GUESS INC Form DEF 14A May 27, 2016

QuickLinks -- Click here to rapidly navigate through this document

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant ý

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o

Check the appropriate box:

- o Preliminary Proxy Statement
- o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- ý Definitive Proxy Statement
- o Definitive Additional Materials
- o Soliciting Material under Rule 14a-12

GUESS?, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

ý No fee required.

- o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
- (5) Total fee paid:
- o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - (1) Amount Previously Paid:
 - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
 - (3) Filing Party:
 - (4) Date Filed:

Dear Shareholder:

We are pleased to invite you to the annual meeting of shareholders of Guess?, Inc. to be held on Thursday, June 30, 2016, at 9:00 a.m., local time, at the Beverly Hills Hotel, 9641 Sunset Boulevard, Beverly Hills, California 90210.

At the annual meeting, you will be asked to: (i) elect three directors, (ii) approve the amended and restated Guess?, Inc. Non-Employee Directors' Compensation Plan (the "Director Plan"), (iii) ratify the appointment of the independent auditor for the fiscal year ending January 28, 2017, (iv) if properly presented at the annual meeting, vote on a shareholder proposal regarding shareholder approval of future severance arrangements with senior executives, (v) if properly presented at the annual meeting, vote on a shareholder proposal regarding adoption of a proxy access bylaw for shareholders, and (vi) consider such other business as may properly come before the annual meeting. The enclosed proxy statement more fully describes the details of the business to be conducted at the annual meeting.

Whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting in person, your vote is very important. Accordingly, we hope that you will vote as soon as possible by using the Internet or telephone voting systems, or by completing and mailing the enclosed proxy card.

Thank you for your ongoing support of and continued interest in Guess?, Inc.

Victor Herrero Chief Executive Officer and Director

GUESS?, INC.

1444 South Alameda Street Los Angeles, California 90021

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS To be held on June 30, 2016

Time and Date:	9:00 a.m., local time, on Thursday, June 30, 2016				
Place:	The Beverly Hills Hotel, 9641 Sunset Boulevard, Beverly Hills, California 90210				
Items of Business:	1. To elect three directors for a term of three years and until their respective successors are duly elected and qualified.				
	2. To approve the amended and restated Guess?, Inc. Non-Employee Directors' Compensation Plan.				
	3. To ratify the appointment of the independent auditor for the fiscal year ending January 28, 2017.				
	4. If properly presented at the annual meeting, to vote on a shareholder proposal regarding shareholder approval of future severance arrangements with senior executives.				
	5. If properly presented at the annual meeting, to vote on a shareholder proposal regarding adoption of a proxy access bylaw for shareholders.				
	6. To consider such other business as may properly come before the annual meeting.				
Adjournments and Postponements:	Any action on the items of business described above may be considered at the annual meeting at the time and on the date specified above or at any time and date to which the annual meeting may be properly adjourned or postponed.				
Record Date:	You are entitled to vote at this annual meeting only if you were a Guess?, Inc. shareholder as of the end of business on May 6, 2016.				
Admission:	Please note that space limitations make it necessary to limit attendance to shareholders and one guest. If your shares are held by a broker, bank or other nominee and you wish to attend the annual meeting, you must obtain a letter from the broker, bank or other nominee confirming your beneficial ownership of the shares as of the record date and bring it to the annual meeting. Admission to the annual meeting will be on a first-come, first-served basis. Cameras and recording devices will not be permitted at the annual meeting.				
	The annual meeting will begin promptly at 9:00 a.m., local time. Registration will begin at 8:30 a.m., local time.				
Voting:	Your vote is very important. Whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting, we encourage you to read this proxy statement and submit your proxy as soon as possible. You may submit your proxy for the annual meeting by using the Internet or telephone voting systems or by completing, signing, dating and returning your proxy card in the pre-addressed envelope provided. For specific instructions on how to vote your shares, please refer to the section entitled "Questions and Answers about the Proxy Materials and Annual Meeting" beginning on page 1 of this proxy statement and the instructions on the proxy card.				
BY ORDER OF THE	BOARD OF DIRECTORS,				

Paul Marciano Executive Chairman of the Board and Chief Creative Officer This notice of annual meeting and proxy statement and form of proxy are being distributed on or about May 27, 2016.

GUESS?, INC.

1444 South Alameda Street Los Angeles, California 90021

PROXY STATEMENT FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS To be held on June 30, 2016

This proxy statement (the "Proxy Statement") and the enclosed form of proxy are being furnished commencing on or about May 27, 2016, in connection with the solicitation by the Board of Directors (the "Board of Directors" or the "Board") of Guess?, Inc. (the "Company") of proxies in the enclosed form for use at the 2016 annual meeting of shareholders (the "Annual Meeting") to be held at the Beverly Hills Hotel, 9641 Sunset Boulevard, Beverly Hills, California 90210, on Thursday, June 30, 2016, at 9:00 a.m., local time, and any adjournments or postponements thereof, for the purposes set forth in the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE PROXY MATERIALS AND ANNUAL MEETING

Q: Why am I receiving these materials?

A:

The Board of Directors is providing these proxy materials for you in connection with the Annual Meeting, which will take place on June 30, 2016. As a shareholder as of May 6, 2016, you are invited to attend the Annual Meeting and are entitled to and requested to vote on the items of business described in this Proxy Statement.

Q: What information is contained in this Proxy Statement?

A:

The information included in this Proxy Statement relates to the proposals to be voted on at the Annual Meeting, the voting process, the compensation of directors and most highly paid executive officers, and certain other required information.

Q: How do I obtain the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K?

A:

A copy of the Company's fiscal 2016 Annual Report on Form 10-K is enclosed.

Shareholders may request another free copy of the fiscal 2016 Annual Report on Form 10-K from:

Guess?, Inc. Attn: Investor Relations 1444 South Alameda Street Los Angeles, California 90021 (213) 765-5578 http://investors.guess.com

The Company will also furnish any exhibit to the fiscal 2016 Annual Report on Form 10-K if specifically requested.

Q: What may I vote on by proxy?

A:

(1) The election of three nominees to serve on the Board;

(2)

- The approval of the amended and restated Guess?, Inc. Non-Employee Directors' Compensation Plan (the "Director Plan");
- (3) The ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the independent auditor of the Company for the fiscal year ending January 28, 2017 ("fiscal 2017");

(4)

If properly presented at the Annual Meeting, a shareholder proposal regarding shareholder approval of future severance arrangements with senior executives; and

(5)

If properly presented at the Annual Meeting, a shareholder proposal regarding adoption of a proxy access bylaw for shareholders.

For a shareholder proposal to be properly presented at the Annual Meeting, the shareholder that submitted the proposal (or a qualified representative of that shareholder) must appear at the Annual Meeting to present the proposal. Pursuant to the bylaws of the Company (the "Bylaws"), the chairperson of the Annual Meeting will determine whether any business proposed to be transacted by the shareholders has been properly brought before the Annual Meeting and, if the chairperson should determine it has not been properly brought before the meeting, the business will not be presented for shareholder action at the meeting, even if we have received proxies in respect of the vote on such matter.

We will also consider other business that properly comes before the Annual Meeting.

Q: How does the Board recommend I vote on the proposals?

A:

The Board recommends that you vote your shares:

- (1)
- FOR the election of the three nominees to serve on the Board;

(2)

FOR the approval of the amended and restated Guess?, Inc. Non-Employee Directors' Compensation Plan (the "Director Plan");

(3)

FOR the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the independent auditor of the Company for fiscal 2017;

(4)

AGAINST the shareholder proposal regarding shareholder approval of future severance arrangements with senior executives; and

(5)

AGAINST the shareholder proposal regarding adoption of a proxy access bylaw for shareholders.

Unless instructed to the contrary in the proxy, the shares represented by the proxies will be voted as recommended by the Board.

Q: Who is entitled to vote?

A:

Shareholders as of the close of business on May 6, 2016 (the "Record Date") are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting.

Q: How many shares can vote?

A:

As of the Record Date, 84,325,296 shares of common stock (the "Common Stock") of the Company, the only voting securities of the Company, were issued and outstanding. Every shareholder of Common Stock is entitled to one vote for each share held.

Q: How do I vote?

A:

You are eligible to vote at the Annual Meeting using one of four methods:

Voting by Internet. To vote via the Internet, use the website indicated on the enclosed proxy card;

Voting by Telephone. To vote by telephone, call the toll-free number on the enclosed proxy card;

Voting by Mail. To vote by mail, simply mark the enclosed proxy card, date and sign it, and return it in the postage-paid envelope provided; or

Voting in Person. To vote in person, you must attend the Annual Meeting and follow the procedures for voting announced at the Annual Meeting. Please note that if your shares are held by a broker or other nominee you must present a legal proxy from such broker or nominee in order to be able to vote at the Annual Meeting.

The Internet and telephone voting procedures are designed to authenticate your identity, to allow you to vote your shares and to confirm that your voting instructions have been properly recorded. Specific instructions are set forth on the enclosed proxy card. In order to be timely processed, an Internet or telephone vote must be received by 1:00 a.m. Eastern Time on June 30, 2016. Regardless of the method you choose, your vote is important. Please vote by following the specific instructions on your proxy card. All proxies will be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Delaware and applicable federal securities laws.

You have the right to revoke your proxy at any time before the Annual Meeting by:

Notifying the Corporate Secretary of the Company in writing;

Returning a later-dated proxy card;

Entering a later-dated Internet or telephone vote; or

Voting in person. Attendance at the Annual Meeting will not revoke a proxy unless you actually vote in person at the meeting.

Q: What if my shares are held in "street name?"

A:

If your shares are held in a stock brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee, you are considered the beneficial owner of shares held in street name, and these proxy materials are being forwarded to you by your broker or nominee which is considered, with respect to those shares, the shareholder of record. As the beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker how to vote and are also invited to attend the Annual Meeting. However, since you are not the shareholder of record, you may not vote these shares in person at the Annual Meeting unless you obtain a signed proxy from the record holder giving you the right to vote these shares. Your broker or nominee has enclosed or provided a voting instruction card for you to use in directing the broker or nominee how to vote your shares.

Q: What shares are included on the proxy card(s)?

A:

The shares on your proxy card(s) represent ALL of your shares. If you do not return your proxy card(s) or vote by Internet, telephone or in person at the Annual Meeting, your shares will not be voted.

Q: What does it mean if I get more than one proxy card?

A:

If your shares are registered differently and are in more than one account, you will receive more than one proxy card. If you intend to vote by return mail, sign and return all proxy cards to ensure that all your shares are voted. We encourage you to have all accounts registered in the same name and address (whenever possible). You can accomplish this by contacting our transfer agent:

Computershare P.O. Box 30170 College Station, TX 77842-3170 (877) 282-1168 or (781) 575-4593 www.computershare.com/investor

Q: How may I obtain a separate set of voting materials?

A:

If you share an address with another shareholder, you may receive only one set of proxy materials (including our fiscal 2016 Annual Report on Form 10-K and this Proxy Statement) unless you have provided contrary instructions. If you wish to receive a separate set of proxy materials now or in the future, you may write or call us to request a separate copy of these materials at:

Guess?, Inc. Attn: Investor Relations 1444 South Alameda Street Los Angeles, California 90021 (213) 765-5578

Similarly, if you share an address with another shareholder and have received multiple copies of our proxy materials, you may write or call us at the above address and phone number to request delivery of a single copy of these materials in the future.

Q: What is a "quorum?"

A:

A "quorum" is a majority of the outstanding shares entitled to vote. They may be present at the Annual Meeting or represented by proxy. A quorum must have been established in order to consider any matter at the Annual Meeting.

Q: What is required to approve each proposal?

A:

The three candidates for director receiving the most votes will be elected directors of the Company. Shareholders may not cumulate their votes. All other proposals require the affirmative "for" vote of a majority of those shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote on those proposals at the Annual Meeting.

Please note, however, that the vote to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP, as well as the vote on the two shareholder proposals, will be advisory only and will not be binding. The results of the votes on these proposals will be taken into consideration by the Company, our Board or the appropriate committee of our Board, as applicable, when making future decisions regarding these matters.

A properly executed proxy marked "Abstain" with respect to any proposal will not be voted, although it will be counted for purposes of determining whether there is a quorum. Because abstentions represent shares entitled to vote, the effect of an abstention will be the same as a vote against a proposal. However, abstentions will have no effect on the election of directors.

Q: What is the impact of not casting your vote if you hold shares beneficially in street name?

A:

If you hold your shares in street name and you do not provide your broker with specific voting instructions, your broker may vote your shares only with respect to certain matters considered routine. The uncontested election of directors, the proposal to approve the amended and restated Guess?, Inc. Non-Employee Directors' Compensation Plan (the "Director Plan"), the shareholder proposal regarding shareholder approval of future severance arrangements with senior executives and the shareholder proposal regarding adoption of a proxy access bylaw for shareholders are not considered routine matters. Therefore, if you hold your shares in street name and you do not instruct your broker how to vote with respect to the election of directors (Proposal No. 1), the proposal to approve the Director Plan (Proposal No. 2), the shareholder proposal regarding adoption of a proxy access bylaw for shareholder proposal regarding adoption of a proxy access bylaw. A shareholder proposal regarding shareholder approval of future severance arrangements with senior executives (Proposal No. 2), the shareholder proposal regarding adoption of a proxy access bylaw for shareholder proposal regarding adoption of a proxy access bylaw for shareholder proposal regarding adoption of a proxy access bylaw for shareholders (Proposal No. 5), no votes will be cast on your behalf for these matters. These "broker non-votes" will be treated as shares that are present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining the

presence of a quorum, but not as shares entitled to vote on a particular proposal. Your broker is expected to have discretion to vote any uninstructed shares on the proposal to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent auditor (Proposal No. 3).

Your broker will provide you with directions on voting your shares, and you should instruct your broker to vote your shares according to those instructions.

Q: How will voting on any other business be conducted?

A:

Although we do not know of any business to be considered at the Annual Meeting other than the proposals described in this Proxy Statement, if any other business is presented at the Annual Meeting, your signed proxy card will give authority to each of Sandeep Reddy, our Chief Financial Officer, and Jason T. Miller, our General Counsel and Secretary, to vote on such matters at their discretion.

Q: What is the deadline to propose actions for consideration at next year's annual meeting of shareholders or to nominate individuals to serve as directors?

A:

You may submit proposals, including director nominations, for consideration at future shareholder meetings as follows:

Shareholder Proposals: For a shareholder proposal to be considered for inclusion in the Company's proxy statement for the annual meeting next year, the written proposal must be received by the Corporate Secretary of the Company at our principal executive offices no later than January 27, 2017. If the date of next year's annual meeting is moved more than 30 days before or after the anniversary date of the Annual Meeting, the deadline for inclusion of proposals in our proxy statement is instead a reasonable time before we begin to print and mail our proxy materials. Such proposals also will need to comply with Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") regulations under Rule 14a-8 regarding the inclusion of shareholder proposals in company-sponsored proxy materials. Proposals should be addressed to:

Guess?, Inc. Attn: Corporate Secretary 1444 South Alameda Street Los Angeles, California 90021

For a shareholder proposal that is not intended to be included in the Company's proxy statement under Rule 14a-8, the shareholder must deliver a proxy statement and form of proxy to holders of a sufficient number of shares of Common Stock to approve that proposal, provide the information required by the Bylaws and give timely notice to the Corporate Secretary of the Company in accordance with the Bylaws, which, in general, require that the notice be received by the Corporate Secretary of the Company:

Not earlier than April 1, 2017, and

Not later than the close of business on May 1, 2017.

