

AMP Holding Inc.  
Form 10-Q  
August 14, 2012

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF  
1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF  
1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number: 000-53704

AMP HOLDING INC.  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada  
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or  
organization)

26-1394771  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

100 Commerce Drive, Loveland, Ohio 45140  
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

513-360-4704  
Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

N/A  
(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Edgar Filing: AMP Holding Inc. - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer   
Non-accelerated filer

Accelerated filer   
Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common Stock, \$0.001 par value per share  
(Class)

38,912,165  
(Outstanding at August 10, 2012)

Table of Contents

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>	
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	3
<u>Balance Sheets</u>	4
<u>Statements of Operations</u>	5
<u>Statements of Cash Flows</u>	6
<u>Notes to Financial Statements</u>	7
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	18
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	22
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	22
<b>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</b>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	24
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	24
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	28
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	29
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	29
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	29
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	30
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	33

Table of Contents

## PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Statements

AMP Holding Inc.  
(A Development Stage Company)  
Balance Sheets  
June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011

Assets	June 30, 2012 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2011
<b>Current assets:</b>		
Cash	\$34,011	\$89,488
Accounts receivable	5,019	5,019
Inventory	122,000	122,000
Prepaid expenses and deposits	39,335	51,210
	200,365	267,717
<b>Property, plant and equipment:</b>		
Software	27,721	27,721
Leasehold improvements	19,225	19,225
Equipment	178,124	149,371
Vehicles and prototypes	189,746	189,746
	414,816	386,063
Less accumulated depreciation	236,771	203,886
	178,045	182,177
	\$378,410	\$449,894
<b>Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)</b>		
<b>Current liabilities:</b>		
Accounts payable	\$1,567,638	\$1,367,367
Accounts payable, related parties	293,904	211,640
Customer deposits	25,000	25,000
Shareholder advances	43,000	312,000
Convertible debentures	1,384,407	-
Current portion of long-term debt	23,096	20,641
	3,337,045	1,936,648
Long-term debt	63,889	69,550
Commitments and contingencies	-	-
<b>Stockholders' equity (deficit):</b>		
Series A preferred stock, par value of \$.001 per share, 75,000,000 shares authorized, 0 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2012	-	-
Common stock, par value of \$.001 per share 250,000,000 shares authorized,		

Edgar Filing: AMP Holding Inc. - Form 10-Q

38,912,165 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2012 and		
38,734,650 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2011	38,912	38,735
Additional paid-in capital	12,210,296	12,063,860
Stock based compensation	3,659,796	3,439,870
Accumulated deficit during the development stage	(18,931,528)	(17,098,769)
	(3,022,524 )	(1,556,304 )
	\$378,410	\$449,894

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Table of Contents

AMP Holding Inc.  
(A Development Stage Company)  
Statements of Operations  
For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011  
and for the Period From Inception,  
February 20, 2007 to June 30, 2012

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended		Since Date of Inception, February 20, 2007 to
	June 30, 2012 (Unaudited)	June 30, 2011 (Unaudited)	June 30, 2012 (Unaudited)	June 30, 2011 (Unaudited)	June 30, 2012 (Unaudited)
Sales	\$222,098	\$107,535	\$222,098	\$190,035	\$552,840
<b>Expenses:</b>					
Payroll and payroll taxes	398,223	715,762	949,145	1,341,169	6,502,759
Employee benefits	42,243	68,086	86,860	133,938	582,939
Stock based compensation	66,894	866,581	219,926	1,199,361	3,677,536
Batteries and motors and supplies	130,220	338,816	123,507	750,856	2,618,156
Vehicles, development and testing	-	-	57,786	-	322,201
Legal and professional	42,520	111,729	154,158	281,275	1,585,029
Advertising	23,276	81,861	58,418	169,389	895,315
Consulting	12,289	125,140	74,468	226,146	1,118,810
Engineering, temporary labor	-	2,274	870	18,855	247,919
Travel and entertainment	19,169	76,273	48,906	110,807	448,033
Depreciation	16,682	16,180	32,885	27,922	262,263
Rent	38,625	28,200	76,972	56,250	380,401
Insurance	20,426	37,015	37,753	64,674	306,465
Facilities, repairs & maintenance	6,673	20,291	12,715	33,099	174,950
Utilities	8,514	5,365	18,863	14,834	114,204
Interest and bank fees	61,402	1,244	85,871	2,532	112,311
Loss on sale of assets	-	-	-	-	13,090
Other	6,969	23,509	15,754	32,676	121,987
	894,125	2,518,326	2,054,857	4,463,783	19,484,368
Net loss during the development stage	\$(672,027 )	\$(2,410,791 )	\$(1,832,759 )	\$(4,273,748 )	\$(18,931,528 )
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$(0.02 )	\$(0.07 )	\$(0.05 )	\$(0.14 )	\$(0.80 )
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	38,912,165	32,192,843	38,838,960	30,235,598	23,564,089

See accompanying notes to financial statements.



Table of Contents

AMP Holding Inc.  
(A Development Stage Company)  
Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)  
From Inception, February 20, 2007  
to June 30, 2012

	Common Stock		Series A Preferred Stock		Additional	Stock	Accumulated	Total
	Number	Amount	Number	Amount	Paid-in	Based	Deficit	Stockholders'
	of Shares		of Shares		Capital	Compensation	During the	Equity
							Development	(Deficit)
							Stage	
Beginning capital - inception	-	\$-	-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Issuance of common stock, and fulfillment of stock subscriptions receivable	7,210	900,000	-	-	-	-	-	900,000
Net loss from operations, period of inception, February 20, 2007 to December 31, 2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	(456,145 )	(456,145 )
	7,210	\$900,000	-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$(456,145 )	\$443,855
Issuance of common stock, and fulfillment of stock subscriptions receivable	4,305	875,000	-	-	-	-	-	875,000
March 10, 2008 stock dividend	62,720	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Share based compensation for the year ended December 31, 2008	-	9,757	-	-	-	-	-	9,757
Net loss from operations for the year ended December 31, 2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1,383,884 )	(1,383,884)
	74,235	\$1,784,757	-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$(1,840,029 )	\$(55,272 )



Edgar Filing: AMP Holding Inc. - Form 10-Q

January 1, 2009 stock re-pricing agreement	18,025	-						-
Issuance of common stock, and fulfillment of stock subscriptions receivable	168,210	753,511	-	-	49,989	-	-	803,500
Share based compensation to December 28, 2009	-	7,983	-	-	-	-	-	7,983
Shares issued out of stock option plan on December 31, 2009	3,220	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net effect of purchase accounting adjustments	17,508,759	(2,528,479)	-	-	2,528,479	-	-	-
Conversion of convertible notes	-	-	8,375	8	264,992	-	-	265,000
Net loss from operations for the year ended December 31, 2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1,524,923 )	(1,524,923 )
	17,772,449	\$17,772	8,375	\$8	\$2,843,460	\$-	\$(3,364,952 )	\$(503,712 )
Conversion of convertible note	29,750	30	-	-	9,970	-	-	10,000
Issuance of preferred stock, and fulfillment of stock subscriptions receivable	-	-	625	1	24,999	-	-	25,000
Issuance of common stock, and fulfillment of stock subscriptions receivable	9,808,566	9,809	-	-	3,682,530	-	-	3,692,339
Conversion of account payable	101,636	102	-	-	86,898	-	-	87,000
Share based compensation for the year								

Edgar Filing: AMP Holding Inc. - Form 10-Q

ended December 31, 2010	-	-	-	-	-	1,436,979	-	1,436,979
Net loss from operations for the year								
ended December 31, 2010	-	-	-	-	-	-	(5,028,106 )	(5,028,106)
	27,712,401	\$27,713	9,000	\$9	\$6,647,857	\$1,436,979	\$(8,393,058 )	\$(280,500 )
Issuance of common stock, and fulfillment of stock subscriptions receivable	9,912,447	9,911	-	-	5,404,830	-	-	5,414,741
Stock options and warrants exercised	38,692	39	-	-	12,236	-	-	12,275
Conversion of preferred stock to common stock	1,071,110	1,072	(9,000)	(9)	(1,063 )	-	-	-
Share based compensation for the year ended December 31, 2011	-	-	-	-	-	2,002,891	-	2,002,891
Net loss from operations for the year								
ended December 31, 2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	(8,705,711 )	(8,705,711)
	38,734,650	\$38,735	-	\$-	\$12,063,860	\$3,439,870	\$(17,098,769)	\$(1,556,304)
Issuance of detached warrants in conection with convertible debentures	-	-	-	-	86,613	-	-	86,613
Conversion of account payable	177,515	177	-	-	59,823	-	-	60,000
Share based compensation for the six months ended June 30, 2012	-	-	-	-	-	219,926	-	219,926
Net loss from operations for the six months								
ended June 30, 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1,832,759 )	(1,832,759)
	38,912,165	\$38,912	-	\$-	\$12,210,296	\$3,659,796	\$(18,931,528)	\$(3,022,524)

A vehicle with a fair market value of \$30,400 and cash of \$69,600 was accepted as consideration for issuance of common stock in February 2007.

A vehicle with a fair market value of \$30,884 and cash of \$69,116 was accepted as consideration for issuance of common stock in June 2007.

Consulting services valued at \$50,000 were accepted as consideration for issuance of common stock in October 2008.

Legal services valued at \$87,000 were accepted as consideration for issuance of common stock in December 2010.

Legal and consulting services valued at \$60,000 were accepted as consideration for issuance of common stock in March 2012.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Table of Contents

AMP Holding Inc.  
(A Development Stage Company)  
Statements of Cash Flows  
For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011  
and for the Period From Inception,  
February 20, 2007 to June 30, 2012

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended		Since Date of Inception, February 20, 2007 to
	June 30, 2012 (Unaudited)	June 30, 2011 (Unaudited)	June 30, 2012 (Unaudited)	June 30, 2011 (Unaudited)	June 30, 2012 (Unaudited)
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>					
Net loss during the development stage	\$(672,027 )	\$(2,410,791 )	\$(1,832,759 )	\$(4,273,748 )	\$(18,931,528 )
Adjustments to reconcile net loss from operations to cash used by operations:					
Depreciation	16,682	16,180	32,885	27,922	262,263
Loss on sale of assets	-	-	-	-	13,090
Stock based compensation	66,894	866,581	219,926	1,199,361	3,677,536
Amortized discount on convertible debentures	27,896	-	31,770	-	31,770
Legal and consulting services	-	-	60,000	-	197,000
<b>Effects of changes in operating assets and liabilities:</b>					
Accounts receivable	-	5,594	-	29,023	(5,019 )
Inventory	-	(82,000 )	-	(82,000 )	(122,000 )
Prepaid expenses and deposits	-	(5,325 )	11,875	(5,750 )	(39,335 )
Accounts payable	277,173	(297,536 )	200,271	44,764	1,567,638
Accounts payable, related parties	19,316	(44,649 )	82,264	(19,842 )	293,904
Customer deposits	-	(25,000 )	-	(52,393 )	25,000
Net cash used by operations	(264,066 )	(1,976,946 )	(1,193,768 )	(3,132,663 )	(13,029,681 )
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>					
Capital expenditures	(28,753 )	(86,348 )	(28,753 )	(86,348 )	(376,650 )
Proceeds on sale of assets	-	-	-	-	32,900
Net cash used by investing activities	(28,753 )	(86,348 )	(28,753 )	(86,348 )	(343,750 )
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>					
Proceeds from debentures and notes payable	539,250	-	1,439,250	-	1,599,250
Payments on notes payable	-	-	-	-	(150,000 )
Proceeds from long-term debt	-	-	-	-	50,000
Payments on long-term debt	(1,610 )	(1,532 )	(3,206 )	(3,052 )	(11,379 )

Edgar Filing: AMP Holding Inc. - Form 10-Q

Shareholder advances	(262,000 )	-	(269,000 )	-	43,000
Issuance of common and preferred stock	-	3,529,651	-	4,510,017	11,876,571
Net cash provided by financing activities	275,640	3,528,119	1,167,044	4,506,965	13,407,442
Change in cash and cash equivalents	(17,179 )	1,464,825	(55,477 )	1,287,954	34,011
Cash and cash equivalents at inception, February 20, 2007					-
Cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2010				385,293	
Cash and cash equivalents at March 31, 2011		208,422			
Cash and cash equivalents at June 30, 2011		\$1,673,247		\$1,673,247	
Cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2011			89,488		
Cash and cash equivalents at March 31, 2012	51,190				
Cash and cash equivalents at June 30, 2012	\$34,011		\$34,011		\$34,011

Supplemental disclosure of non-cash activities:

Vehicles valued at \$61,284 were contributed as consideration for issuance of common stock during the period from inception, February 20, 2007, to December 31, 2007.

