

PHH CORP
Form 10-Q
August 01, 2013
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

**R QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013

OR

**£ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number: 1-7797

PHH CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

MARYLAND
*(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)*

52-0551284
*(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)*

3000 LEADENHALL ROAD
MT. LAUREL, NEW JERSEY
(Address of principal executive offices)

08054
(Zip Code)

856-917-1744

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of July 24, 2013, 57,144,550 shares of PHH common stock were outstanding.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|--|--------------------|
| <u>Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements</u> | 1 |
| <u>PART I</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u> | 3 |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 36 |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 70 |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | 72 |
| <u>PART II</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | 72 |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | 72 |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 74 |
| <u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 74 |
| <u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 74 |
| <u>Item 5. Other Information</u> | 74 |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | 74 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 75 |
| <u>Exhibit Index</u> | 76 |

Table of Contents

Except as expressly indicated or unless the context otherwise requires, the Company, PHH, we, our or us means PHH Corporation, a Maryland corporation, and its subsidiaries.

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements may also be made in other documents filed or furnished with the SEC or may be made orally to analysts, investors, representatives of the media and others.

Generally, forward-looking statements are not based on historical facts but instead represent only our current beliefs regarding future events. All forward-looking statements are, by their nature, subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors. Investors are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Such statements may be identified by words such as expects, anticipates, intends, projects, estimates, plans, may increase, may fluctuate and similar expressions or future or conditional verbs such as will, should, would, may. Forward-looking statements contained in this Form 10-Q include, but are not limited to, statements concerning the following:

- i the impact of the adoption of recently issued accounting pronouncements on our financial statements;
- i our expectations of the impacts of regulatory changes on our businesses;
- i our expected cost reductions and responses to the changing mortgage production environment;
- i our expectations regarding improvements in our systems and processes, including our information technology infrastructure and systems;
- i future origination volumes and loan margins in the mortgage industry;
- i our expectations of origination volumes from our retail platform, including from our private label relationships and our relationship with Realogy Corporation;
- i our ability to generate mortgage originations in excess of voluntary prepayments;
- i potential acquisitions, dispositions, partnerships, joint ventures and changes in product offerings to achieve disciplined growth in our franchise platforms and to optimize our mortgage and fleet management services businesses;
- i our belief that sources of liquidity will be adequate to fund operations;
- i mortgage repurchase and indemnification requests and associated reserves and provisions; and
- i our assessment of legal proceedings and associated reserves and provisions.

Actual results, performance or achievements may differ materially from those expressed or implied in forward-looking statements due to a variety of factors, including but not limited to the factors listed and discussed in Part I Item 1A. Risk Factors in our 2012 Form 10-K, Part II Item 1A. Risk Factors in this Form 10-Q and those factors described below:

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

- i the effects of market volatility or macroeconomic changes on the availability and cost of our financing arrangements and the value of our assets;
- i the effects of any further declines in the volume of U.S. home sales and home prices, due to adverse economic changes or otherwise, on our Mortgage Production and Mortgage Servicing segments;
- i the effects of changes in current interest rates on our business and our financing costs;
- i our decisions regarding the use of derivatives related to mortgage servicing rights, if any, and the resulting potential volatility of the results of operations of our Mortgage Servicing segment;
- i the impact of the failure to maintain our credit ratings, including the impact on our cost of capital and ability to incur new indebtedness or refinance our existing indebtedness, as well as our current or potential customers' assessment of our counterparty credit risk;

Table of Contents

- i the effects of continued elevated volumes or increases in our actual and projected repurchases of, indemnification given in respect of, or related losses associated with, sold mortgage loans for which we have provided representations and warranties or other contractual recourse to purchasers and insurers of such loans, including increases in our loss severity and reserves associated with such loans;
- i the effects of any significant adverse changes in the underwriting criteria or existence or programs of government-sponsored entities, including Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, including any changes caused by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act or other actions of the federal government;
- i the effects of any inquiries and investigations by attorneys general of certain states and the U.S. Department of Justice, the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development or other state or federal regulatory agencies related to foreclosure procedures or other mortgage origination or servicing activities, any litigation related to our mortgage origination or servicing activities, or any related fines, penalties and increased costs;
- i the ability to maintain our status as a government sponsored entity-approved seller and servicer, including the ability to continue to comply with the respective selling and servicing guides, including any changes caused by the Dodd-Frank Act;
- i the effects of changes in, or our failure to comply with, laws and regulations, including mortgage- and real estate-related laws and regulations (including changes caused by the Dodd-Frank Act), changes in the status of government sponsored-entities and changes in state, federal and foreign tax laws and accounting standards;
- i the effects of the insolvency of any of the counterparties to our significant customer contracts or financing arrangements or the inability or unwillingness of such counterparties to perform their respective obligations under, or to renew on terms favorable to us, such contracts, or our ability to continue to comply with the terms of our significant customer contracts, including service level agreements;
- i the effects of competition in our existing and potential future lines of business, including the impact of consolidation within the industries in which we operate and competitors with greater financial resources and broader product lines;
- i the ability to obtain alternative funding sources for our mortgage servicing rights or to obtain financing (including refinancing and extending existing indebtedness) on acceptable terms, if at all, to finance our operations or growth strategies, to operate within the limitations imposed by our financing arrangements and to maintain the amount of cash required to service our indebtedness;
- i the ability to maintain our relationships with our existing clients, including our efforts to amend the terms of certain of our private label client agreements, and to establish relationships with new clients;
- i the effects of any failure in or breach of our technology infrastructure, or those of our outsource providers, or any failure to implement changes to our information systems in a manner sufficient to comply with applicable law and our contractual obligations;
- i the ability to attract and retain key employees;
- i a deterioration in the performance of assets held as collateral for secured borrowings;
- i any failure to comply with covenants under our financing arrangements; and
- i the impact of changes in the U.S. financial condition and fiscal and monetary policies, or any actions taken or to be taken by the U.S. Department of the Treasury and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System on the credit markets and the U.S. economy.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which they are made. Factors and assumptions discussed above, and other factors not identified above, may have an impact on the continued accuracy of any forward-looking statements that we make. Except for our ongoing obligations to disclose material information under the federal securities laws, we undertake no obligation to release publicly any revisions to any forward-looking statements. For any forward-looking statements contained in any document, we claim the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements**

PHH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited)
(In millions, except per share data)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| REVENUES | | | | |
| Mortgage fees | \$ 82 | \$ 83 | \$ 161 | \$ 163 |
| Fleet management fees | 44 | 45 | 87 | 92 |
| Net fee income | 126 | 128 | 248 | 255 |
| Fleet lease income | 343 | 338 | 675 | 674 |
| Gain on mortgage loans, net | 197 | 208 | 384 | 438 |
| Mortgage interest income | 19 | 21 | 39 | 46 |
| Mortgage interest expense | (48) | (53) | (96) | (108) |
| Mortgage net finance expense | (29) | (32) | (57) | (62) |
| Loan servicing income | 88 | 100 | 196 | 221 |
| Change in fair value of mortgage servicing rights | 75 | (205) | 80 | (226) |
| Net derivative (loss) gain related to mortgage servicing rights | (1) | 2 | (17) | (3) |
| Valuation adjustments related to mortgage servicing rights, net | 74 | (203) | 63 | (229) |
| Net loan servicing income (loss) | 162 | (103) | 259 | (8) |
| Other income | 23 | 20 | 43 | 39 |
| Net revenues | 822 | 559 | 1,552 | 1,336 |
| EXPENSES | | | | |
| Salaries and related expenses | 163 | 143 | 322 | 279 |
| Occupancy and other office expenses | 17 | 14 | 32 | 28 |
| Depreciation on operating leases | 305 | 303 | 607 | 604 |
| Fleet interest expense | 14 | 17 | 29 | 34 |
| Other depreciation and amortization | 9 | 6 | 16 | 12 |
| Other operating expenses | 156 | 156 | 292 | 335 |
| Total expenses | 664 | 639 | 1,298 | 1,292 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 158 | (80) | 254 | 44 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 56 | (38) | 88 | 1 |
| Net income (loss) | 102 | (42) | 166 | 43 |
| Less: net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | 12 | 15 | 24 | 25 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to PHH Corporation | \$ 90 | \$ (57) | \$ 142 | \$ 18 |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share attributable to PHH Corporation | \$ 1.58 | \$ (1.00) | \$ 2.48 | \$ 0.32 |

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|------|----|--------|----|------|----|------|
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share attributable to PHH Corporation | \$ | 1.40 | \$ | (1.00) | \$ | 2.18 | \$ | 0.31 |
|--|----|------|----|--------|----|------|----|------|

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME****(Unaudited)****(In millions)**

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--|-------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 102 | \$ (42) | \$ 166 | \$ 43 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax: | | | | |
| Currency translation adjustment | (6) | (4) | (11) | |
| Change in unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities, net | (1) | | (1) | (1) |
| Change in unfunded pension liability, net | | | | 1 |
| Total other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax | (7) | (4) | (12) | |
| Total comprehensive income (loss) | 95 | (46) | 154 | 43 |
| Less: comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest | 12 | 15 | 24 | 25 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to PHH Corporation | \$ 83 | \$ (61) | \$ 130 | \$ 18 |

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Unaudited)
(In millions, except share data)

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 1,044 | \$ 829 |
| Restricted cash, cash equivalents and investments (including \$0 and \$121 of available-for-sale securities at fair value) | 349 | 425 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | 1,751 | 2,174 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 972 | 797 |
| Net investment in fleet leases | 3,736 | 3,636 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 1,247 | 1,022 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 76 | 79 |
| Goodwill | 25 | 25 |
| Other assets | 571 | 616 |
| Total assets (1) | \$ 9,771 | \$ 9,603 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$ 782 | \$ 586 |
| Debt | 6,323 | 6,554 |
| Deferred taxes | 705 | 622 |
| Other liabilities | 274 | 279 |
| Total liabilities (1) | 8,084 | 8,041 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 13) | | |
| EQUITY | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 1,090,000 shares authorized; none issued or outstanding | | |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 273,910,000 shares authorized; 57,105,651 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2013; 56,975,991 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2012 | 1 | 1 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 1,133 | 1,127 |
| Retained earnings | 514 | 372 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 14 | 26 |
| Total PHH Corporation stockholders' equity | 1,662 | 1,526 |
| Noncontrolling interest | 25 | 36 |
| Total equity | 1,687 | 1,562 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 9,771 | \$ 9,603 |

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Continued.

Table of Contents**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Continued)****(Unaudited)**
(In millions)

(1) The Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets include assets of variable interest entities which can be used only to settle their obligations and liabilities of variable interest entities which creditors or beneficial interest holders do not have recourse to PHH Corporation and subsidiaries as follows:

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 73 | \$ 66 |
| Restricted cash, cash equivalents and investments | 298 | 249 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | 667 | 730 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 92 | 90 |
| Net investment in fleet leases | 3,634 | 3,531 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 2 | 2 |
| Other assets | 43 | 39 |
| Total assets | \$ 4,809 | \$ 4,707 |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$ 35 | \$ 36 |
| Debt | 4,140 | 4,074 |
| Other liabilities | 17 | 13 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 4,192 | \$ 4,123 |

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY
(Unaudited)

(In millions, except share data)

| | PHH Corporation Stockholders Equity | | | | | | Total Equity |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---|----------------------------|-----------------|
| | Common Stock Shares | Common Stock Amount | Additional Paid-In Capital | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Noncontrolling Interest | |
| Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | | | | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | 56,975,991 | \$ 1 | \$ 1,127 | \$ 372 | \$ 26 | \$ 36 | \$ 1,562 |
| Total comprehensive income (loss) | | | | 142 | (12) | 24 | 154 |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interest | | | | | | (35) | (35) |
| Stock compensation expense | | | 4 | | | | 4 |
| Stock issued under share-based payment plans | 129,660 | | | | | | |
| Recognition of deferred taxes related to Convertible notes | | | 2 | | | | 2 |
| Balance at June 30, 2013 | 57,105,651 | \$ 1 | \$ 1,133 | \$ 514 | \$ 14 | \$ 25 | \$ 1,687 |
| Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | | | | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | 56,361,155 | \$ 1 | \$ 1,082 | \$ 338 | \$ 21 | \$ 19 | \$ 1,461 |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | 18 | | 25 | 43 |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interest | | | | | | (14) | (14) |
| Stock compensation expense | | | 2 | | | | 2 |
| Stock issued under share-based payment plans | 284,942 | | (2) | | | | (2) |
| Conversion option related to Convertible note issuance, net | | | 33 | | | | 33 |
| Recognition of deferred taxes related to Convertible notes | | | 2 | | | | 2 |
| Balance at June 30, 2012 | 56,646,097 | \$ 1 | \$ 1,117 | \$ 356 | \$ 21 | \$ 30 | \$ 1,525 |

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)

(In millions)

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 166 | \$ 43 |
| Adjustments to reconcile Net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Capitalization of originated mortgage servicing rights | (145) | (174) |
| Net (gain) loss on mortgage servicing rights and related derivatives | (63) | 229 |
| Vehicle depreciation | 607 | 604 |
| Other depreciation and amortization | 16 | 12 |
| Origination of mortgage loans held for sale | (15,842) | (19,168) |
| Proceeds on sale of and payments from mortgage loans held for sale | 16,611 | 20,323 |
| Net gain on interest rate lock commitments, mortgage loans held for sale and related derivatives | (379) | (510) |
| Deferred income tax expense (benefit) | 85 | (5) |
| Other adjustments and changes in other assets and liabilities, net | 193 | 157 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 1,249 | 1,511 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Investment in vehicles | (924) | (970) |
| Proceeds on sale of investment vehicles | 154 | 156 |
| Net cash paid on derivatives related to mortgage servicing rights | (19) | (3) |
| Purchases of property, plant and equipment | (17) | (10) |
| Purchases of restricted investments | (85) | (95) |
| Proceeds from sales and maturities of restricted investments | 166 | 130 |
| (Increase) decrease in restricted cash and cash equivalents | (48) | 41 |
| Other, net | 2 | 19 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (771) | (732) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from secured borrowings | 25,675 | 32,351 |
| Principal payments on secured borrowings | (25,885) | (32,797) |
| Proceeds from unsecured borrowings | | 243 |
| Principal payments on unsecured borrowings | | (252) |
| Issuances of common stock | 1 | |
| Cash paid for debt issuance costs | (11) | (22) |
| Other, net | (40) | (17) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (260) | (494) |
| Effect of changes in exchange rates on Cash and cash equivalents | (3) | 1 |
| Net increase in Cash and cash equivalents | 215 | 286 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 829 | 414 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 1,044 | \$ 700 |

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

BASIS OF PRESENTATION

PHH Corporation and subsidiaries (collectively, PHH or the Company) is a leading outsource provider of mortgage and fleet management services operating in the following business segments:

- i **Mortgage Production** provides mortgage loan origination services and sells mortgage loans.

- i **Mortgage Servicing** performs servicing activities for originated and purchased loans.

- i **Fleet Management Services** provides commercial fleet management services.

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts and transactions of PHH and its subsidiaries, as well as entities in which the Company directly or indirectly has a controlling interest and variable interest entities of which the Company is the primary beneficiary. PHH Home Loans, LLC and its subsidiaries are consolidated within the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, and Realogy Corporation's ownership interest is presented as a noncontrolling interest. Intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated from the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to current period presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on reported totals for assets, liabilities, stockholders' equity, cash flows or net income or loss. See Note 8, "Accounts Payable and Accrued Expenses", for further information.

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, which is commonly referred to as GAAP, for interim financial information and pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and disclosures required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In management's opinion, the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contain all adjustments, which include normal and recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and results of operations for the interim periods presented. The results of operations reported for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations for the entire year or any subsequent interim period. These unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

Company's 2012 Form 10-K.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. These estimates and assumptions include, but are not limited to, those related to the valuation of mortgage servicing rights, mortgage loans held for sale and other financial instruments, the estimation of liabilities for mortgage loan repurchases and indemnifications and reinsurance losses, and the determination of certain income tax assets and liabilities and associated valuation allowances. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Unless otherwise noted and except for share and per share data, dollar amounts presented within these Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are in millions.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Comprehensive Income. In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-02, Reporting of Amounts Reclassified out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income . This update to the comprehensive income guidance requires additional disclosure about the amounts reclassified out of Accumulated other comprehensive income, including disclosing the amounts that impact each line item in the Statement of Operations within a reporting period. This update enhances the disclosure requirements for amounts reclassified out of Accumulated other comprehensive income but will not impact the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows. The Company adopted the new accounting guidance prospectively effective January 1, 2013. The updated disclosures are included in Note 14, Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income .

Intangibles. In July 2012, the FASB issued ASU 2012-02, Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment . This update amends the current guidance on testing indefinite-lived intangibles for impairment and allows for the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events and circumstances indicates that it is more likely than not that the indefinite-lived intangibles are impaired. If it is more likely than not that the indefinite-lived intangibles are impaired, the entity is required to determine the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangibles and perform the quantitative impairment test by comparing the fair value with the carrying amount. The Company adopted the new accounting guidance effective January 1, 2013 and the guidance will be incorporated prospectively when performing impairment tests for intangible assets.

Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-11, Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities . This update requires disclosure of both gross and net information about instruments and transactions in the scope of these pronouncements. Subsequently in January 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-01, Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities which limited the disclosures to derivatives including bifurcated embedded derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are offset in accordance with current derivative and netting guidance, or subject to a master netting arrangement or similar agreement. The Company adopted the new accounting guidance retrospectively effective January 1, 2013. The updated disclosures are included in Note 5, Derivatives .

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Income Taxes. In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-11, Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists . This update to the income tax guidance clarifies the diversity in practice in the presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. This update requires the unrecognized tax benefit to be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset or as a liability to the extent the entity cannot or does not intend to use the deferred tax asset for such purpose. The new accounting guidance is effective beginning January 1, 2014 and should be applied prospectively to all unrecognized tax benefits that exist at the effective date and retrospective application is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting the new accounting standard.

2. Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings (loss) per share attributable to PHH Corporation was computed by dividing Net income (loss) attributable to PHH Corporation for the period by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings (loss) per share attributable to PHH Corporation was computed by dividing Net income (loss) attributable to PHH Corporation for the period by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the period, assuming all potentially dilutive common shares were issued.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The weighted-average computation of the dilutive effect of potentially issuable shares of Common stock under the treasury stock method excludes the effect of any contingently issuable securities where the contingency has not been met and the effect of securities that would be anti-dilutive. Anti-dilutive securities may include:

- i outstanding stock-based compensation awards representing shares from restricted stock units and stock options;
- i stock assumed to be issued related to convertible notes; and
- i sold warrants related to the Company's Convertible notes due 2014.

The computation also excludes shares related to the assumed issuance of the Convertible notes due 2014 and related purchased options as they are currently to be settled only in cash. Shares associated with anti-dilutive securities are outlined in the table below.

The following table summarizes the calculations of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share attributable to PHH Corporation for the periods indicated:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|---|-------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions, except share and per share data) | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to PHH Corporation | \$ 90 | \$ (57) | \$ 142 | \$ 18 |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding basic | 57,320,953 | 56,803,903 | 57,285,088 | 56,730,471 |
| Effect of potentially dilutive securities: | | | | |
| Share-based payment arrangements(1) | 140,484 | | 189,649 | 152,126 |
| Conversion of debt securities | 7,360,737 | | 7,826,754 | 2,518,414 |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding diluted | 64,822,174 | 56,803,903 | 65,301,491 | 59,401,011 |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share attributable to PHH Corporation | \$ 1.58 | \$ (1.00) | \$ 2.48 | \$ 0.32 |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share attributable to PHH Corporation | \$ 1.40 | \$ (1.00) | \$ 2.18 | \$ 0.31 |
| Anti-dilutive securities excluded from the computation of dilutive securities: | | | | |
| Outstanding stock-based compensation awards | 1,109,118 | 2,066,270 | 650,818 | 1,623,297 |
| Assumed conversion of debt securities | | 4,195,717 | | |

(1) Represents incremental shares from restricted stock units and stock options. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, excludes 719,606 shares that are contingently issuable for which the contingency has not been met. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, excludes 358,984 shares that are contingently issuable for which the contingency has not been met.

3. Restricted Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments

The following table summarizes Restricted cash, cash equivalents and investment balances:

| | June 30, 2013 | (In millions) | December 31, 2012 |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents | \$ 349 | | \$ 304 |
| Restricted investments, at fair value | | | 121 |
| Total | \$ 349 | | \$ 425 |

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

During the three months ended June 30, 2013, the Company terminated its remaining reinsurance agreement. As a result, the restricted cash and investments held in trust to pay future losses were released and the remaining liability was settled with the primary mortgage insurer. As of June 30, 2013, the Company no longer had any restricted investments classified as available-for-sale securities since the investments were sold in order to distribute unrestricted cash to the Company and primary mortgage insurer pursuant to the termination agreement. See Note 12, **Credit Risk** for information regarding the termination.

The following tables summarize Restricted investments, at fair value as of December 31, 2012:

| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Unrealized Gains (In millions) | Unrealized Losses | Weighted- average remaining maturity |
|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---|
| Corporate securities | \$ 30 | \$ 31 | \$ 1 | \$ | 25 mos. |
| Agency securities (1) | 39 | 39 | | | 21 mos. |
| Government securities | 51 | 51 | | | 19 mos. |
| Total | \$ 120 | \$ 121 | \$ 1 | \$ | 21 mos. |

(1) Represents bonds and notes issued by various agencies including, but not limited to, Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Federal Home Loan Banks.

During both the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, \$1 million of realized gains and \$1 million of realized losses from the sale of available-for-sale securities were recorded. The amount of realized gains and losses from the sale of available-for-sale securities was not significant for the three months ended June 30, 2012. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, realized gains of \$1 million from the sale of available-for-sale securities were recorded, and realized losses were not significant.

4. Transfers and Servicing of Mortgage Loans

Residential mortgage loans are sold through one of the following methods: (i) sales to or pursuant to programs sponsored by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae, or (ii) sales to private investors. The Company may have continuing involvement in mortgage loans sold by retaining one or more of the following: servicing rights and servicing obligations; recourse obligations; and/or beneficial interests (such as interest-only strips, principal-only strips, or subordinated interests). See Note 12, **Credit Risk** for a further description of recourse obligations.