If the date of next year's annual meeting is moved more than 30 days before or after the anniversary date of the Annual Meeting, then notice of a shareholder proposal that is not intended to be included in the Company's proxy statement under Rule 14a-8 must be received no later than the close of business on the tenth day following the day on which notice of the date of such annual meeting is mailed to the shareholders or the date on which public disclosure of the date of such annual meeting is made, whichever is first.

Nomination of Director Candidates: You may propose director candidates for consideration by the Board's Nominating and Governance Committee in accordance with the procedures set forth

in the Bylaws, as summarized under the caption "Corporate Governance and Board Matters Consideration of Director Nominees Shareholder Nominees" herein.

Copy of Bylaw Provisions: You may contact the Company's Corporate Secretary at our principal executive offices for a copy of the relevant Bylaw provisions regarding the requirements for making shareholder proposals and nominating director candidates. The Bylaws also are available on the Company's website at *http://investors.guess.com*.

Q: How is the Company soliciting proxies for the Annual Meeting?

A:

This solicitation is made by mail on behalf of the Board of Directors. Costs of the solicitation will be borne by the Company. Further solicitation of proxies may be made by mail, telephone, facsimile, electronic mail or personal interview by the directors, officers and employees of the Company and its affiliates (none of whom will receive additional compensation for the solicitation) or from other third party proxy solicitors (in exchange for customary fees for such services). The Company will reimburse banks, brokerage firms and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for reasonable expenses incurred by them in sending proxy materials to shareholders. We may incur other expenses in connection with the solicitation of proxies for the Annual Meeting.

Q: How can I find the voting results of the Annual Meeting?

A:

We intend to announce preliminary voting results at the Annual Meeting and publish preliminary and/or final voting results (as available) in a Current Report on Form 8-K within four business days following the Annual Meeting.

Q: How may I communicate with the Company's Board or the non-management directors on the Company's Board?

A:

You may communicate with the Board by submitting an e-mail to the Company's Board at *bod@guess.com*. All directors have access to this e-mail address. Communications from shareholders or any other interested parties that are intended specifically for non-management directors should be sent to the e-mail address above to the attention of the Lead Independent Director.

Q: What is the Company's fiscal year?

A:

The Company's fiscal year is the 52- or 53-week period that ends on the Saturday nearest to January 31 of each year. Unless otherwise stated, all information presented in this Proxy Statement is based on the Company's fiscal calendar.

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING TO BE HELD ON JUNE 30, 2016

This Proxy Statement and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year Ended January 30, 2016 are available at *www.edocumentview.com/ges.*

PROPOSAL NO. 1: ELECTION OF THREE DIRECTORS (Item 1 on Proxy Card)

Pursuant to the Company's Restated Certificate of Incorporation, the Board of Directors is divided into three classes of directors serving staggered three-year terms (Classes I, II and III), with each class to be as nearly equal in number as possible. The Bylaws authorize a Board of Directors consisting of not less than three or more than fifteen directors. The Board of Directors currently consists of eight members, of whom Gianluca Bolla and Maurice Marciano are Class I directors; Anthony Chidoni, Joseph Gromek and Paul Marciano are Class II directors; and Victor Herrero, Kay Isaacson-Leibowitz and Alex Yemenidjian are Class III directors. The terms for the Class II directors are scheduled to expire at the Annual Meeting.

The Board has nominated each of the current Class II directors, Anthony Chidoni, Joseph Gromek and Paul Marciano, for re-election at the Annual Meeting, to serve for three-year terms to expire at the 2019 annual meeting and until their respective successors shall have been elected and qualified.

Mr. Paul Marciano is the Company's Executive Chairman of the Board and Chief Creative Officer. Messrs. Chidoni and Gromek are not employed by or otherwise affiliated with the Company, except in their capacity as directors. Each of the nominees has consented to being named in this Proxy Statement and has agreed to serve as a member of the Board of Directors if elected. Information regarding the nominees and the continuing directors whose terms expire in 2017 and 2018 is set forth under the heading "Directors and Executive Officers" herein.

The nominees will be elected by a plurality of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting. Shareholders may not cumulate their votes. If any of the nominees are unable to serve, which is not anticipated, the persons named as proxies intend to vote for such other person or persons as the Board of Directors may designate. In no event will the shares represented by the proxies be voted for more than three directors at the Annual Meeting.

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR the election of each of the three nominees.

PROPOSAL NO. 2: APPROVAL OF THE AMENDMENT AND RESTATEMENT OF THE GUESS?, INC. NON-EMPLOYEE DIRECTORS' COMPENSATION PLAN (Item 2 on Proxy Card)

Shareholders are being asked to approve the amended and restated Guess?, Inc. Non-Employee Directors' Compensation Plan (formerly, the Guess?, Inc. 2006 Non-Employee Directors' Stock Grant and Stock Option Plan and referred to in this Proxy Statement as the "Director Plan"), which was adopted, subject to shareholder approval, by the Board of Directors on May 20, 2016. The Director Plan includes the following amendments:

Extension of Plan Term. The Company's authority to grant new awards under the Director Plan, as previously in effect, expired on May 9, 2016. The proposed amended and restated Director Plan would reinstate the Company's ability to grant new awards under the plan until June 30, 2026.

Decrease in Aggregate Share Limit. The Director Plan currently limits the aggregate number of shares of Common Stock that may be delivered pursuant to all awards granted under the Director Plan to 2,000,000 shares. The proposed amended and restated Director Plan would <u>decrease</u> the aggregate number of shares of Common Stock available for award grants under the Director Plan by 150,000 shares so that the new aggregate share limit for the Director Plan would be 1,850,000 shares.

Flexibility to Structure Compensation Arrangements. The proposed amended and restated Director Plan would provide the Board more flexibility to structure compensation arrangements for members of our Board of Directors who are not employed by the Company or one of our subsidiaries (referred to in this proposal as "non-employee directors"). Under the Director Plan as in effect at the start of this fiscal year, each non-employee director then in office was granted an award of restricted stock or restricted stock units with a grant date fair value of \$180,000. The amended and restated Director Plan would, as described in more detail below, give the Board flexibility to change the amount, timing, and other terms of these awards within certain limits discussed below. The amended and restated Director Plan also authorizes the Board to structure cash compensation arrangements for non-employee directors under the Director Plan within certain annual limits discussed below.

As of May 6, 2016, a total of 23,762 shares of Common Stock were then subject to outstanding stock options granted under the Director Plan, 59,832 shares of Common Stock were then subject to outstanding restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards granted under the Director Plan, and an additional 708,877 shares of Common Stock were then available for new award grants under the Director Plan. Stock option awards were granted under the Director Plan previously in effect. The current Director Plan does not authorize stock option grants.

The Company believes that awards of Common Stock or with a value directly linked to the value of our Common Stock (such as restricted stock units) are an important component of our compensation arrangements for our non-employee directors to help further align their interests with those of our shareholders and are consistent with competitive practices. As noted above, our authority to grant new awards under the Director Plan expired as of May 9, 2016. Our Board of Directors believes that approval of the proposed amended and restated Director Plan is in the best interests of shareholders to allow us to continue to grant equity-based awards to our non-employee directors in the future.

Shareholders are <u>not</u> being asked to increase the number of shares of Common Stock available for award grants under the Director Plan. Instead, as noted above, the proposed amended and restated Director Plan actually decreases the number of shares available under the plan from the limit previously in effect.

Summary Description of the Director Plan

The principal terms of the proposed amended and restated Director Plan are summarized below. The following summary is qualified in its entirety by the full text of the proposed amended and restated Director Plan, which is attached as *Appendix A* to this Proxy Statement.

Purpose. The purpose of the Director Plan is to enable the Company to attract and retain non-employee directors and to compensate them for their service on our Board of Directors and committees of our Board of Directors.

Eligibility. Individuals who are members of the Company's Board of Directors and who are not also employed by the Company or one of our subsidiaries are eligible to participate in the Director Plan. As of the date of this Proxy Statement, there were six non-employee directors on our Board of Directors.

Administration. The Director Plan is administered by our Board of Directors. The Board's administrative authority (with the express limits of the Director Plan) includes, for example, the authority to:

establish the terms and conditions of awards granted and to be granted under the Director Plan;

establish the terms and conditions of any cash compensation to be paid under the Director Plan;

adopt, revise and repeal administrative rules, guidelines and practices governing the Director Plan; and

interpret the terms and provisions of the Director Plan and any award issued thereunder and any award agreements relating thereto, and otherwise settle all claims and disputes arising under the Director Plan.

Our Board of Directors may delegate its authority under the Director Plan to a committee of the Board, in which case references to the authority of our Board of Directors under the Director Plan also include the references to any such committee acting within the authority delegated to it.

Shares Authorized. The maximum number of shares of Common Stock that may be issued or delivered under the Director Plan is 2,000,000. As of May 6, 2016, 708,877 shares of Common Stock remained available for grant under the Director Plan. If shareholders approve this Director Plan proposal, the number of shares of Common Stock that may be issued or transferred pursuant to awards under the Director Plan will be decreased by 150,000 shares, so that the new aggregate share limit for the Director Plan will be 1,850,000 shares (reducing the number of shares available for new award grants from 708,877 to 558,877 as of May 6, 2016).

Shares subject to awards that are not exercised, that fail to vest, or that expire or are cancelled will again become available for regrant and award purposes under the Director Plan. If grants contemplated would exceed the authorized plan limit, no additional awards will be granted in excess of the plan limit unless the Director Plan is amended (subject to shareholder approval) or additional shares of Common Stock become available for further awards under the Director Plan. Shares that are exchanged by a participant or withheld by the Company as full or partial payment in connection with any award under the Director Plan, as well as any shares exchanged by a participant or withheld by the Company or one of its subsidiaries to satisfy the tax withholding obligations related to any award under the Director Plan, will not be available for subsequent awards under the Director Plan.

Types of Awards. The Director Plan provides for the annual grant of restricted stock (including, as described below, restricted stock unit) awards to the non-employee directors. On the first business day of each of the Company's fiscal years during the term of the Director Plan (referred to as an "eligibility date"), each non-employee director who (unless otherwise determined by the Board) has not

been an employee of the Company at any time during the immediately preceding 12 months will automatically be granted an award of a number of restricted shares (or restricted stock units for non-U.S. residents) equal in value on the grant date to a specified dollar amount (currently, \$180,000). The number of restricted shares or restricted stock units awarded is determined by dividing the applicable dollar amount by the closing price of a share of Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the date of grant (or, if the date of grant was not a trading day, on the next preceding day on which the Common Stock was traded). Non-employee directors are entitled to voting and dividend rights with respect to the restricted shares subject to restricted stock awards. Non-employee directors are not entitled to voting or other rights of a stockholder with respect to restricted stock unit awards, but the non-employee directors may be entitled to dividend equivalents with respect to restricted stock unit awards.

Subject to continued service, each restricted stock or restricted stock unit award granted under the Director Plan becomes vested and non-forfeitable as to 100% of the shares subject to such award on the first to occur of (i) the first year anniversary of the date of grant or (ii) a termination of service if the non-employee director has completed a full term of service and he or she does not stand for re-election at the completion of such term.

The Board has the authority to change the timing of the award grants under the Director Plan, the grant date dollar value of the annual awards, and the vesting provisions (including providing that an award will be vested immediately after grant), from time to time, as well as the authority to provide that award grants under the Director Plan will be made in the form of restricted stock units that will be payable upon vesting in shares of Common Stock. However, the aggregate fair market value (determined as of the applicable date(s) of grant) of shares of Common Stock subject to restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards granted under the Director Plan to any one individual in any one calendar year will not exceed \$275,000. The limit of this preceding sentence does not apply to, and will be determined without taking into account, any award granted under any other equity incentive plan or authority of the Company or any of its subsidiaries to an individual who, on the grant date of the award, served as an officer, employee or consultant of the Company or one of its subsidiaries (provided that the award was granted to such individual in his or her capacity as an officer, employee or consultant). The dollar limit discussed in this paragraph will apply on an individual basis and not on an aggregate basis to all non-employee directors as a group.

Each restricted stock and restricted stock unit award granted under the Director Plan is evidenced by an award agreement that sets forth the specific terms and conditions of the restricted stock or restricted stock unit award, as applicable, the terms of which are not to be inconsistent with the terms of the Director Plan.

Our Board of Directors is also authorized under the Director Plan to structure cash compensation arrangements for non-employee directors for their service on our Board of Directors and committees of our Board of Directors. Such compensation arrangements may include, without limitation, Board retainers, retainers for service on committees of the Board, meeting fees, fees for service as a chair of the Board or a committee of the Board, and fees for service as a lead independent director. Our Board of Directors has the authority to determine the terms and conditions of those cash compensation arrangements from time to time. However, the aggregate cash compensation paid to a non-employee director in any one fiscal year of the Company under the authority of the Director Plan will not exceed \$125,000. The limit of the preceding sentence does not apply to, and will be determined without taking into account, the following: (1) any compensation or benefits granted, provided, paid or payable under any other plan or authority of the Company or any of its subsidiaries, (2) any compensation or benefits granted, provided, paid or payable for service to the Company or any of its subsidiaries in any other capacity (such as, without limitation, as an officer, employee, or consultant), and (3) any amount payable with respect to any period prior to the Company's fiscal year 2017. Furthermore, the limit

discussed in this paragraph applies on an individual basis and not on an aggregate basis to all non-employee directors as a group.

Transferability Restrictions. Prior to the time that they have become vested, rights and benefits under restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards granted under the Director Plan may not be sold, assigned, transferred, pledged or otherwise disposed of, alienated or encumbered, either voluntarily or involuntarily, other than with respect to transfers to the Company, the designation of a beneficiary to receive benefits in the event of the non-employee director's death (or, if the director has died, transfers to the director's beneficiary), or transfers by will or the laws of descent and distribution.

Changes in Capital Structure. As is customary in incentive plans of this nature, in the event of certain changes to the outstanding shares of Common Stock by reason of a stock dividend, recapitalization, reorganization, merger, consolidation, stock split, reverse stock split, combination or exchange of shares, or an extraordinary dividend, the Board may make (i) proportionate adjustments as may be necessary (in the form determined by the Board in its sole discretion) to prevent dilution or enlargement of the rights of participants under the Director Plan with respect to the aggregate number of shares of Common Stock for which awards may be granted under the plan, the number of shares of Common Stock covered by each outstanding award, and the exercise price of each outstanding option and (ii) such other adjustments, consistent with the foregoing, as the Board deems appropriate in its sole discretion.

In the event of a "change in control" of the Company (as defined in the Director Plan), all restricted shares and restricted stock units then outstanding will vest 100% free of restrictions as of the date of the change in control. Unless otherwise determined by the Board, if a non-employee director's service as a director terminates for any reason, any restricted shares or restricted stock units that are not fully vested and free from restriction as of the director's termination of service will automatically be forfeited and returned to the Company.

No Limit on Other Authority. The Director Plan does not limit the authority of the Board of Directors or any committee to grant awards or authorize any other compensation (subject to shareholder approval, if such approval is required), with or without reference to Common Stock, under any other plan or authority. Without limiting the generality of the preceding sentence, nothing in the Director Plan limits the authority conferred under any other equity incentive plan of the Company.

Termination of or Changes to the Director Plan. The authority to grant new awards under the Director Plan terminated effective as of May 9, 2016, which was the ten-year anniversary of the date the Company's shareholders last approved the Director Plan. However, the proposed amended and restated Director Plan would reinstate the Company's ability to grant new awards under the Director Plan through June 30, 2026 (subject to earlier termination of the plan by action of the Board).

The Board may amend, alter, suspend or terminate the Director Plan in whole or in part at any time and from time to time. Shareholder approval for an amendment to the Director Plan will be required only to the extent required by applicable law, provided that shareholder approval will be required for any amendment that would increase the plan's share limit (other than pursuant to an adjustment as described above under "Changes in Capital Structure") or the annual limits on awards or cash compensation that may be granted to any one participant under the Director Plan. The Board may amend the terms of any award granted under the Director Plan, except that participant consent will be required for any amendment that impairs the rights of such participant.

