

PHARMION CORP
Form 10-K
March 16, 2006

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
Form 10-K**

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number: 000-50447

Pharmion Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

**2525 28th Street, Suite 200,
Boulder, Colorado**

(Address of principal executive offices)

84-1521333

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

80301

(Zip Code)

720-564-9100

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

None

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Common Stock, \$.001 par value per share

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange

Edgar Filing: PHARMION CORP - Form 10-K

Act. Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of June 30, 2005, the aggregate market value of the registrant's voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$628,659,909 based on the closing sale price of our common stock as reported on the National Association of Securities Dealers Automated Quotation System National Market System.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding at March 14, 2006
Common Stock, \$.001 par value per share	31,921,740 shares

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Document	Parts Into Which Incorporated
Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held June 8, 2006 (Proxy Statement)	Part III

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	<u>PART I</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Business</u>	1
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	24
<u>Item 1B.</u>	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	35
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Properties</u>	35
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	35
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	36
	<u>PART II</u>	
<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	37
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	38
<u>Item 7.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	40
<u>Item 7A.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	51
<u>Item 8.</u>	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	51
<u>Item 9.</u>	<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	51
<u>Item 9A.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	51
<u>Item 9B.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	54
	<u>PART III</u>	
<u>Item 10.</u>	<u>Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant</u>	54
<u>Item 11.</u>	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	54
<u>Item 12.</u>	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	54
<u>Item 13.</u>	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u>	54
<u>Item 14.</u>	<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	54
	<u>PART IV</u>	
<u>Item 15.</u>	<u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	55
	<u>Manufacturing and Service Contract</u>	
	<u>Co-Development and License Agreement</u>	
	<u>Supply Agreement</u>	
	<u>2000 Stock Incentive Plan</u>	
	<u>Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	
	<u>Section 302 Certification for President and CEO</u>	
	<u>Section 302 Certification for CFO</u>	
	<u>Section 906 Certification for President and CEO and CFO</u>	

Table of Contents**PART I**

Unless the context requires otherwise, references in this report to Pharmion, the Company, we, us, and our to Pharmion Corporation.

All statements, trend analysis and other information contained in this Form 10-K and the information incorporated by reference which are not historical in nature are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private-Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements include, without limitation, discussion relative to markets for our products and trends in revenue, gross margins and anticipated expense levels, as well as other statements including words such as anticipate, believe, plan, estimate, expect and intend and other similar expressions. All statements regarding our expected financial position and operating results, business strategy, financing plans, forecast trends relating to our industry are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to business and economic risks and uncertainties, and our actual results of operations may differ materially from those contained in the forward-looking statements. Although we may elect to update forward-looking statements in the future, we specifically disclaim any obligation to do so, even if our estimates change, and readers should not rely on those forward-looking statements as representing our views as of any date subsequent to the date of this annual report.

Item 1. Business.**Overview**

We are a global pharmaceutical company focused on acquiring, developing and commercializing innovative products for the treatment of hematology and oncology patients. We have established our own regulatory, development and sales and marketing organizations covering the U.S., Europe and Australia. We have also developed a distributor network to cover the hematology and oncology markets in 22 additional countries throughout Europe, the Middle East and Asia. To date, we have acquired the rights to six products. With our combination of regulatory, development and commercial capabilities, we intend to continue to build a balanced portfolio of approved and pipeline products targeting the hematology and oncology markets. We had total net sales of \$221.2 million in 2005, \$130.2 million in 2004 and \$25.5 million in 2003.

Our current product portfolio consists of the following six products:

Vidaza® (azacitidine for injectable suspension) We obtained worldwide commercialization rights to Vidaza from Pharmacia & Upjohn Company, now part of Pfizer, Inc., in June 2001. In 2004, we received full approval from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, for the treatment for Myelodysplastic Syndromes, or MDS. Vidaza is the first approved treatment for MDS, and the first of a new class of drugs known as demethylating agents to be approved. We launched Vidaza for commercial sale in the U.S. in July 2004 and we are seeking approval to market Vidaza in Europe. We currently have an ongoing Phase III clinical trial in MDS patients examining the effect of Vidaza on the survival of 354 high risk MDS patients as compared to treatment with best supportive care with or without a chemotherapy agent. Initial data from this study is expected to be available in late 2006 or early 2007. Pending the outcome of the trial, we intend to use initial data generated in the study as the basis of a submission of a Marketing Authorization Application (MAA) to the European Agency for the Evaluation of Medicinal Products (EMA) in 2007. In 2005, net sales of Vidaza were \$125.6 million, which represented approximately 57% of our total net sales for 2005, compared to \$47.1 million in 2004 (6 months only), or approximately 36% of total net sales for 2004.

Thalidomide Pharmion 50mgtm (thalidomide) We obtained commercialization rights to thalidomide from Celgene Corporation for all countries outside of North America and certain Asian markets. Thalidomide has become a standard of care for the treatment of relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma, a cancer of the plasma cells in the bone marrow and there is a growing body of data that demonstrates its benefit as a first-line treatment of this disease. We began selling thalidomide in Europe on a compassionate use or named patient basis under a risk management program in the third quarter of 2003 while we seek full regulatory approval for this drug in Europe and several additional countries. Thalidomide Pharmion 50mg has been approved as a treatment for relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma in Australia, New Zealand, Turkey and Israel.

Table of Contents

Although thalidomide has become a standard of care for the treatment of relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma, these regulatory approvals represent the first, and to date, only, regulatory approvals for this indication. Pending further data review, we expect that results from the pivotal Phase III clinical trial in multiple myeloma trial that we announced in January 2006 will form the basis of an MAA submission to the EMEA for thalidomide in the first-line treatment of multiple myeloma by early 2007. In 2005, net sales of thalidomide were \$79.4 million, which represented approximately 36% of our total net sales for 2005, compared to \$65.3 million in 2004, or approximately 50% of total net sales for 2004, and \$15.6 million in 2003, or approximately 61% of total net sales for 2003.

Satraplatin In December 2005, we obtained commercialization rights to satraplatin from GPC Biotech AG for Europe, Turkey, the Middle East, Australia and New Zealand. Satraplatin is the only oral platinum-based compound in advanced clinical development. Satraplatin has shown promising safety and efficacy as demonstrated by improvement in progression-free survival in a randomized study of first-line treatment of patients with hormone-refractory prostate cancer (HRPC) and is currently the subject of a Phase III registrational trial as second-line chemotherapy treatment for patients with HRPC. If the results of this pivotal Phase III trial are positive, we expect data from the trial to form the basis of a MAA in Europe that we expect to submit to the EMEA in early 2007. We are exploring, in collaboration with GPC Biotech, the efficacy of satraplatin for other indications.

MGCD0103 In January 2006, we obtained commercialization rights from MethylGene Inc. for MethylGene's histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors in North America, Europe, Middle East and certain other markets, including MGCD0103, MethylGene's lead HDAC inhibitor, as well as MethylGene's pipeline of second-generation HDAC inhibitor compounds for oncology indications. As a single agent therapy, MGCD0103 has completed one Phase I clinical trial with daily dosing in solid tumors and a second Phase I clinical trial is underway in solid tumors. Two Phase I clinical trials are ongoing in hematological cancers. A Phase I/II combination trial with our DNA methylation inhibitor Vidaza was initiated in November 2005 and enrollment is under way at major cancer centers in the United States. Additional combination Phase I/II and monotherapy Phase II trials are expected to begin in 2006.

Our licensing agreement with MethylGene for their oncology HDAC inhibitor program represents our growing commitment to the development of drugs for the epigenetic control of cancer. Both Vidaza, a demethylating agent and MGCD0103, an HDAC inhibitor, demonstrate specific effects on the regulation of gene expression. DNA methylation and histone deacetylation are two of more studied epigenetic regulators of gene expression. Vidaza has been shown to reverse the effects of DNA hypermethylation with subsequent gene re-expression and, likewise, MGCD0103 has been shown, in pre-clinical tests, to reverse the effects of inappropriate deacetylation resulting in gene expression reactivation. In contrast with cytotoxic cancer therapies that kill both normal and cancer cells, epigenetic cancer therapies may enable the reactivation of silenced genes and, thereby, re-establish the cancer cell's natural mechanisms to control abnormal growth.

Innohep (tinzaparin) Innohep is a low molecular weight heparin approved in the U.S. for the treatment of deep vein thrombosis, or DVT, which occurs when a blood clot develops in the deep veins of the legs. We obtained the U.S. rights to this product from LEO Pharma A/S, which markets Innohep in Europe and several additional countries. We re-launched Innohep as a treatment for DVT in cancer patients in the fourth quarter of 2002, and used this drug to establish our U.S. sales and marketing organization.

Refludan (lepirudin) Refludan is an anti-thrombin agent approved in the U.S., Europe and several additional countries for the treatment of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia, or HIT, an allergic, adverse immune response to heparin, resulting in an absence of sufficient cell platelets to enable blood clotting. We obtained rights to this product in all countries outside of the U.S. and Canada from Schering AG. We began selling Refludan in Europe and Australia in the third quarter of 2002, and used this drug to establish our European and Australian sales and marketing organizations. We have no ongoing clinical development program for this drug.

We were incorporated in Delaware in August 1999 and commenced operations in January 2000. Our principal executive offices are located at 2525 28th Street, Boulder, Colorado 80301, and our telephone number is (720) 564-9100. Our website is located at www.pharmion.com. The reference to our website does

Table of Contents

not constitute incorporation by reference of the information contained on our website into this annual report on Form 10-K.

Our periodic and current reports, and all amendments to those reports, are available free of charge, on our website at www.pharmion.com, as soon as reasonably practicable after we have electronically filed them with, or furnished them to, the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Our Strategy

We believe that there are significant opportunities available for a multinational pharmaceutical company with a focus on the hematology and oncology markets. Our strategy for taking advantage of these opportunities includes the following key elements:

Focusing on the hematology and oncology markets. We focus on the hematology and oncology markets for several reasons. The hematology and oncology markets are characterized by a number of disorders with high rates of recurrence and a limited response from current therapies or treatments, many of which include severe side effects. New hematology and oncology product candidates addressing unmet medical needs or providing a superior safety profile are frequently the subject of expedited regulatory reviews and, if effective, can experience rapid adoption rates. While the overall global hematology and oncology markets are substantial, many drugs directed at hematology and oncology patients treat relatively small patient populations or subsets of patients with a specific cancer type. There is a large number of emerging biotechnology companies doing research in hematology and oncology, many of which do not have the global commercial and regulatory capabilities that we have. We believe we can be a regional or global partner for these companies, particularly for compounds that target smaller patient populations. Also, because large, multinational pharmaceutical companies are increasingly seeking products with very large revenue potential, they often do not devote resources to develop drugs they discover with the potential to treat these patient populations, presenting us the opportunity to acquire, develop and market these drugs. There are approximately 11,000 hematologists and oncologists practicing in each of the U.S. and Europe. In addition, a small number of opinion leaders significantly influence the types of drugs prescribed by this group of physicians. We believe that we can effectively reach the hematology and oncology markets with a relatively small sales organization focused on these physicians and opinion leaders.

Expanding and leveraging our global sales and marketing capabilities. We believe that our U.S., European and Australian sales and marketing organizations, combined with our distributor network in other countries, distinguish us from other pharmaceutical companies of our size. In each of these markets, we have developed a highly-trained sales force that targets the hematology and oncology communities in conjunction with medical science liaisons focused on advocate development, educational forums, clinical development strategies and clinical data publications. By managing the global sales and marketing of our products on our own and with our partners, we believe we can provide uniform marketing programs and consistent product positioning and labeling. In addition, we seek consistent pricing across these markets to maximize the commercial potential of our products.

Leveraging our global regulatory expertise. We have assembled a team of highly experienced regulatory professionals with multinational expertise in obtaining regulatory approvals for new drugs and maintaining compliance with the regulations governing the sales, marketing and distribution of pharmaceutical products. While some early stage biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies have developed regulatory capabilities in the country in which they are located, we have built an organization with multinational regulatory expertise. We believe our regulatory experience enables us to devise time and cost-efficient strategies to obtain regulatory approvals for new drugs, and to choose the regulatory pathway that allows us to get a product to market as quickly as possible. We can use our resources efficiently to generate a regulatory submission that can be used in multiple jurisdictions. Our global regulatory expertise is an essential element of effectively evaluating and developing late-stage product candidates. We believe that this provides us with a competitive advantage in attracting biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies with products in development that they want to out-license.

Table of Contents

Acquiring attractive development stage products. We intend to continue to acquire or in-license rights to development-stage products to more fully exploit our regulatory, sales and marketing capabilities and build our product pipeline. To date, we have licensed rights to six products, including three currently approved products, two late-stage development products, including thalidomide which is approved in certain jurisdictions outside the E.U., and one product in earlier stage development. We believe this product mix gives us significant near and intermediate term growth opportunities. Pending data from three Phase III clinical trials studies for three different products, we plan to submit three MAAs to the EMEA in the next 12 to 15 months. Further, we believe certain of our products have the potential to work synergistically with each other. For example, Vidaza and MGCD0103 represent therapies that target two of the more studied epigenetic regulators of gene expression.

Our Products

Our product portfolio is focused on addressing unmet needs in the hematology and oncology markets. We believe these markets present us with significant commercial opportunities. The primary products in our current portfolio include the following compounds.

Product	Disease/Indication	Phase of Development	Licensors	Licensed Territory
Vidaza® (azacitidine for injectable suspension)	Myelodysplastic Syndromes (MDS), Hematological malignancies, and Solid tumors	Approved for MDS in the U.S., South Korea and Switzerland. Ongoing MDS Phase III/IV survival study with initial data expected late 2006 or early 2007. Pending initial data from the Phase III/IV study, European marketing submission expected to be filed in early 2007. Oral formulation in development. Several ongoing Phase I and II single agent and combination studies in MDS, other hematological malignancies and solid tumors.	Pfizer, Inc.	Global rights
Thalidomide Pharmion 50mg tm (thalidomide)	Relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma, newly-diagnosed multiple myeloma	Approved in Australia, New Zealand, Turkey and Israel. Compassionate use and named patient sales ongoing in Europe. Phase III study in relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma currently enrolling	Celgene Corporation	All countries outside North America, Japan and China (except Hong Kong)

patients. Data from
Phase III first-line
myeloma study being
compiled and reviewed.
European marketing
submission expected to
be filed by early 2007.

Table of Contents

Product	Disease/Indication	Phase of Development	Licensors	Licensed Territory
Satraplatin	2nd Line Hormone Refractory Prostate Cancer (HRPC)	Phase III trial in HRPC fully enrolled. Data expected Q4 2006. Pending data, European submission expected Q1 2007 Several Phase I and II single agent and combination studies ongoing in multiple cancers.	GPC Biotech AG	Europe, Turkey, Middle East and Australia and New Zealand
MGCD0103	Hematological Malignancies Solid Tumors	Several Phase I and Phase I/II single agent and combination studies ongoing in hematological and solid tumors.	MethylGene Inc.	U.S., Canada, Europe, Middle East, Turkey, Australia, New Zealand and Thailand

Vidaza

In June 2001, we entered into an agreement with Pharmacia & Upjohn Company, now part of Pfizer, Inc., to obtain the exclusive worldwide manufacturing, marketing and distribution rights to azacitidine, which we market under the trademark Vidaza®. In May 2004, we received full approval from the FDA to market Vidaza in the U.S. for the treatment of all subtypes of MDS. Vidaza was the first drug approved for the treatment of MDS and is still the only drug approved for all subtypes of the disease. It is also the first of a new class of drugs known as demethylating agents to be approved. The subtypes of MDS are: refractory anemia (RA), refractory anemia with ringed sideroblasts (RARS) (if accompanied by neutropenia or thrombocytopenia or requiring transfusions), refractory anemia with excess blasts (RAEB), refractory anemia with excess blasts in transformation (RAEB-T) and chronic myelomonocytic leukemia (CMML).

We launched Vidaza for commercial sale in the U.S. in July 2004. We have assembled a field-based commercial organization in the U.S. of approximately 100 employees, including sales representatives, medical science liaisons, payor relation specialists, nurse educators, national account managers, and field-based management. In addition, we have developed medical education programs geared to hematologists and oncologists, as well as presentations at key industry conferences in support of Vidaza. Vidaza has been granted orphan product designation by the FDA that entitles the drug to market exclusivity for MDS in the U.S. through May 2011. In 2005, net sales of Vidaza were \$125.6 million, compared to \$47.1 million in 2004 (6 months only).

In September 2004 the EMEA accepted for review our Marketing Authorization Application, or MAA, for Vidaza for the treatment of MDS. In November 2005, we announced that the European regulatory authorities will require additional data in order to gain marketing approval for Vidaza in Europe and that we had withdrawn our marketing application. We intend to use data from the ongoing Vidaza survival trial discussed below to submit a new marketing authorization application. We began named patient and compassionate use sales of Vidaza in the fourth quarter of 2005 in the E.U. pending a marketing authorization for Vidaza in the EU. The EMEA granted Vidaza Orphan Product Designation, which, if an MAA for Vidaza is approved, and the criteria for orphan drug designation continue to be met, entitles the drug to ten years of market exclusivity from the date of the MAA's approval for the MDS indication in the EU. During this period the EMEA would be prohibited, except in very limited circumstances, from approving another formulation of Vidaza for the treatment of MDS.

Azacitidine, a pyrimidine nucleoside analog, was originally developed by Upjohn Corporation as a cytotoxic agent, which is an agent that indiscriminately kills actively multiplying cells. Azacitidine was studied at high doses as a treatment for various malignancies, including acute myelogenous leukemia, or AML. A New Drug Application, or NDA, was submitted by Upjohn in 1982 for the treatment of AML, but was

Table of Contents

deemed not approvable by the FDA. Researchers at the National Cancer Institute, or NCI, The Mount Sinai Medical Center and other institutions continued to study azacitidine and determined that it could be used effectively at much lower doses than originally studied by Upjohn, thereby reducing the side effects experienced in the earlier clinical studies. The results of subsequent clinical studies suggest that azacitidine is an effective treatment for MDS.

The recognition that azacitidine could be effective at lower doses was based on the discovery that azacitidine acts not only as a cytotoxic agent, but also through an additional mechanism of action. Azacitidine is a member of a class of drugs in development known as hypomethylating or demethylating agents. Methylation of DNA is a major mechanism regulating gene expression.

Researchers have determined that an increase in specific methylation of DNA results in blockage of the activity of genes that regulate cell division and differentiation, known as suppressor genes. With suppressor genes blocked, cell division becomes unregulated, causing cancer. In studies, researchers have demonstrated that azacitidine can reverse the methylation of DNA, leading to re-expression of suppressor genes and a resulting differentiation and maturation of the cancer cells back to normal.

MDS occurs when blood cells remain in an immature, or blast, stage within the bone marrow and never develop into mature cells capable of performing their necessary functions. More than 80% of MDS cases occur in persons aged 60-80. According to the American Cancer Society, or ACS, the exact number of cases of MDS in the U.S. is unknown, as there is no registry tracking this information; however, most estimates are between 10,000 and 30,000 new cases each year. According to the ACS, these numbers appear to be increasing each year. Currently, we estimate there are approximately 40,000 MDS patients throughout the U.S. with similar incidence and prevalence rates in the E.U. According to the ACS, survival rates range from six months to six years for the different types of MDS. MDS can result in death from bleeding and infection in the majority of patients, while transformation to AML occurs in up to 40% of patients. Following transformation to AML, these patients have an exceptionally poor prognosis. MDS may occur without any identifiable cause, may be related to chemotherapy or radiation therapy being administered to treat other diseases, or may result from exposure to petrochemicals, benzene or rubber. Prior to the availability of Vidaza, patients generally received best supportive care, which typically consisted of a combination of transfusions, antibiotics and growth factors, such as erythropoietin and granulocyte colony stimulating factor. In addition, best supportive care treatment options included low-dose chemotherapies, if clinicians felt that their patients could tolerate the side effects and, for patients under 60 years of age, bone marrow transplants.

Vidaza's recommended starting dose is 75 mg/ m² delivered subcutaneously, daily for seven consecutive days, every four weeks. It is recommended that patients be treated for a minimum of four cycles; however, complete or partial response may require more than four cycles. Treatment may be continued as long as the patient continues to benefit. Patients should be monitored for hematologic response and renal toxicities, and dosage delay or reduction may be necessary.

We have ongoing a comparative Phase III/ IV clinical trial that is further examining the effect of Vidaza as a treatment of MDS based on survival and other secondary end points. The aim of this randomized, open label study is to compare the effect of Vidaza plus best supportive care against conventional care regimens plus best supportive care on survival in high-risk MDS patients. There are three comparative conventional care treatments in the comparator arm of the study: best supportive care only; low dose cytarabine plus best supportive care; and standard chemotherapy plus best supportive care. This design takes into account the actual conventional care used to treat MDS patients in each country targeted for trial participation. The study will recruit 354 patients and will be one of the largest studies to date in this disease. We expect to complete enrollment of this study in mid-2006 and we intend to conduct an initial analysis of data from this study as early as late 2006. Pending a positive outcome of this initial data, we expect to submit an MAA for Vidaza as a treatment of high risk MDS to European regulatory authorities in early 2007.

The primary objective of this Phase III/ IV study is to measure survival benefit in these MDS patients. This study will also assess several other relevant endpoints, such as time to transformation to AML, time to relapse after complete remission or partial remission, disease progression, hematological status (peripheral

Table of Contents

blood counts, need for platelet and red blood cell transfusions and hematological response), episodes of infections requiring intravenous antibiotics and safety parameters.

We have also initiated a study that is investigating the use of Vidaza with alternative dosing schedules. The alternative dosing study consists of three arms of forty patients each. The first arm examines 75 mg/ m² of Vidaza in a schedule of five days on, two days off, two days on; the second arm examines 50 mg/ m² of Vidaza in a schedule of five days on, two days off, five days on; and the third arm examines 75mg/ m² of Vidaza in a schedule of five days on. The study is also designed to provide important data on the long-term maintenance use of Vidaza.

In addition, we are exploring Vidaza's potential to be effective in treating other cancers associated with hypermethylation. A large number of ongoing Phase II studies examining the use of Vidaza as a single agent or in combination with other cancer therapies have been initiated by us and independent clinical investigators. Cancers targeted by these studies include AML and other hematological cancers as well as certain solid tumors. Initial data from many of these studies is expected in 2006, the outcome of which will drive the next phase of our clinical development efforts.

Finally, we are also working to improve the Vidaza's formulation. These efforts are focused on improving administration and manufacturing efficiencies, and, as a result of these activities, potentially enhancing our intellectual property. We have also initiated exploratory work to identify the feasibility of developing an oral formulation of Vidaza which, if successfully developed, may represent a more convenient dosing schedule for patients.

Thalidomide

In November 2001, we obtained exclusive marketing and distribution rights to Celgene Corporation's formulation of thalidomide, Thalomid[®], in all countries outside of North America, Japan, China, Taiwan and Korea. Under the agreements with Celgene, we also obtained an exclusive license in our territory to utilize Celgene's current and future thalidomide-related patents, including its patented System for Thalidomide Education and Prescribing Safety, or S.T.E.P.S.[™] program, and its current and future thalidomide-related dossiers, including clinical and pharmaceutical formulation data.

In December 2004, we amended our license and supply agreements with Celgene and its subsidiary Celgene U.K. Manufacturing (CUK). Under the modified agreements we made a one-time payment of \$77 million in return for a substantial reduction in our product supply price and royalty obligations to Celgene and CUK. In addition, for an additional one-time payment to Celgene of \$3 million, we added Hong Kong, Korea and Taiwan to our sales territories and eliminated a right held by Celgene to terminate our license to market the product if regulatory approval of thalidomide in Europe had not occurred by November 2006.

In the second quarter of 2003, we began selling thalidomide on a compassionate use and named patient basis in Europe while we actively seek marketing authorizations for this drug in Europe and several additional countries. Thalidomide Pharmion 50mg has been approved as a treatment for relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma and erythema nodosum leprosum (ENL) in Australia, New Zealand, Turkey and Israel. These approvals are the only regulatory approvals of thalidomide for multiple myeloma in the world. Despite the lack of any formal regulatory approval for thalidomide in Europe, thalidomide has become a widely used therapy for the treatment of multiple myeloma and certain other forms of cancer. In 2005, our net sales of thalidomide were \$79.4 million compared to \$65.3 million in 2004.

Pharmion Risk Management Program In connection with the commencement of compassionate use sales, we implemented the Pharmion Risk Management Program (PRMP) in 2003. Given thalidomide's history and risk, the development of the PRMP was a critical element to our planned commercialization of thalidomide and enrollment is obligatory for all patients receiving the drug. Shortly after our acquisition of the thalidomide rights from Celgene in 2001, we began to develop the PRMP consistent with Celgene's

Table of Contents

S.T.E.P.S. This process included the development of software and educational materials in over 20 languages for use by physicians, pharmacists and patients throughout Europe and our other markets.

The PRMP is an integral component of our commercialization of, and pursuit of marketing approvals for, thalidomide throughout Europe and our other markets. The PRMP requires adherence to strict guidelines both prior to and during the course of thalidomide therapy, including comprehensive physician, pharmacist and patient registration and education, emphasizing, among other things, the need for adequate contraception in patients taking thalidomide and pregnancy tests for female patients of child-bearing potential. Under the PRMP, automatic prescription refills are prohibited, and prescriptions may not exceed four weeks dosing. The PRMP also permits authorization of each prescription only upon confirmation of compliance with the PRMP guidelines.

History of Thalidomide Thalidomide was developed in the late 1950s as an oral, non-barbiturate sedative and was prescribed throughout Europe for use as a sleep aid and for the treatment of morning sickness in pregnancy. Shortly thereafter, use of thalidomide was found to be associated with severe birth defects and it was virtually withdrawn from the worldwide market, without ever receiving approval in the U.S. In 1964, thalidomide was discovered to be effective in the treatment of ENL, which is an inflammatory complication of leprosy. As a result, thalidomide has remained in use as a treatment for ENL. In the 1990s, it was further discovered to act as an anti-angiogenic agent, which is an agent that prevents the formation of new blood vessels. Since many types of tumors are associated with the formation of new blood vessels, physicians began to explore thalidomide's use as a treatment to prevent the growth of tumor-associated blood vessels on the theory that this would result in starvation of the tumor.

In 1998, Celgene's Thalomid was approved in the U.S. for the treatment of acute cutaneous manifestations of moderate to severe ENL and as maintenance therapy for prevention and suppression of cutaneous manifestation recurrences. Thalomid was the first drug approved by the FDA under a special restricted distribution for safety regulation. In connection with FDA approval, given the known propensity of thalidomide for causing birth defects, Celgene developed its patented S.T.E.P.S. program, which is a comprehensive compliance and risk management program designed to support the safe and appropriate use of Thalomid by ensuring that women of child-bearing potential do not come into contact with Thalomid. While the treatment of ENL is the only currently approved indication for thalidomide in the U.S., the drug is used primarily in the treatment of multiple myeloma and other forms of cancer.

Multiple myeloma is the second most common hematological cancer after non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. It is a cancer of the plasma cells in the bone marrow, which is characterized by lytic bone lesions or the production of elevated levels of M-protein, an abnormal monoclonal antibody, in the blood or urine of patients. The symptoms of multiple myeloma include painful bone deterioration, bone marrow failure (anemia, leukopenia and thrombocytopenia), plasma cell leukemia, infections, kidney damage or failure and hyperviscosity of the blood. Although the median age of onset of multiple myeloma is 65 to 70 years of age, according to the Multiple Myeloma Research Foundation, recent statistics indicate both increasing incidence and earlier age of onset. The incidence of multiple myeloma in most western industrialized countries is approximately four in every 100,000 persons. We estimate that there are approximately 65,000 multiple myeloma patients in the E.U., with approximately 21,000 new cases annually, and 4,000 to 5,000 multiple myeloma patients in Australia, with approximately 800 new cases annually. While current treatment regimens provide some therapeutic benefit, multiple myeloma patients continue to have high rates of relapse and suffer high mortality rates.

Thalidomide is currently being evaluated as a potential therapy for all stages of multiple myeloma. Several leading investigators at cancer research centers have published data on the response rate, the median effective dose and the average duration of response for multiple myeloma patients treated with thalidomide in clinical trials.

Regulatory Status In 2002, we submitted marketing authorization applications to the EMEA and the Therapeutic Goods Administration, or the TGA, in Australia and to regulatory authorities in New Zealand,

Table of Contents

South Africa, Saudi Arabia, Turkey, Israel, Thailand and the Philippines seeking approval for thalidomide as a treatment for relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma and for erythema nodosum leprosum, or ENL. Thalidomide Pharmion 50mg has been approved in Australia, New Zealand, Turkey and Israel for these indications. Although thalidomide has become a standard of care for the treatment of relapsed/ refractory multiple myeloma, these regulatory approvals represent the first, and to date only, regulatory approvals for this indication. In May 2004, we withdrew our multiple myeloma applications with the EMEA, but intend to resubmit our application with additional clinical data from ongoing studies in first line (that is, previously untreated) treatment or relapsed/ refractory multiple myeloma patients. This action was based on the EMEA's stated view that additional clinical data would be required before it can reach an opinion on whether or not Thalidomide Pharmion 50mg should be approved as a treatment for multiple myeloma.