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

The total servicing portfolio consists of loans associated with capitalized mortgage servicing rights, loans held for sale, and the servicing portfolio associated with loans subserviced for others. The total servicing portfolio was \$228.6 billion and \$183.7 billion as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The increase in the total servicing portfolio relates to the assumption of a subservicing portfolio in the three months ended June 30, 2013.

Mortgage servicing rights (MSRs) recorded in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets are related to the capitalized servicing portfolio and are created either through the direct purchase of servicing from a third party or through the sale of an originated loan.

The activity in the loan servicing portfolio associated with capitalized servicing rights consisted of:

| | Six Months Ended | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------|
| | June 30, | |
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions) | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 140,381 | \$ 147,088 |
| Additions | 13,438 | 17,445 |
| Payoffs, sales and curtailments | (20,758) | (16,639) |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 133,061 | \$ 147,894 |

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The activity in capitalized MSR's consisted of:

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions) | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 1,022 | \$ 1,209 |
| Additions | 145 | 174 |
| Changes in fair value due to: | | |
| Realization of expected cash flows | (157) | (124) |
| Changes in market inputs or assumptions used in the valuation model | 237 | (102) |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 1,247 | \$ 1,157 |

The value of MSR's is driven by the net positive cash flows associated with servicing activities. These cash flows include contractually specified servicing fees, late fees and other ancillary servicing revenue and were recorded within Loan servicing income as follows:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--|-------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Servicing fees from capitalized portfolio | \$ 99 | \$ 111 | \$ 199 | \$ 223 |
| Late fees | 4 | 5 | 9 | 10 |
| Other ancillary servicing revenue | 9 | 8 | 19 | 20 |

As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the MSR's had a weighted-average life of approximately 5.8 years and 4.3 years, respectively. See Note 15, Fair Value Measurements, for additional information regarding the valuation of MSR's.

The following table sets forth information regarding cash flows relating to loan sales in which the Company has continuing involvement:

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions) | |
| Proceeds from new loan sales or securitizations | \$ 13,805 | \$ 18,149 |
| Servicing fees from capitalized portfolio(1) | 199 | 223 |
| Other cash flows on retained interests (2) | | 5 |

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|-------|-------|
| Purchases of delinquent or foreclosed loans (3) | (37) | (42) |
| Servicing advances (4) | (562) | (651) |
| Repayment of servicing advances | 569 | 642 |

- (1) Excludes late fees and other ancillary servicing revenue.
- (2) Represents cash flows received on retained interests other than servicing fees.
- (3) Excludes indemnification payments to investors and insurers of the related mortgage loans.
- (4) As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, outstanding servicing advance receivables related to our total servicing portfolio of \$477 million and \$293 million, respectively, were included in Accounts receivable, net.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, pre-tax gains of \$186 million and \$428 million, respectively, related to the sale or securitization of residential mortgage loans were recognized in Gain on mortgage loans, net in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, pre-tax gains of \$198 million and \$426 million, respectively, related to the sale or securitization of residential mortgage loans were recognized in Gain on mortgage loans, net in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****5. Derivatives**

Derivative instruments and the risks they manage are as follows:

- Forward delivery commitments** Related to interest rate and price risk for Mortgage loans held for sale and interest rate lock commitments
- Option contracts** Related to interest rate and price risk for Mortgage loans held for sale and interest rate lock commitments
- MSR-related agreements** Related to interest rate risk for Mortgage servicing rights
- Interest rate contracts** Related to interest rate risk for variable-rate debt arrangements and fixed-rate leases
- Convertible note-related agreements** Related to the issuance of the Convertible notes due in 2014
- Foreign exchange contracts** Related to exposure to currency fluctuations that would impact our investment in or borrowings related to our Canadian operations

Derivative instruments are recorded in Other assets and Other liabilities in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company does not have any derivative instruments designated as hedging instruments.

DERIVATIVE ACTIVITY

The following table summarizes the gross notional amount of derivatives:

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | (In millions) | |
| <i>Notional amounts:</i> | | |
| Interest rate lock commitments | \$ 4,375 | \$ 4,993 |
| Forward delivery commitments | 11,670 | 12,303 |
| Option contracts | 660 | 1,070 |
| Interest rate contracts | 692 | 614 |

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-------|-------|
| Convertible note-related agreements(1) | | |
| MSR-related agreements | 1,565 | 3,915 |

(1) The notional of derivative instruments underlying the Convertible-note related agreements is 9.6881 million shares of the Company's Common stock. These instruments relate to the issuance of the Convertible notes due 2014.

The Company is exposed to risk in the event of non-performance by counterparties to our derivative contracts. In general, the Company manages such risk by evaluating the financial position and creditworthiness of counterparties, monitoring the amount of exposure and/or dispersing the risk among multiple counterparties. The Company's derivatives may also be governed by an ISDA or an MSFTA, and bilateral collateral agreements are in place with certain counterparties. When the Company has more than one outstanding derivative transaction with a single counterparty and a legally enforceable master netting agreement is in effect with that counterparty, the Company considers its exposure to be the net fair value of all positions with that counterparty including the value of any cash collateral amounts posted or received.

The Company also has collateral posting arrangements with certain counterparties that do not qualify for net presentation. As of December 31, 2012, \$1 million was recorded in Other assets in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets for collateral that did not qualify for net presentation, and as of June 30, 2013, the amount was not significant.

In addition, the Company has global netting arrangements with certain counterparties whereby the Company's outstanding derivative and cash collateral positions may be settled net against amounts outstanding under borrowing arrangements and other obligations when an event of default has occurred. These amounts are not presented net in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as the netting provisions are contingent upon an event of default.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Derivative instruments are recorded in Other assets and Other liabilities in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The following tables present the balances of outstanding derivative instruments on a gross basis and the application of counterparty and collateral netting:

| June 30, 2013 | | | | |
|--|---------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| | Gross Assets | Offsetting Payables | Cash Collateral Received | Net Amount |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| ASSETS | | | | |
| <i>Subject to master netting arrangements:</i> | | | | |
| Forward delivery commitments | \$ 165 | \$ (127) | \$ (37) | \$ 1 |
| Option contracts | 2 | (2) | | |
| Derivative assets subject to netting | 167 | (129) | (37) | 1 |
| <i>Not subject to master netting arrangements:</i> | | | | |
| Interest rate lock commitments | 26 | | | 26 |
| Forward delivery commitments | 49 | | | 49 |
| Option contracts | 22 | | | 22 |
| Interest rate contracts | 2 | | | 2 |
| Convertible note-related agreements | 12 | | | 12 |
| Derivative assets not subject to netting | 111 | | | 111 |
| Total derivative assets | \$ 278 | \$ (129) | \$ (37) | \$ 112 |
| LIABILITIES | | | | |
| <i>Subject to master netting arrangements:</i> | | | | |
| Forward delivery commitments | \$ 57 | \$ (127) | \$ 84 | \$ 14 |
| Option contracts | | (2) | 4 | 2 |
| Derivative liabilities subject to netting | 57 | (129) | 88 | 16 |
| <i>Not subject to master netting arrangements:</i> | | | | |
| Interest rate lock commitments | 37 | | | 37 |
| Forward delivery commitments | 6 | | | 6 |
| Convertible note-related agreements | 12 | | | 12 |
| Derivative liabilities not subject to netting | 55 | | | 55 |
| Total derivative liabilities | \$ 112 | \$ (129) | \$ 88 | \$ 71 |

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | Gross Assets | December 31, 2012 | | Net Amount |
|--|--------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| | | Offsetting Payables | Cash Collateral (Received) Paid | |
| (In millions) | | | | |
| ASSETS | | | | |
| <i>Subject to master netting arrangements:</i> | | | | |
| Forward delivery commitments | \$ 10 | \$ (12) | \$ 5 | \$ 3 |
| MSR-related agreements | 5 | (4) | (1) | |
| Derivative assets subject to netting | 15 | (16) | 4 | 3 |
| <i>Not subject to master netting arrangements:</i> | | | | |
| Interest rate lock commitments | 140 | | | 140 |
| Forward delivery commitments | 5 | | | 5 |
| Option contracts | 2 | | | 2 |
| Interest rate contracts | 1 | | | 1 |
| Convertible note-related agreements | 27 | | | 27 |
| Derivative assets not subject to netting | 175 | | | 175 |
| Total derivative assets | \$ 190 | \$ (16) | \$ 4 | \$ 178 |

| | Gross Liabilities | December 31, 2012 | | Net Amount |
|--|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| | | Offsetting Receivables | Cash Collateral (Paid) Received | |
| (In millions) | | | | |
| LIABILITIES | | | | |
| <i>Subject to master netting arrangements:</i> | | | | |
| Forward delivery commitments | \$ 14 | \$ (12) | \$ (1) | \$ 1 |
| MSR-related agreements | | (4) | 9 | 5 |
| Derivative liabilities subject to netting | 14 | (16) | 8 | 6 |
| <i>Not subject to master netting arrangements:</i> | | | | |
| Interest rate lock commitments | 1 | | | 1 |
| Forward delivery commitments | 5 | | | 5 |
| Convertible note-related agreements | 27 | | | 27 |
| Derivative liabilities not subject to netting | 33 | | | 33 |
| Total derivative liabilities | \$ 47 | \$ (16) | \$ 8 | \$ 39 |

The following table summarizes the gains (losses) recorded in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for derivative instruments:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| | 2013 | June 30, 2012 | 2013 | June 30, 2012 |
| (In millions) | | | | |
| <i>Gain on mortgage loans, net:</i> | | | | |
| Interest rate lock commitments | \$ 67 | \$ 374 | \$ 269 | \$ 760 |
| Forward delivery commitments | 185 | (124) | 239 | (153) |
| Options contracts | 20 | (6) | 18 | (10) |
| <i>Net derivative (loss) gain related to mortgage servicing rights:</i> | | | | |
| MSR-related agreements | (1) | 2 | (17) | (3) |

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

Fleet interest expense:

| | | |
|----------------------------|-----|-----|
| Interest rate contracts | (1) | (1) |
| Foreign exchange contracts | 1 | 1 |

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****6. Vehicle Leasing Activities**

The following table summarizes the components of Net investment in fleet leases:

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--|------------------|----------------------|
| | (In millions) | |
| <i>Operating Leases:</i> | | |
| Vehicles under open-end operating leases | \$ 8,176 | \$ 8,174 |
| Vehicles under closed-end operating leases | 139 | 154 |
| Vehicles under operating leases | 8,315 | 8,328 |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation | (4,931) | (4,959) |
| Net investment in operating leases | 3,384 | 3,369 |
| <i>Direct Financing Leases:</i> | | |
| Lease payments receivable | 96 | 91 |
| Less: Unearned income | (2) | |
| Net investment in direct financing leases | 94 | 91 |
| <i>Off-Lease Vehicles:</i> | | |
| Vehicles not yet subject to a lease | 253 | 169 |
| Vehicles held for sale | 12 | 15 |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation | (7) | (8) |
| Net investment in off-lease vehicles | 258 | 176 |
| Total | \$ 3,736 | \$ 3,636 |

7. Other Assets

Other assets consisted of:

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | (In millions) | |
| Mortgage loans in foreclosure, net | \$ 151 | \$ 120 |
| Derivatives | 112 | 178 |
| Repurchase eligible loans(1) | 88 | 99 |
| Real estate owned, net | 50 | 53 |
| Deferred financing costs | 41 | 49 |
| Equity method investments | 40 | 38 |
| Intangible assets | 30 | 31 |
| Other | 59 | 48 |

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-------|----|-----|----|-----|
| Total | \$ | 571 | \$ | 616 |
|-------|----|-----|----|-----|

(1) Repurchase eligible loans represent sold mortgage loans that are held by investors where the Company has the right, but not the obligation, to repurchase the loan. Corresponding liabilities related to the loan balances were recorded within Accounts payable and accrued expenses in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****8. Accounts Payable and Accrued Expenses**

Accounts payable and accrued expenses consisted of:

| | June 30, 2013 | (In millions) | | December 31, 2012 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|-----------|----------------------|
| Accounts payable | \$ | 330 | | 331 |
| Subservicing advance liabilities(1) | | 242 | | 24 |
| Repurchase eligible loans | | 88 | | 99 |
| Accrued payroll and benefits | | 70 | | 80 |
| Accrued interest | | 30 | | 32 |
| Other | | 22 | | 20 |
| Total | \$ | 782 | \$ | 586 |

(1) Amounts were reclassified from prior presentation in Other liabilities.

The Company is required under most of our mortgage servicing agreements to advance our own funds to meet contractual principal and interest payments for certain investors and to pay taxes, insurance, foreclosure costs and various other items that are required to preserve the assets being serviced. Servicing advance receivables are reduced by the collection of principal and interest or escrow payments from the respective borrowers, or upon foreclosure or liquidation. Amounts advanced as the servicer and subservicer of mortgage loans are recorded within Accounts receivable in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Under the terms of certain subservicing arrangements, the Company has required the subservicing counterparty to fund servicing advances for their respective portfolios of subserviced loans. A subservicing advance liability is recorded for cash received from the counterparty to fund advances, and is repaid to the counterparty upon the collection of the mortgage servicing advance receivables. Amounts received from counterparties to fund subservicing advances are recorded within Accounts payable and accrued expenses in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

During the three months ended June 30, 2013, the Company assumed the role of subservicer for a mortgage loan portfolio. As of June 30, 2013, the subservicing portfolio that was assumed had an unpaid principal balance of \$46.8 billion and related balances of servicing advance receivables and liabilities of \$223 million and \$219 million, respectively.

9. Other Liabilities

Other liabilities consisted of:

| | June 30, 2013 | (In millions) | December 31, 2012 |
|--|------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| Loan repurchase and indemnification liability | \$ 141 | | \$ 140 |
| Derivatives | 71 | | 39 |
| Pension and other post employment benefits liability | 15 | | 15 |
| Lease syndication liability | 13 | | 16 |
| Liability for reinsurance losses(1) | | | 33 |
| Other | 34 | | 36 |
| Total | \$ 274 | | \$ 279 |

(1) Decrease in balance relates to the termination of the remaining inactive reinsurance contract. See Note 12, Credit Risk for further discussion.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

10. Debt and Borrowing Arrangements

The following table summarizes the components of Debt:

| | June 30, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Balance | Wt. Avg- Interest Rate(1) | Balance | Wt. Avg- Interest Rate(1) |
| (In millions) | | | | |
| Term notes, in amortization | \$ 827 | 1.3% | \$ 424 | 2.2% |
| Term notes, in revolving period | 1,650 | 0.9% | 1,593 | 1.0% |
| Variable-funding notes | 1,036 | 2.1% | 1,415 | 1.6% |
| Other | 22 | 5.0% | 25 | 5.1% |
| Vehicle Management Asset-Backed Debt | 3,535 | | 3,457 | |
| Secured Canadian credit facility | | % | | % |
| Committed warehouse facilities | 1,552 | 2.1% | 1,875 | 2.0% |
| Uncommitted warehouse facilities | | % | | % |
| Servicing advance facility | 65 | 2.7% | 66 | 2.7% |
| Mortgage Asset-Backed Debt | 1,617 | | 1,941 | |
| Term notes | 732 | 8.5% | 732 | 8.5% |
| Convertible notes(2) | 439 | 5.0% | 424 | 5.0% |
| Unsecured credit facilities | | % | | % |
| Unsecured Debt | 1,171 | | 1,156 | |
| Total | \$ 6,323 | | \$ 6,554 | |

(1) Represents the weighted-average stated interest rate of outstanding debt as of the respective date, which may be different from the effective rate due to the amortization of premiums, discounts and issuance costs. Facilities are variable-rate, except for the Unsecured Term notes and Convertible notes which are fixed-rate.

(2) Balance is net of unamortized discounts of \$61 million and \$76 million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The effective interest rate of the Convertible notes is 13%, which includes the accretion of the discount and issuance costs.

Assets held as collateral for asset-backed borrowing arrangements that are not available to pay the Company's general obligations as of June 30, 2013 consisted of:

| | |
|--|---|
| Vehicle Asset-Backed Debt | Mortgage Asset-Backed Debt |
|--|---|

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

| | (In millions) | |
|---|---------------|----------|
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents | \$ 294 | \$ 9 |
| Accounts receivable | 64 | 81 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale (unpaid principal balance) | | 1,630 |
| Net investment in fleet leases | 3,678 | |
| Total | \$ 4,036 | \$ 1,720 |

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The following table provides the contractual debt maturities as of June 30, 2013:

| | Vehicle Asset-Backed Debt(1) | Mortgage Asset-Backed Debt | Unsecured Debt(2) | Total |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------|
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Within one year | \$ 936 | \$ 1,617 | \$ | \$ 2,553 |
| Between one and two years | 1,128 | | 250 | 1,378 |
| Between two and three years | 822 | | 450 | 1,272 |
| Between three and four years | 477 | | 250 | 727 |
| Between four and five years | 160 | | 8 | 168 |
| Thereafter | 12 | | 275 | 287 |
| | \$ 3,535 | \$ 1,617 | \$ 1,233 | \$ 6,385 |

(1) Maturities of vehicle management asset-backed notes, a portion of which are amortizing in accordance with their terms, represent estimated payments based on the expected cash inflows related to the securitized vehicle leases and related assets.

(2) Maturities of convertible notes have been reflected based on the contractual maturity date. Under certain circumstances, the convertible notes may be converted, and the principal portion of the notes and the conversion premium, if any, would be due in cash prior to the contractual maturity date.

Capacity under all borrowing agreements is dependent upon maintaining compliance with, or obtaining waivers of, the terms, conditions and covenants of the respective agreements. Available capacity under asset-backed funding arrangements may be further limited by asset eligibility requirements. Available capacity under committed borrowing arrangements as of June 30, 2013 consisted of:

| | Capacity | Utilized Capacity (In millions) | Available Capacity |
|--|----------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Vehicle Management Asset-Backed Debt: | | | |
| Term notes, in revolving period | \$ 1,650 | \$ 1,650 | \$ |
| Variable-funding notes | 2,276 | 1,036 | 1,240 |
| Secured Canadian credit facility | 119 | | 119 |
| Mortgage Asset-Backed Debt: | | | |
| Committed warehouse facilities | 3,155 | 1,552 | 1,603 |
| Servicing advance facility | 120 | 65 | 55 |
| Unsecured credit facilities(1) | 305 | | 305 |

(1) Capacity amount shown reflects the contractual maximum capacity of the facility. The available capacity of this facility is subject to the satisfaction of compliance with a borrowing base coverage ratio test.

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

Capacity for Mortgage asset-backed debt shown above excludes \$2.3 billion not drawn under uncommitted facilities. See Note 15, Fair Value Measurements for the measurement of the fair value of Debt.

VEHICLE MANAGEMENT ASSET-BACKED DEBT

On June 13, 2013, Chesapeake Funding LLC (Chesapeake) issued \$700 million of Series 2013-1 Term notes. Proceeds from the notes were used to repay a portion of the Series 2010-1 notes and Series 2011-1 notes.

On June 26, 2013, Chesapeake extended the revolving period of the 2010-1 Variable funding notes to July 26, 2013.

See Note 18, Subsequent Events , for a discussion of Chesapeake issuances in July 2013.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

MORTGAGE ASSET-BACKED DEBT

On May 22, 2013, \$675 million of commitments under the variable-rate mortgage repurchase facilities with Credit Suisse First Boston Mortgage Capital LLC were extended. The expiration of the facility is based on a 364-day rolling term and may continue, at CSFB's option, until the stated expiration of May 22, 2015.

On June 11, 2013, the committed facility with Fannie Mae that provides for the early reimbursement of certain servicing advances made on behalf of Fannie Mae was extended to September 30, 2013.

On June 21, 2013, the Company extended the term of \$250 million of commitments with The Royal Bank of Scotland plc to June 20, 2014, and entered into terms for \$250 million of uncommitted capacity with the lender.

UNSECURED DEBT

As of June 30, 2013, Convertible notes included: (i) \$250 million of 4.0% Convertible notes with a maturity date of September 1, 2014; and (ii) \$250 million of 6.0% Convertible notes with a maturity date of June 15, 2017.

As of June 30, 2013, the Convertible notes due 2014 do not meet the requirements for conversion and there have been no conversions of the notes since issuance.

Holders of the Convertible notes due 2017 may convert all or any portion of the notes, at their option, prior to December 15, 2016 only upon the occurrence of certain triggering events related to (i) the price of the notes, (ii) the price of the Company's Common stock, or (iii) upon the occurrence of specified corporate events. Holders of the Convertible notes due 2017 may also convert all or any portion of the notes at any time, at their option from, and including, December 15, 2016 through the third scheduled trading day immediately preceding the maturity date. Upon conversion, the principal amount of the converted notes is payable in cash and the Company will pay or deliver (at its election): (i) cash; (ii) shares of the Company's Common stock; or (iii) a combination of cash and shares of the Company's Common stock; to settle amounts due if the conversion value exceeds the principal of the converted notes. As of June 30, 2013, the if-converted value exceeded the principal amount of the notes by \$148 million, and the notes met the requirements for conversion.

DEBT COVENANTS

Certain debt arrangements require the maintenance of certain financial ratios and contain other affirmative and negative covenants, termination events, and other restrictions, including, but not limited to, covenants relating to material adverse changes, liquidity maintenance, restrictions on indebtedness of the Company and its material subsidiaries, mergers, liens, liquidations, sale and leaseback transactions, and restrictions on certain types of payments, including dividends and stock repurchases. Certain other debt arrangements, including the Fannie Mae committed facility, contain provisions that permit the Company or our counterparty to terminate the arrangement upon the occurrence of certain events, including those described below.

There were no significant amendments to the terms of debt covenants during the six months ended June 30, 2013. As of June 30, 2013, the Company was in compliance with all financial covenants related to its debt arrangements.

Under certain of the Company's financing, servicing, hedging and related agreements and instruments, the lenders or trustees have the right to notify the Company if they believe it has breached a covenant under the agreements and may declare an event of default. If one or more notices of default were to be given, the Company believes it would have various periods in which to cure certain of such events of default. If the Company does not cure the events of default or obtain necessary waivers within the required time periods, the maturity of certain debt agreements could be accelerated and the ability to incur additional indebtedness could be restricted. In addition, an event of default or acceleration under certain agreements and instruments would trigger cross-default provisions under certain of the Company's other agreements and instruments.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****11. Income Taxes**

Interim income tax expense or benefit is recorded by applying a projected full-year effective income tax rate to the quarterly Income before income taxes for results that are deemed to be reliably estimable. Certain results dependent on fair value adjustments of the Mortgage Production and Mortgage Servicing segments are considered not to be reliably estimable, and therefore, discrete year-to-date income tax provisions are recorded on those results.