U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences of Awards under the Director Plan

The U.S. federal income tax consequences of the Director Plan under current federal law, which is subject to change, are summarized in the following discussion. This summary is not intended to be exhaustive and, among other considerations, does not describe the deferred compensation provisions of



Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code to the extent an award is subject to and does not satisfy those rules, nor does it describe state, local, or international tax consequences.

With respect to restricted stock awards, restricted stock is taxed as income at the time the restrictions lapse (although participants may elect earlier taxation and convert future gains to capital gains) in an amount equal to the fair market value of the Common Stock at the time the restrictions lapse and the Company will generally have a corresponding deduction at the time the participant recognizes income. With respect to restricted stock unit awards, restricted stock units are taxed when shares of Common Stock are delivered in payment of vested stock units and the Company will generally have a corresponding deduction at the time the participant recognizes income. Cash compensation is generally taxed when paid and the Company will generally have a corresponding deduction at the time the participant recognizes income.

If an award is accelerated under the Director Plan in connection with a "change in control" (as this term is used under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code), the Company may not be permitted to deduct the portion of the compensation attributable to the acceleration ("parachute payments") if it exceeds certain threshold limits under the Internal Revenue Code (and certain related excise taxes may be triggered).

Specific Benefits under the Director Plan

The Company has not approved any awards that are conditioned upon shareholder approval of this Director Plan proposal. We are not currently considering any other specific awards grants under the Director Plan except for the annual grants of restricted stock (or restricted stock unit) awards to the non-employee directors described above under "Summary Description of the Director Plan Types of Awards." Under the Director Plan, these annual grants are currently determined by dividing \$180,000 by the closing price of a share of Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the date of grant (or, if the date of grant was not a trading day, on the next preceding day on which the Common Stock was traded) as described above. Assuming, for illustrative purposes only, that the price of the Common Stock used for the conversion of the applicable dollar amount set forth above into shares (or units) was \$16.90 (the closing price of a share of the Common Stock on May 6, 2016), the number of shares (or units) that would be granted to a non-employee director each year would be 10,650 and the total number of shares (or units) granted under the Director Plan for fiscal years 2017 through 2026 (the ten-year term of the plan if shareholders approve this Director Plan proposal), assuming the Company continues to have six non-employee directors, is approximately 639,000. This calculation also assumes that there are no new eligible non-employee director Plan. As discussed above, officers and employees of the Company are not eligible for grants under the Director Plan. Under these assumptions, since the proposed decrease in the aggregate share limit under the Director Plan reduces the number of shares available for new award grants from 708,877 to 558,877, the Company would have enough capacity under the Director Plan to continue to grant equity awards for approximately eight years.

If the proposed amended and restated Director Plan had been in effect in fiscal 2016, the Company expects that its award grants for fiscal 2016 would not have been different from those actually made in that year under the Director Plan. For information regarding past awards under the Director Plan, see the "Aggregate Past Grants Under the Director Plan" table and the "Director Compensation" section below.

Potential Dilution

The following paragraphs include additional information to help shareholders assess the potential dilutive impact of the Company's equity awards and the proposed amended and restated Director Plan.



The other equity compensation plans currently maintained by the Company are the Guess?, Inc. 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2004 Equity Incentive Plan") and the Company's 2002 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the "ESPP").

Information about the total number of shares of Common Stock subject to outstanding awards and available for grant under the Director Plan is presented above.

The following table shows the total number of shares of Common Stock that were (i) subject to outstanding restricted stock unit and restricted stock awards with only time-based vesting requirements, (ii) subject to outstanding performance-based vesting restricted stock unit awards, (iii) subject to outstanding stock options, and (iv) then available for new award grants, in each case in the aggregate taking both the Director Plan and the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan into account as of January 30, 2016 and as of May 6, 2016.

	As of January 30, 2016	As of May 6, 2016
Shares subject to outstanding restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards (excluding those with		
performance-based vesting conditions)	1,221,665	1,375,099
Shares subject to outstanding restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards with performance-based		
vesting conditions (at the targeted level of performance)	183,368	786,184
Shares subject to outstanding stock options	2,778,244	3,213,994
Shares available for new award grants (amount for May 6, 2016 assumes shareholder approval of this		

5,712,915 4,120,061

The total number of shares of Common Stock subject to restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards granted under the Director Plan over each of the last three fiscal years, and to-date (as of May 6, 2016) for fiscal 2017, is: 41,616 in fiscal 2014, 33,295 in fiscal 2015, 59,238 in fiscal 2016, and 59,832 in fiscal 2017 through May 6, 2016. No stock options were granted under the Director Plan in any of these years. The total number of shares of Common Stock subject to awards that the Company granted, taking into account both the Director Plan and the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan, over each of the last three fiscal years, and to-date (as of May 6, 2016) for fiscal 2017, is as follows:

proposal)

1,361,850 shares in fiscal 2014 (of which 583,500 shares were subject to stock option awards, 534,650 shares were subject to restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards (excluding performance-based vesting awards), and 243,700 shares were subject to restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards with performance-based vesting conditions (at the targeted level of performance);

1,055,300 shares in fiscal 2015 (of which 456,950 shares were subject to stock option awards, 338,650 shares were subject to restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards (excluding performance-based vesting awards), and 259,700 shares were subject to restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards with performance-based vesting conditions (at the targeted level of performance);

2,545,372 shares in fiscal 2016 (of which 1,288,400 shares were subject to stock option awards, 647,738 shares were subject to restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards (excluding performance-based vesting awards), and 609,234 shares were subject to restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards with performance-based vesting conditions (at the targeted level of performance); and

1,752,698 shares in fiscal 2017 through May 6, 2016 (of which 634,550 shares were subject to stock option awards, 515,332 shares were subject to restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards (excluding performance-based vesting awards), and 602,816 shares were subject to restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards with performance-based vesting conditions (at the targeted level of performance).

If shareholders approve this proposal to amend and restate the Director Plan, the Board anticipates that the shares of Common Stock available for new award grants under the Director Plan (after giving effect to the reduction in Director Plan share limit discussed above) will provide the Company with flexibility to continue to grant equity awards under the Director Plan for approximately eight years. However, this is only an estimate, in the Company's judgment, based on current circumstances. The total number of shares that are awarded under the Director Plan in any one year or from year-to-year may change based on any number of variables, including, without limitation, the value of Common Stock (since higher stock prices generally require that fewer shares be issued to produce awards of the same grant date fair value), changes in competitors' compensation practices or changes in compensation practices in the market generally with respect to director compensation, changes in the number of our directors, the need to attract and retain directors, the number of shares that become available for new award grants pursuant to the terms of the plan (for example, as a result of award forfeitures), and how the Company chooses to balance total compensation for directors between cash and equity-based awards.

To help assess the potential dilutive impact of the Director Plan proposal, the weighted average number of shares of Common Stock issued and outstanding in each of the last three fiscal years is 84,521,589 shares issued and outstanding in fiscal 2014, 84,836,614 shares issued and outstanding in fiscal 2015, and 84,524,950 shares issued and outstanding in fiscal 2016. The number of shares of Common Stock issued and outstanding as of May 6, 2016 was 84,325,296 shares. For these purposes, issued and outstanding shares include unvested restricted shares of Common Stock awarded by the Company and outstanding as of the applicable date. The closing market price for a share of Common Stock as of May 6, 2016 was \$16.90 per share.

As of January 30, 2016, 3,039,269 shares of Common Stock were available for issuance under the ESPP.

Aggregate Past Grants under the Director Plan

As of May 6, 2016, awards covering 1,662,922 shares of Common Stock had been granted under the Director Plan. (This number of shares includes all shares subject to awards before giving effect to forfeitures.) The following table shows information regarding the distribution of those awards among

the persons and groups identified below, option exercises and restricted stock vesting prior to, and option and unvested restricted stock holdings as of, that date.

	STOCK OPTIONS		RESTRICTED STOC		K/UNITS Number of	
	Number of Shares Subject to Past Option	Number of Shares Acquired on	Number of Shares Underlying Options as of May 6, 2016	Subject to	Number of Shares/Units Vested as of	May 6,
Name and Position Named Executive Officers:	Grants	Exercise	Exercisablenexercisa	blePast Grants	May 6, 2016	2016
Victor Herrero, Chief Executive Officer and Director Paul Marciano, Executive Chairman of the Board and						
Chief Creative Officer						
Sandeep Reddy, Chief Financial Officer						
Michael Relich, Former Chief Operating Officer						
Total for All Current Executive Officers (3 persons,						
including the Named Executive Officers)(1):						
Non-Executive Director Group(2):						
Gianluca Bolla				43,775	33,803	9,972
Anthony Chidoni	170,176	164,516	5,660	93,336	83,364	9,972
Joseph Gromek				19,845	9,873	9,972
Kay Isaacson-Leibowitz	12,942	500	12,442	69,822	59,850	9,972
Maurice Marciano				33,440	23,468	9,972
Alex Yemenidjian	44,660	39,000	5,660	81,336	71,364	9,972
Total for Non-Executive Director Group						
(6 persons):	227,778	204,016	23,762	341,554	281,722	59,832
Each other person who has received 5% or more of						
the options, warrants or rights under the Director						
Plan						
All employees, including all current officers who						
are not executive officers or directors, as a group						
All former directors	908,183	543,427		185,407	179,407	
Total	1,135,961	747,443	23,762	526,961	461,129	59,832
			-			

(1)

This number includes all of the Named Executive Officers other than Michael Relich, who is no longer an executive officer of the Company.

(2)

Anthony Chidoni, Joseph Gromek and Paul Marciano are nominees for re-election at the Annual Meeting.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table sets forth, for each of the Company's equity compensation plans, the number of shares of Common Stock subject to outstanding options and restricted stock unit awards, the

weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, and the number of shares remaining available for future award grants, in each case, as of January 30, 2016.

Plan Category	Number of Shares of Common Stock to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (a)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (\$) (b)	Number of Shares of Common Stock Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (excluding shares reflected in Column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by	(a)	(0)	(0)
	2 722 025(1)	26 (0216/2)	0.750.104/2
shareholders	3,733,035(1)	26.0216(2)	8,752,184(3)
Equity compensation plans not approved by shareholders			
Total	3,733,035	26.0216	8,752,184

(1)

Of these shares, 2,778,244 shares were subject to outstanding stock options and 954,791 shares were subject to outstanding restricted stock units. This number does not include 450,242 shares that were subject to then-outstanding, but unvested, restricted stock awards.

(2)

This weighted-average exercise price does not reflect the 954,791 shares that will be issued upon the vesting of outstanding restricted stock units.

(3)

Of these shares, (i) 4,944,490 shares were available at January 30, 2016 for future issuance under stock options, SARs, restricted stock awards, stock units, performance share awards or performance units under the Company's 2004 Equity Incentive Plan, (ii) no shares were available for future issuance under the Company's 1996 Equity Incentive Plan, (iii) 3,039,269 shares were available at January 30, 2016 for future issuance pursuant to the Company's 2002 Employee Stock Purchase Plan and (iv) 768,425 shares were available at January 30, 2016 for future issuance under restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards under the Director Plan. This table does not reflect (a) the expiration of the authority to grant new awards under the Director Plan on May 9, 2016, or (b) the reduction in the number of shares available under the Director Plan by 150,000 shares if shareholders approve the Director Plan proposal.

Vote Required for Approval of the Amended and Restated Guess?, Inc. Directors' Compensation Plan

The Board of Directors believes the proposed amended and restated Director Plan will promote the interests of the Company and our shareholders and will help us continue to be able to attract, retain and compensate non-employee directors, which is important to our success.

All six of our non-employee directors are eligible to receive awards under the Director Plan and thus have a personal interest in the approval of this proposal.

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote on the proposal is required to approve the proposed amended and restated Director Plan.

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote *FOR* the approval of the amended and restated Director Plan as described above.

PROPOSAL NO. 3: RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF THE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR (Item 3 on Proxy Card)

The Audit Committee has selected the firm of Ernst & Young LLP ("Ernst & Young") to act as the Company's independent auditor for the fiscal year ending January 28, 2017, and recommends that the shareholders vote in favor of such appointment. In making its selection of the independent auditor, the Audit Committee considered whether Ernst & Young's provision of services other than audit services, including its past and current tax planning and tax advisory services, is compatible with maintaining independence as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. Ernst & Young has served as the Company's independent auditor since March 19, 2007.

Shareholder approval of the selection of Ernst & Young as our independent auditor is not required by our Bylaws or otherwise. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires the Audit Committee to be directly responsible for the appointment, compensation and oversight of the audit work and the independent auditor. The Audit Committee will consider the results of the shareholder vote for this proposal and, in the event of a negative vote, will reconsider its selection of Ernst & Young. Even if Ernst & Young's appointment is ratified by the shareholders, the Audit Committee may, at its discretion, appoint a new independent auditing firm at any time if it determines that such a change would be in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders.

We expect that a representative of Ernst & Young will be present at the Annual Meeting, will be available to respond to appropriate questions and will have the opportunity to make such statements as he or she may desire.

The favorable vote of the holders of a majority of the shares of Common Stock represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote on the proposal at the Annual Meeting is required to ratify the selection of Ernst & Young.

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR the ratification of Ernst & Young.

RELATIONSHIP WITH INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT

Independent Registered Public Accountant Fee Summary

Aggregate fees billed to us for the fiscal years ended January 30, 2016 and January 31, 2015 by Ernst & Young LLP, our independent auditor, are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Year Ended Jan. 30, 2016		 ar Ended 1. 31, 2015
Audit fees(1)	\$	2,745	\$ 2,476
Audit related fees(2)		34	34
Tax fees(3)		468	418
All other fees(4)			
Total	\$	3,247	\$ 2,928

(1)

"Audit fees" consist of fees for professional services rendered for the audit of the Company's consolidated financial statements included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K, including the audit of internal controls required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the review of financial statements included in Form 10-Qs, and for services that are normally provided by the auditor in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.

(2)

"Audit related fees" consist of fees for services related to employee benefit plans and certain agreed-upon procedures and other services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's financial statements and internal controls that are not reported under "Audit fees."

(3)

"Tax fees" consist of fees for tax compliance and tax advice. For fiscal 2016, the amount includes \$320,000 for tax compliance and preparation services and \$148,000 for all other tax related services. For fiscal 2015, the amount includes \$353,000 for tax compliance and preparation services and \$65,000 for all other tax related services.

(4)

"All other fees" consist of fees for any services not included in the first three categories.

All non-audit services were pre-approved by our Audit Committee pursuant to the pre-approval policies and procedures described below.

The Audit Committee considered whether the provision of non-audit services provided by Ernst & Young during fiscal 2016 was compatible with maintaining auditor independence. In addition to retaining Ernst & Young to audit and review our consolidated financial statements for fiscal 2016, the Company retained Ernst & Young, as well as other accounting firms, to provide other advisory services in fiscal 2016. The Company understands the need for its independent auditor to maintain objectivity and independence in its audit of the Company's financial statements.

The Audit Committee utilizes a policy pursuant to which the audit, audit-related, and permissible non-audit services to be performed by the independent auditor are pre-approved prior to the engagement to perform such services. Pre-approvals are detailed as to the particular service or category of service and the independent auditor and management are required to periodically report to the Audit Committee regarding the extent of services provided by the independent auditor in accordance with the pre-approvals, including the related fees. In addition to regular pre-approvals by the Audit Committee, the Audit Committee Chairperson may also pre-approve services to be performed by the independent auditor on a case-by-case basis, in accordance with authority delegated by the Audit Committee. Approvals made pursuant to this delegated authority are normally reported to the Audit Committee at its next meeting.