Consulting services valued at \$50,000 were accepted as consideration for issuance of common stock in October 2008.

During March 2010 a note payable of \$10,000 was converted to 29,750 shares of common stock.

A vehicle valued at \$33,427 was acquired through bank financing in September 2010.

Legal services valued at \$87,000 were accepted as consideration for issuance of common stock in December 2010.

Equipment valued at \$14,937 was acquired through debt financing in December 2011.

Legal and consulting services valued at \$60,000 were accepted as consideration for issuance of common stock in March 2012.

Detachable warrants associated with convertible debentures valued at \$78,300 were recorded as an increase to additional paid-in capital in January and February 2012.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Table of Contents

AMP Holding Inc.  
(A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements

For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011  
and for the Period From Inception,  
February 20, 2007 to June 30, 2012  
(Unaudited)

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES

The following accounting principles and practices are set forth to facilitate the understanding of data presented in the financial statements:

Nature of operations

AMP Holding Inc., formerly known as Title Starts Online, Inc. (the Company), incorporated in the State of Nevada in 2007 with \$3,100 of capital from the issuance of common shares to the founding shareholder. On August 11, 2008 the Company received a Notice of Effectiveness from the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, and on September 18, 2008, the Company closed a public offering in which it accepted subscriptions for an aggregate of 200,000 shares of its common stock, raising \$50,000 less offering costs of \$46,234. With this limited capital the Company did not commence operations and remained a “shell company” (as defined in Rule 12b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended).

On December 28, 2009, the Company entered into and closed a Share Exchange Agreement with the Shareholders of Advanced Mechanical Products, Inc. (n/k/a AMP Electric Vehicles, Inc.) (AMP) pursuant to which the Company acquired 100% of the outstanding securities of AMP in exchange for 14,890,904 shares of the Company’s common stock. Considering that, following the merger, the AMP Shareholders control the majority of the outstanding voting common stock of the Company, and effectively succeeded the Company’s otherwise minimal operations to those that are AMP. AMP is considered the accounting acquirer in this reverse-merger transaction. A reverse-merger transaction is considered and accounted for as a capital transaction in substance; it is equivalent to the issuance of AMP securities for net monetary assets of the Company, which are de minimus, accompanied by a recapitalization. Accordingly, goodwill or other intangible assets have not been recognized in connection with this reverse merger transaction. AMP is the surviving entity and the historical financials following the reverse merger transaction will be those of AMP. The Company was a shell company immediately prior to the acquisition of AMP pursuant to the terms of the Share Exchange Agreement. As a result of such acquisition, the Company operations are now focused on the design, marketing and sale of modified automobiles with an all-electric power train and battery systems. Consequently, we believe that acquisition has caused the Company to cease to be a shell company as it now has operations. The Company formally changed its name to AMP Holding Inc. on May 24, 2010.

AMP’s vision is to bring electrification to full size mainstream vehicles that are popular with both fleets and consumers. The largest vehicle segment in the U.S. is the SUV segment and we have one of the only electric full size SUV solutions available. AMP designs, manufactures and is offering for sale modified automobiles and commercial trucks with an all electric drivetrain and battery system that allows the vehicle to perform similar to the original vehicle, but with no emissions or burning of fossil fuels. This is due to AMP’s patent pending, powerful, yet highly efficient electric powertrain. Revenues since the inception of the Company, February 20, 2007, through the date of these financial statements have not been significant and consist of customer vehicle conversions and sales of converted vehicles.

Development stage company

Based on the Company's business plan, it is a development stage company since planned principal operations resulting in revenue have not fully commenced. Accordingly, the Company presents its financial statements in conformity with

the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America that apply to developing enterprises. As a development stage enterprise, the Company discloses its retained earnings (or deficit accumulated) during the development stage and the cumulative statements of operations and cash flows from commencement of development stage to the current balance sheet date. The development stage began in 2007 when the Company was organized.

Table of Contents

AMP Holding Inc.  
(A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements

For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011  
and for the Period From Inception,  
February 20, 2007 to June 30, 2012  
(Unaudited)

Basis of presentation

The financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and liquidation of liabilities in the normal course of business. However, the Company has limited revenues and has negative working capital and stockholders' deficits. During the first half of 2012 the lack of liquidity delayed the Company from paying its employees their full salaries. Employee layoffs have occurred and additional layoffs are considered as a means of conserving cash. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the ability of the Company to continue as a going concern.

In view of these matters, continuation as a going concern is dependent upon the continued operations of the Company, which in turn is dependent upon the Company's ability to meet its financial requirements, raise additional capital, and the success of its future operations. The financial statements do not include any adjustments to the amount and classification of assets and liabilities that may be necessary should the Company not continue as a going concern.

The Company has continued to raise capital. Management believes the proceeds from these offerings, future offerings, and the Company's anticipated revenue provides an opportunity to continue as a going concern. If additional funding is required, the Company plans to obtain working capital from either debt or equity financing from the sale of common, preferred stock, and/or convertible debentures.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Certain reclassifications were made to the prior year financial statements to conform to the current year presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on previously reported results of operation or stockholders' equity (deficit).

Financial instruments

The carrying amounts of financial instruments including cash, accounts receivable, inventory, cash overdraft, accounts payable and short-term debt approximate fair value because of the relatively short maturity of these instruments.

Inventory

Inventory, stated at cost, includes vehicles being converted for sale.

Property and depreciation

Property and equipment is recorded at cost. Major renewals and improvements are capitalized while replacements, maintenance and repairs, which do not improve or extend the lives of the respective assets, are expensed. When property and equipment is retired or otherwise disposed of, a gain or loss is realized for the difference between the net book value of the asset and the proceeds realized thereon. Depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method, based upon the following estimated useful lives:

Software: 6 years

Equipment: 5 years



Vehicles and prototypes: 3 - 5 years

8

---

Table of Contents

AMP Holding Inc.  
(A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements

For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011  
and for the Period From Inception,  
February 20, 2007 to June 30, 2012  
(Unaudited)

Capital stock

On April 22, 2010 the directors of the Company approved a forward stock split of the common stock of the Company on a 14:1 basis. On May 12, 2010 the stockholders of the Company voted to approve the amendment of the certificate of incorporation resulting in a decrease of the number of shares of Common stock. The Company filed a 14c definitive information statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission and mailed the same to its shareholders. Management filed the certificate of amendment decreasing the authorized shares of common stock with the State of Nevada on September 8, 2010.

The capital stock of the Company is as follows:

**Preferred Stock** - The Company has authorized 75,000,000 shares of preferred stock with a par value of \$.001 per share. These shares may be issued in series with such rights and preferences as may be determined by the Board of Directors. The Series A Stock is convertible, at any time at the option of the holder, into common shares of the Company based on a conversion price of \$0.336 per share. The holders of the Series A Stock are not entitled to convert the Series A Stock and receive shares of common stock such that the number of shares of common stock held by them in the aggregate and their affiliates after such conversion or exercise does not exceed 4.99% of the then issued and outstanding shares of common stock. The Series A Stock has voting rights on an as converted basis, does not pay dividends, and does not provide any liquidation rights.

**Common Stock** - The Company has authorized 250,000,000 shares of common stock with a par value of \$.001 per share.

Revenue recognition / customer deposits

It is the Company's policy that revenues will be recognized in accordance with SEC Staff Bulletin (SAB) No. 104, "Revenue Recognition". Under SAB 104, product revenues (or service revenues) are recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred (or service has been performed), the sales price is fixed and determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. Customer deposits include monies from customers to reserve a production slot for conversion of an OEM power train to the AMP all electric power train. The final retail price and delivery date are yet to be determined. Customer deposits are subject to a full refund at the request of the customer.

Advertising

Advertising and public relation costs are charged to operations when incurred. Advertising and public relation expense was approximately \$23,000 and \$82,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, and \$58,000 and \$169,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, and \$895,000 for the period from inception to June 30, 2012, respectively consisting primarily of travel and related expenses for attendance at car shows and industry expositions.

Table of Contents

AMP Holding Inc.  
(A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements

For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011  
and for the Period From Inception,  
February 20, 2007 to June 30, 2012  
(Unaudited)

Income taxes

With the consent of its shareholders, at the date of inception, AMP elected under the Internal Revenue Code to be taxed as an S corporation. Since shareholders of an S corporation are taxed on their proportionate share of the Company's taxable income, an S corporation is generally not subject to either federal or state income taxes at the corporate level. On December 28, 2009 pursuant to the merger transaction the Company revoked its election to be taxed as an S-corporation.

As no taxable income has occurred from the date of this merger to June 30, 2012 cumulative deferred tax assets of approximately \$4,049,400 are fully reserved, and no provision or liability for federal or state income taxes has been included in the financial statements. Net operating losses of approximately \$3,600,000 are available for carryover to be used against taxable income generated through 2030, net operating losses of approximately \$6,700,000 are available for carryover to be used against taxable income generated through 2031, and net operating losses of approximately \$1,610,000 are available for carryover to be used against taxable income generated through 2032. The Company had not filed income tax returns during its period as a shell company.

Uncertain tax positions

The Company adopted the provisions of Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes. Those provisions clarify the accounting and recognition for income tax positions taken or expected to be taken in the Company's income tax returns. The Company's income tax filings are subject to audit by various taxing authorities. The years of filings open to these authorities and available for audit are 2008 - 2011. The Company's policy with regard to interest and penalties is to recognize interest through interest expense and penalties through other expense. In evaluating the Company's tax provisions and accruals, future taxable income, and the reversal of temporary differences, interpretations and tax planning strategies are considered. The Company believes their estimates are appropriate based on current facts and circumstances.

Research and development costs

The Company expenses research and development costs as they are incurred. Research and development expense incurred was approximately \$535,000 and \$1,137,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, and \$1,176,000 and \$2,256,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2012, and 2011, and \$10,790,000 for the period from inception to June 30, 2012, respectively, consisting of consulting, payroll, payroll taxes, engineering temporaries, purchased supplies, legal fees, parts and small tools.

Basic and diluted loss per share

Basic loss per share is computed by dividing net loss available to common shareholders (numerator) by the weighted average number of shares outstanding (denominator) during the period. For all periods, all of the Company's common stock equivalents were excluded from the calculation of diluted loss per common share because they were anti-dilutive, due to the Company's net losses.

