

GROUP 1 AUTOMOTIVE INC
Form 10-K
February 17, 2016

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 1-13461

Group 1 Automotive, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

76-0506313

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

800 Gessner, Suite 500

Houston, Texas 77024

(Address of principal executive
offices, including zip code)

(713) 647-5700

(Registrant's telephone
number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Name of exchange on which registered

Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Edgar Filing: GROUP 1 AUTOMOTIVE INC - Form 10-K

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). No Yes

The aggregate market value of common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$2.1 billion based on the reported last sale price of common stock on June 30, 2015, which was the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second quarter.

As of February 12, 2016, there were 23,420,863 shares of our common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement for its 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days of December 31, 2015, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>CAUTIONARY STATEMENT ABOUT FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS</u>		<u>1</u>
<u>PART I</u>		<u>2</u>
Item 1.	<u>Business</u>	<u>2</u>
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>20</u>
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>31</u>
Item 2.	<u>Properties</u>	<u>32</u>
Item 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>33</u>
Item 4.	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>PART II</u>		<u>34</u>
Item 5.	<u>Market for Registrant Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>34</u>
Item 6.	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>37</u>
Item 7.	<u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>39</u>
Item 7A.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>76</u>
Item 8.	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>77</u>
Item 9.	<u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>77</u>
Item 9A.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>77</u>
Item 9B.	<u>Other Information</u>	<u>78</u>
<u>PART III</u>		<u>80</u>
Item 10.	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>80</u>
Item 11.	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	<u>81</u>
Item 12.	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>81</u>
Item 13.	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	<u>81</u>
Item 14.	<u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	<u>81</u>
<u>PART IV</u>		<u>82</u>
Item 15.	<u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	<u>82</u>
<u>SIGNATURES</u>		<u>83</u>

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT ABOUT FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K (“Form 10-K”) includes certain “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (“Securities Act”) and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (“Exchange Act”). This information includes statements regarding our plans, goals or current expectations with respect to, among other things:

- our future operating performance;
- our ability to maintain or improve our margins;
- operating cash flows and availability of capital;
- the completion of future acquisitions;
- the future revenues of acquired dealerships;
- future stock repurchases, refinancing of debt, and dividends;
- future capital expenditures;
- changes in sales volumes and availability of credit for customer financing in new and used vehicles and sales volumes in the parts and service markets;
- business trends in the retail automotive industry, including the level of manufacturer incentives, new and used vehicle retail sales volume, customer demand, interest rates and changes in industry-wide inventory levels; and
- availability of financing for inventory, working capital, real estate and capital expenditures.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable when and as made, we cannot assure you that these expectations will prove to be correct. When used in this Form 10-K, the words “anticipate,” “believe,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “may” and similar expressions, as they relate to our company and management, are intended to identify forward-looking statements, which are generally not historical in nature. These forward-looking statements are based on our current expectations and beliefs concerning future developments and their potential effect on us. While management believes that these forward-looking statements are reasonable as and when made, there can be no assurance that future developments affecting us will be those that we anticipate. All comments concerning our expectations for future revenues and operating results are based on our forecasts for our existing operations and do not include the potential impact of any future acquisitions. Our forward-looking statements involve significant risks and uncertainties (some of which are beyond our control) and assumptions that could cause actual results to differ materially from our historical experience and our present expectations or projections. Known material factors that could cause our actual results to differ from those in the forward-looking statements are those described in Part I, “Item 1A. Risk Factors.”

Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date hereof. We undertake no responsibility to publicly release the result of any revision of our forward-looking statements after the date they are made.

PART I

Item 1. Business

General

Group 1 Automotive, Inc., a Delaware corporation organized in 1995, is a leading operator in the automotive retail industry. As of December 31, 2015, we owned and operated 199 franchises, representing 32 brands of automobiles, at 152 dealership locations and 35 collision centers worldwide. We own 151 franchises at 116 dealership locations and 28 collision service centers in the United States of America (“U.S.”), 25 franchises at 17 dealership locations and six collision centers in the United Kingdom (“U.K.”) and 23 franchises at 19 dealership locations and one collision center in Brazil. Through our dealerships, we sell new and used cars and light trucks; arrange related vehicle financing; sell service and insurance contracts; provide automotive maintenance and repair services; and sell vehicle parts. Our operations are primarily located in major metropolitan areas in Alabama, California, Florida, Georgia, Kansas, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Mississippi, New Hampshire, New Jersey, Oklahoma, South Carolina, and Texas in the U.S., in 15 towns in the U.K., and in key metropolitan markets in the states of Sao Paulo, Parana and Mato Grosso do Sul in Brazil.

As of December 31, 2015, our U.S. retail network consisted of the following two regions (with the number of dealerships they comprised): (a) the East (39 dealerships in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Mississippi, New Hampshire, New Jersey, and South Carolina) and (b) the West (77 dealerships in California, Kansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Texas). Each U.S. region is managed by a regional vice president who reports directly to our Chief Executive Officer and is responsible for the overall performance of their region. The financial matters of each U.S. region are managed by a regional chief financial officer who reports directly to our Chief Financial Officer. In addition, as of December 31, 2015, we had two international regions, one of which consisted of the 17 dealerships in the U.K. and the other of which consisted of the 19 dealerships in Brazil. Our international regions are also managed locally with direct reporting responsibilities to our corporate management team.

As discussed in more detail in Note 2 of our Consolidated Financial Statements, “Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Estimates,” all of our operating subsidiaries are aligned into one of four operating segments. Our financial information, including our revenues from external customers, a measure of profit or loss, and total assets, is included in our Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes beginning on page F-1.

Business Strategy

Our business strategy is to leverage what we believe to be one of our key strengths — the talent of our people to: (a) sell new and used cars and light trucks; (b) arrange related vehicle financing, service and insurance contracts; (c) provide automotive maintenance and repair services; and (d) sell vehicle parts via an expanding network of franchised dealerships located primarily in growing regions of the U.S., the U.K. and Brazil. We believe that we have developed a distinguished management team with substantial industry expertise. With our management structure and level of executive talent, we plan to continue empowering the operators of our dealerships to make appropriate decisions to grow their respective dealership operations and to control fixed and variable costs. We believe this approach allows us to provide the best possible service to our customers, as well as attract and retain talented employees.

We continue to primarily focus on the performance of our existing dealerships to achieve growth, capture market share, and maximize the investment return to our stockholders. For 2016, we will primarily focus on five key areas as we continue to become a best-in-class automotive retailer. These areas are:

- sustained growth of our higher margin parts and service business;
- capture of additional new and used vehicle retail market share;
- promotion of the customer experience and customer satisfaction;
- improvement of operating efficiencies through further development of our operating model that promotes commonality of processes, systems and training and further leveraging of our cost base; and
- enhancement of our current dealership portfolio by strategic acquisitions and improving or disposing of underperforming dealerships in the U.S., the U.K. and Brazil.

Our focus on growth in our parts and service operations continues to hinge on targeted marketing efforts, strategic selling and operational efficiencies, as well as capital investments designed to support our growth targets. In our new

and used retail vehicle operations, our efforts are centered on the efficient and effective use of technology and advertising to enhance our sales efforts. We intend to make resource investments that are focused on the customer experience and customer satisfaction in all areas of our business. We made significant changes in our operating model during the last five years, which were designed to

2

reduce variable and fixed expenses, appropriately leverage our scale and generate operating efficiencies. As our business grows in 2016 and beyond, we intend to manage our costs carefully and to look for opportunities to improve our operating efficiencies. We continue to look for opportunities to improve our processes and disseminate best practices. We believe that our management structure supports more rapid decision making and facilitates an efficient and effective roll-out of new processes. We continue our efforts to fully leverage our scale, reduce costs, enhance internal controls, and enable further growth and, as such, we are taking steps to standardize key operating processes. We are constantly evaluating opportunities to improve the profitability of our dealerships. In 2015, we completed three dealership acquisitions in the U.S., which had an aggregate of \$340.0 million in expected annualized revenues estimated at the time of acquisition. We believe that substantial opportunities for growth through acquisitions remain in our industry. An absolute acquisition target has not been established for 2016, but we expect to acquire dealerships that provide attractive returns on investment. We believe that as of December 31, 2015, we have sufficient financial resources to support additional acquisitions. We plan to focus our growth in geographically diverse areas with positive economic outlooks over the longer-term. Further, we intend to critically evaluate our return on invested capital in our current dealership portfolio for disposition opportunities. In 2015, we disposed of two dealerships and one franchise in the U.S. and terminated two franchises in Brazil, with aggregate annual revenues of approximately \$115.0 million. For more information on our acquisitions and dispositions, including those occurring in 2015, see “Acquisition and Divestiture Program” below.

Dealership Operations

Our operations are located in geographically diverse markets that extend domestically across 14 states and internationally in the U.K. and Brazil. By segment, our revenues from external customers for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013 were \$8,894.0 million, \$8,175.2 million, and \$7,353.3 million from our U.S. operations, respectively, and \$1,220.2 million, \$987.3 million, and \$810.8 million from our U.K. operations, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013, our revenues from external customers from our Brazil operations were \$518.3 million, \$775.4 million, and \$754.5 million, respectively. Net income by segment for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013 were \$142.4 million, \$97.2 million, and \$105.3 million from our U.S. operations, respectively, and \$15.2 million, \$14.3 million, and \$10.1 million from our U.K. operations, respectively. In our Brazil operations, we realized a net loss of \$63.6 million, \$18.5 million, and \$1.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013, respectively. As of December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013, our aggregate long-lived assets other than goodwill and intangible assets and financial instruments in our U.S. operations were \$925.6 million, \$849.2 million, and \$726.6 million, respectively, and in our U.K. operations were \$107.6 million, \$100.7 million, and \$65.9 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013 our long-lived assets other than goodwill and intangible assets and financial instruments in our Brazil operations were \$16.2 million, \$22.1 million, and \$16.4 million, respectively. For a discussion of the risks associated with our operations in the U.K. and Brazil, please see Part I, "Item 1A. Risk Factors." The following table sets forth the regions and geographic markets in which we operate, the percentage of new vehicle retail units sold in each region in 2015 and the number of dealerships and franchises in each region:

Region	Geographic Market	Percentage of Our New Vehicle Retail Units Sold During the Year Ended December 31, 2015		
		As of December 31, 2015	Number of Dealerships	Number of Franchises
East	Massachusetts	6.0	% 7	7
	Georgia	4.5	7	10
	New Jersey	2.3	5	5
	Florida	2.1	4	4
	New Hampshire	2.0	3	3
	Louisiana	1.6	3	4
	Mississippi	1.5	3	3
	South Carolina	1.4	3	3
	Alabama	0.8	2	2
	Maryland	0.5	2	2
		22.7	39	43
West	Texas	38.7	50	68
	California	9.7	9	14
	Oklahoma	7.6	13	20
	Kansas	2.1	4	4
	Louisiana	0.7	1	2
		58.8	77	108
International	United Kingdom	10.7	17	25
	Brazil	7.8	19	23
Total		100.0	% 152	199

Each of our local operations has a management structure designed to promote and reward entrepreneurial spirit and the achievement of team goals. The general manager of each dealership, with assistance from the managers of new vehicle sales, used vehicle sales, parts, service, and finance and insurance, is ultimately responsible for the operation, personnel and financial performance of the dealership. Our dealerships are operated as distinct profit centers, and our general managers have a reasonable degree of empowerment within our organization. In the U.S., each general

manager reports to one of our market directors or one of two regional vice presidents. Our U.S. regional vice presidents report directly to our Chief Executive Officer and are responsible for the overall performance of their regions, as well as for overseeing the market directors and dealership general managers that report to them. Our U.K. and Brazil operations are structured similarly, with a regional vice president reporting directly to our Chief Executive Officer.

4

New Vehicle Retail Sales

In 2015, we sold or leased 174,614 new vehicles in retail transactions at our dealerships, representing 32 brands. Our retail sales of new vehicles accounted for 19.9% of our gross profit in 2015. In addition to the profit related to the transactions, a typical new vehicle retail sale or lease may create the following additional profit opportunities for our dealerships:

- manufacturer dealer incentives;
- the resale of any used vehicle trade-in purchased by the dealership;
- the sale of third-party finance, vehicle service and insurance contracts in connection with the retail sale;
- the sale of accessories or after-market products; and
- the service and repair of the vehicle both during and after the warranty period.

5

We consider brand diversity to be one of our strengths. The following table sets forth new vehicle sales revenue by brand and the number of new vehicle retail units sold in the year ended, and the number of franchises we owned, as of December 31, 2015:

	New Vehicle Revenues	New Vehicle Unit Sales	% of Total Units Sold	Franchises Owned as of December 31, 2015
	(In thousands)			
Toyota ⁽¹⁾	\$1,069,544	38,260	21.9	16
BMW	816,123	16,062	9.2	24
Ford	673,262	19,680	11.3	16
Mercedes-Benz	418,787	7,021	4.0	8
Honda	392,017	15,964	9.1	12
Nissan	366,186	14,570	8.3	12
Lexus	351,563	7,528	4.3	3
Chevrolet	342,801	8,818	5.1	6
Audi	340,430	8,299	4.8	8
Hyundai	158,942	6,454	3.7	6
Acura	119,252	3,055	1.7	4
Jeep	116,208	3,474	2.0	6
MINI	112,453	4,221	2.4	15
GMC	108,202	2,368	1.4	5
Volkswagen	91,861	3,718	2.1	7
RAM	90,265	2,125	1.2	6
Kia	86,138	3,592	2.1	4
Cadillac	68,398	1,278	0.7	2
Dodge	57,754	1,808	1.0	6
Subaru	47,511	1,747	1.0	2
Land Rover	46,142	623	0.4	2
Buick	30,233	843	0.5	5
Sprinter	19,077	284	0.2	6
Chrysler	18,505	555	0.3	6
Scion ⁽¹⁾	14,334	665	0.4	N/A
Peugeot	11,773	653	0.4	2
Mazda	10,345	414	0.2	1
Lincoln	9,399	203	0.1	3
Porsche	7,257	89	0.1	1
smart	2,525	161	0.1	2
Volvo	2,021	51	0.0	1
Jaguar	1,928	26	0.0	2
Renault ⁽²⁾	70	5	0.0	—
Total	\$6,001,306	174,614	100.0	199

⁽¹⁾ The Scion brand is not considered a separate franchise, but rather is governed by our Toyota franchise agreements.

We sell the Scion brand at our Toyota franchised locations.

⁽²⁾ Renault franchises were disposed of in 2014.

Our diversity by manufacturer, based on new vehicle unit sales for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013, is set forth below:

	For the Year Ended December 31,						
	2015	% of Total	2014	% of Total	2013	% of Total	
Toyota	46,157	26.4	% 44,621	26.7	% 41,419	26.6	%
BMW	20,283	11.6	19,125	11.5	17,277	11.1	
Ford	19,882	11.4	18,161	10.9	18,081	11.6	
Honda	19,019	10.9	18,776	11.3	19,219	12.3	
Nissan	14,570	8.3	15,664	9.4	15,845	10.2	
General Motors	13,307	7.6	10,691	6.4	7,520	4.8	
Volkswagen	12,106	6.9	10,243	6.1	9,802	6.3	
Hyundai	10,046	5.8	9,151	5.5	7,234	4.6	
FCA US (formerly Chrysler)	7,962	4.6	7,268	4.4	6,173	4.0	
Daimler ⁽¹⁾	7,466	4.3	7,442	4.5	7,011	4.5	
Other	3,816	2.2	5,754	3.3	6,285	4.0	
Total	174,614	100.0	% 166,896	100.0	% 155,866	100.0	%

(1) Daimler includes Mercedes-Benz, smart and Sprinter brands.

Our new vehicle unit sales mix was affected by our acquisitions and dispositions during 2015, 2014 and 2013. Some new vehicles we sell are purchased by customers under lease or lease-type financing arrangements with third-party lenders. New vehicle leases generally have shorter terms, bringing the customer back to the vehicle market, and our dealerships specifically, sooner than if the vehicle purchase was debt financed. In addition, leasing provides our dealerships with a steady supply of late-model, off-lease vehicles to be sold as used vehicles. Generally, leased vehicles remain under factory warranty, allowing the dealerships to provide repair services for the contract term. However, the penetration of finance and insurance product sales on leases tends to be less than in other financing arrangements (such as debt financed vehicles). We typically do not guarantee residual values on lease transactions. Lease vehicle unit sales represented 16.5%, 15.1% and 16.8% of our total new vehicle retail unit sales for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Used Vehicle Sales, Retail and Wholesale

We sell used vehicles at each of our franchised dealerships. In 2015, we sold or leased 124,153 used vehicles at our dealerships, and sold 57,226 used vehicles in wholesale markets. Our retail sales of used vehicles accounted for 11.7% of our gross profit in 2015. Used vehicles sold at retail typically generate higher gross margins on a percentage basis than new vehicles primarily because of their relatively limited comparability, which is dependent on a vehicle's age, mileage and condition, among other things.

Valuations of used vehicles vary based on supply and demand factors, the level of new vehicle incentives, and the availability of retail financing and general economic conditions. Profit from the sale of used vehicles depends primarily on a dealership's ability to obtain a high-quality supply of used vehicles at reasonable prices and to effectively manage that inventory. Our new vehicle operations provide our used vehicle operations with a large supply of generally high-quality trade-ins and off-lease vehicles, and are the best source of high-quality used vehicles. Our dealerships supplement their used vehicle inventory with purchases at auctions, including manufacturer-sponsored auctions available only to franchised dealers. We continue to extensively utilize a common used vehicle management software tool in all of our U.S. dealerships with the goal to enhance the management of used vehicle inventory, focusing on the more profitable retail used vehicle business and reducing our wholesale used vehicle business. This internet-based software tool is an integral part of our used vehicle process, enabling our managers to make used vehicle inventory decisions based on real time market valuation data. It also allows us to leverage our size and local market presence by expanding the pool from which used vehicles can be sold within a given market or region within the U.S., effectively broadening the demand for our used vehicle inventory. In addition, this software supports increased oversight of our assets in inventory, allowing us to better control our exposure to used vehicles, the values of which typically decline over time.

In addition to active management of the quality and age of our used vehicle inventory, we are focused on increasing the total lifecycle profitability of our used vehicle operations by participating in manufacturer certification programs where available. Manufacturer certified pre-owned (“CPO”) vehicles offer customers in the U.S. and U.K. the opportunity to purchase a used vehicle that has passed a rigorous array of manufacturer-defined tests, and as a result, come with a manufacturer’s extended service warranty and potentially other benefits. With the extended service warranty, the sale of CPO vehicles tends to

7

generate better service loyalty for the selling dealership. CPO vehicles typically cost more to recondition, but sell at a premium compared to other used vehicles and are available only from franchised new vehicle dealerships. In some cases, CPO vehicles are eligible for manufacturer support, such as subsidized finance rates and extension of the manufacturer warranty. Our CPO vehicle sales in the U.S. and U.K. represented 26.4% of total U.S and U.K. used retail sales in 2015. CPO vehicles are not yet available in Brazil.

Parts and Service Sales

We sell replacement parts and provide maintenance and repair services at each of our franchised dealerships and provide collision restoration services at the 35 collision centers that we operate. Our parts and service business accounted for 41.9% of our gross profit in 2015. We perform both warranty and non-warranty service work at our dealerships, primarily for the vehicle brand(s) sold at a particular location. Warranty, customer pay, collision and wholesale accounted for 20.4%, 44.5%, 14.0% and 21.1%, respectively, of the revenues from our parts and service business in 2015. Our parts and service departments also perform used vehicle reconditioning and new vehicle enhancement services for which they realize a profit. However, the revenue for that internal work is eliminated from our parts and service revenue in the consolidation of our financial statements.

The automotive repair industry is highly fragmented, with a significant number of independent maintenance and repair facilities in addition to those of the franchised dealerships. We believe, however, that the increasing complexity of new vehicles, especially in the area of electronics, has made it difficult for many independent repair shops to retain the expertise necessary to perform major or technical repairs. We have made investments in obtaining, training, and retaining qualified technicians to work in our service and repair facilities and in state of the art diagnostic and repair equipment to be utilized by these technicians. Additionally, manufacturers only permit warranty and recall work to be performed at franchised dealerships. Currently, a trend exists in the automobile industry towards longer new vehicle warranty periods and more diligence with manufacturer recalls. As a result, we believe that over time an increasing percentage of all repair work will be performed at franchised dealerships that have the sophisticated equipment and skilled personnel necessary to perform repairs and warranty work on today's complex vehicles.

Our strategy to capture an increasing share of the parts and service work performed by franchised dealerships and enhance profitability includes the following elements:

Focus on Customer Relationships; Emphasize Preventative Maintenance. Our dealerships seek to retain purchasers of new and used vehicle as customers of our parts and service departments. To accomplish this goal, we use computer systems that track the vehicle owners' maintenance records and provide advance notice to them when their vehicles are due for periodic service. Our use of computer-based customer relationship management tools increases the reach and effectiveness of our marketing efforts, allowing us to target our promotional offerings to areas in which service capacity is under-utilized or profit margins are greatest. We continue to train our service personnel to establish relationships with their service clients to promote a long-term business relationship. And, we are focused on enhancing access to our service facilities by providing patrons with readily-accessible means to schedule service appointments. We believe our parts and service activities are an integral part of the customer service experience, allowing us to maintain ongoing relationships with our dealerships' clients thereby deepening customer loyalty to the dealership as a whole.

Sell Vehicle Service Contracts in Conjunction with Vehicle Sales. Our finance and insurance sales departments attempt to connect new and used vehicle customers with vehicle service contracts, and thereby secure repeat customer business for our parts and service departments.

Efficient Management of Parts Inventory. Our dealerships' parts departments support their sales and service departments, selling factory-approved parts for the vehicle makes and models sold by a particular dealership. Parts are either used in repairs made in the service department, sold at retail to customers, or sold at wholesale to independent repair shops and other franchised dealerships. Our dealerships also frequently share parts with each other. Our dealerships employ parts managers who oversee parts inventories and sales. Software programs are used to monitor parts inventory, maximize sales, avoid obsolete and unused parts, and take advantage of manufacturer return procedures.

- **Expansion of Collision Center Operations.** We plan to continue to grow our collision center operations. Expansion in this segment of the business is not restricted by franchise agreements or manufacturer

relationships. We believe that our concentration of dealership operations in certain of the markets in which we operate significantly enhances the profit model.

Finance and Insurance Sales

Revenues from our finance and insurance operations consist primarily of fees for arranging financing, and vehicle service and insurance contracts in connection with the retail purchase of a new or used vehicle. Our finance and insurance business

8

accounted for 26.6% of our gross profit in 2015. We offer a wide variety of third-party finance, vehicle service and insurance products in a convenient manner and at competitive prices. To increase transparency to our customers, we offer all of our products on menus that display pricing and other information, allowing customers to choose the products that suit their needs.

Financing. We arrange third-party purchase and lease financing for our customers. In return, we receive a fee from the third-party finance company upon completion of the financing. These third-party finance companies include manufacturers' captive finance subsidiaries, selected commercial banks and a variety of other third-parties, including credit unions and regional auto finance companies. Generally, we do not retain substantial credit risk after a customer has received financing, though we do retain limited credit risk in some circumstances. The fees we receive from the third-party finance companies are subject to chargeback, or repayment, to the finance company, if a customer defaults or prepays the retail installment contract, typically during some limited time period at the beginning of the contract term. We have negotiated incentive programs with some finance companies pursuant to which we receive additional fees upon reaching a certain volume of business.

Extended Warranty, Vehicle Service and Insurance Products. We offer our customers a variety of vehicle warranty and extended protection products in connection with purchases of new and used vehicles, including:

• extended warranties;

• maintenance, or vehicle service, products and programs;

• guaranteed asset protection insurance, which covers the shortfall between a customer's contract balance and insurance payoff in the event of a total vehicle loss; and

• lease "wear and tear" insurance.

The products our dealerships offer are generally underwritten and administered by independent third parties, including the vehicle manufacturers' captive finance subsidiaries. Under our arrangements with the providers of these products, we either sell these products on a straight commission basis, or we sell the product, recognize commission and participate in future underwriting profit, if any, pursuant to a retrospective commission arrangement. These commissions may be subject to chargeback, in full or in part, if the contract is terminated prior to its scheduled maturity.

New and Used Vehicle Inventory Financing

Our dealerships finance their inventory purchases through the floorplan portion of our revolving credit facility and three separate floorplan credit facility arrangements with manufacturers that we represent, BMW, Volkswagen, and Ford, in addition to credit facilities we have with financial institutions in Brazil. Our revolving syndicated credit facility matures in June 2018 and provides a total borrowing capacity of \$1.7 billion, (the "Revolving Credit Facility"). We utilize our Floorplan Line (as defined below) to finance up to 80% of the value of our used vehicle inventory in the U.S., and up to 100% of the value of all new vehicle inventory in the U.S., other than new vehicles purchased from Ford. We can expand the Revolving Credit Facility to its maximum commitment of \$1.95 billion, subject to participating lender approval. The Revolving Credit Facility consists of two tranches: a maximum of \$1.6 billion for vehicle inventory financing ("Floorplan Line"), as well as a maximum of \$320.0 million and a minimum of \$100.0 million for working capital and general corporate purposes, including acquisitions ("Acquisition Line"). The capacity under these two tranches can be re-designated within the overall \$1.7 billion commitment, subject to the aforementioned limits. However, the amount of available borrowing capacity under the Acquisition Line may be limited from time to time based upon the available borrowing base calculation within the debt covenants under the Revolving Credit Facility.

We have a floorplan arrangement with Ford Motor Credit Company ("FMCC") that provides \$300.0 million of floorplan financing capacity ("FMCC Facility"). We use the funds available under this arrangement to exclusively finance our U.S. inventories of new Ford vehicles sold by the lender's manufacturer affiliate. The FMCC Facility is an evergreen arrangement that may be canceled with 30 days notice by either party. Should the FMCC Facility no longer be available to us for financing of our new U.S. Ford inventory, we could utilize the available capacity under our Floorplan Line to finance our new Ford vehicle inventory.

We also finance certain rental vehicles in the U.S. through separate arrangements with the respective automobile manufacturers. In addition, we utilize credit facilities with BMW Financial Services, Volkswagen Finance, and FMCC

for the financing of new, used, and rental vehicle inventories associated with our U.K. operations. In addition, we have credit facilities with financial institutions in Brazil, most of which are affiliated with the manufacturers, for the financing of new, used and rental vehicle inventories related to our Brazil operations. These facilities may be canceled with notice by either party and bear interest at a benchmark rate, plus a surcharge that varies based upon the type of vehicle being financed.

Acquisition and Divestiture Program

We pursue an acquisition and divestiture program focused on the following objectives:

- enhancing brand and geographic diversity with a primary focus on import and luxury brands;
- creating economies of scale;
- delivering an attractive return on investment; and
- eliminating underperforming dealerships.

Since our inception, we have grown our business primarily through acquisitions. Over the five-year period from January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2015, we:

- purchased 87 franchises with expected annual revenues, estimated at the time of acquisition, of \$3.7 billion;
- disposed of or terminated 32 franchises with annual revenues of approximately \$1.0 billion; and
- were granted five new franchises by vehicle manufacturers with expected annual revenues, estimated at the time of grant, of \$118.0 million.

Acquisition Strategy. We seek to acquire large, profitable, well-established dealerships and franchises that are leaders in their markets to:

- expand into geographic areas we currently do not serve;
- expand our brand, product, and service offerings in our existing markets;
- capitalize on economies of scale in our existing markets; and/or
- increase operating efficiency and cost savings in areas such as used vehicle sourcing, advertising, purchasing, data processing, personnel utilization, and the cost of floorplan financing.

We typically pursue dealerships with superior operational management, whom we seek to retain. By retaining existing personnel who have experience and in-depth knowledge of their local market, we believe that we can mitigate the risks involved with employing and training new and untested personnel. In addition, our acquisition strategy targets the purchase of the related real estate to provide maximum operating flexibility.

We focus on the acquisition of dealerships or groups of dealerships that we believe offer opportunities for higher returns, and particularly on brands which provide growth opportunities for our parts and service operations and strengthen our operations in geographic regions in which we currently operate with attractive long-term economic prospects.

Recent Acquisitions. In 2015, we acquired three dealerships, representing five franchises, in the U.S. with expected annualized revenues, estimated at the time of acquisition, of \$340.0 million.

Divestiture Strategy. We continually review the investments in our dealership portfolio for disposition opportunities, based upon a number of criteria, including:

- the rate of return on our capital investment over a period of time;
- location of the dealership in relation to existing markets and our ability to leverage our cost structure;
- potential future capital investment requirements;
- the brand; and
- existing real estate obligations, coupled with our ability to exit those obligations or identify an alternate use.

While it is our desire to only acquire profitable, well-established dealerships, at times we have been requested, in connection with the acquisition of a particular dealership group, to acquire dealerships that do not fit our acquisition strategy. We acquire such dealerships with the understanding that we may need to divest some or all of them at some future time. The costs associated with such potential divestitures are included in our analysis of whether we acquire all dealerships in the same acquisition. Additionally, we may acquire a dealership whose profitability is marginal, but which we believe can be increased through various factors, such as: (a) change in management, (b) increase or improvement in facility operations, (c) relocation of facility based on demographic changes, (d) reduction in costs, and/or (e) sales training. If, after a period of time, a dealership's profitability does not positively respond, we will seek to sell the dealership to a third party, or, in a rare case, surrender the franchise back to the manufacturer. In conjunction with the disposition of certain of our dealerships, we may also dispose of the associated real estate. Management constantly monitors the performance of all of our dealerships, and routinely assesses the need for divestiture. In connection with divestitures, we are sometimes required to incur additional charges associated with

lease terminations or the impairment of long-lived assets. We continue to rationalize our dealership portfolio and focus on increasing the overall profitability of our operations.

Recent Dispositions. During 2015, we disposed of two dealerships and one franchise in the U.S. and terminated two franchises in Brazil with annualized revenues of approximately \$115.0 million.

Competition

We operate in a highly competitive industry. In each of our markets, consumers have a number of choices in deciding where to purchase a new or used vehicle and how the purchase will be financed. Consumers also have options for the purchase of related parts and accessories, as well as the service and repair of vehicles.

In the U.S., according to The National Automobile Dealers Association, there were approximately 16,396 franchised automobile dealerships as of January 1, 2015, which was down from 17,665 as of January 1, 2014.

In the U.K., according to the National Franchised Dealers Association, there were approximately 4,127 franchised dealerships as of January 1, 2015, which was down from 4,377 as of January 1, 2014.

In Brazil, according to The National Association of Automobile Manufacturers, there were approximately 4,364 franchised automobile dealerships as of January 1, 2014, which was up from 4,249 as of January 1, 2013.

Our competitive success depends, in part, on national and regional automobile-buying trends, local and regional economic factors, and other regional competitive pressures. Conditions and competitive pressures affecting the markets in which we operate, or in any new markets we enter, could adversely affect us, although the retail automobile industry as a whole might not be affected. Some of our competitors may have greater financial, marketing and personnel resources, and lower overhead and sales costs than we do. We cannot guarantee that our operating performance and our acquisition or disposition strategies will be more effective than the strategies of our competitors.