We expect the additional data needed to resubmit an MAA to the EMEA will come from a pivotal Phase III study in the first-line treatment of multiple myeloma patients sponsored by Celgene. In January 2006, Celgene announced that based upon an analysis of its multi-centered, randomized, placebo-controlled Phase III clinical trial (MM-003) studying the combination of thalidomide plus dexamethasone versus dexamethasone alone as induction therapy for previously untreated multiple myeloma patients, the Independent Data Monitoring Committee, or IDMC, determined that the trial had met the pre-specified $p < 0.0015$ value for stopping the trial. The IDMC determined that patients in the trial demonstrated a time to disease progression—the primary endpoint of this Phase III trial—of 75.7 weeks versus 27.9 weeks ($p = 0.000065$), and progression-free survival of 55.7 weeks versus 24.3 weeks ($p = 0.0003$) in patients receiving thalidomide plus dexamethasone compared to patients receiving dexamethasone alone. A total of 270 patients were randomized to receive thalidomide plus dexamethasone, or placebo plus dexamethasone, in this multi-centered clinical trial. Pending further review and analysis, we intend to submit this data to the EMEA in support of an indication for Thalidomide Pharmion 50mg as a treatment for newly diagnosed multiple myeloma in early 2007.

In addition, we have initiated a Phase III study examining thalidomide as a treatment for relapsed/ refractory multiple myeloma. This four-arm, 470 patient trial compares three different doses of thalidomide to a standard high dose dexamethasone therapy, with a primary endpoint of time to disease progression. This trial design was based on a scientific advice procedure with the EMEA completed in 2005. We anticipate this trial will be completed by the end of 2007, and if successful, data from this trial would form the basis of a marketing authorization application for relapsed/ refractory multiple myeloma that we intend to submit in 2008.

We will continue to sell thalidomide on a named patient or compassionate use basis in Europe while we pursue a marketing authorization for the drug. We were granted orphan drug designation for thalidomide in Europe by the EMEA for the multiple myeloma indication, which, if the marketing authorization application is approved and the criteria for orphan drug designation continue to be met, would provide a ten year period of exclusivity from the date of the marketing authorization application's approval. During this period the EMEA would be prohibited, except in very limited circumstances, from approving another formulation of thalidomide for treatment of relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma. We were also granted orphan drug designation for thalidomide in Australia, as well as data exclusivity, which provides similar protection for a five-year period from the date of approval.

Satraplatin

In December 2005, we entered into a co-development and license agreement with GPC Biotech AG for the right to market, sell and distribute satraplatin in Europe, Turkey, the Middle East, Australia and New Zealand. Satraplatin, an investigational drug, is a member of the platinum family of compounds. Over the past two decades, platinum-based drugs have become a critical part of modern chemotherapy treatments and are used to treat a wide variety of cancers. Unlike the platinum drugs currently on the market, all of which require intravenous administration, satraplatin is an orally bioavailable compound and is given as capsules that

Table of Contents

patients can take at home. An oral platinum drug could offer key advantages, including ease of administration and patient convenience, in a variety of applications.

Satraplatin in Hormone Refractory Prostate Cancer (HRPC) Satraplatin has shown promising safety and efficacy as demonstrated by significant improvement in progression-free survival (PFS) in a randomized study of first-line treatment of patients with HRPC and is currently the subject of a Phase III registrational trial as second-line chemotherapy treatment for patients with HRPC. The Phase III registrational clinical trial called SPARC (satraplatin and prednisone against refractory cancer) was initiated in the fall of 2003 to evaluate satraplatin plus prednisone as a second-line chemotherapy treatment for HRPC. The SPARC trial is a global, double-blinded, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. The endpoints of the trial are PFS, as well as overall survival and time to pain progression. In December 2005, the target enrollment of 912 patients was reached in the SPARC trial, making this one of the fastest-accruing Phase III trials for a chemotherapy treatment ever conducted in prostate cancer.

The SPARC trial is modeled on an earlier randomized, multicenter study conducted by the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) that evaluated satraplatin plus prednisone as first-line chemotherapy for HRPC. Fifty patients were randomized to evaluate the use of satraplatin plus prednisone versus prednisone alone for use as a first-line chemotherapy treatment in HRPC. The study showed that treatment with satraplatin significantly lengthened PFS in patients that received satraplatin as compared with patients in the control arm. The median PFS was 5.2 months for satraplatin compared to 2.5 months for the control arm. Additionally, at six months, 41% of patients treated in the satraplatin arm were progression-free compared to 22% of patients in the control arm. A greater than 50% decline in prostate-specific antigen was experienced by 33% of patients in the satraplatin arm versus 9% of patients in the control arm. The median overall survival time was 15 months for patients treated in the satraplatin arm versus 12 months for patients in the control arm, although this result did not satisfy the criteria for statistical significance. To date, satraplatin is the only platinum compound that has demonstrated efficacy in a randomized trial in HRPC.

Based on the completion of a scientific advice procedure with the EMEA, we intend to use final PFS data from the SPARC trial plus available overall survival data as the basis for the submission of an MAA for satraplatin for the treatment of second-line HRPC to the EMEA. The final analysis of PFS data from the SPARC trial is expected to be completed in the second half of 2006 and, pending positive outcome of the trial, we expect to file the MAA in Europe in early 2007.

Prostate cancer is the most common cancer among men in the U.S. and Europe. An estimated 232,000 men in the U.S. were diagnosed with the disease during 2005. With more than 30,000 deaths estimated in 2005 in the U.S., prostate cancer is second only to lung cancer as a leading cause of cancer-related death in men. The number of patients with this disease is expected to increase with the aging of the population. Early-stage, localized prostate cancer is usually treated with surgery and/or radiation therapy. Patients who relapse with advanced disease are then treated with hormone therapy. Although most patients initially respond well to hormone therapy, the cancer cells eventually become hormone resistant or refractory and the disease again progresses. Important advancements have been made in recent years in treating HRPC. In particular, for the first time, trials with Taxotere® (docetaxel) showed that chemotherapy can prolong the survival of patients with HRPC. Taxotere was approved in both the U.S. and Europe in 2004 as a chemotherapy treatment for HRPC. However, at this stage of the disease, there is no cure. All patients will eventually progress and, once they do, average survival is approximately one year. Thus, there is a growing unmet medical need for new, effective treatments for patients in whom initial chemotherapy has failed.

Satraplatin in Other Tumors Satraplatin has been studied in a wide range of tumors, and Phase II clinical trials have been completed in HRPC, ovarian cancer and small cell lung cancer. In other trials, satraplatin appeared to augment the antitumor effects of radiation therapy, a clinical application in which satraplatin's oral bioavailability could be particularly advantageous. A Phase I/II clinical trial evaluating this combination in patients with non-small lung cancer has been initiated. Several other Phase I and Phase II clinical trials evaluating satraplatin in combination with other therapies and in various cancers are underway or

Table of Contents

planned, including studies with Taxol in non-small cell lung cancer, with Taxotere in solid tumors, and as mono therapy in breast cancer.

We are also planning to initiate a study evaluating Vidaza in combination with satraplatin in solid tumors. We believe that preclinical and early clinical data indicates that Vidaza may resensitize platinum resistant cells to platinum compounds and we plan to initiate clinical trials exploring the potential for this combination.

MGCD0103

In January 2006, we entered into a license and collaboration agreement with MethylGene Inc. for the research, development and commercialization of MethylGene's histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors for the treatment of cancer in North America, Europe, the Middle East, Turkey, Australia, New Zealand and Thailand, including MGCD0103, MethylGene's lead HDAC inhibitor, as well as MethylGene's pipeline of second generation HDAC inhibitor compounds for oncology indications.

MGCD0103 is an oral, isotype-selective, small molecule inhibitor of histone deacetylase. HDAC is a family of eleven enzymes, or isoforms, that act as a master regulator of many diseases including cancer. One key differentiating feature of MethylGene's HDAC inhibitors is that they are selective for specific isoforms while many other HDAC inhibitors currently in clinical development are broad-spectrum inhibitors, meaning that they target most or all of the HDAC isoforms. We believe targeted and selective inhibition of cancer-related HDAC isoforms may lead to more effective and less toxic cancer therapies in contrast to non-specific or broad-spectrum inhibition of HDAC isoforms.

MGCD0103 has completed one Phase I trial as a single agent in solid tumors as a daily oral dose, with a second trial ongoing in solid tumors as an intermittent three times per week, oral dose. In addition, MGCD0103 is in two Phase I trials in hematological cancers as a three times and two times per week oral dose. MGCD0103 entered its first Phase I/II combination trial with Vidaza in high-risk MDS and AML patients. We plan to enroll up to 50 patients in this trial. An additional Phase I/II combination trial is expected to be initiated, with one or two Phase II monotherapy trials. Current clinical trials are being conducted at leading cancer centers in the United States and Canada.

About Histone Deacetylation Histones are protein complexes around which DNA is wrapped. Histones play an important role in gene regulation since histone arrangement has an impact on the accessibility of DNA for transcription. Histones and DNA together are called chromatin. Histone acetylation exposes DNA so that gene expression can occur. Conversely, histone deacetylation leads to dense packing of chromatin and gene silencing. These processes are regulated in enzyme families called histone acetylases and histone deacetylases. In many cancerous tissues, through the activity of DNA methylation and histone deacetylation, tumor suppressor genes are silenced and not expressed. As a result, cell division becomes unregulated, causing cancer. Using HDAC inhibitors, such as MGCD0103, the effect of HDACs may be blocked and tumor suppressor genes re-expressed to inhibit cancer progression. MethylGene's research and observations suggest that only a subset of the known HDAC isoforms may be involved in cancer progression.

DNA Methylation and Histone Deacetylation Epigenetic Regulators of Gene Expression DNA methylation and histone deacetylation are two of the more studied epigenetic regulators of gene expression. Epigenetics refers to changes in the regulation of gene expression. Epigenetic changes can silence gene expression and, unlike DNA mutations, may be reversed by targeting the enzymes involved in these changes. Researchers have observed the silencing of key cell cycle control genes and tumor suppressor genes through these two mechanisms of epigenetic regulation in hematological malignancies and in solid tumors. Vidaza has been shown to reverse the effects of DNA hypermethylation with subsequent gene re-expression and, likewise, MGCD0103 has been shown, in pre-clinical tests, to reverse the effects of inappropriate deacetylation resulting in gene expression reactivation. In contrast with cytotoxic cancer therapies that kill both normal and cancer cells, epigenetic cancer therapies may enable the reactivation of silenced genes and, thereby, re-establish the cancer cell's natural mechanisms to control abnormal growth.

Recent scientific evidence suggests that HDAC and DNA methyltransferase inhibitors as single agents may be new approaches for cancer therapy. In addition, the combination of HDAC and DNA methyltransfer-

Table of Contents

ase inhibitors may act synergistically to reverse gene silencing and induce apoptosis (programmed cell death) in various cancers. Through our collaboration with MethylGene, we will explore the potential of combining MethylGene's HDAC inhibitors with Vidaza as a cancer treatment and evaluate the use of MethylGene's HDAC inhibitors in combination with other chemotherapeutic agents.

Sales, Marketing and Distribution

We have established sales and marketing organizations in the U.S., Europe and Australia. In the U.S., our field based organization consists of approximately 100 professionals, including clinical account specialists, medical science liaisons, payor relations specialists, national accounts managers, nurse educators, and field based management. Each member of our field based staff has significant experience in pharmaceutical and oncology products sales and marketing. They target hematologists and oncologists who prescribe high volumes of cancer therapies. The concentration of high volume prescribers allows us to promote Vidaza and Innohep with a relatively small, dedicated sales and marketing organization. The field based organization is also supported by a medical education team that focuses on the development, presentation and distribution of scientific and clinical information regarding our products and the diseases they treat.

In Europe, we employ a general manager in each of the U.K., France, Germany, Spain and Italy, and a general manager for the Nordic countries. These general managers are responsible for all commercial activities in each of their home countries, and may also have responsibility for commercial activities in smaller nearby countries. Each of our subsidiaries employs, in addition to the general manager, a trained physician, regulatory specialists if required by local law, sales representatives, PRMP experts and administrative support staff. In general, we only employ nationals in each of our local subsidiaries. All marketing activities are centrally directed from our U.K. office to ensure consistency across regional markets. In addition, clinical development, regulatory affairs and information technology functions are centrally managed from our U.K. office. In this manner, we seek to develop globally consistent programs but ensure that they are implemented according to local practices. Our Australian sales and marketing organizational structure is consistent with our European structure. Information regarding geographic areas is included in the notes to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

In addition to our own sales organizations, we have access to the hematology and oncology markets in 22 additional countries through relationships with our distributors. Pursuant to the agreements governing our relationships with our distributors, we are prohibited from selling or marketing our products on our own behalf in a country covered by one of these agreements until the applicable agreement expires.

The chart below identifies the countries which are served directly by our sales organizations and those which we access using our third-party distribution network.

Direct Sales Countries

Australia	Germany	Portugal
Belgium	Ireland	Spain
Denmark	Italy	Sweden
Finland	Luxembourg	U.K.
France	Netherlands	U.S.
	Norway	

Table of Contents**Distribution Countries**

Austria	Lebanon	South Africa
Egypt	Malaysia	South Korea
Greece	Malta	Switzerland
Hong Kong	New Zealand	Taiwan
Israel	Oman	Thailand
Jordan	Philippines	Turkey
Kuwait	Saudi Arabia	United Arab Emirates
	Singapore	

By working closely with key opinion leaders, physicians and association leaders, our sales and marketing professionals are able to create science-based marketing materials of interest to our customers. In addition, our product acquisition strategy has been designed to maximize the success of our sales and marketing efforts by focusing on the acquisition of products and product candidates that make a clinical difference to patients in markets responsive to key opinion leaders. We intend to seek new countries in which to promote our products and we will continue the expansion of our sales and marketing organization as product growth or product acquisitions.

In the U.S., we sell to pharmaceutical wholesalers, who in turn distribute product to physicians, retail pharmacies, hospitals, and other institutional customers. In Europe and Australia, we sell directly to retail and hospital pharmacies. Sales into countries where we have partnered with third party distributors are made directly to our partners. Our largest three wholesale customers in the U.S., U.S. Oncology Supply, Cardinal Health and McKesson Corporation generated 17%, 15% and 14%, respectively, of our total consolidated net sales for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Regulatory and Medical Affairs

Our regulatory affairs group is comprised of professionals with experience from both large pharmaceutical companies and biotechnology companies. The difference between an attractive drug candidate and one which is not economically viable for development often hinges on our assessment of the time and expense required to get the drug approved and sold in a particular jurisdiction. Determining the optimal regulatory pathway for commercialization is an integral part of our product candidate selection. We believe that our combination of country-specific regulatory expertise and our focus on the hematology and oncology markets provide a significant advantage as we seek to acquire additional product candidates through in-license or, if necessary and appropriate, through company acquisition, and move our future product pipeline candidates forward through the approval process.

Principal Collaborations and License Agreements

Celgene and CUK Agreements: In 2001, we licensed rights relating to the use of thalidomide from Celgene and separately entered into an exclusive supply agreement for thalidomide with CUK, a company located in the U.K. that was subsequently acquired by Celgene in 2004. Under the agreements, as amended, we obtained the right to market thalidomide in all countries other than the United States, Canada, Mexico, Japan and all provinces of China (except Hong Kong). More specifically, under agreements with Celgene, as amended, we obtained the rights in these territories to Celgene's formulation of thalidomide, Thalomid, exclusive licenses or sublicenses for the intellectual property owned or licensed by Celgene relating to thalidomide, as well as all existing and future clinical data relating to thalidomide developed by Celgene, and an exclusive license to employ Celgene's patented and proprietary S.T.E.P.S. program as our PRMP in connection with the distribution of thalidomide in these territories. Under agreements with CUK, as amended, CUK is our exclusive supplier of thalidomide formulations that we sell in certain territories licensed to us by Celgene. We pay (i) Celgene a royalty/license fee of 8% on our net sales of thalidomide under the terms of the license agreements, and (ii) CUK product supply payments equal to 15.5% of our net sales of thalidomide under the terms of the product supply agreement. In connection with our ongoing relationship with Celgene, and to further the clinical development of thalidomide, particularly in multiple myeloma, we have also agreed to fund certain amounts incurred by Celgene for the conduct of thalidomide clinical trials. Through

Table of Contents

December 31, 2005, we have funded \$10.7 million of these costs and have agreed to fund an additional \$5.3 million of Celgene's costs for these studies incurred between January 1, 2006 and December 31, 2007, payable in quarterly installments through the end of 2007. The agreements with Celgene and CUK each have a ten-year term running from the date of receipt of our first regulatory approval for thalidomide in the United Kingdom.

Pfizer Agreement: We licensed worldwide exclusive rights to Vidaza from Pharmacia & Upjohn Company, now a part of Pfizer, Inc., in June 2001. Under the terms of our agreement, we are obligated to pay Pfizer a royalty of 8% to 20% on net sales of Vidaza. The license from Pfizer has a term extending for the longer of the last to expire of valid patent claims in any given country or ten years from our first commercial sale of the product in a particular country.

GPC Biotech Agreement In December 2005, we entered into a co-development and license agreement for the development and commercialization of satraplatin. Under the terms of the agreement, we obtained exclusive commercialization rights for satraplatin in Europe, Turkey, the Middle East, Australia and New Zealand, while GPC Biotech retained rights to the North American market and all other territories. We made an up front payment of \$37.1 million to GPC Biotech, including an \$21.2 million reimbursement for satraplatin clinical development costs and \$15.9 million for funding of ongoing and certain future clinical development to be conducted jointly by us and GPC Biotech. The companies will pursue a joint development plan to evaluate development activities for satraplatin in a variety of tumor types and will share global development costs, for which we have made an additional commitment of \$22.2 million, in addition to the \$37.1 million in initial payments. We could also pay GPC Biotech \$30.5 million based on the achievement of certain regulatory filing and approval milestones, and \$15 million for each subsequent EMEA approval for certain additional indications up to a maximum of \$75 million for such approvals. GPC Biotech will also receive royalties on sales of satraplatin in our territories at rates of 26% to 30% on annual net sales up to \$500 million, and 34% on annual net sales over \$500 million. Finally, we will pay GPC Biotech sales milestones totaling up to \$105 million, based on the achievement of significant annual sales levels in our territories.

We are required to use commercially reasonable efforts to develop and commercialize satraplatin in our territory. Our agreement with GPC Biotech expires on a country-by-country basis upon the expiration of patents covering satraplatin or available market exclusivity for satraplatin in a particular country or, if later, the entry of a significant generic competitor in that country. Upon expiration, we will retain a non-exclusive, fully-paid, royalty-free license to continue the commercialization of satraplatin in our territories. In addition, either party may terminate the agreement prior to expiration under certain circumstances, including a material breach of the agreement by the other party.

MethylGene Agreement In January 2006, we entered into an exclusive license and collaboration agreement for the research, development and commercialization of MethylGene Inc.'s HDAC inhibitors, including MGCD0103, for oncology indications in North America, Europe, the Middle East and certain other markets. Under the terms of the agreement, we made up front payments to MethylGene totaling \$25 million, including a \$5 million equity investment in MethylGene common shares. As of January 30, 2006, our capital investment represented a 7.8% ownership in MethylGene.

Our milestone payments to MethylGene for MGCD0103 could reach \$145 million, based on the achievement of significant development, regulatory and sales goals. Furthermore, we may be required to pay up to \$100 million for each additional HDAC inhibitor, also based on the achievement of significant development, regulatory and sales milestones. In addition, we will make research support payments of up to \$2 million to support a team of eight MethylGene scientists for one year who will be dedicated to identifying second-generation clinical HDAC inhibitor candidates.

MethylGene will initially fund 40% of the preclinical and clinical development for MGCD0103 (and any additional second generation compounds) required to obtain marketing approval in North America while we will fund 60% of such costs. MethylGene will receive royalties on net sales in North America ranging from 13% to 21% based upon the level of annual sales achieved in North America and the length of time development costs are funded by MethylGene. MethylGene will have an option, at its sole discretion, as long

Table of Contents

as it continues to fund development, to co-promote approved products and, in lieu of receiving royalties, to share the resulting net profits equally with Pharmion. If MethylGene exercises its right, at its sole discretion, to discontinue development funding, we will be responsible for 100% of development costs incurred thereafter. In all other licensed territories, which include Europe, the Middle East, Turkey, Australia, New Zealand, South Africa and certain countries in Southeast Asia, we are responsible for development and commercialization costs and MethylGene will receive a royalty on net sales in those markets at a rate of 10% to 13% based on annual net sales.

Both parties to the agreement are required to use commercially reasonable and diligent efforts to conduct the research, development and commercialization responsibilities allocated to each party under the agreement. Our agreement with MethylGene expires upon the expiration of patents covering all HDAC inhibitor candidates being developed by the parties or, if earlier, all research, development and commercialization activities under the agreement cease. In addition, either party may terminate the agreement prior to expiration under certain circumstances, including a material breach of the agreement by the other party.

Manufacturing

We currently use, and expect to continue to be dependent upon, contract manufacturers to manufacture each of our products. Although we are in the process of qualifying a second source manufacturer for the fill and finishing process for Vidaza, we do not currently have operational alternative manufacturing sources for any of our products. Our contract manufacturers and distributors are subject to extensive governmental regulation. Regulatory authorities in our markets require that drugs be manufactured, packaged and labeled in conformity with current Good Manufacturing Practices, or cGMPs. We have established a quality control and quality assurance program, including a set of standard operating procedures and specifications, designed to ensure that our products are manufactured in accordance with cGMPs, and other applicable domestic and foreign regulations.

Thalidomide: We obtain our two formulations of thalidomide from two different suppliers. Thalidomide Pharmion 50mg is formulated, encapsulated and packaged for us by CUK, of Great Britain in a facility that is in compliance with the regulatory standards of each of the countries where we sell and expect to sell the product. Under the terms of our agreement with CUK we purchase from CUK all of our requirements of the product. Pricing is subject to an annual audit and, if appropriate, an adjustment based upon the fully allocated cost of manufacture. This agreement terminates upon the tenth anniversary of the date upon which we receive regulatory approval for thalidomide in the U.K.

Thalidomide Laphal is formulated, encapsulated and packaged for us by Laphal Industrie, an unaffiliated company, in a facility that is in compliance with the regulatory standards of each of the countries where we sell and expect to sell the product. Pricing is subject to an annual adjustment based upon a formula that accounts for increases in the cost of manufacture. In addition, in the event that prior to the expiration of the agreement we decide to discontinue ordering Thalidomide Laphal from Laphal Industrie, we are obligated to provide twelve months advance notice and pay 300,000 (approximately \$354,000 as of December 31, 2005). If our notice to discontinue ordering Thalidomide Laphal is not timely, the fee may increase to as much as 500,000 (approximately \$590,000 as of December 31, 2005). This agreement terminates in March 2013.

Vidaza. Under the terms of our supply agreements, Ash Stevens, Inc. provides us with supplies of azacitidine drug substance, the active ingredient in Vidaza, and Ben Venue Laboratories, Inc. formulates and fills the product into vials and labels the finished product for us. Both Ash Stevens and Ben Venue operate facilities that are in compliance with the regulatory standards of each of the countries in which we sell or expect to sell the product. Under the terms of our agreement with Ash Stevens, we are obligated to purchase all of our requirements for azacitidine from Ash Stevens and Ash Stevens is required to manufacture azacitidine exclusively for us. This agreement expires in 2011. Under the terms of our agreement with Ben Venue Laboratories, Inc., we are required to purchase up to 65% of our annual requirements for finished Vidaza product from Ben Venue. This agreement expires in 2010. Under each of these agreements, the prices our suppliers charge us for products may increase or decrease annually based upon the percentage change in the Producer Price Index for pharmaceutical preparations. In addition, we have entered into an agreement

Table of Contents

with a back-up manufacturer for finished and labeled Vidaza product, and we are also working with a number of partners in our reformulation efforts.

Satraplatin. We have entered into a supply agreement with GPC Biotech AG under which we have agreed to purchase all of our requirements for satraplatin from GPC Biotech, and GPC Biotech has agreed to manufacture and supply our requirements for the product and to maintain certain inventories of satraplatin on our behalf. The supply price for the product under this agreement is set at 110% of GPC Biotech's fully allocated cost of manufacturing the product. This agreement will terminate upon the termination of our Co-Development and License Agreement with GPC Biotech.

Raw Materials

Raw materials and supplies are normally available in quantities adequate to meet the needs of our business.

Government Regulation

Regulation by governmental authorities in the U.S. and other countries is a significant factor in the manufacture and marketing of our products and in ongoing research and product development activities. All of our products require regulatory approval by governmental agencies prior to commercialization. In particular, our products are subject to rigorous preclinical and clinical testing and other approval requirements by the FDA and similar regulatory authorities in other countries. Various statutes and regulations also govern or influence the manufacturing, safety, reporting, labeling, storage, record keeping and marketing of our products. The lengthy process of seeking these approvals, and the subsequent compliance with applicable statutes and regulations, require the expenditure of substantial resources. Any failure by us to obtain, or any delay in obtaining, regulatory approvals could harm our business.

The regulatory requirements relating to the manufacturing, testing and marketing of our products may change from time to time. For example, at present, member states in the E.U. are in the process of incorporating into their domestic laws the provisions contained in the E.U. Directive on the implementation of good clinical practice in the conduct of clinical trials. The Directive imposes more onerous requirements in relation to certain aspects of clinical trial conduct than are currently in place in many member states. This may impact our ability to conduct clinical trials and the ability of independent investigators to conduct their own research with support from us.

Product Approval

The clinical development, manufacturing and marketing of our products are subject to regulation by various authorities in the U.S., the E.U. and other countries, including, in the U.S., the FDA, and, in the E.U., the EMEA. The Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and the Public Health Service Act in the U.S. and numerous directives, regulations, local laws and guidelines in the E.U. govern the testing, manufacture, safety, efficacy, labeling, storage, record keeping, approval, advertising and promotion of our products. Product development and approval within these regulatory frameworks takes a number of years and involves the expenditure of substantial resources.

Regulatory approval will be required in all the major markets in which we, or our licensors, seek to test our products in development. At a minimum, such approval requires the evaluation of data relating to the quality, safety and efficacy of a product for its proposed use. The specific types of data required and the regulations relating to this data will differ depending on the territory, the drug involved, the proposed indication and the stage of development.

In general, new chemical entities are tested in animals until adequate proof of safety is established. Clinical trials for new products are typically conducted in three sequential phases that may overlap. In Phase I, the initial introduction of the pharmaceutical into healthy human volunteers, the emphasis is on testing for safety (adverse effects), dosage tolerance, metabolism, distribution, excretion and clinical pharmacology. Phase II involves studies in a limited patient population to determine the initial efficacy of the pharmaceutical

Table of Contents

for specific targeted indications, to determine dosage tolerance and optimal dosage and to identify possible adverse side effects and safety risks. Once a compound shows evidence of effectiveness and is found to have an acceptable safety profile in Phase II evaluations, Phase III trials are undertaken to more fully evaluate clinical outcomes.

In the U.S., specific preclinical data and chemical data, as described above, needs to be submitted to the FDA as part of an Investigational New Drug application, or IND, which, unless the FDA objects, will become effective 30 days following receipt by the FDA. Phase I studies in human volunteers may commence only after the application becomes effective. Prior regulatory approval for human healthy volunteer studies is also required in member states of the E.U. Currently, in each member state of the E.U., following successful completion of Phase I studies, data is submitted in summarized format to the applicable regulatory authority in the member state in respect of applications for the conduct of later Phase II studies. The regulatory authorities in the E.U. typically have between one and three months in which to raise any objections to the proposed study, and they often have the right to extend this review period at their discretion. In the U.S., following completion of Phase I studies, further submissions to regulatory authorities are necessary in relation to Phase II and III studies to update the existing IND. Authorities may require additional data before allowing the studies to commence and could demand that the studies be discontinued at any time if there are significant safety issues. In addition to the regulatory review, a study involving human subjects has to be approved by an independent body. The exact composition and responsibilities of this body will differ from country to country. In the U.S., for example, each study will be conducted under the auspices of an independent Institutional Review Board at the institution at which the study is conducted. This board considers among other things, the design of the study, ethical factors, the safety of the human subjects and the possible liability risk for the institution. Equivalent rules apply in each member state of the E.U. where one or more independent ethics committees, which typically operate similarly to an Institutional Review Board, will review the ethics of conducting the proposed research. Other authorities around the rest of the world have slightly differing requirements involving both the execution of clinical trials and the import/export of pharmaceutical products. It is our responsibility to ensure we conduct our business in accordance with the regulations of each relevant territory.

Information generated in this process is susceptible to varying interpretations that could delay, limit or prevent regulatory approval at any stage of the approval process. The failure to demonstrate adequately the quality, safety and efficacy of a therapeutic drug under development would delay or prevent regulatory approval of the product. There can be no assurance that if clinical trials are completed, either we or our collaborative partners will submit applications for required authorizations to manufacture and/or market potential products (including a marketing authorization application, NDA or abbreviated NDA) or that any such application will be reviewed and approved by the appropriate regulatory authorities in a timely manner, if at all.