Stock based compensation

The Company accounts for its stock based compensation in accordance with "Share-Based Payments" (codified in FASB ASC Topic 718 and 505). The Company recognizes in its statement of operations the grant-date fair value of stock options and warrants issued to employees and non-employees. The fair value is estimated on the date of grant

using a lattice-based valuation model that uses assumptions concerning expected volatility, expected term, and the expected risk-free rate of return. For the awards granted in 2012 and 2011, the expected volatility was estimated by management as 50% based on a range of forecasted results. The expected term of the awards granted was assumed to be the contract life of the option or warrant (one, two, three, five or ten years as determined in the specific arrangement). The risk-free rate of return was based on market yields in effect on the date of each grant for United States Treasury debt securities with a maturity equal to the expected term of the award.

Table of Contents

AMP Holding Inc.  
(A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements

For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011  
and for the Period From Inception,  
February 20, 2007 to June 30, 2012  
(Unaudited)

Related party transactions

Certain stockholders and stockholder family members have advanced funds or performed services for the Company. These services are believed to be at market rates for similar services from non-related parties. Related party accounts payable are segregated in the balance sheet. An experimental vehicle was sold to a stockholder in 2010 for \$25,000, which also approximates the selling price to non-related parties.

Subsequent events

The Company evaluates events and transactions occurring subsequent to the date of the financial statements for matters requiring recognition or disclosure in the financial statements.

From July 2, 2012 through July 31, 2012, the Company entered into Securities Purchase Agreements and Security Agreements with several accredited investors (the "2012 Investors") providing for the sale by the Company to the 2012 Investors of Secured Convertible Debentures in the aggregate amount of \$500,000 (the "2012 Notes"). The Company received the proceeds in connection with these financings between July 2, 2012 and August 8, 2012. The 2012 Notes mature one year from their respective effective dates (the "Maturity Dates") and interest associated with the 2012 Notes is 10% per annum, which is payable on the Maturity Dates. The 2012 Notes are convertible into shares of common stock of the Company, at the 2012 Investors' option, at a conversion price of \$0.50. Upon the closing of any financing in an amount greater than \$3,000,000 (the "Financing"), the Company, in its sole discretion, may require that the 2012 Notes be converted into securities of the Company at the same terms of the Financing.

On August 10, 2012, the Company and James E. Taylor ("Taylor") entered into an Agreement (the "Release") pursuant to which Taylor resigned as the CEO of the Company and continues to serve as the Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Company. Additionally, under the terms of the Release the Company and Taylor settled the amount of fees owing to Taylor for serving as the Company's CEO. For serving as the Chairman of the Board of Directors, the Company issued to Taylor a stock option to acquire 300,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.15. In consideration for Taylor's execution of the Release, the Company agreed to pay Taylor the amount of \$87,500 in back pay and \$43,333 in past Board of Directors fees (collectively, the "Amount"). Upon raising adequate capital, the Company agreed to use its best efforts to develop a payment schedule with respect to the Amount owed to Taylor. The Company will not make any other payments to or on behalf of Taylor, whether in the form of bonuses, severance, paid time off, profit sharing, or otherwise.

2. CONVERTIBLE DEBENTURES AND LONG-TERM DEBT

From January 6, 2012 through June 30, 2012, the Company entered into Securities Purchase Agreements and Security Agreements with several accredited investors (the "2012 Investors") providing for the sale by the Company to the 2012 Investors of Secured Convertible Debentures in the aggregate amount of \$1,439,250 (the "2012 Notes"). The Company received the proceeds in connection with these financings between January 6, 2012 and June 30, 2012. Further a shareholder, director and officer converted secured and unsecured loans provided to the Company from September 30, 2011 to June 5, 2012 in the aggregate amount of \$389,250 into the 2012 Notes and 2012 Warrants. The 2012 Notes mature one year from their respective effective dates (the "Maturity Dates") and interest associated with the 2012 Notes is 10% per annum, which is payable on the Maturity Dates. The 2012 Notes are convertible into shares of common stock of the Company, at the 2012 Investors' option, at a conversion price of

\$0.50. Upon the closing of any financing in an amount greater than \$3,000,000 (the “Financing”), the Company, in its sole discretion, may require that the 2012 Notes be converted into securities of the Company at the same terms of the Financing.

In addition to the 2012 Notes, the 2012 Investors also received common stock purchase warrants (the “2012 Warrants”) to acquire 1,439,250 shares of common stock of the Company. The 2012 Warrants are exercisable for three years at an exercise price of \$0.50. The value of the detachable 2012 Warrants was determined using a lattice-based valuation model that used an expected volatility, estimated by management as 50% based on a range of forecasted results, and an expected risk-free rate of return, based on market yields in effect on the grant dates for United States Treasury debt securities with a three year maturity. The \$86,613 value of the detachable 2012 Warrants was recorded as an increase in additional paid-in capital and a discount against the 2012 Notes. The discount on the 2012 notes is being amortized as interest expense over one year.

The 2012 Notes and the 2012 Warrants carry standard anti-dilution provisions but in no event may the conversion price be reduced below \$0.25. Further, the 2012 Investors will have the right to participate in the next financing on a pro-rata basis up to \$1,000,000.

Long-term debt consists of three notes payable. The first is a \$22,048 note payable to a bank due in monthly installments of \$635 including interest at 5.04%, with the final payment due August 2015. The note is secured by equipment which has a net book value of \$21,173 at June 30, 2012. The second is a \$14,937 note payable due in monthly installments of \$439 including interest at 8.00% with the final payment due December 2014. The note is secured by equipment which has a net book value of \$13,194 at June 30, 2012. The third is a \$50,000 note payable to the City of Loveland due in annual payments of \$10,241 including interest at 0.80% with the final payment due October 2016.

Aggregate maturities of long-term debt are as follows:

2012	\$17,435
2013	21,416
2014	23,147
2015	14,822
2016	10,165
	\$86,985

The note payable to the City of Loveland contains incentives whereby each annual payment may be forgiven by the City upon the Company meeting minimum job creation benchmarks of an average of 40 full time employees during the preceding 12 month period and an average monthly payroll of \$250,000.

### 3. SHAREHOLDER ADVANCES

On November 30, 2009, a shareholder, director and officer of the company advanced \$43,000 to the Company for working capital needs. In consideration of such advance, the Company issued a Promissory Note with interest at 3% per annum due November 1, 2011. The maturity date for this note was extended to September 30, 2012.

In addition, on September 30, 2011, October 31, 2011, May 30, 2012, May 31, 2012 and June 5, 2012 the same shareholder advanced \$62,000, \$200,000, \$12,250, \$15,000 and \$100,000, respectively, to the Company for working capital needs. In consideration of these advances, the Company issued Promissory Notes with interest rates from 6% to 10% per annum due September 30, 2012. On June 30, 2012, these secured and unsecured loans in the aggregate amount of \$389,250 were converted into the 2012 Notes and 2012 Warrants.



Table of Contents

AMP Holding Inc.  
(A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements

For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011  
and for the Period From Inception,  
February 20, 2007 to June 30, 2012  
(Unaudited)

## 4. LEASE OBLIGATIONS

On October 1, 2011 the Company began leasing operating facilities under an agreement expiring on September 30, 2018. Future minimum monthly lease payments under the agreement are \$11,875 for the first year and increase 3% each year. Prepaid expenses and deposits include a security deposit equal to \$12,275 and prepaid rent of \$11,875. Aggregate maturities of lease obligations are as follows:

2012	\$72,319
2013	147,876
2014	152,312
2015	156,881
2016	161,588
2017 and thereafter	294,050
	\$985,026

The Company also leases office space for approximately \$1,000 per month on a month to month agreement. Through January 2012 the Company also leased two apartments for approximately \$2,200 per month on month to month agreements. Prior to October 2011 the Company leased operating facilities under terms of an operating type lease with monthly payments of \$8,500. Prior to December 2009 the Company leased office/warehouse space under terms of an operating type lease with monthly payments of \$1,650. Total rent expense under these operating type leases was \$38,625 and \$28,200 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, and \$76,972 and \$56,250 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, and \$380,401 for the period from inception to June 30, 2012.

## 5. STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

Options to directors, officers and employees

The Company maintains, as adopted by the board of directors, the 2010 Incentive Stock Plan and the 2011 Incentive Stock Plan (the plans) providing for the issuance of up to 3,000,000 options to employees, officers, directors or consultants of the Company. Incentive stock options granted under the plans may only be granted with an exercise price of not less than fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant (110% of fair market value for incentive stock options granted to principal stockholders). Non-qualified stock options granted under the plans may only be granted with an exercise price of not less than 85% of the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. Awards under the plans may be either vested or unvested options. The unvested options vest ratably over eight quarters for options with a five year term and after one year for options with a two year term.

In addition to the plan, the Company has granted, on various dates, stock options to directors, officers and employees to purchase common stock of the Company. The terms, exercise prices and vesting of these awards vary.





Table of Contents

AMP Holding Inc.  
(A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements

For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011  
and for the Period From Inception,  
February 20, 2007 to June 30, 2012  
(Unaudited)

The following table summarizes option activity for directors, officers and employees:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price per Share	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value per Share	Weighted Average Remaining Exercise Term in Months
Outstanding at January 1, 2010	-	\$ -	\$ -	-
Granted	4,940,000	0.56	0.33	81
Exercised	-	-	-	-
Forfeited or expired	-	-	-	-
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	4,940,000	\$ 0.56	\$ 0.33	77
Exercisable at December 31, 2010	1,854,625	\$ 0.53	\$ 0.32	75
Granted	3,425,000	0.63	0.28	54
Exercised	(29,750 )	0.41	0.26	-
Forfeited or expired	-	-	-	-
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	8,335,250	\$ 0.59	\$ 0.31	58
Exercisable at December 31, 2011	4,588,875	\$ 0.57	\$ 0.31	60
Granted	-	-	-	-
Exercised	-	-	-	-
Forfeited or expired	(1,950,000)	0.58	0.29	56
Outstanding at June 30, 2012	6,385,250	\$ 0.59	\$ 0.31	50
Exercisable at June 30, 2012	5,225,250	\$ 0.58	\$ 0.30	48

The Company recorded \$141,392, \$855,246, \$588,202 and \$1,602,581 compensation expense for stock options to directors, officers and employees for the six months ended June 30, 2012, for the year ended December 31, 2011, the year ended December 31, 2010 and the period from inception (February 20, 2007) to June 30, 2012, respectively. As of June 30, 2012, unrecognized compensation expense of \$413,032 is related to non-vested options granted to directors, officers and employees which is anticipated to be recognized over the next 12 months, commensurate with the vesting schedules.

Table of Contents

AMP Holding Inc.  
(A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements

For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011  
and for the Period From Inception,  
February 20, 2007 to June 30, 2012  
(Unaudited)

## Options to consultants

The Company has also granted, on various dates, stock options to purchase common stock of the Company to consultants for services previously provided to the Company. The terms, exercise prices and vesting of these awards vary.