The following table and discussion summarizes items that significantly impacted Income tax expense and increased (decreased) the effective tax rate:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| State and local income taxes, net of federal tax benefits | \$ 6 | \$ (4) | \$ 10 | \$ 1 |
| Changes in rate and apportionment factors | | | (2) | (6) |
| Noncontrolling interest | (4) | (5) | (9) | (9) |

State and local income taxes, net of federal tax benefits. The impact to the effective tax rate from state and local income taxes is primarily driven by the pre-tax income or loss, as well as the mix of income and loss from the operations by entity and state income tax jurisdiction. The effective state tax rate was higher for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to 2012.

Changes in rate and apportionment factors. Represents the impact to the effective tax rate on deferred tax items for changes in apportionment factors and tax rate. For the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the amount represents the impact of applying statutory changes to apportionment weight, apportionment sourcing and corporate income tax rates that were enacted by various states, primarily New Jersey.

Noncontrolling interest. The impact to the effective tax rate from noncontrolling interest represents Realty Corporation's portion of income taxes related to the income or loss attributable to PHH Home Loans. The impact is driven by PHH Home Loans' election to report as a partnership for federal and state income tax purposes, whereby, the tax expense is reported by the individual LLC members. Accordingly, the Company's Income tax expense includes only its proportionate share of the income tax related to the income generated by PHH Home Loans.

12. Credit Risk

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

The Company is subject to the following forms of credit risk:

- **Consumer credit risk** through mortgage banking activities as a result of originating and servicing residential mortgage loans

- **Commercial credit risk** through fleet management and leasing activities

- **Counterparty credit risk** through derivative transactions, sales agreements and various mortgage loan origination and servicing agreements

Consumer Credit Risk

The Company is not subject to the majority of the risks inherent in maintaining a mortgage loan portfolio because loans are not held for investment purposes and are generally sold to investors within 30 days of origination. The majority of mortgage loan sales are on a non-recourse basis; however, the Company has exposure in certain circumstances in its capacity as a loan originator and servicer to loan repurchases and indemnifications through representation and warranty provisions.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The following tables summarize certain information regarding the total loan servicing portfolio, which includes loans associated with the capitalized Mortgage servicing rights as well as loans subserviced for others:

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| (In millions) | | |
| <i>Loan Servicing Portfolio Composition</i> | | |
| Owned | \$ 135,351 | \$ 142,930 |
| Subserviced(1) | 93,286 | 40,800 |
| Total | \$ 228,637 | \$ 183,730 |
| Conventional loans | \$ 192,301 | \$ 149,432 |
| Government loans | 30,211 | 29,842 |
| Home equity lines of credit | 6,125 | 4,456 |
| Total | \$ 228,637 | \$ 183,730 |
| Weighted-average interest rate | 4.2% | 4.3% |

| | June 30, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| | Number of Loans | Unpaid Balance | Number of Loans | Unpaid Balance |
| <i>Portfolio Delinquency(2)</i> | | | | |
| 30 days | 2.58 % | 2.03 % | 2.45 % | 1.93 % |
| 60 days | 0.75 % | 0.60 % | 0.64 % | 0.52 % |
| 90 or more days | 1.04 % | 0.95 % | 0.80 % | 0.70 % |
| Total(1) | 4.37 % | 3.58 % | 3.89 % | 3.15 % |
| Foreclosure/real estate owned(3) | 2.49 % | 2.44 % | 2.05 % | 1.92 % |

(1) The total servicing portfolio increased during the three months ended June 30, 2013 due to the assumption of a new subservicing portfolio. Excluding the subservicing portfolio assumed during the three months ended June 30, 2013, the Company's total portfolio delinquency and foreclosure/real estate owned based on the number of loans were 3.84% and 2.01%, respectively and based on the unpaid principal balance were 2.97% and 1.79%, respectively.

(2) Represents portfolio delinquencies as a percentage of the total number of loans and the total unpaid balance of the portfolio.

(3) As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the total servicing portfolio included 25,978 and 17,329 of loans in foreclosure with an unpaid principal balance of \$4.9 billion and \$3.0 billion, respectively. Excluding the subservicing portfolio assumed during the three months ended June 30, 2013, the Company's total servicing portfolio included 16,080 of loans in foreclosure with an unpaid principal balance of \$2.8 billion.

Repurchase and Foreclosure-Related Reserves

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

Representations and warranties are provided to investors and insurers on a significant portion of loans sold and are also assumed on purchased mortgage servicing rights. In the event of a breach of these representations and warranties, the Company may be required to repurchase the mortgage loan or indemnify the investor against loss. If there is no breach of a representation and warranty provision, there is no obligation to repurchase the loan or indemnify the investor against loss. In limited circumstances, the full risk of loss on loans sold is retained to the extent the liquidation of the underlying collateral is insufficient. In some instances where the Company has purchased loans from third parties, it may have the ability to recover the loss from the third party originator. Repurchase and foreclosure-related reserves are maintained for probable losses related to repurchase and indemnification obligations and for on-balance sheet loans in foreclosure and real estate owned.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

A summary of the activity in repurchase and foreclosure-related reserves is as follows:

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions) | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 191 | \$ 127 |
| Realized losses | (37) | (66) |
| Increase in reserves due to: | | |
| Changes in assumptions | 26 | 104 |
| New loan sales | 11 | 10 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 191 | \$ 175 |

Repurchase and foreclosure-related reserves consist of the following:

Loan Repurchases and Indemnifications

The maximum exposure to representation and warranty provisions exceeds the amount of loans in the capitalized portfolio of \$133.1 billion; however, the maximum amount of losses cannot be estimated because the Company does not service all of the loans for which it has provided representations or warranties. As of June 30, 2013, approximately \$196 million of loans have been identified in which the Company has full risk of loss or has identified a breach of representation and warranty provisions; 14% of which were at least 90 days delinquent (calculated based upon the unpaid principal balance of the loans).

As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, liabilities for probable losses related to repurchase and indemnification obligations of \$141 million and \$140 million, respectively, are included in Other liabilities in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The liability for loan repurchases and indemnifications represents management's estimate of probable losses based on the best information available and requires the application of a significant level of judgment and the use of a number of assumptions. These assumptions include the estimated amount and timing of repurchase and indemnification requests, the expected success rate in defending against requests, estimated insurance claim proceeds and denials and estimated loss severities on repurchases and indemnifications. The liability for loan repurchases and indemnifications does not reflect losses from litigation or governmental and regulatory examinations, investigations or inquiries.

While the Company uses the best information available in estimating the liability, actual experience can vary significantly from the assumptions as the estimation process is inherently uncertain. Given the increased levels of repurchase requests and repurchase and foreclosure-related charges in recent periods, there is a reasonable possibility that future losses may be in excess of the recorded liability. As of June 30, 2013, the estimated amount of reasonably possible losses in excess of the recorded liability was approximately \$45 million. This estimate assumes that

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

repurchase and indemnification requests remain at an elevated level through the year ended December 31, 2013, the success rate in defending against repurchase requests declines and that the Company will incur increased foreclosure-related costs that are not expected to be reimbursed pursuant to government mortgage insurance programs. The Company's estimate of reasonably possible losses does not represent probable losses and is based upon significant judgments and assumptions which can be influenced by many factors, including: (i) home prices and the levels of home equity; (ii) the criteria used by investors in selecting loans to request; (iii) the quality of our underwriting procedures; (iv) borrower delinquency and default patterns; and (v) general economic conditions.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Mortgage Loans in Foreclosure and Real Estate Owned**

The carrying values of the mortgage loans in foreclosure and real estate owned were recorded within Other assets in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as follows:

| | June 30, 2013 | (In millions) | December 31, 2012 |
|---|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| Mortgage loans in foreclosure(1) | \$ 176 | | \$ 148 |
| Allowance for probable foreclosure losses | | (25) | (28) |
| Mortgage loans in foreclosure, net | \$ 151 | | \$ 120 |
| Real estate owned | \$ 75 | | \$ 76 |
| Adjustment to value for real estate owned | | (25) | (23) |
| Real estate owned, net | \$ 50 | | \$ 53 |

(1) Includes \$93 million and \$65 million of recoverable advances as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Mortgage Reinsurance

During the three months ended June 30, 2013, the Company terminated its remaining inactive reinsurance contract which settled the liability and exposure to loss under that contract and released the \$118 million restricted cash and investments held in trust to pay future losses. The primary mortgage insurer received a \$49 million termination payment from the trust account and the Company was entitled to the remaining \$69 million unrestricted cash balance. As of June 30, 2013, the Company received \$30 million in unrestricted cash, and the remaining \$39 million was recorded in Accounts receivable, net in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the termination resulted in a pre-tax loss of \$21 million which was recorded in Loan servicing income in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

During the three months ended June 30, 2012, the Company terminated one of its inactive reinsurance contracts. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, this termination resulted in a pre-tax loss of \$16 million which was recorded in Loan servicing income in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

A summary of the activity in the liability for reinsurance losses is as follows:

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|------------------------------|-------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions) | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 33 | \$ 84 |
| Realized losses(1) | (35) | (51) |
| Increase in liability for reinsurance losses | 2 | 10 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ | \$ 43 |

(1) Realized reinsurance losses for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 includes \$28 million and \$21 million, respectively, related to the release of reserves associated with the termination of inactive reinsurance agreements.

Commercial Credit Risk

Vehicle leases are primarily classified as operating leases; however, certain leases are classified as direct financing leases and recorded within Net investment in fleet leases in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, both direct financing leases greater than 90 days past due and direct financing leases greater than 90 days past due that are still accruing interest were \$3 million and \$5 million, respectively and there were no allowances for credit losses related to direct financing leases at the end of either period.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

13. Commitments and Contingencies

LEGAL CONTINGENCIES

The Company and its subsidiaries are defendants in various legal proceedings, which include private and civil litigation as well as government and regulatory examinations, investigations and inquiries or other requests for information. These matters are at varying procedural stages and primarily relate to contractual disputes and other commercial, employment and tax claims. The resolution of these various matters may result in adverse judgments, fines, penalties, injunctions and other relief against the Company as well as monetary payments or other agreements and obligations. Alternately, the Company may engage in settlement discussions on certain matters in order to avoid the additional costs of engaging in litigation.

Reserves are established for pending or threatened litigation, claims or assessments when it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount of such loss can be reasonably estimated. In light of the inherent uncertainties involved in litigation and other legal proceedings, it is not always possible to determine a reasonable estimate of the amount of a probable loss, and the Company may estimate a range of possible loss for consideration in its estimates. The estimates are based upon currently available information and involve significant judgment taking into account the varying stages and inherent uncertainties of such matters. Accordingly, the Company's estimates may change from time to time and such changes may be material to the consolidated financial results. Given the inherent uncertainties and status of the Company's outstanding legal proceedings, the range of reasonably possible loss cannot be estimated for all matters. For matters where the Company can estimate the range of losses, the aggregate estimated amount of reasonably possible losses in excess of the recorded liability was \$10 million as of June 30, 2013.

As of June 30, 2013, the Company's recorded reserves associated with legal and regulatory contingencies were not material. There can be no assurance, however, that the ultimate resolution of the Company's pending or threatened litigation, claims or assessments will not result in losses in excess of the Company's recorded reserves. As a result, the ultimate resolution of any particular legal matter, or matters, could be material to the Company's results of operations or cash flows for the period in which such matter is resolved.

The following are descriptions of the Company's significant legal and regulatory matters, which may involve loss contingencies.

Contingencies Involving Mortgage Origination and Servicing Practices

The Company has received inquiries and requests for information from, and is subject to investigations by, regulators and attorneys general of certain states, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York, the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the U.S. House of Representatives and the U.S. Senate Judiciary Committee, requesting

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

information as to the Company's mortgage origination and servicing practices, including its foreclosure processes and procedures.

During the three months ended June 30, 2013, the Company received document subpoenas from the Office of Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York. The HUD subpoenas request production of certain documents related to, among other things, our origination and underwriting process for loans insured by the Federal Housing Administration (FHA). The U.S. Attorney's Office subpoena requests production of certain documents related to, among other things, foreclosure expenses that we incurred in connection with the foreclosure of loans insured or guaranteed by FHA, Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac. In addition, the New Jersey Attorney General has conducted an investigation of the Company's servicing practices and has informed the Company that it believes that the Company has violated the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act in connection with customer service and other matters related to loss mitigation activities for certain borrowers in the wake of the financial crisis. The Company has also undergone a regulatory examination by a multistate coalition of certain mortgage banking regulators and such regulators have alleged various violations of federal and state laws related to the Company's mortgage servicing practices prior to July 2011.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The Company believes it has meritorious defenses to these various allegations. However, there can be no assurance that claims or litigation will not arise from these inquiries, or similar inquiries by other governmental authorities, or that fines, penalties or increased legal costs will not be incurred in connection with these matters.

In addition to the increased regulatory focus on origination and servicing practices described above, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac have also had a continued focus on foreclosure practices. They have assessed compensatory fees against the Company for failing to meet certain foreclosure timelines specified in their respective servicing guides. Although such compensatory fees have not been material to date, there can be no assurance that the assessment of any such compensatory fees will not be material to the Company's results in the future.

CFPB Investigation

In January 2012, the Company was notified that the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (the CFPB) had opened an investigation to determine whether the Company's mortgage insurance premium ceding practices to captive reinsurers comply with the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act and other laws enforced by the CFPB. The CFPB has requested certain related documents and information for review and has requested a response to written questions pursuant to a Civil Investigative Demand and the investigative demand is still ongoing. The Company has provided reinsurance services in exchange for premiums ceded and believes that it has complied with the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act and other laws applicable to the Company's mortgage reinsurance activities. The Company did not provide reinsurance on loans originated after 2009.

14. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The after-tax components of Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) were as follows:

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| | (In millions) | |
| Currency translation adjustment | \$ 25 | \$ 36 |
| Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities, net of income taxes of \$0 and \$0 | | 1 |
| Pension adjustment, net of income tax benefit of \$(8) and \$(8) | (11) | (11) |
| Total | \$ 14 | \$ 26 |

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

All components of Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) are net of income taxes; however, currency translation adjustment excludes income taxes on undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries, which are considered to be indefinitely invested.

There were no amounts of Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, or during the respective periods.

Amounts reclassified out of Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) related to realized gains and losses from the sale of available-for-sale securities were recorded within Other income in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. During both the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, \$1 million of realized gains and \$1 million of realized losses from the sale of available-for-sale securities were recorded.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****15. Fair Value Measurements**

For assets and liabilities measured at fair value, there has been no change in the valuation methodologies and classification pursuant to the valuation hierarchy during the three months ended June 30, 2013. The incorporation of counterparty credit risk did not have a significant impact on the valuation of assets and liabilities recorded at fair value as of June 30, 2013 or December 31, 2012. Significant inputs to the measurement of fair value and further information on the assets and liabilities measured at fair value are as follows:

Mortgage Loans Held for Sale. The following table reflects the difference between the carrying amounts of Mortgage loans held for sale measured at fair value, and the aggregate unpaid principal amount that the Company is contractually entitled to receive at maturity:

| | June 30, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|-------------------|--|
| | Total | Loans 90 days or more past due and on non-accrual status | Total | Loans 90 days or more past due and on non-accrual status |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| <i>Mortgage loans held for sale:</i> | | | | |
| Carrying amount | \$ 1,751 | \$ 15 | \$ 2,174 | \$ 17 |
| Aggregate unpaid principal balance | 1,775 | 23 | 2,126 | 25 |
| Difference | \$ (24) | \$ (8) | \$ 48 | \$ (8) |

The following table summarizes the components of Mortgage loans held for sale:

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|-------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| | (In millions) | |
| <i>First mortgages:</i> | | |
| Conforming (1) | \$ 1,556 | \$ 1,966 |
| Non-conforming | 140 | 143 |
| Total first mortgages | 1,696 | 2,109 |
| Second lien | 6 | 8 |
| Scratch and Dent (2) | 49 | 56 |
| Other | | 1 |
| Total | \$ 1,751 | \$ 2,174 |

(1)Represents mortgage loans that conform to the standards of the government-sponsored entities.

(2)Represents mortgage loans with origination flaws or performance issues.

Derivative Instruments. The average pullthrough percentage used in measuring the fair value of Interest Rate Lock Commitments (IRLCs) was 80% and 74% as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The pullthrough percentage is considered a significant unobservable input and is estimated based on changes in pricing and actual borrower behavior using a historical analysis of loan closing and fallout data. Actual loan pullthrough is compared to the modeled estimates in order to evaluate this assumption each period based on current trends. Generally, a change in interest rates is accompanied by a directionally opposite change in the assumption used for the pullthrough percentage, and the impact to fair value of a change in pullthrough would be partially offset by the related change in price.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Mortgage Servicing Rights. The following tables summarize certain information regarding the initial and ending capitalization rate of Mortgage Servicing Rights (MSRs):

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|------------------------------|-------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Initial capitalization rate of additions to MSRs | 1.08 % | 1.00% |

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| | Capitalization servicing rate | 0.94 % |
| Capitalization servicing multiple | 3.2 | 2.4 |
| Weighted-average servicing fee (in basis points) | 29 | 30 |

The significant assumptions used in estimating the fair value of MSRs were as follows (in annual rates):

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| Weighted-average prepayment speed (CPR) | 11 % | 17% |
| Option adjusted spread, in basis points | 1,025 | 1,013 |
| Weighted-average delinquency rate | 5.6 % | 6.8% |

The following table summarizes the estimated change in the fair value of MSRs from adverse changes in the significant assumptions:

| | Weighted- Average Prepayment Speed | June 30, 2013 | | Weighted- Average Delinquency Rate |
|--|---|---|---------|---|
| | | Option Adjusted Spread (In millions) | | |
| Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change | \$ (55) | \$ (58) | \$ (23) | |
| Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change | (106) | (110) | (47) | |

These sensitivities are hypothetical and presented for illustrative purposes only. Changes in fair value based on a 10% variation in assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated because the relationship of the change in assumption to the change in fair value may not be linear. Also, this analysis does not assume any impact resulting from management's intervention to mitigate these variations.

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

The effect of a variation in a particular assumption is calculated without changing any other assumption and the assumptions used in valuing the MSRs are independently aggregated. Although there are certain inter-relationships among the various key assumptions noted above, changes in one of the significant assumptions would not independently drive changes in the others. The prepayment speed assumptions are highly dependent upon interest rates, which drive borrowers' propensity to refinance; however, there are other factors that can influence borrower refinance activity. These factors include housing prices, the levels of home equity, underwriting standards and loan product characteristics. The option adjusted spread is a component of the discount rate used to present value the cash flows of the MSR asset and represents the spread over a base interest rate that equates the present value of cash flows of an asset to the market price of that asset. The weighted average delinquency rate is based on the current and projected credit characteristics of the capitalized servicing portfolio and is dependent on economic conditions, home equity and delinquency and default patterns.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis were included in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as follows:

| | June 30, 2013 | | | | |
|---|---------------|--------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|
| | Level One | Level Two | Level Three (In millions) | Cash Collateral and Netting | Total |
| ASSETS | | | | | |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | \$ | \$ 1,696 | \$ 55 | \$ | \$ 1,751 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | | | 1,247 | | 1,247 |
| Other assets Derivative assets: | | | | | |
| Interest rate lock commitments | | | 26 | | 26 |
| Forward delivery commitments | | 214 | | (164) | 50 |
| Option contracts | | 24 | | (2) | 22 |
| Interest rate contracts | | 2 | | | 2 |
| Convertible note-related agreements | | | 12 | | 12 |
| LIABILITIES | | | | | |
| Other liabilities Derivative liabilities: | | | | | |
| Interest rate lock commitments | \$ | \$ | \$ 37 | \$ | \$ 37 |
| Forward delivery commitments | | 63 | | (43) | 20 |
| Option contracts | | | | 2 | 2 |
| Convertible note-related agreements | | | 12 | | 12 |

| | December 31, 2012 | | | | |
|---|-------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|
| | Level One | Level Two | Level Three (In millions) | Cash Collateral and Netting | Total |
| ASSETS | | | | | |
| Restricted investments | \$ | \$ 121 | \$ | \$ | \$ 121 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | | 2,110 | 64 | | 2,174 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | | | 1,022 | | 1,022 |
| Other assets Derivative assets: | | | | | |
| Interest rate lock commitments | | | 140 | | 140 |
| Forward delivery commitments | | 15 | | (7) | 8 |
| Option contracts | | 2 | | | 2 |
| MSR-related agreements | | 5 | | (5) | |
| Interest rate contracts | | 1 | | | 1 |
| Convertible note-related agreements | | | 27 | | 27 |
| LIABILITIES | | | | | |
| Other liabilities Derivative liabilities: | | | | | |
| Interest rate lock commitments | \$ | \$ | \$ 1 | \$ | \$ 1 |
| Forward delivery commitments | | 19 | | (13) | 6 |
| MSR-related agreements | | | | 5 | 5 |
| Convertible note-related agreements | | | 27 | | 27 |

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Activity in assets and liabilities classified within Level Three of the valuation hierarchy consisted of:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| | Mortgage loans held for sale | Mortgage servicing rights (In millions) | Interest rate lock commitments, net |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 56 | \$ 1,101 | \$ 113 |
| Realized and unrealized gains (losses) | (6) | 75 | 67 |
| Purchases | 31 | | |
| Issuances | | 71 | |
| Settlements | (26) | | (191) |
| Transfers into Level Three | 11 | | |
| Transfers out of Level Three | (11) | | |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 55 | \$ 1,247 | \$ (11) |

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| | Mortgage loans held for sale | Mortgage servicing rights (In millions) | Interest rate lock commitments, net |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 64 | \$ 1,022 | \$ 139 |
| Realized and unrealized (losses) gains | (12) | 80 | 269 |
| Purchases | 56 | | |
| Issuances | | 145 | |
| Settlements | (56) | | (419) |
| Transfers into Level Three | 25 | | |
| Transfers out of Level Three | (22) | | |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 55 | \$ 1,247 | \$ (11) |

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | |
|--|--|--|---|
| | Interest Mortgage loans held for sale | Mortgage servicing rights (In millions) | rate lock commitments, net |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 13 | \$ 1,296 | \$ 128 |
| Realized and unrealized gains (losses) | (1) | (205) | 374 |
| Purchases | 1 | | |
| Issuances | 1 | 66 | |
| Settlements | (1) | | (323) |
| Transfers into Level Three | | | |
| Transfers out of Level Three | (1) | | |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 12 | \$ 1,157 | \$ 179 |

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|--|
| | Mortgage loans held for sale | Mortgage servicing rights | Interest rate lock commitments, net | Investment securities | Securitized mortgage loans | Mortgage loan securitization debt certificates |
| | (In millions) | | | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 17 | \$ 1,209 | \$ 184 | \$ | \$ 28 | \$ 21 |
| Realized and unrealized gains (losses) | (1) | (226) | 760 | (2) | | |
| Purchases | 2 | | | | | |
| Issuances | 1 | 174 | | | | |
| Settlements | (2) | | (765) | (5) | | |
| Transfers into Level Three | | | | | | |
| Transfers out of Level Three | (5) | | | | | |
| Deconsolidation of entity(1) | | | | 7 | (28) | (21) |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 12 | \$ 1,157 | \$ 179 | \$ | \$ | \$ |

(1) In 2012, the Company sold its investment in the subordinated debt and residual interests of a Mortgage loan securitization trust that had been consolidated as a variable interest entity.