In order to gain marketing approval we must submit a dossier to the relevant authority for review, which is known in the U.S. as an NDA and in the E.U. as an MAA. The format is usually specific and laid out by each authority, although in general it will include information on the quality of the chemistry, manufacturing and pharmaceutical aspects of the product as well as the non-clinical and clinical data. The FDA undertakes the review for the U.S. In the E.U. there is, for many products, a choice of two different authorization routes: centralized and decentralized. Under the centralized route one marketing authorization is granted for the entire E.U., while under the decentralized route a series of national marketing authorizations are granted. In the centralized system the application will be reviewed by members of the Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use, or the CHMP, on behalf of the EMEA. The EMEA will, based upon the review of the CHMP, provide an opinion to the European Commission on the safety, quality and efficacy of the product. The decision to grant or refuse an authorization is made by the European Commission. In circumstances where use of the centralized route is not mandatory, we can choose to use the decentralized route, in which case the application will be reviewed by one member state's regulatory agency. If the regulatory agency grants the authorization, other member states' regulatory authorities are asked to mutually recognize the authorization granted by the first member state's regulatory agency. Approval can take several months to several years, or be denied. The approval process can be affected by a number of factors. Additional studies or

Table of Contents

clinical trials may be requested during the review and may delay marketing approval and involve unbudgeted costs. The regulatory authorities may conduct an inspection of relevant facilities, and review manufacturing procedures, operating systems and personnel qualifications. In addition to obtaining approval for each product, in many cases each drug manufacturing facility must be approved. Further inspections may occur over the life of the product. An inspection of the clinical investigation sites by a competent authority may be required as part of the regulatory approval procedure. As a condition of marketing approval, the regulatory agency may require post-marketing surveillance to monitor for adverse effects, or other additional studies as deemed appropriate. After approval for the initial indication, further clinical studies are usually necessary to gain approval for any additional indications. The terms of any approval, including labeling content, may be more restrictive than expected and could affect the marketability of a product.

In many markets outside of the U.S., regulations exist that permit patients to gain access to unlicensed pharmaceuticals, particularly for severely ill patients where other treatment options are limited or non-existent. Generally, the supply of pharmaceuticals under these circumstances is termed compassionate use or named patient supply. In the E.U., each member state has developed its own system under an E.U. directive that permits the exemption from traditional pharmaceutical regulation of medicinal products supplied in response to a bona fide unsolicited order, formulated in accordance with specifications of an authorized health care professional and for use by his individual patients on his direct personal responsibility. Essentially, two systems operate among E.U. member states: approval can be given for cohort supply, meaning more than one patient can be supplied in accordance with an agreed treatment protocol; or, alternatively, as is the case in the majority of E.U. member states, supply is provided on an individual patient basis. Some countries, such as France, have developed other systems, where an ATU involves a thorough review and approval by the regulator of a regulatory data package. In France, the company then receives an approval to supply. All E.U. member states require assurance of the quality of the product, which is usually achieved by provision of good manufacturing practice, or GMP, certification. In the majority of markets, the prescribing physician is responsible for the use for the product and in some countries the physician in conjunction with the pharmacist must request approval from the regulator to use the unlicensed pharmaceutical. Outside of the E.U., many countries have developed named patient systems similar to those prevalent in Europe.

The U.S., the E.U. and Australia may grant orphan drug designation to drugs intended to treat a rare disease or condition, which, in the U.S., is generally a disease or condition that affects no more than 75 in 100,000 persons or fewer than 200,000 individuals. In the E.U., orphan drug designation can be granted if: the disease affects no more than 50 in 100,000 persons in the E.U. or the drug is intended for a life-threatening, seriously debilitating or serious and chronic condition; without incentive it is unlikely that the drug would generate sufficient return to justify the necessary investment; and no satisfactory method of treatment for the condition exists or, if it does, the new drug will provide a significant benefit to those affected by the condition. In Australia, orphan drug designation can be granted to drugs intended to treat a disease that affects no more than 11 in 100,000 persons or fewer than 2,000 individuals. If a product that has an orphan drug designation subsequently receives the first regulatory approval for the indication for which it has such designation, the product is entitled to orphan exclusivity, meaning that the applicable regulatory authority may not approve any other applications to market the same drug for the same indication, except in certain very limited circumstances, for a period of seven years in the U.S., ten years in the E.U. and five years in Australia. Orphan drug designation does not prevent competitors from developing or marketing different drugs for an indication. Orphan drug designation must be requested before submitting an NDA or MAA. After orphan drug designation is granted, the identity of the therapeutic agent and its potential orphan use are publicly disclosed. Orphan drug designation does not convey an advantage in, or shorten the duration of, the review and approval process.

Holders of an approved NDA are required to report certain adverse reactions and production problems, if any, to the FDA, and to comply with certain requirements concerning advertising and promotional labeling for their products. Also, quality control and manufacturing procedures must continue to conform to cGMP after approval, and the FDA periodically inspects manufacturing facilities to assess compliance with cGMP. Accordingly, manufacturers must continue to expend time, money, and effort in the area of production and

Table of Contents

quality control to maintain compliance with cGMP and other aspects of regulatory compliance. We continue to rely upon third-party manufacturers to produce our products. We cannot be sure that those manufacturers will remain in compliance with applicable regulations or that future FDA inspections will not identify compliance issues at the facilities of our contract manufacturers that may disrupt production or distribution, or require substantial resources to correct.

For both currently marketed and future products, failure to comply with applicable regulatory requirements after obtaining regulatory approval can, among other things, result in the suspension of regulatory approval, as well as possible civil and criminal sanctions. Renewals in Europe may require additional data, which may result in a license being withdrawn. In the U.S. and the E.U., regulators have the authority to revoke, suspend or withdraw approvals of previously approved products, to prevent companies and individuals from participating in the drug-approval process, to request recalls, to seize violative products and to obtain injunctions to close manufacturing plants not operating in conformity with regulatory requirements and to stop shipments of violative products. In addition, changes in regulation could harm our financial condition and results of operation.

Product Regulation

We are also subject to various federal and state laws pertaining to health care fraud and abuse, including anti-kickback laws and false claims laws. Anti-kickback laws make it illegal for a prescription drug manufacturer to solicit, offer, receive, or pay any remuneration in exchange for, or to induce, the referral of business, including the purchase or prescription of a particular drug. False claims laws prohibit anyone from knowingly and willingly presenting, or causing to be presented for payment to third party payers (including Medicare and Medicaid) claims for reimbursed drugs or services that are false or fraudulent, claims for items or services not provided as claimed, or claims for medically unnecessary items or services.

As a drug marketer, we participate in the Medicaid rebate program established by the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990, and under amendments of that law that became effective in 1993. Participation in this program includes requirements such as extending comparable discounts under the Public Health Service, or PHS, pharmaceutical pricing program. Under the Medicaid rebate program, we pay a rebate for each unit of our product reimbursed by Medicaid. The amount of the rebate for each product is set by law as a minimum 15.1% of the average manufacturer price, or AMP, of that product, or if it is greater, the difference between AMP and the best price available from us to any customer. The rebate amount also includes an inflation adjustment if AMP increases faster than inflation. The PHS pricing program extends discounts comparable to the Medicaid rebate to a variety of community health clinics and other entities that receive health services grants from the PHS, as well as hospitals that serve a disproportionate share of poor Medicare and Medicaid beneficiaries. The rebate amount is recomputed each quarter based on our current average manufacturer price and best price for each of our products and reported to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS.

As a result of the Veterans Health Care Act of 1992, federal law requires that product prices for purchases by the Veterans Administration, the Department of Defense, Coast Guard, and the PHS (including the Indian Health Service) be discounted by a minimum of 24% off the AMP to non-federal customers, the non-federal average manufacturer price, or non-FAMP. Our computation and report of non-FAMP is used in establishing the price, and the accuracy of the reported non-FAMP may be audited by the government under applicable federal procurement laws.

In addition, the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 together with rulemaking by CMS, changed the methodology for Medicare reimbursement of pharmaceutical products administered in physician offices and hospital outpatient facilities, including Vidaza and Innohep. Under the new regulations, reimbursements are now the average selling price, or ASP, of a product plus 6%, rather than a specified discount from the average wholesale price, or AWP, as was the case under prior regulations. The ASP-based reimbursement regime has generally reduced the reimbursement physicians receive under Medicare for most office-administered injectable drugs, including Vidaza and Innohep.

Table of Contents

Under the laws of the U.S., the member states of the E.U. and other countries, we and the institutions where we sponsor research are subject to certain obligations to ensure the protection of personal information of human subjects participating in our clinical trials. We have instituted procedures that we believe will enable us to comply with these requirements and the contractual requirements of our data sources. The laws and regulations in this area are evolving and further regulation, if adopted, could affect the timing and the cost of future clinical development activities.

We are subject to the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act that prohibits corporations and individuals from engaging in certain activities to obtain or retain business or to influence a person working in an official capacity. Under this act, it is illegal to pay, offer to pay, or authorize the payment of anything of value to any foreign government official, government staff member, political party, or political candidate in an attempt to obtain or retain business or to otherwise influence a person working in an official capacity.

Pricing Controls

Before a pharmaceutical product may be marketed and sold in certain foreign countries the proposed pricing for the product must be approved. The requirements governing product pricing vary widely from country to country and can be implemented disparately at the national level.

The E.U. generally provides options for its member states to control the prices of medicinal products for human use. A member state may approve a specific price for the medicinal product or it may instead adopt a system of direct or indirect controls on the profitability of the company placing the medicinal product on the market. For example, the regulation of prices of pharmaceuticals in the U. K. is generally designed to provide controls on the overall profits that pharmaceutical companies may derive from their sales to the U.K. National Health Service. The U.K. system is generally based on profitability targets or limits for individual companies that are normally assessed as a return on capital employed by the company in servicing the National Health Service market, comparing capital employed and profits.

In comparison, Italy generally establishes prices for pharmaceuticals based on a price monitoring system. The reference price is the European average price calculated on the basis of the prices in four reference markets: France, Spain, Germany and the U.K. Italy typically establishes the price of medicines belonging to the same therapeutic class on the lowest price for a medicine belonging to that category. Spain generally establishes the selling price for new pharmaceuticals based on the prime cost, plus a profit margin within a range established each year by the Spanish Commission for Economic Affairs. Promotional and advertising costs are limited.

There can be no assurance that any country that has price controls or reimbursement limitations for pharmaceuticals will allow favorable reimbursement and pricing arrangements for our products. In addition, in the U.S. there have been, and we expect that there will continue to be, a number of federal and state proposals to implement governmental pricing control.

Third Party Reimbursement

In the U.S., E.U. and elsewhere, sales of therapeutic and other pharmaceutical products are dependent in part on the availability of reimbursement to the consumer from third party payers, such as government and private insurance plans. Third party payers are increasingly challenging the prices charged for medical products and services. The E.U. generally provides options for its member states to restrict the range of medicinal products for which their national health insurance systems provide reimbursement. Member states in the E.U. can opt to have a positive or a negative list. A positive list is a listing of all medicinal products covered under the national health insurance system, whereas a negative list designates which medicinal products are excluded from coverage. In the E.U., the U.K. and Spain use a negative list approach, while France uses a positive list approach. In some countries, in addition to positive and negative lists, products may be subject to a clinical and cost effectiveness review by a health technology assessment body. A negative determination by such a body in relation to one of our products could affect the prescribing of the product. For example, in the U.K., the National Institute for Clinical Excellence, or the NICE, provides guidance to the National Health Service on whether a particular drug is clinically effective and cost effective. Although

Table of Contents

presented as guidance, doctors are expected to take the guidance into account when choosing a drug to prescribe. In addition, health authorities may not make funding available for drugs not given a positive recommendation by the NICE. There is a risk that a negative determination by the NICE will mean fewer prescriptions. Although the NICE will consider drugs with orphan status, there is a degree of tension in the application by the NICE of the standard cost assessment for orphan drugs, which are often priced more highly to compensate for the limited market. It is unclear whether the NICE will adopt a more relaxed approach toward the assessment of orphan drugs. We cannot assure you that any of our products will be considered cost effective and that reimbursement to the consumer will be available or will be sufficient to allow us to sell our products on a competitive and profitable basis.

Our present and future business has been and will continue to be subject to various other laws and regulations.

Patents and Proprietary Rights

Our success will depend in part on our ability to protect our existing products and the products we acquire or license by obtaining and maintaining a strong proprietary position both in the U.S. and in other countries. To develop and maintain such a position, we intend to continue relying upon a combination of orphan drug status, trade secrets, know-how, continuing technological innovations and licensing opportunities. In addition, we intend to seek patent protection whenever available for any products or product candidates, in particular in conjunction with our formulation and manufacturing process development activities, and related technology we acquire in the future.

Composition of matter patent protection for Vidaza, thalidomide, Recludan and Innohep has expired or was not pursued. We have exclusive rights to two issued patents and several pending European patent applications that relate to uses of thalidomide. Patent protection for uses of thalidomide expires in February 2014. We own, or co-own with Ash Stevens, Inc., three patent families and have exclusive rights to one additional patent family relating to the production or formulation of Vidaza, of which two patents have issued in the United States and we have received notice of allowance from the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office that a third patent will be issued. These patents will expire in 2023. We have exclusive rights to a family of patents and patent applications relating to the production of Recludan with protection until November 2016.

We have recently licensed from GPC Biotech AG exclusive rights to issued patents and related pending patent applications in the E.U. and certain other international markets for satraplatin. Issued patents covering compositions of matter and certain methods of use of satraplatin expire in January 2009. In addition, we have recently licensed from MethylGene exclusive rights to patents issued in the United States and related pending patent applications in the E.U. and certain other international markets for MGCD0103. The basic patent covering the composition of matter for MGCD0103 expires in September 2022. Under both of these licenses, our licensing partners are responsible for prosecuting and maintaining these patents and patent applications, and we are required to reimburse them for expenses they incur in connection with the prosecution and maintenance of the patents or patent applications in our territories.

The patent positions of pharmaceutical firms like us are generally uncertain and involve complex legal, scientific and factual questions. In addition, the coverage claimed in a patent application can be significantly reduced before the patent is issued. Consequently, we do not know whether any of the products or product candidates we acquire or license will result in the issuance of patents or, if any patents are issued, whether they will provide significant proprietary protection or will be challenged, circumvented or invalidated. Because unissued patent applications filed in the U.S. prior to November 29, 2000 and patent applications filed within the last 18 months are maintained in secrecy, and since publication of discoveries in the scientific or patent literature often lags behind actual discoveries, we cannot be certain of the priority of inventions covered by pending patent applications. Moreover, we may have to participate in interference proceedings declared by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office or a foreign patent office to determine priority of invention, or in opposition proceedings in a foreign patent office, either of which could result in substantial cost to us, even if the eventual outcome is favorable to us. There can be no assurance that the patents, if issued, would be held valid by a

Table of Contents

court of competent jurisdiction. An adverse outcome could subject us to significant liabilities to third parties, require disputed rights to be licensed from third parties or require us to cease using such technology.

In the absence of or to supplement patent protection for our existing products and any products or product candidates we should acquire in the future, we have sought and intend to continue seeking orphan drug status whenever it is available. To date, we have been granted orphan drug status in the U.S. for Vidaza for the MDS indication, in the E.U. for Vidaza for the MDS indication and for Thalidomide Pharmion 50mg for the indications multiple myeloma and ENL and in Australia for Vidaza for the MDS indication and for Thalidomide Pharmion 50mg for multiple myeloma and ENL indications. If a product which has an orphan drug designation subsequently receives the first regulatory approval for the indication for which it has such designation, the product is entitled to orphan exclusivity, meaning that the applicable regulatory authority may not approve any other applications to market the same drug for the same indication, except in certain very limited circumstances, for a period of seven years in the U.S. and ten years in the E.U. Orphan drug designation does not prevent competitors from developing or marketing different drugs for an indication. See *Government Regulation* for a more detailed description of orphan drug status.

Additionally, we will rely on Supplementary Protection Certificates and data exclusivity available in the E.U. to extend our period of market exclusivity for satraplatin in the E.U. beyond the expiration date of the basic satraplatin patent. A Supplementary Protection Certificate, if granted, would extend the protection provided by the existing satraplatin patent for five years, that is, until January 2014. Data exclusivity in the E.U. provides a period of up to ten years from the date a product is granted marketing approval in the E.U., during which the regulatory authorities are not permitted to cross-refer to the data submitted by the original applicant for approval when reviewing an application from a generic manufacturer of the same approved product. Unlike orphan drug exclusivity, data exclusivity does not prevent a generic manufacturer from filing for regulatory approval of the same or similar drug, even in the same indication for which that drug was previously approved in the E.U., based upon data generated independently by that manufacturer.

We also rely on trade secret protection for our confidential and proprietary information. No assurance can be given that others will not independently develop substantially equivalent proprietary information and techniques or otherwise gain access to our trade secrets or disclose such technology, or that we can meaningfully protect our trade secrets. However, we believe that the substantial costs and resources required to develop technological innovations, such as the PRMP, will help us to protect the competitive advantage of our products.

It is our policy to require our employees, consultants, outside scientific collaborators, sponsored researchers and other advisors to execute confidentiality agreements upon the commencement of employment or consulting relationships with us. These agreements provide that all confidential information developed or made known to the individual during the course of the individual's relationship with us is to be kept confidential and not disclosed to third parties except in specific circumstances. In the case of employees, the agreements provide that all inventions conceived by the individual shall be our exclusive property. There can be no assurance, however, that these agreements will provide meaningful protection or adequate remedies for our trade secrets in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure of such information.

Competition

The development and commercialization of new drugs is competitive and we will face competition from major pharmaceutical companies, specialty pharmaceutical companies and biotechnology companies worldwide. Our competitors may develop or market products or other novel technologies that are more effective, safer or less costly than any that have been or are being developed by us, or may obtain regulatory approval for their products more rapidly than we may obtain approval for ours.

The acquisition or licensing of pharmaceutical products is also very competitive, and a number of more established companies, which have acknowledged strategies to license or acquire products, may have competitive advantages as may other emerging companies taking similar or different approaches to product acquisitions. In addition, a number of established research-based pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies may acquire products in late stages of development to augment their internal product lines. These

Table of Contents

established companies may have a competitive advantage over us due to their size, cash flows and institutional experience.

Many of our competitors will have substantially greater financial, technical and human resources than we have. Additional mergers and acquisitions in the pharmaceutical industry may result in even more resources being concentrated in our competitors. Competition may increase further as a result of advances made in the commercial applicability of technologies and greater availability of capital for investment in these fields. Our success will be based in part on our ability to build and actively manage a portfolio of drugs that addresses unmet medical needs and create value in patient therapy.

Vidaza. We believe that the primary potential future competition for Vidaza will be Dacogen[™] from Supergen Inc., with marketing rights held by MGI Pharma, Inc., which like Vidaza, is a demethylating agent, and Thalomid[®] and Revlimid, each from Celgene. Dacogen is currently in development and/or under review for regulatory approval by the FDA and EMEA. Revlimid was approved in the U.S. for a subset of low-risk MDS patients in December 2005. In addition to these products, there are additional products in clinical development for the treatment of MDS and the enrollment of patients in clinical trials for these products may reduce the number of patients that will receive Vidaza treatment. We also face competition for Vidaza from traditional therapies for the treatment of MDS, including the use of blood transfusions and growth factors.

Thalidomide Pharmion 50mg. We believe that the primary competitors for Thalidomide Pharmion 50mg are Velcade[™] from Millennium Pharmaceuticals Inc., a proteasome inhibitor, and potentially Revlimid[®] from Celgene, a small molecule compound that affects multiple cellular pathways and is currently being evaluated for a wide range of hematological cancers, including relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma and MDS. In addition, in certain of our markets, we face competition from other suppliers of generic or unlicensed forms of thalidomide, including compounding of thalidomide by pharmacists.

Satraplatin Competition for satraplatin may include other drugs either marketed or being developed for prostate cancer, as well as other platinum-based compounds and other chemotherapy drugs for other cancers. In the prostate cancer market, currently approved drugs include Emcyt[®] from Pfizer, Inc., Novantrone[®] from (osi) pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Serono S.A., Quadramet[®] from Schering AG and CYTOGEN Corporation, Metastron[®] from Amersham Health and Medi-Physics, Inc. and Taxotere[®] from Sanofi-Aventis S.A.. In addition to these drugs, there are other agents in development for both advanced HRPC and earlier stages of prostate cancer, which may compete with satraplatin. Examples of such drugs are atrasentan from Abbott Laboratories, calcitriol from Novacea Inc., Provenge[®] from Dendreon Corporation, and ixabepilone from Bristol-Myers Squibb Company. There are currently three marketed platinum-based drugs in the United States and in Europe. These are cisplatin, carboplatin and oxaliplatin. All three agents are administered intravenously and are not indicated for the treatment of prostate cancer. Another platinum-based drug, which is not currently on the market, is NX 473 (from NeoRx Corporation). NX 473 is administered intravenously and has shown activity for HRPC in a Phase II clinical trial. We are aware that other companies may be developing orally bioavailable, platinum-based compounds. We are not aware, however, of any other orally bioavailable, platinum-based compounds that are approved or are in Phase III clinical trials. Satraplatin could also be developed for the treatment of other cancers, either as a single agent or in combination with radiation therapy or other drugs. In these other clinical settings, it will also face competition from a variety of other anticancer drugs.

We are aware that other companies may be developing orally bioavailable platinum-based compounds. We are not aware, however, of any other orally bioavailable platinum-based compounds that are approved or are in Phase III clinical trials. Satraplatin could also be developed for the treatment of other cancers, either as a single agent or in combination with radiation therapy or other drugs. In these other clinical settings, it will also face competition from a variety of other anticancer drugs.

MGCD0103 We believe the development of HDAC inhibitors to be very competitive. We are currently aware of 10 HDAC inhibitors currently in clinical development, with approximately 18 additional compounds in preclinical development. These compounds are being studied in a variety in cancers, including both solid tumors and hematological malignancies. Several of these compounds are in a more advanced stage

Table of Contents

of development than MGCD0103. We believe the compound in the most advanced stage of development to be Merck's vorinostat (SAHA), which is the subject of several ongoing Phase II and Phase III clinical trials.

Clinical, Development and Regulatory Expense

In the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, we incurred clinical, development and regulatory expense of \$42.9 million, \$28.4 million, and \$24.6 million, respectively.

Employees

As of March 9, 2006, we had 328 employees, consisting of 120 in regulatory affairs and clinical development, 153 in sales and marketing and 55 in general and administrative. We believe that our relations with our employees are good and we have no history of work stoppages.

In February 2006, Judith A. Hemberger notified our Board of Directors of her intention to resign her positions as Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer and member of the Board of Directors of the company, effective April 1, 2006.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

In addition to other information included in this report, the following factors should be considered in evaluating our business and future prospects.

Risks Related to Our Business

We have a history of net losses, and may not maintain profitability in the future.

Except for our most recent fiscal year, we have incurred annual net losses since our inception. As of December 31, 2005, we had an accumulated deficit of \$135.8 million. Although we achieved profitability for our 2005 fiscal year, we expect to further increase our expenditures to:

commercialize our marketed products;

support our development efforts associated with completing clinical trials and seeking regulatory approvals of our products, including development expenses associated with our recently-acquired product candidates, satraplatin and MGCD0103;

satisfy our obligations to make milestone payments under the existing license agreements for our product candidates; and

acquire additional product candidates or companies.

Accordingly, we do not expect to maintain profitability during our 2006 fiscal year and we are unsure as to when we will again achieve profitability for any substantial period of time. If we fail to achieve profitability within the time frame expected by investors or securities analysts, the market price of our common stock may decline.

Our existing commercial business is largely dependent on the success of Vidaza.

Sales of Vidaza account for a significant portion of our total product sales. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, Vidaza net sales represented 57% of our total net sales. Vidaza sales have not increased significantly over the past several calendar quarters. In addition, Vidaza will face increased competition from Revlimid™, which was recently approved for marketing by the FDA as a treatment for a subset of low-risk MDS patients, and we may face competition from new therapeutics for treating MDS under development by our competitors that are currently being considered for approval by the FDA. The commercial success of Vidaza and future growth in Vidaza sales will depend, among other things, upon:

continued acceptance by regulators, physicians, patients and other key decision-makers as a safe, superior therapeutic as compared to currently existing or future treatments for MDS;

Table of Contents

the success of our current survival clinical trial for Vidaza in MDS;

our ability to achieve a marketing authorization for Vidaza in Europe and in other countries; and

our ability to expand the indications for which we can market Vidaza.

As a consequence, we cannot make assurances that Vidaza will gain increased market acceptance from members of the medical community or that the acceptance of Vidaza we have observed thus far will be maintained. Even if Vidaza does gain increased market acceptance, we may not be able to maintain that market acceptance over time if these new products are introduced and are more favorably received than Vidaza or render Vidaza obsolete.

Regulatory authorities in our markets subject approved products and manufacturers of approved products to continual regulatory review. Previously unknown problems, such as unacceptable toxicities or side effects, may only be discovered after a product has been approved and used in an increasing number of patients. If this occurs, regulatory authorities may impose labeling restrictions on the product that could affect its commercial viability or could require withdrawal of the product from the market. Accordingly, there is a risk that we will discover such previously unknown problems associated with the use of Vidaza in patients, which could limit sales growth or cause sales of Vidaza to decline.

We may not receive regulatory approvals for our product candidates, including thalidomide, satraplatin or, outside of the United States, for Vidaza, or approvals may be delayed.

Our ability to fully commercialize thalidomide and satraplatin is subject to regulatory approval by governmental authorities in Europe and our other markets, and our ability to commercialize Vidaza outside the U.S. is subject to regulatory approval by governmental authorities in Europe and elsewhere. The regulatory review and approval process to obtain marketing approval, even for a drug that is approved in other jurisdictions, takes many years and requires the expenditure of substantial resources. This process can vary substantially based on the type, complexity, novelty and indication of the product candidate involved. Changes in the regulatory approval policy during the development period, changes in or the enactment of additional statutes or regulations, or changes in regulatory review for each submitted product application may cause delays in the approval or rejection of an application. The regulatory authorities have substantial discretion in the approval process and may refuse to accept any application or may decide that data is insufficient for approval and require additional pre-clinical, clinical or other studies. In addition, varying interpretations of the data obtained from pre-clinical and clinical testing by regulatory authorities could delay, limit or prevent regulatory approval of a product candidate.

In July 2005, we announced the completion of the scientific advice procedure with the EMEA regarding the clinical data needed to support a marketing authorization for thalidomide in relapsed/ refractory multiple myeloma. Based on this scientific advice, we initiated a four arm randomized study of 400-500 patients in this indication in February 2006. We expect to complete the study in 2007. In January 2006, we announced that, pending further data review and communication with the European regulatory authorities, we expect that the results of a pivotal Phase III multiple myeloma trial conducted by Celgene Corporation will form the basis of a new MAA for thalidomide in the treatment of first-line treatment of multiple myeloma in Europe. Just before our announcement, Celgene announced that the study met the pre-specified interim endpoint for efficacy and would be stopped. We cannot assure you that the results of this trial or our ongoing clinical trials for thalidomide will support our applications for these regulatory approvals.

In November 2005, we withdrew our previously filed MAA with the EMEA for Vidaza, based on the EMEA's stated view that additional clinical data would be required before it can reach an opinion on whether or not Vidaza should be approved as a treatment of MDS. We have previously initiated a clinical study of 354 high-risk MDS patients with overall survival as the primary endpoint of the study, which we expect to complete in 2007. If the results of this study are positive, we intend to submit a new MAA for Vidaza with the EMEA based on data from this study.

In addition, we have recently acquired marketing rights to satraplatin in Europe and certain other countries from GPC Biotech AG. Satraplatin is the subject of an ongoing Phase III clinical trial as a second-

Table of Contents

line chemotherapy treatment for patients with HRPC. Based on data from this trial, we expect to file an MAA in Europe in 2007.

We cannot assure you that the results of these studies for Vidaza or satraplatin will be positive or, even if either study is positive, that the EMEA will accept the results of the studies as the basis for a marketing approval.

The timing of our submissions, the outcome of reviews by the applicable regulatory authorities in each relevant market, and the initiation and completion of clinical trials are subject to uncertainty, change and unforeseen delays. Moreover, favorable results in later stage clinical trials do not ensure regulatory approval to commercialize a product. Some companies that have believed their products performed satisfactorily in clinical trials have nonetheless failed to obtain regulatory approval of their products. We will not be able to market thalidomide, Vidaza or satraplatin in any country where the drug is not approved, and if thalidomide, Vidaza or satraplatin is not approved for sale in a market where we have acquired rights to the product, we will only be able to sell it in such market, if at all, on a compassionate use or named patient basis, which will limit sales.

Thalidomide's history of causing birth defects may prevent it from becoming commercially successful.

At the time thalidomide first came on the market in the late 1950's and into the early 1960's, it was not known that the drug could cause birth defects in babies born to women who had taken the drug while pregnant. Although no proper census was ever taken, it has been estimated that there were between 10,000 and 20,000 babies born with birth defects as a result of thalidomide. The majority of these births were in the U.K. and Germany, two of our largest target markets for sales of thalidomide. As a result, thalidomide's historical reputation in our target markets may delay or prevent regulatory approval in Europe or may present a substantial barrier to its market acceptance. Thalidomide's potential for causing severe birth defects and its negative historical reputation may limit the extent of its market acceptance among both doctors and patients, despite the efficacy that it has been proven to have in patients afflicted with a number of different diseases. In addition, any report of a birth defect attributed to the current use of thalidomide could result in a material decrease in our sales of thalidomide, and may result in the forced withdrawal of thalidomide from the market.

If the third party manufacturers upon whom we rely fail to produce our products in the volumes that we require on a timely basis, or to comply with stringent regulations applicable to pharmaceutical drug manufacturers, we may face delays in the commercialization of, or be unable to meet demand for, our products and may lose potential revenues.

We do not manufacture any of our products and we do not plan to develop any capacity to do so. We have contracted with third-party manufacturers to manufacture each of our products. The manufacture of pharmaceutical products requires significant expertise and capital investment, including the development of advanced manufacturing techniques and process controls. Manufacturers of pharmaceutical products often encounter difficulties in production, especially in scaling up initial production. These problems include difficulties with production costs and yields, quality control and assurance and shortages of qualified personnel, as well as compliance with strictly enforced federal, state and foreign regulations. Our third-party manufacturers may not perform as agreed or may terminate their agreements with us.

