.12	11/26/2023	US\$6,500			_

The number of securities underlying unexercised options represents all awards outstanding as at December 31, 2013.

[&]quot;Value of unexercised in-the-money options" at financial year-end is calculated based on the difference between the closing prices of the Common Shares on the TSX or the NASDAQ, as applicable, on the last trading day of the fiscal year (December 31, 2013) of CAN\$1.47 and US\$1.38, respectively, and the exercise price of the options, multiplied by the number of unexercised options.

See "Summary of the Stock Option Plan" below for more details on the Stock Option Plan (as defined below). Total Compensation of Outside Directors

The table below summarizes the total compensation earned by the Outside Directors during the financial year ended December 31, 2013 (all amounts are in US dollars):

					Non-Equity			
	Fees earne	ed	Share-base	dOption-based	d Incentive	Pension	All Other	Total
Name	(\$)		Awards	Awards ⁽²⁾	Plan	Value	Compensation ⁽³⁾	Total
					Compensatio	n		
	Retainer ⁽¹⁾	Attendance ⁽¹⁾	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Aubut, Marcel	14,564	6,766	_	30,031	_		_	51,361
Dorais, José P.	28,711	14,984	_	30,031			496	74,222
Egbert, Carolyn	17,000	13,000	_	30,031	_		79,320 (4)	139,351
Ernst, Juergen	82,054	17,950	_	30,031			7,928	137,964
Lapalme, Pierre	18,449	9,688	_	30,031	_	_	_	58,168
Limoges, Gérard	29,822	14,567	_	30,031	_	_	496	74,915

These amounts represent the portion paid in cash to the Outside Directors and are paid in each director's home country currency.

The value of option-based awards represents the closing price of the Common Shares on the NASDAQ on the last trading day preceding the date of grant (US\$1.86 for options granted on May 8, 2013 and US\$1.12 for options

Represents fees paid for special tasks delegated to Ms. Egbert and approved by the Governance Committee in

During the financial year ended December 31, 2013, the Company paid an aggregate amount of \$355,795 to all of its Outside Directors for services rendered in their capacity as directors, excluding reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses and the value of option-based awards granted in 2013.

2. Compensation of Executive Officers

The mandate of the Governance Committee provides that it is responsible for taking all reasonable measures to ensure that appropriate human resources systems and procedures, such as hiring policies, competency profiles, training policies and compensation structures, are in place so that we can attract, motivate and retain the quality of senior management required to meet our business objectives.

The Governance Committee also assists the Board in discharging its responsibilities relating to executive and other human resources hiring, assessment, compensation and succession planning matters.

Thus, the Governance Committee recommends the appointment of senior officers, including the terms and conditions of their appointment and termination, and reviews the evaluation of the performance of such senior officers, including recommending their compensation and overseeing risk identification and management in relation to executive compensation policies and practices. The Board, which includes the members of the Governance Committee, reviews the corporate goals and objectives that are set annually and evaluates the Chief Executive Officer's performance and

⁽²⁾ granted on November 27, 2013) multiplied by the Black-Scholes factor as at such date (80.01% for options granted on May 8, 2013 and 80.68% for options granted on November 27, 2013) and the number of stock options granted on such date.

⁽³⁾ These amounts represent fees paid in cash for special tasks or overseas travelling and are also paid in each director's home country currency.

⁽⁴⁾ connection with the search for, and the appointment of, a new President and Chief Executive Officer and various transition and integration work related thereto.

compensation in light of such goals and objectives.

The Governance Committee recognizes that the industry, regulatory and competitive environment in which the Company operates requires a balanced level of risk-taking to promote and achieve the performance expectations of executives of a specialty biopharmaceutical company that is also seeking to acquire or in-license new commercial products. The Governance Committee is of the view that the Company's executive compensation program should not encourage senior executives to take excessive risk. In this regard, the Governance Committee recommends the implementation of compensation methods that tie a portion of senior executive compensation to each of the short-term and longer-term performance of both the Company as well as that of each individual executive officer and that take into account the advantages and risks associated with such compensation methods. The Governance Committee is also responsible for creating compensation policies that are intended to reward the creation of shareholder value while reflecting a balance between the short-term and longer-term performance of the Company and of each executive officer.

Compensation Consultant

The Governance Committee may, from time to time, engage its own independent consultant to advise it with respect to executive compensation matters. During the financial year ended December 31, 2013, the Governance Committee retained the services of Reda to provide advice on the competitiveness and appropriateness of compensation programs for the Chief Executive Officer and the Company's other senior executive officers, as well as with respect to the level and form of compensation payable to Outside Directors.

The fees paid to Reda for compensation consulting services provided to the Governance Committee and the Company during the financial year ended December 31, 2013 were \$54,134.

While the Governance Committee may rely on external information and advice, all of the decisions with respect to executive compensation are made by the Board upon the recommendation of the Governance Committee and may reflect factors and considerations other than, or that may differ from, the information and recommendations provided by any external compensation consultants that may be retained from time to time.

Compensation Discussion & Analysis

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

The Company's executive compensation program is designed to attract, motivate and retain high-performing senior executives, encourage and reward superior performance and align the executives' interests with those of our shareholders by:

providing the opportunity for an executive to earn compensation that is competitive with the compensation received by executives employed by a group of comparable North American companies;

providing the opportunity for executives to participate in an equity-based incentive plan, namely a stock option plan; aligning employee compensation with company corporate objectives; and

attracting and retaining highly qualified individuals in key positions.

Risk Assessment of Executive Compensation Program

The Board, through the Governance Committee, oversees the implementation of compensation methods that tie a portion of executive compensation to each of the short-term and longer-term performance of the Company and of each executive officer and that take into account the advantages and risks associated with such compensation methods. In addition, the Board oversees the creation of compensation policies that are intended to reward the creation of shareholder value while reflecting a balance between the short-term and longer-term performance of the Company and of each executive officer.

The Governance Committee has considered in general terms the concept of risk as it relates to the Company's executive compensation program.

Base salaries are fixed in amount to provide a steady income to the executive officers regardless of share price and thus do not encourage or reward risk-taking to the detriment of other important business, operational, commercial or clinical metrics or milestones. The variable compensation elements (annual bonuses and stock options) are designed to reward each of short-term, mid-term and long-term performance. For short-term performance, a discretionary annual bonus may be awarded based on the timing and level of attainment of specific operational and corporate goals that the Governance Committee believes to be challenging, yet does not encourage unnecessary or excessive risk-taking. While the Company's bonus payments are generally based on annual performance, a maximum bonus payment is pre-fixed for each senior executive officer and represents only a portion of each individual's overall total compensation opportunities. In exceptional circumstances, a particular executive officer may be awarded a bonus that exceeds his or her maximum pre-fixed or target bonus amount. Finally, a significant portion of executive compensation is provided in the form of stock options, which is intended to further align the interests of executives with those of shareholders. The Governance Committee believes that these awards do not encourage unnecessary or excessive risk-taking since the ultimate value of the awards is tied to the Company's share price, and in the case of grants under the long-term incentive compensation plan, are generally subject to mid-term and long-term vesting schedules to help ensure that executives generally have significant value tied to long-term share price performance. The Governance Committee believes that the variable compensation elements (annual bonuses and stock options) represent a percentage of overall compensation that is sufficient to motivate the Company's executive officers to produce superior short-term, mid-term and long-term corporate results, while the fixed compensation element (base

salary) is also sufficient to discourage executive officers from taking unnecessary or excessive risks. The Governance Committee and the Board also generally have the discretion to adjust annual bonuses and stock option grants based on individual performance and any other factors they may determine to be appropriate in the circumstances. Such factors may include, where necessary or appropriate, the level of risk-taking a particular executive officer may have engaged in during the preceding year.

Based on the foregoing, the Governance Committee has not identified any specific risks associated with the Company's executive compensation program that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. The Governance Committee believes that the Company's executive compensation program does not encourage or reward any unnecessary or excessive risk-taking behaviour.

The Board of Directors, based on the Governance Committee's recommendation, set goals for the Company at the end of 2012, which constituted the 2013 performance objectives for the position of Chief Executive Officer and the Company's other executive officers. The performance objectives are not established for individual executive officers but rather by function(s) exercised within the Company, many of which are carried out by or fall within the responsibility of the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and the Company's other executive officers.

In December 2013, the Governance Committee determined that although a number of the objectives set forth in the table below were met, some delays were experienced in the attainment of certain objectives as described below. The Governance Committee also took into consideration the significant changes in management that occurred in 2013, including the appointment of a new President and Chief Executive Officer, as well as the hiring of a Senior Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer.