Transfers into Level Three generally represent mortgage loans held for sale with performance issues, origination flaws, or other characteristics that impact their salability in active secondary market transactions. Transfers out of Level Three generally represent Scratch and Dent loans that were foreclosed upon. Mortgage loans in foreclosure are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis.

Realized and unrealized gains (losses) related to assets and liabilities classified within Level Three of the valuation hierarchy were included in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations as follows:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|-----------------------------|--------|---------------------------|--------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| (In millions) | | | | |
| <i>Gain on mortgage loans, net:</i> | | | | |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | \$ (7) | \$ (2) | \$ (15) | \$ (2) |
| Interest rate lock commitments | 67 | 374 | 269 | 760 |
| <i>Change in fair value of mortgage servicing rights:</i> | | | | |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 75 | (205) | 80 | (226) |
| <i>Mortgage interest income:</i> | | | | |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| <i>Other income:</i> | | | | |
| Investment securities | | | | (2) |

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

Unrealized gains (losses) included in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations related to assets and liabilities classified within Level Three of the valuation hierarchy that are included in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets were as follows:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Gain on mortgage loans, net | \$ (23) | \$ 169 | \$ (22) | \$ 178 |
| Change in fair value of mortgage servicing rights | 155 | (145) | 237 | (102) |

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Fair Value of Other Financial Instruments**

As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, all financial instruments were either recorded at fair value or the carrying value approximated fair value, with the exception of Debt and derivative instruments included in Total PHH Corporation stockholders' equity. For financial instruments that were not recorded at fair value, such as Cash and cash equivalents and Restricted cash and cash equivalents and investments, the carrying value approximates fair value due to the short-term nature of such instruments. These financial instruments are classified within Level One of the valuation hierarchy.

Debt. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the total fair value of Debt was \$6.7 billion and \$7.0 billion, respectively, and substantially all of the debt is measured using Level Two inputs. For Level Two Debt as of June 30, 2013, fair value was estimated using the following valuation techniques: (i) \$4.0 billion was measured using a market based approach, considering the current market pricing of recent trades for similar instruments or the current expected ask price for the Company's debt instruments; (ii) \$1.6 billion was measured using observable spreads and terms for recent pricing of similar instruments; and (iii) \$1.1 billion was measured using a discounted cash flow model incorporating assumptions based on current market information available for similar debt instruments.

16. Variable Interest Entities

Assets and liabilities of significant consolidated variable interest entities are included in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as follows:

| | PHH Home Loans | June 30, 2013 Chesapeake and D.L. Peterson Trust (In millions) | FLRT and PHH Lease Receivables LP |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--|---|
| ASSETS | | | |
| Cash | \$ 66 | \$ 1 | \$ |
| Restricted cash(1) | 4 | 244 | 50 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | 658 | | |
| Accounts receivable, net | 28 | 64 | |
| Net investment in fleet leases | | 2,999 | 635 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 2 | | |
| Other assets | 22 | 14 | 7 |
| Total assets | \$ 780 | \$ 3,322 | \$ 692 |
| Assets held as collateral(2) | \$ 658 | \$ 3,307 | \$ 685 |
| LIABILITIES | | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$ 24 | \$ 2 | \$ 8 |
| Debt | 619 | 2,881 | 632 |
| Other liabilities | 17 | | |

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----|-----|----|-------|----|-----|
| Total liabilities(3) | \$ | 660 | \$ | 2,883 | \$ | 640 |
|-----------------------------|----|-----|----|-------|----|-----|

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

| | PHH Home Loans | December 31, 2012 Chesapeake and D.L. Peterson Trust (In millions) | FLRT and PHH Lease Receivables LP |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--|---|
| ASSETS | | | |
| Cash | \$ 59 | \$ 2 | \$ |
| Restricted cash(1) | 4 | 186 | 59 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | 716 | | |
| Accounts receivable, net | 17 | 73 | |
| Net investment in fleet leases | | 2,856 | 675 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 2 | | |
| Other assets | 20 | 12 | 7 |
| Total assets | \$ 818 | \$ 3,129 | \$ 741 |
| Assets held as collateral(2) | \$ 691 | \$ 3,114 | \$ 731 |
| LIABILITIES | | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$ 25 | \$ 2 | \$ 8 |
| Debt | 629 | 2,771 | 662 |
| Other liabilities | 13 | | |
| Total liabilities(3) | \$ 667 | \$ 2,773 | \$ 670 |

(1) Represents amounts specifically designated to purchase assets, repay debt and/or provide over-collateralization related to vehicle management asset-backed debt arrangements.

(2) Represents amounts not available to pay the Company's general obligations. See Note 10, Debt and Borrowing Arrangements for further information.

(3) Excludes intercompany payables.

PHH Home Loans

For the six months ended June 30, 2013, approximately 22% of the mortgage loans originated by the Company were derived from Realogy Corporation's affiliates, of which approximately 85% were originated by PHH Home Loans.

17. Segment Information

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

Operations are conducted through three business segments: Mortgage Production, Mortgage Servicing and Fleet Management Services.

i **Mortgage Production** provides mortgage loan origination services and sells mortgage loans.

i **Mortgage Servicing** performs servicing activities for originated and purchased loans.

i **Fleet Management Services** provides commercial fleet management services.

The heading Other includes certain income and expenses not allocated to the three reportable segments and intersegment eliminations. The operations of the Mortgage Production and Mortgage Servicing segments are located in the U.S., and the operations of the Fleet Management Services segment are located in the U.S. and Canada.

Management evaluates the operating results of each of the reportable segments based upon Net revenues and Segment profit or loss, which is presented as the Income or loss before income tax expense or benefit and after Net income or loss attributable to noncontrolling interest. The Mortgage Production segment profit or loss excludes Realogy Corporation's noncontrolling interest in the profit or loss of PHH Home Loans.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Segment results were as follows:

| | Total Assets | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
| | (In millions) | |
| Mortgage Production segment | \$ 2,126 | \$ 2,587 |
| Mortgage Servicing segment | 2,158 | 1,791 |
| Fleet Management Services segment | 4,629 | 4,502 |
| Other | 858 | 723 |
| Total | \$ 9,771 | \$ 9,603 |

| | Net Revenues | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|---------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Mortgage Production segment | \$ 265 | \$ 276 | \$ 517 | \$ 572 |
| Mortgage Servicing segment | 150 | (118) | 235 | (37) |
| Fleet Management Services segment | 407 | 401 | 801 | 802 |
| Other | | | (1) | (1) |
| Total | \$ 822 | \$ 559 | \$ 1,552 | \$ 1,336 |

| | Segment Profit (Loss)(1) | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|----------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Mortgage Production segment | \$ 44 | \$ 78 | \$ 89 | \$ 195 |
| Mortgage Servicing segment | 81 | (196) | 99 | (222) |
| Fleet Management Services segment | 21 | 22 | 42 | 46 |
| Other | | 1 | | |
| Total | \$ 146 | \$ (95) | \$ 230 | \$ 19 |

(1) The following is a reconciliation of Income (loss) before income taxes to segment profit (loss):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--|----------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | \$ 158 | \$ (80) | \$ 254 | \$ 44 |
| Less: net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | 12 | 15 | 24 | 25 |
| Segment profit (loss) | \$ 146 | \$ (95) | \$ 230 | \$ 19 |

18. Subsequent Events

On July 10, 2013, Chesapeake Funding LLC (Chesapeake) issued Series 2013-2 and Series 2013-3 variable funding notes with available commitments of \$780 million and \$520 million, respectively. The revolving periods of the Series 2013-2 and Series 2013-3 notes end July 9, 2014 and July 10, 2015, respectively. Proceeds of the issuance were used to fully repay the existing Chesapeake Series 2010-1 and Series 2011-1 variable-funding notes.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements, Part II Item 1A. Risk Factors and our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and Part I Item 1. Business, Part I Item 1A. Risk Factors, Part II Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our Consolidated Financial Statements and the notes thereto included in our 2012 Form 10-K.

Our Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is presented in sections as follows:

| | |
|---|--|
| i | Overview |
| i | Results of Operations |
| i | Risk Management |
| i | Liquidity and Capital Resources |
| i | Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates |
| i | Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements |

OVERVIEW

We are a leading outsource provider of mortgage and fleet management services. We conduct our business through three operating segments: a Mortgage Production segment, a Mortgage Servicing segment and a Fleet Management Services segment. Our Mortgage Production segment originates, purchases and sells mortgage loans through PHH Mortgage. Our Mortgage Servicing segment services mortgage loans originated by PHH Mortgage and acts as a subservicer for certain clients that own the underlying servicing rights. Our Fleet Management Services segment provides commercial fleet management services to corporate clients and government agencies throughout the United States and Canada.

Although our Fleet Management Services segment has historically generated a larger portion of our Net revenues, our Mortgage Production and Mortgage Servicing segments have historically contributed a significantly larger portion of our Net income or loss. Our Mortgage Production and Mortgage Servicing segments have experienced, and may continue to experience, high degrees of earnings volatility due to significant exposure to interest rates and the real estate markets, which impacts our loan origination volumes, valuation of our mortgage servicing rights and repurchase and foreclosure-related charges. See Risk Management in this Form 10-Q for additional information regarding our interest rate and market risks.

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

In addition, we are monitoring a number of developments in regulations that are expected to impact our Mortgage segments, and there has been a heightened focus of regulators on the practices of the mortgage industry. The full impact of regulatory developments remains uncertain, although we expect the higher level of legislative and regulatory focus on mortgage origination and servicing practices will result in higher legal, compliance, and servicing related costs, potential regulatory fines and penalties, and we could experience an increase in mortgage origination or servicing related litigation in the future. For more information, see Part II Item 1A. Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Company *Our Mortgage businesses are complex and heavily regulated and the full impact of regulatory developments to our businesses remains uncertain. In addition, we are subject to litigation, regulatory investigations and inquiries and may incur fines, penalties, and increased costs that could negatively impact our future results of operations or damage our reputation.* in this Form 10-Q.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary

Corporate Strategy

We continue to focus on the four strategic priorities we implemented in 2012, which are to:

- i pursue disciplined growth in our three franchise platforms which are mortgage private label services, our mortgage relationship with Realogy and our fleet management business;
- i drive industry-leading operational excellence;
- i continue our unwavering commitment to customer service; and
- i prioritize liquidity and cash flow generation from our mortgage and fleet businesses and deleverage the balance sheet.

We believe these strategies enhance the ability of our business model to adapt to a rapidly-changing environment, and should enable us to focus on creating long-term value for our borrowers, clients, and shareholders. Consistent with our long-term value creation objective and as part of our four strategic priorities we implemented in 2012, we regularly evaluate our mortgage and fleet management services businesses, and their respective business lines and products, and we may examine potential acquisitions, dispositions, partnerships, joint ventures and changes in product offerings to achieve disciplined growth in our franchise platforms and to optimize our businesses. From time to time, we may be pursuing or evaluating the attractiveness and feasibility of one or more such transactions, which may or may not be material, to achieve our strategic priorities; however, there can be no assurance as to the timing, terms or success of these efforts.

As we have continued our near-term prioritization of liquidity and cash flow generation, our unrestricted cash balance at the end of the second quarter of 2013 was \$1.0 billion compared to \$927 million as of March 31, 2013. This increase in cash reflects a \$129 million increase from cash collateral received for mortgage derivative agreements and \$30 million from the termination of a reinsurance agreement, as discussed below, that were offset by \$42 million of net decreases in cash resulting primarily from non-controlling interest distributions to Realogy, cash paid for debt issuance costs and continued investments in our information technology infrastructure.

We continue to maintain an excess cash position not only to fund our general working capital needs, but also to maintain cash reserves for contingencies and upcoming debt obligations. We believe our improved liquidity position provides us with increased flexibility in our capital planning objectives, which remain deleveraging, extending the maturities of our unsecured debt, lowering our cost of borrowing to more closely match our marginal cost of borrowing and seeking other funding alternatives, which may include sales of newly created MSR with subservicing rights retained, among other actions.

During the second quarter of 2013 we observed a significant increase in mortgage interest rates as the Federal Reserve Board discussed potential changes to its quantitative easing program. Due in part to these recent rate increases, we are taking actions to rationalize our mortgage business for an origination environment that we believe will be characterized by a decline in total industry origination volumes and a greater proportion of purchase originations driven by lower refinance originations. From an operating perspective, we are focused on the underlying profitability of both our Mortgage Production and Servicing segments. We intend to rationalize staffing in our mortgage production operations and overhead functions to align our cost structure with the expected mortgage production environment. Assuming mortgage interest rates and our mortgage origination volumes remain near their current levels, we currently expect to reduce staffing during the remainder of 2013, which should generate annualized expense reductions of at least \$35 million, resulting in an estimated severance expense of \$7 million. We also intend to continue to explore other opportunities to optimize our mortgage business, which may include, among other things, amendments to our private label client agreements and outsourcing initiatives to improve business processes and reduce our operating costs.

Table of Contents

While we manage our business operations consistent with market dynamics, we will continue to strategically invest in selective areas of our businesses in an effort to, among other things, further reduce mortgage loan origination defects, improve customer service, simplify processes and to anticipate and address fundamental changes in the industries in which we operate. We are making these investments in order to create a long-term viable platform that will perform as our industries continue to evolve. These investments may include operating and capital expenses related to the expansion of our retail mortgage presence, enhancements to our compliance structure to meet new regulatory standards, continued modernization of our information systems and the achievement of requisite quality and customer service objectives. In addition, we are continuing to explore funding alternatives for our mortgage servicing rights, which may include sales of newly created servicing rights with subservicing rights retained, that should reduce the level of debt and equity capital required to support our mortgage business if successfully implemented. The execution of these funding alternatives for MSR's and along with our business optimization efforts should help us migrate to a less capital intensive, fee-for-service business model that is less dependent upon the unsecured debt markets.

Regulatory Developments

We anticipate increased clarity for the mortgage industry in 2013, as the regulations promulgated by the CFPB and other regulators reduce the amount of uncertainty in the industry, but will likely necessitate that mortgage originators and servicers change the way they operate and will likely increase the cost of loan origination and servicing. During 2013, the CFPB has proposed and adopted several new regulations, including a regulation that will become effective in January 2014 requiring mortgage originators to evaluate a borrower's ability to repay their mortgage, commonly referred to as the ability to repay rule. Although failure to comply with the ability to repay rule can give rise to legal liability for mortgage originators, the rule also provides certain legal protection against liability, including a safe harbor for certain mortgages that are qualified mortgages within the meaning of the rule. A mortgage in which points and fees charged to the borrower exceed certain thresholds cannot be a qualified mortgage and may not be readily saleable to the secondary mortgage market investors, including the Agencies, or may only be saleable at substantial discounts or with recourse liability exposure to the mortgage originator.

In an effort to minimize our legal liability under the ability to repay rule and to ensure that the mortgages we originate or purchase will be readily saleable to secondary market investors, we intend to originate or purchase mortgages satisfying the requirements of the qualified mortgage safe harbor whenever possible which will require amendments to certain of our private label client agreements. Although we are currently seeking to amend the terms of such agreements prior to the effective date of the ability to repay rule, including certain amendments to address various economic and operational parameters under such agreements, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in these efforts. For more information, see Part II Item 1A. Risk Factors Risks Related to our Company *Our Mortgage Production segment is substantially dependent upon certain relationships, including those with Realty and Merrill Lynch Home Loans, a division of Bank of America, National Association. The termination or non-renewal of our contractual agreements with these clients would materially and adversely impact our mortgage loan originations and resulting Net revenues and Segment profit of our Mortgage Production segment, as well as our overall business and our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.* in this Form 10-Q.

Consistent with some of our peers, we have experienced inquiries and requests for information from regulators and attorneys general of certain states as well as various government agencies. We are working diligently in assessing and understanding the implications of the developments in the regulatory environment, and we are devoting substantial resources towards implementing all of the new rules and complying with requests from inquiries and examinations while meeting the needs and expectations of our clients. For more information about our significant legal and regulatory matters, see Note 13, Commitments and Contingencies in the accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Mortgage Production

Mortgage Production segment profit was \$44 million during the second quarter of 2013, representing a \$34 million decline from the second quarter of 2012. We experienced declining loan margins during the second quarter of 2013, as a result of rising mortgage interest rates and declining consumer demand for refinance loans. Total loan margins were 348 basis points during the second quarter of 2013, compared to 381 basis points in the second quarter of 2012. During the second quarter of 2013, the yield on the 10-Year Treasury Note rose 64 basis points, ending the quarter at 2.49%, which was the highest yield since August of 2011. Although mortgage interest rates rose throughout the second quarter of 2013, our retail platform generated \$13.4 billion of closing volume for the second quarter of 2013, a 21% increase from the same quarter in 2012. This growth continued to be driven in part by an increase in our HARP volume, which comprised 10% of our retail closings in the second quarter of 2013, compared to 4% in the second quarter of 2012. Although mortgage interest rates have risen from historically low levels and refinancing volume has declined, we expect our retail platform will be supported by the addition of volume from our new private label relationships, including the commencement of our new relationship with HSBC in the second quarter, and through capitalizing on improvements in the housing market from expected home sale volumes and our relationship with Realogy. Wholesale/correspondent closings declined 22% to \$1.4 billion in the second quarter of 2013, compared to \$1.8 billion in 2012, which reflects our emphasis on growth in our retail platform and our efforts to manage cash consumption and loan quality.

Mortgage Servicing

Mortgage Servicing segment profit was \$81 million during the second quarter of 2013 compared to a loss of \$196 million during the second quarter of 2012. The rise in mortgage interest rates benefited the results of the Mortgage Servicing segment, as we recognized \$155 million of favorable market-related changes in fair value of our MSRs during the second quarter of 2013, compared to \$145 million of unfavorable market-related changes during the second quarter of 2012. Despite the rise in interest rates during the second quarter of 2013, our results continued to be negatively impacted by the historically low mortgage interest rate environment from earlier in the year, which resulted in an increase in loan payoffs in our capitalized servicing portfolio during the second quarter of 2013 compared to the second quarter of 2012. The increased prepayment activity was somewhat dampened by our successful integration of HSBC's sub-serviced portfolio during the second quarter of 2013, which added \$47 billion in sub-serviced loans to our total portfolio. Additionally, we continue to experience declines in repurchase and foreclosure-related charges, which were \$11 million in the second quarter of 2013 compared to \$39 million during the same period of 2012. This decline reflects a reduction in the volume of repurchase requests, primarily from the Agencies, which is consistent with their response to the Federal Housing Finance Administration's goal to be complete with all pre-2009 repurchase requests by the end of 2013. During the second quarter of 2013, we received 603 repurchase and indemnification requests, a 49% decline compared to 1,171 requests in the second quarter of 2012. See Risk Management for additional information regarding our repurchase obligations and potential exposure.

During the second quarter of 2013, we terminated our one remaining inactive reinsurance contract, which will generate \$69 million of unrestricted cash in total, of which, \$30 million was received during the second quarter and \$39 million will be received during the third quarter. As a result of this transaction we recognized a \$21 million pre-tax loss during the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013. The termination of this agreement closes out our legacy exposure to reinsurance liabilities and allows us to focus our efforts on our core business goals.

Fleet Management Services

Fleet Management Services segment profit was \$21 million during the second quarter of 2013, representing a \$1 million decline from the second quarter 2012. The fleet management industry continues to experience challenges associated with the economic uncertainties in the U.S. as

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

corporations face cost reduction initiatives and increasing fleet operating costs. We continued to grow the balance of net investment in leases, as our mix has changed to include more expensive truck and service-type vehicles. While maintenance service cards unit counts are down slightly from the second quarter of 2012 levels, we have modestly grown our service units from the first quarter of 2013, and we expect a continuation of these positive trends.