Regulatory authorities in our markets require that drugs be manufactured, packaged and labeled in conformity with cGMP regulations and guidelines. In addition, before any product batch produced by our manufacturers can be shipped, it must conform to release specifications pre-approved by regulators for the content of the pharmaceutical product. The manufacturing process for Vidaza is very complex. There is a risk that our manufacturers will not comply with all applicable regulatory standards, and may not be able to manufacture Vidaza on a commercial scale that conforms on a consistent basis to our release specifications approved by the FDA.

To date, we have relied on sole sources for the manufacture of our products and, although we are in the process of qualifying a second-source manufacturer for the fill and finishing processes for Vidaza, we do not have operational alternate manufacturing facilities in place at this time. The number of third-party

Table of Contents

manufacturers with the expertise, required regulatory approvals and facilities to manufacture bulk drug substance on a commercial scale is extremely limited, and it would take a significant amount of time to arrange for alternative manufacturers. If we need to change to other commercial manufacturers, the FDA and comparable foreign regulators must approve these manufacturers' facilities and processes prior to our use, which would require new testing and compliance inspections, and the new manufacturers would have to be educated in or independently develop the processes necessary for the production of our products.

Any of these factors could cause us to delay or suspend clinical trials, regulatory submissions, required approvals or commercialization of our products or product candidates, entail higher costs and result in our being unable to effectively commercialize our products. Furthermore, if our third-party manufacturers fail to deliver the required commercial quantities of bulk drug substance or finished product on a timely basis and at commercially reasonable prices, and we are unable to promptly find one or more replacement manufacturers capable of production at a substantially equivalent cost, in substantially equivalent volume and on a timely basis, we would likely be unable to meet demand for our products and we would lose potential revenues. Moreover, failure of our third party manufacturers to comply with applicable regulations could result in sanctions being imposed on us, including fines, injunctions, civil penalties, suspension or withdrawal of approvals, license revocation, seizures or recalls of product candidates or products, operating restrictions and criminal prosecutions, any of which could significantly and adversely affect supplies of Vidaza and our other products.

Fluctuations in our operating results could affect the price of our common stock.

Our operating results may vary significantly from period to period due to many factors, including the amount and timing of sales of our products, underlying demand and wholesaler buying patterns for Vidaza, the availability and timely delivery of a sufficient supply of our products, the timing and amount of operating expenses, particularly for development activities, announcements regarding clinical trial results and product introductions by us or our competitors, the availability and timing of third-party reimbursement and the timing of regulatory submissions and approvals. If our operating results do not match the expectations of securities analysts and investors as a result of these and other factors, the trading price of our common stock will likely decrease.

Our effective tax rate has, and likely will continue to, vary significantly from period to period. Increases in our effective tax rate would have a negative effect on our results of operations.

Our effective tax rate has varied significantly since our inception. This is largely due to the fact that we are subject to income taxes in a number of jurisdictions. The tax provision for each country is based on pre-tax earnings or losses in each specific country, and tax losses in one country cannot be used to offset taxable income in other countries. As a result, our consolidated effective tax rate has historically been far in excess of U.S. statutory tax rates. We expect this trend will continue for the foreseeable future.

Since our inception, we have had minimal or no provision for U.S. income taxes due to incurring losses in the U.S. or, in the case of 2005, utilizing tax net operating loss carryforwards to offset taxable income in the U.S. As of December 31, 2005 we had approximately \$131 million in U.S. federal and foreign tax loss carryforwards, including approximately \$35 million in U.S. loss carryforwards and \$86 million in Swiss tax loss carryforwards. U.S. net operating loss carryforwards are subject to change of ownership limitations under Sections 382 of the Internal Revenue Code and may also be subject to various other limitations on the amounts utilized. A change in ownership last occurred in 2001, but that change had minimal impact on the availability of net operating loss carryforwards as the majority of the losses were incurred subsequent to the change in ownership. We anticipate that a second change in ownership may occur in 2006. If so, the amount of net operating loss carryforwards available in 2006 and in subsequent years may be reduced significantly. If we maintain profitability in the U.S., the reduction in the availability of net operating loss carryforwards may result in an increase in U.S. income tax expense and our overall effective tax rate. This in turn would result in a reduction in our net income and net income per share beginning with the quarter of implementation.

Table of Contents

If we breach any of the agreements under which we license commercialization rights to products or technology from others, we could lose license rights that are important to our business.

We license commercialization rights to products and technology that are important to our business, and we expect to enter into similar licenses in the future. For instance, we acquired rights to certain intellectual property and technology for Vidaza, thalidomide, satraplatin and MGCD0103 through exclusive licensing arrangements with third parties. Under these licenses we are subject to commercialization and development, sublicensing, royalty, milestone payments, insurance and other obligations. If we fail to comply with any of these requirements, or otherwise breach these license agreements, the licensor may have the right to terminate the license in whole or to terminate the exclusive nature of the license. Loss of any of these licenses or the exclusivity rights provided therein could harm our financial condition and operating results.

We face substantial competition, which may result in others commercializing competing products before or more successfully than we do.

Our industry is highly competitive. Our success will depend on our ability to acquire, develop and commercialize products and our ability to establish and maintain markets for our products. Potential competitors in North America, Europe and elsewhere include major pharmaceutical companies, specialized pharmaceutical companies and biotechnology firms, universities and other research institutions. Many of our competitors have substantially greater research and development capabilities and experience, and greater manufacturing, marketing and financial resources, than we do. Accordingly, our competitors may develop or license products or other novel technologies that are more effective, safer or less costly than our existing products or products that are being developed by us, or may obtain regulatory approval for products before we do. Clinical development by others may render our products or product candidates noncompetitive.

Other pharmaceutical companies may develop generic versions of our products that are not subject to patent protection or otherwise subject to orphan drug exclusivity or other proprietary rights. In particular, because we have only limited patent protection for thalidomide, we face substantial competition from generic versions of thalidomide throughout Europe and other territories in which we sell thalidomide without orphan drug exclusivity. Governmental and other pressures to reduce pharmaceutical costs may result in physicians writing prescriptions for these generic products. Increased competition from the sale of competing generic pharmaceutical products could cause a material decrease in sales of our products.

The primary competition and potential competition for our products currently are:

Vidaza: Thalomid[®] and Revlimid[™], each from Celgene, and Dacogen[™] from Supergen Inc., with marketing rights held by MGI Pharma, Inc., which like Vidaza, is a demethylating agent;

Thalidomide: Velcade[™] from Millennium Pharmaceuticals Inc., and Revlimid[™] from Celgene Corporation, in addition to competing sales of other versions of thalidomide described above;

Satraplatin: Emcyt[®] from Pfizer Inc.; Novantrone[®] from (osi) pharmaceuticals/ Serono, Inc.; Quadramet[®] from Schering AG/Cytogen Corporation; Metastron[®] from Amersham Health/ Medi-Physics, Inc.; and Taxotere[®] from Sanofi Aventis SA, as approved drugs. There are other agents in development for prostate cancer, including pemetrexel from Eli Lilly and Company; calcitriol from Novacea, Inc.; Provenge[®] from Dendreon Corporation; ixabepilone from Bristol-Myers Squibb Co.; Avastin from Genentech Inc.; Velcade[®] from Millenium Pharmaceuticals Inc./ Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development LLC; and Nexavar[®] from Onyx Pharmaceuticals, Inc./ Bayer Pharmaceuticals Corporation;

Innohep: Lovenox[®], from Sanofi-Aventis; Fragmin[®], from Pfizer Inc.; and Arixtra, from GlaxoSmithKline plc; and

Refludan: Argatroban, from GlaxoSmithKline.

Dacogen is currently under review for regulatory approval by the FDA and Revlimid was recently approved by the FDA as a treatment for certain low risk MDS patients and is currently under review for regulatory approval by the

EMEA. In addition to these products, there are additional products in clinical

Table of Contents

development for the treatment of MDS and the enrollment of patients in clinical trials for these products may reduce the number of patients that will receive Vidaza treatment. We also face competition for Vidaza from traditional therapies for the treatment of MDS, including the use of blood transfusions and growth factors.

In addition, MGCD0103, a histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor recently licensed by us from MethylGene Inc., is in a very early stage of development and we do not anticipate completing clinical trials for several years. However, several other HDAC inhibitors are in more advanced clinical trials, including SAHA from Merck & Co., Inc., and may reach the market before MGCD0103. If this occurs, the market potential for MGCD0103 may be significantly reduced.

The timing of customer purchases and the resulting product shipments have a significant impact on the amount of product sales that we recognize in a particular period.

The majority of our sales of Vidaza in the United States are made to independent pharmaceutical wholesalers, including specialty oncology distributors, which, in turn, resell the product to an end user customer (normally a clinic, hospital, alternative healthcare facility or an independent pharmacy). Inventory in the distribution channel consists of inventory held by these wholesalers. Our product sales in a particular period are impacted by increases or decreases in the distribution channel inventory levels. We cannot significantly control or influence the purchasing patterns or buying behavior of independent wholesalers or end users. Although our wholesaler customers typically buy product from us only as necessary to satisfy projected end user demand, we cannot predict future wholesalers buying practices. For example, wholesalers may engage in speculative purchases of product in excess of the current market demand in anticipation of future price increases. Accordingly, purchases by any given customer, during any given period, may be above or below actual patient demand of any of our products during the same period, resulting in fluctuations in product inventory in the distribution channel. If distribution channel inventory levels substantially exceed end user demand, we could experience reduced revenue from sales in subsequent periods due to a reduction in end user demand.

Furthermore, our customer base is highly concentrated. Net sales generated from our largest three wholesale customers in the U.S. totaled approximately 45% of our total consolidated net sales for the year ended December 31, 2005. If any of these customers becomes insolvent or disputes payment of the amount it owes us, it would adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Our failure to successfully acquire, in-license, develop and market additional product candidates or approved products would impair our ability to grow and could affect the price of our common stock.

As part of our growth strategy, we intend to acquire, in-license, develop and market additional products and product candidates. Because we neither have, nor currently intend to establish, internal research capabilities, we are dependent upon pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies and other researchers to sell or license products to us. The success of this strategy depends upon our ability to identify, select and acquire the right pharmaceutical product candidates and products.

Any product candidate we license or acquire may require additional development efforts prior to commercial sale, including extensive clinical testing and approval by the FDA and applicable foreign regulatory authorities. All product candidates are prone to the risks of failure inherent in pharmaceutical product development, including the possibility that the product candidate will not be shown to be sufficiently safe and effective for approval by regulatory authorities. Even where we are successful in gaining approval for product candidates we acquire, we cannot assure you that those products will be manufactured or produced economically, successfully commercialized or widely accepted in the marketplace. In addition, we will be required to integrate any acquired products into our existing operations, including satraplatin and MGCD0103, products that we have only recently acquired. Managing the development of a new product entails numerous financial and operational risks, including difficulties in attracting qualified employees to develop the products.

Proposing, negotiating and implementing an economically viable acquisition and licenses is a lengthy and complex process. Other companies, including those with substantially greater financial, marketing and sales resources, may compete with us for the acquisition of product candidates and approved products. We may not

Table of Contents

be able to acquire the rights to additional product candidates and approved products on terms that we find acceptable, or at all.

We may not be able to obtain sufficient product liability insurance on commercially reasonable terms or with adequate coverage for thalidomide.

Historically, the vast majority of product liability insurers have been unwilling to write any product liability coverage for thalidomide. Although we currently have product liability coverage for thalidomide that we believe is appropriate, if our sales of this product grow in the future, our current coverage may be insufficient. We may be unable to obtain additional coverage on commercially reasonable terms if required, or our coverage may be inadequate to protect us in the event claims are asserted against us. In addition, we might be unable to renew our existing level of coverage if there were a report of a birth defect attributable to the current use of thalidomide, whether or not sold by us.

Failure to achieve our sales targets or raise additional funds in the future may require us to delay, reduce the scope of, or eliminate one or more of our planned activities.

We will need to generate greater sales to maintain profitability on an annual basis. The product development, including clinical trials, manufacturing development and regulatory approvals of Vidaza, thalidomide, satraplatin and MGCD0103, and the acquisition and development of additional product candidates by us will require a commitment of substantial funds. Our future capital requirements are dependent upon many factors and may be significantly greater than we expect.

We believe, based on our current operating plan, including anticipated sales of our products, that our cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments will be sufficient to fund our operations through at least the next twelve months. If our existing resources are insufficient to satisfy our liquidity requirements due to slower than anticipated sales of our products or otherwise, or if we acquire additional products or product candidates, we may need to sell additional equity or debt securities. If we are unable to obtain this additional financing, we may be required to delay, reduce the scope of, or eliminate one or more of our planned development, commercialization or expansion activities, which could harm our financial condition and operating results.

We may not be able to manage our business effectively if we are unable to attract and retain key personnel.

Our industry has experienced a high rate of turnover of management personnel in recent years. We are highly dependent on our senior management team, whose services are critical to the successful implementation of our business strategies. Each of our senior executives have entered into an employment agreement with us for a term that runs until the agreement is otherwise terminated by us or them. These employment agreements provide that the executive cannot compete with us for a period of one year after his or her employment with us is terminated. If we lose the services of our senior management or other key employees, our ability to successfully implement our business strategy could be seriously harmed. We do not maintain key person life insurance on any of the members of our senior management. Replacing key employees may be difficult and may take an extended period of time because of the limited number of individuals in our industry with the breadth of skills and experience required to develop, gain regulatory approval of and commercialize products successfully. Competition to hire from this limited pool is intense, and we may be unable to hire, train, retain or motivate these additional key personnel.

We have limited patent protection for our current products, and we may not be able to obtain, maintain and protect proprietary rights necessary for the development and commercialization of our products or product candidates.

Our commercial success will depend in part on obtaining and maintaining a strong proprietary position for our products both in the U.S., Europe and elsewhere. We currently own or have exclusive rights to issued patents and pending patent applications covering thalidomide from Celgene Corporation, Vidaza, from Pfizer, Inc., satraplatin from GPC Biotech AG and MGCD0103 from MethylGene Inc. We have limited patent

Table of Contents

protection for Vidaza, currently consisting of two issued patents covering certain polymorphic forms of Vidaza drug substance and methods of isolating a crystalline form of Vidaza drug substance. In addition, in May 2004 the FDA awarded orphan drug exclusivity to Vidaza for the treatment of MDS patients, which lasts for seven years from the date granted. Given the limited patent protection for Vidaza, we must still rely in large part on orphan drug exclusivity to protect and enhance our competitive position in the U.S., and we will rely on orphan drug designation and data exclusivity available in the E.U., if and when Vidaza is approved for marketing in Europe. However, orphan drug exclusivity does not prohibit competitors from developing or marketing different drugs for an indication or from independently developing generic versions of Vidaza for different indications. In addition, while we are selling thalidomide on a compassionate use and named patient basis, we do not have orphan drug exclusivity and we must rely on our use patent protection to prevent competitors from selling thalidomide in our markets until we are granted a marketing authorization. Finally, the primary European patents we have licensed for satraplatin expire in 2009 and, therefore, we will be relying on supplementary protection certificates to extend patent protection and on data exclusivity available in the E.U. if and when we achieve marketing approval for this product.

We also rely on protection derived from trade secrets, process patents, know-how and technological innovation. To maintain the confidentiality of trade secrets and proprietary information, we generally seek to enter into confidentiality agreements with our employees, consultants and collaborators upon the commencement of a relationship with us. However, we may not obtain these agreements in all circumstances. In addition, adequate remedies may not exist in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure of this information. The loss or exposure of our trade secrets, know-how and other proprietary information could harm our operating results, financial condition and future growth prospects. Furthermore, others may have developed, or may develop in the future, substantially similar or superior know-how and technology.

We intend to seek patent protection whenever it is available for any products or product candidates we acquire in the future. However, any patent applications for future products or pending applications for our existing products may not issue as patents, and any patent issued on such products may be challenged, invalidated, held unenforceable or circumvented. Furthermore, the claims in patents that do ultimately issue on those patent applications may not be sufficiently broad to prevent third parties from commercializing competing products. In addition, the laws of various foreign countries in which we compete may not protect the intellectual property on which we may rely to the same extent as do the laws of the U.S. If we fail to obtain adequate patent protection for our products, our ability to compete could be impaired.

We may undertake acquisitions in the future and any difficulties from integrating such acquisitions could damage our ability to attain or maintain profitability.

We may acquire additional businesses, products or product candidates that complement or augment our existing business. To date, our only experience in acquiring and integrating a business involved our acquisition of Laphal in March 2003. Integrating any newly acquired business or product could be expensive and time-consuming. We may not be able to integrate any acquired business or product successfully or operate any acquired business profitably. Moreover, if we acquire additional businesses or products we will incur significant acquisition costs and operating expenses, which could harm our financial condition and operating results. In addition, we may need to raise additional funds through public or private debt or equity financing to make acquisitions, which may result in dilution for stockholders and the incurrence of indebtedness.

Changes to financial accounting standards may affect our results of operations and cause us to change our business practices.

We prepare our financial statements to conform with generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, in the United States. These accounting principles are subject to interpretation by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, the SEC and various bodies formed to promulgate and interpret appropriate accounting policies. A change in those accounting principles or interpretations could have a significant effect on our reported financial results and may affect our reporting of transactions completed before a change is announced or adopted. Changes to those rules or the questioning of current practices may adversely affect our reported financial results or the way we conduct our

Table of Contents

business. For example, accounting policies affecting certain aspects of our business, including rules relating to employee stock option grants, have recently been revised. In December 2004, the FASB issued a revision of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, which amends SFAS No. 123 to require the recognition of employee stock options as compensation based on their fair value at the time of grant (with limited exceptions). As a result of these new rules, on January 1, 2006 we changed our accounting policies and will thereafter record an expense for our stock-based compensation plans based on the estimated fair value of options granted, which will result in additional accounting charges as described in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Recently Issued Accounting Standards.

Our business is subject to economic, political, regulatory and other risks associated with international sales and operations.

Since we sell our products in Europe, Australia and many additional countries, our business is subject to risks associated with conducting business internationally. We anticipate that sales from international operations will continue to represent a substantial portion of our total sales. In addition, a number of our suppliers are located outside the United States. Accordingly, our future results could be harmed by a variety of factors, including:

difficulties in compliance with foreign laws and regulations;

changes in foreign regulations and customs;

changes in foreign currency exchange rates and currency controls;

changes in a specific country's or region's political or economic environment;

trade protection measures, import or export licensing requirements or other restrictive actions by the U.S. or foreign governments;

negative consequences from changes in tax laws;

difficulties associated with staffing and managing foreign operations;

longer accounts receivable cycles in some countries; and

differing labor regulations.

Risks Related to Our Industry

Our ability to generate sales from our products will depend on reimbursement and drug pricing policies and regulations.

Our ability to achieve acceptable levels of reimbursement for drug treatments by governmental authorities, private health insurers and other organizations will have an effect on our ability to successfully commercialize, and attract collaborative partners to invest in the development of, product candidates. The Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 together with rulemaking by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS, changed the methodology for Medicare reimbursement of pharmaceutical products administered in physician offices and hospital outpatient facilities, including Vidaza and Innohep. Under these regulations, reimbursements are the average selling price, or ASP, of a product plus 6%, rather than a specified discount from the average wholesale price, or AWP, as was the case under prior regulations. The ASP-based reimbursement regime generally reduced the reimbursement physicians receive under Medicare for most office-administered injectable drugs, including Vidaza and Innohep. The changes made to date have not yet resulted in an adverse affect on reimbursement for our products, however we cannot predict the impact, if any, that future reimbursement policies will adversely affect product use by physicians, thereby reducing our sales for these products.

In other countries, particularly the countries of the European Union, the pricing of prescription pharmaceuticals and the level of reimbursement are subject to strict governmental control. We cannot be sure that reimbursement in the U.S., Europe or elsewhere will be available for any products we may develop or, if

Table of Contents

already available, will not be decreased or eliminated in the future. If reimbursement is not available or is available only at limited levels, we may not be able to successfully commercialize our products, and may not be able to obtain a satisfactory financial return on our products.

Third-party payers increasingly are challenging prices charged for medical products and services. Also, the trend toward managed health care in the U.S. and the changes in health insurance programs, as well as legislative proposals to reform health care or reduce government insurance programs, may result in lower prices for pharmaceutical products, including any products that may be offered by us. Cost-cutting measures that health care providers are instituting, and the effect of any health care reform, could harm our ability to sell any products that are successfully developed by us and approved by regulators. Moreover, we are unable to predict what additional legislation or regulation, if any, relating to the health care industry or third-party coverage and reimbursement may be enacted in the future or what effect this legislation or regulation would have on our business. In the event that governmental authorities enact legislation or adopt regulations that affect third-party coverage and reimbursement, demand for our products may be reduced thereby harming our sales and profitability.

If product liability lawsuits are brought against us, we may incur substantial liabilities.

The clinical testing and commercialization of pharmaceutical products involves significant exposure to product liability claims. If losses from such claims exceed our liability insurance coverage, we may incur substantial liabilities. Whether or not we were ultimately successful in product liability litigation, such litigation could consume substantial amounts of our financial and managerial resources, and might result in adverse publicity, all of which would impair our business. We may not be able to maintain our clinical trial insurance or product liability insurance at an acceptable cost, if at all, and this insurance may not provide adequate coverage against potential claims or losses. If we are required to pay a product liability claim, we may not have sufficient financial resources to complete development or commercialization of any of our product candidates and our business and results of operations will be harmed.

If our promotional activities fail to comply with the regulations and guidelines of the various relevant regulatory agencies, we may be subject to warnings or enforcement action that could harm our business.

Physicians may prescribe drugs for uses that are not described in the product's labeling for uses that differ from those tested in clinical studies and approved by the FDA or similar regulatory authorities in other countries. These off-label uses are common across medical specialties and may constitute the best treatment for many patients in varied circumstances. Regulatory authorities generally do not regulate the behavior of physicians in their choice of treatments. Regulatory authorities do, however, restrict communications on the subject of off-label use. Companies cannot actively promote approved drugs for off-label uses, but in some countries outside of the E.U., including the U.S., they may disseminate to physicians articles published in peer-reviewed journals, like *The New England Journal of Medicine* and *The Lancet*, that discuss off-label uses of approved products. To the extent allowed, we may disseminate peer-reviewed articles on our products to our physician customers. We believe our promotional activities are currently in compliance with the regulations and guidelines of the various regulatory authorities. If, however, our promotional activities fail to comply with these regulations or guidelines, we may be subject to warnings from, or enforcement action by, these authorities. Furthermore, if the discussion of off-label use in peer-reviewed journals or the dissemination of these articles is prohibited, it may harm demand for our products.

We are subject to numerous complex regulatory requirements and failure to comply with these regulations, or the cost of compliance with these regulations, may harm our business.

The testing, development and manufacturing of our products are subject to regulation by numerous governmental authorities in the U.S., Europe and elsewhere. These regulations govern or affect the testing, manufacture, safety, labeling, storage, record-keeping, approval, advertising and promotion of our products and product candidates, as well as safe working conditions and the experimental use of animals. Noncompliance with any applicable regulatory requirements can result in refusal of the government to approve products for marketing, criminal prosecution and fines, recall or seizure of products, total or partial suspension of

Table of Contents

production, prohibitions or limitations on the commercial sale of products or refusal to allow us to enter into supply contracts. Regulatory authorities typically have the authority to withdraw approvals that have been previously granted.

The regulatory requirements relating to the manufacturing, testing, and marketing of our products may change from time to time. For example, at present, member states in the E.U. are in the process of incorporating into their domestic laws the provisions contained in the E.U. Directive on the implementation of good clinical practice in the conduct of clinical trials. The Directive imposes more onerous requirements in relation to certain aspects of the conduct of clinical trials than are currently in place in many member states. This may impact our ability to conduct clinical trials and the ability of independent investigators to conduct their own research with support from us.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

Our certificate of incorporation, our bylaws, Delaware law and our employment agreements with members of our senior management contain provisions that could discourage, delay or prevent a change in control or management of Pharmion.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, bylaws, Delaware law and our employment agreements with members of senior management contain provisions which could delay or prevent a third party from acquiring shares of our common stock or replacing members of our board of directors, each of which certificate of incorporation provisions can only be amended or repealed upon the consent of 80% of our outstanding shares. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation allows our board of directors to issue up to 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock. The board can determine the price, rights, preferences and privileges of those shares without any further vote or action by the stockholders. As a result, our board of directors could make it difficult for a third party to acquire a majority of our outstanding voting stock, for example by adopting a stockholders' rights plan.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation also provides that the members of the board are divided into three classes. Each year the terms of approximately one-third of the directors will expire. Our bylaws do not permit our stockholders to call a special meeting of stockholders. Under the bylaws, only our Chief Executive Officer, Chairman of the Board or a majority of the board of directors are able to call special meetings. The staggering of directors' terms of office and the limitation on the ability of stockholders to call a special meeting may make it difficult for stockholders to remove or replace the board of directors should they desire to do so. Since management is appointed by the board of directors, any inability to effect a change in the board may result in the entrenchment of management. The bylaws also require that stockholders give advance notice to our Secretary of any nominations for director or other business to be brought by stockholders at any stockholders' meeting. These provisions may delay or prevent changes of control or management, either by third parties or by stockholders seeking to change control or management.

We are also subject to the anti-takeover provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law. Under these provisions, if anyone becomes an interested stockholder, we may not enter into a business combination with that person for three years without special approval, which could discourage a third party from making a takeover offer and could delay or prevent a change of control. For purposes of Section 203, interested stockholder means, generally, someone owning 15% or more of our outstanding voting stock or an affiliate of ours that owned 15% or more of our outstanding voting stock during the past three years, subject to certain exceptions as described in Section 203.

The employment agreements with members of our senior management provide that certain benefits will be payable to the executives in the event we undergo a change in control and the termination of the executive's employment within two years after such change in control for any reason other than for cause, disability, death, normal retirement or early retirement.

Table of Contents

Our stock price has been and may continue to be volatile and your investment in our common stock could suffer a decline in value

Our common stock has been and in the future may be subject to substantial price volatility. During the period January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005, the closing price of our common stock ranged from a high of \$44.55 per share to a low of \$16.49 per share.

Some specific factors that could have a significant effect on our common stock market price include:

actual or anticipated fluctuations in our operating results;

our announcements or our competitors' announcements of clinical trial results or regulatory approval of new products;

changes in our growth rates or our competitors' growth rates;

the timing or results of regulatory submissions or actions with respect to our products;

public concern as to the safety of our products;

changes in health care, drug pricing or reimbursement policies in a country where we sell our products;

our inability to raise additional capital;

our ability to grow through successful product acquisitions and in-licensing agreements;

conditions of the pharmaceutical industry or in the financial markets or economic conditions in general; and

changes in stock market analyst recommendations regarding our common stock, other comparable companies or the pharmaceutical industry generally.

Item 1B. *Unresolved Staff Comments.*

None.

Item 2. *Properties.*

We lease approximately 29,000 square feet of space in our headquarters in Boulder, Colorado under a lease that expires in 2008. We also lease approximately 26,000 square feet of office space in Windsor in the United Kingdom. That lease expires in 2010 and has a renewal option for an additional five years. We also lease clinical development, sales and marketing, and support offices in other parts of the U.S. and abroad. We have no laboratory, research or manufacturing facilities. We believe that our current facilities are adequate for our needs for the foreseeable future and that, should it be needed, suitable additional space will be available to accommodate expansion of our operations on commercially reasonable terms.

Item 3. *Legal Proceedings.*

On March 30, 2005 we filed suit against Casso Pharmaceuticals for infringement of European Patent EP 0 688 211, in connection with Casso's sales of thalidomide for the treatment of angiogenesis-mediated disorders, including multiple myeloma, in Greece. Similarly, on April 11, 2005 we filed suit under the same patent against IPC-Nordic in Denmark for selling the same thalidomide product for the same disorders.

We are the exclusive sub-licensee under EP 0 688 211 throughout Europe, pursuant to an agreement with Celgene Corporation. Celgene is the worldwide exclusive licensee under this patent pursuant to an agreement with the patentee, Children's Medical Center Corporation. Celgene and Children's Medical Center Corporation are co-plaintiffs to the proceedings in Greece, while Pharmion is the sole plaintiff in Denmark. We are seeking injunctive relief that prevents the defendants from making any further sales of thalidomide for the treatment of angiogenesis-mediated disorders, including multiple myeloma, in Greece and Denmark respec-

Table of Contents

tively, and damages against the defendants. We do not expect decisions on the merits to be rendered in the various proceedings until late 2006 at the earliest.

No material developments to these matters have occurred during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.

Item 4. *Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.*

No matters were submitted to a vote of our security holders through solicitation of proxies or otherwise during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. *Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.*****Market Information and Holders**

Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ National Market under the symbol PHRM. Trading of our common stock commenced on November 6, 2003, following completion of our initial public offering. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low sales prices for our common stock as reported by the NASDAQ National Market:

	High	Low
Year Ended December 31, 2004		
First Quarter	\$ 24.70	\$ 14.72
Second Quarter	\$ 49.79	\$ 20.60
Third Quarter	\$ 58.49	\$ 40.37
Fourth Quarter	\$ 53.35	\$ 41.48
Year Ended December 31, 2005		
First Quarter	\$ 44.55	\$ 28.75
Second Quarter	\$ 29.35	\$ 18.68
Third Quarter	\$ 30.12	\$ 21.05
Fourth Quarter	\$ 22.45	\$ 16.49

On March 14, 2006, the last reported sale price of our common stock on the NASDAQ National Market was \$17.56 per share.

American Stock Transfer and Trust Company is the transfer agent and registrar for our common stock. As of the close of business on March 14, 2006, we had approximately 76 holders of record of our common stock.

Dividends

We have never paid any cash dividends on our capital stock and do not intend to pay any such dividends in the foreseeable future.