Objectives for 2013	Engues intoning analysis in multiple	Results for 2013
Perifosine	Ensure interim analysis in multiple myeloma by Data Safety Monitoring Board ("DSMB") to permit futility analysis and go-no-go decision to complete the Phase 3 program in a timely manner	Discontinuation of the Phase 3 trial in 1 multiple myeloma following an interim analysis by an independent DSMB
Cetrotide [®]	Conclude the licensing out of all global manufacturing rights of Cetrotide®	Closing of all sites involved in the trial and review of Yakult Honsha Co., Ltd. strategic alliance Successfully completed the transfer of the manufacturing rights to Merck Serono a subsidiary of Merck KGaA ("Merck Serono")
	1 Ensure transition of the manufacturing activities with the different partners	Non-refundable one-time payment received of approximately \$3.3 million plus other payments related to the transfer of certain assets Transition Service Agreement in place
MACRILEN TM (macimoreli	Submit a New Drug Application ("NDA" in the United States in the evaluation of adult growth hormone deficiency ("AGHD")	with Merck Serono to facilitate the completion/integration of the manufacturing of Cetrotide® NDA submitted to evaluate AGHD in November 2013, post year-end, the FDA confirmed acceptance for filing and that the NDA review is ongoing with a Prescription Drug User Fee Act ("PDUFA") date November 5, 2014 The NDA was submitted at a later date than originally expected
	Prepare a commercial plan for the future launch of MACRILEN TM (macimorelin)	Completed after hiring of our Senior Vice 1 President and Chief Commercial Officer, Jude Dinges
Zoptarelin doxorubicin ZoptEC (Zoptarelin doxorubicin in Endometrial Cancer) Phase 3 program	Complete Phase 3 trial design and submit to FDA a Special Protocol Assessment ("SPA") and the equivalent in Europe in endometrial cancer	SPA agreement obtained from FDA, the Phase 3 ZoptEC trial was also discussed 1 and a scientific advice was also agreed with the European Medicines Agency ("EMA") Co-development and profit-sharing agreement signed with Ergomed as a CRO
	Ensure CRO partnership with l performance objectives and control of corresponding costs	for the execution of the Phase 3 ZoptEC trial in endometrial cancer. Ergomed has 1 agreed to assume 30% of the clinical and regulatory costs up to \$10 million and will receive pre-established single digit percentage on net income and up to specified maximum amount
	1 Start recruitment in the different countries (sites and patients) and achieve	•

pre-established recruitment rate

AEZS-120 1 Initiate a Phase 1 trial

received for the initiation of a proof-of-concept Phase 1 trial in prostate cancer, however, the trial has not yet started pending the result of additional scientific evaluation being performed With the different strategic partnerships, including Ergomed and the transfer of Cetrotide® manufacturing rights, as well 1 as the tight control of the operations, the burn rate was reduced to \$1.7 million per month on average which was lower than the pre-established operating budget Cash flow from financing activities net of transaction costs was \$23.7 million in 2013. Cash and cash equivalents balance was \$43.2 million at year-end, which is

Approval of Danish regulatory authorities

Finance and budget 1 Budget management

Ensure the continued funding of ongoing drug development programs for a l minimum period of time while maintaining flexibility to execute different forms of financing

Review and reorganize senior management and key employees by function (as opposed to by site), realign I reporting lines and empower senior management and key employees to take ownership of, and responsibility over, their respective functions and tasks

Successful organization review was done within the first six months of the arrival of 1 our Chief Executive Officer and implementation of the new structure is ongoing

significantly higher than what was

initially budgeted

71

Organization structure

The determination of individual performance does not involve quantitative measures using a mathematical calculation in which each individual performance objective is given a numerical weight. Instead, the Governance Committee's determination of individual performance is a subjective determination as to whether a particular executive officer substantially achieved the stated objectives or over-performed or under-performed with respect to corporate objectives that were deemed to be important to the Company's success.

While the Company has not formally adopted a policy prohibiting or restricting its executive officers and directors from purchasing financial instruments, including, for greater certainty, pre-paid variable forward contracts, equity swaps, collars, or units of exchange funds, which are designed to hedge or offset a decrease in market value of equity securities granted as executive compensation or directors' remuneration, the Company's executive officers and directors have not historically engaged in such financial instruments or transactions. In addition, the Company's disclosure and trading policy requires that all "reporting insiders", including executive officers and directors, pre-clear with the Company's Corporate Secretary each trade relating to the Company's securities, which would include the entering into of any such financial instrument or transaction, hedge, swap or forward contract.

Benchmarking

In order to attain the Company's objectives of providing market competitive compensation opportunities, the Company's executive compensation plan, based on a study provided by Reda, is benchmarked against market compensation data gathered from organizations of comparable size and/or stage of development or other companies that the Company competes with for executive talent (the "Reference Group"). An overview of the characteristics of the Reference Group is provided in the following table: (In millions of US\$)

Location Industries	North America and Europe Biopharmaceutical	North America Biopharmaceutical
Revenues Last fiscal year	33.7 ⁽¹⁾	17.5 ⁽²⁾
Market Capitalization As at April 30, 2013	46.1	155.0
Net Loss Last fiscal year	20.4(1)	17.4 ⁽²⁾

⁽¹⁾ For the year ended December 31, 2012, as presented in the Company's 2012 audited consolidated financial statements, which were presented in conformity with IFRS as issued by the IASB.

The Reference Group used in respect of the financial year ended December 31, 2013 was composed of the following companies within the biopharmaceutical sector: Affymax Inc., Agenus Inc., Arqule Inc., Astex Pharmaceuticals Inc., Aveo Pharmaceuticals Inc., Cancer Genetics Inc., Cell Therapeutics Inc., Cleveland Biolabs Inc., Curis Inc., Galena Biopharma Inc., Helix Biopharma Corp., Immunomedics Inc., Insys Therapeutics Inc., Isoray Inc., Maxigen Inc., Merrimack Pharmaceuticals Inc., Oncogenex Pharmaceuticals Inc., Peregrine Pharmaceuticals Inc., Pharmathene Inc., Progenics Pharmaceutical Inc., Repligen Corp., Savient Pharmaceuticals Inc., Targacept Inc., Verastem Inc. and Vical Inc.

Positioning

The Company's compensation policy is for executive compensation to be generally aligned with the 50th percentile, or the mid-point, of the Reference Group. The Governance Committee uses discretion and judgment when determining compensation levels as they apply to a specific executive officer. Individual compensation may be positioned above or below median, based on individual experience and performance or other criteria deemed important by the Governance Committee. For 2013, the total cash target payment (base salary and, if applicable or awarded in cash, annual bonus) for the Company's executive officers is approximately at the 50th percentile competitive range of the Reference Group, however, in light of the fact that a cash bonus was paid to only two of the "Named Executive Officers"

⁽²⁾ The Reference Group for the financial year ended December 31, 2013 was selected in June 2013, and these data are based on their most recently completed fiscal year at such time.

(defined as the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer and the three other most highly compensated executive officers of the Company), namely Messrs. Dodd and Turpin, the total cash compensation (base salary and annual cash bonus) actually paid to the Company's executive officers with respect to the 2013 year fell below the 50th percentile competitive range of the Reference Group and, more specifically, falls at approximately the 34th percentile range of the Reference Group.

Compensation Elements

An executive compensation policy has been established to acknowledge and reward the contributions of the executive officers to the Company's success and to ensure competitive compensation, so that the Company may benefit from the expertise required to pursue its objectives.

The Company's executive compensation policy is comprised of both fixed and variable components. The variable components include equity and non-equity incentive plans. Each compensation component is intended to serve a different function, but all elements are intended to work in concert to maximize both corporate and individual performance by establishing specific, competitive operational and corporate goals and by providing financial incentives to employees based on their level of attainment of these goals.

The Company's current executive compensation program is comprised of the following four basic components: (i) base salary;

(ii) non-equity incentives - consisting of an annual bonus linked to both individual and corporate performance; long-term equity incentives - consisting solely of stock options granted under the Company's stock option plan established for the benefit of its directors, certain executive officers and other participants as may be designated from time to time by either the Board or the Governance Committee (the "Stock Option Plan");

(iv) other elements of compensation - consisting of benefits, perquisites and retirement benefits. Base Salary

Salaries of the Company's executive officers are based on a comparison with competitive benchmark positions. The starting point to determine executive base salaries is the median of executive salaries in the Reference Group. In determining individual base salaries, the Governance Committee takes into consideration individual circumstances that may include the scope of an executive's position, the executive's relevant competencies or experience and retention risk. The Governance Committee also takes into consideration the fulfillment of the corporate objectives of the Company as well as the individual performance of the executive.