Table of Contents**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following table presents our consolidated results of operations:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions, except per share data) | | | |
| Net fee income | \$ 126 | \$ 128 | \$ 248 | \$ 255 |
| Fleet lease income | 343 | 338 | 675 | 674 |
| Gain on mortgage loans, net | 197 | 208 | 384 | 438 |
| Mortgage net finance expense | (29) | (32) | (57) | (62) |
| Loan servicing income | 88 | 100 | 196 | 221 |
| Valuation adjustments relating to mortgage servicing rights, net | 74 | (203) | 63 | (229) |
| Other income | 23 | 20 | 43 | 39 |
| Net revenues | 822 | 559 | 1,552 | 1,336 |
| Depreciation on operating leases | 305 | 303 | 607 | 604 |
| Fleet interest expense | 14 | 17 | 29 | 34 |
| Total other expenses | 345 | 319 | 662 | 654 |
| Total expenses | 664 | 639 | 1,298 | 1,292 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 158 | (80) | 254 | 44 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 56 | (38) | 88 | 1 |
| Net income (loss) | 102 | (42) | 166 | 43 |
| Less: net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | 12 | 15 | 24 | 25 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to PHH Corporation | \$ 90 | \$ (57) | \$ 142 | \$ 18 |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share attributable to PHH Corporation | \$ 1.58 | \$ (1.00) | \$ 2.48 | \$ 0.32 |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share attributable to PHH Corporation | \$ 1.40 | \$ (1.00) | \$ 2.18 | \$ 0.31 |

The following table summarizes the key highlights that drove our operating performance and segment profit (loss) for our reportable segments:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Reportable Segments Profit (Loss):(1) | | | | |
| Mortgage Production segment | \$ 44 | \$ 78 | \$ 89 | \$ 195 |
| Mortgage Servicing segment | 81 | (196) | 99 | (222) |
| Fleet Management Services segment | 21 | 22 | 42 | 46 |
| Other | | 1 | | |

(1) Segment profit (loss) is described in Note 17, Segment Information, in the accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

The results of operations for reportable segments are reported on a pre-tax basis and are discussed in more detail in the following sections. We record our interim tax provisions or benefits by applying a projected full-year effective income tax rate to our quarterly pre-tax income or loss for results that we deem to be reliably estimable. Certain results dependent on fair value adjustments of our Mortgage Production and Mortgage Servicing segments are considered to not be reliably estimable and therefore we record discrete year-to-date income tax provisions on those results.

Our effective income tax rates for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 were 34.5% and 1.3%, respectively. See Note 11, Income Taxes in the accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.

Table of Contents

Mortgage Production Segment

During the second quarter of 2013, we observed a significant increase in mortgage interest rates and loan margins have declined from the highs of recent periods. The rise in mortgage interest rates is expected to further decrease expected industry originations as refinance incentives and opportunities for prospective borrowers decline. While we have historically balanced the number of full time employees with the use of temporary and contract personnel to manage fluctuations in volume, we are taking the necessary actions to engineer our mortgage business for the current environment. As consumer demand for mortgage loans has declined, we intend to reduce contract labor and overtime, and we intend to reduce staffing in our mortgage production operations and overhead functions to align our cost structure with the current mortgage production environment. Assuming mortgage interest rates and our mortgage origination volumes remain near their current levels, we currently expect to reduce staffing during the remainder of 2013, which should generate annualized expense reductions of at least \$35 million, resulting in an estimated severance expense of \$7 million.

The industry also continues to be impacted by a variety of other factors including an increasingly complex regulatory compliance environment, more stringent underwriting guidelines imposed by the Agencies and investors, and other changes to mortgage backed security programs including increases in guarantee fees. Future conforming loan origination volumes and loan margins may be negatively impacted by the increases in guarantee fees (which may have the impact of increasing mortgage interest rates charged to borrowers) and by more restrictive underwriting standards. See also Part I Item 1A. Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Company *Changes in existing U.S. government-sponsored mortgage programs or servicing eligibility standards could materially and adversely affect our business, financial position, results of operations or cash flows.* in our 2012 Form 10-K.

As of July 2013, Fannie Mae's *Economic and Housing Outlook* is forecasting a decrease in industry loan originations to \$1.6 trillion during 2013 compared to \$2.0 trillion during 2012, consisting of a 30% decrease in refinance originations which is offset by a 12% increase in purchase originations. Refinance originations are sensitive to interest rates and Fannie Mae is projecting that interest rates will rise throughout 2013. The increase in projected purchase originations in 2013 is reflective of Fannie Mae's forecast of an 8% increase in total home sales compared to 2012.

Although consumer demand for mortgage loans has decreased from recent high levels, we have failed to satisfy certain service level agreements and other performance provisions under some of our mortgage origination assistance agreements. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, we incurred an immaterial amount of contractual penalties related to these issues; however, a continuation of our failure to fully satisfy the terms of service-level and other performance provisions of these contracts could result in material penalties or the loss of client relationships. We are currently implementing measures to improve our loan processing and customer service delivery in an effort to more fully satisfy the terms of our mortgage origination assistance agreements.

Table of Contents

The following tables present a summary of our financial results and key related drivers for the Mortgage Production segment and are followed by a discussion of each of the key components of Net revenues and Total expenses:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (\$ In millions) | | | |
| Loans closed to be sold | \$ 7,897 | \$ 8,059 | \$ 15,744 | \$ 18,736 |
| Fee-based closings | 6,874 | 4,771 | 12,346 | 8,047 |
| Total closings | \$ 14,771 | \$ 12,830 | \$ 28,090 | \$ 26,783 |
| Purchase closings | \$ 5,344 | \$ 5,010 | \$ 8,483 | \$ 8,860 |
| Refinance closings | 9,427 | 7,820 | 19,607 | 17,923 |
| Total closings | \$ 14,771 | \$ 12,830 | \$ 28,090 | \$ 26,783 |
| Retail closings - PLS | \$ 9,503 | \$ 7,438 | \$ 18,013 | \$ 13,968 |
| Retail closings - Real Estate | 3,877 | 3,618 | 6,908 | 6,578 |
| Total retail closings | 13,380 | 11,056 | 24,921 | 20,546 |
| Wholesale/correspondent closings | 1,391 | 1,774 | 3,169 | 6,237 |
| Total closings | \$ 14,771 | \$ 12,830 | \$ 28,090 | \$ 26,783 |
| Retail - PLS (in units) | 24,976 | 21,472 | 48,902 | 43,257 |
| Retail - Real Estate (in units) | 15,703 | 14,555 | 27,979 | 26,859 |
| Total retail | 40,679 | 36,027 | 76,881 | 70,116 |
| Wholesale/correspondent (in units) | 6,131 | 8,750 | 14,082 | 29,946 |
| Total closings (in units) | 46,810 | 44,777 | 90,963 | 100,062 |
| Loans sold | \$ 7,989 | \$ 7,868 | \$ 16,222 | \$ 19,477 |
| Applications | \$ 19,704 | \$ 18,653 | \$ 35,869 | \$ 36,509 |
| IRLCs expected to close | \$ 5,386 | \$ 6,763 | \$ 10,341 | \$ 13,625 |
| Total loan margin (in basis points) | 348 | 381 | 359 | 373 |

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Mortgage fees | \$ 82 | \$ 83 | \$ 161 | \$ 163 |
| Gain on mortgage loans, net | 197 | 208 | 384 | 438 |
| Mortgage interest income | 16 | 19 | 35 | 42 |
| Mortgage interest expense | (33) | (36) | (67) | (75) |
| Mortgage net finance expense | (17) | (17) | (32) | (33) |
| Other income | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| Net revenues | 265 | 276 | 517 | 572 |
| Salaries and related expenses | 113 | 100 | 223 | 193 |
| Occupancy and other office expenses | 9 | 8 | 17 | 15 |
| Other depreciation and amortization | 3 | 1 | 6 | 3 |
| Other operating expenses | 84 | 74 | 158 | 141 |
| Total expenses | 209 | 183 | 404 | 352 |
| Income before income taxes | 56 | 93 | 113 | 220 |
| Less: net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | 12 | 15 | 24 | 25 |
| Segment profit | \$ 44 | \$ 78 | \$ 89 | \$ 195 |

Table of Contents

Mortgage Production Statistics

Mortgage loan originations are driven by the demand to fund home purchases and the demand to refinance existing loans. Purchase closings are influenced by the number of home sales, the amount of homebuyers needing a mortgage and the overall condition of the housing market whereas refinance closings are sensitive to interest rate changes relative to borrowers' current interest rates. Refinance closings typically increase when interest rates fall and decrease when interest rates rise. Although the level of interest rates is a key driver of refinancing activity, there are other factors which influence the level of refinance closings including home prices, levels of home equity, underwriting standards and product characteristics.

Interest rate lock commitments (IRLCs) represent an agreement to extend credit to a mortgage loan applicant, or an agreement to purchase a loan from a third-party originator, whereby the interest rate on the loan is set prior to funding. IRLCs expected to close are adjusted for the amount of loans expected to close in accordance with the terms of the commitment. IRLCs expected to close result in loans closed to be sold as we do not enter into interest rate lock commitments on fee-based closings.

Refinance closings continued to represent a large share of total industry origination volumes. According to Fannie Mae's July 2013 *Economic and Housing Outlook*, refinance closings were 68% and 72% of total industry origination volumes during the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. In comparison, our refinancing closings represented 64% and 70% of our total closing volumes for the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. Fannie Mae's July 2013 *Economic and Housing Outlook* is also projecting refinance closings to represent 62% for the full year 2013 originations as interest rates rise and home sales and home prices continue to recover.

In April 2013, the Federal Housing Finance Agency announced an extension of HARP by two years to December 31, 2015. This program allows borrowers opportunities to refinance if their loan was owned or guaranteed by Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac and was sold to Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac on or before May 31, 2009, among other eligibility criteria. We have continued our emphasis on growth in our retail platform which has continued to grow in part from borrowers refinancing through the HARP program. Our retail closings were positively impacted by HARP during 2013 which represented 10% of total retail closings for both the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to 4% and 5% during the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

Quarterly Comparison: Total closings were \$14.8 billion compared to \$12.8 billion during 2012 which reflects a 21%, or \$2.4 billion, increase in volume from our retail platform that was partially offset by a 22%, or \$0.4 billion, decline in closing volume from our wholesale/correspondent platform. Total closings compared to 2012 reflect our emphasis on growth in our retail platform and our efforts to manage cash consumption and loan quality.

The amount of fee-based closings are impacted by the mortgage product and loan programs our Private Label Services (PLS) clients market to their customers as well as the amount of mortgage loans our clients want to retain on their balance sheets. Fee-based closings represented 47% of our total closing volume during 2013, and increased to \$6.9 billion during 2013 from \$4.8 billion during 2012. Fee-based closings continued to be positively impacted from improvements in the market for non-agency jumbo loan production.

Total applications increased by 6% compared to 2012 primarily resulting from strong retail application volume driven in part from HARP that was partially offset by a decline in wholesale/correspondent volume. Our IRLCs expected to close declined by 20% primarily due to the change

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

in mix to a greater composition of fee-based production where we do not enter into an interest rate lock commitment and lower wholesale/correspondent volume.

Year-to-Date Comparison: Total closings were \$28.1 billion compared to \$26.8 billion during 2012 which reflects a 21%, or \$4.4 billion, increase in volume from our retail platform that was partially offset by a 49%, or \$3.1 billion, decline in closing volume from our wholesale/correspondent platform. Throughout 2012, we focused on growth in our mortgage franchise platforms which generated a shift in mix of closing volume to a greater share of retail closings; however, the 2012 mix of closing volume was impacted by the carryover of

Table of Contents

wholesale/correspondent volume that was committed during 2011. Fee-based closings represented 44% of our total closing volume during 2013, and increased to \$12.3 billion during 2013 from \$8.0 billion during 2012. Fee-based closings continued to be positively impacted from improvements in the market for non-agency jumbo loan production and the realization of new closing volume from certain PLS clients that were not fully implemented until the second quarter of 2012.

Total applications decreased by 2% compared to 2012 primarily resulting from a decline in wholesale/correspondent volume that was partially offset by strong retail application volume driven in part from HARP. Our IRLCs expected to close declined by 24% primarily due to the change in mix to a greater composition of fee-based production where we do not enter into an interest rate lock commitment and lower wholesale/correspondent volume.

Mortgage Fees

Retail closings and fee-based closings are key drivers of Mortgage fees. Mortgage fees consist of fee income earned from loans closed to be sold and fee-based closings. Fee income consists of amounts earned related to application and underwriting fees and fees on cancelled loans. Fee income also consists of amounts earned from financial institutions related to brokered loan fees and origination assistance fees resulting from our private-label mortgage outsourcing activities. Fees associated with the origination and acquisition of mortgage loans are recognized as earned.

Quarterly Comparison: Mortgage fees decreased by \$1 million compared to 2012 primarily due to a \$2 million decrease in application revenue driven by higher HARP volume which have lower fee income and higher loan margins, and a \$1 million decrease in correspondent underwriting fees resulting from a reduction in wholesale/correspondent volume. These decreases were offset by a \$2 million increase in appraisal revenue and a \$1 million increase in origination assistance fees related to an increase in private label closing units.

Year-to-Date Comparison: Mortgage fees decreased by \$2 million compared to 2012 primarily due to a \$4 million decrease in correspondent underwriting fees resulting from a reduction in wholesale/correspondent volume and a \$3 million decrease in application revenue primarily driven by higher HARP volume. These decreases were partially offset by a \$3 million increase in origination assistance fees related to an increase in private label closing units and a \$3 million increase in appraisal revenue.

Gain on Mortgage Loans, Net

Gain on mortgage loans, net includes realized and unrealized gains and losses on our mortgage loans, as well as the changes in fair value of our interest rate locks and loan-related derivatives. The fair value of our IRLCs is based upon the estimated fair value of the underlying mortgage loan, adjusted for: (i) the estimated costs to complete and originate the loan and (ii) the estimated percentage of IRLCs that will result in a closed mortgage loan. The valuation of our interest rate lock commitments and mortgage loans approximates a whole-loan price, which includes the value of the related mortgage servicing rights. Mortgage servicing rights are recognized and capitalized at the date the loans are sold, and subsequent changes in the fair value are recorded in Change in fair value of mortgage servicing rights in the Mortgage Servicing segment.

Table of Contents

The components of Gain on mortgage loans, net were as follows:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Gain on loans | \$ 166 | \$ 199 | \$ 319 | \$ 362 |
| Change in fair value of Scratch and Dent and certain non-conforming mortgage loans | (10) | (11) | (20) | (16) |
| Economic hedge results | 41 | 20 | 85 | 92 |
| Total change in fair value of mortgage loans and related derivatives | 31 | 9 | 65 | 76 |
| Total | \$ 197 | \$ 208 | \$ 384 | \$ 438 |

Gain on loans is primarily driven by the volume of IRLCs expected to close, total loan margins and the mix of wholesale/correspondent closing volume. Margins generally widen when mortgage interest rates decline and tighten when mortgage interest rates increase, as loan originators balance origination volume with operational capacity. For wholesale/correspondent closings and certain retail closings from our private label clients, the cost to acquire the loan reduces the gain from selling the loan into the secondary market.

Change in fair value of Scratch and Dent and certain non-conforming mortgage loans is primarily driven by additions, sales and changes in value of Scratch and Dent loans, which represent loans with origination flaws or performance issues.

Economic hedge results represent the change in value of mortgage loans, interest rate lock commitments and related derivatives, including the impact of changes in actual pullthrough as compared to our initial assumptions. We use derivative instruments to economically hedge changes in value of mortgage loans and IRLCs from the commitment date through the date the loan is sold into the secondary market. These derivatives are intended to mitigate the changes in value attributable to changes in interest rates. Economic hedge results also include changes in value of mortgage loans held for sale due to changes in actual execution upon sale which may not be interest rate related and would not be offset by changes in value of derivative instruments.

Quarterly Comparison: Gain on loans decreased by \$33 million compared to 2012 primarily due to a 20% decrease in IRLCs expected to close and a 33 basis points decrease in average total loan margins.

The \$1 million favorable change in fair value of Scratch and Dent and certain non-conforming loans compared to 2012 was primarily attributable to a gain realized on the sale of Scratch and Dent loans during the second quarter of 2013.

The \$21 million increase in economic hedge results compared to 2012 was driven by positive hedge results from changes in mortgage interest rates and a higher impact from changes in actual pullthrough of mortgage loans as compared to initial assumptions.

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

Year-to-Date Comparison: Gain on loans decreased by \$43 million compared to 2012 primarily due to a 24% decrease in IRLCs expected to close and a 14 basis points decrease in average total loan margins.

The \$4 million unfavorable change in fair value of Scratch and Dent and certain non-conforming loans compared to 2012 was primarily attributable to an increase in additions to Scratch and Dent loans resulting from continuing high levels of repurchase activity and a decrease in the average fair value of the ending population that was partially offset by larger gains realized on the sale of Scratch and Dent loans.

The \$7 million decrease in economic hedge results compared to 2012 was driven by lower execution gains on mortgage loans sold that was partially offset by positive hedge results from changes in mortgage interest rates and a higher impact from changes in actual pullthrough of mortgage loans as compared to initial assumptions.

Table of Contents*Mortgage Net Finance Expense*

Mortgage net finance expense consists of interest income on mortgage loans, interest expense on debt used to fund mortgage loans and an allocation of corporate unsecured interest expense. Mortgage net finance expense is driven by the average balance of loans held for sale, the average volume of outstanding borrowings, the average number of days loans are held prior to sale to investors, the note rate on loans held for sale and the cost of funds rate of our outstanding borrowings. A significant portion of our loan originations is funded with variable-rate short-term debt.

Quarterly Comparison: Mortgage interest expense decreased by \$3 million compared to 2012 which was driven by a lower average balance of loans held for sale and lower allocated financing costs of corporate unsecured borrowings resulting from a lower average debt balance associated with liquidity and deleveraging initiatives executed throughout 2012. The decrease in Mortgage interest expense was partially offset by a \$3 million decrease in Mortgage interest income compared to 2012 primarily due to a lower average balance and note rate of loans held for sale.

Year-to-Date Comparison: Mortgage interest expense decreased by \$8 million compared to 2012 which was driven by a lower average balance of loans held for sale and lower allocated financing costs of corporate unsecured borrowings resulting from a lower average debt balance associated with liquidity and deleveraging initiatives executed throughout 2012. The decrease in Mortgage interest expense was partially offset by a \$7 million decrease in Mortgage interest income compared to 2012 primarily due to a lower average balance and note rate of loans held for sale.

Salaries and Related Expenses

Salaries and related expenses consist of salaries, payroll taxes, benefits and incentives paid to employees in our mortgage production operations and commissions paid to employees involved in the loan origination process.

The components of Salaries and related expenses were as follows:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Salaries, benefits and incentives | \$ 71 | \$ 60 | \$ 145 | \$ 119 |
| Commissions | 34 | 30 | 62 | 56 |
| Contract labor and overtime | 8 | 10 | 16 | 18 |
| Total | \$ 113 | \$ 100 | \$ 223 | \$ 193 |

Salaries, benefits and incentives are primarily driven by the average number of permanent employees. Commissions are primarily driven by the volume of retail closings. Contract labor and overtime are primarily driven by origination volumes and consists of overtime paid to permanent employees and amounts paid to temporary and contract personnel.

Salaries, benefits and incentives increased by \$11 million and \$26 million during the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively compared to 2012 primarily due to an increase in the average number of permanent employees in the mortgage production operations. Additional resources were added throughout 2012 in order to meet increased demand and cycle times for mortgage loans, and to develop our mortgage compliance, loan quality and customer service initiatives. In addition, during 2013 we maintained excess origination capacity in preparation for the spring home buying season and the launch of our private label relationship with HSBC; however, as previously discussed and assuming mortgage interest rates and our mortgage origination volumes remain near their current levels, we intend on reducing staffing in our mortgage production operations during the remainder of 2013 as we balance our mortgage production operations with expected consumer demand.

Commissions increased by \$4 million and \$6 million during the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively compared to 2012 primarily attributable to an increase in total retail closings.

Table of Contents*Other Operating Expenses*

Other operating expenses allocable to the Mortgage Production segment consist of production-related direct expenses, allocations for corporate overhead and other production related expenses.

The components of Other operating expenses were as follows:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Production-related direct expenses | \$ 34 | \$ 32 | \$ 62 | \$ 61 |
| Corporate overhead allocation | 26 | 19 | 48 | 38 |
| Other expenses | 24 | 23 | 48 | 42 |
| Total | \$ 84 | \$ 74 | \$ 158 | \$ 141 |

Production-related direct expenses represent variable costs directly related to the volume of loan originations and consist of appraisal, underwriting and other direct loan origination expenses. These expenses are incurred during the loan origination process and are primarily driven by the volume of applications. Corporate overhead allocations relate to segment allocations of shared general and administrative costs and costs associated with operating and managing corporate functions. Other expenses consist of other production-related expenses that include, but are not limited to professional fees, information technology costs, outsourcing fees and customer service expenses.

Quarterly Comparison: Production-related direct expenses increased by \$2 million compared to 2012, primarily driven by a 3% increase in the number of retail applications.

The \$1 million increase in other expenses compared to 2012 was primarily attributable to a \$1 million increase in professional fees related to compliance costs for risk management and operational excellence initiatives focused on loan defect reduction.

Year-to-Date Comparison: Production-related direct expenses increased slightly by \$1 million compared to 2012, despite a 1% decrease in the number of retail applications.

The \$6 million increase in other expenses compared to 2012 was primarily attributable to a \$4 million increase in professional fees related to compliance costs for risk management and operational excellence initiatives focused on loan defect reduction and a \$1 million increase in customer service-related expenses associated with borrowers and our PLS clients.

Table of Contents**Mortgage Servicing Segment**

Our servicing operations continue to be negatively impacted by conditions in the housing market and general economic factors, including higher unemployment rates, which have led to elevated levels of delinquencies, significant increases in repurchase requests and high loss severities on defaulted loans. These factors, plus the increased regulatory focus on servicing activities, have increased and will likely continue to increase servicing costs across the industry. See **Risk Management** for additional information regarding loan repurchase trends and our related reserves.