New and Used Vehicles. We believe the principal competitive factors in the automotive retailing business are location, suitability of the facility, on-site management, the acceptance of a franchise to the market in which it is located, service, price, and selection. In the new vehicle market, our dealerships compete with other franchised dealerships in their market areas, as well as auto brokers, leasing companies, and internet companies that provide referrals to, or broker vehicle sales with, other dealerships or customers. We are subject to competition from dealers that sell the same brands of new vehicles that we sell and from dealers that sell other brands of new vehicles that we do not sell in a particular market. Our new vehicle dealer competitors also have franchise agreements with the various vehicle manufacturers and, as such, generally have access to new vehicles on the same terms as we do. We do not have any cost advantage in purchasing new vehicles from vehicle manufacturers, and our franchise agreements do not grant us the exclusive right to sell a manufacturer's product within a given geographic area.

In the used vehicle market, our dealerships compete both in their local market and nationally, including over the internet, with other franchised dealers, large multi-location used vehicle retailers, local independent used vehicle dealers, automobile rental agencies, and private parties for the supply and resale of used vehicles.

Parts, Service and Collision. We believe the principal competitive factors in the parts and service business are the quality of customer service, the use of factory-approved replacement parts, familiarity with a manufacturer's brands and models, convenience, access to technology required for certain repairs and services (e.g., software patches, diagnostic equipment, etc.), location, price, the competence of technicians, and the availability of training programs to enhance such expertise. In the parts and service market, our dealerships compete with other franchised dealers to perform warranty repairs and sell factory replacement parts. Our dealerships also compete with other automobile dealers, franchised and independent service center chains, and independent repair shops for non-warranty repair and maintenance business. In addition, our dealerships sell replacement and aftermarket parts both locally and nationally over the internet in competition with franchised and independent retail and wholesale parts outlets. A number of regional or national chains offer selected parts and services at prices that may be lower than ours. Our collision centers compete with other large, multi-location companies, as well as local, independent, collision service operations.

Finance and Insurance. We face competition in arranging financing for our customers' vehicle purchases from a broad range of financial institutions. We believe the principal competitive factors in the finance and insurance business are convenience, interest rates, product availability, product knowledge and flexibility in contract length. Many financial institutions now offer finance and insurance products over the internet, which may reduce our profits from the sale of these products. We may be charged back for unearned financing, insurance contracts or vehicle service contract fees

in the event of early termination of the contracts by customers.

Acquisitions. We compete with other national dealer groups and individual investors for acquisitions. Increased competition, especially for certain luxury and import brands, may raise the cost of acquisitions. We cannot guarantee that there

11

will be sufficient opportunities to complete desired acquisitions, nor are we able to guarantee that we will be able to complete acquisitions on terms acceptable to us.

Financing Arrangements and Indebtedness

As of December 31, 2015, our outstanding indebtedness, coupled with lease and other obligations totaled \$3,637.2 million, including the following:

- \$1,150.8 million under the Floorplan Line of our Revolving Credit Facility;
- \$318.2 million of future commitments under various operating leases;
- \$541.3 million in carrying value of 5.00% senior notes due 2022 (“5.00% Notes”);
- \$296.3 million in carrying value of 5.25% senior notes due 2023 (“5.25% Notes”);
- \$241.8 million of term loans, entered into independently with three of our manufacturer-affiliated finance partners, Toyota Motor Credit Corporation (“TMCC”), BMW Financial Services NA, LLC (“BMWFS”), and FMCC, as well as other third-party financial institutions, primarily to finance the purchase of real estate;
- \$171.3 million under our FMCC Facility;
- \$196.4 million under floorplan notes payable to various manufacturer affiliates and third-party financial institutions for foreign and rental vehicles;
- \$54.7 million under our real estate credit facility (“Real Estate Credit Facility”);
- \$51.9 million of capital lease obligations related to real estate, as well as \$35.6 million of estimated interest;
- \$72.6 million of various other debt and other capital lease obligations;
 - \$45.8 million of estimated future net obligations from interest rate risk management activities; as of December 31, 2015, the estimated fair value of such obligations was \$31.2 million;
- \$374.4 million of estimated interest payments on floorplan notes payable and other long-term debt obligations;
- \$41.9 million of letters of credit, to collateralize certain obligations, issued under the Acquisition Line; and
- \$44.2 million of other short and long-term purchase commitments.

As of December 31, 2015, we had the following amounts available for additional borrowings under our various credit facilities:

- \$229.2 million under the Floorplan Line of our Revolving Credit Facility, including \$110.8 million of immediately available funds;
- \$278.2 million under the Acquisition Line of our Revolving Credit Facility, which is limited based upon a borrowing base calculation within certain debt covenants under the Revolving Credit Facility; and
- \$128.7 million under our FMCC Facility, including \$25.5 million of immediately available funds.

In addition, the indentures relating to our other debt instruments allow us to incur additional indebtedness and enter into additional operating leases, subject to certain conditions.

For additional information regarding our financing arrangements and indebtedness, please read Part II, “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources.”

Stock Repurchase Program

From time to time, our Board of Directors gives authorization to repurchase shares of our common stock, subject to the restrictions of various debt agreements and our judgment. We are limited under the terms of the Revolving Credit Facility, Real Estate Credit Facility, 5.00% Senior Notes and 5.25% Senior Notes in our ability to, among other things, repurchase shares of our outstanding common stock and make payments of cash dividends to our stockholders. As of December 31, 2015, the most stringent of the restricted payment baskets was under our Revolving Credit Facility and Real Estate Credit Facility (the “Restricted Payment Basket”), limiting us to \$144.7 million in restricted payments. The Restricted Payment Basket will increase in the future periods by 50.0% of our future cumulative net income, adjusted to exclude the Company’s foreign operations, non-cash interest expense, non-cash asset impairment charges, and non-cash stock-based compensation, plus the net proceeds received from the sale of our capital stock, and decrease by the amount of future payments for cash dividends and share repurchases.

As of December 31, 2014, \$99.4 million of share repurchases remained available, under the then-current authorization. In November 2015, a new authorization of \$100.0 million was approved by the Board of Directors, replacing the prior authorization that had \$23.8 million remaining. During 2015, we repurchased 1,176,908 shares at an average price of \$82.82 per share, for a total of \$97.5 million, leaving \$78.2 million available for future repurchases under the Board of Director's November 2015 authorization. In February 2016, the Board of Directors approved a new authorization of \$150.0 million, replacing the authorization remaining at that time. Future repurchases are subject to the discretion of our Board of Directors after considering our results of operations, financial condition, cash flows, capital requirements, existing debt covenants, outlook for our business, general business conditions and other factors.

Dividends

During 2015, our Board of Directors approved four quarterly cash dividends. The first two dividends were approved and paid at \$0.20 per share, the third was increased to \$0.21 per share and the fourth one was increased to \$0.22 per share for a total of \$0.83 per share, or \$19.9 million, for the year ending December 31, 2015. The payment of dividends in the future is subject to the discretion of our Board of Directors, after considering our results of operations, financial condition, cash flows, capital requirements, outlook for our business, general business conditions, the political and legislative environments, and other factors. As noted above, we are also limited in our ability to make cash dividend payments to our stockholders under the terms of several of our debt financing arrangements, the most restrictive of which is the Restricted Payment Basket.

Relationships and Agreements with our Manufacturers

Each of our U.S. dealerships operates under one or more franchise agreements with vehicle manufacturers (or authorized distributors). The franchise agreements grant the franchised automobile dealership a non-exclusive right to sell the manufacturer's or distributor's brand of vehicles and offer related parts and service within a specified market area. These franchise agreements grant our dealerships the right to use the manufacturer's or distributor's trademarks in connection with their operations, and impose numerous operational requirements and restrictions relating to, among other things:

- inventory levels;
- working capital levels;
- the sales process;
- minimum sales performance requirements;
- customer satisfaction standards;
- marketing and branding;
- facility standards and signage;
- personnel;
- changes in management;
- change in control; and
- monthly financial reporting.

Our dealerships' franchise agreements are for various terms, ranging from one year to indefinite. Each of our franchise agreements may be terminated or not renewed by the manufacturer for a variety of reasons, including unapproved changes of ownership or management and performance deficiencies in such areas as sales volume, sales effectiveness, and customer satisfaction. In most cases, manufacturers have renewed the franchises upon expiration so long as the dealership is in compliance with the terms of the agreement. From time to time, certain manufacturers may assert sales and customer satisfaction performance deficiencies under the terms of our framework and franchise agreements. We work with these manufacturers to address any performance issues.

In general, the U.S. jurisdictions in which we operate have automotive dealership franchise laws that provide that, notwithstanding the terms of any franchise agreement, it is unlawful for a manufacturer to terminate or not renew a franchise unless "good cause" exists. It generally is difficult for a manufacturer to terminate, or not renew, a franchise under these laws, which were designed to protect dealers. Though unsuccessful to date, manufacturers' lobbying efforts may lead to the repeal or revision of dealer laws. If dealer laws are repealed in the U.S. states in which we operate, manufacturers may be able to terminate our franchises without providing advance notice, an opportunity to cure or

showing of good cause. Without the protection of dealer laws, it may also be more difficult for our dealers to renew their franchise agreements upon expiration. Further, U.S. federal law, including any federal bankruptcy law, may preempt U.S. state law and allow manufacturers greater freedom to terminate or not renew franchises.

13

The U.K. generally does not have automotive dealership franchise laws and, as a result, our U.K. dealerships operate without these types of specific protections. However, similar protections may be available as a matter of general U.K. contractual law. In addition, our U.K. dealerships are subject to European Union (“EU”) and U.K. antitrust rules prohibiting certain restrictions on the sale of new vehicles and spare parts and on the provision of repairs and maintenance across the EU. For example, authorized dealers are generally able to, subject to manufacturer facility requirements, relocate or add additional facilities throughout the EU, offer multiple brands in the same facility, allow the operation of service facilities independent of new car sales facilities and ease restrictions on cross supplies (including on transfers of dealerships) between existing authorized dealers within the EU. However, certain restrictions on dealerships may be permissible provided the conditions set out in the relevant EU Block Exemption Regulations are met.

The sale of vehicles in Brazil is regulated by federal law, commonly referred to in Brazil as the Ferrari Law. Such law sets forth the terms and conditions of distribution agreements executed among manufacturers and dealerships, specifically with regard to the distribution of cars, trucks, buses, tractors, motorbikes and similar vehicles. In addition, the Ferrari Law establishes the geographical area of a dealership, termination of distribution agreements and their consequences, among other things. Any contractual provision that conflicts with the Ferrari Law is considered void in Brazil. The distribution agreements contemplate the commercialization of vehicles and components fabricated by the manufacturer, the rendering of technical assistance relating to such products and the usage by the dealerships of the manufacturers’ brand. According to the Ferrari Law, distribution agreements may be executed for either a determined or an undetermined term. In the case of a distribution agreement executed for a determined term, its initial term may not be less than 5 years. At the end of this initial 5 years term, such distribution agreement will be automatically converted into an undetermined term distribution agreement, unless any of the parties thereto expressly waives such right with a 180 days prior notice. In the case of an early termination of a distribution agreement other than as a result of a persistent breach or force majeure, the Ferrari law entitles the non-breaching party to, among other things, certain termination payments.

The U.S. economic recession, that began in 2008, caused domestic manufacturers to critically evaluate their respective dealer networks and terminate certain brands, and, as a result, the respective franchises. For example, General Motors chose to discontinue the Pontiac brand and, as a result, both of our Pontiac franchises were terminated. In addition, Ford chose to discontinue the Mercury brand and, as a result, all four of our Mercury franchises were terminated. Subject to similar future economic factors and material changes to the regulations discussed above, we generally expect our franchise agreements to survive for the foreseeable future and, when the agreements do not have indefinite terms, anticipate routine renewals of the agreements without substantial cost or modification.

Our dealership service departments perform vehicle repairs and service for customers under manufacturer warranties. We are reimbursed for the repairs and service directly from the manufacturer. Some manufacturers offer rebates to new vehicle customers that we are required, under specific program rules, to adequately document, support, and typically are responsible for collecting. In addition, some manufacturers provide us with incentives to order and/or sell certain models and/or volumes of inventory over designated periods of time. Under the terms of our dealership franchise agreements, the respective manufacturers are able to perform warranty, incentive, and rebate audits and charge us back for unsupported or non-qualifying warranty repairs, rebates or incentives.

In addition to the individual dealership franchise agreements discussed above, we have entered into framework agreements in the U.S. with most major vehicle manufacturers and distributors. These agreements impose a number of restrictions on our operations, including our ability to make acquisitions and obtain financing, and on our management. These agreements also impose change of control provisions related to the ownership of our common stock. For a discussion of these restrictions and the risks related to our relationships with vehicle manufacturers, please read “Item 1A. Risk Factors.”

The following table sets forth the percentage of our new vehicle retail unit sales attributable to our top five manufacturers in terms of percent of new vehicle retail units sold:

Manufacturer	Percentage of New Vehicle Retail Units Sold during the Year Ended December 31, 2015
Toyota	26.5%
BMW	11.6%
Ford	11.4%
Honda	10.9%
Nissan	8.4%

15

Governmental Regulations

Automotive and Other Laws and Regulations

We operate in a highly regulated industry. A number of U.S. state and federal laws and regulations affect our business and the business of our manufacturers. In every U.S. state in which we operate, we must obtain various licenses in order to operate our businesses, including dealer, sales and finance, and insurance licenses issued by state regulatory authorities. Numerous laws and regulations govern our conduct of business, including those relating to our sales, operations, financing, insurance, advertising and employment practices. These laws and regulations include franchise laws and regulations, consumer protection laws, and other extensive laws and regulations applicable to new and used motor vehicle dealers, as well as a variety of other laws and regulations. These laws also include U.S. federal and U.S. state wage-hour, anti-discrimination and other employment practices laws.

Our financing activities with customers are subject to U.S. federal truth-in-lending, consumer leasing, and equal credit opportunity laws and regulations, as well as state and local motor vehicle finance laws, installment finance laws, usury laws, and other installment sales laws and regulations. Some U.S. states regulate finance fees and charges that may be paid as a result of vehicle sales. Claims arising out of actual or alleged violations of law may be asserted against us, or our dealerships, by individuals or governmental entities and may expose us to significant damages or other penalties, including revocation or suspension of our licenses to conduct dealership operations and fines.

Our U.S. operations are subject to the National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act, Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards promulgated by the United States Department of Transportation and the rules and regulations of various state motor vehicle regulatory agencies. The imported automobiles we purchase in the U.S. are subject to United States customs duties, and in the ordinary course of our business we may, from time to time, be subject to claims for duties, penalties, liquidated damages or other charges.

Our U.S. operations are subject to consumer protection laws known as Lemon Laws. These laws typically require a manufacturer or dealer to replace a new vehicle or accept it for a full refund within one year after initial purchase if the vehicle does not conform to the manufacturer's express warranties and the dealer or manufacturer, after a reasonable number of attempts, is unable to correct or repair the defect. U.S. federal laws require various written disclosures to be provided on new vehicles, including mileage and pricing information. We are aware that several U.S. states are considering enacting consumer "bill-of-rights" statutes to provide further protection to the consumer which could affect our profitability in such states.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act established the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (the "CFPB") with broad regulatory powers. Although automotive dealers are generally excluded from the CFPB's regulatory authority, we are required to comply with regulations applicable to privacy notices, and the CFPB has announced its intention to regulate automotive financing activities through its regulation of automotive finance companies and other financial institutions that service the automotive industry. The CFPB has issued regulatory guidance instructing financial institutions to monitor dealer loans for potential discrimination resulting from the system used to compensate dealers for assisting in the customer financing transaction. The CFPB has instructed lenders that, if discrimination is found, the lender would be required to change dealer compensation practices. In addition, the CFPB has announced its intention to regulate the sale of other finance and insurance products. If the result of either of these initiatives is to substantially restrict our ability to generate revenue from arranging financing for our customers for the purchase of vehicles and associated products and services, it could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Environmental and Occupational Health and Safety Laws and Regulations

Our operations involve the use, handling and storage for recycling and/or disposal of materials such as motor oil and filters, transmission fluids, antifreeze, refrigerants, paints, thinners, batteries, cleaning products, lubricants, degreasing agents, tires, and fuel. We contract for recycling and/or dispose of used fluids, filters and other waste materials generated by our operations. Consequently, our business is subject to a complex variety of stringent laws and regulations governing management and disposal of materials and wastes, protection of the environment and occupational health and safety. These laws and regulations affect many aspects of our operations, such as requiring the acquisition of permits or other governmental approvals to conduct regulated activities, restricting the manner in which we handle, recycle and dispose of our wastes, incurring capital and operating expenditures to construct, maintain and

upgrade pollution control and containment equipment and facilities, imposing specific health and safety criteria addressing worker protection, and imposing substantial liabilities for pollution caused by our operations or attributable to former operations. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations may result in the assessment of sanctions, including administrative, civil and criminal penalties, imposition of investigatory, remedial and corrective action obligations, delays in permitting or in the performance of projects, and issuance of injunctions delaying, restricting or prohibiting some or all of our operations in affected areas. We may not be able to recover some or any of these costs from insurance.

16

Most of our dealerships utilize above-ground storage tanks, primarily for storing and dispensing petroleum-based products, and above-ground lifts used to raise vehicles. To a lesser extent, our dealerships use underground storage tanks and in-ground lifts. Storage tanks in the U.S. are subject to testing, containment, upgrading and removal requirements under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, or RCRA, and its state law counterparts. Similarly, below ground lifts may contain fluid reservoirs that may leak. RCRA imposes requirements relating to the handling and disposal of hazardous and non-hazardous wastes and requires us to comply with stringent and costly requirements in connection with our storage and recycling or disposal of the various used fluids, paints, batteries, tires, and fuels generated by our operations. Clean-up or other remedial action may be necessary in the event of leaks or other unauthorized discharges from storage tanks or other equipment operated by us. In addition, water quality protection programs under the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (commonly known as the Clean Water Act) and comparable U.S. state and local programs govern certain wastewater and storm water discharges from our operations, which discharges may require permitting. Similarly, certain sources of air emissions from our operations including, for example, paint booths, may be subject to permitting, monitoring and reporting requirements, pursuant to the federal Clean Air Act and related state and local laws. Certain health and safety standards imposed under the Federal Occupational Safety and Health Act or otherwise promulgated by the Occupational Safety and Health administration of the United States Department of Labor and related state agencies are also applicable to protection of the health and safety of our employees.

We generally conduct environmental studies on dealerships to be acquired regardless of whether we are leasing or acquiring in fee the underlying real property, and as necessary, implement environmental management practices or remedial or corrective actions to reduce the risk of noncompliance with environmental laws and regulations. Nevertheless, we currently own or lease, and in connection with our acquisition program anticipate in the future owning or leasing, properties that in some instances have been used for auto retailing and servicing for many years. Laws regarding the prevention of pollution or remediation of environmental contamination generally apply regardless of whether we lease or purchase the land and facilities. Although we, or our predecessors, may have utilized operating and disposal practices that were standard in the industry at the time, a risk exists that petroleum products and wastes such as new and used motor oil, transmission fluids, antifreeze, lubricants, solvents and motor fuels could have been spilled or released on or under the properties owned or leased by us or on or under other locations where such materials were taken for recycling or disposal. Further, we believe that structures found on some of these properties may contain asbestos-containing materials, although in an undisturbed condition that does not require removal or other corrective action under applicable regulations. In addition, many of these properties have been operated by third parties whose use, handling and disposal of such petroleum products or wastes were not under our control. These properties and the materials transported and disposed from, or released on, them may be subject to the U.S. federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (also known as the Superfund law), RCRA and analogous U.S. state laws, pursuant to which we could be required to remove or remediate previously disposed wastes or property contamination or to perform remedial activities to prevent future contamination. The trend in environmental regulation is to often place more restrictions and limitations on activities that may affect the environment. Consequently, any changes in environmental laws and regulations or re-interpretations of enforcement policies that result in more stringent and costly vehicular pollution control equipment or waste handling, storage, transport, disposal or remediation requirements, or delays or restrictions in permitting or performance of projects could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial position. For example, U.S. vehicle manufacturers are subject to regulations adopted by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) and the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration that establish greenhouse gas (“GHG”) and corporate average fuel economy (“CAFÉ”) standards applicable to light-duty vehicles through model year 2025, with these standards imposing more stringent requirements through model year 2025. Whereas the CAFÉ standards are designed to improve vehicle fuel economy, the GHG standards are based on determinations made by the U.S. EPA, that emissions of carbon dioxide and certain other gases, comprising GHGs, present an endangerment to public health and the environment because emissions of such gases are, according to the EPA, contributing to warming of the Earth’s atmosphere and other climatic changes. Moreover, climate-change legislation and regulatory changes have been made or are being considered at state and federal levels and, on an international level, the United States is one of almost 200

nations that agreed in December 2015 to an international climate change agreement in Paris, France that calls for countries to set their own GHG emissions targets and be transparent about the measures each country will use to achieve its GHG emissions targets. Although it is not possible at this time to predict how or when the United States might impose restrictions on GHGs as a result of the international agreement made in Paris, the adoption of any laws or regulations requiring significant increases in fuel economy requirements or new federal or state restrictions on emissions of GHGs on vehicles and automotive fuels in the United States could adversely affect prices of and demand for the vehicles we sell.

Insurance and Bonding

Our operations expose us to the risk of various liabilities, including:

- claims by employees, customers or other third parties for personal injury or property damage resulting from our operations; and

• fines and civil and criminal penalties resulting from alleged violations of federal and state laws or regulatory requirements.

The automotive retailing business is also subject to substantial risk of real and personal property loss as a result of the significant concentration of real and personal property values at dealership locations. Under self-insurance programs, we retain various levels of aggregate loss limits, per claim deductibles and claims handling expenses, including property and casualty, automobile physical damage, and employee medical benefits. In certain cases, we insure costs in excess of our retained risk under various contracts with third-party insurance carriers. Actuarial estimates for the portion of claims not covered by insurance are based on historical claims experience, adjusted for current trends and changes in claims-handling procedures. Risk retention levels may change in the future as a result of changes in the insurance market or other factors affecting the economics of our insurance programs. Although we believe our insurance coverage is adequate, we cannot assure that we will not be exposed to uninsured or underinsured losses that could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We make provisions for retained losses and deductibles by reflecting charges to expense based upon periodic evaluations of the estimated ultimate liabilities on reported and unreported claims. The insurance companies that underwrite our insurance require that we secure certain of our obligations for self-insured exposures with collateral. Our collateral requirements are set by the insurance companies and, to date, have been satisfied by posting surety bonds, letters of credit and/or cash deposits. Our collateral requirements may change from time to time based on, among other things, our total insured exposure and the related self-insured retention assumed under the policies. We are subject to potential premium cost fluctuations with the annual renewal of these programs.

Employees

We believe our relationship with our employees is favorable. As of December 31, 2015, we employed 12,886 (full-time, part-time and temporary) people, of whom:

- 4,697 were employed in managerial positions;
- 2,902 were employed in non-managerial vehicle sales department positions;
- 6,231 were employed in non-managerial parts and service department positions; and
- 2,056 were employed in administrative support positions.

In Brazil, all employees are represented by a local union.

Because of our dependence on vehicle manufacturers, we may be affected by labor strikes, work slowdowns and walkouts at vehicle manufacturing facilities and/or their suppliers. Additionally, labor strikes, work slowdowns and walkouts at businesses participating in the distribution of manufacturers' products may also affect us.

For further discussion, please read "Item 1A. Risk Factors."

Seasonality

We generally experience higher volumes of vehicle sales and service in the second and third calendar quarters of each year in the U.S., in the first and third quarters in the U.K. and during the third and fourth quarters in Brazil. This seasonality is generally attributable to consumer buying trends and the timing of manufacturer new vehicle model introductions. The first quarter is generally the weakest in Brazil, driven by heavy consumer vacations and activities associated with Carnival. In addition, in some regions of the U.S., vehicle purchases decline during the winter months due to inclement weather. For the U.K., the first and third calendar quarters tend to be stronger, driven by plate change months of March and September. As a result, our consolidated revenues and operating income are typically lower in the first and fourth quarters and higher in the second and third quarters. Other factors unrelated to seasonality, such as changes in economic condition, inventory availability, manufacturer incentive programs or shifts in governmental taxes or regulations may exaggerate seasonal or cause counter-seasonal fluctuations in our revenues and operating income.

For further discussion, please read "Item 1A. Risk Factors."

Internet Website and Availability of Public Filings

Our internet address is www.group1auto.com. We make the following information available free of charge on our internet website:

- Annual Report on Form 10-K;
- Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q;

Current Reports on Form 8-K;

18

Amendments to the reports filed or furnished electronically with the SEC pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act;

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines;

The charters for our Audit, Compensation, Finance/Risk Management and Nominating/Governance Committees;

Our Code of Conduct for Directors, Officers and Employees; and

Our Code of Ethics for our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Controller.

We make our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) available on our website as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish such material to, the SEC. The SEC also maintains an internet website at <http://sec.gov> that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding our company that we file and furnish electronically with the SEC. The above information is available in print to anyone who requests it free of charge. In addition, the public may read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC’s Public Reference Room at 100 F. Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20549 and may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Demand for and pricing of our products and services is subject to economic conditions and other factors, which have had and, in the future, could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

The automotive retail industry, and especially new vehicle unit sales, is influenced by general economic conditions, particularly consumer confidence, the level of personal discretionary spending, interest rates, fuel prices, supply conditions, unemployment rates and credit availability. During economic downturns, such as the recession experienced in 2008 and much of 2009, retail new vehicle sales typically experience periods of decline characterized by oversupply and weak demand. In addition, periods of economic uncertainty, as well as volatility in consumer preference around fuel-efficient vehicles in response to volatile fuel prices, and concern about manufacturer viability, may adversely impact future consumer spending and result in a difficult business environment. Any tightening of the credit markets and credit conditions may decrease the availability of automotive loans and leases and adversely impact our new and used vehicle sales and margins. In particular, if sub-prime finance companies apply higher credit standards or if there is another decline in the overall availability of credit in the sub-prime lending market, the ability of consumers to purchase vehicles could be limited, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Volatile fuel prices may also continue to affect consumer preferences in connection with the purchase of our vehicles. Rising fuel prices may make consumers less likely to purchase larger, more expensive vehicles, such as sports utility vehicles or luxury automobiles, and more likely to purchase smaller, less expensive and more fuel efficient vehicles; conversely, lower fuel prices could have the opposite effect. Sudden changes in customer preferences make maintenance of an optimal mix of large and small vehicle inventory a challenge. Further increases or sharp declines in fuel prices could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

In addition, local economic, competitive and other conditions affect the performance of our dealerships. Our results of operations depend substantially on general economic conditions and spending habits in those regions of the U.S. where we maintain most of our operations. Since a large concentration of our new vehicle sales are in the states of Texas and Oklahoma (46.3%) for the year ended December 31, 2015 which are dependent upon the oil and gas industry, the recent substantial decline in commodity prices has had and could continue to have an adverse effect on our business and results of operations in those regions.

We are subject to a concentration of risk in the event of financial distress, merger, sale or bankruptcy, including potential liquidation, of, or other adverse economic impacts on, certain major vehicle manufacturers.

Toyota, Nissan, Honda, Ford, BMW, Volkswagen, Hyundai, Daimler, FCA US (formerly Chrysler) and General Motors dealerships represented approximately 97.8% of our total new vehicle retail units sold in 2015. In particular, sales of Toyota/Scion/Lexus new vehicles represented 26.4% of our new vehicle unit sales in 2015. The success of our dealerships is dependent on vehicle manufacturers in several key respects. First, we rely exclusively on the various vehicle manufacturers for our new vehicle inventory. Our ability to sell new vehicles is dependent on a vehicle manufacturer's ability to produce and allocate to our dealerships an attractive, high quality, and desirable product mix at the right time in order to satisfy customer demand. Second, manufacturers generally support their franchisees by providing direct financial assistance in various areas, including, among others, incentives, floorplan assistance and advertising assistance. A discontinuation or change in our manufacturers' warranty and incentive programs could adversely affect our business. Third, manufacturers provide product warranties and, in some cases, service contracts to customers. Our dealerships perform warranty and service contract work for vehicles under manufacturer product warranties and service contracts and bill the manufacturer directly as opposed to invoicing the customer. In addition, we rely on manufacturers to varying extents for original equipment manufactured replacement parts, training, product brochures and point of sale materials, and other items for our dealerships.

Vehicle manufacturers may be adversely impacted by economic downturns or recessions, significant declines in the sales of their new vehicles, increases in interest rates, adverse fluctuations in currency exchange rates, declines in their credit ratings, reductions in access to capital or credit, labor strikes or similar disruptions (including within their major suppliers), supply shortages, or rising raw material costs, rising employee benefit costs, adverse publicity that may reduce consumer demand for their products (including due to bankruptcy), product defects, litigation, poor product mix or unappealing vehicle design, governmental laws and regulations, natural disasters, or other adverse events. These and other risks could materially adversely affect any manufacturer and impact its ability to profitably design,

market, produce or distribute new vehicles, which in turn could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We are dependent on our relationships with manufacturers and if we are unable to enter into new franchise agreements in connection with dealership acquisitions or maintain or renew our existing franchise agreements on favorable terms, our operations may be significantly impaired.

We are dependent on our relationships with manufacturers, which exercise a great degree of influence over our operations through the franchise agreements. For example, delays in obtaining, or failing to obtain, manufacturer approvals

20

for dealership acquisitions could adversely affect our acquisition program. In determining whether to approve an acquisition, manufacturers may consider many factors, including the moral character and business experience of the dealership principals and the financial condition, ownership structure, Customer Satisfaction Index (“CSI”) scores, sales efficiency, and other performance measures of our other dealerships. Also, our manufacturers attempt to measure customers’ satisfaction with automobile dealerships through systems generally known as CSI, which may be modified or replaced at the manufacturer’s discretion. Manufacturers may use these performance indicators, as well as sales performance numbers, as conditions for certain payments and as factors in evaluating applications for additional acquisitions. In unusual cases where performance indicators, such as the ones described above, are not met to the satisfaction of the manufacturer, certain manufacturers may either limit our ability to acquire additional dealerships or require the disposal of existing dealerships or both. From time to time, we have not met all of the manufacturers’ requirements to make acquisitions and have received requests to dispose of certain of our dealerships. In the event one or more of our manufacturers sought to prohibit future acquisitions, or imposed requirements to dispose of one or more of our dealerships, our acquisition and growth strategy could be adversely affected.