The following table summarizes option activity for consultants:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price per Share	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value per Share	Weighted Average Remaining Exercise Term in Months
Outstanding at January 1, 2010	-	\$ -	\$ -	-
Granted	810,000	0.67	0.23	36
Exercised	-	-	-	-
Forfeited or expired	-	-	-	-
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	810,000	\$ 0.67	\$ 0.23	32
Exercisable at December 31, 2010	380,000	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.22	31
Granted	70,000	0.59	0.18	31
Exercised	-	-	-	-
Forfeited or expired	-	-	-	-
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	880,000	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.23	20
Exercisable at December 31, 2011	755,000	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.22	20
Granted	-	-	-	-
Exercised	-	-	-	-
Forfeited or expired	-	-	-	-
Outstanding at June 30, 2012	880,000	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.23	14
Exercisable at June 30, 2012	880,000	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.23	14

The Company recorded \$32,633, \$83,265, \$82,900 and \$198,797 compensation expense for stock options to consultants for the six months ended June 30, 2012, the year ended December 31, 2011, the year ended December 31, 2010 and the period from inception (February 20, 2007) to June 30, 2012, respectively. There is no unrecognized compensation expense for these options because they were fully vested as of June 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

AMP Holding Inc.  
(A Development Stage Company)

## Notes to Financial Statements

For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011  
and for the Period From Inception,  
February 20, 2007 to June 30, 2012  
(Unaudited)

## Warrants to accredited investors

Since December 2010, common stock sold by the Company has included common stock purchase warrants to acquire shares of common stock of the Company. For each ten shares sold, each investor received a warrant to purchase five shares of common stock for a period of two years at an exercise price of \$0.80 per share. The securities were offered and sold to the investors in private placement transactions made in reliance upon exemptions from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) under the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") and/or Rule 506 promulgated under the Securities Act. The investors are accredited investors as defined in Rule 501 of Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act.

The following table summarizes warrant activity for accredited investors:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price per Share	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value per Share	Weighted Average Remaining Exercise Term in Months
Outstanding at January 1, 2010	-	\$ -	\$ -	-
Granted	785,001	0.80	0.11	24
Exercised	-	-	-	-
Forfeited or expired	-	-	-	-
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	785,001	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.11	24
Exercisable at December 31, 2010	785,001	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.11	24
Granted	4,956,224	0.80	0.11	24
Exercised	-	-	-	-
Forfeited or expired	-	-	-	-
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	5,741,225	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.11	17
Exercisable at December 31, 2011	5,741,225	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.11	17
Granted	950,000	0.50	0.09	36
Exercised	-	-	-	-
Forfeited or expired	-	-	-	-
Outstanding at June 30, 2012	6,691,225	\$ 0.76	\$ 0.11	14
Exercisable at June 30, 2012	6,691,225	\$ 0.76	\$ 0.11	14

The Company recorded \$0, \$546,824, \$86,350 and \$633,174 compensation expense for stock warrants to accredited investors for the six months ended June 30, 2012, the year ended December 31, 2011, the year ended December 31, 2010 and the period from inception (February 20, 2007) to June 30, 2012, respectively. There is no unrecognized compensation expense for these warrants because they are fully vested at date of grant.

Table of Contents

AMP Holding Inc.  
(A Development Stage Company)

## Notes to Financial Statements

For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011  
and for the Period From Inception,  
February 20, 2007 to June 30, 2012  
(Unaudited)

## Warrants to placement agent and consultants

The Company has compensated the placement agent for assisting in the sale of the Company's securities by paying the placement agent commissions and issuing the placement agent common stock purchase warrants to purchase shares of the Company's common stock. The warrants have a five year term and various exercise prices.

The Company has also granted, on various dates, stock warrants to purchase common stock of the Company to consultants for services previously provided to the Company. The terms, exercise prices and vesting of these awards vary.

The following table summarizes warrant activity for the placement agent and consultants:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price per Share	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value per Share	Weighted Average Remaining Exercise Term in Months
Outstanding at January 1, 2010	614,680	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.18	60
Granted	3,103,304	0.64	0.21	57
Exercised	-	-	-	-
Forfeited or expired	-	-	-	-
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	3,717,984	\$ 0.60	\$ 0.21	52
Exercisable at December 31, 2010	2,617,984	\$ 0.61	\$ 0.19	51
Granted	887,910	0.60	0.27	60
Exercised	(44,638 )	0.40	0.18	39
Forfeited or expired	-	-	-	-
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	4,561,256	\$ 0.60	\$ 0.22	43
Exercisable at December 31, 2011	4,081,256	\$ 0.59	\$ 0.21	42
Granted	-	-	-	-
Exercised	-	-	-	-
Forfeited or expired	-	-	-	-
Outstanding at June 30, 2012	4,561,256	\$ 0.60	\$ 0.22	37
Exercisable at June 30, 2012	4,273,756	\$ 0.59	\$ 0.21	36

The Company recorded \$45,901, \$373,876, \$497,527 and \$917,304 compensation expense for stock warrants to the placement agent and consultants for the six months ended June 30, 2012, the year ended December 31, 2011, the year ended December 31, 2010 and the period from inception (February 20, 2007) to June 30, 2012, respectively. As of June 30, 2012, unrecognized compensation expense of \$94,875 is related to non-vested warrants granted to consultants which is anticipated to be recognized over the next 36 months, commensurate with the vesting schedules. There is no unrecognized compensation expense for the placement agent warrants because they are fully vested at date of grant.



Table of Contents

AMP Holding Inc.  
(A Development Stage Company)

## Notes to Financial Statements

For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011  
and for the Period From Inception,  
February 20, 2007 to June 30, 2012  
(Unaudited)

## Warrants to directors and officers

The Company has issued to certain directors and officers common stock purchase warrants to acquire shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$2.00 per share for a period of five years. Additionally, under the terms of a Promissory Note issued to an officer and director, common stock purchase warrants were issued to acquire 100,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.50 per share for a period of two years.

The following table summarizes warrant activity for directors and officers:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price per Share	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value per Share	Weighted Average Remaining Exercise Term in Months
Outstanding at January 1, 2010	-	\$ -	\$ -	-
Granted	1,400,000	2.00	0.13	60
Exercised	-	-	-	-
Forfeited or expired	-	-	-	-
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	1,400,000	\$ 2.00	\$ 0.13	59
Exercisable at December 31, 2010	1,400,000	\$ 2.00	\$ 0.13	59
Granted	1,600,000	1.91	0.09	57
Exercised	-	-	-	-
Forfeited or expired	-	-	-	-
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	3,000,000	\$ 1.95	\$ 0.11	49
Exercisable at December 31, 2011	3,000,000	\$ 1.95	\$ 0.11	49
Granted	489,250	0.50	0.01	36
Exercised	-	-	-	-
Forfeited or expired	-	-	-	-
Outstanding at June 30, 2012	3,489,250	\$ 1.75	\$ 0.09	42
Exercisable at June 30, 2012	3,489,250	\$ 1.75	\$ 0.09	42

The Company recorded \$0, \$143,680, \$182,000 and \$325,680 compensation expense for stock warrants to directors and officers for the six months ended June 30, 2012, the year ended December 31, 2011, the year ended December 31, 2010 and the period from inception (February 20, 2007) to June 30, 2012, respectively. There is no unrecognized compensation expense for these warrants because they are fully vested at date of grant.

6.

## RECENT PRONOUNCEMENTS

In May 2011 the FASB issued FASB Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2011-04, "Fair Value Measurement." The ASU is the result of joint efforts by the FASB and IASB to develop a single, converged fair value framework, that is, converged guidance on how (not when) to measure fair value and on what disclosures to provide about fair value measurements. While the ASU is largely consistent with existing fair value measurement principles in U.S. GAAP, it expands ASC 820's existing disclosure requirements for fair value measurements and makes other

amendments. The ASU is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company has evaluated the provisions of ASU 2011-04 and has determined that it does not have a material impact on the Company's disclosures.

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-11, "Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities" ("ASU No. 2011-11"). This ASU requires companies to disclose both net and gross information about assets and liabilities that have been offset, if any, and the related arrangements. The disclosures under this new guidance are required to be provided retrospectively for all comparative periods presented for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. The Company has evaluated the provisions of ASU 2011-11 and has determined that it does not have a material impact on the Company's disclosures regarding financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.



## Table of Contents

### Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

#### Forward Looking Statements

Some of the statements contained in this Form 10-Q that are not historical facts are "forward-looking statements" which can be identified by the use of terminology such as "estimates," "projects," "plans," "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "intends," or the negative or other variations, or by discussions of strategy that involve risks and uncertainties. We urge you to be cautious of the forward-looking statements, that such statements, which are contained in this Form 10-Q, reflect our current beliefs with respect to future events and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors affecting our operations, market growth, services, products and licenses. No assurances can be given regarding the achievement of future results, as actual results may differ materially as a result of the risks we face, and actual events may differ from the assumptions underlying the statements that have been made regarding anticipated events. Factors that may cause actual results, our performance or achievements, or industry results, to differ materially from those contemplated by such forward-looking statements include without limitation:

- Our ability to attract and retain management;
- Our ability to raise capital when needed and on acceptable terms and conditions;
- The intensity of competition;
- General economic conditions;
- Changes in regulations;
- Whether the market for electric vehicles continues to grow, and, if it does, the pace at which it may grow; and
- Our ability to compete against large competitors in a rapidly changing market for electric vehicles.

All written and oral forward-looking statements made in connection with this Form 10-Q that are attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by these cautionary statements. Given the uncertainties that surround such statements, you are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements.

#### Critical Accounting Policies

We consider accounting policies involving significant judgments and assumptions by management that have, or could have, a material impact on the carrying value of certain assets or on income (loss) to be critical accounting policies. We consider the following to be our critical accounting policies: basis of presentation, development stage company, revenue recognition, and income taxes.

**BASIS OF PRESENTATION** - The financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and liquidation of liabilities in the normal course of business. However, the Company has limited revenues and has negative working capital and stockholders' deficits. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the ability of the Company to continue as a going concern.

In view of these matters, continuation as a going concern is dependent upon the continued operations of the Company, which in turn is dependent upon the Company's ability to meet its financial requirements, raise additional capital, and the success of its future operations. The financial statements do not include any adjustments to the amount and classification of assets and liabilities that may be necessary should the Company not continue as a going concern.

The Company has continued to raise capital since the merger. Management believes the proceeds from these offerings, future offerings, and the Company's anticipated revenue provides an opportunity for the Company to continue as a going concern. If additional funding is required, the Company plans to obtain working capital from equity financing

from the sale of common, preferred stock and/or convertible debentures.

The accompanying unaudited financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Form 10-Q instructions and in the opinion of management contain all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from these estimates. These interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and accompanying notes included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Operating results for the six months ended June 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be attained in subsequent periods or for the year ending December 31, 2012.

Certain reclassifications were made to the prior year financial statements to conform to the current year presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on previously reported results of operation or stockholders' equity (deficit).

**DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY** - The Company is considered a development stage company since planned principal operations resulting in sustaining revenue have not fully commenced. Accordingly, the Company presents its financial statements in conformity with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America that apply to developing enterprises. As a development stage enterprise, the Company discloses its retained earnings (or deficit accumulated) during the development stage and the cumulative statements of operations and cash flows from commencement of development stage to the current balance sheet date. The development stage began in 2007 when the Company was organized.

## Table of Contents

**REVENUE RECOGNITION** - It is the Company's policy that revenues will be recognized in accordance with SEC Staff Bulletin (SAB) No. 104, "Revenue Recognition". Under SAB 104, product revenues are recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the sales price is fixed and determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. Customer deposit liabilities include monies from customers to reserve a production slot for conversion of an OEM powertrain to the AMP all electric powertrain. The final retail price and delivery date are yet to be determined, and the deposits are subject to a full refund at the request of the depositor. Revenues since the inception of the Company in 2007 through the date of these financial statements have not been significant and consist of sales of a limited number of experimental vehicles.

**INCOME TAXES** - As no taxable income has occurred from the date of this merger to June 30, 2012 cumulative deferred tax assets of approximately \$4,049,400 are fully reserved, and no provision or liability for federal or state income taxes has been included in the financial statements. Net operating losses of approximately \$3,600,000 are available for carryover to be used against taxable income generated through 2030, net operating losses of approximately \$6,700,000 are available for carryover to be used against taxable income generated through 2031, and net operating losses of approximately \$1,610,000 are available for carryover to be used against taxable income generated through 2032. The Company had not filed income tax returns during its period as a shell company.