The following tables present a summary of our financial results and key related drivers for the Mortgage Servicing segment, and are followed by a discussion of each of the key components of Net revenues and Total expenses:

| | 2013 | As of June 30, (\$ In millions) | 2012 |
|--|------------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Total loan servicing portfolio | \$ 228,637 | \$ 192,775 | |
| Number of loans serviced | 1,259,697 | | 1,100,857 |
| Capitalized loan servicing portfolio | \$ 133,061 | \$ 147,894 | |
| Capitalized servicing rate | 0.94 % | | 0.78 % |
| Capitalized servicing multiple | 3.2 | | 2.6 |
| Weighted-average servicing fee (in basis points) | 29 | | 30 |

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Average total loan servicing portfolio | \$ 204,961 | \$ 186,984 | \$ 195,595 | \$ 185,551 |
| Average capitalized loan servicing portfolio | 134,962 | 148,864 | 136,813 | 148,622 |
| Payoffs and principal curtailments of capitalized portfolio | 10,246 | 8,412 | 20,758 | 16,639 |

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|-------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Mortgage interest income | \$ 3 | \$ 2 | \$ 5 | \$ 5 |
| Mortgage interest expense | (15) | (17) | (29) | (33) |
| Mortgage net finance expense | (12) | (15) | (24) | (28) |
| Loan servicing income | 88 | 100 | 196 | 221 |
| Change in fair value of mortgage servicing rights | 75 | (205) | 80 | (226) |
| Net derivative (loss) gain related to mortgage servicing rights | (1) | 2 | (17) | (3) |
| Valuation adjustments related to mortgage servicing rights, net | 74 | (203) | 63 | (229) |
| Net loan servicing income (loss) | 162 | (103) | 259 | (8) |
| Other income (expense) | | | | (1) |
| Net revenues | 150 | (118) | 235 | (37) |
| Salaries and related expenses | 14 | 9 | 25 | 19 |
| Occupancy and other office expenses | 3 | 2 | 6 | 4 |
| Other depreciation and amortization | 1 | | 1 | |

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|----|----|----|-------|----|-----|----|-------|
| Other operating expenses | | 51 | | 67 | | 104 | | 162 |
| Total expenses | | 69 | | 78 | | 136 | | 185 |
| Segment profit (loss) | \$ | 81 | \$ | (196) | \$ | 99 | \$ | (222) |

Table of Contents*Mortgage Net Finance Expense*

Mortgage net finance expense consists of interest income from escrow balances, income from investment balances (including investments held in reinsurance trusts) and interest expense allocated on corporate unsecured debt used to fund our Mortgage servicing rights (MSR), which is driven by the average balance of MSRs and the cost of funds rate of our outstanding borrowings.

Quarterly Comparison: Mortgage interest expense decreased by \$2 million compared to 2012 which was driven by \$1 million in lower bank fees related to servicing accounts for customer escrow and custodial funds and a \$1 million decrease in the interest expense allocated to fund our MSRs. The \$1 million decrease in the interest expense allocated to fund our MSRs was primarily driven by a 6% lower average MSR balance compared to 2012.

Year-to-Date Comparison: Mortgage interest expense decreased by \$4 million compared to 2012 which was driven by \$3 million in lower bank fees related to servicing accounts for customer escrow and custodial funds and a \$1 million decrease in the interest expense allocated to fund our MSRs. The \$1 million decrease in the interest expense allocated to fund our MSRs was primarily driven by a 10% lower average MSR balance compared to 2012 that was partially offset by a higher effective interest rate of corporate unsecured borrowings during 2013 resulting from the Convertible notes due 2017.

Loan Servicing Income

The components of Loan servicing income were as follows:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Servicing fees from capitalized portfolio | \$ 99 | \$ 111 | \$ 199 | \$ 223 |
| Subservicing fees | 9 | 3 | 14 | 7 |
| Late fees and other ancillary servicing revenue | 13 | 13 | 28 | 30 |
| Curtailed interest paid to investors | (13) | (10) | (26) | (20) |
| Net reinsurance loss | (20) | (17) | (19) | (19) |
| Total | \$ 88 | \$ 100 | \$ 196 | \$ 221 |

The primary drivers for Loan servicing income are the average capitalized loan servicing portfolio and average servicing fee. Service fee revenue is driven by recurring servicing fees that are recognized upon receipt of the coupon payment from the borrower and are recorded net of guarantee fees. For loans that are subserviced for others, we receive a nominal stated amount per loan which is less than our average servicing fee related to the capitalized portfolio. Curtailed interest paid to investors represents uncollected interest from the borrower that is required to be passed onto investors and is primarily driven by the number of loan payoffs.

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

Net reinsurance income or loss represents premiums earned on reinsurance contracts, net of ceding commission and provisions for reinsurance reserves. Net reinsurance income or loss during the second quarters and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 also include losses related to the termination of inactive reinsurance contracts. Beginning in the third quarter of 2013, our segment results will no longer be impacted by premiums earned on reinsurance contracts or provisions for reinsurance reserves since we no longer have any contractual reinsurance agreements. See also [Risk Management](#) for additional information.

Quarterly Comparison: The \$12 million decrease in servicing fees from the capitalized portfolio compared to 2012 was primarily related to a 9% decrease in the average capitalized loan servicing portfolio and a decline in the weighted-average servicing fee related to new loan production with lower excess servicing fees that replaced loan payoffs which had a higher total servicing fee.

During the second quarter of 2013, we commenced origination and servicing activities under our private label relationship with HSBC which resulted in assuming a subservicing portfolio with an unpaid principal balance of

Table of Contents

approximately \$47 billion. As a result, our subservicing fees increased by \$6 million compared to 2012 due to an 83% increase in the average number of loans in our subserviced portfolio and an increase in the average subservicing fee earned per loan.

Curtailed interest paid to investors increased by \$3 million compared to 2012 primarily due to a 26% increase in loan payoffs in our capitalized loan servicing portfolio.

Net reinsurance loss was \$20 million during the second quarter of 2013, compared to \$17 million during 2012. Both 2013 and 2012 included losses from the termination of inactive reinsurance contracts which totaled \$21 million and \$16 million, respectively. Net reinsurance loss was also impacted by a \$1 million decrease in premiums earned under reinsurance agreements due to the termination of contracts and portfolio runoff that was partially offset by a \$3 million decrease in the provision for reinsurance reserves.

Year-to-Date Comparison: The \$24 million decrease in servicing fees from the capitalized portfolio compared to 2012 was primarily related to an 8% decrease in the average capitalized loan servicing portfolio and a decline in the weighted-average servicing fee related to new loan production with lower excess servicing fees that replaced loan payoffs which had a higher total servicing fee.

Subservicing fees increased by \$7 million compared to 2012 due to a 47% increase in the average number of loans in our subserviced portfolio resulting from the commencement of subservicing activities for HSBC and an increase in the average subservicing fee earned per loan.

Curtailed interest paid to investors increased by \$6 million compared to 2012 primarily due to a 29% increase in loan payoffs in our capitalized loan servicing portfolio.

Net reinsurance loss was \$19 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013, the same level as 2012. Both 2013 and 2012 included losses from the termination of inactive reinsurance contracts which totaled \$21 million and \$16 million, respectively. Net reinsurance loss was also impacted by a \$3 million decrease in premiums earned under reinsurance agreements due to the termination of contracts and portfolio runoff that was partially offset by an \$8 million decrease in the provision for reinsurance reserves resulting from an improvement in the loss development for origination years where maximum loss rates were not reached.

Valuation Adjustments Related to Mortgage Servicing Rights

Valuation adjustments related to mortgage servicing rights include Change in fair value of mortgage servicing rights and Net derivative loss related to mortgage servicing rights.

Change in Fair Value of Mortgage Servicing Rights. The fair value of our MSR is estimated based upon projections of expected future cash flows considering prepayment estimates, our historical prepayment rates, portfolio characteristics, interest rates based on interest rate yield

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

curves, implied volatility, servicing costs and other economic factors. Generally, the value of our MSRs is expected to increase when interest rates rise and decrease when interest rates decline due to the effect those changes in interest rates have on prepayment estimates. The overall market demand for MSRs may also affect the valuation.

The components of Changes in fair value of mortgage servicing rights were as follows:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Actual prepayments of the underlying mortgage loans | \$ (69) | \$ (50) | \$ (136) | \$ (103) |
| Actual receipts of recurring cash flows | (11) | (10) | (21) | (21) |
| Market-related fair value adjustments | 155 | (145) | 237 | (102) |
| Total | \$ 75 | \$ (205) | \$ 80 | \$ (226) |

Table of Contents

The change in fair value of MSR due to actual prepayments is driven by two factors: (i) the number of loans that prepaid during the period and (ii) the current value of the mortgage servicing right asset at the time of prepayment. Market-related fair value adjustments represent the change in fair value of MSR due to changes in market inputs and assumptions used in the valuation model.

Quarterly Comparison: The \$19 million increase in actual prepayments of the underlying mortgage loans compared to 2012 was primarily due to a 26% increase in loan payoffs in the capitalized loan servicing portfolio and a 6 basis points increase in the average MSR value of prepayments.

Market-related fair value adjustments increased the value of our MSR by \$155 million during the second quarter of 2013 which was primarily attributable to an increase in mortgage interest rates, including a 76 basis points increase in the primary mortgage rate used to value our MSR asset that was partially offset by expected prepayment activity from HARP refinances and an increase in servicing cash flows for delinquent and foreclosed loans. The \$145 million market-related fair value decrease during the second quarter of 2012 was primarily attributable to a decrease in mortgage interest rates, including a 36 basis points decrease in the primary mortgage rate used to value our MSR asset.

Year-to-Date Comparison: The \$33 million increase in actual prepayments of the underlying mortgage loans compared to 2012 was primarily due to a 29% increase in loan payoffs in the capitalized loan servicing portfolio.

Market-related fair value adjustments increased the value of our MSR by \$237 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013 which was primarily attributable to an increase in mortgage interest rates, including a 107 basis points increase in the primary mortgage rate used to value our MSR asset that was partially offset by expected prepayment activity from HARP refinances and an increase in servicing cash flows for delinquent and foreclosed loans. The \$102 million market-related fair value decrease during the six months ended June 30, 2012 was primarily attributable to a decrease in mortgage interest rates, including a 32 basis points decrease in the primary mortgage rate used to value our MSR asset.

Net Derivative (Loss) Gain Related to Mortgage Servicing Rights. We may choose to use a combination of derivative instruments to protect against potential adverse changes in the fair value of our MSR resulting from a decline in interest rates. If the derivative instruments are effective, the change in fair value of derivatives is intended to react in the opposite direction of the market-related change in the fair value of MSR, and generally increase in value as interest rates decline and decrease in value as interest rates rise.

The size and composition of derivative instruments used depends on a variety of factors, including the potential decline in value of our MSR based on our evaluation of the current market environment and the interest rate risk inherent in our capitalized servicing portfolio which requires assumptions with regards to future replenishment rates, loan margins, the value of additions to MSR and loan origination costs. Many factors can impact these estimates, including loan pricing margins, the availability of liquidity to fund additions to our capitalized MSR and the ability to adjust staffing levels to meet changing consumer demand. As a result, our decisions regarding the levels, if any, of our derivatives related to MSR could result in continued volatility in the results of operations for our Mortgage Servicing segment.

The value of derivatives related to our MSR decreased by \$1 million and \$17 million during the second quarter of 2013 and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively compared to an increase of \$2 million during the second quarter of 2012 and a decrease of \$3 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Table of Contents*Salaries and Related Expenses*

Salaries and related expenses consist of salaries, payroll taxes, benefits and incentives paid to employees in our servicing operations.

Salaries and related expenses increased by \$5 million and \$6 million during the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively compared to 2012. The increases are primarily driven by an increase in the average number of permanent employees related to new employees that transferred into our servicing operations from HSBC when we commenced origination and servicing activities under our private label relationship in the second quarter of 2013. Also, additional resources were added throughout the second half of 2012 in order to implement new industry servicing and compliance practices.

Other Operating Expenses

The following table presents a summary of Other operating expenses:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| | 2013 | June 30, 2012 | 2013 | June 30, 2012 |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Repurchase and foreclosure-related charges | \$ 11 | \$ 39 | \$ 26 | \$ 104 |
| Corporate overhead allocation | 6 | 4 | 11 | 8 |
| Other expenses | 34 | 24 | 67 | 50 |
| Total | \$ 51 | \$ 67 | \$ 104 | \$ 162 |

Repurchase and foreclosure-related charges are primarily driven by the actual and projected volumes of repurchase and indemnification requests, our success rate in appealing repurchase requests and expected loss severities. Repurchase requests from all investors and insurers have been volatile and the persistency of these recent trends remains extremely uncertain. Expected loss severities are impacted by various economic factors including delinquency rates and home price values while our success rate in appealing repurchase requests can fluctuate based on the validity and composition of repurchase demands and the underlying quality of the loan files.

Corporate overhead allocations relate to segment allocations of shared general and administrative costs and costs associated with operating and managing corporate functions. Other expenses include operating expenses of the Mortgage Servicing segment, including costs directly associated with servicing loans in foreclosure and real estate owned, professional fees and outsourcing fees.

Quarterly Comparison: Repurchase and foreclosure-related charges decreased by \$28 million compared to 2012. While total repurchase and indemnification requests were 32% lower than the first quarter of 2013, the \$11 million of repurchase and foreclosure-related charges during the second quarter of 2013 resulted from additional clarity from the Agencies on the number of loan file reviews expected to be completed related to origination years 2005 through 2008, and reduced government insurance claims proceeds. The Agencies have informed us that their goal is to be complete with all pre-2009 repurchase requests by the end of 2013. During the second quarter of 2012, the Agencies continued their focus on

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

clearing the backlog of previously requested loan files related to origination years 2005 through 2008 which resulted in elevated levels of total requests and increases in our actual and projected number of future repurchase and indemnification requests and contributed to the \$39 million of repurchase and foreclosure-related charges during that period.

Other expenses increased by \$10 million compared to 2012 due to a \$6 million increase in direct expenses associated with servicing delinquent and foreclosed loans (primarily government loans) and a \$1 million increase in professional fees related to compliance costs for risk management initiatives. During 2012, Other expenses was also lower due to a \$4 million reduction in liabilities for compensatory fees related to changes in servicing guidelines that were announced during the second quarter of 2012.

Table of Contents

Year-to-Date Comparison: Repurchase and foreclosure-related charges decreased by \$78 million compared to 2012. The \$26 million of repurchase and foreclosure-related charges during the six months ended June 30, 2013 resulted from a continued elevated level of total requests, additional clarity from the Agencies on the number of loan file reviews expected to be completed related to origination years 2005 through 2008, and reduced government insurance claims proceeds. The Agencies have informed us that their goal is to be complete with all pre-2009 repurchase requests by the end of 2013. In 2012, the Agencies continued their focus on clearing the backlog of previously requested loan files related to origination years 2005 through 2008 which resulted in elevated levels of total requests and increases in our actual and projected number of future repurchase and indemnification requests and contributed to the \$104 million of repurchase and foreclosure-related charges during that period.

Other expenses increased by \$17 million compared to 2012 due to a \$15 million increase in direct expenses associated with servicing delinquent and foreclosed loans (primarily government loans), a \$2 million increase in professional fees related to compliance costs for risk management initiatives and a \$2 million increase in compensatory fees related to foreclosure processing.

Table of Contents**Fleet Management Services Segment**

The fleet management industry has continued to be influenced by the current condition of the U.S. economy and the levels of corporate spending and capital investment which has resulted in corporate cost-reduction initiatives and increasing fleet operating costs, including increasing vehicle acquisition costs, maintenance costs and fuel prices. In recent years, the mix of our net investment in leases has changed to include more truck and service-type vehicles that have a higher initial capitalized cost, which has offset declines in our leased units. Growth in our Fleet Management Services segment is driven principally by increased market share in fleets greater than 75 units and increased fee-based services.

The following tables present a summary of our financial results and related drivers for the Fleet Management Services segment, and are followed by a discussion of each of the key components of our Net revenues and Total expenses:

| | Average for the Three Months Ended June 30, | | Average for the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|------------------------------|---|------|---|------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In thousands of units) | | | |
| Leased vehicles | 258 | 267 | 258 | 268 |
| Maintenance service cards | 340 | 347 | 335 | 343 |
| Fuel cards | 311 | 301 | 310 | 299 |
| Accident management vehicles | 317 | 314 | 309 | 314 |

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|-------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Fleet management fees | \$ 44 | \$ 45 | \$ 87 | \$ 92 |
| Fleet lease income | 343 | 338 | 675 | 674 |
| Other income | 20 | 18 | 39 | 36 |
| Net revenues | 407 | 401 | 801 | 802 |
| Salaries and related expenses | 18 | 16 | 36 | 32 |
| Occupancy and other office expenses | 4 | 3 | 7 | 7 |
| Depreciation on operating leases | 305 | 303 | 607 | 604 |
| Fleet interest expense | 14 | 18 | 30 | 36 |
| Other depreciation and amortization | 3 | 2 | 5 | 5 |
| Other operating expenses | 42 | 37 | 74 | 72 |
| Total expenses | 386 | 379 | 759 | 756 |
| Segment profit | \$ 21 | \$ 22 | \$ 42 | \$ 46 |

Fleet Management Fees

The drivers of Fleet management fees are leased vehicles and service unit counts as well as the usage of fee-based services. Fleet management fees consist primarily of the revenues of our principal fee-based products: fuel cards, maintenance services, accident management services and monthly management fees for leased vehicles. Fleet management fees also include driver safety training services fees.

Quarterly Comparison: Fleet management fees decreased by \$1 million compared to 2012 due to a \$1 million decrease in revenue from driver safety training services driven by lower client participation.

Year-to-Date Comparison: Fleet management fees decreased by \$5 million compared to 2012 due to a \$4 million decrease in revenue from driver safety training services driven by lower client participation and a \$1 million decrease in fees associated with asset dispositions on behalf of our clients driven by lower volume.

Table of Contents*Fleet Lease Income*

The following table presents a summary of the components of Fleet lease income:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Leasing revenue | \$ 336 | \$ 334 | \$ 668 | \$ 667 |
| Operating lease syndication revenue | 7 | 4 | 7 | 7 |
| Total | \$ 343 | \$ 338 | \$ 675 | \$ 674 |

Fleet lease income consists of leasing revenue related to operating and direct financing leases as well as the gross sales proceeds associated with our operating lease syndications. Leasing revenue related to operating leases consists of an interest component for the funding cost inherent in the lease as well as a depreciation component for the cost of the vehicles under lease. Leasing revenue related to direct financing leases consists of an interest component for the funding cost inherent in the lease.

We originate certain leases with the intention of syndicating to banks and other financial institutions, which includes the sale of the underlying assets and assignment of any rights to the leases. Upon the transfer and assignment of operating leases that qualify for sales treatment, we record the proceeds from the sale within Fleet lease income and recognize the cost of goods sold within Other operating expenses for the undepreciated cost of the asset sold.

Quarterly Comparison: Leasing revenue increased by \$2 million compared to 2012 primarily due to a higher depreciation component for the cost of vehicles under lease (see further discussion under Depreciation on Operating Leases), that was partially offset by a decline in the rate billed as the interest component for funding our leases and a 3% decrease in the average number of leased vehicles.

The amount of gross sales proceeds related to operating lease syndications resulted in a \$3 million increase in Fleet lease income compared to 2012 and also contributed to the \$3 million increase in cost of goods sold in Other operating expenses described below.

Year-to-Date Comparison: Leasing revenue increased by \$1 million compared to 2012 primarily due to a higher depreciation component for the cost of vehicles under lease (see further discussion under Depreciation on Operating Leases), that was partially offset by a decline in the rate billed as the interest component for funding our leases and a 4% decrease in the average number of leased vehicles.

Other Income

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

Other income primarily consists of gross sales from our owned vehicle dealerships, the gain or loss from the sale of used vehicles and other ancillary revenues, including revenues associated with PHH ONBOARD® technology which monitors and provides fleet data related to productivity and driver activity.

Quarterly Comparison: Other income increased by \$2 million compared to 2012 primarily due to a \$2 million increase related to new vehicle sales at our dealerships driven by a higher volume of units sold and a \$1 million increase in revenues associated with vehicles equipped with PHH ONBOARD® technology. These increases were partially offset by a \$1 million decrease in the gains on used car sales and remarketing activities primarily driven by lower net proceeds of previously leased vehicles.

Year-to-Date Comparison: Other income increased by \$3 million compared to 2012 primarily due to a \$3 million increase related to new vehicle sales at our dealerships driven by a higher volume of units sold and a \$2 million increase in revenues associated with vehicles equipped with PHH ONBOARD® technology. These increases were partially offset by a \$2 million decrease in the gains on used car sales and remarketing activities primarily driven by lower sales volume of previously leased vehicles.

Table of Contents

Salaries and Related Expenses

Salaries and related expenses consist of salaries, payroll taxes, benefits and incentives paid to employees in our fleet services operations.

Salaries and related expenses increased by \$2 million and \$4 million for the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively compared to 2012 primarily due to an increase in the average number of permanent employees as a result of current and expected growth in the business.

Depreciation on Operating Leases

Depreciation on operating leases is the depreciation expense associated with our vehicles under operating leases included in Net investment in fleet leases.

Quarterly Comparison: Depreciation on operating leases increased by \$2 million compared to 2012 primarily due to a higher average balance in Net investment in fleet leases reflecting growth in the average lease balances from the addition of new vehicle units which contain a higher initial capitalized cost compared to older vehicles units ending their lease term. This increase in depreciation on operating leases was partially offset by lower depreciation from the 3% decline in our average number of leased vehicles.

Year-to-Date Comparison: Depreciation on operating leases increased by \$3 million compared to 2012 primarily due to a higher average balance in Net investment in fleet leases reflecting growth in the average lease balances from the addition of new vehicle units which contain a higher initial capitalized cost compared to older vehicles units ending their lease term. This increase in depreciation on operating leases was partially offset by lower depreciation from the 4% decline in our average number of leased vehicles.

Fleet Interest Expense

Fleet interest expense is primarily driven by the average volume and cost of funds rate of outstanding borrowings and consists of interest expense associated with borrowings related to leased vehicles, changes in market values of interest rate cap agreements related to vehicle asset-backed debt and amortization of deferred financing fees.

Quarterly Comparison: Fleet interest expense decreased by \$4 million compared to 2012 due to a \$3 million decrease in leasing interest expense primarily driven by a lower average cost of funds rate on current asset-backed debt agreements that was partially offset by a higher average balance of asset-backed debt. In addition, there was a \$1 million decrease in unfavorable fair value adjustments associated with interest rate contracts on asset-backed debt compared to 2012.

Year-to-Date Comparison: Fleet interest expense decreased by \$6 million compared to 2012 due to a \$5 million decrease in leasing interest expense primarily driven by a lower average cost of funds rate on current asset-backed debt agreements that was partially offset by a higher average balance of asset-backed debt. In addition, there was a \$1 million decrease in unfavorable fair value adjustments associated with interest rate contracts on asset-backed debt compared to 2012.