A manufacturer may also limit the number of its dealerships that we may own or the number that we may own in a particular geographic area. For example, in the U.S. we may acquire only six primary Lexus dealerships or six outlets nationally. As of December 31, 2015, we owned three primary Lexus dealerships. Also, under the manufacturer’s interpretation of existing guidelines, as of December 31, 2015, we owned the maximum number of Toyota dealerships permitted in the Gulf States region, which is comprised of Texas, Oklahoma, Louisiana, Mississippi and Arkansas, and in the Boston region, which is comprised of Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont. In addition, each of our franchise agreements may be terminated or not renewed by the manufacturer for a variety of reasons, including any unapproved changes of ownership or management, sales and customer satisfaction performance deficiencies and other material breaches of the franchise agreements. Manufacturers may also have a right of first refusal if we seek to sell dealerships. We cannot guarantee all of our franchise agreements will be renewed or that the terms of the renewals will be as favorable to us as our current agreements. In addition, we cannot guarantee that our manufacturers will not attempt to terminate our franchise agreements if they perceive that performance deficiencies exist. If such an instance occurs, although we are generally protected by automotive dealership franchise laws requiring “good cause” be shown for such termination, we cannot guarantee that the termination of the franchise will not be successful. Actions taken by manufacturers to exploit their bargaining position in negotiating the terms of renewals of franchise agreements could also have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. Further, the terms of certain of our real estate-related indebtedness require the repayment of all amounts outstanding in the event that the associated franchise is terminated. Our results of operations may be materially and adversely affected to the extent that our franchise rights become compromised or our operations restricted due to the terms of our franchise agreements or if we lose substantial franchises.

Finally, our franchise agreements do not give us the exclusive right to sell a manufacturer’s product within a given geographic area. Subject to state laws that are generally designed to protect dealers, a manufacturer may grant another dealer a franchise to start a new dealership near one of our locations, or an existing dealership may move its dealership to a location that would more directly compete against us. The location of new dealerships near our existing dealerships could have a material and adverse effect on our operations and reduce the profitability of our existing dealerships.

Our inability to acquire new dealerships and successfully integrate those dealerships into our business could adversely affect the growth of our revenues and earnings.

Growth in our revenues and earnings partially depends on our ability to acquire new dealerships and successfully integrate those dealerships into our existing operations. We cannot guarantee that we will be able to identify and acquire dealerships in the future. In addition, we cannot guarantee that any acquisitions will be successful or on terms and conditions consistent with past acquisitions. Restrictions by our manufacturers, as well as covenants contained in our debt instruments, may directly or indirectly limit our ability to acquire additional dealerships. In addition, increased competition for acquisitions may develop, which could result in fewer acquisition opportunities available to us and/or higher acquisition prices. And, some of our competitors may have greater financial resources than us. We will continue to need substantial capital in order to acquire additional automobile dealerships. We currently intend to finance future acquisitions by using cash generated from operations, borrowings under our acquisition lines,

proceeds from debt and/or equity offerings and/or issuing shares of our common stock as partial consideration for acquired dealerships. If potential acquisition candidates are unwilling to accept our common stock, we will rely solely on available cash or proceeds from debt or equity financings, which could adversely affect our acquisition program. Access to funding through the debt or equity capital markets could become challenging in the future. Also, in the future, the cost of obtaining money from the credit markets could increase if lenders and institutional investors increase interest rates, enact tighter lending standards, refuse to refinance existing debt at maturity on terms similar to current debt or at all, and reduce or, in some cases, cease to provide funding to borrowers. Accordingly, our ability to complete acquisitions could be adversely affected if the price of our common stock is depressed or if our access to capital is limited.

21

In addition, managing and integrating additional dealerships into our existing mix of dealerships may result in substantial costs, diversion of our management's attention, delays, or other operational or financial problems.

Acquisitions involve a number of special risks, including, among other things:

- incurring significantly higher capital expenditures and operating expenses;
- failing to integrate the operations and personnel of the acquired dealerships;
- entering new markets with which we are not familiar;
- incurring undiscovered liabilities at acquired dealerships, in the case of stock acquisitions;
- disrupting our ongoing business;
- failing to retain key personnel of the acquired dealerships;
- impairing relationships with employees, manufacturers and customers; and
- incorrectly valuing acquired entities.

These risks could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Although we conduct what we believe to be a prudent level of investigation regarding the operating condition of the businesses we purchase, in light of the circumstances of each transaction, an unavoidable level of risk remains regarding the actual operating condition of these businesses.

We are subject to substantial regulations, which may adversely affect our business and results of operations.

A number of state and federal laws and regulations applicable to automotive companies affect our business. We are also subject to laws and regulations relating to business corporations generally. Any failure to comply with these laws and regulations may result in the assessment of administrative, civil, or criminal penalties, the imposition of investigatory remedial obligations or the issuance of injunctions limiting or prohibiting our operations. In every jurisdiction in which we operate, we must obtain various licenses in order to operate our businesses, including dealer, sales, finance and insurance-related licenses issued by government authorities. These laws also regulate our conduct of business, including our advertising, operating, financing, employment and sales practices. Other laws and regulations include U.S. state franchise laws and regulations, anti-trust laws and other extensive laws and regulations applicable to new and used motor vehicle dealers, as well as U.S. federal and state wage-hour, anti-discrimination and other employment practices laws. Though unsuccessful to date, manufacturers' lobbying efforts may lead to the repeal or revision of U.S. franchise laws. If U.S. franchise laws are repealed in the states in which we operate, manufacturers may be able to terminate our franchises without providing advance notice, an opportunity to cure or showing of good cause. Without the protection of U.S. franchise laws, it may also be more difficult for us to renew our franchise agreements upon expiration. Further, U.S. federal law, including any federal bankruptcy law, may preempt U.S. state law and allow manufacturers greater freedom to terminate or not renew franchises. Furthermore, some states have initiated consumer "bill of rights" statutes which involve increases in our costs associated with the sale of vehicles, or decreases in some of our profit centers.

A substantial amount of our business is related to the real estate we own or lease to conduct our various automotive operations. Often times, the success of such automotive operations is dependent upon our ability to locate, purchase and lease real estate on favorable terms. We are highly dependent upon the availability of real estate in each of our numerous automotive markets. Additionally, real estate we are interested in acquiring will be subject to local municipal laws of county, township, parish and other local municipalities that often times will govern what type of real estate we can purchase for our various automotive operations. Local ordinances, deed restrictions, zoning and other land use restrictions may prohibit the type of business permitted on a given leased or purchased property which can added to the challenge of locating appropriate real estate. The costs and length of time associated with changing the permitted use of a leased or purchased property may affect our ability to enter a market or expand our operations in an existing market. Our inability to locate, and lease or purchase additional suitable properties to meet the needs of our various automotive operations in multiple markets would adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial conditions.

Our financing activities with customers are subject to federal truth-in-lending, consumer leasing and equal credit opportunity laws and regulations, as well as state and local motor vehicle finance laws, installment finance laws, insurance laws, usury laws and other installment sales laws and regulations. Some states regulate finance fees and charges that may be paid as a result of vehicle sales. Claims arising out of actual or alleged violations of law may be asserted against us or our dealerships by individuals or governmental entities and may expose us to significant

damages or other penalties, including revocation or suspension of our licenses to conduct dealership operations and fines.

Our operations are also subject to the National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act, the Magnusson-Moss Warranty Act, Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards promulgated by the United States Department of Transportation and various state motor vehicle regulatory agencies. The imported automobiles we purchase are subject to U.S. customs duties and, in the

22

ordinary course of our business, we may, from time to time, be subject to claims for duties, penalties, liquidated damages, or other charges.

Our operations are subject to consumer protection laws known as Lemon Laws. These laws typically require a manufacturer or dealer to replace a new vehicle or accept it for a full refund within one year after initial purchase if the vehicle does not conform to the manufacturer's express warranties and the dealer or manufacturer, after a reasonable number of attempts, is unable to correct or repair the defect. Federal laws require various written disclosures to be provided on new vehicles, including mileage and pricing information.

In July 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act was signed into law (the "Dodd-Frank Act") and established the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (the "CFPB") with broad regulatory powers. Although automotive dealers are generally excluded from the CFPB's regulatory authority, we are required to comply with regulations applicable to privacy notices, and the CFPB has announced its intention to regulate automotive financing activities through its regulation of automotive finance companies and other financial institutions that service the automotive industry. The CFPB has issued regulatory guidance instructing financial institutions to monitor dealer loans for potential discrimination resulting from the system used to compensate dealers for assisting in the customer financing transaction. The CFPB has instructed lenders that, if discrimination is found, the lender would be required to change dealer compensation practices. If this initiative substantially restricts our ability to generate revenue from arranging financing for our customers for the purchase of vehicles, the result could have an adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Our U.K. finance operations also arrange for the sale of various contracts for products and services in connection with the sale of new and used vehicles. Those activities in the U.K. are regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA). The FCA is an independent watchdog that regulates financial services of our dealerships. The FCA was created in the wake of the financial crisis as a result of passage of the Financial Services Act of 2012 (the "FSA Act"). The FSA Act sets out a system for regulating financial services in order to protect and improve the U.K.'s economy. Its purpose was to make sure markets work well by confirming that financial services maintain and ensure the integrity of the markets, regulate financial services firms so that they give consumers a fair deal and ensure the financial services market is competitive.

In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act established federal oversight and regulation of derivative markets and entities, such as us, that participate in those markets. The Dodd-Frank Act requires the CFTC and the SEC to promulgate rules and regulations implementing the Dodd-Frank Act. Although the CFTC has finalized certain regulations, others remain to be finalized or implemented and it is not possible at this time to predict when this will be accomplished.

Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, the CFTC has designated certain interest rate swaps and credit default swaps for mandatory clearing and exchange trading. To the extent we engage in such transactions that are or become subject to such rules in the future, we will be required to comply or to take steps to qualify for an exemption to such requirements. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act, the CFTC and banking regulators established margin rules for uncleared swaps. Although we believe that we qualify for the end-user exceptions to the mandatory clearing and margin requirements with respect to swaps entered to hedge our commercial risks, the application of such requirements to other market participants, such as swap dealers, may change the cost and availability of the swaps that we use for hedging. If any of our swaps do not qualify for the commercial end-user exception, clearing our transactions or posting of initial or variation margin could impact our liquidity and reduce cash available for capital expenditures, therefore reducing our ability to execute hedges to reduce risk and protect cash flows. In addition, the European Union and other non U.S. jurisdictions are implementing regulations with respect to the derivatives market. To the extent we transact with counterparties in foreign jurisdictions, we may become subject to such regulations. At this time, the impact of such regulations is not clear.

The full impact of the Dodd-Frank Act and related regulatory requirements upon our business will not be known until the regulations are implemented and the market for derivative contracts has adjusted. The Dodd-Frank Act and any new regulations could significantly increase the cost of derivative contracts, materially alter the terms of derivative contracts, reduce our ability to monetize or restructure our existing derivative contracts. If we reduce our use of derivatives as a result of the Dodd-Frank Act and regulations, our results of operations may become more volatile and our cash flows may be less predictable, which could adversely affect our ability to plan for and fund capital expenditures. Any of these consequences could have material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of

operations and cash available for distributions to our shareholders.

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, signed into law on March 23, 2010, has and may continue to increase our annual employee health care costs that we fund. We cannot predict the extent of the effect that this statute, or any future state or federal healthcare legislation or regulation, will have on us. However, any additional expansion in government's role in the U.S. healthcare industry could result in significant long-term costs to us, which could in turn adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Possible penalties for violation of any of these laws or regulations include revocation or suspension of our licenses and/or civil or criminal fines and penalties. In addition, many laws may give customers a private cause of action.

Violation of

23

these laws, the cost of compliance with these laws, or changes in these laws could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Our operations are subject to environmental laws and regulations that may expose us to significant costs and liabilities.

In the course of our operations, we generate, handle, store and recycle or dispose of various used products and wastes. These business activities are subject to stringent federal, regional, state and local laws and regulations governing the release of materials into the environment or otherwise relating to environmental protection. These laws and regulations may impose numerous obligations upon our operations including the acquisition of permits to conduct regulated activities, the imposition of restrictions on where or how to manage or dispose of used products and wastes, the incurrence of capital expenditures to limit or prevent releases of such material, and the imposition of substantial liabilities for pollution resulting from our operations. Failure to comply with these laws, regulations, and permits may result in the assessment of sanctions, including administrative, civil, and criminal penalties, the imposition of investigatory remedial and corrective action obligations, and delays in permitting or in the performance of projects the issuance of injunctions limiting or preventing some or all of our operations in affected areas.

There is a risk of incurring significant environmental costs and liabilities in the operations of our automotive dealerships due to our handling of regulated used products and wastes, because of releases arising in the course of our operations, especially from storage tanks and in-ground lifts, and due to contamination arising from historical operations and waste disposal practices, including by predecessor operators or owners over whom we had no control or supervision. We could be subject to joint and several, strict liability for the removal or remediation of previously released materials or property contamination regardless of whether we were responsible for the release or contamination or if the operations were in compliance with all applicable laws at the time those actions were taken. The trend in environmental regulation is to often place more restrictions and limitations on activities that may affect the environment, and thus any changes in environmental laws and regulations that result in more stringent and costly pollution control equipment or waste containment, management or disposal requirements could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operation and financial condition. For instance, vehicle manufacturers are currently subject to federal rules requiring greenhouse gas (“GHG”) reduction and CAFE standards for light-duty vehicles through model year 2021. Under these rules, most manufacturers are required to modify their vehicle platforms and powertrains to achieve a fleet-wide average fuel efficiency equivalent of 44.7 miles per gallon by model year 2021. In 2017, the EPA and NHTSA will conduct mid-term reviews to determine the technological progress and economic implications for meeting the proposed 2025 standards for fleet average fuel economy performance of 54.5 miles per gallon. Similarly, these rules impose fleet-wide average carbon dioxide emission tailpipe compliance levels of 243 grams per mile on model 2017 vehicles and 163 grams per mile on model year 2025 vehicles. These increased fuel efficiency and carbon dioxide, or GHG, reduction requirements are expected to increase the cost of new vehicles over time, which could potentially result in a reduction in new vehicle sales. Whereas the CAFE standards are designed to improve vehicle fuel economy, the GHG standards are based on determinations made by the EPA that emissions of GHGs present an endangerment to public health and the environment because emissions of such gases are, according to the EPA, contributing to warming of the Earth’s atmosphere and other climatic changes. Congress and numerous states have from time to time considered and — in the case of some states, adopted — legislation to restrict greenhouse gases. These laws generally take the form of cap and trade programs, requiring large sources of greenhouse gas emissions to purchase allowances or take steps to reduce emissions to comply with the cap. On an international level, the United States is one of almost 200 nations that agreed in December 2015 to an international climate change agreement in Paris, France that calls for countries to set their own GHG emissions targets and be transparent about the measures each country will use to achieve its GHG emissions targets. Although it is not possible at this time to predict how or when the United States might impose restrictions on GHGs as a result of the international agreement made in Paris, the adoption of any laws or regulations requiring significant increases in fuel economy requirements or new federal or state restrictions on emissions of GHGs from our operations or on vehicles and automotive fuels in the United States could adversely affect prices of and demand for the vehicles we sell which could adversely affect our revenues and earnings. Please see “Item 1. Business — Governmental Regulations — Environmental and Occupational Health and Safety Laws and Regulations” for more information.

If we lose key personnel or are unable to attract additional qualified personnel, our business could be adversely affected because we rely on the industry knowledge and relationships of our key personnel.

We believe our success depends to a significant extent upon the efforts and abilities of our executive officers, senior management and key employees, including our regional vice presidents. The unexpected or unanticipated loss of the services of one or more members of our senior management team could have an adverse effect on our business and impair the efficiency and productivity of our operations. We do not have key man insurance for any of our executive officers or key personnel. In addition, the market for qualified employees in the industry and in the regions in which we operate, particularly for general managers and sales and service personnel, is highly competitive and may subject us to increased labor costs during periods of low unemployment. We do not have employment agreements with most of our dealership general managers

and other key dealership personnel. Accordingly, the loss of any of our key employees or the failure to attract qualified managers could have an adverse effect on our business and may impact the ability of our dealerships to conduct their operations in accordance with our national standards.

Substantial competition in automotive sales and services may materially and adversely affect our results of operations due to our need to lower prices to sustain sales.

The automotive retail industry is highly competitive. Depending on the geographic market, we compete with:

- franchised automotive dealerships in our markets that sell the same or similar makes of new and used vehicles that we offer, occasionally at lower prices than we do;

- other national or regional affiliated groups of franchised dealerships and/or of used vehicle dealerships;

- private market buyers and sellers of used vehicles;

- internet-based vehicle brokers that sell vehicles obtained from franchised dealers directly to consumers;

- auto parts retailers;

- local, regional and national collision centers;

- service center chain stores; and

- independent service and repair shops.

We do not have any cost advantage in purchasing new vehicles from vehicle manufacturers and typically rely on advertising, merchandising, sales expertise, service reputation and dealership location in order to sell new vehicles.

Our franchise agreements do not grant us the exclusive right to sell a manufacturer's product within a given geographic area. If competing dealerships expand their market share or are awarded additional franchises by manufacturers that supply our dealerships, it could have a material and adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

In addition to competition for vehicle sales, our dealerships compete with franchised dealerships to perform warranty repairs and with other automotive dealers, franchised and independent service center chains and independent garages for non-warranty repair and routine maintenance business. Our parts operations compete with other automotive dealers, service stores and auto parts retailers. We believe the principal competitive factors in the parts and service business are the quality of customer service, the use of factory-approved replacement parts, familiarity with a manufacturer's brands and models, convenience, access to technology required for certain repairs and services, location, price, the competence of technicians and the availability of training programs to enhance such expertise. A number of regional or national chains offer selected parts and services at prices that may be lower than our dealerships' prices. We also compete with a broad range of financial institutions in arranging financing for our customers' vehicle purchases.

The internet has also become a significant part of the advertising and sales process in our industry. Customers are using the internet as part of the sales process to compare pricing for cars and related finance and insurance services, which may reduce gross profit margins for new and used cars and profits for related finance and insurance services. Some retailers offer vehicles for sale over internet websites without the benefit of having a dealership franchise, although they must currently source their vehicles from a franchised dealer. One or more companies are currently manufacturing electric vehicles for sale solely through the internet without using the traditional dealer-network, and circumventing the state franchise laws of several states in the United States. If those companies are successful in selling their vehicles without the requirements of establishing a dealer-network, they may be able to have a competitive advantage over the traditional dealers, which could adversely affect our sales in those states. If internet new vehicle sales are allowed to be conducted without the involvement of franchised dealers, or if dealerships are able to effectively use the internet to sell outside of their markets, our business could be materially adversely affected. Our business would also be materially adversely affected to the extent that internet companies acquire dealerships or align themselves with our competitors' dealerships.

Please see "Item 1. Business — Competition" for more discussion of competition in our industry.

A data security breach with regard to personally identifiable information ("PII") about our customers or employees could negatively affect operations and result in high costs.

The protection of customer, employee, and our data is critical to our business. The regulatory environment surrounding information security and privacy is increasingly demanding, with the frequent imposition of new and constantly changing requirements across business units. In addition, customers have a high expectation that we will adequately protect their PII from cyber-attack or other security breaches. A significant breach of customer, employee,

or our data could attract a substantial amount of media attention, damage our customer relationships and reputation and result in lost sales, fines, or lawsuits.

25

In the ordinary course of business, we and our business affiliates receive significant PII about our customers in order to complete the sale or service of a vehicle and related products. We also receive PII from our employees. Numerous state and federal regulations, as well as payment card industry and other vendor standards, govern the collection and maintenance of PII from consumers and other individuals. Although many companies across many industries are affected by malicious efforts to obtain access to PII, news reports suggest that the automotive dealership industry is a particular target of identity thieves. Moreover, there are numerous opportunities for a data security breach, including cyber-security breaches, burglary, lost or misplaced data, scams, or misappropriation of data by employees, vendors or unaffiliated third parties. Despite the security measures we have in place and any additional measures we may implement or adopt in the future, our facilities and systems, and those of our third-party service providers, could be vulnerable to security breaches, computer viruses, lost or misplaced data, programming errors, scams, burglary, human errors, acts of vandalism, or other events. Alleged or actual data security breaches can increase costs of doing business, negatively affect customer satisfaction and loyalty, expose us to negative publicity, individual claims or consumer class actions, administrative, civil or criminal investigations or actions, and infringe on proprietary information, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Our business is sensitive to manufacturer recalls, and the effects such recalls have on the reputation of our manufacturers.

Our business is highly dependent on consumer demand and brand preferences of our manufacturer's products. Manufacturer recall campaigns are a common occurrence that have accelerated in frequency and scope over the last several years. Manufacturer recall campaigns could adversely affect our new and used vehicle sales or customer residual trade-in valuations, could cause us to temporarily remove vehicles from our inventory, could force us to incur increased costs and could expose us to litigation and adverse publicity related to the sale of recalled vehicles, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, sales and results of operations.

The impairment of our goodwill, our indefinite-lived intangibles and our other long-lived assets has had, and could in the future have, a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

We assess goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangibles for impairment on an annual basis, or more frequently when events or circumstances indicate that an impairment may have occurred. We assess the carrying value of our long-lived assets when events or circumstances indicate that an impairment may have occurred.

Based on the organization and management of our business, we determined that each of our regions represents a reporting unit for the purpose of assessing goodwill for impairment. In evaluating goodwill, we compare the carrying value of our long-lived assets to their respective fair values. To determine the fair value of our reporting units we use a combination of the discounted cash flow and market approaches. In addition, we evaluate the carrying value of our indefinite-lived, intangible franchise rights at a dealership level using a discounted cash flow based approach. Both these analyses are based upon a series of assumptions. See Part II, "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation — Critical Accounting Policies and Accounting Estimates — Goodwill" and "Intangible Franchise Rights" for additional information regarding the assumptions that underlie our analysis.

Performance issues at individual dealerships, as well as broader economic and retail automotive industry trends can result in changes to the assumptions in our fair value estimates. In addition, until the full effect of our business practices, scale leverage and other cost savings initiatives can be realized, the carrying value of goodwill and other intangibles associated with an acquisition are generally more subject to impairment in the years immediately following the acquisition. For example, the decline in the Brazilian economy and retail auto industry since our acquisition of the Brazil dealerships in March 2013 has adversely impacted the results of the impairment tests performed in the fourth quarter of 2015. As a result of our fourth quarter impairment analysis, we determined that there have been material changes to the assumptions underlying the amount of goodwill and intangible assets associated with our Brazilian dealerships, and we wrote down the value of those assets, which resulted in a material non-cash impairment charge.

We are required to evaluate the carrying value of our long-lived assets at the lowest level of identifiable cash flows. To test the carrying value of assets to be sold, we generally use independent, third-party appraisals or pending transactions as an estimate of fair value. In the event of an adverse change in the real estate market, the resulting decline in our estimated fair value could result in a material non-cash impairment charge to the associated long-lived

assets.

Changes in interest rates could adversely impact our results of operations.

Borrowings under our credit facilities and various other notes payable bear interest based on a floating rate. Therefore, our interest expense would increase with any rise in interest rates. We have entered into derivative transactions to convert a portion of our variable-rate debt to fixed rates to partially mitigate this risk. A rise in interest rates may also have the effect of depressing demand in the interest rate sensitive aspects of our business, particularly new and used vehicle sales, because many of our customers finance their vehicle purchases. As a result, a rise in interest rates may have the effect of

26

simultaneously increasing our costs and reducing our revenues. In addition, we receive credit assistance from certain automobile manufacturers, which is reflected as a reduction in cost of sales on our statements of operations. Please see Part II, "Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk" for a discussion regarding our interest rate sensitivity.

Natural disasters and adverse weather events can disrupt our business.

Our dealerships are concentrated in states and regions in the U.S., U.K. and Brazil in which actual or threatened natural disasters and severe weather events (such as hurricanes, earthquakes, snow storms, flooding, and hail storms) have in the past, and may in the future, disrupt our dealership operations. A disruption in our operations may adversely impact our business, results of operations, financial condition and cash flows. In addition to business interruption, the automotive retailing business is subject to substantial risk of property loss due to the significant concentration of property at dealership locations. Although we have, subject to certain limitations and exclusions, substantial insurance, including business interruption insurance, we may be exposed to uninsured or underinsured losses that could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our insurance does not fully cover all of our operational risks, and changes in the cost of insurance or the availability of insurance could materially increase our insurance costs or result in a decrease in our insurance coverage.

The operation of automobile dealerships is subject to compliance with a wide range of laws and regulations and is subject to a broad variety of risks. While we have insurance on our real property, comprehensive coverage for our vehicle inventory, general liability insurance, workers' compensation insurance, employee dishonesty coverage, employment practices liability insurance, pollution coverage and errors and omissions insurance in connection with vehicle sales and financing activities, we are self-insured for a portion of our potential liabilities. We purchase insurance policies for worker's compensation, liability, auto physical damage, property, pollution, employee medical benefits and other risks consisting of large deductibles and/or self-insured retentions.

In certain instances, our insurance may not fully cover an insured loss depending on the magnitude and nature of the claim. Additionally, changes in the cost of insurance or the availability of insurance in the future could substantially increase our costs to maintain our current level of coverage or could cause us to reduce our insurance coverage and increase the portion of our risks that we self-insure.

Our indebtedness and the associated covenants could materially adversely affect our ability to obtain additional financing, including for acquisitions and capital expenditures, limit our flexibility to manage our business, prevent us from fulfilling our financial obligations and restrict our use of capital.

Our indebtedness could impact us, in the following ways:

- our ability to obtain additional financing for acquisitions, capital expenditures, working capital or general corporate purposes may be impaired in the future;
- a portion of our current cash flow from operations must be dedicated to the payment of principal on our indebtedness, thereby reducing the funds available to us for our operations and other corporate purposes;
- some of our borrowings are and will continue to be at variable rates of interest, which exposes us to the risk of increasing interest rates; and
- we may be more leveraged than some of our competitors, which may place us at a relative competitive disadvantage and make us more vulnerable to changing market conditions and regulations.

Our debt instruments contain numerous covenants that limit our discretion with respect to business matters, including mergers or acquisitions, paying dividends, repurchasing our common stock, international investments, incurring additional debt or disposing of assets. A breach of any of these covenants could result in a default under the applicable agreement or indenture. In addition, a default under one agreement or indenture could result in a default and acceleration of our repayment obligations under the other agreements or indentures under the cross default provisions in those agreements or indentures. If a default or cross default were to occur, we may be required to renegotiate the terms of our indebtedness, which would likely be on less favorable terms than our current terms and cause us to incur additional fees to process. Alternatively, we may not be able to pay our debts or borrow sufficient funds to refinance them. As a result of this risk, we could be forced to take actions that we otherwise would not take, or not take actions that we otherwise might take, in order to comply with the covenants in these agreements and indentures.

We are subject to risks associated with our non-U.S. operations that could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Over the past several years, we have significantly increased our operations outside the U.S. Expanding our operations in the U.K. and Brazil are important elements of our growth strategy. Operations outside of the U.S. are subject to various

27

risks which may not be present or as significant for operations within U.S. markets, and our exposure to these risks increases as we expand. Government actions, both in terms of policy-setting as well as actions directly affecting our operations, and economic uncertainty in some geographic regions in which we operate, such as emerging markets, could result in the disruption of markets and negatively affect our results of operations and cash flows in those areas. Risks inherent in our international operations include, but are not limited to:

- exposure to local economic conditions;
- wage inflation in emerging markets;
- social plans that prohibit or increase the cost of certain restructuring actions;
- increases in working capital requirements related to long supply chains or regional terms of business;
- currency exchange controls;
- exposure to currency exchange rate fluctuations;
- variations in protection of legal rights;
- import or export licensing requirements;
- the difficulty of enforcing agreements and collecting receivables through certain foreign legal systems;
- restrictions on transfer or repatriation of funds and trade protection matters, including antidumping duties, tariffs, embargoes and other laws and regulations creating tax inefficiencies and prohibitions or restrictions on acquisitions or joint ventures;
- increased risk of corruption;
- changes in laws and regulations, including the laws and policies of the U.S. affecting trade and foreign investment;
- more expansive legal rights of foreign labor unions;
- the potential for nationalization of enterprises;
- exposure to local public health concerns and the resultant impact on economic and political conditions;
- transparency issues in general and, more specifically, the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1974, as amended (the “FCPA”), the U.K. Bribery Act, and other anti-corruption compliance laws and issues;
- unsettled social and political conditions, in general, and possible terrorist attacks, drug cartel related violence or acts of war, civil unrest, expansion of hostilities and other political risks; and
- lack of franchise protection, which creates greater competition.

The likelihood of these occurrences and their potential effect on us vary from country to country and are unpredictable. These and other factors may have a material adverse effect on our international operations and, therefore, on our business, results of operations and financial condition, which may become more pronounced as we expand our international presence.

Our Consolidated Financial Statements reflect that our results of operations and financial position are reported in local currency and are converted into U.S. dollars at the applicable currency rate. Fluctuations in such currency rates may have a material effect on our results of operations or financial position as reported in U.S. dollars. See Part II, “Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk — Foreign Currency Exchange Rates” for additional information on foreign currency exchange rate sensitivity.

We may be exposed to liabilities under the FCPA and similar anti-corruption laws, and any determination that we violated such laws could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We are subject to the FCPA and similar anti-bribery and anti-corruption laws that generally prohibit companies and their personnel and intermediaries from offering, authorizing, or making improper payments to government officials for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business, or securing some improper advantage in business or engaging in conduct involving money-laundering. We do business and may do additional business in the future in countries and regions where strict compliance with anti-bribery laws may not be customary. Our personnel and intermediaries may face, directly or indirectly, corrupt demands by government officials, political parties and officials, tribal or insurgent organizations, or private entities in the countries in which we operate or may operate in the future. As a result, we face the risk that an unauthorized payment or offer of payment could be made by one of our employees or intermediaries, even if such parties are not always

subject to our control or are not themselves subject to the FCPA or other anti-bribery laws to which we may be subject. Existing compliance safeguards and any future improvements may not prevent all such conduct, and it is possible that our employees and intermediaries may engage in conduct for which we might be investigated by U.S. and other authorities, and held responsible. Violations of the FCPA and other anti-bribery and other anticorruption laws (either due to our acts or our inadvertence) may result in criminal and civil sanctions and could subject us to other liabilities in the U.S. and elsewhere. Even allegations of such violations could disrupt our business and result in a material adverse effect on our business and operations.

Our growth in emerging markets, such as Brazil, is subject to special risks that could have a material adverse effect on our operations.

In February 2013, we acquired UAB Motors Participações S.A. (“UAB Motors”), which allowed us to enter the Brazilian market. At the time we entered the Brazilian market, it was an emerging growth market. Since then, Brazil has experienced a significant economic downturn and is in the midst of a recession. Currently, Brazil is experiencing financial instability with significant currency fluctuations. There is no assurance that our future growth strategies in Brazil will be successful or that Brazil will return to a growth market in the immediate foreseeable future. If the Brazil financial recovery is longer than expected, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. See “We are subject to risks associated with our non-U.S. operations that could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.” Further, our growth in emerging markets by acquisition of existing dealerships, such as our acquisition of UAB Motors, is subject to additional risk as discussed under “Our ability to acquire new dealerships and successfully integrate those dealerships into our business could adversely affect the growth of our revenues and earnings” above.