Short-Term Incentive Compensation

The short-term incentive compensation plan sets out the allocation of incentive awards based on the advancement of the Company's product pipeline, its financial position as well as strategic objectives.

In the case of executive officers, a program is designed to maximize both corporate and individual performance by establishing specific operational, clinical, regulatory, financial, commercial and corporate goals and to provide financial incentives to executive officers based on their level of attainment of these goals. The granting of incentives requires the approval of both the Governance Committee and the Board and is based upon an assessment of each individual's performance, as well as the performance of the Company. The underlying objectives are set at the end of each financial year as part of the annual review of corporate strategies.

For the financial year ended December 31, 2013, the Governance Committee recommended, and the Board approved, in the best interests of the Company, that no bonuses be awarded to the Company's executive officers in respect of the 2013 year, except as otherwise indicated below, and that no long-term incentive stock options be granted to the Company's executive officers in respect of the 2013 year.

In making decisions related to the short-term incentive compensation for the Named Executive Officers during the most recently completed financial year, the conclusions of the Governance Committee were based, in part, on the goals and results for 2013, as described in Section "Risk Assessment of Executive Compensation Program". These conclusions, other than for the President and Chief Executive Officer, for which a description is provided in Section "Compensation of the Chief Executive Officer", are as follows.

Mr. Turpin's 2013 goals were aligned with the Company's overall objectives, with an emphasis on financial and budgetary objectives. In respect of the 2013 year, the Governance Committee determined that Mr. Turpin's individual performance surpassed his pre-fixed objectives. Under Mr. Turpin's financial direction, the Company implemented and carried out a successful ATM financing program generating net proceeds of approximately \$3.0 million, a registered direct offering generating net proceeds of approximately \$7.0 million and an underwritten public offering generating net proceeds of approximately \$13.7 million, which, in addition to Mr. Turpin's disciplined management and control of the Company's budget

and expenses, enabled the Company to end the year with cash and cash equivalents that significantly exceeded the budgeted amount. In light of the foregoing, the Governance Committee determined that Mr. Turpin's contributions to the achievement of the Company's goals merited a cash bonus in an amount of \$66,677, representing 58% of his maximum target bonus amount.

Dr. Blake's and Mr. Pelliccione's 2013 respective goals were aligned with the Company's overall objectives, with an emphasis on overseeing and supporting the attainment of the Company's clinical and regulatory objectives. In respect of the 2013 year, the Governance Committee determined that each of Dr. Blake's and Mr. Pelliccione's respective individual performance did not meet their objectives in a timely manner. The Governance Committee determined that no cash bonus should be awarded to Dr. Blake or Mr. Pelliccione in respect of the 2013 year. Messrs. Blake and Pelliccione ceased to be employed by Aeterna Zentaris as of March 13, 2014.

As Mr. Dinges was formally appointed Senior Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer of the Company on November 1, 2013, no cash bonus was awarded to Mr. Dinges in respect of the 2013 year.

See the section below titled "Compensation of the Chief Executive Officer" for a description of the short-term incentive compensation of the President and Chief Executive Officer.

Long-Term Equity Compensation Plan of Executive Officers

The long-term component of the compensation of the Company's executive officers is based exclusively on the Stock Option Plan, which permits the award of a number of options based on the contribution of the officers and their responsibilities. To encourage retention and focus management on developing and successfully implementing the continuing growth strategy of the Company, stock options have historically vested over a period of three years, with the first third vesting on the first anniversary of the date of grant. However, the vesting schedule for certain stock options granted to senior executives in the financial years ended December 2012, 2011, 2010 and 2009 was accelerated from three years to eighteen months since a portion of these grants were intended to serve as a partial or total replacement for cash bonuses. In December 2013, the Governance Committee and the Board determined that going forward, all stock options would vest over a period of three years with the first third vesting on the first anniversary of the date of grant, as reflected in the Amendments (as defined below) to the Stock Option Plan described below. Stock options are usually granted to executive officers in December of each year.

For the financial year ended December 31, 2013, the Governance Committee recommended that no stock options be granted to executive officers under the long-term equity compensation plan, although certain stock options were granted to Messrs. Dodd and Dinges upon their respective appointments as President and Chief Executive Officer and Senior Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer.

Summary of the Stock Option Plan

We initially established the Stock Option Plan in order to attract and retain directors, officers, employees of the Company or any of its subsidiaries, as the case may be, and suppliers of ongoing services, who will be motivated to work towards ensuring the success of the Company. The Board has full and complete authority to interpret the Stock Option Plan, to establish applicable rules and regulations and to make all other determinations it deems necessary or useful for the administration of the Stock Option Plan, provided that such interpretations, rules, regulations and determinations are consistent with the rules of all stock exchanges and quotation systems on which the Company's securities are then traded and with all relevant securities legislation.

On March 20, 2014, the Board approved certain amendments to the Stock Option Plan (the "Amendments"), which Amendments are not subject to shareholder approval, and which were approved by the TSX. The Amendments are described further below.

Under the previous stock option plan, individuals eligible to participate under the plan were determined from time to time by either the Board or the Governance Committee. The Amendments provide a more limited list of those persons eligible to receive grants under the Stock Option Plan as it is the Company's intention to grant options primarily to independent directors and the most senior executive officers of the Company and to generally limit the number of options granted to other officers or employees of the Company or to suppliers of ongoing services. The Stock Option Plan now specifically provides that the sole persons eligible to receive grants under the Stock Option Plan (each, a "Participant") shall be: (i) the most senior executive officers of the Company, including the persons occupying the positions of Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Scientific Officer, Chief Commercial Officer,

Chief Administrative Officer and Chief Compliance Officer; (ii) such other executive officers of the Company or of its subsidiaries that may, from time to time, report directly to the Chief Executive Officer; (iii) the non-employee, independent members of the Board; and (iv) such other officers or employees of the Company or of any of its subsidiaries, as the case may be, or suppliers of ongoing services, as may be expressly designated by resolution of the Board or the Governance Committee.

The maximum number of Common Shares issuable under the Stock Option Plan remains fixed at 11.4% of the issued and outstanding Common Shares at any given time, which, as of March 20, 2014, represented 6,442,592 Common Shares. There were 2,546,740 options outstanding under the Stock Option Plan representing approximately 4.5% of all issued and outstanding Common Shares on March 20, 2014.

Under the Stock Option Plan, (i) the number of securities issuable to insiders, at any time, or issued within any one-year period, under all of the Company's security-based compensation arrangements, cannot exceed 10% of the Company's issued and outstanding securities and (ii) no single Participant may hold options to purchase, from time to time, more than 5% of the Company's issued and outstanding Common Shares. In addition: (i) the aggregate fair value of options granted under all security-based compensation arrangements of the Company to any one non-employee, independent director of the Company entitled to receive a benefit under the Stock Option Plan, within any one-year period, cannot exceed US\$100,000 valued on a Black-Scholes basis and as determined by the Governance Committee; and (ii) the aggregate number of securities issuable to all non-employee, independent directors of the Company entitled to receive a benefit under the Stock Option Plan, within any one-year period, under all security-based compensation arrangements of the Company, cannot exceed 1% of its issued and outstanding securities. Options granted under the Stock Option Plan may be exercised at any time within a maximum period of seven years following the date of their grant (the "Outside Expiry Date"), which was reduced by the Amendments from a previous maximum term of ten years. The Board or the Governance Committee, as the case may be, designates, at its discretion, the specific Participants to whom stock options are granted under the Stock Option Plan and determines the number of Common Shares covered by each of such option grants, the grant date, the exercise price of each option, the expiry date and any other matter relating thereto, in each case in accordance with the applicable rules and regulations of the regulatory authorities. The price at which the Common Shares may be purchased may not be lower than the greater of the closing prices of the Common Shares on the TSX or the NASDAQ, as applicable, on the last trading day preceding the date of grant of the option. Options granted under the Stock Option Plan shall vest in equal tranches over a three-year period (one-third each year, starting on the first anniversary of the grant date) or as otherwise determined by the Board or the Governance Committee, as the case may be.