The Audit Committee Charter requires that the lead partner assigned to our audit be rotated at least every five years and that other audit partners be rotated at least every seven years.

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Audit Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities for general oversight of the integrity of the Company's financial statements, the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, the Company's system of internal control over financial reporting and the qualifications, independence and performance of the Company's internal audit function and independent auditor. Management is responsible for the financial reporting process, including the Company's system of internal control over financial reporting, and for the preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. The Company's independent auditor is responsible for performing an independent audit of the Company's financial statements, expressing an opinion as to the conformity of the Company's audited financial statements with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, and expressing an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the Company's audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended January 30, 2016. In addition, we have discussed with Ernst & Young the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended by the AICPA professional standards, Vol. 1 AU Section 380, as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T, pertaining to communications with audit committees. We have also received the written disclosures and the letter from Ernst & Young required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent auditor's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and we have discussed with the independent auditor the independent auditor's independence.

The Audit Committee has met with Ernst & Young to discuss the overall scope of its audit, the results of its examinations, its evaluations of the Company's internal control over financial reporting, and the overall quality of the Company's financial reporting.

Based on the reviews and discussions referred to above, we recommended to the Board of Directors, and the Board of Directors has approved, that the audited financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 30, 2016 for filing with the SEC.

By the Audit Committee,

Anthony Chidoni, Chairperson Gianluca Bolla Alex Yemenidjian 19

PROPOSAL NO. 4: SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL REGARDING EXECUTIVE SEVERANCE ARRANGEMENTS (Item 4 on Proxy Card)

The Company expects the following shareholder proposal to be presented for consideration at the Annual Meeting. The proposal and supporting statement quoted below were submitted by The Teamster Affiliates Pension Plan, 25 Louisiana Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20001, as the owner of 7,600 shares of the Company's Common Stock. **The Board of Directors recommends voting** *AGAINST* **the proposal**, as described in more detail below. The text of the proposal follows:

RESOLVED: That the shareholders of Guess?, Inc. (the "Company"), urge the Board of Directors to seek shareholder approval of future severance agreements with senior executives that provide benefits in an amount exceeding 2.99 times the sum of the executives' base salary, plus bonus.

"Future severance agreements" include: employment agreements containing severance provisions, special retirement provisions and agreements renewing, modifying or extending existing agreements.

"Benefits" include lump-sum cash payments (including payments in lieu of medical and other benefits); the payment of any "gross-up" tax liability; the estimated present value of special retirement provisions; any stock or option awards that are awarded under any severance agreement; any prior stock or option awards as to which the executive's access is accelerated under the severance agreement; fringe benefits; and, consulting fees (including reimbursable expenses) to be paid to the executive.

SUPPORTING STATEMENT:

We believe that requiring shareholder ratification of "golden parachute" severance packages with a total cost exceeding 2.99 times an executive's base salary, plus bonus, will provide valuable feedback, encourage restraint, and strengthen the hand of the Board's compensation committee.

According to the Summary of Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control beginning on page 67 of the Company's 2015 Proxy Statement, if there is a change of control the then CEO would receive a severance payment of three times the sum of his base salary and target annual bonus. According to the Company's 2015 Proxy Statement, if there had been a change of control and termination on January 31, 2015, the CEO would have received a cash severance of \$22.5 million, in addition to payments for equity awards and other benefits.

If you agree with us that the Company should seek shareholder ratification of severance packages with a total cost exceeding 2.99 times an executive's base salary, plus bonus, then please VOTE FOR this proposal.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS' STATEMENT AGAINST THIS PROPOSAL:

After careful consideration, the Board of Directors unanimously recommends that shareholders vote AGAINST the proposal. The Board of Directors believes the proposal is not in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders and opposes it for the reasons described below. We also note that shareholders overwhelmingly rejected, by more than 72% of the votes cast, a virtually identical proposal submitted by the proponent at the Company's 2015 annual meeting of shareholders.

In order to support our compensation objective of attracting, retaining and motivating qualified executives, we believe that, in certain cases, it is appropriate to provide our key executive officers with severance protections upon certain types of termination of their employment, such as by the Company without cause, by the executive for good reason or in connection with a change in control. These severance protections are negotiated on an individual by individual basis in connection with the negotiation of other employment terms. By restricting the use of this important compensation tool, implementation of the proposal could materially hamper the Company's ability to attract, retain and

motivate the highest quality and most talented senior executive team. The Board believes that shareholder interests are best protected by providing flexibility to the Compensation Committee, which consists solely of independent directors and oversees all matters regarding executive compensation, to assess the needs of the Company, the competition for talent and other relevant factors in making decisions regarding whether, and how, to offer severance benefits to executives.

Calling a special meeting of shareholders to obtain prior approval of a severance arrangement that would provide benefits in excess of the specified cap would be expensive and impractical and could severely disadvantage the Company's ability to recruit qualified executives. Top candidates, when informed that the terms of their compensation arrangements first require shareholder approval, would likely be unwilling to sit on the sidelines pending such approval and may instead seek employment elsewhere, including at one of the Company's competitors who do not face similar restrictions on their ability to offer severance protection. Even if the severance arrangement could instead be ratified by shareholders after the fact, as the proposal suggests, the potential for shareholders to reject the severance arrangement potentially many months after entering an agreement would likely result in the promised severance benefits being viewed by a potential candidate as too uncertain to merit serious consideration. Delay and uncertainty would be injected into the hiring process, disadvantaging the Company in its efforts to recruit and retain the best available executive talent.

It would not be practical simply to avoid shareholder approval by entering into severance arrangements for amounts less than the 2.99x cap. The benefits covered by the proposal include not only cash severance but also the value of prior equity awards that are accelerated upon a severance event. It is invariably the case, particularly with regard to highly sought-after executives, that employment agreements or other severance arrangements require at least partial vesting of equity awards upon certain types of severance events. We consider this appropriate and consistent with market practices given the nature of equity awards, which are generally granted on an annual basis as part of an executive's total annual compensation opportunity, but structured with multi-year vesting terms to encourage retention. An arrangement that provided for accelerated vesting of stock awards upon severance, even if permitted only on a partial, pro rata basis, would have a higher probability of exceeding the 2.99x cap. In order to implement the proposal and remain competitive in attracting and retaining highly qualified executives, we believe that we would either need to design executive compensation that significantly reduced the role of equity-based pay or reduce or eliminate multi-year vesting requirements for equity-based pay. We believe that shareholder interests are best served by voting AGAINST the proposal so that we can continue to grant equity-based pay with multi-year vesting requirements and remain competitive in attracting and retaining highly qualified executives.

While the proposal addresses future severance agreements, we believe the employment agreements entered into by the Company with Mr. Victor Herrero in July 2015 and with Mr. Paul Marciano in January 2016 demonstrate that the proposal is unnecessary. Under Mr. Herrero's employment agreement, upon a qualified termination (which generally includes a termination by the Company without cause or by the executive for good reason) of his employment with the Company, he is entitled to a cash severance benefit of *two* times his annual base salary (two times the sum of his annual base salary plus his annual target bonus amount if the termination of employment occurs within a year before or two years after a change in control of the Company). Under Mr. Paul Marciano's employment agreement, upon a qualified termination of his employment with the Company, he is entitled to a cash severance benefit of *three* times the sum of his annual target bonus amount. Accordingly, the cash severance multiplier of 2x in Mr. Herrero's employment agreement is well below the 2.99x cap the proposal seeks. In such circumstances, Mr. Herrero would also be entitled to certain continued life and medical insurance benefits, but we expect the cost of these benefits when added to the cash severance amount described above would still be well less than the 2.99x cap the proposal seeks. In the event of a qualified

termination of either executive's employment, the executive's employment agreement also provides for payment of a pro-rated bonus for the year in which the termination of employment occurs and accelerated vesting of certain equity awards granted by the Company to the executive. We do not believe it is appropriate to apply the limitation called for by the proposal to the pro-rated bonus because the pro-ration reflects payment for the portion of the year actually worked by the executive and, for the reasons discussed above, we do not believe it is appropriate to apply the limitation called for by the proposal to the acceleration of equity awards.

In addition, during merger, reorganizations and other change in control transactions, in particular, it is important for management to remain focused on protecting shareholders' interests and not be distracted by concerns about the security of their employment. The rigid and arbitrary limitation called for by the proposal could, by jeopardizing management's ability to realize a benefit from the equity awards granted as part of their regular compensation opportunities, curtail the Company's ability to ensure the stability of the key executive management team during any change in control situations.

Finally, the proposal is extraordinarily broad and unclear, purporting to address "severance" payments. A careful reading of the proposal, however, shows that the proposal as written actually impacts much more. Because the payments covered by the proposal do not exclude retirement plan payments, deferred compensation plans, disability benefits, death benefits and other benefits payable at retirement or termination for any other reason, whether or not they were earned and vested prior to the executive's termination of employment, all of these may be captured by the proposal. Because these amounts could be aggregated in determining whether the payments exceeded the limits of the proposal, it could have the effect of prohibiting payments that are made in connection with a retirement or other termination, whether the amounts were previously earned and vested including, for example, the payment of a death benefit or vested retirement plan payments.

For all the above reasons, the Board of Directors unanimously recommends that the Company's shareholders vote AGAINST this shareholder proposal.

PROPOSAL NO. 5: SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL REGARDING PROXY ACCESS FOR SHAREHOLDERS (Item 5 on Proxy Card)

The Company expects the following shareholder proposal to be presented for consideration at the Annual Meeting. The proposal and supporting statement quoted below were submitted by the Marco Consulting Group Trust I, 550 West Washington Blvd., Suite 900, Chicago, Illinois 60661, as the owner of 4,805 shares of the Company's Common Stock. **The Board of Directors recommends voting AGAINST the proposal**, as described in more detail below. The text of the proposal follows:

RESOLVED: Shareholders of Guess? Inc. (the "Company") ask the board of directors (the "Board") to take the steps necessary to adopt a "proxy access" bylaw. Such a bylaw shall require the Company to include in proxy materials prepared for a shareholder meeting at which directors are to be elected the name, Disclosure and Statement (as defined herein) of any person nominated for election to the board by a shareholder or group (the "Nominator") that meets the criteria established below. The Company shall allow shareholders to vote on such nominee on the Company's proxy card.

The number of shareholder-nominated candidates appearing in proxy materials shall not exceed one quarter of the directors then serving. This bylaw, which shall supplement existing rights under Company bylaws, should provide that a Nominator must:

a)

have beneficially owned 3% or more of the Company's outstanding common stock continuously for at least three years before submitting the nomination;

b)

given the Company, within the time period identified in its bylaws, written notice of the information required by the bylaws and any Securities and Exchange Commission rules about (i) the nominee, including consent to being named in the proxy materials and to serving as director if elected; and (ii) the Nominator, including proof it owns the required shares (the "Disclosure"); and

c)

certify that (i) it will assume liability stemming from any legal or regulatory violation arising out of the Nominator's communications with the Company shareholders, including the Disclosure and Statement; (ii) it will comply with all applicable laws and regulations if it uses soliciting material other than the Company's proxy materials; and (c) to the best of its knowledge, the required shares were acquired in the ordinary course of business and not to change or influence control at the Company.

The Nominator may submit with the Disclosure a statement not exceeding 500 words in support of the nominee (the "Statement"). The Board shall adopt procedures for promptly resolving disputes over whether notice of a nomination was timely, whether the Disclosure and Statement satisfy the bylaw and applicable federal regulations, and the priority to be given to multiple nominations exceeding the one-quarter limit.

SUPPORTING STATEMENT:

We believe proxy access is a fundamental shareholder right that will make directors more accountable and enhance shareholder value. A 2014 CFA Institute study concluded that proxy access would "benefit both the markets and corporate boardrooms, with little cost or disruption" and could raise overall US market capitalization by up to \$140.3 billion if adopted market-wide. (http://www.cfapubs.org/dio/pdf/10.2469/ccb.v2014.n9.1)

The proposed terms are similar to those in vacated SEC Rule 14a-11 (https://www.sec.gov/rules/final/2010/33-9136.pdf). The SEC, following extensive analysis and input from companies and investors, determined that those terms struck the proper balance of providing shareholders with a viable proxy access right while containing appropriate safeguards.

The proposed terms enjoy strong support. Through October 2015, votes on more than 100 similar proposals averaged 55% and at least 60 companies enacted bylaws with similar terms.

We urge shareholders to vote FOR this proposal.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS' STATEMENT AGAINST THIS PROPOSAL:

After careful consideration, the Board of Directors unanimously recommends that shareholders vote AGAINST the proposal. The Board of Directors believes the proposal is not in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders and opposes it for the following reasons.

Proxy access is a procedure designed to facilitate company-financed proxy contests in director elections, pitting the Board's nominees against one or more candidates nominated by a shareholder meeting minimal eligibility requirements. We understand the importance of giving shareholders a voice in director nominations and are aware that there is an active discussion in the corporate governance community regarding proxy access. We believe, however, that implementation of proxy access should be developed in a deliberate, methodical fashion that involves further engagement with shareholders, a review of continuing marketplace developments, and consideration of intended and potentially unintended consequences. Accordingly, we continue to oppose the adoption of proxy access at this time because we believe that, on balance, the potential negative repercussions of proxy access outweigh its positive attributes.

Proxy access could harm our Company, Board of Directors and shareholders by:

<u>Significantly Disrupting Company and Board Operations</u>. With this proposal, contested director elections could occur every year and substantially disrupt our Company and the effective functioning of the Board. For example, the election of shareholder-nominated directors could create factions on the Board, leading to dissension and delay, thereby precluding the Board's ability to function effectively. There is also the potential for high annual turnover leading to an inexperienced board that lacks sufficient knowledge and understanding of our current and past business to provide meaningful and effective oversight of our operations and long-term strategies. Abrupt changes in the composition of our Board could impair our ability to develop, refine, monitor and execute our long-term plans.

<u>Increasing Company Costs</u>. Under the Company's current processes, a shareholder seeking to elect its own nominee to the Board would, like the Company, need to undertake the expense of soliciting proxies for the election of its own nominee. We see little reason why a shareholder owning 3% of the outstanding shares of the Company (which as of the Record Date for the Annual Meeting would represent over \$42 million worth of shares) should not, if the shareholder has a legitimate interest in having representation on the Board, bear the expense of soliciting proxies. Moreover, with proxy access, contested director elections could become frequent. We would be compelled to devote significant financial resources to solicit shareholder support for the Board-nominated candidates and against the proxy access nominees.

<u>Encouraging Short-Termism</u>. The Board believes that dealing with contested elections every year could encourage a short-term focus to the management of our Company's business that would not be in the interests of our shareholders. Encouraging short-termism and distracting the Board and management from their responsibilities are very high costs to pay for a regime for which there is not a demonstrated need.

<u>Promoting the Influence of Special Interests</u>. Proxy access could facilitate the nomination and election of special interest directors who seek to further the specific agendas of the shareholders who nominated them, rather than the interests of all shareholders and the Company's long-term business goals. A holder of as little as 3% of our outstanding shares could completely bypass the work of the Nominating and Governance Committee and place directly into nomination

candidates who may fail to meet the independence or other qualifications established by the Board, fail to contribute to the desired mix of perspectives, or fail to represent the interests of shareholders as a whole.

<u>Discouraging Highly Qualified Director Candidates from Serving</u>. The prospect of routinely standing for election in a contested situation could deter highly qualified individuals from Board service. This prospect of a perennial campaign also could cause incumbent directors to become excessively risk averse, thereby impairing their ability to provide sound and prudent guidance with respect to our operations and interests.