## Overview

On December 28, 2009, we entered into and closed a Share Exchange Agreement with the AMP Shareholders pursuant to which we acquired 100% of the outstanding securities of AMP in exchange for 14,890,904 shares of our common stock. Considering that, following the merger, the AMP Shareholders control the majority of our outstanding voting common stock and we effectively succeeded our otherwise minimal operations to those that are theirs, AMP is considered the accounting acquirer in this reverse-merger transaction. A reverse-merger transaction is considered, and accounted for as, a capital transaction in substance; it is equivalent to the issuance of AMP securities for our net monetary assets, which are de minimis, accompanied by a recapitalization. Accordingly, we have not recognized any goodwill or other intangible assets in connection with this reverse merger transaction. AMP is the surviving and continuing entities and the historical financials following the reverse merger transaction will be those of AMP. We were a "shell company" (as such term is defined in Rule 12b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) immediately prior to our acquisition of AMP pursuant to the terms of the Share Exchange Agreement. As a result of such acquisition, our operations are now focused on the design, marketing and sale of modified automobiles and commercial trucks with an all electric drivetrain and battery systems. Consequently, we believe that acquisition has caused us to cease to be a shell company as we no longer have nominal operations.

## Results of Operations

### Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2011

**Revenue.** Revenue was \$222,098 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 for the delivery of four converted prototype vehicles. Revenue was \$107,535 for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and consisted of one customer vehicle conversion and the sale of one converted vehicle.

**Expenses.** Our expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2012 were \$894,125 and included payroll and payroll taxes (\$398,223), stock based compensation (\$66,894), batteries, motors and supplies (\$130,220), legal and professional (\$42,520) and consulting (\$12,289). Our expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2011 were \$2,518,326 and included payroll and payroll taxes (\$715,762), stock based compensation (\$866,581), batteries, motors and supplies (\$338,816), legal and professional (\$111,729) and consulting (\$125,140). The reason for the decrease in comparing the quarter ended 2012 to 2011 was a decrease in development activities, including a reduction in the number of employees.

Net loss. Net loss for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 were \$672,027 and \$2,410,791, respectively.

#### Operating Activities

Our operating activities from continuing operations resulted in net cash used by operations of \$264,066 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to net cash used by operations of \$1,976,946 for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The net cash used by operations for the three months ended June 30, 2012 reflects a net loss of \$672,027 offset by stock based compensation of \$66,894, amortized discount on convertible debentures of \$27,896, and depreciation of \$16,682, and an increase in accounts payable of \$277,173 and accounts payable, related parties of \$19,316. The net cash used by operations for the three months ended June 30, 2011 reflects a net loss of \$2,410,791, offset by stock based compensation of \$866,581 and depreciation of \$16,180; a decrease in accounts receivable of \$5,594; decreases in accounts payable of \$297,536, accounts payable, related parties of \$44,649 and customer deposits of \$25,000; and increases in inventory of \$82,000 and prepaid expenses and deposits of \$5,325.

#### Investing Activities

Our investing activities for the three months ended June 30, 2012 resulted in net cash outflow of \$28,753 for the acquisition of equipment. Our investing activities for the three months ended June 30, 2011 resulted in net cash outflow of \$86,348 for the acquisition of prototype vehicles.

## Table of Contents

### Financing Activities

Financing activities for the three months ended June 30, 2012 resulted in a net cash inflow of \$275,640 and included cash inflows from the issuance of convertible debentures of \$539,250, reduced by repayment of shareholder advances amounting to \$262,000 and payments on long-term debt of \$1,610. Financing activities for the three months ended June 30, 2011 resulted in a net cash inflow of \$3,528,119 and included cash inflows from the issuance of common stock of \$3,529,651 reduced by cash outflows for payments on long-term debt of \$1,532.

### Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

Revenue. Revenue was \$222,098 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 for the delivery of four converted prototype vehicles. Revenue was \$190,035 for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and consisted of three customer vehicle conversions and the delivery of one converted prototype vehicle.

Expenses. Our expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2012 were \$2,054,857 and included payroll and payroll taxes (\$949,145), stock based compensation (\$219,926), batteries, motors and supplies (\$123,507), legal and professional (\$154,158) and consulting (\$74,468). Our expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2011 were \$4,463,783 and included payroll and payroll taxes (\$1,341,169), stock based compensation (\$1,199,361), batteries, motors and supplies (\$750,856), legal and professional (\$281,275) and consulting (\$226,146). The reason for the decrease in comparing the six months ended 2012 to 2011 was a decrease in development activities, including a reduction in the number of employees.

Net loss. Net loss for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 were \$1,832,759 and \$4,463,783, respectively.

### Operating Activities

Our operating activities from continuing operations resulted in net cash used by operations of \$1,193,768 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to net cash used by operations of \$3,132,663 for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The net cash used by operations for the six months ended June 30, 2012 reflects a net loss of \$1,832,759 offset by stock based compensation of \$219,926, legal and consulting services valued at \$60,000 accepted as consideration for the issuance of common stock, amortized discount on convertible debentures of \$31,770, and depreciation of \$32,885, an increase in accounts payable of \$200,271, accounts payable, related parties of \$82,264 and a decrease in prepaid expenses and deposits of \$11,875. The net cash used by operations for the six months ended June 30, 2011 reflects a net loss of \$4,273,748, offset by stock based compensation of \$1,199,361 and depreciation of \$27,922; a decrease in accounts receivable of \$29,023; decreases in accounts payable, related parties of \$19,842 and customer deposits of \$52,393; and increases in inventory of \$82,000, accounts payable of \$44,764 and prepaid expenses and deposits of \$5,750.

### Investing Activities

Our investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2012 resulted in net cash outflow of \$28,753 for the acquisition of equipment. Our investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2011 resulted in net cash outflow of \$86,348 for the acquisition of prototype vehicles.

### Financing Activities

Financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2012 resulted in a net cash inflow of \$1,167,044 and included cash inflows from the issuance of convertible debentures of \$1,439,250, reduced by repayment of shareholder advances amounting to \$269,000 and payments on long-term debt of \$3,206. Financing activities for the six months

ended June 30, 2011 resulted in a net cash inflow of \$4,506,965 and included cash inflows from the issuance of common stock of \$4,510,017 reduced by cash outflows for payments on long-term debt of \$3,052.

Presently, due to the lack of revenue it is a challenge to meet our operating and capital expenses. There is doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern, as the continuation of our business is dependent upon successful roll out of products. Until then, the company is depended on funds received from investors. With the delivery of our first all electric stepvan to Navistar for testing on August 2, 2012, we anticipate a roll out of product in the next 12 months. We have incurred operating losses since inception, and this is likely to continue through the fiscal year ending December 31, 2012. Our independent auditors, for the year ended December 31, 2011, have issued an opinion on our financial statements that raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

We require funds to enable us to address our minimum current and ongoing expenses, expand marketing and promotion activity connected with the development and marketing of our products and to generate market share. Our cash on hand will not be sufficient to satisfy all of our cash requirements as we continue to progress and expand. We estimate that we will require between \$4,000,000 and \$6,000,000 to carry out our business plan for the next twelve months. Because we cannot anticipate when we will be able to generate sufficient revenue from sales, we will need to raise addition funds to continue operations. Until then we are dependent on investor financing.

The financial requirements of our Company will be dependent upon the financial support through credit facilities and additional sales of our equity securities. The issuance of additional equity securities by us may result in a significant dilution in the equity interests of our current shareholders. Should additional financing be needed, there is no assurance that we will be able to obtain further funds required for our continued operations or that additional financing will be available to us when needed or, if available, that it can be obtained on commercially reasonable terms. If we are not able to obtain the additional financing on a timely basis, we will not be able to meet our other obligations as they become due and we will be forced to scale down or perhaps even cease our operations.

## Table of Contents

Without the sales of our electric vehicles we cannot be assured of continuing as a going concern.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of June 30, 2012, we had current assets of \$200,365 and current liabilities of \$3,337,045. As of December 31, 2011, we had current assets of \$267,717 including cash of \$89,488 and current liabilities of \$1,936,648.

Our cash balance at the end of June 2012 is very low. A portion of executives' pay is past due. We are currently evaluating several options for financing, but there are no guarantees that we will be able to raise capital on acceptable terms.

### Credit Facility

Presently we have no revolving Credit Facility established. There is no guarantee that we will be able to enter into an agreement to establish a line of credit on favorable terms.

### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company does not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on the Company's financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that are material to investors.

### Federal Tax Credit Qualification by the IRS

The Company has been qualified by the IRS for a vehicle federal tax credit of up to \$7,500. The Company joins a list of plug-in electric drive motor vehicle manufacturers, including Ford Motor Company, General Motors Corporation, Tesla, Toyota, and 13 EV manufacturers in all, qualifying purchasers for up to a \$7,500 tax credit when purchasing an electric vehicle.

### Agreements

#### Northern Lights Energy of Iceland

On April 14, 2011, the Company entered into a Distribution Agreement with Northern Lights Energy ehf. ("NLE"), an Icelandic company that operates in the energy and transportation sectors, pursuant to which the Company will manufacture, assemble, produce, and sell Company products to NLE in exchange for granting NLE the exclusive right within the country of Iceland (the "Territory") to:

- promote the use of the Company's electric vehicles in the Territory, to develop and maintain a sales and service;
- network the Company products throughout the Territory to retail, business, fleet, institutional and governmental customers;
  - promote the sale of Company products throughout the Territory;
  - promote the Company brand throughout the Territory;
  - advertise the Company's products throughout the Territory; and
- manage customer relationships and provide best in class after sales service to customers of Company products in the Territory.

NLE will buy and sell the Company products in its own name and for its own account. The term of the Distribution Agreement commenced upon the signing of the agreement and will continue through December 31, 2017. The

Company did not issue or receive any material financial consideration (i.e., cash, stock, options, warrants, etc.) in exchange for entering into the Distribution Agreement. The Agreement contemplates three separate and interrelated phases.



## Table of Contents

### Demonstration Phase

The demonstration phase (the “Demonstration Phase”) is to last for a period of six months commencing on the signing of the Agreement, during which the Company will provide NLE two Company vehicles (the “Demonstration Vehicles”) for use by NLE in the Territory solely for product testing by NLE, for display, demonstration or other marketing purposes reasonably acceptable to the Company. The two demonstration vehicles were shipped to NLE as planned. The Demonstration Vehicles will remain the sole property of the Company. During the Demonstration Phase and continuing throughout the Development Phase (defined below), NLE will use its best efforts to develop and expand the electric vehicle market in the Territory and will actively and diligently appoint and maintain experienced and competent public relations and advertising personnel and agencies to prepare market for Company products and to promote Company products and the Company brand within the Territory. NLE will explore using various media in its efforts to develop the market, including, but not limited to, the possibility of developing, in cooperation with the Company, a customized website and/or online experience to promote Company products and the Company brand within the Territory.

### Development Phase

The second phase of the Agreement, the development phase (the “Development Phase”), is to commence on a mutually agreed upon date, but no later than October 1, 2011, and will continue through December 31, 2012. During the Development Stage, the Company will sell to NLE, and NLE will Purchase from the Company, 250 of the Company’s vehicles (the “Initial Inventory”). The Initial Inventory shall be comprised of one or more vehicle models mutually agreeable to the Company and NLE. From and after the commencement of the Development Phase, and continuing thereafter throughout the Term, NLE will be solely responsible for the servicing and maintenance of all Company products in the Territory. With the recent reduction of the import tax on EV’s and the ability to import used EV’s which have less government regulations attached to them, the Company now sees a more favorable opportunity for working with our distributor in Iceland.