Unless the Board or the Governance Committee decides otherwise, Participants cease to be entitled to exercise their options under the Stock Option Plan: (i) immediately, in the event a Participant who is an officer or employee resigns or voluntarily leaves his or her employment with the Company or one of its subsidiaries or the employment with the Company or one of its subsidiaries is terminated with cause and, in the case of a Participant who is a non-employee director of the Company or one of its subsidiaries, the date on which such Participant ceases to be a member of the relevant Board of Directors; (ii) six months following the date on which employment is terminated as a result of the death of a Participant who is an officer or employee and, in the case of a Participant who is a non-employee director of the Company or one of its subsidiaries, six months following the date on which such Participant ceases to be a member of the relevant Board of Directors by reason of death; (iii) 90 days following the date on which a Participant's employment with the Company or any of its subsidiaries is terminated for a reason other than those mentioned in (i) or (ii) above including, without limitation, upon the disability, long-term illness, retirement or early retirement of the Participant (the Amendments increased this from the previous period of 30 days); and (iv) where the Participant is a service supplier, 30 days following the date on which such Participant ceases to act as such, for any cause or reason (each, an "Early Expiry Date").

The Stock Option Plan also provides that, if the expiry date of one or more options (whether an Early Expiry Date or an Outside Expiry Date) occurs during a "blackout period" or within the seven business days immediately after a blackout period imposed by the Company, the expiry date will be automatically extended to the date that is seven business days after the last day of the blackout period. For the purposes of the foregoing, "blackout period" means the period during which trading in the Company's securities is restricted in accordance with its corporate policies. Participants may not assign their options (nor any interest therein) other than by will or in accordance with the applicable laws of estates and succession.

The Amendments also provide for a more detailed change in control provision. Under the current Stock Option Plan, as amended by the Amendments, in the event: (i) the Company accepts an offer to amalgamate, merge or consolidate with any other entity (other than a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company) or to sell or license all or substantially

all of its assets to any other entity (other than a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company); (ii) the Company signs a support agreement in customary form pursuant to which the Board agrees to support a takeover bid and recommends that shareholders of the Company tender their Common Shares to such takeover bid; or (iii) holders of greater than 50% of the Company's then outstanding Common Shares tender all of their Common Shares to a takeover bid made to all of the holders of the Common Shares to purchase all of the then issued and outstanding Common Shares, then, in each case, all of the outstanding options shall, without any further action required to be taken by the Company, immediately vest. Each Participant shall thereafter be entitled to exercise all of such options at any time up to and including, but not after the close of business on that date which is

ten (10) days following the Closing Date (as defined below). Upon the expiration of such ten (10)-day period, all rights of the Participant to such options or to the exercise of same (to the extent not already exercised) shall automatically terminate and have no further force or effect whatsoever. "Closing Date" is defined to mean (x) the closing date of the amalgamation, merger, consolidation, sale or license transaction in the case of clause (i) above; (y) the first expiry date of the takeover bid on which each of the offeror's conditions are either satisfied or waived in the case of clause (ii) above; or (z) the date on which it is publicly announced that holders of greater than 50% of the Company's then outstanding Common Shares have tendered their Common Shares to a takeover bid in the case of clause (iii) above.

The Stock Option Plan provides that the following amendments may be made to the plan upon approval of each of the Board and the Company's shareholders as well as receipt of all required regulatory approvals:

any amendment to Section 3.2 of the Stock Option Plan (which sets forth the limit on the number of options that may be granted to insiders) that would have the effect of permitting, without having to obtain shareholder approval on a "disinterested vote" at a duly convened shareholders' meeting, the grant of any option(s) under the Stock Option Plan otherwise prohibited by Section 3.2;

any amendment to the number of securities issuable under the Stock Option Plan (except for certain permitted adjustments, such as in the case of stock splits, consolidations or reclassifications);

any amendment which would permit any option granted under the Stock Option Plan to be transferable or assignable other than by will or in accordance with the applicable laws of estates and succession;

the addition of a cashless exercise feature, payable in cash or securities, which does not provide for a full deduction of the number of underlying securities from the Stock Option Plan reserve;

the addition of a deferred or restricted share unit component or any other provision which results in employees receiving securities while no cash consideration is received by the Company;

with respect to any Participant whether or not such Participant is an "insider" and except in respect of certain permitted adjustments, such as in the case of stock splits, consolidations or reclassifications:

any reduction in the exercise price of any option after the option has been granted, or

any cancellation of an option and the re-grant of that option under different terms, or

any extension to the term of an option beyond its Outside Expiry Date to a Participant who is an "insider" (except for extensions made in the context of a "blackout period");

any amendment to the method of determining the exercise price of an option granted pursuant to the Stock Option Plan;

the addition of any form of financial assistance or any amendment to a financial assistance provision which is more favourable to employees; and

any amendment to the foregoing amending provisions requiring Board, shareholder and regulatory approvals. The Stock Option Plan further provides that the following amendments may be made to the Stock Option Plan upon approval of the Board and upon receipt of all required regulatory approvals, but without shareholder approval: amendments of a "housekeeping" or clerical nature or to clarify the provisions of the Stock Option Plan; amendments regarding any vesting period of an option;

amendments regarding the extension of an option beyond an Early Expiry Date in respect of any Participant, or the extension of an option beyond the Outside Expiry Date in respect of any Participant who is a "non-insider" of the Company;

adjustments to the number of issuable Common Shares underlying, or the exercise price of, outstanding options resulting from a split or a consolidation of the Common Shares, a reclassification, the payment of a stock dividend, the payment of a special cash or non-cash distribution to the Company's shareholders on a pro rata basis provided such distribution is approved by the Company's shareholders in accordance with applicable law, a recapitalization, a reorganization or any other event which necessitates an equitable adjustment to the outstanding options in proportion with corresponding adjustments made to all outstanding Common Shares;

discontinuing or terminating the Stock Option Plan; and

any other amendment which does not require shareholder approval under the terms of the Stock Option Plan.

Outstanding Option-Based Awards and Share-Based Awards

The following table shows all awards outstanding to the Named Executive Officers as well as the former President and Chief Executive Officer as of December 31, 2013:

and Chief Exect	Option-based A		31, 2013:			Share-	-based Awar	ds
Name	Issuance Date	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options ⁽¹⁾	Exercise	Option Expiration Date	Value of Unexercised In-the-money Options ⁽²⁾	Issuan	Number of Shares or Units of ice shares that have Not	Market or Payout Value of Share-based Awards that have Not
	(mm-dd-yyyy)	(#)	(CAN\$ or US\$)	(mm-dd-yyyy)	(CAN\$ or US\$)		Vested (#)	Vested ⁽³⁾ (\$)
Dodd, David A. ⁽⁴⁾	04/15/2013	300,000	US\$1.98	04/14/2023	_		175,000 ⁽⁵⁾	<u>(5)</u>
Λ. ΄΄	04/15/2013	_	_	_	_		200,000(5)	<u>(5)</u>
Engel, Juergen ⁽⁶⁾	12/14/2004	16,666	CAN\$34.98	12/13/2014	_	_		_
Jucigen	12/13/2005	8,333	CAN\$21.18	12/12/2015	_		_	_
	01/04/2007	8,333	CAN\$27.90	01/03/2017				
	12/11/2007	8,333	CAN\$10.92	12/10/2017	_			_
	11/14/2008	33,333	CAN\$3.90	11/13/2018	_			
	12/08/2008	12,500	CAN\$3.30	12/08/2018	_			
	12/09/2009	27,500	CAN\$5.70	12/08/2019	_			
	12/08/2010	37,125	CAN\$9.12	12/07/2020				
	12/07/2011	44,499	US\$10.44	12/06/2021	_			
	12/06/2012	•	US\$2.17	12/05/2021	_			
Tumin Damis		133,400				_	_	_
Turpin, Dennis	12/14/2004	15,000	CAN\$34.98	12/13/2014	_		_	_
	12/13/2005	8,333	CAN\$21.18	12/12/2015	_		_	_
	01/04/2007	8,333	CAN\$27.90	01/03/2017	_	_		
	12/11/2007	8,333	CAN\$10.92	12/10/2017	_		_	_
	12/09/2009	19,166	CAN\$5.70	12/08/2019	_			
	12/08/2010	9,475	CAN\$9.12	12/07/2020	_	_	_	_
	12/07/2011	17,353	US\$10.44	12/06/2021				
_	12/06/2012	84,000	US\$2.17	12/05/2022				
Blake, Paul ⁽⁷⁾	07/27/2007	7,500	US\$18.30	07/26/2017	_	—	_	_
	12/11/2007	8,333	US\$10.92	12/10/2017	_	_		_
	12/08/2008	8,333	CAN\$3.30	12/08/2018	_	_		_
	12/09/2009	18,333	CAN\$5.70	12/08/2019	_		_	_
	12/08/2010	10,675	CAN\$9.12	12/07/2020				
	12/07/2011	18,071	US\$10.44	12/06/2021		_	_	
	12/06/2012	80,700	US\$2.17	12/05/2022	_		_	
Pelliccione, Nicholas J. ⁽⁷⁾	05/07/2007	4,166	US\$23.76	05/06/2017	_	_	_	_
1.1011014000.	12/11/2007	8,333	US\$10.92	12/10/2017	_		_	_
	12/08/2008	3,333	CAN\$3.30	12/08/2018	_		_	_
	12/09/2009	10,000	CAN\$5.70	12/08/2019	_	_		_
	12/08/2010	8,333	CAN\$9.12	12/07/2020	_		_	_