The Board believes that the proxy access framework advocated in the proposal fails to provide the appropriate balance and safeguards to prevent the potentially adverse consequences described above. Specifically:

The proposal does not require shareholder nominees to be independent or to satisfy applicable law and fails to require that shareholder nominees have no affiliations with a competitor or others who oppose the Company's best interests.

The proposal does not require nominating shareholders to have held and retain voting and investment power of the shares used to establish eligibility to nominate a director (i.e., under the proposal, a shareholder could have a net short position in the Company's stock and still be entitled to make a nomination).

The proposal does not require nominating shareholders to certify that they are not seeking to effect a change in control of the Company. Proxy access is intended to give shareholders an opportunity to have their nominees for election included in the Company's proxy materials and should not be used as a mechanism for taking control of the Board under circumstances where shareholders are not appropriately compensated for surrendering control.

The proposal could require the Company to include any qualifying shareholders' nominees in the Company's proxy statement regardless of whether the shareholders intend to file their own proxy statement and engage in a proxy contest.

The proposal does not require nominating shareholders to retain ownership of their shares through the meeting date, allowing a nominating shareholder to sell all of its shares prior to the meeting date, which would misalign the interests of the nominating shareholder and the Company's other shareholders.

The proposal does not cap the number of shareholders that could constitute a group for purposes of the 3% threshold, which could allow hundreds of shareholders to act together and administratively burden the Company.

As described further below under "Corporate Governance and Board Matters Consideration of Director Nominees," the Company has extensive processes and practices in place to identify and nominate director candidates that can contribute to a balance of knowledge, experience and capability on the Board and who meet the minimum criteria for Board members established by the Nominating and Governance Committee as set forth under " Director Qualifications" below. As part of this process, our shareholders can recommend prospective director candidates for consideration by the Nominating and Governance Committee. Our Nominating and Governance Committee already evaluates and considers director nominees proposed by our shareholders in the same manner and against the same criteria as any other prospective nominee identified by the Nominating and Governance Committee from any other source, including another Board member, a member of management or a third party search firm. These existing processes allow the independent Nominating and Governance Committee and the Board to assess the particular qualifications of potential director nominees and determine whether they will contribute to an effective and well-rounded Board that

operates openly and collaboratively and represents the interests of all shareholders, not just those with special interests. Conversely, proxy access would bypass our existing processes and allow director candidates who may fail to meet the qualifications established by the Board and who may lack the experience necessary to guide the Company over the long term to be placed in the Company's proxy materials and be voted on by shareholders.

Outside of the director nomination process described above, we provide our shareholders with other meaningful opportunities to access and engage with members of the Board. For example, throughout the year we actively engage with our shareholders to discuss our executive compensation program, corporate governance and other topics of interest to our shareholders. During 2015, our Lead Independent Director led a proactive shareholder outreach effort which included direct discussions with shareholders representing an estimated 63% of the issued and outstanding shares of our Common Stock held by persons other than insiders. The Board has implemented various and meaningful changes as a result of the direct feedback received from our shareholders during these discussions. In addition, the Board maintains a dedicated email account that enables any shareholder to communicate directly with the Board by submitting an e-mail to bod@guess.com. All directors have access to this e-mail address. Communications from shareholders or any other interested parties that are intended specifically for non-management directors can be sent to the e-mail address and addressed to the attention of the Lead Independent Director.

We are concerned that, if used, proxy access could present a significant drain on management time and attention during a period of critical strategic and leadership transition for the Company. Our new Chief Executive Officer is executing new initiatives and strategic changes to drive growth, better connect with customers, improve our cost structure and reinforce a culture of purpose and accountability throughout the organization. We expect this effort to continue to reenergize the business as we invest in opportunities to increase shareholder value. As further described above, the adoption of proxy access and the resulting potential for continuous change to the composition of the Board could have a destabilizing impact on our management team in general and our Chief Executive Officer in particular, especially during this important time of transition.

While we recognize that proxy access is a topic of growing interest and we will continue to monitor it and review carefully the vote outcome of this proposal, we believe that implementation of this proposal at this time would not be in the best interests of the Company or our shareholders.

For the reasons set forth above, the Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote AGAINST adoption of this Proposal.

DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Name	Age	Position
Paul Marciano(1)	64	Executive Chairman of the Board and Chief Creative Officer
Victor Herrero	47	Chief Executive Officer and Director
Maurice Marciano	67	Director and Chairman Emeritus
Gianluca Bolla	57	Director
Anthony Chidoni(1)	64	Director
Joseph Gromek(1)	69	Director
Kay Isaacson-Leibowitz	69	Director
Alex Yemenidjian	60	Director
Sandeep Reddy	45	Chief Financial Officer

The directors, director nominees and executive officers of the Company as of May 23, 2016 are as follows:

(1)

Paul Marciano, Anthony Chidoni and Joseph Gromek have been nominated to stand for re-election at the Annual Meeting.

With respect to the directors and director nominees named above, Gianluca Bolla, Anthony Chidoni, Joseph Gromek, Kay Isaacson-Leibowitz and Alex Yemenidjian are deemed to be "independent" directors under the director independence standards of the NYSE.

Maurice Marciano was one of the founders of the Company in 1981. Since that time, he has served in a number of senior executive positions with the Company, including his role as executive Chairman of the Board from 2007 until January 28, 2012. Between 1999 and 2007, he served as Co-Chairman of the Board and Co-Chief Executive Officer, together with his brother, Paul Marciano. Mr. Marciano retired as an employee and executive of the Company in January 2012. Following his retirement and until January 28, 2015, he provided consulting services to the Company under the terms of a consulting agreement originally entered into in connection with his retirement. Mr. Marciano has served as a director of the Company since 1981 (except for the period from January 1993 to May 1993) and currently serves as Chairman Emeritus of the Board. His present term as a Class I director will expire at the 2018 annual meeting of shareholders. As a co-founder and leader within the Company for over 30 years, Mr. Marciano brings a wealth of both Company-specific and industry-wide knowledge and experience to the Board. His strategic vision and global approach have been instrumental in helping the Board to effectively oversee the overall business and direction of the Company.

Paul Marciano joined the Company two months after its inception in 1981. Since that time, he has served in a number of senior executive positions with the Company, including his current role as Executive Chairman of the Board and Chief Creative Officer, positions he has held since August 2015. From 2007 until August 2015, Mr. Marciano served as Chief Executive Officer and Vice Chairman of the Board, and between 1999 and 2007, he served as Co-Chairman of the Board and Co-Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Marciano has also served as a director of the Company since 1990. His present term as a Class II director will expire at the Annual Meeting. Like his brother, Maurice Marciano, Mr. Marciano brings to the Board a vast amount of knowledge and experience accumulated over the life of the Guess brand. Mr. Marciano's leadership as Executive Chairman and Chief Creative Officer provides a direct and valuable link between management and the Board and his creative and strategic vision for the brand help to guide the Board's overall approach.

Victor Herrero has served as the Company's Chief Executive Officer since August 2015. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Herrero held several positions with Inditex Group, the world's largest fashion retailer with brands including Zara, Massimo Dutti, Pull & Bear, Bershka, and Stradivarius.

From September 2012 until July 2015, Mr. Herrero served Inditex Group as its Head of Asia Pacific, where he was responsible for all aspects of the Asia business for all brands. Prior to that position, Mr. Herrero served as Inditex Group's Head of North Asia and India from May 2010 to August 2012, where he was responsible for all aspects of the business in those markets. Mr. Herrero joined Inditex Group in 2003 and served in a variety of other capacities during his tenure there. Prior to joining Inditex Group, Mr. Herrero served as a management consultant for Arthur Andersen in Asia from 1998 to 2002. Mr. Herrero holds an M.B.A. from the Kellogg School of Management at Northwestern University, a B.A. in Business Administration from the Ecole Superieure de Commerce de Paris in Paris, France, and a Bachelor's of Law Degree from the Universidad de Zaragoza in Spain. Mr. Herrero has also served as a director of the Company since August 2015. His present term as a Class III director will expire at the 2017 annual meeting of shareholders. Mr. Herrero's extensive global retail experience in the apparel industry and his intimate knowledge of the Company's operations as its Chief Executive Officer provide valuable strategic and operational insights to the Board.

Gianluca Bolla has been a shareholder and director of Accord Management, S.r.L., an Italian private equity firm that specializes in the Italian mid-market, since the end of 2008. In addition, since 1994, Mr. Bolla has been a shareholder and director of Valdo Spumanti S.r.l., a leading producer of Prosecco, an Italian dry sparkling wine. From 1988 until 2007, Mr. Bolla held a number of executive positions with various subsidiaries of Barilla Holding S.p.A. ("Barilla"), a privately-held Italian food company with global revenues of over \notin 4 billion. He ultimately served from 2001 until 2007 as Chief Executive Officer of Barilla G. e R. Fratelli S.p.A., a global business with revenues of over \notin 2.5 billion. Prior to joining Barilla, Mr. Bolla was a corporate finance associate for two years with Salomon Brothers Inc., where he started after receiving his M.B.A. from the UCLA Graduate School of Management. Mr. Bolla has served as a director of the Company since April 2010 and his present term as a Class I director will expire at the 2018 annual meeting of shareholders. As the Company continues its global expansion throughout Europe and beyond, Mr. Bolla's experience as the Chief Executive Officer of a large global business based in Italy provides the Board with a valuable and unique perspective into international growth and management.

Anthony Chidoni has been the principal and owner of Lorelle Capital, a private hedge fund, since January 2004. From January 1990 to January 2004, he was the Managing Director of Private Client Business in the Los Angeles office of investment bank Credit Suisse First Boston, and its predecessor Donaldson Lufkin & Jenrette, where he had served in various positions for 21 years. Mr. Chidoni has served as a director of the Company since November 2002 and his present term as a Class II director will expire at the Annual Meeting. Mr. Chidoni's extensive background in investment banking and more recently as the principal and owner of a private hedge fund provides the Board with a valuable Wall Street perspective, a broad and deep insight into the capital markets and direct experience performing detailed review and analysis of public company financial statements.

Joseph Gromek served as President, Chief Executive Officer and director of The Warnaco Group, Inc., a global apparel company, from 2003 until his retirement in 2012. From 1996 to 2002, Mr. Gromek served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Brooks Brothers, Inc., a private clothing manufacturer and retail company. Prior to that time, he held senior management positions with Saks Fifth Avenue, Limited Brands, Inc. and Ann Taylor Stores, Inc. Mr. Gromek is currently the non-executive Chairman of the Board of Tumi Holdings, Inc., a wholesaler and retailer of luxury travel, business and lifestyle accessories; a member of the board of directors of Wolverine World Wide, Inc., a global footwear company; and a member of the board of directors of The Children's Place Retail Stores, Inc., a children's specialty apparel retailer. Among his many philanthropic and civic affiliations, Mr. Gromek is a member of the board of directors of Ronald McDonald House and the board of governors of the Parsons School of Design, and he is the chairman of the board of trustees of The New School. Mr. Gromek has served as a director of the Company since April 2014 and his present term as a Class II director will expire at the Annual Meeting. As a leading professional in the apparel sector

for more than a quarter century, including extensive executive and director service with several global, multi-channel companies, Mr. Gromek brings a wealth of valuable experience to the Board that is particularly well-suited for the Company's global, multi-channel business.

Kay Isaacson-Leibowitz served as Executive Vice President of Beauty Niches for Victoria's Secret, a leading specialty retailer of women's intimate and other apparel, from July 2003 to July 2005. From 1995 to 2003, Ms. Isaacson-Leibowitz served as Executive Vice President of Merchandising for Victoria's Secret. From 1994 to 1995, she served as acting President and Senior Vice President of Merchandising for Banana Republic, a division of The Gap, Inc. From 2004 until 2014, Ms. Isaacson-Leibowitz served as a director of Coldwater Creek, a multi-channel specialty retailer of women's apparel and accessories in the United States, primarily targeting women 35 years of age and older. She is also a co-founder, co-chairperson and board member for World of Children, a non-profit organization devoted to children globally. Ms. Isaacson-Leibowitz's extensive career in the retail industry, and in particular as an executive and senior merchant for brands such as Victoria's Secret and Banana Republic, allows her to provide valuable insights to the Board in key areas such as merchandising strategy and brand management, as well as product design and production.

Alex Yemenidjian has served as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Armenco Holdings, LLC, a private investment company, since January 2005. He served as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Tropicana Las Vegas Hotel & Casino, Inc. from July 2009 to August 2015. Mr. Yemenidjian served as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc., a leading entertainment company, from April 1999 to April 2005 and was a director thereof from November 1997 to April 2005. Mr. Yemenidjian also served as a director of MGM Resorts International, Inc. ("MGM") (formerly MGM Grand, Inc. and MGM Mirage Resorts, Inc.) from 1989 to 2005 and was its President from 1995 to 1999. He also served MGM in other capacities, including as Chief Operating Officer from 1995 until 1999 and as Chief Financial Officer from 1994 to 1998. In addition, Mr. Yemenidjian served as an executive of Tracinda Corporation, the majority owner of both Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc. and MGM, from 1990 to 1997 and again during 1999. Prior to 1990, Mr. Yemenidjian was the managing partner of Parks, Palmer, Turner & Yemenidjian, Certified Public Accountants. Mr. Yemenidjian is currently a director and chairman of the audit committee of Regal Entertainment Group, which operates one of the largest motion picture theatre circuits in the United States, and a trustee of Baron Investment Funds Trust and Baron Select Funds, both mutual funds. Mr. Yemenidjian has served as a director of the Company since May 2005 and his present term as a Class III director will expire at the 2017 annual meeting. Mr. Yemenidjian is able to provide the Board with the unique perspective of someone with significant experience as a Chief Executive Officer. In addition, his strong accounting and finance background, including experience as a Chief Financial Officer, provides the Board with valuable insight and a depth of knowledge and experience with respect to accounting and finance related matters.

Sandeep Reddy was appointed to the position of Chief Financial Officer in July 2013. He previously served as the Company's Vice President and European Chief Financial Officer, since 2010, where he was responsible for all aspects of the Company's European finance functions, including financial planning, treasury, accounting and tax. From 1997 to 2010, Mr. Reddy served in a number of positions of increasing responsibility for Mattel Inc., a leading global toy manufacturer, ultimately serving as Vice President Finance and Supply Chain for Southern Europe (France, Spain, Portugal, Italy). Mr. Reddy has an MBA from Cornell University, and is a Chartered Financial Analyst.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD MATTERS

Board Independence, Structure and Committee Composition

The Board is composed of eight directors, five of whom qualify as independent directors pursuant to the rules adopted by the SEC applicable to the corporate governance standards for companies listed on the NYSE. In determining independence, the Board affirmatively determines that directors have no direct or indirect material relationship with the Company. When assessing materiality, the Board considers all relevant facts and circumstances including, without limitation, transactions between the Company and the director directly or organizations with which the director is affiliated, and the frequency and dollar amounts associated with these transactions. The Board further considers whether the transactions were at arm's length in the ordinary course of business and whether the transactions were consummated on terms and conditions similar to those of unrelated parties. In addition, the Board uses the following categorical standards to determine director independence: (1) not being a present or former employee, or having an immediate family member as an executive officer, of the Company within the past three years; (2) not personally receiving, or having an immediate family member receive, during any twelve-month period within the last three years, more than \$120,000 of direct compensation from the Company other than (a) for Board or committee service, pension or other forms of deferred compensation for prior service or (b) by an immediate family member for services as an employee of the Company (other than as an executive officer); (3) not (a) being a current partner or employee of a firm that is the Company's internal or external auditor; (b) having an immediate family member who is a current partner of such a firm; (c) having an immediate family member who is a current employee of such a firm and personally works on the Company's audit; or (d) being within the last three years or having an immediate family member who was within the last three years a partner or employee of such a firm and personally worked on the Company's audit within that time; (4) not being employed, or having an immediate family member employed, within the past three years as an executive officer of another company where now or at any time during the past three years any of the Company's present executive officers serve or served on the other company's compensation committee; (5) not being an executive officer or employee, or having an immediate family member who is an executive officer, of a company that makes or made payments to, or receives or received payments from, the Company, for property or services in an amount which, in any of the past three fiscal years, exceeds or exceeded the greater of \$1 million, or 2% of the other company's consolidated gross revenues; (6) not being an executive officer of a charitable organization of which the Company has within the preceding three years made any contributions to that organization in any single fiscal year that exceeded the greater of \$1 million, or 2% of the charitable organization's consolidated gross revenues; (7) not accepting directly or indirectly any consulting, advisory, or other compensatory fee from the Company or any of its subsidiaries, provided that compensatory fees do not include the receipt of fixed amounts of compensation under a retirement plan (including deferred compensation) for prior service with the Company (provided that such compensation is not contingent in any way on continued service); and (8) not being an affiliated person of the Company or any of its subsidiaries.