Certain restrictions relating to our management and ownership of our common stock could deter prospective acquirers from acquiring control of us and adversely affect our ability to engage in equity offerings.

As a condition to granting their consent to our previous acquisitions and our initial public offering, some of our manufacturers have imposed other restrictions on us. These restrictions prohibit, among other things:

- the removal of a non-employee director from office only for cause;
- any one person or entity, who in the opinion of the manufacturer is unqualified to own its franchised dealership or has interests incompatible with the manufacturer, from acquiring more than a specified percentage of our common stock (ranging from 20% to 50% depending on the particular manufacturer’s restrictions) and this trigger level can fall to as low as 5% if another vehicle manufacturer is the entity acquiring the ownership interest or voting rights;
- certain material changes in our business or extraordinary corporate transactions such as a merger or sale of a material amount of our assets;
- the removal of a dealership general manager without the consent of the manufacturer; and
- a change in control of our Board of Directors or a change in management.

Our manufacturers may also impose additional similar restrictions on us in the future. Actions by our stockholders or prospective stockholders, which would violate any of the above restrictions, are generally outside our control. If we are unable to comply with or renegotiate these restrictions, we may be forced to terminate or sell one or more franchises, which could have a material adverse effect on our business. These restrictions may prevent or deter prospective acquirers from acquiring control of us and, therefore, may adversely impact the value of our common stock. These restrictions also may impede our ability to acquire dealership groups, to raise required capital or to issue our stock as consideration for future acquisitions.

Our certificate of incorporation, bylaws and franchise agreements contain provisions that make a takeover of us difficult.

Our certificate of incorporation and bylaws could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire control of us, even if such change of control would be beneficial to our stockholders. These include provisions:

- allowing only the Board of Directors to set the number of non-employee directors;
- requiring super-majority or class voting to affect certain amendments to our certificate of incorporation and bylaws;
- limiting the persons who may call special stockholders’ meetings;
- limiting stockholder action by written consent; and

establishing advance notice requirements for nominations for election to the Board of Directors or for proposing matters that can be acted upon at stockholders' meetings.

In addition, our certificate of incorporation authorizes us to issue "blank check" preferred stock, the designation, number, voting powers, preferences, and rights of which may be fixed or altered from time to time by our Board of Directors. Accordingly, the Board of Directors has the authority, without stockholder approval, to issue preferred stock with rights that could materially adversely affect the voting power or other rights of the common stockholders or the market value of the common stock and prevent a change of our control.

Finally, certain of our franchise agreements prohibit the acquisition of more than a specified percentage of our common stock without the consent of the relevant manufacturer. These terms of our franchise agreements could also make it more difficult for a third party to acquire control of us.

30

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments
None.

31

Item 2. Properties

We presently lease our corporate headquarters, which is located at 800 Gessner, Suite 500, Houston, Texas. In addition, as of December 31, 2015, we had 199 franchises situated in 152 dealership locations throughout the U.S., U.K. and Brazil. As of December 31, 2015, we leased 81 of these dealership locations and owned the remainder. We have one location in Massachusetts, one location in Alabama, one location in California and one in Brazil where we lease the land, but own the building facilities. These locations are included in the leased column of the table below.

Region	Geographic Location	Dealerships	
		Owned	Leased
East	Georgia	7	—
	Massachusetts	5	2
	New Jersey	4	1
	Louisiana	1	2
	Mississippi	3	—
	Florida	3	1
	South Carolina	3	—
	Maryland	2	—
	Alabama	1	1
	New Hampshire	1	2
			30
West	Texas	18	32
	Kansas	4	—
	Oklahoma	2	11
	California	3	6
	Louisiana	1	—
		28	49
International	United Kingdom	13	4
	Brazil	—	19
Total		71	81

We use a number of facilities to conduct our dealership operations. Each of our dealerships may include facilities for (1) new and used vehicle sales, (2) vehicle service operations, (3) retail and wholesale parts operations, (4) collision restoration service operations, (5) storage and (6) general office use. Prior to 2005, we tried to structure our operations so as to avoid the ownership of real property. In connection with our dealership acquisitions, we generally sought to lease, rather than acquire, the facilities on which the acquired dealerships were located. We generally entered into lease agreements with respect to such facilities that have 30-year total terms, consisting of 15-year initial terms and three five-year option periods, at our option. However, since 2005, we have strategically increased the number of purchased properties. As a result, we own 46.7% of our dealership properties as of December 31, 2015, an increase from 46.0% as of December 31, 2014. See Note 18 to our Consolidated Financial Statements, "Operating Leases." Since 2005, Group 1 Realty, Inc., one of our wholly-owned subsidiaries, has typically acquired the property in connection with our U.S. dealership acquisitions and relocations and acts as the landlord for those dealership operations. For the year ended December 31, 2015, we acquired \$33.0 million of real estate, of which \$8.5 million was purchased in conjunction with our dealership acquisitions. With these acquisitions, the capitalized value of the real estate used in operations that we own was \$797.3 million as of December 31, 2015. Of this capitalized value, \$611.5 million was mortgaged through our Real Estate Credit Facility or another real estate related borrowing arrangement. The related mortgage indebtedness outstanding as of December 31, 2015 was \$353.6 million. We do not believe that any single facility is material to our operations and, if necessary, we would obtain a replacement facility.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, our dealerships are named in various types of litigation involving customer claims, employment matters, class action claims, purported class action claims, as well as claims involving the manufacturer of automobiles, contractual disputes and other matters arising in the ordinary course of business. Due to the nature of the automotive retailing business, we may be involved in legal proceedings or suffer losses that could have a material adverse effect on our business. In the normal course of business, we are required to respond to customer, employee and other third-party complaints. Amounts that have been accrued or paid related to the settlement of litigation are included in Selling, General and Administrative expenses (“SG&A”) in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. In addition, the manufacturers of the vehicles that we sell and service have audit rights allowing them to review the validity of amounts claimed for incentive, rebate or warranty-related items and charge us back for amounts determined to be invalid payments under the manufacturers’ programs, subject to our right to appeal any such decision. Amounts that have been accrued or paid related to the settlement of manufacturer chargebacks of recognized incentives and rebates are included in cost of sales in our Consolidated Statements of Operations, while such amounts for manufacturer chargebacks of recognized warranty-related items are included as a reduction of revenues in our Consolidated Statements of Operations.

We are not party to any legal proceedings, including class action lawsuits that, individually or in the aggregate, are reasonably expected to have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or cash flows. However, the results of these matters cannot be predicted with certainty and an unfavorable resolution of one or more of these matters could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not Applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Company's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities
 Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "GPI." There were 47 holders of record of our common stock as of February 12, 2016. A substantially greater number of holders of our common stock are "street name" or beneficial holders, whose shares are held of record by banks, brokers, and other financial institutions. The following table presents the quarterly high and low sales prices for our common stock, as reported on the New York Stock Exchange Composite Tape under the symbol "GPI" and dividends paid per common share for 2014 and 2015:

	High	Low	Dividends Declared
2014:			
First Quarter	\$71.26	\$59.37	\$0.17
Second Quarter	84.59	60.31	0.17
Third Quarter	87.38	71.50	0.17
Fourth Quarter	93.23	65.49	0.19
2015:			
First Quarter	\$90.67	\$74.45	\$0.20
Second Quarter	92.69	77.79	0.20
Third Quarter	97.34	81.10	0.21
Fourth Quarter	89.64	73.83	0.22

We expect comparable cash dividends to be paid in the future. However, payment of dividends in the future is subject to the discretion of our Board of Directors after considering our results of operations, financial condition, cash flows, capital requirements, outlook for our business, general business conditions, the political and legislative environments and other factors.

Further, we are limited under the terms of the Revolving Credit Facility, Real Estate Credit Facility, 5.00% Senior Notes and 5.25% Senior Notes in our ability to make cash dividend payments to our stockholders and to repurchase shares of our outstanding common stock. The most restrictive is the Restricted Payment Basket under our Revolving Credit Facility and Real Estate Credit Facility. As of December 31, 2015, the Restricted Payment Basket totaled \$144.7 million. The Restricted Payment Basket will increase in the future periods by 50.0% of our future cumulative net income, adjusted to exclude the Company's foreign operations, non-cash interest expense, non-cash asset impairment charges, and non-cash stock-based compensation, plus the net proceeds received from the sale of our capital stock, and decrease by the amount of future payments for cash dividends and share repurchases.

Performance Graph

The following performance graph and related information shall not be deemed “soliciting material” or “filed” with the SEC, nor shall such information be incorporated by reference into any future filing under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act except to the extent that we specifically incorporate it by reference into such filing.

The graph compares the performance of our common stock to the S&P 500 Index and to an industry peer group for our last five fiscal years. The members of the peer group are Asbury Automotive Group, Inc., AutoNation, Inc., Lithia Motors, Inc., Penske Automotive Group, Inc. and Sonic Automotive, Inc. The source for the information contained in this table is Zack’s Investment Research, Inc.

The returns of each member of the peer group are weighted according to each member’s stock market capitalization as of the beginning of each period measured. The graph assumes that the value of the investment in our common stock, the S&P 500 Index and the peer group was \$100 on the last trading day of December 2010, and that all dividends were reinvested. Performance data for Group 1 Automotive, Inc., the S&P 500 Index and for the peer group is provided as of the last trading day of each of our last five fiscal years.

COMPARISON OF 5 YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURNS

AMONG GROUP 1 AUTOMOTIVE, INC., S&P 500 INDEX AND A PEER GROUP

TOTAL RETURN BASED ON \$100 INITIAL INVESTMENT & REINVESTMENT OF DIVIDENDS

Measurement Date	Group 1 Automotive, Inc.	S&P 500	Peer Group
December 2010	\$100.00	\$100.00	\$100.00
December 2011	125.46	102.11	125.06
December 2012	151.76	118.45	160.11
December 2013	175.59	156.82	228.29
December 2014	223.56	178.28	271.44
December 2015	190.71	180.75	262.90

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

None.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer

The following table provides information about purchases of equity securities that are registered by us pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act during the three months ended December 31, 2015:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs ⁽¹⁾ (In thousands, excluding commissions)
October 1 - October 31, 2015	2,900	\$85.12	2,900	\$28,065
November 1 - November 30, 2015	323,964	\$80.58	323,964	\$78,176
December 1 - December 31, 2015	—	\$—	—	\$78,176
Total	326,864	\$80.62	326,864	

⁽¹⁾ From time to time, our Board of Directors gives authorization to repurchase shares of our common stock, subject to the restrictions of various debt agreements and our judgment. During the three months ended December 31, 2015, 326,864 shares were repurchased for a total cost of \$26.4 million. In November 2015, the Board of Directors approved a new authorization of \$100.0 million, replacing the prior authorization that had \$23.8 million remaining. The shares may be repurchased from time to time in open market or privately negotiated transactions, depending on market conditions, at our discretion, and funded by cash from operations. Future repurchases are subject to the discretion of our Board of Directors after considering our results of operations, financial condition, cash flows, capital requirements, existing debt covenants, outlook for our business, general business conditions and other factors.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following selected historical financial data as of December 31, 2015, 2014, 2013, 2012, and 2011, and for the five years in the period ended December 31, 2015, have been derived from our audited Consolidated Financial Statements. This selected financial data should be read in conjunction with “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and the Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

We have accounted for all of our dealership acquisitions using the purchase method of accounting. As a result, we do not include in our financial statements the results of operations of these dealerships prior to the date we acquired them, which may impact the comparability of the financial information presented. Also, as a result of the effects of our acquisitions, dispositions, and other potential factors in the future, the historical financial information described in the selected financial data is not necessarily indicative of our results of operations and financial position in the future or the results of operations and financial position that would have resulted had such transactions occurred at the beginning of the periods presented in the selected financial data.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)				
Income Statement Data:					
Revenues	\$ 10,632,505	\$ 9,937,889	\$ 8,918,581	\$ 7,476,100	\$ 6,079,765
Cost of sales	9,098,533	8,489,951	7,626,035	6,358,848	5,119,165
Gross profit	1,533,972	1,447,938	1,292,546	1,117,252	960,600
Selling, general and administrative expenses	1,120,833	1,061,964	976,856	848,446	735,229
Depreciation and amortization expense	47,239	42,344	35,826	31,534	27,063
Asset impairments	87,562	41,520	6,542	7,276	4,805
Income from operations	278,338	302,110	273,322	229,996	193,503
Other income and (expense):					
Floorplan interest expense	(39,264)	(41,614)	(41,667)	(31,796)	(27,687)
Other interest expense, net	(56,903)	(49,693)	(38,971)	(37,465)	(33,722)
Loss on extinguishment of long-term debt	—	(46,403)	—	—	—
Other expense, net	—	—	(789)	—	—
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	182,171	164,400	191,895	160,735	132,094
Provision for income taxes	(88,172)	(71,396)	(77,903)	(60,526)	(49,700)
Net income	\$ 93,999	\$ 93,004	\$ 113,992	\$ 100,209	\$ 82,394
	Year Ended December 31,				
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)				
Earnings per common share:					
Basic:					
Net income	\$ 3.91	\$ 3.82	\$ 4.72	\$ 4.39	\$ 3.50
Diluted:					
Net income	\$ 3.90	\$ 3.60	\$ 4.32	\$ 4.19	\$ 3.47
Dividends per share	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.70	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.59	\$ 0.48
Weighted average common shares outstanding:					
Basic	23,148	23,380	23,096	21,620	22,157
Diluted	23,152	24,885	25,314	22,688	22,409

	December 31,					
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	
	(Dollars in thousands)					
Balance Sheet Data:						
Working capital	\$ 163,485	\$ 113,020	\$ 102,762	\$ 170,603	\$ 130,637	
Inventories	1,737,751	1,556,705	1,542,318	1,194,288	867,470	
Total assets	4,414,929	4,141,492	3,819,478	3,023,015	2,476,343	
Floorplan notes payable — credit facility and other ⁽¹⁾	1,154,960	1,103,630	1,086,906	856,698	609,738	
Floorplan notes payable — manufacturer affiliates ⁽²⁾	363,571	285,156	346,572	211,965	155,980	
Real Estate Credit Facility, including current portion	54,663	58,003	67,719	56,677	41,003	
Long-term debt, including current portion ⁽³⁾	1,200,996	1,023,464	631,359	521,010	456,261	
Temporary Equity ⁽⁴⁾	—	—	29,094	32,505	—	
Stockholders' equity	\$ 918,252	\$ 978,010	\$ 1,035,175	\$ 860,284	\$ 807,100	
Long-term debt to capitalization ⁽⁵⁾	58	% 53	% 40	% 39	% 38	%

(1) Includes immediately available funds of \$110.8 million, \$39.6 million, \$56.2 million, \$112.3 million, and \$109.2 million, respectively, that we temporarily invest as an offset to the gross outstanding borrowings, as well as \$4.1 million, \$5.5 million, and \$18.1 million as of December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013, respectively, of floorplan borrowings under credit facilities with financial institutions in Brazil.

(2) Includes immediately available funds of \$25.5 million and \$22.5 million as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, that we temporarily invest as an offset to the gross outstanding borrowings.

(3) Includes the 5.00% Notes and 5.25% Notes, Acquisition Line, and other long-term debt and excludes short-term financing.

(4) Redeemable equity portion of the 3.00% Notes reclassified from additional paid in capital.

(5) Includes temporary equity as a component of capitalization.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

You should read the following discussion in conjunction with Part I, including the matters set forth in "Item 1A. Risk Factors," and our Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

Overview

We are a leading operator in the automotive retail industry. Through our dealerships, we sell new and used cars and light trucks; arrange related vehicle financing; sell service and insurance contracts; provide automotive maintenance and repair services; and sell vehicle parts. Our operations are aligned into four geographic regions: the East and West Regions in the U.S., the U.K. Region, and the Brazil Region. Our U.S. regional vice presidents report directly to our Chief Executive Officer and are responsible for the overall performance of their regions, as well as for overseeing the dealership operations management that report to them. Further, the East and West Regions of the U.S. are economically similar in that they deliver the same products and services to a common customer group, their customers are generally individuals, they follow the same procedures and methods in managing their operations, and they operate in similar regulatory environments. As a result, we aggregate the East and West Regions of the U.S. into one reportable segment. The operations of our international regions are structured similarly to the U.S. regions, each with a regional vice president reporting directly to our Chief Executive Officer. As such, our three reportable segments are the U.S., which includes the activities of our corporate office, the U.K. and Brazil.

As of December 31, 2015, we owned and operated 199 franchises, representing 32 brands of automobiles, at 152 dealership locations and 35 collision centers worldwide. We own 151 franchises at 116 dealerships and 28 collision centers in the U.S., 25 franchises at 17 dealerships and six collision centers in the U.K., and 23 franchises at 19 dealerships and one collision center in Brazil. Our operations are primarily located in major metropolitan areas in Alabama, California, Florida, Georgia, Kansas, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Mississippi, New Hampshire, New Jersey, Oklahoma, South Carolina and Texas in the U.S., in 15 towns of the U.K. and in key metropolitan markets in the states of Sao Paulo, Parana and Mato Grosso do Sul in Brazil.

We typically seek to acquire large, profitable, well-established and well-managed dealerships that are leaders in their respective market areas. From January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2015, we have purchased 87 franchises with expected annual revenues, estimated at the time of acquisition, of \$3.7 billion and been granted five new franchises by our manufacturer partners, with expected annual revenues, estimated at the time of acquisition, of \$118.0 million. In 2015 alone, we acquired three dealerships in the U.S. These acquisitions have expected annual revenues, estimated at the time of acquisition, of \$340.0 million. We make disposition decisions based principally on the rate of return on our capital investment, the location of the dealership, our ability to leverage our cost structure, the brand, future capital investments required and existing real estate obligations. From January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2015, we disposed of or terminated 32 franchises with annual revenues of approximately \$1.0 billion. Specifically, during 2015, we disposed of two dealerships and one franchise in the U.S. and terminated two franchises in Brazil, with annual revenues of approximately \$115.0 million. In the following discussion and analysis, we report certain performance measures of our newly acquired and disposed dealerships separately from those of our existing dealerships.

We account for our dealership acquisitions using the purchase method of accounting. As a result, we do not include in our financial statements the results of operations of these dealerships prior to the date we acquired them, which may impact the comparability of the financial information presented. Also, as a result of the effects of our acquisitions, dispositions, and other potential factors in the future, our historical financial information is not necessarily indicative of our results of operations and financial position in the future or the results of operations and financial position that would have resulted had such transactions occurred at the beginning of the periods presented.

Our operating results reflect the combined performance of each of our interrelated business activities, which include the sale of new vehicles, used vehicles, finance and insurance products, and parts, as well as maintenance, repair and collision restoration services. Historically, each of these activities has been directly or indirectly impacted by a variety of supply/demand factors, including vehicle inventories, consumer confidence, discretionary spending levels, availability and affordability of consumer credit, manufacturer incentives, weather patterns, fuel prices, and interest rates. For example, during periods of sustained economic downturn or significant supply/demand imbalances, new vehicle sales may be negatively impacted as consumers tend to shift their purchases to used vehicles. Some consumers may even delay their purchasing decisions altogether, electing instead to continue to maintain and repair their existing

vehicles. In such cases, however, we believe the new vehicle sales impact on our overall business is mitigated by our ability to offer other products and services, such as used vehicles and parts, as well as maintenance, repair and collision restoration services. In addition, our ability to expediently adjust our cost structure in response to changes in new vehicle sales volumes also tempers any negative impact of such volume changes.

In the U.S., we generally experience higher volumes of vehicle sales and service in the second and third calendar quarters of each year. This seasonality is generally attributable to consumer buying trends and the timing of manufacturer new vehicle model introductions. In addition, in some regions of the U.S., vehicle purchases decline during the winter months due to

inclement weather. As a result, our U.S. revenues and operating income are typically lower in the first and fourth quarters and higher in the second and third quarters. For the U.K., the first and third calendar quarters tend to be stronger, driven by the vehicle license plate change months of March and September. For Brazil, we expect higher volumes in the third and fourth calendar quarters. The first quarter is generally the weakest, driven by heavy consumer vacations and activities associated with Carnival. Other factors unrelated to seasonality, such as changes in economic condition, manufacturer incentive programs and changes in currency exchange rates may exaggerate seasonal or cause counter-seasonal fluctuations in our reported revenues and operating income.

According to U.S. industry experts, the annual new light vehicle unit sales for 2015 increased 953 thousand units, or 5.8%, to 17.4 million units, compared to 16.5 million units in 2014. Automotive sales in the U.K. increased 6.3% to 2.6 million during 2015 as compared to the same period a year ago. The Brazilian economy represents the seventh largest economy in the world and until recently has been one of the fastest growing. However, the Brazilian economy is in recession and is facing many challenges. New vehicle registrations in Brazil declined 25.6% during 2015 as compared to the same period a year ago to 2.5 million.

On a consolidated basis for the year ended December 31, 2015, our total revenues increased 7.0% from 2014 to \$10.6 billion and gross profit improved 5.9% to \$1.5 billion. For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, total revenues were \$9.9 billion and \$8.9 billion, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, gross profits were \$1.4 billion and \$1.3 billion, respectively. We generated net income of \$94.0 million, or \$3.90 per diluted common share for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$93.0 million, or \$3.60 per diluted share for the year ended December 31, 2014 and \$114.0 million, or \$4.32 per diluted share for the year ended December 31, 2013. In addition to the matters described above, the following factors impacted our financial condition and results of operations in 2015, 2014, and 2013:

Year Ended December 31, 2015:

Asset Impairments: As a result of our determination that the fair value of goodwill in our Brazil reporting units did not exceed its carrying value, we recorded a \$55.4 million pretax non-cash asset impairment charge. In addition, as a result of our determination that the fair value of indefinite-lived intangible franchise rights related to certain of our franchises did not exceed their carrying value, we recognized a \$30.1 million pretax non-cash impairment charge, of which \$18.1 million related to intangible franchise rights in our two U.S. reporting units and \$12.0 million related to intangible franchise rights in our Brazil reporting unit. Also, we recognized \$2.1 million in pre-tax non-cash asset impairment charges associated with non-operating real estate holdings and other long-lived assets of our existing dealership facilities. In total, we recognized \$87.6 million in pretax non-cash impairment charges.

Catastrophic Events: Our results were negatively impacted by several catastrophic events. Insurance deductibles and other related expenses totaling \$1.6 million were recognized as SG&A expenses as a result of snow storms and flooding during the year.

Real Estate and Dealership Disposition Transactions: We disposed of two U.S. dealerships and terminated one U.S. dealership franchise. We also terminated two franchises in Brazil. As a result, we recognized a pre-tax net gain on sale of dealerships and real estate transactions of \$8.2 million, as a reduction of SG&A expenses. In addition, we disposed of real estate during the year and received cash proceeds of \$3.3 million, recognizing a net gain of \$0.2 million.

Year Ended December 31, 2014:

Extinguishment of Long-Term Debt: We extinguished our 2.25% Convertible Senior Notes due 2036 (“2.25% Notes”) and 3.00% Convertible Senior Notes due 2020 (“3.00% Notes”) and recognized an aggregate loss for 2014 of \$46.4 million.

Asset Impairments: Primarily related to our determination that the fair value of indefinite-lived intangible franchise rights related to certain of our franchises did not exceed their carrying value and an impairment charge was required, we recorded a \$31.0 million pretax non-cash impairment charge. We also recognized a total of \$10.5 million in pre-tax non-cash asset impairment charges related to impairment of various real estate holdings and other long-lived assets.

Non-Cash Interest Expense: Our 2014 results were negatively impacted by \$7.2 million of non-cash interest expense relative to the amortization of the discount associated with our 2.25% Notes and 3.00% Notes representing the impact of the accounting for convertible debt as required by Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting

Standards Codification (“ASC”) 470, Debt (“ASC 470”).

Catastrophic Events: Our results were negatively impacted by several catastrophic events. Insurance deductibles and other related expenses totaling \$2.8 million were recognized as SG&A expense as a result of snow storms, windstorms, and hail damage during the year.

40

Real Estate and Dealership Disposition Transactions: Positively impacting our 2014 results was a pre-tax net gain on sale of dealerships of \$13.3 million.

- Foreign Deductible Goodwill: We recognized a \$3.4 million tax benefit in 2014, as a result of a restructuring in Brazil that created tax deductible goodwill.

Year Ended December 31, 2013:

Asset Impairments: We determined that the fair value of indefinite-lived intangible franchise rights related to four of our franchises did not exceed their carrying value and an impairment charge was required. Accordingly, we recorded a \$5.4 million pretax non-cash impairment charge during the fourth quarter of 2013. We also recognized a total of \$1.1 million in pretax non-cash asset impairment charges related to impairment of various long-lived assets.

Non-Cash Interest Expense: Our 2013 results were negatively impacted by \$10.8 million of non-cash interest expense relative to the amortization of the discount associated with our 2.25% Notes and 3.00% Notes representing the impact of the accounting for convertible debt as required by FASB ASC 470.

Catastrophic Events: Our results were negatively impacted by several catastrophic events. Insurance deductibles and other related expenses totaling \$12.2 million were recognized as SG&A expense as a result of snow storms, windstorms, and hail damage.

Acquisition Costs: Primarily due to our acquisition of UAB Motors in February 2013, we incurred a total of \$6.2 million in acquisition costs.

Net Gain on Real Estate and Dealership Disposition Transactions: Positively impacting our 2013 results was a pre-tax net gain on sale of dealerships of \$10.4 million.

Key Performance Indicators

The following table highlights certain of the key performance indicators we use to manage our business:

Consolidated Statistical Data

	For the Year Ended December 31,			
	2015	2014	2013	
Unit Sales				
Retail Sales				
New Vehicle	174,614	166,896	155,866	
Used Vehicle	124,153	109,873	98,813	
Total Retail Sales	298,767	276,769	254,679	
Wholesale Sales	57,226	54,602	50,736	
Total Vehicle Sales	355,993	331,371	305,415	
Gross Margin				
New Vehicle Retail Sales	5.1	% 5.4	% 5.5	%
Total Used Vehicle Sales	5.8	% 6.5	% 6.8	%
Parts and Service Sales	54.1	% 52.8	% 52.5	%
Total Gross Margin	14.4	% 14.6	% 14.5	%
SG&A as a % of Gross Profit	73.1	% 73.3	% 75.6	%
Operating Margin	2.6	% 3.0	% 3.1	%
Pretax Margin	1.7	% 1.7	% 2.2	%
Finance and Insurance Revenues per Retail Unit Sold	\$1,368	\$1,324	\$1,223	

The following discussion briefly highlights certain of the results and trends occurring within our business. Throughout the following discussion, references may be made to Same Store results and variances which are discussed in more detail in the "Results of Operations" section that follows.

Our results are impacted by changes in exchange rates relating to our U.K. and Brazil segments. As exchange rates fluctuate, our results of operations as reported in U.S. dollars fluctuate. For example, if the British pound sterling were to weaken against the U.S. dollar, our U.K. results of operations would translate into less U.S. dollar reported results. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, the British pound sterling weakened against the U.S. dollar as the average exchange rate decreased 7.7% compared to the same period in 2014. The Brazilian real also weakened against the U.S. dollar as the a

verage exchange rate declined 41.6% as compared to the same period in 2014. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2014, the British pound strengthened against the U.S. dollar as the average rate increased 5.1%, as compared to the same period in 2013. The Brazilian real weakened against the U.S. dollar as the average rate declined 8.5% as compared to the same period in 2013.

2015 compared to 2014

Our consolidated revenues from new vehicle retail sales increased 4.5% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same period in 2014. This growth was primarily a result of better industry conditions in both the U.S. and the U.K., dealership acquisition activity, and the continued execution of key initiatives by our operating team. U.S. industry sales rose 5.8% to a record 17.4 million units for the year ended 2015 as compared to 16.5 million units in 2014. In the U.K., industry sales also set a record with registrations increasing 6.3% to 2.6 million units as compared to the same period in 2014. In the U.S. and U.K., our new vehicle retail unit sales rose 5.1% and 27.3%, for the year ended December 31, 2015, respectively, from 2014 levels. Our new vehicle unit sales growth in the U.S. and U.K. was partially offset by a 19.2% decline in Brazil for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the same period in 2014. The decline reflects overall weaker industry unit sales and dealership dispositions. In Brazil, industry sales declined for the year ended December 31, 2015 by 25.6% as compared to the same period in 2014 to 2.5 million units. This decline was primarily due to decreased consumer confidence, higher interest rates and the expiration of the government sponsored auto purchase tax incentive at the end of 2014. Consolidated new vehicle retail gross margin declined 30 basis points to 5.1% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same period in 2014, primarily reflecting the competitive selling environments in most of the U.S. and U.K. markets in which we operate.

Our used vehicle results are directly affected by economic conditions, the level of manufacturer incentives on new vehicles and new vehicle financing, the number and quality of trade-ins and lease turn-ins, the availability of consumer credit, and our ability to effectively manage the level and quality of our overall used vehicle inventory. The improving industry conditions in the U.S. and U.K. that have benefited new vehicle sales also supported used vehicle demand. As a result, our revenues from used vehicle retail sales increased 13.5% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same period in 2014. We generated increases of 14.6% and 24.1% in the U.S. and U.K., respectively. These increases were partially offset by a 29.7% decline in Brazil, as the result of weaker exchange rates in 2015. On a local currency basis, Brazil's used vehicle retail revenues were relatively flat for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to the same period in 2014. Used vehicle retail gross profit increased for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, primarily as a result of growth in used vehicle retail unit sales of 13.0%, reflecting increases of 12.1% and 30.7% in the U.S. and U.K., respectively. These improvements in used vehicle retail unit sales were partially offset by a decline in used vehicle retail gross profit per retail unit ("PRU") of 8.4% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, reflecting declines of 6.3%, 16.3% and 44.4% in the U.S., U.K. and Brazil, respectively, as compared to the same period in 2014.

Our parts and service sales increased 5.4% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same period in 2014. This growth was driven by increases in all aspects of our business: warranty parts and service, wholesale parts, customer-pay parts and service, and collision restoration. Generally, this is due to the execution of key management initiatives, dealership acquisition activity, an increase in the number of units being recalled by manufacturers (which can only be repaired by franchised dealerships), and an increase in the number of the late-model vehicles in operation (which tend to more consistently return to the dealership for warranty, maintenance and repair services). Specifically, during 2015, our warranty parts and service revenues were bolstered from high volume recall campaigns by a number of manufacturers in each of our regions, including BMW, Toyota, Honda, Acura, Ford, and FCA US (formerly Chrysler). Additionally, as manufacturer paid maintenance programs continue to expand in the U.S., our parts and service business shifts from customer-pay to warranty. The increase in our collision sales was the result of enhanced operational processes, the addition of technicians to add operating capacity and the expansion of our relationships with insurance providers. The increase in our customer-pay and parts wholesales revenues was driven by the U.S. primarily as a result of the execution of management initiatives and dealership acquisition activity. Our parts and service gross margin increased 130 basis points for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same periods in 2014 driven primarily by improvements in the U.S. parts and service gross margin of

120 basis points for the twelve month period of 2015, as compared to 2014. These increases in U.S. gross margin were primarily due to improved profitability in our customer-pay and wholesale parts and service business, as well as higher volume of internal work between the parts and service departments of our dealerships and the new and used vehicle departments, which were generated by improved new and used retail vehicle sales volumes.