Other Operating Expenses

The following table presents a summary of the components of Other operating expenses:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|-------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Cost of goods sold | \$ 20 | \$ 17 | \$ 33 | \$ 32 |
| Corporate overhead allocation | 13 | 11 | 24 | 22 |
| Other expenses | 9 | 9 | 17 | 18 |
| Total | \$ 42 | \$ 37 | \$ 74 | \$ 72 |

Table of Contents

Cost of goods sold represents the acquisition cost of vehicles at our dealerships and the carrying value of certain operating leases syndicated to banks and other financial institutions. The gross sales proceeds from our owned dealerships are included in Other income and the proceeds from syndications are recorded within Fleet lease income.

Corporate overhead allocations relate to segment allocations of shared general and administrative costs and costs associated with operating and managing corporate functions.

Quarterly Comparison: Cost of goods sold increased by \$3 million compared to 2012 which was driven by an increase in the amount of operating lease syndications and an increase in the cost of goods sold related to a higher volume of vehicle sales at our dealerships.

Other expenses remained at \$9 million for the second quarter of 2013, the same level as 2012. While Other expenses remained constant, there was a \$1 million decrease in expenses associated with lower client participation in driver safety training services that was partially offset by a \$1 million increase in costs for vehicles equipped with onboard technology.

Year-to-Date Comparison: Cost of goods sold increased by \$1 million compared to 2012 which was driven by an increase in the cost of goods sold related to a higher volume of vehicle sales at our dealerships.

Other expenses decreased by \$1 million compared to 2012 primarily due to a \$3 million decrease in expenses associated with lower client participation in driver safety training services that was partially offset by a \$2 million increase in costs for vehicles equipped with onboard technology.

Table of Contents**Other**

We leverage a centralized corporate platform to provide shared services for general and administrative functions to our reportable segments. These shared services include support associated with, among other functions, information technology, enterprise risk management, internal audit, human resources, accounting and finance, marketing and communications. The costs associated with these shared general and administrative functions, in addition to the cost of managing the overall corporate function, are recorded within Other and allocated to our reportable segments through a corporate overhead allocation. Other also includes intersegment eliminations and certain income and expenses that are not allocated back to our reportable segments.

The following table presents the revenues and expenses recorded in Other:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Net revenues | \$ | \$ | \$ (1) | \$ (1) |
| Salaries and related expenses | 18 | 18 | 38 | 35 |
| Occupancy and other office expenses | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Fleet interest expense | | (1) | (1) | (2) |
| Other depreciation and amortization | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| Other operating expenses | 24 | 12 | 39 | 28 |
| Corporate overhead allocation | (45) | (34) | (83) | (68) |
| Total expenses | | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| Net income before income taxes | \$ | \$ 1 | \$ | \$ |

Salaries and Related Expenses

Salaries and related expenses represent costs associated with operating corporate functions and our centralized management platform and consist of salaries, payroll taxes, benefits and incentives paid to shared service support employees. These expenses are primarily driven by the average number of permanent employees.

Salaries and related expenses increased by \$3 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to 2012 driven by an increase in stock-based management incentive compensation and severance costs associated with the separation of certain executives during 2013.

Other Operating Expenses

The components of Other operating expenses were as follows:

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|-------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Professional fees | \$ 16 | \$ 7 | \$ 24 | \$ 16 |
| Other expenses | 8 | 5 | 15 | 12 |
| Total | \$ 24 | \$ 12 | \$ 39 | \$ 28 |

Table of Contents

Professional fees increased by \$9 million and \$8 million during the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively compared to 2012 primarily due to an increase in professional and consulting fees associated with executive and risk management strategic initiatives, as well as higher information technology costs. During 2013, the increase in information technology costs was driven by private label client implementations in our Mortgage Production segment and costs related to our new outsourcing arrangement for information technology infrastructure management and application development.

Other expenses increased by \$3 million for both the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to 2012 primarily due to increased information technology software costs resulting from investments in our information technology infrastructure and an increase in executive search fees during 2013.

Corporate Overhead Allocation

The table below provides a summary of our corporate overhead allocation by segment:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|-------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Mortgage Production segment | \$ 26 | \$ 19 | \$ 48 | \$ 38 |
| Mortgage Servicing segment | 6 | 4 | 11 | 8 |
| Fleet Management Services segment | 13 | 11 | 24 | 22 |
| Other | (45) | (34) | (83) | (68) |
| Total | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |

The amount of expense allocated to each segment is based upon the actual and estimated usage by function or expense category or based on the relative size of the operating segment as measured by revenues or headcount.

Table of Contents

RISK MANAGEMENT

In the normal course of business we are exposed to various risks including, but not limited to, interest rate risk, consumer credit risk, commercial credit risk, counterparty credit risk, liquidity risk and foreign exchange risk. Our risk management framework and governance structure are intended to provide oversight and ongoing management of the risks inherent in our business activities and create a culture of risk awareness. Our Risk Management organization, working with each of our businesses, oversees governance processes and monitoring of these risks including the establishment of risk strategy and documentation of risk policies and controls. The Finance and Risk Management Committee of the Board of Directors provides oversight with respect to our risk management function and the policies, procedures and practices used in identifying and managing our material risks.

Interest Rate Risk

Our principal market exposure is to interest rate risk, specifically long-term Treasury and mortgage interest rates due to their impact on mortgage-related assets and commitments. Additionally, our escrow earnings on our mortgage servicing rights and our net investment in variable-rate lease assets are sensitive to changes in short-term interest rates such as LIBOR. We also are exposed to changes in short-term interest rates on certain variable rate borrowings including our mortgage warehouse asset-backed debt, vehicle management asset-backed debt and our unsecured revolving credit facility. We anticipate that such interest rates will remain our primary benchmark for market risk for the foreseeable future.

Refer to Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk for an analysis of the impact of 25 bps, 50 bps and 100 bps changes in interest rates on the valuation of assets and liabilities sensitive to interest rates.

Consumer Credit Risk

Our exposures to consumer credit risk include:

i Loan repurchase and indemnification obligations from breaches of representation and warranty provisions of our loan sales or servicing agreements, which result in indemnification payments or exposure to loan defaults and foreclosures; and

i A decline in the fair value of mortgage servicing rights as a result of increases in involuntary prepayments from increasing portfolio delinquencies.

Loan Repurchases and Indemnifications

Repurchase and foreclosure-related reserves are maintained for probable losses related to repurchase and indemnification obligations and on-balance sheet loans in foreclosure and real estate owned. The liability for loan repurchases and indemnifications represents management's estimate of probable losses based on the best information available and requires the application of a significant level of judgment and the use of a number of assumptions. These assumptions include the estimated amount and timing of repurchase and indemnification requests, the expected success rate of defending against requests, estimated insurance claim proceeds and denials and estimated loss severities on repurchases and indemnifications. The liability for loan repurchases and indemnifications does not reflect losses from litigation or governmental and regulatory examinations, investigations or inquiries.

While the best information available is used in estimating our liability, our actual experience can vary significantly from our assumptions as the estimation process is inherently uncertain. Given the increased levels of repurchase requests and repurchase and foreclosure-related charges in recent periods, there is a reasonable possibility that future losses may be in excess of the recorded liability. Our estimate of reasonably possible losses in excess of recorded reserves relates to our exposure from repurchase and indemnification obligations from conventional loans as well as our estimate of foreclosure-related costs that are not expected to be reimbursed pursuant to government insurance programs. Although Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are still expected to be complete with repurchase requests for pre-2009 origination years by the end of 2013, losses associated with government loans foreclosures could persist into 2014 and beyond as loans continue to work through the foreclosure process and we evaluate loans and expenses

Table of Contents

that are not eligible for reimbursement. As of June 30, 2013, the estimated amount of reasonably possible losses in excess of the recorded liability was approximately \$45 million. This estimate assumes that repurchase and indemnification requests remain at an elevated level through the year ended December 31, 2013, the success rate in defending against repurchase requests declines and that the Company will incur increased foreclosure-related costs that are not expected to be reimbursed pursuant to government mortgage insurance programs.

Our estimate of reasonably possible losses does not represent probable losses and is based upon significant judgments and assumptions which can be influenced by many factors, including: (i) home prices and the levels of home equity; (ii) the criteria used by investors in selecting loans to request; (iii) the quality of our underwriting procedures; (iv) borrower delinquency and default patterns; and (v) general economic conditions.

Actual losses incurred in connection with loan repurchases and indemnifications could vary significantly from and exceed the recorded liability. We may also be required to increase our loan repurchase and indemnification liability in the future. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that actual losses or estimates of reasonably possible losses associated with loan repurchases and indemnifications will not be in excess of the recorded liability or that we will not be required to increase the recorded liability in the future.

Repurchase and foreclosure-related reserves consist of the following:

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| | (In millions) | |
| Loan repurchase and indemnification liability | \$ 141 | \$ 140 |
| Allowance for probable foreclosure losses | 25 | 28 |
| Adjustment to value for real estate owned | 25 | 23 |
| Total | \$ 191 | \$ 191 |

The table below presents the trend over the most recent quarters of our repurchase and foreclosure-related reserves activity and the number of repurchase claim requests received:

| | June 30, 2013 | March 31, 2013 | Three Months Ended December 31, 2012 | September 30, 2012 | June 30, 2012 |
|--|------------------|-------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------|
| | (\$ In millions) | | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 194 | \$ 191 | \$ 176 | \$ 175 | \$ 165 |
| Realized losses | (20) | (17) | (27) | (43) | (33) |
| Increase in reserves due to: | | | | | |
| Change in assumptions | 11 | 15 | 37 | 41 | 39 |
| New loan sales | 6 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 4 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 191 | \$ 194 | \$ 191 | \$ 176 | \$ 175 |
| Repurchase requests received (number of loans) | 603 | 886 | 980 | 997 | 1,171 |

Table of Contents*Loan Repurchase & Indemnification Liability*

We subject the population of repurchase and indemnification requests received to a review and appeal process to establish the validity of the claim and corresponding obligation. The following table presents the unpaid principal balance of our unresolved requests by status:

| | As of June 30, 2013 | | | As of December 31, 2012 | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------------|------------------|---------------|
| | Investor Requests | Insurer Requests | Total (4) | Investor Requests | Insurer Requests | Total (4) |
| Agency Invested: | | | | | | |
| Claim pending (1) | \$ 27 | \$ | \$ 27 | \$ 25 | \$ 1 | \$ 26 |
| Appealed (2) | 18 | 7 | 25 | 49 | 7 | 56 |
| Open to review (3) | 25 | 6 | 31 | 44 | 23 | 67 |
| Agency requests | 70 | 13 | 83 | 118 | 31 | 149 |
| Private Invested: | | | | | | |
| Claim pending (1) | 11 | 1 | 12 | 8 | | 8 |
| Appealed (2) | 16 | 2 | 18 | 16 | 2 | 18 |
| Open to review (3) | 37 | 4 | 41 | 33 | 5 | 38 |
| Private requests | 64 | 7 | 71 | 57 | 7 | 64 |
| Total | \$ 134 | \$ 20 | \$ 154 | \$ 175 | \$ 38 | \$ 213 |

(1) Claim pending status represents loans that have completed the review process where we have agreed with the representation and warranty breach and are pending final execution.

(2) Appealed status represents loans that have completed the review process where we have disagreed with the representation and warranty breach and are pending response from the claimant. Based on claims received and appealed during the twelve months ended June 30, 2013 that have been resolved, we were successful in refuting approximately 90% of claims appealed.

(3) Open to review status represents loans where we have not completed our review process. We appealed approximately 70% of claims received and reviewed during the twelve months ended June 30, 2013.

(4) Investors may make repurchase demands based on unresolved mortgage insurance rescission notices. In these cases, the total unresolved requests balance includes certain loans that are currently subject to both an outstanding repurchase demand and an unresolved mortgage insurance rescission notice.

Approximately 64% and 69% of the unpaid principal balance of our unresolved repurchase requests related to loans originated between 2005 and 2008 as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

See Note 12, *Credit Risk*, in the accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding our repurchase and foreclosure-related reserves.

Mortgage Reinsurance

During the second quarter of 2013, the Company terminated its remaining inactive reinsurance contract that resulted in a pre-tax loss of \$21 million for both the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013, which was recorded in Loan servicing income in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. See Note 12, *Credit Risk*, in the accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding the termination agreement.

During the three months ended June 30, 2012, the Company terminated one of its inactive reinsurance contracts that resulted in a pre-tax loss of \$16 million for both the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2012, which was recorded in Loan servicing income in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Table of Contents

A summary of the activity in the liability for reinsurance losses is as follows:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|-------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 30 | \$ 72 | \$ 33 | \$ 84 |
| Realized losses(1) | (31) | (33) | (35) | (51) |
| Increase in liability for reinsurance losses(2) | 1 | 4 | 2 | 10 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 43 | \$ 43 | \$ 43 | \$ 43 |

(1) Realized reinsurance losses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 include the release of reserves associated with the termination of inactive reinsurance agreements and were \$28 million for both periods in 2013 and \$21 million for both periods in 2012.

(2) The increase in reinsurance reserves is recorded as an expense within Loan servicing income in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Commercial Credit Risk

We are exposed to commercial credit risk for our clients under the lease and service agreements of our Fleet Management Services segment. We manage such risk through an evaluation of the financial position and creditworthiness of the client, which is performed on at least an annual basis. The lease agreements generally allow us to refuse any additional orders; however, the obligation remains for all leased vehicle units under contract at that time. The fleet management service agreements can generally be terminated upon 30 days written notice.

Counterparty and Concentration Risk

We are exposed to risk in the event of non-performance by counterparties to various agreements, derivative contracts, and sales transactions. In general, we manage such risk by evaluating the financial position and creditworthiness of counterparties, monitoring the amount for which we are at risk, requiring collateral, typically cash, in instances in which financing is provided and/or dispersing the risk among multiple counterparties.

As of June 30, 2013, there were no significant concentrations of credit risk with any individual counterparty or group of counterparties with respect to our derivative transactions. Concentrations of credit risk associated with receivables are considered minimal due to our diverse client base. With the exception of the financing provided to customers of our mortgage business, we do not normally require collateral or other security to support credit sales.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

We manage our liquidity and capital structure to fund growth in assets, to fund business operations and to meet contractual obligations, including maturities of our indebtedness. In developing our liquidity plan, we consider how our needs may be impacted by various factors including maximum liquidity needs during the period, fluctuations in assets and liability levels due to changes in business operations, levels of interest rates and working capital needs. We also assess market conditions and capacity for debt issuance in various markets we access to fund our business needs. Our primary operating funding needs arise from the origination and financing of mortgage loans, the purchase and funding of vehicles under management and the retention of mortgage servicing rights. Our liquidity needs can also be significantly influenced by changes in interest rates due to collateral posting requirements from derivative agreements as well as the levels of repurchase and indemnification requests.

Table of Contents

Sources of liquidity include: equity capital (including retained earnings); the unsecured debt markets; committed and uncommitted credit facilities; secured borrowings, including the asset-backed debt markets; cash flows from operations (including service fee and lease revenues); cash flows from assets under management; and proceeds from the sale or securitization of mortgage loans and lease assets. We are focused on improving our capital structure, including extending the maturities of our unsecured debt, lowering our cost of borrowing to more closely match our marginal cost of borrowing and exploring other funding alternatives, which may include sales of newly created MSRs with subservicing rights retained, among other actions. The execution of these funding alternatives for MSRs along with our business optimization efforts as discussed in Overview Executive Summary, should help us migrate to a less capital intensive, fee-for-service business model that is less dependent upon the unsecured debt markets.

We are continuing to monitor developments in regulations that may impact our businesses including the Dodd-Frank Act and ongoing GSE reforms that could have a material impact on our liquidity. For more information, see Part II Item 1A. Risk Factors Risks Related to our Company *Our Mortgage businesses are complex and heavily regulated, and the full impact of regulatory developments to our businesses remains uncertain. In addition, we are subject to litigation, regulatory investigations and inquiries and may incur fines, penalties, and increased costs that could negatively impact our future results of operations or damage our reputation.* in this Form 10-Q and Part I Item 1A. Risk Factors Risks Related to our Company *We are highly dependent upon programs administered by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae. Failure to maintain our relationships with each of Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae would materially and adversely affect our business, financial position, results of operations or cash flows.* in our 2012 Form 10-K.

We have historically been reliant on accessing the capital markets for unsecured debt in order to refinance or extend the maturities of our unsecured debt at the parent company level and we may do so in the future. There has been a prolonged period of uncertainty and volatility in the economy, which may impair or limit our access to unsecured funding. Additionally, our senior unsecured long-term debt credit ratings are below investment grade, and as a result, our access to the public debt markets may be severely limited in comparison to the ability of investment grade issuers to access such markets.

Given our expectation for business volumes, we believe that our sources of liquidity are adequate to fund our operations for at least the next 12 months.

Cash Flows

At June 30, 2013, we had \$1.0 billion of Cash and cash equivalents, an increase of \$215 million from \$829 million at December 31, 2012. The following table summarizes the changes in Cash and cash equivalents:

| | Six Months Ended | | Change |
|-----------------------------|------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | |
| | June 30, | | |
| | (In millions) | | |
| Cash provided by (used in): | | | |
| Operating activities | \$ 1,249 | \$ 1,511 | \$ (262) |
| Investing activities | (771) | (732) | (39) |
| Financing activities | (260) | (494) | 234 |

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--|----|-----|----|-----|----|------|
| Effect of changes in exchange rates on Cash and cash equivalents | | (3) | | 1 | | (4) |
| Net increase in Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 215 | \$ | 286 | \$ | (71) |

Table of Contents

Operating Activities

Our cash flows from operating activities reflect the net cash generated or used in our business operations and can be significantly impacted by the timing of mortgage loan originations and sales. In addition to depreciation and amortization, the operating results of our reportable segments are impacted by the following significant non-cash activities:

i **Mortgage Production** Capitalization of mortgage servicing rights

i **Mortgage Servicing** Change in fair value of mortgage servicing rights

i **Fleet Management Services** Depreciation on operating leases

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, cash provided by our operating activities was \$1.2 billion. This is primarily reflective of \$769 million of net cash provided by the volume of mortgage loan sales in our Mortgage Production segment and \$132 million of net cash received from counterparties related to cash collateral associated with loan related derivatives. Cash provided by operating activities was further driven by positive cash flows from our Mortgage Servicing and Fleet Management Services segments.

The net cash provided by the operating activities of our Mortgage Production segment resulted from a \$423 million decrease in the Mortgage loans held for sale balance in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets between June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, which is the result of timing differences between origination and sale as of the end of each period. The decrease in Mortgage loans held for sale also resulted in a decrease in Mortgage Asset-Backed Debt as further described in Financing Activities below.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, cash provided by operating activities was \$1.5 billion. This is primarily reflective of \$1.2 billion of net cash provided by the volume of mortgage loan sales in our Mortgage Production segment and \$21 million received from counterparties related to cash collateral posted on derivative agreements. Cash provided by operating activities was further driven by positive cash flows from our Mortgage Servicing and Fleet Management Services segments.

Investing Activities

Our cash flows from investing activities include cash outflows for purchases of vehicle inventory, net of cash inflows for sales of vehicles within the Fleet Management Services segment as well as changes in the funding requirements of Restricted cash, cash equivalents and investments for all of our business segments. Cash flows related to the acquisition and sale of vehicles fluctuate significantly from period to period due to the timing of the underlying transactions.

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, cash used in our investing activities was \$771 million, which primarily consisted of \$770 million in net cash outflows from the purchase and sale of vehicles and \$19 million of cash paid on derivative agreements related to our Mortgage servicing rights, partially offset by a \$33 million net decrease in Restricted cash, cash equivalents and investments primarily due to \$79 million of Restricted cash that was settled related to the reinsurance agreement that was terminated in the second quarter of 2013 that was partially offset by \$49 million increase in restricted cash used in vehicle management asset-backed funding facilities.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, cash used in our investing activities was \$732 million, which primarily consisted of \$814 million in net cash outflows from the purchase and sale of vehicles, partially offset by a \$76 million net decrease in Restricted cash, cash equivalents and investments primarily due to the release of restricted cash and investments held in trust to the primary mortgage insurer which resulted from the termination of a reinsurance agreement.

Table of Contents**Financing Activities**

Our cash flows from financing activities include proceeds from and payments on borrowings under our vehicle management asset-backed debt, mortgage asset-backed debt and unsecured debt facilities. The fluctuations in the amount of borrowings within each period are due to working capital needs and the funding requirements for assets supported by our secured and unsecured debt, including Net investment in fleet leases, Mortgage loans held for sale and Mortgage servicing rights. As of the end of each quarter, our financing activities and Balance sheets reflect our efforts to maximize secured borrowings against the available asset base, increasing the ending cash balance. Within each quarter, excess available cash is utilized to fund assets rather than using the Mortgage and Vehicle asset-backed borrowing arrangements, given the relative borrowing costs and returns on invested cash.

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, cash used in our financing activities was \$260 million which related to \$210 million of net payments on secured borrowings resulting primarily from the decreased funding requirements for Mortgage loans held for sale described in operating activities and \$35 million of distributions to noncontrolling interests.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, cash used in our financing activities was \$494 million and primarily related to \$446 million of net payments on secured borrowings resulting from the decreased funding requirements for Mortgage loans held for sale described in Operating Activities and \$9 million of net payments on unsecured borrowings resulting from the repayment of the Convertible notes due 2012 which was offset by the issuance of the Convertible Senior Notes due 2017.

Debt

We utilize both secured and unsecured debt as key components of our financing strategy. Our primary financing needs arise from our assets under management programs which are summarized in the table below:

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| | (In millions) | |
| Restricted cash, cash equivalents and investments | \$ 349 | \$ 425 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | 1,751 | 2,174 |
| Net investment in fleet leases | 3,736 | 3,636 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 1,247 | 1,022 |
| Total | \$ 7,083 | \$ 7,257 |

Asset-backed debt is used primarily to support our investments in vehicle management and mortgage assets, and is secured by collateral which include certain Mortgage loans held for sale and Net investment in fleet leases, among other assets. The outstanding balance under the asset-backed debt facilities varies daily based on our current funding needs for eligible collateral. In addition, amounts undrawn and available under our revolving credit facility can also be utilized to supplement asset-backed facilities and provide for the funding of vehicles in the U.S. and Canada as well as the funding of mortgage originations.