Applying these categorical standards and considering all relevant facts and circumstances, the Board determined that the following directors qualify as independent: Gianluca Bolla, Anthony Chidoni, Joseph Gromek, Kay Isaacson-Leibowitz and Alex Yemenidjian (the "Independent Directors").

Each of the members of each of the committees of the Board is an Independent Director, and, in the case of members of the Audit Committee and the Compensation Committee, also meets the additional criteria for independence of (i) audit committee members set forth in Rule 10A-3(b)(1) under the Exchange Act and (ii) compensation committee members set forth in Rule 10C-1 under the Exchange Act. In addition, our Board has determined that each of the members of the Audit Committee is financially literate and that Anthony Chidoni meets the definition of an audit committee

financial expert, as set forth in Item 407(d)(5)(ii) of Regulation S-K. A brief description of Mr. Chidoni's background and experience can be found under "Directors and Executive Officers" above.

Our Board had the following three committees in fiscal 2016: (1) Audit Committee, (2) Compensation Committee and (3) Nominating and Governance Committee. The current membership as of the date of this Proxy Statement and the function of each of the committees are described below. Each of the committees operates under a written charter adopted by the Board. All of the committee charters are available on the Company's website at *http://investors.guess.com*. The Board of Directors held six meetings during fiscal 2016. Each director attended at least 75 percent of the aggregate of the total Board meetings and total committee meetings on which such director served during fiscal 2016, except Maurice Marciano, who was unable to attend two of the six Board meetings held during the year. Directors are encouraged to attend annual meetings of the Company's shareholders. All of our then-current directors except one attended the last annual meeting of shareholders.

Name of Director Independent Directors:	Audit Committee	Compensation Committee	Nominating and Governance Committee
Gianluca Bolla	X		Х
Anthony Chidoni	*X	Χ	
Joseph Gromek		Х	Х
Kay Isaacson-Leibowitz		Х	*X
Alex Yemenidjian	X	*X	
Other Directors:			
Maurice Marciano			
Paul Marciano			
Victor Herrero			
Number of Meetings in Fiscal 2016	8	8	4

X = Committee member; * = Chair

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities for general oversight of the integrity of the Company's financial statements, the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, the independent auditor's qualifications and independence, the performance of the Company's internal audit function and independent auditor, and risk assessment and risk management. Among other things, the Audit Committee prepares the Audit Committee report for inclusion in the annual proxy statement; annually reviews the Audit Committee Charter and the Audit Committee's performance; appoints, evaluates and determines the compensation of our independent auditor; reviews and approves the scope of the annual audit, the audit fees and the financial statements; reviews our disclosure controls and procedures, internal controls, internal audit function, and corporate policies with respect to financial information and earnings guidance; oversees investigations into complaints concerning financial matters; and reviews other risks that may have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements. The Audit Committee has the authority to obtain advice and assistance from, and receive appropriate funding from the Company for, outside legal, accounting and other advisors as the Audit Committee deems necessary to carry out its duties.

The report of the Audit Committee is included in this Proxy Statement. A current copy of the Audit Committee Charter is available on the Company's website at *http://investors.guess.com*.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee is responsible for establishing and governing the compensation and benefit practices of the Company. The Compensation Committee reviews and approves the general compensation policies of the Company, oversees the administration of all of the Company's compensation and benefit plans and reviews and approves compensation of the executive officers of the Company. For more information, see "Executive and Director Compensation" below.

Nominating and Governance Committee

The Nominating and Governance Committee assists the Board in identifying individuals qualified to become directors; recommends to the Board the director nominees for the next annual meeting of shareholders, consistent with criteria approved by the Board, and selects, or recommends that the Board select, the director nominees for each annual meeting of shareholders; develops and recommends to the Board a set of Governance Guidelines applicable to the Company; oversees the evaluation of the Company's management and the Board and its committees; and recommends to the Board director assignments and chair appointments for each Board committee, other than the Nominating and Governance Committee. Other specific duties and responsibilities of the Nominating and Governance Committee include: developing membership qualifications and criteria for Board committees; defining specific criteria for director for continued service; coordinating and assisting management and the Board in recruiting new members to the Board; annually, and together with the Chairperson of the Compensation Committee, evaluating the performance of the Chief Executive Officer and presenting the results of such evaluation to the Board and to the Chief Executive Officer; reviewing governance-related shareholder proposals and recommending Board responses; overseeing the evaluation of the Board and management; and conducting a preliminary review of director independence and the financial literacy and expertise of Audit Committee members. A current copy of the Nominating and Governance Committee Charter is available on the Company's website at *http://investors.guess.com*.

Consideration of Director Nominees

Shareholder Nominees

The policy of the Nominating and Governance Committee is to consider properly submitted shareholder nominations for candidates for membership on the Board as described below under " Identifying and Evaluating Nominees for Directors." The Nominating and Governance Committee will evaluate a prospective nominee suggested by any shareholder in the same manner and against the same criteria as any other prospective nominee identified by the Nominating and Governance Committee from any other source. In evaluating such nominations, the Nominating and Governance Committee seeks to achieve a balance of knowledge, experience and capability on the Board and to address the membership criteria set forth under " Director Qualifications" below.

Any shareholder nominations proposed for consideration by the Nominating and Governance Committee should include the following information and documentation:

the nominator's name, address and phone number and a statement of the number of shares of our Common Stock beneficially owned by the nominator during the year preceding the date of nomination;

the nominee's name, age, business address, residence address, phone number, principal occupation and a statement of the number of shares of our Common Stock beneficially owned by the nominee during the year preceding the date of nomination;

a statement of the nominee's qualifications for Board membership;

a description of all arrangements or understandings between the nominator and each proposed nominee and any other person or persons (including their names) pursuant to which the nomination(s) are to be made by such nominator;

a representation that such shareholder intends to appear in person or by proxy at the meeting to nominate the persons named in its notice; and

a written consent by the nominee to being named as a nominee and to serve as a director if elected.

Any shareholder nominations for candidates for membership on the Board should be addressed to:

Guess?, Inc. Attn: Chair of the Nominating and Governance Committee c/o Corporate Secretary 1444 South Alameda Street Los Angeles, California 90021

Director Qualifications

The Nominating and Governance Committee has established the following minimum criteria for evaluating prospective Board candidates:

reputation for integrity, strong moral character and adherence to high ethical standards;

holds or has held a generally recognized position of leadership in community and/or chosen field of endeavor, and has demonstrated high levels of accomplishment;

demonstrates business acumen and experience, and ability to exercise sound business judgments in matters that relate to the current and long-term objectives of the Company;

ability to read and understand basic financial statements and other financial information pertaining to the Company;

commitment to understand the Company and its business, industry and strategic objectives;

commitment and ability to regularly attend and participate in meetings of the Board of Directors, Board Committees and shareholders, and to generally fulfill all responsibilities as a director of the Company;

willingness to represent and act in the interests of all shareholders of the Company rather than the interests of a particular group;

good health and ability to serve for at least five years; and

for prospective non-employee directors, independence under SEC and applicable NYSE rules, and the absence of any conflict of interest (whether due to a business or personal relationship) or legal impediment to, or restriction on, the nominee serving as a director.

The Nominating and Governance Committee will also consider the following factors in connection with its evaluation of each prospective nominee:

whether the nominee possesses the requisite education, training and experience to qualify as "financially literate" or as an audit committee "financial expert" under applicable SEC and NYSE rules;

for incumbent directors standing for re-election, the Nominating and Governance Committee will assess the incumbent director's performance during his or her term, including the number of meetings attended, level of participation, and overall contribution to the Company; and

whether the prospective nominee will foster a diversity of backgrounds and experiences, and will add to or complement the Board's existing strengths.

While the Nominating and Governance Committee considers all of these factors, including whether the nominee will foster a diversity of backgrounds and experiences, as part of its evaluation of nominees, no single factor is necessarily determinative in the evaluation process. Instead, all of these factors, and any others deemed relevant by the Nominating and Governance Committee, are considered as a whole in assessing each prospective nominee.

Identifying and Evaluating Nominees for Directors

The Nominating and Governance Committee utilizes a variety of methods for identifying and evaluating nominees for director. The Nominating and Governance Committee evaluates the current members of the Board whose terms are expiring and who are willing to serve an additional term utilizing the criteria described above to determine whether to recommend such directors for re-election. All of the nominees for election at the Annual Meeting are current members of the Board who are standing for re-election. Mr. Gromek, who was appointed by the Board as a Class II director in April 2014, initially came to the attention of the Nominating and Governance Committee through a reference from a non-management director.

The Nominating and Governance Committee also regularly assesses whether any vacancies on the Board are expected due to retirement or otherwise or whether it would be advisable to increase the overall size of the Board through the addition of a new director. In the event that vacancies are anticipated, or otherwise arise, or the size of the Board may be increased, the Nominating and Governance Committee considers various potential candidates for director. Candidates may come to the attention of the Nominating and Governance Committee through current Board members, professional search firms hired to identify potential nominees, shareholders, members of management or other persons. These candidates are evaluated at regular or special meetings of the Nominating and Governance Committee, and may be considered at any point during the year.

As described above, the Nominating and Governance Committee considers properly submitted shareholder nominations for candidates for the Board. Following verification of the shareholder status of persons proposing candidates, recommendations are aggregated and considered by the Nominating and Governance Committee at a regularly scheduled meeting, which is generally the first or second meeting prior to the issuance of the proxy statement for the Company's annual meeting. If any materials are provided by a shareholder in connection with the nomination of a director candidate, such materials are forwarded to the Nominating and Governance Committee. The Nominating and Governance Committee also reviews materials provided by professional search firms or other parties in connection with a nominee who is not proposed by a shareholder. In evaluating such nominations, the Nominating and Governance Committee seeks to achieve a balance of knowledge, experience and capability on the Board.

Director Resignation Policy

In April 2011, upon the recommendation of the Nominating and Governance Committee, the Board approved the adoption of a new Director Resignation Policy, which has been incorporated into the Company's Governance Guidelines. The policy provides that any nominee for director in a non-contested election of directors who receives a greater number of votes "withheld" from his or her election than votes "for" such election shall submit to the Board a letter of resignation for consideration by the Nominating and Governance Committee. The Nominating and Governance Committee (excluding the nominee in question if a member thereof) shall evaluate such offer of resignation in light of the best interests of the Company and its shareholders and shall recommend to



the Board the action to be taken with respect thereto. The Board shall then act promptly with respect to the letter of resignation and the Company shall publicly disclose the decision of the Board.

Board Leadership Structure

The Company's Governance Guidelines provide that the Board should be free to determine, in any manner that it deems best for the Company from time to time, whether the role of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") should be separate. From the time that the Company became public in 1996 until August 2015, the roles of Chairman and CEO were always performed by one or both of Maurice Marciano, a founder of the Company in 1981, and Paul Marciano, a senior executive of the Company since just two months after its inception. On August 1, 2015, Victor Herrero became the first non-Marciano CEO in the Company's history, Paul Marciano transitioned from his prior role as CEO and Vice Chairman of the Board to his new role as Executive Chairman and Chief Creative Officer, and Maurice Marciano transitioned from his role as non-executive Chairman of the Board to his new role as Chairman Emeritus and member of the Board. The Board believes that this is currently the most effective leadership structure for the Company, striking an appropriate balance between strong and consistent leadership and independent and effective oversight of the Company's business and affairs.

To further promote the independent and effective oversight of the Board and management, the Board has appointed a Lead Independent Director, currently Alex Yemenidjian, to facilitate free and open discussion and communication among the Independent Directors. The Lead Independent Director presides at all executive sessions of the Board at which only Independent Directors are present. These executive sessions are held to discuss various issues and matters of concern to the Board, including the effectiveness of management, the Company's performance and the Company's strategic plans. The executive sessions are generally held in conjunction with the regularly scheduled quarterly meetings of the Board, but may be called at any time by our Lead Independent Director or any of our other Independent Directors. Our Lead Independent Director typically sets the agenda for these executive sessions with input from the other Independent Directors and discusses issues that arise from those sessions with our Executive Chairman, CEO or other members of management, as appropriate.

The Company also has strong corporate governance structures and processes that are intended to ensure that its Independent Directors will continue to effectively oversee key issues such as strategy, risk and integrity. Each of the committees of the Board is composed solely of Independent Directors. Consequently, Independent Directors oversee such critical matters as the integrity of the Company's financial statements, the compensation of senior executives, liquidity and capital resource allocation, the selection and evaluation of directors, and the development and implementation of corporate governance programs. Board committees hold independent sessions among their members, without management present, to discuss issues and matters of concern to the committees.

Risk Oversight

The Board executes its risk oversight responsibility for risk management directly and through its committees. Although management is responsible for the day-to-day management of risk, throughout the year the Board regularly discusses and assesses significant risks and mitigation strategies with management. The Board and its appropriate committees consider risks associated with our business plans, operational efficiencies, strategic objectives, investment opportunities, financial reporting, capital structure, IT infrastructure, and others. For instance, the Audit Committee, which is generally responsible for oversight of financial reporting risks, reviews an annual risk assessment prepared by the internal audit department, which identifies strategic, operational and internal control risks, and informs the internal audit plan for the next fiscal year. The Nominating and Governance Committee, on the other hand, oversees and advises the Board with respect to the Company's positions and practices regarding significant corporate governance risks.

In addition, the Compensation Committee and management consider, in establishing and reviewing our compensation arrangements for executives and other employees, whether these arrangements encourage unnecessary or excessive risk taking and we believe that they do not. In particular, our executive compensation program reflects a balanced approach using a mix of different compensation elements without putting an undue emphasis on a single element or applicable performance measure. Base salaries are set at levels that are intended to avoid excessive fixed costs while simultaneously providing sufficient guaranteed annual income to mitigate incentives for executive's to pursue overly risky business strategies in order to maximize short-term variable compensation. While annual bonus opportunities for our named executive officers generally include a pre-established, objective measure of performance for the applicable year, the Compensation Committee retains the ability to adjust the incentives based on its assessment of such other factors as it deems appropriate, and in all cases subject to an applicable maximum level. The Compensation Committee also has discretion to set the appropriate equity award grant levels each year (within any applicable maximum). The Compensation Committee's ability to exercise discretion in making these determinations helps ensure that there is a clear linkage between pay and performance over both the short- and long-term, and that performance is evaluated based on both the absolute results and the manner in which the results were achieved.