For the twelve months ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the same period a year ago, our consolidated finance and insurance revenues increased 11.5% due to a 7.9% increase in retail unit sales and a 3.3% increase in finance and insurance revenues PRU primarily driven by improvements in the U.S. and U.K. In the U.S., finance and insurance revenues increased 12.2% reflecting an 8.0% increase in new and used retail unit sales and a 3.9% increase in finance and insurance revenues PRU driven by increases in income per contract and penetration rates. In the U.K., our finance and insurance revenues improved 27.0% explained by a 28.7% increase in new and used retail sales volume. These increases were partially offset by a 36.2% d

ecline in our finance and insurance revenues in Brazil driven by a 16.5% decrease in new and used retail unit sales and a 23.5% decline in finance and insurance revenues PRU. The decline in the Brazilian finance and insurance revenues PRU is more than explained by the change in exchange rates between periods as the finance and insurance revenues PRU increased 8.5% on a local currency basis.

Our total consolidated gross margin decreased 20 basis points for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015 to 14.4%, as compared to the same period in 2014. Improvements in the parts and service, as well as finance and insurance sectors of our business were more than offset by declines in new and used retail vehicle margins.

Our consolidated SG&A expenses increased in absolute dollars for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same period in 2014, primarily as a result of dealership acquisitions, as well as higher vehicle sales volumes. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, our consolidated SG&A expenses as a percentage of gross profit fell 20 basis points to 73.1%, as compared to the same period in 2014, primarily reflecting the leverage of our cost structure with the improved gross profit, as well as a reduction in charges for catastrophic events and severance costs.

The combination of all of these factors, including non-cash asset impairments of \$87.6 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, resulted in an operating margin of 2.6%, which is a 40 basis-point decline, as compared to the same period in 2014.

For the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, floorplan interest expense decreased 5.6%, as compared to the same period in 2014. This decline was primarily driven by Brazil, as a result of improvements in floorplan and cash management processes and the change in exchange rates between periods. With respect to other interest expense, there was a net increase of 14.5% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same period in 2014, was primarily attributable to interest incurred on our 5.00% Notes offerings. The vast majority of the proceeds from the 5.00% Notes offerings were used to extinguish our 2.25% Notes and our 3.00% Notes during the second and third quarters of 2014. In addition, other net interest expense increased for the year ended December 31, 2015, as compared to 2014, due to additional mortgage borrowings associated with recent dealership acquisitions and purchases of existing leased properties. Further contributing to the increase in other interest expense, net, was the interest related to our 5.25% Note offering that was executed in December 2015 to fund the pay off of outstanding borrowings on the Company's acquisition line of credit, to payoff certain mortgages, to contribute to the Company's floorplan offset accounts and for general corporate purposes.

2014 compared to 2013

Our consolidated revenues from new vehicle retail sales increased 9.9% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013. This growth was primarily a result of better industry conditions in the both the U.S. and U.K., dealership acquisition activity, and the execution of initiatives by our operating team. U.S. industry sales were 16.5 million units for the year ended 2014 as compared to 15.6 million units for the same period in 2013. Our new vehicle revenue growth in the U.S. and U.K. was partially offset by weakening economic conditions in Brazil due to decreased consumer confidence, disruptions from the 2014 FIFA World Cup activities and the effect of unfavorable changes in foreign exchange rates in 2014 relative to 2013. Consolidated new vehicle retail gross margin declined 10 basis points to 5.4% for the year ended December 31, 2014, reflecting the competitive selling environment in the U.S., as well as the weaker Brazilian economy.

Our used vehicle results are directly affected by economic conditions, the level of manufacturer incentives on new vehicles and new vehicle financing, the number and quality of trade-ins and lease turn-ins, the availability of consumer credit, and our ability to effectively manage the level and quality of our overall used vehicle inventory. Our revenues from used vehicle retail sales increased 14.0% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013. The improving economic environment in the U.S. that benefited new vehicle sales also supported improved used vehicle demand. Used vehicle retail gross profit increased, primarily reflecting growth in used vehicle retail unit sales of 11.2%, as compared to 2013. Partially offsetting these improvements was a decline in used vehicle retail gross margin of 40 basis points for year ended December 31, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013. Used vehicle margins are generally lower in our U.K. and Brazil segments, therefore, the decline in consolidated used vehicle gross margin partially relates to the mix shift effect, as a result of a larger contribution from our foreign segments. Further, the U.S. market softened during the second half of 2014 as a result of strong new

vehicle selling environment that shifted some customers from used to new vehicles.

Our parts and service sales increased 11.4%, for the year ended December 31, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013. This growth was driven by increases in all aspects of our business: wholesale parts, collision, warranty parts and service, and customer-pay parts and service. Generally, this is due to an increase in the number of the late-model vehicles in operation, dealership acquisition activity, and the execution of management initiatives. Specifically, the improvement in our collision sales was the result of enhanced operational processes, the addition of technicians to add operating capacity and the expansion of our relationships with insurance providers. Our warranty revenues were bolstered from high volume recall campaigns in the U.S. by original equipment manufacturers (“OEMs”), including General Motors, BMW, Toyota and Ford. Our parts and service gross margin increased 30 basis points for the twelve months ended December 31, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013, with improving U.S. and Brazilian margins more than offsetting the decline in the U.K.

Our consolidated finance and insurance revenues PRU sold increased \$101 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013, primarily as a result of increased income per contract and penetration rates from most of our major U.S. product offerings. Finance and insurance PRU also improved in both the U.K. and Brazil segments for the year ended 2014 by \$131 and \$95, respectively, as compared to 2013, resulting from enhancements to selling processes and strategic training efforts.

Our total gross margin increased ten basis points for the year ended December 31, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013, as improvements in the parts and service, as well as finance and insurance sectors of our business were partially offset by new and used vehicle retail performance.

Our consolidated SG&A expenses increased in absolute dollars for the twelve months ended December 31, 2014, as compared to 2013, primarily as a result of dealership acquisitions, as well as the correlation to vehicle sales volumes. However, SG&A expenses as a percentage of gross profit decreased by 230 basis points to 73.3% for the twelve months ended 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013, reflecting leverage of our cost structure realized with the improved revenue and gross profit, the impact of a decline in charges for catastrophic events and business acquisition costs incurred in 2013 that did not reoccur in 2014, as well as gains on real estate and dealership transactions in 2014. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2014, floorplan interest expense decreased 0.1%, as compared to 2013. This decline primarily represents the full year effect of a decline in our floorplan borrowing rate in the U.S. of 25 basis points that was effective on June 20, 2013 with the amendment to our Revolving Credit Facility. Substantially offsetting this decline was an increase of \$138.9 million in our weighted average floorplan borrowings outstanding as U.S. floorplan borrowings rose to support dealership acquisitions and higher sales rates. The increase in our weighted average floorplan borrowing in the U.S. was partially offset by a decline in our Brazil segment as a result of our decision to refinance a portion of our floorplan borrowings with a working capital line of credit that reduced the overall interest rate that we are charged and redistributed the borrowings and associated interest charges to other long-term debt and interest expense categories. Other interest expense, net increased 27.5% for the year ended December 31, 2014, primarily reflecting the impact of the 5.00% Notes offering. The vast majority of the proceeds from the 5.00% Notes offering were used to extinguish our 2.25% Notes and our 3.00% Notes. In addition, other interest expense increased in our Brazil segment as discussed above. The combination of all of these factors, including \$41.5 million of non-cash asset impairments, resulted in an operating margin of 3.0% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2014, which reflects a 10 basis-point decrease from 2013.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Refer to Note 2 of our Consolidated Financial Statements, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Estimates," for a discussion of those most recent pronouncements that impact us.

Critical Accounting Policies and Accounting Estimates

The preparation of our financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP") principles requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the balance sheet date and the amounts of revenues and expenses recognized during the reporting period. We analyze our estimates based on our historical experience and various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. However, actual results could differ from such estimates. The following is a discussion of our critical accounting estimates and policies.

We have identified below what we believe to be the most pervasive accounting policies and estimates that are of particular importance to the portrayal of our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. See Note 2 to our Consolidated Financial Statements, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Estimates," for further discussion of all our significant accounting policies and estimates.

Revenue Recognition. Revenues from vehicle sales, parts sales, and vehicle service are recognized upon completion of the sale or service and delivery to the customer. Conditions to completing a sale include having an agreement with the customer, including pricing, and having a reasonable expectation that the sales price will be collected. We include revenues from our collision center operations in parts and services sales.

We record the profit we receive for arranging vehicle fleet transactions net in other finance and insurance revenues. Since all sales of new vehicles must occur through franchised new vehicle dealerships, the dealerships effectively act as agents for the automobile manufacturers in completing sales of vehicles to fleet customers. As these customers typically order the vehicles, we have no significant general inventory risk. Additionally, fleet customers generally receive special purchase incentives from the automobile manufacturers and we receive only a nominal fee for facilitating the transactions. Taxes collected from customers and remitted to governmental agencies are not included in total revenues.

We arrange financing for customers through various institutions and receive financing fees based on the difference between the loan rates charged to customers and wholesale financing rates set by the financing institution. In addition, we receive fees from the sale of insurance and vehicle service contracts to customers. Further, through agreements with certain vehicle service contract administrators, we earn volume incentive rebates and interest income on reserves, as well as participate in the underwriting profits of the products. We may be charged back for unearned financing, insurance contract or vehicle service contract fees in the event of early termination of the contracts by customers. Revenues from these fees are recorded at the time of the sale of the vehicles and a reserve for future amounts which might be charged back is established based on our historical chargeback results and the termination provisions of the applicable contracts. While chargeback results vary depending on the type of contract sold, a 10% increase in the historical chargeback results used in determining estimates of future amounts which might be charged back would have increased the reserve at December 31, 2015 by \$3.3 million.

Inventories. New, used and demonstrator vehicle inventories are carried at the lower of specific cost or market and are removed from inventory using the specific identification method in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Parts and accessories inventories are valued at lower of cost (determined on a first-in, first-out basis) or market in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Vehicle inventory cost consists of the amount paid to acquire the inventory, plus the cost of reconditioning, cost of equipment added and transportation cost. Additionally, we receive interest assistance from some of our automobile manufacturers. This assistance is accounted for as a vehicle purchase price discount and is reflected as a reduction to the inventory cost on our Consolidated Balance Sheets and as a reduction to cost of sales in our Statements of Operations as the vehicles are sold. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, inventory cost had been reduced by \$10.3 million and \$8.8 million, respectively, for interest assistance received from manufacturers. New vehicle cost of sales was reduced by \$50.5 million, \$45.1 million, and \$38.5 million for interest assistance received related to vehicles sold for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013, respectively. The assistance over the past three years has ranged from approximately 87.3% of our quarterly floorplan interest expense in the first quarter of

2013 to 139.9% for the third quarter of 2015.

As the market value of inventory typically declines over time, we establish new and used vehicle reserves based on our historical loss experience and considerations of current market trends. These reserves are charged to cost of sales and reduce the carrying value of inventory on hand. Used vehicles are complex to value as there is no standardized source for determining exact values and each vehicle and each market in which we operate is unique. As a result, the value of each used vehicle taken at trade-in, or purchased at auction, is determined based on industry data, primarily accessed via our used vehicle management software and the industry expertise of the responsible used vehicle manager. Valuation risk is partially mitigated, by the speed at which we turn this inventory. At December 31, 2015, our used vehicle days' supply was 33 days.

45

We incur shipping costs in connection with selling the parts to customers. The cost of shipping these parts is included in cost of sales on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Goodwill. Each of our four regions represents a reporting unit for the purpose of assessing goodwill for impairment. Goodwill represents the excess, at the date of acquisition, of the purchase price of the business acquired over the fair value of the net tangible and intangible assets acquired. Annually in the fourth quarter, based on the carrying values of our regions as of October 31st, we perform a fair value and potential impairment assessment of goodwill. An impairment analysis is done more frequently if certain events or circumstances arise that would indicate a change in the fair value of the non-financial asset has occurred (i.e., an impairment indicator).

In evaluating goodwill for impairment, we compare the carrying value of the net assets of each reporting unit to its respective fair value, which is calculated by using unobservable inputs based upon our internally developed assumptions. This represents the first step of the impairment test. If the fair value of a reporting unit is less than the carrying value of its net assets, we must proceed to step two of the impairment test. Step two involves allocating the calculated fair value of the reporting unit to all of the tangible and identifiable intangible assets of the reporting unit, as if the calculated fair value was the purchase price in a business combination. Then we compare the value of the implied goodwill resulting from this second step to the carrying value of the goodwill in the reporting unit. To the extent the carrying value of the goodwill exceeds its implied fair value under step two of the impairment test, a non-cash impairment charge equal to the difference is recorded.

We use a combination of the discounted cash flow, or income approach (80% weighted), and the market approach (20% weighted) to determine the fair value of our reporting units. Included in the discounted cash flow are assumptions regarding revenue growth rates, future gross margins, future SG&A expenses and an estimated weighted average cost of capital (“WACC”). We also must estimate residual values at the end of the forecast period and future capital expenditure requirements. Specifically, with regards to the valuation assumptions utilized in the income approach for the U.S. (which represents our largest two reporting units) as of October 31, 2015, we based our analysis on an estimate of industry sales of 17.8 million units in 2016, increasing 1.0% per year in 2017 and 2018. For the market approach, we utilize recent market multiples of guideline companies for both revenue and pretax net income. Each of these assumptions requires us to use our knowledge of (1) the industry, (2) recent transactions and (3) reasonable performance expectations for our operations. If any one of the above assumptions change or fails to materialize, the resulting decline in the estimated fair value could result in a material non-cash impairment charge to the goodwill associated with our reporting unit(s).

Intangible Franchise Rights. Our only significant identifiable intangible assets, other than goodwill, are rights under franchise agreements with manufacturers, which are recorded at an individual dealership level. We expect these franchise agreements to continue for an indefinite period and, for agreements that do not have indefinite terms, we believe that renewal of these agreements can be obtained without substantial cost, based on the history with the manufacturer. As such, we believe that our franchise agreements will contribute to cash flows for an indefinite period and, therefore, the carrying amounts of the franchise rights are not amortized. Franchise rights acquired in business acquisitions prior to July 1, 2001, were recorded and amortized as part of goodwill and remain as part of goodwill at December 31, 2015 and 2014 in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. Since July 1, 2001, intangible franchise rights acquired in business combinations have been recorded as distinctly separate intangible assets.

We evaluate these franchise rights for impairment annually in the fourth quarter, based on the carrying values of our individual dealerships as of October 31st, or more frequently if events or circumstances indicate possible impairment has occurred. In performing our impairment assessments, we test the carrying value of each individual franchise right that was recorded by using a direct value method discounted cash flow model, or income approach, specifically the excess earnings method. Included in this analysis are assumptions, at a dealership level, regarding the cash flows directly attributable to the franchise rights, revenue growth rates, future gross margins and future SG&A expenses. Using an estimated WACC, estimated residual values at the end of the forecast period and estimated future capital expenditure requirements, we calculate the fair value of each dealership’s franchise rights.

If any one of the above assumptions change or fails to materialize, the resulting decline in the intangible franchise rights’ estimated fair value could result in a non-cash impairment charge to the intangible franchise right associated with the applicable dealership. See Note 15 to our Consolidated Financial Statements, “Asset Impairments,” and Note 16

to our Consolidated Financial Statements, "Intangible Franchise Rights and Goodwill," for additional details regarding our intangible franchise rights.

Income Taxes. Currently, we operate in 14 different states in the U.S., in the U.K., and in Brazil, each of which has unique tax rates and payment calculations. As the amount of income generated in each jurisdiction varies from period to period, our estimated effective tax rate can vary based on the proportion of taxable income generated in each jurisdiction. We follow the liability method of accounting for income taxes in accordance with ASC 740, Income Taxes. Under this method, deferred income taxes are recorded based on differences between the financial reporting and tax basis of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the underlying assets are realized or liabilities are

settled. A valuation allowance reduces deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Each tax position must satisfy a threshold of more-likely-than-not and a measurement attribute for some or all of the benefits of that position to be recognized in a company's financial statements. See Note 7 to our Consolidated Financial Statements, "Income Taxes," for additional information. We have recognized deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowances, that we believe will be realized, based primarily on the assumption of future taxable income. As it relates to net operating losses, a corresponding valuation allowance has been established to the extent that we have determined that net income attributable to certain jurisdictions will not be sufficient to realize the benefit.

Fair Value of Assets Acquired and Liabilities Assumed. The fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in business combinations are estimated using various assumptions. The most significant assumptions, and those requiring the most judgment, involve the estimated fair values of property and equipment and intangible franchise rights, with the remaining amounts attributable to goodwill, if any. We utilize third-party experts to determine the fair values of property and equipment purchased, including real estate and our fair value model as discussed under "Intangible Franchise Rights" above to determine the fair value of intangible franchise rights acquired.

Derivative Financial Instruments. One of our primary market risk exposures is increasing interest rates. Interest rate derivatives, designated as cash flow hedges, are used to adjust interest rate exposures when appropriate based on market conditions.

We follow the requirements of guidance primarily codified within ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging ("ASC 815") pertaining to the accounting for derivatives and hedging activities. ASC 815 requires us to recognize all cash flow hedges on our Consolidated Balance Sheet at fair value. The related gains or losses on these interest rate derivatives are deferred in stockholders' equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss. These deferred gains and losses are recognized in interest expense in the period in which the related items being hedged are recognized in interest expense. However, to the extent that the change in value of a derivative contract does not perfectly offset the change in the value of the items being hedged, that ineffective portion is immediately recognized in other income or expense. Monthly contractual settlements of these swap positions are recognized as floorplan or other interest expense in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. All of our interest rate hedges were designated as cash flow hedges and were deemed to be effective at December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013.

We measure the carrying value of our interest rate derivative instruments utilizing an income approach valuation technique, converting future amounts of cash flows to a single present value in order to obtain a transfer exit price within the bid and ask spread that is most representative of the fair value of our derivative instruments. In measuring fair value, we utilize the option-pricing Black-Scholes present value technique for all of our derivative instruments. This option-pricing technique utilizes a one-month London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") forward yield curve, obtained from an independent external service provider, matched to the identical maturity term of the instrument being measured. Observable inputs utilized in the income approach valuation technique incorporate identical contractual notional amounts, fixed coupon rates, periodic terms for interest payments and contract maturity. Our fair value estimate of the interest rate derivative instruments also considers the credit risk of our instruments in a liability position or the counterparty for the instruments in an asset position. The credit risk is calculated by using the spread between the one-month LIBOR yield curve and the relevant average 10 and 20-year retail rate according to Standard and Poor's. We have determined the valuation measurement inputs of these derivative instruments to maximize the use of observable inputs that market participants would use in pricing similar or identical instruments and market data obtained from independent sources, which is readily observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the derivative instrument. Accordingly, we have classified the derivatives within Level 2 of the ASC 820 hierarchy framework in Note 13 to our Consolidated Financial Statements, "Fair Value Measurements." We validate the outputs of our valuation technique by comparison to valuations from the respective counterparties.

Self-Insured Medical, Property and Casualty Reserves. We purchase insurance policies for worker's compensation, liability, auto physical damage, property, pollution, employee medical benefits and other risks, consisting of large deductibles and/or self-insured retentions.

At least annually, we engage a third-party actuary to conduct a study of the exposures under the self-insured portion of our worker's compensation and general liability insurance programs for all open policy years. In the interim, we review

the estimates within the study and monitor actual experience for unusual variances. The appropriate adjustments are made to the accrual, based upon these procedures. Actuarial estimates for the portion of claims not covered by insurance are based on historical claims experience adjusted for loss trending and loss development factors. Changes in the frequency or severity of claims from historical levels could influence our reserve for claims and our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. A 10% increase in the actuarially determined estimate of aggregate future losses would have increased the reserve for these losses at December 31, 2015, by \$2.1 million.

Our auto physical damage insurance coverage is limited and contains two layers of coverage. The first layer is composed of a \$10.0 million per occurrence company deductible with an annual maximum aggregate deductible of \$30.0 million with no maximum payout. The secondary policy provides for an additional \$10.0 million, maximum, in annual loss coverage after we have either incurred \$20.0 million in company-paid deductibles related to hail loss, or incurred \$5.0 million in company-paid deductibles related to any weather event other than hail.

For policy years ended prior to October 31, 2005, our workers' compensation and general liability insurance coverage included aggregate retention (stop loss) limits in addition to a per claim deductible limit ("Stop Loss Plans"). Due to historical experience in both claims frequency and severity, the likelihood of breaching the aggregate retention limits described above was deemed remote, and as such, we elected not to purchase this stop loss coverage for the policy year beginning November 1, 2005 and for each subsequent year ("No Stop Loss Plans"). Our exposure per claim under the No Stop Loss Plans is limited to \$1.0 million per occurrence, with unlimited exposure on the number of claims up to \$1.0 million that may be incurred. As of December 31, 2015, we have accrued \$0.3 million and \$20.3 million for our Stop Loss and No Stop Loss plans, respectively. Our maximum potential exposure under worker's compensation and general liability insurance Stop Loss Plans totaled \$34.9 million at December 31, 2015, before consideration of amounts previously paid or accruals recorded related to our loss projections. After consideration of the amounts paid or accrued, the remaining potential loss exposure under the Stop Loss Plans totaled \$13.9 million at December 31, 2015.

Variable Interest Entity. In 2013, we entered into arrangements to provide a fixed-interest-rate working capital loan and various administrative services to a related-party entity that owns and operates retail automotive dealerships for a variable fee, both of which constitute variable interests in the entity. Our exposure to loss as a result of our involvement in the entity includes the balance outstanding under the loan arrangement. We hold an 8% equity ownership interest in the entity. We have determined that the entity meets the criteria of a variable interest entity ("VIE"). The terms of the loan and services agreements provide us with the right to control the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance, the obligation to absorb potentially significant losses of the VIE and the right to receive potentially significant benefits from the VIE. Accordingly, we qualify as the VIE's primary beneficiary and consolidated 100% of the assets and liabilities of the VIE as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, as well as 100% of the results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013.

Results of Operations

The “Same Store” amounts presented below include the results of dealerships for the identical months in each period presented in the comparison, commencing with the first full month in which the dealership was owned by us and, in the case of dispositions, ending with the last full month it was owned by us. For example, for a dealership acquired in June 2014, the results from this dealership will appear in our Same Store comparison beginning in 2015 for the period July 2015 through December 2015, when comparing to July 2014 through December 2014 results. Depending on the periods being compared, the dealerships included in Same Store will vary. For this reason, the 2014 Same Store results that are compared to 2015 differ from those used in the comparison to 2013. Same Store results also include the activities of our corporate headquarters.

The following table summarizes our combined Same Store results for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014 and for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to 2013.

Total Same Store Data

(dollars in thousands, except per unit amounts)

	For The Year Ended December 31,						
	2015	% Increase/ (Decrease)	2014	2014	% Increase/ (Decrease)	2013	
Revenues							
New vehicle retail	\$5,616,700	1.6%	\$5,530,067	\$5,237,021	4.3%	\$5,022,142	
Used vehicle retail	2,453,406	8.9%	2,253,681	2,113,710	7.1%	1,973,062	
Used vehicle wholesale	351,649	(3.0)%	362,498	347,341	8.8%	319,285	
Parts and service	1,118,994	3.7%	1,078,936	1,032,102	6.3%	970,841	
Finance, insurance and other	387,230	7.8%	359,356	339,921	12.1%	303,111	
Total revenues	\$9,927,979	3.6%	\$9,584,538	\$9,070,095	5.6%	\$8,588,441	
Cost of Sales							
New vehicle retail	\$5,334,257	2.0%	\$5,230,371	\$4,955,323	4.5%	\$4,741,862	
Used vehicle retail	2,285,372	9.7%	2,083,660	1,956,353	7.7%	1,816,496	
Used vehicle wholesale	352,800	(2.0)%	360,005	344,358	8.2%	318,407	
Parts and service	514,346	1.3%	507,689	487,856	5.6%	462,018	
Total cost of sales	8,486,775	3.7%	8,181,725	7,743,890	5.5%	7,338,783	
Gross profit	\$1,441,204	2.7%	\$1,402,813	\$1,326,205	6.1%	\$1,249,658	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	\$1,058,157	3.1%	\$1,026,125	\$977,375	3.5%	\$943,897	
Depreciation and amortization expenses	\$44,673	9.9%	\$40,651	\$38,577	9.8%	\$35,125	
Floorplan interest expense	\$37,223	(8.4)%	\$40,617	\$37,869	(6.6)%	\$40,554	
Gross margin							
New vehicle retail	5.0	%	5.4	% 5.4	%	5.6	%
Used vehicle	5.9	%	6.6	% 6.5	%	6.9	%
Parts and service	54.0	%	52.9	% 52.7	%	52.4	%
Total gross margin	14.5	%	14.6	% 14.6	%	14.6	%
SG&A as a % of gross profit	73.4	%	73.1	% 73.7	%	75.5	%
Operating margin	2.5	%	3.2	% 3.0	%	3.1	%
Finance and insurance revenues per retail unit sold	\$1,371	2.2%	\$1,342	\$1,352	9.3%	\$1,237	

The discussion that follows provides explanations for the variances noted above. In addition, each table presents by primary income statement line item comparative financial and non-financial data of our Same Store locations, those locations acquired or disposed of (“Transactions”) during the periods, and the consolidated company for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013.

Our results are impacted by changes in exchange rates relating to our U.K. and Brazil segments. As exchange rates fluctuate, our results of operations as reported in U.S. dollars fluctuate. For example, if the British pound sterling were to weaken against the U.S. dollar, our U.K. results of operations would translate into less U.S. dollar reported results. The British pound sterling weakened against the U.S. dollar as the average exchange rate during the twelve months ended December 31, 2015 decreased 7.7% compared to the same period in 2014. The Brazilian real weakened against the U.S. dollar, as well. The average exchange rate during the twelve months ended December 31, 2015 declined 41.6% as compared to the same period in 2014. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2014, the British pound strengthened against the U.S. dollar as the average rate increased 5.1%, as compared to the same period in 2013. The Brazilian real weakened against the U.S. dollar as the average rate during the twelve months ended December 31, 2014 declined 8.5% as compared to the same period in 2013.

50

New Vehicle Retail Data

(dollars in thousands, except per unit amounts)

For The Year Ended December 31,

	2015	% Increase/ (Decrease)	2014	2014	% Increase/ (Decrease)	2013		
Retail Unit Sales								
Same Stores								
U.S.	135,994	3.6%	131,249	124,274	4.3%	119,202		
U.K.	15,974	10.8%	14,412	13,996	2.2%	13,699		
Brazil	13,460	(11.3)%	15,180	13,847	(16.6)%	16,594		
Total Same Stores	165,428	2.9%	160,841	152,117	1.8%	149,495		
Transactions	9,186		6,055	14,779		6,371		
Total	174,614	4.6%	166,896	166,896	7.1%	155,866		
Retail Sales Revenues								
Same Stores								
U.S.	\$4,722,491	4.9%	\$4,501,913	\$4,276,808	6.2%	\$4,027,539		
U.K.	534,117	5.1%	508,345	500,004	13.2%	441,537		
Brazil	360,092	(30.7)%	519,809	460,209	(16.8)%	553,066		
Total Same Stores	5,616,700	1.6%	5,530,067	5,237,021	4.3%	5,022,142		
Transactions	384,606		211,552	504,598		202,779		
Total	\$6,001,306	4.5%	\$5,741,619	\$5,741,619	9.9%	\$5,224,921		
Gross Profit								
Same Stores								
U.S.	\$224,561	(3.6)%	\$232,997	\$218,544	3.3%	\$211,545		
U.K.	33,967	0.4%	33,820	33,516	17.9%	28,424		
Brazil	23,915	(27.3)%	32,879	29,638	(26.5)%	40,311		
Total Same Stores	282,443	(5.8)%	299,696	281,698	0.5%	280,280		
Transactions	23,034		11,521	29,519		9,595		
Total	\$305,477	(1.8)%	\$311,217	\$311,217	7.4%	\$289,875		
Gross Profit per Retail Unit Sold								
Same Stores								
U.S.	\$1,651	(7.0)%	\$1,775	\$1,759	(0.9)%	\$1,775		
U.K.	\$2,126	(9.4)%	\$2,347	\$2,395	15.4%	\$2,075		
Brazil	\$1,777	(18.0)%	\$2,166	\$2,140	(11.9)%	\$2,429		
Total Same Stores	\$1,707	(8.4)%	\$1,863	\$1,852	(1.2)%	\$1,875		
Transactions	\$2,508		\$1,903	\$1,997		\$1,506		
Total	\$1,749	(6.2)%	\$1,865	\$1,865	0.3%	\$1,860		
Gross Margin								
Same Stores								
U.S.	4.8	%	5.2	%	5.1	%	5.3	%
U.K.	6.4	%	6.7	%	6.7	%	6.4	%
Brazil	6.6	%	6.3	%	6.4	%	7.3	%
Total Same Stores	5.0	%	5.4	%	5.4	%	5.6	%
Transactions	6.0	%	5.4	%	5.9	%	4.7	%
Total	5.1	%	5.4	%	5.4	%	5.5	%

The following table sets forth our Same Store retail unit sales volume and the percentage changes from year to year by manufacturer:

Same Store New Vehicle Unit Sales

	For The Year Ended December 31,					
	2015	% Increase/ (Decrease)	2014	2014	% Increase/ (Decrease)	2013
Toyota/Scion/Lexus	46,157	3.4%	44,621	42,539	5.0%	40,529
Ford/Lincoln	19,797	9.2	18,132	16,275	(9.1)	17,907
Honda/Acura	19,019	6.4	17,870	17,136	(2.3)	17,538
BMW/MINI	17,556	(0.6)	17,664	17,285	5.0	16,461
Nissan	14,570	(7.0)	15,664	15,217	2.6	14,830
Chevrolet/GMC/Buick/Cadillac	11,155	6.3	10,496	8,119	8.6	7,479
Volkswagen/Audi/Porsche	9,990	1.1	9,886	10,217	5.0	9,729
Hyundai/Kia	9,473	5.1	9,012	7,585	10.0	6,895
Chrysler/Dodge/Jeep/RAM	7,962	9.5	7,268	7,268	17.7	6,173
Mercedes-Benz/smart/Sprinter	6,195	(5.3)	6,541	6,536	0.1	6,529
Other	3,554	(3.6)	3,687	3,940	(27.4)	5,425
Total	165,428	2.9%	160,841	152,117	1.8%	149,495

In total, our Same Store new vehicle retail unit sales improved in many of our major brands highlighted by a 9.2% improvement in Ford/Lincoln, a 3.4% increase in Toyota/Lexus and a 6.4% increase in Honda/Acura, as well as a 9.5% increase in Chrysler/Dodge/Jeep/Ram, a 6.3% increase in Chevrolet/GMC/Buick/Cadillac, and a 5.1% increase in Hyundai/Kia. These improvements were primarily driven by our Same Store U.S. operations that grew new vehicle retail unit sales by 3.6% for the year ended December 31, 2015, led by increases of 4.7% in Toyota/Scion/Lexus, 5.3% in Ford/Lincoln, 9.5% in Chrysler/Dodge/Jeep/RAM, 6.3% in Chevrolet/GMC/Buick/Cadillac, 4.3% in Honda/Acura and a 5.1% in Hyundai/Kia, as compared to the same period in 2014. The focus that we have placed on capturing market share by improving our dealership sales processes, as well as the increase in overall U.S. industry sales, which increased to a record 17.4 million units for the year ended December 31, 2015 from 16.5 million units in 2014, were the primary contributing factors in our U.S. new vehicle unit sales growth. We also generated improvements in our Same Store new vehicle unit sales in the U.K. of 10.8% for 2015 driven by increases of 26.9% in Ford, 8.0% in BMW/MINI, and a 4.0% in Audi as compared to 2014. These increases were primarily due to enhanced sales processes, as well as an increase in overall U.K. industry sales. In the U.K., industry sales experienced a record year with registrations surpassing 2.6 million units, an increase of 6.3% as compared to the same period in 2014. The increases in Same Store new vehicle retail units in the U.S. and U.K. were partially offset by an 11.3% decline in Brazil for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the same period in 2014. While new vehicle retail units sales declined year over year, our results in Brazil outperformed the market as a result of our strong brand mix and operating team. In Brazil, industry sales declined for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015 by 25.6% to 2.5 million units as compared to the same period in 2014. This decline was primarily due to decreased consumer confidence, higher interest rates, the expiration of the government sponsored auto purchase tax incentive at the end of 2014 and a deepening economic recession.