	12/07/2011	17,218	US\$10.44	12/06/2021	_	_	
	12/06/2012	70,100	US\$2.17	12/05/2022	_		
Dinges, Jude ⁽⁸⁾	11/27/2013	150,000	US\$1.12	11/26/2023	US\$39,000		 _

⁽¹⁾ The number of securities underlying unexercised options represents all awards outstanding at December 31, 2013. "Value of unexercised in-the-money options" at financial year-end is calculated based on the difference between

David A. Dodd was appointed President and Chief Executive Officer effective April 15, 2013 and was granted 300,000 stock options in connection with such appointment.

Pursuant to Mr. Dodd's Employment Agreement, the Company agreed to pay Mr. Dodd two separate share-based

(5) retention bonuses as follows: (1) the Company shall pay Mr. Dodd a retention bonus if he remains employed through December 31, 2014 equal to (a) the excess, if any, of the closing price of a Common Share on the last regular trading day in 2014 over \$1.98, being the closing price of a

⁽²⁾ the closing prices of the Common Shares on the TSX or the NASDAQ, as applicable, on the last trading day of the year (December 31, 2013) of CAN\$1.47 and US\$1.38, respectively, and the exercise price of the options, multiplied by the number of unexercised options.

[&]quot;Market or Payout Value of Share-based Awards that have Not Vested" at financial year-end is calculated based on the excess, if any, of the closing price of a Common Share on the last trading day of the year (December 31, 2013) over \$1.98, being the closing price of a Common Share on the NASDAQ on the last trading day preceding the effective date of Mr. Dodd's appointment multiplied by 175,000 or 200,000, as applicable. See also note (5) below.

Common Share on the NASDAQ on the last trading day preceding the effective date of Mr. Dodd's appointment multiplied by (b) 175,000; and, (2) the Company shall pay Mr. Dodd a retention bonus if he remains employed through December 31, 2015 equal to (a) the excess, if any, of the closing price of a Common Share on the last regular trading day in 2015 over \$1.98, being the closing price of a Common Share on the NASDAQ on the last trading day preceding the effective date of Mr. Dodd's appointment multiplied by (b) 200,000. These share-based retention bonuses will be paid in US dollars no later than March 15 of the year following the end of 2014 and 2015, respectively.

- (6) Juergen Engel served as President and Chief Executive Officer up until April 15, 2013.
- (7) Messrs. Blake and Pelliccione ceased to be employed by Aeterna Zentaris as of March 13, 2014.
- Jude Dinges was appointed Senior Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer effective November 1, 2013 and was granted 150,000 stock options in connection with such appointment.

There are no vested share-based awards that have not yet been paid out or distributed.

Incentive Plan Awards — Value Vested or Earned During the Year

The following table shows the incentive plan awards value vested or earned for each Named Executive Officer and former President and Chief Executive Officer for the financial year ended December 31, 2013.

Name	Option-based awards — Val vested during the year ⁽¹⁾	Share-based awards — Value vested during the year	Non-equity incentive plan compensation — Value earned during the year
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Dodd, David A.			50,000
Engel, Juergen			_
Turpin, Dennis	_	_	66,677
Blake, Paul ⁽²⁾	_	_	_
Pelliccione, Nicholas J. ⁽²⁾	_	_	_
Dinges, Jude			_

Represents the aggregate dollar value that would have been realized if the options had been exercised on the

Other Forms of Compensation

Benefits and Perquisites

The Company's executive employee benefits program also includes life, medical, dental and disability insurance. Perquisites consist of a car allowance and human resources counselling. These benefits and perquisites are designed to be competitive overall with equivalent positions in comparable North American organizations in the life sciences industry.

Pension Plan

Juergen Engel, the former President and Chief Executive Officer, participated in a non-contributory defined benefit pension plan, and amounts thereunder remain payable to Mr. Engel following his departure from the Company. Benefits payable under this plan correspond to 40% of the executive officer's average salary of the last twelve months before leaving the company or reaching retirement age. This amount is unchanged during the first five working years of the executive officer and increases by 0.4% for each additional year of employment before the executive officer reaches the age of 65.

As the normal retirement age is 65 years, first payments under the pension plan were made to Mr. Engel as of September 1, 2010. The following table shows total annual pension benefits payable to Mr. Engel pursuant to this plan. Upon the death of a participant, the surviving spouse of the participant will be entitled to a benefit equal to 60% of the benefits to which such participant was entitled. All benefits payable under this plan are in addition to German

⁽¹⁾ vesting date, based on the difference between the closing price of the Common Shares on the NASDAO and the exercise price on such vesting date.

⁽²⁾ Messrs. Blake and Pelliccione ceased to be employed by Aeterna Zentaris as of March 13, 2014.

governmental social security benefits.

Defined Benefit Plans Table as at December 31, 2013

Name	Number of years of credited service	Annual benefits payable		Accrued			
		At year end At a	At age 65 ⁽¹⁾	obligation at start of year ⁽²⁾	Compensatory change	Non- compensatory change	Accrued obligation at year end ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾
Engel, Juergen	(#) 34	(\$) 207,696	(\$) 193,142	(\$) 4,240,141	(\$) (318,754)	(\$) 171,400	(\$) 3,885,090
Linger, Judi gen	5 4	207,070	173,172	7,270,171	(310,737)	171,400	3,003,070

In light of the fact that Mr. Engel attained the age of 65 during the 2010 year, by way of exception to other

All figures in the above table were calculated using the assumptions and methods used for financial statement reporting purposes under the accounting principles used to prepare the Company's financial statements filed with the Canadian securities regulatory authorities and available at www.sedar.com and furnished to the United States Securities and Exchange Commission and available at www.sec.gov.

Employer Contribution to Employees' Retirement Plan

In 2008, the Board approved a plan whereby the Company would contribute to its employees' retirement plans both in Canada (RRSP) and the United States (401(k)) to the extent of 50% of the employee's contribution up to a maximum of \$7,750 annually for Canadian employees under 50 years old and \$8,750 for those in the United States. The plan also includes a contribution for employees over 50 years old up to a maximum of \$10,250 for Canadian employees and \$11,500 for those in the United States. Employees based in Frankfurt, Germany also benefit from certain employer contributions into the employees' pension funds (DUPK/RUK). The Company's executive officers, including the Named Executive Officers, are eligible to participate in such employer-contribution plans to the same extent and in the same manner as all other employees of the Company.

⁽¹⁾ currency conversions in this Circular, the amount in this column has been converted from euros to US\$ based on the annual average exchange rate for the financial year ended December 31, 2010, which was €1.000 = US\$1.326.

⁽²⁾ By way of exception to other currency conversions in this Circular, the amount in this column has been converted from euros to US\$ based on the exchange rate on December 31, 2012, which was epsilon 1.000 = US\$1.319.

⁽³⁾ The figure in the column "Accrued obligation at year end" was further reduced by an amount of \$207,696 representing the amount of mandatory pension payments made to Mr. Engel during 2013.

⁽⁴⁾ By way of exception to other currency conversions in this Circular, the amount in this column has been converted from euros to US\$ based on the exchange rate on December 31, 2013, which was €1.000 = US\$1.378.