Table of Contents

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans
Equity Compensation Plan Information
As of December 31, 2005

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (a)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options Warrants and Rights (b)	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders(1)(2)	3,386,858	\$ 20.60	1,706,633
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders			
Total	3,386,858	\$ 20.60	1,706,633

- (1) As of December 31, 2005, 5,258,000 shares were reserved for issuance under our 2000 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2000 Plan). This number is subject to an automatic yearly increase pursuant to an evergreen formula. Each year, on the date of our annual meeting of stockholders, the amount of shares reserved for issuance under the 2000 Plan will be increased by 500,000 shares, unless our board of directors determines that a smaller increase or no increase is necessary. In June 2005, in addition to the 500,000 share evergreen increase, shareholders approved an amendment to the 2000 Plan to increase the number of shares reserved for issuance by 1,500,000 for a total increase of 2,000,000 in 2005.
- (2) As of December 31, 2005, 575,000 shares were reserved for issuance under our 2001 Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan (the 2001 Plan). This number is subject to an automatic yearly increase pursuant to an evergreen formula. Each year, on the date of our annual meeting of stockholders, the amount of shares reserved for issuance under the 2001 Plan will be increased by 50,000 shares, unless our board of directors determines that a smaller increase or no increase is necessary. In June 2005, in addition to the 50,000 share evergreen increase, shareholders approved an amendment to the 2001 Plan to increase the number of shares reserved for issuance by 100,000 for a total increase of 150,000 in 2005.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

None.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Registrant and Affiliated Purchasers.

None.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

In the table below, we provide you with our selected consolidated financial data which should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our consolidated financial statements and the related notes appearing elsewhere in this annual report. We have prepared this information using our audited consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, 2003, 2002 and 2001. The pro forma net loss attributable to common stockholders per common share and shares used in computing pro forma net loss attributable to common stockholders per common shares reflect the conversion of all outstanding shares of our redeemable convertible preferred stock as of January 1, 2001 or the date of issuance, if later. The net loss per share data and pro forma net loss per

Table of Contents

share data do not include the effect of any options or warrants outstanding as they would be anti-dilutive. For further discussion of earnings per share, please see note 2 to our consolidated financial statements.

Years Ended December 31,

2005 2004 2003(1)(2) 2002 2001

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

Consolidated Statements of Operations Data:					
Net sales	\$	221,244	\$	130,171	\$ 25,539 \$ 4,735 \$
Operating expenses:					
Cost of sales, inclusive of royalties, exclusive of product rights amortization		59,800		43,635	11,462 1,575
Clinical, development and regulatory research		42,944		28,392	24,616 15,049 6,009
Acquired in process research		21,243			
Selling, general and administrative		83,323		66,848	36,109 23,437 8,322
Product rights amortization		9,345		3,395	1,972 375
Total operating expenses		216,655		142,270	74,159 40,436 14,331
Income (loss) from operations		4,589		(12,099)	(48,620) (35,701) (14,331)
Other income (expense) net		6,474		2,415	(154) 1,109 621
Income (loss) before taxes		11,063		(9,684)	(48,774) (34,592) (13,710)
Income tax expense		8,794		7,853	1,285 105
Net income (loss)		2,269		(17,537)	(50,059) (34,697) (13,710)
Accretion to redemption value of redeemable convertible preferred stock					(10,091) (8,576) (2,458)
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$	2,269	\$	(17,537)	\$ (60,150) \$ (43,273) \$ (16,168)

Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders per common share:					
Basic	\$	0.07	\$	(0.63)	\$ (14.70) \$ (57.58) \$ (23.99)
Diluted	\$	0.07	\$	(0.63)	\$ (14.70) \$ (57.58) \$ (23.99)
Shares used in computing net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders per common share:					
Basic		31,836,783		27,933,202	4,093,067 751,525 673,822
Diluted		32,875,516		27,933,202	4,093,067 751,525 673,822
Pro forma net loss attributable to common stockholders per common share, assuming conversion of preferred stock, basic and diluted (unaudited)					
		N/A		N/A	\$ (2.66) \$ (2.47) \$ (2.26)
Shares used in computing pro forma net loss attributable to common stockholders per common share, assuming conversion of preferred stock basic and diluted					
		N/A		N/A	18,791,015 14,072,707 6,060,284

Table of Contents

As of December 31,

	2005	2004	2003(1)(2)	2002	2001
(In thousands)					
Consolidated Balance Sheet:					
Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments	\$ 243,406	\$ 245,543	\$ 88,542	\$ 62,604	\$ 68,444
Working capital	226,620	233,366	86,539	60,891	66,568
Total assets	432,630	411,230	145,473	80,847	70,278
Convertible notes			13,374		
Other long-term liabilities	3,738	3,824	8,144	190	
Redeemable convertible preferred stock				135,987	87,790
Accumulated deficit	(135,827)	(138,096)	(120,559)	(62,950)	(19,697)
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	346,624	351,953	104,914	(62,216)	(19,783)

- (1) We acquired Laphal Developpement S.A. on March 25, 2003 and its operations are included in our results since that date.
- (2) In November 2003 we completed our initial public offering, which resulted in \$76.2 million of net proceeds through the issuance of 6,000,000 shares of common stock. Concurrent with effective date of the initial public offering, all outstanding shares of our redeemable convertible preferred stock were converted into 17,030,956 shares of our common stock.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and the related notes that appear elsewhere in this document.

Overview

We are a global pharmaceutical company focused on acquiring, developing and commercializing innovative products for the treatment of hematology and oncology patients. We have established our own regulatory, development and sales and marketing organizations covering the U.S., Europe and Australia. We have also developed a distributor network to cover the hematology and oncology markets in numerous additional countries throughout Europe, the Middle East and Asia. To date, we have acquired the rights to six products, including four that are currently marketed or sold on a compassionate use or named patient basis, and two products that are in varying stages of development.

In May 2004, Vidaza[®] was approved for marketing in the U.S. and we commenced sales of the product in July 2004. Pending positive data from an ongoing Phase III/IV study expected to be available at the end of 2006, we plan to file for marketing approval in the European Union (E.U.) in early 2007. Until Vidaza is approved, we intend to sell Vidaza on a compassionate use and named patient basis throughout the major markets in the E.U. We have filed in Europe for approval to market Vidaza in certain international markets and these submissions are under review by the respective regulatory authorities. Thalidomide Pharmion 50mgtm is being sold by us on a compassionate use or named patient basis in Europe and other international markets while we pursue marketing authorization in those markets. Pending our positive review of data from a Phase III study, we also expect to submit for marketing approval in the E.U. in early 2007. In addition, we sell Innohep[®] in the U.S. and Refludan[®] in Europe and other international markets.

In December 2005, we entered into a co-development and license agreement with GPC Biotech for satraplatin, the only oral platinum-based compound in advanced clinical trials. Under the terms of the agreement, we obtained

exclusive commercialization rights for Europe, Turkey, the Middle East, Australia and New Zealand. Enrollment in a Phase III study examining satraplatin as a treatment for hormone refractory prostate cancer was completed in the fourth quarter of 2005. Data from this study is expected in the second half of 2006 and if positive, we expect to submit for marketing approval in the E.U. in early 2007.

Table of Contents

Subsequent to December 31, 2005, we entered into a license and collaboration agreement with MethylGene for the research, development and commercialization of MethylGene's histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors in North America, Europe, the Middle East and certain other international markets, including MGCD0103, MethylGene's lead HDAC inhibitor, which is currently in several Phase I and Phase II clinical trials.

With our combination of regulatory, development and commercial capabilities, we intend to continue to build a balanced portfolio of approved and pipeline products targeting the hematology and oncology markets. We had total sales of \$221.2 million, \$130.2 million and \$25.5 million in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Critical Accounting Policies***Revenue Recognition***

We sell our products to wholesale distributors and, for certain products, directly to hospitals and clinics. Revenue from product sales is recognized when ownership of the product is transferred to our customer, the sales price is fixed and determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. Within the U.S. and certain foreign countries revenue is recognized upon shipment (freight on board shipping point) since title to the product passes and our customers have assumed the risks and rewards of ownership. In certain other foreign countries, it is common practice that ownership transfers upon receipt of product and, accordingly, in these circumstances revenue is recognized upon delivery (freight on board destination) when title to the product effectively transfers.

We record allowances for product returns, chargebacks, rebates and prompt pay discounts at the time of sale, and report revenue net of such amounts. In determining allowances for product returns, chargebacks and rebates, we must make significant judgments and estimates. For example, in determining these amounts, we estimate end-customer demand, buying patterns by end-customers and group purchasing organizations from wholesalers and the levels of inventory held by wholesalers. Making these determinations involves estimating whether trends in past buying patterns will predict future product sales.

The nature of our allowances requiring accounting estimates, and the specific considerations we use in estimating their amounts, are as follows:

Product returns. Our customers have the right to return any unopened product during the 18-month period beginning 6 months prior to the labeled expiration date and ending 12 months past the labeled expiration date. As a result, in calculating the allowance for product returns, we must estimate the likelihood that product sold to wholesalers might remain in their inventory or in end-customers' inventories to within 6 months of expiration and analyze the likelihood that such product will be returned within 12 months after expiration.

To estimate the likelihood of product remaining in our wholesalers' inventory, we rely on information from our wholesalers regarding their inventory levels, measured end-customer demand as reported by third party sources, and on internal sales data. We believe the information from our wholesalers and third party sources is a reliable indicator of trends, but we are unable to verify the accuracy of such data independently. We also consider our wholesalers' past buying patterns, estimated remaining shelf life of product previously shipped and the expiration dates of product currently being shipped.

Since we do not have the ability to track a specific returned product back to its period of sale, our product returns allowance is primarily based on estimates of future product returns over the period during which customers have a right of return, which is in turn based in part on estimates of the remaining shelf life of our products when sold to customers. Future product returns are estimated primarily based on historical sales and return rates.

For the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million of product was returned to us, representing approximately 0.04% and 0.15% of net sales revenue, respectively. The allowance for returns was \$0.6 million at both December 31, 2005 and 2004. Due to the small amount of returned product during 2005 and 2004, fluctuations between our estimates and actual product returned were minimal.

Table of Contents

However, a 10% change in the provision for product returns for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 would have had an approximate \$0.1 million effect on our reported net sales for both years.

Chargebacks and rebates. Although we sell our products in the U.S. primarily to wholesale distributors, we typically enter into agreements with certain governmental health insurance providers, hospitals, clinics, and physicians, either directly or through group purchasing organizations acting on behalf of their members, to allow purchase of our products at a discounted price and/or to receive a volume-based rebate. We provide a credit to the wholesaler, or a chargeback, representing the difference between the wholesaler's acquisition list price and the discounted price. Rebates are paid directly to the end-customer, group purchasing organization or government insurer.

As a result of these contracts, at the time of product shipment we must estimate the likelihood that product sold to wholesalers might be ultimately sold to a contracting entity or group purchasing organization. For certain end-customers, we must also estimate the contracting entity's or group purchasing organization's volume of purchases.

We estimate our chargeback allowance based on our estimate of the inventory levels of our products in the wholesaler distribution channel that remain subject to chargebacks, and specific contractual and historical chargeback rates. We estimate our Medicaid rebate and commercial contractual rebate accruals based on estimates of usage by rebate-eligible customers, estimates of the level of inventory of our products in the distribution channel that remain potentially subject to those rebates, and terms of our contractual and regulatory obligations.

At December 31, 2005 and 2004, our allowance for chargebacks and rebates was \$2.6 million and \$2.7 million, respectively. During 2005 and 2004, our estimates, compared with actual chargebacks and rebates processed, fluctuated by as much as 6%. A 6% change in the provision for chargebacks and rebates for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 would have had an approximate \$0.9 million and \$0.5 million effect on our reported net sales for those years, respectively.

Prompt pay discounts. As incentive to expedite cash flow, we offer some customers a prompt pay discount whereby if they pay their accounts within 30 days of product shipment, they may take a 2% discount. As a result, we must estimate the likelihood that our customers will take the discount at the time of product shipment. In estimating our allowance for prompt pay discounts, we rely on past history of our customers' payment patterns to determine the likelihood that future prompt pay discounts will be taken and for those customers that historically take advantage of the prompt pay discount, we increase our allowance accordingly.

At December 31, 2005 and 2004, our allowance for prompt pay discounts was \$0.5 million and \$0.3 million, respectively. Fluctuations between our estimates and actual discounts taken were minimal in 2005 and 2004, approximating 5%, as most of our customers take advantage of the prompt pay discount. A 5% change in our provision for prompt pay discounts for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 would have had an approximate \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million effect on our reported net sales for those years, respectively.

We have adjusted our allowances for product returns, chargebacks and rebates and prompt pay discounts in the past based on our actual experience, and we will likely be required to make adjustments to these allowances in the future. We continually monitor our allowances and make adjustments when we believe our actual experience may differ from our estimates.

Table of Contents

The following table provides a summary of activity with respect to our allowances for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 (amounts in thousands):

	Product Returns	Chargebacks and Rebates	Prompt Pay Discounts
Balance at December 31, 2003	\$ 84	\$ 634	\$ 14
Current year provision	673	8,130	1,310
Actual credits or payments issued	(162)	(6,087)	(1,009)
Balance at December 31, 2004	595	2,677	315
Current year provision	94	14,182	3,097
Actual credits or payments issued	(77)	(14,273)	(2,957)
Balance at December 31, 2005	\$ 612	\$ 2,586	\$ 455

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, cost being determined under the first-in, first-out method. We periodically review inventories and items considered outdated or obsolete are reduced to their estimated net realizable value. We estimate reserves for excess and obsolete inventories based on inventory levels on hand, future purchase commitments, product expiration dates and current and forecasted product demand. If an estimate of future product demand suggests that inventory levels are excessive, then inventories are reduced to their estimated net realizable value. For the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, we recorded a provision to reduce the estimated net realizable value of obsolete and short-dated inventory by \$0.6 million, \$1.4 million, and \$1.8 million, respectively.

Long-Lived Assets

Our long-lived assets consist primarily of product rights and property and equipment. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, we evaluate our ability to recover the carrying value of long-lived assets used in our business, considering changes in the business environment or other facts and circumstances that suggest their value may be impaired. If this evaluation indicates the carrying value will not be recoverable, based on the undiscounted expected future cash flows estimated to be generated by these assets, we reduce the carrying amount to the estimated fair value. The process of calculating the expected future cash flows involves estimating future events and trends such as sales, cost of sales, operating expenses and income taxes. The actual results of any of these factors could be materially different than what we estimate. The net book value of our product rights and property and equipment was \$110.7 million and \$112.8 million at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Goodwill

In association with a business acquisition in 2003 and related milestone payments that were made in 2004 and 2005, goodwill was created. In accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, we do not amortize goodwill. SFAS No. 142 requires us to perform an impairment review of goodwill at least annually. If it is determined that the value of goodwill is impaired, we will record the impairment charge in the statement of operations in the period it is discovered. The process of reviewing for impairment of goodwill is similar to that of long-lived assets in that expected future cash flows are calculated using estimated future events and trends such as sales, cost of sales, operating expenses and income taxes. The actual results of any of these factors could be materially different than what we estimate. The net book value of our goodwill was \$12.9 million and \$9.4 million at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Table of Contents***Acquired In-Process Research***

In December 2005, we entered into a co-development and licensing agreement with GPC Biotech whereby we acquired commercialization rights to a drug development candidate called satraplatin in Europe, the Middle East, Turkey, Australia and New Zealand. Satraplatin is in Phase III development for the treatment of hormone refractory prostate cancer. Under terms of the license agreement, we made an up front payment to GPC Biotech of \$37.1 million, which included \$21.2 million for reimbursement for past satraplatin development costs incurred by GPC Biotech. This portion of the up front payment was immediately expensed as acquired in-process research as satraplatin has not yet achieved regulatory approval for marketing and, absent obtaining such approval, has no alternative future use.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards***Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation***

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment, which is a revision of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*. SFAS No. 123(R) supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and amends SFAS No. 95, *Statement of Cash Flows*. Generally, the approach in SFAS No. 123(R) is similar to the approach described in SFAS No. 123. However, SFAS No. 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on the grant-date fair value of the award.

As permitted by SFAS No. 123, we currently account for share-based payments to employees using the intrinsic value provisions of APB No. 25 and, as such, generally recognized no compensation costs for employee stock options. Accordingly, the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R)'s fair value method is expected to impact our results of operations. The impact of the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) cannot be quantified at this time because it will depend on levels of share-based payments granted in the future. However, had we adopted SFAS No. 123(R) in prior periods, the impact would have approximated the impact of SFAS No. 123 as described in the disclosure of pro forma net income and earning per share in Note 2, *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, except for the acceleration of \$15.8 million of expense reflected in the year ended December 31, 2005. SFAS No. 123(R) also requires the benefits of tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation cost to be reported as a financing cash flow.

We are required to adopt the standard as of January 1, 2006. SFAS No. 123(R) permits public companies to adopt its requirements using one of two methods:

A modified prospective method in which compensation cost is recognized beginning with the effective date (a) based on the requirements of SFAS No. 123(R) for all share-based payments granted after the effective date and (b) based on the requirements of SFAS No. 123 for all rewards granted to employees prior to the effective date of SFAS No. 123(R) that remain unvested on the effective date; or

A modified retrospective method which includes the requirements of the modified prospective method described above, but also permits entities to restate based on the amounts previously recognized under SFAS No. 123 for purposes of pro forma disclosures either (a) all prior periods or (b) prior interim periods of the year of adoption.

At this time, we expect to use the modified prospective method. We are also considering the implementation guidance for SFAS No. 123(R) issued by the SEC in Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 in the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R).

Table of Contents**Results of Operations****Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003**

Net Sales. Net sales for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 were as follows.

		2005	2004	2003
		(In thousands)		
Net sales	U.S.	\$ 130,886	\$ 55,642	\$ 3,751
Net sales	Europe and other countries	\$ 90,358	\$ 74,529	\$ 21,788
Total net sales		\$ 221,244	\$ 130,171	\$ 25,539
Increase from prior year		\$ 91,073	\$ 104,632	\$ 20,804
% Change from prior year		70.0%	409.7%	439.4%

The increase in net sales for the year ended December 31, 2005 as compared to 2004 is the result of having a whole year of Vidaza sales in 2005 versus one half of a year in 2004 due to the commercial launch of Vidaza in the U.S. on July 1, 2004. Net sales of Vidaza were \$125.6 million in 2005 as compared with \$47.1 million in 2004. Additionally, Europe and other international markets experienced continued growth in compassionate use and named patient sales of thalidomide resulting in 2005 net sales of \$79.4 million versus \$65.3 million in 2004. The factors impacting thalidomide sales vary from country to country, however, the largest impact on the increased sales was the result of expansion into new markets and increase in demand. These growth drivers have been partially offset by the strengthening of the U.S. dollar against the euro and British pound sterling during 2005 as well as by a decline in sales in one country due to the implementation of new regulations that limit the reimbursement of drugs sold without marketing authorization, such as thalidomide. Furthermore, while both Vidaza and thalidomide sales have increased in 2005 versus 2004, sales levels have flattened on a sequential quarterly basis during 2005.

The increase in sales for the year ended December 31, 2004 as compared to 2003 was due primarily to the launch of Vidaza in the U.S. on July 1, 2004 as well as growth in compassionate use and named patient sales of thalidomide in Europe and other international markets. Vidaza sales for 2004 totaled \$47.1 million. Thalidomide sales totaled \$65.3 million in 2004, compared to \$15.6 million in 2003. We began selling thalidomide on a compassionate use or named patient basis in France and Belgium in April 2003. In July 2003, we began selling thalidomide in additional countries in Europe and other international markets. The growth in thalidomide sales experienced in 2004 was due both to increased volume of product sold as well as an increase in the average selling price of thalidomide in certain markets.

Reductions from gross to net sales, which include product returns, chargebacks, rebates and prompt pay discounts totaled \$17.4 million, \$10.1 million and \$2.4 million for the years ended, December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The \$7.3 million increase in 2005 over 2004 and the \$7.7 million increase in 2004 over 2003 is attributed primarily to the launch of Vidaza in 2004 and the increased gross revenue that was derived from it. Although the dollar amount of reductions to gross revenues increased in 2005 and 2004, the reduction as a percentage of gross sales decreased from 8.6% in 2003 to 7.2% in 2004 and 7.3% in 2005. This decrease is due to the launch of Vidaza as well as increased sales of thalidomide, as these products have fewer chargeback and rebate agreements than our other products.

Cost of sales. Cost of sales includes the cost of product sold, royalties due on the sales of our products and the distribution and logistics costs related to selling our products. However, product rights amortization is excluded from cost of sales and included with operating expenses. Cost of sales for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 were as follows.

2005	2004	2003
------	------	------

	(In thousands)		
Cost of sales	\$ 59,800	\$ 43,635	\$ 11,462
Increase from prior year	\$ 16,165	\$ 32,173	\$ 9,887
% Change from prior year	37.0%	280.7%	627.7%
As a % of net sales	27.0%	33.5%	44.9%

Table of Contents

Cost of sales increased in 2005 as compared with 2004 due to the increase in net sales for 2005. However, cost of sales as a percentage of net sales decreased from 33.5% in 2004 to 27.0% in 2005 due to two factors. First, we had a full year of Vidaza sales in 2005 compared to half of a year in 2004. Vidaza is one of our higher gross margin products with cost of sales as a percent of net sales of approximately 26%. Second, the renegotiation of our thalidomide license and product supply agreements in December 2004 reduced the overall royalty and product supply costs for thalidomide. This reduced the cost of net sales as a percent of net sales from 34% in 2004 to 25% in 2005.

The increase in cost of sales in 2004 as compared to 2003 was attributable to the increase in net sales for 2004. The decrease in cost of sales as a percentage of net sales experienced in 2004 as compared to 2003 was largely due to charges totaling \$2.1 million recorded in 2003 relating to obsolete Recludan product inventory. These charges increased cost of sales as a percentage of net sales by approximately 8 percentage points. The launch of Vidaza in 2004 also improved the overall gross margin as compared to 2003, reducing 2004 cost of sales as a percentage of net sales by approximately 4 percentage points as the Vidaza gross margin was higher than that of our other products on a combined basis.

Clinical, development and regulatory expenses. Clinical, development and regulatory expenses generally consist of regulatory, clinical and manufacturing development, and medical and safety monitoring costs for both products in development as well as products being sold. Clinical, development and regulatory expenses for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 were as follows.

	2005	2004	2003
	(In thousands)		
Clinical, development and regulatory expenses	\$ 42,944	\$ 28,392	\$ 24,616
Increase from prior year	\$ 14,552	\$ 3,776	\$ 9,567
% Change from prior year	51.3%	15.3%	63.6%

The increase in clinical, development and regulatory expenses for the year ended December 31, 2005 over 2004 is due primarily to \$6.1 million of increased spending on clinical study costs related to ongoing survival and alternative dosing studies for Vidaza, \$4.0 million on further development studies for thalidomide and \$0.5 million for other products. Additionally, employee related costs, including compensation, travel, recruiting and relocation expenses, increased by \$1.9 million due to increased staffing levels to support regulatory, clinical development and medical and safety monitoring activities for Vidaza and thalidomide. The remaining increase of \$2.1 million is due to costs related to the development of an oral formulation of Vidaza and the establishment of an alternate production facility in Europe.

Clinical, development and regulatory expenses for the year ended December 31, 2004 increased by \$3.8 million over 2003. This increase was due primarily to a \$3.0 million increase in medical safety and monitoring costs associated with the selling of our products, including expanded staffing to support the growth in sales of thalidomide as well as the U.S. launch of Vidaza. Clinical and regulatory expenses increased by \$2.1 million in 2004 as compared to 2003. This increase was primarily related to a \$2.3 million increase in personnel related costs as we expanded staffing to support the regulatory and clinical development activities of thalidomide and Vidaza, including the pursuit of European marketing authorization approvals for those products. In addition we incurred a net cost of \$1.1 million in 2004 for the settlement of a patent infringement suit filed by us against Lipomed, AG. These increases in clinical and regulatory expenses were partially offset by \$1.3 million decline in clinical development expenses for Vidaza and thalidomide in 2004, due primarily to the completion of clinical data analysis in 2003 to support the submission of the Vidaza new drug approval application filed with the FDA in the fourth quarter of 2003. Finally, manufacturing development expenses declined by \$1.3 million in 2004 due to the completion in 2003 of Vidaza manufacturing development activities required for the submission of the New Drug Application for Vidaza.

Due to the significant risks and uncertainties inherent in the clinical development and regulatory approval processes, the cost to complete projects in development is not reasonably estimable. Results from clinical trials may not be favorable. Further, data from clinical trials is subject to varying interpretation, and may be deemed insufficient

by the regulatory bodies reviewing applications for marketing approvals. As such, clinical

Table of Contents

development and regulatory programs are subject to risks and changes that may significantly impact cost projections and timelines. The licensing of commercial rights to satraplatin and to MethylGene's HDAC inhibitors in December 2005 and January 2006, respectively, will have a significant impact on our clinical, development and regulatory expenses for 2006. Pursuant to these license agreements, we are required to fund a significant percentage of the development expenses for these products. Combined with increased development activities for Vidaza and thalidomide, we expect our clinical, development and regulatory expenses for 2006 will increase by approximately 80% over the 2005 amount.

Acquired in-process research. In December 2005, we entered into a co-development and licensing agreement with GPC Biotech AG whereby we acquired commercialization rights to a drug development candidate called satraplatin in Europe, the Middle East, Turkey, Australia and New Zealand. Satraplatin is in Phase III development for the treatment of hormone refractory prostate cancer. Under terms of the license agreement, we made an up front payment to GPC Biotech of \$37.1 million in early January 2006, which included \$21.2 million for reimbursement for past satraplatin development costs incurred by GPC Biotech. This portion of the up front payment was immediately expensed as acquired in-process research as satraplatin has not yet achieved regulatory approval for marketing and, absent obtaining such approval, has no alternative future use. No such expenses were incurred in 2004 or 2003. We will, however, record a similar charge of approximately \$20 million in the first quarter of 2006 in connection with the up front payments made to MethylGene in connection with the acquisition of rights to their HDAC inhibitors.

Selling, general and administrative expenses. Selling expenses include salaries and benefits for sales and marketing personnel, advertising and promotional programs, professional education programs and facility costs for our sales offices located throughout Europe, and in Thailand and Australia. General and administrative expenses include personnel related costs for corporate staff, outside legal, tax and auditing services, corporate facilities and insurance costs. Selling, general and administrative expenses for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 were as follows.

	2005	2004	2003
	(In thousands)		
Selling, general and administrative expenses	\$ 83,323	\$ 66,848	\$ 36,108
Increase from prior year	\$ 16,475	\$ 30,740	\$ 12,672
% Change from prior year	24.6%	85.1%	54.1%

Selling, general and administrative expenses have continued to increase significantly over the three year period ended December 31, 2005 due to the establishment and expansion of our commercial organizations in the U.S., Europe, and Australia to support the selling of our products in those markets. Our general and administrative functions also expanded over this period to support the growth of our business and the additional requirements of becoming a publicly held company.

Sales and marketing expenses totaled \$59.1 million for 2005, an increase of \$12.3 million over 2004. This increase is primarily the result of continued expansion related to our commercial operations and the associated sales and marketing activities due to having one full year of Vidaza sales in the U.S. for 2005, as it was launched on July 1, 2004, and for continued growth of thalidomide sales in our international markets. Field sales and sales management expenses in the U.S. increased by \$3.2 million in 2005 due to having an expanded sales force for the entire year of 2005. European and international field sales and sales management expenses increased by \$4.4 million in 2005 due to increased selling activities to support the increased sales growth of thalidomide. Most of the international markets were similar to the U.S. in that expansion of staff and related expenses occurred during 2004, creating a partial year worth of expenses compared to a full year of those ongoing expenses in 2005. Marketing expenses increased by \$4.7 million in 2005, due almost entirely to the U.S. having a full year of marketing activities for Vidaza sales versus half a year in 2004.

Sales and marketing expenses totaled \$46.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2004, an increase of \$26.0 million over 2003. Generally, this increase was due to expansion of our commercial organization and sales and

marketing activities in the U.S. and our European and other international markets to support the U.S. launch of Vidaza and the significant growth in thalidomide sales. Field sales and sales management

Table of Contents

expenses in the U.S. increased by \$10.7 million in 2004 due to the expansion of our sales organization to support the launch of Vidaza. We increased our U.S. field-based organization from approximately 30 employees to 75 employees during 2004. Other U.S. selling expenses also increased in connection with the Vidaza launch. European and international field sales and sales management expenses increased by \$5.7 million in 2004. We began selling thalidomide in Europe on a compassionate use or named patient basis in mid-2003. The sales expense growth in 2004 reflects having these costs for a full year in 2004 as well as increased selling activities to support the sales growth of thalidomide. Marketing expenses increased by \$9.6 million in 2004, due primarily to the U.S. launch of Vidaza and increased activities to support the sales growth of thalidomide. Product marketing costs increased by \$7.5 million while non-product specific costs, such as personnel costs and travel, increased by \$2.1 million.

General and administrative expenses totaled \$24.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2005, an increase of \$4.2 million over 2004. The continued expansion of our corporate infrastructure to support the commercial growth of our company caused \$2.4 million of the increase in expenses. Of the \$2.4 million increase, \$0.7 million was for human resources costs related to various professional fees and recruitment and relocation fees, \$0.5 million was for increased legal staffing and costs associated with numerous business development projects, \$0.4 million increase in stock registration and related fees, \$0.3 million increase in directors and officers liability insurance premiums and a \$0.5 million increase in facility costs due to a newly relocated and expanded international office. Additionally, the remaining \$1.8 million of the \$4.2 million increase relates to costs associated with the relocation of the new international office.