Because equity awards make up a substantial portion of each of our executive's total compensation opportunity, there is a strong alignment between executives' interests and those of our shareholders. We believe that these awards do not encourage unnecessary or excessive risk taking because the ultimate value of the awards is tied to our stock price, because grants are subject to long-term vesting schedules to help ensure that executives always have significant value tied to long-term stock price performance, and because we utilize multiple performance measures for our equity awards subject to performance-based vesting requirements. For example, our equity awards granted to Messrs. Paul Marciano and Herrero in fiscal 2017 include restricted stock units with performance-based vesting requirements with the performance-based measures including a three-year relative total shareholder return measure for one of the awards, revenue and operating income measures for one of the awards, and a third award with a measure based on licensing earnings (for Mr. Paul Marciano's award) or revenues excluding net royalties (for Mr. Herrero's award).

Potential risks are also mitigated by the significant amounts of our Common Stock that are owned or beneficially owned by Messrs. Maurice and Paul Marciano and, as outlined in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section below, our stock ownership guidelines and compensation "clawback policy" applicable to certain senior executives.

Communications with the Board

You may communicate with the Board by submitting an e-mail to the Company's Board at *bod@guess.com*. All directors have access to this e-mail address. Communications from shareholders or any other interested parties that are intended specifically for non-management directors should be sent to the e-mail address above to the attention of the Lead Independent Director.

Governance Guidelines and Committee Charters

The Company's Governance Guidelines, which satisfy the NYSE's listing standards for "corporate governance guidelines," as well as the charters for each of the committees of the Board, are available at *http://investors.guess.com*. Any person may request a copy of the Company's Governance Guidelines or the charter of any of the committees of the Board, at no cost, by writing to us at the following address: Guess?, Inc., Attn: General Counsel, 1444 South Alameda Street, Los Angeles, California 90021.

Code of Ethics

The policies comprising our code of ethics are set forth in the Company's Code of Ethics (the "Code of Ethics"). These policies satisfy the SEC's requirements for a "code of ethics," and apply to all directors, officers (including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer and controller) and employees. The Code of Ethics is published on our website at *http://investors.guess.com*. Any person may request a copy of the Code of Ethics, at no cost, by writing to us at the following address: Guess?, Inc., Attn: General Counsel, 1444 South Alameda Street, Los Angeles, California 90021.

Indemnification of Directors

The General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware provides that a company may indemnify its directors and officers as to certain liabilities. The Company's Restated Certificate of Incorporation and Amended and Restated Bylaws provide for the indemnification of its directors and officers to the fullest extent permitted by law, and the Company has entered into separate indemnification agreements with certain directors and officers to effectuate these provisions and has purchased directors' and officers' liability insurance. The effect of such provisions is to indemnify, to the fullest extent permitted by law, the directors and officers of the Company against all costs, expenses and liabilities incurred by them in connection with any action, suit or proceeding in which they are involved by reason of their affiliation with the Company.

EXECUTIVE AND DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors is responsible for establishing and governing the compensation and benefit practices of the Company. The Compensation Committee reviews and approves the general compensation policies of the Company, oversees the administration of all of the Company's compensation and benefit plans and reviews and approves compensation of the executive officers of the Company. The Compensation Committee Charter requires that the Compensation Committee consist of no fewer than two Board members who satisfy the independence requirements of the NYSE, including such additional requirements specific to membership on the Compensation Committee. At all times during fiscal 2016, the Compensation Committee consisted of four Board members, each of whom the Board affirmatively determined satisfied these independence requirements. The Compensation Committee may form and delegate authority to subcommittees when appropriate, although the Compensation Committee did not delegate its authority to any subcommittee in fiscal 2016.

The Compensation Committee Charter sets forth the purpose of and other matters pertaining to the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee Charter is available on the Company's website at *http://investors.guess.com*. Pursuant to its Charter, the Compensation Committee's responsibilities include the following:

review and approve the corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer and other officers of the Company;

evaluate the Chief Executive Officer's performance in light of such goals and objectives;

set officers' compensation levels, including base salary, annual incentive opportunities, long-term incentive opportunities and benefits;

review and approve employment, consulting, severance or retirement arrangements and/or change in control agreements or provisions covering any current or former officers of the Company;

review and recommend to the Board appropriate director compensation programs for non-employee directors;

review its own performance and assess the adequacy of its Charter;

approve stock option grants and other equity-based or incentive awards;

the authority to retain and terminate any compensation consultant or other advisor used to assist in the evaluation of officer or director compensation, including to approve the advisor's fees and other retention terms; and

produce a report of the Compensation Committee and review and recommend to management the inclusion of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section to be included in the Company's annual proxy statement.

The Compensation Committee is solely responsible for making the final decisions on compensation for the Named Executive Officers (as defined under "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" below). While the Compensation Committee reviews and makes recommendations regarding compensation paid to the non-employee directors, the compensation for these directors is ultimately determined by the Board. Equity awards to all employees, including all officers subject to Section 16 of the Exchange Act, are made by the Compensation Committee. During fiscal 2016, the Compensation Committee met eight times and took action by written consent seven times.

As indicated above, pursuant to its Charter, the Compensation Committee is authorized to retain and terminate any compensation consultant engaged to assist in the evaluation of the compensation of our officers (including all of the Named Executive Officers). The Compensation Committee has

engaged Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. ("FW Cook") as its compensation consultant. During fiscal 2016, FW Cook assisted the Compensation Committee (1) in its shareholder outreach efforts concerning executive compensation matters; (2) in its development of structural changes to the executive compensation program for fiscal 2016 in light of investor feedback; and (3) in its review of compensation terms and structure for Victor Herrero, the Company's new Chief Executive Officer, and Paul Marciano, in his new role as Executive Chairman and Chief Creative Officer, including assembling and analyzing compensation data for comparable positions at the peer group of companies identified in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section below. During fiscal 2017, FW Cook continued assisting the Compensation Committee in its development and design of a new long-term incentive plan for management, as discussed further in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section below.

Non-Employee Director Compensation Fiscal 2016

Compensation for individuals who were members of our Board of Directors at any time during fiscal 2016 and who were not also our employees (referred to herein as "Non-Employee Directors") generally consisted of annual retainers, fees for attending meetings and equity awards. The compensation paid to Mr. Paul Marciano and Mr. Herrero, directors who also served as executive officers of the Company during fiscal 2016, is presented below in the "Summary Compensation Table" and the related explanatory tables covering compensation paid to certain of our executive officers. While employed by the Company, employee-directors are not entitled to receive additional compensation for their services as directors. The following table presents information regarding the compensation paid to our Non-Employee Directors with respect to fiscal 2016.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash(\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(1)(2)(3)	All Other Compensation(\$)	Total(\$)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
Maurice Marciano(4)	41,000	179,886	20,077	240,963
Gianluca Bolla	60,500	179,985		240,485
Anthony Chidoni	88,000	179,886		267,886
Joseph Gromek	53,250	179,886		233,136
Kay Isaacson-Leibowitz	71,500	179,886		251,386
Alex Yemenidjian	85,500	179,886		265,386

(1)

The amounts reported in Column (c) reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of stock awards granted in fiscal 2016 (disregarding any estimate of forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions). For a discussion of the assumptions and methodologies used to calculate the amounts reported, please see the discussion of equity awards contained in Note 19 (Share-Based Compensation) to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements, included as part of the Company's Fiscal 2016 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(2)

On February 2, 2015, the Company granted each of our then-serving Non-Employee Directors, other than Gianluca Bolla, an annual award of 9,873 shares of restricted stock. Mr. Bolla (who is a non-U.S. resident) was granted an annual award of 9,873 restricted stock units. Each of the restricted stock awards had a value equal to \$179,886 on the grant date, and the restricted stock unit award for Mr. Bolla had a grant date value equal to \$179,985. See footnote (1) above for the assumptions used to value these awards.

(3)

The following table presents the number of shares subject to outstanding and unexercised option awards and the number of unvested stock awards held by each of our Non-Employee Directors as of January 30, 2016.

Director	Number of Shares Subject to Outstanding and Unexercised Option Awards	Number of Unvested Stock Awards
Maurice Marciano	145,475	9,873
Gianluca Bolla		9,873
Anthony Chidoni	5,660	9,873
Joseph Gromek		9,873
Kay Isaacson-Leibowitz	12,442	9,873
Alex Yemenidjian	5,660	9,873

(4)

The amount shown in Column (d) for Maurice Marciano consists of expenses related to a Company-provided automobile. See also " Maurice Marciano Retirement" below.

Annual Retainer and Meeting Fees

The following table sets forth the schedule of annual cash retainers and meeting fees in effect during fiscal 2016 for each Non-Employee Director:

	Dollar
Type of Fee	Amount(\$)
Annual Board Retainer	35,000
Additional Annual Retainer to Chair of Audit Committee	20,000
Additional Annual Retainer to Chair of Compensation Committee	17,500
Additional Annual Retainer to Chair of Nominating and Governance Committee	12,500
Additional Attendance Fee per Committee Meeting Attended	1,500
Additional Attendance Fee per Board Meeting Attended	1,500

All Non-Employee Directors are eligible to defer up to 100% of their annual retainer and meeting fees under the Company's Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan, as more fully described below under " Compensation Discussion and Analysis Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan." All Non-Employee Directors are also reimbursed for out-of-pocket expenses they incur serving as directors.

Equity Awards

Our Non-Employee Directors are granted equity awards under the Company's Director Plan. Non-Employee Directors who have not been an employee of the Company at any time during the immediately preceding 12 months are entitled to receive an award of a number of restricted shares (or restricted stock units for non-U.S. residents) equal in value to \$180,000 on the first business day of each fiscal year. In the case of restricted shares, the award recipient is required to pay a purchase price of \$0.01 per share. The number of restricted shares or restricted stock units awarded is determined by dividing the applicable dollar amount by the closing price of a share of Common Stock on the NYSE on the date of grant and rounding down to the nearest whole share. Our Non-Employee Directors are subject to the Company's Stock Ownership Guidelines, as described in more detail under "Compensation Discussion and Analysis Stock Ownership Guidelines" below.

Subject to continued service, each restricted stock or restricted stock unit award granted under the Director Plan becomes vested and non-forfeitable as to 100% of the shares or units subject to such award on the first to occur of (i) the first year anniversary of the date of grant or (ii) a termination of service if the Non-Employee Director has completed a full term of service and he or she does not stand for re-election at the completion of such term. Non-Employee Directors are entitled to voting and dividend rights with respect to the restricted shares. In the event of a "change in control" of the

Company (as defined in the Director Plan), all restricted shares and restricted stock units then outstanding will vest 100% free of restrictions as of the date of the change in control. Unless otherwise determined by the Board, if a Non-Employee Director's service as a director terminates for any reason other than a termination in the circumstances described above, any restricted shares or restricted stock units that are not fully vested and free from restriction as of the director's termination of service will automatically be forfeited and returned to the Company.

Maurice Marciano Retirement

After serving for over 30 years as an executive and leader for Guess, co-founder Maurice Marciano retired from his position as executive Chairman of the Board and as an employee of the Company upon the expiration of his employment agreement on January 28, 2012. Mr. Maurice Marciano continues to serve as Chairman Emeritus and member of the Board, for which he is eligible to receive the compensation provided to the Company's Non-Employee Directors, as described above. In addition, under the terms of his previously existing employment agreement, the Company and Mr. Maurice Marciano entered into a consulting agreement (the "Marciano Consulting Agreement") in conjunction with his retirement under which Mr. Maurice Marciano continued to provide valuable insight, guidance and strategic direction to the Company as a consultant through January 28, 2015. Although Mr. Maurice Marciano continues to provide substantial contributions to the Company that the Compensation Committee considers to be over and above those normally provided by a Board member, the Compensation Committee and Mr. Maurice Marciano agreed to allow the Marciano Consulting Agreement to expire as of January 28, 2015 without further extending the term. The Company continues to provide Mr. Maurice Marciano with an automobile for his use, in the same manner consistent with past practice, based on his continuing substantial contributions to the Company. In addition, as required by the terms of his previous employment agreement, Mr. Maurice Marciano is entitled to receive lifetime retiree and family medical coverage. Mr. Maurice Marciano is also entitled to his fully vested benefits (based on his prior employment) pursuant to the standard terms of the Company's Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, Deferred Compensation Plan and 401(k) Plan.

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis provides an overview of the Company's executive compensation program, including a description of the Company's compensation philosophies and objectives and a discussion of the material elements of compensation awarded to, earned by or paid to the following executive officers (or former executive officers, as the case may be), referred to in this Proxy Statement as the "Named Executive Officers":

Paul Marciano, Chief Creative Officer and Executive Chairman;

Victor Herrero, Chief Executive Officer;

Sandeep Reddy, Chief Financial Officer; and

Michael Relich, former Chief Operating Officer.

Mr. Paul Marciano served as the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Vice Chairman until August 1, 2015, and has served as the Company's Executive Chairman and Chief Creative Officer since that date. Mr. Herrero was appointed Executive Vice President of the Company in connection with his joining the Company on July 7, 2015, and became the Company's Chief Executive Officer on August 1, 2015. Mr. Relich resigned from his position as Chief Operating Officer effective April 29, 2016.

Shareholder Engagement

The Compensation Committee values the input of our shareholders regarding the design and effectiveness of our executive compensation program. At our 2014 annual meeting of shareholders, just 35% of the votes cast in our advisory "say-on-pay" shareholder vote supported the overall

compensation of our executives, sending a clear message of disapproval that the Compensation Committee took very seriously. To better understand shareholder perspective on this important topic, the Chairperson of the Compensation Committee led a shareholder outreach effort prior to the 2015 proxy season which included direct discussions with shareholders representing an estimated 63% of the issued and outstanding shares of our Common Stock held by persons other than insiders.

While investors had varying perspectives, a few common themes emerged from the discussions. These themes included a desire to see (1) a greater link between CEO pay and Company performance, (2) less Compensation Committee discretion with respect to cash bonus awards for executives, (3) longer performance periods for performance-based equity awards and (4) performance metrics that are viewed to more closely link executive pay with shareholder value, such as total shareholder return.

The Compensation Committee carefully considered the perspectives heard during the investor outreach efforts and reviewed the results of the discussions over several months. Following a detailed review process, the Compensation Committee decided to make certain structural changes to our executive compensation program for fiscal 2016 to directly address the comments from our shareholders. The Compensation Committee feels strongly that these structural changes, as described in more detail below, address many of the key concerns raised by investors. It also believes that the revised framework for fiscal 2016 reflects many of the best practices in executive compensation today, has increased the emphasis on performance in the program and will result in an even greater alignment between the interests of our Named Executive Officers and those of our shareholders.

The Chairperson of the Compensation Committee continued these shareholder outreach efforts following the filing of the Company's 2015 proxy statement by reaching out again to this top shareholder group and holding direct discussions with those that expressed an interest in further engagement at that time. In addition, the structural changes to executive compensation developed for fiscal 2016 as a result of the shareholder outreach efforts were also incorporated into the Company's fiscal 2017 programs, including the compensation program for the Company's new CEO, Mr. Herrero. The Compensation Committee considers shareholder engagement to be an important part of its decision making process and plans to continue its outreach efforts again this year and in future years in order to stay abreast of shareholder perspectives.

Overview of Fiscal 2016 Results and Executive Compensation Actions

Leadership Changes

Effective August 1, 2015, Victor Herrero was named the new CEO of Guess?, Inc. This was a significant event for the Company, which was founded by the Marciano brothers and had always had a Marciano as its CEO. Most recently, Paul Marciano had served as sole CEO since 2007 and as Co-CEO for the eight years before that. In connection with Mr. Herrero's appointment as CEO, Mr. Paul Marciano transitioned to his new role as Executive Chairman and Chief Creative Officer, while also actively working to ensure a successful transition of the CEO role to Mr. Herrero. Mr. Herrero immediately began assessing the strategic direction of the Company, identifying and executing on the following key initiatives focused on driving shareholder value:

(1)

elevating the quality of the Company's sales organization and merchandising strategy to match the quality of our product and marketing;

building a major business in Asia by unlocking the potential of the Guess? brand in the region;

(3)

(2)

creating a culture of purpose and accountability throughout the entire Company by implementing a more centralized organizational structure that reinforces our focus on sales and profitability;

(4)

improving the Company's cost structure (including supply chain and overhead); and

(5)

stabilizing and revitalizing the Company's wholesale business.