Summary Compensation Table

The Summary Compensation Table set forth below shows compensation information for each of the Named Executive Officers as well as for the former President and Chief Executive Officer for services rendered in all capacities during each of the financial years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Name and principal position	Years	s Salary	Share based awards	Option based awards ⁽¹⁾		e plan sation	erm Pension Velue	All other compensat	tion ⁽²⁾	Total compensation
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)		(\$)
Dodd, David A.		328,846 (3)	414,048 (4)	474,606	50,000		_	11,500	(5)	1,279,000
President and Chief	2012		_	_	—		_	_		_
Executive Officer	2011		_	_	—		_	_		_
Engel, Juergen		148,825 (6)	_	_	—		_			1,828,745
Former President and	2012	443,601	_	237,876	_		797,849	200,974	(8)	1,680,300
Chief Executive Officer	2011	505,260	_	336,420	160,764		590,136	214,212	(8)	1,806,792
Turpin, Dennis	2013	331,652	_		66,677			4,763	(9)	403,092
Senior Vice President	2012	341,605		149,787				_		491,392
and Chief Financial Officer	2011	332,434	_	131,198	80,509	_	_	5,056	(9)	549,197
Blake, Paul ⁽¹⁰⁾	2013	384,300		_			_	11,500	(5)	395,800
Former Senior Vice	2012	384,300		143,902				11,000	(5)	539,202
President and Chief Medical Officer	2011	370,223	_	136,622	89,670	_	_	11,000	(5)	607,515
Pelliccione, Nicholas	2013	333,600	_					11,500	(5)	345,100
$J.^{(10)}$	2012	333,600	_	125,000				11,000	(5)	469,600
Former Senior Vice President, Regulatory Affairs and Quality Assurance		321,062	_	130,178	77,739	_	_	11,000	(5)	539,979
Dinges, Jude	2013	121,988 (11)		135,542				2,354	(5)	259,884
Senior Vice President		,						∠ ,33 ⁻ T		
and Chief Commercial Officer	2011		_	_	_	_	_	_		_

The value of option-based awards represents the closing price of the Common Shares on the NASDAQ on the last trading day preceding the date of grant (US\$1.98 for options granted on April 15, 2013 and US\$1.12 for options

⁽¹⁾ granted on November 27, 2013) multiplied by the Black-Scholes factor as at such date (79.90% for options granted on April 15, 2013 and 80.68% for options granted on November 27, 2013) and the number of stock options granted on such date.

^{(2) &}quot;All Other Compensation" represents perquisites and other personal benefits which, in the aggregate, amount to \$50,000 or more, or are equivalent to 10% or more of a Named Executive Officer's total salary for the financial year ended December 31, 2013. The type and amount of each perquisite, the value of which exceeds 25% of the total value of perquisites, is separately disclosed for each Named Executive Officer, if applicable. In the case of the former President and Chief Executive Officer, Juergen Engel, "All Other Compensation" includes a termination or severance payment, as well as mandatory pension payments paid to him after he attained age 65. See also note (7)

below.

- (3) Represents the salary actually earned by and paid to Mr. Dodd following his appointment as President and Chief Executive Officer on April 15, 2013.
 - The value of Mr. Dodd's share-based awards represents the closing price of the Common Shares on the NASDAQ
- on the last trading day preceding the date of grant (US\$1.98 for share appreciation rights ("SARS") granted on April 15, 2013) multiplied by the Black-Scholes factor as at such date (175,000 SARS at a factor of 54% and 200,000 SARS at a factor of 58%) and the number of SARS granted on such date.
- (5) Represents 401(k) employer contributions to Messrs. Dodd's, Blake's, Pelliccione's and Dinges's retirement savings plans.
- (6) Represents the salary actually earned by and paid to Mr. Engel in his capacity as President and Chief Executive Officer until his departure from the Corporation effective April 15, 2013.
- (7) Under the terms of a release agreement, Mr. Engel received a termination or severance payment of approximately US\$1.4 million.
- (8) Represents mandatory pension payments made to the former President and Chief Executive Officer in 2011, 2012 and 2013 after he attained age 65.
- (9) Represents RRSP employer contribution to Mr. Turpin's retirement savings plan.
- (10) Messrs. Blake and Pelliccione ceased to be employed by Aeterna Zentaris as of March 13, 2014. Represents consultant fees paid to Mr. Dinges between May 12, 2013 and October 31, 2013 combined with the
- (11) salary actually paid to him following his appointment as Senior Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer on November 1, 2013.

Compensation of the Chief Executive Officer

The compensation of the President and Chief Executive Officer is governed by the Company's executive compensation policy described in the section titled "Compensation of Executive Officers", and the President and Chief Executive Officer participates, together with the other Named Executive Officers, in all of the Company's incentive plans.

Mr. Dodd's total earned salary from April 15 to December 31, 2013 was \$328,846 (based on an annual base salary of \$475,000), which places him at approximately 6% below the 50th percentile in the Reference Group.

Having considered Mr. Dodd's performance during the 2013 year, his significant operational and commercial experience and the fact that he is key to the continued operation and transformation of the Company, the Governance Committee recommended, and the Board approved that a cash bonus in the amount of US\$50,000 be awarded to Mr. Dodd in respect of the 2013 year.

For the financial year ended December 31, 2013, the Governance Committee recommended that no stock options be granted to the President and Chief Executive Officer under the long-term equity compensation plan, although Mr. Dodd did receive a grant of 300,000 stock options in connection with his appointment in April 2013. See the section titled "Long-Term Equity Compensation Plan of Executive Officers – Summary of the Stock Option Plan", for a complete description of the Stock Option Plan.

C. Board Practices

Our Articles provide that our Board shall be composed of a minimum of five and a maximum of 15 directors. Directors are elected annually by our shareholders, but the directors may from time to time appoint one or more directors, provided that the total number of directors so appointed does not exceed one-third of the number of directors elected at the last annual meeting of shareholders. Each elected director will remain in office until termination of the next annual meeting of the shareholders or until his or her successor is duly elected or appointed, unless his or her post is vacated earlier. For information regarding Mr. Dodd's employment agreement with the Company, which provides for benefits on termination of his employment, see "Item 10.C – Material Contracts". None of the other directors are party to any directors' service contracts with the Company providing for benefits on termination of employment. Committees of the Board of Directors

Audit Committee

Our Board has established an Audit Committee and a Governance Committee.

The Audit Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities. The Audit Committee reviews the financial reporting process, the system of internal control, the audit process, and the Company's process for monitoring compliance with laws and regulations and with our Code of Ethical Conduct. In performing its duties, the Audit Committee will maintain effective working relationships with the Board, management, and the external auditors. To effectively perform his or her role, each committee member will obtain an understanding of the detailed responsibilities of committee membership as well as the Company's business, operations and risks.

The function of the Audit Committee is oversight and while it has the responsibilities and powers set forth in its charter (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 11.2), it is neither the duty of the committee to plan or to conduct audits or to determine that the Company's financial statements are complete, accurate and in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, nor to maintain internal controls and procedures.

The current members of the Audit Committee are José P. Dorais, Pierre Lapalme and Gérard Limoges.

Governance Committee

The mandate of the Governance Committee provides that it is responsible for taking all reasonable measures to ensure that appropriate human resources systems and procedures, such as hiring policies, competency profiles, training policies and compensation structures are in place so that the Company can attract, motivate and retain the quality of personnel required to meet its business objectives.

The Governance Committee also assists the Board in discharging its responsibilities relating to executive and other human resources hiring, assessment, compensation and succession planning matters.

Thus, the Governance Committee recommends the appointment of senior officers, including the terms and conditions of their appointment and termination, and reviews the evaluation of the performance of our senior officers, including recommending their compensation and overseeing risk identification and management in relation to executive compensation policies and practices. The Board, which includes the members of the Governance Committee, reviews the Chief Executive Officer's corporate goals and objectives and evaluates his or her performance and compensation in light of such goals and objectives.

The current members of the Governance Committee are Juergen Ernst, José P. Dorais and Carolyn Egbert. D. Employees

As at March 14, 2014, we had a total of 76.26 full time equivalents ("FTE") (as compared to 81 as at March 1, 2013 and 89 as at March 1, 2012), of which 61.56 are based in Frankfurt, Germany, 6 in New Jersey, United States, and 8.7 in Quebec City, Canada. Of these, 44.82 are involved in discovery, preclinical, clinical and pharmaceutical development, 7.9 are involved in regulatory affairs, quality assurance and intellectual property, and 23.54 are involved in business operations, communications, finance, information technology, human resources, project management and legal affairs. We have agreements with our employees covering confidentiality, loyalty, non-competition, and assignment to the Company of all intellectual property rights developed during the employment period. E. Share ownership

The information in the table below is provided as at December 31, 2013:

Name	No. of Common Shares owned or held	Percent ⁽¹⁾	No. of stock options held ⁽²⁾	No. of currently exercisable options
Aubut, Marcel	18,750	*	69,165	29,722
Blake, Paul	11,725	*	151,945	121,157
Dinges, Jude	3,391	*	150,000	_
Dodd, David A.	8,333	*	300,000	_
Dorais, José P.	_	*	53,333	13,890
Egbert, Carolyn	_	*	37,500	5,000
Ernst, Juergen	9,808	*	85,831	46,388
Lapalme, Pierre	_	*	56,666	17,223
Limoges, Gérard	1,499	*	69,165	29,722
Pelliccione, Nicholas J.	4,625	*	121,483	94,229
Turpin, Dennis	3,541	*	169,994	138,105
Total	61,672	0.14	1,265,082	495,436

^{*} Less than 1%

A. Major shareholders

We are not directly or indirectly owned or controlled by another corporation or by any foreign government. Based on filings with the SEC and the Canadian securities regulatory authorities, as at March 20, 2014, there are no persons/entities who beneficially owned, directly or indirectly, or exercised control or direction over our Common Shares carrying more than 5% of the voting rights attached to all our Common Shares.