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our Debt as of June 30, 2013:

| | Balance | Total Assets Held as Collateral(1) |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| | (In millions) | |
| Vehicle Management Asset-Backed Debt | \$ 3,535 | \$ 4,036 |
| Secured Canadian credit facility | | |
| Mortgage Asset-Backed Debt | 1,617 | 1,720 |
| Unsecured Debt | 1,171 | |
| Total | \$ 6,323 | \$ 5,756 |

(1) Assets held as collateral are not available to pay our general obligations.

Vehicle Management Asset-Backed Debt

Vehicle management asset-backed debt primarily represents variable-rate debt issued by our wholly owned subsidiary, Chesapeake Funding LLC, to support the acquisition of vehicles used by our Fleet Management Services segment's U.S. leasing operations and debt issued by Fleet Leasing Receivables Trust (FLRT), a special purpose trust, used to finance leases originated by our Canadian fleet operation.

Vehicle management asset-backed funding arrangements consisted of the following facilities as of June 30, 2013:

| | Balance | Total Capacity (In millions) | Available Capacity(1) | End of Revolving Period(2) | Estimated Maturity Date(3) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Chesapeake 2009-2 | \$ 178 | n/a | n/a | n/a | 02/15/14 |
| Chesapeake 2009-3 | 25 | n/a | n/a | n/a | 10/07/14 |
| Chesapeake 2012-1 | 624 | n/a | n/a | n/a | 05/07/16 |
| Term notes, in amortization | 827 | | | | |
| Chesapeake 2011-2 | 350 | \$ 350 | | 09/19/13 | 03/07/17 |
| Chesapeake 2012-2 | 600 | 600 | | 10/24/13 | 05/07/17 |
| Chesapeake 2013-1 | 700 | 700 | \$ | 05/22/14 | 02/07/18 |
| Term notes, in revolving period | 1,650 | 1,650 | | | |
| Chesapeake 2010-1 | 236 | 875 | 639 | 07/26/13(4) | 01/07/17 |
| Chesapeake 2011-1 | 168 | 625 | 457 | 06/26/14(4) | 03/07/18 |
| FLRT 2010-2 | 632 | 776 | 144 | 08/30/13 | 04/15/23 |
| Variable-funding notes | 1,036 | 2,276 | 1,240 | | |
| Other | 22 | 22 | | | |
| Total | \$ 3,535 | \$ 3,948 | \$ 1,240 | | |

(1) Capacity is dependent upon maintaining compliance with the terms, conditions, and covenants of the respective agreements and may be further limited by asset eligibility requirements.

(2) During the revolving period, the monthly collection of lease payments allocable to each outstanding series creates availability to fund the acquisition of vehicles and/or equipment to be leased to customers. Upon expiration, the revolving period of the related series of notes ends and the repayment of principal commences, amortizing monthly with the allocation of lease payments until the notes are paid in full.

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

- (3) Represents the estimated final repayment date of the amortizing notes.
- (4) On July 10, 2013, Chesapeake issued Series 2013-2 and Series 2013-3 variable funding notes with total available commitments of \$1.3 billion. Proceeds of the notes were used to fully repay the Series 2011-1 Notes and Series 2010-1 Notes.

Table of Contents**Secured Canadian Credit Facility**

The Secured Canadian credit facility provides up to \$119 million (C\$125 million) of committed revolving capacity, subject to the satisfaction of compliance with a borrowing base calculation. As of and during the six months ended June 30, 2013, there were no amounts outstanding under the facility.

Mortgage Asset-Backed Debt

Mortgage asset-backed debt primarily represents variable-rate mortgage repurchase facilities to support the origination of mortgage loans. Mortgage repurchase facilities, also called warehouse lines of credit, are one component of our funding strategy, and they provide creditors a collateralized interest in specific mortgage loans that meet the eligibility requirements under the terms of the facility during the warehouse period. The source of repayment of the facilities is typically from the sale or securitization of the underlying loans into the secondary mortgage market. We utilize both committed and uncommitted warehouse facilities and we evaluate our needs under these facilities based on forecasted volume of mortgage loan closings and sales.

Our funding strategies for mortgage originations may also include the use of committed and uncommitted mortgage gestation facilities. Gestation facilities effectively finance mortgage loans that are eligible for sale to an agency prior to the issuance of the related MBS.

Mortgage asset-backed funding arrangements consisted of the following as of June 30, 2013:

| | Balance | Total Capacity (In millions) | Available Capacity(1) | Maturity Date |
|---|----------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| Debt: | | | | |
| <i>Committed facilities:</i> | | | | |
| Fannie Mae | \$ 253 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 747 | 12/13/13 |
| Royal Bank of Scotland plc | 229 | 250 | 21 | 06/20/14 |
| Bank of America | 285 | 430 | 145 | 10/10/13(2) |
| Credit Suisse First Boston Mortgage Capital LLC | 491 | 675 | 184 | 06/29/14(3) |
| Wells Fargo Bank | 144 | 450 | 306 | 12/06/13 |
| Barclays Bank PLC | 150 | 350 | 200 | 12/10/13 |
| Committed repurchase facilities | 1,552 | 3,155 | 1,603 | |
| <i>Uncommitted facilities:</i> | | | | |
| Fannie Mae | | 2,000 | 2,000 | n/a |
| RBS | | 250 | 250 | n/a |
| Uncommitted repurchase facilities | | 2,250 | 2,250 | |
| Servicing advance facility | 65 | 120 | 55 | 09/30/13 |
| Total | \$ 1,617 | \$ 5,525 | \$ 3,908 | |
| Off-Balance Sheet Gestation Facilities: | | | | |
| JP Morgan Chase | \$ 120 | \$ 500 | \$ 380 | 10/31/13 |

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

- (1) Capacity is dependent upon maintaining compliance with the terms, conditions, and covenants of the respective agreements and may be further limited by asset eligibility requirements.
- (2) Provided certain conditions are met, the facility may be renewed for an additional year at our request.
- (3) The maturity date of this facility may be extended at CSFB's option on a rolling 364-day term until the stated expiration date of May 22, 2015.

Table of Contents**Unsecured Debt**

Unsecured credit facilities are utilized to fund our short-term working capital needs to fund our MSRs and to supplement asset-backed facilities and provide for a portion of the operating needs of our mortgage and fleet management businesses. As of and during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, there were no amounts outstanding under the Revolving credit facilities.

Unsecured borrowing arrangements consisted of the following as of June 30, 2013:

| | Balance | Balance at Maturity | Total Capacity (In millions) | Available Capacity | Maturity Date |
|--|----------|------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 4% notes due in 2014 | \$ 237 | \$ 250 | n/a | n/a | 09/01/14 |
| 6% notes due in 2017 | 202 | 250 | n/a | n/a | 06/15/17 |
| Convertible notes | 439 | 500 | | | |
| 9.25% notes due in 2016 | 449 | 450 | n/a | n/a | 03/01/16 |
| 7.375% notes due in 2019 | 275 | 275 | n/a | n/a | 09/01/19 |
| Other | 8 | 8 | n/a | n/a | 04/15/18 |
| Term notes | 732 | 733 | | | |
| Revolving credit facility Tranche A(1) | | | \$ 250 | \$ 250 | 08/02/15 |
| Revolving credit facility Tranche B(1) | | | 50 | 50 | 07/01/14 |
| Other | | | 5 | 5 | 09/30/13 |
| Credit facilities | | | \$ 305 | \$ 305 | |
| Total | \$ 1,171 | \$ 1,233 | | | |

(1) Capacity amounts shown reflect the contractual maximum capacity of the facility. The available capacity of this facility is subject to the satisfaction of compliance with a borrowing base coverage ratio test.

The Convertible notes due 2017 meet the requirements for conversion as of June 30, 2013, and holders of the notes may convert all or any portion of the notes, at their option. As of June 30, 2013, the if-converted value exceeded the principal amount of the notes by \$148 million. Upon conversion, the principal amount of the converted notes would be payable in cash, and we would pay or deliver the conversion premium (at our election) in: (i) cash; (ii) shares of Common stock; or (iii) a combination of cash and shares of Common stock.

As of July 24, 2013, our credit ratings, and ratings outlook on our senior unsecured debt were as follows:

| | Senior Debt | Short-Term Debt | Ratings Outlook/Watch |
|---------------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Moody's Investors Service | Ba2 | NP | Stable |
| Standard & Poors | BB- | B | Stable |
| Fitch | BB | B | Stable |

Our current credit ratings reflect the rating agencies' assessments of a variety of factors, including but not limited to: our strong market position in both Fleet and Mortgage businesses, appropriate leverage, general uncertainties related to the future landscape of the U.S. housing finance system and weak recent earnings. On April 1, 2013, S&P revised our Rating Outlook from Negative to Stable and affirmed our long-term issuer

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

credit and senior unsecured ratings of BB- and our subordinated debt and our short-term issuer credit ratings of B . On April 17, 2013, Moody's revised our Rating Outlook from Negative to Stable and affirmed our senior unsecured rating of Ba2 . On May 28, 2013, Fitch revised our Ratings Outlook from Negative to Stable and affirmed our long-term and short-term Issuer Default Ratings of BB and B , respectively. The revisions are a result of the agencies acknowledging our actions to stabilize our funding profile and strengthen our liquidity position, expectations for solid operating results and continued maintenance of appropriate capitalization, among other factors.

Our senior unsecured long-term debt credit ratings are below investment grade, and as a result, our access to the public debt markets may be severely limited in comparison to the ability of investment grade issuers to access such markets.

Table of Contents

A security rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold securities, may not reflect all of the risks associated with an investment in our debt securities and is subject to revision or withdrawal by the assigning rating organization. Each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating.

Debt Covenants

Certain of our debt arrangements require the maintenance of certain financial ratios and contain other affirmative and negative covenants, termination events, and other restrictions, including, but not limited to, covenants relating to material adverse changes, liquidity maintenance, restrictions on our indebtedness and the indebtedness of our material subsidiaries, mergers, liens, liquidations, sale and leaseback transactions, and restrictions on certain types of payments, including dividends and stock repurchases. Certain other debt arrangements, including the Fannie Mae committed facility, contain provisions that permit us or our counterparty to terminate the arrangement upon the occurrence of certain events, including those described below.

There were no significant amendments to the terms of our debt covenants during the six months ended June 30, 2013. As of June 30, 2013, we were in compliance with all financial covenants related to our debt arrangements.

Under certain of our financing, servicing, hedging and related agreements and instruments, the lenders or trustees have the right to notify us if they believe we have breached a covenant under the agreements and may declare an event of default. If one or more notices of default were to be given, we believe we would have various periods in which to cure certain of such events of default. If we do not cure the events of default or obtain necessary waivers within the required time periods, the maturity of some of our debt could be accelerated and our ability to incur additional indebtedness could be restricted. In addition, an event of default or acceleration under certain of our agreements and instruments would trigger cross-default provisions under certain of our other agreements and instruments.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

There have not been any significant changes to the critical accounting policies and estimates described under Part II Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates of our 2012 Form 10-K.

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

For information regarding recently issued accounting pronouncements and the expected impact on our financial statements, see Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in the accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our principal market exposure is to interest rate risk, specifically long-term Treasury and mortgage interest rates due to their impact on mortgage-related assets and commitments. Additionally, our escrow earnings on our mortgage servicing rights and our net investment in variable-rate lease assets are sensitive to changes in short-term interest rates such as LIBOR. We also are exposed to changes in short-term interest rates on certain variable rate borrowings including our mortgage asset-backed debt, vehicle management asset-backed debt and our unsecured revolving credit facility. We anticipate that such interest rates will remain our primary benchmark for market risk for the foreseeable future.

Sensitivity Analysis

We assess our market risk based on changes in interest rates utilizing a sensitivity analysis. The sensitivity analysis measures the potential impact on fair values based on hypothetical changes (increases and decreases) in interest rates.

Table of Contents

We use a duration-based model in determining the impact of interest rate shifts on our debt portfolio, certain other interest-bearing liabilities and interest rate derivatives portfolios. The primary assumption used in these models is that an increase or decrease in the benchmark interest rate produces a parallel shift in the yield curve across all maturities.

We utilize a probability weighted option-adjusted spread model to determine the fair value of mortgage servicing rights and the impact of parallel interest rate shifts on mortgage servicing rights. The primary assumptions in this model are prepayment speeds, option-adjusted spread (discount rate) and weighted-average delinquency rates. However, this analysis ignores the impact of interest rate changes on certain material variables, such as the benefit or detriment on the value of future loan originations, non-parallel shifts in the spread relationships between mortgage-backed securities, swaps and Treasury rates and changes in primary and secondary mortgage market spreads. We rely on market sources in determining the impact of interest rate shifts for mortgage loans, interest rate lock commitments, forward delivery commitments on mortgage-backed securities or whole loans and option contracts. In addition, for interest-rate lock commitments, the borrower's propensity to close their mortgage loans under the commitment is used as a primary assumption.

Our total market risk is influenced by a wide variety of factors including market volatility and the liquidity of the markets. There are certain limitations inherent in the sensitivity analysis presented, including the necessity to conduct the analysis based on a single point in time and the inability to include the complex market reactions that normally would arise from the market shifts modeled.

We used June 30, 2013 market rates to perform the sensitivity analysis. The estimates are based on the market risk sensitive portfolios described in the preceding paragraphs and assume instantaneous, parallel shifts in interest rate yield curves. These sensitivities are hypothetical and presented for illustrative purposes only. Changes in fair value based on variations in assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated because the relationship of the change in fair value may not be linear.

The following table summarizes the estimated change in the fair value of our assets and liabilities sensitive to interest rates as of June 30, 2013 given hypothetical instantaneous parallel shifts in the yield curve:

| | Change in Fair Value | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| | Down 100 bps | Down 50 bps | Down 25 bps | Up 25 bps | Up 50 bps | Up 100 bps |
| | (In millions) | | | | | |
| Mortgage assets and liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | \$ 73 | \$ 42 | \$ 22 | \$ (22) | \$ (44) | \$ (88) |
| Interest rate lock commitments(1) | 137 | 85 | 46 | (50) | (103) | (210) |
| Forward loan sale commitments(1) | (195) | (115) | (60) | 62 | 125 | 249 |
| Option contracts(1) | (23) | (18) | (10) | 10 | 22 | 45 |
| Total Mortgage loans held for sale, interest rate lock commitments and related derivatives | (8) | (6) | (2) | | | (4) |
| Mortgage servicing rights | (271) | (125) | (61) | 58 | 112 | 204 |
| Derivatives related to MSRs(1) | 5 | | | | | |
| Total Mortgage servicing rights and related derivatives | (266) | (125) | (61) | 58 | 112 | 204 |
| Total mortgage assets and liabilities | (274) | (131) | (63) | 58 | 112 | 200 |
| Net investment in fleet leases | 14 | 7 | 4 | (4) | (7) | (14) |
| Interest rate contracts(1) | (1) | | | | 1 | 1 |

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|----|-------|----|-------|----|------|----|----|----|-----|----|-----|
| Debt | | (39) | | (19) | | (10) | | 10 | | 19 | | 38 |
| Total, net | \$ | (300) | \$ | (143) | \$ | (69) | \$ | 64 | \$ | 125 | \$ | 225 |

(1) Included in Other assets or Other liabilities in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-Q, management performed, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. Based on that evaluation, management concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2013.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

For information regarding legal proceedings, see Note 13, "Commitments and Contingencies" in the accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

This Item 1A should be read in conjunction with "Part I Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. Other than with respect to the discussion below, there have been no material changes from the risk factors disclosed in our Form 10-K.

Risks Related to Our Company

Our Mortgage businesses are complex and heavily regulated, and the full impact of regulatory developments to our businesses remains uncertain. In addition, we are subject to litigation, regulatory investigations and inquiries and may incur fines, penalties, and increased costs that could negatively impact our future results of operations or damage our reputation.

Our Mortgage Production and Mortgage Servicing segments are subject to numerous federal, state and local laws and regulations and may be subject to various judicial and administrative decisions imposing various requirements and restrictions on our business. These laws, regulations and judicial and administrative decisions to which our Mortgage Production and Mortgage Servicing segments are subject include those pertaining to: real estate settlement procedures; fair lending; fair credit reporting; truth in lending; compliance with net worth and financial statement delivery requirements; compliance with federal and state disclosure and licensing requirements; the establishment of maximum interest rates, finance charges and other charges; secured transactions; collection, foreclosure, repossession and claims-handling procedures; other trade practices and privacy regulations providing for the use and safeguarding of non-public personal financial information of borrowers and guidance on non-traditional mortgage loans issued by the federal financial regulatory agencies. By agreement with our private-label clients, we are required to comply with additional requirements that our clients may be subject to through their regulators. Our failure to comply with the laws, rules or regulations to which we are subject would expose us to fines, penalties or

Table of Contents

potential litigation liabilities, including costs, settlements and judgments, or may result in the termination of our private-label agreements, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

We are currently subject to inquiries, requests for information, and investigations as a result of our mortgage origination and servicing practices, including inquiries and requests for information from and investigations by regulators and attorneys general of certain states, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York, the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the U.S. House of Representatives, and the U.S. Senate Judiciary Committee. We are also currently subject to an investigation of our mortgage servicing practices by the New Jersey Attorney General, as well as an investigation by the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (the CFPB) of our compliance with the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act and other laws. In addition, we are a party to various legal proceedings, which include private and civil litigation as well as government and regulatory examinations, investigations and inquiries or other requests for information. We have received document subpoenas from the Office of Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York. The HUD subpoenas request production of certain documents related to, among other things, our origination and underwriting process for loans insured by the Federal Housing Administration (FHA). The U.S. Attorney's Office subpoena requests production of certain documents related to, among other things, foreclosure expenses that we incurred in connection with the foreclosure of loans insured or guaranteed by FHA, Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac. These matters are at varying procedural stages and the resolution of any of these matters may result in adverse judgments, fines, penalties, injunctions and other relief against us, payments made in settlement arrangements, as well as monetary payments or other agreements and obligations, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

There has been a heightened focus of regulators on the practices of the mortgage industry, including investigations of lending practices, foreclosure practices, and loss mitigation practices, among other matters. Our mortgage origination and servicing competitors have been subject to actions from, and settlements with, the U.S. Department of Justice under the False Claims Act and other statutes, alleging, among other things, reckless mortgage lending practices and improper or inadequate certification to the government in connection with the Federal Housing Administration's Direct Endorsement Lending Program. There can be no assurance that we will not incur fines, penalties or increased legal costs in connection with document subpoenas or pending investigations or that future regulatory investigations may not arise. The heightened focus of regulators on the practices of the mortgage industry have resulted and could continue to result in new legislation and regulations that could materially and adversely affect the manner in which we conduct our mortgage business and have resulted in increased origination and servicing costs and potential litigation associated with our mortgage businesses.

We are monitoring a number of recent and pending changes to laws and regulations and other financial reform legislation that are expected to impact our Mortgage segments. These developments include but are not limited to: (i) regulations from the Dodd-Frank Act, including the risk-retention requirements and definition of qualified mortgages; (ii) proposed changes to the infrastructures of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac; and (iii) current rules proposed and adopted by the CFPB, including uniform standards for the mortgage servicing industry. Certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act and of pending legislation in the U.S. Congress may impact the operation and practices of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, and could reduce or eliminate the GSE's ability to issue mortgage-backed securities, which would materially and adversely affect our businesses and could require us to fundamentally change our business model since we sell substantially all of our loans pursuant to GSE-sponsored programs. These developments could also result in heightened federal regulation and oversight of our business activities and increase costs and potential litigation associated with our business activities. The full impact these developments may have on our mortgage origination, servicing and securitization or structured finance transactions remains unclear.

Table of Contents

Our Mortgage Production segment is substantially dependent upon certain relationships, including those with Realogy and Merrill Lynch Home Loans, a division of Bank of America, National Association. The termination or non-renewal of our contractual agreements with these clients would materially and adversely impact our mortgage loan originations and resulting Net revenues and Segment profit of our Mortgage Production segment, as well as our overall business and our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

We have relationships with several clients that represent a significant portion of our revenues and mortgage loan originations for our Mortgage Production segment. In particular, of our mortgage loan originations for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, Realogy represented approximately 25% and 22%, respectively, and Merrill Lynch Home Loans, a division of Bank of America, National Association, represented approximately 27% and 21%, respectively. Unless renewed or earlier terminated in accordance with its terms, our agreement with Merrill Lynch Home Loans is scheduled to expire on December 31, 2015.

The loss of any one of our significant clients, whether due to insolvency, their unwillingness or inability to perform their obligations under their respective contractual relationships with us, their termination of their respective contractual relationships with us due to our failure to fully satisfy our contractual obligations, or if we are not able to renew on commercially reasonable terms any of their respective contractual relationships with us, would materially and adversely impact our mortgage loan originations and resulting Net revenues and Segment profit of our Mortgage Production segment as well as our overall business and our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Furthermore, we are currently seeking to amend the terms of our agreements with certain of our private label clients due, in part, to changes in laws and regulations. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in these efforts. Our inability to successfully amend these private label agreements on favorable terms may result in the loss of or damage to our client relationships and could materially and adversely impact our mortgage loan originations and resulting Net revenues and Segment profit of our Mortgage Production segment, as well as our overall business and our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

Information in response to this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the Exhibit Index to this Form 10-Q.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report on Form 10-Q to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on this 1st day of August, 2013.

PHH CORPORATION

By: /s/ Glen A. Messina
Glen A. Messina
President and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Robert B. Crowl
Robert B. Crowl
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

By: /s/ David M. Bricker
David M. Bricker
Senior Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer
(Principal Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents**EXHIBIT INDEX**

| Exhibit No. | Description | Incorporation by Reference |
|--------------------|--|---|
| 3.1 | Articles of Amendment to the Charter of PHH Corporation, dated June 12, 2013. | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 18, 2013. |
| 3.2 | First Amendment to the Amended and Restated By-Laws of PHH Corporation, effective June 12, 2013. | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 18, 2013. |
| 10.1 | Amendment to Credit Agreement dated as of May 10, 2013, by and between PHH Vehicle Management Services, Inc., as borrower, The Bank of Nova Scotia, as agent, and the lenders party thereto. | Filed herewith. |
| 10.2 | Form of Indemnification Agreement | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 18, 2013. |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. | Filed herewith. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. | Filed herewith. |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. | Furnished herewith. |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. | Furnished herewith. |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document | Filed herewith. |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document | Filed herewith. |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document | Filed herewith. |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document | Filed herewith. |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document | Filed herewith. |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document | Filed herewith. |