### Sales and Marketing Phase

The third phase of the Agreement, the sales and marketing phase (the “Sales and Marketing Phase”), is to commence at the end of the Development Phase and end on December 31, 2017. At or prior to the commencement of the Sales and Marketing Phase, NLE will prepare and submit to the Company for its approval, a business plan for the marketing, sales, and service of Company products within the Territory (the “Initial Business Plan”). The Initial Business Plan will include such information as the Company may reasonably require but, at a minimum, will contain a distribution, sales, marketing, and after sales plan outlining targets, strategies and plans for the following year. At all times throughout the Sales and Marketing Phase, NLE will devote to its activities under the Agreement sufficient assets and resources to effectively, ethically, and lawfully sell and promote the purchase and use of Company products by customers in the Territory and to otherwise carry out its obligations. To satisfy this commitment, NLE agrees, at a minimum, to appoint and maintain an experienced automotive team to execute the operations, sales, marketing and after sales for the Company’s products, including an adequate staff of sales personnel who are fully trained and knowledgeable about the Company’s products to support sales activities throughout the Territory and an adequate staff of trained engineers and service technicians to handle after sale services throughout the Territory; maintain an adequate selection and stock of the Company’s products as is necessary to fulfill customers’ demands and to ensure timely repair and/or replacement under warranty or non-warranty service; ensure that each customer’s purchase and delivery experience is satisfactory; appoint and maintain experienced and competent public relations and advertising personnel and agencies to promote the Company’s products and the Company brand throughout the Territory. During the Sales and Marketing Phase, the Company will sell to NLE, and NLE will purchase from the Company, 750 Company vehicles, or such greater number of the Company’s vehicles as the Parties may mutually agree. NLE will submit binding orders for purchase and delivery of at least 150 Company vehicles in each calendar year of the Sales and Marketing Phase.

### Delivery Companies

On March 30, 2012 the Company signed an agreement with a national package delivery service to convert two of their delivery vehicles to 100% electric. The purpose of the agreement is to supply demonstration vehicles for their evaluation. A successful demonstration project may provide the potential to sell additional vehicle conversions to them in the future."

Navistar

On April 10, 2012 the Company entered into a development and supply agreement with Navistar International Corporation whereby the Company will develop all electric heavy duty commercial stepvans for Navistar.

The Company has delivered its first EV conversion stepvan commercial truck to Navistar for testing. Company personnel are on site to assist Navistar. Preliminary tests show the vehicle performing well against a competitor truck owned by Navistar. The target market is high mileage existing urban delivery trucks for re-power conversion to 100% electric as well as new vehicle supply via truck OEM's.

### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

As a smaller reporting company, we are not required to include disclosure under this item.

### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report, our Chief Executive Officer and Interim Chief Financial Officer performed an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act. Based on the evaluation and the identification of the material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting described below, our Chief Executive Officer and Interim Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of June 30, 2012, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were not effective.

## Table of Contents

### Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projection of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods is subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our Chief Executive Officer and Interim Chief Financial Officer have conducted an assessment of our internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2012. Management's assessment of internal control over financial reporting was conducted using the criteria in Internal Control over Financial Reporting – Guidance for Smaller Public Companies issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO").

A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. In connection with management's assessment of our internal control over financial reporting as required under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, we identified the following material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2012:

1. The Company has not established adequate financial reporting monitoring activities to mitigate the risk of management override, specifically because there are few employees and only one officer with management functions and therefore there is lack of segregation of duties. In addition, the Company has installed accounting software that does not prevent erroneous or unauthorized changes to previous reporting periods and does not provide an adequate audit trail of entries made in the accounting software. However, although our controls are not effective, these significant weaknesses did not result in any material misstatements in our financial statements.
2. There is a strong reliance on outside consultants to review and adjust the annual and quarterly financial statements, to monitor new accounting principles, and to ensure compliance with GAAP and SEC disclosure requirements.
3. There is a strong reliance on the external attorneys to review and edit the annual and quarterly filings and to ensure compliance with SEC disclosure requirements.

Because of the material weaknesses noted above, management has concluded that we did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2012, based on Internal Control over Financial Reporting - Guidance for Smaller Public Companies issued by COSO.

### Remediation of Material Weaknesses in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

As a small business, without a viable business and revenues, the Company does not have the resources to install a dedicated staff with deep expertise in all facets of SEC disclosure and GAAP compliance. As is the case with many small businesses, the Company will continue to work with its external consultants and attorneys as it relates to new accounting principles and changes to SEC disclosure requirements. The Company has found that this approach worked well in the past and believes it to be the most cost effective solution available for the foreseeable future.

The Company will conduct a review of existing sign-off and review procedures as well as document control protocols for critical accounting spreadsheets. The Company will also increase management's review of key financial documents and records.

As a small business, the Company does not have the resources to fund sufficient staff to ensure a complete segregation of responsibilities within the accounting function. However, Company management does review, and will increase the review of, financial statements on a monthly basis, and the Company's external consultant updates analysis on a quarterly basis. These actions, in addition to the improvements identified above, will mitigate any risk of a potential material misstatement occurring.

#### Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

On May 16, 2012, Paul V. Gonzales resigned as the Chief Financial Officer of the Company to pursue other interests. Richard Calme was appointed by the Company to serve as the Interim Chief Financial Officer.

There were no other changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2012 that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are currently not a party to any legal or administrative proceedings and are not aware of any pending or threatened legal or administrative proceedings against us in all material aspects. We may from time to time become a party to various legal or administrative proceedings arising in the ordinary course of our business. We are delinquent in payments to suppliers and some vendors which have turned their accounts receivable balances over to collection agencies in order to collect their past due balances.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

We require capital for our operations as we are currently significantly undercapitalized and if we are unable to raise capital in the near future we will cease operations.

Our cash balance at the end of June 2012 is very low. This resulted in a delay in payment of a portion of payroll and will impact the payment of our outstanding accounts payable. If we do not raise additional capital in the near future, we will be required to lay off additional employees. We are currently evaluating several options for financing, but there are no guarantees that we will raise capital on acceptable terms.

Our limited operating history makes it difficult for us to evaluate our future business prospects and make decisions based on those estimates of our future performance.

We did not begin operations of our business until February 2007. We have a limited operating history and have generated limited revenue. As a consequence, it is difficult, to forecast our future results based upon our historical data. Reliance on the historical results may not be representative of the results we will achieve. Because of the uncertainties related to our lack of historical operations, we may be hindered in our ability to anticipate and timely adapt to increases or decreases in revenues or expenses. If we make poor budgetary decisions as a result of unreliable historical data, we could be less profitable or incur losses, which may result in a decline in our stock price

AMP's operations have not resulted in profitability.

The Company has incurred a net loss amounting to \$18,931,528 for the period from inception (February 20, 2007) through June 30, 2012. In addition, as of June 30, 2012, the Company has a working capital deficiency of \$3,136,680. If we incur additional significant operating losses, our stock price, may decline.

Management is developing plans to alleviate the negative trends and conditions described above. Our business plan is based on the profitability of our truck sales in the near term and exploring new, more profitable, markets for our other vehicles. There is no assurance that we will be successful in executing our business plan or that even if we successfully implement our business plan, that we will be able to curtail our losses now or in the future. Further, as we are a new enterprise, we expect that net losses will continue and our working capital deficiency will exacerbate.

Our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results will be adversely affected if we cannot reduce and adequately control the costs and expenses associated with operating our business, including our material and production costs.

We incur significant costs and expenses related to procuring the materials, components and services required to develop and produce our electric vehicles. As a result we are continually working on initiatives to reduce our cost

structure so that we may effectively compete with other EV entries from major competitors. If we are not able to reduce our costs effectively, we will not be able to sustain our current pricing and therefore may lose sales to lower priced competitors.

We currently do not have long-term supply contracts with guaranteed pricing which exposes us to fluctuations in component, materials and equipment prices. Substantial increases in these prices would increase our operating costs and could adversely affect our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results.

Because we currently do not have long-term supply contracts with guaranteed pricing, we are subject to fluctuations in the prices of the raw materials, parts and components and equipment we use in the production of our vehicles. Substantial increases in the prices for such raw materials, components and equipment would increase our operating costs and could reduce our margins if we cannot recoup the increased costs through increased vehicle prices. Any attempts to increase the announced or expected prices of our vehicles in response to increased costs could be viewed negatively by our customers and could adversely affect our business prospects, financial condition and operating results.

We depend upon key personnel and need additional personnel.

The success of the Company's operations will largely depend upon its ability to successfully attract and retain competent and qualified key engineering and management personnel. A recent review of our salary structure shows us in line with other similar companies our size.

Table of Contents

We must effectively manage the growth of our operations.

To manage our growth, we believe we must continue to implement and improve our operational, manufacturing, and research and development departments. We may not have adequately evaluated the costs and risks associated with this expansion, and our systems, procedures, and controls may not be adequate to support our operations. In addition, our management may not be able to achieve the rapid execution necessary to successfully offer our products and services and implement our business plan on a profitable basis. The success of our future operating activities will also depend upon our ability to expand our support system to meet the demands of our growing business. Any failure by our management to effectively anticipate, implement, and manage changes required to sustain our growth would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Our business requires substantial capital, and if we are unable to maintain adequate financing sources our profitability and financial condition will suffer and jeopardize our ability to continue operations.

We require substantial capital to support our operations. If we are unable to maintain adequate financing or other sources of capital are not available, we could be forced to suspend, curtail or reduce our operations, which could harm our revenues, profitability, financial condition and business prospects.

We face intense competition. Many of our competitors have greater financial or other resources, longer operating histories and greater name recognition than we do and one or more of these competitors could use their greater resources and/or name recognition to gain market share at our expense or could make it very difficult for us to establish market share.

In the electric vehicle market in the United States, we compete with large manufacturers, including GM, Toyota (Rav 4), Tesla, Nissan, EVI, Smith Electric who have more significant financial resources, established market positions, long-standing relationships with customers and dealers, and who have more significant name recognition, technical, marketing, sales, manufacturing, distribution, financial and other resources than we do. Each of these companies is currently selling a hybrid or electric vehicle or is working to develop, market and sell advanced technology vehicles in the United States market. The resources available to our competitors to develop new products and introduce them into the marketplace exceed the resources currently available to us. As a result, our competitors may be able to compete more aggressively and sustain that competition over a longer period of time that we can. This intense competitive environment may require us to make changes in our products, pricing, licensing, services, distribution, or marketing to develop a market position. Each of these competitors has the potential to capture market share in our target markets.

It is important for the Company to keep up with advances in electric vehicle technology or we may suffer a decline in our competitive position.

There are companies in the electric vehicle industry that have developed or are developing vehicles and technologies that compete or will compete with our vehicles. We cannot assure that our competitors will not be able to duplicate our technology or provide products and services similar to ours more efficiently. We plan to upgrade or adapt our vehicles and introduce new models in order to continue to provide electric vehicles that incorporate the latest technology. However, there is no assurance that our research and development efforts will keep pace with those of our competitors.

Our electric vehicles compete for market share with vehicles powered by other vehicle technologies that may prove to be more attractive than ours.

Our target market currently is serviced by manufacturers with existing customers and suppliers using proven and widely accepted fuel technologies. Additionally, our competitors are working on developing technologies that may be

introduced in our target market. If any of these alternative technology vehicles can provide lower fuel costs, greater efficiencies, greater reliability or otherwise benefit from other factors resulting in an overall lower total cost of ownership, this may negatively affect the commercial success of our vehicles or make our vehicles uncompetitive or obsolete.