United States Shareholders

As at December 31, 2013, there were a total of 54 holders of record of our Common Shares, of which three were registered with addresses in the United States holding in the aggregate approximately 93% of our outstanding Common Shares. We believe that the number of beneficial owners of our Common Shares is substantially greater than the number of record holders, because the overwhelming majority of our Common Shares are held in broker "street names".

⁽¹⁾ Based on 45,312,009 Common Shares outstanding as at December 31, 2013.

⁽²⁾ For information regarding option expiration dates and exercise price refer to the tables included under Item 6.B. Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions

Edgar Filing: Aeterna Zentaris Inc. - Form 20-F

B. Related party transactions

None.

C.Interests of experts and counsel

Not applicable.

Item 8. Financial Information

A. Consolidated statements and other financial information

The consolidated financial statements filed as part of this annual report on Form 20-F are presented under "Item 18. – Financial Statements".

B. Significant changes

No significant changes occurred since the date of our annual consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report on Form 20-F.

Item 9. The Offering and Listing

A. Offer and listing details

Not Applicable, except for Item 9A(4).

Our Common Shares are listed and posted for trading on NASDAQ under the symbol "AEZS" and on the TSX under the symbol "AEZ". The following table indicates, for the relevant periods, the high and low closing prices of our Common Shares on NASDAQ and on the TSX:

Collilloli Shares oli NASDAQ	and on the 13A.			
	NASDAQ (US\$)		TSX (CAN\$)	
	High	Low	High	Low
2013	3.23	1.03	3.27	1.08
2012	12.90	1.87	12.84	1.87
2011	15.48	8.58	15.06	8.46
2010	12.54	4.74	12.84	4.80
2009	16.98	2.76	18.66	3.42
2012				
Fourth quarter	4.12	1.87	4.08	1.87
Third quarter	5.06	2.35	5.04	2.34
Second quarter	4.80	2.29	4.80	2.40
First quarter	12.90	9.36	12.84	9.42
2013				
Fourth quarter	1.65	1.03	1.71	1.08
Third quarter	1.98	1.37	2.09	1.41
Second quarter	2.10	1.73	2.18	1.74
First quarter	3.23	1.88	3.27	1.90
Most recent 6 months				
March 2014 ⁽¹⁾	1.49	1.23	1.66	1.37
February 2014	1.32	1.23	1.46	1.37
January 2014	1.49	1.19	1.58	1.29
December 2013	1.44	1.08	1.52	1.13
November 2013	1.65	1.03	1.71	1.08
October 2013	1.51	1.35	1.56	1.41
September 2013	1.70	1.48	1.79	1.55

⁽¹⁾ Up to and including March 18, 2014

Not applicable.

C. Markets

Our Common Shares are listed and posted for trading on NASDAQ under the symbol "AEZS" and on the TSX under the symbol "AEZ".

D. Selling shareholders

Not applicable.

E. Dilution

Not applicable.

F. Expenses of the issuer

Not applicable.

B. Plan of distribution

Item 10. Additional Information

A. Share capital

Not applicable.

B. Memorandum and articles of association

The Company is governed by its restated articles of incorporation (the "Restated Articles of Incorporation") under the CBCA and by articles of amendment dated October 2, 2012 (together with the Restated Articles of Incorporation, the "Articles") and by its bylaws (the "bylaws"). The Company's Articles are on file with the Corporations Directorate of Industry Canada under Corporation Number 264271-9. The Articles do not include a stated purpose and do not place any restrictions on the business that the Company may carry on.

Inspection Rights of Shareholders

Under the CBCA, shareholders are entitled to be provided with a copy of the list of registered shareholders of the Company. In order to obtain the shareholder list, the Company must be provided with an affidavit including, among other things, a statement that the list will only be used for the purposes permitted by the CBCA. These permitted purposes include an effort to influence the voting of shareholders of the Company, an offer to acquire securities of the Company and any other matter relating to the affairs of the Company. The Company is entitled to charge a reasonable fee for the provision of the shareholder list and must deliver that list no more than ten days after receipt of the affidavit described above.

Under the CBCA, shareholders have the right to inspect certain corporate records, including its Articles and bylaws and minutes of meetings and resolutions of the shareholders. Shareholders have no statutory right to inspect minutes of meetings and resolutions of directors of the Company. Shareholders of the Company have the right to certain financial information respecting the Company. In addition to the annual and quarterly financial statements required to be filed under applicable securities laws, under the CBCA, the Company is required to place before every annual meeting of shareholders its audited comparative annual financial statements. In addition, shareholders have the right to examine the financial statements of each of our subsidiaries and any other corporate entity whose accounts are consolidated in the financial statements of the Company.

Directors

The minimum number of directors of the Company is five and the maximum number is 15. In accordance with the CBCA, at least 25% of its directors must be residents of Canada. In order to serve as a director, a person must be a natural person at least 18 years of age, of sound mind, not bankrupt, and must not be prohibited by any court from holding the office of director. None of the Articles, the bylaws and the CBCA imposes any mandatory retirement requirements for directors.

The directors are elected by a majority of the votes cast at the annual meeting at which an election of directors is required, to hold office until the election of their successors except in the case of resignations or if their offices become vacant by death or otherwise. Subject to the provisions of the Company's bylaws, all directors may, if still qualified to serve as directors, stand for re-election. The Board is not replaced at staggered intervals but is elected annually.

There is no provision in the Company's bylaws or its Articles that requires that a director of the Company must be a shareholder.

The directors are entitled to remuneration as shall from time to time be determined by the Board or by a committee to which the Board may delegate the power to do so. Under the mandate of the Company's Governance Committee, such committee, comprised of a majority of independent directors, is tasked with making recommendations to the Board concerning director remuneration.

The CBCA provides that a director who is a party to, or who is a director or officer of, or has a material interest in, any person who is a party to a material contract or transaction or proposed material contract or transaction with the Company must disclose to the Company the nature and extent of his or her interest at the time and in the manner provided by the CBCA, or request that same be entered in the minutes of the meetings of the Board, even if such contract, in connection with the normal business activity of the Company, does not require the approval of either the directors or the shareholders. At the request of the president or any director, the director placed in a situation of conflict of interest must leave the meeting while the Board discusses the matter. The CBCA prohibits such a director

Edgar Filing: Aeterna Zentaris Inc. - Form 20-F

from voting on any resolution to approve the contract or transaction unless the contract or transaction:

relates primarily to his or her remuneration as a director, officer, employee or agent of the Company or an affiliate;

is for indemnity or insurance for director's liability as permitted by the CBCA; or

is with an affiliate of the Company.

The CBCA provides that the Board may, on behalf of the Company and without authorization of its shareholders:

borrow money upon the credit of the Company;

issue, reissue, sell or pledge debt obligations of the Company;

give a guarantee on behalf of the Company to secure performance of an obligation of any person; and mortgage, hypothecate, pledge or otherwise create a security interest in all or any property of the Company, owned or subsequently acquired, to secure any obligation of the Company.

The shareholders have the ability to restrict such powers through the Company's Articles or bylaws (or through a unanimous shareholder agreement), but no such restrictions are in place.

The CBCA prohibits the giving of a guarantee to any shareholder, director, officer or employee of the Company or of an affiliated corporation or to an associate of any such person for any purpose or to any person for the purpose of or in connection with a purchase of a share issued or to be issued by the Company or its affiliates, where there are reasonable grounds for believing that the Company is or, after giving the guarantee, would be unable to pay its liabilities as they become due, or the realizable value of the Company's assets in the form of assets pledged or encumbered to secure a guarantee, after giving the guarantee, would be less than the aggregate of the Company's liabilities and stated capital of all classes. These borrowing powers may be varied by the Company's bylaws or its Articles. However, the Company's bylaws and Articles do not contain any restrictions on or variations of these borrowing powers.