Our total Same Store revenues from new vehicle retail sales increased 1.6% for the period ending December 31, 2015, as compared to the same period in 2014. This increase was driven by the 3.6% increase in new vehicle retail unit sales in the U.S. highlighted above, coupled with a 1.2% increase in our U.S. Same Store average retail sales price to \$34,726. The increase in our U.S. Same Store average retail sales price for the period ending December 31, 2015 was partially a result of a mix shift in sales from cars to trucks. U.S. new vehicle retail truck sales represented 51.4% of total Same Store new vehicle retail units sold, as compared to 48.2% for the same period last year. The increase in total Same Store new vehicle retail revenues was also attributable to the U.K., where Same Store new vehicle revenues increased by 5.1%. This increase was the result of a 10.8% increase in new vehicle retail unit sales highlighted above, partially offset by a 5.2% decline in the average new vehicle retail sales price. The decline in the average sales price was driven by the change in exchange rates between periods. On a local currency basis, our U.K.

Same Store average new vehicle retail sales price improved 2.3%. Partially offsetting these increases in the U.S. and U.K. was a 30.7% decline in Brazil Same Store new vehicle retail revenues. This decline was due to an 11.3% decrease in new vehicle retail units sales, coupled with a decline of 21.9% in the average new vehicle retail sales price. The decline in the average new vehicle retail sales price was driven by the change in the exchange rate between periods. On a local currency basis, our Brazil Same Store average new vehicle retail sales price improved 9.9%. The level of retail sales, as well as our own ability to retain or grow market share during any future period, is difficult to predict.

52

Our total Same Store new vehicle gross profit decreased 5.8% for the period ending December 31, 2015, as compared to the same period in 2014. In the U.S., Same Store new vehicle gross profit declined 3.6%, explained by a 7.0% decline in gross profit PRU to \$1,651. Strong competition among U.S. dealerships for new vehicle sales, particularly car-centric, volume import brands, continued during 2015 and caused downward margin pressure. Same Store new vehicle gross profit in the U.K. increased 0.4%, as the increase in new vehicle sales volume was substantially offset by a decline in gross profit PRU of 9.4% to \$2,126. The decline in the U.K. gross profit PRU is partially explained by the change in exchange rate between periods, as on a local currency basis Same Store new vehicle gross profit PRU declined by 2.2%. This local currency decline is primarily the result of a change in our sales mix. In addition, in the U.S. and U.K., Same Store new vehicle gross profit PRU declined in our BMW and Daimler (including Mercedes-Benz) brands, as inventory and days' supply levels grew as compared to the same period last year resulting from increased inventory allocation from our manufacturer partners. In Brazil, Same Store new vehicle gross profit declined 27.3% and new vehicle gross profit PRU declined 18.0%. The decrease in gross profit and gross profit PRU in Brazil can be explained by the change in the exchange rate between periods. On a local currency basis, Same Store new vehicle gross profit and new vehicle gross profit PRU increased 1.9% and 14.9%, respectively, primarily from our Honda and Nissan brands. As a result, our total Same Store new vehicle gross margin for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the same period in 2014 declined 40 basis points from 5.4% to 5.0%.

Our total Same Store revenues from new vehicle retail sales increased 4.3% for the year ended December 31, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013, primarily explained by a 4.3% and 2.2% increase in new vehicle retail unit sales in the U.S. and U.K., respectively. Coupled with this growth in Same Store new vehicle retail units, we experienced increases in Same Store average retail sales price of 1.9% and 10.8% for the U.S. and U.K., respectively. The growth in our U.S. Same Store average retail sales price was highlighted by increases in our Toyota, BMW, FCA US (formerly Chrysler), Daimler (including Mercedes Benz) and General Motors brands. The increase Same Store new vehicle retail revenues in the U.K. was driven by our Audi brand where we experienced a 17.5% increase in Same Store new vehicle retail units sales coupled with a 7.4% increase in new vehicle average sales price. In addition, we generated Same Store new vehicle retail revenue improvement in our BMW brand in the U.K. where we realized increases of 13.6% in Same Store new vehicle retail unit sales and 12.7% increase in average retail sales price. These increases more than offset the decline relating to our Ford brand volumes in the U.K. that decreased as a result of a strategic decision to stop high-volume, low margin new vehicle sales during 2014. Our Brazil segment experienced a decline in Same Store retail unit sales of 16.6%, while our average sales price remained flat for the twelve months ended December 31, 2014 as compared to the same period last year.

Our total Same Store new vehicle gross profit increased 0.5% for the year ended December 31, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013. U.S. Same Store new vehicle gross profit rose 3.3%, on the growth in new vehicle retail unit sales which was partially offset by a 0.9% decline in gross profit PRU. Same Store gross profit in the U.K. grew 17.9%, as a result of a 15.4% improvement in gross profit PRU coupled with an improvement in new vehicle retail unit sales. Brazil's Same Store new vehicle gross profit declined 26.5%, driven by a 16.6% decrease in unit sales and the negative impact of the devaluation in the Brazilian real to the U.S. dollar. As a result, our total Same Store new vehicle gross margin for the year ended December 31, 2014 declined 20 basis points to 5.4%, as compared to the same period in 2013.

Most manufacturers offer interest assistance to offset floorplan interest charges incurred in connection with inventory purchases. This assistance varies by manufacturer, but generally provides for a defined amount, adjusted periodically for changes in market interest rates, regardless of our actual floorplan interest rate or the length of time for which the inventory is financed. We record these incentives as a reduction of new vehicle cost of sales as the vehicles are sold, impacting the gross profit and gross margin detailed above. The total assistance recognized in cost of sales during the period ending December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013 was \$50.5 million, \$45.1 million, and \$38.5 million, respectively. The amount of interest assistance we recognize in a given period is primarily a function of: (a) the mix of units being sold, as U.S. domestic brands tend to provide more assistance, (b) the specific terms of the respective manufacturers' interest assistance programs and market interest rates, (c) the average wholesale price of inventory sold, and (d) our rate of inventory turnover. Over the past three years, manufacturers' interest assistance as a percentage of our total consolidated floorplan interest expense has ranged from 87.3% in the first quarter of 2013 to 139.9% in the third

quarter of 2015. In the U.S., manufacturer's interest assistance was 136.4% of floorplan interest expense in the fourth quarter of 2015.

We increased our new vehicle inventory levels by \$125.3 million, or 11.0%, from \$1,137.5 million as of December 31, 2014 to \$1,262.8 million as of December 31, 2015. This increase was primarily in response to an improved selling environment in both the U.S. and U.K. and the acquisition of additional dealerships. In addition, our supply of luxury brand units, such as Mercedes-Benz and BMW, has increased, primarily in the fourth quarter of 2015, as our OEM partners have redirected additional supply to the U.S. and U.K. to offset weakness in other global markets. Our consolidated days' supply of new vehicle inventory was 67 days as of December 31, 2015, which is up from 63 days on December 31, 2014.

Used Vehicle Retail Data

(dollars in thousands, except per unit amounts)

	For The Year Ended December 31,							
	2015	% Increase/ (Decrease)	2014	2014	% Increase/ (Decrease)	2013		
Retail Unit Sales								
Same Stores								
U.S.	100,176	9.4%	91,606	85,266	3.7%	82,235		
U.K.	12,083	14.1%	10,588	9,902	8.7%	9,109		
Brazil	4,844	1.0%	4,798	4,135	(3.1)%	4,266		
Total Same Stores	117,103	9.5%	106,992	99,303	3.9%	95,610		
Transactions	7,050		2,881	10,570		3,203		
Total	124,153	13.0%	109,873	109,873	11.2%	98,813		
Retail Sales Revenues								
Same Stores								
U.S.	\$2,075,497	11.3%	\$1,864,698	\$1,752,151	5.4%	\$1,662,671		
U.K.	295,667	6.3%	278,116	266,183	20.1%	221,590		
Brazil	82,242	(25.8)%	110,867	95,376	7.4%	88,801		
Total Same Stores	2,453,406	8.9%	2,253,681	2,113,710	7.1%	1,973,062		
Transactions	185,563		71,187	211,158		66,366		
Total	\$2,638,969	13.5%	\$2,324,868	\$2,324,868	14.0%	\$2,039,428		
Gross Profit								
Same Stores								
U.S.	\$149,006	1.4%	\$147,001	\$136,065	(1.3)%	\$137,811		
U.K.	15,546	(6.6)%	16,648	15,883	9.9%	14,446		
Brazil	3,482	(45.4)%	6,372	5,409	25.5%	4,309		
Total Same Stores	168,034	(1.2)%	170,021	157,357	0.5%	156,566		
Transactions	11,436		3,501	16,165		4,313		
Total	\$179,470	3.4%	\$173,522	\$173,522	7.9%	\$160,879		
Gross Profit per Retail Unit Sold								
Same Stores								
U.S.	\$1,487	(7.4)%	\$1,605	\$1,596	(4.8)%	\$1,676		
U.K.	\$1,287	(18.1)%	\$1,572	\$1,604	1.1%	\$1,586		
Brazil	\$719	(45.9)%	\$1,328	\$1,308	29.5%	\$1,010		
Total Same Stores	\$1,435	(9.7)%	\$1,589	\$1,585	(3.2)%	\$1,638		
Transactions	\$1,622	33.5%	\$1,215	\$1,529	13.5%	\$1,347		
Total	\$1,446	(8.4)%	\$1,579	\$1,579	(3.0)%	\$1,628		
Gross Margin								
Same Stores								
U.S.	7.2	%	7.9	%	7.8	%	8.3	%
U.K.	5.3	%	6.0	%	6.0	%	6.5	%
Brazil	4.2	%	5.7	%	5.7	%	4.9	%
Total Same Stores	6.8	%	7.5	%	7.4	%	7.9	%
Transactions	6.2	%	4.9	%	7.7	%	6.5	%
Total	6.8	%	7.5	%	7.5	%	7.9	%

Used Vehicle Wholesale Data

(dollars in thousands, except per unit amounts)

For The Year Ended December 31,

	2015	% Increase/ (Decrease)	2014	2014	% Increase/ (Decrease)	2013	
Wholesale Unit Sales							
Same Stores							
U.S.	42,065	(0.1)%	42,120	40,036	4.9%	38,163	
U.K.	9,379	8.8%	8,618	8,181	5.8%	7,735	
Brazil	1,552	(22.5)%	2,002	1,904	(31.4)%	2,775	
Total Same Stores	52,996	0.5%	52,740	50,121	3.0%	48,673	
Transactions	4,230		1,862	4,481		2,063	
Total	57,226	4.8%	54,602	54,602	7.6%	50,736	
Wholesale Sales Revenues							
Same Stores							
U.S.	\$266,864	(0.3)%	\$267,799	\$255,504	13.7%	\$224,686	
U.K.	77,846	(2.6)%	79,891	77,993	18.0%	66,077	
Brazil	6,939	(53.1)%	14,808	13,844	(51.5)%	28,522	
Total Same Stores	351,649	(3.0)%	362,498	347,341	8.8%	319,285	
Transactions	45,602		16,645	31,802		12,900	
Total	\$397,251	4.8%	\$379,143	\$379,143	14.1%	\$332,185	
Gross Profit							
Same Stores							
U.S.	\$(1,016)	(157.2)%	\$1,777	\$2,331	647.1%	\$312	
U.K.	(632)	(55.7)%	(406)	(446)	47.9%	(856)	
Brazil	497	(55.7)%	1,122	1,098	(22.8)%	1,422	
Total Same Stores	(1,151)	(146.2)%	2,493	2,983	239.7%	878	
Transactions	(769)		(174)	(664)		(1,073)	
Total	\$(1,920)	(182.8)%	\$2,319	\$2,319	1,289.2%	\$(195)	
Gross Profit per Wholesale Unit Sold							
Same Stores							
U.S.	\$(24)	(157.1)%	\$42	\$58	625.0%	\$8	
U.K.	\$(67)	(42.6)%	\$(47)	\$(55)	50.5%	\$(111)	
Brazil	\$320	(42.9)%	\$560	\$577	12.7%	\$512	
Total Same Stores	\$(22)	(146.8)%	\$47	\$60	233.3%	\$18	
Transactions	\$(182)	(95.7)%	\$(93)	\$(148)	71.5%	\$(520)	
Total	\$(34)	(181.0)%	\$42	\$42	1,150.0%	\$(4)	
Gross Margin							
Same Stores							
U.S.	(0.4)	%	0.7	0.9	%	0.1	%
U.K.	(0.8)	%	(0.5)	(0.6)	%	(1.3)	%
Brazil	7.2	%	7.6	7.9	%	5.0	%
Total Same Stores	(0.3)	%	0.7	0.9	%	0.3	%
Transactions	(1.7)	%	(1.0)	(2.1)	%	(8.3)	%
Total	(0.5)	%	0.6	0.6	%	(0.1)	%

Edgar Filing: GROUP 1 AUTOMOTIVE INC - Form 10-K

Total Used Vehicle Data

(dollars in thousands, except per unit amounts)

For The Year Ended December 31,

	2015	% Increase/ (Decrease)	2014	2014	% Increase/ (Decrease)	2013		
Used Vehicle Unit								
Sales								
Same Stores								
U.S.	142,241	6.4%	133,726	125,302	4.1%	120,398		
U.K.	21,462	11.7%	19,206	18,083	7.4%	16,844		
Brazil	6,396	(5.9)%	6,800	6,039	(14.2)%	7,041		
Total Same Stores	170,099	6.5%	159,732	149,424	3.6%	144,283		
Transactions	11,280		4,743	15,051		5,266		
Total	181,379	10.3%	164,475	164,475	10.0%	149,549		
Sales Revenues								
Same Stores								
U.S.	\$2,342,361	9.8%	\$2,132,497	\$2,007,655	6.4%	\$1,887,357		
U.K.	373,513	4.3%	358,007	344,176	19.6%	287,667		
Brazil	89,181	(29.0)%	125,675	109,220	(6.9)%	117,323		
Total Same Stores	2,805,055	7.2%	2,616,179	2,461,051	7.4%	2,292,347		
Transactions	231,165		87,832	242,960		79,266		
Total	\$3,036,220	12.3%	\$2,704,011	\$2,704,011	14.0%	\$2,371,613		
Gross Profit								
Same Stores								
U.S.	\$147,990	(0.5)%	\$148,778	\$138,396	0.2%	\$138,123		
U.K.	14,914	(8.2)%	16,242	15,437	13.6%	13,590		
Brazil	3,979	(46.9)%	7,494	6,507	13.5%	5,731		
Total Same Stores	166,883	(3.3)%	172,514	160,340	1.8%	157,444		
Transactions	10,667		3,327	15,501		3,240		
Total	\$177,550	1.0%	\$175,841	\$175,841	9.4%	\$160,684		
Gross Profit per Used Vehicle Unit Sold								
Same Stores								
U.S.	\$1,040	(6.6)%	\$1,113	\$1,104	(3.7)%	\$1,147		
U.K.	\$695	(17.8)%	\$846	\$854	5.8%	\$807		
Brazil	\$622	(43.6)%	\$1,102	\$1,077	32.3%	\$814		
Total Same Stores	\$981	(9.2)%	\$1,080	\$1,073	(1.6)%	\$1,091		
Transactions	\$946	35.0%	\$701	\$1,030	67.5%	\$615		
Total	\$979	(8.4)%	\$1,069	\$1,069	(0.5)%	\$1,074		
Gross Margin								
Same Stores								
U.S.	6.3	%	7.0	%	6.9	%	7.3	%
U.K.	4.0	%	4.5	%	4.5	%	4.7	%
Brazil	4.5	%	6.0	%	6.0	%	4.9	%
Total Same Stores	5.9	%	6.6	%	6.5	%	6.9	%
Transactions	4.6	%	3.8	%	6.4	%	4.1	%
Total	5.8	%	6.5	%	6.5	%	6.8	%

In addition to factors such as general economic conditions and consumer confidence, our used vehicle business is affected by the level of manufacturer incentives on new vehicles and new vehicle financing, the number and quality of trade-ins and lease turn-ins, the availability of consumer credit, and our ability to effectively manage the level and quality of our overall used vehicle inventory.

Our total Same Store used vehicle retail revenues increased 8.9% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, as compared to 2014, reflecting a 9.5% increase in total Same Store used vehicle retail unit sales partially offset by a 0.5% decrease in average used vehicle retail selling price to \$20,951. Both the U.S. and U.K. generated growth in Same Store used vehicle retail revenues, led by an increase in the U.S. of \$210.8 million, or 11.3%. This reflects a 1.8%, or \$363, increase in average used vehicle retail sales price and a 9.4% increase in used vehicle retail unit sales. In the U.K., Same Store used vehicle retail revenues increased by \$17.6 million, or 6.3%, driven by a 14.1% increase in Same Store used vehicle retail unit sales. This increase in Same Store used vehicle retail unit sales was partially offset by a 6.8% decline in average used vehicle retail sales price, which is explained by the change in currency exchange rates. On a local currency basis, Same Store average used vehicle retail sales price increased 0.5% for the year end December 31, 2015 as compared to the prior year. In Brazil, our Same Store used vehicle retail revenues decreased 25.8% for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the same period in 2014. This decline can be explained by the change in exchange rates. On a local currency basis, Same Store used vehicle retail revenues increased 4.7% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014, reflecting an increase of 3.7% in average used vehicle retail selling price coupled with a 1.0% increase in Same Store used vehicle retail unit sales. In total, our Same Store used vehicle retail gross profit for the year ended December 31, 2015 decreased 1.2% as compared to the same period in the prior year, as the increase of 1.4% in the U.S. was offset by declines of 6.6% in the U.K., and 45.4% in Brazil. In the U.S., Same Store used vehicle retail gross profit increased 1.4% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, reflecting a 9.4% improvement in Same Store used vehicle retail unit sales that was partially offset by a decline in Same Store used vehicle retail gross profit PRU of \$118, or 7.4%, as compared to the same period last year. The decline in Same Store used vehicle retail gross profit PRU in the U.S. was primarily a result of the competitiveness of the selling environment in the markets in which we operate. The decline in Same Store used vehicle retail gross profit in the U.K. can be explained by the change in exchange rates between periods. On a local currency basis, gross profit in the U.K. improved 0.7% primarily as a result of a 14.1% increase in used vehicle retail unit sales that was partially offset by an 11.8% decrease in used vehicle gross profit PRU on a local currency basis. In Brazil, the decrease of 45.4% in Same Store used vehicle retail gross profit resulted from a \$609, or 45.9%, decline in Same Store gross profit PRU. On a local currency basis, Same Store used vehicle retail gross profit declined 22.8%, driven by a 23.5% decline in Same Store gross profit PRU for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the same period in 2014.

Our U.S. Same Store CPO volume increased 8.8% to 27,688 units sold for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same period in 2014. As a percentage of the U.S. Same Store used vehicle retail units sales, CPO units decreased 20 basis points to 27.6% for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the same period in 2014.

During 2015, total Same Store revenue from wholesale used vehicle sales decreased 3.0%, driven by declines in all three reportable segments. In the U.S, the 0.3% decrease in wholesale used vehicle revenue for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015 was the result of a 0.2% decline in Same Store used vehicle wholesale average sales price coupled with a 0.1% decline in Same Store used vehicle wholesale unit sales as compared to the same period in 2014. In the U.K., the 2.6% decline in Same Store used vehicle wholesale revenue for the year ended December 31, 2015 was driven by the change in exchange rates between periods. On a local currency basis, Same Store used vehicle wholesale revenue increased 5.2% for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to last year, driven by an increase of 8.8% in Same Store used vehicle wholesale unit sales that was partially offset by a 3.3% decline in Same Store used vehicle wholesale average sales price on a local currency basis. In Brazil, Same Store used vehicle wholesale revenue declined 53.1% as a result of a decrease in Same Store used vehicle wholesale average sales price of 39.6%, coupled with a decline of 22.5% in Same Store used vehicle wholesale unit sales. On a local currency basis, Same Store used vehicle wholesale revenue in Brazil declined 38.3% for the year ended December 31, 2015. This reflects a strategic decision to sell more of our trade units at retail.

Our total Same Store used vehicle wholesale gross profit declined 146.2% from \$2.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 to a loss of \$1.2 million for the comparable period in 2015. This decline was driven by a 146.8%, or \$69, decrease in our Same Store used vehicle wholesale gross profit per unit from a profit of \$47 per unit in 2014 to a loss of \$22 per unit. The decrease in the Same Store wholesale used vehicle gross profit was driven by declines in all reportable segments. The decline in the U.S. was driven by a \$66, or 157.1%, decrease in Same Store wholesale gross profit per unit from a profit of \$42 per unit in 2014 to a loss of \$24 per unit for the year ended December 31, 2015. The decline in the U.K. was driven by a \$20, or 42.6%, decrease in Same Store wholesale gross profit per unit from a loss of \$47 per unit in 2014 to a loss of \$67 per unit for the year ended December 31, 2015. In Brazil, the decline in used vehicle wholesale gross profit of 55.7% for the year ended December 31, 2015 was driven by a \$240, or 42.9%, decrease in Same Store wholesale gross profit per unit from \$560 per unit for the year ended December 2014 to \$320 per unit for the same period in 2015, coupled with a decline of 22.5% in wholesale used vehicle unit sales in 2015 over the comparable period.

57

Our total Same Store used vehicle retail revenues increased 7.1% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2014, as compared to 2013, reflecting a 3.9% increase in total Same Store used vehicle retail unit sales and a 3.1% increase in average used vehicle retail selling price to \$21,285. Each of our segments generated growth in Same Store used vehicle retail revenues, led by an increase in the U.S. of \$89.5 million, or 5.4%. This reflects a 3.7% increase in used vehicle retail unit sales and a 1.6% increase in average used vehicle retail sales price. Same Store average used vehicle retail sales price also grew in the U.K., by 10.5% from \$24,326 in 2013 to \$26,882 and used vehicle retail unit sales in the U.K. improved 8.7% to 9,902 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2014. In Brazil, we experienced a 10.8% improvement in Same Store used vehicle average retail sales price from \$20,816 in 2013 to \$23,066, which was partially offset by a decline of 3.1% in Same Store used vehicle retail unit sales. Our total Same Store used vehicle retail gross profit increased 0.5% for the year ended December 31, 2014, as compared to prior year, primarily driven by increases of 9.9% in the U.K. and 25.5% in Brazil which was partially offset by a decline in the U.S. of 1.3%. The increase in Same Store used vehicle retail gross profit in the U.K. primarily relates to an 8.7% increase in U.K. Same Store used vehicle retail units, coupled with a 1.1% increase in Same Store used vehicle gross profit PRU. This improvement was driven by our ability to retail more quality used vehicles. The increase in Same Store used vehicle retail gross profit in Brazil primarily relates to a 29.5% increase in Same Store used vehicle gross profit PRU, which was partially offset by a 3.1% decline in Same Store used vehicle retail units sold. This increase in Brazil's gross profit PRU was primarily a result of retailing better quality used vehicles in 2014. The decline in Same Store used vehicle retail gross profit in the U.S. was primarily a result of strong new vehicle selling environment that shifted some customers from used to new vehicles and increased the competitiveness of the used vehicle retail selling environment. Our U.S. Same Store CPO volume increased 12.1% to 24,725 units sold for the twelve months ended December 31, 2014, as compared to the same period of 2013. As a percentage of the U.S. Same Store used vehicle retail unit sales, CPO units increased 220 basis points to 29.0% for 2014, as compared to 2013.

During 2014, total Same Store revenue from wholesale used vehicle sales increased by 8.8%, driven by an increase in U.S. Same Store used vehicle wholesale average sales price of 8.4%, coupled with a 4.9% increase in our U.S. Same Store wholesale used vehicle unit sales, as compared to the same period in 2013. Total Same Store wholesale used vehicle gross profit per unit improved from \$18 to \$60 for the year ended December 31, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013.

We increased our used vehicle inventory levels by \$20.6 million, or 8.1%, from \$254.9 million as of December 31, 2014 to \$275.5 million as of December 31, 2015, primarily in response to continued improvement in the used vehicle selling environment in the U.S. and U.K. and the acquisition of additional dealerships. Our consolidated days' supply of used vehicle inventory remained flat at 33 days, as of December 31, 2015, as compared to December 31, 2014.

Parts and Service Data
(dollars in thousands)

	For The Year Ended December 31,						
	2015	% Increase/ (Decrease)	2014	2014	% Increase/ (Decrease)	2013	
Parts and Service Revenues							
Same Stores							
U.S.	\$987,762	6.1%	\$930,597	\$891,099	6.0%	\$840,398	
U.K.	80,625	(1.8)%	82,126	79,148	17.2%	67,557	
Brazil	50,607	(23.6)%	66,213	61,855	(1.6)%	62,886	
Total Same Stores	1,118,994	3.7%	1,078,936	1,032,102	6.3%	970,841	
Transactions	67,199		46,758	93,592		39,844	
Total	\$1,186,193	5.4%	\$1,125,694	\$1,125,694	11.4%	\$1,010,685	
Gross Profit							
Same Stores							
U.S.	\$537,701	8.1%	\$497,265	\$474,505	6.6%	\$445,077	
U.K.	45,652	1.5%	44,990	43,641	17.5%	37,147	
Brazil	21,295	(26.5)%	28,992	26,100	(1.9)%	26,599	
Total Same Stores	604,648	5.8%	571,247	544,246	7.0%	508,823	
Transactions	37,511		23,068	50,069		21,802	
Total	\$642,159	8.1%	\$594,315	\$594,315	12.0%	\$530,625	
Gross Margin							
Same Stores							
U.S.	54.4	%	53.4	% 53.2	%	53.0	%
U.K.	56.6	%	54.8	% 55.1	%	55.0	%
Brazil	42.1	%	43.8	% 42.2	%	42.3	%
Total Same Stores	54.0	%	52.9	% 52.7	%	52.4	%
Transactions	55.8	%	49.3	% 53.5	%	54.7	%
Total	54.1	%	52.8	% 52.8	%	52.5	%

Our total Same Store parts and service revenues increased 3.7% to \$1,119.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same period in 2014, primarily driven by U.S. growth. For the year ended December 31, 2015, our U.S. Same Store parts and service revenue increased 6.1%, or \$57.2 million, reflecting increases in each portion of our parts and service business. Our U.S. operations generated a 13.3% increase in collision revenue, an 8.9% increase in warranty parts and service revenues, a 3.0% increase in customer-pay parts and service revenue and a 5.5% increase in wholesale parts revenues, when compared to the same period in 2014. The increase in warranty parts and service revenue was primarily driven by high volume recall campaigns from Honda, Toyota, FCA US (formerly Chrysler), BMW, Hyundai, Ford, Nissan, and Volkswagen that occurred in 2015 compared to 2014. In addition, as manufacturer-paid maintenance programs expand in the U.S., a shift of business from our customer-pay to our warranty business continues. The increase in collision revenue was primarily attributable to strategic initiatives that continue to enhance our operational processes, the addition of technicians to add operating capacity and the expansion of partnerships with insurance companies relating to direct repair programs. The U.S. growth in customer-pay parts and service revenue was supported by the progress we are making in adding service technicians. Since December 2014, we have added one hundred eighty service technicians.

Our U.K. Same Store parts and service revenues decreased 1.8%, or \$1.5 million, for the year ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same period in 2014. This decline is more than explained by the exchange rate, as Same Store parts and service revenues increased 5.8% on a local currency basis. This increase in U.K. Same Store parts and service revenues on a local currency basis was driven by an 18.4% increase in our warranty parts and service revenues for the year ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same period a year ago. The growth in warranty parts and

service revenues in the U.K. is primarily due to an increase in high volume recalls from BMW, Audi and Ford that occurred during 2015 relative to 2014. In addition, on a local currency basis, we experienced increases in the U.K. of 1.9% in customer-pay parts and service revenue, 5.2% in wholesale parts revenue and 7.0% in collision revenues in 2015. These increases were primarily as a result of management initiatives to enhance processes and increase productivity.

59

Our Same Store parts and service revenues in Brazil decreased 23.6%, or \$15.6 million, for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to the same period 2014. This decline is more than explained by the exchange rate, as Brazil Same Store parts and service revenues increased 7.3% on a local currency basis in 2015 compared to the same period last year.

Our total Same Store parts and service gross profit for the year ended December 31, 2015 increased 5.8%, as compared to the same period in 2014. The increase in total Same Store parts and service gross profit was driven primarily by an 8.1% increase in the U.S., as well as a 1.5% increase in the U.K., which was partially offset by a decrease of 26.5% in Brazil. The increase in the U.S. was driven by increases in each portion of our parts and service business, while the increase in the U.K. was driven by an increase in warranty parts and service business. The decrease in Brazil can be more than explained by the change in the exchange rate between the periods, as parts and service gross profit increase 2.7% on a local currency basis. Our total Same Store parts and service gross margin improved 110 basis points, for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the same period in 2014. The increase in gross margin was driven by improved profitability in our customer pay parts and service business in the U.S. and the U.K. and was also supported by growth in internal work between the parts and service departments of our U.S. and U.K. dealerships and the respective new and used vehicle departments. This was the result of improved new and used retail vehicles sales volumes.