Fiscal 2016 Results

While the management team, under the direction of Mr. Herrero, has begun to implement these strategic initiatives, there is clearly much more to be done as the Company moves forward in what has been and continues to be a difficult and fiercely competitive environment in the global retail apparel marketplace. Despite this environment, the early results of these new initiatives and the Company's other continuing initiatives provided some positive results in fiscal 2016. For instance, in Europe, the Company's retail business performed extremely well and delivered positive comparable store sales in the high-single digits during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2016. In the Americas, the Company's retail business in the U.S. and Canada also achieved positive comparable store sales in constant currency during the fourth quarter. Overall, total net revenue decreased 9% to \$2.20 billion for fiscal 2016, from \$2.42 billion in the prior year. However, excluding the negative impact from currency fluctuations, the Company's consolidated revenues were relatively flat, decreasing just 1% in constant currency compared to the prior year. Although diluted earnings per share decreased to \$0.96 per share for fiscal 2016, from \$1.11 per share in fiscal 2015, the Company estimates that the negative impact from currency fluctuations on diluted earnings per common share for fiscal 2016 was approximately \$0.43 per share. In a year like this with significant challenges presented by negative impacts from currency fluctuations, the Company thinks it is important to focus on the underlying results excluding the impact of currency. From a balance sheet perspective, the Company ended fiscal 2016 with cash and cash equivalents of \$445 million and continued to demonstrate our commitment to delivering value to our shareholders by returning \$121 million in the form of dividends and share buybacks during fiscal 2016. Please see "Non-GAAP Measures" on pages 42 and 43 of the Company's Fiscal 2016 Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information regarding the Company's disclosure of certain non-GAAP financial information, including constant currency information, contained herein.

Executive Compensation Actions

This mid-year leadership transition resulted in two distinct timeframes for the actions of the Compensation Committee for fiscal 2016: pre-leadership change and post-leadership change, as further described below. During the pre-leadership change timeframe, the Compensation Committee made significant structural changes to the fiscal 2016 compensation arrangements for Mr. Paul Marciano (CEO at that time) and the other executive officers based in large part on feedback received directly from investors during the aforementioned shareholder outreach efforts. During the post-leadership change timeframe, the Compensation Committee negotiated the compensation arrangements for Mr. Herrero as the Company's new CEO and the significantly reduced compensation arrangements for Mr. Paul Marciano in his new role as Executive Chairman and Chief Creative Officer.

Pre-Leadership Change Executive Compensation Highlights for Fiscal 2016 included:

One-half of Mr. Paul Marciano's equity award for fiscal 2016 (based on the grant date fair value) was a restricted stock unit award with vesting subject to *both* a three-year relative total stockholder return ("TSR") measure versus a peer group of companies (a measure frequently cited by our investors during shareholder outreach efforts as one that is preferred as closely linked to shareholder value) and to continued service through the end of fiscal 2018.

The remaining one-half of Mr. Paul Marciano's equity award for fiscal 2016 was a restricted stock unit award with vesting subject to *both* the achievement of a threshold level of earnings from operations derived from the Company's licensing segment for fiscal 2016 and to continued service through the end of fiscal 2018.

In recent years prior to fiscal 2016, the Company's cash awards for the Named Executive Officers were determined entirely within the Compensation Committee's discretion, subject to a



maximum amount for each executive determined based on our financial performance. For fiscal 2016, however, in response to feedback received from our stockholders to reduce discretion, the Compensation Committee revised our program so that final cash award amounts for the Named Executive Officers would be determined based on the Company's earnings from operations during the fiscal year relative to performance targets considered by the Compensation Committee to be rigorous.

Post-Leadership Change Executive Compensation Highlights for Fiscal 2016 included:

In connection with his hiring by the Company and appointment as of August 1, 2015 as Chief Executive Officer, the Company entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Herrero that provided for ongoing target total direct annual compensation of \$5.4 million (conservatively positioned well below the peer group median), consisting of:

Base Salary of \$1.2 million

Annual target cash bonus opportunity of \$2.4 million (200% of base salary)

Annual target equity award opportunity of \$1.8 million (150% of base salary)

The Agreement with Mr. Herrero also provided for equity awards as an "inducement" to join the Company consisting of: an award of restricted stock units (valued at \$5.0 million) subject to both a performance-based vesting requirement and a four-year ratable vesting schedule, and a stock option award (valued at \$2.3 million) subject to a four-year ratable vesting schedule. In addition, to help compensate him for incentives with his former employer that he forfeited on joining the Company, Mr. Herrero received "sign-on" awards consisting of: fully-vested shares (valued at \$3.0 million) and a cash award of \$2.0 million, each of which is subject to repayment to the Company if he voluntarily terminates his employment with the Company within one year after his start date. The terms of Mr. Herrero's compensation were negotiated with him, and the Compensation Committee believed they provided appropriate incentives for Mr. Herrero to accept employment with the Company, to promote the growth of the Company and to create value for our stockholders.

In connection with his transition from CEO to Executive Chairman and Chief Creative Officer, the Company entered into an agreement with Mr. Paul Marciano that provided for a substantial 62% reduction in his base salary, to \$570,000, and a corresponding reduction in (a) his annual cash bonus opportunity (which is expressed as a percentage of his base salary) to a target of \$2.28 million and (b) his annual equity opportunity. The effective date of these changes was determined to be February 1, 2016, in order to align with the expiration of Mr. Paul Marciano's prior employment agreement and to recognize the significant effort expected in assisting with the transition of leadership to Mr. Herrero during the remainder of fiscal 2016. As a result of these changes, Mr. Paul Marciano's target total direct annual compensation for fiscal 2017 is substantially lower than for fiscal 2016 as discussed in the "Fiscal 2017 Target Direct Compensation Framework for CEO and Executive Chairman" section below.

In making its compensation decisions related to the hiring of Mr. Herrero and transition of Mr. Paul Marciano, the Committee considered that the combined new ongoing target total direct annual compensation amounts negotiated at the time for Mr. Herrero (excluding his special one-time "inducement" and "sign-on" awards as those awards were intended to replace compensation opportunities Mr. Herrero forfeited from his prior employment in joining the Company and to induce Mr. Herrero to accept his employment offer from the Company) and Mr. Paul Marciano taken together was lower than the prior target total direct annual compensation amount for Mr. Paul Marciano alone.

Based on the Company's final results for fiscal 2016, pursuant to the pre-established performance goals set for cash awards, Mr. Paul Marciano earned a cash bonus for fiscal 2016 of

\$3.695 million, or approximately 61.5% of target level. However, the Committee applied negative discretion to reduce this amount by \$695,000 to \$3.0 million (or 50% of target level), an amount that the Committee thought to be more reflective of the Company's overall performance for fiscal 2016.

With respect to Mr. Relich and Mr. Reddy, the Compensation Committee determined, based on their fiscal 2016 performance, including their significant individual efforts during the leadership transition and resulting strategic changes, to provide for (i) cash awards in accordance with the pre-established formula (approximately 61.5% of target level) and (ii) equity awards in the form of stock options and restricted stock awards under the annual performance-based program with grant-date values that were near the maximum eligible payout levels.

For more information regarding how the fiscal 2016 compensation decisions and results described above translated into "realizable pay" for Mr. Paul Marciano and Mr. Herrero, see the additional disclosure below under the heading " Realizable Compensation for CEO and Executive Chairman." For more information regarding the target total direct annual compensation framework established by the Compensation Committee for Mr. Paul Marciano and Mr. Herrero with respect to fiscal 2017, see " Fiscal 2017 Target Direct Compensation Framework for CEO and Executive Chairman" below.

Realizable Compensation for CEO and Executive Chairman

The realizable compensation amounts for 2016 (determined as described below) for Mr. Paul Marciano and Mr. Herrero are less than their respective fiscal 2016 total compensation amounts as reported in the "Summary Compensation Table" on page 65, principally because the final vesting, if any, for Mr. Paul Marciano's 2016 TSR Award won't be known until the end of fiscal 2018 and, for Mr. Herrero, the value of his stock option awarded in fiscal 2016 is dependent on appreciation in the value of the Company's Common Stock. SEC rules require that all stock options and stock awards be reported in the Summary Compensation Table for the year in which they were granted to the Named Executive Officer based on their respective fair values determined at the time of grant of the awards, even if such awards were scheduled to vest in later years, and even if such awards were subsequently forfeited (such as, for example, because an applicable performance-based vesting condition was not satisfied) or dependent on future stock price appreciation (such as in the case of stock options). The following table shows the "realizable" compensation for fiscal 2016 for Mr. Paul Marciano and Mr. Herrero compared against each executive's total compensation reported in the Summary Compensation Table for fiscal 2016. For these purposes, "realizable" compensation is determined in the same manner as total compensation is reported in the Summary Compensation Table, but adjusted to take into account (1) any performance-based equity awards granted during the fiscal year where the performance-based vesting results have not yet been determined, (2) the value of stock options granted during the year based on the difference between the fair market value of the shares subject to the option and the exercise price of the option, and (3) the change in the value of our Common Stock subject to other equity awards granted during the year. As the final vesting for Mr. Paul Marciano's 2016 TSR Performance Share Award will not be determined until the completion of fiscal 2018, fiscal 2016 realizable compensation for Mr. Paul Marciano does not include any value as to that award. In addition, Mr. Paul Marciano's 2016 realizable compensation attributable to his 2016 Licensing Award, and Mr. Herrero's 2016 realizable compensation attributable to his 2016 New-Hire Performance Share Award, is based on the value of the award at the end of fiscal 2016. Consistent with the intent that equity awards align our executives' interests with those of our shareholders, the value of the shares of our Common Stock subject to (i) Mr. Paul Marciano's 2016 Licensing Award at the end of fiscal 2016 (plus dividend equivalents attributable to those shares with respect to fiscal 2016) was greater than the value of the same number of shares at the time the award was originally granted and (ii) Mr. Herrero's 2016 New-Hire Performance Share Award at the end of fiscal 2016 (plus dividend equivalents attributable to those shares with respect to fiscal 2016) was less than the value of the same number of shares at the time the award was originally granted. Similarly, the fiscal 2016 realizable compensation

for Mr. Herrero's stock options granted in fiscal 2016 reflect the difference between the value of the shares subject to the award at the end of fiscal 2016 and the exercise price of the award, and not the grant date fair value of the award used for accounting purposes.

As shown in the CEO and Executive Chairman Realizable Compensation Table below, Mr. Paul Marciano's total realizable compensation calculated in this manner was \$8,119,935 for fiscal 2016, which is \$3,120,735 *less* than his fiscal 2016 total compensation as required to be disclosed in the Summary Compensation Table. Similarly, Mr. Herrero's total realizable compensation calculated in this manner was \$11,551,645 for fiscal 2016, which is \$2,538,440 *less* than his fiscal 2016 total compensation as required to be disclosed in the Summary Compensation Table. The table below supplements, and should be read in connection with, the Summary Compensation Table.

CEO and Executive Chairman Realizable Compensation Table Fiscal 2016

Name and Principal Position Paul Marciano Executive Chairman and Chief Creative Officer	Fiscal Period 2016	Salary (\$) 1,500,000	Bonus/ Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) 3,000,000	Stock and Option Awards (\$)(1) 3,379,265	All Other Compensation (\$) 240,670	Total Realizable	in the Summary Compensation	Compensation as Reported in Summary Compensation Table (\$)
Victor Herrero Chief Executive Officer	2016	687,692	3,000,000	7,752,000	111,953	11,551,645	14,090,085	(2,538,440)

(1)

For Mr. Paul Marciano, the dollar amount shown in this column is equal to the product of (1) the number of shares of Company Common Stock subject to the 2015 Licensing Award as of January 31, 2016, multiplied by (2) \$19.215 (which is the sum of (a) \$18.54, the closing market price of the Company's Common Stock on January 29, 2016, the last trading day of fiscal 2016, plus (b) \$0.675, the aggregate cash value of the dividends paid by the Company on a share of its Common Stock from the time of grant until the end of fiscal 2016, which are being taken into account since Mr. Paul Marciano is entitled to dividend equivalents on the shares subject to his 2016 Licensing Award). No value is included as to Mr. Paul Marciano's 2016 TSR Award since the final vesting, if any, will not be determined until the completion of fiscal 2018.

For Mr. Herrero, the dollar amount shown in this column is equal to (1) the grant date value of the 150,000 fully-vested restricted stock units he was granted in connection with joining the Company (\$3,004,500), (2) the product of (a) the number of shares of Company Common Stock subject to Mr. Herrero's 2016 New-Hire Performance Share Award as of January 31, 2016, multiplied by (b) \$18.99 (which is the sum of (a) \$18.54, the closing market price of the Company's Common Stock on January 29, 2016, the last trading day of fiscal 2016, plus (b) \$0.45, the aggregate cash value of the dividends paid by the Company on a share of its Common Stock from the time of grant until the end of fiscal 2016, which are being taken into account since Mr. Herrero is entitled to dividend equivalents on the shares subject to his 2016 New-Hire Performance Share Award), plus (3) \$0 for the 600,000 stock options granted to Mr. Herrero in fiscal 2016 since the per share exercise price of the options (\$20.03) exceeded the closing market price of the Company's Common Stock on January 29, 2016.

Fiscal 2017 Target Direct Compensation Framework for CEO and Executive Chairman

As described above, in making its compensation decisions related to the hiring of Mr. Herrero and transition of Mr. Paul Marciano, the Committee considered that the combined new ongoing target total direct annual compensation amounts negotiated at the time for Mr. Herrero (excluding his special one-time "inducement" and "sign-on" awards as those awards were intended to replace compensation opportunities Mr. Herrero forfeited from his prior employment in joining the Company and to induce Mr. Herrero to accept his employment offer from the Company) and Mr. Paul Marciano taken together was lower than the prior target total direct annual compensation amount for Mr. Paul Marciano alone. However, since Mr. Herrero joined the Company mid-year in fiscal 2016 and the Compensation Committee determined that the effective date of the changes to Mr. Paul Marciano's

compensation would take effect February 1, 2016 in order to align with the expiration of Mr. Paul Marciano's prior employment agreement and to recognize the significant effort expected in assisting with the transition of leadership to Mr. Herrero during fiscal 2016, the impact of these significant reductions will not been seen until fiscal 2017. To illustrate the impact of these significant reductions, the tables below present, for Mr. Paul Marciano and Mr. Herrero, their respective annualized base salary levels, target annual cash opportunity, and grant date value of stock and option awards granted during the fiscal year, for both fiscal 2016 and fiscal 2017.

Target Total Direct Annual Compensation Mr. Paul Marciano

	Annual Base Salary	Target Bonus/ Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation	Grant Date Value of Stock and Option Awards	Total Target Direct Annual Compensation
Fiscal Year	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)(1)	(\$)
2016	1,500,000	6,000,000	6,500,000	14,000,000
2017	570,000	2,280,000	3,469,995	6,319,995

Target Total Direct Annual Compensation Mr. Herrero

	Annual Base Salary	Target Bonus/ Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation	Grant Date Value of Stock and Option Awards	Total Target Direct Annual Compensation
Fiscal Year	(\$)	(\$)(2)	(\$)(1)	(\$)
2016	1,200,000	3,000,000	10,290,440	14,490,440
2017	1,200,000	2,400,000	2,799,996	6,399,995

(1)

Calculated as described in footnote (1) to the Summary Compensation Table, with awards subject to performance-based vesting requirements valued based on