General and administrative expenses totaled \$20.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2004, an increase of \$4.7 million over the prior year. This increase was primarily due to increased costs associated with becoming a public company with the completion of our initial public offering in November 2003. Professional fees, including legal, accounting, tax and Sarbanes-Oxley implementation consulting, increased by \$2.5 million during 2004. Directors and officers liability insurance premiums increased by \$0.6 million in 2004 and the establishment of our investor relations function increased 2004 expenses by \$0.4 million. In addition, business development costs increased by \$0.8 million in 2004 as we significantly increased our activities associated with identifying potential product licensing and acquisition candidates.

Product rights amortization. Product rights amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 was as follows.

	2005	2004	2003
	(In thousands)		
Product rights amortization	\$ 9,345	\$ 3,396	\$ 1,972
Increase from prior year	\$ 5,949	\$ 1,424	\$ 1,597
% Change from prior year	175.2%	72.2%	425.9%

The increase of \$5.9 million in amortization expense in 2005 as compared to 2004 is primarily due to the restructuring of our thalidomide license and supply agreements in the fourth quarter of 2004, which increased the thalidomide product rights asset balance by \$80 million.

The increase in amortization expense in 2004 as compared to 2003 was due primarily to having a full year of amortization of product rights acquired through the purchase of Laphal Developpement in April 2003. In addition, the August 2003 renegotiation of the financial terms of the Recludan product rights resulted in an increase to the value of the capitalized product rights and increased the related amortization expense for all of 2004 compared to only 5 months of 2003.

Table of Contents

Interest and other income (expense), net. Interest and other income (expense), net, for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 was as follows.

	2005	2004	2003
	(In thousands)		
Interest and other income (expense), net	\$ 6,474	\$ 2,415	\$ (154)
Increase (decrease) from prior year	\$ 4,059	\$ 2,569	\$ (1,264)
% Change from prior year	168.1%	1,668.2%	(113.9)%

The \$4.1 million increase in interest and other income, (expense), in 2005 as compared to 2004 is due to the growth of interest income as a result of higher balances of cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments as well as improved investment returns resulting from higher interest rates. The higher cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments balances were maintained for all of 2005 as compared with 2004 where the increased balance did not occur until a secondary equity offering was completed in July 2004.

Interest and other income (expense), net, increased \$2.6 million in 2004 as compared with 2003 due primarily to increased interest income from higher balances of cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments resulting from the equity offerings completed in November 2003 and July 2004. In addition, in March 2004 \$14 million of 6% convertible notes, originally issued in April 2003, were converted into shares of our common stock, thereby eliminating the interest expense associated with those notes.

Income tax expense. Income tax expense for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 was as follows.

	2005	2004	2003
	(In thousands)		
Income tax expense	\$ 8,794	\$ 7,853	\$ 1,285
Increase from prior year	\$ 941	\$ 6,568	\$ 1,180
% Change from prior year	12.0%	511.1%	1,123.8%

The provision for income taxes reflects management's estimate of the effective tax rate expected to be applicable in each of our taxing jurisdictions.

Income tax expense totaled \$8.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 as compared to \$7.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2004. The increase of \$0.9 million in 2005 is attributable to an increase in taxable income in certain foreign countries as well as incurring alternative minimum tax in the U.S. as a result of being profitable for the first time. Alternative minimum tax was triggered as a result of utilizing approximately \$29 million of net operating loss carry-forwards to offset taxable income.

Income tax expense increased \$6.6 million in 2004 as compared with 2003. Although we have pre-tax losses on a consolidated basis, certain of our foreign subsidiaries generate taxable income, and therefore incur income tax expense. The increase in income tax expense for 2004 as compared to 2003 was due primarily to an increase in taxable income in certain foreign countries.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We achieved profitability on a full year basis for the first time in 2005. As of December 31, 2005, we had an accumulated deficit of \$135.8 million. Although we achieved profitability during 2005, our recent business development transactions will significantly increase our clinical, development and regulatory expenses. As a result, we expect we will once again incur net losses for 2006. To date, our operations have been funded primarily with proceeds from the sale of preferred and common stock and net sales of our products. Net proceeds from our preferred stock sales totaled \$125.0 million and our public offerings of common stock completed in November 2003 and July 2004 resulted in combined net proceeds of \$314.1 million. We began generating revenue from product sales in July 2002.

Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments decreased from \$245.5 million at December 31, 2004 to \$243.4 million at December 31, 2005. This \$2.1 million decrease is primarily due to purchases of product

Table of Contents

rights and business acquisition payments totaling \$15.0 million, purchases of property and equipment of \$5.2 million, debt repayment of \$4.2 million and a \$4.2 million decrease in translated foreign currencies due to the strengthening of the U.S. dollar, partially offset by \$26.0 million in net cash provided by operations and \$0.5 million received as proceeds for exercise of common stock options. Subsequent to December 31, 2005, we made cash payments totaling \$62.1 million to partners in connection with the licensing of satraplatin and the MethylGene HDAC inhibitors.

We expect that our cash on hand at December 31, 2005, along with cash generated from expected product sales, will be adequate to fund our operations for at least the next twelve months. However, we reexamine our cash requirements periodically in light of changes in our business. For example, in the event that we make additional product acquisitions, we may need to raise additional funds. Adequate funds, either from the financial markets or other sources may not be available when needed or on terms acceptable to us. Insufficient funds may cause us to delay, reduce the scope of, or eliminate one or more of our planned development, commercialization or expansion activities. Our future capital needs and the adequacy of our available funds will depend on many factors, including the effectiveness of our sales and marketing activities, the cost of clinical studies and other actions needed to obtain regulatory approval of our products in development, and the timing and cost of any product acquisitions.

Contractual Obligations

Our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2005 are as follows:

Contractual Obligations	Total	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Thereafter
(In thousands)							
Clinical development funding	\$ 27,533	\$ 2,667	\$ 10,066	\$ 7,400	\$ 7,400	\$	\$
Operating leases	10,288	3,502	2,972	1,973	1,707	134	
Inventory purchase commitments	8,745	8,745					
Product royalty payments	4,024	4,024					
Product acquisition payments	2,000	1,000	1,000				
Long-term debt obligations	146	112	34				
Total fixed contractual obligations	\$ 52,736	\$ 20,050	\$ 14,072	\$ 9,373	\$ 9,107	\$ 134	\$

Clinical development funding. In December 2005, we entered into a co-development and licensing agreement for satraplatin with GPC Biotech. Pursuant to that agreement, we made an up front payment of \$37.1 million to GPC Biotech in early January 2006. Of that amount, \$21.2 million was allocated to acquired in-process research and charged to expenses in 2005. The remaining amount of \$15.9 million represents a prepayment of future clinical development costs. The licensing agreement also stipulates we provide an additional \$22.2 million for similar future development costs. This amount is reflected in the schedule above in equal annual amounts for 2007-2009.

We previously entered into two agreements with Celgene to provide funding to support clinical development studies sponsored by Celgene studying thalidomide as a treatment for various types of cancers. Under these agreements, we paid Celgene \$4.7 million in 2005 and will pay \$2.7 million in each of 2006 and 2007.

Operating leases. Our commitment for operating leases relates primarily to our corporate and sales offices located in the U.S., Europe, Thailand and Australia. These lease commitments expire on various dates through 2010.

Inventory purchase commitments. The contractual summary above includes contractual obligations related to our product supply contracts. Under these contracts, we provide our suppliers with rolling 12-24 month supply forecasts, with the initial 3-6 month periods representing binding purchase commitments.

Product royalty payments. Pursuant to our thalidomide product license agreements with Celgene, we are required to make additional quarterly payments to the extent that the royalty and license payments due

Table of Contents

under those agreements do not meet certain minimums. These minimum royalty and license payment obligations expire the earlier of 2006 or the date we obtain regulatory approval to market thalidomide in the E.U. The amounts reflected in the summary above represent the minimum amounts due under these agreements. In addition, our Innohep license agreement with LEO Pharma requires annual minimum royalty payments through 2006.

Product acquisition payments. We have future payment obligations associated with the June 2005 addition to thalidomide product rights. We paid \$5.0 million in June 2005, with additional \$1.0 million payments due in each of 2006 and 2007.

Contingent product acquisition payments. The contractual summary above reflects only payment obligations for product and company acquisitions that are fixed and determinable. We also have contractual payment obligations, the amount and timing of which are contingent upon future events. In accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, contingent payment obligations are not recorded on our balance sheet until the amount due can be reasonably determined. Under the terms of the agreement with GPC Biotech, we will pay them up to an additional \$30.5 million based on the achievement of certain regulatory filing and approval milestones, up to an additional \$75 million for up to five subsequent E.U. approvals for additional indications and we will pay them sales milestones totaling up to \$105 million, based on the achievement of significant annual sales levels in our territories. Similarly, under the agreement with MethylGene, our milestone payments for MGCD0103 could reach \$145 million, based on the achievement of significant development, regulatory and sales goals, with the nearest milestone of \$4 million to be paid upon enrollment of the first patient in a Phase II trial. Furthermore, up to \$100 million for each additional HDAC inhibitor may be paid, also based on the achievement of significant development, regulatory and sales milestones. Also, under the agreements with Schering AG, payments totaling up to \$7.5 million are due if milestones relating to revenue and gross margin targets for Recludan are achieved.

Item 7A. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.*

We currently invest our excess cash balances in short-term investment grade securities including money market accounts that are subject to interest rate risk. The amount of interest income we earn on these funds will decline with a decline in interest rates. However, due to the short-term nature of short-term investment grade securities and money market accounts, an immediate decline in interest rates would not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

We are exposed to movements in foreign exchange rates against the U.S. dollar for inter-company trading transactions and the translation of net assets and earnings of non-U.S. subsidiaries. Our primary operating currencies are the U.S. dollar, British pound sterling, the euro, and Swiss francs. We have not undertaken any foreign currency hedges through the use of forward foreign exchange contracts or options. Foreign currency exposures have been managed solely through managing the currency denomination of our cash balances.

Item 8. *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.*

The financial statements required pursuant to this item are included in Item 15 of this report and are presented beginning on page F-1.

Item 9. *Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.*

None.

Item 9A. *Controls and Procedures.***Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.**

We carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer, or CEO, and Chief Financial Officer, or CFO, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15(d)-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, as of the end of the period covered by this report.

Table of Contents

Based on that evaluation, the CEO and CFO have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in our periodic reports under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO and CFO, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. We have designed our disclosure controls and procedures in such a manner that they provide reasonable assurance that those controls and procedures will meet their objectives. It should be noted, however, that the design of any system of controls is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and can therefore only provide reasonable, not absolute assurance that the design will succeed in achieving its stated goals.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The management of the company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15(d)-15(f) of the Exchange Act. Our internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance to our management and board of directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements. All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005. In making this assessment, it used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework. Based on this assessment management believes that, as of December 31, 2005, our internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

Table of Contents

Our management's assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, has been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which is included in this Item 9A immediately below.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Pharmion Corporation:

We have audited management's assessment, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, that Pharmion Corporation maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Pharmion Corporation's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management's assessment that Pharmion Corporation maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the COSO criteria. Also, in our opinion, Pharmion Corporation maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Pharmion Corporation as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2005 of Pharmion Corporation and our report dated March 16, 2006 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Denver, Colorado
March 16, 2006

Table of Contents

Item 9B. *Other Information.*

None.

PART III

Item 10. *Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant.*

The information required by this Item concerning our directors is incorporated by reference from the information set forth in the section entitled "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" in the Company's definitive Proxy Statement for the 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 (the "Proxy Statement"). The information required by this Item concerning our executive officers is incorporated by reference from the information set forth in the section of the Proxy Statement entitled "Executive Officers, Directors and Key Employees." The information required by this Item concerning our standing audit committee is incorporated by reference from the information set forth in the section of the Proxy Statement entitled "Committees of the Board of Directors and Meetings."

We have adopted a code of conduct and ethics that applies to all of our directors, officers and employees, including our chief executive officer and chief financial and accounting officers. The text of the code of conduct and ethics is available without charge, upon request, in writing to Investor Relations at Pharmion Corporation, 2525 28th Street, Boulder, CO 80301. Disclosure regarding any amendments to, or waivers from, provisions of the code of conduct and ethics that apply to our directors, principal executive and financial officers will be included in a Current Report on Form 8-K filed within four business days following the date of the amendment or waiver, unless web site posting of such amendments or waivers is then permitted by the rules of the SEC and the Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc.

The information required by this Item concerning our equity compensation plan is set forth under Item 5 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 11. *Executive Compensation.*

The information required by this Item regarding executive compensation is incorporated by reference from the information to be set forth in the section of the Proxy Statement entitled "Executive Compensation."

Item 12. *Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.*

The information required by this Item regarding security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management is incorporated by reference from the information to be set forth in the section of the Proxy Statement entitled "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management." The information required by this Item regarding our equity compensations plans is incorporated by reference from the information set forth in the section of the Proxy Statement entitled "Equity Compensation Plan Information."

Item 13. *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.*

The information required by this Item regarding certain relationships and related transactions is incorporated by reference from the information to be set forth in the section of the Proxy Statement entitled "Certain Transactions."

Item 14. *Principal Accountant Fees and Services.*

The information required by this Item regarding principal accountant fees and services is incorporated by reference from the information to be set forth in the sections of the Proxy Statement entitled "Report of the Audit Committee," "Ratification of Selection of Independent Auditors" and "Fees Paid to Ernst & Young."

Table of Contents**PART IV****Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.**

(a) The following documents are being filed as part of this report:

(1) *Consolidated Financial Statements*

Reference is made to the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements of Pharmion Corporation, appearing on page F-1 of this report.

(2) *Consolidated Financial Statement Schedules*

The following consolidated financial statement schedule of the Company for each of the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, is filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements, and the related notes thereto, of the Company.

	Page Number
Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	S-1

(3) *Exhibits*

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
2.1(1)	Stock Purchase Agreement, dated March 7, 2003, by and among Pharmion France and the shareholders of Gophar S.A.S.
3.1(1)	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation.
3.2(1)	Amended and Restated Bylaws.
4.1(1)	Specimen Stock Certificate.
4.2(1)	Amended and Restated Investors Rights Agreement, dated as of November 30, 2001, by and among the Registrant, the founders and the holders of the Registrant's Preferred Stock.
4.3(1)	Series C Omnibus Amendment Agreement, dated as of October 11, 2002 to Amended and Restated Investors Rights Agreement, dated as of November 30, 2001, by and among the Registrant, the founders and the holders of the Registrant's Preferred Stock.
4.4(1)	Amendment, dated as of April 8, 2003 to Amended and Restated Investors Rights Agreement, dated as of November 30, 2001, by and among the Registrant, the founders and the holders of the Registrant's Preferred Stock.
4.5(1)	Series B Preferred Stock Purchase Warrant, dated November 30, 2001, issued by the Registrant to Celgene Corporation.
4.6(1)	Senior Convertible Promissory Note, dated April 8, 2003, issued by the Registrant to Celgene Corporation.
4.7(1)	Common Stock Purchase Warrant, dated April 8, 2003, issued by the Registrant to Celgene Corporation.
4.8(1)	Convertible Subordinated Promissory Note, dated April 11, 2003, issued by the Registrant to Penn Pharmaceuticals Holdings Limited.
4.9(1)	Common Stock Purchase Warrant, dated April 11, 2003, issued by the Registrant to Penn Pharmaceuticals Holdings Limited.
10.1(1)*	Amended and Restated 2001 Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan.
10.2(1)*	Amended and Restated 2000 Stock Incentive Plan.
10.3(1)	Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of April 8, 2003, by and between the Registrant and Celgene Corporation.

10.4(1) Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of April 11, 2003, by and between the Registrant and Penn Pharmaceuticals Holdings Limited.

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
10.5(1)	Amended and Restated Distribution and License Agreement, dated as of November 16, 2001, by and between Pharmion GmbH and Penn T Limited.
10.6(1)	Amendment No. 1, dated March 4, 2003, to Amended and Restated Distribution and License Agreement, dated as of November 16, 2001, by and between Pharmion GmbH and Penn T Limited.
10.7(1)	Supplementary Agreement, dated June 18, 2003, to Amended and Restated Distribution and License Agreement, dated as of November 16, 2001, by and between Pharmion GmbH and Penn T Limited.
10.8(1)	License Agreement, dated as of November 16, 2001, by and among the Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and Celgene Corporation.
10.9(1)	Amendment No. 1, dated March 3, 2003, to License Agreement, dated as of November 16, 2001, by and among the Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and Celgene Corporation.
10.10(1)	Letter Agreement, dated April 2, 2003, by and among the Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and Celgene Corporation regarding clinical funding.
10.11(1)	Amendment No. 2, dated April 8, 2003, to License Agreement, dated as of November 16, 2001, by and among the Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and Celgene Corporation.
10.12(1)	License and Distribution Agreement, dated as of June 21, 2002, by and between the Registrant and LEO Pharmaceutical Products Ltd. A/S.
10.13(1)	License Agreement, dated as of June 7, 2001, by and between the Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and Pharmacia & Upjohn Company.
10.8(1)	License Agreement, dated as of November 16, 2001, by and among the Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and Celgene Corporation.
10.9(1)	Amendment No. 1, dated March 3, 2003, to License Agreement, dated as of November 16, 2001, by and among the Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and Celgene Corporation.
10.10(1)	Letter Agreement, dated April 2, 2003, by and among the Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and Celgene Corporation regarding clinical funding.
10.11(1)	Amendment No. 2, dated April 8, 2003, to License Agreement, dated as of November 16, 2001, by and among the Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and Celgene Corporation.
10.12(1)	License and Distribution Agreement, dated as of June 21, 2002, by and between the Registrant and LEO Pharmaceutical Products Ltd. A/S.
10.13(1)	License Agreement, dated as of June 7, 2001, by and between the Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and Pharmacia & Upjohn Company.
10.14(1)	Interim Sales Representation Agreement, dated as of May 29, 2002, by and between Pharmion GmbH and Schering Aktiengesellschaft.
10.15(1)	Distribution and Development Agreement, dated as of May 29, 2002, by and between Pharmion GmbH and Schering Aktiengesellschaft.
10.16(1)	First Amendment Agreement dated August 20, 2003 by and between Pharmion GmbH and Schering Aktiengesellschaft.
10.17(3)*	Employment Agreement, dated as of February 23, 2004, by and between the Registrant and Patrick J. Mahaffy.
10.18(3)*	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2004, by and between the Registrant and Judith A. Hemberger.
10.19(1)*	Non-Competition and Severance Agreement, dated as of November 29, 2001, by and between the Registrant and Michael Cosgrave.
10.20(1)*	

Employment Agreement, dated as of January 5, 2001, by and between the Registrant and Michael Cosgrave.

10.21(3)*

Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2004, by and between the Registrant and Erle Mast.

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
10.22(3)*	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2004, by and between the Registrant and Gillian C. Ivers-Read.
10.23(1)	Office Lease, dated as of April 24, 2002, by and between the Registrant and Centro III, LLC.
10.24(1)	First Amendment to Lease, dated as of January 31, 2003, to Office Lease, dated as of April 24, 2002, by and between the Registrant and Centro III, LLC.
10.25(2)*	Addendum to Employment Agreement, dated June 15, 2004, by and between the Registrant and Michael Cosgrave.
10.26(4)	Amendment No. 2, dated as of December 3, 2004, to Amended and Restated Distribution and License Agreement, dated November 16, 2001, by and between Pharmion GmbH and Celgene U.K. Manufacturing II Limited (formerly Penn T Limited).
10.27(4)	Letter Agreement, dated as of December 3, 2004, by and between the Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and Celgene Corporation amending the Letter Agreement regarding clinical funding, dated April 2, 2003, between Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and Celgene.
10.28(4)	Letter Agreement, dated as of December 3, 2004, by and between the Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and Celgene Corporation amending the License Agreement, dated November 16, 2001, among Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and Celgene.
10.29(4)	Lease, dated as of December 21, 2004, by and between Pharmion Limited and Alecta Pensionsförsäkring Ömsesidigit.
10.31(5)	Supply Agreement, dated as of March 31, 2005, by and between the Registrant and Ash Stevens, Inc.
10.32(6)	Manufacturing and Service Contract, dated as of December 20, 2005, by and between the Registrant and Ben Venue Laboratories, Inc.
10.33(6)	Co-Development and License Agreement, dated as of December 19, 2005, by and between the Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and GPC Biotech AG.
10.34(6)	Supply Agreement, dated as of December 19, 2005, by and between the Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and GPC Biotech AG.
10.35	Pharmion Corporation 2000 Stock Incentive Plan (Amended and Restated effective as of February 9, 2006)
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
24.1	Power of Attorney (reference is made to page 58)
31.1	Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, Section 302 Certification for President and Chief Executive Officer.
31.2	Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, Section 302 Certification for Chief Financial Officer.
32.1	Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, Section 906 Certification for President and Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer.

(1) Incorporated by reference to our Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-108122) and amendments thereto, declared effective November 5, 2003.

(2) Incorporated by reference to our Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-116252) and amendments thereto, declared effective June 30, 2004.

- (3) Incorporated by reference to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2005.
- (6) Certain confidential information contained in this document, marked by brackets, has been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Rule 24B-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
 - * Management Contract or Compensatory Plan or Arrangement

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Pharmion Corporation
By: */s/ Patrick J. Mahaffy*

Patrick J. Mahaffy
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: March 16, 2006

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each individual whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Patrick J. Mahaffy and Erle T. Mast, and each of them, his true and lawful attorneys-in-fact and agents with full power of substitution, for him and in his name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign any and all amendments to this Form 10-K, and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto and all documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto said attorneys-in-fact and agents, and each of them, full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in and about the premises, as fully to all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact and agents or any of them, or his or their substitute or substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, this Form 10-K has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Name	Title	Date
<i>/s/ Patrick J. Mahaffy</i> _____ Patrick J. Mahaffy	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	March 16, 2006
<i>/s/ Erle T. Mast</i> _____ Erle T. Mast	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	March 16, 2006
<i>/s/ Judith A. Hemberger</i> _____ Judith A. Hemberger	Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer and Director	March 16, 2006
<i>/s/ Edward J. McKinley</i> _____ Edward J. McKinley	Director	March 16, 2006
<i>/s/ Brian G. Atwood</i> _____ Brian G. Atwood	Director	March 16, 2006
<i>/s/ Thorlef Spickschen</i> _____ Thorlef Spickschen	Director	March 16, 2006

Table of Contents

Name	Title	Date
/s/ M. James Barrett	Director	March 16, 2006
M. James Barrett		
/s/ James Blair	Director	March 16, 2006
James Blair		
/s/ Cam Garner	Director	March 16, 2006
Cam Garner		
/s/ John C. Reed	Director	March 16, 2006
John C. Reed		

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Pharmion Corporation Consolidated Financial Statements:	
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-2
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	F-3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity</u>	F-5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	F-6
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-7
<u>Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts</u>	S-1

F-1

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Pharmion Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Pharmion Corporation as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2005. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the index at Item 15(a)2. These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Pharmion Corporation at December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2005, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of Pharmion Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 16, 2006 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Denver, Colorado
March 16, 2006

F-2

Table of Contents

**PHARMION CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	December 31,	
	2005	2004
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 90,442,655	\$ 119,657,986
Short-term investments	152,962,977	125,884,838
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$3,573,249 and \$2,209,842, respectively	32,213,282	35,193,222
Inventories, net	11,471,925	3,687,496
Prepaid clinical research and development costs	16,020,245	
Other current assets	5,778,458	4,396,282
Total current assets	308,889,542	288,819,824
Product rights, net	104,044,740	108,478,367
Goodwill	12,919,650	9,425,524
Property and equipment, net	6,606,144	4,283,763
Other assets	170,233	222,994
Total assets	\$ 432,630,309	\$ 411,230,472
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 8,456,049	\$ 9,891,391
Accrued and other current liabilities	73,813,032	45,562,831
Total current liabilities	82,269,081	55,454,222
Long term liabilities:		
Deferred tax liability	2,797,717	3,605,721
Other long-term liabilities	939,859	217,828
Total long term liabilities	3,737,576	3,823,549
Total liabilities	86,006,657	59,277,771
Stockholders equity		
Common stock: par value \$0.001, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 31,912,751 and 31,780,715 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	31,913	31,781
Preferred stock: par value \$0.001, 10,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding		
Additional paid-in capital	482,892,598	482,660,589
Deferred compensation	(226,709)	(679,572)

Edgar Filing: PHARMION CORP - Form 10-K

Accumulated other comprehensive income	(246,657)	8,036,088
Accumulated deficit	(135,827,493)	(138,096,185)
Total stockholders' equity	346,623,652	351,952,701
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 432,630,309	\$ 411,230,472

See accompanying notes.

F-3

Table of Contents

PHARMION CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

Years Ended December 31,

	2005	2004	2003
Net sales	\$ 221,243,681	\$ 130,170,640	\$ 25,539,248
Operating expenses:			
Cost of sales, inclusive of royalties, exclusive of product rights amortization shown separately below	59,799,818	43,634,611	11,461,994
Clinical, development and regulatory	42,943,902	28,391,812	24,615,968
Acquired in-process research	21,242,803		
Selling, general and administrative	83,323,008	66,847,663	36,108,728
Product rights amortization	9,345,328	3,395,504	1,971,597
Total operating expenses	216,654,859	142,269,590	74,158,287
Income (loss) from operations	4,588,822	(12,098,950)	(48,619,039)
Interest and other income (expense), net	6,473,548	2,414,838	(154,390)
Income (loss) before taxes	11,062,370	(9,684,112)	(48,773,429)
Income tax expense	8,793,678	7,853,067	1,285,473
Net income (loss)	2,268,692	(17,537,179)	(50,058,902)
Less accretion of redeemable convertible preferred stock to redemption value			(10,090,971)
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$ 2,268,692	\$ (17,537,179)	\$ (60,149,873)
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders per common share:			
Basic	\$.07	\$ (.63)	\$ (14.70)
Diluted	\$.07	\$ (.63)	\$ (14.70)
Weighted average number of common and common equivalent shares used to calculate net income (loss) per common share:			
Basic	31,836,783	27,933,202	4,093,067
Diluted	32,875,516	27,933,202	4,093,067
Unaudited pro forma net loss attributable to common stockholders per common share assuming conversion of preferred stock, basic and diluted (Note 2)	N/A	N/A	\$ (2.66)
Shares used in computing unaudited pro forma net loss attributable to common stockholders per common share assuming conversion of preferred stock, basic and	N/A	N/A	18,791,015

diluted (Note 2)

See accompanying notes.

F-4

Table of Contents

PHARMION CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Deferred Compensation	Other Comprehensive Income	Accumulated Deficit	Total Stockholders Equity (Deficit)
	Shares	Amount					
Balance at January 1, 2003	869,177	\$ 869	\$	\$ (44,149)	\$ 776,938	\$ (62,949,948)	\$ (62,216,290)
Comprehensive Loss:							
Net loss						(50,058,902)	(50,058,902)
Foreign currency translation adjustment					3,609,244		3,609,244
Comprehensive loss							(46,449,658)
Exercise of stock options	53,190	53	73,595				73,648
Repurchase of unvested shares of common stock	(4,687)	(4)	(1,871)				(1,875)
Deferred compensation associated with stock option grants			1,740,879	(1,740,879)			
Amortization of deferred compensation				629,859			629,859
Issuance of warrants associated with convertible notes			729,697				729,697
Accretion of preferred stock to redemption value			(2,540,815)			(7,550,156)	(10,090,971)
Conversion of preferred stock to common stock	17,030,956	17,031	146,060,825				146,077,856
Issuance of common stock, net of issuance costs	6,000,000	6,000	76,155,469				76,161,469
Balance at December 31,	23,948,636	\$ 23,949	\$ 222,217,779	\$ (1,155,169)	\$ 4,386,182	\$ (120,559,006)	\$ 104,913,735

2003								
Comprehensive Loss:								
Net loss						(17,537,179)		(17,537,179)
Foreign currency translation adjustment						3,923,764		3,923,764
Net unrealized loss on available-for-sale investments						(273,858)		(273,858)
Comprehensive loss (13,887,273)								
Exercise of stock options and warrants	1,206,551	1,207	8,384,438					8,385,645
Repurchase of unvested shares of common stock	(6,642)	(7)	(3,979)					(3,986)
Conversion of debt and accrued interest to equity	1,342,170	1,342	14,160,149					14,161,491
Amortization of deferred compensation					475,597			475,597
Issuance of common stock, net of issuance costs	5,290,000	5,290	237,902,202					237,907,492
Balance at December 31, 2004								
	31,780,715	\$ 31,781	\$ 482,660,589	\$ (679,572)	\$ 8,036,088	\$ (138,096,185)		\$ 351,952,701
Comprehensive Loss:								
Net income						2,268,692		2,268,692
Foreign currency translation adjustment						(8,240,429)		(8,240,429)
Net unrealized loss on available-for-sale investments						(42,316)		(42,316)
Comprehensive loss (6,014,053)								
Exercise of stock options	134,120	134	487,469					487,603
Repurchase of unvested shares	(2,084)	(2)	(1,248)					(1,250)

of common stock								
Amortization of deferred compensation				198,651				198,651
Cancellation of deferred compensation associated with stock option forfeitures				(254,212)	254,212			
Balance at December 31, 2005	31,912,75	\$ 31,913	\$ 482,892,598	\$ (226,709)	\$ (246,657)	\$ (135,827,493)	\$ 346,623,652	

See accompanying notes.