Our total Same Store parts and service revenues increased 6.3% to \$1,032.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, as compared to 2013. Within the total, our U.S. Same Store parts and service revenues increased 6.0%, or \$50.7 million, for the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to 2013, driven primarily by an 11.1% increase in wholesale parts revenue, a 10.5% increase in warranty revenues, a 7.3% increase in collision revenue and a 1.4% increase in customer-pay parts and service sales. The increase in wholesale parts revenues was primarily due to increased focus and better overall management of this portion of our business in a few key markets. The increase in warranty parts and service revenue was primarily driven by high volume recall campaigns from General Motors, BMW, Toyota and Ford. In addition, as manufacturer-paid maintenance programs expand in the U.S., a shift of business from customer-pay business to warranty business continues. These U.S. results were tempered by the negative impact of severe weather in the U.S. during the first quarter of 2014 that resulted in a loss of productive work days.

Our U.K. Same Store parts and service revenues increased 17.2%, to \$79.1 million, for the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to 2013, driven primarily by a 12.8% increase in customer-pay parts and service sales, a 27.9% increase in warranty revenues, a 19.5% increase in wholesale parts revenue, and a 21.5% increase in collision revenue. The increase in customer-pay parts and service sales was driven by management initiatives focused on increasing capacity, while the increase in warranty revenue was primarily due to an increase in high volume recalls from BMW and Ford.

Our Same Store parts and service revenues in Brazil decreased 1.6%, or \$1.0 million, for the year ended December 31, 2014. This decline can be more than explained by the exchange rate as Same Store parts and service revenues increased 5.2% on a local currency basis in 2014 as compared with last year. This increase in local currency Same Store revenue was largely driven by a 15.2% increase in collision revenue as a result of a strategic decision made in 2014 to increase the size and capacity of one of our collision centers.

Our total Same Store gross profit for the year ended December 31, 2014 increased 7.0% compared to 2013. Our total Same Store parts and service gross margin increased 30 basis points for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to 2013. The increase in gross margin was primarily due to improved profitability in our warranty and wholesale parts and service business units in U.S. and U.K., as well as a higher volume of internal work between the parts and service departments of our dealerships and the new and used vehicle departments, which was generated by improved new and used retail vehicles sales volumes.

Edgar Filing: GROUP 1 AUTOMOTIVE INC - Form 10-K

Finance and Insurance Data

(dollars in thousands, except per unit amounts)

	For The Year Ended December 31,					
	2015	% Increase/ (Decrease)	2014	2014	% Increase/ (Decrease)	2013
Retail New and Used Unit Sales						
Same Stores						
U.S.	236,170	6.0%	222,855	209,540	4.0%	201,437
U.K.	28,057	12.2%	25,000	23,898	4.8%	22,808
Brazil	18,304	(8.4)%	19,978	17,982	(13.8)%	20,860
Total Same Stores	282,531	5.5%	267,833	251,420	2.6%	245,105
Transactions	16,236		8,936	25,349		9,574
Total	298,767	7.9%	276,769	276,769	8.7%	254,679
Retail Finance Fees						
Same Stores						
U.S.	\$117,253	10.2%	\$106,437	\$100,265	5.7%	\$94,876
U.K.	12,678	11.1%	11,415	11,082	36.8%	8,101
Brazil	1,782	(26.6)%	2,427	2,203	(8.8)%	2,416
Total Same Stores	131,713	9.5%	120,279	113,550	7.7%	105,393
Transactions	9,621		3,674	10,403		3,811
Total	\$141,334	14.0%	\$123,953	\$123,953	13.5%	\$109,204
Vehicle Service Contract Fees						
Same Stores						
U.S.	\$138,903	6.2%	\$130,771	\$124,043	11.1%	\$111,628
U.K.	613	73.7%	353	284	34.6%	211
Brazil	—	—%	—	—	—%	—
Total Same Stores	139,516	6.4%	131,124	124,327	11.2%	111,839
Transactions	5,180		999	7,796		2,311
Total	\$144,696	9.5%	\$132,123	\$132,123	15.7%	\$114,150
Insurance and Other						
Same Stores						
U.S.	\$103,283	11.1%	\$92,924	\$87,866	18.8%	\$73,985
U.K.	7,362	6.0%	6,945	6,713	17.8%	5,699
Brazil	5,356	(33.7)%	8,084	7,465	20.5%	6,195
Total Same Stores	116,001	7.5%	107,953	102,044	18.8%	85,879
Transactions	6,755		2,536	8,445		2,129
Total	\$122,756	11.1%	\$110,489	\$110,489	25.5%	\$88,008
Total Finance and Insurance Revenues						
Same Stores						
U.S.	\$359,439	8.9%	\$330,132	\$312,174	11.3%	\$280,489
U.K.	20,653	10.4%	18,713	18,079	29.0%	14,011
Brazil	7,138	(32.1)%	10,511	9,668	12.3%	8,611
Total Same Stores	387,230	7.8%	359,356	339,921	12.1%	303,111
Transactions	21,556		7,209	26,644		8,251
Total	\$408,786	11.5%	\$366,565	\$366,565	17.7%	\$311,362

Finance and Insurance
Revenues per Unit Sold
Same Stores

U.S.	\$1,522	2.8%	\$1,481	\$1,490	7.0%	\$1,392
U.K.	\$736	(1.7)%	\$749	\$757	23.3%	\$614
Brazil	\$390	(25.9)%	\$526	\$538	30.3%	\$413
Total Same Stores	\$1,371	2.2%	\$1,342	\$1,352	9.3%	\$1,237
Transactions	\$1,328		\$807	\$1,051		\$862
Total	\$1,368	3.3%	\$1,324	\$1,324	8.3	% \$1,223

Our efforts to improve our finance and insurance business processes, coupled with improved retail vehicle sales volumes, continued to generate growth in our finance and insurance revenues. Our total Same Store finance and insurance revenues increased by 7.8% to \$387.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, as compared to 2014, which was driven by growth in the U.S. and U.K. and partially offset by a decline in Brazil. Our U.S. Same Store finance and insurance revenue increased \$29.3 million, or 8.9%, due to a 6.0% increase in new and used vehicle retail unit sales volume, coupled with increases in income per contract and penetration rates for most of our major U.S. product offerings. These increases more than offset an increase in our U.S. chargeback expense. In addition, we generated a 10.4% increase in our U.K. Same Store finance and insurance revenues for the year ended December 31, 2015, primarily reflecting a 12.2% increase in new and used vehicle retail unit sales volume and improved income per contract and penetration from vehicle service contract offerings. Our Same Store finance and insurance revenues PRU sold in the U.K. declined by 1.7%, as a result of the change in exchange rates between periods. On a local currency basis, our Same Store finance and insurance revenues PRU sold increased by 6.0% for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to last year. Our Brazil Same Store finance and insurance revenue declined 32.1% for the year ended December 31, 2015 when compared to 2014, as a result of a decrease in retail unit sales of 8.4%, coupled with a decrease of 25.9% in finance and insurance revenues PRU sold. On a local currency basis, Brazil Same Store finance and insurance revenues PRU increased 4.9%. Our total Same Store finance and insurance revenues PRU improved 2.2% to \$1,371, as compared to the same period in 2014, more than explained by improvements in the U.S. of 2.8%. Our total Same Store finance and insurance revenues increased by 12.1% to \$339.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, as compared to 2013, driven by growth in our U.S. Same Store revenues of \$31.7 million, or 11.3%. The improvement in the U.S. was primarily due to the 4.0% increase in new and used vehicle retail unit sales volume, coupled with increases in income per contract and penetration rates from most of our major product offerings, as well as a strategic decision to re-balance our lender portfolio. These increases more than offset an increase in our chargeback expense. We generated a 29.0% increase in our U.K. Same Store finance and insurance revenues, reflecting improved income per contract from our finance and vehicle service contract offerings, new and used vehicle retail unit sales volume and finance penetration rates. Additionally, our Brazil Same Store finance and insurance revenues increased 12.3% to \$9.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 when compared to 2013, despite the negative impact of foreign currency translation during 2014. As a result, our total Same Store finance and insurance revenues PRU improved 9.3% to \$1,352, as compared to 2013.

Selling, General and Administrative Data

(dollars in thousands)

	For The Year Ended December 31,					
	2015	% Increase/ (Decrease)	2014	2014	% Increase/ (Decrease)	2013
Personnel						
Same Stores						
U.S.	\$585,585	7.9%	\$542,512	\$514,391	4.5%	\$492,195
U.K.	53,159	0.4%	52,956	51,360	17.7%	43,638
Brazil	27,460	(27.0)%	37,636	34,728	(10.7)%	38,872
Total Same Stores	666,204	5.2%	633,104	600,479	4.5%	574,705
Transactions	42,400		25,336	57,961		23,240
Total	\$708,604	7.6%	\$658,440	\$658,440	10.1%	\$597,945
Advertising						
Same Stores						
U.S.	\$65,300	(0.8)%	\$65,805	\$61,973	21.0%	\$51,231
U.K.	3,701	(4.0)%	3,856	3,652	23.0%	2,969
Brazil	1,395	(33.2)%	2,087	1,836	(14.6)%	2,150
Total Same Stores	70,396	(1.9)%	71,748	67,461	19.7%	56,350
Transactions	4,232		2,064	6,351		2,601
Total	\$74,628	1.1%	\$73,812	\$73,812	25.2%	\$58,951
Rent and Facility Costs						
Same Stores						
U.S.	\$79,950	(3.4)%	\$82,796	\$81,839	1.0%	\$81,022
U.K.	8,249	(4.1)%	8,598	8,345	2.3%	8,161
Brazil	10,873	(19.1)%	13,433	12,813	(4.0)%	13,346
Total Same Stores	99,072	(5.5)%	104,827	102,997	0.5%	102,529
Transactions	7,364		9,028	10,858		7,930
Total	\$106,436	(6.5)%	\$113,855	\$113,855	3.1%	\$110,459
Other SG&A						
Same Stores						
U.S.	\$187,092	5.8%	\$176,838	\$168,761	(3.3)%	\$174,535
U.K.	23,658	1.7%	23,260	22,512	12.5%	20,011
Brazil	11,735	(28.2)%	16,348	15,165	(3.8)%	15,767
Total Same Stores	222,485	2.8%	216,446	206,438	(1.8)%	210,313
Transactions	8,680		(589) 9,419		(812
Total	\$231,165	7.1%	\$215,857	\$215,857	3.0%	\$209,501
Total SG&A						
Same Stores						
U.S.	\$917,927	5.8%	\$867,951	\$826,964	3.5%	\$798,983
U.K.	88,767	0.1%	88,670	85,869	14.8%	74,779
Brazil	51,463	(26.0)%	69,504	64,542	(8.0)%	70,135
Total Same Stores	1,058,157	3.1%	1,026,125	977,375	3.5%	943,897
Transactions	62,676		35,839	84,589		32,959
Total	\$1,120,833	5.5%	\$1,061,964	\$1,061,964	8.7%	\$976,856

Total Gross Profit

Same Stores

U.S.	\$1,269,691	5.0%	\$1,209,172	\$1,143,619	6.4%	\$1,075,234
U.K.	115,186	1.2%	113,765	110,673	18.8%	93,172
Brazil	56,327	(29.5)%	79,876	71,913	(11.5)%	81,252
Total Same Stores	1,441,204	2.7%	1,402,813	1,326,205	6.1%	1,249,658
Transactions	92,768		45,125	121,733		42,888
Total	\$1,533,972	5.9%	\$1,447,938	\$1,447,938	12.0%	\$1,292,546

SG&A as a % of Gross Profit

Same Stores

U.S.	72.3	%	71.8	%	72.3	%	74.3	%
U.K.	77.1	%	77.9	%	77.6	%	80.3	%
Brazil	91.4	%	87.0	%	89.7	%	86.3	%
Total Same Stores	73.4	%	73.1	%	73.7	%	75.5	%
Transactions	67.6	%	79.4	%	69.5	%	76.8	%
Total	73.1	%	73.3	%	73.3	%	75.6	%
Employees	12,900		12,000		12,000		11,500	

Our SG&A consists primarily of salaries, commissions and incentive-based compensation, as well as rent and facility costs, advertising, insurance, benefits, utilities and other fixed expenses. We believe that the majority of our personnel, all of our advertising and a portion of certain other expenses are variable and can be adjusted in response to changing business conditions. We continue to aggressively pursue opportunities that take advantage of our size and negotiating leverage with our vendors and service providers in order to more effectively rationalize our cost structure. Our total Same Store SG&A increased \$32.0 million, or 3.1%, for the year ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same period in 2014, primarily the result of a 5.8% and a 0.1% increase in the U.S. and U.K. Same Store SG&A, respectively, that was partially offset by a 26.0% decline in Brazil. The increases in the U.S. and U.K. are largely related to costs that fluctuate in relation to vehicle sales and general business activity. The decline in Brazil can be explained by the change in exchange rates between periods, as total Same Store SG&A in Brazil increased 4.2% on a local currency basis. Our total Same Store personnel costs increased by 5.2% for the year ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same period in 2014, primarily as a result of a 7.9% and 0.4% increase in the U.S. and the U.K., respectively. These increases are explained by the general correlation of variable costs, including salesperson commission payments, and vehicle sales. The increase in the U.S. is also partially explained by an increase in our employee healthcare costs. This increase in the U.S. and U.K. was partially offset by a 27.0% decline in personnel costs in Brazil that can be explained by the change in exchange rate between periods, as Same Store personnel costs in Brazil increased 3.0% on a local currency basis as compared to last year.

For the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, our consolidated Same Store advertising costs decreased 1.9%, to \$70.4 million, driven by declines in all of our segments. Our U.S. Same Store advertising expense declined 0.8% primarily due to a reduction in our advertising expenditures as we better leverage our scale. The 4.0% decline in the U.K. Same Store advertising expense can be explained by the change in exchange rates between periods as, on a local currency basis, Same Store advertising costs increased 3.6%. The 33.2% decline in Brazil can be primarily explained by the change in exchange rates between periods. On a local currency basis, Same Store advertising costs decreased 5.4% for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to prior year, reflecting actions we have taken in response to weaker overall economic conditions.

Our consolidated Same Store rent and facility costs decreased 5.5% to \$99.1 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same period a year ago, reflecting declines of 3.4%, 4.1%, and 19.1% in the U.S., U.K., and Brazil, respectively. The decline in the U.S. was predominately due to the continued execution of our strategy to add dealership related real estate to our investment portfolio. The decline in Same Store rent and facility costs in the U.K. and Brazil can be explained by the change in exchange rates between periods. On a local currency basis Same Store rent and facility costs increased 3.4% and 13.3% in the U.K. and Brazil respectively for the year

ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the same period in 2014.

Our total Same Store other SG&A increased 2.8% to \$222.5 million as compared to the same period in 2014, primarily driven by a 5.8% and 1.7% increase in the U.S. and U.K., respectively. These increases were partially offset by a 28.2% decrease in Brazil. The decline in our Brazil Same Store other SG&A was primarily a result of the change of the exchange rate between periods. The increase in the U.S. and the U.K. was primarily driven by increases in other variable costs associated with higher retail sales volumes. Total Same Store other SG&A included \$1.6 million in charges related to catastrophic events, a

64

legal settlement of \$1.0 million, a \$0.8 million loss related to real estate and dealership transactions, and \$0.2 million in severance costs for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015. On a comparable basis, total Same Store other SG&A for the year ended December 31, 2014 included a \$2.8 million charge for a catastrophic event, \$1.2 million loss related to real estate and dealership transactions, \$0.4 million of expense related to a legal settlement, \$0.3 million in severance costs, \$0.2 million related to acquisition costs and a \$0.4 million charge related to a foreign transaction tax in Brazil.

For the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same period in 2014, our total Same Store SG&A as a percentage of gross profit increased 30 basis points from 73.1% to 73.4% primarily driven by a 50 and 440 basis point increase in our U.S. and Brazil segments, respectively. The increase in the U.S. was primarily a result of margin pressures on new and used retail vehicle sales described above, and the negative impact of such on the correlation to salesperson commission compensation. Offsetting these increases, our U.K. Same Store SG&A as a percentage of gross profit improved 80 basis points to 77.1% as of December 31, 2015 compared to a year ago, primarily reflecting leverage of our cost structure realized with the improved revenue and gross profit.

Our total Same Store personnel costs increased for the year ended December 31, 2014, primarily as a result of commission payments generally correlating with increased vehicle sales in the U.S. and U.K. These increases in personnel expense were partially offset by a decrease in the Brazil segment due to management's actions to reduce headcount in response to a significant slowdown in the Brazilian economy and vehicle sales market.

Our consolidated Same Store rent and facility costs increased 0.5%, to \$103.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, primarily driven by a 1.0% increase in our U.S. segment as compared to a year ago. This increase was partially offset by changes in year over year foreign currency exchange rates for Brazil. This increase in the U.S. primarily reflected increases in building maintenance, utilities and real estate taxes, partially offset by a decline in building rent. The decline in rent primarily relates to our strategic decision to purchase dealership related real estate. For the year ended December 31, 2014, our total Same Store other SG&A decreased 1.8%, as compared to 2013, which primarily reflected a decrease of 3.3% in U.S. Same Store other SG&A in 2014 as compared to 2013. The decline in the U.S. was partially due to a decline in business acquisition costs during 2014. During 2013, the Company incurred \$5.2 million in business acquisition costs primarily related with the acquisition of UAB motors. In addition, insurance deductible charges associated with vehicle inventory and building damages from certain catastrophic events decreased \$9.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013. These decreases in the U.S. in 2014 were partially offset by an increase of \$1.0 million in 2014 for net losses on real estate and dealership transactions.

Our ongoing cost control efforts and the benefit of the impact of incremental charges in 2013 relative to catastrophic events and acquisition related costs, partially offset by losses on the disposition of real estate and dealership transactions resulted in a 180 basis point improvement in our Same Store SG&A as a percentage of gross profit for the year ended December 31, 2014 to 73.7% as compared to the same period in 2013.

Depreciation and Amortization Data

(dollars in thousands)

	For The Year Ended December 31,					
	2015	% Increase/ (Decrease)	2014	2014	% Increase/ (Decrease)	2013
Same Stores						
U.S.	\$39,819	12.1%	\$35,536	\$33,783	8.9%	\$31,015
U.K.	3,176	(4.0)%	3,309	3,156	22.7%	2,573
Brazil	1,678	(7.1)%	1,806	1,638	6.6%	1,537
Total Same Stores	44,673	9.9%	40,651	38,577	9.8%	35,125
Transactions	2,566		1,693	3,767		701
Total	\$47,239	11.6%	\$42,344	\$42,344	18.2%	\$35,826

Our total Same Store depreciation and amortization expense increased 9.9% and 9.8% for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, as compared to the respective prior year period. We continue to strategically add dealership-related real estate to our portfolio and make improvements to our existing facilities that

are designed to enhance the profitability of our dealerships and the overall customer experience. As a result of such growth in our real estate and facilities investments, our Same Store depreciation and amortization expense has increased. We critically evaluate all planned future capital spending, working closely with our OEM partners to maximize the return on our investments.

65

Impairment of Assets

We perform an annual review of the fair value of our goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets during the fourth quarter. We also perform interim reviews for impairment when evidence exists that the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable.

During the fourth quarter of 2015, we performed our annual impairment assessment of the carrying value of our goodwill. The fair value of our two U.S. reporting units, as well as our U.K. reporting unit, exceeded the carrying value of its net assets (i.e., step one of the goodwill impairment test) by more than 100% for the two U.S. reporting units and by more than 15% for the U.K. reporting unit. As a result, we were not required to conduct the second step of the impairment test for goodwill relating to our two U.S. and U.K. reporting units. The Brazil reporting unit's fair value did not exceed the carrying value of its net assets. As a result, we performed a step two analysis for this reporting unit, measuring the estimated fair value of the reporting unit's assets and liabilities as of the test date and compared the resulting implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill to its carrying value. As a result of the carrying value of goodwill exceeding the implied fair value, a \$55.4 million impairment was recorded. We did not identify an impairment of our recorded goodwill in 2014 or 2013.

During 2015 and 2014, we determined that the carrying value of certain of our intangible franchise rights were greater than fair value. As a result, we recognized a \$30.1 million and \$31.0 million pre-tax non cash impairment charge, respectively. Also, in 2015 and 2014, we recognized \$2.1 million and \$10.5 million, respectively, in pre-tax non-cash asset impairment charges, associated with non-operating real estate holdings and other long-lived assets of our existing dealership facilities. In 2013, we noted impairment indicators relative to intangible franchise rights and, as a result, we recognized \$5.4 million in pre-tax non-cash asset impairment charges. For long-lived assets, we review for impairment whenever there is evidence that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable. We noted evidence that certain long-lived assets associated with our existing dealership facilities had a carrying value which may not be realized. As a result, we recognized \$1.1 million in pre-tax non-cash asset impairment charges in 2013. If in future periods, we determine that the carrying amount of our net assets exceeds the respective fair value as a result of step one of our goodwill impairment test for any or all of its reporting units, the application of the second step of the impairment test could result in a material non-cash impairment charge to the goodwill associated with the reporting unit(s). If any of our assumptions change, or fail to materialize, the resulting decline in its estimated fair market value of intangible franchise rights could result in a material non-cash impairment charge. For example, if our assumptions regarding the risk-free rate and cost of debt differed such that the estimated WACC used in our 2015 assessment for the franchises acquired prior to the previous annual test increased by 200 basis points, and all other assumptions remained constant, an additional \$15.9 million of non-cash franchise rights impairment charges, would have resulted. None of our reporting units would have failed the step one impairment test for goodwill in this scenario. Further, if we forecasted no new vehicle sales growth beyond 2017 in the 2015 impairment assessment for the franchises acquired prior to the previous annual test and all other assumptions remained constant, an additional \$2.6 million of non-cash franchise rights impairment charges would have resulted. And, again, none of our reporting units would have failed the step one impairment test for goodwill.

Floorplan Interest Expense

(dollars in thousands)

	For The Year Ended December 31,					
	2015	% Increase/ (Decrease)	2014	2014	% Increase/ (Decrease)	2013
Same Stores						
U.S.	\$34,714	4.9%	\$33,102	\$31,572	(3.6)%	\$32,760
U.K.	1,544	(3.8)%	1,605	1,584	(0.3)%	1,589
Brazil	965	(83.7)%	5,910	4,713	(24.0)%	6,205
Total Same Stores	37,223	(8.4)%	40,617	37,869	(6.6)%	40,554
Transactions	2,041		997	3,745		1,113
Total	\$39,264	(5.6)%	\$41,614	\$41,614	(0.1)%	\$41,667
Memo:						

Edgar Filing: GROUP 1 AUTOMOTIVE INC - Form 10-K

Manufacturer's assistance	\$50,474	11.8%	\$45,145	\$45,145	17.1%	\$38,543
---------------------------	----------	-------	----------	----------	-------	----------

Our floorplan interest expense fluctuates with changes in borrowings outstanding and interest rates, which are based on one-month LIBOR (or Prime rate in some cases) in the U.S. and U.K., plus a spread, and a benchmark rate plus a spread in Brazil. To mitigate the impact of U.S. interest rate fluctuations, we employ an interest rate hedging strategy, whereby we swap variable interest rate exposure for a fixed interest rate over the term of the U.S. variable interest rate debt.

66

As of December 31, 2015, we had effective interest rate swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$581.7 million that fixed our underlying one-month LIBOR at a weighted average rate of 2.7%. The majority of the monthly settlements of these interest rate swap liabilities are recognized as floorplan interest expense. From time to time, we utilize excess cash on hand to pay down our floorplan borrowings, and the resulting interest earned is recognized as an offset to our gross floorplan interest expense. Our total Same Store floorplan interest expense decreased 8.4% to \$37.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same period in 2014. The decline was primarily related to Brazil. Same Store floorplan interest expense in Brazil decreased \$4.9 million, or 83.7%, primarily due to improved floorplan and cash management. Our U.S. Same Store floorplan interest expense increased 4.9% to \$34.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same period in 2014. The increase in the U.S. Same Store floorplan interest is primarily attributable to an increase in our weighted average floorplan borrowings outstanding of \$18.6 million due to higher inventory levels in 2015. In the U.K., Same Store floorplan interest expense decreased 3.8% for the year ended December 31, 2015. The decline in Same Store floorplan interest expense in the U.K. can be explained by the change in the exchange rate between periods, as on a local currency basis, Same Store floorplan expense increased 3.4%. The increase in the U.K. Same Store floorplan interest on a local currency basis is primarily attributable to an increase in our weighted average floorplan borrowings outstanding due to higher inventory levels in 2015.

Our total Same Store floorplan interest expense decreased 6.6%, or \$2.7 million, for the year ended December 31, 2014, as compared to 2013. This reduction was driven by a \$1.5 million decline in floorplan interest expense in Brazil, primarily due to our decision to refinance a portion of our floorplan borrowings with a working capital line of credit that reduced the overall interest rate we are charged and redistributed the borrowings and associated interest charges to our other long-term debt and interest expense categories. In addition, we experienced a decrease of Same Store floorplan interest expense in the U.S. of \$1.2 million resulting from a decline in our floorplan borrowing rate of 25 basis points that was effective on June 20, 2013 with the amendment to our Revolving Credit Facility. This decline in the U.S. was partially offset by a \$51.6 million increase in Same Store weighted average floorplan borrowings outstanding for the twelve months ended December 31, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013.

Other Interest Expense, net

Other interest expense, net consists of interest charges primarily on our real estate related debt, working capital lines of credit and our other long-term debt, partially offset by interest income. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, other interest expense increased \$7.2 million, or 14.5%, to \$56.9 million, as compared to the same period in 2014. The increase was primarily attributable to incremental interest incurred on our 5.00% Notes used to fund the extinguishment of our 2.25% Notes and 3.00% Notes during the second and third quarters of 2014 and for working capital needs. In addition, other net interest expense increased for the year ended December 31, 2015, as compared to 2014, due to additional mortgage borrowings associated with recent dealership acquisitions and purchases of existing leased properties. Further contributing to the increase to other interest expense, net, for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the prior year, was the interest related to our 5.25% Note offering that was executed in December 2015 to fund the outstanding borrowings of the Company's acquisition line of credit, to payoff certain mortgages, to contribute to the Company's floorplan offset accounts and for general corporate purposes. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2014, other net interest expense increased \$10.7 million, or 27.5%, to \$49.7 million, as compared to the same period in 2013. This increase was primarily attributable to incremental interest incurred on our 5.00% Notes offering that was executed to fund the extinguishment of our 2.25% Notes and 3.00% Notes, as well as an increase in interest relating to a working capital line of credit in Brazil that we entered into during 2014. The working capital line of credit in Brazil redistributed the borrowings and associated interest charge from our floorplan borrowings and interest related categories. In addition, other net interest expense increased for 2014, as compared to 2013, due to additional mortgage borrowings associated with recent dealership acquisitions and purchases of existing leased properties, as well as an increase in weighted average borrowings on our Acquisition Line to support dealership acquisitions.

Included in other interest expense for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 is non-cash, discount amortization expense of \$7.2 million and \$10.8 million, respectively. This non-cash discount amortization represents the impact of the accounting for our 2.25% Notes and 3.00% Notes as required by ASC 470 for convertible debt. We used the

proceeds from the 5.00% Notes offering to extinguish all of the outstanding principal of the 2.25% Notes and 3.00% Notes as of September 30, 2014.

67

Provision for Income Taxes

For the year ended December 31, 2015, we recorded a tax provision of \$88.2 million. The 2015 effective tax rate of 48.4% increased from the 2014 effective tax rate of 43.4% primarily due to valuation allowances recorded in 2015 relative to deferred tax assets for goodwill and net operating losses of certain Brazil subsidiaries in excess of valuation allowances recognized in 2014. In addition, the 2015 effective tax rate increased over the 2014 rate due to the impairment of non-deductible goodwill in 2015. Included in the 2014 effective tax rate was the impact of a portion of the U.S. GAAP loss on the 2014 extinguishment of the 2.25% Notes and the 3.00% Notes that was not deductible for tax purposes.

For the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded a tax provision of \$71.4 million. The 2014 effective tax rate of 43.4% increased from the 2013 effective tax rate of 40.6% primarily due to a portion of the U.S. GAAP loss on the 2014 extinguishment of the 2.25% Notes and the 3.00% Notes that was not deductible for tax purposes and valuation allowances recorded in 2014 relative to net operating losses of certain Brazil subsidiaries in excess of valuation allowances recognized in 2013. The impact of these items on the 2014 effective tax rate was partially offset by the net deferred tax benefit for tax deductible goodwill in Brazil, resulting from a restructuring during 2014.

We believe that it is more likely than not that our deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowances provided, will be realized, based primarily on the assumption of future taxable income. We expect our effective tax rate in 2016 will be approximately 38.0%.

As of December 31, 2015, we had net deferred tax liabilities totaling \$135.4 million relating to the differences between the financial reporting and tax basis of assets and liabilities, some of which are expected to reverse in the future. This includes \$143.5 million of deferred tax liabilities relating to intangibles for goodwill and franchise rights that are deductible for tax purposes and will not reverse until the related intangibles are disposed. In addition, as of December 31, 2015, we had \$53.7 million of deferred tax assets relating to loss reserves and accruals, and \$46.5 million of valuation allowances on deferred tax assets. Refer to Note 7 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for more details.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our liquidity and capital resources are primarily derived from cash on hand, cash temporarily invested as a pay down of Floorplan Line and FMCC facility levels, cash from operations, borrowings under our credit facilities, which provide vehicle floorplan financing, working capital and dealership and real estate acquisition financing, and proceeds from debt and equity offerings. Based on current facts and circumstances, we believe we will have adequate cash flow, coupled with available borrowing capacity, to fund our current operations, capital expenditures and acquisitions for 2016. If economic and business conditions deteriorate or if our capital expenditures or acquisition plans for 2016 change, we may need to access the private or public capital markets to obtain additional funding.

Cash on Hand. As of December 31, 2015, our total cash on hand was \$13.0 million. The balance of cash on hand excludes \$136.3 million of immediately available funds used to pay down our Floorplan Line and FMCC Facility as of December 31, 2015. We use the pay down of our Floorplan Line and FMCC Facility as a channel for the short-term investment of excess cash.

Cash Flows. With respect to all new vehicle floorplan borrowings in the normal course of business, the manufacturers of the vehicles draft our credit facilities directly with no cash flow to or from us. With respect to borrowings for used vehicle financing, we finance up to 80% of the value of our used vehicle inventory in the U.S., and the funds flow directly to us from the lender. All borrowings from, and repayments to, lenders affiliated with our vehicle manufacturers (excluding the cash flows from or to manufacturer-affiliated lenders participating in our syndicated lending group) are presented within Cash Flows from Operating Activities on the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows in conformity with U.S. GAAP. All borrowings from, and repayments to, the Revolving Credit Facility (including the cash flows from or to manufacturer-affiliated lenders participating in the facility) and other credit facilities in Brazil unaffiliated with our manufacturer partners, are presented within Cash Flows from Financing Activities in conformity with U.S. GAAP. However, the incurrence of all floorplan notes payable represents an activity necessary to acquire inventory for resale, resulting in a trade payable. Our decision to utilize our Revolving Credit Facility does not substantially alter the process by which our vehicle inventory is financed, nor does it significantly impact the economics of our vehicle procurement activities. Therefore, we believe that all floorplan

financing of inventory purchases in the normal course of business should correspond with the related inventory activity and be classified as an operating activity. As a result, we use the non-GAAP measure “Adjusted net cash provided by operating activities” to evaluate our cash flows. We believe that this classification eliminates excess volatility in our operating cash flows prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP and avoids the potential to mislead the users of our financial statements.