Pursuant to the CBCA, the directors of the Company manage and administer the business and affairs of the Company and exercise all such powers and authority as the Company is authorized to exercise pursuant to the CBCA, the Articles and the bylaws. The general duties of a director or officer of the Company under the CBCA are to act honestly and in good faith with a view to the best interests of the Company and to exercise the care, diligence and skill that a reasonably prudent person would exercise in comparable circumstances. Any breach of these duties may lead to liability to the Company and its shareholders for breach of fiduciary duty. In addition, a breach of certain provisions of the CBCA, including the improper payment of dividends or the improper purchase or redemption of shares, will render the directors who authorized such action liable to account to the Company for any amounts improperly paid or distributed.

The Company's bylaws provide that the Board may, from time to time, appoint from amongst their number committees of the Board, and delegate to any such committee any of the powers of the Board except those which pursuant to the CBCA a committee of the Board has no authority to exercise. As such, the Board has two standing committees: the Audit Committee and the Governance Committee.

Subject to the limitations provided by the CBCA, the Company's bylaws provide that the Company shall, to the full extent provided by law, indemnify a director or an officer of the Company, a former director or officer of the Company or a person who acts or acted at the Company's request as a director or officer of a body corporate of which the Company is or was a shareholder or creditor, and his or her heirs and legal representatives, against all costs, losses, charges and expenses, including an amount paid to settle an action or satisfy a judgment, reasonably incurred by him or her in respect of any civil, criminal or administrative action or proceeding to which he or she is made a party by reason of having been a director or officer of the Company or such body corporate, provided:

(a) he or she acted in good faith in the best interests of the Company; and

in the case of a criminal or an administrative action or proceeding that is enforced by a monetary penalty, he or she had reasonable grounds to believe that his or her conduct was lawful.

The directors of the Company are authorized to indemnify from time to time any director or other person who has assumed or is about to assume in the normal course of business any liability for the Company or for any corporation controlled by the Company, and to secure such director or other person against any loss by the pledge of all or part of the movable or immovable property of the Company through the creation of a hypothec or any other real right in all or part of such property or in any other manner.

Share Capitalization

Our authorized share capital structure consists of an unlimited number of shares of the following classes (all classes are without nominal or par value): Common Shares; and first preferred shares (the "First Preferred Shares") and second preferred shares (the "Second Preferred Shares" and, together with the First Preferred Shares, the "Preferred Shares"), both issuable in series. As at March 20, 2014, there were 56,513,969 Common Shares outstanding. No Preferred Shares of the Company have been issued to date. The Company has also issued warrants to acquire Common Shares in connection with certain equity financings.

Common Shares

The holders of the Common Shares are entitled to one vote for each common share held by them at all meetings of shareholders, except meetings at which only shareholders of a specified class of shares are entitled to vote. In addition, the holders are entitled to receive dividends if, as and when declared by the Company's Board of Directors on the Common Shares. Finally, the holders of the Common Shares are entitled to receive the remaining property of the Company upon any liquidation, dissolution or winding-up of the affairs of the Company, whether voluntary or involuntary. Shareholders have no liability to further capital calls as all shares issued and outstanding are fully paid and non-assessable.

Preferred Shares

The First and Second Preferred Shares are issuable in series with rights and privileges specific to each class. The holders of Preferred Shares are generally not entitled to receive notice of or to attend or vote at meetings of shareholders. The holders of First Preferred Shares are entitled to preference and priority to any participation of holders of Second Preferred Shares, Common Shares or shares of any other class of shares of the share capital of the Company ranking junior to the First Preferred Shares with respect to dividends and, in the event of the liquidation of the Company, the distribution of its property upon its dissolution or winding-up, or the distribution of all or part of its assets among the shareholders, to an amount equal to the value of the consideration paid in respect of such shares outstanding, as credited to the issued and paid-up share capital of the Company, on an equal basis, in proportion to the amount of their respective claims in regard to such shares held by them. The holders of Second Preferred Shares are entitled to preference and priority to any participation of holders of Common Shares or shares of any other class of shares of the share capital of the Company ranking junior to the Second Preferred Shares with respect to dividends and, in the event of the liquidation of the Company, the distribution of its property upon its dissolution or winding-up, or the distribution of all or part of its assets among the shareholders, to an amount equal to the value of the consideration paid in respect of such shares outstanding, as credited to the issued and paid-up share capital of the Company, on an equal basis, in proportion to the amount of their respective claims in regard to such shares held by them.

Our Board of Directors may, from time to time, provide for additional series of Preferred Shares to be created and issued, but the issuance of any Preferred Shares is subject to the general duties of the directors under the CBCA to act honestly and in good faith with a view to the best interests of the Company and to exercise the care, diligence and skill that a reasonably prudent person would exercise in comparable circumstances.

Shareholder Actions

The CBCA provides that shareholders of the Company may, with leave of a court, bring an action in the name of and on behalf of the Company for the purpose of prosecuting, defending or discontinuing an action on behalf of the Company. In order to grant leave to permit such an action, the CBCA provides that the court must be satisfied that the directors of the Company were given adequate notice of the application, the shareholder is acting in good faith and that it appears to be in the Company's best interests that the action be brought.

Shareholder Rights Plan

The Company's Board of Directors adopted a shareholder rights plan on March 23, 2010, which was initially confirmed and ratified by the Company's shareholders on May 13, 2010 (the "Rights Plan").

Under the terms of the Rights Plan, its continued existence was reconfirmed by the Company's shareholders at the Company's annual meeting of shareholders held on May 8, 2013.

Objectives and Background of the Shareholder Rights Plan

Edgar Filing: Aeterna Zentaris Inc. - Form 20-F

The fundamental objectives of the Rights Plan are to provide adequate time for our Board and shareholders to assess an unsolicited take-over bid for the Company, to provide the Board with sufficient time to explore and develop alternatives for maximizing shareholder value if a take-over bid is made, and to provide shareholders with an equal opportunity to participate in a take-over bid.

The Rights Plan encourages a potential acquiror who makes a take-over bid to proceed either by way of a "Permitted Bid", as described below, which requires a take-over bid to satisfy certain minimum standards designed to promote fairness, or with the concurrence of our Board. If a take-over bid fails to meet these minimum standards and the Rights Plan is not waived by the Board, the Rights Plan provides that holders of Common Shares, other than the acquiror, will be able to purchase additional Common Shares at a significant discount to market, thus exposing the person acquiring Common Shares to substantial dilution of its holdings.

Summary of the Rights Plan

The following is a summary of the principal terms of the Rights Plan, which summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the terms thereof. Capitalized terms not otherwise defined in this summary shall have the meaning ascribed to such terms in the Shareholder Rights Plan Agreement which sets forth the Rights Plan. The Rights Plan is filed as an exhibit to this annual report on Form 20-F.

Operation of the Rights Plan

Pursuant to the terms of the Rights Plan, one right was issued in respect of each common share outstanding at 5:01 p.m. on March 29, 2010 (the "Effective Date"). In addition, one right will be issued for each additional common share issued after the Record Time and prior to the earlier of the Separation Time (as defined below) and the Expiration Time (as defined below). The rights have an initial exercise price equal to the Market Price (as defined below) of the Common Shares as determined at the Separation Time, multiplied by five, subject to certain anti-dilution adjustments (the "Exercise Price"), and they are not exercisable until the Separation Time. Upon the occurrence of a Flip-in Event, each right will entitle the holder thereof, other than an Acquiring Person or any other person whose rights are or become void pursuant to the provisions of the Rights Plan, to purchase from the Company, effective at the close of business on the eighth trading day after the Stock Acquisition Date, upon payment to the Company of the Exercise Price, Common Shares having an aggregate Market Price equal to twice the Exercise Price on the date of consummation or occurrence of such Flip-in Event, subject to certain anti-dilution adjustments.

Definition of Market Price

Market Price is generally defined in the Rights Plan, on any given day on which a determination must be made, as the volume weighted average trading price of the Common Shares for the five consecutive trading days (i.e. days on which the TSX is open for the transaction of business, subject to certain exceptions), through and including the trading day immediately preceding such date of determination, subject to certain exceptions.

Trading of Rights

Until the Separation Time (or the earlier termination or expiration of the rights), the rights trade together with the Common Shares and are represented by the same share certificates as the Common Shares or an entry in the Company's securities register in respect of any outstanding Common Shares. From and after the Separation Time and prior to the Expiration Time, the rights are evidenced by rights certificates and trade separately from the Common Shares. The rights do not carry any of the rights attaching to the Common Shares such as voting or dividend rights. Separation Time

The rights will separat