F-5

Table of Contents

PHARMION CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Years Ended December 31,

	2005	2004	2003
Operating activities			
Net income (loss)	\$ 2,268,692	\$ (17,537,179)	\$ (50,058,902)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	11,758,686	5,609,395	3,516,450
Compensation expense related to stock option issuance	198,651	475,597	629,859
Amortization of discounts and premiums on short-term investments, net	(417,331)	(331,753)	
Other	(518,975)	(207,412)	201,675
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable, net	25,005	(25,432,117)	(5,610,818)
Inventories	(8,502,763)	1,682,708	(1,733,357)
Other current assets	(17,664,045)	(39,688)	(232,363)
Other long-term assets	41,300	324,875	1,033,649
Accounts payable	(786,093)	5,215,896	(1,081,441)
Accrued and other current liabilities	39,543,872	24,176,512	5,632,587
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	25,946,999	(6,063,166)	(47,702,661)
Investing activities			
Purchases of property and equipment	(5,220,190)	(1,164,801)	(2,468,685)
Acquisition of business, net of cash acquired	(10,072,160)	(19,032)	(12,289,524)
Purchase of product rights	(5,000,00)	(80,000,000)	(1,000,000)
Purchase of available-for-sale investments	(172,896,091)	(158,593,097)	
Sale and maturity of available-for-sale investments	146,020,399	32,584,820	
Net cash used in investing activities	(47,168,042)	(207,192,110)	(15,758,209)
Financing activities			
Proceeds from sale of common stock, net of issuance costs		237,907,492	76,161,469
Proceeds from exercise of common stock options and warrants	486,353	8,381,659	71,774
Proceeds from issuance of convertible debt and warrants			14,000,000
Payment of debt obligations	(4,261,246)	(3,972,033)	(1,075,924)

Edgar Filing: PHARMION CORP - Form 10-K

Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(3,774,893)	242,317,118	89,157,319
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(4,219,395)	2,054,351	241,025
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(29,215,331)	31,116,193	25,937,474
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	119,657,986	88,541,793	62,604,319
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 90,442,655	\$ 119,657,986	\$ 88,541,793
Noncash items:			
Financed product rights acquisition	1,869,712		8,208,071
Conversion of debt and accrued interest to common stock		14,161,491	
Accrual of additional business acquisition consideration		5,457,600	
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Cash paid for interest	178,057	485,787	237,421
Cash paid for income taxes	13,196,589	1,317,307	237,389

See accompanying notes.

F-6

Table of Contents

PHARMION CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Business Operations

Pharmion Corporation (the Company) was incorporated in Delaware on August 26, 1999 and commenced operations in January 2000. The Company is engaged in the acquisition, development and commercialization of pharmaceutical products for the treatment of oncology and hematology patients. The Company's product acquisition and licensing efforts are focused on both development products as well as those approved for marketing. In exchange for distribution and marketing rights, the Company generally grants the seller royalties on future sales and, in some cases, up front cash payments. The Company has acquired the rights to six products, including four that are currently marketed or sold on a compassionate use or named patient basis, and two products that are in varying stages of clinical development. The Company has established operations in the United States, Europe and Australia. Through a distributor network, the Company can reach the hematology and oncology community in additional countries in the Middle East and Asia.

On September 25, 2003, the Company effected a one for four reverse stock split of its common stock. All share and per share amounts included in these consolidated financial statements have been retroactively adjusted for all periods presented to give effect to the reverse stock split.

On November 12, 2003, the Company completed an initial public offering, which resulted in net proceeds of \$76.2 million from the issuance of 6,000,000 shares of common stock. In connection with the initial public offering, all of the outstanding shares of the Company's preferred stock were converted into shares of common stock.

On July 7, 2004, the Company completed a public offering of common stock. A total of 5,290,000 shares of common stock were sold at a price to the public of \$48.00 per share, resulting in net proceeds to the Company of \$237.9 million.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies***Basis of Presentation***

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Pharmion Corporation and all subsidiaries. All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of money market accounts and overnight deposits. The Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Interest income resulting from cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments was \$6,883,247, \$2,559,956 and \$494,595 for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively.

The Company has entered into several standby letters of credit to guarantee both current and future commitments with office lease agreements. The aggregate amount outstanding under the letters of credit was approximately \$1.6 million at December 31, 2005 and is secured by restricted cash held in U.S. cash accounts.

Short-term Investments

Short-term investments consist of investment grade government agency, auction rate, and corporate debt securities due within one year. Investments with maturities beyond one year are classified as short-term based on their highly liquid nature and because such investments represent the investment of cash that is available for current operations. All investments are classified as available-for-sale and are recorded at market value. Unrealized gains and losses are reflected in other comprehensive income.

Table of Contents

PHARMION CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Inventories

Inventories consist of Vidaza, Innohep, Refludan and thalidomide. Vidaza is sold commercially in the U.S. and, to a limited extent, on a compassionate use basis within international markets. Innohep is sold exclusively in the U.S. market, and Refludan and thalidomide are both sold within the international markets. All of the products are manufactured by third-party manufacturers and delivered to the Company as finished goods. Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, cost being determined under the first-in, first-out method. The Company periodically reviews inventories, and items considered outdated or obsolete are reduced to their estimated net realizable value. For the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, the Company recorded a provision to reduce the estimated net realizable value of obsolete and short-dated inventory by \$0.6 million, \$1.4 million, and \$1.8 million, respectively.

Inventories consisted of the following at December 31, 2005 and 2004.

	2005	2004
Raw material	\$ 3,444,311	\$ 351,263
Finished goods	8,027,614	3,336,233
Total inventory	\$ 11,471,925	\$ 3,687,496

At December 31, 2005, the Company had firm inventory purchase commitments, due within one year, of approximately \$8.7 million.

Product Rights

The cost of acquiring the distribution and marketing rights of the Company's products that are approved for commercial use were capitalized and are being amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated benefit period of 10-15 years.

Goodwill

In association with a business acquisition in 2003 and related milestone payments that were made in 2004 and 2005, goodwill was created. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142,

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, the Company does not amortize goodwill. SFAS No. 142 requires the Company to perform an impairment review of goodwill at least annually. If it is determined that the value of goodwill is impaired, the Company will record the impairment charge in the statement of operations in the period it is discovered. During the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, the Company recorded increases of approximately \$4.8 million and \$5.5 million, respectively, to goodwill to reflect additional consideration due the seller of the business acquired in 2003 (see Note 4). The increase in 2005 was partially offset by a reduction of approximately \$1.3 million, due to currency translation adjustments.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Repairs and maintenance are charged to operations as incurred, and significant expenditures for additions and improvements are capitalized. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the economic life of the asset or the lease term, whichever is shorter. Depreciation

Table of Contents**PHARMION CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

and amortization of property and equipment are computed using the straight-line method based on the following estimated useful lives:

	Estimated Useful Life
Computer hardware and software	3 years
Leasehold improvements	3-5 years
Equipment	7 years
Furniture and fixtures	10 years

Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets, other than goodwill, consist primarily of product rights and property and equipment. In accordance with SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, the recoverability of the carrying value of long-lived assets to be held and used is evaluated if changes in the business environment or other facts and circumstances that suggest they may be impaired. If this evaluation indicates the carrying value will not be recoverable, based on the undiscounted expected future cash flows generated by these assets, the Company reduces the carrying amount to the estimated fair value.

Revenue Recognition

The Company sells its products to wholesale distributors and, for certain products, directly to hospitals and clinics. Revenue from product sales is recognized when ownership of the product is transferred to the customer, the sales price is fixed and determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. Within the U.S. and certain foreign countries revenue is recognized upon shipment (freight on board shipping point) since title to the product passes and the customers have assumed the risks and rewards of ownership. In certain other foreign countries, it is common practice that ownership transfers upon receipt of product and, accordingly, in these circumstances revenue is recognized upon delivery (freight on board destination) when title to the product effectively transfers.

The Company records allowances for product returns, chargebacks, rebates and prompt pay discounts at the time of sale, and reports revenue net of such amounts. In determining allowances for product returns, chargebacks and rebates, the Company must make significant judgments and estimates. For example, in determining these amounts, the Company estimates end-customer demand, buying patterns by end-customers and group purchasing organizations from wholesalers and the levels of inventory held by wholesalers. Making these determinations involves estimating whether trends in past buying patterns will predict future product sales.

The nature of the Company's allowances requiring accounting estimates, and the specific considerations the Company uses in estimating its amounts, are as follows:

Product returns. The Company's customers have the right to return any unopened product during the 18-month period beginning 6 months prior to the labeled expiration date and ending 12 months past the labeled expiration date. As a result, in calculating the allowance for product returns, the Company must estimate the likelihood that product sold to wholesalers might remain in its inventory or in end-customers' inventories to within 6 months of expiration and analyze the likelihood that such product will be returned within 12 months after expiration.

To estimate the likelihood of product remaining in wholesalers' inventory, the Company relies on information from its wholesalers regarding their inventory levels, measured end-customer demand as reported by third party sources, and on internal sales data. The Company believes the information from its wholesalers and third party sources is a reliable indicator of trends, but the Company is unable to verify the accuracy of

Table of Contents

PHARMION CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

such data independently. The Company also considers its wholesalers' past buying patterns, estimated remaining shelf life of product previously shipped and the expiration dates of product currently being shipped.

Since the Company does not have the ability to track a specific returned product back to its period of sale, the product returns allowance is primarily based on estimates of future product returns over the period during which customers have a right of return. Such estimates are primarily based on historical sales and return rates as well as estimates of the remaining shelf life of our products sold to customers.

For the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million of product was returned to the Company, respectively, representing approximately 0.04% and 0.15% of net sales revenue, respectively. The allowance for returns was \$0.6 million at both December 31, 2005 and 2004.

Chargebacks and rebates. Although the Company sells its products in the U.S. primarily to wholesale distributors, the Company typically enters into agreements with certain governmental health insurance providers, hospitals, clinics, and physicians, either directly or through group purchasing organizations acting on behalf of their members, to allow purchase of Company products at a discounted price and/or to receive a volume-based rebate. The Company provides a credit to the wholesaler, or a chargeback, representing the difference between the wholesaler's acquisition list price and the discounted price. Rebates are paid directly to the end-customer, group purchasing organization or government insurer.

As a result of these contracts, at the time of product shipment the Company must estimate the likelihood that product sold to wholesalers might be ultimately sold to a contracting entity or group purchasing organization. For certain end-customers, the Company must also estimate the contracting entity's or group purchasing organization's volume of purchases.

The Company estimates its chargeback allowance based on its estimate of the inventory levels of its products in the wholesaler distribution channel that remain subject to chargebacks, and specific contractual and historical chargeback rates. The Company estimates its Medicaid rebate and commercial contractual rebate accruals based on estimates of usage by rebate-eligible customers, estimates of the level of inventory of its products in the distribution channel that remain potentially subject to those rebates, and terms of its contractual and regulatory obligations.

At December 31, 2005 and 2004, the allowance for chargebacks and rebates was \$2.6 million and \$2.7 million, respectively.

Prompt pay discounts. As incentive to expedite cash flow, the Company offers some customers a prompt pay discount whereby if they pay their accounts within 30 days of product shipment, they may take a 2% discount. As a result, the Company must estimate the likelihood that its customers will take the discount at the time of product shipment. In estimating the allowance for prompt pay discounts, the Company relies on past history of its customers' payment patterns to determine the likelihood that future prompt pay discounts will be taken and for those customers that historically take advantage of the prompt pay discount, the Company increases the allowance accordingly.

At December 31, 2005 and 2004, the allowance for prompt pay discounts was \$0.5 million and \$0.3 million, respectively.

The Company has adjusted the allowances for product returns, chargebacks and rebates and prompt pay discounts in the past based on its actual experience, and the Company will likely be required to make adjustments to these allowances in the future. The Company continually monitors the allowances and makes adjustments when the Company believes actual experience may differ from estimates.

Table of Contents

**PHARMION CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Cost of Sales

Cost of sales includes the cost of product sold, royalties due on the sales of the products and the distribution and logistics costs related to selling the products. Cost of sales does not include product rights amortization expense as it is shown separately.

Risks and Uncertainties

The Company is subject to risks common to companies in the pharmaceutical industry including, but not limited to, uncertainties related to regulatory approvals, dependence on key products, dependence on key customers and suppliers, and protection of proprietary rights.

Advertising Costs

The Company expenses all advertising, promotional and publication costs as incurred. Total advertising costs were approximately \$6.8 million, \$5.6 million, and \$2.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Translation of Foreign Currencies

The functional currencies of the Company's foreign subsidiaries are the local currencies, primarily the British pound sterling, euro and Swiss franc. In accordance with SFAS No. 52, *Foreign Currency Translation*, assets and liabilities are translated using the current exchange rate as of the balance sheet date. Income and expenses are translated using a weighted average exchange rate over the period ending on the balance sheet date. Adjustments resulting from the translation of the financial statements of the Company's foreign subsidiaries into U.S. dollars are excluded from the determination of net loss and are accumulated in a separate component of stockholders' equity. Foreign exchange transaction gains and losses which, to date have not been significant, are included in the results of operations.

Comprehensive Income

The Company reports comprehensive income in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 130, *Reporting Comprehensive Income*. Comprehensive income includes all changes in equity for cumulative translation adjustments resulting from the consolidation of foreign subsidiaries and unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk are primarily cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments and accounts receivable. The Company maintains its cash, cash equivalent and short-term investment balances in the form of money market accounts, debt securities and overnight deposits with financial institutions that management believes are creditworthy. The Company has no financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk of accounting loss.

Table of Contents**PHARMION CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The Company's products are sold both to wholesale distributors and directly to hospitals and clinics. Ongoing credit evaluations of customers are performed and collateral is generally not required. Many of the international hospitals and clinics are government supported and may take a significant amount of time to collect. U.S. and international accounts receivable consisted of the following at December 31, 2005 and 2004.

	2005	2004
U.S. accounts receivable, net of allowances	\$ 11,195,675	\$ 12,852,798
International accounts receivable, net of allowances	21,403,403	22,340,424
Total accounts receivable, net of allowances	\$ 32,213,282	\$ 35,193,222

At December 31, 2005 and 2004, the accounts receivable balance of our customer, Oncology Supply, represented 11% and 16%, respectively, of total net accounts receivable. No other individual customer had accounts receivable balances greater than 10% of total net accounts receivable.

The Company maintains a reserve for potential credit losses based on the financial condition of customers and the aging of accounts. Losses have been within management's expectations. The provision for bad debts for the years end December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 was \$0.7 million, \$0.6 million and \$0.1 million, respectively.

Net sales generated as a percent of total consolidated net sales, for the three largest customers in the U.S. were as follows for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
Oncology Supply	17%	11%	0%
Cardinal Health	15%	11%	3%
McKesson Corporation	14%	14%	3%

Net sales generated from international customers were individually less than 5% of consolidated net sales.

Clinical, Development and Regulatory Costs

Clinical, development, and regulatory costs include salaries, benefits and other personnel related expenses as well as fees paid to third parties for clinical development and regulatory services. Such costs are expensed as incurred.

Acquired In-Process Research

In December 2005, the Company entered into a co-development and licensing agreement with GPC Biotech whereby it acquired commercialization rights to a drug development candidate called satraplatin in Europe, the Middle East, Turkey, Australia and New Zealand. Satraplatin is in Phase III development for the treatment of hormone refractory prostate cancer. Under terms of the license agreement, the Company made an up front payment to GPC Biotech of \$37.1 million in early January 2006, which included \$21.2 million for reimbursement for past satraplatin development costs incurred by GPC Biotech. This portion of the up front payment was immediately expensed as acquired in-process research as satraplatin has not yet achieved regulatory approval for marketing and, absent obtaining such approval, has no alternative future use.

Table of Contents

PHARMION CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities. The carrying values of these instruments approximate fair value due to their short-term nature.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

The Company has elected to account for its stock compensation arrangements to employees under the provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion (APB) No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and its related interpretations. Under the provisions of APB No. 25, the company utilizes the intrinsic value method of accounting. Under this method, compensation expense is recognized on the date of grant if the current market price of the underlying stock exceeds the exercise price. The difference in value between the current market price and the exercise price is recorded as deferred compensation and is amortized to expense over the vesting period of the option on a straight-line basis. Pro forma information regarding net loss is required by SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, and has been determined as if the Company had accounted for its employee stock options under the fair value method of that statement. The fair value for options granted was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes valuation model. Under this model, the following weighted average assumptions were used:

	2005	2004	2003
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%	0%
Expected stock price volatility	49%	76%	86%
Risk free interest rate	4.1%	2.9%	2.8%
Expected life of options	4.0 years	4.6 years	5.0 years

The expected stock price volatility was estimated using percentages reported by similar public companies within the pharmaceutical industry prior to 2004 as well as trading history of the Company's common stock subsequently. The weighted-average fair value per share was \$10.36, \$20.67, and \$8.98, for the options granted

F-13

Table of Contents**PHARMION CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

in 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively. The difference between the actual expense recorded and pro forma expense for all periods presented is provided in the table below:

Years Ended December 31,

	2005	2004	2003
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders as reported	\$ 2,268,692	\$ (17,537,179)	\$ (60,149,873)
Add stock based compensation expense included in net income (loss)	198,651	475,597	585,710
Deduct total stock based compensation expense determined using the fair value method for all rewards	(23,618,782)	(3,821,369)	(931,910)
Pro forma net loss attributable to common stockholders	\$ (21,151,438)	\$ (20,882,951)	\$ (60,496,073)
Net income (loss) per common share, basic and diluted, as reported	\$.07	\$ (.63)	\$ (14.70)
Net income (loss) per common share, basic and diluted, pro forma	\$ (.66)	\$ (.75)	\$ (14.78)

During the period January 1, 2003 through November 5, 2003, options were granted to employees and directors at exercise prices that were less than the estimated fair value of the underlying shares of common stock as of the grant date. In accordance with APB No. 25, deferred compensation expense is being recognized for the excess of the estimated fair value of the Company's common stock as of the grant date over the exercise price of the options and amortized to expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting periods of the related options, which is generally four years. The Company recorded compensation expense totaling \$198,651, \$475,597 and \$585,710 for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. As of December 31, 2005 and 2004, the unamortized compensation expense recorded as deferred compensation within the statement of stockholders' equity was \$226,709 and \$679,572, respectively.

Option valuation models such as the Black-Scholes value method described above require the input of highly subjective assumptions. Because the Company's employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in the opinion of the Company's management, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of its employee stock options.

On December 6, 2005, the Board of Directors approved the acceleration of vesting for certain unvested incentive and non-qualified stock options granted to employees under the 2000 stock incentive plan. Vesting acceleration was performed on employee options granted prior to April 1, 2005 with an exercise price per share of \$21.00 or higher. A total of 839,815 shares of the Company's common stock became exercisable as a result of the vesting acceleration. The acceleration of vesting was consummated in order to reduce the non-cash compensation expense that would have been recorded in future periods following the effective date of SFAS No. 123(R). The effect of this acceleration is the avoidance of future non-cash expenses of approximately \$15.8 million, which is included in the pro-forma net loss for the year ended December 31, 2005.

The Company accounts for options issued to consultants using the provisions of SFAS No. 123 and Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) 96-18, *Accounting for Equity Instruments that are Issued to other than Employees for*

Acquiring or in Conjunction with Selling Goods or Services.

F-14

Table of Contents

PHARMION CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Net Income (Loss) Per Share

Basic net income (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) for the period by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period reduced, where applicable, for outstanding, yet unvested, shares. Diluted net income (loss) per share is computed by dividing the net income (loss) for the period by the weighted average number of common and potential incremental common shares outstanding during the period, if their effect is dilutive. Potential incremental common shares include shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of stock options and warrants and upon the conversion of convertible notes and redeemable convertible preferred stock outstanding during the period. The potential shares of common stock that have not been included in the diluted net income (loss) per share calculation totaled 1,132,212, 1,599,076, and 3,625,180 for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Pro Forma Net Loss Per Share

Immediately prior to the effective date of the Company's initial public offering (November 12, 2003), all redeemable convertible preferred stock shares outstanding converted into an aggregate of 17,030,956 shares of common stock. The pro forma net loss per share was calculated on the consolidated statement of operations for the year ending December 31, 2003 to show the effects of this conversion on earnings per share. It is computed by dividing net loss before accretion of redeemable convertible preferred stock by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, including the pro forma effects of conversion of all outstanding redeemable convertible preferred stock into shares of the Company's common stock.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment, which is a revision of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*. SFAS No. 123(R) supersedes APB No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and amends SFAS No. 95, *Statement of Cash Flows*. Generally, the approach in SFAS No. 123(R) is similar to the approach described in SFAS No. 123. However, SFAS No. 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on the grant-date fair value of the award.

As permitted by SFAS No. 123, the Company currently accounts for share-based payments to employees using the intrinsic value provisions of APB No. 25 and, as such, generally recognized no compensation costs for employee stock options. Accordingly, the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R)'s fair value method is expected to impact our results of operations. The impact of the adoption of SFAS no. 123(R) cannot be quantified at this time because it will depend on levels of share-based payments granted in the future. However, had the Company adopted SFAS No. 123(R) in prior periods, the impact would have approximated the impact of SFAS No. 123 as described in the disclosure of pro forma net income and earning per share in Note 2, *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, except for the acceleration of \$15.8 million of expense reflected in the year ended December 31, 2005. SFAS No. 123(R) also requires the benefits of tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation cost to be reported as a financing cash flow.

The Company is required to adopt the standard as of January 1, 2006. SFAS No. 123(R) permits public companies to adopt its requirements using one of two methods:

- A modified prospective method in which compensation cost is recognized beginning with the effective date
- (a) based on the requirements of SFAS No. 123(R) for all share-based payments granted after the effective date
- and (b) based on the requirements of SFAS No. 123 for all rewards

Table of Contents

PHARMION CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

granted to employees prior to the effective date of SFAS No. 123(R) that remain unvested on the effective date; or

A modified retrospective method which includes the requirements of the modified prospective method described above, but also permits entities to restate based on the amounts previously recognized under SFAS No. 123 for purposes of pro forma disclosures either (a) all prior periods or (b) prior interim periods of the year of adoption.

At this time, the Company expects to use the modified prospective method. The Company is also considering the implementation guidance for SFAS No. 123(R) issued by the SEC in Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 in the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R).

3. Geographic Information

Foreign and domestic financial information (in thousands):

	Year	United States	Foreign Entities	Total
Net sales	2005	\$ 130,886	\$ 90,358	\$ 221,244
	2004	55,642	74,529	130,171
	2003	3,751	21,788	25,539
Operating income (loss)	2005	\$ 21,469	\$ (16,880)	\$ 4,589
	2004	(16,472)	4,373	(12,099)
	2003	(32,899)	(15,720)	(48,619)
Total assets	2005	\$ 244,316	\$ 188,314	\$ 432,630
	2004	235,958	175,272	411,230

4. Acquisition of Laphal Développement

In March 2003, a subsidiary of the Company acquired 100% of the outstanding stock of Gophar S.A.S. and its wholly owned subsidiary, Laphal Développement S.A. (collectively, Laphal). Laphal is a French pharmaceutical company focused on the sale of orphan drugs primarily in France and Belgium. Under the terms of the related Stock Purchase Agreement (SPA), the Company paid 12 million at closing, less the amount of Laphal's net financial debt (as defined in the SPA). The actual amount of cash paid for Laphal, net of cash received in the acquisition and including transaction costs incurred was approximately \$12.3 million. Two additional payments totaling 8 million (approximately \$10.3 million) were also due if certain aggregate sales milestones were achieved. These milestones were achieved in the fourth quarter of 2004 and the first quarter of 2005, and the payments were made in 2005. As a result of this purchase, the Company acquired the rights to the Laphal thalidomide formulation and access to certain European markets.

The operating results of Laphal have been included in the results of the Company from the date of the acquisition. Product rights relate to thalidomide and are being amortized over the 15 year period in which the Company expects to generate significant sales from this product.

The following pro forma combined financial information for the year ended December 31, 2003 is derived from the historical financial statements of the Company and of Laphal for the period then ended, adjusted to give effect to their consolidation using the purchase method of accounting and to reflect interest costs on the convertible notes issued by the Company to fund the acquisition. This pro forma financial information assumes the acquisition of Laphal occurred as of the beginning of the period shown. It is provided for

Table of Contents**PHARMION CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

illustrative purposes only and is not indicative of the operating results that would have been achieved had the acquisition been consummated at the date indicated, nor is it necessarily indicative of future operating results:

	Year Ended December 31, 2003
Net sales	\$ 27,326,566
Net loss	\$ (50,426,996)
Net loss attributable to common stockholders per common share, basic and diluted	\$ (14.79)

5. Short-term Investments

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains, gross unrealized losses and fair value of available-for-sale investments by security classification at December 31, 2005 and 2004, were as follows:

December 31, 2005	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gain	Gross Unrealized Loss	Estimated Fair Value
Government agencies	\$ 57,122,513	\$	\$ (170,891)	\$ 56,951,622
Corporate debt securities	42,925,942	38,227	(123,893)	42,840,276
Auction rate notes	30,671,341	521		30,671,862
Asset backed securities	22,559,355	1,702	(61,840)	22,499,217
Total securities	\$ 153,279,151	\$ 40,450	\$ (356,624)	\$ 152,962,977

December 31, 2004	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gain	Gross Unrealized Loss	Estimated Fair Value
Government agencies	\$ 77,194,609	\$	\$ (158,545)	\$ 77,036,064
Corporate debt securities	28,688,241		(48,763)	28,639,478
Asset backed securities	17,500,097		(66,550)	17,433,547
Other debt securities	2,775,749			2,775,749
Total securities	\$ 126,158,696	\$	\$ (273,858)	\$ 125,884,838

During the year ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, the gross realized gains on sales of available-for-sale securities totaled \$661 and \$342,809, respectively, and the gross realized losses totaled \$(173,231) and \$(181,333), respectively. The gains and losses on available-for-sale securities are based on the specific identification method.

The fair value of available-for-sale securities with unrealized losses at December 31, 2005 were as follows:

Held less than 12 Months	Held greater than 12 Months	Total
---------------------------------	--	--------------

December 31, 2005	Estimated Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Loss	Estimated Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Loss	Estimated Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Loss
Government agencies	\$ 56,951,622	\$ (170,891)	\$	\$	\$ 56,951,622	\$ (170,891)
Corporate debt securities	36,710,787	(123,893)			36,710,787	(123,893)
Asset backed securities	13,911,826	(51,757)	3,570,430	(10,083)	17,482,256	(61,840)
Total securities	\$ 107,574,235	\$ (346,541)	\$ 3,570,430	\$ (10,083)	\$ 111,144,665	\$ (356,624)

F-17

Table of Contents

PHARMION CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Unrealized losses were due to changes to interest rates associated with securities with short maturity lives and are deemed to be temporary.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of the available-for-sale securities at December 31, 2005, by maturity, were as follows:

Maturity	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value
Due within one year	\$ 100,048,454	\$ 99,791,899
Due after one year through three years	12,369,235	12,328,580
Due after three years through five years	10,190,121	10,170,636
Due after five years	30,671,341	30,671,862
Total securities	\$ 153,279,151	\$ 152,962,977

6. License Agreements

The cost value and accumulated amortization associated with the Company's product rights were as follows:

	As of December 31, 2005		As of December 31, 2004	
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization
Amortized product rights:				
Thalidomide	\$ 101,837,285	\$ (9,690,198)	\$ 97,242,280	\$ (2,509,252)
Refludan	12,208,071	(3,560,418)	12,208,071	(2,212,732)
Innohep	5,000,000	(1,750,000)	5,000,000	(1,250,000)
Total product rights	\$ 119,045,356	\$ (15,000,616)	\$ 114,450,351	\$ (5,971,984)

Amortization expense of \$9.3 million, \$3.4 million, and \$2.0 million was recorded for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The estimated amortization expense for the next five years is approximately \$9.7 million per year.

Thalidomide

In 2001, the Company licensed rights relating to the development and commercial use of thalidomide from Celgene and separately entered into an exclusive supply agreement for thalidomide with Celgene U.K. Manufacturing II Limited (formerly known as Penn T Limited), or CUK, which was acquired by Celgene in 2004. Under the agreements, as amended in December 2004, the territory licensed from Celgene is for all countries other than the United States, Canada, Mexico, Japan and all provinces of China (except Hong Kong). The Company pays (i) Celgene a royalty/license fee of 8% on the Company's net sales of thalidomide under the terms of the license agreements, and (ii) CUK product supply payments equal to 15.5% of the Company's net sales of thalidomide under the terms of the product supply agreement. The agreements with Celgene and CUK each have a ten-year term running from the date of receipt of the Company's first regulatory approval for thalidomide in the United Kingdom.

In December 2004, the Company amended its thalidomide agreements with Celgene and CUK to reduce the thalidomide product supply payment, expand the Company's licensed territory, and eliminate certain license

termination rights held by Celgene. The Company paid Celgene a one-time payment of \$80 million in exchange for (i) the reduction in the cost of product supply from 28.0% of net sales to 15.5% of net sales, (ii) the addition of Korea, Hong Kong, and Taiwan to the Company's licensed territory and, (iii) elimination

F-18

Table of Contents**PHARMION CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

of Celgene's right to terminate the license agreement in the event the Company has not obtained a marketing authorization approval for thalidomide in the United Kingdom by November 2006. The \$80 million payment was capitalized as part of the thalidomide product rights and is being amortized over the remaining period the Company expects to generate significant thalidomide sales, approximately 13 years from December 31, 2004.

In connection with a patent dispute, associated with thalidomide, the Company agreed to make a \$5.0 million payment in 2005, with two \$1.0 million payments due in 2006 and 2007. Accordingly, these amounts increased the thalidomide product rights.

The Company has also committed to provide funding to support further clinical development studies of thalidomide sponsored by Celgene. Under these agreements, the Company paid Celgene \$4.7 million, \$3.0 million, and \$3.0 million in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively, and will pay Celgene \$2.7 million in each of 2006 and 2007.