We currently have a limited prospective customer base and expect that a significant portion of our future sales will be from a limited number of customers and the loss of any of these high volume customers could materially harm our business.

A significant portion of our projected future revenue is expected to be generated from a limited number of vehicle customers. Additionally, much of our business model is focused on building relationships with our largest customers. Currently we have no contracts with customers that include long-term commitments or minimum volumes that ensure future sales of vehicles. As such, a customer may take actions that affect us for reasons that we cannot anticipate or control, such as reasons related to the customer's financial condition, changes in the customer's business strategy or operations or as the result of the perceived performance or cost-effectiveness of our vehicles. The loss of or a reduction in sales or anticipated sales to our most significant customers could have an adverse effect on our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results.



Table of Contents

Changes in the market for electric vehicles could cause our products to become obsolete or lose popularity.

The modern electric vehicle industry is in its infancy and has experienced substantial change in the last few years. Recent reports show, demand for and interest in electric vehicles increasing, though not at the rate expected a few years ago. As a result, growth in the electric vehicle industry depends on many factors, including, but not limited to:

- continued development of product technology, especially batteries
- the environmental consciousness of customers
- the ability of electric vehicles to successfully compete with vehicles powered by internal combustion engines
- limitation of widespread electricity shortages; and
- whether future regulation and legislation requiring increased use of non-polluting vehicles is enacted

The positive response we have gotten to the development of our conversion of stepvans to all electric is causing us temporarily to concentrate more on that technology than our SUVs, which will become more competitive as gasoline prices rise and the public becomes more confident in EV technology. We cannot assume that growth in the electric vehicle industry will continue. Our business may suffer if the electric vehicle industry does not grow or grows more slowly than it has in recent years or if we are unable to maintain the pace of industry demands. Due to the competitiveness of the industry and its capital requirements, several EV manufacturers and EV suppliers have ceased operations.

The unavailability, reduction, elimination or adverse application of government subsidies, incentives and regulations could have an adverse effect on our business.

We believe that, currently, the availability of government subsidies and incentives is an important factor considered by our customers when purchasing our vehicles, and that our growth depends in part on the availability and amounts of these subsidies and incentives. Any reduction, in government subsidies may result in the diminished price competitiveness of our vehicles.

We may be unable to keep up with changes in electric vehicle technology and, as a result, may suffer a decline in our competitive position.

Our Company continually upgrades or invents new technology to stay ahead of the competition. If our research and development efforts are not able to stay ahead of the competition it would harm our competitive position.

The failure of certain key suppliers to provide us with components could have a negative impact upon our business.

We rely on a small group of suppliers to provide us with components for our products. If these suppliers become unwilling or unable to provide components, there are a limited number of alternative suppliers who could provide them. Changes in business conditions, wars, governmental changes, and other factors beyond our control or which we do not presently anticipate could affect our ability to receive components from our suppliers. Further, it could be difficult to find replacement components if our current suppliers fail to provide the parts needed for these products. A failure by our major suppliers to provide these components could severely restrict our ability to manufacture our products and prevent us from fulfilling customer orders.

Product liability or other claims could have a material adverse effect on our business.

The risk of product liability claims, product recalls, and associated adverse publicity is inherent in the manufacturing, marketing, and sale of electrical vehicles. Although we have product liability insurance for our consumer and

commercial products, that insurance may be inadequate to cover all potential product claims. We also carry liability insurance on our automotive products. Any product recall or lawsuit seeking significant monetary damages either in excess of our coverage, or outside of our coverage, may have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition. We may not be able to secure additional product liability insurance coverage on acceptable terms or at reasonable costs when needed. A successful product liability claim against us could require us to pay a substantial monetary award. Moreover, a product recall could generate substantial negative publicity about our products and business and inhibit or prevent commercialization of other future product candidates. We cannot provide assurance that such claims and/or recalls will not be made in the future.

We may have to devote substantial resources to implementing a retail product distribution network.

Dealers are often hesitant to provide their own financing to contribute to a product distribution network. As a result, we anticipate that we may have to provide financing or other consignment sale arrangements for dealers who would like to participate as our planned regional distribution centers. The further expansion of our product distribution network will require a significant capital investment and will require extensive amounts of time from our management. A capital investment such as this presents many risks, foremost among them being that we may not realize a significant return on our investment if the network is not profitable. Our inability to collect receivables from dealers could cause us to suffer losses. Lastly, the amount of time that our management will need to devote to this project may divert them from performing other functions necessary to assure the success of our business.

Table of Contents

Vehicle dealer and distribution laws could adversely affect our ability to sell our commercial electric vehicles.

Sales of our vehicles are subject to international, state and local vehicle dealer and distribution laws. To the extent such laws prevent us from selling our vehicle to customers located in a particular jurisdiction or require us to retain a local dealer or distributor or establish and maintain a physical presence in a jurisdiction in order to sell vehicles in that jurisdiction, our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results could be adversely affected

Regulatory requirements may have a negative impact upon our business.

While our products are subject to substantial regulation under federal, state, and local laws, we believe that our products are or will be materially in compliance with all applicable laws. However, to the extent the laws change, or if we introduce new products in the future, some or all of our products may not comply with applicable federal, state, or local laws. Further, certain federal, state, and local laws and industrial standards currently regulate electrical and electronics equipment. Although standards for electric vehicles are under development and as such, may not be widely accepted as industry standards, our products may become subject to federal, state, and local regulation in the future. Compliance with these regulations could be burdensome, time consuming, and expensive.

Our automotive products are subject to environmental and safety compliance with various federal and state regulations, including regulations promulgated by the EPA, NHTSA, and various state boards, and compliance certification is required for each new model year. The cost of these compliance activities and the delays and risks associated with obtaining approval can be substantial. The risks, delays, and expenses incurred in connection with such compliance could be substantial.

Our success may be dependent on protecting our intellectual property rights.

We rely on trade secret protections to protect our proprietary technology. Our success will, in part, depend on our ability to obtain trademarks and patents. We presently have patents pending with the United States Patent and Trademark Office. We have entered into confidentiality agreements with our employees and consultants to help protect the Company's intellectual property. We cannot be certain that others will not gain access to these trade secrets. Others may independently develop substantially equivalent proprietary information and techniques or otherwise gain access to our trade secrets.

We may be exposed to liability for infringing upon the intellectual property rights of other companies.

Our success will, in part, depend on our ability to operate without infringing on the proprietary rights of others. Although we have conducted searches and are not aware of any patents and trademarks which our products or their use might infringe, we cannot be certain that infringement has not or will not occur. We could incur substantial costs, in addition to the great amount of time lost, in defending any patent or trademark infringement suits or in asserting any patent or trademark rights, in a suit with another party.

Our electric vehicles make use of lithium-ion battery cells, which, if not appropriately managed and controlled, on rare occasions have been observed to catch fire or vent smoke and flames. If such events occur in our electric vehicles, we could face liability for damage or injury, adverse publicity and a potential safety recall, any of which could adversely affect our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results.

The battery packs in our electric vehicles use lithium-ion cells, which have been used for years in laptop computers and cell phones. On rare occasions, if not appropriately managed and controlled, lithium-ion cells can rapidly release the energy they contain by venting smoke and flames in a manner that can ignite nearby materials. Highly publicized incidents of lithium batteries involved in thermal incidents have focused consumer attention on the safety of these

cells and could potentially lead to adverse law suits. Any such adverse publicity could adversely affect our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results.

Our facilities could be damaged or adversely affected as a result of disasters or other unpredictable events. Any prolonged disruption in the operations of our facility would adversely affect our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results.

We engineer and assemble our electric vehicles in a facility in Loveland, Ohio. Any prolonged disruption in the operations of our facility, whether due to technical, information systems, communication network accidents, weather conditions or other natural disaster or otherwise whether short or long-term, would adversely affect our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results.

We have not paid dividends in the past and do not expect to pay dividends in the future. Any return on investment may be limited to the value of our common stock.

We have never paid cash dividends on our common stock and do not anticipate paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future. The payment of dividends on our common stock will depend on earnings, financial condition and other business and economic factors affecting the company at such time as the board of directors may consider relevant. If we do not pay dividends, our common stock may be less valuable because a return on investment will only occur due to stock price appreciation.

Table of Contents

Our stock price and trading volume may be volatile, which could result in substantial losses for our stockholders.

The equity trading markets may experience periods of volatility, which could result in highly variable and unpredictable pricing of equity securities. The market price of our common stock could change in ways that may or may not be related to our business, our industry or our operating performance and financial condition. In addition, the trading volume in our common stock may fluctuate and cause significant price variations to occur. We have experienced significant volatility in the price of our stock over the past few years. We cannot assure you that the market price of our common stock will not fluctuate or decline significantly in the future. In addition, the stock markets in general can experience considerable price and volume fluctuations.

We have not voluntarily implemented various corporate governance measures, in the absence of which, shareholders may have more limited protections against interested director transactions, conflict of interest and similar matters.

Recent Federal legislation, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, has resulted in the adoption of various corporate governance measures designed to promote the integrity of the corporate management and the securities markets. Some of these measures have been adopted in response to legal requirements. Others have been adopted by companies in response to the requirements of national securities exchanges, such as the NYSE or the NASDAQ, on which their securities are listed.. Prospective investors should bear in mind our current lack of Sarbanes Oxley measures in formulating their investment decisions.

We may be exposed to potential risks relating to our internal controls over financial reporting and our ability to have those controls attested to by our independent auditors.

As directed by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 ("SOX 404"), the Securities and Exchange Commission adopted rules requiring smaller reporting companies, such as our company, to include a report of management on the company's internal controls over financial reporting in their annual reports for fiscal years ending on or after December 15, 2007. We were required to include the management report in annual reports starting with the year ending December 31, 2009. Previous SEC rules required a non-accelerated filer to include an attestation report in its annual report for years ending on or after June 15, 2010. Section 989G of the Dodd-Frank Act added SOX Section 404(c) to exempt from the attestation requirement smaller issuers that are neither accelerated filers nor large accelerated filers under Rule 12b-2. Under Rule 12b-2, subject to periodic and annual reporting criteria, an "accelerated filer" is an issuer with market value of \$75 million, but less than \$700 million; a "large accelerated filer" is an issuer with market value of \$700 million or greater. As a result, the exemption effectively applies to companies with less than \$75 million in market capitalization. Item 9a of this filing, Controls and Procedures, indicates the company's controls and procedures were not effective.

The trading of our common stock is limited under the SEC's penny stock regulations, which will adversely affect the liquidity of our common stock.

The trading price of our common stock is currently less than \$5.00 per share and, as a result, our common stock is considered a "penny stock", and trading in our common stock would be subject to the requirements of Rule 15g-9 under the Exchange Act. Under this rule, broker/dealers who recommend low-priced securities to persons other than established customers and accredited investors must satisfy special sales practice requirements. Generally, the broker/dealer must make an individualized written suitability determination for the purchaser and receive the purchaser's written consent prior to the transaction.