In addition, because the majority of our dealership acquisitions and dispositions are negotiated as asset purchases, we do not assume transfer of liabilities for floorplan financing in the execution of the transactions. Therefore, borrowings and repayments of all floorplan financing associated with dealership acquisition and disposition are characterized as either operating or financing activities in our statement of cash flows presented in conformity with U.S. GAAP, depending on the relationship described above. However, the floorplan financing activity is so closely related to the inventory acquisition process that we

believe the presentation of all acquisition and disposition related floorplan financing activities should be classified as investing activity to correspond with the associated inventory activity, and we have made such adjustments in our adjusted operating cash flow presentations.

The following table sets forth selected historical information regarding cash flows from our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows on an adjusted, non-GAAP basis. For further explanation and reconciliation to the most directly comparable measures see “Non-GAAP Financial Measures” below.

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
	(In thousands)		
Adjusted net cash provided by operating activities	\$244,349	\$207,139	\$202,823
Adjusted net cash used in investing activities	(266,791) (315,432) (249,516
Adjusted net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(4) 131,180	66,404
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(5,492) (2,127) (4,146
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$(27,938) \$20,760	\$15,565

Sources and Uses of Liquidity from Operating Activities

For the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, we generated \$141.0 million of net cash flow from operating activities. On an adjusted basis, we generated \$244.3 million in net cash flow from operating activities, primarily consisting of \$94.0 million in net income, as well as non-cash adjustments related to asset impairment of \$87.6 million, depreciation and amortization of \$47.2 million, deferred income taxes of \$11.9 million, amortization of debt discounts and debt issue costs of \$3.7 million, and stock-based compensation of \$18.9 million. These cash inflows were partially offset by a \$10.3 million negative net change in operating assets and liabilities and \$9.7 million of net gains from the disposition of assets. Included in the adjusted net changes of operating assets and liabilities were cash inflows of \$25.1 million from increases in accounts payable and accrued expenses and \$190.8 million from the net increase in floorplan borrowings. These cash inflows were more than offset by adjusted cash outflows of \$17.9 million from increases of vehicle receivables and contracts-in-transit, \$3.2 million from the net increase in prepaid expenses and other assets, \$186.6 million from increases in inventory levels, and \$17.9 million from the net increase in accounts and notes receivable.

For the twelve months ended December 31, 2014, we generated \$198.3 million of net cash flow from operating activities. On an adjusted basis, we generated \$207.1 million in net cash flow from operating activities, primarily consisting of \$93.0 million in net income and non-operating cash flow adjustments for a loss on the extinguishment of our 3.00% Notes of \$29.5 million and a loss on the extinguishment of our 2.25% Notes of \$16.9 million, as well as non-cash adjustments related to depreciation and amortization of \$42.3 million, deferred income taxes of \$12.3 million, amortization of debt discounts and debt issue costs of \$10.6 million, asset impairment of \$41.5 million, and stock-based compensation of \$16.0 million. The cash inflows were partially offset by a \$41.9 million negative net change in operating assets and liabilities. Included in the adjusted net changes of operating assets and liabilities were cash inflows of \$37.3 million from increases in accounts payable and accrued expenses and \$27.3 million from decreases in inventory levels. These cash inflows were more than offset by adjusted cash outflows of \$10.5 million from increases of vehicle receivables and contracts-in-transit, \$5.4 million from the net increase in prepaid expenses and other assets, \$70.0 million from the net decrease in floorplan borrowings, and \$20.2 million from the net increase in accounts and notes receivable. The adjusted net cash flow from operating activities excludes \$16.0 million of net gains from the disposition of assets.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, we generated \$52.4 million in net cash flow from operating activities. On an adjusted basis, we generated \$202.8 million in net cash flow from operating activities, primarily consisting of \$114.0 million in net income, as well as non-cash adjustments related to depreciation and amortization of \$35.8 million, deferred income taxes of \$22.4 million, amortization of debt discounts and debt issue costs of \$13.9 million, stock-based compensation of \$13.9 million and asset impairments of \$6.5 million. The adjusted net cash flow from operating activities also included a \$6.6 million adjusted positive net change in operating assets and liabilities. Included in the net change in operating assets and liabilities were cash outflows of \$241.9 million from increases in

inventory, \$19.0 million from increases of vehicle receivables and contracts-in-transit and \$9.5 million from an increase in accounts and notes receivables, which were more than offset by cash inflows of \$233.7 million from the adjusted net increase in floorplan borrowings, \$41.1 million provided by increases in accounts payable and accrued expenses, and \$1.9 million from a decrease in prepaid expenses and other assets. The adjusted net cash flow from operating activities excludes \$11.0 million of net gains from the disposition of assets.

Working Capital. At December 31, 2015, we had \$163.5 million of working capital. Changes in our working capital are explained primarily by changes in floorplan notes payable outstanding. Borrowings on our new vehicle floorplan notes payable, subject to agreed upon pay-off terms, are equal to 100% of the factory invoice of the vehicles.

Borrowings on our used vehicle

floorplan notes payable, subject to agreed upon pay-off terms, are limited to 80% of the aggregate book value of our used vehicle inventory, except in the U.K. and Brazil. At times, we have made payments on our floorplan notes payable using excess cash flow from operations and the proceeds of debt and equity offerings. As needed, we re-borrow the amounts later, up to the limits on the floorplan notes payable discussed above, for working capital, acquisitions, capital expenditures or general corporate purposes.

Sources and Uses of Liquidity from Investing Activities

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, we used \$284.5 million in net cash flow for investing activities. On an adjusted basis, we used \$266.8 million in net cash flow for investing activities. We used \$180.1 million of adjusted cash flows for the acquisition of three dealerships in the U.S., including associated real estate where applicable. We also used \$120.3 million during the twelve months of 2015 for purchases of property and equipment and to construct new and improve existing facilities, consisting of \$107.2 million for capital expenditures, and \$24.6 million for the purchase of real estate associated with existing dealership operations, offset by a \$11.6 million net increase in the accrual for capital expenditures from year-end. These cash outflows were partially offset by cash inflows of \$27.2 million related to dispositions of franchises and fixed assets.

During 2014, we used \$347.1 million in net cash flow for investing activities. On an adjusted basis, we used \$315.4 million in net cash flow for investing activities, consisting primarily of \$244.4 million of adjusted cash flows related to acquisitions. We also used \$150.4 million during 2014 primarily for purchases of property and equipment to construct new and improve existing facilities, consisting of \$97.7 million for capital expenditures, \$2.9 million of which relates to facilities that were subsequently disposed during 2014, and \$62.7 million for the purchase of real estate associated with existing dealership operations, offset by a \$10.0 million net increase in the accrual for capital expenditures from year-end. We also used \$4.7 million for escrow deposits on pending dealership and real estate acquisitions. These cash outflows were partially offset by \$84.1 million in adjusted proceeds from the sale of franchises, property and equipment during 2014.

During 2013, we used \$268.7 million in net cash flow for investing activities. On an adjusted basis, we used \$249.5 million in net cash flow for investing activities, consisting primarily of \$205.3 million of adjusted cash flows related to acquisitions. We also used \$102.9 million during 2013 primarily for purchases of property and equipment to construct new and improve existing facilities, consisting of \$38.8 million for real estate to be used for existing dealership operations and \$69.2 million for capital expenditures. These cash outflows were partially offset by \$56.8 million in adjusted proceeds from the sale of franchises, property and equipment during 2013.

Capital Expenditures. Our capital expenditures include costs to extend the useful lives of current facilities, as well as to start or expand operations. In general, expenditures relating to the construction or expansion of dealership facilities are driven by dealership acquisition activity, new franchises being granted to us by a manufacturer, significant growth in sales at an existing facility, relocation opportunities, or manufacturer imaging programs. We critically evaluate all planned future capital spending, working closely with our manufacturer partners to maximize the return on our investments. We forecast our capital expenditures for 2016 to be no more than \$150 million excluding expenditures related to future acquisitions, which could generally be funded from excess cash.

Acquisitions & Dispositions. In 2015, we acquired three dealerships, representing five franchises, with expected annual revenues, estimated at the time of acquisition, of \$340.0 million. In 2014, we acquired 19 franchises with expected annual revenues, estimated at the time of acquisition, of \$910.0 million. In 2013, we acquired 38 franchises with expected annual revenues, estimated at the time of acquisition, of \$1,317.0 million. We generally purchase businesses based on expected return on investment. Cash needed to complete our acquisitions generally comes from excess working capital, operating cash flows of our dealerships, and borrowings under our floorplan facilities, Real Estate Credit Facility, term loans and our Acquisition Line.

In 2015, we sold two U.S. dealerships and terminated one U.S. dealership franchise. We also terminated two franchises in Brazil. These dispositions generated annual revenues of approximately \$115.0 million. During 2014, we disposed of seven dealerships and one franchise in the U.S. and three dealerships in Brazil with annual revenues of approximately \$450.0 million. In 2013, we disposed of six dealerships and one franchise with annual revenues of approximately \$318.9 million.

Sources and Uses of Liquidity from Financing Activities

For the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, we generated \$121.0 million in net cash flow from financing activities. On an adjusted basis, cash outflows from financing activities were essentially offset by cash inflows. Total cash outflows of \$330.6 million of gross cash flow consisted of \$68.1 million of net payments on our Acquisition Line, \$65.6 million in adjusted net payments on our Floorplan Line, \$72.1 million for principal payments of long-term debt related to real estate loans, \$97.5 million to repurchase 1,176,908 shares of our Company's common stock, \$6.6 million of net payments of other debt, and \$19.9 million for dividend payments. Offsetting these cash outflows were \$330.6 million of cash inflows, primarily related to \$296.3 million of 5.25% Notes borrowings and \$32.0 million from borrowings of long-term debt related to real estate loans.

During 2014, we generated \$171.7 million in net cash flow from financing activities. On an adjusted basis, we generated \$131.2 million in net cash flow from financing activities, primarily related to \$539.6 million of 5.00% Notes borrowings, \$112.0 million from borrowings of long-term debt related to real estate loans, \$32.7 million from proceeds of the call and warrant unwind related to the 3.00% Notes, \$9.7 million of net borrowings on our Acquisition Line, and \$5.2 million of net borrowings of other debt mainly consisting of working capital loans in Brazil. These cash inflows were partially offset by \$260.1 million used for the repurchase of our 3.00% Notes, \$182.8 million used for the conversion and redemption of our 2.25% Notes, \$11.2 million in adjusted net payments on our Floorplan Line, \$60.0 million for principal payments of long-term debt related to real estate loans, \$36.8 million to repurchase 537,054 shares of our Company's common stock, and \$17.1 million for dividend payments.

During 2013, we generated \$236.0 million in net cash flow from financing activities. On an adjusted basis, we generated \$66.4 million in net cash flow from financing activities, primarily related to borrowings of \$85.3 million of real estate and other debt, \$60.0 million cash inflow related to borrowings on the Acquisition Line, and \$56.1 million from an increase in our floorplan offset account. These cash inflows were partially offset by cash outflows of \$3.6 million used to repurchase 55,655 shares of our common stock, \$15.8 million used for dividend payments, and \$116.7 million used for principal payments of real estate and other debt.

Credit Facilities, Debt Instruments and Other Financing Arrangements. Our various credit facilities, debt instruments and other financing arrangements are used to finance the purchase of inventory and real estate, provide acquisition funding and provide working capital for general corporate purposes.

Revolving Credit Facility. Our Revolving Credit Facility provides a total borrowing capacity of \$1.7 billion and expires on June 20, 2018. The Revolving Credit Facility, which is comprised of 24 financial institutions, including six manufacturer-affiliated finance companies, consists of two tranches, providing a maximum of \$1.6 billion for U.S. vehicle inventory floorplan financing, as well as a maximum of \$320.0 million and a minimum of \$100.0 million for working capital and general corporate purposes, including acquisitions. The capacity under these two tranches can be re-designated within the overall \$1.7 billion commitment, subject to the aforementioned limits. Up to \$125.0 million of the Acquisition Line can be borrowed in either euros or British pound sterling. The Revolving Credit Facility can be expanded to a maximum commitment of \$1.95 billion, subject to participating lender approval. The Floorplan Line bears interest at rates equal to the LIBOR plus 125 basis points for new vehicle inventory and the LIBOR plus 150 basis points for used vehicle inventory. The Acquisition Line bears interest at the LIBOR plus 150 basis points plus a margin that ranges from zero to 100 basis points for borrowings in U.S. dollars and LIBOR equivalent plus 150 to 250 basis points on borrowings in euros or British pound sterling, depending on our total adjusted leverage ratio. The Floorplan Line requires a commitment fee of 0.20% per annum on the unused portion. The Acquisition Line also requires a commitment fee ranging from 0.25% to 0.45% per annum, depending on our total adjusted leverage ratio, based on a minimum commitment of \$100.0 million less outstanding borrowings.

After considering the outstanding balance of \$1,150.8 million at December 31, 2015, we had \$229.2 million of available floorplan borrowing capacity under the Floorplan Line. Included in the \$229.2 million available borrowings under the Floorplan Line was \$110.8 million of immediately available funds. The weighted average interest rate on the Floorplan Line was 1.7% and 1.4% as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, excluding the impact of our interest rate swaps. With regards to the Acquisition Line, there were no borrowings outstanding as of December 31, 2015. Borrowings outstanding as of December 31, 2014 were \$69.7 million. After considering \$41.9 million and \$43.2 million of outstanding letters of credit and other factors included in our available borrowing base calculation, there was \$278.2 million and \$207.1 million of available borrowing capacity under the Acquisition Line as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The amount of available borrowing capacity under the Acquisition Line is limited from time to time based upon certain debt covenants.

All of our U.S. dealership-owning subsidiaries are co-borrowers under the Revolving Credit Facility. Our obligations under the Revolving Credit Facility are secured by essentially all of our U.S. personal property (other than equity interests in dealership-owning subsidiaries), including all motor vehicle inventory and proceeds from the disposition of dealership-owning subsidiaries, excluding inventory financed directly with manufacturer-affiliates and other third party financing institutions. The Revolving Credit Facility contains a number of significant covenants that, among other things, restrict our ability to make disbursements outside of the ordinary course of business, dispose of assets,

incur additional indebtedness, create liens on assets, make investments and engage in mergers or consolidations. We are also required to comply with specified financial tests and ratios defined in the Revolving Credit Facility, such as the fixed charge coverage, total adjusted leverage, and senior secured adjusted leverage ratios. Further, the Revolving Credit Facility restricts our ability to make certain payments, such as dividends or other distributions of assets, properties, cash, rights, obligations or securities ("Restricted Payments"). The Restricted Payments cannot exceed the sum of \$125.0 million plus (or minus if negative) (a) one-half of the aggregate consolidated net income for the period beginning on January 1, 2013 and ending on the date of determination and (b) the amount of net cash proceeds received from the sale of capital stock on or after January 1, 2013 and ending on the date of determination less (c) the Restricted Payment Basket. For purposes of the calculation of the Restricted Payment Basket, net income represents such amounts per our consolidated financial statements, adjusted to exclude our foreign operations, non-cash interest expense, non-

cash asset impairment charges, and non-cash stock-based compensation. As of December 31, 2015, the Restricted Payment Basket totaled \$144.7 million. As of December 31, 2015, we were in compliance with all our financial covenants, including:

	As of December 31, 2015	
	Required	Actual
Senior Secured Adjusted Leverage Ratio	< 3.75	1.67
Total Adjusted Leverage Ratio	< 5.50	3.78
Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio	> 1.35	2.03

Based upon our current five year operating and financial projections, we believe that we will remain compliant with such covenants in the future.

Ford Motor Credit Company Facility. Our FMCC Facility provides for the financing of, and is collateralized by, our U.S. Ford new vehicle inventory, including affiliated brands. This arrangement provides for \$300.0 million of floorplan financing and is an evergreen arrangement that may be canceled with 30 days' notice by either party. As of December 31, 2015, we had an outstanding balance of \$171.3 million under the FMCC Facility with an available floorplan borrowing capacity of \$128.7 million. Included in the \$128.7 million available borrowings under the FMCC Facility was \$25.5 million of immediately available funds. This facility bears interest at a rate of Prime plus 150 basis points minus certain incentives. The interest rate on the FMCC Facility was 4.75% before considering the applicable incentives, as of December 31, 2015 and 2014.

The following table summarizes the position of our U.S. credit facilities as of December 31, 2015:

U.S. Credit Facilities	As of December 31, 2015		
	Total Commitment (In thousands)	Outstanding	Available
Floorplan Line ⁽¹⁾	\$1,380,000	\$1,150,847	\$229,153
Acquisition Line ⁽²⁾	320,000	41,850	278,150
Total Revolving Credit Facility	1,700,000	1,192,697	507,303
FMCC Facility ⁽³⁾	300,000	171,307	128,693
Total U.S. Credit Facilities ⁽⁴⁾	\$2,000,000	\$1,364,004	\$635,996

(1) The available balance as of December 31, 2015 includes \$110.8 million of immediately available funds.

(2) The outstanding balance of \$41.9 million is related to outstanding letters of credit.

(3) The available balance as of December 31, 2015 includes \$25.5 million of immediately available funds.

(4) The outstanding balance excludes \$196.4 million of borrowings with manufacturer-affiliates and third-party financial institutions for foreign and rental vehicle financing not associated with any of our U.S. credit facilities.

Other Inventory Credit Facilities. We have credit facilities with BMW Financial Services, Volkswagen Finance and FMCC for the financing of new, used and rental vehicle inventories related to our U.K. operations. These facilities are denominated in British pound sterling and are evergreen arrangements that may be canceled with notice by either party and bear interest at a base rate, plus a surcharge that varies based upon the type of vehicle being financed. The annual interest rates charged on borrowings outstanding under these facilities ranged from 1.15% to 3.95%, as of December 31, 2015.

We have credit facilities with financial institutions in Brazil, most of which are affiliated with the manufacturers, for the financing of new, used and rental vehicle inventories related to our Brazil operations. These facilities are denominated in Brazilian real and have renewal terms ranging from one month to twelve months. They may be canceled with notice by either party and bear interest at a benchmark rate, plus a surcharge that varies based upon the type of vehicle being financed. As of December 31, 2015, the annual interest rates charged on borrowings outstanding under these facilities ranged from 16.77% to 25.19%.

Other Inventory Financing Arrangements. Excluding rental vehicles financed through the Revolving Credit Facility, financing for U.S. rental vehicles is typically obtained directly from the automobile manufacturers. These financing arrangements generally require small monthly payments and mature in varying amounts over a period of two years. As of December 31, 2015, the interest rate charged on borrowings related to our rental vehicle fleet varied up to

5.00%. Rental vehicles are typically transferred to used vehicle inventory when they are removed from service and repayment of the borrowing is required at that time.

For a more detailed discussion of our credit facilities existing as of December 31, 2015, please see Note 11 to our Consolidated Financial Statements, "Credit Facilities."

5.00% Senior Notes. On June 2, 2014, we issued \$350.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 5.00% Notes. Subsequently, on September 9, 2014, we issued an additional \$200.0 million of 5.00% Notes at a discount of 1.5% from face value. The 5.00% Notes will mature on June 1, 2022 and pay interest semiannually, in arrears, in cash on each June 1 and December 1, beginning December 1, 2014. Using proceeds of certain equity offerings, we may redeem up to 35.0% of the 5.00% Notes prior to June 1, 2017, subject to certain conditions, at a redemption price equal to 105% of principal amount of the 5.00% Notes plus accrued and unpaid interest. Otherwise, we may redeem some or all of the 5.00% Notes prior to June 1, 2017 at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the 5.00% Notes redeemed, plus an applicable premium, and plus accrued and unpaid interest. On or after June 1, 2017, we may redeem some or all of the 5.00% Notes at specified prices, plus accrued and unpaid interest. We may be required to purchase the 5.00% Notes if we sell certain assets or trigger the change in control provisions defined in the 5.00% Notes indenture. The 5.00% Notes are senior unsecured obligations and rank equal in right of payment to all of our existing and future senior unsecured debt and senior in right of payment to all of our future subordinated debt.

The 5.00% Notes are guaranteed by substantially all of our U.S. subsidiaries. The U.S. subsidiary guarantees rank equally in the right of payment to all of our U.S. subsidiary guarantor's existing and future subordinated debt. In addition, the 5.00% Notes are structurally subordinated to the liabilities of our non-guarantor subsidiaries and are subject to customary covenants, including a restricted payment basket and debt limitations. The restricted payment basket under the terms of the 5.00% Notes is less restrictive than the Restricted Payment Basket.

In connection with the issuance of the 5.00% Notes, we entered into registration rights agreements (the "Registration Rights Agreements") with the initial purchasers. Pursuant to the Registration Rights Agreements, we agreed to file a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission so that holders of the 5.00% Notes could exchange the 5.00% Notes for registered 5.00% Notes that have substantially identical terms as the 5.00% Notes. We also agreed to use commercially reasonable efforts to cause the exchange to be completed by June 2, 2015, or be required to pay additional interest. In June 2015, we completed the exchange.

Underwriters' fees and the discount relative to the \$550.0 million totaled \$10.4 million, which were recorded as a reduction of the 5.00% Notes principal balance and are being amortized over a period of eight years. The 5.00% Notes are presented net of unamortized underwriter fees and discount of \$8.7 million as of December 31, 2015. In connection with the issuance of the 5.00% Notes, we capitalized \$2.6 million of debt issuance costs, which are included in Other Assets on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets and amortized over a period of eight years. Unamortized debt issuance costs as of December 31, 2015 totaled \$2.0 million.

5.25% Senior Notes. On December 8, 2015, we issued \$300 million aggregate principal amount of our 5.25% Notes due to mature on December 15, 2023 in a private placement exempt from the registration requirements of the SEC. The 5.25% Notes and the related guarantees have not been, and will not be, registered under the Securities Act or the securities laws of any other jurisdiction. The 5.25% Notes pay interest semiannually, in arrears, in cash on each June 15 and December 15, beginning June 15, 2016. Using proceeds of certain equity offerings, the Company may redeem up to 35.0% of the 5.25% Notes prior to December 15, 2018, subject to certain conditions, at a redemption price equal to 105.25% of principal amount of the 5.25% Notes plus accrued and unpaid interest. Otherwise, the Company may redeem some or all of the 5.25% Notes prior to December 15, 2018 at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the 5.25% Notes redeemed, plus an applicable make-whole premium, plus accrued and unpaid interest. On or after December 15, 2018, the Company may redeem some or all of the 5.25% Notes at specified prices, plus accrued and unpaid interest. We may be required to purchase the 5.25% Notes if we sell certain assets or trigger the change in control provisions defined in the 5.25% Notes indenture. The 5.25% Notes are senior unsecured obligations and rank equal in right of payment to all of our existing and future senior unsecured debt and senior in right of payment to all of our future subordinated debt.

The 5.25% Notes are guaranteed by substantially all of our U.S. subsidiaries. The U.S. subsidiary guarantees rank equally in the right of payment to all of our U.S. subsidiary guarantor's existing and future subordinated debt. In addition, the 5.25% Notes are structurally subordinated to the liabilities of our non-guarantor subsidiaries and are

subject to customary covenants, including a restricted payment basket and debt limitations. The restricted payment basket under the terms of the 5.25% Notes is less restrictive than the Restricted Payment Basket.

72

Underwriters' fees totaled \$3.8 million, which were recorded as a reduction of the 5.25% Notes principal balance and are being amortized over a period of eight years. The 5.25% Notes are presented net of unamortized underwriter fees of \$3.7 million as of December 31, 2015. At the time of issuance of the 5.25% Notes, the Company capitalized \$1.1 million of debt issuance costs, which are included in Other Assets on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets and amortized over a period of eight years. Unamortized debt issuance costs as of December 31, 2015 totaled \$1.1 million.

Real Estate Credit Facility. Group 1 Realty, Inc., our wholly-owned subsidiary, is party to a real estate credit facility with Bank of America, N.A. and Comerica Bank providing the right for term loans to finance real estate purchases. As of December 31, 2015, \$25.0 million of term loan borrowings remained available. The term loans can be expanded provided that (a) no default or event of default exists under the Real Estate Credit Facility; (b) we obtain commitments from the lenders who would qualify as assignees for such increased amounts; and (c) certain other agreed upon terms and conditions have been satisfied. The Real Estate Credit Facility is guaranteed by us and substantially all of our existing and future domestic subsidiaries and is secured by the relevant real property owned by us that is mortgaged under the Real Estate Credit Facility.

The interest rate on the Real Estate Credit Facility is equal to (a) the per annum rate equal to one-month LIBOR plus 2.00% per annum, determined on the first day of each month; or (b) 0.95% per annum in excess of the higher of (i) the Bank of America prime rate (adjusted daily on the day specified in the public announcement of such price rate), (ii) the Federal Funds Rate adjusted daily, plus 0.50% or (iii) the per annum rate equal to the one-month LIBOR plus 1.05% per annum. The Federal Funds Rate is the weighted average of the rates on overnight Federal funds transactions with members of the Federal Reserve System arranged by Federal funds brokers on such day, as published by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York on the business day succeeding such day.

We are required to make quarterly principal payments equal to 1.25% of the principal amount outstanding and are required to repay the aggregate amount outstanding on the maturity dates of the individual borrowings ranging from March 31, 2016 through December 8, 2018. During the year ended December 31, 2015, we made no additional borrowings and made principal payments of \$3.3 million on outstanding borrowings from the Real Estate Credit Facility. As of December 31, 2015, borrowings outstanding under the Real Estate Credit Facility totaled \$54.7 million, with \$29.1 million recorded as a current maturity of long-term debt in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The Real Estate Credit Facility also contains usual and customary provisions limiting our ability to engage in certain transactions, including limitations on our ability to incur additional debt, additional liens, make investments, and pay distributions to our stockholders. In addition, the Real Estate Credit Facility requires certain financial covenants that are identical to those contained in our Revolving Credit Facility.

Other Real Estate Related and Long-Term Debt. We have entered into separate term mortgage loans in the U.S. with four of our manufacturer-affiliated finance partners, Toyota Motor Credit Corporation, Mercedes-Benz Financial Services USA, LLC, BMW Financial Services NA, LLC, and FMCC, as well as several third party financial institutions (collectively, "Real Estate Notes"). The Real Estate Notes may be expanded for borrowings related to specific buildings and/or properties and are guaranteed by us. Each loan was made in connection with, and is secured by mortgage liens, on the real property owned by us that is mortgaged under the Real Estate Notes. The Real Estate Notes bear interest at fixed rates between 3.00% and 4.69%, and at variable indexed rates plus a spread between 1.50% and 2.55% per annum. As of December 31, 2015, the aggregate outstanding balance under these Real Estate Notes was \$241.8 million, with \$13.7 million classified as a current maturity of long-term debt in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Additionally, we have entered into separate term mortgage loans in the U.K. with other third-party financial institutions which are secured by our U.K. properties. These mortgage loans (collectively, "U.K. Notes") are being repaid in monthly installments that will mature by September 2034. As of December 31, 2015, borrowings under the U.K. Notes totaled \$57.1 million, with \$4.5 million classified as a current maturity of long-term debt in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

We have also entered into a separate term mortgage loan in Brazil with a third-party financial institution to finance the purchase and construction of dealership properties (the "Brazil Note"). The Brazil Note is secured by the Company's

Brazilian properties as purchased and/or constructed, as well as a guarantee from the Company. The Brazil Note will be repaid in monthly installments that will mature by April 2025. As of December 31, 2015, borrowings under the Brazil Note totaled \$3.8 million, with \$0.4 million classified as a current maturity of long-term debt in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

We also have working capital loan agreements with third-party financial institutions in Brazil that will mature by February 2017. As of December 31, 2015, borrowings under the Brazilian third-party loans totaled \$5.6 million classified as long-term debt in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Stock Repurchases. From time to time, our Board of Directors gives authorization to repurchase shares of our common stock, subject to the restrictions of various debt agreements and our judgment. The Company issues new shares or treasury shares, if available, when restricted stock vests. With respect to shares issued under the Purchase Plan, the Company's Board of Directors has authorized specific share repurchases to fund the shares issuable under the Purchase Plan.

In November 2015, our Board of Directors authorized a new purchase program of up to \$100.0 million of our common shares, replacing any amount remaining from the November 2014 authorization. During 2015, we repurchased 1,176,908 shares at an average stock price of \$82.82 per share, for a total of \$97.5 million, leaving \$78.2 million available for future repurchases. In February 2016, the Board of Directors approved a new authorization of \$150.0 million, replacing the authorization remaining at that time. In 2014, we repurchased 537,054 shares at an average price of \$68.51 per share for a total cost of \$36.8 million. During 2013, we repurchased 55,655 shares at an average price of \$63.82 per share for a total of \$3.6 million. Future repurchases are subject to the discretion of our Board of Directors after considering our results of operations, financial condition, cash flows, capital requirements, existing debt covenants, outlook for our business, general business conditions and other factors.

Dividends. The payment of dividends is subject to the discretion of our Board of Directors after considering the results of operations, financial condition, cash flows, capital requirements, outlook for our business, general business conditions, the political and legislative environments and other factors.

Further, we are limited under the terms of the Revolving Credit Facility, Real Estate Credit Facility, 5.00% Senior Notes and 5.25% Senior Notes in our ability to make cash dividend payments to our stockholders and to repurchase shares of our outstanding common stock, based primarily on our quarterly net income or loss. As of December 31, 2015, the most stringent of the restricted payment baskets was under our Revolving Credit Facility and Real Estate Credit Facility (the "Restricted Payment Basket"), limiting us to \$144.7 million in restricted payments. The Restricted Payment Basket will increase in the future periods by 50.0% of our future cumulative net income, adjusted to exclude the Company's foreign operations, non-cash interest expense, non-cash asset impairment charges, and non-cash stock-based compensation, plus the net proceeds received from the sale of our capital stock, and decrease by the amount of future payments for cash dividends and share repurchases.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements as defined by Item 303(a)(4)(ii) of Regulation S-K.

Contractual Obligations

The following is a summary of our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2015:

Contractual Obligations	Payments Due by Period				
	Total	< 1 Year	1-3 Years (In thousands)	3-5 Years	Thereafter
Floorplan notes payable	\$ 1,518,531	\$ 1,518,531	\$—	\$—	\$—
Estimated interest payments on floor plan notes payable ⁽¹⁾	17,296				