In connection with the 2003 acquisition of Laphal, the Company acquired rights to Laphal's formulation of thalidomide. The portion of the purchase price allocated to thalidomide has been included in product rights, net on the accompanying balance sheet.

Vidaza

In 2001, the Company licensed worldwide rights to Vidaza (azacitidine) from Pharmacia & Upjohn Company, now part of Pfizer, Inc. Under terms of the license agreement, the Company is responsible for all costs to develop and market Vidaza and the Company pays Pfizer a royalty of 8% to 20% of Vidaza net sales. No up-front or milestone payments have or will be made to Pfizer. The license has a term extending for the longer of the last to expire of valid patent claims in any given country or ten years from the first commercial sale of the product in a particular country.

Satraplatin

In December 2005, the Company entered into a co-development and license agreement for satraplatin. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company obtained exclusive commercialization rights for Europe, Turkey, the Middle East, Australia and New Zealand, while GPC Biotech retained rights to the North American market and all other territories. The Company made an up front payment of \$37.1 million to GPC Biotech in early January 2006, including an \$21.2 million reimbursement for satraplatin clinical development costs incurred prior to the agreement and \$15.9 million for funding of ongoing and certain future clinical development to be conducted jointly by the Company and GPC Biotech. The Company and GPC Biotech will pursue a joint development plan to evaluate development activities for satraplatin in a variety of tumor types and will share global development costs, for which the Company has made an additional commitment of \$22.2 million, in addition to the \$37.1 million in initial payments. The Company will also pay GPC Biotech \$30.5 million based on the achievement of certain regulatory filing and approval milestones, and up to an additional \$75 million for up to five subsequent European approvals for additional indications. GPC Biotech will also receive royalties on sales of satraplatin in the Company's territories at rates of 26% to 30% on annual sales up to \$500 million, and 34% on annual sales over \$500 million. Finally, the Company will pay GPC Biotech sales milestones totaling up to \$105 million, based on the achievement of significant annual sales levels in its territories.

Refludan

In May 2002, the Company entered into agreements to acquire the exclusive right to market and distribute Refludan in all countries outside the U.S. and Canada. These agreements, as amended in August 2003, transferred all marketing authorizations and product registrations for Refludan in the individual countries within the Company's territories. The Company has paid Schering an aggregate of \$13 million to

Table of Contents**PHARMION CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

date and has capitalized to product rights \$12.2 million which is being amortized over a 10 year period during which the Company expects to generate revenue. Additional payments of up to \$7.5 million will be due Schering upon achievement of certain milestones. Because such payments are contingent upon future events, they are not reflected in the accompanying financial statements. In addition, the Company paid Schering an 8% royalty on net sales of Recludan through December 31, 2003 and will pay a royalty of 14% of net sales of Recludan thereafter until the aggregate royalty payments total \$12.0 million measured from January 2004. At that time, the royalty rate will be reduced to 6%.

Innohep

In June 2002, the Company entered into a ten-year agreement with LEO Pharma A/S for the license of the low molecular weight heparin, Innohep. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company acquired an exclusive right and license to market and distribute Innohep in the United States. On the closing date the Company paid \$5 million for the license, which is capitalized as product rights and is being amortized over a 10 year period in which the Company expects to generate significant revenues. On the closing date, the Company paid an additional \$2.5 million, which was creditable against royalty payments otherwise due during the period ending March 1, 2005. In addition, the Company is obligated to pay LEO Pharma royalties at the rate of 30% of net sales on annual net sales of up to \$20 million and at the rate of 35% of net sales on annual net sales exceeding \$20 million, less in each case the Company's purchase price from LEO Pharma of the units of product sold. Furthermore, the agreement contains a minimum net sales clause that is effective for two consecutive two-year periods. If the company does not achieve these minimum sales levels for two consecutive years, it has the right to pay LEO Pharma additional royalties up to the amount LEO Pharma would have received had the company achieved these net sales levels. If the company opts not to make the additional royalty payment, LEO Pharma has the right to terminate the license agreement. The second of the two-year terms will conclude on December 31, 2006.

7. Property and Equipment

	December 31,	
	2005	2004
Property and equipment:		
Computer hardware and software	\$ 5,639,872	\$ 5,171,173
Furniture and fixtures	1,978,234	1,497,455
Equipment	1,301,061	1,142,592
Leasehold improvements	4,498,382	1,288,820
	13,417,549	9,100,040
Less accumulated depreciation	(6,811,405)	(4,816,277)
Total property and equipment, net	\$ 6,606,144	\$ 4,283,763

Depreciation expense was \$2,413,358, \$2,213,891, and \$1,544,830 for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Table of Contents

PHARMION CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8. Accrued and Other Current Liabilities

	December 31,	
	2005	2004
Accrued and other current liabilities:		
Royalties payable	\$ 10,696,632	\$ 10,695,532
Income taxes payable	3,175,376	7,319,821
Product rights and notes payable	1,141,794	4,332,811
Accrued salaries and benefits	6,103,501	11,107,500
Accrued operating expenses	15,595,729	12,107,167
Co-development and licensing agreement payable (Note 6)	37,100,000	
	\$ 73,813,032	\$ 45,562,831

9. Other Long-term Liabilities

	December 31,	
	2005	2004
Product rights payable	\$ 1,869,712	\$ 3,831,399
Notes payable	211,941	719,240
	2,081,653	4,550,639
Current portion of product rights and notes payable	(1,141,794)	(4,332,811)
Other long term liabilities	\$ 939,859	\$ 217,828

Maturities of other long-term liabilities are as follows:

2006	\$ 1,141,794
2007	937,036
2008	2,823
	\$ 2,081,653

10. Convertible Notes Payable

In April 2003, the Company issued \$14 million of 6% convertible notes with interest payable annually. Holders of the notes also received warrants to purchase an aggregate of 424,242 shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$11.00 per share. The value of the warrants was reflected as an additional debt discount to be amortized over the term of the debt or 5 years. Effective March 1, 2004, the \$14 million of convertible notes plus accrued interest were

converted into 1,342,170 shares of common stock. The remaining unamortized debt discount was recorded as a decrease to equity.

11. Leases and Other Commitments

The Company leases office space and equipment under various noncancelable operating lease agreements. One of these agreements has a renewal term which allows the Company to extend this lease up to six years, or through 2013. Rental expense was \$3,491,259, \$2,874,934, and \$1,561,425 for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

F-21

Table of Contents**PHARMION CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

As of December 31, 2005, future minimum rental commitments, by fiscal year and in the aggregate, for the Company's operating leases are as follows:

2006	\$	3,502,401
2007		2,971,781
2008		1,972,552
2009		1,707,267
2010		133,538
Total minimum lease payments	\$	10,287,539

12. Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. Under the provisions of SFAS No. 109, a deferred tax liability or asset (net of a valuation allowance) is provided in the financial statements by applying the provisions of applicable tax laws to measure the deferred tax consequences of temporary differences that will result in net taxable or deductible amounts in future years as a result of events recognized in the financial statements in the current or preceding years.

At December 31, 2005, the Company has federal, state, and foreign net operating loss carryforwards for income tax purposes of approximately \$131 million, which will expire in the years 2019 through 2025 if not utilized. The majority of the tax loss carryforwards relate to the U.S. (\$35.2 million) and Switzerland (\$86.7 million). The U.S. net operating loss carryforward includes tax deductions totaling \$6.2 million attributable to the exercise of stock options. If these benefits are realized for tax purposes, the amount of the benefit will increase additional paid-in capital and will not be reflected in the Company's provision for income taxes. At December 31, 2005, the Company had research and development and orphan drug credit carryforwards in the U.S. of approximately \$7.2 million, which will expire in the years 2021 through 2025 if not utilized.

The Tax Reform Act of 1986 contains provisions that limit the utilization of net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards if there has been a change of ownership as described in Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code. Such a change of ownership may limit the Company's utilization of its net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards, and could be triggered by subsequent sales of securities by the Company or its stockholders.

Table of Contents**PHARMION CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

	December 31,	
	2005	2004
Deferred tax assets:		
Net operating loss	\$ 25,282,697	\$ 28,550,438
Credit carryforwards	7,212,350	6,513,802
Organization costs	2,044,141	3,406,902
Allowance on accounts receivable	1,177,160	815,237
Depreciation	326,364	140,025
Other	379,601	511,395
Total gross deferred tax assets	36,422,313	39,937,799
Valuation allowance	(35,758,680)	(39,205,040)
Deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance	663,633	732,759
 Deferred tax liabilities:		
Amortization of product rights	(2,569,888)	(3,437,962)
Prepaid expenses	(891,462)	(730,964)
Other		(169,554)
Total gross deferred tax liabilities	(3,461,350)	(4,338,480)
Net deferred tax liability	\$ (2,797,717)	\$ (3,605,721)

A valuation allowance was recorded in 2005 and 2004 due to the Company's inability to determine if it is more likely than not that the deferred tax asset will be realized in future periods.

The Company's effective tax rate differs from the federal income tax rate for the following reasons:

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2005	2004
Expected federal income tax benefit (expense) at statutory rate	34.0%	34.0%
Effect of permanent differences	3.8%	(10.4)%
State income tax, net of federal benefit	3.5%	(6.4)%
Effect of tax credits	(6.3)%	23.9%
Effect of foreign operations	86.4%	(50.2)%
Utilization of U.S. tax loss carryforward	(84.4)%	
Deferred tax asset valuation allowance	42.5%	(72.0)%
	79.5%	(81.1)%

Table of Contents

PHARMION CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The provision (benefit) for income taxes is comprised of the following:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
Current provision:			
Federal	\$ 561,557	\$ 618,802	\$ 194,496
State	584,192	7,647,744	1,090,977
Foreign	8,297,133	7,647,744	1,090,977
Total	9,442,882	8,266,546	1,285,473
Deferred provision:			
Federal	7,626,728	(8,283,747)	(7,067,508)
State	717,439	(714,122)	(609,273)
Foreign	(5,463,986)	(2,236,232)	(3,986,714)
Total	2,880,181	(11,234,101)	(11,663,495)
Deferred tax valuation allowance	(3,529,385)	10,820,622	11,663,495
Total	\$ 8,793,678	\$ 7,853,067	\$ 1,285,473

The Company reported income (loss) before taxes from operations within the U.S. and foreign operations for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003 as follows.

	December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
Income (loss) before taxes from			
U.S. operations	\$ 33,002,080	\$ (14,027,515)	\$ (32,145,799)
Income (loss) before taxes from foreign operations	(21,939,710)	4,343,403	(16,627,650)
Total income (loss) before taxes from operations	\$ 11,062,370	\$ (9,684,112)	\$ (48,773,449)

No provision has been made for income taxes on the undistributed earnings of the Company's foreign subsidiaries of approximately \$30.5 million at December 31, 2005 as the Company intends to indefinitely reinvest such earnings.

13. Stock Option Plans

In 2000, the Company's Board of Directors approved the 2000 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2000 Plan"). At December 31, 2005, a total of 5,258,000 shares of common stock are reserved under the plan. The 2000 Plan provides for awards of both nonstatutory stock options and incentive stock options within the meaning of Section 422 of the

Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and stock purchase rights to purchase shares of the Company's common stock. A total of 1,417,883 shares of common stock are available for future stock option issuance to eligible employees and consultants of the Company as of December 31, 2005.

In 2001, the Company's Board of Directors approved the 2001 Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan (the 2001 Plan). At December 31, 2005, 575,000 shares of common stock are reserved under the plan. The 2001 Plan provides for awards of nonstatutory stock options only. A total of 288,750 shares of common stock are available for future stock option issuance to directors of the Company as of December 31, 2005.

The 2000 Plan and the 2001 Plan are administered by the compensation committee of the Board of Directors, which has the authority to select the individuals to whom awards will be granted and to determine whether and to what extent stock options and stock purchase rights are to be granted, the number of shares of

F-24

Table of Contents**PHARMION CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

common stock to be covered by each award, the vesting schedule of stock options, generally over a period of four years, and all other terms and conditions of each award. The grants expire seven and ten years from the date of grant for the 2000 and 2001 Plans, respectively.

In September 2003, the Board of Directors amended both the 2000 and 2001 plans to allow for automatic evergreen annual additions to the stock options available for grant not to exceed 500,000 shares and 50,000 shares, respectively.

In June 2005, shareholders approved an amendment to both the 2000 and 2001 plans to increase by 1,500,000 and 100,000 shares of common stock reserved for issuance, respectively.

A summary of the Plans activity is as follows:

	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Balance, January 1, 2003	1,321,992	\$ 1.76
Granted	610,219	9.21
Exercised	(53,190)	1.38
Terminated	(60,809)	1.91
Balance, December 31, 2003	1,818,212	4.28
Granted	1,110,303	34.87
Exercised	(373,436)	2.22
Terminated	(154,395)	8.52
Balance, December 31, 2004	2,400,684	18.47
Granted	1,369,932	23.75
Exercised	(134,120)	3.64
Terminated	(249,638)	26.53
Balance, December 31, 2005	3,386,858	\$ 20.60

A summary of options outstanding as of December 31, 2005, is as follows:

Range of Exercise Prices	Outstanding Options		Exercisable Options		
	Shares Under Option	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Shares Currently Vested and Exercisable	Weighted- Average Exercise Price
\$0.40 to 1.60	438,762	3.42	\$ 1.52	428,663	\$ 1.52
\$1.61 to 2.40	393,592	4.29	2.40	372,594	2.40
\$2.41 to 18.20	398,530	5.15	14.40	167,373	14.00
\$18.21 to 18.49	585,000	6.93	18.49		
\$18.50 to 23.92	424,832	6.02	21.68	369,232	21.49

Edgar Filing: PHARMION CORP - Form 10-K

\$23.93 to 35.15	453,847	6.42	28.41	171,948	33.97
\$35.16 to 42.34	538,250	5.94	41.04	538,250	41.04
\$42.35 to 52.27	154,045	6.19	48.21	154,045	48.21
Total	3,386,858	5.59	\$ 20.60	2,202,105	\$ 21.42

F-25

Table of Contents**PHARMION CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

On December 6, 2005, the Board of Directors approved the acceleration of vesting for certain unvested incentive and non-qualified stock options granted to employees under the 2000 stock incentive plan. Vesting acceleration was performed on employee options granted prior to April 1, 2005 with an exercise price per share of \$21.00 or higher. A total of 839,815 shares of the Company's common stock became exercisable as a result of the vesting acceleration. The acceleration of vesting was consummated in order to reduce the non-cash compensation expense that would have been recorded in future periods following the effective date of SFAS No. 123(R). The effect of this acceleration is the avoidance of future non-cash expenses of approximately \$15.8 million, which is included in the pro-forma net loss for the year ended December 31, 2005.

14. Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock and Warrants***Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock***

In January 2000, the Company issued 5,069,792 shares of redeemable convertible preferred stock (Series A Preferred), in a first closing, to a group of private investors at a purchase price of \$1.00 per share. In December 2000 and January 2001, the Company issued 3,237,500 and 9,605,973 shares, respectively, of Series A Preferred, in a second closing, to the same group of investors at a purchase price of \$1.50 per share. In November 2001, the Company issued 31,071,769 shares of redeemable convertible preferred stock (Series B Preferred) to a group of investors at a purchase price of \$2.09 per share. In October 2002, the Company issued 19,138,756 shares of redeemable convertible preferred stock (Series C Preferred) at a purchase price of \$2.09 per share.

All of the preferred shares had preferences before common stock in liquidation equal to the initial preferred purchase price, plus any accrued but unpaid noncumulative dividends. In addition, the Series B and Series C Preferred shares had preferences before the Series A Preferred shares and were entitled to share on a pro rata, as if converted, basis in the remaining assets with the common shares after preferential liquidation payments were made to preferred shareholders.

In connection with the completion of the Company's initial public offering in November 2003, all of the outstanding shares of redeemable convertible preferred stock were automatically converted into 17,030,956 shares of the Company's common stock.

Warrants

In November 2001, the Company issued a warrant to purchase 1,701,805 shares of Series B Preferred stock at \$2.09 per share to a business partner which was exercisable one year after the date of grant and expired seven years from the date of grant. Based on the estimated fair value of the warrant, development expense in the amount of \$884,939 was recorded in connection with the issuance of this warrant in 2001. Upon conversion of the Company's preferred shares to common stock in November 2003, the number of shares available under the warrant was automatically modified to 425,451 shares of common stock at \$8.36 per share.

In April 2003, the Company issued two warrants in conjunction with the convertible debt issued in 2003. The warrants had a life of five years and could be exercised immediately. A total of 424,242 shares of common stock could be purchased at a price of \$11.00 per share under these warrants. The \$729,697 fair value of the warrant was classified as additional paid-in capital with a corresponding amount treated as a debt discount which was being amortized using the interest method.

In June 2004, a stock purchase warrant was exercised by one of the business partners, resulting in the issuance of 44,026 shares of common stock. The option holder utilized the cashless exercise option allowed under the warrant agreement and surrendered 16,580 shares to the Company as consideration for this exercise.

Table of Contents**PHARMION CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

In September 2004, the second business partner exercised two stock purchase warrants which resulted in the issuance of 789,087 shares of common stock. Total exercise proceeds received by the Company were \$7.6 million.

15. Employee Savings Plans

The Company sponsors an employee savings and retirement plan which is qualified under section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code for its U.S. employees. Under the sponsored plan, the Company matches 100% of the participant's contribution up to a limit of 3% of the participant's annual salary. Matching contributions totaled \$411,262, \$276,952, and \$204,561 in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The Company's international employees are eligible to participate in retirement plans, subject to the local laws that are in effect for each country. The Company made contributions of \$455,109, \$477,695, and \$321,695 for these employees in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

16. Related Parties

As part of the relocation assistance provided to three officers, during 2002, the Company made loans totaling \$400,000 to these individuals. At December 31, 2005 the balance outstanding for these loans is \$62,500. The loans do not bear interest and are secured by a second deed of trust on the principal residences of each of the officers. The notes are repayable over terms ranging from two to four years. The Company has agreed, for as long as these officers remain employed by the Company, to make annual bonus payments to these officers in amounts sufficient to pay the loan amounts then due.

17. Subsequent Event

In January 2006, the Company entered into a license and collaboration agreement for the research, development and commercialization of MethylGene Inc.'s HDAC inhibitors, including its lead compound MGCD0103, in North America, Europe, the Middle East and certain other markets. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company made up front payments to MethylGene totaling \$25 million, consisting of a \$20 million license fee and a \$5 million equity investment in MethylGene common shares. The common shares were purchased at a subscription price of CDN \$3.125 which represented a 25% premium over the market closing price on January 27, 2006. Subsequent to the transaction, the Company has a 7.8% ownership in MethylGene. The ownership interest will initially be recorded at fair value and then accounted for as an available for sale security.

MGCD0103 is currently in Phase I/II development and has a number of clinical studies underway. Under the terms of the license agreement, MethylGene will initially fund 40% of the preclinical and clinical development for MGCD0103 (and any additional second generation compounds) required, to obtain marketing approval in North America, while the Company will fund 60% of such costs. MethylGene will receive royalties on net sales in North America ranging from 13% to 21%. The royalty rate paid to MethylGene will be determined based upon the level of annual net sales achieved in North America and the length of time development costs are funded by MethylGene. MethylGene will have an option, at its sole discretion, as long as it continues to fund development, to co-promote approved products and, in lieu of receiving royalties, to share the resulting net profits equally with the Company. If MethylGene exercises its right, at its sole discretion, to discontinue development funding, the Company will be responsible for 100% of development costs incurred thereafter.

In all other licensed territories, which include Europe, the Middle East, Turkey, Australia, New Zealand, South Africa and certain countries in Southeast Asia, the Company is responsible for development and commercialization costs and MethylGene will receive a royalty on net sales in those markets at a rate of 10% to 13% based on annual net sales.

Table of Contents**PHARMION CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Milestone payments to MethylGene for MGCD0103 could reach \$145 million, based on the achievement of significant development, regulatory and sales goals. Furthermore, up to \$100 million for each additional HDAC inhibitor may be paid, also based on the achievement of significant development, regulatory and sales milestones. In addition, the Company will provide one year of research support (up to \$2 million) for a team of eight MethylGene scientists dedicated to identifying second-generation clinical candidates in addition to MGCD0103.

18. Quarterly Information

	March 31, 2005	June 30, 2005	September 30, 2005	December 31, 2005
(In thousands, except per share data)				
(Unaudited)				
Net sales	\$51,737	\$56,257	\$56,805	\$ 56,445
Cost of sales, inclusive of royalties, exclusive of product rights amortization	13,947	15,120	15,355	15,378
Income (loss) from operations	5,408	6,492	9,725	(17,036)
Net income (loss)	4,270	5,554	8,828	(16,383)
Net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders per share basic	\$.13	\$.17	\$.28	\$ (.51)
Net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders per share diluted	\$.13	\$.17	\$.27	\$ (.51)
	March 31, 2004	June 30, 2004	September 30, 2004	December 31, 2004
Net sales	\$15,721	\$20,396	\$42,576	\$51,478
Cost of sales, inclusive of royalties, exclusive of product rights amortization	6,309	7,453	14,169	15,704
Loss from operations	(8,814)	(8,221)	(1,712)	3,224
Net income (loss)	(9,809)	(9,983)	(355)	2,609
Net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders per share basic and diluted	\$ (.40)	\$ (.39)	\$ (.01)	\$.08

Table of Contents

SCHEDULE II
Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

Years Ended December 31,	Balance at Beginning of Period	Additions Charged to Expense or Sales	Deductions	Balance at End of Period
(In thousands)				
2005				
Allowances for chargebacks, product returns, cash discounts and doubtful accounts	\$2,210	\$13,437	\$(12,074)	\$3,573
Inventory reserve	360	606	(924)	42
2004				
Allowances for chargebacks, product returns, cash discounts and doubtful accounts	\$ 819	\$ 7,419	\$ (6,028)	\$2,210
Inventory reserve	1,387	1,366	(2,393)	360
2003				
Allowances for chargebacks, product returns, cash discounts and doubtful accounts	\$ 734	\$ 2,486	\$ (2,401)	\$ 819
Inventory reserve		1,761	(374)	1,387

S-1

Table of Contents**EXHIBIT INDEX**

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
2.1(1)	Stock Purchase Agreement, dated March 7, 2003, by and among Pharmion France and the shareholders of Gophar S.A.S.
3.1(1)	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation.
3.2(1)	Amended and Restated Bylaws.
4.1(1)	Specimen Stock Certificate.
4.2(1)	Amended and Restated Investors Rights Agreement, dated as of November 30, 2001, by and among the Registrant, the founders and the holders of the Registrant's Preferred Stock.
4.3(1)	Series C Omnibus Amendment Agreement, dated as of October 11, 2002 to Amended and Restated Investors Rights Agreement, dated as of November 30, 2001, by and among the Registrant, the founders and the holders of the Registrant's Preferred Stock.
4.4(1)	Amendment, dated as of April 8, 2003 to Amended and Restated Investors Rights Agreement, dated as of November 30, 2001, by and among the Registrant, the founders and the holders of the Registrant's Preferred Stock.
4.5(1)	Series B Preferred Stock Purchase Warrant, dated November 30, 2001, issued by the Registrant to Celgene Corporation.
4.6(1)	Senior Convertible Promissory Note, dated April 8, 2003, issued by the Registrant to Celgene Corporation.
4.7(1)	Common Stock Purchase Warrant, dated April 8, 2003, issued by the Registrant to Celgene Corporation.
4.8(1)	Convertible Subordinated Promissory Note, dated April 11, 2003, issued by the Registrant to Penn Pharmaceuticals Holdings Limited.
4.9(1)	Common Stock Purchase Warrant, dated April 11, 2003, issued by the Registrant to Penn Pharmaceuticals Holdings Limited.
10.1(1)*	Amended and Restated 2001 Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan.
10.2(1)*	Amended and Restated 2000 Stock Incentive Plan.
10.3(1)	Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of April 8, 2003, by and between the Registrant and Celgene Corporation.
10.4(1)	Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of April 11, 2003, by and between the Registrant and Penn Pharmaceuticals Holdings Limited.
10.5(1)	Amended and Restated Distribution and License Agreement, dated as of November 16, 2001, by and between Pharmion GmbH and Penn T Limited.
10.6(1)	Amendment No. 1, dated March 4, 2003, to Amended and Restated Distribution and License Agreement, dated as of November 16, 2001, by and between Pharmion GmbH and Penn T Limited.
10.7(1)	Supplementary Agreement, dated June 18, 2003, to Amended and Restated Distribution and License Agreement, dated as of November 16, 2001, by and between Pharmion GmbH and Penn T Limited.
10.8(1)	License Agreement, dated as of November 16, 2001, by and among the Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and Celgene Corporation.
10.9(1)	Amendment No. 1, dated March 3, 2003, to License Agreement, dated as of November 16, 2001, by and among the Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and Celgene Corporation.
10.10(1)	Letter Agreement, dated April 2, 2003, by and among the Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and Celgene Corporation regarding clinical funding.
10.11(1)	

Edgar Filing: PHARMION CORP - Form 10-K

- Amendment No. 2, dated April 8, 2003, to License Agreement, dated as of November 16, 2001, by and among the Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and Celgene Corporation.
- 10.12(1) License and Distribution Agreement, dated as of June 21, 2002, by and between the Registrant and LEO Pharmaceutical Products Ltd. A/S.
- 10.13(1) License Agreement, dated as of June 7, 2001, by and between the Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and Pharmacia & Upjohn Company.
- 10.8(1) License Agreement, dated as of November 16, 2001, by and among the Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and Celgene Corporation.
-

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
10.9(1)	Amendment No. 1, dated March 3, 2003, to License Agreement, dated as of November 16, 2001, by and among the Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and Celgene Corporation.
10.10(1)	Letter Agreement, dated April 2, 2003, by and among the Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and Celgene Corporation regarding clinical funding.
10.11(1)	Amendment No. 2, dated April 8, 2003, to License Agreement, dated as of November 16, 2001, by and among the Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and Celgene Corporation.
10.12(1)	License and Distribution Agreement, dated as of June 21, 2002, by and between the Registrant and LEO Pharmaceutical Products Ltd. A/S.
10.13(1)	License Agreement, dated as of June 7, 2001, by and between the Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and Pharmacia & Upjohn Company.
10.14(1)	Interim Sales Representation Agreement, dated as of May 29, 2002, by and between Pharmion GmbH and Schering Aktiengesellschaft.
10.15(1)	Distribution and Development Agreement, dated as of May 29, 2002, by and between Pharmion GmbH and Schering Aktiengesellschaft.
10.16(1)	First Amendment Agreement dated August 20, 2003 by and between Pharmion GmbH and Schering Aktiengesellschaft.
10.17(3)*	Employment Agreement, dated as of February 23, 2004, by and between the Registrant and Patrick J. Mahaffy.
10.18(3)*	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2004, by and between the Registrant and Judith A. Hemberger.
10.19(1)*	Non-Competition and Severance Agreement, dated as of November 29, 2001, by and between the Registrant and Michael Cosgrave.
10.20(1)*	Employment Agreement, dated as of January 5, 2001, by and between the Registrant and Michael Cosgrave.
10.21(3)*	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2004, by and between the Registrant and Erle Mast.
10.22(3)*	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2004, by and between the Registrant and Gillian C. Ivers-Read.
10.23(1)	Office Lease, dated as of April 24, 2002, by and between the Registrant and Centro III, LLC.
10.24(1)	First Amendment to Lease, dated as of January 31, 2003, to Office Lease, dated as of April 24, 2002, by and between the Registrant and Centro III, LLC.
10.25(2)*	Addendum to Employment Agreement, dated June 15, 2004, by and between the Registrant and Michael Cosgrave.
10.26(4)	Amendment No. 2, dated as of December 3, 2004, to Amended and Restated Distribution and License Agreement, dated November 16, 2001, by and between Pharmion GmbH and Celgene U.K. Manufacturing II Limited (formerly Penn T Limited).
10.27(4)	Letter Agreement, dated as of December 3, 2004, by and between the Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and Celgene Corporation amending the Letter Agreement regarding clinical funding, dated April 2, 2003, between Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and Celgene.
10.28(4)	Letter Agreement, dated as of December 3, 2004, by and between the Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and Celgene Corporation amending the License Agreement, dated November 16, 2001, among Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and Celgene.
10.29(4)	Lease, dated as of December 21, 2004, by and between Pharmion Limited and Alecta Pensionsförsäkring Ömsesidigit.

Edgar Filing: PHARMION CORP - Form 10-K

- 10.31(5) .Supply Agreement, dated as of March 31, 2005, by and between the Registrant and Ash Stevens, Inc.
 - 10.32(6) Manufacturing and Service Contract, dated as of December 20, 2005, by and between the Registrant and Ben Venue Laboratories, Inc.
 - 10.33(6) Co-Development and License Agreement, dated as of December 19, 2005, by and between the Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and GPC Biotech AG.
 - 10.34(6) Supply Agreement, dated as of December 19, 2005, by and between the Registrant, Pharmion GmbH and GPC Biotech AG.
-

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
10.35	Pharmion Corporation 2000 Stock Incentive Plan (Amended and Restated effective as of February 9, 2006)
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
24.1	Power of Attorney (reference is made to page 58)
31.1	Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, Section 302 Certification for President and Chief Executive Officer.
31.2	Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, Section 302 Certification for Chief Financial Officer.
32.1	Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, Section 906 Certification for President and Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer.

- (1) Incorporated by reference to our Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-108122) and amendments thereto, declared effective November 5, 2003.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to our Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-116252) and amendments thereto, declared effective June 30, 2004.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2005.
- (6) Certain confidential information contained in this document, marked by brackets, has been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Rule 24B-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
 - * Management Contract or Compensatory Plan or Arrangement