SEC regulations also require additional disclosure in connection with any trades involving a "penny stock," including the delivery, prior to any penny stock transaction, of a disclosure schedule explaining the penny stock market and its associated risks. These requirements severely limit the liquidity of securities in the secondary market because few

broker or dealers are likely to undertake these compliance activities. In addition to the applicability of the penny stock rules, other risks associated with trading in penny stocks could also be price fluctuations and the lack of a liquid market. An active and liquid market in our common stock may never develop due to these factors.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

From January 6, 2012 through July 31, 2012, the Company entered into Securities Purchase Agreements and Security Agreements with several accredited investors (the "2012 Investors") providing for the sale by the Company to the 2012 Investors of Secured Convertible Debentures in the aggregate amount of \$1,939,250 (the "2012 Notes"). In addition to the 2012 Notes, the 2012 Investors also received common stock purchase warrants (the "2012 Warrants") to acquire 1,939,250 shares of common stock of the Company. The 2012 Warrants are exercisable for three years at an exercise price of \$0.50. The Company received the proceeds in connection with these financings between January 6, 2012 and August 8, 2012 with the most recent \$100,000 invested on August 8, 2012. Further, a shareholder, director and officer, converted secured and unsecured loans provided to the Company from September 30, 2011 to June 5, 2012 in the aggregate amount of \$389,250 into the 2012 Notes and 2012 Warrants.

The 2012 Notes mature one year from their respective effective dates (the "Maturity Dates") and interest associated with the 2012 Notes is 10% per annum, which is payable on the Maturity Dates. The 2012 Notes are convertible into shares of common stock of the Company, at the 2012 Investors' option, at a conversion price of \$0.50. Upon the closing of any financing in an amount greater than \$3,000,000 (the "Financing"), the Company, in its sole discretion, may require that the 2012 Notes be converted into securities of the Company at the same terms of the Financing. The 2012 Notes and the 2012 Warrants carry standard anti-dilution provisions but in no event may the conversion price be reduced below \$0.25. Further, the 2012 Investors will have the right to participate in the next financing on a pro-rata basis up to \$1,000,000.

Table of Contents

In March 2012 the Company settled outstanding invoices for legal and consulting services totaling \$60,000 by issuing 177,515 shares of common stock.

This issuance of these above securities is exempt from the registration requirements under Rule 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and/or Rule 506 as promulgated under Regulation D.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information

Status of Current Obligations

Cash

The cash balance at the end of June 30, 2012 is very low. A portion of back pay is owed to executives of the Company. Additional funds from the sale of Convertible Debentures could supplement our cash balance in the near term and allow the payment of outstanding payroll as well as the payment of a portion of overdue accounts payable. The Company is offering some vendors common stock to settle overdue accounts payable.

Payroll

The February 2012 payroll for Officers and Executives has been deferred and 50% of their pay was paid on March 30, 2012. The March, April, May, and June, 2012 payroll for Officers and Executives has also been delayed. Additionally, the Board of Directors agreed to defer their Board compensation until significant investor funds are received. Board compensation has not been paid since August 2011.

Should further investment capital not be raised to adequately fund payroll, it can be expected that further staff layoffs will be required.

Accounts Payable

The company has numerous delinquent current obligations to suppliers, employees and business contracts. As of June 30, 2012 the accounts payable balance is \$1,567,638. Obligations are being prioritized for payment as the timing of receipt of funds allows. Proactive communications to suppliers for delinquent current obligations have been ongoing. Additionally, several suppliers have been offered Company stock in exchange of foregoing the balance due to them. Several suppliers have turned over their accounts receivable account to collection agencies. Current purchases are being made on a COD basis.

Key Personnel Changes

On April 13, 2012, the Company entered into an Agreement and General Release (the "Agreement") with Joseph Paresi, Chairman of the Board, pursuant to which Mr. Paresi resigned from all positions that he held at the Company. Under the terms of the Agreement, the Company is to pay to Mr. Paresi \$30,000 in unpaid director fees and \$1,235 in past expenses (the "Payment Amount"). The Payment Amount is to be paid in full upon the earlier of the Company raising \$1,000,000 or the Company commencing the payment of Director compensation to James Taylor, CEO of the Company. Further, all parties have agreed that Mr. Paresi's December 2010 Options and May 2011 Options have

vested in accordance with the standard schedule and all remaining options that are unvested shall terminate.

On May 16, 2012, Paul V. Gonzales resigned as the Chief Financial Officer of the Company to pursue other interests.

On August 10, 2012, the Company and James E. Taylor (“Taylor”) entered into an Agreement (the “Release”) pursuant to which Taylor resigned as the CEO of the Company and continues to serve as the Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Company. Additionally, under the terms of the Release the Company and Taylor settled the amount of fees owing to Taylor for serving as the Company’s CEO. For serving as the Chairman of the Board of Directors, the Company issued to Taylor a stock option to acquire 300,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.15. In consideration for Taylor’s execution of the Release, the Company agreed to pay Taylor the amount of \$87,500 in back pay and \$43,333 in past Board of Directors fees (collectively, the “Amount”). Upon raising adequate capital, the Company agreed to use its best efforts to develop a payment schedule with respect to the Amount owed to Taylor. The Company will not make any other payments to or on behalf of Taylor, whether in the form of bonuses, severance, paid time off, profit sharing, or otherwise.



Table of Contents

## Item 6. Exhibits

## Exhibit No. Description

3.1	Certificate of Designation for Series A Preferred Stock (1)
3.2	Certificate of Change (6)
3.3	Certificate of Correction (6)
3.4	Articles of Merger (7)
3.5	Certificate of Correction (Articles of Merger) (7)
3.6	Certificate of Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation (9)
4.1	Form of Subscription Agreement by and between Title Starts Online, Inc. and the January 2010 Accredited Investors (2)
4.2	6% Promissory Note issued by Title Starts Online, Inc. on March 1, 2010 (3)
4.3	Form of Subscription Agreement by and between Title Starts Online, Inc. and the March 2010 Accredited Investors (4)
4.4	Form of Subscription Agreement by and between AMP Holding Inc. and Accredited Investors (11)
4.5	Form of Subscription Agreement by and between AMP Holding Inc. and May 2011 Accredited Investors (15)
4.6	Stock Option to acquire 500,000 shares of common stock issued to Joseph Paresi dated May 25, 2011 (16)
4.7	Stock Option to acquire 1,000,000 shares of common stock issued to Joseph Paresi dated May 25, 2011 (16)
4.8	Common Stock Purchase Warrant to acquire 500,000 shares of common stock issued to Joseph Paresi dated May 25, 2011 (16)
4.9	Stock Option to acquire 500,000 shares of common stock issued to James Taylor dated May 25, 2011 (16)
4.10	Common Stock Purchase Warrant to acquire 500,000 shares of common stock issued to James Taylor dated May 25, 2011 (16)
4.11	Stock Option to acquire 500,000 shares of common stock issued to Stephen Burns dated May 25, 2011 (16)
4.12	Common Stock Purchase Warrant to acquire 500,000 shares of common stock issued to Stephen Burns dated May 25, 2011 (16)

4.13 Promissory Note issued by AMP Holding Inc. on October 31, 2011 (17)

30

---

Table of Contents

4.14	Security Agreement by and between AMP Holding Inc. and Stephen Burns dated October 31, 2011 (17)
4.15	Form of Securities Purchase Agreement (18)
4.16	Form of Secured Convertible Debenture (18)
4.17	Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant (18)
4.18	Form of Security Agreement (18)
4.19	Promissory Note dated May 30, 2012 (20)
4.20	Promissory Note dated May 31, 2012 (20)
4.21	Promissory Note dated June 5, 2012 (20)
4.22	Letter Amendment dated June 5, 2012 (20)
4.23	Letter Amendment dated June 5, 2012 (20)
4.24	Letter Amendment dated June 5, 2012 (20)
4.25	Letter Agreement by and between Stephen Burns and AMP Holding Inc. (21)
10.1	Share Exchange Agreement dated as of December 28, 2009 by and among Advanced Mechanical Products, Inc., the shareholders of Advanced Mechanical Products, Inc. and Title Starts Online, Inc. (1)
10.2	Agreement and Release between Title Starts Online, Inc. and Mark DeFoor dated December 29, 2009 (1)
10.3	Conversion Agreement between Title Starts Online, Inc. and Bowden Transportation, Inc. dated December 28, 2009 (1)
10.4	Conversion Agreement between Title Starts Online, Inc. and Han Solutions II, LLC dated December 28, 2009 (1)
10.5	Conversion Agreement between Title Starts Online, Inc. and Ziu Zhang dated December 28, 2009 (1)
10.6	Director Agreement by and between AMP Holding Inc. and Nancy Dunlap dated August 23, 2010 (8)
10.7	Director Agreement by and between AMP Holding Inc. and James E. Taylor dated October 11, 2010 (10)
10.8	Employment Agreement by and between AMP Holding Inc. and James Taylor dated December 8, 2010 (12)

- 10.9 Employment Agreement by and between AMP Holding Inc. and Stephen S. Burns dated December 8, 2010 (12)
- 10.10 Director Agreement by and between AMP Holding Inc. and Joseph Paresi dated December 8, 2010 (12)
- 10.11 Employment Agreement by and between AMP Holding Inc. and Paul V. Gonzales dated January 12, 2011 (13)
- 10.12 Distribution Agreement by and between AMP Holding Inc. and Northern Lights Energy ehf. dated April 14, 2011 (14)
- 10.13 Agreement and General Release by and between AMP Holding Inc. and Joseph Paresi dated April 13, 2012 (19)

Table of Contents

<u>10.14</u>	<u>Agreement between the Company and James E. Taylor dated August 10, 2012</u>
<u>10.15</u>	<u>Stock Option granted to James E. Taylor dated August 10, 2012</u>
<u>10.16</u>	<u>Letter Agreement dated August 10, 2012 between James E. Taylor and the Company</u>
16.1	Letter from Schumacher & Associates, Inc. (5)
21.1	List of Subsidiaries(1)
<u>31.1</u>	<u>Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u>
<u>31.2</u>	<u>Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u>
<u>32.1</u>	<u>Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002</u>
<u>32.2</u>	<u>Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002</u>
EX-101.INS	XBRL INSTANCE DOCUMENT
EX-101.SCH	XBRL TAXONOMY EXTENSION SCHEMA DOCUMENT
EX-101.CAL	XBRL TAXONOMY EXTENSION CALCULATION LINKBASE
EX-101.DEF	XBRL TAXONOMY EXTENSION DEFINITION LINKBASE
EX-101.LAB	XBRL TAXONOMY EXTENSION LABELS LINKBASE
EX-101.PRE	XBRL TAXONOMY EXTENSION PRESENTATION LINKBASE

- (1) Incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K Current Report filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 4, 2010.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K Current Report filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 4, 2010.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K Current Report filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 4, 2010.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K Current Report filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 17, 2010.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K Current Report filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 18, 2010.
- (6) Incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K Current Report filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 25, 2010.
- (7) Incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K Current Report filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 25, 2010.

- (8) Incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K Current Report filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 27, 2010.
- (9) Incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K Current Report filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 10, 2010.
- (10) Incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K Current Report filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 19, 2010.
- (11) Incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K Current Report filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 6, 2010.
- (12) Incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K Current Report filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 13, 2010.
- (13) Incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K Current Report filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 14, 2011.
- (14) Incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K Current Report filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 20, 2011.
- (15) Incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K Current Report filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 12, 2011.
- (16) Incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K Current Report filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 1, 2011.
- (17) Incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K Current Report filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 4, 2011.
- (18) Incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K Current Report filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 28, 2012.
- (19) Incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K Current Report filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 19, 2012.
- (20) Incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K Current Report filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 25, 2012.
- (21) Incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K Current Report filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 11, 2012.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

AMP HOLDING INC.

Dated: August 14, 2012

By: /s/ Stephen S. Burns  
Name: Stephen S. Burns  
Title: President and Chief Executive  
Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

Dated: August 14, 2012

By: /s/ Richard Calme  
Name: Richard Calme  
Title: Interim Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)